CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

Jointly with

SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET

March 15, 2018 Start: 10:04 a.m. Recess: 3:07 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Keith Powers

Chairperson

Vanessa L. Gibson

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alicka Ampry-Samuel Robert F. Holden Rory I. Lancman Carlina Rivera Barry S. Garodnick

Steven Matteo

Helen K. Rosenthal

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ana Bermudez

Commissioner of NYC Department of Probation

Michael Forte

Deputy Commissioner of Administration at DOP

Gineen Gray

Deputy Commissioner of Juvenile Operations at DOP

Sharun Goodwin

Deputy Commissioner of Adult Operations at DOP

Wayne McKenzie

General Counsel at DOP

Cynthia Brann

Commissioner of NYC Department of Corrections

Jeff Thamkittikasem

Chief of Staff at DOC

Patricia Lyons

Assistant Commissioner at DOC

Hazel Jennings

Chief of Department at DOC

Angel Villalona

First Deputy Commissioner at DOC

Martha King

Executive Director of Board of Corrections

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Robert Cohen
Board of Corrections Appointee

Elias Husamudeen President of Correction Officers Benevolent Association

Angel Castro
Manhattan Borough Trustee for Correction Union

Natalie Reyes Center for Court Innovation

Kate Rubin Youth Represent

Tiffany Bryant Public Theater

Jelani Anglin Good Call

Malik Reeves Good Call

Jennifer Parish Urban Justice Center

Kelly Grace Price
Jails Action Coalition

Towaki Komatsu

	4

1 2 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [gavel] Good 3 morning. My name is Keith Powers. I'm Chair of the 4 Criminal Justice Committee. Thank you all for being 5 here today for what I say is our version of March 6 Madness, which is not maybe quite as exciting and 7 maybe less upsets. But I'm proud to be appointed 8 recently the Chair of the Committee. As you know, this Committee has big challenges ahead, including 10 the future of Rikers Island and the City's criminal justice reform efforts. I want to thank the Speaker, 11 12 Corey Johnson, for his confidence in my ability to 13 steer this committee. Today, we'll be reviewing the 14 Fiscal 2019 Preliminary Budget and the Fiscal 2018 15 Preliminary Mayor's Management Report for the 16 Department of Probation, the Department of 17 Correction, and the Board of Correction. We will 18 begin with the Department of Probation. I want to 19 thank Commissioner Bermudez for being here and for the work that she's doing on behalf of the City as 20 21 well as her team. The Department's Fiscal 2019 22 Preliminary Budget totals 101.4 million dollars, a 23 decrease of approximately two percent when compared 24 to the Fiscal 2018 Adopted Budget. The Department's

budget supports a budgeted headcount of approximately

```
COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 6
1,058 personnel.
                  The Fiscal 2018 Budget does not
include funding for any new initiatives.
concerned that the Department budget does not include
new funding, considering a possible lack of state
funding for Raise the Age and the Administration's
huge task and its roadmap for closing Rikers Island.
DOP, in my view, is an essential part of the City's
criminal justice system, so I'm interested in how the
Council can be a partner in making sure the
Department's budget needs are met. The Committee
looks forward to learning more about the Department's
initiatives, the Department's ongoing efforts to
create programs that reduce recidivism, budget
priorities for Fiscal 2019, and the Department's role
in the City's overall criminal justice reform
efforts. I also want to make sure-- first, let me
recognize that we've been joined by Council Member
Alicka Apmry-Samuel and Council Member Bob Holden who
are both members of the Committee. I thank them for
being here. I also want to make sure that we thank
our wonderful committee staff for their had work,
Financial Analyst for the Committee Jen Lee [sp?],
Unit Head Aisha Wright [sp?], Committee Counsels
Brian Crow [sp?] and Josh Kingsley [sp?], Policy
```

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 7 1 Analyst Will Hongak [sp?], and my Chief of Staff 2 Emily Walsh, and my Legislative Director Abigail 3 Bessler for their work on this as well. Before we 4 5 get to hear from your testimony we have to swear all of you in. So, we're going to go ahead and do that. 6 UNIDENTIFIED: Please, if you're 7 testifying please raise your right hand and -- do you 8 affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing 9 but the truth in your testimony before this committee 10 and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? 11 12 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. 13 Commissioner, when you're ready. 14 COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Good morning 15 Chair Powers and members of the Committee. I'm Ana 16 Bermudez, Commissioner for the New York City Department of Probation, and I'm here today with my 17 18 cabinet, Deputy Commissioners Sharun Goodwin, Gineen Gray, and Michael Forte, and General Counsel Wayne 19 20 McKenzie. Thank you for the opportunity to testify about the important work of the Department of 21 2.2 Probation and its Preliminary Fiscal Year 2019 23 I first want to take a moment to frame probation's unique position in the criminal and 24

juvenile justice systems, which is in the middle of

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 8 the continuum of agencies that promote community safety. So, in the one hand we have police, right, and we know they're not social workers and they shouldn't be. On the other hand we have CBOs, community-based organizations that provide services, but they don't have enforcement powers. So, we sit in the middle essentially providing both, and many times in collaboration with NYPD and/or communitybased organizations. Now, many people confuse probation and parole, right? So, while together they make up what is called community corrections, parole is really a status and probation is a sentence, and the status comes out of the sentence of incarceration, of prison, right? So, parole, you've been prison and now you're returning home under state supervision. For probation, you stay home under the City's Department of Probation's supervision. So, our job is basically to safely supervise people in their own communities while helping them change the behavior that will prevent incarceration. basically, then, we have multiple roles, right? be comprehensive about achieving those justice outcomes, we need to leverage both risk management and risk reduction. Risk management being a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 9 monitoring intensity, if you will, and risk reduction is getting people to partake in opportunities and programs that get people to change. That is the combination that we know works in creating the individual accountability needed and the behavior change needed for someone to stay out -- get out and stay out of the juvenile and criminal justice systems. So, ultimately, that's the critical role here of our department, keeping youth and adults out of prison or jail, juveniles out of placement, and more people in their communities through that balance of structure and support. And this is no small task, as on any given day we have under our care about 21,000 people which is more than double the City's daily jail population. And we do all this in and within the communities that people on probation call home. Through the work of our incredible staff and our government and community partners, we're essentially putting community, the word community and the action of community back in to Community Corrections. This holistic approach helps to ensure that people on probation are capable of the behavior change necessary to address the factors that initially brought them to us as they work towards

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 10 creating what we refer to as their "new now." New York City entered 2018 as the safest and least incarcerative [sic] big city in the nation. As the City's largest alternative to incarceration, last year Probation provided intake, investigation, and supervision services for 55,444 cases and directly supervised 27,000 adults and 2,258 juveniles. intelligence unit completed a total of 2,352 field enforcement actions which include the recovery of illegal guns and drugs, gang-related investigations, DUI field visit checks, failure to report home visits, bench warrant enforcement actions, as well as having assessed thousands of NYPD domestic incident reports and transported prisoners to and from jurisdictions, you know, from nearby Connecticut all the way to Seattle. Just on Tuesday, in fact, in conjunction with NYPD's anti-crime team intel conducted a field enforcement action that resulted in the recovery of 100,000 worth of heroin, 230,000 dollars in cash and other drug paraphernalia. Fiscal Year 2019 the Department of Probation has a Preliminary Budget of 101.4 million dollars as compared to our Fiscal Year 2018 Adopted Budget of 103.4 million dollars. When compared to our current

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 11 budget of 108.9 million dollars, the Fiscal Year 19 Preliminary Budget of 101.4 million dollars is seven million or 7.4 percent less, just primarily attributable to intercity funding that generally comes in, is added to our budget post-adoption. Of the 101.4 million dollars allocated to our Preliminary Budget, 73 percent or 74 million is allocated to personnel services and 27 percent or 27.3 million dollars is allocated to other than personnel services; 80.2 million dollars are city tax levy funds, 14.9 million dollars are state funds, 6.3 million dollars are intercity funds, and 36,000 dollars are federal grant funds. State funding, which previously reimbursed almost half of local probation costs, now provides only 14.6 percent of our operating costs. Our budget priorities reflect critical investments in meeting the unique needs of people on probation, especially our young people, their families and the larger communities they call So, this morning, I want to highlight three important areas that help us do that: Credible Messengers, Raise the Age, and our Neighborhood Opportunity Networks, also known as NEONs, which many of you have visited in the past. In our work it is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 12 not only the services and resources that we offer, but it's often how and by whom they're delivered that really makes a difference. In that sense, Credible Messengers are, you know, people whose life experiences including their own criminal and juvenile justice involvement help them to engage justice involved or disconnected young people and their families in ways that other system holders alone As formerly system-involved individuals are often the most willing and best able to address some of the toughest challenges facing their own communities, we utilize Credible Messengers in key areas of our work, effectively leveraging these trusted relationships to transform communities. Arches Transformative Mentoring for 16 to 24-yearolds on probation, which relies on Credible Messenger mentors is now a proven success. As you know, the recently released independent evaluation of Arches conducted by the Urban Institute found that one year after beginning probation Arches' participants felony reconviction rates are 69 percent lower and two years after remain 57 percent lower compared to those not in the program. You know, any expert will tell you these results are simply unprecedented. The positive

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 13 impact was especially high among 16 and 17-year-old Arches participants. So, with Raise the Age beginning this fall a similar Credible Messenger mentoring opportunity for our new Family Court population will be crucial to ensuring that the legislation accomplishes its and our goals, preventing young people from further justice system involvement. For Isabella, Arches did just that. her own words she said, "When you come here you feel free to speak. They take time to talk and listen and build a relationship. I realized I was changing when they asked questions and I knew positive things to do instead of arguing or fighting. Arches saved my life. If not for them, I would be in jail." Arches, Credible Messenger Mentors run group session using a cognitive behavioral intervention and are available 24/7 for one on one support. Working with the probation officers, mentors help young people make better and safer decisions, pursue their goals, repair relationships with family and community, and connect to educational, work readiness and employment opportunities. The Arches program changes the futures of youth at high risk for continued justice involvement from merely surviving to thriving while

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 14 also increasing safety for the participants and their communities. To address the needs of our younger probation clients and their families we also use Credible Messengers as parent coaches in our Family Court Parent Support Program. Parent coaches whose own children have been justice involved provide individual support to parents, quardians and families who are so often confused, frustrated, overwhelmed in navigating the juvenile justice system. So far, we have served over 1,000 families and as a result seen increased parental understanding of the system, and more importantly, a reduction in placement outcomes as parent coaches and families work together to find community-based options to support their children. want to thank you again, Chair Powers and the members of this committee, for partnering with us on the Arches announcement last month. Since the model is scalable and adaptable, it has already been replicated in targeted New York City Housing Authority developments in the form of Next STEPS, which stands for Striving for Engagement and Peaceful Solutions, as well in Washington, D.C. We've also had an influx of requests from other jurisdictions such as Los Angeles, San Diego, Seattle, and Detroit

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 15 to name a few, asking that we teach them how to replicate the Credible Messenger model. inspired us to expand existing partnerships with the Bronx-based nonprofit Community Connections for Youth and the Silberman School of Social Work at Hunter College CUNY to create the Credible Messenger Justice Center, the CMJC. The CMJC has many functions. trainer and technical assistance provider, a clearing house and convener and as a model site learning laboratory for interested jurisdictions nationwide. CMJC is also committed to ensuring that Credible Messengers have personal and professional supports they need to thrive in their current positions and grow beyond. To that end, in partnership with CUNY's Murphy Institute for Worker Education, we will begin offering a 16-credit certificate program in community leadership for Credible Messengers that wish to pursue their higher educational -- that want to pursue higher educational opportunities. I had a promising meeting on this with Council Member Barron earlier this week and would like to invite the partnership of this committee and the Higher Education Committee on the establishment of Council-funded scholarships for The Arches intervention parent Credible Messengers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 16 coaches and Credible Messengers are what works in criminal justice. The evaluation shows that not only do community-based approaches to engaging justiceinvolved young people work, but that connecting young people at high risk of justice system involvement to Credible Messenger mentors strengthens communities and helps to keep people out of prison and jail. Informed by our experience with this age group in Arches, two years ago we launched Anyone Can Excel, or ACE, which is a supervision model tailored specifically for young people ages 16 to 24. Prior to ACE, our emerging adults made up approximately one-third of our caseloads, but disproportionately represented almost half of our re-arrests. And yet, in the Department we had them in caseloads combined with 45-year-olds, 55-year-olds, even though their needs and their developmental stage was totally different. Since starting the model, we've added 2,629 clients to ACE and preliminary data shows that those being supervised in ACE are on-track for better outcomes than those who started probation before the model began. Now, a key component of ACE that we recently expanded agency-wide is an individualized case planning process known as the Individual Action

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 17 1 2 Plan, or IAP. So, the IAP serves as the accountability and behavior change guide to 3 4 successfully complete each person's probation 5 sentence. You've probably heard of precision 6 policing, or the IAP lets us do precision and one-7 size-fits-one probation, which is really important to get people out of the system. Our Department 8 presented on the IAP earlier this year at the 9 American Probation and Parole Association Winter 10 Institute, and the interest was incredible across the 11 12 country and in part was that those connections that the IAP makes to those individual aspects of the 13 14 client, the probation client given their risk 15 factors. Furthering our efforts towards more age 16 appropriate justice interventions, last year, the state legislature raised the age of criminal 17 18 responsibility, as you all know. Beginning -- so, beginning on October 1st, most-- of this year-- most 19 20 16-year-olds who would have previously have been adjudicated in adult or criminal court will now fall 21 2.2 under Family Court jurisdiction. Raise the Age will 23 be phased in over two years with 17-year-olds included beginning in October of 2019. This is a 24 25 very welcomed change, one that I certainly lobbied

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 18 for with other city officials and advocates such as Credible Messenger Lancman who was here before and who has a lot of experience on this issue, and that's because research shows that emerging adults do not yet have the same decision-making capacity and impulse control as full-fledged adults, and our justice system must account for that in its sentencing and treatment, basically age appropriate criminal justice and juvenile justice. The Raise the Age will require us to expand our current operations. We welcome the opportunity to prevent even more youth from having additional or even more serious involvement in the justice system. Raise the Age will allow us to build on the robust juvenile services continuum we have already developed as well as expand some of our excellent resources, including transformative mentoring and parent coaches. Another ground-breaking and effective way that we put the community back into community corrections has been through opening neighborhood opportunity -- I mean, Neighborhood Opportunity Networks, sorry, our neighborhood probation offices in the seven communities where there are significant number of people on probation, the South Bronx, Harlem,

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 19 Jamaica, Bed-Stuy, Brownsville, East New York, and Northern Staten Island. This allows us to engage our NEON population within walking distance of where they live, and because NEONs are partnered with other nonprofits and community-based organizations in those neighborhoods, they help connect the social capital of that community to people on probation. We created the NEON model because research supports the connection between expanded community programming and reduced incarceration. When you look across data from 264 cities over a 20-year period, it is estimated that for every 10 additional organizations focusing on reducing crime and improving community life, there is a nine percent reduction in the murder rate, a six percent reduction in the violent crime rate, and a four percent reduction in the property crime rate. That is why our NEONs are full-service. People on probation can meet with their probation officer, and along with other members of the community, can access services such as enrolling in IDNYC and health insurance or further their education through high school equivalency classes or OSHA certifications. All this in addition to our signature initiatives, our NEON Nutrition Kitchens,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 20 NEON Clothing Closets, NEON Sports, and NEON Arts. Open to people on probation and other community residents our nutrition kitchens, NEON Nutrition Kitchens, fed roughly 80,000 people last year, and served approximately 200,000 people citywide since first opening. NEON Clothing Closets ensure that people have access to proper attire for job interviews, graduations and other life milestones. We are in our third year of NEON Sports and are partnering with the Parks Department and other local organizations to expand access to the benefits that sports leagues provide such as discipline, team building and other interpersonal skills. Finally, NEON Arts, our public/private partnership with Carnegie Hall has invested over 1.4 million dollars of arts and cultural programming in underserved neighborhoods. NEON Arts has partnered with 38 community arts organizations, awarded 93 grants to 44 unique recipients and held community events reaching over 7,000 people citywide. Council Member Ampry-Samuel, you got to experience NEON Arts at the Brownsville NEON last fall when you attended our free verse open-mic, which is now even broader in the City, the free-verse. So, be glad that you did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 21 Some of the free-verse poets just performed at Carnegie Hall's Main Stage this past Sunday. It was an incredible experience. Though not traditionally considered core probation work, we have seen firsthand the positive effects that NEON Arts has had on our clients and their communities. Data confirms this, the social impact of the art study by the University of Pennsylvania shows that increasing access to arts and culture in underserved New York City communities had many positive outcomes, particularly an 18 percent decrease in the serious To join us in expanding this effort we crime rate. ask for your support of Carnegie Hall's NEON Arts speaker funding request so that this program can have even more impact on New York City neighborhoods. NEON Arts is such an effective model for achieving cultural and social well-being in New York City that it has no achieved national recognition in our field as well. This past January, at the same American Probation and Parole Association meeting, we received the 2017 Excellence in Community Crime Prevention Award for the cutting-edge use of arts in community corrections. So, as you've heard, probation is singular in its role of providing community-based

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 22 accountability and support for those who otherwise would be incarcerated, keeping them in their communities where they can thrive. A great example of this is Linden [sp?], I'll leave you with this, with Linden's story, who is a former client and Arches participant and who like many people on probation had a path to success that was anything but straightforward. But it was through participating in Arches and NEON Arts that Linden began to thrive and connect with some of DOP's other programs and even landed a job with one of our partners, the animation project or TAP. He excelled there to the point that when we launched the Made in New York Animation Project, a partnership with TAP and the Mayor's Office of Media and Entertainment, he became the program's fulltime recruiter. From designing and implementing new outreach strategies to recruiting youth from different NEON neighborhoods across the five boroughs, Linden has been so successful at TAP that he was promoted to supervisor. As Linden puts it himself, "Thanks to the DOP and TAP I have a dream job that I love." So, now that we call a "new now." That's the essence of our work, creating a new now for people on probation in a one-size-fits-one

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

approach so that we're successful in our mission of strengthening communities and changing lives. Thank you for the opportunity to testify about the critical work performed by the committed staff of this department. We are pleased to answer any questions that you have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you and thank you for the testimony, a lot to unpack there, but congratulations on your award and success of Arches and other programs we'll talk about momentarily. want to note we're also joined by Council Member Carlina Rivera, Council Member Lancman's here, returning, and I don't know if he's still here, but I did see our former Comptroller John Liu was in-somewhere in the crowd as well, so welcome to all. Just as a reminder for folks, this is the Criminal Justice Budget Hearing. We're hearing Department of Probations, Department of Correction is after this, and then the Board of Correction, and then we'll hear from public testimony as well. If you want to sign up to testify you can fill out a slip over here at the desk, and we'll be hearing you after the Board of Corrections. Thank you for being here. So, I wanted to talk about a lot of the pieces of testimony, but

just take a step back and thank you for that, and just broader budget stuff here, you know, any—— I talked about it early, I mean, some of the success that you talked about seem like programs that deserve our attention and the City's recognition, and I'd like to—— I do, I think, share—— well, first off all, thank you for sharing for everybody, always clarification on the role of Department of Probation, because I do sense that there is sometimes a lack of sort of clarity there, but just generally any new needs from the Department that you had requested to OMB, but didn't receive funding for in this Preliminary Budget or anything you're seeking funding for in the budget new?

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Other than what I mentioned in the testimony, no, we have right now we have— we're in a good position to move forward with Raise the Age and a number of other initiatives, and Arches has been baselined in our budget, so that's a stable source of—

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it, thank you.

And with your budget, in the Preliminary Budget was

101.4 million and a headcount of 1,058. Do you feel

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 25 1 2 like you're adequately funded to carry out your 3 duties in the coming year? COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: AT the moment, we 4 5 That doesn't mean that we're not going to have are. other needs. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: sure. 8 COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: As Raise the Age is implemented, we're going to see a lot of where 9 that shakes out, but right now we believe we have the 10 capacity to start implementing, and then we'll take 11 12 it from there. 13 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And I have it for a 14 question later, but why don't we just talk about it 15 then right now with Raise the Age. What is the 16 impact on it? Are there any new programs, 17 initiatives that are going to be improved or enhanced 18 in the future as that comes on line? COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Right, so 19 20 everything we have is just going to be expanded, you know, the capacity--21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [interposing] Right. 23 COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: it needs to be expanded. Right now, because juvenile arrests have 24

gone down significantly we're in a position where we

can grow within what we have. So, right now, there is no -- we're not seeing a particular need yet.

We're going to have to as young people come in assess whether the programs and that we have the continuum that we have, we'll be able to serve the increased capacity.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And do you see if it's-- do you see if it's-- since it starts in-- it starts next year, October 28.

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: It starts October 2018.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: 2018, do you see a fiscal impact this year to you that you're asking for funding for or you need funding for?

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: It's very-- the funding for this is complicated for us, because there is a state component to this, and the statute anticipates full funding for probation work. So, we're not right now in a position to say one way or another how much if any funding is needed, extra funding is needed.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay. I think we're going to come back to that. Just back on sort of broader questions around budget, one of the things

```
COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 27
1
2
    that we had noticed there wasn't, I think, very
    broken-out budgets for adult supervision
3
4
     investigation versus juvenile supervision
5
     investigation. I mean, I think you did talk about
6
    the critical population around 16 and 17 up to 24.
7
    Do you guys have separate information about the
    different budgets for both the adults and then the
8
    juvenile population?
9
10
                COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: We can provide
     that for you.
11
12
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: You can provide that.
                COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ:
                                         Yeah.
13
14
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: So, we'll follow up.
15
    Okay, thank you. So, more generally, Arches, you
16
    just had an independent study for successful by I
    think in a third-party metric, and I applauded you
17
18
     for that, and I was happy to join you and you
     announced it. I got to say congratulations to you for
19
     that, but more generally, how do you study the
20
     effectiveness of the program since you're funding and
21
2.2
    we're funding? And I assume not everyone has a third
23
    party doing an assessment tool of it. So, how do
24
    you -- how ae you measuring your success on the
```

25

different programs that you--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Z 1

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: So, evaluation in our field are really difficult because -- or they take time for the impact, the type of impact evaluation we did in Arches, right? Because sentences are long, right? You know, probation, if you're on felony probation you're with us for five years, and you know, and then some variation of that. So what we do is we try to look at what we call lead indicators, both attendance. We look at the various -- you know, each of the programs that we contract with have outcome measures that they're supposed to follow as well. So, then whether they're meeting their contract, their outcomes per the contracts. You know, we do some also focus group, I guess is what you would call, with the participants to make sure that it's meeting, the programs are meeting their needs, and so we use a variety of essentially realtime assessments, but it's not a-- it could never reach an impact evaluation.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And would—

theoretically, if you wanted to do more objective

study, I mean, some subject and some objective there—

are you able to do that with funding or would you

seek money to do that. I mean, I'm always interested

in looking at the actual outcomes, and with the study you did I think it was remarkable the outcomes you did. I think the question is, you know, are there ways that you would seek to do more in terms of being able to value your programs, as you know that you guys are sort of a place, an alternative to our incarceration?

2.2

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Right. So, a lot of the programs we have actually have been done in partnership with New York Opportunity, Center for Economic Opportunity in the City, and many of them include an ongoing evaluation. They're not the same as impact evaluations necessarily, but there is a component to that, and we have mechanisms by which to do it. So, right now we haven't needed any extra funding for assessing our programs.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. And with the-- thank you for that. And with Arches, particularly, is there any plans to expand that in the-- I mean, success should breed, you know, replication and expansion, but any plans to expand or-- and if so-- well, let's start with that.

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: So, right now

Arches does have the capability of taking in 16 and

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 30 1 2 17-year-olds and since Raise the Age starting in October, so for this year the existing Arches can 3 4 probably absorb a lot of the influx, so then next 5 year we're going to be in a position to figure out then where it all, you know, falls into it, but 6 7 definitely there will need to be an expansion into Family Court that is more significant that -- we're 8 now in talks internally and with stakeholders to see 9 what that would look like, and then we would make our 10 request as needed. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, so please let us know if you feel like that's a program that 13 14 requires more attention, because--15 COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: [interposing] Yep. 16 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: as I said, the 17 successful program should breed expansion 18 replication. Credible Messengers, by the way, are not included in your headcount, right? 19 20 COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: No. No, they're-- we have-- they're employed by the agencies that we 21 2.2 contract with. 23 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay. 24 COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: So, they're not

25

employees of the Department.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. Got it.

was going to take a st-- I was going to stop there
briefly, and I know we have some of my colleagues
here who want to ask questions, and I'll come back
and ask a few more. So, first is Council Member

Ampry-Samuel who I know I think has been to one of
your programs, but also is the Chair of the Public
Housing Committee here, so some of the NYCHA work
you're doing. I'm sure something she's interested
in. Thanks.

morning everyone. So, I just want to say that the NEON program in Brownsville in 444 Thomas Boyland is an amazing program, and I've watched it grow since it started, and whatever I can do to be of support and service in any kind of way. Do not hesitate to reach out to me. But along the lines of what's happening with Raise the Age, and I know you mentioned that the funding, it's conversations happening now, but we know that from what we're told that the juveniles will be released, and because of the proximity of the NEON program in my district at 444 and Crossroads right in the next block, is there any conversation that is happening related to the work that you can

really anticipate that's going to be needed to service that particular community and that particular population? There's a lot of rumors that are going around, but it's inevitable. We know that something is coming and we need to prepare now and not just wait until it all falls into our plate come October or January of next year. So, is there anyone that can speak directly to what conversations are happening now and how we can be of any kind of help as it relates to funding and providing that extra level of support and advocacy from the Council?

2.2

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: So, I think-- let me make sure that I understand what you're referring to. Are you referring to the removal of the 16 and 17-year-olds from Rikers?

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: The 16 and 17-year-olds that are going to be housed at Horizon, but we also know that the juveniles from Horizon will be held at Crossroads, and we know that we will have that population which is in the next block from Thomas Boyland and the community is aware and there's a lot of conversations about it, and we're just really trying to figure out how we can be supportive of those families and the youth.

1

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: So, we are joined at the hip in a way in juvenile justice with ACS with 3 4 the Division of Youth and Family Justice. So, we are 5 part -- any conversations that are happening around 6 that we are definitely part of, and we definitely 7 have the expertise and the ability and the readiness to, you know, absorb anything in the community as 8 I think that -- I can't really speak for 9 10 them, but DYFJ has a lot of great programming at Crossroads and Horizons, and I think that that will 11 12 go a long way to have the young people, you know, be able to still maintain certain social connections and 13 14 skills building while they are in the facilities, but 15 because -- yes, because of our proximity we will be 16 working very closely with them. There's nothing specific right now for probation, for a role of 17

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. Council Member Rivera?

probation, though, to report.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Hi, good morning.

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Good morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Thank you for all of your work. I wanted to ask, one of my priorities, and I think a lot of the Council Members' priorities

is alternative to incarceration programs. And so we have here a little bit of information on some of the funding and the termination of the justice scholars, and I would like some details on what is going to replace programs like that as well as other alternative to incarceration programs that you think have been successful in each of the five boroughs?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: So, actually, the Justice Scholars Program is an example of what Chair Powers was talking about before in a sense that -- so, when we were looking at the utilization and the outcomes of Justice Scholars, right, as the contract was expiring. We didn't terminate it ahead of time. So, the contract was expiring. We-- and there was no additional funding at the time for it. So, when we looked at what are we going to do about this and we looked at the outcomes, that the program model overlapped a lot with justice community, which was having better results with the young people, and so that's what the dec-- how the decision was made that we're still meeting the needs that Justice Scholars was attempting to meet to then, you know, channel that through justice community. You know, and so we continue to partner with as many organizations as

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 35 possible. There's a lot out there that exists that a lot of programs that can partner with us that have never thought of partnering with us. You know, we consider ourselves the largest alternative to incarceration program there is, right? Because of how many people we can serve. And so there are, I believe, a lot of connections to be made with existing community-based organizations to benefit our -- further benefit our probation clients. rest assured that if we identify something that needs funding that we can't find it, we will come to you. That is for sure. You know, and if you also-frankly, if you see something that you would-- I think it would be, you know, good for people on probation to be connected to, we're happy to, you know, to do that as well and meet with folks, because it's really important to really be communitygrounded. COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I'd be happy to make sure that you're connected with the groups I think that are doing great work in my district. COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Great.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I'm sure all the

council members feel the same, and I think especially

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 36 with some of our more at-risk areas and places that have been historically underserved, and--

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: [interposing] Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I'm going to have mention NYCHA, and I know you're trying to do work around that. So, I would love to be in touch with you after this. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Definitely.

wanted to just ask maybe two more questions, and this is a round of 16 versus one in March Madness. We'll be back, but then we're going to follow up with you. But I just wanted to note, I had two more questions and note that I know Department of Corrections is here and we have the Subcommittee joining us. So, close to home, I know state budget is making cuts to that and proposing to cut funding for Close to Home which I guess would be resolved in the next two weeks the state budget comes to a close, we hope. If funding is cut, is there a contingency plan form the City and the Agency and is there an impa-- what's the impact on your budget?

2.2

1 2

impact there on our budget, because that has to do with the running of the facilities for placement, and those are run by ACS, by the Division of Youth and Family Justice. Our part of Close to Home is keep them home, you know? So, that legislation was larger than just the facilities, but we continue to operate the continuum to try to keep the young people form placement in the first place and have continued to reduce the population of young people in placement through the variety of things we offer our probation.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. Thank you.

And just to close out here and then unless colleagues have follow-up questions is we noted that in the Mayor's Management Report enrollments in the Alternative to Placement Programs and DOP-managed programs decreased during the first four months of Fiscal Year 2018. Any thoughts on what happened?

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Sure. So,

there's been a reduction in the number of young

people coming through. So, that's also good.

Judges-- I mean, we're better-- we're-- the system

has gotten really good at matching, you know, sort of

risk levels and severity of offenses and so on and so

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 38 forth to appropriately tailor the level of probation that they're on. So, judges are also using the whole continuum much more rather than just -- you know, assuming that any kid who presents in a particular way needs an alternative to placement. So, that's also actually good given where we are in Raise the Age because then that means that we have capacity, and assuming that the 16 and 17-year-olds might present higher risk level, alright, and we have the ability to absorb them into existing ATPs-- that's what I was saying before-- and then we'll see whether there's a gap in service among those ATPs or not. You know, and like I said we're anticipating we're going to have to grow some of them, but we don't know exactly which ones.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. and just to follow up on that, I don't know the answer, so I'm just-- what is the difference between who goes into the programs you guys manage and then for the other ATP programs, who-- how is the determination made about which program you end up in?

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: Well, in Family
Court, all the, you know, the programs, what are
called the ATPs, the Alternatives to Placements, are

actual sentences. The judge says you're going to probation for this program, right? And it's comanaged between probation—partnership between probation and a community—based organization. So, that's part of their sentence. For our 16 to 24—year—olds in adult probation, those are contracts. We have referrals we make, and those are based on whatever need. The sentence is still probation. It's not as layered as Family Court.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I got you. I got you. And are there-- if you don't end up at one of--there's a population that doesn't end up in one of those programs, either ATP or probation, and if not, where do they--

COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: well, so in

Family Court you can get an adjournment in

contemplation of dismissal. It's the least. And then

after that there's conditional discharge. Then

there's four layers of probation, and then placement.

Right? So, there's a lot to use. And with adults

there's less of a range, but still basically if you

don't end up on probation or something better, you

end up in prison or jail.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 40

2 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. Thank you.

Any? Thankyou. Thanks for being here. Next time,

4 we're going to get the four of you on the microphone,

5 too. I promise. Thank you guys for being here.

Thank you for the testimony. We'll take, you know,
two, three minutes and then we'll ask the Department

of Corrections to testify. Thank you.

[break]

1

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Good morning. Thank you for being here. My name is Keith Powers. the Chair of the Committee on Criminal Justice. are going to resume the budget hearing with Department of Corrections, and we've been joined by the Subcommittee on Capital Budget which is chaired by Chair Vanessa Gibson. We've also been joined by Council Member Matteo, Council Member Grodenchik, and I think we'll be joined by more moving forward. Thank you again for being here. Thank you to the Commissioner and your staff for being here today. So, this is the Department of Correction. Department's Fiscal 2019 Preliminary Budget totals 1.4 billion dollars, a decrease of approximately 40 million dollars from last year. Department's headcount totals 12,169 with 9,967 uniformed

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 41 positions and 2,202 civilian positions for Fiscal Year 2019. The Department re-estimates its Fiscal 2018 Preliminary Budget by recognizing a reduction of 10.2 million dollars in Fiscal 2018 and 55.2 million dollars in Fiscal 2019 and the out-years from closing the door at GMDC facilities and adding new needs that total 13.8 million dollars in Fiscal 2018 and 23.5 million dollars in Fiscal 19 and the out-years. expense budget introduces funding for new initiatives that's in the Mayor's Smaller, Fairer -- Smaller, Safer, Fairer Roadmap to closing Rikers Island such as expanding visitor transportation to Rikers Island and renovating central visit areas to further improve visitor's experiences. We're interested in learning more about these initiatives as well as how the budget addresses other key parts of the Mayor's Roadmap, especially how we can make New York City jails safer. DOC's Capital Commitment Plan includes 2.1 billion dollars for Fiscal 2018 to 22, including major capital projects such as the new jail facility and construction of a new training academy. January 25th, 2018, the architecture firm Perkins Eastman was awarded 7.6 million dollars contract to study the design and location of city jails to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 42 replace Rikers Island. On February 14th, 2018, the Administration and the City Council announced four new sites for the borough-based jails and a timeline and a process for the Manhattan Detention, Queens detention, and Brooklyn Detention and the NYPD tow pound in the Bronx. Last committee -- last month, the Committee toured Rikers Island and reaffirmed my belief, and I think others, that we can build safer facilities and put people close to home and in close proximity to their family and loved ones, and I just want to take a note to thank you, the staff and the DOC who joined us, and for putting together the tour and all who joined us for helping us get better insights into the tough job that the people who run it and work in it have, and in my view again need for safer facilities and new modern facilities that are closer to home. In my view, these facilities need to be upgraded, modernized, and made and safe and secure for everybody. I was, as I said, impressed by the staff that we met and their commitment to the job, and also recognize that there are people on the island who are not guilty who potentially could not be found quilty. The campaign to close Rikers Island was grounded in incarcerated peoples' real

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 43 experiences and not just an ideological goal. I know that there are and will continue to be a lot of questions and concerns from the community, but the Council and the community will work together to provide the oversight and dedication that all these issues deserve. Along with an update on the new jail's project, I'm also interested in talking about the new training academy project and other capital projects the Department is currently working on. Additionally, I'm interested in learning more about the 14-poitn plan, how the Council can support the Department regarding getting state support for the use of body scanners and how we can further improve the City's bail system. Just a quick word about bail. The Comptroller's Office put a report out and estimates the marginal cost to the city to deem [sic] pre-trial who aren't able to make bail. Bail is about 100 million dollars annually, 10 million dollars which is no longer associated with incarcerating those-- which is associated with incarcerating those who ultimately pay bail and released back into society before their case ends. know that we've been working with the Administration on this smatter, and Council Members have concerns as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

well, but there are a lot of opportunities to divert more funding, in my belief, for re-entry and alternative to incarceration programs, which would not only help inmates and their families, but also help save the City money in the long-run. I thank you again for being here. I'm going to hand it off to the Chair of the Subcommittee on Capital Funding, Vanessa Gibson, for an opening statement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you so much to my colleagues, to our Chair of the Committee, Keith Thank you so much. Good morning to Powers. everyone. Thank you, Commissioner, to you and your team for being here. I welcome you all to City Hall to our chambers. I am Council Member Vanessa Gibson. I am proud to represent the 16th District in the borough of the Bronx, and I am proud to serve as the Chair of the newly formed Subcommittee on Capital I thank you all for being here. Budget. I want to congratulate my colleague, Council Member Keith Powers, for his appointment to serve as Chair of the Committee on Criminal Justice. Certainly, I look forward to working with my colleague and Chair and all of my colleagues in the City Council. This morning, both committees will review the Department

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 45 of Corrections both expense and capital budgets. As Chair of the Subcommittee on the Capital Budget, I will focus today on the Department's Fiscal 2019 Preliminary Capital Budget and the Fiscal 2018 through 2022 Preliminary Capital Commitment Plan that totals 1.1 billion dollars. Sorry, it totals 2.1 billion dollars. More than half of the Capital Plan is reserved for new jail facilities with 1.1 billion dollars and a new training academy at 100 million dollars. These projects are crucial for the Department's future success. I want to hear this morning how the Department will plan, design, procure, and build facilities that put safety and security first. I also want to discuss the conditions of the Department's 15 facilities, capital investments in the buildings on Rikers, and in the outer boroughs are essential to improving conditions for all of the people in our system: corrections Officers, uniformed members of services, detainees, visitors, and medical staff and others. Given the plan to close Rikers Island, spending decisions related to Rikers must be carefully and strategically made. I am concerned about the Department's current budgeting practices as well as its ability to carry

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 46 out capital work. In Fiscal 2017, the Department's capital commitment rate was 16 percent. This shows that the Department was not able to carry out its approved plan and that the plan was unrealistic. Department's Preliminary Commitment Plan shows 82 percent of all plan commitments in Fiscal 2018. is also unrealistic. The new jails and the training academy will not be complete in the next two fiscal years. Furthermore, the 1.1 billion dollars for new jails is really a placeholder, but the plan should begin to allocate by individual facilities. committees this morning are interested in learning more about the Department's Commitment Plan as well as the plan envisioned for new facilities, the training academy for officers and much more. I'm also interested in how the plan for new jails will impact my district in the Bronx, the community that I represent as I represent all of the civic area and all of the courts. Before we begin today's hearing I want to recognize the committee staff for all of their work, our Financial Analyst Jen Lee [sp?], our Unit Head Aisha Wright [sp?], Deputy Directors Nathan Toth and Regina Poreda-Ryan, our Finance Committee Counsels Rebecca Chaisson [sp?] and Eric Burnstein

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 47 [sp?], our Committee Counsels Brian Crow and Josh Kingsley [sp?], our Policy Analyst Will Hungosh [sp?], and my Chief of Staff, Dana Wax. I want to thank the Commissioner for being here, and I want to recognize the members of my subcommittee. Although we're a small group we're doing a lot of work, our Minority Leader Stave Matteo, Council Member Barry Grodenchik, Council Member Helen Rosenthal, and my fellow Co-Chair Council Member Keith Powers. And before I end, I want to take a quick opportunity to recognize one of our former members of this body that served with honor as a member of the City Council. He is now a professor at my Alma Mater, Baruch College, and he is here with his students, the Economic Analysis of Public Policy students at Baruch College. I want to recognize our friend, former colleague and professor, John Liu. Thank you so much for being here, John, and to all of the students, I hope you get a lot out of today's hearing. Make sure you take notes, because we want to continue to see great work from all of you from Baruch College. wish you well, and I look forward to today's hearing. Thank you so much. Now, I turn this back over to my Chair, Chair Powers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED: I do.

the Committee Counsel will swear you in.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and I share the affinity for Baruch College. I know that my colleague Carlina Rivera who has Baruch College in her district the same, and it feels the same. you. I want to note we've also been rejoined by Council Member Rory Lancman and joined by Council Member Helen Rosenthal as well. Thank you. Thank you all for being here and thank you, Chair. like to welcome and thank Commissioner Brann and our Correction Officers and staff on Rikers Island and throughout correctional facilities for all their work. I want to thank everybody. I know here from both the Mayor's Office and the Department who I'm sure put a lot of work into making sure you have great testimony and the work you do on a daily basis on behalf of New Yorkers. So, with that being said we're looking forward to hearing your testimony, and

right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the testimony to this committee and to answer honestly to Council Member questions?

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 49

2 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. You can begin when you're ready.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Good morning, Chair Powers, Chair Gibson, members of the Committee on Criminal Justice, and members of the Subcommittee on Capital Budget, and welcome to the new Members. Before I begin, I would like to introduce the staff that is with me here at the table starting to my left is First Deputy Commissioner Angel Villalona [sp?], Associate Commissioner Patricia Lyons [sp?], Chief of Department Hazel Jennings, and Chief of Staff Jeff Thamkittikasem. I am Cynthia Brann, the Commissioner of the New York City Department of Corrections, and I'm happy to speak with you all about the Department's Fiscal Year 19 preliminary expense and Capital Commitment Plan and the FY18 PMMR, and I look forward to working with the new Speaker and the Criminal Justice committee over the next few years. In that context, I would like to take this opportunity to explain to all of you my vision for the Department, my goals, some of our challenges, and ultimately, about some of DOC's recent work as it relates to our budget and the recent PMMR. all know, DOC is a vast and complex organization,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 50 more in the public eye now, than ever before, which is quite the change from just five years ago before this Administration took over. We have more than 10,000 members of staff and process more than 60,000 admissions and discharges every year. We currently operate 12 separate jail facilities, on and off Rikers, as well as two hospital prison wards and court facilities in each of the boroughs. addition, we operate support service divisions including our Transportation Division and Facility Maintenance Division. Our staff are responsible for the care, custody, and control of approximately 9,000 individuals every day. This number is down significantly from the start of the de Blasio administration, reaching under 9,000 for the first time in over 30, but those in our custody have unique needs and challenges, which we strive to meet. before the reduction in population, we took a conscious effort to move away from a one-size-fitsall model, and specifically look at how we could provide more tailored management, a combination of both security and care, to smaller sub-populations that all have different needs. We are responding to the lowered population by better serving those unique

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 51 populations. We have vastly increased programming, services, training, and tools, all while changing our custody management model management. We strive, regardless of where we are, to be the best Correctional Department in the country. My vision and my goal is this: to move the Department expeditiously out from under the Nunez consent judgement, which we will speak to later, because this will prove we have not just made, but sustained, the changes we know are necessary; to more meaningfully supportive and better integrated at the Department into the City's broader Criminal Justice System; to develop a lasting leadership development pipeline for our uniform and non-uniform staff; to provide the tools, programming, and training to ensure meaningful and safe engagement between staff and those they care for; and, most importantly, to ensure our jails are safe, that our staff go home safely every day and those in our care have every opportunity to leave better than they arrived, because no meaningful reform and change can happen, if people involved do not feel safe. With that said, the Department fully supports the city's plan to replace the facility on Rikers Island with a modern, updated borough-based

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 52 jail system. Research shows that people are more successful when they are closer to their support systems during incarceration, so we think it is important to rely on borough facilities that facilitate maintaining connections to family, friends, and other important community members. Borough facilities also make it easier for attorneys to visit their clients, strengthening access to the justice system. More importantly, borough facilities will mean new, modern facilities, constructed to meet the needs of best correctional practices that are far more focused on integrating safety and access to services and programming. These are not principles that are reflected in the facilities we have now. Moving the population off of Rikers requires two things: further reducing the population and building new facilities. The city has already reduced the incarcerated population to the lowest it has in over thirty years, and it has the lowest rates of incarceration in the country. Reducing it further requires the continued commitment from city agencies as well as the District Attorneys and the Office of Court Administration. State legislation, including bail reform legislation, is critical to achieving

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 53 this goal. For the Department, closing Rikers is an opportunity to build new, modern jails, which we desperately need. DOC's facilities are old and outdated. Several have fallen into disrepair, and all of them have antiquated designs that do not align with modern correctional best practices. As I noted, new jails are designed to improve safety but also fundamentally integrate services and programs to give staff and people in our custody the best opportunities to succeed. New facilities have better sight lines and incorporate modern technology, both of which make areas safer and more efficient. are designed to not just offer, but facilitate and encourage programming and access to services. Anyone who has worked or lived in a jail can tell you that ensuring access to services is not just humane, it is important for preventing conflicts. In our facilities, most programs and services are offered in make shift central areas, so individuals must be brought from their houses to the program space, which might be on the opposite side of the building, and this can be challenging. Individuals who are usually kept apart might see each other in common spaces, so hallways and program areas may provide opportunities

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 54 for altercations. If there is an incident in a common space, it can interrupt everyone else's access to program areas for a few hours or the rest of the In modern facilities, programs and services are day. provided in or immediately adjacent to housing units. This reduces movement, reduces possibilities of altercations, and ensures more consistent access to services. Furthermore, new facilities incorporate design features that work to reduce tension, which makes people healthier and reduces violence. Improved light, sound, and space create a better environment for both staff and incarcerated individuals. For example, in some jurisdictions, housing areas have walls or ceilings that absorb acoustics. This reduces the noise level and reduces stress levels, which can reduce fights. We have been renovating our facilities to try to accomplish some of these goals, but we are very limited by our structures, some of which you have seen. Ultimately, the full scope of what constitute best correctional practices and design are impossible in our current facilities. We are literally unable to make the changes necessary without tearing down facilities and starting anew. New, modern facilities would enable

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 55 us to create a much safer, better environment for everyone. Of course, looking ahead cannot distract us from focusing on the needs of the present. cannot wait for new facilities to implement necessary change. My responsibility is to ensure that everyone in our facilities, staff, inmates, and visitors are To that end, over the last few years we have been reforming how we approach corrections. At the beginning of this administration, DOC conducted a thorough institutional health survey. We combined this insight from our staff with national best practices to formulate the 14-Point Anti-Violence Reform Agenda, which focuses on reducing violence and supporting culture change at DOC through a multipronged, long-term effort. In fact, our agenda informed details of the Nunez consent judgment that were ultimately agreed to and went into effect in late 2015. Our reform agenda has focused on a few major areas. Perhaps most importantly, we have focused on our staff. Training for recruits have been extended to 23 weeks from previously 17. Recruit and in-service training now includes a substantial focus on de-escalation techniques, negotiation and mental health first aid, crisis

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 56 intervention, improved defensive tactics, and specialized training appropriate for working with specific populations, such as gender-responsive training and dialectical behavioral therapy for our youth. Our goal is to ensure that our staff have all the tools they need to do their jobs, engage meaningfully, and go home safely. Another critical piece has been moving away from a one-size-fits-all management model, as I said in the beginning, toward models tailored to address the diverse needs of the population. We began by redesigning our adolescent management strategy to mirror juvenile best practices. Building on this, we created a young adult population cohort to provide age-appropriate programming and management. With Health + Hospitals, we have created clinically focused housing areas to provide a high level of mental health care. For all of our populations, we have begun emphasizing programming and re-entry services. In fact, we now provide re-entry services to every individual who enters our system. Previously, re-entry was only focused on those designated as having mental health issues. We now offer five hours of programming every day, up from less than forty-five minutes at the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 57 beginning of the administration. Programming is critical to support DOC's overarching goal of increasing safety for staff, inmates, and our communities. In the short-term, programming reduces idleness, which is an effective mechanism to reduce violence. In the long-term, meaningful programming better prepares individuals to return to our communities. If people can receive both hard and soft skills training while in custody, they are more likely to be successful after returning home. Programming is also incorporated into our new models for managing behavior. We have reduced our reliance on punitive segregation and have instituted a continuum of alternative sanctioning options. Programming complements this by incentivizing positive behavior. More importantly, our focus now is not on simply controlling behavior but on changing behavior, with targeted programming to addresses underlying issues. This is a key point - we are actively moving away from a punishment-only models and focused on building a safe, but productive, engagement model that balances incentives and consequences. Before, we utilized very limited options, either placing people in general population

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 58 or in varying days of punitive segregation, including some individuals who were in for hundreds of days. We do not believe that approach has been effective. We now have a broader spectrum of response to infractions, increasingly saving our most restrictive sanctions for the small population of our most violent individuals. Between the end of 2014 and 2016, the Department ended the use of punitive segregation for our 16-21 year olds, the first in the nation to do so for 18-21 year olds. We have also limited the use of punitive segregation in response to our most violent infractions. We have made changes to limit punitive segregation sentences to no more than 30 consecutive days and no more than 60 days in a six-month period, with limited exception. We have reduced the number of people in punitive segregation from over 675 in a day to roughly 100, which is a decrease of more than 80 percent. are sanctions available for those who infract. over 21 who are most violent can still be placed in punitive segregation, and both adults and young adults aged 18 to 21 can still be placed in enhanced supervision units. ESH represents a level-based management tool that is designed for those who are

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 59 persistently violent or gang leaders with individuals placed in progressively less restrictive lock-out times, starting with seven hours out and progressing to 10 to 14 hours out if they consistently participate in programming, engage with multidisciplinary teams to address their violent behavior, and follow house rules and do not further infract. Let me be clear, we need more. We need a broader set of intervention strategies that respond to negative behavior designed to operate in conjunction with each other to increase accountability, ensure safety, and reduce recidivism by preventing future criminal conduct. A system of graduated responses provides a way to address both positive and negative behavior. While we have plethora of individual and group incentives available to grant as a reward for sustained compliance of good behavior, currently, our ability to impose meaningful consequences for infractions are limited. We recognize violence remains a concern, and we will not hide from our obligations to continue to address these issues. While we are not done, our initiatives have yielded important results. Between FY14 and 17, incidents resulting in serious injuries have decreased

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 60 significantly. Uses of Force resulting in serious injury are down 47 percent. Assaults on Staff resulting in serious injury are down 59 percent. Serious injury to inmates from fights or assaults are down 12 percent. Those patterns hold for Young Adults, a particularly problematic population. Among 18 to 21 year olds, Use of Force resulting in serious injuries are down 53 percent, Assault on Staff resulting in serious injuries are down 61 percent, and serious injury to inmates from fights or assaults are down 36 percent. These positive trends have continued even as we have eliminated punitive segregation for this extremely challenging population. We started focusing reforms on adolescents early in 2014, and the results among this population have been exceptional. Use of Force resulting a serious injury are down 50 percent and Use of Force resulting in in any injury are down 17 percent. Assaults on staff resulting in serious injury with the adolescents are down 100 percent. There were no Assault on Staff resulting in serious injury among the adolescent population in Fiscal Year 17. All incidents among adolescents decreased from FY16 to FY17. One area where progress has been more

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 61 limited is slashings and stabbings, although our work to reduce these incidents did result in some improvement in the first half of Fiscal Year 18. have been addressing this issue through searches, recovering 3,976 weapons in Fiscal Year 17, an increase of 69 percent since FY14. Of course, as the Council is aware, our ability to search for certain weapons is limited by state law that prohibits the use of certain body scanners. We continue to work with the state to pass legislation that would allow these scanners to be used. We see some encouraging signs for FY18. As indicated in the PMMR, the rate of violent inmate-on-inmates incidents are down six percent, with the rate of serious injury from such assaults down 21 percent. In the PMMR period, slashings and stabbings were down 41 percent, which is a remarkable decrease. The PMMR also highlights where we must continue to improve. The data shows increases for Uses of Force and Assault on Staff resulting in serious injury. Serious Use of Force is still less than four percent of the total Use of Force despite the increase, and we take these increases seriously. In the past few years, we have worked with the Nunez monitor to revamp our Use of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Force policy and have provided all uniformed members of staff with the five-day training on Use of Force policy, de-escalation techniques, and defensive tactics. We are now beginning our second phase of the training for all staff. There is more work to do, and we are not where we want to be. Fully resolving the complex issues surrounding Use of Force is a challenge. But targeted efforts are already producing results. In those areas where we have targeted specialized reforms to serve particular groups, we see dramatically lower rates of incidents. In units designed for treatment for inmates with serious mental illness, incident rates decrease dramatically for inmates brought into the unit. average, individuals show a decrease in the rate of Use of Force in CAPS, which is our Clinical Alternative to Punitive Segregation, and PACE1, which is the Program for Accelerated Clinical Effectiveness, of 41 and 70 percent respectively, and a decrease in the rate of Assault of Staff of 48 percent and 67 percent respectively. In our Secure Unit and ESH, designed for highly violent and problematic inmates, on average, inmates who are moved into the units show decreases in rates of Use

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 62

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 63 of Force of 49 percent and 15 percent, respectively, and decreases in the rate of Assault on Staff of 100 percent in Secure Unit. ESH, which houses many of the inmates responsible for slashings, sees the average rate of slashings for inmates who enter decrease by 62 percent. Our restarted units in general population, where we have concentrated reforms, also continue to be effective. Inmates who move into these units show decreased Use of Force down 50 percent, and Assault on Staff down 40 percent; slashings are down 59 percent. All of these data points provide evidence that targeted intervention works. Because not all inmates and not all incidents are the same, the responses and approaches must be likewise specific and target to address root causes and specific inmate needs. Critically, our work to improve our system and incorporate correctional best practices receives ongoing support from City Hall. This support means that we finally have both the financial resources to implement reforms and the ability to partner with other agencies in meaningful ways. The Department's Fiscal Year 2019 Expense Budget is \$1.4 billion. vast majority of this, 88 percent, is allocated for

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

```
COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 64
Personal Services, and 12 percent for Other than
Personal Services. Fiscal Year 2019 budget is $45.2
million less than this year's budget of $1.45
billion. This decrease is mainly due to the closure
of the George Motchan Detention Center on Rikers
Island, which takes full effect in Fiscal Year 19.
Included in the Preliminary Budget is an increase of
$4.2 million in Fiscal Year 18, and decreases of
$31.7 million in Fiscal Year 19, and $34.4 million in
Fiscal Year 2020 and the out years. The following
are some highlights of the major programs that were
included in the budget: The closure of the GMDC,
$10.2 million savings in FY18 and a $55.2 million
savings in FY19 and the out years. This includes the
elimination of 698 uniformed positons through
attrition. No staff are being laid off due to this
facility closure. Initiatives to Support the
"Smaller, Safer, Fairer: A Roadmap to Closing Rikers
Island" report: $846,000 for 17 positions in FY18,
increasing to $1.7 million in FY19 and the out years
for Strategy 16a, which has created expedited
transportation to Rikers Island through dedicated
buses that transport visitors from more convenient
locations; $1 million in FY18 for Strategy 16b:
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 65 Renovate the Central Visits facility to allow for better initial screening and reduce the need for additional searches that slow the visit process; and \$1.2 million and 13 positions in FY18, increasing to \$1.1 million in FY19 and the out years for Strategy 1.8: Expand supportive services for correctional officers, which is CARE. Additional Active Supervision Posts, \$8.2 million in FY18, increasing to \$14 million and 186 positions in FY19 for additional Correction Officer posts necessary to maintain active supervision in all required housing areas. The Nunez Compliance Unit: \$761 thousand in FY18, increasing to \$1.5 million and 12 positions in FY19 to establish a unit dedicated to monitoring and implementing reforms required by the Nunez Consent Decree. And the RMSC Visit Initiative: \$1.6 million and eight positions in FY19 and the out years to improve visits for incarcerated women, especially those with children, in order to maintain family ties during incarceration. With regard to capital funding, the Fiscal Year 2019 Preliminary Capital Budget and Commitment Plan totals \$2.1 billion, which covers Fiscal Years 18 through 22. In this Plan, the Department was granted an additional \$3 million in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 66 City funds to support the Mayor's "Smaller, Safer, Fairer: A Roadmap to Closing Rikers Island" report: \$2 million for an Inmate Assessment Tracking System for Strategy 15c: Implement a new technology tool that will ensure continuity of stabilizing support, in order to assess the needs of inmates as the enter the Correctional system; and \$1 million for buses as announced in the Mayor's "Smaller, Safer, Fairer: A Roadmap to Closing Rikers Island" report for Strategy 16a: Create expedited transportation system to Rikers Island through dedicated buses that transport visitors from more convenient locations. During the past four years, the Department has been able to achieve unprecedented levels of Correction Officer recruitment and hiring. Including the record setting Correction Officer class of 1,144 that graduated in November 2017, and the class of 856 recruits that is currently undergoing training at the Academy, the Department has hired over 5,700 new Correction Officers since May 2014. These new Officers has enabled us to enact the reforms necessary to provide a safer and better environment for our staff and inmates. The following is a summary of the changes to Department's civilian and uniformed authorized

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 67 staffing levels included in the January Plan: civilian authorized full-time headcount is 2,195 in FY18 and 2,202 in FY19 and the out-years. authorized headcount increase from FY18 to FY19 is due to newly funded initiatives that will not begin until FY19. The uniformed authorized headcount is 10,427 in FY18, 9,967 in FY19, and 9,983 in FY20 and the out years. The authorized uniformed headcount decreases from 18 to 19 due to the closure of GMDC, which takes full effect in FY19. The average uniformed headcount is estimated to be 10,712 in FY18, which represents an increase of 824 compared to an average of 9,888 in FY 2017. The FY18 July 1st, 2017 to December 31st, 2017 Civilianization Report provided to the City Council identifies 53 uniformed staff working in civilian functions. The Department is committed to bringing that number down by backfilling previously funded vacated civilianized positions. Additionally, the Department will continue working to identify additional positions that could be civilianized and address funding requirements with OMB at the appropriate time. you again for the opportunity to testify today and for your continued support. Without the Mayor and

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Council's vision for Criminal Justice Reform, we would not be able to talk about the many reforms we have undertaken. I look forward to working with all of you in the years to come. My staff and I are able to answer questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. you for that testimony and a lot of new information. Obviously, a lot to unpack there. And so, I'll ask some questions. I know my-- the Chair of the Subcommittee on Finance has a lot of questions on capital funding needs, and then I know a number of the colleagues have questions on particular projects. So, I'll do a-- I'll try to do my part short, but I did want to talk about just the overall budget, new jails, safety and security, maybe in round one, and then we'll come back, and I know there's questions about the Academy and overtime and other categories that you touched upon. So, just taking a 10,000-feet view here. New needs for the Department, I ask this of every agency, but any new needs that were requested to OMB that didn't end up into the preliminary budget that you think you need funding for?

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 69 1 2 COMMISSIONER BERMUDEZ: So, I would like 3 Associate Commissioner Lyons to talk about those 4 needs. CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER LYONS: Good 6 7 morning. So, any new needs that were not funded in this financial plan are being reviewed as part of the 8 next budget submission by OMB. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Sorry so-- I'm sorry, say that again. 11 12 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: Any new needs that we requested during the Preliminary Budget 13 process that have not been funded, we are under 14 15 review with OMB for the Executive Budget process. 16 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, got it. And 17 can you share with us what those might be? 18 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: have additional needs still related to the Nunez 19 20 Consent Judgement which are under review, and some potential new needs related to this CPSD study. 21 2.2 We're trying to get ahead of the game with that in 23 terms of requesting funding for design demolition. And additionally, we have new needs for our PREA 24

unit.

2.

1

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: For PREA?

3

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, thanks. And

4

5 the-- obviously, the total budget here, Preliminary,

6

is 1.4 billion dollars. We had Finance and OMB here

7

for their hearing. I think one of the points that

8

the Speaker made to Finance and OMB, you know, not to

9

you directly, but still concerning you is around

10

transparency around the budget specifically around

11

how we pro-- the units of appropriation, and I note

12

that when we looked at-- and actually use the jail

13

operation's budget for Department of Corrections as

14

the example there. Not to make a-- not to make you

1516

the focus of that larger effort, but you know, jail operations, I did note too kind of spans a number of

17

18

units of appropriation. Could you tell us why that

19

transparency to the budget for all of us around the

is and if the agency supports putting maybe more

20

unit appropriation, how you're spending money?

21

relation to the unit of appropriation question and

22

the expense budget, we do have a limited amount and

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS:

24

the title is, you know, not as detailed as it could

committee on criminal justice with subcommittee on capital budget 71 be. So we're happy to work with OMB going forward to make any necessary changes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And we would like to see, I think, we would like to be part. I mean, it just provides more clarity into how money is getting spent. It's not targeted DOC, particularly, but you are an example of it, and to ensure that the public and those who like myself and Council Members who are interested in how we're spending the money and where the money goes, and I think one of those appropriations is like a gigantic -- it's like a billion dollars plus-- so having a little more clarity on it, but I think the example used was actually by different jail facilities, actually different jails rather than one sort of big appropriation. So we'll follow up with you on that. The-- I noted, you know, we noted that it makes a modest re-estimate of about 40 million dollars, 39.9 million dollars, even as the inmate population is decreasing, and can you just share with us and the committee how you're going to budget for the Administration's goal to reduce the City's inmate population? One might expect the budget would go down and make re-estimates, but can you share more

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 72 information as the number goes down what the budget impact and how the Department's going to deal with that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Sure, Chair. think that while we won't speak to the specific numbers I think generally there are three things we should consider. One, from a budget standpoint, we do still focus on the population that we do have now and trying to ensure that we provide a lot of the broader culture and training changes that we want to make for both our staff and the inmates. So, in so far as we're moving forward with-- even though the population is going down, we have changed the method by which we are addressing this population to not do a one-size-fits-all model as the Commissioner stated that has increased kind of funding and budget requirements for specific units like those for the severely mentally ill, those for the adolescents and the young adults for which we have negotiated with the Nunez Consent Judgement to lower the staffing ratios. And then also, to proactively move forward and increase programming reentry service and other things. So, we believe that that is an effort that regardless, and probably is something that requires a bit more funding in these facilities, because they are not in the modern design that allows all of it to happen as you've seen during your tour. Furthermore, there are other funding that I think that MOCJ will be testifying to you later in the week that are more focused on the actual reduction of population, programs that we're working with with other agencies, and I think they can probably speak a little bit more to that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. So, I think to summarize here, there's part, an addition of changing the one-size-fits-all model to be to more targeted populations plus an addition of services may not result in what one would expect to be a drastic reduction in budget, but would be an enhancement to the budget based on changes in population and services. I want to talk about new jails. The, I think the Capital Commitment Plan, and I know Council Member Gibson is concerned about this too or interested in it, is a 1.1 billion dollars allocation for new facilities. I know earlier this year you had assigned a contract, an RFP, to Perkins Eastman to be the consultant for that project for 10-month study, 7.6 million dollars. Just wanted to get some updates

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 74 on that. Have they started the study? Expected timeline? Where are we in the process of that project?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: The contract was recently awarded and then recently registered so that all of the contractors and their subcommittees can start work. I know of particular emphasis, and I think all of you have been kind of contacted about this, has been focused on the community engagement. The CPSD has, you know, slated to last around 10 months, and we'll focus first on community engagement to get and develop design principles to focus on what the new jails should look like, the options available. We'll take a look at the sites that were already identified. I think as you know, three of existing sites and a site in the Bronx. Trying to figure out within those areas what's the maximum population and availability for really designing a jail that not only deals with the population, but also incorporates a lot of the safety and security features that new modern jails should include, and then by the end of the 10-month period those design principles will be provided so that full actual design plans could be made.

```
COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 75
 1
 2
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: So, when is it due?
 3
    When is that study due to be completed?
                CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, if 10 months, I
 4
 5
     would-- just going back from February, somewhere
     around November.
 6
 7
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: November.
                CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yeah.
 8
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And when's the ULURP
 9
10
    process supposed to begin?
                CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, I think as the
11
12
     Council kind of talked through there, your aim is for
13
     the end of the year.
14
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: So that again?
15
                CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: To be completed by
16
    the end of the year for--
17
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [interposing] To
18
     start at the end of the year.
                CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yeah.
19
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Yeah. And so we will
20
    have coinciding things happening. We'll have design
21
2.2
     study that's done and then we'll be starting the land
23
    use process, which to me raises the question of
24
     whether the design study should be incorporated into
```

the ULURP as we certify it, and then whether we're,

you know, -- how those two items work together where we have eight million, or 7.5 million dollars, spend on a study and then we start a land use process, which we all believe is important, and so I'm not trying to distract from that, but how does that -- how does that study incorporate with the process for citing the sale [sic]?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, two things. One, CPSD study will be actually involved in gathering a lot of information that would ultimately go into an environmental impact study that is required for a ULURP. So we will leverage the information that's collected and as Associate Commissioner Lyons mentioned, there is still work to be done on the CPSD that will focus on specifically moving forward with environmental impact study, and once the information that is -- at least a portion of the information collected during the CPSD will be brought into that environmental impact study which will then be provided and used for the ULURP purpose. So there is some connection there. I would defer a little bit to MOCJ to speak a little more fully to what the later end of that is, but that's where we reside in that process.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 77

2.2

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And what is the estimate the upgrade, the existing cost estimate upgrade, the existing facilities in Manhattan, Brooklyn, and Queens?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: That we don't know just because part of the effort of the CPSD is to fully focus on what the design principles are for those locations. So, that is a part of the CPSD study.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And then what is 1.1 billion dollars for the new jail facilities for?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: In incorporates a couple of different sections. Patricia may have to help me a little bit with this, but ultimately it combines both funding pulled previously from what we had planned for modifications that we would make on the jails, but because of the fact that we have announced "Close Rikers," we do have and want to participate fully in the CPSD. There are changes that we will make certainly for fire safety improvements, but there are some decisions that have to be made on what facilities and what order we should make any other repairs depending on what the findings are from the CPSD.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 78

2.2

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. And are-does it mean there were projects that were cut that
were due for capital improvements for-- that were
then reallocated for a different purpose?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, some of them were, yes.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: What projects?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Well, again, as you mentioned earlier, some of the things for the borough facilities were incorporated because they were specifically identified as jails that would be new sites for community-based jails, and then there were older jails for which we knew now if we are on a, at least you know, -- not at least, but striving to go faster, but a 10-year timeline, and some of the older facilities would probably not necessarily need to get focused if the CPSD showed us that we would need those sites.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. Just moving to-- on the same sort of topic, but something that was in the testimony you'd mentioned, newer facilities, safer, modern, and an opportunity to provide both better services within them, but also better security. You provided some examples, but I

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 79 think we're all curious, and this is a conversation we had when we were on the tour, and then happens to be ongoing, which is do the new facilities create a safer environment, not just from sound? I understand that's-- I'm not telling you how to do your job, but I'm sure that's a problem, but really from the contact between inmate and inmate, the contact between inmate and officer, and mental -- probably mental health services. I think that we're in a moment where a lot of us are talking about safety across the board, and that includes the people that are in there and making sure that they're safe. noted, and I think correctly, it's four percent estimate population that's really causing the violence in the jail facilities and reducing that four percent would -- is an important goal to get it maybe never to zero. We'd love to zero, but never to But could you give us more information on that? It's a topic that has been discussed, and I think I believe that there's an opportunity to make these jails safer and provide better services, but elaborate to us on why that's true and why these-what actually in the facilities could be added in to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 80 provide better security and better safety and better services?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BRANN: So, the first thing that you take a look at is our housing units, and I believe you have been to some of the housing units.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: They're dark places. They're built in a way where officers can't see everyone in one particular location. The sight lines are dangerous, because you can't keep track of where everyone is. So, in a modern facility, the housing units are self-contained. They're bright. They have natural light. Their recreating space is right off the housing unit so you reduce movement. You incorporate programming space into the unit so there are dedicated room where people can go and engage in programming without everyone else distracting them from that. All of the multi-disciplinary team members would have space also in the unit so they could be a part of the security team as well so that there is an exchange of information on a daily basis. We talk about sound, but when you're in a housing unit that's very loud. There's 50 people talking, TVs are going. People are awake at night. It does

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 81 create an environment for frustration and for altercations. So, each of these housing areas are self-contained. It reduces mass movement in the corridors. You build your food services close to where your housing units are so they can feed inhouse, and your medical services are designed also to be close to the housing areas. And then you have administrative wings elsewhere in the building so that all your inmate services are located in one spot. So it reduces the need to have people moving around the facility, creating a much safer environment for staff, for visitors, contract providers. We also would have these facilities built with modern technology. Right now, we're still a paper-based system and using technology to our advantage to be able to lock doors, to make log book entries, to have an inmate tracking system where we know where everybody is at any one give time creates a safer environment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: But to be fair, some of those are already incorporated into-- I think the inmate tracking system is new. I think it's the one [inaudible] we saw. So those are incorporated. Our food services and other services closer to the inmate

```
COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 82
 1
 2
     non-- I just-- sincerely [sic], I mean, I sound-- I
 3
     just remember from our tour that some of those seem
 4
     to be already incorporated into the existing
 5
     facilities. So, I do believe there is an opportunity
 6
     to provide more security, but some of those things
 7
     seem to be already included.
                UNIDENTIFIED: In some of the facilities
 8
    we do have that. However, in a few of the facilities
 9
10
     we're still taking inmates out in mass movement to go
     to chow and, like, mess halls.
11
12
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it.
                                               So, not--
     so how many facilities on Rikers Island have services
13
14
     like even just food or other services in the building
15
     or in the facility that they're in versus needing to
16
    be moved.
17
                UNIDENTIFIED: So, we currently have--
18
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS:
                                      [interposing] That's
19
     a vague question.
20
                UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah. Three facilities
     that are still taking inmates out of the housing area
21
2.2
     as opposed to in-house feeding.
23
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Is any of those-- is
24
     one of those being closed or not? Are there--
```

UNIDENTIFIED: [interposing] One, GMDC.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 83

2 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: That's one of the 3 three.

UNIDENTIFIED: That's one of--

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: One of the three.

So you'll have two that remain after this that are—
you're taking inmates out and moving them around.

And what about material? I mean, one of the things
that we noted when we were there, or was point of
discussion, was the years even after the facilities
were built and whether that can cause safety concerns
even from the materials they use. One building we
noted would be a mess if you had to demolish it in
terms of doing safety precautions. But do new
facilities offer ways to make it safer from an even
sort of materials used standpoint?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yeah, so one example would be our facilities aren't climate controlled.

So, we have to use fans during hot weather. Those large metal fans provide an opportunity for people to fashion weapons out of.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And all facilities are using fans or some have air conditioning?

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 84

COMMISSIONER BRANN: not all facilities are using fans, but not all facilities have air conditioning.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Right.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: So that was an example of how just materials present in--

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [interposing] Yeah, yeah, yeah.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: can provide opportunities to make weapons.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And the Manhattan,

Queens and Brooklyn facilities ae going to be rebuilt

or expanded or enhanced? I think Perkins Eastman

will tell us if it does.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Bronx will be totally new. We will see a difference in-- because you're new versus existing footprints and existing facilities, are we going to see-- does the Bronx offer something different to safety or location that the others don't because of their existing facilities from a safety standpoint or form services standpoint, mixed use?

2.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: I think that

ultimately we're waiting for CPSD to provide us the study on what is possible on each of the sites, and I think that would dictate a bit more of what the differences might be, though the premise of each of the facilities, as the Commissioner described, is really focused on integrating programs and services to each of the housing areas. They are relatively self-contained. So I think there are going to be principles that are aligned with each of the different sites, but the actual design, we'll wait for the CPSD to give us more information on what's possible.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. I'm just going to do one more, staying on security here and operations, and then I'll end it. I'll hand it off on the capital side. We noted looking through some of the numbers you provided us. I mean, there are categories of violence. That seemed to be trending upward. I think in the first four months we looked there was a few categories going up. Any explanation you can offer to us about why certain categories are going up. I mean, we could pull -- I could pull the categories. I don't-- you have them.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 86

2.2

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Sure, no, I think as we stated early— and the Commissioner's remarks, we are concerned and we're taking a look at certainly increases in kind of both use of force and assaults on staff that has resulted in more serious injury. We have tried to address a bit more the growing percentage of SRG or gang—related population that we do have, but we are focused on better intel collection to try to identify those gangs and split them up and separate them within our housing.

Another contribution of the new facilities will be allowing us to do that more efficiently than we can do now.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Yeah, and with respect, I think you talked about what you're going to be doing in the future. Any explanations for why the violence might be going up in the short term?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Again, I think-CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [interposing] But it

trended down and then it's spiked back up.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yeah, I think

again some of the-- you can see in some of the

percentage of the population as well, the increase in

the percentage of the population that is gang

affiliated is one thing. Certainly, efforts within those organizations to maintain kind of their criminal conduct and movement of contraband and drugs are an effort, and we are doing a bit more in actually confiscating and finding more weapons and destructing those networks.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. And the SRT population, I think you just noted, it's going up.

Any cause of— any reason? I mean, we can't— we don't control necessarily what happens, but what do you— what does DOC— what's an explanation for why we're seeing a rise in that population?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Well, I certainly think that there's a tremendous effort by the NYPD in actually taking down larger gang organizations, and so as I think the Commissioner kind of alluded to in her remarks, while we can do what we can in trying to manage better the population that comes into our care, we don't control necessarily the population that comes into it, and if other law enforcement actions are taken outside, we take care of the people that do come in.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Right. I understand that. And certain-- and I think I we noted searches

committee on criminal justice with subcommittee on capital budget 88 have been going up as well. I think it's a 21 percent increase in searches. Can you tell us why here's increase in searches? Is it-- wait what's going on? By 20-- we noted a 21 percent increase in searches, and we're wandering what the cases might be.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: we created special teams to focus solely on searching for weapons and contraband in the jails.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Gotcha [sic]. What has the success rate been so far in terms of finding contraband and weapons?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Well, I think I stated the statistic of the increase and the finding of the weapons.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: We certainly do need the scanners to help us find the--

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [interposing] Yeah.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: that were on people themselves that we can't locate. But we are doing a much better job using both our canines, our telephone monitoring, and our special search team to go into the jails and find these things.

2.2

1

2 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. And I'll 3 stop here, but we'll certainly come back, and I know the Chair has some questions on the Capital Budget. 4 5 From the standpoint of-- from the contraband side and 6 your notable increases, I know there was a report a 7 few months ago that came out about the Manhattan Detention Center, I think it was the Brooklyn 8 Detention Center, about things getting brought in. 9 10 Are any con-- I mean, what are the concerns right now about items get either ending up in-- what are the 11 12 concerns of our contraband? Are the ways that -- I 13 would just note that I think about 30 of our colleagues in the City Council joined a letter in 14 15 support of the body scanners. We were in Albany on 16 Monday or Tuesday -- Tuesday -- and raised this concern 17 with both the Senate Republicans and the Assembly 18 Democrats about the need for additional security measures, and I think they were receptive to it, but 19 20 we hold out-- we still hold our, you know, hold out hope that it actually will occur and raised it with 21 2.2 the Governor as well. So, we heard you. 23 you, and we're happy-- and I can speak for myself, at least to support the goal of making everybody safer 24 25 in there. But we were concerned about the increase

of contraband going in and concerns about that report that came out, I think it was in February. Any additional new protocol? You announced some of them, but can you tell us about the new protocols that might be taking place in response to that?

that seriously. We've installed cameras certainly in the Manhattan Court entrances as well to kind of complement the increase in cameras that we had already placed in the facility. We've certainly taken a look at the findings, and we've had a lot of emphasis on taking a look at everybody, including staff entrances, to the ports of entry in each—for the entire facility, and obviously we remain committed to kind of improve training during this effort.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Gotcha. And sorry, so one more last question. The number of searches went up 21-- I think we said-- we know 21 percent. I think you-- you can tell us if you agree with that number or not. But that's in only inmates, that surge, that increase, the 21 percent?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: That's-- yeah.

2.2

```
COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 91
 1
 2
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay. And does not
 3
     include staff?
 4
                COMMISSIONER BRANN: That's correct.
 5
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Does -- how much
 6
    staff gets searched in fiscal-- last year, how much
 7
    of the staff got searched? Isn't that--
 8
                COMMISSIONER BRANN: So, any staff,
    uniformed, civilian staff-
 9
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [interposing] Yeah.
10
                COMMISSIONER BRANN: visitors,
11
12
     contractors -- I'm sorry, not visitors -- contractors,
    H+H staff all go through a search procedure entering
13
14
    the front gate of any facility.
15
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Sorry, can you say
16
     that one more time?
17
                COMMISSIONER BRANN: So, anyone walking
18
    into any of our facilities go through a search
    procedure, go through magnometers, and have their
19
20
    property go through line scanners as they enter the
     facility.
21
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: So, does, like, a
23
    contractor and, like, a visitor go through the same
```

24

search process?

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 92 1 2 COMMISSIONER BRANN: Visitor goes through 3 a different type of process, but you asked about staff. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I did. 6 COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yeah. 7 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: But contractor or staff. I mean, I know the contractor is somebody who 8 is a third-party doing business with the Department 9 of Corrections. A visitor is somebody coming to see 10 a family member. Strikes me, maybe they should go 11 12 through the same search process. 13 COMMISSIONER BRANN: SO, all staff have 14 to go through the front entrance procedure searches. 15 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Including 16 contractors. 17 COMMISSIONER BRANN: Including 18 contractors. And I think the visitors in terms of the essential visits also go through magnometer and 19 line scan. So, while not exactly the same, we do 20 institute the same technology to try to addresses for 21 each of them. 2.2 23 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay. Thank you. 24 And we'll follow up on this topic, and thank you for

your answers and educating me on some of these

committee on criminal justice with subcommittee on capital budget 93 topics. I wanted to hand it over to Chair Gibson. I think we'll come back, and we'll ask-- I know some of the Council Members have questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you so much, Chair Powers, and once again, thank you so much, Commissioner, to your and staff for your testimony and certainly for the work overall that the Department of Corrections continues to do. think in this Administration we have certainly learned a lot and we've attempted to make an incredible amount of progress. I think DOC is doing some things very good, but I also want to be very honest and acknowledge that we continue to have major, major challenges. In your testimony you alluded to the PMMR highlighting some of the serious issues that we continue to have within the system around violence. And so the numbers that you described, the security indicators, the number of assaults on uniform staff, civilian staff, detainees on detainees, I appreciate, but I still want to be very cognizant of the challenges that remain, and some of the limitations that you talked about in your testimony where we're using incentives to try to drive violence down, but the small population that

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 94 continues to make life hell for everyone on Rikers Island, I want to get to that specifically, because that is a major problem, and as we talk about the closure plan and how my borough of the Bronx is going to be impacted because Manhattan, Brooklyn, Queens have existing facilities. The Bronx does not. we're talking about a brand new construction of a facility and all of the violence that continues to happen on Rikers, so you can imagine how my residents feel. And so I am encouraged that there is work being done. The 10-month study, I appreciate, but really I wish we would have waited to announce a new site in the Bronx until this analysis was done, because people in the Bronx are hearing that they're getting a new jail, but they see and hear about the violence, and they think that's going to come in their neighborhood. And so I want to specifically ask since the Chair did open up the conversation around the violence that continues to happen on the island and the limitation the Department faces. my plain question: what are we doing to reduce violence on Rikers Island today, and what alternatives and options does the Department have to continue to draw down on that violence? And violence

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

against everyone, right? So, this is against uniformed members of staff, the detainees, the medical staff. This is everyone that we are trying to keep safe. So, what are we doing? What are our limitations, and how can we really achieve reducing violence on the island?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, I think as we've started to say, there are a couple things I'll lay out. One, we are focused more on intel gathering and focused on phone monitoring, increasingly using the camera coverage that we've expanded over the last two years to identify particular perpetrators, as you noted. We do have a small population that is persistently violence, and while we've done a better job across some of the general population, we need to focus on this population. It's not a challenge that we take lightly. We have focused also on better separation and identification and building a new housing classification to really identify both kind of gang affiliation, those who are violent, and then moving them and separating them. That is something that will actually be helped by modern facilities that allow for those that limit movement and provide better separation. And also, we are focused on

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 96 1 compliance. We are focused on the ability to kind of 2 3 take a use of all of the tools that we do have right 4 now and leverage them. We have instituted certain alternatives and sanctions, but we need more, as the commissioner asked. We are looking to develop what 6 those sanctions can be so that we can use those as not just kind of a set of incentives that we provided 8 in terms of better programming, but also things that 9 we can take away. So, I think through a combination 10 of those things, those are the things that we are 11 12 trying to do right now. 13 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So, the SRG 14 population that we're talking about, is that the 15 majority of detainees that are the most and 16 persistently violent? 17 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: It's not just 18 those. CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. 19 20 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: I mean, honestly, there's a population of some who are--21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Other 23 categories? 24 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Other categories,

just people who are, sad to say, just persistently

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 97 violent. They're not necessarily associated. There are some who are mentally ill and have some mental health designation.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

2.1

2.2

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: This is not to say, by the way, that the mentally ill are far more violent, because I know that has been said in the past, but that's not true.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Understand.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: But those populations do separate themselves. You'd want to deal with them separately.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And are many of them spread throughout the facilities, or are they in enhanced supervised housing? How does that work where you determine where they go?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, we take into account their violent history, gang affiliations and other things to make housing decisions. We do focus on several locations that have more restrictive housing that separates these individuals from the general population, that includes, as you said, enhanced supervision housing, punitive segregation. We also have more restrictive housing units that we

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 98 have used within the north infirmary [sic] command that we have focused on. So we really try to focus on separating them out, lowering the numbers and not mixing them with others who are in the general population.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, and as it relates to the staffing, there's a certain percentage of CO's that need to be monitoring many of these detainees, so in terms of staff reduction,

Commissioner, you talked about some of the changes in terms of your budgeted headcount of uniformed members of service—sorry, I have a PD hat on, I can't help it. I chaired Public Safety for four years—but the uniformed members of service. So, because of this small population that is the most persistent, do you put more COs in thee units to monitor them, and how does that affect the overall staff?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: We do put more staff in those units that contain the inmates that are more violent, and they're given specialized training and we support them with services throughout the facility.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: We have also, and I'll let the Chief speak to this, but we've also

```
COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 99
 1
 2
    moved beyond just increasing staffing ratios which I
     think are important, providing more tools, but we're
 3
     also enhancing kind of the availability of both
 4
     special search teams and our enhanced-- our emergency
 5
     service units in the facilities.
 6
 7
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Did you say SERT
 8
     [sic] teams?
                CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Search.
 9
10
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Search, what does
     that stand for? Is that another acronym?
11
12
                CHIEF JENNINGS: We-- no, we currently
    have a dedicated team that go out and search daily on
13
    two tours.
14
15
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Oh, okay, okay. I
16
    have SERT in my head. Are these the co-response
17
    teams that we had been talking about some time ago
18
    that focus-- so it's not just COs, but it's also
    medical staff as well, or is that something
19
20
     different?
                CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: That is something
21
    different.
2.2
23
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.
                CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: We do focus that
24
```

on the severely mentally ill--

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 100 1 2 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Right. CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: where we do have 3 4 joint teams, crisis intervention team. 5 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right. 6 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: And those are the 7 co-- these are emergency service units, specially trained, basically response teams that we're focusing 8 in and using those special teams in facilities. 9 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And staying on 10 security measures, I know there was talk recently and 11 12 probably still ongoing about the TSA-style scanners that I'm assuming remain in storage. State 13 legislation, state approval needs to happen. 14 15 there any updates on those conversations? 16 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: I think actually 17 Chair Powers kind of mentioned what-- probably the 18 latest in terms of conversations we know, that what we've done is tried to reassure and answer questions 19 20 to people who are taking the vote. 21 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right. 2.2 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: We have certainly 23 put in, and I know it's in the budget, to purchase new machines once the law is passed so that we have 24

those available and ready to go, but we do have to

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 101 wait for any legislation to actually use those types of machines.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So, while we wait the machines remain in storage and then they will no longer be necessary because we'll have to purchase new ones, right?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Well, we will also use any technology we have available to us, kind of especially if the law passed. We'll implement everything we can right away and then we'll, you know, have to wait for new machines to be delivered anyway to expand the number that we have.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So, besides the scanners that we're talking about, what other equipment, DOC equipment, is being used in terms of security measures? I remember conversations in my last term where we talked about other features that we could give correction officers and others to just maintain and enhance safety. Is that still a conversation as well?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: I certainly think, and I'll let the Chief speak a little bit more to this, but I think that we seek any and all tools that we can to use, and I think that many of you know we

```
COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 102
 1
 2
    have expanded one, the camera coverage. We've
    provided Tasers to the Captains of our ESU, and
 3
     Chief, if there's any other stuff you'd like to add?
 4
 5
                CHIEF JENNINGS: Right.
 6
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Is there
 7
    anything else?
                CHIEF JENNINGS: We purchased some Cell
 8
     Sense [sic] machines that we're currently utilizing.
 9
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: What kind of
10
11
    machine?
12
                CHIEF JENNINGS: Cell sense--
13
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: okay.
14
                CHIEF JENNINGS: They actually -- right.
15
     They pick up for different metallic elements, and
16
     we've also upgrade dour line scans, machines.
17
                CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: And I think you
18
     know we are piloting body cameras as well, and so as
     soon as the assessment of that goes forward we will
19
20
     look to leverage those more.
21
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, got it. So, I
2.2
    want to get a little bit into just the capital itself
23
     in my new role. We are meeting with many agencies in
     terms of their capital plans, so both the actual
24
```

commitment plan and the commitment rate, and DOC's

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 103 average commitment rate over the past two years has been 16 and 18 percent, and that percentage really means the number of contracts that are registered by the Comptroller's Office. OMB signs off on it, and we're able to begin construction. So, what I've learned about DOC is 82 percent of the Department's commitments were put in year one, right? assumes that 82 percent of the work will start in year one. That has not happened with DOC. So, what I'd like to understand is 82 percent in the commitment in the first year, is there any reason why DOC upfronts most of its capital money in year one? Is there an assumption that we're going to achieve that in year one, or is that just poor planning on How does that work? our part?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: For those two specific projects, it's not necessarily been intentional that the funding's been put in FY 18 and 19. I'm speaking to the new facilities' 1.1 billion and academy funding, but because we're awaiting the CPSD study actually on both, the CPSD for the Academy and the CPSD for the new facilities, it's been difficult to plan out or appropriately plot out the funding throughout the at least initial five-year

window for design and construction. So, moving forward as things evolve, we're going to work with OMB to appropriately plot out that funding, because that's the majority of our funding in the first two fiscal years is for those two projects.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, but wouldn't it make more sense to wait until the study is done and then make those projections. I mean, before we made these announcements and before the Mayor committed the capital money for the new jail and the closure plan, the Department recognized that we had to include an external company to actually do the feasibility study. So, that to me, was nothing new. So, wouldn't it make sense on the Department to plan after that study is done so that the commitment rate would actually be more accurate and not do it on the front end? Wouldn't that make more sense?

as the Chief of Staff mentioned, we had to remove funding from existing projects to create a lump sum for the new facilities, so that ws the first step.

Then the second step will be appropriately planning out how that funding should be utilized, and you're

correct, it's difficult to plot that out before the CPSD study is finished. So, likely, you know, during the September commitment plan, that's the agency's opportunity to do roll-overs and reassess. So, the CPDS study should be completed toward the end of the calendar year, so hopefully timing will line up correctly that we can then reallocate that funding appropriately.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. In the study that is underway, are we looking to achieve community input? So, I realize that Perkins Eastman has a 10month time frame to do a feasibility study of borough-based facilities, and again, my concern as a representative of the Bronx is that I don't know if that includes Bronx residents being included in these conversations. So, what is the expectation from DOC of what this study is seeking to provide? Is there a vision? Is there a purpose? What are we looking to get in these 10 months that we're waiting for them to determine the feasibility of borough-based facilities? Because we've already announced locations. We have sites in Brooklyn, Queens, and Manhattan, but specifically for the Bronx, like, what are we expecting this feasibility study to tell us,

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 106 and are we really going to involve community residents that live and work in these neighborhoods where these sites are going to be housed?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yes, Chair, I mean, as a part of the CPSD-- so three separate points. First, yes, community engagement is a key factor in the CPSD. They have specific subcontractors identified to work with the-- and they'll be working with the City, with the elected officials, with Community Boards to identify a schedule that actually elicits information, opinions and concerns from the community. Secondarily, they are going to take not just that, but they also have those who we'll be interviewing correctional design experts, not just those in the City, but outside, to really take a look at what principles are available. And to your point, part of the effort to identify these sites and then do the CPSD is to actually take the square footage and the area and realize what options are available to maximize those spaces to offer the combination of safety, programming, and other housing considerations you want to place into those facilities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And when you 3 said some contractors, you talked about the local Community Board. Will that also include local 4 5 community-based organizations as well, and how 6 involved are you with this study in terms of knowing 7 who these folks are? Are we putting all of our trust in this study and allowing them to determine who the 8 local stakeholders are that will be included? 9 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: If you don't mind, 10

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: If you don't mind,

I will defer that one to MOCJ who will be--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Okay.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: answering

14 questions, only because--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Okay,

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: they're a little more involved in the actual planning of that process.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And just one last question on the feasibility study, because it's also going to look at environmental impacts. Is it going to look at not just the borough-based facilities, but training as well? Do we expect that part of the study to be completed as well?

1

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

sure.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 108 1 2 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Sorry, can you repeat that, the training academy? 3 4 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Yes. Yes. Is that included? 5 6 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, no. So the--7 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] That's on a separate timeframe? 8 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yes. The City is 9 looking at the training academy, so that is not 10 something that the city is ignoring. We do have 11 several -- there's no site that's been selected, and 12 so they're taking a look at sites as well for those 13 locations. But it's not officially a part of this 14 15 CPSD study for the new facilities. 16 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So what time 17 frame is that on? What timeframe? It's not a part 18 of the study, so is that simultaneously happening, or is that happening at a different timeframe? 19 20 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Certainly, right now, I think they're taking a look. There is a CPSD 21 2.2 study that is currently out right now for a location. 23 They're taking a look at what the feasibility might be at Fort Totten, I think we've said that, as a 24

study, and I think there are other sites they're just

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 109 taking a look at that other people are proposing. I don't know what the timeline for that is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Well, the reason why I'm asking is because we're putting a lot of confidence in this study and we're expecting things to be done. So while we're waiting I just want to make sure we are looking at the feasibility of a new training facility for correction officers, because it's shameful that they are trained in a mall in Queens. Not acceptable. I've said that many, many times, and we don't give them enough respect like we do police officers. We built a multi-million-dollar facility in College Point for NYPD, but then when it comes to DOC they get second lass training. So, that has to happen at the same time that we're looking at the feasibility of borough-based facilities. So what I want is I want answers on specific timeframes, because if we are waiting 10 month, then I'm going to expect answers in 10 months. So if we don't talk about it now and talk with this company and make sure that these questions are a part of the study. very, very important. Borough-based facilities are going to have correction officers. Training is always underway, and I want to make sure that we're

talking about two different parts of DOC, but at the same time. So, if someone-- I'm happy to talk to Liz Glazer and MOCJ, but DOC is involved, and I really want to make sure that we have answers to thee question, okay?

2.2

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: We're as committed as well, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So, we're going to turn it over to some of colleagues that have questions, and then I'll circle back. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, thanks.

And I know those further questions on the Academy,

we'll get to. So I wanted to hand it over to Council

Member Rory Lancman.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you,

Chairs, and good afternoon. So, first let me say

that in terms of the siting of the jails, we're going

to have MOCJ, my committee's going to have MOCJ, the

Committee on Justice System on March 20th so we can

continue that conversation there. I want to talk

about violence in the jails, and I apologize, I've

been bouncing back and forth. We got a hearing next

door. But you know, the indicators are going in the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 111
wrong direction, and I don't think either you or us
or anyone is really satisfied about the status of
violence in the jails, and I want to talk about
something that you testified to regarding punitive
segregation, because I'm having a little difficulty
understanding exactly what the policy is, and then
broader, exactly what it is your plans are. And you
testified that, "We've reduced the number of people
in punitive segregation from over 675 a day to
roughly 100 which is a decrease of more than 80
percent." So
CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing]
[inaudible]
COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: What?
CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: No, no.
COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So what happened
to other 575? Were they all juveniles? How did you
achieve that very significant tremendous reduction?
CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, there were
several things. One, obviously we eliminated its use

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So--

for 16 and 17 year olds, then the 18 and 21 year

olds.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 112

2 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing] We 3 changed the--

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing] Let me just stop you.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: so that— let's try to do it category by category or method. Like, how many of that is just from the 16 to 21 year olds?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: I couldn't tell you only because I don't have the numbers in terms of just exactly one, the population changes every day, and so the population -- we have gone through one, population reduction over the last four years. We have changed, as the second part of my answer would be, the application of punitive seg so that it is focused specifically on Grade I Violent Infractions, and two, we have significantly limited the -- we've limited the use of punitive segregation in so far as a maximum sentence can only be 30 days, and it would be only 60 days in a six-month period with few exceptions. Before, that was not in place. So you could sentence people for 90 days. You could keep them there for hundreds of days, and you could calculate owed time so that if you left from the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 113
facility and came back on a separate charge, that
punitive segregation sentence would still be waiting
for you so you would continue to serve it out. We've
eliminated several of those things, changed them
because we believe more in kind of immediate and
direct consequence. So, if you are going to be
placed in punitive segregation, you should know why
you're going into punitive segregation and not wait >
months to kind of wait coming back into a system
just to go back into punitive seg. So, that
describes a lot of the reduction. It is kind of a
full approach that incorporates not just elimination
for some sub-population of that, but also through
changes we had in our policy and the way that we
measure the infractions.
COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And you

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And you mentioned for this the term "class one" or "stage one."

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Grade One.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Grade One. What would be an example? Then it goes what, one, one through what?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Three.

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 114
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: One through
3	three. So a grade two would not get you a punitive
4	segregation, correct?
5	COMMISSIONER BRANN: Not necessarily.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Not necessarily.
7	So, well, I thought it was not at all. Am I correct
8	that
9	CHIEF JENNINGS: So, we do have punitive
10	segregation light for some offenses, but those
11	numbers are small.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I see. So what
13	would be the most what we would think, what the lay
14	person would think would be the most serious grade
15	two offense?
16	CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, in terms of
17	grade two they're nonviolent. They're probably
18	possession of contraband and drugs and intent to kind
19	of continue that criminal organization.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So, any kind of
21	violent offense
22	CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing]
23	Whereas grade one are more violent in slashings,

stabbings, serious assaults on inmates or staff.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay, alright.

3 So your testimony is -- and I'm reading it here.

4 After you discuss the punitive segregation, "Let me

5 | be clear, though, we need more. We need a broader

6 set of intervention strategies that respond to negate

7 behavior designed to operate in conjunction with each

8 other to increase accountability, ensure safety and

9 reduce recidivism by preventing future criminal

10 | behavior. I don't see though where you specifically

11 | list the "more." That's a description of the more.

12 What's the "more?" What more do you need, in your

13 words, "We need more," and what's the plan for doing

14 | that? Because, like I don't want to be dramatic at

15 \parallel the hearing. I just want to do nuts and bolts, but I

16 | share my colleague's, Chair Gibson's, profound

17 concern that the correction officers who do this

19 \parallel getting the protection that they deserve, and I and

20 others are bonafide [sic], progressive, justice

21 reformers, but we got to keep these men and women

22 safe. So, what's the more?

23 COMMISSIONER BRANN: So, I share your

24 concern, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I'm sure you do.

2

1

COMMISSIONER BRANN: My staff safety is

3 my most important goal. So everyone who comes 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

24

25

through our doors goes home safe at night. So, these

are the things that keep me up at night as well.

use of punitive segregation is not a one-size-fits-

all, and it doesn't work in isolation. When I say

that, only punishment is not a tool that changes

behavior. It isolates behavior. So, we need other

things. It can't be an all-or-nothing type of tool.

It is one tool in our tool box. What we have to be

12 clear on is what is a right and what is a privilege

when you are incarcerated, and I think there's been 13

some disagreement on what is a right and what is a 14

15 privilege. So, for example, the right to have a

16 visit is a right by New York State Law. You have the

right to have visits while you're incarcerated. 17

18 could be considered a privilege is who do you have a

right to visit with? Is it anyone off the street? 19

20 You don't have to have a connection to the person.

You're free to come into the facilities and perhaps 21

2.2 pass contraband. We believe that clearly defining

23 what is a right and what is a privilege, and what can

we restrict as privileges are meaningful consequences

to people who do not follow the rules. So, if I

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 117 1 2 don't follow the house rules, maybe my commissary 3 gets reduced. If I continue to infract, then 4 something else that's meaningful gets reduced, my 5 visits, more commissary, the inability to go to 6 enhanced rec, how many times you get to go to the 7 barbershop. These things have to be meaningful to the people who actually commit the violations in our 8 facility, and right now, we are restricted in 9 creating and enforcing rights versus privilege 10 11 restrictions. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Mv last question: Restricted by whom? State law? 13 The Board of Corrections? Us? 14 courts? 15 COMMISSIONER BRANN: Minimum standards.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: What's that?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Minimum standards.

CHIEF JENNINGS: Minimum standards.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: From the Board?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Well, I'd like to continue that conversation with you and see if we can't have some influence with the Board where it's appropriate, and you know, we'll be hearing from them

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 118

later as well. Thank you. Thank you, Chairmen,

Chair-folks.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, Council
Member. We will be hearing from Board of Corrections
next. I have in order of questions, Council Member
Rivera, Council Member Holden, Council Member
Grodenchik, and then Council Member Rosenthal.

afternoon. I want to ask about Raise the Age. So, in the recently passed Raise the Age it says that no youth will be at Rikers by April 2018 if practicable, and I want to know with over a year that this has been in discussion and with the preparation that you have been taking, why isn't this practicable? Why isn't this able to happen, and in terms of the timeline of taking youth off Rikers Island, where are you in terms of the resources?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: A couple of points, Council Member, so one, I think that a big effort has been on much like Close Rikers is identifying the space. As the law requires, it would be kind of a facility that is run by ACS and in joint connection with DOC. So really upgrading kind of facilities that don't have-- we have about 125 to

130, kind of, adolescents at this point who would be moving off-island, so we need a space for them to go, and a lot of the work that has to happen in order to upgrade facilities. Right now, we're really focused on Horizon, which is an ACS facility; that takes time. And so April, there's still work being done on kind of changes to the doors, making sure program space is available for such a large population that's going to go in there. We have made commitments as a city to educate those adolescents, to provide them the programming, and we don't want to lose any of that. So, to try to kind of make sur a lot of progress we have actually made within the Department replicates itself in another facility takes time.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, we're looking for an October 2018 timeline of--

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing] And we are still, you know, we're looking at that time. we are still requesting kind of the state to also further help us, because I think that there are things that they can do as well to kind of support us, particularly with a facility they have in there that they might be able to provide, which would give a lot of easier access to a lot of the things we've

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 120 been talking about in terms of separation and programming and education.

2.2

Shift really quickly to the services, specifically on H+H. I didn't see much on your testimony. You mentioned clinically focused housing areas to provide a high level of mental health care and identifying groups where you could create a safer environment for everyone. How many correctional health staff are on Rikers Island, and how many times a week does the Department of Corrections check in with H+H?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, I don't have the exact number, and I think that will be probably part of H+H's testimony, but we do work closely with them. I know at the facility level people meet with them every day. The Chief can speak a little more to the facility at the higher levels. I know that we meet both weekly and monthly at the executive levels to discuss issues that come forward, but the Chief may want to speak a little bit more.

CHIEF JENNINGS: So, daily, in each facility they do have group morning huddles, and in these housing units we do have H+H staff that work alongside with deflection officers in the house.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 121

2.2

add I think that this is—— she said. We work closely not just in every day in the facility, but we have specific units that we've developed. So, while H+H incorporates themselves with the Department, we also have specific units like those for the severely mentally ill where we have specifically joint—trained teams so that we can level both of our skills for dealing with some of the most kind of needy of our population.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: So, besides kind of the daily check-in on some of the, I guess, more serious areas, you said weekly and monthly, more or less.

CHIEF JENNINGS: Right.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yeah, certainly at the leadership executive team we do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Executive, okay.

So, in terms of the Department of Corrections staff, what is the training in identifying inmate mental health needs? Are they all trained to at least identify some of the-- some of their needs in terms of maybe some of the symptoms, the ways that they can help and put them into-- on the path to care.

CHIEF JENNINGS: So, all of the staff
that work in those areas, they get additional
training. So we have a group that actually deals
with that to show you, recognize the behaviors, and
then deal with each one of those symptoms as to what
is accepted and how they should handle them.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: But does all of your staff have at least some basic training in making those identifications?

CHIEF JENNINGS: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: And so given the
- it's a sizable population of the mentally-ill that

are in our City's jail system. What are the health-
what's Correctional Health Services plan for when

Rikers closes and how we are in the transition of

these individuals into different places, into

different facilities and proper care?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, they're fully involved in the conversations that we're having. I would let them speak a little bit more to kind of their own plans and concerns. Certainly, we, in terms of our joint programs, want to replicate a lot of the good work. We have focused on working with them to develop training like the Mental Health First

1 COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 123

2 Aid that the Chief spoke about, to incorporate that

3 with all of our staff, and we have these dedicated

4 units, but I'll let H+H speak a little more to kind

5 of how they're planning, but they are involved.

6 They're dealing with, as a part of the City, joint

 $7 \mid \mathsf{committees}$ to talk about these things.

them, maybe at one o'clock, maybe not, depending on how the time is going. I just like to know what you've seen and heard in terms of how patient medical care is being handled by H+H in your facilities, and if you would commit to advising them to be a little bit more transparent in terms of their data. So, it's kind of a two-part question. I guess one is an ask. You know, we've struggled with transparency with H+H, which I intend to address during the hearing, but also kind of what have you seen and heard in terms of how patient medical care is delivered in your facilities?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: We'd be happy to discuss those issues with H+H and work to some resolution.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: We'll follow up.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 124

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Sure. Thank you.

3 Thank you, Chair.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and thank you, and I know you're having a hearing on H+H. So if you care about the topic, I think it's next door at one o'clock. Thank you. Next is Council Member Holden.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Good morning. Ι just want to talk about punitive segregation now. eliminated-- we are the first in the nation to eliminate segregation 18 to 21 year olds. You might want to rethink that with all the attacks on the correction officers. It would seem that there may be little difference between a 20-year-old and a 22year-old. Also, in visiting Rikers, I noticed there's a frustration with the officers, especially in visiting group one. These are-- actually they're segregated, the offenders, in group one, and they could commit an attack and still not have any consequences. They're still in there. They're still in the same group. So we may have to rethink that. I know your thoughts on-- you say punitive segregation may not work, the studies have shown, but when you actually do a one-size-fits-all, which is

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 125 the 18 to 21 year olds, there are different-obviously some are more mature at 20 than others. So, I think it needs -- that needs and individual basis. We really have to evaluate the inmate and look and just decide well, this person should be separated. A number of violent acts have occurred, and they should be separated. So, might you want to rethink the 18 to 21 year olds punitive segregation? COMMISSIONER BRANN: I just want to

clarify. What I said was punitive segregation alone does not work to change behavior. It just stops behavior for the time they're isolated. And so those who go to ESH Level I and engage in programming, they can earn their way out of that unit into the more or less restrictive units.

 $\begin{tabular}{llll} \begin{tabular}{lllll} \hline \begin{tabular}{llll} \begin{tabular}{lllll} \begin{tabular}{llll} \begin{tabular}{llll} \begin{tabular}{llll} \begin{tabular}{llll} \begin{tabular}{llll} \begin{tabular}{llll} \begin{tabular}{lllll} \begin{tabular}{llll} \begin{tabular}{llll} \begin{tabular}{lllll} \begi$

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yes, absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Right. And the group two, they start getting video games and start getting some of their rights back, and actually group three they have video games, which the general population really doesn't have so much, or--

2.2

what we're trying to do with these inmates who are

persistently violent and problematic is incentivize

the continuation and maintenance of good behavior.

So, as the rest of the levels, yes, they get more

privileges, they get more time out, and those video

games are used as a reward. If they fail to follow

the rules, those games are taken away from them. They

still have to partake in programming, and they get to

COMMISSIONER BRANN: [interposing] So

2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Z 1

25

use that as a reward for continuing good behavior and

keeping the unit clean and doing what they're supposed to do.

don't sit and play video games all day in unit.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: So, the recent

attacks on the officers, you attribute to the

physical plan of Rikers Island. So, it's not really

anything-- it's not your policies. It can't be the

policies. It can't be the more lenient policies and

the experiments; it's the physical plan.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: The attacks on our

officers were a conscious choice of the inmates who

assaulted them.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: SO, but that

doesn't really answer the question. What I'm saying

committee on criminal justice with subcommittee on capital budget 127 here is, maybe it's time to rethink, try something else, and give the officers a fighting chance. The attacks are— and we just had three more recently, I think within the last one— you know, day and a half or so. So, it continues to happen and yet we're not doing anything. It doesn't' seem we're doing anything about it.

2.2

COMMISSIONER BRANN: I don't agree we're not doing anything about it, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: No, I'm--

commissioner brann: [interposing] What I said about the facility layout, not that it causes, but it is a safety concern, because officers can't maintain a sightline of all the inmates in a housing unit at one time. So, if you're walking down a housing area on a tier to check on the individual cells, people can get behind you. You don't' necessarily know what's going on behind you or below you. That's what I talk about with regard to seeing—

mean, there's certainly things that could be done to correct that instead of just changing the entire building, mirrors and so forth and technology, so I'm not quite sure about that one, but I just think we

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 128 have to start to rethink. We have I think a backlog of punitive segregation, and it just seems -- let me just switch gears. I'll agree with my colleague, Council Member Gibson, on the training facilities. visited the Middle Village Training Facility, and quite honestly it looks like it was built in the 1980s, and inadequate is an understatement. It is depressing. It is a disgrace that the officers are put in that situation, put in that building even, and building a new training academy, much like the NYPD, should have been a top priority years ago. talking, and it's not your fault, obviously, decades ago. So we have a situation here. There's not even facilities training. SO you're not actually-they're not training the recruits or the officers in a real life situation like a real jail or a real facility, and that would be a huge problem. Where you go to the NYPD and they have all the latest technology. They have situational things that they put into the courses. So, you guys are-- correction officers are at a distinct disadvantage in training. And quite frankly, I couldn't spend more than hour in that facility. You don't know-- there's no windows. You don't know what's going on outside. There's no

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 129 1 2 light, and you talk about light in the jails being dark, dark, flickering lights, a gym that just looks 3 4 like a small little warehouse. I mean, it just--5 there's nothing put into that. So, I would hope that 6 we have some light at the end of the tunnel as to 7 when, you know-- where is it? Fort Totten, whatever 8 it's going to be, let's get moving on that, and if we can push somebody, let us know, but I just was 9 appalled. I didn't see John Jay, I quess it's just 10 classrooms, is that right? 11 12 CHIEF JENNINGS: That's correct. 13
 - COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, so, you know, there was a suggestion to move the training facility onto Rikers a while ago. Was that last year or the year before that?
- 17 COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yes.
- COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Did that get any traction? I guess not.
 - CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: We're taking a look at all the option.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: You're taking a look. Are there any other locations that you can mention? How many square -- how many square feet do

14

15

16

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 130 1 2 we need for a proper training facility. Some of the Council Members might look in their district. 3 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: We'll have to get 4 5 you that number, sir. We actually do have a general 6 square footage, what would be necessary. We'll have 7 to get that to you. I don't--8 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: [interposing] If 9 you can get that, yeah. 10 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Sure, absolutely. COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: We have-- there's 11 12 a lot of buildings that -- I think NYPD wanted to purchase or at least lease a warehouse in Maspeth for 13 14 a property, and they just stopped that. They didn't 15 go through with that, but that might be another 16 solution. If we knew the square footage and we knew 17 what was needed. 18 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and we will follow up, and I share the commitment to try to 19 20 help get a new academy built. CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: We appreciate it. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I think you agree, 23 because you stated when we met with you that a world,

you know, a world class facility, and we have the

money for it. It would be -- it would be part of an

24

effort to make sure that our jails are safer and people are safe. We have the best, you know, correction officers. We have great correction officers and they're well-trained. Can I just ask one question just to follow-up on that? Is 100 million dollars the cost of what you think you need for-- I know you need-- you have to do a square footage analysis, and real estate markets are different in every single neighborhood, but is the 100 million dollars you believe enough to build a new facility, or you-- would you need additional funding?

2.2

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: We would hope, but as we await the result of the CPSD study and then further analysis of other locations, we'll see, but 100 million is a good start. It's more than we had before.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and I'm just going to reaffirm that we would like-- I think I share the sentiment that-- and I know you do-- that this is important. We want to make sure that the resources, you know, amongst the City, the City's resources and staff and personnel are putting this into a priority category for your agency and across the board to get it built, and everybody's got--

committee on criminal justice with subcommittee on capital budget 132 everybody's got places where in their districts they could probably point to that are potential sites, and I know Council Member Holden's, the local Council Member for the-- I believe you are-- for site, the current one--

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: [interposing]
Yeah, Middle Village.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: not John Jay, but the site at Middle Village, and so you know, I thank you for going to visit it. Next up is Council Member Grodenchik.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Madam Chair. Before I get
into my other questions, as Chair of the Parks
Committee of the New York City Council I would
greatly appreciate it if you are looking at Fort
Totten, when you find what you're looking for, if
it's at that site, I would greatly appreciate being
apprised of the site. We have a lot of youth
activities there. A good chunk, if not most of Fort
Totten is a New York City park now. We have the Army
Reserve still there. It's not in my district. It's
in Paul Vallone's district. There's only one way to
get in and out of Fort Totten, kind of like Rikers

```
COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 133
 1
 2
     Islands, but much nicer youth. We have-- the police
     are there. The Fire Department is there.
 3
     Training Academy is there. The Coast Guard is there,
 4
     and there's lots and lots of other activities that
 5
 6
     are at the fort, and there really aren't big roads
 7
     leading in and out of it, so I would appreciate that.
    With regard to your-- the idea of closing Rikers and
 8
    building new jails, can you tell me the last time the
 9
10
    New York City Department of Corrections built a new
     jail? I'll take an answer from any one of you.
11
12
                CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yeah, --
13
                  [interposing] Yeah, I think it's the
14
     last--
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: [interposing]
16
    Last new jail built in the City of New York.
17
                CHIEF JENNINGS: building was 1991, and
18
     that was--
                COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: [interposing]
19
20
     1991, so I was 31. I'm now 58, so it's at least 27
     years old if my math is still good. Can you tell me
21
2.2
    which jail that was?
23
                CHIEF JENNINGS: The George R. Vernon
```

24

Center.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 134 1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: And where is 3 that? Is that on Rikers Island? CHIEF JENNINGS: On Rikers Island. 4 5 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: And so would it be fair to say that you're really not in the 6 7 business of building jails since you haven't done it for 27 years? I know you're in the business of 8 maintaining jails and correctional facilities, but 9 you haven't built a jail in 27 years. What I'm 10 getting at is I am concerned that we are throwing 11 12 around very, very large numbers of city tax payer 13 dollars here, and I'm worried that the Department of 14 Corrections doesn't have any expertise in building 15 modern facilities. So, let me-- I see somebody 16 shaking their head there, but he's not up here. So, 17 would somebody like to tackle that? Commissioner, I'll take--18 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing] Sure, 19 20 I think--COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: it from 21 2.2 anybody. 23 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: One, the DOC is not in the business of building jails. That's why we 24

participated in kind of the citywide effort on Close

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 135
Rikers included in the CPSD study for design. We
have actually contracted out outside experts to help
us as well as the expertise of the rest of the city
agencies.

2.2

wouldn't be building new jails— if the Close Rikers idea comes through fruition and I favor it for the most part, but I do worry about siting, and those kind of issues, but so the Department of Corrections would be building the new jails, wouldn't be building in partnership with DDC, what do you anticipating?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: So, we act as consultants to whatever design firm is awarded a contract and as the corrections operations professionals, we have input into the layout and content inside the walls, the walls of the facility.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay. Do you think-- we had discussion the other day with Governor Cuomo and one of the matters that came up was design building, which it seems to be able to-- that process seems to be able to move things a little bit faster along. Do you think that would help, in your professional opinions, getting the new jails built once we decide where they might go?

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 136 1 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yes. 2 3 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Yes. Alright, that's a pretty-- that's a synced answer, but I 4 5 appreciate it. Does the City of New York-- I'll get 6 off the new jails -- does the City of New York use 7 electronic monitoring? COMMISSIONER BRANN: DOC--8 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: For inmates. 9 10 So if a judge had wanted to decide, and I apologize because I'm not on this committee, but I am on the 11 12 Subcommittee on Capital. Do we use any electronic monitoring? I mean, I know that there are people 13 14 that have a bracelet put on them and other locals. 15 Do we do that? 16 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So the Department 17 does not, but you can ask MOCJ more a little bit more about what plans are for other alternatives to 18 detention and kind of diversion. 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: So, nobody in the City of New York currently--21 2.2 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing] DOC 23 does not. 24 COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Okay. Oh,

ATI programs, too. Okay, thank you.

The last

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 137 question I have, Mr. Chairman, Madam Chair. According to the New York City Council Department of Fin-- Division of Finance, the average cost per inmate a year is 270,876 dollars. I find that number to be absolutely astonishing, and I want to know in my next 34 seconds how you plan on reducing that. I could, you know, we could send these people to Harvard instead. You know, it would be nicer just not -- this number is just beyond my comprehension, and I think if I share that number as I might tonight with some of my civic groups, they'd probably be shocked as well. We're reducing the number of inmates, and I realize I have a background in economics, so as your -- as your fixed costs remain high and you're reducing the number of inmates, the average goes up, but what is the plan to get this number down to say maybe 200,000 dollars a year per person?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Well, so a couple different things, sir. I think that something that we had said earlier is--

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: [interposing]

I'm sorry I had to miss some of the hearing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 138

2 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: That's quite 3 alright.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Yes.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: We just want to make sure that a lot of the investment we have made also is changing the culture itself. So, in so far we are dramatically increasing a lot of access for the population to programming. So, we have increased programming from less than 45 minutes to over five-to five hours per person offered to each person coming into our facilities. We are really focusing on subpopulations and changing a model that used to be a one-size-fits-all. So we're dealing with different populations specifically, severely mentally-ill. We now have much higher staffing ratios and a combination with our health partners to deal with them. We also have been working with the Federal Monitor and kind of increased the staffing ratio for the adolescents and for our young adults, which is far different than we had before where we were closer to 30 individuals to one, now 15 to one. So those are all changes we're making not just for the purposes of just, you know, just spending money, but because we actually believe that particularly

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 139 within these facilities and the limitations that we have, those are proper investments to both security and safety of our staff, but also the better treatment of the population in our custody.

that safety, especially in a correctional facility has to be paramount, but I have to tell you in all honestly that I don't share your optimism based on the track record, and since I have to vote on the New York City budget along with the rest of my colleagues, we really need to start to see a lowering of that number. I understand you're trying to put new programs in, but the number of inmates has been steadily falling. We project it to continue to fall, and the tax payers are entitled to a better bang for their buck. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and thank you for those comments. I think Council Member Rivera had one more comment because she has to run to a committee and then it's Council Member Rosenthal and Council Member Ampry-Samuel.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you, chairs.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 140 1 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Wait, Carlina had 2 one more questions. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Oh, it's just--4 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing] 5 6 Sorry. 7 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: a comment, Helen. I just wanted to say that you all are in charge with 8 the care, custody and control of every individual 9 that comes through your facilities, so I know that 10 you deferred a lot of what I asked the H+H, which I 11 12 will get to in terms of interviewing that agency, but I really feel like I didn't really get any of my 13 14 questions answered, and you don't have a lot of the 15 data. Considering that Correctional Health Services 16 transferred from Horizon to Health and Services in fiscal year 2016, so the effectiveness of H+H in 17 18 these facilities is still a little unclear especially because of the lack of transparency that I mentioned 19 20 in my earlier comment. So what I'm going to do is I'm going to put these questions probably in a letter 21 2.2 to you, and I'm hoping that you could provide in some 23 detail some of the answers. Okay? 24 COMMISSIONER BRANN: Thank you, we will

25

respond.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 141

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Great. Thank
you. Thank you, Chairs. Welcome Commissioner. I
just as quick background, I used to have a job where
I visited the Rikers, and I appreciate the work that
the officers do every day, the work that you do.

It's not an easy job. So, I just wanted to
acknowledge that. Quick question that I want to get
out of the way. In miscellaneous, in your
miscellaneous revenue line in the Preliminary Budget
we're seeing what I think is an increase in
miscellaneous revenue from commissary funds and maybe
inmate telephone fees. Can you confirm if I'm seeing
that correctly, or is the expected number static?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: So I believe for the commissary funds you're like leasing that correctly, because as the Commissioner and Chief of Staff have noted, commissary can be increased.

Commissary can be used as positive incentive for behavior, so there would be increased usage of the commissary services to the inmates.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I'm sorry, so the benefit is they get to pay more money for the commissary?

2.2

1 COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 142

2 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: They get to 3 shop more.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Why would we want to take more money from these people? Let's go into it another time. I find that baffling.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Just to clarify one thing. I think what the Associate Commissioner was saying is simply that there are— we have expanded the ability for our population to purchase more if they wanted more things.

12 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, it's that-

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing] Okay. We can talk more about it. I just wanted to clarify that.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: If I were-- I hear what you're saying. I think it's an odd way to raise revenue. I get the idea of having expanded selection, but I wouldn't-- I'm going to move on. That one's sill baffling to me, but I'll-- sounds like there's something there. So, what I really want to talk about is headcount and overtime, and I'm-- you know, the biggest picture is I remember sitting her a couple of years ago with Chair Ferreras-

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 143 Copeland, and my understanding was that there was an agreement about adding funds to the budget to come up with a headcount that was acceptable, good, that everyone agreed was "the right number," and I think 55 million, for some reason that number stays in my mind as how much money we added, and the agreement ws that overtime would actually correspond to what the budgeted overhead -- overtime number would be. other words, OMB budgets overtime because there's some planned overtime, right? But that has not panned out, and in fact overtime has increased -- the actual number has increased from year to year, so and from what I understand unless I'm reading this wrong, you are-- the number of filled uniformed positions is not only at 100 percent to what you expected, it's 668 officers more. And I get it that you're going to use attrition going forward as you close jail, whatever. I'm having a hard time wrapping my head around all these numbers. They do not answer the smell test, and I'm just wondering who's driving the overtime. I'm wondering who's driving the headcount. You know, according to our documents, you're-- the Academy is training, I think-- let me look at it so I get the number right. It's something like 100 more

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 144 officers, yeah, 150 more officers between May 16th and November 17 that came out of the Academy.

Nothing fits.

thank you. As you pointed out, we have received additional headcount which we have been hiring up for. However, our projected, our expected hiring has lagged what it is that we would expect to have on board. So, to answer your questions, specifically to us being above headcount, the numbers that you pointed to, that number includes those that we have in the Academy, which is over 800 right now. It also covers everyone who is on payroll, but is not always available to work a post, based on--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
Right, and your vacancy rate is 10 percent, so I
could understand if you were 400 over, but not-- the
math doesn't add up.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VILLALONA:
We're sit-- according to the number that you pointed out, we're 600 over, and we have over 800 in the Academy.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And--

2.2

2 FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VILLALONA:

2.2

[interposing] And so, but beyond that we have over the last several--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
But your vacancy rate, right, is 10 percent.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VILLALONA: So, over the last--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]

So you should be filling, right, a certain number. I mean, if you're saying that for a period of time, but more importantly, if you're saying that for a period of time every year there is duplicative numbers between attrition and how many you're training because you're training more to bring them in, that would be captured in your actual number that OMB has. So, if that's a disagreement that you have between OMB and yourselves, or a timing problem, that should be captured in the numbers that are budgeted.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VILLALONA: So, there is no disagreement between us and OMB. As the Commissioner and others have pointed out, over the last several years we have made additional strides and taken on additional effort in how we treat our inmate population. Part also of the Nunez--

As I say, you're doing your job, and God bless you for doing your job, dead serious, but I'm not interested in hearing that you need more officers.

OMB budgeted you at a certain level. There was an agreement made between the City Council and DOC two years ago to increase staffing by 55 million with the understanding that overtime would meet actual overtime would equal budgeted overtime. That has not transpired for the last two years. So, telling me that your job is hard doesn't answer the question.

Telling me that you're trying to do additional things

like going from 30 to one to 15:1, doesn't answer the

question. That explains why the city agreed to fund

more staff. So, I'm having -- as I say, I'm having a

hard time wrapping my head around this.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: With all due respect, ma'am, so a couple of different things.

One, I think that what is not accounted for, we did put out a plan to kind of increase headcount with a lot of the programs we had placed in the beginning of the Administration. I think we have been pretty honest with the fact that what we have been trying to

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 147 1 2 do over the last two years is expand other programs, deal with different regulations --3 4 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing] I know, God bless you, and it's funded. 5 6 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: And, ma'am, I 7 think that every time that we go forward with this, we are trying to figure out at the same time with 8 other requirements that we have under Nunez and other 9 places where the entirety of our staff have to go 10 through the training programs--11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing] 13 Right, so now you're starting to talk about it. 14 perhaps it's because of agreements you have with the 15 unions about--16 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing] 17 There's not a union agreement, ma'am. This is a--18 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing] OH, I didn't hear you. 19 20 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: federal monitor. I'm sorry. That was a federal monitor. 21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: The federal 23 monitor. So are you now saying that the agreement that you have with the federal monitor is not being 24

accounted for by OMB in the actual numbers?

2 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: No, ma'am, I

3 think--

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing] Or the budgeted numbers.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: As I think you were referencing to an earlier number, every time we record with our budgetary plan, we account for the things that we're going to spend for.

UNIDENTIFIED: We're live [sic].

 $\label{eq:chief-thamkittikasem: I may be } \\ \text{misunderstanding the conversation.}$

can move on and I'm happy to have an internal meeting about this, but so far you're really not explaining why you are abrogating the agreement that was made with Council Member Ferreras-Copeland to control overtime when the City Council agreed to put 55 million dollars more in the budget for headcount, and nothing you've said so far has explained 55 million dollars of what was already put in the budget and why you need all of that overtime. So, happy to follow up with you, but I'm still struggling. It's been five minutes.

2 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Yeah, it's been five.

We'll follow up with you on the point, and I know
Council Member Rosenthal feels strongly about it, and
we want to make sure that her voice is heard. We're
going to do Council Member Ampry-Samuel, and we're
going to try to like a very quick speed round to
close it out. I know you guys have been here for a
while, and thank you to the public and the Board of
Corrections as well for sitting with us. I know it's
been-- we're running late, so sorry about that.

Council Member?

I just want to go back to the Raise the Age question.

I have both Crossroads and Ella McQueen in my

district, and I just wanted some clarification around

what's happening with Ella McQueen and the state, and

there's a lot of back-and-forth between the City and

the State right now around a whole lot of issues.

and so, in the event you are not able to have access

to Ella McQueen, are there any alternatives to where

you might have intake and reception and, you know,

just like what's the plan if you are not able to go

into Ella McQueen?

2.2

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, one, we are working with the state and we have made the request. So, I don't know exactly where things stand with that, and that's a question that'll have to be deferred to somebody else. However, in the-- we are planning, moving full forward with Horizon and Crossroads. Each has an intake. Obviously, the ideal thing is to use Ella McQueen as a central intake, and if those are not available, at least Horizon and Crossroads both have intakes.

COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Okay, so there wouldn't be no-- there would be no problem at all with the actual process of moving everyone into Crossroads and Horizon. Ella McQueen is not a factor if it doesn't happen and you don't need any alternative space. You can do everything you need to do with Raise the Age between the two facilities, Horizon and Crossroads.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: The plan is to actually include Ella McQueen in Horizon and Crossroads, particularly to kind of ease up on kind of intake. So someone else can talk to-- I think MOCJ can speak a little bit more to the Ella McQueen kind of update. Basically, we have a plan for

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 151
2	Horizon and Crossroads. It is help to have Ella
3	McQueen. I think we would prefer it and there are
4	some adjustments that we'd have to make if we didn't
5	Ella McQueen.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Okay, but
7	if you don't have Ella McQueen, you're able to
8	complete the process with the two facilities,
9	Crossroads and Horizon?
10	CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: It would be very
11	crowded.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER AMPRY-SAMUEL: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Let me say on this
14	real quick second. You guys are the Department of
15	Corrections. Who's leading this conversation on
16	acquiring Ella McQueen? Is it MOCJ or DOC?
17	CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: The City is
18	engaged with the state.
19	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So, the City
20	meaning the Mayor's Office or DOC?
21	CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So
22	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Because
23	you're deferring the question to someone else, but
24	you didn't say who the someone else.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: MOCJ and the Mayor's Office.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, so I guess the reason why we're asking is Raise the Age was passed by the legislature last year. It's an unfunded mandate that we have to comply with, right? So, if we are looking at Crossroads, Horizon, and Ella McQueen, we're asking about where the level of conversations are. This is a mandate that we have to comply with in a certain timeframe. So, if there are ongoing conversations, where are we with acquiring these sites? Is OCFS going to give us Ella McQueens? There's state staff there. There's a lot of things that need to happen. So if we are in the process of having conversations, what is the update that we can get today? Who's making the decision on if we're going to acquire these properties or not?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: So we're working with ACS and MOCJ every day on the Horizon and Crossroads, and we have plans in place to have those facilities. With regard to Ella McQueen, that discussion is in the Mayor's Office.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, thank you.

That's what I wanted, an answer. It's in the Mayor's

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 153 Office. So, if we have questions, we need to call the Mayor, because I want to be very cognizant and realistic that these are not final agreements. So, if it doesn't work out with Crossroads, it doesn't work out with Horizon or Ella McQueen, we have to have a contingency plan, right? We have to make sure these young people are going somewhere, and so if these conversations are ongoing and they're leading to dead-ends, I don't want to wait 'til that happens and say we're stuck and we have no place for these young adults to go, okay? So, I will defer a lot of my frustration with the Mayor's Office, and his staff is here so he will hear that, you know, we just want answers. We want to make sure the 16 and 17 year olds have a place to go that is not Rikers Island. That's the bottom line. It's an unfunded mandate, and I have to stick on that point. I was told that the estimated amount of Raise the Age implementation is about 200 million. You can correct me if that's not correct, but those are the numbers that I have, but whatever the number is, there is a number. The state has not given us any money to implement Raise the Age. So when you talk about the staffing and all of the level of services and programs that we have to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 154 1 2 provide, who is going to pay for these services and the staff? How is that going to work if we don't get 3 4 a dime from Albany? CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: I don't know what 5 that cost is. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BRANN: We don't have a dollar amount for that cost. What I will tell you is 8 that we provide the security staff, and ACS will be 9 10 providing programming. CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, so DOC will 11 12 provide security and ACS staff will do the human services, social services, etcetera. 13 14 COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yes, correct. 15 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, okay, thank 16 you very much. 17 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and 18 Council Member Holden and Council Member Rosenthal had one more question. 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Just a quick point and a question. I was very impressed with the 21 2.2 educational program visiting Rikers for the 16 and 17 23 year olds. It's mandatory. They have to attend classes. However, in the 18 to 21 they're offered 24

education classes, yet only I think about 10 percent-

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 155
2	- it's not mandatory only 10 percent really take
3	advantage of that. Is there any way, I mean, to
4	increase the budget to actually or is it against
5	their rights to make it mandatory for the 18 to 21's
6	to attend classes?
7	CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: I think that is a
8	that's a state change, so we wouldn't for a
9	mandatory change that is not within our power.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: It's a state?
11	Yeah, okay.
12	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Council Member
13	Rosenthal?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Sorry, just a
15	quick question, again, just want to nail this down.
16	So, last year overtime was roughly for the uniform
17	- was roughly 240 million. This year you're budgeted
18	for 164 million for this fiscal year. Where are you
19	at right now and where do you expect year-end to be?
20	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: So, when
21	looking at last fiscal year for the first six months
22	of the year, July to December to this current fiscal
23	year, July to December, spending is down by 14
24	percent.

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 156
2	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: Yes.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Or uniform?
4	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: Total.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: It's down 14
6	percent from last year's?
7	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: Comparing
8	the expenditures for the first six months of last
9	fiscal year to this fiscal year.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, right,
11	but the reduction is higher than 14 percent that's
12	needed.
13	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: So, we're
14	still monitoring overtime spending each payroll, but-
15	_
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
17	Do you think you're going to meet the 164 that's in
18	the budget right now, or do you think you're going to
19	spend more than 164 million, at the current rate
20	which is 14 percent lower than last year?
21	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: It's too
22	early to predict at this time.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: How much have
24	you spent year-to-date?
25	

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 157 1 2 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: I don't have that number on me right now. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: But you know 4 5 it's 14 percent less. 6 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: I know that 7 statistic, yes. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: year to year. So what was the number year to year for-- what was 9 the number for the first quarter? 10 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: I can get 11 12 those numbers for you. COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: How do you 13 14 know it's-- okay, thank you very much. 15 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, thanks. 16 So, I'm going to-- I, just again, thank you. I know we're running late. I'm just going to want to-- I'll 17 18 clean up here and just kind of go through a couple questions remaining. Thank you for taking so much 19 20 time with us. The last couple last questions here, and then we're going to have the Board of 21 2.2 Corrections. The Council had passed a number of 23 bills related to some compliance related to the DOC last fall. I wasn't lucky enough to be here to vote 24

on any of them, but I wanted to just check in on your

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 158 ongoing compliance with a number of them. One was about blacked out on paying bail, blacked out periods for paying bail, bringing DOC inmates to a Grand Jury, not bringing them, and bringing them in plain clothes, providing bail facilitation, and then also access to property for recently incarcerated people. I will admit that we've heard that the Department is not in full compliance with a number of all those or a portion of them at least. I wanted to get and I know I just hit on a few of them, but where we are in complying, what's the compliance rate, or what is the expectation of being compliant? CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yeah, I think just in a speed round as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [interposing] Yeah, yeah.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Basically, we have been delayed on the closing of blacked out window while they're on transportation from the court to the jails, but we will within a couple of few weeks actually be able to close that. All the IT is in place. We just have to get the training done. So, that's there. Accepting bails near a court was another requirement. We have instituted that within

queens, but we're trying to figure out other options because DOC doesn't technically have facilities near the courthouse in Bronx or Staten Island. So, those two areas are— we're trying to find options in terms of property to pay bail. During the new admissions, inmates are now able to deposit money so they can kind of pay that. Bail facilitators have been brought on but we're looking at other options to expand that, and then online bail is another effort that I think we'll be focused more on April, speed round.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: We'll follow up on details we need. The Council had done an inquiry, I think, about telephone services and cost of the telephone services, in particularly breakdowns. The Speaker actually I think had a bill in the past related o moving the co-- changing the cost structure, changing the cost. After you accept it, anything you could tell us or can we get information of that inquiry related to--I know there's contacts in place, but I think it's an inquiry that still remains.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yeah, I think we have an interesting contract, and we're happy to talk

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 160 to even more about it. I don't actually have the information in front of me, so--

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [interposing] We may look at that bill--

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Absolutely, sure.

at some point in time I would like just like, you know, have relationship where we can get that information. We're undergoing an evaluation of it. The Country is going under an evaluation of claims around sexual harassment, other types of abuse. Can you tell us, do you guys report that number of either enforced incidents, or allegations? Do you do reporting on that?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: Yes, we do, and by the end of this week our report will be public on our website.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, can you send us a copy if you don't mind as well. Helen Rosenthal has one more question. Just keep-- because I just want to go-- how many current beds are-- we talked about CAPS and PACE which are the, you know, I think services for people with mental health needs. How

2.2

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 161 many current beds does it have in the PACE, and did you anticipate your need of an increase?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, roughly I think about 190 to 200 beds are currently available. We do already have plans to expand some of the PACE units and then other special with H+H.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, thank you.

And last thing on ESH, and I think we're going to look at this later down the road and so some evaluation of it, but how—— We saw different units.

Some are restrained, some aren't. How many—— it changes, I'm sure. But who many people are restrained now or what is like sort of the level——

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing] In ESH?

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Roughly it's 138 total in ESH in all the three level. In terms of a breakdown, I believe it's roughly around 50 or 60 that might be kind of 50 in level one, and then it goes— the majority are in level two, which are without the restraints, and then level three is the smallest population probably in the 10s or 15s.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: And that's of all 3 ages.

4 CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yes, it's all 5 ages.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yeah, yeah, yeah, okay. Got it.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: By the way, we can get you the exact numbers. I just wanted to--

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And we talk about --we talk about -- one last question I'm sorry to interrupt. Council Member Holden talked about, and you talked about it and we saw that, good behavior for anybody who is held on Rikers Island, good behavior could result in a reward or something. We talk about video games and other things as well. Do-- for reduction in violence, do the supervisors receive-- I mean, I guess my question is, is like is there an -- I mean, there's obviously an incentive, nobody wants violence in a jail ever, but does that transfer over to people that work in a jail as well to supervise wardens about ensuring that -- I mean, I guess my point is like are there rewards for reducing violence in a particular unit for a time period?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

COMMISSIONER BRANN: We recognize staff when they perform well and have been able to achieve their goals. So we do celebrate those successes.

there's something, more of an elaborate answer at some point. Thank you for that. I will end it there. We have many more questions as you can expect. We also have many more hearings to cover a lot of other topics. So, I wanted to thank you to-I'll let Council Member Rosenthal ask her final question and then we'll close out.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Alright, just to-- I did the math myself. So you were supposed to have a 30 percent reduction in overtime. You were able to tell us it's 14 percent although you didn't have the number. So I guess the real question is what's your plan to get to what was agreed upon, right? Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER BRANN: So, we monitor overtime usage every day. We have a call with all of the facility wardens. They are required to report on every hour of over time that they use and why they use it. We put plans in place to reduce that overtime. We are now starting a second round of

```
COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 164
 1
 2
     Nunez required training and PREA training which will
     require all of our staff who are currently in our
 3
 4
     facilities to go through that training, and that
 5
     requires backfill. We're also coming up to the pick-
 6
     - prime vacation pick season, and we will be
 7
    monitoring every day continuously--
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
 8
    Again, Commissioner--
 9
                COMMISSIONER BRANN: [interposing] We will
10
    make every effort to reach that cap.
11
12
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:
                                            Again--
                COMMISSIONER BRANN: [interposing] Today
13
14
     sitting here, I cannot give you that answer.
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, but
16
     again, I appreciate the impossible work that you do.
     I mean, I couldn't do it, right? Many of us
17
18
     couldn't. You are doing this and seriously thank
     you, but you had an agreement, and the agreement took
19
     into account all the knowledge that you have about
20
     vacations, about incidents, that -- I'm not about
21
2.2
     that. What I'm curious about is, you got more staff.
23
     You agreed to a reduction of 50 million dollars in
     overtime. You're-- that's a reduction of 30 percent,
24
```

28-30 percent, and you're at 14 percent. I want to

know how you're going to get to the now more than 30 percent you're going to have to get to in order to get to your annualized number, and I think it's a reasonable question for tax payers to ask you for a plan of action, and yeah.

2.2

COMMISSIONER BRANN: I agree with you-COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: and I will meet with you personally, and we can discuss some of those issues, and then I can give you a full plan on how I intend to do the best that we can to reach that goal. I don't think, quite frankly, with all due respect, that two years ago we knew the amount of training and overtime because of the Nunez settlement that was going to come upon this agency.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, it sounds to me like you got more staff and with that you got more overtime. I'm happy to sit down with you, but I think it's the public that deserves and answer.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We'll follow up and we'll-- perhaps set up a phone call or a time to speak in more detail. Thank you. Council Member Gibson is going to close it down.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you so much.
3 Thank you once again for your patience and I really
4 appreciate it. I just want to ask a quick question.
5 A few years ago I sponsored legislation that was
6 codified into Local Law that focused on the Rikers

7 Island nursery, and I want to ask the question about

8 our female detainees. The future closure plan, what

9 are we going to do with females and young women that

10 are entering our system? Where are they going to be

11 housed, and are we looking at female inmates as a

12 part of this conversation?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Absolutely, Chair. We are—they'll be part of the CPSD. One of the main principles we put out is to not minimize any of the services that we have tried to build up for that population now. They are going to be a part of that population, and the CPSD is taking them when they're in the design principles, a lot of the things we've already done here in Roses [sic].

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, so we'll still have the nursery for young mothers as well.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: WE'll have nursery, we'll have maternity, all that.

2 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, great.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Commissioner, in your testimony you talked about a new addition of two million dollars for an inmate assessment tracking system for Strategy 15C. wanted to ask, is that the same as the inmate management system which was formerly called the Jail Management System. There's a 10.3 million dollar commitment in Fiscal 2018 for a new inmate management system, but as I understand, the funding for this project has been in DOC's capital budget since Fiscal 2009, and every year the Department has talked about issues and challenges because of the 14-poitn plan that could have delayed the implementation of this So can you help me understand where we are system. and are these two systems the same that we're talking about or is it different?

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: I think they're-COMMISSIONER BRANN: No.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: Okay.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: No, they are not the same systems. So Jail Management System, yes, you're correct has been in the budget for quite some time. over time the project continually evolves, and at this time we've recently completed an

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 168 1 2 engagement with a vendor to review requirements for 3 the future system and we hope to enter into the 4 procurement phase this coming April, the implementation of the system beginning in December 5 2019. 6 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: December of 2019 is 7 8 when--ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: 9 10 [interposing] Yes. 11 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: you expect. 12 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYONS: To begin 13 implementation. 14 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, so what's the 15 difference between these two systems? 16 FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VILLALONA: So, 17 the Jail Management System has many modules and 18 different components. I can speak to specifically to that piece, but one of the issues that we've had in 19 20 the past is that we tried to come up with one system that did all things, and we tried to approach this 21 2.2 implementation differently. So, going forward we 23 hope that this approach is going to help us to achieve -- successfully replace other jail management 24

25

system.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, well I guess my concern is you acknowledge that we roll this money over year after year after year. We're almost on 10 years now with an implementation in 2019 at a cost of 10.3 million dollars.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: So, the Jail
Management System is different from the tracking
system. The tracking system is the RFID system, so
we-- the wristbands, we know where people are.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BRANN: The Jail Management System is our information system that contains all information about anyone in our facility, historical data, housing unit, date of entry, booking case number, times to medical, what bed they're in, it's a technological solution to managing our operations. And so when you— when we procure and we sign a contract, then we have to design all of the module sin that management system. that's why it takes a year, year and a half, to bring all of the operations people together to sit down and what do they need built into that system so they can have the information at their fingertips.

2.2

2.2

understand that. It sounds complicated, but I mean, it's been more than two years. So, I just want to acknowledge that, you know, this has taken quite some time, and so the existing system we have today, is it a complete, like, rehab? Is it a brand new system, or is it modeling off of the existing one with advancements?

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VILLALONA: You are correct. it's-- our current system is-- we have multiple legacy systems, which do a good enough job at this point, but we would like to advance and add some newer technologies to it, some of which were spoken about here today, including the inmate tracking system.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

addition to-- just really quickly, in addition to what the Commissioner had pointed out, it also tracks every single transaction. So when we talk about 60-70,000 admissions and all of the court processes going back and forth from Rikers to court and even in between our facilities, it's going to require a lot to change. So, that's part of the hurdle.

2 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER VILLALONA: I

4 can--

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Okay, and I guess my final question, and I have to ask as a representative of the Bronx, my colleagues would appreciate it, but what is the future plan for the barge [sic] that sits in Hunts Point that was supposed to be temporary? It's been there for many, many years. As I understand there are about 800 detainees that are there on a daily basis plus staff, and then I also wanted to understand the methodology or some of the metrics behind the site selection that we acquired and are looking to use, the NYPD tow pound location. So, if it's not DOC, if it's MOCJ, the Mayor's Office, please let me know because these are conversations that we have to have with Bronx residents, and we need to know who are the decision makers and what sort of metrics that we used.

CHIEF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, on the barge, the focus obviously was on design of the different facilities, and once we have a better sense of what the timeframe and needs are, then we can talk a lot more about kind of the transition period and where

that falls into the transition. Can't answer it now just because the CPSD is done and kind of those design [inaudible]. In terms of the Bronx, I think that MOCJ can speak more to it. I will tell you some of the criteria we're focused on proximity to the courts, public transportation and enough space to kind of design those facilities that would allow for a fair distribution of kind of the population once off, and it hits the ultimate mark of around 5,000 as a population.

2.2

end with just saying that, you know, we continue to talk and discuss, but please understand that out of the entire City of New York with a closure plan, the Bronx is the only borough that is getting a brand new facility. So it makes sense that we do not have the barge and a brand new facility, because that essentially means to the residents I represent that we have two jails. The barge is a jail. There's staff. There are detainees. There are services there, and if we're looking to build a brand new standalone facility in the Bronx, something has to happen to the barge. So I want to make clear and go on record, because I've said to the Mayor's Office

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 173 talk about the closure and talk about the barge as Do not forget that it exists. Do not forget it sits in Hunts Point and do not forget that it's in the borough of the Bronx. That is very important to us to make sure that we don't forget about this large entity that is operating every single day in our borough. So, I thank you all. There's always a lot more we can talk about, but certainly I appreciate the work you're doing. There is a lot more that we can do. The commitment rate has to get better. DOC has to get these projects registered. I'm happy to work with you working with OMB in making sure that these projects can get up on the ground and running, but overall I want to appreciate the work, the correction staff, the medical staff, the civilian staff. Many of them are friends. They do great work and they don't get the credit. You only hear about the bad things, and maybe that behooves all of us to talk about the good things that are happening to make sure that the media talks about the good things and not the bad things, because there is a lot of good that is happening, and I want to make sure that we use it as an opportunity to learn to improve and improve efficiency so we can really make sure that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 174 our jails are safe for everyone. So thank you,

Commissioner. Thank you to my Chair for being patient. I look forward to working with you.

will echo that sentiment and I know the Commissioner actually had a statement that I agree with recently which is that the Department of Corrections doesn't get the appropriate enough of attention to the agency. Yet, a good quote that I agree with about sort of the attentiveness of the agency, and I thank you for repeating that and talking about it. That concludes Department of Corrections. Thank you everybody for sticking with us. We will now take just a 30-second break to get Board of Corrections up here and resume and then the public. Thank you.

[break]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Good afternoon, and thank you for sticking with us. This is the Committee of Criminal Justice continuing our hearing on the 2019 Preliminary Budget. We are joined by the Board of Correction. The Board's Fiscal 2019 Preliminary Budget totals three million dollars. It increased by 193,000 dollars when compared to the Fiscal 2018 Adopted Budget. The budget of the Board

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 175 supports a headcount of 39 personnel. Just a very quick overview: The Board of Corrections is a nineperson, non-judicial oversight board that regulates, monitors and inspects the correctional facilities of the City. I should note that the City Council appoints three members to the nine-member board. The Board establishes and ensures compliance of the minimum standards regulating conditions of confinement and correctional health and mental health care in all City correctional facilities. The City's jails continued to be plaqued by violence, as we noted, and I believe the Board had played and will continue to play an important role as an oversight We're particularly interested in the Board's role of implementation of Raise the Age as well as the role in the Administration's overall criminal justice efforts. I'd like to welcome Martha King, Executive Director of the Board of Correction who will be testifying, and thank you for being here today, and before we hear from you we have to swear you in. So, I'll have staff do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 176 testimony before this committee and to answer honestly to Council Member questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KING: I do.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and I should have noted we're also joined by Bobby Cohen who is one of the City Council appointees who has been kind enough to join as well. So, you can begin when you're ready.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KING: Good afternoon Chair Powers and Members of the Committee on Criminal Justice and Chair Gibson and Members of the Subcommittee on Capital Budget. My name is Martha King, and I am the Executive Director of the New York City Board of Correction, the independent oversight agency for the City's correctional facilities. Today, I am joined by one of our Board members who was appointed by the City Council, Dr. Robert Cohen. The Board of Correction is using new resources to strengthen its effectiveness as one of the City's key levers in creating safer, fairer, smaller, and more humane jails. New funding and staff, a renewed commitment from Board members, a focus on data and research, and collaboration with the Department has re-established the Board as a partner in the critical

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 177 work to build a justice system that reflects this City's values. Over the last year, the Board has successfully monitored and ensured compliance on key strategies to prevent sexual abuse in the jails, established an interagency team and auditing process to drive improvements to the inmate grievance system, and issued multiple assessments leading to policy improvements. The Board plays a vital role in creating the transparency and accountability needed in this time of change and as we move toward closing Rikers Island. From our experience monitoring the jails for decades, we know that regardless of the future location of NYC jails, they will still need independent and effective oversight. Since it became independent in 1977, the Board has played a leading role in major reforms to the City's jails. include, in 1985, making NYC the first jurisdiction to voluntarily require appropriate mental health staffing in its jails, and, in 2015, making NYC the first major prison or jail system to prohibit segregation for adolescents and young adults. City Charter outlines the Board's five central functions: To establish and ensure compliance with minimum standards for the care, custody, correction,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 178 treatment, supervision, and discipline of all persons held under the jurisdiction of the Department; to investigate any matter within the jurisdiction of the Department; to establish procedures for hearing inmate and staff grievances; to evaluate the performance of the Department; and to make recommendations on areas of key correctional planning. The Board had 16 staff and a budget of \$1.6 million when I arrived in June 2015. With the increased support of the City Council and Administration, a Fiscal Year 19 budget of approximately three million will allow our staff to grow to 36. We have 29 staff today and will reach our head count in Fiscal Year 19. Our recent funding will support -- our most recent funding will support a lead investigator of all deaths in custody. staff member will also manage the work of the City's Prison Death Review Board, which is required by the Board's Standards. Since the 1970's, the Board's death reviews have identified contributing factors and systemic deficiencies to improve policy and prevent future harm. The growth of the Board has allowed us to update and expand the Board's regulations; carry out strengthened and structured

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 179 monitoring; and create more transparency through research and public reporting. Today, I would like to update you on a few areas of recent progress. Board's first new chapter of the Minimum Standards in 25 years is designed to prevent and respond to sexual abuse and harassment of people incarcerated in the City's jails. These new Standards became effective in 2017 and include new requirements for training, investigations, cameras, rape crisis counseling services, and public reporting and incorporate many elements of the federal Prison Rape Elimination Act standards. The Board convenes quarterly interagency meetings with DOC and Health and Hospitals to review compliance, and I issue public updates every six months at the Board's public meetings. The Board has been particularly focused on improving compliance with the requirement that people coming into the jails are properly screened for risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. This screening is needed so the Department can provide housing, programming and other services in a manner that minimizes risk. Because of the Board's work on this issue, the Department created an electronic method for screening and tracking sexual violence risk

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 180 across the entire DOC population and a system for tracking the placement of transgender people who are particularly at risk in custody. The Board also intervened when the Department proposed closing the Transgender Housing Unit. Board staff recently published an assessment of the unit and issued recommendations for improvements. Looking ahead, the Board is in the process of developing new Minimum Standards in two areas: restrictive housing and the Board's variance process. We continue to grow our monitoring staff whose work is based in the jails. They conduct site visits, resolve and refer complaints from staff and people in custody, monitor compliance with the Minimum Standards, and help to smooth the delivery of basic services. Through this work, staff play an important role in calming tensions in the facilities and helping DOC identify issues which may escalate if left unresolved. Increased funding has allowed more frequent monitoring of the hospital prison wards and courts, extended observations of specialized units in the jails, and a focus on improving the inmate grievance The Board helped establish the inmate grievance program in the late 1970s. The grievance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 181 system was created to provide people in custody with an administrative process to resolve issues regarding their confinement. Today, we monitor that system through annual program audits, individual case reviews, and we provide an independent recommendation on all cases that reach the highest level of appeal. If the Department of Correction limits a person in custody's access to any of eight key programs or services including visits, law library, and religious services, then that person can appeal the restriction directly to the Board. We have expanded and improved our restriction appeal handling process, responding to approximately 270 appeals in 2017. We issue monthly reports monitoring these appeals and in-depth reports on patterns and practices in these areas. For instance, last week, we published findings regarding 1,100 visit restrictions and our recommendations for a safer and more accessible visiting process. The Board's role as an independent and neutral arbiter in this area is one of few national models for jurisdictions that are trying to improve their jail grievance systems. Another focus of our monitoring continues to be access to health and mental health care. We work with Health and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 182 Hospitals to publish an extensive monthly report that shows, jail-by-jail, whether people have access to every type of health and mental health service. response, Health and Hospitals and DOC have developed an action plan to improve access. Nationally, these reports are the most comprehensive real-time reporting on health and mental health care in a jail Evaluating operations and outcomes in the jails, sharing data, and increasing transparency are crucial to maintaining compliance with Board Standards. With increased funding, we have expanded this work. For instance, in the past year we issued comprehensive reports on Enhanced Supervision Housing, ESH, the Transgender Housing Unit, the increased use of jail lockdowns, and the first empirical analysis of splashings of staff in the country. Many of the Board's recommendations were adopted in ESH such as narrowing the criteria for admission; providing more individualized programming and timely reviews of progress; including the person in custody in their review; and revising the appeal process. Additionally, we have worked with DOC to develop multiple public reports to track compliance and performance. In 2017, this amounted to 52

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 183
reports from DOC on segregation reform, restrictive
housing, young adult programming, and for the first
time, DOC's own audits of their compliance with the
Minimum Standards. These new sources of information
inform Board policymaking and are uniquely
transparent for a U.S. correctional system. More
cities and states as part of their justice reforms
are creating correctional oversight bodies similar to
the one New York City has had for over 60 years. Just
last week the Washington State Legislature created a
new, independent corrections ombuds office. We are
thankful that this Administration and City Council
have shown increased commitment to a strong, active,
and effective Board of Correction. The Board is now
better positioned to play an important role in
reform, and we look forward to collaborating with the
City Council and its many members who are engaged on
these issues. Thank you again to Chair Powers, Chair
Gibson, and the Committees for the opportunity to
testify today, and we're happy to take any and all
questions.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Good, and I should have said Doctor Cohen.

ROBERT COHEN: Yes, thank you.

it. Thank you both for being here. Thank you for that in-depth testimony and for proving us some more information. The question I have sort of asked-- the question I've asked sort of all-- all agencies have come to us today. It's generally you're funding, do you feel like your needs are being met? Is there funding you've asked for from OMB that hasn't been met, and any new funding that you'd be seeking beyond, you know, generally beyond what you're-- is in your Preliminary Budget?

we're very excited about the growth that we've had, doubling our staff and our budget, and we're still hiring to get to our full headcount, which I expect will happen this coming fiscal year. You know, as plans to close Rikers, as other needs emerge, I think we'll revisit it, but right now we're just focused on the doubling of our budget and doubling of our staff.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. And you've added— as you've added the headcount, can you give us an idea of what that impact has had on the Board's ability to do its job and serve its role and ways it's improved of work, of you know, how do we

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 185 tell that it's working, but also sort of what the work that's been done as you've added headcount over the last few years?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KING: Sure. So, the new staff has allowed us to visit the prison hospital boards and the courts much more frequently. didn't have a presence there for some years. So, that's been an important change. A lot of the staff resource have also been focused on using data and using data to monitor the performance of the Department and making that much more transparent, so there's been a significant increase in our communication about what we know and sharing that information which has been important, and the most recent additional funding is going to allow us to have a dedicated death investigator. So, last year there were actually the fewest number of deaths in the jail ever, which is very good news, but we've revised our process for the death investigations which are extremely complex and sensitive, and we think this dedicated person will allow for a much more robust, a much more transparent and coordinated process with DOC and H+H.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1

2 ROBERT COHEN: We have a few things. think the reports that have been produced by the 3 4 board over the past year have been excellent in 5 quality and very informative to the City and surely to the Council, and those are published on our 6 7 websites, and certainly be happy to give it to any Council Member directly. Preparing these reports is 8 complex, because as you heard a few minutes ago, the 9 10 Department's management systems in terms of data collection are not quite up to what they feel that 11 12 they need, and our reports basically are taken off of texting, which is the way that the Department hears 13 14 about what's -- and reports what's going on within the 15 jails, and that requires staff time, but we feel that 16 what we've produced on that is extremely-- is 17 extremely valuable. I think that the Board has 18 worked very closely with both H+H and the Department of Correction on issues around medical care, which we 19 20 should all be concerned about, those particularly, and we identify those issues by requiring the H+H and 21 2.2 the Department to provide us with monthly information 23 about appointments that were scheduled and 24 appointments that were not kept involving trips to 25 hospitals particularly, trips for specialty care and

on-island specialty care, and the base-- and collecting that data and analyzing has pushed both Departments, H+H and Corrections, to work together to substantially improve that. It is still something that requires much greater improvement. A substantial number of referrals to specialty care are not being made, but I believe there's a commitment on both H+H and DOC to make that happen. That's another example of the work that we've been doing.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you for that, and I was going to ask a question about healthcare, because I note that the Chair of the Hospitals

Committee that oversees H+H I think is in a room around here having her hearing, but had noted the concerns that we had seen in some of the reportable data to us about appointments and meeting the needs of all individuals and making sure that H+H is doing a good job. I know that they took over a few years ago. We always want to make sure that we're seeing that the needs of people are being met. The-- just on-- you talked about the new position to investigate all the deaths of people in DOC custody. Can you just tell us a little bit more about sort of how that will improve your operations? Is that an unmet-- is

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 188 that an unmet position right now, and is it new, or is it in addition?

2.2

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KING: Yes, it's a new position, and the—So, the Board thinks that this type of investigation is really crucial to preventing future harm, right? And the Board doesn't do investigations for the purposes of pursuing criminal culpability or individual discipline. This is about looking at anything that might have gone, all of the contributing factors, and trying to then generate lessons and changes in policy to prevent future harm, and we've had a great consultant from the UK with the lead death investigator in prisons there, and we fully revised our process, and it's just going to be much better coordinated with DOC and H+H and much more transparent and more robust.

ROBERT COHEN: I'd like to add something to that. A number of years ago when I was Director of the Health Services on Rikers Island for Montefiore we reviewed deaths and we reviewed suicides, a very important— something that happens in jails, something that is preventable, and we identified serious problems in the delivery of mental health services, and out of that developed as Martha

referred to, the standard on mental health services.

These reviews are sentinel event reviews of deaths, and they involve all the—you know, they involve the Department. They involve the Health Department.

They involve the Board, and they could involve as they have in the past the coroner, you know, the medical examiner's office, the hospitals. Now that H+H involved it's going to involve the hospitals and the hospital care that people receive. So we think that this a — this is the way to understand deaths do happen in jail. Some of them are not preventable, but often they are, and this is something which will benefit everybody who's living there.

I'm just going to keep moving in the interest of time and have my colleagues also ask questions. Just on the transgender unit, we had heard some conversation, perhaps not everybody knows exactly that it's available and there's services, and you guys have done some work on this. Can you tell us about the work you've done it and assurances that people that need appropriate services and attention we're getting them?

2.2

1

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 ROBERT COHEN: Well, we issued recently a report on the transgender unit, and it is a news 3 report which describes a unit that's functioning, but 4 it was a very-- it was a fairly critical report 5 6 regarding a number of aspects of DOC functioning 7 versus relative to the transgender persons. described a system in which half of the people who 8 ended up in the system never had an application. 9 described a situation where people who applied waited 10 many, many months to get in. It created -- described 11 12 a system where people did not know how to apply and 13 there was not the capacity to appeal. We've raised 14 all these issues to the Department. They're aware of 15 them, and we asked them two other specific questions 16 at our meeting this week. One, will the unit remain We were told, "We'll get back to you on that." 17 18 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay. Can you keep us posted on that, please? 19

ROBERT COHEN: Yes. [inaudible]

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: ESH, obviously the Board had implanted new rules, I think in 2015, related to punitive segregation and ESH. Can you give us in your opinion how effective is ESH? Has it helped reduce violence and other measures that the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 191

Board has looked at either in the past or looking in

the future related to preventing violence and other

measures for discipline?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KING: Sure. So, you're correct about the history of ESH and it was a part of the segregation reforms that the Board and the Department and the City worked on. We've issued two public reports on ESH and a lot of improvements, I think, and recommendations from those reports have been implemented. The nature of the unit has changed many times in the past two years out of three years that it's been operating. So I don't think it's -- it would be fair to judge or evaluate its impact at this moment. I mean, obviously, violence remains a Safety is, right, the headline. And so it concern. doesn't-- it's not clear that ESH is reducing violence, but its changed, and it keeps changing and it keeps hopefully improving. The Board and Doctor Cohen as a member of this Committee is looking at all of the restrictive housing practices in an effort to write new rules related to ESH, but also all of the alternatives to punitive segregation that now exists.

ROBERT COHEN: I agree with Martha. It' a complex issue, and some of the questions raised

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 192 before were very important. There are 68 people today in restraint status in ESH. The Board tries to track this number very closely. In our most recent report, so we described a situation where 80 percent of the people in the ESH were not progressing out, but in fact were only leaving when they left the Since January and February the Department system. has changed its policy and is now more frequently evaluating people and the numbers that are being transferred into non-- into general population or have not left restrictive housing has improved, and we're glad to see that. We think that our reports are important to that. It should also be stated that there are multiple other disciplinary units for the young adults. There's a TRU. There's a Second Chance Unit. There's a Secure Unit, and three levels There are questions that the Board has raised about appropriate placement of persons in these units. We think that there have been very significant cases where persons should perhaps have been placed in a more secure unit rather than a less one, based upon the reason, the infraction that they committed, and we are in discussions with the Department about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. And the Department when they were here in their testimony said we need more. We asked them to kind of flesh out what more looked like. They didn't, I think, provide concrete examples of what more means or what it looks like, but they stated a belief that they-- I could find their testimony and repeat it. I think that leaves a couple questions for members who are not here, so I wanted to re-ask their question, which is do you believe that the-- beyond what's being provided right now, that the Department-- you agree with the Department, I guess, that they need more tools to use for discipline, and if so what might those be?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KING: So, I think
that the Board has been clear and the Department has
been clear that the disciplinary system still needs
to be updated and that is, you know, why this rulemaking is taking place. I think the Board I awaiting
a proposal from the Department on that issue. I
think-- there's the issue of more alternative
housing, and then I guess there's the issue of
alternative restrictions or sanctions, and I think we
believe that the Department will make a proposal to

the Board that involves both, and the Board and the Department are also looking at the existing use of the housing that we have and the restrictions that are available, and so I think, you know, there are lots of restrictions including segregation that are not currently being used at the capacity or to the end that they could be used.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 194

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ROBERT COHEN: I'd like to add. Commissioner Ponte used to say, and I agreed with him, that you know, that it's hard to have a graduated sanctions when you don't' have much to take away, and it's been the case in the New York City Department of Corrections for a long time that it was just a pretty brutal place without programs, without things that people want4ed, and therefore, the sanctions were quite limited. I don't think it's correct to conflate the fundamental rules of the Board of Corrections with things that should be liable to sanction. Previously, more should not be on the visits I believe. More-- when the Department proposed as they, I quess, will be proposing again to limit access to visits, they were particularly-- we analyzed that closely with them, and the visitors who are going to be denied access to the island were

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 195 people who were the family and friends of the people who were on Rikers Island, and because of a system of criminal justice which puts too many people in jail, they would be not allowed onto the island because of their past history, and the Council supported it strongly, the Board in not supporting those proposals. So, I-- I'm not looking forward to that with commissary. The Board has no problem with it, and you know, but I think this is a difficult thing. We've also -- one other thing, we've offered the Department the ability to use time-outs and individual on-the-spot sanctions which COBA has asked for and the Department has consistently refused to do So, I think there's room to do things.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

and we'll follow up with some more questions on that and I think look at this in the future to- I know it's obviously because of the conversation amongst all different parties, both the use of restraints, but also the what's allowed, what's limited. Just going to the actual facilities for a second, we were there recently. We were joined by COBA. We were joined by Board of Corrections members and had a, I think, an interesting conversation both about the

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 196 safety and security, but also the facilities themselves. Can you give us what the Board's assessment is of the current facilities under Department of Corrections' jurisdiction?

2.2

briefly. The Board has been supportive, generally, I think of the plan to move off of Rikers in large part because of the sort of current state, poor state, of the facility, and has written reports in the past about how the poor state of facilities does also contribute to weapons and violence on the island.

ROBERT COHEN: Yeah, I mean, I worked there in the 80s. There are many facilities that I worked in are still there. It's a decrepit place. It should be closed down. It's not a place that makes you want to go to work. I think it's very important for all of the staff who work there to be in a facility which is clean, well-lit, not vermin infested, and it can be much safer than this one is. So, I certainly, and I think the Board looks forward to creating a new and better system off the island where people will have access to the courts easily, and where their lawyer will have access and their families will have access.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 197

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Great, thank you.

I'm going to hand it over to Chair Gibson for questions.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: thank you so much. Thank you for being here. Thank you for the work that's done by BOC. I just had two quick questions. In the testimony you talked about the focus of the Board being access to health and mental health. Chair Carlina Rivera, who is chairing a hearing right now, spoke a little bit about H+H, and I wanted to understand fully your role in making sure that detainees are given quality services, particularly when they first arrive at Rikers Island and there's an assessment that's done in terms of their level of care, the continuity of services, and maybe I speak out of personal experience of knowing individuals that have entered Rikers Island that have medical staff on the outside, and there hasn't been a continuity of care, because there's an initial assessment that's done, but there's no discussion with the outside medical staff in terms of that individual's level of care that they were already receiving before they entered the island. So, how does that work with Board of Corrections in terms of

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 198

your relationship with H+H as it relates to the

administering of health and mental health services

for detainees on the island?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KING: Sure, thank So, the Board has standards on mental health care and on healthcare in the jails, including the requirement that someone is screened and assessed within 24 hours of coming into the system. The Board works with H+H on a monthly basis to release a lot of data, focused on the issue of access up to substance use healthcare to nursing to dental work to every type of service. So, the Board, just like it monitors, you know, the other conditions of confinement, monitors these health and mental healthcare standards. I think you raise a very good question and point about the continuity of services for people, and I think the transition to H+H correctional health talks a lot about hopefully that improving the continuity of care and the ability for the doctors inside to collaborate with Health + Hospital doctors on the outside to improve continuity of care.

ROBERT COHEN: Well, you know, our standards, they're Chapter Two, I think, of our book

describe in detail what should be done at intake.

They don't say-- I don't think they-- I don't know if they particularly refer to contacting an outside doctor, but I would if I were family or if I were a person think that would be a very reasonable thing to ask if there's information that's required that's not being respected. Most medications I think that are being-- conditions that are being described on admission probably are respected, but in certain cases, I assume ones that you're describing, they're not, and in those cases they have telephones, the staff there, and they should be calling. We have not monitored that, but I speak with H+H and ask them about that question.

2.1

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

ROBERT COHEN: And you can ask them directly.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, no, no, we certainly will, because I do think there is a concern that's been raised before, and certainly I would encourage the Board to work with H+H, because not just is it an issue of continuity of care, but it's also about the level of prescriptions and medicines that are available. I mean, there are changes when

you're on the outside and you have a dedicated staff, you have a diagnoses, things change when you get on the island, and it's not consistent, and I know H+H is still fairly new to this process, but certainly it makes sense for BOC to be on top of H+H to ensure that individuals are either being sustained in terms of their medical issue, and they don't get worse while they're inside. I mean, the goal is to make them better and rehabilitate them if they have a diagnosis, if there's some sort of a disability, but there are many cases where individuals leave and they're worse, and it's because of the medical care.

ROBERT COHEN: Well, you know, we-- we have set standards. We have-- at this point don't have the complete capacity to monitor--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Right.

ROBERT COHEN: compliance with all the standards, but I think the issue that you've raised, it's a very important issue, and it's not just restricted to Rikers Island. People are on certain medicines, sometimes those medicines are not available in the formulary. Sometimes don't believe people about what medicines they're on, and these

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 201 things have to be dealt with individually and right away.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And I quess my second and final question is a little bit on the safety and security, the punitive segregation, a lot of the rules and regulations that are set forth by the Board that are ultimately administered by the Department of Corrections, there have been lots and lots of changes, and I along with many of colleagues we get many, many emails from BOC on changes and rules and regulations. So, when DOC was before this committee earlier today, I mean, we acknowledge that progress has been made, but I think it's also important to acknowledge that we still have a lot of challenges. We don't hear about all the violence that's going on on Rikers Island, but that doesn't mean it's not happening. It just means that it's not hitting the public, but DOC, BOC, I mean we are very cognizant of the continuous competitive cycle of ongoing violence by the small population of violent individuals that make life unbearable for everyone. So, my question is with some of the changes that we've made, one of my colleagues talked about punitive segregation, the enhanced supervised

housing, but what is the Board of Corrections doing to reduce the violence on Rikers Island? Just point blank question, what are we doing to make sure that we reduce violence on the island? Because again, I brought it up earlier, if the violence isn't reduced on Rikers Island, then the assumption for many New Yorkers is that violence is going to transcend to the borough-based facilities, and no one wants that, so that's been my key issue because it's a real issue and lives are at stake, everyone. And so I want to understand from BOC's perspective what is happening and what are we doing to reduce violence on the island?

2.2

the Board standards are a product of lots of factfinding and collaboration with many parties,
including the segregation reforms, and the new
restrictive housing rule-making that the Board is
engaged in is directly focused on this issue of safehousing and violence in the jails, and the Board's
role in creating regulations is often a responsive
one also, and so as I said, I think we're looking to
DOC to give us a proposal on some of these issues. I
think the board has also been supportive of the

City's 14-point plan, which we do think is comprehensively addressing to the issue that need to be addressed, including training and programming and cameras and culture change and more accountability.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'd like to add and ROBERT COHEN: amplify and not put things in exactly that context. All of the changes that are in our rules currently about the establishment of ESH, about the elimination of solitary confinement for young adults and for adolescents, for the establishment of ESH are all-were all proposed by Commissioner Ponte. We were moving towards that, but everyone -- these are not rules that the Department, that Board of Correction pulled out of a hat somewhere. They were based upon theories and practices supported by the Commissioner and by the Mayor and they would not have passed the Board if they hadn't done that. I would add, Councilman, that there is a culture of violence on Rikers Island, which is beyond what we're talking about right now in terms of ESH and punitive segregation. That's why there is a lawsuit which is going to issue its fifth report in a month or so, a fourth report which is hard to read but I recommend you reading to understand better the situation there.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 204 This describes a culture of violence which has been going forever, and I've only worked on and about Rikers Island for more than 35 years. nothing new in terms of -- and there's no new explanation in terms of the violence there. accusation against gangs will be there in the New York Times if you look back 25 years or you look back 35 years. So there's a lot of work to be done, and it involves training. I think it involves smaller jails. I think it involves adequate staff, but I just want to add that to our understanding of what the problem is and the needs for the Bronx as well. CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: No, no, I agree, and I appreciate the honesty. It is a systemic practice. It doesn't mean it's impossible to achieve. It is a culture and its environment, and sometimes I think it's something that the system created. One of the things you describe when you talk about all the different factors, the biggest part of this is accountability. People don't feel that they are held accountable to anything. There are certain standards that we talk about, minimum standards, which we have

to achieve, but I would say we have to increase those

minimum standards. It's not enough. All of the work

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 205 we've done, the 14-poitn plan, security measures, the cameras, are important and they're a part of the conversation, but it's simply not enough. If people feel that when they go on Rikers they have to use violence as a means of survival, then they're going to do it. If they do it and they get away with it, they're going to continue to do it, and I worked very hard with the Bronx District Attorney with Darcel Clark in implementing the Prosecution Unit that's on Rikers today. That wasn't an easy conversation, but it was necessary, and now with Darcel leading, you know, I'm seeing that things are happening and changes are happening, but the violence is still very, very real, and I don't know how to say it any more than to just say, yes, our job is still not We have to be creative. We have to look at done. other options. We cannot be complacent, and you know, we can't say with confidence that we're satisfied at where things are, and I think as long as we continue to acknowledge and talk and be honest about what's really happening, then our work will continue. So, I appreciate the work that the Board is doing, and certainly encourage you particularly on the health aspect to really dig in a little bit more

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

to find out the consistency and some of the deficiencies that are already in the system-- very, very important. If we're talking about borough-based facilities, all of these issues have to be addressed, because we'll still be talking about them in each borough, and so we want to make sure that these conversations we're having today. So, I thank you for your work. Thank you for your time today, and I'll turn it back over to Chair Powers.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. Thanks for the questions, and thank you for being here. We have a lot more questions, and we'll certainly follow up, and I think we'll have some additional hearings on the subtopics that we discuss, so we'd love for you to come back and share your opinions and your expertise on those topics. In the respect of time since we're way over already, I wanted to make sure we get to the public as well. Thank you both for sharing your testimony, and I know for digging deep into the issues that concern everybody under sort of the headline of corrections and [inaudible] are doing their best to try to keep people safe. So, thank you for that and we'll now move on to public testimony.

25 Thank you.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 207

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR KING: Thank you.

ROBERT COHEN: Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: We're going to start with the public testimony. Again, apologies for the delay. We will start with the representatives from the Correction Officers Benevolent Association.

Thank you. Thank you for being here, and again, note—thank you for staying through what was a long, I know a very long, process. So, let's get right into it. If you don't mind, just introducing yourself, and then you could read your testimony.

Thanks.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Good afternoon. I'm

Elias Husamudeen. I am the President of the

Correction Officers Benevolent Association, and I'm

here with one of my board members, Angel Castro, who

is the Manhattan Borough Trustee for the Correction

Union. I know this is a budget, Preliminary Budget

hearing, but I sat there for hours listening to some

things that I just have to take 30 seconds or

whatever to address. The one thing that Doctor Cohen

forgot to mention, he quoted the former Commissioner

Joseph Ponte, the one thing he forgot to say is that

Joseph Ponte in writing begged them not to eliminate

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 208 punitive segregation. That's the one thing that Joseph Ponte did. He begged them not to eliminate punitive segregation. His reason was he said it was too violent, and that he needed more time to bring the violence down before eliminating punitive segregation for the 18 to 21 year olds. I think everybody wants to ignore that, and I don't think that it's fair. I think also to have Judge Cohen--Doctor Cohen sit here and say that Rikers Island is a culture of violence is also disingenuous and unfair to those of us who are charged with care, custody and control. If you listen to District Attorney Clark and her testimony before the Board of Corrections, she made it very clear, look at who we are arresting. We're arresting gang members. We're arresting them for what? Violence. Where are we putting them? Rikers Island. That's where we're putting them. unless we're under the impression that once they get to the island because they're there for attempted murder, gun charges, assault, felony assault, unless we think that that behavior is going to change, then to say that correction officers have created or have allowed a culture of violence to go on inside the New York City jails is unfair and he should never say it

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 209 again, and you guys should not allow anybody to say that, because the fact of the matter is, the NYPD arrest these people. The District Attorneys are arresting these people, and since we're not arresting marijuana smokers and people who urinate on benches or jump train stiles anymore, who are you arresting? You're arresting the more violent ones, aren't you? So, of course they're coming to Rikers Island, and of course there's a culture of violence. The other thing is, one of your -- I forgot her name, but she was really heavy on this overtime thing. thing that the Department failed to say, we are an agency that's faced with mandatory overtime. So, if you're in the NYPD, and Ms. Gibson, I think you can testify to this, if two police officers don't come to work on a particular day, do you know what happens? They shut down that sector, or they'll have another sector do both sectors. And in the New York City Department of Corrections, if two correction officers don't come to work, we have mandatory overtime. can't leave 50 to 100 inmates without supervision. So guess what happens? I, as a correction officer, get stuck for overtime. If we have an incident that breaks out at 2:30 in the afternoon and I get off at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 210 3:00, guess what happens? I'm stuck for another eight hours until we finish either searching or whatever it is that we have to do to address this issue. So, I get where she's going, and I guess she's just stuck on, you know, the 50 percent or the 50 million, but at the end of the day, we're an agency that requires mandatory overtime. thing, then I'll read and I'll stop. I think that Commissioner Brann and the Agency should not be allowed to get away with passing the buck. She as the Commissioner of this agency can override any minimum standards when it comes to safety and security. If safety and security of a facility is jeopardized, she can override any minimum standards, and she doesn't need permission from the Board of Corrections or the State Commission of Corrections or any other oversight committee, that's the Supreme Court law. She's responsible for keeping the inmates safe. I am COBA President. Thank you for inviting us to speak. I'm a little bit upset that we're considered the public when we're actually the biggest stakeholder in this entire process. I mean, I have 10,400 correction officers and I'm testifying as part of the public. I'm not really part of the public.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 211 I'm not the Administration, but so be it. Good afternoon, Chairman Powers, Chairwoman Gibson, and members of the Criminal Justice Committee. My name is Elisa Husamudeen and I am the President of the Correction Officers Benevolent Association, which is the second-largest law enforcement union in the City of New York. Our members, New York boldest [sic], are responsible for the care, custody, and control of the inmate population in the nation's second-largest municipal jail system. I thank you for the opportunity to address the committee today concerning the Department of Corrections Preliminary Budget request. With regards to upcoming budget negotiations, we have identified a number of areas where the City needs to invest its resources to enhance our ability to perform our job. requests, if granted, will not only improve job performance, but will also help to support efforts to maintain the safety of correction officers and inmates alike. First and foremost, correction officers desperately need a Correction Academy, which you guys covered today. Even the Federal Monitor cited in his report that the current academy is not sufficient to provide optimal levels of training.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 212 need a state-of-the-art academy consistent with the training academies that the City provide to other agencies. This is an issue that the Council has already begun to pursue, yet, it mysteriously disappeared from last year's budget negotiations. am going to quote from the Council's own response to the 2018 Fiscal Year Preliminary Budget Report issued last year, "The Council calls the Administration to increase DOC's Capital Budget to support the construction of a new training facility off of Rikers. DOC's current training academy is limited in space and in poor physical condition. deficiencies inhibit the DOC's ability to reliably and consistently train its staff. The current facility is in use 24 hours a day. There are few bathrooms. There are 12 window-less classrooms and space is crowded. The building condition inhibits students' ability to practice and learn techniques. To accommodate recruit and staff training, DOC has moved some training classes to John Jay College, but that space is limited and only temporary. training academy will allow DOC to adequately train its staff in a safe and consistent manner." We were told by the Mayor's Office last year that 100 million

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 213 had been allocated in the Mayor's Budget for a new correction academy. We were even told that an actual site for the new academy had been selected. So, on behalf of the thousands of correction officers who will be joining the Department of Corrections over the next couple of years, I am asking you to hold the Mayor's Office accountable. Help us make the vision for a new academy a reality. Correction officers also need personalized gas masks assigned and fitted for each officers. We need smartphones and tablets just like other agencies have. They would help streamline all the DOC paperwork and enable us to make important statistics readily available to the Council. Actually, those smartphones, when you ask these people that sat here before me questions, if we have smart phones we're able to actually use them as other agencies, then they won't be able to sit here and say, "I don't have that information, or I'll get it to you." Firearms range improvement: The DOC is currently operating with one range, Adam Range, which actually belongs to the NYPD. There are approximately 10,000 members of service who are required to have firearm training. Ten lines need to be budgeted for a support team in order to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 214 continuously train current members and recruit. DOC needs to operate with two ranges to meet the current needs of the agency. DOC facility repairs and upgrades: The current DOC facilities are old and falling apart. There is a great need for reconstruction and repairs to be made on existing buildings, and that's something that the Council should actually continue to push because if you're not going to have four new jails for 10 years, can you fix the ones that we're currently in? Emergency Service Unit improvement: the 911 system of the DOC is our Emergency Service Unit, ESU. Our ESU needs a strict training budget to fund drills on a daily basis. Our ESU needs a new facility to accommodate increase in occupancy needs. Our ESU needs funding to enable the Harbor Unit to safeguard the perimeter of Rikers Island for security reasons. We need new riot gear. We also need new equipment for our response pro teams and new riot gear in the staging areas. Some of the equipment that we're using is so outdated, eight years old, 10 years old. vests that weigh 50 pounds, where nowadays you can get a vest that weigh a pound that does the same thing. So, we need our equipment to be updated, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

state-of-the-art vests, helmets, and batons. The equipment we currently have is too heavy and is antiquated. In closing, I thank you for the opportunity to submit these budgetary requests which are essential to our members' ability to perform their duties and responsibilities. I would be happy to meet with you and your fellow committee members to discuss these requests in greater details. With that said, I am happy to answer any questions you may have at this time.

2.2

thank you again for being here and standing through a long day of testimony. It's not a term of disrespect to say you're a member of the public, in fact you're a member of the public, in fact you're a member of the public that represents 10,000 people who are people that we all share and care about and perhaps a noted comment here that we could do better in the Council of us letting stakeholders testify early, which I think we have made a goal to try to do, and apologize if that was disrespect. So, I wanted to talk about your-- first of all, I'll just reiterate. We probably and should have committed even more time to talk about the training academy. We'll hold them responsible for providing us more

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 216 information. The money, as you know, is getting put into the budget. I think Chair Gibson has made a good point throughout these different hearings that the agencies tend to put money in, but with an expectation to roll it over, and our job, I think, is to make sure that that money either gets spent, or you know, we don't misrepresent to the public what our priorities are. So, I think there's a commitment from the Council to assist with that and to actually find locations, to find out what the cost is. it a little bit disconcerting that we couldn't even get whether the 100 million dollars is actually the right amount of money or not, let alone locations that they're looking at or they might have even found. SO, we will take that as a follow-up item and we will follow up with it, and asked them to report back to us on actual status updates. I think we could have spent a little more time on it, but in trying to get you and everybody else up, we had to move through it. Council Member Holden, particularly I know visited it, and has a -- and has taken interest in ensuring that that gets built as others have as well. The -- I wanted to go through the budget items.

I know we have a lot to talk about on other stuff as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 217 1 2 The-- and thank you for raising new items that I-- we had not previously had discussed. 3 wanted to talk about, first, you had-- asked for 4 5 personalized gas masks that are assigned and fitted 6 to each officer. How many do you need? I'm guessing 7 that--8 ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Currently--CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [interposing] And 9 10 then--ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] I'm 11 12 sorry. Currently, the way it's set up for correction officer, we of course, as you know, we use gas--13 14 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [interposing] Yeah. 15 ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: we call it OC [sic]. 16 Unfortunately, when we use it or when we respond to an area we have to actually go to a staging area, 17 18 grab a mask, suit up, and go. Whereas, it would be a much safer, much better situation if we actually have 19 20 the mask as part of our equipment that we actually wit -- that we actually have as part of our uniform. 21 2.2 Currently, we have about 10,400 correction officers, 23 probably about 6-700 Captains and Assistant Deputy 24 Wardens, and currently none of us have any

personalized masks. So we have to actually run into

```
COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 218
 1
 2
     areas once the spray of the gas is used, and we find
     ourselves in the same situation as the people that
 3
 4
    we're spraying.
 5
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Does that -- so,
 6
     okay. So that's a different-- so you don't have gas
 7
    masks at all?
 8
                ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Not personalized.
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Not personalized, but
 9
10
    there are gas masks, but they're not--
                ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] Yes, and
11
12
    they're not enough.
                ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: And so if you are-- if
13
14
     you have to use-- if you're a correction officers you
15
    have to use gas, you'd be-- you're saying you're
16
    running away.
17
                ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Pretty much.
18
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay.
                ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: If you watch the
19
20
    videos where we end up spraying, we end up running
     just like the inmates.
21
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Yes, that's
23
    concerning. And we'll follow up with that.
     Smartphones and tablets, we had-you had mentioned
24
```

this, I think, on our tour as well, what would that--

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 219 is that replacing something that's being used right now?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Well, currently, unfortunately, we still use carbon paper. Like for instance, I'll give you an example. I'm 30 years as a correction officers. Thirty years ago when I came into the jail when we did a count of the inmates, we had this paper we called-- what did we call it, the paper for the count -- you had to get a carbon paper, write it down and then send it. If we have a situation where we're doing a count and it's-- and we can use a smartphone, quess what? That would help in so many other different ways. Sometimes just in talking-- like for visitors who are complaining about waiting for the count to clear, that's because we're literally in 2018 doing paper count, walking around with a paper count. There are other things, inmate infractions. There are other things, inmate requesting to go to the clinic, they're requesting to go here or there. There's so many different things that can be done to actually streamline. New York City Department of Corrections, I think, is probably one of the only agencies that still use paper, carbon paper for everything.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 220

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I think the former Speaker found out they're using fax machines, too, and was--

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: particularly concerned about it. The firing range, the-- you have one range. Where is it?

Rodman's Neck, which is a city out where the NYPD range is. That range that we use actually belong to the NYPD. It's on loan to the New York City

Department of Corrections, and quite often, like right now, we have 822 recruits in the Academy. We have to schedule them going to the range to train and qualify with their guns that they use for on duty.

Everything has to be done and scheduled around that one range, which is why you have a lot of officers who are "not qualified." And you need--

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [interposing] And you theoretically, you're excuse [sic], you said you're sharing that with the NYPD right now? That's- and if they added one-- I mean, I'm sure you want a dedicated one--

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 221

added one, at least by our estimate, it would actually better assist us in qualifying correction officers who by law have to be qualified, because right now we have situations where if the NYPD gets a big class, then guess who—

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [interposing] Yeah.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: is pushed on the

backburner? We are.

2.2

think agreement on the idea of upgrading and renovating the assisting facilities and whether it's 10 years or six and a half years, we want to make sure. So we'll follow up with the Administration on what's there. I mean, I note there's this idea that—you know, I think there's always this tension, I'm not saying it's your tension, but I think tension on the spending side of a plan to move off of the island and to put new money in there, concerns about it.

You know, spending things on things you're going to eventually exit in the next couple of years, but I understand the concern.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Well, the reality is, when this happens, you're not going to be in office.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 222 1 Maybe you might even be in a higher office. 2 this happens, I will be retired drinking lemonade. 3 So all of this closing down Rikers Island in 10 4 years, five years, we shouldn't allow that to take away from the fact that we have these facilities 6 7 today, and I think that you know, I think that to neglect them, to continue to neglect them, most of 8 the jails are younger than the schools. I mean, 9 hell, I went to school up in Harlem, 117th Street and 10 Lenox. It's still there, and it's still in the same, 11 12 you know, the same condition. The janitor's doing the same thing. They still-- so, at the end of the 13 14 day, we need to improve the conditions of the 15 facilities that we have until new facilities, 16 whatever that mean, are actually built. 17 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Yeah, and can--18 we'll talk about this at another hearing, but do you agree that new modernized facilities will make you 19 20 safer? Forget -- I know that that's not your prime concern, but do you agree that a new facility has the 21 2.2 possibility, and then my second question will be the 23 likelihood to keep your members safer?

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: I really don't.

don't think that the newness of a facility has

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 223 anything to do with the mindset of the inmates that's being turned over to us. I don't think that the gang members in the projects where we now have cameras and nice elevators, it's not stopping them from committing crimes, and it's not going to stop them from committing crimes when they come to a jail, even if it's a new facility. I think that the reality is that what -- this is jail, brother, and violence will always exist in jail. What we have been successful over the last 30 years of doing is keeping the violence down. We haven't been able to keep the violence down in the last four years, and I know everybody-- no one wants to hear it, but we haven't been able to keep it down because of the changes in the policy. Punitive segregation, despite what most people thing, actually work. You're looking at someone who was a punitive segregation correction officers for four years, and I often say to people-they say, "Well, how do you know it work?" because 99 percent of the inmates that came into punitive seg where I work never came back. Although, they never left jail. And I--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [interposing] I don't-- I think we just don't agree [sic], and I

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 224 1 2 think, and you made this -- I think it was a good valid point with the Department of Corrections 3 together at our tour that it's a small population and 4 controlled by--5 ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] Very 6 7 small. CHAIRPERSON POWERS: You're very small. 8 ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Less than 100. 9 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And I'm not-- my 10 question was not necessarily about the mindset. I 11 12 agree with you that there will always be some 13 expectation of -- and we should -- hopefully none, but 14 there will always be an expectation on some. 15 question is really do you believe that there was an

agree with you that there will always be some expectation of— and we should— hopefully none, but there will always be an expectation on some. My question is really do you believe that there was an opportunity in the new jails through design, and you should be part of that conversation, to limit the interactions or to change interactions. I mean, the Department talked about sight lines and things like that, point of contact. The question is really is there an op—

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] well, if you're going to build new jails and the correction officers are going to have less interaction, then of course, you're going to have less assault against

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 225 correction officers. It's not going to do a whole lot for the inmates. See, we talk about the correction officers because that's who I represent.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Yeah, sure.

inmates in the last four years who have been slashed, stabbed, and cut not by correction officers, by other inmates. So they're still going to be in contact with each other. So, unless we're going to build these jails the way they have them in certain states where it's like away from home or whatever the hell you're going to call it, I don't know how that's going to produce safer jails for the inmates. Yes, depending on the design, it might produce a safer jail for officers, but what about the inmates?

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Yeah, no. Fair
point. Fair point, but I-- you-- we talk. I mean,
it's been a common, you know, thing we've talked
about which is safer, and the Mayor's plans obviously
that safer and a part of it, so just wondering what
opportunities lie ahead there. There's this ongoing
study about where the site, site design and things
like that. I certainly would welcome input from a
number of groups into that so it's not a mandate,

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 226 1 2 it's a conversation about all, you know, all people that are there about how to keep them safer. 3 4 ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Right. 5 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I have more questions, but I'll-- hand questions off to the 6 7 Chair. CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you so much, 8 Mr. President, to you and your colleague. Thank you 9 for being here, your patience, the work you do. 10 have history, so--11 12 ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] 13 Absolutely. 14 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: you know how I feel. 15 I didn't realize that DOC recruits used Rodman's 16 Neck. I thought honestly that you had your own 17 facility and you used that as an overflow, but I 18 didn't know that was the only range. So I guess I'm even more concerned, because there's 275 million 19 20 dollars that the NYPD has in its budget to do noise mitigation and extensive repairs at Rodman's Neck--21 2.2 ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] Right. 23 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: while it remains open. So I'm concerned and we should talk offline 24

about that and how we can make sure that there's a

1	COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 227
2	continuity of services, but overall, with the
3	conversations of a new academy, are we also talking
4	about having your own firearms training facility, or
5	is that like not a conversation?
6	ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: It hasn't the only
7	thing that they said to us was a "state of the art
8	academy." Whether or not that include a range, they
9	never said. I doubt it.
10	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: That's a good
11	question.
12	ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: There are so many
13	requirements to opening or setting up a range.
14	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right. Okay, and I
15	don't know that anyone's ever raised that question,
16	so I will make it a point and take the privilege of
17	asking DOC, because I don't know. It's never been
18	talked about to my knowledge.
19	ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Right.
20	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So, the Chair
21	was alluding to some of the equipment that you
22	referenced, the gas mask and other measures, and
23	we've been talking about this for several budgets, so

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Yes.

24 this is not new.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

on any of this, and to your knowledge, is there an estimated amount or a cost that could be shared with the Council that you could provide for us so we can reach out to others if--

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] No, I don't have a cost. I can probably get it, but--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Okay.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: no, I don't have that.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So, DOC has not moved

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Well, no, I quess the bottom line and what I've always tried to say with NYPD, with DOC, and with all law enforcement, everyone should be treated equally, and it has not been happening through this Administration, and I don't know what it's going to take for it to happen. And just like we have the beautiful Police Academy, last year we put in four

million dollars to allow firefighter to purchase a

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: at a cost of 600

dollars a piece, because it was a necessity.

second pair of boots--

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Yes.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 229

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And so I don't know what it's going to take for everyone to get it together to recognize that there are clear necessities that DOC and correction officers need in its tool box.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And so that's been a frustration for me, and I'm sure it's been a frustration for you. I wanted to ask about Raise the Age. We've talked about it a lot, and the Commissioner indicated that with the new facilities, whether it's Crossroad, Horizon, Ella McQueen, or whatever we decide on, DOC staff is going to provide the security for those locations, and ACS staff is going to provide the social services and programming. Are you understanding that that is the case as well, and are you involved in the conversations?

 $\label{eq:elisable_elisable} \mbox{ELISA HUSAMUDEEN:} \quad \mbox{This is my problem}$ with the Raise the Age--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Okay.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Albany went about doing this Raise the Age, they never involved us in any of these conversations. They simply said what we were going to do, but we were never involved. As I sit

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 230 with President Anthony Wells of 371, he wasn't involved either in the conversations, and we're still not involved. We have a situation where my quys, my correction officers we are commissioned to deal with adults, adults, not juveniles, not adolescents at all. So, as of October 1st, 2018, we technically should have nothing to do with the 16 and 17 year But as you hear the Commissioner says here, we're supposed to provide security and escort. What's my problem with that, ma'am? This is my problem: As you listen to Judge-- Doctor Cohen and others, three, four, five years ago, correction officers were the worst thing that ever happened to 16 and 17-year-old inmates. According -- they even brought in the Federal Monitor, the Department of Justice came in. they said we had fight clubs. said we were abusing them. They said that we were doing all kinds of things. We had the program. here we are, four years later, guess who they want to provide security and escort? The people that they say that they need to take the 16 and 17 year olds away from, but now we're the best qualified to handle security and escort. Look, are we qualified? We are absolutely qualified. I don't know. I mean, I quess

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

they're going to eventually come to COBA and say, hey, this is what we're doing, because we do have a collective bargaining agreement, and we did take a test to work with adults, and we do not work for the state, and I believe it's called the New York State Department of Juvenile Justice, and I believe the Administration of Children's Services has nothing to do with the New York City Department of Corrections. So, to answer your question, this is what they're saying, and I'm still waiting for someone to talk to me about it since we're the ones who hold the collective bargaining agreement or certificate for correction officers, including the Commissioner, and I explained to her that we're not doing it.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Well, we got a couple months to get it together.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Yeah, they have a couple months.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON GIBSON:} So we are going to have to figure something out.$

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Let me point one more thing out to you about this Raise the Age. In talking to Westchester COBA, Rockland COBA,

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 232
Westchester, Nassau COBA-- with this Raise the Age
it's a statewide thing, right?

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Uh-hm.

Nassau, they don't even have a facility to deal with 16 and 17 year olds. So, I'm wondering, are they going to be bringing the 16 and 17 year olds from Oswego and Onondaga and Albany to Brooklyn or to the Bronx since most of these other jurisdictions don't even have the set-up to even deal with 16 and 17 year olds? Because remember, they were treating them as adults as well. I don't know who did this legislation, but I can say to you that they did not think this thing through, and they have a couple of months to figure it out.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Well, thank

you. I appreciate it, and where I can be helpful in

my capacity here, certainly I will do so. There's a

lot of work that needs to be done, a lot of

conversations, but we need to make sure we're a part

of these conversations. I supported Raise the Age,

and I know it was important to Speaker Carl Hasty

[sp?], but I have a real problem that there's no

money that's coming with it, and so I'm asking

questions. Who's paying for all of these services?

And no one has answers. So, we need to get answers and we need to stay involved and stay engaged,

because at the end of the day these are mandates that we have to comply with and I just want to make sure that we are prepared.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Right.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: You know, that's something we do and we don't always do it well as an Administration, and we just have to be prepared for what is going to happen. So, I hope that if you are not included, you need to be included. I include myself all the time when I'm not included because it's important to me. The borough-based facilities, the sites that were identified are not in my district, but the courts are in my district, so I am making it my business to be involved, because I want to have a say in how individuals are funneled through the system whether they go through family court or criminal court, and wherever they go in the Bronx, they are my business.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: I think one--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] And so

25 | I make myself involved.

2.2

2.2

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: I think you should

3 also be aware of one thing as a Bronx representative.

4 Not only do you have the barge, you also have Rikers

5 | Island. Don't forget. Rikers Island--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] I

don't.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: comes under the jurisdiction of the Bronx. So, why the Bronx is getting a jail, a new jail is beyond me when they already have the nine jails that's on Rikers Island. But hey, that's for minds bigger than mine to figure out.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: My colleagues agree with you. Thank you so much, I appreciate it.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and we'll follow up on some of the items and talk to the Administration, the pricing of some of these items, and when we get to Executive Budget, so I appreciate you giving us the items. I don't know if we had a chance to talk about it 'til today, or I had not it looks like. So, thank you.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 235

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And thank you for being here. Thank you for waiting as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: We are going to have a panel come up now. We have Natalie Reyes from the Center for Court Innovation, Keith Ruben from Youth Represent, Tiffany Bryant from the Public Theater, and Jelani Anglin from Good Call. Following this panel we're going to have Jennifer Parish from Urban Justice Center and Kelly Grace Price from Jails Action Coalition. Thank you. And I know some of you have been here for the whole time, so thank you, and apologies. I know from having testified before myself that these are long days and obviously we're trying to hear everybody. So, thank you for waiting, and hopefully it was substantive and educating as well. So, we are going to have three-minute limit just to make sure that we can get everything done in a responsible fashion since we're way late, and then we'll have an opportunity to ask questions as well. So, thank you. We can begin I guess from your right to left. Just hit the button.

TIFFANY BRYANT: Hello, I'm Tiffany
Bryant, Government Affairs Coordinator at the Public

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 236 Thank you to Councilman Powers for holding today's hearing regarding the intersection of cultural nonprofits and the New York City criminal justice system. Conceived nearly 60 years ago, it's one of the nation's first nonprofit theaters. public engages one of the largest and most diverse audiences in New York City and a variety of venues including the Delacorte Theater and our landmark downtown home which houses five theaters and Joey's [sic] Pub. Through all of our programs we serve about 350,000 people each year. We are very proud to serve formerly and currently incarcerated people. This is through our two programs, the Mobile Unit and Public Works. The Mobile Unit tours Shakespeare Productions for underserved audiences throughout New York City's five boroughs. We do this twice a year. We visit about 20 venues per tour, including five parks and seven correctional facilities, two facilities that provide services for homeless and three community-based organizations. We're proud to have partnered with the New York City and New York State Department of Corrections, the New York City Department of Parks in selecting our performance sites and partner organizations. Over the past seven

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 237 years, the Mobile Unit has become an indispensable part of our mission. The results of our tours have been astounding. Through our Public Works program we engage deeply with eight community-based organizations to provide year-round classes, workshops and community building activity, and create annual large-scale participatory Public Works productions featuring over 200 New Yorkers, and this is presented at our largest stage, the Delacorte Theater in Central Park. We are also pleased to partner with the Fortune Society for this since 2013. As I'm sure you know, the Fortune Society is an organizations and advocacy group whose mission is to support re-entry from prison and promote alternatives to incarceration. So we partner with the Fortune Society to create programming and essentially to uplift its members. In our first of our partnership, teaching artists led twice weekly acting classes for Fortune Society members and staff with the goal of fostering talent and creating opportunities for creative expression. And finally, at The Public, we are committed to the goals and values of the New York City cultural plan through program like Public Works, Mobile Unit, and we're looking [sic] for [sic] to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 238 baselining 10 million dollars received by CIGs in FY18 and providing an additional 20 million dollars in funding to be shared between CIGs and program groups. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I will note that you used exactly your three minutes. We-- efficiency is well done. Thank you.

NATALIE REYES: Good afternoon, Chairman Powers, Chairwoman Gibson. My name is Natalie Reyes, and I'm the Deputy Director of the Midtown Community Court, a project of the Center for Court Innovation. I'm here to urge the City Council to support the Center for Court Innovation as it seeks to strengthen and expand its alternative to incarceration youth diversion and access to justice programs through one million dollars in support from the City Council in Fiscal Year 2019. This includes a 500,000 dollar continuation of funding for ongoing alternative to incarceration option and a 500,000 dollar enhancement to spur the growth of youth justice and access to justice programs. Support from the City Council is crucial to the continuation of our alternative to incarceration work throughout the five boroughs, which includes the Midtown Community Court, Redhook

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 239 Community Justice Center, and Bronx Community Solutions. Our programs, which serve tens of thousands of New Yorkers each year, play an important role in the overall goal of reducing incarceration and working towards the closure of Rikers Island. Through alternative sentencing, our programs provide off-ramps from the criminal justice system and from jail sentences at Rikers, and we have also recently expanded the use of supervised release, helping countless individuals who are unable to afford bail to avoid jail time. Our programs also work with people to avoid recidivism after incarceration as well. This year, Raise the Age reforms which start in October can also play a crucial role in reducing incarceration. The Center's diversion programs in Manhattan, Brooklyn, Queens, and Staten Island currently serve thousands of young people each year through counseling, academic support, and workforce development. One example of the success of our work in this area is a story of "G" who was mandated to four sessions with the Midtown Community Court's Adolescent Diversion Program. G's justice involvement was linked to a history of negative peer influence, but through his sessions with us he

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 240 focused on developing responsible decision-making While participating in our program, he also honed his vocational goals and took steps to become a hospital x-ray technician. I heard earlier today he's actually volunteering at a hospital right now. Looking forward, the Center's diversion programs like the one at Midtown Community Court can serve countless others like G, as Raise the Age is implemented. With Council support, the Center could expand its current diversion work to serve an estimated 30 percent more youth in boroughs such as Queens and Staten Island. The Midtown Community Court has also requested member item support from your office, Council Member Powers, that will directly advance the programmatic needs of our Up Next Fatherhood and Employment Readiness Program. Individuals that are involved in this program are often formerly justice involved which has a direct link to our goal of reducing incarceration and keeping individuals from re-entering the justice system. The member item funds would support Up Next graduates who are accepted into a competitive sixweek fellowship that includes a paid internship at the Midtown Community Court or a partner

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 241 organization. The City Council support has been invaluable to the success of the Center for Court Innovation, and the Center looks forward to continuing to work with the Council to reduce incarceration and to enhance youth justice. We respectfully urge you to continue your support to support our work, and I thank you for the opportunity to speak.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: So close. Thank you for that. I know the Council's been big supporters of your program and certainly interested in maintaining that on both [inaudible].

NATALIE REYES: Thank you.

ATE RUBIN: Good afternoon, Chair Powers and Chair Gibson. Thanks so much for the opportunity to testify. I'm Kate Rubin. I'm the Director of Policy at Youth Represent. We provide holistic legal services to young people involved in the justice system who are under 25. I-- it's been a long day so I'll try to be brief in my spoken comments, and I have longer written comments. It's clear from the preceding's today that the City is really at a pivotal point, undertaking both the implementation of historic Raise the Age legislation and the monumental

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 242 task of permanently closing Rikers Island. comments today address three issues. My written testimony goes into more detail. So very quickly, legal services for justice involved youth, we have been very fortunate to partner with the City through the Friends of Island Academy Youth Re-entry Network to provider services, legal services, to young people at Rikers Island. I just want to highlight the importance of those legal services for stabilizing young people in moments of crisis and keeping open paths of opportunities to education, employment, housing, and other arenas. To [sic] monitoring Raise the Age legislation, this is really I think less specifically for this committee, but I can't resist the opportunity to raise it. The law is going into There is supposed to be a statewide effect. monitoring body that hasn't been named yet. I know there's a taskforce here in the City that's working very, very diligently on implementation, but I just want to emphasize the importance of really monitoring what happens once it's in effect, making sure the goals are met, and that as well as all of the City and advocate stakeholders, there really need to be families and young people at that table, also.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 243 then I want to take the rest of my short time to really talk about this issue of specialized secure detention for older youth, the 16 and 17 year olds who will be moved off of Rikers in October, and once the law is in effect will be categorized as adolescent offenders and charged as adults in the justice system. Thank you, Council Member Gibson, for raising the issue of who will be supervising those kids, and thank you, Council Member Powers, because I know you've already weighed in on this with the Mayor which we really appreciate. I rarely have the opportunity to say that I am fully in agreement with the COBA President, in thinking that -- we really don't think the Department of Corrections staff should be the ones who are supervising children at Crossroads, Horizon or any other ACS facility for a number of reasons, which I go into in much more detail in my written testimony. But you know, I think we've talked-- the Nunez report and-- I'm sorry, the Nunez case and monitor's reports have been cited a lot. I really, really suggest even just reading the executive summaries if you haven't already, which you may well have. They highlight sort of-- well, let me just say, things like staffers

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

are too quickly to aggressively taking inmates to the floor. Cell extraction teams appear to frequently enter cells at full speed, forcibly, and apply the shield when inmate resistance is passive or minimal. I won't go on. I'll just say that young people should be at facilities supervised by ACS staff.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. Thanks so much.

KATE RUBIN: Thank you.

JELANI ANGLIN: Good afternoon. Thank
you, Chair Gibson, Chair Powers, for giving us the
opportunity to testify. I am Jelani Anglin. To my
left is Malik Reeves [sp?]. I am the Co-Founder and
Co-Executive Director of Good Call. We run a
completely free hotline in case of arrest. The way
this hotline works is God-forbid anyone's arrested,
you can call our hotline 24 hours a day, seven days a
week, and be connected a legal service provider.
Right now, this hotline is staffed by Bronx Defenders
and Legal Aid Society. Since we have started we have
connected over 500 people to legal support, have a
user satisfaction rate of over 90 percent, a hold
time of under a minute, and we have done all of this
with under 200,000 dollars. We are self-funded. We

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 245 want to expand citywide. We talk about ending mass incarceration. We talk about cutting down on folks being in pre-trial detention. We need to discuss the entryways and how they're getting there. Many times folks are being arrested and speaking to cops and not having legal representation, which leads to folks copping pleas for things they didn't do and many other things for trivial arrests. This cannot proceed. If we're thinking about how we want to change the City to make it so big and fair, we actually have to do some new things. Constantly funding other organizations that don't do things--I'm trying to speak properly and not say what I want to say-- is not right. I had to sit here and hear about mismanagement of funds, and it's disgusting, because we are surviving on nothing. To my left is Malik. Malik utilized our hotline, and we see a clock [sic]. Malik's going to tell you his story. MALIK REEVES: Good afternoon. My name is Malik Reeves. Okay, how I utilized the system: was arrested back in October of 2017 on my way home from work. I had my uniform on. There was no

assistance at the booth. I swiped my Metro card.

said "see agent." There's no one around. I go

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 246 through the gate. An undercover detective approached me. I tried to explain my story. They asked me to step to the side, asked for my ID. I showed them that I had my uniform on, coming from work, ran my name, arrested me. I get to 14th Street Precinct. I remember a friend of mine was working with Good Call. So, I called the Call. I got an attorney right away. Her name was Nefriti [sp?]. She assisted me tremendously, and I had the help and support that I never thought I would have. From my experience I want people to have that same experience. treated different. They brought me McDonald's, which is so funny because you don't really get that type of support, and the process went so fast, and ever since then I've been working with Jelani here handing out cards to NYCHA, being a neighborhood manager, and just trying to, you know, press the issue on what's going on in the community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JELANI ANGLIN: So, I see that we have five seconds left. We're asking for 500,000 dollars from City Council so that we can bring this citywide. We are running self-funded completely right now, and if we are actually thinking about ending mass incarceration and thinking about cutting down on the

committee on criminal justice with subcommittee on capital budget 247 population of pre-trial detention, we really need to do something about it. And though we are a new initiative, we have the technology to work. The technology is ready. We can supply the support to all five boroughs. All we have to do is deal with the bureaucracy. So, we need you guys to let us do what we want to do, which is help folks. So, please support Good Call.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and educating for me. I didn't know about Good Call, and I knew some -- I know some are in the district and some others serve in the district, so I thank all of you for being here. One thing I'd say beyond the hearing today, and I appreciate you bringing your issues, initiatives, and requests here is to talk to, you know, offline. I'm happy to meet with any of the groups to talk about your funding requests as well and ways that the committee can support the work you're doing, even beyond that, which is it sounds like for Good Call, like getting people to know that you are an available service. Seems like an important place where the committee and the council can assist in that. So, I would ask, you know, to follow up, and also we have a Finance staff who is, I COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 248 think, around that also I think would be interested to know the work. We're in obviously interested in measurable outcomes as well, so knowing how many you serve, how it works, and then the subjective part of it which is the-- or the anecdotal part of it which is the people that actually are served and putting faces to it is actually important for us to hear the stories. So, I thank you for both the work you're doing, for looking for the Council to be partners in that and looking for more resources to add to your efforts. The-- I don't really think I have any follow-up questions. You guys were all pretty thorough and all in that three-minute range, which we appreciate, but I'm happy to talk to everybody offline about individually and the Council's role in supporting the work you're doing. And again, I apologize you had to sit through hours of testimony to get to this point, but I'm appreciative of-- I'm amazed on a daily basis of how many groups are doing work in this space, but then throughout the City of New York that come and tell their story and we didn't know about or we're finding more about and the work that you're doing, and I know the Council has a -does have an interest in supporting diversion and

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

supporting work to prevent it from ever happening and putting people— and looking at this as more of a human issue beyond just a punitive issue. So, I appreciate the work you're doing. I don't know if you have— no? Thank you. Thanks everybody for being here.

2.2

UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Next, we're going to have Jennifer Parish from the Urban Justice Center, Kelly Grace Price from Jails Action Coalition, and Towaki Komatsu [sp?] representing himself. Thank you. Thank you for being here. We'll-- you can kick it off, and we'll-- same thing. We'll have you guys, three-minutes. Obviously, if you're close you can take the extra time, and then we'll follow up. Thankyou. Thanks for being here.

RELLY GRACE PRICE: Thank you, Chairman Powers. Thank you, Chairwoman Gibson. It's nice to see you here at the end of yet another meeting. I'm Kelly Grace Price from the Jails Action Coalition. I come to testify at these hearings, because as an innocent person I was crushed by the criminal justice system when I was thrown in Rikers Island by everyone's favorite Manhattan DA, Cy Vance. I was

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 250 charged with 324 counts of a now unconstitutional statute, but as a person who had never encountered the criminal justice system -- I think once in graduate school in Boulder, Colorado I was pushing my Vespa down the street drunk and got arrested, but that was dismissed and sealed. Never any other nor any reaction with the criminal justice system, found myself on Rikers Island where a doctor examining me tried to conscript me into a program of rape. bailed out of Rikers before he could make his move on me, but he was seasoning me to put me in that position, and I swore when I walked off the island that I would on this issue. That was 2011. It's now 2018, and the Department of Correction still has not implemented a Prison Rape Elimination Act plan even though the Board voted on it two years ago. In your budget for 2018 and for 2017 you had, I think it was-- thank you so much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED: You're welcome.

KELLY GRACE PRICE: I think it was eight million dollars to hire new investigators, and that number, it could be completely wrong. It could be three. I could be remembering it incorrectly. But regardless, the Department has not hired those

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 251 investigators. People making rape complaints -- in 2016 we had 828 rape complaints, but only eight of those complaints were closed, and as a matter of fact, we don't even know if those eight tack [sic] directly to the 828 that were made in 2016. eight that were closed could have been from 2015 or 2014, we don't know. But this money in your budget for these investigators is just sitting there and nothing is happening. This is an issue I'm going to keep carping about. Perhaps you need to create a separate city investigative agency for rape and sexual assault in the incarcerative [sic] environment. I know the CCRB is making moves and noises towards doing investigations when people make complaints of rape and sexual assault against NYPD officers, but the CCRB has no training and no investigators that are equipped to do a rape or sexual assault FETY [sic] investigation. particular budget item I really want to keep screaming about, investigations, Rikers, nothing being done, money disappearing, not being used, and people like me being sent to Rikers, which is basically a rape machine. And my last 30 seconds I want to talk very quickly about the other way that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 252 the Department is making money off of us. bailed out in 2011. The charges were dismissed and sealed in 2010. It is 2018 and I still do not have my 2,500 dollars bail back. The Department, that entire methodology they publish once a year in a newspaper, money's still out there. That money goes into some fund. I believe Ruben Blau [sp?] just did an article about it. Something needs to be done about the way the Department is keeping our commissary money, the way it's selling our property when we leave it there for 30 days and the way it's not giving our bail back. I could talk forever about the way it's making money off of us in phone calls, in commissary, but I've used my three minutes. you so much for listening.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

testimony, before I move on I'll just note that I think on the Committee side, we are interested in the money aspect of this, not only just the additional burdens that are added onto somebody during these proceedings and if they're held, which is to--obviously, again, not getting your bail money back that's owed to you is of concern, but also the tel--you know, one of the last questions we asked DOC is

about telephone calls, the cost. I think the Speaker had a bill in the past about changing the cost as well, and I read the same article that you had around it, which I think is a topic we would like to dig into. We didn't have enough time, or we wanted to get to everybody else today, so we didn't have enough time to dig into it, but we will, and we'd ask you to come testify at that point as well, and to-- we'll let you know as we're looking at those topics to add some personal experience, and then some broader lessons to be learned around potentially, you know, revenue sources that should not exist for the DOC. Thank you.

2.2

 $\mbox{\sc Kelly Grace Price:} \mbox{\sc My ears are straight} \\ \mbox{\sc up. I like that.}$

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thanks.

JENNIFER PARISH: Good afternoon. My
name is Jennifer Parish. I'm the Director of
Criminal Justice Advocacy at the Urban Justice Center
Mental Health Project. Thank you for the opportunity
to testify today. I had not planned to speak here
today, but I cannot allow the assertions by some
Council Members that Department of Corrections should
bring back punitive segregation, their euphemism for

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 254 solitary confinement for 18 to 21 year olds to go unchallenged. The decision to end the practice of placing young people in solitary confinement was not made lightly, but with an abundance of evidence about the harm solitary confinement causes to the development of young people. The science of brain development establishes that cognitive function continues into the early 20s. The isolation of solitary confinement is harmful to all people. can cause mental health issues for those who do not have them. Its' been determined to be torture if it lasts for more than 15 days for anybody, but for people who are particularly vulnerable, including people with mental health issues and young people, it should not be used at all, and that's what the United Nations Special Repertoire on Torture has determined. Have we so quickly forgotten Kalif Browder and the tragic consequences of solitary confinement to him and his family. Kalif Browder is not alone. effects of solitary confinement persists long after a person is released from custody. This council, this city should not be promoting torture, and I hope that the Council Members who suggested that become

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

committee on CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 255 educated on the reasons that we got rid of it. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, and I know that it came on our-- and thank you for that, and thank you for deciding to jump into action, to advocate for a position, and I share a lot of your concerns about the usage of it, particularly around-entirely, but the usage especially amongst the younger population, because as I noted at a panel recently, the last stop is not Rikers Island. last stop is New York City, and so I think we have a responsibility to ensure that we're giving appropriate treatment, and you all -- you know, how do we ensure that we're treating everybody correctly, and I do understand the safety concerns. I think there are ways to address that without having to restore it, and the Board was here and I think they'll follow up on it as well. So, thank you for testimony. Thank you.

TOWAKI KOMATSU: Hi. My name is Towaki Komatsu. I'm a US Navy Veteran. I previously testified at a Public Safety meeting on December 14th of last year. Twelve days later I was illegally stopped, seized, falsely arrested, and assaulted.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 256 While in police custody, the NYPD lost my wallet. So, let me go to my written testimony now. Before I do that, actually, the worst kept secret in this room is that the Mayor's Head of Security is a defendant in a Federal Civil Rights lawsuit and that he lost motion in Federal Court on March 5th of this year. So, he's going to have to face trial in a Fourth Amendment case dating back to 2012. So, here's my written testimony. In the two years, one month, and twelve days since I first testified to this council in opposition to the gross 32 percent pay raise its members approved for themselves and my other servants as the majority of the Council fled from this room before I could testify in violation of my due process right to a full and fair hearing as if they were chasing after the type of ziti that the Mayor and Joseph Percoco [sp?] have loved. Those who benefited from those raises didn't earn them. If this weren't true, it's unlikely I would be here yet again today while in the company of those unworthy of it. Back then I didn't know that the head of the Mayor's NYPD Security Detail was defending a Federal Civil Rights lawsuit due to a September 2012 incident so-called journalist like Courtney Gross who was in this room

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 257 earlier have censored from the public as accomplices. The Mayor is a fraud. HRA Commissioner Steven Banks is one, too. The New York City Department of Investigations and CCRB is largely subservient to the Mayor, and the NYPD's real mission has been to protect the Mayor from being fired by voters and serve its interest by violating military veteran's civil rights, raping girls, taking bribes, and murdering people like Debra Denner and Eric Gardner. Back then, I asked for legal assistance regarding wage theft by one of HRA's business partners named Entity Data tax payers paid for that also do business with New York State Attorney General Eric Schneiderman's office. I also asked for such help for harassment by a slum lord. I never got it, and I'm no longer naïve. Since then, my problems have worsened significantly as one of HRA's partners committed fraud and forgery against me that HRA condoned and enabled me to be assaulted on July 2nd of 2016 in a shelter financed by tax payers. assault was sealed because the mentally unstable person who tried to commit it, who actually did commit it, tried doing so on May 12th, 2016 after I contacted the Bronx DA's Office on March 17th of 2016

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 258 to ask them to intervene and they didn't. assault robbed me of the chance to be properly considered for a job on August 18th of 2016 that would have paid me 450 bucks a day, meaning if you're suffering from a concussion, you have memory loss and cognitive disorders. So, if you can't function properly during an interview, that has substantial harmful effects. My assailant was set free in February of 2017 only because a judge that the Mayor picked wrongfully suppressed security logs. So, the bottom line is-- let me close out-- he's now working for the Department of Education before your kids. So, do you seriously want someone who caused me to have a concussion in the presence of your kids free to go off again?

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. Thank
you for all-- everybody for the testimony and thank
you for being here and sitting through a long day.
This concludes our-- our budget, Preliminary Budget
hearings for Department of Probation, Correction, and
Board of Correction public testimony. Thank you so
much. Thank you to Chair Gibson for joining and for
asking such insightful questions. Thank you other

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE WITH SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL BUDGET 259 committee members who have long gone. This will end our hearing. Thank you. [gavel]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 17, 2018