CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

Jointly with

COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

----- X

March 27, 2018 Start: 10:37 a.m. Recess: 7:42 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Stephen T. Levin

Chairperson

Andy L. King Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Adrienne E. Adams

Diana Ayala

Vanessa L. Gibson

Mark Gjonaj

Barry S. Grodenchik

Brad S. Lander Antonio Reynoso

Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

Ritchie J. Torres

Mark Treyger
Inez D. Barron
Robert F. Holden

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Mark Levine
Bill Perkins
Jumaane D. Williams

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Steven Banks Commissioner of DSS, HRA & DHS

Molly Murphy
DSS First Deputy Commissioner

Grace Bonilla HRA Administrator

Joslyn Carter
DHS Administrator

Ellen Levine DSS Chief Planning and Financial Management Officer

Scott French
DSS Chief of Staff

David A. Hansell ACS Commissioner

Lisa Parrish
Deputy Commissioner for Financial Services at ACS

Lorelei Vargas Deputy Commissioner of Child and Family Justice

Felipe Franco
Deputy Commissioner of Youth and Family Justice

Catherine Trapani Homeless Services United

Christa Perfit City Harvest

Michelle Jackson Human Services Council

Stephanie Gendell Citizens' Committee for Children

Susan Stetzer
District Manager Manhattan Community Board

Jesse Laymon NYCETC

Ariel Sharansky
UJA Federation

Triada Stampas Food Bank NYC

Edline Jaquet FPWA

Joyce McMillan
Child Welfare Organizing Project

Lisa Caswell
Day Care Council of New York

Kate Rubin
Youth Represent

Gisele Castro Exalt Youth

Alexis Sanders Exalt Youth

Maria Wallace
Picture the Homeless

Jose Rodriguez
Picture the Homeless

Scott Hutchins
Picture the Homeless

Mary Crosby

G.L. Tyler
DC1707

Kevin Kuros Community Connections for Youth

Wendy O'Shields Urban Justice Center

Shelley Anderson Sheltering Arms

Mikayla Terrell Sheltering Arms

Gregory Brender United Neighborhood Houses

Kirk Wilson Browery Hotel CB3

Robin Vitale
American Heart Association

Andrea Bowen
Transgender and Gender Nonconforming Solutions
Coalition

John Sentiger Covenant House New York

Danette Rivera
Jesus is the Answer Community Outreach Center

Stephanie Phillips Sylvia Rivera Law Project

Sasha Alexander Sylvia Rivera Law Project

Jackson Wolfe Sylvia Rivera Law Project

Nancy Rankin Fair Fares

Janice Tosto Writer's Alliance

Gillani Englin Good Call

Malik Reeves Good Call

Olivia Dana Staten Island Justice Center

Towaki Komatsu

1 2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good morning, 3 everybody. My apologies for my tardiness. I was a 4 across the street at a Land Use vote. So, my 5 apologies for starting late this morning. I am 6 Stephen Levin, Chair of the Council's General Welfare 7 Committee. I want to thank you all for joining us for 8 the Fiscal 2019 Preliminary Budget hearing be held for the General Welfare Committee. The City's Fiscal 10 2019 Preliminary Budget totals 88.6 billion dollars 11 of which 14.1 billion dollars, or 16 percent, funds 12 the three social services agencies: Human Resources Administration, otherwise known as HRA, the 13 14 Administration for Children's Services, otherwise 15 known as ACS, and the Department of Homeless 16 Services, otherwise known as DHS. These agencies are 17 in charge of providing support and assistance to the 18 most vulnerable New Yorkers, and today, we will hear 19 from these agencies on each of their proposed Fiscal 20 We want to know how the agencies' new 19 budgets. 21 initiatives, various funding adjustments, and new 22 policies will impact their ability to serve New 23 Yorkers, and how through an effective budget agencies 24 are striving to render the best possible services to

the most vulnerable in the City. We will begin this

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 9 hearing with the Department of Social Services which combines HRA and DHS under one Commissioner. provides economic support and social services to families and individuals for them to fight poverty and to attain self-sufficiency. HRA helps over three million New Yorkers through the administration of major public assistance programs including Cash Assistance and SNAP, and provides central benefits such as Emergency Food and Protective Services. HRA also works in partnership with DHS to address the current homeless crisis. In an effort to combat homelessness, HRA created the Homelessness Prevention Administration which includes anti-eviction and tenant support legal services and rental assistance programs. HRA's proposed Fiscal 19 Preliminary Budget totals 9.8 billion dollars and reflects significant commitments towards homelessness prevention. Total budgeted spending for homeless prevention in Fiscal 19 that includes legal assistance, rental assistance, and HomeBase is 358 million dollars. I commend the rapid rehousing approach that the City has adopted and the continued investment in programs that help New Yorkers avoid homelessness and move people from shelters into

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE permanent housing. HRA has also created landlord incentive programs to encourage them to accept rental assistance vouchers. However, in high-rent municipalities like New York City, we have to be cognizant of the fact that the rental assistance vouchers are chasing a diminishing resource of affordable housing, "affordable housing." The rental allowances need to be reflective of fair market rents around the City for landlords to be incentivized to accept them and for clients to have a successful experience with them. The Council wants to be a partner in streamlining and improving the rental assistance programs so that it can successfully arrest the rate of growth of homelessness in New York City. I look forward to discussing the homelessness prevention programs here today. DHS' proposed Fiscal 19 Preliminary Budget totals 1.82 billion dollars, increased by 203 million dollars or 10.3 percent when compared to its fiscal -- to the Fiscal 18 Adopted Budget. DHS modifies its budget throughout the year to accommodate the growing adult and family shelter population. The percent increase between the Adopted Budget and the actual spending related to shelter spending was 40 percent in Fiscal 17 and 12 percent

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE to-date in Fiscal 18. So, while that trend is moving in the right direction, it still represents an increase. While I wholeheartedly support budget modifications as needs arise versus over budgeting at the beginning of the fiscal year, this recalibration at every budget plan reveals that the Administration expects the homeless census to fully stabilize, yet the prevention and rehousing initiatives are yet to yield all of the expected results. Through this budget process I would very much like to open a discussion on what the target of move-out and stabilization is in relation to the investments and what is to be realistically expected for the homeless census in the coming years, thereby adopting a realistic budget for DHS for Fiscal 19 and the outyears. While New York is one of the most prosperous cities in the world, there is a darker side of the There were 1.4 million food-insecure New Yorkers who rely on food pantries and soup kitchens to feed themselves and their families. hardworking individuals and families whose SNAP benefits are insufficient. If that wasn't troubling enough, there are now more uncertainties presenting themselves from the current federal administration

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE surrounding the SNAP program. I'm very concerned that further reductions in Emergency Food Assistance Program, otherwise known as EFAP, will put incredible strains on the City's emergency food assistance system and for hungry New Yorkers who rely on the system. We need additional baseline funding for EFAP to combat hunger instead of one-year additions we have been seeing over the last three fiscal years. During this hearing, I would like to hear how DSS plans to address the aforementioned issues and others that the City faces at this point, and besides the services and programs of these agencies, we will also discuss how their budgets can be made more transparent and clearly structured so that the City's fiscal monitors, including us here at the City Council are able to access more information more easily. Before I welcome the Commissioner, I'd like to thank the Committee Staff for their work on this hearing: Nameera Nushat [sp?], Finance Analyst who has put together a tremendous briefing paper for the committee members; Doheni Sampura [sp?], our Unit Head who has done a fantastic job; Amenta Killawan [sp?], Counsel for the Committee; Robbie Akaseem

[sp?], Legal Fellow; and Tanya Cyrus our Policy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 13 1 Analyst for the Committee in preparing for today's 2 hearings. I would now like to welcome the DSS 3 Commissioner, Steve Banks, the Administrator for DSS, 4 5 Joslyn Carter, the Administrator for HRA, Grace 6 Bonilla, the First Deputy Commissioner for DSS, Molly 7 Murphy, the DSS Chief Program and Planning Officer, Ellen Levine, and Chief of Staff for DSS, Scott 8 Thank you, Commissioner. Before you 9 French. 10 testify, can you all raise your hand, please? Do you agree or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth 11 12 and nothing but the truth and to answer Council 13 Members' questions honestly? 14 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yes. 15 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. You may 16 proceed. 17 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Good morning. I'd 18 like to thank the Committee and Chair Levin for giving us this opportunity to testify today about the 19 20 Department of Social Services' Fiscal Year 2019 Preliminary Budget and our ongoing efforts to serve 21 2.2 low-income New Yorkers. My name is Steven Banks and 23 I am the Commissioner of the New York City Department of Social Services, and in this capacity I oversee 24

the Human Resources Administration, HRA, and the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE Department of Homeless Services, DHS. And as the Chair indicated, I'm joined by DSS First Deputy Commissioner Molly Murphy, HRA Administrator Grace Bonilla, DHS Administrator Joslyn Carter, DSS Chief Program Planning and Financial Management Officer Ellen Levine, and DSS Chief of Staff Scott French who is providing the PowerPoint presentation today. four years ago, I appeared before this Committee at the Executive Budget hearing in 2014. I seem to recall Council Member Lander, my Council Member, taking a picture, and announced a series of major reforms at HRA to implement policy changes that this Committee and many in the audience that day and today had long called for. These changes involved a substantial number of reforms that would enable HRA to address poverty and income inequality more effectively than the agency had been doing during the prior two decades. One of the ways we moved forward with these reforms was to self-fund increased staffing needs by repurposing approximately 550 central administrative positions to front-line client service delivery positions. And in April 2016 following the 90-day review of homeless services and in March 2017 following the release of the Mayor's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE Turning the Tide plan, I announced significant managerial and policy changes to reform how the City had approached homelessness during the past four decades; these changes reflect the fundamental reforms that have been needed for a very long time. At the core of these reforms are maximizing a prevention-first focus to avert homelessness whenever possible and transforming the City's approach to the provision of shelter and homeless services. Preliminary Budget for the Department of Social Services, including both HRA and DHS, includes continued major investments in social services and homeless services programs that have been missing in the past. In this testimony, we will discuss some of the crucial investments and reforms that we've made. As this testimony will highlight, our investments are beginning to show signs of progress, but we know that we have much more work to do to address the problems that built up over many years. At the outset, I want to provide the context in which DSS serves three million clients each year. Poverty and homelessness are often attributed to individual decision-making and individual circumstances, rather than underlying

structural inequality. However, structural

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE inequality is a reality for the families and individuals we serve every day. Between 2000 and 2014, the median New York City rent increased by 18.3 percent in real dollars and household income increased by only 4.8 percent in real dollars. Furthermore, between 1994 and 2012, the city suffered a net loss of about 16 percent of the total rentregulated housing stock, amounting to approximately 150,000 units. Combined, these and other trends meant by 2015 the city had only half the housing it needed for about three million low-income New Yorkers. And while the city's overall rental vacancy rate of 3.5 percent poses a problem for people across all incomes, renters who are only able to afford an apartment costing 800 dollars or less must search in a market with a vacancy rate of a mere 1.15 percent in 2017, down from 1.8 percent in 2014. Roughly three out of every ten of New York City's renters are severely rent-burdened, meaning that they spend more than 50 percent of their income on rent. these individuals and families facing rent burden are also those who cycle in and out of poverty, living just one personal crisis away from homelessness.

fact, an ongoing longitudinal study suggests that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE nearly half of all New Yorkers lived in poverty at some point between 2012 and 2014, the three-year period studied, unable to manage the experience of this income volatility. As a result of these structural economic factors, today's face of homelessness has changed: 70 percent of today's DHS shelter census now consists of families, of which more than one-third of the families with children have an adult who is working. At the same time, domestic violence is one of the major drivers of homelessness, with some 30 percent of the families with children in the DHS shelter system having a history of domestic violence. Lastly, for nearly a decade our single adult census has grown by approximately 1,000 individuals a year, fueled in recent years by direct discharges from State prisons. Let's begin by focusing on one of our most significant reforms over the past four years, the reduction of counter-productive public benefits case closings and adverse case actions that resulted in unnecessary State administrative fair hearings and subjected the City to a potential 10 million-dollar annual State financial penalty. Now, as a result of a change in State Law in 2016 for which we advocated,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 18 HRA no longer imposes durational public benefits sanctions, meaning that clients do not lose essential benefits necessary to keep them in their homes and feed themselves and their children as they did in the past. And administrative costs, as well as staff resources are no longer being diverted, as the number of unnecessary State fair hearings is going down. Consistent with State law, we now afford clients the opportunity to comply with requirements so they can immediately cure a sanction without losing their benefits. By addressing counterproductive policies and procedures that led to punitive actions, including sanctions associated with negative outcomes for clients, such as homelessness, we have transformed the way clients interact with HRA. also removed duplicative and unnecessary administrative transactions that adversely affect staff workload, as well as clients. As a result of these reforms, State fair hearings have declined by nearly 40 percent, from 396,196 in Fiscal Year 14 to 247,253 in Fiscal 17, and the City is no longer subject to a potential 10 million-dollar annual State financial penalty for unnecessary State hearings.

Our approach has been straightforward: make it easier

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE for clients to obtain and keep benefits for which they are eligible. As a part of our December 2014 State Office of Temporary Assistance and Disability Assistance approved Employment Plan, effective December 31, 2016, HRA eliminated the Work Experience Program or WEP program and replaced it with other more effective work activity initiatives, including additional Job Training slots as well as other education and training programs. These additional JTP positions and wage increases for various JTP positions have been funded at \$12 million in FY18. Following a procurement process that included extensive consultation with stakeholders, in April 2017, HRA launched a comprehensive new approach to help approximately 70,000 New Yorkers on Cash Assistance who are able to work find the right opportunities that match their skills, needs, and career goals. These clients on our caseload who are able to work include approximately 24,000 clients who are already working, but do not earn enough to move out of poverty and off our caseload. Our new approach leaves behind the one-size-fits-all of the past to offer services that take into consideration the individual needs of clients, while providing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE specialized services for youth and other groups with more specific needs. The new approach offers more meaningful opportunities to clients, including education and training as now permitted under federal and state law. These programs began in April 2017 and we are seeing promising results in less than a year. These include: Clients have enrolled in Alternative Engagement, which are opportunities for clients to access education and training programs external to HRA and its contracted providers with organizations such as BronxWorks, Central Brooklyn Economic Development Corporation, Per Scholas, Brooklyn Education Opportunity Center, St. Nick's Alliance, and Agudath Israel. Financial counseling has been a very successful tool for engaging YouthPathways clients who are our clients under the age of 24. To date, 1,232 YouthPathways client participants have engaged in financial counseling services, and already 519 outcomes have been achieved relating to opening safe bank accounts, increasing credit scores, reducing debt, increasing savings, and taking financial steps towards enrollment in a training/education program. 3,914 clients have enrolled in education and training offered directly

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE by our contracted providers. In FY18, we were funded at 278 million dollars, \$103 million tax levy, for employment and related support services such as transportation, and the Preliminary Budget continues these investments. Another area of significant reform and investment is enhancing services for clients with disabilities. HRA's Customized Assistance Services provide both direct and contractual clinically-oriented services and expertise in the areas of health, mental health, substance use, and rehabilitation for clients served through HRA's programs. The program is budgeted at 96 million dollars annually. In order to improve our services for clients with disabilities, we settled the Lovely H. class action that was filed by the Legal Aid Society in 2005. Working with an expert consultant, HRA developed and is now using tools to assess whether clients need reasonable accommodations as the result of physical and/or mental health limitations or other impairments. HRA then provides the appropriate accommodations, including referrals to HRA's Wellness, Comprehensive Assessment, Rehabilitation and Employment program and other

services designed to assess and meet the needs of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE clients with disabilities. For example, WeCARE has had success in helping New Yorkers with disabilities achieve their personal highest level of independence through obtaining and retaining employment or securing federal disability benefits. So far in this fiscal year, there have been increases in key service areas: a 12 percent increase in federal disability awards for clients and an 8.4 percent increase in the number of clients with disabilities placed in employment. In January of 2012, this Committee held a hearing on long lines and overcrowding at HRA Job Centers and SNAP Centers and reported long wait times at our centers. Beginning in 2014, we invested in significant reforms to begin to address this problem. As a result of SNAP in-center foot traffic has declined 32 percent since 2014. The percent of SNAP applications submitted online increased from 23 percent in 2013 to 78 percent in 2018 and the percent of SNAP application interviews conducted by phone increased from 29 percent in 2013 to 83 percent in In December 2017, the citywide average wait time was 53 minutes for Job Centers and 32 minutes for SNAP Centers. We built on the initial technology allocations of the prior Administration with two

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE primary goals for our continued modernization efforts: improve the client experience and optimize operational efficiency. By removing real barriers to access and creating a self-directed service model for clients, we now permit applicants and clients to conduct a broad range of transactions with the Agency without the burden of having to physically come to an HRA location. And over time these investments are helping to reduce our physical footprint and save on expensive lease costs while making it easier for clients to apply for and maintain their benefits. Our investment in benefits reengineering through FY17 was 159.2 million dollars. The implementation of ACCESS HRA is the cornerstone of our modernization efforts. For a full summary of ACCESS HRA improvements, you can review our testimony at January's Hunger Hearing, but here are a few key highlights: As of February 2018 there were more than 1 million ACCESS HRA online accounts for SNAP/food stamps households. We now receive over 24,000 online applications each month and continue to implement ondemand interviews for SNAP/food stamps applicants and clients. Today, all SNAP recertification eligibility interviews can be conducted at a client's convenience

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE by phone, rather than in a rigid four-hour window under the old system, or clients can choose to come into a center and wait for an in-person interview. In October 2015, before the implementation of the ondemand call center, only 52 percent of the completed SNAP recertification interviews were conducted via telephone. We now have 76 percent of the interviews held by phone, a 46 percent increase. On-demand interviews for SNAP recertifications have been fully in place for more than a year and now on-demand interviews for new SNAP applicants are being phased in. Following our implementation of this service for Brooklyn clients last year, we have just announced an expansion that enables new Manhattan and Staten Island SNAP applicants to complete their eligibility interviews using on-demand services. The Bronx and Queens will be next; we anticipate having these services fully available to our clients in these boroughs by the end of the calendar year. We have also rolled out the HRA Mobile App, a self-service mobile app to give clients the ability to use their mobile device to better manage their cases by having immediate access to case details and the ability to submit required documents from their smartphones.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE Since the application's launch in March 2017 clients have uploaded more than two million images. addition, we've modernized our centers by providing on-site self-services. For clients who prefer to access our services inside one of our centers, we now have a suite of self-service tools, which include self-service check-in kiosks and PC Banks to utilize ACCESS HRA and self-service scanning of documents directly in our centers. In sum, by providing an enhanced client experience, these lower-touch service models free up our eligibility workers' time so they can focus on those clients who need more support and assistance. In partnership with the Speaker of this Council, prior to his assuming this new office, we've made two major reforms to expand services for clients with HIV/AIDS. First, in the State's Fiscal Year 2014-15 budget, we successfully advocated for a 30 percent rent cap to be applied for HASA clients and then implemented this critical policy change expeditiously based on OTDA eligibility instructions, with the City covering 50 percent of the rental assistance costs. Second, on August 29, 2016, we expanded the medical eligibility criteria for the HASA program to permit all financially-eligible New

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE York City residents with HIV to seek and obtain HASA services so clients with HIV do not have to wait until they have AIDS to get help from us. New York City is implementing this program with only 29 percent reimbursement from the State. As of January 2018, HASA provides services for 33,772 cases, which include 34,354 clients and 10,289 associated case members on those cases, 3,822 of these cases were newly eligible pursuant to our HASA for All expansion. As part of our implementation of this HASA for All initiative, we are working with Housing Works to implement and evaluate a series of pilot programs to help us modernize our client services in HASA. The FY19 Jan Plan allocates \$185 million, \$90 million tax levy, for HIV/AIDS housing and support services this year. Examples of other key reforms and investments for our social services programs include: Adding 239 emergency beds and 54 transitional units to our domestic violence shelter system, with more on the way so that we can increase our capacity to help 13,300 children and adults a year, an approximately 50 percent increase over the prior level of 8,800 individuals served annually.

This is the first increase in domestic violence

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE shelter capacity since 2010. Participating as a member of the Food Collaborative in the first evaluations of food distribution, capacity, and food equity to identify gaps and address ongoing Emergency Food Assistance Program, EFAP, needs in the FY19 budget. Increasing baseline funding for legal assistance for immigrants from seven million dollars in FY13 to 29.7 million dollars in FY18, which enabled immigrant New Yorkers to receive legal aid in approximately 15,000 cases in FY17, as a result of the four-fold increase in the City's overall commitment to immigration legal assistance programs since FY13. Operating the IDNYC program, which as of December 2017, issued more than 1.2 million cards. One year ago, we announced our Turning the Tide plan to transform the City's approach to providing shelter that had been in place for nearly four decades. plan puts people and communities first. It does this by ending the use of decades-old stopgap measures, like cluster shelter sites and commercial hotel rooms, and instead opening a smaller number of new borough-based shelters to help families and individuals stay connected to the anchors of life such as schools, jobs, health care, families and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE houses of worship, as they get back on their feet. As the lawyer who sued four Mayors and five Governors to enforce the right to shelter under our State Constitution, I understand the legal, and, yes, the moral responsibility we have toward our neighbors who do not have homes. I understand the basic human pain our neighbors who do not -- our basic human pain New Yorkers feel when we see someone living on the So does Mayor de Blasio. After all, he knew street. my résumé when he hired me. We stand by our moral and legal obligation to provide shelter to New Yorkers experiencing homelessness on any given night and keep people off the streets, and we won't let them down. Over the past year, we have been implementing our transformation plan through significant new investments. The plan has four core pillars: one: preventing homelessness in the first place whenever we can; two: bringing people in from the streets 24/7; three: rehousing people who become homeless; and four: transforming the haphazard approach to providing shelter and services that has been used over the past nearly four decades. average monthly census for DHS shelters increased 115 percent from 1994 into 2014, rising from 23,868 men,

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE women, and children in January 1994, to 31,009 in January 2002, and reaching 51,470 in January 2014. Without the initiatives we've been implementing, the current DHS census would be in excess of 71,000 instead of at the 60,000 level where it is today. fact, a recent Furman Center study found that the year-over-year shelter census growth from calendar year 15 to calendar year 16 was the lowest increase since 2011, the year the Advantage rental assistance program ended, leading to a 38-percent increase in homelessness in three years. The DHS shelter census for 2017 remained roughly flat compared to 2016. This is the first time in more than a decade that the DHS census has remained level. And during the first four months of Fiscal 2018 compared with the same period in the prior year, the number of families with children entering the DHS shelter system declined 15.1 percent and adult family entrants declined by 10.8 percent. We know that our investments and efforts are beginning to work, but we also know that we have more work to do. Prevention first: Our first priority is stopping homelessness in the first place. We have implemented three key prevention initiatives to do this: an expansion of the network of Homebase

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE neighborhood-based prevention offices in all five boroughs, increased access to rent arrears grants, and universal access to counsel in Housing Court to prevent evictions. The Homebase program remains at the center of New York City's homeless prevention efforts. Homebase's community-based prevention programs has expanded to ensure that Homebase is the first point of entry for those at risk of homelessness and that people can be served in their home boroughs. We expanded Homebase from 11 providers across the City to 16 operating at 23 locations and we will be expanding to 25 locations by the end of FY18, more than doubling the program's funding. In FY18, we increased funding to include community-based Aftercare and other services, for a total budget of \$59 million. At our Homebase locations, New Yorkers are assessed to determine the prevention and diversion tools for which they are eligible, including: onsite processing and triage for public assistance and rental assistance, landlord and family mediation, educational advancement, employment, and financial literacy services. January of FY18, the Homebase program enrolled 12,865 families with children, 621 adult families, and 3,296

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE single adults. Compared to the previous two complete fiscal years, enrollments were 1.2 percent higher for families with children, 28.7 percent higher for adult families, and 30.4 percent higher for single adults. Since 2014, we have provided an increased level of emergency rent arrears assistance, both to cover the increasing costs of rent for individual clients as well as serving more people so that more New Yorkers are able to stay in their homes. To date, this Administration has provided emergency one-time rent arrears assistance to 217,000 households from FY14 through FY17. The annual FY17 expenditures for this assistance program were \$210 million. We also made the payment process more efficient and quicker by replacing the old system of generating checks at each individual HRA Job Center with a centralized rent arrears processing unit. Moreover, we have implemented an electronic benefits payment system for Housing Authority rent arrears payments and we are developing a similar payment system for private landlords. Using ACCESS HRA, clients can confirm that the rent was paid to their landlords, a reform now codified in State law. We've also exponentially increased access to counsel in Housing Court, first

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE through a series of pilot initiatives in all five boroughs and now through implementation of the universal access to counsel local law passed by Council and signed by the Mayor. We increased funding for legal assistance for tenants facing eviction and harassment from \$6 million in FY13 to over \$77 million in FY18, a more than twelvefold increase, and \$93 million has been allocated for FY19. When the universal access to counsel law is implemented fully in five years, the annual funding will be \$155 million to handle a projected 125,000 cases that will benefit 400,000 New Yorkers each year. The legal services programs are leveling the playing field for tenants in Housing Court across the five boroughs. So far, HRA's tenant legal services programs have successfully contributed to an increase in legal representation for tenants facing eviction in Housing Court from one percent of tenants represented in court in 2013 to 27 percent in 2016, while 99 percent of landlords had legal representation. HRA's tenant legal services programs have provided more than 180,000 New Yorkers with legal services since 2014. The impact of these expanded prevention efforts is already being felt by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE New Yorkers who are receiving these benefits and services. Residential evictions by marshals declined 27 percent since 2013, when there were nearly 29,000 evictions annually. The number of evictions in 2017 was 21,074 compared to 22,089 in FY 16. That means evictions decreased five percent in 2017 alone, representing a total of 1,015 households and an estimated 3,000 New Yorkers across all five boroughs who were able to remain in their homes. Over the last four years, an estimated 70,000 people have remained in their homes as a result of the 27 percent decrease in evictions. Addressing street homelessness, bringing people inside: Through increased investments and program reforms, since the launch of HOME-STAT in the spring of 2016, the City has helped 1,480 people come in from the streets into transitional programs or permanent housing and provided assistance so that they have remained off the streets. Our HOME-STAT, Homeless Outreach and Mobile Engagement Street Action Teams, program encapsulates all of New York City's street homeless outreach efforts. HOME-STAT is the nation's most comprehensive outreach program, which includes

24/7/365 citywide outreach efforts, through which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE hundreds of highly-trained not-for-profit outreach staff, including licensed social workers, proactively canvas the streets to engage homeless New Yorkers. Each borough has a dedicated provider: the Manhattan Outreach Consortium, led by CUCS in partnership with Goddard-Riverside and Breaking Ground, in Manhattan; Breaking Ground in Brooklyn and Queens; BronxWorks in the Bronx; Project Hospitality on Staten Island; Bowery Residents' Committee, BRC, in the subways. These providers offer services and assistance, and work daily to build relationships and gain the trust of individuals with the goal of addressing the underlying issues that may have caused or contributed to their street homelessness, in order to ultimately help these individuals transition off the streets. Since 2015, through our new investments, we've doubled and are now tripling to more than 1,700 the number of low-threshold beds to better serve our street homeless population, up from the roughly 600 beds that existed at the beginning of this Administration. We've also more than doubled the number of outreach staff canvassing the streets and working to engage New Yorkers who are experiencing street homelessness from 191 in 2014 to nearly 400

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

outreach staff today. Overall we have more than doubled the City's investment in street homeless programs, increasing by more than \$53 million, 119 percent, from \$44.6 million in FY14 to \$97.6 million in FY18. Through this investment, we've built the City's first-ever by-name list of individuals known to be homeless and residing on the streets to improve delivery of services, and this new approach is now codified in local law. Through this by-name list initiative, outreach teams now know more than 2,000 individuals by name who are confirmed to be homeless and living on the streets and we're actively engaging more than 1,500 individuals encountered on the streets to evaluate their living situations and determine whether they are homeless and whether specific supports are needed. The third pillar, the third core of our program is rehousing. The DHS Commissioner in 2011 warned that with the end of the Advantage program the result would be the need for 70 new shelters, as the census of Families with Children was anticipated to increase by 13,000 people. we know today is that by 2014 after the City and State ended the Advantage rental assistance program, which had offered short-term subsidies for people in

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE shelters if they took part in job training, the shelter population increased by 38 percent, or by approximately 14,000 people. To fill the gap left by the elimination of the City's rental assistance program and other rehousing programs from 2011 to 2014, we created and implemented a variety of rental assistance programs and developed associated incentives in order to address the fears of landlords who were concerned that the new rental assistance programs could be eliminated in the future as Advantage was. We also formed a Source of Income Discrimination Unit at HRA to address the problem of individuals and families being discriminated against because of the source of income of their rental assistance. So far the unit has responded to referrals of possible Source of Income discrimination, including successful interventions that reversed landlord reversals -- landlord refusals to accept security vouchers from our clients; reversed a management company's decision to reject electronic rental payments mandated by the Tenant Based Rental Assistance program; reversed a co-op board's decision to deny a sublet to a voucher holder; and reversed a management company's refusal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE to rent to a HASA program client. This Administration also restored Section 8 and New York City Housing Authority priorities that had been eliminated prior to 2014. As a result of our restoration of rental assistance and rehousing programs, over 81,124 children and adults have moved out of, or averted entry into, shelter though December 2017. In FY18, the budget for rental assistance is \$165 million, and in FY17 our expenditures were \$138 million. We have also made the single largest municipal commitment to Supportive Housing by announcing the creation of 15,000 units over 15 years in NYC 15/15. From decades of research, we know that this plan will benefit New Yorkers in need, including homeless veterans, domestic violence survivors, and street homeless individuals. This cost-effective approach to deliver stable and permanent housing to New Yorkers struggling with mental illness, homelessness, and substance use is worth the investment. Supportive Housing is a proven model and reduces our reliance on homeless shelters, hospitals, mental health institutions, and incarceration. Since the beginning of this Administration, HPD's Housing New York Plan

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE has funded 3,017 supportive units by leveraging a variety of City, State, and Federal funding sources including NYC 15/15. In less than two years, since the release of the first NYC 15/15 supportive housing RFP in August 2016, HRA, using NYC 15/15 resources, has made 1,426 awards to providers, 406 of which are congregate units that have closed on financing and are included in the 3,017 units in the HPD production pipeline. And to date, New York City has moved or is in the process of moving 488 clients into supportive housing, funded by the Mayor's NYC 15/15 plan. last and fourth pillar: Transforming the approach to providing shelter and services. We have committed to getting out of 360 cluster shelter and commercial hotel sites in order to reduce our overall DHS shelter system footprint by 45 percent across the city, and also committed to opening 90 new boroughbased shelters across all five boroughs. To date, we've already gotten out of 100 locations bringing our shelter footprint from the 647 buildings we reported in the Turning the Tide plan a year ago to our current use of 547 buildings, a 16 percent reduction of our footprint in one year. Our new

approach will allow us to maintain a vacancy rate to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 39 ensure the flexibility necessary to give homeless New Yorkers, who come from every community across the five boroughs, the opportunity to be sheltered in their home boroughs, as close as possible to their support networks and anchors of life, including schools, jobs, health care, families, houses of worship, and the communities they called home, in order to stabilize their lives and return to living in the community as quickly as possible. committed to a notification process that provides a minimum notice of 30-days to elected officials and community leaders before opening a new permanent shelter. And to date, with the 17 shelters we've notified on since the announcement of our plan a year ago, we've averaged 65 days' notice to communities. We have already opened 11 of those 17 sites and they include a shelter for women with special needs, the City's first dedicated site for homeless seniors, and DHS's first dedicated site for LGBTQ young people, all thanks to New Yorkers' fundamental compassion and understanding. Since January 2016, when we were using a high point of 3,600 cluster units citywide, we have closed more than 1,500 cluster units, including transitioning over 300 cluster units to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE state-certified shelters. This represents a morethan 42 percent reduction citywide in this 18-year old cluster shelter program. And we recently announced our plans to transition another 800 cluster units into permanent affordable housing using eminent domain if necessary, which covers more than a third of the remaining cluster units. As we announced when we released the plan last year, we have prioritized ending the cluster program, and we are on pace to end the use of cluster units as shelter by our 2021 deadline. Consistent with our legal and moral obligation to provide shelter every night to families and individuals who are homeless, including during the extreme cold weather this winter, we have increased our use of commercial hotel locations to meet immediate nightly capacity needs, with these locations serving as a bridge while we bring new borough-based shelters online. The City's periodic use of commercial hotel locations is not new, in fact, it dates back off and on to the 1960s. While we are deeply committed to the goal of eliminating this use, the hard truth is that our transformation of the shelter system will take time. We anticipate that it will take five to seven years for our plan to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE be fully implemented, as the new borough-based shelters are developed and opened and the use of commercial hotels is then fully phased out. Having litigated about hotel conditions and the need for client services for decades at the Legal Aid Society, I agree that waking up in a hotel, far from home, without wraparound social service support, is not the way forward. We know our homeless neighbors deserve better. That's why, while we are using hotels in emergency situations during the phase-out period, we are improving that experience for homeless New Yorkers and getting a better deal for taxpayers. the past, the City rented most of these hotel rooms on a per-day basis, which made controlling costs and providing services and security challenging. offer better access to social services and security for these families and individuals and keep costs down, we initiated a Request for Proposal competitive bidding process to place all of the hotel rooms we are using under contract. Earlier this month, the City's Budget Director Melanie Hartzog provided the Council staff with a monthly snapshot of City funding for homeless New Yorkers. The monthly spending is \$32 million for commercial hotels, \$2 million for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE remaining clusters, and \$96 million for actual shelters. We monitor the spending and costs of sheltering our homeless neighbors on a monthly basis. And to control costs more effectively, the City entered into 364 million dollars in annual contracts to shelter homeless New Yorkers in hotels on an emergency basis over the next three years. Actual spending will be based on the fluctuating emergency needs of the families and individuals who turn to us for help, including weather conditions, the different demographics of households, level of services and security required, and types of shelter settings available, among others. Moving to competitively bid contracts allows us to both hold contractors accountable and fulfill our legal obligations to provide shelter on any given night. This is a significant commitment of resources, but it's a better deal for both homeless New Yorkers and for New York taxpayers than renting rooms on a per-night basis. We're making fewer dollars go farther and getting more guarantees on services, with better mechanisms for locking in room rental rates and ensuring quality control. Under these contracts, the average nightly rate for a hotel room has been 174

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE dollars, and no room costs more than 250 dollars on any given night. The quality of client services at these sites has been enhanced, and we will be able to hold service providers accountable for delivering the same types of social services found at contracted shelter sites, including case management, assistance with public benefits, help finding permanent housing, and job training and counseling. It is true that even under contract, rates may sometimes exceed what you or I might find online for a night or two, and that's because we're providing more than a roof over people's heads. We require accommodations for caseworkers, microwaves, refrigerators, bedding, and 24/7 security to ensure we are giving New Yorkers experiencing homelessness a safe, secure, and supportive environment, and we require that our providers have on-site social services so that clients can receive individualized assessments and referrals to meet their needs through their Independent Living Plans. As we work to phase out the use of cluster apartments first, followed by commercial hotels, and revamp the shelter system with our new borough-based approach, we are asking communities to come forward and help us identify

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE sites for new shelters. It's better for our homeless neighbors and it's better for taxpayers, and we could save the City a total of 100 million dollars per year if we can utilize only shelters and end the practice of using hotels. At the beginning of the 90-day review in 2016, there were three urgent problems that we needed to address: the cumulative impact of years of underinvestment in shelter maintenance, security, and client services. Significant progress has been made to raise the bar for clients in each of these First, we have conducted more than 34,000 shelter inspections in 2016 and 2017, thanks to the work of the Shelter Repair Squad, an aggressive multi-agency task force launched in 2015 to systematically identify and address shelter conditions that had been previously left unaddressed for decades. The number of outstanding violations within traditional shelters has dropped 84 percent since January 2016, with many of the remaining repairs involving capital projects. Second, the NYPD now oversees and manages shelter security. We have partnered with the NYPD to implement an NYPD Management Team at DHS overseeing shelter security citywide, including providing 200 hours of enhanced

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE training developed by the NYPD to all new and inservice DHS Peace Officers, and implementing a new DHS Peace Officers tactical training facility at the Bedford Atlantic Men's Assessment Shelter, all while doubling previous investments in DHS shelter security, with a total annual security budget of \$240 million for fiscal years 2017 and 2018. Third, we dedicated an unprecedented amount of funding to reform the rates not-for-profit social service providers' receive to ensure our not-for-profit partners are appropriately funded to deliver the services our homeless clients rely on as they get back on their feet. In 2016, during the 90-day review, we announced we would rationalize payment rates for shelter providers, through a model budget exercise to reform the rates providers had been paid for years. Through this process we are addressing the need for contracted shelter programs to be funded to provide consistent and high quality services and maintain their facilities in accordance with City and State standards for operations. DHS is making a 236 million dollar investment in our not-for-profit sector which will result in better facilities and services for our clients. This investment is in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE addition to the \$163 million we spend annually for health and mental health services. We spent this year working with providers in focus groups to develop the model budgets, which we are now in the process of implementing and which will result in less variability across providers. This investment included rationalizing caseload ratios, resources for specialized services and the facilitation of housing placement, real-time maintenance and repairs, security and funding for health and safety standards, and support staff. Overall, one-third of DHS's increased shelter budget is largely attributable to the social services, maintenance, and security enhancements that we have put in place to address years of underinvestment. The last few slides in our power point present a number of additional reforms we implemented over the last year, some of which we have already discussed at prior hearings. We have accomplished a great deal over the past year, and we will continue with our reform initiatives during the coming year because we know that much more needs to be done. Thank you again for this opportunity to testify about our budget and our programs, and I welcome your questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

1 2

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,

Commissioner. So, I want to acknowledge Council

Members who are here: Council Member Adrienne Adams

who was the first one here, I believe. Thank you,

Council Member Adams. Council Member Diana Ayala, cm

Brad Lander, Council Member Barry Grodenchik, Council

Member Antonio Reynoso, Council Member Mark Treyger,

Council Member Mark Gjonaj, and Council Member Helen

Rosenthal. And I will turn it over to my colleagues

for questions in a moment. I have a few questions

Commissioner that I would like to ask first.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, the first question I want to ask is around— and Doheni Sampura [sp?], our Unit Head at the Finance Division is bringing up a chart here. So, this was— the chart up there was identified by our Finance team from Crystal [sp?] which was the software that they've been able to use to get rental assistance program data from fiscal years 16 to the Prelim. Plan here in 19, and this was information that we were not able to get from the Administration, so we had to get it ourselves. And so that's the first question, which is— I think moving forward we've experienced some

frustration and our Finance team has experienced some frustration and being able to get data and information from the Administration in a reasonable timeframe when we request certain information. So, I think before we go down the road of other questions, can we get a commitment from the Administration, from DSS, that when we're asking questions that are relevant to this committee and relevant to our oversight here at the Council, that we're able to get that information in a timely fashion. So, say, when request is made no more than two weeks' time?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

commissioner banks: As a topline matter, of course you have that commitment. I want to say, however, as we're running a relatively large program over the last couple of years, sometimes information that may seem easily available is more complicated to produce, but we will work with you. We want to get you the information. I have found over my four years in these positions, four years in one, two years in the other, that it's been a good partnership with the committee, and it's been helpful to provide you with information, and we'll be happy to do that to the best of our abilities.

2.2

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I mean, from, you know, just from the perspective of the Council there's been some significant frustration. I think there's times when we've requested information and that information hasn't been forthcoming. So, if we could kind of redouble our efforts and make sure that when those requests go in, that if it's not done within say two weeks that there's some follow-up from the Administration as to maybe why that is it, or identifying, so if there's a snag at say OMB or OMB's not providing the information to DSS staff, that there's a communication, chain of communication that goes back to us to say specifically why information is not forthcoming.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I certainly will keep the lines of communication open, and OMB and the Agency are certainly on the same page so there's no issues about the information coming from one place or the other. But just to give an example, since the question is out there, Medicaid is a good example of the challenges that occur in providing information. SO, Medicaid is a city/state program in which under state law there was a projection that the state would take over operations. The Council, the

Administration had some concerns about how that would

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

3 work, but it has not proceeded as on the pace for a

4 number of understandable reasons that was originally

5 projected, and so we find ourselves in a world in

6 which essentially half the caseload we're managing

7 directly, the other half of the caseload is coming

8 directly to the state. So, there are sometimes

9 challenges to provide information, but I fully

10 understand your question, and we will provide you

11 | with information when we have such challenges.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And more specifically, can we get a commitment that whatever-- if we're requesting, and once we do receive data that it's in a machine-readable format, so in other words, not in a PDF, but in an Excel file so that we can actually do analysis on our own?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: We'll certainly work with our team. You have a confirmed luddite [sic] testifying before you, so you're going to need to deal with people whom are expert in the right formats.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, so we just want it in like an Excel document and not a PDF that we can't do an analysis on.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: As I said, I conceptually understand what you're describing, but we do need to have technical people work with your technical people.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. If we do get PDF's that are not readable, I'll be taking out directly with you or with the administrator.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: We have use of a cell phone, and I'm always available to talk.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Moving on to the chart that's up. What our finance team was able to identify was, as you can see in the red columns, the unspent funds allocated both at adopted and modified to the rental assistance programs from FY 16 to today. So what we saw— if you first could give a— help us understand why we saw in 16 and 17 the unspent funds of 25.8 million dollars in 16, 22.9 million dollars in 17. As you can see, adopted, modified, and then actual spending. So the adopted was at budget adoption. Modified was throughout the course of the year. Actual spending was then the actual amount drawn down through the programs in that fiscal year.

4

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I think we're-
actually, this is a good example. It's something we

on the record, and let me give some reactions I have

should sit down staff/staff and look at. You have me

6 to this. I haven't seen this document, so you're

7 getting my direct reactions to it. There are

8 different components that may be at work here. I

9 don't know to what extent you're pulling amounts that

10 are paid to landlords as monthly rent versus amounts

11 | that are paid to landlords for incentives, and

12 whether or not as much incentives had to be issued as

13 we projected in order to secure the number of

14 apartments. I don't know whether or not these numbers

15 | include other aspects of how we operate the program.

16 Increases sometimes are related to-- from year to

17 | year related not to new move-outs, but to the fact

18 that the existing tenants are going to have new

19 obligations to pay rent as we go forward. I don't

20 know whether or not all this information is pulled

21 from simply the rental assistance programs, whether

or not there's any FEPS programs involved in here.

23 Some of it could be affected by when actual move-outs

24 occur. I think it's going to be a good subject for

staff review, but I want to go back overall with

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 allocated. We had actual spending last year of rental assistance of 138 million, and we're 3 4 projecting 165 million this year, 175 million next year, and that's reflective of sequencing when people 5 6 actually are projected -- will move out during the 7 course of the year in terms of the experience that And if we could get more people to move 8 we've had. out, we certainly will work with more people to move 9 out, but I want to caution that I'm not sure that 10 these numbers directly align to our actual 11 12 expenditures, and have actually budgeted, but this is a fair conversation for us to work through with you 13 offline, and I'm sure it's come up at the Executive 14 15 Budget if there are still questions remaining. 16 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is there a reason why 17 you would see a 96.9 million dollar under-- unspent 18 in FY18 to date? COMMISSIONER BANKS: It could simply be--19 20 it could simply be the timing of when matters are

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] We drew this down-- Nameera [sp?] drew this down on the 23rd

posted or recorded. I don't know. We're going to

have to have the staff look at it together, but

21

2.2

23

24

25

we're--

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 54 of March of 2018, so that would be three-quarters of the way through the year.

2.2

application, it would indicate that we're spending less money this year than we were spending last year, and we have tenants in place who we're paying to.

So, there must be some disconnect on how information tracks to what we're actually spending. Yeah, it's only seven months, I think, is what you've got here.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, we also have, as of today, we got information that the total year-to-date move-outs using any of the voucher programs is 1,999 for FY18. That's-- this was provided from you all today to our Finance staff.

 $\label{eq:commissioner banks: Let me look at what you're referring. \\$

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because that would be in comparison if you were to-- we have, you know, the data on previous fiscal year move-outs and--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] Yeah, I don't-- I'm not sure how you're getting those numbers, because in the CITYFEPS program alone there have been 1,719 move-outs involving 5,326 people, and I could-- you know, we can go through program by

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 55 1 2 program. You know, there's been -- taking away NYCHA, 3 the total amount of rental assistance that's been used has enabled us to move out roughly 5,000 4 households through various forms of rental 5 assistance. I'm just doing that with my eyeballs. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Year to date?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I'm doing that by

eyeball, so if I'm off a couple of numbers, I want an opportunity to correct my testimony, but I'm simply looking at--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Fiscal-this is Fiscal 18 year-to-date?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yeah, yeah, through December. I have through December. I don't have through February.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, we'll take this up later, but we received an email from HRA this morning showing 1,999.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I'm not sure how that number was derived or how that happened, but I know that the information that I'm looking at in front of me is-- doesn't reflect that.

24

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 57
 1
 2
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That's close to what
 3
    we have.
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: Okay. In FY16, 8,770
 4
    households, 24,062 people.
 5
 6
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Also close to what we
 7
    have.
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: And 17, 9,934
 8
    households, 25,793 people.
 9
10
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, we have about
    half of that.
11
12
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thus far in FY18,
13
     5,708 households, 14,913 people. The total
14
    households--
15
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] So, just
16
     I want to stop you there, Commissioner.
17
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yep.
18
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because I just -- so
     in FY17 and FY18, our numbers show about half of what
19
    your numbers are showing. Now, are numbers in 15 and
20
    16 are, you know, margin of error, but about 50
21
    percent less than in our 17 and 18 numbers. That's,
2.2
23
    obviously, a wide discrepancy.
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: I don't know if this
24
```

is the reason why we have the discretion--

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE discrepancy, which is at various hearings I 've been providing year-to-date numbers, and does that result in you having different numbers for different parts of the year, I don't know, but these are the numbers. I'm under oath, and I'm swearing to them. And again, the total of-- since we began these programs in FY15 is 29,768 households; 81,124 people have been able to move out or avoid going into shelter. It's primarily a move-out program, but to zero in on the rental assistance only pieces of those larger numbers, and rental assistance includes Section 8 as well because we run it in the same way, it is 22,453 households have used rental assistance, and that is 57,442 people have been able to move out of or avoid going into shelter using rental assistance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I mean, I think that this, obviously this is such a wide discrepancy in terms of what our numbers are showing. So, I think that it does beg the question of like, we do need to have, I think, a better system in place so that what data we have access to is the data that you have access to, and we can have a conversation about why we have a three percent discrepancy or why we have a 50 percent discrepancy.

2.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Understood, and we'll work with you to address that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Just in terms of looking at the programs themselves, we do see a significant amount of fluctuation between adopted and modified in various program areas. For example, in Fiscal 17 the budget for CITYFEPS was adopted at 12 million, modified to 41. Fiscal 18, the budget for LINC V was adopted at 10 and modified to 5.7. What are the performance indicators that you are using to determine your modified amounts? In other words, how are you— throughout the year, how are you determining where your modifications go if some of them are going up by 400 percent, some of them are

2.2

going down by 45 percent.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, just to take the one you mentioned, that kind of modification you mentioned, and I don't want to get into the specifics, because again I'm not familiar with the document that's up on the projector, but for example, LINC V is a program focused on a particular group of clients and CITYFEPS is focused on clients that are DV survivors, and people who either are primary or secondary tenants who lost their housing for that,

basis and changes can reflect the ability to match people who have the appropriate length of stay and fit those criteria to be able to move people out. I think as we've testified before, after this FEPS settlement in September, we've been engaged in discussions with the state on streamlining all these programs.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uhm--

just-- so that it's clear, I think it's clear, but I just want to make sure, that modification is also the easiest way to understand it. It's based by utilization, but utilization is effected by the kinds of factors that I described. For example, if you take length of stay, which is one of the criteria, and you match it up against LINC V, single adults working, versus families with children and CITYFEPS who might have been a secondary tenant, the prime tenant was evicted, depending what the numbers show we make modifications to try to match up who the people are, to match up with the people.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Can you explain why the SEPS budget is proposed at zero in the Preliminary Budget?

1

doing.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER BANKS: We're-- we're still 3 evaluating what the utilization rate will be. 4 clearly have people that have SEPS payments that are 5 being made to them now, and we're continuing to move 6 people up with SEPS. Part of that simply a 7 reflection of trying to more fine-tune our projections, and I think you'll see it reflected in 8 Exec., apropos of your comments and my comments. 9 We'll have a discussion with you about what we're 10

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because last year at Adopted SEPS was at a zero as well. It was adopted at zero, modified to 9.5 million. So, we're expecting— we're not expecting to do that again. We're going to have budget for SEPS at Exec?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: We will work with you on that. We hopefully will reflect the conclusion of our state streamlining process, which we're very hopeful will be completed before Exec.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'm going to turn it over to Council Member Adams because I know she has to leave, and then I'll resume.

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Thank you very much, Chair Levin. Good morning, Commissioner.

2 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Good morning. How

3 | are you?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: I am doing well. Thank you very much. This is usually my mantra whenever you and I are together, and I will repeat that mantra yet again. Southeast Queens is home to the largest percentage of homeless shelters in the entire borough of Queens. We are still looking for quietly and parody for the placement of future facilities in Queens. We would like to see equity. We'd like to see equity. We'd like to see equity. Specifically, in the future for the borough-based plan. And that said, I'll just move onto my question. I am now, because of my new job, a daily commuter. And in my travels I am noticing that particularly Jamaica Station has become a homeless shelter. On any given morning during the hours of operating rush hour timeframe, the first three or the last three cars are homeless shelters. This is creating a bit of a difficult position to those of us who are commuters in the area and I would imagine that my area is not the only area experiencing this as well. So, with regard and with all due respect to HOME-STAT, we applaud the progress that HOME-STAT has

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 63 made and continues to make. What is the total number of street outreach worker, and how are the outreach workers sourced?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thank you for your question, and at some point in my answer I do want to reflect on some of our conversations and just put it on the record--

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: [interposing] Sure.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: for transparency about Southeast Queens. The total number of outreach workers is just shy of 400. The subway team, though, has been substantially increased. BRC runs the subway team. There are additional efforts now that the Transit Division of NYPD is focused on, and we'll certainly send out some teams today to address the issues you're raising at that station to see if we can. On the other hand, I want to level-set [sic] in two respects. One is that the homelessness isn't a crime, which I don't say to you, because I know you and I have had this conversation and you don't view it that way, but I just want to make that clear. then our ability to move people out of the-- off the streets into shelter is really reflective of our ability to engage them and have them accept services

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE unless they meet the state standard for being a danger to themselves or others, and that's a state standard that we do evaluate when we work with individuals. But will send a team out to look at that particular situation and see what we can do, and I think what we have found is many of those situations, the people that we are sending our teams out to engage with are on our by-name list, and by building that by-name list, that's how we've had the success over the last year and a half of bringing 1,480 people off the streets and have them stay off the streets. The metric of just bringing people off the streets is not one that we're very focused on. We're focused on the metric of bringing people off the streets and having them stay off the streets, and we got to keep working with that. As to the issue about Southeast Queens, if I may, the Southeast Queens issue that you're raising with me really highlights the underlying principle of the plan, which is that we are going to bring our borough-based plan so that we will have balance within boroughs. Queens, for example, has more people sheltered within the borough than are from the borough, but once we close all of the commercial hotels that are in the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Absolutely.

Absolutely. Thank you for that. And just to note, the progress on the hotels in my district, we have

23

24

seen progress and movement from hotels finally into other spaces of housing. We had a constituent last week, and I'll let you know, she was complaining because she was being moved from a hotel into a room and she wanted an apartment. So, we had to explain that this was the way that the City is going now in getting residents out of that permanent hotel housing situation and moving into other living arrangements. So, we are seeing movement in that area. So, thank you for being a partner with that. We look forward to continuing to work towards equity in Southeast Queens. Okay.

2.2

reiterate, the essence of the plan is one that will ensure that homeless New Yorkers, homeless families and individuals have an opportunity to be sheltered close to the anchors of their lives instead of this haphazard system which is wherever you could rent hotel, whether you could—wherever you could get a cluster apartment, which has resulted in school children commuting across the boroughs. That's ultimately the plan, and we're moving forward with it. We have to identify it by 18 shelters a year. Year one, we have 17 that were identified. We've

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE already got 11 of them up and running, and we're working to get through year two. I know that a number of you may have received letters from us recently in the Turning the Tide plan a year ago. We welcomed communities to come forward, and we've had some great examples. Council Member Cohen, we just opened a shelter that a local Community Board helped us identify. I know working with Council Member Lander in terms of Community Board Six, and we've gotten of those shelters have been helped to identify with local input. And then year two we welcomed more input and we reiterated in a recent communication all Community Boards be partners with us, come forward, help us identify sites so that we can take down the hotels quicker, because we can save ultimately 100 million dollars a year when we're out of all the hotels.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Again, I think it's really important to note that the majority of homeless are families who are in need of homes, sheltering, at this moment. So, we are grateful for the upcoming parody across the city, specifically in Queens, and we look forward to, as I said, continuing that movement with DHS. Just one more question, and

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 going back to HOME-STAT really quickly. How much of 2 3 HOME-STAT's cost is going towards training? COMMISSIONER BANKS: Part of the 4 contracts that we have with the not-for-profit 5 6 providers incorporates within the contract that there 7 is a rigorous training program. In fact, our teams do training for the NYPD in order to provide the kind 8 of information and technique that assist them in 9 doing their jobs, but I appreciate your focus on 10 training. We think it's important, too. 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: So we don't have a specific cost amount? 13 14 COMMISSIONER BANKS: It's built into the 15 contacts with the providers. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER ADAMS: Okay. Alright. 17 Thank you very much, Commissioner. 18 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much, 19 20 Council Member Adams. So, Commissioner, I'm sorry, I have to revisit this issue because I went back, I 21 2.2 just looked at the document provided to us from HRA 23 yesterday on year-to-date Fiscal 18 shelter moveouts. Now, it does not include NYCHA, but I'll tell 24

you what it does include. It includes CITYFEPS,

FEPS, Home TBRA, LINC, and SEPS. I just did the additions myself, although I trust my Finance Analyst Nameera 100 percent. We confirmed the numbers. I just did the addition, 1,999 move-outs. Of the--from-- this is an email that you sent to us.

2.2

talking about. It doesn't link up to the information we actually have. We should have been clearer with what we gave you, and unfortunately I can see why we gave it to you. It was the way the question was asked, but I'm telling you the information that was sworn to on the record is the correct information.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: What's missing from that number?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: It doesn't include
HRA, DV families. It doesn't include community
placements. It doesn't include usage. It doesn't
include Section 8. It doesn't include usage in 420 A
[sic] units. It doesn't include the full range of
all the program the way it was presented here. I
will make sure you have an appropriate chart that we
can address the questions you're asking.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Can you explain to us where-- so, when we're looking in our

committee on General welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 70 budget analysis for FHEPS, where does FHEPS, where would that be in terms of a budget line for us to be able to determine the allocation on that?

2.1

2.2

entitlement, it is in the public assistance budget.

The programs that you-- that we've been talking about are largely city-funded and are not part of the state public assistance entitlement funding stream. Just to give you a context, Assembly Member Hevesi's bill, that would have created the home stability support program, that would have been a public assistance programs that we've stood up largely with city dollars.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And just for the record, can you say what exactly is FHEPS? At this point, you know, people's heads are probably spinning with regard to all these acronyms. So, can you tell us? These aren't even acronyms at this point.

They're just like made up words based on letters.

So, what does FHEPS mean? It's F-H-E-P-S. What is FHEPS?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: One thing I can promise you with streamlining, we are going to economize on the use of acronyms. The FHEPS is the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE program that replaced the state FEPS program. state FEPS program is an out-growth of litigation brought in the 1980s and 90s called Jigits [sp?] versus Borallis [sp?] and that eventually was replaced by state rental assistance program called FEPS, Family Eviction Prevention Supplement, and that's how you got the term FEPS, Family Eviction Prevention Supplement, and then as part of the settlement of a lawsuit by the Legal Aid Society against the state in which the city was a party to the settlement, though not to the litigation itself. A new program was developed in order to cover priorities that we-- the City and I believe the Council wanted covered, which was to also cover people who were in shelter more clearly than the FEPS program had covered them if they had lost their housing, were evicted and were in shelter, and also covered DV survivors, and that we wanted to expand the old F-E-P-S, FEPS program into this new program, and so family homeless eviction prevention supplement, there's a part A and a part B, and one of it relates to evictions, and one of it relates to domestic violence survivors, but the eviction part of it continues to be a public assistance benefit, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 72 we think that that is—- has been helpful in terms of the effectiveness of that program that it is a public assistance benefit.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, just from here on out, the new program FHEPS will supplant FEPS. Is that correct?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: correct. And it will supplant much of CITYFEPS, because remember we created CITYFEPS--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] In order to--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: in order to fill the gap that was left by the state FEPS program.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, can you explain to us what the OCFS cap savings are, 25.3 million dollars in FY18, and how that's spent? Because of right now, it just as a budget line of OCFS cap savings. It doesn't actually tell us where it goes.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Okay, so you were helpful on this, so I want to give you credit for it. Several years ago you remember that the state said that it would provide the City with a certain amount of funding that was achieved by the OCFS savings relating to how juvenile justice expenditures were

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, speaking of the streamlining, the Council hasn't been a part of the process to determine how the streamlining is going to happen. We don't know what it's going to look like. We don't know when it's going to look like. We don't know anything. Can you-- can we get a commitment that the Council will be part of this process moving forward, and you know, being able to provide meaningful input?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I think it's important to understand what the process is, and then you can-- we can have a back and forth.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I agree.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: So, but I've testified about it before. So, here's what the process is, when the FEPS litigation was settled there were a number of features of that settlement that we thought were important. For example, the five-year time limit was an issue, and that was an issue that was addressed on settlement. There are other questions that arose in the context of that settlement that we wanted to be able to implement as part of our rental assistance, our city rental assistance programs, with state approval and support. The city/state relationship is one in which we do need state approval for certain change that we make, and particularly if we want state money. And so the streamlining process is aimed at attempting to get state approval for certain changes that we would like to make, a number of which have been changes that this committee has proposed to us to make, like the one I just mentioned, and to also obtain state reimbursement for programs that have been run with city dollars for several years. That's the nature of the process, and it involves our agency. It involves OMB. It involves State DOB, and it involves the State Office of Temporary Assistance and Disability

Assistance. Our hope is that at the end of the process that we will be able to, with state approval, address the kinds of issues that have come up at other hearings around how these programs have operated. The end result that we're seeking is to have one unified program as opposed to the individualized programs that we stood up as part of the pilots and experimentation that were done the last couple of years.

is, can we at the Council be a meaningful partner in this, because right now we're not. I mean, I don't even know what— I mean, I don't— again, I don't know when this is going to be implemented. I don't know what the status of conversations are with the state. I don't know what any of the visioning of this has— what it looks like. I don't know what process DSS has done so far.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: So, let--

COMMITTEE CLERK: [interposing] So, I mean, we're pretty much in the dark here, and as a co-equal branch of government, as a legislative branch with oversight over these agencies, you know,

2.2

committee on General Welfare With committee on Juvenile Justice 76 it's-- we ought to be much more involved than we have been to-date.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: So, let's review what we did with the programs that we've implemented for rental assistance. Each one of them was subject to Kappa in which they were all subject to public comment, and at the end of whatever approval process we're able to reach with the state, and we're optimistic that it'll be a positive one. subject to Kappa the rental assistance programs that we conclude based upon the agreements with the state, and just as we did when we Kappa'd [sic] our own programs, we made changes based upon input from the Council. We made changes based upon input from the advocates and input from clients. Part of the Kappa process involves the Council. WE provide the Council with a rule. The Council is involved in commenting on it. The Council did comment on the-- or did give us input on various of the rental assistance programs.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, that's-- well, alright. You're saying that we have the opportunity to comment during the public comment period.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

written--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That was-- that's been resolved. We're-- the reform is a City reform--

what the-- There's a charter provision that says
we're supposed to, when we're proposing a rule, that
we're supposed to propose it and provide it to the
Council and take the Council's input into account
just the way we take all input into account, and we
did actually take Council's input in the account.

I'll give you an example, the way that the rules were

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] It's possible, but we didn't even know that you did because we haven't had it. There's been no involvement of the Council. I'm wondering, are we prohibited— are we prohibited by the Charter from being involved in the process at this state in the process?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: You have a negotiation between a state agency and a city agency to try to reach a resolution based upon a litigation that was brought against the state agency by the Legal Aid Society.

city-initiated reform informed by that settlement.

The settlement isn't as accomplished. So--

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] If we reform the rental assistance program without state approval we will end up paying 100 percent city tax levy.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I don't think

anyone's asking to do it without the state approval.

We want to be a meaningful partner and provide some informed input into the process. At this point, the Council, frankly is— has been left out of the process. We have no— we just don't know. We can't— if I had a— if I talked to an advocate or a provider or a constituent seeking rental assistance, and they say, "Hey, what's the story with this reform?" My answer to them is I have no idea. I have— not only do I have no idea, I don't know when, I don't know what. I have no— I have absolutely no—not only do I not have input, I don't even have information.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: well, the reforms that we proposed took into account a number of bills that the Council introduced. The bills roughly, I think, fell into three main categories. One category

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE was time limitedness of the assistance. Second category was broader eligibility and the DHS shelter system, and the third category was the amount of the rental assistance that was provided. Those are three categories that were a subject of a pretty long series of hearings, and they were bills that we took seriously and we used those as quise to try to reach the best resolution that we can in discussion with the state, but I also want to come back to what I said originally. We spent the first four year operating city-only rental assistance programs. We want to turn our programs into programs that can make use of the OCFS savings and all the dollars that are not being provided to us currently. So we need state approval to do that, and so for example, and I think I testified to this previously, if the bills were simply passed and the state decided not to approve them, they would be 100 percent city cost. So, we've had a loss of discussions and hearings about whether or not passing those bills should be the--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: end of discussion or whether or not we unilaterally could do it without state approval.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Our --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Our role, though, Is not strictly legislative, and the fact that we or were not going to be passing bills related to rental assistance programs does not in any way speak to whether we can-- we, as the Council, can play a meaningful role in working with our partners in the Administration and our partners at the state level, because we're all -- the government that citizens, that the residents of New York, the taxpayers of New York are supporting their government with their tax dollars, and we at the Council are by Charter to play a meaningful role in the application of government in the city, and right now, on this issue we are not playing a meaningful role because we have not been allowed in the door, and whether we pass bills or whether ideas that we float as legislation inform your process with the state is irrelevant. We have to play a meaningful role in the development of the way in which our government applies services to its residents.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I agree with that,
but I think you'd agree with me that matters of
city/state relationships where an agency such as the
Department of City Services is by state statute an

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 agent of the Office of Temporary Assistance and 3 Disability Assistance that sorting out everybody's 4 role is very complicated. We have tried to create our 5 own city-funded programs, and we feel that in the long run the best thing to do is get state 6 7 reimbursement for those programs. This body and Administration agreed that one way to try to do that 8 was through the Home Stability Support Program--9 10 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Requires state legislation. 11 12 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right, requires 13

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right, requires state legislation. It's not at the moment in the state budget, and the Council made an effort to get into the state budget as the Administration. That would actually be the solution to this problem.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I'm going to move on. This is not the satisfactory position for us to be in vis-à-vis the Administration on this topic. So, I'll just-- I'll leave it there, but that-- this is not where this conversation is going to be ending.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: We'll certainly keep talking with you. I also want to say that in a City of 8.6 million people, the structured relationship

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 82 that requires us to get everything approved is a challenge for a government agency, and we welcome your help on that issue.

2.2

Question on rental assistance, then I'll turn it over to my colleagues. The Fiscal 17 MMR states that the number of requests for emergency assistance at the rental assistance units in the first four months of Fiscal 18 was 4,165 lower compared to that in Fiscal 17. So, that's year-over-year. Why are there less people asking for assistance when more and more people are at risk of homelessness?

of the drop in evictions. It could be reflective of the expansion of State FEPS. It could be reflective of the fact that we are more focused on making sure people don't lose their benefits and therefore don't fall into arears. Could be a result of having HRA workers at Homebase and so problems are caught earlier and so you don't need as much rent arears, but it's an entitlement program. So, it's not an issue of a change in application of the processes. I think as you can see what's dramatically expanded the numbers of clients getting rent arears over the last

committee on general welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 83 fours, and the fact that it's slightly down this current period may well reflect other systemic changes that we've made, but we're watching this also.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: At the January 2017

General Welfare hearing, HRA testified that allowing youth living in runaway homeless youth shelters to utilize LINC was in the works and that we would receive updated information at the budget hearing.

Here we are a year later, more than a year later.

When will homeless youth in the RHY system be able to apply for a LINC voucher?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: That is part of what we're seeking state approval to do.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, so case in point, because I didn't know that.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I think if you go back and— we'll talk about it offline, but I think if you go back and look at my testimony that was roughly what my testimony was before on this topic. We really need state approval. I can't create programs that I don't have approval for.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues. Council Member Barry

that we have here?

25

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Yes.

2 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Okay. So, oen 3 approach was to develop a specific rental assistance 4 5 program within the rental assistance package, which is LINC IV that is available to seniors, and there ws 6 7 never such a program that existed before. It was one of the rental assistance programs that we developed 8 in order to help move seniors out of shelter. 9 10 addition, we've opened the first shelter in Brooklyn, the Burgen [sp?] Street Shelter, for senior men in 11 12 order to give a specialized environment for senior 13 citizens, and in terms of APS clients, clients who 14 are APS clients are eligible for some of our rental 15 assistance through the SEPS program that the Chair 16 raised to avoid them having to come into shelter to be able to provide rental assistance to avoid people 17 18 coming into shelter. In terms of the Division of Labor among the different agencies, one of the 19 advantages of the integration of HRA and DHS together 20 is that whether APS is part of HRA, and DHS runs a 21 2.2 shelter system, HRA also runs rental assistance that 23 can help all of those clients. So we think there's some greater efficiencies on how we're really focused 24

on the population you're asking about. DFTA is a

great partner for us in terms of in the community providing services, but once that's no longer tenable, then it falls to us to either provide shelter or provide some form of other assistance to avoid the need for shelter.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I mean, I think that as we're having the conversation about rethinking the way that we shelter people in their home borough that we're not really having -- and I appreciate that we finally got to a place where we're We opened the one shelter for older adult men, but I know that that is -- that there's a great need for that, sir-- I mean, seniors come with a host of issues that they need, you know, services provided for, and we have time and time again seen-- I used to work-- I was actually the director of a senior center, and a lot of my seniors ended up being put into the general population, and because of the issues that they came with, you know, were oftentimes, you know, beaten up or verbally attacked at the shelters that they were put in, often times, you know, single female shelters. So, I know that there is a lot of concern about the missed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 87 opportunity to have that conversation. Is there any plan to build any others, to open any others?

2.2

commissioner banks: I appreciate that you're highlighting this issue for us and for everybody, because it's one of the reasons why we're trying to trans— why we're putting in place a plan to transform the shelter system from this haphazard, one-size-fits—all approach, and as I said, this year, in year one of the plan we identified 17 shelters.

We're going to average about 18 a year to get to the 90, and we're certainly open to input about the need for additional specialized shelters like the one we just opened in Brooklyn.

about this. The Homebase providers provide services to seniors at risk of homelessness, and how can Homebase work with senior centers to seek out seniors that could benefit from Homebase assistance?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I think one of the changes we made in Homebase was to expand the population of clients that can be served to include single adults. When it was originally started it was largely focused on families with children, and in terms of percentages of people being served in

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 Homebase programs, single adults has increased. think you raise an important point about coordination 3 with senior centers, and it's certainly something 4 we'll take back. 5 6 COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Okay. [inaudible] 7 Steve [inaudible] one, can I? COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Oh, go ahead. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: One last question, 9 10 sorry Barry. I'm sorry, Antonio, I'm going to ask it. SO, we've been having a conversation for a long 11 12 time in the Constituent Services Division about placement of individuals into the lotter-- so when a 13 14 person applies for a lotter apartment in New York 15 City, there has to be an income eligibility, 16 guideline that's met. If a person has Section 8, that eligibility, that income requirement is waived. 17 18 Why is that not the case for people that have HASA? Do you know? 19 COMMISSIONER BANKS: I think it would be 20 helpful if we sat down and looked at the specific 21 2.2 kinds of cases you're talking about staff-to-staff. 23 when HASA was originally-- when the 30 percent rent

cap went into place, we had wanted a different

budgeting methodology that would include, for

24

example, people that have social security/disability and not simply social security SSI, and the budgeting methodology that we were required to put in place, again because this relationship between the City and State agencies was more narrowly focused than the one we wanted to put in place. But we should look at the kinds of cases that you're seeing on a constituent level to see whether or not that helps us make the case to reargue the need for a broader perspective.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Yeah--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] But we, we wanted there to be a broader income level.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: The units are available, I don't see why we wouldn't include them. Thank you so much.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you,

Madam Ayala. Good m-- good afternoon, Mr.

Commissioner, your able staff. I'm going to say a

couple of things, then I'm going to ask a question,

and then I got to go chair my own committee. One, I

am speaking for a lot of Council Members, we are

incredibly disappointed that the Administration has

not chosen to fund, baseline fund, the emergency

food. We talked about this last year, a year ago, at

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE this hearing. You took the hit as the Commissioner, and I know you have to do it. I hope you will deliver a very strong message to the other side of City Hall. This money which amounts to between 18 and 23 million depending on how you count goes a very, very long way to feeding thousands and tens of thousands of New Yorkers who otherwise would not simply have enough to eat, and I am frankly, to be quite honest, I'm embarrassed that we're even having this discussion. It is such a small percentage of the overall social service budget in this city. So, I want to put that on the record. Secondly, twice in the last nine months of hearings that we've had where you have been present, I have asked you personally for the make-up of the individuals coming into the shelter system. you have told me that 11 percent come from evictions, 30 percent come from or have been involved unfortunately in domestic violence situations, which leaves a whopping 59 percent that I cannot account for, and given that we are spending--I would refer you to page 11, paragraph five, by my count we're spending 130 million dollars per month. Is that correct? I'll take that from anybody.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 91
 1
 2
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: If you're adding up
 3
    the math, you're referring to my page 11, right?
 4
                COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Yes, to your
 5
    testimony, so if--
 6
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing]
 7
    Roughly--
 8
                COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK:
 9
     [interposing][inaudible]
10
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: if adding up the
     amount for clusters, the amount for commercial
11
12
    hotels, the amount for shelters.
13
                COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Right. So,
14
    that's a 130 million dollars a month. Is that City
15
    tax levy dollars? I want to get a clear answer on
16
     that.
17
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: No.
18
                COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: No.
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: No.
19
20
                COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: How much of
     that is City tax levy--
21
2.2
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] It's
23
    largely City tax dollars in terms of single adults.
     There's a state cap on the funding that we get, and
24
     so it's largely city tax dollars in terms of--
25
```

COLINCII MEMBER GRODENCHIK

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: [interposing]

3 Can you give me-- Commissioner, can you tell me what

4 number of that 130 million a month comes directly

5 from City tax levy dollars?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I can-- I can, I

7 | believe, in a moment.

1

2

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: And the families with children expenditures are significant City expenditures, federal expenditures, and less state expenditures. While we're looking for that, I want

13 to answer your question, however.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: So, about a third-let's sort of walk it through for the record. A
third of the families are found eligible for shelter
because of a history of domestic violence.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: That doesn't mean that the entire third are not eligible for DV shelter. About a third of that 30 percent would be eligible for DV shelter, but a third of the families with children are— have a history of domestic violence, but 20 percent of the families are found

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE eligible based upon overcrowding or some type of conflict where they are previously residing. I want to come back to that reason in a moment, and a little over 20 percent are found eligible because of eviction, but that's primarily when the family is forced to leave by the prime tenant and not the landlord. The remainder of the families -- so a third plus 20 percent plus 20 percent it's about a quarter-- have multiple reasons including ACS, unlivable conditions, and other situations. I want to go back to a couple of the pieces of information that I gave you and delving a little bit more deeply. So, the 11 percent eviction number cuts across families with children that fit in all of those categories because I think what you have seen in all the years we've known each other as an elected official, because when they lose their homes don't necessarily come into shelter right away. They may double up. So, the 11 percent, we-- it was very important to us when we implemented the universal access to council to have understanding, what was the true number of people coming to us as a result of an actual eviction proceeding. It's 11 percent, but it's across all of these different categories. So, I know that you had

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE frustration and we did some digging down to try to give you a better answer, I anticipated this question was going to come, that instead of leaving you to add 11 percent plus 30 percent, and what's the remainder, the 59 percent, we wanted to step back and say what are the reasons why people are becoming eligible, families are becoming eligible. And so that's this larger group, but within that you get the eviction number. Now, when I gave you the 20 percent are found eligible because of overcrowding and some type of discord or conflict, that's why we've expanded the services that are funded through Homebase to be able to do some family mediation. Homebase was originally conceived of as an eviction prevention, keep people in their homes service, and gradually the providers have been expanding their services, and our funding now this year reflects that expansion finally, because we want to really focus on that particular group of people. Is there some intervention that we could have to keep them with family or friends in some way or other? In terms of single adults, I mean, the class definition of the single adult shelter population is "homeless by reason of physical, mental, or social dysfunction." There's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 95 1 2 still about 15 to 20 percent depending on how one looks at it, the people that are employed that are in 3 the shelter system and just can't afford housing. 4 It's also a remind-- remember that one of the 5 drivers, and this is sort of in reference to the 6 7 Chair's questions to me earlier, the single adults, the state shelter allowance for rent is 215 dollars a 8 month. So, that's a factor. I know you were focused 9 on that when you were different body. In terms of 10 the expenditures, overall it's about 60 percent City 11 12 tax levy. For adults it's 90 percent City tax levy. Families with children, it's 40 percent City tax 13 14 levy. And the IBO has a recent report showing the 15 relative proportions of city, state, and federal 16 expenditures. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 18 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council 19 20 Member Grodenchik. Council Member Brad Lander? We're also joined by Council Member Ritchie Torres, 21 2.2 Andy Cohen and Vanessa Gibson. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thanks very much, Mr. Chair. Commissioner, it's good to see you and 24

your team, and I appreciate your words about the work

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 96 we're doing with you and your team to try to site or convert one of the hotels in the district to be a shelter, and I don't want to get in a whole discussion about fair share today, which we've had before, but just for the record, I do feel the need to say, while I appreciate that the de Blasio Administration shift as saying communities should at least shelter folks who come from their neighborhood that that's not mine, nor do I think that Charter's definition of what fair share actually says we should it actually says that white or wealthier communities should do a lot more than that, and that we should actually see it as something we seek to site fairly across the City, and while the principle of close to homeness [sic] is in some ways a reasonable way, I just -- those elementary schools that have dramatically high numbers of kids from homeless shelter cannot possibly succeed in providing as good an education as the schools in my district. So, I don't want to get in a back-and-forth about it. We've had it before. We'll have it again. Let's get one sited in Community Board Six. Keep moving on the plan that you're doing, but I think if we actually sited homeless shelters more equally across the City,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE we might be more willing to do what was necessary to actually confront homelessness than we are when it stays predominantly an issue for low-income communities of color. But I want to ask is sort of the ambitious question of what that would look like. Like, I appreciate everything in your testimony you have done in these past four years, and the more recent amount of time since DHS came under your tenure, an awful lot, and personally you've done more than anybody else to try to move this city forward in meeting our obligations to end homelessness. really appreciate all of that, and I don't want to like short-circuit how much energy has gone into it by saying what would it do to do what we ought to be doing, but I am going to ask that. We are doing a lot, but what we ought to be doing is making a much deeper reduction in the number of homelessness families. So, if we were willing to spend more, I guess I want to know, is it that we would put more folks in public housing placements? Is it that we would put a higher percentage of homeless families in newly developed affordable housing built by the City to which sometimes Council Members, you know, are the ones who push back. You know, what is it that we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE would do if we could just stop being constrained for a minute by all of the many bureaucratic and political realities we have, and say what would it take to actually make a real dent in reducing the number of homeless individuals and families that we have? And you can free yourself from state constraints if you want as well. Like, I just would like to spend at least a minute talking about what we would really do if we had an ambitious goal, not just of kind of stemming the tide, but of really reducing? COMMISSIONER BANKS: First of all, thank you for your kind remarks. Also, thank you for your partnership in trying to-- and moving forward with siting our shelter in your area of Brooklyn, which is needed for our clients. You know, I remember crossexamining an official in the-- in the priori Administration, many, many years ago when you and I were a lot younger, both of us were a couple of jobs earlier than the ones we're currently in, and asking the then I guess he was an Executive Deputy Commissioner of HRA, what would it take to comply with the court orders, which is slightly different question that we're asking, but not so different.

And the-- Judge Friedman said, "Actually, I'd really

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE like to know the answer to that question, what would it take to comply with these court orders." And the answer from Jeff Carpal [sp?], who was a terrific public servant who passed away, was, "Look, you need a combination of prevention, decent shelter, and a way to rehouse people." And then you can have a system that treats people differently, decently, and really address homelessness. That was a very simple thing that was said, not four decades ago at the beginning of, you know, homeless litigation and homelessness in New York City, but it was said sort of part-way along the road, probably about 30-plus years ago from today. And you know, the history of that period of time where I talked about homelessness increasing 115 percent is a history of lost opportunities to put in place a system that looks like that, and the system that we've been articulated and working very hard to put in place is that system, but it has those three parts, and I talked earlier about in addition to bringing people in off the streets, which is not something that people focused So, looking at each of those pieces, prevention is so critical, and if you look at the numbers in

terms of reducing homelessness, you can start to see

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE reduced numbers of applications from families with children, for example, which is showing the beginning impact of the cumulative impact the last couple of years of what we've been focused on accomplishing. So, I think doubling down on prevention is part of what it takes to really address this problem. Having a decent shelter system is part of what it does take to address this problem, because to an extent you put people, I'm going to just say, far away from anchors of life or however you and I would have wanted to find it, but put people far away from supports or without supports or in rotten conditions like in clusters, the ability to work with families and reconnecting to the housing that is available is a challenge. And now let's talk about rehousing. you know, at the beginning of my testimony, it's in the Turning the Tide Plan, we talked about that the reality of our city right now is that we have half as much housing available for the client base that our agency serves. That's roughly the number that I described and the overall housing plan plus the supportive housing plan plus the rental assistance initiatives are all aimed at trying to bridge that gap, but it's a very big gap that's built up over a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE lot of time. So, you and your question to me, and I appreciate your question, raised a lot of issues that one might look at every time we consider a decision. And so, you know, the difference between a 10 percent set-aside that a project might start with for homeless families and a project that ends up with a seven percent set-aside may not seem like a lot because it's only three percent, but they add up, and that has an impact on how quickly we can move people out of shelter. As we try to site supportive housing, delays that arise has an impact on how quickly we can get people out of shelter. Even just the question that I know you're asking about rehousing, and I'm not trying to go back to shelter alone, but even just the question of spending 100 million dollars because we're in hotels and we better be in shelters, would help us overall for this approach. So, I would urge, and we've known each other a long time, so it's great to have this conversation with the entire City of New York watching, I would urge us to keep focusing on are we doing everything every day we can on those three things, and all branches of government have the ability to look at those three things. As the Chair

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 102 pointed out to me, I think quite appropriately, the committee has oversight over those three things, and the committee has oversight on each of those areas, and we'll keep coming before you telling you how we're doing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, I appreciate all of that, and look, my daughter and I over midwinter break were out on the west coast, and the difference between having a right to shelter and taking it seriously and not is like plain for all to see, I have to say. Like, what LA looks like right now with tens of thousands of people, unsheltered homeless, makes me appreciate the broader plan, and so I-- but I just worry that we get complacent in our-- even for all we're doing, and that it is-- I don't know who else's job it is other than yours to lay out what it would take if we actually saw homelessness as an unacceptable crisis in our city and said we might not be able to get it done today, but this is what it would take to do, and some of that is on us. You're right, Council Members we don't always look for sufficient homeless set-aside. Some of that is on this Administration. The Council has pushed hard to get the deeper affordability and

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE the advocates have pushed hard to get deeper affordability in the housing plan, but I guess I'll just end it there, because it's-- we're not going to drill down on it at the level that's necessary, but I just don't feel like I can let it stand at the budget hearing. What we are collectively doing is accepting a city with 60,000-plus people homeless every night, because we either aren't' clear about, and I think we're smart enough collectively, so I think it's political will and not intelligence that collectively leaves us with that city. So, I don't know where it's supposed to come from, but I would like to at least see a plan of what it would take to like cut that number in half in some amount of time, and then figure out if we're willing to spend that money or make those political choices. I think we should push ourselves to do it in addition to, and I appreciate it's on top of an enormous amount of work that you guys are doing every day. I just want to ask one more question on the HRA side of the issue, and kind of I think a less big picture way and a more practical way. I know that you've also done a lot of work to try to change the way, and I appreciate all the things you said in your testimony about

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE employment and training and the way, you know, the ending of WEP, all the shifts in that system. think it also, you know, remains true that the work to try to help people find the employment they need before they hit the end of their five-year timeline is a big challenge and a problem to solve. just want you to speak specifically to what you guys are doing to focus on folks who are nearing the end of their five years, either have already gotten there, or say like one year away, and what kind of particular employment supports you're putting in place to try to just focus everything you can on making sure people find jobs before they hit the end of their time limit, and like how many people are in that category? Are there some particular interventions that you guys have in place to do it? How does that fit more broadly with the workforce development system? If you could just drill down in that space in particular.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Sure. Sure. And thank you for a question about HRA. Look, the focus- by the way, I should say first that the-- we don't have a time limit on assistance in New York State because of Article 17 of our State Constitution. So

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 there's a state safety net program that comes into play after federal assistance has run out--3 4 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] After the exhausting of the federal assistance. 5 Thank you for the--6 7 COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] No, I just want to make sure that--8 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [interposing] 9 10 Absolutely. COMMISSIONER BANKS: no, but that 11 12 everybody is aware of that. I think the repositioning of our approach to employment has been 13 focused on the issue that you're getting at, which is 14 15 that we need to provide our clients with a career 16 pathway to move off of the caseload, and the 17 reorientation of the employment program is really focused on what we like to call Career Pathways 18 instead of WEP, for example. And you know, we're not 19 20 quite a year into it, and I think we're starting to see the kind of promising initial results that I 21 2.2 described which are giving people the tools to have a

career pathway, the education, the training, the

connection to some of the alternatives that you and I

in years past would have advocated for HRA to allow

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE the existing great programs in the community to be available for training, and so we're doing that. think it's also important to come back to what are the real numbers we're looking at now. And there had been so much focus for years on the HRA work programs, it's really only about 70,000 of the 500,000-- I'm sorry, of the 370,000 people who get assistance in any given month, and again, 24,000 of that group of people are actually working already, and our focus is to try to help them with income maximization to help them on their career pathway. But I do think that the shift in training and skills for pathway ultimately is going to be what will make the difference, and one of the things that we did when we put together the employment plan was to see what other states were doing, and other states like Kentucky and Texas has a lot more robust training to deal with that tissue because they actually do have time limits. They are-- and so there's even more urgency. You know, if we could bring the urgency here on a human level of urgency, I think that that's why we've emphasized education training so much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

don't want to use more time here because there's lots

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Alright, well, I

of other members, and this is something we've talked about really trying to do a hearing that drills down on maybe with the Economic Development Committee as well. I think both to get some more clarity on the numbers, on the links between the 60 million in the Career Pathway system and what's coming to the folks how need it most, understanding a little better what programs are— how many people that is, what the programs that are in place and what the results we're seeing so far. So, let's, you know,— I'm not going to ask again about it today but I would love if we could maybe, you know, look toward doing some kind of either joint hearing or— and get the information we need to really shine a spotlight there.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Happy to do that, and I guess I want to compliment the Chair. You've done several joint hearings that we think illustrates the inter-connectedness of the services that we're providing with other agencies. HPD, you did to Department of Health, those have been helpful looks at how services are being provided.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Council Member

25 | Gjonaj?

2.2

2

1

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you,

3

Chairman. Thank you, Commissioner. Medicaid and

4

HomeCare programs constitute about 60 percent of the

5

HRA budget, am I correct?

6

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Correct.

7

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: As such, the

8

budget needs to be-- needs to provide a more detailed

9

breakdown of this spending. The budget lacks

10

transparency as to how the City's reimbursement to

11

the state is calculated and how this funding is spent

12

down for services for Medicaid clients and HomeCare

13

services. How does the Department plan, or how are

14

you planning to solve the lack of transparency for

15

Medicaid and HomeCare programs?

16

with the Committee on that, but one of the structural

18

challenges we have here is that we pay the state, and

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I mean, we can work

19

the state pays the providers. Our role in managed

long-term care is reflective of the transition of it

20

21

22 transitioning to a state administered program. So

being a local administered program to its

23

in managed long-term care, the state is making the

24

eligibility-- I'm sorry, the service determination,

25

and we're only doing financial eligibility. We pay

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE about five billion dollars a year to the state and then the state pays the remaining 20 billion dollars, and they're making those kinds of payments for the long-term care that you're focused on. certainly look to see what we can do, but it came up at the beginning part of the hearing where if you look at our Medicaid caseload, it's a city caseload of about 3.3 million people, but only about half of that number we directly administer and care to, and it's been a decreasing number because the way that people get on Medicaid now is through the exchange and with the advent of Medicaid long-term care. state is making the decisions and we're an administrative partner to them. When I first became Commissioner there was a big issue about a concern that the City wouldn't have any direct involvement because if someone walks into an office they're more likely to walk into an HRA office to try to get help, than, you know, call a hotline that's not in the City. And so we've been working with the state to try to make sure that there's some portal that people can come to us to operate under. The takeover across the state has been proceeding methodically, a little bit slower than originally projected, and the next

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 110 1 2 phase of the takeover isn't really slated yet for the rest of our caseload, and I think what you're 3 4 reacting to in looking at our budget is a little bit 5 of we're caught between the -- we're no longer running 6 it directly, but it's not entirely taken over yet, 7 and we're giving you the most information we've got, but it's not as robust as I think you'd like, but I 8 understand the question. 9 10 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you, Commissioner. The Preliminary Care Plan, the total 11 12 available appropriation for Fiscal 2018 is 213 million against planned commitments totaling 110 13 million. This access [sic] balance of 103 million in 14 15 appropriations give the Administration considerable 16 flexibility within the capital plan. How does the Department plan to use the access balance of 103 17 18 million in appropriations? COMMISSIONER BANKS: I'm sorry, you're 19 20 referring to which program? COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: The Capital Plan, 21 2.2 the Preliminary Capital Plan that you proposed for 23 2018. It's 213 million against commitments. 24 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Are you referring to

25

the HRA plan or the DHS plan?

million. While we look for that, Commissioner, let

me go onto another question, and come back to that

2

1

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Totals of 213

3

4

5

one.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Maybe you can help located it, budget. There was a commitment to create 15,000 supportive housing units over the next 15 years. Is that correct?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: My concern is supportive housing, in particular for the borough of the Bronx where per-capita we currently have more than 41 percent more than Brooklyn, twice as many as Queens, 13 percent more than Manhattan, and 99 percent more than Staten Island, creating an oversaturation of supportive housing units for the entire borough of the Bronx compared to the rest of the City. We know that it impacts our educational systems, our safety nets from healthcare to policing, and more importantly, it takes affordable housing units off the market for Bronx sites. How can we address oversaturation of boroughs and communities?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Okay, I'm not sure if you're referring to-- with you numbers, I'm not sure if you're referring to supportive housing or if you're referring to shelter.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Supportive housing.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Okay, because supportive housing wouldn't impact the education system at all, since it's really for single adults or adult families. There's a growing number of families with children, but of the 15,000 units, I think maybe less than 1,500 of them are targeted for families with children in terms of supportive housing. Supportive housing is really a plan in two different parts. Part of it is congregate and part of it is scatter site. The congregate part of it is actually developing new sites that go through the Land Use decision-making process, and those are sited in the way that they would be. The other part of the plan is for scatter site housing, which is something that's been very successful in New York, New York agreements, and the City kept it in its own program. I think we're trying to connect people as much as

possible in communities where they previously resided

in both supportive housing and permanent— and shelter, but I'd certainly be happy to look at what's going on in your district with you and see what the needs are and see what improvements we could make or not, and I'd be happy to look at what's happening in your district.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: well, this has been brought up before, and not much has changed. While the reimbursement rates that these developers continue to see are a standard citywide, well, they're a target in the borough of the Bronx be we have the lowest or the cheapest land, and will continue to have an inundation of supportive housing which will also include homeless sites as well. And when you refer to cluster sites, how many cluster sites do we have throughout the city and what is a number broken down by borough?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Let me give you the top line, that there were 3,600 clusters in operation when we began the closedown program. This is a program that goes back 18 years to the Giuliani Administration. We have already gotten out of 42 percent of them, 1,500 of them, and the majority that we got out of first were in the Bronx. We've done

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 some very successful announcements in the Bronx, Council Member Gibson and previously Council Member 3 4 Torres, about closing the clusters in the Bronx. Part of the cluster closure plan involves converting 800 of those units to permanent housing by financing 6 7 not-for-profit housing developers to renovate them and offer them as permanent housing wherever possible 8 to the people that are in them, and if not, take them 9 by domain. The 70 percent of the overall clusters 10 when we were at 3,600 were in the Bronx, and we 11 12 certainly prioritize getting out of the units as quickly as we possibly can. 13 14 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Was that -- so 15 that number brings the total number of cluster sites 16 down to 2,100, is that correct? 17 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Correct, and then of 18 that 2,100, an additional 800 units will be covered by the initiative that we announced in December. 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Right, but can I have the breakdown of the 2,100 by borough? 21 2.2 COMMISSIONER BANKS: I can't do that off 23 the top of my head, but I will certainly get them to you. I can tell you that when we were at 3,600, 70 24

percent of them were in the Bronx, and that--

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: [interposing]

3

Exactly my point.

4

5 tranche over the summer when we closed the first

6

1,000, 600 of the first 1,000 were closed in the

7

Bronx, and we're continuing it roughly that kind of

COMMISSIONER BANKS: And then in our first

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Which makes my

8

approach.

please?

9

10 point that the borough of the Bronx is inundated by

11

supportive housing, homeless housing, and cluster

12

sites, and we must prevent the borough from being

13

inundated and oversaturated. You go back to the 800

14

additional cluster sites that you're going to remove

15

though eminent domain. Can you explain that to me,

16

COMMISSIONER BANKS: So, we identify 25

18

17

to 30 buildings that we thought would be appropriate

19

for not-for-profit, local not-for-profit, housing

20

groups to purchase with city financing, and we've

21

been very clear that in the event that we can work

22

this out out of court, that's our preference, and if

23

we can finance the purchasing of 25 to 30 buildings

24

that would enable 800 cluster units to be returned to

25

permanent affordable housing that is our first goal,

but if we cannot achieve that, we're prepared to go to court, because the public purpose here is to use, convert the cluster units to permanent housing as part of our cluster phase-out to address homelessness, and we announced that we would either-we expected to either conclude out of court purchases by the end of this calendar year, or if not, commence the imminent domain process.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: That's going to require a long legal battle of imminent domain.

Historically, it is not something that is done quickly and the property owners do fight back. I don't understand why we would take the approach of imminent domain when there are other viable options, and when you say you've chosen 25 properties, I believe--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] Yeah, we identified 25 to 30 properties where the City is operating 50-- is using 50 percent of the units, and therefore, we believe that those are appropriate for a public purpose perspective to end the cluster program.

2.2

through an agreement of some sort with the property

owner?

out.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: That's our fir-- as

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Which was done

we've stated very clearly publicly, our first priority is to attempt to finance the purchase without having to use imminent domain. If we do have to use imminent domain, we will, and we'll be able to accomplish the conversion of those cluster units within our phase-out plan, and we have made a public commitment that we would end the use of the cluster program in 2021. We're well on the way to do that having gotten out of nearly half of them already. Those additional 800 would dramatically help us get

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: You know, I just wonder if those property owners ever thought that there would be an attempt to take their property away from them. Would they have contributed to the programs that are made those cluster sites available initially? I don't think that they would have embraced the contract, and it's a fair warning to other property owners that have any fair dealings with New York City when it comes to housing. Imminent

domain is a last resort, and because the property owner was willing to rent out a number of units in his building is a terrible standard to set, and I feel that we're going to have negative push-back moving forward in the future.

2.2

commissioner banks: Well, I would just say that we're ending the cluster program. We don't think that it's an appropriate program. It was started 18 years ago, and our preference would be to finance purchases without imminent domain, but we think it's an important tool to use in order to end this program and to restore the units to permanent housing.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you,

Commissioner. How do you explain the homeless

population living in New York City shelter system has

reached an all-time high while the City has the

highest number of people on payroll and the lowest

unemployment rate for such a long period of time?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I think as I said at the beginning of the hearing, we have in the City currently a situation that has built up as follows:

The City lost 150,000 rent stabilized units, and rents up more than almost 19 percent between 2000 and

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 2015, and incomes went up less than five percent. The result is that we have twice as many people -- so we have half as many apartments available for the three million low-income clients that we serve, and the result is a lack of affordable housing for our clients, and that's why we have the numbers of people in the shelter system. Having said that, at the beginning of the hearing we went through some of the important data. One piece that's important to note is that over the past year, the census-- the DHS shelter census remained essentially flat for the first time in a decade, and the numbers of families with children and single adults seeking shelter-- I'm sorry, the number of families with children seeking shelter has been declining in recent months, and we think it's because of the investments that we're making in prevention and rehousing that we've been able to break the trajectory of the increases in homelessness that we have seen these last period of time.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you. So, I understand the argument of supply versus demand and the rising rent. Do you think, or do you believe that the increase in real estate taxes and

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 120 water/sewer rates that are imposed on these properties that trickle down to the tenants are also contributing to the amount of homelessness through high rents and unaffordability?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I appreciate the question, but I'm also cognizant of being the Social Services Commissioner and having a very broad mandate to provide services and a broad mandate to be required of expertise in. This is something that's clearly, I think, one of my colleagues would be better situated to answer than me. I appreciate your confidence that I might know the answer, but I think one of my colleagues would be better situated to answer that than me.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I'm looking forward to having an answer. What is the number of staffing that— or employees currently in this agency through PS, Personal Services?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Across the integrated agencies, approximately 16,000.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Sixteen thousand?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Correct, to serve

three million New Yorkers.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: And what is the total dollar amount allocated to PS to cover those employees? While they look that up, let me go to the next question, maybe you can get back to me.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: When it comes to the lack of transparency, and I say that with the utmost respect on the hotel spending, I believe that's 384 million dollars?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: It's approximately 30-- it's approximately 36 million a month, two million for clusters, about 96 million for traditional shelters.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Does that total to 384 million?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: No, the 364 million dollars is the annual cost of our commercial hotel contracts. We put those in place in order to control cost and more effectively provide services.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Explain that please. I'm not sure. So you-- those are contract costs whether they're a part-- these hotels are being used or not, this is blocking of rooms?

2.2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

costs.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: For-- hotels have been used in the City for many years, and one of the things that we found is when we looked at this during the 90-day review of homelessness services in 2016 was that the city by renting rooms on an individual night basis was paying much more than we could if we could enter into contracts with not-for-profit providers to be responsible for thee operations. so whereas the City used to pay significantly more than this, we've been able to hold the average cost to 174 dollars a night, and no room costing more than 250 dollars a night. Of course, the cost of rooms that you and I might be able to rent, it's different because we require security and space or caseworkers, and amenities and conditions -- accommodations in rooms, refrigerators and so forth. So, the total monthly cost that we gave you gives you each kind of shelter that we use. We're using traditional shelters at 96 million dollars. We have remaining clusters at two million dollars, and we have commercial hotels at 36 million dollars, but we have a contract with several not-for-profits that are improving services and helping us control those

on all of the issues that we've had to address in the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE City, and certainly I appreciate the work and the cooperation, because I am a member who represents a district that has systemically been over-saturated to no end with homeless shelters, family shelters, and single adult shelters. So, while I appreciate the efforts that the Department continues to undertake, I have to align myself with certainly Council Member Adams and others that have spoken about saturation. There are some communities that have absorbed a lot, and there are some communities that have not absorbed much of anything, and that certainly needs to change. I also want to associate myself with my colleague, Barry Grodenchik, who talked about EFAP funding. My district is high in terms of the needs for homeless-not just homeless but hungry families as well, and so that's always a critical priority we have. I wanted to just ask two very brief questions. Children in shelters, I've worked with your staff on this time and time again, and School District Nine in the Bronx is one of the school districts that has -- you know, Ms. Bonilla, the highest concentration of students in temporary housing. So, I understand past budgets. The Department of Ed has added about 10 million

dollars to focus on absenteeism, on dealing with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

literacy and afterschool and working with a number of shelter providers. So, my first question is how is that going, and what more can we do as we see in our district the number of students in temporary housing continues to grow? The partnership with DOHMH with DOE, how is that going, and what more can your agency

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

to do to provide better service?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thank you, and thank you for the partnership with you in your district. You've been a great partner to focus on places that we're getting out of, and as I said at the beginning of the hearing, over the course of the year we're reduced the footprint of DHS by 100 buildings, and we're on our way to reducing the footprint by 360 buildings over the course of the plan, and that ultimately has a benefit in your district as well, including the location you and I were at not so long ago that we got out of. I just want to correct the record and answer to Council Member Gjonaj's question, I believe I said 36 million dollars a month for commercial hotels, and earlier in the hearing I said the correct number which is 32 million. I apologize that I confused myself and therefore confused you. So, again, it's 32 million for

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE commercial hotels, 96 million for traditional shelters, and two million for the remaining clusters. Council Member Gibson, we've had a very good partnership with the DOE in terms of the new initiatives that the DOE has put into place. outgoing Chancellor Farina has been very concerned about these issues, and we appreciate the efforts that are done. The new chancellor is coming in now. We'll certainly be working with him to take a look at how these programs are operating. You highlight, and it came up in connection with Council Member Williams' question, you highlight I think a very important issue, which is that if I be-- if I'm a family with children and I become homeless in your district, I live in your district, I become homeless in your district, and I'm sending my children to a school in your district, our plan is focused on enabling me to keep my school, my children in that school, as opposed to what you have experienced and what has happened for many years, which is the happenstance of locating a shelter in your district results in not only me wanting to have my kids still go to school in your district, but kids from a different place having to leave their school and now

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

we're going to be working as we move forward with a plan to achieve that better balance between I'm able to keep my child in the school, and that applies to someone becoming homelessness in your community district as well as someone from Queens, from Staten Island, from Brooklyn, from Manhattan, and that will dramatically transform the experience that you and the schools in your district I think have had in the past, which is that you have the challenges of children that were going to school originally, and the challenges of children that are now sheltered in the district that are now transferring their kids to the school that you're describing, and that's a very real problem. It's been a problem for a number of

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

years, and the plan will address that.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: In the meantime, I think the work with the Department of Education will be really focused don what can we do in the interim to deal with some of the issues that you have raised with us.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. And what I want to offer as a suggestion is to have a more

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 128
collaborative fashion, so I would love to see if we
could be a part, and I say we because I'm including
myself to be a part of more conversations with the
district superintendent, with Leticia Rosario [sp?]
and her staff as well as DHS staff and some of the
shelter providers to find out what more we can do. I
mean, I don't think we should ever be satisfied with
where we are. We should always strive to improve,
but certainly I think having the continuous
conversations, because I don't want to make it seem
as if everything's done in a silo. And I also wanted
to ask, the PATH Center, as I understand when we had
a hearing last year focused on students in temporary
housing, there was to be an additional staffer added.
It may not be on DHS. It may be DOE's staff. Okay,
but you guys would still be aware of that, because
the person would be at PATH right?
COMMISSIONER BANKS: I mean, we have
sufficient staffing that's out-stationed to us from
both
COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing] From
DOE?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: DOE and ACS.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

_

COMMISSIONER BANKS: That they're both part of our placement process to give us the assistance we need in making the best choices we can for clients.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Placement in light of educational needs has been-- has improved, but it's not going to be at the place that either you or I would want it to be until we're able to make-- move further in terms of implementation plan.

question focuses on an area that I'm always
passionate about, public safety and just general
safety and security in our shelters, working with the
NYPD, training up more Peace Officers, the
installation of more cameras and security measures,
is there an update you can provide to us on where
that is going? And you know, we have to acknowledge
that although we've made progress, there have been
recent incidents that have taken place in some of our
shelters, and I speak from knowledge of one that
happened in my district. So I guess two questions:
What is the update in terms of cameras being
installed in our shelters? What is the update on

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: You're good at it. You can.

23

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 13:

2 COMMISSIONER BANKS: I'm going to do my
3 best. I don't want to follow [sic] the Chair--

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing] This is my last question, though.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Oh, okay. First, a very top line, I mean we've doubled the investment in security since the beginning of the Administration, and NYPD has a management team led by Chief Thompson that he meets with, Justin Carter, Administrator of DHS, and myself on a weekly basis. We're in constant contact with him, but he's managing and overseeing our overall security operations. As we open the first 17 shelters that we notified on the plan in year one, and as we move forward into year two and so forth, he and his team will play an integral role in evaluating what our needs are as we open new facilities. We continue to evaluate what is needed in new facilities, and one of the issues that is included in that model budget process is the investment of 236 million dollars in our not-forprofit providers. It includes evaluating how to deploy security as part of the process. Security and rent are varied from facilities, so in a model budget we're going to not have a cookie cutter number.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 132 1 really depends on what the facilities look like. 2 don't know whether or not the deployment in the 3 shelter you're describing is correct or not but I 4 will certainly take a look at it. 5 If it's the 6 facility I'm thinking about, it's one that I know 7 we're looking at with you to see what can be done to enhance it. Obviously, there was a tragedy that 8 happened to a family member when they weren't in the 9 facility in the neighborhood, and then there was 10 another incident that involved a dispute among family 11 12 members in the shelter, and we're looking to work 13 with you to address what happens in the shelter, and 14 the NYPD--15 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing] 16 Right. 17 COMMISSIONER BANKS: obviously does an 18 excellent job on what happens in the community. COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: 19 Okay. Thank you so much. I look forward to working with you and your 20 team, and you do amazing work with great challenges, 21 2.2 and I certainly commit to working with you on behalf 23 of my district. Your team, I mean, they all know me. I always make sure I'm a loud voice, because my 24

district deserves the attention, and we're going to

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE
 1
 2
              So, I thank you so much and look forward to
     working with you. Thank you, Chair Levin, for
 3
 4
     letting me speak. Thank you.
 5
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council
    Member Gibson. Good luck at your hearing. Council
 6
 7
    Member Treyger? Okay, Reynoso then Treyger.
                COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yes, oh,
 8
     finally. How are you, Commissioner?
 9
10
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: Good, how are you
     today?
11
12
                COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Doing well,
13
     doing well, doing well. First, I just want to just
14
     looking at the staff and everyone that's here and my
15
     experience with working with almost everyone here, I
16
     just want to say if there was ever a staff that we
17
     should have confidence in in being able to handle
18
     these issues, it's definitely your staff. And I just
     want to shout our former Council Member Annabel
19
20
     Palma who's also here as well. But with that said,
     now I got to -- I got to say "but." I just really feel
21
2.2
     like the operations that we see here or seeing the
23
    budget speaks to more like a management of a problem
     instead of like a solution to a problem, right?
24
```

feel like we're figuring out how to manage 60,000

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE people and manage that program, keep it at 60,000, just again, management. And Brad Lander had made mention to actually dealing with an issue. What is the plan to bring this down by half by like 2025 or by 2030? This boldness that we feel that we want to see, we're not necessarily getting that at this moment, and I might -- I agree with that. I don't necessarily think we have a plan to bring the number down a significant amount. I think it's more about how do we manage what we have now. And I just want you to speak to that, and then I want to get into supportive housing. So, I just want you to speak to like a master plan so that we feel comfortable that there is -- there's something going to happen longterm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: So, first of all, thank you for your shout out for our team. I appreciate that, and you have been terrific to work with. We've had some issues that went very smoothly and some issues that were challenges for both of us, and you've been very direct, very forthright, and I think we worked through challenges, and we're going to keep working them through with you, and I really appreciate that. I think that, you know, going back

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE to what I said to an answer that Council Member Lander -- I think it's important to focus on how critical it is to actually manage this challenge that's gone on for a number of decades more effectively, and our plan is really -- I appreciate that you're focused on the plan as one of management. The plan is focused on really four aspects to improve the management and therefore improve better outcomes for the human beings that we're charged with serving. It's not simply a matter of managing things. We're managing services for human beings to get better outcomes for them than they have had in the past. And so that combination of the four approaches, prevention, bringing people in off the streets, rehousing, and transforming approach to shelter for that, keeping people -- giving people the opportunity to be connected to the anchors of life, obviously, taking into account domestic violence survivors and other who need safety and other things. That's a seat change in the way the program, the city has made an approach in homelessness for many years, because it's been without those organizing principles without the kind of investments that we've seen. I know when the Turning the Tide plan was released last-- just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE about a year ago, that there was a lot of, "Well, your projection is over five years. You're only going to reduce it by 2,500 people." Look, the mayor and I and our team, we're not satisfied with that as the outcome, but we want to also be realistic about the critical importance of changing the approach to the crisis that's gone on for four decades to get better outcomes for people and continue to work hard to drive down the number. So, simply stabilizing it has been-- doesn't happen in a decade, and that's where we are now. We want to do better than that, and the plan involves driving down the number by 2,500 people, and we're going to keep looking for ways to do better than that. But we wanted to start-- keep looking for ways to do better than that, but we wanted to start with we have to manage this differently, and that's the approach that we have taken, and you can see the impacts for the, you know, the 70,000 people that didn't get evicted, because of the various legal services and prevention programs. That's a real, live driving down evictions 27 percent. That's making a difference, or bringing in the 1,480 human beings from the street and trying to reverse the trend of the people on the street.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE That's making a difference. And the rehousing moving out of shelter or avoiding shelter altogether for 81,000 people, that's having an impact. And then the transformation of shrinking a system that's haphazard, you know as well as anybody what's happened. Your community both when you're out of government and now that you're in government what went on, and trying-- and bringing that 647 buildings down by 100 this year and continuing to drive it down by-- those are the markers of progress, but we want to keep going further as we tackle the overall drivers of homelessness that twice as many people looking for the numbers of units that are out there in the City overall is particularly difficult for our clients looking for units at the lowest end. And I guess I want to say on a personal level, and I said this at the time of the plan, when I used to be at the Legal Aid Society I had a plan from every Administration in my bookshelf that had promises of dramatic changes, and the end result was after decades we'd have a haphazard system that wasn't working for people. So, we said to ourselves, let's change the system and we'll keep driving down as much as we can, but it's fundamental to change the system

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] I know I didn't give a totally satisfying answer, but I'm giving it from--

You've admitted to some degree that management is a top priority, is that when folks come through our system that we give them the highest quality service, and we do our best to try to divert and so forth, so management is like the foundation of what you're building off of. So, I'm okay with that. That's fine. That answer is fine. But I want to talk about supportive housing, right? So, a lot of folks believe that if you build—you can build your way out of this if you build enough supportive housing, maybe the 30 percent, I think is the number, give or take of folks that are actually employed that are living within— that are in the shelter system?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: About 34 percent--

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: [interposing]

Thirty-four percent.

1

3

4

5

6

Okay.

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: of the families with children, have an adult working, about 15 percent of the single adults are working--

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: [interposing]

COMMISSIONER BANKS: in comparable numbers for families.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: So, we got a population there that with subsidies and supportive housing could move out of the system and into supportive housing, but then I look at the numbers of 15,000 is a goal to build, which I think is low, right? Fifteen thousand units of supportive housing, the Mayor's plan for affordable housing, and I think you're at 500, give or take?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: So, there-- I think there's two different plans that you're referring to. I want to make sure I'm answering it correctly. one plan is the Housing New York Plan, how many units are there, and then there's the supportive housing plan, which helps our clients that have mental health challenges and so forth, and the substantial portion of supportive housing plan is congregate constructive housing that HPD is overseeing the development of,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE and as we know there's a lead time to actually develop it. We said we would get about 500 people into scatter site supportive housing, and we're just about there in terms of people either in units or linked to units and moving forward. Of course, we try to find units in the current rental market. have excellent not-for-profit providers that are out there searching every day, and we've got that first number of people that are there, and we're going to keep driving forward as we-- as the congregate numbers start to come on, that will give us a bigger boost in terms of those numbers, but the supportive housing is helpful to us predominantly for single adults who have mental health and other impairments. COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: So, yeah, I was talking more of the new construction of housing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

units.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yes, exactly.

So, I know it's an-- I guess an HPD question more so than an HRA question, but I just want to put that in perspective that we have 400, about 480 units that have been built so far. It's been four years since the Mayor's been in office, four years and two or

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE three months, and we have 488 units, and I just feel that maybe there's some level of bureaucracy or procurement or whatever it is that exists that doesn't allow us to build these units faster, and I'm not specifically speaking to the development and private properties. I'm talking about city-owned sites and city land, and for example, I have the Greenpoint Hospital in my district that has yet to finish an RFP. It's owned by the City. It can build 700 units of housing of which many of those can be supportive housing, and we're still sitting on an empty lot. And also I have the Dekalb Avenue Shelter that is new in my site that we worked a great deal with the community who accepted it with no fights. It's supposed to also be converted into supportive housing. I've yet to sit down with anyone that is talking about how that's going to be converted to supportive housing from a shelter, or you know, half shelter/half supportive housing. I just want to know who's going to be in charge of making sure that we're building, we are building as well, that that is a parallel track that we're on, because right now I don't feel like that's being taken seriously now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 COMMISSIONER BANKS: I mean, the Chair 3 held a great hearing with HPD Commissioner and me, and we talked about a lot of these issues. Again, I 4 want to emphasize that 488 number is supportive 5 6 housing which is different from the Mayor's Housing 7 Plan. In terms of the Mayor's Housing Plan we can certainly range for an opportunity for the HPD 8 Commissioner to follow up with you. the shelter on 9 10 Dekalb which we worked together on, and I thought that was a very positive approach to say, you know, 11 12 well let's create the shelter from a building that 13 might have gone onto the private market, and then it 14 would have been lost forever with a way to figure out 15 how to try to convert it back to permanent housing. 16 That is actually something that I do have the ability to make a commit on, so why don't we sit down and 17 18 together continue the good that we did in getting it open to see what's going to happen to that site. 19 Ι'm 20 happy to follow up with you on that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Council Member, we have--

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: [interposing]

This is my last--

21

2.2

23

24

1

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] We have

five members, and that's supposed to be starting--

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yeah, this is

going to be last my question. So, the last question, Chair, I apologize. In the Dekalb Shelter, they're saying that they're spending over 26,000 dollars on fixing items that they're getting ticketed [sic] on for by FDNY and other city agencies, DOB, and they're paying for that out of pocket. Is there a conversation that you're having with these shelters that are being operated by not-for-profits to assist them with not having to pay these fines and maybe fixing the problem and having the fines, you know, absolved?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I mean, we've put a lot of money into the shelter budgets for providers to be able to make repairs. Why don't we look into together what the situation is at Dekalb and see how it got to that point. I -- as I said, I think, earlier in the testimony, there were really three challenges that I saw when the 90-day review began in 2016 really. One was the underinvestment in the providers in terms of their staffing and their needs. Two was the underinvestment in maintenance, and three

was security. And so the budgeting process that really is resulting in 236 million dollars being invested in the not-for-profit sector is sort of aimed at addressing what I'm assuming maybe the providers coming to you and saying, "Hey, I couldn't fix it because x, y, and z." We're addressing that and I want to just understand what happened in that particular instance.

2.2

Member Reynoso. Council Member Treyger? And members, I'm going to actually put a clock on right now because we have five members and I have members of the Juvenile Justice Committee that are going to be showing up in six minutes to seek attendance in the Juvenile Justice Committee. So, if the Sergeant at Arms can put six minutes on the clock? Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you to the Chair. Welcome, Commissioner Banks. You testified before earlier to Council Member Gibson that there's a good relationship between your agency and the Department of Education. Respectfully, how good is it when significant number of students who are labeled as homeless or students in temporary housing are being reported for chronic absenteeism in our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: First of all, always good to see you. Thank you for your question on this

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE Let me raise a few issues and then I'm happy to continue the discussion offline because I know the time is limited here. First, from our perspective one of the most important things that the DOE did for us and our clients was to stand up the busing service to provide buses to get our clients to schools. the whole reason why we need this busing apparatus is because of the problem that we're trying to address in the Turning the Tide Plan, which is the placement of families away from the anchors of their lives, including the schools. So, the busing that they have stood up to enable our kids to get school we think is very important. The Comptroller's report, City Comptroller's report focused on various aspects of the DOE's processes, and we're certainly going to be pursuing with the incoming commissioner, the chancellor, anything that we can do to help with those processes, because we take that seriously. I think you're also highlighting, and I appreciate that you're doing it, that the problem of homeless students is actually beyond the number of homeless students that were in our shelter system because it encompasses, as it should, under the McKinney Act, all of those young people that are not stably housed,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 not in our system even, and that's part of the challenge that I know that DOE has of a much larger 3 4 group of young people than the people that are in our 5 But I can tell you that from an operational 6 point of view, getting the buses in place is critical 7 to getting children to school, and we'll certainly work with the new chancellor on the kinds of findings 8 that the City comptroller made regarding the DOE's 9 10 processes, and I'm happy to sit down and meet with you and take any suggestions. You've made a number 11 12 to me over the years. They've always been good ones. 13 I'm happy to--

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: [interposing] Right.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER BANKS: take into account}$ what you have to say.

the DOE-- well, first of all, in their prelim budget they didn't even indicate that they would continue the 10.3 million dollars for support services for students in temporary housing until we got them on the record recently saying that they would continue, but that number is still woefully inadequate because the need keeps growing and we're playing a game of

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 148 catch-up here, but one of the things that I believe is critical is that in their initial investments they placed some social workers, some -- we need a lot more-- in schools. We need to place staff, trained staff in shelters, in facilities, because sometimes these students they might be assigned, enrolled in a school, but due to a variety of reasons they might transfer because they might be moving to a new location for a variety of reasons. But the social worker does not move from that school to that new school either. So, I think it makes sense to have trained licensed, credentialed social workers in some of your sites making sure that families can rely on them for assistance to enroll them in those school communities. I agree that this is multi-layered here. It's more than just students in your shelters. It's over 110,000 kids that are living with some relatives, some horrible stories where I've heard students living with parents in cars. heartbreaking, but we need to do all that we can from your end and from the DOE's end to make sure that we are just kind of helping them navigate the bureaucracy, and so I believe -- have you asked the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

DOE for social workers or guidance counselors
assigned at your facilities?

that was developed goes back to when First Deputy
Mayor Shores [sp?] was here was developed in
consultation with us to stand up the best operation
that DOE evaluated would make a difference. Let me
consider what you're raising with us to see what
other steps we can take. And again, I know your time
is up. I'm happy to talk to you offline. I
appreciate the recommendation you're making, the
suggestion you're making to me.

- thank you Chair. I'd like to follow up as well with your agency with regards to the proposed WIN facility in Coney Island, making sure that the environmental concerns raised by advocates who are actually in support of as well of helping families in Coney Island, that it's actually safe for the residents there. So, I'll follow up with your agency about that. Thank you, Chair.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Absolutely. Thank you.

2.2

answer the -- what I think is the next question.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 151

2 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: How many are in 3 the Bronx?

2.2

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Nine are in the Bronx, five in Brooklyn, two in Manhattan, and one in Queens.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And what are the number of council districts and community districts whose residents enter their shelter system, but which have no shelters of their own? I'll call them the "zero shelter zones."

if I could answer it this way, and I think it'll be satisfactory to you. So, at an earlier part of the hearing we talked about the borough of Queens in which overall there are currently an excess of 10,000, and I can get you the exact number, 10,000—it's like 10,500 or so people sheltered in Queens, and about 8,500 people from Queens—again, I'm rounding these numbers—in our system, but when we close the hotels in Queens we'll have a deficit of about 2,400, and where there's a need to site shelters in that borough to replace that hotel capacity. Or similarly, in Staten Island, we have 1,300 people from Staten Island in our shelter

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 152 system. We have capacity only for about 140. And so part of the plan will be addressing that problem as we move forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I guess my

criticism is that given the initial 17 sitings, I see

no evidence of a commitment to fair share or siting

shelters in those zero shelter zones. The community

districts, there are how many community districts?

How many council districts and community districts

have zero shelters?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: There are a number.

I can't recall each one off the top of my head, but
as you and I have discussed, there are a number, and
then we will be building shelters in those districts.

Some of those districts have hotels; we'll be closing
them and replacing them with shelters. But I want
to--

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing] What keeps you from siting shelters in the community districts that have none?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Over the life of the plan there'll be nothing that stops us from doing that, but let me talk to--

2.2

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 153

2 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing] But
3 the initial 17?

2.2

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right. I wanted to sort of give you the context.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Because the initial decision set the tone, right? It conveys to me how serious is DHS is about fair share.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: So, just by way of example, we've sited the first shelter that there ever was in the Riverdale Community District, for example. That's one of those 17 shelters.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Right.

challenges as we take things down, dealing with replacement capacity, and prioritize taking down clusters first. So, I can see from the points you're raising with me, the challenges that this produces, but I want to assure you that as we continue to move forward we will end up with a system that is across the five boroughs, gives us the ability to offer to clients the ability to be sheltered in their home borough as close as possible to their anchors of life. Let me describe for you the process and some help that we could use in moving the process forward.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 154

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Could I--

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing] It depends if I have a clock. I'd be happy to hear the process unless I'm time-- do I have a time limit, or? Was there-- okay.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, let me try to keep this to a minute or a minute and a half. So, we operate in a procurement environment in which we're able to open shelters based upon proposals that come to us from not-for-profit shelter providers, and then it's a procurement matter. Shortly after we announced the plan we called all of the providers in and showed them the areas of the city where we have no shelters where we need to have shelters, and the areas in the City in which we're closing the clusters and the hotels, and we need to have replacement shelters. And we highlighted our expectation that as the plan proceeded that we would begin to get procurement proposals in those areas. We also, in the plan, said that communities were welcome to identify sites for us, and we have some notable examples of that, Community Board Seven. Council

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 155 1 Member Cohen helped us site a 200-bed mental health 2 shelter for single men that we're opening shortly. 3 And we just sent out a letter to every single 4 Community Board and every single community and every 5 single Council Member asking to help us in 6 7 identifying sites. Having said that, you know that one site that we're opening in 58th Street in 8 Manhattan. The comments that are being made about 9 why we shouldn't open a shelter there are exactly the 10 kind of comments that you and I are pushing back on 11 12 as we move forward with this plan. So, I would say--I would look at where we're siting some of these 13 shelters, like on 50th Street in Manhattan, and the 14 15 first one in Riverdale. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And what number is that in the list? 17 18 COMMISSIONER BANKS: That is number 12 on the list. That was the 12th of the first 17 that we 19 20 announced. Number six on the list was the first one in the Riverdale Community Board that had ever 21 2.2 existed. And then other shelters, as you know, and 23 you've been a great partner in this, we've sited

because we wanted to meet special needs. So, for

example, the shelter that you were tremendously

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 156 1 2 supportive of, the LGBTQ shelter, the first one that DHS has had. I appreciate that it's in your 3 4 district, and we wouldn't have been able to do it 5 frankly if it wasn't for you. Or a shelter for 6 single women who have mental health needs that was 7 sited in Prospect Heights in Brooklyn. We wouldn't have been able to do that without the kind of support 8 that we got from the local community, and I could go 9 through various of these sites, but--10 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing] You 11 12 don't have to. 13 COMMISSIONER BANKS: you are right, when 14 we get to 90 and 360 places are closed and 90 places 15 are open, the system is going to look very different 16 from how it looked when we started in terms of where places are and--17 18 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [interposing] [inaudible] Got to keep moving. Thank you. Council 19 20 Member Deutsch? COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you, Chair. 21 2.2 Thank you. Good afternoon, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Good afternoon.

24

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 157
2	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, I understood
3	from what you said before, you have 16,000 employees
4	in DHS.
5	COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yes.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: And
7	COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] No, at
8	DSS, the Department of Social Services
9	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [interposing] And
10	DSS
11	COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] It
12	includes both HRA and DSS.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, that
14	includes everyone, 16,000 employees and the budget,
15	that would be approximately one billion dollars,
16	right?
17	COMMISSIONER BANKS: In PS, including
18	overtime.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Does that
20	include also breaking ground?
21	COMMISSIONER BANKS: No.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, breaking
23	ground, what is the budget for breaking ground, and
24	how many employees do they have?

back to you on that. That's one component of our street outreach operation which we've doubled the spending for overall. I'd have to get back to you on their exact budget numbers.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I will have to get

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Alright, thank you. So that would mean if 16,000 employees, that would mean one person per over three homeless people?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: No, the correct calculation is 16,000 people serving three million

New Yorkers. HRA serves three million New Yorkers

who receive Medicaid, food stamps, cash assistance,

adult protective services, HASA services, HomeCare

services, customized services, domestic violence

services, plus the Department of Homeless Services

outreach prevention programs operated by both

agencies, legal services programs, and of course, the

shelter programs and rehousing programs.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Got it, thanks.

So, a few days ago I had an Aging hearing, and the

Commissioner mentioned that you have 2,000 homeless
seniors. Are you familiar with that?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yes, we have 2,000 seniors in our shelter system.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 159

2 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, are those 3 2,000 identified?

2.2

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Are they living in homeless shelters, or do you call them-- consider them homeless because they're living in the streets?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: These are in our shelter systems.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, can you please elaborate on what DHS is doing with these 2,000 seniors, and how many of the 2,000 are actually homelessness, not in the shelter system?

individuals are in our shelter system. We have taken two-fold approach to address senior homelessness.

One thing that we've done is we created a rental subsidy that I available to help move seniors out of shelter. It's one of the LINC subsidies, and it's available for senior citizens as well as four clients that are single adults and adult families where they're receiving SSI or they appear to be eligible for SSI. So, we set up a specific rental assistance program to deal with single adult homeless senior citizen adult homelessness, and we also opened the

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Happy to do it.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Also, you have approximately a little over 450 homeless veterans. So, they are currently in about six veteran shelters and also spread out in 70 homeless shelters throughout the City. So, veterans have the resources and funding for to have a rental subsidy. So, my question is is that if we take the 450 veterans, because we have federal funding for them already, for most of them if not all, and if we take them out of the shelters and put them into livable apartments, then that would free up these shelters to bring in some of the 63,000 homeless people that you have in the City right now. So, what do you think of that, of taking down the homeless veterans, putting them into livable apartments because the federal funding is there. It means this city does not have to pay for their subsidy. This is federal funding.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I wish that all veterans were eligible for federal HUD-VASH rental assistance. Unfortunately, not all veterans are-

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: No, I understand that. So, from the 450 do you know how many are not eligible?

inconsequential number. We can get you the exact

2

1

COMMISSIONER BANKS: It's not an

3

4

5

6

number, but by way--

you would say most of them are eligible?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [interposing]

COMMISSIONER BANKS: No, I wouldn't agree with that. By way of background, when we were certified by the Federal Government for ending chronic veterans' homelessness, we moved out in a very short period of time about 1,200 veterans, and yet we still have another group of veterans, because veterans become homeless like any other New Yorker. So I want to just put in context, if the suggestion is if we could move out that group of people, we would free up space. Our experience is that that did not occur--

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [interposing] So, over the last few years, those numbers were stable. I checked the numbers over the last two years, and they didn't really go too high up, only by a few individuals.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: That's because every week we move out veterans, and the numbers coming in are roughly equivalent, but again, our experience--

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE let me step back. If anyone's got an apartment for one of our veterans-- you and I have talked about this, and you and I are on 100 percent the same page. The more apartments we get to move out veterans, this is a good thing. I only want to give the context that in a very short period of time we moved out 1,200 veterans into permanent housing that allowed us to address chronic veterans' homelessness, but we still have veterans homelessness occurring for the same reasons that we have homelessness occurring overall, but I like your idea that we talked about in other context of trying to focus on this population in particular to connect them going forward. We will get back to you on the proportion that actually are eligible for HUD-VASH to see whether or not we can work together to advance this. I think-- I appreciate your perspective on this.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you,

Commissioner. I just— in closing, I just want to
say— I just want to tell you thank you for always
being accessible. I know we had conversations a few
weeks ago at 11:00 p.m., 11 o'clock at night, and
you're always available to discuss issues pertaining

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 164 to our homeless. So, I just want to say thank you, Commissioner.

2.2

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I appreciate it, but now you gave me up to every other Council Member that I'm available at 11, but thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: thank you, Council Member Deutsch. Council Member Yeger?

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: good afternoon,

Commissioner. I want to take us back real quickly to
the very exciting topic of imminent domain that

Councilman Gjonaj was talking about. Have you-- you
indicate in your testimony that it's a plan. Have
you actually begun the condemnation process for any
property yet?

announced this along with Commissioner TorresSpringer, we announced as follows and for a range of reasons that I think you-- I know you would appreciate. We identified target buildings, because of the nature of the negotiations. We will reveal them once we conclude the negotiations, and we said very clearly that we've given ourselves a year to conclude negotiations out of court, financing the not-for-profit developers to purchase the buildings,

committee on General welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 165 and if we are unsuccessful by the end of this calendar year, we will commence the imminent domain process. Again, we believe that we can complete it within the closure period of time by 2021.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Right, okay. So, as you know, because you're a far wiser constitutional scholar than I am, imminent domain requires first, you know, the offer, at least in New York, the offer to purchase for fair market value which presumably if you're not able to come to a deal it's because the recipient of the offer does not feel that they've been offered fair market value. trust the agency is going to be doing that. But what I want to focus on is the identification of the sites, and just stop me if I'm wrong. Just say, "You're wrong," and you know, fix me. The- as I understand it what you're looking at essentially is to go at the sites where you currently are and to take those under imminent domain to acquire them, the cluster sites. Is that correct?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: It's a subset of the cluster sites because the public purpose is to end the cluster program and convert cluster units into permanent housing.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: To address

homelessness.

2.2

and again, it's not to be snarky at all, but what—
the way it seems to me is that an agency or a company
or the private owner chose to do business with the
City, and as payment for that choosing to do business
with the City it maybe was a good partner with the
City, maybe not, is in essence being subjected to the
potentiality of an imminent domain proceeding that's
going to take over their property, because obviously
you're only going to do imminent domain if they're
not willing to sell.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, in terms of the-- imminent domain, as you know, is a last resort, as we've articulated it, but it's also a process in which if the parties can't come to an agreement about what the fair value of the property is, the court sets that number, and there's a defined process. So, the property owner is compensated either in an out-of-court agreement or through a court proceeding in which the amount of money is set by a court.

7

18

1

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay. And really,

3

I don't want to run out the clock completely, because

I know the Chair needs to move on. Without giving 4

5 any trade secrets, without letting us into any, you

6 know, particular site that does have to stay

confidential, and I understand that, the sites that

you're looking at for taking over under this, you 8

know, first make an offer then potentially imminent 9

domain, are any of them actually hotels or are they 10

all apartment buildings, or is there a mix, or you're 11

12 not really able to say?

13 COMMISSIONER BANKS: They're in buildings

14 that are part of a cluster program, which by

15 definition is a program in which the City for 18

16 years, going back to the Giuliani Administration,

rented apartments in apartment buildings. 17

COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Okay, so no former

hotel or currently, current hotel that's being 19

utilized for the service is being looked at for the 20

potential of purchasing, acquiring and eventually 21

2.2 condemnation if necessary.

23 COMMISSIONER BANKS: For reasons that I

24 know you can appreciate, I'd like to stick the answer

25 that I gave --

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing] Fair-3 COMMISSIONER BANKS: which is that--4 5 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: [interposing] Fair 6 enough. 7 COMMISSIONER BANKS: focused on buildings that are being used as part of the cluster program. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Fair enough. 9 10 appreciate that. I'm going to leave it at that, and I do look forward over the next couple of months as you 11 12 move further down this process to you updating the 13 Council on where this stands. I've sat on this floor. I've talked about landmarks, which is not the subject 14 15 of this, but with the same theory that I look at as a 16 taking, and when I see a taking, and you know, again, 17 there was a constitutionalist on this, but when I see 18 a taking, you know, my back stands straight up and I get a little nervous about that. We don't want 19 20 government doing the takings that the constitution envisioned, and obviously with the payment of fair 21 2.2 market value it is the government's right, but I'd 23 like to make sure that the agency is doing this in an

extraordinarily judicious, fair, reasonable way,

which I know you will. That's your reputation, but

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 part of what I do is to just make sure that that's really what's happening. I do look forward to 3 4 hearing more about it. 5 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Okay, thank you. 6 COUNCIL MEMBER YEGER: Thank you, 7 Commissioner. 8 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much, Council Member Yeger. Council Member Rosenthal? 9 10 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you so much, Chair, and thank you, Commissioner. It's 11 12 always a pleasure working with you and your team. just have a couple of quick questions. I'm going to 13 14 spend less than six minutes. The number of APS cases 15 seems to be going up, but the staffing level seems 16 flat, and I'm-- this is-- you'll hear all my questions are basically about a question that I asked 17 18 the Mayor when the Preliminary Budget came out, which made it clear that they were freezing hiring, and 19 20 when I asked him which positions, he said, "all our nonessential services that are being frozen." I just 21 2.2 came from a hearing at, you know, BSA. 23 Unfortunately, their staff is being frozen. 24 the effects of that, buy you know, is there any sort

of hiring freeze at your agency?

2.2

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I want to answer it carefully. So, at the beginning of this testimony I talked about having repurposed 550 positions in central administration of our agency to be in the direct service part of the agency, and so we do things like that all the time in which we--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing] Fair, okay.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: repurpose lines-COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
So, let's talk about APS in particular.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right. So, I wanted to drill it down to APS, but I was just-- the way you asked the question--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing] I hear you.

under oath. I want to make sure I answer it appropriately. In terms of APS, I think there are two things that have been going on with our APS programs since I've been the Commissioner. APS is part of HRA, so it goes back the full four years. We did a focus on two things about APS when I came. One was: is the net wide enough in terms of people who

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 171
2	might need our help? It's subject to a state
3	statute, so therefore it's not is the eligibility
4	criteria can we change it, because we're limited by a
5	state statute, but is the net wide enough? And then
6	whether it's wide enough or not, are we deployed in
7	the right way. In other words, is it just every case
8	that comes in or do we have
9	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing] I
10	got you.
11	COMMISSIONER BANKS: different? So we did
12	two things. One is we got a greater presence in the
13	Housing Court in terms of APS staff. Two, we made
14	online referrals possible. And three, we did some
15	redeployment within APS with some additional staffing
16	that we were authorized for OMB, but we're
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
18	When was the additional staff authorized?
19	COMMISSIONER BANKS: Two years ago?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Two years,
21	okay. So nothing this fiscal year, two years ago.
22	COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yeah, it was
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]

Okay.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right.

can be done online.

24

1

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: But you know, we're hearing that the workers there, they're well-a lot of overtime, and you know, people are just burning out left and right, and so you know, we're asking a little too much.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: The referrals can be by others of a particular person. Like, I could--I'm not going to do this-- but I could refer you online. But in order to assess you, we have to see you in person, and we typically do that in the field as it's to your home, someone's home.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Got it. the point being that staff are being overworked. Do you find that your staff are having to work many extra hours a day, you know, back to the question of do you have enough staff to do the job, or is there a big burnout rate?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: It's a field work orientation. That work is frequently outside of regular hours. As I said, we looked at our staffing and did do an additional deployment. They're all members of Local 371 with whom we have great partnership in terms of making changes, and we'll

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 174 certainly follow up with that and look at the overall situation.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So you would consider-- you're going to look into seeing whether or not workers are overburdened in-- I didn't quite hear what you said. I'm so sorry.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: No problem at all.

We continue to monitor the situation and we're

certainly have had a good working relationship with

Local 371, and we'll keep our close eye on it, in

part because we should look at it anyway, and in part

because you're raising it with me. So, I want to

look--

mean, it'd be great to be able to see some sort of—
I don't know if you regularly give this information,
but some sort of turnover, you know, what you're
turnover levels are, how long somebody stays, you
know, indicators of burnout. And then lastly, just
about your model budgeting, which is something we
talked a lot about over the years, I think there was
an additional money added for model budgeting, 120
million dollars. I'm wondering how quickly you can
get that money out. We're nearing the end of Fiscal

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Not this--

services, or better access to social services,

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 177 etcetera, security for these families. You know what I'm talking about?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I do.

2.2

want to get a better sense for you to give us a review of the goal for that contract that's outlined here, better social services, security for families, and costs. You reported about the cost, and you're kind of driving the cost down for the use of these contracts, but I didn't see any other data responding to better social services, how you're measuring that, security for families, how you're measuring that. I just wanted to give you the opportunity to kind of speak to that. And offer any other goals for that RFP that I might not know.

think you touched on a lot of the key points.

Overall, an overall goal of the RFP is to get out—
was to get out of the many years' practice off and on
of simply representing—renting the individual hotel
rooms or a few blocks of rooms here and there, and to
get to a place where cost could be controlled and
services could be improved. And so the numbers that
you're looking at of being able to keep cost to 174

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE dollars a night on average, and nothing above 250 was frankly meant to address the situation that I came into when we do the 90-day review of rooms costing a significant amount more than that, that the Comptroller reported on, and this was a mechanism to bring this operation under a contracting process. Parallel to that, though, has been the NYPD oversight of security, and so again, during the 90-day review NYPD evaluated the security needs at each location, and they do that for any new location that's used, and so that's where security is operating separately from what I described to you as we're trying to drive down the cost of the rentals. Security is being driven by NYPD assessment of what's needed. So, the two could be going in different directions, and in fact, they are. Then there's the services to try to, as much as possible, improve the services that clients get, so they could be the types of services that clients would get in shelter-- so, help with public assistance, help with case management and so forth, and making sure that independent living plans are in place. Having said that, there's a reason why we're phasing out the use of hotels, because the use of hotels is not something we want to continue to do,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

but the RFP and the contract that we put in place is intended in this phase-out period and this interim period to get the best services that we can in this setting, security and control costs of room rentals. So, there's multiple things going on at once, and I

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Well, okay. So maybe what we can do is set up some time with your team and talk a little bit about how that's getting felt on the ground.

think you touched on them in your question very well.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Sure.

still some issues around security, around social services, and really making sure that there's a baseline experience across the board. While we do have intentions, and I'm hearing from you that we're moving away from hotel use, hotel contracts, that they're still out there, and they're still coming.

And not only that, I think you're hearing form members, developers are building for that contract, whether they have formal, informal conversations with all of you. Anytime a hotel pops up in our neighborhoods people are making that connection and I don't know how many times out of what, but it

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 180 happens. And so I think that's what we're trying to figure out, and for a community like mine, Community Board Seven, we have been good partners with you. We have been working with you and your team to make sure that we can do our part.

2.2

commissioner banks: You have been good partners, and look, just to quickly answer a comment that you're making, we've been very clear we're prioritizing getting shelters online. The quicker we can get them online we can save 100 million dollars in hotel costs in a year, but at the same time we've also said in the short term we may need to expand usage, but any developer that thinks this is a long-term proposition, we've been extremely clear, crystal clear that this is not a long-term proposition anymore in New York City.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Great. So, I look forward to that conversation. Now, speaking of contracts, I'm going to move away from homeless shelters, and we missed yesterday at our immigration hearing, and I want to—— I just want to follow up with a couple of things that were discussed there. You know, you've made some—— you've taken some really bold moves in consolidating a lot of legal services

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE under HRA. Some were questioning that. I think all of us are seeing the impacts, the positive impacts, but some of the things that came out were super concerning, from the advocates and people on the ground, CBOs, that in the time that you've taken these massive contracts, we've moved away from flexibility and added more constraints. We've moved away from clarity and changes midway with these contracts, making it really confusing. One of the biggest ones is something that I think we're all calling "criminal carve-out" where the Mayor has cited that he's going to not give immigration ser-legal services to immigrants that fall under our detainer law, which is a separate thing, but he's using that as a way. That kind of came in, infected a lot of the contracts. Can you just tell us a little bit about what this tells us in terms of the future and how as providers are kind of communicating to you that we want something different, how you're going to take that in this budget negotiations, and kind of give a sense about what we're dealing with for this budget season. How can we play with you in this budget season to get us to a good spot?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 | 3 | a | 4 | a | 5 | i | 6 | w | 6 | 7 | C | 6 | 8 | d | 6 | 9 | y | 6 | 10 | t | 11 | Y | 12 | t | 13 | d | d | 14 | C | 14 | C | 14 | C | 14 | C | 15 |

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

COMMISSIONER BANKS: So, let's talk about a couple of aspects of that. So, there's flexibility and then there's the mayoral policy on these immigration contracts. So, flexibility was something we wanted to build into the contracts so that we could quickly adapt to a changing national scene. don't think that when we built that in three or four years ago that we were anticipating just how changing the national steam [sic] has been for immigrant New Yorkers and immigrants across the country. And so the flexibility that we've built in is to not have to do a new RFP every time there's a federal policy change, which given how many there have been over the last just over a year would have been not workable. And the changes in temporary protective status for clients and the changes with DACA have been a moving process, which has impacts on New Yorkers and the clients of the legal services providers. And so one of the things that we have been working with the providers in, the look of confusion that they may be expressing is because the situation that we're operating in is very confusing given the way policy is being made currently in Washington in the area of So, we've tried to build in as much immigration.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE flexibility as possible. We've had a lot of very good input from providers recently on greater flexibility they wanted than we were originally proposing for the kinds of -- for the way the services would be rolled out, and we expect to reach some conclusions with us and the Office of Management and Budget and come back to the providers, but we thought that they made a number of very good proposals to us about how to respond to the changing national situation in the current fiscal year and build that into the next fiscal year. So, flexibility, I think, is actually working the way we wanted it to work. The confusion is in part what's happening outside of all of our controls, and but on the other hand, I'm glad that we have the ability to be flexible. terms of the mayoral policy, we certainly articulated in at the time of the last budget that mayoral policy is to not allocate the City's policies, to not allocate immigration legal services for clients who under the detainer law would be in a carve-out area, and that continues to be what the position is. have heard from providers about issues around the process of implementation and how to deal with the process of finding out whether or not someone fits

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 into that, and we're trying to work that through with providers. There are limitations on how to do it 3 4 given the clarity of the policy, but I think we have 5 been trying to do that where we can, and if we've 6 fallen short, we'll keep looking at how we can do that. COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: 8 I'm looking forward to continuing this conversation, and really 9 thankful that the Council as the voice of the people 10 will be setting the policy, and looking forward to 11 12 you executing that policy in the near future. 13 you. 14 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council 15 Member Menchaca. Council Member Cohen? 16 COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you, Chair. 17 Good afternoon, Commissioner. How are you? 18 COMMISSIONER BANKS: How are you? I should say thank you to you. Your ears are ringing. 19 20 I said praise to you earlier in my testimony. COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Well, that's good-21 2.2 - the first thing, my first note is to say thank you, 23 because I am particularly proud of the collaborative work we did at CB7. I think that we got an outcome 24

that is-- that really serves the needs of your

clients. It serves the needs of my constituents, and I think it really is a model of collaboration. So, I mean, it was hard work, but I really think that it was time well spent and got a result that I, again, I really think serves both of our goals, and I think we-- we're also united in our goals in trying to make sure that--

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] I'm sorry--

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: you always have a place to live. You know, but one of the things I was just, you know, learning about the process, which I have no clarity on now, in terms of the shelter development I'm very mystified as to how that works in terms of process. It seems to me that developers develop shelters without a commitment from the agency to have a shelter. I don't know if it's capital, if it's expense. Could you just sort of, briefly, as best you can, sort of explain the development process?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Sure. Sure. And again, I want to, in the process of explaining that, highlight how you and your Community Board participated in that process, which is a-- continues

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE to be an open invitation, and you took us up on it, and I'm very grateful that you did. So, the shelter development process is a procurement process in the sense of not-for-profit providers proposed to us a particular shelter, and as part of the shelter rate, rent is part of that, and that's part of the negotiations we do with the provider about what we're able to pay or not pay. Having said that, in order to address this haphazard system that's built up over years, the rent that we might pay at a particular place is going to be reflective of what the market is, but also what some of our goals are, because our goal is to get people connected to their borough and to their community as much as possible, and if we simply use the rent as the guidelines, it's going to very much limit where you could open a shelter. In the plan, a year ago, we said we welcomed communities involvement and we said it in a number of different occasions, both the Mayor and me, and you took us up on that, and I don't know all of what you were doing, but from our perspective on it, you were working with a not-for-profit provider, BronxWorks, which is an excellent provider, and together there was a site identified. It was acceptable to the community,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE acceptable to them to operate, and they proposed that to us in a procurement process. The letter that we've recently sent out to all Council Members and all Community Boards is essentially inviting everybody to do what you did with us, and as you know, we are giving 30 days' notice once we complete the procurement process, and the average is about 65 We've got 17 sites. We need about 18 a year. We've got 17 sites announced on year one; 11 already up and running, and people say, "Hey, but wait a minute, I only have 30 days now." That's what we want to keep reiterating, come to us so that we can follow the process that we followed with you. Having said that, we want to-- there's an urgency. Where there's a right to shelter, we have to get shelter up every night for people. The cold stretch we had between Christmas and well into January and February was extremely cold, brought a lot of people in. That's a good sign, but nonetheless resulted in us using more hotels, and so there's an urgency of getting things up. So we're going to continue to work through those two lanes. Not-for-profit providers come to us through procurement and leaders like you and others identify sites and come to us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 By the way, I've been single-- for the record I should say this, I'm singling you out. You've done a 3 4 terrific job, been a great partner, but I see Council 5 Member Salamanca, Council Member Levin, there are so 6 many other people that have been helpful, but this 7 example of a shelter we're opening with you in your area very shortly for clients and mental health needs 8 9 is very important to us. It's a good example of how 10 we can do things. COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you 11 12 Commissioner. Thank you, Chair. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Council Member 13 14 Salamanca for brief questions? 15 COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Yes, thank 16 Thank you, Mr. Chair. How are you, 17 Commissioner? Commissioner, just want to acknowledge since the last year where we had our hearing, you 18 know, I was very adamant about the amount of shelters 19 20 that I have in my council district and I know that we've worked together in terms of having more of a 21 2.2 dialogue in terms of some of these shelters that are 23 coming in. now, my question to you is, Commissioner, what is your agency doing to ensure that there's fair 24

share across all 51 members of the City Council and

the dates [sic] who are doing their part and bringing in shelters to those districts and those affluent communities that have very little homeless shelters?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I appreciate the question. I also appreciate both the dialogue that we've been having. I think the progress we've been making and you have been a very good partner. That doesn't mean that you don't express to me disagreement from -- occasionally, but our goal is to try to work out as much as we can with you, and I've appreciated the partnership. And you know, in your district relating to your question, we're closing clusters, and that is a plus. We do need some replacement capacity, and that's a conversation that we will talk to you and everybody else about. stepping back from the plan, stepping back from where we are on that. The plan ultimately lays out, we thin, a very different shelter system than the one that is built up over many years. By way of example, there are 1,300 people from Staten Island in our shelter system and only capacity for 140 people. have made it very clear that we're looking for sites, and we will open sites that are proposed to us by not-for-profit providers to enable us to house in

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE Staten Island 1,300 Staten Islanders instead of only 150. That's that we have the ability to house. Obviously taking into account DV survivors who may need to be elsewhere. Similarly in Queens, we have 38 hotels. We're going to close them all. Currently in Queens there are about 10,500 approximately people that are housed there. Only 8,500 people in our system with Queens as their home borough. But once we close all the hotels there, there will be a deficit about 2,300-2,400 people and we're going to need to be opening more shelters. Council Member Lander who was here earlier referenced a process that we are undergoing to open shelter space in Park Slope. We have one shelter in Park Slope, almost to Windsor Terrace near where I live. We're looking for other sites in that community. And so the plan itself makes the commitment that you and others have been, I think, very clearly pushing for.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Yeah. Well, thank you for that, Commissioner. I just would like to see a more equitable distribution of homeless shelters throughout the entire City of New York, not just low-income communities. And then finally, what has your agency-- how are you working hand-in-hand

with HPD. We're seeing all this affordable housing coming through the entire City of New York. They're getting city subsidies. There's a homeless setaside. How are you working with HPD to ensure: number one, that council members are doing their fair share in terms of homeless setasides in their units; and number two, how are you getting homeless families that are in a shelter system bringing them back into their communities into these new affordable housing units for those families that are ready for independent living?

2.2

question, and you and Chair Levin I thought conducted a very important hearing not so long ago focused on exactly this issue in which Commissioner Torres-Springer and I both testified about it, and I think your focus on making sure that there's enough of a set-aside for people to be able to have an opportunity, move out a shelter back into their communities if they want to and if they're ready to. That's something that we are very focused on, and I think the partnership with you will help us get there. We're-- HPD's Housing New York Plan is beginning to put more units on the ground now, and

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 192
 1
 2
    we're anxious to work with you in your role and HPD
     and its role to achieve exactly what you're wanting
 3
    us to achieve.
 4
 5
                COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA:
                                           Okav.
 6
    you. And I just want to give a shout out to my
 7
     former colleague, Annabel Palma, I think that was a
     great pick-up. Thank you, Commissioner.
 8
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council
 9
10
    Member Salamanca. Thank you, Commissioner. So, I
     just have -- I have a few things I need to point out--
11
12
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] Sure.
13
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: for the record.
14
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: Sure.
15
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You don't have to
16
    respond to them, but--
17
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] I
18
    won't.
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I think we're going
19
20
     to submit them in a follow-up letter. So, these are
     areas that we didn't get to, okay. So, with regard
21
2.2
    to--
23
                COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] I'm
```

sorry, are you going to also say, "And I want you to

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 193 give me x, y, and z?" or is this just topic areas? I just want to--

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] No, no, no, these are going to be specific questions. I just need them on the record and these are going to be--perhaps we can have a follow-up meeting before the Exec.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: These are things that are time-sensitive, so I need to put these on the record here.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: With the hotel RFP, we would like to see that RFP.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Number one. We want to know the budget codes and program areas for the new contract for commercial hotels. We want to know what steps DHS is taking to make sure that children that are in hotels are receiving all the services and support that they need. We want to know how many children are in hotels. We want to know how many children and how many families with children are in hotels. These are all things—you don't have to

committee on General welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 194 memorize this, because this is-- we'll be following up with an email.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I have excellent colleagues who are writing it down.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: We want to know some details around the Capital Shelter Plan, where the expansions are going to be, specifically with the capital dollars that have been allocated in FY19 We're going to have to follow up around budaet. issues -- back to the hotels -- about some -- where the wrap-around services really are in the hotels and what we're doing for children. I want to make sure that -- you mentioned this issue around refrigerators being part of hotel cost. We want to make sure that every hotel room has the ability to have a refrigerator, because we're hearing some things to the contrary. Model budgets -- we want to know, and this is actually very important, and maybe you could just answer this. So we've heard that DHS model budgets are not including salary increases?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: COLA is built into that 236 million dollars investment.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I don't think-- well, there's COLA but that's outside of the model budget.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 195

So, there's COLA and then there's the 10 percent for-

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing]

Internal--

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: the administrative cost, but within the model budget themselves, there's not salary increases as part of model budget. In other agencies there are salary increases as part of model budget. APS, for example, is supposed to be having salary increases to match DFTA staff as part of model budget, not COLA and not administrative.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: So, we'll look at that and come back to you. APS' process is actually built off of the model budget process for DHS, so we'll have to see what issues there are there.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, on the APS we've heard that there's some concern that it's not done at all. I mean, there's three agencies for APS. So, there's concern that the APS model budget, there's still—basically, what you have is you have people working next to each other. You have one cubicle that's a DFTA case management being paid one level, and then an APS in the very next cubicle being paid a very different salary.

2.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right, and that's why we put in place the model budget process, but the process did require a give and take, and we're now at the place where the agency and OMB are going to review all the information we've gotten, and we expect to come to a conclusion pretty soon.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. But on the DHS

side, obviously, in order to be able to retain staff, we have to be able to pay them, and so we were-- I think there's a hope or an expectation among provider agencies that they'd be able to pay their staff.

Increased salaries is part of model budget, not just in COLA, but [inaudible]. We want-- when we send a follow-up letter which will include these questions and others, we would appreciate a timely response to that letter. So, maybe two weeks, is that fair?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I'll tell you what, if it's not going to be two weeks, I'll call you personally on our two-way bat phone.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, but we would-if it's not two weeks, it's got to be very close to
that.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Understood.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So, I think that's all that we can get to at the moment. appreciate everyone at ACS waiting for us, because we are 45 minutes late on that. So, Commissioner, thank you very much for your time. Thank you to every member of the Administration who was here to testify, for DSS, HRA, DHS. This was very productive. There's more to come.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thank you, and thank you for our good collaboration even when we agree to disagree.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

[break]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [gavel] Hey,
everybody. Welcome back. I want to thank you all
for your patience for allowing members to ask all
their questions in the prior part of this budget
hearing. Good afternoon. I want to also, I want to
thank obviously ACS for their patience. Good
afternoon, I'm Council Member Steve Levin, Chair of
the Committee on General Welfare, and I'm glad to be
joined by committee colleagues—none, actually at
the moment, but my good colleagues from the Juvenile
Justice Committee. I want to—I welcome Robert

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE Holden, Mark Levine, and of course, my colleague and Co-Chair of this hearing, Council Member Andy King. I also want to acknowledge we are joined in the room right now by former Council Member and New York City Comptroller John Lieu and his Columbia Budget Graduate Class who is here. So, welcome, Comptroller Lieu, and welcome, students. Welcome once again to the Fiscal 2019 Preliminary Budget hearing for the Committee on General Welfare. This afternoon we will hear testimony from the Administration for Children's Services, otherwise known as ACS, on its proposed Fiscal 19 budget. General agency operations within its proposed -- general agency operations within its proposed 2.57 billion dollar budget and performance indicators for Children's Services within the Fiscal 2018 PMMR. ACS has a critical mission to protect and promote the safety and well-being of New York City's children and families. As a father of a young daughter I can assure you that this committee takes its oversight budgetary and policy-making roles very seriously for achieving the goal of safe, happy, and healthy children in our city. Between fiscal years 2014 and 2018, ACS' budget grew by 12 percent with historic investments in child protection, preventive

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE services, and foster care. However, Fiscal 19 presents a serious challenge to the Agency, as many of you I'm sure have been following. ACS will migrate over 600 million dollars in childcare and Head Start contracts and services to the Department of Education, also known as DOE. In addition, there are severe budgetary threats in the state's Executive Budget that have been proposed this year to child welfare including juvenile justice services. My colleague Andy King, Chair of the Committee on Juvenile Justice, will address those challenges shortly. With respect to the transfer of EarlyLearn services to Department of Education, the Fiscal Year 2019 Preliminary Budget takes initial steps in expressing how the 600 million dollar transition will move forward. ACS has previously stated that the transition will be completed by February 2019, but there continues to be few details about the actual process. Today, I hope to hear more details on the full range of expected budgetary implications and a clear vision for the transition. Also, I hope to find out more how the Childcare Voucher Program, which currently serves 65,000 children in New York City, and will remain administered by ACS, how that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE will move forward. In addition, there are profound financial threats to child welfare services in the Governor's State Executive Budget. The proposed budget would cap payments to ACS for preventive services cutting 129 million dollars in anticipated revenue for the City in Fiscal Year 2019 on top of the 65 million dollars in this fiscal year. Let's just make that clear. The cuts that we're looking at are 65 million dollars just in this year between now and the end of June, and 129 million dollars in next fiscal year. ACS has made significant investments in preventive services, and that's to the credit of Commissioner Hansell, but also his predecessor Commissioner Gladys Carrion, to keep families together and children out of foster care where possible. The proposed cap is absolutely 100 percent the wrong direction for New York, and I and many of my colleagues in the City and State have been fighting very hard against this proposed cap. would be absolutely devastating to the provision of protective and preventive services in New York City and would set us back many, many years. We cannot afford to go backwards. I look forward to having a discussion about ACS' contingency planning. However,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE in light of all this, to maintain our investment in child welfare as well as action to eliminate preventive service wait-list. Finally, I would like to hear more about the next steps on the foster care taskforce. I want to thank Commissioner Hansell and his entire team, Deputy Commissioner Julie Farber and the entire ACS team as well as the sister agencies for coming together around this Foster Taskforce which just announced last week its recommendations. This taskforce was pursuant to Local Law 144 of 2016 which created the Foster Care Taskforce and charged it with issuing recommendations to improve services and outcomes for youth in and aging out of foster care. As I said last week, the taskforce issued its initial recommendations, and I hope to discuss today what we can do to improve permanency outcomes and support the health, education and career prospects of children in foster care, also to maybe talk a little bit about some of the budgetary impacts may be particularly in the initiatives that have been announced and committed to already. Before I pass it over to Chair King to say a few words, I'd like to thank the Committee Staff for their work in preparing for this hearing: Daniel Krup [sp?], the Finance

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 202

Analyst, Doheni Sampura [sp?], our Finance Unit Head,

Counsel to the Committee, Amenta Killawan [sp?], and

Policy Analyst Tanya Cyrus. We will now hear from

Chair of the Committee on Juvenile Justice, Council

Member Andy King.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KING: Thank you, Chair Levin. I'm Council Member Andy King, Chair of the Committee on Juvenile Justice. First and foremost I want to say thank you to each and every one of you who work tirelessly each and every day to improve a system that saves the lives of our young men and young sitters who just happen to have struggles and complications in their lives. I want to say thank you all today for your commitment to help improving all those lives. As Chair Levin said, this afternoon we're hearing testimony from the Administration of Children's Services, also known as ACS on its proposed 2.57 billion dollar Fiscal 2019 and 2018 Preliminary Mayor's Management Report. spends approximately 200 million of that budget annually on juvenile justice services which includes alternatives to detention, non and limited secure detention, secure detention, placements, and juvenile justice support. The State Executive Budget has

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE particularly vexing cuts to juvenile justice. includes the elimination up to 41 million in state support to the City's Close to Home program, which has proven to be safe and effective to the City and State partner to launch this program in 2012. small group non-secure placement residence allow young people to live in the City of New York closer to their family instead of being sent upstate to these facilities that allows them not to be connected to home. We cannot go backwards to the old model, and the state has a responsibility to support this program as it does in every county of the state. addition, there's an erroneous question about Raise the Age. Like many, I strongly support raising the age of criminal responsibility to 18 years of age and moving our children off of Rikers Island. However, we now have to implement this change on a tight timeline by October 1st of this year. I'm looking forward to hearing from the Commissioner and ACS on more details of how they're planning on delivering these services. Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner of Division of Juvenile Justice, I'm looking forward to hearing today's conversation. A key question nearly [sic] about the 300 million of capital budget

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE for repair and refurbishment of existing secure detention facilities in Brooklyn and the Bronx to know the status of these projects and whether they'll be ready for the 16 and 17 year olds that are coming off of Rikers Island in October. Another key question is how Raise the Age will be financed. State Executive Budget added only 100 million dollars across the state, yet, the City has estimated that 200 million in cost. In addition, the City may fail to qualify for state funding under current rules. These matters of critical concern for our young people who are involved in the justice system and children and family deserve justice, and it is essential that ACS plays a role in achieving a more fair future. But before I do introduce the Commissioner of the Administration for Children's Services and his Deputy and his team, again, I want to say thank you to each and every one of you. I'd like to thank Committee Staff for their work in preparing. This is my first Juvenile Justice budget hearing, so I want to say thank you for the hours and the time spent to help me get it right, and I'd like to thank them all for their work, and that is Daniel Krup [sp?] as well as Finance Analyst Doheni Sampura

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

[sp?], as well as Counsel Beth Golub [sp?], and Policy Analyst William Honnuk [sp?], and now we will hear from ACS Commissioner David Hansell after sworn in by Counsel Beth Golub. We also want to recognize the Juvenile Justice Council Member from Harlem, Brother Perkins.

2.2

right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and answer honestly to Council Member questions in your testimony today? You may begin.

much. Good afternoon Chair Levin, Chair King,
members of the General Welfare and Juvenile Justice
Committees. I am David Hansell, Commissioner of the
New York City Administration for Children's Services.
With me today are Lisa Parrish to my right who is
Deputy Commissioner for our Office of Financial
Services, to my far left, Lorelei Vargas, who is
Deputy Commissioner of Child and Family Well-Being,
and to my left Felipe Franco who is Deputy
Commissioner of Youth and Family Justice. I very much
appreciate the opportunity to discuss with you the
ACS Fiscal Year 2019 Preliminary Budget. I'd like to

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE take a brief moment to welcome the members who have newly joined the two Committees this year. We're delighted to have you and a new Chair of Juvenile Justice, Council Member King. And I have to say that I have very much appreciated in my year as ACS Commissioner, the transparent and collaborative relationship that we have had with the City Council, and I very much look forward to continuing that relationship under your new leadership. As some of you already know, my career has been dedicated to serving vulnerable communities, and I believe that there really are few missions that are more important than that of Children's Services, and so I am honored to have served and led the agency for just over one year now. I remain committed to moving ACS forward and building on the Mayor's historic investment in our agency and our reform agenda. One year ago, actually one year ago precisely today, I shared with the Council my plan to conduct a top to bottom review of the agency's protective and preventive functions, and to implement necessary reforms, and today, I'm pleased to share updates on the progress that we've achieved. And there have, in fact, been many exciting changes and significant practice reforms at

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE ACS over the past year, and I have to say that the voice of our staff has been instrumental in making our system more effective and more efficient. Over the year I visited almost every single ACS office location. I've met with thousands of our staff. I've participated in Town Hall meetings with our frontline Child Protective staff and others across the agency, and we completed our agency's first ever staff engagement survey. And I will tell you that much of my agenda as Commissioner has been driven by the input and feedback I've received from the frontline, and I cannot overstate my appreciation for their commitment and dedication to our work. Our Child Protective Specialists, in particular, are truly first responders. They are charged with keeping children in this City safe, the same way that police and firefighters are first responders who protect all of us. Over the last year, we have improved our child protective practice by strengthening accountability, by enhancing quality assurance, bolstering investigations in the highestrisk cases, and deepening our relationships with governmental and nongovernmental partners. While making these key improvements to our child-protection

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE work, we also recognize that getting families the help they need to overcome challenges, including trauma, poverty, mental health issues, domestic violence, substance abuse, so many others, that getting families that help is critical to keeping children safe. So, over the last year, we've expanded the support that we provide to children and families through preventive services, and we've taken major steps to strengthen our network of preventive providers. ACS has steadily increased the availability of evidence-based preventive services, those programs that have been shown to reduce rates of maltreatment and improve overall child and family wellbeing. Thousands of families today are receiving intensive counseling that is tailored to their needs, and thousands of parents are receiving parenting coaching to help them cope with the pressures they face and raise healthy children. Last year, the nationally recognized organization, Casey Family Programs noted that New York City is now at the forefront nationally in providing evidence-based preventive programs to support families. And we're seeing strong, positive outcomes from our preventive ACS's unprecedented investment in preventive

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE services has allowed the agency to serve increased numbers of families through those services, while reducing the number of children in foster care. And today, the number of children in foster care is under 9,000, which is a momentous shift from the nearly 50,000 children in care 25 years ago. Because we believe so strongly in prevention, we are taking that work even further. In September, we announced the creation of the new Division of Child and Family Well-Being, making ACS the first child welfare agency in the country to spearhead a new primary prevention approach, which seeks to reach families proactively with services, resources and educational messages that can support healthy children, families and communities. Our Division of Youth and Family Justice has also made significant strides to improve the lives of children and families involved in the juvenile justice system, with a special focus on keeping young people strongly connected to their communities. We're proud to say that fewer young people are being arrested and fewer young people are entering our juvenile justice system than ever before. Overall admissions to juvenile detention have decreased significantly year over year, dropping

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 32 percent from Fiscal Year 2014 to 17, and we've also successfully lowered the census of our Close to Home residential program by 20 percent from Fiscal Year 14 to Fiscal Year 2017. Beyond that, we've developed and are instituting a number of enhancements to the Close to Home program that focus on improving youth monitoring and accountability, enhancing oversight of staff and providers, and increasing inter-agency partnerships. Now, as you know, only weeks after I started at ACS last March, the State enacted the long-awaited Raise the Age legislation, and since then, ACS has been working nonstop with the Mayor's Office and our sister City agencies on planning to implement the initial requirements of the Raise the Age beginning on October 1st, 2018. We've also made structural changes at ACS over the last year to help strengthen agency operations that support all of our programmatic work. Early in my tenure, I created a new Office of Accountability to centralize and strengthen all of our internal and external accountability functions. Our Chief Accountability Office reports directly to me and works closely with all of our program directors and divisions and our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE oversight entities. And more recently, we've created an Office of Organizational Effectiveness, to coordinate our efforts to streamline operations, improve business process, and enhance overall agency efficiency. So, we've done a great deal in the last year to strengthen ACS and improve outcomes for children in New York City, and we know there is much more to do. As we work to advance the programs and practices that have positioned New York City as a national model for child welfare and juvenile justice reform, we are deeply concerned by the threats posed by the proposed State Executive Budget for Fiscal Year 2019. And Chair Levin and Chair King, I appreciate your acknowledgement and your support for us as we try to address those concerns. As you've said, the Governor's budget proposes to: implement an arbitrary cap of 320 million dollars in State child welfare funding, a cap that would apply to New York City only, not the rest of the state, resulting in what we have calculated to be a 129 million dollar annualized cut to ACS for next year. However, just last week, the Independent Budget Office reported that, with modified commitments in the January plan, the reduction in funding to the City would actually

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE be 161 million dollars. This funding supports all of our protective and preventive programs, core services that keep children safe and support families in New York City. The Governor's Executive Budget would eliminate all State funding for our very successful Close to Home program, just when the number of youth in Close to Home is expected to more than double once Raise the Age is implemented; and it would also effectively exclude New York City from accessing funding for implementation of Raise the Age. City projects the costs of Raise the Age implementation to be about 200 million dollars, and the Governor's budget would leave New York City to shoulder those costs without aid from the State. These proposed state budget cuts would be the most drastic cuts to child welfare in New York City in decades. The last time the State made such drastic cuts to New York City's child-welfare system in the 1990s, the results were disastrous. The number of children admitted to foster care in New York City increased by 57 percent, and the average caseload of frontline child-protection workers swelled to 24, which is twice our current level. It's important to note that these cuts would seriously jeopardize our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE significant progress and leadership within New York From 2010 to 2017, the number of children in foster care in New York City declined by 38.4 percent, while in the rest of New York State, the number of children in foster care declined by 13.9 The reason that foster care is declining percent. almost three times faster in New York City than in the rest of the state, we believe, is the scope and scale of investments and the improvements that we've been making in New York City, particularly in our preventive programs that are helping keep families together. So, I respectfully ask all of you to join us in urging the state in its last week of the state budget process to remove the child welfare cap, to restore funding for Close to Home, and to allow the City access to appropriate funding for Raise the Age. We stand by and fully support the Mayor and the City Council in fighting against any detrimental impacts the state budget may pose to New York City children and families. As an agency dedicated to serving children and families throughout a wide continuum of services, ACS is uniquely positioned to help create a stable, more equitable foundation of opportunity for those we serve, and I'll dedicate my remaining

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE testimony to highlighting core areas of our work. Beginning with Child Protection, as you know, our Child Protective Specialists carry out some of the toughest, most challenging work in this city, so it is imperative that our staff is well equipped and well supported in this work. And with that in mind, we've made significant investments in tools, training and technology that frontline staff need to increase safety and enhance their work with children and families. The most immediate reforms we made last year focused on strengthening quality assurance in our protective work. We have restructured and reinvigorated our ChildStat model as our core part of our agency's quality improvement program. Now, child protective zones around the City that have defined geographic responsibilities in rel-- we now are assessing them on a rotating basis, reviewing their performance in relation to borough-wide and city-wide standards, and developing concrete recommendations to strengthen protective and investigative practice. Since we re-launched ChildStat in May 2017, we have held more than 45 sessions, resulting in recommendations for zone-based and system-wide improvement. To help strengthen case practice within

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE the Division of Child Protection, we've also created a new Quality Assurance Unit to provide frontline staff with real-time feedback on safety assessments, decision-making, and service provision. We've worked closely over the last year with the State-appointed independent monitor, Kroll Associates. In December, as you know, Kroll released its monitoring report, outlining 11 recommendations for strengthening our protective and preventive practice, all of which we have accepted, and we are well underway in implementing many of the reforms, including enhancements in our training, investigation protocols, and oversight mechanisms. ACS's Investigative Consultants have for many years assisted our child protective specialists with particularly challenging investigations and they've reviewed sensitive cases along with other experts, including medical personnel, clinicians, and current law enforcement officials. Since the end of 2016, ACS has increased the number of Investigative Consultants on our staff by 28 percent and we've expanded our partnership with the NYPD in several ways: We've begun a cross-training program in which our child protection frontline staff are now training

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE alongside police investigators at the NYPD Police Academy, taking courses that include Forensic Interviewing and recognizing evidence and resources available to assist in investigations. And also, and I think this is really noteworthy, NYPD staff are now attending specialized ACS training to deepen their understanding of child welfare issues, investigative process, and our safety and risk assessment. Law enforcement is also involved with us in investigations where there is reason to believe that there has been physical abuse, sexual abuse, or other criminal activity. In 2017, ACS and the NYPD made 5,579 Instant Response Team joint responses on investigations, and police were brought into hundreds of other ACS investigations to provide their support and expertise. And finally, we're using NYPD Neighborhood Coordination Officers which exist in many neighborhoods around the City, and in many of those neighborhoods our frontline child protection staff now have direct relationship with precinct Neighborhood Coordination Officers on the ground. That means that when they need to consult with the police or need police support, they can do that through direct relationships with folks that they

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE know in their precinct rather than having to rely on 9-1-1 calls to summon police support. And finally, under a new Heightened Oversight Protocol that we put in place last year, an Investigative Consultant supervisor and a Child Protection manager or supervisor conduct a joint case review prior to initiating an investigation on all State Central Registry reports when the maltreated child is three years old or younger, and the report involves either a fatality, an allegation of serious physical injury or sexual abuse. Under this new protocol, Investigative Consultants remain involved in cases and participate in further reviews in the course of the investigation, to provide enhanced support in these most serious cases. In 2017 we hired more than 600 new CPS, and we're on track to hire another 400 by the end of the fiscal year, and with more frontline staff on board, we've been able to reduce the average investigative caseload from 14.8 in May 2017 to 12 as of last month. And although ACS has some of the lowest caseloads among major child welfare jurisdictions, we also know that the caseload metric itself doesn't always tell the full story, and there's nothing more important to our success than

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE making sure we're doing everything possible to support our frontline workers. So, by taking into account all of their job-related duties, we can better assess the real impact of our staffing and case management levels. And so, to that end, we're launching an innovative pilot program to help address CPS workload, in which Case Aides will provide handson support to CPS staff in some of our Child Protective Units in our DCP Bronx North Borough Office. Those Case Aides will carry out casesupportive tasks such as reaching out to collateral contacts, obtaining medical records and supervising visits. This important initiative is one that we hope will demonstrate its value in supporting CPS in their work. We've expanded our training to the extent that last year more than 4,000 frontline child protective staff and supervisors received training to strengthen their practice. The curriculum includes a new Safety and Risk module to assist in developing stronger safety plans for children and an implicit bias module which is under development. That module will enable us to work with staff to reinforce the importance of treating all families equally regardless of race, ethnicity or other factors that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE are irrelevant in child welfare investigation. Supervisory managerial training are also being enhanced, and to ensure continuity from the training academy, ACS began in Fall 2017 to deploy Staff Development Coordinators and coaches in DCP borough offices to bridge the transition from training to the field, and we thank the Council for supporting these enhancements in the enacted 2017-18 budget. strengthen CPS's ability to conduct and document investigations, last year we provided all child protective staff with internet-activated smartphones with relevant apps and tools. And we're going in the next step right now, several hundred frontline staff are part of a pilot project to use tablets in the field, and all of our frontline CPS will have tablets within the next several months. This will strengthen their ability to conduct and document investigations by enabling CPS to download case information when and where needed, and upload case notes in the field, in court, or elsewhere. And finally, with regard to child protective work, we're expanding the role of our Division of Child Protection in providing support services to families. In December we collaborated with Food Bank for New York City to launch our first-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE ever emergency food pantry for families involved with It's located in our Bronx South DCP Borough Office, where there is a high concentration of families who are struggling with food insecurity, and we're thrilled that our child protective staff can now connect families in the Bronx to healthy and nutritious food resources when they are in need. Moving on to preventive services. We made major Investments with the Council support in preventive services in FY18. That's helping us to implement the best possible service models to support families and to make sure that our providers are adequately compensated for the work that they do. Last March, when I started as Commissioner, ACS had a backlog of almost 500 families waiting months for preventive services that had already been recommended for them following a child protective investigation. After an aggressive implementation of business process improvements, we eliminated that backlog by September and we restored our ability to provide timely matching of families with preventive services. since then, we've continued to improve the quality and consistency of services with additional improvements. The goal of preventive services, of

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE course, is to help at-risk families develop skills to manage crises, to maintain safety and stability within the home, and strengthen their ability to thrive in their communities. Our non-profit partner provider agencies are among the best in the nation and they do extremely challenging work, so it's imperative that our providers receive the supports they need to do that work well. Most of ACS's contracts with preventive agencies have been in place since 2007, with minimal budget increases, and by early 2017 when I became Commissioner, many providers were facing critical staff shortages because of inadequate salaries with reduced capacity and that contributed to the service backlog I just mentioned. So, last spring ACS began a model contract review process, in close collaboration with our providers, to assess where more resources were needed, and in the City budget for Fiscal Year 2017 and 18, we received more than 26 million dollars in increased funding to develop a quality model budget to assist providers in raising salaries, retaining staff, strengthening training, supervision and quality assurance, and improving the delivery of services to children and families. We announced the model budget

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE components in January and we're in the final stages of amending provider contracts to implement the enhancements. We've also launched a number of new program models and service protocols in the last year to connect families with services that can most effectively meet their needs. Last year we launched the Group Attachment Based Intervention, or GABI initiative, that provides access to trauma-informed, intensive attachment-focused therapy for our hardest to reach families, parents and young children age zero to three who have experienced significant trauma, housing instability, mental illness, domestic violence, or other challenges. GABI provides group settings where parents can connect with others experiencing similar challenges, and seeks to improve children's development, decrease their exposure to trauma and maltreatment, reduce parental stress, and boost parental social support and mental health. Ιn January, we announced a protocol for expanding services to protect families at risk of, or experiencing, domestic violence. Under the new protocol, ACS's Investigative Consultants work on cases with families receiving preventive services where there are domestic violence risk factors and/or

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE criminal history, where a new adult has been added to the household or has taken on a caretaker role, and where there are children under seven years of age in the household. And beginning next month, we will procure a demonstration project to test new methods for working with families experiencing domestic violence. It'll serve 100 families, including 400 individuals who are experiencing domestic violence, who are under court-ordered supervision, or who are referred to or seeking ACS preventive services. going to allow us to test a model where families receive both preventive services and a clinical therapeutic intervention for domestic violence. then finally, beginning this spring we're also rolling out new preventive services focused on supporting families that have very high service needs, especially focusing on those who are under Court Ordered Supervision or at risk of court intervention. We'll add more than 1,000 additional slots, including in evidence-based models such as Functional Family Therapy and Child-Parent Psychotherapy, when this service model is fully implemented in Fiscal Year 19. All of these very intensive services will be located in all five

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE boroughs and will require careful coordination between preventive programs and our ACS child protective teams to make sure that we're working with families who are under court orders in particular. And by cultivating strong collaboration, we'll improve the experience for parents and children in these especially urgent cases, while applying more resources to stabilizing families. Our newest division at ACS, which is our Division of Child and Family Well-Being aims to help families much, much earlier to engage families before they ever reach the child welfare or juvenile justice systems, and reach them with resources and services to help them thrive. This new Division focuses on the factors that contribute to family wellbeing, including health, education, employment, culture, and it uses both place-based and population-based approaches to engage families and networks in their communities. scope includes the agency's Community Partnerships Program networks, our Safe Sleep Initiative, our early care and education programs, our primary preventive services, and a new Office of Equity Strategies that works to identify strategies to

reduce inequities, implicit bias, and other factors

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE
 1
 2
     that contribute to disparate outcomes for the
     families and communities that we serve. One of the
 3
     first major initiatives, new initiatives of this
 4
     division, was the Fall 2017 launch of our Safe
 5
    Medication campaign, an effort to help parents and
 6
 7
     caregivers ensure that medications and potentially
     dangerous household items are stored out of
 8
     children's reach. In addition to the information
 9
     component of that campaign, we're distributing
10
    medication lock boxes and bags to families that are
11
12
     engaged with ACS and we'll eventually share them
     across city agencies, and with programs that provide
13
     in-home services of other kinds, because lock Boxes
14
15
     and bags are easy and effective ways to keep
16
    medication accessible to parents, but out of the
17
     reach of children.
18
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] As the
     father of a one-year-old, I can attest to that, yes.
19
20
                COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Thank you very
    much.
           It's common--
21
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] It's
23
    real. It's real.
24
                COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yes, it's a
```

serious issue, but we don't really think about it

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE You know, a lot of these things are very healthy for us, but they are not good for our children. Another initiative we are very excited about is the launch of our Family Enrichment Centers in the first half of this year. This is an innovative new model for providing comprehensive, community-focused support to families, and it's also a family-centered primary prevention strategy that is designed to reduce rates of child maltreatment and increase family stability and wellbeing. Everything about each of the three centers we're launching, from its name, to its physical layout, to the services that it offers, is being co-developed with families and with communities. The FECs will be open to all families in their communities and will provide a range of services that support healthy child development. The first pilot Center is now open in the Hunts Point, and two additional pilot Centers will be located in the Bronx and in Brooklyn. the foundation of our new Division of Child and Family Well-Being is our early care and education program. And since 2012, our EarlyLearn NYC program has provided high quality, full-day early care and education services each year to more than 30,000

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE children from birth through five-years-old. We're proud that this program has become a pillar for promoting healthy childhood development, while also providing wraparound services to families, and that has been a hallmark of EarlyLearn. Now, in the next phase of the program and as part of the Mayor's commitment to early education, our EarlyLearn NYC contracts will be transferred to and integrated into the Department of Education's Division of Early Childhood Education in early 2019. This integration will build on the important work done by EarlyLearn programs today, strengthening the birth-to-five care and education continuum in New York City and creating a more seamless experience for children and families into elementary school and beyond. The transfer of EarlyLearn will also support the Mayor's 3K For All initiative, which will ultimately offer free, highquality early education services to all three-yearolds in New York City. As EarlyLearn transitions to DOE, ACS will continue to administer the City's child care voucher system. We'll continue our efforts to bolster the quality of care in the system, which serves 29,000 children under the age of five, in collaboration with the Human Resources

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE Administration, the Department of Health & Mental Hygiene, and the Department of Education. committed to continued efforts to make child care available to some of the most vulnerable families in New York City, including many who are involved with our child welfare system. Turning to foster care: ACS remains focused on improving outcomes for young people in foster care, and we are heartened by the City Council's equal commitment to this priority. thank the Council for its leadership and partnership in this effort and I want to especially acknowledge Chair Levin and Public Advocate James for their roles in shaping the work of the New York City Interagency Foster Care Task Force, which was established through City Council legislation, as Chair Levin just indicated. The Task Force, which is chaired by ACS, convened first in June 2017 with the goal of developing recommendations to improve services for youth in foster care and outcomes for those leaving foster care. Last week, the Task Force released a report containing actionable recommendations, several of which we are already moving to implement. ACS are committed to doing all that we can to advance these recommendations, and we look forward to working

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE with the City Council, the Public Advocate, and our sister agencies, as well as providers, youth, parents, and advocates on these critical initiatives. The recommendations that came from the Foster Care Task Force are aligned with and build upon our Foster Care Strategic Blueprint, which was released first in 2016, and the progress report we released last year, and those documents identify ACS's key priorities and strategies for improving case practice and results for children and families in the foster care system, including family reunification, kinship placement, adoption, and supporting older youth. This focus, combined with the unprecedented investments by the de Blasio Administration to strengthen child welfare, is yielding promising results. Through our No Time to Wait initiative, ACS is implementing a range of strategies to improve permanency outcomes for children and youth in foster care. Last year, we partnered with Casey Family Programs to conduct Rapid Permanency Reviews, which looked at 2,500 children who had been in foster care for more than two years, and these reviews identified case-, agency-, and system-level barriers to permanency for those children. Based on the findings from that work,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE we're streamlining administrative process and providing targeted technical assistance to our foster care agencies to help reduce time to reunification and accelerate adoption and kinship guardianship. Ιn Fiscal Year 2018, beginning this year and scaling up over the next two years, ACS and the Dave Thomas Foundation have established an \$11 million partnership to expand an initiative called Wendy's Wonderful Kids, which is focused on adoption recruitment using a particular model to increase the number of older children and children with special needs who exit foster care to a forever family through adoption or through KinGAP. The majority of children who enter foster care in New York City return home to their families. In fact, in Fiscal Year 2017 more than 2,000 children were reunified, and 899 were adopted, and 378 children exited foster care to Kinship Guardianship. So, while there is more work to be done, we are making solid progress toward our goal of connecting children and youth in foster care to permanent, safe, and loving homes. And finally, with regard to foster care, we've expanded our Fostering College Success Initiative over the last year to help more young people who are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE transitioning out of foster care pursue higher education. In partnership with CUNY, we now have almost 100 young people enrolled in college classes and living in CUNY dorms at Queens College, College of Staten Island, and City College. And we're continuing to work in close collaboration with CUNY to expand the program further in Fiscal Year 19. finally, moving onto juvenile justice: As you know, extensive planning is underway to prepare for implementation of the initial requirements of the Raise the Age legislation by October 1st, 2018. citywide Steering Committee, chaired by the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice and including representatives from multiple city agencies and the State Office of Court Administration, has been working to guide the overall city-wide planning effort. As you can imagine, this is a significant undertaking. Given the very aggressive timeline for implementation of this important legislation, we're working to quickly expand our continuum of communitybased preventive services for youth who are at risk of delinquency, and working with the New York City Department of Probation and others to increase diversion and Alternatives to Detention programs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE throughout the City. We're also working in close collaboration with the Department of Correction and in compliance with State Specialized Secure Detention requirements to bring new 16-year-olds and 17-yearolds as well as youth on Rikers Island in that age cohort into our juvenile detention facilities and to develop program models and services that are designed to meet the developmental needs of older adolescents. We're also partnering with our provider agencies to prepare for post adjudication 16- and 17-year-olds coming in to Close to Home program, and building on our continuum of Alternative to Placement services that are currently offered through ACS's Juvenile Justice Initiative, which is the largest Alternative to Placement program in the City. Now, Close to Home, which is the core of our post-adjudication work, is a juvenile justice reform that's allowed New York City youth to be placed in juvenile justice residential care in or near their home communities, rather than in large institution-like settings upstate. Most youth in Close to Home spend about six months in small residential facilities around the City, and are then reunited with their families under ACS supervision through aftercare. In the five years

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE since Close to Home was launched, we have seen that the success of a young person's reintegration into the community rests largely on the strength of the aftercare supports that they receive. So, with this in mind, we've initiated a set of enhancements to our aftercare program to improve outcomes for justiceinvolved youth and bolster public safety. Through these reforms, ACS will improve the transition from residential placement to aftercare, we will strengthen supervision to ensure that young people attend school and participate in other important programming, and we will follow up more aggressively in the rare instances where public safety issues arise. As you know, the City Council awarded 250,000 dollars in Fiscal Year 16-- 15, I'm sorry-- to implement the Cure Violence Crisis Management Initiative, and you've since increased the allocation to 450,000 dollars. We have adapted this initiative to tap into the network of Cure Violence providers to reduce the likelihood of gun violence in the City and to enhance borough-based support for ACS youth in neighborhoods that are most at risk by addressing the underlying contributors to violence. Cure Violence providers engage youth in detention and placement

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE through workshops and individual meetings, and they support youth as they re-enter the community. now, ACS funds two additional Cure Violence contracts between our two secure detention sites through an intra-city agreement with the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. The progress that I've just described that we're making in our protective and preventive work and in foster care and in juvenile justice is largely the result of increased collaboration across City agencies. We all share a responsibility for protecting children and supporting families, and I'm pleased to report that we've been expanding our interagency partnerships. In March 2017, only a few weeks after I became Commissioner, Commissioner Banks and I executed a Memorandum of Understanding with the Department of Homeless Services to share information between agencies about children and families who are in the shelter system and ACS-involved. The MOU requires ACS and DHS to notify each other at critical points in a family's case. For example, when a family receiving ACS services enters shelter, when there is a change in a plan to move a family from shelter to shelter, or when there is a change in a child welfare case at our

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE end that may require a different level of intervention by DHS. The agreement also requires shelter providers to issue vital information to families, such as information on availability of child care and safe sleep practices. All staff at 162 DHS shelters citywide have been trained on these new protocols. In August last year, ACS and the Department of Education hosted a joint training for our staff and their staff on a new tiered-response protocol to share information about excessive absences that may suggest underlying child welfare concerns. Under this protocol, attendance records are more closely reviewed, and there is a clear process for quickly flagging cases where there may be issues of safety or educational neglect. And just last week, we announced that ACS and the Department of Youth and Community Development have entered a two-year MOU to increase the number of youth in foster care who are participating in after-school programs that can enhance their academic achievement and their social skills. This is a direct response to a recommendation from the Foster Care Task Force, and we anticipate a number of additional interagency initiatives in response to other Task Force

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE recommendations. Finally, just to give you an overview of our budget: Our proposed Fiscal Year 2019 preliminary budget plan provides for operating revenues -- expenses of \$2.57 billion, of which about 789 million dollars is city tax levy. Since the beginning of the de Blasio Administration the City has made, at full implementation, a 218 million dollar investment in ACS, including 172 million dollars to strengthen and improve outcomes for children and families in our Child Welfare system. The funding has bolstered our training capacity for our staff and for provider agencies, has driven a historic expansion of preventive services, supports much needed technology updates for our frontline staff, and has fueled the launch of several groundbreaking innovations in service provision for children and families in the City. So, as I reflect on my first year at ACS, I remain honored and humbled to serve the children and families of our City as ACS Commissioner. The work that ACS and our partner agencies are tasked with and carry out every single day is nothing short of extraordinary, and I want to take a moment to express my profound gratitude to the thousands of individuals who keep our children safe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 237

I look forward to working with all of you over the coming years, and we welcome your questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KING: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Excuse, Commissioner. Thank you. I enjoyed reading your book, I mean your testimony.

[laughter]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KING: But want to also-COMMISSIONER HANSELL: [interposing] It's been a busy year.

Ritchie Torres from the "Boogie Down" Bronx for joining us this afternoon. I got to say I am delighted from what I've heard here. I am also delighted there's been a whole lot of improvements, a lot of plans, a lot of implementation that's taken place. As a former ACS case worker for 10 years, I wish we had some of this stuff when I ws working. We wouldn't have 50 cases at the time, 12 cases— it's definitely a success story to be touted about throughout the City of New York and what we have been able to accomplish. But I'm not going to talk much more about ACS, because my conversation to you is going to be about the juvenile justice system. And

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE as I've said to you and I will say to each and every one of you who are in the room, whether you're a union man or union sister, or you're an educator, or you're in a group home, or you're-- wherever you are in the foster care system helping out with children, our conversations are going to be about how do we be the responsible adults in the room to help our young people in crisis. I don't want us to be adversaries. I want us to be teammates that's making sure that we have a system that works so we can improve the lives of the future of New York. So, with that all being said, going into the Close to Home conversation, the state has maximized an appropriation of 41.4 million dollars to the City for its juvenile justice program, Close to Home. The estimate for Fiscal 2019 is only 30.5 million; however, due to the access in the Close to Home we know there's going to be more slots to our Despite, we authorizing Close to Home for an additional five years, implicitly validating the success of this program, the state budget proposes cutting off funding for New York City for Close to Home. I'm baffled. In addition to, there is further evidence of the Close to Home effective from Columbia University, -- again, a shout out to all the students

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

here from Columbia for Finance class; thank you for joining us today -- which found evidence that positive youth development and public safety improvement since the advent of Close to Home. That included a 53 percent reduction in the number of youth arrested in the City of New York. You tied [sic] some great numbers, and far you've been able to save lives from 2012 to 2016, compared to 41 percent from the rest of the state. It means we got to be doing something right in the City of New York. So, my question is, I want to understand how does ACS track the quality -- I have a number of question. Not going to be very long, but I do have a number of questions that we wanted to put together for the day. Just want to know how does ACS track the quality of its Close to Home providers? Is there a universal scorecard to determine which providers are most effective, most efficient, and if they're not delivering on what your goals are, what do you do with them?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Those are great questions. Let me say a little bit, and then I will turn to Deputy Commissioner Franco to give you more details on the process. But all of our Close to Home services are provided by non-proper providers. They

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE administer our -- both our residential placement facilities and our after care programs, and I said in my testimony, we're actually looking at some significant enhancements to that program, particularly in the aftercare area. But it is very important that we make sure that we're maximizing the investment that we and currently the state, and we hope in the future the state, are making in that program. We're seeing-- you know, if look at sort of the macro level, we're clearly seeing success. said, you know, fewer kids being arrested, fewer kids going into the program, and some other metrics I didn't mention like for example, fewer kids going AWOL in the program. So, when we look at the program as a whole, we're seeing almost every indicator that we track moving in the right direction, but it's also very important that we look at the performance of each of our providers, and we have a, actually a very really extensive and robust scorecard program to do that which is administered by our Division of Youth and Family Justice, and I have to say it really is a very sophisticated methodology that allows us to identify service quality issues early to work with providers to correct them, and to make sure that we

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on General welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 241 sort of are constantly looking at how we can improve the quality of services and the outcomes that are being achieved by all of our providers. Let me ask Deputy Commissioner Franco to talk a little bit in detail about how that program works.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Thank you, Commissioner. You know, Close to Home actually is where it's at because it actually was grounded on the principles of foster care, and what we know that actually works in terms of development of permanency and better youth development outcomes. So, one of the overlying basics about whatever ratio of any provider is actually building on the great capacity of metrics that actually have been developed previously at ACS for our foster care providers. actually use the same set of tools with adaptation by our Division of Performance and Measurement that looks at the quality of case management for every youth in Close to Home. Having said that, early on in Close to Home, particularly under this Administration, we understood that case management quality and outcomes such as permanency were not enough for a program that actually has a public safety obligation. So, in the last four years we

have invested significant amount of money in actually develop a cadre of inspection tools, to be completely honest. We're actually-- it's not just what we expect on behalf of the providers, but we have a team of inspectors that actually go to the homes on the weekends, on the nights unannounced to inspect certain standards of public safety practice. On top of that, two years ago with the leadership of the Commissioner and others, we actually brought into New York City performance-based standards which is actually national set of juvenile justice standards using over 72 jurisdictions. So, New York City actually now has the capacity to look at our performance, not just internally from a year-to-year performance, but actually well we do compared to other jurisdictions across the nation. Many measures, particularly issues such as the use of room confinement incidents, permanency, we actually lead the nation.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KING: So, thank you. Thank you for that. I heard you do some training. You do some inspections to make sure that every— the service providers are in tack and deliver what they need to deliver. What happens if that training is

committee on General welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 243 not successful, and has there been an issue where in the last four years that an agency said, "You know what, I can't do this? I'm just going to not be engaged."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: It happens.

I mean, again, public safety and the safety of the

youth is actually our main--

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON KING: [interposing] I'm} \\ \mbox{sorry, say that again.}$

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Public safety and the safety of the youth that we serve is our main responsibility. So, actually, based on the case reviews that I mentioned before, based on our inspections and based on real data related to incidents, there's actually likelihood that a provider that we feel -- that we don't feel comfortable is doing well, we could actually close intake, and we could actually have to put in place a set of recommendations for them to improve their practice. And we have done that in the past. We tend to be fairly proactive looking at real data on a weekly basis in terms of incidents and other matters, and actually can work usually with the providers to figure out if it's an issue of staffing, if it's an

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 244 issue of training, or if it's some other issue that could be addressed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KING: Okay. I'm going to move forward on some other questions, but I do-we're going to get back there, because I do want to understand what do you do if someone just doesn't cut the mustard? Is there a timeline of saying, listen, we try it with you for the last two years, three years, this doesn't seem like you're able to deliver. We need to make sure this money is spent better, so you don't have to answer that question. Just think about it, and I'll just move on. I want to talk a little bit about secure detentions. DYFJ manages two secure facilities in the City of New York, Crossroads and Brownsville neighborhood in Brooklyn and Horizon in Mott Haven neighborhood of the Bronx. As we know, secure detention funds support the -- excuse me -- the city-operated secure detention facilities that alleged juvenile delinquents and offenders' cases are pending in Family Court and Criminal Court. total admissions to detentions have dropped. were talking about the numbers from 2,755 in Fiscal 2015 to 2,126 in Fiscal 2017. So, my question is: there currently is 98 children in the juvenile

detention system that you have. How many can-- how many youth can ACS expect to accommodate by October of 2018 and by October 2019, and how many are expected to be placed in secure or non-secure?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well again, let me start out and then Deputy Commissioner Franco can elaborate. So, the number that you cited, Council Member, actually includes who are in secure and nonsecure detention. SO, in our two secure detention facilities the population fluctuates somewhat, but it's been mostly in the 50 to 60 range recently, and then we have another 30 or so kids who are in nonsecure detention, and they are in residential facilities not--outside of our two detention centers that you mentioned, Horizon and Crossroads. So that number has been down because of the number of arrests in the City has been down. But we know that once Raise the Age implementation begins in October of this year we will have more young people coming into our system, and the City's plan is that both beginning October 1, 2018 newly arrested 16-yearolds, if a judge orders that they require pre-trial detention or pre-adjudication attention. And then beginning October 1, 2019, again, if a judge orders

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE that they require pre-adjudication attention, they will be coming into our system and into our facilities. In addition and of course that part of Raise the Age applies across the state. The other part of Raise the Age applies only in New York City, is the requirement that all youth who are on Rikers Island as of October 1, 2018 must be moved off of Rikers Island, and that during the year from October 1, 2018 to October 1, 2019, any 17-year-olds who are arrested although they remain legally to be considered as adult criminals. They don't actually transition into the juvenile system until 2019. cannot be on Rikers Island. So that the City's plan is that they too will come into our system. So, the City's plan as I think you know is that we intend to utilize Crossroads and Horizon together with a facility that is currently state-owned we have asked the state to transfer to us, Ella McQueen in Brooklyn as an intake and assessment center, and our expectation is that with those three facilities we will have the capacity to handle our current population, newly arrested 16-yer-olds beginning this year, newly arrested 17-year-olds beginning next year and the cohort of young people, 16 and 17-year-ols,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

who are on Rikers Island now, as well as those who are— 17-yer—olds who are arrested over the course of that intervening year that we've been sort of calling the gap year. But what we've had to do in our planning is to think both about the incremental increase in young people coming in as a part of the—the really fundamental part of Close to Home as well as the cohort of young people on Rikers who will need to moved off Rikers into other facilities as of October of this year.

2.2

Thank you for the answer. I do want to move onto my colleagues who may have questions, but I just want to ask you one more question in regards— in Fiscal Year 18 there was— it's been noted that there's over 300 million dollars that you have to improve facilities. Specifically, we talked about Crossroads and Horizon. Just want to get an idea how far along in spending of that 300 million that has been spent, and what's remaining, and what will you continue to do with whatever is remaining?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Very good question. We are currently engaged in the initial phases of what we're calling "Make Ready Work" so

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE that as of this October we will be ready to have a larger number of young people come into both Crossroads and Horizons. So, the money is currently being spent on both facility upgrades and improvements necessary to provide the programming that 16-year-olds, newly arrested 16-year-olds, as well as 16- and 17-year-olds from Rikers Island will require. So, we're currently spending money out of that 300 million dollar allocation for initial Make Ready activities so that by October 1, 2018 we'll be ready to basically absorb into our system those young people, but the work will continue after that because we know there's more work the will need to be done that we are not going to be able to complete by that So, the work will continue for another year or even more after that period of time, but the commitment that we have -- and we're working very closely with our colleagues in the Department of Design and Construction to make sure that by this October, all essential work has been done so that 16and 17-year-olds can be absorbed into Rikers Island and into Horizon and Crossroads. In addition, once-if we are successful in our request that the state transfer to us Ella McQueen, we anticipate that there

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 will be renovation work that needs to be done in that 2 facility. We don't know for sure because we so far 3 4 have not been given access to it. So, we don't know what the extent of that work will be or what the cost 5 of that work will be, but we certainly anticipate 6 7 that there will be some substantial amount of renovation required there, and that presumably would 8 also come out of that capital allocation. 9 CHAIRPERSON KING: So, I heard you say a 10 whole lot of stuff. My question is, the 300-- if I 11 12 missed it-- the 300 million that's on the table, how much has been spent and how much do you have left as 13 14 of today? That's what I'm trying to understand. 15 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARRISH: Hi there. 16 For the two facilities, Horizons and Crossroads, we've been authorized to spend 104 million dollars. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON KING: As of today there's 104--19 20 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARRISH: [interposing] As of today, authorized--21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON KING: [interposing] million 23 that was spent. 24 CHAIRPERSON KING: to spend 104 million

dollars. We have committed already 74 and a half

million dollars in encumbrances, and preencumbrances. So, these are contracts going out the
door through DDC, and we spent-- we've liquidated far
less than that, but as you can see, we're on a very
intense schedule of a commitment of 74 million
dollars.

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: And to what the Commissioner mentioned before, our focus now and the expenses that you heard before, it's Make Ready Work which actually is a lot of those significant amount of repairs that those facilities needed anyway that actually make them health [sic] and safety for October 1st. We actually are working and actually have been finalizing a set of new packages of design to do further improvements of the facilities to meet the programmatic needs of 16- and 17-year-olds years to come.

CHAIRPERSON KING: Okay. So, that 300 million is enough, and you'll be finished hopefully by October 1st, but the plan is continue to work if you're not finished by October.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: The plan would be to continue working. By October $1^{\rm st}$ we're going to be only ready to do the health and safety

items the Commissioner mentioned before. We will continue to do programmatic enhancements afterwards.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: The, you know, we have to have completed by October 1 is, as Deputy Commissioner Franco just said, health and safety work. Obviously, safety is the priority. So, we have to make sure that anything that's essential for safety of either young people or staff has got to be completed, and then we also have to do the work that' necessary for us to be able to comply with the requirements of the state regulations that will govern these facilities. So, the commitment is that all of that will be done by October 1, and then we will continue to do work to expand and enhance programming in the facilities that we think is going to be necessary. So, our expectation is that all of that work will be completed within the 300 million dollar allocation.

CHAIRPERSON KING: Well, I thank you for that, and before I turn it over and call on my colleagues, we spoke about Ella McQueen. Is the state-- what's the reality with that, and when are you going to have access. What's the conversation looking like with them with the state?

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, those are great questions, and we may know more after this week, the final week of state budget negotiations. The Governor proposed -- we initiated our request to the state that they transfer Ella McQueen to us middle of last year. So that request has been pending for some time. In the Governor's Executive Budget the Governor requested the ability to close Ella McQueen without going through a normally required 12-month notification period to incumbent staff and unions. That -- so, that proposal is in the discussions. In their initial responses, neither house of the legislature adopted that proposal, but it will be part of the final budget negotiation. our hope is that the final state budget that emerges will authorize the closure of Ella McQueen without a notification period and that the Governor and the Executive will then be willing to enter into negotiations to transfer it to the City.

CHAIRPERSON KING: Okay. Thank you. this time I'm going to ask some of my colleagues who have questions to share with you right now. I think we're going to do -- how many on the clock? We could do three minutes on the clock, please? First, we

committee on General Welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 253 want to [inaudible] Council Member Torres who has questions right now. Don't worry about the time.

Just do your thing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I might break the I have a few questions about the rule. implementation of Raise the Age. As you know, Commissioner, the law on Raise the Age requires the City of New York to transfer 16- and 17-year-olds to these new youth facilities, these specialized secured detention centers by October of 2018. And as you know well, correctional violence against youth offenders has been the subject of a federal investigation. It's been the subject of a federal court settlement, concern about the culture of violence at Rikers Island was one of the driving sentiments behind Raise the Age. Despite all of these facts, despite all the lessons learned from the experience of Rikers Island, the City plans to staff these new youth facilities with adult correction officers rather than ACS workers who specifically specialize in handling youth offenders. There's a well-founded concern among advocates and elected officials that the City runs the risk of exporting the Rikers Island correctional culture of violence to

these new youth facilities, and in doing so defeats the very rationale for Raise the Age. Right? Our objective as a city should be to create a humane alternative to Rikers Island, not to create rebranded microcosms of Rikers Island. So, the City seems intent on staffing the new youth facilities with adult correction officers, which I believe is a mistake, but what action has the City taken? The Raise the Age law was another enacted in April 2017. What action has the City taken between April of 2017 and March of 2018 to ensure that these correction officers are undergoing the training appropriate for these SSDs, for these new facilities?

2.2

all, Council Member, I very much appreciate the concerns you're raising, and we have heard them from many advocates and other voices across the City, and we take them very seriously, and the first thing I wnt to say is that it is our commitment, and when I say our commitment I mean not just ACSs commitment, but the City's commitment, that the current culture in our juvenile facilities both Crossroads and Horizons which is basically juvenile-appropriate services for juveniles will not change. And we are

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 255

working very closely with our colleagues in the

Department of Correction to make sure that that

happens. The Raise the Age legislation does require

that the category the state is creating which will

ultimately be the category under which we expect

certification which is called Specialized Secure

Detention. It does require that those facilities be

co-administered by ACS and by DOC.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: But the law does not require--

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: [interposing] It doesn't require.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: ACS to staff with correction officers. That is a choice that the City of New York has made.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: It doesn't prescribe-- exactly-- the level of involvement of each agency. It just requires that both agencies be involved in the operation of the facilities. The plan that we have underway will require that for a transitional period, which we hope to make as short as possible, Department of Corrections staff will be taking the primary responsibility at one of our facilities at Horizon, and the reason for that is

committee on General Welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 256 that we-- as we've been talking about today-- we have a very low population of young people at Horizon and Crossroads--

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing] I just want to interject because I do have time constraints.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: My question was, if the city is intent on staffing these follow-up with correction officers, which again I think is a mistake, what training have those correction officers undergone between April of 2017 when the law was enacted and today?

working and I will-- Deputy Commissioner Franco,
we've been working very aggressively in work groups
with DOC and other partners. He can talk about more
details than I can. But there are really two things,
two important steps to the process-- three really.

One is to make sure that the specific individuals who
will be working in our facilities are individuals who
have been trained, who have the expertise and who
have the inclination to work with juveniles. So, the
Department of Corrections will be individually

committee on General welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 257 picking those staff who will be transferred to and working in Horizons. Number two: they will receive the training and we will be providing very significant support to DOC--

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing] So, they have not received training yet.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: I have to turn to Deputy Commissioner Franco on the actual status of the training.

think at the present moment, folks who actually work with young people in the Department of Corrections do go by additional training, but having said that, the law particularly requires anyone who is going to be working in the special secure detention facilities to abide by the regulations set by the state, and those regulations in spirit and in practice require anyone who is going to be working with these young people to abide by kind of juvenile justice standards. So, we had actually— we're working very hard between the Department of Corrections and ACS to set — develop a set of policies that will guide the practice of anyone who works in our facilities. And those—

2.2

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE
 1
 2
                COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing] But
 3
     it sounds like--
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: policies--
 4
 5
                COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing] It
    sounds like from April 2017 to March of 2018 there
 6
 7
    has been no training of these correction officers.
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: We just got
 8
     the final set of-- actually, we haven't even
 9
     finalized the--
10
                COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing] Have
11
    you even designed the training?
12
13
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: We actually
14
    working on that.
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: So you have not
16
     even designed the training?
17
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Well,
18
    actually, there's a lot of things that we actually
           The-- whoever work with young people in
19
20
     Specialized Secure Detention facilities have to abide
    by the standards set by the regulations.
21
2.2
                COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Right, but
23
    standards on paper are one thing. It's training that
     ensures that we actually abide by those standards. It
24
25
     our practice and at the level culture.
```

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 259

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: And the first type is--

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing] And there's been no training over the course of a year.

It sound like you have not even designed a training.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: We actually are getting the policies to be reviewed by the state that will lead us to design.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Are the-- but when did those-- but I think the regulations were known since last year.

explain, Council Member, if I could, what we have been doing and the reason for that. So, the regulations were— the draft regulations were issued by the state in December, three months ago. Those were draft regulations. Since then, we have been continuing to receive clarifications from the state on them. We still don't have final regulations, and those regulations were critical because they specified things like staff to young people ratios. They specify things like the training requirements for staff members. So, without those regulations, we didn't even know what kind of staff the state was

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE going to require us to have in the facilities. That's number one. Number two, we began-- beginning after the law was passed in April of last year, really under the leadership of the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice was to do an extensive citywide inventory of facilities that could potentially be appropriate from moving kids off Rikers. It wasn't assumed from the beginning that the plan would be what is our current plan now which is that we intend to utilize Horizon, Crossroads, and Ella McQueen for that purpose. But we wanted to see if there were other facilities available around the City that would be appropriate for this purpose and that could be online by October 1, by the very aggressive deadline in the statute. So, the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice conducted an extensive inventory, looked at more than 50 sites across the City. The ultimate outcome of which was because -- particularly because of land use review requirements. There was no other option available to the City to meet the October 1, 2018 deadline other than the current Horizon, Crossroads, Ella McQueen plan, but that process took some time. So it really wasn't until the very end of last year that we both had at least an initial set of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

regulations, drafted regulations. We had some sense of where the state was going, and we had been through the process that demonstrated there was really only one facility option available.

all-or-nothing proposition, right? We knew something. We knew that the law did not require the City to staff these facilities with correction officers, and we knew that these new kinds of facilities would require new kinds of workers with new kinds of training, and it seems like no groundwork was laid over the course of a year. So, ACS workers, how many ACS workers would be required to staff these facilities if the City were to go in that direction?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: I mean, the first phase just to be able to safely manage

Crossroads, we need 120 staff by August of this year, and then we have to actually bring on board and retain around a rate of 50 per month to be able to take over the criminal justice system.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: How many in total? I'm sorry, I didn't-- I'm not sure--

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: One hundred and twenty by August of this year.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: One hundred and twenty, okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: And then we have to attract and retain around $50\ \mathrm{per}$ month for the next couple of years.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And it's a point I'd made before, I think when there is either a legal -- because we originally said that it was impossible to relocate 16- and 17-year-olds from Rikers Island by March of 2018. That was the original position of New York City, but we're going to get it done because there's a legal mandate. UPK, we're able to implement the largest UPK program in a matter of months because there was political will, and where there's political will and where there's a legal mandate, magic can happen in New York City, and I feel like the story here is not a lack of capacity. I think it's a failure of political will beyond your paygrade, but that's my-- I think we can hire 120 people by October or August of this year.

CHAIRPERSON KING: Thank you, Council

25 Member.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

six beds in total. Now, there are reasons why, you

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE
 1
     know, it's not possible to fill every single one of
 2
 3
     those beds, and we wouldn't intend to. You have to
 4
    maintain separation between population, boys and
     girls, different levels of offenders, but in theory
     the facility has a population of 106 beds.
 6
 7
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, how many--
    you said you don't think you can go that. You won't
 8
     go that high because of requirements to do
 9
     separation. How many detainees do you anticipate
10
11
    will be at Crossroads?
12
                COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, it'll be
13
     some number less than that. It's hard to say because
14
    we don't know exactly--
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] How
16
    many detainees are there?
17
                COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Currently at
18
     Crossroads?
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Right, if Rikers
19
20
    had to be moved.
                COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Oh, at Rikers,
21
2.2
     about 130. It fluctuates a little bit, but on
23
    average 130-140.
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay. So, will
24
```

students-- will students, you know I'm teacher. Will

the detainees— and they are students as well— be placed in consideration of where their home is or their home address so their parents will be able to have close ability to facility to do that?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: That's a good So, the new 16-year-olds who, under Raise question. the Age because of raising the age of criminal responsibility, will now be treated as juveniles rather than as adults. as they move through the system and enter Close to Home they will, in fact, just as others in the Close to Home program, they will be situated in residential facilities that are close to their homes. So, for them, the answer will be yes. The population on Rikers Island, while the law says they have to be moved off Rikers Island, the law also says they continue to be treated under the adult criminal law and the adult criminal system. So, they will never move into the Close to Home program, which is a juvenile program. Even though they can't be on Rikers Island, they will continue to be adjudicated as adults under state law.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: How does that impact on my question of where they'll be placed?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2

1

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, that would

3

mean they will be tried in criminal court and then if

4

they are convicted and sentenced, they would serve

5

their sentence in the adult criminal correctional

6

system.

7

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Council

8

Member, I mean, if your question is referring to pre-

9

trial, I mean--

10

11

Right.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: now we have

people from Queens and Brooklyn in Crossroads.

the capacity in New York City to place actually young

people usually from Manhattan and the Bronx in

Horizons. Some of that flexibly will go away.

mean, the regulation from the state required to

separate those young people who are going to be

adolescent [sic] offenders from the young people who

are juvenile delinquents and juvenile offenders, and

for practical purposes they may be adolescent

offenders placed in Horizons than there would be at

Crossroads. So, we may lose some of the flexibility

that we have to have all the young people from

Brooklyn and Queens in Crossroads. Having said that,

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE
 1
 2
     our intent is trying to manage the multiple demands
     of the adolescent offenders, the juvenile
 3
 4
     delinquents, the juvenile offenders, something that I
 5
     know is important to you, age, because they have
 6
     different developmental stages, and proximity to
 7
    home. So, we will--
 8
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]
     Thanks.
 9
10
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: always strive
     to do the best that we can around all of those
11
     factors.
12
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you.
13
                                                      And
14
    Mr. Chair, one final question? What are the
15
     requirements for the ratio of adults to the
16
     detainees? Is that clearly defined from the state?
17
                COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yes.
18
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, as they're
     coming into Crossroads, is there a defined ratio?
19
20
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Yeah, the
     state actually requires a ratio of six youth per
21
2.2
     every one staff, which actually is lower than what it
23
    used to be under the juvenile detention regulations.
24
                COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. Thank
```

25

you, Mr. Chair.

treated as juveniles, not as adults and they will be

detention and if they are adjudicated to commit an

our responsibility if they require pre-trial

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 269 1 2 offense, they'll go in our Close to Home program. That's newly arrested 16-year-olds. The law also 3 says that 16-year-olds and 17-year-olds who are on 4 Rikers Island in October have to be moved off Rikers 5 6 Island, all of them. And so they also will be 7 absorbed into our juvenile detention facilities and we hope the facility the state will be transferring 8 to us. So, that's a requirement. And then, newly 9 arrested 17-year-olds, next year, will still be 10 treated as adults, but they cannot be on Rikers 11 12 Island. After one year in October of 19, the 17year-olds also will be treated as juveniles and they 13 14 would come into our system and potentially also go 15 into Close to Home.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: But they have to do a year in the joint at Rikers Island before they come over?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: No, they-- neither 16- or 17-year-olds are permitted under law to be on Rikers Island at all after October 1st of this year.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: I thought I heard you say something about 17-year-olds will be--what?

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: They cannot be on

Rikers Island, but for one year— it's very odd kind

of glitch in the law— for one year they're still

treated as adults, under the adult criminal law and

prosecuted as adults, but they cannot be detained on

Rikers Island under the law.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: They'll be prosecuted as an adults, but not detained on Rikers Island.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Okay, and where will they be detained?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: They will be detained in our facilities.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: And do you have numbers, population of young people who are presently on Rikers Island in this age range?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: We do. As I said, it fluctuates a bit from day to day, but it has generally been recently in the 130 to 150 range.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: And is there budget implications that you-- what does that cost?

How does that-- do your budget figure out--

2.2

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 271 1 2 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: [interposing] For 3 us? 4 COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: How much does that budget --5 6 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yes, there are 7 significant budgetary implications as you would There are the capital costs that we were 8 imagine. discussing earlier to renovate the facilities because 9 they will have more kids and older kids in them, and 10 then the staffing cost. So, we have estimated that 11 12 the cost of full implementation of Raise the Age will be about 200 million dollars a year, and that's a 13 14 combination of operating costs and capital costs. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Two hundred 16 million dollars per year to implement it. 17 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: That's correct. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: And that doesn't count sustaining it? 19 20 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, that it would be 200 a year each year into the future. 21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Each year, you 23 don't think that number will grow?

2

1

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: That's-- I think

3

that's our expectation of what the cost will be at

4

full implementation, is that right?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARRISH: As we said

6

5

earlier, we save over 300 million in our capital

7

budget for multiple years in the future, and our

8

belief is that we'll spend at least 75 million of

9

that between now and October, and perhaps as much as

10

104. So, that's the capital budget. the 200 million

11

dollars, the expense budget number that is a number

12

that has been a citywide estimate for the cost of

13

Raise the Age in the expense budget, and we're

14

currently now in deep deliberations with the Office

15

16 that would be allocated to the ACS Budget. Those are

of Management and Budget about what-- how much of

17

discussions that are going on that will-- you'll hear

18

more about in the Executive Budget when it is

19

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Just so I'm

21

20

clear, so there's 300 million for-- is the capital

2.2

for -- let's say -- what does that mean? Preparing the

23

facilities? Could you sort of delineate that a

24

little bit?

published.

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Yeah, I 3 mean, I think as the Commissioner said before, that's for construction purposes. That means so far we're 4 5 actually focusing on Crossroads and the Horizons, as we said before, on health and safety items. 6 7 actually working now on the next phase of the signing enhancements to meet the needs of 16- and 17-year-8 olds. And again, as the Commissioner said before, we 9 don't know how much money will be needed for the 10 repairs of Ella McQueen if we get it, when we get it. 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: So, I'm a

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: So, I'm a little-- so where-- are these adolescents on Rikers Island at all?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: currently, yes, they are today.

COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: So there will be capital improvements or capital expenses for the facilities for them on Rikers Island?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: No, the capital expenses that we're talking about today, I mean, within ACS are the capital expense that will be-- where the Commissioner talked about improving our facilities to be ready to take on these kids.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 275
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: The one in
3	the Bronx is called Horizons.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: What Horizon?
5	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Horizons.
6	CHAIRPERSON KING: Are you good, Council
7	Member?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Well,
9	CHAIRPERSON KING: [interposing] We can
10	come back for round two, you feel like?
11	COUNCIL MEMBER PERKINS: Okay. If you
12	want I'll go along with what you have.
13	CHAIRPERSON KING: Okay, no problem. But
14	you mentioned about, Commissioner, and Deputy, you
15	mentioned about the 200 million dollars as an
16	estimate, correct, of how to Raise the Age. How muc
17	of that fiscal responsibility is born to ACS versus
18	probation, correction, law Department and other
19	policy agencies?
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: I think
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARRISH:
22	[interposing] I think the only people know that
23	question right now are the Office of Management and
24	Budget. We have all we're asked in early February
25	to submit packages, estimates of requested funds.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 276 1 2 That process is ongoing right now with the Office of Management and Budget as part of the Executive Budget 3 4 preparation, which we'll see in April. So, we don't 5 have the answer to that question. These are 6 deliberations that are happening right now. 7 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: When we get back together again in May to talk about the Executive 8 Budget, we'll have much more detail on it. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON KING: Thank you. Thank you. I'd like to turn it over to Council Member Holden 11 12 right now. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yes, I'd like to-14 - thank you, Commissioner, by the way. 15 work. It sounds wonderful and we are, you know, 16 making some progress obviously from the years back 17 when we had so many problems with the unit. 18 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, thank you very much. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: 20 I want to talk about the Close to Home again. Could you describe a 21 2.2 typical facility, Close to Home? How many rooms? 23 What the 16-year-old or 17-year-old will get? Do they get their own room? Do they get, you know, -- is 24

25

it a jail?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: You know, it's hard to describe, but you know, these are the same young people that used to be in state facilities five years ago. Now, they actually are in a setting in the community that actually is staff rich [sic] more than any institution in New York-- United States where actually their schedule is programmed every minute of the day, where they actually have to show that they're earning the right to go home. actually have to prove that by actually participating consistently in groups, demonstrating the ability to regulate their behavior and emotions completely different than ever before, and actually they actually are giving opportunities to demonstrate that they can do well in the community by home passes [sic], and actually that involves not just the young person, but also the family. So, the program is actually based on the parameters that you have the ability within the ecology of New York City to show young people how to do better and it's working because of that. I mean, young people will usually describe it the following way to me: "In a large institution I can just make the time and get away

without learning anything. In a Close to Home

committee on General welfare with committee on juvenile justice 278 setting there's people on top of me every minute of the day. I'd rather do what they say."

2.2

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Let me just add that Deputy Commissioner Franco's invitation to Council Member Perkins is a very serious one. We would be delighted to show you in the facilities. I have visited many of them myself, and really it's hard to appreciate the quality of the services that young people get and the experience without actually seeing.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, that was my next question, actually, I wanted to visit a Close to Home facility.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: We would be delighted to arrange that.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Which one is the model facility that you think in the City of New York?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: You know, one of those things that actually Close to Home has is that they're 31 different homes, and we in ACS carefully assess the needs and the risk of each one of the youth and determine which one of those sites would be best to meet those risks and needs. So,

each one of them is different. Some actually homes are based on a philosophy and a model that is all about building individual skills. Kids are kind of in individual rooms and they have a lot of individual therapy. Many other programs are actually based on positive peer networks, and actually all the work is about groups and groups and checking in and trying to work with a cohort of kids who learn new skills together.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Alright, so when it's not working out, one facility is not helping the juvenile, they might be transferred to another facility?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: You know, one of the things that we're really proud of, early on in Close to Home there was a lot of movement which are detrimental to the relationships and to the therapeutic interventions that we want to do. last year, we actually had less than one percent of movement laterally between the Close to Home sites, and actually there was no movement up in meaning [sic] of worse [sic] to limited-secure or secure.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Okay. I just have one more question for the Commissioner. You

mentioned about identifying students who are absent a lot in school. How does— is that somebody that— do you identify the students that's in your system that you've had experiences with or just random students?

2.2

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, usually it is the Department of Education that identifies them, because they, of course, in the first instance would know.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Right.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: And you know, if a child is not coming to school repeatedly that might or might not indicate that there is some underlying child welfare issue, that there's an issue with the parents, we don't know. It could just be the child is truant and issues that DOE handles. So, what we have tried to create with the Department of Education is what I described as a tiered response protocol so that all the staff, the teachers, the administrators, anyone who notices a pattern of non-attendance can let us know that in ways not— there's always the possibility of they're making a formal report to the state hotline, and they can do that, and they frequently do do that, and then that requires a full child welfare investigation. We think in many cases

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 281
2	there may be more efficient and formal ways for them
3	to alert us as an issue and sort of to deal with the
4	issue with the family. So, we've tried to put in
5	place sort of a range of ways for us communicate and
6	interact with DOE in situations where there are
7	attendance issues, but you know, there may be
8	different reasons behind that attendance problem.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: How many
10	investigators? You said you hired more
11	investigators.
12	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Right.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: How many more
14	this past fiscal year?
15	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: We hired in the
16	last 12 months we have hired 600 make sure I have
17	the numbers right for you.
18	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PARRISH: Child
19	protective investigators.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: I can't hear you.
21	COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Child protective
22	investigators you're referring to. The ones I
23	referred to in my testimony.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: I'm sorry?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: The child

protective investigators that I referred to in my
testimony--

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: [interposing] Oh, okay, yes, yes. Because that was the area that the City was really lacking in previous years.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: That is correct.

That is correct. So, we have hired 633 in this

fiscal year, and we'll be hiring 200 more before the

end of the fiscal year. I'm sorry, that includes the

200. That's for the whole--

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: [interposing] And

I know this might be a tough question, but what's the

typical caseload for each investigator?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Currently, our average caseload is 12, which is a reduction from what it had been recently, and it is a major reduction from what it was a number of years ago.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KING: Thank you, Council

Member. I just want to ask one big question, long

question. It regards [sic] backing up with Council

Members' concerns, some of the advocates who have

been concerned about correction officers moving into

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE the transition of Raise the Age. And my question goes to how will you look into hire this new staff that you're going to bring in? how do you have a transition of ACS workers or correction -- and I want to be real clear, and I was an ACS worker, as been known, and I know that in the past a lot of ACS workers kind of transitioned to being a correctional officer because of the pay, not that they say, "I always wanted to be correction officer." But because of the pay. So you -- I don't want us to eliminate the pool of quality people who might be able to deliver on the new position, but I understand if someone wants to transition from one agency to another, they're still going to be looking for a salary that's comparable to what they're making so they can survive as well. So, what does that look like? What does that plan look like? What does the numbers look like of cost, of salaries, you know, what is the plan?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yeah. No, I appreciate that question very much. Well, first of all, I'll say the DOC staff who will be working in our facilities on a transitional basis will remain DOC staff. They won't' become ACS staff. So,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE they'll stay in their current job classification as current simple servant title, you know, current compensation and so on. But on the ACS end, in order for us to be able to recruit the numbers of staff that Deputy Commissioner Franco described, it is clear to us that in our current simple service title which will be called "Juvenile Counselor," we will not be able to recruit the number of people that we need, and that is based on historical experience. don't think that frankly the compensation is going to be adequate to recruit that many people, and so we are in the process of working with our colleagues across City government in DCAS and OMB and the Office of Labor Relations to create a new title, a new civil service title, with an adjusted compensation schedule that we think will enable us to do the aggressive hiring that Deputy Commissioner Franco described, which is, you know, 175 staff by September and then 50 more repeatedly in order that by 2020 we'll have enough staff to fully handle the responsibilities of Horizon and Crossroads without Department of Corrections supervision. So, we're in the final stages of developing what that new title will be, what the compensation will be, and our hope is to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on General welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 285 begin recruiting under that new title very, very soon, and that's actually an area in which we could use all of your support because we'll need to do aggressive outreach and marketing on that to make sure we attract the highest caliber people for the position.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KING: And I would-- I don't want to assume anything, but I-- at that point of hiring this new staff, they would at that point get what additional training that they may need because they're going into a new role, new responsibilities, new compliances so they can understand when they come in that it's a new perspective for them as well as them having the talent. But I say all of that -- you mentioned speaking with OMB and, you know, trying to figure out with some of your partners, has there been a conversation with the people who do the work in the labor force, your union brothers and sisters? they at the table with you figuring out the numbers that make sense, because again, they represent the New York City worker who is out there on the frontlines.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yeah. No, that's a great question. Well, first of all I will say the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 development of the specifications for the new position were heavily influenced by input we've 3 gotten from the current staff. I've gone out with 4 5 Deputy Commissioner Franco, with our union president, done Town Hall meetings in both Horizon and 6 7 Crossroads, heard from the staff about, you know, what they think is working and not working in terms 8 of training. So, that certainly influenced the 9 specifications. We will be-- and we do this because 10 the way the City does it through the Office of Labor 11 12 Relations. Once we have a specific proposal for the new title, reaching back out to the union to discuss 13 14 that with them before we finalize anything. 15 CHAIRPERSON KING: Okay, thank you. 16 like to turn the mic over from the Bronx, Council 17 Member Mark Gjonaj. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you, Chairman. Commissioner, the state budget proposes 19 20 cutting all funding to New York City for Close to Home. What is the contingency plan for Close to Home 21 2.2 should the state cut go through? 23 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, my hope is that the state cut will not go through. We will know 24

that presumably this week because the state budget

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE
 1
    has to be adopted by April 1st. We have been
 2
     aggressively educating members of the state
 3
 4
     legislature about the adverse impact this would have.
     Deputy Commissioner Franco and I were in Albany last
 5
    week. We were in Albany the week before that. So,
 6
 7
    we're doing everything we can to make sure that the
     cut doesn't happen, and I know it's an important part
 8
     of the City's legislative agenda in Albany. So, my
 9
10
    hope is it won't.
                COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ:
                                         These are
11
12
    uncertain times. Let's say that it is cut.
13
                COMMISSIONER HANSELL: If it does happen-
14
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: [interposing] What
16
     is your contingency plan?
17
                COMMISSIONER HANSELL: If it does happen,
18
     then my guess is we'll be back to you with a
     conversation as part of the Executive Budget in a
19
    month or so about what the City's going to be able to
20
     do about that. I mean, there's no question.
21
2.2
     cannot implement the requirements of Raise the Age.
23
    We cannot take responsibility for more kids coming
24
     into the system without state funding, without that
```

funding.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 288

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: That was the point I wanted to hear. Thank you.

2.2

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KING: Thank you. Just one question before I turn it over to-- we have a round two. We're going to Perkins, and then I'm going to turn it over to Chair Levin. I heard you just mention that this really couldn't get done if we don't get the state funding. Now, what-- can you tell me what are some of the current rules that might not even qualify you for getting some of-- getting state funding?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: I'm not sure I understand the question, Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON KING: I was informed that there may be some rule that might prohibit you from receiving the state funding that will allow us to implement.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Okay. Thank you.

Thank you very much. Now, I get your question. So, right, there are two different funding streams that we believe the City should qualify for and will need.

One of them is the Close to Home funding. The other is the funding to support the implementation of Raise

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE the Age, and as we've told you, we expect that just on the operating side, it will ultimately cost the City 200 million dollars a year to fully implement Raise the Age. When Raise the Age was enacted, the Governor made a commitment that the state would fully fund all local expenses associated with Raise the Age. When the Governor actually proposed his budget in January, he proposed to allocate 100 million dollars statewide for Raise the Age implementation, which even if it were available to New York City would clearly not cover our cost. But the condition, and I appreciate your question, the condition that is attached to that funding is that it is only available to counties in the state that are under the two percent tax cap. That cap doesn't apply to New York City. So, New York City is not eligible for any of that 100 million dollars. The state has said that New York City could apply for a waiver from that prohibition, but our expectation is that the chances of the City being successful with that waiver and actually receiving any funding on the Raise the Age side is minimal. So, our expectation under the Governor's budget is that we would receive zero funding for Close to Home and zero funding from the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on General welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 290 state for Raise the Age so that we basically would be doubly disqualified the funding to support the implementation of this program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KING: Well, you know, you have advocates of all of us who will be working with and make sure that we get that funding, and I do call on the Governor and Speaker Carl Heastie who was instrumental in getting the Raise the Age passed, you If we passed a piece of legislation here in the City of New York, we're responsible for delivering if there's a financial responsibility to We did not pass Raise the Age, so I'm really calling on the state to be responsible because they're the ones that said, "Hey, we need to do this while we support it, but it's your legislation. your rules. It's only fair to the City of New York that you fund it so we can deliver on the legislation that you passed in Albany. With that all being said, my final question to you is in regard to contractual transparency. Now, as I understand, there's about 62 contracts, about 100 million dollars that's in the contract, in the Fiscal Year 2019 Preliminary Budget. now, I don't under-- I don't know the number of what is how many contracts are for juvenile justice, but

if you are willing to have some transparency to let us understand how many contractors actually applied under ACS to juvenile justice and what that number looks like under those contracts, and are you delivering on that number to that contract, and if not, what can be done to deliver?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: I mean, your question is about the procurement process. I mean, we have a significant number of contracts as we speak. We're actually working on a new RFP around mentoring and advocacy that actually was open competitive, but other things that we actually been doing recently at ACS is not just doing an RFP, but actually doing a concept paper beforehand to allow actually maximum input by the provider community and feedback before we right an RFP. We did that for the mentoring and advocacy program. We actually had a very successful three [inaudible] conference with more than 100 people attending on the phone or in person. It' sour commitment as we move forward to tap into the knowledge-base of the not-for-profit community before we redact or create anything.

CHAIRPERSON KING: Okay. So, my question was, we know that 62 general contracts. I'm trying

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 292 to understand how many of them apply specifically to juvenile justice? I mean, either there's 12 or 29? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: I don't have the number. CHAIRPERSON KING: Okay, maybe you can--COMMISSIONER HANSELL: [interposing] We can certainly get -- I mean, 60-- the universe of

CHAIRPERSON KING: Okay.

2.2

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: We can get you the specific number and value of contracts associated with Raise the Age.

contracts at ACS is a much larger number than that.

CHAIRPERSON KING: Thank you. I thank you for that. I'd like to turn it-- today's conversation back over to Chair Levin and thank you again.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,
Chair King. Thank you, Commissioner. So, I know
that Council Member Perkins has a second round of
questions. I'll try to keep my questions somewhat
focused here. I want to thank everybody who is
waiting to testify. Now we're an hour and 20 minutes
past when we thought we were going to be opening for
public testimony. So, I'll try to keep it brief

committee on General Welfare With committee on Juvenile Justice 293 here. Commissioner, just I wanted to ask, within ACS' budget why there were no needs identified in the Prelim, and whether we could expect some new needs as part of the Exec?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yeah, we actually did receive some additional funding as part of the January plan for additional staffing at our Children's Center. That was a key need that we had identified that we worked with OMB to fund. We are in discussions with OMB about potential new needs for the Executive Budget. I don't have a finality on those decisions yet, but we're certainly having conversations about them. As you know, one of the areas in which we're interested in talking further with the Council is implementation of some of the recommendations of the foster care taskforce. two that we've implemented so far either did not have a budgetary consequence, or we'd already had the resources in our budget to implement, but for us, but for us to be able to implement some of the others would require some additional resources, and we expect to have some discussion with you about that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: One thing that I just want to make sure that this is clear on the record,

and then I'm taking a step back here to the juvenile justice side. But Close to Home was never a pilot program, correct?

2.2

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Certainly not to our knowledge and not to the recollection of anyone who was involved in the process at the time. I know that's something that the Executive has indicated, that the reason to withdraw funding at this point is that the five year pot as expired, but we can't find either any contemporaneous documentation that said it was intended to be a pilot program, and in speaking to everyone, all the principals who were involved in the negotiation at the time, nobody recalls that.

And I think the strongest evidence that that's not the case is that the state immediately began proceeding to close upstate juvenile facilities which you wouldn't think you would have done if you thought you—

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] It was just a pilot.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: would reverse the process at some point.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, right. The idea being-- I mean, like, could you-- this is-- I

mean, from a practical perspective going through five years of Close to Home and then reverting back to the prior iteration would never be a practical type of thing to do, right?

2.2

extremely difficult to do, but you know, the truth is I don't think anyone has suggested that programmatically— the Governor has not suggested that. The legislature has not. We certainly don't think it would be. I think everyone is in agreement that it was the right direction for the state to move in, for the City to move in, that it's working well, and that we should continue it.

Over, and I'm going to be jumping around a little bit, so I apologize for that. Child care, early childhood education: So, one of the challenges that I think we've seen over the last few years with the roll out of UPK is that EarlyLearn has struggled to be able to keep its enrollment, because four-year-olds are-- many more four-year-old now are going into UPK programs that are in our Department of Education settings. How-- maybe take us a little bit though what the challenges are now and what we're doing to

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 296 make sure that EarlyLearn is meeting it's enrollment targets and its quality targets.

2.2

question. I will turn the question to Deputy

Commissioner Vargas. I will only say that one of
our-- our hopes and expectations from the EarlyLearn
transfer is that the Department of Education will be
able to bring its additional outreach and marketing
resources into filling the currently vacant seats in
EarlyLearn. So we hope it will benefit from that.

But Deputy Commissioner Vargas can speak to our
efforts thus far to address the issue.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VARGAS: So, with EarlyLearn, some of the work that we've been doing over the course of the last couple of years that have come to fruition recently is of the first pieces, the conversion with the Office of Head Strat to early Head Start to seats. So, the City now officially has 160 early Head Start seats which served infants and toddlers in a variety of different settings, and we knew that there was a need for expansion of infant and toddler seats, so we were really excited to be able to work with our partners at the federal office of Head Start to create those seats, and those seats

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 297 are fully enrolled. We've also been working very closely with the Department of Education and their outreach team through their Pre-K and 3K efforts to also bring Pre-K and 3K children into the EarlyLearn program, and that has been a very successful partnership. Around quality, we continue to do the work that we rolled out several years ago right after I got here, about three years ago after the health and safety audit, and I'm happy to say we have successfully, through the Office of Head Start, we've had three audits since. Every single audit has had zero findings and zero concerns, and that's, you know, that's a big feat for the City of New York. We're really proud of that, and that just speaks to the work we've been doing around quality-- to create a quality system in EarlyLearn.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is there any logistical consideration or concern around Department of Education being the applicant for Head Start rather than ACS as part of the social services district when EarlyLearn is transitioning over next year?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VARGAS: So, we're all part of the City of New York and we have been

committee on general welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 298 working closely with our partners at the Department of Education to make sure that the City submits a proposal that's a solid proposal and really just positions the City well to receive the maximum number of seats that we can actually get.

2.2

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: And let me just add, Head Start is one of those very rare programs in which funds flow directly from the Federal Government to local providers, not through the state, and contracts are directly from the Federal Government.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: So it doesn't require that a state be an applicant as it would for example for child care funding. A locality can be an applicant as well.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I know that there's a-- can you fill us in a little bit on what the status is in terms of discussions with Department of Education? We know that Accenture is a consulting firm that's working on this transition, but what is Accenture doing and how is this process going so far?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: I'll say a couple things, and Deputy Commissioner Vargas [inaudible]. So, I think, you know, what we're really interested

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE in is looking at the transition not just from the perspective of city agencies that have responsibility for the program, but also from the perspective of the parents that have to navigate the program and the providers that have to work within the program. what we're trying to do with the Department of Education and with the support of the consultants is to figure out how we can make the transition work as seamlessly as possible for the users of the program and be as efficient as possible for the two departments that have to implement it. So, you know, we're looking at how do you best structure eligibility functions, for example, for childcare, and enrollment functions, things like that. So, it will inform a lot of the City's decisions about where those things will be situated in the future and how they'll be allocated between us. Some of the decisions, you know, we talked about, for example, the number of staff that we transferred from ACS to Some of those decisions have been made, but there are still a lot of details that have not yet been, and we expect that the recommendations we get from the consultants will help us figure out how to, you know, implement those remaining changes in a way

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

committee on General welfare with committee on juvenile justice 300 that works most efficiently for us, but also that works best for the consumers of the program.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: One of the concerns around staff, so the administrative staff that's currently at ACS managing this sizable program of 600 million dollars, is that— is there an assurance that all of ACS staff that are currently working with EarlyLearn will stay with, I mean, or at least the—I mean, if they choose to move on, they can move on, but that all of the staff lines will be moved over?

treating this as what's called a functional transfer under City Civil Service Rules. So, basically what happens when a function, in this case EarlyLearn, goes from one agency to another, the staff who are associated with that function go from one agent to another. Now, it's not always—that's not always the simple thing to determine, because sometimes staff have split responsibilities. So, we're going to the process initially with DOE to decide which staff are in fact part of that function, and then once we've sort of figured that out internally, then the Office of Labor Relations will reach out to the unions who represent those staff to begin discussions

about which staff we expect will transfer, what the rights are of that staff in that transfer process, and then ultimately notification to those staff.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

2.2

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: But the expectation is that it's part of the transfer of the function; the staff who support that function will go with it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And I don't-- I might not want to know the answer to this, but does that also include Deputy Commissioner Vargas?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: I am very happy to tell you that it does not include Deputy Commissioner Vargas.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Alright, very good. Because we love having you with ACS. Last time there was an RFP a lot of concerns came out of the process. I don't know if anyone was here for that. People maybe in the back. I was. I can-- I know that a number of Council Members were. I know that OMB Director Hartzog was-- and I had a lot of conversations with her at the time. and frankly, what ended up happening was that programs got dropped from EarlyLearn or before it was EarlyLearn and then

into EarlyLearn they got dropped, and the Council ended up having to pick up about 60 million dollars annually worth of childcare programs in order to keep programs that were neighborhood-based, had been in the community for 30 or 40 years. How has that experience informed this process?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, I wasn't here then, but I have heard a few things about the process, and actually, I think even in our initial hearings last year I heard some concerns from Council Members. Our -- actually, our plan and our intention is to extend the current EarlyLearn contracts beyond the point at which the program will transfer to DOE. So, the current contracts will be in place at least until the Fall of 2019, and it will actually be DOE's decision as to how they want to move forward with reprocurement of those contracts. So, we, you know, we-- as part of our discussion with them about the program in support of the program issues around the last phase certainly have come up, but the decisions about how to structure the next procurement process will be made by DOE, not by ACS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Switching over to vouchers for a second. Is there currently a wait list for vouchers, and if so, what-- which vouchers?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: There is, and I

can let Deputy Commissioner Vargas speak of the details. We, you know, we have-- we received additional funding in the budget this year for additional special childcare vouchers. I'm happy to say that we have now made those all available to families, and actually, that we have-- while there is a waitlist, we have actually succeeded in reaching out to the vast majority of families on that wait list and offered them vouchers. So, most of the families who have been waiting have had the opportunity to receive a voucher for their children. But you want to speak numbers?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VARGAS: Yeah. So, we've reached out to over 22,000 children, a little fewer in terms of the number of families, but represents 22,000 children on the voucher wait list, and we've been able to—— as families kind of move out of the baseline permanent funded seats, we've been able to extend about 200 this fiscal year permanent

to preventive for a moment. Last year, ACS made a

significant investment of about apartment 30 additional -- 30 million additional dollars for preventive. Can you share with us what would happen to our preventive and our protective services in New York City if the state budget cuts were to happen?

What would this look like? Are we doing-- are we running scenarios to see how we would deal with this?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well--

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] How bad would it be?

with regard to the Close to Home funding elimination, maybe I'm an internal optimist, but my hope is that we will be successful in persuading the state legislature that this would be a terrible thing to do, a terrible thing to do in New York City, and a terrible precedent to set for the rest of New York State. So, my hope is very much that it will not happen, but you know, we believe and the numbers I cited in my testimony I think support our belief that our ability to reduce the number of children in foster care to New York City to record low levels and to reduce it much faster than it has gone down in the rest of the state, and frankly, counter to the trend

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE that we're seeing in the rest of the country where the number of children in foster care has been going up for the last five years, most people think related to the opioid epidemic. Our ability to do that in New York City, we believe, is directly related to our unprecedented investment in preventive services, and our ability to serve families, address safety issues for children, but address them in the ways that keep families together, rather than separating children from families. So, our fear, and I think I fear is, you know, well-supported by the experience that the state had in the mid-90s and the late 90s is that were we to have to reduce our investment in preventive services, we would very likely see a reversal of that decline in the foster care population, and increase the number of the children in foster care, and it would be-- you know, it would put pressure on all of the other parts of our child welfare system, and it would decrease our ability to meet the needs that families have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Would we have to move evidence-based slots over to general preventive slots because there would be-- because the evidence-based slots are more expensive?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: We haven't even begun to look at contingently planning that detail. Our hope very much is that we won't have to.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Just to be clear, you agree with the IBO number?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yes, we do, and it's not that our number was wrong, just to be fair. Our number was calculated before the January plan adjustment which has to do, as I understand, mostly with fringe benefit calculations.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: The IBO looked at it post-January plan, added those in, and came up with a higher number, and we do agree with it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. SO, then the num-- the difference is 129 to 161 million.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: On model budgets, the experience thus far been positive? I know you spoke to the issue that salary increase are on the table, that's all part of that. I mean, there's-- I know that there's--

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: [interposing] Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: There's essentially a menu of options that providers could choose from in terms of how they want to implement their model budget.

They were given essentially a menu of four different options: compensation increases, changing supervisory ratios, adding sort of support from case aids, and improving their quality improvement/quality assurance activities. And you know, we're just now in the process of implementing the budget— the contract amendments. So, can't speak yet to the impact of the model budget enhancements. I can certainly say that the feedback that we've gotten from the provider community about the process has been uniformly positive.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: With regard to child protection, the-- according to the MMR, the number of children with repeat substantiated investigations for the first four months of FY18 versus FY17 went up by 3.2 percent. Do you-- can you attribute this to any particular reason? One thing that struck me was that the first four months of FY 17 were before the Zymere Perkins case, and so--

1

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: [interposing] The--

3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] I don't

4

know if we would be seeing the same -- I guess MMR

5

doesn't re-- the PMMR does not-- there's not a second

6

PMMR for the second four months. There's just the

7

8

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: A full year, yeah.

9

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, we won't see the

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: That's right, and

10

second four months.

full year.

11

12 the full-year data is always more meaningful than the

13

four-month data, but our hypothesis is exactly what

FY 18 data, was post the Zymere Perkins fatality

know, went up significantly, and so the number of

indicated investigations went up as well. So, it's

our expectation that that is probably the reason, but

I will say, you know, never the less we're concerned

about it. And so for example, it is one of our core

ChildStat metrics, is number of repeat

14

you're suggesting, which is that the later data, the

15

after which the number of reports we received, as you

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

investigations. We look at it every week with every

zone-based level concerns about that, it's something

zone, and where we see, you know, on a more micro-

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 310 we ask the zone to take a close at and see what kind of corrective action might be needed.

2.2

addressing attrition among CPS? So, it's-- I think what we've seen is that there seems to be a dip between you have CPS that have been with ACS for a couple of years, up to maybe three years, and then there are CPS that have been with ACS for longer than six or seven or eight years, but in between is when we see a drop in retention. How are we looking to address that?

know, fairly rapid attrition in each cohort of new CPS that we hire within the first two years of their hiring. We lose, from my perspective, far too many of our CPS far too early in their tenure with the agency. It is a very serious concern of mine, and it's something that I think it's essential that we get a handle on, and we have been implementing really a multi-faceted plan to do that. We're looking at-obviously, we're doing more hiring as we need to do, but you know, if we were losing fewer, we wouldn't need to hire as many. So, we're really looking at-and we've done, you know, we've done surveys. We've

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE done exit interviews. We've collected a fair amount of information about why child protective specialists leave, and we're using that input, as well as the Town Hall meetings that I've done since I've been Commissioner, to hear about what the concerns and the frustrations of child protective workers are, and we've used all of that information to develop a multi-faceted plan to address, improve retention, and decrease attrition. So, we're looking at improving our training. We are looking at how we can improve the transition from training from the academy where they spend the first couple of months of their experience through on-the-job training into full caseload carrying, and so we are, for example, putting coaches and staff development coordinators into the borough offices so they can help smooth that transition from training into the field. improving our training manuals. We're going to make them more accessible. We're shortly going to make them web-based and searchable. So it'll be easier for child protective specialists to access guidance when they need it and policies when they need them. we have looked at some of the administrative issues that created frustrations for child protective

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE specialists and made the job more complicated like the need for better transportation options, and we've done a lot to improve transportation so that when they're going out to do visits, home visits, or going out to work with families or with children, they have more transportation resources available then to do that. We have focused, as I said in my testimony, on technology, which I think is very important. I think there's a lot we can do to make the work more efficient, and to make some of the, sort of, back office work about, you know, doing case notes and record-keeping that is required, it's part of the function, but we can make it a lot more efficient, a lot less time consuming than it currently is. have hired our first-ever Wellness Coordinator at ACS who is looking at ways in which we can make the workplace a more attractive and appealing one for all of our staff, but CPS in particular. We're improving our staff appreciation program. We're making sure that recognize staff that really are doing a good job or going above and beyond in their work. So there's a vast number of things that I think we can do and that we have to do in order to make our child protective specialists feel like this is a place they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on General welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 313 want to work, they want to stay, they want to make a career, and they want to move up the ladder, and I'm committed to making sure that we do that.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I think Council-- or Chair King has, a former CPS, I think--

CHAIRPERSON KING: [interposing] I just got a question for you. you've identified—can you tell us three things you've identified why people left, because you said you've done some surveys and research, and is there—would there be a financial number attached to the improvement to keep the retain—of just the three things that you—came out of your survey?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Yes. So, well, yes, we learned a lot of things. We heard a lot about the quality of supervision that they received in the field, and so we have enhanced our courses on supervisory skills in our Workforce Institute. We heard concerns about not just caseload— obviously caseload is an issue, people feeling like they have too many cases, but also about the equity of the distribution of the caseload, whether it's being fairly distributed, and also whether what we're— the responsibilities that we're asking Child Protective

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

part of the question, is-- [off mic] Oh, I'm sorry.

The second part now that you've identified it, is there any money that you think that you don't have or that you do need that attaches to your solution, that's attached to the solution?

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Some of it we have gotten from you already, which we appreciate, are from the City already, and if there are other things, we'll certainly come back to you and talk about them.

CHAIRPERSON KING: Thank you, Chair.

1

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Chair.

3

Three more questions, and I know that you have to

4

leave. There was, I think, state legislation, state

5

law around expanding the ability to compensate for

6

KinGAP up to the age of 21, but I think that

7

localities have to request to be able to do that

8

retroactively. Is that true?

9

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: I will ask-- you

10

are correct. the state law that was just enacted and

11

signed into law by the Governor does expand on the

12

amount of time in which some kids came into the

13

program early could remain in the program to the age

14

of 21. There are some costs associated with that,

15

and I'll turn to Deputy Commissioner Farber to speak

16

to that.

17

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARBER: Hi, Council

18

Member. So, as the Commissioner said, the new law

19

both extends KinGAP to be available to fictive [sic]

20

kin. So that's friends who might not be blood

21

relatives, which is a very good thing, as well as now

22

23

KinGAP regardless of what age they achieve that

all children that exit the foster care system to

24

KinGAP can get payments to age 21. I think you're

25

asking about the children who have previously exited

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 316
 1
     the system to KinGAP, the local districts have the
 2
     opportunity to go back and revise those agreements,
 3
     and the City is currently exploring that.
 4
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, to allow them
 5
    back in, or between the ages of 18 and 21.
 6
 7
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARBER: To revise
    the-- right. Currently their agreements would end at
 8
     age 18.
 9
10
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.
11
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARBER: The new law
12
     would allow us to--
13
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing]
14
     [inaudible]
15
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARBER: extend those
16
     agreements to age 21 and we're currently considering
17
     that.
18
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Excellent.
    And maybe we can follow up at the Exec for that.
19
20
                DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARBER: Sure.
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: One of the other--
21
2.2
    the other two are not foster-- no, one of them is
23
     foster-related. Busing for-- busing to school. So,
    this has been a challenge. I think that youth in care
24
```

in addition to all the challenges that they face,

have an increased probability that they'll be absent from school more, and part of that has to do with being able to get to the school where they have ties to the teachers and friends and school community, and if they're placed at a foster home that is, you know, outside of the borough where they were or further afield those challenges become more stark. Are we exploring— I mean, it's challenging because it involves a lot of coordination with the Department of Education, but making sure that every youth in care has the ability to be bused to their home school no matter where it is.

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARBER: Absolutely.

That's the right of a child, and also, you know, one of our values of course is keeping children in their home schools, and so we actually do fairly well on that. I think it's somewhere around— I don't have it in front of me— but somewhere around 70 or 80 percent of children who are entering foster care are remaining in their home school, and I believe that's better than what it looks like nationally, those statistics. But so all children are eligible for transportation, so we work with the DOE to identify whether that child can get on an existing bus route,

and if that can't happen, then we work with our foster care agencies to find other modes of transportation. We also, as part of the new need that the Commissioner just mentioned, that actually includes an additional resource pertaining to transportation as well.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great. So that's something that hopefully we can-- I mean, it's-- in terms of a budget impact, is that an ACS budget impact or is that a DOE budget impact? If it involves new additional bus service, is that something-- the cost borne by within an ACS budget line or is that a DOE budget line?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARBER: I have to check with our finance folks, but I believe it's a shared cost, but we can get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I mean, I think if— I mean, if it's— if the difference between 70 percent and 98-100 percent is a cost associated with that, then we should really look at seeing how we can get from here to there between now and adopted.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARBER: Yeah, I don't think that's a cost issue. So, children--

2.2

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 319

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] We do or 3 we don't?

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARBER: No, not.

There would be other reasons why a child might not go to their home school. You know, you make a best interest determination, and in some cases there are other factors that would indicate that it doesn't make sense for the child to go to that— to go to their home school. So, it would not be a cost issue.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. If there are any cost issues, we should talk about it.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FARBER: We will certainly let you know.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. And then last question, Commissioner. You mentioned in your testimony an MOU between ACS and DHS or HRA, DSS about working around children within the homeless system that are involved with ACS. Do we have a sense of how many? In particular, I am concerned about children that are placed in the hotel setting, and the reason is that as we're phasing out of cluster sites, more— we have expanded the number of families that are being placed in hotel shelter. The City is looking at getting out of, kind of, this per

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE diem-type situation and into a contracted situation. The level of services that are able to be provided in a hotel setting are fundamentally different than what would you expect in a Tier II setting. Tier II you would have a lot of onsite services. You could have-- I mean, I've been to a WIN Tier II that has a SONYC program onsite. So, you know, there's wrap-around services. When you're placed in a hotel, you know, I'm very concerned that you do not have access to-you may be affiliated with a not-for-profit provider that is four miles away, and you know, checks in once every two and a half weeks or whatever and there's just no real relationship there the same way like you have with onsite not-for-profit provision. So, do we have a sense of how many ACS-involved children or families are in hotels?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: We don't. We don't. However, we very much share your concern, and what I will tell you, and actually I hope soon we actually will have some data to share with you on this, but building on the MOU and the new relationship, the new protocols that we've had in place with DHS around families and shelter for some months now, we are actually embarking on a couple of

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE new pilot projects with DHS, one of which is focused on working with ACS-involved families, especially families in our preventive services who may be at risk of homelessness, to see what we can do to make sure that they're connected with services to prevent them from actually becoming homeless, before that happens, and the other is to look at how we can work with DHS to identify ACS-involved families who are in the shelter, particularly in hotels, and prioritize them for rehousing on exactly the theory you said, frankly. Obviously, no family, we don't any family in a hotel, and the Department of Homeless Services is trying to end that program, but in the meantime there are reasons why ACS-involved families may be particularly vulnerable. So, we are working with DHS specifically around an effort to identify and prioritize ACS-involved families in commercial hotels for rehousing. And as I say, I hope we'll have some data to share with you on that project soon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And maybe it's a wise policy to make sure that any family that's high-risk, ACS-involved, preventive, protective, foster-involved family not be placed in a hotel setting. So, you know, there's no-- there's plenty of-- I mean,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 there's' Tier II capacity that comes on every day. It's not a lot, but maybe we should make sure that if 3 4 you are identify by ACS as high-risk, that you just 5 make sure that those-- you have first dibs on a Tier 6 II setting, and that you not -- the situation not 7 exacerbated by ever having to go to a hotel, because honestly, like, we'll see how this all goes, but I 8 don't have a lot of confidence in the structure of 9 being in a hotel setting as being conducive to 10 healthy outcomes for children that are within a high-11 12 risk situation. 13 COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Well, I, you know, 14 I certainly appreciate your point. That would 15 obviously be a DHS policy decision, not an ACS policy 16 decision, but I'm happy--17 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] But--COMMISSIONER HANSELL: to raise it with 18 19 our DHS colleagues. 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: could be informed. Lastly, and I just -- I was excited to hear in your 21 2.2 testimony about the pilot program around domestic 23 violence-involved families and providing them with

therapy, and I'm trying to find what page that's on.

But that was-- oh, on page 14. The demonstration

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE project is testing methods for [inaudible] domestic violence. This demonstration project will serve 100 families and 400 individuals with therapeutic, with clinical therapeutic intervention. HRA runs a domestic violence shelter program that has around 800 families. They don't all have clinical therapeutic intervention on-site. We had a whole hearing about this four months ago where we talked about-- there's a lack of-- there's not comprehensive baseline clinical therapeutic intervention for families, children experiencing the trauma of domestic violence, then the trauma of homeless, and it's something that we need to-- not only do we need to do it, but it's actually very achievable, because that universe is actually not -- I mean, that's, you know, it's 800 families. It's not 12,000 families. it's something that we could actually really do. I'd be very excited to use this demonstration project to look at how that can be implemented for on-site clinical therapeutic intervention in domestic violence shelters run by HRA. I saw some nods over there, so.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

7

1

C

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: It's a very

3 interesting idea, and again, another one we'd be

4 happy to discuss with our colleagues.

5 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great, great, okay.

6 Thank you. I'll turn it back over to my Co-Chair.

CHAIRPERSON KING: Well, thank you,

8 Commissioner, and your team. It was great hearing

9 from you today. We're all looking forward to

10 continue the conversation whether it's a program or

11 | whether it's budget, or it's program budget, we just

12 | want to make sure that we're on the same page to help

13 | improve New York and the next generation of New

14 | Yorkers who are just having some life challenges

15 | right now. So, again, thank you again for your

16 | testimony and we'll go to the public right now.

17 | Thank you, again. God Bless.

COMMISSIONER HANSELL: Thank you both

19 very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you all. So,

21 | in five minutes we're going to start public

22 | testimony. I just have to grab some lunch or else

23 | I'm going to fall over.

[break]

24

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Hi, everybody.

,

. .

Alright, we're back. We are now officially two hours and 15 minutes late. Thank you all for your amazing patience. I will call up the first panel now. I want to thank my colleague, Chair Andy King. I think he had to run across the street for a vote and he will be back. First panel, I will call up Catherine Trapani, Homeless Services United; Christi Perfit [sp?] from City Harvest; Michelle Jackson, Human Services Council; Susan Stetzer from Community Board Three in Manhattan; and Stephanie Gendell, Citizen's Committee for Children. Again, we thank everybody for your patience. So, I we'll have three-minute

CATHERINE TRAPANI: Hi, good afternoon.

My name is Catherine Trapani. I'm the Executive

Director of Homeless Services United. Thank you,

Chair Levin for your immense patience today. I know

this is a long hearing. Our written testimony has

quite a bit of detail about the needs of the homeless

services provider communities. So, I'm just going to

hit some highlights, and I hope that the rest of it

will be entered into the record. HSU, or Homeless

Services United, as you know, represents the

clock, you know, give or take.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE nonprofit provider of shelter prevention, outreach, and aftercare services for homeless folks. We heard a lot about some of the investments that the Administration has made, and many which we're appreciative of, but I just want to go over a few things, specifically regarding model budget implementations, because I want to make clear what's in it and what is not in it for the record. been really helpful are the investments in maintenance to improve shelter conditions. money was expedited in FY18. We thank the Council for really advocating for that last budget season, but the implementation of the full model budget has actually been stalled. Only five providers have approved new budgets, which means none of the money that you allocated last year is out the door. So for folks that have questions about why we haven't seen results in the system that might be why. In fact, we're at a point where the process has been so stymied with delays that this coming FY19 fiscal year providers are being pressured to sign contracts based on values that date as far back as the 1980's before model budgets were even a concept, because DHS can't get it together to register the contracts on time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE They won't be registered by July 1, unless they put in the old amounts now. I know that's a little confusing, but what that really means is that despite the Council's efforts, the money is still not where it needs to be, providing enhanced services to homeless New Yorkers. Even if that were not the case and they were able to administratively figure out how to do model budgets, model is sort of a misnomer because it doesn't include money for salary parody, as you pointed out before, for enhanced services to homeless folks living in the shelters. It does not include money for fringe rate. It does not include sufficient funding for indirect costs and other things, and so we'd like to see all of those investments made in the sector if we really hope to get to where we need to be. Critical services that are left out of model budget include medical services. We're having a crisis with opioid overdoses. The City is moving away from co-locating medical services in shelters. We think that's a mistake. Medical services should be prioritized in shelter budgets and making sure that there's on-site care so that prescriptions for things like

Buprenorphine could be made to folks that need it.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

us all out.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, and just in the coming months, you know, we're going to be doing hearings on supportive housing on street outreach and single adult systems. So, all those things we want to work--

CATHERINE TRAPANI: [interposing] Looking forward to working with you on that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And model budgeting.

CATHERINE TRAPANI: Yeah, please.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: With the contracts.

CHRISTA PERFIT: So, hi, I'm going to try to do the same and just jump into it. I know my written testimony has more detail. I'm Christa Perfit, Senior Manager of Healthy Retail at City Harvest, and I'm here to talk about our continued concern for those hungry communities we serve. At a mounting -- the time of mounting uncertainly regarding the federal support, we're looking to the City to help us and help us with low-income New Yorkers who are striving to balance food security, personal wellbeing and costly housing. The need for food assistance in New York City is staggering. We all know there's a lot of need for SNAP. There's need

for food pantries and soup kitchens. Twenty percent

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE of New York City residents receive SNAP benefits. The program brings millions of dollars into the City to support families and retail businesses where they Every SNAP dollar can expand into a \$1.80 into the local community. So, we're nervous that the Trump Administration is proposing to drastically reduce that, and we want to look to the City for support there. As most people know, City Harvest has been around for years. We do food rescue. We work in community engagement through our Healthy Neighborhood initiative to try to build lasting food landscape changes. We know we can't tackle hunger alone. New York City is one of the few local municipalities in the country that directs-- I'm sorry-- funds to emergency food programs, which we love, and we're looking at EFAP. I'll skip to the punchline. We're hoping to see the FY19 budget at the 22 million dollar mark. And in addition to emergency food, we also always want to mention the retail space. We know that access to affordable and healthy food is crucial, and as we work in the health retail program, but also as a founding member and Co-Chair of the Healthy Food Retail Action Network,

we're working on a campaign, and help looking for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE City to help us with this initiative towards supporting SNAP, the Healthy Food Financing Initiative and the Healthy Corner Store programming. You'll hear from the American Heart Association as well. Their ask is what I'm echoing right now, but we hope that the City will seek to support these three key programs. Finally, alongside Feeding America, we're opposing stricter time limits to the SNAP who are able-bodied without dependents. that there are already strict rules in place, and we should not make existing harsh rules even wore by taking away state flexibility and exposing more people to time-limited benefits. On behalf of City Harvest and the Healthy Food Retail Action Network, we remain optimistic and eager to work with the Administration in support of this genuine effort to alleviate hunger for all New Yorkers. collaborate, and we would love to work with you further, and thank you so much for your determination in addressing the pervasive hunger that continues to threaten our great city.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much,

Ms. Perfit. Thank you for all the great timing, and
thank you to City Harvest for all the amazing work

that you do in working in collaboration with all other advocacy and provider community on making sure that no New Yorker goes hungry. So, thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MICHELLE JACKSON: My timing won't be that good. I can't beat that. I'm Michelle Jackson. I'm the Deputy Director and General Counsel for the Human Services Council. We're an association that represents about 170 human service providers and umbrella organizations, many of whom you'll hear form today in the room on a myriad of issues. I want to thank Chairperson Levin for the opportunity to testify for sitting through this long day. will shorten my testimony. You know the state of the sector. We testified it before. You're very privy to that information. It's not doing well. It's doing better than it was, especially thanks to the Council and the investments that were made last year. There's about 300 million dollars that were supported by the Council for investments in nonprofit contracts, human service contracts, across the city for to raise indirect rates to around 10 percent, which was a huge historic investment for Cost-of-Living Adjustments and also for some of the model budgeting, not just in the DHS area, but in five

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE program areas total. This year, we're-- first of all, to address kind of where those are, while that was a historic investment and providers needed that cash infusion, unfortunately there is not an infusion of cash. We're in March and most providers are reporting that they haven't seen the COLA and indirects [sic] in addition to the model budgeting, which we understand would take a little bit longer. So, we would like the Council's help in kind of facilitating a process, not just for this year, but the COLA will be next year as well, to have providers not have to wait eight or nine months to see that increase. Many of them are either delaying giving those raises, because they don't have cash flow, or they're doing that to the detriment of their cash flow when a lot of them don't have reserves and cash flow that can support giving out, you know, supporting the City for eight months while those amendment need to be made. So, in addition, there's still a number of areas. That's a good chunk of change that gets at some of the issues, but we're still seeing -- you know, we would like to see some, not just money invested, but principles in terms of how contracts -- human services contracts are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE established in the City. For example, a 15 percent indirect rate on all contracts. The average indirect rate should be between 15 and 25 percent. So, we've gotten kind of to 10. We need to get to 15. There's' a lot of RFPs that have arbitrary caps on fringe rates. We would like to see 37 percent at least, which is the Department of Labor standard and lower than the City's own fringe rate, by the way, so that providers can retain and attract talent and be able to keep up with some insurance costs, and then we would like to see increases in the budget this year, particularly into areas that the nonprofit Resiliency Committee is also looking into, both in occupancy cost. Rent goes up every year, and a lot of these contracts are not adjusted, and live beyond their RFP lifespan. So, providers are now able to kind of do those cost-escalations. So we'd like to see a 10 percent increase on all contracts in the occupancy area, and then 10 percent increase in insurance areas, not health insurance, but casualty and liability, because that's another area where nonprofit have reported a lot of increases. will help us get to kind of fully funding these contracts while we also look at model budgeting and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 335 looking how we can work with the agencies to get at more of these underfunded issues. So, I'm happy to answer any questions you have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. I mean, it's-- obviously, it's an area that we're-- we have to delve into further, and we were only able to scratch the surface I think at today's hearing, but you know, I'm very concerned about -- you know, this is our opportunity to right-size all of these human services contracts. You know, this is-- we need to get it right this time around or we're going to be losing capacity, and you can't. You know, I always like to say, like, you can't really do more with They always say, you know, do more with less, that's utter nonsense. You cannot do more with less. You have to be able to support the people, because I'll also make this clear, we could never as a City do the work that we require be done and that our citizens of New York City ask us to be done. could never do it as a city. We rely on the not-forprofit network to do all of the things that we talk about, all of it. We could never ever, ever, ever do without our not-for-profits, and we need to make sure that they are able to keep and retain and attract

good, quality staff that's experienced and that can grow with an organization and stay with an organization as a career, and not just as a stop along the way. That's essential.

2.2

MICHELLE JACKSON: Thank you. And thank you for your questions today and for your attention to the model budget process in particular, because there's a lot of potential there, but we cannot—we haven't met it yet.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes. Yes, agreed. Thank you.

STEPHANIE GENDELL: Good afternoon. My name is Stephanie Gendell. I'm the Associate Executive Director for Policy and Advocacy at Citizens' Committee for Children. We want to thank you for your commitment and tenacity and for your leadership. Or organization touches on all the issues that have been addressed today, so I'm just going to sum them up as quickly as I can. They're all in the testimony. On child welfare, we are asking for five million dollars to improve the busing for foster children. The number 70 to 80 percent is the percent of kids that came up earlier who are going to their home schools, but it's also been

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE reported only 50 percent of the children, the foster children who are found in need of busing are getting So, we want to ensure the other 50 percent get it. We will also be asking the City to opt into amending the KinGAP contracts to ensure all young people up to age 21 who are eligible can receive that assistance, and we can discuss all of that more as we get to the Executive Budget. As it relates to juvenile justice, as others have already expressed, we're concerned, very concerned about DOC staff being in the ACS facilities. ACS has done an incredible job in those facilities, and we're really worried about it being undone. As it related to early childhood education, we are still looking for salary parody for early childhood providers. It is really hurting the EarlyLearn system. We released a PSA today on that that we can share with you. In terms of the transition of EarlyLearn over to DOE, one of our concerns that we just want to highlight is ensuring that DOE pays attention to children zero to three in family childcare, Homebase care. Those are areas that are a big part of EarlyLearn, but not something DOE has any experience in. And our last request there is to ensure that all homeless children

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE in shelter be automatically categorically eligible for childcare which currently they're not. As it relates to homelessness, we have a whole slew of recommendations in the testimony. In short, they all really focus on trying to promote the well-being of children. The best thing to do would be to keep children out of shelter altogether, and then when they're in shelter get them out more quickly. They're now in for over a year, well over a year. I'm just going to highlight one of-- due to time-one of the recommendations that we actually think would be budget-saving. Currently, when children are placed far from their home school and over 50 percent of school-aged children are not placed in the borough where their school was. So many children are bused. Their parents-- elementary school children-- their parents can receive a metro card, a weekly metro card, while they're waiting for busing to be arranged. At the end of the week, they need to get a new metro card either from the family assistant who comes on site who stops by at a hotel, or go to a borough office where DOE staff spend a significant amount of time distributing metro cards. So, we could alleviate this problem by giving people monthly

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

metro cards instead of weekly metro cards. That would be 75 percent less work and actually cost less money in the long-run. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because you save money on a monthly metro card.

STEPHANIE GENDELL: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, as always,

Stephanie, thank you very much for the-- all the recommendations. I look forward to reading the testimony and all of the ideas. Just on behalf of the City Council, the entire City Council, I want to wish you a happy birthday. Yay.

STEPHANIE GENDELL: Thank you.

SUSAN STETZER: My name is Susan Stetzer.

I'm District Manager for Manhattan Community Board

Three and I will just read highlights from the

testimony. Community Board Three is home to over 15

shelters and we have supported all that have come

before our board. However, we believe the best

security should go hand-in-hand with the shelters.

For the past several years, CB3 has had its number

two expense budget priority to increase DHS funding

for DHS peace officers and include the Third Street

Men's Shelter as a designated shelter, but we are

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE told that this shelter is not one of the worst, and therefore there is not enough funding. Only shelters managed directly by DHS or micro-shelters [sic] have DHS peace officers. We should not have to host one of the worst in the City to have proper security for the community and for the men in the shelter. have had to Third Street Shelter residents testify at a Community Board meeting about the horror of drugs in the shelter. Three years ago a resident died after an altercation between two residents in the shelter. There is open drug dealing on the block, victimizing the shelter residents and there are quality of life problems reported by business and neighborhood residents. We have had police and outreach workers report that street homeless men refuse services at shelters and stay on the street for safety reasons. We do not blame the shelter for It's run by very dedicated people. It is the nature of a large facility and it deserves the best protection. We are thankful that the current administration has greatly increased services for the homeless, but pinching pennies in the wrong way is not proven effective in resolving the street homeless problem, which has increased 40 percent in the last

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE published Hope Count. Many communities are nimby about hosting shelters. Not providing the best security will not reassure them. Many street homeless don't feel safe in shelters and remain on the street for safety. Saving money by not providing this best security at men's shelters is not effective in the long-run. Community Board Three has been advocating and will continue to advocate for DHS peace officers at the Third Street Men's Shelter which requires increased funding for DHS to implement They have increased funding for private this. security, but in my testimony I have a list of 11 actions that can be performed by DHS police, but not by private security, which by law can only observe, detect, and report. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much for your testimony. Thank you. So, I want to thank this panel again for your amazing patience, for staying all day, and for all the great work that you do and continue to do. We look forward to taking your suggestions. Hopefully as many of them as possible are going to be implemented in the Executive Budget, and if they're not, we look forward to asking them again at the Executive Budget to implement all

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE these great ideas. So, I want to thank you again. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you. I really appreciate all the good work you do. And again, happy birthday, Stephanie. STEPHANIE GENDELL: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Next panel:

2.2

Jesse Laymon from New York City Employment and
Training Coalition; Treada Stampus [sp?], Food Bank
of New York; Ariel Seranski from UJA Federation of
New York; Kaitlyn Hose [sp?], LiveOn New York; and
Edline Jaquette [sp?] from FPWA. And the following
panel, just so that you know, will have Maria Wallace
[sp?] from Picture the Homeless, Jasmine Edmunds
[sp?], Picture the Homeless, Jasmine Budnella from
Vocal New York, Jose Rodriguez, Picture the Homeless,
and Scott Hutchins [sp?] from Picture the Homeless.

JESSE LAYMON: I think I'm first at bat. Hi, thank you once again for this hearing, and you know, you win the prize for marathon man today. I'm not going to read my whole testimony, except to say that where it starts and says good morning. You can forget that.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: We do this every year,} \\ \mbox{by the way.}$

1 2 JESSE LAYMON: I'll touch on-- I wrote my 3 testimony as a few questions that we really wanted to see answered from DSS, in particular HRA, and a few 4 5 of these questions were raised by you and by 6 Councilman Lander, and thank you both, Council Member 7 Lander not here now, but for raising those issues when Commissioner Banks was here. So, I'll just 8 touch on them briefly and why we thought they were so 9 10 important to raise, and why we do think that it would be important to come back and have another hearing 11 12 about employment and training issues with regard to HRA. So, the first question we had, and the 13 14 Commissioner answered not thoroughly to our 15 satisfaction, is you know, is HRA doing enough to 16 help people ultimately get off of public assistance with jobs? And what's going to happen with the 17 18 70,000 or so people that need employment and training services if those are not fully invested in? I wasn't 19 20 deeply impressed by his testimony which seemed to indicate that he thought it would be okay because the 21 2.2 state would ultimately just pick up the tab long-term 23 after the federal government didn't, and I don't know that we can count on the state forever to do that. 24

We need to help people get jobs. Toward that end, we

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE also have a question about what is HRA doing to help the people that need the most assistance getting jobs, in particular education and training programs for people that didn't get enough education from the K-12 system or that are immigrants who need English language services. There's not enough of that funded through HRA's budget, and that's something that we really think that HRA need to take some leadership And then finally, as an outgrowth of that, the Mayor has a Career Pathways plan which was the inspiration for the current set of contracts that HRA has for employment and training, but while that is the inspiration, we're not sure that it is carried through to the budget. In particular, Career Pathways calls for 60 million dollars annually in bridge program funding for people that are lacking in basic skills or education. HRA originally toyed with having a career bridge program under their contracts, and then scrapped it and did not fund that. There is not nearly enough bridge programming in the City across agencies. Less than 10 million of the 60 million dollar annual commitment has been fulfilled, and so we need to see HRA do a part of that. With the remainder of my time, I'll just say that we also

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE support a few of our other member organizations and allies that are here today. I know the Daycare Council and the Campaign for Children are here to talk about the need to invest in daycare. If we did that, there might be less of a need to invest in adult and young adult workforce development years down the line. I know that -- who else is here? I know that there are folks here from the Writer's Alliance and from CSS to talk about Fair Fares. It would be easier to help people get a job if they could afford the subway fare. There's lots of things that it's going to take to get more New Yorkers employed, and you know, we stand with all of our members and allies that are here fighting for those things as well. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ARIEL SHARANSKY: Good afternoon,

Chairperson Levin. My name is Ariel Sharanksy [sp?].

I'm an Advocacy and Policy Advisor at UJA Federation.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify and for holding this hearing. So we thank the City Council for its efforts and commitment to increasing the funding to help New York City's most vulnerable individuals. You have our written testimony which goes into a lot more detail. I'm just going to take

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE this time to highlight three areas that haven't been discussed yet. So, the last panel, salary parody between ACS and DOE teachers was mentioned. Also, investment in human services contract was mentioned. However, salary parody across DFTA contracts was not brought up. So, with attention being given to senior center staffing through the model budget process, UJA requests that the Council advocates implementing increases in salaries for all DFTA-funded contracts. Underfunded contracts mean that providers are left to wrestle with the inequity of paying different salaries to staff doing the same jobs which leads to a lot of staff leaving, which also results in lower service access and quality for New York seniors. With the aging population growing, the City should recognize the need to attract skilled individuals to the geriatric field. Next, I would like to mention the Ella Louisel [sp?] Holocaust Survivor Initiative. New York City is home to about 45,000 Holocaust survivors, almost half of which are living at or below 150 percent of the federal poverty level, and as these survivors age there needs become a lot more complex due to what they suffered from during the Holocaust and also just as a result of getting older.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE So, we request that the City Council increase investment to 3.5 million for this important initiative, and we really thank you for your support. We know that the Council has really advocated for this initiative. The last area I'm going to mention is food insecurity. So we know that rates of food insecurity are very high throughout the City. Among Jews, there are over 500,000 people living in poor or near poor Jewish households, and because of the high cost of a kosher meal, these households often run out of SNAP benefits very early in the month. addition to expanding access to EFAP, which I'm sure is going to be mentioned next, it's also essential that the City invest resources to ensure that food pantries are equipped with enough food to serve their clients, especially culturally competent food. Along those same lines, it is imperative that the City invests in the agencies that run congregate or homedelivery meal programs. UJA, through our network of providers, is the largest purveyor of kosher food. We know that these programs serve not only to feed the clients, but also as an important point of entry in terms of older adults and social needs. So, it's

really important that we invest increased resources

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

in these programs, and UJA recognizes, as demonstrated by our digital trace food pantry system, that we really believe in the importance of food choice and access to culturally competent meals. So, we also mentioned in our testimony asks related to aging, supporting human services sector, workforce development, youth and early childhood programs. Our can read that all in our written testimony. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

and thank you for bringing up the Holocaust initiative. You know, I represent a large Orthodox neighborhood in South Williamsburg, and I think sometimes we forget that there are still thousands of Holocaust survivors that live in New York City, and I just was at a-- I was at a Shiva with a gentleman whose sister passed away-- he was in his 90s-- this weekend, and he was a Holocaust survivor. So, I think that we lose track of the fact that this, in fact, is happening in our city, that Holocaust survivors are aging and are often struggling to get by. And after everything that they in their lives have gone through, to have that happen on our watch

2.2

is totally unacceptable. So, I want to thank you very much for your support of Holocaust survivors.

ARIEL SHARANSKY: Thank you for your support.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TRIADA STAMPAS: Good afternoon, Chairperson Levin. Thank you for being here to hear My name is Triada Stampas. I'm Vice President for Research and Public Affairs at Food Bank for New York City. And before I say anything else, let me say thank you to you and to the entire rest of the City Council. It is through this body's advocacy, leadership and persistence over the past several years that has helped secure major gains against hunger in our city through increases in emergency food funding, universal free school meals, and supports for benefits outreach, creation of a campus pantry model that is unique in the nation for its inclusion of household and hygiene items. Those are just the highlights, but your support has been consistent and invaluable in moving the needle. City Council has been an important partner for Food Bank since day one. In fact, it was the City Council President in June of 1983 who successfully negotiated funding in that year's city budget to help open the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE doors on our warehouse in the Hunts Point Market in the Bronx. And the very next year, because the city had a food bank, HRA created EFAP, the Emergency Food Assistance Program to create a year-round supply of nutritious food for the growing numbers of food pantries and soup kitchens that were cropping up throughout the City in the early to mid-1980s. We're very proud of our partnership with city-- that our partnership with city government endures to this day and has only grown. And so today, we do things like work with HRA to mediate SNAP cases that have had adverse decisions, and we provide HRA with trend analysis of those mediated cases that has become especially important through the benefits Reengineering process, because it allows HRA to pinpoint issues in the customer experience, and course correct very quickly as they've been rolling out the improvements through benefits reengineering. I know this committee held its hunger hearing only a few weeks ago. It was nevertheless disappointing that Emergency Food Assistance barely received a mention in the Commissioner's budget testimony this morning, and I do appreciate that multiple members of your committee affirm the position that EFAP food

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE
funding should be baselined at 22 million dollars and
that the budget dance over survival resources for
vulnerable New Yorkers should end. We appreciate
your continued leadership on this issue.
urge continued investment in other anti-hunger
solutions, including the food access benefits
initiative and the Campus Pantries initiative.
written testimony goes into greater detail, so the
last point I will raise here is that we're having
this conversation in the context of a meal gap in our
city of 225 million missing meals at a moment of
great uncertainty for Federal Nutrition Assistance
Benefits. There are forces in Washington that would
like to see SNAP, which is our nation's first line of
defense against hunger, weaken to the point of
ineffectiveness, bolstering the last line of defense
against hunger, which is our city's network of food
pantries and soup kitchens is one part of our
collective responsibility to each other as a city, on
behalf of the nearly 1.4 million New Yorkers who have
their means, their means of survival threatened.
Thank you.
```

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Triada.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Nice to see you.

TRIADA STAMPAS: Good to see you, too.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

EDLINE JACQUET: Hello. Thank you. name is Edline Jacquet, and I'm the Director of Policy at the FPWA, the Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies. We are an anti-poverty policy and advocacy organization with a membership network of 170 human service and faith-based members. So, in my testimony I go in-- written testimony go into more detail about a number of initiatives, but I just wanted to really focus on three, which are to kind of reiterate what my colleagues in the earlier panel and in this panel talk about in terms of the human services contract, and the investment in the nonprofit sector, which we think is critical-- I mean, it's critical to our members, but mostly critical to the communities they serve essentially because these organizations are providing essential services, yet they're dealing with it from being like a chronically underpaid and under-resourced sector. In dealing with the, like, fixed cost that every kind of organization has to deal with from rising rents, insurance and other expenses, especially the underfunding of, you know, contracts and overhead, and we totally kind of underscore our support for,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE you know, one of the former previous panels said about the consideration of just the base 37 percent fringe rate and human service contracts, as well as, you know, we strongly urge the council to be supportive of-- as FPWA is a part of both the Human Services Advancement Group, as well as the Nonprofit Resiliency Committee, to include in your response a 200 million dollars ask for FY19 to help with these issues of addressing the underfunding of contracts. The other thing that we really wanted to focus on that I wanted to focus on my testimony today is the importance as FPWA is also a member the Campaign for Children, the importance of the summer program funding, and the really the-- you know, we've gone through this kind of whole thing every several years in a row where the Mayor cuts the 20 million dollars from summer programming, particularly for-- which will impact 34,000 middle school children, and you know, as everyone knows, summer programming and summer camp is like extremely important to help prevent learning loss, but also to make sure that people -- children have a safe place to be, and also provides access to speak to what my former colleague was saying here about like the importance of food and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

meals for children. So, that is a big-- a huge priority for us and that would be one thing that I would also want to emphasize, the importance in investing the 15 million dollars for the Summer Youth Employment Program to cover-- to help to cover also the minimum wage increase from FY18, and the importance of year-round employment and employment programs. The other thing I wanted to kind of underscore is the model budget and DFTA, and we encourage-- we would love to have the Council's support in encouraging that timeline for the model budget implementation of DFTA be moved up to FY20. So, thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, I want to thank, obviously, all the work that you all do in making sure that all—right now, this is kind of the first step in our budget process. Obviously, we're coming to it somewhat late in our—in the cycle of the Preliminary Budget hearings. This is one of the last ones, but we need to obviously focus on the areas that we could have an impact in this budget year, and so all the things that you've all brought up I think are—need to remain priorities over the next several months. And so, you know, if it requires being out

there on the steps of City Hall and having rallies on the issues that matter to us, you know, count me in.

I'm there. So, I look forward to continuing to work with you all over the next couple of months to make sure that our FY19 budget is a fair budget in New York City.

EDLINE JACQUET:

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Thank

you. Thanks. So, a little bit of a change in plans,

because we're going to move over to an ACS panel

next. Joyce McMillan, Child Welfare Organizing

Project; Lisa Caswell, Day Care Council of New York;

Kate Rubin, Youth Represent; Gisele Castro, Exalt

Youth, and Alexis Sanders, also Exalt Youth.

Thank you for--

JOYCE MCMILLAN: Here we go. Good evening. Thank you, Council Member Levin and King for having this session today. It's very important.

My name is Joyce McMillan, and I am the Director of Programming at Child Welfare Organizing Project. I have a written testimony so I can get through it within my three-minute limit. I have had billions of dollars-- if I had billions of dollars, I can assure you, I would improve the lives of many and destroy none. Unlike ACS, who destroys most of the lives

they touch, especially the lives of the vulnerable children they claim to protect. This is not my opinion. It is a fact based on their own statistics. The OCFS website says 39.3 percent of children receive neither preventive nor CPS services before entry into the foster care system. ACS released stats at the press conference earlier this week, and those stats say only 35 percent of children in foster care graduate high school, while the citywide graduation rate is 70.5 percent. That is half, half, just half of the citywide rate. How are children going to thrive without even a minimum level of education? They can't, yet ACS continues to run rampant, destroying lives under the guise of protecting children and asking for more money. I don't mind ACS having money if they're utilizing that money for preventive services, as preventive services we know will prevent children from entering care. ACS holds press conferences to talk about children who die at the hands of their parents or their parent's significant others, but where are the statistics for children who die in the care of ACS, for the children who are raped in their care, who are beaten in their care, and so on? Why don't we have those statistics?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE Why don't we even talk about them? Who has asked for I know I have, and I've never seen or heard that they've existed. Foster care is the new Jane Like slavery, foster care separates families of color and creates massive amounts of stress, fear, insecurities, depression, and trauma, all of the components that will ensure failure. So how exactly is ACS spending their budget if almost half of the children in their care were never given an opportunity to remain safely at home? And the outcome for those children is worse than if they remained at home. Is ACS going to destroy more lives with the money and widen the gap of disproportionality? A portion of the money should be earmarked to enlist the health and assistance of experts like myself to change the outcome for children and their families by ensuring children and families are not torn apart in the first place, to make sure families have a safe place to turn for support, a place that is not contracted and controlled by ACS. ACS has a slogan, "See something, say something." I see they are not doing what they say, protecting children. I see children suffering under their control. I'm going to say something.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE I'm saying something now. In honor of the families and children who ACS has failed, hold them accountable. In honor of the families that never had a chance to remain intact, hold ACS accountable. honor of the children whose parent's rights was terminated leaving children legal orphans, hold ACS accountable. For the child whose parent had no rights to protect them from the abuse they were suffering while in foster care, hold ACS accountable. For foster care system has uncanny resemblance to the prison industrial complex. They both have set visit days and times. They are both strip searched. will tell you children are not strip searched, but unless they're taking their clothes off in a medical environment, they are strip searching them. both eat what they are served, and they both live in new environments where they are removed from everything they know and love. This list goes on and What I see is a system that sets people up for failure, and I'm saying something about it. system that is to protect children should in no way mimic a system that tortures adults. Also, why is it common practice to strip search when about 90 percent

of the children are removed for reasons of poverty

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 anyway? Poverty is lack, not neglect. The framing 3 alone is how they target specific demographics. I 4 live comfortably. I'm neither rich with excessive 5 finances, nor poor. My needs are met. However, I am 6 rich with compassion, empathy, care, and concern for 7 others. I am concerned that ACS is being allowed to operate billions of dollars budget with no 8 transparency or accountability to communities that 9 10 they are destroying. The one thing I know is if foster care was a good thing, there would be no 11 12 placements available for children of color. We would only get in through affirmative action. These are 13 14 pictures of a child that was in foster care that was 15 beaten and bruised and battered badly, and there has 16 been no accountability, and the family does not know 17 what happened to their child. Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Joyce. 19 [Applause] 20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. GISELE CASTRO: Good evening. Thank you 21 2.2 so much, Chair Andy King and Council Member Levin for 23 having us this evening. My name is Gisele Castro,

and I am the Executive Director of Exalt Youth. We

work with young people citywide. We work with young

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE people who are involved in the juvenile justice system, and today I do not want to take up too much time. I just want to give a brief overview of the work that we have been able to do with young people ages 15 to 19, and that has been to provide them with a thriving learning environment. It's an educational internship model, and we have been able to have 99 percent of our youth engaged in school, and for those who came into our program with an open felony cases, at least six percent of our youth have a sentence reduction. But more importantly, what we have been able to see is that our youth are not returning to the system. I would encourage you [inaudible] testify at the last hearing, you know, to provide services and funding to organizations who are providing I would say equity and opportunities for young people who are justice system-involved. And without further ado I would love to welcome and present one of our young graduates who graduated a few months ago, but I am not going to take up too much time because I would really love for her to share her experience with us. Thank you so much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ALEXIS SANDERS: Good afternoon, honorable members of the New York City Council.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE Thank you for this opportunity to speak to you today. My name is Alexis Sanders. I am 17 years old. live in the Bronx, attend Juda Veskay [sp?] High School, and I am a recent graduate of the Exalt Youth program. Before I found Exalt, I would define myself as an outcast, fighting and lashing out. I could admit I needed help, and I got arrested. first got to Exalt, I still had the same bad attitude. I didn't really think Exalt could do anything for me. I was out of school. I didn't really care about anything after my mother passed away, but Exalt stood by my side. I traveled to Exalt office in Brooklyn from the Bronx every Monday through Thursday for 16 weeks. They helped me find alternative schools, got me an internship, and even got my case closed for me. They showed up when I didn't. Getting out of the criminal justice system was all I wanted, and Exalt helped me do it. I know this organization is really what helped me, because before they welcomed me in, I wasn't enrolled in school, I was failing all my classes, and didn't really plan a future for myself. As I sit here today, I'm proud to say, I attend school every day,

and I have the highest GPA in my school. I plan to go

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE to college after I graduate and pursue a career in Exalt truly did make a big impact in my life, because fighting solves nothing. I don't see myself behind bars, in the back of a police car, or in handcuffs anymore. I see myself making great movies and being happy while [inaudible]. This is a unique organization where people care about you. always greet you with a smile and encourage you, even when you are dealing with serious hardships. Being in the classroom at Exalt is nothing like a classroom in school. You learn about things like how to reverse the school-to-prison pipeline and how to end generations and cycles of poverty. organizations is truly unique. When I think about things Exalt did for me, getting my case closed, getting me back into school, and providing me with a paid internship, it makes me realize that more young people like me need access to Exalt. I hope you agree and will help make sure every young person gets a chance to do what I'm doing, chasing after my dreams and staying free and clear of the justice Thank you. system.

[applause]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I just wanted to say 3 thank you, Alexis, for not only for your testimony, but for telling us your story, and congratulations on 4 5 your success, and I look forward to seeing your name 6 on the marquis at the-- by that time I hope there's 7 still movie theaters, but you know, even if it's on, 8 you know, Netflix, I hope to see your name. Gisele, I just want to thank you for inviting me out to Exalt 9 a couple of months ago and sitting down with one of 10 your cohorts who really opened my eyes, and I've been 11 12 bragging about Exalt ever since, and so everywhere I

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

receive over Exalt?

GISELE CASTRO: Currently? None.

go I tell people about Exalt. And just for the

record, can you tell me how much city funding you

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: None. None. So, we need to change-- we need to make sure that Exalt and programs like Exalt are part of the equation in what we're doing around--

GISELE CASTRO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: what we're doing here in the City Council and the City of New York.

GISELE CASTRO: Thank you so much, because we are growing and we are scaling the

committee on General welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 364 organization. We just launched a strategic plan and it is to serve more young people. Just very quickly, you know, we have seen an increase of young people coming in from the Bronx, and that also means that, you know, we have to get a much larger space. So, thank you so much.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KING: I just want to say thank you. Thank you, Sister. Thank you. I always have a phrase that people pay attention to people who participate. Your participation in your own life has turned your life around. The people in this room who participate in the City of New York improving lives have shown when they show up the world turns. So, thank you for turning your life around, because now you will be the example when you create your first film. I'm looking forward to being there as well, and telling your story again to empower, inspire and deliver success to the next generation. Thank you.

LISA CASWELL: My name is Lisa Caswell.

I'm from the Day Care Council, and I'd just like to acknowledge my fellow testifiers. It's an honor to sit with them having spent 20 years in preventive services in particular and for the work you're doing. And it's an honor to testify in front of you, Steve

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE Levin. You continue to inspire us by your memory of everything we say and your convictions. So, thank I'm just going to summarize what we have to There's colleagues of mine who will speak about say. this as well. We have five issues. The Day Care Council is almost 70 years old going back to World War II and we have labor relations and mediation policy. We have a new Early Childhood career ladder and employment initiative and a professional training institute. Right now our biggest issues have to do with continuing to support the UPK expansion, but not lose all our kids. We've had providers who have had to reseat four-year-old classrooms four times last fall, because the DOE's recruiting kids directly from those nonprofit settings. So, I know they're making efforts, but it's still a problem for us. impacting utilization. Major issue I'm sure you're familiar with is the issue of salary parody. destabilizing the entire system that we've spent so many years building up. Right now, a similarly qualified, certified Master's Degree teacher, when they start out the gap is 13,784. By five years they could be making 15,413 dollars more working with the DOE, and if they'd gone to the DOE after 15 years,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE they would have been making 40,612 dollars more, and they wouldn't have had to work longer hours, a full day. They wouldn't have had to work in the summer. So, we'll be out there in front of you on the steps on the 11th. We hope we have your support. really big problem. Another thing that's happening is the state's got a new rating system for all of its child care programs and it's affecting the programs that are located in NYCHA badly, because they have no control over their facilities' repairs. So the DOHMH folks come out and give them a lot of trouble for violations that they can't control, and this could now also affect their new rating with the state. we've met with DOHMH, and they're doing greater collaboration with NYCHA, but I'm sure you're familiar with everything that NYCHA's going through, but this is a really big problem for the child care programs that fought hard to be located in those facilities. Next we have -- related to our role in labor, the last labor contract with 1707 involved accepting a health plan called MetroPlus which restricts the staff to providers in the Health + Hospitals Corporation, but there's not enough choice. So, they're going back, those of them who are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

eligible, which it's bad enough that they're eligible for Medicaid, and they're going back to Medicaid because they have broader selection. That's happening in almost half of our members. And the last thing is we were given two and a half million dollars by the City to do a career ladder. It's going really well. We've launched it. It's having a big impact, but unless they make enough money there'll be no reason for them to go after these advanced degrees. They're going to leave us anyhow. So, that's it, and thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Lisa, and thank you so much for the Day Care Council's continuous focus on making sure that there's pay parody within the system, not accepting short change or half-measures, but fighting to ensure that everybody that's working within our Early Childhood Education system has the opportunity to have a career in that field, and that wouldn't be happening if it wasn't for the advocacy.

LISA CASWELL: I'd like to acknowledge the rest of my colleagues in Campaign for Children for that.

2.2

2

3

absolutely.

1

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Absolutely,

Thank you so much for keeping the

4

attention on it. To Alexis, and Gisele from Exalt,

5 6

thank you. Thank you again. Thank you for telling your story. To Joyce, I just want to make sure-- I'm

7

on the record here saying I support CWOP.

8

Council supports CWOP. The work that CWOP does is

9

instrumental in making sur that parents that are

10

involved in the child welfare system have a voice,

11

have resources, know their rights, because as you

12

point out time and again, the system itself is geared

13

against those parents. Institutionally parents are

14

15 system, because tragically their children are removed

put in-- that are involved in the child welfare

16

from their household, have such obstacles and hurdles

17

put in front of them, and an organization like CWOP

18

and Rise, those organizations that are there as

19

resources for those families is essential to making

20

sure that the system become fair in the future.

21

2.2

23

CHAIRPERSON KING: I just want to put on

thank you.

the record for all of you, what I want to say to the

24

Day Care Council and CWOP, I want to say to both of

25

you, we have a responsibility in the City of New York

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE to take care of our children, and I'm going to say to Chair Levin, the next time that we have ACS in the room that they need to hear your story before they leave, because while I appreciated the book I read this morning, we need to understand a little bit about some of the flip-sides. Not all-- not everything is always rosy and sunshine, and as a previous worker I understand that families have challenges. The system has challenges, and they need to hear your story so they can really put it in the pot when they start making decisions. I say you go to uncover to recover, and you have uncovered some things today that I think the Commissioner also needs to hear as well. As far as Day Care Council, I got to say again, there's two things that I think our budget should always reflect that advocates should never even have to come before the City Council, and that's funding to take care of children and funding to take care of our seasoned individuals, our seniors in our neighborhoods. They are our most vulnerable in our communities, and we need to make sure that we protect them, and if we value education and development of a three-year-old, there's no way that you decide that there's not pay equity between the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

UFT workers and as well as the DC1707 because they do the same work to the same population, and in turn, they need to be able to provide for themselves and their children to stay motivated. So, you can trust that you have our support in advocating and doing all that we can do to protect our children in the City of New York. Thank you for your advocacy. Thank you all.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, next panel,

Jasmine Edmunds, Picture the Homeless; Maria Wallace,

Picture the Homeless; Jose Rodriguez, Picture the

Homeless; Jasmine Budnella I think might have left,

from Vocal New York, but I just wanted to recognize

her for the record, and Scott Hutchins [sp?], Picture

the Homeless. Whoever wants to begin?

JOSE RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Good evening. My name is Jose Rodriguez, and I'm a member of Picture the Homeless. I'm here to talk about Picture the Homeless' Business of Homelessness Report, our findings and recommendations and to ask the City Council to utilize this year's General Welfare budget to provide homes instead of shelters for New York City's homeless residents. As a formerly homeless person, I have personally experienced the waste and

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE dysfunction of shelters, city-run and contracted When I lived in the contractor shelter on 126th Street, I was told that I didn't qualify for any housing assistance, even though I suffered from a range of severe medical issues. In my experience, counselors are not trained in accessing housing providers who allocate housing for homeless people in shelters. I was able to find housing on my own, through a state affordable housing program my counselor had no information about. It's been my experience that some counselors were able to refer people to appropriate housing on a regular basis, and other counselors appear to have no training. People that complain that they were not receiving housing assistance were often transferred to other shelters even though they had no behavior issues. I suffer from diabetes, and when I was at Bellevue when my sugar was low in the evenings, staff provided me with snacks, but when I was at the nonprofit contractor shelter when my sugar was low they told me that all you could do -- all we could do was call EMS. was not allowed in the facility. It appears that the contractor shelters do not comply with DHS protocol and rules concerning severe medical issue, or they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 use their own judgement. The Business of Homelessness Report was conceived with the belief 3 that despite the multiple funding sources provided to 4 maintain the shelter industrial complex, these 5 6 valuable resources can be better spent to create 7 permanent homes for the most vulnerable New Yorkers living in shelters on the street, doubled-up on 8 someone 's home as well as those about to lose their 9 home. Picture the Homeless made recommendations in 10 the Business of Homelessness Report. In order to 11 12 shift funds to prioritize providing truly affordable 13 homes for low-income New Yorkers and to better manage existing shelters and train staff appropriately. 14 15 More and more people are calling shelters their home 16 at great public cost and personal loss. Shelters were designed to be a safety net. However, they're 17 18 becoming homes for the most vulnerable low-income New I'm here to ask the New York City Council 19 Yorkers. 20 to please find ways to make housing a priority, not shelters. 21 Thank you. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

SCOTT HUTCHINS: Thank you, Mr. Levin. My name is Scott Andrew Hutchins, and I have been living in the New York City shelter system since May 25th,

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 373 I spent much of 2011 in Housing Court unemployed with a physical challenge and unable to pay my rent. The City refused to help me stay in my 1,075 dollar and 18 cent apartment as long as I was not a job that paid enough to pay the rent going forward, but now that I'm in the shelter system, they're willing to pay around 1,300 to 2,000 dollars more than that, plus 300 dollars a month on a storage unit, plus restricted SNAP benefits of 16 dollars so that I can have a wiry cot wrapped in vinyl and a locker in a room full of other men and eat food that has a negative impact on my health in a system that doesn't work for anyone except for shelter providers. Our report shows that the City's spending on shelters is unsustainable at over two million dollars per day. The cost to build permanent housing for every homeless person will be exceeded by shelter spending in only seven years. It therefore cannot be reasonably argued that it is too expensive to house every homeless person rather than put them in shelters. It is simply an issue of political will. We learned in our research that many shelter executives are raking in six figure incomes while

leaving homeless people in squalor. This suggests

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE either a system of cronyism or a lack of oversight in how shelter money is spent. The shelters within DHS system are poorly regulated, inconsistent in character, and have very little oversight. addition, shelters know weeks in advance when inspections that are supposedly are a surprise are coming. The shelters should be required to support their spending with outcomes, and the City should have for corrective actions for shelter-- the City should have corrective actions for shelters that do not meet expectations. Unlike the federal money that goes in the family shelters, the adult shelters and adult family shelters are 82 percent and 69 percent respectively funded with City money which is expungable [sic] and can therefore be spent on housing. This moneys should be reapportioned into spending on housing for people making 10, 15, 20, and 30 percent of area median income. The voucher program should be revamped into a universal program with specific training for those in housing specialist positions to actually help get people into housing. As homeless people, we found solutions to homelessness in the City's own data. We would like to see the funds used to help us in a way that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on General welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 375 actually helps us rather than keeps us in second-class housing for years at a time. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. So you have to push the button.

MARY CROSBY: Thank you. Good evening. Chairman Levin and Committee Members, all of you who advocate for the homelessness. That's hard work that's much appreciated even by those who can't be here today. My name is Mary Crosby, and I'm also a member of Picture the Homeless and the Metropolitan Council on Housing. Picture the Homeless has -- the research committee has researched the homelessness crisis and the relationship to housing in New York City. The team has done an outstanding job documenting their findings in the report that they released today, the business of homelessness. I urge you to read it and consider the findings. Thank you also for the financial report you presented today, which is also very illuminating. Illumination is what is needed most of all. Today, I wanted to reinforce these two reports by saying that we need to increase transparency and accountability from DHS and HPD as much as their operations remain a black box mystery. Increased scrutiny and oversight is

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE required because without complete information appropriate remedies cannot be found to what we all agree is an ever-growing crisis. When I entered the shelter system in 2015 I was given a required physical, including blood tests, and was told that my health was excellent and my test results were remarkable for a woman my age, 69 at the time. nearly three years later I have gained 10 or 20 pounds, have high blood pressure, grey hair, increasing hair loss, skin problems, eye problems, allergic reaction, reduced mobility, and my nervous system is shot. This is in large part due to the constant 24/7 stress of shelter living where it is challenging to get a good night sleep and maintain a healthy diet. I hope to recover. But I'm not alone. We can be sure that equally serious issues and worse effect the 60,000-plus other residents in the shelter system, men, women, and yes, children. experience residents have heart attacks when they're in the shelter. Some survive and some do not. people die in shelters. Shelter life is tough, and as they say, only the strong survive. This is the human cost of warehousing the homeless. The cost to the taxpayer for shelter warehousing is approximately

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 1.4 billion. The City is paying approximately 100 dollars per day for my stay in the shelter, which 3 comes to approximately 36,500 dollars a year, or 4 5 approximately 3,000 dollars a month. My LINC IV voucher has an apartment allowance of \$1,268 and this 6 7 amount has not increased since 2015, although the asking rent in New York City is up 33 percent, 8 according to the latest housing vacancy survey. 9 media spin on homeless is that a majority of the 10 homeless are mentally-ill or drug-addicted, and that 11 12 this is the reason for the inability to move the homeless into permanent housing. This has not been 13 my observation. In 2015, a social services 14 15 supervisor told a room full of shelter residents that 16 they had no rights because they did not pay rent and did not pay taxes. Did you recognize the clerk at 17 18 the store who helped you, the bank teller who cashed your check, the waitress, the nurses' aid, security 19 quards your building, the cleaning crew at your 20 office, the teacher of autistic children, the former 21 2.2 librarian, or the former manager of a shelter as 23 being homeless? Perhaps not. These are some of the men and women that I have met while in a shelter. 24

All are homeless New York tax payers who cannot

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE afford luxury housing built in New York City. is why we need stronger rent laws and greater accountability from HPD. We need enforcement of the current rent laws. I applaud the Council for their efforts to exercise greater oversight and consider stronger measures to ensure the needed transparency and attention to the housing needs of the homeless. I continue with and experience with applying for lottery apartment which is very curious because although it was built under the-- these buildings under the Ella [sic] program at HPD Senior Program, they apparently would not accept any recommendation by my housing specialist, and she basically has said she will no longer try to pursue any recommendations on maybe half, because it would take too long. So, in conclusion, I wanted to say that while I agree with the general recommendations of the Picture the Homeless report to take fungible dollars from the shelter budget and apply them to affordable housing. My concern is that dollars taken from shelters may be given to HPD without reform, and I would encourage you to look into that and consider it, but make sure that they're, you know, law-abiding and free of corruption before you give them any more money.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 new ideas are proposed, and I believe this is the time when break-through solutions will be found to 3 make permanent affordable housing for the 4 homelessness and other low income New Yorkers. 5 6 you for the opportunity to testify today. 7 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. 8 MARIA WALLACE: Good evening. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good evening. 9 10 MARIA WALLACE: Good evening, everybody. My name is Maria Teresa Wallace [sp?]. I am a leader 11 12 with Picture the Homeless. I am testifying this evening regarding how the shelters are run as a 13 14 business and are not serving the residents to get the 15 help they need, to get out and into permanent 16 housing. The money you invest for a shelter should 17 be used for housing. I know the system is like a 18 revolving door. I was in the system twice. The first time I was in the system with my family, but 19 unfortunately things changed and I went in again with 20 my husband as an adult couple. I got accepted for 2.1 2.2 the VANISH [sic] program, but the program only lasted 23 two years. I had to go back into the shelter system 24 after the government discontinued the program. My

husband and I stayed in the system for four years

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE until we finally got our place. During that time the City was spending 3,000-4,000 per month for our shelter stay. That adds up to almost 17,000 dollars, enough to have paid my rent as a monthly basis, furnish an apartment, or even by a home. But that's just my example. Let's look at the cost for everybody else that's going through this. I'm going to do the math for you. In a shelter housing 40 families where DHS spends roughly 5,000 dollars a month per family, the cost of the shelter would be around 20,000 dollars a month-- 20,000 dollars a month. It is incredible that it costs that much, but why? We know how the money is being spent and used. I know there are guidelines that the shelters have to follow, but they are not doing it. For example, shelters are supposed to provide metro cards to households on public assistance to make it to appointments, but they are not doing that. They are supposed to provide toiletries to shelter residents, but all I ever received was a roll of toilet paper every month or every other month. My shelter had mices [sic] running around in the basement, but the shelter operators would get upset when I told them about the violations. If an emergency happens at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

night, no repairs would be made, because after 10 or 11 o'clock there was no maintenance worker on the site. I was lucky to have a real great caseworker who made sure I made it to all my appointments, but I know that's not the case for everybody, for everyone. We're asking that instead of investing the funding into shelters that provide temporary housing, we should be putting this into permanent housing. For the amount we are spending on shelters, we could house people in an apartment. We need housing, not shelters. Thank you.

[applause]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I want to thank this panel. I want to thank Picture the Homeless for providing insight into the system that is lacking from what we hear from the Administration, what we know at the Council. We're looking at— this is a budget hearing, we look at a lot of numbers.

Sometimes we look at policy. We're looking at a lot of ideas, but it's important that there's a perspective brought to the table that is what's happening on the ground and what's happening in reality, and so I want to thank Picture the Homeless. I just want to thank you all for putting the work in

on the policy recommendations that you have done, which I think are phenomenal and very helpful, and I look forward to working with you to implement them. So, thank you very much and thanks for your patience, too. Thanks. Okay, we're going back to ACS panel. G.L. Tyler, DC1707, Lorita Watson [sp?], Friends of Mosholu Parklands, Kevin Kuros [sp?], Community Connections for Youth, Wendy O'Shields from Urban Justice Center Safety Net, Shelley Anderson, Sheltering Arms, and Elizabeth McCarthy, Sheltering Arms.

2.2

G.L. TYLER: Good evening. My name is
G.L. Tyler, the Political Director for District
Council 1707. And thanks to the succinct remarks by
Lisa Caswell, I won't speak on wage parody at this
time. However, when EarlyLearn was introduced in
2012, the Bloomberg Administration suspended the
vacation [sic] banks that my members of Local 205
enjoyed. Members, actually hundreds of members, have
not received their justification pay, up to 60 days
since 2012. We've contacted ACS for a very long
period of time, and they have been callus to the
needs of these members, and basically have not given
them that money. That money in total was estimated

between 12 and 15 million dollars. So, we're asking the City Council to take a look at this and perhaps even hold a hearing to see why ACS has been reluctant to give these members the justification of pay, and plus, I want to bring up another issue. We have 10 daycare centers currently that have either lost their leases or are ready to close, and we want the Council to take a look at that as well, because ACS has not been—again, they've been very difficult in trying

2.2

help. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Mr. Tyler, and thank you for all the work that your union and the members of your union do.

to find new sponsors. So, we're looking for your

G.L. TYLER: Thank you.

KEVIN KUROS: Good evening. Thank you for the opportunity to let us speak. My name is Kevin Kuros. I work for Community Connections for Youth in the South Bronx. My role there is a liaison where I coordinate partnerships between system stakeholders and community organizations that are looking to create alternatives to incarceration for our juvenile youth. My problem and issue that I'd like to address today is the ACS' move to bring

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE correctional officers from Rikers Island to the Horizon facility. As an individual, I myself was arrested as a youth 14 times, and I spent 30 days in Rikers Island, and I could attest to the fact that the presence of correction officers brings a hostile environment to that of a young individuals. However, today I am able to work with Horizons and helping them facilitate workshops and help these youth transform their lives as individuals so that they could be productive members of society. However, I feel that after the Raise the Age implementation goes into effect and bring in these COs from Rikers Island, I feel that will be a negative effect to the work that's already being done at Horizons with the partnership at Community Connections for Youth. So, I ask the City Council to hold ACS accountable because I recall at the hearing with ACS, nobody could answer to whose decision is this that the Cos would be coming from Rikers Island to Horizons. So, we need to do-- look into that deeper as to why is this even being an issue. When I was at Horizon-- I'm speaking for Horizons, that's the one location we have a partnership with, but when I was there last week there was only nine individuals in the entire

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE
 1
 2
     facility with two intakes that showed up that night.
     So, my other issue is, why are we bringing in
 3
     correction officers who may not be equipped or
 4
     trained to deal with this population. Not only that,
    but we're bringing them-- I think the number was 175-
 6
 7
     - to work with about only 100 youth that are coming
     out of Rikers Island. So, to me, the number is
 8
     disproportionate. Of course-- and financially as
 9
10
     well, because when you look at it, we already know
     that it costs about a quarter million dollars a year
11
12
     to house these youth in detention centers, where
     creating community partnerships with let's say the
13
     organization I work for, the cost of diversion is a
14
15
     fraction, so about 10,000 I'd say. So, I just ask
16
     that you hold them accountable, and that's-- I do not
17
     want to see correction officers from Rikers Island at
18
     Horizon Detention facilities.
                                     Thank you.
                                    Thank you so much for
19
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:
20
     that testimony. It's very important and we're
     working -- want to continue to work on that.
2.1
2.2
                KEVIN KUROS:
                               Thank you.
23
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.
24
                WENDY O'SHIELDS: My name is Wendy
```

O'Shields and I'm testifying as a New York City

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE welfare and homeless rights advocate. I'm a member of the safety -- of the Urban Justice Safety Net Project/Activist. HRA, please ensure that each SNAP and job center office are adequately staffed. applicants and recipients often wait hours to see customer service and typically lose an entire day trying to resolve a single issue. Phone lines are not answered and voicemails are full because the HRA centers are not adequately staffed. Staff frequently work mandatory overtime until 9, 10:00 p.m., or later. This is no good for all concerned. Please increase the budget to hire more frontline support staff to immediately process paperwork. New York State licensed social workers should be hired to interview HRA applicants and recipients, and this will allow professional interviews complete and uninterrupted. New York-- New Yorkers that visit HRA centers seek vital, life sustaining services. the benefit qualifying period, please process applicants for emergency SNAP, a one-time cash benefit, and a clothing voucher during the application interview. This will help some necess-this will help provide some necessities during the 30 to 45 day application process. Additionally, there

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE are resources in the NYC community that can help the HRA applicant or recipient when their food, clothing, and other necessities are low. Consider handing out the below information to New Yorkers, especially during the application period. Please see my attached documents. Accountability for DHS: there are significant variations between the amount of help that residents get depending on which shelter they Some shelters don't have a housing reside. specialist, and some have them, but residents do not have appointments scheduled. If there is a housing specialists, often there aren't enough and they are not well-trained in locating NYC apartments. What is the job description of the City of New York Department of Homeless Services Housing Specialist? Are your DHS nonprofit homeless shelter vendors required by contract to employ a housing specialist? How many housing specialists are required per 25 DHS shelter homeless residents? How are housing specialists accountable for placing DHS shelter homeless residents and independent permanent housing? Please clarify the role of the DHS shelter homeless resident housing specialist. Please increase the budget to hire specifically housing specialists with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 388 the proper training in locating independent permanent housing. The ratio of one housing specialist per 25 DHS shelter homeless residents will begin to turn the tide on homelessness in the City of New York. thank you for considering my suggestions to assist HRA and DHS with their accountability to New Yorkers. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much, and just on that last point, I actually apologize. know that the gentleman from Picture the Homeless brought it up as well. Housing specialists are-there needs to be-- they need to be better resourced, better trained, better funded, better trained, better trained, better trained, and have more support, because that's the lynchpin to making sure that -- if we're under claiming our housing assistant vouchers by 20 million dollars a year, 25 million dollars a year, maybe that's because we don't have enough housing specialists to find people apartments that are doing it, you know, or not trained or resourced enough. So, anyway. Very good point. I forgot to bring it up during the testimony with the Commissioner. I mentioned it many times before, but

WENDY O'SHIELDS: Thank you.

we got to keep on fighting for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thanks so much for

3 bringing it up. Thank you.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MIKAYLA TERRELL: Good afternoon. Μv name is Mikayla Terrell. I'm the Grants Manager at Sheltering Arms, speaking on behalf of Elizabeth McCarthy, the CEO of Sheltering Arms. I'm joined today by Shelley Anderson, a group teacher in one of our Pre-k for All classrooms. Thank you, Chair Levin, for the opportunity to testify today. Sheltering Arms is one of the City's largest providers of education in youth development, juvenile justice, child welfare, and community and family well-being programs for the Bronx, Manhattan, Brooklyn, and Queens. We operate 11 Early Childhood Education Centers, one of which you visited that are located in some of the poorest neighborhoods in the City and serve a total of 1,500 children through those centers and family day care. I know we've heard about it today, but we're going to be speaking on salary parody for the record. the future of these centers are at risk because city contracts pay our teachers tens of thousands of dollars less per year than teachers in DOE-run programs, despite the fact that teachers in nonprofit centers like ours provide

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE full day services year-round while DOE programs run for the school day and get winter, spring, and summer breaks off. Let me reiterate. Teachers in nonprofit programs receives tens of thousands of dollars less per year while working significantly longer hours. This unconscionable parody has become a crisis Sheltering Arms and for other community-based organizations who educate and care for communities' youngest and most vulnerable students. inequitable system impacts not only the teachers in our centers, but the low-income communities of color we have a mission to serve. We are here to urge the Committee and City Council to require the Mayor's Administration to deliver salary parody for ECU [sic] teachers in our EarlyLearn Centers so that they are equally to their comparably credentialed teachers in public schools. There's been no response and no action from the Mayor to our consistent call for equal pay, for equal qualifications. We now urge the Committee on General Welfare to hold hearings into these completely unjustifiable disparities and to hold the Administration accountable. The public deserves and explanation. The families and teachers impacted by these blatant inequities are your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE constituents. Sheltering Arms, like other communitybased providers, has experienced an exodus of qualified teachers from our centers. CBOs across the City continually train high-quality teachers who then leave for better benefits and higher pay at DOE. then takes us at least seven months to hire a qualified teacher for these programs. Without correction, CBOs like us will continue to invest in new teachers only to lose their talent to the DOE. The impact of this chronic disparity is clear. We're forced to close classrooms in order to maintain staff ratios leaving low-income children and families without the early education opportunities that they so desperately need. This exodus has only been exacerbated by the Mayor's expansion of Pre-K for All and 3K for All. The introduction of Universal Pre-K meant that the City opened classrooms in even the wealthiest districts, creating more teaching positions in DOE-run programs. Teachers then left our centers for shorter days, shorter years, and more money. The poor kids in our centers have been left in the gap, and we're forced to close classrooms despite waiting lists. To answer a question from earlier today, the reason our enrollment is low is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

because we don't have the teachers to staff them, not because there aren't kids to meet the services. The City Council has proven itself an ally of the human services sector, and we urge you to take leadership on—a leadership role on this issue, to hold a hearing into this unjustifiable and inequitable system and demand that the Mayor provide the necessary funding to eliminate these salary disparities. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

SHELLEY ANDERSON: Good evening. My name is Shelley Anderson and I'm a group UPK teacher at Sheltering Arms, Mother Hale's Learning Center in Harlem. I earned my Master's Degree through a scholars program that the City had in Early Childhood Education at Hunter while working fulltime in a Pre-K center, and I was able to obtain my New York State Initial Teacher License as a result. Part of my repayment for my scholarship is a requirement to teach in a CBEC, Community-based Early Childhood Center, for three years. This has brought me to Sheltering Arms and I've been teaching there now. Teaching Pre-K is really special work. Young children learn about their community and themselves

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE through their own personal experience through exploration, through play, and they explore things with their senses: seeing, touching, smelling, and sometimes even tasting the things in their world. It's been really rewarding to see my classes develop academic learning, social skills and self-expression. It's also been very rewarding working multi-cultural communities where the families come from all parts of the world, including Santa Domingo, West Africa, Jamaica, China, and Eastern Europe. This has been one of the most challenging positions that I have ever had as a pre-k teacher. The hours, the commitment, and the responsibilities are significant for all teachers, but the burden for us is more than our peers in DOE. I perform the same academic responsibilities, however, for less salary and benefits. My work days are far longer than theirs. They start at 8:00 a.m. with children arriving and they end at 6:00 p.m. when they go home. Additionally, our school year is 12 months of the year without any time off for summer, winter, or spring breaks. In addition to maintaining teaching and -- in addition to teaching and maintaining the health and safety of the children there is a mountain

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE of administrative responsibilities, documentation, reporting, student observations, and parent meetings, and most of this work is done in extended hours at home, after work, and on the weekends. The salary and benefits discrepancy between me and my peers at DOE is not fair, and given this disparity there's little reason why a teacher would choose to work in a community-based program instead of the DOE. Without my scholarship requirements and some year-end incentives to stay at Sheltering Arms, I don't know if I would have continued work with there as long as I have. Our children are so important and our teachers are so important. Please let teachers like me continue to teach for outcomes without worrying about our incomes. We'd like teacher equity in our payment. Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. And thank you for a sobering perspective on this, and the only reaction that I can come up with is it's outrageous. It's outrageous that you're asked to work year-round, 10 hours a day, every single day for significantly less pay. There is zero justification, and there can be zero justification for that inequity, and the longer this goes on, the-- not only the less

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 395 1 2 defensible, but the worse the wrong is, and so we will be focusing on this issue as part of the move 3 from ACS to Department of Education. I will not in 5 any way support a move from ACS to Department of 6 Education or a new RFP, and I'm in my last term, and 7 I have-- I don't-- doesn't matter to me. I'm not worry about upsetting people, but there's no way that 8 I will support such a transfer if it does not include 9 10 full pay equity across the board, particularly-- it's particularly galling considering the increased hours 11 12 and school year that you must be responsible for. So, thank you. Thank you very much. 13

> UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And thank you for teaching our young children.

SHELLEY ANDERSON: You're very welcome.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I want to thank this Thank you so much for all the work panel very much. you do and for all the issues that you've raised, thank you. Next panel: Gregory Brender, United Neighborhood Houses; Andrea Bowen, Transgender and Gender Non-Conforming Solutions Coalition; Robin Vitale [sp?], American Heart Association; Kirk

4

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1 COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 39

2 Wilson, the Bowery Hotel CB3; and John Sentiger

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

3 [sp?]; Covenant House. Okay, whoever wants to begin?

GREGORY BRENDER: Now, it's on. Thank you, Chair Levin for sticking through the hearing, for your great questions, and for the opportunity to testify. We also don't want to support any transfer that doesn't continue to have you having oversight over it for what a great champion you've been for early childhood programs. I'm Gregory Brender from the United Neighborhood Houses. We are the federation of settlement houses, multi-service, multi-generational community centers that work to empower the communities that they're in. And I think in true organizer fashion I'm in the lucky position that the thing I was going to say today have been probably said more eloquently by people before me, particularly the teacher from Sheltering Arms who just spoke. So, I have written testimony focused really entirely on the importance of early childhood salary parody, something that we know the Council has supported for many years, calling in FY17 for 33 million to be devoted as additional start money that has not gone in there, not there yet. Only 19

million will be there by FY2021 in the Preliminary

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 397

Budget. And so we just want to reiterate the call to have a hearing specifically on the impacts of salary parody, and reiterate the ask the City Council demand that the City take immediate action to address this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Greg.

KIRK WILSON: Hi. I'm Kirk Wilson. I'm the General Manager of the Bowery Hotel. We're on Bowery and Third Street. We're here to reiterate what Susan Stetzer was saying from Community Board Three. Here to ask for additional funding for DHS Peace Officers instead of private security, specifically for Third Street Men's Shelter. Private Security by law is there to observe, detect, and report only. DHS Peace Officers, on the other hand, are trained by NYPD. They can arrest. They can use non-lethal weapons. They're trained on deescalation. They carry hand-cuffs. They can patrol and arrest on the entire block. They can approach and ask the clients to refrain from congregating and issue summons for public urination, trespassing, disorderly conduct, etcetera. Private security guards can't do any of those things, unfortunately. The issues we deal with at the Bowery on a weekly if

not daily basis are urination in our entrances and exits, harassment of our staff, harassment of our guests. We find a lot of used needles in our doorways on Third Street. There's a lot of congregating on Third Street in our doorways, and also on the corner of Second Avenue and Third Street. We have clients coming in the building; we ask them to leave; they don't want to leave. We've seen weapons brandished on Third Street amongst the folks who are congregating there. So, we strongly urge the City Council to consider approving additional funding for DHS Peace Officers specifically in the Third Street Shelter. Thank you.

2.2

ROBIN VITALE: Good evening Chairman. My name is Robin Vitale. I serve as the Vice President of Health Strategies for the American Heart

Association here in New York City and we're here to address a concern that was really clearly identified by the Office of Food Policy from the Mayor's team where approximately 1.2 million New Yorkers live in communities that are low-income and have struggled with limited access to healthy food. Our testimony outlines three proposals that we are pushing for the City to invest into public agency programs that will

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE help to address one of these concerns under your purview in General Welfare. I do want to draw your attention to the first which is to specifically look at SNAP, particularly SNAP incentives. As one of the earlier panels mentioned, we are very concerned about some of the rhetoric that is coming out of our Federal Government. We want to make sure that the fantastic innovative work that has been achieved by the City around SNAP, particularly with Health Bucks, is able to be sustained and preferably expanded. know right now we are not able to meet the full demand of Health Bucks for the City; however, it's wildly popular and obviously tremendously impactful. We know that one in five New Yorkers receive SNAP incentives at the moment, and it is a wonderful bonus for the economy as well. For every five dollars in new SNAP benefits, you generate approximately nine dollars in new revenue for the local economy. impacts the entire food system, but from our purview, obviously, we're very concerned about how it impacts New Yorkers' health, the same New Yorkers that live in these vulnerable communities have extremely high rates of heart disease, diabetes, and other dietrelated illnesses. So, we are very hopeful that the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

City can invest in the SNAP expansion program with a 15 million dollar investment. We think this is—it's not going to address the full need for what could be done with Health Bucks, but it will help to move us in the right direction. So, we respectfully request your support of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ANDREA BOWEN: Good evening, Chair Levin. I thank you for your passion and fortitude for making it through this hearing, and thank you for supporting the cause that I am here for. I am a consultant working on behalf of what we're calling the Transgender and Gender Nonconforming Solutions Coalition, which includes Anti-violence Project, GMHG, Sylvia Rivera Law Project. I have three colleagues with me from Sylvia Rivera Law Project who will be testifying later. Several other organizations listed in my testimony. We appreciate that you came out when we released a policy brief last fall called Solutions out of Struggle and Survival, and so those policy brief recommendations came out of a community consultation process where organizers went into all five boroughs and talked to nearly 600 people from the TGNC community to see what was needed. Out of that came many, many different

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE policies and budget solutions. We've narrowed those down to six that we want to focus on for this budget The complete list we've appended to the end of the testimony, but there are two that relate to HRA that I want to detail for you today. First, is a TGNC employment program. So, TGNC people face unique barriers to the job market. There's some statistics listed in my written testimony, but it's important to note that like when you're applying for a job, what if your legal name doesn't match, you know, how you go, you know? What happens if your legal name doesn't match how you identify in public? happens if you just came out of the closet and your previous references don't know who you are? you deal with those kinds of problems? How do you deal with macroaggressions in the office? So we want to put together -- we're recommending 6.46 million dollars for a program, one part that would benefit youth, one part that would benefit adults that could quide TGNC people through how to deal with those challenges, provide subsidized wages to place people in jobs, and then provide case management services to get people through those jobs, and then in more permanent placements later. The other proposal--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

both of these— all of these proposals, again, come out of community consultation. One of the things that came out of the borough forums was a need for rental assistance that was more permanent and that could specifically support the community given its long history of facing homelessness and problems with rent. So, we're proposing 4.1 million dollars for a TGNC rental program pilot that would target the community and provide also funding for case managers who can help people in finding placements and in finding— and dealing with potential discrimination problems with landlords, and the rest is all in my written testimony. Thank you for your time.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. Sorry,
before-- Andy, have-- is this gone to like members of
the Council? Is this kind of like Finance Committee,
you know, or?

ANDREA BOWEN: Thanks for asking about that. I forgot to mention. So, we've been talking about— we brought these to the Mayor, and Mayor Staff, and agency staff, and so we've been working on that, and so our— we've brought this to the attention of Finance and we've been testifying a lot and bugging a lot of people. In the event that these

committee on general welfare with committee on juvenile justice 403 don't end up in Exec, we'd like to see the money given to agencies to then procure out. So, we'd like Council's assistance in helping us with that process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'll make sure that the word gets out.

ANDREA BOWEN: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'll bring a copy} % \end{substantial}% %$

JOHN SENTIGAR: Good evening. My name is John Sentigar [sp?] and I am a member of the Advocacy Team at Covenant House New York. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. Covenant House New York is the nation's largest nonprofit adolescent care agency serving homeless, runaway, and trafficked youth. On a nightly basis we provide shelter to approximately 250 young people, including pregnant women and mothers with their children, LGBTQ youth, commercially sexually exploited youth, and trafficking survivors. Our youth are primarily people of color and approximately a third of our youth have spent time in the foster care system. Many of our youth have experienced abuse or neglect at the hands of parents or other caregivers, and disproportionately high percentage of our youth

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE struggle with the pervasive impacts of trauma, mental health issues, and substance abuse. We provide people with food, shelter, clothing, medical care, mental health and substance abuse services, legal services, high school equivalency classes, and other educational programs, job training programs. just wanted to ask that the City Council consider all those things, and we have a couple of asks specifically, but first I want to highlight that we are a member of the Coalition for Homeless Youth and that they have some requests which are the following: One, to create 100 DYCD RHY beds for youth ages 21 to 24 years old to go in line with the recent passage of the age increase for RHY beds. Two, to increase 24hour drop-in services to the Bronx and Brooklyn. Three, to add more housing specialists that serve runaway and homeless youth specifically. Four, to align current contract amounts with the real cost to run a program. And specifically, CHNY, Covenant House New York, has a couple of specific requests on our end for funding several items that can bolster our continued efforts to serve RHY to the best of our ability. The first is metro cards. We don't get any assistance right now from the City on metro cards,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE and we give metro cards to approximately 2,000 youth per year. So, because of this we're often running low on metro cards. So that'd be a really big helpful thing for us, if we could get 50,000 dollars, is what we're requesting for assistance for metro cards for our young people. Two, is increase assistance for our legal services. We only have one fulltime attorney right now. It'll be really awesome to have a second one. So, we're requesting some additional funding for that so we can have a second attorney who can handle emergencies and walk-ins and stuff like that. We're also requesting some assistance for our workforce development and antihuman trafficking transitional living programs, and more of this is highlighted in the written testimony which I have submitted. So, thank you so much. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. And I obviously always appreciate everything

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. Thank
you. And I obviously always appreciate everything
that Covenant House does, and there's so much more
that we need to do to shore up the RHY system and
make sure that no young person is sleeping on the
street or sleeping on a subway grate, and while we're
appreciative of everything that this Administration
has done in changing the entire perspective from

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE where we were five years ago where we were fighting to keep the beds that we had, there's still so much more work we need to do. So, these recommendations are excellent, and I think these are all thing that we need to continue to advocate for and continue to press this Administration. As I think over in my head, I got three and a half more years. I got four more budgets to go, and so we need to make sure that we're doing everything that we can at a time when the economy is doing okay and we have -- we're not facing major cuts every year. We'll have the opportunity to shore up our systems and so let's keep doing it. So, I wanted to make sure that we're working with the entire provider community on RHY on that. I want to thank this entire panel. Same goes for everybody. We have this opportunity, progressive council, progressive mayor, you know, and a lot of us are kind of in our last term, so we need to do everything we can to keep this -- keep the momentum going. thank you so much. Thank you for your patience in staying all afternoon and evening. I hope that you guys can all go home and enjoy, you know, your dinners and everything like that. Okay. Thanks all. Thank you. Next panel: Catherine Shugru Dosantos

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 [sp?], Anti-Violence Project; Amy Torres, Chinese-American Planning Council; Danette Rivera from JITA 3 4 Community Outreach; Janice Tausto [sp?] from Writer's 5 Alliance, Fair Fares; and Nancy Rankin, Community 6 Service Society of New York. Okay, thanks Greg. 7 Okay, we can call up a couple more folks for this panel: Chris Widelo, AARP; Jackson Wolfe, Sylvia 8 Rivera Law Project; Stephanie Phillips, Sylvia Rivera 9 Law Project; Sasha Alexander, Sylvia Rivera Law 10 Project. Alright. Whoever wants to begin? 11 12 DANETTE RIVERA: I just want to share 13 this real quickly that this has been an exciting 14 blast from the past since I had experience first-hand 15 homelessness as a youth and adult, and I know very 16 well how it is to sleep in the trains, and not have a place to sleep as a youth and an adult. So, I'm 17 18 like-- this is so crazy. But anyway. Hello, my name is Danette Rivera and I am the Executive Director of 19 20 Jesus is the Answer Community Outreach Center located in Jamaica Queens, and I want to thank you Chairman 21 2.2 Levin for this very important matter that you're 23 giving attention to today. My food pantry is fully operational because of the support from federal, 24

state, and city anti-hunger programs. Just as it is

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE important for people who come to our food pantry to also learn wages and access to public benefits, it is essential that we are able to access resources so that we can continue to be the last line of defense against hunger when those other resources are not enough. Our program relies on federal TEFAP, the Emergency Food Assistance Program, state HPNAP, Hunger Prevention and Nutrition Assistance Program, and City EFAP, Emergency Food Assistance Program, emergency food resources because none of these programs in solitude could possibly provide the adequate amount of food necessary to help alleviate the hunger epidemic that exists in our community. The sad truth is that even at current funding levels, all of these programs combined still do not fully meet the needs that we see every week, which is why I'm here today, to urge New York City to invest more in filling the gap between the resources families have for food and the food resources families need EFAP helps fill this meal gap and instills dignity to visiting emergency food program like ours because it allows us to offer a variety of food on a consistent basis. The Federal TFAP program helps us provide specific foods such as milk, beans, cereal, pasta,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE but each food type is not always available. New York City's EFAP program on the other hand, ensures our ability to provide food such as oil and jelly, pasta sauce, and rice. These foods are considered staples for most people in America, but without the support of EFAP too many of our neighbors would otherwise lack them at the dinner table. Not only is EFAP essential for providing nutrition for physical health and dignity for emotional health and adequate nutrition also helps -- it also helps with cognitive well-being. The food EFAP provides enables people who visit our program to function better at work for their children to focus better in school and be more productive in society as a whole. My organization will not be able to function without adequately funding EFAP, which is a life-changing nutrition assistance program. We reject the cuts proposed by the Mayor. We need to increase EFAP food funding to 22 million in the Fiscal Year 2019 City Budget, because it is a "no-brainer." Let's keep New Yorkers hunger-free with dignity, choice, and opportunity and supply food in our great city and supply people in our great city with the power to America great.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you.

2

1

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'll just "amen."

3

STEPHANIE PHILLIPS: Good evening, Chair

4

5 General Welfare. My name is Stephanie Phillips. I am

Levin and members and staff of the Committee on

6

a transgender woman struggling to find housing and

employment in New York City. I am a member and a

7

8

leader at the Sylvia Rivera Law Project in Manhattan

9

where I organize around the rights of Trans people. I

10

have lived in New York City for two years and been

11

homeless during this entire period. As a low-income

12

person it's been hard to pay my bills. It's had an

13

impact on my mental health, and I am here in support

14

of these trans-specific programs that would help me and the community. The shelter system is dangerous

1516

for transgender people due to violence and

17

discrimination, and that's why we need to be

18

prioritized for housing. Our community needs

19

stronger employment supports that will benefit

20

everyone. I have applied for many jobs and have been

21

1 turned away and never even gotten a phone call. The

22

Back to Work program that I went through was horrible

23

due to discrimination over the bathroom they tried to

24

deny me from, the women's restroom. I greatly

25

appreciate you taking the time to listen to $\ensuremath{\mathsf{me}}$ and

committee on General Welfare With committee on Juvenile Justice 411 other members and leaders in our community. Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Stephanie.

SASHA ALEXANDER: Good evening, Chair and members of the Committee on General Welfare. My name is Sasha Alexander, and I'm the Membership Director at the Sylvia Rivera Law Project, SRLP, in Manhattan where I work to support the leadership and political voice of low-income trans and gender nonconforming folks. For over 15 years, SRLP has provided free quality and affirming legal support that thousands of TGNC New Yorkers facing harassment and discrimination. Our organization has worked with city agencies to strengthen policies and protections for low income TGNC New Yorkers, such as our work with the Department of Homeless Services in 2006 regarding shelter and related services for transgender and intersex clients. For the last three years I have been part of a trans-led coalition that worked with members of the New York City Council to create forums to address the needs of TGNC community-- Andy spoke to this earlier. I stand here today representing hundreds of my trans brothers, sisters, and siblings who attended those forums and are still

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE waiting to have our concerns addressed. I am hopeful that one outcome from our work is a deeper relationship and understanding with New York City Council about what TGNC New Yorkers are currently facing in addition to funding for necessary programs and supports that our community has identified. sure I don't need to tell you all this or anybody in the room, but every day New Yorkers are navigating escalating costs of living and the struggle to make economic ends meet. For transgender nonconforming folks the conditions which we navigate employment and housing are wrought with discrimination and harassment from being mis-gendered, being mis-named, denied access or services, or even threatened with violence. Sometimes more obvious and intentional and other times more embedded. The trauma of experiencing bias while trying to gain access to shelter or employment in New York City for trans people must end. I cannot stress how impactful stronger supports such as designated rental assistance and the expansion of employment programs can positively impact our communities. Many of our member are formerly or currently living in the New York City shelter system and accessing services

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 through HRA. As a service provider who is also transgender, I have seen firsthand how important it 3 is for all New Yorkers to have access to safe housing 4 5 and employment supports. I greatly appreciate you all 6 taking the time to listen to me tonight and the other 7 members of our community, and thank you for my time. 8 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Sasha. SASHA ALEXANDER: Thank you. 9 We have one other member from our 10 organization, is it alright if -- we also had his name 11 called, but--12 13 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Yeah. 14 JACKSON WOLFE: Good evening, Chair 15 Levin, members and staff of the Committee on General 16 Welfare. My name is Jackson Wolfe. I'm a 29-year-old

JACKSON WOLFE: Good evening, Chair

Levin, members and staff of the Committee on General

Welfare. My name is Jackson Wolfe. I'm a 29-year-old

trans man that was raised in New York City. I am a

member at the Sylvia Rivera Law Project in Manhattan.

We organize for the rights of trans people. I

struggled with being homeless for many years because

I was pushed out and didn't have family support.

Excuse me. I currently reside in a DHS shelter, but

in all of the ones I've been in I've experienced

safety, privacy, and discrimination issues,

especially involving the bedrooms and bathrooms. If

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 I was able to access the rental voucher I would be able to get myself out of the shelter system, but 3 4 instead I have experienced harassment and violence. 5 I don't get support from my case managers or shelter 6 directors, and even had to leave the shelter for my 7 own safety and mental health. Employment in general 8 has been a continuous issue for me, especially as I transition. One issue is that I don't have my name 9 10 changed as of yet, therefore, my physical appearance versus my identification does not match. As a 11 12 result, it has raised anxiety and frustration when seeking employment or any kind of services. If there 13 14 was a program that specifically provided the services 15 that I needed, it would make a huge impact, not only 16 on me, but others like me and more to come. 17 want what everyone else does: housing, employment, 18 and to live a normal and stress free life as much as possible. I greatly appreciate you taking the time 19 20 listening to me and other members and leaders in our community. Thank you. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Jackson.

NANCY RANKIN: Excuse me, I have a cough.

Good evening Chairman Levin. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Nancy

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE I'm Vice President for Policy Research and Advocacy for the Community Service Society of New York, a nonprofit organization that's worked for almost 175 years to advance upward mobility for lowincome New Yorkers. I want to start by thanking you and the majority of the Council Members for their letter to the Speaker that was released yesterday advocating for including funds for fair fares in the Council's response to the Mayor's Preliminary Budget. While Mayor de Blasio has embraced half-fare discounts for low-income New Yorkers, he has proposed paying for it through an increase in the millionaires' tax. But why make Fair Fares one of the few important things New York City has the legal authority to actually do on its own, without having to go and beg Albany, right, for their approval, contingent on getting the state to pass a tax increase that virtually everyone but the mayor acknowledges has little chance of being enacted? Most city, major city initiatives from ThriveNYC to affordable housing to adding police officers are paid for out of growing city revenues or by finding savings elsewhere in the budget. We don't require a dedicated new funding stream to pay for them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

in a proposed budget of \$88.67 billion we can find \$200 million to reduce economic inequality by making public transit more affordable. And we think these costs would be partly offset by significant savings. The City's Human Resource Administration currently spends \$48 million on sort of piecemeal distributing free metro cards on ad-hock basis, but that does little to meet the broader transportation needs of the poor. Brooklyn Defender Services estimates that we spend \$51 million on fare evasion prosecutions for primarily poor people with arrests and fines they can't pay. Why not make it easier for them to afford public transit. Fair fares would be a better use of our resources. And most importantly, the reason that we're here today at your committee and not transit is because fair fares is not a subsidy for the MTA; it is a subsidy for low-income New Yorkers, and I think we've heard that in many of the comments people have made throughout the day and evening, that how important metro cards are. In his State of the City message last month, Mayor de Blasio proclaimed his goal of making New York City the fairest big city in America. We agree, but lofty rhetoric alone will not lift up low-income New Yorkers. We urge the Council

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

to include funding to make fair fares— half fares on public transit a reality for low-income New Yorkers in its budget response and a priority in the final negotiations. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. It struck me that if he wants to make it the fairest city in America, fair fare. Make it fair with fair fare. It's very-- it's very easy to remember.

NANCY RANKIN: Well, sorry, and you know, given that we already as a city subsidize half fares for seniors, for the disabled, and for students, we have transit check kinds of benefits that subsidize it for higher income. It does seem that it's not fair that the only group we're not subsidizing are the poor.

to point out, and you know, I've been involved in the Rikers, closing Rikers discussion, because it's-there's-- in my district there's a potential replacement facility that can be expanded, and I didn't know this until recently that the number one people-- the number one reason why people are in Rikers is fare evasion, number one, and that's, you

2.2

committee on General welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 418 know, to make it-- that's so wrong on so many levels. So, anyway.

2.2

NANCY RANKIN: Why not use the money to help them actually afford the fares?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, in the first place, right. I mean, as one of my colleagues pointed out also, when you go through the tolls at the-- if you were to not pay your toll at the Verrazano [sp?] Bridge, you're not-- you don't get arrested for that. Thanks.

NANCY RANKIN: Thank you.

JANICE TOSTO: Good evening Chairman

Levin. My name is Jancie Tosto [sp?]. I am a Bronx resident and a member of the Writers Alliance. I currently serve as a program coordinator for an organization serving homeless and formerly homeless individuals and families, and I'm here today to also call on Mayor de Blasio to fund half price metro cards for low-income New Yorkers or fair fares in this year's budget. Six years ago I found myself unexpectedly unemployed. I lived on my unemployment benefits and it was a nightmare. I did not have any assistance for my metro card. To get to job interviews, medical appointments and other trips, I

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE had to walk long distances on a regular basis. During those lean times I certainly could have benefitted from a temporary fare reduction until I became employed. In my current position I am fortunate enough to be able to afford a monthly metro card, but not everyone can. So, when I encounter people who need to use the transportation system and cannot pay, I voluntarily swipe them in. I even carry a couple of metro cards with two rides on them just in case, and I've had to use those as well. It's a humbling and humiliating experience to ask someone to swipe you in, because most people just blow you off. That's why I'm fighting for fair fares. No one should have to beg to get to where they need to go. I thank you Chairman Levin for your continued support of fair fares, and I ask for the City Council to fight for it during budget negotiations. Mayor de Blasio should fund discount fair fares because no New Yorker should endure the struggle I did six years ago. I believe in transit access for all, including the clients I serve who need opportunities and access to education, training, and other employment resources after experiencing They want economically sustainable

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

homelessness.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 careers for themselves and their families so they 2 don't have to choose between medicine, housing, food, 3 4 or a metro card. New York City can spend a little to help hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers get ahead. 5 6 I appreciate your support for fair fares. Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Janice. So, I want to thank this panel. 8 These are all excellent, excellent priorities that we need to--9 10 from emergency food to transgender services to fair fares. These are all the things that we should be--11 12 transgender nonconforming and fair fares-- these are all the things we should be focusing on in the City 13 14 Council. So, I will certainly be talking about this 15 in the coming months as part of our budget. I'm going 16 to be talking about this with our Speaker, Corey Johnson, who I know has been supportive of all these 17 18 issues for years, and so let's continue to make this all a priority and hold everybody accountable. 19 20 let's do it. Thank you all. Thanks. Okay, last panel: Jillani England [sp?]; Olivia Dana [sp?], 21 2.2 Staten Island Youth Justice Center; Brandy Mathis 23 [sp?], Carnegie Hall; and Towak Komatsu [sp?]. 24 might officially be our longest hearing every. I'm

not quite sure. I have to go check the record, but

committee on General welfare with committee on juvenile justice 421
we're approaching hour nine, I believe. I think.
Hour ten? No, hour nine. Whoever wants to begin?
GILLANI ENGLAND: Hi, good morning, good

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

afternoon, good evening. I'm Gillani England. the Co-founder and Co-Executive Director of Good Call. Good Call is a completely free hotline in case of arrest staffed by Bronx Defenders and Legal Aid Society operating right now in the Bronx. been active in the Bronx for two years. Since we have been active, we have connected over 500 people to legal support, have a hold time of under a minute, and have a user satisfaction rate of over 90 percent. All of this was done with under 200,000 dollars. believe City Council members make like 148? So, what we're doing here, we really want to bring citywide. We have been able to connect folks to legal support and mitigate problems that folks would have had under different circumstances. I have Malik here who has actually utilized our hotline, and he can tell you about his experience.

MALIK REEVES: Good evening Council. My name is Malik Reeves. I am from Bronx, New York. One day, late night come home from work. I had my uniform on, on my way home. I was with a friend. I swiped my

```
COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE
card going through. It says, "See agent." Now, late
at this time there's no assistant at the booth, so
instead of asking for a swipe and getting blown off
like the other lady said, like you know, you tend to
every day, I go through the gate and I'm going home.
Two undercover detectives approach me, ask me for my
     I tried to explain why. They was not hearing
it.
     I complied. Gave them my ID. I got arrested
and went to 14<sup>th</sup> Street Union Square Precinct.
there on I have no phone, no contact information, but
I did have the information of Good Call.
called the system, and I got an attorney right away.
I didn't wait. She picked up the phone right away.
From then on the perspective of the detectives
changed. I was treated differently than my prior
situations going through the system and it was a
faster pace. And from then on I was even
accommodated with breakfast on my way to the
courthouse. So, that was kind of different for me.
So, with my experience, I want everybody to have the
same experience and utilize this system and make it
citywide.
          Thank you.
```

GILLANI ENGLIN: So, we are asking City

Council for 500,000 dollars so that we can move Good

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE Call from being in the Bronx to support all five boroughs in New York City. We started a petition a couple of days ago. We have about 1,000 names here, and 20 community organizations that support our work. What we need is City Council to act on this. We talk about this big fair city. We talk about bail reform and all these things. We have to examine the entry ways of how folks are ending up in pre-trial detention. Many times folks are making statements to police without legal representation leading to folks copping pleas for things they didn't do and this is actually changing their lives where folks are losing their jobs, having their children taken away, all because of this lack of information and this lack of representation. So, I ask you as we sit here, please support Good Call and our efforts to expand to all five boroughs in New York City. We know that New York City wants to be a big fair city. We've had tragedies in the past such as Kalif Browder [sp?]. We don't want that to happen again, and I would be livid if I have to sit in this seat and talk to you guys again about this if we've had something happen and it could have been prevented. So, I ask that-we have our proposal and we're going to give our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on General welfare with committee on Juvenile Justice 424 petition to Speaker Johnson, but we ask you guys seriously to look into Good Call and helping us expand to all five boroughs in New York City. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Excellent. First time hearing the organization. It sounds amazing, so I'll make sure that-- get the word around.

OLIVIA DANA: Good evening. My name is
Olivia Dana. I am the Project Director of the Staten
Island Justice Center which is a project of the
Center for Court Innovation. Thank you for the
opportunity to speak tonight. I am here to urge the
City Council to support the Center for Court
Innovation as it seeks to strengthen and expand
alternatives to incarceration, youth diversion, and
access to justice programs through one million
dollars in support from the City Council in Fiscal
Year 2019. This includes a 500,000 dollar
continuation of funding for ongoing operations. It
also includes a 500,000 dollar enhancement which will
serve the goal of preparing the Center's youth
diversion programs for Raise the Age, which is

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE starting on October 1st, 2018. Today's written submission includes a summary and supporting materials that reflect this request. Support from the Council is crucial to the continuation of our alternative to incarceration programs throughout the five boroughs. Our programs which include the Red Hook Community Justice Center, Brooklyn Justice Initiatives, Midtown Community Court, Bronx Community Solutions, Queens Youth Justice Center, and the Staten Island Justice Center have been documented by independent evaluators to improve safety, reduce incarceration, and enhance public trust in government. We work with tens of thousands of New Yorkers each year at these project sites, and the vast majority of the people we serve are LGBTQ youth, immigrants, low-income folks, or people of color. Through our ongoing partnership with the City Council, we've worked to reduce incarceration and have made New York City neighborhoods safer for all. The Center is also committed to improving outcomes for young people impacted by the justice system. With expanded support from the Council, the Center's Youth Diversion programs will be a vehicle for the successful implementation of Raise the Age.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE Center's diversion programs in Manhattan, Brooklyn, Queens, and Staten Island currently serves thousands of young people each year through counseling, academic support, and workforce development. As an example of the success of this work, in 2017 the Staten Island Justice Center worked with 210 young people facing charges in criminal court and diverted them from the justice system. Our compliance rate for these participants was 84 percent. Support from the Council will enable center programs to serve and estimated 30 percent more youth by providing meaningful off-ramps to detention where possible. Without expansion funding we may struggle to accommodate the expected influx of alternative to detention cases due to Raise the Age. Additionally, 16 to 17-year-olds have a unique set of needs that will require different programming than our current youth population. This requires additional staffing, training, and program materials. The City Council's support has been invaluable to the success of the Center for Court Innovation. The Center looks forward to continuing to work with the Council to reduce incarceration and to enhance youth justice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 427

We respectfully urge you to continue to support our

work, and I thank you for the opportunity to speak.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you so much, and thanks for the work that CCI does throughout our city and incredibly vital and essential component to everything that we're trying to do throughout the city, and I think collectively, so thank you.

TOWAKI KOMATSU: Hi, Mr. Levin. Towaki Komatsu. I've testified at your hearings previously. To begin my testimony, let me share with you and the remaining people in this room a conversation I had with Steven Banks on December 14th at the public Town Hall meeting that the Mayor had in Brooklyn. I also asked your staff to-- for an opportunity to test out this laptop before the start of today's hearing, but I didn't get that, so I don't know if this is going to work properly, but anyway, I'll try. Okay, it's not working. How do you unmute it? I'm clicking, but it's not-- nothing's coming. Let's see. You want to figure it -- [off mic] Okay, it's ready. Sorry about that. Let me just play off my laptop. I'll take the USB [inaudible] out. is what happens when you don't get to pretest the equipment that's made available. But bottom line is,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 when Mr. Banks was here earlier today he was 2 testifying to the effect that about security issues 3 in the shelters. The conversation I had with him on 4 December 14th was essentially him telling me face-to-5 face that HRA is not responsible for crime. so, if I 6 7 got 15 punches to my left temple on-- what do you call it-- July 2nd, 2016 in this temporary shelter 8 I'm in, and that was after an attempted assault upon 9 me on May 12th of 2016 that was reported to HRA. 10 question is, if you're chairman of this committee and 11 12 you're supposed to have oversight of HRA, if this was shared with HRA and it's providers, how come I got 13 those 15 punches to my left temple about less than 14 15 two months after. This guy who is like 6'3", now 16 working for the Department of Education before many of your kids is essentially a time bomb waiting to go 17 18 off again against a kid who might not survive 15 punches to their left temple like I did, and a 19 20 concussion to boot. So, also you and I have had a conversation before, like, right outside this room--21 2.2 sorry, but do you mind if I continue? 23 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure.

an earlier committee hearing today about HRA

TOWAKI KOMATSU:

24

25

Thanks. I testified at

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 1 2 terminating its business with Entity Data. That same company that's still subjecting me to wage theft 3 dating back five years. So, tomorrow, it's my intent 4 5 to walk into Supreme Court to have a judge issue a binding order to compel all city agencies to stop 6 that business. I've asked about that. I've requested 7 that previously. I've been denied. So, instead of 8 asking permission any further from the Mayor's 9 Administration or City Council Members, I'm actually 10 going to have a judge issue an order to that effect. 11 12 Sorry, I just have to find that portion of the audio. 13 I don't mean to waste your time. 14 [audio demonstration] 15 STEVEN BANKS RECORDING: We are not 16 responsible for crime. If you would like to speak to a police inspector right now, I'm happy to have you 17 talk to him. 18 TOWAKI KOMATSU RECORDING: That's not the 19 issue. The issue I told HRA March 16th--20 STEVEN BANKS RECORDING: [interposing] 21 2.2 Okay. 23 TOWAKI KOMATSU RECORDING: but there was a

24

bait and switch.

2 STEVEN BANKS RECORDING: You need to move

3 on. There's a limit--

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

TOWAKI KOMATSU RECORDING: [interposing] We'll see each other in court. That's it.

TOWAKI KOMATSU: Let me rewind that a bit to put this in the proper context.

TOWAKI KOMATSU RECORDING: Handicap because of a stroke, I would not be wasting my time with you if I didn't absolutely need to, but I learned yesterday someone was assaulted in my building. He was a witness to my assault. He left the building. He's now in like Albany, and so people are getting assaulted in that building.

STEVEN BANKS RECORDING: Mr. Komatsu, call the police. The police are here--

TOWAKI KOMATSU: [interposing] Do you mean Deputy Inspector [inaudible] defending a federal civil rights lawsuit?

STEVEN BANKS RECORDING: The police Department is responsible for dealing with crime. We are not responsible for a crime. If you would like to speak to a police inspector right now, I'm happy to have you talk to him.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 431

TOWAKI KOMATSU RECORDING: That's not the issue. The issue is I told--

[end of recording]

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TOWAKI KOMATSU: So, the point is who is in charge of providing oversight of HRA when [inaudible] this defendant in this other federal civil rights lawsuit in Brooklyn, same guy that was shoving people like Ydanis Rodriguez around, Jumaane Williams around in January during that protest, this federal lawsuit was filed against the guy who since got off that strategic response squad. So, point is, before I met Mr. Redman on April 27th in Long Island City last year, this other guy who was riding his bike to go to a protest back in 2012 ran into him. He was put in jail for 19 hours. He was never charged, and now in June Redman has to stand trial for violating Fourth Amendment Rights. So, back in April of last year when I tried going to that first public Town Hall meeting I wasn't doing it for myself I was trying to get legal help for this 66-year-old lady who I've never met. I actually beat her slumlord in court without legal counsel. So, I have a sworn affidavit from that slumlord confirming they knew about a defective elevator for over a year and a

half and didn't do a darn thing about it. So, the point is if I still need that legal counsel the first time I testify here was on February 3rd of 2016 when you guys voted yourself that massive pay raise. the time I told you guys that you didn't deserve it because you had-- you guys hadn't essentially done squat, unfortunately, if I had took 15 punches to my left temple, if I reported that attempted assault on May 12th and no one took corrective action, I have better things to do. I mean, if I'm blacklisted from employment opportunities with city agencies, if I'm blacklisted by the same company that still subjects me to wage theft, at what point is someone going to step up to the plate and go to bat for a veteran who is sitting in front of you right now? CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You know, I appreciate the testimony. This is -- obviously we've spoken before, and we can always continue to--TOWAKI KOMATSU: [interposing] Oh, one other thing. I forgot to tell you, the building I'm living in the Bronx, it's still not registered with HPD. It hasn't' been registered with HPD since

September 1st. The landlord pulled a bait and switch

on-- with regards to everybody who lives in that

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

building, meaning if you're shopping for a two-door car and the dealer gives you a four-door car, that's entirely different. That same landlord is going to have a fundraiser at the Grand Hyatt on I think May 10^{th} while the CEO is making 235,000 dollars a year. So the question is, if HRA gave Urban Pathways tax payer cash to do what it's supposed to do and it hasn't, it's coming out of your wallet. It's coming out of all these people's wallets. So, shouldn't you guys take an interest about how your cash is being

2.2

spent?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The oversight of providers is an important component to the entire system. Specifics, we can, you know, continue to talk outside of the hearing.

TOWAKI KOMATSU: I gave it to you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much for the testimony. I want to thank this entire panel, and I think that there's-- we continue to need to keep our eye on the ball and prioritize these programs that evolve put forward. I will say that the public testimony that we've heard today has given me a lot of inspiration in seeing the new and innovative programs, the programs that are, you know,

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE weren't around when I first started. Good Call wasn't around when I first started, and I find it really exciting to be a part of what's happening in this city today, and really inspired by all the good work that you all are doing on the ground, not always too great a claim, but essential work in our city, and so I want to thank you all. I want to thank everybody that's stayed the entire hearing. to thank everybody, members of the Administration who are here, and thank you for staying for the entire public testimony. But again, the work that you've all done is phenomenal. I can't thank you enough. have our work cut out for us over the next few months with regard to this City's budget and how it serves the people in New York who need it most. So, I want to thank amazing members of this committee staff who have put in so many hours in preparing for this I want to thank you all. You've done hearing. amazing work, and I want to thank our Sergeants at Arms who have done a phenomenal job with this hearing and for all of the Council budget hearings. to thank all of you so much for everything that you've done here at this hearing. So, with that, at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 435 7:42 p.m., after nine hours and 12 minutes, this hearing is adjourned. [gavel]

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE WITH COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 436

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date _____April 30, 2018