CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

----- X

May 12, 2017

Start: 1:08 p.m. Recess: 5:37 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm,

16th Fl.

BEFORE:

ANTONIO REYNOSO Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Andy L. King

Vanessa L. Gibson

Costa G. Constantinides

Steven Matteo
Brad S. Lander
Helen K. Rosenthal

Fernando Cabrera

Kathryn Garcia
Commissioner
NYC Department of Sanitation

Robert Orlin
Deputy Commissioner
Legal Affairs
NYC Department of Sanitation

Michael Westerfield Director Recycling Programs Dart Container Corp.

Alan Shaw
President
Plastic Recycling, Inc.

Robin Cantor Managing Director Berkeley Research Group

William Goodfellow Principal Scientist and Director Exponent

Eric Goldstein Environmental Director National Resources Defense Council

Priya Mulgaonkar Representative NYC Environmental Justice Alliance

Ron Gonen
Representative
Closed Loop Partners

Brendan Sexton
Representative
Manhattan Solid Waste Advisory Board

Beryl Thurman
Executive Director
The North Shore Waterfront Conservancy
of Staten Island

Patty Moore President Sustainable Materials Management of California

Richard Master CEO MCS Industries

Sergio Firpo President Azure Technologies

Natha Dempsey Vice President Foodservice Packaging Institute

Patrick Diamond Representative Surfrider NYC

Colin Beavan Founder No Impact Project

Melissa Iachan Senior Staff Attorney Environmental Justice Program New York Lawyers for the Public Interest

Jeremy Cherson Campaign Advocacy Coordinator Riverkeeper

Kelly Lester
Student
Environmental Law Clinic
NYC School of Law

Dave Brooke Director Regulatory Affairs Pactiv

Mike Levy Director Plastics Foodservice Packaging Group

David Wilson Purchasing Manager RAPAC

Walter Reiter
Deputy Director
EPS Industry Alliance

Gary Frederick
President
Princeton Moulding Group LLC

Thomas Gorman Reilly Vice President CIVITAS Citizens, Inc.

Judith Weis
Professor Emerita
Department of Biological Sciences
Rutgers University

Rhonda Keyser Representative Cafeteria Culture

Christopher Chen
Executive Director
Center for Oceanic Awareness, Research and
Education (COARE)

Greg Todd
Representative
Brooklyn Solid Waste Advisory Board

Fred DeFazio Representative Genpak

Kevin Haggerty Representative Genpak

Clark Pena Representative NYC Hispanic Chamber of Commerce

Diane Sanders
Representative
Black Jewel Catering

Darren Suarez Director of Governmental Affairs The Business Council

Dr. George Cruzan
President
ToxWorks

Isaac Jordan
President
Sustainable Crown Heights

Genesis Abreu
Bilingual Community Organizer
WE ACT for Environmental Justice

Saleen Shah Director Communications and Public Affairs Citizens Committee for New York City

Isabelle Silverman
Representative
Environmental Defense Fund

Jordan Christensen Citizens Campaign for the Environment

Akisha Freeman Manager Sugar Hill Restaurant and Supper Club

Mylan Denerstein Partner Gibson Dunn

Rev. Dalton Cabdagestalk Representative Joppa Christian Ministry

Ling Tsou
Co-Founder
United for Action

Sandra Meola Representative NY/NJ Baykeeper

Alessandro Ciari Representative Natural Resources Protective Association of Staten Island

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 8 2 [sound check] 3 [pause] 4 [background comments] 5 [pause] 6 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Welcome all. I 7 wanna say hi to everyone in the overflow room as well 8 and everyone watching at home. My name is Council 9 Member Antonio Reynoso and I am the chair of 10 Sanitation and Solid Waste here in the City of New 11 York. I want to acknowledge the presence of fellow 12 Council Members; first, Steven Matteo from Staten 13 Island, from the Sanitation Committee -- thank you 14 very being here, sir; and Fernando Cabrera from the 15 Bronx joining us today as we hear his bill. 16 This might be a long one tonight, folks, or this afternoon, maybe leading into tonight, so 17 18 we're gonna be very particular about how we move 19 forward with questions, answers and statements and 20 testimony. 21 I want to welcome everyone to this 22 hearing concerning Intro 1480, a bill that would 23 designate expanded polystyrene, also known as EPS, as 24 recyclable in New York City residential recycling

This is not the first time the City

25

programs.

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 9

Council has sought to address the challenges

polystyrene poses on our waste stream and

environment. In December 2013, the City enacted

Local Law 142, which banned soiled EPS food and

beverage containers as of July 1, 2015 unless the

DSNY Commissioner determined that such containers

could be recycled in a manner that is

"environmentally effective, economically feasible,

and safe for employees."

On December 31, 2014, Commissioner

Kathryn Garcia issued a determination which found

that EPS could not be recycled in a manner that was
environmentally effective or economically feasible.

However, after the ban went into effect, a State

trial court judge annulled the Commissioner's

determination, the result of which is that EPS food
and beverage containers are currently still allowed.

Intro 1480, the bill we are considering today, would not ban EPS; it would instead designate EPS as recyclable in the City's residential recyclable program. It would also repeal the requirement for DSNY to conduct a determination of recyclability.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 10

2.2

2.3

I am eager to listen to both proponents and opponents of this bill and hope this hearing brings clarity as to what solution is the best way to address this material in our waste stream and environment. I look forward to hearing from DSNY, Sims Metal Management, Dart Container Corporation, and environmental advocates and other interested groups and individuals about Intro 1480. Thank you.

I want to allow for the sponsor of the bill to say a few words before we start, Council Member Cabrera.

much. Good afternoon Committee Chairperson, Council Member Reynoso. Thank you so much for allowing for the hearing of this bill and to listen to both sides. Thank you for the opportunity again to speak on behalf of my bill, Intro 1480, which will designate expanded polystyrene as a recyclable and repeal conflicting code sections.

This is progressive legislation that helps New York City reach its goal of Zero Waste shipped to landfills by 2030. We know that polystyrene is 100% recyclable, it is currently recycled commercially in cities across the nation,

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 11 including Los Angeles, Baltimore, Madison, Wisconsin and Yonkers.

The 2016 report by the Berkeley Research

Group found that recycling foam would create an

economic gain for New York City by saving \$1.9

million in annual landfill costs and generating \$2.2

million revenue from the sale of foam to a recycler.

Recycling polystyrene is much more costeffective than a ban; friendlier to small businesses,
which abound in my district, the third poorest
councilmanic district in New York City. Many small
businesses in the city will be devastated by a ban on
the takeout container that allows them to survive.
In 2013 hearings we learned that foam alternatives
could cost neighborhood businesses up to \$10,000 per
year, depending on size of the business and this is
in the record [sic]. Recycling polystyrene is
responsive to the concerns of environmentalists and
small businesses.

Again, thank you, Mr. Chairman and

Committee members and Cullen Howe, Senior Legislative

Counsel and members of the staff for your work on

this bill. Thank you so much.

2.2

2.3

- 1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 12
- 2 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you Chair
- 3 Cabrera. And now we are going to go to Robert Orlin,
- 4 from DSNY and the Commissioner of DSNY, the Kathryn
- 5 Garcia.
- 6 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: It's so good to see
- 7 you again; it's been so long.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Two times in one
- 9 day. Only in New York City.
- 10 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Only in New York
- 11 City, or at least at the Sanitation Committee.
- 12 [background comment]
- 13 Good afternoon Chair Reynoso and members
- 14 of the City Council Committee on Sanitation and Solid
- 15 | Waste Management. I am Kathryn Garcia, Commissioner
- 16 of the New York City Department of Sanitation. Also
- 17 | with me is Bob Orlin, Deputy Commissioner for Legal
- 18 Affairs for the Department. I am here to speak
- 19 | briefly on expanded polystyrene Food-Service Foam.
- I have to say that I am disheartened
- 21 | today that the Council is considering a bill that is
- 22 transparently driven by corporate interest and trying
- 23 to masquerade as an environmental initiative. I hope
- 24 that my testimony today and the facts associated with
- 25 | the case will persuade the Council that it is not in

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 13 the best interest of New Yorkers or our shared goal of leaving a healthier planet to our children.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

New York City Local Law 142 of 2013 requires the New York City Sanitation Commissioner to make a determination about the recyclability of expanded polystyrene single-service articles, also known as Food-Service Foam. This includes food service items that are intended by the manufacturer to be used once for eating or drinking or are generally recognized by the public as items to be discarded after one use, which is, by its very nature, dirty. Local Law 142 required that this determination be based on environmental effectiveness, economic feasibility and the safety of Department employees and the employees of the City's designated recycling processing facility run by the City's recycling processor, Sims Municipal Recycling at the South Brooklyn Marine Terminal.

Throughout 2014, the Department analyzed the potential for collecting and sorting Food-Service Foam into its Metal Glass and Plastic (MGP) recycling program. The Department also investigated whether markets exist for Food-Service Foam, which is the type of foam that the Department would be able to

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 14 collect as part of the MGP program. The Department visited several California facilities to understand existing Food-Service Foam recycling efforts elsewhere in the United States and the feasibility of recycling Food-Service Foam in New York City.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In January 2015, the Department issued a determination that Food-Service Foam can be collected and sorted in a manner that is safe for employees, but it could not be recycled in a manner that is economically feasible or environmentally effective. This determination was based on the fact that there were no established markets to purchase and recycle the Food-Service Foam that would be collected by the Department's comingled MGP program because it would be considered unmarketable. To date, Food-Service Foam, as defined in Local Law 142 of 2013, has never been lifted as a recycled commodity in a trade journal. As the Department determined that Food-Service Foam could not be recycled, these articles as well as packing peanuts were to become banned on July 1, 2015 in accordance with Local Law 142.

In April 2015, a coalition of plaintiffs representing various businesses connected with Food-Service Foam products filed a lawsuit challenging the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 15
Department's determination, which the New York State
Supreme Court subsequently annulled and vacated in
the case of Restaurant Action Alliance NYC v. City of
New York. The Court remanded the matter to the
Commissioner of Sanitation for reconsideration.

2.2

2.3

The Department will issue its new determination later today. This determination again finds that Food-Service Foam cannot be recycled in a manner that is environmentally effective or economically feasible.

For 30 years, attempts to recycle FoodService Foam -- both subsidized and non-subsidized
attempts -- have failed at each step of the recycling
process. The municipalities and programs that the
Department researched tell a very clear story: FoodService Foam is not capable of being recycled in an
environmentally effective or economically feasible
manner.

The municipalities found that FoodService Foam compacts in collection trucks, breaks
into bits, and becomes covered in food residue,
making it worthless when it arrives at the material
recovery factory (MRF). It then blows throughout the
MRF, is missed by manual sorters, mistakenly moves

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 16 with the paper material and contaminates other valuable recycling streams, namely paper, which can be the most consistently valuable commodity in a recycling program, and the only one that we are currently receiving any revenue on. Food-Service Foam is too costly to clean and process compared to virgin material. If some is sorted successfully, the lightweight foam must be stored for months, waiting for enough material to economically ship.

2.2

2.3

If any Food-Service Foam makes it over these hurdles, the process grinds to a stop due to the struggle to find a buyer. With no buyer, municipalities get stuck with the material and ultimately send the remaining amount of Food-Service Foam that was not already landfilled after the compacting or sorting phases to a landfill.

This has been the experience of the large municipalities contacted by the Department -- the same municipalities that Dart suggested the Department research -- and several other small and large municipalities that also attempted to recycle Food-Service Foam. After designating Food-Service Foam, numerous municipalities ended up disposing of the material at each step in the recycling process.

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 17

There is no basis to expect that New York City's experience will be any different.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Accordingly, the Department strongly opposes Intro 1480, because it would require the Department to designate, as a recyclable, a material that is clearly not recyclable. However, I wish to note that even if Food-Service Foam was designated as a recyclable material, there is a strong likelihood that this material will continue to be landfilled based on what we see in other cities in North America, so there is little justification for the Department to ask over 8.5 million people to source separate post-consumer Food-Service Foam items as part of the MGP program. Throwing this material directly into the trash -- as we currently ask City residents to do -- is a more efficient way to dispose of it. Moreover, if Food-Service Foam is collected as part of the Department's MGP collection, our research shows that it is likely to contaminate other recyclable streams that do have value; this is because Food-Service Foam tends to easily break into small pieces, which are difficult to sort effectively, and these small lightweight pieces can easily spread around a recycling facility.

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 18

I look forward to further discussions with the Committee Chair and the Council Speaker regarding the Department's new determination as to whey Food-Service Foam should not be designated as a recyclable material. I would also like to personally thank this Committee and the Council for its continuing support for all of the Department's current sustainability and solid waste management programs.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you

Commissioner. I have some questions and then I'll go

over to the sponsor of the bill to also ask some

questions, and I know that we are now joined by

Council Member Constantinides and Council Member Brad

Lander.

So from your testimony, you are unequivocally stating that polystyrene is not recyclable? Can you elaborate on that?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Absolutely. So we were asked to evaluate whether food-service materials, expanded polystyrene materials were recyclable and we found them not to be, again, after extensive additional research.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 19
CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Is there a market

-- so this is the big thing -- Is there a market for

clean expanded polystyrene?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: We did not look at clean expanded styrene in-depth, so there may be a market for clean polystyrene; however, any foam that would be collected as part of our recycling program, even if it started off clean, would not be clean after it went into a collection truck, because it would be touching everything else that goes into the Metal Glass and Plastic stream, which often has food residue in it.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And the breaking down of this material in small bits and pieces, like you said -- you're making mention to the fact that during the recycling process would be extremely difficult to manage; can you talk about the experience that you currently have in your facilities...

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well we don't collect or designate polystyrene within the im... [interpose]

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO:} \quad \mbox{It just goes into} \\ \mbox{the general...}$

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 20

2.2

2.3

bag refuse waste. But I might wanna mention that shortly after our determination, the Plastic Partners Report came out, which had looked at how much expanded polystyrene would make it through a MRF and found that only 7% did. This is an organization that I would say is probably not usually considered part of the environmental movement, and so just another thing to say that they did not find that there was a high level of movement of this material successfully.

The other thing about this material is; the pieces that don't break tend to flatten and be... the sorting equipment thinks that it's paper and so you end up with polystyrene in your paper bail and that makes it so your paper bail is no longer that valuable.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Are you aware of any municipal recycling programs that recycle expanded polystyrene right now...? [crosstalk]

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So from our research that we have done, there is a clear distinction between collecting material as recyclable and making sure that the collected material is actually recycled. For example, Dart has cited Los

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 21 Angeles as an example of a city that is successfully recycling foam; however, in a 2013 memo to the Los Angeles City Council, Enrique Zaldivar, the Director of Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation -- he also has sewers [sic], by the way, and wastewater treatment plants -- noted: "MRFs only recover EPS that is clean and in bulk form because manufacturers and processors of EPS will only purchase post-consumer EPS free of contaminants such as food waste, oil, grease, etc. Contaminant EPS becomes part of the MRFs residual waste which is disposed of at a local landfill." is my understanding that this was part of the record when the Council held the hearings on Intro 1060, which eventually became the Law 142 of 2013. DSNY has recently confirmed the accuracy of this memo through conversations with Los Angeles Department of Sanitation staff. DSNY has also further learned that even clean foam is failing to find a market. last several months, MRFs processing -- Los Angeles recyclables -- have stopped sorting for expanded polystyrene because the cost of bailing and sorting is too high.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: In the last two months, California stopped doing what they are

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 22 legally required to do because there's just no market for it?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I don't know exactly how their legal structure works in terms of that, but there is no market for it; they can't find anyone to take it.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So we know that if a market exists, it might be there for clean, but dirty, absolutely not, and what we're talking about here would be mostly dirty polystyrene, considered dirty polystyrene in the City of New York?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Are these program subsidized in some manner in California, for example; do you know that information?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: We are aware that some of the programs are subsidized and what our research has shown is as soon as the subsidy disappears the program falls apart. There have been attempts over the last 30 years -- the National Polystyrene Recycling Company in 1989 was subsidizing a program; they opened six recycling facilities; all had to close by 1993. The Canadian Polystyrene Recycling Association funded a recycling facility

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 23 2 that then closed in 2007. So they start programs and 3 then they disintegrate and the municipality is left 4 really struggling with this material. CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: If this material is 5 designated as recyclable, what issues would DSNY have 6 to address? 8 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So we would have of 9 course the public education issues and we've learned that we would suffer from contamination of our 10 11 valuable recycling stream; we are particularly 12 concerned about that; as you know, our plans to move 13 to single-stream recycling in 2020, so we think that 14 this would be a problem across the board. We are 15 definitely concerned about the devaluation of that 16 particular portion. 17 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Do you know of the 18 company called PRI? 19 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm aware of the 20 company called PRI. 21 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Would you consider them a viable player in the dirty polystyrene 2.2 2.3 business or collection of their dirty polystyrene? COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No. 24

understanding is they have done some tests at their

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 24 facility, but they are not taking material from a major municipality, and I know that several major municipalities -- both in the U.S. and in Canada -- have requested that they take their material and they have so far, as far as we can tell, declined.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So our best case scenario, even if we have polystyrene, PRI won't necessarily take it if it's dirty?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well I don't know if they'll take it or won't take it; I believe they have a financial relationship that might subsidize it, but we've not seen -- the facility isn't running in a way that we can say oh, I see that you've taken dirty foam that would be similar to ours and you've run it through all your equipment and oh, we see that it works. We've been unable to see that on a large scale with material that we would be providing.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Now is there any other recipient of polystyrene outside of PRI that you're aware of in the country?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: There are; there were; we actually spent quite a bit of research actually looking at Dart's website, as well as the EPS Industry Alliance and the people who they

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 25 recommend on their website that were taking them, so they on their website identify 48 companies having an interest in purchasing post-consumer foam; 39 state that they are only interested in clean foam which can include packing foam or clean service; eight companies indicated TBD regarding the type of material they could accept; eight of these companies do not have websites; the other two companies that had websites indicate that it accepts clean foam in the Madison, Wisconsin area, and it is unclear from the other company's website that it accepts foam at all. Only one company that lists the type of foam it accepts fails to specify that it accepts clean foam only; that company, American Polymer Corporation, located in Ohio, does not accept foam from out of state; it will not pay for any foam it receives; moreover, on its own website American Polymer states that it is a plastic brokerage firm that specializes in the post-industrial plastic recycling market.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Is the fact that there seems to only be one viable buyer or market here, is that a concern for the market, I guess, that there is only one; that DSNY... is it a concern for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 26
DSNY that PRI seems to be the only company that would
accept, possibly accept dirty polystyrene?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well we would need to see an active market for the material before we would designate and ask 8.5 million people to recycle it. One of the things that we have learned over the years is; it is very important to people who take the time to source separate to know that it is actually being reused.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: What would be the negative effects, if any, of a city that were to designate this material as recyclable and then later switch this determination and declare it not recyclable?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So I think that really we can look back at our own history; New York City learned the very hard lesson when it suspended all glass and plastic recycling collections in 2002 due to the fiscal crisis; this cut led to widespread confusion -- both among residents and electeds -- about what to put in the recycling bin and to a certain extent, people just stopped, and for a very long time we had very low diversion rates when the program had been fully reinstated, and it is only now

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 27 that we are getting back to the diversion rates that we had before we made that change.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So I want to open it up to questions from other council members that are sitting, especially the sponsor of the bill, so I want to go ahead and start with Cabrera; followed by Constantinides and Lander. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you.

Thank you so much Mr. Chair and welcome Commissioner.

Commissioner, for the sake of time, and I know we have a lot of people who want to testify, [inaudible] read my questions and try to be parsimonious with our time.

So a determination that a particular course of action is not environmentally effective should not be made in isolation but should consider their relative impacts or the alternatives. For your determination that recycled expanded polystyrene is not environmentally effective, did you consider the potential environmental impacts that replacement materials would have on the environment if single-use expanded polystyrene products were banned? So I'm gonna focus on [inaudible] manufacture,

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 28 transportation, recycling, and litter. So here is my line of questioning.

Did you consider the relative energy,
water, carbon and other resource costs for
manufacture of the likely replacement material; if
so, what were they; did you consider the relative
transportation costs in terms of carbon emissions
particles and other pollutants, if so; what were
they? Did you consider the relative energy, water,
carbon, and other resource cost for the recycling of
such materials, if so; what were they? And did you
consider the relative impacts such material would
have on the environment if they become litter, if so
what were they?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So one of the things that I just want to clarify is that the way that the law was written is that I am specifically to focus on whether or not it can be recycled, and my finding is that, just by definition, if it does not actually get reused, it is not recycled. And so the next question is not something we looked at, 'cause it couldn't make it over that just definitional bar, and so we focused on that particular question and

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 29 since it didn't make it over that bar, we did not go further than that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Okay. You have explained to the Council that similar recycling programs have not worked in other municipalities around the country, but because it has not worked elsewhere in the past does not mean they necessarily would not work in the City today. For your determination that recycled expanded polystyrene is not economically feasible, did you consider the specifics of the proposal put forward by Dart; did you consider the projected future demand for the recycled polystyrene market; the costs to acquire and transport bails of mixed polystyrene to recycle plants; the current price of recycled polystyrene; and the estimated operational costs and any economies of scales that might be present for a city of this size of New York, and if so, can you explain?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So I think that we attempted to find a market for food-soiled expanded polystyrene and did not. Many of the experience of the lead cities that have attempted this, where they were receiving subsidies in one form or another in many cases, was that even in those subsidized

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 30 programs they do not at the end of the subsidy generate a market that is just not there. And actually, the recommendations from other cities we spoke to is that we should not move to put this material into our recycling designation.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: My last question. Dart has informed the Council that beyond one-time cost of purchasing equipment, it will not be subsidizing the proposed recycling program; given that, can you explain why you think the proposed recycler PRI will not be able to make a profit from this material?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm not sure... So they're buying... my understanding is they're buying equipment for PRI.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So beyond the buy... [interpose]

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So my understanding is; the last two times this was tried, both by industry-led organizations in the United States and in Canada, when they had funded these particular types of organizations, obviously not PRI, but other EPS recyclers, that at the end of the day they closed; they could not make a profit and therefore

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 31 they were unable to sustain the ongoing remanufacture.

about business, they're into it because often, 'cause of the bottom line, profits and we want them to be profitable in order for them to sustain jobs and business brings jobs and that's a good thing for our community. I'm a little surprised that a company would get into something if there was no profit. I mean there -- and we're gonna hear from them later on and give them the opportunity, but a big surprise; I can't think of anything else I have seen here in seven years where we have seen a company trying to make [inaudible] this way and not be prepared to, already prepared to do the work and to be profitable at the end of the day, 'cause at the end of the day, if they're not profitable, we know what happens.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right. What I mean; they may be optimistic -- and this is me; I really can't speak to their motivations -- they may be optimistic that they can make a profit, but I assume that those other folks who were making investments in these facilities were also optimistic

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 32 that they could make a profit and at the end of the day they went bankrupt and closed.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So we'll hear from them, Commissioner, and I know we have a lot of testimony, so I don't want to take a lot of your time, and I know you had a very, very long day so far and I really appreciate you com... [crosstalk]

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right, Antonio's had me in front of him all day.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Yeah, I know he did, he did, but he's one of the good guys; he's one of the good guys. Thank you so much Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Thank you.

Member Cabrera. I just wanted to... you said that, in your determination on whether or not it's recyclable, it needs to be reused in the back end as well, it can't just simply be purchased by a company and then, you know, then they send it to a landfill or they incinerate it; it has to... for it to be recycled, it needs to be reused and you haven't necessarily found that it's possible with let's say dirty Styrofoam.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So we have not found that to be possible; there are definitely

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 33 municipalities that are collecting it, but the feedback that we've gotten from them is; they are actually either currently storing it, 'cause they can find nowhere to -- where their outlet that had been subsidizing is gone; some are putting it with their mixed rigid plastics and sending it to China; they're not sure whether or not the foam portion of it is getting reused or they're just... there's a more valuable type of plastic in a mixed bail that they pulling out, polypropylene, I think or polyethylene. And another one who is doing a similar thing and sending it to someone in the United States says, very clearly, the reclaimer says, I'm not using the foam portion; I just wanted this other portion of this bail. So we just have not found that there is, for dirty expanded polystyrene there is not really reuse options for it. Part of it is the nature of its structure and the use is that it's very lightweight, it's very porous and that oils and other lipids get sucked into it and stay with it, and so sometimes that food contamination weight can be more than the foam weight.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- 1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 34
 2 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you,
 3 Commissioner. I wanna go ahead and turn it over to
 - Commissioner. I wanna go ahead and turn it over to Council Member Costa Constantinides.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Good afternoon Commissioner, good to see; sorry I missed you this morning.
 - COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yeah, it was very quiet this morning.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: I know; I was on a train delay and I just missed you by five minutes, so.
- 13 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So we'll blame MTA.
 - Anyway, so you've used the term a few times in your testimony, "environmentally effective," and this not being able to be environmental -- can you expand on that as far as carbon emissions, on meeting our goals of reducing 80% by 2050, of reducing our trash goals by 2030; can you sort of quantify, if we did recycle this, how it would affect those goals overall?
 - COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So your first thought is that you are recycling it, and I an going to restate; you are not recycling it, you are

2.2

2.3

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 35 2 collecting it and sending it on a very long journey 3 to a landfill... 4 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: 5 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: so no, I did not quantify what your longer journey would be to the 6 7 landfill... [crosstalk] 8 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: 9 I'm trying to quantify -- talking about emissions --I'm trying to help you here. [laugh] 10 11 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Oh. It really did 12 not go into that, 'cause it's really a first step of 13 like, are you actually reusing it; is it recycled? 14 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Right. 15 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And so we did not look at the greenhouse gas impacts of what that 16 17 longer process would be, because it seems sort of 18 silly. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: 20 makes sense. So this is something that would hurt us 21 in the long term on meeting our goals of 80 by 50, our shared goal of 80 by 50; our shared goals of 2.2 2.3 reducing our trash stream by 2030; correct? COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Or even keeping 24

things like our harbor clean. I mean in February of

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 36 2016, NY/NJ Baykeeper found that 165 million pieces of plastic are floating out in the harbor and 38% of those are Styrofoam. COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Thirty-

eight percent that's out there floating around?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Uhm-hm, that...

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES:

Interesting. Have any environmental organizations or sustainability groups spoken to you about the viability of this recycling? Has anyone spoken up in favor of moving forward with this particular course of action?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No. I think you may hear from them today.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: [laugh] So I haven't heard... [crosstalk]

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No, I mean I... if someone would tell me that this was something that was really good for New Yorkers, I would've been open to it, but this just seems like every other city has experienced a bad deal for their constituents.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Alright. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 37
 2
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Are there any other
 3
    municipalities within New York that have either
 4
     recycled or banned Styrofoam?
 5
                COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I believe Albany
    has banned it.
 6
 7
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Albany banned.
     Okay. That helps us get over the pre... Okay. So
 8
     Council Member Brad Lander.
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:
10
                                         Thank you
11
     Mr. Chair. And quite a few other cities have ...
     [interpose]
12
13
                COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yeah.
14
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: banned it as
15
    well, yes?
16
                COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yes. And I
17
     actually think that California may be looking to do
     it statewide.
18
19
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Just wanna start
20
    by just taking one step because, I mean you, through
21
     our municipal solid waste and recycling program and
    partnership with Sims -- we recycle many products,
2.2
2.3
    right -- aluminum cans, paper, rigid plastics -- so
     where things can be recycled, where there's a market
24
```

value, where it makes environmental and economic

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 38 sense for the City, the Department of Sanitation works very aggressively to make recycling work; yes...? [interpose]

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Absolutely, I mean we… [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Alright, so I just...

education to make sure we're getting the right materials into the blue bin, to make it as easy as possible, expanding it to the New York Housing Authority; also, in terms of trying to make sure we're educating tomorrow's grownups in New York City public schools. So yes, we are very aggressive in trying to find markets and opportunities for recycling.

just think it's worth starting by underlining that,
because as I look at our whole program, which you
run, you know and I've come to look to you as our
city's expert on what can be recycled, what should be
banned, you know the expansion of the organics
programs shows great creativity, so I just think, you
know like that's the... of course we, you know, we

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 39 wanna ban products which we think are environmentally harmful, we wanna recycle those which can be recycled, so I think it's worth starting there; we're not kind of in a vacuum here, it's not obviously that the Department of Sanitation is opposed to recycling; you run probably the largest municipal recycling program anywhere... [crosstalk]

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And the largest organics collection program in the country -- California.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. And I think rigid plastics is a good example; for a long time we weren't recycling those -- technology shifted and changed, markets moved, and now we have a very big rigid plastics program and the rigid plastics get recycled, Sims sells them and then those things wind up being recycled and reused.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And if we did have a ban, presumably most of the containers, for example, that are foam would be plastic and those would be rigid plastics which would go into our recycling stream... [crosstalk]

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 40

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Or hopefully,

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Compost... alright, it's every better.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Compostable paper.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay, alright,
so. I just wanna underline a couple of things that I
think I heard you say and I just wanna make sure I
really understand them. I know one challenge here is
that a very high percentage of Styrofoam containers
don't wind up in people's homes any way to be in the
recycling stream, right; they get thrown away in
street trash cans; somebody buys something from a
bodega and... [interpose]

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So we're in the middle of doing a waste characterization study again to find out exactly how much is in the residential side of the stream and how much is in the litter baskets. But we do... they are takeout containers, so we do find a sizable amount of Styrofoam food-service in litter baskets. You know Dunkin' Donuts is the largest chain I think in the City of New York and they use Styrofoam cups.

2.2

2.3

compostable paper.

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 41
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And a lot of
3	those cups obviously wind up getting thrown into
4	street trash cans, so even if there were a recycling
5	program, those cups would still be in the street
6	trash cans and wind up in landfills faster than the
7	ones that went to Sims and eventually found their way
8	to landfills also.
9	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Uhm-hm. Yeah
LO	[crosstalk]
L1	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay.
L2	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: in a wire gasket,
L3	yes.
L 4	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And presumably,
L5	if there were a ban, they would move to a compostable
L 6	or a recyclable product [crosstalk]
L7	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: They're actually
L8	ahead of the lawsuit, they had actually already moved
L 9	to a different type of cup that was not made out of
20	polystyrene.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And there are
22	Dunkin' Donuts, presumably, in the places that have
23	banned Styrofoam as well… [crosstalk]

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 42

2 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right, which was
3 why they already had a material type that they were

able to move in quickly.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. I think

the chair and you spoke about the difference between

dirty polystyrene and clean polystyrene, but one

thing that -- it's my understanding is that there are

actually federal rules that prevent some dirty

polystyrene from being used for food, you know, from

being used as a source material for new food

processing; are you... [crosstalk]

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I am not familiar}$ with that particular federal rule.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay; I may ask some of the environmental experts later. It's my understanding that there are limitations on what the dirty polystyrene can be used for, which is part of the challenge in marketing. So if you can you look into that... [crosstalk]

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yeah, yeah, I'd be happy to look into it; I think that the first piece of it is that in any recycling market you are always competing with virgin material, and the costs of collection, sorting, cleaning; processing has always

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 43 so far outweighed the cost of virgin material that no 2 3 one ever ends of being able to make a profit. COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: My sense here, 4 and I quess I'll do some additional research as well, 5 but you might look at this as that in addition to all 6 7 of that, it's also true that there are things you can 8 do with clean polystyrene, in terms of holding food that you're not allowed to do with at least some dirty polystyrene, because of some of the harms it 10 11 can cause, so. 12 Okay. I guess we'll get to this later 13 when they testify, but I guess I didn't see it in your testimony, so I just wanna ask as well. What is 14 15 Dart; who is Dart? 16 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: My understanding is 17 Dart is an expanded polystyrene manufacturer. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Any sense of 19 their market share or presence in the polystyrene 20 market...? [crosstalk]

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I assume it's very large.

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Very... I mean according to Wikipedia, the world's largest manufacturer of foam cups and containers, producing

about as much as all the competitors combined, so that's not quite a monopoly, but it's sure a very large position. Do you wanna take a guess at what their approximate annual sales are on polystyrene?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm assuming that

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm assuming that it's gonna be very large.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: North of a billion dollars. And in the lawsuit I know there was some question about how much they would be paying to set up this system; did you guys look at essentially how much money -- I mean obviously you can call it a one-time, up-front cost, but especially if it includes the cost of transportation and processing, even if you sort of seed it up front, it is essentially a subsidy to that program; did you guys get any sense of... [crosstalk]

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So just to clarify; none of that money was ever coming to the City of New York; that was a private contractual relationship being made by Sims and Dart. And so you know, the obvious ongoing challenge is, that's not actually a market, that's not actually really getting to where we need to be around ensuring that the material can actually even end up in the bail...

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 45
 2
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:
                                         Right.
 3
                COMMISSIONER GARCIA: they say they wanna
 4
    buy, and... [interpose]
 5
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: This goes to my
             So I don't know if you -- I have some
 6
    point.
 7
     numbers, but you know I quess I was... I saw somewhere
     that there was an estimate that it was bout $23
 8
    million that they were essentially willing to pay in
     as subsidy into that deal.
10
11
                COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That's probably on
     the right order of magnitude; I don't know if -- I've
12
     always looked at sort of the chunks of it, like how
13
14
     much is the equipment; how much is the ongoing
15
     tonnage cost, but that would be the right order of
16
    magnitude.
17
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. 'Cause I
18
     just... look, you know, there's one... [crosstalk]
19
                COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Was your point,
20
     $23.1 billion; is that your... [crosstalk]
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Well I mean ...
21
2.2
     look, I'm open to the possibility that Dart is really
2.3
     in good faith working to help figure out a path to
     recycling Styrofoam, but one at least has to ask the
24
```

question, if you're selling over \$1 billion of

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 46
Styrofoam you obviously have an interest in not
having it banned and spending \$23 million in a
demonstration program to give you the appearance of
recyclability would be a good investment and if it
would up sitting in warehouses or ultimately going to
landfill, you know, that wouldn't... that's not what
we're looking for. So I'll ask those questions of
Dart, but I... you know, I think they're part of our
trying to understand what the best course of action
is here.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Alright.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I think we're done with the first set of questions.

We're just hoping that some of your folks can stay so they can hear testimony from Dart and other folks.

And also, just to give the people watching at home and in the overflow rooms here and here that we have over 58 people that are gonna testify today; we have a third overflow room, which I hear is close to a new record. So people care about this; I don't want anyone to think that this... to dismiss this idea that this is not important or it's insignificant. We're

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 47 talking about a Friday afternoon and we're talking about polystyrene, people... [laughter] people care.

So thank you for everyone that cares, on both sides;

I think Council Member Cabrera and I were talking about everyone cares.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So the next group is actually gonna be Michael Westerfield from Dart: Alan Shaw from PRI; William Goodfellow from Exponent, Inc.; and robin Cantor from Berkeley Research Group. [background comment] Yeah, we're gonna add another chair. And for this first group we're going to -- thank you, Commissioner. For this group we don't want to necessarily limit the testimony, we want to allow for a good conversation, but I will be asking that we be thoughtful with time so that everyone can speak, and thereafter this panel, we're gonna have an environmental, or I guess, we're gonna have an opposed panel, and then thereafter we're gonna limit to two-minute testimony for everyone else. It's the only way we get out of before 6:00. Okay? [background comments] And there's people that are gonna spend a long time here, so. [background comments] [pause] Okay, so I assume -- I don't know what order you're going to go in, but do you guys

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 48 have it figured out; do you think you can do this? Alright. So wherever you wanna store, whoever you wanna start, please go ahead, and like I said, I'm not gonna limit time here, but I just want us to be mindful of the fact that there is [background comment] a lot of testimony that's gonna come up and we wanna make sure everybody gets an opportunity to speak, so please, whenever you're ready.

2.2

2.3

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: You're welcome.

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Good afternoon

Chairman Reynoso and Committee members and Council

Members, appreciate your time today. My name is

Michael Westerfield, but I think you already know

that, I work for Dart and I'm our Director of

Recycling programs and we're here today to support

1480, obviously.

In 2013 we were invited by the Sanitation
Department and the Bloomberg Administration to
prepare a recycling solution for New York City, and
that's exactly what we did, we offered a
comprehensive program that would allow New Yorkers
from each borough to put foam polystyrene in their

recycle bin just like they do with their metal, glass and plastic; it would be the exact same bin. It would get picked up on the exact same City truck and it would also get processed at the exact same sorting facility operated by Sims. In addition to that, we made a couple guarantees: we guaranteed a price for five years -- nobody's ever offered a price for five years, but we did that -- we also guaranteed a market for a minimum of five years, and the City doesn't have any other offers like that either.

2.2

2.3

In 2014, we presented a contact to the City's sorter confirming this offer, and we also offered to cover all their costs for infrastructure, which were pretty significant. Once the sorter accumulates a container load of polystyrene, the plan would for them to sell it to Plastic Recycling Inc. - who you're gonna hear from here -- and they would ship the material by rail to their Indianapolis facility, where it will be reclaimed and then recycled into cores for paper rolls, picture frames, office supplies, and architectural moulding.

As many of you know, and you've heard so today, we faced significant opposition to our proposal, but in our view, that opposition was

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 50 baseless and unfounded. The argument that the Sanitation Department has raised in the past have all been refuted.

When Sanitation officials initially argued that foam is not recyclable, we showed them foam being recycled into new products.

When they argued that dirty foam is not recyclable, we took a bail of the City's dirties post-consumer food-service foam that came through the City's collection process and was sorted at Sims and we recycled it right in front of them. They came to Indianapolis and they saw us run the material through our system.

When the previous administration argued that recycling would require 1,000 additional new trucks at a cost of \$70 million a year, we refuted that and we were fortunate Commissioner Garcia agreed with us on that. The City has enough excess truck capacity for this lightweight material to add it to the collection stream without any new routes or miles.

When the current administration argued that there were no market participants willing to

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 51 participate in this program, we took them to court to expose that was not true and we won.

2.2

2.3

In September of 2015, a New York State
Supreme Court justice agreed with us, finding that
"the one undisputed short answer..." (this is a quote)
"to whether EPS is recyclable is yes: single serve
EPS is recyclable." The court also found that the
Department of Sanitation, which had opposed
recycling, had provided no evidence for its finding
that there was no market for this material. To the
contrary, the record compiled during the Sanitation
Department's review of our proposal made clear that
there was a market for New York City's post-consumer
EPS products. Our expert economists at Berkeley
Research Group is sharing a summary of that evidence
with you today on this panel, and you'll hear from
some market participants as well.

We also proved the environmental benefits. We showed that recycling EPS as part of the City's program will do more to keep waste out of landfills than a limited ban on food-service foam alone.

This afternoon we go even further, presenting evidence from a Board-Certified

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 52
Environmental Scientist, Bill Goodfellow, that shows
how recycling EPS can reduce the City's carbon
footprint and conserve fossil fuel resources.

Today I'm here to reiterate that we stand behind our proposal to recycle your foam, we're also reiterating our commitment to recycle all the other polystyrene materials that the City accepts in the recycle bin but doesn't recycle. This will save New Yorkers hundreds of thousands of dollars per year.

In 2013 we promised to build a facility that can process all of New York City's polystyrene and we were told we'd never do it. Well we did do it; the facility is built. Together with PRI, we have more than \$8 million invested in this facility and we've invested in technology that didn't exist a few years ago. It makes recycling environmentally effective and economically feasible. The record is clear that our proposal is a financial and environmental win-win for everybody.

The Mayor's vision for OneNYC calls for Zero Waste and our plan certainly steers NYC in that direction, offering to reduce net landfill rates starting on day one.

2.2

2.3

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 53

2.2

2.3

The Mayor's vision for OneNYC also calls for a greenhouse gas reduction and our plan does that too.

Plus, our plan doesn't cost the City any money. Dart and PRI are bearing all of the costs.

Moreover, our plan actually saves the City money by reducing landfill costs, which are borne by the City and the taxpayers that fund the City.

Moreover, our plan actually saves the City money by generating revenue also, which the City has a revenue-sharing plan with the sorter.

So in short, our plan is forward thinking and an excellent example of how private and public sectors can partner together for the greater good.

Isn't that everybody really wants?

To oppose this bill simply because a business proposed it is bad policy. Recycling foam is a win for the environment, it's a win for taxpayers, and it's a win for NYC.

I've already mentioned some of the other people who will be testifying today, including an expert economist and environmental scientist, but you'll also hear from the plastics recycling expert

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 54 2 that designed the PRI facility, who will talk about 3 all the new technology used at it -- that is if he 4 can get in; he's stuck downstairs on the street, so we'll definitely wanna get him in here, but he 5 designed the facility. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Everyone's in. Everyone's in. 8 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Alright, real good. CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So he's in some 10 11 room somewhere. 12 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Okay. Alright, 13 fantastic. 14 The owner of Plastic Recycling Inc. will 15 also talk about how he's already successfully 16 recycling material just like NYC's, despite what you 17 heard earlier, and you will hear from some of the

recycling material just like NYC's, despite what you heard earlier, and you will hear from some of the other recyclers and market participants that want to buy NYC foam.

So after hearing the testimony today and

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So after hearing the testimony today and reviewing the summary that's attached to my testimony, we respectfully ask for your yes vote for Intro 1480 so together we can help NYC reduce its environmental footprint and move NYC one step closer to Zero Waste. Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 55

2 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you. Next.

2.2

2.3

ALAN SHAW: I'm Alan Shaw, President of Plastic Recycling out of Indianapolis and I appreciate the opportunity to come talk to you today.

You've got my testimony; it pretty much tells you a lot about us, but what I'd like to share today is some success stories that we've had that were facing people just like New York City was on their landfill problems.

I started Plastic Recycling back in 1988 and it was done by accident; I never thought I'd be in the recycling business, but I sold polystyrene sheets, big rolls, to companies like Dannon, Kraft and Yoplait Yogurt, and when they make the six packs of yogurt that you get in the grocery store, you peel off that alitting [sp?] film. When they make the yogurt cups, they generate 20% scrap that they have to discard and every time I went to one of their plants, they asked why doesn't someone come up with a way to recycle this and that's how Plastic Recycling started back in 1988. I built a system thinking I would sell them a system, not recycle it because they're a food processing company, they didn't recycle it; they said you make a plant and we'll

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 56 supply you with the materials to recycle. So as of today, we're still doing it today; this is polystyrene, it's got the foil laminated to it and we get truckload after truckload every month of this material, so we took the yogurt companies from a cost of them having to take it to a landfill and now we pay them for the same material that they had great cost every month to do.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The second success story is that; 1990 the record industry had a big problem; they were getting into CDs, but they had also been making VHS and cassette tapes; their problem was, they had to get rid of the records or the CDs that they couldn't sell, but the problem was they couldn't take them to a landfill because they had to be somehow destroyed, because if someone went to a landfill, they'd pick them out of the landfill and take them to a music store and sell them back to the music store. was a twofold problem for them, so they came to us, after they knew were in polystyrene, asked us; is there a way we can recycle this, and I asked them how much they were putting into the landfill in Indianapolis and five million pounds was going in The next week we started collecting this for

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 57 them and we turned -- back then it was around \$350,000 a year they were spending on incinerator costs, and all of a sudden that went away and we started paying them for this. And these are the CDs that we're doing -- and just an example, yesterday, before I left, Sony called us and said they have 50 semi loads of CDs and DVDs that they have to destroy, so those are gonna come to us and we'll destroy them, we'll make them into pellets and make it into useful products, and this clear material, this is polystyrene, this is general purpose polystyrene, which is exactly what foam egg cartons are made of, plates are made of. We take this, recycle it into a clear pellet, and then we sell this back to the egg carton manufacturers; we sell over 15 million pounds of this material that was once going to an incinerator is being made back into a foam egg carton, and now we're gonna take another step and we're gonna recycle those foam egg cartons to be made back into something else. So it's a never-ending success story for us to be able to take all this material that was landfilled or incinerated and make it into a good product.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 58

2.2

2.3

Another story that we did was Imation, which was in Wahpeton, South [sic] Dakota; they were the largest manufacturer of floppy disks in the world, and they had a problem because they generated a ton of scrap; never thinking they'd be able to recycle it, we started taking that for them from South Dakota all the way to Indiana and in a floppy disk there's the metal piece, there's also the floppy itself, and we took all that out, repelletized it, sent it right back to them, and they made more floppy disks out of it, and it was a closed loop recycling program that we developed with them. Unfortunately, there's no floppy disks anymore, so that program died.

Another program that we do a lot of was with hangers for Walmart; all the clothes hangers they put in a bin and those things are brought to us or some other companies that recycle those and those go back into making picture frames; all kinds of different products on the hangers.

Other projects that we do is, we do a lot with 3M; we supply them with millions of pounds of clear material to make their Scotch tape dispensers, but also they came to us and they wanted us to supply

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 59 them with a red material to make these tape dispensers they have now. And at the time we started developing the pellets to be able to do that, I went to their plant and they were throwing at least two semi loads a month of this material exactly that I'm looking at right now, which is a dispenser that is -something is wrong with it, it was molded wrong or whatever. This has a metal blade in it and it has paper that's glued to the sides, and they're landfilling it all; we're taking this now, taking the blade out of it, taking the paper off of it, making it back into red pellets, and then it's going right back to them and they're making these tape dispensers again out of it, so it's another closed loop system that we're able to do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Dart, it wasn't built for New York City, it was built to solve problems, and our mission from day one is going out and finding projects that no one else wants to deal with that we spend the time and energy to come up with a way and a solution to be able to solve this, and I can say every project that we've worked on we've been successful to save millions of pounds going to landfills.

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 60

And then back in 2001 we started another company called Recycling Technologies, 'cause I wanted to take our own resin that we recycle and make it into a product. So we got into the cash register business making cores for the paper industry. We make cash register rolls, we make adding machine rolls, we make ATM rolls; we make all of the… we're the second largest manufacturer; we started from zero, scratch in 2001 and now we're the second largest manufacturer of plastic cores in the United States for this industry.

And then when people keep saying there's not a use for this plastic and foam, it irritates me because I've been successful, my company's been successful for taking this type of material since 1988, so it can be done. And what are we gonna do with all this material? We're gonna use it internally for our own use, because all this material, whether it's foam or rigid, can be made into this core.

And another opportunity that we're gonna show -- and this is new, no one even knows -- [interpose]

2.2

2.3

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 61
2
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So this is gonna
3
    have to be your last product placement... [crosstalk]
4
                ALAN SHAW: Okay, this... Okay, but this
5
     is... [laughter] Okay... [crosstalk]
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: No, I'm just say ...
6
7
    all due respect, we've got time here.
8
                ALAN SHAW: Okay, but this is huge,
9
    because all the major cores that are the 3", 6" core
     are made out of paper; no company has ever been able
10
11
    to compete in this industry; we're gonna be able to
12
     compete; we've got a patent on a new core that we
13
    came out with that all this resin from the foam and
14
    the rigid that's gonna be comin' out of New York City
15
     is gonna go into these cores. So there's a huge
16
     opportunity; we need this material to continue our
17
             I appreciate the opportunity. Thank you.
     growth.
18
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO:
                                       Thank you, Alan;
19
    appreciate it.
                ALAN SHAW: Yeah.
20
21
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Robin. Thank you.
2.2
                ROBIN CANTOR:
                               Thank you for the
23
    opportunity to speak today... Am I being... uh, wait a
    minute... push the button. Thank you. Thank you for
24
```

the opportunity to speak today.

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 62

2.2

2.3

My name is Robin Cantor; I'm an economist and Managing Director at Berkeley Research Group and that is an independent economic and consulting firm.

I earned a Ph.D. in economics from Duke University and an undergraduate degree in mathematics from the Indiana University of Pennsylvania, and I have more than 30 years of research, consulting and teaching experience in applied economics including work related to waste management and the environment. And a good portion of my work is in a number of reports and testimonies already, which has been submitted in various parts of this matter and certainly is available for anyone to review.

Based on this prior work, I am here offering three opinions regarding the Dart/PRI Recycling Plan.

The first one is that the market outlook for New York City's recycled post-consumer EPS foodware is positive. And I think that what is very important to understand is that you do have a ready and willing buyer here in PRI for New York City's polystyrene. PRI has indicated to DSNY that its current demand for these materials is more than 90,000 tons per year and this demand far exceeds the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 63
17,500 tons per year that is assumed to be
recoverable in the New York City recycling program.
And in addition, PRI has guaranteed to pay \$160 per
ton for these materials for at least the next five
years.

2.2

2.3

Regarding this market outlook, the

Commissioner previously raised a concern about a

single buyer for the New York City material, but I'm

here to tell you that economics is very clear on this

point, that a single buyer is not a condition that

makes the market infeasible or unsustainable.

Single seller and single buyer market structures can produce the same kind of market outcomes that perfectly competitive markets produce with large number of sellers and buyers, and previously I did identify dozens of firms that are potential processors for post-consumer EPS foodware as well as firms that act as brokers and so make the connections between sellers and buyers. I further understand that a number of firms have indicated a willingness to consider purchasing PRI's output.

To understand market outlook for recycling post-consumer EPS foodware, it's necessary to examine the fundamental driver of the market for

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 64 recycled EPS, and that is end-user demand in applications that use EPS and polystyrene.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And I think this is one of the things that has not been carefully looked at in the work that's been done for the Commissioner, which is really understanding that this demand for these materials is basically a derived demand, which means that it's a demand generated because people demand a final good, much in the same way that it's the demand for cell phones that creates the demand for cell phone batteries. So it's very important to understand that you have to look down the product chain to understand what the demand will be for this particular product and BRG did quite a bit of research looking at what this demand might be, including reviewing third party industry projections for demand growth, both for EPS and also polystyrene from a number of standard industry sources (and that material is included in my written testimony). Although the projected growth rates might vary from study to study, there is general agreement among the studies that the demand for EPS is growing.

We also looked at the prices for virgin resins and primary materials and we used information

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 65 from the Bureau of Labor Statistics that showed EPS used in food containers has the highest growth rate in prices compared to plastic bottles and plastic materials more generally. In other words, virgin EPS has gotten more expensive, relative to these other plastics. And it's very important to understand what is going on with the prices of those materials, because those virgin materials, obviously, if the price is low, then recycled materials will find it difficult to compete when the price is high; the recycled materials will find it easier to compete.

2.2

2.3

And then we also looked at the pricing data for recycled plastics, which indicated that the price for recycled EPS pellets compared well to both the PET product and also the HDPE materials.

In combination, all of these things tell you that there is actually a solid economic foundation to invest in the recovery and recycling of post-consumer EPS foodware.

The second opinion is that the Dart/PRI
Recycling Plan would save New York City businesses
and consumers tens of millions of dollars per year,
and we identified a number of factors that are
relevant to the choice between recycling EPS foodware

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 66 inclusive of the proposal and banning its use in some applications. Accepting EPS foodware into the recycling system avoids the costs imposed by a product's ban. And generally, again, in economics, banning a product is a very expensive proposition because it forces people to switch to alternatives that may be more expensive; in this case, there are also loss of incremental recycling revenues to Sims and incremental landfill costs, and we reviewed them and we actually quantified these numbers to support that given the alternatives and the landfill implications, the Dart/PRI Recycling Plan results in an economic gain for New York City of more than \$56 million per year.

2.2

2.3

The third opinion is that the Dart/PRI
Recycling Plan would decrease New York City's
landfill use, and this is because it has positive
implications for recycling beyond the post-consumer
EPS foodware; it covers materials which include EPS
materials subject to and not subject to the soft foam
ban and also covers rigid polystyrene materials.
Under the soft foam ban, materials sent to the
landfill would be reduced annually by approximately
12,000 tons. But under the Dart/PRI Recycling Plan,

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 67 the amount of materials sent to the landfill would be reduced by approximately 18,000 tons, so more than a 50% increase in that reduction.

2.2

2.3

That concludes my opinions and I want to thank you for allowing me to testify about these issues today.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you so much Miss Cantor, and William Goodfellow?

WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: Thank you Chairman Reynoso and other Council Members... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Can we just turn off that one? There you go; no feedback, and we've turned off the air conditioner temporarily; it was freezing in here.

[laughter]

WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: My name is William Goodfellow; I am a Principal Scientist at Exponent and Director for EcoScience practice. I have a Master's degree, with a research focus on assessing ecological and environmental impacts and environmental toxicology. I am a Board-Certified Environmental Scientist recognized by the American Academy of Environmental Engineers and Scientists as well as a Fellow of the Society of Environmental

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 68

Toxicology and Chemistry, which is an international professional society represented by more than 100 countries, with a strong sustainability research initiative, as well as a partnership with the United Nations Environmental Practice.

2.2

2.3

I have over 30 years of experience in identifying specific environmental issues, determining causation of observed environmental impacts, and assessing potential impacts from proposed environmental projects.

To describe the environmental impacts of recycling EPS single-serve food containers, I prepared a white paper analysis examining the resource requirements of producing virgin and recycled products. I also used paper products as an alternative, to compare the environmental impacts of EPS and its most likely substitute. In the white paper, we focused on energy requirements, like fossil fuel use, because energy consumption is a critical measure of total environmental impact. For example, if you use more energy, you're probably releasing more greenhouse gases and causing more pollution.

First, we looked at energy it takes to form a foam cup as compared to a paper cup, which

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 69 offers the opportunity to assess variables of two products with similar uses. We found that it takes almost three times as much energy to make a paper cup, which means that banning EPS or forcing the use of alternatives would increase total energy use in the manufacturing industry. Based on that, if reducing energy consumption is a goal, then a ban on foam is an environmentally harmful solution.

2.2

2.3

Second, we looked at the energy requirements to recycle EPS single-serve food containers. We found that it takes less energy to recycle EPS products than it does to produce new EPS products from virgin material. This means that recycling is preferable to not recycling, in terms of total environmental impact. We also found that recycling processes continue to get more efficient, which increases the potential gains from recycling programs in the future.

We looked specifically at the recycling proposal made by Dart and PRI. In doing that, we found that the major energy inputs required to recycle EPS single-serve products are the transportation energy to ship EPS to the recycling facility and the process energy used to convert EPS

to polystyrene feedstock. In terms of comparison, the transportation energy has the lion's share of the importance. Given Dart's proposal to transport recyclable materials by rail, it is likely that the total energy expenditure will be roughly equal to the energy expended to transport it to the landfill.

That coupled with the benefits of reducing overall materials being sent to the landfill and creating products from recycling that are sought after by consumers; recycling a product such as EPS is advantageous both from a carbon footprint and landfill space standpoint.

2.2

2.3

In sort, if recycling EPS is transported to PRI by rail, it will be roughly the same energy-consumption impact as sending to the landfill. To the extent that the City sends some of its waste to landfills via truck, the balance tips decidedly in favor of recycling under the Dart proposal.

The advantages of recycling EPS are further heightened when one takes into account the most likely alternatives. If the City were to ban EPS, for example, consumers would be very likely to increase their use of relatively heavier paper products. Like EPS, these products will need to be

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 71 transported to recycling facilities or landfills after use, at the expense of higher energy consumption on a per-unit basis. Switching from EPS single-serve products to paper would emit roughly 2.5 times more carbon dioxides to transport.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you very much for the opportunity to provide this testimony today and thank you for your time and thoughtful consideration.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you to the Just want to ask a couple of questions. the City Council, almost in every single hearing when we're trying to propose something new, a host of Council Members would come out -- "but it won't work in New York City" -- right, that's always the go-to for people that I consider are not necessarily supportive of like innovation, right; we speak about plastic bags and how other cities have done it and they've been successful, but that city is not New York City, that's what always happens, right; New York City's special. But in this one case it's gonna be the reverse conversation we're having here; that New York City's the only place it's gonna work. So can you just speak to that being -- that's a huge issue for me -- Canada, statements from the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 72 Sanitation Commissioner regarding Canada and the United States and the shutting down; the reverting back to not doing it in California and so forth, where it seems like the secret sauce, only one company has the secret sauce, but everywhere else it goes apart [sic], but in here, in New York City, it's going to work this time, and it's important to get to that, because you know I'm gonna lean on that conversation too -- if it hasn't worked anywhere else, it probably won't work in New York City, where it's much more complex, the volume is much higher, the contamination is probably there; we're talking about a 16% diversion rate; that there's an issue that we're having -- it's moving steadily up, but we're having a hard time after the ban on recycling that we had at one point. So just in general here -it doesn't work anywhere, but it's gonna work in New York City -- so explain that to me and then we'll have like two more questions after.

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: I would say first, it does work in other places, but New York City, the reason it's attractive, or more attractive...

24 [crosstalk]

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So can we just go
to the places that the Commissioner specifically
talked about that she says that they're having
trouble, like Los Angeles... [interpose]

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: L.A. is not a program that we've partnered with; all the programs we've partnered with are doing very well. In Canada, you know we've been asked to sign a contract and we're not gonna do that until we hear about New York City, till we reach a final decision, because we don't wanna compromise our capacity; we want to make sure that if we commit to New York City we can handle it. I can tell you that we're accepting loads at PRI, purchasing some without -- I'm sorry; we're not purchasing, we're getting loads from Canada and paying for the transportation without a contract -- I could list off the cities for you if you'd like.

But New York City, it's attractive

because you have eight million people and only two

MRFs, and there is nowhere else, that I'm aware of,

that has that kind of population going to two places.

One of the Achilles heels of foam recycling is

critical mass, it's such a small percentage of the

waste stream that most people say there's not enough

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 74 of it to make it worth their while, but when you have eight million people, there's enough of it to make it worth your while. In our talks with your sorter, they thought they could generate multiple containers per week, so they're not gonna have to sit on it for months, like was said earlier; they can turn it. in terms of the quality of it, it's no different than anywhere else; PRI is actively getting material from Canada; they're actively getting material from California and those bails look virtually identical from the bails that were generated by your sorter, by your material recovery facility, which -- I'm sorry, I keep calling the MRF -- but your MRF is sorting material that's exactly like the stuff that we're getting in Los Angeles, but critical mass here makes this really work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay. So are there products that typically use virgin polystyrene that can't use recycled post-consumer polystyrene or expanded polystyrene, like foodware? Really wanna speak to that as well.

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Well that's true;

you -- and we have plenty of markets that don't have

that requirement -- you can do it; you have to get a

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 75 letter from the FDA, it's called a letter of non-2 3 objection; PRI does have a letter of non-objection for their CD cases, which he told you they're 4 converting back into egg cartons; we do not have that 5 for curbside material. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay. And what's the current market price for clean or virgin 8 polystyrene, per ton, at the moment? 10 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: For virgin? over a dollar a pound. This is the latest issue of 11 Plastics recycling News, and... 12 13 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: You guys don't publish that, I hope. 14 15 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: What... No, no, no, 16 no, absolutely not; this is a Crain publication. 17 Polystyrene, depending on the volume you're buying, 18 it's like a \$1.17 or more per pound, and actually, 19 it's... [crosstalk] 20 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Does that include 21 expanded polystyrene? 2.2 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Let's see ... second 2.3 here... polystyrene -- expanded for cups, \$1.11-1.14 per pound. And then actually, also, the post-24

consumer is listed in here; we said that it was not

- 1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 76 2 in any publications, but it says right here, post-3 consumer polystyrene, and if you convert it to 4 pellets, like PRI is, that's 57-63 cents per pound, and PRI has offered Sims 8 cents a pound, so you can 5 see there's quite a spread between what they can sell 6 7 it for and what they acquire it for. 8 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Right, so I quess I wanna speak to that for PRI.
- 9 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Do you want this? 10
- 11 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yeah, of course.
- 12 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Gotcha.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: We'll take it, for The recycled polystyrene, PRI, I just wanna 14 15 speak to that right now. How much recycled 16 polystyrene do you sell on a per-ton basis per year?
- 17 Recycled polystyrene.
 - ALAN SHAW: We don't do it per ton, ours is all per pound, so… [crosstalk]
- 20 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Right.
- 21 ALAN SHAW: but we... our plant right now
- 22 -- last year, 2016, we did probably 87 million pounds
- 2.3 of material from out of our plants, recyc...
- [crosstalk] 24

18

- 1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 77
- 2 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Mostly recycled
- 3 material?
- 4 ALAN SHAW: Yeah, it's all recycled; 100%
- 5 is recycled... [crosstalk]
- 6 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay.
- 7 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: And when he
 8 testified in 2013 to this same committee, I believe
 9 you said it was 60 million pounds, so you can see the
 10 growth that they've experienced -- 60 million to more
- 11 than 80 million.
- 12 ALAN SHAW: Yeah and this year we'll
 13 probably exceed 100 million, is what our expectations
- 15 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Do you landfill

 16 ever; do you landfill any of the products that you
- 18 ALAN SHAW: Well fortunately, in

 19 Indianapolis there's an incinerator, so anything that

 20 we can't recycle that is -- we're a full-service

 21 recycling company, so we have almost every technology

 22 there is to be able to recycle not only styrene, but
- 23 polypropylene, polyethylene, so if there any
- 24 byproducts that come off of that that can't be

14

17

are.

receive?

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 78 recycled, they go to the incinerator, but it's probably less than 2%; maybe less than that probably.

[pause]

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So at the moment you're saying that you can take up to 17,000 tons -the expected tonnage is 17,000 of which I think you can take up to 90, I think was the number or 80. So you have that much capacity; given how great the market is for this product, why is it that you have 90,000 tons of spare capacity at the moment in the business model? You would figure -- like in waste transportation in the City of New York, a lot of people try to peak and make sure that they're at cap; in your case there's a lot of open capacity; why is that?

ALAN SHAW: Well we have our regular plants -- we've got two other plans in Indianapolis that we do different things at -- we pelletize at one and the other one we do the grinding and all that -- I mean this new capacity is all at the new plant that we just built, so we have a little bit of capacity left at our regular plant, but all the new capacity is gonna come out of the new plant that we just built. And like Mike said, we're waiting; I mean our

committee on Sanitation and solid waste management 79 machine's waiting to be able to do all this. I mean, we've had other cities talk to us, but we've committed to New York City on this project, and if we get involved with another city, then if you guys do come to us, which we anticipate you are, we don't wanna say hey, we can't take all your material or your scrap comin' out here. I mean we've made that commitment and we're standing by that commitment, and we're ready; I mean, more than ready to start taking it.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Cart before the house; be very careful.

ALAN SHAW: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Just to Dart -what are the projected costs of installing the
equipment at Sims' facility in Brooklyn to receive
and sort and process polystyrene? So what are you
willing to invest in the equipment at the Sims
facility?

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: The answer is \$3 million. They got a quote -- I think that their provider is Van Dyke and their provider came in and gave them an estimate for what it would take to retrofit their facility at South Brooklyn, and then

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 80 also the one in Jersey City, and then combined, you know, it wound up being about \$3 million and that's what we offered them to cover 100%.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And the equipment does the job of being able to separate... [interpose]

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Yeah, so it ...

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: polystyrene from like let's say paper and that if that doesn't happen, because the big issue here is that paper is the most valuable commodity we currently have and do not want it mixed, so you're pretty much saying that with the \$3 million investment, you guarantee that the foam will be separated from all the other valuable like waste streams?

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Sure. So it would cover the cost of an optical sorter which does that which, you know that technology that you know we hadn't -- when people in this room started arguing against foam recycling, that technology wasn't even invented back then and now it's something that -- it can capture the small pieces, you know it can capture things you couldn't capture before with humans, so it's really effective and that's why we're having success with this; it reduces the huge cost in

committee on Sanitation and solid waste management 81 recycling foam, so that's great. And in terms of commodities, by overall dollar value, paper may generate more revenue for you, but in terms of value, steel cans right now are \$75-80 a ton (that's the published price); old newspapers \$40-45 a ton; old corrugated containers \$125-130 -- this is in the latest Recycling Markets magazine; I've got a copy of that for you if you want -- we're offering \$160 a ton for the material for the material; it'd be the most valuable of any of these items -- steel cans, green glass, old newspapers, OCC, everything.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And how much can you capture with the system and the equipment that you would be able to buy; what's the capture rate?

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: I believe -- it was in the Commissioner's determination, I believe Sims had said they believe they could get up to 90%, but we'd have to look at the administrative record for that.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay, it's a range and 95 is at its highest, that's... [interpose]

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: I think that's what they thought they could get up to, yeah.

2.2

2.3

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay. Now I wanna allow for other Council Members to say a few things, so I want to, of course, Council Member Cabrera,

who's the sponsor of the bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I wanna ask you, because as I heard the Commissioner, her two central arguments were the economic viability and marketability. Can you address that, 'cause what I hear you say is that we already have a market for it; there is a greater need for the product, we could use the product right now, and that we have the buyers; I'm just trying to understand, where is this gap that we have right now that the Commissioner is not getting; can you help us get there? And also, you were getting ready to mention some of the cities where you all have been successful, and I appreciate the fact that you mentioned that what's happening in L.A. is not related to the work you're doing, so it's probably --I'm assuming it's either the technology that they're working with over there or how they're managing their business and their business plan.

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Sure. The issue with L.A. -- L.A. does publicize that they accept

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 83 foam, it's here, it's in their brochures, but the reality is, they're doing a very poor job of capturing it; we agree with that, but it's not a program we partnered with, so we're... [crosstalk]

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Gotcha.

handicapped here. You know every program that we've instituted continues to work today. We started recycling from drop-offs at our plant in Mason,

Michigan in 1990 -- we still do it, we haven't walked away from anything; can you imagine the consequences if we did walk away from it? You know, it's... we'd be done, foam would be done.

As far as the pricing goes, you know we acquire the material for 8 cents a pound from Sims, you figure you've got 5-6 cents per pound to transfer it, and then if you look at the end-market value that was in that magazine that I just passed out, I mean on a per ton basis, the end value is at \$1140 per ton; you're paying 160; you know you've got some shipping costs in there, but clearly you can see how the math works on this. And in terms of the facility itself, you know I'm a little perplexed because we invited Sanitation out; we've even let NRDC [sic] and

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 84 their experts out to this facility when it was first being built; we haven't hidden anything to anybody, but we processed a bail from your sorting facility right in front of them, so I don't know how anybody can say it's not recyclable. And another point is; we didn't approach PRI about this, they approached us; we were at a summit at the Foodservice Packaging Institute; it was a recycling summit for packaging of all types, PRI was there, the National Restaurant Association co-hosted it; they approached us because they said they were running out of post-industrial markets for material; they needed more markets and they thought that this was a good opportunity. given their creativity and all the equipment and all the intellectual capital they had, it was a good fit for us, so when we were invited to introduce a solution in 2013 by New York City, we jumped on it. COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: One last question and that is; if we were -- some people are proposing a ban -- if we were to have a ban, how much

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Do you want to take that? Yeah, this is your data.

of this foam would actually end up -- how much of it

would end up in our landfills?

1	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 85
2	ROBIN CANTOR: So we actually looked at
3	that and because the ban does not actually get to all
4	sources of the EPS, nor does it address the issue of
5	the rigid polystyrene, so when you look at those two
6	other factors, it turns out that you will reduce the
7	amount of the polystyrene and the EPS that's going to
8	landfill, but you only reduce it down to the 46,500
9	tons. But under the Dart/PRI proposal, you'll reduce
10	that further down to about 41,000 tons. So you
11	actually take more, because they are taking the rigid
12	polystyrene and also they're taking there is
13	material that would not have been subject to the ban.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So we actually
15	will have less and we will make more money in the
16	City?
17	ROBIN CANTOR: Yes.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Sounds good
19	[crosstalk]
20	ALAN SHAW: Can I make one more
21	statement?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Yes.
23	ALAN SHAW: It was brought up that you
24	know in the past that no one's been successful in

doing what we're trying to do...

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 86

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Right.

2.2

2.3

ALAN SHAW: and the biggest difference we feel is that the other companies didn't have the expertise that Plastic Recycling has and Dart has on polystyrene, and basically, what they were trying to do is; they were just gonna take the foam and make it into a pellet and then try to sell that and market that pellet; we don't do that at Plastic Recycling; we make a product for every customer; we don't have a stock item, so we're gonna take this crystal styrene that we get from the foam; we're gonna add additives to it and then when a customer wants something, we'll make it exactly to their specs, and that's a huge difference in the market... [crosstalk]

think that is, in your business plan, I think that's the winnable ingredient right there, because you're not waiting for -- if I understand right, you're not waiting for somebody else to go ahead -- you're getting the product right there, the recyclable product and then you're going directly into making the outcome product that you desire, and I think that's the missing link probably in what was happening in Los Angeles.

ALAN SHAW: Yeah, 'cause we do profile; this is a profile extrusion part, so we do this every day. So when we make pellets for the profile picture frame guys, if we can run it in our profiles, we know they're gonna be able to run it in theirs and so we do a lot of testing so we don't have a lot of return resin coming back to us because we don't want the resin coming back, we wanna make sure it's done right at our facility and we give our customers exactly what they're wanting.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So one last question, and I'll get back to you in a second, but you mentioned -- the Commissioner mentioned that sometimes paper is not detectable, is not able to be detected by the sorter; do you encounter that problem or is that something that she's looking at, what happened in Los Angeles?

ALAN SHAW: I think she was more talking about -- I mean she wanted to capture the paper; I mean if paper comes with our [inaudible]... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: That's what I meant; I'm sorry. Yeah.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 88 1 2 ALAN SHAW: Yeah, we have the technology 3 to take the paper -- I mean paper's not a problem for 4 us... [interpose] COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Okay. ALAN SHAW: I mean we've got the new 6 7 technology that we're able to screen all that paper off and come out with a good product... [crosstalk] 8 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: 'Cause she was mentioning L.A. -- they do have that problem, so your 10 11 level of technology is able -- you don't have any problems? 12 13 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: L.A. is not using optical sorters to sort their product... [crosstalk] 14 15 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: That's the key right there. 16 17 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: You had asked about 18 cities also and I... sorry, I didn't... [crosstalk] 19 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: 20 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: answer your 21 question, but you know, they're getting material from Burrtec in California -- Burrtec's a MRF, just like 2.2 2.3 Sims -- they sent a letter to the Commissioner in February of 2016 detailing all the cities that they 24

are actively servicing and they're not small cities;

- 1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 89
- 2 you've got Fontana, with 200,000; City of Riverside,
- 3 over 300,000, you know there's 26 cities that they
- 4 | listed in their letter that they're actually doing
- 5 | it, so I thought it was interesting that that wasn't
- 6 | mentioned; this is all Southern California...
- 7 [crosstalk]
- 8 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Right. Thank
- 9 you. Thank you much.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: No problem. We
- 11 | just wanna put in perspective; large city, 200,000
- 12 people; that's what we have in like my community
- 13 | board, right?
- 14 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Right.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Like this is New
- 16 York and I don't want to run away from that, but I
- 17 wanna -- what's the half-life on plastic, or on
- 18 | polystyrene I guess; what's the half-life on it?
- 19 | [background comments] Half-life is like, I guess a
- 20 | scientific term; well how does it break down;
- 21 | biodegradable, anyone?
- 22 | WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: Well yeah, I don't
- 23 think half-life is actually something that would be
- 24 related to a plastic product...

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 90
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yeah, I guess
 2
 3
     that's the point.
 4
                WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: I mean...
 5
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So it doesn't ...
     [crosstalk] [background comments]
 6
 7
                WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: No, no, no, no, no,
     no... [background comments] [crosstalk]
 8
 9
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I don't mean... I
     don't mean to be ...
10
11
                WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: no, because half-
     life... half-life is typically generated towards
12
13
     something that's emitting... [crosstalk]
14
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Right. Okay.
15
     You're right; it is the wrong thing [sic].
16
                WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: so you're basically
17
     moving something, such as radiation, so it's not
18
     really... [crosstalk]
19
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: It doesn't break
20
     down [inaudible]...
21
                WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: The one thing... one
     thing that should be remembered in the whole process
2.2
2.3
     is, going from taking production of a virgin product,
     polystyrene, to a recycled polystyrene, there
24
```

actually is -- 90% of the energy is maintained in the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 91 product that has been already manufactured in it when it's recycled, so hence, that's why it's economically viable, because most of the energy stays in the product that you no longer have to expend -- you've already made that investment in the production of polystyrene the first time, so the second time you don't have to recapture that. So basically -- and then the next time you use it, it's even better and it just keeps on paying dividends, so it's really a compounding process.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: For me, I want a like clean compound, right. For me, it's like turning it around; it's like not doing it at all, right, like when you don't have it in the first part of it, then you don't need to worry about it being like a problem in the future, right? So my concept overall is to make sure that we have the highest levels of diversion and that we remove as many of these, you know, long-term issues that are gonna be long-term issues from the waste stream right now, so my thing is not necessarily -- even if it is -- and I'm not saying it is, in any way, shape or form -- let's say it is recyclable, whether or not that's the best path anyway; that no matter what the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 92 circumstance, maybe banning is the way to go. So I guess that's part of the argument that I'm gonna be making more so than anything else; it's not necessarily whether or not it's recyclable; it's whether or not recycling is the right path at all.

2.2

2.3

WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: Can I speak to that?

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Sure, of course.

as a product, regardless of how it's used, is going to be -- it's a sought-after commodity for consumers; they're craving for activities for that, so it's gonna be produced regardless. I'm not talking about expanded polystyrene; all polystyrene. So hence the recycling process of it will provide feedstock to the manufacturers to create opportunities so they'll actually be using less fossil fuel. So from an environmental effectiveness, why recycling of a product that is taking a lot less energy and a lot less carbon emissions than making the inversion product is advantageous and that's why the equation for environmental effectiveness is there. [sic]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you. Council Member Brad Lander and then he's gonna be followed by Council Member Helen Rosenthal that just joined us.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you 3

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Mr. Chair and thank you guys for being here; I do appreciate it and I appreciate the work that you guys have put in on this issue. You know and I think, look, the challenge we have, we are Council Members, we are not experts on solid waste or recycling or chemistry; we have some experts that we look to, right; I look to our Sanitation Commissioner because I found her to be a trustworthy, honest, expert on these issues and similarly, we talked to the environmental community and that's -- you know that's why I have the perspective that I have and I'm just trying to drill down and understand.

I wanna start by focusing a little on the price question, because -- and I think it's just worth underlining -- I think this is just another version of what the chair said -- you know I don't doubt physically that you can recycle the product, right; I think you showed that; I don't doubt that it is physically possible under some circumstances, at some cost, to take post-consumer EPS and turn it into another product, but -- and you know, this isn't the easiest place to get into like total understanding of the cost, what you're gonna pay for it, what it costs

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 94 to process, what you can sell it for; it's not easy for us to do that in a public hearing and like it's not the kind of question we usually drill down on, but it's really critical here, because that is the question; the question is not is it physically possible to recycle, the question is; is the price at which you can take the EPS, do the processing and sell it going to work because if not, people are just going to buy the virgin product instead or buy a different replacement product instead and all our EPS is gonna wind up sitting in landfills or warehouses or incinerators, and that might make sense for Dart, honestly, financially, but it does not make sense for the people of New York City.

So I wanna start by asking about this price you quoted; you said it was 57-63 cents per pound in the *Plastic News*, but I'm looking at it; I wanna make sure I understand it. Is that for EPS, for foam or is that for polystyrene?

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: ...and chime in here, but the... you know what happens is, whether you take a foam cup or you take this; when you recycle them, you recycle them both back into a pellet, right? And so

2.2

2.3

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 95
2
    that is post-consumer polystyrene pellets.
3
     could be both. Now... [interpose]
4
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: But I don't ... I
    mean is it both or could it be both?
5
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: It is both.
6
7
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I don't think
    it's both, because we called Plastic News and asked
8
    them and what they said is that this price is the
    price for recycled polystyrene pellets and that in
10
11
     fact they can't quote a price for recycled foam for
12
    EPS because there isn't currently a big enough market
13
    to generate the price.
14
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD:
                                       That is -- what
15
    they produce though is a recycled polystyrene pellet;
16
    that is what they produce.
17
                [background comment]
18
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Well that's what
19
    you reuse in your own products, which you have on the
20
    table, but there's not a market at which you sell it
21
     and can quote a price for it, or at least that's not
2.2
    what this price is... [crosstalk]
2.3
                ALAN SHAW: Yeah, this... this is...
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: What do you sell
24
```

25

it... you...

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 96
 2
                ALAN SHAW: this is black pellets made
 3
     from foam, 100% foam and this... [crosstalk]
 4
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And what do you
 5
     get when you sell those per pound or per ton?
                ALAN SHAW: The same, 60 some... 50-60
 6
 7
     cents a pound, and this was from foam; this was from
 8
     CD cases. You go and put this in a machine and you
     find the properties, the properties are the same;
     it's the same thing... [crosstalk]
10
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: If you were to do
11
     cleaning of the foam... [crosstalk]
12
13
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Well no, it's not
14
     the same thing, because we talked before about the
15
     difference between clean and dirty; some of it... I
    mean I assume an awful lot of what polystyrene and
16
17
     EPS is is going into food containers and at a minimum
18
     you can't sell them there, right?
19
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: As of right now,
20
    no, 'cause we've gotta get the FDA approval on it, I
    mean but...
21
                ALAN SHAW: We... I mean we sell these CD
2.2
23
    cases; we got FDA approval on and we're able to go
    back into egg cartons, foam plates, you name it;
24
```

we're able to do this with this product.

Т	COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 9
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Right, but I mean
3	recycled CD cartons are a lot cleaner than an EPS
4	foam container that might have had oils and salad
5	dressings and you know, the kinds of things that we
6	don't wanna have recontaminated; that's why the FDA
7	has the rules, isn't it; otherwise they wouldn't
8	bother… [crosstalk]
9	ROBIN CANTOR: [inaudible] the
10	polystyrene as well. I mean you have the other
11	materials; [crosstalk] [background comment] they're
12	also contaminated.
13	MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: That right there, I
14	mean you know <i>Plastic News</i> is gonna know better than
15	us, but that is a post-consumer polystyrene pellet
16	and that [crosstalk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: It is a post-
18	consumer polystyrene pellet, yes; it is not
19	[crosstalk]
20	MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: and that and that
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: dirty post-
22	consumer EPS [crosstalk]
23	MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: But the pellets
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: and we don't know
25	what the price for that would be.

2.2

2.3

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Well no, that's not true; the pellets that they are producing aren't dirty; that's... the whole process cleans it, and so you wind up with a polystyrene pellet that's post-consumer. See, look it, if he... he takes... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I mean the FDA at least can tell the difference.

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: If he takes plain white foam, you know, isolated all the colors and removed it from it and ran it through, it's gonna look just like the CD case; this is what virgin looks like, it's clear, and it becomes white because as it expands it stretches it, but once you pelletize it, it's clear again.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Look, I think

you... I'm sure you do a great job; this is not a

reflection on PRI and how good you're recycling; this

is just a fact-based understanding of what the price

will be of this product and I think there's reason to

be concerned if they're telling us that this is for

recycled polystyrene pellets and probably from

cleaner feed, that the price for recycled dirty foam

would be less than this. Either that or that it

would cost you a lot more to process it to get it to

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 99
this quality, which I guess is the other set of
questions. [background comment] So let me maybe...
let me go there for a minute, because... [crosstalk]

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Yeah, let me answer
one question though...

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: help me understand the... you're gonna buy it at 8 cents and pound and like what does it cost you to process into something that is clean enough to sell?

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Let me go back to your question there on the difference. So if you're taking post-industrial, not post-consumer that doesn't need cleaning; yes, you could eliminate the washing step; you still extrude it and all that. So there is an added cost when you have to clean material, but that's washing. But there's still a significant margin between your acquisition, your transportation to Indianapolis, and the final sell price. The final sale price -- PRI produces quality pellets -- it is in that neighborhood right there that you're seeing; that's why we feel confident that you know that price is fair for the end product that he does produce.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

ALAN SHAW: And the other thing I would say is that you know, we honestly, I think will be, honestly, be able to take this material at some point and get FDA approval on it, because you look at PET bottles, all the Coke bottles, all that; they got FDA on that and there's gasoline in those, you have no idea what's in those bottles when people put that... and it goes through the same kind of process that we're gonna go through and they FDA to go back into bottles and other applications -- food containers and everything -- they've got that FDA, so it's being done today and we feel that we can do it and clean it just as well as they are.

hear you and I admire your optimism; to me it's just an awfully big risk for us to contract long-term and it's something that hasn't worked in any other city on tons and tons of waste material on those hopes.

But let me ask you; it seemed like you were gonna talk to me a little about the cost of -- 'cause obviously it is that delta that I feel like is relevant here; if it was clear, that you could buy it, transport it, clean it, process it; pelletize it all for a price that was less than what you could

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT sell it for; then we could have more confidence that it could be recycled. So I feel like -- we know for sure what you'd pay Sims for it; can you walk me through your costs of transportation, washing; processing so we can understand kinda how much room there is between that 8 cents and -- you know, even stipulating for a minute that I'm not sure that 57-63 cents is right, how much room there is, so how much does it cost you to do those things per pound or per ton?

ALAN SHAW: Well hopefully we're gonna be able to do this by rail, which is a big cost-savings; once we go from truck to rail out of New York City, it's gonna see a significant... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: You know we don't have any freight rail that makes it to this side of the Hudson, much to many of our chagrin, but.

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: But no, Sims said that we could ship by rail when we asked them, so that's what we're going off of.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: They're gonna go up to Selkirk and...

2.2

2.3

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
 2
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD:
                                       I don't know if
 3
     they barge it to Jersey City and then load there; I
 4
     don't know how they do that, but.
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay.
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: And I know CFS ...
 6
 7
     [interpose]
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I love rail and I
 8
 9
     love great rail and I like the idea of our waste
     going out of here on rail rather than on trucks, but
10
11
     part of the problem is that there's no rail freight
     tunnel or bridge on the Hudson south of... [crosstalk]
12
13
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: So how are you
14
     transporting all the other recyclable commodities
15
     coming out of Sims; is that by truck then?
16
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I mean you know,
17
     a lot of things are trucked, some things are barged
18
     to Jersey where they can then be put on rail, so that
19
    may be what we're talking about here, but.
20
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: That might be the
21
    plan. Yeah.
2.2
                COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:
                                         Alright, so...
2.3
    Alright, go ahead, so hopefully by rail...
                ALAN SHAW: Yeah, and then the other...
24
```

25

[interpose]

2.2

2.3

mean you've got your acquisition costs of 8 cents a pound, you've got your 5-6 cents transportation cost, you've got your processing costs and sale price, and the processing cost, there's a lot of room there and we're not comfortable telling everybody here exactly what that is, but this is a business proposition for us; we wouldn't be engaging in this if it was something we had to subsidize. We're comfortable with setting up Sims with the equipment that they need to process it, but that's not the purpose of this program...

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So I mean I understand that... [crosstalk]

 $\label{eq:michael westerfield:} \mbox{for us to expand}$ across the country.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: and I appreciate your proprietary issues; at the same time, if we'd be the only folks doing it anywhere near this scale, committing ourselves for the long-term in a way that would have a lot of costs for the City, and there's only one single buyer, I don't think it's good enough to say well you can't tell us what it would cost to process; like you're really asking us to be your

- 1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
- 2 partner here, like this is not a market with a lot of
- 3 buyers and sellers, with posted prices and with
- 4 multiple competitive players. You're asking New York
- 5 City to be your partner in this process, but you
- 6 | won't tell us what it's gonna cost to process, so
- 7 | it's pretty hard to have the level of confidence that
- 8 | would be necessary to overrule our Sanitation
- 9 Commissioner, both... [crosstalk]
- 10 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: We're willing to
- 11 sign a contract to guarantee it.
- 12 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Hm?
- 13 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: We're willing to
- 14 | sign a contract to guarantee it.
- 15 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Well for five
- 16 | years you're willing to put the money in, but that
- 17 doesn't mean it won't sit in a warehouse and it
- 18 doesn't mean you guys will pay the cost of having it
- 19 | sit in that warehouse rather than having it wind up
- 20 recycled.
- 21 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Well we're very
- 22 | transparent, we offer -- you know, you can come and
- 23 see the facility any time and watch it process
- 24 [inaudible]... [crosstalk]

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I don't doubt physically, as I said before, that you can -- that's just not the question; I believe you that the facility does a good job, but that doesn't mean that if the price doesn't work to produce it below the cost of other feedstocks that it's not gonna wind up in landfills or incinerators or warehouses.

ALAN SHAW: Like I said in the beginning,
Plastic Recycling was not built for this; we built
the company back in 1988, and if I didn't dream or
feel that I was gonna make money, I wouldn't be doing
this, you know, we're gonna make money on this
project, you know, 'cause we are gonna use a lot of
this material internally so we don't have to rely on
outside customers to buy it; we need it internally,
and then we know that there's customers out there,
numerous customers, we've got lists of customers that
will buy this material, once we clean it up and get
it into... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I mean are you guaranteeing the City a recycling rate so that we could have 100% confidence that it isn't gonna go to incinerator or landfill? I mean I admire the optimism, again, and obviously that entrepreneurial

2 | spirit of trying something new is great, but it feels

3 | very risky, given, you know, the Bloomberg

4 Administration, de Blasio Administration, our

Sanitation Commissioner, the whole environmental

6 community; that's a lot of op... [crosstalk]

ALAN SHAW: And that's why I shared success stories, because every one of these companies that we talked about, they hoped and dreamed that we'd be able to do this, but we provide to them that we've done it; we've done it since 1988, so this is not any different than what we've done with these other companies, you know we're... it's... we proved... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Well okay,
there's a big difference between those companies and
New York... anyway. Let me just ask one more question
and then I'll... I know there's a lot of people signed
up to testify.

I just wanna ask about what you'll take from Sims and won't take from Sims. It's my understanding that in the infrastructure agreement that you proposed to them last time there were some strict standards on what you would and would not take

2.2

2.3

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
107
2 from them; has that changed or is that still the
3 case?

2.2

2.3

[crosstalk]

- MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Every industry has bail specs for MRFs for their materials; our bail specs are actually more lenient; most of the bail specs are 95% plus purity; we allowed for 85% so that Sims can dial in their equipment, you know and meet with us. I believe -- I'm fumbling through here -- but I believe it's in your testimony, there's some bail specifications in there for you to take a look at, and if there's not, I will certainly get those for you. But we're very tolerant in those bail specs.
- COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Alright.

 Mr. Chair, is Sims testifying later? Alright.

 Alright, 'cause I really don't feel qualified on my own to dive into bail specs and I'm concerned...
 - MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: If they're not there... If they're not there, I'll get them for you, but.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Alright, I'd like to be able to follow up with you and with them on what that looks like and whether that's sort of

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 100 reasonable or whether they're still gonna wind up

3 sitting with a whole lot of this stuff.

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Absolutely and you know in the contract that we agreed to them with in principal and both shared that with the Commissioner back in 2014, you know we did have guarantees in there and we're happy to put guarantees in there for you that address your concerns.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Alright, I thank you for your testimony, you know and I do appreciate your optimism; again, I think given -- [background comment] Alright. Alright, well I'll just point out that it looks to me like there are a fairly substantial number of specifications for where there can and can't be contaminants and at least in this one, that one of the contaminants not allowed at any level at all is oils and grease, but I mean aren't there a lot of oils and grease on our post EPS...

[crosstalk]

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: If you look at...

actually, look right below that, you'll see excludes

[inaudible]... [crosstalk]

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I knew this was dangerous to start asking questions about bail specifications.

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Yeah, right. It clarifies what we're talking about with that. And that's standard; that's gonna be on any spec that you would get from the Association of Plastics Recyclers for any of your plastics, they say that. The reality is, yes, you're going to get some of that, but you have to have your protection. Typically in the recycling industry, if you allow for a certain level of contamination, you're going to get it.

GOUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: But this is why I guess I just wanna push on this again; I think all of the cases where you're talking about the sort of normal situation, normal bail specifications, are situations where there's multiple buyers for the commodity at the end of the process, and that's just what we don't have here; we don't have multiple buyers, I mean and I've talked to Tom Outerbridge at Sims about this approach, because he would like to take everything that comes out of Sims -- and I had a lot of conversations with him about plastic bags -- he'd love if there was someone that would buy his

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 2 dirty post-Sims processing plastic bags and take them 3 and go do something good with them, because his 4 alternative is to pay to landfill them, but in this situation we don't have that normal relationship because we'd be entering into a long-term single-6 7 source contract without any ability for us to adjust on our end and so if things change in the market and 8 the product does not wind up getting recycled, then we've just entered into a long-term contract to send 10 11 a whole lot of EPS foam to landfill. 12 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Well would you say 13 that you view Tom as a recycling expert? COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I view Tom as a 14 15 recycling expert. 16 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Because... 17 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And I have talked 18 to him about this at some length. 19 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: we sat down with 20 the Commissioner and reached a deal and principal to 21 recycle this foam, so why would he take that risk if 2.2 he's an expert and have to turn to his own company... 2.3 [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Well you're

guaranteeing him a price, so you know, if you

24

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT guarantee him \$160 a ton, it's definitely a good business proposition for Tom to say sure, for \$160 a ton, but that doesn't in any way give me the -- my questions have all been about the confidence that we could have or not have that after you pay him for that product that it's going to wind up recycled. And with due respect, and like I don't wanna get in a battle about it; I don't doubt you'd like to get it recycled, but it does seem to me you have a very substantial financial interest in New York City not banning Styrofoam and that that might be well worth paying for.

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: We do have a significant interest, but we also you know truly wanna see this material recycled. You'll hear later today from a recycling expert that hopefully will address some of your concerns, the person that built this facility; that's built many other plastics recycling facilities, so hopefully that'll give you some comfort. You're also gonna hear from a number of businesses that have an interest in this material as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you. Council Member Rosenthal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Hi, thank you for testifying. I'm sort of doing a couple of different things at the same time, so I apologize, but how much money do you guys think you're gonna make off this deal; why are you so interested in this? [background comment] No, we just have different jobs, you're in the private sector; I'm a public servant; I'm asking you what you're gonna do that you think is so profitable -- not what you're gonna do; I'm asking you, how much profit are you gonna make off of our garbage?

ALAN SHAW: Good question. A lot, I hope, I mean that's our goal... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right. So if the deal is that we do this and all the profit comes back to public service, are you still interested --we'll use the money to, I don't know, build more homeless shelters and take care of, you know, paying our workers the rate they should be paid -- now are you interested in doing this?

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: I'm not sure I understand the questions.

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I'm asking if 3 you would be willing to do this as a not profit-4 making venture but a venture where you believe in your product, you think it's great to recycle and you would give all the money, all the profits, back to 6 7 the City of New York? 8 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Well PRI is a 9 private business; I can't speak for them on what they -- this is their undertaking; we're facilitating part 10 11 of it, but this is their undertaking. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I don't know 13 why -- what's in it for New York? I just don't see 14 it. 15 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Well New York saves 16 millions of dollars in revenue every year -- you 17 know, the ban that's been proposed is a partial ban 18 and when you look at all polystyrene... [interpose] 19 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No, I asked 20 you, what benefit is it to the City of New York ... 21 [crosstalk] 2.2 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Yeah, that's what 2.3 I'm getting at. COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: if we're gonna 24

lose money out of this... [crosstalk]

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
 2
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: No, you're gonna
 3
     save millions of dollars by landfill diversion...
 4
     [crosstalk]
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: and it's not
    gonna help the environment?
 6
 7
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Okay, I'm sorry; I
    know you missed the beginning, but they talked about
 8
    the environmental benefits -- Zero Waste, greenhouse
     gas reduction -- but on top of that it takes millions
10
     of dollars... [crosstalk]
11
12
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So if you
13
     don't make the product at all, you still get that
14
    benefit, right? So if you don't make the product...
15
     [crosstalk]
16
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Well there's gonna
17
    be a...
18
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: if it's
19
    banned; then there would be Zero Waste and there
20
    would be, you know... [crosstalk]
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: [inaudible]...
21
2.2
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: no carbon
23
     dioxide released into the air, so why make the
    product?
24
```

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 2 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: In a perfect world I think that makes sense, but the reality is, people 3 4 are gonna continue to use takeout containers... [crosstalk] 5 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah. So this 6 7 is the public sector; again... [crosstalk] 8 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: and Dr. Goodfellow spelled out what the alternative [inaudible]. 9 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: what I'm not 10 11 hearing from you is why we should help you make a profit and why the City of New York couldn't benefit 12 13 off the profits... [crosstalk] MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Then why recycle 14 15 anything? Why recycle any of your metal, glass or plastic, 'cause it's the same situation... [crosstalk] 16 17 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: right? So 18 until I hear an answer about that, I don't know why... 19 [crosstalk] 20 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: T f... COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: a public 21 2.2 sector, right, whose job it is to protect taxpayer 23 money, right; to protect its citizens, to make sure they have clean air, why would we invest in something 24

25

that makes you a profit?

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
 2
                WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: Can I take your
 3
     question and pose it another way, 'cause there was
 4
     another aspect [sic]... [crosstalk]
 5
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No, that's the
    way I'm asking it.
 6
 7
                WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: Well no, 'cause your
    other aspect was the environmental benefits.
 8
 9
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No, I'm asking
     it the way I'm asking it. You... [crosstalk]
10
11
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Okay, so here's the
12
    benefits...
13
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL:
                                           City
14
     government is not here, we are not here to help
15
     companies make a profit; that's just not what public
16
     service is about.
17
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: So what you missed
18
     is; this is going to save New York about $2 million
19
    per year in landfill fees...
20
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: $2 million.
21
                MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: it's going to
2.2
     generate... [interpose]
2.3
                COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: It's an $86
    billion budget, so you're gonna save $2 million a
24
25
     year in landfill fees. Go ahead.
```

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 2 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: and it's gonna 3 generate millions of dollars in revenue; it's gonna 4 save... [interpose] COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: A million; two 5 million; three million...? [crosstalk] 6 7 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: it's gonna save your consumers \$51 million per year; I think \$51 8 9 million is significant. COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: So if we don't 10 11 have it at all, if we have nothing of this product, 12 ban it altogether and instead, invest in a product 13 that perhaps doesn't destroy the planet; why not 14 invest there with our City dollars? 15 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Well I think you're 16 starting from a biased standpoint, because it 17 doesn't... [crosstalk] 18 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: True, I am. 19 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: destroy the planet. 20 Now the alternatives, Dr. Goodfellow talked about the 21 alternatives; they're actually worse, so if you're 2.2 truly concerned about greenhouse gases, if you're 2.3 concerned about climate change; [inaudible]...

24

[crosstalk]

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: You know I'm gonna wait to hear from the experts this afternoon about that... [crosstalk]

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: The expert just spoke, but you missed it. [background comments] Dr. Goodfellow spoke... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: This... So first of all, this livestreams throughout the City of New York, so I've been watching it up in my office for the last two hours, so I don't appreciate your making an assumption about how I've been spending my time, number one, and number two, I would like to hear from some additional experts who I have worked with who I trust who have told me that what you're saying here is not true. So I'm waiting to hear from them; I have heard from the good Doctor; I still get back to my fundamental point of -- why would the City of New York participate in something that would help a profit-making business when we could actually invest in things that are good for the planet and decrease a market for something that we're not so keen to have, right? Same thing as fossil fuels; why not disinvest from coal and invest in solar, geothermal, wind; that's where I wanna see jobs created; those are the

- COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
 jobs for the next generation. I still am not sold
 why I wanna help you make a profit.

 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: The alternatives
 have a greater carbon footprint.
- 6 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I'm not
 7 hearing it, but... [interpose]
- 9 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: thank you for 10 your time.

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Understood.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Council Member

- 11 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Understood.
- Constantinides. We're almost done here, almost done and then we'll get to time limits.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Alright.

 Just very quickly; just a quick follow-up for PRI.

 What cities in California and Canada are you working with to take those materials from?
 - ALAN SHAW: You want... I mean... [interpose]

 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: I... I...

 Yeah, I asked the questions; I'd like an answer, yes.
 - ALAN SHAW: In California there's at

 least 20 -- Fontana, City of Riverside, Corona,

 Desert Hot Springs, Grand Terrace, Highland, Indian

8

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 2 Wells -- I don't know what that one; how do you 3 pronounce that? 4 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Jurupa Valley. 5 ALAN SHAW: Jurupa Valley, Lake Arrowhead, Montclair, Morongo Reservation, Norco, 6 Ontario, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Rancho Cucamonga, 7 Rancho Mirage, Rialto, Crestline, Rubidoux, Running 8 Springs, San Bernardino, Twentynine Palms, Upland -what's that? 10 11 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Yucca Valley. 12 ALAN SHAW: Yacava... 13 MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Oh, Yucaipa. 14 ALAN SHAW: Yucaipa and Yucca Valley. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: So how 16 many people in those cities total; more than Queens? 17 'Cause I've got 2.5 million people in Queens alone. 18 ALAN SHAW: Like 1.7 million. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Total? 20 ALAN SHAW: In that -- yes. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Okay, so how 'bout in Canada? 2.2 2.3 ALAN SHAW: The big cities are Waterloo region, the City of Kingston, then there's Niagara 24

- 1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
- 2 Falls, Port Colborne, St. Catherine's, Theroux [sp?],

4 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: How many 5 people total?

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: Don't know.

ALAN SHAW: Don't know on that.

talking about not even one borough of the City of New York and yet somehow you're saying that you can transfer this, you have the capacity to do this in a city of eight million people, when the Sanitation Commissioner is saying this is not viable, with no real evidence other than your good word, as our chair has pushed out?

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: We invited

Sanitation to come and take a tour of the facility;

we showed them the facility; you'll hear from an

expert today that designed it, who can talk about the

capacity also.

ALAN SHAW: This facility is half a million square feet; it's probably... it's gotta be one of the largest recycling facilities in the United States.

2.2

2.3

and Welland.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: But you can understand why it's a little bit of a leap of faith, right; you're asking us to -- you know, it's like asking whether or not I think Tim Tebow is gonna play baseball for the Mets this fall; I... he looks good, but I don't think that's really gonna happen.

I quess I just want to ROBIN CANTOR: insert here that again, one of the things that's been pointed out is that there are economies of consolidation because you are so large, and there are economies of scale that are operating here to make this a profitable proposition. But I think that the other thing that I keep hearing being missed here is this notion that, again, if there is a single buyer; that somehow or another this is putting you at much more risk because again, they have agreed to a certain price that they are guaranteeing and in fact, you know you're talking about a product that people get great use out of and the alternatives to the product are more expensive, so you're going to impose all this cost when the risk largely is being absorbed by the proposal as opposed to having New York City absorb the risk. If at any point you decide this isn't working out, this isn't what you thought;

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT you've just delayed all of that cost that would come through the ban, you've delayed it, which is always a good thing; it's not a good thing to accept the costs immediately as opposed to being able to postpone it.

2.2

2.3

ALAN SHAW: The big opportunity here is that New York City... [background comment] the opportunity that New York City has over... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Your microphone, please. Wanna make sure you're on the record; I don't want this to be missed... [crosstalk]

ALAN SHAW: The biggest opportunity is that New York City has Sims; Sims is a unique MRF and there's not hardly any other MRF in the United States that has the capacity or the capabilities that Sims has. I mean this is unbelievable opportunity. I mean I don't see where it's a loss situation for you guys; I mean it's gonna be a win-win; I mean, you're gonna save a lot of money from going to landfills -- environmentally it's gonna be unbelievable, the amount of material that we'll be able to take and make into a new product. It's a no-brainer; I mean, I think that we're giving you the opportunity to see -- you guys are gonna -- all these other cities in the United States are gonna look at New York City and

- 1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
- 2 | see how the program's gonna work and how successful
- 3 it's gonna be and then there's gonna be major cities
- 4 | that are gonna follow you guys.
- 5 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Yeah.
- 6 Chair.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Or we'll be the
- 8 | city that bans it and then they follow that, so I
- 9 just wanna be clear... [interpose]
- 10 ALAN SHAW: Right.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: There's two sides
- 12 to every story.
- 13 ALAN SHAW: Right.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I want to allow for
- 15 | Council Member Cabrera to speak now, because we've
- 16 gotta move on, so Cabrera; go ahead.
- 17 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Yeah, thank you
- 18 so much. So what I hear is -- So look, the
- 19 assumption that I hear, some of the arguments being
- 20 | made, is because if it's larger, 'cause you have more
- 21 | waste coming through, right, that somehow -- is it
- 22 more difficult to do or is it... the machine is still
- 23 gonna do what it's gonna do, right?
- 24 ALAN SHAW: Oh yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So this is not gonna put you in a situation that you can't produce. I mean you're producing for literally a couple of million people in California and Canada, so I still don't understand the argument that is coming through here, which is basically saying because it's more, I guess the economist, you're the one who's really point here that if it's more that somehow we're gonna be less effective and actually, you're gonna make more money. As a matter of fact, in order to work better -- is that what I hear -- in order to work better, we're in the best possible situation in New York City in order to work and you already showed it, that -- as a matter of fact, let me ask you this question: has there been -- and I didn't hear it from the Commissioner -- none of the cities that you mentioned, none of the places you mentioned; has there been any complaint; has there been any articles that said that you have not been effective; is there any data showing that you have not been effective?

MICHAEL WESTERFIELD: None of the programs that we've partnered on [inaudible]... [crosstalk]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

research field and when it comes to following the

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So in the

4 true science here, 'cause this is what it's gonna

5 come down to, following true science, there has

6 never... you have no science telling us -- as a matter

7 of fact, I hear the opposite in science; I hear the

8 science saying, if I understood right, that it takes

9 three times more energy and carbon footing with paper

10 cups; did I hear that right, with paper products?

11 WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: Actually, 2.5, but

12 yeah...

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: 2.5...

WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: you're on the right scale. So you know we assessed that just to make sure that we looked at what are potential alternatives should the EPS not be available to the consumer, 'cause the consumer's gonna have a need and so the alternative, by using a fluted paper product, actually requires 2.5 more times energy than EPS.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: And it's actually less energy recycle vs. virgin; right?

WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: Correct.

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 2 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: And then 3 transportation, what was that; is that like pretty 4 even with landfill and recycle or is... 5 WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: When you take into consideration, only factoring in rail-to-rail -- rail 6 7 for EPS recycling; rail to the landfills -- they are 8 very similar... [crosstalk] COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Very similar. WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: I mean within like 10 11 3-5% difference. When it's... When it's... [crosstalk] 12 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Okay, so we have 13 a time-wise... 14 WILLIAM GOODFELLOW: When it's trucks, 15 when it's 100% trucks going to a landfill, it's 16 considerably -- I mean it's almost double and triple 17 the amount of greenhouse gas with transportation... 18 [crosstalk] 19 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So... Okay. 20 That's very good. Thank you so much. 21 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you for your 2.2 testimony and see you soon. 2.3 Alright, we're gonna go through this; we have about 11 to 15 panels, depending on how many 24

folks are here. We're gonna start with Ron Gonen,

2 Priya Beryl, Brendan Sexton, Eric Goldstein -- I'm

3 sorry, Beryl Thurman and Priya Mulgaonkar.

4 [background comments] Five folks. We'll make it

5 | happen. There's a chair right here on the end that

6 you can take. Five at a time. And we're going to do

7 a couple of things. [background comments] [pause]

8 This is our first group of... we're gonna do two

9 minutes each for this one. If... what I would like to

10 say to the public is every testimony is important,

11 | every single testimony that you could possibly

12 provide to the City of New York is of value, but we

13 can get it in writing, is one thing, and it'll be put

14 on the record, and two, should any of the statements

15 | that you make sound exactly the same as let's say

16 | this panel or the panel before, you might wanna hold

17 | out so that new voices can be inserted in to the

18 conversation. We're gonna do a two-minute clock now

19 | and we are going to start with Eric Goldstein. Where

20 | are you from, Eric?

21 ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Hi, my name is Eric

22 Goldstein, from the Natural Resources Defense

23 Council. Thank you for this hearing, Mr. Chairman

24 and greetings to the members of the Committee.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We strongly oppose Intro 1480 and

instead, support legislation that would ban

polystyrene foam food and beverage containers; that's

the only sensible and proven way to get rid of the

6 billions of foam containers that constitute a first-

7 class environmental nuisance throughout our city.

In short, Intro 1480 is an industrybacked proposal that's a wolf in sheep's clothing. Even in the industry testimony today, nobody contested the fact that dirty foam food and beverage containers pose litter and environmental challenges, they pollute virtually every New York City neighborhood. Foam containers interfere with the City's recycling program, as the Commissioner talked about; they interfere with the City's expanding efforts to compost all of our organics and food waste, which makes up a third of our waste stream; and there is no doubt that environmental organizations love recycling, but recycling is not the answer for dirty polystyrene foam; the reason is simple, this material can't be effectively recycled in New York City or anywhere in the New York region.

The incontrovertible evidence is here -- not a single big city in America has implemented a

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT recycling program for dirty polystyrene foam. Not one. And in all the testimony we heard from industry today, they were naming Podock [sp?] and Topeka and all of that; let's look at the 30 largest cities in America, and as you'll hear from further testimony down the line from Chicago, Los Angeles, Houston, Philadelphia, all the way through, not a single large city in America successfully recycles dirty polystyrene foam food and beverage containers. Not one. And you could be sure that if the industry experts who testified earlier were familiar with large cities that do recycle this foam anywhere in North America, people from [bell] those cities would be here today.

2.2

2.3

There is an answer -- one other thing;
even if the City recycling program were to designate
foam as recyclable, most of the cups and clamshells
would not make it into the recycling facility in the
first place; many are tossed out in wastebaskets and
at street corners; lots are left as litter on streets
and under park benches, and even for that which is
brought home, City statistics show that more than
half of the residential trash never makes it into
recycling. So even if there were a recycling program

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT and it were possible to recycle this foam, most of the cups and clamshells generated in the city would not end up in the program.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And we're gonna go through questions, so don't... don't get... [crosstalk]

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Yeah, okay. Let me just add a couple... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: too concerned that we're not gonna give you a shot out her, Eric...

[crosstalk]

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Let me just respond to three of the questions that have come up in the earlier testimony... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yeah, go ahead.

Cabrera said that small businesses would be devastated by a ban. None of the evidence anywhere else in communities that have instituted bans -- and by the way, more than 100 communities around the country have instituted bans, and these are big cities like, Seattle, San Francisco, Portland, Minneapolis and Washington, D.C. -- these are cities that have instituted bans and none of them have found devastating economic consequences. In the bill for a

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT ban that has been drafted by yourself, Council Member Lander, Council Member Constantinides, and others, there is a provision that allows for a waiver for small businesses if there's undue economic hardship; this is a provision that is replicated from the San Francisco and other laws. Those laws have served successfully to address that issue, but very, very few businesses have made use of those provisions because the reality is, there's a trivial difference, if any, between the price of compostable trays, compostable clamshells and the polystyrene foam clamshells. The evidence of that is New York City; we've abandoned 850,000 polystyrene trays a year that the Department of Education used; they switched to compostables at less than a penny difference in the But again, if there are small businesses -because we care about small businesses -- that have economic problems, they could apply for a hardship and continue to still use that thing.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Second, one of the experts from

Indianapolis talked about recycling CD cases. Okay,
that's great, but we're not talking about all
polystyrene and we're not talking about CD cases,
we're talking about dirty expanded polystyrene foam,

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT which is 95% air and it makes no sense, even if it was [inaudible] supplied to ship that material of such low value 700 miles to Indianapolis to be recycled. If this is such an economically sound proposition; why aren't there recycling facilities for this material right here in the New York region? Let's take a look at paper, in contrast, there a company came to New York City, Pratt Industries, based in Staten Island; they're taking all of our paper right close by; we know that makes environmental sense as well as economic sense. the new organics program that the Council has advanced; you were the one who specified we're only going to require food businesses to send their organics to composting facilities if those facilities are located within 100 miles of New York City. doesn't make sense and yet here we are talking about an untested facility and sending waste 700 miles away.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Finally, recycling vs. downcycling.

Recycling means to collect materials for remanufacturing that those materials came from -- aluminum cans, you recycle it; it becomes an aluminum can; paper, you recycle it; it becomes paper. This

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT isn't happening anywhere in the United States for expanded polystyrene foam -- Dart hasn't shown us a single recycling polystyrene foam coffee cup and you can be sure if they had one would have seen it here today. So to the extent there's any remanufacturing, there are two big differences -- it's clean foam, not dirty foam, and there's no federal commission to make foodservice articles from polystyrene foam.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

One last vote: no. This is a very risky proposition for the first time of any big city in America, to try this kind of recycling of dirty foam and New York City normally doesn't wanna take those risks with taxpayer dollars and with taxpayer interests and it's still largely a hope and a dream. As someone who's participated in City Council hearings for more than 30 years, I can say that the subject of this hearing really is -- to use the Commissioner's words -- quite disheartening. is no big push for this kind of recycling; not from the Sanitation Department, not from the environmental community, not from government efficiency experts; not from national waste experts. This proposal is an attempted hijacking of public policy by a very wellfunded private industry with a direct financial stake

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT in the outcome and this is exactly the wrong time for that proposal. People in this city are angry, they're angry about abuse of privileges in financial wealth and I believe that they are gonna be objecting very, very strongly to this ill-conceived, private benefit legislative proposal. Thank you for the time.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: You're welcome.
[background cheers, clapping]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: We're gonna... yeah, you're gonna have to do the wave, guys. Good job, Eric.

PRIYA MULGAONKAR: You have my timer on?

Okay. Good afternoon everyone, my name is Priya

Mulgaonkar and I'm here to testify on behalf of the

New York City Environmental Justice Alliance (NYEEJA). NYC-EJA is a nonprofit citywide membership

network linking grassroots organizations from lowincome neighborhoods and communities of color

throughout New York City in our struggle for
environmental justice. NYC-EJA has been a leader in
advocating for a more equitable and sustainable solid

waste system for over 20 years, leading efforts for
comprehensive policy reforms to address solid waste

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT and the impacts of dozens of transfer stations on a handful of low-income communities of color throughout New York City, our efforts culminating in the landmark 2006 Solid Waste Management Plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

New York City creates roughly 35,000 tons of garbage every day. Garbage trucks needless travel thousands of miles throughout New York City polluting our air with diesel fuel, clogging our streets and diminishing our quality of life. These impacts are greatest in those few low-income and communities of color where truck-dependent transfer stations are clustered, and along the truck routes used to haul garbage. Because a number of NYC-EJA member organizations and allies come from communities overburdened by garbage -- specifically in North Brooklyn, the south Bronx and Southeast Queens -- we advocate for strong policies that minimize the impact of truck traffic and trash in our neighborhoods -including restricting harmful materials that inevitably end up polluting our communities on their way to landfills or incinerators, where they continue to degrade the environment.

While we do support strong polices that lead to waste diversion, we are skeptical of false

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT solutions that preclude meaningful reductions in plastic pollution. There is no precedent for recycling Styrofoam in any major city in America. In contrast, a ban on Styrofoam containers has proven successful in over 100 communities around the nation, including San Francisco and Washington, D.C.

2.2

2.3

Rather than impede the progress on the city's Zero Waste goals, the Council should focus on legislation that bans harmful, unnecessary plastics from our environment and reduces the amount of [bell] noxious materials in our waste stream. Low-income communities and communities of color in this city deserve cleaner air and safer streets. Only a restriction on this polluting plastic foam will truly bring our city closer to a fair, zero-waste system.

We strongly oppose the passage of this legislation.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you, Priya.

RON GONEN: Council Member Reynoso and distinguished Council Members, thank you for the opportunity to address you today. By way of introduction, my name is Ron Gonen, and I have over 15 years of experience in the recycling industry as an entrepreneur, a CEO of a recycling company that

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT serviced over 50 municipalities around the country, as a Deputy Commissioner here at the Department of Sanitation, and as an investor. Currently, I co-lead Closed Loop Partners; an investment firm focused on investing in municipal recycling programs and advanced recycling technologies.

2.2

2.3

Investors in our firm include many of the world's largest consumer goods companies, such as Proctor & Gamble, Unilever and Johnson & Johnson.

Municipalities where our firm has provided financing for major recycling projects include Chicago,

Minneapolis and Memphis. Perhaps most relevant to this hearing, our firm invested in the most advanced plastics recycling facility in North America, QRS, which is located in Maryland and focused on trying to recycle plastics from municipal waste streams that cannot be recycled in current programs.

In my position, I have reviewed hundreds of investment proposals from municipalities and private companies focused on municipal recycling infrastructure, advance recycling technologies, and markets for recycled material. We have yet to see a single viable proposal for either the sortation or processing of expanded polystyrene from municipal

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT recycling programs. Furthermore, as investors in major recycling facilities that service major metropolitan areas, we are keenly aware of the costs incurred by these recycling facilities if expanded

6 polystyrene enters the recycling stream.

2.2

2.3

Beyond our existing investments and pipeline, we maintain a robust database of technologies under development in the industry as well as an extensive network of sanitation commissioners, industry groups, major consumer goods companies, and university researchers. We have never seen, nor are we aware of any current technologies or business models that are able to recycle expanded polystyrene from municipal recycling programs.

I would be glad [bell] to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Good job. Can you repeat -- So I think you're one of those experts that Council Member Rosenthal was talking about that she wanted to hear from.

RON GONEN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: But it's nice to see you back here in a different capacity, and you know, folks that we do trust and have seen work on

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT all types of levels when it comes to recycling, so thank you so much for being here; it's nice to see you again.

RON GONEN: Thank you.

BRENDAN SEXTON: Hi, Chairman Reynoso and the other Council Members. My name is Brendan Sexton and I'm a former Commissioner of Sanitation here in New York, but I'm here mostly -- my credential is; I'm a friend of Ron Gonen's... [laughter]

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: And of ours.

BRENDAN SEXTON: And... thank you... and
Eric's, oh well. I was privileged to be commissioner
when we instituted curbside recycling in New York and
so I'm very much an advocate of recycling, as you can
imagine. I'm here to represent the Manhattan Solid
Waste Advisory Board, who are also, as a group and
individually, very energetic, I'll say, advocates of
recycling, and nonetheless, we're here today to
testify -- I'm here today to represent the SWAB in
testifying against the notion of the recyclability of
this material, polystyrene foam, expanded styrene
foam, at least that which comes from the residential
waste stream, and that's what is meant by "dirty

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 1/11 foam," foam that has been near or touched -- food waste.

2.2

2.3

I think most of you know that when we talk about recycling, we usually know what we're talking about; I contend that in this case the term is a fake; that this is a slogan advance by the industry but they do not actually have anything behind there; there is no established recycling infrastructure or ecosystem in America for expanded polystyrene foam from the residential waste stream, and that's important to note. People do -- styrene is recyclable, the plastic material itself can be recycled, but the stuff that comes out of the municipal waste stream is not like industrial waste and it's not like waste that's never been used near food, and it's not like waste that's never been in one of our gorgeous white sanitation trucks.

I undertook a study of the claims of recyclability last year, 2016, and I called or contacted -- and I have an associate working with me -- more than 100 firms, municipalities or others who were on Dart's list [bell] of recyclers -- it was painful for me to sit here and listen to BRG testify, 'cause most of those came from their list to the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 1/2 court case -- I have not managed to find one single township, municipality or county in America which has managed to establish and maintain an ongoing polystyrene foam recycling program. I say "and maintain" 'cause a few did get one started with a hefty subsidy -- I wouldn't say bribe, but a contribution -- from Dart, they managed to get one up, but they could not maintain it because there is no market.

2.2

2.3

Los Angeles is their favorite example and
Los Angeles, as you know, is dozens of municipalities
all in one, so I contacted them and I spoke to my
colleagues out there, and there are some -- Riverside
is one -- there are some of the 88 towns that make up
Los Angeles that advertise they collect it; they're
willing to accept it, but they can't do anything with
it. Grouse Ermano [sp?], who's a colleague in the
L.A. Sanitation Bureau, said to me: "you can't
recycle that stuff; it's garbage." So I said, "Well
could you give me a little more technical language
for the Council to hear?" And he said, and I quote,
"It costs twice as much as other plastics to clean
and prepare for recycle just to get it to the point
of a bail," he said, and then "there is still no

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
market for the material. Their materials recovery
contractor, Burrtec, who is essentially their Sims,
used to be willing to accept this stuff; they will
still accept it if it's brought to them, but their
website advertises that none of the municipalities or
counties they serve in the upper or lower valley will
accept expanded polystyrene now. When I called them
to check on it, they just said well they'll take it
in order not to offend their customers, but it is not
being recycled; the foam that comes into Los Angeles'
[bell] materials recovery contractor does not get
recycled. And that's all I'll say, [inaudible] I
have so much more to say; I wouldn't know where to
stop... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I... I know you do

[inaudible]... I hear you. And like I said, people

are passionate on both sides of this issue and I also

wanna thank you for being here as well, given your

expertise and your background of what you've done for

the City, so this panel is really -- Eric is a tree

hugger, we know that, [laughter] but everyone else,

let me tell you, their resumes... All love. All love.

Go ahead.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

BERYL THURMAN: Good afternoon and my name is Beryl Thurman; I'm with The North Shore Waterfront Conservancy of Staten Island (NSWC) and we are in opposition to the recycling bill, Intro 1480.

I live and work in the environmental justice communities on the North Shore. Staten Island is also home to what was once the largest landfill in the world until its closing in 2001. Fresh Kills is located on the West Shore of Staten Island and it is 2,200 acres of non-recycled garbage generated by all five boroughs of the City of New York.

One of NSWC's goal is to gain safe
waterfront access for Staten Island's North Shore
environmental justice communities. But to do that we
had to bring attention to the industrial waterfront,
so we organized waterfront cleanups at the City-owned
abandoned properties; that is where we saw pebbled
and sand beaches covered with various types of
plastics, all in various colors, sizes and sometimes
these pieces hadn't completely broken apart, so you
could tell what they used to be.

So there we were with about 75 or so volunteers cutting back overgrowth, pulling out

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT illegally dumped tires, household appliances, discarded toilet bowls, and at the beach area there would be a group of people; some had assigned themselves the task of picking up cigarette butts because they are nonbiodegradable and others were picking up plastics, including polystyrene cups and food containers. People loved these cleanups because it made them feel that they were making a difference, that they were doing their part in helping our island and the environment.

2.2

2.3

But I looked at these cleanups as cupcake projects, because within a day or two that beach would look as if we never touched it. The tides and wakes from the boats would bring in a whole new batch of plastics, and of course, polystyrene. It occurred to me that in order for these cleanups not be acts of futility, we would have to be more responsible in what types of plastics we use and how we dispose of them, as well as using more materials that are naturally biodegradable. [bell]

Since Fresh Kills' closing and it being turned into a New York City park, it is no longer the largest landfill in the world. My understanding is, now our oceans are the largest landfills in the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT world. Apparently we have learned nothing, thereby making us the smartest, dumbest species ever. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you. Thank you so much for your testimony; I actually wanna open it up to Council Members if they have any questions.

Council Member Cabrera.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you for your testimony. You mentioned there were toilet bowls; tires, so you're not proposing we should get rid of toilet bowls and tires and ban them, right?

BERYL THURMAN: No; what I'm basically saying to you is that we can't fight against human nature.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Yeah, but we could control behavior, and I think the problem that we have in New York -- look, I live in L.A.; I lived in Virginia, and the problem that we have here is a culture of people throwing things, and you all know that, and I know that environmentalists, which I applaud you, you do marvelous, marvelous work, you really do, and I know you're with me on this, that in New York City people just throw things, I mean they just -- it bothers me -- it's one of my biggest pet

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
peeves; how we don't take care of our own
environment, and so I think part of the problem here
-- the biggest problem is the behavior, so whether
it's Styrofoam, whether it's toilet bowls, whether
it's tires, people are throwing them, and we should
embark in education, I would think, a bigger
education plan here in the City in how we should take
care of our own neighborhood and stop throwing things
down. Wouldn't you agree?

BERYL THURMAN: Absolutely. However, in the process of trying to do those waterfront cleanups and you're looking at all of the various plastics that are along the waterfront, that they make it to the shore is one thing, because hopefully we can get some of it, but we don't get all of it; the rest of it is going into the water and our marine life, our fish are eating those things, and then they are basically starving themselves -- they have full bellies, but they're full bellies full of plastic, and then we eat those fish, so that's making its way into our system. So we have to change not only how we deal with the environment; we do have to change our ways, but we also need to eliminate certain

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT things from our environment, which would be the polystyrene. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

wanted to... I'm getting like a confusing message in here, or maybe I'm not hearing right. I thought I heard you say that it's not recyclable and then I hear that it is recyclable. I do wanna let you know that our staff from the City Council, legislative staff, went to see the facilities and that they're fully operational and they could do what they say that they're doing. I just wanted to give you that [inaudible]... [crosstalk]

I started out by saying no one, and then I said styrene can be recycled, and in fact, clean industrial scrap polystyrene can be recycled. The stuff that came with the television can probably be recycled if you can get it together in an economically... it's clean; it's gorgeous, it is 100% polystyrene that's not... you will not find hardly any of that in a sanitation truck. The specs for polystyrene recyclers, when you find one who says he will accept it, always say non foodservice. The one town in L.A. of the 88 that accepts polystyrene foam

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
2
     -- which by the way, they send to Burrtec, which then
3
     landfills it -- but they say it has to be cleaned,
4
    washed and rinsed. This is not a program for New
5
     York, even for the things we recycle today, we do not
    ask people to clean, wash and rinse; we hope that
6
7
    they rinsed out the cat food from the cat food can,
8
    but that's about it. It's not, as a practic...
    theoretically, scientifically, chemically;
    physically, plastics can be recycled, they're
10
11
    hydrocarbons; as a practical matter, this particular
     form -- foam, first of all -- and second of all,
12
13
     foodservice foam, which is the ultimate contaminant,
     from a recyclist's point of view, 'cause that's where
14
15
     they get vermin and everything else and neighbors'
16
     complaints and all the rest of it, this stuff, no one
17
     has figure out a way to do it practically,
18
     economically out in the real world.
19
                COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: You know what I
20
    would love to see; I would love to see some of your
21
     leadership to come and see some of the facilities.
2.2
    Have any of you had an opportunity to visit ...
2.3
     [crosstalk]
                BRENDAN SEXTON: Yes, I have seen plastic
24
```

25

recycling.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: No, no, from the businesses that were represented here. Because what I hear is what's happening in L.A., the problem, and the business community, they were very, very clear, you know, people from Dart and the other people who were here, they were quick to acknowledge, there are problems with some other companies. But have you had an opportunity -- and no fault of your own if you haven't; if you haven't, I think it will be something good for all of us to go; I'd be willing to even join in.

ERIC GOLDSTEIN: The point is; even if it were a terrific facility; even if it had a long track record of service to major cities, which it doesn't, it's 700 miles away, it makes no sense to send almost anything 700 miles away for recycling. This material is made of fossil fuels, right; we wanna get away from fossil fuels, the climate is changing, we don't need this material; there are substitutes that are compostable, they are healthy, they can be used and reused. Other than the financial interest of the companies that have been here, where is the interest for New York City and its residents and its Council in taking material that is dangerous to manufacture

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

-- and we haven't gotten into the health effects of

manufacturing styrene for workers -- and then using

it a single time for a couple of moments and then

having it sit around in the environment for

centuries, either in landfills or as litter, and when

there are readily available substitutes, it just

doesn't make public policy sense.

2.2

2.3

- COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: So to -- you make the mention of why transport 700 miles away, but we transport garbage all over the United States, from New York City I believe as far as Virginia, Pennsylvania, so we... [crosstalk] ERIC GOLDSTEIN: Right, so even...
- COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: so we go... we go far and... and it... [crosstalk]

Pennsylvania are not 700 miles away, and we're trying to get away from that. No one thinks that that's the goal of the City's program -- the Commissioner and the Mayor and the City, under the last two Mayors, have had a very ambitious program to reduce the amount of waste; no one says that shipping waste to a landfill 100 or a couple of 100 miles away makes sense; let's not use that as the standard.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Uhm-hm. Well...

3 [crosstalk]

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RON GONEN: Council Member, may I just add one thing to that? So as I mentioned in my testimony, we have a team of people that scours the world for investments in recycling technologies; we have investments as far away as the Middle East. if you can recycle a plastic and you can do it profitably, we're interested in investing in you. I mentioned, as we've scoured the world for recycling technology and solutions, we have not found a single company or single technology that can recycle expanded polystyrene. Now in the hearing today, I heard a company that says that they think they can do it, right; you heard in the testimony that they said that this is something that they plan to do; they're not doing it. We're investors in a number of recycling facilities around North America that would love to find a home for expanded polystyrene that slips into the recycling stream. I'll get their contact information after my testimony... [interpose] COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA:

RON GONEN: I will keep an email record of communicating with them to see if they will buy

Okay.

- COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
 the expanded polystyrene from these facilities that
 we're investors in, and I'll share that
 correspondence with you and I'll let you know...
 [crosstalk]
- 6 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Okay.

2.2

2.3

RON GONEN: if they're willing to offer a contract and buy that material.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Okay. I welcome that and also, the invitation is still open for you to go for a tour; it will be the ultimate decision for them to say yes; it's their company, but I'd be more than willing to do that; we offer that to some of the Council Members to do as well, and with that, I know we have a lot of people. Thank you,

Mr. Chair, thank you for... [crosstalk]

BRENDAN SEXTON: Can I just add one thing? Is this on? In lieu of visiting them all, everybody who handles plastics, you may be interested in knowing that the Alliance of Foam Packing Recyclers has a list of specs on the website -- which you can look up -- which says, "We do not..." AFPR, the whole alliance, does not accept meat trays, cups, egg cartons, or other disposable foodservice items for recycling. And just to help the people understand,

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT on that same website they give pictures of what is not accepted for recycling -- that looks like what's in your garbage probably this morning or yesterday. It's a myth.

2.2

2.3

that we heard testimony today that they could actually do it, because they actually are doing it, and so this is what I'm welcoming you to come, and what I'm surprised, to be honest with you, is the level of resistance that I get sometimes from friends, and the environmentalists, and I call them friends, 'cause that's what they are, of not wanting to come, and it's almost like, I don't want to see it, and it's like... [crosstalk]

I just... 'cause we've gotta move on. So if we're not...

I was invited to go and I didn't choose to go. I,

for example, do not care for going to a Ford plant

that's gonna show me a car that's guzzling gas and

how great they do or how efficient; I wanna see cars

go to electricity or, to be honest, maybe do away

with cars in general, but that's my point, is why go

to a factor to see something that can be done, you

can build a car that runs on gas and you can do it

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 2 very well and efficiently, great; that's not 3 something that I'm looking to support, so I guess my... 4 the reason I don't go to that plant is because I 5 wanna move to a different era, a different space where we do solar or we do electric work or we do 6 7 things to promote the environment long-term. So me, it's not that it can't be done; I don't think it 8 should be done; that's the difference. Sorry. And I think Brad and then we've gotta move. 10 11 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So thanks to all 12 of you. So Ron, it's great to see you back here. So 13 you guys are... you represent investors in a business 14 model; right, that invests... [crosstalk] 15 RON GONEN: Correct. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: in businesses 17 related to and doing business with the municipal 18 recycling facilities? 19 RON GONEN: Correct. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So I mean if it 21 were actually economically feasible and practical to 2.2 recycle EPS, that would make a lot of sense for those 2.3 MRFs, for the investors, for the related business;

25 RON GONEN: Correct.

24

yes?

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
156
2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So I mean there's

not -- like you guys look to every possible product that can be feasibly and economically recycled...

RON GONEN: Correct.

2.2

2.3

 $\label{eq:council_member_lander:} \mbox{ you want products}$ that can be recycled?

RON GONEN: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. So I do

feel like... I mean you would have no reason to resist...

like it's not like you have nothing immoral about

some products versus others; we'd all to reduce

waste, but we'll take the waste that we have that can

be recycled and do it.

RON GONEN: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Alright. And it's your view, as an investor and a business person that this cannot be economically feasibly done?

RON GONEN: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. And then I just want to ask Brendan one question also, 'cause you said that in your consultation with some folks who seem to have knowledge that the processing cost to kinda wash and prepare foam was as much as twice as much as other plastics and...

BRENDAN SEXTON: Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

mean I asked, to try to get some information on the processing costs and the folks on the prior panel said because it's proprietary they couldn't give that to us, so we don't have that information, but I just wanna make sure I heard it right, that folks you talked to... [crosstalk]

BRENDAN SEXTON: Yes. One of the things that makes polystyrene foam so attractive is that it's very lightweight; that's one of the reasons it's so cheap for the vendor, for the purchaser, because it's mostly air. That's exactly what the problem is for the recycler; it takes boxcar loads of this stuff to add up to a ton. And furthermore, in collecting that boxcar load, you're getting everybody's coffee and sandwich bits and catsup leavings and it's just a monster, and what the recyclers say, for instance in L.A., is that the OSHA problems of the foam are --'cause it breaks up and goes in the air -- are a problem for us, but what's really a problem is that this stuff is filthy and you are always getting contaminants in any load of recyclables, but this stuff is so lightweight that to accumulate a ton of

- 1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
- 2 | it, a sellable bail of it, you have to put up with so
- 3 | much trash and it's mostly food waste, which is
- 4 again, the worst, I mean vermin, etc., that it just...
- 5 | it bogs down the whole facility; you've engaged in a
- 6 whole different enterprise once you allowed
- 7 | foodservice polystyrene into your facility. So it's
- 8 | not even a question of dollars or cents; it's a
- 9 different kind of operation than the one they
- 10 contracted to run and they have by and large -- and
- 11 | L.A. in particular, where very many townships
- 12 announced they were gonna do polystyrene foam, almost
- 13 | none still do; out of the 88, I think 3 still do.
- 14 It's just not worth it.
- 15 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you to
- 16 this...
- [background comments]
- 18 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you to the
- 19 panel. And just... Ford actually does some good
- 20 | things; I didn't mean... [laughter] I meant like a car
- 21 | plant; I didn't mean Ford specifically; they do great
- 22 work, [background comments] electric vehicles and
- 23 | all. And they provide tours too. So shout-out to
- 24 Ford. [laugh, background comments]

2 Next panel -- Patty Moore from

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

There you go.

Sustainable Materials Management; Sergio Firpo from Azure Technologies; Natha Dempsey from Foodservice Packaging Institute; Richard Mayer [sic] from MCS Industries; and Moisha Grossman from Dart Container Sales. Yeah, please; [inaudible]. [background comments] Okay, so we're gonna go back to a twominute clock and I'm gonna be just a little more stringent; when that beep goes on, I'm gonna say please end your statement or finish your statement, and I hope you guys don't take it disrespectfully, I'm just trying to move it along, so. [background comments] It's two minutes worth of exhibits. [background comments] So go ahead; you guys can start. [background comments] And make sure the mic is on when you start. [background comments] Okay, whoever's going to start. [background comments]

PATTY MOORE: Is this on? Yes. Hi. My name's Patty Moore; I'm the President of Sustainable Materials Management of California and the founder of Moore Recycling. I've been in the recycling industry for almost 35 years. I began one of the very first plastic recycling programs in this country, municipal

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

160

programs in this country. I have my Master's degree

in science and I did my Master of Science degree that

included a Foam Polystyrene Recycling Plan for Long

Island, which, as you might guess, was a disaster,

and let me explain why.

When I got started in the early 1980s, the only plastic recycling facility, the only wash facility, was for PET; there were very few outlets. PET, the soda/water bottles -- well there weren't any water bottles then; it was just soda. But now what we see is, we have plastic recycling facilities that wash for non-bottles, for film, for wraps, and for foam. And so what I wanna talk about is; why has there been this dramatic expansion? One of my mentors and colleagues, Dr. Dave Cornell, has always told me that you need four things for successful plastic recycling to happen, really for any recycling. You have to have a large recycle-ready supply, you have to have the technology to convert it, you have a profitable end market, and you have to have money to fund the whole system. And I've seen these fundamentals play out over and over again and demonstrated.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 So what makes a successful reclaimer?

3 Really the long-term successful reclaimers are those

4 that are vertically integrated; that means they go

5 | from a dirty product to an end product; they may make

6 other products as well, but they also continually

7 upgrade their facilities with equipment and

8 | technology; they [bell] educate their employees and

9 suppliers. So why did the foam polystyrene recycling

10 fail when I was involved in it in the 90s? They were

11 | taking very low supply; they were doing drop-off

12 programs of the lowest value material, the

13 | foodservice stuff that you've been hearing about, how

14 | horrible it is. In contrast today and why I'm in

15 support of 1480, is that we have curbside polystyrene

16 for not just the form, but all polystyrene. So we

17 | heard that, New York City, 8 million people, two

18 | MRFs, we're gonna have that sufficient supply. The

19 | autosort systems that didn't exist back in the 1980s...

20 [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Please wrap up your

22 statement.

PATTY MOORE: I'm almost done...

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you.

21

2.3

24

2 PATTY MOORE: is gonna give you a large

3 recycle-ready supply. PRI knows polystyrene, they

4 are... [bell] they have invested in the proper

1

24

5 equipment and so therefore we have the technology;

6 that's the third thing, and you have the Dart

7 investment, which is gonna give you the funding, so

8 why is it different today than it was then and why do

9 you hear these people who've been in the industry a

10 | long time saying it can't work, it hasn't work, it

11 | doesn't work? Because they didn't have those four

12 | fundamentals, but now those four fundamentals are in

13 place, so please support recycling... [crosstalk]

14 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you for your

15 | testimony. Thank you so much for your testimony.

16 | [background comment] And remember, wave your hands

if you're in support of something.

18 RICHARD MASTER: Good afternoon, I'm

19 Richard Master, CEO of MCS Industries; we're

20 | headquartered not too far from here in Easton,

21 | Pennsylvania. I'm here to express our strong support

22 for Intro 1480, legislation that would add form to

23 the citywide curbside recycling program.

MCS is the largest supplier of picture

25 | frames and decorative mirrors in the United States.

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
Historically, picture frames have been made from wood
and metal. The industry in the last two decades
moved increasingly toward plastic resin as a primary
source material.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MCS is vertically integrated, we're a manufacturer; we use this material. We produce most of our products from recycled plastic resins.

Recycled EPS is the primary material source. I have samples here.

The different between us and Shaw Industries, and the only difference, is that they have cleaning facilities in place to take this dirty material and clean it. We don't have those facilities in place in our facility, but we take packaging material, we take scrap materials, we have [inaudible] suppliers around the country, this material is being shipped into our plant, and we grind it up and we repelletize it and we put it into our machines and we make architectural moulding for Home Depot, picture frames and mirrors for Walmart, Target, and Home Depot -- thank you -- and you know we can make it look like wood products and we don't have to cut down all the trees in North America to do it. [bell] Okay.

Polystyrene is 100% recyclable and is

already recycled commercially in big cities across

the nation, small cities across the nation and

6 material from Tokyo, the Tokyo Fish Market -- fish

communities around the globe. I used to import

7 trays on foam polystyrene trays, and you do it here

8 in your Fulton Fish Market the same way, and I went

9 to Japan, I saw the community-wide recycling

10 programs; there is discipline in Tokyo to assemble

11 and recycle... [crosstalk]

1

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Please finish your statement, please.

RICHARD MASTER: all these materials,

even post-consumer at the household level. In fact,

we use over 9 million pounds of recycled resin a

year; we require 7 million pounds of recycled EPS.

Anyone who suggests that polystyrene foam cannot be

recycled is just ignoring reality. I wouldn't have a

company; I wouldn't be sitting here with 900 people

in North America who we employ and they wouldn't have

jobs if we couldn't do it. As I mentioned, we're a

company... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you; I'm gonna have to ask you to you uh to [crosstalk]

- COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
- 2 RICHARD MASTER: Yeah, go ahead. Am I...
- 3 Am I... I'm way over. Okay... [crosstalk]
- 4 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yeah... Oh you... yeah,
- 5 it's... quite some time now.

- 6 RICHARD MASTER: Okay. Alright.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: But thank you so
- 8 much for your testimony; really appreciate it. Thank
 9 you.
- 10 RICHARD MASTER: Very good. Thank you.
- 11 SERGIO FIRPO: Is this on? [background
- 12 | comments] Alright, sorry. Actually, this is my
- 13 | first testimony; I might not sound as polished as the
- 14 people before me, but.
- 15 My name is Sergio Firpo; I am the
- 16 | President of Azure Technologies; we are a design and
- 17 | engineering company that builds plastic recycling
- 18 \parallel plants. We have been doing this for nearly 30 years
- 19 | now. And I am here to support Intro 1480 and more
- 20 | than anything, trying to do in two minutes a quick
- 21 | overview of the technologies that we have in place
- 22 | and give you a perspective of what is this technology
- 23 | like today vs. what it might have been just even 5 or
- 24 | 10 years ago.

2.2

2.3

So interest of the time, I will just quickly point out some of the things that we have put in the system. My company designed the system that is in Indianapolis and in designing the system we knew the challenges because we have been working in recycling for such a long time and we had worked in three other projects with polystyrene, so we are not new at recycling polystyrene; we have tried different things and I'm here to tell you that this system is a success because of the technological advancement that we can take advantage of recently.

So very quickly, very quickly I wanna show you -- you have my testimony, around 10 pages, so it's gonna be very difficult to go through all of that. But you know in one of the pages you can see there is a complete overview of the washing system that we have here to wash dirty polystyrene foam.

And I'm just going to point just a few things. For example, [bell] we have a new plastic granulator that addresses the low-bulk density of the polystyrene and it's a new design by a German company that started about 5 years ago with these that has screws that actually push the low-bulk density into the cutting chambers. From before, the low material might get

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 2 hung up; now it's possible to be pushed and there are 3 sensors in there that know how much to push and when 4 to back up. Very quickly, the optical sorters. Optical sorters now [bell] have technologies that go 6 7 from infrared, vision [inaudible]; metal detectors. We have enhanced washing technologies that now, 8 thanks to high-powered friction washing, we can take out most of the contaminants. 10 11 Float/Sink Tank. On page, I think 8, there is an example of the actual agglomerator that 12 13 we have that deals with another problem of the bulk 14 density of the material and makes it into something... 15 [interpose] CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: 16 I'm sorry; I'm 17 gonna have to ask you to wrap up... [crosstalk] 18 SERGIO FIRPO: If I can just say one more 19 thing... [crosstalk] 20 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Absolutely. 21 SERGIO FIRPO: I heard in the testimony 2.2 before, somebody says that dirty plastic from just 2.3 dirty plates cannot be recycled, and I wanna say that my company has built a PET recycle company in Toluca, 24

Mexico, for Coca-Cola; the feedstock in there is

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 160 plastic that comes from the landfill that are pepenadores and families that live picking out plastic out of the landfill, and that is the dirtiest plastic. We provided a technology for that plant to recycle those PET bottles back into bottles and it has been in operation since 2004. So the notion that dirty plastic recycling cannot be recycled and you can only recycle clean post-consumer is really not true. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you.

NATHA DEMPSEY: Good afternoon Chairman
Reynoso and Council Members. My name is Natha
Dempsey and I am the Vice President of the
Foodservice Packaging Institute (FPI). My
association represents the foodservice packaging
industry, including many manufacturers of foam. I am
here today to ask for your support of Intro 1480.

We strongly encourage all efforts to recycle foam based on our research and experience with foam recycling over the past few years.

Through our work, we know there are many myths about foam recycling. The three arguments we hear most are: "there are no end markets" -- we've heard them today -- "it's too dirty to recycle," and

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

160

"no one is recycling foam " Here's what we know to

2.2

2.3

"no one is recycling foam." Here's what we know to be true.

On the claim that there are no end markets, we know that the end markets may not be as robust for foam as they are for PET and polypropylene, but they do exist and there is tremendous opportunity there. In 2014, we commissioned a study to understand what kind of demand there would be for polystyrene, both rigid and foam.

We identified over 100 processors and end users looking for recycled polystyrene in the U.S. and Canada. Research also indicated that demand for polystyrene would be increased by roughly 6 and 8% annually for the next few years.

As the second argument that foam is too dirty to recycle, this is simply untrue. As part of FPI's broader effort to recycle all paper and plastic foodservice containers and cups, we commissioned two studies in 2013 and 2014. The studies looked at food residue on to-go containers in comparison with other food containers already in the recycling stream -- so collected at residential curbside programs, like margarine tubs, peanut butter containers, spaghetti

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT jars -- we did this in Boston and Delaware. In both cases, the food residue found on foodservice packaging was comparable to commonly recycled food packaging items.

On the third myth that no one is recycling foam, we know that there are over 200 cities that include foam foodservice packaging in their curbside recycling program, [bell] and they include a number of cities mentioned earlier, but San Antonio, Denver, Sacramento, Tampa, and Salt Lake City are some other examples. There are actually more cities that currently accept foam than have banned it.

There are too few cities recycling foam, but we're doing something about it. FPI has a Foam Recycling Coalition, founded in 2014, made up of foam foodservice packaging manufacturers and their suppliers that offer grants to help start or expand foam recycling programs. These efforts aren't different from many others in the industry; the aluminum industry did something similar in the 1970s, the plastic bottlers did something similar in the 1990s, and most recently, the carton manufacturers

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
171
have done something similar to make investments to
get their products recycled.

2.2

2.3

The industry has offered to make investments to get foam recycled in New York City, all we need now is the approval to add this material to the recycling program. So for this reason, we respectfully ask that you support Intro 1480.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you for your testimony; appreciate it. Thank you to this panel for coming in to testify. No questions. Thank you. Thank you so much. [background comments]

Patrick Diamond from Surfrider NYC -- I
hope I said that right -- [background comment]
alright; Jeremy Cherson from Riverkeeper; Colin
Beavan, yeah, No Impact Project; Melissa Milby [sic]
[laughter] -- no -- I'm trying to stay away from a
lot of these last names. [background comments] Kelly
Lester. Alright. Alright, everyone's here [sic].
[background comments] [pause] Go ahead. Any... there
you go.

PATRICK DIAMOND: Great; can you hear me?

Hi. I'm Patrick Diamond; I'm with Surfrider New York

City. We have 450 members here in the five boroughs,

but we have a network of volunteers; supporters state

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT and nationwide that reaches into the thousands. I guess I'll rely on my written testimony to sort of cover really the environmental issue of EPS. You know Surfrider NYC, we do a lot of beach cleanups in the Rockaways, we do water quality testing year-round in the Rockaways and Coney Island; we have a youth program in the Rockaways. I would encourage any of the City Council Members to come join us for a beach cleanup in the Rockaways on a busy summer day and you'll see the extent of the problem that this material causes.

2.2

2.3

But what I haven't heard a lot about today -- I've heard companies from Indiana, Michigan, Mexico; Pennsylvania, but I guess I wanna talk about the New York City taxpayer and citizen. We have a \$1.64 billion trash problem in this city and I wanna bring some focus to the three Rs of sustainability, which is reduce, reuse and then recycle -- recycle is the last of the Rs. When you look at New York City's diversion rate, it's 16-17% of material that can be recycled actually gets recycled. If you look at the court papers from the New York State Supreme Court decision that stopped the 2010 ban, you know they used the data point of the Dart Corporation state-of-

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT the-art technology that can remove 75% to 95% of this material. If you do the math with New York City's diversion rate, that's still on 13-14% of material actually being recycled. So the chairperson, you had mentioned to the Dart Corporation they're putting the horse before the cart -- talking about recycling this stuff [bell] is really putting the horse before the cart; we should really be focusing on educating New York City people on how to properly recycle -- they already struggle with the complicated recycling scheme; bringing a new product into it is only gonna make things more difficult, so I would really encourage us to allocate resources to educating people on the existing recycling scheme and also educating them on how to reduce waste in the first Thanks. place.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you. And if you were here for the Executive Budget hearing that we had in the beginning of the day, we actually talked about increasing funding to marketing so that we could teach folks how to do what they're supposed to do already. Thank you for your testimony.

PATRICK DIAMOND: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COLIN BEAVAN: Hello, my name is Colin 3 Beavan; I'm the founder of the No Impact Project and also the author of several books on environmental 4 issues, but most importantly, a citizen of New York City and I am here as a concerned citizen.

First of all, thank you all for your I want to say how proud I am to live in a sanctuary city, as city in which I can feel as though my most disempowered fellow citizens are protected in the fact of the fact that the federal government is failing to do so. And I want to say that with the qutting of the United States Environmental Protection Agency and also the potential withdrawal from the International Climate Accord, just as it falls to the cities to protect us from immigration issues, it also falls to the cities to protect us from environmental and climate catastrophes; it's the most disempowered who face victimization from environmental catastrophes. In my home borough of Brooklyn, the people who I watched suffer the most were the elderly people in the NYCHA housing who were stuck during Hurricane Sandy because the electricity was out; whilst all the people in private homes had electricity, the NYCHA housing did not and people

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
were having to walk up many, many flights of stairs
to bring elderly people their prescriptions; so it is
with other environmental degradations; that it's the
least protected that will suffer.

2.2

2.3

Polystyrene cannot be effectively recycled; I don't understand why we're talking about whether it can be recycled; whether there is a market for it, when we know that other materials can be recycled and there is a market for the other ones; why do we need to experiment? We also know that polystyrene is poison.

I want to say that a common corporate ploy is to pit environment against jobs; that is to say, if we go a certain way there will be economic circumstances. Almost every environmental measure actually [bell] has positive impacts on the economy, just in the same sense that if we move to renewable energy we'll create more jobs than the regressive measure to try to prop up coal. This is a regressive bill; it's going backwards at a time when the people need your protection. I urge you to reject this bill.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you for your testimony.

2 MELISSA IACHAN: Alright. Good

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

containers.

afternoon, my name is Melissa Iachan and I am a Senior Staff Attorney in the Environmental Justice Program at New York Lawyers for the Public Interest. I would like to thank Chair Reynoso and the other Council Members here for giving me the opportunity to speak. New York Lawyers for the Public Interest has been working for many years along with community partners to address the disparate burdens of waste on a few communities here in the City. We are deeply concerned that Intro 1480 will have negative consequences in those communities, for our City's laudable Zero Waste goals, and on our natural resources and environment. NYLPI strongly opposes Intro 1480, and instead supports legislation that

As you've already heard from many of my esteemed colleagues and partners, Intro 1480 is both misinformed and misleading. Polystyrene foam should absolutely not be defined as recyclable under city law when it cannot practically be recycled. To label this material as recyclable would have serious environmental and public health repercussions, to say

would ban polystyrene foam food and beverage

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT nothing of further eroding the faith in the City's recycling system.

2.2

2.3

This bill will also likely increase the amount of polystyrene that we see in our communities and in our waste stream. Currently, polystyrene now represents less than 2% of our waste stream. By deeming it recyclable both food service providers and consumer may think it's not only benign to use, but somehow beneficial, and this potentially toxic material will again proliferate in our city.

As you have heard, this is dangerous to the environment, public health and to communities overburdened by waste. In addition, consuming hot beverages or food from polystyrene has been shown to be risky to humans for the possibility of ingesting the styrene — a known carcinogen. And of course, like so many other environmental threats, the communities who would bear the brunt of this will likely be our city's low-income communities. The types of quick service food vendors and food carts who used to rely on this cheap material, and will likely be the first to once again begin serving food and beverages out of it, are often located in our lower income communities of color, where families

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT [bell] and children will once again be exposed to the risks associated with serving hot food and drink in polystyrene.

Finally, increasing this portion of our waste stream also means sending even more garbage to the three most overburdened communities who already take more than 75% of New York City's waste. This means more trucks in, and more trucks out, releasing more fumes into the air in neighborhoods where children suffer from asthma almost two times more than their Manhattan counterparts.

So as you see, the problems with designating polystyrene as recyclable are not few and, are not small. You are hearing from so many of us because we stand together in saying that this, plainly speaking, is a terrible idea. A much more pragmatic solution would be to ban polystyrene entirely; in fact, that legislative effort has begun, and we believe that we can unite in moving that forward and move our City towards a cleaner, healthier, more equitable and sustainable tomorrow. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you, Melissa.

2.2

2.3

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JEREMY CHERSON: Thank you, chair Reynoso

and the rest of the Committee. I'd like to say, if

Eric is a tree hugger; I'm a fish hugger. [laughter]

I would like to take the opportunity to testify

against this ill-advised legislation on behalf of

Riverkeeper's thousands of members and supporters.

My name is Jeremy Cherson; I have a Master's in Environmental Policy from Bard College.

Through advocacy, prevention, community education, and stewardship, Riverkeeper is working towards realizing the vision of a trash-free Hudson River. Our annual day of service, the Riverkeeper Sweep, engages thousands of New Yorkers from Brooklyn to the Adirondacks at over 100 sites to clean up our shorelines and waterways. Each year, polystyrene constitutes a major source of the marine debris our Riverkeeper Sweep volunteers clean up. At our May 6, 2017 Sweep, polystyrene pollution was the most common item found at 22% of our 101 sites. At our 2016 shoreline cleanup, polystyrene was the most common debris found at 26% of our 109 sites. Our direct experience with polystyrene on our shorelines necessitates our advocacy to eliminate polystyrene pollution in the Hudson River Estuary.

The industry-backed bill, Intro 1480,

2

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 seeking to require New Yorkers to recycle a product

4 where recycling is not feasible is misguided and

5 | would steer New York City in the wrong direction of

6 its Zero Waste goals. You heard from Commissioner

7 Garcia, so I won't go through that. But over 100

8 other local governments in the United States agree

9 | with Commissioner Garcia, and have passed ordinances

10 restricting or prohibiting the use of polystyrene,

11 | including Ulster County here in New York State.

Riverkeeper encourages the City Council to follow in the footsteps of local governments across the country and take action to eliminate polystyrene from the waste stream. Cost-effective, affordable and environmentally responsible alternatives exist. Taking action to phase out polystyrene will protect wildlife, reduce [bell]

marine pollution and improve the quality of life for

20 New Yorkers.

I appreciate the opportunity to testify and I hope the Council and Committee will forcefully reject Intro 1480.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you for your testimony.

2 KELLY LESTER: Good afternoon and thank

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

willing to buy it.

3 you. My name is Kelly Lester; I'm a student at NYU

4 | School of Law and I'm a resident of New York City.

5 This semester I participated in NYU's Environmental

6 Law Clinic where I researched EPS bans across the

7 | country and I researched the validity and viability

8 of curbside recycling programs in some of the largest

9 cities in the nation. I'm here today to share with

10 you what I found which has led me to oppose this

11 recycling bill. My research showed that not one of

12 | the 30 largest cities in the country has an effective

13 curbside recycling program for EPS food containers.

I examined the curbside recycling

policies of each of these cities and only San

Antonio, Texas even purports to accept EPS food

containers in their curbside program. However, when

I contacted Recommunity Recycling, which processes

San Antonio's recycling, I was informed that while

they accept the food containers, they're not able to

actually recycle the collected EPS because nobody is

I also checked out Denver, Colorado's recycling policy and contrary to a previous speaker's assertion, Denver, Colorado does not accept

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 182 polystyrene foam for recycling, and if you're interested, you can check out their government website.

2.2

2.3

In other words, the only large city that even attempts to recycle EPS food containers is not able to do so since there is no market for dirty foam they collected. Also, some cities that have banned polystyrene have demonstrated positive economic results as a result of switching over to recyclable and compostable containers, because they're able to actually sell the compost that results.

I also contacted several of the facilities listed on Dart Container's website as actually accepting EPS food containers for recycling and a lot of these facilities no longer accept them; they said that they previously attempted to accept these materials, but there's an insufficient market and so they're not able to.

I contacted Plastics Recycling Inc., the company that Dart Container has cited repeatedly as being able to accept New York City's EPS waste for recycle, and I was informed by a representative that they do not yet accept [bell] EPS food containers for recycling and that they're working on developing a

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT process to recycle them, but they do not as of yet 2 3 have any proven system up and running. 4 And so my research made it abundantly 5 clear that recycling of polystyrene food foam and beverage containers has not been proven to be 6 7 feasible in any large city and is thus unlikely to be feasible in New York City and a ban is preferred 8 alternative to many of these large cities because it has been proven to actually eliminate polystyrene 10 foam food waste. Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you for your 13 testimony. Thank you to this panel, and I think 14 we're moving on to the next panel, so thank you guys 15 very much. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Just wanna say 17 it's nice to see some of the band back together ... 18 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I know. 19 [laughter] 20 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: These are a lot 21 of the folks that did the plastic bags, so... and are

23 [laughter] 24 [pause]

gonna do it again too.

2.2

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 2 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Robin Cantor... 3 [background comments] yeah, so was ... so was William 4 Goodfellow. [background comment] David Brooke, I believe David Brooke, from Pact IV or Pactiv... Pactiv, 5 I'm sorry. Gary Frederick, [background comment] 6 7 David Wilson. [background comment] That's three. 8 [background comment] Mike Levy from American Chemistry Council and Plastics Food Service Packing Group, and Walter Reiter from EPS Industry Alliance. 10 11 [background comment] Alright. Oh, there are some 12 folks in the next room that are coming through. 13 [background comments] There's a Robin Cantor, Okay. yes. [background comments] [pause] [background 14 15 comments] Did you get called up? [background 16 comment] Yeah, go ahead. Yeah, drop it off there 17 and then come straight -- you've got a seat waiting 18 for you there. [background comment] No problem. Okay and we're trying to do our best to keep it under 19 20 two minutes; if you go slightly over, I'm gonna ask 21 you to just conclude your statements. Thank you very 2.2 much and whenever you're ready. 2.3 [background comments]

DAVE BROOKE: Here. Better?

Good afternoon and thank you for the opportunity to

Ah yes.

24

25

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT testify today in support, in our case, of Bill 1840, which would, as we've all discussed today, add polystyrene foam to the curbside recycling.

2.2

2.3

My name is Dave Brooke and I'm the

Director of Regulatory Affairs at Pactiv. Pactiv is

one of the world's largest, and I'm proud to say,

best foodservice packaging companies. We manufacture

all types of food packaging, not just foam

polystyrene, but all the different plastics, paper,

aluminum, and so forth.

We have over 50 manufacturing facilities located throughout the world; however, our largest one is in upstate New York. Located in Canandaigua, which is between Syracuse and Rochester, we employ almost 800 skilled full-time and part-time employees, making us the second largest employer in Ontario County.

In our part of the state, that's a lot of jobs and very critically needed jobs. We've seen over the past several decades that as the economy improves and becomes more resilient in areas like New York City, our communities in upstate New York have been faced with an out-migration of jobs, and along with that go the friends and the families, so it's a

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT tough environment in certain parts of the state, and that's where we operate.

In 2013, Pactiv came here to testify about how the proposed foam ban would potentially take jobs from New York, sending them overseas; also testified on how it could have a major impact on our employees, the communities we operate in, our suppliers, and just not bode well for Pactiv in upstate New York.

It seems that since then our voice has been heard and for that we'd like to say thank you. Thank you to the Council Members, the staff and all those who took the time to learn the real facts and that [bell] being that foam polystyrene is costeffective, it is safe, and is capable of, and in fact, recycled.

In closing, I'd like to reiterate that moving from a ban to a curbside recycling program isn't just good for businesses like ours, it's good for your consumers, your constituents and their businesses within the City.

Thank you very much for your time today. We support Bill 1840 [sic].

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you, sir.

2.2

2.3

DAVE BROOKE: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

MIKE LEVY: Good afternoon, Chairman...

this on? Yes. Chairman and members of the

Committee, my name is Mike Levy; I'm the Director for

the Plastics Foodservice Packaging Group and we

represent all makers of all different plastics

foodservice, including polystyrene foam.

While I'm based in Washington, D.C., I grew up just north of the Hudson here, so I'm also supportive of the bill and also wanna let you know that you would be adding to Madison County, New York and Yonkers, who currently does recycle polystyrene foam, so it is being done in certain parts here.

In the less than two minutes that I have here, I'd like to address some of the misperceptions that you've really heard today in a couple of areas; one is on litter and one is on health and safety. Particularly on litter, like anyone, we do not want littered products in the ocean and the rivers and we go to great lengths to try to support programs that do that. But we also have done studies and the amount of polystyrene foam foodservice in litter, according to national studies that look at about, something like 240 cities, is 1.5% -- you've heard

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT numbers of 38 and 100 or whatever it is -- it is a small part, this is polystyrene foam. The other thing too, with all due respect to Commissioner Garcia, we've looked at the Baykeeper study and the amount of polystyrene microplastics that they've gotten so far -- according to the independent work -- is microscopic, not 38%. But we can argue numbers all the way around; I will tell you that we agree that litter shouldn't be there and we've got programs to kinda reduce that.

2.2

2.3

But the other thing is; San Francisco was mentioned as one of the cities that bans polystyrene foam; what wasn't mentioned was, in 2006, when they banned it, they were the only city to really take a look to see if it made a difference a couple years later; they did a San Francisco street audit in 2008, and what they proved was exactly what we've said before, is that, when you substitute one product for another, [bell] if you don't clean up the environment, you'll actually just increase litter, and that's exactly what happened; the substituted products, item by item, for paper cups and plates and bowls actually increased in San Francisco; just wasn't polystyrene, it was something else. So again,

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
190
letter is behavior, but no one really wants to get at
it.

2.2

2.3

Thank you.

And finally, on the issue of styrene and polystyrene, a lot of people confuse the two -styrene is a liquid and polystyrene, of course, is a solid material. The people that say that styrene is a suspected carcinogen, health and safety agencies, like the NTP, basically have said when it comes to polystyrene there is no health and safety problem, and the answer is, for 50 years the Food and Drug Administration regulates not just polystyrene, but all foodservice. No one has ever gotten sick or ill from a foam cup or a clamshell or anything like that, but you'll hear people saying that styrene's toxicity; styrene is not the issue here. [bell]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay. Thank you.

MIKE LEVY: Thank you. We're in support of the bill.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Clearly. Thank you, sir.

: Gentlemen, thank you for allowing me to speak with you this afternoon, it's an honor. I wanna give you some statistics about what I do with

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT my company I work for, but first, I'd like to say a little bit about myself. My name's David Wilson; I've been an employee of RAPAC for 24 years; pretty much my career has centered around polystyrene and expandable polystyrene. Years and years ago I was given the opportunity to help develop the business and just given free reins by the ownership of finding cost-saving measures -- we wanna be on the cutting edge of technology -- and I went directly into the recycle markets and started helping develop them. The densifier process for expandable polystyrene, I've been instrumental in developing that over the years. That's one of the keys of being able to transport EPS at an affordable price, is condensing it from less than one-pound density to about 30 pounds per cubic foot density -- it's equipment; it's technology. I've also been able to see PRI's process, which I'm very interested in, because I would like to use some of the material from that process; it's cutting edge. RAPAC has been a recycler for 30 years; we have recycled over 50 million pounds of EPS and PS. In 2017 we're slated to use 32 million pounds of resin; out of that, 90% of what we will buy or recycle will be recycled

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 101 content. Out of that 90%, 14% will be post-consumer (4.5 million pounds). So to say that you can't recycle post-consumer EPS and PS is a lie; I'm doing it. I welcome you to look at our website and come to Oakland, Tennessee to see our process; I'll show it to you firsthand. We are a major player and wanna see it grow. [bell] I vote yes and ask that you vote yes to approve the measure.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you for your testimony.

WALTER REITER: Thank you, Chairman;

Council Members. My name is Waiter Reiter; I'm the

Deputy Director of the EPS Industry Alliance. I was

very pleased to get two mentions today, first from

Commissioner Garcia, and I had an opportunity to give

her my card so that she can follow up with me and I

can actually probably correct information that one of

her staffers gave her about our organization. And

then I also got a mention from the former

Commissioner; he had mentioned the Alliance of Foam

Package Recyclers, which has been merged to the EPS

Industry Alliance. We are the North American Trade

Association representing rigid foam insulation and

the protective packaging. We are extremely

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 10? supportive and excited about this legislation, because this will help us continue to grow and promote our efforts to establish recycling of the protective packaging.

Now the one thing that you really need to focus on is diversion, and the gentleman from WaveRiders came up here and was talking about diversion; this will drive diversion and this will help us in our efforts to keep this out of the landfills. There was also some mention about Canada and what's going on up there, and Commissioner Garcia indicated a failed project from 2004 or so. Now Canada is a little bit and the provinces are a little bit ahead of New York in their zero waste goals and they have some pretty strong legislation that's kind of motivating and driving those efforts to keep the material out of the landfill, but if you take a look at our website, and I'm sorry; I tried to focus on New York in anticipation, but we have the same resource that would show you what's going on in Canada -- Vancouver, Quebec; Kingston's been mentioned. A lot of it, you know there's some good push from the government encouraging it to stay out

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT of the landfills and that is helping a phenomenal amount, and this legislation will also help.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And the other thing I wanted to correct from Commissioner Garcia, and this is off of our website, we do have close by Shelter Enterprises in Cohoes; Thermal Foams in Cicero, Polar Industries, Prospect, Connecticut; [bell] Sonoco, Putnam, Connecticut, and there's about four or five others, and these are places that are accepting EPS and making it into new EPS; because of provisions say in Lead v4 and things like that, there is a push to have recycled content material, and this material is coming back into these plants, post-consumer, thrown into the grinder, made into products, made into lightweight fill, EPS geofoam projects and things like that. So this would be a huge push for us and it would be an awesome opportunity to really move towards that zero waste and keep it out of the landfills and we strongly support 1480.

GARY FREDERICK: I'll make it quick, [laugh] okay.

Good afternoon. My name is Gary

Frederick, I'm President of Princeton Moulding Group.

I'm here today to testify in support of Intro 1480,

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 101
the addition of EPS to the citywide curbside
recycling program.

2.2

2.3

First, I want to thank the members of the City Council Sanitation Committee for holding his very important meeting.

My company is located in Central New

Jersey, just a mere 40 miles south of New York City.

We are a manufacturer of high-quality EPS mouldings

for the furniture, picture frame and architectural

markets. Our mouldings contain approximately 98%

recycled EPS. We began manufacturing these products

in 2006, and despite some of what I have heard here

today, EPS is highly recyclable. The issue for me

isn't; can we recycle EPS, because we do that every

day. The issue is; can we get enough of it to grow

our industry, manufacturing?

Ten years ago when, we started manufacturing moulding, we actually had to import recycled EPS from Korea. As MCS said earlier, they had to import it from Japan. Over time, the recycled EPS markets have improved, but we still need more here in the United States.

I am here today to express my strong support for Intro 1480. Recycling EPS will help grow

- COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

 jobs and is an investment in our economy. We commend

 the sponsors for this thoughtful and progressive
- 4 legislation and urge the City Council to pass it into 5 law.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak and I can take any questions.

[background comments]

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Alright, thank you guys very much. [background comments] We'll call the next panel. Rhonda Keyser and Debby Lee Cohen from Cafeteria Culture; Thomas Gorman Reilly from CIVITAS; Judith Weis from Trash-Free Waters Partnership; Akila Simon, Guardians of Flushing Bay; and Edith [sic] Kantrowitz, New York City Friends of Clearwater and United For Action. I feel like I called about 17 -- one, two, three -- I think I called six, because... but five cards, but one had two [background comments] So maybe not everyone people. is here -- I see Rhonda, so Rhonda, come on up. called Rhonda Keyser, Debby Lee Cohen, Thomas Reilly, Judith Weis, Akila Simon, and Edith Kantrowitz. [background comments] If they're in the other rooms... [background comments] Okay. Alright, we've got a good panel here. Fair enough.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Christopher Chen,

Greg Todd -- we were hanging the other day. There

you go; he was there, Brooklyn SWAB. [background

comments] That's fine, that's fine, that's fine.

That's fine. Sorry. We're going through it faster,

so patience; folks are... So please, in any order that

you see fit.

[pause]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

THOMAS GORMAN REILLY: ...something. Okav, thank you. I'm the Vice President of CIVITAS Citizens, Inc. and it's a not-for-profit organization established in 1981; it's dedicated to improvement of neighborhood life in East Harlem and the Upper East Side of Manhattan, and our main areas of interest are land use, public transportation, streetscape, and the environment. During the past 15 years, an important focus of our environmental program has been solid waste management and recycling. To that end, we have encouraged recycling and composting in various public schools in our communities; we have sought to introduce recycling to the New York City Housing Authority sites in East Harlem; and we have promoted better recycling and composting practices in apartment buildings.

2.2

2.3

CIVITAS opposes the designation of dirty

EPS as a recyclable for these reasons:

First, the recycling of EPS is not economically feasible, and I think we went over this in prior testimony, so I'm not going to dwell on that.

Second, it is not possible to efficiently recycle EPS either. And as we've heard, no major city in the United States has undertaken to do this and we are asked in New York City to roll the dice with this private company that will have a great deal to profit from it, there's no question about that, and it's investment in a captive company that's going to process it according to a program that should give profits is a dream that perhaps we share, but until something better comes along, we need to have, as taxpayers, a better understanding of it.

Third, the continued use of EPS, whether recycled or not, presents significant health [bell] and environmental problems; for this reason, we urge you strongly to reject, to vote no on Proposed Intro No. 1480. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you for your testimony.

2 JUDITH WEIS: Thank you for having the

3 | hearing and inviting me. Judith Weis; I'm a

4 Professor Emerita at Rutgers University. I've spent

5 | my career studying marine pollution, among other

6 things; I've published over 100 papers and two books

7 | about marine pollution.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I want to talk to you about microplastics. These are tiny particles of plastic that are super abundant in the waters and on the beaches, and these plastic tiny pieces are eaten by small animals, like plankton, like larval fish; like filter-feeding mollusks such as oysters and clams. These particles have been shown to damage the digestive system of larval fish, injure the intestines, because they may, you know, they're hard [sic] -- and also to cause liver damage. particles act as sponges for contaminants in the environment, so it's not only that these particles themselves can cause damage, but there are toxic chemicals that are in the water, certainly around our harbors, and they attach on to the plastics and serve to be a way of getting these contaminants through the food chain. When we eat mussels or claims or oysters, we are eating microplastics. Where do

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

noo
microplastics come from? There was some in facial
scrubs that's been outlawed federally over a year

ago, some come out of clothing in laundry, but
another major source is bigger pieces of plastic that
break up, and what kind plastic breaks up the fastest
and the most is foam, is polystyrene foam. So
polystyrene foam turns into microplastic [bell] much
faster than other kinds of plastics and contributes
more to this issue of microplastics in the food
chain. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony.

RHONDA KEYSER: Hello. I'm Rhonda Keyser and I'm with Cafeteria Culture; I'm here speaking on behalf of Debby Lee Cohen, who's the Executive Director and Founder of Cafeteria Culture. We are a nonprofit environmental education organization; we were originally called Styrofoam Out of Schools. Check. That's done. We work creatively to achieve Zero Waste schools and climate-smart communities and we engage our students as our partners in change, merging citizen science and civic action with media and arts education. We primarily work with students in low-income neighborhoods who are on the front

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 200 lines of the ill effects of climate change and who suffer the scourge of street litter that becomes deadly marine pollution.

2.2

2.3

Just as a quick note, I want to remind everyone that in our partnership with the Department of Education SchoolFood Directors, we catalyzed the complete elimination of 860,000 polystyrene trays in New York City public schools every single day. We collectively did it by teaming up with the five other largest urban SchoolFood Districts in the country and eliminated half a billion polystyrene foam trays annually.

Now today we talked a lot about the difficulties and the institutional feasibility of polystyrene in our waste system; what we do these days with our students is; they are taking the lead to reduce local plastic street litter through citizen science surveys, collecting data, and through community outreach to share with their neighbors and friends -- each one teach one -- and Council Member Cabrera, since people littering is a big issue of yours, maybe you can come to one of our classes; one of our 3rd to 8th graders could probably teach you a little bit about the data they've collected about

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT where street litter comes from. And [bell] I'm sure 2 that since you are such a proponent of this that 3 4 maybe you're gonna allocate some funds for litter education in your discretionary funding. 5 [laughter] 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Can I address that, Mr. Chairman? 8 9 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Absolutely. Absolutely. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: I would love for 11 12 you guys to apply next year for funding; I don't 13 think you applied this year, but I would love to do 14 that; I would love to go for the visit. I do wanna 15 let you know I was the author of the school 16 environmental bill; I joined with Brad Lander for the 17 plastic bags, you know, I've been there. I've been there... [crosstalk] 18 19 RHONDA KEYSER: Thank you. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Thank you. 21 CHRISTOPHER CHEN: Good afternoon and 2.2 thank you. My name is Christopher Chen and I am the 2.3 Executive Director at COARE, the Center for Oceanic Awareness, Research and Education. I'm originally 24

from New York but I currently live in the San

25

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 707
Francisco Bay Area, so I would like to take just a moment to go back to our previous panel and mention that we are actually very proud of our polystyrene ban there, our EPS ban is incredibly effective and we are extremely proud of it and it is extremely effective.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So I could speak to you as an ocean conservationist; as a public policy expert, but I'm gonna talk to you as somebody from New York, somebody who was born and raised in New York. We're a discerning bunch; we know that if it falls off the back of a truck it probably doesn't come with a warranty; we know that the Rolex's in Times Square don't keep good time, and we are probably not going to buy a bridge any time soon. So that's why it would be shame and it would be an embarrassment if this Committee and the Council that it represents were to fall for some of the rhetoric that you're hearing today. Expanded polystyrene is not functionally or realistically recyclable; if it were, don't you think that more cities would be doing it?

EPS is an amazing product, it is lightweight, it is a great insulator, but then again, so was asbestos. When we find things that don't work

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT anymore or we need to move past them, then we just simply need to find new solutions and expanded polystyrene most certainly is not the time... we don't have time for that any more. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you.

GREG TODD: Is this on? Yes, it's on, Hi, I'm Greg Todd and I am representing the Brooklyn Solid Waste Advisory Board and I've submitted some written testimony that we firmly oppose this intro, but our testimony replicates a lot of what we heard, and I think there's something that I've discovered that's actually very important to bring out, following up on Chairman Reynoso's observation; asking one of the speakers about the half-life of plastic; I don't know if you asked that in jest, but I think the implication was that flustered the speaker to the point that there probably isn't a half-life for plastics. And I would like to quote from a report written by the Ellen MacArthur Foundation about a year ago pointing out that by 2050 they project there will be more plastic in the ocean than fish. In fact, she projects out of 311 million tons of plastic generated this year, 5% will be recycled, even if it can be recycled; 40%

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT will be in landfills or incinerated; one-third of all plastic will end up in the ocean. Now you may say — we can put wood in the oceans — wood breaks down; glass becomes sand; metal can eventually be reverted back to more metal; the plastic, there is nothing in the natural environment that degrades plastic; it simply persists indefinitely.

2.2

2.3

A single use that you use for 30 seconds to take home your burger in a clamshell will persist in the environment for an indefinite period of time and probably end up in the oceans, or at least a third of that material will end up in the oceans. So why would you use something... why would you create something that's gonna be used once for 30 seconds that will last forever? That's the question I still find perplexing and I submit that as my final. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you and it's great to have the Brooklyn SWAB here to represent Brooklyn [bell]. Thank you to this panel. We're making good progress; I'm very proud of everyone, both sides. Dr. George Cruzan... [background comment] sorry, Fred DeFazio... [background comment] I'm really bad with names, obviously, guys... Darren Suarez, Clark

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 2 Pena -- there he is -- Diane Sanders, Miss Sanders --3 okay, she's back there too. [background comments] 4 Yeah, it's a full panel. We've got more than five 5 people? [background comment] Okay, you're a team? We could... you could... [background comments] But if you 6 7 guys can and you just take this chair right here --8 let's get a comfortable chair; you could just... [background comments] Not... yeah, you've gotta get elected. Do you know how hard Council Member Cabrera 10 11 worked to be in one of these chairs? [background 12 comment] [laughter] And how hard he continues to 13 work. There you go. [background comment] Exactly. Okay, you wanna start on this side? [background 14 15 comment] Yeah, right here. [background comments] FRED DEFAZIO: Okay, we'll start with me. 16 17 Okay. Good afternoon Chairman and members of the 18 Council and thank you for letting us testify today on 19 behalf of Genpak in support of Intro 1480, which 20 would add EPS to the citywide curbside recycling 21 program. 2.2 Kevin and myself are owners of Creative 2.3 Sales and Marketing, which is a manufacture's rep

group and we represent Genpak in the New York Metro

25 Area.

24

2.2

2.3

Genpak is a leading manufacturer in the plastic foodservice packaging industry, making a wide variety of food containers, plates, bowls, and platters that are used in food service applications. Our company has been a fixture in Middletown, New York for over 40 years -- and area, like much of upstate, starved for jobs and investment.

Over the past 30 years, manufacturers
like Genpak have become increasingly rare in upstate
New York. Overall, 1.2 million fewer people were
employed in manufacturing in New York State in 2014
than in 1970. While upstate communities realized a
smaller percentage decrease in manufacturing
employment than the New York Metro Area, they were
more dependent on manufacturing. As a result, the
loss of manufacturing jobs throughout the upstate did
more economic harm to them than the losses in the New
York City area.

KEVIN HAGGERTY: That is why we stood so strongly against the proposed ban on EPS. The ban would likely have resulted in significant layoffs and endangered the viability of our plant long term if other markets were not added to replace the New York City losses. In addition, a ban would negatively

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
2 impact and raise costs for the small businesses who
3 rely on our products.
4 We applaud and strong support efforts by
5 members of the City Council to advance legislation to

members of the City Council to advance legislation to add EPS to the City's existing curbside recycling program. Polystyrene is 100% recyclable and is already recycled commercially in cities big and small across the nation and the globe. For instance, more than 65 communities in California alone [bell] have curbside collection to recycle polystyrene foam.

Polystyrene is safe, recyclable and costeffective. We commend the sponsors for your thoughtful and progressive legislation and urge the City Council to pass it into law.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak today.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you.

CLARK PENA: Good afternoon. Chairman Reynoso; Council Members, thank you for your time...
[interpose]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Be careful, Clark, be very careful of what you're gonna say next.

[laughter]

24

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

CLARK PENA: Absolutely. You can bleep

3 it out.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I hear you. I hear you.

[laughter]

am an independent contractor; I have a program that I host called The Advocate's Corner with, yours truly, Clark Pena -- get that plug in -- [laughter] and today I'm here On behalf of the New York City Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; I am a member of the Chamber for 13 years; we have our upcoming Nick Lugo Festival very soon; I run their security and all that other good stuff, so you know, come see me for the wristbands.

Our mission at the Chamber is to represent and advocate for Hispanic businesses in New York City in a cohesive and collective way. We work on assuring the success of Hispanic businesses and the communities they serve. Our dedicated team consists of thinkers, planners, and most importantly, doers; that is the passion about economic growth and development of our Hispanic community. So

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT roa respectfully, on behalf of our President, Nick Lugo, the Chamber supports 1480, the Intro.

2.2

2.3

We think leaders of this great city, including the environmental advocates, should ask themselves: Is there a company willing to pick up foam from your doorstep at no cost to the consumer of our city, and would it save millions annually for our city; why not recycle? Recycling is progressive; foam is recyclable; I personally stand in favor of 1480, my program stands in favor of 1480, the New York City Hispanic Chamber of Commerce stands for 1480; [bell] let's move forward. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you, Clark.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Good evening and thank you. I'm here in support of 1480. I'm Diane Sanders and I'm representing Black Jewel Catering.

And I'm just echoing some of what my colleagues have spoken on. As a small business owner, I've provided food and service for the faith community for over 15 years; I'm here to urge the progressive leaders in this City Council to pass Intro 1480 immediately.

Foam containers are the lifeblood of ethnic restaurants and are used by catering halls every Sunday throughout the churches around the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT country; foam products are among the most versatile and economically disposable items available. Small businesses are hurting and entrepreneurs are crying out for help to ease the financial burdens we face buying products and goods in operating our businesses every day. If the City moves to a foam recycling program, we could save thousands of disposable costs. I think leaders of this great city, including environmental advocates, should step up to do the right thing and pass Intro 1480 now, because it's sensible legislation and it benefits all our communities. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you.

DARREN SUAREZ: Good afternoon. Let me start by thanking the members of the Committee for holding such an important hearing. I'm Darren Suarez of The Business Council of the State of New York to express our support for Intro 1480, progressive legislation that would establish curbside collection for polystyrene foam recycling.

The Business Council is the leading business organization in the State of New York, representing the interests of businesses statewide.

Over 75% of our members are small businesses, and

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 211 many of which are located right here in New York City.

2.2

2.3

For decades, the restaurant industry in

New York City has been a driving entrepreneurial

force, generating tremendous revenue for the city and

state and creating jobs that build futures for

families and for all communities. In 2014,

restaurants contributed more than \$35 billion to the

state and employed about 9% of the overall workforce.

More and more we're hearing from small business owners, especially restaurant owners that the sheer cost of doing business here -- from increasing labor costs, rising regulations and the costs of goods -- are making it harder and harder for small businesses to survive. Over the past year, the restaurant industry saw a 6% decline.

The Business Council believes that working together we can help small employers who mean so much to our economy and address environmental concerns. And that is why we support Intro 1480.

As you are aware, 1480 will amend the Administrative Code of New York City, similar steps have been taken by other municipalities -- Madison County in upstate New York in 2016 began a pilot foam

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT recycling program. Under their program, Madison

County Department of Solid Waste Management and Solid Waste has collected foam and then densified the foam. After a successful start to the program, the Madison County's Department of Solid Waste has continued the program and the Recycling Coordinator, Mary Bartlett, was recently quoted as saying, "It can be a moneymaker too. I have been quoted anywhere from 18 to 38 cents a pound" compared to a penny a pound for textiles.

2.2

2.3

In conclusion, members of the business [bell] community are committed to the development of polystyrene recycling program, such as the one that 1480 establishes.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you for your testimony.

DR. GEORGE CRUZAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. I am Dr. George Cruzan; I've been a professional toxicologist for 41 years; I have been studying styrene and leading an extensive styrene research program for the last 28 years, so I do know a few things about styrene and polystyrene.

A couple of people have said that polystyrene is toxic; that's not true. Polystyrene

2 | never gets absorbed; if you ingest polystyrene, it

3 | just passes right on through; it has no impact.

4 Styrene, on the other hand; the monomer it's made

5 from, if that's ingested or inhaled, it gets

absorbed, mostly gets metabolized and passed out.

Styrene is everywhere; it's in the air, it's in your food -- lots of foods make styrene. If you wanna get rid of styrene exposure, you ban coffee, you ban cinnamon, you ban beer, you ban nuts, and you've gone a long way; the biggest exposure is just atmospheric styrene. If you were to ban polystyrene foam altogether, completely eliminate polystyrene foam, you would only reduce styrene exposure by less than 5%. So styrene is not a health effect; it has a 5,000 fold margin of safety over EPA's limit that they suggest that is safe for people, and it's about 10,000 fold over the limit

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you for that information. [interpose]

that's set by FDA for exposure to styrene.

FRED DEFAZIO: Can I just say a couple more [inaudible] real quick...? [crosstalk]

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

you.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: We'll give you his 3 15 seconds that he left over.

FRED DEFAZIO: Okay, that's fine. I just wanna say, listen; I've been in the packaging industry for almost 40 years and I've seen this come and go with foam and everything else, but there was some misstatements made today from some of these people that were testifying.

You know we're talking about compostables, you know they're saying that it's only a few pennies more than what foam would cost; that's not true, 'cause a lot of the compostables that are being made today are being made overseas, just because of capacity here and we just don't have the amount of capacity.

And the other thing is; where are all the compost sites? I don't think every New Yorker has a compost in their apartment or in their back yard, and that's part of the other problem that you have; you don't have anybody collecting the compostables either. So just an idea; just some facts I wanted you to know.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: We got... we're collecting organics now in the...

FRED DEFAZIO: I'm just saying.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Just saying... not commercial; I'm talking about -- remember, this recycling is also, as you said, commercial; this is gonna be residential recycling, right; not commercial recycling, so you're still gonna have to pay the private carter to take your trash out, right, so just to be mindful of that; that this is for residential pickup, this is the white trucks, not the other trucks. But I really appreciate you guys taking the time to be here and for being one of the later panels and hanging in there. Thank you. Thank you.

So the entire crowd that's left is in the room. So this is Jordan Christiansen, from City...

yep, Christensen; Isabelle Silverman, yeah, from EDF;

Genesis Abreu from WE ACT; Saleen Shah from Citizens

Committee for NYC; and Margaret Conte [sp?] from The

Planet. Jordan. [background comments] Yeah, they

got everyone. [background comments] Alright, I guess

we're all taking here from your right [sic], so go

ahead.

ISAAC JORDAN: Good afternoon, my name is
Isaac Jordan... my name is Isaac Jordan and I'm
President of Sustainable Crown Heights, a citizen

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT community organization that focuses on finding environmentally sustainable solutions to issues in our community, such as litter, pest control, neighborhood beautification, and energy consumption. We tackle neighborhood challenges from building a network of community gardens, to holding developers and companies responsible for the products they're using in our community. On behalf of my organization, I'm here to voice full support for Intro 1480. This bill is forward-looking and should be adopted immediately. By establishing a program to recycle 100% of that we use, leaders like yourselves will help keep our streets clean and pass on the savings to many Black, Latino and Asian American restaurants that rely on foam every day. You're going to hear expert testimony on the fact that foam has been recycled for more than two decades, and I can tell you that there's a great map on the website HomeforFoam.com that lists all the locations across the globe for drop-off and curbside pickup of foam. The technology is only improving and the markets for foam are expanding. Sustainable Crown Heights also believes that the bill will help the Mayor develop the city initiative and progressive goals of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
- 2 eliminating waste under the OneNYC program.
 - Recycling foam would cut down the solid waste that is shipped to landfills and carted off or waste that ends up in our city's lots, foam replacements such as paper cups -- five times the amount of solid waste and are more expensive. I know firsthand [bell] that foam can be made [sic] usable consumer products for some of the students from our focus events. Now is time [sic] for environmentalists to go forward and

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you for your testimony.

float claims that are false and not helpful to our

goals of taking care of our environment.

GENESIS ABREU: Good afternoon, members of the Committee, Chair Reynoso and the esteemed Council Members. My name is Genesis Abreu and I am the Bilingual Community Organizer for WE ACT for Environmental Justice. WE ACT is a community-based organization that serves West Harlem, Central Harlem, East Harlem, Washington Heights, and Inwood, and I am here today to testify against Intro 1480, which would require recycling of polystyrene foam in the City's recycling program.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

WE ACT supports NRDC and other groups across the city that call for a ban to the use of polystyrene foam in beverage and food containers. As has been stated throughout the day, this white foam is a brittle material that breaks into tiny pieces when discarded; as a result, foam coffee cups and food clamshells litter our streets and parks and enter our waterways and that is exactly what we're seeing in northern Manhattan.

We already see a lower diversion rate of recyclable materials in other areas across the borough, and according to the annual report for the New York City Curbside and Containerized Municipal Refuse and Recycling statistics for the Fiscal Year 2016, the percentage of recyclables diverted from landfills each day in Districts 9, 10, 11, and 12 are just 17%, 14%, 11%, and 16% respectively. In comparison, the Upper West Side and Upper East Side see roughly 26% of recyclables diverted from landfills each day. One can only imagine where these recyclables are being redirected to in the communities where we serve, and I have been a lifelong community member of. These are our streets, our parks and our waterways and that is why we

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 210 strongly believe that an additional recycling program will not be sufficient to address this issue and that a ban on the use of this material is the best alternative.

2.2

2.3

Please do not support Intro 1480 and call on a ban of using this material instead. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you.

SALEEN SHAH: Hello. Thank you honorable Chair; Council Members. My name is Saleen Shah; I am the Director of Communications and Public Affairs at Citizens Committee for New York City -- many of you have heard of us. I'm so happy to testify today, since so many people who are not New Yorkers have come in to testify, so thank you so much for having me.

Part of our mission is to fund volunteer group initiatives comprised completely of ordinary New Yorkers with grants, especially in the most underserved parts of the City. Today, 60% of the 400 or so projects we fund each year have directly to do with these special New Yorkers working in clusters of volunteer groups protecting our urban environment through community and school improvement projects,

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 220 and beautifying many of the City's most polluted communities; eventually making them safer as well.

2.2

2.3

Among the current sponsors of Intro 1480,
Citizens Committee has devoted its neighborhood grant
resources into cash grants for neighborhood and
school improvement projects; environmental projects
to 460 grassroots groups and volunteer groups working
in their districts over the past three years alone.
More specifically, the primary focus of 276 of these
grassroots initiatives is environmental pollution,
environmental justice and neighborhood
beautification.

We recommend that the City Council take the sensible step of getting rid of polystyrene foam food and beverage containers, like communities around the nation, from San Francisco to Washington, D.C. have already done. At Citizens Committee, we like recycling, but there is no real market for dirty polystyrene cups and clamshells in New York. No big city around the nation has successfully implemented a recycling program for dirty foam.

I just wanna add two more questions of the Committee and the Council. You know are disposable products here to stay? Are you gonna tell

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT your kids that when they ask you: Hey dad, mom; are they here to stay for my lifetime, for the next lifetime; for my grandkids? [bell] That's one question to ask. And also, I've heard this work "progressive" being hijacked, and let's pay attention to language. What is progressive? What would Donald Trump vote for? Just do the opposite.

[laughter]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I wanna say, full disclosure; before I was in the Council, before I worked in the Council, I actually cleaned up a garden in my neighborhood and it was through the help of Citizens Committee for NYC, so thank you for the great work that you guys do, and that garden was a disaster.

SALEEN SHAH: And in the early 90s, WE ACT got their first grant from us, so thank god for WE ACT. [background comment]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you guys.

 $\label{local_council_member_cabrera:} \mbox{ And I've given}$ funds also to Citizens Committee.

SALEEN SHAH: Full disclosure, but you are a fan of the environment.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: They're looking to 3 convince you, Fernando. [laugh]

ISABELLE SILVERMAN: Yeah. Was there polystyrene in that garden that you cleaned up?

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Of course there was polystyrene. [laughter] There were other things that were much worse than that in that garden, but now it's a beautiful place where people get together and enjoy the community, so it's awesome.

ISABELLE SILVERMAN: So my name is

Isabelle Silverman; thank you for the opportunity to

testify, Chairperson and Council Members. I'm giving

this testimony on behalf of Rory Christian, who is

the Director of New York Clean Energy at

Environmental Defense Fund (EDF). You are probably

familiar with EDF already. We have over two million

members and 35,000 here in the City.

EDF is quite well-known for its partnership with McDonald's in the 1990s, so McDonald's had those clamshells, those polystyrene clamshells that were not very popular for the reasons we just heard today, so EDF worked with McDonald's to replace them with paper and cardboard, and it was a very successful program. They had a reduction of

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT vaste, between 70-90% and they saved McDonald's \$6 million a year by moving away from those clamshells, and the restaurant customers also appreciated the more environmentally friendly product. And they recycled one million tons of corrugated boxes and reduced restaurant waste by 30% with this partnership with Environmental Defense Fund.

2.2

2.3

In the interest of time, I just want -even if we take everything that industry today said
at face value and as true, it still doesn't make the
problem go away that we have this polystyrene in our
waterways, in our environment; like Council Member
Cabrera said -- people just throw things. They will
still do that, so even if 16% of polystyrene waste
will end up being recycled; it's just not solving the
problem. The product is not a good product and
that's why EDF is advocating for a ban.

And then also, what the Commissioner said; it actually, the polystyrene pollutes other recyclables, and I think that's very disturbing, so there is a polystyrene cup now within the paper and then she said, now we can't recycle the paper anymore. So it's not only [bell] contaminating our environment, but it's contaminating the recyclables

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 221 we already have and that we actually need to get an increase in recyclable rates.

2.2

2.3

So also, if we move to other materials, like paper and compostable paper; it will reduce the price of these, like the schools did move to the compostable trays.

And just lastly, the half-life that was mentioned; I know somebody else brought it up; you actually used the term correctly; it goes for any specific property and the question is, you know, when is it decreased by half -- polystyrene stays for hundreds of years in the environment; is not considered biodegradable; this is why this is a material of the past [sic]. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony.

ISABELLE SILVERMAN: Sorry.

JORDAN CHRISTENSEN: Hi, I'm Jordan

Christensen; I'm with Citizens Campaign for the

Environment (CCE), and in the interest of time, I

don't wanna repeat everything everyone said; I just

wanna make the point that I feel like a lot of this

debate about recyclable vs. nonrecyclable is sort of,

you know, not seeing the forest for the trees. Of

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT course, CCE does not think that the polystyrene containers that have been used for food and already dirty have a viable market for recycling, but even more than that; we're sort of having this déjà vu debate; the same thing that we had with the plastic microbeads in the personal care products; the same one we had with plastic bags, where we know that these are sort of unnecessary plastic pollutants; they end up in our water, they break into microplastics, they attract toxins like pesticides and PCBs, they work their way up the food chain onto our dinner plates, and in a lot of ways, polystyrene's actually the worse of all of these defenders. We know that one of the ingredients is styrene; we know it's a likely human carcinogen; there are a lot of problems with the manufacturing side, all the way to the washing up in coastal So just like we have with all of these communities. other sort of unnecessary plastic pollutants, we have better alternatives, they're cost-effective, they're more eco-friendly, and in this case, they're actually biodegradable, compostable, recyclable, etc. would urge you to not pass 1480 and instead to move forward with the ban. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony to this panel as well.

4 [background comment] Go ahead. Go ahead.

2.2

2.3

SALEEN SHAH: We live in a city of neighborhoods, so New York City is very different, like you pointed out, Chair, than any other city in the country and in the world, with 400 neighborhoods, and these neighborhoods have New Yorkers who are cleaning them up. And we can't forget; 400 neighborhoods, a cleaner city, OneNYC 2030; what are the goals of OneNYC 2050 and are we getting there?

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you. Thank
you. Thank you for your testimony. [background
comments] Alright, good job. Rev. Ismael Feliciano,
representing Johnny Rivera; Manuel Caban [sp?],
Smashers; Akisha Freeman, Manager of the Sugar Hill
restaurant; [background comment] Diane Sanders -- I
thought we… [background comment] We already had this
one. [background comments] Gonna get you some
company. [laughter, background comments] C. Shuan
Shuan [sp?]. No. Maura Smith from Vy's restaurant;
Milan... oh, this one... Milan Dennerstein, Dennerstein.
[background comment] Alright, got a couple of them
right. I can't even... Reverend Gaban [sp?] or

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
2
    [background comment] There you go. There you go.
3
    Thank you, sir. [background comments]
                                             Sorry; I'm
4
    butchering a lot of them here. Isaac Jordan.
     [background comment] Oh he was in, he was just
5
            Sorry. Isaac, thank you. No, you're good,
6
    there.
7
             [laughter] [background comment] Ronald
    Isaac.
8
    Armstrong. [background comments] And Irma, Uma
    Fahrian [sp?] or something like that. Alright.
    these are... [background comment] Yes, is there anyone
10
11
    else who is in favor of this project that hasn't
12
    testified or hasn't heard their name or signed up and
13
    hasn't testified? Alright. So thank you.
                                                 So this
    is... you're the final three [background comment] of
14
15
    the pro. [background comments] Oh no, there's one
    more panel; the opposition; these are the last panel
16
17
    of support. It was an even debate, panels on either
18
    side. Alright, so go ahead. [background comments]
19
    Alright, ladies first. [background comments]
20
                AKISHA FREEMAN: Good evening. Hello.
21
    Good evening to the Council and Antonio Reynoso. My
2.2
    name is Akisha Freeman; I am the manager at Sugar
2.3
    Hill Restaurant and Supper Club in Brooklyn, New
    York. We have been a family-owned business and
24
```

operated over 35 years now; approaching 40, closely.

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT We are proud to be a place where in our community you can come and meet; families have come; churches have come; organizations, and some of our elected official from all across the city, and some of them that were here present today and now. I'm also, the president of the Restaurant Action Alliance, a coalition for minority entrepreneurs, restaurant owners, managers; workers who are looking to strive to help the market grow, to help us also thrive in that area and we also look to offer resources to help others become successful. The restaurant industry is a path to success for many men and women who it has especially been rewarding to Black and Latino entrepreneurs. Despite the overall decline of restaurants, as stated earlier, by 6% this past year, the number of minority-owned businesses rose 40% over the last seven years, according to the U.S. Census Bureau. That's good news for Black and Latino entrepreneurs. However, the bad news is that about half of those restaurants will close their doors silently without the fanfare of a grand opening.

As you know the restaurant and food

industry contributes greatly to the economy of New

York City, but it is not an easy industry.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT not been easy for my family, nor the hundreds of thousands of small business owners I'm aware of and also that we are together in unity who struggle with an industry that is incredibly competitive and has its ups and downs. When it comes to making the bottom line, every bit of savings helps.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And this is why I'm here today to voice my strong support for Intro 1480, which would establish a program to recycle polystyrene foam, a product that we rely on heavily in our restaurant and in our general business model. In fact, about 40% of our business is takeout; we probably use every foam container product to accommodate the needs of our customers. I believe the legislation you are considering will pass on significant savings for small business owners -- we buy in bulk. The cost for goods and products are the second largest expense for the restaurant industry. We know that with a recycling program established, foam distributors will be able to pass on significant savings to grocers, restaurants and small businesses like ours.

In addition, the curbside recycling program would drastically reduce our disposal costs and save restaurants like mine, and owners, about

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT \$60,000 per year in expenses. We need all the help we can get to survive. [bell]

2.2

2.3

I'm here today to urge anyone who cares about helping small business owners and all those involved in the industry who care about helping Black and Latino entrepreneurs to support to Intro 1480. I am calling on progressive leaders like you to walk the walk and pass the bill. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you for your testimony. Thank you.

MYLAN DENERSTEIN: Good afternoon. Thank you so much. My name is Mylan Denerstein and I'm a Partner at Gibson Dunn, which is a law firm, and I am counsel to the coalition that challenged the Commissioner's prior determination banning polystyrene -- successfully, I should say -- and that coalition consists of the restaurant alliance.

Unfortunately, it appears that

Commissioner Garcia has made the same mistake again
today. It makes sense to recycle. Recycling means
less material will go to a landfill than under any
ban, saving the City money. A ban would mean higher
cost to consumers, like we just heard from, and
restaurant owners; some would even have to go out of

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 221 business, manufacturing jobs will be lost, and the alternatives will often not even be recyclable. This is a tremendous opportunity for New York City, my home, which will bear no risk. Dart and PRI are paying for this, because they expect to earn a return on their investment, and the price to Sims is guaranteed for five years, companies just don't do that lightly. The demand for recycled polystyrene is greater than all of the polystyrene Sims would ever recover. There are companies out there, like Nefco [sic], like MCS, that you heard today, that will buy this product from PRI; it is not a risk; it is a guarantee.

2.2

2.3

Burrtec wrote to the Commissioner about all of the recycling it does just recently, so the statements that it doesn't are just not accurate, unfortunately. The Commissioner has letters submitted from businesses who are willing to buy the clean product, once PRI cleans it, to make other items, like picture frames, and frankly, it makes no sense; just because it can't be an aluminum can doesn't mean it's not recycled? If the goal is less polystyrene, [bell] who cares if it is a picture frame; that means you are using less polystyrene in

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
 2
     the environment. We don't want more of it; the best
 3
     way to solve that problem is to recycle it, and Dart
 4
     and its coalition have presented a win-win solution
     for the City of New York that will actually reduce
 5
     carbon emissions and, just one final point: there was
 6
 7
     a big deal made about how PRI is in Indianapolis; the
 8
     other products will likely contain propylethylene
     [sic]; that's shipped to Alabama right now, which is
     a thousand miles away, so what sense does that make?
10
11
     Recycle and recycle now.
12
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO:
                                      Thank you for your
13
     testimony. So you're the closer; you're like
14
     Mariano, so you've gotta be strong.
15
                DALTON CABDAGESTALK: Is that right?
16
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO:
                                      Yes.
                                             Yes.
17
                DALTON CABDAGESTALK: Well I hope that
18
     you're doing a good job and don't become part of the
19
     problem but become the solution...
20
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO:
                                      Always.
21
                DALTON CABDAGESTALK: young brother.
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO:
                                      Always.
2.3
                DALTON CABDAGESTALK:
                                      Alright.
                                                 How are
     you today, Council? Good. My name is Dalton
24
25
     Cabdagestalk; since everyone has displayed so many
```

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT documentations here, I think I'd better follow suit.

I have a Bachelor's in Social Science, a Master's in Religious Education, and Doctor's in ministry and I come from the pit of the City of New York; not 5th

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Avenue.

I'm here today to represent Joppa Christian Ministry, which is a national ministry --South Carolina, North Carolina, New York City, Brooklyn; north, south, east, and west, this is where I go to. I just wanna say that I represent a faithbased organization, our church is international, as I said; we're dedicated to spreading the Christian fellowship and community services to families in whole communities, whole communities; wherever we can reach them. I am here today to voice the support of this 1480, because we believe this bill is a major step in the right direction for small business owners and community restaurants across our great city for you to eat Chinese food, for you to eat Chinese food -- you know you can't put that in a napkin and walk out the Chinese restaurant, so we need to think about these bills, and those other small restaurants that use this. Foam is a staple of ethnic restaurants and for small business entrepreneurs in every corner of

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT America, these local restaurants which are the heart and soul in neighborhoods, or places we visit for fellowship after services on Sunday. As you know, in churches, we do a lot of cooking and what we do is, we give people food to go home, so when they come back on Sunday they feel a little better about leaving there and staying there all day that they did [sic]. So there is a longstanding tradition, as I said, in this black community, especially in the churches, and the singing and preaching and praying.

2.2

2.3

I'll admit that sometimes the thought and smell of the food makes it a little hard for me to [bell] do what I need to do, but on the other hand, if you will, I will still serve the meals. We buy foam in bulk; we have heard the testimonies from local restaurants and community leaders about how the costs would rise drastically; no longer an option, no longer the option in just how much it would save us if the City established a recycling program such as the bill calls for. Every penny we can save goes back into the church to help to fulfill our missions of faith and service. I urge each member of the Committee to do the right thing, introducing this bill, this 1480. You know one thing, and I'm going

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
    to leave this table; one thing I've understood
 2
 3
     strongly in the City of New York is that when someone
 4
     introduces something or something that is right for
     the City of New York, it seems like we always find a
     way to mess it up and we need to understand that we
 6
 7
     are not in this alone; as City Council
 8
     representatives, the Mayor, we have a whole
     conglomerate of people, look at the room, so please,
     gentlemen, please City Council, you've gotta evolve
10
11
     [sic]; take a look at the bill and know and
12
     understand how many restaurants in this, I believe,
     2.3-something million people in this City of New
13
14
     York... [interpose]
15
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO:
                                       That's just Queens.
16
                DALTON CABDAGESTALK:
                                       Excuse me.
17
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Eight million --
18
     2.3 is like Queens.
19
                DALTON CABDAGESTALK:
                                       Well I'm just
20
     [inaudible]... [crosstalk]
                                       [inaudible]
21
                CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO:
                                       City of New York
2.2
                DALTON CABDAGESTALK:
2.3
     right now, but if we wanna go to Brooklyn; let's deal
     with Brooklyn; the majority of black individuals in
24
25
     the City of New York as a whole. We need to do what
```

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT needs to be done and that is the right thing. These restaurants, how are they going to do what needs to be done in serving these people their food? How would they let them take out the orders when they get ready to leave the restaurant? Do you put it in a doggy bag, a plastic bag; do you put it on a napkin? Do you put it in a paper bag? So there are some other solutions, but maybe we have to bring that to the table. I thank you this evening; I've been here all day, being patient, and I hope this is not in vain. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Good job. And now

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Good job. And now the last panel. [background comment] Or not least, yes. [laugh] Ling Tsou... yeah... Sandra Meola, Noemi de la Puente, Alessandro Ciari, and Jennie Romer. Is Jennie here? [background comments] Is there anyone else in opposition that has yet to speak or did not sign up to speak that would like to come up to the panel now? No. Thank you very much. So now you guys...

LING TSOU: We care, so we stayed...
[crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: They were the top of the ninth, so now you're the bottom of the ninth.

1 COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 2 [laughter] So now you've gotta close it out... 3 [crosstalk] LING TSOU: We're the closer. We're the 4 5 closer. CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: There... there you 6 7 go. Alright. So go ahead; you get to begin, and thank you for your patience and for being the last 8 panel and staying through the end... [crosstalk] 10 LING TSOU: Thank you for your patience. CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Uhm-hm. 11 12 LING TSOU: I mean, as you can see, this 13 is important to the New York City folks and... 14 [crosstalk] 15 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yeah. 16 LING TSOU: and we care enough, so we 17 stayed, right? 18 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Absolutely. 19 LING TSOU: So Chairman Reynoso and City 20 Council Member, my name is Ling Tsou; I'm a cofounder of United for Action, a grassroots group in 21 New York City working to end our addiction to fossil 2.2 2.3 fuel and nuclear power and advocating for renewable

24

energy.

2.2

2.3

We oppose Intro 1480, which would classify expanded polystyrene EPS, commonly referred to as Styrofoam, as recyclable. Designating EPS as recyclable would mean that New York City residents would be required to put EPS in their curbside recycling bins and the City would be required to collect and process it. The Department of Sanitation looked into recycling these foam containers and found that they could not be recycled in an environmentally effective or economically feasible manner.

through a chemical process. It does not decompose.

Animals do not recognize polystyrene foam as an artificial material and may even mistake it for food. Polystyrene foam blows in the wind and floats on water; it can have serious effects on the health of birds or marine animals that swallow significant quantities.

The impact on the environment of everyday materials is increasingly important if we are to reduce our carbon footprint and protect our natural heritage for future generations. 2016 was the hottest year on record, setting a new high for the third year in a row, with human activities driving

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT climate change. Climate change is the most critical issue of our generation. With our national administration taking us backwards in the fight against climate change, it is now even more vital that New York City step up our defense of the

The City Council already banned EPS foam containers in 2013 [bell] and we urge the City Council not to succumb to industry lobbying and to not pass Intro 1480 but to move forward with a ban on EPS foam containers. Thank you very much.

SANDRA MEOLA: Thank you for the opportunity to testify, as I stand between all of you and your dinner. My name is Sandra Meola and I'm testifying on behalf of NY/NJ Baykeeper. Baykeeper fights for clean, fishable, swimmable waterways surrounding all the lands of all five boroughs of New York City as well as Northern and Central New Jersey, and we strongly oppose Intro 1480.

Plastic is not biodegradable; it breaks up; it does not break down. We need to shift away from these single-use plastics we use for minutes but live on in our environments forever like fossils.

2.2

2.3

environment.

2.2

2.3

In 2015, Baykeeper executed a research study to quantify and classify plastic particles within NY-NJ Harbor waters. Based on our sample collection, at least 165 million plastic particles are within NY-NJ Harbor waters and with the most abundant type of plastic being, you guessed it, the polystyrene foam, at about 38% -- significant. Not surprisingly, since once in our waterways, polystyrene breaks up quickly into tiny pieces and is mistaken by fish for food.

As my colleague Judy Weis mentioned, contaminants already present in the waterways act as kind of hitchhikers to the plastic, so not only when fish eat these plastics are they consuming the plastic, but they're also consuming these contaminants, moving up the food chain and possibly into our own food source.

The industry-backed bill is a step
backwards for sustainable policy and waste
management; 1480 is also an attempt by the plastic
manufacturing industry to prevent a new polystyrene
ban bill. Polystyrene cannot be cost-effectively
recycled, although lobbyists will try to argue
otherwise, as we saw today.

2.2

2.3

Just to throw out a little news clip -according to *The New York Times*, Dart Container
Corporation and the Chemistry Council spent close to
\$1 million to defeat a citywide ban of polystyrene
back in 2013.

Not one city in the United States [bell] has successfully implemented a recycling program for polystyrene. Passing this bill would be an enormous risk. We strongly urge Council Members to put New York City residents and our environment first over industry profits. Please vote no and instead introduce new legislation that would ban polystyrene foam packaging, in line with the over 100 communities that have already done so today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: We've already introduced the ban. So none of that happened [sic], but.

ALESSANDRO CIARI: How's it goin'? Thank you so much for having me. I come from a Natural Resources Protective Association (NRPA) of Staten Island and my name is Alessandro, and specifically what my background is is identifying models for us to reuse our materials instead of constantly throwing it away; to change that culture that New York has of

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT constantly throwing things away; we have to change that, and this legislation is not going to help that. And the Natural Resources Protective Association, we reject the ill-advised legislation, Intro 1480.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Really, when you're looking at Staten Island, we are a victim of this insidious source of pollution, from its creation, where nasty poisonous organochlorines are injected into our waterways, through its demise where it crumbles into little tiny pieces that our wildlife often mistake for food, leading to their downfall. The polystyrene pollutes our rivers, our wetlands, our forests, our streets, our landfills; degrading our collective quality of The NRPA, us, we do a lot of beach cleanups, and we remove thousands of pounds of debris from our parks and beaches every year and over one-tenth of that is Styrofoam. And you asked before about why don't you take out the tires; why don't you ban the tires; why don't you ban the toilet bowls? You know why? It's because there are so many more healthy and sustainable materials out there to replace Styrofoam with; we really don't need it, we've come far along as a society to really ban this and have much more sustainable materials, and really at the end of the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT day, expanded polystyrene can be replaced easily and accessibly.

And a final point; you also mentioned that a lot of people were saying that... nobody was really saying that foam containers can be recycled if they're contaminated; nobody specifically was saying that you can do that, even the people supporting this bill. One person referred to the picadores [sic], and really, what he was saying was that picadors go get the plastic bottles and recycle them. because they're plastic bottles; the picadores are not looking for contaminated Styrofoam; they're not gonna find any return in that. So that case made no [bell] And another person right after him in another testimony said that this contaminated foam, they did these studies based on it -- she really loosely referred to Styrofoam packaging; she did not specifically say that the studies were done on Styrofoam packaging; she just said it was done through food packaging in general. And really, you have to be very specific when you're talking about these studies and she would have been if she was really being honest about that. Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

Thank you; that was

3 the last testimony of the day. You guys all get gold

4 stars. [background comments] I will say; if you

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO:

5 come back, next time I'll keep you in the middle to

6 the front end of the pack [background comment] for

7 | testimony; you guys get that privilege; we're gonna

8 make sure we lock it in. [background comments]

9 Thank you so much for your time and...

ALESSANDRO CIARI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: before we leave,

12 | Council Member.

1

2

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

credit. [background comment] Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to thank you for -- really this -- I've been in the Council for seven years and this was one of the best, if not the best, hearings I ever had a part... to be a part of and to have just both sides really come out and both sides show their best -- you know, and I mentioned this before; look, at the end of the day we both want the same thing; I think we're both looking out for New York City; it's the how to that we defer, but just in such a [inaudible] way that it was done today... look, I was there, like I

mentioned before, the school environmental bill,

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT plastic bags; I've been there, the microbeads that had been mentioned here, you know, as a matter of fact, I'm the one who wrote the resolution for the state level and Dan Garodnick did it for the City.

So I might be an enigma here for some of you, but we want the best thing, the best for New York, and if a message that would get sent out to New Yorkers, please, let's keep our streets clean, our [inaudible] clean; let's stop throwing things into the floor; let's develop a new culture for our environment to be clean. Thank you so much, Mr. Chair; you did a fabulous, fabulous job.

2.2

2.3

Staying all the way through as well, a lot of Council Members don't do that, even if it's their bill; I really appreciate that, and so long as I'm the Sanitation chair, I will continue to try to break the culture or change culture, and I do wanna make a plug in -- as soon as we make people pay for it, culture change, so there's gonna come a time when I'm gonna make people pay for stuff and they're gonna be very upset at me, but you're gonna be standing next to me saying that's how you change culture. But thank you for everyone that's here, thank you New York and

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT thank you to the three overflow rooms and our Sergeant-at-Arms; I hope you're getting paid overtime, really appreciate it. Have a great day and this meeting's adjourned.

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 16, 2017