CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

March 14, 2018 Start: 10:24 a.m. Recess: 2:38 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway-Committee Rm, 16th Fl.

B E F O R E: ROBERT E. CORNEGY

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

MARGARET S. CHIN FERNANDO CABRERA

RAFAEL L. ESPINAL, JR.

MARK GJONAJ

BARRY S. GRODENCHIK

BILL PERKINS
CARLINA RIVERA
HELEN K. ROSENTHAL
RITCHIE J. TORRES

JUMAANE D. WILLIAMS

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Rick Chandler Commissioner of the New York City Department of Buildings

Patrick Wehle Assistant Commissioner for External Affairs at The Department of Buildings

Thomas Fariello First Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Buildings

Sharon Neill Deputy Commissioner for Finance and Admin

Nicki Ledger New York City Tenant

Ignacio Jaureguilorda Director of Legal Hand

Brandon Kielbasa Director of Organizing at the Cooper Square Committee in New York City

Mr. Komatsu Resident at Urban Pathways Building

Rolando Guzman
Deputy Director for Community Preservation at St.
Nicks Alliance

COMMITTEE (OH NC	DUSINGS	AND	BUILDING

2.2

[gavel]

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Continuation on Housing and Buildings, thank you Commissioner for your testimony. We'll begin now by member questions beginning with Council Member Chin.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you Chair.

Thank you Commissioner and I said it earlier to HPD

Commissioner Torres-Springer that I really appreciate
the effort that Department of Building is working

with HPD on 85 Bowery, I really appreciate and thank
you for it... your staff and coordinating with HPD to
try to get the tenants back to the building as
quickly as possible, I know there's really a
difficult situation there with structural problems so
I just wanted to extend my thank you and
appreciation.

RICK CHANDLER: You're welcome and thank you for you acknowledging it.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: And I guess relating to that is that... is that building one of the buildings that the tenant harassment prevention task force is focusing on, are you utilizing the resources in the task force to do that?

2.2

RICK CHANDLER: I think we've, we've gone beyond what that task force is doing. Our task forces try to get there before it gets to the point where we vacate so I can't give you a specific about what our task force have... I'm thinking no but we've, we've been there since, since the, the beginning when we saw that the, the, the place had been so neglected that we needed to vacate it but we're there six days a week now and we meet with the engineer and their team regularly and happy to report that they're

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: That... and we appreciate that. So, in terms of are there specific buildings that DOB is pursuing from this task force that's been set up, the, the tenant harassment task force?

making progress, sound progress.

RICK CHANDLER: Well as... in my testimony we do participate in the task force and then we work regularly with HPD and we use an algorithm with the Mayor's Office of Data Analytics to, to try to target areas where we can go with the task force and there's, there's a number of factors that are used there and then separately we're using data from HPD I believe based on a lot of the, the complaints that

O 1

they get because I think they have a, a tighter communication with the tenants and so that's a slightly different method of picking where we go but that's, that's kind of the methodology, does that answer your question?

PATRICK WEHLE: If, if I can also add a little more detail to that, as part of the work we do at the task force here currently, last year we performed almost 1,200 inspections which is close to double the number of inspections we performed in 2016. So, we've been stepping up our enforcement on this front, we've been more aggressive in following up on these complaints, any complaints related to tenant harassment is dedicated to our building Marshall's Office who prioritizes those complaints for inspection and last year 2017 we issued 877 violations.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, I think Patrick you have to identify yourself for the record.

PATRICK WEHLE: Apologies, my name is
Patrick Wehle, I'm Assistant Commissioner for
External Affairs at Buildings.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you. Last year my colleague and I we passed several pieces of

2.1

2.2

legislation as, as part of the stand for tenant safety campaign to provide... to improve the Department of Building's oversight over various parts of the building process and one of my legislations is Local Law 149 which require DOB to audit 25 percent of applicants that self-certify their work, so does DOB have enough staff to complete these audits in a timely manner and have you... what, what is your, your plan in terms of getting that started?

question briefly, yes, we do have the staffing to perform those audits. The entire package of tenant protection legislation of which there are a number of, we're in substantial compliance with all of them including this one so currently right now if there is a landlord who's been found guilty of harassing tenants any filings that come out of that building cannot be professionally certified so we have made substantial progress on, on this local law in addition to others as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Okay and my last question Chair is that I know that in your testimony Commissioner you talk about the violations that you're issuing some of them are... that you have to...

2.2

that the violator had to go to... in my district I have all these oversize retail, all these stores that have large space that did not comply with the regulation in SoHo and then I thank, you know the Department of Buildings for issuing violations to these businesses and my question is that are we collecting the fines because I've heard from some constituent is that there are times where at the hearing they don't see a staff of DOB there and then the case can get dismissed or adjourned and I really appreciate

Department of Buildings, you know making that effort because we got to kind of stop this oversize retail but we don't want the... them to get away with not paying a fine and think it's oh just a part of doing business?

appreciate your vigilance on this issue, it's a complex issue, it's one that's been around for a long time. I'm disappointed to hear others say that we might not have been hearings, I... this is the first time hearing of it but we'll definitely follow up on that, I would say that we track that regularly and I'll be able to find out how we're doing on that enforcement, if we're not believe me we will be back

2.2

and we'll ask for another hearing but as, as we've discussed in the past it's a... it's a complex zoning issue and we have issued violations to those that we think are egregiously violating the issue but I think in... we need to partner again with our partners at City Planning to, to, to refine the words in the zoning resolution because it's a very difficult thing to apply, the limitations as they're worded now to the, the different types of uses that fall within that limitation of 10,000 square feet and without getting too far into the weeds it's kind of hard to go into right now but I'm happy to discuss it further.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Yes, I appreciate, yeah... your, your effort and your staff's support on this. Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you Council
Member, before I go to Council Member Gjonaj I know
that he has follow up questions on just a very basic
question. City funds are added to fiscal 2018 and
fiscal 2019 to DOB's budget to support a basement
apartment pilot program in East New York which will
subsidize basement conversions to assist building
owners with bringing existing underground apartment

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

1920

_ .

21

22

23

24

25

units up to code, how will the DOB support this program like... so some people are confused between HPD and DOB what their roles are, what are the specific role in the basement apartment program as it's assigned to DOB?

RICK CHANDLER: Thank you for that question, like a lot of things we do work closely with HPD and we've shared with them our... and I saw some of the questions that were asked of Commissioner Torres-Springer, we absolutely talk about where we think our roles fit into applying this pilot program so we've spent a lot of time talking to our partner agencies actually for months before we've, we've gotten to this point but what we're going to do is... as the DOB is draft the, the pilot legislation that establishes how we can take action legislatively and from a regulatory perspective how to get this pilot off the ground. We're also obviously be reviewing all the applications and issuing the permits for, for that work and then also following up with construction inspections and finally issuing certificates of occupancy where needed but we're coordinating regularly with HPD, Fire Department, Health Department, the DEP, City Planning, the Law

Department and others frankly, it's, it's rather complex but we are ready to move forward.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, not to get too much in the weeds though would, would DOB be responsible for making an assessment on a property to see if it met the, the, the criteria for being accepted into the program?

RICK CHANDLER: No, that, that is not a DOB role, I think HPD is going to do that and Patrick do you want to comment on...

PATRICK WEHLE: Yeah, I think... so again it's very much a collaborative effort but ultimately once we have legislation in place applications are going to be submitted to the city and the Buildings Department through it's regulatory authority is going to have the responsibility of reviewing those applications to ensuring compliance with the code and zoning and, and issuing permits for work to commence.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Right, so, so I know that the Commissioner Torres-Springer stated that part of HPD's role would be to identify potential individuals and then I thought the next role would be for DOB to, to, to make the assessment like to go in and see if it met the criteria, two

2.2

beams of egress, those types of things, that seems like a Buildings Department... right, from, from a, you know a novice perspective it seems like that's what the Building... the Building Department would do to assess the safe... site safety and, and those types of criteria.

THOMAS FARIELLO: Sure, my name is Thomas
Fariello, I'm the First Deputy Commissioner of the
Department of Buildings. And so, I think you're spot
on that's what we're going to be doing but the owner
would be hiring an architect or an engineer that
would present the plans to us that would then have to
comply with all the requirements that are going to be
in this legislation that's going to be put forth.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, I know that my colleague and some of my other colleagues have stated that while it's a program that could be beneficial it seems as though that process may be quite onerous on a homeowner who may be not accustomed to being a landlord, right because it was a basement apartment... or basement unit that now can be converted they, they may not be in the business of that and it seems as though it could be onerous and obviously we don't want to set potential affordable housing contributors

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

up for failure by having onerous fines, fees, and things associated or even... the, the mention of an architect, you know sends shivers up my spine as a homeowner because any time I've had to deal with an architect it's, it's been onerous from a, a fiduciary standpoint and, and I'm just going to let my colleague who has those little questions in regards to that...

RICK CHANDLER: I can take an attempt Chair, obviously we'll continue to work with our colleagues about the, the best outreach but there, there is an outreach mechanism in place, we're not playing a primary role in that but we're absolutely are available to have preliminary discussions with homeowners and the outreach professionals from the city that are trying to garner interest from the folks who... to participate because it's in the city's interest to get people to participate and then to make it as easy as possible so we have mechanisms in our offices and since this East New York our Brooklyn office will be very much prepared to have preliminary discussions to... have preliminary work before, before professionals are fully engaged. Now with that said we, we are regulators, we're not designers so we will

2.2

provide advice up to the point where we would say we think you can go ahead with this now go ahead and engage your architect or engineer and we'll be here ready for you when you file but, but we do on a regular basis through our... for... through Patrick's people in the various borough offices and our... and Tom's people are technical folks, we meet with people on... before they get involved with projects to try to do just what you said, to try to address their concerns about getting too deep before they know whether they're going to be able to be successful or not.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. Council Member Gjonaj

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you Chair,
Commissioner thank you for that explanation and I'll
just piggy back on the issue of the legalizing of
these apartments. I think we understand the
importance, I think we first should highlight that
many of these homeowners that have been renting out
these basement apartments unknowingly that they are
illegal, I believe in the 60's and 70's all private
homes were visited and if there was a full kitchen
and a half a bathroom that property was assessed at a

2	higher ratio so it went from a one family to a two
3	family so three times four times a year when they
4	pay their real estate taxes they see two family home
5	not knowing that C of O is a one family. So, for
6	decades the Department of Taxation and Finance has
7	been benefiting from these properties at a higher tax
8	rate classification, one, two. Obviously, the intent
9	is to make sure that we don't allow for dangerous
10	conditions, the safety of those occupants so if
11	there's no second use of egress or carbon monoxide
12	issues things of that nature that are I guess the
13	priority and also adding on additional units to our
14	housing market which would help offset some of the
15	demand by increasing the supply. If we look at this
16	from a practical point zoning consideration, parking
17	requirements, bringing the properties up to code with
18	even sprinkler requirements makes on top of the
19	architect, engineer, the construction work that would
20	be needed makes this almost impossible even if the
21	home qualifies with current zoning, parking, minimum
22	requirements.

RICK CHANDLER: It sounds like you were at some of our meetings earlier I think talking about some of the complexities here, yes, and that's why

2.2

this is a pilot because all of things have been addressed in some form to proceed with the pilot and we are going to observe closely and try to learn what are the pertinent issues that needs to be over... need to be overcome and then try to set us up... set the city up to assist these owners as they move forward but again we... I, I commend the, the Mayor and the administration for moving forward with this pilot because it has the potential to have a great outcome and so we are ready to, to evaluate that pilot along with our partner agencies and see where it takes... where it brings us.

agree but at the cost of 18.6 million dollars knowing the hurdles and the obstacles from the very beginning that if this is going to be a C of O change versus a license or permit put in the onerous responsibility on the homeowner now for the conversion if they can even possibly do it without sidestepping the zoning requirements and codes and the expense would make sense now they would be subject to a rent stabilized tenant which they're not prepared for, have no working experience in that sector putting this all together this is a very difficult and challenging

approach so unless we're going to waive some of these requirements from the onset and we know what they are to legalize these apartments why begin something that will yield in a net zero gain?

PATRICK WEHLE: So, Council Member all the concerns you are raising are very valid concerns and as the Commissioner mentioned these are the very types of things that we've been discussing, just bear, bear in mind that we're going through a pilot program right now and we're in the process of creating that pilot through drafting legislation, once that pilot is put in place then we'll be doing the hard work of evaluating how that pilot works out. So, all of your concerns are very much well founded, we're certainly well aware of them but we're moving forward with, with the potential of, of perhaps unleashing a large stock of new affordable housing certainly we all think it's something worth pursuing, we're very much aware of the concerns that you've raised and as part of this process again of drafting a pilot, evaluating that pilot these are the very kinds of things that we need to be very thoughtful of.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: And just so I'm correct on my numbers I believe the average architectural cost is about 15,000, if they had to do a sprinkler upgrade you're looking anywhere up to 25,000 and then depending on number of units just those two fees are 40,000 to legalize a basement apartment is going to be a difficult hurdle. PATRICK WEHLE: I think cost, cost varied

patrick wehle: I think cost, cost varied based on the project but there is a cost associated with doing this and once again we need to evaluate that work.

a little bit on the Built it Back program, how many more properties are out there that have not been restored, are on the waiting list, the dollar amounts, the budget for the Build it Back program and I don't mean the PS but what's left in the fund to help restore these homes and where we are?

SHARON NEILL: Hi, good afternoon, I'm

Sharon Neill, I'm the Deputy Commissioner for Finance
and Admin... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Okay... [cross-

talkl

2.2

2	SHARON NEILL:so, right now there were
3	50 5,100 jobs filed and they're pretty much in the
4	process of either in process or completed and we're
5	estimating that another 200 jobs are to be filled,
6	the current to be filed. Currently the budget is
7	providing about 40 positions to continue that work,
8	in terms of any additional… you know funding to
9	support the program outside of what's in our budget
10	HRO would probably have more information on that
11	[cross-talk]
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: You said there,
13	there were 5,000 applications, correct? How many of
14	them been restored and closed out and fully funded
15	and… [cross-talk]
16	THOMAS FARIELLO: I think we'd have to
17	get you those numbers, I don't I don't I mean I
18	have them but I, I don't have them with me.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: This is really
20	important… [cross-talk]
21	THOMAS FARIELLO: Sure… [cross-talk]
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: We've got so many
23	people that are still waiting for their approvals. Of
24	the 5,000 that were approved how many applications

were denied?

2	THOMAS FARIELLO: So, you're saying
3	denied by DOB or denied by HRO… [cross-talk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Well denied by
5	the Build It Back program and itself so whether it be
6	DOB or by whatever mechanism or agency or authority,
7	applications were made due to damages during
8	hurricane Sandy which was more than six years ago,
9	and they were never approved for reimbursement or
LO	funding, do we have those numbers?
L1	THOMAS FARIELLO: Those numbers wouldn't
L2	be provided by us that [cross-talk]
L3	RICK CHANDLER: Yeah, that's [cross-
L4	talk]
L5	THOMAS FARIELLO:that would be HRO that
L 6	would provide those numbers. We note the numbers of
L7	people are in the program, when accepted into the
L8	program and who have filed applications with the
L9	department, we can give you those numbers.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: That number is?
21	THOMAS FARIELLO: It's 5,100 total that
22	are in various stages, I could break it down.
23	RICK CHANDLER: That have been filed with
24	us, that I mean this you the question earlier was
5	what's our role with the the hasement nilot

1

2	it… there's comparisons to the… to the Build it Back
3	and that our role is to do the technical
4	administration and regulation for the project but
5	there's a lot bigger umbrella project on, ongoing so
6	HRO is the one who processes the applications for
7	reimbursement and then allows people to get into the
8	program and there's a lot of complex variables in
9	that in that activity but once it gets into the
10	system and there are architects and engineers that
11	file with us who have been contracted by HRO program
12	to do it they get through our system very quickly so
13	the moment we hear about somebody being delayed we
14	get… we're all over it so I don't agree with the, the
15	comments about people being held up with, with the
16	Department of Buildings related issues on their Build
17	it Back issues, often times there's other factors
18	involved but we stand at the ready to, to go out
19	today or tomorrow and anytime anybody wants us out
20	there.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I look forward to
22	working on that with you… [cross-talk]
23	RICK CHANDLER: Absolutely [cross-talk]
24	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ:Commissioner,

there's a lot to... [cross-talk]

)	DICK	CHYNDIED.	Absolutely	[aross-talk
_	KICK	CHANDLER.	ADSOIUCETY	[CIOSS-talk]

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

where we have families that haven't been able to move back into their homes or... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: ...six years later

RICK CHANDLER: Right... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: ...are living in terrible conditions is alarming and disappointing but thank you. Let me just continue, construction site safety, please explain this to me. Last week I chaired the SBS hearings on the budget this was already proposed in there and it was at an 18.7 million dollar investment for fiscal '19 through '21 at 5.3... and 5.3 in the year 2022, please explain to me how is it that we are working on construction site training from two various agencies for the same purposes when we currently have much opposition from industry in particular the labor forces where they're posing taxpayer fronts to non-government entities for construction safety training when they currently offer the same training, are paying for it or their members are paying for it and it looks like a little bit redundancy and concerning because these are big dollar amounts and if we're going to trust it to make sure that taxpayer dollars are spent wisely your

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 number of... is it 3 point... 13.2 million for 42 positions?

PATRICK WEHLE: So, let me speak to that. There, there is a distinction between what you're seeing within SBS's budget and what you're seeing within the Buildings Department's budget, the Buildings Department budget as it relates to construction safety relates to performing inspections by and large, inspecting to ensure that workers on these sites have the appropriate amount of training, okay, whereas the SBS side of the equation relates to actual training itself. The Buildings Department is not charged with training these workers, the Buildings Department is charged with enforcing the law and performing inspections to ensure that workers on these sites have the appropriate amount of training, that's the distinction between one and the other. The law also provides that the city will provide training to those individuals who have limited access to training and I presume the money that you're seeing in SBS's budget the total dollar amount in lines I'm not familiar with relates to that piece of construction safety, the actual training of those workers who have limited access to training.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: We'll continue

1

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that as well, do... it just sounds like a very

complicated approach to something that's very

simplistic in nature in that there are already

entities in place that have been doing this for a

7 long, long time and not only the... I'm referring to

8 the training and the enforcement end of it which I

9 | believe can be streamlined and made more efficient

10 | but very complicated... [cross-talk]

RICK CHANDLER: Well I, I agree with you, it's a very complicated issue and it's something that we're doing something new that this agency hasn't done before so we will get it... we'll get there it's just a little bit of a learning process for us as well as everybody else.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: It was also brought to my attention, I think you touched on it in your introduction, waterfront permitting, I believe there is a move to remove the waterfront permitting responsibilities from SBS and streamline it into DOB where it should have been from the very onset.

RICK CHANDLER: Well I... it's... I'm not going to speak to where it should have been from the onset but that's certainly been a frequent question

of my predecessor… to my predecessors and to me from
the day that I started, and I think that the
appropriate way to proceed is to evaluate the best
way to file applications and what kind of code
applies. It would be very, very simple to just
transfer a couple of people and ask them to start
taking applications for the waterfront but we're in
the process of changing our agency and we want the
waterfront applications to, to mimic everything else
we do which is being the bars being set very high so
that's why we are in the process of having
consultants help us create a waterfront code and ther
we will incorporate it into our DOB now platform so
that everything is done online.
COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I encourage that

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I encourage that and hopefully... [cross-talk]

RICK CHANDLER: Thank you, I appreciate your... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: ...its sooner than...
[cross-talk]

22 RICK CHANDLER: ...support... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: ...later. And those are... these are softballs I threw at you so far so let me get into some of the more difficult questions and

2.2

I hope that you can help me get a better
understanding. There's been many complaints and it's
been brought to my attention concerns about plan
examiners with very little oversight and training and
just, so we have an I have a better understanding,
architects submit plans, they go through some type of
review with plan examiners, there's an approval,
developer goes out constructs, comes back to find out
that the building or the construction is not in
compliance and then has to correct it and in some
cases it's almost impossible to correct, how can it
possibly be that a developer using an architect,
getting approved plans by a plan examiner, by the DOE
finds himself erecting a structure which is illegal
and non-compliant?

RICK CHANDLER: Well I think you heard me in my testimony that we issued nearly 166,000 permits last year after, after review and sometimes that review is quite limited and what we've asked to... the, the architect and engineering community to do is to professionally certify jobs that they feel confident in submitting to us as long as we have a robust audit program with that and this... our team here and this body here has discussed the professional

2.2

certification and what we've been doing for some
time, we think that our audit program is, is robust
and what happens if, if an architect or engineer
submits something that there's a problem with
afterward then we will raise that as an issue, we try
to do it as expeditiously as possible. With that said
I can't guarantee that there hasn't been a job where
that's already started building where we've made an
issue of it before they've gotten too far along.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: I, I... it was brought to my attention that it wasn't a self-certification, it was submitted for review, an approval to find out that after approval and after construction only when there was an application made for a C of O to find out that the building did not comply.

RICK CHANDLER: So, I'm happy to take that address and look into it, that would certainly be a very rare occurrence if that happened.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: But possible...
[cross-talk]

23 RICK CHANDLER: But... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: ...and I'm sure it's happened in your... [cross-talk]

2	RICK CHANDLER: You know why because we
3	have a, a human beings that are sitting and looking
4	at these plans and… [cross-talk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: So, who's
6	[cross-talk]
7	RICK CHANDLER:sometimes when you deal
8	with human beings they make mistakes [cross-talk]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: So, how where
10	would the responsibility be, who would be ultimately
11	responsible… [cross-talk]
12	RICK CHANDLER: Always, always with the
13	owner, always.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: How but [cross-
15	talk]
16	RICK CHANDLER: The owner and their
17	architect and engineer.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Help me
19	understand this please, owner… [cross-talk]
20	RICK CHANDLER: Okay [cross-talk]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ:developer?
22	RICK CHANDLER: Yeah [cross-talk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Makes decides to
24	make a serious investment, follows by hiring a
25	licensed architect who I believe is entrusted to do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the right thing, goes to the appropriate agency for the correct approval, gets approval and if it's human error after the approvals have been done and the construction has been complete only at the time of C of O application and he's responsible after following every step of the way... [cross-talk]

RICK CHANDLER: Absolutely and a perfect example is the ... your council ... your colleague Council Member Chin who we would say that the ... build ... the business owners for... in SoHo they would argue very vehemently that they are in compliance whether we come to them and issue these violations so one perfect example would be the violations that we spoke to Council Member Chin moments ago because those folks that we issued violations to are going to argue that we're wrong in, in saying that they're in violation so the... it's not... it's not uncommon for owners to believe that when we're enforcing something after the fact that we're wrong, there's, there's arguments on both sides whether we're wrong or not and if we found that we've wrong... we've, we've made a mistake in our plan review then we'll absolutely be vigorous about trying to make the least impactful correction with that.

PATRICK WEHLE: And if I and if I could
just add architects and engineers are licensed by the
state of New York, they're submitting applications to
the Buildings Department with a sign and seal
attesting that what they've submitted to this
department complies with code and zoning, so they
certainly bear responsibility if there are any
errors. Furthermore, you know we'd be curious to, to
hear the example it sounds like you're citing so we
can look at look at it and get back to your but to
the extent there is an issue like you're explaining
it is the absolute exception, again the Commissioner
mentioned, you know 106 60,000 permits that we
issued probably well over 100,000 plans submitted,
the scale of work this was this department is
responsible for is enormous and we're human beings
and there might be instances very, very rare where,
where, where something slips but, you know we should
all be sure that ultimately architects and engineers
who are licensed by the state have an obligation to
file documents to the department that comply with
coding and zoning.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: First of all the amount of work and the undertaking is tremendous for

the Department of Buildings, it's undeniable and I
agree with you a licensed architect or engineer has a
responsibility here but the process and the checks
and balances to make sure that they're doing their
work properly is this agency which approves their
work so there should be a responsibility on the
approving agency for human error otherwise we
wouldn't need permits, we'd allow it we'd give it to
the architect or engineer to draw up, classify and
say you can build as of right without having the
approval process from Building Department but there
is a need for a checks and balance and there should
be a responsibility on plan examiners I would imagine
and not place it just on the architects and
engineers… [cross-talk]

PATRICK WEHLE: Understood and I, I would say that that... those checks, and balances are in fact in place given the overwhelming majority of applications that we approve, permits that are issued for work that is absolutely in compliance with code and zoning.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: ADA... thank you for that... [cross-talk]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

talk]

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Wait a minute

Council Member just give one more question, I'd

really like to be able to today hear from the public...

[cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Uh-huh... [cross-

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: ...sometimes we don't get to do that because the day gets too long so if you... if you would.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: That one... I'll just... I'll just mention some points on the record and maybe if you can answer them quickly considering the time constraint that we're under. I understand there's an issue with adult senior day cares in commercial zones versus residential zones where children's day care do not have the same interpretation. Secondly, ADA compliance in landmarked areas where landmarks does not approve permanent ADA ramps subjecting the properties to violation for not having permanent but offering temporary ramp service and thirdly and I hope you can explain a little bit on this one... four, actually there's a follow up to this, there's a determination request that's made of building codes and zoning for

2	any building other than one, two and three family
3	homes there's a fee of 1,000 dollars for eh first
4	request, if there's a if it's denied then they must
5	go to Manhattan I believe at an additional cost of
6	2,500 dollars for a determination classification,
7	this seems like a tremendous amount of money that has
8	to be paid for something that I would imagine our
9	Department of Buildings would be easy to respond to,
10	to make sure that we don't have human error on
11	architects or engineers where the developer is
12	ultimately held responsible and to hold the bag of
13	correction if there needs to be and the last part of
14	this, on the citywide savings and, and correct me if
15	I'm wrong, I believe store signage the codes the
16	laws were implemented back in 1961 without any
17	further updating since then there was a moratorium
18	because very few of our signage is compliant and I
19	believe the law says no more than 12 square feet of
20	signage, printable signage is permitted. One of the
21	issues that you bring up is that you're looking to
22	increase on citywide saving program will be the
23	dollar amount, the revenue will be an increase
24	collection of revenue from fees related to
25	illuminated which I understand are a problem to begin

2.2

with and illegal so explain this to me, we issue permits for a sign that's illegal that doesn't comply with the laws to find out that later on there will be a penalty that starts at 5,000 dollars. Sorry, that's a... and it's a very loaded hot potato.

PATRICK WEHLE: So, there's a... there's a lot there obviously. What I'd like to do in the interest of time I can touch on a couple of those things but we haven't had the opportunity to actual sit down with you and we can do that in real short order and get into the meat and potatoes of all these questions that you're raising, you know in terms of going backwards, in terms of the signage issue we're issuing permits for the sign structure not for the actual sign and that's an important distinction, they get a permit to put a sign structure up subsequent to that they get a permit to put a sign up and that's where there might be a problem. So, that's... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Yeah, I would say so... [cross-talk]

PATRICK WEHLE: ...that's, that's the distinction and it's an important distinction, you can put a sign structure up in a certain area but

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

then putting... depending on the size of the sign on the structure that's where there might be issues with the coding and zoning.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: And the last source of revenue is going to be developer request to reinspect hazardous areas, so I would imagine we want to make this as painless as possible where there's very little hurdles to overcome to have hazardous... to have... to reinspect hazardous conditions by placing additional fees on this would allow or deter reinspection of hazardous areas and conditions. I'm just trying to clarify that we should be looking in the best interest of the people, citizens, developers and all the stakeholders across that the more hurdles that we place and requirements that we place that we don't even hold ourselves accountable to creates a challenging environment to build and develop and I'm just concerned but I'm looking forward to... [crosstalkl

PATRICK WEHLE: Understood Council

Member, we hear your concerns loud and clear and we
look forward to sitting down with you in short order
to go through all these issues and more.

RICK CHANDLER: Thank you.

_

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. Just because we're moving along and in the... in the interest of time I do agree with my colleague about a lot of the onerous situations that homeowners find themselves in so certainly we will circle back and over the course of this next four years hopefully begin to reduce or even look at it in a... in a good common sense way how we can be more supportive so thank you Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER GJONAJ: Thank you for your patience.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Council Member Rosenthal.

and Chair Cornegy and Commissioner it's great to see you, thank you for coming over, you know I'm going to ask you as you know about the office of the tenant advocate and you're shocked, I know, shocker but I want you to know that I was thinking about the question I would ask sitting here and I realize that Patrick is the office of the tenant advocate for me and I do appreciate him and I mean that in all sincerity, I mean I call him, he sends out inspectors

1	
2	and we get some results so I do appreciate that.
3	Currently in the preliminary budget are there lines
4	for a true office of the tenant advocate, I think in
5	the fiscal responsibility that was attached to the
6	original bills there were two lines, is that part of
7	the 75?
8	SHARON NEILL: So, at this time no
9	funding's been provided for the office of the tenant
10	advocate.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No, I'm
12	asking oh, in the preliminary budget [cross-talk]
13	SHARON NEILL: No [cross-talk]
14	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:none and do
15	you expect it to be funded in the executive budget?
16	SHARON NEILL: It's not [cross-talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Are you asking
18	the Mayor for funding for the office of the tenant
19	advocate?
20	SHARON NEILL: So, currently the way the
21	functions of tenant advocacy are handled they're
22	typically cross departmental so at this time we're

dealing with the, the issues by having the contact

information on our website, the office of the

25

23

24

building marshal is the primary contact that, that...

[cross-talk]

2.2

missing my question, I only have a second and I'm not going to play, okay, we need an office... so the bill asks for an office to the tenant advocate and it required in the fiscal statement two FTEs, I'm asking you if the Department of Buildings asked for two FTEs for the executive budget yes or no?

SHARON NEILL: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Okay, thank you very much. Let me give you two examples of why we need an office of the tenant advocate some people who would actually be actively advocating about tenants would be able to see patterns, would be able to do the work... patterns of harassment, would be able to do the work of reviewing the tenant protection plan in a meaningful way, would be able to implement all the laws that this Mayor signed this past summer, right, so I'm... I've pulled up two recently. This one just yesterday, Commissioner you might remember I, I forget if it was in my first or second year, I spoke to you at a... about a building where... I'm really not going to say the name of the owner out loud but it

was somebody who you knew and the way that harassment
manifested itself at that building, this is a
penthouse, rent regulated building, I'm sure the
developer would love nothing more than to sell it or
rent it out for squillions but no, there's a rent
regulated tenant who lives there and has rights and
last year I spoke to you about the fact that the
building owner repeatedly took out work permits to
fix some façade work right outside this gentleman's
window, there'd been several permits pulled, the
jackhammering continued for a number of years. Now
the latest complaint is that literally the complaint
says my neighbor, this guy who's being harassed,
sorry his neighbor is saying my neighbor, has, has a
new illegal structure above his kitchen posing
extreme fire safety concerns for the entire building.
Last summer the compliant and I don't think I
mentioned this to you was that there was an illegal
manufacturing business being operated in this
apartment, this is a 15 foot by 15 foot studio
apartment, so just to be clear this unit has not
changed, it was rented in 1975 and no structural work
has been, been done if there was an office of the
tenant advocate somebody would be there noticing this

pattern and practice of harassment and you know
perhaps would be working with HPD or using other
tools to make it clear to the owner of the building
that they needed to stop doing that but we don't have
that right now. The second example is of a building
where there was a fire in the building, half the
units were rent regulated, half market and the, the
fire destroyed all the apartments, everyone was out,
it's now a year and a half later remarkably the
market units have been brought back and are ready to
rent out but the rent regulated ones of course you
couldn't enter, there's been no work to fix them and
previously DOB did the right thing in issuing a
vacate order but no one is paying attention to the
fact that this building owner has plenty of money to
refurbish for the market rate but not for the rent
regulated, I mean I have a story like this every week
and as excellent as your building marshals are and
Patrick and you and you and Tim, everyone the intent
of the law is not being carried out by the Department
of Buildings and I, I just we passed a law requiring
two people and an office of the tenant advocate and I
don't understand your answer.

2	RICK CHANDLER: We'll revisit as to what
3	it is that we're requesting but that doesn't change
4	that we have been doing tenant advocacy and tenant
5	harassment work long before the law was passed
6	successfully in my opinion and we are doing now so we
7	can call it we can call it the tenant advocate it
8	will be within our, our building marshal's office and
9	we'll and I recall your example of the building on
10	the Upper West Side I believe and lots we'll follow
11	[cross-talk]
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: You spoke to
13	him at a gala I think that night and [cross-talk]
14	RICK CHANDLER: The owner?
15	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah.
16	RICK CHANDLER: I think possibly and so
17	I'm happy to follow up again because it bothers me
18	what you're telling me… [cross-talk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: But you see it
20	doesn't end and so… [cross-talk]
21	RICK CHANDLER: No, it doesn't so the
22	[cross-talk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:that's why
24	[cross-talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSINGS AND BUILDING
2	RICK CHANDLER: We can talk offline
3	[cross-talk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I agree that
5	[cross-talk]
6	RICK CHANDLER:Council Member [cross-
7	talk]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:you're,
9	you're doing some work and there are some people
10	there, the nature of this problem is overwhelming and
11	most of the violations issued on tenant harassment
12	cases come from the Department of Buildings and we're
13	not getting a response rate for curing the problems
14	even if they do come out that's what an office of the
15	tenant advocate would be following someone who is
16	laser focused on Department of Building code
17	violations but from a tenant's perspective.
18	PATRICK WEHLE: Again, Council Member
19	we've had this discussion a number of times and I
20	think we just disagree, the functions that are
21	captured within the legislation, the functions that
22	you've just described now are functions that are
23	currently being adequately carried out by the

Department of Buildings. Tenant protection related issues, the use construction to harass tenants is 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

taken very seriously by this department, those complaints are prioritized as I explained earlier, they're forwarded to building marshal's office for prioritized inspections. We're doing the work now, we have staff here who is reviewing the tenant protection plans with the council's help we now have a new law that sort of strengthens the plan, right, requires more means and methods to protect tenants, requires specificity, we're now performing more audits of those plans, we're now performing proactive inspections of tenant protection properties and occupied multiple dwellings, with your help, with the council's help we're doing a lot of additional work to improve our ability to address this issue but again the functions outlined in the office of the tenant advocate are functions that are currently being addressed by the department.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So, just to be clear I voted for Speaker Johnson and if Speaker Johnson is going to tell me that, you know the council is taking a certain path I'll raise my concerns in democratic conference but at the end of the day I'm following my Speaker's lead, this Mayor signed this bill into law and it came with two people

COMMITTEE ON HOUSINGS AND BUILDING 1 2 and it was intended to be a separate office. Thank 3 you. CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, this, this 4 committee obviously supports its members and supports 5 the, the bill and the law so we will definitely be 6 7 following up... [cross-talk] COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you... 8 [cross-talk] 9 10 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: ...on behalf of member Rosenthal and, and the city. 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And the 13 tenants. 14 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Yeah. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you very 16 much Chair Cornegy. 17 CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. Council Member Rivera. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: This is... it goes 19 20 along with the Councilwoman's comments, you know because of all the construction as, as harassment 21 2.2 that has been happening in New York City it took a 23 number of council people to come together and pass 24 the Stand for Tenant Safety and I know that Council

Member Chin brought this up earlier. So, this is a

2.2

comprehensive package trying to tackle this problem
and I wanted to follow up on my predecessor's bill
which is Local Law 159, the construction safe
construction bill of rights in lobbies and hallways
in buildings under construction and I want to know
how is DOB going to assure compliance, I saw you had
1,200 inspections, that's great but I want to know
specifically how you're going to be able to post this
because a lot of people don't even know what their
rights are let alone to call a council person who's
going to be able to make that phone call and help
them out. So, I want to know what you're going to do
to assure that this is up and that you're again
complying with the law that was passed?

RICK CHANDLER: We are complying and we have a separate tenant protection plan for all buildings that are residential in nature and we are... we've laid out guidelines for the industry to... what they need to require in those plans, they're separate plans, they're made available for our inspectors and when we go out there they're checking the tenant protection plans that they were required to be approved by the examiners if they're not they're getting summonses accordingly and stop work orders

2.2

accordingly and we are now doing the proactive inspections so we have a methodology where we will identify buildings and visit them proactively without, without responding to a complaint as per the law so we do think that we're complying.

PATRICK WEHLE: And also as part of that as it relates to the safe construction bill of rights from Local Law 159 so that, that law is in effect as of the end of last year, the department is enforcing it, there's a requirement that in these buildings, multiple dwellings the owner needs to post this safe construction bill of rights in the lobbies, by the elevator banks throughout the entire building, HPD and the Department of Buildings is charged with enforcing that law and we are enforcing that law.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Are there any violations, do you have any numbers pertaining to violations as to people who... [cross-talk]

PATRICK WEHLE: I don't have... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: ...are not posting them... [cross-talk]

PATRICK WEHLE: ...that information available, I can... I can look into that and get back

2.2

to you, I could look into to see what complaints we've received if any and what's been our response to those complaints, so we can provide that information to the committee.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Just to confirm that you're tracking it is my point... [cross-talk]

PATRICK WEHLE: Understood.

COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: Okay, I look forward to those numbers, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, I guess this is the appropriate time to bring up real time enforcement, what's... what is budgeted for the creation of real time enforcement Local Law 188 of 2017 in the current fiscal year and in the out years and how will this money be used to implement the creation and maintenance of an effective real time enforcement unit? So, obviously I'm referencing Local Law 188.

SHARON NEILL: So, the real time enforcement initiative has funded 19 positions and an additional... and an additional 300,000 dollars in this fiscal year and the, the authorized positions for next fiscal year will grow to 57 with almost four million dollars of PS cost associated with it. So,

2.2

I'm working with my colleagues on, on the enforcement efforts with real time enforcement, it's going to most likely result in a second shift where inspectors will be assigned to a second shift in order to respond to the complaints in a... in the necessary time frame as well as weekend coverage and then additional inspectors will be assigned to the borough enforcement efforts to make sure that we're complying with these requirements.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, do we have a, a time frame on like total implementation?

SHARON NEILL: So, we were just funding these positions but currently we're addressing the issues with existing staff now and we're in the process of advertising and hiring up so by the time the program gets fully implemented in, in fiscal year '19 we hope to be completely 100 percent up and running.

PATRICK WEHLE: And so, I'll also add as it relates to... specific to this local law the funding that's in the preliminary budget to... is to address tenant protection issues broadly and the full package of legislation but as of today we are in compliance with Local Law 188 both the response times for

2.2

complaints and also the proactive inspections that
the law requires as well.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: And then Local,

Local Law 149 of 2017 would prohibit professional,

professionally certified filings for buildings where

owners have been found guilty of tenant harassment,

does DOB have the resource... the resources ordered as

necessary to effectively implement Local Law 149 and

what if any additional resources may be required so

that's obviously a capacity question?

SHARON NEILL: So, we were provided an additional two positions to help strengthen the resources that currently do this work. We're going... as we continue to, to address the issues we'll be assessing it and if we need additional resources we'll go back through the budget process and request them if necessary.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you so much,
my colleagues and I have very few tools to help
protect tenants in the city and those that we do have
we have a reasonable expectation that they'll be
supported by DOB in our efforts to do what's right
for tenants in a... in an increasingly changing
environment that's not friendly or for long term

existing tenants. So, this is not necessarily a
hostile environment but people are very passionate
about serving their communities and their
constituents and providing safe environments for, for
their constituents across the city and as you can see
here represented they're, they're or, or today there
were several boroughs so this is not these are not
issues that are, are, you know significant in only
one borough, these are the 51 Council Members and 51
districts face varying degrees of, of these issues
and consequently this committee is committed to
hopefully working in conjunction continue to work in
conjunction to get some of this resolved. So, if
there are no more questions I thank you for your
testimony.

RICK CHANDLER: Thank you very much, we look forward to continued work, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Absolutely. So, at this time if those people who have signed up who were, were from the public and I'm so sorry, Lidgia, Lahia, yes, I'm so sorry... oh, no it's Nicki Ledger; Mr. Komatsu [sp?]: Ignacio and that's it. So, we're going to ask you all to come up to the podium, there will be a portion affirming your testimony and then

2.2

we'll get right into as you as you well know that
there are several hearings taking place at the same
time so I'm going to ask that you we put we put a
clock on, Sergeant at Arms can I get some time on the
clock? So, if I can ask you to raise your right hand
so that I can affirm your testimony, do you affirm to
tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
truth in your testimony before this committee and to
respond honestly to Council Member questions?

NICKI LEDGER: I do, I do...

IGNACIO JAUREGUILORDA: I do.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, if you'll... if you'll indulge, indulge me I'm, I'm going to say ladies first, I hope no one is opposed to that.

NICKI LEDGER: First I'd like to say that no tenant protection... no tenant protection plan was posted in my lobby, in fact no DOB permit for work in that particular apartment two floors below mine was, was, was posted and I had to go to the assembly woman's office with an aid, go to the fire department, talk to the fire captain and two days later a DOB permit was posted but no tenant protection plan has since been posted and the 3-1-1 operator knows nothing about what a tenant protection

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

plan is. Okay, my name is Nicki Ledger, I am a New York City tenant who supported and applauded the passage of 13 STS bills into law. The recent unfortunate events of 85 Bowery and 272 Stag Street are violations of Local Law 188, real time enforcement and Local Law 15... 150. The latter mandates that an order to repair has to be issued with every vacate order so that tenants may return as soon as feasible. What will be the penalty for violating these laws, how will they be enforced? It's already abundantly clear that assuming voluntary compliance will suffice is seriously flawed. The blatant disregard we witness suggests two things to me; one, abundant funding of real time enforcement is required and two, landlords in violation of either local law be arrested by New York's finest, they are in violation of New York City law these landlords. Instead we find ourselves signing petitions to the Mayor for a random promise for a date by which tenants can go home as though Local Law 150 did not exist. The elderly tenants of 85 Bowery are no longer hunger striking but housed in hotels not knowing how long it will be until they return to their homes. This is shameful, this situation was preventable, the

2.2

laws were in place but where was the enforcement of
said laws? Hearing that 20 inspectors be funded for
real time enforcement unit I was stunned, not 120,
not 220. Our STS laws are a magnificent creation,
harbor generous funding combined with a fully staffed
DOB is mandatory for their functioning as laws to
make it happen and for real time enforcement to work
as it was designed to, to keep our New Yorkers safe
we all need to keep watch on how matters unfold.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you for that testimony, I, I will say on behalf of your particular instance we have... we have one of the loudest advocates as it relates to, to, to the, the, the Bowery property and, and the Council Member who has brought to my attention, these things you heard our, our conversation about real time enforcements...

[cross-talk]

NICKI LEDGER: I just did, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Right, so I, I just want you to know that you have commitment, my commitment, the commitment of your Council Member and the commitment of this committee to see this through and to have these laws implemented in a way that makes sense for residents... [cross-talk]

1	
2	NICKI LEDGER: Thank you… [cross-talk]
3	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, it, it's not
4	where you want it to be, but I promise you that today
5	was the beginning of us having some you know
6	reviewing the oversight over, over this so, so I
7	really apologize… [cross-talk]
8	NICKI LEDGER: And you can rely [cross-
9	talk]
10	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY:for what you're
11	going through [cross-talk]
12	NICKI LEDGER:on people like myself to
13	work with, with people like yourself.
14	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I'm clear on that.
15	NICKI LEDGER: Yeah.
16	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you.
17	NICKI LEDGER: Thank you.
18	IGNACIO JAUREGUILORDA: Good afternoon,
19	thank you Mr. Chair and committee members. I'll keep
20	my spoken comments abbreviated and I'll rely on my
21	written testimony in the interest of time. My name is
22	Ignacio Jaureguilorda and I'm the Director of Legal
23	Hand, a project of the Center for Court Innovation.
24	I'm here to urge the council to support the Center
25	for Court Innovation as it seeks to strengthen and

expand alternatives to incarceration and access the
justice, justice program through a million dollars in
support from the city council in fiscal year 2019.
This includes a 500,000-dollar continuation of
funding for ongoing operations and a 500,000 dollar
enhancement which will help us advance the city
council's goals of improving fairness, working
towards the closure of Rikers Island and bolstering
access to justice. Included in the written testimony
submission is a summary of this request as well as a
matrix that reflects the positive outcomes should the
council grant this request. Expanded support from the
council would also enable the continuation of our
public safety and alternative to incarceration
programs throughout the five boroughs. Our programs
which include the Red Hook Community Justice Center,
Crown Heights Community Mediation Center, the Midtown
Community Court, Bronx Community Solutions, Queens
Youth Justice Center and Staten Island Center
Justice Center have been documented by independent
evaluators to improve safety, reduce incarceration
and enhance public trust in government. With work we
work with tens of thousands of New Yorkers each year
at these project sites and the vast majority of the

people we serve at LGBTQ are LGBTQ youth, immigrants, low income or people of color. The city council's support has been invaluable to the success of the Center for Court Innovation, the center looks forward to continuing the work with the council to reduce incarceration and to enhance access to justice. We respectfully urge you to continue to support our work and I thank you for the opportunity to speak, I would be happy to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you for your testimony. I'm a supporter, the, the Crown Heights

Mediation Center is in my district and I've had the pleasure of working with them and seeing the results that they bring so other than... I think you and you can count on me... [cross-talk]

IGNACIO JAUREGUILORDA: We appreciate all your support, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you. I would...

I would just ask there are two more people who signed up if you could just join us at the podium both

Brandon and Rolando. And I understand that you're STS advocates and have had a long relationship, I just asked Mr. Komatsu, welcome back.

2.2

_	
2	MR. KOMATSU: Hi. So… let's see… I was
3	hoping to have the meeting in the main chamber where
4	there was a T.V. screen where I could project the
5	testimony on. I had a conversation with Steven Banks
6	on December 14 th of last year, I recorded him on
7	audio. So, let me use what he had to say to me during
8	that public town hall meeting.
9	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I, I, I don't think
10	that we… [cross-talk]
11	MR. KOMATSU:in interest of
12	transparency.
13	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I don't think that
14	we have the capacity nor this, this is not that
15	[cross-talk]
16	MR. KOMATSU: I have the audio… [cross-
17	talk]
18	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: It's not that type
19	of hearing, you can just give me your testimony and
20	I'll, I'll offline I'll listen to what Mr. Banks
21	said.
22	MR. KOMATSU: The bottom line is HPD's
23	Commissioner testified today, she essentially misled
24	you, I've spoken to that stuff. I live in a building

that isn't registered with HPD validly, it hasn't

been registered with HPD since the beginning of
September. I'm looking at HPD's own website right now
that confirms it says this property is not currently
validly registered with HPD, HRA gave that landlord
let's see… more than two million dollars to acquire
that building to serve as the landlord, they're not
making repairs. HPD issued a violation against that
landlord in December of 2016, it still hasn't been
fixed, there's a hole in the roof in the building, it
was leading about a week to two weeks ago when it was
raining pretty hard, I was assaulted in that building
by my former mentally unstable roommate, I got a
concussion, he… that was after he had tried to, to
assault me. I, I was diagnosed with a concussion on
July 30^{th} of 2016 and basically the landlord pulled a
bait and switch with everybody in the building, we
signed one lease agreement then they gave us
something entirely different. So, we reported that
fraud and forgery, no one took corrective action, I
talked to the Bronx DA on March 17 th of 2016 about
two weeks after I moved into the building, they
didn't do a darn thing, if they had I wouldn't have
been assaulted. Also, the landlord of the building is
Urban Pathways, they're going to have a fundraiser on

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSINGS AND BUILDING
2	I think May $10^{ ext{th}}$ at the Grand Hyatt. So, the question
3	is if the CEO of that company is making 200,000 bucks
4	a year, they're getting taxpayer money, they're not
5	making repairs what can you guys do about it?
6	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, you said Urban
7	Pathways, I, I believe is a is a supportive housing.
8	[cross-talk]
9	MR. KOMATSU: Its [cross-talk]
10	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY:program, correct?
11	MR. KOMATSU: No, they're actually more
12	like… for lack of a better description embezzling the
13	cash.
14	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, what I'd like
15	to do is and I've asked you to do this before, I
16	don't know what's happened with us offline [cross-
17	talk]
18	MR. KOMATSU: Every step [cross-talk]
19	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, actually I have
20	my housing person here with me, I'd just like for you
21	to talk to him offline because what your case is, is
22	an individual case and I and I've always [cross-

MR. KOMATSU: There are more people in the building having similar issues.

talk]

23

24

_	
2	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, I'd like for
3	you to detail that for me what's happening so that we
4	can be helpful, okay? Thank you.
5	MR. KOMATSU: Thanks.
6	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Oh, I'm sorry,
7	Brandon. And I and I, I don't mean to be as informa
8	as to call you by your first name, but I'd rather not
9	butcher your last name.
10	BRANDON KIELBASA: Thank you, Kielbasa is
11	how it's pronounced but thanks.
12	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Oh, literally
13	Kielbasa?
14	BRANDON KIELBASA: Literally Kielbasa.
15	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: I could have gotten
16	that.
17	BRANDON KIELBASA: Yeah.
18	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Sorry.
19	BRANDON KIELBASA: Yeah, thanks though.
20	So, my name is Brandon Kielbasa and I'm the Director
21	of Organizing at the Cooper Square Committee. The
22	Cooper Square Committee is a tenants rights
23	organization in the Lower East Side, we specialize in
24	tenant organizing, we're also a proud member of the

Stand for Tenant Coalition and STS is a coalition of

about 30 different housing groups from around the
city that formed about three years ago to combat
construction as harassment and if you don't know what
that is it's a huge intense problem sweeping the
city, it's a form of tenant harassment that basically
landlords use the guise of construction to force rent
regulated tenants out of their buildings. So, I'm
here today in the capacity of as a member of the STS
coalition, we're asking for the funding that's in
place within the preliminary budget to stay intact
for the new STS laws of 13, 13 suite law of laws 13
new laws that in basically created was created last
year as the stand for tenant safety act. So, we're
asking, asking for comprehensive funding of that.
There are three laws in particular that we think has
special considerations within the budget, most of the
laws are, are kind of budget or, or cash neutral but
Local Law 188 which is the real time enforcement law
that went into effect this month is going to require
about 30 new inspectors to create a new team to be
out and doing targeted enforcement within communities
facing construction as harassment. Local Law 149
which is Council Member Chin's new law which is going
to require additional auditing of plans and permits

2.2

and Local Law 161 which is the new law that will create and office of the tenant advocate. So, we're here today... here today to ask for, you know funding for those laws, we all know that these new laws really only have impact and become real when they're funded, and the agencies can carry them out so we're asking for that to happen today. Thank you so much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you and thank you for all your work.

BRANDON KIELBASA: Thank you.

ROLANDO GUZMAN: Hi, good afternoon. My name is Rolando Guzman and I'm the Deputy Director for Community Preservation at St. Nicks Alliance, a local community organization in North Brooklyn. We are also a proud member of STS and I'm going to save a lot of time just by giving... based on the background that my colleague just gave. I just want to talk briefly about... well first of all I want to thank the Council... city council, you know I think this process that we have there was for over two years, it was a true and great partnership between community organizations, tenants and city council members and, and we are all very happy that this legislation

passed through and that and then that the actual
Mayor joined in in this effort so I think it's a
great example of legislation that is going to protect
tenants however though we need to make sure that the
Department of Buildings is enforcing this legislation
and they also that they need the, the resources to,
to, to fulfil their mandate. Some tenants or, or some
people testified before referring to a building 272
Stag and I just want to use that building as a
reference how the real time enforcement will save
tenant's homes. 272 Stag is a building in the East
Williamsburg section and back in November a new owner
purchased that property and they started doing work
without permits right away. It took the Department of
Buildings about two months to go to inspect that
property, they were very close to be vacated because
the landlord did such aggressive construction in the
building demolition. The funding for DOB we want to
make sure that is staffed with a new unit that is
going to respond to that kind of emergencies within
12 hours. We really look forward, you know the
creation of the real time enforcement unit that a
specific unit that tenants can call and inspectors
will respond, respond within 12 hours. I think that

alone is going to prevent a lot of tenants being vacated from their buildings and again we want to thank the leadership of doing this committee and we look forward to working with you.

CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: So, so the reality is none of the work we could do... we do here could be completed if we didn't have advocates on the ground really working directly with tenants so again I appreciate the work that you do, and I would be surprised if the funding wasn't available to continue your work.

ROLANDO GUZMAN: Thank you.

NICKI LEDGER: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Yeah, I also wanted to really thank the advocate, it was... it was amazing that we all can come together two years and 13 legislations pass and I was also very happy to see the Mayor put in money in the preliminary budget so we have to make sure that money stays there and get actually... and get DOB to utilize it and starts in enforcing the laws but thank you for all your great work.

IGNACIO JAUREGUILORDA: Thank you

BRANDON KIELBASA: Thank you.

_

2.2

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSINGS AND BUILDING	
2	CHAIRPERSON CORNEGY: Thank you, th:	ĹS
3	hearing is now adjourned.	
4	[gavel]	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date

April 15, 2018