CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

June 19, 2017

Start: 10:15 a.m. Recess: 11:23 a.m.

HELD AT: Committee Rm - City Hall

B E F O R E: JAMAANE D. WILLIAMS

Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

ROSE MENDEZ

YDANIS A. RODRIGUEZ
ROBERT E. CORNEGY, JR.
RAFAEL L. ESPINAL, JR.

MARK LEVINE

HELEN K. ROSENTHAL
RITCHIE J. TORRES
BARRY S. GRODENCHIK
RAFAEL SALAMANCA, JR.

ERIC A. ULRICH

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Louise Carroll

Associate Commissioner for Housing Incentives With the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development

Mario Ferrigno

Assistant Commissioner of Code Enforcement with The Office of Enforcement and Neighborhood Services

Meryl Block Weissman

HPD's Assistant Commissioner of Performance Management, Analytics, and Audit

Debra Nagen

Director of Healthy Homes Program, DOHMH

Matthew Chachere

Northern Manhattan Improvement Corporation, New York City Coalition to End Lead Poisoning

Frank Ricci

Director of Government Affairs for the Rent Stabilization Association

Paula Segal

Community Development Project, Urban Justice Center

[gavel]

3	CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Good morning
4	everyone. My name is Jumaane Williams, Chair of the
5	Committee on Housing and Buildings. Today I've been
6	joined by Council Members Grodenchik and Dromm both
7	from Queens. We are here to hold a hearing on five
8	bills, four of the bills related to affordable
9	housing and reporting requirements and the last
10	relates to lead. Intro Number 305 sponsored by the
11	Public Advocate, would require HPD to report on a
12	biannual basis the number of dwellings and dwelling
13	units created, sponsored, or preserved through
14	department programs. Proposed Intro Number 336A,
15	sponsored by Council Member Lander would require HPD
16	to periodically report on certain information related
17	to the voluntary inclusionary housing and the
18	mandatory inclusionary housing programs. Proposed
19	Intro Number 942A, sponsored by Council Member
20	Rodriguez would require HPD to report on housing
21	development projects. Intro Number 1645 sponsored by
22	Council Members Richards would require HPD to report
23	quarterly on the Affordable Housing Fund and the
24	mandatory inclusionary housing developments that fund
25	it. Finally, Intro Number 427 sponsored by Council

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Member Dromm would add a definition for the words reside and residency to the city's lead law. It would define residency as spending 15 or more hours in an apartment in a typical week. With that we're going to have Council Member Dromm give an opening statement.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Thank you Chair Williams for hearing my bill on a serious public health crisis facing many of New York's children, lead poisoning. Over ten years ago the city council took action to try to protect children from the risk of being exposed to lead but a recent court of appeals decision made it clear that more needs to be done. The court ruled that a young girl who spent 50 hours a week at her grandmother's apartment did not quote, unquote "reside" in the apartment. This absolved the landlord from any responsibility to abate the lead based paint. Intro 427... 1427, excuse me, will resolve this issue by adding definitions for reside and residency to the administrative code. Now young children present in a dwelling for 15 or more hours a week will be covered under the law and learn ... landlords will have an obligation to remove dangerous lead based paint. Families should not have to suffer through the pain of having a child exposed to lead.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

This bill fills a major public health gap in the 2 3 previous code and will protect New York's children from the mariet health risks associated with lead 4 5 poisoning. For example, lead poisoning can irreversibly impair a child's neurological 6 7 development, cause behavioral disorders, and reduce educational attainment. I look forward to hearing 8 from the administration and the advocates on this measure. Thank you very much. 10

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Thank you Council Member. I'd like to thank my staff for the work they did to assembly this hearing including Mike Toomey, my Legislative Director; Meghan Chaney; Gino Patino, Council to the Committee: Jose Conde, Policy Analyst to the Committee; and Sarah Gaston, the Committee's Finance Analyst. I'd like to remind everyone who would like to testify today to please fill a card out with the Sergeant at Arms. Our first panel; Mario Ferrigno, Assistant Commissioner of HPD; Louise Carroll; Meryl Block Weissman, Assistant Commissioner of HPD and Debra Nagen from... Director of Healthy Homes Program, DOHMH. Can you please all raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

testimony before this committee and to respond
honestly to council member questions? Thank you. Do
you want to come up? Are you going... can we get
another chair Sergeant? We... no, we can get a... there,
the big one there, one of the adult chairs there...
okay, you can begin in the order of your preference,
thank you.

LOUISE CARROLL: Good morning Chairman Williams and members of the New York City Council Committee on Housing and Buildings. My name is Louise Carroll and I'm the Associate Commissioner for Housing Incentives with the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development. At the table with me is Meryl Block Weissman, HPD's Assistant Commissioner of Performance Management, Analytics, and Audit and Mario Ferrigno, Assistant Commissioner of Code Enforcement with the Office of Enforcement and Neighborhood Services who will be available for questions at the completion of this testimony. In addition, we have Debra Nagen from Department of Health who will also be available for questions at the end of this testimony. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on Introductions 305, 336, 942, and 1645 which would outline new requirements

related to reporting on nousing production and
Introduction 1427 which would add a definition for
residency to the lead paint abatement law. I will
also discuss the legislation focused on I will first
discuss the legislation focused on affordable housing
reporting. This administration has taken historic
steps in partnership with the city council to
increase transparency and accessibility for all New
Yorkers. In accordance with the New York City's open
date of law, Local Law 11 of 2012, HPD works with the
Department of Information of Technology and
Telecommunications, DoITT and the Mayor's Office of
Analytics to publish housing data in a format that is
publicly available for examination and analysis. As
of June $15^{\rm th}$ , 2017, there are nine areas in which HPD
publishes data sets on the open data portal, three
for housing production and six related to
enforcement. We are pleased to use this public forum
to share what we've been working on and to raise
public awareness and city accountability. HPD is
voluntarily reporting a large amount of housing
production data including on projects, buildings,
units that are accounted to its housing New York
plan. Data is presented on the open data website both

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

by building and by project. If you search the open data website by using the housing New York units by project tab you will find data on a project level such as the number of senior units per project. If you search using the housing New York units by building tab you can find building level data including house number, street name, borough, block, and lot, building information number, community board, council district, and census tract in which the building is located. In addition, a search by building or project will give you the following information; project ID, project name, project start date, project completion date, extended affordability status, prevailing wait status, the number of units within each average median income, and number of rental units. Also, you will find the number of homeownership units and the number of total units. Another function of open data is that it allows the public to create specialized searches and save them for future use and for the general public to access. For instance, data can be accessed by district, by community district or council district. For illustration, we created and saved the housing New York by council district quarry providing information

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

at a glance related to specific council districts. HPD is committed to ensuring that this data is not only available for public use but for public analysis which is why open data is the best way to publish information as opposed to static reports. We commit to providing open data trainings for council members and their staff and to always be a resource for further support on this site's data and analysis capabilities. Concerning inclusionary housing, as promised to the council during the mandatory inclusionary housing process our interactive inclusionary housing map was launched on HPD's website on October 2016. It allows users to identify for the voluntary inclusionary housing program one, generating sites and the compensated developments that purchased floor area from those sites including the street address, block, and lot information. Two, the amount of floor area a generating site produced. Three, how much was transferred to each compensated development and how much remains unused if any. Four, the stage of construction of that generating site and five, the community board and council district in which both generating sites and compensated developments are located. For the mandatory

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

inclusionary housing program, we just updated the map to include two MIH projects that will close this fiscal year. This requires us to provide similar information as we currently do for voluntary inclusionary housing. For these two projects and all MIH sites you'll be able to see the corresponding MIH development including address, block, and lot information. Two, the amount of floor area in that MIH site. Three, the stage of construction of the MIH site and four, the community board and council district in which both the MIH site and development is located. Users of the inclusionary housing map can search by city council district to see all of the inclusionary housing production in the district of interest or for information about a specific project you can quarry by address or by borough block and lot. In addition, the layers tool lets users see other information such as underlying zoning in the ... in... and the city zoning districts. While the data comes from HPD, the source of most of the layers in the map is the New York City Department of City Planning and we thank them for their support in our efforts to put information up. Finally, users can find information about developers and contractors by

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

searching data at HPD publish pursuant to Local Law 44 of 2012. Pursuant to Local 44 HPD requires housing production project information to be published biannually including project location, developers, contractors, city financial assistance, and affordability information. This legally required information can be accessed by our open data allowing for the public to analyze it through various meaningful lenses. We now turn to the proposed legislation. It is clear that both the council and HPD are committed to transparency and HPD agrees with the spirit of Introduction 305, 336, and 942. We thank Public Advocate Latisha James and Council Members Lander and Rodriguez for putting these bills forward for further discussion. Much of the data required in these bills is now being published through the recently created housing New York open data inclusionary housing map and Local Law 44 open data. We are open to codifying appropriate provisions such as the indexing of data by council district in a non-proprietary format as proposed by Introduction 942 and to further discussing what is not currently being captured but would be meaningful to the council. HPD is also in the process of adding the

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

administering agent to the inclusionary housing map. As I noted previously HPD wants to ensure the published information is available for public to use and analysis so we will conduct council trainings and provide continued support for how to best utilize open data, the open data portal for analysis. We do have concerns about some of the specific provisions of these bills. For example, HPD would need more time following the end of the fiscal year close in June to collect and conduct a data quality review for the information requested in Introduction 942. We would suggest October 31st for a more realistic timeframe. We also have concerns with the requirement to identify anticipated or considered development sites in Introduction 305. Publishing possibly prematurely our recommendations for particular projects would significantly impeded the city's ability to finance the preservation and creation of affordable housing at the lowest possible cost. Such a list might encourage developers to demand exorbitant prices for properties near our parcel thereby inhibiting our ability to assemble land for a project. Finally, as part of the process to enact the mandatory inclusionary housing program HPD and the city council

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

agreed that an annual report on in lieu fees and the affordable housing fund would be incorporated into the zoning text. Given that there is already an agreed upon reporting framework HPD cannot support Introduction 1645. Now I will quickly discuss Intro 1427 on behalf of HPD's Office of Enforcement and Neighborhood Services. HPD is committed to creating safe homes for all New Yorkers and it takes very seriously any complaint related to lead based paint. According to the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene since 2005 there has been an 86 percent decline in childhood lead poisoning. HPD has concerns that Introduction 1527 would have unintended consequences that could greatly impact tenants, property owners, HPD Enforcement Operation. Although not defined in Local Law 1 of 2004 the term reside is commonly understood to mean that a person lives at a, a location that is their primary dwelling. Local Law 1 includes several provisions that require the owner to affirmatively determine if a child resides in a unit. When a child under six years old resides in an apartment there are a significant number of requirement imposed on property owners including annual notices, annual inspections, and work practice

requirements. If the tenant responds yes to the
annual notice the owner must conduct an inspection
for lead based paint habits, if the tenant does not
respond owner is required to attempt to inspect the
dwelling unit to determine if a child of applicable
age resides there. Owners must also inspect for lead
paint hazards when a tenant notifies them that a
child has come to live in the unit or makes a
complaint about a condition that may cause a lead
paint hazard or request an inspection. The law also
provides the tenants may not refuse or fail to
provide information about child residency or refuse
to act access to the owner for the purpose of
investigation and repair of lead paint hazards. All
of these provisions assist in establishing knowledge
by the owner of the presence of a child under six in
units in the building. The HPD also has substantial
procedures for addressing lead based paint. Due to
the increased risk for children our inspectors ask
tenants if there's a child under six years old
residing at the home at every in single inspection
we conduct and 311 operators are trained to ask if a
child under the age of six resides in the home for
any service requests regarding paint. If a complaint

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is filed and the tenant does not indicate that a child under six is residing in the apartment but the inspector confirms that such a child who lives in the dwelling at the time of inspection HPD will conduct a preliminary lead based... lead based paint survey and if peeling paint is found conduct a second inspection to confirm the presence of lead based paint. A lead based paint inspection requires the inspector to test the paint using an x-ray fluorescent machine which requires the lead content which measures... excuse me, the lead content in the paint. The inspector must test any painted surface that has peeling paint and all windows and doors. Violations will be issued if a lead based paint hazard is identified and the property owner will be advised about how to correct the condition safely which includes hiring a company certified by the Environmental Protection Agency for abatement and a dust wipe contractor to follow up. If the property owner fails to address the lead based paint condition HPD will attempt to do so and bill the property owner for the work. Many of these operational standards also have notification components and are required by law. While we understand the intent of Intro 1427 we need to

evaluate now a definition of reside would be
incorporated operationally into our lead based paint
complaint inspection and emergency repair program
processes. With a proposed threshold changing from
primary residences to anywhere a child under six
spends 15 hours a week it can be assumed that the
Department of Universal Buildings would greatly
expand, however to what extent the impact of this
expansion would have it is not yet known. More time
would be needed to appropriately realize the
additional cost to the Department related to
staffing, office space, equipment, emergency repair
work, and litigation. We look forward to continuing
conversations with Council Member Dromm on this
topic. We thank you again for the opportunity to
share the existing transparency work done by the
administration and to discuss ways of ensuring that
all New Yorkers can live in safe and comfortable
homes. We would be happy to, to answer any questions
you may have at this time.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Thank you very much for the testimony, we've been joined by Council Member's Lander, Rosenthal, and Rodriguez. I'm going to allow Council Member's Lander and Rodriguez to do

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

opening statements, no questions at this time though.

If you have questions we can put you on full out questions after.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you Council Member Williams and I'm sorry for being late. I want to thank you for your great work on housing chair and for choosing to hear this bill today. Intro 942 will increase transparency in city supported housing development project an issue that I know many of colleagues are concerned by often project take longer than initially anticipated leaving residents or communities in the dark about when they will be able to move into renovated house... home. I introduced this bill because we on the council should know where projects stand in the timeline, who the developers are and the... and the address of these buildings. Without these oversights of transparency, we do little to hold developers to the fire when they let a project drag on for months or even years past the original completion date. When city resources go to support the housing development project this project must be a model for how development is done in our society, this should mean that strict deadlines are being met, work site conditions are safe, employees

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

are paid a prevailing wage, wage and that the project is delivered on time on, on a... on budget. Often these projects are for the creation or, or restoration of affordable units. Our city is in a homeless crisis and the longer a unit remains in construction the higher the chances a family is forced out on the street for lack of affordable options. We owe it ... we owe it to our city's residents to address concerns of a project delay. I want to specifically highlight that this legislation will call for the listing of developers of this project so that we can see if any are consistently going over the projected timeline. We work to find those that provide quality on time work instead. They said that sunlight and transparency are the best remedies and with this bill I hope we can provide that to our city's housing development project. Thank you again.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Thank you very much. I have a... just a couple of questions then I want to go to the sponsors; Council Member's Dromm, Lander, and then signed up as Council Member Rosenthal which everybody will have five minutes. But I just want to make sure I clarify, it looked like for bills 305, 336, and 942 you agree in concept and

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 think you could agree with some modifications, is 3 that right?

LOUISE CARROLL: We, we agree ... yes, Council Member we agree in concept however we already publish much of the data in... that's required by the proposed bills and so we feel that much of this data is already out there and its already out there in, in a manipulatable forms. For example, through the open data portal or for example through the inclusionary map where you can find the address, the block and lot of both generating sites and compensated developments, you can find the, the status progress of the development for example whether it's in construction, whether its completed. So, we're already putting all this information out there so we feel that, you know if there are tweaks to what we're doing we're happily... we're happy to incorporate some of the council's suggestions into what we're already doing.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Just for clarity, I mean having it out there I guess would make it easier to agree with us to codify it?

LOUISE CARROLL: I'm sorry, can... [cross-

25 | talk1

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Having already, already having it out there should make it easier to agree with making it law to have it out there.

So, I'm going to let our LOUISE CARROLL: Assistant Commissioner for Performance and Analytics respond but we are already complying with several ... with Local Law 44. We have promised the council as part of the MIH process that we would have increased transparency and we kept that promise by putting the inclusionary housing data out in October 2016 which is a few months after the council passed the MIH law. So, we're, we're responding to you and we're putting information out there in response to laws or in response to your request and so we feel if we're already doing that, if there are gaps in what we're producing we're happy to add to our data but we, we don't think that it's necessary to have it codified in a bill.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: So, just... I mean there always seems to be a philosophical difference with most of the agencies when we present bills to codify even if its codifying things that are already being done I just want to understand it a little bit better from our point of view, we want to codify it

because the next batch of HPD folks or the people after them may not be... may not do as good a job as you're doing in a certain purview so I just need to understand the opposition from codifying things that is already being done?

LOUISE CARROLL: So, I will let Meryl answer the question.

MERYL BLOCK WEISSMAN: So, for 942 especially the point that... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Can you just get close to the mic?

MERYL BLOCK WEISSMAN: Sorry, for the...

for such... for 942 for Local Law 44... the one that's related to Local Law 44, the points that were raised are all actually part of the legislation already so our primary... we're definitely open obviously to discuss any of the previsions that were heard to be codified. One of the main things that we're concerned about is the legislation calls for a specific report, the data that's required by Local Law 44 is actually incredibly complex and lends itself best to open data. It is not something because there's very complicated relationships it cannot be created as a physical report. My team is happy to spend time as we

1	
2	said training or providing one on one assistance so
3	people know how to use the open data but then the
4	other provisions we're welcomed to discuss but
5	especially the report requirement, I think is really
6	counterintuitive so it involve the type of data that
7	is required for Local Law 44. Sorry
8	CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: So, I'm, I'm going
9	to have the, the, the Council Members I assume are
10	going to ask specific questions about the bill just
11	in general I wanted to stay on the point of [cross-
12	talk]
13	MERYL BLOCK WEISSMAN: Yes [cross-talk]
14	CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE:it seemed there's
15	opposition to even codify what you're already doing
16	so is that is that the case even some of the stuff
17	LOUISE CARROLL: No, we're saying that
18	its already codified in Local Law 44 so we're
19	providing a lot of information that you're [cross-
20	talk]
21	CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Well that was
22	[cross-talk]
23	LOUISE CARROLL:requesting [cross-

25 CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: ...one bill...

talk]

LOUISE CARROLL:in, in one bill. For
example the inclusionary housing requirement's
already codified in the zoning resolution and we have
agreed with the council on, on what we're going to
publish as part of that process and we put into the
zoning resolution that the in lieu fees will be held
at the community district level for ten years after
which it can be used at the borough level and that an
annual report would be published by HPD and all of
this was in negotiations with you and so we're saying
some of the bills have which the age of the bills
basically some of those bills that may be three years
old and subsequent to those bills being put out we
have come to arrangements with the council to put
some of those requirements in the zoning resolution
for example or we're publishing voluntarily through
open data or we're already publishing through Local
Law 44.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: So, so for 1645
that's the... Council Member Richards, your, your
opposition is because you're saying there's already a
framework that was put into the zoning... [cross-talk]

LOUISE CARROLL: Yes... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: ...law?

2.2

2.3

LOUISE CARROLL: Yes, and that framework was put into the zoning resolution in negotiations with the council. So, we, we all agreed that that's where it would lie and we put it in the zoning resolution and so we have in lieu fees that are published now that state how much the fee would be and when the fee would be changed.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: I'm sorry...

rules out now for the in-lieu fee that say what the fee is and how often that fee would be changed but in terms of the things that you're looking for holding the, the funds at the community district level for ten years after which it could be released at the borough level and that we should report annually, all of that with your agreement was put in the zoning resolution.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Alright, well that...

that council member is not here, my... I imagine that

what he's saying I guess either wasn't good or it

needs to be tweaked, personally I voted against it so

I don't... I don't have a particular bearing on what we

put in there but my assumption is that if, if there's

something else that's not there or needs to be

2.

S

tweaked is the reasoning that we're, we're putting this forward. So, are you saying that in 1645 its exactly what was already put in there or its different and you don't want to change it?

bill where the fund... the collection of the funds where they will be used, for how long, for ten years at the council district level after which it can be used at the borough level, an agreement that an annual report would be more beneficial than less a reporting because we do not expect to collect a lot of fees as part of that program, all of this was discussed and placed in the zoning resolution. We also agreed through a side letter with you some of the specifics of what a report would look like and, and we feel that because of the age of the bill the council accomplished what it wanted subsequent to that bill and that we should adhere it to what we accomplish together.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Do you have a citation of where in the law its... this bill already covers?

LOUISE CARROLL: So, there's a definition of affordable housing fund, the definition in the

Zoning resolution i think its section 23911
definition of affordable housing fund basically
states that the fund is administered by HPD, all
contributions shall use shall be used for the
development, acquisition, rehabilitation, or
preservation of affordable housing or as specified in
HPD rules such contribution to the fund shall be
reserved for use within the borough in which the MIH
development making such contribution is located and
for a minimum of ten years shall be reserved for use
in the same community district in which the MIH
development making such contribution is located. The
HPD shall issue a public report on the use of such
funds no less frequently than an annual basis. For
the provisions for the use of such funds may be set
forth in the guidelines IE through cap rules and all
of this was done and written in consultation with the
council

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Thank you. I'm going to call up now Council Member Dromm, just from your testimony it seemed like most of that opposition had to do with how much additional work would have to be given on HPD. I'm also part of the work on this, when I was an organizer, a tenant organizer one of

the first things we worked on was getting Local Law 1 passed so... unfortunately we still haven't gotten exactly where we wanted to but Council Member Dromm.

much Mr. Chair and I'm sorry I, I have three hearings this morning so... all back to back in hearing my legislation but what is... what is the current definition of reside and residency in the city's lead law and how did the Yaniveth decision affect the definition if at all?

LOUISE CARROLL: So, there isn't currently a definition and it is a dictionary definition of reside but what the agency is saying is that the definition of reside may not be the issue, we've come a long way since the Yaniveth case in the way we approach inspections of units and in the way we approach prevention and so what we're saying is if you put a bar... a, a, an amount of time somebody maybe who has a child ten hours sudden... who may have an issue doesn't have an opportunity to be savvy and when the HPD inspector goes by and says does a child reside here, anybody can say yes and that is the end of the question, HPD will go ahead and inspect for peeling paint or other paint violations, if they find

J

•

anything they will require the landlord to make repairs. What we're saying is let's have a discussion with the Department of Health, let's have a discussion with HPD to see what the right tool is in today's world as opposed to when that case occurred back in 1982 under a prior Local Law.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, what is currently required of landlords to remediate lead based paint hazards in apartments of young children?

LOUISE CARROLL: So, I will turn to Mario

Ferrigno to answer that question.

MARIO FERRIGNO: So, when the department receives a 311 complaint and the tenant indicates that the child under six resides in the apartment our lead program will go out and conduct an inspection using XRF testing, if a violation is issued for a lead paint hazard landlord is required to, to hire an EPA certified contractor to have the, the contractor has to have the work done with using safe work practices, the owner is also once the work is done required to hire an independent EPA certified contractor to perform dust clearance tests, all of such documents are to be submitted to HPD for

consideration of the removal of the violations which we will have to inspect.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Does HPD conduct audits for compliance with Local Law 1?

MARIO FERRIGNO: HPD will, will... as audits of what... you have a specific... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Do you... how do you enforce it?

MARIO FERRIGNO: We will issue the violations, conduct reinspection's, conduct, you know follow up inspections, proactive inspections when access is not achieved our emergency repair program is out trying to do emergency repairs where the owner has not submitted to us documentation that the violations have been corrected or submitted a certification that they have used... submitted the proper documentation.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Can you tell us how many violations for Local Law 1 is an issue in 2015 or '16?

MARIO FERRIGNO: I, I can tell you in FY '16 we issued 11,567 violations.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: So, looking at the report from 2015 I see that it appears that very few

2.2

2.3

violations are issued for Queens, it seems the
highest concentration is in Upper Manhattan and the
Bronx, can you explain why the numbers are so low for

5 Queens?

MARIO FERRIGNO: Well our inspections are generated by 311 complaints so I don't have the exact answer, I assume that since Queens is... has more one and two-family homes the complaint intake is less.

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Well in my district which is one of the most densely populated districts, so district 25 mostly apartment buildings for example it has from zero to 110 violations, is there any explanation for that?

MARIO FERRIGNO: At this point, no but we could certainly get back to you, research, you know the complaint activity from your district and...

[cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: And, and... so, just... and just the last one, how do you do education for the public on lead point poisoning and how to go about reporting lead paint?

MARIO FERRIGNO: Right, so HPD inspectors carry brochures, pamphlets which are handed out to tenants upon inspection in addition our website has...

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 as, as well as the Department of Health and Mental
3 Hygiene's website has a lot of information regarding

4 lead paint hazards and how to prevent...

COUNCIL MEMBER DROMM: Okay, thank you very much.

MARIO FERRIGNO: You're quite welcome.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Thank you Council

Member, Council Member Lander?

Thank you Mr. COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Chair and thank you to the HPD team, I know this was a lot of work so I want to start by appreciating that and actually, you know the legislation that I introduced I actually drafted after a report my office did back in 2013 before MIH was created we did a, a study to try to understand how the voluntary programs were and weren't working and found it very difficult to get the data and that's why we originally introduced this language for the voluntary program, we went ahead and then amended it to include the mandatory program but you have indeed made a lot of improvements and I know that that's been a lot of work, one of the challenges we found is that you had good information on the generating sites but the compensated developments were hard to track and I'm

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

sure it was a lot of work to put this together so I want to honor and appreciate all the work that went into it and I appreciate your willingness to add administering agent. I do want to talk through some pieces of it and I quess just ask about it, you know and I know its brand new and I feel like we're in beta testing so those things that I say I hope you'll take into beta testing spirit starting with just two bugs actually I was able to do search in safari but the search tool didn't work easily in chrome so if you could just have somebody kind of check the different browsers and similarly the dark blue of the address that appears in the box is hard to see so maybe change that color. Anyway, these are just small beta testing that I'm thrilled that exists but let's get it right but I guess some more substantive questions which are not in, in the bill but that I think might be useful to try to build out because it seems to me the goal of doing this is, is really twofold. One is to make sure for any individual site the rules are being followed and the other is to... you know have the analysis we need to make sure the programs are working to achieve its goals. So, one relatively simple thing that would be helpful for the

first one would be a link to the regulatory agreement
or governing document so that if you were trying to
look up what it was about a particular site and
obviously gathering them all and making sure they're
all online is a different question but we're at least
going forward or where we have them. One thing that
would be great to look at maybe I'll just list all
these things and then you can I don't need an answer
today but and we can we can follow up after but it
would be great to have a link to the regulatory
agreements. There's some additional information that
it would be wonderful to have so for example in the
mandatory program which option which MIH option
people took would be very useful to know and it seems
to me we, we really have four programs rather than
two or maybe even more than that; there's the old R10
program, the designated areas voluntary program, of
course each of those is in a designated area and then
for mandatory distinguishing area wide applications
and, and private applications I think over time would
be very useful to that second goal of digging down
and understanding how's the program working, what
might we want to tweak about it, so I think adding
which option a little more specificity to which kind

2.2

2.3

of program it was and maybe even in designated areas which area would then help us a lot to just figure out over time how to use this in an analytic way as well. So, all those things would be... would be great if they... if it... I mean you guys can take a look at how, how easy they would be or how... well they'll all be challenging but how... so if you have thoughts on any of them I'm happy to hear them, I'm also glad to follow up offline afterwards.

LOUISE CARROLL: You know I think it would be best if we went back and took a comprehensive look at the map and then we can talk with your office and, and walk through.

great, you know I'm, I'm... it's a very... it's going to be useful tool and I, I guess what I will just end with is you know the goal.... The report that we produced was not about the access to the data, the report was is the voluntary program working and the answer to that was mixed, in some places better than others, it's part of what pushed us to help adopt a mandatory program which for all the chairs particular issues I think is a whole lot better than the voluntary program that we had that is for sure but

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you know I for one want to keep pushing us forward, you know I believed then, I believe now that we actually should have a genuinely citywide program that every multifamily development include some affordable housing whether you get a density bonus or a tax break or not, I think there will come a time to go back and look at the R10 and voluntary designated areas programs and think about whether we want to pull those towards the mandatory program so, the goal here is to... for today is to... is to say thank you for and help get an even better version and then pass a piece of legislation that codifies the, the tracking but I think it's just a useful reminder the goal of tracking that information is to help make sure the rules are followed and we keep a evolve... evolving the program to get better.

answer a little bit of that. There was a time when the programs didn't produce many units of voluntary program and the, the administration and the agency went through tweaks in the processing and how we handled the program so that, you know we went from producing about 700 units to producing over 3,000 in FY '17, we're consistently producing over 1,000 units

every fiscal year even without 421A so when 421A
comes back we expect to be back up in the thousand
the 3,000's instead of the… you know 1,500 units that
I expect to produce this fiscal year. So, in terms of
is it working the way we handle projects and try to
handle closings in real time has greatly boosted our
numbers under those projects. I will remind the
council that we promised you we would get an
enforcement in compliance division together in order
to make sure that people were complying with not only
our tax exemption programs but our voluntary and
mandatory inclusionary housing programs and we did
hire that person, we have an Executive Director,
she's currently pursuing enforcement actions in
litigation on the taxing center side and is hiring so
we're checking off all the boxes for all the things
you've requested.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Mr. Chair can I have one more comment?

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Go ahead.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you. So, I,

23 | I want to both honor that and keep pushing us...

24 [cross-talk]

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 LOUISE CARROLL: Yes, absolutely... [cross-

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: ... you know you have taken an... done... since... it depends how you look obviously, you know the, the 1987 program, you know the R10 program produced quite few units, you know up until 2006, that program produced a lot more units in its next decade than that first program had produced in 30 years, what has happened since 2014 is that ... is an enormous amount of work and I appreciate the agency's significant improvement in the data that's available, significant improvement in your own internal processing and making it possible for developers to utilize all the programs and of course the mandatory program, which I think is going to be a very successful producer so I want to honor all that work. It is of course also true that the magnitude of our housing affordability crisis is, is probably bigger than it was in 2006 or 1987 and that's not because you guys haven't done enough work on the ... on the, the IZ programs and I just think continuing to take this good work you've done, honor it and use it to push ourselves forward, you know I continue to believe we can pull the R10 and the designated area

2.2

2.3

programs forward with... based on what we've learned in the mandatory program and that we still should, I mean this is for the subject for a different hearing Mr. Chair but the idea that every multifamily developer in this city ought to make some contribution to help with our affordability crisis whether we give them a density bonus or a tax break or not that'll produce tens, tens of thousands of units and we just have to keep working to get there, this data helps us make this program work better so I appreciate it and I appreciate your time.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Thank you very much and thank you. I concur with most of what my, my colleague said, I, I do think there's some, some good strides, I don't think we did everything that we could do, I think there was some good work particularly with MIH, I think we failed there very specifically and intentionally by not including a true mandatory on all of the options that were there, folks like me I think feel some sort of vindication because there are new term sheets that do exactly what it was that we were pushing to be done in MIH and haven't received no information of why now the change of heart but also I think there's been success

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

2.2

2.3

24

25

based against the numbers we said we would have but those numbers I think were not enough particularly on the lower AMI's so we, we got to keep pushing because we are in a... in a, a tremendous crisis. I do have some additional questions on the lead bill that's ... what was it 1427, is that right? Does H... does HPD conduct audits for compliance with Local Law 1?

MARIO FERRIGNO: So, so, we conduct reinspection's often times or occasionally we will not get access to do repair for lead violations, we will audit those, go back out and reinspect to determine if the lead work was done or if it's in fact necessary for us to do the work so we're looking at those open violations regularly to see if A, they were corrected and B, if the owner's used the proper practices to move forward to remove the violations where they were corrected.

for Local Law 1 have been issued in 2015 or 2016? MARIO FERRIGNO: I believe I, I provided the number for Fiscal Year '16, we issued 11,567 violations.

How many violations

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE:

LOUISE CARROLL: Excuse me Council Member I, I think we also want to add that the Department of

2.2

2.3

Health makes referrals to HPD and HPD inspects and I'd like to give the Department of Health an opportunity to answer.

DEBRA NAGEN: I... we can... we can answer the questions about the... of Department of Health part of it but we, we have a... we're... when there is a child with lead poisoning we make a referral to HPD as part of Local Law, Law 1 requirement and we refer the building, the address, if we find lead paint hazards in the, the unit where that child lives we're going to order them to abate that hazard but the rest of the building, that whole address gets referred to HPD and for there and Mario could answer that better than I, they're going to look at the rest of the building related to, to Local Law 1.

MARIO FERRIGNO: Right, correct so HPD will receive the referral from the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and we will audit the, the rest of the building to determine if there's a child under the age of six living in any of the apartments and upon inspection issue any appropriate violations to the apartments where there are lead paint hazards.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Do... you gave the number for 2016, do you have the number for 2015 and

2.2

2.3

2 how many violations in both years were the result of complaints?

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Mario}}$$  FERRIGNO: So, that information I do not have with me but we can provide it.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Based on your testimony seemed to be opposition to Council Member Dromm's bill was primarily about how much time... how much additional time the Department would have to add in terms of staffing, office space, equipment, is that correct or is it something else?

MARIO FERRIGNO: We're, we're concerned...

LOUISE CARROLL: So, I think operationally there may be some issues we need to work out but primarily we are concerned that maybe the definition of residency is not todays issue whereas it might have been the issue back... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Say that again, the definition of residency...

LOUISE CARROLL: The definition of residency may not be today's issue in terms of prevention and dealing with lead paint. It was an issue then for that case but it's not the issue that we're seeing today and so we want to be able to sit

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

issue.

2	with the council and try to work through a bill that
3	would address the issues from the HPD, Department of
4	Health and the council's perspective and just the
5	discussion of additional staffing is just an addition
6	to that. What would be [cross-talk]
7	CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: What, what are the
8	issues… [cross-talk]

LOUISE CARROLL: ...appropriate, who would be... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: ...What are the issues... [cross-talk]

LOUISE CARROLL: ...appropriate... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: ...you're seeing now? LOUISE CARROLL: So, we have two of the experts from the division, we think that it would be better after the hearing for HPD and DOHMH and the council to get together to discuss this more thoroughly, I myself am not an expert on, on this

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: So, just for clarity you're saying the, the hours that the law says you have... in this case, 50 hours wasn't enough

2.2

2.3

for the property owner to have to make any changes that's not an issue that you see come up often?

LOUISE CARROLL: No, today if a question...

if the question comes through 311 or a complaint

comes through 311 about paint HPD would say does a

child reside there and there's no hours, there's no

minimum threshold, if someone, a grandmother, a

caregiver says yes HPD will go out and will do the

inspections, if they find peeling paint they will do

the test and they will send the lead team out and so

it's a broader blanket... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Did that change after this case?

MARIO FERRIGNO: No, it's been that way.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: So, what happened during that case?

MARIO FERRIGNO: I don't know what happened in that case, I don't know, the law hasn't changed, it's been the same.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: It seems a bit strange if, if we're saying there's no minimum threshold and the Council Member put the bill in because of a particular case where threshold was used.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MARIO FERRIGNO: As was explained if a, a call is... comes into 311 the question is asked about whether a child under the age of six resides in the apartment, if the answer's in the affirmative there's no follow up question with respect to the... [crosstalk]

LOUISE CARROLL: So... [cross-talk]

MARIO FERRIGNO: ...number of hours.

LOUISE CARROLL: I think in this case what we're talking about is prevention and with DOHMH its cure, right, so with prevention... you know in the case where you have someone who's already had lead poisoning and the question to the landlord is whether the child resided here... the progression of that case is unusual because one, HPD on the prevention mode wouldn't be asking the landlord how many hours, we wouldn't get to how many hours the child lives there, HP... the landlord would have to do the work or HPD would do it and bill them or on the DOHMH side if they found lead poisoning they would then in... send a referral to HPD and also inspect other areas where the child may spend time and its... and it's not 50 hours, its five hours so the way DOHMH is working with HPD... [cross-talk]

Τ	
2	CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: I under I
3	understand what you're saying I just want to… were
4	you aware that this was the case that the Council
5	Member used to when he put the bill forward?
6	LOUISE CARROLL: I, I understand that
7	this is the case but it the fact pattern of the case
8	does… [cross-talk]
9	CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: No, I'm, I'm
10	[cross-talk]
11	LOUISE CARROLL:not [cross-talk]
12	CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE:understanding but
13	I'm just saying if we were aware I'm just surprised
14	that you can't tell me what happened in that
15	particular case then because if this is the case
16	that's being used and you're saying that the law it
17	doesn't match up with what the law is but now you
18	can't tell me why that happened in that case.
19	LOUISE CARROLL: It was it was a
20	different law and the progression of the way the
21	agencies work this wouldn't happen today. So, under a
22	different law [cross-talk]
23	CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: I didn't I'm

25 LOUISE CARROLL: ...years ago... [cross-talk]

sorry… [cross-talk]

24

2 CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: ...repeat that again?

3

LOUISE CARROLL: Number one it was a

different law and to... and number two the way... [cross-

5

4

talkl

6

10

13

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: What, what was a

7 | different law?

8 LOUISE CARROLL: The Local Law 1 back in,

9 I think it was 2000... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Four... [cross-talk]

11 LOUISE CARROLL: Was it 82? Okay,

12 | actually let me let the Department of Health.

DEBRA NAGEN: So, that ... [cross-talk]

14 CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Alright, sorry just

15 | really quickly I want to shout out we've been joined

16 by the Bronx Academy of Letters, is this the high

17 school? Middle school, you guys look grown, welcome

18 | very much, welcome, hope it's a lot of fun. Sorry,

19 please continue.

DEBRA NAGEN: I mean I think this is

21 applying to the original Local Law 1, this emanates

22 Local Law 1 of 1982 now the Local Law 1 of 2004 as

23 you well know has a whole different provision for

24 | identifying children... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: I see... [cross-talk]

2.2

2.3

DEBRA NAGEN: ...who are high risk living
in pre-1960... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: So, you're saying this case was before 2004?

DEBRA NAGEN: Exactly.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Okay, alright.

DEBRA NAGEN: It... at... it was just decided. Yes, and the case... and the case was related to a child with lead poisoning.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: So, it happened before '04 but decided after '04?

DEBRA NAGEN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Okay. Alright, well hopefully you can sit down with Council Member Dromm and, and figure out what the actual needs are, if this doesn't match up but I appreciate all of the work that you're doing on all of these areas even with affordable housing even though we've got to push a little further but thank you very much for your testimony. And we have three people signed up for public testimony. I'm going to call everybody up at the same time, I know everybody's going to get along even though one person I'm assuming is going to be in opposition, I don't know for sure... oh no, he's

2.2

2.3

checking opposition, okay. Matthew Chachere, Northern Manhattan Improvement Corp.; Paula Segal, Community Development, Project with the Urban Justice and Frank Ricci, Rent Stabilization Association. Bye Bronx Academy of Letters, hope it was all your hoped and dreamed. Can you please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to council member questions?

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: You'll each have two minutes and you can begin your testimony in the order of your preference.

Yes.

MATTHEW CHACHERE:

MATTHEW CHACHERE: Thank you Mr. Chair.

My name is Matthew Chachere, I'm summarizing a much longer testimony which I'm putting it on the record. I'm with Northern Manhattan Improvement Corporation, I'm also Council for the Long... for... with the New York City Coalition to End Lead Poisoning and in this capacity, I've been involved in a lot of litigation over the failure of city agencies to implement the city's lead laws as well as I submitted a friend of the court brief in the Yaniveth case before the court of appeals which is the basis upon which Council

Member Dromm's bill has been proposed. I submit these
comments also on behalf of UJC. In 2003, in the New
York City Coalition to End Lead Poisoning versus
Vallone the court of appeals declared that the
dangers of exposure to lead paint especially young
children are well documented and propose and poses
serious public health risks. While the city was on
the cutting edge of preventing policy in 2004 when it
adopted Local Law 1 the medical science makes it
clear we need to do even more now to prevent children
from the protect children from the intellectual,
emotional, and physical damage that exposure to lead
does causes of even vanishing low amounts. Thus in
2008 the federal EPA issued rules that require the
use of safe work practices in child occupied
facilities and noteworthily the child occupied
facilities definition in the federal regs is a
location visited at least two days a week for a
minimum of three hours each visit in a combined
weekly total of six hours and a combined annual visit
of 60 hours. This is far more stringent than what's
been proposed in, in this legislation and assumedly
the federal standard was, was carefully analyzed. I
see no reason why any city standard should be less

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

protective than the federal standard. The realities that young children spend significant amount of times in multiple homes in a given week such as when parents live in separate residences and from a child's health perspective the damage that can be done from ingesting lead from dust or deteriorate paint can be just as devastating regardless of whether the child resides in the dwelling or frequently visits. I would suggest however the more significant issue for this council is the lack of enforcement by the city with broad aspects of the current law and although the council asked the, the representatives from the agencies earlier if the city conducts audits, I can tell you that the city conducts virtually no enforcement of three key aspects of the city's law. One, the requirement that at vacancy lead paint on specified surfaces, window frames and door frames be permanently abated and that record be certified to the tenant and documented, the city does not enforce that, period. Number two, the requirement that landlords annually inspect the apartments for lead hazards and document that in writing, the city conducts no enforcement of that provision either except where there's a lead poisoned

2.2

2.3

talkl

child. Three, the requirement that safe work

practices be used at all times when disturbing either

lead paint or paint of unknown lead content

regardless of whether it's a violation, the city does

not enforce that and I think those are key issues

8 CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Thank you... [cross-

that should be followed up.

MATTHEW CHACHERE: Thank you.

PAULA SEGAL: Thank you. I want to thank my colleague for testifying on behalf of the Urban of the Urban Justice Center. I am also testifying on behalf of the Urban Justice Center's Community

Development Project. I'm a Senior Staff Attorney in our Equitable Neighborhoods Unit, I submitted testimony about the bills as written and I... its short and I encourage you to read it, there's a bunch of technical tweaks but I want to respond to a couple of things that the agency staff said under oath up here that I think need to be addressed directly. It's wonderful that they are putting material on the open data portal, the open data portal is a technical tool, its, its spreadsheets, giant ones since they're putting that data in the portal asking them to

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

produce a report from that data should be a nobrainer and HPD has staff that can do that, the community boards don't, the Community Advocacy Organization's don't. so, the annual reports would serve a different purpose and they would address a different audience and they would make the material that they're already putting on the open data portal accessible to a much broader swath of people who are impacted by the policies that they're apparently reporting on, the information's not transparent if its presented in a format that can't be read. So, I really want to drive home to the council that you can ask for annual reports of the same information that they're already publishing in English instead of spreadsheets, that's fine. The other bill that the... I was very surprised to hear the agency opposed was the transparency on the MIH fund, the language in the zoning text simply says that HPD and I quote, "will issue a public report on the use of such fund", nowhere in the text are the words the use defined. The Manhattan borough board when they submitted their comments on the MIH text amendment requested standards that include for transparency and again I quote, "eliminating the possibility that future

administrations may have different priorities and can
unilaterally change the nature of the fund". The city
planning commission in making its determination to
approve the MIH text stated and I quote, "HPD will
track in lieu payment in lieu fee deposits as they
are received and report annually about funds
generated, programmed, and spent. That would be great
but that is not what made it into the text, I don't
know why the legislation is necessary because right
now HPD is simply told to report on the use of such a
fund and there's nothing to tell advocates what's
actually been deposited in each district's account,
there's nothing to actually make real transparency
possible other than nice people who work at HPD who
feel like it, that's not good enough. So, I really
want to draw your attention to the fact that this
fund is really needed and it's something that
advocates have been asking about in the last year
since MIH has been passed.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Thank you.

PAULA SEGAL: Thank you.

FRANK RICCI: Thank you Mr. Chairman...

24 [cross-talk]

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Just going to agree 3 with everything they said.

FRANK RICCI: No, not today.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Okay...

FRANK RICCI: My name's Frank Ricci, I'm the Director of Government Affairs for the Rent Stabilization Association. I'm here today to oppose Intro 1427 for many of the same reasons that you heard HPD say but as a practical matter, one of the services that we provide to our members and we send out hundreds of thousands if not millions of these notices a year are the annual lead paint and window guard notice asking tenants if they have a child under ten or under six which would trigger if it's under six it would trigger the owner to do an inspection of the apartment to see if there's any peeling paint, the biggest problem we have is tenants responding so we have a, a procedure we go through a second notice, a third notice and then a, a reminder to the owner and go knock on the door just to get the tenant to respond but the... I think the bill on... for the hearing today is totally unnecessary because even if a tenant is watching a child, a grandchild, a neighbor's kid whatever for two hours a week or three

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hours a week all they have to do is say yes and that's going to make the owner go in and inspect for peeling paint and then he has to correct that condition. If there's... as you heard HPD say if there's a call to 311 about peeling paint and a child under six they take it a step further they go and do an inspection they bring their XRF machine which is ... many of them are flawed because the default on many of the XRF machines is the same number, it's, it's 1.0 which triggers a lead paint abatement when in reality it could be something much less than that. Most of the violations you see and hear about today are in doorframes and door ... metal doors because there is an ambient level of lead in, in the alloys that make up a lot of these metals but... so there's a lot of... and I would argue there's a needless abatement going on in some of these apartments but the fact of the matter is the bill for the hearing today is totally unnecessary because it doesn't matter if the child's there two hours or 15 hours or 50 hours, the inspection's going to get done either by HPD or the owner for peeling paint to correct the condition. And the final thing I'd like to point out is that you heard them and I, I didn't hear any discussion on it,

2.2

2.3

since the lead law was passed in 2004 there's been an 86 percent reduction in the incidents of poisonings in the city and that's with them lowering the standard from 15 to 10 and now the action level is between five and ten so by lowering the bar, the threshold every few years the number... incidents of lead poisoning has dropped dramatically which is a big success story. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Thank you very much. Did I... just did I hear you say that there should be... we should do something that includes additional notices?

FRANK RICCI: No, I said that right now what happens is the Health Department requires owners... if the tenant doesn't respond on the first notice... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: I see... [cross-talk]

FRANK RICCI: ...which a lot of tenants don't they have an obligation to go back two and three times... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: I see... [cross-talk]

FRANK RICCI: ...we... as the service we do it for our members, we will send a second notice, we will do a third notice and we'll even do a phone call

\_

\_

to the tenant just to get them to say... ask if there's a child under six or ten.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: May... I, I want to... because it did... there was some logic to... I, I hear what you're saying about what the federal guidelines are but what Frank and, and HPD was saying is that their... the guide... the guidelines doesn't matter because it could be half an hour or an hour right now, are you saying that that, that that's not true?

MATTHEW CHACHERE: Well... Mr. Williams I,
I... what, what HPD testified to with respect to their
policy and practices is what I understand has always
been HPD's practice ever since Local Law 1 of 2004
went into effect and this may be one of the rare
times that Frank Ricci and I in public have ever
agreed on anything... [cross-talk]

 $\label{eq:frank ricci:} \mbox{You say the same thing to}$  me all the time...

MATTHEW CHACHERE: Right... but... see I think what's important to understand here is, is Yaniveth the, the, the issue in Yaniveth is... it was a liability standard and, and it was brought... it, it was... it was brought under the old Local Law 1 of, of 1982 and the issue was and, and this has always

been the critical issue before a, a leading case in
1986 called Juarez versus Wavecrest Management is
whether or not the owner had notice of the presence
of a child and that triggered all sorts of
obligations and I think the court was very divided on
that issue in terms of the duty to abate being
triggered by the quote, unquote "residence" of the
child and in fact it was not a unanimous court
decision Judge Fahey dissented. It may be and again
I think this bill is probably going to go through
revisions from what I'm hearing, it may be that the,
the remedy here is to codify something with respect
to owners liability being triggered by residents of a
certain minimal amount of time but I, I would
certainly agree that there's no reason to impose a
minimum amount of time requirement to trigger HPD
inspections or and certainly not the Health
Department inspections, I mean it's, it's pretty well
understood that a child could be there five minutes
and ingest enough lead in the right circumstances to
be lead poisoned.

CHAIRPERSON JUMAANE: Alright, thank you very much and Miss Segal, thank you for driving some of those points home particularly the audiences of

## COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

who the reports were for, I think that's a, a key part. Well thank you very much for all your testimony, I greatly appreciate it... is this for the record or something... we also were joined by Council Member Torres of the Bronx, for the record we have testimony from the Associated Buildings and Owners of Greater New York and Public Advocate Tish James and seeing no one else who wants to testify this hearing

[gavel]

is now closed.

## $C \ E \ R \ T \ I \ F \ I \ C \ A \ T \ E$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date

July 15, 2017