CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTIONS

April 12, 2018 Start: 1:18 p.m. Recess: 3:32 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway-Committee Rm. 16th Fl.

B E F O R E: COSTA G. CONSTANTINIDES

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

RAFAEL L ESPINAL, JR.

STEPHEN T. LEVIN CARLOS MENCHACA

DONOVAN J. RICHARDS

ERIC A. ULRICH KALMAN YEGER

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Dan Zarrilli

Mayor's Senior Director for Climate Policy and Programs and the City's Chief Resiliency Officer

Mark Chambers

Director of the Mayor's Office of Sustainability

Jainey Bavishi

Mayor's Director of Recovery and Resiliency

Amy Peterson

Director of the Mayor's Office of Housing and Recovery Operations which Manage the Build it Back Program

Gabriella Velardi Ward Resides Across the Street from Graniteville Wetland Forest

Rachel Eve Stein

Deputy Director for Sustainability and Resiliency At the Center for New York City Neighborhoods

Isabelle Silverman

Senior Fellow at Environmental Defense Unit

Catherine McVay Hughes

Co-Chair of New York Rising Community Reconstruction Program for Southern Manhattan

Judith Weis

Professor of Merida at Rutgers University, Marine Biologist

Buck Moorhead

Board Member with New York Passive Houses

Diana Switaj

Director of Planning and Land Use at Manhattan Community Board One

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Lisa DiCaprio
Professor of Social Sciences at New York
University

Patrick Houston Climate and Inequality Campaigns Organizer at New York Communities for Change

2

[gavel]

3

4

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright,

good afternoon, my apologies for being late but could not be helped due to our commute to say. I am Council Member Costa Constantinides, Chair of the Committee on Environmental Protection. I want to recognize I have two members of our committee here today, Council Member Rafael Espinal and Council Member Kalman Yeger both from Brooklyn, thank you both for being here. Today the committee will hold oversight on the mission work and accomplishments of the Mayor's Office of Sustainability and the Office of Recovery and Resiliency. With the work of these two offices the Mayor has made it clear that ours is a city constantly working to stay ahead of the climate change curve and we do this by addressing growth and income inequality at the same time that we address sustainability. At today's hearing we'll hear from the administration about the progress made in advancing this work to date. The New York City Mayor's Office of Sustainability, MOS works to improve the quality of life for all New Yorkers and to protect the common environment by ensuring the city has clean air and surface waters, green streets

and is moving city residents towards zero carbon and
zero waste goals. MOS works to reduce NYC's impact on
climate change by implementing strategies to limit
green house emissions from the city's transportation,
energy, waste and building sectors. The Office of
ORR, Office of Recovery and Resiliency was
established in 2015 by our Mayor De Blasio to lead
this effort to build a stronger more resilient. New
York guided by scientific data and analysis of the
New York City panel on climate change, ORR works to
ensure that NYC's communities, economy and public
services can withstand and combat the impacts of $21^{\rm st}$
century threats such as climate change. This work
spearheading our resiliency program with about a 20-
million-dollar budget. Together MOS and ORR play a
significant role in the city's effort to mitigate,
adapt and recover from climate change. The offices
are guided by and oversee several city initiatives
including the One NYC plan and its related greenhouse
gas reduction and climate resiliency goals along with
the efforts to recover and rebuild from super storm
Sandy. One NYC is Mayor De Blasio's update of the
previous administration's Plan NYC when NYC is
divided into four sections or visions each with a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

theme of growth, equity, sustainability and resiliency. Today's hearing will focus on the latter two visions of sustainability and resiliency. New York City is responsible for one percent of the green gas emissions in the entire nation. We have taken a number of aggressive steps to advance the goals enumerated in One NYC, New York City passed my Local Law 66 of 2015 which requires the city to reduce citywide greenhouse gas emissions 80 percent by 2050. While many steps have been taken to reduce green house gases and improve sustainability we still have a lot of work to do and on some things, we've committed have not been yet completed. At a minimum climate change education and community partnerships need to be strengthened. When NYC puts forward initiatives towards achieving 80 by... our 80 by 50 goal, one is to develop the near term local actions and long term regional strategies to reduce green house gas emissions in the power sector, the second initiative is to develop a mode shift action plan to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the transportation sector, the third initiative is to build up zero waste to reduce green house gas emissions from the solid waste sector and the fourth initiative is to

continue implementation of one city built to last to
reduce green house gas emissions from buildings, 30
percent by 2025 which is not far away as, as we feel,
right, about seven years away to try the long term
path from away from fossil fuels. Buildings
including fuel heating oil, natural gas, electricity,
steam, biofuel are responsible for over 70 percent of
our citywide green house gas emissions. Given this
and the vast and the vast majority of existing
buildings are expected to remain well beyond 2015,
the city's stock of 1,100,000 buildings represent the
greatest potential source of citywide green house gas
emissions is indisputably necessary for the city to
reduce emissions from the building sector. Six years
ago, the council enacted the greens buildings law
effecting over five 50,000 square feet, now that
legislation needs to be strengthened to accelerate
retrofitting of large buildings which we are working
on. Those measures would undertake and will make the
city a national sustainability leader and keep the
city's promises to future generations to reduce green
house gas emissions and protect our earth, you know
this I really look at this in, in two parts, right,
I think we've talked about this together, this is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we're going to be looking at the promises we've made to those who were affected by climate change and, and hurricane Sandy, we're going to make sure today, we're going to talk about those promises and how we're keeping them and making sure that as we move forward those promises are kept. And in looking to future and saying what is our resiliency and sustainability goals for the future, how do we make sure as climate change affects us whether it's heat, whether it's another catastrophic event, whether it's just... you know every day is a little bit ... every time it rains there's just a little bit more flooding in Southeast Queens, an extra inch, those inches add up and how are we going to be pro-active as a city on these particular issues together. So, I'm looking forward to hearing that testimony today not only what we've done but how we can think about our sustainability plan and our resiliency plan for the future as we know climate change is going to impact the city in different ways and you've all... we've talked about these issues together. So, I look forward to having this back and forth conversation. Alright, no one has come yet, so I will... I will at this time... we're... let's hear from the administration.

So, we have... so we have Eric Ulrich also here from Queens, Council Member Eric Ulrich. So, we have... I'm just going to let you all introduce yourselves, Samara's going to swear in the administration panel and then we'll take your testimony, thank you.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Would you please raise your right hands, do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth today?

DAN ZARRILLI: Yes.

MARK CHAMBERS: Yes.

DAN ZARRILLI: Over to us?

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Yes.

DAN ZARRILLI: Great. Good afternoon, my name is Dan Zarilli, I'm the Mayor's Senior Director for Climate Policy and Programs and the City's Chief Resiliency Officer. I want to thank you Chairperson Constantinides and members of the committee for this opportunity to speak about the progress that the De Blasio administration has made as a global leader in the fight against climate change. Today I'm going to briefly describe the city's actions to address climate change, a description of the team that leads the city's climate program and an overview of the

three main themes of our current work before turning
it over to my colleagues. First a bit of history, New
York City first formed an office of long term
planning and sustainability in 2006 to develop a
strategic plan that included climate action for the
first time, that plan known as Plan NYC was released
in 2007 and shaped the city's actions to address
future threats in a number of ways. In October 2012
the impacts of hurricane Sandy brought home the
reality that climate risks were much more immediate
than many had thought, and the risks were not limited
to hurricanes; rising seas, more heat, stronger
storms threaten us as well. In response in June 2013
the city released its first comprehensive climate
resiliency plan to supplement its climate actions and
set forth a detailed risk assessment and new
initiatives launching an over 20-billion-dollar
program to prepare New York City for a future with
climate change. When the De Blasio administration
came into office that legacy informed our work and we
knew that we had to expand on it as well. In April
2015 the administration released a groundbreaking One
New York, the plan for a strong and just city that we
call One NYC, a strategic plan for inclusive growth

and climate action. One NYC supported by our
partnership with 100 resilient cities addressed the
challenges that we face as a city with growing
population and inequality crisis, aging
infrastructure as well as the risks of climate
change. What was previously known as the Office of
Long Term Planning and Sustainability is now
operating as the climate policy and programs team
charged with delivering the sustainability and
resiliency portions of One NYC with a new focus on
equity. Today we're continuing to deliver on these
commitments from One NYC, the team with direct
reporting to the First Deputy Mayor is leading the
administration's efforts to deepen our climate work,
fill the void of leadership left by Washington D.C.
and deliver results for New Yorkers. Our team's
current climate actions can best be summed up in
three themes; sustainability, resiliency and
accountability. Our climate mitigation or
sustainability work is focused on reducing our own
contribution to climate change by cutting our
greenhouse gas emissions as quickly as possible, this
work is led Mark Chambers in the Mayor's Office of
Sustainability the mandate of which is to make New

York City the most sustainable big city in the world
and a global leader in the fight against climate
change. To accomplish this goal the MOS team is
working to keep the city on track to meet our goals
of reducing greenhouse gas emissions 80 percent by
2050 or what we call 80 by 50, an effort that we
recently accelerated to align with the Paris
agreements 1.5 Celsius stretch goal. We've already
achieved the 15 percent reduction getting to the 80
by 50 means making our buildings, the largest sources
of GHG's in the city much more energy efficient,
expanding renewable energy options, sending zero
waste to landfills by 2030 and improving our air
quality. Mark's going to speak a lot more about this
work. Our climate adaptation or resiliency work
focuses on adapting the city to the risk of climate
change such as rising seas, more frequent and intense
storms and extreme heat. This work is led by Jainey
Bavishi in the Mayor's Office of Recovery and
Resiliency. ORR specifics mandates to ensure that the
city's neighborhoods, economy and public services are
prepared to withstand and emerge stronger from the
impacts of climate change and other 21st century
threats. To accomplish this goal the ORR team is

working with many agencies to deliver on the city's
over 20-billion-dollar investment program in
institutionalizing resiliency into city operations
more broadly and Jainey's going to get into this in
a in a bit more detail. And finally, New York City
is bringing this fight straight to the fossil fuel
companies that cause this climate crisis in first
place. With their decades long campaign of deception
and denial about the risks caused by burning fossil
fuels and we're doing this is two ways, we're
divesting our pension funds of approximately five
billion dollars in fossil fuel reserve owners by 2022
and we filed suit against five investor owned fossil
fuel companies; Exxon Mobile, Chevron, Conoco
Phillips, BP and Shell. Most response these are the
companies most responsible for climate change and,
and we're seeking damages to pay for preparing the
city for the impacts of climate change. All this work
not only benefits New Yorkers it also serves as a
model to other cities around the nation and around
the world. Through networks such as the C40 climate
leadership group, 100 resilient cities and others
were demonstrating the ways in which we can combat
climate change in working with other cities to scale

2.2

up effective solutions. As you'll continue to hear
today this team is making significant strides across
the entire administration and in partnership with the
city council and many stakeholders on the necessary
actions to prepare New York City for the future.
We've achieved much, we've been recognized with
significant awards and yet we have so much more to do
before we'll ever be satisfied. I'd like to thank the
council and the members of the Environmental
Protection Committee for your close partnership and
shared commitment to our goals, we look forward to
continuing our work with you as we build a more
sustainable and resilient New York City. I'll turn it
over to Mark Chambers.

MARK CHAMBERS: Thank you Dan. Good
afternoon, my name is Mark Chambers, I'm the Director
of the Mayor's Office of Sustainability. Similar to
my colleagues I want to thank Chair Member...
Chairperson Constantinides and the members of this
committee for the opportunity to discuss the work of
MOS. The council in this committee have been
invaluable partners over the years so again we thank
you very much. MOS's charge is to ensure New York
City is the most sustainable big city in the world,

our work at MOS is grounded in the belief that
environmental sustainability, environmental justice
and economic sustainability for our residents must
work hand in hand. We recognize that to become the
most sustainable big city in the world we have to be
conscientious about [clears throat] excuse me of
the resources we consume and the structures we build
and where we build them. We have to act with urgency
while innovating because of the unique conditions of
our density in our island home and most importantly
we recognize we have to do things on a bolder scale
than ever before because the challenges are greater
than ever before. As Dan mentioned we've known for
years that we have to address the existential crisis
of climate change. Across the globe greenhouse gas
emissions are growing at an unprecedented rate
causing a rise in the average global temperature and
changes to climate patterns. The hurricanes that
devastated the gulf and the Caribbean and the
wildfires in the American West have showed us the
terrible cost of our warming planet. We had hoped we
could depend on Washington for leadership but sadly
we cannot, President Trump's decision to pull the
United States out of the Paris climate agreement last

year simply put was a failure in moral leadership on
one of the most significant challenges facing
humanity. Even before the failure in Washington we
under we understood the risks posed by climate
change and we were taking action to reduce our
emissions 80 percent by 2050 than in June of last
year Mayor De Blasio signed executive order 26
committing New York City to the principles of the
Paris agreement and it's stretch goal to limit global
temperature rise to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Hundreds of
other U.S. cities and institutions followed suit
sending a profound single to the world that the
majority of Americans will not retreat from this
exponential fight. The success of Paris agreement
hinges now more than ever on the involvement of
cities like New York to put their resources, their
innovation and their leadership into play. Please
allow me to briefly outline some of the progress that
the De Blasio administration has made on the
sustainability front. I'm sure as I'm sure Jainey
will reiterate her remarks everything you'll hear
today about our accomplishments is the sum of efforts
by numerous city agencies, community organizations
and advocates as well as private and philanthropic

partners. So, onto our progress. Our greenhouse gas
emissions are down significantly, since 2005 GHGs
have decreased citywide by approximately 15 percent
despite significant growth of the city's population
and economy. Per capita GHG emissions in 2015 was an
average of 6.1 metric tons of carbon dioxide
equivalent per capita, significantly lower than
average American's 19 metric tons per capita. Part of
this could be attributed to the nearly 500 million
dollars the city is spending on energy efficiency in
private buildings. Our buildings are greener, the
energy used in the city's building stock is the
largest contributor to GHGs. The city is cutting
greenhouse gases in its own buildings by investing in
high value energy efficiency projects. These projects
are expected to yield more than 67 million dollars in
avoided annual energy costs and approximately 176,000
metric tons of avoided GHG emissions, the equivalent
of taking almost 38,000 cars off the road. The city
has also contributed roughly 16 million dollars for
energy efficiency projects in private buildings. This
year the New York City retrofit accelerator launched
a new high performance retrofit tract to assist
private buildings with retrofits over the next ten to

15 years which are expected to reduce GHGs 40 to 60
percent and NYC carbon challenge is working with more
than 100 companies and organizations to have
voluntarily committed to reducing their GHGs 30 to 50
percent. To date participants have cut emissions by
close to 600,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide and
are collectively saving nearly 190 million dollars in
annual… annually in, in lower energy costs. Solar
installations have increased have increased six-fold
since Mayor De Blasio took office. Part of this
increase is a result of solarize NYC, our program to
expand access to clean, reliable and affordable solar
power for all New Yorkers by reducing market barriers
for solar and by attracting more solar energy
companies to the city. Solarize NYC has active
campaigns in Harlem and Brownsville with more
partnerships on, on the way. The first official
campaign was announced in 2017 and featured solar
campaign partnership with WE ACT for environmental
justice called solar uptown now which centered in
which we centered in Harlem. More recently solarize
Nehemiah launched a group purchasing campaign for
rooftop solar for the Nehemiah homes in Brownsville,
Brooklyn. We're rapidly expanding access to electric

vehicles or EVs. In 2015 the city decided to lead by
example with the launch of the NYC Clean Fleet which
included the commitment to create the largest
municipal electric fleet in the United States with a
goal of cutting municipal vehicle emissions in half
by 2025 scaling up to an 80 percent reduction by
2035. By the end of 2017 the city had already
procured 1,030 out of 2,000 electric vehicle sedans
it committed to integrate into the fleet by 2025. In
2017 Mayor De Blasio announced the city's ambitious
goal of having electric vehicles comprise 20 percent
of new vehicle registrations by 2025. To support this
goal the city has invested in creating EV fast
charging hubs to be developed in collaboration with
Con Edison, these fast charging hubs will be scaled
up to a total of 50 locations citywide by 2020 and
accompanied by 100 curbside parking spots to provide
access to multi-hour charging. For sending less waste
to landfills than ever before, organic waste like
food scraps, soiled paper, and yard waste generate
methane gas, a harmful greenhouse gas and this waste
accounts for one third of everything New Yorkers
throw away. e-waste in our landfills leach heavy
metals and can comprise can compromise our

ecosystem. To address this the city's organics
collection program is now the largest in the country
serving more than 3.3 million residents and our e-
waste program has recycled more than 15 million
pounds of electronic waste since 2015. New York
City's air is the cleanest in five decades, New York
City's air quality is the cleanest it has been in 50
years but we're still redoubling our efforts to
ensure our air gets only cleaner by helping buildings
choose cleaner energy sources though our green
buildings and solarize NYC programs. Over the course
of the year we will continue to act with urgency and
boldness in our effort to make New York City the most
sustainable big city in the world, but our success
depends in a large part on deepening our partnership
with the council and this committee. We are
interested in working with the council to pass energy
efficiency mandates as was discussed earlier for
large buildings and we're exploring ideas to further
expand access to solar and to electric vehicles as
well as reducing single use plastics. In conclusion I
would like to thank the committee for the opportunity
to discuss MOS's portfolio and the progress we've
made in ensuring that our air is cleaner, our energy

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

is greener and that we send less waste to landfills.

Fulfilling our climate agenda is no easy task and we look forward to deepening our partnership with the council in that effort. I will now turn the floor over to Jainey Bavishi to update the committee on the

7 city's resiliency work. Thank you.

JAINEY BAVISHI: Thanks Mark. Good afternoon. I am Jainey Bavishi the Mayor's Director of Recovery and Resiliency. I want to thank Chairperson Constantinides and the members of the committee for this opportunity to speak about the work and the accomplishments of the Mayor's Office of Recovery and Resiliency and the complimentary role my office plays to MOS's climate sustainability work. Five years ago, hurricane Sandy devastated New York City with unprecedented force, it was the worst natural disaster we've ever faced made worse by climate change. As we assessed the damage it was clear that we could not just plan to simply recover from the storm, instead we used that moment to not only address the risk of another Sandy but to broaden our approach to the chronic risks of climate change. In May of 2014 Mayor Bill De Blasio established ORR to lead the effort to build a stronger more resilient

New York. ORR spearheads an over 20 billion-dollar
One NYC resiliency program to ensure that the city's
neighborhoods, economy and public services will be
ready to withstand and emerge stronger from the
impacts of climate change. Since its creation ORR has
been at the forefront of the global resiliency
movement that is changing the way cities respond to
climate change. As Dan mentioned in April of 2015
Mayor De Blasio released One NYC, not only was the
document groundbreaking in it's focus on becoming the
fairest city in America it was also the first
resiliency plan of any city on the planet. Guiding
the city's resiliency agenda is the administration's
commitment to use the best available science to
inform policy. The New York City panel on climate
change, an independent body of leading climate
scientists advises the Mayor on the latest localized
climate change projections. Because of the increases
in global, global temperatures as a result of the
burning of fossil fuels and other greenhouse gases
the NPCOMMITTEE CLERK projects that by the 2050's
average New York City temperatures are projected to
increase by 4.1 to 5.7 degrees Fahrenheit. Annual
precipitation is projected to increase between four

and 11 percent and sea levels are projected to rise
between 11 and 21 inches and that is on top of a foot
of sea level rise that we have already witnessed
since 1900. What this means is that extreme events
like flooding and heat waves are becoming more
frequent and more intense and a similar Sandy like
event in 2050 could cause 90 billion dollars in
damage compared to Sandy's 19 billion. With these
climate facts in mind something that is short in
short supply in Washington we're making bold and
innovative investments in preparedness and
resiliencies that make sense for today and tomorrow.
As additional changes in the climate began to
materialize and sea level rise accelerates different
options might become more practical or perhaps even
absolutely imperative. That's why we're investing in
such a way so as not to preclude future actions we
may need to take at climate as climate risks evolve.
I'd like to briefly describe the city's progress with
our One NYC resiliency plan, comprised of a multi-
layered approach to neighborhoods, buildings,
infrastructure and coastal defense. Needless to say,
our resiliency work is the, the… our resiliency work
to date is the product of a massive team effort let

out of the Mayor's Office and implemented by nearly
every city agency and includes state and federal
agencies as well as myriad of community organizations
and private and philanthropic partners. Our city is
safer and more resilient than it was before hurricane
Sandy and much more is coming. Our neighborhoods are
more resilient, tens of thousands of households are
benefiting from investments in single family, multi-
family and public housing stock. Building and zoning
codes have been upgraded, every school damaged during
Sandy was up and running in record time and we
continue to make significant progress in making our
schools more resilient. We provided 54 million
dollars to hundreds of local small businesses to
assist in their recovery from Sandy and launched
business prep and Rise NY NYC to support their long-
term resiliency. And last year we released Cool
Neighborhoods NYC, a comprehensive strategy to
mitigate the drivers of extreme heat and protect the
most vulnerable New Yorkers from the impacts of
extreme heat. Our buildings standards are smarter, we
upgraded the city's building codes including 16 new
local laws to account for vulnerabilities related to
extreme weather and climate change. Additionally,

FEMA in partnership with the city is drafting new
more precise flood insurance maps that will more
accurately communicate flood risks and keep premiums
affordable. The city is also working with FEMA to
create a second map product, product reflecting
future conditions that account for climate change,
this will assist us in making coastlines more
resilient and climate ready while keeping flood
insurance affordable for those who need it. Our
infrastructure is better protected, this includes
upgraded traffic infrastructure, hard in
telecommunication systems, new green infrastructure
and we continue to fortify our wastewater treatment
plants all of which ensure vital public services
continue during and after emergencies and DEP
investments ensure uninterrupted access to high
quality drinking water including a new backup water
syphon in Staten Island. We've also released
preliminary climate resiliency design guidelines
which provide direction to engineers and designers on
how to incorporate resiliency considerations into all
capital projects. Our coastal defenses are being
implemented and our storm water management efforts
and stronger, this includes a new Rockaway Boardwalk

with integrated coastal protections, completed T
groins and sea gate and nearly ten miles of new dunes
across the Rockaway Peninsula and in Staten Island.
Construction is underway on new sewer infrastructure
in Southeast Queens and expanded blue belts in Stater
Island to reduce the impacts of flooding and we're
looking forward to breaking ground on the 760-
million-dollar Eastside Coastal resiliency project
next spring. Over the course of 2018 the ORR team
will continue building New York City's resilience for
the impacts of climate change, this city has some of
the brightest and most dedicated people working
everyday on behalf of our residents, but we can't do
it alone, so much of what we do demands on the
experiences of communities, communities directly
affected by climate change as well as local and
global resilience experts. Our success also depends
on our partnership with the council and this
committee to help foster a culture of resilience in
New York City, one that is grounded in the lessons of
hurricane Sandy but is ultimately geared to
addressing the risks the broader risks of climate
change that we face. For example, how we manage storm
mater and how we use land will be critical to how we

weather future storms. I look forward to having these
conversations with the council. As I conclude my
testimony I want to thank this committee for this
opportunity, building urban resilience in the age of
climate change is a long-term process, we we'll
always need to innovate and adapt to account for
changes in rising temperatures and seas. Success will
look different at different points in our future, but
it will always demand democratic partnership and
collaboration across actors at all levels of society.
We thank the committee for its dedication to this
issue and look forward to working with the council as
we continue to protect our city from the risks of
climate change. I will now turn the floor over to Amy
Peterson from the Housing Recovery Office to update
the committee on the progress of the build it back
program and the city's housing recovery efforts.

AMY PETERSON: Hi, thank you. Good afternoon, noon Chairperson and members of the Committee on Environmental Protection. I'm Amy Peterson, I'm the Director of the Mayor's Office of Housing and Recovery Operations which manages the build it back program. Thanks for inviting me to testify today. Through the city's hurricane Sandy

housing recovery program build it back the city has
prioritized helping homeowners remain in their
affordable long-standing waterfront communities,
ensuring these New Yorkers have the resources
necessary to recover and make their homes and
communities more resilient. Within the city's hardest
hit waterfront communities build it back is
rebuilding and elevating approximately 1,375 homes to
today's stringent regulations for flood compliance,
another 6,675 homeowners with moderate Sandy damage
have been assisted with repair and reimbursement
helping neighborhoods that were not in the flood
plane when Sandy hit and homeowners who did not have
flood insurance. Approximately 250 homes are being
acquired through a combination of buy out and
acquisition programs. Build it back provided multiple
ways for homeowners to repair and rebuild their homes
including the direct management of construction
projects by the city, city managed construction. Over
the last few years the city has brought on additional
resources to ensure that we can get this work done
from partnering with the building and construction
trades unions to expand construction capacity in 2015
to adding our new modular program in 2017. As a

result of this concerted effort the city has
completed 97 percent of the city managed construction
projects and 90 percent of all construction projects
including the homeowner managed construction. We are
working on the last elevations and rebuilds including
the new modular program, an innovative program
expending contractor capacity and speeding the
duration of construction for each home, community-
based projects including groups of attached homes in
Coney Island and new infrastructure in Sheepshead Bay
Courts and our most complex and challenging
elevations and rebuilds throughout Queens. Overall
build it back through it's single family programs
helping 8,300 homeowners and landlords of one to four
unit homes housing a total of 12,500 families, build
it back has served over 99 percent of these
homeowners by starting construction, reimbursement
for repairs or acquisition of their homes. For 93
percent of those homeowners we've completed
construction, reimbursement and acquisition. We've
distributed over 133 million dollars in checks to
over 600 6,100 families. Through our construction
partner HPD we've accelerated relief to multifamily
households benefiting more than 19,600 households and

143 developments through repair resiliency and
reimbursement services. Funded by the US Department
of Housing and Urban Development the build it back
program, single family program is funded by a 2.2
billon dollar federal community development block
grant dollar and overseen by our office in
coordination with HPD and the Department of Design
and Construction. The CDBGDR disaster recovery funds
provide assistance to homeowners after all the other
forms of disaster assistance have been exhausted.
Hurricane Sandy impacted neighborhoods outside FEMA's
100-year flood plane and as a result built it back's
repair and reimbursement program provided much needed
support for homeowners who didn't have flood
insurance and many of whom were outside the flood
plane. About half of the housing flooded by Sandy was
outside of FEMA's 100-year flood plane and of those
in the flood plane less than 50 percent of those had
flood insurance. Two thirds of build it back
homeowners receiving the repair and reimbursement
lived outside of the flood plane and only one quarter
of those homeowners had received NFIP payments. For
this reason, we are encouraging residents to purchase
flood insurance. In 2014 the city dedicated funding

to provide rental assistance to homeowners displaced
by construction so they are not burdened with
existing mortgage payments and additional rental
payments while their homes are being elevated and
rebuilt. We expanded our services to include
comprehensive relocation assistance, assistance
partnering with the center for New York City
Neighborhoods and the New York Disaster Interface
Services. Services were designed to help address
barriers to securing temporary housing including
large multigenerational families specific physical
or mobility needs and pet friendly units. Nearly
1,100 homeowners have received assistance with
temporary housing and relocation and 83 percent of
those homeowners were temporarily relocated within
their original community or their borough. Hurricane
Sandy was an unprecedented storm for New York City,
build it back build it back began with key policy
decisions that drove subsequent successes and
challenges. The city prioritized keeping families in
their homes and neighborhoods and prioritized
homeowner choice in the process. The resulting
program design and implementation have been driven by
many factors including the unique nature of housing

and site conditions in New York City specifically in
the communities most impacted by hurricane Sandy in
Southern Brooklyn, in Southern Queens and the East
and South Shores of Staten Island. An ever-evolving
regulatory environment from 2013 today ranging from
post Sandy changes to building codes and flood map
requirements, the need to complete thousands of
single family home construction projects in the
busiest construction market in decades, and I
wouldn't say of the least, the most the complexities
of providing relief within the federal disaster
funding framework. We have learned so much
collectively over the last five years about what it
takes to elevate and rebuild homes in these
communities, about the importance of clear
communication on how different federal programs from
flood insurance to SBA loans to HUD funded programs
can assist homeowners during the recovery and about
the impact of neighborhood resiliency planning. This
is why we believe the joint city council and Mayoral
Sandy recovery task force is such an important effort
for the city. With your partnership we will lay out
the principles and best practices for future recovery
efforts with a focus on preparedness, technical

assistance for building owners and community
engagement. The transformation in these neighborhoods
is remarkable and I would welcome the opportunity to
take the committee on a tour to see these homes.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I, think based on the nodding from many of my colleagues here I think we might take you up on that offer but I'm going to ask a few questions then I'll turn it over to my colleagues to ask questions, I don't want to monopolize all the time. With that said let me ask a little bit about, you know what is the level of funding allocated for city agencies for renewable energy projects?

MARK CHAMBERS: So, renewable energy projects in particular relate to solar or...

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: In, in general, right, I mean it doesn't... I'm going to try to go through all... let's, let's go through all of it then.

MARK CHAMBERS: Well, I mean I think the best way to say it is that the city has allocated 1.2 billion dollars over ten years towards... [cross-talk]

2.2

1	
2	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Right
3	[cross-talk]
4	MARK CHAMBERS:projects that range in
5	all levels of energy efficiency including solar and
6	including preparing buildings to be able to, to
7	access more, more renewables and more distributing
8	generation.
9	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, so
10	1.2 billion over ten years, how much of that have we
11	spent so far?
12	MARK CHAMBERS: So, we spent
13	approximately 500 million.
14	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: About 500
15	million and what is what is that what have we walk
16	me what did that what did what did we get for
17	that, how did walk me through what we got as far as
18	solar projects, I think you put it it's in your
19	testimony, right?
20	MARK CHAMBERS: Yeah, sorry… [cross-talk]
21	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Let's just
22	re, re… let's re-go through that.
23	MARK CHAMBERS: So, so far so, the pre
24	the predominant agency that distributes these funds
25	is DCAS, the Department of Citywide… [cross-talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
2	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh
3	[cross-talk]
4	MARK CHAMBERS:Administrative Services
5	they have installed about ten megawatts of solar
6	already, that's on 57 city buildings [cross-talk]
7	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh
8	[cross-talk]
9	MARK CHAMBERS:and additionally they're
10	going to install an additional 100 megawatts of solar
11	by 2025, the intent is to start construction on 100
12	projects this year which will ultimately add an
13	additional 18 megawatts to the city's solar capacity
14	totaling 29 megawatts over time.
15	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And how are
16	we working with the city agencies to implement how,
17	how do we choose the buildings, how do we sort of

We working with the city agencies to implement... how, how do we choose the buildings, how do we sort of roll this out effectively and, and when we're building a new building or we're, we're doing construction on a city building how are we making those choices, imbedding that into those agencies to make sure that's a consideration for them?

MARK CHAMBERS: Absolutely, it is a.m. it's an all hands-on deck effort... [cross-talk]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES:

Right...

[cross-talk]

MARK CHAMBERS: ...I would look at this from the point of view of preparing city agencies to be able to respond to co-changes that we've worked with this committee and many others onto established thresholds for new construction but as far as actually deploying the, the dollars DCAS particular has utilized several different mechanisms to bring agencies together, there's the ACE program which allows for city agencies to suggest energy efficient projects to, to DCAS in which they will evaluate and then they will grant money out of that 1.2 billion to making sure that agencies are building new buildings and retrofitting their buildings with those dollars to get the maximum amount of efficiency out of those projects. From the Mayor's Office of Sustainability, we help with that coordination and for the ... office to make sure that city agency from DCAS to SCA to Department of Education, everyone that is building buildings in the city is working together and that is only become even more strengthened as we've pushed forward on other sustainability plans, in particular

our 1.5-degree plan which better aligned city agencies towards those goals.

I'm looking at... you know they're building an extension in the school in my district just for an example, are we going to... how do we ensure that the sustainability aspects and resiliency aspects get baked into that cake before it's built, right, it's, it's a lot easier to bake those things in at the beginning then to go back and have to retrofit them down the line and say oh we're now going to have to change everything we've done so how do we ensure that we're baking those into the cake at the... at the frontend and rather than having to go back and retrofit them later?

MARK CHAMBERS: Absolutely, the, the best way to do that is by aggressively pushing on energy codes and aggressively pushing on building standards to make sure that everyone is operating from the same rulebook and that those buildings are... as a base are incorporating energy efficiency strategies into their, their outcomes and into their construction plans.

2.2

-	
2	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, so
3	just looking at Local Law six of 2016 that required
4	the development of a geothermal screening tool
5	MARK CHAMBERS: Uh-huh [cross-talk]
6	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES:as well as
7	a detailed analysis of geothermal technology
8	installation on city owned buildings [cross-talk]
9	MARK CHAMBERS: Yes… [cross-talk]
10	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES:how is thi
11	screening tool coming, is it is it publicly
12	available yet?
13	MARK CHAMBERS: The report is, isn't
14	public available, the screening tool will be online
15	this summer… [cross-talk]
16	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay
17	[cross-talk]
18	MARK CHAMBERS:and, and so we're very
19	excited about that to make sure that the screening
20	tool is a… it's a first screening to allow for any
21	user to be able to look at both the geological and
22	the hydrological benefits of, of different areas
23	across the city and, and be able to use that as a
24	tool to determine whether or not geothermal is

appropriate for that, it also analyzes different

2 types of geothermal technologies to see what might be
3 best in those locations.

as city owned buildings that are doing major construction projects have there been any yet and with a cost benefit analysis found that geothermal was the, the right way to go, looking at the social cost of carbon as well?

MARK CHAMBERS: So, that I'd have to come back to you on... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay... [cross-talk]

MARK CHAMBERS: I'd have to check on, on which, which projects have completed that analysis.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And, and as far as... we're looking at the, the NYCHA boilers and, and the 200 million that we're spending on these new boilers on, on fossil fuel boilers have we considered doing renewable energy as either a supplement or a substitute to these boilers and, and how... you know how have those conversations gone?

DAN ZARRILLI: So, I think part of that answer is, you know these boilers... definitely need

2.2

new boilers and so they're very much more energy efficient boilers... [cross-talk]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

DAN ZARRILLI: ...to provide heat and there's a, a need to provide the heat as quickly as possible... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Absolutely, uh-huh... [cross-talk]

DAN ZARRILLI: ...to these into these developments so I think our team can probably go back and find out a little bit more detailed information on the exact standards and how that's going to be applied.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay.

Alright and as far as... you know so we have... and I knew it as, what was 478 our bill? So, I, I, knew it as, as... you know the bill that we tapped for... and I'll ask and then I'll, I'll... I have two more, I have one and, and one other after this. Our solar ready on city owned buildings bill, when something's deemed not solar ready, what are the steps that we take to make those be... you know we can't just say afterwards well, you know not solar ready, you know too bad like

2.2

how do we then, you know work to make sure those buildings become solar ready over a five-year period or whatever it is?

MARK CHAMBERS: Absolutely, the... first the determination of being solar ready has, has many components; size of, of the building, structural capacity of the building and... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh...

MARK CHAMBERS: ...so forth, if the... a building is determined not to be solar ready then it depends on what would it take to get there and that then goes into the framework of how each, each of the construction agencies are evaluating adding those components to, to projects that are coming online for those buildings. So, if a building is then slated for let's say a façade, you know replacement or, or an upgrade adding the components that would make the, the building solar ready are then added to that project, same thing goes for electrical robes and so forth.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: So, we're doing construction, we're, we're taking that into consideration as well, we're baking that into the

2.2

cake as well saying that, you know some things are not going to be solar ready, if you're standing next to a 12-story building and you're a five-story building there's nothing we can do there... [cross-talk]

MARK CHAMBERS: Right... [cross-talk]

We're doing construction on a school or a library is there mayoral money coming in because I know that very often council members and borough presidents put the dollars in for school upgrades and, and library upgrades in particular, how are we making sure that DDC and, and there, they're... we're adding additional Mayoral dollars for sustainability and resiliency to make those roofs solar ready or to make the buildings resilient?

MARK CHAMBERS: I mean I think to, to kind of reiterate the, the answer to the original question about the, the, the retrofit money that's exactly what it's being used for is to be able to where we can do energy efficiency projects that are the, the first in line and the... and the low hanging fruit absolutely and where there's a little bit more work that needs to be done to allow the, the sites to

2.1

2.2

fully take advantage of whether it is solar or whether it is cool roofs as well which has a significant impact on the... on the buildings those are then incorporated into those buildings in their construction... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And I know...

and, and I got... I can only use my district and I know
my colleagues will use their districts as a form of
reference as I, I have a three-million-dollar project
coming in one of my libraries, it's going to close
this summer. I just want to make sure that as that's
happening that, that we're building in opportunities
for solar and for resiliency and it, it's... you know
that change the scope of the DDC project which then,
then puts the project off years away or is that
something that DDC's already taking into account, I
keep driving this point home but I want to make sure
that we're getting good answers here and, and that
we're, we're flagging this as all my colleagues I'm
sure will as well?

MARK CHAMBERS: We're happy to follow up on that as well.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay, great and the last thing I have is on... well you know what

2 I'm, I'm going to pass this off, I'm going to let my
3 colleagues ask... [cross-talk]

2.2

JAINEY BAVISHI: I just wanted to add from a resiliency perspective, I mentioned in my testimony that we've released preliminary climate resiliency design guidelines and it's for this exact purpose so… [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Right... [cross-talk]

JAINEY BAVISHI: ...that when we are spending money on city, city capital... when we're spending city capital on capital projects that we are accounting for resiliency considerations as we design and build those projects and we're working very closely with OMB on, on those guidelines.

so, when they... so, I'm going to go... I'm going to take that deep, deep... a little bit deeper then, so when they put out a cost to a council member that says, you know there... it's going to cost two million dollars to renovate a library, the resiliency and sustainability aspects are built into that cost?

JAINEY BAVISHI: I can't speak to how DDC presents cost to a council member, the... I would...

2.2

so, I'll leave that one alone but, but I, I do think that we're, we're... the, the kind of resiliency design guidelines are just one instrument that are going to help us build a culture of resilience and, and that's ultimately what we need to do, we need to start baking these costs in, we need to start accounting for them because baking them in now will essentially make our investments go further in the future...

[cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And there's all this... go ahead Mark.

MARK CHAMBERS: So, I'll just... one more point to that is Local Law 31 also contributes to this. I mentioned before about making sure that the standards are in place so that everyone's working from the same rulebook, Local Law 31 which goes into effect this year does require city buildings to achieve a very low energy standard so that also helps in being able to make sure it's baked in from the beginning.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay, I'm,
I'm going to probably come back for a second round
because I have more but I don't want to monopolize
the microphone so who is... who is up first?

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 COMMITTEE CLERK: Richards and Menchaca. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, so first I'll, I'll pass it off to Council Member 4 Richards and then Council Member Menchaca. 5 6 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you 7 Council Member Costa. 8 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Sure. COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: 9 Thank you, I 10 know my colleagues want me to stop asking so many questions when I chair hearings too but, but such an 11 12 honor to be here. Mark... [cross-talk] 13 MARK CHAMBERS: Yes... [cross-talk] 14 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Welcome... 15 MARK CHAMBERS: Thank you, thank you. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: I think this is 17 your first budget hearing... 18 MARK CHAMBERS: It is my first hearing. COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: 19 20 Congratulations, you didn't do so bad your first... 21 [cross-talk] 2.2 MARK CHAMBERS: I appreciate that... 23 [cross-talk] 24 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: ...round but I didn't get through my questions yet so... [cross-talk]

2	MARK	CHAMBERS:	Let's	begin.

2.2

questions, so I wanted to go through what are the strategies around air quality and what are you still seeing as some of the largest contributors to... who are some of the largest contributors to poor air quality in the city and sort of what are some of the strategies you're looking towards in your new capacity?

MARK CHAMBERS: Sure, so... I mean I want...

I want to point out, I mentioned in my testimony that

New York City does have the, the best air quality

it's had in the last five decades, so progress is

being made but progress still needs to be made...

[cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

MARK CHAMBERS: ...being able to look at the reductions from the air, low class air quality again has a lot to do with how we are treating our building sector and how we are focusing our attention on being able to first with the support of this committee transition out of dirtier fuels to cleaner fuels which the city has been very active in... and

very successful in transitioning but we have more work to do. Being able to look at our building sector and being able to reduce the amount of fossil fuels that are going into the heating and hot water of our buildings is an essential part of addressing our localized air quality. In addition to that we consistently are working locally and region, regionally through DOT to, to look at this transition to electric vehicles will also... which will also have a significant impact on our localized air quality from the transportation sector.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So, you would be open to a number four oil phase out?

MARK CHAMBERS: I think that... getting...
moving away from, from fuel oil is... as we've seen is
a very effective way to deal with air quality.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay, so that's something we certainly have some legislation on and we look forward to working with you on. I wanted to go through your electric... your EVs, so I think we set a goal of 2,000 EVs... sedans being integrated into the system we're now at 1,030 that have been converted, how many do you anticipate this year will convert?

2.2

2	MARK CHAMBERS: I'd have to check on, on
3	an actual the, the, the final number for this year,
4	I mean we've, we've significantly increased every
5	year and also, we're kind of driving sort of the
6	local market of getting to these vehicles that are
7	coming out online, so I can get back to you on
8	exactly what the number is for this year but [cross-
9	talk]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Yeah [cross-
11	talk]
12	MARK CHAMBERS:we are we are
13	aggressively moving to, to meet our target early.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Right and then
15	there's been some challenges in which we've heard
16	from companies like UPS and others who want to go
17	full EV and there's been a lot of challenges around
18	infrastructure, can you speak to… [cross-talk]
19	MARK CHAMBERS: Absolutely… [cross-talk]
20	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS:what are the
21	thoughts, how are we going to expand opportunities?
22	MARK CHAMBERS: Sure, the, the real
23	challenge is around charging, you know being able to
24	have the sufficient infrastructure for charging is

essential for both last mile delivery as well as for

private vehicle ownership and fleet ownership transitioning to electric vehicles. The Mayor has committed ten million dollars at first the, the additional money coming to establish fast charging hubs throughout the city, the goal is to have about 50 fast charging hubs established in the next few years which would... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: You said 50?

MARK CHAMBERS: 50... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: ...okay... [cross-

talk]

2.2

throughout the city, currently working on a, a roadmap to look at the distribution of that and the goal there is to not just move forward with, with slower charging which we're doing as well, there'd be a 100 of the... of the typical kind of level three chargers but moving towards fast charging throughout the city where these hubs would allow for, for both businesses as well as private owners to be able to charge more quickly and we're also trying to change and normalize the behavior of moving over to electric vehicles, it's essential for both our vehicle

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 emissions reductions as well as a, a transition to more of a kind of shared mobility program. 3

4 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: And how do you...

how are you tying... so a lot of new development going on around the city, how are you working with HPD and others to sort of ensure that they're... and, and

obviously we've up, updated our building codes but 8 around things like EV... [cross-talk]

MARK CHAMBERS: Sure... [cross-talk] 10

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: ...charging stations, solar, geothermal, is there a close connection, are we really working together strategically on ways to enhance more green... renewable energy?

MARK CHAMBERS: Absolutely, I, I mean... I think the best way to think about it is that these are all an integrated system, is how do we move electrons around more effectively and to do that we have to attack it from several levels. Being able to advocate and kind of put money behind additional charging is important to be able to, you know kind of change behavior, it's also important for work with our kind of private sector partners to encourage them to switch over to electric vehicles and it's also

looking at how that works with the building system, a more efficient building usually has the ability to, to look at how it better uses that electricity and that might come from storage... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

MARK CHAMBERS: ...vehicle... electricity storage but it also comes from having more charging options when, when a vehicle... when a, a building is either built or being retrofitted. We have currently on, on the books a, a bill that allows for additional charging conduit that is installed once a new parking goes into place... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay... [cross-talk]

MARK CHAMBERS: ...and we are actually working now on a... on a bill that would increase that significantly by requiring electric vehicle infrastructure to be installed in buildings when new spaces are added and that, that's part of attacking this from all different sides and letting a building better utilize and more flexibly utilize its energy for the benefit of all.

2.2

2.2

questions, so obviously environmental justice is important to this committee and the chairman has certainly taken this by the helm as well, I know Council Member Inez Barron had also sponsored some legislation around this, what are some your strategies around addressing, you know some of the more vulnerable communities such as Rockaway, Sunset Park where, you know low income residents exist, what is the strategy around ensuring that we can address climate change through an EJ lens?

MARK CHAMBERS: Absolutely, I mean... I think one of the, the major tenants is that when it comes to the, the impacts of climate change they... you know we need... we share in the burden, we also need to share in the benefits so the ability to make sure that we are establishing not just the framework that is applicable to all but also to make sure that everyone will benefit from a lot of the work that we're being... we're doing. The, the building's mandate that Chairperson Constantinides mentioned earlier is it, it does begin to address that work by making sure that we are kind of prioritizing a lot of our older buildings and, and larger buildings, making sure that

those buildings are operating more efficiently and
also by spurring on a significant amount of job
growth in, in terms of the actual work that's going
to be needed to be able to, to retrofit those
buildings, I mean we, we're looking at upwards of
17,000 jobs that could be created as a result of
moving forward on, on this and we believe that that
as well as additional efforts to kind of target areas
around the city that may have been historically
underinvested in allow for us to really be thoughtful
and as well as effectively tying together the, the
work that we're doing around environmental social
justice as well as economic and environmental
attributes

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Alright, last question, Dan Zarrilli, 145 million dollars for the Rockaways, where are we with our little project, you're going to... okay, sorry, that's right, sorry. He, he lived in the Rockaways then I think...

JAINEY BAVISHI: The projects have various schedules but they're all expected to be completed around 2021.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: 2021... [cross-

25 talk]

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 JAINEY BAVISHI: That's right... [cross-3 talkl COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay. And we're 4 going to hear a little bit more as we... [cross-talk] 5 JAINEY BAVISHI: Sure, we can keep... 6 7 [cross-talk] 8 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: ...communicate... 9 [cross-talk] 10 JAINEY BAVISHI: ...you updated as... [cross-11 talk] 12 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay... [cross-13 talk] 14 JAINEY BAVISHI: ...the ... as, as progress ... [cross-talk] 15 16 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay... [cross-17 talk] 18 JAINEY BAVISHI: ...as we make progress on those projects. 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Alright, good 21 thank you. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, 23 thank you Council Member Richards, just very quickly before Council... I hand it over to Council Member 24 Menchaca, what would you think about take ... 25

2 transitioning your offices to an actual department...

3 you know department or agency and, and sort of...

[cross-talk]

2.2

DAN ZARRILLI: I think we'll, we'll get back to you on that.

[off-mic dialogue]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And I, I think... just figured I'd, I'd ask I, I, I think I knew the answer, but I figured I'd ask that question as well and at this point I'll turn it over to Council Member Carlos Menchaca.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you Chair and thank you to the members of the committee and I also want to welcome you Mr. Chambers to this incredible work that we're doing, welcome.

MARK CHAMBERS: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: So, the… I, I think I want to start with Amy… with Amy first, a lot of the work that, that you testified today really kind of shows a, a big… unless… is that on too?

That's off too, okay. Let's try again. I don't know if this is what's causing it, okay. Are, are these solar powered or, or… [cross-talk]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Share, share, sharing is caring.

council Member Menchaca: Okay, share, share... okay, let's try... let's try this, okay. Great news, we're in the 90's in the percentage of work and I, I just hope you, you feel proud, I know we, we do too, there's a lot of work that went into this...

[applause]

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Yeah, hell yeah, you deserve that work, you've been at the helm of this turning the ship around in a lot of ways, have not been easy, you got a lot of heat from everyone about this and you and your team have really kind of dedicated the right resources and so I think the, the questions that I have beyond us going and, and touring because I think that's going to be an important part, we got to ... we got to go see this work, are there one or two places that would be important for us to kind of look at first that you want to talk about, I want to give you the opportunity to do that and then secondly in anticipation, I think it was... I don't know who testified to this but the, the cost of, of response tomorrow will be astronomically more than in 2012 so

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I, I kind of want to get a sense from you about how we're... how we're thinking about that, build it back is very specific in its... in its program to literally build it back but how, how are we thinking in... and in preparation... and in preparation?

AMY PETERSON: Yes, so thank you. I think that one, the, the idea of the task force that we're jointly going to engage on is really important and Jainey and I who are both on that task force have had a lot of discussions about what we need to focus on in thinking and we'd like it to be something that, you know we could engage on and, and have, you know kind of completed by the next anniversary which is in October, we're about to approach another hurricane season. In terms of neighborhoods there's so much unique about New York City and so much unique about what we've done both in Coney Island where we had huge challenges with attached homes and being able to get multiple homeowners to engage, we have some success stories where we actually have elevated homes and been able to do alternative mitigation for homeowners. Sheepshead Bay Courts is where a group of homeowners came together and formed a homeowner's association to be able to make some improvements to

an entire block but really if you go to Edgemere and
Arverne and the Rockaways and Staten Island you can
see blocks and blocks of homes where multiple homes
have been elevated. I think in looking forward the
things that we think about and the rest of the
country are thinking about, I mean one of the
horrible things that happened over the last couple of
years is the additional hurricanes in other states
across the country and in Puerto Rico and so some of
the things that we did after Sandy like rapid repairs
which was a unique new program that had never been
done anywhere else has kind of been taken and, and
redone in different communities so I think we have an
opportunity now both to think about build it back but
really to think about how all of the things both from
a preparedness before the storm but then all of the
benefits that come to homeowners that currently
aren't in any way related, right, FEMA individual
assistance, flood insurance, SBA loans, HUD, they're
not inter related at all, how we can make sure that
they're related and it's very clear what how people
can prepare themselves for a future storm and how we
as a city can deliver the, the response that we need
to respond.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Got it and, and
I think the task force is the place where a lot of
this is going to happen and I'm really happy to know
that, that there's and in your testimony and now in
your question and answer you really focused on all
the other programs that are not and not immediate to
the response but part of the larger conversation
around flood insurance, I know there's a lot of
advocacy happening, I'm not going to concentrate on
that but I know that that, that's happening. Great,
so I'm looking forward to the tour and, and kind of
seeing some of this work and talking about it, I
think we just need to talk about it because I, I
think so much of what we saw in response and the lag
time is still in the air and we got to just flush it
out with real information and so ${\tt I'm}$ going to work
with I want to work with you and the committee to
make that make that happen. And then I think well
here's the other piece about, about kind of build it
back concept, it's still it's still kind of designed
around floods and rightly so I think but that's not
all that could come in terms of climate change crisis
moments, we're talking about fires, we're talking
about there's a lot of other things, tell us a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

little bit about how you're thinking about it as you're... as you're kind of transitioning through and what to expect, how, how, how... be specific?

AMY PETERSON: Yes, so certainly on emergency preparedness and, and disasters generally that's something that New York City emergency management's focused on but yeah, and our office is the housing recovery office and so we're really looking at an overarching housing recovery plan for moving forward and it can be a climate related disaster but it could be another disaster and you know while the city has faced disasters before and developed programs after them and certainly deals with small housing emergencies very effectively figuring out the ways to help homeowners, homeowners prepare so that everyone knows what they need to have access to in case there is a disaster for their own particular home preparedness and then how we would provide shelter in the immediate aftermath and how we could help people... how we could help restore housing depending on what the disaster is something we're, we're all talking about.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Great, looking forward to, to hearing more about that. Some general

2.2

questions, this these questions are coming from Red
Hook, some of our Red Hook folks watching from home.
So, NYCHA had a voluntary emergency response NYCHA
had a voluntary emergency response form which with
special needs and it went from paper to online and
has that model been rolled out, is there any, any
update on, on how that's going, how that's getting
rolled out, what the impact has been and where has it
been, is it citywide, is it certain boroughs, anybody
have an update on that?

JAINEY BAVISHI: I don't, we would have to... [cross-talk]

 $\label{eq:council_member_menchaca:} \mbox{Are you aware} \\$ of it though?

JAINEY BAVISHI: I'm not aware of it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay, it'd be good for us to get an answer on that... [cross-talk]

JAINEY BAVISHI: Sure... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Also the emergency preparedness for Red Hook and Gowanus and really all kind of public housing we, we're noting that there are short... a shortage of IV bags in the mainland of America because of what's been happening in Puerto Rico so there's, there's a lot of resources

that were sent over and kind of depleting or just
using, I don't know if that's on your radar at all,
I'm seeing some nods over here on your team, can you
give a sense about what, what that looks like, I've
worked on I've worked on a lot of legislation with
office of emergency, OEM management and there's a lot
of push back for this, they're like we got it, we
know how to do it, don't tell us how to do it but I'm
unclear about whether or not OEM is ready and
prepared in, in, in moments where we have been
having to respond nationally in Puerto Rico, Houston
and whether or not we're ready here and have
replenished our, our, our kind of emergency and
resiliency items, anybody? I know OEM isn't here but
[cross-talk]
JAINEY BAVISHI: Right, this, this would
be a question for, for… [cross-talk]
COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay [cross-
talk]
JAINEY BAVISHI:emergency management.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Let's see, what else. Okay, so last question on solar stuff, so I'm really happy that the Chair really drilled down on the larger concepts around solar and embedding it

into all the work we're doing, hundreds of millions
of dollars are coming to Sunset Park under a really
great opportunity that the community has been bold
about in demanding for resources; Brooklyn Army
Terminal just released a an RFP so it sounds like
its on your radar to bring possible solar farm to the
rooftops of, of the Brooklyn Army Terminal, I'm
thinking about NYCHA and the half a billion dollars
that's coming to Red Hook and we went with another
kind of power plant concept, some folks said we
should have gone solar instead of so, how, how can
we how can we bring information to communities so
they can really push for this because they're,
they're pushing on the ground but we're, we're saying
no and I kind of want to get a sense about what's
causing the no and, and where we can move that to a
yes especially with, with bigger with big
multimillion dollar projects and then I'm going to
add another piece to that which is ULURPs so a lot of
ULURPs that are, are potentially on their way. In
Sunset Park there's something called Industry City, I
don't know if you've ever been there but it's pretty
massive, it's six million square feet of property and
they're considering proposing an opportunity to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

change the zoning, would you join us in that conversation to figure out how we can create community capacity to think about this when we have that conversation?

MARK CHAMBERS: The short answer is yes, I, I, I think we're, we are committed to everything you said around being able to not just increase access but also increase awareness, I think... I mentioned Solarize NYC which was... is something that I'd love to come talk to you a little bit more about is a great opportunity for communities to do just what, what you're suggesting. Additionally, I think it's important though that we also mention that solar is extremely important, it's one tool in the tool kit, you... we, we actually need everything on deck, you know it's solar as well as significant demand reduction so being able to, to work to get more distributive generation but also working to make sure that buildings are operating more efficiently are all important pieces and, and critical to doing that.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: One final note, schools, there are six schools coming to district 38, more schools are get... more seats are getting built in my district than anywhere in the city that's due to

the organizing that's happening on the ground and
parents and kids and everyone's asking for on
addressing the overcrowded school issue and I just
haven't heard anything about solar for any of these
new schools that are coming and, and so that, that
just worries me that there's no synergy that's real
and at the front of a lot of this work so I'm hoping
to work with you on making sure that every investment
has every kind of community investment oh
participatory budgeting, by the way PBNYC dot org or
you can go vote, it's vote week until Sunday, oh
there's a lot of love for it here including you all
you all if you live have you voted, any of you voted
yet, do you know, okay, part participatory
budgeting okay, if you don't have a council member
that is participating in part in PB write them a
letter and advocate but PB projects is another place
where we can bring solar stuff and it'd be great to
work with you to figure out PB sized projects, we're
pushing the Mayor to do matching with PB so that if
we put a million the Mayor should put in five, that's
the matching that we're talking about for capital
that we can actually start thinking about some of
these bigger projects that are community based and,

2.2

and get, get that imagination and these... and these spaces where kids are designing the future and they go to... they go to solar but then we, we come with a price tag of a million and two million dollars for a project and it's not possible so I look to work with you and, and bring you on board to our advocacy campaign.

MARK CHAMBERS: Looking forward to it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Awesome, thank
you.

that's an awesome idea. We're going to work on this together, I'm, I'm excited about that. Alright, I have a few more questions to ask, so when it comes to resiliency I know that FEMA has put in millions of dollars to build... you know to... sort of reinforce those buildings that were affected by, by hurricane Sandy, I know in my particular neighborhood I think we've spoken about this in private but like Hallets Cove Peninsula, you know Astoria Houses, eight of the... eight buildings there were affected by Sandy they're getting resiliency treatments, the other eight buildings, the other buildings there on the campus because they did not have flooding are not

getting those same treatments so if, if there were to
be a future storm those buildings would be in, in a
very bad way where the other buildings we've just
made them resilient, how do we how do we find the
monies because I know it is the that's the real
challenge, right, is, is, is finding these real
dollars to do these, how do we what's our plan to
find those monies to make sure that we're, we're,
we're making all of our, our, our public housing and,
and neighborhoods resilient and not just those that
we're getting those FEMA dollars for that were
already affected? I'm not leaving give I'm not
giving you the one

JAINEY BAVISHI: I don't have the answer,

I mean this, this is... it's, it's an incredibly

important question and part of the answer is that the

way federal funds flow for resilience projects is

broken... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Absolutely... [cross-talk]

JAINEY BAVISHI: ...they, they... the way...
we, we get federal money in a very reactive way but
we need to be doing proactive work, in the meantime
as I mentioned before we're, we're trying to make

2.2

sure that we're not just thinking about resilience projects in a silo that every time we do substantial rehab or new construction that money is going towards building a more resilient city and you know we'll have to think creatively about alternative financing schemes and, and you know we, we've started some conversations with private sector partners around that, there is no silver bullet but we're completely committed to continuing to explore creative solutions.

mean... I know in, in, in Western Queens you have the Astoria Houses, the Ravenswood Houses, the Queensbridge Houses all along the waterfront there and, you know some were, you know affected by Sandy in a very real way, some were, were affected but not... you know did not lose power but their buildings weren't flooded but still affected by Sandy and how do... just to answer the real question is how do we ensure that our, our public housing residents, our communities, low income communities, communities of color are protected those are our most vulnerable, right, that, that's what... at the forefront of what we're trying to do.

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
2	JAINEY BAVISHI: That's right and we
3	share those goals.
4	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And so,
5	looking at going back to schools for a second, what
6	is the average cost of a renewable energy project in
7	a school or, or, or a city owned building?
8	MARK CHAMBERS: We'd have to come back to
9	you on, on doing an actual average, I mean I think

MARK CHAMBERS: We'd have to come back to you on, on doing an actual average, I mean I think it's important to understand that there are different ways to finance... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

2.2

MARK CHAMBERS: ...solar on a particularly renewable energy project on, on a... on a project... on a building particularly a school so we... I'm happy to come back to you and talk to you about whether or not it's your capitalizing the cost or whether or not you are using some other kind of power purchase agreement and so forth.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: And how do we work... how do we... how can we better work together with agencies like school construction authority to make it achievable, I mean any... Council, Council Member Menchaca talked about that and you know I

definitely want to do more solar in my schools this
year, I'm I mean I've, I've I have my own capital
budget but when I look at the price tag to do that
it's, it's out of the range of, you know doing one
school, you have 17 schools in your district it
would it would take me longer than I have in office
to get that done so how do we how do we work with
the agencies to make those affordable up front so we
can make these investments in partnership between the
council members, the borough presidents and, and
then and your offices as well?

MARK CHAMBERS: Yeah, I mean I think...

it's a conversation we'd love to have, it... again it's important to make sure that looking at different financial models is going to be the way to make that money stretch further... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

MARK CHAMBERS: ...because you're not just investing in the actual panels themselves but you're also... there are the, the energy that they're producing and being able to utilize that energy for the building itself or for sharing it is part of how

2.2

you're able to distribute those costs so I'm happy to
have that conversation... [cross-talk]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I'd love to have that conversation with you because I mean as our, our... we're sitting there going through the city budget I think it's important for us to see how we can best do this work together because we have whole bunch of schools and, and city owned buildings that are on our list for solar readiness, I was like how do we take that from where they are that they are solar ready to actually implementing solar in, in a more quick basis in all partnerships.

MARK CHAMBERS: Yeah and I think... I think power purchase agreements are one of the, the key ways in order to be able to do that quickly and so we can have that conversation.

know this is not an Education Committee hearing but
I'll ask the question anyway but... as we implement
these renewable energy projects whether it's
geothermal, whether it's solar, whether it's other
wind, you know if it's at a school or a library or a
place where people gather how do we... is, is there a
curriculum, are we creating opportunities working

with the Department of Education to educate our children about, you know what solar is and, and why it's important it's on our buildings because they're going to be the ones who are going to lead this city in the future so I... are we making those partnerships in the DOE to make that part of the, the science curriculums?

MARK CHAMBERS: Absolutely, D, DOE has a kind of a very active sustainability program in which they are looking to make a lot of those synergies happen within their offices and additionally we work with them to be able to use the, the, the mantle of the Mayor's Office of Sustainability to be able to promote a lot of the same visions, the same education throughout. We have a program called green NYC which is really a public education and outreach arm of the Mayor's Office of Sustainability and working with Department of Education is exactly the, the type of synergy that we look forward to and, and be able to, to be able to make sure we're pushing messages out to the public that are consistent so you're seeing it at school but you're also seeing it online and you're seeing it in other places.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Are, are there... is, is... are they going to PTAs, are they going to community boards, how do... how can we better connect, you know with families and, and so they're understanding what's going on in their... in there, their children's schools and can get better educated themselves?

MARK CHAMBERS: So, happy to have that conversation, there's a lot of different ways and outreach that we're doing but also outreach that is ... more outreach that's possible, the more people that are asking questions and the more places in which we can be again both physically and virtually allow for ... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

MARK CHAMBERS: ...both kind of parents as well as students as well as neighbors to be able to look to how they can better utilize their particular environment towards their benefit so we're happy to have that conversation and happy to work with you on that.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I'm not... I'm not sure if my colleagues have asked this question

2.2

yet but we know... you know the, the Governor's talked about Indian Point going offline in the near future, how do we ensure that we're ready for that and not just putting more stock in sort of unclean grid, right, you know we don't... we don't want to have the Peaker Plants throughout our city just to be turned on more often, how are we working to ensure that we're going to have a cleaner source of energy as Indian Point goes offline?

MARK CHAMBERS: Well it's a great
question, I think... I think when... in... last year in
January when the Governor announced that Indian Point
would be... plans, plans to retire as early as 2021 it
definitely raised some concerns and I think the Mayor
was consistent and it has continued to be consistent
that any closure plan for Indian Point really should
address New York City's, you know reliability of
energy, the costs, you know local emissions as well
as greenhouse gas emissions so we are... we are
concerned with what, what does come to replace Indian
Point and we are using the opportunity to advocate
primarily for transmission into the city making sure
that any renewables that we're also advocating for
can actually get to, to the city... [cross-talk]

-	
2	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh
3	[cross-talk]
4	MARK CHAMBERS:and that we're able to
5	have reliable energy throughout that time period as
6	we transition from our current energy sources to
7	renewables and particularly offshore wind as well as
8	upstate solar, upstate hydro things along those
9	lines.
10	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Are there
11	things that we can do as a city that maybe aren't
12	reliant on the state to get those things done or, or
13	we're kind of at the mercy of, of this partnership
14	with them?
15	MARK CHAMBERS: No, I mean I think that
16	as with our… as we talked before about kind of
17	working on the… on the, the federal government, I
18	mean more cooperation is better… [cross-talk]
19	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Right
20	[cross-talk]
21	MARK CHAMBERS: I think [cross-talk]
22	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I, I
23	wholeheartedly agree… [cross-talk]
24	MARK CHAMBERS:but we are actively
25	trying to use our purchase power purchasing power to

2.2

be able to guide the market and also working with the... with NYSERDA and state as well as the New York Independent State Operator to make sure that we're prioritizing the actual components that will let us get access to that power and actually be able to use it in the city simultaneously. Again, the demand reduction in the city is going to be critical to us being able to manage changes that are outside of our control with changes that are within our control so reducing the demand that the building sector is, is demanding out of... out of the energy sources is really our number one strategy to be able to reduce emissions and it's the largest impact we can have right now.

know... I mean, you know again frame of reference, my own district, right, I have... I have... we have power... we have 55 percent of the city's power coming from Western Queens both my district and Council Member Van Bramer's with Ravenswood, you know plant there, you have NRG, you have Astoria Gen, you know those Peaker Plants when they click on, you know the closer you get to those power plants the higher the asthma rates and there's no... there's a... there's a direct

2.2

correlation there, I mean folks will try to make
different I, I'll draw that straight line I don't
need to have you guys do it but I'll, I'll say that
the closer you get to those power plants the higher
the asthma rates are and, and asthma has a real
effect on our community, so I think I don't want to
see those plants clicked on any more than they have
to be.

MARK CHAMBERS: And I, I, I completely agree, and I think we're, we're actively working to...

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, so I.m. any other questions guys? Yeah, absolutely...

just really happy that the, the Chair's really focusing on this kind of larger, larger piece about schools and, and one thing I want to inject as we move forward is or are all the economic development opportunities and training the future workforce and so this is... this is a... even like in build it back and making sure that, that... this is... this is all going to have to get built by people and they need to be built by our people, New Yorkers and... especially minority women businesses, public housing residents and, and so I'm really hoping that we can work together on a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

plan that already is out there and peace meal and when we think about Sunset Park for example with some ... one of the, the largest portfolio of city owned property that's getting investment and we're thinking about green... or kind of resiliency infrastructure that we bring... that we... there's, there's a real connection between the jobs and, and this new technology and, and the training and working with our unions and so that's, that's already... I, I don't want to open that up too much other than that... as, as a member of the committee and with the support of the Chair I'd like to continue to work on and think about that and measure our success and to be able to say this is what we're doing, and this is... this is how we're going to do it.

DAN ZARRILLI: Just one thing to add to that because I think we completely agree in connecting people to the jobs that are being created is a... is immensely importing in connecting New Yorkers to these jobs is immensely important so we can... we... right now you can go into the Workforce One Centers and we have a partnership with building trades and so we're screening people to be able to take advantage of pre-apprenticeship training

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 programs that we're willing to pay for that 3 ultimately puts them on the path towards 4 apprenticeships and to careers in the construction trades and so that already exists, we would love your 5 help in helping to spread the word on that. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: And then the final question with the Green NYC program what 8 happened to the birdie? 9 10 DAN ZARRILLI: The birdie's a... [cross-11 talk] 12 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Is the first 13 time this public hearing has been able to happen 14 since you guys killed the bird? 15 MARK CHAMBERS: So... [cross-talk] 16 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: No, seriously 17 what happened... I'm getting a lot of calls on this by 18 the way. MARK CHAMBERS: Fair enough... fair enough, 19 20 I mean I... we are ... we are happy that, that everyone is, is in... is invested in, in outreach and education 21 2.2 around, around a lot of the things that Green NYC is 23 focused on, birdie is alive and well... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: We're glad

24

25

to hear that...

2	MARK CHAMBERS:birdie is still an
3	employee of, of the city as, as and he, he remains
4	the, the mascot of, of Green NYC program, I mean
5	there's nothing that ever happened to birdie I think
6	it was I think that it was it was strictly [cross-
7	talk]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: I did not
9	realize it was a rumor, okay, I'm really happy to
10	know that birdie
11	DAN ZARRILLI: The rumors of birdie's
12	demise are greatly exaggerated, yes.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay. Thank you
14	for… this is why we have public hearings…
15	MARK CHAMBERS: Birdie exists.
16	DAN ZARRILLI: Oh yeah, birds on… [cross-
17	talk]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: You were just
19	okay, this [cross-talk]
20	MARK CHAMBERS: I mean I think one thing
21	one thing that's important to say is that we have to
22	reach all, you know 8.6 million residents of this
23	city and some of them want to be reached through

birdie, some of them want to be reached through other

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
2	channels and we're going to leave no stone unturned
3	[cross-talk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: We could
5	increase the family.
6	MARK CHAMBERS: Exactly.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Amen to that,
8	thank you so much.
9	MARK CHAMBERS: Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I have two,
11	two last questions, one on Green NYC, what is the
12	budget for the outreach?
13	MARK CHAMBERS: So, the budget is it's
14	spread over some time but it's about a million
15	dollars.
16	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay and,
17	and that's for that's citywide?
18	MARK CHAMBERS: Yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: That's,
20	that's for citywide outreach, outreach and, and
21	[cross-talk]
22	MARK CHAMBERS: For within, with, within
23	the Green NYC program.
24	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Within the
25	Green NYC… [cross-talk]

1	COLUMNIA IN DIVINONIBILITIE INCIDENTIA
2	MARK CHAMBERS: The other… so, as with
3	zero by 30 and with other like agency programs that
4	are aligned with Green NYC [cross-talk]
5	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh
6	[cross-talk]
7	MARK CHAMBERS:they also have budgets
8	but for particularly for the management of the Green
9	NYC program it's about a million… [cross-talk]
10	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Could we use
11	more?
12	MARK CHAMBERS: Anything that helps to
13	increase awareness and anything that helps move us
14	further in our pathway towards 80 by 50 is will be
15	great.
16	CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright and,
17	and so my last question, Jainey I'm not going to
18	leave you out, so as we're getting millions of
19	dollars billions of dollars in recovery and
20	resiliency funding, funding, you know from the you
21	know from the federal government as more of these
22	projects come online is the city budgeting
23	appropriately for long term maintenance?

JAINEY BAVISHI: Long term maintenance is certainly on our radar, we've haven't actually gone

24

2.2

through a budgeting process for some of these new coastal protection projects that are, are not online yet but it... but we... our colleagues at OMB are well aware that that is a, a... will be a need and we will certainly budget appropriately as these projects come online.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, great. Well I, I appreciate all your testimonies today, I look forward to our partnerships, I'm so glad to hear it was fake news that birdie is no... was, was, was injured in any way but I, I really in, in all seriousness appreciate your time and your partnership and look forward to doing a lot more together. Thank you.

MARK CHAMBERS: Same here, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you.

Alright, so we're going to have our next panel,

please step forward; Gabriella, Gabriella Velardi

Ward; Rachel Eve Stein; Isabelle Silverman and

Catherine Hughes if you all step forward please.

Alright, if we could start here on the left.

GABRIELLA VELARDI WARD: Just put it on, yeah there it is. This is very encouraging, but I want to emphasize a particular case of proactive

proactivity or no proactivity. My name is Gabriella
Velardi Ward and I live across the street from the
Graniteville wetland forest and it's my home and the
home of many of my neighbors that are in jeopardy of
being flooded because the forest and wetland across
the street from us is in danger of being cut down and
filled in and a big box store gas station parking lot
for 835 cars and two other very large buildings are
going to go there if we can't stop it. I'm familiar
with the good work of the Mayor's Office on
Sustainability has done in the past and let me
explain that, I was I worked for the Park Department
for 23 years as an architectural designer in capital
projects as an architectural designer and as
construction supervisor and I was the parks
representative to the Mayor's Office of Construction
for sustainable construction in the late 1990's so I
was in on the ground but now it's evident that
sustainability alone is not sufficient, it's failed
because we have not done enough fast enough. So, now
we must seek resilience as a last resort. I applaud
your desire and political will to prioritize
resilience if resilience also means prevention of
flooding before it occurs and does not mean after the

fact resilience, after the damage is done resilience,
after people's lives are destroyed resilience. Today
I'm here to represent the environmental justice
community of Graniteville in Staten Island. In the
last few years Staten Island has lost much of its
natural resilience and now Graniteville is in danger
of losing its wetland forest which is if not stopped
will become a South Avenue retail project. The
Graniteville wetland forest saved this community
during hurricane Sandy, we were not flooded. If we
lose the wetland we will lose our property and maybe
even our lives, we're very close to Arthur Kill and
if we have no, no buffer between Arthur Kill and us.
So, let me ask why is that New York City has approved
the destruction of this free and natural resilient
buffer against disaster, why is it that New York City
has allowed the destabilization of this environmental
justice community in the name of profits, why is it
that this toxically overburdened community is going
to lose the only resilience it has, why is it that no
one seems to care if Graniteville is flooded in the
coming years and be assured it is not a matter of if
we are flooded it is when we are flooded, why is it
that no one cares that the loss of the only open

green space we have, the wetland forest will leave us
defenseless in the face of rising tides, why is it
that no one cares that profits at large of large
corporations are prioritized over the lives of
people, why is it that all of this is okay because
it's legal and then let us ask why is this happening
in an area that has majority of black and brown
people. The days of development on wetlands are gone,
climate change is not going to happen in 50 years,
it's happening now. In fact, this morning I heard
that the Gulf Stream is at its weakest in 1,600
years, if we lose the Gulf Stream we're in severe
danger, if Western Europe will be… will go back to
the ice age and, and the East Coast of the United
States will be in real trouble so, that's, that's the
latest, it's happening now. I applauded the
prioritization of sustainability coming from the
Mayor's office over 20 years ago, the decrease in our
carbon footprint is laudable, lead was meant to
encourage developers to put sustainability first and
that came out of the committee that I was on. I did
not… it did not work, it didn't do enough early
enough and fast enough. We need to acknowledge that
nature has the best system of protection, we must

protect the wetlands that still exist, we must create
new wetlands, marshes and oyster beds, we must leave
the forest alone, have we learned anything from last
years severe hurricanes. There must be regulation to
prohibit the construction of anything public or
private that's the big elephant in the living room,
the private sector they have to be they have to
conform to the regulations of the public of the
public sector also because if you're doing one thing
and they're doing another you're, you're losing. The
public and private on wetlands, no grandfathering in,
no exceptions, no approval environmental just in
environmental impact statements without serious
consideration of climate change and the damage the
project will cause to people's lives. There can be no
proforma approvals any longer, we need to take these
steps if we are to survive, we can no longer have out
of control development and growth, in the human body
out of control growth is called cancer. We humans are
acting like a cancer spreading throughout the earth,
forcing the inhabitants of small islands to relocate
because the oceans are rising and gobbling up the,
the land and I want to inform everybody in New York
City not only those here, Staten Island is a small

island, we're in trouble not just the South and East
shore, the whole North shore and especially the
Northwest shore where Graniteville is. Property
rights cannot be sacrosanct when those rights
destroyed people's lives. How do we stop the madness,
how do we get off this moving train, let's heed the
warnings that act to protect the vulnerable in this
city which I've heard a lot about today, no matter
who they are or where they live by setting our
wetlands, marshes and forests, let us act to prevent
flooding in Graniteville, let us act to protect our
natural resiliency? Staten Island gets lost in the
shuffle a lot, Staten Island is not an urban center,
it's not an urban community, it's very suburban in a
lot of ways and it needs to keep its wetlands and
marshes and forests, it needs to keep them because we
need it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you, next up.

RACHEL EVE STEIN: Hi, good afternoon, my name is Rachel Eve Stein and I'm the Deputy Director for Sustainability and Resiliency at the Center for New York City Neighborhoods. I'd like to thank Committee Chair Constantinides and the members of the

Environmental Protection Committee for holding
today's hearing on the Mayor's Office of
Sustainability and the Office of Recovery and
Resiliency. The center promotes and protects
affordable home ownership in New York so that middle
and working-class families are able to build strong
thriving communities. Our focus on flood resiliency,
disaster recovery and long-term sustainability stems
from our home owner recovery efforts following
hurricane Sandy. When Sandy struck our homeowner
services, expertise and strong relationships with
community groups and impacted neighborhoods allowed
us to respond quickly and focus on both the short and
long-term needs of homeowners. Over the last three
years we have expanded the center's climate
resiliency resources and programs for homeowners.
Today we offer the following services; flood
insurance information, flood help NY dot org is a
first of its kind web platform that engages and
informs homeowners about how they can protect their
homes from rising sea levels and how to lower their
flood insurance rates, increase literacy of flood
insurance and resiliency issues and connects them to
related tools and services from the center.

2	Resiliency audits and counseling, for qualifying
3	homeowners we also offer resiliency audits and
4	counseling through the residential technical
5	assistance pilot program. To participate homeowners
6	must meet income thresholds and live in one of the
7	following New York rising neighborhoods; Carnarsie,
8	Gravesend, Bensonhurst, Bergen Beach, Georgetown,
9	Marine Park, Mill Basin, Mill Island, Red Hook,
10	Rockaway East, Howard Beach, and Lower Manhattan.
11	Recently we expanded to include Coney Island,
12	Brighton Beach, Sea Gate, Manhattan Beach, Gerritson
13	Beach, and Sheepshead Bay. eligible homeowners
14	receive a free home resiliency audit and elevation
15	certificate all together valued at about 1,800
16	dollars. The homeowners are then scheduled for a
17	housing counseling session at a nearby community-
18	based organization to discuss flood insurance options
19	and financing for resiliency retrofits. Flood
20	insurance and home resiliency retrofits are highly
21	technical and complicated topics which is why the
22	free expert assistance provided through this program
23	is invaluable to homeowners. We thank all of the city
24	council members who helped us understand the needs of
25	their constituents and have been crucial to getting

2	the word out about our services. We look forward to
3	working with you on future events. Back water valves.
4	In addition to the home resiliency audits and
5	counseling services we are expanding our services to
6	provide free backwater valve installations for
7	qualified homeowners in Coney Island, Brighton Beach,
8	Sea Gate, Manhattan Beach, Gerritson Beach,
9	Sheepshead Bay, and Howard Beach. Backwater valves
10	help reduce flood damage by preventing sewer, sewer
11	back flow which can save homeowners thousands of
12	dollars in property damage and clean up, I should
13	also mention it is in Canarsie as well. For closure
14	prevention and homeowner stabilization services,
15	along with these specialized services the center
16	continues to offer high quality foreclosure
17	prevention, housing counseling and legal services to
18	homeowners throughout New York City. Thanks to
19	generous support from the city council we also
20	provide specialized service for senior homeowners
21	including a state planning and scam prevention. Our
22	partnership with the Mayor's Office of recovery and
23	resiliency has been essential to the success of Flood
24	Help NY services, their marketing and outreach
25	support have helped us reach our audience of coastal

communities, they are crucial to the success of our
home resiliency art audit. ORR provided technical
assistance throughout the design phase and have
continued to give us expert guidance as we design the
residential backwater valve installation program. We
are committed to supporting the city's 80 by 50
energy reduction goals through our energy
sustainability programming. Last year the center was
awarded funding from NYSERDA for the community energy
engagement program or SEEP for short which provides
New Yorkers with technical and financial guidance to
implement energy efficiency and renewable energy
retrofitting projects. The center has coordinated
with the Mayor's Office of Sustainability to ensure
both homeowners and multifamily building owners get
the support they need to make cost saving and energy
receiving retrofits. To that end we send multifamily
leads to the retrofit accelerator program and they
direct homeowners to us. We think engaging homeowners
is critical to the city's energy reduction goals.
Homeowners are in a unique position to adopt energy
retrofits because they have control over the
structure and use of their property but still face a
number of technical and financial barriers. We are

2.2

dedicated to overcoming these barriers with New York homeowners and hope to work with MOS on this endeavor. Thank you very much for the opportunity to testify today, we look forward to working with you to promote resiliency while preserving affordability in our flood prone neighborhoods.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, thank you.

RACHEL EVE STEIN: Uh-huh...

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Isabelle?

ISABELLE SILVERMAN: Okay, now it's on.

Good afternoon Chair Constantinides and staff. My

name is Isabelle Silverman and I'm a Senior Fellow at

Environmental Defense Fund. Thank you for the

opportunity to testify. I'm going to leave out EDF, I

mean IDF is a not for profit organization, I think

you know that, we have 35,000 members in New York

City and over two million in the country. Over the

past few years EDF has worked closely with the

Mayor's Office of Sustainability on several important

sustainability issues such as the clean heat

initiatives, the retrofit accelerator, the Mayor's

carbon challenge, large building retrofit mandates,

electric vehicles and other energy and environmental

issues. We appreciate the productive working
relationship and the open dialogue we have with the
Mayor's Office of Sustainability and are encouraged
by the discipline and focus behind their efforts. EDF
supports the Mayor's Office of Sustainability's work
and wants to see them succeed in achieving the city's
goals of reducing greenhouse gases emissions by 80
percent by 2015. As per New York City's reports the
1.5-degree report aligning New York City with the
Paris Climate Agreement and New York City's roadmap,
map to 80 by 50. MOS has been declared the lead
agency for the vast majority of key actions that will
help move us towards the 80 by 50 goal. Implementing
and overseeing the different key actions and
programmatic goals will be challenging to say the
least and will require resources beyond what is
currently allocated. The city should take every step
to make sure that MOS is adequately staffed and
funded to advance the daunting task of decarbonizing
the city over the next 30 years. Major collaboration
and coordination across various agencies which is the
key function of MOS will be necessary to achieve the
city's ambitious goals. At the same time the Mayor's
Office MOS will need to stay at the forefront and

of upcoming sustainability issues and opportunities.
We only have 32 years to get this right and avoid
costly major sea level rises. Without adequate
funding and staffing MOS success will be hampered and
then regarding the Mayor's management report, the
city should include MOS's performance in the Mayor's
management report, it should analyze MOS and other
city agencies performance and progress towards the
city's, city's 80 by 50 goal. I did a little search
in the MMR, the Mayor's Management Report, there was
nothing about greenhouse gas emissions or
sustainability work searching for these words and yet
that's probably the most difficult one of the most
difficult tasks we'll have over the next 30 years.
The city should also track the financial costs to the
city of New York, of rising temperatures, extreme
weather events and rising sea levels on an ongoing
basis. And then I just wanted to mention briefly what
you said about asthma, of course the phase out of
number four heating oil helps tremendously [cross-
talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh...

24 [cross-talk]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

ISABELLE SILVERMAN: ...by providing... of course you know that and we'll, we'll be happy to help with that, advance that and... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I'm, I'm

ISABELLE SILVERMAN: Yeah, exactly

looking forward to it.

because these buildings really didn't have to do anything so far, they just stayed or they went from six to four oil which wasn't a big deal so now it's their turn to help clean up our air and keep us healthier and then I think also they're implementing rules that are going to be redone for Local Law 87 and those can also help us towards reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Everybody's talking about that eventually about 60 percent of buildings will have to go to heat pumps, electric heat pumps and move away from burning fossil fuels in their basements to generate heat and domestic hot water so it will be very helpful to have some pilot projects on that because they... the real estate agent industry's a little hesitant do it in large multifamily buildings or commercial buildings, it hasn't really done... been done enough in the city, more single family homes so that... the city will be...

very helpful if the city could help with pilot
projects or heat pumps and then what you said about
the Peaker Plants being turned off in the turned on
in the summer, I think it's so sad that to think
about so much electricity's probably just being
wasted in the city by air conditioning down to 67
degrees and, and, and air conditioning being on when
people are not even there, lights being on and then
we turn on Peaker Plants for basically to waste
energy so I mean that's sad. The retrofit mandates
you're working on, one of the ideas that EDF has is
as we have a minimum temperature in the during
heating season, the 68 degrees that we have to
provide to tenants [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh... [cross-talk]

also look at a maximum temperature in the heating season so let's say 78 degree and if they go consistently over that temperature and overheat consistently which causes great discomfort obviously to residents when they can't turn off their radiators that then the landlords are confronted with looking at their heating system and how to balance it better

2.2

to avoid overheating and underheating and that...

tenants could actually file a complaint with the city

when there is overheating and underheating. So, of

course we're looking at all of that and then set a

2050 goal, so the real estate industry knows where we

eventually want them to go to, you know

electrification. So, thank you very much and of

course we're available for guestions.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you Isabelle.

CATHERINE MCVAY HUGHES: Good afternoon

Chair Constantinides. My name is Catherine McVay

Hughes, I served 20 years as Manhattan Community

Board one Chair, half that time as Chair and Vice

Chair and after super storm Sandy I was appointed Cochair of the New York Rising Community Reconstruction

Program for Southern Manhattan. So, I just want to go

over a couple key points here. As you know 2017 was

the cost, costliest year ever for weather and climate

disasters in the United States totaling 306 billion

dollars. Moody's, a major credit rating agency has

added climate to credit risks now warn cities to

address their climate exposure or face rating

downgrades and FEMA, the future of the Federal

Emergency Management Agency, FEMA and its federal
flood insurance program is uncertain and FEMA's flood
insurance premiums are to rise this year. They are
slated to expire at the end of this July 2018 and
FEMA is more than 25 billion dollars in debt. We do
not know if or how much the federal government will
assist in rebuilding our communities if there's
another Sandy, it was only a superstorm it wasn't
even a hurricane one. So, the hurricane Sandy
recovery task force, can we get a status update on
that I asked some of my elected officials and I, I
never heard back on this. The members of this task
force were to be appointed by the Mayor and the city
council speaker within 120 days of the enactment of
this local law, this deadline has already passed. In
addition the task force was to submit to the Mayor
and the Speaker a report no later than 12 months, it
should be an update it should also include an update
on the lower Manhattan coastal resiliency project,
you have the map here known as LNCR which includes CB
one's segment, South of Brooklyn Bridge including the
historic South Street Seaport in the financial
district, you know Lower Manhattan is an island too
and we feel left out just like Staten Island. FiDi is

the fourth largest business district in the country
and where one out of every 18 citywide jobs are
located according to our local business improvement
district, BID. LMCR is in the planning phase with a
budget total to be determined and a completion date
to be determined. Okay, as you know I'm also a member
of the New York Harbor Regional Storm Surge Barrier
Working Group, we need to construct a layered defense
of local sea walls and regional New York harbor storm
surge system, so it could address future storm
surges. A 20 to 25-foot-high off shore storm surge
barrier system and I have a diagram in the testimony
so you can see it here, so this is the circle of
protection, would one, avoid the complex
hydrogeological built infrastructure and social
infrastructure issues faced by the current dual
purpose SIRR and RBD projects; two, could protect the
metro area for the next 100 years allowing for a long
term change; three, would protect far more
communities than the current SIRR and RBD projects
for the same 20 billion dollar cost, about the cost
of one 19 billion dollar Sandy type storm that was in
2012. The social justice case for the metropolitan
New York, New Jersey regional storm surge barrier

system has been demonstrated in a recently published
environmental law in New York, developments in
federal and state laws. For disclosure purposes I'm
one of the five authors on that, it highlights and
maps the low and middle-income communities and
communities of color, suffer more from Sandy and its
aftermath than wealthier neighborhoods. The same
communities also experienced lower and less effective
rebuilding efforts. The circle of protection defends
diverse income and racial groups at lower costs and
with better outcomes than local community-based
barriers such as are currently being planned. The
regional storm surge barrier is one of five
alternatives currently being considered by the US
Army Corp of Engineers in New York, New Jersey harbor
and tributaries known as HATS, coastal storm risk
feasibility study alternative to, has the perimeter
defenses only addressing sea level rise and building
a regional storm surge barrier to address the threat
of storm surge, this would shore in the coastline,
you heard is over 500 miles just on the New York City
side and provide a comprehensive protection for the
entire region, it has historic support and it is
currently alternative to and intensively selected

plan and I hope that this committee will hold a
hearing on it and support it as well. The Mayor's
management report, MMR, the city must track the
financial cost of climate change and add indicators
to capture sea level rise, energy use and green house
gas emissions. The 372-page preliminary 218 MMR
annual report was released in February 2018 and fails
to reflect the city's targets and goals to meet its
C40 commitment by 2020 and its 80 by 2050 target.
This document needs to be updated to include indices
that are annually measured and publicly shared so
that the progress can be monitored and evaluated
going forward. Also Local Law 22 of 2008 requires a
30 percent reduction in citywide greenhouse gas
emissions by 2013 and requires annual inventory and
analysis of greenhouse gas emissions by the city
government emissions by 2017, you know we heard some
numbers being bantered around and I just wanted you
to see on this document that the city produced last
fall on page 43, the citywide annual greenhouse gas
emissions, it's been steady, it if you just look at
the chart from 2005 so 2016, 2020, 2015, 2014, 2013
it's pretty stable there so we'd had the 15 percent
in the first ten years but how are we going to get to

2.2

the 80 by 2015 and this committee you have the
answers and the power to make sure that we're this
city does not you know that we stay above water so
thank you very much for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you all for your testimony, I appreciate your time, thank you. Alright, so Margarita Irmier [sp?]; Buck Moorhead; Judith Weis and Diana Switaj. I'm going to ask everyone... I, I don't want to use the clock today but I'm also going to ask you to be very succinct. So, if you can just make sure that your testimony is succinct today I, I don't want to have to use the clock and, and let's do this together so I appreciate that. Lisa I, I, I got you as well, yep I got you, you're coming up next. Alright. Alright, if you can start there on the left. Make sure your... push the button and make sure it shows red there.

JUDITH WEIS: Can you hear me now? Yeah... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I hear you now, alright... [cross-talk]

JUDITH WEIS: My name is Judith Weis, I'm a Professor of Merida at Rutgers University, I'm a Marine Biologist and I would like to comment on

shoreline structures which are in the works since
they've got sea level rise and, and hurricanes and so
forth, there are all kinds of ways of protecting
shorelines and they have different effects on the
animals that live in the water. In terms of the
marine life clearly a natural shoreline, a marsh such
as the woman who was testifying before who's marsh
is threatened, marshes are, are ideal, they provide
habitat for a variety of marine animals, for birds
and other land animals and wildlife. The salt marshes
also sequester a lot of pollutants including heavy
metals, including nitrogen that's a pollutant problem
for nutrient pollution including carbon dioxide which
is the cause of the sea level rising in the first
place. Some marshes also provide to a degree
protection for residents living behind it as she said
before. Enhanced salt marshes un it would be very
unlikely to say, and I wouldn't say if we had more
marshes we wouldn't have had all the problems from
Sandy, Sandy would have topped over marshes so it,
it's clear we would benefit from more, more marshes
and enhanced marshes but marshes alone are not going
to protect us from something of the magnitude of
Sandy. There are a variety of different kinds of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

hardened shorelines and on the reverse side of the paper you have, I hope you have it, there... on the back side there are some photos, right, so not all hardened and modified shorelines are equivalent. There are some... there are, are relatively new experiments going on, it's more than experiments, its, it's, it's trying out and, and apparently working pretty well something called living shorelines which are in areas where the shoreline of a marsh is getting eroded and sea level rise is effecting it and, and it's clearly eroding inward you put some large... either large boulders in the seaward side of the marsh or you can put oyster or something hard, oysters are certainly ideal, you have a double bed of fish from having oysters there and it, it is going to protect the marsh from the erosion that's happening from the sea level rise and I would like to recommend to you a book that came out last year called Living Shorelines and it's the first one in, in the references that I've provided. These articles about all sorts of kinds of living shorelines and I recommend that book to you heartily as giving you the background as you have to deal with considering these issues. There's also what is prevalence along the

Hudson River called riprap which are big boulders
along the edge of the slope and, and that's what,
what is mostly along the upper West side Hudson River
coastline and it turns out that riprap is much better
than a hard than, than a sea wall, riprap is almost
as good as a natural shoreline in terms of the number
of organisms and the diversity of organisms that can
live there. Another relatively let, let me say less
destructive approach is a breakwater which is a kind
of a wall but it's not right at the shoreline it's
out in the water a bit and this is one of the, the
things planned for the, I believe it's the Southern
coast of Staten Island that they would have these
breakwaters which is also not that bad because it's
not totally it's not eradicating the intertidal zone
which is what a sea wall does. A sea wall comes right
up and you've got the land on the one side and the
water on the other side and you have no longer an
intertidal zone and the intertidal zone is there's,
there's you know myriads of creatures that live in
the intertidal zone and their habitat is totally gone
if you put a wall right at the edge, if you put the
wall out in the water they still have their
intertidal zone. And so, the last one I wanted to

mention are bulkheads or sea walls which is a very
common thing that urban communities have and that's
the kind where you're intertidal zone is gone all
together and it's by far the least conducive to
marine life but there has been some, some experiments
and, and it written up in one of the chapters in
that book about what the city of Seattle did with
their sea wall. A sea wall doesn't have to be just a
flat wall, you can give it texture, you can give it
things that stick out, you can envision, you know
large flower pots attached on the outside of the sea
wall so now it will gather some sediments, you'll get
a whole bunch of other animals being able to live on
it so providing texture and a three dimensional
aspect to that flat wall can be really helpful and
there's an article about the Seattle sea wall. If you
just google Seattle sea wall you can learn a lot
about it and see pictures of what they did and that
was done, I'd say I don't know five, five to ten
years ago, less than ten years ago. So, the that was
what I wanted to talk about. I would just like to
make one comment in reference to a remark that was
made earlier about the what was it called the, the,
the barrier, the storm surge barrier, pictures I've

seen of, of that plan includes at the New Jersey end
and the… is it… it's Rockaway or Coney Island end
permanent things that are projecting into the New
York Harbor so it's not that the stuff sits on land
and then when the storm is coming it closes up,
they're sitting permanently there narrowing the
channel for the water to go in and out and then when
the storm is coming then the whole thing closes but
it's permanently obstructing the normal flow of the
water and if you picture water that's going pretty
fast and now you reduce where its got to go through
its going to go through like dickens, right, it's
going to go through a lot faster because you've taken
away a lot of the room for it to be in and under
those circumstances the scouring and destruction of
the shore line and the bottom by this water that's
going full force into and out of the harbor can be
really destructive and I don't think the people who
are planning that thing have thought, thought about
that [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, alright... [cross-talk]

JUDITH WEIS: ...part... [cross-talk]

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you... 3 [cross-talk] JUDITH WEIS: ...and that's... [cross-talk] 4 CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you, 5 6 next. 7 BUCK MOORHEAD: Hi, I'm Buck Moorhead, I'm a Board Member with New York Passive House. Thank 8 you Chairman Constantinides for having this hearing 9 and your patience in listening to all of us speak 10 11 here, we... [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Okay... 12 13 [cross-talk] 14 BUCK MOORHEAD: ...appreciate it. New York 15 Passive House fully supports the goals of, of the 80 16 by 50 plan. We recognize as, as most people do that 17 75 percent of, of the city's energy use is in 18 building energy... [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh... 19 20 [cross-talk] BUCK MOORHEAD: ...and about half of that 21 2.2 energy is actually in heating and cooling load so 23 focus on measures that address the buildings envelope and reduction of those required heating and cooling 24

loads will effectively reduce the overall required

2.2

energy use. We appreciate the efforts that the city council has made very aggressively and specifically your committee to propose legislation that's directed at energy conservation and also alternative energy measures that you've done, I mean there were six or seven bills in June I think or some... if I'm... I may be miscounting but we... so we... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: We passed 16 bills last year out of the committee, so we were busy...

BUCK MOORHEAD: Oh, I was just missing a digit there.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Yeah, there you go.

BUCK MOORHEAD: So, we appreciate the efforts of the city council, we also appreciate the efforts of the Mayor's Office for long term planning and sustainability which has been working... you know we, we find when New York Passive House assists with these measures that we're, we're talking with city council and we're also talking to the Mayor's Office about ways to find the best ways to, to draft that legislation so that it's, it's readily understood and that it's implementable and that you can measure and

verify outcomes at the end because we want it all to
work... [cross-talk]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Right...

BUCK MOORHEAD: Energy conservation is, is really the, the, the least sexied of these alternative… it's not an alternative energy it's simply reducing the amount of energy you require, we'll always want solar and wind in alternative energy but it will be… you'll just need less solar if, if one takes care of the envelop properly so we will continue New York Passive House as a collaborative organization, we want to work with city council, with the Mayor's Office as we can, with other of our colleagues, environmental organizations to try to help form the best way forward so thank you for what you're doing.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you.

DIANA: Hello, good afternoon, my name is Diana Switaj, I'm Director of Planning and Land Use at Manhattan Community Board one who's district includes most of Manhattan below Canal Street South of the Brooklyn Bridge as well as Ellis, Governor's, and Liberty Island. Thank you for holding this

important hearing today and inviting testimony on
sustainability and resiliency. CB1 commends the many
years of leadership from the city council in tackling
greenhouse gas emissions from our buildings, the
largest source of the city's carbon emissions. Intro
1745 of 2017 is a bold and innovative step towards
cutting carbon emissions and reaffirm New York City's
leadership in tackling climate change. We look
forward to the council reintroducing and passing this
bill, a critical step in the holistic approach to
addressing sustainability and resiliency. Lower
Manhattan continues to be one of America's largest
business districts and our residential population is
one of the fastest growing in the whole city. Our
district is only 1.5 square miles, but it has a huge
impact on the city and regional economies. In 2013
Lower Manhattan had a gross economic output of over
62 billion dollars and generated an estimated 2.4
billion dollars in city tax revenues. Our district
remains a resilient place that more and more people
want to live, work and visit. We have a lot of work
to do to ensure that these powerful growth trends
result in a district that is protected and livable
for all. At a height of seven feet Community District

one experienced one of the highest indentation levels
in Manhattan during superstorm Sandy, two people in
our district drowned and the storm resulted in
billions of dollars of damage to infrastructure,
housing and commercial property and utilities. As we
approach the sixth anniversary of superstorm Sandy
the board is concerted both the short term and long-
term time frames as Lower Manhattan remains largely
unprotected. We face an increasing potential for
suffering extreme weather events and subsequent
damage to Lower Manhattan and low-lying areas across
the city. CB1 has worked collaboratively with the
city, state and federal representatives since October
2012 when Sandy devastated our community. We thank
the city for the funds it has already contributed
towards resiliency in Lower Manhattan. The Lower
Manhattan coastal resiliency project or LMCR is
underway but there is a substantial funding
shortfall, CB1 maintains that it is critical to fully
finance the LMCR project and ensure that our district
is protected in the future. It is unclear where the
required funding will come from and we urge the city
to find ways of securing additional funding resources
for the construction of a more resilient Lower

Manhattan. As the LMCR project goes through the
initial analysis and preliminary design stages more
is uncovered that adds challenge to an already
monumental task. Not only is Lower Manhattan
surrounded by water on three sides, but all of the
edges have been built out on land fill presenting
unique vulnerability and challenges. The Office of
Recovery and Resiliency team is uncovering more
complexity in protecting Lower Manhattan than was
ever imagined and this will lead to greater
challenges, cost and commitment. CB1 also commends
the Mayor's Office of Sustainability and the Mayor's
Office of Recovery and Resiliency on the work they
have done thus far to analyze the problem and begin
to formulate a plan both for the long term and more
recently for intermediate measures. This is herculean
task that has never before been attempted however
every year since Sandy that the city doesn't endure a
hurricane feels like a narrow miss and eventually our
luck will run out. We must all work together to
ensure that creative and effective sustainability and
resiliency measures are put in place to protect Lower
Manhattan and the entire city now and in perpetuity.
Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: I look

forward to working with you guys and, and exploring

this further so thank you all for your testimony

today. So, the, the, the last panel Lisa DiCaprio;

Andress Banning, Benzing, sorry and either Pisa Core

there? On the... on the left there, yep, uh-huh.

Thank you Chairperson

or Patrick Houston. Alright, do you want to start

ANDREAS:

Constantinides for allowing us to testify today at the public hearing. My name is Andreas Benzing, I'm President of New York Passive House and I will keep it very short. Mayor De Blasio has called climate change the challenge of our generation and New York City has responded to this challenge by committing to achieving greenhouse gas reduction of 80 percent by 2050. Passive House buildings which which achieve substantial energy reductions and resiliency through cost effective and skillful design and construction are key to achieve these commitments. These buildings use up to 90 percent less energy for heating and cooling and up to 70 percent less energy overall. Furthermore, in the event of power outage they can remain comfortable for long periods even in extreme weather. With the substantial lower energy

2.2

requirement, the Passive House approach enables the construction of net zero energy buildings that feature low to no resilience on fossil fuel energy. It is exciting to see the application of Passive House evolving in New York City, it currently has about 100 building, large buildings as well going up in the city or, or around the city. We hope it will be included in the commercial court as well and we applaud your leadership in pushing energy efficiency for buildings in the city. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you. Thank you.

DiCaprio, I am a Professor of Social Sciences at NYU where I teach courses on sustainability. Thank you for the opportunity to speak at this oversight hearing on recovery and resiliency. In it's January 7th, 2018 New York Times article on the implications and politicization of the new theme of flood maps for New York City David Chin notes that New York with it's 520 miles of coastline quote, "has more residents living in high risk flood zones than any other city in the country and the pace of development along the water has only increased since superstorm

Sandy", are the waterfront edge design guidelines
adequate given new scientific studies about the
relentless rise of our oceans which will only
accelerate in the future? The climate central surging
seas risk zone map illustrates how New York City will
be flooded at different levels of sea level rise. We
should be especially alarmed by the current and
projected days of sunny day, high tide flooding. This
phenomenon as New York Times reporter Justin Gillis
explained in his September 3 rd , 2016 article,
flooding of coast caused by global warming has
already begun is now real and not just a theoretical
possibility. Quote, "for decades as the global
warming created by human emissions cause land ice to
melt and ocean water to expand scientists warned that
the accelerating rise of the sea would eventually
imperil the United States coastline, now those
warnings are no longer theoretical, the inundation of
the coast has begun, the sea has crept up to the
point that a high tide and a brisk wind are all it
takes to send water pouring into streets and homes"
end quote. A new national oceanic and atmospheric
administration report on sunny day, high tide
flooding is detailed in a March 28 th , 2018 Washington

Post article by Jason Samuels. Federal report, high
tide flooding could happen every other day by late
this century. By 2050 according to this report's
projections quote, "high tide flooding will occur
between 50 and 250 days per year along the East coast
depending on the greenhouse gas emission scenario",
end quote. Astrid Caldas, Senior Climate Scientist at
the Union of Concerned Scientists is quoted as saying
quote, "just imagine seeing streets and property
flooded every other day, that gives a completely new
meaning to the term nuisance flooding or actually it
completely obliterates the concept as flooding would
become much more than a nuisance but a rather serious
problem requiring significant resources and
innovative policies", end quote. In New York City
sunny day, high tide flooding is already affecting
several low-lying communities in Queens that surround
Jamaica Bay as described in Nathan Kessinger's
October 12 th , 2017 article in Queens, chronic
flooding and sea level rise go hand in hand. These
neighborhoods include; Hamilton Beach, Broad Channel
and Howard Beach. What is the status of the current
resiliency projects for these communities which
include a new storm surge berm, street raising and

bulkhead projects? According to projections of sea
level rise made by the New York City panel on climate
change certain areas of Hamilton Beach and Broad
Channel may experience tidal flooding on a daily
basis. Are the current resiliency projects adequate
given these predictions or are they simply providing
the illusion of protection? Related to this question,
is New York City sufficiently prioritizing planning
and the allocation of resources for resiliency
initiatives or even relocation if necessary for
existing communities at risk? Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you Lisa.

PATRICK HOUSTON: Hello, my name is... hello, can you hear me?

LISA DICAPRIO: You want to try this one?

PATRICK HOUSTON: Yeah. Thank you.

Patrick, I am with New York Communities for Change,

Alright, there we go. Hey everybody, my name is

21 Patrick Houston with New York Communities for Change.

Thank you for the time to testify and today I'm going

to go through the document that I will share with you

24 but for the sake of staying within the time limit

2 I'll skip over small sections so if you see me 3 briefing through...

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Uh-huh...

PATRICK HOUSTON: So, as you know inequality and climate change are two of the greatest moral crisis of our time, they are deeply intertwined and they're especially an issue here in New York and so at the city level New York Communities for Change we're focused on the city's top source of climate pollution and the greatest job creation opportunity outside of direct spending to create jobs which is to clean up dirty buildings here in the city. So, buildings like Trump Towers, like the Kushner building, large buildings like this are only two percent of the city's buildings overall but they're responsible for almost half of the city's climate pollution and so New York as a whole currently generates about 50 million metric tons of CO2 equivalent, a large... and this is to large buildings... large buildings over 25,000 square feet that's the two percent, they generate about 20 to 25 million metric tons of CO2 equivalent pollution. So, that's more pollution than most countries so as you know the administration has done a wonderful analysis of the

problem and the One NYC which follows on the Plan NYC
and the key is to follow through. So, you know the
council passed the Mayor's the council passed and
the Mayor enacted law committing New York City to at
least 80 percent reductions of pollution by 2050 and
the city has bound itself to act but the problem is
that the Mayor's plan for addressing nearly half of
the city's climate pollution is hugely insufficient
in terms of climate and air pollution reductions it
also doesn't do a good job at addressing good and
fair labor standards and even worse it will lead to
and contribute to the widespread MCI issue with the
rent hikes in rent regulated housing already about
two million New Yorkers depend on rent regulated
housing. So, to be precise the pollution impact of
the Mayor's plan for large buildings will cut
pollution citywide about seven percent by 2030 but
those large buildings are nearly again 50 percent of
the city's climate problem climate pollution. The
Mayor's proposal would lead to large scale energy
would not lead to large scale energy efficiency
upgrades. So, since it doesn't require large scale
upgrades except in a limited number of residential
buildings it therefor does not create the economic

activity needed nor that economic activity that is
potential. So, NYC will not get the jobs that could
employ thousands of people especially people in
moderate and low income communities because a lot of
these jobs won't require a college education and
other forms of advanced education and so I'm going to
jump down now so thank you Council Member and
Chairperson Constantinides for the plan that you've
been working on, this is the Intro 1745 and by our
experts analysis the this Intro 1745 generates about
13 percent of climate pollution cuts by 2050, that's
much better than the Mayor's projected seven percent
by 2050 so we believe that that plan 1745 is on to a
good start and our experts say if continued it can
help us achieve 80 percent reductions by 2050 so it's
at the right pace. And so, the Mayor's plan and, and
Intro 1745 both unfortunately would lead to rent
hikes in rent regulated housing and so displacement
and homelessness are a crisis that we do not want to
contribute to as we address the, the climate issue.
I'm going to jump down. And so, it is our hope that
any bill that's introduced on buildings on this topic
fixes the problem of and avoids the problem of rent
regulated housing and incurring the cost of MCIs from

the building retrofits. New York City must also and
then the second key part, New York City must also
ensure that good labor standards to produce good jobs
and high-quality work should be part of any final
legislation, on excuse me final legislation or
package of legislation and budget items. This
oversight form shines a spotlight on a fundamental
failing of the MOS, the lack of even a plan or
proposal much less finalized law to reach 80 by 50,
that's impossible without dealing with large
buildings that ought to be at the top priority of the
Mayor's Office of Sustainability agenda. And last I'm
going to jump down one more time to the bottom of the
third page, so we believe that, you know it's time
beyond time for New York to become the world's leader
with providing addressing climate issues and
becoming a jobs leader as well by requiring that
large buildings slash climate pollutions drastically
on pace to achieve 80 by 50 and that's going to
require that these mandates go through to 2050 and
not stop at 2030. Two, that fair labor standards are
attached to these jobs and finally that tenants of
affordable housing don't incur the cost of the energy
efficiency retrofits in large buildings. So, again

thank you for the ability to testify and thank you for the work that you've begun with addressing dirty buildings in New York City.

CHAIRPERSON CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you, thank you all for your testimony today, I appreciate your time. With that I thank everyone who testified today for your time and your input here today and we look forward to continuing working with both the Mayor's Office of Sustainability and the Office of Recovery and Resiliency. I want to thank the staff as well, today everyone... all of our staff, my Legislative Attorney both of them today; Nadia Johnson our Policy Analysist, Jonathan Seltzer our Financial Analyst and my staff Nick Widzowski so with that I will gavel this meeting of the Environmental Protection Committee closed.

[gavel]

2.2

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 4, 2018