CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

----- X

February 28, 2018 Start: 10:04 a.m. Recess: 12:17 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm.

14<sup>th</sup> Fl.

B E F O R E: BARRY S. GRODENCHIK

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Joseph C. Borelli

Justin L. Brannan

Andrew Cohen

Costa G. Constantinides

Mark Gjonaj Andy L. King Peter A. Koo

Francisco P. Moya Eric A. Ulrich

James G. Van Bramer

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Mitchell Silver, Commissioner
NYC Parks and Recreation Commissioner

Lynn Kelly, Executive Director New Yorkers for Parks

Heather Lubov, City Parks Foundation

Everett Hamlet, Leave it Better

Deborah Martin, Executive Director New York Restoration Project

Lisa Ortega, Take Back the Bronx

Nilka Martell, Founder, Loving the Bronx

Marcel Negret, Project Manager Municipal Art Society of New York

Lucy Koteen, Friends of Fort Green Park

Marilyn Johnson, Parent Coordinator at East Bronx Academy for the Future, Founder of Synergy Community Improvement Association and Founder of Neighbors Helping Neighbors

2 [sound check, pause] [gavel]

3 CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Good morning. I 4 want to welcome everybody. I have two brief opening 5 statements, which I'd like to read into the record 6 and then we'll hear from our Parks Commissioner. 7 Good morning and welcome to the first meeting of the 8 New York City Council's Committee on Parks and Recreation for 2018. My name is Barry Grodenchik, 10 and I'm honored to be the Chair of the Committee for 11 this Council term. The parks of the city of New York 12 are universally loved by the 8-1/2 million people 13 residing in the five boroughs of this great city, and 14 are enjoyed by millions more people who visit New 15 York City every year. From Fort Totten Park in 16 Northeast Queens to Conference House Park in 17 Tottenville, Staten Island from the great stretches 18 of Van Cortlandt and Pelham Bay Parks in the Bronx to 19 the beaches of Coney Island and the Rockaway 20 Peninsula and, of course, our crown jewel Central 21 Park, every park in this city matters, and is going 22 to matter as we go forward. The numbers on parks are 23 both amazing and staggering. Parks in the city of 24 New York are 14% of all the land in the city, over 25 30,000 acres representing over 5,000 individual

committee will be hearing on the progress on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

for starters. Next month our hearing will be on the Preliminary Budget. I also invite the residents of the city to contact me with ideas and topics they would like to see this committee take a closer look Since becoming chair, it has been my pleasure to meet with dozens of advocates who care so much about the parks and the public lands of our city. This past Saturday, I was honored to be at the Bronx Speak Up with Commissioner Silver and Bronx Commissioner Iris Rodroquezr-Rodriquez Rosa. It was wonderful to see so many people who care about our parks and their The expertise of the people I have met with has provided me with invaluable guidance and insight about the state of our parks and, of course, how we can make them better. My life was to a large measured molded by my experience at Pomonok Playground where I grew up. My experience is the same as untold names of others whose lives were made so much better because of a New York City park. Chair of this committee I will carry their hopes and dreams as we work together, all of us, to make our parks the very best they can be. I look forward very much so to continuing this dialogue in the weeks, months and years ahead. This morning's hearing is on

Department resulting in the stagnant Parks budget,

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

which has left disparities in how we maintain, build staff, police and fund our parks. While the landmark destination parks such as Central Park, Prospect Park, and the Highline among others have flourished, many of our smaller parks have not. So, one of our biggest challenges is to achieve adequate funding for neighborhood parks, and to do this, there is simply no avoiding to begin restoring the city's park budget back to historic levels. That's where the Department of Parks and Recreation's Equity Initiative specifically the Community Parks and Anchor Parks Initiative come in. the initiative was the first phase of DPR's framework for an equitable future plan to address park equity initiatives by improving the distribution and resources in the City Park system. In deciding where to focus the initiative, DPR designated zones located in communities with high percentages of residents who have income levels below the poverty line, and then identify parks that have received less than a quarter million dollars of capital funding over the last 20 years. The process at first resulted in 134 parks identified in lowerincome areas that had capital needs with 35 small parks being prioritized for reconstruction. The

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

initiative was originally funded in FY15 with a \$130 million capital investment of which \$9.4 million was Council funding, and leveraged through an addition \$20 million in funds from elected officials and grant sources. The city then increased funding for CPI for FY16 to \$289 million in capital through 2019 combined with sustainable annual commitment over \$2.5 million in expense funding. This increased the number of parks that were scheduled for renovations to 67 from the original 35. Of the 35 initial parks that were included in CPI, 12 Parks projects were expected to be completed by the end of last year. The 12 parks announced in 2015 are in procurement and are expected to enter construction this year, and the nine announced in 2016 will enter procurement this year. The final 11 parks are expected to be completed in the winter of 2021-2020-21. Regarding Anchor Parks, \$150 million in major improvements to five parks are under this initiatives-this initiative. The five parks included in the Anchor Parks Initiative were Saint Mary's Park in the Bronx, Highbridge Park in Manhattan, Betsy Head Park in Brooklyn, Astoria Park in Queens, and Freshkills Park on Staten Island. Under the initiative each park was selected based on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

high surrounding population, historic underinvestment and potential for park development, and each received approximately \$30 million in major improvements including new soccer fields, comfort station, running tracks and hiking trails. These initiatives are important if we hope to increase public commitments to ensure all parks are properly funded and maintained. There are numerous questions that need to be explored at this hearing including whether the will ensure that targeted parks be well maintained over the long run. Will the administration commit to expanding these initiatives to cover more parks in the future? What staff allocations are and will be going forward? How will projects be completed in a timely fashion? Whether the initiative will lead to increase sustained funding in the future rather than just one-time funding allocations to more properly ensure that few parks are neglected over time. Additionally, the early evidence seems to indicate that many CPI projects are proceeding at faster rates than what has been the case for other non-mayoral prioritized capital projects. If that is the case, then maybe CPI can act as a teachable moment for DPR in the city to learn how to speed up all funded

comments--

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: [interposing]
3 That's okay.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: --as I talk about some of the various initiatives. My name is Mitchell Silver. I'm the New York City Parks and Recreation Commissioner. Thank you for inviting me here today to talk about our equity initiatives. I just have to say upfront that one of the major reasons I took this job in the first place and returned to New York was the Mayor's vision for equity. So, I will be sharing information about the Committee Parks Initiative, Parks Without Borders, our Anchor Parks and then our other initiatives to ensure that we are being equitable in the agency. From my point of view, equity means fairness. Are we fair about how we distribute our resources? Are we fair about how we maintain our parks? This serves as our guiding principle for the Administration as reflected in well publicized efforts to build and preserve affordable housing, provide Universal Pre-K and 3-K and keep our streets safer through Vision Zero. But this commitment to equity also infuses and informs everything we do as an agency here at New York City Parks. For many years, the benefits of our park

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

system so vital to our city's health and happiness were not enjoyed equally by all New Yorkers. many of us our city parks serve as our front yard, our back yard, our vacation destination. Thanks to the leadership of Mayor de Blasio, and through the strong partnership of the City Council and borough presidents, we've made tremendous progress over the past four years in fulfilling our commitment to a more inclusive and innovative park system. demonstrate our commitment to equity early on in the Administration. In the fall of 2014 shortly after my arrival as Commissioner, NYC Parks announced a strategic framework, which embodies those prided values, our framework for an equitable future. framework outline our commitment to create and care for thriving vibrant public spaces for all New Yorkers providing programming for neighborhoods in need, standardize our maintenance efforts across the park system, expand public access to green and open space. The framework for an equitable future continues to serve as our agency's blueprint guiding our efforts to protect the investments in parks that we've already made while improving the quality, accessibility, resiliency and sustainability of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

overall park system that serves New York City's diverse neighborhoods. It's also spurned our efforts to prioritize public input and increase community stakeholder engagement so we can hear directly from the users and visitors that benefit from these parks and develop innovative and data drive approaches design, plan, develop and care for our parks. notably, the frame work called the Strategic Allocation city capital investment to benefit high need communities and park properties have seen little to no investment in decades. To accomplish this goal, the Framework for and Equitable Future included a signature program, the Community Parks Initiative also known as CPI. Since launching CPI in late 2014, the City has allocated more than \$318 million in mayoral funding through the Community Parks Initiative dedicated to delivering capital improvements, enhance programming, maintenance and community partnership and to develop neighborhood parks that need it most in a way that is inclusive and equitable. The amazingly transformative impact of CPI is already being felt in communities all over the city. As New York City see the parks, they have been ignored and unloved, become an amazing green

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

open space that all New Yorkers deserve. Through CPI we're fully reconstructing 67 neighborhood parks and playgrounds. Where traditional capital projects often focus on replacing a singular park feature or a amenity, CPI has allowed to complete reimagine these parks some of which resemble parking lots more than parks, and with the help of community members to create accessible multi-generational spaces for New Yorkers. Building on our broader commitment to streamline the capital process and keep parks' projects moving I'm pleased to update you that all of these major projects are all well underway. Fourteen CPI projects are already complete and have reopen to the public with additional sites reopening very soon. In fact, on Tuesday, March 20th, we'll be holding a ribbon cutting relay ceremonies in five CPI parks across the city, one in each borough during the exciting all-day sprint across the city, and we invite all of you to join us for that exciting day. The first neighborhood playground to be full reconstructed and reopened as part of this initiative was Van Alst Playground in Astoria. It was completed ahead of schedule in June of 2017 after investment of \$3.5 million. It is now a major amenity for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

community adjacent to PS 171. Another striking example of the CPI Reconstruction is Thomas Boyland Park in Bushwick. As the presentation on the screen shows, this site saw dramatic improvements including a reconstructed baseball diamond, and resurfaced and updated basketball court. These 67 projects were identified with a data driven approach that prioritized equity. At the outset of the program in 2014, we took a close look at the city's historic capital investment in parks and discovered that this investment did not always reach the communities that needed it most. Identifying parks and playgrounds that receive less than \$250,000 capital during the previous 20 years. We used the demographic data to define high need communities with above average rates of population density and percentage of residents living below the federal poverty line. In this manner, we developed a target list of public spaces that fit within these criteria. Through CPI we have been able to use in-house crews to provide targeted physical improvements in additional priority parks and playgrounds such as repainting playground equipment, handball courts, sports coating, basketball courts and replanting garden areas. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

target improvement was our way of letting the communities with parks that lacked investment know that we care, and we are committed to making immediate improvements while the CPI process runs its course. Equity did not only guide our approach to allocating these resources, it also shaped our design approach to determine which capital projects should be made at these parks. To create our CPI project designs, we listened to the voices that needed to be heard, the local community members and park users that rely on these parks so they can tell us what-how these imagined-reimagined parks could best meet their In the past, public info sessions for park projects were held during the day resulting in few attendees, and leaving most local residents feeling like they weren't included in the conversation. we moved these sessions to the evening when people could attend and the CPI funds were used so that our Partnership for Parks outreach coordinators could actively target community organizations to help get the word out, and encourage local park users to attend. We even set up kids' tables. I have to say they are typically the most exciting and dynamic at these sessions. So, the younger park users could

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

brainstorm and provide valuable creative input, and they demonstrated that they had just as much to contribute to the process as their stodgy adults. also want to share two stories what happens at this There was one design session in-in next to Stapleton Playground at PS95 in Staten Island, and there was a man that came up to me who grew up next to this park and there were tears in his eyes because he has a child and he said throughout his entire life he played in this-in this park, this playground that just was unkept, and really unloved. And he looked at me and said, I can't believe that you cared. thought nobody cared about this community, and nobody cared about this park, and I have to say that that was an extremely rewarding moment that now he knows that there's going to be a total transformation, and he will be proud to take his children to that park. Another story was one at Lafayette Playground. remember Council Member Treyger was there, was shocked by the number of Asian-Americans that came out to this meeting in the evening for the first time, but was interesting is that there were students from the High School for Sports Management. were young African-Americans, and you can tell they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

all sat at different tables as you can see here on the slide, and when it became presentation time, the Asian-Americans were concerned because they were coming up with their design about how to deal with this public space. The Asian-Americans would go there early in the morning, and they would have tai chi, and so they were worried, as these young men were starting to design what they would like to see for the park. They were holding their breath. The room was tense, and when the young people said they wanted to have an education area, and then they said they wanted to set out a side of a plaza because they said people in the morning they go there to do tai chi. And there was this beautiful moment where you saw this community connect using the public space. was a special moment. It was one that I personally will not forget. I am pleased to report that over 2,600 community representatives participated in the community input sessions that informed the CPI project designs and this is again moving it from afternoon to evening, and this allowing park users, neighborhood leaders, community board members and elected officials to provide input on the design of the parks and playgrounds funded for renovation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Since the CPI approach has been so successful engaging local community groups and encouraging participation, we have adopted these practices for all of our capital design community input sessions so more park users can have an opportunity to contribute to shape the future of their parks. Through keeping parks in a state of good repair is a priority, it's equally important that the park is active and programmed fully fulfilling its potential with the connected community. Great parks are not something you reconstructed, but they require great care, stewardship and activation. This is why Mayor de Blasio has dedicated baseline expense funding to staff increased public programming and maintenance as well as community partner-partner capacity building. Through CPI we're bringing enhanced programming to parks and playgrounds serving high need communities including youth games and sports through our expanded Kids in Motion and summer sports experience. We also have under our urban park ranger the natural classroom programs. All of these serving our children throughout the city as well as our free shape-up classes for adults and mobile libraries and much more. 1.5 young park visitors have especially

2 benefitted from the expanded new program over the past three years thank to the CPI funded playground 3 4 associates that we have developed-deployed to these 5 neighborhoods, and this summer we'll continue to provide free youth programming throughout the five 6 7 boroughs. We recognize that parks are brought to life by communities that use them. So, to engage the 8 local residents and advocates and champions that 9 surround our parks, CPI, our Partnership for Parks 10 Outreach coordinators have supported 300 community 11 12 partners in CPI neighborhoods providing resources and 13 capacity building training to sustain stewardship 14 efforts. Through the partnership with the City Parks 15 Foundation, who is here with us today, we have engaged nearly 38,000 park volunteers in over 1,200 16 17 stewardship projects within CPI neighborhoods for 18 park clean-up projects and community events, bringing parks to life and cultivating valuable dedicated 19 20 partners that can help us care for these parks in a sustained supportive manner. I'm also pleased-I'm-21 2.2 I'm pleased to offer updated about some other park 23 capital initiatives influenced by the framework for 24 an equitable future given the needs of fart growing 25 city, a commitment to equity means we need to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

continue improving our parks and playgrounds in all neighborhoods especially those parks acting as anchors to their surrounding communities by providing large, diverse recreational resources. In August of 2016, Mayor de Blasio joined NYC Parks in announcing the Anchor Parks Initiative, an investment of \$150 million for major improvements at five parks, one in Through anchor parks, we will invest each borough. in new resources like soccer fields, comfort stations, running tracks and walking paths transforming these parks for the 750,000 New Yorkers who live in the neighborhoods that surround them, and making these older parks feel new again. The five anchor parks each slated to receive \$30 million in major improvements are Saint Mary's Park in the Bronx, Betsy Head in Brooklyn, Highbridge in Manhattan, Astoria in Queens, and Freshkills on Staten Island. The five projects are on schedule as the phases of significant work in each park are underway. On the screen you will see some of the impacts these sites will have including Astoria Park, and that's the field and Highbridge Park. before and after. Our focus on equity also led us to finds ways to maximize the impact and utility of our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

park properties by focusing on portions of the parks that were being underutilized, namely the entrances, edges and adjacent park spaces. Parks represents 14% of the city's land area and streets and sidewalks represents 26%. In other words, 40% of the city is in the public realm. In the past, we failed to maximize the potential of our city-owned land since the edges and the sidewalks around the park were often an afterthought that rarely considered truewere considered truly part of the park. But in 2015, we launched the Parks Without Borders Initiative, reflecting a new approach to park design with the entire public realm in mind. It focuses on the accessibility and connectivity of sections where the park and the surrounding community interact most directly so we can better activate sidewalks and edges of our parks, and make the park entrances more welcoming and improve sight lines in and out of our parks connecting them more seamlessly to the surrounding communities that depend on them for recreation and relaxation. In addition to focus on design, the initiative included \$50 million in mayoral funding, \$10 million of which was applied to projects already underway, and \$40 million of which

## COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

were dedicated toward eight showcase projects receiving large scale capital redesigns. Embodying the spirit of fairness and equity, we selected the showcased projects by gathering direct input from New Yorkers who knew these parks best. We received over 6,000 nominations for close to 700 different parks. We can only choose eight. So, roughly one-third of our park system spread across all 59 community New York City Parks evaluated the most boards. popular park choices to determine locations that had the most potential to benefit from this new design. Our eight showcased projects with Parks Without Borders are in the Bronx, Van Cortlandt and Hugh Grant Circle of the Virginia Park in Queens, Flushing Meadows and Corona Park. On Staten Island Faber Park; In Brooklyn Fort Greene and Prospect Park, and in Manhattan, Seward Park and Jackie Robinson Park. At this time, all projects have had their designs finalized and improved by the Public Design Commission, or Landmarks Preservation Commission, three are undergoing procurement to identify a construction contractor, and the remaining five will enter procurement this spring. Pending a successful bidding process, we expect all sides to be in

upcoming fiscal year. As you make those allocation

decisions, we can help identify projects or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 prioritize a state of good repair of our district parks, taking historical investment data and other 3 key metrics into account. The spirit of fairness 4 5 helps us inform-helps us inform how we go beyond the 6 capital improvement process. Equity also means that 7 all New Yorkers have access to quality green space. A major goal of our agency and One NYC Plan is to 8 have 85% of all New Yorkers living within a walk to a 9 park by 2030, and we have made major strides since 10 2014 increasing our Park system walk score to 81.5. 11 12 Through our parks and boys design we welcome the opportunity to consider new entrances for a park, 13 14 which can greatly increase access to nearby residents 15 and otherwise could have a walk to a park around 16 every perimeter of every-to-for the people to enter 17 the park. We've also clarified signage in some of 18 our parks and playgrounds to ensure that senior citizens and other adults have access to parks and 19 20 amenities like comfort stations and chess tables and benches. Through-we do this-though we do designate 21 2.2 that in specific children's play areas, an adult has 23 to be accompanied by a childe to be present in an area where clarifying confusing or conflicting 24 25 signage at our park—on our park rules, which

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

previously lead senior citizens and other adults to believe they weren't welcome in an entire park property as opposed to just specific children's play We also believe that equity means that all of our parks should kept in the cleanest and best condition possible. So, we standardized our maintenance efforts across the city and improved our management practices to provide a more enjoyable experience for all New Yorkers. For example, we know our parks and playgrounds are being used seven days a week, but in previous years they were only being cleaned five days per week resulting in overflowing garbage bins and litter strewn throughout the parks come Monday morning. In this administration we reconfigured staffing patterns to provide additional maintenance on weekends, and the Mayor has provided expanding baselined funding for increased seasonal maintenance staffing increases ensuring that our parks and playgrounds stay clean and welcoming even throughout a busy weekend. I hope I have demonstrated today fairness and equity are guiding principles for this administration and this agency and this spirit infuses and informs everything we seek to accomplish. Thank you for allowing me to

2.2

testify before you today, and for your great advocacy
for parks via the work on this committee, and I will

4 now be happy to answer your questions.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Thank you,

Commissioner. Thank you for that very broad and informative discussion and testimony. We've also been joined this morning by Council Member Andy King of the Bronx. I am going to ask a few question, and I'm going to open it up if any of my colleagues—I think two of them so far have questions. Can you describe, you know, going back to—going back to the past now, the criteria that we use to pick the parks, I know that the—the investment was under a quarter million dollars. Were there other criteria based on density and that kind of thing?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes. So, one, we looked at poverty, we looked at density, we looked at potential for growth. We also looked at the amount of investment, which you stated less than \$250,000 over 20 years. In addition, we looked at our—our inspection reports, and we did a site visit. So, those cumulatively is what we took a look as we start to determine which parks had met this criteria. So, those were all the factors.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Okay. Initiative is mostly capital money, and the question-one of the questions I have this morning have you inserted a requisite number of expense dollars so that we make sure that these parks are maintained. As they come online, we expect-I know when my son was very young, I-I--like other people we shop with our feet. the local park was very nice, but the park at 188<sup>th</sup> Street about six blocks north of the LIU is much nicer. So, what I am concerned about is that these parks will be-well, we want people to enjoy them obviously and the worst problem we should ever have is too many people are visiting our parks, but I am concerned that the expense dollars are enough to maintain these parks so that people will feel safe and comfortable, and have a wonderful experience.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes, we added 21 heads. There was also a baseline, \$1.2 million or mayoral funding used for playground associates to host several other activities. So, the answer is we're looking very carefully to make sure that all of these new parks as well as our entire park system is maintained properly. So, we've also shifted our approach to management. As I touched on in my

2.2

parks.

testimony, we've now worked very closely with the borough commissioners. We now have regional managers, and administrators in certain parks so that we can monitor how all of our parks are take care of. So, the answer to your question is yes. We're very mindful of how we're maintaining our CPI parks as well as other parks, but we have 21 new heads on the maintenance side and then \$1.2 million baseline funding for the playground associates within our

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: So that we didn't divert funding from other parks to these parks because, you know--

COMMISSIONER SILVER: [interposing] No, we did not.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: } --\mbox{we don't want}$  to talk a war here.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: No.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: These—the projects as they're moving forward have—have you noted, and I know you've only been commissioner since 2014, some people have said anecdotally to us that these projects seems to be moving quicker through the—through the process, which I know is long and we

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 31
2	will be looking at that sometime in the near future,
3	but do you see as a—or are your staff seeing that
4	these projects are moving through the process faster
5	COMMISSIONER SILVER: All projects
6	starting in October 2014 are moving faster. When we
7	launched this Community Parks Initiative, it was the
8	same time that we went through our streamlined
9	capital process. So staring in 2014, comparing the
10	previous years, all projects starting in the fall of
11	2014 are moving quicker than they had in the past.
12	So, CPI was just in the
13	CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: [interposing] Is
14	there—is there a reason—have you've been able to
15	identify a reason for that, though?
16	COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes, we can have a
17	separate hearing.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: We will. I can
19	promise you that. [laughs]
20	COMMISSIONER SILVER: We were able to
21	shave off about four to six months on the design
22	side, and 100 days on the construction side, but that
23	benefitted all projects starting in the fall of 2014,

including the CPI projects.

2.2

there been any problems that you've identified? Have there been any notable delays through to the CPI or the Anchor Park projects?

and there have been a few, this affects all projects whether we did not get a responsible bidder or there's a variety of reasons why things would slow down. In some cases, if the bid comes in too high, and I refuse to accept, and we have to rebid it, that will be a three or four-month delay. In some cases there was an issue with one of the designs on one of the CPI parks. We had to go back several times. So, that is not unusual, but for the most part, the majority of all the projects in both CPI, Parks Without Borders and Anchor Parks are proceeding generally on schedule.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Okay. We have been told that 134 parks were identified as having extreme capital needs through your surveys were located in lower-income neighborhoods when this initiative was first developed. Yet, at this time there are only 67 parks identified. What's your plan as go forward to bring in those other 67?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Well, as you know,

we have a budget process every year. This is

4 CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: [interposing] I

5 know--

2.2

COMMISSIONER SILVER: --a conversation we have both with OMB and the Mayor, but we're also having conversations, as you probably know, with all the Council Members. We know some of the parks that will need an investment, and so we continue to put this first and foremost, and share this information with the Council member, but it is something that we are certainly advocating for, and we'll work with OMB as we look at—as we go through the budget process.

earlier I asked this question, have you tracked the uses rate—usage rates for the renovated park or had a post-construction opening. We're going to be opening a number of them. I hope to join you for part of that day. It's Preliminary Budget month starting tomorrow, but I do hope to at least be at one or two of those parks. I may ask you for a ride, but [laughter] but—

COMMISSIONER SILVER: [interposing]
Right. I'm-I'm running to each one.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: You're running.

[laughter] I'll get my roller skates.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: It seems a relay.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Oh, okay. I'll be walking quickly then. Have you determined—has there been a bounce, you know, in the—— I assume that—that you open this park and, you know, the word about it spreads. You got to go to that park because it's so much getter than it was or it's better than the park there. And so, have you seen an increase in usage?

an increase just by site, but in terms of counting, this summer we have a usership team that will go out and start to measure the change. We do have a study with CUNY. It will take some years to see the impact both health as well as usability of those parks, but we are seeing an increase. Grand Avenue Playground in the Bronx that one in Cabrera's district was unbelievable. There were probably the most people I've seen waiting for that park to open.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: They were actually waiting outside for the park to open?

2.2

2.2

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Well, it was a-it was one of the older designs with a fence and a lock before--

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: [interposing]
Okay.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER SILVER: } \mbox{ --we actually did} \\ \mbox{the ribbon cutting.}$ 

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Okay.

people in line waiting for that park to open, and that was a good story there. This is one where, you know, there was not the best behavior happening at that park. The nearby public housing project when a tenant association was overwhelmed and crying because she said: You don't understand. This is where we go for vacation.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: I understand.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: And so, we—it's spray shower. It's phenomenal, and it's something that we're seeing across the board. So on that one, it was packed every time we went by. This is the park. I didn't show the images on this. I think we did show one of the spray shower images that this was a park basically that was unused, and that was just

they've improved.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

totally transformed. So, we're seeing it. We're
going do those numbers this summer to see how well

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: We opened West Laurelton Playground soon after Malinda Katz became the borough president. It was a nice warm day, and I pressed the button, and the spray shower went off, and she looked at me and she said, you really don't have young children do you? [laughter] I said no, I don't. I'm used to the concrete. We're going to be redoing Challenge Playground in Little Neck, Douglaston, which still has the old concrete. They're well built. It's still there. It's probably circa 1950 something, and I'm looking forward to that in my district. I promised myself as the chair that I wouldn't eat up too much time at the beginning. So, I'm going to stick to that promise. So-so my colleagues who-we have a very busy day. The first one with questions is Councilman-Mr. Moya is with us. I want to welcome my colleague from Queens, Francisco Moya, but first up is Mr. Peter Koo, and I'm going to ask the-I'm going to set the clock. Sometimes it's three, sometimes it's five. I'll be different. I'll make it four minutes for the bell.

focused specifically on parks that met the criteria,

and as a result, we identified 134 parks that meet

24

the criteria of poverty, density, growth and receive less than 250,000 in capital. If the park didn't qualify, it did not in your district, it did not meet one of those criteria, but we held to this one. It was a data driven approach, and so there were 10 in Queens, and I'm aware that you have Bowne Playground in your district.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Okay, and I go on my second question. So, [coughs] Flushing Meadow Corona Park is one of the biggest parks in the city. I think it's bigger than Central Park. However, the Parks Department seems to have forgotten about it exists when it comes to funding. At least my side of the park we revisited the park this summer, and ended in my district you will see dead grass, patches of dirt, broken walkways if they exist and no lighting at night. Thousands of people come to use the swimming pool, the recreation center and the ice skating ring on my side of the park, but often these people-these park goers tell my office that they are scared to go there because it looks abandoned. is your plan to address the Flushing side of the park?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Well, first, I'm 2 3 pleased to say that Flushing Meadow Corona Park probably has more capital funding than-slated for the 4 5 future than almost any park in our system, well over 6 \$270 million of capital improvements are coming to 7 Flushing Meadow Corona Park. Granted, most of that funding will go into Two Bridges: Porpoise Bridge 8 and the Passerelle Bridge, but there are a lot of 9 10 investment going on, and as you know, we have-we're always open to hear from the residents directly. We 11 12 now have a new alliance. That's when we sit down 13 then we have our administrator, and that's when we 14 sit down to hear the concerns of the community, but 15 there is a lot of investment going on in Flushing 16 Meadow Corona Park, and we welcome all input from your residents to find out what can we do to address 17 18 In terms of the other aspect, we are now deploying more of a zone maintenance program, and so 19 20 if there's concerns about turf and grass, our crews will go out there. As you know, soccer is very 21 2.2 popular and South American volleyball. That tends 23 too do some heavy damage to turfs. We're exploring where synthetic turf would go in, avoid having some 24 of the natural turf that's compacted soil and ends up 25

Flushing Meadow Corona Park.

2.2

being dirt. So, we're always willing to work with

the residents, and we extend a meeting with you, and

whatever stakeholders you want us to sit down with to

explain to them how we're investing in all parts of

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Yeah, because it's important, you know, on my side of the park. You know, especially you can walk underneath the—the highway, the bridges. There's no—there's no sign there to say go to Flushing Meadow Corona Park.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yeah, Council

Member, as you know, we just appointed someone to the Flushing—our Alliance and he was there at the last meeting. We'll share all our plans. One is going to be a way finding system throughout the park. So, people know—as you know [bell] this is a park that is divided by many highways, and so we have a wayfinding approach to help people understand what's in the park, and how to get into the park.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Thank you. So, I'll come back for that.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Yes, so the mic is set to low. (sic) Yes. [laughter] We now have Councilman Cohen from the Bronx.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you, Mr.

3 Chair. Good morning Commissioner. How are you?

4 COMMISSIONER SILVER: I'm fine. Thank

5 you.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: You know, I-I, you know, think that this is a great initiative. I followed, I've been, you know, this is my second go around in the parks. So, I followed this through. You know, one thing, though that was always. always been of some concern and I quess it's still of some concern is, you know, with-with the criteria it sort of feels like, you know, in my Council District there's been a history of the Council members really supporting parks, and you know, that, you know, I've invested, you know, the vast majority of my capital in-in Parks projects. I have a lot of parks, and-and I want them to be beautiful and state of the art, but it feels a little bit, well, you know, maybe-maybe the smart thing is to not invest in my parks and we'll wait and then the Parks Department will do it and I can put my capital some place else. So, I think in-in the-under the rubric of being fair it's important. Like it would be wrong I think if the Parks Department was not supportive of-of districts

COMMISSIONER SILVER: So, just by way of context, over the past my first four years we completed close to 380 capital projects. Fourteen of those have been CPI. So, you can see it's been a

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

small fraction. It does get a lot of attention, but we've been trying to move all the projects, and it is a small percentage of all the projects we have completed. I hear you on the criteria, but from my perspective, we're not looking to Council-punish any Council member. When we took a look, and found out 20 years multiple mayors, multiple Council members and these parks were forgotten, and what we looked at it was very difficult to not walk away from that decision. We had to figure out how to help those parks, and each year more parks fall into that category, and I'm assuming they may-some of the may be in your district. So, we're working very closely to see what we can do. There's a life cycle to a playground. Twenty years is far too long for a park It was mentioned there are still to be improved. Robert Moses Era playgrounds, and we were saying 20 It could be 30 years that some of these parks haven't seen investments. So, for us it was a fairness about finally over-they were hiding in plain sight. For 20 years these playgrounds and parks were forgotten, and we felt that time was up, and we had to focus our attention. So, it was not to punish those Council members that invested. It was to

address multiple administrations that just dropped the ball and—and these parks were hiding in plan sight.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Yeah, I don't think that I feel punished. I don't thin that any of my colleagues feel punished, but we—we sort of want to—I think that we want, you know, as good partner with the Parks Department we just want to make sure that's always remembered also.

we're sitting down through our new approach toward looking at our Capital Needs Assessment, and we want to do better planning on the life cycle side so we know play equipment only has a certain life cycle, and we want to do a better job working with Council members so we can keep up with maintenance, but your point is well taken. I understand it, and—and we'll take a look to see how we can—I won't say look at the park equity equation, but also look at how we can start addressing some of the other parks in the park system that haven't seen investment in let's say ten years.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you.

2.2

1

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK:

Thank you,

3

Council Member Cohen. Council Member Andy King.

4

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Thank you, Mr.

5

Chair and thank you Commissioner for your testimony

6

today. I am going to stay on the same road as my colleague Council Member Cohen because we share the

7 8

same borough and we're neighbors. He's the eleventh

9

and I'm the twelfth, and when he talked about equity

10

and fairness, and that's what I wanted to know a

11

New York where some parks and playgrounds are only in

little about because there are parts of the city of

12 13

affluent neighborhoods, and conservatories and the al

14

come together, and they put their money in. How-I

15

want to know how the park balances that as opposed to

16

a neighborhood who doesn't have, you know, the

17 18

godfathers on the side that's able to put a million in and say-- Let's make sure the Central Park can,

19

but this little park around on 86th and York is taken

20

care of where a park is struggling because economics

21

just doesn't for that type of care. So, I just want

2.2

to get an idea on how do you do that. Do you ever

23

have to move money around or do you say, listen, this

24

25

neighborhood is being taken care of, but let's-let's

focus over here. And secondly, I'd like to know how

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

do you pursue when it comes to parks who have historic values in the city of New York? I have a park that's in my district called Seton Falls Park, which, you know, the district that I represent was connected—it's still connected to the state, but it was farm land. So, many of those parks it's-it's a lot of greening, a lot of grass and grapevines, and so forth, and waterfalls, and they're very pretty, but the maintenance has been so off, you know, as far so are people using them or are they using them for the wrong reason because it's not conducive to family It's conducive because it's dark, it's life. desolate. No one cut anything down. So, the history of the park tend to go down if parks don't say hey, how do we take a look at the parks and playgrounds that have a historic value to us.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Well first, I will take a look at that specific park, but as our stand across the board that no park is considered not cared for and maintained. So, if that's the case, I'll certainly sit down with the team. I have to—and have an understanding of what the public's expectation, but in terms every single month I get the Park Rating about how parks are maintained large and small, and

2 if a park does not seem to be doing well, we intervene immediately. So, I will see the parks that 3 4 you're referring to. If, in fact, there are some issues in that park, we'll rectify that very quickly. 5 6 In terms of your first question, we do have a number 7 of conservancies that do support their parks. we do? One, we have a partnership with these 8 conservancies. They now are part of the Parks 9 Inequity Initiative, and eight of the largest 10 conservancies are helping to support the Community 11 12 Parks Initiative. Eight have joined together either through in-kind or direct contribution are 13 contributing \$5 million per year over three years. 14 15 That' was \$15 million to help support the Community 16 Parks Initiative. So, they bought onto it, and they understand that there are parks throughout the city 17 18 that haven't an investment in a long time, and even though that three-year commitment ended, some 19 20 continue to support the Community Parks Initiative because they recognize this is something in our city 21 2.2 that should not have happened. In terms of how 23 decisions are made, there's mayoral funding, there's 24 Council funding and there's borough president funding. Each of our staff will sit down with the 25

2.2

Council Members to share what are some of the parks in in need. We don't take into account how affluent the neighborhood is. We know each Council Member [cell phone ringing] has a certain allocation, and we share with you underfunded projects, projects in need so we can in some cases partner with you to improve those parks. So, we approach everything from a point of equity and fairness, and we don't favor the affluent in one community versus another. Our commitment is to have a park system where all parks in all neighborhoods are maintained and cared for properly.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: And I—and with this, thank you for those answers, and I'm looking forward to, as Cohen said, our Commissioner Iris Rodriguez is wonderful. I know at times that she may struggle with the money to get a project done. Other than me seeing what's happening in Van Cortlandt Park, I don't ever see in the North Bronx parks. They get, you know, the big flavor and favor, and I'm just—I'm just calling for what it is. So, I'm asking as we move from working together that moving for the past Fordham Road that we get the same kind of considerations, support and help. That it doesn't

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: --but what about the rest of the-those projects that are still

4 pending? What's the status of those, and are those

5 updates delivered to the Council members to see if

6 they can help move that along, and also to help us

7 answer questions that we get from constituents in our

8 | communities?

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes, one, we have a tracker. So, at any given time any member of the public or Council Member can go on our Capital Tracker to look at the status. There's roughly about 110 left on the list of the lay projects before my tenure. 70 of that 110 are in construction. So, the good news is the majority within the next year or 15 months will now be completed and off the list. remaining 40, about 31 are in procurement and about nine are still in design. These are problematic projects that have been around some dating back 8, 9 We share the information with the Council members and we're making a determination of what to do for some of these projects, and the issues with them are quite severe. We'll certain sit down with the Council member to decide how do we proceed, but the good news is the vast majority are now-will be

2.2

constructed and completed very soon. The procurement
projects will be moving into construction, and then
there's a chronic nine that are extremely
problematic, and I'm trying to figure out what we can
do to either just end those projects or how do we

7 proceed with them, but they have just been

chronically delayed for quite some time.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: The other question

I have is what's the process for repurposing elements
of a park that are unused such as handball courts, et
cetera? Like in certain parts of my district where
handball courts are no longer in use any more, what
is that process that you guys go about looking at it?

input sessions, and that is a conversation we have with the community. There's also some advocates out there. There's a woman who is part of the Wall Ball Association, and she hears about a handball court coming down, you may hear from here, but in general, we have that conversation at the community meeting to find out what is their plan. In some cases, it's taking down a few handball courts, leaving up, but that is just a conversation we have with the community.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Okay, and—and—and—and—and and lastly, what exactly inflates the cost so much
4 for parks projects and can you sort of walk us

5 through the labor costs, et cetera.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: I don't have the specific labor and material costs. I understand there'll be a future hearing. We're more than willing to sit down. Again, we have a very hot market here in New York, and we're seeing prices increase dramatically. It's not just parks. It's all projects across the board. All I can say is what we're doing is we're standardizing all of our designs so that now we can compare project to project. We're not doing anything that' customized. So that is easy to maintain and design and build, but we'll certainly welcome to sit down with you to show you over time how those costs are increasing. We're frustrated as much as all of you. We'd love to build more comfort stations rather than just build them, I'd rather build two for the \$4 million versus just one. I'm not saying they're-[bell] they're \$4 million, but we'll certainly sit down and have a conversation about how we can take a hard look at what we can do about the cost of construction.

## COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

- 2 COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you,
- 3 Commissioner.

- 4 CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Thank you,
- 5 Councilman Moya. We've been joined by Councilman
- 6 Brannan from the great borough of Brooklyn.
- 7 Councilman.
- 8 COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Thank you,
- 9 Chair. Commissioner, how are you?
- 10 COMMISSIONER SILVER: I'm fine.
- 11 COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: It's great
- 12 | meeting with you, and agreeing that a bathroom in a
- 13 park shouldn't cost \$4 million to build. I
- 14 appreciate we're on the same page there. I wanted to
- 15 ask to get a little parochial about Calvert Vaux
- 16 Park. In 2009, Bloomberg promised \$40 million to
- 17 Regional Park, and to this day it's not-not done.
- 18 What are we—what are we doing there?
- 19 COMMISSIONER SILVER: I do know that
- 20 | we're putting in a comfort station that I believe is
- 21 | starting construction very soon. We had to resolve a
- 22 | utility extension. So, that will be a great asset to
- 23 | that park. I do not believe there's additional
- 24 | funding. I'll have to get back to you about what
- 25 happened with the prior commitment. I don't have an

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 54
2	answer now, but my staff will get back to you. I do
3	know it's come up a number of times. There currently
4	is no funding to compete the other portion of Calvert
5	Vaux. What is there now, as you probably know is
6	probably one of the best soccer fields in Brooklyn,
7	and now having that comfort station there would
8	equally make that a great destination for that park,
9	but I'll have to get back to you about exactly what
10	happened with the-I know it was a Bloomberg
11	recommendation. I don't know what happened from that
12	administration to see what happened with that
13	funding. We'll get back to you.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Okay, appreciate
15	that.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Well, you're
17	quick. Thank you Councilman. For a second round
18	Councilman Koo.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: [off mic] Thank you,
20	Chair. You know there's no extension on that.
21	[laughter]
22	COMMISSIONER SILVER: He's using equity
23	so
24	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: [on mic] So,

Commissioner, yeah. You know in my district we-we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

really like condensed. We have lots of pedestrians. It's one of the most busy places in the whole of New York City, you know. So, we have a place called Bland Playground on 44th and Penn Street, and I think it used to be considered for City Park Initiative or the Anchor Park Initiative, you know. My staff has a four-year-old daughter, and my office is only like one block away from that playground, and she-she refused to go to that playground because she tells her oh, this is a dirty park, you know. And-and-and I hear the same thing from a lot of families, and this is the most inconvenient—the most convenient park in Downtown Flushing. It's around a lot of apartments, you know. So, it's sad that nobody wants to go there. So, I hope you can take a look on this. I guess last year I put in \$500,000 on my allocation to renovate the park. So, I hope we can work together to make sure the park gets renovated because it's right in Downtown Flushing. It creates a really bad image for the city because we cannot even manage our small paper, you know--

COMMISSIONER SILVER: [interposing] Okay. Well, if it is in-within the zone, we'll just take a look at it--

2	COMMISSIONER SILVER: [interposing] If
3	you have specific ones, we also have-we inspect our
4	parks. We conduct-I'm guessing like 6,000
5	inspections to our park system every year. We do
6	inspect comfort stations, and so we get reports on
7	those that pass and fail. So, is our commitment to
8	make sure that people have a wonderful experience
9	even in our comfort stations, that they are clean,
10	that they are well serviced with the proper toilet-
11	the paper, hand towels, et cetera. But if you have a
12	specific park, we'll take a look at it because our
13	goal is to make sure that every park is—and comfort
14	station is a pleasure to go.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Because if you go to that neighborhood playground, the bathroom is closed all the time and—and Kissena Park playground it's closed all the time. You know, it's not fair to our residents. They have no place to go.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: We'll check into that one. If it's closed, that means there's certain times—times of the year it's going to be closed, but if it's closed permanently that means that something may be happening with the comfort station. We'll

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 58
2	also check on that comfort station. You said Kissena
3	Playground?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Yeah. So, can-can-in
5	the future can you like when you do the bathrooms can
6	you consult at the local office? Because sometimes
7	you do a bathroom, which is not functional, you know.
8	COMMISSIONER SILVER: All of our
9	conversations are standardized right now. There's a
10	newer design.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: [interposing]
12	Because I have to take exception because
13	COMMISSIONER SILVER: [interposing]
14	Right.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO:usually a bathroom
16	has a male and women. It's not enough. You should
17	have along, you'll able to-you know.
18	COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes. Council
19	Member.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Five or six people
21	can do it if you went in there together.
22	COMMISSIONER SILVER: Okay.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: You know?
24	COMMISSIONER SILVER: We're trying to
25	keep the costs down. It's just-it's just that this

2	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: I mean. I don't want
3	to do it—do it in details in the public hearing, but
4	this is something we have to change, you. Thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER SILVER: Okay.
6	CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Thank you, Mr.
7	Koo, for illuminating us on that. [laughter] [bell]
8	We might do-we may do a hearing on comfort stations
9	but not today. Thank you for your questions, Council
10	Member Andrew Cohen for a second round.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I think I'm
12	covering ground. I'm just unsure I got the answer.
13	There-there is going to be a second round of CPI?
14	COMMISSIONER SILVER: There were two
15	phases of CPI. The first was 35 parks. The second
16	was 32 parks. We are now again in the Capital Budget
17	process. So, we're having those conversations with
18	OMB. There are multiple needs, but for now there is
19	no announced next phase of-of CPI at this time.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: So, you made a
21	request to OMB and we're in sort of?
22	COMMISSIONER SILVER: It is an ongoing
23	request. Every year we have more parks that move

into the 20-year phase. I can't say what is

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 61						
2	there's about a thousand playgrounds throughout the						
3	city, and so						
4	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: That would be-that						
5	would meet the CPI criteria or no?						
6	COMMISSIONER SILVER: No, no, no						
7	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I'm saying like 75						
8	meet the						
9	COMMISSIONER SILVER: globally there are						
10	a thousand playgrounds and some of these playgrounds						
11	are within parks themselves, but on average we're						
12	seeing about 8 to 10 that reached that 20-year						
13	threshold						
14	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: But eligibility						
15	for CPI right now you're thinking is—there are about						
16	75 parks that would meet the criteria?						
17	COMMISSIONER SILVER: I'd have to get						
18	back to you on the exact number. I know we started						
19	with 134. We're doing 67. A few others that we						
20	added to that we added to that list						
21	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: [interposing] In						
22	that neighborhood.						
23	COMMISSIONER SILVER:and get back to						
24	you-yeah, I would say in that neighborhood.						

2			COU	NCIL	M]	EMBEI	R COH	ΕÌ	N: 0	kay.	Al	right
3	thank	VO11	verv	much	١	and	than	k	VO11 -	Chai	r.	

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: We've been joined by Costa Constantinides, and since he's chair and oversees the Environmental Protection Department, I wanted to ask you this question: Since DEP is involved in—in the process, what environmentally sustainable futures are being contemplated for the parks that are—have been or are going to be redesigned in the CPI?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: I don't know the exact number, but it's a significant number. We are working with DEP

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: We are working with DEP to do storm water retention in those parks.

I'm guessing it's almost installed. Do we know the--?

FEMALE SPEAKER: [off mic] Yes, it's at

29 of the 35.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: 29 of the 35 have a DEP green infrastructure element within the park.

So, that's extra funding for those parks. So, that's something. WE certainly enjoy that partnership and we look to that—

2.2

still to address it, address those-those parks or

playgrounds, and secondly, when you do have your

quote, and you're about to build a park, and we know

23

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 | we've all experienced that, and amid this time you're

3 | telling us it is \$2.6 million and in 2018 in January,

4 but by May it is \$3.9 million. So, what is your

5 response? How do you—how have you dealt with this?

6 Do you-do you-does the Council come in or do you just

7 say no to this developer or do you just add the

8 money? What's-how do you handle that?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: It depends. Ιt varies across the board. We do our best at estimating. In fact, we even include more in the estimate, and then we put out to bid. Now, that a contractor is responding, the number is higher than we expected. This is not just for parks. across the board for all agencies, and I know there will be a hearing to discuss exactly what is happening on the industry side. In terms of parks in need, we look at all strategies. Clearly, we reach out to the borough presidents. We reach out to the council members. We have state of good repair that we focus on. We use in-house resources if we can do it that way. So, I'm looking at a variety of ways because we have close to 2,000 parks, a thousand playgrounds, and I'm looking at every single strategy that we can use. We've used in-house staff to repair

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

a comfort station. We now have specialized turf team that cleans our turf. I'm trying to be as innovative and creative with what we have, and that ill continuing going forward. So, in your district you'll soon meet out somewhere if you haven't already with Commissioner Rodriguez Rosa to show some of the parks in your community that we see are in most need, and then we'll figure out how you can help, where it is possible mayoral dollars, or we can use some of our innovative approaches within Parks to address some of those issues. So, it's-I put everything on the table to figure out what we can do because I do agree there are parks that are in need, and we went to figure out council district by council district what we can do to improve those parks spaces.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: And let me just wrap up. I got a minute for the—any of those vendors who come back with a large number that's not within your budget, have you ever figured out how not to do business with them, and hold them accountable because they mess up your time line?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Well, what we do we want to make sure we have good relationships. We want our contractors to be successful. We want our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MWBEs to be successful. So, we work with our contractors to make sure they reap success. resident engineers-resident engineers to help them move through the process. If a bid is too high, when it's submitted, I have two choices: Accept this high bid for a comfort station or reject it and wait another three or four months to rebid it out again. So, in one case, people may get upset about the high price, but if I reject it, people get upset that the timeline is a lot longer. So, it's a bit of a Catch We talked to them and examine why is this coming 22. in so high, and we have a Deputy Commissioner Braddick from our Capital Team analyzes this, and so we only will default someone--and that is a rare case--if they're just not doing the job well. rare that we do that. We try to work with the contractor. Keep him on schedule to make sure they produce a quality project.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Thank you and—and,
Mr. Chair, I'll end with this: I'm drafting
legislation that coming before you, and I'm urging
us—I had the conversation with the Commissioner to
make sure that if a bid comes in at this number that
they can't boost up the number six months down the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

road, and I think once we start sending a message to the people who are out there who are just-- Because right now they're-they're dictating the prices of everything. So, if the city says we're only going to pay \$5 million for this project and if Joe wants to do the project for \$5 million [bell] because you came in at \$6 million, you're going to stop-stop using contracts because everyone is setting the bar too high for us right now. So, they can get paid as opposed to-If they can do it at \$5 million in May then they can do it at \$5 million in November, and if we start taking the new approach then they-then they we can get forced down to bring the numbers down, and then we get projects done within two years instead of eight years as has been the going rate around here. So, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Thank you,

Councilman King and I look forward to seeing you at
the hearing where we examine the Catville

construction process. Councilman Constantinides.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Thank
you, Chairman Grodenchik, and I am so glad to say the
word, Chairman Grodenchik, congratulations on your
first hearing, sir.

2 CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: And

Commissioner, it's always great to see you. I have a few questions. So, talking about CPI parks out in Western Queens, is there a timeline relation to

COMMISSIONER SILVER: [background comments, pause] Astoria Health is a procurement 85% complete, a schedule to be completed, procurement in April, which means it will start construction this

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: So, we're talking about ground breaking sometime later on this year.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: That's great to hear. [laughs] And then the—I know that we just recently had—I—I have Gepetto Square and I—what we affectionately call in Astoria the Cheese Box. I know that's on the—I use the Astoria lingo, you know. [laughs] The—the Cheese Box in Astoria that just went into scoping. That should be—we should be seeing design soon?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes.

2.2

year.

Astoria Health?

2	COUNCIL	MEMBER	CONSTANTINIDES:	Okay.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: [background comments] Alright. So, you'll see the conceptual design very soon.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: And we had—I know we had it in late October and November, but the months are all trying, you know, blend into one another at this point, but I know, but soon we'll see that particular design. [background comments, pause]

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Okay. Yes. The answer is yes. In terms of this whole design process that's scheduled in October, you'll—you'll see the concept before then, and then it goes to PDC before it gets finalized, but you will see the conceptual design raise.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: And as far as the Anchor Park Program, I know that Astoria Park was very excited to get those dollars. I think that's—oh, excuse me. I'm leading—I'm limited on the rights. (sic) The—we're looking at Phase 1 to begin this year—

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes.

2.2

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 70
2	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES:and
3	construction.
4	COMMISSIONER SILVER: Correct.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: I mean
6	that will be done by 2019.
7	COMMISSIONER SILVER: No, 20
8	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: By 20-by
9	19?
10	COMMISSIONER SILVER: Hold on a second.
11	Astoria Park we'll say 2020? [background comments]
12	2020 will be good.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: So the
14	Phase 1 will be completed in 2020?
15	COMMISSIONER SILVER: Correct.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: On phase
17	2 when are we looking at construction—procurement
18	COMMISSIONER SILVER: [interposing] 2021.
19	That should be—about a year, 2021.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: So, 2021?
21	COMMISSIONER SILVER: Right.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES Okay. So,
23	we'll have procurement sometime this year.
24	COMMISSIONER SILVER: Correct, correct.

2.2

and then lastly looking at just conceptually right.

So, I'm asking very specific questions about Astoria,
but I think there are other larger questions about
how we then fund—outside of Anchor Parks these large
entities that are, you know, like these—that these
that resemble my Astoria, the Astoria pool that are a
huge construction project that is beyond of any, you
know, one City Council member, one borough president.
You know, you're talking in the neighborhood of \$40,
\$50 million. How do we work together to
conceptualize a way forward there?

earlier, this is all part of the budget process working with both the Mayor's Office and OMB. As we go through, we hear some of these, and then as we go through the process we'll determine what are some of the priorities. So, I hear you. We certainly understand what some of these (sic) are out there, but it is again part of the Capital Budget process that will, which is basically, you know, we'll have our hearing on March 27 to start to begin that conversation about some of the needs expressed throughout the city and from the Council members.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Because
3	there are—I mean there are are—I mean there—you know,
4	there are different needs. We have a playground
5	that's \$3 million. That's easier to put that puzzle
6	together than it is the larger part, and I think
7	having the discussion about how we citywide look at
8	these larger entities and say how do we at least
9	begin the conversations on these large projects I
10	think is important as well. If you know where the
11	end is, you know how to build a road to it.
12	COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Alright,
14	great. Thank you Commissioner.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Thank you,
16	Council Member Constantinides. I know it is possible
17	[bell] to do it because we're doing the bridge over
18	the LIRR that leads from the 7 Train into Flushing
19	Meadow Park, and I was-it's a long time ago. I found
20	the original maps at Borough Hall and Parks
21	Department is hoping that it said MTA on the map, but

look forward to that being completed. Councilman

22

23

25

Deutsch has joined us. He will have questions for

unfortunately, it said Park Department. I think it

was done on Commissioner Moses the last time. So, we

## COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

2.2

you, but just a couple more from me. Conservancies have grown tremendously over the last few years in the city of New York and we welcome them. I wanted to know what roll if any they are playing in this initiative, these initiatives, and is the Administration planning to involve them in contributing some of their resources to lesser financed parks, and if so, will the resource contribution be focused only on parks covered under the initiative or will parks outside of the initiative zones will also be considered? I know that—

COMMISSIONER SILVER: [interposing] As to your last question, the answer is all parks will be considered. Central Park has a relationship with the historic Harlem parks that are not part of the CPI. They have their Institute Program that's helping parks throughout the city outside of the Community Parks Initiative. So, the answer is yes, and the same goes for the other conservancies. As I stated, there was a commitment of \$15 million through 2018. It was \$5 million per year. I'll just summarize some of the contributions from each one of the parks:

Bryant Park Corporation that runs Bryant Park, they

though their commitment ended this year, continue the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

2 relationship with these parks as well as other parks
3 outside of the Community Parks Initiative zones.

barriers or removal of barriers among parks. It's been said that that will actually improve park safety, and I appreciate that and parks can be lonely places at times. I have two very large parks in my district: Cunningham Park, which is totally in my district which is about 358 acres and Alley Pond Park, which is about 660 acres, which I share with Councilman Vallone. We can get lost in there really easily. They're big parts, tremendous stretches of forever wild. When—when you started to look at taking down the barriers to entrance to the parks, was the NYPD consulted?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes, they were.

Before we even considered putting this into the

OneNYC, we sat down with NYPD. Fortunately, there's

something call CPTED. It is crime prevention through
environmental design. They actually had experts

within NYPD that was familiar with the approach as

well as we had on staff. We went through the

principles with them. They support it. We had to

get their support before it was included in OneNYC,

## COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

part of what we call CPTED..

2.2

and they fully supported and embraced the whole
approach toward Parks Without Borders, which is a

5 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Alright, 6 you're not going to quiz me on that are you?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: It's Crime prevention through Environment Design. It took me a while. Sometimes I get it mixed up myself.

and to follow up on that, will removal of certain park barriers make it more difficult to enforce certain park rules such as for instance opening and closing times?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Well, this is not removal of fences or barriers citywide. The program-

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: [interposing] Yeah, I get that.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: \$150 million have showcase and then pipeline projects, but we have conversations with the community. Certain fences are needed for sports, dog runs, children play areas, steep slopes. You need to have those security measures to keep the public safe, but in other areas

COMMISSIONER SILVER:

Okav.

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, now when 3 someone wants to get, you know, their tree pruned so the procedure is either call 311 to call your local 4 elected official to call the community board, and then hopefully it gets done sometime within the next 6 few years. But, and if and individual homeowner 7 wants to prune a city-owned tree in front of his or 8 her home, then there is a way to fill out a permit, 9 10 an application that the city would require-would allow the homeowner to get a licensed bog mill 11 12 contractor to-to prune that tree. Now, if someone is 13 building a house, and there is a tree like in the way after they file the Building Department permits, and 14 15 they want to relocated that tree, then there's under 16 certain circumstances the city would allow that 17 property owner to relocate, not kill the tree, not cut the tree, but to relocate that tree. Can you 18 first explain to me under what circumstances you 19 20 would grant permission and what circumstances you would not allow? 2.1

COMMISSIONER SILVER: I don't think we have a team from forestry here. I'll have to get back to you on the relocation. This is—it's concerning. I'm not sure how successful a relocation

tree will have to be removed, which generally

2.2

2 speaking to my knowledge generally means, you know,
3 the end of the life of that tree.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, according to your knowledge, in your times working for the Parks Department, do you know of any time that a tree was granted to you to be relocated.

MATTHEW: Well, I'm not aware of an instance. We'll double check with our forestry team. I'm not aware of the actual relocation of a tree. There—we talk about tree replacement. There we talk about tree replacement, which is, you know, in essence a homeowner, you know if a tree has to be removed or it's unavoidable under circumstances—under certain circumstances, it will be approved by the agency, but there is what we call a tree replacement or restitution program.

why I'm pausing is I in my practice when I was a consultant, you know, I've seen trees, historic champion trees, and the cost of the tree to relocate it because it's a champion tree I mean you're talking about in some cases half a million. So, we need to find out (1) if we allow it, (2) the likelihood of a tree being relocated my guess is probably not, but we

formula that we use. We can share that formula with

time.

a—someone reached out to my office that it was a notfor-profit. In fact, it's a house of worship that
they need to have a tree replaced. Because it's in
the way of the expansion, and the cost that there
were given was \$50,000, 5-0 thousand dollars. Is
that possible, \$50,000?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: It is possible.

a program where you allow for people to take out a permit to—to prune their tree, why can't you allow them to replace that tree at the owner's cost and not charging the \$50,000 because for someone to replace a tree is not going to be nearly the amount of \$50,000, maybe a few thousand dollars.

restitution involved in that, but again we'll sit down with you to go over the schedule because tree replacement and restitution is not just a one-for-one. The tree has a value that could have multiple trees replace that one tree depending on the caliper, the age, the species. So, there's not just a quick answer tree for tree, and we'll certainly sit down

2.2

Councilman Deutsch. The last question, Commissioner.

2.2

2	A certain park is undergoing work pursuant to the
3	Community Parks Initiative are also being targeted
4	for renovations according to the Parks Without
5	Borders Guideline. Has there been a difference in
6	that in moving them forward or like a combination
7	iust like? [background comments, pause]

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Okay repeat-repeat the question again, sir.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Certain parks undergoing work pursuant to the Community Parks

Initiative are also being targeted for renovations according to Parks Without Borders Guidelines.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Oh, yes.

 $\label{thm:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK:} \quad \mbox{I'm sorry if I} \\ \mbox{wasn't clear the first time.}$ 

COMMISSIONER SILVER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: So, how many parks are undergoing this work (a) and number 2 what types of renovations?

COMMISSIONER SILVER: In terms of Parks
Without Borders is—is now part of the agency's design
philosophy. We take a look at the fence and the
sidewalk adjacent to the park. So, it's not just
applying to CPI or anchor parks. It's applying to

2 all of the 540 park projects that where it is

appropriate, we have the conversation with the 3

4 community about lowering the fence, making the

5 sidewalk more appealing, the outer parks so to speak.

So it's hard to quantify because now it's just part 6

7 of our overall design philosophy.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Is it going to make things more expensive? I hate to ask that question, but I have to.

COMMISSIONER SILVER: I would say would necessarily if it was not. If it is a budget issue we discuss that, but I do not think in terms of improving the sidewalk that I'm sure there's some additional costs associated with it, but I don't think it's substantial not at all.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Okay. Well, thank you, Commissioner. Thank you for being here this morning, Mr. Jury staff. We'll now be hearing from members of the public, and I would ask as we always do if you could leave some people behind to listen for-from the advocates and for the New Yorkers who love their parks. The first panel I'm going to ask you to limit your testimony to three minutes because I do have to surrender this conference room

- 2 at 1:00 but I have no doubt that we'll be able to
- 3 hear from everybody who signed up. Lynn Kelly, New
- 4 Yorkers for Parks; Heather Lubov from City Parks
- 5 Foundation, and Everett Hamlet from Leave it Better.
- 6 [background comments, pause]
- 7 LYNN KELLY: Good morning.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Good morning.
- 9 | I'm going to ask that the committee counsel swear
- 10 you. Oh, we don't swear them? Okay. I'm new at
- 11 this. So, excuse me. Good morning, Ms. Kelly.
- 12 Please begin.
- 13 LYNN KELLY: Good morning.
- 14 | Congratulations.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Thank you very
- 16 much.
- 17 LYNN KELLY: I want to point out we think
- 18 | this is the most important Council.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: I couldn't agree
- 20 with you more.
- 21 LYNN KELLY: Wonderful and thank you for
- 22 allowing me the time to speak today. My name is Lynn
- 23 Kelly. I'm the Executive Director of New Yorkers for
- 24 Parks. We are the citywide independent advocacy
- 25 organization for parks and open space in New York.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We've been here in the past previously to testify in support of CPI and Anchor Park Initiatives, and we're actually pleased that the committee is going to convene another meeting to talk about the capital process in particular. We share some of the frustration that the committee and the New Yorkers do about the length of time on the capital process, but we do note the improvements that the Parks Department has made to speed up the process. One particular improvement was the implementation of the Capital Tracker tool, but we would add more specifically on this that we'd love to see it prominently displayed on the home page of NYC Parks so that there is more transparency for the public to really understand what is happening. Additionally, another concern we have with a key aspect of the CPI and anchor parks is that as you've well mentioned, there are smaller parks that are in the pipeline or in the backlog of the backlog of the Parks Department for much needed improvements that haven't been able to receive the type of big infusements of capital funding, and that's something that we think is important, but what's more important is that there is a comparable maintenance allocation for not just the capital

together sincerely to convince Speaker Johnson why

cover of the newspaper. Ms. Lubov.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 HEATHER LUBOV: Good morning. Thank you-

3 CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Good morning.

HEATHER LUBOV: --Chair Grodenchik, and members of the Parks Committee. I'm Heather Lubov. I'm the Executive Director or City Parks Foundation. We're a private non-profit organization that uses performing arts, sports, environmental education and community building programs to bring people into parks. We believe that striving parks play an essential role in creating vibrant and healthy communities. Complementary to New York City Parks Community Parks Initiative we work in more than 350 parks around the city, and our site selection also reflects an emphasis on building equity across the city. We focus our programs be it a free puppet mobile performance or a summer soccer class for kids in parks that are under-resourced and prioritized by NYC Parks. We are a proud partner with NYC Parks on Partnership for Parks, which you've heard about a little earlier. Our jointly managed program that supports a growing network of leaders who care and advocate for neighborhood parks. As a private nonprofit, we bring a different perspective to the

table, and can play a key role in the Community Parks

2 Initiative, the Anchor Parks Initiative and Parks Without Borders. We're proud to report that we're 3 directly addressing this administration's strong 4 5 focus on equity thanks in large measure to the Council's Parks Equity Initiative. Partnerships is 6 7 charged with bringing neighbors together for scoping and visiting sessions that provide input for upcoming 8 renovations. After those scope meetings, 9 10 Partnerships engages interested neighborhoods and that goes to Council Member King's question about 11 12 those without conservancies to create sustainable park groups helping them to plan It's My Park Service 13 14 projects and connecting volunteers to skill building 15 workshops. Partnerships now actively supports more 16 than 65 groups in CPI and Anchor Park sites. Long-17 term Partnerships helps groups stay focused and 18 active by connecting them to additional resources including small capacity building grants, mentorship 19 20 opportunities and a larger citywide network of volunteers. Throughout the city Partnerships for 21 2.2 Parks is supporting more than 600 volunteer park 23 groups including 300 groups in CPI targeted neighborhoods. Since the launch of CPI and Anchor 24 Parks, we have distributed nearly 140 small grants, 25

- 2 and engaged nearly 38,000 volunteers through 1,200
- 3 It's My Park Service projects that help beautify and
- 4 | improve parks in CPI targeted neighborhoods.
- 5 Partnership for Parks helps groups realize their own
- 6 | visions for their communities, and most important for
- 7 | all of you to note today the vast majority of our
- 8 technical assistance resources are available because
- 9 of funding from the Council's Parks Equity
- 10 | Initiative. So, we-we owe an enormous thank you to
- 11 you and the Council for making that work possible.
- 12 | Thank you for the opportunity to testify today.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Thank you and we
- 14 | look forward to continuing to fund that initiative,
- 15 | of course, and I want to thank both of you for being
- 16 with me, and sharing your expertise. I look forward
- 17 | to working with you, and everybody in this room as we
- 18 go forward. Edward Hamlet you have a show for us.
- 19 EVERETT HAMLET: Yes, I have a trailer.
- 20 I'm doing a film on one of the 67 parks that are
- 21 | being reconstructed, and I'm going to pull that up on
- 22 screen for you guys right now.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: [interposing]
- 24 Okay.

EVERETT HAMLET: That's me standing
right in front of Public School 75 and that's me. I
can go there in front of my house. I've lived in this
neighborhood my whole life and I consider every inch
of it my home. My name is Everett Hamlet, and I'm a
young documentarian from the Bronx. I'm making this
documentary on the New York City's Parkland Alliance
for a playground, and my friends and I call it the 75
Park Ride up. (sic) For as long as I can remember
this park was neglected by the New York City Parks
Department, but I entered this journey. I am
concerned about that. (sic)

FEMALE SPEAKER: Yeah, I'm a poor black child from the ghetto. These things make me different from you.

MALE SPEAKER: They've always been in the Bronx for ever. I mean I don't think they were in the Bronx before it was called the Bronx. It was still part of Westchester.

MALE SPEAKER: I was born and raised there in the South Bronx, and I am currently [sirens] standing in front of the Bryant Square Park.

MALE SPEAKER: This is our home. You know, even though we have our houses where we sleep,

2.2

by day this is where we—we congregate. This is where
we recreate. This is us.

MALE SPEAKER: These are the parks where people send their kids to play after school where you might walk your dog or where you'll take your toddler to play in the sprinklers on a hot day. These really are at the heart of neighborhoods.

EVERETT HAMLET: I started asking questions. I started reaching out to these boroughs, and this park apparently is a really big deal. A Brooklyn Expresso (sic) was opened in my neighborhood in 1973. According to the New York City Planning Department this made it one of the last rows of the New York City Express System to be built.

MALE SPEAKER: It was built so middle-class citizens could cut through the Bronx and headed into Manhattan for work.

FEMALE SPEAKER: These are the parks that haven't seen much investment in the last 20 years and stand in contrast to the major parks projects. They saw so much attention during the Bloomberg years.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Race and class are extremely reliable indicators as to where one might find a good stop like parks and trees and where one

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

98

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and in some cases, and I have seen parks in very well-to-do neighborhoods as well that do not get the attention that they deserve. So, it's about advocacy and it's about working with the elected officials at community boards and the people in this room who care about parks, and we're going to push to make sure that the parks budget increases. It was an initiative in 2001, 1% for parks, which would be about \$868,080 million which would go a long way toward alleviating the problems that we have. So, that's something that I am looking into now. not control the budget of the city of New York, but I will be a very strong advocate. Nobody will be a stronger advocate for parks than I will, and as I said in my opening statement my life revolved around my park growing up. If it was two kids, it was stick ball, four kids it was basketball, and if we got 15 to 20, it was softball. That's how-those were the rhythms of my life. So, those three acres were a piece of heaven for me. So, I appreciate it, and I am certain that Commissioner Rodriquez Rosa will get to the bottom of that park, and it seems to be under construction. I don't know if it's delayed or is it? What is the status now?

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Thanks you very

23

24

much.

DEBORAH MARTIN: I'm Deborah Martin.

3 Yean.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Okay.

DEBORAH MARTIN: So, I'm Deborah Martin. I'm Executive Director of New York Restoration Project. We're a city-citywide conservancy focusing on open spaces in high need communities only. So that's parkland but it's across all jurisdictions and including community gardens that we own and hold in public trusts. We are very happy about the anchor park and CPI-CPI obviously, and that's because we're driven by the belief that access to high quality open space is a fundamental right of every New Yorker for the reasons that we just eloquently pointed out. Not just because they're pretty or good for recreation, but every day science tells us, and there's more and more to relation of evidence that access to nature and open space improves mental and physical health. It reduces crime, and it encourages economic growth. Because of all this, our city's shared-shared land is the foundation of equity. It's that fundamental to everything else that happens in our city. At NYRP we've been active participants in both the Highbridge and the Saint Mary's Park Anchor Park visioning.

2 have seen the commitment of fellow community-based organizations as the process-process moves forward 3 4 first hand. At Highbridge Park, we are the 5 conservancy of record. So, we've been working 6 closely with Parks Department on the visioning and I 7 wanted to say that the Parks Department has done an excellent job in prioritizing community priorities 8 that existed there for decades like addressing 9 deteriorating infrastructure, poor pathways, 10 neglected entrances and—and similarly at Saint Mary's 11 12 they've been very responsive. At Saint Mary's we're a member of the Healthy and Livable Mott Haven 13 14 Coalition that includes the New York City Department 15 of Health. It includes Bronx's Blooming New York 16 Road Runners. So it's a broad group of 15 neighborhood organizations. It's been integral for 17 18 promoting active parks used for a long time now with programs like Second Saturdays that delivers free 19 20 health activities from May to October. Anchor Parks is driving tremendous improvements, but we still need 21 2.2 investment for programming and coalition building 23 that's exemplified by the Healthy-Healthy and Livable Mott Haven. Recent studies by the Rand Corporation, 24 which is an independent policy research organization 25

## COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

show that programming and community investment in local parks is the single biggest driver of use. Groups like Healthy Living-Livable Mott Haven have provided important programming and continue to do that, but for this work to grow, and to be sustained over time it will require support in marketing, programming and planning resources. We recommend that the Council develop an annual funding initiative like Parks Equity or Greener NYC, which I have to say MRP has been a recipient of, and we're very grateful for that, and that allows us to do programming [bell] both in our parks and community gardens. That would demonstrate and ongoing investment to the idea that social justice and environmental justice are inseparable and that our open spaces are strongest when communities lead in their stewardship program, and ultimately in their care over time.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: If you could send me an outline on what you'd like to see funded in the initiative, I obviously can't promise.

DEBORAH MARTIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: I don't-I never promise. You'll never hear me promise [laughter] anything or very, very rarely I should say except

to say--

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: You've got to press the button. [background comments] It should be working.

LISA ORTEGA: It is-okay, now I've got it. Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: You got it.

LISA ORTEGA: Just real briefly. I just wanted to say Just real briefly I just wanted to say that for community rep-before I introduce myself before, community members are told that we matter. We need to change the process in here, because having Council people have round two's and talk about personal trees while we have community members who are left at the end to speak where people are leaving is truly disrespectful and we feel like it's a horse and pony show, and this service.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: It's-it's not-unfortunately, this is a very busy day for a hearing.

LISA ORTEGA: Right, I just wanted to comment the process itself is horrible.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

he suffers from seizures. Even with these horrific

events such as this that have taken place in that-in

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that park in the past, it has always been our park We in the community made the memories regardless. there mixed in with some of the unfortunate events that took place there, we also had birthday parties, relaxed, enjoyed, had conversations while our children ran in the sprinklers and played with one another. Now, more than ever there is a great opportunity for Lyons Park to become a place of healing, reclaiming, bonding and rebuilding for our community ties. Since the park has been reopened, there has been a sense of hope that once again beautiful memories can be made there. Being able to have access to the Partnership for Parks Catalyst team members such Diami, Linza and Ted have been instrumental for us. They have provided a space and facilitated meetings where we were able to come together as a community and put forth our visions for Many of us had different ideas and strong a park. personalities, which the Catalyst team members helped us to put into concrete short-term and long-term goals for our park. It was helpful, and much needed. It gave us the opportunity to re-bond with one another and work as a unified force. Realistically, resources are needed to ensure we are able to have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

programming happening that engage our community in positive activities. The physical improvements are a priority as well. New updated and safe equipment in our park is a must for us to fully get Lyons Park and feel safe with the young children playing there. Often times in low-income areas of color such as ours, we are forgotten and expected to make do with what we have. I suppose it's because it is what we already do. So, we've been overlooked. I'm here today to let Council members know that our community and our children deserve to have access to the same monies and services as other more affluent neighborhoods have, and we expect that the funding continue to flow. Thank you for your time [bell] and attention in this matter.

Your testimony, and I'm sorry to hear about your son.

I think that this administration, and I've taken them to task for other things, but they have certainly shown and Commission Silver has shown a commitment to Parks that were neglected and that was the basis of this hearing to see how they're doing. We hope that, and we asked them about today, myself and several other Council members, I asked them about that, and I

## COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

2.1

Will be asking them next month at the Preliminary
Budget to see if we can continue this, and it is a
commitment that I'll make today and I have already
made to increase funding for parks that are baselined
because we can talk all day about what we'd like to
see in our parks, but at the end of the day it does
take—it takes the narrow money or whatever you want
to call it. So, we will continue to push for that,
and we need advocates like yourself because that's
what elected officials like myself are expected to
respond to, to be quite frank with you. Thank you
for your testimony, Ms. Ortega. Ms. Nilka.

NILKA MARTELL: Hi. Good morning. My name is Nilka Martell, Founder of Loving the Bronx.

We are the stewards of Virginia Park and Hugh Grant

Circle, two beautiful parks within—within the

Community Parks Initiative zone. That's District 18

City Council District.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: And what part of the Bronx is that?

22 | NILKA MARTELL: Parkchester.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Okay.

NILKA MARTELL: Yeah, but when you get off at the train station. Since their reopening in

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Okay.

24

2.2

NILKA MARTEL: So, we're, you know, of course Parks Without Borders Initiative is, you know, great for us because we'll see these renovations, and we'll actually count on it.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Is there a reason that they've given you why they-I-I know--

NILKA MARTEL: You know, they were reconstructed. They were ren—renovated in 1956, and when they were, they were—they were—those fences came up.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Okay.

NILKA MARTEL: Last year through the help of Partnership for Parks, Loving the Bronx received a \$5,000 grant from City Council members Park Equity Initiative funds. We held a series of Fun Friday events, weekly themed programming providing free community events at Virginia Park. We were able to host 17 events and two It's My Park Day community service events. Partnership for Parks also provided Loving the Bronx with and additional \$23,000 from the Parks Equity Initiative Funds for this year's programming. We have over 20 events planned at Virginia Park and Hugh Grant Circle for 2018. None of this would be possible without the help of

constantly butchered. Lucy Koteen, Anita Reyes, and

- 2 Marilyn Johnson. [background comments, pause] This
- 3 | will be the last panel unless somebody else signs in
- 4 now. So--[background comments, pause] Marcel. I
- 5 | think he's-you're outnumbered over there.
- 6 MARCEL NEGRET: Yes, I am. Hello. Thank
- 7 you so much. My name is Marcel Negret. I'm a
- 8 Project Manager at Municipal Art Society of New York.
- 9 Today, I want to raise attention to a specific type
- 10 of park, which is classified as jointly operating
- 11 their playgrounds.

- 12 CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Yes.
- 13 MARCEL NEGRET: MAS believes that jointly
- 14 | operated playgrounds are crucial to the provision of
- 15 quality and accessible parks and open space in the
- 16 city particularly in underserved neighborhoods. JOPs
- 17 are defined as operated by one-by one-by more than
- 18 one agency, the Parks Department, and particularly
- 19 | the Department of Education. The city has
- 20 consistently acknowledge the importance of
- 21 | playgrounds in achieving its long-term open space
- 22 goals and improving park equity. Contrary to these
- 23 efforts, a recent development initiated and approved
- 24 the City at the Marx Brothers Playground in East
- 25 | Harlem sets a dangerous precedent that may put many

2 JOPs at risk throughout all five boroughs. response, MAS urges the City Council to uphold the 3 4 city's long-term vision treating parks and opens 5 spaces as essential components of New York's There are 116 JOPs within the CPI 6 neighborhoods. 7 They provide over 144 acres of open space and recreation opportunities. There's a map behind the 8 testimony highlighting the geography of the CPI zones 9 and those JOPs that overlap. There's about a-about a 10 dozen JOPs within the CPI zones that are currently 11 12 receiving capital funding under the CPI initiative. Based on the latest census data under Parks 13 14 properties dataset, the open space ratio, which is an 15 indicator of the degree to which neighborhoods are 16 served by open space it's 0.93 acres per 1,000 residents within the CPI zones. 17 This is 30-38% below 18 the city median of 1.5 acres per 1,000 residents or 63% below the city's goal of 2.5 acres. Without 19 20 JOPs, these neighborhoods will be further underserved by open space, which would have long-lasting diverse 21 2.2 impacts on the quality of life of residents and widen 23 the gap of the city to achieve its open space goals. In addition, JOPs have figured prominently in the 24 25 city's open space policy, and have been continuously

accomplish citywide and long-term open space goals.

When NYC identifies a playground as having an

important role in creating these neighborhoods that

promote and active—and healthy lifestyle, JOPs make

an indis-indispensable contribution to OneNYC's

identified as key-key infrastructure necessary to

objective of increasing the percentage of adults and high school students that need recommended levels of physical activity. I just wanted to highlight this because as I said in the beginning there's a project that's putting at risk Marx Brothers Playground, MAS

and-and-and with several other prominent civic-civic

which would [bell] put a privately operator (sic) to construct a 700-foot residential tower on that site. So without that, we think this is a very dangerous

precedent for all these JOPs. I hope I-I made my

organizations has filed a lawsuit to prevent this,

19 point, but if you have questions--

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: You did and I have met with the Director of the Municipal Arts Society, Ms. Goldstein, and we have discussed this for a while. So, but I'm glad you made it on the record today. So, thank you.

2.2

given the city's resiliency policy. I just want to

say I'm sorry Costa has left because I wanted to talk

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to him further about the resiliency issues. to discuss the PWB plan for the northwest corner of Fort Greene Park. The Parks Department plans to spend \$10.5 million here for a totally unnecessary and wasteful new design plan that involves removing 58 large trees and building a 43-foot wide hardscaped plaza. NYCHA residents that are direct-directly across the street from the park use this park extensively. This is a widely used park by school children, athletes, mothers with children, public housing residents, and has a wide diversity of use by all races and religions. The few NYCHA residents who know about the plan are totally opposed to the redesign. Yes, they do want the Myrtle Avenue sidewalk be built, which was allowed to fall into a disgrace condition. They do want the torn up cement paths rebuilt, new lighting and benches, the basketball court repaved, increased barbecues and an increased workout center. They do want the four drainage issues resolved. They do not want the two large mound that are used by all removed, beautiful big shade trees removed, the border stone wall removed and a large highscape plaza that invite commercial-commercial usage into the park built. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

concept that the park needs to be open to the street and that trees need to be clear cut so that an unobstructed view of the monument is created strikes park users and local residents as beyond absurd. one has asked for this. The people in the area truly appreciate the border that surrounds the park, and the separation from the hustle and bustle of the If you want to talk about equity, then talk about consistent maintenance in the park, and giving park users what they want. The Parks Department frequently gave misinformation to the people in public discussions and community meetings, and to the community board, and did not listen to the keen community people who attended these meetings. only we could get factual information about the trees was through the FOIL process. There was no transparency from the Parks Department. They refused to give information when requested. The one thing all park users agreed upon was the need for a newer renovated comfort station. The Parks Department says that rebuilding the comfort station would come under operating budge [bell] and not capital budget. Therefore, it did not fit into their \$10.5 million budget. As we all know, money is fungible. Not only

7

8

21

23

24

25

1

that, but I have a list of nine public bathrooms

being built with capital money, which was provided to 3

me from the Comptroller's Office. You should know 4

that Public Advocate James's request for an EIS has 5

been ignored. I'm almost done. 6

> CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Okay.

LUCY KOTEEN: With so many parks needing

funding, why is the Parks Department allowed to spend 9

10 excessively on project plans that no one asked for

and no one wants? There are too many needs in the 11

12 city to waste money will not actually be essential.

13 As one NYCHA resident adds: How is it possible that

we can go without heat for a week in freezing weather 14

15 when right across the street from us they are

16 planning to spend money to remove beautiful big shade

trees that benefit the neighborhood and creates 17

18 something—and create something that we don't want?

wish I had known about this plan earlier so I could 19

20 protest it. I was never informed. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Thank you for

2.2 your testimony, Ms. Koteen. Ms. Reyes.

ANITA REYES: Good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Good morning,

good morning, good afternoon. It must be that time.

2	ANITA REYES: I'm on a roll 100% to the
3	City Council. My name is Anita Reyes. Thank you for
4	inviting me to testify today on the impact that Mayor
5	de Blasio's Community Parks Initiative or CPI has
6	made on the neighborhood that I grew up in, and that
7	today is still home to most of my family. First,
8	though, let me tell you a bit about myself. Born in
9	the Bronx in the early
10	CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: [interposing]
11	Me, too, but not in the early '70s.
12	ANITA REYES: Well, that's good. (sic)
13	[laughs] I was the first American citizen in my
14	family. Both my parents came from the Dominican
15	Republic as teenagers, and labored most of their
16	lives in factory jobs to ensure my siblings and I got
17	a good education. We lived near the Corner and Field
18	and Alder Street in what we then called the
19	Morrisania section of the Bronx, a rough neighborhood
20	if ever there was one. My sister was stabbed to
21	death at 18. My brother died of a gun shot at 20.
22	To date, fortunately the neighborhood is a much
23	friendlier place than it was when I was 19 or 20.

Lyon Square Park is one of those reasons why that's

the case. The park, one square block in the shadow

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of the elevated Bruckner Expressway has recently been renovated thanks to the Mayor's Community Parks Initiative. The park has been transform from the desolate crime ridden patch of concrete buzzing with bullets into a bright spot in a neighborhood that now has hope. The physical improvements to the park inspire neighborhood residents to care about their I cannot tell you how important this is to me and my family. For immigrants from a different country Lyon Square Park was our patio or back yard. For all its problems and safety issues, it was still all we had. Today, it's still all there is for many people in the nearby area, and the CPI has made that all it is—all there is a whole lot better. with scores of residents and stakeholders thanks to the Parks Department and Partnership for Parks, Catalyst Program have now begun the process of activating the park or in plain English: Making sure that the community is organized, the park used properly and that its programs serve the widest variety of people. Everyone doesn't always agree on what's best. We're New Yorkers--

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: [interposing] No we don't.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ANITA REYES: --or Bronxites after all,
but we do all agree that it can never go back to the
way it was before. Thank you for your support of the
CPI. On behalf of Lyon Square Park, I hope you'll
see fit to continue and even increase your support
for the park, and other parks like it in high needs
areas across the city.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Thank you very much, and I'm sorry for your losses that you described here today. There's no going back as far as I'm concerned. I grew up in public housing in the 1960s. It was a wonderful place to live. I can remember the days when my parks because there was nobottle bill was loaded with the debris, all the bottles that were broken and all the glass. We had a very dedicated park keeper, but he was hard pressed to keep up with all the garbage there. That park has been transformed, even though I thought it was an oasis as a child. We had a nightly for softball, which helped with public safety and when the lights went out, there were Local 3 electricians in the league, and they brought in their own bucket truck and fixed them themselves because, you know, it was the '70s and we couldn't wait for fixing. So, we're

2.2

not going to go back. The city has moved so far forward, but it's important that we all move forward together and that no park is neglected. That is not always easy to accomplish, but I know that myself and the members of this committee and—and our Speaker Corey Johnson is dedicated to our parks, and look forward to working with you and people like yourself. So thank you for being here today.

ANITA REYES: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: And the last person of the day Ms. Johnson. You share the surname with our Speaker so I'll give you an extra minute for that.

MARILYN JOHNSON: Thank you. Good
afternoon. Thank you for this opportunity to speak.
My name is Marilyn Johnson. I—I work as a Parent
Coordinator at East Bronx Academy for the Future. I
am the founder of Synergy Community Improvement
Association. I am a Community Board 3 member, and I
also founded Neighbors Helping Neighbors. I've
lived, worked, raised my children, shopped in my
community for the past 35 years. When I—the park
that I am discussing is Seabury Park which is right
adjacent to my school. When I first moved to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

area, Seabury was a nice place. It was maintained well by the Parks Department, and there was a Seabury Daycare Center right next door to it, and we used to take the children there on a daily basis when it was nice out. For over the past 30 years, I've seen this park deteriorate. I've seen it grow. I've seen it with drug dealers, and bottles and prostitution and drug transactions and fights, and needles, all kind of things left in the park when it was unattended. Thank God that we have the opportunity to work with Partnership for Parks and get this renovated. an opening ceremony and a ribbon cutting, et cetera, et cetera. I personally would like to be a steward of the park because I'm the only one in that particular part-particular area that actually lived in the community and have-we partnered with-I have a speech here but I can't [laughs] I'm not focusing. We sent you guys some pictures to talk about the things that we've done in our parks. I've worked with several community-based organizations over the years, which was Synergy Community Gardens 2.0 with Future Star Productions, Red Code, Mid-Bronx Desperados, and quite a few more. We received a grant this year 2017 to be in Partnership for Parks

2 Division cohort, and Lonnie was our point person. went down to Cole Street me and my team and we worked 3 4 on our visioning projects of how we wanted to see, 5 what we wanted to see, and the project was given a 6 lot of resources, and a lot of tools to help us to 7 continue to build our community. We work with MED and the local farmers came in front of the park to sell 8 fruits and vegetables, but we dismantled that 9 10 relationship because they was bringing soil, fruits and vegetables and things with bugs in it, and our 11 12 seniors and our students was going over there, and our families was eating their products and we didn't 13 want to have a relationship with that farmers market 14 15 any more. We have rallied, we have petitioned, we 16 have done so many things inside and outside of that park to help to maintain it. We plan to-we worked 17 18 with the gardener from the Parks Department. We've planted flowers and herbs and things like that, and 19 20 we've seen it [bell]—seriously go down. My point is I'm happy to be a part of a grant that we received in 21 2.2 2016 to revise not only that part, but Synergy 23 Garden, which is a few blocks away from that area. think that the Parks Department does great work, and 24 25 I continue to work with them, and be a part of the

process. My thing is maintaining afterwards because
a lot of times there's money thrown into different

4 areas and communities and there's no maintenance

5 afterwards. Thank you.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Thank you very much, Ms. Johnson and-and I think you hit it on the We can build the nicest parks in the world, but if we don't maintain them then it's kind of useless, and the parks are what we make of them. You know, they are our parks, and we all have our favorite park or favorite parks, and do it's important that we be involved, and so many organizations that are here today are working with communities across New York City to make sure that people are involved, they get involved and they remain involved, and through those efforts because people use parks I am hopeful that we'll be able to get a larger share of the New York City Budget going forward. I also want to note the-we've been joined by Councilman Borelli. Thank you. I mentioned Conference House Park.

COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: [off mic] Which has the greatest number of acres.

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 127
2	CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: He's got more
3	park-you have the most park acres? Okay. Even
4	bigger than Mark Jonah with Pelham Bay Park.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER BORELLI: I probably have
6	five.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRODENCHIK: Okay, he has
8	five, and we're also joined by-Eric Ulrich as well.
9	With that, seeing no other testimony, I am going to
10	close this hearing. I thank you all for being here
11	today, and I look forward to seeing you for the
12	Preliminary Budget hearing in March. [gavel]
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 20, 2018\_\_\_\_\_