CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RIGHTS, JOINTLY WITH, COMMITTEE ON VETERANS

----- X

April 26, 2017 Start: 10:30 a.m. Recess: 12:13 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room,

16th Floor

B E F O R E: Eric A. Ulrich

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Joseph C. Borelli Alan N. Maisel Daniel Dromm Mathieu Eugene

Andy King, Co-Chairperson

Rafael Salamanca, Jr

Paul Vallone

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Dr. Loree Sutton
NYC Department of Veteran Services

Carmelyn Malalis, Commissioner New York City Commission on Human Rights

Peter Shay

Peter Capner (SP)
VJP of Brooklyn Legal Services

Kristen Rouse NYC Veterans Alliance

Robert Kane

Erica Fumke

Coco Culhane

2	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Good morning. My
3	name is Eric Ulrich, I am the Chair of the Committee
4	on Veterans and I would like to thank my colleagues
5	for joining us today, particularly those from the
6	Civil Rights Committee, The Chair Council Member
7	Darlene Mealy is not able to join us today but
8	filling in for her will be Council Member King who i
9	on his way so he will be here shortly. We are holding
LO	a joint hearing today to hear Intro 1259, a local la
L1	that would prohibit discrimination based on uniform
L2	service. Also, Resolution 1412 which would declare
L3	June 22 nd Veteran's Tribute and Advocacy Day in New
L4	York City as well as Resolution 1420, which would
L5	call on the city to recognize May as Military
L6	Appreciation Month in New York City. Each of these
L7	measures represents an important step toward making
L8	New York City a more veteran friendly city and
L9	towards our efforts to support our veterans and
20	recognize their sacrifices and their contributions.
21	Although veterans receive a range of protections at
22	the federal and state level, particularly pertaining
23	to employment, there are no federal law protecting
24	against housing discrimination based on veteran

status. In instances where HUD has found housing

2	discrimination against veterans, the actions have
3	been based on disability rather than prior or current
4	uniform service. As far back as 1969, nearly 50
5	years ago, Massachusetts amended its Fair Housing Law
6	to include veterans as a protected class and the
7	cities of Miami, Seattle and most recently Chicago in
8	2016 have chosen to include military status in the
9	human rights laws. Housing is a precious commodity
10	in New York City and we should do all that we ca to
11	ensure that landlords do not reject payments from the
12	VA or refuse to house veterans due to pending
13	obligations overseas, accommodating who require
14	service animals or other unique situations that can
15	accompany service and uniform. We will also be
16	hearing Resolution 1412 which will declare June 22 nd ,
17	the date that President Roosevelt signed the GI Bill
18	of Rights as Veterans Tribute and Advocacy Day and
19	Resolution 1420 which would recognize the month May
20	as Veteran Appreciation Month in New York City. With
21	Fleet Week fast approaching, these resolutions are as
22	timely as ever. I would like to thank the committee
23	staff, the committee counsel Nicole Abenny (SP),
24	policy analyst Michael Kurtz, financial analyst John
25	Russell as well as my legislative director Mary

2.2

2.3

Prentice and I would like to recognize the members of
the Veterans Committee who joined us this morning:
Council Member Borelli from Staten Island, Council
Member Alan Maisel from Brooklyn and we have also
been joined by Council Member Dromm from Queens a
member of the Civil Rights Committee as well as
Council Member Eugene. Council Member Eugene is the
prime co-sponsor of one of today's resolutions and I
will ask him to read a statement.

much, Mr. Chair and let me say welcome and thank you to all of you in the audience and especially the veterans, thank you for your service. Good morning. My name is Mathieu Eugene and I am the Council Member representing District. I have the privilege to serve as Chair of the Veterans Committee also. First, I would like to thank my colleagues on Veterans

Committee and especially the Chair, Eric Ulrich, for having me here this morning and for hearing this resolution to declare June 22nd Veterans Tribute and Advocacy Day in new York City. The resolution proposes that Veteran Tribute and Advocacy Day be held on the anniversary of the day that President

Franklin Delano Roosevelt signed the GI Bill of Right

into law creating a modern system of benefit for
veterans. My intention with Veteran Tribute and
Advocacy Day is not only to celebrate the service and
sacrifices of our veterans but to take this day an
opportunity to advocate for better [inaudible] for
veterans while returning to civilian life. Veterans
both young and old face a wide range of challenges,
have problem, many have problem, difficulties,
housing, adjusting to family and civilian life and
more. I think it is right to make the anniversary of
the GI Bill to advocate for better services and
[inaudible] for those who have given so much to serve
our country. I would like one more time to thank the
Chairman, Council Member Ulrich, as well as all the
members of the committee and also the staff of the
committee, Michael Kurtz, and my own staff Erica
Tucker and Adam Wren. Thank you very much, Mr.
Chair. Thank you very much for your leadership and
your support to this resolution. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you, Council

Member Eugene and it is always great to have you

back. You were my predecessor as Chair of Veterans

Committee and did a fine job and we always appreciate

your insight and continued advocacy on behalf of

is Loree Sutton and I am honored to serve as the

2	Commissioner for the New York City Department of
3	Veterans Services or DVS. I am joined today by my
4	stalwart colleague, Carmelyn P. Malalis, Commissione
5	of the New York City Commission of Human Rights known
6	as CCHR. On behalf of our respected agencies, I
7	would like to thank you for the opportunity to meet
8	and hear our testimony on Introduction 1259 which, if
9	passed, would add actual or perceived uniform service
10	as a protected status under Title 8 of the
11	Administrative Code of the City of New York, also
12	known as the Human Rights Law. At the outset, I
13	would like to applaud Council Member Jumaane Williams
14	for introducing this bill and placing additional
15	protections for our city's veterans and active duty
16	service members as legislative priority. Intro 1259
17	represents yet another tremendous stride that the
18	City of New York has made over the past few years to
19	address the significant needs and strengths of our
20	veterans' community and an important first step in
21	closing one significant gap in the law for veterans'
22	protections. These past few years have been marked
23	by transformative efforts by our administration and
24	the City Council to engage with veterans and their
25	families from all generations. For example, the

2	membership of the Veterans Advisory Board, VAB, whom
3	are appointed by Mayor de Blasio and Speaker Mark-
4	Viverito, strategically selected to sustain a diverse
5	range of service backgrounds, community engagement
6	interest and professional expertise to help
7	facilitate dialog with the New York City veterans
8	community. We are also the first city in the nation
9	to honor the service of our veterans by adding a
10	veteran designator to our municipal ID card program,
11	known as IDNYC, thereby facilitating enhanced access
12	to services and benefits specifically for veteran New
13	Yorkers. Must dramatically, New York City has
14	transitioned The Mayor's Office of Veteran Affairs
15	into a full city-wide agency specifically devoted to
16	the well-being and support of veterans and their
17	families now known as the New York City Department of
18	Veteran Services. Since the passage of historic
19	legislation by the New York City Council and
20	subsequent signing of Local Law 113 by Mayor de
21	Blasio over one year ago, the Department of Veteran
22	Services has grown in vision, scope and capacity as
23	we build the strongest foundation possible for
24	connecting veterans and their families with high
25	quality services across a variety of needs and

2	domains while also strengthening their capacity for
3	and commitment to continued service within our city.
4	We are pleased to report that DVS has diligently
5	worked on-board a talented and diverse group of
6	professionals, some of whom are here in the audience
7	today, to match resources with veterans' needs.
8	Since April of 2016, we have grown this agency to 90
9	percent of our projected staffing levels and are well
10	on our way to our full complement of 35 positions by
11	the Fall of 2017. Designing and staffing and leading
12	a start-up agency, the first in over 15 years within
13	New York City Government, is an enormous privilege,
14	might say the privilege of a lifetime and it is one
15	Team DVS takes seriously to ensure that our efforts
16	deserve the confidence and trust placed in us by so
17	many. With respect to today's hearing, I would like
18	to highlight how positively impactful this
19	legislation will be for veterans across our great
20	city. Intro 1259 would add actual or perceived
21	uniform service as a protected status under the Humar
22	Rights Law. The addition of uniformed service would
23	include those with current or prior service in the
24	United States Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corp and
25	Coast Guard as well as their respective reserve

2	components. In addition, the bill would also include
3	those who have served in the Commission Corp of the
4	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, The
5	Commission Corp of the United States Public Health
6	Service, the Army and Air National Guard, the
7	Organized Militia of the State of New York or the
8	Organized Militia of any other state, territory or
9	possession of the United States. Newly returning
10	service members as well as those who have been home
11	for quite some time all can benefit a great deal from
12	the passage of Intro 1259 as it would add additional
13	bulwark of protection as a right of action against
14	intentional or unintentional prejudice and unfair
15	bias. Veterans, either those established in our city
16	or those just returning from service, are civic
17	assets, extraordinary civic assets primed for
18	starting the next mission in their lives whether
19	becoming a civil or public servant or elected
20	official, business owner or starting a new chapter in
21	their education, our veterans and their families are
22	our city's leading natural renewable resource and
23	have so much to offer our city. Two major areas
24	where veterans and their families can face
25	considerable barriers due to their actual or

2	perceived uniform service are in housing and
3	employment. A landlord accepting the GI Bill as a
4	legitimate proof of income is a major concern among
5	established veterans and those returning service
6	members who are coming to New York City for college.
7	Veterans want to use their GI Bill to come to our
8	city and pursue higher education at VA approved
9	institutions or at on-the-job training programs.
10	They want to lead productive and fulfilling post
11	service lives. DVS staff has identified through
12	interviews with veterans that many times landlords
13	are either misinformed or unwilling to accept the GI
14	Bill housing allowance as a legitimate form of
15	income. This is because one, the payment of funds to
16	the veteran through the VA may not immediately
17	coincide with the landlord's rental agreement
18	commencement date or two, generally payments through
19	the GI Bill are valid while veterans are in school,
20	typically nine months out of the year which does not
21	align with traditional 12 month lease agreements.
22	While payments through the GI Bill may not arrive for
23	weeks after a student veteran has begun their
24	education, the GI Bill is universally regarded as a
25	legitimate and lawful source of income which should

2	not automatically preclude a veteran from obtaining
3	housing under the current Human Rights Law. In
4	addition, private landlords and student veterans are
5	free to structure their lease agreements in ways that
6	are mutually beneficial under the GI payment
7	structure. It should also be noted that while some
8	landlords do rent the student veterans, there are
9	more who probably would want to rent the veterans.
10	However, New York City is not close to any major
11	military installations so landlords are not
12	accustomed to rental practices that are standard in
13	other parts of the country where more of the
14	workforce is comprised of active duty military and
15	veterans. DVS and CCHR are actively working to
16	promote both educating landlords and empowering our
17	student veterans as to the respective rights and
18	responsibilities. Veterans who want to pursue
19	employment opportunities may also face prejudice
20	based on the history of military service. Some
21	cases, veterans have been denied employment based on
22	the wrongful belief that their service did not
23	qualify as meaningful work experience with
24	substantive, transferable skills or that military
25	service is indicative of having some sort of mental

2	illness which would make them "unstable." The
3	following accounts from veteran clients illustrate
4	the stigma associated with veterans or those who are
5	in the military and the resulting discrimination they
6	may face in pursuing employment in housing. As is
7	often the case with discrimination, many of the
8	statements made to our clients are not documented
9	during the course of the interview. While DVS cannot
10	confirm the accuracy of the veteran clients account,
11	we do accurately represent their description of the
12	events. The following accounts occurred in the past
13	five to seven years. Pseudonyms are used to protect
14	the privacy and identity of these veteran clients.
15	Veteran Fernando Benitez recounted how when he was
16	interviewing for a position within an organization,
17	he was visibly nervous as is natural during such
18	circumstances The hiring manager noticing Mr.
19	Benitez looking anxious and sweating asked about his
20	deployment to Iraq which Mr. Benitez had included on
21	his resume. The hiring manager asked Mr. Benitez if
22	he served in combat while serving in the military.
23	Mr. Benitez responded that he did serve in combat and
24	was just a little nervous because he had not
25	interviewed in quite a while. The hiring manager

appeared sympathetic and asked in a concerned tone if
Mr. Benitez suffered from PTSD because of his
experience in Iraq. Mr. Benitez responded that he
did not have PTSD. The hiring manager asked are you
sure because the nature of the work you are applying
for includes interacting with disgruntled customers
and we want to make sure that you don't have any
incidents. Although Mr. Benitez did get the job, he
felt highly stigmatized and stereotyped which he
believed prevented him from fully performing well in
job duties. In addition, because he believed he was
more heavily securitized than other employees, he
feels that his opportunity for growth at the
organization may have been compromised. Another
example, Air Force Staff Sergeant Melissa Rodriguez
was recently stationed in Jersey City, New Jersey on
recruiting duty however was looking to rent in the
New York City area. As an active duty service
members, she would receive a basic housing allowance
or BAH to cover the cost of monthly rent. The
property management company expressed skepticism
about whether she could afford the cost of the rental
with the salary identified on her paystubs. Staff
Sergeant Rodriguez reiterated that the BAH would

2	cover the cost of the rental and she provided
3	additional documentation separate from her income
4	statement that confirmed a separate rental stream.
5	The management company asked for additional
6	documentation not easily available from her
7	commanding officer but delayed her ability to sign
8	the lease. The management company ultimately found
9	another tenant because she did not obtain the
10	additional documentation in time. Staff sergeant
11	Rodriguez believed that the management company was
12	engaging in stall tactics in order to avoid renting
13	to someone from the military. The New York City
14	Human Rights Law currently prohibits discrimination
15	in many vital and valuable spheres of everyday life
16	and protects some of our city's most vulnerable
17	populations against unwarranted prejudice. These
18	fears include employment and job training programs,
19	certain places of public accommodation, the sale or
20	rental of housing accommodations, land or commercial
21	space, lending practices and real estate services and
22	related transactions as well as the granting of
23	licenses and permits. Veterans often initially
24	report instances of alleged discrimination to the
25	Department of Veteran Services due to the fact they

2	are not sure what or if any avenues of recourse are
3	available to them under current law. DVS refers
4	these veterans to the appropriate investigative or
5	enforcement body such as the United States Department
6	of Labor for employment related Uniform Services
7	Employment Redeployment Rights Act or USERRA claims;
8	the New York State Division on Human Rights which
9	maintains military status as a protected class or the
10	New York City Commission on Human Rights so that
11	veterans might pursue legal redress under whatever
12	current rights of actions may apply. The Commission
13	on Human Rights when properly empowered by the
14	passage of Introduction 1259 is poised to pursue
15	remedies for those subject to discrimination
16	specifically because of their military involvement
17	and service. With the passage of this bill, DVS sees
18	a tremendous opportunity to work collaboratively with
19	the Commission on Human Rights. While DVS pursues
20	means to reduce the stigma which perpetuates the kind
21	of discrimination this bill aims to address, the
22	commission can investigate and penalize bad actors
23	for unfair bias. Both agencies working together can
24	then complement the work of the other to help
25	facilitate successful reintegration for our veterans

2.2

2.3

and their families. We look forward to the passage
of this bill in adding yet another bulwark of
protection for our military service members who call
the City of New York their home. Thank you again for
this opportunity to meet with you today. I will
defer questions till after my colleague, Commission
Malalis, completes her testimony if that meets with
your approval, Chair Ulrich?

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Council Member Williams, the sponsor of the bill, will make his statement after the Commissioner's testimony.

LOREE SUTTON: Thank you, Chair Ulrich.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you again.

CARMELYN MALALIS: Thanks for that

Commissioner Sutton. Good morning Chair Ulrich and

members of the Committee of Veterans and Committee on

Civil Rights and to their staff members who are also

here today. I want to thank you for convening

today's hearing on Intro 1259. As many of you know,

I am Carmelyn P. Malalis. I am the Commissioner and

Chair at the New York City Commission on Human

Rights. The Commission does not regularly appear

before the Committee on Veterans but we're happy to

be here today. In fact, I am very excited to be here

2	today so thank you for having me. With our partners
3	at the Department of Veteran Services and my
4	wonderful colleague, Commissioner Lori Sutton, to
5	discuss this very important bill that will create
6	antidiscrimination protections on the basis of
7	uniformed service status and the city Human Rights
8	Law. We also thank Council Member Williams of course
9	and Public Advocate Letitia James for their
10	partnership in introducing this very important piece
11	of legislation. The Commission on Human Rights
12	enforces the city Human Rights Law which is one of
13	the broadest and most protective antidiscrimination
14	laws in the country with protections against
15	discrimination in housing, employment and public
16	space in addition to protections against
17	discriminatory harassment and biased based profiling
18	by law enforcement. In the past two years since
19	Mayor de Blasio appointed me to lead this agency, we
20	have worked tirelessly to revitalize the Commission
21	as a credible venue of justice for all New Yorkers
22	aggrieved under the city Human Rights Law. Thanks to
23	the support of the Council and this administration,
24	the Commission is filing more complaints on behalf of
25	New Yorkers and reaching more communities, issuing

2	groundbreaking policy guidance and proactively
3	investigating patterns and practice and systemic
4	discrimination through the use of testers and the
5	authority to initiate its investigation without a
6	complainant. In 2016, we saw an increase of over 60
7	percent of inquiries to the Commission and we filed
8	nearly 900 complaints of discrimination, over 200
9	more complaints than the Commission had filed in 2014
10	before I was appointed to lead this agency. While we
11	pride ourselves in the protections that we have in
12	the city Human Rights Law, the protections that
13	affords New Yorkers, the law does not currently
14	prohibit discrimination on the basis of one's service
15	in the military or status as a veteran. Other
16	jurisdictions have moved ahead of us in this area
17	including New York State, Massachusetts and Chicago
18	and federal statutes which all have some form of
19	antidiscrimination protections for current and former
20	service members. It is important that New York City
21	speak on this issue as well and ensure New Yorkers
22	who face discrimination based on their uniform
23	service that they can access justice by giving them a
24	venue at the Commission or giving them the ability to
25	bring claims in state court under the city Human

2	Rights Law which according to its terms must be
3	interpreted broadly. This bill represents an
4	important step in guaranteeing that current and
5	former service members can access employment,
6	housing, public accommodation on equal footing as all
7	other New Yorkers and people who visit New York City.
8	The legislation before us closes a significant gap ir
9	the law and gives current and former service members
10	an accessible venue to bring their claims of
11	discrimination. We look forward to continuing to
12	work with our partners at DVS and the Council and of
13	course important advocates who are here today to
14	address additional issues facing our veterans and
15	service members. The bill proposes to add "uniformed
16	service" to the existing core categories of
17	protection under the city's Human Rights Law which
18	covers housing, employment and public accommodation
19	and also includes protections against discrimination
20	and licensing and lending. The proposed legislation
21	broadly defines uniformed service to include current
22	or prior service in one, United States Army, Navy,
23	Air Force, Marine Corp, Coast Guard, the Commission
24	Corp of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric
25	Administration Commission Corn of the United States

Public Health Services, Army National Guard or Air
National Guard; two, the Organized Militia of the
State of New York as described in Section 2 of the
military law, or the organized militia of any other
state, territory or possession of the United States;
three, any other service designated as part of the
uniformed services pursuant to Subsection 16, of
Section 4303 of Title 38 of the United States Code;
b, membership in any reserve component of the United
States Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps or Coast
Guard or c, being listed on the State Reserve list or
State Retired list as described in Section 2 of the
military law or comparable status for any other
state, territory or possession of the United States.
As you can see, this definition is quite broad. This
bill would make it unlawful to discriminate in
housing, employment, public spaces, licensing and
lending on the basis of uniformed service status and
including uniformed service as a protected category
would provide service members and veterans with
valuable protection to ensure that they can access
employment, housing and public accommodations without
discrimination or harassment as a type of described
by my colleague, Commissioner Sutton, based on their

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

service. It would also give the Commission the ability to enforce the law to explicitly protect veterans and service members at the local level. The Commission regularly receives inquiries from veterans and service members about discrimination and my staff have had to refer those cases to the State Division of Human Rights unless we can identify another area of protection over which we actually have jurisdiction. We think it is critical that the Commission be able to investigate and prosecute these claims rather than simply refer them to the State Should this bill become law, the Division. Commission tends to work closely with our partners at DVS to make sure people are aware of their rights and how to access the Commission and other services. are already coordinating closely to ensure that New York City's student veterans' community understands their rights with respect to housing discrimination on the basis of lawful source, which includes the use of the GI Bill to cover rent. The Commission welcomes the opportunity to partner with members of the Committee on Veterans and the Committee on Civil Rights to explore further collaborations to get the word out about these important new protections.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thank Council Member Williams and Public Advocate

James for introducing this important piece of

legislation and Chair Ulrich, Chair Mealy and the

other members of the Committees of Veterans and Civil

Rights for holding this hearing. We look forward to

working with the Council and our partners in the

administration to further our shared goal of dignity

and respect for all. Thank you again.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Commissioner, thank you again for your testimony. Both you and Commissioner Sutton are always welcome before the Veterans Committee and I just want to let you know that I was not texting or ignoring your testimony. actually had a chance to read your testimony earlier but I was actually posting today's link to the hearing on Facebook and Twitter because there are a lot of veterans who are not able to make it to the hearings but we do see a good number of them who tune in from home or on their laptops or tablets so they are watching us live and they are interested in veterans issues and we want to make sure that they have access to what we're talking about today, very important stuff. Before I ask any questions and my colleagues ask questions, I will ask the prime

Thank you very

2

3

4

6

7 8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

sponsor of today's bill, Council Member Jumaane Williams, to make an opening statement and then we will circle back to questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:

much, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Brigadier General. Thank you, Commissioner. I do want to shout to my former legislative director Nick Smith who was taken over to the dark side, administration. He is the one that helped this bill in for me and gave me a bill that Joe Borelli and I can agree on so I do appreciate that very much. I know a lot of folks, some folks are surprised at my interest in veteran issues and they shouldn't be. I am about fairness and equity. I do want to thank the Public Advocate Letitia James for her co-prime sponsorship although I like the way it was worked here. It says Williams, the Public Advocate, so it kind of seems -- I like that ring to it so hopefully I can get used to it. But this bill is very, very important. I don't particularly favor many of the wars that our nation gets into. I don't favor how we make ware be the force that guides us and give us our morals but I do want to think about the human beings that we make promises to and who actually risk their lives based

2	on those promises. Many of those are my family. I
3	have a cousin now who is fighting after he was
4	injured to make sure he receives the benefits that he
5	does. Just recently, my little brother will be going
6	to the Navy this summer, Matthew Williams, and I'm
7	very proud of him. I'm concerned about where he will
8	go and I am also concerned about what will happen
9	when he gets back so I do you want to do all that I
10	cannot just for my family but for all the veterans
11	who are here. We continually prop veterans up in
12	uniform services as valuable protectors of the
13	country yet we repeatedly leave them vulnerable and
14	undefended even as they fulfil their end of the
15	agreement. I think that is unfair. New York State
16	is home to nearly 900,000 veterans, 225,000 of them
17	call New York City home. They are often unable to
18	find stable employment, stable housing. According to
19	the US Department of Labor nearly 14,000 veterans are
20	unemployed across New York State. According to the
21	Bureau of Labor Statistics, employers refuse to hire
22	them for fear they will be deployed during employment
23	or falsely assume that veterans may suffer from
24	mental health issues. They have faced much
25	discrimination in housing because landlords may fear

COMMITTEE	ON	77EmED 7 71
	OIN	VETERANS

that they be deployed on official orders or cannot
afford rent. There are too many veterans who are
homeless. I've seen major league baseball teams and
other teams go down [inaudible] heroes. I've yet to
see veterans from Afghan, Iraq War go down as vetera
heroes. I have another resolution that is
unfortunately stalled. I believe the administration
is not supportive of it but we need to make sure we
show these folks respect and hold up our end of the
bargain. I am about fairness and equity and I
believe that is what this bill is. I appreciate the
administration's support of this and all of the
advocates, in particular Kristen Rouse the AD of New
York City Veterans Alliance who helped push this bil
and I thank the Chair for having the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And, I'm sorry, I have a hearing across the street that I have to go to.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: There are several hearings going on simultaneously. I know Education, Aging and a number of other committees that are also meeting at the same time so you will see a lot of people checking in and out of today's proceeding. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

have a question for the Commissioner of Human Rights about how, if this bill is passed, how will it actually be enforced and maybe you can shed some light on the process for what happens when a veteran makes an allegation or is able to substantiate a claim of a form of discrimination based upon their

8 prior military service.

CARMELYN MALALIS: Sure. So if this bill were to pass and uniformed service would be added to a category protection of the Human Rights Law, claims that are being made under that category of protection would be investigated similarly to how nay other claims of protection are investigated. I would say as I said in my testimony we are ready to receive calls from veterans or from people who would be in the category, fall in the category of uniformed service. If we are not able to investigate a claim that they have because they don't fall within our jurisdiction because if they are calling about discrimination because of their veteran status or because of their uniform service we have been referring those cases to the State Division of Human Rights. So if this bill were to pass and someone were to call us and with facts supporting a claim of

2	discrimination based specifically on their uniformed
3	service, basically what happens is those calls either
4	can come to us directly from the person who is
5	aggrieved as they often do. We also often times get
6	calls from elected officials, other council members
7	have called us, we've gotten calls from community-
8	based organizations who have called on behalf of
9	individuals. Once we get information about the
10	claim, that information then gets placed in line to
11	have an intake by the Commission's law enforcement
12	bureau. So an appointment is made between that
13	individual or the person who is providing the
14	information with a commission attorney in the law
15	enforcement bureau. So an actual attorney will meet
16	with the person providing the information, whether
17	it's the person aggrieved or a third-party providing
18	the information to us. They will get enough
19	information that they have to determine whether or
20	not it does indeed fall within the Commission's
21	jurisdiction, whether it is indeed a claim under the
22	city's Human Rights Law. If it is determined that it
23	is then a complaint will be drawn up. Sometimes that
24	complaint is drawn up by the commission attorney.
25	Sometimes if the person who is agarieved has their

2	own attorney it comes in as a lawyer filed complaint.
3	Then that complaint is accepted by the Commission's
4	law enforcement bureau where it is investigated. At
5	that period of time, the law enforcement bureau is
6	investigating that complaint as a neutral kind of
7	fact-finder, investigating it by, you know,
8	interviewing witnesses so usually the aggrieved
9	person would be included as a witness, the potential
10	adverse actor or actress would be interviewed. So in
11	the case of a housing discrimination if it was a
12	landlord, the landlord or the broker. In the case of
13	an employment discrimination related issue, it could
14	be the possible employer or could be the person who
15	had done the initial interview or initial application
16	review at that time. They gather any other kind of
17	evidence that they need to make a determination as to
18	whether or not there is belief that there is probable
19	cause to determine that the discriminatory had in
20	fact occurred. If that is the case and a
21	determination is made of what we call or what they
22	call in the bureau, a probable cause determination,
23	then the claim will be referred to the Office of
24	Administrative Trials and Hearings, OATH, for a full
25	trial by an Administrative Law Judge. Now at any

point during this process, of course, the parties can
also resolve the case by settlement or conciliation
and that is in fact often times the case where rather
than going through a trial at OATH, rather than going
through kind of a prolonged litigation process some
form of settlement is reached where there could be
monetary damages, the Commission can order that the
respondent in those cases so the bad acting landlord
or bad acting employer or bad acting public
accommodations, we can order that they take certain
affirmative actions to assure us that they are going
to comply with the law in the future or to assure us
that they are making clear to the clientele or the
public or their employees or their tenants what the
law is and the fact that they are going to abide by
the law because in fact they had been before the
Commission on Human Rights. If a settlement or
conciliation is not reached during that period of
time and the case does go forward for a full trial
before an ALJ at OATH that trial goes forward again
with witnesses and evidence being presented as it
would in any sort of court of law. At the that
proceeding, the ALJ will provide a report and a
recommendation to the Commission on Human Rights so

that they will provide basically what amounts to
their suggestions and their recommendations with
regards to liabilities, with regards to any damages
that should be awarded to a complainant if there is a
complainant involved and with regards to any sort of
civil penalties because under the law the Commission
also has the power to levy civil penalties on
entities that have been found to violate the law in
order to act as a deterrent for future violations of
the law. That report and recommendation then is
accepted by my office, which is the Office of the
Chair of Human Rights, and then we review that. We
review the entire record De Novo so we look at it
with a fresh eye. After that we are able to then
release our own decision in order that may to accept
in part, may adopt in part or reject the report and
recommendation of the ALJ and we will issue the final
decision and order as to damages and liabilities and
any sort of civil penalties.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: This is truly significant in that a service member or former service member who is making a claim or allegation of discrimination of employment or housing based upon their military service can actually be awarded a

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

monetary award, if you will, or compensation to make
up for the substantiated claim and how much are the
fines typically and give me a similar incident of how

5 | much that might be?

CARMELYN MALALIS: Sure. First of all, your initial premises absolutely correct, you know, aggrieved complainants can't receive monetary damages and they can be significant. It can be up to \$125,000 per act of discrimination. If the act of discrimination is found to have been wanton, reckless, willful up to \$250,000. I'm sorry, I'm talking about civil penalties. Damages, damages that are actually to the complainant are according to what those damages are. So if the person has been damaged in an amount that is equal to, you know, a few hundred dollars those would be the amount awarded to the complainant. If the person was damaged in the amount of several hundred thousand dollars, then that would likely be what would be awarded to the complainant. In recent years, in the last few years, what we have really been making us try to do is to make sure that the Commission on Human Rights is an equal venue to going to court so that if somebody files at the Commission of Human Rights they are are

2.2

2.3

not getting discounted justices just because they are going to the Commission. If they are going to get some sort of damages award in court, we want to make sure that whatever they are getting in court is similar to what they would be getting also at the Commission on Human Rights.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: So I wonder if
someone disagrees with the determination made by the
Human Rights Commission if they could file an Article
78 and go to court? That is kind of interesting
because a lot of times people don't like the
determination that comes out of a City Administrative
Trial or you know I'm thinking about trafficking
court or OATH, you know, ruling and then they go to they file in Supreme Court.

I would not. One, initially when someone is filing there is an election of remedies. One can choose to file administratively with the Commission on Human Rights witching a year of whatever the adverse action is. They can also choose to file within three years of that adverse action in state court. They are choosing their venue. If they file administratively and if at the end of the day, either the party or one

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of the parties does not agree with the decision and order of the Commission that decision is appealable to State Supreme.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Right. That is most city determination. So that I think is very significant. I think of National Guard, I want to say, quardsmen or service members, National Guard members, I guess, and reservists who have to go for training several times a year and then they say a look on the third weekend of the month I can't work at this particular job and the guy says, you know, I've had enough of you and you're fired. That sounds right for a claim with the Human Rights Commission, someone being discriminated based upon their military status or their service in one of the branches. guardsmen are covered by this as well? Right. So it is not only reservists and people who are former service members, it's for current members of the National Guard.

LOREE SUTTON: Chair Ulrich, if I could just add. First of all, let me applaud the expertise and professionalism and commitment of my fellow Commissioner Carmelyn Malalis. As you could hear, she rocks at the New York City Commission on Human

2	Rights and we are blessed as a city to have her at
3	the helm. From the perspective of an individual
4	veteran or service member, as we are going to hear a
5	little later today, I had a chance to meet Erica Funk
6	(SP) who is a member of the United States Air Force
7	Reserve an she will tell her story. It is an
8	important story but I think to distill the elements,
9	it is great to know that we have protections at the
10	federal level, wouldn't want to see anything happen
11	to those, and it is great to know that we've got
12	protections at the state level but from the
13	perspective of a single veteran or service member who
14	was affected by the actions of some of the bad actors
15	whether intention well or otherwise, and there are
16	both, but from the perspective of a single veteran or
17	service member you can feel just really alone and the
18	redress, the complicated, the complex series of
19	actions that must take place to ensure due diligence
20	and due process from the federal level and even state
21	level can take months and months and years and years.
22	So to know that as a New Yorker, my own city has got
23	my back there is no price that we can put on that
24	benefit. That is exactly what this legislation
25	proposes to redress and to close that gap and to

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

communicate to every one of our veterans and service
members regardless of component, regardless of era of
service that your city, New York City, has your back.

5 Awesome!

CARMELYN MALALIS: I would add to that that the Commission on Human Rights was specifically created to be a venue for the most vulnerable in New York City and people in New York City who did not have the resources to commit themselves to, you know, a proceeding in federal court or in state court. What is wonderful about filing complaints at the Commission is that you need not pay any sort of filing fees, you need not come represented. In fact, the majority of people who come to the Commission on Human Rights are not represented, they come without an attorney. Although increasingly there are more and more people who are coming because attorney fees are now available for administrative actions but it is a venue that anticipates people coming in with little resources and certainly I think that the stories that Commissioner Sutton has read and certainly the folks in this room are no stranger too are descriptions of people who are coming often times without resources and are likely suffering from or

2.2

2.3

confronted with multiple cases or multiple issues of discrimination so it could be because of their uniform status, could also be because of their — they have a disability, could be because of their age, it could be because of how they are trying to pay for their housing using the GI Bill so there are often times many different ways or many different reasons that somebody is coming forward and certainly having this protection at the local level would be a significant benefit to our community of uniformed services.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: I think that is great. Certainly veterans not having to pay for an index fee, you know, or hire an attorney although an attorney would be helpful and I would hope that the legal services providers, NYLAG, Legal Services NYC and other groups that already help veterans with housing issues and other civil legal matters would jump at this opportunity to represent vulnerable former service members with these very complicated issues. The one thing that I think is very interesting is that every one of these cases is unique and they are — it is probably safe to say that there are two that are identical or that are the

same and having legal representation I think could be
very helpful in having a veteran sort through some of
these issues because there may be other things
involved here and I would hate to see veterans turned
away from the Commission because they can't sort of
organize their thoughts or get the evidence that
might be necessary to substantiate an alleged
violation and I am wondering if the Commission would
be available for veterans who do report certain
instances of discrimination or wrongful termination
or whatever, unfair treatment they receive, is it
legal or is it a conflict for the Commission to refer
them to one of the legal service providers? Is it
if it is going to be helpful to the plaintiff, fi you
will, is it a conflict or is it illegal for you to
say call NYLAG or call Legal Services NYC because
they can really help you put all these things
together. We are happy to investigate everything and
give it a fair hearing and refer it how we deem
necessary but are you able to connect veterans with
legal service providers who can help them make their
case even stronger?

CARMEN MALALIS: Well there are a few things I would say to that. One is that when I first

2	started at the Commission, we had a staff of 55
3	across the agency. We are now at about I think 123.
4	We have had significant increases at the agency and
5	for the most part a lot of folks who have joined the
6	agency are people who are former attorneys or
7	outreach folks at places like NYLAG, Bronx Defenders,
8	Legal Aid Society. So the people who are actually
9	working at the Commission are people who have had the
10	experience working with these types of cases with
11	these types of vulnerable communities and are not
12	easily, you know, flustered or do not easily turn
13	people away. In fact, usually it is situations in
14	which our folks will turn someone away is if there is
15	no jurisdiction at the Commission on Human Rights.
16	We all because of the people who are at the
17	Commission on Human Rights we also have strong
18	relationships with a lot of these organizations. So
19	we're often in situations where these organizations
20	may make themselves known as also possible resources
21	or support for people who are looking for counsel but
22	again I wanted to assure you that one of the
23	strengths I feel of the Commission is that someone
24	need not have an attorney to actually have a strong

and robust case presented at the Commission on Human Rights.

veteran and I go to the Commission and I make a claim but I said I really am a nervous person, I've got all these other things going on right now and I really would feel more comfortable if I had an attorney assisting me with this. How do I get an attorney?

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: How -- if I'm a

CARMELYN MALALIS: I would imagine

Commission staff would identify which Legal Aid or

legal service providers that person could call

depending on what their issue is and where they are.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: So the City Council currently funds through our Veterans Initiative,

NYLAG and Legal Services NYC, I don't think Legal Aid is part of the Veterans Initiative, but I'm sure that they'd be more than happy to represent a veteran who needed legal services but I wonder if once this is up and running the staff at the Commission could make a brochure or some sort of material that could be made available just to say hey if you feel more comfortable with having an attorney assist you with

processing this or collecting the stuff that we're

asking you for, you can call these individual organizations because they are getting funding.

CARMELYN MALALIS: To be clear during the intake conversation that conversation would be had. We do have extensive referral resources because of the relationships to our attorneys.

Something to be said on the flipside about due process. People can claim things that are completely false and not substantiated and we don't want to have this used as a venue for someone pursuing a vendetta something that clearly is not a violation of the Human Rights Law where there was no discrimination that took place and I know that your investigators and the staff take that very seriously but there are people who obviously use these as opportunities to pursue other agendas where something illegal perhaps did not take place. So we want to be very clear that this is not for veterans to use that.

LOREE SUTTON: Chair Ulrich, I know in talking with veterans and other New Yorkers who have other accessed avenues of redress through the Commission of Human Rights, they have spoken highly of the level of professionalism and due process.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 That the Commission on Human Rights follows where the 3 facts lead wherever that may be.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Right.

So I think that it is LOREE SUTTON: important for everyone to understand that principle of due process and due diligence is absolutely upheld through -- I'll brag about Commissioner Malalis and her team in ways that she in ways that she probably would be more a little more restrained but is a phenomenal resource in our city and one which under this administration as Commission Malalis said, is growing because of the recognition that at the city level it is so important to have redress and access to a grievance process when one feels one's been wronged. I will also say, getting back to that legal services piece, you will recall last year that the city actually in our first budget for DVS procured what had been a two year pilot here in New York City, New York City Serves pilot, we're just getting toward the final stages of that procurement process but a number of the legal service agencies that are here in the room today are members of that network and as we prepare to rebrand and relaunch now in its city rendition this will be -- today's introduction will

be an essential complement and partner in that effort
and will ensure a seamless process that will allow
aggrieved veterans to access the legal and other
support and defense services provided through the
Commission on Human Rights as well as any other legal
services for housing or a variety of other services
but to be very clear, the importance of legal
services, I will say one thing that I have learned
crystal-clear in my tenure as Commissioner, first for
[inaudible] and now for DVS, is that in many cases
the most important thing that we can do to assist and
benefit and strengthen the mental health of one of
our veterans or their family members to provide
access to capable and quality legal services. So I
want to commend the Council and the Committee for
your work in that behalf. I can assure you as a new
agency this is something we are placing a priority on
and look forward to continuing to build on the
existing process because after all, having rights and
protections without the ability, the capacity to
access them is really worse than having no rights or
protection.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Or articulate them in some cases.

_

LOREE SUTTON: That is exactly right. So thank you Commissioner Malalis and everyone who has - are there more questions?

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: I am going to recognize that Co-Chair of today's Committee, Council Member King has joined us. He is filling in for Council Member Darlene Mealy who could not join us. He is going to be Co-Chairing the hearing with me. I want to acknowledge that we have also been joined Council Member Salamanca from the Bronx, Council Member Vallone from Queens and I know that Council Member Vallone has a question and I know that we have a panel of other folks who want to testify so we are going to move this along pretty expeditiously. Thank you.

council Member Vallone: Just a quick one, Chair, and Commissioners, always a pleasure to see you. Thank you for the support on this. This is a great bill. Commissioner Malalis, I think the attorney side of me has never been more excited hearing your rendition of a hearing and at the trial. It's like hey let's have lunch and talk about that. It was great. I don't get often to do that too often so that was wonderful. Following that, with these I

2.2

2.3

guess actions or complaints that can be filled, is there a differentiation between civil and criminal?

CARMELYN MALALIS: We do not -- so the Commission of Human Rights does not have jurisdiction over any criminal matters. We are not solely a civil law enforcement agency so our remedies are limited to what you would find in a civil law enforcement action.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: But you used the standard for criminal and that's where my legal side popped up. You're saying that probable cause has to be shown. Probable cause is for criminal not civil so I want to make sure there's not an undue burden for our veterans to prove discrimination where anyone else in a civil case does not have to prove probable cause.

CARMELYN MALALIS: So it's actually a different standard. It is confusing because it uses kind of the same keywords as probable cause but really what the investigator, what the attorney investigator is looking at that particular point in the investigation is to see whether a reasonable person could determine that the discriminatory act may have occurred so it is a very --

1	COMMITTEE ON VETERANS 48
2	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I am not
3	comfortable with that.
4	CARMELYN MALALIS: That's by statute.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: We are going to
6	have to take a look at that statute because if they
7	are using the language of probable cause, Chair
8	Ulrich and I are going to have to change that.
9	CARMELYN MALALIS: It is more likely that
10	not standard.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: But still I
12	think trust me, if it says probable cause and I'm
13	standing in front of a judge or an ALJ judge and I
14	don't meet that standard my veteran is not going to
15	be able to so we want to sure that the veterans get
16	the same on a civil. If you're criminal, I
17	completely understand. But on civil, we do not want
18	to be meeting the burden of probable cause.
19	CARMELYN MALALIS: Oh yeah. I mean to
20	the contrary it is a much broader standard than you
21	would find in federal court and similar federal anti-
22	discrimination law.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you,

Commissioner. Always good to hear from both.

2 CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you, thank 3 I cannot thank you enough. I think that today 4 is a very important hearing and on a very important I recently met with as Commissioner Sutton is 5 aware many students from BMCC about a month and a 6 7 half or two months ago who were very disappointed and 8 quite frankly disheartened by the fact that they were being turned down by so many landlords because they would not accept their certificate of eligibility 10 11 because they didn't even know what it was first of 12 They have a lack of understanding of these type 13 of benefits that veterans are able to have access to 14 and how difficult it is. Real estate in general in 15 New York City is such a complex, expensive process 16 but that veterans who even have access to certain 17 benefits by virtue of their service to our country 18 they can't even use those benefits because landlords 19 won't accept them and I am hoping that hopefully that 20 will fall under the new law when this is passed and I 21 think it is also significant symbolically that we are 2.2 moving away from treating veterans as victims that we 2.3 are not forcing them to make a substantiated claim of discrimination based on some disability, mental or 24

physical or other, that actually people are in fact

being discriminated against because they are a
veteran not because they are mentally ill or
something that may not be true but that was the only
avenue for them to pursue so I think this is
significant that we are codifying this into law and I
want to applaud and thank Council Member Williams, I
think he popped out to another hearing, but I think
it's great. Also on just pat myself and the
members of the Committee on the back, we have a
packed audience here. We're talking about real
issues to a real agency and how far we've come as a
city thanks to the cooperation between the
administration and the City Council and fostering a
real and robust dialogue on real issues that impact
the real lives of veterans in New York City and I
just think that we've come so far. We've come a long
way with funding initiatives. We have a real agency.
We are amending the human rights laws. We're really
trying to make a dent to make a difference in the
lives of veterans and I want to thank you for your
assistance and your help and your cooperation in
helping us to achieve this.

25 Chair. We are all in this together so working

LOREE SUTTON: Well and back at you, Mr.

2.2

2.3

together we've got more work to do but there is truly no challenge to great so let's keep after it.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Commissioners, thank you again and the administration for your testimony. I am going to turn it over to my Co-Chair here to call out the first panel. I am going to step out for a few minutes and come back but Council Member King is Co-Chairing today's hearing. He's representing the Committee on Civil Rights and he will make a few brief remarks and then call up our first panel of speakers. Thank you.

CO-CHAIRPERSON KING: Good afternoon and
-- no, morning, we won't rush through the day yet.

Thank you all for attending and thank you Mr. Chair

Ulrich of Veterans Committee appreciate all that you

are doing. As a son of a veteran, I understand the

importance of such a committee so to the

Commissioners who have testified earlier I thank you

both for your communication and your commitment for

us to get it right and the City of New York but to

each and every one of you who are here to testify, we

are interested in having a real serious conversation

of how to protect our veterans, how do we have rights

that make sense and doesn't discriminate with our own

2.2

2.3

policies that hurt the men and women who protect and serve our country, our communities and help us manage a world that helps us manage our own personal lives so thank you to each and every one of you who are here today and looking forward to another spirited conversation with the next panel that is due up. I have Peter Shea, Peter Capner and Kristen Rouse. The three of you. You can begin and we will start from my right your left to right. Please introduce yourself for the record.

PETER SHEA: Morning, my name is Peter
Shea and I have a few things to say about this bill
and maybe a recommendation. I haven't read the bill
but I have some ideas. I am a retired Naval officer
and my experience goes back to the 60s probably -- it
started when I received a little postcard in the mail
from the Selective Service System and wound its way
through Floyd Bennett Field and then to Vietnam.
Upon my return from Vietnam, I stayed in the Naval
Reserves because I wanted to continue serving my
country and in addition at that period of time in
19070, there was a recession so I had the opportunity
to be a weekend warrior with the Naval Reserve. At
that point Floyd Bennett Field still existed. It was

closed down and then I had the opportunity to my
reserve duty in Lakehurst, New Jersey and then in
Williamsburg, Pennsylvania. So the company I worked
for at that time and this has to do with employment,
worked for at that time, was not a great supporter of
veterans. So when I used to go to weekend duty, of
course the weekends were never a problem, but to get
my flight time in I would go and do extra time on a
Friday and we would get we'd report to Lakehurst
or Willow Grove and fly after 2 PM. So I was
required, based on my service, to get that flight
time and I advised my company that I would like to
leave early and at that point I worked in management
and on commission. So I was never totally restricted
from what I was doing but I was hassled by my company
and my company was an out of town, out of state
company who had employees all over the country and of
course I was working in New York. So I would like to
make sure that this bill covers out-of-state
companies that have employees working in New York and
will protect present-day veterans and service
members.

KRISTEN ROUSE: Thank you all. First, I would like to start out by giving a public thank you

2	to Olivia Meier on my staff who initially drafted
3	this version of this bill that we put forward and I
4	want to thank the public advocate and Councilman
5	Jumaane Williams for introducing this and championing
6	this legislation. I also want to give a thank you to
7	Council Member Borelli who was one of the early co-
8	sponsors of this bill and I want to thank both the
9	Committee on Civil Rights and the Committee on
10	Veterans for bringing this to a hearing. It's great
11	to be able to discuss this very important legislation
12	that we have supported and that we hope the city
13	Council supports as strongly. I am going to address
14	Introduction 1259 as well as Resolution 1412 and 1420
15	which are listed for today's hearing. My name is
16	Kristen Rouse. I served for more than 20 years of
17	combined service in the United States Army, Army
18	Reserve and New York National Guard which included
19	three tours of duty in Afghanistan. I am here today
20	to testify on behalf of the New York City Veteran's
21	Alliance, a member supported grass root policy and
22	advocacy empowerment organization serving veterans,
23	service members and their families across the New
24	York City metropolitan area. We appreciate
25	Resolutions 1412 and 1420. It is the role of

2	government to formally recognize the contributions of
3	our military by naming May as Military Appreciation
4	Month and to celebrate the passage of the GI Bill of
5	Rights. But naming an advocacy day in honor of the
6	passage of this historic legislation would be empty,
7	perhaps even insulting, if the Council fails to
8	likewise pass legislation that would have tangible
9	impacts on the lives of military members and veterans
10	and ensure that they not simply hear appreciation but
11	are also able to effectively access the promises of
12	the GI Bill of Rights right here in New York City.
13	Introduction 1259 doesn't just show appreciation but
14	it also institutes needed protection. Right now, as
15	we heard, landlords are discriminating against
16	student veterans who claim GI Bill educational
17	benefits as income. While it is worthy to celebrate
18	the GI Bill, it is a far more urgent matter to
19	protect veterans in accessing their GI Bill benefits.
20	Introduction 1259 would further protect veterans who
21	claim VA disability and pension payments as income
22	against landlords who either don't accept the
23	validity of the payments or worse who wrongly judge
24	the disabled veterans pose some sort of danger or
25	problem. Intro 1259 would also provide critical

2	protection in our city law for reservists and
3	National Guard members who are discriminated against
4	by employers. Currently 40 percent of the United
5	States Armed Forces are in reserve status and America
6	cannot defend itself from natural disasters or
7	conduct our military engagement abroad without the
8	full support of civilian employers to ensure that our
9	reservists are able to train, fight and return home
10	from these deployments of national importance. Yet
11	reserving Guard members, including the thousand who
12	call New York City home, struggle to explain to
13	employers that they are obligated to military duty.
14	It is all too common for reservists to not be hired
15	or have persistent problems with employers because of
16	their vital military duties. If reservist are
17	fortunate enough to have a job to come home to after
18	they deploy, they may find themselves left behind
19	with lost seniority and advancement in their careers.
20	There are protections at the federal and state levels
21	as we have discussed just as there are for most of
22	the categories listed in New York City's Human Rights
23	Laws. We need to pass Intro 1259 into law because
24	New York City government must be responsible for
25	ensuring veterans and military members are not just

appreciated but afforded the full protection of the
law in New York City's unique employment and housing
market. Boston, Chicago, Miami and Seattle have
already passed measures like this and it would be
shameful if New York City failed to likewise step up
and protect the veterans and military members who
call New York City home. Don't just take my word for
it. Here are the stories from public statements of a
few of our members. Jenny Fisher, who served in
Iraq, has been asked by New York City employers in
interviews whether she served in combat and how many
people had she killed with the implication that her
combat service is a sign of negative character or
future of performance. Molly Pearl, who's husband
served in Afghanistan, was denied housing nearby her
husband's service-connected cancer treatment because
the landlord took issue with VA disability payments
as income. Alona Duffy, who served in Iraq, is
medically retired and a Purple Heart recipient had to
paid double her security deposit to rent her
apartment because her landlord did not recognize GI
Bill educational benefits or VA disability as
sufficient income. She has also been denied
employment because of her ongoing medical

appointments because of the wounds she received in
combat. Cassina Vorapia (SP), an Air Force veteran,
was unable to find even one landlord or realtor in
New York City who would accept GI Bill educational
benefits as income. She currently lives in New
Jersey as a result. Daniel Gorman, who served in
Iraq, was denied employment as a production assistant
at a major city New York City media outlet not
because he wasn't fully qualified but because the
executive producer told him directly that she, "had a
real problem with his continued service in the
National Guard." Today, we call on all members of
the City Council. If you truly appreciate the
service and sacrifice of our veterans and military
members then step up and protect us. Co-sponsor and
pass Intro 1259. We can't afford further delay on
this important legislation. On behalf of the New
York City veterans alliance, I thank you for the
opportunity to testify today. Pending your
questions, this concludes my testimony.

PETER CAPNER (SP): Good morning, my name is Peter Capner. I am the Director of the Veterans

Justice Project of Brooklyn Legal Services, a part of

Legal Services NYC. Legal Services NYC is the

2	largest provider of free civil legal services in the
3	nation with offices in all five boroughs where we
4	serve over 90,000 New Yorkers annually. The Veterans
5	Justice Project represents low income veterans,
6	active duty service members and their families who
7	are in need of free legal services in the areas of
8	housing law, public benefit eligibility, family law
9	and other essential needs. We run a city-wide
10	hotline for veterans and staff multiple legal clinics
11	at VA facilities and other facilities throughout the
12	city. We provide fee civil legal services to well
13	over a thousand of New York City veterans and active
14	duty service members and their families every year.
15	We thank the City Council's Veterans and Civil Rights
16	Committees for inviting us to testify regarding
17	Introduction 1259 which would amend the New York City
18	Human Rights Law to add uniformed service as a
19	protected class under the statute. I would like to
20	start by sharing a few antidotes which are frankly
21	echoed in what Kristen has said earlier and what
22	Commission Sutton said earlier as well. We have
23	spoken with students at BMCC who tell us the stories
24	of landlords who will not accept GI benefits for
25	their rent. We hear from case managers at the

2	Supportive Services for Veterans Families facilities
3	where we do intake who say to us repeatedly that they
4	cannot find housing for veterans because landlords
5	don't want to do rent to veterans and I speak to
6	attorneys at the JAG Office at Fort Hamilton who tell
7	us stories about how landlords who are inserting
8	provisions into the leases that will override the
9	protections of the Service Members Civil Relief Act
10	which is actually perfectly legal under federal law
11	as long as they follow the proper procedure that is a
12	separate document in 12 point font, a service member
13	can be made to waive those benefits and so
14	effectively discouraging active duty service members
15	at Fort Hamilton from being able to rent apartments
16	in and around the base. As with most issues
17	impacting veterans and active duty service members we
18	look to the federal government to ensure that those
19	who have served are adequately protected.
20	Unfortunately federal law is limited in providing
21	protection to active duty service members and
22	veterans. The Service Member Civil Relief Act, the
23	Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Act,
24	USERRA, Americans With Disabilities Act, the Fair
25	Housing Act all provide some protections to active

2	duty service members but they're in limited scope.
3	The Service Member Civil Relief Act allows for
4	service members to terminate leases early in the
5	event they are deployed or reassigned to a new base.
6	The Act will also stay eviction proceedings while
7	service members on active duty and unable to appear
8	in court however these protections provided under
9	federal law may in fact be the basis for a landlord's
10	refusal to rent to an active duty service member, a
11	military reservists or a member of the New York
12	National Guard because the law does not forbid a
13	landlord for refusing to rent to that service member
14	in the first place. For example, a landlord may not
15	rent to a service member fearing that the service
16	member will only live in the apartment for a few
17	months and break the lease when deployed or a
18	landlord may be concerned that if I housing court
19	proceeding is initiated against the service member
20	the court proceeding will be prolonged because the
21	service member's overseas and they assert their
22	rights to a stay under the Service Member Civil
23	Relief Act. The protections for active duty service
24	members as outlined in the Service Member Civil
25	Relief Act are specifically needed to ensure that the

2	rights of service members are not aggregated should
3	they be deployed. Unfortunately these protections in
4	fact may have the unintended consequence of
5	encouraging discrimination based on uniform service.
6	In addition, the protections outlined as I said
7	earlier can be waived. The Americans With
8	Disabilities Act and the Fair Housing Act have been
9	used with success to protect veterans with
10	disabilities and as Chair Ulrich had mentioned
11	earlier, they prohibit discrimination only if the
12	veteran has a disability or is perceived as having a
13	disability as defined by the statutes. If the
14	veteran is not disabled, these federal laws provide
15	no protections. In 2012, a bill was introduced in
16	Congress to amend the Fair Housing Act to include
17	uniformed services and veteran status as a protected
18	class under Act. That bill however never received a
19	vote in Congress. In 2015, the Veterans and Service
20	Members Employment Rights and Housing Act of 2015 was
21	introduced in Congress. This piece of legislation
22	again sought to add veterans and service member
23	status as a protected class under the FHA and like
24	the earlier attempt, this bill also failed to pass.
25	Consequently, under federal law, a landlord can

25

2	refuse to rent to a veteran without facing any
3	consequence. New York State is one of the handful of
4	states that does provide protection for service
5	members and veterans under its New York Human Rights
6	Law and while New York City has one of the most
7	expansive and comprehensive Human Rights Laws in the
8	country a glaring omission is the lack of protection
9	afforded to current and past military members.
10	Amending the New York City Human Rights Law to
11	include uniformed service members will remedy this
12	omission, protect those who are serving and those who
13	have served. It will give us as attorneys for these
14	veterans and active duty service members a choice of
15	venue when we seek redress for discrimination. It
16	will allow us to say in litigation that the
17	wrongdoers have violated both state and city law. We
18	would hope at one point we can also add federal law
19	to that mix as well. Thank you for giving us the
20	opportunity to testify. Legal Services NYC looks
21	forward to working with the Council, with the
22	administration to ensure that New York City is able
23	to best support our veterans in need. Thank you.

the panel for your today's conversation, Peter,

CO-CHAIRPERSON KING: We want to thank

2	Kristen, Peter. Sounds like a group. I like the way
3	it sounds. But Kristen, I want to say thank you
4	because we in the Council are always looking to make
5	sure that city residents participate in the process
6	and by your organization being able to help draft,
7	construct a conversation with today's legislation
8	gives us an opportunity for new Yorker's voices to be
9	heard and actually participate in governmental
10	process. So thank you again. I think the three of
11	you have made it real clear for us what is really
12	needed for and veterans when they come back and are
13	listening to the housing challenges and once we get
14	to a place in America and we talk about New York that
15	we put people in housing as opposed to money in
16	housing we will be okay because that's what it is all
17	about. It's about how landlords save a dollar as
18	opposed to protecting the same men and women who
19	protect them abroad to make sure they can do what
20	they do in New York and in the states so it only
21	makes sense that we do it right by the people and
22	right by our servicemen and women so I thank you for
23	your testimony today. Again, as a son of a veteran
24	it is personal for me so I want to make sure that we
25	do all we can to protect our men and women who go

2.2

2.3

abroad, who fight, struggle and deliver and then come
back and we should take care of them like we take
care of our children so I thank you again for your
testimony and again thank you to each and every one
of you sitting down here. I don't know if any of my
colleagues have any questions or thoughts or other
concerns? If not, God be with and God speed. Our
next panel is Robert Kane and Erica, I don't want to
mess this up, F-u-m-k-e, Fumke. Did I say it right?
All right. Wasn't gonna crack no jokes on me if I
said it wrong and we got Coco. Coco, how you doing?
Pleasure. We will start from you, sir, and let you
lead it out, Robert.

am a resident of Forest Hills. I am a US Air Force veteran. I am a current student at Columbia

University where I am on the Student Veteran Advisory

Board here. In 2015 I also had the pleasure of working at the Mayor's Office of Veteran Affairs doing community outreach back when it was only a small six person shop. Pleasure to see it grow so much in the time since I was there. I had a lot of experience during the time going out into the five different boroughs and meetings with veterans and

2	hearing their concerns and housing, as we talked
3	about a lot, is one of those large concerns that a
4	lot of veterans have. In addition to the college
5	people like myself, I came to New York City to study,
6	a lot of people do because we have such a great
7	system of city colleges here as well as global
8	universities like Columbia and definitely the people
9	receiving the GI Bill definitely have issues trying
10	to get housing and using that but it is not just
11	limited to students. I also heard from people at
12	Borden Avenue who are struggling with homelessness
13	and trying to get housing and there's generous
14	federal money that is given to them as well through
15	HUD-VASH but they have a hard time getting landlords
16	to accept HUD-VASH vouchers as well when they're
17	applying for housing. There are kind of different
18	benefits people receive whether it is disability,
19	whether it is retirement that is just not considered
20	as real income by landlords in the city and I think
21	that passing this law and amending the Human Rights
22	Law through this Introduction will go light years to
23	helping those people, veterans from all walks of life
24	receive the housing, get into the housing they need.
25	Thank you.

2	ERICA FUMKE: My name is Erica and I am
3	an American Airmen and I serve in the reserves
4	component. I am stationed at McGuire Lakehurst New
5	Jersey. I love the service. I love my country.
6	However, I came here today to share a story that I
7	hope no veteran would have to share again. I
8	enlisted with the United States Air Force Reserves in
9	August 2014. I went to boot camp and training in
10	November 2014. After my training resumed in April of
11	2015, I went back to the civilian life and off to
12	full-time employment. I was placed as a temp to hire
13	at a startup company that has already been
14	established out of the country in their homeland.
15	Here they are medium to small size retail company and
16	they are on the way to growing in the West with three
17	different brands and still growing. After my temp
18	period, I was interviewed to be considered to be
19	hired as a full-time employee at the same position
20	that I temped for. This year for the company which
21	was my supervisor at the time he interviewed me a
22	couple times where I went through with him about all
23	the details of my obligations as a reservist and
24	everything that he needed to know about being a
25	reservist in the United States. The CFO and the HR

understood everything that I explained to them and
they proceeded to hire me as a full-time employee in
October 2015. Before the time they had a full team
for logistics but they were sharing all the
departments. Once I was hired, the departments were
separated and I was taken over for the retail side of
it. I received complement cards and verbal praises
about the job I was doing. My management made sure
to let me know that I was doing a great job and the
people at the company said that I was a person to be
relied on. As the company grew, we were second story
in LA and several times I started asking for a helper
because the growth was getting a little heavy on me
and also I knew that at some point I was going to
have to do annual tour and step out of my position
for a couple of weeks for service and they denied me
that. In the month of February 2016, the CFO that
had hired me resigned the office and I was not
assigned an official manager. I had a new manager
however who was not very acquainted with my day-to-
day operations and did not understand my military
service. In several occasions I asked for help and I
was denied and the company kept growing and now is
helping with e-commerce in addition to [inaudible].

2	I kept working the position with no help or proper
3	management. I did not have an official manager. In
4	June I was called for service, June of 2016, and I
5	had only three weeks' notice so I took upon myself to
6	leave someone trained even though I didn't have help.
7	I put a temporary worker from the wholesale
8	department and I trained him without the wholesale
9	management seeing me training him. Then I made my
10	notice to the management and they made it difficult
11	to train that individual. I had to trust that
12	whatever I taught him, he was able to do in my
13	absence. I officially informed my duty to my
14	supervision and my colleagues and everybody had
15	nothing but good wishes and positive remarks about my
16	professional behavior and it was right farewell. I
17	felt that at that moment the company was very
18	understanding and the management, even though it was
19	mostly ran by a foreign ownership, they seemed to
20	sync with the laws of the country. My total time of
21	service on that specific duty was five weeks. During
22	that time, I took it upon myself when off-duty for
23	the day to call the temp and make sure that he was
24	doing okay. I noticed that the first couple weeks
25	was fine however after a little while he started

2	getting a little bit of trouble and I understood that
3	because I knew the weight of running two stores in e-
4	commerce by yourself on a day-to-day operation. I
5	tried to assist him as much as I could. Eventually
6	the management during my absences finally hired a
7	colleague from my department. However this colleague
8	was now to be my supervisor. Even though this
9	individual had never held a logistic position and my
10	qualifications were clearly higher than his for the
11	senior position. During service with the company,
12	the HR called me to recall my salary for the month as
13	they realized they didn't need to pay military leave.
14	They only realized that a month later and after
15	hiring another individual. I explained that I was
16	doing work away and they decided to let me keep my
17	pay. At the moment I realized that they started to
18	see what a reservist in the Armed Forces meant to the
19	private sector as they started to read the USERRA and
20	see what they could and could not do about me. I
21	returned from service in August of 2016. Upon my
22	return I had noticed a significant difference. Some
23	of the managers that were polite and praise me once
24	no longer spoke to me as if I had done something
25	wrong. When my new colleague came to introduce

2	himself as my manager, I question that and I was told
3	that because there was nobody to do my job they had
4	to act quickly and they had to offer that individual
5	whatever was needed for him to come in without
6	training and take on my responsibilities even though
7	I had asked before asked for assistance before I left
8	to avoid this very scenario. I was made feel like
9	their decisions were my fault and I was even told
10	that I thought that training a temp worker for two
11	weeks was enough to do my job I was sadly mistaken
12	and I did it out of desperation and sympathy because
13	I knew this entire situation was going to happen.
14	Here I was blamed for replacing myself before service
15	which was not my responsibility and even though I
16	tried I had no support from management to get the
17	task completed successfully and I slammed for it. At
18	this point, I am at the company for 10 months with
19	the junior colleague who is my senior. No
20	performance reviews were done. I requested
21	performance reviews and I was denied and struck down.
22	I pushed my I was a pushed from manager to manager
23	and I never had an assigned official manager since my
24	hire manager left. For the next six months, I was
25	slowly set aside while my new colleague took chair in

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the new projects in developing the new logistics operation. I would only be called to meetings if something went wrong to be reminded that I was also accountable for the mistakes even though I was not part of any senior projects anymore and I was treated like a junior. This company had many issues at the time. We were working to fix everything that was happening in a fast growing company with untrained individuals. I say this because the issues were out of our control but end up being pinned on us. were working in the eye of the hurricane. However I was mostly in a corner doing the same operation dayby-day with no career progression, with already 12 plus months in the company and I knew how to resolve the issues but was never approached by anybody to help or to do any consulting. In Februarys 2017, we had acquired a new CFO and new HR manager who worked in a new model and coach for the company. meeting they raised the interesting points of projection to open two more stores by the end of the year, moving to a large office and doing more hiring. Looking at this, there were great prospects that showed to me that we were on the right track for growing but we noticed some so-called shady and

2 suspicious things in the meeting as well. 3 started saying to us to stop emailing each other 4 especially for issues and they also said that happy 5 employees show to work and left later because they enjoyed their job. In that same month, I approached 6 7 the HR and noted to them that I was having a standard duty coming up in June of 2017. However at this 8 point I did not have a date of return and I asked for quidance. She told me not to notify management until 10 11 I had a date for return. So I followed her order and 12 did not notify management at that moment. 13 month of March, I went to drill and I was advised to 14 notify my employer anyways because I wasn't going to 15 have a date for return. On the 24th of March of '17, 16 I had a conversation with HR and I expressed what was 17 occurring in the company, expressed how I felt being 18 set aside, treated as a junior as well as being 19 unable to get a performance review and all the things 20 that have changed since my return from service. 21 direct manager asked me how much notice I gave the company the last time in attempt to point out that it 2.2 2.3 probably was my fault that I was being treated that way. I pointed out to him that under USERRA I did 24 not have to get a replacement and I did it anyway 25

2	because I cared. I did not give notice for more than
3	three weeks because I didn't know about it and under
4	USERRA you can give as much notice as possible and I
5	only had three weeks' notice. By the end of the same
6	day, I wrote an email to the management to make them
7	aware that I had upcoming orders. I still did not
8	add to the company HR website because I was told not
9	to. My direct manager responded that same day the
10	message for notice thanking me for the advanced
11	notice. Seven days later March 30, 2017, I was let
12	go of the company under the reason of restructuring
13	and layoffs. I was not given a reason why I was
14	chosen instead of the other person who was hired
15	after me and did the same job and I had no further
16	explanation was to justify why I was first choice
17	[inaudible] to be laid off. That same day I
18	contacted the SGR. The company gave them the same
19	excuse of layoffs and restructuring. My case was
20	closed. I have contacted the VATS and the DOL
21	however on my very first call with them they put me
22	on to the reality that because there was no smoking
23	gun statement or proof of it, I probably going to go
24	nowhere with my claim. I am here today because I
25	feel the companies get away with just choosing to

fire veteran's right before their notice to orders
under whatever excuse and nobody takes time to look
into it and make the companies think twice before
tossing our service members to the curve. We will
have a very poor future and less and less individuals
will want to serve their company afraid of their job
security. In my opinion this specific company is
mocking this country. They came here producing their
goods out of the country, bringing them here for
profit, paying American employees' horrible wages,
using loopholes like mine to layoff those who ask for
more, those who deserve more. Our veterans do not
need this slap in the face. We need help, the help
of someone who will listen to our stories, make sure
that someone got our backs, someone that if these
companies try to toss us out through a loophole they
might want to think twice before proceeding. I urge
you, please, take a good look at our stories and do
whatever you need to change the reality of what's
happening today. One veteran tossed to the curb for
serving this country is too many. I thank you for
your time. Unfortunately I cannot not disclose the
name of the company or take any questions because

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS

2 this case may or may not be going to litigation.
3 Thank you so much.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CO-CHAIRPERSON KING: Thank you so much. Coco, please proceed.

COCO CULHANE: Good morning, Committee on Veterans and Civil Rights. My name is Coco Culhane and I am the Director of the Veteran Advocacy Project at the Urban Justice Center. We focus on providing free legal services to low-income veterans and their families with a focus on those living with posttraumatic stress, traumatic brain injury and other mental health issues. I want to congratulate everyone who has supported this bill, this law along the way. I think there has been tremendous work, people coming together to make this happen. Hopefully it will provide a faster way for veterans to take action against prejudice. Too often, you hear individuals who are seeking protection from discrimination and they are basically discouraged because of these very long and cumbersome procedures and investigations that take a very long time but ${\tt I}$ think even if no one ever files a single claim using this, it is still such an important message that will resound beyond New York that says we appreciate

service, we appreciate our veterans. Adding service
members as a protected status acknowledges the
military service can yield burdens beyond the
traditional notions of sacrifice. As leaders, taking
steps to ensure equal treatment under the law for all
New Yorkers, I want to urge Council Members here
today to consider another area where certain veterans
are still at a disadvantage even if 1259 passes. The
protections around criminal background checks and
employment are still a gap. Most civilians don't
understand who discharges work yet often presume a
big correlation between types of discharge or court-
martial, level of conviction like misdemeanor or
felony. Any parallel drawing between discharges and
convictions is tenuous and the comparison with court-
martial is complicated but most important civilians
don't always understand that military standards are
quite different. You know, having an affair or
bouncing checks, those are things that can lead to a
bad discharge but as soon as a potential civilian
employer sees an other than honorable or less than
fully honorable discharge, the damage is usually done
and the prejudice that can accompany bad paper is
devastating. A veteran can be branded for life and

2	there is no recourse because administrative discharge
3	there is no conviction so there is no argument to be
4	made under New York's current protections. There
5	have been great advances in the law for individuals
6	with criminal justice involvement most notably ban
7	the box about a year and a half ago prohibits
8	employers from asking about felony convictions before
9	making a job offer but those rules don't apply to a
10	veteran's discharge. Indeed discharge status is used
11	by the Department of Defense as an incentive for good
12	discipline and puts benefits and future employment on
13	the line yet imagine facing discrimination for the
14	rest of your life because you got a tattoo on your
15	forearm in your early 20s. Worse, imagine serving
16	your country in combat and being discharged for
17	misconduct that is actually a symptom of PTS and
18	having that follow you for life. Command discretion
19	rules the military world and not all of discharges
20	are proper. It is a different system of justice that
21	civilians are often not familiar with. To those who
22	say just don't show your DD214, right, don't say
23	you're a veteran to get the job is not an option when
24	the entirety of your training comes from your
25	military service. If you enlisted at 18 and spent

six years as the wheel vehicle mechanic and then you
got a less than honorable discharge cannot show up to
a job interview and claim to be a highly skilled
mechanic with a six year gap and no training. So the
bias [inaudible] is so huge that the Department of
Labor created a program in the 1970s for Vietnam
veterans with other than honorable discharges. It
was the Exemplary Rehabilitation Certificate and was
given to veterans who could establish three years of
good conduct and they were supposed to be able to
show this to employers and help improve their
chances. A study that was done in '72 following up
on it proved that that wasn't even enough and the
stigma around bad paper is so severe that only 11
percent of veterans who actually had certificate used
in wanted to actual present it to an employer. So
today's hearing focuses on a bill that is an
incredibly important step to continue to ensure
equality for all of those who served but they should
also have equal treatment when it comes to the
protections around background checks. New York City
could be innovator. We could lead the way in setting
a national example by addressing the discrimination

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 against less than honorable discharges when it comes
3 to employment. Thank you for your time.

CO-CHAIRPERSON KING: Thank you, Coco, but you're going on the record that Urban Justice Center supports the passage of today's bill? Okay, all right. Because you kind of went off on your ideas and that was great too but -- thank you. is a hearing for another time and another day. know we didn't give it as much focus our attention today but there are two other resolutions which we are hearing today both of which I think are largely symbolic but also very good and I am sure that the folks who came to testify are also in support including the administration of those resolutions but they are not bills. The bill is in fact the amendment to the Human Rights Law which we are hopefully going to pass very soon. I want to thank everyone for the testimony today. I want to thank every former service member or veteran, however you identify yourself, I want to thank you for your service to our country and for your courage to come here and take so much time out of your busy schedules, your work, your life and to go on the record and try to make a difference and support these

1	COMMITTEE ON VETERANS	81
2	wonderful pieces of legislation. I want to thank	you
3	especially and also again the administration for	
4	their support and cooperation. That concludes	
5	today's hearing. Thank you.	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	[gavel]	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 22, 2017