CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES

----- X

July 17, 2017

Start: 12:03 p.m. Recess: 12:59 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: PETER A. KOO

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Annabel Palma

Deborah L. Rose Rosie Mendez Stephen T. Levin Inez D. Barron Ben Kallos

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Tami Rachelson, Deputy Director Real Estate Services School Construction Authority

Tamar Smith Community Relations Manager, External Affairs School Construction Authority

Dale Mandello School Construction Authority

Maria Roca, Sunset Park Resident Founder of Friends of Sunset Park 2 [sound check, pause] [background 3 comments]

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Good morning. We're going to start. Yeah. [gavel] Hi, good morning. am Council Member Koo, the Chair of the Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Siting and Maritime Uses. are joined by Council Members Debbie Rose and Council Member Menchaca, Ben Kallos and Rise Mendez. Is that right? We will be holding public hearings and voting on two school sitings today both of which we hear preconsidered. The first item is—is second—332-seat primary school that would be located at the corner of 8th Avenue and 46th Street in Sunset Park section of Brooklyn represented by Council Member Menchaca. [pause] The second item a 332-seat primary school for the southwest corner of 4th Avenue and 43rd Street also in Sunset Park section of Brooklyn represented by Council Member Menchaca. The site consists of two privately owned lots that the School Construction Authority propose to acquire. One of the lots contain the former 68th Police Precinct Station House and stable. Together, a designated New York City landmark. SCA has worked with the State Parks Department through a wide and a new development

2.2

2.3

proposal that will preserve the main facades of the existing station house on 4th Avenue and 43rd Street. Most of the existing structure will be demolished along with the stable building, and the proposed school will be constructed in a 5-story L shaped behind the preserved historic facades. I will now open the public hearing on these items. The School Construction Authority will present both items. We will then hear testimony from the public on this item individually. We have Tamar Smith and Tami Rachelson and Dale Mandello from SCA to testify. Thank you.

TAMI RACHELSON: Okay. Good afternoon,
Chairperson Koo and Subcommittee members. My name is
Tami Rachelson and I'm the Deputy Director for Real
Estate Services for the School Construction
Authority. The New York City School Construction
Authority has undertaken site selection process for a
new public school facility on a site consisting of
Lot 1 on Block 751 in the Borough of Brooklyn. The
site contains a total of approximate 13,000 square
feet of lot area located on the corner of 8th Avenue
and 46th Street on a block bounded by 45th Street, 8th
Avenue 46th Street and 9th Avenue in Brooklyn. The

site is privately owned and contains a vacant 2-story 2 3 commercial-commercial building. It was a former, I 4 believe, Seatown Supermarket and contains a-and is located within Brooklyn Community District No. 12, and Community School District No. 15. Under the 6 7 proposed project the SCA would acquire the site, and 8 construct a new approximately 332-seat primary school facility. The notice of filing for the site plan was published in the New York Post and city record on 10 11 January 12, 2017. Brooklyn Community Board 12 and 12 Community Education Council 15 were notified also on 13 the site plan on January 12, 2017, and were asked to hold public hearings on the proposed site plan. 14 15 Community Board 12 and CEC 15 conducted a joint public hearing on the site plan on January 25, 2017. 16 17 Comments were not received from the Community Board 18 and from the CEC. The City Planning Commission 19 submitted written comments in support of the site. 20 The SCA has considered all comments received on the 21 proposed site plan and affirms the site plan pursuant to Section 1731 of the Public Authorities Law. 2.2 accordance with Section 1732 of the Public 2.3 Authorities Law the SCA submitted the proposed site 24 plan from the Mayor and City Council by letter dated 25

| 1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES 6 | | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | July 10, 2017. We look forward to your | | | | | | |
| 3 | Subcommittee's favorable consideration of the | | | | | | |
| 4 | proposed site plan, and are prepared to answer | | | | | | |
| 5 | questions from the Committee. Thank you. [pause] | | | | | | |
| 6 | CHAIRPERSON KOO: [off mic] | | | | | | |
| 7 | COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay. | | | | | | |
| 8 | CHAIRPERSON KOO: Council Member | | | | | | |
| 9 | Menchaca | | | | | | |
| 10 | COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing] | | | | | | |
| 11 | Okay. | | | | | | |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON KOO:is first. (sic) | | | | | | |
| 13 | COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you. I | | | | | | |
| 14 | just want to say thank you. I have some remarks that | | | | | | |
| 15 | I'll say before the vote, but I-I wanted to-to ask a | | | | | | |
| 16 | question about the process, and how long has the SCA | | | | | | |
| 17 | officially been engaging the site for a possible | | | | | | |
| 18 | school. | | | | | | |
| 19 | TAMI RACHELSON: Probably over a year. | | | | | | |
| 20 | COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay, great and | | | | | | |
| 21 | in that time you've been working with local | | | | | | |
| 22 | organizations? | | | | | | |
| 23 | TAMI RACHELSON: [off mic] | | | | | | |
| 24 | COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay, great. | | | | | | |
| 25 | TAMI RACHELSON: Yes. | | | | | | |

CHAIRPERSON KOO:

Okay.

25

- 2 CHAIRPERSON KOO: So, I have a question.
- 3 So, have you-has SCA ever done any of these landmark
- 4 | buildings before for school construction?
- 5 [background comments] Council Member Rose.
- 6 [background comments, pause] Okay. Can you go ahead
- 7 | for the second school, yeah?
- 8 TAMI RACHELSON: I'm sorry.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON KOO: The second
- 10 presentation.

- 11 TAMI RACHELSON: Oh, okay.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON KOO: Yeah.
- 13 TAMI RACHELSON: Good morning Chairperson
- 14 | Koo and Subcommittee members. My name is Tami
- 15 Rachelson and I'm the Deputy Director for Real Estate
- 16 | Services for the School Construction Authority. The
- 17 New York City School Construction Authority has
- 18 undertaken the site selection process for a new
- 19 | public school facility on a site consisting of Lots
- 20 | 34 and 36 on Block 728 in the borough of Brooklyn.
- 21 The site contains a total of approximately 12,500
- 22 \parallel square feet of lot area located on the corner of 4th
- 23 Avenue and 43^{rd} Street on a block bounded by 43^{rd}
- 24 Street, 4th Avenue, 44th Street and 3rd Avenue. Lot 34
- 25 | is privately owned and unimproved. Lot 36 is also

25

privately owned and contains the former 68th Police 2 3 Precinct Station and stable. Those two structures 4 comprise a designated New York City Landmark. site is located within Brooklyn Community District No. 7 and Community School District No. 15. Under 6 the proposed project the SCA would acquire the site 7 and construct a new approximately 330-seat-2-seat 8 primary school facility. The school facility would be constructed within a portion of the façade of the 10 11 existing 3-story police station house, and a new 5-12 story construction behind the footprint of the 13 original structure. The main facades of the police station on both 4th and 43rd Street would be preserved 14 and stabilized, and the remainder of the station 15 16 house would be demolished. The majority of the new 17 construction would be arranged in a 5-story L shape 18 behind the original footprint of the station house, and would be set back from both 4^{th} Avenue and 43^{rd} 19 Street. The notice of filing for the site plan was 20 published in the New York Post and City Record on 21 June 1, 2016. Brooklyn Community Board 7 and 2.2 23 Community Education Council No. 15 were also notified of the site plan on June 1, 2016, and were asked to 24

hold public hearings on the proposed site plan.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you.

Brooklyn Community Board 7 and CEC 15 conducted a 2 3 joint hearing on the site plan on June 13, 2016. 4 Comments were received from both the Community Board and from the CEC. The City Planning Commission 5 submitted comments in support of the site. The SCA 6 7 has considered all comments received on the proposed site plan and affirms the site plan pursuant to 8 section 1731 of the Public Authorities Law. accordance with Section 1732 of the PAL, the SCA 10 11 submitted the proposed site plan for the Mayor and 12 City Council by letter dated July 10, 2017. We look 13 forward to your subcommittee's favorable consideration of the proposed site plan, and are 14 15 prepared to answer questions from the committee.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Thank you, yeah. So,
Council Member Menchaca, do you want to ask questions
first?

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Yeah, I'll just start with a few quick questions about this site, too. How long has this site been in process for review with the community?

TAMI RACHELSON: With the community, the Community Board 7 had over the years suggested the

site, and this is probably been going on for close to two years.

2.2

2.3

just want to underscore that. This has been a site that has been on the Community Board list of potential sites for review from the School Construction Authority for many, many years. Do you have a sense about when—do your records indicate when that started becoming an item?

for probably over the last 15 years, but probably within the last 2 years, it became more active. We had owners who were willing to—who were interested in making a deal with us, and we were willing to sell, and se started an engagement, and as you now, we started public review. We had considered demolishing the building. We heard from the public and organizations that this was something that they did not want done, and we've been engaging with State Historic for the last well over a year, and we've reached—and the State Historic has reached an agreement that we think it's a compromise position where we can maintain the two facades, the most

beautiful portions of the building along $4^{\rm th}$ Avenue

2.2

2.3

and 43rd, and we will build an additional structure
behind it, a 5-story, and we've agreed to continue to
consult with State Historic. They will be privy to

5 our design and will comment to make sure that the

6 beauty of the landmark building is still there, and

7 | whatever we build in addition to that enhances it.

just—I want to underscore that again as well that we do not want to take this lightly. Demolishing a landmark is not something that should be taken lightly and needs to be reviewed, and you said that you've done some of—a lot of that review already. The community has been really supporting a kind of preservation. As much as possible you've reached that agreement, and I want to applaud—applaud that work. Remind us again about the crisis that we're facing in Sunset Park, and the numbers if you give a sense to really drive a lot of—of what we're talking about.

TAMI RACHELSON: We're funded for 3,840 seats in the district, many of which were—are in Sunset Park, and it's a fully—— As you know, better than anyone it's a fully developed area, and we are basically have only been able to find small sites.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Has anyone from either of those organizations or any organizations expressed concern with regards to preserving our city's landmarks?

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TAMI RACHELSON: There have been—when we started public review, there was a tremendous amount of I'd say outcry about the potential demolition of the building, and that's why working with State

Just this one.

25

TAMAR SMITH: Approximately 332 seats.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And can you find 332 seats at any of the vacant Archdiocese locations and the same location?

TAMI RACHELSON: We've exhausted and we are—it hasn't been announced yet, but we're in discussions with the Diocese about a school in Sunset Park, renting it.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So every single one of the Archdiocese locations is currently pending negotiations?

TAMI RACHELSON: They have signed the lease already and we're geeing in the process of obtaining approval to execute the lease.

council Member Kallos: You've provided us a design, which is basically a rough sketch of the external with a box. That does not—that is slightly insufficient in terms of trying to decide—figure out whether or not you're going to actually try to continue the spirit and design of the existing building or I guess the big question is am I voting on putting a box on top of this or what is it going to actually look like?

build complements the existing structure.

2.2

2.3

TAMI RACHELSON: We can't—the simple

answer is absolutely not. It will not be a box. We

are not willing to design and as I mentioned earlier,

we will be—we're obligated and we want to continue to

consult with State Historic to make sure that what we

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Will you commit to reaching out and working with the Historic Society, Landmarks Conservancy, Historic Districts Council as well as any groups that Council Member Menchaca designates regarding design concerns?

[pause]

TAMAR SMITH: Thank you very much. We will be working with the State Historic Preservation as our regulatory body, but yes, we absolutely will.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing]

What about the—the Landmarks Preservation Commission,
which is the city body, which answers to this
committee, and I—I object to having a city agency
going around the City Council, the City's

Preservation Commission and this committee. Saying
SHPO, SHPO, SHPO doesn't help me. I need to hear LPC
and I need to hear that you are listening to my

| 2 | colleagu | ie and l | his co | nstituency | y because | the | State | is- |
|---|----------|----------|--------|------------|-----------|-----|-------|-----|
| 3 | is not t | the city | У• | | | | | |

question, I believe that there has been a meeting tentatively scheduled for September with LPC by I believe Ross Holden, the General Counsel of the SCA. They have asked for an immediate meeting, and he asked if we could please wait until school opens in September. As you might imagine, the summer is a busy season for us, but I do believe that he has a tentative date in September where he's agreed to meet with them.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And what about taking the input of my colleague and groups in his neighborhood as well as the Municipal Art Society?

TAMI RACHELSON: I think through the community process if you could speak to that, Tamara probably more than me. [door bangs]

TAMAR SMITH: Yes, it was the comment of the community groups in—in the—in Sunset Park and over the last year.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Will they have feedback moving forward?

TAMI RACHELSON: Yes.

2.2

2.3

2.3

د ک

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay. How many current landmarks is SCA considering citywide to demolish and replace with schools?

TAMI RACHELSON: I believe this is the only landmark building that I'm aware of that we've been working on.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Is this going to set a precedence where now SCA or even ECF is going to start trying to take down our landmarks and replace with schools with perhaps 1,000-foot towers on top of them?

speak for ECF at all, but I can tell you that that would not ever be our preference to go after landmarked buildings. The—as you might imagine, the cost associated with preservation and construction consistent with an existing landmark interferes with our ability to provide perhaps a better program of requirements for our students. So, it wouldn't be our first choice ever to go after a landmark building.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: In terms of preserving the stable, how many—what would be the cost of preserving the stable and extending the box

2 on top o

2.2

2.3

on top of the stable instead of taking down the stable and replacing the stable with the stable with a box? [pause]

TAMI RACHELSON: In the design meetings that I have been a party to, I don't recall ever seeing a breakout of that specific component of the building. We certainly could attempt to find out if such data has been provided, but as far as I know, I've never heard that discussed in that particular question—to answer that question.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: would you commit to doing that?

TAMI RACHELSON: I can commit to it if—if
I can get that information if it has ever been
analyzed. I'm not sure that it ever has, however. I
don't know.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: If it hasn't been analyzed, will you commit to analyzing it to see if you can preserve the stable and still and not lose programmatic space?

TAMI RACHELSON: That answer I may be able to provide you with because I do believe in the year plus time that we have been in schematic design that that question may be able to be answered.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I-I am-I am both

1 2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

proud and slightly—I—I wish the same as Carlos has for his district for my district. WNYC says that I have 2,767 4-year-olds. We recently did a study and found that something like half of the kids who are applying for seats in my public schools are being turned away. The Chancellor at the last budget hearing finally admitted at the Preliminary Budget and once again at the Executive agreed that there was seat need in my district, District 2 from 59th Street to 96th Street. It sounds like you are well on your way to reach-getting 3,800 seats for my colleague. Where are you in terms of seats on the Upper East The Mayor says something called Pre-K for all, but many of the children, many of the childrenhundreds of 4-year-olds in my district are being sent here right by City Hall, a 45-minute subway commute, and so where are we on bringing school seats to other districts as well?

TAMI RACHELSON: We are actively out right now and have been in attempts to identify suitable space particularly for Pre-K on the Upper East Side. We have some sites that are in preliminary consideration not yet in a place where we

2 would be

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

would be ready to announce anything, but we are actively out looking for every possible square foot of space that we can construct a program.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I want to thank my Committee Chair for Landmarks for indulging me as well as my colleagues. This is a-a huge concern for me, the Municipal Art Society, the Landmarks Preservation Commission as well as the Historic District Council. I want to thank Council Member Carlos Menchaca and his committee for being a champion for this and creating a-preserving the façade, and one, you get one. This isn't a precedence, and I want that in the record, and if we can preserve the stable that would also be great, and I just want to thank my colleague for fighting for this façade and for his community and I-I with hesitancy and the fact that this will not be a precedence and that this a one-time case, I will be supportive.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Thank you and so Council Member Mendez.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. [coughs] Can—can you tell me the full name of your agency?

TAMI RACHELSON: New York City School Construction Authority.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Okay, New York
City School Construction Authority, and the agency
was created by whom? The city or the state?

TAMI RACHELSON: [pause] I think it was with the-jointly-the-the state, it is joined between city and state. In fact, when they created the enabling legislation it was with-that we would have to go to New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Places for any building of significance.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: So, pursuant to the enabling legislation, the New York City School Construction Authority a city/state created agency has to go through the New York State Historic Preservation Office even though this is a New York City landmark. Is that correct.

TAMI RACHELSON: Correct, but I believe that State Historic works with Landmarks where the—if a building is considered significant for a city, then State Historic would also consider it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Uh-huh, the-the city process is a little bit more onerous than the state process. I have worked on state historic

.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 \parallel designation, and city and I've sat on this committee

3 | for 11-1/2 years. So, I can—I can tell you that.

4 So, I-you're-you're meeting with New York City

5 Landmarks Preservation Commission in September, is

6 | that correct?

TAMI RACHELSON: I believe that's correct. They did communicate directly with the General Counsel of the SCA, and I do believe he asked if we could schedule that meeting sometime in September. I apologize. I don't know the date.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Okay, and so at that—so what, if any, other information has been communicated to the Landmarks Preservation Commission about this landmarked—New York City landmarked building?

TAMAR SMITH: At least with respect to their most recent inquiries I—I wasn't party to the telephone conversation between Russ Holden and the organization. I do, however, the only information I really have present today is that he was engaged in scheduling a meeting with them in September at some point after schools are opened.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Okay. So, my understanding on what was presented to me before this

J

hearing began is that the façade of the building on $43^{\rm rd}$ Street and the façade of the building on $4^{\rm th}$ Avenue and the circular tassel (sic) part of the building right on the corner of $43^{\rm rd}$ and $4^{\rm th}$ will be kept, and everything else will be torn down including the stables, and then a structure will be built using the two exterior walls and that circular tassel. Is that correct?

TAMI RACHELSON: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: And you, School Construction Authority, will be meeting with LPC and issuing renderings that includes that structure, the two side walls and the circular apex that connects it, is that correct?

TAMI RACHELSON: I'm not—I'm not aware that we're—that's what's going to transpire when they meeting September with—

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: [interposing] But at some point you—the School Construction Authority will be submitting that? [pause] Is that correct?

TAMI RACHELSON: What you saw, which I think is the rendering with the box that will, of course, not be the final design will be shown to—to any agency that comes to meet with the SCA. So, yes,

| 1 | |
|---|---|
| L | L |
| | |

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 6
- 7
- 8

there now?

- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 2.2 23
- 24
- 25

exactly what you saw with the preservation of the

corner and the turret, and then this is, of course,

only for illustration that box that's shown.

will be where the rest of the school is. So, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Uh-huh and it will be a taller I'm assuming than what is currently

TAMI RACHELSON: The-what we will be building will be a five-story structure.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: And currently there is a-what story structure?

TAMI RACHELSON: The structure is three stories.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Okay, and it will be five stories throughout the entirety of the block and lots whatever that may be including where the stables used-is-are currently now and will no longer be in the future?

TAMI RACHELSON: Actually, the corner section will keep the heights of the existing structure. In other words, the 5-stories will be set back from that section except in the area where the stable is on 4th Avenue.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Okay, can I think—can I take a look at that please?

actually will not come to five stories the whole way. It's a little hard to tell from the rendering, but that is an open air play yard right at the top there. So the 5-story will be set back from the street quite a bit, and only on the section of 4th Avenue where the current stable is will there be that height. The rest will be the three stories that exist now.

question and I don't know if you're going to be able to answer this or some of our staff will be here, but why is it that you're coming to this committee at this point without, and that—and it's clear that enabling legislation doesn't make you go to LPC, but for me I know that if a additional floors are going to be added to a landmarked structure, it needs to look, have the same kind of feel, and add to what the existing landmark architectural significance is. LPC has approved additional floors and height and bulk as long as it has the look and feel and extends that—that architectural significance throughout. So, I—I—even if you don't have to, I don't understand why you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

wouldn't got to LPC before you come to this committee and the City Council for a vote?

TAMI RACHELSON: We will be consulting with State Historic about the design that thewhatever materials and fenestration, et cetera will complement the existing police station façade.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: I understand that. You've made that very clear. My question isand if you can't answer it, say I can't answer it—is I don't understand why even though you don't have to, why wouldn't you go to LPC so they could approve this so when it comes to us I would feel a lot more comfortable knowing that LPC has reviewed it, is giving their blessings. And it--and again because LPC has a more stricter review than SHPO does. So, can you answer that question for me?

TAMI RACHELSON: I'm not certain that any of us that are here today would be the appropriate party to address that question and, you now-I think our only answer or the only authority that we have to answer the question is that we are following to the letter our enabling statute and doing all that is statutorily required of us.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: [off mic] Thank you. That—that does answer my question to a certain extent. Is there an issue with the timing of this that it needs to get to us? I'm just wondering for me why they didn't wait until September after meeting with LPC, and is the timing of this such that it needs to get voted by this committee today, and whoever can answer that question, I would just like it on the record.

AMY LEVITAN: [off mic] I am [on mic] Amy Levitan the City Council Land Use Division Deputy Director. We have 20 days to act once the school has—it's filed with us. So, this was filed with us on July 10th and we have 20 days, and the next Stated Meeting is on Thursday, which is the 21st I believe—the 20th. So it would go to the Subcommittee the 17th, the Land Use Committee the 19th and the Stated on the 20th. So, since we only have 20 days, we need to act.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you. Now,

I have another question. So, why was this filed in

July and why didn't someone wait until the end of

August or September to file so that we can have more

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

information for LPC before this committee votes on it? A query, just-[pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Well, you know, I-I can answer-I'll-I will answer this. We are in deep crisis and we-we want to move forward. We went through I believe what you have just testified as the law. I understand that there are multiple agencies including the LPC. That would be great. There's a meeting scheduled. We are-we are in a-in a deep crisis in our community right now, and we need every single seat and we will continue to fight. you're going to hear more from my remarks, but this is about urgency, and I asked the School Construction Authority on behalf of my community to continue to bring school sites to me, and to bring not only the shortest timeline possible, but to follow the law. This one in particular on this site has some historic issues that we are going through, and so I'm really happy that we're having a robust conversation right now. But I'm asking out of-and I'm going to say it over and over again. I need you to do that for every single site. We're in crisis and we need you to us as quickly as possible by following the law and

2 having a robust conversation. That is why we are 3 here.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you,

Council Member Menchaca, and so my next question is

it's a crisis and this is a crisis that cannot have

waited two months or a month and a half or whatever

it is to get to us the end—end of August or beginning

of September.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: On behalf of my community that is asking them to do this and I am with them, absolutely yes, every day is important.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you. I-[coughs]

follow up on that a little bit, from the SCA's perspective we've been engaged with the ownership of that property for well over a year now in an effort to acquire the piece of property for construction and they are becoming somewhat what we are already with. What we need to do obviously statutorily and community wise and one of the reasons we had hoped to get this in sooner rather than later is we don't want to lose the property for the community as well.

2.2

2.3

J

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Understood. So, let me rephrase the question. The private owner who owns the property you've been in negotiations for over a year, and while the owner has agreed, any more delays can alter or have the whole agreement fall under. Is that correct?

actually been in contract for well—for over a year at this point in time. They could have probably decided to back out. We were able to persuade and encourage them to continue in contract with the SCA for the construction of the school, and explaining thoroughly what our process was being that it is an historic building, and they did stay in because of that but they are obviously becoming there private owners, continuing to pay taxes and carrying charges and things of that nature while we go through our statutory process.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you.

That's very important for me hear. Also, I think

Council Member Menchaca you said that the building

actually is in very poor condition as well, and that

any delay may affect the actual integrity of the

building. Is that correct?

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: That is what I've been told by the School Construction Authority but I-it would be great for you to confirm that these buildings really need immediate attention.

TAMI RACHELSON: In fact, that's absolutely correct. In furtherance of that we actually did engage the owner-current ownership to allow the SCA to come in early to do some cleaning and shoring to ensure that nobody is hurt while we go through this process and that there's no further deterioration of the structure so that we can do exactly what we intended to do, and that is reuse those reusable portions of the building.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you. last few answers leave me in a very comfortable place to voting for this public siting going forward. Thank you very much. Thank you Mr. Chair. Thank you Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Thank you, Council Mendez. Yeah. So, the committee would like you to give us a copy of your-

TAMI RACHELSON: [interposing] Sure.

presentation. Yeah. So, we would like to keep that as a record yeah.

TAMI RACHELSON: Sure, you can keep that if you'd like. [background comments]

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Yeah, they made a copy.

[background comments] Yeah, maybe you can give them
a copy and they can make it. Yeah. [door bangs]

[pause]

11 TAMI RACHELSON: In the future we'll
12 bring copies. [pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Can-I'll-I'll ask a question off the record--

CHAIRPERSON KOO: [interposing] [off mic]
Council Member you can.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: --just for my own knowledge.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Yeah, thank you very much. We are done with the questions. Yeah.

TAMI RACHELSON: Thank you.

TAMAR SMITH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: We have a member from the public Maria Roca who wants to testify. [pause]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Yeah, just identify yourself and start, and turn on the mic, yeah.

MARIA ROCA: Good morning. I am Maria Roca here representing myself as a long-time resident of Sunset Park since 1964 as well as the founder of Friends of Sunset Park, a community organization that has first and foremost prioritized the construction of schools of quality not just buildings but of quality schools for our neighborhood, which have been ensured this for I would say 30 years. This is nothing new with Sunset Park as well as the preservation of our history in Sunset Park, and our pride of place. So, one of the proposals on the table clearly is the intersection of that—and that would be the former 18th. It was know as the former 18th Precinct before the former 68th Precinct, and most recently everyone in the neighborhood refers to it as the castle. So, we have our own little castle, and we are certainly proud of it, but first and foremost, I want to get out of the way a 100% support for repurposing the site on 18th-on 18th, sorry-on 8th Avenue and 46th-46th Street for the former supermarket for [coughs] sorry—for a school for a 300-seat school. There is nothing about that building that is

historic, has any-nothing in the neighborhood ever 2 3 felt needed to be preserved, and it was sold already prior to it being-being identified by the SCA. 4 Although it had been identified by the parents in the 5 community and by the people in the community who 6 7 actually brings us to this-here today because it wasit was parents and community activists that that put 8 the-the need-the-well, it goes beyond the need, the scarcity and the emergency of the school overcrowding 10 11 in Sunset Park on the front page. So, that is never 12 mind 100%--200% support for that, and I'm sorry that 13 more neighborhood people weren't here, but they're families with children and children that allowed in 14 15 the-you know, we have to change that to let parents in and be able to come here, and participate in this 16 17 process. But let's-I would like to speak about the former 18th 68-Castle or whatever you want to call it 18 on 43rd. Two things clearly the need for school and 19 20 that site was identified way, way-decades ago as the 21 community—to be brought back to the community to 2.2 fulfill a community need. And initially the-the 2.3 purpose was at the community center. For many reasons that there's no time right now to explain 24 25 that the-community was betrayed, was denied that and

25

the sites at there because of behind the scenes 2 3 leisure demand (sic) and deals and more deals that 4 you could write a book about this whole thing. So, here we are, but-and I think yes we want a school, but I think we need to invest a little more or a lot 6 7 more time in the details of this deal. What has been 8 proposed thus far to demolish the internal part of the main building of the precinct and just preserve the facade from 43^{rd} and 4^{th} and then also demolish 10 11 the stables, I think it's a very drastic and crude approach to this. I think and—and I don't for no 12 13 reason I-do I think that there is any-any-any intent to do anything wrong about it. I think this is low 14 15 hanging fruit. I think we as a city are better. 16 have much better knowledge in this-in this city, and 17 we have a lot more-well, let me just say that we are 18 home to some of the most respected faculties of 19 historic preservation in the city. We are a college 20 town, and what I am here to talk-because I don't want 21 to take more of my time and we have time to say is that there-whether LPC, SCA, SHPO need to sit 2.2 2.3 together in conjunction with-and I'm sure they can and they will, you know, we all put our heads 24

together and be transparent and-and be collaborative

in coming up with the most elegant outcome for this 2 3 and any other site in the city of New York that has 4 historical value. We can do it. We are this world class city. We certainly can do better than-and I noticed that that box behind the corner it's a very 6 7 preliminary and not-and I never thought that for-at any point that anybody would truly want to build that 8 because they would be-they would be thrown out of the AIA for even suggesting such a thing, but we can do 10 11 better. Early on I suggested that maybe a 12 competition, a design competition in the city with 13 the best minds at the table, and have everyone do the best not only for this building, but for Sunset Park, 14 15 for the children who will have pride of place for 16 this community that has been so devastated over-over-17 for not-for being ignored, being neglected, and here 18 is the place where we can bring back and give back, return some of that pride of place. And I know the 19 SCA has behaved very well. We're proud of what 20 they've done, the hard work they have invested in 21 2.2 giving us site after site and listening to us, and 2.3 realizing that yes there was space in this neighborhood so to build schools. So, I know, but 24 25 everybody needs to sit at the table and needs to put

18 19

16

17

20

21

23

24

25

their best foot forward, and give us an elegant, elegant building preserving those stables, and yet maximizing the-the site for schools. But it's 50 seats one way or the other or something. We can do The site can manage and [bell] and we are front and center to collaborate. Let's open up our minds. Let's go forward with this, and say yes, we can do a much better job. Everybody needs to be at the table. SHPO, LPC, the University. I mean my goodness people send their children from all over the world and pay hundreds of thousands of dollars to have their children graduate from our Faculties of Historic Preservation and Architecture. We need to have-we have those people here. We have those minds, we have that talent here, and we need to make use of that. Sunset Park deserves that and more. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Thank you. Yeah

Thank you for the suggestion. Yeah.

MARIA ROCA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: So, are there any more members or more questions? No? Yeah, thank you.

Are there any more members of the public who wish to testify? Seeing none, the public hearings on these items are being closed. [pause] Counsel, do you

[interposing]

2

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

want to make the call, the roll call? Council Member Menchaca, do you want to make a statement before we-?

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA:

Yeah, I'm just going to make a-hopefully a quick statement and also just applaud Maria Roca for being here, and representing not only a voice in the community, but her own kind of longstanding commitment to education and really public spacespaces in the city. There's no doubt that she alone without bringing everyone else that she-she has in family and partnership with the community really continues to pay forward a change in relationship with city agencies, and that is-that is something to not only commend, but to acknowledge and-and we have to do that everyday, and so I-I'm so happy that I get to do that right now in front of my colleagues, and on public-in public to make that-to make that acknowledgement and thank you for your service. There's no doubt that the work that the agency the School Construction Authority is making right now is really alleviating something that needs to happen in this community. We have to act and we have to act now. Sunset Park is in dire need of elementary school seats. The elementary schools in District 15

25

are currently operating at over 120% capacity. 2 3 average class sizes in elementary schools are between 4 25 and 30 students with some classes breaching the 30-student mark. Overcrowded schools with large classes have negative impacts on child development. 6 7 This is something Maria Roca talks about all the 8 time, and this we will lose a generation of people and young people in our city in our neighborhood here in Sunset Park. So, we must act quickly to add 10 11 capacity. Recent reports produced by local advocates 12 makes space for quality schools. We also see around 13 us Friends of Sunset Park and public hearings held by District 15 have verified that overcrowding is an 14 15 issue. The current five-year plan allocates, you 16 heard today \$300 million in funding to fund 3,000 17 seats and seats in District 15. However, it is 18 extremely difficult to find sites in Sunset Park that 19 are large enough and safe enough for schools that 20 would display-that would not displace residents or 21 businesses, and that are accessible to young students. In an ideal world we would be able to 2.2 2.3 build large new schools and large open development sites, but that is not the reality on the ground in 24

Sunset Park. We have been forced to creatively

pursue smaller sites, and---and at every opportunity. 2 3 Both of these schools that you heard today on-for the 4 public hearing are smaller than ideal. Both together they will significantly reduce the strain by adding 5 over 650 desperately needed elementary school seats 6 in the community. While I strong support historic 7 8 preservation, and I do, and the constant advocacy for 9 landmarking in our delayed historic district for Sunset Park, I also believe that it is an instance 10 11 where we can achieve both the balance and urgent need 12 for schools and worthy preservation. And I heard the 13 School Construction Authority. I hope you heard those words from Maria Roca about how we bring more 14 15 people together and think about how we push ourselves. Even if right now we are going to be 16 17 voting in support of new opportunity to take that 18 site and bring it back, we need to hear that call from our community to make that the best. We know 19 20 that you've worked with the stat's Office of Parks and Recreation and Historic Preservation to arrive at 21 2.2 this proposal to preserve the most significant and 2.3 historic-to mostly significant character of the buildings. The imposing facades of the former police 24 station on 4th and 43rd will be incorporated into the 25

25

new school building. The decision to demolish parts 2 3 of the landmark building is not to be taken lightly 4 and alternatives must be considered carefully. As part of the environmental review, SCA looked closely at an alternative that would have more fully 6 7 preserved and historic police station and stables. 8 Unfortunately that—that was not able to be accommodated at this time. It would have resulted in only one-third of the seats, and would have no 10 11 gymnasium or cafeteria, and we know in Sunset Park 12 that is not how you build schools. The threat of 13 continued private ownership further intentionally decays and-decays the building and the likelihood of 14 15 luxury residential development on the site means we 16 must act now or face the risk of losing our building entirely. The SCA proposal for 43rd-4302 4th Avenue 17 strikes the best combination of achievable-achievable 18 and thoughtful preservation and permanent public 19 benefit. I urge my colleagues to support this 20 21 application and again I say this: We bring these two sites for you to take control of those sites, but 2.2 2.3 always to hear the cry of our community to do the best you can to make this site on the historic side 24

and on 8th Avenue the best school it could possibly

3 hearing it from me. Thank you very much.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON KOO: Okay thank you. Now, Counsel, please call the roll.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Council Member Koo.

CHAIRPERSON KOO: I vote aye.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Mendez.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: I vote aye and I look forward to a school being built that incorporates some of the existing walls of the landmarked structure.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Council Member Rose.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I vote aye, and congratulations. It's rally important that we provide school seats for our children, and I'm glad that you were able to get this through.

Congratulations.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Council Member Kallos.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I vote aye with the conditions that were agreed to that SCA will work with the community preservation groups and the LPC over the State as it were to ensure that maximum amount of preservation can be done. I want to congratulate Council Member Carlos Menchaca on his

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES advocacy in preserving the façade, which otherwise would not have happened, and congratulate him on getting more school seats, and also with the additional caveat that SCA actually deliver more schools seats in other high needs districts as well. Thank you. LEGAL COUNSEL: By a vote of 4 in the affirmative 0 in the negative and with 0 abstentions, the items are recommended for approval by the full Land Use Committee. [background comments] CHAIRPERSON KOO: Thank you members of the public, my colleagues, Counsel and Land Use staff, the meeting is adjourned. [gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 29, 2017