1		COMMITTEE ON AGING	1
2	CITY COUNCIL		
3	CITY OF NEW YOR		
4			
5	TRANSCRIPT OF 1		
6	Of t	he	
7	COMMITTEE ON AG	GING X	
8		June 21, 2018	
9		Start: 10:08 a.m. Recess: 12:09 p.m.	
10			
11	HELD AT:	Council Chambers - City Hall	
12	BEFORE:	MARGARET S. CHIN Chairperson	
13	COUNCIL MEMBE	RS:	
14		DIANA AYALA CHAIM M. DEUTSCH	
15		RUBEN DIAZ, SR.	
16		DANIEL DROMM MATHIEU EUGENE	
		DEBORAH L. ROSE	
17		MARK TREYGER PAUL A. VALLONE	
18		HELEN K. ROSENTHAL	
19		JUMAANE D. WILLIAMS	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING 2
2	
3	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
4	Donna Corrado Commissioner for the New York City Department for
5	The Aging, DFTA
6	Michael Bosnick Deputy Commissioner of the Planning and Technology Division at the New York City
7	Department for the Aging, DFTA
8	Sasha Fishmon Associate Commissioner for Fiscal Operations for
9	The New York City Department for the Aging, DFTA
10	Michelle Jackson Deputy Director and General Counsel for the Human
11	Services Council of New York, HSC
12	Andrea Cianfrani Director of Public Policy at Live on New York
13	
14	Kevin Douglas Co-Director of Policy and Advocacy at United Neighborhood Houses of New York, UNH
15	Chris Widelo
16	Associate State Director for AARP
17	Katie Foley Director of Public Affairs at SelfHelp Community
18	Services
19	Po Ling Ng Director of Open Door Senior Center
20	
21	Jonah Gensler Associate Executive Director for Sunnyside Community Services
22	
23	Aaron Rooney Clinical Director at Stanley Isaacs Neighborhood Center
24	Ariel Savransky
25	Advocacy and Policy Advisor at UJA Federation of New York

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING	3
2		
3	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)	
4	Rachel Sherrow Associate Executive Director at Citymeals on	
5	Wheels	
6	Carlyn Cowen Chief Policy and Public Affairs Officer at the	
7	Chinese American Planning Council	
8	Jeanette Estima Senior Policy Analyst at FPWA	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[gavel]

3 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Good morning.

[off mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Wow, it's great to see a big crowd here today. I thought it was pretty loud. Good morning and welcome. I am Council Member Margaret Chin, Chair of the Committee on Aging. Thank you all for joining us today for the Committee's oversight hearing on senior center model budgets. Senior centers funded by the Department of the Aging also known as DFTA serve almost 30,000 seniors daily at 249 different sites. These senior centers play a vital role in the aging community, they provide seniors with meals, activities, health management resources, educational programming and socialization. According to the National Council on Aging, seniors who attend senior centers experience improvements in their social, mental, and economic wellbeing. Studies also show that seniors who attend senior centers can learn to manage and even postpone the beginning of chronic illness. Simply put senior centers provide a host of benefits for our aging population thus it is important that they receive the funding necessary to properly provide these services. Unfortunately,

2	funding disparities exist across the city's senior
3	centers. Different senior centers receive different
4	amounts of funding and are reimbursed at different
5	rates. Though the reason why it's often unclear to
6	help address this inequity in funding, the city
7	council successfully fought for an additional ten
8	million dollars as part of the fiscal year 2018
9	historic year of the senior's 23-million-dollar
10	increase. DFTA and the Office of Management and
11	Budget also known as OMB, worked together to create a
12	model budget for senior centers to help DFTA allocate
13	these additional funds. DFTA then compared each
14	senior center's existing budgets to the model budget
15	number to access the senior center's need. Based on
16	this assessment of need, DFTA allocated the ten
17	million dollars for a total of 20 million to senior
18	centers to be used for direct staff and programming
19	needs. Since then DFTA has made a commitment to add
20	an additional 10 million to senior center's budget by
21	fiscal year 2021. Now the Committee now seeks to
22	learn more about DFTA's model budget process. While
23	the Committee applauds DFTA's work in developing its
24	senior center model budget, we still have major
25	concorns After the release of the model hydret

2	senior centers directors were furious that the model
3	budget did not take care of meals, meal preparation
4	or kitchen staff. Many of their kitchen staff who
5	work, who cook, and serve nutritious meals to
6	hundreds of seniors everyday have not received a
7	raise in years and will now have to watch as some of
8	their colleagues receive one. Unfortunately, this
9	sends a message to them that they are not seen as a
10	valued member of the staff. Even though this
11	administration had an opportunity to heed the
12	concerns of the Directors on the ground in the next
13	budget, it decided to ignore our requests to put a
14	down payment on meal reimbursement. The Committee is
15	also concerned that senior centers and advocates were
16	not involved with the model budget development
17	process. In fact, DFTA did not involve these
18	individuals until about a month before the model
19	budget was created. These important stakeholders
20	could have served as an invaluable resource to DFTA.
21	So, today we will hear from DFTA advocates and other
22	interested stakeholders about the senior center model
23	budget. The Committee seeks to learn about any other
24	identifiable gaps in senior center funding that still
25	exists after this model budget process and what must

2	be done to help achieve parody between senior centers
3	going forward. I'd like to thank the Committee Staff
4	for their help in putting together this hearing; our
5	Policy Analyst Kalima Johnson; our Counsel Nuzhat
6	Chowdhury and Finance Analyst Daniel Kroop and
7	Finance Unit Head Dohini Sompura and other members of
8	the committee will be joining us soon. Now I would
9	like to ask, ask our Counsel to administer the oath
10	to our first panel, Commissioner welcome, Donna
11	Corrado, we also have Sasha Fishmon, the Associate
12	Commissioner for Fiscal Operations and Michael
13	Bosnick, Deputy Commissioner for Planning and
14	Technology. Thank you and welcome.
15	COMMITTEE CLERK CHOWDHURY: Please raise
16	your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the
17	whole truth and nothing but the truth in your
18	testimony before this committee and to respond
19	honestly to Council Member questions?
20	DONNA CORRADO: Yes.
21	MICHAEL BOSNICK: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: We've also been joined by Council Member Rosenthal who's visiting us, thank you.

2 DONNA CORRADO: Good morning Chairperson 3 Chin, members of the Aging Committee, advocates, partners, friends and supporters and DFTA staff. I am 4 Donna Corrado, Commissioner of the New York City 5 Department for the Aging and I'm joined today by 6 7 Michael Bosnick, Deputy Commissioner of the Division and Planning and Technology and Sasha Fishmon our 8 Associate Commissioner for budget. I would like to 9 thank, thank you for this opportunity to provide 10 testimony on the topic of senior center model 11 12 budgets. As I mentioned in recent testimony before 13 this Committee during the Fiscal Year '19 preliminary 14 and executive budget hearings and in line with the 15 administration's broader vision of promoting fairness 16 and equity, the administration has added ten million 17 in new baselined funds to senior center portfolio 18 starting in Fiscal Year '18 which will grow to 20 million by Fiscal Year '21. This represents a 19 20 significant investment in DFTA's senior center network. These funds were designated to help create 21 2.2 parity in our senior center budgets and provide 23 adequate funding to achieve and expand array... an 24 array of programming across the senior center system. DFTA and the Mayor's Office of Management and Budget, 25

will with input from our network of providers and
other stakeholders, conducted a thorough analysis of
the existing line item budgets and spending patterns
across our portfolio of 249 senior centers. As a
result, we identified several characteristics that
exemplify high quality programs, highlighting strong
leadership and staff as well as a rich array of
health and educational programming. We then compared
existing budgets to the funding patterns that support
the key attributes of a high-quality program and
calculated the need for each center based on where
their current budgets compared to this model. The key
objective of the model budget has been to achieve a
more equitable distribution of available funds among
senior centers and to ensure every center has the
funding they need to deliver high quality services.
The model budget reflects that every center needs
adequate funding to provide a threshold level of
quality programming and to pay competitive wages to
attract and retain high quality staff. The network of
249 senior centers was divided into five groups based
on average daily participants, in recognition of the
fact that there are certain costs that vary based on
the size of the center, such as the need for modestly

more staff to run a very large center compared to a
very small one. At the same time the model accounts
for certain fixed costs for running a center,
irrespective of the average daily participants. The
resulting amounts given to each center were divided
between an amount for program staff and another for
programming, based on each center's area of need.
However, funding remained flexible across line items,
within certain parameters; thus, allowing centers to
identify their most critical needs and to submit
proposals to DFTA accordingly. In March, 223 senior
centers were notified of the amounts they will
receive for both Fiscal Year '18 and Fiscal Year '19.
All of the centers receiving this funding have
submitted their proposals for use of the funds and
the contract amendment process is well underway.
Depending on individual urgent needs, a number of
centers proposed to allocate a portion of their model
budget funds for purposes outside of the prescribed
model. DFTA assessed each of these requests on an
individual basis to ensure providers had flexibility
while still meeting the ultimate objectives of the
model. Centers were also permitted to propose one
time needs for the Fiscal Year 118 allocation This

was a thorough, yearlong process in which many of our
external partners played an important role.
Ultimately, we believe our mutual goal of equity was
met and that the model budget funding will enhance
the quality of our senior center programming. We are
confident in the soundness of our formula and
processes and intend to implement a similar
methodology for future rightsizing efforts. For
instance, and as you know, the model does not address
food costs. We are currently in the process of
working on an evaluation of food services across all
programs. This work is being done with the help of a
consultant, and we anticipate this analysis will be
completed later this year. Our goal for the second
phase of the model is to evaluate how to achieve
efficiencies in food procurement, preparation and
delivery, while increasing quality and choice. I
thank you for this opportunity to provide testimony
on senior center model budgets. And my colleagues and
I are pleased to answer any questions you may have.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you Commissioner and we also have been joined by Committee Member Council Member Ayala, welcome. Commissioner in terms

2.2

of the model budget in a, a 2017 union settlement analysis found few disparities in New York City senior center of funding. In fact, their analysis found that in FY '16 a senior center in Midwood, Brooklyn received about \$5.32 for each senior participant per day while a center in Jamaica, Queens received about \$50.31 for each senior participant per day so for the record can DFTA explain why such a funding disparity exists and then prior to the adoption of the senior center model budget had DFTA made any attempts to address funding disparity across senior centers?

was, was about remediating that long-term inequity in senior center funding. Now there... you know there are historical reasons why that happened, the most evident one was through the RFP process we set certain threshold funding and then people and, and sponsors proposed a certain amount for, for funding and it was either approved or not approved but more importantly there were a number of centers that were not funded by DFTA through the RFP process and then subsequently a council member would fund the center at a very low rate and then they would be baselined

2.2

the problem. So, this was an attempt to look at senior centers across the board, everybody we you know we've always had the same expectations whether a center was well funded or over funded or, or not
know we've always had the same expectations whether a
center was well funded or over funded or or not
center was well runded of over runded of, of not
funded entirely so with those expectations it, it
stands to reason that they should be adequately
funding if we're going to hold them to the same
accountability and have the same expectations. So,
this whole exercise was about really correcting that
long-term inequity.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yeah, I know that in the last... definitely since the last session the council was able to supplement a lot of the senior centers who either over serve or they just didn't have enough budget and hopefully with the model budget funding... [cross-talk]

DONNA CORRADO: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...that the council

don't have to pick up what we call the senior center
enhancement.

DONNA CORRADO: So, so the, the senior center model budget provides a threshold of funding this... and the council monies that are added

2.2

to that what we known as discretionary allocations
provide enhancements above and beyond that. So, it
was never meant to supplant what council was doing
and if council continues to fund those enhancements
then more programming and more enrichment will be had
by the seniors, but it certainly was not meant to
supplant it.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Well I don't think we wanted to... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...keep funding it, alright, it should be core... those are core services that we really feel that is the administration, DFTA's responsibility and we're going to continue to keep advocating for more because right now the budget for DFTA for our senior is still less than half a percent of the city's budget and we were hoping to get up at least to one but we're still only at half so we're not done. In your, your model budget I know that in the fiscal year, the... in the preliminary budget hearing we did ask for the formula and so we saw that you broke it down to five groups but why is there such a, a disparity, a gap between, you know from one group to the other like the first block the,

the difference was like 10,000 but then between the first and the second the difference was like 48...

[cross-talk]

DONNA CORRADO: Right... [cross-talk]
CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...thousand?

DONNA CORRADO: So, Michael our Deputy

Commissioner is going to answer that question because
he really got into the leads in terms of how they
would stratify it in different categories, but it was
essentially based on average daily participants, but
Michael can elaborate on that.

mentioned we have five different categories based on average daily participants. The middle category is the large category because most of our centers in terms of average daily participants group in an average size, a medium sized center so that... the centers that are the largest centers and the very smallest centers are small numbers of centers in each of those groups because they're kind of outliers in terms of our typical centers. I did want to ask for clarification when you mentioned 10,000 and 40,000 I wasn't sure what you were referring to.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: That's from your recommended total for direct staff and programming, the first block was 195,424 and then the second block was 205,576 so between...

[off mic dialogue]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yeah, the difference from the, the different blocks.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MICHAEL}}$$ BOSNICK: Oh, for the average size of the budget.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yeah.

MICHAEL BOSNICK: It was... right and that is based on average daily participants, we... the largest centers as I mentioned what we call block five, the largest group there are only 12 of those centers so they are just major outliers that for the reasons that the Commissioner mentioned historically have gotten very, very larger amounts of funding compared to other centers be... and they do have large numbers of participants showing up each day so that accounts for the difference between the amount of baseline budget needed for the largest group compared to the other groups. We tested to see that the outlying large centers and small centers were not out of range when you look at how much money is available

per participant by standardizing the model to look at
how many dollars are being given to a center to
support each participant who's coming in, we felt
that that was a good basic measure to look at
variation from one center to another and when you
control for the size of for the number of people
showing up each day you'll see that those disparities
in average dollars per participant grows smaller and
in fact if you look at the largest centers they have
fewer dollars per participant on average simply
because you have various economies of scale. So,
anyway once you go get beyond the raw dollars to
look at dollars per participant there you see
clustering in terms of how we're allocating dollars
from one center between centers.
CHAIRPERSON CHIN: But it in, in this
the model budget, I mean I think most of the centers
were very happy because they got extra money [cross-

talk]

MICHAEL BOSNICK: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...but there was a group, I think about 20 something and most of them were large centers they didn't get a dime...

MICHAEL BOSNICK: Uh-huh...

2 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: And they were not happy...

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MICHAEL BOSNICK: Uh-huh...

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: And these are the centers that serve a large population.

DONNA CORRADO: So, there's, there's no doubt that, you know we have a number of centers that we consider outliers that are serving an extraordinary number of people and much of their... to begin with they have very wonderful advocates so they have a robust budget to begin with but they did not get additional monies and that's unfortunate because most of their costs are associated with food because that is really... you know they're serving so many people so that is something that we've looked at individually and we'll be addressing but it was not part of the model senior center exercise but it's something that we're aware of and something that we will work to, to help, help these centers but it cannot be, you know in... and in, in all fairness it was not part of the model budget exercise so it's not part of this budget amendment but we do have to acknowledge that, you know centers that over serve and where we can help fund them for the over serving

2.2

we	wil	l do	that	and	we	have	done	that	in	the	past	and
we '	7 1 1	cont.	i nue	to ad	dvo	~ate	on th	eir h	≏ha '	1 f		

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: So, how... so, when...

DFTA when... you mentioned over serve so what is your...

how do you... what are you... your definition of over

serve, what do you consider... [cross-talk]

DONNA CORRADO: So, if we for example have a contract to serve 500,000 meals and that's with DFTA's... you know baseline funding is for 500,000 meals and they're serving 750,000 we need to cover their food costs so, where we can do that we do and we try very hard come the end of the fiscal year to either add additional money to the budget to move money around with accruals to cover the cost of that food because they're over served so it's on an individual contractual basis but there are certain centers and certain communities that are, are overflowing.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Well that's why the council we asked the administration for this year's budget... [cross-talk]

DONNA CORRADO: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...to at least put in 11.6 million dollars to help supplement the food cost

2.2

and we call that universal free lunch for seniors
because seniors have to they ask to make a
contribution, right, but in all of the centers that I
visited the first line that you have to cross is to
pay for the lunch to get your ticket and I just got
something in the e-mail that one of my centers is
raising the cost from \$1.50 to two dollars.

DONNA CORRADO: Well that's a bargain because in San Francisco they ask for five dollars of contribution... [cross-talk]

12 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Well that's San
13 Francisco... [cross-talk]

DONNA CORRADO: You know and... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...we're in New York
City... [cross-talk]

most part, you know in all fairness and we may agree to disagree there are a number of centers and I'll acknowledge that where it really is a burden for a senior to give a contribution and we acknowledge that and we know where those centers are but for the most part and even in low income areas and I keep saying this, they're the most generous and they contribute

the most and in some areas with a, you know very high
capita they're less generous for a contribution but
the contribution number one is required through the
older Americans Act, we have no choice but to ask for
a contribution and we should be doing that in a way
that's, you know respects the person's dignity and
anonymity and I know we need to do a better job of
that and we've reminded program offices, we just went
through this exercise about how they need to instruct
programs to make sure that no one is being coerced in
giving a contribution but the contribution in fact is
a very important piece and, and for the most part
seniors do want to contribute to the cost of their
meal so other than for those seniors who are
absolutely impoverished and suffering from food
insecurity they, they really do want to contribute
whether it's 50 cents, whether it's a dollar or a
\$1.50 so we need to collect that money so it and it
also offsets the cost of the meal so there's a
variation, you know and it varies from center to
center but I do agree that the centers need to be
more conscious of respecting the person's dignity and
making sure that they're not coerced because everyone
is entitled to a meal so universal free lunch is

already in existence in, in essence and they can contribute or not contribute, no one really knows and we, we need to maintain the anonymity but it is important for most people to contribute something.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yeah, we agree but the way it is set up a lot of the centers they have to collect that money to supplement their food budget...

[cross-talk]

DONNA CORRADO: They do... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...and they're asked by

DFTA and if the money goes down they have to be

accountable to DFTA, DFTA says well why... how come

you're not collecting as much contribution so I think

that has to change, we can ask seniors to contribute

in a way that they feel comfortable in doing... [cross-

DONNA CORRADO: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...it but right now for a lot of centers it's the minute you walk in the door and that's why we wanted to really help offset the cost of meal increase by asking the administration to put in the money for this year's budget and you know at the last minute they... right before we voted on the

2.2

talk]

budget they gave us 2.8 million for home delivered meals...

DONNA CORRADO: Right...

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: I'm grateful for that but we asked for four and there's still 7.6 that we've asked for, for the congregate meal and we're going to have to keep pushing for it because the model budget did not take care of the food... [crosstalk]

DONNA CORRADO: Right... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...so that is something that... you know when OMB tells me oh, it's going to be taken care of in the model budget, well you didn't take care of it...

DONNA CORRADO: Well if they told you it was going to be taken care of in the model budget I think that that was factually incorrect but we are being taken care of in this phase two of this model budget process in this whole looking at food service in general and coming up with ways that we can increase the quality, the quantity and also the, the amount of choice and accountability and part of that is how we collect contributions, how we order food

2.2

COMMITTEE ON AGING 1 2 and we can use some modern technologies to help 3 advance that. CHAIRPERSON CHIN: So, how soon will you 4 be able to finish that study or... [cross-talk] 5 6 DONNA CORRADO: The... that ... this study is, 7 is ongoing and it will be... hopefully be finished by the end of the calendar year. 8 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: End of the calendar... 9 10 [cross-talk] 11 DONNA CORRADO: Calendar year, which is ... 12 [cross-talk] 13 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...end of this year... 14 [cross-talk] 15 DONNA CORRADO: ...by the first of the 16 year, yes. CHAIRPERSON CHIN: I'm not sure I'm going 17 18 to believe you Commissioner maybe it's not DFTA but even with OMB they drag their feet on this model 19 20 budget and it... [cross-talk] 21 DONNA CORRADO: So, it's, it's... [cross-2.2 talk] 23 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: It's almost the end of the fiscal year that... [cross-talk] 24

DONNA CORRADO: It's... [cross-talk]

2	CHAIRPERSON CHIN:the centers were
3	getting their money… [cross-talk]
4	DONNA CORRADO:it's a work it's a work
5	in progress but it is being done.
6	CHAIRPERSON CHIN: We're going to keep on
7	pushing but I'm going ask my colleague to ask some
8	questions and then I'll, I'll come back.
9	DONNA CORRADO: Sure.
10	CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Council Member
11	Rosenthal.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you.
13	Thank you, Commissioner, and to your staff for doing
14	this work. I, I'm not as involved as the Chair of
15	Aging, City Council Member Chin is so these might be
16	some questions just to catch me up to what's going or
17	but I'm wondering first of all if when you did the
18	model budgeting if you felt what was the if you
19	felt constrained by the ten million dollar
20	allocation, another words when you did the model
21	budgeting what was the total need and did it land
22	over ten million and then I'm going to ask you is
23	that the reason why you took out the cost of food?

DONNA CORRADO: We took out the cost of food because we were doing that as a separate

24

exercise and we didn't want it to muddy the water and
we're really concentrating on improving the
programming based on evidence based programming that
we wanted every center to have so that we can achieve
certain outcomes and also we wanted to be able to
recruit and retain staff so that was our priorities
phase one, that's not to say that the you know that
we don't need to address the whole food issue, we do,
it's a separate exercise and we just didn't want to
muddy the water and it was a good start. Do you want
to expand on that?
MICHAEL BOSNICK: Should I expand on it?
DONNA CORRADO: Yeah.
MICHAEL BOSNICK: Right, as the
Commissioner said in order to make sure that [cross-
talk]
COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, I'm sorry
to interrupt
MICHAEL BOSNICK: Uh-huh
COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, what's the
total need, when you did the analysis with the… you

know for, for a model budget what, what did the total

need come to?

COMMITTEE ON AGING 1 2 DONNA CORRADO: It came to 21 million 3 less whatever the food cost thing is. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: 21 million... 5 [cross-talk] DONNA CORRADO: Right... [cross-talk] 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: ...less... [cross-8 talkl 9 DONNA CORRADO: ...which will be phased in over a period of time. 10 11 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Sorry, just 12 stay with me, it's early in the morning, I had a five 13 a.m. wake up call this morning. So, you did the model 14 budgeting to do the experiential better budget, 15 added... [cross-talk] 16 DONNA CORRADO: Correct... [cross-talk] 17 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: ...up the 18 difference between how much money they get now and what the new cost would be and the, the delta between 19 20 those two numbers not including food was how much 21 annually? 2.2 DONNA CORRADO: 21 million... [cross-talk] 23 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: 21 million 24 which is magically very close to the 20 million

dollars that you have been allocated annually, just

2.2

noting that and then for the wage differences, in
order to hire experienced people does that involve
contract negotiations at all or are these all through
the CBO just the choice of the Director as to how
much to pay people?

DONNA CORRADO: So, some agencies do have collective bargaining most of them do not and we put in enough so that they can do and have the flexibility to either raise their staff, give them raises or hire staff at a higher starting salary.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Are you assuming that across the city people with the same titles will be paid the same wages?

DONNA CORRADO: I'm not assuming anything because if you look at what the contract titles are, are very different from what the agency titles are but essentially within, within reason I think that the job functioning tasks are the same and that they should be basically more equitable.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, in your doing the analysis did you equalize that?

DONNA CORRADO: We gave them enough money to pay salaries which we thought were fair, but we didn't target into a particular dollar amount because

2	it's not collective bargaining and we don't control
3	what sponsors pay their staff, we cannot do that and
4	some of them do have collective bargaining issues so
5	we're working that on a case by case basis.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yep and did
7	you… could you identify for each of us Council
8	Members the senior centers in our districts and how
9	much more funding they got and what in your in, in
10	doing the model budgeting what that was intended to
11	cover whether it be programs that weren't provided or
12	some sort of staff allocation [cross-talk]
13	DONNA CORRADO: Yes, we do have [cross-
14	talk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:or [cross-
16	talk]
17	DONNA CORRADO:that level of, of
18	analysis and we'll be able to meet with you.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: You have that?
20	DONNA CORRADO: Yes, we do… [cross-talk]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: The city has
22	it, and did you do in that analysis any thought… any
23	thinking about the food costs at all or is that an
24	analysis you haven't done yet?

2	DONNA CORRADO: It's an analysis that
3	we're in the process of doing but no, it is not
4	included in the model senior center exercise, no.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: The reason I
6	say that is because we can talk about it as an
7	existential exercise but at some point, it turns into
8	money in the budget and I'm wondering if when you
9	plan to know what that cost would be, so we can all
10	get engaged with you to make sure those costs are
11	covered.
12	DONNA CORRADO: So, we are in the process
13	of doing that and we hope by the end of the calendar
14	year that we will know better what that cost is and
15	how also we can realize more efficiencies to offset
16	that cost and then what, what the difference will be.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I'm sorry?
18	DONNA CORRADO: So… [cross-talk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: You're
20	looking… [cross-talk]
21	DONNA CORRADO:what the cost [cross-
22	talk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:for
24	efficiencies… [cross-talk]

DONNA CORRADO: ...would be... [cross-talk]

2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:that may
3	offset the, the cost of the actual cost of food for
4	people… [cross-talk]
5	DONNA CORRADO: Of, of food service,
6	we're looking at it in totality, we're not just
7	looking at the food service workers, what their what
8	their hourly rate is of course, we're looking on how
9	we can modernize food service delivery all together
10	so it's a it's a much more comprehensive exercise
11	than just looking at what to pay the food service
12	staff.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Got it so when
14	you did the model budgeting you took out the entire
15	kitchen portion; staffing, the cost of food?
16	DONNA CORRADO: We took out the cost of
17	raw food and catering and we did not account for an
18	increase for the food service staff.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And when as
20	[cross-talk]
21	DONNA CORRADO: Correct [cross-talk]
22	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:you're
23	thinking about it now are you making accommodations
24	for religious differences, for example centers that
25	serve all kosher meals?

DONNA CORRADO: Our intention is to
expand the number of choices and the mechanism by
which we serve food so it's more basically more
choice, more accountability and a better experience
for the consumer.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, with all due respect I think what I'm saying is and I appreciate the, the customer centric language for sure but for example I have a, a senior center in my district that only serves kosher meals and therefor requires certain accommodations in the kitchen, I'm not... I'm not sure they're looking for choice, they're looking for kosher meals 100 percent, is that something that would be accommodated?

DONNA CORRADO: So, we do have an, an accommodation for kosher meals as you know and, and they're reimbursed at a higher rate than a... than a non-kosher meal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, so... [cross-talk]

DONNA CORRADO: So, that's something that we've been doing historically for as long as I can remember.

2.2

2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay and then
3	just specifically on your testimony you said in March
4	223 senior centers were notified of the amounts they
5	will receive, is that the total number… sorry, just
6	a… I'm almost done, is that the total number of
7	senior centers that exist or is that [cross-talk]
8	DONNA CORRADO: No, that's, that's the,
9	the number that will be getting an increase.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Would any be
11	getting decreases?
12	DONNA CORRADO: No one is getting a
13	decrease, some did not get anything because they were
14	at or above the model senior center… [cross-talk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Got it and
16	how… [cross-talk]
17	DONNA CORRADO:threshold [cross-talk]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:many did that
19	total?
20	DONNA CORRADO: What was that, 26, 26
21	MICHAEL BOSNICK: 26.
22	DONNA CORRADO: 26 centers.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay. Alright,
24	thank you very much, thank you Chair Chin.

DONNA CORRADO: You're very welcome.

2	CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you. So,
3	Commissioner will we be able to see the funding
4	associated with the food analysis to be included in
5	the 2019 February financial plan?
6	DONNA CORRADO: That's, that's ambitious
7	because as you know that's when we'll be presenting
8	it to the administration and to OMB but I'm sure you
9	can see a draft by then.
10	CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Because we got to get
11	the money included otherwise it's all talk because
12	already the centers are suffering because they don't
13	have money for the increased food cost and the
14	administration didn't put in the money, I mean I hope
15	they will because they… [cross-talk]
16	DONNA CORRADO: So, so I'm [cross-talk]
17	CHAIRPERSON CHIN:took they [cross-
18	talk]
19	DONNA CORRADO:I'm hoping that
20	everybody gets a meal, and no one goes without so if
21	there is an individual center that's not able to
22	serve food or pay their food bill please see us
23	because that should not happen.
24	CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yeah, because they

overserve or the… [cross-talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING
2	DONNA CORRADO: Yes [cross-talk]
3	CHAIRPERSON CHIN:meal cost because
4	that's why we put in the request in this fiscal
5	year's budget… [cross-talk]
6	DONNA CORRADO: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
7	CHAIRPERSON CHIN:and somehow OMB
8	didn't pay attention, didn't think it was serious but
9	it's, it's real, I mean you have such a big gap
10	between the meal costs, I mean we were very
11	reasonable, we're talking about a dollar increase but
12	they didn't heed the call so we want to make sure at
13	least get it in the February plan and so that we can
14	prepare for the next fiscal year's budget when you're
15	ready to deal with the meal issue that the center is
16	going to be fully funded.
17	DONNA CORRADO: You know I agree but then
18	one begs to question why one center is paying \$5.35
19	for a meal and another center from the same caterer
20	serving the same meal is paying eight dollars so it
21	requires further analysis in general and a further
22	understanding of why those discrepancies are.
23	CHAIRPERSON CHIN: And if you need

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: And if you need additional staff to help...

_	***************************************
2	DONNA CORRADO: We are we're going with
3	an outside consultant.
4	CHAIRPERSON CHIN: So, that's I mean we
5	wanted to get the information as quickly as possible
6	so that we can make sure that funding will be in
7	place… [cross-talk]
8	DONNA CORRADO: Yes… [cross-talk]
9	CHAIRPERSON CHIN:by the next fiscal
10	year budget.
11	DONNA CORRADO: I understand.
12	CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you. Council
13	Member Ayala, do you have a question?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I do. Good morning
15	Commissioner.
16	DONNA CORRADO: Good morning.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I guess my, my
18	question is really around salary concerns that I have
19	for the staff that work at these senior centers and
20	you mentioned that that was considered as part of
21	the, the, the formula you used to determine how much
22	was going to be allocated to each senior center. Wher
23	I worked in senior services we didn't see a cost of

living adjustment for many years, I wonder... do you

know when was the last time that a cost of living adjustment was made for staff at the senior centers?

DONNA CORRADO: So, the cost of living adjustment is included in this last amendment so in addition to the model senior center budget it includes COLA and it includes... and it also includes increase to minimum wage.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: And how does that affect other general funding for programmatic enhancements?

DONNA CORRADO: It's separate and apart by... I mean it's a separate line item, but program enhancements are, are... will be added to the budgets as well so it's one big amendment for the term of the contract so it's accounted for in the out years as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: So, is there... is there a concern... so, it's, it's... so, they're separate and apart so there wouldn't be a concern that senior centers would use their discretion to then use a bulk of the money to increase salaries considering the fact that many senior centers haven't seen an increase in such a long time.

2.1

2.2

1 2 SASHA FISHMON: Most of the money is used 3 to increase salaries and it's in addition to cost of living adjustment and minimum wage increases which 4 are also being done at the same time but it's in 5 addition. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I appreciate that. DONNA CORRADO: So, so it's a proposal, 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

right, so they come back and if money is intended for personnel which we, we, we refer to as PS cost that PS has to go up and then programming also has to be enriched. So, we look at how it's being spent in addition to the COLA and the minimum wage increases as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Perfect, alright, thank you so much.

DONNA CORRADO: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: We've also been joined by Council Member Williams and he has questions for the Commissioner.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you Madam Chair and thank you Commissioner. We have some issues that are very specific to my district that I want to bring up so if that... if that's alright and I think... because I know we've had some conversations about it

already, we were had some active adults who are
ready to shut some stuff down in City Hall but based
on some conversations we've had the contingent is, is
smaller but here in any case so I just want to ask
them to stand in the back please, all of the seniors
from the Midwood Senior Center in my district and a
full disclosure because I had to disclose it on the
record, Midwood Senior Center is a great senior
center with a great participant who happens to be my
mother, Patricia Williams whose here as well and they
were pretty rowdy, they were about to cause some,
some, some rowdiness but we, we have some concerns
with Midwood Senior Center. For the past year, my
office has been trying to work with Millennium who
is, is the administrator of the senior center and the
synagogue, you know the story to find a new place, we
have been unable to do that and there's a lot of
finger pointing but because we haven't been able to
do that these seniors are going to be without a home
in just a few days and the response has been to send
them to another location, they're not happy about
that because they feel they're going to be split up
and the relationships that they've garnered are going
to be broken up and that that will slow down the

2.2

process of finding the new senior center within the
vicinity which they live because most of them are
most of the locations are several miles away. We've
tried to find a number of locations and we've had
problems with that and so I'd like you to respond to
that. There was something that happened this morning
that was concerning, as those members who decided to
still come during the bus some DFTA people showed up
trying to get them to go to another senior center,
seemed a little intimidating and so I just wanted to
be concerned I just wanted to ask the first
question, why was DFTA showing up this morning when
they never have shown up before?

DONNA CORRADO: Right, so we've had DFTA staff for a number of days trying to coordinate at my request a smooth transition during this, you know unfortunate time that they are being evicted from their senior center, no... you know no body's fault here, no finger blaming, that was a court order that they have to leave the synagogue, unable to establish a new site before the eviction so in... to make this transition as smooth as possible we asked for the other centers to come in and transport seniors to centers and do basically open houses for the day so

that they can senior center shop and it just so
happened that our staff was there this morning and a
bus was intending to take seniors to a different
center to do a visit and then unbeknownst to our
program officer who was there a bus showed up to take
the seniors to the city hall so the buses, you know
they thought we're going to be filled up to go to a
center for a site visit was being another bus showed
up in their place and, and they were going to city
hall so, the, the program officer was just a little
surprised and I'm sure she was encouraging people to
go on the bus that would take them to an alternate
site so that's just unfortunate timing, no… I'm
sorry, it wasn't intentional or intended, we're
trying… [cross-talk]
COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: My [cross-
talk]
DONNA CORRADO:we're trying to, to have
a very smooth transition, at least that's what I'm
being told, I wasn't there.
COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Ma, you, you
no… [cross-talk]

DONNA CORRADO: I don't know, that, that ...

25 [cross-talk]

2.2

		COUNC	ΙL	MEM!	BER	WILLIAMS:		You all		you	
all	wonder	where	I	get	it	from.	You	okay	ma	[cross-	
tall	k 1										

DONNA CORRADO: Okay, so I wasn't there so if you wanted... you know if you want to refute that that's, that's what I was told this morning because when I got the message that DFTA staff were there trying to discourage seniors from coming to city hall I, I kind of scratched my head as well saying why would we do that because seniors can certainly do whatever it is that they want to do, it's a... you know we encourage them to be advocates and, and to do that so I apologize on behalf of my staff if they discouraged you from doing what you need to do and that won't happen again.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you very much. Apparently, there's differing opinions I'm sure I'll... [cross-talk]

DONNA CORRADO: Okay... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: ...hear about it later but if we can also get to the meat of what's going on and how we're going to ensure that not just these seniors but all of the seniors who are... [crosstalk]

$\nabla \cap MMZ$	CORRADO:	Riaht	[cross-talk]	
DONNA	CORRADO:	RIGHU	CIOSS-Laik	

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: ...home now will remain together even after... while we're trying to find a new location, one and of course trying to find a location very quickly.

DONNA CORRADO: Right, so we're working with Millennium who's the sponsor on identifying a new location and obviously we have one under review currently and we're working with them, we have to do our due diligence that's our job so we will be working with them and doing what we hope buying some time with their contract by keeping it open but what we can't do is if there's no services at a center and there's no site we can't necessarily continue to pay staff in perpetuity so we have to have a, a, a reasonable time line in which to find a new location and in the interim and as you know if they find a location it needs to get not only DFTA approval but also needs to be renovated and make the accommodations for a senior center so we're, we're... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Is it ... [cross-

24 | talk]

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.2

DONNA CORRADO: ...in the... we're in the process of doing that, we have identified a site, it's not ideal in my opinion but it may have to be good enough and, and hopefully it will work out, I'm not going to say one way or the other because it's still under review and we'll try to expedite that renovation with a... with a, a new landlord but if it doesn't work out we're going to have to continue looking.

things on the record, I appreciate the indulgence.

One with the contract because that was something that was strange, we, we've been trying to work this out for a year, we haven't and then Millennium found out they wouldn't have the contract because they couldn't find the space that we feel we didn't get help to get and so we're saying now that they will maintain the contract and funding for at least a couple of months I guess to try to resolve this and the second are we going to be able to... if we need to if we find a temporary location that makes sense have assistance so that they remain together during the time period?

DONNA CORRADO: So, we have seven other senior centers and options, none of them are ideal,

2	they're not your not the center but they're in, in
3	somewhat close proximity and if seniors want to go
4	together to those centers I'm sure they'll be happy
5	to accommodate them but that's the senior's choice.
6	Many, many seniors may feel comfortable in one center
7	and not another center but there are other options
8	and we're really bending over backwards working with
9	those other senior centers to make those
10	accommodations and many of those other centers are
11	significantly underutilized and would welcome new
12	seniors whether in a group or individually, so we do
13	have other, other options. So, setting up a temporary
14	location I'm not sure what you mean by that.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Well, well so
16	definitely… [cross-talk]
17	DONNA CORRADO: I mean in, in [cross-
18	talk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:I mean if we
20	find a… [cross-talk]
21	DONNA CORRADO:the proposed the
22	proposal… [cross-talk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:temporary
24	[cross-talk]

2	DONNA CORRADO:was to set up a
3	temporary location in an existing senior center which
4	is a great idea, right, so if, if they want to all
5	travel to a particular center that's fine but that
6	does not mean that they're going to get double the
7	funding.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So, I, I don't
9	want to take up time, so I just want to get the, the
10	second part of it, I'll speak to you about this part
11	offline because some of those areas that you
12	mentioned are far away [cross-talk]
13	DONNA CORRADO: Right [cross-talk]
14	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:and so that
15	does cause a problem and we're trying to keep them
16	together so I'll talk to you about that after I just
17	want to [cross-talk]
18	DONNA CORRADO: Okay [cross-talk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:make sure I
20	had it on the record but in terms of the contract for
21	Millennium that it sounds like they'll be able to
22	extend at least for a little while [cross-talk]
23	DONNA CORRADO: For, for a little

24 [cross-talk]

COMMITTEE ON AGING 1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: ...while we're 3 working... [cross-talk] DONNA CORRADO: ...while. 4 5 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, what's a ... [cross-talk] 6 7 DONNA CORRADO: For a little while... [cross-talk] 8 9 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: ...do we have a time frame? 10 11 DONNA CORRADO: I have ... we're, we're 12 working with that on a month to month basis. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: On a month to 14 month... [cross-talk] 15 DONNA CORRADO: But I can... [cross-talk] 16 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: ...basis... [cross-17 talk] DONNA CORRADO: ...I can't do that very 18 long if there's no... if there's no physical location 19 20 we can't necessarily pay them for a... [cross-talk] 21 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I, I appreciate 2.2 it I just want to put on the record that there's no 23 physical location, we've been trying to get that for 24 a year so I don't want folks to be punished for something that is not their fault and frankly I'm not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

sure that DFTA has helped expedite at, at this time so I just want to make sure that's on the record, I want to thank the Chair for indulging me at this time and thank my seniors and active adults, I apologize, my active adults for coming out today and for my mother showing exactly where I get my fire from, thank you so much.

DONNA CORRADO: Thank you.

Thank you Council CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Member Williams and thank you Miss Williams and we're going to work very hard, I mean we should all work together, if my office could be of any assistance we'll, we'll work together and make sure that the seniors are taken care of and Council Member Williams, I am surprised that you didn't talk to me about this early on, Miss Williams you got to tell him, he didn't tell me that he was having a problem in his district, no so at least we could of started working on it so we got to remind him, he's got to take care of home, home base and we've been joined by Council Member Dromm, welcome, our Finance Committee Chair who fought very hard for our budget this year, thank you and we were just before you got here we were talking to the Commissioner about getting the

2	money in the February plan so that we can take care
3	of the food part that wasn't taken care of in the
4	model budget so we got to continue to work on that.
5	Thank you. And we've also been joined by Council
6	Member Deutsch. Did anyone of you have questions for
7	the Commissioner on the… okay. I think I have one
8	final question about the, the pay parody in the
9	senior center. So, what is the, the salary range of
10	Directors at the centers that's operating under DFTA?
11	DONNA CORRADO: So, that's a loaded
12	question because the last time I [cross-talk]
13	CHAIRPERSON CHIN: I mean it [cross-
14	talk]
15	DONNA CORRADO: I dare to… [cross-talk]
16	CHAIRPERSON CHIN: I mean you have like a
17	guide a guideline for them and also for Directors
18	who are bilingual that take that into consideration,
19	I mean [cross-talk]
20	DONNA CORRADO: So… [cross-talk]]
21	CHAIRPERSON CHIN:does, does DFTA put
22	out at least the guidelines for them?
23	DONNA CORRADO: No, but whatwhen there's
24	a, a proposal for example about a particular staff, a
25	program manager for example and it comes in too low

we will work with the agency and let them know that we're not necessarily pleased with that, that it's not equitable related to other senior centers and we think that they should raise that salary so we're doing it that way. Each individual sponsor sets their own salary scales and some of them have union contracts, so it gets a little complicated but, you know my own personal philosophy is that we should be paying everybody more money, so we try to push the envelope where we can.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Well that's why we were, you know we wanted to push for more funding and when... [cross-talk]

DONNA CORRADO: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...I heard that the model budget process only 21 million I think the... probably could be much more than that to really have a fully functioning well funded center, I think it's probably going to go beyond that 21 million dollars and then on the... when you were talking about the COLA increase and the minimum increase that was in the amendment but that did not include the food service worker, right?

2.2

COMMITTEE ON AGING 1 2 DONNA CORRADO: It did if it was a COLA 3 and if it was a minimum wage increase, yes it did. CHAIRPERSON CHIN: So, the two... so the 4 food service worker got the minimum wage increase and 5 COLA? 6 7 DONNA CORRADO: Correct, amended into the budget and in out years as well, right? So, is it 8 9 effective in January? [off mic dialogue] 10 DONNA CORRADO: So, it's not retroactive 11 to 2017, July 1st, 2017 but since it's a three-year 12 13 contract in the out years and next year the... it was... the minimum wage was amended commencing January 1st, 14 15 2019 but it's in this particular amendment. 16 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: So, they are going to ... 17 [cross-talk] DONNA CORRADO: Get an increase... [cross-18 talkl 19 20 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Retro, retroactive? 21 DONNA CORRADO: No, it's not retroactive. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: So, they're supposed 23 to get... [cross-talk]

DONNA CORRADO: So, the minimum wage...

24

25

[cross-talk]

COMMITTEE ON AGING 1 2 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...it starting January 3 of 2017? DONNA CORRADO: The minimum wage is going 4 up January 2019. 5 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: So, what about the 6 7 COLA though? 8 DONNA CORRADO: The... [cross-talk] 9 SASHA FISHMON: [off mic dialogue] not used to talking into microphones... 10 11 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: The COLA will start in 12 July? SASHA FISHMON: In July 2018. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: I, I just wanted to 15 see if the, the food service worker at the senior 16 center are they going to be getting any kind of 17 increase? 18 SASHA FISHMON: Yes, yes, they did, they got... have got them both COLA and minimum wage 19 20 increases. 21 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: But they will get it 2.2 starting... [cross-talk] SASHA FISHMON: The COLA... [cross-talk] 23 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...in... [cross-talk] 24

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING
2	SASHA FISHMON:is effective in July,
3	the minimum wage increases are out the next minimum
4	wage increase is effective January 1 st of January
5	1 st , 2019, yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON CHIN: So, they will get it
7	in July for the COLA [cross-talk]
8	SASHA FISHMON: Yes [cross-talk]
9	CHAIRPERSON CHIN:and January for the
10	minimum wage increase, well right now they didn't ge
11	anything because they were not included in the model
12	budget?
13	SASHA FISHMON: They have gotten COLA and
14	minimum wage increases this current fiscal year as
15	well and fiscal year '17, it's an ongoing process
16	that started with going up from to 12 dollars then
17	to 13.50 then 15 dollars, two percent on each salary
18	in between so they've been continuously getting it
19	them as, as well as other center staff and so they

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Okay, so they still didn't get anything in the model budget, but they

that is... just happens to be included in the same

amendment but that has not been to DFTA's model

20

21

22

23

24

budget.

2	will	get	for	whatev	er they	are	entitled	to	in	terms
3	of C	OLA .	and m	inimum '	waqe [cross	s-talkl			

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DONNA CORRADO: Yes, correct... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...increase... okay. Alright, do we have... Council Member Deutsch.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: I don't have a question, I'll... I just have a few comments. First of all, I want to thank our Chair for her advocacy over the budgets, fighting for our senior population, it's really an honor for me to work with our Chair and the... our ... my colleagues in the Aging Committee over the last four and a half years and I want to thank you Commissioner for everything that you do and I just want to remind our senior population that today is the first day of the summer season and I also remind I don't... I actually don't have to remind you because today I think it was in the 80's but we're going to have a hot summer so just to remind you to look out for those cooling centers and if you don't have a air conditioner at home please do not hesitate to reach out to your elected officials, use their offices at a... as a cooling center and as well as, you know keep... staying cool throughout the summer so

unfortunately every year we hear we, we hear of some
tragedies so it's important to, to remind you of that
and always check on your neighbors, your elderly and
disabled neighbors. And in addition to that I'm proud
that I was able to advocate with the Parks Department
to put Mobi Mats at the beach front along with my
colleague, Council Member Mark Treyger to have Mobi
Mats throughout the Coney Island Beach so this way
the a Mobi Mat is a hard plastic tarp that lays from
the Boardwalk to the water so because it's very
difficult for seniors, people with disabilities or
even a parent pushing a carriage a stroller to get
in, in to get to the beach to the water front and
enjoy the beautiful outdoors and the recreation at
the space so if you have a Mobi Mat it gives you easy
access to go from the Boardwalk to, to the water so
please utilize it, you have them throughout the Coney
Island Beach so if you ever want to go out and just
cool off don't hesitate to come into our district and
if you need transportation don't hesitate to contact
your local elected official to get transportation
wherever you live to, to go enjoy the beautiful
outdoors. So, once again I want to thank our Chair
for everything that she does and all my colleagues.

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING
2	the members of the committee and most importantly I
3	want to thank all our seniors who take of their time
4	to come out to these hearings and let their voices be
5	heard and you have loud voices so thank you all very
6	much.
7	CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you Council
8	Member Deutsch and thank you for your service to your

seniors in your district, they're lucky in your district, they get to go to a lot of trips. Commissioner.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DONNA CORRADO: Yep... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: One last question is that in this whole process with, you know getting the, the food service, the meals correctly, are you... can we get DFTA to commit to engaging the provider as early as possible because with the model budget they were sort of brought in and even some of the centers was brought in very, very... [cross-talk]

DONNA CORRADO: Absolutely... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...late?

DONNA CORRADO: Absolutely and they've, they've... we've already brought them in, in the initial phases of this analysis and we will continue to do that, you have my word.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Because we wanted to get this process out as soon as possible.

[off mic dialogue]

2.2

Want to let you go so that you can go watch your dad...

well your dad is not performing, your uncle is at a

senior center, they're putting on a show for the

Commissioner. So, in terms of the, the model budget

process are all the senior centers, the 249 are they

all done, have you... have they done all the amendments

for them?

DONNA CORRADO: We have an update, so, thus far all the model budget proposals have been received from providers, I mean the providers gave them back to DFTA and of those budgets 176 have been approved by DFTA and 50 others are in the final negotiations and revisions and while negotiations are finalized if disseminated all model budgets for fiscal year '18 and '19 and as we mentioned these amendments have been combined with the 12 month contract extensions, cost of living adjustments and minimum wage adjustments and DFTA is working to expedite the registration so that as many as possible will be registered by July 31st when the last invoice

2.2

for fiscal year '18 is due and regardless of when
each contract registration is completed all budgets
will be retroactive to July $1^{\rm st}$, 2017 so that the
full ten million can be utilized by the centers and I
want to give a special shout out for our Associate
Commissioner of Budgets, Sasha Fishmon who's been
working nights and weekends to do this with her staff
and they've been working non-stop so we really need
to give them a, a round of applause I think.
[applause]
CHAIRPERSON CHIN: This way this way no

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: This way... this way, no noise.

DONNA CORRADO: And I mean that literally.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you. Thank you,
Sasha. So, out of all those centers how many centers
did request funding to be used for other purposes, do
we have any idea?

SASHA FISHMON: Only a few requested as far as we know to use the funding for food and maybe kitchen staff and some requested to use funding for emergency one time needs, some requested more funding to be used for personnel then, then programming than we originally allocated, we've approved most of the

2.2

increases, all we to make changes to the proposal so that that budget can cover both the increases for food staff as well as the model budget portion.

Wanted to thank you again Commissioner for your partnership and going forward we will continue to make sure that every year is the year of the senior, okay, so thank you for being here and I hope you enjoy your show and say hello to your dad for us. So, we're going to call up the, the next panel; Andrea Cianfrani from Live On New York; Kevin Douglas from UNH, United Neighborhood Houses; and Michelle Jackson from Human Service Counsel and Chris Widelo from AARP. You may begin.

MICHELLE JACKSON: Good morning

Chairperson Chin and the Committee on Aging, thank

you so much for allowing us the opportunity to

testify about the DFTA model budget. My name is

Michelle Jackson, I'm the Deputy Director of the

Human Services Council, a membership association

comprised of about 170 human service organizations

and coalitions across New York City. I am not a DFTA

expert, you'll hear from people much smarter than me

about the model budget and senior centers today. I'm

opening things up to just provide a little bit of
context about the sector overall and how all the
coalitions have come together to really advocate for
increased funding and process changes to make the
human services sector stronger and better able to
deliver quality services. So, decades of underfunding
have really stripped the sector of the ability to
provide quality services and have left a lot of
sectors the sectors really wondering about their
financial stability and as you've already explained
today that is definitely true of the senior centers
and other senior providers. About 20 percent of human
service providers in New York City are insolvent
based on their books and over half report that they
don't believe that they'll be able to meet need
their increasing needs in the future years and so
we've done a lot of work in this area and have come
together which with a what a group called we the
Human Services Advancement Strategy Group that's
comprised of a lot of the major coalitions in New
York City including Live On and United Neighborhood
Houses and others and to really come together and
talk about how we need to lift up the sector and how
we got to this place is not a new it's not a new

problem it's really decades of underfunding kind of
process changes that actually start really at the RFF
process. The RFPs are not designed with providers at
the table and who have an expertise to talk about
what's working on the ground and what isn't, what are
real rates for food or other costs and how those
costs go up. So, a lot of RFPs are coming out and
have come out that don't cover the full cost of
services and nonprofits are asked to make up the
difference. The next step is there's rising costs, a
lot of these contracts last for five or five years
or longer and often it'll last beyond the duration of
the contract initially because it takes a while for
the RFPs to go out, so providers are asked to extend
their contracts and rent and other things in New York
City go up every year and the contracts don't reflect
and there's not really a vehicle for those increased
costs to be reflected. So, by, by the time you get to
the end of a contract term the gap in funding has
just increased then you add process issues like late,
late registration, the comptrollers recent report,
report, you know shows how bad late contracting and
late pay which therefor means late payments is in
the human services sector. This creates real cash

flow issues for nonprofits and also it, it can, can
cost them money too, right, so some of them take out
lines of credit and things like that, that. Both the
administration and the council have really tackled
these issues, I want to make sure we point that out.
DFTA actually had a round table with some of our
providers yesterday to talk about contracting issues
and how they can how we can be better understand
each other and partner together and the nonprofit
resiliency committee has made a lot of really great
enrolls into process changes and changing including
making a RFP collaboration or more collaborative
which is really important, I think needs to be noted
and of course with the council's support last year we
were able to obtain the model budget as well as COLA
and increase in direct funding. So, those are all
really important steps but we are seeing
particularly the model budget we were excited to see
that this was an opportunity to address contract by
contract, every contract is uniquely underfunded but
I can guarantee that they're all underfunded and so
the model budget was an opportunity to address that
and to get at some of these chronic underfunding
issues and as Council Member Chin has already pointed

out there are issues with the DFTA model budget as
well as others. I'd like to point that ACS's model
budget providers and coalition groups have said that
that one went pretty well and so I think there's a
model that could be used in future years if this is
something that continues. We did not advocate in this
budget year for more increased funding for model
budget because the process was convoluted and didn't
feel that it addressed a lot of the chronic issues
that you'll hear from other providers today who can
definitely give a little bit more color to that. So,
going forward first to address the late registration
issue we're asking for a swat team to be put into the
city agencies to clean up all these delays in, in
registration, we're end nearing the end of the year.
This also includes all of the amendments for the COLA
and direct and model budgets, we have about ten days
left in, in this fiscal, fiscal year and a lot of
those registrations while now that they're sitting
either with the comptroller's office or the city
agencies are not registered yet and that needs to be
fixed. We're also looking for in this funding year
although it was not included in the budget while I it
was included in the council. council's response

2.1

2.2

though was we need to see an increase in funding for occupancy cost, for fringe rates for providers, we need to continue to see increases in indirect rates and then there needs to be some of these larger systemic issues that are addressed through process changes at, at the city agencies to make sure that late payments and RFPs that go out in future years are actually meeting the needs of, of providers and the people that they serve. Thank you.

ANDREA CIANFRANI: Good morning. I'm

Andrea Cianfrani, I'm the Director of Public Policy
at Live on New York. Live on New York's members
provide community-based services to over 300,000
older adults... older New Yorkers annually many of them
are here today and you'll hear from a little later.

The senior centers we know are the keystone to DFTA's
senior service portfolio. As mentioned and we want to
be clear and you mentioned it Council Member Chin in
your opening remarks, senior centers are not just
nice to have. Research shows they are the link to
combatting social isolation, improving health and
keeping older adults engaged in communities that's
why we were very encouraged and applauded Mayor De
Blasio, Commissioner Corrado and her team and the

2	city council led by Council Member Chin and the Aging
3	Committee last year for the 23-million-dollar
4	investment including funding for model senior center
5	budgets. Again, you'll hear directly from some
6	providers with context on this process, but we just
7	wanted to highlight a few of the overarching issues
8	and, and highlights from, from the past year. You
9	heard from the DFTA team earlier today that as of
10	today that FY '18 funding again very close to the
11	end, end of the fiscal year is not fully registered
12	into all the senior center contracts so that's a
13	that's a growing concern. Providers were not engaged
14	in the process until late March so that's a little
15	bit, you know understandable with the timeline and
16	again all of that said we do really do want to, to
17	recognize the work of the DFTA fiscal staff to make
18	this happen in this condensed timeline. It's a lot of
19	work and we do want to help get that money out the
20	door, but you know again they're not registered yet
21	and, and we're really concerned about the programs
22	getting the funding. We did talk about the 249 senior
23	centers that were analyzed, there were 26 out of
24	those 249 that did not receive any funding because
25	they were deemed at or above the model budget amount.

We have it's based on our understanding there is
some other centers that are outside of that universe,
there are about 38 programs that were not even
evaluated in the model budget process which we have
some concerns and questions about, some of those, I
believe about 11 centers were formerly discretionary
funded centers that were brought into the DFTA
portfolio, so we have some concerns about them being
evaluated included in this process. As noted today,
the funding was to be only directed in two specific
areas, important areas but directed to direct
staffing and programming. Despite the fact we talked
a lot about meals. Despite the fact that senior
centers senior centers served over 7.6 million
years meals last year with the majority of seniors
using these programs saying this food makes up over
half of their nutritional intake, half of their daily
intake of food providers could not use the funding
for meals or kitchen staff which has caused disparity
among programs and these are some of the most highly
valued and important members of the senior center
team so again that was a concern. This also means
that no centers even those who received funding
received anything for rent and facility costs,

transportation, OTPS, technology or any other costs
required to run a senior center. I also did want to
note that the city has promised an additional ten
million for this process by 2021. All of that being
said we have some recommendations again to work
together with both the council and DFTA and the city
on this, we, we see this as a great first step and
really want to be collaborative in this effort
because we know the value of investing in senior
services. So, I'll just run through those very
quickly. The first is to expedite the additional ten
million promised, as soon as possible in FY '19 with
the RFP for senior centers anticipated in the near
future and we see no reason for the city to hold this
funding and we'd really like to get that out before
2021. You, you mentioned it earlier in your remarks
and I know that the city is working on the meal study
so in the meantime we are very encouraged that the
city is working on looking at meals, they're a core
component of senior centers including home delivered
meals as well but we know that the city is spending
20 percent below the national average on senior meals
which means they're paying for four out of every five
meals and providers are picking up the cost. We did

ask for funding and advocate for funding and are more
than a meal campaign in FY '19 which in itself was
just a first step to support providers while we wait
for the work of the meals program to continue. So, we
really would like to focus and, and encourage funding
added as soon as possible to support higher cost of
providing meals including Kosher, Halal and other
culturally competent meals. Third, we're encouraged
to hear again about the meal study but we really hope
that the city will continue to engage providers
directly in this process, providers have a lot of
context and can really provide a lot of input to help
improve these programs and make sure they're
effective and so we're having really meaningful
conversations about the funding that will come
because there is a budget implication of what will
come from that study and we want to make sure that
it's reflective of the true needs of the system today
and in the future. Fourth, in general fully fund
senior center contracts. We talked about the other
items that were not included in the model budget and
those really need to be addressed and looked at as we
move forward. Fifth, we'd really like to make sure
that the city is taking a look at those programs that

were excluded from the model budget process entirely,
those many of those were centers that are held to
same standards and if the purpose to was to right
size contracts and promote fairness we'd like to make
sure that those are addressed accordingly. Finally,
we'd like to echo Michelle's comments on supporting
the agency wide investments in the human services
sector. We really believe, and we see it every day
that our aging services system is at it's best when
professionals are using the people power to serve
older New Yorkers instead of navigating contractual
bureaucracy or, or wondering when the funding is
coming in for the work that they've already done. So,
we are support HSC's asks for the investments in the
human services sector and we work really look
forward to working with the city on, on those issues.
I wanted to close with one of the quotes from our
postcard campaign, we ran a postcard campaign this
year where we had thousands of seniors who sent
postcards to many of your offices, I'm sure you got
stacks of them as well as to the Mayor to really talk
about why New York is a great place to age and we
believe that and we look forward to working with you
all to make it better and I think this quote really

kind of sums up most of the seniors that responded
to that campaign talked about their senior center and
that's why we're here today and this is just one that
really I think illustrates the value of what we're
investing in when we talk about investing in senior
services. A senior from Speaker Johnson's district
who lives at Project Find said this, "Centers should
be opened so that seniors can have a meal, meet other
people including seniors. The centers offer internet
access to look for jobs and activities to keep up
with friends. The center also offers a sense of
community and belonging, psychologically interacting
with other people helps stave off depression and
suicide. Centers offer opportunity to keep learning,
keep the brain active, making new little brain cells.
It is important to have a safe, clean place to go
just like boys and girls clubs. Seniors are just big
kids essentially" and we really believe that older
New Yorkers are driving the city forward and we want
to continue to support senior services and we look
forward to working with you all to do that to make
New York a better place to age. Thank you.

KEVIN DOUGLAS: Ditto. So, good afternoon... or good morning I, I suppose. My name is

Kevin Douglas, I am the Co-Director of Policy and
Advocacy at United Neighborhood Houses of New York. I
want to first thank and recognize you Chair Chin for
your fearless leadership for many years for the older
adults in New York City that led to actually the
investment that we saw in the model budget for older
adults and obviously I also want to thank Council
Member Ayala for your leadership on the geriatric
mental health initiative, Chair Dromm for the Finance
Committee leadership that led to the inclusion of
home delivered meals in partnership with Chin and
also Council Member Treyger who's been a real
supporter for older adults and immigrants here in the
city. So, overall, we're very pleased that the
administration made a really historic commitment to
investing in the senior center system and DFTA at
large because it hasn't been done in a real way for a
long time and so I want to preface everything else
I'm about to say with that acknowledgement and
appreciation to the city. There were a number of
problems with the model budget process that we think
are important to discuss so that when we move forward
we can do things in a more strategic way. The first
really relates to the question that Council Member

Rosenthal asked about when DFTA embarked in this
process did they do an analysis of what the entire
need was going to be for the model budget process,
what those resources were going to be and then what
that meant given that there's only ten million
dollars on the table. I share her surprise that the
total amount of need to right size the system was the
exact amount that the city had committed to the
process. I think really what we expected was the ten
million dollar investment was not enough to right
size a senior center system with 250 roughly
providers that serving thousands of older adults
every year so out of the gateway knew that we were
sort of behind the eight ball that there wasn't going
to be enough to actually do a model budget process
and I think the model budget terminology actually led
to some of the frustration in the field because the
term model budget implies a good standard, right,
like we're doing a comprehensive look at all of the
elements of a program and creating a budget that
should be emulated and is fully funded and instead
what we saw was a very peace meal approach that was
underfunded and slow without transparency. So, what
we actually wound up with were enhancements to senior

centers which are welcome and appreciated as you
mentioned but it wasn't a model budget and so that
was the first thing that we were really concerned
about. We echo Andrea and, and Michelle's requests to
expedite the additional ten million dollars because
we know those are at a minimum required to do this
right, we'd ask for it to be done in this fiscal year
and we're disappointed it was not. We still call for
the administration to invest those funds. Moving past
the sort of question of money because, you know we
always want more resources and the city's going to be
able to commit, the process itself was significantly
flawed. There was a real lack of transparency
throughout this process, neither providers or the
associations that represent them were engaged over
the course of the year in a meaningful way to get
feedback with these universal dollars which is the
best thing we can do, and it really wasn't until
March as, as the Commissioner testified to that
providers were told how much money they had and what
the eligible uses of those would be. So, we were
three quarters of the way into the fiscal year before
anyone really knew what was happening. DFTA talked
about this sort of five buckets of providers, we

still don't know what the methodology was, we don't
know what the funding levels are and as you attested
to with the, the human settlement report providers
don't understand why they got the amount of money
they got particularly if they have more than one
center or discrepancy between the two. So, we're
really disappointed that there wasn't a back and
forth with the field to really understand this and as
Michelle talked about in the ACS preventative budget
models budget there was over ten meetings with
providers to understand what their needs were and how
to use the funding effectively as in addition with
several of us up here, the Human Services Advancement
Strategy Group to talk about the process. So,
assuming that we get the additional ten million
dollars the city's committed we'd really encourage
DFTA to work more closely with both you and providers
and associations to really make sure the funding is
used correctly. Moving forward beyond sort of
process, beyond sort transparency was the actual lack
of flexibility. So, we knew because there wasn't
enough money that they weren't going to be able to do
all elements of the model budget and it was really
frustrating that the food costs were left out. We've

heard from the minute this became public that food
costs were not going to be allowed our providers were
like up in arms and like wanting us to be up in arms
with the city about this because that was what they
thought was going to be one of the most significant
needs for their centers. You had asked how many
providers actually requested to do food costs and,
and the city correctly answered only a few, what they
didn't say is that only a few did because when the
process was launched they were told that they
couldn't ask for it and it wasn't until around April
where they issued a clarification in partnership with
OMB to say actually you can ask for it but only if
you meet this like super high threshold and the
threshold was only for new expanded programming or
significant changes not to rectify existing underpaid
staff or underfunded meal programs so I just want to
note that there was a great much greater need for the
investment in food services than was reflected by
formal requests because of the signals that were sent
to providers. So, the last thing $I'm$, $I'm$ just really
going to echo Michelle as well, part this is not all
on DFTA, right, so there are significant problems
with human services contracts across the board so it

was very hard to do a model process on top of a
system that was not working to begin with so we
really echo the call to make sure that the city is
doing a better job to bring all contracts up to fair
and direct rates to at least 15 percent bringing the
fringe rate up at to at least 37 percent making sure
there's increases for occupancy and insurance and
when you have that baseline then you can build a
model budget on top of that presuming that you
actually put the full amount of money in there. So,
again, you know this is sort of a, a critical
analysis of, of the process which we think is
important but just I'll end with sort of a note of
appreciation again because this doesn't negate the
fact that the dollars were in the end of the day an
enhancement that the centers did make use of and are
grateful for and this is really coming from the
spirit of let's figure out how we do this better
moving forward, building on examples that ACS and
other city agencies have took so thank you.

CHRIS WIDELO: Good morning Councilwoman
Chin, Chairwoman, thank you for the opportunity to
speak today. My name is Chris Widelo, I'm the
Associate State Director for AARP here in New York

and thank you to the members of the council that are
also here to hear our testimony. I represent 800,000
AARP members that are in the five boroughs and I'm
really happy that a number of our members and
volunteers were able to join me here today for an
important discussion about model senior centers. I
guess the bad part about going last is everybody took
all the good stuff. So, I certainly want to echo all
the points made by my colleagues to my left and this
is a you know really appreciate that OMB and DFTA
and, and the administration have taken this approach
but we need to get it right and also make sure that,
you know that these costs that senior centers are
forced to, you know deal with on a regular basis are,
are part of this conversation otherwise down the road
we are going to have some, some serious problems and
I just I'll sort of reiterate some stats that you've
heard from me a number of times and, you know
expediting that next ten million dollars is, is
incredibly important. In a budget that rivals that
of, you know 36 other states in size it's hard to
believe that the DFTA budget is less a half percent
half a percent and we know a few things, right and I,
I know Council Member Vallone is fond of this that

every day and it will happen for the next ten years,
10,000 people are going to turn 65 years of age in
this country, this is a huge group of folks that is
that are going to be needing services that are
provided by DFTA, now that's not just here in New
York City that's across the country but you can kind
of figure out what that looks like, it's something
around like I believe I did some math it's ballpark
about 500 people a day here in New York. So, one
nearly one third of residents in the five boroughs
are the… are of age 50 or older and that group is
expected to grow by nearly 20 percent by the year
2040 and that growth rate for the 65 plus group
segment is projected to be even more dramatic, a
whopping 40 percent increase and these folks it
right if, if senior centers are struggling now with
the current budget or will have issues with this
model budget that problem is going to be exacerbated
and we have to get ahead of it and get it right and
so I think we appreciate all the well intentions
moving forward with this process but I think my
colleagues have really laid it out that we there are
some things that are left out that are incredibly
important and need to be addressed and you know at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the... at the very least we need to, you know expedite the funding so our centers can do what they need to do and I, I think I'll keep my comments short because I think it's important that we hear from some of the providers that are on the frontline and handle these issues every day and understand what this means from their perspective. So, thank you to the council for this opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yes, thank you so much for your testimony. We've been joined by Council Member Treyger and Council Member Vallone. All your recommendations are great, and we really have to get this process started early with the, the food... the meal part that was not included. I, I didn't hear from the Commissioner the ten million or the 20 million it was just a down payment, that was not the amount that we were talking about. I think to really create a model budget for our senior centers you're talking about maybe adding 100 million dollars, so we just have to keep on pushing and fighting that whatever the administration put in is great but it's only a down payment and it is an investment because our seniors, the seniors that go to the senior centers they're so much stronger and healthier and

seniors are part of our future. We didn't even talk
about all the contributions that they make to keep
this city running and going, the volunteer service so
it's not just that they need help, they are also
providing the help, they're the caregivers so I think
this investment we just got to keep on pushing and,
and make sure that everyone feels proud if you get to
be a senior it's a blessing, right, so I'm so proud
to be a senior so we got to keep on doing that and
make sure that the administration gets the point and
we're going to push O, OMB to work with the providers
to really look at this meal program and hopefully we
can get some money in the February plan before the
next budget. So, thank you to this panel and thank
you for all the great advocacy that you do, thank
you. We're going to call up the next panel; Katie
Foley from SelfHelp Community Services; Poa Ling Ng
from CBC Open Door Senior Center; Jonah Gensler from
Sunnyside Community Services; and Aaron Rooney from
Stanley M. Isaac Neighborhood Center. I just want to
thank all the AARP members for joining us this
morning, it's great to see you all the red. Okay,
please start.

2	KATIE FOLEY: Hello, my name is Katie
3	Foley and I am the Director of [cross-talk]
4	CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Make sure you press
5	the button, see the red… [cross-talk]
6	KATIE FOLEY: It is red, is this is this
7	better? Okay. Hello, my name is Katie Foley, I'm the
8	Director of Public Affairs at SelfHelp Community
9	Services. Thank you again to Committee Chair Chin and
10	the members of the Committee for the opportunity to
11	testify about the city's model budget process for
12	DFTA contracted senior centers. Four of SelfHelp's
13	five senior centers were included in this process and
14	my remarks today are focused on our experiences with
15	those four contracts. First, we want to commend the
16	Department for the Aging, the OMB and the City
17	Council for the ongoing commitment to senior centers
18	since the beginning of the model budget process. This
19	significant funding for the city's, city's senior
20	centers has been and will continue to be a critical
21	step towards stabilizing one of the core programs
22	that supports older New Yorkers including many
23	immigrant seniors. Overall, our experience with the
24	model budget process has been positive. The

additional funding has allowed for numerous upgrades

for SelfHelp's senior centers. First the investment
enabled us to raise salaries for program staff, which
was much needed and much appreciated. The investment
in the model budget for staff helped us address
ongoing concerns related to salary parody that we
heard about today and that has been a concern for
SelfHelp and we know other providers and advocates
since the case management salaries were raised. We've
now seen the impact of increased salaries in the
aging network and we're grateful for this important
step. Second, the additional funding allows us to
expand programming options. And third, the
flexibility provided in the fiscal '18 budget offered
the opportunity to upgrade centers through one-time
purchases, such as repairing the entryway at one
center, purchasing computers and a large kitchen
equipment for other centers. We are finding that some
spending especially related to building repairs is
difficult to achieve in the short timeframe since we
received the funds, but we are optimistic that DFTA's
flexibility will extend to allowing some of the
projects approved for fiscal '18 to be completed
within fiscal year '19. And our relationship with
DETA is stronger because of our work together in

implementing the model budget process, and we want to
thank them for that collaborative relationship. In
addition to the success of the model budget process,
we want to share some of the challenges that we've
faced. We remain concerned that other costs beyond
programming and related salaries were not included in
this process particularly food and kitchen staff,
both, both of which are significant costs for senior
centers. We hope that the allocations in the
subsequent years will focus on food, rent, OTPS and
other associated costs. SelfHelp supports the request
for 12.1 million for congregate and home delivered
meals to be baselined to increase the reimbursement
rates. We also appreciate the 2.8 million in onetime
funding for home delivered meals and we hope to see
continued investment in food and meals in the future.
This funding is particularly important to increase
the reimbursement rate for culturally competent meals
such as Kosher or Halal, both of which currently
result in a deficit to nonprofits upon each meal
provided, despite cultural competency being a
requirement of DFTA. Given our interactions to date,
we bele3ive that DFTA will continue to engage with
providers on necessary budget modifications

2.2

considering the dynamic needs of each center.
SelfHelp is requesting that the remaining ten million
that's being committed to this process be allocated
in fiscal year '20 instead of fiscal year '21.
Expediting the ten million in funding by fiscal year
'20 as opposed to the proposed three years roll out
will have a very positive impact on the operations of
our programs. Allocating these funds is especially
important with the projected next RFP for senior
centers to be released in calendar year 2020. Thank
you for the opportunity to testify today. On behalf
of the 20,000 clients that we serve, I am grateful
for the Council's support on our many important
programs.

PO LING NG: Good morning Chairperson

Margaret Chin and members of the City Council. First

of all, on behalf of the Chinese American Planning

Council Open Door really say thank you, thank you all

the city council really strongly support the seniors

but one thing I'm really excited because our lovely

Chairperson Margaret Chin always said that, you know

the year of the 2018 is the year of the senior and

also give us the additional money and the ten million

dollars for model budget for seniors. Our seniors are

very, very excited, we're thinking about Open Door is
really under the Department for the Aging 46 years
already, we have the huge run senior services, we
provide a variety of great services for the low
income, for the immigrant, for you know and, and
Chinese Asian American seniors, everyone happy, happy
our services. So, I am thinking about this additional
money Open Door maybe get a some money, not a lot
but unfortunately, we cannot even get one dime of the
budget so that's why all our seniors really
disappointed. We are not jealous the people that get
more money, but we are really disappointed we don't
get any money because we service, provide very good
quantity and quality services for the senior but a
lot of people don't understand how we run the very
good program. The one thing, we thank you the
Department for the Aging we don't complain, they
should understand we run the great program it's not
that easy. The one thing we concerning about the
staffing, about the services about the money and
whatever but the point is I'm talking about the
facilities, the because right now we are a very
happy to be located at the 168 Grand Street, very
good facility but unfortunately they not allow us to

put the kitchen over there, we should the other
side even they said that the congregate meal that's
the really congregate meal, no, congregate and the
catering should be over there but the Department for
the Aging only gives us the congregate. I get a
sample sometimes the holiday is different, once it's
our kitchen area, they closed the door, but our
center should kick the door open, where we cook the
food, where do we cook the food, you think we close
the door, don't provide the food for the senior
definitely unfair. So, we tried to call the, the
catering food from the restaurant but the Department
for the Aging did not approve the money, they said
that, you have the kitchen, why don't you cook by
yourself, why you call catering the food from the
restaurant, my friend could you help me, you're so
smart I'm not smart, could you help me if the kitchen
closed how we cook the food, we, we don't want to
keep our senior hungry so as the director I got all
the, the lunch from the restaurant, that is count for
the catering so the Department for the Aging not
approve, where I get the money to feed the senior,
that's the one problem. The second year before the
Department for the Aging title five gave us nine

senior aid to help our kitchen staff, the custodian,
and doorman a lot of things but right not the
Department for the Aging title five they said that
this isn't a budget problem, they only gave us one
senior aid even not one senior aid, only 12 hours a
rate, so that's why we are facing about a staff
shortage. The Department for the Aging said that they
cannot give us money to hire the staff whatever we
want so right now I have some staff how do they get
paid? They said that, that's the Directors problem.
You should fundraise the money to pay the staff, my
goodness you are thinking about the director is a
super lady, yeah, if you don't give us the money for
the catering you don't give us enough money for the
staff and only said that the Directors should resolve
the problem. The third, we had our staff most of them
on salary only \$13.50 but I tell you true my some
kitchen staff work in my center 42 years, you know
how much money she gets only \$13.50, the new person
come in they get the \$13.50 so that's why they always
come up to me Po Ling that's unfair, why we were over
there 42 years still get the \$13.50 but the people
new person come in get the \$13.50 so this is the
other problem. The third thing that our staff should

be bilingual, bi-cultural and also very smart, how to
write the manual, how to know how to control the
budget, how to market, how to do this thing and that
thing and also the timing because the I talk too
much but I really thank your city council person,
you're so smart. Yesterday I talked with one Asian
Americans they even want me to go over there, one
person asked me, Po Ling could you share with me why
you that successful, sharing with me your secret, I
said that and then they say you also have a good
heart good mind not only a good heart, you should
know how to plan, how to get the money, how to do
anything and return and provide very good services
not only that and good mouth too so that's why today
I had the good mouth and raising my voice and not
everyone know I am not a super lady so that's why
payers give me more money, don't isolate us, that's
really, really insulting of the Open Door, all our
staff we already have over utilization but the money,
nothing so I tell you too our lovely Chair you know
Open Door very well, when you visit Open Door you see
how upset their face not only that our staff too
because I if you want me sharing with you all the

COMMITTEE ON AGING 1 things I will but the other thing talking about meals 2 3 on wheels... [cross-talk] 4 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Well Po Ling I ... 5 [cross-talk] PO LING NG: ...another thing I know you 6 7 know very well... [cross-talk] 8 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Yeah, I think for ... I 9 thank you... [cross-talk] 10 PO LING NG: ...we have to pay... [cross-11 talk] 12 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...for the ... your 13 testimony and I think it's important to hear directly 14 from the center and that's why we have talked to DFTA 15 about the 26 centers that did not get a dime from 16 this model budget, so we are going to make sure that 17 there are enhancements and supplemental funding that 18 can help you, okay? So, we will follow up... [cross-19 talk 20 PO LING NG: Thank you... [cross-talk] 21 CHAIRPERSON CHIN: ...on your case. 2.2 Alright, next. 23 PO LING NG: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you Po Ling.

JONAH GENSLER: Good afternoon. I'm Jonah
Gensler, I'm the Associate Executive Director for
Community Services at Sunnyside Community Services
and I speak on behalf of our organization who serves
14,000 individuals throughout Sunnyside and all of
Western Queens and our Executive Judy Zangwill. So,
we're one of the organizations that did receive
funds, we received about a two percent increase. I
agree with the remarks that this is in fact an
enhancement, it is not a model budget and so we do
have concerns. At the same time, we're grateful,
we're grateful for a number of things that come from
both council such as geriatric mental health which
has really completed our center, thank you Councilmar
Ayala. And we're grateful for indirect rates that
have increased for recognition of minimum wage
increase needs and for this model budget. Our
organization has a wide spectrum of services not just
a senior center, we've got case management and
geriatric mental health, we… caregiving supports on
multiple fronts, social adult day, home care and
it's we're proud of this integrated service platform
and our senior center our senior center is, is our,
our shining program that everybody knows. Two percent

is not a model budget. So, when the model budget was
in the works we waited and when months went by we
waited and by March we figured this is going to be
really good, we were underwhelmed. The instructions
that we got said to us this is for programs direct
program staff and we said great and they gave
examples including a bookkeeper, so we said surely
our cook and our assistant cook who serve 200 people
a day with a team of volunteers under them surely,
they will be included in this, the instructions
didn't say otherwise. So, we put forward that, that
was soundly rejected. We asked for a logic we, we
asked for explanation, we didn't get that. Now I will
note that finally we were able to work behind the
scenes and achieve what we wanted which is simply to
move funds into those two positions which are
critical but making a deal on the side is not the way
to do business and not having that documented and not
having the trust of what will happen a year from now
when the other monies kick in and how those resources
will be used leaves us feeling uneasy. So, when we
look at partnership with DFTA and we do see
leadership and program officers who are good
thoughtful people but engaged in a process that

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

doesn't make sense. We ask how can we be partners with council and with DFTA to build a true model budget and model true leadership for the seniors that so much deserve every ounce, every inch of our best planning and thoughts and work to deliver the services that they need and deserve. Thank you all for your attention and your continued support of seniors throughout New York City.

AARON ROONEY: Good afternoon, thank you. I'd like to thank the City Council and Committee Chair Chin for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Aaron Rooney, I'm the Clinical Director at Stanley Isaacs Neighborhood Center, I oversee our case management program and clinical services there. I also thank the Council and DFTA for the investment in the model budget process, it's a promising first step in bringing these vital programs up to date. The additional funding allocated to our center will assist us to partially fund a new social work staff, this is crucial, we see over 700 clients a year for case assistance and case management, we need to build towards a staffing pattern that can meet these complex needs. There do remain some issues with the model budget that are not addressed as we've been

talking about today. Some of these areas include the
food costs being excluded from the model budget,
providing nutritious meals is at the core of the
services we provide to senior centers and older
adults to keep them healthy and safely in the
community. The model budget does not address the
increased cost for food, it also doesn't include
additional cost it would take to provide therapeutic
meals and meals that are tailored to individuals
based on actual medical needs and individual needs,
that's something that we care about and somewhere
where I think we should be going in the future. It
also is noted the model budget does not include
enough for staff wage increases as we've been
discussing, the ability to keep wages high or, or at
a higher rate is a direct impact on the quality of
services that we can provide and how long we can keep
good staff. I think senior centers are a great entry
level position for a lot of social workers and for
case workers but keeping good staff for long term is,
is a big issue that we see at our center as well. The
COLA increases alone aren't enough aren't going to
be enough to do that. In addition, it's been
difficult to ascertain where each center stands in

2.2

the process, it's known many negotiations are
complete others are not, with the end of the fiscal
year approaching or is here which requires more
transparency in this process would be benefit
everyone. the model budget has been instrumental in
helping senior centers catch up to where they should
be in 2018 but has thus far fallen short of preparing
senior centers for the future. As we grow older
together additional thought and investment to senior
centers will be essential to assure these vital
institutions can meet the unique changing and growing
needs of older adults in New York City. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you very much for takin the time today to come and give testimony. We're going to continue to work with DFTA to make sure that we get the funding out the door and make sure that we get more money for our centers. So, thank you. We're going to call up the, the next panel. Ariel Savransky from UJA Federation; Rachel Sherrow from City Meals on Wheels; Carlyn Cowen from C... Chinese American Planning Council; Jeanette Estima from FPWA; Molly Krakowski from JASA, okay Rimas Jasin from PSS, oh okay I think they submitted testimony on the record, okay thank you.

)	ARTET.	SAVRANSKY:	Good	afternoon
<u> </u>	ARILL	SAVKANSKI:	Good	arternoon

Chairperson Chin and Council Member Ayala. My name is
Ariel Savransky and I am an Advocacy and Policy
Advisor at UJA Federation of New York. On behalf of
UJA our network of nonprofit partners and those we
serve thank you for the opportunity to testify today
on senior center model budget process, processes.
Also thank you for your continued and necessary
support for Department for the Aging core services
and initiatives in the FY '19 budget however
outstanding issues prompted by the senior center
model, model budget process remains. We would like to
submit the following recommendations on request and
many of these have been discussed previously so I
will just quickly echo what my colleagues who came
before me had said. So, after a month of delays DFTA
is in the process of dispersing the first ten-
million-dollar installment. This funding meant for FY
2018 and still not fully registered across all senior
center contracts can only be used for direct
staffing, programming and consulting. We appreciate
efforts to right size senior center budgets
particularly when it will bolster staff salaries and
help implement high quality programming. However as

was discussed previously these funds do not cover the
full cost of services specifically meals and kitchen
staff, transportation, technology, rent, utilities
and insurance and other miscellaneous costs.
Additionally, we had advocated for the expedited
release of the remaining ten million dollars that was
promised through the model budget and an expanded
definition for what this funding can be used for.
This request was not considered in the FY 2019
enacted budget. The next projected RFP for senior
centers is set to be released in 2020 and it is
extremely important that these funds are committed
prior to this RFP so that future awards account for
the full cost of running a successful senior center.
I'm just going to briefly touch on senior center
meals. So, while food insecurity rates among most New
Yorkers have actually declined in recent years, rates
among seniors have increased. As UJA Federation's
network of nonprofit partners provides vital food
services and supports to all New Yorkers throughout
the five boroughs this is something that we're
extremely concerned with. Access to culturally
appropriate meals for older adults at senior centers
is naramount to this effort. While we appreciate the

2.8 million dollars that was included in one-time
funding for home delivered meals in the FY '19
budget, this is well short of the 12.1 million
dollars we had requested and does not apply to meals
actually served at senior centers which further
widens the gap between reimbursement and cost of
meals in the centers. Lastly. I would just like to
echo what some of my colleagues have said about the
nonprofit sector as a whole as representatives of the
human services sector we are disappointed that the FY
'19 budget did not contain the needed investments
that we had advocate, advocated for. Organizations
accept city contracts at great risk to their
stability, but they do so because they are mission
driven and want to ensure that New Yorkers receive
quality services. Nonprofits cannot continue to
operate without crucial investments in systems
changes and this budget does not include the
commitments necessary for a robust human services
delivery network. Thank you for the opportunity to
testify and we look forward to working together
throughout this year.

RACHEL SHERROW: Thank you Chair Chin for your consistent and dogged support of aging services

and for the opportunity to speak. My name is Rachel
Sherrow, I'm the Associate Executive Director at
Citymeals on Wheels. I do not want to be redundant, I
want to start by saying that I love the timer so
anytime you want to bring that back, anytime. So, I'm
going to be really quick. The model senior the
senior center model budget did not include food,
everybody keeps talking about it, it's one of the
largest if not the largest components of senior
centers. So, without that I, I'm just not sure how
we're going to get to a number that is realistic and
viable for our centers. As my colleagues keep
reiterating the contracts are not fully funded to
begin with so not having this as part of it is
really makes it much more difficult. The other piece
I just want to again emphasize is that we really need
to expedite these ten million dollars to happen in
this current fiscal year, we cannot wait another
year. We just have to keep fighting for more, but we
really need to push this out for this fiscal year.
So, thank you very much for your time and have a
wonderful summer.

CARLYN COWEN: Good morning, my name is

Carlyn Cowen, I'm the Chief Policy and Public Affairs

Officer at the Chinese American Planning Council.
Thank you very much Chair Chin for the opportunity to
testify and for your persistent leadership on these
issues. My colleague Po Ling Ng has already said many
of the issues better than I possibly could have so
I'll keep this brief, so we can all get to lunch but
I do want to highlight a couple of recommendations
that CPC has to improve the model budget process
overall. In the next phase we urge the increased
transparency and providers role in implementation,
had providers been more intensely involved in the
creation of the model budget and implementation we
would have been able to say that our kitchen and
security colleagues are essential to the operations
and that they need to be included, that the model
budget cannot be successful without fully including
meals and so we hope to have the opportunity to weigh
in on these issues as providers are the ones that are
delivering the services on a daily basis and we
understand most how to make sure that all of the
pieces are addressed throughout the model budget
process. The next recommendation we have is making
sure that we address the insufficient funding and
exclusion on some of the centers. CPC has three

2.2

senior services centers two of which were included in the process. We urge the expedition of additional funding by 2020 for providers since we have not necessarily received all of the first wave and the price of food, of transportation, of rent, of other OTPS expenses continue to increase it's urgent that we expedite this funding in order for it to be meaningful to providers. Thank you so much for your work on this, for your persistence on this and for the opportunity to testify today.

JEANETTE ESTIMA: Good morning, my name is Jeanette Estima and I'm a Senior Policy Analyst at FPWA. Thank you, Chairperson Chin and the members of the committee, for the opportunity to testify today. Last year Mayor De Blasio acknowledged the importance of senior centers as community resources by committing to the creation of this model budget and while we're grateful to the administration and of course to the council under your leadership, we're disappointed in the process and ultimately with a model budget that still leaves most centers with large funding gaps. However, we are pleased to hear that the administration has heard some of these concerns and is planning to meet with advocates to

discuss these following issues. Regarding the
process, it could have been improved in two important
ways, first by increasing the transparency in the
process and of course by being finalized in time to
be implemented in FY $^{\prime}$ 18 which was the year in which
the initial funding was allocated. As DFTA and OMB
created a methodology and considered the goals of the
model budget providers were not consulted or invited
to give feedback. We understand this to be in sharp
contrast with the process at ACS which included focus
groups with frontline staff and incorporated input
from providers into its final recommendations. We
believe that had providers been a part of the DFTA
process the issues with the budget outlined today
could have been avoided. The timing of the process
has also been very slow as you've heard. Today with
the close of FY '18 just days away many senior center
contracts have not yet been amended and registered.
While we recognize the hard work of the DFTA fiscal
staff, we are still concerned about these delays and
urge the administration to ensure that there is
adequate capacity at DFTA to process these amendments
promptly. Finally, the model budget is currently set
to be implemented by FY '21 but this prolongs the

time that some centers must function without the
funding that DFTA itself has determined is needed for
baseline operations and it puts them at a
disadvantage when it comes time to compete for the
next RFP set for 2020. Given the chronic underfunding
of senior centers this funding really should be
implemented immediately. As for the model budget
itself the 20 million dollars allocated simply
doesn't cover the full cost of baseline operations.
Three major, major categories of senior center
expenditures were not considered for correction
through this process; food, occupancy cost, and OTPS.
We know that senior centers play an important role in
reducing food insecurity among older adults which is
why meals are a fundamental service that they
provide, a model budget that, that excludes meals is
quite simply incomplete. Even the funding for direct
staff as you've heard is incomplete because it
doesn't include kitchen or meal staff leaving centers
scrambling to supplement their budgets to pay for the
full staffing costs. While we understand that these
costs can vary widely they are clearly critical to
operating a senior center and should be accounted for
in the model budget in some way. Finally, as you know

38 sites were wholly excluded from the process
including 11 centers that were formally funded by
discretionary dollars but have since been baselined
and are subject to the same requirements and
standards as other DFTA centers. While we understand
that the social clubs and some other sites that offer
only a few programs are not full-fledged senior
centers and have very different budgets they should
be evaluated as well in order to determine whether or
not they are also funded at adequate levels. Therefor
FPWA urges DFTA, OMB and the administration to
reconsider this model budget to include all core
expenses, this means additional funding to address
insufficient reimbursement rates for meals, adequate
staffing beyond program staff, and to include the
centers that were excluded from the process and of
course we also support our colleagues at HSC and the
other advocates that have spoken today towards a an
overall investment in human service organizations
around interactive fringe rates. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you, we've also been joined by Council Member Mathieu Eugene. Thank you to this panel to all your advocacy work. We totally agree with you so the, the next budget

process has already started for next years the for
FY '20, we're not going to give up and we're just not
going to take what they gave us this year, I mean at
the very end they put in 2.8 for home delivered meals
because they had to do something but that's not
enough, it's not sufficient and we want to make sure
that we get this model budget, so called model budget
down pact before the next request for proposal so
that we can make sure that all our centers are
adequately funded. So, we're going to continue to ask
for your help and support with this process and we're
going to engage OMB and DFTA continuously to make
sure we get it right so thank you again for being
here and, and for your great work. Is there anyone
else that wanted to testify that didn't fill out a
slip? Council Member Ayala, any thank you for being
here. Okay, so thank you everyone for coming today
and our hearing is adjourned.

[gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date

July 12, 2018