| | SUBCOMMITTE | E ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|--------------------------------|--|
| 1 | | CONCESSIONS 1 |
| 2 | CITY COUNCIL | 17.7 |
| 3 | CITY OF NEW YOR | |
| 4 | | X |
| 5 | TRANSCRIPT OF T | 'HE MINUTES |
| 6 | Of th | ne |
| 7 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON CONCESSIONS | PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
| 8 | | X |
| 9 | | September 17, 2018 Start: 3:05 p.m. |
| | | Recess: 4:42 p.m. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | HELD AT: | 250 Broadway-Committee Rm, 16 th , Fl |
| 12 | BEFORE: | BEN KALLOS Chairperson |
| 13 | COUNCIL MEMBER | - |
| 14 | COUNCIL MEMBER | CHAIM M. DEUTSCH |
| 15 | | RUBEN DIAZ, SR. VANESSA L. GIBSON |
| 16 | | ANDY L. KING |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| | | |
| 24 | | |

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS 2 |
| 2 | |
| 3 | APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) |
| 4 | Genevieve Michel |
| 5 | Executive Director of Governmental Affairs for Planning, Land Use and Development at the New |
| 6 | York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development, HPD |
| 7 | Kerry LaBotz |
| 8 | Assistant Commissioner of Preservation Finance at The New York City Department of Housing |
| 9 | Preservation and Development, HPD |
| 10 | Robert Horsford President and COO of APEX Building Group, Inc. |
| 11 | David Dishy |
| 12 | President of Development and Acquisitions and Partner at L and M Development Partners |
| 13 | JaVonna James |
| 14 | Vice President, Real Estate Development at APEX Building Group |
| 15 | Judy Jarina |
| 16 | Connected to Community Organizations to Promote MWBE Hiring |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |

CONCESSIONS

2.

[gavel]

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Quite please.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Good afternoon and welcome to the Subcommittee on Planning, Dispositions and Concessions. I'm Council Member Ben Kallos, the Chair of this Subcommittee on what I like to call affordable housing committee. Today we'll be holding hearings on one project with three applications; the Land Use Items 223, 224, and 225 in Council Member Perkins's district in Manhattan. If you're here to testify please fill out a white speaker slip with the Sergeant At Arms and indicate the, the land use number of the item you wish to testify on, on that slip. If you are watching at home and you would like to submit you don't actually have to show up here in person, you can email us in your testimony, working folks email that testimony...

[off mic dialogue]

documents at council dot NYC dot gov. Before we begin our hearings, we will vote on some items however we

do not have a quorum to do so as you may notice from

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: ...legislative

the strong attendance at this meeting. I hope I do $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

CONCESSIONS

| 1 | |
|---|-----------|
| | 001000000 |

not end up on... John Oliver did a show on government and how many people are involved in certain items.

[off mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: We'll now start our public hearing on Land Use Items 223, 224 and 225. The project is at 95 Lenox Avenue in central Harlem section of Manhattan. The project known as Canaan IV Towers is a Section 8 buildings comprised of 161 apartments on 11 floors with two elevators, it was built in 1981 and it was granted an exemption from taxes under Article V of the private housing finance law as part of a plan and project which will expire in 2021. The project's Section 8 contract is currently set to expire in 2033 which is quite a lot of affordability that is baked into this project already. The first action is Land Use Item 20... 223, which is a modification pursuant to Section 115 of the private housing finance law of the planned project for Canaan IV Towers on block 1824, lot 16 and 155 by removing from the plan and project block 1824, lot 155 currently containing a parking lot and open space. Lot 16, which contains the aforementioned existing building will remain in the plan and project

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

| CONCESSION |
|------------|
| |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

5

until it expires. The second action is for approval contingent on the ...

[off mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Got it, on recording a restrictive conveyance of a conveyance of the parking lot and open space from the current owner to a new owner who will develop with two new buildings at a 40 percent income restricted and 60 percent market rate building containing approximately 288 dwelling units assuming a future rezoning and a 100 percent income restricted ELLA building potentially containing approximately 209 dwelling units if a portion of lot 155 is combined with HPD owned lots 19, 20, and 21. The third action, Land Use Item 225 is the approval of pursuant to, to Section 123, subsection four of the private housing finance law, the voluntary dissolution of the current owner of Manhattan block 1824, lot 16, the existing buildings will remain and approval of a new tax exemption pursuant to Section 577 of Article XI, the private housing finance law. I now open the public hearing on Items... on 95 Lenox, I would like to invite HPD to present its testimony. We have Genevieve Michel and Kerry LaBotz from HPD and I will instruct the

| 1 | CONCESSIONS 6 |
|----|---|
| 2 | Committee Council to swear in the panel. I'm also |
| 3 | hoping to invite the two development partners to the |
| 4 | table and if those folks could please join the table |
| 5 | now. We'll need folks to fill out their speaker |
| 6 | slips, there should be one for the existing develope: |
| 7 | on site and one for the proposed new developer. |
| 8 | COMMITTEE CLERK: Before responding |
| 9 | please make sure the button is pushed on your mic and |
| 10 | state your name. Do you each swear or affirm that the |
| 11 | testimony that you're about to give will be the |
| 12 | truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and |
| 13 | that you will answer all questions truthfully? |
| 14 | KERRY LABOTZ: I do. |
| 15 | ROBERT HORSFORD: I do. |
| 16 | KERRY LABOTZ: Oh, excuse me, Kerry |
| 17 | LaBotz, Assistant Commissioner of Preservation |
| 18 | Finance, HPD. |
| 19 | GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Genevieve Michel, HPD, |
| 20 | also I do. |
| 21 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Robert Horsford, APEX, |
| 22 | I do. |
| 23 | DAVID DISHY: David Dishy, L and M |
| 24 | Development, I do. |

| 1 | CONCESSIONS 7 |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: You may begin, and I |
| 3 | believe you have a digital presentation. Okay, if we |
| 4 | can work with the Sergeant At Arms to get it on both |
| 5 | screens. |
| 6 | [off mic dialogue] |
| 7 | GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Yeah for them, not for |
| 8 | me. |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay. So, HPD will |
| 10 | testify but we will need to get the second screen on |
| 11 | not working. If we can please have IT come. |
| 12 | Government has to do better as should my agency. |
| 13 | DAVID DISHY: Yeah, we have hard copies. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Please begin. |
| 15 | GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Land Use Numbers 223, |
| 16 | 224, 225 consists of three actions related to a |
| 17 | project known as Church Homes, 95 Lenox Avenue in |
| 18 | Manhattan council district nine. The development is a |
| 19 | low-income Section 8, HUD multifamily building |
| 20 | currently owned by an Article V housing redevelopment |
| 21 | company that was approved for disposition on July |
| 22 | 17 th , 1980, calendar number 11 by the Board of |
| 23 | Estimate, along with the plan and project within the |
| 24 | Milbank Frawley Urban Renewal Area as a designated |
| 25 | urban renewal plan site, site 29. The original |

| 2 | approval provided for the construction of an eleven- |
|----|--|
| 3 | story elevator building with 161 units including one |
| 4 | non-revenue generating superintendent's unit, 35 |
| 5 | parking spots and a tax benefit set to expire in |
| 6 | 2021. Currently, the owner is proposing to redevelop |
| 7 | the project area by converting from an Article V |
| 8 | housing company to an Article XI HDFC to facilitate |
| 9 | preservation of the low-income housing unit and |
| 10 | convey land that is currently part of the exemption |
| 11 | area in order to construct new mixed income rental |
| 12 | housing, housing. Land Use Number 225, 20195049 HAM |
| 13 | is related to the original Article V multiple |
| 14 | dwelling unit known as Canaan IV Towers, located |
| 15 | within an exemption area at block 1824, lot 16. The |
| 16 | building comprises of 161 units as mentioned before |
| 17 | and the unit mixture includes 63 one bedroom, 88 two |
| 18 | bedrooms and nine three bedrooms as well as a |
| 19 | superintendent's unit. under federal guidelines for |
| 20 | the housing assistance contract, which is known as a |
| 21 | HAP, the maximum household income at initial |
| 22 | occupancy is 50 percent of AMI. This land use item |
| 23 | seeks to help preserve affordability of the low- |
| 24 | income rental units by allowing the sponsor to |
| 25 | voluntarily dissolve their status as an Article V |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CONCESSIONS

9 housing redevelopment company and convert to an Article XI HDFC requiring them to enter into a 40year regulatory agreement restricting the use of the development to low income rental housing and convey lot 155 for the purpose of providing mixed income affordable housing. The project currently benefits from a HAP contract which expires in August of 2033. The owner will be required to renew and maintain the HAP contract for the entire 40-year regulatory term. Land Use Number 224, C20195047 HAM is related to the conveyance and development of block 1824, lot 155 and Land Use Number 223, C20195048 HAM seeks to delete block 1824, lot 155 formerly known as part of lots 16, 18, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41, 42, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, and 54; sorry and then as part of lot 16 from the original plan, plan and project. The new owners will comply with the restrictive covenant placed on the development parcel requiring that the proposed construction of a minimum of 288 dwelling units estimated to include at least ten percent of the units be affordable to persons at or below 70 percent of area median income, an additional ten percent of

the dwelling units will be affordable to persons at

| 1 | | |
|---|---|--|
| П | | |
| | L | |
| | | |

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | or below 80 percent of AMI, and an additional 20 |
|-----|---|
| 3 | percent of the dwelling units will be affordable to |
| 4 | persons at or below 130 percent of AMI. The unit |
| 5 | types have yet to be determined and funding for the |
| 6 | new project will be through private equity and a |
| 7 | conventional loan. In order to facilitate the |
| 8 | preservation of 95 Lenox and the development of the |
| 9 | mixed income affordable housing project on lot 155, |
| LO | HPD is before the Planning Subcommittee seeking |
| L1 | approval for the housing redevelopment company to |
| L2 | voluntarily dissolve their status as an Article V, |
| L3 | terminate, terminate their current tax exemption, |
| L 4 | convey lot 155 to the new LLC owner, convey lot 16 to |
| L5 | the HDFC owner and enter into an Article XI tax |
| L 6 | exemption for lot 16 for a term of 40 years |
| L7 | coinciding with the regulatory agreement. As part of |
| L 8 | the dissolution of the Article V, two things will |
| L 9 | occur: Lot 16 on which the residential building is |
| 20 | located, will be conveyed to Church Home Rental HDFC |
| 21 | and the property will receive an Article XI tax |
| 22 | exemption for 40 years. The estimated cumulative |
| 23 | value of the Article XI tax exemption on the |
| 24 | preservation project is 17,357,437 dollars with a net |
| 25 | present value of 5,984,549 dollars. On lot 155, on |

| -1 | | |
|-----|---|--|
| - 1 | | |
| | _ | |

CONCESSIONS

| which the parking lot is located, will be conveyed to |
|--|
| a 115 th Street Holdings LLC and subject to a |
| restrictive declaration for a four-year period. HPD |
| is requesting council consider approval of the tax |
| exemption for lot 16 to incentivize the LLC to |
| develop additional affordable housing on a site which |
| will exit use restrictions in 2021. The current tax |
| exemption will be further reduced contingent upon the |
| sponsor delivering new affordable units on lot 155. |
| An estimated, cumulative value of the tax exemption |
| when considering the reduction resulting from the new |
| mixed income construction component is 76,119,333 |
| dollars with a net present value of 18,425,448 |
| dollars. |

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you. We will hold the hearing on Land Use Items 223, 224 and 225. We will now open Land Use Item... [cross-talk]

[off mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And we are taking a vote on Land Use Item 184 which is related to property located at 638, 640 Riverside Drive in the Hamilton Heights neighborhood of Manhattan. HPD seeks approval for a new Article XI tax exemption for a period of 40 years pursuant to section 577 of the

CONCESSIONS

| private nousing finance law to replace a 40-year |
|---|
| Article XI tax exemption previously granted in 2003 |
| so that the term of the new tax exemption coincides |
| with the term of a 30-year construction loan that was |
| closed this year for much needed rehabilitation. The |
| property is an existing partially occupied 12 story |
| building with 133 rental units which includes 99 |
| occupied units and 34 vacant units. The building is |
| anticipated to convert to cooperative ownership in |
| the future. The 34 vacant units would have income |
| restrictions of 120 percent of AMI and rent |
| restrictions of at 100 percent of AMI. Council |
| Member Levine is supportive of this application. Land |
| Use Item 185 is related to property located at 642, |
| 644 Riverside Drive also in Hamilton Heights in |
| Manhattan. HPD seeks approval of a new Article XI tax |
| exemption for a period of 40 years pursuant to |
| Section 577 of the private housing finance law to |
| replace a 40-year Article XI tax exemption granted in |
| 2003 so that the term of the new tax exemption |
| coincides with the term of the permanent financing |
| permanent financing loan that the owner is seeking. |
| The property which recently went through substantial |
| rehabilitation and is an existing partially occupied |

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|----|---|
| 2 | 12 story building with 93 rental units which include |
| 3 | 78 occupied and 15 vacant units. The building is |
| 4 | anticipated to convert to cooperative ownership in |
| 5 | the near future. The 15 vacant units will have income |
| 6 | restrictions of 70 percent of AMI and rent |
| 7 | restrictions at 60 percent of AMI. Council Member |
| 8 | Levine is supporting of the application. I would love |
| 9 | to see deeper levels of affordability in these |
| 10 | buildings, I would love to see things far below the |
| 11 | 100 percent of AMI, with that being said we support |
| 12 | our local members and I will now ask Committee |
| 13 | Council to call the vote. |
| 14 | COMMITTEE CLERK: Vote to approve Land |
| 15 | Use Items 184 and 185, Chair Kallos? |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Aye. |
| 17 | COMMITTEE CLERK: Gibson? |
| 18 | COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [off mic] I vote |
| 19 | aye. |
| 20 | COMMITTEE CLERK: Deutsch? |
| 21 | COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [off mic] Aye. |
| 22 | COMMITTEE CLERK: The Land Use Items are |
| 23 | approved by a vote of three in the affirmative, zero |
| 24 | negative and zero abstentions and referred to the |
| 25 | full Land Use Committee. |

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Is there additional |
| 3 | testimony on Land Use Items 223, 224, and 225 95 |
| 4 | Lenox Avenue? |
| 5 | [off mic dialogue] |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: If you can hand the |
| 7 | hard if, if, if you're yeah, if you could hand the |
| 8 | hand copy hard copies to the Sergeant At Arms and |
| 9 | you may please begin. For folks watching at home you |
| 10 | can go to council dot NYC dot gov, by clicking |
| 11 | legislation you can go to the calendar for today's |
| 12 | hearing on September 17 th , 2018 and you can follow |
| 13 | along to watch and, and read through this |
| 14 | presentation, it is not posted live so if you are |
| 15 | watching a rerun this will be there for you, if not |
| 16 | we will do our best to describe what's in here. |
| 17 | [off mic dialogue] |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Is your microphone |
| 19 | on? |
| 20 | DAVID DISHY: It is on, thank you very |
| 21 | much. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: You may start over |
| 23 | please. |
| 24 | DAVID DISHY: I am David Dishy, I'm a |
| 25 | partner in Presidential Development at L and M |

| CONCESSIONS |
|-------------|

| development Partners, we are partners with the APEX |
|---|
| Group and to my left here is Robert Horsford of APEX |
| and there'll be several other speakers as well. We |
| are I won't go into a lot of detail, you've heard, |
| Genevieve presented the overview of the action. We |
| will walk briefly through the primary actions before |
| us today and then we will speak a little bit about |
| the future rezoning action that was mentioned since |
| we sort of view this as a comprehensive approach and |
| a comprehensive development… redevelopment project. |
| So, I will… just to orient you a bit, the, the |
| project as you may know sometimes referred to as 95 |
| Lenox, Canaan IV, we'll use 95 Lenox today, is |
| located between 114 th and 115 th Street just West of |
| Malcolm X, Lenox in central South Harlem. You can see |
| it there, it takes up approximately three quarters of |
| the block. The building itself I'll go to the next |
| image, you can see in the center there is the |
| existing 95 Lenox building, 161 unit Section 8 and |
| then for future part, I can just orient you a bit, |
| you look to the left of that parking lot and then |
| some open area and that consists of both privately |
| owned parcels as well as HPD parcels which we'll talk |
| about in a moment. So, as I mentioned we sort of view |

| 2 | this as a comprehensive project, it's something we've |
|----|---|
| 3 | been working on as a team for quite a long time and |
| 4 | trying to create something that both preserves the |
| 5 | existing Section 8 building which as you mentioned |
| 6 | the Article V is coming up in 2021 and the Article |
| 7 | and the Section 8 HAP is in 2033 and so there was a |
| 8 | conversation both about that unit about, about the |
| 9 | building itself as well as about the balance of the |
| 10 | land which under the Article V in 2021 ostensibly |
| 11 | would be released and not subject to their |
| 12 | regulatory to a regulatory agreement and so there |
| 13 | was discussions. So, we thought that presented an |
| 14 | opportunity. Similar to work we've done elsewhere, we |
| 15 | were able to both do a preservation on an existing |
| 16 | building and combine that with redevelopment and |
| 17 | affordable housing production on the out parcels |
| 18 | connected to that land so basically we worked with |
| 19 | HPD and, and discussed ways to both preserve, |
| 20 | transfer the Article V to an Article XI and then |
| 21 | create a plan that both was viable, these are private |
| 22 | land owners out in the market, trying to figure out |
| 23 | what to do with their land. To some extent there was |
| 24 | the prospect of that land being lost from regulatory |
| 25 | from a regulatory agreement and so there was |

1

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | conversation on now to retain that, now to keep that |
|----|---|
| 3 | and how to do something that was viable that HPD was |
| 4 | comfortable with. So, we spent a fair amount of time |
| 5 | going through that process and trying to create a, a, |
| 6 | a strategy that produced affordable and also ensures |
| 7 | the wellbeing for the existing tenants as far as the |
| 8 | nature and the quality of the existing project and |
| 9 | also that which would be built around them. So, again |
| 10 | that is our formal action today, out of today |
| 11 | essentially this action will is actually the gateway |
| 12 | into that next phase of work. As you know we're |
| 13 | converting into Article V we're terminating Article |
| 14 | V and replaces it with an Article XI, so I won't go |
| 15 | into detail on that, it's a 40-year regulatory |
| 16 | agreement with an Article XI with a tax exemption |
| 17 | under 57 577. In addition, as part of that we will |
| 18 | be committing to extend the Section 8 HAP contract |
| 19 | for the full duration of the 40-year regulatory |
| 20 | agreement or essentially renew it as it rolls and |
| 21 | then and addition spend approximately 2.75 million |
| 22 | dollars on renovation of both public areas and select |
| 23 | apartments within the existing building. So, that's |
| 24 | the, the bundle of goods that we're that we're doing |
| 25 | and then as in connection with that the parcel then |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CONCESSIONS

becomes viable... the remaining parcel becomes viable for what we'll call through the phase two portion of this. So, I'm going to ask Robert, our partner Robert Horsford from APEX to talk about the scope and nature of the renovation as this is something that their firm will be handling.

ROBERT HORSFORD: Thank you David. So, as David was describing the renovations will involve common areas, we'll be renovating the community room as well as the lobby and common areas to the... to the property. The... in terms of the apartment interiors, apartment renovations as needed we will be replacing kitchen cabinets, appliances and kitchen flooring. In the bathrooms the ... we'll be replacing, replacing the vanities, we'll be doing work on the tubs, replacing tiles and replacing medicine cabinets in the bathrooms. As David mentioned the ... we're expecting that cost to be somewhere in the neighborhood of 2.75 million dollars. In terms of how we're coming up with the scope of work, we're conducting surveys and we're pretty far along in terms of the process of conducting those surveys, we're documenting the existing conditions and we also met with the residents back on July 26th to kind of give them a

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | sense of what, what we're planning on doing and to |
|----|---|
| 3 | express some of the importance of getting, getting |
| 4 | access to the apartments, that was definitely helpful |
| 5 | because we're at this point we're down to maybe |
| 6 | about 15 to 15 to 20 apartments left to do surveys |
| 7 | and to assess the apartment conditions. So, the next |
| 8 | steps from here we're going to looking to finalize |
| 9 | the scope of work and then that scope of work as we |
| 10 | mentioned is going to be apartment renovations, |
| 11 | target, targeted work in the certain common areas and |
| 12 | of course up, upgrading the, the community room. In |
| 13 | during the process we will have will hold meetings |
| 14 | with the… with the residents from time to time to go |
| 15 | over the schedule, we'll meet with them up front and |
| 16 | give them an idea of exactly what's going to happen |
| 17 | and when we'll need to gain access to the units, |
| 18 | we'll try to minimize the disruption to the lives of |
| 19 | the residents so we'll try to minimize the amount of |
| 20 | times we'll need to access those units and we'll make |
| 21 | sure that the… our plan schedule is clearly |
| 22 | communicated to all of the residents. As it relates |
| 23 | to the workers, just to give you a little description |
| 24 | of, you know the, the construction workers and all of |
| 25 | our employees will be paid a living wage. In terms of |

| | , |
|----|---|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | the building services, currently at the building the |
| 3 | are part of 32 BJ and the building is also currently, |
| 4 | it's, it's handicaps accessible and it will continue |
| 5 | to comply with all of the HUD rules and regulations |
| 6 | as it relates to accessibility. From here I'll turn |
| 7 | it over to JaVonna James from the APEX, she's going |
| 8 | to talk a little bit about the affordability and the |
| 9 | development side of the deal. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Was she sworn in? |
| 11 | [off mic dialogue] |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: We, we are… we are |
| 13 | running short on time, I think if you can skip ahead. |
| 14 | DAVID DISHY: We can do a… we'll do a |
| 15 | very quick overview on the new development, two |
| 16 | minutes and is that useful or no? |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: If you can do two |
| 18 | minutes, I'm particularly interested in pages 11 and |
| 19 | 12. |
| 20 | DAVID DISHY: Javonna you want to |
| 21 | JAVONNA JAMES: Sure. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And I will ask |
| 23 | Committee Council to swear you in, please state your |
| | |
| 24 | name for the record. |

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|----|--|
| 2 | JAVONNA JAMES: Sure, JaVonna James, |
| 3 | APEX. |
| 4 | COMMITTEE CLERK: Do you swear or affirm |
| 5 | that the testimony you're about to give will be the |
| 6 | truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and |
| 7 | to answer all questions truthfully? |
| 8 | JAVONNA JAMES: Yeah. So, quickly to run |
| 9 | through the, the AMI levels that we're proposing for |
| 10 | the new buildings; so, for the ELLA building on 115 |
| 11 | Street [cross-talk] |
| | |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Sorry, if, if you |
| 13 | can start on nine, sorry with the financing. |
| 14 | JAVONNA JAMES: Sorry, page nine. |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I care about nine |
| 16 | through 12, it's all about the money. |
| 17 | JAVONNA JAMES: The most important part. |
| 18 | So, the north building on 115 th Street as we |
| 19 | mentioned will be will be approximately 209 units, |
| 20 | we are contemplating ELLA financing for that through |
| 21 | HPD and HDC. The estimated TDC for the projects is 7 |
| 22 | million. For the mixed income building; 60 percent |
| 23 | market, 40 percent affordable. We contemplate 288 |
| 24 | units with no city subsidy, we will use conventional |
| | |

financing and developer equity. TDC is estimated at

24

25

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | 11/ million. So, as we mentioned before we're |
|----|---|
| 3 | committed to living wage rates on the construction |
| 4 | workers and an increased wage will be paid for those |
| 5 | who are not operator or decline health insurance. A |
| 6 | living wage will also be provided for the building |
| 7 | service workers. On page ten we go quickly over the, |
| 8 | the site plan. So, the North building as mentioned |
| 9 | before is on 115 th Street which will have the 209 |
| 10 | units. The mixed building with the 60 percent market, |
| 11 | 40 percent affordable be on 114^{th} Street. And the |
| 12 | total square footage for the lots of 16 and 155 and |
| 13 | the HPD lots is about 88,302 square feet, nine |
| 14 | percent of that is in the HPD lots, 91 is the prior |
| 15 | lots. On page 11 we go over the unit mix detail. So, |
| 16 | for the ELLA, the North building on 115 th Street we |
| 17 | are going from shelter rents, our space rents of up |
| 18 | to 80 percent AMI. So, we have 30 percent at 80 |
| 19 | percent AMI, 30 sorry, yeah, 30 at 80 percent AMI, |
| 20 | 30 percent at 57 percent, ten at 47, ten at 37, ten |
| 21 | at 27 and ten at shelter. For the proposed mixed |
| 22 | income building on 114 th Street we have |
| | |

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: You're fine.

JAVONNA JAMES: Okay, 60 percent at market rate, 20 percent at 130 percent AMI, ten

| SUBCOMMITTEE | ON | PLANNING. | DISPOSITIONS | AND |
|--------------|----|-----------|--------------|-----|

2.2

CONCESSIONS

percent at 75 percent AMI and ten percent at 65

percent AMI. So, overall, we are creating or

preserving 657 units of, of housing, 485 of that will

be affordable or 74 percent. So, 100... as mentioned,

160 units at 95 Lenox will be preserved and 497 units

of new housing will be built on the remaining lots.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you, I will start. So, the city's currently dealing with a lead crisis in our affordable housing with the resignation of republican Housing Chair in a recent report that HPD has affirmably found lead in Section 8 housing, has HPD inspected 95 Lenox for lead?

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: So, this project the Section 8 voucher is here, are funded through a program-based assistance program, I might have messed up that acronym but in these cases where you have Section 8 funding HUD is responsible for the... for those inspections through their, let me get the exact name for you, through their real estate assessment center. So, this is not... these are not Section 8 units where HPD is responsible for the inspections.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Once the property moves into... from Article V into Article XI will HPD

1

CONCESSIONS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

become responsible for these properties in any way, shape or form?

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: My understanding is it stays under HUD, but I will confirm that and let you know.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And then just citywide, somebody's home, they're in a rent regulated apartment or market rate, they're concerned about lead, is HPD the agency that responds to lead concerns in housing?

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: If someone has a concern then they certainly can call, you know 3-1-1 and we can figure out who is responsible for dealing with that concern but the inspection regime I... as my... as I understand it is determined based on where the funding stream comes from so again in some of those cases if, if someone has a Section 8 voucher that goes through HPD, HPD might be responsible for the inspections, if... you know there are cases where HUD is responsible, there are cases where other agencies are responsible so unfortunately it is a, a complicated system but if someone watching at home is concerned then, you know I think we would recommend

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | starting with 3-1-1 and then trying to sort it |
| 3 | through there. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Does APEX |
| 5 | Development currently operate at the site at 95 |
| 6 | Lenox? |
| 7 | JAVONNA JAMES: No. |
| 8 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Who currently |
| 9 | operates the site at 95 Lenox? |
| 10 | DAVID DISHY: The Seavey Organization |
| 11 | who's actually going to stay with the project with |
| 12 | us, he's away today unfortunately, they currently |
| 13 | operate it and this team will be handling the work, |
| 14 | but they will sort of stay in the ownership. |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: In the scope of work |
| 16 | have you done any testing of the units to determine |
| 17 | what your scope of work might actually be? |
| 18 | DAVID DISHY: So, we've done do you |
| 19 | want… we've done… we've done preliminary… we've done |
| 20 | had initial conversations obviously as Robert said, |
| 21 | the first step is to really sort of do a detailed |
| 22 | survey of every apartment, realistically some |
| | 1 |

25 case, some are less recently renovated and so we

23

24

apartments have more, more, more recently renovated

so work is not necessary, we wouldn't do it in that

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|----|---|
| 2 | basically do an apartment by apartment survey |
| 3 | [cross-talk] |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Have you surveyed |
| 5 | any apartments whatsoever yet? |
| 6 | DAVID DISHY: We have… [cross-talk] |
| 7 | ROBERT HORSFORD: We've, we've, we've |
| 8 | surveyed… [cross-talk] |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Come on up. I, I |
| 10 | would just pull an extra chair |
| 11 | DAVID DISHY: Yeah, here, did you want |
| 12 | to… [cross-talk] |
| 13 | ROBERT HORSFORD: We've done visual |
| 14 | surveys for scope of work, we have not done any lead |
| 15 | testing as of yet… [cross-talk] |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I recall the lead |
| 17 | test is literally a marker, is that correct? |
| 18 | ROBERT HORSFORD: The lead test there's |
| 19 | different types of lead tests, some involve x-ray, |
| 20 | others involve testing dust, we have not used markers |
| 21 | for, for the, the actual lead testers as far as I |
| 22 | know have been using either the x-ray or the dust |
| 23 | test. |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Is that planned for |
| 25 | the site? |

| 1 | |
|-----|---|
| - 1 | |
| _ | _ |

25

| _ | CONCESSIONS |
|----|--|
| 2 | ROBERT HORSFORD: I would imagine that's |
| 3 | going to be done, typically when we… when we engage |
| 4 | in a project at some point the lead testing is part |
| 5 | of part of the process so I would imagine that will |
| 6 | be part of our process as well |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: In your testimony |
| 8 | you mentioned being having meetings with tenants in |
| 9 | July, when do you anticipate doing the lead testing? |
| 10 | ROBERT HORSFORD: We have, have to go |
| 11 | back to the development team, we haven't gone throug |
| 12 | that yet. At I would imagine prior to closing that |
| 13 | would occur. |
| 14 | DAVID DISHY: Yeah, I mean [cross-talk] |
| 15 | ROBERT HORSFORD:but maybe you could |
| 16 | expand on that [cross-talk] |
| 17 | DAVID DISHY: I will say and, and again |
| 18 | obviously we… 9cross-talk0 |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: When is closing |
| 20 | scheduled? |
| 21 | DAVID DISHY: Shortly in within the |
| 22 | next eight weeks we would expect. I would say on the |
| 23 | lead testing a couple of things, this building was |
| 24 | built in 1981 so obviously we do a fair amount of |

work around the city and we take lead testing

| 1 | | |
|---|---|--|
| П | | |
| _ | - | |

CONCESSIONS

| extremely seriously and it's sort of a paramount |
|---|
| issue for us, certain buildings are more likely |
| candidates for lead concerns than others, obviously |
| ones that are constructed following a lead change to |
| the law in the late 70's or less likely to be a case, |
| it doesn't diminish the significance and importance |
| of it but it's obviously less of an issue than some |
| of the older Section 8 properties that are 50's and |
| 60's and turned into Section 8 projects so we will do |
| that testing. As you know there's sort of fairly |
| specific protocols about when to do it, how to do it, |
| how disruptive to be in that testing with pros and |
| cons of each approach so we will take that with the |
| utmost seriousness. |

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: With regards to the total project cost, the new building is 78 million dollars according to your testimony, 117 million dollars for the second building and how much is the total project cost on the existing building?

DAVID DISHY: Total project cost and existing building is roughly 17 and a half million dollars.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And how much of that is soft costs?

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|----|---|
| 2 | DAVID DISHY: Well we're doing two |
| 3 | points I'm sorry, the work that's being done, I was |
| 4 | combine we're doing 2.75 million dollars, of that |
| 5 | probably about seven and a half percent is soft |
| 6 | costs, we try to keep the soft costs low in the |
| 7 | renovation work, it's basically just architectural |
| 8 | and scoping and some engineering work. |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Is the number 7.5 |
| 10 | million or is it a different what is the [cross- |
| 11 | talk] |
| 12 | DAVID DISHY: 2.75. You're asking about |
| 13 | the scope of work allocated to the 95 Lenox |
| 14 | renovation? |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Yes. |
| 16 | DAVID DISHY: Yeah, 2.75. |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, that is |
| 18 | different than the term sheets we received, we will |
| 19 | update, and we will follow up with you on that. |
| 20 | [off mic dialogue] |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Will there be any |
| 22 | commercial units [cross-talk] |
| 23 | DAVID DISHY: Well that's [cross-talk] |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:on this site |
| 25 | [cross-talk] |

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|---|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | DAVID DISHY:that's, that's actually I |
| 3 | guess that was when I said I think what you may be |
| 4 | looking at is a combine… combination of the |
| 5 | acquisition and the renovation work. |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Right [cross-talk] |
| 7 | DAVID DISHY: I think that the offering, |
| 8 | right, so that's the 2.75… [cross-talk] |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And so, you're |
| 10 | spending 17 million dollars buying the parking lot |
| 11 | space from the existing developer? |
| 12 | DAVID DISHY: Correct, 16 |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: It's, it's 16 or 17? |
| 14 | DAVID DISHY: 16. |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay and does anyone |
| 16 | know whether or not the existing developer remained |
| 17 | compliant with the zoning code when they no longer |
| 18 | have open space or parking? |
| 19 | DAVID DISHY: Yes, very much so and we |
| 20 | spend a fair we spend a lot of time well there will |
| 21 | be parking as part of the project so the parking |
| 22 | space… so, we are basically obviously been spending a |
| 23 | fair amount of time doing all the rezoning analysis |

and obviously it's all subject to ULURP being

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|-----|---|
| 2 | reviewed and being successful but it will full be |
| 3 | fully compliant. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, so we have an |
| 5 | existing developer who for whatever reason so, when |
| 6 | so, did, did HPD schedule this hearing, did, did HPD |
| 7 | apply to the council to put this specific item on the |
| 8 | calendar for September 17 th ? |
| 9 | DAVID DISHY: I mean to be fair |
| LO | realistically all the actions I'm sorry. |
| L1 | GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I don't understand the |
| L2 | question. |
| L3 | DAVID DISHY: I think the question is |
| L 4 | about the actions [cross-talk] |
| L5 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: What, what I'm just |
| L6 | trying… [cross-talk] |
| L7 | DAVID DISHY: We're stepping into the |
| L8 | ownership… [cross-talk] |
| L9 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:to tell you I'm, |
| 20 | I'm not… [cross-talk] |
| 21 | DAVID DISHY:we're stepping [cross- |
| 22 | talk] |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:that's not it, just |
| 24 | when HPD goes to the city council and says we'd like |
|) 5 | to put something on the calendar do you let the |

CONCESSIONS

| Ш | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Ш | | | |
| Ш | | | |
| Ш | | | |
| | | | |

2.2

development partners know that the item... their item
will be on the calendar?

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, and, and they are confirmed that they are available?

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I'm, I'm, I'm not understanding what you're getting at.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Where is the existing developer for 95 Lenox?

DAVID DISHY: So, the most is we are mean realistically we are stepping in to the meeting anyway and at some level we've been coordinating the usm. [cross-talk]

| 1 | | |
|---|---|--|
| Ц | _ | |

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, in, in eight |
| 3 | weeks the, the developer who is missing today will no |
| 4 | longer have any ownership interest in 95 Lenox? |
| 5 | DAVID DISHY: He will have he will |
| 6 | continue to have ownership in 95 Lenox. |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay [cross-talk] |
| 8 | DAVID DISHY: By the way, he also by the |
| 9 | way he's got partners as well so in theory there |
| 10 | could be not I mean like, like all of our projects |
| 11 | we have multiple partners… [cross-talk] |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, so just moving |
| 13 | forward if HPD can ensure when scheduling that all |
| 14 | partners… all, all parties are available on the |
| 15 | specific date and just for the land use team if we |
| 16 | can make sure that if folks aren't available to |
| 17 | testify on their own projects and we're unable to get |
| | |

19

20

21

talk]

18

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I think... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: ...a different day.

22

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Sir, I think the, the

23

only point I want to raise to that is I think often

any answers then we, we need to schedule for... [cross-

24

when you're looking at real estate ownership you

25

might have a lot of different parties that have

| 1 | | |
|---|---|--|
| П | | |
| _ | _ | |

2.2

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | ownership over a property, we are not necessarily |
|---|---|
| 3 | going to be able to come every time we're being |
| 4 | bringing an Article XI with every party that has an |
| 5 | ownership stake, I think, you know our focus is |
| 6 | trying to figure out how we can preserve and push for |
| 7 | long term affordability, I don't think we necessarily |
| 8 | want to get held up in trying to lock down every |
| 9 | person who has an ownership stake. |

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I'm not asking for the one percent owners, I'm asking for the folks with the controlling interest who can answer simple questions like do you have lead in your building. So, okay... [cross-talk]

DAVID DISHY: Well we can... by the way on his behalf again and he is sorry not be here... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Yeah... [cross-talk]

DAVID DISHY: ...I can affirmatively answer

for you guys, no reason to believe that he's got lead
in his building.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, in terms of the nine... page nine of your sheet, so we've got 17 million dollars in acquisition costs for the lot and the open space, you're doing two and half million

| SUBCOMMITTEE | ON | PLANNING. | DISPOSITIONS | AND |
|--------------|----|-----------|--------------|-----|

| 1 | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |

2.2

CONCESSIONS

| dollars in repairs, those two and hall million |
|--|
| dollars in repair have a 7.5 percent soft cost and |
| then the two new buildings, the, the 100 percent |
| affordable building has a total cost of 78 million |
| dollars for 209 units, the next one has 117 million, |
| under the subsidies I really appreciate you breaking |
| it out, you, you indicate no city subsidy for the |
| mixed income building, so is that lot not going to |
| have any Article XI tax abatement? |

DAVID DISHY: It's a fair... it, it will have an Article XI, there's no capital subsidy is what's meant, meant there, that's a... that's basically an... the Article XI covers both that lot as well as the existing 95 Lenox building.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, so, so the 40 percent that is affordable is being underwritten by the fact that you will not have to pay taxes on the 60 percent of the units that are at market rate?

DAVID DISHY: In some respects, the reality if you were doing this you would probably be under a 421A and it would be... you'd have less affordability so all I'm saying is like the benchmark against what you're making that evaluation is not... it's not a full market thing because you'd otherwise

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|----|---|
| 2 | probably be like ineligible for other existing tax |
| 3 | abatements with different levels of affordability. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, you planned the |
| 5 | new building the mixed income building to be a 421A |
| 6 | building? |
| 7 | DAVID DISHY: No, the new building is |
| 8 | actually has more affordability than would be under |
| 9 | a 421A. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, so in, in |
| 11 | terms of the existing housing so you're on a block, |
| 12 | 95 Lenox has 160 units, they range from I've never |
| 13 | seen zero percent of AMI on anything [cross-talk] |
| 14 | DAVID DISHY: Well as you… [cross-talk] |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:but [cross-talk] |
| 16 | DAVID DISHY:as you know residents in |
| 17 | Section 8's, those… [cross-talk] |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Uh-huh [cross-talk] |
| 19 | DAVID DISHY:I think I believe in this |
| 20 | building over 65 percent of the residents have AMI's. |
| 21 | incomes equivalent to an AMI below 20 percent |
| 22 | [cross-talk] |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay [cross-talk] |
| 24 | DAVID DISHY:so technically the HAP |
| 25 | contract is a 50 percent AMI thing, but the reality |

| -1 | | |
|-----|---|--|
| - 1 | | |
| | _ | |

2.2

CONCESSIONS

is the vast majority of the residents in our... in your... in the city's Section 8s have incomes

significantly below that.

at 30 percent of AMI that means a person is living in a one bedroom, they're one individual so they're making between 21,930 dollars and 29,240 and they are paying rent on a one bedroom between 471 dollars a month and 667 dollars a month and the units could go up to somebody making 36,000 dollars a year with a rent of 865 so given that that's whose currently living there is that representative market rate in the area or is market rate above or below that amount?

DAVID DISHY: Significantly above.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: What is the market rate on $115^{\rm th}$ and Lenox?

DAVID DISHY: Depending on... wear, wearing my developer hat for that answer or the analytic hat I would say it's probably in the high 40's, low 50's for market rate is where... per square foot.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: What does that translate into a per month for a one bedroom?

CONCESSIONS

_

DAVID DISHY: So, that translates in a...

per... in a... roughly 2,500 dollars for a one bed... 24...

25 to 3,000... 2,850 something like that, depending on the sizing of the units.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: You're telling me that this part of central Harlem is more expensive than the Upper East Side for a one bedroom?

DAVID DISHY: It's true... the harms...

there's a... there are certainly a product on the Upper
East Side that would be below that, there is... there
are units in Harlem that are at that level, I would
say it is likely to be... again I'm giving you sort of
the... what a market aggressive one would be, more
realistic right now in the market is probably in the
low 40's and again this is a project... I'm giving you
numbers also that are three to four years out in our
sort of projections but for a current product...
current project at three and a half unit... three and a
half bucks a foot, somewhere in that range so that's
in the low twos...

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I'm... I just hopped on street easy, I, I don't mean to pick on them or promote them more than... [cross-talk]

| | SODCOMMITTEE ON THANNING, DISTOSTITONS AND |
|----|---|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | DAVID DISHY: No, that's good [cross- |
| 3 | talk] |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:anybody else |
| 5 | [cross-talk] |
| 6 | DAVID DISHY:that's good [cross-talk] |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:but I, I am seeing |
| ' | CHAINFENSON NADBOSDut 1, 1 am seeing |
| 8 | I'm seeing several hundred units below I'm seeing |
| 9 | units starting at 1,600 dollars for a one bedroom in |
| 10 | in a luxury… extensively luxury building with |
| 11 | elevators and other fun amenities based on the |
| 12 | pictures that I'm seeing. |
| 13 | DAVID DISHY: Yeah, I mean look again all |
| 14 | markets work, I work in markets where side by side |
| 15 | the rents could be significantly different, I would |
| 16 | say right now that market rent again there is |
| 17 | certainly product in the high ones, in the mid high |
| 18 | ones and there's product in the low twos. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And, and so the, the |
| 20 | building for the extremely the, the ELLA building |
| 21 | which is 100 percent affordable housing I'm very |
| 22 | happy with, you have ten percent homeless set asides, |
| 23 | you have AMIs at 27, 37, 47, 57 but in terms of the |
| | 71 121 0 1112 00 11, 01, 11, 01 000 111 001mb 01 011c |
| 24 | mixed income building [cross-talk] |

DAVID DISHY: Yep... [cross-talk]

25

| 1 | |
|---|--|
| П | |
| _ | |

25

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Your affordable |
|-----|--|
| 3 | housing in the mixed income building starts at 58 |
| 4 | is, is 58,480, 75 percent of AMI, right, up to 80 |
| 5 | percent, 80 percent of AMI is housing for people |
| 6 | earning 58,000 dollars a year which is nearly twice |
| 7 | as much as their neighbors and seems like a, a lot, |
| 8 | that's a that's a rent of 1,509 dollars a month |
| 9 | which is actually the existing market. How, how is |
| LO | that affordable if I can go out and get the housing |
| L1 | for the same price now? |
| L2 | DAVID DISHY: So, I think a couple of |
| L3 | things, I think as I mentioned [cross-talk] |
| L 4 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And, and that's not |
| L5 | to mention that your affordable housing for market |
| L 6 | rate goes up to 165 percent of AMI which is you're |
| L7 | building affordable housing in central Harlem for an |
| L 8 | individual making 120,615 dollars a year. |
| L 9 | DAVID DISHY: So, the answer on that is a |
| 20 | couple of things; one of which is [cross-talk] |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And, and, and |
| 22 | they're going to pay [cross-talk] |
| 23 | DAVID DISHY:there are [cross-talk] |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:2,487 [cross-talk] |
| | |

DAVID DISHY: No, that is... [cross-talk]

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|-----|---|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:dollars a month |
| 3 | [cross-talk] |
| 4 | DAVID DISHY: I hear the question |
| 5 | [cross-talk] |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:which is twice the, |
| 7 | the… almost twice the market in the area which I |
| 8 | think has a gentrifying effect, correct me if I'm |
| 9 | wrong. |
| LO | DAVID DISHY: So, I think look, we |
| L1 | struggle with these issues every day and to some |
| L2 | extent we… you're grappling with a site and we often |
| L3 | do this where you're this is not an H this is |
| L 4 | primarily a privately owned site so you're trying to |
| L5 | combine the viability of getting control of the site |
| L 6 | which honestly could otherwise possibly be developed |
| L7 | as a luxury condo, I'm not… I'm just… [cross-talk] |
| L8 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: A luxury condo would |
| L9 | have lower rates at market. The, the luxury condos |
| 20 | that have just been built on the… like they, they are |
| 21 | being rented for, for less than you're going to rent. |
| 22 | DAVID DISHY: I don't know I'm not sure |
| 23 | the building to which you're referring, I'm just |
| 2.4 | T/m T/m apparing to the weality of the market that |

we are aware of and that we spend every day in but

25

| 4 | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| _ | |

| | CONCESSIONS |
|--|-------------|
| | |

| 2 | leaving that aside for a second, all I'm saying is |
|----|---|
| 3 | part of the logic here is you're combining the |
| 4 | opportunity to both acquire and get control of that |
| 5 | site so you got a private land owner who basically |
| 6 | says I've got the site, I'm waiting till 2021, I want |
| 7 | to see what I can do with it, we say hey listen you |
| 8 | know what instead of that let us go to HPD, let us |
| 9 | figure out something which combines the opportunity |
| 10 | to create the ELLA so you have a standalone all |
| 11 | affordable building and also make something that's |
| 12 | viable enough to make us able to in fact say to them |
| 13 | let's transact because otherwise they would say I'll |
| 14 | go to five other people who have no interest in |
| 15 | talking to HPD or no interest in sort of doing the |
| 16 | more complicated deal and so clearly in that |
| 17 | circumstance you have a range of incomes, right, if |
| 18 | we had the opportunity to do all affordable, we'd do |
| 19 | all affordable all the time, we love to do that but |
| 20 | sometimes it's unrealistic to be able to get the |
| 21 | ability to control the site and redevelop the site |
| 22 | without having the mixed incomes. It is true that on |
| 23 | the 6040 those middle-income units or the moderate- |
| 24 | income units are higher incomes than the lower |
| 25 | portion of the ELLA but the reality is it's a broad |

| 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |

CONCESSIONS

range of incomes combined with the Section 8 and so

we actually think the overall composition there is a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

KERRY LABOTZ: So, I think I've... one of

the benefits I think of this execution is that we are

stabilizing positive income mix. CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And, and, you, you would argue that somebody make... so, so does somebody

housing, city subsidized affordable housing?

making 120,000 dollars a year need affordable

DAVID DISHY: And again, in that circumstance the answer is as a part... the answer... you know this is not a... this is a... this is part of the Article XI that is consistent with what would otherwise be built under a 421A so there's... you have market units, I think... we don't want to spend a lot of time... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, my, my last... for HPD, does, does somebody earning 120,000 dollars a year... like, like members of the city council were making less... making less than 120,000 dollars four years ago so should, should a, a city... should a person making 120,000 dollars a year get city subsidized affordable housing, is that the affordable housing we should be building?

| 1 | |
|---|---|
| П | |
| _ | - |

CONCESSIONS

2 using the tax exemption to deepen the affordability where they would otherwise be able to do a 421A as of 3 right so although I understand you're using subsidy I 4 assume in a broader context meaning city capital 5 dollars versus a tax exemption, we are using subsidy 6 7 via the tax exemption, we are able to get that deeper affordability without tapping into scarce city 8 subsidy to be able to accomplish that. We're also 9 looking 35 years out for the mixed income project so 10 while today we have the ... you know we have our AMI 11 12 assumptions this is also a project that's going to be 13 restricted for 35 years so we're looking at today but 14 we're also going to be looking towards the future as 15 well in the mixed income new construction project.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Will the affordability at 165 percent of AMI that 120,000 be frozen in time for the day in which we pass it or in 30 years when somebody looks at it and says, okay this is the eligibility is it 165 percent of what people are making in New York and Westchester in 2048?

KERRY LABOTZ: We're restricting by AMI.

24

23

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

| 1 | | |
|---|---|--|
| ╛ | L | |

CONCESSIONS

-

O 1

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, is the... does the AMI change over the next 30 years or is it frozen in time to this date?

KERRY LABOTZ: The AMI number stays the same, but AMI is informed by, you know things that are changing and can be responsive to, you know increases and decreases.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And, and has the AMI increased in this area over the past four years?

KERRY LABOTZ: Yes, it has.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So... [cross-talk]

KERRY LABOTZ: As... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: ...even though we're setting it at 120,000 dollars now 30 years from now it could be 140, 150, 180,000 dollars a year?

KERRY LABOTZ: That's also going to track with assuming a certain... like 100 percent AMI is going to be much higher than that as well so that'll still be substantially less than complete market or 100 percent AMIs, we're talking kind of relatively, relative restrictions.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Would, would you agree that at 165 percent of AMI which is... give me one second, the, the rents for 130 percent of AMI is

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND 1 CONCESSIONS 2 2,487 dollars for a one bedroom that that seems to exceed the market rate for the area. 3 4 DAVID DISHY: Just to be clear the, the income is a 156 but the... but the units are restricted 5 6 to 130 so the rents themselves... [cross-talk] 7 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Sure, so... but at... 8 [cross-talk] DAVID DISHY: ...and the income adds... 9 10 [cross-talk] 11 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: ...2,000... [cross-talk] 12 DAVID DISHY: ...eligibility for the renter, I'm just saying just to... just to... we're on 13 14 the same page, it doesn't lessen the issue that 15 you're raising it's just to be clear on that. 16 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, so we just wanted to clarify. So, the building that has 17 18 mixed income will that be getting an Article XI or not? 19 20 DAVID DISHY: Yes. CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, so... [cross-21 2.2 talkl 23 KERRY LABOTZ: Excuse me... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: ...on the restrictive

declaration that was shared with us by... on the

24

25

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|----|---|
| 2 | application we… for tax exemption I'm, I'm being |
| 3 | advised by counsel that you will not you are not |
| 4 | applying for a tax exemption on the mixed building? |
| 5 | KERRY LABOTZ: That's correct. Right now, |
| 6 | it's the restrictive… [cross-talk] |
| 7 | DAVID DISHY: Correct [cross-talk] |
| 8 | KERRY LABOTZ:declaration [cross-talk] |
| 9 | DAVID DISHY:it doesn't yeah, I |
| 10 | apologize, it doesn't exist right now so you're |
| 11 | asking you were asking in the future [cross-talk] |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, you'll be |
| 13 | coming… [cross-talk] |
| 14 | DAVID DISHY: Current action [cross- |
| 15 | talk] |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:back to the council |
| 17 | for lot 16? |
| 18 | KERRY LABOTZ: Lot 155, depend I mean |
| 19 | we're looking at |
| 20 | GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Sorry, I was just I |
| 21 | think the expectation from everyone sitting here is |
| 22 | that there will be a future ULURP action which is |
| 23 | where these, you know potential plans on this mixed |
| 24 | income building would be. What we have set forth, you |
| 25 | know in the event that something happens and that |
| | |

2.2

CONCESSIONS

ULURP action doesn't happen or you know the council says we're not voting on any ULURPs anymore, you know any number of things that could happen in the future, we wanted to ensure that with the restrictive declaration there was some level of guaranteed affordability and so you have, you know that as part of the Article XI application today but then, you know these affordability levels that we're talking about are what the intention is about a future ULURP action that this council will have the opportunity to weigh in on.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay and, and just let the record reflect I just went on street easy, I did a search for central Harlem as the neighborhood and I capped it at 2,500, can't afford to pay more than 2,500 and there's 102 properties right now that are vacant that can be rented and this is extensively at market rate as far as I can tell, there may be rent regulated units in there but that, that is just what I can do as a private citizen so I just would give folks good counsel that the affordable housing should actually be more affordable than the communities that they're being built in versus more

1

CONCESSIONS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

expensive especially given the fact that these are citywide lotteries.

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Sir, can I respond to that quickly?

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Of course.

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I just ... I think ... I, I totally hear you, I think the one thing that we would add there is, you know this is a little bit different than what... you know you're just getting as a renter going into a market rate housing where it is up your landlord to determine what your annual increase is going to be so I think that even if you're looking at rents that might be equivalent to some of the market rate apartments that you're finding on street easy, we are providing tenants with additional protections than they would otherwise get just going out on the market.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: If, if only there weren't such a thing as a preferential rent where a landlord knows that they're going into a market where their rents are higher than the market rate and then offers the tenant a lower rent than the actual legal rents where they can do whatever they want and I've seen preferential rents for units that the city's

2.2

CONCESSIONS

been involved in that are like 5,000 a month and the person's paying far less and then one day they get told they now have to pay 5,000 a month and they're out so I, I see this as similar especially if market rate is 1,600 so you end up charging 1,600 and then all of the sudden you're like oops we want to charge you the full rent now. Will you be offering preferential rents on any of the units in this project?

DAVID DISHY: Generally, not.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Does, does L and M currently offer preferential rents anywhere in the city?

which we would is if we on an occasion acquire an existing building that we might be do... pursuing a preservation strategy on that has in them preferential rents and so we would be sort of inheriting a preferential rent roster and essentially dealing with those tenants, I mean technically those... they're then living there pursuant to preferential rent. The process that you describe is not what we do as part of our business practice, but I understand that it exists.

| -1 | | |
|-----|---|--|
| - 1 | | |
| | _ | |

24

25

| _ | CONCESSIONS |
|-----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: With regards to this |
| 3 | project, so usually on the 45 or so Article XI's tha |
| 4 | have come before this community but the committee |
| 5 | but who's counting the parties applying for the |
| 6 | Article XI's are already vested in the property, is |
| 7 | and M or APEX already have an ownership interest in |
| 8 | this property? |
| 9 | DAVID DISHY: We are contract we |
| LO | basically have control contractually, but we are not |
| L1 | yet partner… we're not yet owners. |
| L2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And what about APEX? |
| L3 | ROBERT HORSFORD: We're not owners yet |
| L 4 | either. |
| L5 | DAVID DISHY: I was saying we together |
| L 6 | there. |
| L7 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay. So, given the |
| L 8 | fact that this… so, I guess for HPD why we are doing |
| L 9 | this ahead of purchasing, in, in business there's |
| 20 | risk and, and so why aren't we waiting until the |
| 21 | parties have acquired the property to take these |
| 22 | steps that usually yes, why, why are we [cross- |
| 23 | talk] |
| | |

DAVID DISHY: I'm, I'm actually happy to answer that since we… [cross-talk]

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|---|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Let, let me let HPD |
| 3 | and then and then I'll expect you to answer as well. |
| 4 | GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I mean I you know I |
| 5 | think that we certainly recognize this is a little |
| 6 | bit unorthodox from the applications we usually come |
| 7 | before you with, but I think that means it's may, |
| 8 | maybe it maybe that's not [cross-talk] |
| 9 | KERRY LABOTZ: Yeah, it's unorthodox but |
| 10 | [cross-talk] |
| 11 | DAVID DISHY: Yes, it's actually not |
| 12 | particular… sorry… [cross-talk] |
| 13 | KERRY LABOTZ: It is not unusual for us |
| 14 | to be to do Article XIs both at the time of |
| 15 | acquisition for a partner that's stepping in and then |
| 16 | also for an existing an existing owner so I would |
| 17 | say… sorry to interrupt… [cross-talk] |
| 18 | GENEVIEVE MICHEL: No, no, please |
| 19 | [cross-talk] |
| 20 | KERRY LABOTZ:you but I would say that |
| 21 | in general that we have… we see both of these |
| 22 | scenarios and that there has to be a certain |
| 23 | assurance and you know and folks can speak to this |
| | |

but there has to be a certain assurance that there

25

are assumptions in place in terms of tax exemptions

1

CONCESSIONS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

to be able to make a financing work and induce a... and induce a project.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: If, if you can help refresh my, my memory as I track these 45 different projects, it... since 2018 how many times has HPD come to this committee with a, a buyer as part of a, a contract for a process versus a... just helping an existing owner?

KERRY LABOTZ: To this committee I would have to go back and check, I can't tell you off the top of my head and I don't want to be inaccurate.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I, I appreciate that if you can help answer that into the record as, as one of the official questions, the record closes in 24 hours... 72 hours and so how does HPD decide when to engage with a prospective purchaser, we, we had a third party transfer happen and there was apparently the party who purchased a property from a, a, a marshal who wanted to work with HPD and my understanding is that HPD declined so why this purchaser but not an existing owner?

KERRY LABOTZ: I guess I would also just to revisit your earlier question, we bring Article

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|----|--|
| 2 | XIs both to, to this committee and to the Finance |
| 3 | Committee… [cross-talk] |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Yes [cross-talk] |
| 5 | KERRY LABOTZ: So, I would say broadly |
| 6 | speaking we are doing Article XIs at moments in time |
| 7 | for both committees, so I do just want to make that |
| 8 | distinction. In terms of… [cross-talk] |
| 9 | DAVID DISHY: Sorry [cross-talk] |
| 10 | KERRY LABOTZ:when we are engaging we |
| 11 | are often engaging at the point where a borrower is |
| 12 | entering into a contract of sale or a future |
| 13 | potential borrower, purchaser is entering into a |
| 14 | contract of sale and working with them to kind of |
| 15 | size the Article XI to… you know engage in the |
| 16 | preservation transaction. |
| 17 | DAVID DISHY: Yeah, which I think is |
| 18 | important, two things let me just also note not |
| 19 | withstanding [cross-talk] |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, so yes, the |
| 21 | question is why, why L and M? |
| 22 | DAVID DISHY: Right, so not withstanding |
| 23 | [cross-talk] |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And how, how did you |
| | |

get... how did you get this great deal?

| 1 | |
|---|---|
| П | |
| _ | - |

23

25

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | DAVID DISHY: So, whether it turns out to |
|----|---|
| 3 | work out I'm not yet sure we'll see. One thing let me |
| 4 | just go back Avery Seavey, our partner in the Seavey |
| 5 | Organization, he is a part of this endeavor so in |
| 6 | term in fact he is even though he's not in sitting |
| 7 | here with us he is a part of the effort and a part of |
| 8 | the application and is obviously fully supportive of |
| 9 | it so from that respect it is not technically the |
| 10 | contract dynamic alone that being said [cross-talk] |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: But there's any |
| 12 | number of affordable housing developers [cross-talk] |
| 13 | DAVID DISHY: Yeah [cross-talk] |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:he could have |
| 15 | [cross-talk] |
| 16 | DAVID DISHY: And we do it well and |
| 17 | that well let me answer the first go on, on the |
| 18 | issue of in any circumstance which you're combining |
| 19 | the pursuit of affordability and preservation with |
| 20 | what I'll call kind of competitive private market |
| 21 | place which is in part what we're dealing with, the |
| 22 | reality is you often have buyers and like for L and M |

this is where... what we spend a lot of time doing, going, trying out different... projects where we can 24

either bring them in or keep them in preservation or...

| -1 | II |
|----|----|
| | |
| | II |
| _ | П |

| Τ | | | | CON | ICESS | SION | IS | | |
|---|----|----|------------|--------|-------|------|-------|-------|-----------|
| 2 | in | an | affordable | status | and | so | we're | often | competing |

| and allerdance seasons and so he is seen compositing |
|---|
| with folks who may not necessarily have those |
| objectives and so to some extent the conversations |
| whether it's us or someone else going to HPD and |
| saying we are trying to be competitive in this |
| private market place of sale over transaction in |
| order to be effective and to do what the, the thing |
| that we want to do together we sort of want to have a |
| conversation about the viability of that prior to its |
| actually occurring and so I think that that's |
| actually an incredibly important part of helping the |
| city preserve and or recapture buildings into |
| preservation which would otherwise wouldn't have if |
| you essentially said to folks good luck, buy it and |
| call us when you're done so I think that's actually |
| strategically a good decision on the on the city's |
| part. For us as I mentioned we spend a fair amount of |
| time, we are partners like partner and colleague |
| who's sitting here, Eben Ellertson is a we've we're |
| partners, we have elements of the existing ownership, |
| they went out to market for a fully competitive sale |
| there was conversations about 2021, you had bidders |
| who had no interest in any of the kinds of things |
| we're talking about today, we crafted this, we |

| 1 |
|---|
| _ |

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | approached APEX with whom we've done other work with |
|----|---|
| 3 | and have been looking at other projects together and |
| 4 | sort of said listen this might be an opportunity, we |
| 5 | had a dialogue with HPD and we said there's both an |
| 6 | existing building and there's the outstanding parcels |
| 7 | we would like to try to figure out a way in lieu of |
| 8 | those parcels being released to do an affordable |
| 9 | execution, there's an HPD out parcel, this seems like |
| 10 | a nice opportunity for us to combine those parcels |
| 11 | into one comprehensive execution mixing both |
| 12 | extremely low you know low and very low |
| 13 | affordability with more sort of moderate |
| 14 | affordability and also some market units in order to |
| 15 | be able to actually do the execution and purchase |
| 16 | this site and so we kind of crafted that package and |
| 17 | we went to the owners and we sort of said listen this |
| 18 | may not be your greatest execution but we think this |
| 19 | would works and has some degree of certainty and to |
| 20 | Avery Seavey's credit the Seavey Organization, |
| 21 | they're an affordable owner, that care about that, |
| 22 | they were very sympathetic to us trying to sort of |
| 23 | create and craft this kind of execution and so we did |
| 24 | that and that's what we've been working on for a long |
| 25 | time and that's what brings us here |

| _ | |
|---|--|
| П | |
| П | |
| | |

CONCESSIONS

| - |) | |
|---|----|--|
| 4 | ۷. | |
| | | |

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: In, in terms of for the existing building, the status quo if we did nothing how long would these units remain affordable?

KERRY LABOTZ: The contract... the HAP... the current HAP contract expires in 2033 however the tenants would be... you know the HAP contract could expire, the tenants in place at that time would have no... would have limited protections, by putting our regulatory agreement in place at this time we're requiring the extension of the HAP contract past 2033 and bringing it out to the 40 years and we're also inducing the affordability on the new construction site.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Why not do that in 2033 versus now?

KERRY LABOTZ: The, the… lot 155 will, will become unregulated in 2021 when the Article V expires so, the… they are contingent, they are linked together in order to preserve 2020… in order to preserve lot 155 we're doing the extension of the Article XI now on lot 16 on the building.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And HPD is transferring land that it has had, how, how long has HPD had that land vacant?

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|-----|---|
| 2 | KERRY LABOTZ: That the transfer of the |
| 3 | land is not part of this action, that would be a |
| 4 | future ULURP. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Got it. Okay, at |
| 6 | APEX you mentioned living wage, is your definition of |
| 7 | living wage a minimum wage or is it a different |
| 8 | number? |
| 9 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Living wage I think is |
| 10 | defined… [cross-talk] |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I need you to speak |
| 12 | into the microphone please. |
| 13 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Living wage we believe |
| 14 | to be above; above minimum wage and I think I think |
| 15 | it's defined in the HPD guidelines or… I'm not sure |
| 16 | but I believe it's… [cross-talk] |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: HPD can [cross- |
| 18 | talk] |
| 19 | ROBERT HORSFORD:defined somewhere |
| 20 | [cross-talk] |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:tell us what the |
| 22 | living wage is for this project? |
| 23 | GENEVIEVE MICHEL: I actually don't know |
| 24 | off the top of my head, but I will certainly get that |
|) F | |

| | debetinified on feminine, biologifiems into |
|----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: It is do you know |
| 3 | if it… so back to APEX do you know if it is more or |
| 4 | less than 15 dollars an hour? |
| 5 | ROBERT HORSFORD: I'm not exactly I, I'm |
| 6 | not sure, I believe… I believe it is… [cross-talk] |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: More, more or |
| 8 | [cross-talk] |
| 9 | ROBERT HORSFORD:more I believe it is |
| 10 | more but I'm not sure on that position [cross-talk] |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: More or more or |
| 12 | less than 10 dollars an hour? |
| 13 | ROBERT HORSFORD: I'm not sure. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay. Will the folks |
| 15 | who are building this building be able to afford it |
| 16 | to live in the community at market rate or afford to |
| 17 | live in the affordable housing that they are |
| 18 | building? |
| 19 | ROBERT HORSFORD: I didn't look at it |
| 20 | from that perspective, I'd have to I'd have to |
| 21 | [cross-talk] |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Do you think it's |
| 23 | important for… [cross-talk] |
| 24 | ROBERT HORSFORD:find out [cross-talk] |

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|-----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:people to be able |
| 3 | to… [cross-talk] |
| 4 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Absolutely, absolutely |
| 5 | I mean in, in this, this development is running the, |
| 6 | the gambit in terms of affordability so I would |
| 7 | imagine they definitely would have an opportunity |
| 8 | given the fact that we have a, a huge range of |
| 9 | affordability and AMI levels in the development, I, |
| LO | I'd be pretty confident in saying that there, there |
| L1 | would be an opportunity for them and it also you |
| L2 | know it also depends on what, what trade they're |
| L3 | doing, I mean at… some of those trades make quite a |
| L 4 | bit so I would think there would be an opportunity |
| L5 | for them to live in the development. |
| L 6 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Are in, in your |
| L7 | reference to trades I think what you're referring to |
| L8 | is that different industries so if for instance a |
| L 9 | person is a carpenter they may have a, a prevailing |

ROBERT HORSFORD: NO, no... [cross-talk] 22 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: ...project has...

don't believe that this... [cross-talk]

wage which might be set by the comptroller, but I

[cross-talk] 24

20

21

23

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|----|---|
| 2 | ROBERT HORSFORD:it's not it's not |
| 3 | prevailing wage, when I say trades I mean, you know |
| 4 | carpenter… [cross-talk] |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Yeah [cross-talk] |
| 6 | ROBERT HORSFORD:you know a plumber |
| 7 | makes more than a carpenter, so their wages would |
| 8 | probably… potentially more than… [cross-talk] |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: You get to determine |
| 10 | how much you pay so I guess the, the question is; I, |
| 11 | I, I understand that on some L and M projects people |
| 12 | carpenters are making \$18.50 an hour so I, I would |
| 13 | not sure if I would consider that to be a, a living |
| 14 | wage for a person who is a skilled trades person. |
| 15 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Well I mean I could |
| 16 | [cross-talk] |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: That's like 33,000 |
| 18 | dollars a year. |
| 19 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Yeah, I could speak |
| 20 | for, for APEX and I would imagine largely for L and M |
| 21 | as well [cross-talk] |
| 22 | DAVID DISHY: Yeah [cross-talk] |
| 23 | ROBERT HORSFORD:we don't hire the |
| 24 | trades directly, we hire subcontractors so… [cross- |
| 25 | + > 1 1 1 |

| | , |
|----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And L and M, correct |
| 3 | me if I'm wrong about that \$18.50 an hour? |
| 4 | DAVID DISHY: I don't… 18.50 is a number |
| 5 | that we actually have an internal policy above |
| 6 | minimum wage that we pay, I don't I'm actually |
| 7 | it's, it's possible that someone in I don't believe |
| 8 | in the carpentry category that that would be the |
| 9 | wage, I think it's higher but I… [cross-talk] |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I, I have a |
| 11 | document… [cross-talk] |
| 12 | DAVID DISHY:but you may be |
| 13 | referencing… [cross-talk] |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:it turns out from |
| 15 | some… [cross-talk] |
| 16 | DAVID DISHY:something so [cross-talk] |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:carpenters about an |
| 18 | EDC project… [cross-talk] |
| 19 | DAVID DISHY: I'm guessing you're looking |
| 20 | at something so I would have to see what that is and |
| 21 | its possible that some category within the quote, |
| 22 | unquote 'carpenters' muse which is otherwise what |
| 23 | I'll call sort of the standard laborer category whic |
| 24 | again L and M internally actually pays higher than |

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | minimum wage toward that category but that may be |
| 3 | what that is. I'd have to happy to do some research |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Sure. So, so you |
| 5 | were saying so you wouldn't do prevailing wage but |
| 6 | what would be the rate for, for a carpenter? |
| 7 | ROBERT HORSFORD: When we said that it's |
| 8 | a living wage and it, it all depends on, you know th |
| 9 | entire process, I mean we haven't begun the process |
| 10 | of selecting subcontractors and figuring out who's |
| 11 | going to work on the job, we hire subcontractor to d |
| 12 | the work and often times it depends on who, who's |
| 13 | working on the project so the… those, those wages |
| 14 | could range tremendously but you know [cross-talk] |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, so [cross-talk] |
| 16 | ROBERT HORSFORD:we're if we're |
| 17 | talking about and we'd, we'd have to go back and, |
| 18 | and look at the definition of a living wage and we |
| 19 | you know our agreement with our subcontractors would |
| 20 | be that they would make an excess of living wage. |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, I'll, I'll just |
| 22 | [cross-talk] |
| 23 | DAVID DISHY: Oh, sorry [cross-talk] |

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: ...put it out there
that if... let's just say you're being generous so the

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CONCESSIONS

2 current minimum wage is 11 dollars an hour, it changes to 15 dollars an hour on December 31st, 2018 3 in New York City only, there are some parts of New 4 5 York State that may never see ... will, will not see it for quite some time, there isn't actually a date set 6 7 yet but at 15 dollars an hour that translates to if they're working 35 hours a week every single week not 8 taking a day off, not being sick just working nonstop 9 that's 27,300 dollars which coordinates to about 35 10 percent of AMI which would mean that you... out of the 11 12 several hundred units that you're planning to do there would only be like 21 units available and I 13 14 imagine you'll have more than 21 people working on 15 your site.

ROBERT HORSFORD: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, so I guess what

I would just say is every single... would, would you

agree that everyone who's doing the work on this site

or... whether its building it, maintaining... or

maintaining it should be able to afford to live in

the neighborhood that they work and, in the building,

they might be building and maintaining?

DAVID DISHY: Yeah, I mean look I... clearly the sentiment you're expressing is a positive

| • | 1 | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| | ı | | |
| _ | | _ | |
| | | | |

24

25

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | one and we support it, right and the idea is you have |
|----|---|
| 3 | a range of folks working on different projects, I |
| 4 | will say and actually one of my colleagues is here |
| 5 | today and we were going to talk about it but I think |
| 6 | we're going to have the time, you know we spend a |
| 7 | fair amount of time as does APEX on local hiring |
| 8 | initiatives, outreach, education, training, we take |
| 9 | it very seriously, it's a lot of what we do, |
| 10 | throughout our projects we are trying to create |
| 11 | exactly the opportunities that I think you're |
| 12 | inferring as being extremely important. It is true |
| 13 | that the range of people working on a given project |
| 14 | are paid a wide a range of incomes and some may be |
| 15 | precisely eligible for some part of that building and |
| 16 | some may not but I will say that we take the issue |
| 17 | seriously and we spend a, as I said a lot of |
| 18 | outreach, coordination in both these you know this |
| 19 | in Harlem and throughout the city and so we would |
| 20 | hope to continue to be able to do that and make it |
| 21 | possible so that as many people work on our project |
| 22 | will be at the income levels that they could afford |
| 23 | either that building and or in the neighborhood. |
| | |

the, the number I'm, I'm hoping to give my, my

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, so just to...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CONCESSIONS

residents, the people living in the city who pay the taxes is just what the all in bill is to the taxpayers to, to move these projects forward, so we've got the, the ... and I want to thank HPD because they've become so much more transparent around this, so we have 17 million... 17.3 million dollars... sorry, 17.4 million dollars on the Article XI total and then they have a net present value of six million and then on lot 155 there's 76.1 million and then they have a net present of 18.4 and I think if you can submit for the record just what your valuation is and what rates you're calculating to get your net present value that would be helpful and then we have another item in this... you are a different council, great, we have another... we have another lot on this which you're coming back on where you will be seeking a tax exemption, do you have an estimate of how much that total tax exemption will be?

KERRY LABOTZ: No.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay and then we have HPD will be providing financing and... in accordance with the ELLA term sheet which is how much per unit on the 209 units?

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|----|---|
| 2 | KERRY LABOTZ: I'm sorry, I can't speak |
| 3 | to the ELLA, I in terms of the term sheet, that's |
| 4 | not part of I, I only say that only because that's |
| 5 | not part of the actions that we're, we're talking |
| 6 | about today… [cross-talk] |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay [cross-talk] |
| 8 | KERRY LABOTZ:so, I'm not prepared to |
| 9 | answer that question. |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And then we [cross- |
| 11 | talk] |
| 12 | KERRY LABOTZ:represent new |
| 13 | construction. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And then there's the |
| 15 | federal low-income housing tax credit, do you have an |
| 16 | estimate of… [cross-talk] |
| 17 | DAVID DISHY: Yes [cross-talk] |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:how much subsidy |
| 19 | you're expecting from the government and federal, |
| 20 | state and city per unit? |
| 21 | DAVID DISHY: I could tell you… again |
| 22 | since it's speculative in terms of when it's going to |
| 23 | occur I'm, I'm wary of given your precision about |
| 24 | numbers I'm wary about being imprecise with you |

[cross-talk]

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|---|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I'm, I'm less |
| 3 | worried about… [cross-talk] |
| 4 | DAVID DISHY: I will… [cross-talk] |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I'm not worried |
| 6 | about precision… [cross-talk] |
| 7 | DAVID DISHY: I will say that [cross- |
| 8 | talk] |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:ballpark is |
| 10 | helpful. |
| 11 | DAVID DISHY: The, the, the capital cost |
| 12 | on the new construction units is in the range of |
| 13 | three to 400,000 dollars and so that's often covered |
| 14 | by a variety of HPD, HDC, tax credits, loans, you |
| 15 | know so that's, that's the general order of magnitude |
| 16 | on the cost of a of an affordable new construction |
| 17 | unit. |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, so you have |
| 19 | so… [cross-talk] |
| 20 | DAVID DISHY: That doesn't that doesn't |
| 21 | include… [cross-talk] |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, the three to |
| 23 | [cross-talk] |
| 24 | DAVID DISHY:the tax [cross-talk] |

| • | 1 | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| | ı | | |
| _ | | _ | |
| | | | |

CONCESSIONS

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: ...400,000 is that,

that's your cost to build it or is that the subsidies all in that you're expecting to get?

DAVID DISHY: I mean basically in the all affordable world as you know you're balancing sources and uses so you're basically dealing with tax credits and subsidies to make those units available and the... and the incomes are such they're generally just covering operating expenses and a portion of the existing subsidy so the answer is both meaning its' both the cost and the amount of... and it's the capital stack making that construction possible.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And at 95 Lenox there's two and a half million dollars of work being done, what, what is the nature of the work that's going to happen there?

DAVID DISHY: So, that's what Robert was talking about before so… [cross-talk]

ROBERT HORSFORD: Sure, and in terms of the work that's going to be happening at the... at 95

Lenox it involves work in the kitchens; kitchen floors, replacing cabinets, the bathrooms replacement of tiles and we're going to be doing upgrades in the

| 1 | CONCESSIONS | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | lobbies and we're also going to be looking to upgrade | | | | |
| 3 | the common areas. | | | | |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And do you have any | | | | |
| 5 | pictures of the different areas and, and what the | | | | |
| 6 | upgrades might look like or… | | | | |
| 7 | DAVID DISHY: I believe we do but we | | | | |
| 8 | don't have them [cross-talk] | | | | |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: If you can submit | | | | |
| LO | that to, to the record and… [cross-talk] | | | | |
| L1 | DAVID DISHY: We can certainly do that. | | | | |
| L2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: My, my other piece | | | | |
| L3 | is just in terms of which, which building is going to | | | | |
| L 4 | be on lot 19, 20 and 21, the ELLA building or the | | | | |
| L5 | mixed income building? | | | | |
| L 6 | DAVID DISHY: The North building is, is | | | | |
| L7 | the ELLA building probably in, in the image you're | | | | |
| L8 | looking at and the South building would be the 6040. | | | | |
| L 9 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: South, South | | | | |
| 20 | building is the ELLA building? | | | | |
| 21 | DAVID DISHY: South is the mixed income | | | | |
| 22 | and the North is the ELLA. | | | | |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, so the… just | | | | |
| 24 | to update your sheet on nine, so you have a | | | | |
|) 5 | nrospostivo Articlo VI and thon in order to build | | | | |

| CONCESSIONS | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| that building you need to build it on lots 19, 20 and | | | | |
| 21, do you… does… so I know that you're not prepared | | | | |
| to testify on that but have you put a valuation on | | | | |
| that property and how much that's worth? | | | | |
| DAVID DISHY: Are you talking about the | | | | |
| H our the, the [cross-talk] | | | | |
| CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: The HPD lots. | | | | |
| DAVID DISHY: We haven't yet, and I think | | | | |
| HPD would do that as a normal course as part of the | | | | |
| disposition. | | | | |
| CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Be careful they | | | | |
| might you might have to pay the millionaires tax, | | | | |
| that is a joke about a different property that we ha | | | | |
| to deal with. The buildings, both buildings will have | | | | |
| amenities? | | | | |
| DAVID DISHY: I hope so, yes. | | | | |
| CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And they will have | | | | |
| the same amenities in the ELLA building and the mixed | | | | |
| income building? | | | | |
| DAVID DISHY: Each building we, we look | | | | |
| at this as we look at this as a comprehensive | | | | |
| development, realistically since we're not even into | | | | |
| ULURP yet, haven't we haven't fully designed the | | | | |
| | | | | |

buildings yet... [cross-talk]

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND 1 CONCESSIONS 2 ROBERT HORSFORD: Right... [cross-talk] 3 DAVID DISHY: ...but I'm happy to show you some of... our buildings are generally extremely well... 4 [cross-talk] 5 6 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, has L and M ever 7 had occasion to have separate entrances based on incomes? 8 9 DAVID DISHY: No. CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Is there a 10 11 commitment not to do that? 12 DAVID DISHY: Yes, I mean let me just be 13 clear the buildings have separate entrances so by definition there will be different levels... [cross-14 15 talk] 16 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Don't get me 17 started... [cross-talk] 18 DAVID DISHY: ...of affordability... [crosstalk] 19 20 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: ...on rich building to a poor building... 21 2.2 DAVID DISHY: Right, no, no, I just want 23 to be... [cross-talk] 24 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: ...I was referring to...

[cross-talk]

| | · · |
|----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | DAVID DISHY:again like I said I |
| 3 | appreciate the… [cross-talk] |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:what's locally |
| 5 | referred to as the poor door. |
| 6 | DAVID DISHY: Right. |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: The other piece is |
| 8 | like will… so, there's going to be a community room |
| 9 | in, in will if there's a community room will they |
| 10 | both have community rooms, will they be of the same |
| 11 | nature in quality, if one of the community rooms has |
| 12 | a fireplace will both have a fireplace so on and so |
| 13 | forth? |
| 14 | DAVID DISHY: We've never built one with |
| 15 | a fireplace but my I'm, I'm happy to commit to you |
| 16 | that if there are two fireplaces there would if |
| 17 | there are fireplaces in one… [cross-talk] |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: But you, you get |
| 19 | what I'm saying, that the amenities [cross-talk] |
| 20 | DAVID DISHY: Yes… no, again… [cross- |
| 21 | talk] |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:will be [cross- |
| 23 | talk] |
| | |

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|----|---|
| 2 | DAVID DISHY:that is our we all the |
| 3 | projects that we do are completely of that spirit so |
| 4 | by short end my answer my simplistic answer is yes. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And tenants will not |
| 6 | be treated differently based on access to that there |
| 7 | won't be a fee for using the spaces or a, a fee for |
| 8 | using any amenities like HM or what have you? |
| 9 | DAVID DISHY: Again, I only want to be |
| LO | careful because there are two buildings and often you |
| L1 | may have separate fitness center we're not yet sure |
| L2 | sometimes we share amenities across two buildings if |
| L3 | they're large part of a larger complex, maybe one |
| L4 | [cross-talk] |
| L5 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay [cross-talk] |
| L6 | DAVID DISHY:has the fitness and one |
| L7 | has the common room so… [cross-talk] |
| L8 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: But you, you're |
| L9 | committed to [cross-talk] |
| 20 | DAVID DISHY:but the answer is [cross- |
| 21 | talk] |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:to some sort of |
| 23 | equity where… [cross-talk] |
| 24 | DAVID DISHY: Correct ves |

| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Because I, I have |
| 3 | buildings which are affordable and the, the gym |
| 4 | membership is 100 dollars a month for people making |
| 5 | 30 percent of AMI and like that's just not happening |
| 6 | for them [cross-talk] |
| 7 | DAVID DISHY: It's not something [cross- |
| 8 | talk] |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So… [cross-talk] |
| 10 | DAVID DISHY:that we do. |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: That's great. In |
| 12 | terms of hiring, in the presentation materials that |
| 13 | you did not present because we were trying to move |
| 14 | quickly you mentioned participation in Hire NYC and |
| 15 | HPD's Build Up Program, you also shared information |
| 16 | about the MWBE makeup I believe of APEX [cross-talk] |
| 17 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Yes [cross-talk] |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:but I do not know |
| 19 | if you shared any makeup on L and M's MWBE [cross- |
| 20 | talk] |
| 21 | DAVID DISHY: Well… [cross-talk] |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:whether you have |
| 23 | that status or whether or not you have executives |
| 24 | that are minorities or women. |

| | depointing on thinking, bistocitions inc |
|-----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | DAVID DISHY: You're asking about the |
| 3 | composition of the L and M staff or you're asking |
| 4 | about our… [cross-talk] |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Yes [cross-talk] |
| 6 | DAVID DISHY:MWBE hiring practices? |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Both. So, I already |
| 8 | have what you said here which is you're committed to |
| 9 | the HPD… [cross-talk] |
| LO | DAVID DISHY: I feel bad because… [cross- |
| L1 | talk] |
| L2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:Build Up Program |
| L3 | [cross-talk] |
| L 4 | DAVID DISHY:I drag jury I dragged |
| L5 | jury here to sort of talk on we have an extensive |
| L6 | internal… we have a six-person staff focused solely |
| L7 | on compliance and MWBE, local hiring, it's very much |
| L8 | a part of in the DNA of the firm but I realize |
| L9 | that's… [cross-talk] |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: If, if you've got |
| 21 | two minutes we'll, we'll take it if you don't mind |
| 22 | saying but I… [cross-talk] |
| 23 | [off mic dialogue] |
| | |

| 1 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I've got it, the, |
|---|--|
| 3 | the big question I like to ask for folks watching at |
| 4 | home is where do they call or email to get a job? |
| 5 | DAVID DISHY: That's an excellent |

6 question.

> JUDY JARINA: So, my name is Judy Jarina [sp?], sorry about this. So, we do extensive work within the community to do some outreach, we... as far as where they call we do have fliers on the site for our partners in buildings skills to help the candidates get ready for hire, candidates call us at the office all the time if they're interested in a particular job and as I mentioned before we try to make connections with community organizations, with the community board to make sure that we're in touch with the beat of the community and whoever is looking for a job is able to reach us one way or another.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: What's the phone number for somebody to call for a job?

JUDY JARINA: Sure, so that's 914-833-3000, that's our L and M phone number and it gets directed directly to our compliance managers.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay and in terms of the MWBE makeup of... is L and M an MWBE?

AND

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | JUDY JARINA: No, we are not. |
| 3 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And what is the MWBE |
| 4 | make… sorry, how, how many executives at L and M are |
| 5 | minorities and women? |
| 6 | DAVID DISHY: I'm only hesitating because |
| 7 | I, I don't actually know the precise answer to that, |
| 8 | I will tell you of the… of… but I'll… founders are |
| 9 | not, I'm not… [cross-talk] |
| 10 | JUDY JARINA: We do have a lot of women |
| 11 | [cross-talk] |
| 12 | DAVID DISHY: We have Debbi let's be |
| 13 | clear, our COO Lisa Gomez I think would fit both of |
| 14 | those criteria, Debbi Kenyon who was previously our |
| 15 | COO and head of development and now runs all of our |
| 16 | philanthropy and is our Chief Legal Officer |
| 17 | JUDY JARINA: Amy Condone, Vice President |
| 18 | of Operations |
| | |

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DAVID DISHY: Amy Condone is our... runs operations of the construction side. We have an extensive... I'm happy to give you the number... right, we're actually... we spend a lot of our effort and energy on this and so I apologize for not giving you more detail but like we do extensive outreach on both diversity issues, gender, ethnic, I mean so that's an

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | important part of what we do so basically… [cross- |
| 3 | talk] |
| 4 | JUDY JARINA: Our entire complaint |
| 5 | [cross-talk] |
| 6 | DAVID DISHY:across the board |
| 7 | JUDY JARINA: Yeah and our entire |
| 8 | compliance… [cross-talk] |
| 9 | DAVID DISHY:compliance [cross-talk] |
| 10 | JUDY JARINA:team is minority women, |
| 11 | it's made up of minority women. |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay and then I, I |
| 13 | may have spoken too soon for APEX, you gave us a |
| 14 | breakdown based on your 34 employees, do you have an |
| 15 | breakdowns on executives, we, we've, we've been |
| 16 | putting an emphasis on it's, it's about the |
| 17 | executives and the leadership and that's both and |
| 18 | the Mayor's done so at nonprofits all over the city |
| 19 | and so we're also focused yeah |
| 20 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Sure, the, the partners |
| 21 | are… Lee and I were both male of color and the… in |
| 22 | terms of our, our senior staff Javonna is head of |
| 23 | development, she's VP of Development, she's a female |
| 24 | and then we have Gary Gardine whose also of color |

and, and he's our Director of construction.

| | <i>'</i> |
|----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And are you an MWBE |
| 3 | or |
| 4 | JUDY JARINA: Yes |
| 5 | ROBERT HORSFORD: We are and we're a city |
| 6 | and state MWBE. |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Great, thank you. |
| 8 | And, and are, are thank you. Okay, you mentioned |
| 9 | that you had you gave health insurance? |
| 10 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Yes. |
| 11 | DAVID DISHY: All of our staff have we |
| 12 | have health insurance in our firm. |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And in terms of the |
| 14 | folks that you will be doing contracting with in |
| 15 | terms of the, the workers, will they have health |
| 16 | insurance? |
| 17 | ROBERT HORSFORD: The… in terms of our |
| 18 | subcontractors some do, some don't, I don't have a |
| 19 | percentage… [cross-talk] |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Do you think it's |
| 21 | important that if somebody gets hurt on your |
| 22 | construction site that they can go to a hospital |
| 23 | without going bankrupt? |
| 24 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Absolutely, I think it |
| 25 | is important. |

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|-----|---|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, so will you |
| 3 | commit to providing health insurance? |
| 4 | ROBERT HORSFORD: That's something I'm |
| 5 | not sure… we haven't looked into and based on our |
| 6 | contracts with our subs we're not sure what those |
| 7 | numbers are, we'd have to look into that. |
| 8 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: In terms of on, on |
| 9 | a construction is one of the most dangerous jobs in |
| LO | the city, I actually wrote a law on this and, and now |
| L1 | get reports on every single construction injury and |
| L2 | these are preventable… [cross-talk] |
| L3 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Yes [cross-talk] |
| L 4 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:in terms of |
| L5 | [cross-talk] |
| L 6 | ROBERT HORSFORD: And we I mean we have |
| L7 | a full safety program in place and we work closely |
| L8 | with all the subs as well as all the workers in terms |
| L 9 | of making sure safety is in place, everyone has |
| 20 | workers compensation both subs [cross-talk] |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: That's a state |
| 22 | requirement… [cross-talk] |
| 23 | ROBERT HORSFORD:and on our [cross- |
| 24 | talk] |

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|---|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: In terms of just |
| 3 | training do folks get training, do they get |
| 4 | certification so if they're going to do carpentry |
| 5 | work do people have carpentry certification and |
| 6 | experience on the job before they're put into place |
| 7 | with other folks who are like they on the job |
| 8 | training? |
| 9 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Right, I mean typically |
| 10 | with all of our subcontractors they have… [cross- |
| 11 | talk] |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And, and forgive me |
| 13 | for… [cross-talk] |
| 14 | ROBERT HORSFORD:they have the [cross- |
| 15 | talk] |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:focusing on |
| 17 | [cross-talk] |
| 18 | ROBERT HORSFORD:experience [cross- |
| 19 | talk] |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:carpentry, there's |
| 21 | so many other trades out there. |
| 22 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Right, they're, they're |
| 23 | all experienced trades that are on our job and most |
| 24 | of the subcontractors if not a majority of the |

subcontractors that we work with we've worked with

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | for a long period of time and they, they all have a |
| 3 | very high… [cross-talk] |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And if, if somebody |
| 5 | gets hurt on the job and goes to the doctor and, and |
| 6 | they just can't get back do they have disability |
| 7 | insurance so that they can not end up being taken |
| 8 | care of by our tax dollars but can [cross-talk] |
| 9 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Yes, disability as |
| 10 | well. |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, you do provide |
| 12 | disability to all of your construction workers? |
| 13 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Yes. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: That is that is |
| 15 | good news so you're not sure about the health |
| 16 | insurance but definitely disability to your [cross- |
| 17 | talk] |
| 18 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Workers comp and |
| 19 | disability, correct. |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: That's, that's |
| 21 | better than most and then do you have any folks |
| 22 | who've worked with you on more than one and, and |
| 23 | also L and M I, I need these answers from you too… |

24 [cross-talk]

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|---|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | DAVID DISHY: Yes, as to what Robert |
| 3 | said, I mean we've got some subs where, where you |
| 4 | don't have direct access to that sub, where we |
| 5 | require them or sort of depending on the nature of |
| 6 | the job but… [cross-talk] |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, so you can't |
| 8 | speak to health insurance, but you do know that every |
| 9 | single construction worker on your sites will get |
| 10 | disability of anything happens not just workers comp |
| 11 | but disability? |
| 12 | DAVID DISHY: I believe the answer |
| 13 | [cross-talk] |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Not, not so and I'm |
| 15 | not talking social security disability, I'm talking |
| 16 | about a separate disability insurance. |
| 17 | DAVID DISHY: I'm virtually positive the |
| 18 | answer is yes, I will I'm, I'm happy to check and |
| 19 | we'll confirm within the… [cross-talk] |
| 20 | JUDY JARINA: Yeah, so our insurance |
| 21 | department does extensive reviews of each individual. |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay and on from |
| 23 | APEX I see you nodding but I need you… [cross-talk] |

ROBERT HORSFORD: Workers comp and

25 disability, correct.

| -1 | | |
|-----|---|--|
| - 1 | | |
| | _ | |

CONCESSIONS

2

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, insurance not

3

just social security?

5 policy that we have.

6

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ROBERT HORSFORD: It's a disability

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: For all workers on

occasion to have construction workers who've worked

the site, that is great and then have you had

with you on more than one project who might have a

career of working on building affordable housing and

might want to retire one day, do you assist people

with making sure all of them have access to a 401K or

other pension?

DAVID DISHY: I'll answer for L and M and

I don't want to sound overly sappy about this but at

the annual... and, and only because I'm been at L and M $\,$

for ten years but the firms been around for 35 years,

it's in... basically part of the... very much the DNA of

the company is folks who come to work particularly

who we sort of recruit to work on the construction

sites stay with the company often for their lives,

they often bring other family members in to join the

company and there is... it's very much one of the

things... I would say it's actually one of the things

that the founders of the company are most proud of is

| _ | |
|---|--|
| П | |
| П | |
| | |

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | the extensive tenure for the… for guy… largely guys |
|----|---|
| 3 | with increasing the amount of women on the |
| 4 | construction sites who spent their careers here or |
| 5 | who've made careers out of their lives here and have |
| 6 | done well and have families and come up and, and say |
| 7 | to them and again I don't want to be sappy about |
| 8 | this but it's a noteworthy thing of sort of saying |
| 9 | you've, you know you've changed my life, you've given |
| 10 | me an opportunity, I've built a career here, I've |
| 11 | built a viable sort of income, I've got a family that |
| 12 | now is supported from that work and I can you know |
| 13 | they work on multiple jobs and we have a large |
| 14 | [cross-talk] |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And they have a, a |
| 16 | pension device that you contribute to? |

pension device that you contribute to?

DAVID DISHY: Yes, I mean we're an employee stock ownership company so they're all participants in that.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I, I... it, it would... you're the first developer I think in 45 maybe one or two others to, to have a, a pension device of some sort.

DAVID DISHY: Yeah, I know it's something we're... again we... our founders... [cross-talk]

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|---|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And, and [cross- |
| 3 | talk] |
| 4 | DAVID DISHY:can be proud of. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And, and that's |
| 6 | every worker on your construction site has that? |
| 7 | DAVID DISHY: The only thing I want to be |
| 8 | careful, careful about is there are folks on both of |
| 9 | our sites who are not employees of L and M, right, |
| 10 | they are workers on subcontractors so often on our |
| 11 | sites you have subcontractors who are not direct L |
| 12 | and M employees, so I obviously can't speak to what's |
| 13 | going on… [cross-talk] |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: If you can [cross- |
| 15 | talk] |
| 16 | DAVID DISHY:we try to employ we try |
| 17 | to encourage certain practices within those folks |
| 18 | [cross-talk] |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Do you know who the |
| 20 | subcontractors are likely to be? |
| 21 | DAVID DISHY: For this job? |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Yeah [cross-talk] |
| 23 | DAVID DISHY: Not yet. |
| 24 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Not at this point. |

| 1 | | |
|---|---|--|
| | | |
| ш | L | |

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Is there is there |
|----|--|
| 3 | some sort of legal device you could use to make sure |
| 4 | that it, it sounds like we, we have a meeting of the |
| 5 | minds that health insurance is important, disability |
| 6 | insurance is important, pensions are important is |
| 7 | there… is there a, a legal device you could use to |
| 8 | make sure that your subcontractors have the same |
| 9 | values as you do for yourselves and your staffs? |
| 10 | ROBERT HORSFORD: I, I don't know the |
| 11 | answer to that and that's not something we've, we've |
| 12 | done |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Is there things you |
| 14 | can bargain with, are there documents that |
| 15 | contractors or subcontractors can sign saying that |
| 16 | they will follow those rules? |
| 17 | DAVID DISHY: I will say it's something |
| 18 | we haven't talked about, it's something we've been |
| 19 | working on and exploring [cross-talk] |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Uh-huh [cross-talk] |
| 21 | DAVID DISHY:and we started exploring |
| 22 | having wage levels and basically saying to the subs |
| 23 | if you don't have health insurance… [cross-talk] |
| 24 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Uh-huh [cross-talk] |

CONCESSIONS

J

DAVID DISHY: ...then we want a wage level that's got in incremental above this, this base wage in order to allow the workers to buy health insurance directly which is often the case, some of the smaller contractors don't carry health insurance policies I the same way so the answer is, that is a... it's an obviously incredibly important issue and it's one

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Sure, I, I guess...
[cross-talk]

that we are continuing to work on...

DAVID DISHY: ...and I think... [cross-talk]

Some other folks... so... the device I'm speaking of that, that I'm aware of but there may be other tools is there, there's things called project labor agreements where, where you say these are the standards for a job and, and that folks who come before the city council often talk about having done so I know that that tool exists, it may not be the only tool and I'm not espousing that a project labor agreement or a collective bargaining agreement is the end all be all because I'm not legally allowed to but I, I do know such documents do exist and could be

| 1 | | |
|---|---|--|
| П | | |
| _ | - | |

2.2

CONCESSIONS

2 used to guarantee the rights for workers on a 3 construction site and there may be other ways.

ROBERT HORSFORD: And some... one of the things I worry about sometimes is when, when we add restrictions currently our, our workforce and, and the folks that work for us in terms of subcontractors, I mean we're probably over 80 percent people of color, not all of them are certified MBEs and a lot... you know the process is often times difficult to get their certification but they are of color and the owners are of color and then when we start to add some of these requirements it, it puts... it puts a lot of those potential contractors, local, small, minority firms out of position to participate so as we start to load on those requirements... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

ROBERT HORSFORD: ...we start to weed out
the smaller firms so how do you balance those two?

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, I guess just you have... you... have you had an occasion where you've been required to have a prevailing wage where you felt that local, smaller firms were thereby disqualified but when they tried to sign an agreement with an

| 1 | |
|---|--|
| 1 | |

2.2

CONCESSIONS

organization that might help them qualify ended up being rejected to do so?

ROBERT HORSFORD: No, I've, I've found often times that the pool of MBEs that I can select from they start to shrink when... one, when you... often times when you add prevailing wage... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

ROBERT HORSFORD: ...then when you start to add requirements... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Well like anyone can pay the prevailing wage, right?

ROBERT HORSFORD: Right but the, the complexity of dealing with prevailing wage many of my subcontractors are... they're concerned... the, the accounting practices and the, the different... the, the supervision required they can't afford to take, take on those responsibilities so they find that to be challenging, these are the subcontractors that I work with for many years that are of color... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I would... if, if, if you've got folks that you're working with who are having challenges with the payroll I'm happy to try to bring SBS or, or whomever to try to figure out how we can get around saying, okay, instead of it being

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | five… 15 an hour or 11 an hour it's going to be 29.9 |
| 3 | an hour plus… I, I actually… so I'm, I'm very |
| 4 | familiar with payroll so… but what are some of the |
| 5 | other challenges |
| 6 | DAVID DISHY: And then |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:and we are so far |
| 8 | upfield it's not even funny… [cross-talk] |
| 9 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Right |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:the, the door has |
| 11 | been open. Sure, sure… so… we're, we're almost done |
| 12 | we're wrapping |
| 13 | ROBERT HORSFORD: The other challenge |
| 14 | that often times when, when we start laying on |
| 15 | responsibilities of, of much higher insurance levels |
| 16 | or, or even if you start adding health insurance a |
| 17 | lot of our subcontractors just don't have the |
| 18 | financial means to, to carry those things and you |
| 19 | know it's finding a way to keep them inclusive in th |
| 20 | process as well as adding on some of these layers |
| 21 | that we believe are, are, are needed especially when |
| 22 | you start going to the, the, the direction of |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And as, as we're |

25 and, and sometimes these conversations wouldn't

looking at this project and moving forward I think

| 1 | |
|---|---|
| П | |
| _ | - |

23

24

25

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | happen in, in public on T.V. and what have you, but I |
|----|---|
| 3 | think it's helpful I believe in transparency. One of |
| 4 | the things we saw in the student loan area and, and |
| 5 | I Ben Kallos and I have student loans and I will |
| 6 | never finish paying them off is President Obama |
| 7 | looked at it and said okay, you've got the federal |
| 8 | government and we're loaning money to banks which are |
| 9 | loaning money to student loan companies which are |
| 10 | loaning money to students and America all of us |
| 11 | students are going bankrupt and can't afford our |
| 12 | student loans and, and again won't be able to pay |
| 13 | them off so he cut out the middle man so I guess is |
| 14 | there an opportunity, you're, you're an MWBE working |
| 15 | with some of the people I, I imagine you're willing |
| 16 | to pay enough to make sure folks can have health |
| 17 | insurance, you've already told me you're willing to |
| 18 | do that for your existing employees so maybe if the |
| 19 | GC is taking too much off the top the subcontractors |
| 20 | need to be direct contractors with you so that |
| 21 | they're not losing as much and they can afford to pay |
| 22 | health insurance. |
| | 1 |

ROBERT HORSFORD: I mean I think... I think the, the issue is maybe a little more complex than that, I mean I think we've got to look it up, there's

2.2

CONCESSIONS

a lot of different layers that relate to MWBE being

able to, to provide all of the levels that are

required, I mean I, I don't think it's that simple, I

mean often times it comes down to not having the

support staff to, to do a lot of these things, right...

[cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

ROBERT HORSFORD: ...so, it's not having the CPA that could do all the things needed for bonding for example or not having the CPA in place to deal with all of the prevailing wage requirements and, and filing those things and making sure that they don't get, get themselves in a predicament where they're not following all the, the required procedures, making sure that they have, you know all of their accounting practices in place, I mean there's a lot involved in those processes but I want to make sure that we still have the ability to use the large pool of MWBE that are out there... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Sure, I, I, I guess with just all, all due deference I, I imagine as a business owner you do want to be doing business with folks who have CPAs and proper accounting practices

| SIIRCOMMITTEE | $\bigcirc N$ | DT ANNTNO | DISPOSITIONS | ZMD |
|---|--------------|------------|---------------|-------|
| O U D C C U V I V I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | UIV | PLANNINING | 1115FU5111UN5 | AIVII |

| - | П | |
|---|---|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

CONCESSIONS

| | 001.020201.0 |
|----|---|
| 2 | and, and, and what have you and I, I understand you |
| 3 | may have relationships but this is this is the first |
| 4 | I've heard of a business owner wanting to work with |
| 5 | folks who, who may not have their finances at a level |
| 6 | that could handle being, being audited because |
| 7 | genuinely you want to avoid risk and you want to know |
| 8 | you've got somebody who's on top of their books and |
| 9 | when you give them a check they're going to be able |
| 10 | to do that work and not go out of business that night |
| 11 | because somebody who wasn't a CPA wasn't properly |
| 12 | balancing the books that day. |
| 13 | ROBERT HORSFORD: Right but I guess my |
| 14 | point is that it's when you move to prevailing wage |
| 15 | it becomes more complicated, right, so they can |

it becomes more complicated, right, so they can handle the basic... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, I, I... [crosstalk]

ROBERT HORSFORD: ...accounting practices but I think it goes to another...

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay. And, and so on the management side, the building management, the people working in the building will have a, a wage that will allow them to afford to live in the

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

| | , |
|----|---|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | buildings, they will have access to a pension, health |
| 3 | insurance and disability insurance? |
| 4 | DAVID DISHY: Again, that was a large |
| 5 | bundle of things, but I think I believe the answer |
| 6 | is yes. |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And the restrictive |
| 8 | covenant is that so, we have a restrictive covenant |
| 9 | is that was provided to us, is that something we car |
| | - |
| 10 | enter into the record? |
| 11 | DAVID DISHY: I think is that the that |
| 12 | would be an HPD think, I don't… [cross-talk] |
| 13 | GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Yeah, I mean it |
| 14 | that's, that's part of this, I imagine, you know |
| 15 | there's still some ongoing conversations with the |
| 16 | local council member and there might be some changes |
| 17 | made to that but certainly can be entered in as part |
| 18 | of… part of that… [cross-talk] |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: When, when does the |
| 20 | restrictive covenant expire? |
| 21 | KERRY LABOTZ: In four years from the, |
| | REINCE ENDOISE. IN FOUL YOUR ONE, |
| 22 | the point of execution. |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Four years? |
| 24 | KERRY LABOTZ: Four years. |

| 1 | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |

CONCESSIONS

| 2 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I guess one question |
|-----|---|
| 3 | and we'd, we'd asked this at a different project but. |
| 4 | so, I'm, I'm actually a fan of, of covenants and, and |
| 5 | deed restrictions and what have you, I, I did a |
| 6 | little bit of oversight on them, why doesn't HPD |
| 7 | why, why have this expire at four years, why not let |
| 8 | this just become a deed restriction that runs with |
| 9 | the land and says in exchange for this over 100 |
| LO | million dollars in, in different types of tax |
| L1 | subsidies that this should be permanently affordable |
| L2 | and be in a situation somewhat riveting where if a |
| L3 | developer wants to leave the public use in this case |
| L 4 | affordable housing they would have to come to the |
| L5 | city for approval? |
| L6 | KERRY LABOTZ: So, I guess I, I do just |
| L7 | want to be clear, so this is… [cross-talk] |
| L8 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I know I know that |
| L9 | it's… [cross-talk] |
| 20 | KERRY LABOTZ:a restrictive [cross- |
| 21 | talk] |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:not the same as a |
| 23 | deed restriction |
| 24 | KERRY LABOTZ: Okay, so the reason that |

the four year time frame I think addresses a couple

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND |
|----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | of scenarios, I think ultimately the plan and the, |
| 3 | the hope is that we are able to identify this entire |
| 4 | or develop this entire site however the four year |
| 5 | time frame gives us a proper horizon for |
| 6 | understanding if the ULURP is going to pass and if |
| 7 | we're going to be able to and have to only move |
| 8 | forward with the 60/40 so the, the way that these |
| 9 | actions are laid out and kind of talking as sort of |
| 10 | the larger context of the ULURP this is a scenario |
| 11 | and this the restrictive deck with the development |
| 12 | of the 60/40 basically assumes that the ULURP is not |
| 13 | able to move forward for whatever reason and we're |
| 14 | only able to build the mixed income project and the |
| 15 | adjacent site but the four years gives flexibility |
| 16 | because it doesn't bring us too much beyond the |
| 17 | existing 2021 time frame. |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: In terms of the |
| 19 | ULURP will there be any additional floor area ratio |
| 20 | granted? |
| 21 | KERRY LABOTZ: There will be a rezoning. |
| 22 | DAVID DISHY: Yep. |

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: How much additional

24 FAR will be created?

23

DAVID DISHY: I believe...

| | SUBCOMMITTEE ON THANNING, DISTUSTITIONS AND |
|----|---|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | [off mic dialogue] |
| 3 | DAVID DISHY: Right, basic, basically |
| 4 | you've got you've got roughly 130,000 under the |
| 5 | existing zoning and it would become under the |
| 6 | rezoning including transfer of development rights |
| 7 | from over the 95 Lenox piece, what Laura that's |
| 8 | what's that total number? two |
| 9 | [off mic dialogue] |
| 10 | DAVID DISHY: 360 it includes including |
| 11 | 95 Lenox |
| 12 | [off mic dialogue] |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Do you do you have |
| 14 | a valuation on that FAR? |
| 15 | DAVID DISHY: Valuation on that FAR in |
| 16 | what as affordable housing or as market, what's the |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: However, you want. |
| 18 | DAVID DISHY: Let me just let me |
| 19 | understand this important question because at some |
| 20 | level the rezoning is only occurring in order to |
| 21 | facilitate 100 percent affordable building [cross- |
| 22 | talk] |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, so, so why not |
| 24 | both, what would be the market rate of FAR in that, |

| - | п | |
|---|---|--|
| | ı | |
| _ | | |

CONCESSIONS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

that part of the city and what would be the valuation for affordable housing?

DAVID DISHY: The mark... again, since it ... since, since this is not ... because we don't think it's occurring in the context of a regulated site I, I hesitate to, to introduce numbers that, that have nothing to do with this site, right but that market sites outside of this location... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

DAVID DISHY: ...that are being sold for a luxury condo development sale at certain numbers that are, you know in excess of 150 dollars as the ... ZFA, right, if not more, that's ... you know and that's in theory what this site might sale for in 2021 if this process that we're currently discussing today wouldn't... didn't transpire. For affordable housing and, and to some extent part of the logic of being able to acquire this site from the existing owners is to sort of... this part as we discussed before, the mixed income allows us to be able to basically get control of the parcel to do this larger project. In terms of the value on the affordable piece... I guess I don't... in terms of ... like when land is contributed in, you know it's ... I would say it's at fractions of what

| | SOBOGINITIES ON TERMINING, BISTOSITIONS IND |
|----|---|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | I just described so it's sort of under often under |
| 3 | 50 dollars a foot but sort of somewhere in that 30 to |
| 4 | 50-dollar range depending on the nature of what's |
| 5 | going on as far as the land component in a affordable |
| 6 | housing development. |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So, so assuming |
| 8 | [cross-talk] |
| 9 | DAVID DISHY: Is that fair |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Assuming an |
| 11 | additional 200 FAR it's anywhere between ten million |
| 12 | [cross-talk] |
| 13 | DAVID DISHY: I, I knew you were going to |
| 14 | do this, I, I'm saying that, that that [cross-talk] |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: No, ten million |
| 16 | well if you want to get a, a better number for us |
| 17 | we'll take it, you got 72 hours but just in terms of |
| 18 | as you're doing the all in calculation and if, if you |
| 19 | can just update that sheet in the presentation that |
| 20 | we'll be entering into the record to reflect the ELLA |
| 21 | term sheet numbers and the land value numbers and the |
| 22 | FAR numbers, I'm really just trying to get to the all |
| 23 | in… [cross-talk] |
| 24 | DAVID DISHY: Right [cross-talk] |
| | |

| 1 | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |

CONCESSIONS

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: ...what is the tax

payer contribution, what does it really take to get this kind of stuff done, we are... we are over the, the time that we promised, I know that you stayed an additional 40 minutes, I want to appreciate that, I want to thank HPD for submitting testimony that is getting longer and longer and more and more technical, I'm a big fan of plain language for folks so to the extent we can say whatever legalese we need to for public disclosure but then also just say it in, in plain language so folks can follow along and it would help not only the public but me too.

GENEVIEVE MICHEL: Me too.

thank you. I will ask if there are any members of the public who wish to testify, seeing none I will now close the public hearing on Land Use Items 223, 224, and 225 and the applications will be laid over. If you are watching at home and you can email us at legislative documents at council dot NYC, it is September 17th, 2018 so that offer is valid until September 20th. This will conclude today's hearing, I'd like to thank the council, Deputy Council and land use staff for preparing today's hearing and

| | SOBCOMMITTEE ON THANNING, DISTOSITIONS AND |
|----|--|
| 1 | CONCESSIONS |
| 2 | members of the public and my colleagues for |
| 3 | attending. This hear… meeting is hereby adjourned. |
| 4 | [gavel] |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| | |

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date

October 3, 2018