CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

----- X

December 6, 2017 Start: 10:34 a.m. Recess: 11:12 a.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E:

BRAD S. LANDER Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Daniel R. Garodnick
Ydanis A. Rodriguez
Margaret S. Chin
Deborah L. Rose
Helen K. Rosenthal
Jumaane D. Williams
Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Mark Levine

The Speaker (Council Member Mark-Viverito)

Steven Matteo

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Alameda Sky Chapman
Candidate for the Youth Board

Anne Holford-Smith
Appointee to the
Landmarks Preservation Commission

2 [sound check]

[pause]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: We're ready? Good? Alright.

[gavel]

Good morning and welcome to the New York
City Council's Committee on Rules, Privileges and
Elections. I am Brad Lander, the chair of the
Committee, and I think some other members will be
joining us a little later this morning, but at the
end of term and two Stateds left, it's a very busy
time here at the Council, so please don't take it as
an insult that they're not here; they are missing
out, I suspect, so I think they will conclude when
they watch the video that they -- whatever they were
doing is not as good as having had the opportunity to
meet our two nominees this morning, but I will
introduce the other members as they come.

I want to thank and acknowledge our counsel, Elizabeth Guzman and the staff of the Council's Investigative Unit -- Chuck Davis, the Director, as well as Andre Johnson-Brown. The Council Committee -- and we are joined now as well by our Minority Leader Steve Matteo from Staten Island.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We're considering two nominations this morning. First we are going to hear from Alameda Sky Chapman for recommendation by appointment to the Mayor to the New York City Youth Board, and then after that, Anne Holford-Smith for the Council's advice and consent concerning her nomination to the New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission.

The Youth Board serves as an advisory body to the Commissioner of the Department of Youth and Community Development with respect to the development of programs and policies related to the youth of New York City. The Board consists of 28 members appointed by the Mayor, 14 of whom are appointed upon recommendation by the Council and the Board seeks to be representative of the community and include people who represent social services, health care, education, business industry, and labor; it meets quarterly and members serve without compensation, and we are pleased it would of course be a big mistake if the Youth Board did not include some young people, so we're really pleased that it does and we're thrilled to have Alameda Sky Chapman here this morning as a nominee for service to the Youth Board.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

So first of all, thank you for coming down this morning; it's great to have you here. We do swear people in when we're asking them questions about their nomination to a public commission or board, so if you would please raise your right hand to be sworn or affirmed in by our counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Hello.

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: Hello, ma'am [sic]

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you swear or

affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: I do. [background comment]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Excellent. Thank So Miss Chapman, we don't bite, [laughter] you know, and I don't mean to be patronizing; we think young people have a whole lot to offer, but we also ... and we really value your experience and your expertise as a young person, and we're thrilled that you're here, so just feel at ease; I know you have an opening statement; go ahead and make it and then Council Member Matteo and I, and Council Member Rose, who's coming in, will ask you a few questions.

Row New York; I've been a part of the team since

25

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 7 middle school, so this will be my fifth year rowing with them. Yeah.

I'm also a part of a community garden with my mother, where we spend a lot of time kind of like gardening and things like that. Yeah.

I think I'm a good fit for the New York

City Youth Board because I think it's extremely

important... [background comment] oh, a little closer;

oh I'm so sorry... 'cause I think it's important to

have these voices heard, especially as kind of like a

minority woman to have a voice in government is

important. That's all. Okay, I'm done.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Super. Thanks for that opening statement. We've been joined by Council Member Debi Rose from Staten Island and Council Member Margaret Chin from Manhattan. We are first doing the hearing on Alameda Sky Chapman for the New York City Youth Board.

Just give us the background a little, you know, what neighborhood do you live in; where'd you grow up; what... you know where's the school that you go to?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

2 ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: I've grown up in 3 Williamsburg, Brooklyn; my school's in Crown Heights.

4 Yeah.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And talk to me just a little about what you think the major issues are facing young people in New York City; you're gonna be appointed to this board whose mission is to try to develop programs and policies that support young people; that address the key issues they're having, so if you could just tell me a little bit about what you think those issues are and you know, how you'd hope to push, you know, push us forward in doing better on it?

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: Okay. I feel like education is a really important issue. Yeah, I'm sorry; I'm a little nervous. [laughter]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And that is totally fine, you know, we're a nice bunch, but yeah, so just...

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: Yeah, I think my...

[laugh] my priorities will be kind of education and expanding the scope of after school programs regarding kind of like accessibility and

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 9 individuality, kind of like [inaudible] program. I don't know, yeah. Okay.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And I was interested to see that you're, you know, both the captain of the row team and active with Row New York, which as you point out is not something that is, you know normally associated with young women of color, so it's great that you're doing that.

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Tell me a little how you got involved and what that brings to you; why you like it; how you think it helps.

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: As you said, rowing is usually a sport that's kind of like inaccessible in cities and to like people of color. I kind of found the organization kind of as an accident sort of. My mom really wanted me to be a part of like a sport, so I kind of just like randomly signed up and it overall is a really amazing community. Row New York fosters the development of kind of like youth all across New York City, so I meet kids who go to private schools and public schools and of all races and I think it's really amazing; they offer you academics, help, and we travel and they take us to

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 10 like college campuses; it's a really amazing kind of program [inaudible].

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Great. Well I'm glad your mom had that instinct. I have a high school daughter who I also felt was not, you know, engaged enough in athletic activity and I pushed her to get involved; now she signed up at her high school for the Ultimate Frisbee team, which may be the only... [laughter] which may be the only sport less representative than rowing. So I'm glad that you found Row New York and that that has been such a successful thing for you.

Let me see whether any of our other colleagues have questions. It's great to get to hear from you and it's wonderful to meet you and know that young people like you are taking leadership in their own lives, in their schools, and then we're also providing some opportunities to help that have impact citywide. Any questions from... [background comment]. Yeah. Council Member Chin.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Hi, good morning, welcome. I see here that you ran a healthy living club...

2.2

2.3

## COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: so my question is that, do you think that should be part of the school curriculum, you know from elementary school, middle school, and high school?

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: Most definitely. I feel like no one really knows anything about like how to eat or how to take care of yourself. In my school, kids drink like a bottle of soda and a bag of Doritos and call that breakfast, and I just... I don't think that's any way to live and I don't think... I don't know how they survive, honestly just like eating chips every single day. Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: So maybe you could advocate for that in the Youth Board and work with us.

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: Yes, I think so.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Great. Thank you.

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: Okay.

[background comments] [laughter]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Well I'll take this one question further. So you know, what DYCD does is funds programs for young people, and I wonder what you think would, you know, makes a good... so let's

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 12 say... alright, we all agree, all of us, students and Council Members have a lot of unhealthy habits...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: the whole city would be better off if we had more healthy ones, you know what's the kind of program that you, you know, DYCD should fund and what would make it more likely to be successful in getting young people on a healthier path?

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: Okay. Uhm... sorry. I think that there's like a lot of kind of like stigma about vegetables and things like that, [laughter] so when I like introduced the club in high school, like no one really wanted to join, so a lot of kids were kind of like forced into it, and everyone was kind of like, I never... this is disgusting; I never wanna eat like this in my entire life, I don't know what it's... like salads are gross. So I think you can like still eat well and like... I don't know; I think we just kind of have to change the idea of what like healthy eating is; it's like it's not, I don't know, just like eating a tomato and calling it like dinner, like my peers think it is; it's more holistic than that. So if you come at it

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 13 with like a more holistic approach than kind of just these are the food groups you need to be eating; more of like, this is what the food can look like and things like that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Yeah. Well we'd love to continue that conversation with you as you join the Youth Board. You may know; this Council pushed very hard to get universal free lunch to remove the stigma of kids eating free school lunch in the first place, and some people, like Council Member Vacca, who was just cracking us up downstairs in leadership, I know he invested a lot of money in the renovation of the cafeteria in one of his big high school, with the goal of just making it a place where, you know, like the difference between sort of the food court model where you might wanna eat in an old cafeteria or you might not, so I think we'd love to continue the dialogue with you about what we could all do in this regard.

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Anybody else have further questions? No. Alright. Miss Chapman, thank you very much for taking the time to come this morning; we really appreciate you and... [crosstalk]

3 having me.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: We don't... the way these hearings work; we do the hearing now; we talk to each other; we wanna make sure our colleagues on the Committee who didn't get to meet you know what a mistake they made, and we'll vote in the Committee next week before the full Council meeting, but I feel very optimistic that your nomination will be approved...

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: overwhelmingly by the Committee. So thank you.

ALAMEDA SKY CHAPMAN: Okay. Thank you for having me.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Alright, so we'll close the public hearing on Miss Chapman's nomination and turn now to our second nomination for the morning.

In a letter dated December 6, Mayor de
Blasio formally submitted the name of Anne HolfordSmith for the Council's advice and consent concerning
her nomination for appointment to the New York City
Landmarks Preservation Commission. If we give our

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 15 advice and consent, Miss Holford-Smith, a Manhattan resident, will fill a vacancy and be appointed to the LPC and be eligible to complete the remainder of a three-year term that expires on June 28, 2019.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We've done a fair number of LPC appointments in this committee before, so I won't go into detail about its responsibilities, but the Charter has a good deal on it and its responsibility for establishing and regulating landmarks and historic districts. The LPC consists of 11 members and that must include at least three architects, one historian, one City planner or landscape architect, and one realtor, and it also must include amongst those people one resident from each of the five boroughs. The Mayor appoints the members of the LPC with the advice and consent of the Council, and when appointing a member for the architect, historian, City planner, or landscape architect position, the Mayor may consult with the Fine Art Federation of New York or a similar organization, and we have staggered three-year terms. One of the members of the LPC serves as chair and another as vice-chair. members, not including the chair, but the others serve without compensation but are reimbursed for

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 16
necessary expenses incurred in the course of
performing their duties.

2.2

2.3

And we are glad to have Anne HolfordSmith, who is an architect and will be introducing
herself and then we'll ask questions as well.

Committee members, you can find a written copy of her
opening statement, her resume, etc. in the red
booklets, as usual. Miss Holford-Smith, if you would
raise your right hand to be sworn or affirmed in by
our counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Hello. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: I do.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much and please now proceed with your opening statement.

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Great, thank you.

Good morning, Chair Lander and members of the Committee for Rules, Privileges and Elections.

Thank you for the opportunity to stand before you and answer your questions. I'm extremely excited and honored to have been nominated by the Mayor for a seat at the Landmarks Preservation Commission.

2.2

2.3

I am a born and bred New Yorker, born in Brooklyn and raised in Queens, and for the past 30 years have lived in the nexus of Chelsea, the West Village and the Meatpacking District. I spent much of my childhood in Forest Hills [sic] Gardens, a bucolic and charming environment that introduced me to urban planning and design at an early age. I was enamored of the Tudor style buildings and brick roadways that made the gardens such a special place.

My parents also instilled in me a deep caring for meeting and place. Our summer vacations always included pilgrimages to historic places, from the battlefields of Gettysburg to Monticello and Mount Vernon. Our own Tudor style townhouse was a constantly evolving project, led by my father, who was an interior designer who was always bringing home treasures to be somehow incorporated into our home.

I later attended Pratt Institute in Brooklyn to study architecture, which introduced me to a larger world of the built environment and further solidified my love of old buildings.

I have been practicing architecture for 30 years and have spent a considerable amount of that time dedicated to preserving historic buildings in

New York City. Most of that time has been with Platt Byard Dovell White Architects, of which I am now proud to be partner. My late mentor, Paul Byard, was a renowned preservationist in New York and served for many years as the dean of Columbia's Graduate School of Architecture, Preservation and Planning. Together we worked on the restoration of several important New York City landmarks, including the Cooper Union Foundation Building, the Appellate Division Courthouse, Gould Memorial Library Auditorium, and Flushing Town Hall.

For the Cooper Union Foundation Building and the Appellate Division Courthouse, we were able to return those amazing masonry buildings, after 100 years and more of very hard wear, to a close semblance of their original appearance and restore the meaning that their original design intended to convey.

For the Cooper Union, that was the forward-thinking freshness and opportunity that a free education could provide; symbolized by its many large windows and the use of the most up-to-date materials for 1859.

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

For the Appellate Division, it was the bright white symbol of truth in law as embodied in the classical idiom.

Both of these projects benefited from my technical expertise and building envelope, including stone restoration, wood window restoration, and ornamental metalwork.

While these were pure restoration projects, the opportunity to transform old buildings back to community use has been even more rewarding. Flushing Town Hall was restored from an empty shell to a vibrant center for the arts. Our other work with institutions, like the Lower East Side's Educational Alliance, will provide new generations the chance to grow and learn in the same building in which their parents did. And the restoration of the auditorium of the Gould Memorial Library, in the campus that was once the home of NYU in the Bronx, returned light and original details back to a space darkened to discourage bombing in World War II and vandalized by student radicals in the 1960s.

These projects included both restoration and architectural intervention, merging my technical preservation expertise with my architectural

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 20 practice. I have honed these skills on projects throughout the five boroughs, ranging from preservation master plans to full building restorations and adaptive reuse. It has been my privilege to work at one of the most preeminent preservation firms in New York, working alongside not only Paul Byard, but Charles Platt, who himself served as commissioner in the 1970s.

2.2

2.3

I have spent the past 30 years committed to the restoration of New York City landmarks, sensitive additions to old buildings and new buildings set in historic context and am now committed to the public service of helping to oversee the stewardship of this incredible city that I call home. That stewardship includes not only the preservation of individual landmarks, but the creation of clear guidelines to inform the development of new construction in the context of historic districts.

New York, which embodying a unique amalgam of its history from Dutch New Amsterdam to the brown decades of brownstone construction, is still a growing and changing metropolis that needs to be allowed to evolve. The combination of the new and

committee on Rules, privileges and elections 21 old is what makes New York such an exciting environment in which to live, work and visit.

Allowing sensitive and invigorating new buildings and additions to coexist with the fabric of our older buildings is crucial for the future of this city.

2.2

2.3

Again, thank you for the opportunity, and I welcome your questions.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much for that opening statement, which is a very good demonstration I think of your extensive qualifications for the position.

The LPC and Council Members' experiences sort of tend to be two different kinds of debates about it; on the one hand, there is the preservation versus development debates; people, whether they got to grow up in Forest Hills Gardens or not, loving this city and it's kind of historic nature and small scale and trying to grapple with the challenges that a growing city and its development demands and how to balance those things, and so that tends to be... you know our constituents want in that side more preservation faster to preserve things; they're afraid of being eroded and then on the other hand, our homeowner constituents come and say it's a big

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 22 headache to have to get an application through the LPC, you know, and we want that to go smoother when we're doing some simple work on our home. I just wonder if you could say a little about your perspective on both of those issues.

2.2

2.3

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Sure. I think that the process has gotten a lot more streamlined and a lot more efficient and is currently working better than it had been. I know historically there was always this sort of feeling that, you know if you have a landmarks building that, you know, it takes forever to get things done and it's more expensive and I understand that the process has gotten a lot better with homeowners and Landmarks... under this administration it's gotten... it's been more sensitive to that. There could always be more improvement and you know, maybe in terms of public outreach to people so that the process is more transparent; people understand it better.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I'll just interrupt you there before you go on to the first one. I think that's... I mean in my experience that is right, it certainly has... there are more things that can be approved at the staff level than were previously --

1	COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 23
2	technology is used more effectively; it's more often
3	you get a quick turnaround so all of that progress
4	is real and good and I'm grateful for it, and I also
5	think it's good for commissioners to find ways to
6	stay attuned with, you know your sort of average
7	homeowner who's not a person who's really in the big
8	preservation development debate; is not someone who
9	generally otherwise will kind of appear before you,
10	and just make sure that we're operating the system in
11	a way that makes sense to them [inaudible]
12	[crosstalk]
13	ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Sure; it needs to be
14	equal across the board
15	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Yeah.
16	ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: and [inaudible] lot
17	more you know, individual homeowners than there are
18	major developments and so [inaudible] [crosstalk]
19	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And rightly, most of
20	those things don't come to the commissioners, you
21	know staff level approvals… [interpose]
22	ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Right.
23	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: for things which are

minor work are wonderful, so... but staying attuned to

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 24 that is a valuable thing I think for Council Members to make sure that commissioners are doing so.

2.2

2.3

Alright, and then just give us your broad perspective -- we don't need to drill down too much, I think -- and you and I had a conversation yesterday that makes me clear you're just a thoughtful person about how to balance the needs of a growing city and the requirements of meeting the housing demands, the growth demands that we have with the love we have for our... you know the built environment and our neighborhoods and wanting to strike that balance just as well as we possibly can, so talk about the perspective you bring and maybe you know share one or two examples of how you've tried to balance that in your career.

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Sure. I think... you know we have a great building stock in New York City and some of it is very historic and worthy of individual designation and preservation and some of it is simply just nice old buildings that we want to maintain and keep, and I think that certainly in establishing a district it's important to -- and you know, not having done this personally, and I hope to learn this as I go, if I'm appointed -- you have to

sort of you know balance -- looking at an area, those buildings that are truly stand-out buildings that should be designated as individual landmarks or sited as being contributing to the district, while there are probably a vast number of buildings within a district that are not necessarily contributing but are sort of handsome buildings and I think we need to maintain that kind of a balance in order for building owners to develop those properties to their fullest potential, while also respecting the district.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Just maybe give us an example or two from the work you've done -- I mean I know your work has been more on the restoration of historic buildings...

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Right.

I also... I hadn't noticed till I was just peaking at it, the work at Greenwood Cemetery, which is just some wonderful, wonderful work, so thank you for that. But I mean just give us an example of sort of a place where you know in your professional world you were balancing between these pushes and pulls of development and you know, the desire of owners to build a building that they believe made the most

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 26 sense for them under the zoning and the rules and the pull of neighbors and a community seeking to preserve context as much as possible.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: So we had a building that was a bit controversial several years ago that was in the Carnegie Hill district and 91st Street and Madison Avenue that was originally a one-story bank building, and the property developer wanted to put an as-of-right residential development, which we designed and presented a zoning envelope with the proper street wall and setbacks and a tower above that wasn't that tall a tower, 'cause it couldn't be for that district, for that neighborhood, but we did come up against extreme opposition by the community, and it was a process of back and forth with community boards and working with the preservation staff at the Commission to come to a building that ended up being a shorter building, which was the predominant comment that we received, but also because we made it shorter and in order for the developer to be able to have a usable building, it had to fill out to the entire building envelope, so instead of being a slender tower on a base, it became a real squat building, which unfortunately we felt was not as good a

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 27 building, but it met... you know, we were able to work with the community and Commission and the building owner to come to this resolution that met everyone's expectations and needs.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Hm. And yeah, I mean that in some ways... you know there are... I mean the LPC, relative to let's say the Board of Standards and Appeals, which is you know supposed to have a tight set of technical findings; the LPC, we want people with expertise to have thoughts about aesthetics and design and we have members of the public testify and sometimes what that results in is a balance in which, you know, that's something kind of in the middle, which...

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: on the one hand the design professionals don't think is the best designed building and the neighbors don't think is exactly what they wanted either; you feel comfortable, you know, you feel like you can add value in those conversations and decisions in the LPC... [crosstalk]

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Oh absolutely, yeah.

You know seeing it from the other side, you know as a

commissioner obviously I would be looking at it

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 28 differently, but having the experience of presenting before the Commission and working through those design processes would definitely inform my work if I am chosen to become a commissioner.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you. I don't think there's any question, looking at your resume and my own hearing you today that you've got all the qualifications and experience that we would want; one challenge in finding people for the positions on the LPC is that the people who have the qualifications and experience we want quite often got it by being in places where they appear regularly before the LPC, which you have done and your firm has a lot of business, and that presents a set of conflicts that it's our job, along with the Conflicts of Interest Board and our Director of Investigation, just to make sure we have fully disclosed and know exactly how they're going to be handled, so I know you received a waiver from the Conflicts of Interest Board concerning your partnership and your role at your firm; that your firm you know has projects, some of which you have worked on and some of which you have not, and will continue to have projects before the LPC; it's my understanding, but I guess I just want

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 29 to ask you to kind of clarify and affirm the ways you're going to follow it that you will cease to work on and have no personal involvement in the projects involving landmark-designated properties that would go before the LPC, and that includes not participating in discussions, emails, conversations, conference calls, or receiving documents, and also, in one further step, not being compensated for the firm's work before the LPC, so sort of both a firewall on the firm side and also non-participation in those matters on the LPC side. So let me just first, like 'cause, you know ... is my understanding correct or can you just explain to me how you'll proceed to do... [crosstalk]

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Yes; that's correct.

We provided a very detailed letter that explained the projects that we're working on, I worked on in the past and am currently working on that have come before Landmarks; right now it's about a third of our projects that come before Landmarks. So we will set up a policy in the firm and obviously tell the staff that I'm no longer able to work on any projects that come before Landmarks and so any conversations or emails will have to cease and we have several other

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 30 preservation professionals in our office who are more than capable of handling the projects that we have, and I'm currently working on other projects that are not before Landmarks. And in terms of compensation, again, we track every project so it's clear you know what project is; this profit or loss and which project is a landmark project, and very clear and very easy to separate that and so I will not be compensated for any work that comes before Landmarks.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And there are a couple of projects that you've worked on already that will come before Landmarks; I guess how will you handle those...? [crosstalk]

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Right. So I'm working on one project right now, 462 Broadway, which is actually winding down and one of the associates of the firm is working on it as well as staff and partner Sam White, and so they will continue working on the project and I will step down if I'm appointed. And then we have another small project, which is a storefront restoration which is just getting started, which another associate will take over and I will cease working on.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And I mean, it's my
3 understanding you became a partner last year on which
4 congratulations...

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: though that makes this a little more complicated because obviously it's easy enough to pay you salary for work that you did before a certain date on projects and not pay you a salary on projects you won't be working on after you would join the LPC, but just talk a little more in a partner role about how you plan to not benefit from the profits associated with these particular... the projects that come before the LPC, you know in addition to the more clean hours, you know billing...

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Right. Right.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: hours of billing.

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: So we have a basic payment for partners, basically like a salary and that's just sort of across the board, and then beyond that, there are -- depending on, you know profits and losses on projects, distribution of those to partners. But again, since we can track each project -- every project has a project number and at the end of the year we know exactly what each project has

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 32 done; those projects would just be excluded from any further bonus or, you know, compensation that I would get.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: You do distribution of profit and losses by project in a way that makes it possible… [crosstalk]

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: to draw a line and not have you... and they won't go in some -- and it's not to say you would do this, but we've seen these things in the past -- they won't go into a sort of, you know fund in abeyance that you... [crosstalk]

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Oh no.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: can pick up later or they get paid out; they would be...

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: No.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay. Okay, and I will just flag for members of the Committee -- ones who are here and not -- that all of that is detailed in the letter and the COIB guidance -- it is, [background comment] yes. Yes. And we may have further -- you know and because it is our, you know, and it's often the case that members don't necessarily dig in on those things till we do this,

and that's partly why we don't in any cases vote on the day of the hearing -- we do the hearing and we give members a little time to sit with the information -- if there are any additional questions that we have about this, we can call you back before we vote next week or be in touch about it. Council Member Rose; any questions? [background comment] Yeah, of course.

2.2

2.3

Lander. I appreciate that you were able to get into some of the nuts and bolts about your partnership and how we're going to keep you safe in terms of being able to recuse yourself from projects that are going to come before the Landmarks Commission. And I want to congratulate you; I'm sure as a woman you worked really hard to get there and so I was just concerned about the distribution of the work and maintaining the firewall, so I think the Chair delved into that quite effectively.

What I'd like to know; as a person who is used to being on the other side speaking to the Commission, are there any parts of the process that you think should be streamlined or worked on and you might focus on once you become a commissioner?

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Well I think one possibility is to possibly increase the amount of work that can be approved at the staff level; that could be something that could streamline the process and make things go more smoothly and would then take

less time in public hearings.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: At one point we had a really large calendar backup and this Council I'm really proud of you know worked hard to eliminate that calendar so we could move forward. Are you seeing that projects are being expedited as quickly as possible; are we on track; are we building up any kind of backlog?

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Well again, not having the firsthand experience, I'm looking at it from the other… as the outsider… [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: From the other

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: view, but it seems to me that when I hear about a project that's sort of being considered and it seems to be going at a very good pace and things are moving quickly... [crosstalk]

2.2

side.

True.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Well as a person
3 who has presented before the Commission, do you find

4 | that the process is expedited?

2.2

2.3

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: I do. So when we typically present something for a hearing there could be comments that come from the Commission and we're usually able to work with staff to do those changes if needed and to work through any revisions, and so the process can take place without having to go back usually for a second hearing. You know for a larger project, more complex, sometimes you have to go back for a second hearing, but I think that staff has been really good... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: For the most part?

ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, Council

Member Rose. No, definitely and you know, this goes

back to my first question; I think there is... you

know, on big projects where the owner/developer hires

someone like you, then, you know I think they're in

good shape; you know, the challenges we hear tend to

be on homeowners who -- and look, I want more

landmarked districts in my district, so I say this as

1	COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 36
2	someone who believes in them a great deal and wants
3	to see them expanded, you know you don't want a
4	homeowner who's redoing their stoop to have to hire a
5	premier architectural firm and how it can work that
6	both things can be true; that protections against the
7	kinds of encroachments that we don't want can be
8	protected against while also making it just as easy
9	as possible for people to do non-encroaching and not
10	particularly consequential small work is that's
11	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Absolutely.
12	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I know Council
13	Member Rose and I both represent [crosstalk]
14	ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: I agree.
15	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: are enthusiastic
16	about our landmark districts and represent a lot of
17	homeowners who can run into those challenges, so.
18	Good; any other questions?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Just a comment. I
20	love Tudor style also.
21	[laughter]
22	ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Alright, that's
24	[background comment] an excellent place to leave this
25	hearing. As I said before, we'll… you know the

1	COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 37
2	Committee will go into recess; we and other members
3	will take a deeper look at the materials I think
4	you've done a very thorough job; again, your
5	qualifications for this are unarguable and your
6	approach to the complex questions are thoughtful and
7	detailed, so other members will take a look; if we
8	have any additional questions, we will be in touch
9	and reserve the right to call you back, but
10	otherwise, the Committee will meet next week.
11	ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Okay. Thank you
12	very much.
13	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much
14	for your time and your interest in serving, which we
15	really appreciate.
16	ANNE HOLFORD-SMITH: Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank
19	CHAIRPERSON LANDER:is now in recess.
20	[gavel]
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 7, 2017