CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK ---- Х TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES Of the COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE ----- X April 24, 2018 Start: 1:18 p.m. Recess: 5:34 p.m. HELD AT: 250 Broadway-Committee Rm, 16th Fl. STEPHEN T. LEVIN BEFORE: Chairperson COUNCIL MEMBERS: ADRIENEE E. ADAMS DIANA AVALA VANESSA L. GIBSON MARK GJONAJ BARRY S. GRODENCHIK BRAD S. LANDER ANTONIO REYNOSO RAFAEL SALAMANCA, JR. RITCHIE J. TORRES MARK TREYGER World Wide Dictation 545 Saw Mill River Road - Suite 2C, Ardsley, NY 10502

1

Phone: 914-964-8500 * 800-442-5993 * Fax: 914-964-8470 www.WorldWideDictation.com

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Steven Banks Commissioner of the New York City Department of Social Services, HRA

Maria Torres-Springer Commissioner of the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development, HPD

Molly Park Deputy Commissioner for Development at the Department of Housing Preservation and Development, HPD

Laura Mascuch Executive Director of the Supportive Housing Network of New York, Co-Chair of the New York City Coalition on the Continuum of Care

Kristin Miller Director of the Metro Team for Cooperation for Supportive Housing, CSH

Carol Corden Executive Director of New Destiny Housing

Josh Goldfein Staff Attorney from the Legal Aid Society

Gisselle Routhier Policy Director for the Coalition for the homeless

Sandra Gresl Senior Staff Attorney in the Mental Health Law Project at Mobilization for Justice

Jeff Nemetsky Executive Director for Brooklyn Community Housing And Services in Fort Greene

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Brooke Taylor Licensed Social Worker at the Urban Justice Center's Mental Health Project

Amy Blumsack Director of Organizing and Policy at Neighbors Together

Nicole Bramstedt Director of Policy at Urban Pathways

Peter Malvan Co-chair of the Coalition on the Continuum of Care

Wendy O'Shields Member of the Urban Justice Safety Net Project

Toac Kamatsu Resident against HPD and HRA

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 4
2	[gavel]
3	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good afternoon
4	everybody. I'm Council Member Stephen Levin, Chair of
5	the Council's, Council's Committee on General
6	Welfare. Today we are holding a hearing, an oversight
7	hearing on the progress made by the New York City
8	15/15 Initiative as well as a bill that I am
9	sponsoring, Intro Number 147 which will require the
10	Department of Social Services to produce an annual
11	report on supportive housing which is the subject of
12	today's hearing. I'm going to turn it over to our
13	Speaker, Corey Johnson to deliver opening remarks for
14	today's hearing and I want to thank him for his
15	ongoing passion and dedication to supportive housing
16	and to being about to ensure that our city serves the
17	most vulnerable populations with needed housing and
18	supportive services.
19	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you Chair Levin,
20	good afternoon everyone. I'm Corey Johnson, Speaker
21	of the New York City Council. First, I want to thank
22	my dear friend and colleague, Council Member Steve
23	Levin for his stead cast steadfast dedication over
24	the course of his four years as Chair of this
25	Committee on General Welfare, I was proud to
I	

2	reappoint Steve as Chair of the Committee on General
3	Welfare again this session. I want to thank the
4	committee staff for their work on this hearing and
5	lastly, I want to thank the advocacy and provider
6	community for being here today, your efforts along
7	with our partners in city government provide
8	vulnerable New Yorkers with their most basic needs.
9	Supportive housing which began in the 1970's in
10	response to the homelessness crisis in New York City
11	is considered the most successful existing model for
12	ending chronic homelessness among vulnerable
13	populations, it provides integrated permanent housing
14	with services that help people overcome barriers to
15	maintaining stable housing. Today more than 32,000
16	units of supportive housing exists in New York City
17	and because supportive housing is the gold standard
18	I'd like to see a number I'd like to see the number
19	of units in the city grow more quickly. I'd also like
20	to see that more people who are eligible for
21	supportive housing are guaranteed such housing. It's
22	important that the most vulnerable are prioritized
23	for placement, we cannot let the neediest including
24	populations such as New York City's street homeless
25	slip through the cracks in a system that should be

2 designed to help them. supportive housing isn't just the most effective way to end chronic homelessness 3 4 among New York City's most vulnerable, it's cost effective, it saves tax payer dollars and it enhances 5 neighborhoods. Under New York/New York III each unit 6 7 of supportive housing saved the city and state an average of 10,100 dollars per year in public 8 resources. Supportive housing is often mistaken for a 9 homeless shelter which can sometimes bring about 10 community resistance, but the fact of the matter is 11 12 that supportive housing is permanent affordable 13 housing. Typically, there are no complaints once a facility is built in a neighborhood, people often do 14 15 not realize that a building is supportive housing and 16 supportive housing looks just like any other 17 apartment building would. The buildings are 18 attractive and designed to match the neighborhood density, scale and appearance. I'm proud to say that 19 20 I have a lot of supportive housing in my own district. The Times Square Hotel is a supportive 21 2.2 housing project with 652 units that opened up in 23 1991, this building is listed on the national register of historic places. The Christopher is also 24 25 supportive housing, it's in Chelsea located on West

2	24^{th} Street right near the Whole Foods on the corner
3	and it has 207 units of supportive housing, 40 of
4	which are designated for youth aging out of the
5	foster care system who would become homeless. A fun
6	fact about that building, it was originally the
7	McBurney YMCA which was the inspiration of the song,
8	YMCA. Our budget response focuses on prioritizing
9	permanent housing and supportive housing and we care
10	about this greatly. As a city instead of placing
11	people in temporary shelters it's our belief we
12	should be placing them in permanent housing with
13	support services that they need. The city has a plan
14	to create 15,000 new units of supportive housing over
15	the next 15 years and by the end of 2017 550 units of
16	new supportive housing were supposed to be
17	operational however only 150 of the planned 550 are
18	currently operating and this pace is unacceptable and
19	its definitely not adequate enough to help vulnerable
20	New Yorkers that need it. At the rate we're going
21	15,000 units in 15 years is not achievable, we need
22	to do more to make sure these units aren't just
23	funded but that they are fully operational. In
24	addition, because the Mayor and Governor did not come
25	to a joint agreement which historically had been the

2	case with New York I, New York II New York/New York
3	I, New York/New York II and New York/New York III
4	where the state board, the majority of the cost, the
5	city is now competing with the state in its own
6	supportive housing plan. With these separate plans
7	finding possible supportive housing sites across all
8	five boroughs may be more challenging. We need to
9	find ways to work together to meet such challenges
10	head on. I hope this hearing is the beginning of a
11	conversation of how we can work together to ensure
12	the roll out of NYC 15/15 supportive housing units is
13	smooth, timely and successful. I also hope that we
14	can work together to ensure that those who are in
15	need particularly the most vulnerable get placed in
16	supportive housing units. Again, I want to thank
17	Chair Levin, the advocates and the providers once
18	again for this work on this important topic and I
19	just want to end with this, I know the commitment
20	that both Commissioners have here today to our city
21	and the work that they had done previously before
22	they took the current jobs that they're in and I
23	respect them greatly and we have a good working
24	relationship. I think that, you know there's a lot of
25	methodology around homelessness in New York City as
l	

2 we see playout every day in the press, I believe that there are different of course populations of homeless 3 individuals which the city I think has done a good 4 job talking about and tailoring programs to like the 5 Link vouchers that effect different populations but I 6 7 think for the vast majority of New Yorkers who may not ever think of a homeless shelter unless it might 8 be sited in their neighborhood their day to day 9 contact with homelessness in seeing the chronically 10 street homeless living on the street, sleeping on the 11 12 subway and that is what they think of as homelessness in New York City. As someone who has been, this July 13 I'll be sober... July 13th I'll have nine years sober 14 15 and as someone who understands how difficult it is to achieve sobriety and also understands that not all 16 but a significant portion of the chronically street 17 18 homeless are dealing and struggling with substance abuse, alcoholism, untreated mental health issues 19 20 that the only way to really help these folks is to get a roof over their head and until you get a roof 21 2.2 over their head there's no way to get them into a 12 23 step program or get them connected to social services in a regular meaningful way or get them on medication 24 in a way that keeps them on a regiment with the drugs 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 10 2 that they need and I think that everyday and walk through the streets of Chelsea heading home and there 3 4 are homeless people everywhere living on the streets 5 and its heartbreaking, it's horrific and many not all but many folks if they're chronically homeless have 6 7 not had a great experience sometimes when they've gone to a city shelter and they decide not to go back 8 so they decide to live on the streets of New York 9 10 City. We need to speed up this plan. Now I don't say this in an adversarial way or to be offensive but I 11 12 don't really care about a 15 year plan, I care about a three year plan or a four year plan or maybe a five 13 14 year plan at most but when you have the level of 15 homelessness that we've seen even with all the 16 prevention programs that we've instituted; the vouchers, the amount of money the city's appropriated 17 18 year in and year out for the Department of Social Services and HRA in building new shelters and the 19 20 shelter repair squad and the Link vouchers and rental subsidy and all of the great programs that have been 21 2.2 innovative and I think effective in stemming the tide 23 so it's not as bad as it even would be if we didn't do those things, a 15 year plan is not acceptable. I, 24 I, I don't want to hear about a 15-year plan when in 25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	11
2	the first year we're only getting 150 units of 550
3	units that should be operational. So, for me I am
4	going to continue to bang the drum on when we're
5	talking about city owned sites, when we're talking
6	about new affordable housing projects, when we're
7	talking about neighborhood rezoning's, when we're
8	talking about individual sites that are being
9	rezoned, what is the set aside, what's the
10	relationship between congregant sites and cluster
11	sites, how are we doing in those areas? In my own
12	district in the last couple of years we've built two
13	new supportive housing sites right near my district
14	office, one on West 28^{th} Street between 7^{th} and 8^{th}
15	Avenues and one on West 29 th Street between 7^{th} and 8^{th}
16	Avenues for formally homeless single adults living
17	with HIV and AIDS, 36 units in one building, 37 units
18	in another building and, and that is what I want to
19	see, I want to see us prioritizing this in a
20	significant way, it doesn't all fall on HPD, it
21	doesn't all fall on social services and HRA, NYCHA
22	should be significantly increasing the number of
23	homeless individuals and families that they take in
24	but for the chronically street homeless we must,
25	must, must do better and I and I, I, I really don't

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 12 want to hear about a 15 year plan because 15 years 2 could be three Mayors from now, three Council 3 Speakers from now, none of us will be here, it's not 4 5 a plan that we should be talking about, we should be 6 figuring out what is ... we're in budget season, what is 7 the amount of money we need on the capital side, what is the bond cap that we need, what is the operational 8 money that we need to get these things done. So, I 9 don't want to keep speaking, I want to let it go back 10 to Chair Levin but, you know I've come to a handful 11 12 of committee hearings since I became Speaker, I care about this issue deeply and I'm going to continue to 13 press and push on this issue so I look forward to 14 15 hearing your testimony today and I have a series of 16 questions that I have for you related to the existing plan and to understand how we're going to achieve 17 18 numbers as quickly as possible. Thank you very much Chair Levin. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much Speaker and I can speak and attest to firsthand your 21 2.2 dedication and say a good percentage of our 23 conversations since you've become Speaker have been about ensuring that the city meets its commitments on 24 25 supportive housing and, and I know that this is

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 13 something that you're willing to play a very active 2 role in ensuring that the, the... that this 3 4 administration does what it's supposed to be doing 5 so... so, I'll, I'll go through my, my opening 6 statement and acknowledge my colleagues and, and then 7 turn it over to you all. As the Speaker said supportive housing is considered the most successful 8 existing model for ending chronic homelessness among 9 10 vulnerable populations. Just last year the General Welfare Committee held a hearing at the Schermerhorn 11 12 which is in my district, if you look next door to the 13 Schermerhorn by the way is a Hilton Hotel across the 14 street, our luxury condominiums, those have all gone 15 up I think actually since the Schermerhorn was built. 16 It is a very successful supportive housing building 17 that is a partnership breaking ground and the actors 18 fund, it contains affordable housing for the community as well as a black box theatre and studio 19 20 space for Brooklyn Ballet which has been a tenant there for probably about ten years now. It's a 21 2.2 perfect example of how a supportive housing 23 development creates jobs and adds value to a 24 neighborhood. Given what we know about supportive housing through research and personal experience the 25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	14
2	De Blasio Administration and as the announcement in
3	2015 to create 15,000 units of supportive housing
4	over 15 years was very welcome news, however as the
5	Speaker said now that we are a few years in we are
6	hearing about delays and challenges in implementing
7	this initiative, 550 units were expected to come
8	online in FY '18 but we're still not up and running
9	when we checked in earlier this year. Now this
10	administration just proposed and we at the council
11	approved a 160-million-dollar budget mod to be able
12	to pay for the current needs of our unstably housed
13	residents, that is that is a massive, massive
14	number, of, of that 160 million over 110 million were
15	for single adults that means that when we budget for
16	the coming year we are under budgeting by roughly ten
17	percent for the needs of the shelter system itself.
18	We know that the antidote to that is to build better,
19	more plentiful, long term, stable, permanent housing
20	and supportive housing, a key component to that. We
21	simply say simply we have to stop playing catch up on
22	the city's homelessness crisis, we need to dedicate
23	funding to address this long term and set aside… and
24	set aside funding for supportive housing in new
25	developments. We have heard also concerns that the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 15 2 lack of studios and one bedrooms in New York City are a hinderance to creating scatter site units, we have 3 4 heard that individuals being placed in ... of 5 individuals being placed in two-bedroom roommate situations which is not an ideal situation for two 6 7 people who are trying to get back on their feet. Additionally, some advocates have argued that only 8 the easiest to serve clients are accepted into 9 10 supportive housing, a process known as quote, unquote "creaming". There are reports of applicants being 11 12 denied supportive housing due to their age, quote 13 "lack of insight into their mental illness, not being compliant with medication or recent substance abuse". 14 15 HRA's response to this concern is to coordinate an 16 assessment and placement system or CAPS which includes a vulnerability index to prioritize the 17 18 highest need individuals and families approved for supportive housing. While such a system is admirable 19 some have expressed concerns that the vulnerability 20 index may not capture the street homeless and youth 21 2.2 populations, harder to reach communities with unique 23 needs that require sensitive approaches to care linkage. It is important that we are all clear on the 24 25 process and implementation of the CAP system. In

1 16 2 order to ensure that we are serving those who need it most and not leaving any population behind I've 3 introduced Intro Number 147, this bill would require 4 the Department of Social Services to produce an 5 annual report on the number of individuals referred 6 7 to and accepted to rejected for and still awaiting placement for supportive housing disaggregated by the 8 source of referral, time the individual's been 9 homeless, the individual's current shelter placement, 10 the amount of time the individual's been awaiting 11 12 placement, the number of interviews the individual has attended and the reason an individual has been 13 rejected for placement. This data will help us all 14 15 figure out and get a clear picture if there are any 16 hiccups in the system or groups who are being 17 underserved. I'm a dedicated proponent of supportive 18 housing and I want the roll out of new units to be successful so that all supportive units can be as 19 20 much of a success as the Schermerhorn in my district and the developments that the speaker spoke of in his 21 2.2 district. I hope that we can all work together to get 23 the support that... to those that need it starting with ensuring that those with the highest needs are served 24 25 and you know just a, a note from my end, I only have

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	17
2	three and a half years left in this council, so I
3	feel… I feel a, a deep sense of urgency to get things
4	moving and so when I hear about concerns from
5	providers around some of the, the not enough scatter
6	site units coming on or providers reorienting there,
7	their contracts because they can't find enough
8	scatter site units or that I'm hearing about
9	opportunities for congregant facilities that might
10	not be taken advantage of, you know I am very
11	concerned, I'm very concerned, this is not the type
12	of, of this is not this is not the type of crisis
13	that we should be overly stingy about frankly, we
14	need to be putting the funding in where it's
15	necessary and if it requires additional funding or a
16	more up front funding to address the needs head on if
17	we don't do that what we see every year is 160
18	million dollar budget mods in April or in March
19	because we're not moving out enough single adults out
20	of the, the shelter system and the cost of shelter,
21	of temporary shelter will just continue to go up, we
22	have a right to shelter in this city, we have to have
23	a right to shelter in the city, we should have a
24	right to shelter in this city but if we're not
25	providing and building aggressively permanent housing

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	18
2	options starting with supportive housing then we're
3	going to be throwing away money on the backend
4	instead of investing it in the frontend and that's a
5	shame. So, that urgency, I know the Speaker feels it,
6	I know you all feel it, we need to make sure that,
7	that we are not in any way… we're not letting a day
8	slip by without taking advantage of opportunities
9	that are before us. So, with that I want to
10	acknowledge my colleagues; Council Member Rafael
11	Salamanca was here, Council Member Adrienne Adams,
12	Council Member Diana Ayala, Council Member Mark
13	Gjonaj, Council Member Brad Lander and I… we're
14	expecting to be joined by others as well. And with
15	that I will turn it over to the administration,
16	before you testify can I ask you to raise your right
17	hand please? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the
18	whole truth and nothing but the truth in your
19	testimony before this committee and to respond
20	honestly to Council Member's questions?
21	STEVEN BANKS: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.
23	STEVEN BANKS: Good afternoon, I'm going
24	to go first. Good afternoon, thank you Chair Levin
25	and thank you Speaker Johnson and members of the
l	

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 19 General Welfare Committee for giving us the 2 opportunity to testify today about this subject of 3 supportive housing in New York City. My name is 4 Steven Banks and I'm the Commissioner of the New York 5 City Department of Social Services which oversees the 6 7 Human Resources Administration of the Department of Social Services and I'd like to thank Commissioner 8 Torres-Springer as well as HPD's support and 9 10 partnership as we continue to implement our reforms. I also want to thank both the Speaker and the Chair 11 12 for your very kind words and for your partnership, we have implemented a number of reforms with your 13 14 support in the HASA area and other areas and I look 15 forward to giving some testimony today and then... and 16 then providing information in, in terms of the 17 questions that you may have so that we can address 18 your concerns and move forward on something that I know you care greatly about and we do too. Let me 19 begin my testimony as I've done previously for the 20 committee by sort of contextualizing homelessness in 21 2.2 New York City and highlighting the structural and 23 economic factors that drive the challenge. There is no one size fits all approach to addressing 24 25 homelessness which is why our approach is

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 20 2 multifaceted and includes four core components; prevention, bringing people in from the streets, re-3 4 housing and transforming the provision of shelter 5 from a haphazard system to a borough-based system 6 approach. Of course, one significant contributing 7 factor to homelessness is an insufficient supply of viable housing options for low income New Yorkers. 8 From 2005 to 2015 the median rent in New York City 9 increased by 18.3 percent while household income 10 increased by only 4.8 percent. The most recent 11 12 housing and vacancy survey showed some sign of change estimating the household incomes among renters rose 13 14 by 10.9 percent, in real terms while, while rents 15 increased 6.2 percent in 2017 but we're still 16 combatting this long-term trend. Furthermore between 1994 and 2012 the city lost about 16 percent of the 17 18 total rent regulated housing stock which encompassed approximately 150,000 units. These trends among other 19 contributing factors created a situation where, by 20 2015, the city had only half the housing it needs to 21 2.2 serve about three million low-income New Yorkers. And 23 while the city's overall vacancy rate of 3.36 percent poses a problem for people across incomes, renters 24 who are only able to afford an apartment costing 800 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 21 dollars or less must search in a market with an even 2 lower vacancy rate of 1.15 percent in 2017, down from 3 4 1.8 percent in 2014 losing ground there. The 5 devastating effects of economic inequality and past 6 inaction from prior administrations in New York City, 7 Albany and Washington led to the homeless crisis we face today. In the initiatives of both HRA and DHS 8 are beginning to reverse the trend. The average 9 monthly census for DHS shelters increased 115 percent 10 from 1994 to 2014, rising from 23,868 men, women, and 11 12 children in January 1994, to 31,009 in January of 2002, and reaching 51,470 in January 2014. Without 13 the initiatives that the Speaker acknowledged or 14 15 mentioned that he's been ... he supported we would have 16 exceeded 70,000 instead of the 60,000 level that we're currently at today. In fact, a recent Furman 17 18 study found that the year-over-year shelter census growth from calendar year 2015 to calendar year 2016 19 20 was the lowest since 2011, the year the city and state ended the Advantage rental assistance program, 21 2.2 leading to a 38 percent increase in homelessness. The 23 DHS census for 2017 remained roughly flat compared to 2016, this is the first time in more than a decade 24 that the DHS census has remained flat. And among the 25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	22
2	first four months of 2018 compared to the same period
3	in the prior year, the number of families with
4	children entering the DHS shelter system declined by
5	15.1 percent and adult family entrants declined by
6	10.8 percent. Since 2014 we've expanded and enhanced
7	our services and assistance with support from the
8	council including, but not limited to, the following
9	initiatives; created and implemented rental
10	assistance programs and restored Section 8 and New
11	York City Housing Authority priorities, which through
12	2017 December 2017 have helped over 81,124 children
13	and adults move out of, or avert entry into shelter,
14	established the Homelessness Prevention
15	Administration within HRA and provided emergency
16	rental assistance to 217,000 households through FY
17	'17, aggressively expanded free legal assistance for
18	New Yorkers facing eviction, harassment by
19	unscrupulous landlords and other displacement
20	pressures by increasing funding for legal services
21	for tenants from six million in FY '13 to 77 million
22	in FY '18, a 12-fold increase, providing legal
23	assistance to over 180,000 New Yorkers. These
24	services have begun to yield positive results, the
25	Mayor recently announced that residential evictions

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	23
2	by marshals dropped by 27 percent from 2013 to 2017,
3	helping more than 70,000 New Yorkers stay in their
4	homes. Some New Yorkers face heightened barriers to
5	securing and maintaining stable housing due to mental
6	health issues, substance use disorders, HIV and other
7	disabling medical conditions. Supportive Housing is
8	targeted to reach this particularly vulnerable cross-
9	section of New Yorkers. And within the
10	Administration's comprehensive strategy to turn the
11	tide on homelessness, Supportive Housing is one
12	critical component in our efforts. Supportive Housing
13	not only provides a secure living environment that
14	helps to stabilize residents, but it also connects
15	those who meet the eligibility requirements with
16	support services to ensure that they have access to
17	the tools necessary to maintain their personal
18	highest level of self-sufficiency. Services in this
19	housing model are customized to meet the individual
20	needs of each resident and can include connection to
21	mental health and substance use treatment,
22	employment, and education. The robust, evidence-based
23	framework, which builds on decades of experience and
24	evaluation, is one tool in our toolbox to address
25	homelessness in New York City. For example, our

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	24
2	latest data on New York/New York III housing
3	retention rates shows us that 88 percent of clients
4	remain stably house after one year and 78 percent
5	after two. By providing a combination of stable
6	housing and support services, we are helping
7	vulnerable populations achieve their maximum
8	functional capacity in a safe and supportive
9	environment. The history of Supportive Housing in New
10	York City highlights the importance of our current
11	efforts. Supportive Housing has existed in New York
12	City since the 1980s. Over the past few decades, we
13	have gained a wealth of knowledge from implementing
14	the Supportive Housing model and analyzing the
15	outcomes. Beginning in 1990, the City and State
16	shared in the development and implementation of
17	Supportive Housing using an evidenced-based model to
18	keep some of the most vulnerable New Yorkers stably
19	housed. These agreements are known as New York/New
20	York I, II, and III. The New York/New York III
21	agreement was implemented to create 9,000 units of
22	Supportive Housing in New York City between 2005 and
23	2015. Currently, the city and state are still
24	completing units committed to the New York/New York
25	III plan and we'll continue to engage in the

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	25
2	25 eligibility determinations, placement, tracking,
3	contract administration, oversight, and evaluation of
4	these units. In the absence of fourth New York/New
5	York agreement in 2015, the administration launched
6	the largest municipal commitment to Supportive
7	Housing ever, the Mayor's 15/15 plan. The Mayor's
8	plan, which commits to developing 15,000 units over
9	15 years, includes more units than the combined
10	number of units from the three previous New York/New
11	York agreements and reaches further than any other
12	Supportive Housing effort in the country. We are
13	confident that this sweeping and a comprehensive
14	plan, along with the existing services provided
15	through New York/New York agreements, will continue
16	to improve the lives of New Yorkers in need who
17	benefit from a combination of stable housing and
18	supportive services. It's important to note that
19	while NYC 15/15 has been ramping up, the City
20	continues to make placements into supportive housing
21	via vacancies and new units from the prior New
22	York/New York agreements. Since 2014, the City has
23	placed over 5,000 people from shelter into supportive
24	housing units, including re-rentals into New York/New
25	York I, II, and III units and new units in New

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 26 York/New York III that are still coming online. There 2 are two types of Supportive Housing in the 15/15 3 plan; single-site, also referred to as congregate, 4 which are designated buildings where each individual 5 and family has a private living quarters and may 6 7 share kitchens or common recreational rooms or other facilities; scattered site, which are units in 8 private apartment buildings spread across 9 10 neighborhoods and communities throughout the city that are designated for targeted vulnerable 11 12 populations. Both housing models include on-site services for the residents. Over 15 years, the 13 14 expectation is that the City will create 7,500 newly 15 built congregate units and obtain an additional 7,500 16 scattered site units. These residential units are equipped with on-site case management and supportive 17 18 services and adhere to safety and quality standards in accordance with local, state, and federal laws and 19 regulations. Tenants in Supportive Housing sign a 20 standard lease or occupancy agreement and receive 21 2.2 rental assistance, along with voluntary, strength-23 based support services that are customized to meet each individual's specific needs. Our requests for 24 25 proposals require services for an individual or the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 27 entire family; and in the case of family supportive 2 housing, providers must offer programming that is 3 4 developmentally appropriate for children and 5 families. A case management team is responsible for developing a person-centered assessment and service 6 7 plan, and connecting residents to comprehensive support services including: case management; 8 educational, vocational, and other recovery-oriented 9 services; medication management and counseling; 10 assistance in gaining access to government benefits, 11 12 such as food stamps; referrals to medical services, 13 medical care and treatment by drug and alcohol use; recommendations for other needed services, such as 14 15 legal support. In supportive housing, residents pay 16 30 percent of their income towards rent and are not required to participate in services to maintain their 17 18 tenancy, but many do. Professional staff members engage with tenants, providing motivational support 19 20 that reduces isolation and increases their connection to much needed supports. This cost-effective approach 21 2.2 to deliver stable and permanent housing to New 23 Yorkers struggling with mental illness, homelessness, and substance use, as well as other vulnerable 24 populations such as New Yorkers with HIV, is worth 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 28 2 every penny of the investment. Research, research shows that Supportive Housing reduces the City's 3 reliance on homeless shelters, hospitals, mental 4 health institutions, and incarceration, setting up 5 these individuals and families for success and the 6 7 long-term savings for tax payers. And Supportive Housing creates positive outcomes for individuals and 8 their families, the community and government. 9 Progress so far in the right direction. Throughout 10 the years, eligibility for Supportive Housing in New 11 12 York City has expanded to encompass additional 13 categories of vulnerable New Yorkers. The first and 14 second New York/New York agreements provided services 15 to homeless New Yorkers who were seriously mentally 16 ill. The third New York/New York agreement 17 significantly expanded eligibility to include 18 homeless or at risk of homelessness individuals and families with a broader range of mental health or 19 20 substance use disorders or HIV. It also included individuals aging out of foster care or transitioning 21 2.2 from State psychiatric facilities. Building on this 23 expansion, the Mayor's 15/15 plan further reforms eligibility to reach adults, single adults or heads 24 of families, with serious mental illness or substance 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 29 use disorders, SMI or SUD and a history of chronic 2 homelessness, or young adults, single, pregnant or 3 4 head of family, at risk of homelessness. Supportive Housing task force update, following the historic 5 announcement of NYC 15/15 in November of 2015, the 6 7 Mayor assembled a 28-member Task Force comprised of a broad representation of subject matter experts from 8 supportive housing providers and advocates, to social 9 service practitioners. City agencies worked closely 10 with the Task Force and also assembled focus groups 11 12 with clients to ensure a broad range of feedback. The 13 Task Force was convened in January of 2016 and their work culminated in a report issued in December 2016. 14 15 This report includes 23 specific recommendations that 16 outlined the ways in which the NYC 15/15 could expand and improve upon the previous New York/New York 17 18 agreements. The 23 recommendations are grouped into the following categories: data and evaluation; 19 20 referral process; services models; and streamlining development. In the year and a half since those 21 2.2 recommendations came out, the City has made 23 significant progress. In last year's testimony, we outlined for the Council the 23 Task Force 24 recommendations and detailed some early successes. As 25

2 of today, we are well underway in the implementation of those recommendations; more than 75 percent of the 3 recommendations are either completed or ongoing, the 4 5 remaining recommendations are in the process of being implemented. Prior, prioritizing the most vulnerable 6 7 individuals, we have had success in developing and implementing a process to identify and prioritize 8 clients who are in the greatest need of Supportive 9 Housing. Part of the Supportive Housing Task Force's 10 recommendations included moving away from the rigid 11 12 population categories of New York/New York III and expanding the eligibility to three broad populations; 13 14 adults, families, and young adults. In last year's 15 testimony, we noted that HRA had begun working with 16 the Coordinated Assessment Placement System, CAPS, Steering Committee to develop the Coordinated Access 17 18 Assessment Survey, a universal tool to assist case managers and housing specialists to understand the 19 different types of Supportive Housing and rental 20 subsidies for which their clients may be eligible. 21 2.2 The survey is now accessible to all 12,000 Placement, 23 Assessment, and Client Tracking users across NYC, improving the efficiency of referrals and placements 24 of vulnerable individuals and clients. The CAPS 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 31 2 survey works in conjunction with the Standardized Vulnerability Assessment, which was implemented in 3 January 23rd, 2018. Through the Standard 4 5 Vulnerability Assessment or SVA, all approved Supportive Housing applications receive a 6 7 vulnerability rating of high, medium, or low based on criteria that contribute to the probability an 8 individual or family is vulnerable to becoming or 9 remaining homeless. Some of these criteria include 10 multi-system contacts, functional impairments, high 11 12 utilization of Medicaid, and length of time homeless. The SVA is determined by live data feeds with DHS, 13 the HRA HIV/AIDS Services Administration, or HASA, 14 15 the Department of Corrections, and the Department of 16 Health and Mental Hygiene as well as the information contained in the 2010e Supportive Housing 17 18 applications that HRA administers. These live feeds provide real-time shelter stay, Medicaid 19 20 expenditures, and other information to determine eligibility and vulnerability for homeless 21 2.2 individuals. As of February 2018, almost 6,000 client 23 surveys have been completed, and HRA continues to work with the aforementioned agencies, along with 24 many additional City, State, advocacy and community-25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 32 2 based stakeholders to fully implement CAPS citywide. Making the application accessible, in previous 3 testimony, we detailed the 2010e application process, 4 5 administered by HRA, which allows referring agencies to electronically submit the application to HRA's 6 7 Placement, Assessment, and Client Tracking Unit. In 2016, we streamlined the application to include 8 automatic uploads of copies of supportive documents 9 required for housing, such as the client's social 10 security card and income information. In 2017, we 11 12 expanded access to the application process to a broad range of referral sources including the Department of 13 Housing Preservation and Development, HRA's Office of 14 15 Domestic Violence, and the Department of Youth and 16 Community Development. Further, we made it easier to apply for Supportive Housing by allowing additional 17 18 professionals, such as licensed clinical social workers and psychologists, to complete the required 19 20 mental health evaluations for the 2010e application. We also now prepopulate sections of the 2010e with 21 2.2 information collected as part of the Coordinated 23 Assessment Survey. This reduces redundancy for individuals completing the application while ensuring 24 25 consistency of information. We also made progress in

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	33
2	educating the public, stakeholders, and other
3	agencies of the Supportive Housing model. In March of
4	2018 we launched the first comprehensive city webpage
5	on Supportive Housing. It explains the process to
6	apply for Supportive Housing, to build Supportive
7	Housing units, and to provide services for Supportive
8	Housing. This platform is easy to navigate and allows
9	users to access consolidated information from
10	multiple city agencies and community-based
11	organizations to learn about available services and
12	how to access them. Drawing on the work of the
13	Supportive Housing Task Force and even before the
14	release of the Task Force's recommendations and
15	report in December 2016, in August 2016, the City
16	released its first RFP for scattered site housing and
17	awarded 505 units. This RFP includes evidence-
18	informed practices geared towards helping individuals
19	on their personal path to recovery such as
20	motivational interviewing, person centered planning
21	and trauma informed care. After the release of the
22	Task Force's recommendations and report, the City
23	also released an open-ended RFP for scattered site
24	housing in an open and an RFP for congregate units.
25	We are on track with the awards for NYC 15/15 for the

	CONVERSES ON CENEDAL MELEADE
1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	34
2	15-year program that has improved the prior New
3	York/New York plans through the Supportive Housing
4	Task Force's December 2016 reforms. Through the 15/15
5	plan thus far, we have made 1,426 awards to
6	providers, including 505 scattered and 921 congregate
7	units, 460 of which are congregate units that have
8	closed on financing and are included in the 3,059
9	units in the HPD production line. Through HPD's
10	overall supportive housing production pipeline,
11	between January 1^{st} , 2014 and December 31^{st} , 2017, HPD
12	has funded 2,599 supportive units in addition to the
13	460 New York New York NYC 15/15 units through the
14	preservation of existing supportive projects,
15	remaining New York/New York III commitments, and
16	other federal and state projects. The City has and
17	continues to move people out of shelter and into
18	supportive housing. From 2014 to date, the
19	Administration has provided Supportive Housing to
20	over 5,000 New Yorkers moving out of shelter through
21	a combination of units that have come available
22	through the prior New York/New York pipeline as well
23	as units that have come online through the new NYC
24	15/15 initiative. In 2017, the same year in which the
25	first NYC 15/15 awards were made, we projected that

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 35 we would have 500 clients linked to units by the end 2 of December 2017. And for the second year following 3 the awards, even while development and construction 4 5 were ramping up, we projected that we would link an 6 additional 500 clients to Supportive Housing. Today, 7 we are on pace with our target to reach the 1,000client goal by the end of this calendar year: three ... 8 337 clients have already moved into units and 170 9 clients are linked to units and are in the process of 10 moving in including... 30 will move in over the next 11 12 several weeks and we expect at least 411 NYC 15/15 congregate units to come online during 2018 for a 13 total of at least 918 units so far for the 15-year 14 15 initiative. In addition, HPD continues to develop 16 supportive housing units that will come online in 2018, including the remaining New York/New York III 17 18 units. It's important to talk about the progress we've made against the backdrop of a unit shortage in 19 20 the market. In our efforts to achieve the goals set out in the 15/15 plan, we face the challenge of 21 2.2 operating in a market where the demand for units far 23 exceeds the supply. Put simply, the abundance of renters in the market competing for the same units, 24 25 coupled with a 1.15 percent vacancy rate for

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 36 2 affordable apartments, influences the pace at which we are able to expand our scattered site program. 3 4 This continuing work, coupled with our rental 5 assistance programs and legal assistance services, all contribute to address the challenge of 6 7 homelessness in our city and have made it possible to break the trajectory and hold the DHS census flat for 8 the first time in a decade. In sum, within our multi-9 pronged plan to address the problem of homelessness 10 that has built up over four decades, Supportive 11 12 Housing is a critical component that benefits one cross-section of the population with a particular set 13 14 of needs. Implementing the NYC 15/15 plan will 15 provide permanent, stable housing and essential 16 social services for some of the most vulnerable New Yorkers. Turning the tide on homelessness overall, 17 18 however, goes far beyond developing and building Supportive Housing; it requires a multifaceted 19 20 approach that focuses on prevention, bringing people in from the streets 24/7, rehousing people who become 21 2.2 homeless, and transforming the approach to providing 23 shelter that has been used over 40 years. The 24 agencies before you today work in close partnership with each other and other City agencies, and 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 37 community and faith-based organizations to help to 2 mediate housing insecurity and homelessness for New 3 Yorkers. The recent integration of HRA and DHS has 4 facilitated coordination between housing units in 5 each agency and has improved the efficiency with 6 7 which we deliver our services to low-income New Yorkers. Proposed legislation, Intro 147, would 8 require the Human Resources Administration to issue 9 10 an annual report regarding supportive housing. We look forward to working with the sponsors to address 11 12 the concerns that underlie the proposed legislation in terms of specific reporting. We have made progress 13 14 over the past few years, but we know we have much 15 more work to do to address this decades-old problem. 16 We look forward to our continued partnership with the 17 Council to provide the help that New Yorkers need to 18 get back on their feet. Thank you again for this opportunity to testify and I welcome your questions 19 20 after Commissioner Torres-Springer's testimony. MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Good afternoon 21 2.2 Speaker Johnson, Chair Levin and members of the 23 General Welfare Committee. My name is Maria Torres-Springer, I'm the Commissioner of the New York City 24 25 Department of Housing Preservation and Development.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 38 2 Thank you for the opportunity to testify today about supportive housing and the progress of the 15/15 3 initiative. I'd like to thank Commissioner Steve 4 Banks for his testimony and all of the important work 5 that the Department of Social Services does to 6 7 promote supportive housing. I'm also joined here today by our Deputy Commissioner for Development, 8 Molly Park. Last night about 60,000 New Yorkers slept 9 in one of the many homeless shelters throughout our 10 city. This number is a grave reminder of the severity 11 12 of the affordability crisis we face. The many individuals and families currently residing in our 13 shelter system come from neighborhoods across each of 14 15 the five boroughs; they live, work and attend school 16 among us, they were our neighbors until they fell on hard times and now lack a stable place to call home. 17 18 Many of the people currently residing in shelters have long histories of homelessness and some have 19 20 other challenges including mental illness, addiction or are living with HIV/AIDS. Many of these New 21 2.2 Yorkers have the fewest option for exiting the 23 shelter system and obtaining stable housing. For this reason, creating supportive housing is the best tool 24 25 at HPD's disposal to support these populations. The

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 39 2 production of supportive housing enables the city to move more individuals and families with special needs 3 4 out of the shelter system and into permanent rent stabilized homes with access to individualized on 5 6 site social services that help tenants maintain their 7 housing for the long term. For HPD to sustain a high rate of supportive housing production it must be able 8 to rely on consistent streams of services, rental 9 10 assistance and capital funding. Towards the beginning of this mayoral administration, the city faced a 11 12 twofold challenge regarding these resources, first the New York/New York III services funding agreement 13 was winding down and second the supply of federal 14 15 project-based Section 8 vouchers remained 16 insufficient for all of HPD's needs. In response the Mayor announced in 2015, as Commissioner Banks 17 18 mentioned, a major commitment of city resources to establish the NYC 15/15 initiative creating 15,000 19 20 supportive housing apartments over 15 years in partnership with DSS. Approximately half of this 21 2.2 commitment of 15,000 apartments will be met through 23 services and rental assistance funding for scattered site supportive housing apartments administered by 24 HRA. The other half will be created through the new 25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	40
2	construction of congregate supportive housing
3	buildings administered by HPD. The 7,500 congregate
4	supportive housing apartments will require a total of
5	2.6 billion in capital funds over the 15 years it
6	needs to develop. The city will provide one billion
7	in city capital towards this total amount all but 380
8	million of which has already been budgeted through
9	Housing New York. The remaining capital cost, about
10	1.6 billion will be offset with low income tax
11	credits and other private sources. There will be
12	additional funds dedicated to ensuring that these
13	buildings will have the crucial social, social
14	services contracts they need to serve their tenants.
15	Additionally, the city committed to create a new city
16	funded rental assistance program to reduce pressure
17	on our finite Section 8 pipeline which is currently
18	facing additional threats at the federal level. This
19	new program has freed up Section 8 to produce units
20	for low income seniors and other homeless households
21	who do not need a supportive housing. NYC 15/15
22	rental assistance will mimic the long-term structure
23	and funding rates of project-based Section 8 paying
24	the difference between the tenant's share of rent
25	equal to 30 percent of their incomes and the rent

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	41
2	needed for proper building maintenance and
3	operations. The 15/15 initiative is part of a long
4	history of investment in supportive housing, HPD's
5	supportive housing loan program is the primary
6	capital financing tool for the city's supportive
7	housing production. SHLP makes loans to primarily not
8	for profit developers of permanent supportive
9	housing, all projects include on site social
10	services. Projects developed through SHLP are made up
11	of 60 percent apartments for New Yorkers who are
12	chronically homeless and disabled and the remaining
13	40 percent of apartments are reserved for households
14	earning up to 60 percent of the area median income
15	who are also able to access the social services in
16	place. In the program we combine a range of funding
17	sources to bring together the capital, operating and
18	service funds we need in order to create this vital
19	housing source. This historic this includes city
20	capital, low income housing tax credits, project
21	based rental assistance and historically a variety of
22	city and state social service contracts, home and
23	project-based Section 8. I am proud to say that since
24	the beginning of Housing New York, since so, since
25	January 1 st , 2014, we have financed the construction
I	

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 42 or preservation of more than 3,000 supportive housing 2 apartments. I certainly would like to thank the city 3 4 council for holding two hearings last year jointly with the Committees on General Welfare and Housing 5 and Buildings that underscored the importance of 6 7 housing for those who are formerly homeless. In particular about five months ago on HPD's 8 coordination with DHS, HRA to address the 9 homelessness crisis and earlier in 2017 on supportive 10 housing as the Chair mentioned in the Schermerhorn, a 11 12 217-apartment supportive housing project in Cobble Hill. I'd like to thank Chair Levin whose district 13 covers this project, for his leadership in making 14 15 that happen and as he mentioned it is really a 16 project that we ... we're all very proud of and we think 17 typifies all that supporting ... supportive housing has 18 to offer. We really believe however that this building is not an outlier, it is emblematic of the 19 20 high-quality planning and design that is the standard for all of our supportive housing projects. 21 2.2 Supportive housing projects are not quote, unquote 23 "facilities" or quote, unquote "institutions", they are affordable apartment buildings that provide 24 tenants with rent stabilized leases and all of the 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 43 2 same rights and responsibilities as every other... any other tenant in a rental apartment in New York City. 3 4 They are built with sensitivity to the privacy 5 supportive housing tenants deserve due to the various stigmas attached to people with supportive needs. 6 7 Supportive housing projects provide amenities such as community space, computer rooms, all the while 8 incorporating innovative design elements that make 9 these buildings vibrant places to live. At typical 10 supportive housing buildings includes a 60, 40 splits 11 12 between supportive housing apartments and other 13 affordable apartments available to any household that 14 income qualifies. This ensures that the projects are 15 integrated and serve a, a wide range of your 16 constituents, certainly encourage visits to various supportive housing projects in your own district and 17 18 in other districts so you can see all the many ways these buildings really do enrich their neighborhoods. 19 20 When you visit one of supportive housing projects it's also of course an opportunity to hear the 21 2.2 personal stories of tenants who previously lived in 23 shelter often for many years but who are now reconnecting with family, addressing their health 24 needs and finding employment. Supportive housing is 25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	44
2	also a cost-effective solution for addressing the
3	homelessness crisis. Peer reviewed research found
4	that for every supportive apartment tax payers save
5	more than 10,000 dollars per year as was mentioned by
6	the Speaker earlier and public resources that would
7	otherwise be spent on shelters, emergency rooms,
8	jails and psychiatric facilities. In fact, research
9	has even shown that contrary to popular belief
10	supportive housing projects also increase property
11	values in the areas surrounding them. In 2008 the
12	Furman Center examined property values in
13	neighborhoods surrounding more than 120 supportive
14	housing projects and found that the value of the
15	adjacent properties increased after the supportive
16	housing was built. This is in part because supportive
17	housing providers replace what can be eyesores at
18	times; vacant lots, severely underutilized land with
19	beautiful well-maintained buildings. And the public
20	design commission just last week released a report on
21	design in affordable housing, in four out of the
22	seven case studies of good design were supportive
23	housing. Of course, supportive housing is not the
24	only tool we have for bringing people out of the
25	shelter system, we are working to increase the number

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 45 2 of set aside apartments in HPD programs which can be used to house homeless families and individuals with 3 fewer social service needs and those who might be 4 5 better suited to supportive housing. As part of our 6 efforts to expand housing production for the formerly 7 homeless while also ensuring that these apartments continue to be distributed in every neighborhood in 8 which HPD is doing business we recently retooled our 9 10 most popular term sheets to require homeless set asides. Our ELLA program which is extremely low and 11 12 low-income affordability program, our mix and match, our mixed income program now both include a ten 13 percent homeless set aside. We've also included 14 15 homeless set asides effective upon re-rental in all 16 of preservation programs. While supportive housing is our best tool for serving homeless individuals with 17 18 special needs these set asides are particularly useful for creating housing for homeless families, 19 20 these new set asides along with the 30 percent set aside in the SARA program, Senior Affordable Rental 21 2.2 Apartments and the 60 percent that I mentioned for 23 supportive housing loan program they've enabled us to more than double the rate of our homeless housing 24 25 production since the onset of Housing New York.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 46 2 During the second half of 2014 we were producing homeless apartments at a rate of about 1,000 homes 3 4 annually, in FY '17 we closed on more than 2,500 5 homeless apartments, in total since the start of the 6 administration we have created and preserved over 7 7,200 apartments for formerly homeless households as part of the overall housing plan. HPD, the Department 8 of Homeless Services and HRA communicate daily to 9 coordinate our response in the homelessness crisis. 10 One of the major avenues for which is through our 11 12 efforts to refer homeless households out of shelter 13 and into permanent housing. For supportive housing apartments HPD and HRA conduct periodic meetings so 14 15 that HRA knows when specific HPD buildings will be 16 completing construction and when apartments will 17 become available. DHS also attends marketing and 18 lease up kick off meetings with HPD and the project development team so that they are aware of 19 20 construction and marketing timelines. When an apartment is available HRA refers three suitable 21 2.2 shelter clients to the apartment and then the 23 landlord makes the decision ... makes their decision. 24 Communication between our agencies occurs therefor at 25 several points during the referral and placement

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 47 process. Our agencies will continue to seek ways to 2 streamline the supportive and homeless housing 3 referral process and ensure that the shelter... the 4 shelter system's most vulnerable clients receive the 5 housing they so desperately need. I must now turn to 6 7 all of you to ask for your help, it is essential that we continue the progress we have made to create even 8 more supportive housing and the city council is a 9 critical partner in helping us build more of it. HPD 10 is extremely grateful to the Council Members here 11 12 today for helping us educate New Yorkers about the benefits of supportive housing and for welcoming a 13 number of wonderful supportive housing developments 14 15 throughout the neighborhoods you represent. In addition to Chair Levin and his support for the 16 Schermerhorn which I mentioned earlier many other 17 18 Council Members are already great partners to HPD in helping us bring new supportive housing developments 19 20 to their respective neighborhoods. I want to thank Council Member Torres, he was here earlier for his 21 2.2 ongoing support for supportive housing developments 23 in his district. Just the other day Breaking Grounds Webster residence at 178th Street in the Bronx 24 completed construction and will soon be home to 171 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 48 2 low income and formerly homeless tenants. Council Member Gibson has been a similar ally, in November 3 the Doe Fund celebrated the opening of its Crotona 4 Park residence in her district. This building 5 provides housing to 36 individuals living with HIV 6 7 and AIDS as well as 24 low income seniors that had been on the NYCHA wait list. I'd also like to thank 8 Council Member Salamanca for his service and 9 leadership as the Chair of the Land Use Committee, 10 grateful for his assistance shepherding supportive 11 12 housing projects through the Land Use Committee and look forward to our continued partnership over the 13 14 next few years. And of course, I especially want to 15 thank Speaker Johnson for his strong commitment to 16 supportive housing and the benefits it brings to neighbors and our communities. These are just a few 17 18 examples of our partnerships with the city council about the last few years to build more and better 19 20 supportive housing projects. So many of you have welcomed these projects into your districts but we do 21 2.2 need your continued help if we are to create more of 23 this much needed resource. When proposing a, a new 24 supportive housing project we unfortunately often 25 hear unfounded assumptions about how the project will

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 49 2 impact the neighboring neighborhood or questions about how we chose a specific site and whether we are 3 4 building supportive housing exclusively in low income communities, we need your help to address these 5 6 neighborhood concerns and shatter the myths that 7 supportive housing is bad for communities or concentrated in certain neighborhoods. Many of our 8 largest and oldest supportive housing projects such 9 as the Speaker also mentioned earlier, the Times 10 Square Hotel and the Christopher both in his district 11 12 have operated in high value neighborhoods for decades 13 proving that supportive housing projects are successful components of the neighborhood's urban 14 15 fabrics. The high concentration of supportive housing projects in... South of 96th Street in Manhattan is 16 also an important tool of course for affirmatively 17 18 furthering fair housing across the city. We are often forced unfortunately to reduce or eliminate homeless 19 set asides to garner support for our projects which 20 minimizes our ability to make end roads into ending 21 2.2 homelessness in our city. So, as you engage in public 23 and private discussions on this issue in your communities and with fellow elected officials we need 24 your help in combatting the misperceptions associated 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 50 2 with homeless and supportive housing. Communities across the city need to know that they cannot be ... 3 4 both be opposed to the siting of homeless shelters 5 and also to the siting of permanent housing for 6 formerly homeless and supportive populations that 7 would ultimately reduce the need for shelters. One is a key solution to the other and opposing them both 8 unfortunately gets us nowhere. Further homelessness 9 disproportionately effects people of color, fear of 10 homeless and supportive housing is too often rooted 11 12 in racism and presents a barrier to it's creation in more neighborhoods throughout the city. We need your 13 14 help in unmasking this opposition so that more 15 homeless and supportive housing apartments can 16 ultimately serve the city's communities of color. 17 Finally, it is important to illustrate that the very 18 extremely low income and very low-income constituencies we all so fervently seeking to support 19 20 are the same families and individuals who cycle in and out of the shelter system and who will benefit 21 2.2 the most from increased homeless and supportive 23 housing production. Homeless individuals and families are our fellow New Yorkers who have fallen on hard 24 times and who need our genuine support the most. 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 51 2 Supportive housing is the formerly chronically homeless woman who struggled for years living with a 3 serious mental illness but is now receiving the 4 support she needs to maintain her housing and her 5 health, it's the man who contracted HIV at the height 6 7 of the AIDS epidemic but who after years living on the streets is now living a long and healthy life 8 thanks to the stability his apartment has provided 9 him, it's a formerly incarcerated person who cycled 10 in and out of jail and shelter who has found a job 11 12 through the assistance of his onsite case manager, 13 it's the LGBTQ young person who became homeless when 14 their family objected to their identity but who has 15 now found an affirming community that loves them for 16 who they are and it's a chronically homeless man who 17 struggles with addiction but instead of becoming the 18 next victim of the opioid crisis is now standing on firmer ground because of his housing and it's the 19 20 single mother who was raised in foster care and her young child who have been in and out of shelter who 21 2.2 have found safety and security in their home. 23 Supportive housing is in many, many ways the diverse face of our own city and as part of our identity as 24 25 New Yorkers we must welcome supportive housing

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 52 2 tenants into all of our communities, we are certainly all in this together, so I will be leaning on all of 3 you to do what you can to ensure that we can continue 4 to create new opportunities for homeless New Yorkers. 5 6 We should build on the example set just last week 7 when we collaboratively worked with the council's Land Use Committee to approve a WSFSSH project, a 100 8 percent affordable project in Manhattan Valley 9 providing up to 275 apartments for low income 10 families and seniors. We look forward to the project 11 12 being taken up by the full council this week. I extend my sincerest gratitude to all of you for your 13 14 ongoing help defending city, state and especially 15 federal resources that are used to create homeless 16 and supportive housing and I look forward to building on that progress that we have made together with so 17 18 many partners, many of whom are in this hearing room today towards making sure that all of the goals of 19 the NYC 15/15 program come to fruition. Thank you 20 very much for your time and attention. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much 23 Commissioners. We've been joined by Council Members Treyger, Grodenchik, Torres, Reynoso and Gibson and 24 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 53 2 I'm going to turn it over to our Speaker for questions. 3 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Thank you Commissioners 4 for your testimony. So, the target populations that 5 were mentioned in both of your testimonies were 6 7 single adults, young single adults, 18 to 24, adults with children, young adults, pregnant or with 8 children and adult families, 7,500 for congregate, 9 7,500 for scatter sites, the vast majority going to 10 single adults, 5,155 for congregate, 5,118 for 11 12 scatter sites, are chronically street homeless 13 individuals included in those targets? 14 STEVEN BANKS: Yes. 15 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Under the single adult 16 population... [cross-talk] 17 STEVEN BANKS: Yes, yes, they... [cross-18 talk] SPEAKER JOHNSON: And, and what number is 19 20 that out of that 5,100 on congregates and 5,500 scatter sites, what number is street homeless? 21 2.2 STEVEN BANKS: The street homeless 23 referrals come to ... now that HRA and DHS are joined together, the street housing referrals come to us 24 25 from our providers and they are allocated, you know ...

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 54 2 how should I say it ... because they're ... the street homeless clients are in that highest need group 3 4 they're most likely to have all the criteria that I mentioned as... [cross-talk] 5 6 SPEAKER JOHNSON: As part of CAPS ... 7 [cross-talk] STEVEN BANKS: ...as being, being high ... 8 yeah, as part of CAPS they're going to be in that 9 10 highest priority to get those, those units. SPEAKER JOHNSON: But are we targeting a 11 12 certain number ... if the HOPE count said last year there were 3,892 street homeless individuals which I 13 think we all think is probably ... I mean I think that's 14 15 probably a low count but let's use that as the 16 number, 3,892 about 3,900 what's our target on people 17 living on the street who are not going into shelter, 18 who don't have income verification or a savings or credit, who cannot get into normal housing, what are 19 20 we targeting as part of the single adult's population 21 for street homeless? 2.2 STEVEN BANKS: So, let me make it a 23 little bit more granular, so for example I think as you know and as a result of council legislation we'll 24 25 be posting it shortly, we have a by name list and so

	COMMITTEE ON CENEDAL MELEADE
1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
	55
2	we have a by name list of 2,000 people on the streets
3	that the outreach teams are, are engaging with and
4	over 1,500 are prospects so roughly in balance with
5	that, that HOPE number those individuals can be
6	connected to supportive housing in one of two ways,
7	let me talk process first, one of two ways. One is
8	the outreach providers can do the 2010e's directly
9	from the street or from the safe havens and so by way
10	of sort of proportionality if you will the 5,000
11	number that we gave you was from shelter, the 2,000
12	number that we gave you in terms of from 2014 through
13	2017 people have got supportive housing, that 2,000
14	number includes people from the street and people
15	from psychiatric institutions. So, you can see sort
16	of a I think you can see the balance between
17	[cross-talk]
18	SPEAKER JOHNSON: 2,000 are… [cross-talk]
19	STEVEN BANKS:shelter [cross-talk]
20	SPEAKER JOHNSON:people from the street
21	or from psychiatric… [cross-talk]
22	STEVEN BANKS: Correct [cross-talk]
23	SPEAKER JOHNSON:institutions?
24	STEVEN BANKS: Correct, within how we
25	have treated New York/New York III placements and I

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	56
2	think that's a reasonable guide of how we're going to
3	end up treating the, the street… but I should also
4	emphasize we created a task force of experts and I
5	think one of the things we conveyed to those experts;
6	we're flexible, we're open, if someone was to say
7	that our targeting should be different on the
8	vulnerability index in terms of street versus shelter
9	we're certainly open to, to that but we believe that
10	based upon what we've done in New York/New York III
11	and the vulnerability index that we are getting the
12	people in the greatest need who are coming in.
13	SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, out of so, a 15-
14	year plan wanting to create 550 units of supportive
15	housing a year, that's the goal?
16	STEVEN BANKS: In the first two years
17	[cross-talk]
18	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yes [cross-talk]
19	STEVEN BANKS: It was to get 500 units up
20	in '17 and 500 units up in '18, most of… the, the
21	bulk of the things that HPD is doing and I'm
22	certainly turn it over to my colleague are to develop
23	new sites and so over the course of 15 years you've
24	got to have the balance of both the scattered site
25	move outs and the congregate move outs and the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 57 2 scattered sites are helpful in the short run to try to bridge the gap before new units are actually 3 created. 4 5 SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, in, in your testimony Commissioner Banks you said towards the 6 7 end, you said today we're on pace with our target to reach 1,000 client goals by the end of the calendar 8 year, 337 clients have already moved into units? 9 10 STEVEN BANKS: Yes. SPEAKER JOHNSON: 170 clients are linked 11 12 to units and they're in the process of moving in? 13 STEVEN BANKS: Yes ... 14 SPEAKER JOHNSON: And we expect 411 NYC 15 15/15 units will come online during 2018 for a total 16 of 918 for a 15-year initiative, so how far behind 17 schedule are we? 18 STEVEN BANKS: This is actually on the schedule that was set. Let me... let me go, go through 19 20 the, the targets maybe that would be helpful. So, the goal was to ramp up 1,600 awards so there's awards, 21 2.2 there's move outs and there's financing so there are 23 targets for each of them, I think its fair to say. So, as to awards, the goal was to have 1,600 awards 24 by the end of 2018 and there are currently 1,426 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 58 2 awards made so the ... they're, they're on track for the number of awards to be made. The goal was to finance 3 4 500 new supportive homes every year and there have been... there have been 3,000 supportive units financed 5 outside of the New York/ New York ... New ... NYC 15 ... 6 7 [cross-talk] 8 SPEAKER JOHNSON: But... [cross-talk] STEVEN BANKS: ...and they're... let, let me 9 10 just give you the rest of the... [cross-talk] SPEAKER JOHNSON: Okay... [cross-talk] 11 12 STEVEN BANKS: ...number I'm... apologize, they're ... HPD is on track to finance 660 new units and 13 100 preserved units this year so in terms of the 14 15 financing target... [cross-talk] SPEAKER JOHNSON: But I don't want to ... 16 17 but let's, let's put financing aside for the a 18 second... [cross-talk] STEVEN BANKS: Let's do... [cross-talk] 19 SPEAKER JOHNSON: ...how many people who 20 are in need of supportive housing since the plan was 21 2.2 announced have moved into new supportive housing 23 units as of this moment today? 24 STEVEN BANKS: Three... 337, the goal was to get 500 by the end of '17 and to get 500 in '18 25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	59
2	and so the goal would be for 1,000 people by the end
3	of '18 and with the units that are available to us or
4	coming available to us that, that particular goal
5	would be met. I understand from your comment
6	initially you were raising questions about the goals
7	I'm just trying to put out the facts for you… [cross-
8	talk]
9	SPEAKER JOHNSON: No, I understand. So, I
10	want to say that I am very much YIMBY for supportive
11	housing, yes in my backyard, build supportive housing
12	in my district, build more supportive housing in my
13	district, you know if you look at the people that are
14	kind of shocked to hear this but I think two years
15	ago Commissioner Banks came to a democratic
16	conference and presented a, a chart of where DHS
17	facilities were located throughout the city and which
18	districts had a certain amount, I think I had the $5^{ ext{th}}$
19	highest number of DHS facilities, shelters in the
20	city of New York and I think given the projects we
21	mentioned today the two new city leaf projects on
22	28^{th} and 29^{th} Street, the Christopher which was a… was
23	common ground now it's breaking ground project same
24	with the Times Square Hotel and there are many others
25	in my district. I, I want more supportive housing
I	

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 60 2 built, there's not community opposition in my neighborhood, I've also told the Commissioner I'm 3 4 actually fine with figuring out shelter siting in my own district. 5 STEVEN BANKS: We appreciate that, you've 6 7 been tremendously supportive. 8 SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, you know I, I really and this is not in any way to under appreciate 9 the amount of work that's been done especially all 10 the amount of prevention work that's been done which 11 12 would have made this situation much worse than it is 13 today with what I would consider a homelessness 14 crisis in New York City but, you know the ... all of its 15 great but I really feel like we need to do more and 16 given the huge need that exists, the need that I 17 think even far out ... exceeds probably 15,000 units of 18 supportive housing. When we get to the end of 15 years the need will probably be great and will still 19 20 exist that ... again I, I want to kind of understand ... you know we can make 15-year plans but if all of us 21 2.2 are gone in three years who's ... not you Barry, god 23 bless us all, if most of us are gone in three years ... we're putting it all in fresh meadows Barry ... I mean I 24 really kind of ... I need to understand what is like the 25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	61
2	three-year seven-month plan that's what I, I really
3	need to understand given what the high needs are,
4	given the amount of city owned sites there are, given
5	the amount of bond cap and financing and projects
6	that you are doing all over the city what is the real
7	plan given the huge need to get it done in the next
8	three years and seven months?
9	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: If I may, thank
10	you Speaker, it's music to my hears to hear you say
11	YIMBY on… [cross-talk]
12	SPEAKER JOHNSON: I really mean it
13	[cross-talk]
14	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER:on supportive
15	housing… [cross-talk]
16	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Find me a site and
17	let's do supportive housing
18	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: And so, let me
19	just do a couple of things which I think will be
20	helpful both in, in exactly where we are and where do
21	we go moving forward, you know the our testimonies
22	were long but if I could just summarize. What we've
23	had to and with incredible teams with the different
24	agencies, in the last years where we've been
25	operationally winding down all programs while

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 62 launching NYC 15/15 and in that time, we've had to 2 consistently make the awards that are necessary to 3 keep the pace, finance projects in order to keep the 4 pace and as the Commissioner mentioned also move real 5 human beings into these homes that they need. On 6 7 those three simple metrics we are on track in terms of awards, we're exceeding targets in terms of 8 financing and we're on track in terms of move outs. 9 We agree with you of course that we have to always 10 look for ways to do more given the need and so there 11 12 are four critical elements to building supportive 13 housing in this city in my opinion some of which are 14 finite resources others are not. The four key 15 ingredients in my opinion; land, capital, tax 16 credits, and community support and so those ... [cross-17 talk] 18 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Do we have a comprehensive plan on that? 19 20 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: We, we certainly ... at... the entire NYC 15/15 program tries to address 21 2.2 each of these so for, for instance, the ... on the, the 23 second point that I mentioned in terms of city capital we're dedicating a billion dollars in city 24 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 63 2 capital, 680 of which is allocated through the term of the Housing New York plan ... [cross-talk] 3 SPEAKER JOHNSON: A billion dollars in 4 5 capital for supportive housing? 6 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: That's correct. 7 SPEAKER JOHNSON: How much has been 8 spent? MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: I have that on 9 me... just give me one second... 106 million has been ... in 10 city subsidy has been spent thus far. A... the second ... 11 12 the, the third piece that I mentioned it's critical 13 just, just so there's an understanding that not all 14 of these levers we control are housing credits and so 15 that of course is a scarce resources and I spend a 16 lot of my time advocating to, to make that pie 17 larger, it is a, a federal resource and so continued 18 collaboration on ... with the city council on finding ways to expand that resource helps not just with 19 20 supportive housing but for all of our affordable housing production... [cross-talk] 21 2.2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: We saw ... we saw in the 23 HUD federal budget many of the programs that we care 24 about an increase in funding. 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 64 2 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: That's right 3 although... [cross-talk] SPEAKER JOHNSON: Section 8, there are a 4 5 variety of programs that... [cross-talk] 6 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: That's correct... 7 [cross-talk] SPEAKER JOHNSON: ...got increases. 8 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: That's correct 9 and it's because of the incredible advocacy here and 10 across the country, with a drop however in the 11 12 corporate tax rate the value of the housing credit in general, we estimate that that's a loss of about 200 13 million to the affordable housing system in New York 14 15 City. The, the good news for the omnibus spending 16 package ... spending bill that got ... that got passed is 17 that there's an expansion of the housing credit that 18 essentially brings back 20 million more but there's still room that ... there, therefor needs to be made up. 19 20 There are a lot ... we have a number of proposals to expand that, it's, it's, it's... it, it requires the 21 2.2 cooperation of a legislature... the legislature on the 23 federal side and we certainly want to work with you all as, as collaboratively as possible to make the 24 strong case for the need and then lastly and very 25

	COMMITTEE ON CENEDAL MELEADE
1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
	65
2	briefly the last piece is community support and, and
3	that, I, I hear you loud and clear about your support
4	and it's something that we want to make sure we're
5	doing our part to provide the information and
6	education necessary in every neighborhood where
7	supportive housing gets sited to dispel those myths.
8	SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, Commissioner I, I,
9	I like you very much
10	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Thank you.
11	SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, I want to preface
12	with that
13	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: I'm afraid of
14	what's going to come next.
15	SPEAKER JOHNSON: And it's not entirely
16	your fault what I'm about to say but you know that I
17	sometimes banging my head against the wall with the
18	bureaucracy at HPD, what I consider to be the slow
19	pace, the inability to move nimbly, quickly and to
20	get projects out the door that were promised years
21	ago and you and I have had we don't have to go
22	through a project by project but you and I have had
23	multiple conversations about projects that were
24	supposed to be awarded and go out the door and where
25	there had been no community opposition, where ULURPS

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 66 2 had been complete, where the community was begging for projects to be done and we see one, two, three, 3 4 four year delays, five year delays, six year delays 5 form when a ULURP went through with unanimous 6 community support and, you know some of the RFPs were 7 not recently ... I'm not ... I don't want to talk specifically about those RFPs, that's not why I'm 8 bringing this up but having that experience 9 personally as a Council Member, not as Speaker, as 10 Council Member from my own district it doesn't give 11 12 me a whole lot of confidence when we hear these 13 things, when I am constantly like pleading and cajoling and sometimes trying to embarrass just to 14 15 get things done, when I hear about all this it sounds 16 good but then I, given my own personal experience in my own district wonder how it can actually be 17 18 executed and it makes me wonder if you need more staff, if you need additional resources in the 19 20 department to actually execute these things where there is community support because, you know I want 21 2.2 to see this get done and I understand that there is 23 financing issues, bond cap issues, federal moving targets, state moving targets, some neighborhoods 24 25 community opposition but I really ... I don't feel like

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 67 I have the confidence that given we've seen some lag 2 from what we initially projected and we just talked 3 4 through some of that, we've made it up a little bit 5 and we think by the end of 2018 hopefully we'll back nearly on schedule, I don't feel like I have the 6 7 confidence that this will actually get done and I feel like it is so crucial given the vulnerable 8 populations that we're dealing with, I, I would love 9 10 to, to have greater confidence in wanting to see these projects get done, get out the door, people 11 12 moved in, I mean looking at the numbers that 13 Commissioner Banks just referenced here and I know 14 every individual is an individual and every 15 individual has their own challenges, 337 clients 16 moved in, 170 units are linked to clients that are in the process of moving in so, you know I would ... 170 17 18 people are waiting to move in, do we have the staff needed to actually get these things done quickly? 19 20 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Uh-huh. So, I certainly want you Speaker to have confidence in all 21 2.2 of the work that we do. I am ... and we know that in, 23 in... for ... not just for supportive housing but for a 24 number of our programs we strive every day to do 25 better and to do that work faster. I'm proud of the

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	68
2	work that the agency has done in producing record
3	levels of affordable housing, last calendar year was
4	the highest production in terms since HPD existed
5	but we don't rest on our laurels however on
6	supportive housing if you look at the construction
7	starts and there might be individual projects for,
8	for which there have been issues and always happy to
9	problem solve through those [cross-talk]
10	SPEAKER JOHNSON: You know what they are
11	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER:but the but
12	the, the number of units that get financed every year
13	for supportive housing that number has always either
14	been at or has exceeded the targets and so we're,
15	we're proud of that pace and proud of the of the
16	partnership with other agencies to once it's built to
17	be able to move… make sure that families move in,
18	again doesn't mean our work is over and so I think
19	the benefit of this hearing is additional feedback
20	about how we can deepen that work.
21	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Is the administration
22	having ongoing conversations with the state about its
23	planning to roll out 20,000 units of supportive
24	housing over the next 15 years?
25	

1 69 2 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: The ... supportive 3 housing has traditionally been and continues to this day be a great partnership example between the city 4 and state and so for a lot of programs there's 5 braided funding, some state funding, some city 6 7 funding that has been the case in the last several ... in the New York/New York agreements and we expect to 8 continue moving forward so on the congregate side for 9 which a lot of this is, is, is happening that 10 partnership has been strong and we talk every day to 11 12 our counterparts at the state. 13 SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, there are ongoing conversations about those 20,000 units that are 14 15 projected? 16 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: About the ... our 17 supportive housing programs, yes. 18 SPEAKER JOHNSON: And they're expecting an award of 1,200 units this year, the state? 19 20 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: That's my understanding. 21 2.2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: And does the 23 administration know if the RFPs are currently out for these units? 24 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 70 2 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Okay, we'll get 3 back to you Speaker on that question. 4 SPEAKER JOHNSON: And again be ... given that the number, the 20,000 number was a statewide 5 6 number it would be helpful to understand out of the 7 1,200 units how many are actually coming to the city of New York. So, I have a question ... I have a question 8 for Commissioner Banks, you of course spoke in depth 9 about the coordinated assessment placement system, 10 CAPS vulnerability index, individuals who are living 11 12 on the street would be considered some of the most vulnerable people in the city I would imagine, is 13 that right? 14 15 STEVEN BANKS: Yes, that's correct. 16 SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, is it possible for 17 a street homeless individual with no systems contact 18 to be considered a high priority under the current vulnerability index? 19 STEVEN BANKS: Well let me... the answer is 20 yes but let me give you a ... an ... some more granularity 21 2.2 for the purpose of the record and for dialogue, so 23 functional impairments, Medicaid expenditures, length of time homeless are part of the vulnerability index 24 25 and the people that we engage on the street and

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	71
2	you've at the same time as being frustrated with the
3	situation you've been very supportive of what we're
4	trying to do, you know that it's taking us an average
5	of five months to build at least enough trust to get
6	people to begin to work with us so in terms of length
7	of time homelessness we're going to reach the federal
8	chronic standard relatively easily so we'll have
9	that, functional impairment issues of what you and I
10	have talked about in terms of just observing people
11	and Medicaid expenditures frequently go with the, the
12	life of… [cross-talk]
13	SPEAKER JOHNSON: But if they've had no
14	systems contact
15	STEVEN BANKS: They're going to have a
16	system contact with our let's, let's sort of break
17	it down, they're going to have the system contact
18	with our outreach staff and so they're going to have
19	the length of time homeless, they're going to have
20	the functional impairments, they're going to have
21	multi… Medicaid expenditures and I want to just say
22	it would be… I don't know of a person that I've
23	encountered that has had no more than one system
24	contact who's in that situation, they may not have
25	criminal justice involvement, they might not have

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 72 2 been an HRA client before, they might not have had those kinds of systems contacts but they're having 3 4 contacts of some sort. 5 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Okay... STEVEN BANKS: But I think in terms of 6 7 what I understand you really need to be focused here on which is our focus too, how do reach the most 8 vulnerable and... [cross-talk] 9 SPEAKER JOHNSON: Yes... [cross-talk] 10 STEVEN BANKS: ...people on the streets are 11 12 clearly among the most vulnerable... [cross-talk] SPEAKER JOHNSON: I mean it is 13 14 heartbreaking to see chronically homeless individuals 15 living on the streets with their belongings, many of 16 whom not all, again I don't want to stereotype 17 homeless populations throughout New York City, I 18 think it's important not to do that ... [cross-talk] STEVEN BANKS: Thank you... [cross-talk] 19 20 SPEAKER JOHNSON: ...but there are many people who again are not getting the medical 21 2.2 attention and care that they need, have major 23 physical ailments, some of whom have very severe 24 psychiatric problems that an individual walking by 25 them can tell immediately that they have major

-	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	73
2	psychiatric problems that are not being treated and
3	to see individuals like that who are living on the
4	streets of New York City, who will not go to a
5	shelter because they're not able to function in that
6	type of situation all the time these are the people
7	that we really, really want to help and that's this
8	is why I am pushing so hard on supportive housing.
9	I've, I've talked to Brenda Rosen in the past who is
10	a real hero of mine from Breaking Ground and the
11	model that works and getting people off the streets,
12	I think it's just… you know so I know you're doing
13	this work but I, I want to just keep saying we need
14	more, we need more, we need more
15	STEVEN BANKS: So, you're, you're
16	absolutely right and, and we feel the same urgency
17	that you do. Again just for the record so it's, it's
18	clear and I and I know you know this, someone who
19	breaking ground or anyone of our, our providers are
20	working with if they can't get the person to come in
21	off the street they can get into supportive housing
22	from being in the street of course we want to bring
23	people in and not have those people that we have
24	brought in not have access to supportive housing but
25	we certainly prioritize that and we're, we're… as I

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 74 said we're blessed with great providers like Breaking 2 Ground and, and the others that are out there every 3 4 day trying to build trust and bring people back in ... 5 [cross-talk] 6 SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, I... [cross-talk] 7 STEVEN BANKS: ...to, to... and your point about the importance of supportive housing as part of 8 that tool is critical, adding more safe havens beds 9 is helping us bring the 1,480 people off the street ... 10 we brought of the last year that remained off, safe 11 12 havens are helping us... [cross-talk] 13 SPEAKER JOHNSON: That's amazing... [cross-14 talk] 15 STEVEN BANKS: ...supportive housing is 16 helping us, I mean that's an important metric to, to focus on which I think doesn't get focused on a lot. 17 18 In the past a lot of focus was on just bringing people off, our homestead focus is on not only 19 20 bringing them off but making sure they stay off so that 1,480 number is since the beginning of homestead 21 22 brought off remained off... [cross-talk] 23 SPEAKER JOHNSON: That's amazing ... [cross-24 talk] 25

1	75
2	STEVEN BANKS:not gone on and off but
3	getting the supportive housing tools that we get from
4	New York/New York III vacancies and New York/New York
5	III new units are very critical to us. We've focused
6	a lot on the 500 by the end of $^{\prime}$ 17 and, and the 500
7	at by the end of '18 for a combined total of 1,000
8	and, and our ability to, to get on, on track with
9	that and, and as I said we've got so, we've gotten
10	to and we're… we have confidence we'll get to it, we
11	should be by the end of the year but we've also been
12	getting 1,300 move outs a year from the New York/New
13	York III vacancies and the New York/New York III new
14	units that come on and that's what helping us with
15	those 1,480 people and others and the by name list is
16	helping us as well to make sure we've got an, an
17	understanding of who those people are that, that you
18	and I may walk by and connect them to tools that are
19	being funded now.
20	SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, I have I have a
21	final question, according to advocates current
22	housing units are not appropriately sized for the

housing units are not appropriately sized for the population that we're dealing with, approximately 50 percent of the population is singe that we are trying to reach but only seven percent of units that are

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 76 being created are studios and 35 percent of units 2 we're creating are one bedrooms. So, the lack of 3 4 supportive ... the lack of studio apartments results in 5 supportive housing providers having to rent out twobedroom apartments to two single individuals which 6 7 can lead to roommate conflicts, how many units ... how many units are one bedroom or studio apartments and 8 then how many single adults are sharing two-bedroom 9 apartments and should we be increasing the number of 10 studios and one bedrooms? So, I'm, I'm a little 11 12 shocked only seven percent are studios because when you look at the Times Square Hotel which again is 13 hugely successful, 600 units I think the vast, vast 14 15 majority of those units are studio apartments. 16 MOLLY PARK: Molly Park, Deputy 17 Commissioner for Development at HPD. So, citywide 18 housing stock figures this is not supportive housing specific but 47 percent of the housing stock in the 19 20 city of New York are studio and one bedroom apartments, that is less than the percentage of, of 21 2.2 the population that is one and two person households 23 so certainly it's something that when we are doing new construction, again separate and apart from 24 25 supportive housing but just in general, when we are

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 77 2 doing new construction we are certainly trying to make sure that we are building sufficient studio and 3 one bedroom apartments, that is another conversation 4 that is often a robust one as we're going through the 5 6 community approval process that there is ... there is 7 certainly a strong interest in having family units so we try and balance the ... those two competing 8 9 interests. SPEAKER JOHNSON: But are those numbers 10 correct, seven percent of units that are being 11 12 created are studios? 13 MOLLY PARK: Created, no. I can ... I can ... 14 [cross-talk] 15 SPEAKER JOHNSON: That are online? MOLLY PARK: The, the 47 percent of 16 17 studios and one bedrooms is the housing stock in the 18 city... [cross-talk] SPEAKER JOHNSON: No, I'm talking about ... 19 20 [cross-talk] 21 MOLLY PARK: ...of New York ... [cross-talk] SPEAKER JOHNSON: ...supportive housing 2.2 23 numbers. MOLLY PARK: Oh, virtually all of our 24 supportive housing in studios. 25

1 78

2 SPEAKER JOHNSON: So, I don't know ... well 3 the information that I'm having here, and I'd love 4 the staff to, to, to clarify this is that we don't 5 have enough studios and one bedrooms and providers, and advocates are telling us that it's creating 6 7 situations where two singles are being housed in a 8 two-bedroom apartment and it creates conflict ... [cross-talk] 9

10 STEVEN BANKS: As, as to that point that has ... is some of the scatter site units that we can 11 12 get you the exact data have been roommate situations, we use that type of housing as part of our successful 13 veteran's initiative in which we moved substantial 14 15 numbers of veterans out with supportive housing light 16 and the federal government certified that we had ended chronic veterans homelessness as a result of 17 18 that tool so we piloted some of that usage in the ... some of the first scatter sites, we can get you the 19 20 exact number out of the 337 move outs so far and out of the 180 that are linked how many involve that. I 21 2.2 think it, it, it... I understand your question but ... and 23 I understand that some of the providers have made it 24 work and some of the providers were, were not 25 interested in pursuing it and we found the same thing

4	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	79
2	when we did the veterans move out, that some
3	providers saw it as something that would work, and
4	some didn't. Another important perspective here is
5	scattered site is for people that need a little… less
6	service level than the people in the congregate,
7	people in congregates need a higher level of service
8	and scatter site isn't for everyone.
9	SPEAKER JOHNSON: Well I want to thank
10	you both for being here, I want to repeat YIMBY, yes
11	in my backyard, I would be happy to work with you all
12	and identifying land, programs, providers to build
13	supportive housing my own district, I know it can be
14	more difficult in my district because of the value of
15	land and the cost of land if the city doesn't own it
16	itself but at the same time we have a I'm very proud
17	that my district in Chelsea and Hell's Kitchen in the
18	Village has always been welcoming of supportive
19	housing and I want to continue that and I want to
20	make sure that my district does it's fair share and
21	continues to help achieve the numbers that are
22	necessary so I look forward to working with you all
23	and continuing that progress and work and I want to
24	thank Chair Levin and the members of this committee
25	for being so understanding of my taking probably too

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2 much time with questions today. So, thank you very 3 much.

1

80

4 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I want to thank the 5 Speaker, you know my take away from, from his 6 questions is Speaker says YIMBY on supportive housing 7 which I think is, I think an important message to send out to the rest of the city. I'm going to turn 8 it over to couple of my colleagues because there's a ... 9 they have to attend other meetings and then I'll... and 10 then I'll come back and ask my, my list of questions 11 12 starting off with Council Member Adams from Queens. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Thank you so much ... 14 so much Mr. Chair, thank you panelists for being

15 here, so good to see you, always enjoy our 16 conversations. In speaking about fair share, I, I just really want to thank the Commissioner for 17 18 including in her testimony inclusive language in, in, in helping us to all realize that this is a citywide 19 problem and it's even more refreshing to hear the 20 Speaker include YIMBY in his words as well. So, 21 2.2 speaking of fair share I think that all of you know 23 that I represent Southeast Queens where we do have the highest percentage of homeless shelter 24 concentration and on a daily basis it seems like 25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	81
2	we're increasing even more in hotel sheltering as
3	well within our districts of Southeast Queens so
4	Council Member Ayala and I were, were having a side
5	bar conversation on the locations of the supportive
6	housing stock and can you just give us if you can a
7	bit of a breakdown or a little analysis if you have
8	it of where the location, the current locations of
9	your supporting supportive housing stock is right
10	now and if you can I'll be a little selfish if you
11	can hone that down for me for Queens in an aspect, I
12	know Commissioner Banks is smiling at that, but if
13	you can do that I'd really appreciate it?
14	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Yeah, I'd, I'd be
15	happy to do that Council Member. So, for the 18,000
16	or so units within our total supportive housing
17	portfolio 43 percent of those homes are in Manhattan
18	that includes 30 percent South of 96 th Street and
19	then other boroughs have amounts ranging from one
20	percent to 30 percent and mostly those breakdowns on
21	a borough level mirror what we see in general with
22	where affordable housing is created across the city
23	so that's generally the borough breakdown. So, the
24	a, a good significant percentage in Manhattan in
25	particular South of 96 th Street.
I	

1	
T	82

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Okay, thank you 3 I'll also draw attention to your testimony, when you 4 spoke about supporting housing units actually being 5 beneficial to communities in, in raising property, 6 property values in certain neighborhoods so can you 7 just for my edification just let me know where those 8 neighborhoods are?

MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: So, what we found 9 10 by doing this... the study of supportive housing projects really across the city and we'd be happy to 11 12 follow up as to where exactly those are that property 13 values did increase and the context there as I mentioned in my testimony is that for a lot of the 14 programs a lot of the projects the land may have been 15 16 underutilized in the past or vacant and so having a new well designed building is one that is ... becomes a 17 18 real asset for the community and as I mentioned before I'm not surprised at all by the, the, the 19 20 findings of that study because we really don't view these and what, what's been experienced by 21 2.2 communities in the past as well bears this out 23 supportive housing projects are really not facilities, they're regular well designed buildings 24 25 with tenants who are regular lease holders, who are

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	83
2	on rent stabilized leases and so our partners, the
3	providers and development teams have done a
4	tremendous job of making sure that they are well
5	designed and well maintained and that is really in
6	many ways the gospel that we want to make sure
7	spreads across the city but we'll, we'll follow up on
8	specifics of the 2008 study that was done.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Thank you very
10	much Commissioner. Finally, in, in speaking about
11	land I think a, a good question is that city owning
12	right now more than 1,100 vacant lots that could be
13	used, are you looking at any of those properties
14	right now for supportive housing?
15	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Absolutely, so
16	we… and of the, the lots that are underutilized we
17	have released RFPs and designated teams at a faster
18	clip really than we have ever done before about 450
19	of the 1,000 lots are either have been designated or
20	about to be designated and for many of many of the
21	projects after consulting with the community many of
22	them are supportive housing and so we'd be happy to
23	provide as well some follow up. So, we… it's not just
24	what gets assembled by private partners but we're
25	

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 84 2 certainly using our own stock to make sure that we're advancing these programs. 3 4 COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Thank you very 5 much for your testimony, thank you Mr. Chair. 6 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you Council 7 Member Adams. Before I turn it over to Council Member Gjonaj I just... in, in my opening statement I didn't 8 acknowledge committee staff that worked to put 9 together this hearing so I'd like to do that at this 10 moment; Aminta Kilowan, our Committee Counsel; Tanya 11 12 Cyrus, Senior, Senior Policy Analyst; Crystal Pond, Senior Legislative Policy Analyst; Nameera Nuzhat, 13 14 Legislative Financial Analyst; Dohini Sompura, our 15 Financial Unit Head and Elizabeth Adams who is my, my 16 offices Legislative Director and this is her first 17 hearing so, I want to welcome Elizabeth and with that 18 I will turn it over to Council Member Mark Gjonaj. COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Chair thank you 19 20 for the consideration, we have several... it's a busy day, we have several hearings going on 21 2.2 simultaneously. My ... most of my questions are follow 23 up to my colleagues and first of all as you heard from the Speaker, this body is committed to assuring 24 25 that we come ... we help develop as many supportive

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	85
2	housing units as possible, so we not only talk the
3	talk, but we walk the walk and you'll have great
4	partners moving forward. You mentioned earlier before
5	I had stepped out for my other hearing, the four
6	approaches you have and one of them is prevention and
7	obviously the more we prevent destabilization of
8	these families from being homeless and finding
9	themselves out in the street and part of supportive
10	housing programs throughout the city, what more can
11	we do to keep our tenants and our families in their
12	current homes that are facing evictions and market
13	rate rents that are unaffordable?
14	STEVEN BANKS: Well I think the
15	partnership between the administration and the
16	council in enacting the universal access to counsel
17	legislation is the most critical step that we all can
18	take together, no other city has done this and we can
19	already see the results, I mean speaking of metrics
20	as the Speaker asked me about the 1,480 people that
21	we brought off the streets that remain off the
22	streets, in terms of looking at prevention 27 percent
23	drop in evictions by marshals, as we've been ramping
24	up the program and the, the local law, it's a five
25	year implementation phase, we're in year one now and

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 86 2 so this drop has come just based upon the piloting plus year one is, is upon us now so I think that that 3 4 is a very important initiative that you have 5 supported, it's... it ... obviously put substantial dollars in it going from six million to 77 million 6 7 and then it's going to ultimately be 155 million dollar program that is really aimed at keeping people 8 in their homes. Secondly, the 25 percent increase in 9 10 payment rent arears that we have been making available through HRA, those 217,000 households that 11 12 have gotten rent arears that's part of ... part of that strategy and I think, you know I don't want to steal 13 14 any points from my colleague but the preservation 15 initiatives of HPD are tremendously helpful in terms 16 of preserving affordability for units as well. So, I 17 think that our initiatives on the prevention side are 18 very well developed and very much in place and it's been a good partnership with the council to do those 19 20 things. COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: 21 Thank vou 2.2 Commissioner. You're familiar with the ... and we've 23 spoken about this in the past, the SCRIE program and the DRIE program... [cross-talk] 24 25 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Yes, I am.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 87 2 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Where rent 3 stabilized apartments their rents are capped ... [cross-4 talk] MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Right... [cross-5 6 talk] 7 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: ...once they ... for qualifying families and that's families that are 8 earning under 50,000 dollars don't face a rent 9 10 increase which helps create more stability in that household as they fight rent increases that are 11 12 unaffordable, would you be supportive of the same 13 program which would be the TRIE, the Tenant Rent Increase Exemption program for families earning under 14 15 50,000 dollars that they would be afforded the same 16 protections, now these are our most vulnerable 17 families, those that are financially unstable and 18 can't afford their current rent let alone an increase in rent? 19 20 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Yeah, I'll start by saying sir that we support initiatives that of 21 2.2 course provide stability to our renters which is why 23 we've done so much in preservation, all of the programs that Commissioner Banks mentioned, it's why 24 we are fighting for reforms and protections up in 25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	88
2	Albany to make sure that we protect the rent
3	regulated stock. As it relates to the specific
4	proposal on TRIE we would really have to look at the
5	cost implications of such that program to really
6	answer the question of whether that is the best place
7	to… to invest resources compared to all of the other
8	strategies and programs that we have already run and
9	are running and so always open to new proposals but
10	that is of course one of the many things that we
11	would have to look at.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: So, thank you for
13	that answer and I just want to follow up, this
14	program year one would be zero percent increase, year
15	two it would be based on the RGB percentage increase
16	which recently has been very low from an investment
17	point of view, dollar for dollar this would be the
18	greatest return on our investment to assuring those
19	families remained within their homes at compared to
20	the rest of the budget associated with supportive
21	housing and homelessness, this would be pennies and
22	it would stop the bleeding for the most part, the
23	increase in the numbers of those that are finding
24	themselves homeless and it's something that can be
25	done today, that could be implemented this year

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	89
2	assuring families remain in their homes, I'm so
3	dissatisfied with the administration's unwillingness
4	to embrace it, it is probably the most practical and
5	common sense approach to this unaffordability
6	question that we have and stabilizing of these decent
7	families that are struggling, alright, I didn't want
8	to make it a statement but I think I just did.
9	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Again, I'd like
10	to say that we of course support anything that helps
11	provide family stability and would love to see
12	specifics of the proposals in order to be able to
13	answer for all of us whether that is the best use of
14	resources compared to all of the other strategies
15	that we are using or could use and so that is an open
16	invitation to continue to discuss it.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I think the
18	estimated number that was given to me on a ten-year
19	plan if I'm correct was 300 million which compared to
20	the rest of the programs and again going to the most
21	vulnerable of our families and Chair I hope that we
22	will have a hearing on this specific approach that
23	could help so many other families. My last question
24	is a follow up to my colleagues, when it comes to
25	shelters and although my colleague had an
l	

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 90 interesting number I'll use the fair share report 2 from... that was done in 2017, it showed the borough of 3 4 the Bronx having twice as many shelters as Queens per 5 capita this is, 41 percent more than Brooklyn, 99 percent more than Staten Island and 13 percent more 6 7 than Manhattan now we all know that this is a burden that we all have to share equally and Bronx sites in 8 the borough of the Bronx is ... wants to do it's fair 9 share and this shouldn't be born on one borough, we 10 know the impacts and I don't think we need studies to 11 12 understand that this impacts our safety and that's 13 when it comes to systems, schools, institutions, 14 health care, policing and more importantly as these 15 units are being built and created for these families they're not units that could be offered to Bronx 16 sites, they're taking off so we're offering them as 17 18 supportive housing units or as shelter units and not giving them the given opportunities to Bronx 19 residents to take advantage of the shortage in 20 housing that we currently have. 21 2.2 STEVEN BANKS: So, let me address the 23 shelter system issues first and then... and then turn over to housing. So, I, I know we've had very good 24 productive conversations about this before, in terms 25

1 91 2 of the change that we're making in the provision of shelter we're, we're going to change the approach and 3 4 we're already beginning to do that in terms of the 5 way shelter is provided but having each borough 6 roughly be in balance in the number of people that 7 become homeless in the borough, the number of people be sheltered in the borough are obviously taken into 8 account, domestic violence survivors and, and others 9 10 with special needs that may not be able to be housed close to their schools or, or jobs or health care, 11 12 houses of worship, family and friends but the transformation of the shelter system will address 13 14 what your concern is, it ... at the completion of the 15 plan we will be able to offer opportunities for 16 people who lose their homes to be sheltered in the 17 Bronx if they come from the Bronx or Staten Island, 18 Queens, Manhattan, Brooklyn again taking into domestic violence issues of course but that will be a 19 20 very different approach to providing shelter than has gone on for the last 40 years. We have already made 21 2.2 progress on what we committed to do a year ago, we 23 said we would shrink the footprint of the city's shelter system by 45 percent during the life of the 24 25 plan, we've already shrunk it in the first-year 16

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 92 2 percent, we've gotten out of 100, a net 100 sites of 3 open new sites but the net decrease of 100 sites 4 around the city many of those sites ... [cross-talk] 5 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Do any ... [cross-6 talk] 7 STEVEN BANKS: ... are in the Bronx ... [cross-8 talk] COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Is that referring 9 to cluster sites... [cross-talk] 10 11 STEVEN BANKS: Yes... [cross-talk] 12 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: ...now? STEVEN BANKS: It's 100 sites overall so 13 14 some are not cluster site... [cross-talk] 15 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: So... [cross-talk] 16 STEVEN BANKS: ...many of those sites are 17 in the Bronx where we've gotten out of a total ... by 18 the end of June we'd be out of 1,700 cluster apartments most of them are in the Bronx but at ... when 19 20 the turn the tide plan is fully implemented each borough will have shelter capacity for people who 21 2.2 have become homeless from that borough and I think 23 it'll really change what you have been concerned 24 about when you're in the legislature here. 25 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you...

1	93
2	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: And just to
3	clarify for supportive housing projects, 60 percent
4	are supportive units typically and 40 percent are for
5	low income families and those units go through our
6	regular housing connector lottery system so for
7	supportive housing projects there are a significant
8	portion, 40 percent are available for, for lottery.
9	Yet another reason why and then the report that you
10	mentioned Council Member is about fair share but I
11	we, we work very hard to make sure that supportive
12	housing projects are not seen as a burden that has
13	to they are community assets with a lot of benefits
14	[cross-talk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: They certainly
16	are… [cross-talk]
17	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER:and that is
18	and, and which is the continued I think education
19	and partnership we would like to see with all of all
20	
	of those who are involved in making sure that we
21	of those who are involved in making sure that we build as much as we can.
21 22	
	build as much as we can.
22	build as much as we can. COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I, I agree with
22 23	build as much as we can. COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I, I agree with you and I again thank you for that but 40 percent of

1

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	94
2	is not enough for Bronx sites or those that call the
3	borough of the Bronx their home and the last I'll
4	just leave off with a… please I encourage you to take
5	advantage of every opportunity to develop these
6	supportive housing units that are much needed and
7	lets begin with all of the vacant land that can be
8	developed, that is city owned rather than going to
9	the bidding and purchasing and acquiring of land from
10	private ownership, take advantage of the assets that
11	we currently have.
12	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: We totally agree
13	with you, there are in fact two projects that are
14	going through the public approval process right now
15	and so look forward to the council's support as those
16	go through the process, so we can get them built.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: But the total
18	number of vacant city owned land is 11,000 is that
19	what I understood?
20	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: No, that it's
21	there are about 1,000 lots and half of them we've
22	either already we've designated and or are about to
23	designate so we're we utilize every opportunity that
24	we have, it's a scarce resource, we know we need land
25	

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 95 2 and we're advancing those RFPs as quickly as possible. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: So, why did it 4 take so long for us to take advantage of these lots 5 that have been available and the city's owned? 6 7 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: I would beg to differ about it taking ... it, it taking so long, we 8 have upped the pace for sure over the course of the ... 9 10 of the last few years but the ability to finance a project there... the, the availability of land I... 11 12 as I mentioned isn't just the only ingredient, the 13 financing needs to be able to come together, a lot of 14 that is city resources, we've made historic 15 commitment of city capital but a number of the 16 resources are also federal and those are a scarce resource and so that's why in order to not just build 17 18 on city owned land as quickly as possible but using private land as well at the pace that is needed to 19 20 deal with the crisis we need to be able to have all levels of government really partners in this work. 21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you so 23 much, thank you Chair for the consideration. 24 25

1 96

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much 3 Council Member Gjonaj, Council Member Grodenchik for 4 questions.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you Mr. 6 Chairman, good after... it's afternoon, right, it's not 7 evening yet so ... it's, it's still afternoon. Alright, thank you because I too like every other colleague 8 that we have here has got to go to another meeting. 9 10 Just quickly it's good to see you both Commissioners and I do want to state for the record that I do have 11 12 about 450 units of supportive housing in my district at Creedmoor and that works pretty well, I don't know 13 14 if you've seen Hazel House which was built by TSI 15 with a lot of state money, it was like a 35 million 16 dollar investment, its beautiful and for the most part the community has embraced that and Creedmoor 17 18 actually predates everybody else who's been living there so it's been out in Eastern Queens for a long, 19 20 long time. I do want to ask a question that I have not asked of Commissioner before although I've heard 21 2.2 him talk about it and that's the advantage program 23 and I know it was shut down before this current administration took office and I have heard testimony 24 25 from you that thereabouts we're spending

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 97 unfortunately about a million dollars a day or 2 somewhere near that figure putting people up in 3 4 homeless hotels, can I ask you a question, how much 5 was the city spending on the advantage program before it was shut down, do we have those figures? 6 7 STEVEN BANKS: I mean homelessness went up about... it was about 37,000 people were in the 8 shelter system in April 2011 when the program ended 9 and that went up almost 15,000 ... 14, 15,000 people in 10 that period of time, 2011 to 2014 so it was a 38 11 12 percent increase in homelessness, we'll get you the 13 records as you... as you know I'm actually the one to 14 blame because I sued the city and lost the case ... 15 [cross-talk] 16 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: I'll blame you, it's okay, it's easy... [cross-talk] 17 18 STEVEN BANKS: I lost the case ... [crosstalk] 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Assigning, assigning blame is easy ... 21 2.2 STEVEN BANKS: Yeah, I lost the case four 23 to three so if I'd been a better lawyer that day 24 maybe the program wouldn't have ended, I, I recall 25 the amounts of money off the top of my head but since

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 98 2 I'm under oath I'm going to ask that we get back to you... [cross-talk] 3 4 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay ... [cross-5 talk] STEVEN BANKS: ...the exact... [cross-talk] 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: ...I would appreciate that because it seems to me and I've heard 8 you mention this a fair number of times that if we 9 10 could replicate the program ourselves I know that you have been working as have I with assemblyman Hevesi 11 12 who has had some success this year fortunately on 13 home stability support program and there will be I 14 think 15 million dollars or there is 15 million 15 dollars in the state budget which will help some 16 people obviously not enough but I commend him for his 17 efforts but I would be curious about how much we were 18 spending, the city and how much the state was spending so if I could get those figures from you I 19 would greatly appreciate that because maybe we could 20 replicate that, I don't see why we couldn't do that. 21 2.2 STEVEN BANKS: We'll, we'll certainly get 23 you those figures but just again for the record and I know there ... you ... we, we have said these numbers 24 25 before but maybe not with this level of granularity

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	99
2	so we implemented a number of, of rental assistance
3	programs beginning in 2014 and rehousing programs and
4	we reopened priority for Section 8 and, and housing
5	authority as part of those efforts and so between
6	2000 some, some where really sort of two summer of
7	2014, spring, summer 2014 through December 2017
8	81,124 people have either moved out of shelter or
9	avoided going into shelter, most of them moving out
10	of shelter as a result of the rental assistance
11	programs that we put in place to replace advantage
12	and the rehousing programs, that's 29,768 households
13	[cross-talk]
14	COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: How many was
15	that, I'm sorry?
16	STEVEN BANKS: 29,768 households within
17	those numbers 22,463 of those households moved out
18	with the rental assistance programs that we created
19	or avoided going into shelter and that's a total of
20	56,277 people so you're absolutely right that the
21	elimination or maybe I'm putting words in your mouth
22	now but the elimination of advantage caused this
23	exponential trajectory and then we've been putting in
24	place a number of initiatives to break that
25	trajectory and so I know as the Speaker noted earlier

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 100 2 the prevention programs plus the rental assistance programs are what have enabled us to get the first 3 year over year system remaining flat for ... in ten 4 5 years. COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: So, I don't 6 7 want to take too long because I, I know the, the Chair has been very indulgent of the entire committee 8 today and I thank him for that. I would appreciate 9 10 what the advantage program was spending not just the city but the state and, and then what we've done to 11 12 fill in that gap, so I'd just, just be curious to see 13 how that's been effective and I thank you for that. I 14 think that is it for now, I always enjoy seeing you, 15 I always enjoy ... we haven't seen you as much but 16 you're, you're newer, he's been here, he, he started 17 the job he was about seven feet tall and he had a 18 full head of hair... [cross-talk] STEVEN BANKS: That was when I went to 19 20 Legal Aid I was... [cross-talk] COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Yes... [cross-21 2.2 talk] 23 STEVEN BANKS: ...seven feet tall and had a full head of hair. 24 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 101 2 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Well thank 3 you for your time and thank you for your efforts and 4 thank you Mr. Chairman. 5 STEVEN BANKS: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you Council 6 7 Member Grodenchik. So, to answer your question, I looked it up, New York Times article from 2011 the 8 advantage program was envisioned as a transitional 9 step between homelessness and self-sufficiency, its 10 projected cost for the next fiscal year was 140 11 12 million dollars with the state and federal 13 governments contributing 92 million of that so 140 14 minus 92 is 48 million was the city contribution in 15 20... FY '11... or FY... proposed for FY '12... [cross-talk] 16 STEVEN BANKS: F, FY '12 it was, was 17 going to be. 18 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, there you go. Okay, so because my colleagues asked a lot of 19 20 questions I'm going to be jumping around so try to follow along... [cross-talk] 21 2.2 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: I'll jump with 23 you... CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, my first request 24 is if it's possible as a follow up to this hearing 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	102
2	can you provide us with a chart that shows us for the
3	current plan, the $15/15$ plan the number of, of
4	congregate units that have been funded and sited so
5	identify… because I, I appreciate that there's a
6	billion dollars in the… in the capital plan I want to
7	know how much of that has actually been identified,
8	you know and, and there's the money out the door but
9	then how much is in the pipeline… [cross-talk]
10	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Yep [cross-talk]
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:so how many units
12	are, are, are actually built [cross-talk]
13	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Yep [cross-talk]
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:how many units are
15	in the pipeline and, and for each of these how that
16	tracks to the plan so the annual plan or, or the
17	semiannual plan so, you know if you're saying how
18	many we're hoping to get every six months or how
19	many… how many we're hoping… [cross-talk]
20	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Understood
21	[cross-talk]
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:to get every, every
23	year and I'd like to know the same for the… for the
24	scatter site as well. So, just in, in, in a chart
25	form so that we can kind of I mean we have a bill so

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 103 2 we're going to make you do this in a bill anyway but, but in the meantime, it would be helpful for all of 3 4 us to kind of see it visually I think laid out kind of in that chart form if that would be ... if that's 5 6 okay with you guys. Okay. 7 STEVEN BANKS: Yep, one chart, one plan. 8 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thanks. So... okay, my first question is around ... is around the congregate 9 10 sites, okay, so currently how many units are in the pipeline for congregate, I think you said this 11 before? 12 13 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: It depends on 14 what you mean by pipeline, so we have financed on the 15 congregate side over... since, since the start of the 16 administration 3,000... 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sorry, don't, don't 18 want to go start of the administration, I want to just go start of the 15/15... [cross-talk] 19 20 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: 15... [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...plan. 21 2.2 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: 15 plan we have ... 23 there are 1,766 units that are financed and still in 24 construction... [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay... [cross-talk] 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 104 2 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: 460 of those have 3 NYC 15/15 service funding. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, so then the 4 remainder, the 1,300... [cross-talk] 5 6 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Have service 7 funding from previous plans. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, but were ... 8 [cross-talk] 9 10 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: But they are still... [cross-talk] 11 12 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...financed... [cross-13 talk] 14 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...but they're in 15 the pipeline. 16 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, okay, so then 17 those are financed through New York/New York III? 18 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Yes. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay... [cross-talk] 19 20 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: For the most part. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, so then ... so 23 then the number of congregate units financed through 15/15 because I want to... I, I... just be... just for 24 clarity... [cross-talk] 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 105 2 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: It's 460... 3 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay because I want 4 to take what ... we could always come back to New York/New York III, I appreciate that this 5 administration had commitments under New York/New 6 7 York III, I appreciate that but in terms of, of the ... looking forward I'm ... I really wanted to drill down on 8 what the 15/15 plans outcomes are so... [cross-talk] 9 10 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Understood... 11 [cross-talk] 12 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...400 and ... [cross-13 talk] 14 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...60 units 15 financed to date. 16 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay and none of 17 those units are online yet because of the ... [cross-18 talk] MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: That's right ... 19 20 [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...lack of staff... 21 22 [cross-talk] 23 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...because it ... 24 [cross-talk] 25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	106
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:and construction
3	[cross-talk]
4	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER:it takes a few
5	years although we hope that the, the first of those
6	units will come online by the summer.
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, what is the
8	cost of construction per unit in capital construction
9	from the source… from various sources whether… you
10	know you, you got your city… your city capital, tax
11	exempt, bonds, tax credits
12	MOLLY PARK: It's about 450,000 dollars a
13	unit.
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: 450 a unit?
15	MOLLY PARK: Yep.
16	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay and that and
17	that's give or take based on land cost, right?
18	MOLLY PARK: Yeah, I mean there is there
19	is certainly variation related to land cost, related
20	to construction type, certain heights of buildings
21	are taller, if there is environmental contamination,
22	if it's near a subway train, I could go on for much
23	longer than you'd like me to but 450… [cross-talk]
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure… [cross-talk]
25	MOLLY PARK:is about an average.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 107 2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: 450, so then the range would be probably 50,000 above and 50,000 below 3 depending on where it is and those various factors ... 4 5 MOLLY PARK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. If you found 6 7 opportunities to, to do it ... to, to do congregate less than that at a price point less than that per unit is 8 that something you would jump at? 9 10 MOLLY PARK: Certainly. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Are there ... so 11 12 that's... so, we're talking about congregate in terms of ground up, are there... are there opportunities for, 13 for acquisition of facilities that are not ground up 14 15 but are existing facilities that may need some rehab 16 or less rehab but are ... but are not necessarily ground up that could... that could be at a price point less? 17 18 MOLLY PARK: Yes, absolutely, we look at those, I am aware of the project that you're talking 19 about and it's something that we're very interested 20 in and looking carefully at. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I mean are 23 there other ... I mean sure, there's ... you know I'm 24 looking at one... or there's one that's come to my

attention as well but are there others ... is that

25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 108 2 something that's a... strategically it makes sense I think because that's an, an opportunity or a method 3 to bring on... I mean from my perspective, right, you 4 5 bring on units faster, you bring up a, a lot of units 6 at once and you have a price point that may even be 7 less than ground up. I'm assuming it's a limited opportunity, there aren't a lot of buildings like 8 that maybe. 9 MOLLY PARK: Sure, it has been 10 historically a big part of the supportive housing 11 12 pipeline, the, the Times... [cross-talk] 13 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right... [cross-talk] 14 MOLLY PARK: ...Square Hotel, the Prince 15 George, right, these were all rehabs of existing SRO 16 buildings, when we find opportunities like that we're 17 always happy to look at them. 18 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, do they exist around the city or are they more concentrated in kind 19 20 of higher density areas and ... 21 MOLLY PARK: I'm going to need to take a 2.2 look at that and get back to you and as you said 23 right now they are fewer and further between than 24 they were in the ... when there was a larger stock of 25 SRO housing to convert to supportive housing.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

1 109

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, with 2 congregate ... so, what's our ... if, if you were to as 3 4 Corey said, you know it's great to talk about 15 year 5 plans and you know that's, that's a... that's a, a 6 methodology here or that's a... that's one way to do 7 it, what is ... how many ... how many congregate units are we hoping to get online occupied in five years or at 8 the end of ... or at the end of this administration, 9 three and a half years? 10 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: So, the math for 11 12 that we are, as part of the 15/15 plan financing 500 to 600 units per year and so for each of those since 13

14 a construction takes anywhere from two to three 15 years, two if we're lucky so the move out then 16 associated, associated with each of those should 17 follow two or three years after that and what, what 18 would... we'd be happy to provide as a follow up 19 exactly kind of what that means over the course of 20 the next five years.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, then by the end of this year, by the end of '18 and we, we should hope to have... we should hope to have 1,000 or, or close... or 1,500 units financed and congregate as part of the 15/15 plan, right?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 110 2 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: That's right ... 3 MOLLY PARK: That's correct, 4 approximately. 5 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, now ... [cross-6 talk] 7 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: It's about 500 to 8 600 each year. 9 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, so then we should expect that because we're at four... 400 some 10 11 odd... [cross-talk] 12 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: That's right ... 13 [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...in the 15/15 plan 14 15 which commenced in '16 or FY '17? 16 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Well really it 17 started... [cross-talk] 18 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: As New York/New York ... MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Yeah, most of ... 19 20 most... the bulk of that it's really calendar year '17 21 where... [cross-talk] 2.2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay... [cross-talk] 23 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...most of those 24 have come online because as Commissioner Banks 25 mentioned the process to not just engage the task

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 111 2 force but release the RFPs on the service side and so... [cross-talk] 3 4 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...we're starting 5 6 to see those projects come together and should now be 7 at a clip of 500 per year. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, now Miss ... so, 8 the state has its own ... has a separate plan, I just 9 want to put this out there for ... since 1990 there was ... 10 so for 25 years there was a, a New York/New York 11 12 agreement which was a, a collaboration of the city and state based on in memory ... memorandum of 13 14 understanding that ... there, there was essentially one 15 supportive housing plan in New York City that, that 16 combined resources, city and state resources in a... in a... in a... based on a, a... an agreed upon standard of 17 18 operation that was ... that was negotiated. In ... at the end of New York/New York III the, the De Blasio 19 20 administration... the Cuomo administration could not agree on, on a ... on a New York/New York IV agreement 21 2.2 or decided not to do a New York/New York IV agreement 23 and therefore we have two separate plans that are 24 not ... are, are not held together with an MOU so there, 25 there may be braided funding on specific projects but

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 112 2 it is not a, a cohesive singular plan, we essentially have two plans that are operating at the same time, 3 that's, that's my words, you don't have to agree or 4 5 disagree on that but when we're talking about 7,500 6 congregate units that are use ... that might use some 7 state funding is that... are ... is the state counting those very same units as part of their state 8 supportive housing plan? 9 10 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: It's possible that they are but that wouldn't be a departure from 11 12 the decades long partnership, right... [cross-talk] 13 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure... [cross-talk] 14 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...between the city 15 and state... [cross-talk] 16 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Oh yeah, yeah ... 17 [cross-talk] MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...because for all 18 of these projects they have funding in them, we have 19 20 funding in them and that will continue to be true even with NYC 15/15 despite what you described 21 2.2 earlier because NYC 15/15 rental and service could be 23 paired with state capital and state ESSHI could be 24 paired with city capital in many... and that's... we, we 25 work every day to make sure that the, the ... that this

1 113 2 doesn't get in the way however of service providers being able to pull together and advance projects. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right but I, I just 5 mean to say that if we're, we're not going to have ... if, if the city's envisioning 15,000 units and the 6 7 state's envisioning 15,000 units in, in New York City that doesn't necessarily mean we're going to have 8 30,000 units, new supportive housing units at the end 9 of 15 years because some of them will be counted by 10 both I'm assuming. There is a concern that I think 11 12 I've heard from some providers that navigating the city and state programs takes some time and takes 13 14 resources of, of their staff away from what they 15 might otherwise be doing because it's ... you know 16 because it's not coordinated and it's not seamless despite ... I mean we've also heard the feedback that on 17 18 a staff level thing ... you know there is a lot of coordination but, you know there's ... it ... we've heard 19 20 that it ... the extra work could be burdensome for, for ... from providers, have you heard that? 21 2.2 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: I think with any 23 new program then Molly, please chime in, with any new

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

25 there's always a learning curve to ensure that we are

24

programs that are launching and they... and the ramp up

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	
2	114 ironing out the kinks, it's something that we've
3	spoken very openly with our partners, service
4	providers about to the extent that and, and they are
5	not shy and so these are, a lot of what you're
6	alluding to Councilman we, I'm sure has, has been
7	feedback that got shared and we always look to iron
8	out those kinks, make it as streamlined as possible,
9	if there are specific issues that you think we should
10	focus on we'd be more than happy to concentrate on
11	them.
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I mean I'll,
13	I'll, I'll go back out to the provider community and,
14	and see if there's specific issues that they've been
15	encountering. One of the issues that brought… came
16	into our attention was different definitions of
17	homelessness that the city and the state had
18	different definitions of homelessness, can you… is
19	that… is that accurate, do you see that because it's
20	creating some confusion?
21	MOLLY PARK: It there are slightly
22	different definitions, yes, I think the 15/15 uses
23	some fairly broad categories ESSHI is broken down
24	into a more specific subpopulations. As the
25	Commissioner alluded we are working through the kinks

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	115
2	of rolling out new programs, but I think we are
3	working very closely with our colleagues at the state
4	to make sure that we are, are filling units quickly
5	and, and hitting high need populations.
6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, you don't see
7	that as a long-term impediment to, to making sure
8	you're reaching all the vulnerable populations?
9	MOLLY PARK: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I might come back to
11	congregate but with, with moving to scatter site,
12	one of the concerns that we've heard is that rental
13	rates I mean this is so, taking a kind of step back
14	big picture here, with scatter site units, we're
15	chasing many of the same units that we're chasing
16	with all the other programs that Commissioner Banks
17	and I have been talking about for the last four
18	years. So, all of the, the FEPS, the CITYFEPS, the
19	SEPS, the Link vouchers, all you know and
20	Commissioner Banks in your second paragraph of your
21	testimony or third paragraph of your testimony you
22	talked very in depth about the vacancy rates in New
23	York City and we're essentially chasing a limited
24	resource with a lot of different programs and
25	essentially, you know we have our programs competing

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	116
2	against one another and competing against, you know
3	a, a market of, of, of other individuals looking for
4	housing in New York City. One of the concerns that
5	has come up is that the rate the rental rates for,
6	for the supportive scattered site supportive units
7	are actually pegged at the 2015 fair market rent
8	values or 2015 or '16… [cross-talk]
9	STEVEN BANKS: 2017.
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: It's '17 now, we had
11	heard '16 I think
12	STEVEN BANKS: Its, its, its FY '17 but I
13	think… I, I, I… I'm going to anticipate where you're
14	going here, I, I mean my testimony was pretty much
15	very clear on what, what you just also said and kind
16	of direct all of us to what I said at the end which
17	is that we face a challenge in operating a market
18	where the demand for units far exceeds the supply,
19	put simply the abundance of renters in the market
20	competing for the same units coupled with the 1.15
21	percent vacancy rate for affordable apartments
22	influences the pace at which we're able to expand the
23	scattered site program.
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, I am concerned
25	and I anticipate that the scattered site program is

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	117
2	going to have difficulty keeping up with its
3	objectives, with its objective numbers year over year
4	and so if we're 50 units behind every year then we'll
5	be, you know over, over five years will be 250 units
6	behind or if we're 100 units behind a year then over
7	five years we'll be 500 units behind and or worse as
8	the situation gets worse and so I'm, I'm, I'm
9	concerned that and I don't know what the answer to
10	this is other than to build more congregate
11	facilities and, and skew the whole program towards
12	congregate instead of scatter site or as opposed to
13	scatter site because I anticipate that the scatter
14	site are going to continue to fall behind because
15	it's because of the challenges of the market and
16	unless we're… unless we're worry… you know unless,
17	unless the value of the… of a scatter site rental
18	subsidy is based on the following years fair market
19	rent it makes it very difficult to compete.
20	STEVEN BANKS: Again I think as the
21	testimony says we've got twice as many people overall
22	looking for low income units as exist and the vacancy
23	rate for units affordable to our agency's clients has
24	continued to come down having said that we do have
25	providers finding units, we're working with them very

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 118 2 diligently, providing the same kind of incentives that we provide for our other rental assistance 3 4 programs, I think it's fair to say that it's 5 important to have stood up a scattered site program 6 pending... at least pending the bringing on of the 7 congregate units and we're going to keep being very focused on how to find as many units as we can and I 8 think that both of us are committed to continuing to 9 look at the program and see how best to deliver the 10 number of units that we all agree are needed here. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How did you determine 13 the number, the breakdown between scatter and, and congregate other than just 50/50 and see where it 14 15 goes from there? 16 STEVEN BANKS: I mean it was really ... 17 [cross-talk] 18 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, you can offer me a round number, you know ... 19 20 STEVEN BANKS: It was really a focus at that particular time several years ago about what was 21 2.2 feasible... [cross-talk] 23 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] STEVEN BANKS: ...New York/New York III was 24 25 not wound down yet, there were, you know a lot of

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 119 2 commitments to, to move forward with and I, I think both of us are committed to continuing to look ... to 3 4 look at this but, you know this is ... significant 5 investment at the time and a significant projection 6 at the time, it was an ambitious goal and we're 7 committed to continuing to do the things we've been doing to focus on the awards, on the financing and on 8 the move outs over the course of the rest of the ... 9 rest of this year and then look at the program 10 overall and see what other improvements and 11 12 enhancements we can make but... [cross-talk] 13 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Are you concerned about falling behind and kind of never being able to 14 catch up to, to the target numbers that we've set out 15 16 as a city on the ... on the scatter sites? 17 STEVEN BANKS: Look, I mean I, I'm 18 concerned about sheltering the people that come to us every night at... [cross-talk] 19 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah... [cross-talk] STEVEN BANKS: ...80, 80, 80 families or 21 2.2 108... so 108 families came to us for shelter last 23 night and that's, that's what our focus is on every 24 night and this is an important tool and both agencies

25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 120 and the Mayor are very committed to delivering on 2 this plan one way or the other. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I'm concerned, 5 I'm concerned, I mean look, I mean the fact of the 6 matter is we had a 116-million-dollar budget mod on 7 single adults last ... I mean you know which is the, the, the largest percentage of population seeking 8 supportive housing, or qualifying for supportive 9 10 housing and you know that is ... I mean that is ... that is a... that is a huge amount of, of, of money that is 11 12 above what ... I mean that's above what, what we anticipated in our budget last year which is a huge 13 14 budget, I mean when we when I my first year our 15 first year negotiating we can go back and see what 16 the DHS budget was actual spent FY '15 and we are hundreds of millions of dollars beyond that now, 17 18 hundreds of millions of ... I mean it's probably about a billion two and now we're at billion eight and, and 19 20 we're seeing the, the ... we're seeing a lot of ... I mean when you look at the data, we've talked about this 21 2.2 that it ... the, the family shelter census has, has, has 23 eat ... has ... and there's been so much resources put in to trying to address that issue which is where we 24 25 were seeing it from '11 to '14, that was the big

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	121
2	driver in that… in that 38 percent jump that you
3	spoke about, family homelessness we… resources we put
4	in now we're seeing the annual increase in single
5	adult shelter census continuing to grow at a much
6	higher pace and, and the, the, the key I mean you
7	know I don't want to get into the whole SEPS and you
8	know right now we have a proposed budget in SEPS of
9	zero dollars for FY '19 and I get it, that's going
10	be… we're going… we're going to address that in the…
11	in the in the negotiated budget but this is our
12	great resource, I'm just concerned that if it's if
13	we're competing against other programs, competing
14	against the market, all the things you talked about
15	in your testimony we… and, and frankly, you know
16	we're, we're… I don't know how… you know I'm not
17	quite sure we're on track on, on congregate to be at
18	500 units every year considering that… you know
19	there's a two or three year lag so we're starting,
20	you know we don't see units online till three years
21	into the program, you know and our… and I mean we're
22	hearing from providers that there's a concern that
23	the RFP that goes out for the… for the scatter site
24	people might not bid on it because it's so hard to
25	find brokers and landlords that are willing to take

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	122
2	it, I mean this is real, this is you know, I mean I
3	know, we all know that this is this is real, this is
4	a really, really difficult market to be doing this
5	in. I'm wondering if we're… are we going to
6	reconsider our breakdown and, and, and putting more
7	money into these congregates, I mean frankly the
8	project that we have been obliquely referring to, if
9	you bring on 300 units in a year, that's, that's
10	like that's like the entire scatter that's why we
11	didn't scatter site last year, in one project…
12	[cross-talk]
13	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Uh-huh [cross-
14	talk]
15	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:you know there's got
16	to be other opportunities to do that.
17	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Let me certainly
18	support everything Commissioner Banks just mentioned,
19	I want to reiterate that we are very much in the ramp
20	up phase for 15/15 and to the extent given the
21	realities of the market place there are changes that
22	need to happen across many dimensions of the program,
23	we're of course always open to that. One… I, I do
24	want to correct the record the, the in terms of
25	congregate both starts and completions we are on

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 123 2 track or exceeding targets and so that is... that is something that as long as we continue to have the 3 general mix of resources, the four things that I 4 mentioned earlier we should be able to maintain the 5 6 pace. In terms of doing more, some of those key 7 pillars we do not control, we don't control every piece of land in the city, we need your help with 8 community support, we don't control tax credits and 9 so we need to be able to with the resources that we 10 have both prioritized supportive housing and also 11 12 know that certainly with land and capital and tax 13 credits those are the same resources that are needed 14 to build and preserve affordable housing generally 15 and so the ... those are certainly the, the major issues 16 that ... with it that we have to keep in the back of our 17 heads but believe in making sure that we're 18 continually evaluating where we are with this plan so we don't fall behind and we keep up the pace that is 19 20 needed to make good on the targets. 21 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: This isn't so much a 2.2 supportive housing question, it's an affordable 23 housing question but you, you spoke about set asides, you know within affordable housing developments, 24 would the administration be supportive of like a non-25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	124
2	negotiable 15 percent homeless set aside in every
3	development affordable component to a development,
4	every affordable housing development, say you know
5	what, let's not negotiate this, let's just make it
6	across the board, 15 percent and let's just go from
7	there?
8	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Well the just in
9	terms of level setting for what our goals are as it
10	relates to housing for the formerly homeless, there
11	is the 15,000 that is the general set asides for
12	formerly homeless households, there is the 5,000 of
13	congregate that happens within the context of the
14	plan and so that's 20,000 units through Housing New
15	York for formerly homeless households. Our term
16	sheets, it actually ranges, some of them are more
17	than 15 percent, it's 10 percent for some of our term
18	sheets and up to 60 percent for supportive housing
19	and so it… a, a… [cross-talk]
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Let's say for
21	[cross-talk]
22	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER:a blunt [cross-
23	talk]
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:for ELLA [cross-
25	talk]

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 125 2 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...15 percent ... 3 ELLA... [cross-talk] 4 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...or, or, or a minimum 5 15 percent, I mean if somebody wants to go greater than 15 percent like that's great, that's cool but, 6 7 but in saying no less than 15 percent. MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: And that Council 8 Member is certainly something that we want to do as 9 much as we can, we need the Council's support and in ... 10 11 [cross-talk] 12 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay and I've ... [cross-talk] 13 14 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...despite... [cross-15 talk] CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...been... [cross-talk] 16 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...and I understand 17 18 at the last hearing you've been a critical ally, it is not always the case however that there is support 19 20 for those percentages and at the same time we have to make sure that we're providing housing for extremely 21 low-income families and so that ... those units are ... 2.2 23 don't cannibalize, that the ability to provide 24 housing for extremely low-income families as well. 25

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right and I think 3 that there's ways to address that for instance we 4 could say that the numbers can ... you know that they 5 can go up to 60 percent or that it doesn't, you know 6 it ... or that there continues to be the ... you know that ... 7 it doesn't... it doesn't cut into the, the 30 percent AMIs that are part of the ELLA program or it's not 8 part of the community preference units that are ... make 9 up 50 percent of an affordable housing development, I 10 mean I think that's there's creative ways that we can 11 12 work with that. I, I... the question ... the reason I asked the question is that I'm happy to talk to you 13 14 and I have been talking to my colleagues and I just 15 want to make sure that when I talk to them and I say 16 hey what do you think about a, a minimum of 15 percent set aside on every affordable housing 17 18 development or MIH development what have you, the 19 administration supports that so I, I, I just ... you 20 know... MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: We, we support 21 2.2 exploring any idea that allows us to build more 23 permanent housing for formerly homeless households. 24 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Is... do you

25 think it might be a good idea to formerly combine

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	127
2	the, the administration's housing plan with its
3	homeless plan in a in a in a more comprehensive
4	way, I mean I, I think when I did a… when I did a, a
5	search, a PDF search of… I think I did this in the
6	last hearing of the… of the Housing New York plan and
7	just searched the word homeless I don't think it came
8	up and so I think… I think it might make sense that
9	to make sure that there are that, that that type of
10	communication is more formalized, is it is it
11	something that we might want to consider?
12	MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: I, I, I want to
13	make sure that it's clear that despite what may not
14	have shown up in the… in the… in a search on a PDF
15	that the plans work in tandem, there are… if you look
16	at the turning the tide, I think it's pillar four, it
17	may be pillar three, all of each aspect of that or
18	major component of the Housing New York plan and then
19	also the numbers I think are speak for themselves,
20	we have financed more than 7,000 units since the
21	start of the plan, that's eight percent of the total
22	production of the 88,000 financed thus far for
23	formerly homeless households and so we're exceeding
24	the targets that we have and are looking for every
25	way to do better but that does not that's not just

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 128 2 a, a question of policy it's certainly not a question of integrating of written documents, it's a question 3 4 of making sure we have the resources at every level ... [cross-talk] 5 6 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure but, but... 7 [cross-talk] 8 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ... to advance ... to meet and exceed goals. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But eight percent that's laudable, I think that that should be 15 11 12 percent, so it should be basically double, and I think that that would have a meaningful impact in 13 14 driving down ... I mean then ... you know then I don't get 15 a request for 160-million-dollar budget mod, you know 16 three quarters of the way through a fiscal year because we are drastically overspending on our DHS 17 18 budget, I mean I'm ... I honestly like ... I got to tell you like when I talk to Council Members around that 19 20 budget mod, I mean people were like what on earth is going on here, how are ... [cross-talk] 21 2.2 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: I think ... [cross-23 talk] CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...how are ... how are we ... 24 25 how can we not ... how are we ... how are we unable to plan

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 129 for nine months in advance like to the point where 2 we're spending ... over spending by ten percent. 3 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: I think the other 4 point, the eight percent for formerly homeless 5 households but we shouldn't lose track of the fact 6 7 that the goal for extremely low income and very low income is 25 percent of the plan and we have thus far 8 exceeded that so a full third of the 88,000 are for 9 extremely low and very low income households and as 10 we all know those are the households that do cycle ... 11 12 that can cycle in and out of the shelter system and 13 so one of the main tenets of the housing plan is to make sure we're building and preserving units for 14 extremely low and very low as the main prevention 15 16 tool so you really... [cross-talk] 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sorry, what's the 18 percentage... [cross-talk] MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...have to look at ... 19 20 [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...I'm sorry, for the 21 22 very low... [cross-talk] 23 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...both... [cross-24 talk] 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 130 2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...and extremely low 3 that you said? MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: It is ... it's one 4 third of the production to date has been ... [cross-5 6 talk] 7 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: One third... [cross-8 talk] MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...for extremely 9 low and very low. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, I'd like to bump 12 up the number... all ... both of those numbers up seven percent so, so 15 percent for formerly homeless, 40 13 percent for low... very low and extremely low. 14 15 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: The, the ... [cross-16 talk] 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, then its... a 18 rising tide list ... MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Yeah, the other 19 20 thing to note is in the last fiscal year actually close to 50 percent of the units have been extremely 21 2.2 low or very low so we are ... with the targets that we 23 have we're exceeding them but we always have to be conscientious of the resources not just city that are 24 needed in order to advance all aspects of the plan 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 131 2 that we don't cannibalize ... we don't rob Peter to pay Paul... [cross-talk] 3 4 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure... [cross-talk] 5 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: ...in the 6 advancement of it... [cross-talk] 7 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But I'd much rather do a budget mod for 160 million dollars to go towards 8 supportive housing or, or very low, extremely low 9 income affordable housing for people in the 10 community, I'd much, much rather do a budget mod for 11 12 that or, or allocate that money in the beginning of the fiscal year than, than, than do this budget mod 13 14 at the end for ... just for shelter costs which is 15 really just temporary and no offense but substandard 16 housing for a, a lot ... a lot of New Yorkers who are ... I mean to live in shelter for, you know your entire 17 18 childhood is a devastatingly traumatic experience, nobody should ever have to do that so ... 19 20 MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Agree with that. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, onto the issue 21 2.2 of, of creaming so... an advocate researcher 23 practitioner sent over some testimony and this was his result of some FOIL documentation data that was 24 25 done by legal services in New York City, some of the ...

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 132 some of the bullet points for the 15.5 percent of 2 interviewees between 2013 and 2016 were rejected 3 4 because they were deemed by assessors to need a higher lever of care, we're struggling with too much ... 5 with too intense of mental illness who are 6 7 experiencing suicidal ideations or lacked insight into their mental illness, I'm going to read some of 8 these because ... and I... and I, I... you know and I 9 appreciate that we're, we're reforming the system and 10 looking at the CAP system but this is what was 11 12 happening prior to that. Interviewee declined, applicant was acting bizarre during the interview 13 process and responded to internal stimuli, laughing 14 15 without reason, the applicant was also guarded with 16 information in regard to her mental health and substance abuse history. Another one ... interview we 17 18 rejected, client did not display the ability to live independently, has poor insight into his mental 19 20 health illness ... mental illness and requires a higher level of care, client has a long history of substance 21 2.2 abuse and has only recently become sober, has not 23 sufficiently displayed an ability to remain sober. Another incidence, interviewee rejected, client has 24 25 poor insight into mental illness, minimizes personal

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 133 2 responsibility for any problems in his life, client has been sober for a short time but does not display 3 the ability to remain sober or live independently, 4 the client requires a higher level of care. 5 6 Interviewee rejected, client was disoriented during 7 the interview, he has no insight into his mental illness, he was limited in his ability to express any 8 independent living skills, client's sobriety is 9 unclear. Another incidence ... or interview we rejected, 10 needs a higher level of care, client has no insight 11 12 into his mental illness and substance abuse. This was from Craig Hughes who supplied this, this testimony. 13 14 Those are just some examples. These are exactly the 15 people that need ... that need supportive housing, if somebody has suicidal ideations or doesn't have a 16 clear understanding of their mental illness or 17 18 doesn't have appropriate insight into their mental illness that's not ... that doesn't ... that, that 19 shouldn't be a reason obviously to reject them from 20 the very help that they so desperately need. So, is 21 2.2 this... I mean I'm assuming that this is what the CAPS 23 system was meant to intercede with, but can you speak a little bit about kind of how we're approaching 24 this? 25

2 STEVEN BANKS: Look, this is ... these kind 3 of client focus concerns are exactly why we convened the task force and spent a year of time focusing on 4 5 it and it was important not to, to simply not 6 implement the plan without convening a group of 7 providers and advocacy organizations and have a very deep dive into how the programs had operated, how 8 they could be improved, they are the gold standard 9 but even gold standards can be improved and so the 10 CAPS approach came out of that working group, focus 11 12 groups with clients we did and very intensive work 13 with providers to come up with the vulnerability index, let's also... it's important to remember the 14 15 context here is that in order to even be considered 16 to have SMI or, or SUD so, you know severe mental illness or substance use disorder that's the client 17 18 population we're working with and then the vulnerability index as I, you know went through with 19 20 the Speaker but just I think it bears repeating is the vulnerability factor to consider is street 21 2.2 homelessness, multiple criminal justice episodes, 23 domestic violence, age, chronic medical conditions like HIV/AIDS, high use of emergency and crisis 24 25 services and of course then you have to have the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 135 2 chronic time of having spent someone being homeless so, the revamping of the system was intended to draw 3 upon client experience and provider experience and 4 advocacy experience to make it better and we believe 5 we've done that and we're very much focused on 6 7 sending clients to providers that are appropriately matched, we want to make sure that we're ... have the 8 right program for the right client again as another 9 aspect of having the vulnerability initiative but 10 it's also something that we are going to closely 11 12 monitor because we've identified the people that are most vulnerable who most need this service and should 13 14 problems develop in getting people connected to that 15 service that's something that we will have to take 16 action to address but the ... but the change in the assessment process was meant to have a, a more 17 18 targeted way of ensuring the most vulnerable people got this critical assistance. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: What's the feedback

21 mechanism within the CAPS system to, to look at kind 22 of quality control so if there's a... if there's say a 23 mismatch between the referral and the response or you 24 know how, how are... how are... what's the... how are we 25 ensuring quality control in a new system like this?

2 STEVEN BANKS: Well internally we're ... we want to see if people are for example being rejected 3 4 frequently that could indicate two things; not a good match or perhaps not an appropriate response by the 5 6 provider. We, we... [cross-talk] 7 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] STEVEN BANKS: ...and then again, it's very 8 new, talking about a couple hundred people who have ... 9 who are in for very short periods of time but it's 10 something that internally we're going to be working 11 12 with, we created this city coordinating, you know 13 effort among the agencies to be... [cross-talk] 14 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 15 STEVEN BANKS: ...very focused ... [cross-16 talk] 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How often is that 18 meeting? STEVEN BANKS: We have ... I think the last 19 20 task force meeting was in March of the ... of the provider task force... [cross-talk] 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 23 STEVEN BANKS: ...and the city coordinating 24 effort is part of that task force structure, so the 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 137 2 task force has continued to meet, it didn't end with the report ... 3 4 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Are you doing ... you ... I'm... maybe it might be interesting to do like a 5 random... a randomly selected, an anonymized case study 6 7 the way that they do it at, at ChildStat or at COMSTAT where you're, you're doing ... you know it's 8 kind of a randomized quality control mechanism? 9 STEVEN BANKS: We're certainly, you know 10 going to consider any suggestion you have at this 11 12 hearing, we also are going to consider any suggestion 13 that any providers have for us in that we get 14 feedback from clients, but we want to ... this to work ... 15 [cross-talk] 16 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because it's a small 17 enough sample size at the moment, I mean you could ... 18 you could ... you could really be able to and with, with only a few hundred cases so far within the CAPS 19 system you could kind of ... it's not so overwhelming a 20 number that you, you know you, you wouldn't be able 21 2.2 to see clear, you know trends in the smaller sample 23 size. STEVEN BANKS: We'll certainly, certainly 24 take a look at what's feasible ... [cross-talk] 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 138 2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] STEVEN BANKS: ...you know 110 percent of 3 our focus now is getting units ready and getting 4 people into them but we're going to keep looking at 5 best ways to evaluate how we're doing so far. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I mean maybe ... I mean it wouldn't be a bad investment if you wanted to hire 8 an outside entity to do some type of ... I mean if 9 10 that's... I mean I ... you know I'm not saying that we want to throw money down the drain, but quality 11 12 assurance is very important as we're rolling out a new system... [cross-talk] 13 14 STEVEN BANKS: Agreed... [cross-talk] 15 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...if you don't have 16 the ... if you don't have the staff resources right now because they're dedicated to, to bringing the units 17 18 online, I mean if maybe there's a ... maybe you can look at bringing in somebody from the outside? 19 20 STEVEN BANKS: I mean we have a lot of quality assurance analysis that we do in house and 21 2.2 directing resources to this is certainly something we 23 would consider. 24 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I'm a little bit concerned about the documentation requirements, I 25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	139
2	know you spoke about this a little bit with the
3	Speaker but in terms of as it relates to street
4	
	homelessness, those that are street homeless, if
5	somebody does not have somebody's been street
6	homeless and does not have documentation, doesn't
7	isn't able to show that they've been street homeless
8	you know how long they've been street homeless,
9	doesn't have… is not enrolled in Medicaid, is not
10	enrolled in any benefits you know is, is, you know
11	very tenuously linked to any, any systems how, how
12	do… how are we working to ensure that, that they have
13	access to, to supportive housing?
14	STEVEN BANKS: Well they are linked to a
15	system, they're linked to the homestead system, they
16	have a case they have some contacts regularly with
17	some of the best staff in the city that are out $24/7$
18	building trust so I, I, I just want to make sure that
19	nobody… [cross-talk]
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because they don't
21	have like a… you know do they need a birth
22	certificate, do they… [cross-talk]
23	STEVEN BANKS: We have the ability and we
24	created special lanes for people to get information
25	from HRA, remember the part of the integration, the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 140 2 agencies is creating a lot more efficiencies in that regard. One of the issues during the 90-day review 3 4 that was presented by the outreach teams ... [cross-5 talk] 6 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 7 STEVEN BANKS: ...was exactly the kind of challenges that you're describing and we created ways 8 in which outreach teams could, could gather documents 9 but of course we're accepting the, you know the 10 representation of the outreach teams on length of 11 12 stay that's someone's homeless because they're in the best position to observe that and we have a great 13 deal of trust and experience in working with them and 14 15 so if you're... and I... and I want to acknowledge the 16 concern you're raising and just put it out there if you're concerned that on a technicality that we might 17 18 say well that persons not homeless long enough I think that the work with the providers is what 19 20 ensures that that ... it doesn't become a bar. We also have an automatic upload of documents for all of our 21 2.2 systems that created... that avoided the problem in the 23 past of having to, you know find multiple documents, I think we testified earlier about expanding the, the 24 25 people who can do the evaluations so all of these are

2 recommendations that came out of the task force from 3 clients, from providers and from advocates about how 4 to address documentation issues and how to address 5 some of the multi-agency interaction challenges that 6 people had in the past and that's why we created this 7 CAPS system.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'm going to jump 8 around a little bit if that's okay and I'm, I'm going 9 to be sensitive to your time, you've been here for 10 three hours so, just, just a few more questions here. 11 12 the open-ended RFPs are out for all of the supportive 13 housing units except for the 90 units for youth, when 14 will the RFPs for the 90 units for youth be 15 available?

16 STEVEN BANKS: Very shortly, it is 17 complete it's just going through our procurement 18 process before it is actually released. I think we 19 got 99 percent of the units are subject to open ended 20 RFPs and those last 90 units will, will complete the 21 open-ended RFP process.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I don't know if you did this before for the Speaker but of the 15,000 units do you have a breakdown of how many are going to be set aside for individuals with mental illness,

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	142
2	substance abuse disorder, youth aging out of foster
3	care, veterans, those four district populations?
4	STEVEN BANKS: That's actually that's
5	actually the significant change that we made instead
6	of having particularized categories [cross-talk]
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
8	STEVEN BANKS:that we took, you know
9	high, medium and, and so low in terms of different
10	vulnerability factors but then within the seven,
11	seven 7,500 scattered sites and 7,500 congregates
12	we've made some projections as to allocations
13	[cross-talk]
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay… [cross-talk]
15	STEVEN BANKS:and I think the Speaker
16	referred to single adults about shortly over 50, 50
17	5,000 that's the allocation within congregate and
18	about 5,500 is the allocation actually if I add them
19	up, thank you… it's good to have a terrific colleague
20	who's done all the math for me. Single adults with
21	SMI slash SUD, 10,673; adults, families where the
22	head of household has SMI or SUD, 1,004; families
23	with children with the head of the household SMI or
24	SUD, 2,087; young adults, 1,236.
25	

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So, I think 2 3 that that is at the moment all of ... all of the questions that I have. I think that there needs to 4 be ... I mean we've had ... this is our third hearing on 5 6 supportive housing since I've been Chair, I think we 7 probably should be doing one every year or every 18 months so that we are clear where things are. I think 8 the legislation that we're introducing that we're 9 10 hearing today could give us some ongoing clarity in terms of the information. My, my long-term concern 11 12 remains that we are going to be falling behind on our 13 goals particularly with the scatter site and, and 14 that we're not going to be able to catch up and, and 15 so that's a... that's a... I think a really very real 16 concern, I've heard this from ... you know I've heard 17 frankly from providers that they're concerned with 18 the next round of RFP goes out that people aren't going to bid on it because it's so difficult, so 19 20 difficult to, to work finding brokers and landlords willing to take them and so if that means changing 21 2.2 the rates or skewing the entire plan towards 23 congregate and investing heavily but again that means... that means it's, it's not going to be 24 25 painless, it means putting real dollars in the budget

2 to more aggressively pursue opportunities and site acquisitions and it might in some instances mean that 3 4 the cost of construction is going to be a little bit 5 higher and ... but again I'm not ... I mean, you know we, 6 we approve a budget mod because the money's already 7 spent, we don't really have much of an option to not approve a budget mod but it is extremely frustrating 8 on our end, extremely frustrating on our end when on 9 10 the one hand, you know we're getting some mixed messages about, you know pursuing opportunities where 11 12 they exist, you know for supportive housing that could be turn key and, and then on the other hand 13 14 saying that ... you know because it's too expensive but 15 then on the other hand being told that, that we need 16 to spend more ... you know more money on, on temporary shelter which nobody wants to do and most of all the 17 18 people that are living in temporary shelter do not want to live in temporary shelter and if it's because 19 20 there's not enough supportive housing units out there for them we need to change that reality and we are 21 2.2 the only ones that have the opportunity to do that 23 because we are the city government and we determine what our priorities are and we have an 88 billion 24 25 dollar budget annually to work with but it really

1 145 2 depends on our priorities. So, I would ... you know I would greatly encourage, you know a, a continued and 3 4 more formalized relationship between the housing plan 5 and the homeless plan, I mean I, I, I appreciate that 6 there's coordination and I appreciate that, that 7 these two agencies work together but it is disappointing that in the housing plan document that 8 is the thing that goes on, on into posterity about 9 the objectives of this administration when it comes 10 to the housing plan the word homelessness isn't even 11 12 mentioned and it's, it just ... it's ... and you know it was an omission I guess but ... and I'm not saying ... I 13 don't know who wrote it, I don't think anyone at this 14 15 table wrote it but, but it's, it ... I... there's an 16 opportunity, we, we have three years left, I have 17 three years left, you all have three years left it's 18 an opportunity to amend that and to ... and to set out on a course where there's a greater level of 19 20 coordination and, and everybody's stepping up and saying we're going to do everything we can, that 21 2.2 NYCHAs stepping up and saying we're going to do 23 everything we can, that HPDs stepping up and saying we're going to do ... the councils stepping up and 24 saying we're going to do everything we can, I'm 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	146
2	talking to my colleagues and talking about, you know
3	increasing the level of set aside and saying we're
4	not going to negotiate this, why would why are we
5	negotiating this, I don't want to negotiate it,
6	that's silly, it's and it's, it's, it's
7	counterproductive. So, I want to like to leave you
8	with that but and I do appreciate all the work
9	obviously that you're doing but I'm you know I
10	remain very concerned and so we're going to have to
11	probably have another hearing in a years' time just
12	to, to check in on this.
13	STEVEN BANKS: Just as you're closing the
14	record I want to obviously our testimony acknowledge
15	both the progress and the challenges that we have but
16	I also want to say that the RFP is open ended so
17	there won't be another one coming out and I'm pleased
18	to tell you that very shortly we're going to have
19	another award… [cross-talk]
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
21	STEVEN BANKS:for scattered site, it's
22	just about to complete procurement so I think that
23	will be hopeful but again I want to emphasize that
24	our testimony was about progress and challenges
25	[cross-talk]

STEVEN BANKS:lastly on the legislation
look forward to staff to staff discussions, some of
the things that are that are delineated in the
legislation may no longer match with the way that
we've changed the system in terms of CAPS and so
forth so we want to have a robust conversation with
you about how to get to something that actually
matches with what we're what the system looks like
but I understand what the intent is and we want to
try to be helpful with you.
CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, it sounds good.
Great, thank you all.
STEVEN BANKS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: We're going to take a
three-minute break and we'll have the first panel
come up to testify; Laura Mascuch, Supportive Housing
Net Work of New York; Kristen Miller from CSH and
Carol Corden from New Destiny Housing.
CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay everybody,
welcome back so, because we have about 20 people
signed up to testify we're going to keep testimony to
three minutes give or take, if you need, you know an
extra ten, 15 seconds it's okay but just try to keep

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	148
2	it roughly in that ballpark but we're looking forward
3	to hearing, you know what the experiences on the
4	ground and so I'm looking forward to hearing from,
5	from this panel and, and, and the following panels as
6	well so, whoever wants to begin.
7	LAURA MASCUCH: Am I on there we go.
8	Good afternoon. So, I'd like to thank Council Member
9	Levin the General Welfare Committee for this hearing
10	today and their ongoing recognition of the importance
11	of supportive housing in the homeless continuum. My
12	name is Laura Mascuch, I'm the Executive Director of
13	the Supportive Housing Network of New York, I also
14	serve as the Co-chair of the New York City Coalition
15	on the Continuum of Care, the planning body that
16	serves to allocate HUD funding to the city for
17	homeless and supportive housing services. As you know
18	supportive housing is the key element in addressing
19	homelessness, providing permanent housing plus
20	services to the most vulnerable, those individuals
21	with long histories of homelessness who cope with one
22	or more disabling conditions. If you are concerned
23	about the growing shelter census, supportive housing
24	will stem that tide. Providing a permanent housing
25	option for a chronically homeless individual will

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 149 2 open up a shelter bed that other people can cycle through many times. At the end of 2015, without 3 4 prospects for a New York/New York IV Agreement, Mayor de Blasio announced the City's commitment to create 5 15,000 units of supportive housing over the next 6 7 fifteen years, Governor Cuomo then followed with his own initiative, the Empire State Supportive Housing 8 initiative to create 20,000 units over 15. In order 9 10 to generate recommendations, the Mayor convened a supportive housing task force that you've heard about 11 12 today that was staffed by more than two dozen 13 industry experts and generated 23 recommendations. 14 The task force recommendations reflected significant 15 improvements to the supportive housing model, 16 adequate service rates, separate rental assistance 17 funding, a two percent escalator serving the entire 18 family not just head of household but the children, improved models for youth which were no longer time 19 20 limited and adding unserved populations; runaway and homeless youth and young families. Other than the 21 2.2 scarce city of affordability buildable land, the 23 primary impediment to developing single site supportive housing is community opposition. We very 24 much hope that the council can provide leadership on 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 150 2 this issue by championing supportive housing as a body and providing information about supportive 3 4 housing to their communities and creating collaborative solutions, solutions-oriented 5 6 environments. The scatter site model is facing 7 tougher odds, there is a dearth of appropriate affordable apartments, rapid gentrification, 14,000 8 existing scattered site apartments that are seeing 9 10 loss of long term leases and the competition of numerous other subsidy programs. For all of these 11 12 reasons my assessment of the current market is it is unlikely to absorb another 500 units per year for the 13 14 duration of the program. We appreciate the council's 15 abiding interest in addressing homelessness in New 16 York City and its longstanding support. We look to 17 the Council's leadership to assist the non-profit 18 community find and site supportive housing. Thank you for this opportunity to testify. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you Laura. I just want to actually ask before I move on to the 21 2.2 other testimony, so then what ... if, if the ... this is 23 kind of getting at what the Commissioner and I... the Commissioners and I were... [cross-talk0 24 LAURA MASCUCH: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 25

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ... just talking about so if the overall housing market is unable to absorb 3 4 500 units annually and we continue to fall further 5 and further behind our, our, our goals what do you 6 think or what does SHNNY think is an appropriate 7 response to that or what ... is it increasing the rates, the rental rates, is it, you know coupling it 8 with other incentive programs... [cross-talk] 9 LAURA MASCUCH: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 10 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ... is it looking at new 11 12 incentives like they do for CITYFEPS and things like that around, you know kind of like this issue of 13 paying up front or whatever bonuses, sigh up bonuses 14 15 or is it adjusting the, the balance between 16 congregate and scatter? 17 LAURA MASCUCH: Uh-huh, so I think it's a 18 combination of all of those things that you have mentioned, and I think the city is ... you know is going 19 20 to be open to considering a, a number of different avenues to pursue. I think the program is still early 21 2.2 but if we could look at current rates and in ... 23 potential incentives that might let us be a little 24 bit more competitive but we are operating in an 25 incredibly tight market, we have a lot of concern

	COMMITTEE ON CENEDAL MELEADE
1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
	152
2	about the existing supportive housing that's in the
3	scattered site model and so ultimately maybe the
4	decision will be made to, to change the proportion of
5	congregate and scattered site but I think we want to
6	give this a fair chance because, you know as we have
7	said, it's been said previously it's housing that's
8	immediately available which is terrific [cross-talk]
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
10	LAURA MASCUCH:as opposed to waiting
11	the two to three years for development.
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh. Are you
13	seeing are you concerned about this current this
14	next RFP going out and not and, and, and providers
15	not actually bidding on it because of the concern
16	about not, not being able to get all those units
17	online?
18	LAURA MASCUCH: Yeah, so I think there is
19	some interest and it seems like some, some nonprofits
20	are bidding on it, I'm not sure of the extent of the
21	interest so we'll see, it's an open RFP which is good
22	because then people can come in at various times and
23	decide to apply so it's not like we have a close of
24	the RFP and then and then all the awards are
25	announced and we could say oh my gosh we only had a

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 153 2 certain amount of units so I think that as groups get more familiar they'll be, you know weighing the 3 decision as to whether to apply or not. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is there a concern 6 that if the program is not necessarily funded as 7 robustly as might be necessary that, that maybe some providers that are ... that don't have as much of a 8 track record might, might, might be more interested 9 10 than the ones that are ... you know that are ... that have ... that know how ... that know how to provide, you know 11 12 long term quality service? 13 LAURA MASCUCH: Uh-huh, sure potentially 14 but I think through the city's RFP and vetting 15 process that they would probably figure that out and 16 take it into consideration because the providers in this community are fairly well known ... 17 18 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh, uh-huh and there's a... and it's a... kind of finite... another words 19 20 it's not ... there aren't new ... you know within homeless shelter provision, you know you do see kind of new 21 2.2 faces that are ... you're like who are ... who's this 23 group... [cross-talk] 24 LAURA MASCUCH: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 25

	1.54
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Where do they come
3	from, how'd they get a 300-million-dollar contract,
4	so I don't you know I'm it but, but within the
5	supportive housing community it's, it's different?
6	LAURA MASCUCH: I, I feel I feel like
7	it's a more finite universe in the supportive housing
8	community, it's not to say that other homeless
9	service providers or substance abuse providers would
10	not may be interested in the scattered site model
11	and apply but again I think the city would vet them
12	for prior experience.
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, thank you.
14	LAURA MASCUCH: Uh-huh.
15	KRISTIN MILLER: Okay, good afternoon.
16	Thank you for letting me testify today. I am Kristin
17	Miller, Director of the Metro Team for CSH,
18	Cooperation for Supportive Housing. We are a New York
19	based nonprofit that finances, provides expertise and
20	advocates for supportive housing. As everyone in this
21	room is aware developers scale considerable hurdles
22	to build supportive housing for people facing
23	homelessness in New York City, they must locate
24	available and affordable properties, cobble together
25	financing from the city, state and a myriad of other

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 155 2 sources, woe the community and line up special services needed by residents. Given these 3 4 considerable challenges it is imperative for each member of this council to become a champion of 5 6 supportive housing in your districts and 7 neighborhoods helping us to educate our fellow New Yorkers on the value that quality supportive housing 8 provides to everyone who lives, works and visits this 9 10 city. It is not easy being a proponent, there are a great number of myths surrounding affordable 11 12 supportive housing and nimbyism is a strong current to swim against, but the evidence is on our side, it 13 14 has been proven time and again that the only way to 15 truly address the needs of homeless individuals and 16 families is the strategic efforts to create more affordable supportive housing throughout the whole 17 18 city. And given the labyrinth developers must navigate supportive housing creation does not always 19 20 happen as quickly as we would like it to unfold, we've been hearing a lot today about it but I wanted 21 2.2 to note on thing that we were hearing earlier that a 23 15 year plan is not acceptable but I would argue that ... strongly that we do need a long term initiative 24 25 in order to put investors at ease with the risk of

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	156
2	developing supportive housing, they are putting
3	finances into these deals and they need to know that
4	there's a long term commitment to make it happen.
5	Mayor De Blasio has embarked earmarked additional
6	and substantial resources to create supportive
7	housing because he understands the challenges and the
8	solution, timing is becoming more of a consideration
9	as new avenues for the development of supportive
10	housing open up. As New York III has wound down we
11	are seeing greater and greater interest in accessing
12	the additional resources. For example, CSH is a
13	funder, acquisition funder for supportive housing, we
14	help developers and nonprofit providers get the money
15	they need to purchase these properties. Between the
16	years of 2012 and '15 as New York III was at it's
17	height we closed an average of 13 loans, loans per
18	year for an average of 17.7 million dollars then as
19	the initiative was winding down in 2016 we had a
20	dramatic decrease and only closed four loans for an
21	average of 100 and 11.8 million but since the New
22	York IV initiative, New York City 15/15 was agreed
23	upon we once again saw a great uptick and closed ten
24	loans total of an average 21.6 million dollars in
25	2017. So, we think that well there's a delay as been
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	157
2	talked about today in seeing units open the
3	development process takes two to five years and so
4	you have to look back and know that in 2018 and 2019
5	the numbers of units that will be opening is going to
6	surge given the amount of lending that we're doing
7	now. The only other thing I wanted to add is that I'm
8	a CAPS Co-chair of the Steering Committee, so I've
9	been working actually for three years with a large
10	number of stakeholders in New York City to develop
11	the CAP system in New York and as part of a national
12	team I can say that New York City has by far the most
13	sophisticated system developing for determining who
14	needs which housing and assessing vulnerability. So,
15	I just want to kind of give New York kudos in this
16	huge collaborative process that's been involved and
17	the outcomes I think are, are… if I may, I'm a bit
18	biased but far better than, than other places around
19	the country. Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. Quick
21	question on, on your testimony, a congregate project
22	deal, what's the breakdown so, this the
23	Commissioner said around or the Deputy Commissioner
24	said around 450,000 dollars per unit, what's the
25	

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 158 breakdown of the sources of that funding roughly, do 2 you know off the top of your head? 3 4 KRISTIN MILLER: It varies greatly ... 5 [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay... [cross-talk] 6 7 KRISTIN MILLER: ... the Chelsea has been brought up a number of times, the Chelsea has 17 8 different funding sources. 9 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. 10 11 KRISTIN MILLER: So, this is a 12 complicated process, it's federal, it's state, it's 13 city all different kinds of funding. 14 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. 15 KRISTIN MILLER: A major capital source 16 is low income housing tax credits. 17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, which have 18 been... which have been diminished in value over ... since the, the tax plan. 19 20 KRISTIN MILLER: Uh-huh, that's right. 21 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay and how much ... 2.2 do... just percentage wise is there a consistency in 23 terms of how much the city directs subsidy accounts for? 24 25

2 KRISTIN MILLER: No, I think ... I think part of what's complicated about all of this work is 3 that no deal looks the same, each deal is unique as, 4 as Miss Parks was talking about that it really varies 5 6 on location, on acquisition price, on population 7 served, if it's families, if it's singles, etcetera, 8 etcetera. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Thank you, 9 10 thank you so much. Oh, and one other thing just ... I think ... sorry, speaking about the, the, the Speakers 11 12 point about the, the ... a three-year plan or a fiveyear plan, I think he recognizes that it's important 13 14 to have consistency in a... in a 15-year plan but wants to know what the three year and five-year plan is so 15 16 that we're not lose... [cross-talk] 17 KRISTIN MILLER: Sure... [cross-talk] 18 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ... so that we're not punting to future administrations and we're kind of 19 20 taking ... as a measure of accountability for what we're doing, when we're doing and trying to see some, some, 21 2.2 some... [cross-talk] 23 KRISTIN MILLER: Yes, understandable we

24 need to... [cross-talk]

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...verifiable out...
3 [cross-talk]

4 KRISTIN MILLER: ...be tracking it as we go
5 along but really, I think the... what makes this New
6 York City initiative so strong is that long term
7 commitment from an investors perspective.

8 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Understood, yep. CAROL CORDEN: Okay, thank you for the 9 10 opportunity to testify today at this oversight hearing. My name is Carol Cordon Corden and I am the 11 12 Executive Director of New Destiny Housing, a 23-year old not-for-profit committed to ending the cycle of 13 domestic violence and homelessness by connecting 14 15 families to safe, permanent housing and services. We currently operate service enriched affordable housing 16 17 in the Bronx and Brooklyn for homeless domestic 18 violence survivors. My testimony today is about who is not served by New York City 15/15 and comments 19 20 from the perspective of an organization whose mission is to help victims of domestic violence achieve long 21 2.2 term safety and stability. Our image of homelessness 23 tends to be a homeless man on the street, but it could as easily be a young mother fleeing from 24 domestic violence with her children one is simply 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 161 more visible than the other. Victims of domestic 2 violence are a significant subset of the families who 3 make up almost 70 percent of residents using New York 4 City shelters. The 2017 HUD Point and Count... Point in 5 Time Count for New York City revealed that victims of 6 7 domestic violence were the third largest homeless sub population after individuals with mental illness and 8 substance abuse. There are also in the city's second 9 10 largest shelter system and that shelter system is ... has a state mandated length of stay of 180 days, most 11 12 leave at ... the system still suffering from the trauma 13 of domestic violence and homelessness and facing other life challenges which make housing stability 14 15 precarious. Homeless domestic violence survivors are 16 another word strong candidate for supportive housing, yet few will be served by New York City 15/15 because 17 18 they must meet two requirements to qualify. The first is chronic homelessness and the second is medical 19 20 disability. Because of the New York State mandated 180 maximum stay most survivors coming from the HRA 21 2.2 specialized domestic violence shelter system will not 23 meet the federal definition of chronic homelessness. In fact, according again to New York City's 2017 Hud 24 Point in Time Count fewer than ten percent of all 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 162 2 homeless families currently sheltered can meet that standard. The second eligibility requirement is 3 medical disability as determined by an interview 4 using the 2010 e-form administered by a medical 5 professional or a, a clinical masters with social 6 7 work, this label is a problem for families in general and for households headed by domestic violence 8 victims in particular. Low income homeless families 9 many headed by a single parent are vulnerable to 10 charges of neglect and abuse and for domestic 11 12 violence survivors the stakes are even higher. There are two ways to address this situation, one is by 13 14 making the eligibility criteria more appropriate for 15 homeless families and the other is by creating new 16 models to serve homeless families. New York City 17 15/15 has already created a priority for youth, a 18 homeless sub population which is not chronically homeless by using a more flexible screening process 19 20 similar accommodations could be made for vulnerable homeless families including those headed by domestic 21 2.2 violence. A second approach is to develop and fund 23 another model for homeless families, that model could be service enriched housing, a non-medical model with 24 voluntary services provided on site and through 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 163 2 referrals. This approach has been successful in helping families stay together, remain stable and 3 violence free. Right now, New York City 15/15 will 4 only address a small number of the users of homeless 5 6 shelters, some might argue that it does address the 7 most vulnerable and needy but how do we know this, what are the data that support this contention? For 8 this reason, New York New Destiny supports Intro 147, 9 we need to document who is and who is not being 10 served by supportive housing. Who can say that a 11 12 five-year-old living with a depressed mother fleeing 13 domestic violence in a hotel close to JFK airport is not as vulnerable and in need of support services as 14 15 a 67-year-old Vietnam veteran on the subway platform. This shouldn't be an either-or issue both need and 16 17 can benefit from supportive housing. I thank the 18 council on behalf of New Destiny for the opportunity to speak today. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much Miss Corden and I think that this is something that 21 2.2 we collectively need to be taking a look at and we

23 should not... just because the plan is, is underway we 24 should... we shouldn't shy away from amending it or 25 adjusting it and, and in... and in... as, as the needs

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 164 2 become more apparent so I, I thank you very much ... [cross-talk] 3 CAROL CORDEN: And I, I, I... [cross-talk] 4 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...for this testimony 5 6 and your work... [cross-talk] 7 CAROL CORDEN: ...think they, they have been adjusting the plan and so... [cross-talk] 8 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay... [cross-talk] 9 CAROL CORDEN: ...we would look for more 10 flexibility and hope that more families can in fact 11 12 be, be helped. 13 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great, I'll continue 14 to, to, to advocate for that and work with, with your 15 colleagues here at this table to try to make that a 16 reality. Great, thank you. Thank you so much to this panel. The next panel; Sandra Gresl from Mobilization 17 18 for Justice; Josh Goldfein from Legal Aid Society and Gisselle Routhier from Coalition for the Homeless and 19 20 Jamie Powlovich from Coalition for Homeless Youth. Powlovich, sorry Jamie. Is Jamie still here, alright. 21 2.2 Jamie... We'll call Jeff Nemetsky from Brooklyn 23 Community HHS and Services... HSS and Services. And 24 whoever wants to begin. 25

2 GISSELLE ROUTHIER: Good afternoon, thank 3 you for the opportunity to testify today. We've submitted joint testimony with Legal Aid and we will 4 5 be discussing that more briefly in our verbal comments. I want to mention you're all aware that New 6 7 York City remains in the midst of the homelessness crisis since the great depression. This was something 8 important that Commissioner Banks brought up 9 particular that the shelter census has been 10 stabilized. There's an important distinction I think 11 12 is, is really critical here that particularly for 13 families the shelter census has stabilized albeit at record levels but for single adults the shelter 14 15 census continues to go up week by week, month by 16 month. In February of 2018 over 16,750 homeless single adults slept in shelters or safe havens every 17 18 single night and just two months before that in December 2017 was the first time ever that we've even 19 20 surpassed 16,000 so at this rate we're likely to go over 17,000 homeless single adults in the next few 21 2.2 months so that's a real concern and something that's 23 very critical for this particular topic. And the 24 number of homeless single adults is ten percent 25 higher than it was last year and 140 percent higher

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 166 2 than it was a decade ago so we're facing a real crisis for single adults in New York City. So, for 3 4 that reason we really want to stress the urgency that 5 units be brought online as quickly as possible given the scale of the crisis particularly for single 6 7 adults and the severity of needs and we want to thank Speaker Johnson for emphasizing that urgency 8 particularly over the next few years to really 9 address this crisis but we also want to mention as 10 part of this overall topic the need to look at the 11 12 processes for applying for and being accepted to 13 supportive housing. So, as the need has increased, 14 and units have become scarcer we've encountered many 15 issues relating to application and eligibility and 16 placement processes for supportive housing. In 17 addition, DSS has implemented new policies in 18 response to HUD coordinated entry mandate that posed serious concerns regarding the allocation of 19 20 supportive housing units to those in need. For example, homeless applicants for supportive housing 21 2.2 are now assigned a vulnerability score as was 23 discussed based in part on the number of system contacts they have had posing in our view accuracy 24 25 problems for extremely vulnerable homeless New

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 167 2 Yorkers who are disengaged from government agencies so we, we thank that the Speaker and yourself for 3 bringing up that issue and I want to also mention 4 5 that it's not only one system contact that will... that 6 will make you a high vulnerability you have to have 7 three system contacts to make ... to categorize somebody as a high vulnerability so that's a concern in terms 8 of reaching folks who have not engaged with various 9 systems. And in particular the lack of an official or 10 impartial appeals process regarding eligibility and 11 12 vulnerability determinations is a concern for us 13 particularly as new policies are being rolled out 14 this year and we're thinking about the implementation 15 of CAPS etcetera to think about how, you know 16 advocates or clients themselves can appeal a decision 17 that they think is potentially not accurate and we 18 really think that's important to think about moving forward sooner rather than later and I'll, I'll 19 20 quickly finish up. And we've included more about the concerns we're seeing in our testimony, our written 21 2.2 testimony and more details about that. Just with 23 respect to Intro 147, we support the goals of this bill and we believe it would create an important 24 mechanism for the public and advocates to understand 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 168 2 the complicated and often opaque processes, processes of applying for and being accepted to supportive 3 4 housing. Additionally, we think it could provide 5 insights into more systemic problems that need 6 attention by DSS and we would appreciate an 7 opportunity to meet with the council staff to propose some language changes to make sure that that's most 8 effective. Thank you. 9 10 JOSH GOLDFEIN: Thank you Mr. Chair, I'm Josh Goldfein from the Legal Aid Society just as a, a 11 12 transition between us and our client, Coalition for the Homeless and I know that Coalition for Homeless 13 Youth couldn't stay but I know that one of their 14 15 major concerns is that much of the information that 16 is in the homeless ... runaway and homeless youth shelter system cannot be shared in CAPS in the way 17 18 that the Commissioner testified automatically uploaded into the system because there are different 19 20 confidentiality rules there and that's ... so that's another ... along with the people who I think you were 21 2.2 alluded to who don't have necessarily contacts with 23 the various agencies that will populate those forms that's another group who's information may not be 24 25 apparent in the system because it can't be shared

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 169 directly in the way that the Commissioner referred 2 to. I just also want to highlight some issues on 3 behalf of tenants, supportive housing tenants. You 4 5 talked about in, in, in talking to Commissioner Banks 6 in particular about the people coming in and, and, 7 and you went through some of the reasons that people are rejected for supportive housing, on the flip side 8 of that we see people who are ... who have disabilities 9 who are facing eviction as a result of their 10 disabilities because they're not getting the services 11 12 that they need and so they can end up in, in a eviction proceeding when the ADA or, or the rules for 13 14 the program would suggest that the, the provider 15 should be offering additional services to them and 16 not using the housing court as a solution to that problem that they're having with the client. We also 17 18 see people getting evicted because of the market, people who are already in place but now the ... in a 19 20 scatter site kind of placement but the landlord now believes that the market has risen since they rented 21 2.2 those units to the supportive housing provider and 23 they also believe that they have a way to get out of rent regulation because the units have been rented 24 25 for a non profit for a time putting aside whether

1	
T	170

they're right about that we see lots of cases being 2 brought in housing court naming only the provider as 3 4 the... as the... as the respondent and not the tenant, 5 the person who actually lives in the apartment and sometimes the supportive housing tenant has no idea 6 7 even that they've been sued so for these reasons we would advocate along with HPD I think agrees that the 8 tenant should always have a lease in their name and 9 10 we also have suggested an additional bill that you might consider that would make it clear to the 11 12 tenants what their rights are and, and not just that they have rights but information particular to their 13 apartment. You heard for instance that the 14 15 Christopher has I think 17 different funding sources 16 it's very difficult for the advocates let alone the tenants to figure out which rules apply to which 17 18 unit, what programs am I governed by, which New York/New York agreement am I in that kind of 19 20 information should be in the hands of the tenant and their advocates that they can sort out what, what 21 2.2 rights the tenant has and finally we'll just say that 23 as... you know we ... you also heard from the last panel that the market may make it impossible to meet the 24 targets that have been announced at least in the 25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	171
2	first round we also have concern for the rent
3	regulated tenants in the city of New York that a the
4	response… in, in the… in the effort to create enough
5	scatter sites supportive housing units that we do not
6	end up with a situation where landlords are
7	incentivized to evict current rent regulated tenants
8	and take those units, try to get those units out of
9	rent regulation by making them available as
10	supportive housing units so that's something that we
11	also need to exercise some caution about and, and
12	some vigilance. Thank you.
13	SANDRA GRESL: Thank you, Chair Levin and
14	the committee… [cross-talk]
15	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You have to red
16	light means… yeah.
17	SANDRA GRESL: Thank you. Thank you,
18	Chair Levin and the Committee, for the opportunity to
19	testify before you today. My name is Sandra Gresl and
20	I'm a Senior Staff Attorney in the Mental Health Law
21	Project at Mobilization for Justice. While every
22	project at MFJ serves people with mental illness
23	MFJ's mental health law projects specifically
24	addresses the civil legal needs of people with mental
25	illness across the five boroughs of New York City

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 172 2 hence we witness daily the power of supportive housing to positively transform and stabilize the 3 4 lives of our clients. My full statement on behalf of MFJ can be found in my written testimony but there 5 are just a few points that I wanted to emphasize 6 7 today. Firstly, MFJ supports Intro 147, MFJ shares the concerns raised by other advocacy organizations 8 that those who have higher support needs have more 9 often than not been screened out of housing versus 10 being screened into housing for some of the reasons 11 12 that Chairperson Levin referenced earlier; suicidal ideations, lack of insight into mental illness, 13 14 recent substance use, etcetera. There is a real need 15 for greater oversight of housing denials and a clear 16 administrative procedure to appeal denial ... application denial and housing placement denials. 17 18 Intro 147 is one important step forward in that direction. In addition, MFJ believes that the city 19 20 has a role to play in ensuring that tenants in supportive housing have the supports necessary to 21 2.2 maintain their housing. MFJ regularly provides legal 23 advice and representation in and out of housing court to tenants who face eviction from supportive housing 24 25 for small amounts of rent arears or lease violations

2 that may be directly related to the very disability that makes them eligible for supportive housing in 3 4 the first place. Because many of these programs are unlicensed by the New York State Office of Mental 5 Health OMH does not monitor evictions from scattered 6 7 site supportive housing units. Given this void city tracking is one way to put the city's commitment to 8 housing first principles into practice. In 9 Commissioner Bank's testimony earlier, he testified 10 or referenced 78 percent of people placed in 11 12 supportive housing maintain their housing after ... or, or continue to be stably housed after two years, we 13 14 are concerned about the remaining 22 percent; what 15 happened to them, where have they gone and what 16 supports, or measures could be put in place to prevent them from ending there. Lastly, supportive 17 18 housing residence should be provided the information that they need to understand and assert their rights 19 20 in supportive housing. As my colleague referenced many supportive housing programs operate through a 21 2.2 patchwork of different funding streams that can be 23 confusing for an advocate let alone a tenant to 24 untangle. We often receive calls from residents who 25 don't know who to contact for repairs, an adjustment

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	174
2	of rent or other services. Both scattered site and
3	congregate care supportive housing providers should
4	be required to provide incoming tenants at minimum
5	with written notice outlining the regulatory status
6	of the apartment or applicable regulatory schemes,
7	information about a tenant's right to habitable
8	housing, how to request repairs, protections against
9	harassment and discrimination including how to
10	request a reasonable accommodation. Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. Jeff I'm
12	going to get you a chair.
13	JEFF NEMETSKY: Oh, thank you. Great,
14	thank you again. Good afternoon, my name is Jeff
15	Nemetsky and I'm the Executive Director for Brooklyn
16	Community Housing and Services, a not for profit
17	supportive housing agency headquarter in Fort Greene.
18	I'd like to thank the General Welfare Committee and
19	Chairman Levin for organizing this important hearing
20	today and the members of the committee for being such
21	robust advocates for supportive housing both now and
22	throughout recent years. My organization, BCHS, was
23	founded in 1978 by a group of local clergies from
24	downtown Brooklyn and has committed to ending
25	homelessness in Brooklyn. We now serve nearly 1,000

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 175 2 formerly homeless and at-risk residents a year through a range of short term transitional and 3 permanent supportive housing and provide a continuum 4 of services. The creation of 1,500 units of new 5 6 supportive housing through NYC 15/15 will be the 7 solution for so many of those who are currently homeless and have a special need of one kind or 8 another. At BCHS each year more than 95 percent of 9 the formerly homeless individuals who come to us 10 successfully maintain their housing with our agency 11 12 or move on to even greater independence in the 13 community, so we know that this approach works. From 14 our perspective despite its challenges the New York 15 City 15/15 effort has been a real step forward in the 16 field, it has been guided by a series of 17 recommendations from a task force of supportive 18 housing experts and incorporates a vulnerability index to target housing to those most in need. 19 20 Options for populations not previously targeted by supportive housing programs in the past have, have 21 2.2 been created as well. Prioritizing the most 23 vulnerable people for permanent supportive housing as Mr. Chairman you have highlighted today, is not only 24 humane and compassionate but will also result in 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 176 2 substantial savings of public dollars. Let me give you two brief examples of why I think this targeting 3 is so important and holds so much promise. At BCHS 4 5 we've been running two demonstration programs for a very high need formerly homeless populations, the 6 7 first is individuals with significant histories of incarceration who are returning to the community and 8 the second is formerly homeless seniors. Over time we 9 had found that these two groups had more involved 10 circumstances than the general supportive housing 11 12 population, we're experiencing worst outcomes and we're utilizing expensive institutional care more 13 14 often. We raise private money to provide enhanced 15 services to both these groups and have found the 16 resulting positive change to be significant and quantifiable. In... for example, our program for 17 18 seniors has reduced the total number of days BCHS's older residents spend in the hospital each year by 90 19 20 percent. In our other demonstration program, we have had similar success, 90 percent of the individuals 21 2.2 with significant histories of incarceration 23 successfully maintained their housing with us each year or move on to greater independence and don't get 24 rearrested. In addition, we believe that the funding 25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	177
2	model for NYC 15/15 is a more realistic one than
3	we've seen in past supportive housing agreements and
4	will help agencies like ours provide better and more
5	targeted services to a greater number of high need
6	populations like the one I described. Like most
7	who've spoken here today we believe the main
8	challenge facing NYC 15/15 is the same one that faces
9	most New Yorkers, the high cost of real estate but we
10	are hopeful that over time with the thoughtful
10	participation of our government partners and the
11	
	council those challenges will be able to be addressed
13	as well. Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you Jeff. So,
15	I my I have a question that is kind of for
16	everybody Gisselle in the beginning of your testimony
17	you spoke about this rapid increase in the number of
18	single adults within the shelter system which is, you
19	know very alarming, you know looking at the graph
20	the coalition graph that, you know we see an
21	acceleration, you know and just since the time the
22	number has, has essentially doubled since the time
23	that I've been in the council so this is a question
24	for everybody, what do you think the driver, the main
25	drivers are of that and what are some of the policy

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	178
2	ideas that you would propose to, to, to reverse that,
3	you know with, with, with money not being an object,
4	I, I think… you know I think that with the situation
5	like what we're seeing we should not be nickel and
6	diming our approach here?
7	GISSELLE ROUTHIER: Absolutely, so
8	there's an array of drivers, I mean some of the, the
9	drivers that we've been made aware of more recently
10	and we've seen this not only through data but also
11	through our face to face monitoring out in the
12	shelters is there's has been a significant increase
13	in the number of people being paroled directly from
14	state facilities, state prisons paroled directly to
15	shelter in the absence of really proper discharge
16	planning and housing plan for people that have been
17	incarcerated so that's a huge concern and also
18	something that involves advocacy at the state level
19	as well. There is… we also have a pretty significant
20	portion of single adults that have very high medical
21	needs and mental health needs that are not being
22	properly addressed through the current access to
23	health care that they have and are thus sort of
24	leading to the… leading to being languishing…
25	languishing in shelter for longer so we see that. For

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	179
2	us we continue to push for the solutions that we know
3	work but aren't being implemented on a scale that we
4	need particularly for this population and so that's
5	the urgency of bringing on units for supportive
6	housing but also as we've been talking about and as
7	you mentioned as well during the course of this
8	hearing is a much greater percentage of the Housing
9	New York units being directed to homeless individuals
10	and families in shelters so both of those populations
11	and those units really could be effective at
12	addressing some individuals who don't need the full
13	you know full array of services provided by
14	supportive housing but the supportive housing is
15	going to be a big a big piece of this.
16	JOSH GOLDFEIN: I would I, I would just
17	add to that that we see a population that is, is
18	moving between three systems, the, the jails and
19	prisons, the mental health system and the shelter
20	system and the solution for that population is
21	supportive housing. We also see that the city has as
22	you've alluded to the ability to raise the targets
23	for the housing that it controls whether it's HPD or

24 the housing authority and could be using a more
25 aggressive approach even than they already are but

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 180 2 that ... because the two plans are, are integrated we have the, the housing side of city hall that does not 3 see this as its problem to solve and that could also 4 5 address this not to let the governor off the hook but that's another hearing. 6 7 JEFF NEMETSKY: Well I would agree certainly with my colleagues and I think, you know 8 obviously a lot of the things that we've heard here 9 10 today the, the affordability crisis and the lack of affordable housing and the lack of integration 11 12 between service systems at times certainly are big drivers of all of those things. I think we're all in 13 14 agreement that the creation of more supportive 15 housing, permanent supportive housing and supportive 16 housing that is developed with services that are appropriate to the different needs of different 17 18 populations some of which are going to be ... need a light hand, some of which are going to need more 19 20 intensive services but I think over time that's really the solution and I think really the effort is 21 2.2 to address the challenges of getting those things off 23 the ground and getting them off the ground swiftly which I think is, is what we would all hope for. 24

2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I, I greatly
3	appreciate this panel's insight, I you know it's,
4	it's a it's a it's all of those were those all
5	those conditions I'm sorry, were all those
6	conditions existing though prior to, you know 2008, I
7	mean because we've you know there was a between
8	2000 and 2008 when we were seeing, you know an
9	affordability crisis, you know gentrification was
10	happening in New York City during that time but, but
11	you know just so rapidly increasing since 2008, I'm
12	just… I… is there any… I mean those conditions that
13	you spoke about just… all those, those didn't exist
14	back then or [cross-talk]
15	GISSELLE ROUTHIER: I think some, some
16	important things have changed so we, we have actually
17	seen an increase in the number and percentage of
18	people being released directly from state prisons to
19	[cross-talk]
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That's a [cross-
21	talk]
22	GISSELLE ROUTHIER:shelter so that is
23	actually… [cross-talk]
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:major driver
25	[cross-talk]
l	

2 GISSELLE ROUTHIER: ...a, a driver that, 3 that has increased over that time period. We've also seen changes with the provision of medical services 4 in terms of Medicaid redesign and the access to 5 6 health for single adults throughout New York City 7 that could potentially be, be an issue with regards to access to proper series in order for people to 8 avoid the cycles that we've been talking about so 9 that's one thing to think about that has changed 10 certainly in that time span. In addition to the 11 12 overall conditions that we've seen, you know 13 throughout the housing market over the many years that continue to sort of drive everybody in the 14 15 shelter system, the source of, of, of how they are 16 becoming homeless so the affordability crisis etcetera but... [cross-talk] 17 18 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: There are some ... [cross-talk] 19 GISSELLE ROUTHIER: ...there are a few 20 things that we're aware of that have changed but 21 2.2 that's what we know. 23 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay and those are 24 state level issues, but we need to have some, some 25 advocacy down here around ... [cross-talk]

2 GISSELLE ROUTHIER: Yeah and I mean the 3 other thing that I think you, you know well is that 4 with respect to koshering for shelters, I mean the 5 state has pretty much capped their contribution to single adult shelter spending at a very minimal 6 7 amount so at this point the city is picking up the tab for like 90 percent of single adult shelter 8 system which is why you're, you're seeing the budget 9 10 mod so late in the year and why this increase should be of particular concern to the city because they're 11 12 spending the majority of the money to, to shelter 13 these folks... [cross-talk] 14 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah. Well thank, 15 thank you very much to this panel and you know we 16 have a lot of work I think to do together moving 17 forward so let's, let's continue to, to talk and do, 18 do whatever we can to advance sensible policy solutions. Thank you. The next panel; Nicole 19 20 Bramstedt from Urban Pathways; Brooke Taylor, Urban Justice Center and Rosa Jaffe from Urban Justice 21 2.2 Center as well. We can call ... sorry, we'll call more 23 people to this panel; Amy Blumsack from Neighbors Together, Amy, Amy? Alright, we'll leave it at that. 24

25 Whoever wants to begin.

2 BROOKE TAYLOR: Okay, my name is Brooke 3 Taylor and I'm a licensed Social Worker at the Urban Justice Center's Mental Health Project which is 4 focused on the needs of people with mental illness 5 6 for more than 20 years. We have over a decade of 7 experience in supportive housing applications. We thank the city council for convening this hearing on 8 NYC 15/15 and Intro 147 which we strongly support. We 9 would like to provide a couple of short examples that 10 illustrate our client's struggles in obtaining 11 12 supportive housing. Julie as a client who lacks any 13 support outside of social service agencies, she's a 14 foster care survivor struggling since aging out of 15 the system to secure stable and safe housing for 16 herself. Now 26 years of age, it is clear that she 17 has endured a lifetime of trauma including being 18 raped last year while trying to locate a warm place to sleep indoors for the night. She has multiple 19 20 serious mental health diagnosis which has often resulted in her hospitalization, incarceration or 21 2.2 placement into the shelter system. She has 23 encountered significant roadblocks in obtaining interviews for supportive housing and has given up 24 25 all hope. Joseph another client who is now in his

1 185 2 50's has spent most of his adulthood cycling in and out of psychiatric hospitals, homeless shelters and 3 4 jails; he's been diagnosed with multiple serous mental health disorders. Despite the clear need he 5 6 has never been able to obtain supportive housing, 7 when applying for supportive housing from a state psychiatric hospital he was rejected at interviews 8 because of his psychiatric symptoms and his 9 10 difficulty getting to appointments. Joseph was finally placed on a wait list for housing at one 11 12 agency but unfortunately the wait list seemed 13 indefinite and he is now living in terrible conditions in an adult home. Joseph like thousands of 14 15 other individuals living with serious mental illness 16 deserves a safe and supportive place to live to help him with his psychiatric challenges rather than 17 excluded him because of them. the city has been 18 piloting changes to the application process for NYC 19 20 15/15 and these changes may be extended to other sets of supportive housing in the future. Gathering data ... 21 2.2 gathering data on these changes and the process used 23 for New York/New York I, II, III is necessary to determine whether a significant number of people who 24 25 should be eligible are not obtaining supportive

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 186 2 housing and practice. Historically some of our clients who have the most severe psychiatric 3 conditions and who are most in need of supportive 4 housing have not obtained it because they have not 5 6 been able to successfully navigate the application 7 process. These clients rarely receive interviews, fare poorly in interviews and often do not have the 8 necessary contacts and all of the treatment services 9 they need. There lack of connection to treatment is 10 all the more reason why supportive housing is 11 12 desperately needed, the challenges caused by our 13 client's disabilities should not prevent them from 14 obtaining supportive housing. We all know many New 15 Yorkers with serious mental illness are not connected 16 to the system and are not receiving regular services. 17 These New Yorkers are much less likely to be 18 successful in the supportive housing application process being piloted since January for NYC 15/15. We 19 20 need greater transparency and we need to know what is happening in the supportive housing application 21 2.2 process in the aggregate. This information needs to 23 be more available to promote more fairness in the 24 system and end discriminatory practices. New York 25 City's management of the supportive housing

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 187 2 application process historically has not been as fair or transparent as it could be. Certain populations 3 4 such as LGBT youth on the streets have had greater 5 difficulty in the supportive housing application process. Rather than having to wait years for 6 7 anecdotes from advocates this bill will provide the council with the actual data from the application 8 process, this data will allow the council to monitor 9 whether the changes being made are effective. The 10 information provided by Intro 147 needs to be 11 12 available to allow for advocacy for those who would 13 otherwise fall through the cracks. We strongly support this bill and I thank the council on behalf 14 15 of the Urban Justice Center. 16 AMY BLUMSACK: Good afternoon, my name is Amy Blumsack, I'm the Director of Organizing and

17 18 Policy at Neighbors Together. Neighbors Together is a soup kitchen and community-based organization located 19 20 in Central Brooklyn, we serve over 10,000 unique individuals a year by providing hot meals, 21 2.2 individualized stabilizing services around access to 23 housing, benefits, legal clinics, psychiatric services and so on and then we also engage our 24 members in policy and organizing work. I want to 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 188 2 first thank, thank you and the committee for the opportunity to testify on Intro 147. Neighbors 3 4 Together is in support of this bill. So, I want to just first say that housing is the highest need of 5 the members who come to Neighbors Together, we took a 6 7 survey this year, in 2018 and over 40 percent of our members who come through our door report being 8 homeless or unstably housed and in the past four 9 fiscal years 50 percent of the people coming through 10 our empowerment program came seeking housing 11 12 services, 50 percent of whom were specifically 13 seeking supportive housing services. The need for 14 this bill exists, I just want to testify a little bit 15 about some of the experiences of our supportive 16 housing specialist. So, what, what we're finding 17 which was mentioned in some of the previous testimony 18 is that often times applicants are turned away for exhibiting symptoms of their mental health diagnosis 19 20 which is like contradictory to the very purpose of supportive housing in and of itself, yeah and 21 2.2 additionally at Neighbors Together we have dedicated 23 staff who work with our members who come through the door from collecting documentation to submitting the 24 2010e application and then there's a whole process 25

L	10	Ο
	10	9

2 where our supportive housing specialist work with our members to do advocacy in terms of getting interviews 3 and then help during interviews if needed and then 4 support after the interview process until the time 5 that that member is actually placed with their own 6 7 key to their supportive housing unit and it's still very difficult and we have a concern that given this 8 kind of like rejection of members or applicants to 9 10 supportive housing who are showing symptoms of mental health diagnosis that for people who are only getting 11 12 help submitting their application and receiving their eligibility determination that they're all going to 13 fall through the cracks, it's a very complicated 14 15 process and a lot of agencies who do the application 16 submission don't actually do the advocacy necessary to see people placed in a unit in the end so that's a 17 18 major concern that we wanted to highlight. And we want to just say that supportive housing as we all 19 20 know is a vital resource, so many low income New Yorkers cycle through homelessness, through the 21 2.2 shelter system, street homelessness, they live in 23 three quarter houses, they are people who have been incarcerated, who struggle with mental health and 24 sometimes need treatment for substance use disorder 25

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	190
2	or mental diagnosis and is a vicious cycle and
3	supportive housing helps put an end to that cycle.
4	So, we just want to say that we definitely support
5	Intro 147 and that now is the time for this bill as
6	these new units through NYC 15/15 start coming up. We
7	think its more important than ever that there's
8	greater transparency about who is getting access to
9	these units and who isn't and why. Thank you.
10	NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: Good afternoon, my
11	name's Nicole Bramstedt and I'm the Director of
12	Policy at Urban Pathways. Thank you to the General
13	Welfare Committee for holding this hearing, for
14	staying around and for the opportunity to testify
15	particularly on the 15/15 initiative. We're going to
16	talk about operating supportive housing including the
17	15/15 initiative. And the first part of my testimony
18	will and it elaborates in the text on the issues we
19	encounter with operating supportive housing including
20	the 15/15 initiative and as you alluded to in the
21	questions earlier in the hearing, a consistent
22	challenge we face is in operating supportive housing
23	is inadequate city investment that really complicates
24	our ability to best run our residences as, as they're
25	intended. We have… for… in the FY '19 budget there's

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	191
2	really two areas of human services; nonprofit
3	operations, we asked the council to look at and I, I
4	imagine that you've looked at this and addressed this
5	in the Contracts Committee hearing but it's… the
6	inadequate indirect in fringe rates as well the
7	escalating occupancy and insurance costs. The other
8	issue of inadequate city investment that I'm that I
9	elaborated on in my testimony is something that's
10	been repeatedly referred to today is the inadequate
11	operating rate for the 15/15 scattered site units.
12	For the 15/15 scattered site units according to the
13	RFP operating funding will not exceed fair market
14	rent at the time of contract signing with an
15	anticipated two percent annual escalator but FMR may
16	increase by more than two percent each year as it has
17	done from Fiscal Year '17 to '18, it increased 12
18	percent as the result, you know we're left with
19	making up the deficit or we have to, you know find
20	apartments find cheap apartments which are actually
21	in… you know often in locations that are far from the
22	transit and therefor far from support systems or we
23	double up as, as, as the Speaker referenced and
24	there's issues obviously with doubling up in terms of
25	roommate conflict and compromised wellbeing. The

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 192 other thing I wanted to address in my testimony is 2 while its really a cost effective housing option for 3 them those released from the state correctional 4 facilities may not be eligible for supportive housing 5 and this is due to the federal housing and urban 6 7 development definition of chronic homelessness particularly according to that definition those 8 released from 90 plus day stays at prison or jails 9 aren't chronically homeless and thus they don't 10 qualify for programs that, you know are funded with 11 12 HUD dollars or that base their eligibility on this. So, I make five recommendations in my testimony. One 13 14 is with regards to the FMR for the operating funding 15 is to adjust the operating funding for scattered site 16 units to the current fiscal year FMR so that way we don't have to deal with that deficit every year and 17 result in compromised outcomes for our tenants. Also 18 recommend in terms of continuing the task force, it's 19 20 a working group meeting regularly with members so that we ... they can address implementation issues. The 21 2.2 other issue in terms of the criminal justice to 23 ensure that they're eligible for NYC 15/15, corporation supportive housing report in 2016 justice 24 served for our treatment for formerly incarcerated 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 193 2 recommends that at least 15 percent of new city supportive housing for each special needs group; 3 single adults, families, and young adults be 4 dedicated to those with criminal justice histories. 5 6 Of course, we would maybe need to adjust that 7 percentage based on these new numbers we're getting in terms of individuals who are increasingly 8 constituting a feeder into the homeless services 9 10 system and they also have a good recommendation about connect... conducting an annual analysis of denials to 11 12 supportive housing based on criminal justice history. 13 Particularly we're seeing that's' a feeder, we know 14 that supportive housing works for them based on Fuse 15 and based on the justice involved supportive housing 16 pilot which we are happy to be a provider of and we 17 thank the committee for this opportunity to testify 18 and for holding this hearing and we are in agreement in terms of the ... having more hearings in year or even 19 20 quarterly. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Just as a, a follow 21 2.2 up to your testimony Miss Bramstedt with, with regard 23 to getting around the prohibition ... or the, the, the

24 90 day… [cross-talk]

NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: Yes... [cross-talk]

25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 194 2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ...you know not, not 3 counting as, as chronically homeless, is ... some ... is it possible that some of the... some of the units can be 4 entirely city tax levy funded and therefor not have 5 to rely on HUD definition or is that something that ... 6 7 is it ... can it ... because every unit is, is ... [cross-8 talk] NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: Based on what I've 9 10 seen... [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: ... created and funded ... 11 12 [cross-talk] 13 NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: ...that 15/15 bases eligibility on the federal definition of chronic 14 15 homelessness... [cross-talk] 16 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 17 NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: ...and I think there 18 would have to be a conversation with the city about whether that's still accurate but that's based on 19 what I've seen, and I've heard ... [cross-talk] 20 21 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 2.2 NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: ...you know the Fortune 23 Society has done a lot of work of this as is corporation for supportive housing and really 24 estimating that, you know maybe only ten percent of 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 195 2 frequent users of criminal justice in homeless services are going to eligible for supportive 3 4 housing. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh. I'm wondering 5 if there's A, advocacy that we could do on a national 6 7 level or federal level and you know there's sometimes... some, some criminal justice issues are 8 become... are becoming bipartisan issues and it's 9 possible that maybe there's a way to, to, to try to 10 get some bipartisan support on a federal level ... 11 12 NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: I think definitely 13 doing advocacy on the federal level as well as the state level in terms of trying to push back on this ... 14 15 [cross-talk] 16 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh... [cross-talk] 17 NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: ...and then also maybe 18 looking at alternate modes of funding... [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right... [cross-talk] 19 20 NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: ...you know like in the city of Los Angeles they don't ... they have a ballot 21 2.2 initiative and they raise the money that way and 23 therefore they're not obliged to follow the ... you know the federal definitions. 24

25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 196 2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, right or ... 3 [cross-talk] NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: And so there's ... we can 4 be creative in our funding... [cross-talk] 5 6 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure... [cross-talk] 7 NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: ...in terms of ... [cross-8 talk] CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: With entirely CTL we 9 10 can be entirely creative in how we... [cross-talk] NICOLE BRAMSTEDT: So, I think that's an 11 12 option too because as you know with particularly the current administration federally the federal advocacy 13 14 may not be a very, you know good ... best use of the 15 time. 16 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh, okay. Okay, 17 I'm certainly going to take these recommendations 18 make sure that the administration is seeing them and, and that Commissioner Banks is, is, you know taking 19 20 them under full consideration, really appreciate it and I appreciate all of the testimony here, thank you 21 2.2 for all the work that you all do day in and day out 23 which is, you know the ... not just the, the ... not just the, the, the work of policy and ideas but in the 24 25 work of, of implementation and the people and, and so

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	197
2	that's it's I mean its so essential and important
3	so thank you. Okay, I think we have one more panel;
4	Wendy O'Shields, Urban Justice Center; Peter Malvan,
5	with Safety Net Consumer, Peter Malvan, okay; Paul
6	Freitag, WSFSSH and Toac, Toac Kamatsu [sp?]. Final
7	panel, okay. Whoever wants to begin.
, 8	PAUL FREILAG: Good afternoon, my name is
9	Paul Freitag and I'm the Executive Director of the
10	West Side Federation for Senior in Supportive Housing
11	known as WSFSSH. I also served on the Mayor's task
12	force of supportive housing convened in 2016 which
13	recommended many of the elements incorporated into
14	NYC 15/15. For over 40 years WSFSSH has provided
15	housing in combination with social services to older
16	New Yorkers. Our early work was one of the models
17	used in the formulation of the components what is
18	now of what is now referred to as supportive
19	housing. Currently WSFSSH owns and manages over 2,000
20	units of supportive housing in of senior housing in
21	26 buildings on the West side of Manhattan, in Harlem
22	and in the Bronx. I would like to say that during our
23	40-year history we have seen a reduction in the need
24	for supportive housing but unfortunately that is not
25	the case, thousands of New Yorkers are languishing on
l	

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 198 waiting lists or on the street until more units of 2 supportive housing can become available. We are 3 extremely grateful to New York NYC 15/15 and we look 4 forward to working with the council and our agency 5 partners to execute on the plan. NYC 15/15 comes at a 6 7 specific moment in our city and states political history, prior to the announcement of the initiative 8 in 2015 the city and state had jointly developed 9 supportive housing under the apply named New York/New 10 York Agreements. Under New York/New York I, II, and 11 12 III programs WSFSSH developed almost 300 units of supportive housing. WSFSSH is currently stated into 13 use NYC 15/15 in our WSFSSH at West 108th Street 14 15 project will provide social service subsidy and rental assistance for 60 units of senior supportive 16 housing. We are truly grateful to the council and the 17 18 administration for allocating sufficient funding to provide the range and breadth of services that are 19 20 needed to help chronically homeless and vulnerable people stabilize their lives. A 2008 citywide study 21 2.2 conducted by the Furman Center found that single site 23 residences led to a higher than average property values. Additionally, congregate residences provide 24 community benefits including community, community 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 199 2 space that is available to local organizations, support for local businesses and partnerships with 3 4 health, safety and arts organizations. Single site 5 residences also create jobs for local residents 6 during both ... during ... both during and after 7 construction. Our WSFSSH at West 108 project provides an example of community enhancing benefits of 8 supportive housing. In addition to the housing units 9 this project will be providing a 5,000 square foot 10 community clinic that will provide affordable health 11 12 care to neighborhood residents. It will also provide 13 storage space for ambulances for a non-profit ambulance core. Additionally, the project will 14 15 include, include improvements to an adjacent 16 playground and will provide much needed community 17 meeting space. While land is expensive and creates a 18 challenge for developing new supportive housing residences the benefits that these residences bring 19 20 to the neighborhoods are many. As the council's aware supportive housing is, is not only the most ... one of 21 2.2 the most effective interventions for addressing 23 chronic homelessness it is cost effective as well. 24 According to the 2017 report from the New York State 25 Department of Health targeting people with high

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	200
2	Medicaid utilization for supportive housing reduces
3	substance use rehab admissions by 44 percent, reduces
4	
	inpatient psychiatric admissions by 27 percent and
5	reduces inpatient days overall by 40 percent. Based
6	on WSFSSH's 40-year history of developing and
7	operating supportive housing for seniors I can say
8	from a firsthand experience that supportive housing
9	provides a stable home and community for residents
10	for whom life on the streets could be the likely
11	alternative. We appreciate the council's abiding
12	interest in addressing homelessness in New York City
13	and its long-standing support of supportive housing.
14	WSFSSH looks forward to working with you to continue
15	to address the homelessness crisis and the critical
16	shortage of affordable housing in New York City.
17	Thank you for this opportunity to testify.
18	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.
19	PETER MALVAN: Okay. Okay, thank you for
20	allowing me to testify and I want you to read my
21	submission later but for right now I'd like to give
22	you a little history. In 1995 I was with the Mayor's
23	Advisory Council, from 1991 to 2010 I worked in
24	congregate supportive housing, I've also worked in
25	outreach to homeless people on the street and the

1 201 2 issue I want to present is oversight of housing, supportive housing. I've ... currently I'm the Co-chair 3 4 of the COCC's Consumer Committee and therefor I'm the 5 go to person when a person has issues with their 6 supportive housing. I've noticed that ... let me say, 7 the people that I've worked with the kind of don't let go of me and I don't let go of them so over the 8 years people have let me know everything happening 9 and I've advocated for people who feel they were 10 warehoused and actually since I don't have a license 11 12 to be at risk I've actually been able to manipulate, threaten, coerce agencies to deal with the fact that 13 14 people who have done programs, we have MRT programs, 15 we have all kinds of programs that people go through 16 when they have metal illnesses and it's ... when they're 17 introduced to the programs it ... the goal is for them 18 to be able to move on to less supportive housing and what's happened is in 1995 the plan was to put people 19 20 in high level supportive housing let them build their skills so that they could move to less supportive 21 2.2 housing and eventually to independent housing. The 23 stock has not kept up with that with supportive housing being, being able to deal with that goal and 24 25 what's happened is people have ended up in congregate

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	202
2	housing for much longer than the congregate,
3	congregate housing was licensed to operate and what
4	happens is people actually lose their motivation, get
5	sick, go to the hospital, come out of the hospital to
6	the same place and basically, they're raising a lot
7	of hell. I've found there were people forensic
8	histories, agency actually treat them as if they were
9	some subset of society that doesn't deserve and
10	which they're doing a favor, the money comes from the
11	federal government, the state and the city and the
12	money is actually allocated because the idea is that
13	people deserve a place to live, that human beings are
14	human beings and as much as I am supportive of
15	supportive housing I'd also like to request that
16	funding be set aside which you've actually mentioned
17	for extremely low income housing, that stock needs to
18	be expanded so that people can move from high level
19	to a lower level supportive housing and then to
20	independent housing because it's human nature to want
21	to do the best you can for yourself and this is just
22	coming from the consumer side, I, I understand the
23	business side is extremely complex and I understand
24	that the idea of scattered site housing seems to be a
25	little more difficult to attain but I think the idea

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 203 2 of expanding congregate housing since its permanent housing is for human spirit ridiculous and that's all 3 4 I have to say. 5 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much 6 Mr. Malvan. 7 WENDY O'SHIELDS: My name is Wendy O'Shields and I'm testifying as a housing and 8 homeless rights advocate and a member of the Safe ... 9 the Urban Justice Safety Net activist. I bring your 10 attention to the fact that the Department of Homeless 11 12 Services emphasis to house Department of Homeless Services single adults in independent placement is 13 14 almost nil. I'm going to skip this section in the 15 middle and go down to the line that starts with 16 additionally. The city of New York Department of Homeless Service single adult shelter residents need 17 18 the following for a thorough assessment for residence housing, supportive or independent. Number 11, a New 19 20 York State housing plan to be completed without hostility from DHS staff, see the attached New York 21 2.2 State housing plan. Number 12, DHS single adult 23 shelter residents have family, minor children, adult 24 disabled children or elderly parents, these family members will be discovered on the New York State 25

1 204 2 housing plan. There's a clearly defined section for family reunification. Number 13, the city of New York 3 4 Department of Homeless Services shelters operates 5 shelters, many of their nonprofit vendors operate 6 shelters and supportive housing in the form of SROs 7 and scattered site apartments. The majority housing options... the majority of housing options offered a 8 DHS single adult shelter resident is supportive 9 housing, DHS single adult shelter residents are 10 nearly 90 percent of the time pipelined from DHS 11 12 shelters to the nonprofit supportive housing vendor without an assessment for independent permanent 13 14 housing. Number 14, HUD, New York continuum of care 15 nonprofit shelter vendors typically house most DHS 16 single adults from the DHS shelters and DHS nonprofit vendors, vendor shelters to nonprofit supportive 17 18 housing. Often times the nonprofit vendors own both shelters and supportive housing, see the 2017 New 19 20 York CCoC NOFA awards results, it's in the packet. Number 15, HUD, New York Continuum of Care has three 21 2.2 categories for housing homeless; permanent housing, 23 transitional housing, supportive services only. The city of New York Department of Homeless Services and 24 their DHS nonprofit vendor shelters are not screening 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	205
2	DHS single adult shelter residents for independent
3	housing as outlined by HUD shelter supportive and
4	independent permanent housing funding. I thank you
5	for considering my suggestions in ensuring that the
6	DHS single adult shelter residents are assessed for
7	the supportive housing if this need is demonstrated
8	or independent permanent housing.
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much
10	Miss O'Shields.
11	TOAC KAMATSU: You and I have talked
12	repeatedly at various meetings, I brought it to your
13	attention that when Steven comes Steven Banks comes
14	into your room he lies to your face. I specifically
15	asked you what recourse you could take against him
16	for essentially committing perjury, he did that yet
17	again today while people like me had to waste our day
18	in this room. So, I guess can you… at what point can
19	you answer that question, how can you hold him
20	accountable for lying to your face while he's making
21	those statements under oath. Also the Commissioner of
22	HPD was in this room today, she was talking about
23	supportive housing, permanent housing but the problem
24	is HUD's definition, the federal agency, their
25	definition of permanent housing is that the tenant

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 206 2 needs a valid lease agreement in order to be in permanent housing so if I and other people in my 3 building don't have a valid lease agreement why is 4 HRA continuing to fraudulently claim that we're in 5 permanent housing when I've previously told you that 6 7 the landlord, Urban Pathways committed a bait and switch against the people living in that building 8 meaning we signed a valid lease agreement on February 9 16th of 2016 in HRA's offices at 33 Beaver Street 10 subsequent to that Urban Pathways gave us something 11 12 all ... entirely different. So, I raise the example of shopping for a car if you're shopping for a four-door 13 car and the dealer gives you a two-door car, that's 14 15 different, it's in... totally invalid. So, prior to 16 walking into this room today I also noticed that my building still isn't validly registered with HPD, I 17 talked to the Commissioner of HPD on March 28^{th} at 18 the Mayor's Town Hall she stated that she would look 19 20 into it ... look into that matter before she left this room today I tried to ask her about ... to get an 21 2.2 update, she refused to acknowledge my question. So, 23 in terms of accountability transparency if taxpayers are footing the bill for the people residing in that 24 building and people like me walk up to the 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 207 2 Commissioners and we don't get a straightforward answer, if you're a lawmaker can you hold them 3 4 accountable and how and I quess when. Also, a 5 representative from Urban Pathways was here. I actually testified against this proposed 10.6-6 7 million-dollar contract that HRA is mindlessly thinking about giving to them after I was assaulted 8 due to HRA's ... I should say Urban Pathways and the 9 HRA's fraud and negligence. As I told you before I 10 got 15 punches to my left temple on July 2nd of 2016 11 12 that caused me a concussion. So, if you have a business that's not complying with applicable laws 13 such that Navy veterans sitting in a chair in front 14 15 of you are telling you that yeah I did actually get 16 15 freaking punches to my left temple that caused me a concussion I was diagnosed with on July 30, 2016 17 18 and that concussion prevented me from being considered fairly for a job that would have paid me 19 20 450 dollars a day, at what point are you going to intervene but yeah, I mean bottom line is instead of 21 2.2 wasting your time can you please arrange a meeting 23 between you, me, Steven Banks and the Commissioner of HPD so that we can hash out our differences where I 24 guess through you I could submit questions, you could 25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 1 208 2 ask them and then when they lie to your face I could just civilly express to you that here's a question 3 4 that they answered that doesn't hold water. 5 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I, I don't know if I 6 can arrange a meeting but certainly there are 7 specific questions that, that ... I have your testimony here, are the questions in the testimony? 8 TOAC KAMATSU: You could use it to 9 10 formulate your questions. 11 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I'll have to go 12 through the testimony but I... obviously there's... I'll 13 have to go through it, I don't ... I don't know 14 regarding Commissioner Banks's testimony what you're 15 referring to in terms of ... [cross-talk] 16 TOAC KAMATSU: If you give me 24 hours I 17 can give you probably points that he lied about while 18 he was in this room. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I, I think you have ... 19 20 you have a ... an email address for me so if you want to send along an email I'll, I'll take a look at it ... 21 2.2 [cross-talk] 23 Thank you. TOAC KAMATSU: CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah. So, I want to ... 24 25 I want to thank the panel, I want to thank, thank you

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE
1	209
2	for the insight and for the dedication that you all
3	have for, for advocating for individuals that have
4	both gone through the, the shelter system, those that
5	are living in supportive housing, its incredibly
6	helpful to have the insight that you provided as part
7	of this panel and I look forward to working with you
8	all as we move forward to ensure that the system
9	continues to serve as many New Yorkers as possible
10	and as effectively as possible so I want to thank you
11	very much for that time.
12	WENDY O'SHIELDS: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And, and with that is
14	there any other individuals that would like to
15	testify today? Seeing none at 5:34 p.m. this hearing
16	of the General Welfare Committee is adjourned.
17	[gavel]
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date

May 19, 2018