1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	CITY COUNCIL
3	CITY OF NEW YORK
4	X
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES
6	Of the
7	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
8	October 24, 2018 Start: 1:30 p.m.
9	Recess: 4:11 p.m.
10	HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall
11	BEFORE: STEPHENT. LEVIN
12	Chairperson
13	COUNCIL MEMBERS: ADRIENNE E. ADAMS
14	DIANA AYALA VANESSA L. GIBSON
15	MARK GJONAJ BARRY S. GRODENCHIK
16	BRAD S. LANDER ANTONIO REYNOSO
17	RAFAEL SALAMANCA, JR. RITCHIE J. TORRES
18	MARK TREYGER
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 2
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
3	David Hansell
4	Commissioner of the New York City Administration For Children Services, ACS
5	Jacqueline Martin
6	Deputy Commissioner for the Division of Administration for Children Services, ACS
7	Lorelei Atalie Vargas
8	Deputy Commissioner for the Division of Children And Family Well-Being of the Administration for Children Services, ACS
9	Jeanette Vega
10	Training Director at Rise
11	Arij Abdul- Halim Senior Director of Preventive Services at Arab
12	American Family Support Center
13	Tasfia Rahman Policy Coordinator for the Coalition for Asian
14	American Children and Families, CACS
15	Deedra Cheatham Constituent Liaison of New York City Council
16	Member Stephen Levin
17	Natalie Marks Associate Commissioner for the Division of
18	Child Protection.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 3
2	[gavel]
3	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good afternoon
4	everybody, thanks for your patience and for your
5	willingness to accommodate the Public Housing hearing
6	which I think needed additional space so thank you
7	very much for all your time. I know that, that people
8	have limits on their schedule so, we will keep this
9	hearing moving briskly. Good afternoon, I'm Council
10	Member Steve Levin, Chair of the New York City
11	Council's General Welfare Committee. Today we're
12	holding a hearing to address preventative services
13	in… at ACS. Before we begin, I would like to
14	acknowledge other Council Members who have joined us;
15	Council Member Brad Lander, seeing none others… we
16	do… we do expect that we will have other members of
17	the committee joining us, there's a number of
18	conflicting either hearings or, or meetings happening
19	right now as well. Preventative services are an
20	essential tool designed to prevent entries into
21	foster care and stabilize families involved in the
22	child welfare system. We know that over the past
23	decade as the availability of preventative services
24	has increased the foster care census has
25	significantly decreased without a subsequent increase

2 in review ... repeated abuse cases. Today I would like 3 to hear from ACS about the availability of preventative services including a breakdown of the 4 various evidence-based models available to families 5 in New York City. I would also like to know whether 6 7 there are any accountability measures in place both the existing or, or new; whether the effectiveness of 8 preventative services are being measured over time; 9 whether clients who are receiving preventative 10 services are given the opportunity to provide 11 12 meaningful feedback on how such services can be 13 improved and also the wrap around services that are 14 associated with particularly general preventative 15 services which constitute about half of the slots, a 16 little bit more than half of the slots in the system. 17 In addition to getting an update on the full array of 18 preventative services provided by ACS, I'd also like to be sure that the public understands what 19 20 preventative services are and how they can be accessed. I'd also like to discuss how these services 21 2.2 can be improved. According to a policy brief by the 23 Center for New York City Affairs, there's been a significant slowdown in the opening of new 24 preventative services cases with the result that 25

1

2 result that families may wait months to participate in programs that are required of them by Family Court 3 judges. Since October 2016 in the ... in the last 20 4 months, shortly after Zymere Perkins' tragic death 5 occurred, ACS has, has closed 18 percent fewer 6 7 preventative services cases than they did in the 20 months from 20 ... October 2014 to May 2015. Providers 8 therefore have limited capacity and fewer new cases 9 can be opened. Now we know that under Commissioner 10 Hansell there has been historic investment in new 11 12 preventative services in New York City and we're excited to work with him on that and we want to make 13 14 sure that... and as I understand now that there are no 15 wait lists currently for any of the preventative 16 services or if there are we'd like to hear about that and what can be done about that. Over the past 20 17 18 months ACS has opened 13 percent fewer new preventative services cases than it did in, in those 19 20 20 months, October '14 to May 2016 according to the Center for New York City Affairs Report. The Mayor's 21 2.2 Management Report otherwise known as the MMR, also 23 appears to demonstrate that the number of children receiving, receiving preventative services is 24 actually decreasing. According to the fiscal '18 MMR, 25

2 children who receive child welfare preventative ... 3 prevention services during the year or the total annual figure was 43,874 in FY '18 which is lower 4 than the FY '15 total of 47,001. Today we need to 5 have a better understanding of these figures and the 6 7 long-term impact that they may have. Finally, I'd like to learn more about the new division of child 8 and family wellbeing and their efforts to assist 9 families well before maltreatment occurs. These 10 efforts include the Family Enrichment Centers which 11 12 are designed to be storefront community-based 13 resources providing support and making referrals for 14 families. Three of these centers opened this year and 15 I'd like to discuss how the progress is going. I'm 16 glad to see Deputy Commissioner Lorelei Vargas and, and how progress is being measured for this new model 17 18 of primary prevent, preventative services. I think it's vitally important that people are able to 19 20 interact and receive service with New York City and ACS without the stigma of, of ACS as a... as a required 21 2.2 interaction, I think that that, that that is 23 essential in order to give families the support that they need when they need it. In addition to hearing 24 from ACS, we want to ... also want to hear from 25

2	advocates and providers about the gaps in service
3	that may exist as and welcome any suggestions for
4	improvement. I'd like to thank the Council Staff for
5	their work today to prepare for today's hearing;
6	Counsel Aminta Kilawan; Policy Analyst Tonya Cyrus
7	and Crystal Pond; Finance Analyst Daniel Kroop. I'd
8	also like to thank my Legislative Director Elizabeth
9	Adams, Communications Director Communication
10	Director Edward Paulino, Chief of Staff Jonathan
11	Boucher and Constituent Liaison Deedra Cheatham. I'd
12	also like to thank members of the administration who
13	have come here to testify; Commissioner David Hansell
14	and Deputy Commissioners Jacqueline Martin and
15	Lorelei Vargas and with that I will ask Council of
16	the Committee to, to swear you in if that's okay.
17	COMMITTEE CLERK: Will you all please
18	raise your right hands? Do affirm to tell the whole
19	the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth
20	before these Council Members here today and to answer
21	honestly to Council Member questions?
22	DAVID HANSELL: I do.
23	COMMITTEE CLERK: Thank you, you may
24	begin.
25	

2 DAVID HANSELL: Alright, good afternoon 3 Chair Levin, Council Member Lander. I'm David Hansell, Commissioner of the New York City 4 Administration for Children Services and with me 5 today to my right are Dr. Jacqueline Martin, who is 6 7 the Deputy Commissioner for our Division of Prevention Services and to my left Lorelei Vargas, 8 whose Deputy Commissioner for the Division of Child 9 and Family Well-Being and if you'll indulge me I do 10 have to say that I am very fortunate and I think we 11 12 are all very fortunate in New York City to have two 13 women of their caliber leading this very important 14 area of our work and we appreciate the opportunity to 15 discuss it with you. We at ACS recognize that 16 providing families with the help that they need to 17 overcome challenges, challenges that include trauma, 18 poverty, isolation, mental health issues, domestic violence among others, is critical to keeping 19 20 children safe. Over the years, ACS has steadily increased the availability of evidence based 21 2.2 preventative programs that are shown to reduce rates 23 of maltreatment and to improve overall child and family well-being. Last year, Casey Family Programs, 24 a nationally recognized child welfare organization, 25

2 noted that New York City is now at the forefront nationally in providing evidence-based prevention 3 programs to support families. Under the recently 4 enacted federal Family First Prevention Services Act, 5 states now have the option for the first time to 6 7 utilize federal funding under Section IV-E of the Social Security Act to support preventive services 8 for families whose children would otherwise be 9 candidates for foster care. Since this law took 10 effect in February of this year, we at ACS have 11 12 received increased interest from child welfare 13 agencies across the country as well as the leadership 14 of the federal Children's Bureau, in how ACS's 15 evidence-based prevention programs could offer models 16 for states and localities across the country. Our 17 unprecedented investment in prevention services has 18 continued to allow our agency to serve increased numbers of families in the community, while reducing 19 20 the number of children placed in foster care. The 21 number of children in foster care in New York City is 2.2 now under 8,500, a momentous shift from the nearly 23 50,000 children in care 25 years ago and nearly 17,000 a decade ago. And the decline in our foster 24 care population has continued even as national foster 25

1

2 care caseloads have increased since 2012, principally as a result of the opioid epidemic. ACS has ... ACS 3 contracts with 54 nonprofit agencies who together 4 with their staff deliver high quality services to 5 thousands of New York City families every day. ACS 6 7 provides extensive technical assistance and oversight to these providers to ensure high quality services 8 and child safety. The investments we've made with the 9 Council in our prevention providers beginning in the 10 FY '18 budget, including our model budget process 11 12 that we described in our testimony in June, ensure 13 that our providers can implement the best possible 14 service models to support families and that they are 15 appropriately compensated for doing so. As you know, 16 the tremendous progress that we've made was 17 threatened by severe proposed cuts to child welfare 18 funding that were included in the Governor's Executive Budget last January. Thankfully, the final 19 20 State budget did not include these cuts, and I want to once again thank the Council for your powerful 21 2.2 advocacy on behalf of our city's children and 23 families during those state budget negotiations. I also want to thank the children's advocacy community 24 across the city who did extraordinary work to make 25

2 sure that the State Legislature understood the potential impact those cuts would have and persuaded 3 legislators and the Governor to maintain the State's 4 commitment to our work. Because we believe so 5 strongly in prevention, we launched our Division of 6 7 Child and Family Well-Being last fall, making ACS the first child welfare agency in the country to 8 spearhead a primary prevention approach which seeks 9 to reach families proactively with services, with 10 11 resources and with educational messages that can 12 support healthy children, families and communities. 13 Our ambitious vision, building on the success of our 14 existing prevention programs, is to build the 15 capacity to reach families before involvement with 16 the child welfare system occurs, through a range of 17 direct service, public education and community 18 building strategies. Our new Division has been in place for a year now and we're excited about the work 19 20 we're doing and the potential to expand it in the future. So, I will now turn over first to Deputy 21 2.2 Commissioner Martin and then Deputy Commissioner 23 Vargas to discuss our prevention programs in more detail. 24

25

1

2 JACQUELINE MARTIN: Good afternoon. I am 3 Jacqueline Martin, the Deputy Commissioner for the Division of Prevention Services at ACS. Our goal in 4 DPS is to help keep children safe and to ensure that 5 6 every New York City child has the support of a strong 7 family and a healthy community to help them succeed. We do this by partnering with families and providing 8 access to high quality services that have real 9 impact. New York City is one of the few jurisdictions 10 in the country where families have access to a 11 12 comprehensive, holistic and fully funded continuum of 13 services and supports to strengthen families and 14 prevent entry into foster care. ACS funds over 200 15 programs, delivered by 54 contracted providers that 16 support families throughout the city. Our contracted 17 providers are located throughout the five boroughs 18 and are fixtures in the communities they serve. The services they provide range from case management to 19 20 high intensity evidence-based interventions for families with significant mental health or other 21 2.2 challenges. The overall number of child welfare 23 prevention slots has increased from 11,994 in Fiscal Year 2015 to 13,596 in Fiscal Year 2019. By the end 24 of Fiscal Year 2019, ACS will have expanded its array 25

1

2 of family support services for approximately 2,900 3 families. This includes nearly 1,700 slots for families to be served by our contracted prevention 4 5 family support services, and 1,000 additional families already receiving new, specialized 6 7 supportive services as their children return to them from foster care. As you know, Local Law 16 of 2018 8 requires ACS to provide training for prevention 9 services caseworkers. The Fiscal Year 2018 budget 10 includes funds so that prevention agencies can send 11 12 staff to trainings. Providers can receive training at 13 our Workforce Institute or use their own trainings approved by ACS, to fulfill the training requirement. 14 15 ACS has instituted a standard that all current 16 prevention staff take six days of training each year. 17 These include a mandated reporter e-learn program, as 18 well... as well as courses on motivational interviewing, safety and risk, understanding and 19 20 undoing implicit bias and intimate partner violence. Direct service staff and prevention supervisors are 21 2.2 required to take all of the above; supervisors are 23 also required to take a course on coaching. In addition, in Fiscal Year 2018, the ACS Workforce 24 Institute developed an 11-day learning program for 25

# COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 2 new preventive case planners in our provider agencies. This new program includes simulation 3 opportunities and structured on the job experiences. 4 During Fiscal Year 2018, 4,033 provider agency 5 learners took courses through the Workforce 6 Institute, including most frontline staff in 7 prevention agencies. One of the important hallmarks 8 of the New York City's prevention services system is 9 that we offer a continuum of services that allows us 10 to match a family to the services they need, both in 11 12 terms of intensity and specialization. I'm going to 13 describe the types of programs in our continuum. 14 General Prevention and Family Treatment Programs; 15 General Prevention is our largest service model and 16 serves families with children between the ages of 17 birth to 18 years, as well as young people between 18 the ages of 18 to 21 years who were formerly in foster care. General Prevention services last a full 19 20 year and are tailored to the individual needs of each family by including services such as case management, 21 2.2 individual and family counseling, support groups for 23 parents and youth, help in meeting children's developmental needs, referrals and help accessing 24 benefits, education, prenatal care, substance abuse,

2 mental health, and domestic violence counseling as 3 well as vocational services and early care and education services. Across the city, ACS funds more 4 5 than 7,000 general prevention slots. Family Treatment and Rehabilitation services or FT/R are designed for 6 7 higher risk families and include treatment for substance abuse and mental illness. FT/R programs 8 offer clinical diagnostic teams comprised of licensed 9 therapists, Credentialed Alcohol Substance Abuse 10 Counselors or CASAC, case planners, psychologist 11 12 consultants, psychiatric consultants and other providers who work with families to develop treatment 13 14 plans. Evidence based practice. ACS's continuum of 15 prevention services includes promising practice and 16 evidence-based models, which have been proven 17 effective through documented rigorous scientific 18 study. Evidence based models require intensive staff training and require clinical and case practice to 19 20 adhere to strict fidelity standards. We lead the country in our implementation of evidence-based 21 2.2 models including family functional therapy, child 23 parent psychotherapy, and multi systemic therapy. 24 These programs enable us to serve a broader array of 25 families experiencing complex challenges and address

1

2 issues like mental health, substance use disorder and 3 trauma. Over the past three years, the Division of Prevention Services has been a forerunner in 4 launching innovative new programs and approaches to 5 continuously improve the way that we serve children 6 7 and families. I'd like to share a few of our new programs with you. Court ordered supervision. In 8 expanding our continuum of prevention services, we 9 have made a deliberate effort to bolster services for 10 our higher need's families receiving court ordered 11 12 supervision or at immediate risk of court 13 intervention. In the spring of 2018, ACS announced 14 awards for 960 new prevention slots including 480 in 15 evidence-based programs. After implementation planning throughout the summer within ACS and with 16 17 the awarded provider agencies, programs began accepting referrals on October 1<sup>st</sup>, 2018. The second 18 phase of implementation is currently underway and 19 20 involves preparing and training provider agency staff on providing informative testimony in family court 21 2.2 regarding the family's progress. ACS and our provider 23 agencies are working collaboratively to co-design the processes and trainings required for this phase. With 24 support from the National Implementation Research 25

1

2 Network, phase three entails developing practice profiles to help clarify the roles of the prevention 3 case planner and the ACS family service unit child 4 protective specialist when both professionals are 5 working with the same family. This phase involves 6 7 interviews and focus groups with the ACS Division of Child Protection, our family court attorneys and our 8 prevention provider agencies. Group attachment based 9 intervention or as we refer to it as GABI, in 2017, 10 ACS launched GABI, the GABI Initiative, which 11 12 provides access to trauma informed, intensive attachment focused therapy for our hardest to reach 13 14 families, namely parents and very young children ages 15 zero to three who have experienced significant 16 trauma, housing instability, mental illness, domestic 17 violence, and other challenges. GABI provides group 18 settings where parents can connect with others experiencing similar challenges and seeks to improve 19 20 children's development, decrease their experience to trauma and maltreatment, reduce parental stress and 21 2.2 boost parental social support and mental health. 23 There are currently five GABI drop in sites located throughout the city; in Manhattan, Queens, and Staten 24 Island each have a GABI site and two sites are 25

1

2 located in the Bronx. We are planning to open a 3 Brooklyn site in 2019, which will be co-located with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene at their 4 Bedford Stuyvesant Neighborhood Health Action Center. 5 A safe way forward. Earlier today ACS announced a 6 7 Safe Way Forward, a new prevention initiative launching this spring that will work with families 8 experiencing domestic violence. This new program is 9 the first of its kind in the country, as it will 10 provide both prevention and clinical services to all 11 12 members of families experiencing domestic violence, 13 including the survivors, children and the person 14 causing harm. This model was developed through 15 unprecedented research and collaboration. Our 16 community-based strategies team conducted over 12 17 months of research including literature reviews, 18 interviews with over 100 experts across the country, and close collaboration with survivors, advocates, 19 20 parents causing harm, and the Mayor's Office to End Domestic ... to End Domestic and Gender Based violence. 21 2.2 This approach alleviates the voice of the ... elevates 23 the voice of the families we serve and will ensure 24 that every part of the program is an empowering experience for them. we strongly believe that 25

2 family's voice must be central to our work. ACS will 3 partner with two provider agencies to serve 130 families in the Bronx and Staten Island that are 4 involved in court ordered supervision and have been 5 referred to prevention services. Earlier this year, 6 7 our community-based strategies team was awarded the first ever Designing for Opportunity Grant from the 8 Mayor's Office for Economic Opportunity's Services 9 Design Studio. This competitive grant has enabled our 10 team to work in partnership with designers using 11 12 human centered design tactics to better understand 13 the family's journey through prevention services. 14 Over the past several months we have been 15 interviewing ACS and provider staff, as well as 16 families and advocates to understand their experience 17 of ACS's prevention and will be co-designing system 18 improvements with them to ensure that our services are accessible, family driven, and meet their needs. 19 20 This work will also help inform future procurements of prevention services. I will now turn to my 21 2.2 colleague, Deputy Commissioner Lorelei Vargas, to 23 discuss the Division of Child and Family Well-Being. 24 LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Thank you. Good afternoon. I am Lorelei Atalie Vargas, Deputy 25

1

2 Commissioner for the Division of Child and Family Well-Being at ACS. As Commissioner Hansell noted 3 earlier, ACS has significantly enhanced our work in 4 prevention services to provide supports for families 5 before a need for intervention arises. The creation 6 7 of the Division of Child and Family Well-Being last fall brought our city to the forefront nationally for 8 our commitment to primary prevention. CFWB aims to 9 engage families before they ever reach the child 10 welfare system with resources and services to help 11 12 them prosper. We focus on the factors that contribute 13 to family well-being including health, education, 14 employment, and culture and use place based and 15 population-based approaches to engage families and 16 communities. We also exercise a two generational 17 approach to meeting the needs of families, meaning, 18 we are focused on engaging and providing supports to both parents and children, the entire family unit 19 20 because when parents thrive their children can flourish. Research shows that adverse childhood 21 2.2 experiences or ACEs cause damage to the physical, 23 social and emotional development of children and are a critical public health issue. CFWB is working to 24 address ACEs and build protective factors for 25

1

2 resilience. We know that sharing knowledge on ACEs 3 and building these protective factors will be effective in reducing child maltreatment and 4 improving child development outcomes over time. Our 5 objective is to educate communities about brain 6 7 science and work closely with them to design culturally competent approaches to reduce and 8 mitigate toxic stress in their neighborhoods, with a 9 long-term goal of healthier outcomes. In addition to 10 family enrichment centers, which I'll discuss 11 12 further, CFWB's scope includes ACS's community 13 partnership programs, the Safe Sleep Initiative, the 14 Medication Safety Campaign, early care and education, 15 and a new Office of Equity Strategies that works to 16 identify strategies to reduce inequities, implicit 17 bias, and other factors that contribute to disparate 18 outcomes for the families and communities we serve. ACS's Family Enrichment Centers represent an 19 20 innovative new model for providing comprehensive, community focused support to families. The FEC model 21 2.2 is family centered primary prevention strategy that's 23 designed to reduce rates of child maltreatment and increase family stability and well-being. Everything 24 about each center, from the name, to the physical 25

1

2 layout, to the services offered, was co-developed 3 with families and the community. The FECs are open to all families in their communities and provide a range 4 of services that support healthy child development. 5 Because the design of each center is community 6 7 driven, they, they are an important vehicle for helping all children and families to thrive. Each 8 Family Enrichment Center mirrors the needs of the 9 community and helps families locate and access the 10 unique resources they need to succeed. We are proud 11 12 to have launched three pilot Family Enrichment 13 Centers in 2018 in neighborhoods with high rates of 14 child welfare system involvement. The first center 15 opened in February in the Hunts Point/Longwood 16 neighborhood of the Bronx and is called O.U.R Place, 17 organizing to be United and Resilient. Shortly 18 thereafter, the C.R.I.B., Community Resources in Brooklyn in East New York and Circle of Dreams in 19 Highbridge opened their doors to the community. Our 20 goal is to work alongside the community and bring 21 2.2 them the resources they have identified to help each, 23 and every family thrive. By listening to communities and using data, we are able to leverage resources to 24 support families, with the eventual goal of lowering 25

2 rates of involvement in the child welfare system. 3 FECs are currently in the midst of a pilot period, and once this demonstration project is complete, our 4 5 goal is to expand and procure for FECs to continue in these high ... in these and other high need communities. 6 7 So, thank you for the opportunity to discuss some of the many ways ACS supports families in New York City 8 through our continuum of prevention services. ACS is 9 deeply committed to providing high quality programs 10 and services to meet the needs of all families in the 11 12 city and we're grateful for the Council's support in 13 this mission. We look forward to further cultivating 14 our partnership with you to carry out this important 15 work. Thank you again for you time and we're happy to 16 answer any questions.

17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much 18 Commissioner Hansell and Deputy Commissioners Martin and Vargas. Before I go to my questions, I want to 19 20 acknowledge Council Members that were able to attend unfortunately they had to run back to a, a budget 21 2.2 meeting; Council Members Adams, Ayala, Salamanca, 23 Grodenchik and Reynoso and they might come back for questions... [cross-talk] 24

DAVID HANSELL: Uh-huh, great.

25

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, I guess maybe 3 we'll start with the Family Enrichment Centers and then... and then maybe go backwards through the ... 4 through the testimony. So, how ... how, how is it going, 5 6 how are the ... just empirically how are ... the challenges 7 I think at the outset of these were how do we create programs or places in communities that people would 8 want to engage with, you know even knowing that ACS 9 is kind of involved with it, how, who do we create ... 10 you know how do we do that and, and how ... you know how 11 12 to kind of overcome some of those challenges and 13 obviously people will, will go if they feel that 14 there's benefit to be had, you know if there's a... if 15 there's... if they're able to access resources that 16 they may be in need of or searching for and ... yeah, 17 how's, how's it going thus far? 18 LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Its going great ... [cross-talk] 19 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay ... [cross-talk] LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: So, the FECs are 21 2.2 well underway, they've been open now a little under a 23 year... [cross-talk] 24 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS:every each FEC
was co-designed with the community that means that
the community chose the name, the community was

2

3

4

5 involved in the physical layout and now the community 6 is involved in identifying what services and supports 7 they need so we've, we've really kind of turned the 8 traditional model of how government interfaces with 9 nonprofits and with communities and even how 10 philanthropy interfaces with communities on its head... 11 [cross-talk]

12 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 13 LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: ...we're not going 14 in and saying these communities need X, Y, Z; we're 15 asking the community what they need ... [cross-talk] 16 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 17 LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: ...and you know 18 that's been a major shift. They're going very well, and I'll give you a couple of examples. One, we have, 19 20 you know each of our, our Family Enrichment Centers are on their way providing services and programs. 21 2.2 Again, all of the services and programs have been 23 identified by the community saying we need this so they're different at each location... [cross-talk] 24 Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 25 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:

2	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS:but they range
3	from things like a domestic violence support group to
4	mommy and me time. So, they, they vary. In terms of
5	the stigma, we were very intentional as we set these
6	up that ACS's name, ACS's logo is nowhere to be found
7	in these spaces… [cross-talk]
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
9	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS:in part it's to
10	begin to draw the community in, to create and build
11	trust with the community and to develop a sense of
12	safety with the community. We have though since had a
13	lot of conversations with the community and with the
14	staff at these centers about, you know what that
15	means and what the community's response is because
16	some people in the community ask, you know why is
17	this here and who's funding this [cross-talk]
18	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.
19	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: And the providers
20	are very direct with them that ACS is, you know
21	funding this work and the responses have varied from,
22	you know wow we didn't know that ACS did this kind of
23	work which is something that we like to hear because
24	we do a lot of this work and we've been doing a lot
25	of this work, you know to oh, you know we're not

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	really sure that we want to be engaged but then they
3	continue coming because they find that there is
4	support there for them [cross-talk]
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
6	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: I've personally
7	spoken with community members, parents in these
8	programs and I think one of the most powerful things
9	that I hear over and over again regardless of which
10	program the parent is connected to is that the family
11	enrichment centers have really helped to provide
12	social connections for families who otherwise would
13	not be connected with other people in their
14	community
15	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
16	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS:and we know that
17	those connections are critically important protective
18	factor, we know that relationships are a top
19	mitigator of toxic stress and adversity… [cross-talk]
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
21	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS:so, that alone
22	is telling us that we're doing something right in
23	these… in these FECs. As far as evaluation goes,
24	we're in the process right now just as in the spirit
25	of the design of the Family Enrichment Center of co-
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	designing with the community and evaluation, you know
3	there were concerns around coming in and studying the
4	community… [cross-talk]
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
6	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS:and with the
7	community we've been somewhat transparent with them
8	that evaluation is a necessary piece of how we
9	understand how they're working and, and how we begin
10	to support, you know and, and gather funds for
11	further expansion and so they're on board with that
12	and they are working with us to co-design an
13	evaluation, we've partnered with the University of
14	Oregon through funding from the Robinhood Foundation
15	[cross-talk]
16	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay [cross-talk]
17	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS:to do that work.
18	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay [cross-talk]
19	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: So, that's how
20	they're, they're, they're going.
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And you're working
22	with, with not for profits as well, right, so there's
23	nonprofit partners in, in each of those, correct?
24	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: That's correct,
25	yes.
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And those are?
3	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: So, Graham
4	Windham, oh gosh… sorry?
5	JACQUELINE MARTIN: Good Shepard
6	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Yes, Good
7	Shepard, sorry it's not just fresh in mind… [cross-
8	talk]
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah, right, right
10	[cross-talk]
11	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: So, Graham
12	Windham
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good Shepard
14	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS:Good Shepard and
15	Children's Village slash Bridge Builders which is
16	part of… [cross-talk]
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right [cross-talk]
18	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Children's
19	Village.
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right and so then
21	and so they're hiring the staff the construction of
22	it is that they're hiring the staff and then the
23	staff are participating in any like are they
24	engaging in any type of training akin to what
25	preventative service [cross-talk]

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Yeah, so we
train so, they, they have hired staff and we've
trained the staff there on appreciative inquiry and
using the parent café model… [cross-talk]
CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS:and the parent
café model essentially engages leaders in the
community to come in and build and develop leaders in
the community to lead conversations that are really
structured through the protective factors and that is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

structured through the protective factors and that is 11 12 how we begin to kind of understand what the needs of 13 the community are and what services and, and supports 14 need to be provided through them.

15 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Are you ... I mean 16 every ... as a Council Member and I'm sure every Council 17 Member hears this, you know one of the big 18 challenges, you know people's, people's challenges that they're encountering in day to day life might 19 20 not fit neatly into like the jurisdiction of a single 21 counsel committee or a single agency's 2.2 responsibility, often there's ... you know there may be 23 housing challenges or employment challenges or education challenges that people are having, how are 24 you engaging or how is this ... how is this program or 25

1

2 system going to be engaging with housing, I mean... you 3 know which is like, you know one of the most vexing... 4 I can tell you super vexing challenges that, you know 5 that we encounter...

6 LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Absolutely, so 7 two things, one is that when families feel comfortable enough and they've ... you know the programs 8 have developed the trust with the families that they 9 could... they come in and they actually share those 10 concerns. The providers and the staff there at these 11 12 agencies will reach out to us and say hey, we have a 13 family that, you know is in need of housing or 14 there's a mom here who's confided in us that she's in 15 a... in a difficult, you know DV situation where can I 16 access services and supports for her. So, the staff 17 are very good about reaching out to us when they 18 don't know what exists but the other piece is that we recently procured our community partnership programs 19 20 and they're going to be coming online in January of 2019, there will 11 of them but we were very 21 2.2 intentional about it including the FEC communities in 23 the RFP so that we double up in our efforts in these three high need communities and so part of the role, 24 one of the lead roles of these community partnership 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	programs is really about leveraging existing
3	investments that we're already making not we ACS but
4	we the city… [cross-talk]
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure… [cross-talk]
6	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS:you know and,
7	and private funders around things like housing and
8	mental health, health, education and so we have spent
9	the better part of the last three- or four-months
10	beginning conversations with our partners at various
11	sister agencies to identify in these communities what
12	are the supports that exist [cross-talk]
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
14	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS:and how can the
15	CPPs serve to really connect the dots and create a
16	two-generation continuum of support with those
17	existing investments [cross-talk]
18	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right [cross-talk]
19	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS:and that is
20	going to be a compliment to the work that happens in
21	the FECs but really connecting families to supports.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, I mean I'm
23	not I'm not sure I'm not that familiar with each of
24	these neighborhoods to speak definitively about it
25	but in a lot of neighborhoods you have Settlement

1

2 House type models where you, you do have multi-3 generational, two, three generation engagement with 4 families, seniors and parents and, and, and children 5 and yeah, looking to see ... I mean I, I ... one thing I would be wary of is kind of reluctance to do ... people 6 7 retreating into their own turf or into their own organizations. So, for example, like if, if the 8 Graham Windham providers in a neighborhood, you know 9 is in the lower East Side and Settlement House down 10 there is, is Henry Street Settlement House that 11 12 they're not seen as anyway competitors but instead 13 complementary and kind of working to, to leverage 14 those resources that have existed for, you know 15 through state and city programs, you know ... 16 LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Yeah and that is 17 baked in the design of the work that we're doing, I 18 mean we've been very intentional about, about engaging not only our sister agencies, but the 19 20 providers and we are taking a collective impact approach so really engaging everybody that's there on 21 2.2 the ground doing the work... [cross-talk] 23 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right... [cross-talk] LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: ...to connect 24 resources. We have providers who, you know aren't 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	seeing the number of clients that they could be
3	seeing if they were better connected with each other
4	and that's [cross-talk]
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right [cross-talk]
6	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS:kind of the
7	approach that we take in bringing them together.
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, right [cross-
9	talk]
10	DAVID HANSELL: If I could just add Chair
11	to what Deputy Commissioner Vargas said this is
12	really an approach that pervades, I think everything
13	that we're doing at ACS [cross-talk]
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
15	DAVID HANSELL:we real as, as I said
16	in, in testimony we realize that often the roots of
17	what manifests as child welfare issues can be
18	attributed to poverty, to trauma, to housing
19	instability, to educational issues… [cross-talk]
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
21	DAVID HANSELL:mental health issues and
22	health issues and so on and so the response to them
23	have to be much broader than the services that ACS
24	offers directly so through all of our preventative
25	services, the ones that Deputy Commissioner Vargas

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	has described, our preventative programs and the
3	community based services that we through our
4	preventative programs and through our child, child
5	protective work we connect families to our goal is
6	always to leverage the resources that exist in the
7	communities that will help respond to the issues that
8	families are dealing with in a way that will help
9	address child welfare issues if those have occurred
10	or help to forestall them if they haven't. So, we
11	[cross-talk]]
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right… [cross-talk]
13	DAVID HANSELL:are very attuned to
14	that. You, you in your opening statement mentioned
15	wraparound services which I'm sure we'll come back to
16	and that's a great… [cross-talk]
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes [cross-talk]
18	DAVID HANSELL:example of how we can
19	complement what we are doing directly at ACS with
20	services that already exist in the communities that
21	can provide complimentary support to families.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right and having a,
23	a, a structure in place, I mean I can just tell you
24	in my personal experiences is like trying to find
25	housing resources for constituents that come to me
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	can be like an immensely frustrating experience for
3	me as the Chair of the committee banging my head
4	against the wall saying how come this person can't
5	receive a voucher or you know what resources are
6	available or how are they going to find an apartment
7	and going to home base and being told by home base
8	that they can't serve the person then going back to
9	home base and then going back to… over to A… HRA and
10	then going back to home base and it and, and I think
11	that like making sure that there are structured
12	linkages… [cross-talk]
13	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Uh-huh [cross-
14	talk]
15	DAVID HANSELL: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
16	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:between the various
17	types of resources, the Department of Health
18	resources, community you know community based DOHMH
19	or mental health as you said, the… whether its GED
20	programs, we're, we're… I work… we have a literacy
21	initiative out of the council that does a lot of work
22	on early child literacy, when you mentioned mommy and
23	me programs, you know having you know group… you know
24	group reading, I mean I just think if my, my, my wife
25	Ann and my daughter Francis go to music class down

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	the street, you know having making sure that we're
3	engaging with like… you know having a, a music class
4	for toddlers at, at… programs like… I mean I think
5	that that's all beneficial and that you know that,
6	that my family can access but, but want to make sure
7	that like every child in New York City has access to
8	that.
9	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Absolutely and
10	that's the goal.
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah. Okay, we might
12	come back to some of those issues. Let's see oh,
13	well how are I mean how are families coming in, I
14	mean how are they are they being referred or are
15	they walking in off the street or [cross-talk]
16	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: It's a
17	combination… [cross-talk]
18	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:how's that happen
19	[cross-talk]
20	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: It's a
21	combination so there are events that take place that
22	draw families in, some families just walk in the
23	street walk in off the street and say what is this
24	place, I've never seen this place before, what do you
25	do here. We're now at the at the stage where
I	

2	families are telling other families in the community
3	about it so there's kind of family to family referral
4	to come on in and see the space and spend some time
5	there and get involved so it's really kind of a broad
6	range and the staff in the beginning were doing a
7	significant amount of outreach in part, you know
8	participating with other providers that were in the
9	community, you know already kind of connected to
10	families and just kind of going out talking with
11	families, getting to know them and letting them know
12	that the Family Enrichment Center was there.
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How about schools,
14	are you involved in the elementary schools or the… or
15	the early child the, the Pre-K programs or what
16	[cross-talk]
17	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Sure. So, yeah
18	so, those relationships exist in each of the
19	respective communities and the staff at each of the
20	Family Enrichment Centers have been really good
21	about, you know developing those relationships and in
22	any of these cases whether it's the schools or the
23	child care centers or the neighborhood health action
24	centers, you know in any way that we can help to
25	facilitate the connection we absolutely do.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, Health and
3	Hospitals?
4	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes
6	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Absolutely.
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. We've been
8	joined by Council Member Mark Gjonaj, Council Member
9	do you have any questions? Okay, so let's turn over
10	to, to preventive for a while. So, I think if we
11	could take kind of a, a, a kind of big picture
12	perspective on where things stand on, on preventive
13	cases, new cases and kind of what's happening, its
14	relationship to the broader child welfare system over
15	the… over the last 20 months. So, if, if you've read
16	the, the… Center for the City of New York report kind
17	of speaks to say… Center for New York City Affairs,
18	sorry, the speaks to the kind of some of the
19	dynamic shifts that have happened since, since, since
20	this time in 2016… [cross-talk]
21	DAVID HANSELL: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:where we've seen
23	caseloads increase significantly, average caseloads
24	have gone up to 14, this is a this is the report
25	was put out in July, so these are on July's numbers.
I	I

1

2 We've seen a, a really significant number of ... an increase in the number of cases where ACS is 3 referring the case to... for, for a court intervention 4 of some kind so the case gets ... there's a ... you know a, 5 6 a... not necessarily an emergency removal but a, a 7 referral to, to the court system basically to, to, to put it before a judge and have a judge ... and ... kind of 8 describes that process and basically there's a... an 9 over ... through an abundance of caution engaging with, 10 with the family court system which is in turn causing 11 12 a, a law jam effect at, at the family courts which 13 then, you know is, is, is having potentially, you know other ... it, it makes it so that other cases that 14 15 may be more ... deserve more attention or should get 16 more focus are kind of getting less time because 17 there are more cases in front of our family court 18 judges. Now we have not seen a ... an increase in the ... obviously the number of, of foster care placements 19 20 and we have not seen I don't believe an increase in the number of court ordered supervision but we have 21 2.2 seen it in a, a, a decrease in the number of new 23 cases, preventive cases being opened in ... kind of in those ... in that ... in that ... those ... they're, they're 24 comparing ... you know if you look at the charts that 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	they have they're going month to month and comparing
3	it year over year so that they're not comparing June
4	of one year to October of another year, they're
5	comparing June to June and October to October. How is
6	this all kind of fitting together and what… I guess
7	why the first question as it relates to preventive
8	is why are… why are the, the number of new cases of
9	preventive actually less than they were three years
10	ago?
11	DAVID HANSELL: Uh-huh. Well let me
12	there was a lot in your question, number of questions
13	and so… [cross-talk]
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: It's a big, big
15	picture… [cross-talk]
16	DAVID HANSELL:let me let me [cross-
17	talk]
18	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:question [cross-
19	talk]
20	DAVID HANSELL:try to give sort of a, a
21	broad answer and then we can zero in on the specifics
22	that are of interest to you. And the time frame
23	you're talking about is, is largely parallels my term
24	as Commissioner, I came in about 19, 20 months ago in
25	the wake of the fatalities in late, late 2016 and

1

2 after those fatalities, in the months after those fatalities we saw ... we did see a number of things 3 happen in New York City. One is we saw a very 4 significant spike in the number of reports of abuse 5 and neglect that we receive and as you know those 6 7 reports go initially to the state's hotline which is formerly known as the state's central registry or the 8 SCR, the state makes an initial determination whether 9 to accept that report and if they do they refer it to 10 us and we are obligated to investigate every report 11 that the state refers to us and make a determination 12 13 of whether we believe that the allegation of the 14 child that's been maltreated is, is substantiated or 15 not. So, there was a significant spike in those 16 reports in early 2017 and it has continued since then and that has meant that the volume of reports that 17 18 our Division of Child Protection has had to investigate has gone up... [cross-talk]] 19 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] DAVID HANSELL: ...that did mean for a 21 2.2 period of time that our average caseloads went up, we 23 have done a lot about that issue, we first, first of course... for, foremost is hiring, we hired about 700 24 new child protective specialists last year, we're 25

1

2 doing a lot to try to improve our retention rate among those specialists, we're doing a lot to improve 3 4 the efficiency with which they do their work through 5 providing them technology, tools, improving things 6 like transportation supports so they can get out in 7 the field faster to expedite their investigations. So, we have been working very aggressively to manage 8 that very significant increase in reports with the, 9 the workload that we have ... the work ... the workforce 10 that we have and I'm happy to say that in August of 11 12 this year, August of 2018, a couple of months ago we 13 had reached the lowest average caseload in our child protective division that we have had since prior to 14 15 those fatalities in 20... in 2016, our average caseload 16 was down to something around an average of nine cases per caseworker, it's gone up a little bit since then 17 18 because there is some cyclical variation in reports but we are still well below the threshold that we use 19 20 which is 12, an average caseload of 12 which is what we consider to be a caseload that a child protective 21 2.2 specialist can reasonably manage. So, we have been 23 below that threshold for the last few months and, and we continue to be. With regard to preventive 24 services... [cross-talk] 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sorry Commissioner
3	that, that [cross-talk]
4	DAVID HANSELL: Sorry [cross-talk]
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:peaked, where did
6	that peak?
7	DAVID HANSELL: It peaked I believe it
8	peaked in the early summer of this year [cross-talk]
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay [cross-talk]
10	DAVID HANSELL:again typically there is
11	a, a spike in the number of reports we receive in the
12	sort of May, June period near the end of the school
13	year because many of them are related to educational
14	reports that come in near the end of the school year
15	and then there's another peak usually around this
16	time of year as children go back to school and as
17	schools begin to see attendance patterns and observe
18	kids who are not coming to school on a regular basis,
19	we tend to again sort of see an increase in, in
20	reports at that time so I think… I think we peak sort
21	of in the late spring or early summer range, its been
22	coming down since then and we hit a low point in
23	August and we continue to be well below the average
24	of last year and, and, and below the average of 12.
25	With regard to preventive services you, you mentioned
I	

1

2 the issue of, of fewer new preventive cases opening 3 that is something and actually I discussed this previously with, with you and with the committee in, 4 5 in prior hearings including our, our budget hearings last year, when I became Commissioner in March of 6 7 last year one of the things that I was very concerned 8 to learn was that we were a rate of closing cases, preventive cases had slowed and as a result of that 9 our rate of opening cases because we have a limited ... 10 a finite number of slots and so it's very important 11 12 for us to work with families to the point where 13 they've achieved their objectives and we think 14 children are safe and we can... we can safely move that 15 family off of preventive services so we can make that 16 slot available to a new family who's, who's needs 17 are, are, are more immediate. That process had slowed 18 and I actually immediately began to work very closely with Dr. Martin and her team to understand why 19 20 because I was very concerned about it and because I did know that we were a point back in early 2017 21 2.2 where we were not able to match families, families 23 needing services with the appropriate services as 24 quickly as we wanted to ... [cross-talk] 25 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right... [cross-talk]

1

2 DAVID HANSELL: ...so we the analysis of 3 that and we discovered there were several things at the root of that. One was ... one was ... one part of it 4 was internal out switch was sort of business process 5 that there were things about our process of doing 6 7 that referral and matching that were not as efficient as they could be, so we worked on that. A second part 8 of it had to do with the fact that in response to the 9 fatalities in, in late 2016 particularly the Zymere 10 Perkins fatality we implemented some changes in 11 12 response to recommendations we got from Department of 13 Investigation and, and others that slowed the process 14 of closing cases, made it more difficult for us to 15 close cases and that had the entirely unintended but 16 still significant consequence of making it more 17 difficult for us to make slots available to families 18 coming into the system. So, we looked at how we could expedite the process of safely closing preventive 19 20 cases when we thought the families had successfully completed their objectives in, in the service model. 21 2.2 And the third is that we found that many of our 23 providers were unable to meet their contracted capacity because they could not staff, they could not 24 maintain... attract and retain enough gualified case 25

1

2 workers and case managers to serve the population 3 that they were contracted to serve and that was because we were not adequately compensating them to 4 do that and that of course led to the conversations 5 we had in the budget process beginning last June in 6 7 Fiscal Year '18 about what we needed to do to make sure that we were adequately compensating providers 8 to provide the quality of services and to maintain 9 the quality of staff with which they needed to do 10 that and so with the support of the council we 11 12 invested in some specific areas like increased 13 training, increased conference facilitation, 14 increased participation in our quality assurance work 15 but we also initiated the model budget process which 16 we talked about at the hearing in, in June which has 17 enabled us and that, that process is now pretty well 18 done and I think virtually all of those contract elements are now completed and registered ... [cross-19 20 talk] CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 21 DAVID HANSELL: ...that has enabled our 2.2 23 providers to raise salaries for their case work staff and their supervisory staff to levels that enable 24

25 them to attract the caliber of staff they need and,

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	in many cases, have enabled them to reopen their
3	intake systems so that they can increase the number
4	of, of families that they're serving
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And that's been just
6	for the… for the record that has been widely… there's
7	a consensus that that has gone well for providers,
8	they there's been positive feedback from providers
9	on, on the ACS final budget process?
10	DAVID HANSELL: Yeah, well that's great
11	to hear… [cross-talk]
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In fact, I've gotten
13	[cross-talk]
14	DAVID HANSELL:its certainly the
15	feedback we got and its great to hear you got it as
16	well but what's most important is we've seen the
17	impact we wanted which is that providers are able to
18	staff up, they're able to reopen intake, they're able
19	to meet their contracted levels of service so by
20	focusing on all those things, there's some others but
21	those I think are the most important ones. In the
22	first six months of my tenure here between March of
23	2017 and August we were able to get to the point
24	where we were in the vast majority of cases able to
25	match families with services that they needed very

1

2 quickly, and we have stayed in that place since then. So, I think some, some of the data that you're 3 reading is probably over a period of time, it doesn't 4 5 necessarily reflect where we are today. With regard to court ordered of services that you mentioned that 6 7 is an area... we obviously have seen an increase in the number of court ordered service... supervision cases, 8 I'm sorry, court supervision and often those cases 9 involve court ordered services we seek court 10 supervision in situations where we believe that it is 11 12 possible to keep a family together safely, it is possible to keep a child with his or her parents or 13 14 parent or caretakers but only if the parent or 15 parents participate in services to address the source of the risk to those children, whether it's substance 16 17 abuse, whether it's domestic violence, whether it's 18 mental health or health issues we only believe that that family can remain together safely if the parent 19 20 gets services and we're not certain that the parent will do that voluntarily and those are the situations 21 2.2 in which we seek from the family court an order 23 directing the parents to participate in services. Very often in the case of a domestic violence 24 25 situation, it may be an order to require that the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 2 person causing harm remain away from the family so that they're not jeopardizing either adults or 3 children in that ... in that family situation but 4 whatever it is it's ... we seek that only when we think 5 that court oversight is necessary to ensure that 6 7 parents participate in those services. Sometimes we, we... it's ... there some situation in which we go to 8 court requesting a removal and the court makes a 9 decision that supervision is adequate but, in every 10 case, supervision is an alternative to removal of 11 12 children which is where it's safe and possible an 13 alternative that we prefer. So, it's, it's an 14 intervention that we think is appropriate in many 15 situations, but we also only want to use it where 16 it's absolutely necessary.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right and what we've 17 18 seen ... I mean in this, this report, you know speaks to a certain kind of level of caution that has been a 19 20 lasting... this is a lasting consequence of the crisis surrounding Zymere Perkins death that the case worker 21 said ... ACS staff are more inclined to recommend that 2.2 23 the cases be taken to court rather than allowing families to do voluntary services and you know the, 24 the... if there's an in... you know there's a ten percent 25

1

2 increase in the SCR calls in the corresponding time and a 54 percent increase in the... in the instances of 3 ACS referring the matter to family court in other... in 4 other words not, not handling the issue, you know 5 through voluntary services, it just ... it ... and 6 7 obviously it has an impact on, on the case load and management at our family courts which are obviously 8 over, overburdened anyway but kind of ... you know 9 they're... if, if family... I mean it, it talks to family 10 court judges booking two, three cases in the same 11 12 half hour slot, you know I'm, I'm not necessary ... I 13 mean look judges are, are ... we need family court 14 judges to be ... to be there to be able to make difficult decisions but I'm not sure that if they 15 16 have two or three cases in a half hour slot whether 17 they're going to be necessarily any more informed 18 than a caseworker that's been working on a... or a supervisor that has been working on a case for, for, 19 20 for a month... [cross-talk] 21 DAVID HANSELL: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 2.2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, I mean I, I 23 just... I'm, I'm... [cross-talk] DAVID HANSELL: No, no... [cross-talk] 24 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:concerned about
З	that… [cross-talk]
4	DAVID HANSELL: I appreciate it, yeah
5	[cross-talk]
6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:as a kind of ongoing
7	consequence of, of, of these, these cases.
8	DAVID HANSELL: I appreciate those
9	concerns, we have those are of course also issuing
10	for our family court legal services attorney's that
11	are taking those cases to court as well as our child
12	protective specialists that go to court to testify as
13	to why we believe supervision is necessary so it's
14	something that we, we monitor closely. I guess I two
15	things I would say is one is I think it is important
16	to look at those numbers in relationship to as, as
17	you acknowledged Mr. Chairman that the… our foster
18	care caseload is going down significantly so… [cross-
19	talk]
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes, right [cross-
21	talk]
22	DAVID HANSELL:yes, we have more cases
23	under supervision, but we have fewer cases going to
24	foster care which I think is [cross-talk]
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The right direction
3	[cross-talk]
4	DAVID HANSELL:what we'd prefer to see
5	[cross-talk]
6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes… [cross-talk]
7	DAVID HANSELL: The other thing I, I have
8	to say we do work very closely with the family court,
9	I meet on a regular basis with Jeanette Ruiz who is
10	the Chief Administrative Judge of the court to talk
11	about ways that we can work together to make the
12	system work more efficiently but I will say that I
13	you know we need to make our judgements based on what
14	we think is necessary to keep children safe… [cross-
15	talk]
16	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right [cross-talk]
17	DAVID HANSELL:and I, I would be
18	concerned if we were making judgements based on the
19	capacity of the court system rather than what's
20	[cross-talk]
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes… [cross-talk]
22	DAVID HANSELL:necessary for children's
23	safety.
24	
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Absolutely, I agree.
3	Council Member Gjonaj has a question or two that he…
4	[cross-talk]
5	DAVID HANSELL: Sure… [cross-talk]
6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:would like to ask
7	you.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you
9	Chairman, good to see you again Commissioner… [cross-
10	talk]
11	DAVID HANSELL: Good to see you
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: What are the
13	total number of investigations that took place in
14	2017?
15	DAVID HANSELL: In 20072017, I believe
16	it was about 55,000, do we have the exact number
17	yep, sorry, 50
18	[off mic dialogue]
19	DAVID HANSELL:59,812.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: How many of those
21	were for abuse or neglect, do you have a breakdown?
22	DAVID HANSELL: Yes, we do. Here we go
23	and typically the majority are for are for neglect
24	so… do I have them broken out, let's see here… these
25	
l	

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 2 are broken up between indicate ... so ... I'm going to have 3 to... 4 [off mic dialogue] DAVID HANSELL: We can get you the exact ... 5 I'm, I'm looking ... roughly speaking approximately ... 6 7 [off mic dialogue] DAVID HANSELL: Let's see the vast 8 9 majority are neglect, there... of, of the total 59,000 ... 10 I'm sorry, well this has... 11 [off mic dialogue] 12 DAVID HANSELL: I'm sorry, 87.5 percent 13 of those were neglect only, 12.5 percent were either 14 abuse only or were a combination of abuse and 15 neglect. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: How many of those 17 are substantiated or unsubstantiated? 18 DAVID HANSELL: Yeah, typically about 40 percent of our investigations result in a 19 20 substantiated, in 2017 39.8 percent of our total investigations were substantiated. So, about, about 21 23,805 investigations. 2.2 23 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: And of the 60 24 percent of the roughly 60,000 that you close out as unsubstantiated... [cross-talk] 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	DAVID HANSELL: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ:do you have
4	investigations that take on take place at a later
5	date as to reopen an investigation, do you have
6	recidivism in that sense?
7	DAVID HANSELL: We do that if there is a
8	new report, if we if we complete a report on make
9	an investigation on a report and we determine its
10	unfounded we close that investigation however if
11	there's a subsequent report involving that child or
12	those children or those parents that history is part
13	of what we consider in doing a new a new
14	investigation on a new report.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Do we have any
16	idea how many cases are re-investigated after they've
17	been closed?
18	DAVID HANSELL: Because there's a
19	subsequent report on that family what we can I we
20	don't have handy, we can get that information to you.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I think that
22	would be an important statistic as we understand the
23	trends and… [cross-talk]
24	DAVID HANSELL: Sure… [cross-talk]
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ:are we closing
3	cases properly and are they being reopened later on
4	and there is a found of abuse and neglect, I think
5	it'd be very telling as to how we're… how far the
6	investigations are taking place and an average
7	investigation is what period of time?
8	DAVID HANSELL: Invest… we have a 60-day
9	period to complete investigations, they typically
10	take almost that complete period of time sometimes
11	they take a shorter amount of time but typically the
12	investigative period is 60 days.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: How many visits
14	to a family's home?
15	DAVID HANSELL: It depends on the nature
16	of the allegation and what we learn. We always make
17	we're required and we do make an initial visit to a
18	home within 24 or 49 hours depending on the severity
19	of the allegations and see the children within that
20	period of time we then do in addition to whatever
21	additional home visits are required we do contacts
22	with collaterals who may have information which could
23	be school personnel, it could be neighbors, could be
24	medical personnel, it could be you know a therapist
25	or, or other providers who are working with the child

1

2 or working with the family, it could be other 3 relatives, we ... in addition to researching whatever history might exist with regard to that family we 4 collect information from a number of other city 5 databases that might be relevant in terms of that 6 7 family's utilization of other kinds of services, we do a review of any criminal history related to that 8 family, domestic violence history related to that 9 family so the investigative process is very extensive 10 but in terms of your immediate question, how often do 11 12 we visit the home that depends upon the nature of the 13 allegation and how often we need to go to, to make a 14 determination of whether to substantiate the report. 15 If we do substantiate it and we make a decision that 16 continued involvement is necessary and if a... for 17 example, if a, a case moves to court ordered 18 supervision as we talked about we then remain involved with that family and visit at least every 19 two weeks sometimes more frequently than that to make 20 sure that whatever risk issues we're concerned about 21 2.2 are maintained at a level that does not pose a safety 23 concern for children. 24 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: That's when

25 they're substantiated but when they're not my concern

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	is how many visits are really made to a home to… it
3	could be telling of the neglect or the potential for
4	abuse.
5	DAVID HANSELL: Yeah [cross-talk]
6	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ:and that's not a
7	formula that [cross-talk]
8	DAVID HANSELL: It really it depends on
9	the nature and the spirit of the allegations and it
10	depends on, you know there… as I said there are a
11	number of ways in which we have we have to collect
12	information to make the determination of whether we
13	think there's a credible basis for the abuse or
14	neglect to the allegation and some of that certainly
15	comes from observing the home, talking with the
16	parents and meeting the child, some it comes from
17	other sources as well.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Is it safe to say
19	that one visit is not the norm?
20	DAVID HANSELL: Yes.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: So, there are
22	several home visits that are made during a 60-day
23	period?
24	
25	

2 DAVID HANSELL: Yes, usually there are 3 multiple interactions with the family and with the 4 children.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Actual... well 6 interactions is one thing, I'm, I'm referring to 7 unscheduled, unannounced visits to the home.

DAVID HANSELL: Again, I think ... I have to 8 say ... let me talk ... consult with my experts here but I 9 think it ... again it depends because sometimes there 10 are reasons why we want to see the children away from 11 12 the home because we want to make sure that the 13 children are not be coached by parents about what 14 they're saying, we sometimes meet with children in 15 the school or in other settings. In the case of very 16 serious allegations, allegations are for example 17 physical abuse or sexual abuse, we actually have 18 protocols for interviewing children in child advocacy centers and, and places like that where we can really 19 20 do the best possible job of, of getting to the bottom 21 of what may in fact have happened so again there's a 2.2 range of different ways in which we would interact 23 with the children and interact with the family depending upon the nature of the report that we're 24 investigating. 25

## COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I, I guess my 3 real concern is that we're not closing cases prematurely without doing a full investigation and 4 nothing can be more revealing than home inspections 5 where the alleged abuse or neglect is actually taking 6 7 place and to a trained eye several visits to a home and interviewing the family members could be very 8 revealing so I'm just trying to get a better 9 understanding how we investigate, how we make these 10 home visits and at what point do we really feel 11 12 comfortable in determining whether they're... its 13 substantiated or not. 14 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: If you could ... sorry, 15 just say... [cross-talk] 16 NATALIE MARKS: Sorry... [cross-talk] 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...say your name for the record please. 18 NATALIE MARKS: Natalie Marks, Associate 19 20 Commissioner for the Division of Child Protection. So, our standards are the same whether the cases are 21 2.2 unfounded or indicated, we would make at least by

23 weekly visits during the duration of when the case is 24 opened and as the Commissioner stated under certain 25 circumstances it would be much more frequently. For

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	example, if there are children under one or we begin
3	to have serious concerns for the family we will make
4	visits, we also have the ability to send our
5	emergency children services on nights and weekends if
6	we suspect something is going on so, you know again
7	it's, it's based on a holistic assessment of the
8	family.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: So, walk me
10	through this please, so I have a better… [cross-talk]
11	NATALIE MARKS: Sure… [cross-talk]
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ:understanding.
13	Obviously… from the obvious you'll walk in
14	unannounced, you'll make an inspection, you'll see if
15	there is adequate food or nutrition for the children,
16	right, you'll look for I'm sure telling signs of
17	physical abuse… [cross-talk]
18	NATALIE MARKS: Yes [cross-talk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ:which will
20	determine the next step, could you elaborate a little
21	bit?
22	NATALIE MARKS: Sure, so when we come in,
23	you know initially during our required 24/48 hour
24	home visit we must make an assessment of the home so
25	that includes food, it includes adequate bedding, we
I	

2 have to determine who resides in the home, we would 3 ask for identification so that we can conduct proper clearances, we interview children and all family 4 members separately whenever possible and we look for 5 obvious signs of abuse, you know bruises, marks, if 6 there's a lack of food, you know those are things 7 that are, are red flags for us and often times we 8 will ... you know if we see something we make a decision 9 about safety and risk at every single visit so there 10 are times, you know in the initial visit we see 11 12 something that's very serious and we take action or 13 we safety plan with the family depending on the 14 circumstances and then that visit will determine next 15 steps. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: And those next 17 steps are ... please remind me how many visits are 18 normally, bi weekly so 60-day investigation leads to ... [cross-talk] 19 20 NATALIE MARKS: So, at... [cross-talk] COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: ...what ... [cross-21 2.2 talkl 23 NATALIE MARKS: ... the minimum we have to 24 make visits bi weekly while the case is open so every 25 two weeks, we have to see that family. So, if it's

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 2 open for 60 days then we would see the family, you 3 know at least four times, right. COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: And that's in 4 every case, that's the bare minimum? 5 NATALIE MARKS: Bi weekly but we do close 6 7 some cases, not many but some cases less than 60 days so however long it's opened so if it's open for 30 8 days we will see the family at least twice, if it's 9 open for 60 days we would see the family at least 10 four times. 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Cases that are 13 closed does anyone have any idea of the percentage 14 that are re-opened that are found unsubstantiated, a 15 later complaint requires another investigation, the same protocol within 48 hours? 16 17 NATALIE MARKS: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: How... do we have 18 an idea of what percentage of the 60,000 cases ... 19 20 [cross-talk] NATALIE MARKS: I don't have that number, 21 2.2 but we can get it for you. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you. Thank 24 you Chair. 25

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much 3 Council Member Gjonaj. So, I'm going to cover a few topics here. Let's see ... the, the first topic I'd like 4 to talk about a little bit is in following up on 5 Council Member Gjonaj's line of ... line of questions, 6 7 so the ... so, the vast majority of, of cases that are called into SCR and cases that are indicated involve, 8 involve neglect, as you're aware just in the last 9 couple of weeks a report came out identifying over 10 100,000 New York City school students who meet the 11 12 definition of homeless according to McKinney Vento, I 13 think it was 100 and ... over 110,000 and housing 14 instability as I referenced before has become a... an 15 enormous factor in, in New York City much worse than 16 it was ten years ago, much worse, I mean we're on a ... 17 we're on a whole different level these days in terms 18 of housing instability and that means children are doubled up, it means children are just in, in 19 unstable housing environments so rent is in arrears, 20 parents are stressed out or it means families are in 21 2.2 shelter... 23 DAVID HANSELL: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 24 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Families might be in

25

1

shelter due to domestic violence and so that's the

2 HRA DV system, that's also the DHS system, family DHS 3 system where people ... there's no more room in, in the 4 HRA DV system and families are in a... in the DHS system due to domestic violence circumstances. One 5 6 alarming piece of data that I think is for everybody 7 to be concerned about is the, the, the percentage of children that are ... or families that are placed in the 8 DHS system who are placed according to their youngest 9 child's... in the same borough as their youngest 10 child's school of attendance which four or five years 11 12 ago was at 80 ... over 80 percent and has gone down to 13 around 50 percent and hovers around 50 percent to 14 this day. How... when, when we're examining for ... this 15 goes for CPS and this then goes for preventive case 16 workers and supervisors how are we exploring housing 17 instability and other... and other measures of poverty 18 as, as being ... as causing circumstances that might ... that might lead to somebody calling an SCR complaint 19 20 on somebody or an indication or not an indication but a guide ... guidance towards voluntary preventive 21 2.2 services, how... I mean how... and then, then... and then 23 as a follow up to that question what, what are we then doing about it if somebody's in... so, you know if 24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	somebody's in a general preventive program [cross-
3	talk]
4	DAVID HANSELL: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:how are they how
6	are we helping them with housing instability which
7	is, you know really difficult stuff to deal with just
8	because the city doesn't really have a lot of
9	resources to deal with it frankly so… let alone ACS
10	but I mean like the city itself doesn't bring a lot
11	to bear?
12	DAVID HANSELL: No, those are very, very
13	important questions and those are things that we
14	spend a lot of time thinking about both ourselves
15	within ACS but also working very closely with both
16	the DHS and the HRA sides of, of Department of Social
17	Services because we do believe, we know that there
18	are a large number of families who are involved in
19	both the shelter system and in the child welfare
20	system and so we have a responsibility to work as
21	closely as we can to make sure we're addressing all
22	of the issues that those families are dealing with.
23	We… early last year we… and I have talked about this
24	previously as well we entered into a new memorandum
25	of understanding with Department of Homeless Services

1

2 and ACS which enabled us to do a number of things; it enabled us to share data with them more robustly than 3 we had previously so that we can ... we ... again obviously 4 subject to, to legal constraints but making sure that 5 we can look at both the data we have about families 6 7 and the child welfare issues and the information that we collect in the course of our child welfare 8 investigations or our preventive services and the 9 information that, that Department of Homeless 10 Services has to provide holistic services and 11 12 holistic case, case management for those families and 13 it also has allowed us, our staff and DHS case 14 managers mostly through their providers that run the 15 shelters also to work together more closely and to 16 exchange information that enables us to serve 17 families better and them to serve families better. 18 So, for example, we are now getting more real time information from DHS when families are moved from one 19 20 shelter to another because, which does happen for some of the reasons you're saying and, and that 21 2.2 decision is a decision we have no involvement in but 23 when it does happen we need to know because of course it's, it's important for us to remain engaged with 24 that family whether they're under investigation, 25

1

2 whether they're receiving preventive services, 3 wherever they are in our system we want to make sure 4 that we're following them so that they can continue to receive the services that they are receiving 5 through, through ACS and we also want to make sure 6 7 that families who are in DHS temporary housing are still able to receive and eligible for all the same 8 services they would receive in the community. So, 9 under the MOU for example all families in DHS 10 shelters receive counseling about unsafe sleep 11 12 practices which is important to us for all the 13 families we work with and information and access to 14 early learn programs and other early education 15 programs so we're bringing ... making sure that we bring 16 ACS services, proactive services into the shelters 17 and reach families that are there. On the preventive 18 side and I, I think I will also just mention we also have had since last year a team of child protective 19 20 specialists, an ACS team co-located physically at the path so that we can make sure that we're advocating 21 2.2 for our families and we do aggressively advocate for 23 families that we're involved with at the path to do everything we can to support their establishing 24 eligibly for shelter if they need that and to the 25

1

2 extent that we can assist with that based on 3 information we know from our interaction with them we will do that but also to make sure that we get 4 information through the path quickly that we can then 5 convey back to child protection teams around the city 6 7 that are working with those families. In the area of preventive, we actually have been working with and 8 have initiated a couple of pilot programs with DHS 9 and HRA that we are very excited about and I think 10 actually Commissioner Banks and his team are very 11 12 excited about it as well that go right to your, your 13 question about what can we do in, in our preventive 14 work to help families that are dealing with housing 15 instability, there are two of them and, and Dr. 16 Martin can talk in more detail if you'd like to hear about them but we are ... we have worked closely with 17 18 really the HRA side to make sure that through our preventive... and we've piloted this in a part of the 19 20 city, actually we're piloting it in Brooklyn and hoping eventually to scale it across the city but to 21 2.2 work with families who are receiving preventive 23 services to identify housing instability to basically screen for housing instability and make sure that 24 those families are referred to home base so that they 25

2 can get the advantage of home base services to avoid 3 actually becoming homeless and thus entering the 4 shelter system on the frontend and on the backend we are working with families that are receiving 5 preventive services from ACS and are in the 6 7 commercial hotel component of the shelter system to help them get rehoused and get out of the shelter 8 system altogether. I think we all agree that 9 commercial hotels are not a place we want families to 10 be certainly not a place we want the families we're 11 12 working with to be and so we have piloted an 13 initiative with Department of Homeless Services to 14 identify those families who are in commercial hotels 15 who are receiving preventive services from us and to 16 work aggressively with them to help them use the 17 subsidies that are available to them to get rehoused 18 and get out of the commercial hotel part of that system. So, those are a couple of examples of things 19 20 that we're doing that we're very excited about because we do believe that an, an essential part of 21 2.2 our preventive interactions with family's needs to be 23 around housing instability and homelessness where that's a reality that those families are dealing 24 with. 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Why just the hotels,
3	why not tier two shelters and, and remaining cluster
4	sites that still, still exist?
5	DAVID HANSELL: Well I'll let Dr. Martin
6	sort of talk she, she really has been much more
7	engaged in the details of working this out but I
8	think fundamentally it's because we wanted to start
9	this as a pilot on a small scale to see… establish
10	basically proof of concept and then expand both
11	geographically and potentially broad more broadly
12	across the system but let me ask Dr. Martin to speak
13	to that.
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And just one thing
15	before you… before you begin Dr. Martin, that… I
16	can't it's hard to express my frustration trying to
17	find trying to get help somebody who is in shelter
18	either get a voucher or when they get a voucher find
19	an apartment, people I talk to people that have a
20	voucher in hand for two years and can't find an
21	apartment because the vouchers are like… you need a
22	two bedroom apartment, two bedroom voucher is like
23	1,500 dollars and it's just hard to find a two
24	bedroom for 1,500 dollars and so on the other side of
25	the of this committee's work, you know trying to

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	advocate for an increase in the voucher limits but I
3	can just… I mean nothing makes me more frustrated in
4	my entire work than trying to find somebody help
5	somebody find an apartment and, and getting turned
6	away frankly sometimes by home base staff, sometimes
7	by DSS staff so just… I just want to preface this
8	with like… I get really frustrated with this stuff
9	SO
10	DAVID HANSELL: And, and to that point I
11	mean we understand that, it's an experience we hear a
12	lot from families we work with that's obviously a
13	little bit outside of our jurisdiction but [cross-
14	talk]
15	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right [cross-talk]
16	DAVID HANSELL:what we do want to do is
17	make sure that we're helping the families, we're
18	working with… utilize the resources that they do have
19	to get out of the system and sometimes, you know
20	through our prevention services we can help them with
21	that search process so that we can, you know maybe
22	expand on their capacity to use the vouchers to find
23	the, the housing that they need but let me… let Dr.
24	Martin explain that… [cross-talk]
25	JACQUELINE MARTIN: Yeah [cross-talk]
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thanks Dr. Martin, I
3	didn't mean to interrupt.
4	JACQUELINE MARTIN: Thank you so much. I
5	think, you know we definitely share those concerns
6	and so the Division of Prevention Services our
7	commitment is really to ensuring that our prevention
8	providers have the support that they need to help
9	families that they are working with and so we have
10	approached that through the collaboration with both
11	DHS as well as HRA and so to elaborate on the pilots
12	that the Commissioner has been referencing we believe
13	that we are seeing some very positive outcomes for
14	those families. For example, for the families in
15	commercial hotels we were working very closely in
16	collaboration with the DHS providers able to rehouse
17	approximately 31 families in this past year and
18	rehouse them safely so our efforts are really about
19	collaboration, having the family, you know also as a
20	part of this conversation and ensuring that we are
21	getting them using whatever financial resources that
22	they have to get them rehoused. On the… on the HRA
23	side, which I think is also pretty exciting [cross-
24	talk]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah... [cross-talk]

2 JACQUELINE MARTIN: ...we were able to 3 pilot a screening tool I think and although we are in 4 Brooklyn what we were able to do is to actually screen over 2,000 families to see whether or not they 5 were at risk of housing instability and so we think 6 7 that attract... addressing this from both ends if you would, you know our efforts to prevent families from 8 going into shelter as well as our efforts to, you 9 know expedite their discharge. 10

11 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: What about things 12 like just, you know necessities so a family is maybe 13 in shelter, maybe in insecure housing and, and is receiving preventive services if they're in need ... 14 15 say, say they get an apartment but they don't have 16 furniture, they don't have, you know the ... just ... maybe 17 they're not able to buy enough food, I mean honestly 18 SNAP benefits... some people ... I know people that are receiving 22 dollars a month in SNAP benefits, so you 19 20 know not, not nearly enough to, to fill the fridge, how... if someone's in a general preventive slot how, 21 2.2 how are we making sure that those basic needs are 23 met? 24

JACQUELINE MARTIN: Sure, thank you for raising that because this really is the work that we

1

2 do. To ensure that families who have benefits keep 3 those benefits, that there's not an interruption to those benefits, we work very closely with HRA but we 4 also and I'm excited to tell you about another pilot 5 6 that, you know ongoing work, I wouldn't even call 7 that a pilot, we meet with HRA every two months to actually look at families who are involved in child 8 welfare and also receiving benefits through HRA and 9 our goal is to ensure that those benefits are not 10 disrupted and that if there are sanctions against 11 12 those benefits that we are working closely with our 13 providers to ensure that the families cooperate with 14 HRA to get those sanctions lifted or reduced. So, our goal is to ensure that the families have the have 15 16 the, the resources that they need. Prevention 17 agencies can also assist families with accessing 18 these... the, the... as you mentioned. For example, furniture. So, one of the services and the resources 19 20 that we have within ACS is our day program where when either doing an investigation or when prevention 21 2.2 services is involved if we see that a family needs 23 furniture or beds or cribs whatever the needs are, we are able to provide those quite expeditiously so that 24 the families don't have to wait. 25

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I mean there's 3 a... there's a bigger question here which is are families either getting involved in a neglect case 4 or ... either voluntarily or being mandated to 5 preventive services where the root issue is purely 6 7 economic, the root issue is that they... that there's just not ... that there's, there's not enough money, I 8 mean I, I will... I will tell you I mean like I've ... 9 again I... nothing has frustrated me more than working 10 on individual cases and I get told that somebody 11 12 doesn't ... can't receive ... gets 22 dollars a month in, in SNAP benefits and doesn't ... can't receive PA 13 14 because they're receiving 750 dollars a month in 15 disability and that's it, 750 a month total that's 16 their annual... that's their monthly budget to be able 17 to... and so... I mean are ... I guess my, my, my question 18 is like if you take a big step back are we examining this all through the lens of ... this is ... this is about 19 20 poverty and it's about economics more than everything else, it's about ... it's just about ... it's about ... it's 21 2.2 an economic issue not a ... not necessarily a child 23 welfare issue. DAVID HANSELL: Yeah, so this is a, a 24

25 difficult issue for us, I think it's a difficult

2	issue for all child welfare agencies, the difficult
3	issues for us is I mean we know that economic
4	inequality is at the root of many, many of the evils
5	in, in our society… [cross-talk]

6 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 7 DAVID HANSELL: ...so it is a reality we have to acknowledge. What we ... what we try to do, and 8 I think this is what Dr. Martin said and this is not 9 just true in our preventive services this is also 10 equally true within our Division of Child Protection. 11 12 When a family is still in the investigative process 13 even at that stage from the very beginning of our involvement with the family if we see that a parent 14 15 is having ... is struggling to meet the needs of their 16 child because of economic issues, because of lack of 17 tangible things like cribs, beds, refrigerators, food 18 and things like that we will work with them to help maintain benefits as Dr. Martin said, to provide the 19 20 tangible things that they need to help that parent provide the support that their children need and 21 2.2 that, that's a ... from our perspective a critical part 23 of what we do... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

25

24

1

2 DAVID HANSELL: ...and for ... so, for 3 example, you know when we launched this past summer our CPS appreciation campaign publicly that was one 4 5 of the themes that we thought it was very important 6 for us to try to project out to the city which is 7 that a critical part of the work of our child protective specialists is to help parents meet the 8 needs of their children and their families and also 9 get, you know the resources they need whether it's 10 education, whether its child care, whether it's jobs 11 12 that will enable them to support their children. So, 13 throughout the course of our involvement with the 14 family whether it's protective or preventive or even 15 pre through, you know our primary prevention work 16 that is a core part of what we do. Having said that 17 our fundamental responsibility is to protect children 18 and keep children safe and neglect can be as dangerous to children as abuse, medical neglect, not 19 20 treating a child's serious medical issues can be a, a very serious risk to children, not providing for a 21 2.2 child's nutritional needs can be a very serious risk. 23 So, we do have to make sure ultimately that, that children are not being endangered by the situations 24 in which they live but our goal is to do that by 25

2	supporting families, working with parents, helping
3	parents access benefits, services, financial concrete
4	whatever they may be so that they can address
5	whatever economic challenges they are facing and, and
6	proactively care for their children whenever that's
7	possible for them to do that.
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: If [cross-talk]
9	JACQUELINE MARTIN: May I may I just add
10	to what the Commissioner had said, so I just first
11	want to say that you are definitely speaking to my
12	heart, I have been doing this work for 30 years and
13	at the heart of what we see in families engaged with
14	child welfare is exactly that issue, right and so our
15	intent and I don't think that we would ever leave
16	those needs unmet but they have been challenges along
17	the way in terms of how we can be more responsive and
18	I think that we will have an opportunity as we
19	redesign our services to look more at economic
20	mobility opportunities as with the Family Enrichment
21	Centers, I know that that's a core of the service
22	that they will offer to families and our intent is to
23	have that as a value throughout all of our child
24	welfare services and so we definitely want to be
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	innovative and cutting edge in terms of how we
3	respond to just these needs.
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And making sure that
5	that and I appreciate I think that that's exactly
6	I mean you're speaking to my heart but making sure
7	also that that is that that message is getting down
8	to the case… the preventive case worker who may be 26
9	years old and you know not long out of college and is
10	now earning more than they used to earn but, but, but
11	is, is still… maybe doesn't have that breadth of
12	experience necessarily to, to, to put all of those
13	pieces together on their own but so that that is
14	part of the core message is hey, you're walking into
15	a situation where somebody might be catching a
16	neglect case that is purely because of their
17	economics so how do we work through that [cross-
18	talk]
19	JACQUELINE MARTIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You know I think that
21	that's I mean that's I think an, an important
22	message that has to get down to that to the level of
23	that of the of the case worker who's, you know not
24	long out of college and is has a large caseload and
25	you know, and it doesn't want to make a mistake.

2	DAVID HANSELL: Yeah no, I, I couldn't
3	agree with you more and I think that's why the
4	ability that the agencies now have first of all is to
5	hire higher caliber staff, the training requirements
6	that we are now able to put in place because we are
7	now able to fund them for that to make sure that they
8	are getting core training around the way we want them
9	to engage with, with parents and with families all
10	those things we hope will help to move in the
11	direction that you're describing.
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay and I realize
13	that we're, we're it's a little past three so we'll
14	try to… try to wrap up our questions. Is there like
15	a, a preventive, preventive services client bill of
16	rights that, that or is that something that you've
17	considered or kind of you have a right you know if
18	it's a voluntary thing you actually you have a right
19	to say no because I because there's a maybe a
20	concern that maybe they feel like if they don't
21	engage in preventive services that they might that
22	they you know they, they might get reported again or
23	there you know there's a it's, it's really I think
24	traumatic in any circumstance to get a call from ACS,
25	right, ACS shows up on your door I don't care who you

1

2 are you... that is a scary situation and trying to make ... extricate yourself from that situation, you 3 know you don't want to do anything wrong just ... you 4 know you don't want to make a mistake, you don't want 5 to lose your child and is there... is there kind of a 6 7 thought towards, you know kind of affirmative rights for, for people receiving voluntary preventive 8 services? 9

JACQUELINE MARTIN: So, under the, the 10 state regulations all families that we're offering 11 12 prevention services to must be informed of their rights and, and know their rights and so that's a 13 14 part of the application for prevention services but I 15 also want to say that you know to your point about 16 how, you know our case planners and our frontline 17 staff at the prevention agencies interface with 18 families to just really help them to understand the nature of the services that they are participating in 19 20 on two levels. I want to say that our prevention agencies have been steady agencies in the communities 21 2.2 where they are, they are ... they serve families not 23 only through child welfare services but through other services as well and they are also able to engage 24 families or assess families that need prevention 25

1

2 services without an investigation, right, so those are our fewer voluntary prevention families if you 3 would, the walk ins that come in seeking help for 4 other interventions but we also have worked very 5 closely with our prevention agencies through the 6 7 trainings that are offered at the workforce institute so all new prevention workers are expected to 8 participate in at least 11 hours of onboarded 9 10 training and some of the things that they get to ... certainly and, and that training also includes some 11 simulation as I said before so it's sort of the ... this 12 13 is the classroom but then there is the reality of when you are working with a family and so we are able 14 15 to actually begin to work with those case planners or 16 new to child welfare, we certainly want them to be 17 committed to this work and supported in the work so 18 we think that training is one key and certainly for the supervisors not only training but also coaching 19 20 to ensure that they're then also supporting the case planners as they engage in this what we know as is 21 2.2 very difficult and challenging work. 23 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And so... okay, this may be something we can continue to talk about how, 24 how the information is conveyed, you know whether 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	somebody is getting a pamphlet when they first at
3	the first visit or something like that or you know
4	ways to make sure that people know, you know what
5	their… what their rights are… [cross-talk]
6	JACQUELINE MARTIN: Yeah, certainly, we
7	can do that… [cross-talk]
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And then I, I'm going
9	to ask just a few questions about, about data but
10	before that I just want to ask one more about just
11	service provision and then I don't think I'm we're
12	going to have time to really get into the, the
13	various diverse evidence based models that you that,
14	that are… but I'm, I… that's a, a long term subject
15	matter that I would I would be very interested in
16	knowing, you know how we're comparing evidence based
17	to general preventive and how we're determining I
18	mean at a certain point is it worth the investment in
19	general preventive, I know it's, it's less expensive
20	per slot than, than, than evidence based but is it
21	is it worth investing in general preventive when we
22	have so many different models and I'm sure that there
23	are models of evidence based that we actually I mean
24	of… I think we have… like something like 15 different
25	models but I'm sure there are more out there that we

2	could, you know we could bring on if we… you know and
3	I'm assuming over the next several years there will
4	be new models developed. Before we get there and
5	maybe you can speak a little bit to that but before
6	we get there in general preventive do we… do families
7	have access to counseling, you know therapy, licensed
8	clinical social workers that can help them talk
9	through, you know group sessions things that, that
10	you know just kind of this kind of mental health
11	services that, that could probably help with a lot of
12	issues people are dealing with?
13	JACQUELINE MARTIN: So, I think you know
14	our intent in prevention services certainly is to
15	match families with the most you know with the
16	service that's going to meet their needs, right and
17	so some families do need short term evidence informed
18	intervention, very focused on the therapeutic, it all
19	depends on what those needs are so for example, the
20	family might be struggling with, you know raising
21	adolescents or a teenager, right and so we have
22	evidence based models in our system to help a family
23	really navigate that. We also have evidence-based
24	models that are really proven to work very
25	effectively with families who are parenting zero to
ļ	

## COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 2 three-year olds but they've had some traumatic experiences or exposure to, you know traumatic 3 4 experiences. So, for example, child parent 5 psychotherapy is a model that we have in our system 6 and so families come to us with all varying needs and 7 our general prevention program really has been the program that sort of captures the ... most of the 8 families in the net, they're in crisis and certainly 9 10 that may be the reason why they've become known to child welfare or they just have, you know sort of 11 12 case management needs. It may be that they're, you 13 know at risk of losing their housing or their ... 14 they've gotten notification that, you know they, they 15 have an eviction notice for example so all the 16 various types of, of issues. It very well also might 17 be they they're facing ... you know, or they have been 18 exposed or have a domestic violence situation and they, they get referred to general prevention. It is 19 20 our expectation that no matter which program model you're engaging in that all ... safety of the children 21 2.2 becomes paramount so that's a non-negotiable for us 23 which includes the, the assessment... [cross-talk]] CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 24

2	JACQUELINE MARTIN:but also in general
3	prevention they can have and do have access to case,
4	case work counseling to meet their needs. When an
5	agency is unable to provide the level of service that
6	that family needs for example, if they need mental
7	health services then that agency will refer them to a
8	mental health, you know program to meet those needs
9	so part of the work of the, the general prevention
10	agencies is to be… assess what that family needs and
11	then link them to the service that they need or refer
12	them to the service that they need.
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Can somebody can
14	somebody say that they're originally enrolled in a
15	general preventive and it becomes clear that, that
16	they might benefit from an evidence based model and
17	there's a slot available, is that something that
18	people can, can at the through a referral from
19	their case planner, case manager go towards… go to a
20	general preventive?
21	JACQUELINE MARTIN: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Alright [cross-talk]
23	JACQUELINE MARTIN: So [cross-talk]
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I mean go to evidence
25	based, I'm sorry.

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	JACQUELINE MARTIN: From, from general
3	prevention [cross-talk]
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: General to evidence,
5	yeah… [cross-talk]
6	JACQUELINE MARTIN:to evidence based
7	[cross-talk]
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah [cross-talk]
9	JACQUELINE MARTIN: I think the, the
10	they definitely can, the way that we are structured
11	in our continuum we want families to have access to
12	the service that they need and so our the way that
13	we support agencies through that and in working with
14	families would be that they are able to, you know
15	have an elevated risk conference if that's the need
16	with the family at the table and then make a decision
17	about which model might be beneficial but I think you
18	also know that one of the things that we have done at
19	ACS is to bring GABI group attachment based
20	intervention… [cross-talk]
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah [cross-talk]
22	JACQUELINE MARTIN:to specially to
23	serve families who are in our general prevention and
24	our family treatment and rehabilitation program
25	

2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And how is GABI	
3	available, is it does people have to ask for it or	
4	is it made readily available to anybody that, that	
5	[cross-talk]	

JACQUELINE MARTIN: Yes, I think we ... our 6 7 expectation is that the case planners would discuss 8 the service entity with the ... with the family and or during the investigation if CPS can also talk to the 9 family about GABI but generally, we rely on that case 10 planner or CPS worker to be able to talk to the 11 12 family about the service. So, any family in GP that serves children... or that have children zero to three 13 14 years old can be GABI family. 15 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. And how long has GABI been in, in existence in New York City? 16 17 JACQUELINE MARTIN: Well existing in New 18 York City it's been for a long time through Montefiore Hospital... [cross-talk] 19 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay... [cross-talk] JACQUELINE MARTIN: ... in the Bronx and so 21 2.2 what we have done through our contract with 23 Montefiore is to actually take GABI to scale ... [crosstalk] 24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And that's been how
3	long has that been?
4	JACQUELINE MARTIN: Over the last year,
5	yes… [cross-talk]
6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, last year
7	[cross-talk]
8	JACQUELINE MARTIN: Yeah.
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Okay, sorry to
10	keep you guys further I just… a couple more
11	questions. The… in looking at the data provided by
12	ACS if you were to go through each individual
13	evidence based program the, the average length of
14	service varies pretty significantly and I, I was
15	wondering if you could speak to that a little bit so,
16	you know there are some programs that, you know have
17	a an average of multisystemic therapy for child
18	abuse and neglect, 3.7 months is your average length
19	and then, you know parent child psychotherapy is 7.6
20	and then you know and then general preventive is,
21	is, is 9.7 and, and special medical is 23.1 so
22	obviously a huge, huge range why is that and then for
23	those that are discharged after only a couple of
24	months what's the aftercare look like, so what's the
25	follow up look like and, and how do we ensure that

## COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 2 families are able to receive services after they've 3 been... after their case is closed basically? 4 JACQUELINE MARTIN: Sure, so just to address the length of service question that you have ... 5 that you asked, so each of the models determine what 6 7 they are ... and research has shown what the length of service should be for a family that engage and 8 complete the service intervention and so the evidence 9 based models one of the reasons why we invested in 10 them is because the evidence showed that they, you 11 12 know have success at working short term with families 13 and getting them on that path to stability. So, each 14 model has their own length of service determination. 15 With regard to the special medical program that we 16 have the special medical model actually works with 17 families where there is chronic health concerns as 18 well as developmental disabilities and so those services tend to obviously take a longer time 19 20 wrapping services around the families that will meet those, those needs and as we know those issues tend 21 2.2 to be ... you know they're not easily eradicated if at 23 all and one of things that we also try to work through is getting the families offramps to engage in 24 services such as OPWDD, getting their eligibility, 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	you know in, in terms of being eligible and getting
3	them transferred to the services that they need long
4	term.
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And then touch
6	aftercare, after, after the length of [cross-talk]
7	JACQUELINE MARTIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:services is
9	discontinued?
10	JACQUELINE MARTIN: Yes, so all families
11	that engage in prevention services have the ability
12	to return to the pogroms whenever they feel that they
13	need to touch bases with them so all prevention
14	programs no matter what whether they're evidence
15	based or they are general prevention or FTR the
16	families at discharge or once we end prevention
17	services know that they can return. Part of the
18	aftercare of those services is also addressed while
19	the family is receiving services so part of the
20	assessment is once this service intervention ends
21	what might the family need to continue within their
22	community and so wrapping that wrapping services
23	around them that will continue with community based
24	organizations for example helping the families to
25	know what services are there that they might want to
l	

1

2 participate in and of course, you know our FECs that...
3 is where we actually see an opportunity for families
4 to be able to continue those in community sources...
5 resources.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay and then just to 6 7 go ... moving over to data there was this report that, that was put out last year so this was in 2017 but 8 it's still relevant, it's called Data Before Dollars 9 it's the Citizens Budget Commission and, and, and 10 it's a short report it's only four pages ... four or 11 12 five pages but it, it speaks to the need for some more transparency about metrics and how we're 13 14 measuring because ... you know and, and it started off 15 by saying look we have ... we've had a ... you know a, a ... 16 over the last 15 years enormous investment in 17 preventive services, it's, its correlated with a 18 decrease in, in foster enrollment, may not ... and correlation doesn't equal causation so it's, it's, 19 20 it's not necessarily determined ... you know one determines the other but it's hard from, from where 21 2.2 they sit to be able to glean how effective preventive 23 services are based on the publicly available data and, and so that's ... you know they're conclusion in 24 New York City has more than doubled its investment in 25

2 preventive services from 123 million dollars in 3 fiscal 2000 to 256 in fiscal '17 and obviously it's over 300 now, these investments are meant to improve 4 5 outcomes for family and prevent foster care and 6 child, child maltreatment critically important policy 7 goals, additional investments in these services could be contingent on a more thorough understanding of 8 whether these services are achieving the desired 9 outcomes. ACS's performance should be evaluated 10 consistently using data and metrics rather than in 11 12 response to headline, headlines of tragic cases that 13 focus the public... focus the public... that focused the 14 public's attention, you know obviously I know that 15 you agree with that ... [cross-talk] 16 DAVID HANSELL: We agree ... [cross-talk] 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...but I, I want to 18 know how are we looking at data and metrics when it comes to ... I mean how are we evaluating ... are we 19 20 evaluate ... and, and, and is there a ... you know kind of a qualitative approach to that so, you know outcomes ... 21 2.2 what, what kind of outcomes are we looking at when 23 we... when we evaluate these... [cross-talk] DAVID HANSELL: Well... [cross-talk] 24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...programs... [cross-3 talk]

DAVID HANSELL: We do, do agree obviously 4 not just that there should be a more holistic look at 5 our success but also that outcomes are ultimately 6 7 what we're concerned about so you know we're proud of how many families we're serving, we're proud of the, 8 the services they're receiving but the real question 9 is, you know is it helping families be more stable, 10 is it keeping kids more safe and we do have 11 12 quantitative data and I'll speak to ... we're happy to 13 share the actual numbers with the council and we 14 actually have, have shared them publicly as well and 15 then we do very intensive qualitative work with the 16 providers through our quality of assurance system 17 which Dr. Martin can talk about but in terms of 18 really objective outcomes a couple of things that we're proud of and as I say I'll, I'll get you the 19 20 exact numbers but I'll give the general sense that I have and that is we have looked at the likelihood 21 2.2 that a family that has successfully completed 23 preventive services will return with another indicated investigation on abuse or neglect report 24 within in six months versus a family that has not 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	successfully completed services and our data show
3	that the likelihood of another indicated
4	investigation within six months drops by 80 percent
5	if a family and I, I'm not going to remember the
6	exact number, I think it's something like… it's a
7	likelihood of one in 36 as opposed to one in seven
8	families who have successfully did, did I get that
9	right, oh good… [cross-talk]
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: A difference be is
11	that is there a difference between general and
12	evidence based?
13	DAVID HANSELL: That's across the entire
14	portfolio, I don't know if we've broken it down, we
15	probably could do that
16	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That would be
17	interesting… [cross-talk]
18	DAVID HANSELL:but I'm not [cross-
19	talk]
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:to know [cross-
21	talk]
22	DAVID HANSELL:I'm not sure that we
23	have so that to us is a very strong indicator that
24	preventive services are achieving their the core
25	goal of keeping children safe and out of the future
I	

1

2 involvement with the child welfare system. The other 3 thing is with regard to the decline in the foster care census not only has it dropped but one thing 4 that has ... that we looked at that is ... I, I think is 5 illustrative is to compare the decline in the foster 6 7 care caseload in New York City versus the rest of New York State. New York State's foster care caseload as 8 a whole has declined over the last six, eight years 9 basically through this decade but it's declined 10 faster, significantly faster in New York City than it 11 12 has in the rest of the state and we operate under 13 exactly the same rules about when to do a removal, 14 when to indicate a case, everything is the same 15 frankly except the most significant difference is the 16 investment we've made in preventive services so again 17 that's correlation not causation but we also think 18 that's a strong indication that the investment in preventive services as an alternative to removal into 19 20 foster care has made a difference in terms of a much 21 faster decline in foster care census in New York City 2.2 than elsewhere in New York State. So, those are sort 23 of quantitative outcome metrics but as I say our, our quality assurance program which we call COKE UI, 24 involves very detailed work with the agencies on the 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	regular basis and sharing and review of data with
3	them on their performance on a very regular basis as
4	well.
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right and there's a
6	scorecard… [cross-talk]
7	DAVID HANSELL: Yes [cross-talk]
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:that now that's,
9	that's not publicly facing, right?
10	DAVID HANSELL: It is not currently
11	publicly facing, that's right.
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is, is there a is
13	that under consideration being that a lot of these
14	are the same agencies that are doing foster care and
15	that was one of the outcomes of the DOI [cross-talk]
16	DAVID HANSELL: So, because as you know
17	in response to a recommendation from the Department
18	of Investigation a couple of weeks ago, we have
19	decided to make the scorecards publicly available for
20	foster care agencies, we're now considering whether
21	we should do the same thing with regard to preventive
22	services, haven't made a determination yet.
23	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, it's
24	complicated, right, I mean it and you know and you
25	but I think that having something that is something
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	that is understandable, I mean I think honestly for,
3	for, for somebody that's engaging with a with a
4	preventive service agency it, it, it's, it's helpful
5	to know these you know how, how well performing
6	these agencies are, you know compared to their peers.
7	Again, it's I, I, I understand the limitations of,
8	of, of kind of comparing one organization to another
9	and you know the comparing apples to oranges in some
10	instances so I, I can understand the, the challenges
11	with that but [cross-talk]
12	DAVID HANSELL: Yeah [cross-talk]
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:I think having in
14	terms of transparency, I mean transparency is always
15	good I think for all parties
16	DAVID HANSELL: Yeah, no we understand
17	that and, and that's something that we're looking at,
18	we, we are certainly interested in consumer
19	assessment of the quality of our services and we will
20	be doing a survey to that effect as [cross-talk]
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes… [cross-talk]
22	DAVID HANSELL:you know
23	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How do we do that;
24	how do we get feedback from like is there is going
25	back to the kind of the, the… maybe the idea of a

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	client bill of rights, do, do… is there like an
3	ombudsman that where some like a client can say you
4	know what I didn't get the services that I felt like
5	I needed or I didn't get the… you know I just didn't
6	get the engagement that I felt like I needed or I
7	needed furniture and I couldn't get furniture or you
8	know I needed help with a case you know with, with
9	an HRA case and I didn't get help with an HRA case,
10	is there a is there a, a number for them to contact
11	or is there an office or is there somebody that's
12	kind of like within the preventive overall structure
13	that, that acts as an ombudsman?
14	JACQUELINE MARTIN: Uh-huh, yeah.
15	DAVID HANSELL: Well let me being
16	there's a we have an office of advocacy within ACS
17	that fields complaints from clients or anyone else
18	for that matter about any ACS service and then fields
19	it appropriately to the right unit for follow up so…
20	[cross-talk]
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And that's a phone
22	number people can call?
23	DAVID HANSELL: Yeah.
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay
25	
1	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	DAVID HANSELL: Yeah. So, we do have one
3	I don't know if there's one specific to… [cross-talk]
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And, and then
5	[cross-talk]
6	DAVID HANSELL:preventive or not
7	[cross-talk]
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:more broadly how do
9	what's the structure for getting feedback from
10	clients because I think that that would be very
11	helpful to know?
12	DAVID HANSELL: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
13	JACQUELINE MARTIN: Yeah. So, that's a
14	very good question, within the prevention agency they
15	certainly can survey their families both at entry as
16	well as exit but what we found is, is that those
17	surveys are not consistent, you know across the
18	entire continuum. One of the things that we're
19	excited about in the project that we have with
20	designing for opportunities is really helping us to
21	figure out exactly the question that you asked, how
22	do we get at that information, when should we get at
23	that information and then what do we do with it
24	across our continuum so we're pretty excited to be
25	able to look at that entire pathway of a family from
I	

1

2 the minute that they're referred to prevention 3 services until their exit and so part of the interviews that they will be doing with families is 4 going to help us design exactly that. I also think 5 that one of the things that we have been, been doing 6 7 in terms of planning for, you know 20, 2020 and 2021 which is RFP and our, our services having the family 8 voice really included in that work is so critical to 9 us and so we're going to be looking at how we do take 10 advantage of that and opportunities and the survey 11 that the Commissioner mentioned I think that we are 12 13 expected to implement that survey in 2019 I believe 14 and so we are beginning to think through how we will 15 go through, you know the survey. 16 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I mean that's a case 17 in the... [cross-talk] 18 DAVID HANSELL: And of course, in response to the council ... 19 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes ... 21 DAVID HANSELL: Although something we 2.2 want to do, you know on our own as well. 23 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay and that's ... and that's akin to the... to the foster survey that we 24 were ... that we did through legislation? 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	DAVID HANSELL: Yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay and I guess my,
4	my last question is what's the size of the preventive
5	work force in New York City? Sorry, I didn't mean to
6	didn't mean as a gotcha question, it could be a it
7	can be an approximation.
8	DAVID HANSELL: Yeah, we know how many
9	agencies of course, 54 agencies and about 200
10	programs, do we know staff? We may have to get you…
11	we'll get you that, that number.
12	JACQUELINE MARTIN: Yeah, we'll get that
13	number.
14	DAVID HANSELL: Yeah.
15	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay because I think
16	just in terms of like it, it would be helpful to
17	know, you know then how many people are needed to be
18	trained [cross-talk]
19	DAVID HANSELL: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:you know and, and,
21	and have to go through that you know and I'm
22	assuming there's the there's the onboarding but then
23	is there other refreshers, you know annually you have
24	to do a certain… [cross-talk]
25	DAVID HANSELL: Yeah [cross-talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:amount of [cross-
3	talk]
4	DAVID HANSELL: Well the, the mandate we
5	put in place thanks to the funding we got last year
6	is six days a year… [cross-talk]
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Annually [cross-
8	talk]
9	DAVID HANSELL:annually, yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay… [cross-talk]
11	DAVID HANSELL: Yes, six days of annual
12	training, uh-huh.
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay that would be
14	helpful to know, and I think just kind of helps us
15	maybe visualize how, how much of a challenge that
16	that is really of, of getting that level of training
17	done for that size of workforce.
18	DAVID HANSELL: Uh-huh, sure.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah. Okay, one last
20	request is that I would love to come out to one of
21	the Family Enrichment Centers and see the… see what's
22	happening there, I'm very excited [cross-talk]
23	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Love to have you.
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great
25	
	l

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	DAVID HANSELL: Even all, all three if
3	you'd like.
4	LORELEI ATALIE VARGAS: Yep.
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, thank you very
6	much Commissioner and Deputy Commissioners for your
7	time, to your entire staff for preparing for today's
8	hearing and I look forward to, to working with you
9	and engaging with you over the next three years and
10	you know two months to, to really try to advance the
11	level of service as much as we can in the time that
12	we have.
13	DAVID HANSELL: Thank you very much.
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, thank you very
15	much. We'll take a five-minute break and then we have
16	one panel. Okay, hi everybody, welcome back. We
17	appreciate everyone's patience and we have one panel
18	of public testimony, so I will call them up. Jeanette
19	Vega, I know Jeanette has stayed longer than I think
20	that she was urgently able to stay; Tasfia Rahman
21	from Coalition for Asian American Children; oh and
22	I'm sorry, Jeanette is from Rise and Arij Abdul
23	Halim, Arab American Family Support Center; and
24	Deedra Cheatham, who is here representing herself but
25	for a full disclosure it is an employee staff member

# COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE in my office at the Council. Oh yes and I'm sorry we've been joined by Mark Treyger of Brooklyn, thank you Mr. Treyger for attending. Jeanette if you have to leave... JEANETTE VEGA: No... CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Whoever wants to begin just make sure that the light is on, on the microphone and, and state your name for the record please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 JEANETTE VEGA: Good afternoon, my name 12 is Jeanette Vega and I'm the Training Director at 13 Rise. I would like to thank you for the invitation to 14 present to the committee today on behalf of over 200 15 parents. Rise was started in 2005 to give parents a 16 voice facing the child welfare system, we train 17 parents to write and speak about their experiences 18 with the Child Welfare and become advocates for reform. I would like to start with the importance of 19 20 families in New York City losing the fear of reaching 21 out for help. Families of color that live in low-2.2 income communities like the Bronx and Brooklyn have 23 the highest numbers of investigations within the Child Welfare system so, we feel a high threat of 24 having our children removed and our parenting 25

1

2 undermined by authorities who have never lived the 3 same lifestyles as us. Having community resources like the Family Enrichment Center is a great start, 4 these centers were created with the input of those 5 community's members that they serve. In my 6 7 neighborhood in the Bronx Family know it's there and what they actually offer. I know a mother who was 8 having her lights shut off and she was panicking, she 9 told me that she went to the Bronx location by Hunts 10 11 Point and that the staff was welcoming, supportive 12 and very helpful. The mother left with a resolution 13 to her light issue and a prom dress with accessories 14 for her daughter and money management workshop so that she did not repeat the same issue again. These 15 16 are the things that our communities need, what our 17 families need not just emotional support but 18 connections to financial support for families facing an emergency, resources to prevent the child welfare 19 20 system from removing children from their homes, from their families. A simple peer support group will be 21 2.2 beneficial to so many families, parents sometimes 23 just need to be listened to and hear other stories so that we don't feel alone or isolated in our 24 situations. Families should not fear removal when 25

1

2 reaching out for support, but the reality is that 3 parents in New York City rather hide their struggles and have things escalate in their life to a level 4 where there is no room for preventive anymore. An 5 important factor that will play a big role in these 6 7 preventive agencies is having parent advocates at every preventive agency to assist. We at Rise ... we 8 applaud ACS for beginning these new models and the 9 agencies running them and we hope ... we hope that the 10 outreach, community engagement and confidentiality 11 12 that these centers offer can be expanded to many more 13 preventive sites around the city. It's really 14 important that ACS brings down the numbers of 15 families referred to preventive by CPS, that's almost 16 60 percent of families that are being referred. It is 17 also really important that fewer families experience 18 that court supervision that you spoke about. At this point more families are in court for court ordered 19 20 supervision and removals combined than ever before. 21 We do applaud preventative models for high risk 2.2 families but too often investigations are the way 23 that parents get into preventative, kids will be safer and parents will feel a lot safer going to the 24 doctor or even just sending their kids to school if 25

1

2 preventative agencies will do outreach to these 3 places so that families can get resources before a crisis calls CPS to their door. We must have ... we must 4 5 not have these great preventive agencies that we've 6 spoken about today hidden from parents. Parents in my 7 neighborhood do not even know that most of these preventative agencies exist, many schools and 8 hospitals do not either. If preventative agencies 9 would reach out to the schools, hospitals and 10 shelters families will be referred for support rather 11 12 than be reported whenever possible. Lastly, the 13 Mayor's Design Studio has contracted with ACS to look 14 at how to give parents more choice and voice in 15 preventive services and this is great. Parents at 16 Rise already offered insight into what they see 17 happening in preventive. To be honest, parents talked 18 about how preventive was mandated on them and it felt to be almost a foster care light, we hope that ACS 19 20 and the preventative agencies will seek out more parent feedback on service quality. This is important 21 2.2 because parents who have been there are telling other 23 parents that this is helpful. Listen to the community we want to serve, open a door so families are not 24 25 scared and alone in their everyday struggles. The

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	city and ACS should be a resource for parents, don't
3	start a relationship with a sentence of being told
4	that you are an unfit parent. We would like to thank
5	you for listening to a parent's perspective on the
6	importance of preventative and community outreach,
7	thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you Jeanette.
9	So, just a quick question for you that so you are
10	seeing some progress but, you know overall still,
11	still needs there still needs to be work to be
12	done?
13	JEANETTE VEGA: Yeah, I think the biggest
14	thing that we see at Rise is that parents are scared
15	to reach out for help because the reality is that the
16	stigma in New York City is that ACS is going to
17	remove your child if you don't accept the services
18	that they're requesting you to do or that they
19	recommend so it feels like a mandate any way you put
20	it and when most parents are entering preventative
21	its either you're not going to remove my child or I'm
22	going to enter preventative so its not really an
23	option or a choice its really a mandate [cross-talk]
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	JEANETTE VEGA:or like a threat we like
3	to call it because if you don't do A, B and C they
4	will remove your children because they'll say it's a
5	safety concern so again just having parents being
6	able to say I need help and the city being able to
7	help them without the fear of losing our children is
8	very important for people to get out of the struggles
9	that they're in.
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And you and you've
11	been to a Family Enrichment Center?
12	JEANETTE VEGA: Yes, I've actually been
13	to the Hunts Point one and that's when I met one of
14	my neighbors actually, I live in the Bronx myself so
15	the neighbor went in and was excited because she went
16	in for a Con Edison bill and they actually referred
17	her to HRA to get the Con Edison bill and they were
18	also offering prom dresses for her daughter and they
19	wanted to make sure she didn't have the same Con
20	Edison issue again so they referred her to money
21	management so she could start learning to budget and
22	manage her money a little better which is great
23	[cross-talk]
24	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And you would see the
3	benefit in expanding to more neighborhoods, more
4	program?
5	JEANETTE VEGA: Yes, definitely.
6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, thank you.
7	Whoever wants to go next.
8	ARIJ ABDUL-HALIM: Alright, good
9	afternoon everyone. My name is Arij Abdul-Halim, I'm
10	the Senior Director of Preventive Services from the
11	Arab-American Family Support Center. So, I want to
12	thank you, thank you to the New York City Council and
13	the administration for Children Services for
14	collaborating and with community-based organizations
15	like the Arab-American Family Support Center to
16	improve the lives of our most vulnerable neighbors.
17	I'm honored to be here today to testify on behalf of
18	the marginalized and under-resourced immigrant and
19	refugee families throughout New York City. Together
20	we have come far in providing strong support systems
21	and together we will continue to ensure the most
22	effective solutions are available to those that are
23	in need. At the Arab-American Family Support Center,
24	we have strengthened immigrant and refugee families
25	since 1994 by promoting well-being, preventing
I	

1

2 violence, getting families ready to learn, work and succeed and amplifying voices of marginalized 3 populations. We have been strong partners of New York 4 City and ACS through our Preventive Services Program. 5 Our culturally and linguistically competent, trauma 6 7 informed case managers meet with the families throughout the five boroughs, although we have an 8 office in Queens and Brooklyn we still go out to all 9 the five boroughs to prevent and end violence, 10 improve parenting skills, and most importantly we 11 12 want to prevent children from being placed into 13 foster care and really being able to look at the 14 culture and what services the family really needs. We 15 commit to servicing these families which are at 16 various levels of risk, at a high touch point, seeing 17 families from... for nine to 12 months and some even 18 longer. Our staff speaks 16 languages including Arabic, Bangla, Hindi, Nepali, Pashto, Spanish, 19 20 Tibetan and over 30 dialects. The valued of this 21 cultural and linguistic competence cannot be 2.2 overstated. Our city is rich with diversity, as such 23 we cannot utilize a one size fits all approach to any service. We can only drive real, effective and 24 sustainable change when we offer services in a 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	language that makes sense to the clients, when we
3	understand the cultural elements that play and when
4	the service providers appreciate and respect the
5	trauma our clients faced in their home countries at
6	in war, in the migration journeys and living in
7	poverty. So, we urge you to continue your commitment
8	and to prioritizing and increasing the availability
9	of culturally and linguistically competent, trauma
10	informed services throughout the five boroughs. So,
11	the Arab-American Family Support Center stands ready
12	to work with you to help the most vulnerable among us
13	thrive. Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much and
15	thanks for the… [cross-talk]
16	ARIJ ABDUL-HALIM: No problem [cross-
17	talk]
18	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:great work you
19	[cross-talk]
20	ARIJ ABDUL-HALIM: No problem [cross-
21	talk]
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:do and I'm, I'm very
23	proud to represent two of your locations at the Arab
24	at Brooklyn headquarters on Court Street and Khalil
25	Gibran International Academy
ļ	

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 2 ARIJ ABDUL-HALIM: Thank you. 3 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. 4 ARIJ ABDUL-HALIM: You're welcome, thank 5 you. TASFIA RAHMAN: Good afternoon, my name 6 is Tasfia Rahman and I'm the Policy Coordinator for 7 the Coalition for Asian American Children and 8 Families, CACS. We thank you the Chair, Council 9 Member Levin and members of the General Welfare 10 Committee for holding this important hearing on ACS 11 12 Preventive Services. Since 1986, the Coalition for Asian American Children and Families is the nation's 13 only pan-Asian children and family advocacy 14 organization and leads the fight for improved and 15 16 equitable policies, systems, funding and services to 17 support those in need. The Asian Pacific American, 18 APA, population, over 1.3 million people, comprises over 15 percent of New York City and yet the needs of 19 20 the APA community are often overlooked, misunderstood and uncounted. We are constantly fighting the harmful 21 2.2 impacts of the model minority myth, which prevents 23 community's needs from being acknowledged and understood. This means our communities, as well as 24 25 the organizations that serve the community often lack

1

2 resources to provide critical services for those in need. We work with almost 50-member organizations to 3 4 identify and speak out on common challenges and needs 5 across the APA community. APAs hail from South, Southeast, East and Central Asian countries, as well 6 as from the Pacific Islands. In New York City we 7 represent over 40 ethnicities, tens of languages and 8 religions and a multitude of cultures and immigration 9 experiences. On behalf of the almost 50 Asian led and 10 Asian serving community and social service 11 12 organizations that comprise of our membership, I urge 13 the council to ensure APA and immigrant children and 14 families have access to much needed culturally 15 competent and linguistically accessible preventive 16 services. Today we ask you to encourage the Administration for Children Services to expand 17 18 preventive services contracts and various innovative models of prevention, including Family Enrichment 19 20 Centers to be able to serve, serve the diverse and high need APA communities of New York City. Currently 21 2.2 there are no Family Enrichment Centers serving the 23 various APA communities across the city. Additionally, there are no preventive services for a 24 large number of APA children and families apart from 25

# COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 2 the Chinese and Arab-American communities. Many 3 times, we are not accurately counted, and our needs remain misunderstood and unaddressed. Currently, 4 despite our growing population, APA community 5 6 organizations receive approximately one percent of 7 city social service contract dollars. In data collection efforts across the city, including city 8 agencies such as ACS, our communities are many times 9 mistaken in our ethnic or language backgrounds and 10 our needs are, are regulated to the category other. 11 12 This lack of accurately collected data and 13 information the community, coupled with a lack of 14 accessible information and entry points for APA 15 children and families who require resources and 16 services is often erroneously equated to a lack of 17 need or risk within our communities. Currently, there 18 are no culturally competent and language accessible preventive services available for this... for the 19 20 multiple APA communities, including those most disenfranchised and struggling across communities 21 2.2 such as various Southeast and South Asian groups. 23 APAs struggle not only with a lack of culturally competent service provision, but also struggle with a 24 cultural stigma regarding receiving government 25

1

2 services. The recent federal proposals and mandates 3 such as changes in Public Charge serve to alienate and punish immigrants, especially those who are 4 undocumented that access needed services. This has 5 only increased the amount of misunderstanding and 6 7 fear among our communities regarding accessing city services and driven those who require services to 8 remain in isolation. As reported by many of our APA 9 organizational members, language barriers that still 10 exist within the child welfare system in New York 11 12 City include; a mismatch in interpretation services 13 with requested language slash dialogue, lack of quality interpretation and interpreter bias, delays 14 15 in interpretation and poor quality ... sorry, and poor-16 quality translations of written materials. Limited 17 access to culturally competent, linguistically accessible services in child welfare services and 18 other settings make navigating systems impossible for 19 20 individuals struggling with limited English proficiency, cultural barriers and lack of knowledge 21 2.2 or familiarity with existing systems of care. This 23 should be considered part of the definition of high risk that draws the city's funding and attention for 24 innovative preventive programming, yet our APA 25

1

2 immigrant communities and the community organizations 3 serving them have traditionally been left out of the dialogue in this regard. We would like to acknowledge 4 the recent efforts of ACS to invite in and understand 5 some of our APA community needs in prevention. Our 6 7 community has been invited to meet regularly with ACS leadership and we have been involved with the 8 strategic processes of the Child Welfare 20/21 9 initiative in preparing for the upcoming round of 10 RFPs for preventive and other ACS contracts. We hope 11 12 to see reflected in the agency's upcoming RFP for 13 preventive services for various issues and priorities 14 discussed. Still, there remains much to be done and 15 multiple families are languishing without enough data 16 and understanding of community needs and without 17 appropriate preventive services. Improving language 18 access and cultural competence within ACS is crucial to APA communities. All services should be 19 20 linguistically accessible to all access points; phone, mail, website, and in person. City agencies 21 2.2 must go beyond simple translation and interpretation 23 services. Sustained oversight is needed to ensure that strategic policies and investments targeted at a 24 ameliorating the cultural gap between immigrant 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	communities and child welfare systems are
3	implemented. Our recommendations today are as
4	follows: One, encourage ACS to continue its data
5	collection on the diverse and high need APA immigrant
6	communities and to consider and incorporate the
7	various challenges faced by the immigrant communities
8	in the assessment of community risk and need. Under
9	Local Laws 126 and 127, ACS is named as one of the
10	city agencies to provide a demographic survey
11	regarding ethnicity and languages spoken of people
12	involved in the system and a compilation of the data
13	for review. There's not enough clarity at this point
14	around the ethnic and language backgrounds of the APA
15	families already involved ACS services. Additionally,
16	the most recent New York City language access law,
17	Local Law 30, requires the expansion of translation
18	and interpretation services to include Arabic and
19	Urdu among other languages. We ask that there be
20	continued oversight on this process and we ourselves
21	will also be testifying on the implementation of that
22	law tomorrow. Ultimately, better data and
23	consideration of the community's high needs can
24	result in innovations like Family Enrichment Centers
25	and other prevention models to be reached to the APA
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	communities in New York City. Two, encourage the ACS
3	to focus on APA community needs in the upcoming RFP
4	process for preventive services. APA children and
5	families comprise of 15 percent of the city's
6	population and APA-serving preventive agencies have
7	seen a significant increase in demand over their
8	service capacity for in language preventive services.
9	The community organizations that provide culturally
10	competent and language accessible services that are
11	in contract of an ACS are also providing intensive
12	support services to families involved with ACS.
13	Mainstream prevention providers must be held
14	accountable to prioritizing outreach and service to
15	the currently underserved Asian Pacific American
16	ethnicities. For example, there has been a
17	significant increase in the APA population in the
18	Bronx and Staten Island but because of the dearth of
19	Asian led and Asian serving CBOs in these boroughs
20	many clients travel to Manhattan, Brooklyn and Queens
21	for child welfare and youth services that, that are
22	culturally competent and competent and
23	linguistically accessible. Ultimately, ACS must be
24	able to ensure vital preventive services in
25	neighborhoods that have well established and newly

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	emerging APA communities. Thank you for the
3	opportunity to testify. We hope that, that the City
4	Council will continue to be a champion for New York's
5	most vulnerable children and families.
6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much for
7	that testimony and I look forward to working with you
8	maybe we can set up a meeting in the in the near
9	future to talk through how to try to get these
10	recommendations implemented but I look forward to
11	also working with our colleagues at ACS to, to see
12	that these issues are addressed in the upcoming RFPs
13	and, and an expansion of Family Enrichment Centers
14	which I think is a broad consensus that needs to be
15	expanded and expanded to more communities.
16	TASFIA RAHMAN: Okay, thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.
18	DEEDRA CHEATHAM: So, good afternoon
19	ladies and gentlemen, Chair Levin and the… thanks for
20	having me at this ACS Preventive Services Family
21	Enrichment hearing. I am just going to give personal
22	testimony of my experience in dealing with ACS and
23	preventive services coming from a former homeless
24	situation. ACS came into my family's life a year ago
25	and I was currently homeless in a domestic violence
I	

1

2 shelter and there was an allegation, the process that I encountered dealing with the ACS worked initially 3 was called the ... I was interrogated, and I say 4 interrogation because I was pulled away from my 5 children in the shelter in a separate location and 6 7 there with them for two and a half hours specifically focusing on the domestic violence without even 8 knowing what the initial allegation was. They spoke 9 to my children after that having me separate from the 10 children, the ACS worker came into my life at a point 11 12 where I had no HRA benefits, I was receiving 13 disability and we had no means of anything. The 14 shelter wasn't providing anything, and we were just 15 stuck. In talking to the ACS worker, I ... she met with 16 me maybe twice at the shelter in... over the course of 17 I want to say three months, I transitioned out of 18 shelter into my own home eventually and I still had an ACS case, so it carried over into my own home. My ... 19 20 I had to provide my worker with where I was moving, location and things like that. Mind you I still had 21 2.2 nothing, no HRA benefits, no food stamps, no cash 23 assistance except for the disability which was about 700 and... 700 dollars a month. I had no beds, I had no 24 food, my children had bare necessity clothing, we 25

1

2 were shifting from some ... summer to fall so the only 3 clothes we had were summer clothes. I requested that I, I told my ACS worker that I didn't have, you know 4 5 anything to move in my apartment and she told me that I needed to talk to shelter staff, shelter staff 6 7 coordinated with her and provided me with air beds. I'm, I'm saying this to speak to the volume of lack 8 of caring and lack of caring starts at the first 9 interaction. ACS and you know the preventive services 10 team spoke a lot about, you know first steps and how 11 12 they initially interact with people, well the first 13 thing interaction I had was horrible and they 14 neglected my family from the beginning. I want to 15 also speak to the fact that my preventive service 16 worker, she's an amazing individual but she ... there 17 was no resources for us, we had no resources for 18 therapy which according to ACS was mandated, we had no ... she had no resources for us for therapy, no 19 20 resources for us for bare necessities; food, clothing, I mean we had shelter but that was through 21 2.2 hard advocacy and you know my due diligence. 23 Preventive services in my opinion is lacking, they are lacking a lot, they are lacking in resources, 24 they are lacking in funding, they are lacking in care 25

1

25

2 and compassion and again I can only speak from my point of view in it. The pop-up visits were horrible, 3 I wanted at one to point discontinue my services with 4 preventative care and I was told I could not do that 5 even though I had ... I had my kids in therapy and I did 6 7 everything that I had to do that was required of me. Yeah, I don't know what more to say other than 8 something is wrong, and something has got to change 9 with preventative services. There is really no reason 10 that they... ACS can turn a family who has nothing over 11 12 to the care of another provider and there are no 13 resources and you still leave children with nothing 14 and when I say I don't want the care that you guys 15 are offering me because there are no services to be 16 provided except what I'm providing I'm being told no. 17 I was never given a bill of rights like they said, I 18 never got a bill of rights to say when I could terminate services, how, they never connected me with 19 20 counselors so I'm calling them out right now because whet heard its not true at least from my perspective. 21 2.2 So, I just wanted to put that on the record and thank 23 you. 24 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you Miss Cheatham and thank you for, for your courage in

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	speaking before this committee today and for telling
3	your story and, and for bringing an important
4	perspective to this hearing.
5	DEEDRA CHEATHAM: Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you as a quick
7	follow up question, do you see, or do you anticipate
8	that there would be value in having kind of a
9	formalized structure of client feedback so that and
10	then in a way that's kind of a more formal approach
11	than has existed to date?
12	DEEDRA CHEATHAM: I mean they, they do
13	have client feedback but its, it's a form and its
14	pretty much general questions it's the same
15	questions they ask you when you get the services but
16	yeah to answer your question I do think that there
17	needs to be a way for families to voice their opinion
18	especially during the process when they need help,
19	you don't know who to call, you're told to call your
20	ACS worker but then you're transferred from ACS to
21	preventive services so you're bouncing around, no one
22	at the ACS office knows who you're talking about when
23	you saying you need to file a complaint because then
24	they think its atomically ACS, they make you feel
25	like its two separate entities and they're not

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 2 working together even though they overturn care to 3 each other. 4 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And on something like just the basic, you know bedding or clothing and 5 things like that do, do you feel like that was a 6 7 facilitated experience at all or whether that was an easier experience or was that a ... was that ... do you see 8 that as a difficult or ... experience or, or one filled 9 with obstacles? 10 11 DEEDRA CHEATHAM: It was difficult, I had 12 to beg... you, you have to beg for them, we slept on ... I 13 moved in my apartment in October we slept on an air 14 mattress until January and we got ... my daughter got a 15 bed and my son got a crib that broke the next day. 16 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 17 DEEDRA CHEATHAM: And I let the ACS 18 worker know the crib was broke, I let the preventative care person know the crib was broke and 19 I was told to call the people that delivered it. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh. Huh... 2.2 DEEDRA CHEATHAM: Yeah. 23 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I think that there's a lot of work that still needs to be done and, and 24 25 you know as I said the outset, you know you, you do

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
2	work during your normal business hours on our staff
3	and, and so I, I've, I've been in her office… in the…
4	in the office and so I, I look forward to continuing
5	to work with you and, and ACS on, on, on making sure
6	that reforms that are made are translated from, you
7	know the Commissioner, Deputy Commissioner and
8	Assistant Commissioner level and that that that that
9	has a real impact on case manager and supervisor
10	level in the agencies themselves.
11	DEEDRA CHEATHAM: Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much
13	for your testimony, thanks. Okay, does anyone else
14	wish to testify? Seeing none at 4:12 p.m. this
15	hearing is adjourned.
16	[gavel]
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# CERTIFICATE

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



November 11, 2018

Date