CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

----- X

October 11, 2017 Start: 10:14 a.m. Recess: 1:50 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm.

16<sup>th</sup> Fl

B E F O R E: STEPHEN T. LEVIN

Chairperson

DANIEL DROMM Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Annabel Palma

Fernando Cabrera
Vanessa L. Gibson
Corey D. Johnson
Ritchie J. Torres
Barry S. Grodenchik
Rafael Salamanca, Jr.
Vincent J. Gentile
Daniel R. Grodenchik

Margaret S. Chin Deborah L. Rose

Ben Kallos
Andy L. King
Inez D. Barron
Chaim M. Deutsch

Mark Levine
Alan N. Maisel
Antonio Reynoso
Ydanis A. Rodriguez
Helen K. Rosenthal
Mark Treyger
Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Elizabeth Rose, Deputy Chancellor NYC Department of Education, Division of Operations

Lois Herrera, Chief Executive Officer Office of Safety and Youth Development Department of Education

Joslyn Carter, Administrator Department of Homeless Services

Katherine Polite, Executive Director Students in Temporary Housing, NYC Department of Education

Liza Pappas, Education Policy Analyst New York City Independent Budget Office

Giselle Routhier, Policy Director Coalition for the Homeless

Beth Hofmeister, Attorney Homeless Rights Project, Legal Aid Society

Randi Levine, Policy Director Advocates for Children of New York

Grant Cowles, Senior Policy Associate Youth Justice Citizens Committee for Children

Stephanie Gindel, Associate Executive Director Policy and Advocacy Citizens Committee for Children

	4

[sound check, pause]

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good morning, everyone. My name is Council Member Stephen Levin. I'm chair of the Committee on General Welfare, and I want to thank everybody for coming out this morning, for the day's important oversight hearing entitled DOE's Support for Homeless Students. In February, 2016, the Committees on Education and General Welfare previously held a hearing on homeless students, and I'd like to thank Council Member Danny Dromm, Chair of the Education Committee for this joint hearing once again. Today, we will explore what progress has been made to enhance supports to homeless students since our last hearing. We will also be considering three pieces of legislation Intro 1497, which will be discussed further by Chair Dromm. Intro 572 by Council Member Liz Crowley, a Local Law to amend the Administrative Code of New York City in relation to requiring the Department of Homeless Services to post daily shelter census counted by borough, a bill that I have introduced a Local Law-Intro 1714, a Local Law to amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York of the City of New York in relation to Educational Continuity Unit, which would require any

DHS intake facility for families with children to 2 3 have an educational continuity unit. New York City 4 has seen record levels of homelessness, and unfortunately the overall number of homeless families in the DHS Shelter System has continued to steadily 6 7 increase in recent years. For the end of calendar year 2016, there were about 60,000 men, women and 8 children in the DHA Shelter System. Children under the age of 18 accounted for more than a third of the 10 11 shelter population and more than half of the people served or in shelters for families with children. 12 13 Today, the number of homeless individuals in shelter remained about 60,000. It is important to not that 14 15 this number does not include families living in 16 doubled up situations, which means that the overall 17 number of homeless students to the McKinney-Vento Act 18 is significantly higher, and if you saw today's New 19 York Times recent data showing that one in ten children in New York City school system over the past 20 21 year experienced homelessness according to the 2.2 McKinney Vento definition. During the 2015-2015 2.3 school year, nearly 100,000 homeless students attended New York City public schools. This is a 49% 24 25 increase in six years, which includes approximately

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

33,000 school age children in shelters and 60,000 2 3 living doubled up without a household. Further, the 3,300 students from the city's public schools who 4 live in homeless shelters during the 2015-2016 school year, was an increase of more than 4,000 or 15% from 6 7 the previous school year, and now it's up to 110,000 8 according to the report that was released yesterday. Research has demonstrated that homeless students experience academic, social and behavioral challenges 10 11 that result-that result in adverse educational and

life outcomes compared to their housed peers.

In April 2016, DHS released its 90-day review of homeless services that resulted in 46 reforms including two reforms specific to homeless students, which are the first, target outreach to doubled up families with school age children and which HRA will work with DOE to identify and proactively target prevention services for students with families living in doubled up situations who were reported as homeless under the McKinney Vento Act, and (2) eliminate the requirement for school age children to be present at PATH, DHS' intake center for families for multiple appointments. DHS has already implemented that latter. However, school age

children are still required to be present with their 2 3 parents for the first appointment at PATH, which 4 means that they are still missing a day of school. Today, the General Welfare Committee seeks to learn about the city's progress in DHS (sic) families, and 6 7 how it currently serves school age children in the 8 shelter system. You know, on a personal note, you now, I woke up this morning and I have an 8-month old daughter, and to think of what it would be like to 10 11 wake up every morning in a shelter with a child, and 12 what it means for that child, and the level of stress 13 that is toxic and compounds in their brains, in their nervous system is-is-is somewhat inconceivable for 14 15 those of us that haven't lived there. And, you know, 16 when we talk about numbers, 110,000 children, each of 17 those children is an individual, and each of those 18 children experiences that level of stress, and it-it 19 has such an impact on their lives. So, as we're 20 talking about this and thinking about, let's keep in 21 mind that everyone of those children is an individual 2.2 with hopes and dreams and aspirations and-and a very 2.3 future, and it's our obligation to make sure thatthat we could support that and maintain that so we 24 25 that so can pass it on. (sic) At this time, I'd like

2.2

2.3

to also acknowledge my colleagues who are here today.

We have at the end Annabel Palma from the Bronx,

Rafael Salamanca from the Bronx, Barry Grodenchik

from Queens, Brad Lander from Brooklyn, and I'm

seeing my co-chair Danny Dromm of the Education

Committee. I'd also like to thank Committee staff,

the General Welfare Committee Andrea Vasquez, the

Senior Counsel, Tonya Cyrus, Senior Policy Analyst,

Dohini Sompura; Unit Head Namir Nushat—Nuzmat—

Finance Analyst and the Education Committee staff for

putting this hearing together. I'd also like to

thank my Chief of Staff, Jonathan Boucher, and our

Budget Director, Edward Paulino. Now, I'd like to

turn it over to my colleague, Danny Dromm for his

opening remarks.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, Chair

Levin, and thank you for your empathy, and your

concern regarding this issue. I know that it's—it's

deeply—it's very, very sincere and deeply felt. Good

morning. I'm Council Member Danny Dromm, Chair of

the Committee on Education. Welcome to today's

hearing. I'd like to say thank you to my co-Chair,

Council Member Levin for his collaboration on this

important topic, to the sponsors of the legislation

25

we'll be discussing and to all of you here today for 2 3 being here. Along with legislation being heard, 4 today's hearing will examine the performance and programs that are aimed at supporting homeless 5 students. We will examine DOE's compliance with the 6 7 McKinney-Vento Act, legislation that was implemented 8 in part to ensure that homeless students are provided with a free and appropriate public education. law, state and local educational agencies are 10 11 responsible for examining policies that act as a barrier to enrollment for homeless students. 12 13 must develop and implement professional development programs to educate school personnel on problems 14 15 faced by homeless children, and these agencies are required to ensure that students are not stigmatized 16 17 or segregated based on the status of being homeless. 18 We will examine the coordination between the DOE and DHS in addressing these needs. Homeless children face 19 20 enormous challenges and may serious consequences to 21 their physical, socio-emotional and academic 2.2 wellbeing as a direct result of the stresses of being 2.3 homeless. For example, these children are sick four times more often and have three times the rate of 24

emotional and behavioral problems compared to housed

25

2 counterparts. Often, children are homes for more 3 than one school year, and are far more likely to 4 transfer schools than permanently housed students. Changing schools can greatly impede a student's 5 academic and social growth, and it is estimated that 6 7 a child who changes schools takes from four to six 8 months to recover academically. Not surprisingly then homeless students in the city generally perform worse on state English and math tests than their non-10 11 homeless peers. Graduation dates for homeless 12 students are far lower than their housed peers, and 13 drop out rates for homeless students are far higher than their housed peers. Studies have found that 14 15 children who are homeless are also more likely to 16 repeat a grade than non-homeless children. 17 Homelessness is at a crisis level in the city, and 18 sadly, student homelessness is increasing. It is 19 estimated that 140,000 New York City students have 20 experienced homelessness in the last six years. 21 Certain student populations are over-represented in 2.2 homelessness including Black and Hispanic students. 2.3 For example, in school year 2015-16, Black students represented 27.1% of DOE's students population and 24

accounted for 33% of homeless students.

25

Additionally, in school year 2015-16, Hispanic 2 3 students represented 40.5% of DOE's student 4 population, and represented 52% of homeless students. Furthermore, Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and 5 Queer youth comprised a disproportionate number of 6 7 the homeless population and are eight times more 8 likely to experience homelessness. LGBTQ youth comprise approximately 50% of the total homeless youth population in New York City according to some 10 11 studies. LGBTQ youth, street youth experience greater levels of bullying, sexual assault, domestic 12 13 violence, dating violence, stalking violence, trauma, 14 HIV infection, mental health issues and substance 15 abuse than their heterosexual counterparts in the 16 homeless youth population. I'm interesting-I'm 17 interested in hearing about any DOE programs for this 18 extremely vulnerable population. In addition to the 19 oversight topic, and the legislation discussed by 20 Chair Levin, the committees will also hear 21 introduction 1497 sponsored by Council Member Rafael 2.2 Salamanca. Intro 1497 would require the DOE to 2.3 report measures concerning students who live in temporary housing, including information such as the 24

number of students residing in shelters, the number

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of students living doubled up, the rate of students 2 3 residing in shelters of their school district and 4 borough of origin and the number of requests for a shelter transfer to be closer to school. The report would additionally include funding information and 6 7 information on transportation for schools including the use of Metro Cards and bussing. I would like to 8 remind everyone who wishes to testify today that you must fill out a witness slip, which is located on the 10 11 desk of the sergeant at arms near the front of this 12 If you wish to testify on a specific piece of 13 legislation, please indicate on the witness slip whether you are here in favor of or in opposition to 14 15 the legislation, and please note that witnesses will 16 be sworn in before testifying today, and with that, I 17 want to turn it over to Council Member Rafael Salamanca for remarks. 18

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Good morning.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm proud to be part of this package of bills today to work towards addressing an issue that is very real in my district. With current trends showing that we are approaching a situation in which one in seven students will be homeless while attending elementary school. I know that my office

25

we are seeing cases day after day in which parents 2 are turning to us to help figure out how to ensure 3 4 they can keep their kids in school while simultaneously navigating the shelter system, or 5 searching for permanent housing. In many instances, 6 7 the situation can be very sad with parents feeling hopeless and often there isn't much we as council 8 member can do outside of trying our best to work with DOE to keep students in their own school. So, this 10 11 is-so, this is a real crisis, and one that is quickly 12 becoming unmanageable. In the last five years alone, 13 the Borough of the Bronx has seen a 44% increase in shelter students attending school, and it is my fear 14 15 that if we do not act swiftly and effectively in 16 finding ways to address this problem, then it will 17 become even more unmanageable than it is today. With 18 that said, we know some of the issues we are facing. 19 Families doubling up is a big issue. Additionally, 20 we know that Black and Hispanic students are 21 disproportionately overrepresented in homelessness. 2.2 We know of chronic absenteeism, and unfortunately, of 2.3 the academic disparities that exist among the homeless student population. But to best address 24

this problem, we need to be more concise. A clear

1

3

4

5

\_

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

picture that periodically reports the state of students in homelessness to we policymakers. That is why I'm proud to sponsor Intro 1497, which will require the Department of Education to report on students in temporary housing. Specifically, the bill would require the Department of Education to submit to the Council and post on its website a report for the proceeding-the preceding school year regarding information of students in temporary housing, and just to be clear, this is-this means a lot to my Council District given that I have over 29 homeless shelters and over 400 cluster units in my Council District alone. It is my home that we work to get this bill passed before the end of the season along with the rest of legislation here today, because our children cannot afford for us to wait. Thank you. [pause]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much,

Council Member Salamanca. So, now we'll turn it over
to the panel from the Administration. We have Joslyn

Carter, who is the DHS Administrator. Welcome to the

Administrator for the first testimony. Elizabeth

Rose, New York City Department of Education, Division

Department of Education. I am joined by Lois

Herrera, Chief Executive Officer of the Office of

Safety and Youth Development. Thank you for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

25

opportunity to discuss DOE's work to support students 2 3 in temporary housing and Intro 1497. Supporting 4 students in temporary housing is a top priority for the Mayor and for the Chancellor. Under this 5 administration, we have taken significant strides in 6 both identifying and supporting our STH, and we have 7 8 partnered with the Department of Homeless Services and other city agencies to help ensure educational continuity, stability and success for this student 10 11 population. We recognize that STH are among our most vulnerable students, and experience challenges 12 13 through no fault of their own. We know that for many of them, school is a vital source of stability. 14 15 this end, we provide additional academic, health and 16 mental health supports, and services through school 17 district, borough, central and shelter based staff, and we've invested in an additional \$10.3 million to 18 19 support students in temporary housing. While we are 20 pleased by the progress made in recent years, we 21 recognize there is much more work to be done, and we 2.2 thank the City Council for its partnership on this 2.3 issue. As you are aware, the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act requires school districts to take 24

action to remove barriers to enrollment, attendance

and success in school, attributable to homelessness. 2 3 Chancellor's Regulations A-101 and A-780, outline the 4 DOE's obligations to ensure that these students receive the extra supports they need. 5 McKinney-Vento and accordingly DOE regulations, a 6 7 student in temporary housing is defined as one who lives in emergency or transitional housing or shares 8 housing due to loss of housing or economic hardship. This is doubled up, or lives in motels, hotels, 10 11 trailer parks or camping grounds due to lack of 12 alternative adequate housing, or is unaccompanied, or 13 lives in cars, parks, public spaces, abandoned buildings, substandard housing or bus or train 14 15 stations, or has a primary night time residence that 16 is a public or private place not designed for or 17 ordinarily used as regular sleeping accommodation. 18 During the 2016-17 school year, 105,133 New York City public school students were covered McKinney-Vento, 19 20 35,067 of whom were identified as living in a shelter at some point during the course of the school year. 21 2.2 A student's housing status is identified in several 2.3 ways: All parents are given a housing questionnaire at the time of enrollment in a new school, or when 24 25 they report a change of address. In addition to the

25

housing questionnaire, we've established in 2 3 collaboration with DHS a modern efficient data 4 sharing system, through which DHS sends a detailed daily report to DOE about every school aged child living in DHS shelters. This data allows DOE to 6 7 quickly identify students in shelter and is further 8 shared with our shelter and school based staff, our office of student enrollment, our Office of Early Childhood Education, Special Education Office, and 10 11 the Office of People Transportation to provide 12 appropriate interventions and supports. Once 13 students are identified, they are assured of the following rights: To attend school regardless of 14 15 where they live or the duration of their homeless to 16 choose to remain at their school of origin where they 17 attended before they became homeless or to transfer 18 to another school for which they meet the school's 19 eligibility and enrollment criteria. To the extent 20 feasible, a student shall be kept in the school of 21 origin unless this contrary to the wishes of the 2.2 student's parent. To immediately enroll in a school 2.3 even if the family is unable to provide proof of residency, immunization, or previous school records 24

at that time, and to have a grace period of 30 days

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to compile the necessary documentation; to receive free school meals; to receive free transportation to school and school programs; and to receive comparable services and programs as offered to other students in Within the DOE, the Office of Safety and the school. Youth Development's Office of Students in Temporary Housing coordinates our agency live and interagency approach to supporting STH and their families. schools are required to publicly display posters information parents of their rights under the McKinney-Vento, and Chancellor's Regulations A-101 and A-780. As part of its Youth Development Consolidated Plan, each school must assign an appropriate staff person to serve as their school's STH school based liaison to track the STH population and provide interventions and support services. STH school based liaisons are required to attend an annual professional development session in the late fall in collaboration with New York State Technical and Education Assistance Center for Homeless Students known as New York Teachers and NYS teachers. Also, school districts with STH populations participate in the STH School Based Liaison Institute that provides enhanced professional development and training.

25

addition, each school is required to allocate a 2 3 portion of Title 1 Funding to serve STH students with 4 a range of academic and non-academic supports. DOE has ten STH borough based Content Experts who supervise and support 117 shelter based family 6 7 assistant. The Content Experts support family 8 shelters within their respective boroughs to ensure that mandated services are provided and that supplementary educational and counseling services 10 11 such as tutoring, homework help, test preparation, 12 post-secondary planning, mentoring and individual and 13 group counseling are readily available to students 14 and families. They also provide training for shelter 15 and school based staff in order to foster 16 understanding of the law, and to share best 17 practices, offer family workshops where students and 18 their families can identify with one another, and discuss the issues that they face. Our Family 19 20 Assistants are the primary DOE point of contact for 21 shelter based students and families. Family Assistants identify and interview all shelter based 2.2 2.3 students and families, and inform them of their educational rights, and play an integral role in 24

ensuring the delivery of services. In collaboration

25

with the STH Content Expert, Family Assistants 2 3 monitor the attendance of STH or with families to 4 improve student attendance, assist in recruiting the parents of STH for activities intended for them, and refer students to extended day activities. At PATH, 6 7 DHS' Intake Center in the Bronx, DOE staff are 8 available to speak with parents, answer questions about education and provide information about students' rights while homeless. DOE added 10 11 additional staff at PATH now providing coverage no Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. DOE 12 created a new education guide this summer for 13 14 students in shelter and this guide is now distributed 15 at PATH and in shelters. Over the past two years, we 16 implemented several new initiatives aimed 17 specifically to support students in temporary 18 housing. The first, Bridging the Gap, places full-19 time students social workers trained in trauma 20 informed practice in elementary schools with high STH 21 populations. This program included 32 elementary schools in the 2016-17 school year. At these schools 2.2 2.3 social workers work directly with 4,910 students providing individual counseling services, group 24

counseling services and crisis interventions.

1 year, the program has expanded to 43 elementary 2 schools. We also established the Afterschool Reading 3 4 Club, or ARC, a literacy program staffed by DOE teachers. ARC provides reading enrichment three days a week to students in grade K to 5 at 18 DHA 6 7 shelters. The program including reading instruction 8 and activities, homework help and weekly arts programming to encourage literacy skill development. Students in the program are giving new books each 10 11 week to keep. Participating sites also receive libraries with over 700 titles each. Through our 12 13 partnership with the Deutsche Bank Americas Foundation, the Office of Community Schools provides 14 15 intensive supports to students in temporary housing 16 as well. In the second year of this grant, these 17 initiatives are scaled across all 227 community 18 schools, with a particular focus on the 22 community schools with the highest rates of student in 19 20 temporary housing. This partnership has brought 21 concrete supports such as innovative data supports 2.2 that allows CBOs, community based organizations, and 2.3 school staff access to real time data to identify STH students and track attendance. Targeted resources 24

such as clothing, laundry pods, hygiene kits and over

25

1 2,000 new backpacks with school supplies as well as 2 3 the Summer Youth Employment Program, a mentorship 4 program and quarterly professional development for principals and community school directors. 5 put in place-place new health and mental health 6 7 services aimed to support the needs of STH. 8 Approximately, 60 schools with high STH populations received free vision screenings. As a result of this effort, 28,452 students were screened and 4,777 10 11 students were provided with free glasses. 12 additional school nurse has been hired to provide 13 more intensive case management and clinical care at ten school campuses with large populations of STH. 14 15 As part of the citywide Thrive Initiative the Offices 16 of School Health and community schools have a team of 17 clinicians that either provide direct service or work 18 with schools to develop mental health resources where 19 students can be referred to for service as needed. 20 The team works in 62 schools where there are high 21 numbers of STH. Lastly, approximately \$20 million 2.2 has been allocated to the current Capital Plan to 2.3 construct school based health centers at schools with high STH populations. Four such health centers are 24

currently under construction and are planned to open

25

25

2 in the fall of 2018. We've also increased support to families in shelters regarding all admissions 3 4 processes. Over the past school year, our office of Student Enrollment trained DHS and DOE shelter and school based staff on Pre-K, Kindergarten, middle 6 7 school, and high school admissions and launched a 8 text message campaign so that families can receive text message alerts regarding admissions processes and due dates. OSE also invited approximately 4,000 10 11 eighth grade students in temporary housing to 12 targeted support centers at the Citywide High School 13 Fair and the Brooklyn and Bronx Borough High School Fairs. In addition, families or roughly 1,300 14 15 incoming Pre-K and Kindergarten students in Districts 9, 10 and 12 in the Bronx, and District 19 in 16 17 Brooklyn we're inviting to district based elementary 18 admission information sessions. As a result of those efforts, 47% of students in shelter applied to Pre-K 19 20 up from 38% in the prior year, and application rates 21 for students in shelter increased for Pre-K, 2.2 Kindergarten, middle school and high school 2.3 admissions. Now, in the second year of this initiative the DOE continues to expand these 24

supports. To support students with disabilities

25

living in temporary housing, DOE recently updated its 2 Special Education Standard Operating Procedures 3 4 Manual or SOPM to include new guidance specific to students in temporary housing particularly around 5 Special Education Evaluation and IEP review Process. 6 7 In addition, we provided quidance for supervisors of 8 psychologists to ensure they are expediting annual review s and evaluations for students in temporary housing. Last spring, Committees on Special 10 11 Education and Committees on Pre-School Special 12 Education staff provided special education overview 13 sessions to DOE shelter based staff in each borough 14 to ensure that this staff can successfully support 15 students with disabilities residing in shelter. 16 also provide workshops for quardians and parents to 17 help them gain a better understanding of the IEP 18 process. As you are aware, we launched a major new 19 transportation initiative for students in grades 20 Kindergarten through 6, who resided in the DHS 21 Shelter System last year. The initiative offers yellow bus service to an additional 5,000 students 2.2 2.3 with pickups from 478 bus stops near DHS facilities and drop-offs to over a thousand schools. STH who 24

prefer traveling on their own are still eligible for

25

a full fare Metro Card, and the parents of students 2 3 in Pre-K though 6 are also eligible for free Metro 4 Cards of their own to accompany their child to and 5 from school. The DOE also works collaborative with other New York City agencies to address the needs of 6 7 students in temporary housing. We work with the Administration for Children's Services and DHS to 8 help families and enroll in the Early Learn Programs, which provide full day, fully year early care and 10 education for children from six weeks to five-year-11 12 As the DOE plans for the transition of the 13 Early Learn Programs from ACS to DOE, we will 14 continue to work with DHS and other partners to 15 ensure the needs of our youngest children in shelter 16 are being met. We connect students who reside of 17 Department of Youth and Community Development 18 shelters with referrals for alternative programs and 19 educational services such as the After School 20 Corporation and Learn to Work Programs. 21 Additionally, we conduct professional development on STH youth in collaboration with the Human Resource 2.2 2.3 Administration's Domestic Violence Shelters, and we are currently working with HRA in order seamlessly 24

address the needs of students residing Domestic

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Violence Shelters. I will now turn to the proposed legislation, Intro No. 1497. Intro 1497 requires DOE to publish an annual report on students in temporary housing. While we support the goal of the legislation to provide transparency around STH, we have operational constraints that limit our ability to report on student transportation as required. We welcome the opportunity to work with the Council to ensure that the reporting requirements align with what we capture in our reporting systems. We know we still have work to do, and will continue to work closely with DHS and other agencies to provide additional services. We thank you for your time today, and we look forward to our continued work with the city on this important issue. I will now turn to my colleague Joslyn Carter.

JOSLYN CARTER: Good morning. I would like to thank the City Council's General Welfare and Education Committees and Chair Stephen Levin and Daniel Dromm for giving us this opportunity to testify today about the Department of Homeless Services and our work specific to students. My name is Joslyn Carter, and this summer I was appointed by the Mayor to serve as the Administrator for the

1 Department of Homeless Services. I'm looking forward 2 3 to getting to know you all and working together in this new role after 13 years working at DHS. Over 4 5 the past four decades, the shelter system was built in a haphazard way to meet the needs of homeless 6 7 Northeastern Towers. Since the 1980s, the phase of 8 homelessness substantially shifted from the larger single adult population struggling with justice system involvement, mental health challenges, 10 11 substance abuse disorders, and inconsistent 12 employment to what we see today. Seventy percent of 13 those in shelter are families, and 34% of the 14 families with children in shelter have a working 15 adult in them. As of October 6, 2017, our census 16 included 22,987 children, and of these children, 17 14,548 ages 3 to 18 and in school as of October 4, 18 2017. The mass plan the use of all cluster sites or 19 commercial hotel facilities places-and replace some 20 of the small number of high quality borough based facilities will reduce the number of homeless--21 2.2 Department of Homeless Services facilities by 45% 2.3 across New York City. Our goal is to maintain a vacancy rate to ensure the flexibility we need to 24

implement a more equitable borough based system that

25

25

takes into account the individual needs of the 2 3 children and adults we must shelter. The plan is 4 quiding principally is Community First given homeless Northeastern Towers who come from every community 5 across the five boroughs the opportunity to be 6 7 sheltered close in the support network and anchored with life including schools, jobs, healthcare, family 8 houses of worship, and communities they call home in order to more quickly stabilize their lives. 10 11 June, Department of Social Services Commissioner 12 Banks provided comprehensive testimony concerning the 13 process by which families enter and move through the DHS system beginning at family intake, and DHS' 14 15 Prevention Assistance and Temporary Housing, PATH 16 primarily. (sic) To briefly review, upon arrival, 17 reception staff inquire about the reasons-the 18 family's reasons for coming to PATH, and are engaged by PATH's social workers to provide crisis 19 20 counseling, mediation services, and referrals to 21 community based resources as an alternative to 2.2 shelter. Along with HRA Homeless Diversion Unit, the 2.3 HD caseworkers and home based offices, these social workers identify services to assist families in 24

obtaining or securing independent housing without

25

2 having to enter shelter including family mediation, 3 legal services, HRA emergency grants and rental 4 assistance. In City Fiscal Year 2016, PATH Family Intake handled applications for nearly 18,000 needy households, numbers which have remained steady since 6 7 2013. In addition to HRA's Homeless Diversion Unit, 8 collocated at PATH is HRA Nova--Lavonne Dickens, Department of Education Family Assistants Liaison, the Administration for Children's Liaisons, and a 10 11 contracted medical provider, which is the floating 12 hospital. DHS' partnerships with our sister agencies 13 are important to who-they simply respond to the needs of our clients. For example, ATS staff has in the 14 15 past conducted nightly clearance of all families with 16 children who present at PATH to apply for temporary 17 emergency shelter. Matches are then provided to DHS, 18 identified families with often ACS cases. DHS staff 19 members also learned of ACS involvement through the 20 standardized intake interview where a family has an 21 opportunity to see the school assistance information. 2.2 Additionally, shelter staff has access to information 2.3 fields in the DHS CARES System that identifies a family's ACS involvement. When PATH staff members 24

learn of a family ACS involvement through degrees,

25

they contact ACS staff on side of PATH or the ACS 2 3 staff assigned to the family to inquire further regarding the family's housing needs, and to assist 4 with service continuity. Recognizing that support of 5 students extend because both the class and the school 6 7 yard, we have improved our collaboration with the 8 Department of Education so that we're identifying and troubleshooting families' unique needs including a special education requirements and transportation 10 11 options as well as meetings where they assist 12 children for school and relaying information to 13 parents in real time. In partnership wit the DOE, we created and now maintain the DHS' first ever 14 15 comprehensive daily, digital feed data of all school 16 age children in shelter to improve DHS and DOE's 17 information sharing to ensure the educational needs 18 of families experiencing homelessness unmet and 19 immediately and effectively as possible. This feed 20 is updated every day including new students entering 21 shelter, any outstanding changes occurring in 2.2 families, and any change in shelter or transitioning 2.3 to permanent housing. The feed provides real time information on specific families' needs based on 24

shelter location, and current schools saw two

agencies. Upon arrival at a shelter, families are 2 3 assigned a case manager in CARES, the DHS system of 4 records. The case manager meets with the families to address any immediate needs and makes appropriate During this time, there are specific 6 referrals. 7 special requirements that focus on the needs of 8 children within the household including student enrollment. The case manager refers the client to the Department of Education Family Assistants or to 10 11 the DOE students in temporary housing borough contact. We've also worked closely with DOE to 12 13 improve transportation options for students across the system. And beginning the week before the first 14 15 day of school this year, DHS provided families applying for shelter PATH intake with Metro Cards to 16 17 ensure they have transportation options immediately 18 to get to school including those families who may 19 ultimately be determined ineligible for shelter. 20 believe training is a key element, increasing awareness and collaborations among stakeholders. 21 2.2 Trainings are provided throughout the years, engages 2.3 schools and the shelter community. For example, last PATH staff conducted several trainings of DOE 24 25 attendance teachers and arranged several tours for

1 2 DOE staff to visit PATH. Also, DHS has participated 3 in a citywide and McKinney-Vento workshops 4 facilitated by the New York State Educational Department and the New York State Technical and 5 Educational Assistance Center for Homeless Students, 6 7 NYAC and Advocates for Children for N-O- NYC DOA 8 schools shelter placement. In the FY17 MMR, we reported that during FY17, there was a decline in the percentage of families with children who were placed 10 11 in shelter according to the youngest child's school 12 address. DHS makes every effort to place families in 13 shelter at shelter locations that respond—that corresponds to the youngest age child's school 14 15 address. But due constraints in shelter capacity 16 this is not always possible, and this is exactly why 17 the Mayor is turning a tight plan in visions and 18 approach to shelter that focuses on placement close 19 to the family's community. As we continue to 20 implement a new borough based approach, we will be 21 able to create the necessary capacity to address 2.2 Further, implementing this borough based 2.3 approach allows us to prioritize placement for those families from the community where the facility is 24

located, and then for families of surrounding areas

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on Broadway. (sic) Since the announcement of the 2 3 Turning the Tide, we've authored or announced the opening of eight new shelters, four of which serve 4 families with children. These facilities will soon be given households including families with children 6 7 an opportunity to stabilize their lives nearer to 8 their existing social network. The closer proximity to schools will be particularly beneficial for families whose children continue to enter schools in 10 11 the community and ask for a home (sic), often they 12 must now commute long distances, sometimes across 13 multiple boroughs to remain in those schools.

Social Workers in Shelter: In addition to DHS' close partnership with DOE, DHS has also taken important steps to improve educational stability and enhance access to opportunity for those students residing in shelter. Because our guiding principles in communities, we believe it is critical to offer new opportunities to be shelters closed to the anchors of life like schools in order to stabilize their lives. Moreover, DHS acknowledges unique needs of children experiencing homelessness and the fact that they need wraparound services. In 2015, the Mayor and First Lady announced Thrive NYC

25

to guide the city towards a more effective and 2 3 holistic system to support the mental wellbeing of 4 New Yorkers especially those among us who are the most vulnerable. This included significant investment to support our families in shelter. As 6 7 part of this initiative, we have expanded the staff 8 resources needed to help our clients. . We have hired over 180 Client Care Coordinators who are licensed mater social workers deployed at shelter to 10 11 work with families as they navigate multiple systems and cope with the stresses and anxieties associated 12 with homelessness. Client Care Coordinators work to 13 14 enhance delivery and coordination of services for 15 families with children in shelter, which include 16 identifying and responding to the needs of students. 17 With the goal of strengthening the overall permanence 18 effort for families with children in shelter, 19 coordinated and working to promote our best practices 20 for shelter service-social service provider staff, 21 and improve linkages in mental health and community 2.2 based services. They're all to task (sic), we 2.3 increases the-increasing the ability of shelter Social Services staff to address mental health issues 24 in a culturally and linguistically sensitive manner

that incorporates strength based family driven and 2 3 youth child guided care. I also would like to note 4 that an agency issued a new LGBTQI policy in April of 2017, which includes directions for shelter staff and 5 providers on how to follow up on the many common 6 7 LGBTQI issues including placement concerns, medical needs such as gendering affirming healthcare and 8 where to reach out to mental health counseling and Through our partnership with the Department 10 11 of Health and Mental Hygiene, we are focusing on 12 early intervention. This initiative will support 13 families with children 0-ages 0 to 3 who have 14 disabilities or development delays. The goal of the 15 Early Intervention Program is to support families in 16 helping their children learn and develop as well as 17 helping families understand that children's strengths 18 and abilities using everyday activities that help 19 their children develop. DHS provides shelter staff 20 and clients with the information and tools needed to 21 apply as well as provide direct technical assistance 2.2 where needed by our workshops and training. It is 2.3 worth noting that through coordinated efforts with the DOHMH, early education enrollments have doubled 24

for enrollment in Pre-K.

25

25

Child Care in Shelters: As part of the 2 90-day review of homeless programs and reform—and 3 resulting reforms, the City examined all aspect of 4 the service providers to children in-families in shelter. During the summer of 2016, the city 6 7 convened a task force and childcare and daycare in homeless shelters to examine childcare services 8 available to homeless children and develop recommendations. The task force includes Department 10 11 of Homeless Services, the Department of Social Services, Human Resources Administration, the 12 13 Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, the Administration for Children's Services, the 14 15 Department of Education, and the Office of Management 16 and Budget. In the fall of 2016, the city conducted 17 the citywide review of the Drop-off Childcare 18 Programs. Our task force members visited shelters 19 across the five boroughs, and as a result of these 20 visits, recommended that the city create new permit 21 category in the York City Health Code regulating 2.2 onsite drop-off child care programs in family 2.3 shelters in order to be able to consistently enforce and regulate standards that ensure child safety 24

should sufficiently mitigate the risk of harm.

25

2 Developed in partnership with the Department of 3 Health, Board of Healthy, ACS and service providers, 4 the boroughs regulations for this new permit category were adopted by the Board of Health-Board of Health 5 in early September. Following that, last month we 6 7 announced together with our partners in government 8 and partners who provided social services we finalized regulations and enhanced drop-off care at shelters for families with children, implementing 10 11 more effective standards to ensure this programming 12 is high quality across the board. These additional 13 regulations will improve drop-off care by enhancing on-site staffing and strengthening health, safety and 14 15 physical space guidelines. With these regulations, 16 we are adding training and child development. As 17 standardizing staff-child issues increases that ratio 18 for infants and toddlers so that homeless children 19 receive the attention and supervision they deserve in 20 drop-off childcare settings. And we are also 21 establishing strict expectations for physical 2.2 spacing-spaces including health and safety standards 2.3 like window bars and sprinklers to ensure that dropoff child care spaces are appropriate and our young 24

clients are safe. These are the same standards

25

applied to regulated daycare programs throughout New 2 3 York City. We should provide no less than homeless 4 children. Currently, there are 37 operating childcare programs in shelter, and an additional 5 eight sites that operate onsite DOHMH licensed 6 7 daycare. These are separate business entities from 8 the shelter programs. There is one site that operates both drop-off child care and licensed full-time care. DHS in conjunction with New York City's Children's 10 11 Cabinet, New York City Department of Education, New 12 York City Service, and with book donations from 13 Scholastic, Incorporated, we also developed a pilot 14 literacy program in family shelters. As a result, 30 15 shelter based libraries have been created featuring reading materials for school age children in 16 Kindergarten to 12th grade residing in shelters and 17 18 serving over 2,000 families. Additionally, in 19 partnership with the New York, Queens, and Brooklyn 20 Public Libraries, the shelters have been linked to 21 the nearest library branch for book loans. public libraries also provide reading, story time, 2.2 2.3 library cards drivers and read aloud activities at the shelter based libraries. Introducing our shelter 24 families and children to the beneficial programs

2.

2.2

2.3

public libraries have to offer, leads them to view libraries as a vital community resource they can rely on after exiting shelters. The shelter based libraries received the 2016 Library of Congress Literacy Award Best Practice Honoree in recognize—recognize—recognize—in recognition of our innovative approach to providing literacy services to homeless children and their families.

The Legislation Before the Committee: As the Committee has presented this package of legislation, we want to provide some initial feedback. We look forward to working with the Council to ensure that the bills are in line with the good work currently underway so as not to duplicate resources and to ensure appropriate outcomes for our families.

Intro 1714-2017: The bill would establish an education continuity unit at PATH and every shelter applicant or families with children would be offered an opportunity to meet with such unit while apply for shelter. Currently at PATH, we have DOE staff on site from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. Monday through Friday who distribute education and transportation guides to families. However, PATH is

not the only opportunities for families to obtain 2 3 information concerning the educational needs of their 4 children. For families that are losing their homes or entering the shelter system, the intake process of PATH can be a completely overwhelming and stressful 6 7 process for adults and children. We do not believe 8 that PATH is the ideal location for parents to absorb critical information about their child's educational future. At all shelters families have assigned 10 11 caseworkers who are able to address educational needs of their children in a more comfortable setting. 12 13 This dialogue with families is ongoing and included in the Individual Independent Living Plan, the ILP. 14 15 We believe this is a more appropriate DHS 16 intervention to address the needs of students in 17 temporary housing as case managers that are able to 18 work with the client in a more ongoing way. Creating 19 such a unit of PATH would be a duplication of

1

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Intro 1497-2017: The bill will require

DOE to publish an annual report concerning students
in temporary housing including DYCD and HRA

administered housing. This bill, among other things,
will require the Department of Education to report on

duplication of efforts already occurring in shelters.

2.2

2.3

address.

metrics provided by the DHS Homelessness Services—the Department of Homeless Services and Communities

Administration. Paragraph 2 will require this upgraded reporting on the number of students residing in all the administered shelters including those in HASA and DV shelters. Reporting on the number of students in these programs may present privacy and confidentiality concerns. The bill further requires the department to report on the rate of placement of students residing in shelter operated by DHS, and the students school of origin and the borough of origin.

We currently report to the MMR that the percentage of families placed in the shelter services system according to their youngest children's—child's school

Intro 0572-2014: The bill will require the Department of Homeless Services to post daily shelter census data by borough. DHS currently posts a daily census report on our website, which includes the total shelter census broken down by adults and children and further disaggregated by type of shelter. We also report on the number of families reporting temporary housing at PATH and adult families requesting temporary housing inactive.

2.2

2.3

questions.

There are a number of operational challenges that we would have in this kind of real time reporting and we're prepared to work with the Council on the best way to address the Council's concern as the bill is reviewed. We remain committed to providing useful and transparent reporting on out shelter census and look forward to working with the Council toward that shared objective. Thank you and I welcome your

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much for your testimony, both to the DOE, and to DHS. Let me start off with some questions, and I know we're going to go to Council Member Salamanca because he has another hearing as well. So, right after me we'll go to you if that's okay, and we have been joined by Council Members Maisel, Levine, Rodriguez, Kallos and Deutsch. Deputy Chancellor, I noted in our testimony you mentioned there were 117 Family Assistants. What's the total number of shelters that the Family Assistants serve?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: It is more than 117.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay.

2.3

24

25

2 DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, we do have 3 some Family Assistants who are assigned to more than 4 one location, and they go from place to place to meet with families at different locations. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Can you get us that 6 7 exact number later on? 8 DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Yes. 9 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, we'll follow up with you on that. How many students are included in 10 11 the average Family Assistant's caseload? 12 DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: I don't have 13 that figure. So, we can follow up with you on that. Do you have idea? 14 15 LOIS HERRERA: It's-it isn't that we 16 have--17 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] And 18 just identify yourself. 19 LOIS HERRERA: Loris Herrera. It isn't that we have a specified ratio. It's more about 20 21 shelter that have school age children, and that's 2.2 where we try to post the Family Assistants.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, what are the minimal educational requirements for the Family
Assistants position? [pause]

\_

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: We are getting that information in real time.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, are there any plans to upgrade.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: You must be a high school graduate.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: They have to be just a high school graduate, a high school diploma. Are there any plans to upgrade that requirement to a BA or a Masters Degree?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, it's a—that position is planning to continue as it is. What we are doing at the Department of Education is we recognized that the schools can play a much greater role in supporting students in shelter, and so we have actually transitioned some of our focus to providing schools with the additional supports and services to help their individual children. They see these children on a daily basis, you know, throughout the school day, and I think they have a real opportunity and ability to work more closely with the children in order to help provide those supports. So, most of the programs that we've initiated over the past two years really focus on school based

2.

interventions rather than changing to the Family Assistant.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: With the school based interventions is that part—are they paid out of the money, the \$10.3 million that the Mayor put into the budget?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, several of those initiatives. So, our Bridging the Gap

Initiative of social workers in schools that have high students in shelter, and students in temporary housing as part of that \$10.3 million initiative.

Some of the programs are in shelters so the after school reading clubs, the ARC, that is part of that \$10.3 million, and those are shelter based.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And how is the—the assignment of these folks determined, and how—I have questions, really a larger question, which is how is the—the—the application, the use of the \$10.3 million determined? Which schools get it, which schools don't get it? What is the requirement to get the extra staffing?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, the—we identified schools based on their student population, and we looked particularly at schools with higher

2.2

were living in shelter.

numbers and high percentages of students living in

shelter. So, for example, the Bridging the Gap

Program, those social workers are provided to schools

that didn't already have social work staff in their

schools, and had at least at least 25 students who

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So at least 25, but I'm aware of some schools that have more than 25 who have complained to me that they have not been given additional resources.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, again the Bridging the Gap social workers are in elementary schools where we are seeing the highest numbers in shelter.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] It's in elementary and junior high combo?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: I believe K to H would have—would have counted. We—we did provide social workers to some of our K to 8 schools as well. Some schools may have already had licensed social workers on their staff, and so we were really hoping to support schools that currently don't have those resources.

1	
_	

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, the school I'mI'm concerned about is near the Pam Am Boulevard
Homeless Shelter?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Do you know what services have been offered to schools in the surrounding area? IS5 is there, 102.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: We're happy to come back to you offline with very specific information about individual schools.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, how many DOE staff working at PATH?

LOIS HERRERA: Three. We have three DOE staff at PATH so that we can expand the coverage in terms of hours, and they're there from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Is that in the summer as well?

LOIS HERRERA: It's a reduced staff in the summer, but we do have DOE staff there.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So you have three that are staffers, and what in the summer?

LOIS HERRERA: One.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Just to one. Has the 3 third staffer been hired yet?

LOIS HERRERA: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay so that person is currently in active duty?

LOIS HERRERA: In process.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, are there plans to expand the provision of bus services to Pre-K students living in shelters? This this is an issue for us as well?

Students we make every effort to ensure that students have a Pre-K seat as close to the shelter as possible, and in fact, this year we made an offer for Pre-K seat to every eligible child—age eligible child in shelter even if they didn't apply. So, even though as we mentioned earlier in our testimony that the rate of application increased to 48%, we still an offer to these children in shelter even if they didn't apply to Pre-K, and we gave them an offer to this site closest the shelter that had an available seat. We don't provide bussing for Pre-K. We do provide support to parents. We try to support parents, but we—we do not currently offer bussing

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

case they do receive bussing. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: What about students who don't reside in shelters, but who are temporarily

unless a child has an Early Intervention IEP in which

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, students who

are doubled up--

homeless?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Right.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: --can apply to Pre-K as any other child can and should and-and we support things in their lives.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And overall that's true as well so that in-in the elementary grades and above?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, in elementary grades and above, students who are doubled up have the same rights as students who are living in shelter. They can continue in their school or origin. They are provided with transportation. the case of students who are doubled up, it is predominantly Metro Cards. They have-can choose to transfer to the school that they are eligible to attend based on their new address, and we provide

1

similar supports in terms of their-their set-aside in 3 Title 1, and—and other supports.

4

5

6

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And what about the extension of bus services to students in conditional shelter placements like commercial hotels?

7

8

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, we do provide bus service for students who are placed in a commercial hotel through DHS.

10

11

12

13

14

15

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Another question that I have is I became aware recently in my district that there are homeless shelters with the-with HPD. For those who may have been in a fire or another emergency type situation, does the DOE provide services to them equal to the services that you provide through DHS? How are you dealing with those

16

17

18

19

20

students?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, certainly any child who is homeless for any reason has the right, the same set of rights to continue in their school, to receive additional supports and so forth. We don't have a data feed similar to the one that we

21 2.2

have with DHS with HPD, but where we are aware of a

2.3

child in one of those circumstances, we will

25

24

obviously work to support them.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Do you know any
3 numbers in regard to the number of homeless students

4 in HPD shelters or DYCD shelters?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, DYCD shelters we work very closely with DYCD. Those shelters have a very high proportion of LGBT youth, and unaccompanied LGBT youth. We work very closely with them.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, can you describe those efforts to work with those LGBT students?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, we train shelter staff on LGBT supports. Our Manhattan STH Office is our hub for working with the LGBT students, and we partner with the shelters particularly on helping more to provide access to housing. Then, of course, those students where we—where they are in school we also support through our LGBT work that is ongoing in our schools where we are working with schools to develop GSAs. We are working on the health side to provide medically accurate and gender support information about health, health services, condom availabilities and so forth.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Another concern that ha been brought to my attention is the coordination

2.2

2.3

and collaboration between the DOE personnel and the Social Service personnel in shelters. What type of coordination goes on specifically regarding issues at school versus what's happening in the shelter, et cetera, so forth and so on? [background comment] To me I've—I've heard complaints about a lack of coordination or even a different philosophy in terms of dealing with students who may have behavioral

issues, emotional issues, and things like that?

providers and we have professional development that's held jointly to help foster this one voice, and training around numerous topics particularly chronic absenteeism, which has been an issue, so that we can all speak in the same voice and approach families in the same way. This has been going on for a number of years actually, but as I said, the collaboration is strengthened over time culminating in the daily data share, which we're very proud of, which helps us have a better sense of how many students are in shelter, and helps us to coordinate our activities.

JOSLYN CARTER: The other thing I would add is that we do weekly meetings with the Department

2	
/.	

of Education so that any issues that arise we try to 3 mitigate them. We work through them.

4

5

6

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: You do the weekly meetings at the administration level, or do you do it at the local grassroots level between the school and shelter?

7

8

9

JOSLYN CARTER: We do it at the administration level, and our staff trickles down to the schools and to the shelters.

10 11

12

13

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, does the DOE liaison sit on the community advisory board for thefor the shelters? Do they attend community advisory board meetings?

14

15

JOSLYN CARTER: Not that I'm aware. I'll have to double check that.

16 17

18

19

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, so I would like to suggest that that that be done as well so that there is better coordination between the two. That's an issue that's been brought to my attention.

20

[background comment] So, the point is being made-

2.2

21

JOSLYN CARTER: [interposing] Oh, wait,

2.3

I'm-I'm--

24

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Oh, I'm sorry.

2.2

2.3

JOSLYN CARTER: Council—Council Member
Dromm, I'm just-I'm just getting some information
that at the Boulevard, yes, DOE is invested into that
CAB(sic) at the Boulevard. I think it probably does
vary by shelter, but that's happened in the
Boulevard.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And is that for the IS5 school or for the PS102 school? Do you know that?

JOSLYN CARTER: 102.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: For 102. Okay, thank you and the number of homelessness or the number of homeless folks goes up in the summer. Yet, the number of DOE staff PATH goes down. So, how can we better—how can we improve that situation?

LOIS HERRERA: It's something that we've been looking at as well. The issue is that our family workers are 10-month employees. They're—they're union members, but we have offered to—

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Are they teachers?

Are they on the teacher roll?

LOIS HERRERA: No, they're DC37.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Oh, DC37, okay?

2.2

2 LOIS HERRERA: And so, we've offered— 3 we're—for those who wish to work over the summer, but

4 it's not a requirement of their particular role.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, is that per-will that be a per session assignment?

LOIS HERRERA: It's an additional pay if they work over the summer, yes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: In your testimony,

Deputy Chancellor as well, you mentioned that you

have a housing questionnaire, which is establishing

for in collaboration with DHS. How long has that

been in place?

LOIS HERRERA: It was formerly called—it was formerly called the Residency Questionnaire. It actually was in collaboration with New York State Ed Department, and it's been in place, although I can't say the specific years, but it's been in place for a number of years. It just recently got renamed to Housing Questionnaire.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Renamed to what?

LOIS HERRERA: Housing Questionnaire. It used to be called the Residency Questionnaire and now it's the Housing Questionnaire.

1 2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. I think I'm 3 going to turn it over to Council Member Salamanca 4 right now, and then I'll come back and follow-up with 5 those. DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Just before 6 7 transfer a position the caseload of family workers is about 100 students. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Per, okay, per Family Assistant? 10 11 DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Yes. 12 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, ladies. A few questions. In 14 15 terms of 1497, how does-currently how does the DOE 16 track students in permanent housing compared to 17 students that all under the McKinney-Vento Homeless 18 Assistance Act? 19 DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Well, I quess 20 track in what way? 21 COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: How does DOE 2.2 track in terms of how do you know-how do you track 2.3 per school or do you track per school students that

are-have permanent housing compared to students that

fall under this McKinney-Vento Act? 25

24

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, the-there
are several ways that we identify this. The Housing
Questionnaire that Lois was just speaking about is
one of the ways that we learn about a student's
residencies, about their housing situation. So,
every family completes the Housing Questionnaire when
a student enrolls in the school. In addition, we
have the daily data feet that we discussed that we
receive from DHS, and it identifies each student in-
through a matching process, and so we are then able
to match those individual students to the schools
that they attend.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: So, it's safe to-

LOIS HERRERA: [interposing] We have—
I'm sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Yes.

LOIS HERRERA: I do have a housing indicator in—in our ATF system that indicates whether a student is in permanent housing, or is in one of the categories of under McKinney-Vento.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Alright. So, it's safe to say that this data exits and—

are registering the child. Something coming in

25

2.2

2.3

2 through the DHS data feed becomes an automatic update 3 in the system.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Sorry, but Commissioner, can you get the microphone a little bit closer so that we have--

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --all your comments on the record?

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: And so once this data is—is put together—

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: [interposing]
Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: --where does this data go? Who-who has access to this data? Does it go to the Chancellor's Office? Where does this data go?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, the data resides in our ATS system, and there are a variety of departments who have access to it for different purposes. Our Office of Safety and Youth Development pulled data in order to help for example to prepare this hearing. Our research policy, RPSG Research Policy Support Group is the organization that does most data analytics for the DOE. They are the ones

2.2

2.3

who would analyze groups of students. For example, by—for ELA and math results or graduation rates, they would provide that analytic support.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Alright, so when I mentioned in my opening statement my Council District in the South Bronx, the Seventeenth Council District, I had 29 shelters--

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: [interposing]
Uh-hm.

cluster sites. It's safe to say that my Council
District is oversaturated by homeless shelters. In
Community Board 3, and Community Board 6, I have over
1,200 individuals whose last know address was in
another community board district. So, it's safe to
say that my district on top of it being
oversaturated, I am taking homeless families from
other districts and they're bringing them over to the
South Bronx. With that oversaturation--

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: [interposing]
Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: --you know, I
I think it's safe to say that my school districts as
well are being oversaturated. They have to take in

25

that need more resources?

They have to take in these students 2 these families. 3 that are being brought into my council district. 4 Now, were we not-is it not safe to say that having this data readily available, and having this data 5 available online will help this administration and 6 7 will help the Council when we are putting our budget 8 together to see what schools need more resources than other schools because of the amount of homeless families that certain districts are taking, and-and I 10 11 say that with a heavy heart because a few weeks ago 12 there was a-a recent death. There was-there was a 13 killing that happened in one of my schools where a student was being bullied. We all know what it was-14 15 you know, we all know what happened there, and I met 16 with the principal. I met with the superintendent. 17 This school falls in Community Board 6, and I was 18 told that the principal made multiple requests for 19 scanners, for more school-for more school safety 20 officers and that request was denied. And so, again, 21 going back to DOE knowing that you can have this data, knowing that certain schools need more 2.2 2.3 resources, would it not be relevant to have this data available to help us know how to identify schools 24

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, we do use
this data constantly for helping to identify schools
that need resources. For example, the Bridging the
Gap Social Workers those schools were identified
based on this data where we were able to see which
schools had how many students who were living in
shelter attending their school, and what other
resources they already had. This data is used by the
Department of Finance within the DOE and as part of
identifying Title 1 allocations for schools that
shelters, students who are living in shelter where
schools have to set aside some money, or schools that
are not Title 1 receive Title 1 for the students in
shelter that are enrolled there. The community
schools many of the choices that we've made of which
schools to support with greater wraparound services
through community school's efforts are based on where
do we have schools that are where we have higher
needs among our students and, in fact, the community
schools as a whole have a higher percentage of
students in temporary housing than the system does
overall. So, we absolutely do use this information
in order to provide our schools with resources

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: So, I think

that we both agree that this data exists. So, I

just—if you can just please explain. I don't

understand what is your—the—the Department of

Education's resistance on this bill to have this data

available on line so that the public has access to

it?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: I don't think that we've expressed resistance to providing this information. There—there are some parts of the bill for example, the points about the number of students who have asked for a shelter transfer. That's not data that we have. That is data that our colleagues in DHS has. There are some aspects of the bill around transportation where some of the data requested is data that we don't currently track or gather or have the capability to track. So, it's not—we have not objected to providing this data about the shelter populations or temporary housing populations at schools.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Chairman Salamanca. I'll ask 50 questions [off mic]

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, we've been joined by Council Member Inez Barron, Council Member Vincent Gentile, Council Member Mark Treyger, Council Member Helen Rosenthal. I think we already said Brad is here. Dan Garodnick is here. Council Member Dan Garodnick, Council Member Chaim Deutsch, Council Member Antonio Reynoso, and Council Member Debbie Rose. Thank you all for being here, and I see that Council Member—Chair Levin is going to ask questions. [pause] Okay. So, so then we'll go to Council Member Brad Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [pause] Thank you very much to both chairs for this time, and—and for this hearing, and for the sustained attention to this work, and Steve, I thought your opening statement really put this in an important context, and I want to say also thank you to DOE and DHS for what's an extraordinary amount of work. It's—it's depressing to have to do this amount of work, but it is—it is good to see the energy that you're putting into it. I have two specific questions and then one more general one. The specific one relates to school based health centers. Deputy Chancellor I know note that you spoke about money that you're putting to

2.2

2.3

2 build those audit schools, which is great. I'm sure 3 you're aware unfortunately that the state is cutting

4 the money to school based health centers.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Uh-hm.

of them recently, and they have some ideas for being able to bill more through insurance. Broadly. Not necessarily just from homeless students, obviously, but where there's Medicaid, where there's, you know, Childhood Plus, where there's insurance. So, I wonted if we could sit down and talk about the opportunities to work with theme. They think that might be a source of resources for a place, and we should fight the state cuts obviously, but we need to make sure that school based health centers are stable broadly. Certainly and I agree that focusing on the schools with high percentages of students in temporary housing is important.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: And—and thank

you We agree that the stability for the providers of

the school based health centers really is critical,

and so that the—a permanent Medicaid waiver to allow

school based health centers to bill Medicaid directly

is a very important component of that stability.

2.2

2.3

We'd be happy to meet with you to talk about other ways to help support the school based health centers.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Alright thank

you. We'll follow up offline. Second, just a

question about bussing availability for students

while—while they're in conditional placements

applying at PATH. I know that can sometimes take a

week or two. Is there bussing available for them

during that time, because otherwise they're going to

miss those days and that transition?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, while a family is under conditional placement, they are provided Metro Cards, and parents may also receive a Metro Card to escort their child to school because it takes sometimes about the same amount of time to arrange bussing as it does to get through the conditional housing. We don't automatically route a child until they have been approved for shelter.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Got it. Okay, but you add the extra Metro Card, but we're not currently able to do—to do bussing?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Correct, during the conditional period.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay, thanks for clearing that up, and then I-I guess I want to ask just a much broader question really about the relationship to your thinking here to the work around school integration. It is, of course, I thought you're laying out of the rights and the right for students to make their choice to stay in their school if they want to, or go to a school. You know, PS 230 is right across the street from the Kensington Family Shelter. Such a wonderful school. Like I'd encourage anyone who wound up there to go--

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Uh-hm.

know, that's got to be a choice of the parents and, of course, maintaining stability makes enormous sense. At the same time, it doesn't make sense to have kids in—in such—to have so many schools, the growing number for the IBO Report and per your data that has such high concentrations of kids who are in temporary housing who are homeless who are so low income. This gest to Council Member Salamanca's point. So, on the one hand, of course, we want to provide the schools the resources and supports they need, and on the other hand, it does not make sense

2.2

2.3

to have a policy that further, you know, concentrates our—our—our poorest and most at risk kids in a small number of schools with lots of other low—income and at risk kids. That's why we, you know, school integration is essential. It is in part about racial justice, and it is in part because diverse schools, socio—economically can support their kids, and overwhelmingly poor schools can't. So, I just want to ask in addition to providing supports here, I know that the District 1 plan is starting to think about this. How are we starting to look at this more broadly? Are starting to look at this more broadly so that our work on school integration helps in this process?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Well, the most important thing is that we must comply with the law that requires that a student who is living in a zone it has the right to attend that zoned school, and/or that child— Let me back up.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Uh-hm.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: The law requires that student living in shelter have the same rights of attendance of any permanently housed student living in that area. So, if a shelter is located in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a school zone, that student in shelter has the right to attend that school the same way any other child living in that school zone has the right to attend that school. So, from a legal perspective, you know, that potential for concentration is not avoidable. We can offer parents alternative choices. We know that from a convenience [bell] perspective attending the school closest to the shelter may be a more convenient and attractive option to that family than attending another nearby school that's not as close or convenient. We also are required and many families prefer, and there are many very strong educational reasons why they would prefer for their child to maintain-remain at their school or origin even if that's further away, even if that requires distance of travel. And we know families who even when they are placed at a shelter far away or then receive permanent housing far away from that school of origin that stability, the relationships they have there, the services that are provided there are very valuable to that family and to the stability of that child.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, I'll just make this final point, and then—and then we're—we're

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

going to ask if there's a question. I mean, of course, we have to respect McKinney-Vento and, of course, we want to enable students to stay in their schools, but not to also see it in the broader context of segregation. We are violating for housing laws here, the reason why we want to have a conversation about fair share in shelter siting, and the reason why we're trying to push so hard to think about school integration is that if we only focus on this set of issues, and continue to concentrate, the lowest income students overwhelmingly and in small number of schools, no amount of additional money on school supports is going to help those schools succeed. So, I mean I appreciate all of this work, and the obligations, but this-the dots need to be connected to-to our school integration work, and to a fair share approach to shelter siting because going down a path where we just continue to concentrate the lowest income students in the same schools is not a path to success.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: We certainly agree that the location of housing, the location of affordable housing, the location of shelters is a

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

very strong influence on how can we develop diverse schools.

JOSLYN CARTER: I wanted to add to that. I think the Mayor's plan that we put forth early this year that's really boosts (sic) for community as a quiding principle, really working this 17-year-old use of clusters that started under the Giuliani Administration as well as the use of emergency hotels as before, you know, a decade long practice that started off and on during Lindsay, and so, our-our plan is to really have families remain in their communities of origin where they will be closer to anchors of life be it school or, you know, religious support, and family because we know that it's better for families, and this is not something that's happening, it's a five-year plan. So, we have a plan to do that. We already made some progress on-in this We've closed over 1,000 cluster units, almost plan. 30%. We have sited with open shelters that have been able to have children remain or which goes to school of their community where they originated, and so, we're driving towards that. And I think it's been a haphazard, you know, multi-year system where this has been several years, and it's not-it's not going to

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 happen overnight, but that's what we're driving 3 towards, an alternative site plan. [pause]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [off mic] I don't want-I don't [on mic] speak to those times, but I guess now I just have to push it. I really appreciate all of that, and I think we are not paying attention to the segregating on poverty concentrating consequences of the actions that we are taking, and I appreciate that on an individual it's family-byfamily basis, that seems right, but we haven't taken a step back, and said what's the consequence of-of doubling down on segregation and poverty concentration? And I'm-I'm just asking that we find some, and then, you know, I appreciate that it's an exhausting job to serve the families that we have and it's necessary and you're doing a lot of work to do it, but inasmuch as we're also having the conversation about shelter siting and also having a conversation about school integration, we need to find some ways to take a step back and see what the consequences of the programs together also. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council Member Lander. So, I have a few questions and then

2 I'll-I'll turn it over to my colleagues.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Unfortunately, I have to run across the street to take a vote as do the members, the members of the committees, but I'll be back very soon. So, the first thing I wanted to ask about according to the MMR, as-as you referenced in the testimony, the percentage of children, families that are placed according to their-their youngest children's school so within that borough of the-of the youngest children's school, I just want to make this clear what the data is from FY13 through FY17. 70.5%; FY14, 65.4%; FY15, 52.9%; FY16, 51.8%; FY17, 50.4%. This is with the objective of reaching 85%. I read that and I see an ever deteriorating situation, and the fact of the matter is, and I appreciate the testimony, and I appreciate the capacity concerns within the system. I know there are capacity concerns within the system. I know that any given day we're probably at 99% of our capacity within the family shelter system. But the fact of the matter is that in FY13 and FY14, we were also at capacity within the system. The system expands and contracts with the need, and so, you know, we didn't have an extra 10% capacity within the system back in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

happens?

2013 and 2014, but we were able to meet not our objective, which is 85%, but we were able to be much closer. Now, I appreciate also that that deterioration has slowed down over the last two years, but I guess my guestion is have we really done an examination beyond just capacity, and capacity is obviously a major component, but do-have we examined what other factors contributed to that deterioration? Is there—I mean, you know, obviously it's a very complicated system. It's a very complicated system. You can't just be moving. I mean we'll get to the issue of-of moving people around, and uprooting people's lives, and that's another question, but in terms of the original placement, and this has to do with-with how we're getting families into their initial placement beyond the-beyond the conditional placement. Have we done an examination of why that

JOSLYN CARTER: So, let me take a step back. When families are being placed in in a conditional setting, and we know you talked about it, and I think you hit the nail right on the head, capacity drives this. So, at any given moment, and at any given time, and my last position was a PATH.

what it is.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, I'm very in-you know, intimately aware of what 2 3 happens in trying to find placements for the families 4 as they're in the building. And so, it really is—the bottom line is capacity. We do work with DOE, and we 5 talked about the bussing and making sure that 6 7 families can get to school with it, and we also offer 8 educational transfers, right. So, there's that opportunity for families to be transferred closer, but capacity is what it is. And so, for us, you 10 11 know, and I walk in that building, there's so many 12 families that are waiting to be placed, and 13 availability of units are not there. So that, is

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But why, you know, back in—in FY13 and FY14, we were able to—it's 20% difference from today. There was still—there was a capacity problem back then.

JOSLYN CARTER: The numbers of homeless families increase. It has increased so dramatically for us. Like the families are coming every—when families get to us, everything else has failed, for the safety of the safety net. So, by the time they get to us, everything has failed. Families having—in coming into shelter has increased. It's now 70% of

agenda.

2.2

who the face of homeless is. It really is for us and for all of us an affordability crisis. So, families cannot afford—34% are working—can't afford, you know, to make ends meet and to pay rent. So, they're coming to shelter.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I appreciate all of that but I--$ 

JOSLYN CARTER: [interposing] Are at capacity for us.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --I mean I've-I've been on this committee since 2010. That increase—
that dramatic increase started in '11 when we saw-JOSLYN CARTER: [interposing] When an

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --an agenda.

JOSLYN CARTER: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And—and so there's—I—
I'm just—it—obviously there was—there was a three—
year period where we saw precipitous decline,
precipitous decline. One year it's at 70 and the
next year it was at—the next year it was at 65, the
next it's at 52, and that's a—and then—and then it—
and then it stabilized, but there's a—— I mean, it
stabilized at the—at the low——

level.

JOSLYN CARTER: [interposing] The low

3

4

1

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --the low level, and so--

5

JOSLYN CARTER: [interposing] Yes.

7

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --you know, it-I'm

8

engaged with system analysts, folks that are able to

wondering-there's-I'm wondering have you-have you

10

harness technologies that are able to figure out just

11

broader system issues about how placement allocations

12

are happening. I mean obviously, there's a capacity

13

problem, but, you know, that is so dramatically off

14

base, and—and it—and I—I mean I appreciate that the

15

Mayor has a long-term plan. Every month that goes by

16

where 50% of the children entering shelter are not

17 18 placed in their home borough, and are, therefore, forced into all types of traumatic life situations.

19

Every month that goes by is-there's an injustice

20

done, and so I appreciate a long term, we got it, you

21

know, it's great for like expanding capacity, and

22

good, okay, but-but are there any other-- Have we-

23

have we engaged outside analytic firms. I mean

24

normally I'm not like all, you know, looking for an

25

answer for it. We're not merely asking for

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

consultants to be hired, but I mean for something

like this where we're trying to—to address this, as a

serious problem. Is there any—I mean have we gotten

5 outside advice? Any—anybody that's outside of the

6 DHS world to say how can we take another look at this

7 | from a different angle?

JOSLYN CARTER: [interposing] Council Member, we could—we could talk about other options offline, but for us and looking at of being plenty of spaces, as families are in that building, it is capacity that we receive. We could talk offline about other options, but to look at the helpers to say that because I think that as families come into us, it is our responsibility to provide places that are really looking at appropriate placement for families. Our 90-day review talked about how we should really think about making placements, and during that time recommendations were made, and so we are implementing our training (sic) to try to find out. So, that's a long-term view. I appreciate you searching this out. (sic)

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Is there a short-term strategy, and won't that number increase?

2.2

JOSLYN CARTER: At this point it's capacity and I think we can talk off line about the long term.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] That's a long-term strategy. There's got to also be a short-term term strategy. I mean I will say this and you can agree or disagree, but 50% unacceptable.

Just unacceptable.

JOSLYN CARTER: And I would agree with you--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Did any of the-

JOSLYN CARTER: [interposing] And I—and

I, you know, it's an affordability crisis in New York

City. I absolute agree with you. I mean I'm not

being argumentative, but it is an affordability

crisis, the families by the time they get to us.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I mean, I will say this, I just got done working, just wrapping up working with a family that's in the shelter system.

One year, they've been in the shelter for a year. A year it took to get-to get out of the shelter system, and that's—that's ahead of the curve, and that's with active intervention, active, active intervention.

2.2

2.3

opinion.

And so, that's also part of the question is how do we get—I mean on the back end, how are we getting families through the system. There were a lot of problems within the system that contributed to that being a year. That could have been six months in my

JOSLYN CARTER: And I respect that. I think there's also the reality of available housing stock, right the reality that's posed there.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Yeah,
but I-I couldn't-I-I, you know, I was very intimately
involved in this case, and that year could have been
six months. Have—have you all read the IBO Report
from last fall? Has everybody read that IBO Report?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: It is 50 to 58 pages or— I encourage you all to, if you've read it already, it's 50—50 pages. I encourage you all if you haven't read it, to read it. If you have read it, I encourage you to re-read it. One thing that it does, and this is, you know, IBO has rigorous standards of—of how they analyze and accumulate and analyze their data. They interview people. They did 100 interviews with—with—with teachers, and with

this research.

principals, and with families in shelter, and they identified a lot of issues based on interviews with people. Do you guys do interviews with people?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: We actually collaborated and the author is sitting in the room.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, we were very involved in this process and supportive of this-of

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, three was a lot of recommendations out of that. There's a significant issue around—and so, we can go through them, but I mean have you—have you—have you pointed all of the issues identified in that report and said okay, this is our strategy for addressing this issue as identified, and there's probably 25 or 30 real issues that are—are not just, you know, issues that are un-addressable. They're not just—they're not insurmountable issues. They're issues around operation. Have you—have you—I mean this requires that obviously it requires a collaboration between DHS and DOE. Have you—have you set up, you know, you could set up a small task force between the agencies

to say, okay, this is how we're going to address the 25 issues identified in the IBO report.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: We have staff from both DHS and DOE who meet together and talk together daily, and have regular meetings together to work on what are the areas where we can better collaborate. How do we make our data match more proper—a smoother process. I'm seeing some head nodding in the room. [laughter] So, we are working hard at getting to collaborating together to try to address issues as they come up.

example, shelter policies and environment can present obstacles to schooling? Is that something that—that is—is there a strategy for—for how certain shelter policies, whether it's room inspections in the morning and things like that. Are there directives. One thing that they identified is that often times parents are required to be home for their room inspection. You know, there's a—a quote that I—it says that, you know, they got a—they've got to get breakfast ready. They have to do everything that's required in the morning, wiping bums, you know, everything that's required of a parent in the morning

and—and there's, you know, they have to sit around

2.

\_

and wait for a room inspection to happen. I know we've certified-

JOSLYN CARTER: So—so—Council—-Council

Member, I'm—I'm not familiar with the report and I

would have to read it and spend some time talking

with my colleagues about it. So, I'm not prepared to

talk about it to you.

every—you have to leave everything neat and tidy, but the amount of time that they give us to get up, to get children ready for school, to make breakfast, to wipe bums and to leave the place clean is not enough. Said a parent for—the focus group said to remain behind. (sic) I mean, there's an issue of—that was identified of parents having to be home when they're supposed to be taking their children to school.

JOSLYN CARTER: So, in—in general. I
think we should take a step back and I could give you
a little bit of shelter, you know, really how
shelters should operate. I'm not so sure about this
report and what was said, but one of the things that
for us families need to be assessed individually, you
know, families need to get their children to school,

25

2 families need to get to work. We are providing those opportunities. We're not saying, you know, so I 3 4 would have to read that report and see what's being reported there, and then figure out, but our plan 5 again, and returning back to what we are doing is 6 7 looking at families as individuals. We don't want to 8 check boxes. We want to make sure that we're assessing the needs of every family that comes to us, and that we meet those needs in a way that's 10 11 dignified for those families, and their needs are 12 met, right. So, part of the work that we are doing 13 is driving towards that. So, it's not, you know, I'm checking a box, but you said in your opening these 14 15 are humans, right. So, when I walked into PATH on 16 that first day, I imagined coming to PATH with my 17 son, and how that would be for me and for him, and so 18 part of the work I did at PATH was to really make 19 changes, and making sure that they're identified with 20 families—that these are families, and that the 21 workers get their needs met so that there could be a 2.2 mutual understanding between both of them. 2.3 sitting in this role for the last few months that's part of what I'm going to drive towards. So, that, 24

you know, results like this that you are referencing

2.2

2.3

we mitigate those, of course, correct. So, you know, just something as simple as opening a child wait space a PATH when I was there because you wanted children to have opportunities to be children. So, making sure that needs are met for families as they come through the doors whether it's an intake of a shelter, what I want to drive towards. And so, I would love to. I'm going to read that report and then talk with our colleagues about what it entails, but I'm not prepared to kind of ask specifics around that to day at this time.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: A lot of my questions have to do with recommendations out of the report of issues identified out of the report. With Metro Cards--

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Uh-hm.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: -we heard that part of the-one of the issues is that Metro Cards are issued on a-on a weekly basis. So, they're weekly Metro Cards. They're not monthly Metro Cards? Is that right?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, parent Metro Cards are weekly Metro Cards.

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-hm.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Students receive a semester long Metro Card at their school.

want that Metro Card, and obviously if they're living on public assistance, or—or don't have—don't have a cash case, and are—and are, you know, that is an important part of—of their monthly budgeting, why weekly and not monthly Metro Cards? Because also they have to go to a DOE borough office in order to get that Metro Card. Is that not correct?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: That's not correct. The Family Assistant at the shelter can provide the parent that weekly Metro Card.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So, they don't have to then go because I think that that was something that identified, buses--

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: [interposing]
Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --buses, that they were required to down to-to the--

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: [interposing] Not correct.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: -- nearby borough office. What if there's not a Family Assistant at the DOE shelter? I mean at the DHS shelter?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, even if there's not a Family Assistant full time at the shelter, they do have—a specific Family Assistant is assigned for each of the shelters, and they make the rounds to ensure that they see their families.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because those are—
those are DOE employees that are assigned to networks
that are not necessarily—so there's—there—there—this
is the Family Assistants that's going around to
various—

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Right. So, they'll be going to shelters that are for the most part in the same location, the same general area so that there are multiple shelters in the area. You might have a Family Assistant working across several.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: What about—what about hotels and using hotels?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: We also have Family Assistants who are assigned to cover those commercial hotels.

conditional placements. I just want to be-just to

25

1

make it clear on the record, you know, how long does 3 it take to-to establish a-a bus protocol for a

4

5

family?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Right. So, it can take between 7 and 10 days.

7

6

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

8

9

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Now, if there is already a bus route-let's remember there are-we currently have 500 different bus stops at shelters--

10

11

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

12

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: --that are

13

currently going to. Actually, it's well over a

14 15

is assigned to a shelter where we already have a bus

thousand schools. So, if it happens that a student

16

route that's going close by to that student's school,

17

it will take shorter. We still do need, depending on

18 19 the type of bus, we may still need to contact all of

20

the different people or stops along the way to let

them know that there's a change to the route, and

21

their pickup time may change, and that's part of our

2.2

overall process.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I-I concur. T think

24

2.3

it takes organizational genius to make bus-the bus

25

system work in New York City, and this is a very

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 92
2	complicated issue, but one thing I want to point out
3	is that conditional placements are not 10 days.
4	They're 30 or 40 days. So, even though they're
5	supposed to be ten days, in reality, they're actually
6	much longer, and so I mean that's-that's just the
7	reality on the ground, and so, you know, while there
8	are only supposed to be 7 to 10 days, they're
9	actually much longer. So, I don't, you know, that's
10	-I would say it's a complicated operational endeavor,
11	but it's not that those two times are not—are not co-
12	you know, when you spend all. (sic)
13	JOSLYN CARTER: So, Council Member, the
14	process to apply for shelter on average this
15	determination is made within 10. The 30 or 40 days
16	that you talk about are outliers. So, the process

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In my experience. [laughter]

that we have in place averages 10 days.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOSLYN CARTER: I got it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How-what is the process for being-for having a transfer for educational hardships. So, for example families placed, they're not in their home borough. They have the on in two chance of not being placed in their

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

home borough. What is-how-how many parents try to get a transfer to their home borough, and-and what is the process for them to do that, and is it-is it a streamlined process?

JOSLYN CARTER: Families in shelter make

a request to be transferred to the shelter staff, and that's going into the CARES, the DHS CARE system of record. Our staff on our end at DHS headquarters at 32 Beaver approves a transfer, and then they go into a queue. So, again, I know I talked about capacity, and so they're-they're put in priority because we have such little spears slots, But when there is an immediate emergency need, families are transferred based on what their needs are. Education is one of Medical is one of them. So, it really depends on what the need is of that family. It-the request is streamlined from shelter staff into the CARE system of record. The staff makes the approval and it goes to a unit and it searches for the placement. We have made some strides in this past year in making sure that we're tracking that. So that, we have the opportunity to see who's up next in-in-in a-in a way that's less-less haphazard. So that we have systems to know who needs to be transferred, and so that's

something that we're working on, have worked on to

make improvements to.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: One that the—the report shows is that there are 25% of children in shelter are at two or more schools during the course of the school year, and that's, you know, that's a very high number. What—what accounts for that and what is—what are—what is DOE engage us doing to—to really address multiple transfers of the course of a year? [pause]

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Well, what's likely the primary driver there are families who are choosing to change from their school or origin to a different school or school closer to their shelter.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But then is that—that is an additional transfer. That's two transfers.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, what I don't know or what—what we don't know from the data you're providing is whether they then change shelter location or moved from conditional to permanent or conditional to a shelter and then from shelter to permanent, and each of those transitions for the family may result in their choosing to transfer their child.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That—so, they are incidents where a child will be in a school just during conditional placement? So for that ten days that, that 30 days or 40 days they're—they're in a separate school because—

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: It's possible.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --because they're

9 there for conditional placement?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: It's possible.

We, you know, that again, is a family's choice

whether they choose to continue at the school of

origin. Obviously, we have made the choice to

provide yellow bus service for the youngest children

in order to help support families who choose to

remain in the school of origin, and that that is the

goal of the transportation both to improve

attendance, to reduce absenteeism, but also to

support a family remaining in that school of origin.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [off mic] I think

that that is—[on mic] I just have one other question,

and I'm going to turn it over to Council Member

Barron. This issue of absenteeism, the IBO Report

also identifies that children in shelter across the—

across grade levels have an average of a 10% lower

L	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION	96
2	attendance rate, or attendance rate or a higher	
3	absentee rate than-than-than housed, permanently	
1	housed children.	
5	DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Uh-hm.	
ó	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is that alarming?	
7	DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Of course, hi	.gh

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

client absenteeism is alarming and is something that we're deeply concerned about. One of the things that we did last year was we hired some attendance teachers specifically to focus on students in shelter, and to work direct-more directly with large shelters where we saw many students and absentee issues.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And what is the leading driver of that-of absenteeism then? Whetherwhether you heard back, and you report back from-from those attendance issues?

LOIS HERRERA: It varies. It really runs the gamut.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: If you can get a little closer to the microphone.

LOIS HERRERA: Sorry, the reasons for absenteeism really vary. We're talking about families in trauma and that also is going to affect

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 97
2	their daily routines, and being able to get students
3	to school, but we did learn from lessons, and those
4	attendance features that were shelter based last year
5	now are taking a lead in turnkeying the information
6	and lessons learned to other attendance teachers.
7	So, it's gone.
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, you've-so, you've
9	learned—
10	LOIS HERRERA: We've-we've expanded
11	capacity.
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So an example of a
13	lesson learned. What is-what is-what are some of the
14	lessons that-that we saw from that first year?
15	[background comment]
16	DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, we'd like to
17	invite Kathy Polite who is our Executive Director for
18	Students in Temporary Housing to come up and—and
19	address this.
20	KATHY POLITE: Good morning.
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good morning. Good
22	morning. No, I should say afternoon.

KATHY POLITE: Is it afternoon already.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: It's 12:02.

23

24

3

4

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

KATHY POLITE: So, that means everyone is having a good time, right?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes.

5 KATHY POLITE: And I'm past this. [laughs] Yeah, we were able to through the \$10.2 6 7 million invest in attendance teachers, and they actually went to-they worked in several shelters. 8 Logistically with the staff in shelter that's Department of Education as well as Department of 10 11 Homeless Services, and student-and what we found is that the attendance teachers tracked the students. 12 13 That is as students began to move in shelter in 14 Brooklyn, and moved to the Bronx, they also continued 15 work with the family. And so because we know that 16 children and families are experiencing a high degree 17 of trauma in shelter, having that continuity was a

attendance teachers make contact now with I moved out of Shelter A in Brooklyn moving into—to the Bronx.

The attendance teacher that's overseeing or has responsibility for the shelter in Brooklyn makes contact with the attendance teacher and other school personnel. Bridging the Gap also having the social

big help. So, our-our lesson learned, one of the

major lessons was the continuity so that our

2.2

2.3

workers here have—has been an integral part. So, the attendance teachers worked extremely close with the Bridging the Gap folks who work it as well. Actually going into the shelters, meeting families, conducting workshops, helping families to overcome the barriers that prevent them from attending school. Once, of course, the immediate challenge at hand is, is dealt with.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

JOSLYN CARTER: And so, I would also add a daily feed that was developed, right. So, rather than having monthly information about attendance, we have it daily. And so, we are able in collaboration with the Family Assistants workers and the Attendance Teachers the Shelter Caseworkers are also monitoring and ensuring that children leave for school or parents report that they leave for school, and so maybe you get the daily feed that says yes, Justin Carter attended school this day. So, that has been able—has helped us to really track what's happening in real time rather than in a moth's time.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So, then you believe then than that through these efforts we will see that number—that—that gap closed or continuing to

2.2

2.3

close? I mean is that something that is—that is a—an achievable objective—

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --with the tools that we have today?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: We are beginning to see small improvements. We are seeing an improvement, a small improvement in the chronic absenteeism rate for students in shelter where we are slowly but steadily closing the gap against the citywide chronic absenteeism rate. We are seeing closing the gap in the high school graduation rate for students who lived in shelter. So, we—we do believe there is an enormous amount of work still to be done. We believe that this is an—still—an acute set of issues of students who need to support, but we are beginning to see small signs that the efforts that we've been putting in over the past couple of years are beginning to have an impact.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you—thank you to the Chairs, and to the pane that's here. I just have a few questions. The McKinney-Vento Act

2.2

2.3

2 requires or the Department of Education requires that 3 poster be in every school.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I don't see them.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: If there are schools where you are not seeing that poster, please let us know.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Secondly, the McKinney-Vento Act provides for \$100 for each student. I believe that's a minimum of \$100.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, it requires a set-aside from our Title 1 funding to a school of \$100 specifically to address issues related to the homelessness to—to provide additional services for these students. They are still receiving and—and clearly a student who is homeless, a student who is in a shelter is also a Title 1 eligible child. They are receiving full title. The school is receiving full Title 1 funding to provide all of the other range of Title 1 services. A portion of that is required to be set aside.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, is this an additional \$100. That's not guessing?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: No, it's part of their Title 1 allocation.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So it's not

additional. They would have gotten this money anyway, but now out of the money that they're getting, they have to pull \$100 for the student who is temporary housing?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: For a school that is--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] Is that—is that accurate?

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{DEPUTY}}$  CHANCELLOR ROSE: For a school that is as a school a Title 1 school--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]
Yes.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: --it—that is accurate. For a school that is not a Title 1 eligible school that has students who are homeless, they do receive an income every--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] So, this school now is being doubly penalized. They're entitled to it as-- I don't know if somebody can fix this mic. I've got a lot of feedback here.

25 You're entitled to this designated amount as a Title

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]

24

25

Good.

1

supports.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I'm rushing

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: -- and school

What academic support, what additional monies can be

identified in a school budget that says okay, here is

because I have to get over to the other side as well.

additional money for direct services in an academic

capacity because we know that it's the students who

are in these temporary shelters that are not

proficient in these tests that the DOE loves to use

as a measure of academic success-success. So, what

additional [coughing] academic direct services can we

point to in the budget that assists these students

that are so needy and so traumatized?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, the school receives the same academic fair student funding for a student who is low income for all of its students who are--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] DO you think that's sufficient--

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: --well, the goals say (sic).

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: --and maybe they don't get additional because they have addition population that has those drastic needs?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: [interposing]

The school also receives additional funding for students who are below proficiency so they are also receiving funding for these students because they are below proficiency.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Is that the same as any other school, or is it in addition?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: It's the same as any other student--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]
That's a problem, they're not--

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: --who is below proficiency.

we're not addressing the additional needs of schools that have student who living in temporary shelters to give them additional. Are they getting the same as what everyone else is getting and, of course, we appreciate the social work and the family liaison, but they're not in my opinion getting the direct academic support that they need to help lift these

2.2

2.3

tests that the DOE administers, and I have another question. In terms of identifying these students that are perhaps doubling up, what mechanism do you use to identify students? Is it that the family themselves has to report that to you? How do you know? I believe that we don't really have the full picture of students that are living doubled up and even tripled up.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, we do
receive that information through families. It is
self-reported in the Housing Questionnaire when they
enroll in a school, and schools also become aware
over the course of the year through conversations
with [bell] the family or with the children where
that information, if something has changed since the
beginning of the year that information may come up.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And finally, in terms of students being entitled to after school programs--

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Uh-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: --what provisions are made for students who need transportation there or bussing to be able to participate in after school

2.2

2.3

programs yet at the same time get the accommodations

to be taken back to where they are living?

after school programs in schools where they are strategically located near the shelter or near the school so that we actually programming directly in the schools or in the DHS facilities. We also have borough wide programs, and we have been able to for example in Queens the Borough Field Support Center has committed buses and provided a grant in order to transport our students from our shelters because they're not on—they're not being transported normally on a Saturday.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, for the services that are given at the facilities, what—what are the—what's the classification of those who are delivered and are they teachers?

JOSLYN CARTER: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Are they

21 certified teachers?

JOSLYN CARTER: We have certified teachers. We also have our guidance counselors and indoor social workers. We partner with community based organizations to facilitate the activities.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

LOIS HERRERA: And Council Member, I want to add that in shelter we partner, DHS partners with DYCD to bring the COMPASS after school program to shelters. So, we have that opportunity so with shelters—for some shelters to have that on site for families who are participating.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I want to thank you, but I think that the problem that we're seeing of students of the increase in homelessness and the increase in so many other of our systems is a reflection of the racism that's embedded in all of these government agencies that exists. It's seen in housing. We have the same population of students who are coming from families that in many instances are unemployed or underemployed and until we get to those root causes and until we address it and eradicate it, we're going to continue to see a swelling of these kinds of problems, and what we're doing is only temporary and it's not really connecting the situation so that we can move beyond this. Until we address the issue of creating housing for people who are low income, very low income and extremely low income, and-and numbers that address the situation

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

25

that we're facing, we're going to continue to have this problem. Thank you.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Thank you.

LOIS HERRERA: Thank you, Council Member Barron. Let me now go to some follow-up questions that I have. I hope I've announced everybody who's here. [background comment] Council Member Chin, and Council Member Gibson have joined us. Council Member Gibson has questions also, but let me just go to a follow-up on something that Council Member Levin hadhad started, which was that when the-when the-when DHS opens a new shelter how is DOE informed? I know that this has been a problem even for elected officials because I had the Pan Am (sic) come into my district and was not informed until the students were on their way, and I'm hearing complaints now from elected officials in Long Island City the same situation occurring. So, how is DOE informed and how are the schools, the local schools informed as well? JOSLYN CARTER: The-DHS informs DOE

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] How far

24 out?

directly of initial--

JOSLYN CARTER: As soon as we know.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, it could be the same day? It could be an hour before or it could be during?

JOSLYN CARTER: So, emergency hotels that we're using now yes, it could be the same day. Shelters that we have cited and have opened the families with children facilities that you're actually, the building opening, those notices are out earlier, but emergency that those are really covering the folks who are in the PATH intake at the time they're finding out that they do.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, I'm—I mean I'm not going to get into the whole argument right now about what constitutes an emergency but this continues to remain a problem with elected officials. So, I imagine it's probably a problem with DOE as well. How much funding does New York City receive from the federal government from the implementation of McKinney-Vento for services for homeless students?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, I believe the McKinney-Vento Grant is about \$2.2 million.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Are there other sources of funding for implementation of the McKinney-Vento?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, there is.

There's also an AIDP Grant, and that provides \$8.7

4 | million in funding.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And have there been any changes in federal funding that impact the services DOE provides for homeless students?

LOIS HERRERA: The McKinney-Vento is a grant that we get through the state. So, the state gets it from the federal government and over time that has increased somewhat.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Do we expect any changes because of the administration in the Washington now?

LOIS HERRERA: No, we don't have a concrete sense of what—what will happen with that.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Uh-hm. According to the School Allocation Memorandum No. 8 Fiscal 18, there are 76,910 STH students in the city. This is an increase since 2017 in which there were 71,992 students. This means the number increased by 4,918 or approximately 7% in one school year. Given the increase in the number of homeless students in the fiscal year, have you able to maintain the same

2.2

2 service levels of previous years? That's an additional 7% or 4,900 students.

that we have augmented services in the past year even with the increase, the work that's being done through the community schools, the health and mental health work that's being done the Bridging the Gap, the money that we received from the city has really helped us tremendously in expanding the programs and supports we're able to offer.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I'm going to go to

District 75 schools. Council Member Barron was

talking about Title 1 schools before. District 75

schools do not, the way I understand it right now

receive any additional Title 1 funding. Does the DOE

have any plans to change that or to deal with that or

to support District 75 Schools?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Well, District
75 Schools are funded to meet the needs of each
individual child and their classifications within
District 75. So, I—I believe we view the District 75
funding as sufficient to meet their students' needs.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Even though there are these additional issues that many of these students will be dealing with?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So, many of these issues that the student is addressing will be part of and incorporated into their IEP. So, if a student needs additional counseling for example and so those needs would be funded.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Uh-hm.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: What about like emergency supplies, issues like that for students?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: It's a very fair question, you know, we—I would expect that District 75 schools are able to do many of the same things that we see individual schools doing that students who need supplies are provided supplies. Students who may need a, you know, a clean uniform shirt. We have many of our schools that have set up capabilities for families to do laundry to address their basic—students basic needs. We also have partnerships with Volunteers of America that provide several thousand school supply filled backpacks to students, our field support centers have created wonderful programs for students in shelter, students

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in need to come and get school supplies, boot fairs

and other opportunities for these students to receive

the materials that they need to be successful.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: This is my second hearing on homeless students in the New York City Department of Education in about a year and a half I believe, and I could tell you probably one of the most shocking things that I heard was from a principal at the previous hearing who told me that she has a washer and dryer in her office to-to meet the needs of these students. That's how desperate these students are and-and how some-some principals are coming up with some solutions, but I just think that drives it home so clearly to me what—what these students needs are. In preparing for this hearing, I was a little bit surprised to hear that only 35 children had been identified students in temporary housing in-in pre-school who have pre-school IEPs in New York City. So, how come? Why is that number so low, only 35 students? I would—I would—

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Because it's-I'm not familiar with that particular statistic. I'd love to-to meet with you offline and understand where that is.

2.2

2.3

LOIS HERRERA: But we have a—we have a whole push in terms of registration and finding preschool placement for students in shelter both the three-year-olds and the four-year-olds, and so we—we know that students—we know which students were coming from shelter that went into the—the pre-K programs.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Are those Pre-K-Pre-K programs generally contracted out with community based organizations or private Pre-K programs?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So Pre-K for all I believe is about 60% are DOE operated schools or facilities and about 40% are community based organizations or what we call NYCEECs, New York City Early Education Centers.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: How do you --?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: [interposing]

I'm not-I-yeah, I know it's 60/40. I may have

flipped fewer.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: How do you identify those pre-schoolers for being homeless?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Well, so through our Data Match, we are able to identify children who are pre-school age who are in the shelters, and our Office of Student Enrollment has worked very closely

2.2

2.3

with the shelter organizations and has done a great amount of training in the shelters to increase parent awareness of the opportunities for their students to increase parent applications to the Pre-K programs, and as I said earlier, we made a Pre-K seat offer to every single student in shelter every four-year-old even if the family did not apply. We also work with the shelters to identify Early Learn opportunities for their younger children because we agree, and believe that that early education is incredibly important.

JOSLYN CARTER: And at shelters we're doing lots of work to re-educate parents about the importance of using Pre-K because I think traditionally parents they really don't understand that so we're doing lots of education around that. So, they could help, help to increase the registration for those services.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, let me go now to questions from Council Member Gibson followed by Council Member Chin.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you very much Chair Dromm and Chair Levin. Good afternoon.

It's good to see all of you today. I have just a few

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

questions. Obviously, there's been a lot talked about today but I do remember when we made the announcement on supporting \$10 million of critical resources and programs for students in temporary So, I represent Bronx County specifically housing. district 9 well-well known and familiar with the Chancellor. She's visited District 9 many, many times and will continue to do so. District 9 has a high concentration of students in temporary housing. So, I applaud the efforts of the Department of Education and DHS as well as many other agencies to really figure out how we can address this issue. I've always said, and I will continue to say it that a student's housing status should not determine their academic future, and the fact that so many children particularly children of color and children who have disabilities are living in temporary housing, that shouldn't mean that they are destined to fail in our schools. And so, we really have a great responsibility and this Council obviously wants to support all of the endeavors. So, I have not had an update and I definitely want to talk offline about specifically District 9, and how this initiative is working in D9, but I wanted to ask specifically about

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

the—the bus the—the us routes, the social workers,

the literacy coaches to really focus on students that

are truant and, you know, reducing the absenteeism.

All of the workers that you—that we're talking about

that were hired by DOE are they physically in these

shelters, or are they traveling like everyday talking

to clients. How does that work?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Well, first of all, I-I want to recognize and acknowledge Community School District 9 does have the highest number of students living in shelter, and it does the numberhighest number of students in shelter who are attending the local district schools. So, you are absolutely right that District 9 is a very high concentration of students in shelter who need support. The social workers that are hired as part of Bridging the Gap they are at schools. So, they are in the school where students are attending. Family Assistant workers they are in shelters, but they may go from one shelter to another either on a daily basis within the day or over the course of a week depending on their caseload and the needs of the families that they're serving.

2.2

LOIS HERRERA: And the after school reading program, ARC--

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing] I understand that.

LOIS HERRERA: --is shelter based and this is DOE teachers who are going and providing literacy work in the shelters.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

JOSLYN CARTER: And Council Member

Gibson, as part of—as part of New York City Thrive,

DHS hired and continued to hire social workers who do

work in shelters. We have over 180 and we continue

to hire to meet the needs of the families to make

sure that they—all their needs that they have are

assessed while they're in shelter also.

been a part of a few efforts ,and I—I do recall one of my schools in District 9 one of my principals at this school we did a couple of days of awareness where we had our postcards and brochures of information just sharing about the services that we were giving out early morning, and then I also know and she did tell me so I can affirm that that is true that she did have a full-time social workers at her

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

school because she has a lot of students that are from the local shelters. So, you know, the reason why, you know, I-I focus on this is because district 9 is so high, and that's for a reason, right. All of these issues and societal problems we talk about there's an underlying and root causes of why our children are living in these conditions in the first place. And so we want to make sure that as we have these conversations and we're implementing all of these measures, they're actually showing success and they're working. Every case is different, and I've learned that in my own work in the office. I-I also wanted to ask specifically because I've had several cases come to my office with students that are facing high absenteeism. What is the time frame that the department identifies a student that is absent too long? Like how long do we wait before something is triggered to say that there's something wrong in the student's life and we have to do more? What's the time frame? [background comment]

LOIS HERRERA: Yeah, actually we just revised our regulation that has to do with the tenants. It's A-210 and at the same time, we revised the regulation that has to do with child abuse and

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

neglect prevention, which A-750. These were revised a few weeks ago approved by PEP [bell] and they address the tenant's policies but every school is required to make outreach on the very first day that a child is absent. That's NA-210 and we have strengthened the requirements and I think made more clear-clarified the obligations of the school in terms of informing parents when their students aren't in school, and trying to ascertain why the students are—are missing school, and in the case of our—our students in temporary housing, we have an extra obligation to remove barriers. That's part of McKinney-Vento. So, it all ties in. We don't, however, have a magical cutoff number that at which point that we say this absolutely is educational neglect. It has more to do with what we're hearing from the parent or not hearing from the parent that would trigger a call to the State Central Register if we think that education-educational neglect is transpiring.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, and Chair if you'll indulge me I just have one final question on interagency collaboration because many of our students face a multitude of challenges in their home

2.2

2.3

and their community in addition to DHS and HRA and DOE, and ACS. I also have students that come from violent homes where their Mayor's Office to Combat DV and the Family Justice Center is involved.

Immigration obviously is a big issue. So, whose responsibility is it to ensure that there an actual collaboration so we are having the same conversation and we're not running families through bureaucratic red tape where they have to satisfy DOE's requirement, then ACS, then DHS. I mean it's a multitude of things, and families get very frustrated. So, how are making it easier for them in terms of interagency collaboration on families that

JOSLYN CARTER: I think it's all of us.

I think within the past year we have really made
strides to collaborate with our partners in DOE and
ACS and so we do have memorandums of understanding so
that we're sharing data so that if a family is ACS
involved and we are aware from self-report and from
clearances, but also being able to talk about what
needs—what the family needs are, and so that we're
not saying that you have to be here when a family has

are in shelters that have a multitude of challenges?

Whose responsibility is that?

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 other appointments. So, that we're doing 3 streamlining together. I think it's important for us 4 to really recognize that it's time that by the time 5 the families come to us, you know so many things has failed and our work is to be able to bridge that gap, 6 7 to make sure that, you know, we're looking at 8 individual approaches at every family, and so we're doing that. Every person here is right. So, our partners at DOE, our partners through HRA, our 10 11 partners at ACS we're working together. We have 12 weekly meetings. You know, we're trying to mitigate 13 and we really look at what's happening and to rectify and course correct when necessary. There are times 14 15 when, you know, everything does go right that we work to make sure that we course correct of information 16 17 sharing happens, and I think when we work in 18 isolation, that's what cause families to be pulled in 19 different directions. So, we have really worked to 20 eliminate-eliminate that, and we have several

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, thank you. Thank you Chairs.

standing committees that work together.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Council Member Margaret Chin.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, Chairs. As the Chair mentioned, we had another hearing 3 4 recently about homeless students, and I was surprised to find out that some of my schools in District 2 and District 1 had a large number of homeless students. 6 7 So, I want to make sure that we can get updates on 8 the school, and to make sure that they are getting the extra support and for social worker or the Family Assistants to make sure that these students are taken 10 11 care of. I had a family shelter that was closed 12 So, I don't know if that had any effect on the 13 numbers of homeless students in our-in our schools. I mean most likely they want to be back in the 14 15 neighborhood with their families, and-and friends so they still travel back. So, if I can get updates 16 17 online in terms of those students, the homeless 18 students in my district. The other question I have also is also related to the interagency because a lot 19 20 of the homeless families that I-my office come in 21 contact with oftentimes are a family who got burned 2.2 out of their homes or were vacated. So, and they end 2.3 up in the shelter. A lot of them, you know, they don't want to be in the shelter because of the 24 25 language issues or they just don't know how to

2 navigate the system. They'd rather just double up 3 and-and wait for the-the landlord to fix the 4 apartment, and that's how something I wanted to ask 5 is like are there any coordination with HPD to really try to expedite some of these repair issues so that 6 7 the family move back home and often times it takes a 8 It takes more than year for a family to long time. be able to move back. So, in terms of you interagency's coordination have you worked with HPD? 10 11 JOSLYN CARTER: DHS worked with HPD on a 12 variety of topics. For us it's really about housing. 13 When the families arrive at-in our family shelters. 14 It is a different system than the emergency shelters 15 that HPD runs, and so we on our end they're working 16 with HPD in terms of trying to help us to find 17 affordable housing for families to exit. So, I can't 18 really speak to the HPD system, emergency system that 19 they have.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: So, you're-you're telling me that HPD has their own--

JOSLYN CARTER: [interposing] The families that are burnt out--

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: [interposing Yes

JOSLYN CARTER: -- are not managed by DHS.

25

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: But there-so there's no-no coordination at all?

JOSLYN CARTER: By the time-if they come to us, alright, so I'm not doing any work upfront, upstream with HPD. We're not. We're working on the families that come to the DHS store to look at can-is this the right place for them. Can we prevent them from coming into shelter? Can we mediate whatever there issue are? Can we provide supports to the family so they don't have to enter shelter? Can we provide rental assistance at the front door so they don't enter shelter? Those are the families that we're serving in DHS. If they're not able to be diverted and to enter the DHS system our work is to help to exit families into-into permanent housing. We also go upstream with families who through our home base, HRA Home Base Program with legal services to prevent the families from being evicted. do that work upfront and when they come to our doors we're trying to mediate with the Department Homeless Services. The HPD shelter system I can't speak to.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Does DOE take care

of these families or children?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So we certainly take care of the children. Again, we are not in. We don't have a data feed with HPD, but we do support the children whether they continue at their school of origin or whether they transfer to a school near wherever they may be staying. We absolutely support the children.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: So, once they register to the school or they go back to the school I guess if the school finds out that the student is now living in a shelter--

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: [interposing]
Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: --they report it directly to DOE.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Now-

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But Councilman Chin, too, this is a question that I had raised earlier in the—in the hearing that is of major concern to me is that I see a lack of coordination with the HPD and the DYCD shelters, and that's something that I would like to look at a little bit further with Department of Education as well. So, thank you for reiterating

2.2

2.3

circumstances and-and incidents that you've seen in
your district as well.

times a lot of the family, I think, you know, because they understand that living in shelter is going to be so difficult if they can find a place, you know with friends or family they would do that, and often times they don't get the support. So, I think that we need to—HRA to really look at how do we help this family? Even though they're doubling up, at least they have a roof over their head, and it is a way to provide some assistance in terms of rental assistance that they could legally utilize to be able to stay. I think that will make a big difference with these students and families who are doubling up and tripling up.

JOSLYN CARTER: And I'll tell you about our Home Base programs through HRA. So, Home Base provides services to families who are doubled up, and so if a family does call 311, and they can really assess the family's need, they can also get rental assistance without having to come into shelter for some of those families. So, that's also an opportunity for families who are doubled up.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: We—we're going to 3 follow up on that.

JOSLYN CARTER: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Chair, can I just ask one last question. It comes to coordination. It's-what I find so difficult is that, you know, when constituents that end up in the shelter system whether they're families or their seniors and they're lucky enough to get a voucher, a Link voucher or whatever voucher they got, can't find housing. It comes back to my office everyday. You know, especially seniors. Like I got a voucher, and they're very happy that they got a voucher and the voucher is like \$1,280. Can't find an apartment. Can't find anything for them. So, I think that if HRA with the interagency, there's got to be a way of helping people find apartments. Maybe working together with real estate companies, and realtors. I kind of make a concerted effort because yeah, a family gets the voucher but then six months later, they still can't find a place to live.

JOSLYN CARTER: And I'll tell you guys yes we do have housing specialists and we do work with brokers. What we do have now as part of HRA is

2.2

2.3

a source of Income Discrimination Unit, right because we do know that there are landlords who do not want to rent to those who have any subsidies, and so we do have that. If people are finding that landlords are saying no subsidy. They don't want to rent to them, the Source of Income Unit, Source of Income Unit can help, right, because just having a lawyer call some of those landlords do make a difference, and families and individuals are able to exit shelter. And so, we can talk offline about that, too.

great. I didn't know that. That helps, but I think that we need to have a concerted effort to really work with some good people, good hearty people who might be in real estate to really help identify, you know, homes. Maybe some of them could be sharing an apartment. I mean young people do that. They pay high rent and they share. They have a roommate, family mates. I mean I don't know. I mean there's got to be a concerted effort to really help people. Now that we have resource to help them pay the rent, we've got to help them find a place to live.

JOSLYN CARTER: Agreed.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Yes.

2.2

2.3

JOSLYN CARTER: Talk with you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Than you very much,

Council Member Chin. So, just a few more questions.

The first question. So, we passed a bill earlier

this year that required ACS to empanel a foster care

task force, and it's a discreet task force. In other

words, it has a certain number of meetings, and at

the end of it, it's tasked with producing a report.

I have attended. I sponsored the bill that created

and I've attended it, in it's—in it's—it's meeting

(sic) thus far. It's been very successful. There's

been representatives from the Department of

Education, Ursula Ramirez has been there. DHS

obviously, Commissioner Banks, and I believe you've

there.

JOSLYN CARTER: We're going to go as well as my new role.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes

JOSLYN CARTER: Yes, I've been there.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And—and it's been really good. It's been really good. Collaborative and one of the things I've been most impressed by is that ACS has kind of let the issues determine the

students in temporary housing?

2.2

2.3

agenda, and we've broken out into-into subgroups and it's been-and each group is producing recommendations. Would-would you guys be open to doing a similar type task force on the issue of

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: We are always open to dialogue on how we can better serve students.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, but a structure like that where it's, you know, a discrete number of meetings, it's somewhat intensive. It—they hired a facilitator to—to kind of manage the whole thing and, you know, it's producing recommendations that—that might—might end up being a challenge to implement, but are, you know, that's part of the conversation is—is how, you know, the implement ability of it, but there are issues that are brought up that weren't even on the radar before so—

JOSLYN CARTER: So, absolutely, we'll take under consideration, and we'll—

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I'd like to work with you guys on that. I don't want to have to do a bill about it.

JOSLYN CARTER: I hear you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. [laughter]

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Just a couple more questions, with—with regard to DOE staff at PATH, one thing that we've seen is that not every family coming in has—actually sees that staff, and I know that there's only the two staff members now, and there staff members, but the third one hasn't actually started there. Anyway, it—what percentage of—of families are actually seeing that DOE staff as they come in with—with school age children? Do we know the—do we know the data on that?

JOSLYN CARTER: I actually do not know the data on that, but what we did do at PATH, you rearrange where the DOE staff sits. Prior to last year, when I got there, I got there a couple of years ago, they were on the fifth floor. I mean, you know, you've been to PATH, you know the process, right? So, families come down, and so now we have the DOE staff on the lower level. Their families are waiting. So, the opportunity for every family that comes through the door, who has come through their interviews and have met with all of the—the stakeholders there, the DOE then sees it at the back end when they're waiting. So, it's not where, you know, they're—they—they're going through having to

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

give it through your housing history and really feeling emergency. So, we moved that, and I think that strategically helps and helps us to be able to ensure that families have much more opportunity to meet with DOE. And so, because we have the families waiting there and they're not sitting downstairs, it's a bigger opportunity for that to happen. So, we did make that change.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. In-in vour testimony, you said about regarding the bill to create an educational continuity unit at PATH, that PATH might not be the right location for that. Thethe-one of the reasons why we put that in the legislation was that that's what we were hearing from-from-from clients through advocacy organizations that have done focus groups. Have you, you know, talked to families about where they feel like such a unit might make the most sense? You know, my-my concern obviously is that by the time they get through a conditional placement, and into, you know, a long-term, a longer term placement from the DHS system, it's-they're already kind of behind the curve, and so that's the reason why doing it at PATH is so that you're able to kind of try to get into a

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 kind of preventative mindset, as opposed to a, you 3 know, a corrective mindset.

JOSLYN CARTER: I think for me, and thinking about families, they're in emergency situation to be there at PATH, and my staff are asking lots of questions and the process is long. It's exhausting. We do hand out education materials, transportation information to families, but their focus is really getting through this intake process on this day to get to placement. Children are tired, parents are tired. It's an overwhelming feeling. know that personally, and so the first day that I walked into PATH and I've been DHS for a long time, and in 2014 I had to cover PATH because I was assigned there, and walked in there the first day, and for me seeing the families coming in with all of their belongings and their children it was, you know, a slap, you know, it really hit me that these are really humans. And, you know, I spent a lot of time on the first floor in the lower level just-just being around families, and just listening to them. it's an overwhelming time for them to start really thinking about that, and really putting effort into that. I think it's hard. I-I-I understand right, we

do want to make sure that there's continuity, and we want to make sure that families don't get left—the children don't get left behind. But I, you know, being there and working in that building—

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-hm.

JOSLYN CARTER: --especially when it's a long day. It is a long day. It's hard and I-I don't know if we're going to be able to have parents concentrate. We do give some opportunities for children to be in a wait space and away from parents and just be able to have parents when they're doing their family work interview or whether they're meeting with a domestic violence social workers, but their minds are so much on trying to get through, and really recall where did I live for two years, right. So, there's-there's lots of information that we're asking--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-hm.

JOSLYN CARTER: --on that first day. I think it will be an overwhelming decision, you know, for families to have to do that. If I was sitting at PATH on that day, I'm not sure I'd listen to you. Right, my 10-year-old being hot—I mean, you know, because I'm going to tell the truth, we have to go

7

- with my son. I'm like okay I've had enough, right,

  but they don't have that opportunity unless we give

  them a three-hour break, but it's hard for families
- 5 to concentrate on that.
  - CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, that's what I wanted to ask you.
- 8 JOSLYN CARTER: So that-
- 9 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.
- 10 JOSLYN CARTER: --at shelter level,
- 11 | right, and I'm not saying it's at the conditional at
- 12 all, either. I think that we have made an effort to
- 13 really push shelters to work with families as they
- 14 | enter the door, not wait for determinations. So--
- 15 | CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] The
- 16 | conditional, you know, you're in a hotel somewhere
- 17 | out by the airport. It's not a--
- 18 JOSLYN CARTER: It's, and you know,
- 19 council member, I want to talk about right a future,
- 20 | right, but a shelter wherever it is, right. It—it is
- 21  $\parallel$  still less stressful because they have some place I'm
- 22 going to put my head tonight. At the beginning--
- 23 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] But
- 24 | these are actually, they're

5

6

7

8

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 JOSLYN CARTER: --they don't know where 3 they're going to be.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, but logistically how does that work? I mean the thing about PATH is it's a single location. So, if you were-I mean if you were in a conditional placement in a hotel out by JFK, whose-who has, you know, can't have obviously three--

JOSLYN CARTER: [interposing] I have--11 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --three DOE staff

12 people--

> JOSLYN CARTER: [interposing] Absolutely not, but with DHS providers--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --you know going around to every hotel room in-in-in Eastern Queens.

JOSLYN CARTER: They provide a staff, and so we would lean onto then in this way.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah, but that's-I-I appreciate that, but--

JOSLYN CARTER: [interposing] It's really, it's making sure that we focus on giving families information when they're at their location.

I mean that's--24

2.2

2.3

will say this. I mean I think you know this, right.

So, we rely on our provider staff because the—you know, just everyone so everyone is clear, I mean the—the family DHS system is a 90% not—for—profit run.

So, this is not DHS staff that's doing most of the provision of services.

 $\label{eq:carter:one} \mbox{JOSLYN CARTER: It is not. We have only} \\ \mbox{two family shelters.}$ 

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes.

JOSLYN CARTER: Hopefully be true. My work is to develop providers. My work is to standardize expectations and training. My work is to move us away from the one-size-fits-all. So, my work is to make sure that the information that we're sharing with these families is information that they need, and that we're doing individualized assessments and not just really staying on, you know, doing that. So, I think we're going to driver to make that product work at one of the--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] I—I hear you on that, but I—I was going to get to like but there's, you know, when you're in a—when you're in a conditional—so when—and the conditional

eligible you're moved to a permanent shelter.

25

2.2

2.3

- stopped that system. It's wherever you're placed, if you're found eligible to remain in, right. If you're in a hotel and the capacity needs—capacity comes up, and I can transfer it to a Tier 2, we will, but you're not being moved after ten days. You're not being moved after ten days. That was stopped several years back.
- CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I mean again-
  JOSLYN CARTER: [interposing] So, it-it
  maybe is. Yeah.
- CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --I was working with
  a constituent a year ago who was moved out of a hotel
  after 30 days into a DHS run family shelter so-
  JOSLYN CARTER: Because the capacity
  allowed it.
- CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, but she was moved. You're saying that that's an anomaly that most of the time people are not moved?
- JOSLYN CARTER: I think what I'm saying to you is capacity drives where families are placed, and so if you're placed in a--
- CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But a conditional placement is—so, how many—what percentage of families

2.2

2.3

2 makes it—what percentage of families are moved after
3 their conditional placements?

JOSLYN CARTER: We don't have a data set,
I don't have a data set on that. I will have to get
back to you on that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Okay, I understand that--

JOSLYN CARTER: [interposing] Because we're not-we're not transferring at the conditional placements.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Except the time when I was working with a constituent a year ago where it did happen.

JOSLYN CARTER: I will look into that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, and then it goes to then—Okay, so then you think that the—the right—the right intervention point because—so the bill calls for establishment of an Educational Continuity Unit at PATH that would be staffed by the Department of Education staff or DHS staff. You're saying that—that it's preferable to have educational continuity interventions at—during the conditional placement?

JOSLYN CARTER: I'm saying that at PATH we already have DOE staff and DHS staff on site.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] But what percentage of—of—of people walking in the door, families walking in the door are meeting with that DOE staff?

JOSLYN CARTER: I don't know the data point but I know because we moved the family—the Family Assistants—Family Assistants down to a lower level, that I would—I would want to tell you that most of the families are saying that, but DOE may have much more data on that.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: And I'd also add that when Family Assistants do intake at the individual shelters, that is another opportunity for them to talk to families and work with families and discuss their educational options and that Family—

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: --Assistant is always available to discuss those options because family choices may change after they have been living in shelter for some period of time.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] But

3 that Family Assistant is not-I mean how many Family

4 Assistants are there in—in the system?

5 DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: There are 117

6 Family Assistants.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] 117

8 | for 23,000 children.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: They—they're not all coming in. Those 23,000 children are not all coming in at the same time, and so these Family

Assistants meet with new families in shelter, and have an opportunity then to reinforce the educational options that a family has, and that any other time that they are interacting with that family they may also have those conversations.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, but what you are describing is the status quo, and the status quo is unacceptable.

JOSLYN CARTER: Let me just tell you one last thing. At PATH right now families that enter the door, get—do get an education guide that when they're settled, right, so that first day they probably are not reading it. When they get to their placement, they get the luxury to read and really

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

then have questions. Because when I'm coming into PATH, I don't know where I'm going to be placed, right and so that's the worry. I think that being able to get opportunity to kind of say alright this is where I am, and then think through you—you know the next steps are with Nick, you know, for me to think through it. That's the route that I would want to urge you to think about.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And then lastly, I-I-I'd like you to talk a little bit about how you as ahow we as system are looking at-in an evidence based way addressing the long-term trauma that children endure by being in temporary housing for extended periods of time because we know that the impacts carry far beyond even the time that they're eventually hopefully stably housed that-that trauma, that impact affects their academic ability. It affects the greater absenteeism. You know, these are—these are—these—those traumas stay with those children. So, what are we looking as a system in terms of trauma informed care, evidence based models? Where else-where else are we looking? Are we looking at other systems throughout the country that have adopted programs? What's on the cutting edge right

2.2

2.3

now? What are you learning by going to conferences and, you know, San Diego or, you know, Phoenix or wherever around the country that you go to go to these conferences? Where—what are learning? What are you learning about—about trauma informed care for children in [laughter] temporary housing?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: [off mic]I go to conference? [on mic] I'm going to really ask that Kathy come back. Kathy is a Social Worker by background and she has attended these conferences, and knows an awful lot about [laughter] trauma—trauma informed care.

KATHY POLITE: You can't go there. [laughter]

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Yeah, I would like.

KATHY POLITE: Sorry, but I will take that. Yeah, so we partner—well, within the Department of Education community schools and New York State Teach and the Office of Safety, Youth and Development. We work with a Dr. Ham, who we actually became aware of for New York State Teach. Who's actually excellent and known throughout the country in his trauma informed practice, and his work in

providing professional development. So, what we did
last year with our Bridging the Gap social workers in
addition to our social work interns, and partnering
with community schools is we trained our 32 at that
time, 32 social workers in the Bridging the Gap
schools to a more intense training in trauma informed
care who then turnkey, and they've begun to turnkey
the information to school personnel. So, we're
building capacity in that way. In addition, the
school based liaisons were trained by New York State
Teach last year. So, school year 2016-17 and '15 and
'16 in trauma informed care through Dr. Ham as well.
[background comment] Yes, and teach-teachers have
on-thank you-have an ongoing webinar which is-which
is online that my educators can go to.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And this type of professional development is made available to every teacher, every school personnel in the entire system?

KATHY POLITE: Well, through the webinar yes it is. Uh-hm, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And do you know how it's being utilized, their feedback from UFT or other-other institutional partners on-or-or feedback

2.2

2.3

from individual school personnel to—to hear what principals for example, many different principals.

KATHY POLITE: Okay, so, do you want to-

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Well, I want to say that the Teachers' webinars serve the purpose for social workers who are getting continuing ed units that you use, and so they're very popular among social workers because there's that additional benefit that it goes toward their certification and their ongoing certification needs. So, the feedback from the actual participants who are social workers is very positive.

I'm going to add two things. Right, so in addition to the Thrive social workers who certainly use trauma informed with other laws of practice because we don't want to move away from one size-fits-all. We have a clinical services unit at DHS, and so we are looking to build on structure and standards around what models of practice we're using. That's the unit that we're developing, but the third thing I want to point to is really going up stream to parents, right. So we ant to make sure that we're supporting parents to really look at disrupting the intergenerational

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

aspects of poverty, right. So, we want to look at providing educational services to parents. So, that, they, too can get jobs and they can understand, you know, kind of how do you move out of poverty and to be-to help the families develop and grow. So, we're looking at our CSU unit at--based at DHS that provides services through the-through the Thrive and so they're working together for-to help families as well as the children. But also looking at how do we make referrals for families, for adults so that they can get their educational needs met, and so we're doing that work also. I think for us it's a, you know, it's really looking at the big picture. It's not just trauma informed but, you know, I'm also a social worker, and have several years of kind of training and looking at what do we need to do to break and disrupt this kind of poverty and the underlines of poverty.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: I'd like to also talk about a couple of other programs because we are looking for ways and identifying ways to partner our students who have experienced homelessness with students who have been formerly homeless who can then help them see the path to a better future for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, I know we have some mentorship themselves. programs for our 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders who are temporarily homeless, and actually this year we had a first ever its kind event. We identified a group of students in shelter who are going away to college, and so the needs that they have in how do you think about, you know, moving away from their families and going to a college dormitory, and their specific needs. We had a college, we called it the College Pop-Up Shop where DOE employees voluntarily contributed and bought items that would be useful for these students in their new lives in dorm rooms. had a celebration for them. We had formerly homeless students coming who are now in college come back to speak to them because we do recognize that ultimately the goal is how do we help them see that better future and-and be successful an continue on that path for themselves.

JOSLYN CARTER: And I'm going to add one last thing I promise. It's—for the past three years, we've had a graduation event for our students who are seniors who are graduating who've been accepted to college as educational enforcements here, and so they get a laptop, and we really help to—throughout the

year really help them to really guide their process 2 3 so that they can graduate and actually get to

year.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'd also like to give a shout out to Council Member Van Bramer from the City Council and working with-

college, and this-this was our third year this past

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: The Girl Scout Troops.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Girl Scout Troops.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: A very positive program, and hopefully that will be able to continue to thrive. The last question for me. At our last hearing, we had testimony from a principal from PS 156, which—is that in Council Member Barron's district?

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [off mic] Yes, that is.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Alright, Beverly Logan was the principal. She testified a very moving testimony and she's a principal in Brooklyn, and she talked about the steps that she takes as a principal to providing care for her student in—in temporary

2.2

2.3

housing, and it was—not only was it very moving, it
was very illuminating, and it spoke to a lot of the
practical day—to—day impacts that her students are
feeling, and what teachers and—and what
administration that school are—are doing to—to—to try
to undress that. So, have you—have you continued—
have you talked to her since that time when she was—
it was a really, really remarkable testimony. That
was over a year and a half ago.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: So the initiatives that we've been discussing, and that we funded now for the past two years, came out of a series of interviews that we did with principals following that hearing. So, following that hearing in February of 2016, myself, Lois, a woman Emmy Liss, who is now Chief of Staff to Deputy Chancellor Wallack. Three of us actually went out and visited and interviewed a number of principals who have high numbers of students in temporary housing and students in shelter, and so from those conversations there was a generation of a number of different ideas around initiatives that we could pursue, and we cam to agreement and funding for the ones that we, in fact,

2.2

2.3

implemented. So, it comes very much out of conversations with principals.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great. Council
Member Barron, do you have any questions? Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, just to ask

I guess a follow-up question. At the time just prior
to that hearing, the principal did share with me that
based on the high numbers of students that she had
who were living in temporary housing, she partnered
with a group in the—in the community, and they have
laundry service where they provided washing machines—

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Uh-hm.

Students to be able to have their clothes washed, and the battle that she had with DOE to pay for the electrical costs of the hookup or whatever. So, I hope that that's been resolved. I haven't spoken to her, but it was a real battle, and I think it was very telling that she had to have that kind of struggle without the DOE saying wait a minute, this is something urgent and necessary. Let's find a way to make it happen. So, I don't know if it's been institutionalized or if she's been—been a pilot for that, but those are the kinds of things that, you

2.2

2.3

know, when I talk about principals and the challenges
that they face when they have any number of children
who are living in temporary housing. But those are
the kinds of things that they know about an encounter
that, you know, don't get major attention.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Earlier this morning—earlier this morning—

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]
So, do you know whether or not they got the electricity on that?

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: I—I don't know but we will follow up. Yes, they did. Okay, thank you, Kathy.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you.

in today's questions, one of the things we talked about is we have really focused the initiatives over the past couple of years around how do we support principals in schools that have high populations of students in shelter. You know, the—prior to this the focus was on how do we support the students from the shelter perspective and that's what the Family Assistant workers do, and that's what all of the content experts do. But we've really tried to bring

2.2

2.3

these initiatives to support the principals and the schools that have the higher concentrations, and that has been a very new perspective and focus that really came about following the last hearing.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And it's sad because I—I know a principal that who, in fact, retired many years ago. It was about ten years ago. She retired because of the pressure that she felt from the District Superintendent to get these scores up even though I think—I don't know what percentage of her students were in temporary shelters, but she wasn't getting any kind of additional support, and she said, I can't get my scores up if I can't get my children in school, and get them in their uniforms. We're a uniform school. So, it—it really has had a really hard consequence on a lot of families, the children the school, and with our society at large.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON Thank you.

DEPUTY CHANCELLOR ROSE: Thank you.

much. I think that's going to end this portion of the hearing, and we're going to now call up our next group of witnesses. [background noise, pause]

1 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, first I'd like to 2 3 call up Liza Pappas from the New York City Independent Budget Office, who's the author of the 4 report. Is Liza still here? [background comment, 5 6 pause]. 7 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, I usually swear in all my witnesses. I'm going to ask you to raise 8 your right hand. Do you wear or affirm to tell the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and 10 11 to answer Council Member questions honestly? 12 LIZA PAPPAS: Yes, I do. 13 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And your name, please 14 for the record? 15 LIZA PAPPAS: My name is Liza Pappas from 16 the Independent Budget Office. 17 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And Ms. Pappas, would 18 you like to start? 19 LIZA PAPPAS: Yes. 20 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. LIZA PAPPAS: Thank you. 21 So, good afternoon, Council Members Dromm and Levin and 2.2 2.3 [laughs] all the members who are here in spirit.

[laughs] My name is Liza Pappas. I'm an Education

Policy Analyst at the New York City Independent

24

25

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Budget Office where I've conducted the agency's research-research on students in temporary housing with a particular focus on students in the shelter Thank you for the opportunity to testify system. In our report Not Reaching the Door, we today. looked at in-depth at the multi-layered challenges temporarily housed students encountered in getting to In years 2012-13 and 2013-14, the number of students has grown since we issued our report. According to the Department of Education's statistic, last school year 105,133 students spent at least some part of the year in temporary housing, a 5% increase over the prior year. For students and the families living in the shelter system, just getting to school proved daunting. They faced long commutes and other transportation difficulties, competing demands on their time from other city agencies, along with the transitory nature and stress of life in a shelter. As a result, students who were identified as spending at least part of the school year in a-in a shelter had average daily school attendance rates well below those students in permanent housing or those doubled up in homes of family, friends or other persons. While the average attendance rates for students

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 1 living in shelters increased a bit in 2015-16, the 2 3 most recent school year for which we have data their attendance rate remains well below that of students 4 in permanent housing or doubled up housing. In 2015-16, the overall attendance rate for students in 6 7 shelters was just over 82% compared with over 90% for 8 their peers. Students in the shelter system had lower attendance rates at every grade level, and I have attached a table showing average attendance 10 11 rates by grade, and housing type for school years, 2013-14 through 2015-16. Students residing in the 12 13 shelter system also had substantially higher rates of chronic absenteeism, which the Department of 14 15 Education defines as students who are absent 10% or 16 more of the school year, the equivalent of missing 18 17 or more days. While the rate of chronic absenteeism 18 among students in shelters edged down in 2015-16, students were chronically absent from schools, those 19 20 students in shelters more than twice the rate for-21 than their permanently housed and doubled up peers, and the rates of chronic absenteeism were highest in 2.2 2.3 the early grades and also in the high school grades.

I've also attached a table showing those rates by

grade and housing type for the seniors.

24

25

1 report released this past April observed that 2 3 students in shelters tend to be concentrated in a 4 relatively small number of schools across the city. In 2011-12 there were 61 schools that served population where more 10% of students were in 6 7 shelters. In school year '15-'16 there 155 schools 8 roughly 11% of 1,475 traditional public schools open that year. In our research, school staff overwhelmingly stressed the budget resources have 10 11 been far short of what is necessary to provide 12 comprehensive and coordinated counseling, attendance 13 out reach and family engagement services. then, the city has begun to provide some resources 14 15 specifically targeted to students residing the city's 16 shelter system. In January 2016, the city announced 17 that all students in shelters enrolled in grades K to 18 6 would be guaranteed busing to any school they 19 attend if the distance from the shelter to the school 20 is more than a half mile and if parents so desire. The estimated annual cost at that time was \$24 21 2.2 million. For the second year in a row, the city 2.3 allocated \$10.3 million in educational support. year funds that had supported ten attendance teachers 24

were shifted to expand the Bridging the Gap program,

25

a social worker program to a total of 43 schools. In addition to school based social workers, the Fiscal Year 2018 funds support after school programs, special admission application processes and technology, blackberries, to better connect Department of Education family assistance with schools, shelters and families. So, I thank you again for the opportunity to testify. I'd be glad to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: In my observation in terms of your testimony and I think you were here for the last two hearings as well, if I'm not mistaken, is that it seems that the numbers have more than doubled where there are 10% or more students in schools that are homeless, and the funding, although we are grateful to have gotten it in I guess it would be Fiscal—Fiscal 17 and Fiscal now 18, as well, it's not baselined. And last year in our budget negotiation, it was actually taken out, and then we had to fight to get the \$10.3 million put back in.

So, I have deep concerns about the continuation of that funding to meet what to me appears to be a growing need for our students in the public school system. So, these numbers are very helpful to us in

2.2

2.3

terms of when we move forward, in terms of our budget negotiations with the administration, and I don't even think that \$10.3 million is enough, nor do I think it's hitting every school where we see pockets of homelessness increasing, and I have some questions about schools in my own district, but basically I'm hearing those stories in other places as well today. So, I do want to thank you for coming in. I don't know, Chair, do you have other questions. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Chair Dromm.

LIZA PAPPAS: [interposing] Thank you.

much for your testimony and—and for this—this
exhaustive report. So, I just want question
including about the methodology. So, you did
something, you say in the intro that's somewhat
unconventional for an IBO report, which is to go out
and talk to—talk to families, and—and school
personnel as well. Can you talk a little bit about
that decision and kind of how that—that—that factored
into the way the report was constructed?

LIZA PAPPAS: Sure. I'm primarily trained as a qualitative researcher--

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-hm.

this issue and with many issues that we see in the school system that adding mixed message research would really enhance our understanding of the kinds of challenges students were facing. In this particular case, students in the shelter system. So, while we knew that students—we could see that students were absent more or chronically absent more. We didn't know why. So the numbers are always helpful, but they don't always get to the whys and the hows, and so we added a qualitative dimension to—to help us with that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The—after spending I mean how long did you spend putting—looking at this report?

LIZA PAPPAS: In the report in total?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah.

LIZA PAPPAS: Three years.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Three years, right.

So, three years working on this report. Do you see this as an intractable problem or do you see this as a problem where there are solutions that can move the needle? [pause]

2.2

2.3

LIZA PAPPAS: I don't know that I can assess whether it's intractable or there are solutions, but I-I know there are a lot of people working on it, a lot of people care about it, and it-you know, it behooves us all to work together on it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you think it—do you think it would be helpful to have, you know, a kind—a formalized structure within—between your agencies when you talk about interagency coordination? Do you think having that type of structure so that we can kind of all identify the issues, get on the same page and work towards solutions might be, you know, a good idea?

LIZA PAPPAS: Yeah, the—I mean look we—we heard across the board, you know, this is—New York City is a very large diffused system, you know, with at a lot of different people and different pockets working on this issue from different angles, but not necessarily in communication with each other—

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Uh-hm.

LIZA PAPPAS: --so certainly, you know,
more collaboration and communication-ongoing
communication so that the agencies that are
essentially serving the same families with children

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 can better serve them. It seems to be something we 3 heard a lot about.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah. Council Member Barron, do you have any questions or Council Members? So I just-I want to thank you. I mean this is-I-I feel like your report is a blueprint for how we should be moving forward and, you know, the three of us here in four years we're-we're out. We're no longer Council Members, and the Mayor in four years he's going to move on to something else. And so, it's our-you know we have a very short time limit to try to make an impact, and so I would, you know, I would like to, you know, continue working with you. Obviously, you've done the you've done the writtenthe leg work here to make this a successful blueprint. So I'd like to try to move forward from here, but we haven't have a kind of a sense of urgency that we want to do this on. So, I'd like to work with you.

LIZA PAPPAS: Thank you. We're always happy to work with you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

LIZA PAPPAS: Thank you very much.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thanks. Okay, and 3 then we just have one panel. We've got Beth 4 Hofmeister from the Legal Aid Society; with Coalition for the Homeless, Giselle Routhier; Grant Cowells from Citizens Committee for Children and Randy 6 7 Levine, Advocates for Children of New York. [pause, 8 background comments] Hi, everyone. Whoever wants to begin.

GISELLE ROUTHIER: Alright, I can start. My Giselle Routhier and I'm a Policy Director for Coalition for the Homeless. We submitted joint testimony with Legal Aid as usual and it's a little bit more lengthy so I'm just going to summarize here. We know the number of children that have spent at least one night in DHS shelter actually has leveled off over the past three years, but the number of school age children have increased over 2015. So, likewise as we've seen with the DOE data, the number of homeless students in New York City as measured by the State Education Department has reached its highest level yet driven by both an increase in the shelter system and a significant increase in doubled up students. I want to focus my testimony specifically on one of the more difficult times for

1 homeless children and families in shelter, and as the 2 3 application process at PATH. Disturbingly, the 4 eligibility rate families applying for shelter has reached a new low under Mayor de Blasio this past July, just 38% of families with children who applied 6 7 for shelter were found eligible, and that's down from 8 61% in November 2014. Additionally, 43% of those families had to submit more than one application before ultimately being found eligible. The 10 11 application process is often the most traumatic and daunting period for families as Administrator Carter 12 13 actually talked about in her testimony. It's 14 generally filled with stress and uncertainty and it's 15 not uncommon for children to miss a significant 16 amount of school during the application process. So, 17 I want to acknowledge that Administrator Carter 18 acknowledged the difficulty and the stress at PATH, 19 but we want to also talk about the fact that it's 20 possible to change the bureaucratic processes at 21 PATH, and to make it less of a stressful process. 2.2 So, we've continually recommended that the city 2.3 implement a must less onerous shelter intake process in which applicants assisted—assisted in obtaining 24

necessary documents and recommended housing

25

1 alternatives are actually verified as available and 2 3 pose no risk to the health and safety to applicants. 4 If we actually made things much more-much less stressful at PATH and maybe-there may be better ways 5 to actually implement solutions that would support 6 7 school age students that are coming in as well. 8 We've also recommended that the shelter intake process be revised so that homeless children are completely excused from appearing at PATH so they do 10 11 not have to miss school in order to be present when 12 the family applies for shelter. We have several 13 other recommendations detailed in our testimony about 14 making sure families are placed near children's 15 school, additional DOE staff at PATH and expanding after school programs, but I want to highlight again-16 17 highlight something that's extremely important here, and that's the best solution to helping homeless 18 19 students in temporary housing, maintain engagement in 20 their school is to actually move them into permanent 21 housing as quickly as possible. And so we, therefore urge the city to immediately increase the number of 2.2 2.3 permanent affordable housing for homeless families including doubling the number of NYCHA apartments and 24

allocating a much significant-much greater number of

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

housing New York plan units to homeless families, and this will ultimately reduce the need to fund all of these supports for students in shelter. It will reduce the need to open new shelters, and improve wellbeing overall. Thank you.

BETH HOFMEISTER: Hi. I'm Beth Hofmeister from the Legal Aid Society's Homeless Rights Project. So, Giselle obviously just did a brilliant job of summarizing all the different ways that the city can help, and I just want to highlight on behalf of my colleague Katherine Cliff who wasn't able to testify today because she's actually at PATH doing outreach and helping families with their nowith their legal rights while they're applying. get calls on our hotline every single day, and talk to families every time we're at PATH who are, you know, hours away from the schools, and the children are also going to different schools, and the children are also going to different schools, and I think, you know, Council Member Levin, you really touched on this idea of the-kind of the day-to-day practicality of what some of these decisions that are being made on a high level and how they're actually impacting their families on a day-to-day basis, and how

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

problematic that is, and in touching on something you were-you were kind of talking back and forth with that with the administrator and while the 10-day placement is a good example because each application you might have 10-day conditional placement, and then you might have to go back and have a whole new reapplication. So, while I understand what the administrator was kind of trying to describe is that this 10-day placement, but the reality is, as we all know, we see families that have to reapply 12 times before they're actually found eligible for shelter, and if in those 12 times of 10-day placements you don't have bussing for your kids, that's a big deal. I mean that's a very-if you want-need to work, it you have to go to any other appointment, just-it would be a big deal for me just existing to have to spend my time taking my kids 2-1/2 hours, you know, every single day to and from school. So, I think that in terms of what you're trying to do with the hearings, and with the bills to get no the right path of really pushing, you know, the different agencies to work together to support these families, it's those practical day-to-day considerations the things like the washing machines in school, you know the drop-in

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

centers that have access to those things also. All of that is very, very important, and the testimony goes into more detail kind of about generally how that can be done, but we just also want to say that we're really seeing the impact of how these policies affects families on a day-to-day basis with very basic things is a-is a big deal in terms of how they're functioning or not functioning, ore not functioning as well as they could be.

RANDI LEVINE: Thank you for your leadership in holding today's hearing, and for the opportunity to speak with you about support for students in temporary housing. My name is Randi Levine, and I'm Policy Director of Advocates for Children of New York. For more than 45 years, Advocates for Children has worked to ensure a high quality education for New York students who face barriers to academic success focusing on students from low-income backgrounds. We're proud to house the New York State Technical and Education Assistance Center for Homeless Students, TEACHS, which works on several thousand cases each year regarding the educational needs of students in temporary housing in New York. Yesterday, we released new state data

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

showing that during the 2016-2017 school year, 104,088 students New York City district schools were identified as homeless, a 5% increase from the previous year. In other words, one out of every ten students in New York City schools was homeless. You just heard from the IBO, a lot of their research about the poor educational outcomes for students living in shelter. We have data and statistics and our written testimony as well on that topic. Over the past two years the city has take some positive steps to help students living in shelters. We have additional information on that in our written testimony as well, but as you heard today, and just to highlight yellow bus service for students living in shelters has made a big difference. The efforts to increase Pre-K enrollment among children living in shelter. The \$10.3 million to support students living in shelter including funding for 43 Bridging the Gap social workers in schools, the After School Literacy Programs in shelters and enrollment of such shelters and the community schools' pilot focused on students in temporary housing that you heard about today. We're very pleased that Chancellor Farina identified addressing the needs of the students who

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

are homeless as one of her priorities for this school year. As such, the city should ensure that there's high level leadership on this issue and an infusion of resources to address barriers to school success for students who are homeless. Importantly, the city needs to work across agencies and across divisions of the DOE to develop coordinated and coherent plans to assist students who are homeless in a number of ways including combatting chronic absenteeism, connecting students with academic intervention services and mental health services. Ensuring that students with disabilities are evaluated and receive the services to which they are entitled, and strengthening access to a variety of DOE programs and post-secondary options. We will be making additional recommendations to the DOE in the coming weeks to this end. In the meantime, here are some important steps that the city should take. First, the city should strengthen and expand the Bridging the Gap Program, placing social workers at schools with high populations of students living in shelters. The city took an important step by funding 43 social workers to work with students living in shelters at elementary schools with high populations of these

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

students. However, the city has not baselined the funding for these social workers putting the future of the program in jeopardy. Furthermore, more than 150 schools serve a population in which 10% or more of the students are students living in shelter, and most of those schools do not yet have a Bridging the Gap social worker. In addition to placing social workers in schools with high concentrations of students living in shelters, it's important to have trained qualified professionals on the ground at the city shelters who can address the educational needs of students. Many children will attend schools that don't have a Bridging the Gap social worker, and social workers based at shelters can work more closely with parents. As you heard, there are around 117 DOE family assistants who work in shelters who are primarily responsible for conducting intakes with families giving basic information and Metro Cards, but the Family Assistants are not required to have a college degree or any formal training in social worker education. They're not a substitute for trained social workers who could provide the social emotional support and advocacy that this population needs. Given the challenges faced by students living

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in shelters, the DOE should hire shelter based social workers to provide intensive case management focused on children's information, and we have some more information in our written testimony about that. The city should also ensure that families receive information about their educational options when they apply for shelter at PATH. When families enter shelter, they need information about their options to keep their child in their original school or transfer their children to a new school and transportation. Furthermore, state law requires local social service districts to assist parents in choosing a school within two business days of shelter entry. We have more information here about the importance of that, but to this end, we thank Council Member Levin for his leadership in sponsoring Intro 1714, and we have some recommendations to make that bill even stronger because what we want to see is education become an integral part of PATH and to ensure that a conversation with every family about education happens there. We heard the testimony today. don't think it's an either/or, should we discuss education at PATH or should we discuss education with families once they are placed in shelters.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

conversations may need to happen multiple times, but as Council Member Levin pointed out today, PATH is a single point of entry where we know every family goes to apply for shelter, and we think it's important for parents to have a conversation about education there, and leave with information about their school choices and transportation. We have several recommendations in our written testimony for improving transportation. Of curse, this builds on the success of the city's initiative to offer yellow bus service for the first time to all Kindergarten and through sixth grade students living in shelters. We'd like to see that strengthened including by providing transportation to students in conditional shelter placements for the reasons that you've heard today. We think there should be a spearheaded coordinated attendance efforts, as well as increased access to Early Childhood Education, and have also included more information about that in our written testimony and, of course think that the city should make every effort to place children in shelters in their community school district of origin so that they can stay in their schools without long commutes. And to the extent that isn't possible, to have a transparent

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

process for families to request shelter transfers if education is—is a barrier, and finally, we support the Data Reporting Bills and have attached recommendations for strengthening these data reporting bills to make sure that we get the most useful information. We thank you for holding the hearing on this important topic. Thank you for the opportunity to testify, and I'm happy to answer any questions that you have.

GRANT COWLES: Good afternoon. My name is Grant Cowles. I'm the Senior Policy Associate for Youth Justice at Citizens Committee for Children, and I'm delivering testimony today on behalf of Stephanie Gindel, Associate Executive Director for Policy and Advocacy is out of town at a conference. Not San Diego, Baltimore. First, we'd like to thank Chairs Levin and Dromm and members of the General Welfare and the Education Committee for holding today's extremely important hearing and for your commitment to improving educational outcomes for homeless students. The impact of homelessness can be devastating to a child's education because it often causes disruptions that impact their attendance and academic performance at a time when a child is

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

already struggling with the trauma and life changes associated with living in a homeless shelter. many children in shelter, however, school and early education programs could be, could provide a structural consistency in their lives. Unfortunately, students in the New York City homeless shelters have the highest rates of absenteeism and we also want to highlight and echo the-the data you, you mentioned, Chair Levin about the-the borough placements as well as the IBO report, and then how integral and alarming those data in that reporting was. The magnitude of the family homelessness crisis and the devastating impact it can have on children is what led CCC to partner with Enterprise and New Destiny to co-convene a family homelessness task Together with about 40 other organizations we brainstormed recommendations to promote and enhance the wellbeing of homeless families and those at risk of becoming homeless. Our report and recommendations focused on a number of those at risk-focused on a number of key issues including education for homeless students. In short, we are urging the city to reorient the shelter system and the education system

to be more proactive about helping homeless families

1 with school age children. For the most part, these 2 3 children are New York City public school children and 4 the school system must redouble its efforts to ensure these children are both getting to school and then having their needs met so that they are able to 6 7 I'm going to highlight just seven brief recommendations in which are echoed or vision 8 already. First, on making the education success of homeless students a city priority, the city needs to 10 11 make an intentional effort to increase attendance, 12 decrease the time for transportation to be arranged 13 and ensure homeless children are supported with whatever additional services they might need 14 15 including IEP services, tutoring and/or mental health 16 services, and strong leadership and commitment to 17 this issue will make a tremendous difference. 18 Second, pass Intro 1714. As mentioned today, talking 19 to parents about education starting at intake will 20 help families learn their options before they are 21 placed, address their questions, alleviate concerns 2.2 and show how important resolving education issues are 2.3 to the city. Our one suggestion is to amend this legislation to also require the Education Continuity 24

Unit be staffed during the summer or staffed

25

1 adequately during the summer, and we also would 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

suggest that all families with school aged children be required to meet with the Educational Continuity Unit at the intake office so long as the unit is properly staffed and that it does not make the intake process longer. Third, increase the number and qualifications of educational specialists available to help families year round at their shelter sites, and ensure staff of adequate supervision and accountability measures similarly as mentioned. Fourth, improve and expedite transportation for homeless school children. Again, similar as mentioned, the city should provide transportation to elementary school children during the eligibility process. At a minimum, staff should begin the transportation arrangement process during this time so that this process on average that last three to five weeks is not time lost. The city should also provide monthly Metro Cards rather than weekly for the parents awaiting transportation arrangements. also believe the city should be arranging bussing rather than just Metro Cards for the children attending Pre-K programs. Fifth, pass legislation

that requires the city track and report more data

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with regard to educational continuity for homeless students. Currently, the city provides very limited data. CCC fully supports the intent of Intro 1497. We urge the City Council to pass and the Mayor to sign legislation that requires data to be reported with regard to educational continuity, the number of days it takes to arrange—the transportation to be arranged, absenteeism, attendance and graduation. Local Law 142 of 2016, is an educational continuity law regarding children in foster care. Given that the city's Administration for Children's Services has been able to produce the educational stability data requested in that bill, perhaps this law could be a good model for the similar issues for homeless students. Sixth, baseline and add funding for social workers in schools with a high number of homeless students as Randi mentioned. We would like to see that funding baselined as well as increased from the 43 to at least a hundred. And finally, seventh, ensure homeless students have access to the services they need to be able to learn. Generally speaking, the city including DOE, DHS, HRA and DYCD must work together to ensure the students have the supports in place these children need to be able to learn and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

)

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

succeed. This includes access to all services included in IEPs as well as tutoring, clean uniforms and mental health services when needed. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much.

Thank you also. I just want an observation about the Legal Aid testimony. I think you were stressing about how stressful PATH is. I was glad to see the administration agrees with you on that because several times the administrator referred to this stressful experience that they have at PATH. So, at least no that we have agreement. So thank you for that. Going back to the Advocates for Children Report, I believe that we pulled a number that I referenced today in testimony with the Deputy Chancellor that there were only 35 children identified as children in temporary housing who had pre-school IEPs. Is that a correct number, and can you elaborate further on that, and just shine a light on why that number seems to me to be very low?

RANDI LEVINE: Yes, that number is correct. All of the data that we issued yesterday comes from the State Education Departments, SIRS, the Student Information Repository System, and so, this is information that school districts across the state

been identified as being homeless.

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

RANDI LEVINE: I wills ay we think that there are probably two things happening there.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay.

RANDI LEVINE: So, the first is underidentification of the housing status of preschoolers with disabilities. So, we feel confident that there are more children who are homeless with preschoolerwith preschool IEPs than 35, but that number is higher than 35. We believe and recommended to the Department of Education that they examine their process, and develop a process to identify the housing status of preschoolers with IEPs similar to the process that hey have, and described today the Housing Questionnaire that they're using in Pre-K for all Programs as well as with school aged students. But secondly, ICPH issued a report last year showing that children who live in shelters are less likely to have an IEP by the end of kindergarten than children in permanent-in permanent housing when you look at students who ultimately get an IEP in elementary school.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, is that because they're transient?

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RANDI LEVINE: So, we think that is for a few reasons, and with respect to preschool in particular the preschool special education process requires a parent often to initiate the process to write a referral letter. That letter goes to a regional office. The parent then gets in the mail a list of evaluation agencies and it's up to the parent to find an evaluation agency, schedule appointments, often get their child there, and only once that happens does an IEP meeting take place and then services are put in place. We think that there's a lot that can happen to streamline this process particularly for children who are homeless. We want to make sure that children who are homeless are being identified as children who may need an IEP in their preschool years and they're getting the support they need to make it through that process, and make sure that they get evaluated, get an IEP and get services.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, it seems to me,
Randi, that as a former teacher, there's a lot of
referral for special education services. If a
student is spending three months or four months in
one school, that's actually not even enough time for
a teacher to address or to identify the special

2.2

2.3

education issues that might be there if the child then leaves and goes to another school, and then the other school would have to go through the similar process, and then it follows. It just keeps going on and on and on, and—and that's why I was really zeroing in on that number, and—and I think that also the way to help children with special education needs thinking particularly with speech needs is that you address them as early on as possible so that you can correct them. And if that's not being done, or if that's not being caught at Pre-K level, we're losing a lot of time with these students, and I think by the second grade or third grade we may have already lost them in that sense, and we'll still provide services, but it's much more difficult I think to do it.

RANDI LEVINE: You're absolutely right and the research shows that the earlier you address a child's needs, the better their long-term educational outcomes and ICPH looked at those specific data for this population in New York City and found the same results. I'll also just say quickly in terms of students, once they're in school, you're right, once students are in school, there are still barriers for children with IEPs if they're switching schools and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

if they're not a school long enough for evaluations to take place, we think the Department of Education did take a good step, which they mentioned today of adding in some language to their Standard Operating Procedure Manual for students with disabilities to provide some quidance to schools. We think there is some additional work for the DOE to do there. children who are not yet in school, this is another reason why having a conversation at PATH is important so that parents know that preschool special education services even exist. This is a reason why it's important to have education based social workers at shelters who cannot only address barriers for school aged students, but can help families identify children who may be in need of preschool special education services, and help connect them with those services.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: (coughs) Thank you very much, Chair Dromm, and I want to thank this entire panel for your very thoughtful testimony with a number of implementable recommendations that I think we need to really be, you know, I think in combination with the IBO Report, you know, using that as a foundational document to be able to—to go from

2.2

2.3

here. One question that obviously a point that

Giselle you brought up that's very concerning is this

huge decrease over the last couple of years in the

percentage of families that are deemed eligible at

PATH. What is accounting for that, because that is

obviously terribly concerning. It's not as if when

the de Blasio Administration took over they were

saying, oh, boy, that—that PATH is really way too

easy to—to get, you know, housing fro. So, what has—

what policy has gone into place there that's causing

this to happen?

in short it's—it's a check on the front door, and a check on the shelter census, I meant to put some context on it, and under the first months of de Blasio's tenure the eligibility rate went up from what it had been under Bloomberg, which was actually a very good thing in our view and we were seeing fewer families come into our office with these egregious problems being, you know, trying to be found eligible, and at that time the city had actually—there—there had been a change in the state regulations overseeing the eligibility process that allowed them to be more flexible, but I think at that

2.2

2.3

time this—the city realized that they were letting a

lot more people in, and actually approached the state

and made recommendations for changing the regulations

that oversee eligibility at PATH to make it once

again more difficult for families to be found

eligible and have to jump through more hoops, and so

that changed.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, the state right now that's—that's causing this?

GISELLE ROUTHIER: Yeah, so that changed. The new administrative directive was change in November 2016, and since then we've seen a continual decline in the number of families being found eligible and an increase in the number of problems that—that we see on a daily basis in our office.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, and that—so this—what is—what is the—what was the change to it exactly. Can you speak to it?

GISELLE ROUTHIER: Yeah, I can send you the—the ADM. It was a very specific language change that sort of governed what housing options can be considered available, and it sort of made that language broader, which allowed the city to sort of get away with saying this house—this housing option

2.2

is available to you even if in reality it isn't. So,

I think--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Is the second definition of at risk of being homeless? Is that at risk or--?

recommended housing option to the—I'll send you the exact language. I don't know it off the top of my head, but it essentially made that language broader so that the city could interpret it in a particular way.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And has the city to your knowledge—so this has really been just since last fall?

GISELLE ROUTHIER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Has the city to your knowledge spoke—spoken to this issue, and—and, you know, I mean if they say look our hands are tied because of the state regs or do they feel like they maybe have some flexibility that they could assert?

GISELLE ROUTHIER: We think they certainly have flexibility that they could assert. They could—given that they approached the state to

2

3

make the change originally, they could approach the state again or they could also--

4

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: (coughs)

5

[interposing] but they did admit that they approached the state then?

6

7

GISELLE ROUTHIER: It is our

8

understanding that they approach the state.

9

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

10

GISELLE ROUTHIER: So, when we talked

11

about-now they talk a lot about, you know, the

12

different diversion efforts, and prevention efforts

13

that they're putting in and all of those things are

14

good, but it's still not getting at the root cause of

15

the problem of a family who's coming in and actually

16

doesn't have any of those resources available to

17

18

them--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-hm.

19

GISELLE ROUTHIER: --who needs emergency

20

shelter and who is forced to apply multiple times to

21

either be found eligible, or ultimately not found

2.2

eligible and being forced back into unsafe locations.

2.3

So-

Uh-hm. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:

24

2.2

2.3

2 GISELLE ROUTHIER: --it hasn't been addressed to-in our view in a real way.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, and this committee is going to have to delve into this issue more in-depth. If—just one question for everybody. If we were to try to establish some type of task force to look at students in temporary housing, was that—would that be something that you would think is valuable and would you want to participate?

BETH HOFMEISTER: Yes and yes.

GISELLE ROUTHIER: Yeah, we would always be happy to participate in that.

GRANT COWLES: I would participate as well. Yes.

RANDI LEVINE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, very good.

Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Mr. chair. Thank you to the panel for coming and for sharing, and my question is in the same vane as Council Member Levin's question, which is you cited I believe you said the families that were deemed eligible was previously 60 something percent, and it dropped to 31%. So, now, you've indicated that in

2.2

2.3

part that was because of the language that was used in the legislation by the state. So, since we've now seen that there's been a change and you're going to get that to us, what do you see as the trend since there hasn't been much time, only perhaps about a year, but since November of 2016, what do you see now as the trend for families who are seeking shelter?

to go. The eligibility rate has been continuing to go down since that change, and I noted it was an administrative director of change that was made at the state level at the request of the city. So, the city does have—have some leverage over that administrative director, and they also have leverage over their, the frontline staff and how they implement that directive.

council Member Barron: Okay, and then in one of the—in one of the reports of testimony that's Ciric (sic) indicates that we need to increase access to permanent housing for families, and that is so obvious, you know, it's basic and easy to understand, and I support that, and it's one of the reasons why I vote against some of the projects that come forward to the Council that do not, in fact, have provisions

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 193
2	for formerly homeless to be a part of the housing
3	that's being built or go down to 27 and 37% of the
4	AMI. It's obvious if you have a housing problem, you
5	need to provide more housing at the levels where
6	people who are now in shelters can be placed and, of
7	course, I support your position that NYCHA needs to
8	be looked at as a source to provide some of that
9	housing as well. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
10	RANDI LEVINE: Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.
12	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, well thank you
13	very much, and that will end this part of the
14	hearing. Do you want to gavel us out?
15	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure thing.
16	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay.
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Chair
18	Dromm, and now at 1:51 p.m. this hearing is
19	adjourned. Thank you all. [gavel]
20	
21	
22	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 19, 2017