CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

July 17, 2018

Start: 10:08 a.m. Recess: 12:25 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: FRANCISCO MOYA

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Costa G. Constantinides

Rafael Espinal

Barry S. Grodenchik Rory I. Lancman Stephen T. Levin Antonio Reynoso Donovan J. Richards

Carlina Rivera

Ritchie J. Torres

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Rosa Ruiz Representing Nobody is Perfect Restaurant

Mark Henne Co-chair the Block Association Neighbor of Nobody is Perfect Restaurant

Luis Reyer Neighbor of Nobody is Perfect Restaurant

Clint Smeltzer
Vice Chair of the CB3SLA

Mark Weprin
Land Use Counsel
Greenberg Traurig
MetLife Building
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166

Dan Egers
Land Use Counsel
Greenberg Traurig
MetLife Building
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166

Michele Icowitz Landlord Tenant Attorney For Michael Helitz

Michael Helitz Client

Pasqual Representative of Local 32BJ

Adam Herkey, Vice Chair Community Board 6 Land Use and Waterfront Committee

### A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Richard Bass Planning Consultant Akerman, LLP

Rick Gropper Principal Camber Property Group

Devonte Jackson Bushwick Resident

Tahara Adams Member of 32BJ and Security Officer at the World Trade Center

Pamela Duprey Bushwick Resident

Gloria Telez Tovar Bushwick Resident

Orelis Cruz Bushwick Resident

Nelda Viaz Rivera Bushwick Resident

Hosea Lopez Make the Road

Que Becote Community Engagement and Bushwick Resident

Scott Short CEO of RiseBoro Community Partnership and Member of the Executive Committee of the Bushwick Community Plan

Nevez Medina Bushwick Resident

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Gladys Pughe Bushwick Resident

Robert Commacho Bushwick Resident

Gregory Eloise Managing Attorney for Brooklyn Legal Services Corporation A's Bushwick Office

Marcel Negret Bushwick Resident

Mabeline Nevaro Bushwick Resident

Astrid Rengefo 1609 DeKalb Avenue Resident

Stephanie Kansel Bushwick Resident

JUAN: Test, test, this is the

3

1

Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchise. Today's date

4

is July 17, 2018. This recording is being recorded

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Good morning and

5

by Juan Doubleday.

6

welcome to the meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning

7

and Franchises. I'm Council Member Francisco Moya

8

and we are joined today by Council Members

10

Constantinides, Lancman, Reynoso, Torres, Grodenchik,

11

Rivera and Espinal. Today we will be holding public

12

hearings on a number of items and we'll be voting on

13

one item. If you're here to testify on any item on

14

the calendar, please fill out a white speaker's slip

15

with the sergeant-at-arms and indicate the L.U.

16

number of the item you wish to testify on on that

17

slip. Before we get started with our business, I

18

wanted to note that the preconsidered item, the 82<sup>nd</sup>

19

Street rezoning, has been withdrawn by the applicant

20

yesterday afternoon and so we will not be holding a

21

hearing on the item and instead we will be voting on

22

23

a motion to file. This is a project in my district

24

to say a few words about it before we get into our

25

other hearings. As the local elected Council Member,

that has attracted considerable attention so I wanted

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

I hear from constituents every day in search of affordable housing and I understand how urgent the need is for us to find ways to build affordable housing for those most in need. Rezoning is one important tool we as the Council have to encourage the creation of new housing into affordable housing. However, it comes with its own share of challenges. The big challenge we are grappling with is how we grow as a city, how to make room for new immigrants in places like my district and how to make room for growing families and to create housing for people in search of economic opportunity in the City of New York. We need to do this while balancing the legitimate concerns of our community about the impact new housing will have on existing residents, businesses and the infrastructure. My goal as Chair of this committee is to find solutions and compromises to chip away at the extraordinary housing need that we have. Unfortunately there are times that we cannot reach a compromise and I do not think these are days to celebrate but to refocus on the work ahead of about building a city that is truly more equitable than the one that we have today so that is what we will be doing here today so with that

# SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 I will turn it to our first hearing which will be on

3 LU 143, the application by Vida Mexicana Inc. -

4 Papasito for a revocable consent to operate an

5 unenclosed sidewalk café at 223 Dyckman Street in

6 Manhattan in Council Member Rodriguez's district and

7 I now open the public hearing on LU 143 and I believe

8 we have Amy Cohen, Amy Cohen, Amy going once, going

9 | twice. We're gonna check to see if they're outside.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: No, no Amy. Okay, we do have a letter that was submitted by the Vice President of Vida Mexicana Inc., Wendy Heysus Hernandez. It says, dear Council Member Rodriguez. We respectfully submit this letter to the City Council. Please note the following items: Vida Mexicana Inc. will abide by the Department of Consumer Affairs DCA hours of operation at all times and 2) Vida Mexicana Inc. will address any concerns from the community at all times. Sincerely, Wendy Hernandez, Vice President. Are there any members of the public who wish to testify? Okay, seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this application and

we will now vote to approve LU 43 Papasito in

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Street in Council Member Reynoso's district in

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 9
2	Brooklyn. I now open the public hearing on LU 141
3	[sic]. Are there any members of the public who wish
4	to testify? Seeing none, I now close the public
5	hearing on this application and it will be laid over
6	Our next hearing is on LU 142 the application by
7	Nobody is Perfect, a revocable consent to operate an
8	unenclosed sidewalk café at 234 [sic] E. 4 <sup>th</sup> Street

now open up the public hearing on LU 142 and we have 10 Rosa Ruiz. When you sit down, yeah, and just turn on 11 12 your mike.

in Council Member Rivera's district in Manhattan.

ROSA RUIZ: There we go. Good morning, everyone. Let's try this again. This is an application for an unenclosed sidewalk café at 235 E. 4<sup>th</sup> Street in Manhattan. We had met with the Community Board and we didn't get an approval because there seems to be, you know, a little bit of miscommunication going back and forth but he is committed to working with everyone and he would really like to be able to have his café so he does have 56 signatures in support and then people did come out and speak in favor of his application the night that we did go to the Community Board meeting.

> CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Is that it?

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 ROSA RUIZ: Yeah.

2.2

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, thank you} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \begin{subarray}{l$ 

ROSA RUIZ: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah, I'm gonna turn it over to Councilwoman Rivera for a few comments.

you again. We just met recently, yesterday in fact.
You know, this is a, it's rare to see a community
oppose a sidewalk café. However, the Block
Association which is called the E. 4<sup>th</sup> Street Lower
Avenue B Block Association and the Community Board
made me aware of about 44 noise complaints in about a
one year period and they also showed me pictures of
patrons loudly congregating outside at night and
allege that the operator was violating stipulations
of their liquor license so I wanted to ask what are
you doing to cure that problem and have you been in
communication with the Block Association since your
first meeting and when was your first meeting?

ROSA RUIZ: The first meeting we had with the Block Association I believe was in May and I have, you know, conveyed to Clint and Mark that we will continue the dialogue, attend the meetings, be

more community involved and then out of those 44,

even though you have 44, 311 complaints, the majority

of them were unfounded so it wasn't that, you know, I

5 understand that there was 44 calls. There was I

6 believe 18 instances where it does say that police

7 action did take place but 44 calls, majority not

8 founded.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And so what are you going to do about the, have you installed any additional sound proofing measures, what are you doing about the crowd control?

additional sound proofing installed. I actually have photos with me if you'd like to see them and as far as the crowd control as we were discussing, instead of having the people wait there, you know for their table, taking their telephone number and then giving them a call or a text advising them when their table's ready so this way there's no one standing outside.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And so you, rather than withdraw your application today and meet again with the Block Association to go over some of these changes and modifications that you're making to

2.2

2 improve overall quality of life coming on the block, 3 you state that you need the sidewalk café.

ROSA RUIZ: Yes, so he really does need the café in order to be able to sustain because if not, he's gonna end up closing his establishment because it's just, it's difficult to run a business, you know, these days, this day and age but it's not that he's just gonna, you know, have the café and then not meet with the Block Association and continue a dialogue in order to, you know, better the relationship.

if you're aware but the Block Association and the Board are suggesting that the license be denied and that you come back in about a year so you can prove to be a good neighbor and so why I'm asking you these questions is because I typically don't like to limit an operator from being able to grow their business and expand in a way that gonna actually have them stay in the space. We have a lot of restaurants that turn over. We have a lot of vacant store fronts and I want small businesses to thrive in my district but I also have to put the quality of life of the tenants first and the residents who are there in their

then Thursday, Friday and Saturday, the café open

so have you tried to get in touch with the Block

Association, at least today to try to make amends

24

2.2

ROSA RUIZ: Yes, I have spoken with Clint who's in the audience and we've discussed a few things.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So you'll be meeting soon.

ROSA RUIZ: Yes.

you're going down from 32 seats to 12 seats. Have you considered just a reduction, 50% reduction? Have you spoken with any of the constituents to try and figure out the best way to bring this sidewalk café without us turning it down because right now with the 311 complaints and the organizing that has gone on in the community, rare do you see a Block Association organized that much against a sidewalk café unless the restaurant has been a bad neighbor.

ROSA RUIZ: No, again, I understand completely where everyone is coming from. You know, you offered, you know, your office in order to be able to have an open dialogue and I think that would be great so that this way there is another intervention.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Right, I don't want to limit you for frivolous reasons but this is,

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Do you have any

2 MARK HENNE: Oh, I'm sorry. Do you want 3 to go first? This location has been a concern for neighborhood residents since it first converted into 4 a commercial restaurant establishment a dozen years This is the fourth business to come into the 6 7 space and operate there. It's a very large space for typical restaurants in the neighborhood. I think it 8 seats about, give or take, 100 people. It's been of 9 concern to the residents because back in the early to 10 mid 2000's there were a number of varied loud, 11 12 boisterous, late night clubs that existed in the 13 neighborhood that ostensibly operated as restaurants 14 but were really, in fact, nightclubs and working with 15 the Community Board and the State liquor authority, 16 we were able to kind of shut those down and it turned 17 out that some of the establishments were operating 18 without an official liquor license and some were operating in violation of various stipulations of 19 their liquor licenses so we organized the Block 20 Association really to begin to deal with these 21 2.2 situations. This space has been of concern. 23 with the applicant a year and a half ago, maybe, not quite by now when they first applied for their liquor 24 license and were granted it and we presented them 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

with our usual boiler plate stipulations that we've developed in our Block Association in conjunction with the Community Board to make sure that these businesses actually operate as restaurants and do not morph into late night clubs so that we don't have the similar situation that we had initially. There was a lot of back and forth and some tension and Clint can talk in a minute about the negotiations with the Community Board but we basically got them to agree to the stipulations and what's happened since then is that probably beginning last winter, they kind of changed the character of their restaurant and the clientele that they were seeking to develop to support the business model and that was to become a much more boisterous kind of party place. I live directly across the street. My apartment fronts the building. I look down into the place. I experience the direct impacts and even in the winter with the windows closed both on my apartment and their front, the front of their place can open up into the street, it was quite loud and boisterous, particularly on Saturday and Sunday afternoons and on weekend evenings, well into the evening and they were never, even when they had the windows and doors open in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

warmer weather, they did not close them at 10 p.m. as required. They were playing very loud music. stipulations that they had originally agreed to said that it was gonna be just sort of background ambient They have a very much kind of a party scene going on there where people cheer and clap and sing along with the music loudly and it's, they get a clapping thing going that speeds up which reminds me of people sort of drinking shots, although I have not witnessed this myself, and so this all became of I myself at the very beginning when the restaurant first opened made some calls to the management when these situations would occur and was rebuffed and treated as if I was a nuisance and I've heard this from other neighbors that have done the same so I literally at some point just stopped calling and I'm one of those people that have filed a number of 311 complaints over the years because that's the only thing I can do. When this sidewalk application came forward to the Community Board, we met with the applicant again. We had a very large turnout for the meeting which is not typical for our meetings when we consider such matters as this. was a very contentious meeting with the applicant.

2.2

denied.

They really pushed back on a lot of what we were saying about what we were witnessing and experiencing. They challenged us on a lot of our contentions and they did not appear particularly to be cooperative in terms of negotiating anything out and around the sidewalk café so we then recommended to the Community Board that they recommend to you folks that the application for the sidewalk café be

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

MARK HENNE: And to respond to what the previous speaker said, to the best of my knowledge we have not been approached about a subsequent meeting or agreed to any particular meeting although we would certainly be open to that option.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Do any of you wish to testify as well or?

LUIS REYER: I don't. Mark pretty much covered it but I also live on the street side and as it stands now, the front opens up extensively and so it is quite noisy and now that the neighborhood seems to have unbraced a brunch scene, the noise will start at 12 p.m. noon and thumping all the way to the evening so now whereas it used to be around dinner

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah, before we begin, we're gonna start with the two minute clock.

all. I think Mark covered it otherwise.

2.2

CLINT SMELTZER: Okay, I'm actually gonna be quick. They pretty much summarized everything. I just want to speak from the Community Board side. We don't usually deny sidewalk cafes because they're not usually that much of a problem. However, in this situation because of the complaints of the residents and they were basically violating almost every stipulation that they agreed to with the SLA so because it was so egregious, and the residents that had tried to work with them did not receive a positive response in any way. Some of them were, you know, sworn at and chased away basically so because

haven't actually set anything up but we'll see what

comes out of that is the next.

24

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: The only thing I 3 would ask is that if you can try to get together and figure out if there's something, there's a couple of 4 things that I think they should agree to do. Really 5 close their windows by 10 p.m. the way that they 6 7 agreed to and figure out how to do some crowd control with the people that are waiting for their table for 8 brunch or whatever it is. I know other restaurants 9 do it. There are apps, you can make a call and so I 10 would just encourage if you all can maybe get 11 12 together in the next couple weeks and I'm happy to 13 help provide a space for that, I would really 14 appreciate just so we could figure this out and 15 hopefully have a small business that can last, that 16 is not, you know, bothering the rest of the block. 17 Thank you. MARK HENNE: I think we'd be willing to 18 work with your office on that. 19 20

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you. That's it.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay, thank you so much for your testimony. Are there any other members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this application and

```
1
    SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
                                                        24
 2
     this application will be laid over. So Counsel will
     you please call the roll for LU 143?
 3
                COUNSEL: Continued vote to approve LU
 4
     143. Council Member Levin?
 5
 6
                COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I vote aye on all.
 7
                COUNSEL: The vote now stands at 8 to
     approve, 0 abstentions and no negatives.
 8
                CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Got it. Our next
 9
     public hearing is on LU's 147 and 148, the East 33<sup>rd</sup>
10
     Street rezoning, applicant 33<sup>rd</sup> Street Acquisitions,
11
12
    LLC seeks a rezoning map changed from an R8A to C1-9A
     and a zoning text amendment to apply MIH option 1 to
13
     the rezoning area which is in Council Member Rivera's
14
15
     district in Manhattan. I now open up the public
16
    hearing on this application and ask the Counsel to
17
     swear in the applicant team. We're now gonna call up
18
     Dan Eggers [phonetic], Mark Weprin [phonetic] our
     former colleague, Michael Helitz [phonetic], did I
19
20
     say that correctly, and Michelle Mirado Ecouski
     [phonetic]. Oh, thank you. Counsel
21
2.2
                MARK WEPRIN: Good morning, I'll start.
23
                CHAIRPERSON MOYA: One second.
                MARK WEPRIN: Okay, I'll wait for the
24
             Hopefully it's the right one.
25
     finger.
```

#### SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: We need Counsel to 3 swear you in.

COUNSEL: Do you each affirm that the testimony that you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and that you will answer all questions truthfully and before you answer, please state your name into the mike.

MARK WEPRIN: We do, I do. You guys do.

Dan Eggers, I do. Ready, okay, so just, I just
wanted to wish everyone a good morning and thank you
for having us here today for this rezoning on 33<sup>rd</sup>

Street. Just to give you contacts to who everyone is
up here. Michele who he introduced is a landlord
tenant attorney for the client, Michael Helitz who is
next to me, and Dan Egers to my right is a land use
counsel at Greenberg Traurig. My name is Mark Weprin
and I am an attorney at Greenberg Traurig and it's
really impressive to be here today. It's like an
all-star team of the City Council, looking around on
this all-star game day.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Flattery will get you everywhere.

2.2

to answer any questions.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 MARK HEPRIN: Okay, I'll work on that.

You notice I waited until Grodenchik left to say that. I wanted to be clear on that, no. So what I want to do is I'm gonna turn it over to Dan who is gonna give the presentation and then we'll be happy

DAN EGERS: Good morning Chair Moya, Council Member Rivera, Council Members. This is an application by 33<sup>rd</sup> Street Acquisition, LLC to rezone a portion of the north side of E. 33<sup>rd</sup> Street between  $1^{\rm st}$  and  $2^{\rm nd}$  Avenues from an R8A to a C1-9A district. The rezoning would be subject to mandatory inclusionary housing and would facilitate the provision of up to 40 affordable housing units in a 23 story, approximately 123,000 square foot building with ground floor retail and residential above. Also here today, in case you have any questions is Shay Alster [phonetic], the project architect and Christina McKellan [phonetic] from Phil Fabibe [phonetic] & Associates, the environmental consultant. Our client owns the property at 339 to 343 E.  $33^{\text{rd}}$  Street, that's lots 24, 25 and 26 and is negotiating to purchase 345 E. 33<sup>rd</sup> Street. That's lot 27. He's also negotiating to purchase

Our client intends to seek 421A tax benefits and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

since there are currently 40 dwelling units on the site, the required replacement ratio requires that there be 40 affordable units provided. We believe there's a sound land use rationale for the rezoning. First, the 23 story height of the building would be in context. There are several other buildings in the surrounding area that are at least 23 stories, including the 21 story Kips Bay Towers directly to the south which is a comparable height, 23 story east of 1st Avenue and it won't even be the tallest building on the block as there's a 36 story building on the corner of 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue and E. 34<sup>th</sup> Street. While C1-9A and C1-9A districts are usually mapped along avenues and not mid-blocks, this is a unique midblock condition where extending the C1-9A district into the mid-block is justified. One reason is that E. 33<sup>rd</sup> Street between 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenues is a wide street as defined by the zoning resolution. At 80 feet wide, it's actually wider than Lexington Avenue which is 75 feet wide. The blocks fronting Lexington between E. 33<sup>rd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Streets are zoned C1-9 which permits the same maximum FAR as the proposed C1-9A district but has no height limit while the proposed C1-9A district would have a 230 foot height limit.

No other portion of E. 33<sup>rd</sup> Street is this wide. In sum, the proposed rezoning to a C1-9A, 12 FAR district would allow development of a new mixed use building that would address demand for housing at

6 varying income levels by providing 40 affordable

7 housing units and local retail space in this

8 community. I thank you for your consideration and

9 welcome your questions.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Just a couple of questions, this project is being built across the street from a major hospital in our city and 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue is a heavily trafficked street in the area. How will this project contribute to the congestion in this area?

DAN EGERS: Our environmental consultant could speak in more detail about this but we believe that it would contribute minimally. The projected car ownership would be very low. This is a rental building. It's not proposed to be a luxury condo. I believe we estimate that there would be 25 cars generated by the project and we've done a study and we've found that there's parking resources in public garages in the immediate vicinity that could handle the parking demand so we believe that there would be

2.2

2 a minimal effect on traffic congestion and parking in 3 the area.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: What work is being done to address the concerns that were highlighted in the borough president's report?

DAN EGERS: So the borough president's report specifically referenced two tenants in the buildings that our client owns and to speak specifically to that I turn it over to Michele Mirato Icowitz [phonetic], the client's landlord tenant counsel.

MICHELE ICOWITZ: Hi I'm Michele Icowitz. So, in these 23 units, three were rent controlled and two of the rent controlled tenants have been "bought out". Both tenants are being represented by very experienced tenant counsel and one got a very large buyout and left and another is being, the buyout has taken the form of an apartment in Florida, a condo, that was bought for that person and that person is being, the process of finishing the paint colors and buying him a car and those details are being worked out. The other rent controlled tenant is represented by another very reputable tenant counsel and there are no negotiations going on with that person. I

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

think, Dan correct me if I'm wrong, that covers what was, what the borough president was concerned about, the rent controlled tenants.

DAN EGERS: And specifically two tenants that were mentioned in that report and we address both of them.

Oh, okay, so then we MICHELE ICOWITZ: have another rent stabilized unit who has been in just perpetual litigation with the applicant. we are right now is that there really aren't any discussions about buyouts going on with the rent stabilized tenants. There's really not anything going on. I've heard through the grapevine, although no attorney has stepped forward that they're all represented by again, very household name kind of famous tenant buyout attorney, except for one person and that's the one person in the borough president's report that she was concerned about. So this person just keeps approaching my client over and over saying I want a big buyout, I want a big buyout and he's just not ready to do buyouts until the whole group comes, until he moves further through this land use process to have an idea where it is so it's kinda just, the tenants are paying their rent, repairs are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

being done, no one has any harassment complaints but this one tenant so she doesn't pay her rent because she, somewhere along the line, someone told her it was a good idea to not pay her rent, to try to move the applicant toward giving her a buyout so periodically we've had to sue her in housing court for the rent. Each time she's paid all the rent at the 11th hour. In the second case she was given a small abatement because I believe something with the boiler was out that winter but she was given, it was back on, but the housing court gave her a small abatement. Thereafter her attorney, she was represented not by legal aid but by private counsel and private counsel made an application for legal fees to say that they were the prevailing party. We defeated that. The housing court judge decided that there was no prevailing party so she wasn't awarded fees and once, as we stand here right now, I think she owes, how many months?

MICHAEL HELITZ: 13 months

MICHELE ICOWITZ: 13 months and we're back in housing court. We're currently on trial before Judge Stoler [phonetic] so it's, it's a very isolated incident, the relationship with this

2.2

particular tenant. We'd be happy to avail ourselves of a meeting with anyone from the Council who want to meet with ourselves and this tenant but right now, they just really actually are very routine non-payment situation that I think has at its roots, the fact that this tenant thinks that if she doesn't pay the rent, it's gonna speed up a buyout situation but really these things have their own pace as you well know so otherwise all is quiet at the building. Can I answer any questions on that? Was I clear?

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: So just one follow up to that, how many of the eight tenants have already agreed to a buyout? Is it just one?

MICHELE ICOWITZ: 23 units over three buildings, 12 were vacant, long vacant when we got there, 8 are rent stabilized and one did

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Out of the 8 that are rent stabilized?

MICHELE ICOWITZ: Out of the 8 that are rent stabilized, one did take a buyout but was a person who actually lives in Connecticut. I brought a routine, non-primary residence case and the person came in very quickly and said, you got me, handed over the keys. I think we paid for their moving

MICHELE ICOWITZ: Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Why did she 3 receive an abatement?

MICHELE ICOWITZ: That winter for a period of time, the boiler was out while it was being replaced. The tenant was given alternative heating systems in the meantime but my experience as 22 years as a landlord and tenant lawyer is when a housing court judge heard boiler out, it's going to equal some type of abatement. I'm not arguing with the judge's result but that's why I also added the part about the end, how counsel moved to be deemed the prevailing party because oftentimes what you see is tenants have to withhold rent in order to get repairs so then even a small abatement might be considered them being the prevailing party but the housing court judge in this case agreed that she wasn't the prevailing party and she actually says in her legal fee decision denying legal fees, this tenant is withholding rent in order to speed up a conversation about a buyout that she would like to have.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: The reason why

I'm asking is because in the borough president's

resolution, there were some allegations of

harassment. Now I know there are tools in order to

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 clear a building and one of them you're using which

3 are buyouts and so I just want to make sure that

4 you're going on record as saying that this was

5 | further investigated that there would be no other,

6 like no other tenants will be able to substantiate

what would be reflective of landlord harassment.

MICHELE ICOWITZ: I'm comfortable going on record saying that because the other tenant that lives in the same building as the tenant we're talking about. He didn't have any complaints as all living in the same building. Also, again, there's no tenant association in this building that I'm aware of and no counsel other than the one I've talked about so far has come forward but I've heard through the grapevine that they're all represented by very reputable counsel and nobody's, there's no complaints. There's no HPD complaints, there's no complaints of harassment and in the beginning we started this project by, right after he bought it, pursuant to the Housing Maintenance Code, the antiharassment warnings you're supposed to give to say if you don't want to talk about a buyout, you don't have to, you're entitled to a lawyer, the HPD ABC's of Housing pamphlet, I actually gave it to them so and I

7 harassment and if it was investigated further, I don't think any would be found.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay, so you have control over three lots, so you're saying seven of the tenants that remain in these three buildings are stabilized. One is rent control from what I understand

MICHELE ICOWITZ: I'm gonna say two are rent controlled because the guy that's got an apartment in Florida, hasn't, he wants to wait till September to move so he's still there so there's two rent controlled tenants.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay, so if you're not able to come to buyout agreements with all of them and I understand that communication has ceased since they've retained representation, are you standing, are you going to offer them long term leases and relocation costs during construction?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 you gonna have them come back to the building with an 3 affordable lease?

MICHELE ICOWITZ: I'm gonna turn that over.

DAN EGERS: So, Council Member, the applicant is amenable to a circumstance in which the remaining tenants would have the right to be relocated back into the proposed building at negotiated rents that would be less than the rents that would be charged to the market rate tenants although perhaps greater than the rents that they're currently paying and what we are proposing, what we've come up with is a rent of \$1,500 a month for a period of five years and just to give you some frame of reference, the average rent paid by the rent stabilized tenants currently is \$1,390 per month so it would be a little higher than they are currently paying but that's something that we're prepared to offer should the tenants want to avail themselves of that. As for tenant relocation, during the period of construction, the applicant will move the tenants to a similar apartment in a comparable building in the area. We'd pay the tenant's moving expenses and would subsidize the difference between the rent that

2.2

they're currently paying and the rent that they would

pay in the apartment to which they are relocated.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So if they don't take the buyout, you're gonna relocate them, expenses paid and then have them come back to the brand new building at a lease for \$1,500 for five years?

DAN EGERS: Should they, should they wish to do that, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Why only five years? And after that, what happens?

DAN EGERS: Well, after that five year period, the rents would go to the market rent that we'd be charging the other units but if you would like to have a discussion about perhaps extending that period of time beyond five years, I think that's something that we can talk about further.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Yeah, I would like for you to consider that. I mean five years goes by like that. They just moved, then they move again and then they have five years to get it all together and find another rent stabilized unit. I don't know what their background is, I don't know what their income is but I imagine if you have a \$1,300 apartment and you're doing everything by the

2.2

specific ask.

2 book, you're not swimming in cash so have you

3 clarified with HPD whether these tenants can return

4 to the units as applicants? Have you spoken with HPD

5 about this potential agreement or is this just

6 something between you and the tenants themselves?

DAN EGERS: So, we've reached out to HPD to see if the existing tenants could be given a preference in the affordable housing lottery for the mandatory inclusionary housing units and we're not, we're not exactly sure that HPD has the discretion or the authority to do that but we've asked them to consider that and we've, so we've made that request and we will continue to follow up on that, on that

question about the lot. I know that you are looking to rezone an area that includes lots that aren't subject to the development proposal itself and I know that's typical and I think you had mentioned to me once that DCP had asked you to look at a bigger area so is this practice, is this general practice simply because the lots are abutting or why are you, why do you have a bigger area than the lots that you actually own?

DAN EGERS: City Planning had asked that those three outparcel lots which I'm showing on the tax map, 20, 21 and 22 be included in the rezoning area because they felt that it made sense from the perspective of the rationality of the zoning map and from a land use rationale as well so that's why those lots are included. It's not something that we proposed.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And for the lot that you are trying to acquire, what exactly are the issues that play with the acquisition?

DAN EGERS: So what happened here is that somebody got wind of the rezoning and in a classic New York scenario, they're acting as a spoiler. They came in and they purchased the lot and they're holding out for money and what also complicated the acquisition of that lot is that the lot is actually divided between a fee parcel and a fee above a plain parcel that was created back in the 1980's so one would have, Michael would have to acquire both pieces and that is something he is seeking to do but it's something that has taken a while and it's turned into a complicated situation.

2.2

don't acquire the fourth lot, have you considered the contextual problems. You're gonna have this big

5 building sandwiched between these little buildings so

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Because if you

6 I'm curious as to kind of, do you think that's,

7 that's gonna be a big issue for the residents there

so are you in negotiations, is it close to being

9 acquired?

2.2

DAN EGERS: So it's something that our client is actively working on but what I would say is that this condition exists in many places in Manhattan in where you have two tall buildings and a smaller one in between and I would also say that rezonings occur even where there is no immediate plans for development on a particular parcel but because it's determined that from a land use rationale and a planning standpoint that particular zoning district makes sense on that parcel so I would say that the land use rationale supporting the rezoning would exist whether or not our client is able to redevelop that fourth parcel.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And you've spoken to the neighbors across the street, Kips Bay Towers

2.2

about the construction and potentially what could
happen on their block.

DAN EGERS: So we, we reached out at the time we were going to the Community Board to the Kips Bay Association and the Kips Bay Neighborhood Alliance a number of who's residents I believe live in those buildings and we offered them a briefing and they didn't take us up on the offer but they were at the Community Board and we spoke to them then. We haven't specifically discussed with them construction staging but that's something that we'd be happy to do and should this application be approved, we will be happy to hold briefings in the neighborhood and work with the community to make sure that construction occurs in a way that is not disruptive to them.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I mean, it's a lot of tenants in Kips Bay Towers so I would just say, please be in touch with the Board and if you need any assistance in communicating with them, my office is happy to assist you. So my last question, of course, is probably the most important and it has to do with the affordability of the project itself so the Community Board, the borough president and I have all requested additional affordable units be included

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 as part of the development so the borough president

3 asked for ten additional units total and Community

4 Board 6 requested that 40% of the project be

5 affordable and so are you prepared, from what I've

6 | heard, you are going to do 25% at 60 AMI? I want to

7 make sure that I heard that correctly.

DAN EGERS: Yes, that's correct. That's what we have proposed.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So I am going to say what I think I've been saying to you since the beginning is that 25, you know, years and years and years communities have had to take this 80/20 nonsense which is clearly just acerbated the affordable housing crisis and the homeless shelter problem that we have and so I'm asking that you add additional units according to the Community Board, the borough president, my ask, I mean do need more affordable units. Twenty five percent at 60 AMI, while 60 AMI might be appropriate for the area itself, 25% is really, really low considering that you are going to put a very large building on top of units that are still occupying tenants so I know that you're gonna get all your ducks in a row, that you're gonna speak to the tenants, that you're gonna take

Reynoso and we are also joined by Council Member

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

45

23

24

Richards.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yeah, so my
3	question is just one question. Council Member Rivera
4	speaks to wanting 40% affordable housing as does the
5	borough president and it seems like the elected
6	officials across the board, 40% and in one of your
7	replies or responses to a question related to a rent
8	stabilized tenant getting a five year deal for an
9	apartment at \$1,500 a month and that after five years
10	it would go away and they would be market rate. It's
11	just shocking that they're asking for 40% and you're
12	looking to take the one apartment that is rent
13	stabilized and fold it into the affordable housing
14	that would happen under MIH instead of taking the
15	responsibility on your own and when I hear that, it
16	makes me think that you're not taking the 40%
17	seriously. To be honest, you're not taking 26%
18	seriously so imaging what the 40% looks like. Why is
19	it that that one rent stabilized apartment, at least,
20	we can't just check the box for putting it at \$1,500
21	and then letting it be rent stabilized? Maintain it
22	as a rent stabilized building that goes up in
23	perpetuity according to the rent guidelines board.

Why not do that instead of giving, you know, five

2 years and think you're doing us a favor? Why not
3 just rent stabilize it?

4 MARK WEPRIN:

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARK WEPRIN: Want me to try it? Well, Councilman, we understand and we understand the need for affordable housing. In the Community Board hearing, while it came out in the report, that was not raised at the Community Board as 40%. You know, the number, when MIH was passed by the City Counsel, those numbers weren't pulled out of the sky. They're done on, with economists and how much can be done affordability wise. This particular project, based on the numbers, especially it has these added factors of we're still negotiating to buy a building, he's still, we're not sure how much he's gonna have to pay the tenants who may be relocated. All these factors are paying into the fact that, you know, we've got to have, estimate costs and what can be done. addition, this zoning currently would allow, if he was to build as of right [sic], he could the buildings and actually double the size of the current buildings and build it as of right about 12 stories as opposed to the 21 here now. Now I know, you know, people always love to throw that out. Oh, I could do this as of right but the economics of this thing

long term, the way they are right now, this is

something that's gonna be very hard for me to be

supportive of. That, just one tenant, will make it

very difficult. If you don't protect them the way

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

48

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

2 MARK WEPRIN: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: And I'm gonna call the next panel. Pascal, I'm gonna ask the Counsel to call the vote right now for Council Member Richards.

COUNSEL: Continued vote to approve Land Use item 143. Richards?

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: I vote aye.

COUNSEL: The application is approved by a vote of 9 in the affirmative, 0 negative and no abstentions and referred to the full land use committee.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Pasqual and Adam Herkey. So each of you will have two minutes and we can begin with you, Pasqual. If the sergeant-at-arms can just set the clock to two minutes.

PASCAL: Good morning, Chair Moya and members of the committee. My name is Pasqual. I'm a, I work as a porter and I've been a member of SCIU Local 32 BJ for two years. I am here today on behalf of the residential members of Local 32 BJ, over 30,000 people who clean, maintain and provide concierge service at apartment buildings throughout this great city. New York's cost of living is one of

25

2 the highest in the country and we believe that

3 working people should not have to be asked to do more

4 with less. We believe that all developers should

5 commit to providing good building service jobs that

6 pay workers the industry standard prevailing wage and

7  $\parallel$  benefits. We are happy to report that  $33^{\rm rd}$  Street

8 Acquisition LLC, an affiliate of Axel, has made a

9 commitment to providing building service workers with

10 good jobs that will help them put extra food on the

11 | table and save a little bit more for retirement.

12 This development will uphold the standards that

13 | building service workers have fought for. That is

14 | why we are urging the Council to support this

15 project. Thank you very much for your time.

16 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

17 ADAM HERKEY: Thank you very much, good

18 | morning. My name is Adam Herkey. I am the Vice

19 | Chair of Community Board 6 land use and waterfront

20 committee. On March 14, 2008, Community Board 6

21 passed a resolution regarding the proposed rezoning

22 on  $33^{rd}$  Street. The resolution objected to the

23 proposal as presented unless 40% of the residential

24 | square footage be dedicated to permanently affordable

housing. The resolution passed 36 to 1 to 1. The

CHAIRPERSON MOYA:

testimony today. This panel is dismissed. Are there

any other members of the public who wish to testify?

Thank you for your

24

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on this
application and it will be laid over.

[pause]

is on the 1601 DeKalb rezoning, preconsidered LU C
180148 ZMK and N180149 ZRK, the applicant 1601 DeKalb
Avenue Owner, LLC seeks a zoning map change and a
zoning text amendment to apply MIH options 1 and 2 to
the rezoning area which is in Council Member
Espinal's district in Brooklyn. I now open the
public hearing on this application and we are turning
it over to Council Woman Rivera for a statement.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Regarding preconsidered LU 2477, my husband is currently employed by Camber Property Group, LLC as the director of operations. Camber Property Group will be leasing the property at block 3237 upon conclusion of the zoning application. For these reasons, I elect to recuse myself on the vote on preconsidered LU 2477.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, Council
Woman Rivera. I now want to turn it over to Council
Member Espinal for some opening remarks.

2.1

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. First and foremost I just want to say how 3 great it is to see Bushwick out in City Hall today. 4 5 Thanks for joining us. Our neighborhood of Bushwick is under tremendous pressure from the real estate 6 7 market with rent and displacement pressure continuing to rise and threaten the ability of long-time 8 residents to stay in their homes. For over four 9 10 years, Council Member Reynoso and I have supported community residents and organizations in the Bushwick 11 12 Plan Process to develop a comprehensive and inclusive plan for the neighborhood's future. This is the 13 14 first prior rezoning application to advance during 15 this time and proposes rezoning of manufacturing 16 zoned land to residential, an issue that has been very contentious within the community as they have 17 18 legitimate concerns about job displacement and loss of local businesses. As a result, this proposal has 19 20 attracted significant opposition from local stakeholders, many of whom are here today who believe 21 2.2 that development should more accurately reflect the 23 community's goals and serve more of our low-income 24 families who are in desperate need of affordable housing. Let me be clear, there was not nearly 25

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 55
2	enough consultation with the residents of Bushwick
3	who would stand to be affected most before the
4	decision was made to proceed with this ULURP
5	application but as the project has moved through the
6	process, I have seen encouraging signs that this
7	developer is willing to substantially modify the
8	proposal in a way that is responsive to the
9	community's vision. I look forward to hearing from
10	the applicants about their ideas for improving this
11	proposal and from the many members of the community
12	who are concerned about the future of the
13	neighborhood and continue to advocate for a more
14	inclusive and equitable future for Bushwick.
15	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you Council
16	Member Espinal. I now ask the Counsel to swear in
17	the panel.
18	COUNSEL: Do you each swear or affirm
19	that the testimony you are about to give will be the
20	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and
21	that you will answer all questions truthfully?
22	Before answering, please turn your mike on and state
23	your name as well.
24	RICHARD BASS: I do, Richard Bass.

RICK GROPPER: I do, Rick Gropper.

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You may begin.

3 RICHARD BASS: Chair Moya, Council 4 Members, thank you for hearing us this morning. 5 Richard Bass. I'm a planning consultant with 6 Akerman, LLP. We're here today to discuss a rezoning 7 application for 1601 DeKalb Avenue. The site is currently zoned M1-1. It's been zones M1-1 since 8 Our proposal today is to change the M1-1 to an 9 R7A, a C2-4 commercial overlay, and R7A and a R6B. 10 The site is located just south of Wyckoff between 11 12 Hart and DeKalb. As you can see from the zoning map, 13 the neighborhood is primarily residential. This M1-1 is a remnant from the 61 zoning resolution. There 14 15 hasn't been manufacturing in this area for at least 16 20 years. Here's the zoning map that shows what I 17 call a little thumb of the M1-1 into the R6. Here's 18 a tax map that shows the various designations I described starting from the south, four lots would be 19 20 R6B which will allow for transition. The development site will be R7A plus R6B and then on the Wyckoff 21 2.2 frontage is R7A with a C-4 commercial overlay. 23 is sound planning principles bringing the rezoning to Wyckoff which has a subway located at the corner of 24 DeKalb and Wyckoff. Here's the zoning map change. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

affordability.

On the left is the existing M1-1. On the right is the proposed R6B, R7A, R7A with a C2-4 commercial overlay. I'm gonna turn it over to the president of Camber, my client, who will describe the significant changes that this project has undergone through the ULURP process as the Council Member has described. He'll describe the changes to the project and the

Thank you Council Members RICK GROPPER: and Chair Moya. I'm Rick Gropper, one of the principals of Camber Property Group. Camber is a majority developer of affordable housing. completed about 2,000 units, both new construction and preservation of affordable throughout New York City. The project today has undergone significant changes. We've gone through the ULURP process. proposed project consists of 121 units and we have committed to doing the project as 100% affordable. We're also committed to hiring from within the community, practicing sustainable design and construction throughout the process including the inclusion of green roof and other sustainable design features and reaching out to the community through the ULURP process as well as for into the future as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we construct and complete the building. As I mentioned, there have been significant concerns that were expressed by the community and we have been involved in a very spirited debate about a number of issues. The main issues have been displacement, affordability, and also dealing with two loft buildings which are adjacent to the sites and we've worked with members of the community. We've worked with the Council Member and other community stakeholders to significantly change the project we think for the better and we hope to change it into something that is a model for future buildings that are built in the Bushwick area. We're committed to doing a project that's 100% affordable and we are working with RiseBoro as our community partner and our development partner. RiseBoro is a local, well respected group and a significant developer of affordable housing in Bushwick as well as the surrounding areas. Our proposal which we're still discussing with HPD and members of the community is, consists of two different options. One is a combined project with a parking lot that is currently owned by RiseBoro. It would be a combined project consisting of 200 units and by achieving the scale that is

of the commitments that we're making to the project,

going to record an easement for light and air so that

the residents who live in those lot line units will

we're setting back from the loft buildings. We're

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

continue to receive the air that they receive and the light that they receive now so that they can continue to live in the buildings and this is something that we've discussed with a number of the tenants in the loft buildings as well as with other stakeholders in the community and finally we're partnered with 32BJ on the project to provide good paying jobs to the building service workers. We're also committed to MWBE and local hiring and we're going to make good faith efforts to hire 30% locally and also 30% from MWBE contractors during the construction period. have engaged in significant outreach to the community. We've done a number of community sessions. We will continue to do those and continue to do outreach efforts. As we continue through the construction period, our goal is to have at least 50% of the building which is the community preference achieved within the local community board if not more than that and one of the ways that we've been successful in doing that in other areas in New York City has been through education, outreach and partnering with groups like our partner RiseBoro who is very well connected in the Bushwick community and with that I want to thank everyone and thank members

of the Bushwick community who are here who have had significant input into the project and I think have

4 made it overall a better project for everyone

5 involved.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, just a couple of questions before I turn it over to Council Member Espinal. This project represents an opportunity to build 100% affordable housing but it's also coming at a time when the community is creating its own plan for Bushwick. Can you speak to how this project fits into the goals of the Bushwick Community Plan?

RICK GROPPER: Sure, so we've, in leading up to the ULURP process, we worked with City planning and also met with the land use committee of Community Board 4 and some of the changes that we made were to conform the project to what everyone believed were the, some of the tenants of the Bushwick Community Plan. Namely, there are two lots, the project area, the site that we're going to build on specifically, consists of three lots. Two of the lots are currently zoned R6 and one lot is M zoned so the two lots that are currently R6, we're actually downzoning to R6B to maintain context with the buildings

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

on the side streets and that's consistent with what

we, we believe that's consistent with the Bushwick

Community Plan. Then, the larger lot is going, which

is currently M zoned is being rezoned to R7A as it is

6 closer to the main street of Wyckoff.

UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: [Inaudible]

RICH GROPPER: Sure, yes, so there have been a number of concerns raised by the community related to the rezoning area. The, one of the major concerns is the two loft buildings and what we're committed to doing is recording an easement which we discussed with land use, with City Council land use counsel as well as with Council Member and members of the existing loft buildings so we're setting back from the lot line windows of the loft buildings, recording an easement so that it properly memorializes our commitment to set back and continue to provide light and air for the residents who live in the buildings that receive their only light and air from those lot line windows. That's one commitment that we're making. The other is related to the rezoning area and the rezoning area extends beyond our site and that was what we determined to be consistent with sound planning principles and the

- 2 lots in the rezoning area include a post office.
- 3 They include a Spanish restaurant, a laundromat and
- 4 | we've had conversations with the tenants in those
- 5 | buildings and it was our, it was our decision to go
- 6 | with that rezoning area as it's consistent with sound
- 7 planning principles and at this point it's in the
- 8 hands of the ULURP process and in the hands of City
- 9 Council to determine whether or not that rezoning
- 10 area moves forward or if it gets altered.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you and I'm just
- 12 glad to hear that there is a commitment to good jobs
- 13 on this project. With that, thank you. I want to
- 14 | turn it over now to Council Member Espinal for some
- 15 questions.

- 16 COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you, Chair
- 17 so what you're presenting here today is a complete
- 18 | 180 from what you proposed when you put in the
- 19 | application, all right. I think it's a step in the
- 20 | right direction. I mean, there's a lot of still
- 21 | concerns about a lot of these promises but what, the
- 22 experience we've had in Bushwick in the past is
- 23 sometimes promises aren't promises kept, all right,
- 24 so how do we ensure that these conversations you are

2 having with HPD at the end of the day end up with the creation of affordable housing on these sites?

RICK GROPPER: So we've, we're deep into conversation with HPD and with HDC about the affordability of the project. We are planning to, we're committed to doing the project as 100% affordable. The way that HPD memorializes it is in a regulatory agreement that runs between HPD and the owner of the building which is us so the project and the commitments that we're making in terms of affordability will be memorialized in that. commitments that we're making to set back from the loft building will be in an easement that we recorded against the sites and we're happy to, also to the extent that you and stakeholders in the community are interested, we're happy to memorialize overall the other items that aren't picked up in those agreements in a community benefits agreement with your office or with another appropriate party.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Are there any deed restrictions?

RICK GROPPER: Currently?

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Yeah.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2 RICK GROPPER: There are no deed
3 restrictions

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Will there be, can, is it possible to get any deed restrictions if you don't comply with any of these promises?

RICK GROPPER: Yes, so I think the way that MIH through the ULURP process recorded is recorded in a restrictive declaration that gets recorded against the property. As far as I know, the way that HPD records their restrictions is a formal agreement that is also recorded against the property and there are remedies that HPD can exercise in the event that we don't comply with those affordability restrictions.

back to affordability, I noticed that in one of the, so you have two plans, all right. One is to combine two separate lots, one owned by RiseBoro and the current lot that we're speaking of today which I believe created even more affordable housing units at below 50% AMI, right which I think it's important, especially for the neighborhood that we're constructing but what I notice is that the amount of, the amount of not one bedrooms,

2.2

RICK GROPPER: Right, so in the combined plan for Cedar and DeKalb, we're planning to do about 40% supportive housing and the way that HPD and the state structure supportive housing is for the majority smaller sized units so that's sort of the tradeoff between the two, the two scenarios. In scenario one, which is the DeKalb/Cedar combined with supportive housing, there are more units but the, and the reason for that is that there are more smaller units because those are the supportive units.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Do you have an idea of how many of those units would be supportive housing units as opposed to

right now but the majority of the smaller units.

Well, so overall we're planning to do between 30 and 40% in the DeKalb/Cedar combined version supportive housing and the majority of those will be, would be studios and ones, the smaller sized units.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: I think I also want to be able to focus on the amount of three bedroom units. You know, there's a lot of families

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

greater detail?

in Bushwick who are looking for apartments and, you know, the number right now, 10 I believe is a little low for the overall project so we have to look at ways of increasing that to make sure it also reflects the amount of units on the other, the amount of units in the other bedrooms that are being built. Can you talk about local hiring practices and training in

Sure, so we're committed RICK GROPPER: to targeting 30% within the local community and the way that we do that is partnering with local organizations. RiseBoro is our development partner. RiseBoro will also work with us to identify other organizations in Bushwick and the way that we do it is holding job fairs and identifying members of the local community, putting them onto a list to make sure that they get trained so you can't be on a jobsite without an OSHA card, I mean without proper training so members of the local community who are interested in jobs working with contractors during the construction period will, anyone who's not trained would get trained at no cost to them and then placed with a contractor on a job and the overall goal is to make sure that as many people as possible

up by the subcontractors that they're working for and

68

4 continue to the next job.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay, so you mentioned that you spoke to all the businesses that are currently under, the current zoning.

RICK GROPPER: We've spoken with, within the local area. We've spoken with the owner of Sazon Nunez and we've spoken with the owners of Brotherhood Boxing Gym and the owner of the laundromat and then the other site is owned by the post office. Of the two loft buildings, we have, we were supposed to actually meet with one of the owners of the loft buildings yesterday but he was not able to show up but we've reached out to the owner of the building that is in IMD status currently.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: And just to be clear, the proposed zoning, that wasn't all proposed by Camber. Half of it came from the City?

RICK GROPPER: No, the, so the proposed zoning area was developed by us as we went through the pre- ULURP process. We went with that, with that area the full, to the end of the block because it was determined that it was based on sound planning

happens with that rezoning area.

2.2

noted from day one that I have concerns with the way the area is currently mapped out and I'm deeply considering making some changes to that at the end of the day just wo you're aware.

RICHARD BASS: We understand your concerns. Again, the application has to meet certain sound planning principles and avoid spot zoning so that's why the application is filed as it is.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: So going back to the lofts, you mentioned that the setback will ensure that there's gonna be proper light in there into those buildings and there is a former egress to ensure that it doesn't affect the people who currently live there.

RICK GROPPER: So the units currently have, receive light and air from lot line windows.

Those, the windows are not a legal form of egress, at least from what our consultants and experts are telling us. The setback that we're providing is to continue to maintain that light and air but it, and

2 not to increase the degree of nonconformance of the 3 loft buildings but it will not actually legalize

4 those loft buildings.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: The parking that I see there, is that also open air or is that a structure?

RICK GROPPER: Yes.

GOUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay, and just I guess my final question is around sustainability.

You know, Bushwick has the poorest air quality in the city which results to high asthma rates. A lot of children in the community have been suffering from asthma and I think now, more than ever, any development should be as sustainable and as green as possible. Can you talk about sustainability around that and how you can help improve the conditions of Bushwick now with this development?

RICK GROPPER: Sure, so in this project, we've proposed to provide Energy Star appliances, LED light fixtures, low flow faucets and other plumbing fixtures, condensing boilers, motion sensors in the hallways so that some of the lights get dimmed down when there is a lack of motion in the hallways and beyond that, one of the things that you've expressed

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 71

and we've heard from other stakeholders are green

roofs to the extent that's possible and we're

committed to doing, to providing green roofs to help

the heat island effect and also deal with some of the

storm water runoff issues that are a result of the

7 combined sewer outflow in Bushwick and also other

8 areas of New York City.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you, I mean, you know, I'm gonna continue looking at this project. I still have to have conversations back with the community and all stakeholders but I'm sure those conversations will develop in the next month so thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, Council Member Espinal. I now will turn it over to Council Member Reynoso for a few questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yes, thank you.

First I just want to say when I first saw this plan,

I was extremely concerned. I'm still concerned but

when I first saw it, the fact that it even moved

through was beyond me considering the work that we're

doing in the Bushwick Community Plan. I am 100%

behind the Bushwick Community Plan and what you're

doing here kind of circumvents that opportunity.

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Instead of waiting to let the community to tell you what they want, you felt the need to move forward with a plan without their advice so I wasn't a fan of I see a lot of the modifications you are making is listening to some of the community concerns but I would rather you've had a relationship that started with the community, not ended with it and we have to start sending messages to developers and they can't just keep coming into communities and think they run the show. The community runs the show so I just have to stand here and at least let you know that, that I'm unhappy with the process that you partook in. The second thing is in one of the buildings on the DeKalb only site, 60% of those units are gonna be 80% of AMI and higher. If the you know, the AMI in Bushwick, average AMI is about 38% which means that the majority of the people are only, would be eligible for 20% of the units on the DeKalb site. We're talking about a one bedroom for \$2,400. 40% of your units in the DeKalb site will cost \$2,400 for a one bedroom. We don't consider that affordable in New York City, let alone Bushwick so I'm really concerned with the affordability as well and then just, it seems like when I look at the unit count,

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

14

25

2 | 70% of the units are studios and one bedrooms.

3 That's not supporting families, that live in Bushwick

4 | that are the ones that are being displaced so I'm

5 extremely concerned about that as well. I am gonna

6 defer deeply to Rafael Espinal, the Council Member

7 whose district this resides but because we're

8 partners in the Bushwick Community Plan, I'm gonna

9 have to hold you accountable every single step of the

10 way so right now I'm very dissatisfied with your

11 presentation. I'm dissatisfied with your approach

12 | and ultimately, I'm dissatisfied with the plan so

13 | thank you for your time here.

RICK GROPPER: Thank you.

15 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you Council

16 Member Reynoso and thank you to the panel for your

17 | testimony today. You are dismissed and I will now be

18 calling the next panel. Pamela Duprey, Devonte

19 | Jackson, Tahara [phonetic] Adams. Please state your

20 name. We will have the two minute clock going and

21 you may begin your testimony. You may begin whenever

22 you're ready.

23 DEVONTE JACKSON: Good morning Chairman

24 Moya, Council Member Espinal and members of New York

City Council Land Use Subcommittee and Zoning

	_	_		
SUBCOMMITTEE	$\cap N$	ZONING	AND	FRANCHISES

to these opportunities as well. Currently 1601

DeKalb is a vacant parking lot in a residential

building. Unfortunately outdated zoning prohibits

residential development at this site. This must be

changed. For these reasons I'm asking you to vote

7 yes in support of this new project. I handed in

supporting documents from tenants in the surrounding

9 areas to support this.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you for your testimony.

and members of the Committee. My name is Tahara and I'm a security officer at the World Trade Center and I'm a member of 32BJ. On behalf of the building service workers by 32BJ in New York City, especially the 2,600 32BJ members who work and live in Bushwick, I'm here to discuss how the rezoning at 1601 DeKalb will impact building service workers in the community. 32BJ is pleased to report that the developer behind 1601 DeKalb, Camber Property Group, has committed to creating high quality building service jobs at this site. 32BJ believes that developments that pay building service workers the industry standard prevailing wage and benefits allow

2.2

you.

workers to live and work in a city that they love while supporting their families. We are pleased to say that 32BJ represents Camber workers at a huge complex in the Bronx. Camber has been willing to create the kind of good jobs that can sustain a family in an increasingly expensive city. In addition to good jobs, the developer has also made an important commitment to provide affordable housing and protect the lost tenants at the site. For these reasons, we urge the Council to support the rezoning application. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

PAMELA DUPREY: Good morning Chairman
Moya, Council Member Espinal and members of the New
York City Council land use subcommittee on Zoning
Franchises. Thank you for allowing me to testify
today. Currently 1601 DeKalb is a vacant parking lot
and outdated zoning prohibits residential development
at this site. This must change. Please help the
families living in Bushwick that are being forced out
of their homes everyday due to rising rent costs into
the shelter system which is steadily growing. I urge
you to vote yes and support this proposal. Thank

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

PAMELA DUPREY: I too submitted Council some signatures from the residential area.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Great.

PAMELA DUPREY: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you very much.

Thank you all for your testimony today. You're

dismissed and I will be calling up the next panel,

Gloria Telez Tovar [phonetic], Orelis [phopnetic]

Cruz, Nelda Viaz Rivera, Hosea Lopez.

HOSEA LOPEZ: Two quick things before we jump in. One is for translation purposes, it looks like [Inaudible] Council Member so maybe we don't need translator. Just let me know. Two testimonies are in Spanish.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: We don't need translation. It's fine.

HOSEA LOPEZ: And then there's the second thing, just to clarify, we have a couple of testimonies today. The testimonies many were prepared based on the [Inaudible] and the original and still standing application and not today's presentation. We're gonna go ahead and read those testimonies so that you know what we're against and

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 then I will close us off just to talk a little bit
3 about what we're for based on

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: You.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Sure, we'll start with Who do you want to start with Orelis?

Oh Gloria, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Just state your name and you can begin.

GLORIA TELEST TOVAR: My name is Gloria Telest Tovar. Thank you for having me here. So I come before you considering proposal 1601 DeKalb by Camber Properties. Allow me to enlighten you a little bit about the Bushwick, Brooklyn. These [Inaudible] were taken by the statement of the community district needs issued by our Community Board 4 back in 2010. The Bushwick Community has been selected to house facilities for homelessness families. There's a stronger desire to win as the construction and renovation of city owned buildings for permanent apartments for Bushwick homelessness population. We are sensitive to the homeless, however providing the permanent apartments for those homeless people, who are from the Bushwick community, we feel that it is done best by providing a permanent

Residents are being illegally forced out of

2.1

2.2

apartments by unscrupulous property owners with the sole mission of selling the building and raising the rents for far beyond the reach of low to moderate income families. The senior citizen population has had a 25% increase from 2000 to 2010. These numbers will continue to grow as this segment of the population continues to grow older. However, the availability of adequate living quarters for seniors has not been kept pace to the housing crisis. I'll be done in a few seconds.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Okay.

GLORIA TELEST TOVAR: We've currently tried to address neighborhood preservation through rezoning. A major portion of the district is zoned R6 which contribute to the developers looking to construct SCC with are non-conformant to height and non-contextual with other buildings within the area. Most importantly, the rent in these buildings are extraordinary over prices. Consequently, community district residents are unable to afford to move into the building. The construction boom in the community has led to little or no affordability. Area residents and families are forced with doubling up to affordable rent apartments. Many residents have

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 81
2	expressed concerns. The future of the neighborhood
3	should be [Inaudible]. If the present trend
4	continues, these properties that set on larger or an
5	average size lot and are purchase and ultimately
6	demolish the development for new taller buildings
7	with the smaller -
8	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you
9	for your testimony.
10	GLORIA TELEST TOVAR: The rest I will
11	give you a copy if you want to further read it.
12	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Yeah, I appreciate it.
13	Thank you. Thank you so much.
14	ORELIS CRUZ: Buenos dios men ombres at
15	Orelis Cruz. [Rest of testimony is in Spanish]
16	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: [Spanish] the other
17	people. Start again.
18	ORELIS CRUZ: [In Spanish], Gracias.
19	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Gracias. [In
20	Spanish].
21	NELDA VIAZ RIVERA: Buenos tardes
22	[Testimony in Spanish].
23	CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Gracias, gracias. [In
24	Spanish]

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

NELDA VIAZ RIVERA: [Testimony in Spanish].

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Gracias, gracias senora, gracias, gracias. [In Spanish]

NELDA VIAZ RIVERA: [Testimony in Spanish].

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: [In Spanish]. Okay, gracias, yeah.

HOSEA LOPEZ: Great, so I'll speak to today's presentation. So as Make the Road, we've been doing a lot of work on this particular site on a number of different issues. One is making sure that we can win deep affordability for the families most in need but also making sure that we're protecting both existing tenants and existing workers so I'll start with affordability and the new set of numbers that we saw today. I will agree and say that we have seen a shift from the original presentation that we were shown five months ago. However, I think we still have a few questions. One of those questions is about deep affordability when we're looking at the joint Cedar and DeKalb site. Our folks only make between \$18,000 and \$27,000 a year, most on the lower end of that and so there is a question about how we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

might be able to get more at the 30 and 40% AMI bands so one thing we'd like to ask of Camber is what could be the case if we took a look at either the 80 and the 100% bands, maybe bump that up to 130% AMI to see how much more units that could leverage at the 30 and 40% bands. I think that Council Member Reynoso said it earlier. For our folks if it's not below 40%, it's practically market rate because they can't afford it and so if we can get more at those lower bands I think that we could be supportive. The second thing was raised earlier and it's about the deed restriction. We have to be sure that this is absolutely guaranteed, whatever deal gets done and so we'd like to recommend that Camber file a deed restriction to be sure that we're quaranteed the units on this project. In terms of displacement, I think really it's just a matter of principle for this Council. You either believe that a developer can and should apply for lots that they don't own and ask for changes or you don't. Five adjacent sites going up to Wyckoff Avenue are not owned by the developer and so we're requesting that all five sites be removed from the scope of this application and the reason that that's important is because if those lots become

as of right, then we lose the ability to do what we're doing now, which is leveraging better deals for the community on a spot by spot application basis to make sure that we're not stuck with standard MIH when we're looking to M to R's where developers make significant profit and then the last thing is this lot line image and so what we were told before coming in today was that there was gonna be a memorialized lot line setback to protect the tenants who have windows facing the parking lot. Based on this image, which I'm seeing now, it doesn't look like there is a full lot line setback on the DeKalb and the Hart Street side and so if the walls touch and we're blocking any windows for those loft tenants, it could be the case that DOB goes in, says that they don't have egress and ask those tenants to leave so we'd like to see this restructured. We'd like to see a new image to protect tenants and we'd also recommend that we pull DOB into the conversation. We really want to understand from the Department of Buildings what needs to be the case when we talk about lot lines to make sure that those tenants don't get displaced.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you, thank you
for your testimony. Gracias [In Spanish]. The next
panel we'll be calling up is Scott Short and Neimbe
Kate. Did I pronounce it wrong? I'm sorry, so
sorry.

QUE BECOTE: It's Que Becote [phonetic]

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Got it, thank you.

Just state your name and you can begin. We have two minutes.

QUE BECOTE: Sure, okay. My name is Que Becote. I just wanted to submit letters of support that we, my name is Que Becote. I do community engagement for the project, 1601 DeKalb. I live in Bushwick and I just wanted to submit 30 letters of support that we've received from the local businesses after doing outreach and, you know, finding out what they felt about the project and how, what they thought about, about the process. That's it.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

SCOTT SHORT: Good morning, Chair Moya and Council Member Espinal. My name is Scott Short.

I am the CEO of RiseBoro community partnership.

We're a non-profit organization based in Bushwick with offices just a few blocks from the rezoning area

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

19 in Bushwick. Because discussions with community

17

18

25

20 members and HPD are ongoing, we have not settled on a

tenants. These are the types of projects that are

desperately to stem the tide of resident displacement

21 final proposed development scenario. However, I

22 | believe that any of the options currently under

23 consideration set a good precedent for the kind of

public benefit that communities should expect when

agreeing to rezone manufacturing land for residential

in opposition to this application joined by Assembly

22 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

19

20

21

23

24

25

you.

ROBERT COMMACHO: My name is Robert

Commacho. My family has been in Bushwick I think

more than anybody in here. My grandmother is 102 and

the [Inaudible] is putting communities first, it will

follow our lead and no vote for this project.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

she still lives in Bushwick so you know how long she retired and how much she make, right? Very, very, very, very low. I see everybody out there that got shirts that says affordable. That's not affordable for seniors like us or for people that live like me all my life there. My kids can't grow in Bushwick and if you allow this to go on, we're not gonna have our people anymore in Bushwick. Don't you guys see the picture and see what's going on with our community? In '77 when the blackout was killing Bushwick, I didn't see Camber. When drugs were killing the Latinos and Black, now it's called opium, you know, only because the suburbs kids are getting killed with the rich money but now we call it opium addiction. Guess what, when we were kids we were drug dealers, crack heads and no good. Camber wasn't there. Think about that. We need to preserve our community. You're taking away a boxing gym. an amateur boxer in the 80's. I was three time golden glove finalist. I went to the Lakebrook regionals. I have a sister now. She's 25 years old. She's going for her doctor's degree. She's almost done with her doctorate. You know where she wants to come, back to Bushwick to help Bushwick. You know

Moya and Council Member Espinal. I'm the managing

attorney for Brooklyn Legal Services, Corporation A's

24

application comes in your community and if it doesn't
line up with the community's express plan, that gets
dumped. The second piece of power to remind you all
on this Council is government's capacity both to
create social ills and to fix them. Remember that in

to give power to those plans by saying when an

17

23

24

we know from reading books like the *Color of Law* and

1994 it was this Council's decision in part and what

25 | Evicted, but it was this Council's decision on high

2 | rent deregulation that created in part the

3 preservation crisis that we have so on this

4 application, in terms of having your power, you have

5 a tool called a rezoning or the ability to approve

6 land use. Use that tool to have what we consider in

7 | Bushwick sound planning principles, people over

8 buildings. The sound planning principles mean that

9 we keep Bushwick the way it is with the people that

10 government power, that government tools are used to

11 | benefit people and that's what this application

12 allows you to do. This opportunity, wanted to remind

13 you of that and thank you for your time.

begin your testimony.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony today. We will be calling up the next panel, Marcel Negret [phonetic], Mabeline Nevaro, and Astrid Rengefo [phonetic]. Is that right? Thank you, just state your name and you may

Hello, my name is Marcel Negret. I have been living in the same block of DeKalb Avenue in Bushwick for almost a decade. I'm a loft tenant.

Today I'm representing the interests of my neighbors. Since the public review started, there has been significant changes to this proposal. However, I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

legislation, the state senate. The rezoning itself would not bring these buildings into compliance but it will create speculation. Because of this, we request for the loft buildings to be removed from the rezoning as well. Second, regarding the light and air easement agreement, the site as currently proposed is still problematic. Residents from the A units of the building on Lot 41, those units that are closer to the DeKalb Avenue side would still see all their windows blocked, eliminating their access to light and air. We urge for Camber to maintain a minimum of 15' setback all along the northern property line. This light and air easement agreement should be maintained in perpetuity or at least maintained for the entire duration of the property lease given to Camber. Finally, it would be important to consolidate the written document or the DOB form that describes the duration and the meets and bounds of this agreement. The document should be included in the community [Inaudible] agreement and committing to record the language as is with the Department of Buildings before building permits are submitted.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you. Thank you 3 for your testimony. You may begin. Just state your

4 name.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MABELINE NEVARO: Good morning, my name is Mabeline and I'm one of the many young people in Bushwick no let me change that. I'm one of the many young people in New York City who has experienced the effects of gentrification in our neighborhoods. the thing is, I've lived in multiple apartments in DeKalb, been displaced from those apartments because my family could no longer afford the rent. walked from DeKalb to Myrtle Ave. for many years and it's disheartening to see that the businesses I used to pass are no longer there. For example, the 99 cent store I used to shop at turned into a restaurant. It infuriates me to see the apartments being built because it represents more families who are displaced and mistreated. Too often black and brown families have to bear the brunt of displacement and it saddens me. Our demands are simple. I want to see housing that my parents can afford, not rents that will force us to spend all our income on rent. Second, I want to protect the commercial spaces we have on Wyckoff like Brotherhood Boxing Club and

the transition of the neighborhood so hear me as I

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

speak out that we don't need an unaffordable nine
story complex in the neighborhood. We demand a no on
this project.

CHAIRPERSON MOYA: Thank you.

ASTRID RENGEFO: Honorable members of the City Council. My name is Astrid Rengefo and I'm a resident of Bushwick at 1609 DeKalb, an adjacent loft building to the proposed development side at 1601 DeKalb and thanks to the support of our local representatives, Council Member Espinal, Assembly member [Inaudible], our community board number 4 and community organizations like Make the Road New York and the participation of hundreds of people from our Bushwick community, we have seen after a very disappointing start a willingness from Camber Property Group to take into account some of the issues we exposed at the beginning of the process. Notwithstanding, the loft tenants at 1609 DeKalb are still at risk of being displaced. To this time, a setback proposal has been made verbally by Camber but as comprehensive binding agreement with the tenant's association and the owner of the building is yet to be seen. Moreover, the latest setback proposal will not prevent the tenants from being displaced. At

know when the clock starts. I guess it starts when I

a lot to me and it's gotten to the point where I've,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I work for a non-profit organization called Churches United for Fair Housing and I appreciate you standing in solidarity with us as much as you do and I organized a month worth of protests, right. A month worth of protests of the City for continuing to perpetuate racial segregation, for these developers and these landlords who come into the neighborhood that is impoverished and bring in market rate units that we know are displacing our people at a disproportionate rate. I scheduled a month of actions. There's no organization that's doing that and every single day we target one building, one developer and we call them out and we highlight the city. There are market rate units that go up every single day and the homelessness rate is over 90,000 and that's only on record so imagine the families that aren't on record. Imagine the immigrants, imagine the people who simply in Bushwick don't make enough. 60%, 80% AMI is not affordable at all. need deeper affordability levels for the people who currently live there and not the hipsters, the new residents who can afford to pay \$2,400 or \$3,200 for an apartment. We need deeper affordability for our people and we need it now and you guys are in a

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 26, 2018