CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

----- X

December 12, 2016 Start: 10:20 a.m. Recess: 12:52 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: YDANIS A. RODRIGUEZ

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Daniel R. Garodnick

James Vacca

Margaret S. Chin Stephen T. Levin Deborah L. Rose James G. Van Bramer Daniel G. Greenfield Costa G. Constantinides

Carlos Menchaca I. Daneek Miller Antonio Reynoso Donovan J. Richards

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Polly Trottenberg, Commissioner NYC Department of Transportation

Ryan Russo, Deputy Commissioner Transportation, Planning and Management NYC Department of Transportation

Jeff Lynch, Assistant Commissioner Assistant Commissioner Intergovernmental and Community Affairs NYC Department of Transportation

Erica Bacon, Regional General Manger Zipcar, Tri-State Area

Justin Holmes, Director Public Policy and Communication Zipcar, Tri-State Area

Nick Hill, General Manager NYC Reach Now

Julia Kite, Policy and Research Manager Transportation Alternatives

David Dodd Appearing for Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer

Eric McClure StreetPAC

2

[sound check, pause]

3 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you all for 4 attending this morning's hearing on the 5 Transportation-of the Transportation Committee. My 6 name is Ydanis Rodriquez, and I Chair this committee. We are joined by-by my colleague Council Members 8 Mendez, Salamanca and Levine. Today, we will discuss an issue that every car owner in New York City had 10 troubled relationships with: Parking. Parking is a 11 headache for many New Yorkers for moving the car each 12 morning to spending sometimes hours cycling in their 13 neighborhood to find parking. Car ownership can 14 often seem like a chore. New Yorkers often deplore 15 the lack of parking in their communities, and their outcries can even lead the city to scale back 16 17 measures for achieving important policy goals like 18 increase public safety and affordable housing. 19 are fortunate-fortunate to live in a city where 20 owning a car isn't entirely necessarily. For some, 21 in the more far from areas or those with poor 2.2 transit-bus access as a transit-transit access. 23 car is an unfortunate reality, the only convenient 24 option. But for those of us near subways or buses 25 sometimes it is a-it is a luxury, and often times one

that cause more headaches than convenience. Parking
plays a major role in every car owner's life
including my own. There have been examples of New
Yorkers paying exorbitant costs for a dedicated
parting space in their building. Try and dispute
over parking spaces-space even with our city's tech
line. The constant search for parking is plain and
simple: A stress and a burden, but new services are
springing up in our city that could go a long way to
our car owners to deciding to park with their
vehicle. Car sharing companies like Fleet Car,
Car2Go and Reach Now help provide the access to
vehicles without the high cost of ownership. Through
the services, New Yorkers can easily arrange a car in
their neighborhood, use it for as long as they need
or want, and then drop it off either on the street or
in a private garage. Some companies even allow you
just to leave the car at their destination so long as
it is within the operating area. This service offers
the same benefits of car ownership without the high
cost and fewer-fewer headaches over parking.

Two other bills we will hearing today will help to support car sharing in New York City.

Intro 873 introduced by Council Member Levine will

25

require the City to set up a car share program using 2 on-the-street public parking while Intro 267 3 4 sponsored by Council Member Mendez will require the city to dedicate space in public garage for share care cars. Using access to care share services can 6 7 provide a major benefit to our city. In keeping our 8 program to the one outline in Council Member Levine's bill help reduce car ownership in Seattle. significant number of responding to a service 10 11 administered by the Transportation Sustainability 12 Research Centers at UC Berkeley say that they soldsold their cars due to the convenience of car share 13 services. The same study found that for every two 14 15 cargo vehicle in Seattle, ten vehicles were-vehicles 16 were removed from the city streets. This, of course, 17 means fewer emissions, more open streets, and a safer 18 pedestrian and cycling environment. I am encouraged 19 by these two bills, and I am eager to hear from the 20 Administration on the code (sic) and plan for 21 expanding access to care share services. 2.2 announcing-I am announcing today that I support these 2.3 two bills, and will be adding my name as co-sponsor. We have to continuing supporting innovation and 24

programs that help review our impact on the

environment. We know we are entering challenging
times with a precedence to have in line a system for
climate change, and has colored something that is not
true. We in New York know all too well that this is
not the case, and we will continue to do our part to
reduce. We will also hear today related to community
notify-notifications about changes to on-the-street
parking in city neighborhoods. Intro 954 introduced
by Council Member Espinal will require the DOT to
provide retrain notification for building owners, and
managers prior to any permanent parking regulation
changes. Intro 1234 introduced by Council Member
Salamanca will require the DOT for re-notify-
notification to local elected officials and community
boards when metered parking is added. When parking
conditions change unexpectedly, communities can be
taken by surprise. This bill will help to increase
transparency in changes to parking regulations. The
committee is also interested in hearing more about
DOT's efforts to modernize our parking system from
the ability to pay by phone or locate parking sports
through app technology, our city can make major
improvements to keep our city moving faster. I now

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

want to give Council Member Levine the opportunity to speak on Intro 873.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair for that excellent opening statement, and for your support of this legislation. You know, even in a city like New York with world class mass transit, there are still times when you need a car. Maybe you are transporting cargo, maybe you're going to and from an area without transit links or maybe you need to transport an elderly relative in the middle of a rainstorm, and because of that, many New Yorkers do In fact, it's about a million and a half own cars. who own cars. As everyone of those million and a half people knows, finding parking for those cars in crowded neighborhoods can be brutal, and that's only going to get worse as the population in the city grows and as our economy continues to boom. short-term car rentals or car sharing actually offer a solution to that challenges because as the Chairman mentioned, research shows that people who share cars tend to buy fewer cars, or even give up the cars they owned and, therefore, don't need to store them on the streets and, in fact, the data shows that for every single car that's shared through one of these

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

services, people give up five to ten privately owned vehicles. And so that—that alleviates congestions and alleviates the parking problem, but for that model to work, there has to be somewhere to park for short-term rentals or the car share vehicles. what cities around the country have done to meet that need is to allow companies dedicated use of spots in garages, and on the street. This is being done in It's being done in Baltimore, Philadelphia, San Francisco to great success, and the companies pay for the use of that space. They don't get a public asset for free, but it does allow for, we believe, fewer people to own it. It requires fewer-it allows fewer people to need private cars, and that's-that's worthy goal that we're pursuing in this bill Intro 873, which would direct DOT to entertain (sic) two agreements with such companies, and require them to report back to the City Council on-on those agreements, and I am very, very excited to discuss with the administration and my colleagues this important legislation today. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Now, we will hear from Council Mendez who will speak on her bill Intro 267.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Commissioner for being here today. This bill was introduced by the great Borough President of Manhattan, Gale Brewer when she was a Council Member. I am proud to be working with her on this. I think in our society now where we're really trying to make the city more pedestrian friendly, one great way of going about this with the advent of the car sharing movement, is to provide place-places particularly public places where we can have some of these cars parked on and make it more accessible to the public. So I look forward to working with the Administration and with the Borough President to making this a reality. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Now, let's hear from Council Member Salamanca who also will speak on his bill Intro 1234.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman Rodriguez, and members of the committee. I would like to thank you all for the opportunity to speak briefly in regards to Intro 1234, legislation I introduced to help bring greater accountability and transparency surrounding the installation muni-meters in our community. Intro 1234 will require that prior

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to the installation of muni meter, the Department of Transportation notify the impacted council member and community board, offer to conduct a presentation, and consider any comments such as Council Members and/or community boards. The installation of muni-meters without notification being given to the affected community has been an ongoing issue in my district and perhaps my colleagues as well. Over this past summer, number constituents brought to my attention that muni-meters have been installed on residential blocks in their neighborhoods with no notification given to them by the Department of Transportation. Upon contacting the community boards in question, I found that they also were not notified or had no prior knowledge to the muni meter installation. is simply unacceptable. While muni-meters certainly are important in many parts of the city, it is my hope that providing this sort of notification to community boards and council members will help to alleviate any concerns that would otherwise occur without the community being notified. Simply, while we all share the same concerns surrounding parking and/or-or our need to alleviate any crowding or other issues, the public should not be left out in the cold

2.2

2.3

Chairman.

on any plans to install muni-meters or otherwise, and simply should not nickeled and dimed when it is unnecessary. To date, Intro 1234 has 33 co-sponsors, which I believe is widespread support for this legislation. With that said, I strongly urge the committee to support this bill. Thank you, Mr.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you and before we will hear from our great Commissioner of DOT, Commissioner Trottenberg, I would like to thank our committee staff for their work in putting this hearing together Counsel Kelly Taylor; Policy Analyst, Jonathan Massearano, Gafar Zaaloff and Emily Rooney; Finance Analyst Chima Obichere, and my Chief of Staff Rosa Murphy. I know ask our Counsel to administer the—the affirmation, and welcome testimony from the Administration.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Would you please raise your right hands. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the committee, and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: We do.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you.

2	COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Thank you, Mr.
3	Chairman and members of the Committee. I'm Polly
4	Trottenberg, Commissioner of the New York City
5	Department of Transportation. Today, I'm joined by
6	Ryan Russo, Deputy Commissioner for Transportation,
7	Planning and Management; Jeff Lynch, Assistant
8	Commissioner for Intergovernmental and Community
9	Affairs. On behalf of Mayor de Blasio, we want to
10	thank you for having us here to discuss management of
11	curbside parking and car share. This year, DOT staff
12	across the entire agency took a comprehensive look at
13	out safe transportation system, looking to make it
14	safer, greener and more efficient. As a result of
15	all this work and building on the Mayor's One NYC and
16	80 X 50 Carbon Emissions Reduction Plan, we reduced
17	the-released our new DOT Strategic Plan in September
18	and I think we-we put copies up there for all the
19	committee members. In this plan, DOT laid out a
20	commitment to expanding safe, affordable and
21	sustainable travel options through shared use
22	mobility including care share. We share the
23	Council's interest in expanding car share, and I'm
24	pleased to announce that DOT will be launching our
25	very own car share pilot. This pilot, which aligns

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with the bills to be discussed today will provide dedicated spaces for car share vehicles in both cityowned parking, public parking facilities and on streets. Before I'll discuss the bills before the committee today, and DOT's upcoming care share pilot, I want to provide some background on car share. As many of you know, car share programs have run significantly in cities across the U.S. and Europe in recent years, as Council Member Levine mentioned offering members use of vehicles for by-the-minute or hourly rent. Two main types of car share services are typically offered: Round try and one-way. trip care share services such as Zip Car and Enterprise Car Share provides members with vehicles they can pick up and drop off at the same location, typically a parking garage or lot. Round trip car sharing requires a reserved or designated spot for each vehicle. One-way care share services like Car2Go and Reach Now allow members to pick up a car park curbside on spot and drop it off at any nonmetered parking space within a designated service One-way car sharing is usually free floating, relying on generally available public parking.

Though in some cities they may also make use of

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

designated spots. These two models of car sharing have unique strengths and weaknesses. One-way car sharing provides maximum flexibility, and the model is rapidly growing in many cities including here in New York. Although researchers note that the predictable location and availability found in the round trip model contributes more directly to car shedding, which is car owners getting rid of their personal vehicles after joining the program. Recognizing the potential of car share, cities across the U.S. have implemented car share supportive policies for both round trip and one-way services. Washington, D.C. provides about 90 on-street spaces around for care share companies, and allows users of one-way car share vehicles to park in residential parking zones and metered spaces. San Francisco has designated about 200 spaces for on-street car share and requires companies to provide spaces in three zones throughout the city. These cities have seen three significant benefits from expanded car sharing, and we hope that it can also be transformational here in New Yorkers. First, car share programs could save New Yorkers hundreds of dollars per month by providing a reliable alternative to car ownership,

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

which saves on lease of purchase costs, insurance and maintenance. Owning a personal vehicle costs on average \$9,000 a year, and comes with the time consuming responsibility for maintaining the vehicle finding on-street parking and, of course, moving your car for alternate of the street cleaning regulations. As the chairman mentioned, that certainly can be time consuming and difficult for New Yorkers. contrast, car sharing costs typically include a modest one-time or annual fee of around \$35 to \$75 and an hourly rate of \$8 to \$15. Car share companies have reported that nationally members can save an average of \$500 a month compared to car owners. And, of course, the relative costs of car ownership in New York City are typically higher than in other places around the country. Second, based on the saving and convenience they provide, car share programs have been proven to support a reduction in personal car ownership. A 2010 review of studies from cities including Philadelphia and San Francisco, found that 23 to 32% of round trip car share members gave up their vehicle after joining a car share service. This translates to a reduction of 5 to 20 personal vehicles per one car share vehicle. According to

2.2

2.3

encourages a family to delay or forego the purchase of the vehicle. Here in New York, Zipcar surveyed its members, and the result shared with DOT suggested that one car share vehicle supported the reduction of up to 23 personally owned vehicles. This is an impressive number that could have profound impacts on our streets if our pilot shows similar results. This means that one dedicated car share space has the potential to significantly reduce neighborhood parking demand, a benefit to nearby households that sill prefer or require the use of a private vehicle.

I know that some are skeptical of shifting on-street parking for car share spaces.

I'll admit that I was, too, but after seeing the research on reduced car ownership and lessening demand on our current space, I believe car share could be a good use of public space that will benefit everyone. Our pilot will test all of this out, and will report back on whether these benefits do materialize on our streets. Finally, as the Chairman mentioned, car share reduces the total amount of miles driven by each member. Car share members pay by the minute or the hour so there's a strong

2.2

2.3

incentive to drive less. In contrast, car owners have a big set of upfront sum costs as the low marginal cost of additional driving. So they tend to drive more. Research in other cities shows up to a 44% reduction in vehicle miles traveled among round trip car share members. Fewer cars on the road and fewer vehicle miles traveled means less congestion as well as lower carbon emissions and air pollution, key priorities of the city's OneNYC and 80 x 50 efforts. A 2016 study of one-way car share found that the average age of vehicles sold by car share members is 14.4 years. In contrast, car share fleets tend to be newer and, therefore, cleaner, more efficient cars that have state-of-the-art safety features.

I'd like now to turn to what car share looks like New York City. We have four large scale car share companies operating here with nearly 5,000 vehicles. The coverage of round trip—round tri car shares such as Zipcar and Enterprise Care Share, is limited to areas that have available off—street parking spaces. Most care share vehicles are stored in private garages where they have limited visibility to the public, and take extra time to retrieve from parking attendants. In areas of the city without

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

private lots, there's currently no feasible way for round trip car share companies to operate. result, 66% of round trip car share vehicles are currently located in Manhattan. One-way car share like Car2Go is currently only available in Western Brooklyn and Queens. So far, this model has not expanded into the dense central business district. The map behind me shows the current coverage of car share program in New York City as well as DOT on parking facilities. When we look more closely even with areas of that are generally well served by car share, there are pockets that lack the convenient car share options due to the uneven distribution of offstreet parking facilities. For example, Park Slope, Astoria and Washington Heights, have few private garages, and they are well served by transit and have a high number of households that store a private vehicle on street and intend to use it for occasional non-commuting purposes. These holds might-these households might take advantage of car share service, free more on-street parking, and making life easier for the remaining residents who require a personal vehicle for their livelihood. Meanwhile, low and middle income neighborhoods with comparatively

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

limited transit options like East Flatbush, Hunts

Point and Jamaica, currently lack access to car share

and could benefit from the enhanced mobility these

services provide. For all of these reasons, we share

the Council's belief that car sharing could be a

great fit for New York City.

So I'm excited to announce that this spring DOT will launch a two-year car share pilot dedicating about 300 streets-300 spots in our public parking facilities, and another 300 on street spaces. As you can see from the map if you look at those with those pink dots those are our parking facilities and they're distributed across the city, and we share Council Member Mendez and Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer's interest in using these locations for care share. We hope to learn a lot about how these parking facilities and on-street car share spots. Council Member Levine still has called for, work together during the pilot. There may also be an opportunity to explore using NYCHA parking lots for car share, and we'll keep the Council updated as we pursue that option.

DOT will select car share companies interested in participating in the pilot with the

goal of providing a high level of service to the
city's residents and visitors. The program will be
open to all car share companies, and will be required
to share data with DOT on curb use, customer
satisfaction and mobility. We believe this program
has the potential to save money for thousand of New
Yorkers who will be able to shed their cars, and use
a much more affordable car share vehicle when they
need it. We know many New Yorkers do not use their
vehicles on a daily basis, and younger city residents
in particular are less-less attached to the idea of
car ownership, and are looking for more flexibility
mobility options. The de Blasio Administration is
excited to be launching this pilot, but we still have
a lot of work to do. We're eager to hear from
elected officials particular you in the Council,
community boards and other stakeholders about which
neighborhoods might wish to participate. We'll also
be soliciting information from care share companies
about where they would to-to site dedicated spaces,
and expand their coverage. Over the coming months
we'll be talking more with the Council and other
stakeholders on how this pilot will move forward.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Now, I'd like to discuss our parking system and Intros 956 and 1234. New York City streets contain approximately 3-1/2 million parking spaces and DOT operates one of the largest systems of metered parking in the world. In 2013, 23 successfully completed the conversion of the city's metered parking from 85,000 single-space meters to just over 14,100 muni-meters, a system which has allowed for a more efficient use of the curb, more convenience for customers, and a reduction in city operating costs. In the summer of 2015, DOT completed an upgrade to a Smart meter system, which provides a wide array of operational and consumer benefits including the ability to program different hours of operation in main (sic) structures, smart collection, improved customer information on the display screen and increase resilience to vandalism. Each year DOT receives—receives requests to install meters throughout the city from BIDs Council Members, community boards, and direction from businesses who want to increase parking availability for shoppers and visitors. Metered parking increase turnover and limits duration, which his helpful for restaurants, shops and other businesses on our commercial

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

When we consider new muni-meter corridors. installations, we assess requests based on land use, sufficient curb space, parking demand, existing metered areas for the purposes of enforcement, and the current occupancy and turnover rates. As an example, in Far Rockaway, we've learned from local merchants that commuters are driving in from Long Island using curbside parking in the commercial corridor to store their cars for the day, and taking the subway to Manhattan. To prevent this, DOT will placing meters to ensure regular turnover so that potential customers can find parking, which can make a big difference for small businesses. In recent years when we've received lots of new meter requests, DOT has prioritized the conversion to the new Smart meter technology. We've also focused on installations where we've been changing the curb configuration such as long SBS routes, but as our city continues to grow, many commercial corridors are experiencing revitalization leading to more requests To address in spring 2016, DOT planned for meters. 600 new muni-meter installations in about 200 areas ranging from requests in Bay Ridge to corridor improvements along 111st Avenue in Queens. Following

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

our longstanding practice, DOT provided 30-day advance written notice to the affected community boards and council members. Overall, we've received a tremendously positive response to the new meters in places like Belmont, Merrick (sic) in Jamaica, the changes were long awaited. In just a half dozen instances, we heard concerns from community boards or elected officials. We took each of those concerns very seriously and met with stakeholders to discuss. In some cases, we successfully made the case for the installations along with the stakeholders that had softened, and in some cases we removed the meters. Within in DOT's current notification practice and our demonstrated commitment to working with communities on meter placement, we feel that the proposed legislation Intro 1234 is unnecessary and we would like to continue to have our ability to respond nimbly to community—to council members and community board requests. I also want to comment just briefly on Intro 954, which was added to this hearing just a few days ago. Though we've not had time for a full review, we do have serious concerns about the administrative burden and complexity of created for DOT on this bill. Council Members often expect DOT

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to move quickly to tackle issues on our streets especially where safety is a concern, and this legislation could significantly affect our ability to do so. We're also concerned that it could have far reaching effects slowing down many of our popular projects like street safety redesign, bike lanes and city bike expansions, and Select Bus Service installation. Let me close by saying if you've been to Midtown recently, you may have noticed new signs popping up as we prepare for the rollout of Pay-by-Since last week, DOT crews have been installing blue zone signage in the area of 14th to 59th Streets from the East River to the Hudson. As you know, Mayor de Blasio promised to launch the city's first mobile payment system for parking this year, and we hope to have an announcement very soon. I'd like to say a big thank you to our Council partners, our partners at the NYPD, the Department of Finance, and Mr. Chairman, you as well for helping us to live with this major benefit for New York City drivers. Stay tuned for more new on that. I want to thank you all for the opportunity to testify today to discussion car sharing and improving our management of parking. I'm happy to take your questions.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,
3 Commissioner. I have a few questions, and I know
4 that my colleagues also have other questions. I have
5 first question on the role related—related to meters.
6 How many-do we have the number of how many muni7 meters do we have in total in the city?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Yes, well 14,100 is—I think that's pretty much--

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: 14,000?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: 14,100 and it covers 80, approximately 85 parking—85,000 parking spaces.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. How—what challenges are—is it that DOT facing when it comes to repair the muni-meters?

we have a dedicated crew that goes out and services the meters. Look, we certainly—I know it's an area of big concern about, you know, complaints about the broken meters. One thing I think that will be obviously exciting about Pay-By-Cell for folks who are now paying by cell, you won't need to go anywhere near a muni-meter, but, you know, we have a team that tries to get out and have a protocol to repair meters

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

as quickly as we can. Obviously, we get complaints from 311. We get complaints in our Borough

Commissioner's Office, our Parking Office, and we try and dispatch people as quickly as possible.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, and with a concern on the community board and elected officials being notified or the business owner, do you see like some compromise in the Council's office?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: And-and thanks. Look, I-I-obviously I know, you know, I'm sorry, you know, Council Member Salamanca. like obviously there the communication wasn't good, although I'm double checking, and we did-we-we followed the same procedure. We notified, you know, both your office and the community board. I just have the date, March 22nd is when we got to that neighborhood. Again, you know, we've been-it had been some years since we put in new meters, and we did, you know, an effort citywide in 200 locations. I think in most places it worked very well. In a couple of places it didn't, and-and in the case of-of your district we-we took the meters out. I'm sure we can find some compromise, but I think, you know, again, in general I think it worked pretty well.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Obviously with some hot spots where-where people are unhappy. You know, it is a challenge we face. the city is growing, we hear from a lot of merchants and Business Improvement Districts that want the meters because, you know, look for small businesses, for restaurants, they want turnover at the curb. That brings them customers and balancing that obviously with-with the desire in some neighborhoods they don't want to see more meters. It-it-it's certainly something obviously we want to work with elected officials and the community boards on. yes, of I'm-I'm happy to see if we can find some good compromise there, but I-I think admittedly with a couple of hotspots where there was some real concern in general we got a pretty good response all over the city. Well, acknowledging obviously it wasn't-it wasn't perfect everywhere.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: In—in listening to your testimony no doubt that the Administration and the Mayor and—and you as the Commissioner being supportive of the—of expanding the culture in—in New York City right?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Yes. No, look obviously we are—we are very excited now to roll out

1 2 a pilot, which I think captures the intention of 3 Council Member Levine's bill and the-the bill that 4 Council Member Mendez's and Borough President Brewer's bill to do a program, which is both going to have an on-street component, and a component in the 6 7 city-owned garages and lots, and we want to do it as 8 a pilot first. We going to-we want to do some experimentation. We want to see for ourselves that it produces the benefits that I think we all believe 10 11 it will produce which is in the end it will actually 12 be for New Yorkers a lot of them an opportunity 13 perhaps to get rid of a car, or not to purchase one 14 if they were planning to purchase one. They will get 15 to save money, and we will actually see hopefully a 16 reduction in congestion, and a reduction in the 17 competition for scarce parking spaces. So I think 18 we're very, very excited to roll out this pilot and 19 obviously we look-we definitely on this one we want a 20 lot of input. We want input from elected officials 21 from community boards. We want to hear parts of the 2.2 city where there's an interest in trying this out. 2.3 And as we said, in particular neighborhoods where maybe a lot of people own cars, but they're not using 24

them on a daily basis. That can be a neighborhood

2.2

2.3

where people are very much—perhaps to love to get rid of their cars, and just have the availability of a car share for when they occasionally need it, and neighborhoods further out into the city when maybe there aren't good connections to transit. Maybe low-income people they can't afford a car, but they would love to be able to use one from time to time. So we think there are a bunch of, you know, neighborhoods around the city where this could a very affordable and convenient option.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: See, one thing that I believe that we as a city, and I called this year was—is to have a plan to reduce the number of cars in New York City on a volunteer base, but it will require to create the condition as my colleagues say in the number there. Only 1.5 million New Yorkers own—own cars to a total of 1.9 million cars in the city, and this something that we showed the administration that we have to do our part to reduce the gas emissions in our city. So when you look at the car sharing, do you think that we will halt or continue to reduce the number of cars in New York City?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I mean I nave
to say and as I said in my testimony, I was someone
who was even a little skeptical, but I have to say as
the data has started to come in, Zipcar looked at
some of the use here in the city and—and we've
mentioned a bunch of other cities have studied this
and it really does look like it induces people either
not to purchase a car or to get rid of car, and the
numbers are pretty exciting. So I'm-I'm not
optimistic that this is something that can-again, in
a way that's positive that's great for consumer. It
will enable them to save money. Also allow us to
reduce the number of cars, you know, competing for
space on crowded streets. So again, we're excited to
try this pilot out. We will validate this data
ourselves, and I-I do think we think it could really
be something that will be beneficial for everyone
both people who use the program and then other people
who are, you know, trying to make use of that scare
street space.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: In—in which way is the DOT working to regulate that industry of the car sharing?

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well, right now again, they operate in private garages, and the Car2Go model, which is the one-way model, if you actually-if you look on this map you can see that blue area is where we have the one-way car companies going, and they're just-they're parking on the street as a regular vehicle, and paying, you know, pay at meters et cetera. So I think the proposal here would be to do something very different, which is to take some on-street-on-street spaces and spaces in our public garages, and on a competitive basis let different car sharing companies come in, and experiment with different models about how it would work, what kind of services they would offer, and then we can see what the uptake is, what the consumer satisfaction is and what a sensible ongoing contractual model would look like. Different cities have done it—done it in different ways. charge a fee. Some have an option. Some require that they give spaces in what might be considered a more desirable part of town in exchange for putting spaces in other parts of town. So there are a bunch of different models, and I think before we settle in on a long-term contract, I think it's prudent for the

2.2

2.3

real.

2	city to do a pilot and experiment a bit so we get the
3	model, which obviously provide the most benefits to
1	our systems and the most benefits to the city.

5 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. You
6 mentioned that you believe that climate change is

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I'm sorry?

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Do you believe that climate change is real?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I believe that climate change is real, yes Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So—so that we can—that we continue doing our own part, you know. So now Council Member Mendez.

missed some of your testimony because we're moving my district office this morning, and there's a lot of problems going on over there with the move. You talked about using some public spaces, but I didn't hear if you outlined a whole bunch of what those places would—would be.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Right and—and we haven't again as—as the bill that you have with Borough President Brewer, we want to put them in our—

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the public garages, which again represents these pink dots on the map here, and the blue dots, by the way, are the spaces where car sharing companies are currently operating in private garages. So what we would do is we would add basically another set of dots to this map, which would be on-street spaces. Again, we're-we're proposing approximately 300, but I think we're also waiting to see after our announcement today what kind of feedback we get. And, you know, we're-I think we're anxious to work with you all and other interested stakeholders about where would be good locations to put those spots. we've said, we can see a couple of areas that particularly have potential. You know, some of the neighborhoods I mentioned like a Washington Heights or a Park Slope where a lot of people own cars, but there's also good subway connections. So they don't use the cars everyday, but they do want cars for occasional uses. That might be one where on-streetplace some cars on the street they would get a lot of use, and induce a lot of people to give up their car or not purchase a car. And then likewise, as you move further out into city, there are a lot of areas not well served by subways, maybe lower-income

2.2

2.3

neighborhoods where people can't afford cars. This gives them an opportunity to have some availability at frankly a—a very, you know, much more affordable price than owning a car outright. And then, as I mentioned, we—we are also going to be talking to NYCHA and exploring, you know, if there's a possibility potentially of putting some of these in NYCHA lots where I think they could also be an affordable option. But again, that's something that would have to be a NYCHA decision. I—I can't speak their model.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you. My district, a good portion of it doesn't have mass transit. That's why Citi Bikes has been a great addition to my neighborhood. I'm also thinking about places like HHC that may have some on-site parking and particularly with individuals sometimes if someone is in the hospital, you know, a group of people may want to go. So then they can take a car share there. I'm just trying to think out of the box what other kind of public spaces we may have, but I'm looking forward to hearing more ideas by DOT and the Borough President in—in trying to get this pilot off the ground.

2.2

2.3

too. I think we're—we're again, we're sort of throwing this open. We love outside the box ideas, too, and it's a great idea whether they're health facilities or other facilities where this could be a terrific addition. So we're open to exploring any ideas you all have, and again I mean it's nice to have this pilot. It's going to give us a chance to do some experimentation and some trial and error, and also to continue to learn from the experience that other cities are having and put together what would be the best model for New York.

noticed is you set 300 spots in public parking facilities in 300 streets, and I noticed that in Manhattan, we only have one public parking, and it's just outside my district and Margaret Chin's over on Essex Street. So like how many spots would you put in Manhattan in the public facility, and how much would you put in the other public parking spaces in the other boroughs.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Right, I—I think that's subject to experimentation. I think as I said in my testimony, interestingly enough, the

2.2

bulk of the car sharing that's happening in the city right now is actually happening in Manhattan, but it's happening inside the garages. So it's not easily accessible for sort of your average—your average person walking down the street. Look, I think we're anxious to have feedback. Obviously, we want to try this in all five boroughs and spread it out amongst our garages. I think the—the bill in the garages called for at least ten cars, up to ten cars per garage. I think in some cases the demand may be bigger than that. In some cases less than that, but again I think we're waiting to get feedback on where folks would like to see this. I can see neighborhoods all over the city where I think this would be really embracing and supportive.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Okay, just—and this is more of a comment. Just like we put voting booths in many places that have public subsidies or tax breaks maybe we should look at some of these buildings that may have some parking spaces and OCD some subsidies to have a set—aside of one spot for—for public space precaution. Thank you very much.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member

Levine, and we've also been joined by Council Members

Chin, Van Bramer, Rose, Reynoso and also Miller.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr.

Chair and to all of you. Good morning, Commissioner.

It's—it's great to see you and I'm—I'm excited about the pilot you're now seeing. I think this is exactly the kind of thing we need to try, and just ask—ask questions about how this would work. So you've talked about a start in the spring, is that correct?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And how long would you imagine this pilot going?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG:

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well, I think were envisioning about two years.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Got it, and the siting of this—of the locations, which you've spoken some about already is going to be so important to the particularly complicated. On the one hand it's a lot like the siting for Citi Bike where we want to give the local community a say in where these locations will go. On the other hand, there's another party involved here, which is the companies, and I'm wondering whether first of all you envision drawing

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on the Citi Bike siting process for community input around these care share locations, and I also wonder to what extent you're going to simply tell companies where they should locate based on when there's also community complication, and to what extent you're going to allow them to us in the city where they want to locate?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well, it's-it's a good question, and I-I-there's some truth to the Citi Bike analogy although it's a little different. This is going to be fewer-this is going to be, I think comparatively speaking fewer spaces in terms of an impact in any given neighborhood or community board. Look, in the end, obviously the city will have the final say about where any of these spaces are going to go. But I think again we both, as I said, we want to hear from elected officials, and community boards, and get your input. obviously we want to hear, as we take—as we take vision from the private companies where they think the program would be successful. But in the end, I can assure you we'll-we'll retain the ultimate say about where these are going to go, and the good thing about a pilot is we can experiment here. If we put

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

it in a space, and it turned that it doesn't work for whatever reason, we'll move it. And if you put it in a neighborhood it turns out people want to see a lot more of it, that will be a good day. That's a point for us as we consider what the ultimate contract will look like. I mean, again, part of why on this one we really wanted to do a pilot is I think there are a lot of questions, and I want to make sure we get this right before the city locks-locks itself into longterm contracts with a particular firm. I-I think getting the details of this right will be essential, and obviously we-we feel very strongly, and we want community support on this. We think this could bethis can be a terrific amenity, but we recognize people have to be onboard with the fact that we are going to be doing this in some cases on the street, and obviously people always concerns, and we, too, on the street. (sic)

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: But would you commit to a level of community consultation and input comparable to Citi Bike where it does go to around the consultation with the community board, with the public posting on the proposals, but then you showed some input on?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Yeah, I-I
think we can commit to that? I mean I-I would-I
would say that this about it, just the, you know,
just the thought, which is since this is a pilot and
it's going to be experimental, I do want to have the
ability if something is not working well, to be able
to make changes to it. You know, this—it's sort of
a-I think it's a discussion at large about a lot of
legislation here today understanding sometimes
council members and community boards feel like they
want, you know, they're not-we're not giving them
enough notification. They want a lot of time, but I
often hear a lot from community boards and council
members and others about fix this thing very quickly
So there's a balancing act there. If I told you to
fix something very quickly, and then I need to go
through a three-month process before I can fix it,
that can create frustrations on the other side.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And just—so this would be an RFP that would be issued by DOT, is that correct?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Yes.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And would the idea be that one company alone would win the contract?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: No, no, no.

This is—this is something we want to open up and make sure we're opening up to multiple companies. We want to have a bunch of different experiments going and create competition, and also see what—what's working best. I mean there have been a bunch of cities, and actually we're one right now. We—we have four different companies operating in the city. I think we want to see those numbers if anything grow. We don't want to tie them in with the competition.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Great, and would you expect that you would identify the locations in the RFP or would that be worked out in negotiations after you've already awarded the contract?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Yeah, I—I think we're going to figure out what that mechanism is going to look at. Again, part of it is now that we've announced this today, I want to see frankly what kind of a response we get, and what kind of interest there is. You know, I think I'm particularly interested first of all in hearing what

2.2

2.3

the interest is again amongst local officials, community boards, neighborhood groups, et cetera, and then starting our discussions with the car sharing companies. You know, again, their—their—in the city they have, you know, up until mow been basically driven the round trip forms by where they get into the private lots. The one-way once again, I've sort of been in this part of Brooklyn and Queens. I need to talk to them more about what it would, you know, how they could expand further into the city. I think their model perhaps is a—is a challenging one in like the dense parts of Midtown Manhattan.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Every square inch of real estate in the city has value, and that's certainly true for a parking spot. So I'm assuming that we would charge these companies for the use of the space. Is that correct?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well, I think it's a decision we want to make about how we structure this first round, and how much we want to charge or how much we want to retrain the ability to say we're not charging you, but if we don't like the way that the space is working out, we'll get rid of it tomorrow. So, but I can't give you a fixed answer

2.2

chat. Again, I want to-part of it is that I want to
get input from you all, and then I also want to see
what-what the car companies come in with. I think is
the long run, most of the models involve again
either, you know, in some cases offering a response
or charging or requiring some kind of if you're
serving this neighborhood we also want you to serve
this neighborhood. So I don't want to prejudge the
model quite yet, but obviously, we'll be open to
figuring out a model but, of course, we'll bring as
much value to the city as we can.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And—and this could be done both in the spots that have meters currently, and those that are unmetered, is that correct?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: We were looking to do this in unmetred.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Is that because you don't want to give up the revenue?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well, again as I've said, our—our, you know, metered spots—the main reason we have metered spots is it's tremendously important in commercial areas. It helps businesses.

25 | It helps restaurants. The City generates revenue for

2.2

2.3

it, but I know contrary to popular opinion, we don't put them in just to generate revenue. I mean cities all around the world put metered parking in in commercial areas so that their turnover and you can get a flow of customers. So I thinks it's our preference not to take those spots, but again, I--I think this is open to discussion. I think our original vision has been maybe just to doing in the non-metered areas.

mentioned, and—and the Chair has also pointed out, it seems like an essential question here is whether car sharing supplements (sic) are a substitute. To what extent they're a substitute for private car ownership versus the substitute for simply taking a taxi versus the substitute for a mass transit trip. And my interest and I think your interest is to find alternatives to private car ownership, and not to simply give people substitutes for the other modes of transportation.

it—it certainly seems like the data is starting to bear out that—that that is the case. That is and particularly we can envision in this city again when

we talk about neighborhoods where people own cars,
but they don't use them for daily commutes in many
cases. That does seem like a whole sort of natural
cohort of people who could benefit tremendously from
having the sporadic use of a car like to do a big
chop (sic) in there again. Take a-take a, you know,
take the family somewhere, and they really don't need
a car, and the hassle and the expense of a car is
something that, you know, if they have this as a
convenient option, they would be happy to get rid of.
And then again, there are neighborhood where people
would also like the occasional convenience of a car.
You know, perhaps more than a taxi, but don't, you
know, but aren't going to pay the 9,000 plus dollars
a year. So I really think it could be wonderful to
serve both those markets. I don't think it's shown
to be much of a substitute for taxis. At least the
round trip is not—is not akin to that. But again,
part of this is where, you know, one of the things we
will do in this case is again because we're doing
this as a pilot working with these private companies.
We want to make sure that they're giving us data on
what the customer base looks like, and what people

2.2

2.3

2 are using it for. That will help-you know, it will
3 help inform our decisions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And have you thought about reporting and data collection that you could build into the pilot that would help us answer these questions?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Yes, absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: So—so would that require the company to report on, for example, the number of trips, and all that kind of usage data?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And would that be reported to be a team? Would it also be made public to a couple--?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well, we certainly want to make it public, yes.

bill does include language about reporting, which is mostly focused on [coughs] learning about I guess the kind of inquiries you were getting from companies.

So then it's in negotiations, et cetera. But it does seem to me that getting the reporting on usage by the company could be so important to help the public

2.2

understand this-what good this was accomplishing. So

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: No, I agree 100% and again, I think before the city would want to enter into a big long-term contract, we absolutely would all need to see that data, all the policymakers and the public so that people were making an informed decision that this was a—you know, that the—you know, the cost of giving up some of the parking spaces, the benefits would outweigh that in terms of reducing car ownership, reducing costs for New Yorkers. It's perhaps reducing the competition for the very same parking.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: That's—that's great. I'm—I'm going to pause now. I just want to say that I—I was disappointed that your respective on 1234. I'm going to allow our—our sponsor to—to ask questions about that, but it seemed to me and really reasonable, and maybe on a second round I'll come back to the staff that was useful. Thank you, Commissioner. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Council Member Salamanca.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Council Member Levine for that support. Commissioner, so you know, when I was a district manager there were many times that we advocated in the community board for muni-meters. We saw the importance of muni-meters in business district again for the turnover of cars to increase business, to prevent cars from parking there in business districts for periods of time, which had an effect on the-on the business. I became council member back in March, I can say that I did get a letter from Department of Transportation informing that they were going to-your agency was going to install muni-meters in the same district where I thought was not an appropriate location because it was residential area. I did reach out to that community board, which is my prior community board, and they never received any notification. I spoke at the time to the borough commissioner, Connie Moran, and Connie ensured me that these meters were not going to be installed. A week and a half later, these meters were installed. So I called the borough commissioner. I said what happened? She told me that this-these-these meters were not going to be

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

installed, and she informed me that she had no jurisdiction over the installation of muni-meters, but this is something was done centralized I guess at—at the—at the main DOT office. So my question to you, Commissioner, is what notification process do you have prior to installing a muni-meter? Is your only notification process a letter to the local elected official and the community board?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Yeah, let me and I'd-I'd actually-I'd actually like to run through at least I think from our end what the-sort of the chronology was in your instance, and again I-I would say, look, I'm-I'm sorry. Obviously, things didn't turn out the way there that the people like. I-I do just want to emphasize I think in general the rollout of these meters is pretty smooth. It was 600 new meters so unfortunately I don't think you can ever do anything quite at that scale that's going to be 100% smooth. So we-we do-we send out letters. We sent that on March 22nd, and I'm-I'm surprised to hear you said the community didn't receive it. That's-that's I understood that they had to them and news to me. to you. We hared back from you basically a month later, April 26th and I think the problem was, you

know, admittedly it was a little bit of a case of
crossed signals, which is we heard your strong
concerns, but we were only unfortunately sort of a
couple days away from installation. So, I think the
message didn't trickle down. The meters were
installed, but as you know, we took them out I think
as soon as we sort of realized that there was, you
know, unhappiness about them, and we got them out as
quickly as we could, and there had only been a couple
places throughout this whole rollout that where that
did occur. Again, it's-it's not our desire to put
meters in places where people don't want them. We
were actually responding to, you know, hundreds and
hundreds of requests we've had around the city for
meters. So, that has been our practice to let
everybody know a month ahead of time, and usually we
have very good, you know, we have district managers.
So we try and have good communications with our
community boards. It's not always perfect, and I'm
sorry when it isn't, but in general I think the
system worked—I think it worked pretty well.
Admittedly, with a couple spots where obviously it
didn't work as well as it should have

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: My—my question
3 is does DOT feel that there should be community input
4 prior to installing a muni meter, or does your agency

5 just feel that you know, where a muni meter should be

6 installed in that city should just be installed?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well, we-we do feel there should be community input, and that's why again in the case of your community when we heard, you know, unfortunately a little late in the game that there was strong opposition, we took them out, and we've done that in a couple of places, and a couple places when we sat down with communities, I think particularly when the merchants' voices were heard, or the restaurants' voices were heard, people decided to keep them in. It-it is not, you know, it's not an issue sometimes where you can get total unanimity, but we try-we try in our cases to put them in places where we see the strongest case in terms of benefitting local businesses, benefitting restaurants, connecting to what we see as a growinggrowing commercial corridor. But it is again not rule to try and put them in where there is very strong objection. We don't try and put them in over the objections of Council Members, which is why when

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

we learned of your strong opposition, which unfortunately just came late in the process, we worked with you to take them out.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Who oversees the location of muni-meters? Who—who makes that final decision? Who in your agency says we're going to install a muni meter in this specific block?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: It's-it's a-it's a combination. It is input we get in our borough commissioner officers, that we hear from community boards, from merchants, from BIDs, but it's also working with our experts in the parking office, because there are other factors you have to look at for where you install meters. You know, one question is for example, we might get a request for one meter sort of in an isolated spot. That may not make sense. It'-it may make more sense to put it into an area where there's already a bunch of meters, and it can be sensibly enforced. I also, you know, I hear from council members. Council Member Richards is here. We heard loud and clear in Far Rockaway, for example, from the Council Member, from the BID, from local business, but they are having a problem with folks commuting in from Long Island and parking all

2.2

2.3

day in front of stores and restaurants taking the subway into Manhattan. They were very desirous and were going to be installing meters there to basically get some turnover. So it's a variety of incoming sort on the Borough Commissioner and then the Intergovernmental end and then working with the parking lot just to determine technical, feasibility enforcement, looking at the usage and the turnover of other meters we may have in that neighborhood.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: But is there one particular person who has the final say so? Who signs off on a muni-meter being installed? That's my question.

you could say I sign off on it, although I'm not going to tell you that I personally signed off on every location of 600 meters, but certainly, the whole agency we sat together. I mean again we sat together. We spent a lot of time thinking about where to do this new installation. Again, the goal was not to try and—not to try and make anybody unhappy. Quite the opposite. We were hoping these meters would be well received. There were places where we hearing a lot from merchants, restaurants,

2.2

2.3

et cetera. So, you know, that's why when we heard
again that there was such strong opposition our—our
feeling was okay well then we'll remove them. It's
not something we're trying to force on people.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Do Borough commissioners play a role in muni-meters being installed in their—in their borough?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Okay, and do they have any final say-so if the muni-meters should be installed there or not?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well, I think certainly they're among the important decision makes in the process, and we obviously look to them because they work the most closely on the ground as community boards with BIDs, with local businesses, and in many cases with the Council Members as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Alright, my other question other than what is the criteria that dictates the necessarily for a muni-meter?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I mean again it's—it's particularly based on what we're seeing in terms of commercially activity, and whether we're seeing. You know, look, again, a little bit of good

2.2

2.3

news we're seeing in the city right is a tremendous revitalization and growth in some of our commerce corridors, areas where previously frankly there may be only a couple stores and restaurants, and it wasn't that hard to get parking. Now, as commercial areas are getting, you know, they're getting more storage, they're getting more vibrant, you know, we're hearing more and more from restaurants and businesses that they want to see, you know, more turnover at the curb. So, you know, it really comes to us organically. I have to say mostly from what we're hearing from the business community on the ground, but also again from elected officials, community boards.

two questions. So you're telling me that DOT feels that it—it is unnecessary to go to a local community board 30 days prior to installing a muni meter, and making a formal presentation to that community board, and getting true community input. DOT prefers to send a letter as opposed to making a formal presentation to that community board.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I—I guess I'll just put it this way. I think sort of in answer to

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

another question, which is there's two sides to the coin, and again, knowing your-you know, unfortunately what happened in your district, which we were able to I hear from Council Members on I quess fix for you. sort of both sides of the coin, which sometimes wanting a very quick response on problems that have arisen. You know, and then also, of course, obviously wanting to do as much as we can in terms of transparency and public process. So it's a balancing act. I think here again with a couple of exceptions, I think this process worked pretty well. lot of good feedback around the city. We were able to roll things out pretty quickly and pretty effectively, and produce a lot of good turnover, and I think a system of meters that's going to help small businesses and restaurants. But, look, it's a judgment call obviously. Your-you're the Council. You can you can make a judgment about how much sort of time and formality we want to enter into all these processes. I-I would just put that there can sometimes be a downside. It can take much longer, and it will mean then when you, you know, when I hear from a community board, or a BID that they want something quick to happen that can-that can slow us

feedback around the city.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

down on the other end. So it's—it's a judgment call.

Again, I—knowing you're unhappy I do think in general it worked pretty well. It wasn't perfect. I don't know that we can ever get a perfect rollout, but I think it's one mostly I've gotten a lot of positive

COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Commissioner, I-I thank you for your statements. I do feel strongly to improve transparency between the community and city agencies. In this case, DOT, a formal presentation to the local community board. Τo sit there and hear them out, hear their concerns about a muni-meter being placed. Maybe they may agree with the muni-meter being placed. Maybe they want two hours as opposed to one hour in terms of the muni-meter. I-I feel strongly that we need to increase that level of transparency, and then finally in terms of your car share coverage, I'm-I'm looking at your map. I just ask that you please do not leave out the South Bronx when we're talking about the car share coverage.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: No, no again, absolutely not. In fact, I'm, you know, part of why we're rolling this out today is we very much want to

2.2

2.3

- hear that feedback of what, you know, what
 neighborhoods, Council Members and others think would
 be good candidates. We want to be all five boroughs
 and go into places where we think it's really going
 to help people.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER SALAMANCA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Well, we have for—from the 3,000 members, thanks to these bills. I have a question. Then we'll go back to the other Council Members. Can you share what have the experience with the—that DOT have with the—with the PARK Smart Program?

it's a-it's a program to try and do meter pricing to actually increase basically, you know, use pricing to increase turnover at the curb. As you know, we did an experiment in several neighborhoods in the city, and in some places the results were more positive than others. It is-if-if you looking at our Strategic Plan, it is certainly I think one of the things we want to consider in the future in the city, and installing now as we've done with these, now with our 14,100 meters are what we would call Smart

Meters, and they give us an ability to be much more
sophisticated and refined in how we can change hours
of day, right. We can do a whole bunch of different
things. I think our first step now is to do Pay-By-
Cell, which we think is, you know, again we're going
to roll it out this year. It's going to very, very
transformative we hope. Other cities that have had
it have found that it can be tremendously convenient,
and now between that and the fact that they NYPD will
have now these handheld devices that are also going
to be much more sophisticated that can be used for
Pay-By-Cell, but then also potentially be used for
any kind of other parking innovations we want to
make. After we've gotten this in place, we want to
look to what is going to be that next generation of
parking innovations recognizing it's something we
need to work closely with the Council on parking. It
is always an area that obviously people have strong
feelings about. But a lot of cities are doing a lot
of tremendously innovative things as you point out in
managing their parking inventory, and dynamic
pricing, and making it more apparent that our parking
spaces are available, and we use technologies to open

2.2

2.3

up a lot of interesting policy objectives that we can look to do here in the future.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And---and, you know, I think that public and private partnership is always like the way of how we can get or making things done, and today you heard—we heard a lot from especially the private sector and the opportunity to collect information. You've seen new technology. When it comes to the meters like is there any opportunity also that has—that has respect to the DOT being engaged in any conversation that were looking to take advantage of the meter system to more we are staying and park the car like to use it for all the information that is important?

the—the—the Smart Meters that we've installed actually we can gather a lot more data. You know, I'll—I'll give you one interesting example of some of the data we can glean from our—from our muni-meters something I know the Council is interested in, the issue of placard crossing. We can see in some places, for example, a busy commercial area where, you now, you would ordinarily expect the meter to be producing, you know, a good amount of revenue during

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the course of the day. We can see an area where perhaps they're producing no revenue. It can often be a sign that they're a lot of placard users. You know, the placard user could be legal. It could be That doesn't, you know, it just—we can use illegal. some of that data to just teach us some of the things about how parking is working in a given neighborhood, and we are working right now. It's-it's something we hope to put out sometime next year, a pretty comprehensive analysis of parking throughout all the different neighborhoods of New York, and what it looks like, and where we're seeing challenges, and where we want to make calls, do recommendations about how it could work there.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: When it comes to dangerous intersections by the—when—when the DOT and your team is working to install meters, are you also looking at opportunities to address the daylight system like, you know, to be sure that there's no parking close to those corners or going into—

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: [interposing]
Well, you know, yes. I mean, as you know, we're
always evaluating intersections just because of the
city and looking at potential places where we can

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

daylight. For example, it's something, you know, it's one of the concerns I guess I-I have about the bill that would require a pretty complex notification process every time we change a parking regulation because for sometimes when we-there's been a terrible crash it's something we'll often do very quickly perhaps if we see evidence in intersection that we should daylight, and we'll use parking restrictions to do that daylighting. So I would hate to lose that ability to act nimbly as we see safety issues arise around the city. Not to mention I also hear often from Council Members or BIDs or other business interests about can you make this quick adjustment to solve an issue or a challenge that a business or a restaurant is having. So, you know, the ability to do that fairly nimbly I think it's something that relatively speaking serves us all well while acknowledging occasionally obviously it can cause concerns, and we want to do everything we can to try and address those when they arise.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay, what about like in the Midtown area where we have so many—and I have seen personally some changes, too, when it comes to designating so many streets for trucks to do their

2.2

2.3

delivery. And as we also know, we're trying to address it. Even though we need those good from the store we go and buy anything, and we need to be sure that the private sector is able to deliver this whatever is food or any other thing that we need. But has DOT also addressed or think about it how to, you know, and not to bring some much incentive for trucks to deliver during the daytime, the daytime. So that those areas that it say no parking it say truck, is for a specifically time during the day or night. So that is not designated for the 24 hours, it's for trucks to park the car.

this is, look, it's no secret right now the city and—and we're working with City Hall and NYPD and the Department of Finance to think of everything we can do now obviously to try and address the congestion we're experiencing. Midtown was already seeing, obviously with the new security around some power, proving a big challenge for all of us. And—and we're thinking deeply about what should be the balance of uses on the streets and—and Midtown is a good example where, you know, we obviously, we particularly want to make sure buses can get through because they

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

carry-you know, they're such an efficient mode of carrying people, and we have certainly started to think about how we can work with private buildings and restaurants, et cetera in terms of deliveries. But I also want to make sure obviously Midtown Manhattan is one of the most commercially vibrant and-and-and economically important districts in the country if not the world, and I also want to make sure we're-we're not making it too difficult an extensive to conduct business there. So it's clearing a balancing act. The City had in the past a very successful program. We got federal funds to help incentivize private companies to take their shipments off hours. But it required some-it required some real basically hands-on work with them because in many cases they either needed to have someone who was delivering the goods or someone they trust so they could essentially give them a key to the storage facility, and let them deliver the goods directly, or they needed to keep staff on perhaps, you know, off hours overnight to take in the delivery of goods. So it's something we're certainly going to look at, but I-I do again want to make sure we're also balancing the needs. You know, for example

2.2

restaurants is a good example. Restaurants typically
get a lot of their shipments in during the day, fresh
food to prepare for the-for the rush-the-the rush of
lunch time and dinner. So I want to make sure any
kind of policies we're doing there we're working
closely with businesses. The last thing we want to
do is, you know, hurt their operations eve as we're
trying obviously to do everything we can to decongest
the streets.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: DOT is working with a plan?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Good. So now we're going back to the Council Members. We're going to put up a clock on five minutes. Council Member Chin.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: [pause] Thank you,
Chair, Commissioner. A couple of questions. One on
the—on the muni-meter, I think that more input from
the community and community board is really
necessary, and I think you for increasing more
parking space in my district especially in Chinatown.
But I think that there's still a lot of sort of like
kind of discussion to make it better. For example,

in the beginning we would have the muni-meters is
only for an hour, and I think that we also have
heard, you know, the local BID is not enough to eat
shop, and we want people to stay a little bit longer.
And there are still streets like on East Broadway
that the muni-meter is only one hour and I think
that's not enough and we need to really re-think
that. And the other thing, too, is that in terms of
the-the time I think that some of the meters will
last until 10 o'clock at night, and for a lot of the
businesses that are suffering, they really want to
kind of encourage customers to come down for dinner.
I mean if you really come down to Chinatown, right,
it's just not the same like before 9/11. So that in
terms of encouraging people to stay a little longer
for dinner. Imagine if you put in the-you've gotten
your muni-meter ticket at 8 o'clock and sometimes
with dinner it goes longer, you meet friends and
things that are-you rush out to put money in the
meter. So if there's a way to sort of like have the
meter stop at 8 o'clock or 9 o'clock I think that
would go a long way in terms of encouraging more
diners to come in the community

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: A couple
answers on that. One, I-I do-I am happy to say Pay-
By-Cell to the extent that people avail themselves of
it, it will—it—it can potentially help solve that
problem to some degree, but look, we-we are very
keen. We, you know, one thing again it's complicated
in the city. We have 85,000 metered spaces, and
neighborhood uses are always changing. We very much
do want to get input from community boards, from
elected officials from businesses, and we are trying
again to be as nimble as we can, and we know
sometimes certainly neighborhood uses are changing,
if they're restaurants at night, we want to try and
have longer hours at night, or cut off at certain
point. So, you know, again one of the challenges we
often find in parking is sometimes you don't always
have unanimity in a given are about what people want
to see. But again, we are very much trying to set
parking policy particularly to help businesses. So,
if there are instances in any of your districts
around the city where you think we can be doing a
better job there, of course, we want to hear about
it.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: [interposing] I
3 think that's fair.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: [interposing] We're trying to work it.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Tell me about flexibility so that it's not one-size-fit-all for a different district because if there's a need, I think that—that is great. The other issue is that you raised it earlier, too: Pocket parking. There's so much abuse down here in my district. It's just getting really out of hand, there are, of course, there's still parking along the commercial strips, and taking away parking spaces for people who might want to come down for lunch or just to do shopping, and now it's not even parking. I mean there are people who are parking on spots down here in Lower Manhattan with their uniforms people showing the sign, NYPD. Come on and they're not paying, right. If there is a muni-meter there, everyone should pay. If there—there on official business, then they can get reimbursed, but something has got to be done with this pocket parking or abuses that—that's I mean that's going on, and I get constantly, you know, pictures from constituents from people who see what's

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

going on, and we really have to do something about
that, and DOT has to coordinated with NYPD, and crack
down on these abuses.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I-I think maybe one of the—the silver linings of the—the challenges we're facing right now are around the-the security around Trump Towers. I have to say NYPD and DOT we have been sitting down very closely to talk to them first of about how we can address obviously thethe challenges up in Midtown, but it has certainly led to a broader discussion, and we've now created a task force to look at these issues, and certainly the issues of placard abuse is high on the list of something we want to work with to see if we can reduce. We-look, we know down-there's-there's neighborhoods Downtown, Lower Manhattan, Downtown Brooklyn, Queens around-around Borough Hall and thethe courts, in State Island around the courts. know, particularly we hear a lot of complaints, and we see a lot of issues with it. It is certainly one where we need to work with NYPD. At DOT we don't really have any control over the system but--

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: [interposing] But that's good. I mean you have some coordination, and that's—we got to actually look closer.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: [interposing]

Actually, no-no and look I-I-I just want to get the issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: [interposing] I don't have enough—only another minute, but just let ask the question or a suggestion in terms of the car share program. We have a lot of senior buildings that have parking lots that is not fully utilized. We want to build housing on it, but it's not going to happen all at once. So those might be good areas to institute or to rent the space for the Car Share Program.

we're very keen to get the good ideas that you all have about how we can make this a great pilot. I—I just want to say on the placards issue, I think

Commissioner O'Neill is very open-minded to taking a look at—at how we can crack down on that problem. So I think he's committed to working with us on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you. I appreciate that. Thank you, Chair.

2.2

2.3

2 COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Council Member 3 Rose.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you. Good morning. So Commissioner, one of the things you did when you first came in I—I felt was phenomenal, you brought all of the City Council Members together to sort of talk about very broadly about what your vision was. I think it might be a good idea if you brought us all back together to discuss some of these things. I think it just might help move some of this along, and in the past muni-meters were placed in commercial areas only. Could tell me what the thinking is now or the justification for thinking about putting them in residential areas?

I—I think we don't—we don't necessary—we wort of view the curb as metered and non-metered, and the goal with meters is again the—the focus is on ensuring that local businesses and restaurants can have a customer base, and that's sort of what drivers meter policy. Now, I—admittedly people can potentially look at it. I mean people can sort of view it as a zero sum game to the extent that you're putting in a meter in a place even if it's a commercial corridor,

if previously there was no there people were parking there and using it as residential parking. Again, it's a balancing act and, you know, our goal is—I mean one of the challenges we're facing, we're facing it on the congestion front, we're facing it on the parking front is we're having a lot of economic growth here, and that I think is good news for businesses and restaurants. But it's definitely a challenge in how we can manage what are increasingly both packed streets, and packed curbs. But, you know, the streets of New York they are—they're mixuse streets, and we don't have official residential zones. We don't have residential parking. You know, we try and—we try and balance the use as best we can.

about more so in—in Manhattan as opposed to the outer boroughs, because there are clearly defined residential areas, and parking is sort of clearly defined in—in residential—as residential versus commercial.

22 COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG:

23 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE:

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG:

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE:

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: [interposing]
Well, the—I mean there aren't—there aren't
technically residential areas. I mean New York City
is pretty mixed—use so almost everywhere there's a
mix of—you know, in many places there's a mix of
residents. This is mainly as you get out into Staten
Island more residential and less commercial, but I
mean we try essentially with muni-meters to build
them where there is demand for commercial activity,
stores, restaurants.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So before this would be considered say in my—in my district there would be again access to public hearings, community boards. We would have input into where these munimeters would be placed.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Yeah, I mean our—our policy has been notify council members and community board but we haven't done hearings on them. I think that would be—first I think that would be very labor—intensive for a process that again I think has worked not perfectly acknowledging, but I think has worked pretty well, and we have in cases this last round where we installed muni-meters, and it probably may be a while again before we install the

2.2

2.3

next round. We tried to work closely with communities where we heard strong objections. We either didn't put the meters in or in a couple cases unfortunately where we had put them in, we took them out.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: In terms of how—how you—what are the metrics that you're going to use to determine where—what a good space is in terms for not only the muni-meters, but for the car share parking places?

muni-meters, the metrics we're looking at is commercial activity. It's partially driven by input we get again from BIDs and local merchants. Some cases elected officials, and then looking at what the turnover is at the meters—if we have meters in that area, what the turnover is in that area. For the car share, I think as I said, there—there, you know, I think there we're strongly looking to hear input, but again, a couple of the places where we see the model could be very successful, areas where people own cars, but they're not using them everyday for example for commuting purposes. They're only using them sporadically so there's a lot of expense and

3	Those would be people who would very likely
4	potentially really love a car sharing model where

inconvenience for a car you're not using that often.

5 they could either get rid of their car or not buy a

6 car. But we also see areas in the city, which are

7 maybe not well connected to transit, low-income

8 neighborhoods where a lot of people don't own cars,

9 but would love to have access to a car from time to

10 time--

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [interposing] So you are--

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: --and this is a very low cost way to do it.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So you identified ten percent. Doesn't that seem like a large percentage to devote to the car sharing program especially since parking is—is so limited and—and such a precious commodity?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well--well, I think-let me clear, the 10% is what was in Council Member Mendez's bill that we could do up to ten percent in our municipal lots, each of them up to ten spaces. So I think what we're potentially looking to do is that number in each of our lots, which is

2.2

2.3

actually turned out in mass city-owned five percent
and then potentially another 300 spots citywide,
which out of $3-1/2$ million spots is an infinitesimal
little fraction of a number.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: And I know my time is up, but I just want to know on this map are these proposed or existing?

the pink spots are where we have already existing city municipal garages and lots. The blue spots are where you have existing car share sites. They tend to be in private buildings. So, therefore, you see a lot of them in Manhattan. You don't really see many on Staten island. So we haven't yet added what will be the next color of dots, which is where we would like put these.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So these are already existing?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: This is existing yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Thank you.

Council Member Reynoso followed by Council Member

Mealy, and I also—we've also been joined by Council

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Members Richards, Menchaca, Garodnick, Greenfield and Levin and Levin.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Good morning, Commissioner. Thank you for being here. I think this is a great job that my colleagues are really bringing attention to something that I think is going to be a viable alternative to transportation in our city in car sharing. I want to make New York City a city where you could drive, but you don't need to drive. That's—that's the goal, and in some places in Staten Island, Far Rockaway, Southeast Queens, the Bronx, the only way to get there is to go through car, or any other option would be too long or just not comfortable depending on the bus and train services or subway services that we have there. example in Far Rockaway, there is no car sharing program. There's no way to bike there, and that travel—and traveling there let's say from North Brooklyn to Far Rockaway would take about an hour and 30 minutes on the A-Train or the L to the A and the J and Z to the-to the A. Anyway to get to that A, it would be an hour or hour and a half. Car sharing would be an ideal situation that-in that circumstance I guess, but it doesn't exist because there are no

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

spaces, the programs themselves haven't expanded to those locations, as you can see. So just looking at these red dots, I see great potential. I'm extremely excited about what we can be doing there. would ask that in any conversations we have with Zipcar, Enterprise, Car2Go, ReachNow and other services that might be able to come into the city to take advantage of this opportunity that it's mandatory that they go all the way out to Far Rockaway right, that they co all the way out to-to Jamaica. We could easily add these lots and still be limited-and still be limited in this way. So just in your negotiations with these car sharing programs to use your lot, I'm really looking at Car2Go and ReachNow, the blue areas that they use. It's-it's concerning that it's that-that it's that limited that's all, and just think that we could take advantage of our-our procurement opportunities and our negotiating to-to do more. On the muni-meters, I think a community board and council member outreach before those things go out, I think it's an easy fix. So I really feel like we're-we're like batting a thousand here today when it comes to real solutions to real issues, and I hope that you're brought in

2.2

2.3

mostly. So I guess that's more of a-more a comment than question, but let's hear it back from you.

I think San Francisco has something like the model you're talking about, which is they divided the city into three zones, and they're requiring car share companies to come in to serve each zone. So, obviously, I think here we'll want to make sure as we experiment that, of course, places like Far Rockaway is a perfect place for something like car share, but I want to hear, you know, obviously from the firms about what kind of a business model it would entail to cover an area that large and, you know, make sure we're getting to every corner of the city. That's where I think we'll see the biggest benefits in this program.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you, Chair. Thank you, Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And—and I have to thank the—the Commissioner because this is like back—to—back hearing because the previous hearing that you came—came to testify was about the Bike Share, the bike program, Citi Bike, and I think knowing that DOT has been opening also to continue exploring ways on

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

how to expand the bike program to underserved communities. And now when we look at the car share, this is also—this is also, you know, for us it's about reducing cars in the city, but also can we motivate the private sector to expand the services especially to the transportation desert areas. So I'm also happy to know that the two (sic) and DOT have been also very supporting of this idea. Council Member Mealy.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [pause] Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good afternoon--is it afternoon or almost afternoon--Commissioner to you and your team. Look, a few questions. Let me start with Intro 1234, and I don't want to belabor it, but as Council Member Reynoso said, it should be an easy fix, but that fix would have to require real transparency and real engagement as we talked about community board, and other in advance of doing this. And I just want toas an example Council Member Salamanca, you mentioned back in April when he had a problem and he wrote a letter to a community board, but prior to that in Southeast Queens, I was notified by community members that these muni-meters had been installed in the district, and they had been installed on streets,

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

which were solely inhabited by one and two-family homes, which we thought certainly was unfair. So my point is that they were universally rolled out throughout the city, and that they were kind of a one shot or one-size-fit-all, and that there was no engagement prior to that, and there has not really been a real fix as well. I know that there were concerns in certain portions of the community board within the district or a district that I share with Council Member Richards. But again, and it was a one-size-fit-all, and I thought that that was problematic, and in particular in areas where we are limited in the downtown area as well. I think that not that we are opposed to it, but we want to do it more thoughtfully and strategically when we do so. So I want to get through this in my next three minutes, and you could kind of whatever we have you can answer, and then in terms of the car share, whathow do we quantify the success of this pilot program? Will it be fiscal returns, and how do we ensure that are impacting communities such as the Greater Jamaica area and the areas that don't have transportation options. How does that fit in, and has there been a study done to kind of compare the-the cost of

forward with all of these programs, which I think all

of them are worthwhile programs. I think they can do

a great deal of good or they would do a great deal of

2.3

24

25

accomplish here today.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

harm depending on its implementation. So I'm hoping in the future that we—that all of the suggestions and conversation coming out of this hearing will be taken into consideration so that we can provide the best for all of these intros, and what we're trying to

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I mean I'm going to try and answer those questions. I-there was a lot packed in there. So first of all, to get to the first thing you mentioned, and-and I think this is-this is also in answer to Council Member Rose. mean it's certainly not our intention to put meters somewhere. For example they're single-family homes. If--if there are particular locations, and I'm hearing perhaps there are where you have concerns where we've installed meters. Please give us those streets, and let us take a look. Again, it's-it'sour goal here is to try and put them in places where they are well received. It's not to try and put them in places that people are very angry and unhappy So we're happy to revisit and—and sorry if there's a location that's not working out. Again, part of why I think we want to have some nimbleness is so when problems arise, we can have some ability

2.2

2.3

to address them.	So please	let us know	if there are
locations we need	. to take a	fresh look	at. On the-or
the ride share qu	estion, re	fresh my men	nory, your-the
specifics you wer	e asking a	bout Jamaica	a, car share
rather.			

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Yeah, part of it was—was have—have we done a study to see whether or not car share as opposed to a further investigation in public transportation options, and what would that look like in a place like—

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: [interposing]
Right, right.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: --the Greater Jamaica area?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Understood.

Look, it's—it's—it's—these are not either/or. I mean the nice thing about car share for better or for worse is it doesn't require public expenditure.

Obviously, the—the question of—of transit options and we're working with your office potentially on what another Select Bus Service route would look like, and obviously our ongoing work with the MTA on expanding City Tickets. So again, the nice thing about car share is it's something that the private sector can

It's-

helpful for building the case about where we need to

24

25

do better enforcement.

2 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member 3 Richards.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you so It's so fitting to follow Council Member Miller who represents like me the end of the earth or the end of the city. [laughs] Thank you for your comments today, and-and I-I'll start I guess with the muni-meters. Thank you for your responsiveness and I-I know it's not an easy thing to do when you talk of setting muni-meters in districts, you know, who historically hadn't had them, but very interesting since we've installed some of the muni-meters for instance on Merrick Boulevard, I've heard from several businesses in the district that they're seeing more foot traffic. So I mean that was certainly the strategy we had in place, and while I commend you for that, I do think that it's still important to make sure that community input is out there. Perhaps even thoughtfulness around putting signage up there at least a month in advance within areas where you're going to install them so people know they're coming. So there's no surprises, which I heard a lot from, but I certainly defended, you know, our thought process around-around it. I wanted

2.2

2.3

to know how do you determine one or two-hour parking because now what we're hearing is people don't have long enough? They don't mind paying it, you know, the muni-meter, but they have an hour. So by the time you get in the barber shop, and there's five people ahead of you, you have to keep running out or I've seen people running out in the middle of their haircut, or if you're getting your nails done and you want to go to the supermarket after. So how do you determine one or two-hour parking?

I'll admit I think that there is potentially sort of an evolution going on in the thinking. I mean the goal obviously again if your goal is to create turnover for merchants, you kind of wants the shortest period of time so that they get the most customers. And as you're saying, Council Member, you know, obviously, I'm hearing a lot of concerns here, and we do want to work well with the Council and Community boards. I don't want people to feel surprised. It is definitely sometimes a challenge.

When you do anything new on the streets of New York—

25

Τ	
2	COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: -somebody is
3	always surprised regardless of how many meetings and
4	signs you put up, but obviously we do want to
5	minimize that surprise as best we can. You know, our
6	bandwidth for putting up signs is it takes a lot of
7	man and woman power, and time and personnel and money
8	to put up signs, and putting up signs to say we're
9	putting up signs.
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: But we do it
L1	when there's a construction project or something, or
L2	if you're shooting a movie or something.
L3	COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: [interposing]
L4	Yeah, sure.
L5	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: The sign it
L6	goes by itself.
L7	COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG [interposing]
L8	Well, that's why I usually ask.
L9	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: That's usually
21	the private contractor or—or the movie company.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay.
23	COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: But look,

obviously we want to continue. It is not our goal to

take people by surprise. That's not our goal here.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Our goal here was obviously to try and do-do something good. It's better received in some places than in others.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: And I would caution the community board is a—is a good place, but it's not the whole pie as well so—

[interposing] COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Right, I know and look, it's a struggle in this big city. The community boards are often in the BID. They're often with their very-and Council Members with your big mailing list and ability to do community notifications. You're often our front line of making sure we get the word out. We don't always get it out as perfect as we might like. You know, we're happy. You know, again, the good thing about the Smart Meters is we can adjust the time, and when we hear from local businesses, perhaps they want two hours instead of one hour or vice versa, or talk about what time the time should end during the day, that's something we are certainly happy to work with I mean, look it's, you know, the—the—the commercial needs of the city right now are changing pretty constantly.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: And, you know,				
but we want this to be a dynamic system, and now I				
think as the technology is upgrading both the meter				
technology, and now as soon as we have our Pay-By-				
Cell technology that will be able to make us pretty				
nimble and able to respond as, you know, the				
commercial-you know the commercial climate changing				
in a given—a given neighborhood or along a given				
block.				

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Well, thank you and I—so if you can just look at Merrick Boulevard because I'm hearing that from the business owners.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: You want-you want two hours as opposed to one hour?

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Okay.

everywhere, but you can look to see where, you know, perhaps that is where it can be more useful. I can best make some recommendations. I wanted to also speak to car share. I'm very happy to hear you speak of your openness to explore Far Rockaway, and I think I saw—I think Roseville has municipal—a municipal lot as well. So I just wanted to echo the sentiments of

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

my colleague Council Member Reynoso who feels so passionately about my district. [laughs] his wife's family is there, but-but certainly ensuring that you look at these areas for the pilot would be very useful. Interested in knowing have you given any thought to partnering with HPD and in particular where we see more affordable housing How could we do a better job there promoting programs like car share as, you know, low-income families who I'm very interested in having access to this program. How-how can we better partner with HPD? And then the last question. I know you spoke of private investment but, you know, I'm always interested in knowing if the city has any interest in putting in any funds anywhere to make sure we can lower the price for low-income families as much as possible. So to share costs on that, and-and have you looked at partnering with HPD especially as new projects come online?

I think that's good feedback we're getting today. I mean we had certainly been wanting to engage with NYCHA, cut I'm h earing today that there are certainly other city agencies, HPD, Health and

Hospitals Corporation. I think we're going to come
back and think deeply and, you know, we'll reach out
to all our sister agencies, and see where this could
be a big dent because you're absolutely right, HPD-I
think both HPD and NYCHA would be, you know, offer
really some big potential as far as this support for
car share. I can't speak yet about potential city
subsidy model. I mean I want to-again, part of this
pilot will be let's see how it works, and we'll try
it on in a bunch of different neighborhoods, and we
can see. I think in some low-low-income
neighborhoods if affordability is a question, then we
can make a decision about what we might want to do
there. One of the things that I do like so much
about it is, you know, car ownership is such a major
expense particularly for low-income families. And
sort of the national average now is about \$9,000 a
year, which is a

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: [interposing]
Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: -- big chunk out of a-out of a low-income family household income, and in New York, I have a hunch it's even higher given just the complexities of owning a vehicle in the

2.2

2.3

city. So this could be a chance again to—to lower those costs really, really dramatically while being able to get that car and those loans when you really need it. So, you know, we'll have some time to really experiment and try it out in different neighborhoods and—and see how it works, and see what, you know, public policy and interventions we might want to make.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you so much. Thank you, Chair, and congratulations on the three bills.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Thank you. Council Member Menchaca.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you,
Chair and thank you, Commissioner and your team for
coming today. I also agree this has been a really
informative hearing on future car share, and—and
other kind of related topics about engaging our—our
communities especially our communities of working—are
working families. I think the only thing that I want
to add or see if you can add to the conversation to
day is—is really trying to think about—about this in
an organized kind of way as DOT launches so many
different programs at the same time. Bike Share for

2.2

2.3

example. We're-we're still, you know, in-in many ways letting the dust settle for Citi Bike, Bike

Share Program in Red Hook. How-how are going to kind of think about that, and anticipate the possibility as we move forward in studying the-the multiple oppositions to these programs, and-and where does the car share program kind of fit in, and really how are you anticipating that? How are you thinking about that, and tell us a little bit more about that.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I mean—I mean also living in a neighborhood where we've just gotten Bike Share, and it's certainly produced a lot of lively debate, and I'm very sensitive to that. And I, you know, I was kind of laughing because I—Community Board 6 in Brooklyn where I am I was talking to the District Manager there, and I was sort of laughing at him as we were discussing still working out the details of Bike Share, and some of the concerns, and I'm saying, Next there's going to be car share. But I also feel like, you know, what—what's sort of been the theme of the past few months has been just a sense that the city is growing and changing. We have new population. We have incredible job creating, but again, we also have

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

neighborhoods that are underserved and haven't necessarily felt all the benefits of all this economic growth. So I know we're rolling a lot of things out, but I think it's also just because we're responding to the fact that the city is growing and changing, and there's a real demand out there for some of this. And again, part of what we want to do with car share is we really do want to hear very much what the local feeling is on the ground. If it's ayou know, if it's a particular neighborhood where people feel it's enough with Bike Share we're not ready for car share, that's fine. I mean-I mean what we're hearing today, there's big interest all over the city in trying to do this. But I think it's going to have a much-it won't have nearly the footprint that Bike Share has when it comes to a neighborhood. I mean Bike Share, you know, one of the challenges is you need a lot of density there to make it work. I think with car share I don't know that you need such density. It could be we try it out in three parking spaces in New York and, you know, the-the impact is very minimal, and we see if people really love it, then the demand might grow. It doesn't have to be that same type of network that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

you have with Bike Share. So hopefully we can do this pilot in a way that it won't feel like it has this impact on neighborhoods, and I—I'm—I think there's a going to be enough demand that I don't think we're going to need to put it anywhere where people have strong objections against this. That's not my aim there.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you for that, and I-I think that's just kind of pointing to the work that we could do together with community boards, Council offices and local organizations, civic organizations to kind of build that-that operation that layout in-in communities because itright, it will change as—as you kind of move from one community board one neighborhood to another, and-and really thinking about parking spots, members of parking spots. And it was all going to be important pieces of-of the whole puzzle as-as people engage and digest all those things at the same time. So I'm glad you're thinking about it comprehensively for all the programs that are their way, but also-also being specific about how each neighborhood will feel that impact. I think it's going to be an important part

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of what we're talking about here in—in respect, and collaboration.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: And-and I do love Council Member Rose's suggestion. Sorry, I didn't respond to it at the time. I think we do have a lot going on I mean between Bike Share and now car share, and sort of what we're doing in terms of our congestion efforts. Obviously, if the city is facing some of those challenges in some neighborhoods things like the L-Train shut-down. And so I would be happy, maybe we can set it up at the beginning of next year to do a sit-down with an interested group of Council Members and—and talk in more detail. Look, we have a lot of big transportation issues on the plate right now. I think a lot of them are exciting. There's a lot of possibility, but definitely a lot of challenges at he local level and, of course, we want to have a close partnership with you, and make sure you feel like you have the information we need to bring back to your constituents.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: And just my last 30 seconds, a local—a local thing. We're going to—we're going to be meeting or asking for some meeting with your staff on some daylighting, and you

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 99		
2	mentioned daylighting earlier. And especially in Red		
3	Hook on Van Brunt there's some opportunities I think		
4	to—to really kind of create those few corridors on		
5	intersections that have continued to be-be bad, but I		
6	know it's on your radar, and this is—these are the		
7	kind of things that are going to be helpful for—for		
8	our residents to know that—that DOT is being		
9	responsive to-to multiple issues. The growth is		
10	happening, but there's so many different issues that-		
11	and this is one of them. So just thank you for being		
12	here today.		
13	COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Okay, we look		
14	forward to it.		
15	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member-		
16	thank you. Council Member Greenfield.		
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you,		
18	Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Commissioner. Good to see		
19	you again. How are you today?		
20	COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: [off mic]		
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Excellent. A		

22

23

24

25

question for you. So the current car sharing program is the law clear on whether they're—they're actually permit-permitted to do what they're doing, which is to essentially park on resident streets?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Right. I mean at the moment we don't have restrictions about who can park on a residential street. Well, we have park restrictions, but in general if you're obeying the city's parking permits in moving the vehicle for whatever reason. If you're at a metered spot, you're paying-you're paying the meter rates. What we're talking about in this pilot is designating some number of on-street spaces, and again, I'm interested in doing this in neighborhoods that are interested in this experiment. I don't think—this is not something I'm interested in hoisting on any place that doesn't want it. Where we would essentially designate athere would be a designated spot, and I think in the long run this model in other cities has involved in some cases auctioning those spots off or charging sometimes a fee or again requiring a system where if you want to be in this part of the city, you'll also provide the services in this part of the city. again, as I said in my testimony. I t's something I understand people have some skepticism about, about whether there will be good public benefits. But there's-there's been a number of studies now including some in New York that have shown it has

2.2

2.3

induced people to give up their vehicle. They're not purchasing new vehicles--

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Got it.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: --and it's actually—there is reduced competition.

move on, and I appreciate the—the new policy going forward, which I think you just articulated the DOT, which is no longer going to force things upon neighborhoods from here on in, right? You're going to—you're only going to do things that the neighborhood wants. So I—I'm excited about that, and I'm sure it doesn't apply to the car share across the board, but it's very clean. (sic)

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: [interposing]
No, no, that isn't quite what I said.

my understanding of it. I'm—I'm very thrilled to hear that, and encouraged by that, and looking forward to seeing that across the board in all the Department of Transportation policies. Let me [laughs] let me as this question. We spoke a lot about placard abuse. This is my seventh year on the City Council. Every year we talk about placard

2.2

2.3

abuse. To be perfectly frank, nothing has happened in the seven years that I've been in the City

Council. Why can't we have some sort of system where the DOT has to give a sticker, a DOT sticker on every placard to make sure it's legit, and for those people who have been following the conversations for seven years, the reason I say this is because every time I bring up this conversation people say wow the city permits, state permits, federal permits. There's one in DOT. Why can't anybody who has a placard in the city of New York that is deemed by the city of New York you issue a sticker. You put on said placard.

Now, we know which placards are legit, and which placards are not legit.

reason from a technological point of view that we cannot do that. I think the question of placards, as we all know, has been a complicated one involving enforcement, and involving a lot of different types of uses of placards be they courts, be the law enforcement, be all these other agencies. So it's something that really needs to be done with NYPD. DOT does not have the single authority in this instance. As-as I had mentioned in my testimony,

2.2

2.3

particularly now as we're facing particularly a lot of challenges with congestion in Midtown, and we have been talking to NYPD a lot about the placard issue, and --

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: [interposing]

I understand, but—but—but, Commissioner, I'm not

blaming you to be fair, because plenty of people have

sat in your seat and quite frankly have done nothing

on—on the issue, and not just they take measures with

police folk as well. So I'm just asking a

suggestion, and a pragmatic suggestion. Do you see

anything wrong with an idea that says from here on in

starting, you know, June 1, 2017, no placard in New

York City shall be recognized unless they be

recognized by the DOT? Now, obviously, you'll have a

lot more friends than you've ever had before because

people will want your able—

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: [interposing]
Right or enemies one or the other.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yeah, but—but the—the amount of placard abuse is insane and, you know, and—and also like the collar to that is that we now see this—this new innovation which shows license plate covers which, of course, you're going to tell

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

me once again this is a NYPD issue. But correct me if I'm wrong, the—the cameras those—those speed cameras and red light cameras they belong to who, the DOT or the NYPD?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: DOT.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So what is

DOT doing when they see a camera that's not able to

issue a ticket because the license plate is covered?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well, Ithere's been some press coverage, and I'm happy to say it actually turns out to be a fairly small percentage, but NYPD has actually been doing a lot of cracking down on those plates, and Commissioner O'Neill I've set a precedent with him, and he just said and we're cracking down even internally in our ranks. So he's sensitized to the issue, and look, if they-if they catch people with them, they give summonses. Obviously if we get it on the camera and we can't make out the image of the license plate, then we can't hunt them down, unfortunately. But I think PD, you know, particularly there has been coverage of it that they were well aware of the project—the problem and working on it for folks. get to the your-to your sort of your--

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: [interposing]
3 Placard sticker idea.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: That's right.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: DOT sees a little—you can't even imagine how many of these placards are seen. In my district I have—I actually have a postal office where the postal employees appear to have just printed their own placards, and was parking everywhere in the neighborhood using commercial muni-meter—muni-meter parking and—and the store owners are—are going crazy, and they can't do anything about it because NYPD refuses to enforce it. And they tell us very simple questions, which I think I may have a solution to. How do we know which placards are legit and which placards are not? We're not going to guess. Let's have a DOT sticker. Make it official. You can even have your face it, you know, with a little thumbs up.

 $\label{thm:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG:} \quad \mbox{And really,} \\ \mbox{that's a horrifying--}$

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: [interposing]

Polly Trottenberg a thumbs up sticker saying this is
a valid placards in the city of New York.

18

19

20

21

24

25

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I-I will just say as an enforcement matter, we do have an official guidebook which the NYPD has, which lists the--COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: [interposing] 900 placards? COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Which isthere's not 900. There's a decent amount, but itthere—there is actually an enforcement book, which shows what are the legitimate ones. COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: [interposing] How much easier would it be to have a sticker? COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: So, well, look, again, there's no technological reason we couldn't do it, but I think it's-it's--COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: [interposing] Let's do it. COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Alright, well, it's something again I think it involves NYPD, DOT and the Council but, you know, we're-we're keen to sit down--

22 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: [interposing]
23 Commissioner, you can do whatever you want.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: --and hear the problem over the city. Huh?

2.2

2.3

Member Levine.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: You're the Commissioner. You can do anything you want.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I don't have godlike powers. That's another thing.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thanks. Council

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. Commissioner, I just wanted to ask a few
follow-up questions on the car share pilot. I

wondering whether you considered determining what
type of vehicles the companies would deploy. For
example, whether it should be hybrid or electric or
whether they should be of varying sizes. Sometimes
they specialize in, you know, Smart Cars and
microcars, but there—there are some neighborhoods
where because of family size, the option to have a
mini-van or something that can set more passengers
would be appropriate. Have you considered working
with them to through the RFP process?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: We've certainly thought about the question of electric cars, and we have some electric charging stations in our municipal lots and garages. We're in the process

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of-of putting in more. I think for the pilot we're wary of requiring the installation of the charging meter on an on-street space because I think we want to see how the pilot works out before we put in any type of permanent infrastructure. Because it may turn out for whatever reason that's maybe not the space that we wind up designating permanently. means we have to decide at the end of the pilot if we want to designate spaces permanently. I'm-I'm hoping this will be popular, but we'll have to see. I-I think I'm interested in talking to the private companies about their views of what an appropriate vehicle mix would be. And one nice thing about the care share companies, in general the fleets they have are newer, more environmentally efficient, and have the latest safety features, something important on the Vision Zero front. I want to be cautious about being overly prescriptive about what a particular vehicle mix should be. I think I want to make sure that we have some, you know, input from the private sector. They runs these programs all over the country. I think they have a good sense, and I'm-I'm hoping they would have a good sense in a particular neighborhood. Right, this is a neighborhood where,

2.2

2.3

you know, maybe a couple of mini vans would—would go over well in areas where you have a lot of family activity in more congested parts of the city with little—with little mini—cars. So I think we want to work with them, and I'm taking—obviously the goal here would be to have the right fleet mix, which is the most environmentally efficient and obviously appropriate for the neighborhood and—and hopefully the safest way as well. And that is, again, one nice thing I said in my testimony typically when people give up their cars, they tend to be 14 years old, older technology, not as environmentally efficient. It doesn't have all the latest safety features, and if you're placing that with usage of a much safer, greener, that's another real benefit in this program.

you about not wanting to be overly prescriptive in a pilot phase, but I have seen in other—seen in other cities that this is like just called charging stations at the designated parking spot. Which just makes it so easy for the driver. It's almost effortless to—you know you're going to have a charge where you park it. It seems like a very good way to ramp up electric vehicles in the city.

2.2

2.3

again we—we will have it in our—our lots, in our garages so we can see how it's working there, but at least in the initial phase I don't want to mandate it on the street until I think we've—we've had some final consensus on does on-street work, and—and how—what should that look like in a friendly context.

a lot about public design of our streetscapes as you—
as you well know, and I have seen in other—seen in
other cities that sometimes there's a lot of branding
in stalled on or around the parking spot—coughs—
excuse me. With the companies and logos of—of the
colors and the logo of the companies, have you
thought about visually what kind of guidelines you
would give so that we don't have excessive visual
clutter?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I mean we're certainly sensitive to that, but again I'd—I'd say in this city perhaps we've—we've occasionally wrestled with the trade-off of taking some commercial branding because it can bring significant revenues into the city. So obviously I think we'd like to entertain what the—you know, what the ride share companies are

thinking. When I've-when I've seen it around the
country it's often just sort actually a fairly subtle
sign, but-and-and again in this case since we're
hoping to foster competition, I think we need to
thank about are we going to be designating a
particular spot for a particular company, and is it
going to be a bit of an open, a more open system,
where a couple of companies can share a spot. I
think we haven't quite worked that out yet, and that
would also help determine whether we're allowing a
particular branding or it's going to be something
more generic.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Right and finally, am I right that alternate side parking rules would apply on this process as it would any other?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Well, I think it's something we're thinking through. The way it actually works with Bike Share is obviously the stations don't move, but Motivate is required to clean that portion of the street regularly. So that's--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] So perhaps the car share companies--

2.2

2.3

2		COMMISSIONER	TROTTENBERG:	[interposing]
3	Right.			

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: --should also have to.

that's often been the model in other cities. Again,
I think the pilot maybe we can experiment a little
bit with both models and see what works best.
Obviously, I think it will be easier in this program
if they don't have to move the cars from alternate
side but, of course, we want to make sure that the—
that the streets are—are clean and well maintained,
too. So I think that's going to give us something
else we'll be experiment with. [bell]

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Alright, great. Thank you very much, Commissioner. Thank you for that.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And I want DOT to also and the City to remind that, you know, there—there's a deal that we already have 37 council members or was it 40 that have been asking for allowing drivers to park the car after the Sanitation sweeping truck clean the streets. So even though, you know, I end up being, like you say, pushing too

much in the last lew years, but there's close to 40
Council Members that believe also that we need time
to allocating the time for drivers to be able to park
immediately after the sweeping truck clean the
streets. This is something I also felt that, you
know, that we can keep in mind that it's something
that we hope that we can work on. Council Member
Levin.

much, Mr. Chair. One suggestion on that may be have for every on-site spot have one across the street so that we can, you know, so that when there's—when an alternate side is in effect, you can go across the street.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Intriguing thought, but then there'd—then there's be two spaces taken.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Well, you know.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I don't know.

That might--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] Six hundred spots across the city it's like, you know, actually 300 spots across the city is not—not that

2.2

2.3

2 much, but it would—it would—it would ensure that, you know—

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: [interposing]

The question is about a pilot. We can experiment

with--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing]
Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: --the different models and—and see what—and see what works best.

to placards, I mean this is—you know, I represent
Downtown Brooklyn. We've been out there on Jay
Street. It's a—it's a—it's a huge, huge deal, and
it's funny. I was—I was just contacted about this
like jail reform issue and, you know, they're looking
at Rikers. They're looking at like, you know, where
to put jails across New York City, and I have—I
represent Brooklyn Council Detention, and they ask
you like what's the number one complaint? And
they're like well do you have any issues around like
DHOD? The number one complaint that I get about the
jail in my district is placard use. Number one by
far. So, you know, to put it into some kind of

context, this is a big, you know, it's a nuge, nuge
deal for downtown areas, areas where—and, you know,
the fact that like, you know, it's probably a small
percentage are actual DOT issued permits. I mean
it's, you know, these permits are like, you know,
reflective vests (sic) and, you know, that's-that's-
that's the standard permit. And it's, you're right.
It's an enforcement issue. It's an NYPD issues. This
is an issue of professional courtesy that's been
extended for like, you know, probably a couple
generations, and it—and it has to come to an end
because it really is—it is abused, it is abused.
People are granted courtesies that, you know, that
they really ought not be granted.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I—I—I do think it's—look, I certainly it at Jay Street where we've happily put in that bike lane, and obviously tried to work through the placard issues, and there are neighborhoods all over the city where this is a big issue. I—I think as much as I would love to just if I see it, I'll fix it, I do think the problem is a little more complicated than that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Absolutely.

2.2

2.3

enforcement, and sorting through, you now, what are the legitimate placard needs and what really aren't, and can we have a consensus on that so we can have consistent enforcement? I have certainly seen the T-shirts and the note cards that people have put on the dash--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: --and frankly, the more sophisticated placards that have like a big what looks like a real city seal, and looks very official, but I happen to know are not.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: So believe me, we—we—we are not lovers of the—the placard issues we're having. Obviously from where we sit, you would love to see that system cleaned up while making sure, obviously, law enforcement, of course, but all the important uses can also be accommodating, and I think that's—that's a problem we can all work together on. I don't think it's going to be an easy one to solve, but we certainly—

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing]

25 | Well, I'm sure at the time--

2.2

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: --are with the enthusiastic partners in trying to tackle that problem.

is—is shown, a—a street design plays a role in that, and so I—I very much appreciate what—what DOT has done on Jay Street, and we think it's a good model. With regard to following up on Council Member

Levine's questions around—around the—the fleet, so you mentioned charging stations for electric cars, but is—is there any reason to not mandate in the pilot that it be at least at the minimum a hybrid in terms of efficiencies?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Again, I—I'd like to, you know, consult with the private sector partners on that. We're trying to achieve a bunch of different goals with this program, including one and I—I heard from some of your colleagues about making sure this is affordable particularly for low-income residents. So again, I just would like to talk to them about what their views are on fleet. Hybrids are pretty cheap these days so—

Т	
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing]
3	Right, and they're—and they're all types and all
4	sizes. I mean we have the hybrid
5	COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: [interposing]
6	Right, understood and I-I don't actually know. I'm
7	not familiar with other cities how much they have
8	mandated particular fleet types. I'm—I'm always, yo
9	know, I'm always a little hesitant to mandate
10	particular technologies as opposed to trying to see
11	what goes this. Come into the private sector and
12	make your bid, and show us what would be the
13	greenest, safest, most efficient, affordable and far
14	reaching system you can design and see what they com
15	up with rather than saying make 5% hybrids. Let's-I
16	guess I'm sort of interested in the
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] I
18	understand that it could be all. I mean I'm, you
19	know, I've-I've looked at buying a car.
20	COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: [interposing]
21	I-I-I certainly share the goal
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: --but I want to try and take in the totality of what potential, you know, private sector parkers are going to bring

2.3

to the table. And let'slet's see what they
find, and we may—we may be pleasantly surprise what
they're proposing very green fleets. Some of them
are in the audience today maybe listening to the
testimony. They'll—they'll want to do that. Let's—
let's take a look and see what they bring, and if
it's not what we want it to be, obviously we're going
to have a chance to, you know, potentially make some
of the details of the contract more prescriptive in
what we want the fleet to look like.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Maybe we want it to be all electric and hybrid.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Well, if you're taking suggestions, I would say mandate at the minimum a hybrid because, you know, they're—again, they're making hybrids in all shapes and sizes—

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Yep.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: --and it's-and it could be a very-it could be-I think it could be a very easy thing to do.

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Okay.

 $\label{thm:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Counsel Member}$ Rose has another question.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I was just

wondering how income is determined, what the
appropriate compensation is for the use of public
metered spaces, and are you going to factor in the

6 fact that these spaces are no longer in rotation?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Our—our plan at the moment is not to use metered spaces, but to use non-metered.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: A non-metered space.

just say in the case of Citi Bike we've also tried to minimized the use of metered spaces there, but in cases where have yet to come up with a formula, it's been negotiated with Motivate, and it sort of goes into the mix of a bunch of arrangements between the city and the private companies. So, to the extent that we maybe heard from our private sector partners that there was a big demand, we could take a look at that and decide what appropriate compensation would be. Again, I think the pilot will give us a chance to work through some of those issues. It's certainly our goal in the long run to ensure that the City's getting value, but again, I also want to make sure

2.2

2.3

we're getting a service here that's far reaching that's affordable. Because I want to make sure we balance all those, you know, those post--

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [interposing] So, that is what your revenue generating as it is to make available a service?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I'm—I'm not—
I'm not ruling out that it's revenue generating but,
you know, what I'm hearing we want to make sure it's
in all five boroughs, it's Far Rockaway. It's all
over the city. It's in the South Bronx. I want to
make sure—

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: [interposing] It's affordable?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: Right that it's affordable. So I think I want to balance all this. It can often be a question if the city wants to generate a lot of revenue, potentially maybe the price goes up, and it becomes less affordable. So, you know, that can sometimes be a tradeoff-I-I think affordability is something we want to keep an eye on but, of course, I want to make sure that the city—that the city benefits as well in terms of revenue. But I want to see what those tradeoffs are going to

2.2

- 2 look like, and obviously consult with you all on it.
- 3 It's not going to be a unilateral decision on our

4 part.

5 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Is there any

6 liability to the city in terms of this program?

COMMISSIONER TROTTENBERG: I mean the way these types of programs have been structured, the—the private company would assume the liability. That would be part of the agreement.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So if something did happen, the City couldn't be sued as a partner in—in this.

Well, the city can always be sued by anybody. I think the question is whether the—the person suing would have standing or whether the case would go forward. And I have to say, I—I think the city's lawyers have been, you know, they're very, very good at negotiating these contracts to ensure that the city is protected and, you know, where there's a liability question.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

Commissioner, thank you and, you know, I'm reminded at today's hearing these stories (sic) about mass transportation. How to expand our subways, our buses or the ferry or the Bike Share, the bike—Citi Bike. It's more about as I tried to say how can you get the city to be more efficient to mandate its parking? And how can we learn from other cities when it comes to—and Motivate the care share also to be expanded in our city hoping that it will reduce the number of cars that we have in New York City. With that, thank you and now we're going to be calling the next panel. [pause] Erica Bacon from Zipcar; Justin Holmes also from Zipcar; and Nicholas Hill from ReachNow.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: You may begin.

ERICA BACON: Good afternoon and thank

you for the opportunity to testify before you today.

My name is Erica Bacon, and I am the Regional General

Manger for Zipcar in the Tri-State area, and I

oversee Zipcar's operations in the city of New York,

this is Justin Holmes, and he's the Director of

Public Policy and Communication. Zipcar is the

world's leading car sharing network driven by a

mission to enable simple and lesponsible diban
moving. Zipcar has operated in New York City since
2002, and has a fleet of over 2,500 vehicles in more
than 600 locations across all five boroughs. I'm
testifying before you today in support of Items No.
873 and No. 267, which strengthens the city's support
for car sharing. Zipcar enables our members to live
without the need for a personally owned vehicle by
simply accessing one when they need one. When
members joined, they have access to reserve and
driver over 50 makes and models of vehicles by the
hour or day from hundreds of locations typically no
more than a five-minute walk from home. They tap
their membership card-membership card on the
windshield or unlock the car with the Smart Phone and
the keys are inside. Gas and insurance are included.
Today, hundreds of thousand of New Yorkers are Zipcar
members or Zipsters, and our new-in our recent New
York member survey illustrates that the-they live
car-free or car light lifestyles and have significant
positive impacts on transportation and the
environment in New York. More than 80% do not own a
car. More than 30% shed or sold a vehicle prior to
joining Zipcar, and over 40% are less likely to

acquire a car in the next few years. As a result,
for every vehicle we put on the road, Zipcar takes
the need for up to 13 personally owned vehicles in
New York. This totaled tens of thousands of vehicles
displaced on city streets as result of the car
service. While rates of auto ownership are already
low in New York relative to other major cities our
data illustrates that Zipcar further reduces vehicle
ownership directly contributing to the goals of this
committee and Chair Rodriguez's plans for a car-free
New York. Additionally, since our members drive less
overall after joining, it means that each member
reduces their personal carbon emissions by nearly one
ton. With these substantial proven benefits, it's
logical that the city would choose to support car
sharing by offering access to parking. Zipcar has
grown successfully over the past 14 years without the
need for direct city support. Today we realize that
the city's aggressive climate goals and a growing
population, it's important now than ever that we work
together to more rapidly expand sustainable
transportation options. Public parking partnerships
where Zipcars are located in highly visible curbside
or meaningful parking spaces, can accelerate our

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

growth and consequently our positive impact on the city. Public parking locations come with several benefits. City parking locations bring car sharing options closer to members making it more convenient and accessible for the hundreds of thousands of existing car sharing members in New York. Making car sharing more visible helps to educate more residents on car sharing as an alternative to car ownership. Car sharing represents an efficient use of public space with roughly 50 members accessing each vehicle while each personally owned vehicle sits idle 96% of the time, and public parking partnerships can enable accelerated expansion than historically less connected to transportation options. Today, Zipcar has more than a thousand of dedicated parking locations in partnership, cities, government, and transit agencies across the country including major cities like Los Angeles, San Francisco, Washington, D.C. and Boston. These cities see car sharing as an important part of their transportation sustainability and congestion reduction plan, and our partnerships have been long lasting and successful. Based on our experience partnering with other cities, we recommend that the city begin with a small pilot program in key

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

neighborhoods before scaling citywide in communication. We welcome the opportunity to work with the City Council and support this legislation as a means to strengthen and expand Zipcar as vital sustainable transportation options throughout the city. Thank you.

NICK HILL: Thank you so much for having To introduce myself, my name is Nick Hill and I'm General Manager for Reach Now in New York. here in support of Intro 267 and 873. Reach Now is a mobility services company that provides free-floating car sharing to over 35,000 members in Seattle, Portland and now Brooklyn, and offers the residential station based car sharing fleet in Manhattan. Now is designed to provide members with an experience that is as convenient as owning a car. Our freefloating car trip service allows members to pick up and drop off cars in different places including neighborhoods within a home area. In Brooklyn, the home area is about 25 square miles. Members can take cars for as long as little as they would like. enable city dwellers to shed a car with mobility confidence. Independent research shows us that for every shared car that we added to cities, three

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

private cars are sold and seven aren't purchased. Reach Now partners with cities to close transit gaps as well. The Share Use Mobility Center released the results that shared modes like free-floating car sharing complement public transportation and enhance urban mobility. One of our visions in cities with-is cities with less congestion and less harmful emissions. To do that, we promote transportation electrification. Our easy fleet has already avoided using 3,500 gallons of gas, and prevents its 35 tons of CO2 from being emitted. Reach Now is the only U.S. car share company which deploys electric vehicles in each market that we serve. We'd like to take this opportunity to thank the Transportation Committee of the New York City Council for proposing to amend the Administrative Code of the City of New York to accommodate parking for car sharing. strongly believe that access to curb and meters is critical to the ongoing success and adoption of car sharing programs. So somewhat central-separate from the Intros before you, Reach Now currently pays for time spent at meters in Seattle and Portland at published rates. We have built software that enables us to self-report time spent in meters at the block

level of granufatity. We do this in order to
appropriately reimburse the City for meter fees on a
quarterly basis. Reach Now has expressed its
openness in working with New York City on
demonstrating its Pay By Phone technology as partner
and innovate together. We encourage the City Council
to consider creating the inclusive car sharing
parking program that creates the regulatory framework
conditions needed to ensure the success of all car
sharing model. Car sharing is good for New York
City, and Reach Now looks forward to continuing to be
a partner by providing more transportation options
for residents. I'd like to thank you for allowing us
to provide this testimony and thank the New York City
Department of Transportation.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Can you describe how affordable I mean knowing that you represent to the same type of entity that—what is the number like when it comes to membership and how much is—what members have to pay per an hour?

ERICA BACON: Well, for Zipcar our annual members is as low as \$7 per month with rates starting at \$9.25 per hour. It's also worth noting that our members pay roughly a 20% tax on car sharing

2.2

2.3

services in New York making New York City among the highest taxes in the country professionally.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] [on mic] on the-starting with the \$9 per an hour, but it sounds great. Let's say-so you pay \$7 per month and then \$9-starting with the \$9 per an hour, how does \$9 get to include?

ERICA BACON: It's \$9.25 per hour and they also daily rates that you could also if chose to have the car for more than eight hours, it will have a daily rate associated with that.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: What is it?

ERICA BACON: It depends on the area.

It's starting at \$59, \$79 and up from there depending on the—the trip and the—day of the week.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So we are here in the New York City. What—what is that number for us in New York City?

ERICA BACON: It really depends. We have three different pricing zones according to location. So it—it starts at \$9.25 per hour.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay, what is the average monthly extent for someone that use in your case like Zipcar?

2.2

2.3

depends on the use case. A lot of cases people are using vehicles for a road trip to get away for the weekend. In some cases they need it for an hour to go to Costco. So really it depends on, you know, the—the demographics of, you know, the member using it, and what they need to use it for.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: One—one thing that I know that we—we address or we talk when—when we were having conversation with the industry was that they just was not there yet with a plan saying that someone who rent a car here let's say in New York City is going to a conference and almost in Albany is able to drop a care there, right?

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:} \quad \mbox{Do you guys see}$ the same situation or--?

ERICA BACON: Right.

ERICA BACON: It's—it's still the same.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: It's still the same okay. So my colleague here who introduce the bill, has questions, too. Council Member Levine.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. It's great to see all of you. DOT presented a map over there with—indicated the number of car

2.2

2.3

share locations that Zipcar currently has, and there were lots of blue dots particularly in Manhattan, and I'm wondering then to what extent you feel that parking locations are indeed a barrier for growth, or do you feel like at least in Manhattan where there seems to be—there seems to be some density that you've got adequate space for parking there? [pause]

Council Member for the question. So I think in—as we look to expand as the Commissioner noted the availability of off-street parking locations can be a challenge once we get to some of the outer boroughs. And so do we view the pilot program that the Commissioner laid out as a great opportunity to catalyze our growth in some of those areas that might be outside of Manhattan where it's more of a challenge for us to source the off-street parking locations

NICK HILL: And for us specifically with Reach Now, I think with a free-floating model what off-street or on-street of dedicated parking allows for is more, there's more confidence in the service. We don't actually need to return to one specific location. So the cars can free-float throughout the

2.2

2.3

area, and access and serve to where people live, work and play without that necessarily being there. But this provides is again more confidence to shed a car, to start looking at more mobility services and to

6 start using multi-modal transportation options.

helpful and so this—this point of reaching out to parts of the city that are generally underserved, we heard through questioning from Council Members how important this is for places like the Rockaways, which are really starved for better transit options.

Am I hearing from you about a limitation to reaching those people today? Is the lack of garage space or you think contractual parking is that correct?

JUSTIN HOLMES: Yeah, to tell you that our growth is really driven by three things extensively: One is Zipcar's model of car sharing relies on other alternatives that saw through your daily transportation. So for example good transit access. Secondly, we require a level of density where each car that we locate typically serves the need of roughly 50 members that are usually within a five-minute walk to easily access that vehicle.

Because for those members that Zipcar is their car.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So they're not going to use it if it's not located in a convenient dense area, and for us to think about locating a vehicle it needs to be approximate to that significant sizeable membership base. But really the third factor as we think about our growth or the X factor for us are partnerships. And so if we can find opportunities to work with the city along the lines of the proposal that the Commissioner outlined, that can be a great way to help extend our growth. If we're able to source parking locations that might be highly visible as a way to accelerate our marketing in a target neighborhood maybe like the Far Rockaways for example that maybe don't have the-as strong of a transit or density connection as some of the core areas of Manhattan. That might be a great way to help accelerate our growth, and certainly as you suggest the-the difficulty in sourcing secure off-street parking locations can certainly be a barrier, and so we see this program as a great opportunity to raise that bar.

and for Reach Now your service area doesn't cover places like Far Rockaway currently. What would be the barriers to expand into those places?

NICK HILL: So the interesting thing
about having a technology solution is that you can go
from Point A to Point B. So while an area in the
Outer Boroughs that is not part of our contiguous
home area, it might be right for having dedicated
parking there that allows for access to a certain
number of vehicles, which this program will provide.
It would also allow those folks to go-commuting from
into work or they play et cetera within a contiguous
home area. So again while it may not be an area that
would work within our existing contiguous home area,
it can certainly be added in conjunction and allow
for access back and forth.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: The next panel

Julia Kite, Transportation Alternatives; Eric McClure

and David Dodd. [pause]

JULIA KITE: Thank you, Chair Rodriguez for convening this hearing. I'm Julia Kite, Policy and Research Manager at Transportation Alternatives. We're a 43-year-old membership based advocacy organization with more than 150,000 New Yorkers in our network dedicating to biking, walking and public

Why then

reduce demand for parking as well as reduce

congestion. Having reserved parking spaces for car

24

25

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

share across the city will make car share more convenient and help more New Yorkers access this practical alternative to car ownership. These bills address those parking garages and street spaces, which will ensure fairness and widespread availability. We wee these bills as an effective way to incentivize a mode of car usage that is far less destructive to the environment, and less conductive to congestion that private car ownership. And to reiterate Commissioner Trottenberg's statement we do have very good data that introducing car share reduces private car ownership, which is exactly what New York City needs to reach it's 80 x 50 climate goals and the Vision Zero goals. With regard to how New York City can more efficiently manage its parking to meet community needs, at the heart of our city's problems with parking is a fundamental unfairness. All taxpayers on the city streets, but only those with cars get to use it to store their private property either for free or for a market rate. is an inefficient use of public resources and a waste of valuable space that could be better apportioned to public good. And we've-we did a study at Transportation Alternatives in 2007 finding that on-

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

street parking was one-fourteenth the cost of parking Since then, the difference has become even greater as lot prices increased faster than meter rates. We recommend that curbside parking rates should be raised and the DOT's Park Smart program should be expanded citywide. In addition, we cannot divorce the issue of parking from that of street of For too long our arterial roads have prioritized the parking of private vehicles in spaces that would be better used for improvements to benefit all New Yorkers such as creating dedicated bus lanes in commercial loading zones. Having protected bike lanes that have an overall traffic calming effect that benefits pedestrians as well, and the installation of park lifts that beautify the public realm and encourage more active street life. We urge the DOT to never delay or weaken a safe street redesign project due to complaints about the loss of street parking. Space for cars should never be allowed to take priority over street design elements that saves lives. That would simply be an anathema to Vision Zero. The issue of parking placards abuse must also be addressed. We have long chronicled their misuse. Our 2011 report Totally Bogus found

that 57% of permits in five New York City
neighborhoods were either completely fraudulent or
were being used to park illegally, and the cities
have yet to address this issue adequately. We urge
the City Council to pass Council Member Garodnick's
Intro 326 introduced back in 2014, which would
require barcodes on placards to assume they are
legitimate. Furthermore, we urge the City to reduce
the overall number of parking permits issued with the
aim of phasing out the placard system altogether.
Other than emergency first responders and disabled
people with mobility limitations, there's really no
reason for anyone to receive special privileges for
parking based on where they work. Again, individual
convenience should not outweigh public safety. The
extent of fraud is so massive and has been going on
for so long it is clear city agencies cannot contain
it, and we also urge the NYPD and DOT to report
annually on the number of permits issued and the
number of violations issued. So that the public can
be aware of the problem, and agencies can track
progress on eliminating this fraud. As we take stock
of 2016, a year in which the number of cyclists and
pedestrian fatalities has increased over 2015's

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

total, the city must rededicate itself to Vision Zero and the fundamental belief that the loss of parking should never be considered my troublesome than the loss of life. This must be proven through action, not words. We urge the DOT to take bold action and stand firm in its dedication to safer streets that are meant first and foremost for people not cars. Thank you very much.

DAVID DODD: My name is David Dodd, but delivering remarks on behalf of the Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer. Thank you Chair Rodriguez and the members of the Transportation Committee for holding this hearing on my bill Intro No. 267, which I co-sponsored with Council Member Rosie Mendez, and which relates to reserving parking spaces in public parking facilities for car sharing programs. Car sharing services such as Zipcar and Car2Go make it possible for many commuters to consider foregoing private car ownership. According to Zipcar, in fact, every one of its car sharing vehicles helps take up to 13 cars off the streets. The benefits associated with encouraging commuters to forego car ownership in a large dense area like New York City are plentiful. It encourages commuters to consider alternate modes

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of transportation such as public transit, walking or Fewer cars on the road in turn translates to biking. less congestion and pollution and safer streets. There are plenty of benefits to consumers as well, of course, and in the form of reduced transportation As a City, then we should be doing all we can to help encourage more of our commuters to enlist in a car sharing service in lieu of owning a private And surprisingly one of the biggest hurdles to encouraging additional subscribers in New York City is the competition for parking space in New York City Intro 267 would help alleviate some-some of streets. this competition by providing some dedicated parking spots in public parking garages throughout the city. Intro 267 is straightforward. It would require that ten or ten percent of total parking spaces, whichever is fewer, in public parking facilities be reserved for car sharing programs. Car sharing companies would then compensate the city for the use of these spaces. This bill rightly takes into consideration the possibility that a parking facility might not experience a high demand for car share services originally. If the demand for car sharing space within a facility is less than ten or ten percent,

2.2

2.3

the excess spaces are exempt from being reserved exclusive—exclusively by car sharing companies until demand increases and those companies are ready to use them. Thank you again for the opportunity to testify in support of Intro 267. I urge the committee to support the bill, and look forward to working together to continue to find innovative ways to support car sharing programs in New York City.

Thanks. [pause]

ERIC MCCLURE: Good afternoon, good afternoon. On behalf of StreetsPAC, Chair Rodriguez thank you for holding this oversight hearing today on New York City parking policies, and holiday greetings from my Board to you and the members of the committee. In regard to Intro 267 which would reserve or extend the parking space in public parking facilities for shared vehicles and Intro 873, which would—which would dedicated some number of on-street parking spaces for shared vehicles, we believe that that promotion of shared vehicle services in New York City is generally a good thing. Providing New Yorkers with alternatives to private car ownership makes sense. However, we need to be cognizant of how shared vehicles are used. If they provide options

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for people who might otherwise choose to own or lease a vehicle that's good, but if the use of a shared vehicle replaces the trip and might otherwise have been by public transit or biker on foot, that's perhaps not good. If the presence of shared vehicles reduces car trips, that's not good at all. So it's important that the dedication space and shared vehicles come to a comprehensive study of how shared vehicles are used. Reducing trips made by cars is just as important as reducing the total number of cars, and we're-we're heartened to hear from the Commissioner that they really will be looking through the data on the new pilot. Additionally, Intro 873 mentions the possibility of collecting fees for use by car share operators of metered parking spaces. makes no such mention of charging for quote, unquote "free on-street parking spaces." The bill needs to be explicitly mandating payment for dedicated parking. Private companies should compensate the city for use of public space, and it makes a large reflection of how we allocate and use the space at our curbside. We strongly urge this committee, the Council and the Department of Transportation to initiate a wide ranging examination of the allocation

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of curb space in New York City. The dedication of vast portions of our public streets to three private vehicle storages is a 1950s era concept that's right for change. While we've wisely moved on to many other ideas that seemed sensible in the '50s, our misquided parking policies have gotten a free pass. As vehicle ownership patterns evolve, we should concurrently be reinventing our streets. As more and more goods arrive via Fed Ex and UPS and Fresh Direct and as New York has increasingly availed themselves or ride sharing services like Uber and Lyft and Car2Go, it should be dedicating space on most city blocks including residential blocks for deliveries and pickups and drop-offs. Homeowners and renters should be able to reserve curbside space for plumbers and electricians and moving vans and other service providers. Shared vehicles, cars and bikes should be given priority over private ones. Further, the city should reactivate and greatly expand its Park Smart program and experiment widely with dynamic pricing of curbside parking spaces. Multiple sitings have shown that a large percentage of city driving involved cruising for parking, and the underlying cause of underpriced curb space, or curb space that isn't

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

priced at all. We fully understand this politically challenging territory to stake out. Car owners have become deeply attached to free parking. Often amended (sic) but that dynamic will require political curbing. But it's also going to be critical to reducing private vehicle ownership, freeing up the good lots that chose too many of our streets, and transforming New York City into a global leader on Smart and innovative transportation policies. We've taken some baby steps with changes to parking amendment (sic) and rezoning for quality and affordability text amendment, but we need wholesale change. There is indeed a high cost of free parking. Lastly, Intros 954 and 1234, which contains the notification of residents, community board and elected officials when parking regulations are changed and meters are installed. While we believe that they're well intentioned, they create an unnecessary degree of bureaucracy and mandate notification for notification's sake. It's high time that we stop treating parking as a sacred cow. you.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. With that we come to the end of this hearing. Thank you

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

and my colleagues especially Council Members Chin wh
has stayed with me up to the end, and as I said
before, the great team of the Committee on
Transportation Kelly, Gafar, Jonathan, Emily, Chima
and Van-and Vander. This is the end of the our
hearing. This is the last one that we have in
December and this year, and we can be so proud that
we passed a number of bills. Our commitment is to
continue making transportation in New York City safe
and more efficient. With that, we come to the end.
[gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date January 2, 2017