CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

----- X

March 30, 2017 Start: 10:10 a.m. Recess: 5:09 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Vanessa L. Gibson

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Vincent J. Gentile

James Vacca

Julissa Ferreras-Copeland

Jumaane D. Williams Robert E. Cornegy, Jr.

Chaim M. Deutsch
Rafael Espinal, Jr.
Rory I. Lancman
Ritchie J. Torres

Steven Matteo

Elizabeth S. Crowley

Ydanis Rodriguez Inez D. Barron

Cy Vance Manhattan District Attorney

Darcel Clark
Bronx District Attorney

Michael McMahon Richmond County District Attorney

John Ryan Queens County Assistant District Attorney

Steven Goldstein Chief ADA of Special Prosecutor Bridget Brennan

Leroy Frazer Chief of Staff of Kings County

Maya Wiley Chairperson of Civilian Complaint Review Board

Matthew Kadushin CCRB General Counsel

Johnathan Darche
CCRB Acting Executive Director

Jerika Richardson Senior Advisor and Secretary to the Board CCRB

Elizabeth Glazer Director of MOCJ

Jean Claude LeBec Chief Operating Officer at MOCJ

Alex Crohn
General Counsel at MOCJ

Nicole Torres MOCJ Director of Intergovernmental Affairs

Christian Klossner Office of Special Enrollment at MOCJ

Eric Cumberbatch

Executive Director of Office to Prevent Gun

Violence

Dana Kaplan
Juvenile Justice at MOCJ

Chidinma Ume
Case Delay and Expedition at MOCJ

Renee Francois Mayor's Action Plan at MOCJ

Amy Sanaman Mayor's Action Plan at MOCJ

James O'Neill NYPD Commissioner

Vincent Grippo
Deputy Commissioner of Management and Budget at NYPD

Benjamin Tucker First Deputy Commissioner at NYPD

Carlos Gomez
Chief of Department at NYPD

Robert Boyce Chief of Detectives at NYPD

Terence Monahan
Chief of Patrol Services at NYPD

Susan Herman
Deputy Commissioner of Collaborative Policing at
NYPD

Jessica Tisch Information Technology Deputy Commissioner at NYPD

Tracie Keesee Deputy Commissioner of Training at NYPD

Joseph Fox Chief of Transit at NYPD

Lawrence Byrne
Deputy Commissioner of Legal Matters at NYPD

Viviana Gordon Red Hook Community Justice Center

Justine Luongo Legal Aid Society

Michael Polenberg Safe Horizon

2	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Good morning, ladies
3	and gentleman. Welcome to City Hall to the City
4	Council Chambers. I am Council Member Vanessa Gibso:
5	of the District of 16 in the Bronx, and I'm proud to
6	Chair the Committee on Public Safety. I welcome all
7	of you here to our Committee on Public Safety's FY
8	2018 Preliminary Budget Hearing. We're going to
9	begin this morning with our New York City
LO	Prosecutors, our five District Attorneys and the
L1	Special Narcotics Prosecutor followed by the Civilia:
L2	Complaint Review Board, followed by the Mayor's
L3	Criminal Justice Director, followed by the NYPD, and
L 4	finally closing with members of the public. I thank
L5	all of you for being here. Today is our rescheduled
L 6	budget hearing. Unfortunately, our original date wa
L7	March $14^{ m th}$ , which was the date of the snow storm, and
L 8	certainly we would not ask anyone to travel in the
L 9	City coming to City Hall during the time when we had
20	a snow storm. So, today is our rescheduled date and
21	we're going to hear from all of our City's
22	Prosecutors on their budget. I'd like to recognize
23	the members of the Public Safety Committee who are
24	here, our Minority Leader, Council Member Steve

Matteo, Council Member James Vacca, Council Member

2	Vincent Gentile, Council Member Elizabeth Crowley,
3	Council Member Rory Lancman, and throughout the
4	morning we will be joined by other members of the
5	Committee and members of the New York City Council.
6	Today, the Fiscal 2018 Preliminary Plan included no
7	significant budget action for New York City's
8	Prosecutors whose total budget equals approximately
9	372 million dollars with a stable headcount. However,
10	in the Fiscal 2017 Adopted Budget with support from
11	the City Council, the five District Attorneys
12	received a baseline funding increase of 22 million
13	dollars. As a result of that, I look forward this
14	morning to hearing from each of the offices on how
15	they have been able to utilize that additional
16	funding and also discussing current challenges that
17	each of their offices may face, existing priorities
18	faced by the office that may not be reflected in the
19	Preliminary Plan. I also want to remind everyone
20	that if you are here to testify, please make sure you
21	sign up to your right with our Sergeant at Arms, and
22	I also want to recognize the staff of the Committee
23	on Public Safety and the Finance Division for their
24	work. Certainly want to thank our Speaker, Melissa
25	Mark-Viverito, our Finance Chair Council Member

Julissa Ferreras-Copeland, our Finance Director,
Latonya McKinney, our Deputy Director Regina Poreda
Ryan, our Deputy Director Nathan Toth, Deputy
Director Paul Scimone, Senior Financial Analyst,
Steve Riester, our Unit Head Aisha Wright, our
Legislative Counsel for Public Safety, Beth Golub,
our Legislative Policy Analyst, Casey Addison. Than
you to all the staff for your incredible work, and
now with that I want to get our District Attorneys
who are here. Thank you for joining us. Thank you
for your partnership, for all the work you've done.
As Chair of this Committee, I've had an incredible
opportunity to work with all of you in your tenures.
While we don't have all of our District Attorneys
here, certainly their representatives are well-
prepared to testify on behalf of your principles, but
certainly want to thank our District Attorneys for
being here, Manhattan, New York County, District
Attorney Cy Vance, Bronx County, District Attorney
Darcel Clark, and Richmond County, Staten Island
District Attorney Michael McMahon, and we also have
representatives from Queens District Attorney, John
Ryan. Thank you for joining us on behalf of DA
Richard Brown as well as Steven Coldstein our Chie:

opportunity to speak about our 2018 Fiscal Budget.

have to say it is really a pleasure to testify before

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this Committee and to work with members of this Committee because you have been incredibly supportive to us over the years, and I am very grateful. investment, Council Members, I think has been wellplaced. It's enabled us each in our respective boroughs to help keep violent crime in our city at record lows and maintain New York status as the safest big city in the United States which is no small achievement. Just in Manhattan since the beginning of my first term in 2010, violent crime has fallen around 12 percent, and homicides are down 30 percent since I took over in 2010. Last year, there were only 41 homicides in Manhattan. Now, that's 41 homicides too many, but it is a consistent and remarkable decline in violent crime in Manhattan, and it wouldn't be taking place without your partnership and your leadership. But notwithstanding that reduction in violent crime, there are still many violent incidents that we have to investigate and prosecute. With the help of this Council, we've been able to enhance the work of our Violent Criminal Enterprise Unit which we formed in 2010. Since its initiation and with your support it has brought 33 indictments against 81 gun traffickers and conducted

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

investigations that have led to the recovery of about 1,700 illegal firearms just for that unit alone from the streets of New York City, guns that won't be used to point at police officers or citizens of this city. In our most recent indictment, which was announced earlier this month, it involved the smuggling and selling of over 105 illegal firearms from South Carolina, which could have been in the hands of some of our most dangerous criminals that do come into Manhattan, and we with your support are making sure that we're doing everything possible to interdict guns coming in our communities. I appreciate very much that the Mayor and Council recognized these efforts and allocated us funding through the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice's Anti-Violence Challenge It's allowed us, as I say, to expand our Violent Criminal Enterprises Unit, its analytical resources which in today's world are so essential in order to make proactive cases, and I think it's also brought our five DA's offices together, requiring us to focus on coordinated strategies, which of course are essential in addressing violent crime across the City. But Chair Gibson and members of the Committee, in addition to prosecuting violent offenders and the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

most violent offenders, our office has redoubled its efforts to reduce the number of people charged with low-level offenses who otherwise would have been prosecuted in Manhattan. Now, it's astonishing to me that these efforts in the last four years have resulted in a 27 percent reduction in the number of misdemeanor and violation cases that are coming into our office, and that of course is done by our coordination in partnership with the NYPD, agreeing with the NYPD what cases can be sent to diversion or considered for diversion in reducing our incoming summonses. So, to put this decline in context, and I think it is remarkable, in 2010, my first year as District Attorney, the NYPD and our office processed 92,585 misdemeanor and violation cases in Manhattan; last year there were 67,246. So, that's a significant decline, and I would add a significant decline without negatively affecting public safety. And so that I think is what we are trying to achieve. We're trying to achieve greater public safety. At the same time I believe we can achieve greater fairness in our justice system. This is not a zero-sum game as many people think it is. It is, with your support, focusing on violent offenders and being smart,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

sometimes compassionate, but being aware that not every criminal arrest necessarily needs to be processed in a court with the resources of a judge, a District Attorney, defense lawyer, court officers, and the like. I'd like to give you an example of how this is working. Our Project Reset, which provides pre-arraignment diversion for 16- and 17-year-olds. To date since it's inception, 295 participants have graduated from the program, that is they chose diversion at the precinct as opposed to after arrest in downtown, and that -- and having participated in that, they have committed to receive youth counseling or other social services, and that is done so that they never have to set foot in front of a judge in Criminal Court. And after a successful pilot period, I'm pleased to say that we are now funding our office a borough-wide expansion of the program which started last summer, and we believe strongly, Council Members, that the effect of this model, this diversion model beginning with kids and then moving to all ages throughout Manhattan has the potential to go a long way in restoring confidence in the criminal justice system in the sense of how we manage this cases with a fair and justice-oriented review.

to that end, we recently released a solicitation to
expand the Project Reset, as I said, to 18 years and-
- to individuals 18 years and older, and we
anticipate that once expanded, approximately 6,500
more people will be diverted away from Criminal Court
through this program each year, providing critical
early interventions to New Yorkers of all ages at
their first point of contact with the justice system.
Second, as of March this year, my office stopped the
practice of prosecuting most low-level, nonviolent
violations and infractions in Criminal Court unless
there is a demonstrated public safety need to do so.
As a result, 11,000 fewer low-level cases have been
sent to us from the NY have not been fewer cases
have been sent to us from the NYPD, again, preventing
thousands of people from unnecessarily being arrested
and detained, in our opinion, and going through the
criminal process into a courtroom. Third, in an
effort to give a fresh start to those with open
summons warrants for low-level offenses, our office
has hosted two what we call "Clean Slate" events in
the past year-and-a-half, again and essentially, in
partnership with NYPD, the Office of Court
Administration and the Legal Aid Society.

Altogether, more than 1,150 New Yorkers from all five
boroughs participated in these events, and I know my
colleagues like Darcel Clark is doing similar events
in Bronx to great success, and 700 outstanding
summons warrants were resolved. I've been to these
Clean Slate events. I've sat in the make-shift
courtroom. I've seen individuals come in with open
warrants from 25 years ago for not having a leash on
their dog or some minor offense which has kept them
marginalized, afraid to apply for public jobs, afraid
to speak out to law enforcement, and in our view and
I think importantly in the Police Department's view,
this is an opportunity for us to change the dynamic
between law enforcement in our communities in a very
simple way. So, this Clean Slate event has been very
successful, and I thank you for your support, and I
know the Chair has been to one of our events in the
Lower East Side, and you really have recognized the
value of this approach and funded \$30,000 in last
year's budget to support a third Clean Slate event
that is going to be planned for June 17 in East
Harlem. For this event, members of the Committee
notably we will be conducting outreach to homeless
New Yorkers, working with the Human Resources

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Administration and Department of Homeless Services, and we're doing that to raise awareness of this opportunity because it is true that a number of the individuals who have these old outstanding warrants are part of our homeless population. So we want to raise awareness and increase participation in the program of that population. Now, despite these efforts, there are still upwards of 1.5 million open summons warrants in New York City, over half of which are over a decade old. In 2014, my Office sat down with our partners at the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, the OCA and the NYPD to propose the idea of dismissing open summons warrants older than ten years in large numbers. We estimate that close to 200,000 summons warrants in Manhattan alone will be expunged through this effort, which we are hopeful will take place next month, and I applaud Speaker Mark-Viverito for her leadership on this issue, your collective leadership on this issue, and we very much look forward to working with the Speaker and the Council to move this initiative forward and to a successful conclusion. Now in addition to these initiatives, focus on more rational ways to deal with low-level offenses or low-level offenders, we are creating the

capacity in our Office to assess the collateral 2 3 consequences of criminal conviction on a case-by-case basis. Immigration consequences, particularly today, 4 revoke professional licenses and family implications, just to name a few, are among the considerations that 6 7 assistant district attorneys factor into their 8 decision-making when handling any case that may result in a criminal conviction. To ensure that my staff are consistently and constantly factoring in 10 11 collateral consequences in their decision-making, we 12 are building this capacity within our Office, a first-of-its-kind for a prosecutor's office to our 13 14 knowledge. And finally, my Office recently created 15 an Alternatives to Incarceration Unit thanks to the 16 funding support we received from this Council and the 17 Mayor, and by having the resources to screen 18 defendants more carefully, more thoughtfully, based 19 on more recent and important data, and to match them 20 to programs that will actually address their needs 21 specifically, we have already seen an uptick in the utilization of ATIs and expect to see increased 2.2 2.3 compliance in program mandates and in turn enhanced public safety. As part of my own office's Criminal 24 Justice Investment Initiative, we recently announced 25

2	a commitment of 58 million dollars in youth and
3	family development programming to prevent crime in
4	Manhattan. Nearly \$46 million of the funds are being
5	directed to 100 community-based organizations in four
6	Manhattan neighborhoods to establish five Youth
7	Opportunity Hubs. These Hubs will knit together
8	community-based organizations to provide
9	comprehensive services to young people. The
10	additional 12 million dollars' investment will
11	support existing organizations specializing in family
12	and youth development to expand their capacity and
13	develop innovative new services to strengthen
14	families. I think we could all agree that's a worthy
15	goal. Additional funding opportunities will continue
16	to be released through competitive solicitations
17	throughout the remainder of this year. Funding
18	priorities for the Criminal Justice Investment
19	Initiative are outlined in our strategic plan, copies
20	of which I have left with you today. In order to
21	ensure justice and fairness without fear of favor,
22	our office members must also be able to recruit and
23	retain smart, young, legal minds. Unfortunately, it
24	is becoming increasingly difficult in this legal job
25	market to do so. Our starting salary in Manhattan for

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 20
2	new assistants is 62,500 dollars, and that is
3	remarkably low even for public service law careers.
4	My staff recently surveyed 18 similarly situated
5	public law offices, including the New York City Law
6	Department, and found that our starting salary is
7	approximately 7,000 dollars less than the average.
8	Given the cost of law school and the cost of living
9	in the New York City, it's extremely challenging for
10	young people to accept a position at such a low
11	salary. We are unfortunately heading down a path
12	where the only individuals who can accept an
13	Assistant District Attorney position within our
14	office may be those who have independent financial
15	means or privileged backgrounds. So, raising the
16	salaries of our most junior ADAs will help reverse
17	the possibility of that trend, and this spring I will
18	be seeking the additional funds necessary to offer
19	our most junior ADAs a competitive salary that
20	reflects the level of responsibility and trust
21	bestowed upon them, and I ask for your support in
22	addressing this critical issue which I know has been
23	the subject of discussion at many of the hearings
24	before this committee in the past. Now, despite all

of that success we've experienced in the past seven

years, our office, as well my fellow District 2 3 Attorneys with me here today, are at risk of losing critical funds from the federal and state government 4 5 that support our work sustaining record low-level crimes -- low crime levels, excuse me. And it's 6 7 particularly at risk of losing those at this point in time. Every year my Office receives \$675,000 in 8 federal funds from two federal grant programs, the Violence Against Women Act and the Byrne Justice 10 11 Assistance Grant. They both provide critical resources to support the effective prosecution of 12 some of the most violent crimes that are committed in 13 14 our community. Through the VAWA program, my Office 15 funds vital positions within our Special Victims 16 Bureau, overseeing prosecution of sex crimes and 17 domestic violence, and providing crucial services to 18 crime victims. Similarly, the Byrne JAG grant 19 supports investigators and assistant district 20 attorneys that pursue the prosecution of violent 21 organized crimes, such as gang violence and violence associated with narcotics. Likewise, in the 2.2 2.3 Governor's proposed budget, we are at risk of losing another 500,000 dollars in grant funding that support 24 the enhanced prosecution of violent crime and tax 25

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

fraud, and I hope we'll be able to avoid these harmful reductions in funding. And Chair Gibson, I'll keep the Council informed of our discussion with State and Federal representatives on these issues. Finally in closing, I'd like to take a moment to highlight a challenge of critical importance to law enforcement and that is concealed gun carry legislation being proposed by our federal lawmakers, both in the House and in the Senate. This legislation which has now been put in both houses would require-just listen to this -- would require each state to recognize the concealed carry permits of gun owners for all other states in the union, effectively dismantling the more restrictive local gun-carrying restrictions in New York that we have strived so hard to achieve and that have been essential in the sustained reduction of gun violence in this city. That means, to put it in plain words, tourists and visitors from other states could bring their guns while visiting Times Square, the plaza at Rockefeller Center and other heavily trafficked New York City locations. The NYPD, my Office and other police chiefs throughout the country oppose this legislation because it would put the lives of their officers and

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

residents at risk. Law enforcement has no way to verify that someone presenting an out-of-state permit is in fact a law abiding visitor to their state, so this legislation could turn interactions with out-ofstate visitors, such as routine traffic stops, into dangerous situations for officers and civilians. Personally, I think this is crazy, and I strongly urge you to support local law enforcement and be vocal through your own offices in speaking out against this proposed legislation, ensuring that New York City continues to be the country's safest big city in America. Because after all, who's going to pay the price for reduced public safety and more guns in Manhattan. It's not going to be the members of Congress. It's not going to be the Senator from Texas who introduced it and said it. It's going to be our law enforcement officers and our citizens who are going to be faced with the consequence of having people who have guns from states that require no licensing whatsoever walking through Manhattan into the subways, and what we don't want is a shoot-out in Times Square or police officers seeing someone with a gun, taking that person down appropriately, and having that turn into a fatal encounter. I cannot

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

urge you all enough to pay attention to this piece of legislation. We just saw 10 days ago how this current government has reversed Obama regulations which required that individuals who were so mentally ill had received social disability payments from the Federal Government that they have to have a trustee receive those payments. Those individuals now, those rules have just been reversed, and those individuals now can obtain guns without notice to law enforcement whatsoever. So, my point is, gun legislation is going the wrong direction fast in Washington D.C., and we need to stick up and stand up as a community to make sure that we don't lose the ground that we have gained over the last 15 to 20 years in the safety in our community. So, you have me in a partner, and I hope you all with your loud, important, well-respected voices do everything you can to make sure that those in Washington D.C. know that we will be the ones who pay the prices for their political agenda. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,

DA Vance. We appreciate your presence, your

testimony, and we'll have questions for you as we
have your other colleagues speak. Thank you once

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

again, and now we welcome our District Attorney of

3 Bronx County, DA Darcel Clark.

> DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: Good morning, Councilwoman Gibson and members of the Public Safety Committee. It is my honor to appear before you today. In 2016, the Bronx District Attorney Office underwent a transformation to a 21<sup>st</sup> Century urban prosecutor's office to enable us to carry out our mission to pursue justice with integrity. For too long our borough had not gotten its fair share when it came to the public safety and criminal justice funding necessary to provide Bronx citizens with the District Attorney's Office they deserved. Thanks to you and Mayor de Blasio, that changed. A year ago I asked for and received 11.5 million in additional funding from the City Council and the Mayor in the City Budget. I put that use immediately to fulfil the promises that I made to you. New bureaus and units were formed to target guns, violent crimes, corruption, and domestic violence. Ground-breaking bureaus were created to ensure the integrity of our prosecutions and community outreach was expanded. reduced the notorious backlog of misdemeanors by 40 percent. I'll report to you in detail in a few

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

moments on the achievements made possible by your generosity to me last year. They could not have happened without the help of loyal, devoted and hardworking Assistant District Attorneys. sacrificed time, nights, weekends and holidays with their loved ones to make our initiatives a success. They are the cornerstone of the mission of the Bronx District Attorney's Office, and that is to pursue justice with integrity, but that cornerstone is being chipped away. Inequities in salaries of our prosecutors and a result in attrition aversely effect our initiatives, and by extension, the efficiency of the criminal justice system and public safety in the Bronx. Assistant District Attorneys who love their jobs are performing good work and would like to stay in our office but are forced to leave so they can earn more money to support their families and to help ease the burden of their law school debts. Are they going to white shoe law firms? No. Fortune 500 corporations? No. They are leaving to go to other city and state agencies such as the Department of Corrections, the Department of Investigation, the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, the Law Department, and the Attorney General's Office, all of

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 27
2	whom can pay more than my office can. On average,
3	our ADA's are among the lowest paid in the City and
4	we lag behind other city agencies. The average Bronx
5	ADA salary is nearly 8,600 dollars less than the
6	estimated average salaries of all the New York City
7	District Attorney Offices and the Special Narcotics
8	Prosecutor's Office. I need to bring the average
9	salary of an ADA in the Bronx up to the other
10	agencies' average salary so I can retail people who
11	have been trained and have garnered valuable
12	experience. Bronx Assistant District Attorneys make
13	a three-year commitment. They're trained to be great
14	lawyers, and then they get picked up by other
15	agencies that pay up to 20 percent more on average.
16	Frankly, we are running in place, and we're replacing
17	them with less-experienced people. The parody issue
18	has to be addressed quickly so we could continue all
19	the new initiatives. We estimate that it would
20	require 3.7 million dollars to pay our ADA's
21	equivalent to the average salary of prosecutors in
22	the other New York City District Attorney's Offices,
23	and if the City Council is inclined to approve funds

for raises for the other New York City District

24

25

Attorney's Offices in Fiscal Year 2018, I believe my

2	office should be considered for the additional funds
3	as well so our salaries remain comparable. No
4	prosecutor expects to get rich for what he or she is
5	doing. They work day their workday starts well
6	before nine and regularly goes past 5:00 p.m. They
7	do not get overtime. They work days, nights,
8	weekends, holidays, they're on 24-hour call duty
9	daily, and all while carrying large caseloads.
10	Paying our ADA's less than other New York City DA's
11	offices and other city and state agencies, by doing
12	that they leave, and with that, the people of the
13	Bronx lose. I'd like to tell you know how my ADA's
14	stepped up to the demands of a 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Urban
15	Prosecutor's office. A little over a year ago I
16	began requiring them to report weekly to their bureau
17	chiefs about their oldest cases and begin pushing
18	them to try them or dispose of the cases to cut the
19	backlog of felony and misdemeanor cases. Every Monday
20	and Trial Stat [sic], that's what I call it, the
21	Bureau Chiefs report to my Executive Staff and me
22	about the oldest cases, and everyone rose to the
23	challenge. And what was the result? Our prosecutors
24	reduced the notorious backlog of misdemeanor cases by
25	40 percent, from more than 16,500 to a little over

9,300. We achieve considerable results on our felony
backlog as well, disposing over half of the oldest
cases that I targeted for disposition, and those were
cases that were on average three years or older. We
went from a downright embarrassing situation in 2016
where the New York Judiciary was being sued in
Federal Court because of that backlog to receiving
the praise from the state's Chief Judge Janet DiFiore
in her 2017 State of the Judiciary Address which was
held in the Bronx. In early July 2016, even before
our funding for the fiscal year began and we could
begin hiring additional staff, we put into place the
Vertical Prosecution Model and our ADAs stepped up to
the massive reconstructing. The Vertical Prosecution
Model, which is one which means that one prosecutor
will handle a case from beginning to end, and it
helps reduce long waits for trial because files are
not handled handed off from one ADA to another as
the matter moves along the system. This helps ADAs
and witnesses build a rapport and it assists
prosecutors to a more to quickly identify problems
in these cases, especially where we should release a
defendant or dismiss a case. Another extraordinary
important initiative our office created with the

2	funding from the budget last year was the Rikers
3	Island Prosecution Bureau. We opened a satellite
4	office on Rikers Island in September. As I have said
5	repeatedly, Rikers Island is the most challenging
6	neighborhood in my jurisdiction. Our ADAs assigned
7	to the Rikers Island Prosecution Bureau worked
8	seamlessly with our Public Integrity Bureau, the
9	Department of Correction, and the Department of
10	Investigation. The Rikers Island Prosecution Bureau
11	is currently handling indictments against 163
12	defendants and 200 pending felony investigations
13	involving inmates and visitors. Last year, my office
14	prosecuted almost 1,100 cases of crimes commitment on
15	Rikers Island. Approximately 350 of those cases were
16	felony and over 700 were misdemeanors. As part of
17	our efforts to deter violence on Rikers, we request
18	that if an inmate is convicted of a violent crime in
19	the jail, his or her sentence for that crime run
20	consecutive to any time he or she gets for their
21	underlying case. Recently, an inmate who had a 60-
22	day jail sentence that he was serving in Rikers for
23	his underlying misdemeanor conviction was convicted
24	of three vicious attacks on an inmate and on
25	corrections officers. We asked for consecutive time

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on those three felony convictions and the judge agreed. The inmate got three consecutive terms, totaling eight years in state prison. That sends a strong message that you will pay a heavy price for committing violence behind bars. Contraband is a leading trigger of violence. More than half of the contraband smuggled into Rikers is brought in by secreting it in body cavities. You will recall my testimony earlier this year on prosecuting violence in New York City jails. There is state-of-the-art technology that if available to DOC would detect weapons and contrabands. Five ionizing body scanners that can detect secreted blades were purchased by DOC, but because of a state law prohibiting their use except in medical facilities, they have been sitting in a closet for several years. The state law must be changed to allow these scanners to be used in Rikers I support Commissioner Ponte's for safety purposes. recommendation on body scanner technology, along with new legislation that would allow the use on Rikers. We must act immediately to put this technology to work to save lives. Our Public Integrity Bureau is another initiative that showed success in the first Since the creation of the bureau in March vear.

2	2016, we have convicted 17 correction officers at
3	Rikers Island on charges of promoting prison
4	contraband, assault, offering a false instrument for
5	filing, and official misconduct. Some of them were
6	sentenced to state prison. My prosecutors have come
7	through in furtherance of another initiative that was
8	funded last year. In October we opened the City's
9	first Complaint Room for victims of domestic
10	violence. The DV Complaint Unit, a dedicated space
11	in our building co-located with the Mayor's Family
12	Justice Center is where Assistant District Attorney's
13	from the Domestic Violence Bureau interview victims
14	and provide them with direct access to available
15	services. Out of approximately 4,000 domestic
16	violence cases processed since October of 2016,
17	approximately 1,300 were done at the new complaint
18	room. We are working with the Family Justice Center
19	to expand our hours beyond Monday to Friday, nine to
20	five, because most domestic violence cases come in at
21	night and on the weekends. Another unit created with
22	your funding, the Conviction Integrity Unit, has
23	received applications to review 98 cases. The CIU
24	completed its review process in 41 of those cases and
25	since April 2016, initiated a comprehensive

2	reinvestigation in 10 cases. One of these resulted
3	in the vacated conviction of Richard Rozario [sp?],
4	free from prison after 20 years after we determined
5	that he did not get a fair trial. Our professional
6	responsibility, the first of its kind among the 62
7	District Attorney offices in New York State, oversees
8	litigation training, best practices and ethics.
9	Since its inception in September of 2016,
10	Professional Responsibility has reviewed more than
11	100 cases that were tried by our assistance to ensure
12	that they perform in accordance with the applicable
13	law and the New York Rules of Professional Conduct.
14	This is pursuing justice with integrity. Other
15	notable achievements over the last year, we held a
16	gun buy-back in August, which took 165 firearms, most
17	of them automatic pistols, off the streets of the
18	Bronx. We held a Warrant Forgiveness Program event
19	entitled "Another Chance" attended by 500 people and
20	cleared 270 old warrants that help people move on
21	with their lives. It was also re it also
22	reinvigorated the community's trust in the justice
23	system, and we will be holding another one this year
24	in August. Our Immigrant Affairs Unit participated
25	in Know Your Rights forums in Muslim and Hispanic

communities and it has distributed 5,000 brochures in
five languages. The new funding required our office
to hire 188 new attorneys and staff. OMB tasked us
to hire 75 percent of that number by December 31 <sup>st</sup> of
2016. We hired 132 new employees and reached that
goal. To date, we have hired 156 employees or 83
percent of the newly funded positions. I want to
give special recognition to my entire staff. It was
all hands on deck to meet and achieve these Herculean
hiring goals, including 120 new assistant District
Attorneys beginning this fall. My support staff and
Assistant District Attorneys went above and beyond to
improve our office with tangible results. It takes
years for prosecutors to develop special expertise in
areas of criminal law and procedure. We have
invested significant resources and training, and it
is vital that we retain them. As I said, Bronx ADAs
earn less than their counterparts in the four other
boroughs; yet, last year they handled nearly 30
percent of the City's murders, felonies, assaults,
and robberies. They deserve parody. Finally, I'm
making OTPS budget request in the current year and
two for Fiscal Year 2018. In the current fiscal
year, the rapid increase in staff has required the

office to create office spaces using systems 2 3 furniture and other pieces. This has also involved 4 electrical and networking expenses that are not 5 eligible for capital funding. Accordingly, I'm requesting that the Council approve a Fiscal Year 17 6 7 budget modification that we have requested OMB include in the Executive Plan. The request is to 8 move 350,000 dollars from our PS budget to our OTPS This amount will cover our immediate one-10 budget. 11 time expenses for the staffing increase. organization of the office and the increase in staff 12 13 has also generated ongoing increases in case-related 14 expenses including court transcripts, per-diem 15 interpreters, wire-tap fees, along with contractual 16 expenses for legal reference, investigative 17 databases, and software, as well as office supplies, 18 copiers, laptops, and cellphones. Accordingly, 19 beginning with Fiscal Year 18, we request a baseline 20 OTPS budget increase of 1.1 million. This office's OTPS allocation has fallen 19 percent from its high 21 of 2.18 million in 2002. I have details in the 2.2 2.3 addendum to my remarks how the requested increase would restore our OTPS budget at least to its 24 inflation adjusted buying power in 2002. These funds 25

2	will allow us to overcome our file storage problems
3	by shipping files to city contractor GRM. This will
4	also save the City approximately 125,000 dollars per
5	year that we currently pay for lease space. Finally
6	we need to update our case tracking system. It is
7	essential to performing our day-to-day operations.
8	We therefore request funding for technology audit,
9	and based on similar projects in the past, we
10	estimate that this assessment will cost between
11	200,000 and 275,000 dollars, and you can be assured
12	that I will be back to request funding for the
13	upgrade. While we still face many challenges,
14	members of the Council, I believe that with the
15	hiring and retention of the best and brightest
16	employees and our emphasis on swift, efficient
17	justice we will continue to make great strides in
18	2017 in the fight against crime in making Bronx
19	streets safer and in earning the trust of the people
20	of the Bronx. Thank you very much for this
21	opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,

DA Darcel Clark, and now we'll have our District

Attorney of Staten Island Richmond County, DA Michael

McMahon. Thank you and welcome.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON:

Thank you,

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Madam Chairwoman, and to you and to your colleagues and to all assembled here, a very hardy and warm good morning and thank you on behalf of myself, our staff and the people of Staten Island. It's always warmly nostalgic and wonderful for me to come back to this chamber where I once sat on that side of the proceedings with some of you who are still here today, and I'm amazed and in awe of the dedication and commitment that you all show to your work and to your constituents, and especially I know we all thank you, Chairwoman Gibson, and Speaker Mark-Viverito for your commitment to the issues of public safety that we deal with every day, and you really are a remarkable chairwoman. And of course I want to thank Minority Leader from Staten Island, Steven Matteo, and my Council Members Rose and Borelli for the great work that they do in conjunction with all of you here on this committee, and we thank you. It's also an honor for me to sit on this table, at this table with two of the greatest prosecutors in the United States of America, Mr. Vance and Judge Clark, as well as the leaders of the offices of Queens and Brooklyn,

especially the Prosecutor's Office. It's quite a

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

thing for a guy from Staten Island to sit here, and I'm in awe. When I came-- but the problems we face in Staten Island when it comes to the DA's Office, unfortunately are as severe and as strong as they are in the other boroughs and the rest of the city, and when I came last year for the first time it was a challenge for me to convince everyone that Staten Island is no longer that quiet little hamlet on the hill where we don't have the big city problems when it comes to crime. In fact, we had them and we had them in great numbers, and thanks to your help and your commitment to equal justice for all New Yorkers, Staten Island, we've come a long way in just a short period of time, and I'm proud of that and I'm sitting here reflecting on it. Again, it's in gratitude to you and to the Mayor for hearing our pleas. To sort of put it in perspective, as to what we've done in just a year and yet the challenges we face, when you hear the homicide numbers throughout the City and how they've come down, it might be shocking to hear that in Staten Island last year we had 21 homicides, which is quite a startling number when you hear the numbers in the other boroughs and you look at the per-capita. Yet, at the same time, in 2015 our office tried eight

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

jury verdicts throughout the whole year, and last year we tried jury cases to verdict, and so we've really upped the game and we've increased our efforts and our productivity when it comes to doing the basic things that a prosecutor's office has to do. But at the same time, the challenges that we face continue to grow, and I want to talk to you about some specific areas where in Staten Island we, like my colleagues, face some serious challenge, and that is with the heroin and opioid crisis, the rising number in domestic violence cases, and also how we try to transform our offices to make them modern 21st century working environments for modern day prosecutors. I know with your help I'm confident that we continue along this path that we've both chosen together. First, let me talk to you about the heroin and opioid plague on Staten Island. the Department of Health said that the overdose death numbers in Staten Island were at 69. That's what they estimated it to be. One year later in 2016 estimates are now somewhere around 110 deaths of Staten Islanders from overdoses from heroin and heroin-related substances. Quite frankly, our children, our brothers and sisters, friends and

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

neighbors are overdosing at very alarming rates and never seen before because of the toxic heroin that's on the streets and also because of the fentanyl that is currently on the streets as well. So, I was pleased to see that just a few weeks ago the Mayor came out with a new program to deal with this issue and deals with it in a very comprehensive way, both as a public health and as a public safety crisis, and that's very encouraging. What I'd like to do is to talk to you about what we've done on Staten Island, a couple of initiatives that we've undertaken, how they're working and how we need your help to continue these efforts. Let me be very clear that we are committed to reducing not only the supply of illegal drugs that are on the streets, but also to work on the demand and reduce that as well. When I came into office, I realized that no one really knew how bad the problem was. No one was tracking overdoses. one was cataloging them, and we were not investigating them as the crime scene that they should be. So just a little over a year ago we kicked off what we call the Overdose Response Initiative, and basically every overdose death and now virtually every overdose that occurs on Staten

Island is investigated by the NYPD detectives and
members of my staff to make sure that we are
gathering forensic evidence to be able to investigate
and pursue the dealers who are purveying these
poisons on the streets of our city and Staten Island
in particular, and also to try to give support and
counseling to the families that have had such
terrible addiction illness to one of their members.
We've had success from our investigations. We've had
major take-downs bringing more than 50 drug dealers,
significant drug dealers including two drug king-pins
to justice, and we continue in these efforts. And
I'm happy to report that the Overdose Response
Initiative approach that we started on Staten Island
is now being brought to the rest of the City through
Overdose Response Squads by the NYPD, and so that is
one effort that we have, we continue to do. We also
prevailed upon the Office of Court Administration to
set up in Staten Island for the first time a Special
Narcotics part in the criminal term of the Supreme
Court, and so we were able to prosecute the cases
against the dealers with a judge dedicated with the
dedicated judge in calendar to ensure quick, fair and

efficient justice in these very important cases. So

2 we have the investigation. We have the enforcement 3 and prosecution in court, but we also wanted to find 4 a way to get people who are in the early stages or in 5 the throes of addiction only into earlier -- into treatment earlier in the process. And so we started 6 7 just two months ago our HOPE program, Heroin Overdose 8 Prevention and Education, which is an early diversion approach to the issue. And so the way that HOPE works is this: If you are eligible -- you are 10 11 eligible for HOPE if you're charged the top charges 12 to 20.03 of the penal law which is criminal possession of controlled substance in the seventh 13 14 degree at the time of your arrest and you are 15 eligible for a desk appearance ticket. If that is 16 the case, a peer coach will be dispatched to meet you 17 at the precinct and explain to you further how the 18 program works. You will be given the desk appearance 19 ticket and even at that point or the next day, you'll 20 be taken to a Resource Recovery Center where you will 21 be met by trained professionals, and we have one on 2.2 the north shore, one on the south shore of Staten 2.3 Island, to assess you and to help you find a path to get some engagement, to help you get recovery and a 24 path out of the addiction. The-- as I said, also at 25

25

2 the precinct the peer counselor gives Naloxone 3 training and will give the individual, whether they 4 accept the program or not, they will be given an application of Naloxone. At the Resource and 5 Recovery Centers, a path is fashioned for you, 6 customized for you to get you on a better way. 7 8 will also be engaged with an attorney from Legal Aid to advise you on the program and explain how the desk appearance ticket process works. If you get your 10 11 assessment before the seventh day, the shortened 12 return date normally a desk appearance ticket has a 13 30-day return date. Under our program you get a seven-day return date. If you do that, the case will 14 15 be adjourned then for 30 more days, during which time 16 if you meaningfully engage as defined by the provider 17 professionals in the community, not by me, if that 18 happens, then we will decline to prosecute your case, 19 meaning there will be no record. The record cases 20 will be sealed. The arrest will be sealed. The case 21 was never docketed in court, and so in essence it's 2.2 as clean of a record as possible, and hopefully now 2.3 you have engaged in something more meaningful than just being locked up, arraigned, perhaps convicted 24

for a misdemeanor or violation, given time served,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and being back on the street in a matter of 24 to 36 hours, unfortunately using again. So I just wanted to give you some early numbers on what we've done over the last two months since we started since January 17<sup>th</sup>. A hundred and 14 Staten Islanders have been found eligible and offered HOPE, and of those 92 percent have entered the program and received and assessment, and of those 92 percent, 96 percent those who have entered the program had meaningfully engaged with services, and 105 individuals have received Naloxone training and 98 Naloxone kits have been distributed. So, early success, we're very optimistic. The Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice has engaged an independent evaluator who will come on board in about six months to start an evaluation. next year when we come back we will be able to give you sort of empirically accurate data as to how the program is going, but we cobbled this together with some help from some local providers. We need to keep it going for current -- for the coming year. So we've asked for 330,000 dollars to help us with that, and that's in OTPS because the majority of that funding goes to the peer counselors who have to be on 24/7In battling the overdose crisis on Staten

Island we noticed something very starting, and that
is that and this goes to the next request. Forty-
five percent of the people who have received Naloxone
saves in Staten Island had a history of domestic
violence, either as a victim or a suspect, and 41
percent of the overdose fatalities reported by NYPD
in 2016 had a history of domestic violence. And last
year, we had three child deaths in the last year
occur on Staten Island, all involved in situations
where drugs were where substance abuse was a
factor, very significant or at least ancillary
factor. And so what we want to do is to undertake an
initiative to better understand the crossroads
between domestic violence cases and the drug abuse
cases, and so we have a request to do that, to do
that analysis of 250,000 dollars. And now our third
request is on the issue of domestic violence, and I'm
glad that my great colleague from the Bronx talked
about how in her borough she was able to establish a
complaint room for domestic violence cases, and that
has an incredible success because the victims of
domestic violence, if they're spoken to early and
they establish a relationship of trust with the
prosecutor and with the PD, are more likely to stay

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with the case, enable us to successfully prosecute the case, and also within the Family Justice Center or not find themselves a better path to get out of the relationship that is at the very origin of the violence situation that they find themselves in and to get on themselves to a better life. We do not have a separate complaint drawing component in Staten In fact, quite often defense counsel is speaking to the victim before the prosecutor is and so we have a request of 325,000 dollars to all us to staff up with the prosecutors and support staff that will allow us to do a separate DV complaint room because of the extended hours that are needed to do that. One of the things I promised to do last year when it came to modernizing the office was to work to build bridges to the community from our office. were the only office in the city that did not have a community partnership unit. Thanks to you guys, we have one now. It is almost fully staffed, and we're doing some things in the community I think that you'll be very proud of, including for the first time in Staten Island we did Fresh Start Program, a recent-- at the end of last year. We had over 200 people come to it, and it was extremely successful,

and again, very forward thinking and progressive idea
that my colleagues had perfected that we now have on
Staten Island. We also opened on Veteran's Day for
the first time a Veteran's Court on Staten Island
which has shown some early signs of success, and we
are using these successes to start the path to
establish a full-blown Community Court on Staten
Island as well, and thus we have a request for
funding of 100,000 dollars to allow us to start the
planning for that. Like my colleagues, we I came
into an office that did not even have a case
management system. We have brought one in, but it
need some fine-tuning and enable us to link directly
with links from PD and OCA, and so we've asked for
100,000 in technology funding. I just want to go
back and talk about the work of the Community Affairs
Unit. We continue to be I think the only office in
New York that does not have an Immigrant Affairs
Unit, and so I've requested funding to have an ADA
who has the ability to deal with issues arising out
of immigration concerns, especially given what's
going on in our nation's capital. We think that
that's a very important request. We would also like
to establish a Conviction Integrity Review Unit in

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

our office and we've had initial conversations with the Speaker, and she's supportive of this and mentioned it in her State of the City address, and we just want you to know that we are ready to go with that, and we have the funding request in their as well. Lastly, we've made great progress in economic crimes and asset forfeiture in the year prior to us coming in office, our office only brought in 50,000 dollars in asset forfeiture money. We've more than tripled that so far, but I need additional personnel to do that. Lastly, one request not directly to my budget, we are slated to get Shot Spotter on Staten Island. This is the innovative technology that allows the NYPD to track cases of gun fire that are not often reported. In fact, the estimates are that 70 percent of the cases of gun fire are not reported, and the plan is to cover one precinct on Staten We want them to cover half of another precinct, and in so doing it would cover just about all of the area of Staten Island where it would prove most effective. Again, thrilled to be here. Thank you all for your support in the work that we do, and we look forward to answering your questions today and joining together to make the City

1

of New York, and in our case Staten Island, both 3 safer and stronger. Thank you very much.

Ryan. Thank you and welcome.

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much, DA McMahon. I'd like to acknowledge we've been joined by Council Member Robert Cornegy, and now we'll have representing our Queens District Attorney Richard Brown. Thank you for being here, ADA John

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY RYAN: morning. Thank you, Chairwoman Gibson. The District Attorney first asked me to start by expressing his regrets that he couldn't be here this morning. had an unavoidable conflict, but he really wanted me to emphasize his gratitude for the members of the Council for the support they've given us over the years and how appreciative we are of all that. Council support over the years and your recognition of the important role that we as prosecutors play in making the justice system work for the benefit of all New Yorkers is greatly appreciated. With your help, we've been able in recent years to make significant strides in rebuilding our operations and addressing emerging needs after a decade-long series of budget reductions in the aftermath of the 9/11 and the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

economic downturn. With the monies you provided in last year alone we were able to increase the number of investigators assigned to our in-house Queens District Attorney's Detective Bureau to add staff to our technology effort, to hire solely needed appellate attorneys to meet growing demands, and add critical staffing for a comprehensive anti-qun/antiviolence initiative working in conjunction with the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. That said, however, we need your continued support to continue what we have-- excuse me, to ensure that we have the resources that we need to continue to rebuild and grow and to respond to the many new and emerging areas of criminal activity that is taking place around us. As I do each year, I provided you with a booklet summarizing our office's accomplishments over the past year and setting forth our needs for the coming year. You'll find in the material that we have provided to you today a request for some additional monies including for capital funding for new computer network infrastructure as well as for some additional personal services money to help address a growing problem with recruitment and retention of our line assist [sic] and District

Attorneys. On the personnel services side this year
we are requesting a 1.2 million dollars in additional
baseline personnel services funding to address an
increasing recruitment and retention problem with our
line assist and District Attorney staff as well as a
significant salary compression issue for our
experienced attorneys that is developed over time.
By way of background, during the long series of post-
9/11 budget cuts we were forced to restrict both the
starting salaries for our new Assistant District
Attorneys and salary increases for attorneys they
gained experience in training. As a result, overtime
we simply lost pace with many of the salary levels
with private sector employees and government
colleague offices who were able to provide and we
were not. Class years did not allow for sufficient
growth or separation of salaries as years of
experience increased. The impact of this has been
significant with increasing problems in both
recruiting and retaining the level and diversity of
Assistant District Attorneys our county needs.
Indeed, in recent years we have seen our Assistant
District Attorney's attrition rates on an upward
climb with staff losses in Fiscal 17 now projected to

2	be nearly double our five-year annual average for
3	attorney separations and attritions. And the bulk of
4	the attrition in recent years has been with our line
5	nonsupervisory Assistant District Attorney staff.
6	Losing high numbers of experienced ADA's poses
7	significant issues for our office as we lose staff
8	with the background and training levels needed to
9	carry out our mission of investigating and
10	prosecuting the over 60,000 arrest cases we handle
11	each year in Queens County. We know this overall
12	issue is one faced by our colleagues here today as
13	well as that sorting through all of this will take
14	some time and discussion, but today, we wanted to
15	open the dialogue with this request, and we look
16	forward to working with you so that we can hopefully
17	all move ahead to make both targeted salary
18	adjustments this year focused on the levels of
19	attorneys most affected and to address current salary
20	compression issues as well. On the other than
21	personnel services side, we look forward as well to
22	your support this year in obtaining 3.8 million
23	dollars in capital funding to address some of our
24	basic computer network infrastructure issues. The

upgrading and replacement of our network equipment

2	long went unaddressed over the years of budget
3	reductions. We are now critically in need of
4	equipment and we outlined our request. This is
5	particularly important for us as our office continues
6	to expand its use of technology to increase
7	efficiency and effectiveness in virtually every area
8	of our operations and to support an ever-expanding
9	network of linkages to virtually every federal, state
10	and local enforcement and government agency.
11	Upgrading this equipment is also essential as the
12	foundation for HOPE for a future project of
13	converting our offices current antiquated PBX
14	telecommunication system to a state-of-the-art voice
15	over internet protocol solution, VOIP. So we very
16	much need the funding we request this year to move
17	these critical projects forward and look forward to
18	your support on this request as well. Now, let me
19	brief you on where we are with all our longstanding
20	office space issues. As you may recall, the DA
21	testified before you last year outlining our
22	longstanding problem with overcrowding and lack of
23	office space. With the current staffing at over
24	eight times of that which existed when our main

office facility was built in 1950's, the Queens

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

District Attorney's Office has simply outgrown the space allotted to it. Based upon the projected staffing and plan facilities we are now close to 90,000 square feet short of where we should be in usable office space, and that continues to have a devastating effect on both the efficiency of our office and our staff's day-to-day living conditions. I am pleased to say that with additional rent money provided last year, the City is now actively working with us to identify additional interim rental space to address at least a portion of our critical space needs now. Along these lines we are working with the City to add additional office rental space to our existing rental facility located at 8002 Kew Gardens Road, and we are also working with the City on finalizing close to 40,000 square feet of space in a new facility across from the courthouse located at 12606 Queens Boulevard which was vacated last year by the Board of Elections. But even this particularly since available rental space in the area around Kew Gardens Courthouse where we work is in such short supply, this will still leave us close to 40,000 additional square feet short. So we all still very much need a solution in the long-term. Along these

lines we continue to explore with the City various
options, including the possibility of construction of
a new consolidated facility or the addition to our
existing facilities of the additional square footage,
perhaps and it wouldn't be a Queens presentation
without a mention of this perhaps even ultimately
either taking over and renovating the vacant Queens
House of Detention, which I note it has now been
vacant since 2002, space that's contiguous to our
office's main facility as discussed at last year's
council budget hearings or through some other option.
Progress has been slow. However, moving forward on a
solution that will give us the modern consolidated
and comprehensive fiscal plan we need, this remains a
top priority for us, however, and we continue to need
your help and support in maintaining focus and
momentum on this important issue. We look very much
forward to working with you and your staff in
addressing these needs. As the District Attorney has
said many times in the past, we are key partners in
the criminal justice system along with the police,
probation, and the courts. We must work
collaboratively to ensure that each of our offices is
given the criminal justice and public safety dollars

that we need to do our jobs to maintain and advance the efficiency and effectiveness of the entire system. again, we thank you for the opportunity to be here today and assure [sic] you that we continue to be very much committed to working with you and addressing our City's public safety issues, and we certainly are prepared to answer any council questions that you may have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much on behalf of Queens DA Judge Brown, and now we have our Chief ADA Steven Goldstein representing Special Narcotics Prosecutor Bridget Brennan. Thank you for joining us.

CHIEF GOLDSTEIN: Good morning,

Chairperson Gibson, members of the Public Safety

Committee. Bridget Brennan asked me to express her

regrets at not being able to testify this morning.

As you know, testifying before this committee is

always a high priority for the Special Narcotics

Prosecutor, but as a result of the snow storm and

rescheduling of the date, she had an unavoidable

conflict out of town. I want to focus my testimony

here today on what has been the critical focus of the

Office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the

opioid epidemic. As you all know quite well this is
a problem that is gripping our city and our country
with overdose deaths that have reached tragic and
historic numbers. The written testimony you have
before you provides an abundance of analysis and
critical statistical measures which highlight the
gravity of the problem, but let me just add a few
statistic that are not in the report, but I think
provide some historical perspective that helps to
capture where we are today. The measure that is most
often used to look at a drug epidemic is overdose
deaths per 100,000 in a population. In the 1970's
during the height of the heroin epidemic that
followed the Vietnam War, drug overdose deaths in the
United States peaked at 1.5 deaths per population of
100. During the height of the crack epidemic in the
80's, that number was just shy of two deaths per
100,000. The present opioid crisis is killing 10.3
people per 100,000 in the United States, and that's a
2015 number, without the fentanyl impact. Its
statistics that we expect to see when the 2016
numbers are finalized. As many of you know, fentanyl
is a highly potent synthetic opioid that has caused a
sharp escalation in death rates, and I'll talk about

2	that a little more in a few moments. In many states
3	the situation is far worse. Over 40 deaths per
4	100,000 in West Virginia, over 30 in New Hampshire.
5	In New York City, the average is about 15, higher in
6	some counties, lower in others. What we have seen
7	through our investigations in cases is a market that
8	is literally flooded with opioids. The cases is
9	described in our written testimony provide a clear
10	window into this supply. One example we highlighted
11	involved an investigation that resulted in a court-
12	authorized search warrant to the single-family
13	private residence in the Bronx which was functioning
14	as a heroin mill where over one million glassines of
15	heroin directly headed for the street were recovered
16	This was believed to be the largest heroin packaging
17	operation dismantled by the DEA in New York, but
18	unfortunately it is the type of operation we are
19	seeing all too regularly. Those numbers reflect the
20	scope and the lucrative nature of this business.
21	Last week we saw just how lucrative it is in another
22	investigation where we executed a warrant and seized
23	a record 4.5 million dollars, the profits from this
24	business that were destined for a cartel in Mexico.
25	These two cases along with the others highlighted in

our testimony illustrate the importance of continuing
investigative and law enforcement efforts to cut off
supply of these drugs. Of course, restricting supply
is just one piece of what needs to be a multifaceted
and nuanced effort to address this problem, but it is
a critical one that cannot be ignored. When the
market is flooded with highly-addictive narcotics,
any other efforts to address addiction and save lives
are compromised. For us to avoid the dangers of the
highly-addictive drugs, we need to do all we can to
restrict access. What we experienced in the last
decade with respect to prescription pills should
provide a valuable lesson in how important it is to
curtail this supply of highly-addictive drugs. In
the case of powerful prescription drugs, for a great
many years they had been confined and only used for
acute pain or life-ending care, but in the 90's there
was a massive pharmaceutical marketing and lobbying
effort coupled with flawed sponsored medical research
and we began to see dramatic increases in opioid
prescriptions year after year. That problem that
we're facing today was rooted in that in that
increase in drugs. The good news is that we
effectively addressed we've begun to effectively

2	addressing this piece of the challenge: increased
3	education and awareness on the part of medical
4	professionals, regulatory changes under New York
5	State's Prescription Monitoring Program, National
6	Takeback Initiatives, and dedicated investigations
7	into medical pill mills have resulted in a number of
8	prescriptions for oxycodone actually going down in
9	2016 after many years of increasing. This is really
10	significant because the majority of people who
11	developed heroin addictions first become dependent on
12	prescription pills. My comments here today are in no
13	way to attempt to minimize the critical importance of
14	education of coordinated public health initiatives of
15	treatment alternatives to incarceration, increased
16	access to life-saving naloxone, and many educational
17	and health initiatives aimed at addressing the
18	problems we face. The Special Narcotics Prosecutor
19	commends Mayor de Blasio for recognizing the
20	seriousness [sic] of the current epidemic and
21	committing resources under the new initiative:
22	Healing New York City. But effective law enforcement
23	initiatives aimed at cutting off supply must continue
24	to be a central part of the strategy to address this

very serious epidemic. The cases described in our

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

testimony and the record number of heroin and fentanyl seizures speak to the work that is being done by the Special Narcotics Prosecutor coordinating with local and federal partners and working hand-inhand with the five District Attorneys. extremely grateful to the City Council and the Mayor's Office for the additional 280,000 that we received for heroin investigations in 2016 and for all your steadfast support over many, many years. Before concluding, I want to speak to you about what I just mentioned at the beginning, the synthetic opioid fentanyl. We have seen this drug in everincreasing amounts and we need to raise public awareness of its dangers, because in our estimation it is truly a game changer, and I'm afraid we're just beginning to see its impact. Fentanyl, as many of you know, is a highly potent and often lethal powdered synthetic that has infiltrated the drug market and it's likely to increase because it's cheap, and it can increase profits for narcotics traffickers. Fentanyl costs a fraction of what heroin costs, and by mixing it with heroin or other drugs, drug dealers can dramatically stretch their product and increase profits. The problem is that in

2	its usual form, fentanyl is about 50 times stronger
3	than heroin, and there are many forms of fentanyl
4	that far exceed that. Doses as small as two
5	milligrams can be fatal. Users simply do not know
6	what they're buying when they purchase heroin, and
7	sometimes neither did the sellers. Because of its
8	nature and strength, overdose antidotes such as
9	naloxone which have been so critical in saving lives
10	are not nearly as effective with fentanyl as they are
11	with these other opioids. We've not only seen
12	fentanyl alongside heroin when we locate mills or
13	seize drugs. We've seen it in cocaine as well.
14	Equally troubling. Motivated by enormous profits,
15	traffickers exploit the high consumer demand for
16	prescription meds by producing inexpensive fraudulent
17	prescription pills containing potentially deadly
18	amounts of fentanyl as well. We've seen this in the
19	context of opioid pills, counterfeit opioid pills
20	that we've seized that have had fentanyl. We've also
21	seen it for other drugs like Xanax. In Florida in
22	2016 nine people died from counterfeit Xanax
23	containing fentanyl. Our written testimony contains
24	additional information about fentanyl and what our
	1

investigations has been revealed, but I really think

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much, and now we'll have our Chief of Staff Leroy Frazer,

25

1

Junior, representing our Acting Kings County District

3 Attorney Eric Gonzales. Thank you for being here and

welcome. Good to see you again. 4

CHIEF LEROY FRAZER: Nice to see you too,

Madam Chairwoman. First of all, thank you, Madam 6

7 Chairwoman and members of the Public Safety

8 Commission. I too have to being by offering

apologies and regrets from Acting District Attorney

Eric Gonzalez. He would certainly have loved to been 10

11 here for his maiden voyage in testifying before you,

12 however, as he told you Madam Chairwoman, prior to

13 the date being rescheduled that he had a commitment

14 out of the jurisdiction that we was unable to change.

15 But however, he assures you he will be here at the

16 next hearing to testify before you and answer

17 questions. At this stage he's asked me to come and I

18 hope I can do the job that he requests. First of

19 all, again, we thank you for the opportunity to

20 address you on the Mayor's Preliminary Budget, its

impact on our office, the strides we have made in the 21

last year, and the continued fiscal challenges we 2.2

2.3 face going forward. We come before the committee in

our roles as working within the Brooklyn District 24

Attorney's Office with a heavy heart and that we

continue to remember and honor and celebrate the late
District Attorney Ken Thompson. We remain committed
to continuing his legacy of balanced and fair justice
for all of Brooklyn. During Fiscal Year 18 November
and January budget plans our office saw a slight
increase from the Adopted Budget attributable to
additional collective bargaining and city revenue
funding. In 2016, Brooklyn saw 88,658 arrests, a 5.7
percent decrease from prior year 2015. Felony
arrests remained highest in Brooklyn, approximately
7,000 more arrests than the next highest county.
With Brooklyn accounting for the highest percentage
of total arrests citywide and the highest felony
arrests, our limited resources are strained keeping
up with this volume. Felony cases require tenured
and highly skilled Assistant District Attorneys to
prosecute these cases with the level of attention to
detail the people of Brooklyn expect and deserve.
With an ever-growing number of felony cases in
Brooklyn, we rely on the dedicated workforce of ADA's
who work diligently and tirelessly in the pursuit of
justice. These ADA's are committed to doing this
often thankless work, and we hope as an office to be
able to compensate them for the work that they are

2 doing with a salary that reflects our appreciation. 3 Unfortunately, the physical strength of the budget 4 provided by the City limits the starting salary of ADA's in Brooklyn to 60,000 dollars. While we've 5 made tremendous strides from where the office was in 6 2013 where the starting salary was 50,000 dollars 8 when we took office, we have not yet been able to offer a starting salary that is competitive with our fellow city District Attorney's offices, as Brooklyn 10 11 remains the lowest starting salary in the City. When 12 compared to surrounding counties, we are unable to 13 compete for the best and the brightest coming out of 14 law school. Legal recruitment is a struggle that our 15 office shares with other DA's office as private firms 16 and other agencies are able to offer sometimes double or triple what our ADA's earned to start. This not 17 18 only impacts our ability to recruit, but also has an 19 impact on our ability to retain ADAs we have invested 20 training resources in as they leave after a few years 21 for better office in the private sector. Our office 2.2 is currently experiencing record high attrition among 2.3 legal staff. For the current Fiscal Year 2017 we have had historic attrition having lost 60 ADAs by 24 February. This is usually the number of attorneys we 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

lose in one year. The ability to retain the attorneys we are losing or replace them once they are gone is severely restricted by the low salaries that we offer the ADAs. So therefore, Acting District Attorney Gonzalez is asking the council to support the proposal that we make to the City to increase our budget by approximately 1.8 million dollars in order to begin September with a starting salary of ADAs at the rate of 68,000 dollars. As we remain the borough with the highest volume of cases to prosecute, we need to ensure our ADAs have the tools necessary to successfully prosecute cases to conviction. As part of the Fiscal Year 16 Executive Plan, our office received 236,100 dollars for the expansion of forensic science and cold case unit which serves as an advisory passage to all bureaus and units throughout the office and instructing the Assistant District Attorneys on Grand Jury presentations, motion practice and trial strategy in cases involving DNA, latent [sic] prints and ballistics. Prior to 2014, the Office reviewed cold cases on an ad-hock With the creation of this specialized unit and its expansion, the office is now able to take a proactive approach to cold case review efforts. By

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

expanding the unit beyond forensics with greater focus on cold case review, the office was able to work in collaboration with the NYPD Cold Case Squad and the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner to bring two cold cases to indictment linked to the same defendant. The two cold case homicides of Teribia [sp?] Thomas, age 17, and Rashan Brazelle [sp?], age 19, in 2004 and 2005 respectfully, both from the Bushwick section of Brooklyn was linked to a defendant who was first indicted on the homicide of Ms. Thomas in 2016. Further investigation found several links to the defendant including Mr. Brazelle's blood on a tool bag owned by the defendant. So, in January of 2017, the defendant was indicted on the homicide of Rashan Brazelle as well. The lives of these young people were viciously stolen from their families. It is our hope that the efforts of this unit will help bring closure and justice to these families and the many other families throughout Brooklyn awaiting an answer on a case that has gone cold. In 2014 our office was funded 500,000 annually to expand the conviction review unit which now has a dedicated staff of nine senior ADAs, two fulltime investigators and two paralegals. This unit remains

2	the largest Conviction Review Unit in the nation. In
3	the last three years, this unit has emerged as a
4	model for the country. Since March of 2014, we have
5	found that 22 individuals were wrongfully convicted,
6	including for example, Paul Gattlin [sp?] now 83
7	years old who plead guilty to avoid the death penalty
8	in 1964 shooting death of a Brooklyn artist during a
9	robbery gone bad. Mr. Gattlin maintained his
10	innocence even after his life prison sentence was
11	commuted in the 1970's after being in custody for
12	nine years. After a long and extremely difficult
13	investigation our Conviction Review Unit unearthed
14	long suppressed records which cast extreme doubt on
15	the eyewitness testimony. In May of 2016 Mr.
16	Gattlin's conviction was vacated and his voting
17	rights were restored. As we continue to work through
18	the backlog of cases of cases for review, DA Gonzalez
19	remains committed to maintain the unit within the
20	office that will continue to conduct thorough and
21	fair investigations of cases up for review and remain
22	steadfast in the pursuit of justice for all of
23	Brooklyn. In October of 2015, our office was one of
24	four local government agencies nationwide to be
25	awarded a grant of 425,000 dollars from the Federal

this group will help increase public safety while

offering effective solutions for decreasing both

24

25

of domestic violence. The community benefits as the

2.2

2.3

24

25

funding allows for the provision of direct services to domestic violence victims, advocacy, case management, crisis intervention counseling, community outreach, and educational workshops and training. Our office -- our current office space in Brooklyn is approaching 20 years of occupancy. While the space more than met the office needs all those long years ago, today the office has changed and expanded. the lease renewal is approaching, we have been notified by DCAS and OMB that the plan for the City to renew the current lease space has been approved as well as a life-cycle replacement update to the workspace on the ten floors we occupy there at 350 J. The space has not been renovated since the initial signing of the lease in 1998. renovation project will be negotiated as part of the new lease, and is slated to begin once the new lease As part of the lease renewal, we requested an expansion of our space to include two additional floors at 350 J. Street, a total of 65,000 square feet has been approved by DCAS for expansion of the Family Justice Center and consolidation of all related bureaus to the 28<sup>th</sup> and 29<sup>th</sup> floors. Brooklyn Family Justice Center is the oldest and

2 largest partner with the Mayor's Office to Combat 3 Domestic Violence, helping to maintain the center and 4 devoting staff resources for prosecution. At 11 5 years old, the Family Justice Center is the oldest and most used center serving approximately 20,000 6 clients in 2015 alone. This center is unique as it operates in the middle of our office headquarters and 8 was not part of the original plans for lease space. The needs of both the Office and the Center have 10 11 expanded since its inception. The expansion and 12 upgrade to the layout would mirror the newer Family Justice Center locations in other boroughs. 13 entire floor would be dedicated to the Family Justice 14 15 Center allowing for growth and open space to serve the needs of the clients. The one-stop no co-located 16 17 services model requires us to maintain the close 18 proximity of our Domestic Violence Bureau, our 19 Special Victims Bureau, our Victim Services Unit 20 along with the Family Justice Center. Therefore, 21 these two floors together would serve as a genuine one-stop center for domestic violence victims and 2.2 2.3 their families and the other special victims in related bureaus. The people of Brooklyn deserve an 24 updated and upgraded Family Justice Center to serve 25

2	the ever-growing needs of the borough. Finally,			
3	fiscal challenges remain present in our office. As			
4	we continue to face those challenges, we remain			
5	focused on the fiscal responsible and prudent			
6	stewards of the resources entrusted to us by the			
7	great people of Brooklyn. This responsibility is one			
8	that DA Gonzalez takes very seriously and will			
9	continue the legacy started by the late District			
10	Attorney Thompson to transform the Brooklyn District			
11	Attorney's Office into one of the leading law			
12	enforcement agencies that the people of Brooklyn			
13	deserve. Once again, he thanks you and thanks			
14	Chairwoman Gibson and the members of the Public			
15	Safety Committee and the entire Council for your			
16	tireless support of our office as we make the case			
17	for these additional resources. With your support it			
18	is our hope that this funding will be provided by OME			
19	for the next budget plan so that we can continue to			
20	ensure safe neighborhoods and peace of mind to the			
21	great people of Brooklyn. Thank you.			

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,
Mr. Frazer, and certainly to you and the Acting
District Attorney Eric Gonzalez. We appreciate your
commitment and your vision and really continuing to

COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: Thank you, Madam Welcome to all the District Attorneys and Chair.

24

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Thank you for your partnership. I'm going to 3 direct my questions to my colleague and friend 4 District Attorney McMahon. I just want to thank you for your efforts over the last few years and for 5 working with us, and I just want to begin with 6 7 naloxone. We've had discussions about the distribution of naloxone, its cost, whether it's the 8 proper use of resources. So I wanted to get your take on the use of naloxone, how effective you think 10 11 it is, and can you also talk about the follow-up to 12 after someone is given naloxone and how we can try to 13 capture the opportunity to prevent another OD? 14 know you're working with the NYPD and one of the 15 local hospitals. So, if you could expand on that, that would be great. 16

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Sure. Thank you, Minority Leader. I think, you know, I speak for many people when you first hear about naloxone and the word you used earlier, the concept that you're going to bring someone back from an overdose and then equip them or loved ones or emergency responders with the means to do that again, and perhaps it can recur again. It's almost counterintuitive, right? You're almost thinking, "Wait, what are we doing?" But then

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

when you sit down and think it through it makes a whole lot of sense and when you see what's happened just in the year and a half or so that I've been dealing with this issue on the front lines in the Staten Island DA's office, and I think others who deal with it as well, it not only makes sense, but it's a game changer. It's saving lives. We saw last year if we looked at the number of overdoses that we have 90 confirmed and 120 suspected, and our naloxone save numbers were around 74/75. This year, the first three months we're running sort of an inverse where we've had 17 deaths, but 35 saves. So we're seeing the graph arrow sort of changing direction, and it's-- and we're seeing a wider dispersion of the naloxone, not only the police, the EMTs, people-folks in the hospital and loved ones and friends, and I hate to say it, but without it think of what our numbers would be. We would be this year already 50, close to 60 deaths. So, it's having an incredible That's why we included it as part of our HOPE program so that we're giving people-- we're giving them the training and we're giving them the kits so that they have it available and there's no two ways around it. I think it's a perfect example

25

reckoning. You know, you're sitting in the precinct

and thinking about where my-- what am I doing in life? We think the same thing happens with an overdose. So the sooner we can connect people with services, the better, and maybe that'll bring down the overall numbers as well. And I know that you've taken a somewhat public stand as well, supportive in understanding the politics of it from where you come from, and as I said, with all due respect I commend you for doing that as well, because it is an honest approach and it's an effective approach to a really terrible problem.

COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: And I agree, and I appreciate that, and I think the follow-up is extremely important and I want to work with you to expand that, because if we're not following up with them after as best as we can, it may not be as effective as we want it to be.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Sure, and maybe, you know, the-- do we see repeat cases? Yes, we do.

COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: Right, okay. So you mentioned the HOPE initiative that you launched a few months ago. So, can you just go into a little bit more detail and some clarification on how one

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24 25

gets into that. Is it that they're just someone who's been addicted and arrested because of their addiction, and is there any other charges that are involved with that? And just can you just tell me your expectation and goals and the results also?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Sure. we-- you know, the program was a result of 10 months of planning and trying to come up with something that could work and that planning was done with disparate [sic] organizations, so law enforcement, the NYPD, and it has to be a program that a 35,000 member police force can implement without making it too complicated because they have so many other things to With Legal Aid we certainly see things from quite a different perspective maybe than I do, my office does, and with the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice and Department of Health somewhere in the middle and the providers as well. So, we had to kind of come up with a program that upon implementation we wanted it to be successful. We didn't want to set it up for failure. So, what we did is we narrowed the charges down to that, as I said, to 22003 which is a misdemeanor possession of the penal law. That has to be the highest charge. You cannot have an extensive

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

record, otherwise you are not DAT-able. So, normally you get arrested, you're held over, you're arraigned, and then you appear before a judge. If the offense is a low-level charge, if you're a first time offender, you'll be given a desk appearance ticket. It means you don't have to sit all night and you're usually told to come back in 30 days, but you have to qualify for that. The charges have to be low enough, and your history has to be not too complicated in order to get a desk appearance ticket. So we combined those two processes together so that if your highest charge is a 22003 and you're DAT-able, you sort of automatically qualify for the program, and we thought in our projections we thought we would hit maybe 3-400 people, but it's already-- there are more arrest in this category. There are more people qualified, and we're trying to-- we want it so that there's some discretion still, and we try to err in the favor of offering the program if we can when circumstances are appropriate. It's been very successful, and you know, getting the peers to precinct, that was a real logistical problem as well. That's working out. The PPS, the performing provider system on Staten Island which is amalgamation of the

2	medical providers who are working to bring down
3	Medicaid and Medicare costs, they gave us some
4	initial funding, and so it's worked out pretty well
5	and we're pretty optimistic about it. Because
6	otherwise, you know, with that charge you would just
7	be going through the revolving door of justice and
8	getting back to what you were doing. This way we're
9	giving people an option that we hope is for HOPE, and
10	so it's so far so good. I should also say that the
11	Police Commissioner I know I speak to him, I know
12	he's coming later. But at the press conference where
13	the Mayor announced his Heal NYC program, the
14	Commissioner was there as well, and he spoke about
15	hoping to bring it to other parts of the City as
16	well, because the initial numbers had been pretty
17	positive, and we hire we have two people in our
18	office who coordinate it. They're directors, and
19	thanks to the funding that we received last year that
20	we were able to hire them. So, thank you.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: Great. Thank

COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: Great. Thank you, Mr. District Attorney. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,
Minority Leader Matteo. Next we'll have Council

Rory Lancman.

new to New York City, is that?

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you, Madam Chair, and thank you all for being here today. I'll get right to it. Mr. Goldstein, I'm just curious. I heard this morning that there was a new drug takedown of a drug called "White China." Is that— and it's

Member Vincent Gentile followed by Council Member

announced an arrest yesterday of 35 individuals who were in possession of heroin, cocaine and something called furanylfentanyl which was imported from China, and the people on the street who were using it referred to it as White China. So, everything that Steven was saying, we could see that it's getting worse, because fentanyl by itself is dangerous enough, but then this new opioid, furanylfentanyl, which is illegal on a federal level, but not on a state level because it's not included in the opioid—in the charts of controlled substances. It's something new that has hit the street, and that's something that we're very interested in prosecuting.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay, thank you. Thank you. I just want to DA Vance. By the way, you

2.2

2.3

have a great card here on hate crimes. It's very, very creative. I wanted to ask you, you testified about collateral consequences to convictions and that you have a unit looking at that.

6 DISTRICT ATTORNEY VANCE: We'd like to
7 stand up a unit that focuses on that and provides

8 support to the whole office.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: To the whole office, right. So, when you look at that, what is the outcome? Do you actually make different plea offers? What is the practical--

The outcome can depend upon the information that is provided to the Assistant as a result of the research done by the unit, prospectively. Every case is different, and the collateral consequences of a plea may be different depending upon the nature of the charge, the nature of the issue, immigration as opposed to some other disqualifying event, and frankly it— I think we— it's at this point in time when we think we need to be particularly smart about collateral consequences as we look at cases coming into our court, but we feel that the same questions regarding collateral consequences are being asked by

2.2

2.3

the 600 Assistants over the entirety of the office, and we want to make sure that there is commonality of information to all 600 and also that we are more coordinated among cases that we are reviewing for collateral consequences so that individual A in one courtroom who has an issue doesn't get erratically different result than individual B in another courtroom with another Assistant District Attorney when they have the same issues.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I see, okay. So, and that's an ongoing--

DISTRICT ATTORNEY VANCE: [interposing]
Well, we do it now, but we do it essentially in every
bureau and every Assistant individually but this-- we
just believe strongly that this kind of expertise is
specialized and that specialized expertise can make
the work of the Assistants better, fairer, more
informed.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Great. I just have to move on because of the time factor here. I want to ask all the DA's here about arrest to arraignment time, and certainly the law requires arrest to arraignment within 24 hours, but I think anything short of 24 hours can serve as a good

So, our office is doing-- we're moving cases through

our office pretty well, but because of physical

24

processed through Manhattan Criminal Court and we're

2 roughly around the same time as the Manhattan
3 District Attorney's Office.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay. Now, it was suggested, I guess, by DA McMahon that certain resources have to be available for arrest arraignment to happen in more efficient manner. So, what additional resources might be used to bring down arrest arraignment times? That's for anybody here.

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY RYAN: mean, we put in an enormous amount of resources in to keep maintaining those times. The DA personally monitors the schedule three times a day. First thing in the morning he keeps track of what happened the night before. We put senior people in in-take over the course of the weekend. I don't know if we've ever mastered the art of getting specifically funded for it which is probably shortcoming on our part, but we have senior people working every weekend. We just bolstered the number of supervisors I have. We have paralegals that are designated as expeditors, and the main thing I think is the DA's personal involvement, I mean, tracking it literally three times a day to see where we are, and we hate snow. It ruined our times.

1
_

15

16

17

11

12

13

14

18 19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: I think for the Bronx we need more staff to do it. You know, we-because we've gone vertical, it takes a lot more staff to process the cases. I think also our antiquated system, you know, there's a lot of glitches in the whole case tracking system that is causing a lot of delays for us, almost to the point, I mean, I'm ready to throw those machines out the window and start all over, but I can't just do it that way. So we constantly monitor it. We put in new supervisors. We even have expeditors now, people dedicated to arraignments and the complainant to work nights and weekends to help us also do that as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: And you're vertical from arraignment--

DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: [interposing] We're vertical from the complaint.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: From complaint--DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: [interposing] That's what -- that's the goal. It's not 100 percent, but we're well, well on our way there.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I have other questions, but my time is up. I'll be back hopefully if you allow me. Thank you.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Thank you very much, Council Member Gentile. Next we'll have Council Member Rory Lancman followed by Council Member Elizabeth Crowley.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Good morning, everyone.

> UNIDENTIFIED: Good morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: It's good to see you all. One of the problems that is vexing the criminal justice system is the tremendous amount of delays particularly in Criminal Court. My committee, the Committee on Courts and Legal Services, had a hearing on it last year. The-- I know each of your offices in your own ways have tried to deal with the problem, but just by way of background for 2015, the average wait for a jury trial in Criminal Court was 571 days, and the average wait for a bench trial was 479 days, and I know in Queens in particular we talked about how often there is simply just not a judge available to try a case. And I raise this in the context of an issue that we're having with the Mayor's Office where the Mayor has certain number of appointments to be able to make to Family Court, Criminal Court and Interim Civil Courts. I don't know

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

if you're aware of this, but there are currently I believe the number is eight Interim Civil Court Judgeships that are available to the Mayor to appoint which he is refusing to appoint. And as you know, almost all of those judges, if not all of them, what does it matter, of course, be assigned to the Criminal Court to handle arraignments and trials, and etcetera. So, can you just give me an update on where we are with the state of the speedy trial crisis in the Criminal Court in your respective jurisdictions? I know that you all have done tremendous work within confines of the resources and the operational changes that you can name, but if any of you can tell me that speedy trial crisis has been solved, I mean I'd be happy to hear it.

and just give you a Staten Island perspective. We in the beginning of last year got a third Criminal Court Judge full-time, but which in theory would be helpful, but we don't have the necessary court officers. We don't have the necessary clerks. We don't have the necessary space to actually have three parts running the jury trials or non-jury trials at the same time. So, it's-- and then though to your

2.2

2.3

point, even when you have three judges, between vacation time and between time-out time, whatever have you, who's doing weekends and then getting time off comp-time, it's not too often that they're there. So, it would be wonderful to have another judge and we could do hearings, but at the same time you need other resources as well. A judge alone can't do it. The crisis I think in Criminal Court goes deeper than its judges and everything necessary to make a courtroom run.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY VANCE: for us it's not so much a speedy trial crisis. It's a question in any trial situation. We need judges. We try to focus the Office of Court Administration. They've listened. Many of us at this table go to God knows how many MOCJ meetings. I've yet to go into a meeting on this particular topic. We keep raising it. They keep telling us they're going to get there.

It's a very bad situation and people have to-- you know, it's-- the Criminal Court is a war of attrition. Whoever gives in first is going to win.

We need to get more cases tried. It's better and there have been improvements. I don't want to discount there's been improvements, but you have

2.2

2.3

realize where we came from. In 2015, in a county of 2,200,000 people we had eight Criminal Court jury trials. Last year I think we got it up to 20 something. That's still nowhere near enough. We need more. There has to be more focus on the court that deals with, what is it, 90 percent of the criminal cases are handled in the Criminal Court, and it gets about 10 percent of the attention. We need

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I assume the Bronx could use another judge or two?

those judges. We need them right away.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: Yes. Well, since I left and my Chief Assistant Judge Dawson left. We used to help in that matter, but yeah, it's a matter of having more judges as DA McMahon said.

More st-- every time you put a judge it takes more than just the judge, the clerks and everything else.

We-- and in my situation because I'm focusing so much on the old cases, I'm really ready when I say I'm ready, and it just, you know, doesn't get out. To the OCA's credit, at least in the Bronx, Judge Grasso [sp?] who's the supervising judge has set up something that he calls Trial Fridays. So his Criminal Court judges work Monday through Thursday on

This has been a

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

\_ .

25

their regular assignments, but there's a number of them that are available on Friday, and their duties are to do the bench trials that they can do on Fridays, and we've been having some success with that. So that has helped, but you know, the more judges the better.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY VANCE:

significant problem in Manhattan since I started. think it really became noticeable when the state cut OCA's budget about six years and that led to reduced trial hours. It led to reduced court officers, reduced staff, and ever since that happened, I think we've been trying to dig our way out of a hole. in 2015 in Criminal Court, which I completely agree with my colleagues, is the court that actually should get a lot of attention because it's our success or lack of success in dealing with these young people who come into it, which may define whether they come back again. Since we don't fully staff it or we don't staff it and resource it as much as we should, A, don't think we're delivering justice as well as we can, but in 2015 we had 1,500 cases in Manhattan where both the defense and the prosecution showed up ready for trial and there was no court to go to. Ιt

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

could be they didn't have enough court officers. It could be that they didn't have judges, but you know, I'm not trying to be flip, I just don't think this is rocket science. If you don't have -- if you have judges, then cases will move fast, because people who are-- and lawyers and prosecutors who said trial is starting next week, they make decision about whether that case will or will not go forward, but if you only have a couple of judges if the system is like a pond backing up, and what happens in that pond when it's backed up and stale is that it's inadequate, unfair justice system where people lose witnesses who don't want to come to court for the third time, and it's unfair to the defendants whose cases should be adjudicated quickly.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Could we just hear Brooklyn's perspective?

CHIEF FRAZER: Yes. The only thing I would add to what DA Vance said was that I was happy to hear him mention also staff and court officers, because we experience a number of instances while we do have a dearth of judges where— in Criminal Court— where we would have both sides ready and no court officers, and in Brooklyn it's a little different

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 96
2	additionally because of how our jail is not at the
3	same location as the courthouse and the court office
4	therefore have to provide security to different area.
5	so that I know they are repeatedly asking for more
6	court officers. So there's a number of things that
7	have to be looked at in order to improve the speedy
8	trial in Criminal Court.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you, Council Member Lancman. We've also been joined by Council Member Chaim Deutsch and Council Member Inez Barron. Now we will have Council Member Crowley followed by Council Member Robert Cornegy. Thank you.

Thank you to our Chair and good morning to all the District Attorneys and representatives from the District Attorney's Office. I'm going to ask the same question of each office, and I think it's a quick answer. It's just what is the starting salary of new District Attorney, generally somebody just coming out of law school?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY VANCE: \$62,500.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: The Bronx is

25 \$61-2,000.

2.2

2.3

before they go up to the Bureau Chief level.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY
2	ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY RYAN: In
3	that experience range, I would say we're about the
4	same, somewhere in the high 70's, low 80's.
5	CHIEF FRAZER: In Brooklyn for those le
6	than five years, \$70,800. The agency average,

hose less than five years, \$70,800. The agency average, however, for more than five years is \$88,950, but that includes some of the supervisors.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: So supervisors is about the same, would you say? Do you think that you all have enough or similar resources for your needs? Do you feel that -- it's hard to pit one of you versus another county, but the starting salaries range significantly, but do they range when you get to be mid-level or experienced ADA? They seem to be about the same, but what about your supervisory staff? If we could start at Manhattan.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY VANCE: So, Council Member, I just got the answer. The best we can do is say that if you put all the ADA's in the pot, including supervisors, the average is 100,000. That's 600 lawyers.

> DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: Right, yeah. COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY:

24

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: See, ours is different. My 86 is only just ADA's, you know, including -- it includes everybody even the supervisors. So that's-- the average of the whole office is at 86.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Okay.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: I can get you an average number. I don't have it prepared before me today, but I do think that, you know, there's some vagaries and we were the lowest, and then we did some -- because we got a little budget, we raise it up a little bit. But I do think it's fair to say that their-- within some variations I think the numbers are fairly comparable amongst the different offices. I say that, you know, more anecdotally than empirically, but I've never heard of any great disparity amongst the different offices.

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY RYAN: it's difficult to calculate because you really have to look at an office and see how senior it is at any given level. If two-thirds of your assistants are there-- over half of our offices where there's more than 10 years. We're blessed by that. It's a great feeling for the DA to know he's got experienced

1 people to put just about everywhere, but they come at 2 3 So, the fact that we have that many over 10 4 years really adds to our cost. It's the cost we're 5 willing to pay, and you make the adjustment for that, quite frankly, by reducing -- I hear the Bronx is 6 7 hiring 125 for this coming September. We're hiring 8 20. You make your adjustments along the way. I would say if we averaged everybody in the office, everybody, it probably works out to, you know, just 10 11 under 100, 100, something like that, but it's very hard to-- everybody's on a different plane depending 12 13 on, you know, how senior they are. 14 CHIEF FRAZER: Yeah, I have to echo what 15 Jack was just saying, because for example, as I think 16 about it, in our Appeals Bureau most of the people there upwards 20 years in the office, and so that 17 18 throws it off a little bit, but again, a little over 19 100,000 if you look at the entire office. 20 supervisor it's in terms of an average--21 COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: [interposing] 2.2 Right. 2.3 CHIEF FRAZER: including that.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: I think it's interesting that someone who's been 20-plus years in

24

DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: I got the money

to do that. Now I'm hiring them, but again it's

24

efforts to prioritize the most violent of those crime

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

102

1

2	drivers at Rikers and that has taken us to have to
3	speak to each of the five offices to speak to those
4	assistants, or each office has given us a
5	representative that is familiar with those people
6	that are on that list, and we're now collaborating to
7	see, you know, getting the cases ready, whether if
8	it's a Brooklyn homicide for example, we have a
9	person by the name of John Doe that's there now,
LO	right? He has a homicide in Brooklyn. He has a
L1	homicide in Queens, and I have 20 cases against him,
L2	14 of them are felonies. Last week he slashed
L3	another prisoner. I had to go into court to ask for
L 4	a lock-down order for him because he is violent and
L5	it is unsafe for the officers, the other inmates as
L 6	well. Because of this effort that we have now,
L7	Brooklyn is on board. I spoke to DA Gonzalez. His
L8	case is ready. My cases are ready. OCA got
L 9	involved. I spoke to the Chief Administrative Judge.
20	We're all going to sit down, and these Rikers cases
21	now are going to be prioritized so that we can get
22	these people out of Rikers Island.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: And at the end

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Council

Member, I'm sorry--

24

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 104
2	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: [interposing]
3	Wait
4	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: I just need you to
5	wrap up very quickly, please.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Okay. When he
7	gets sentenced for all the crimes, the two murders in
8	the two different boroughs and the 18 to 20 or
9	however many
10	DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: [interposing]
11	Well, that's if he gets sentenced. We got to get a
12	conviction first.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Were
14	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Got to be convicted
15	first, okay.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: But will be one
17	judge that handles all of those
18	DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: [interposing]
19	No, it can't be one judge because the cases are in
20	different jurisdictions.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: There's no way
22	to move them into
23	DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: No.
24	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: No. Okay.

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2	DISTRICT	ATTORNEY	CLARK:	No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,

Council Member. Next we will have Council Member

Cornegy followed by Council Member Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning to all of the District Attorneys.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: Good morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: I have a statement. I want to just say that I realize the difficulty that there must be faced in district-- for District Attorneys who find themselves under political pressure about particular cases in a big city like New York, and I wanted to particularly address my statement to DA Cy Vance on the recent indictment on the White Supremacist case. Some of the charges that stand out to me are: murder in the second degree as a crime of terrorism; murder in the second degree as a hate crime. To me those are landmark indictments being brought at a very volatile time in this country and especially in the City. want to commend you for taking the bold action to start to look at what we view as to be domestic terrorism to some degree, and I just want to know if

Thank you,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

1415

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

you have seen these charges brought in other jurisdictions similar across the country, because I wasn't able to really find that.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY VANCE:

Council Member. Our office has developed an expertise in terrorism over the last eight years beyond the domestic terrorism that you refer to. our office has already -- is in this space, so to I'm not aware of other local offices around the country who have charged terrorism indictments. There may be. I believe the Bronx did more than a decade ago in relation to a gang, but I think that case ultimately was reversed on appeal. So, I think we are-- we're sort of uniquely in this space, but I think that being in Manhattan, it's-- we have to find a way. We have to be there and able to take those cases that the federal government and prosecutors may not be able to take and in some cases may not want to take, but we believe representing New York and our constituents that these cases should be brought. With regard to a decision in the tragic case of 10 days ago, we simply felt that analyzing this under the terrorism statute and given all the facts and the statements of the defendant as to his intent, that it

2001, our state legislature quickly passed laws that

in many respects mirror federal laws involving

24

2.2

2.3

terrorism, and so our state has those laws, and I simply can't state whether those laws exist in the other 49 states. But to answer your question directly, I have received no pushback with regard to our decision. If I received pushback, it would more likely come— although none has come from our federal colleagues who may have felt that it was more appropriately brought in federal court, but thus far, there has been no negativity from other prosecutors about our decision. That's been relayed to me.

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: I'll just end my comments by saying certain communities in this city and around the country appreciate the idea of bringing charges that are consistent with what the acts were and taking the opportunity to do that at this time puts as a city at the forefront as we should be at making these decisions. So I personally appreciate it.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY VANCE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,
Council Member Cornegy. Now we'll have Council
Member Williams followed by Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you,

Madam Chair. I thank you DAs and DA's offices for

your testimony. I have a couple things I want to get
through, so if I push along it's only because of the
time that I have. First, I know what opioid crisis
is an epidemic now. I do appreciate the way DA
McMahon has been handling the opioid crisis. I have
to point out it's been frustrating to view just in
the history of drugs the way these things are treated
in different communities, and so I'm glad that we're
now treating it as human beings who need assistance,
but I feel like that didn't happen prior when there
was drug abuse in other communities with more melanin
in their skin. So I understand now that officers are
walking around now with the anecdote. I just want to
know, how do you decide which drugs deserves to have
that kind of resource? Is that available for other
communities where it's not perhaps opioid, but
something else? What discussions are happening in
other DA offices?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: What I can say to that is the program that I outlined, the HOPE program, it's all substances, anything on the schedule, right? So any charges for possession for controlled substances is handled there. And we have statistics, it's not only—

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: [interposing] This was particularly for the anecdotes. nasoprine [sic], what's it called?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Naloxone.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Yes.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MCMAHON: Yeah, it's my understanding the way that works is that it impedes the receptor in the brain that adheres to the opium or the morphine molecule. So I do not know if it would apply-- if it would work with other overdose situations. But all the other programs that we have initiated deal with all substance abuse issues, not just opium or heroin.

Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: It just seems all of a sudden we have an anecdote for this. There were other communities that were dealing with drug overdoses and drug crimes, and they weren't treated this way. So my hope is that maybe this is a new way. Are we looking into particularly marijuana arrests but other drugs that people who were arrested that may have been -- should have been put in treatment or maybe have been overcharged, are we looking at some of those crimes, particularly on marijuana, to reduce those crimes or wipe them out?

2.3

24

25

2 DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: Well, I think 3 that there's been a progression over the years between changing the Rockefeller Laws to make the 4 sentences less penalties for drug crimes to opening 5 up so many drug treatment courts now so that the way 6 7 the drug cases are handled, I know in the Bronx at 8 least, especially for people who are addicted is different than it was 20 years ago when the crack epidemic hit where everything was arrest, arrest, 10 11 arrest, and you just go to jail. There was no 12 options. Now with the drug treatment courts we have 13 the ability now to recommend instead of prosecution 14 they go into treatment, and when the treatment is 15 over it re-pleaders [sic], they can re-plead their 16 case or things of that nature, and a lot of diversion cases a lot like what DA McMahon is doing. 17 18 think the approach is different now because of the 19 progression on how we deal with drugs. As far as 20 naloxone, that -- I don't know how long that's been 21 around, but that's the way the public health area is 2.2 dealing with the opioid epidemic now.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you. I'm not-- I only have a couple minutes, but I thank you for that.

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2	DISTRICT	ATTORNEY	CLARK:	Okav.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I'm glad we're viewing it now as public health now and have that.

We should have before, because a lot of people in jail now because they weren't viewed this way.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: Right.

question about police-involved shootings,
particularly when it's questionable when there's
someone unarmed. Does the DA have access to police
officers' records in terms of substantiated or
unsubstantiated complaints against them when they're
trying to get an indictment?

DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLARK: Yeah, the DA has to work with the Police Department. Normally, it's the force Investigation Unit of the Police Department, and when you have an officer who is under investigation for one, the DA does have access to those records.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: If that's the case, I just want to put on record the former DA of Staten Island, Dan Donovan, had access to Officer Pantaleo's records and still wasn't able to get an indictment, and that says to me that he didn't want

1 2 one and that he had a reprehensible disregard for 3 black life then and probably still does now. 4 have some respect for him. I've lost it all, and it's very unfortunate that that is the case. He's now in 5 Congress. He was rewarded for that disregard of 6 7 black life, but it is unconscionable that anybody 8 could have looked at that tape, period, and not get an indictment, and now seeing what he saw and still not even get an indictment, not even a not guilty, 10 11 just an indictment to move forward I think is 12 reprehensible, and I just want to make sure I make 13 those comments on the record. Lastly, I also want to 14 associate myself with the comments of Council Member 15 Cornegy. I thank you Cy Vance. Your office and I did speak about what the plans were at that time. 16 17 wasn't sure whether you'd be able to do it. I'm glad 18 to see that you were. Yours is one of the first 19 institutions, I guess, that was mentioning terrorism. 20 The way that was handled by many institutions in the City I think was very disrespectful to the black 21 2.2 community, the killing of Timothy Caughman, what was 2.3 done in the Daily News. The Post, I expect it from. They're a rag, but they were still-- the response or 24

lack of response from many institutions including the

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Administration at that point in time I think was-- I don't even know what the word is. I am still waiting hopefully for law enforcement to speak to that community to tell them what they're doing to make sure that something like that doesn't happen again. I'm surprised it hasn't happened yet. So, thank you for doing that. I'm sure it took some courage, and I

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you, Council Member Williams. Next we'll have Council Member Barron.

appreciate your effort there. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you to the panel that's here. I echo the comments of my colleagues, Council Member Cornegy and Council Member Williams, and I think that until we acknowledge and understand that this present day situation that we have which has a culture of allowing police officers to kill unarmed children, men, women, and not have appropriate consequences is going to continue the culture that we see. Not only are they not appropriately sanctioned, they get rewarded. Last week there was an article that said now Inspector Chell, who was in 2008 a Lieutenant, killed an unarmed man, Ortanzso Bovell. There was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

never a department investigation. There were never charges that were brought by the DA at the time, Charles Hynes. There was a civil suit which the family brought. The jury heard evidence, ballistic evidence which they say contradicted Inspector Chell's testimony that he was falling and his gun discharged. The jury heard ballistics evidence which led them to conclude he was lying, Inspector Chell, and that he had to have been standing at the time Bovell was shot in the back. We want to know what the District Attorney, and I know Brooklyn DA is not here, but his representative, what he plans to do based on this new information that has come to light. So whoever is representing. I'm not sure who is here. If you would address that first.

CHIEF FRAZER: Council Member Barron, I have not had a conversation with DA Gonzalez on this. I will do that and get back to you in short order.

council Member Barron: Thank you. As I said, this is a pattern going back many, many, many decades. We know Clifford Glover was shot in the back, 10 years old, five feet, less than 100 pounds, and they thought he fit the description of two men that they were looking for. So there's a pattern

2	here, and it has created a culture, and my concern
3	also is the close relationship between the DA's and
4	the NYPD, because you need them to conduct your
5	investigations. You rely on evidence. That's
6	problematic. That's problematic, and I think it's
7	important that we do now have an independent
8	investigator who will look at those kinds of cases,
9	and we're going to look to see if in fact we can't
10	bring that investigator in on this issue of Inspector
11	Chell killing Bovell by shooting him in the back when
12	he was unarmed. So we're going to look to see if in
13	fact we can do that as well. And I do have
14	legislation which says there should be a moratorium
15	on any kind of advancement for officers who are under
16	investigation, okay? We know that in the killing of
17	Ramarley Graham 30,000 dollars was added to the
18	officer's salary since he has killed Ramarley Graham
19	unarmed. So, I think that that's an important issue,
20	and I look forward to working with all of you as we
21	seek to get justice so that we're not expected to not
22	believe what our eyes show us is actually happening,
23	that we're supposed to push that to the side and say,
24	no, we're not seeing what we're seeing. That's not
25	really a choke-hold. That's not really the cause of

2.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

death. So, I look forward to making sure that we change the culture that exists so that police officers know they are not above the law, that they will be indicted, and hopefully we'll get the justice that families have not gotten. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,

Council Member Barron, and for the sake of time I'm not going to ask any questions. I've had an opportunity to meet with each of your offices, and in your testimony today you really outlined extensively. I think there is obviously a common denominator on courtroom needs, capacity, document retention, database of your cases, federal potential cuts from the federal government. The Speaker in her State of the City announced several initiatives that she's supporting with many of your offices so that obviously we will make sure that that remains a priority, but just in terms of the work we're doing to address the opioid abuse and the addictions that are happening across the City, obviously hate crimes that are going on in our communities. We want to make sure that we're focusing obviously on treatment and prevention and less detention. So we appreciate all of the efforts that your offices are embarking

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on, the community partnerships, the warrant amnesty, the gun buy-back, I could go on and on, but truly thank you. It's been a pleasure working with you last year's baselining of 22 million dollars so that all of your offices could get the support you need, and even now in 2018 with the new fiscal year you still remain challenged, but MOCJ is coming this afternoon. So we will certainly ask them questions as well followed by the NYPD, but I encourage you in your constant communications with OMB with OCA to please let the Council know how we continue to be helpful. Once we commence with all of our hearings this week, the City Council will put forth its budget priorities for the Administration to review and then we will be working with them over the next several weeks before the Executive Budget comes out. So, I look forward to working with you. Certainly we'll keep talking to you and hoping that we can see a lot of what your offices need in the Executive Budget before we commence with more hearings later on this So thank you once again to our District Attorney of Manhattan, Cy Vance, District Attorney of the Bronx, Darcel Clark, District Attorney of Staten Island, Michael McMahon. Thank you very much John

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 119
2	Ryan for coming on behalf of Queens DA Richard Brown
3	Thank you Steven Goldstein on behalf of Special
4	Narcotics Prosecutor Bridget Brennan, and thank you
5	Leroy Frazer on behalf of Acting Brooklyn District
6	Attorney Eric Gonzalez. Thank you all. We look
7	forward to working with you, and thank you once again
8	for being here.
9	UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.
LO	UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.
L1	[break]
L2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ladies and gentleman,
L3	please at this time we're going to resume our
L4	hearing. Can you please quiet down and find your
L5	seats. CCRB just see a Sergeant at arms to fill out
L6	testimony forms whoever is going to be speaking.
L7	Thank you so much.
L8	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Good afternoon,
L9	ladies and gentleman. Welcome back to our Budget
20	Hearing of the Committee on Public Safety. I'm
21	Council Member Vanessa Gibson, Chair of the Committee
22	representing the 16 <sup>th</sup> District in the Bronx, and this

hearing. We just heard from the District Attorneys 24

afternoon we have the fiscal 2018 Preliminary Budget

and the Special Narcotics Prosecutor and now we will 25

23

		ı
		ı
_		ı

11

1

hear testimony from the Civilian Complaint Review

Board. I want to thank all the members of the

4 Committee and my colleagues who are here. The Fiscal

5 | 2018 Preliminary Budget for CCRB remains nearly

6 unchanged since the Fiscal 2017 Adopted Budget. This

afternoon, I hope to learn more about the priorities

8 | that are not reflected in the Administration's plan

9 as well as an update on several initiatives that have

10 been implemented by the CCRB during the previous

fiscal year. I'd like to acknowledge and recognize

12 CCRB, our Chair Maya Wiley, the General Counsel,

13 | Matthew Kadushin, our Acting Executive Director,

14 | Johnathan Darche, and our Senior Advisor and

15 | Secretary to the Board, Jerika Richardson. Thank you

16 everyone for being here. My deep apologies for the

17 delay, but I thank you for your work, your

18 partnership, and look forward to your testimony

19 | today, and now I'll turn this over to our Legislative

Counsel to administer the oath before you proceed

21 with your testimony. Thank you.

22

20

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Please raise your

23  $\parallel$  right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the

24 whole truth and nothing but the truth in your

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. You may begin.

CHAIRPERSON WILEY: Thank you Chairperson

Gibson and members of the Public Safety Committee. It's a pleasure to be here today with you. We have and I have testimony that we will offer for the I thought in the interest of time since you're running behind I might just make a few remarks based on that testimony rather than reading it so that we could get into discussion. What I really wanted to preface this by saying is what a tremendous privilege it is to serve as the Chair of the Civilian Complaint Review Board. I've been Chair for about eight months now, and part of why it's so important right now is we are actually at a moment in time where both the conversation nationally about police oversight and very important issues to the City of New York like "stop and frisk" are very much under debate in the new Administration in Washington D.C., and the New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board is a civilian oversight body that's not just the largest and the oldest, it is one that community

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

leaders, elected officials fought for starting in 1965, and in the current form that we're in we really got to not until 1993 because it was long slow fight to increase civilian oversight over the NYPD. of the things I thought it was important to do given this unique period of time that we're in is to really flag one, some of the things that we think have been so important that make us unique as Civilian Oversight Review Board in the country, some of the things that we think we've done a very good job of and some of the areas where we think we have opportunities to grow and improve. So, starting with our uniqueness, you know, we believe that we are the only Civilian Oversight Review Board in the country that has the Administrative Prosecutions Unit whereby if we as a board decide that a case that has been substantiated should have charges or specifications is actually our prosecutors that we have on staff that independently prosecute those charges inside the administrative process at the New York City Police Department, and that is a critically important power that's just existed since 2012, and it makes us unique in the country. We're also one of only eight Civilian Oversight Review Boards that has a Mediation

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Unit. That's incredibly important because that's such an opportunity to create-- to both increase the positive relationships and understanding between police and community. I mean, in addition to that we now have a training unit, and that means we're able in-house to both increase the capacity, the skills and the effectiveness of our investigators, and we believe that's unique, and the size and effectiveness of our Outreach Unit. While there are other Outreach Units in other Civilian Oversight agencies, we are still one of the few that has an Outreach Unit and an Outreach Unit that has grown substantially both in size and in effectiveness. So what I would highlight is our successes that we're both very proud of and that we're going to continue to focus on is the fact that we now have investigations completed in a record period of time. We are at 148 day's average completion on investigations, and that is down 60 percent from 2015. So, that's a substantial increase, and at the same time we've actually increased quality of our investigations, not decreased quality, and one of the major reasons being, as I said, our training unit and the fact that we focused on that as well as reorganizing how the

2 trained -- the Investigations Unit has been 3 structured. And one example of how our effectiveness 4 has increased is the fact that we also have the, in the past two years, the highest substantiation rates on allegations and that's been incredibly important 6 7 to us. A big reason for that, of course, is also increased presence of video evidence. For example, 8 in 2014, we had video in only five percent of our cases. We're up to video in 18 percent of our cases 10 11 in 2016. So we're very much looking forward 12 obviously to body-worn camera roll-out and the fact 13 that we hope to be able to increase our ability to 14 determine what happened in any individual case as a 15 result of more video. That also matches an increase 16 in our concurrence rate in the sense that the Police 17 Commissioner has been agreeing to impose discipline 18 when we have recommended discipline. So we are now in 19 2016 at an 83 percent concurrence rate. In 2013, 20 that rate was 57 percent just to give you a sense of 21 the steady increase that we have had each year. 2.2 Mediation, as I said, is an important area for us 2.3 because we think it really is a way for cases that are eligible for mediation that we can increase both 24 the effectiveness of policing and the ability of 25

2 complaints to get satisfaction through the process. 3 Our success rates when cases are mediated are 4 extremely high, 98 percent in 2015 and 80 percent in-- 88 percent in 2016. And finally, the fact that we have been able to radically increase our outreach 6 efforts. We are now literally have seen a 259 8 percent increase in outreach presentations in 2016. That's an astounding number because we've both been able to increase our capacity and we have a 10 11 phenomenal team, and that team is also particularly 12 focused on populations that are vulnerable and that 13 we want to make sure they're aware of us, what we do, 14 and that they can come to us if they have complaints. 15 That includes people who are homeless, LGBTQ 16 populations, residents of public housing and people 17 who are formerly incarcerated, and that's in addition 18 to the fact that we have now of the seven board 19 meetings I've presided over as Chair, we have had 20 three of them in communities where we have high rates 21 of complaint in three boroughs, including in the 2.2 Chairwoman's district. So, that's incredibly 2.3 important to us and we have actually laid out a schedule for being in community every other board 24 25 meeting for the rest of the year, and we will

continue to do that, and I've seen tremendous
interaction and dialogue as a result about what's
happening with policing in communities where we see a
high rate of complaint. We still have work to do,
and we are not either resting on these as lurals
[sic] or suggesting that there are not areas of
improvement for us, and two particular focal points
that I will flag is public education and engagement
is incredibly important, and our Outreach Unit has
demonstrated the importance of it through its
presentations. We really think one of the things we
hear form community when we're out there as a board
is there's still far too many people who don't know
who we are, who don't know what we do, and who don't
know how to contact us, and we have to change that,
and we also have the ability to think, as our board
meetings have demonstrated, the ability to foster
more dialogue about what's happening with policing ir
communities to be able to be more proactive at
identifying and preventing problems that may come
down the road. So we're looking forward to finding
ways to do more of that. The other one I will flag
is our truncation rates. That is the rate of cases
that we have that we close because we cannot complete

investigation. That rate for us is too high. It's 2 3 something we would like to bring down. Something 4 we're working on right now is actually just looking at where are there opportunities for us in our investigations process to make sure we're doing 6 7 everything we can to keep people engaged throughout the investigation. Generally, we're not able to 8 complete the investigation because people start cooperating with the investigation and we can't make 10 11 a determination. So, that's one thing that we can do 12 right now, but we are also looking at creative ways to try to experiment with additional ways to bring 13 14 those truncation rates down. With that, as I said, 15 substantiation -- the ability to conclude what happens 16 in an investigation to lower our unsubstantiation 17 rate, to know whether we should be exonerating, 18 finding a case unfounded, or substantiating 19 allegations. We're hoping that body-worn cameras 20 will increase our ability to have a conclusion about what happened in any allegations. So, that's 21 2.2 something we will be tracking obviously quite 2.3 aggressively. I will stop there and invite any questions. 24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much.

That was quick. And I thank you for your testimony. We do have it, and you know, you and I have had a chance to meet to talk about some of the priorities that CCRB has. Certainly want to commend you just in, you know, under a year. I think you've been absolutely critical to CCRB and not only giving it a new meaning, honestly, but also giving it life. I think the broader New Yorkers and you know, targeting many of those communities that have been under, you know, served for many, many years that have the greatest challenges with law enforcement. Its' really great that we're looking at creative approaches to reaching them, and I myself have senior staff at precinct council meetings. The CCRB board meetings that you've held in Bronx, Brooklyn and Queens have been very successful, the community partnership efforts. Many of my constituents simply do not want to travel to 100 Church Street. That's the reality. A lot of it about mobility, but it's also about affordability as well, right? especially knowing that, you know, the case may require them to travel and come back, documentation. So, I appreciate the work that CCRB has done to

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

expedite cases. Like, that was probably the number one priority that we needed to do. So I wanted to ask a couple of questions in terms of some of the Fiscal 2017 challenges that we had faced with Level I/Level II investigators, promotional path. I think you along with the DAs are facing some of the challenges around starting salary and retaining staff, right? Going through the process of not just attracting the best and the brightest but keeping You're losing them too many other law enforcement agencies. So can you talk about some of the work CCRB has done to address the entry of new investigators and also the promotional path.

CHAIRPERSON WILEY: Absolutely, and I one, want to both thank you for your kind remarks about me and really reflection on the team. We have a full staff of leadership here with us today and I really want to commend the staff which has been very committed and worked extremely hard on these. The issue with the investigators, so you know, we-- in early 2016 we created a pathway for promotion for Level I, Level II and Level III investigators. Level I investigators make just over 38,000 dollars. This can increase to over 52,000 dollars after the first

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

successful year at Level II and to over 60,000
dollars after 18 months at Level III. Obviously,
we're hoping that this is going to continue to
increase our retention rate, and that's something
that we're going to track.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Great. And then can you also just talk about the database that CCRB has in terms of how do you maintain documents, and obviously making sure that from beginning to end the same investigator is working on that particular case? Can you just give us a little bit of how that works?

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WILEY: I'm going to turn} % \begin{center} \begin{center}$ 

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: So, the--good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: You can raise it.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DARCHE: So, the CCRB uses a variety of case tracking tools. The main one we refer to is CTS for Case Tracking System, but we've also built a number of apps around that, around CTS, such as the voting app and CTS utilities. We have tools in place to track who is using, who's accessing what case and it is—the technology is old. It's state—of—the—art for like 1985, and so we

expanded our capacity to do outreach. It is

25

2.2

2.3

presentations we will do.

community-based and borough-based. We have staff assigned by borough, but staff also develops relationships with everything from a neighborhood school to community-based organizations as well as, as the Chairperson noted, elected—local elected officials and what that means is we actually identify and offer presentations both to groups that exist who have community facing impact and where we can access more community members, schools, but public housing we've done presentations in public housing as an explicit effort to reach more folks I public housing. We will also obviously attend Community Board meetings, precinct meetings, any organized meetings where we have an opportunity both to learn, but offer

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And then I have two final questions before I turn it over to my colleagues for questions. What has been the relationship you've had as Chair and your agency with the NYPD under the new Commissioner as it relates to CCRB recommendations? I think many in the public talk about police accountability, holding every individual accountable for their actions and not really understanding the work and the recommendations

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that CCRB can recommend, right? We know some of the more extreme cases that hit the paper have been highlighted around criminal sanctions through the, you know, prosecutorial effort, but also the vacation and sick time and other measures such as that. How often does the NYPD agree with the recommendations? Has their relationship improved, and where do you see some of the challenges that currently remain?

CHAIRPERSON WILEY: So, I think those are incredibly important questions. I will start with the relationship. We have had a very collaborative relationship with the NYPD. We have both set up quarterly meetings with the Police Commissioner and senior staff so that we have a regular conduit of communication whether or not we have something in particular to discuss or not, so that we have a constant way of being in communication with one another formally. Obviously, at the staff level, the Deputy Commissioner level and with our senior staff there are also conversations that happen in between those meetings on specific issues or questions or possibilities for increasing effectiveness that come up. So far we have seen nothing but a very positive, open relationship. Having said that, as I said, our

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

concurrence rates are very high. We can't pull out data specific to this commissioner today, since this commissioner started not long after I did. We both came in relatively at the same time. Obviously we will be able to do that more in the future, but our concurrence rates remain very high. What we can't tell you is-- we can tell you that there is concurrence, meaning agreement, with a recom-- that there be discipline. In terms of answering the question about what the discipline is in terms of its consistency with our recommendation, that's a little more complicated, and that would be an area I think of improvement for us.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. What are-the new needs that you identified for this fiscal year, do you have amounts ready for us?

CHAIRPERSON WILEY: We do not. We're in discussion with OMB now.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON WILEY: And we look forward to coming back and talking about that.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, great. wanted to make sure because I didn't see it, so I wanted to make sure. The other thing I wanted to

ask-- and you know, obviously there was a recent action I'll say that CCRB made against one of the employees in the agency. So I wanted to find out what policies we have in place now that CCRB is protecting the privacy of both civilians who file a complaint as well as police officers. What are we doing to ensure that we maintain the highest level of integrity on both sides for both civilians that file a complaint so they feel comfortable should there be another complaint, but also for police officers as well?

important question. So, let me start with-- we actually did not take action against the employee because the employee resigned before action was taken. So just as a matter of fact there was a resignation, but there was no question that we identified the person that we believe leaked and that we would have started personnel action if that person had not resigned. The short answer is that our systems have been quite effective as this instance demonstrated in our ability to identify whether or not there was an improper release of confidential documents. And so obviously we'll continue to review

\_

our systems as we always will over time to ensure that we're updating them and getting them to a plato continue to keep our technology fresh, but our technology has enabled us to identify the problem and we're very happy to say that it was an isolated incident.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And the action would be the same if it was also a civilian that, you know, basically made an allegation that their information was--

CHAIRPERSON WILEY: [interposing] correct.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

issue of public trust. We have confidential documents with not— and we have a process and its due process for all involved in investigation in every single investigation. So from our standpoint, in order to protect what the Civilian Complaint Review Board is, which is an independent body that reviews these matters case by case, it's critically important that we have strong systems that protect all involved. So from our standpoint the issue is protecting the public trust and that means everyone who is involved in the process.

22

23

24

25

2	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Thank you.
3	Let me ask Council Member Lancman, you have
4	questions? Oh, okay, great. So I'll continue.
5	Moving forward, CCRB reviews a number of issues. So
6	in the past we've talked about LGBTQ community,
7	vulnerable New Yorkers, homeless New Yorkers, and
8	your agency has administered a series of reports.
9	So, I wanted to find out once you issue those reports
LO	and there are recommendations that are made,
11	obviously most if not all of the recommendations wil.
12	fall to the NYPD, how often and what is the process
L3	by which they would institute any of those
L 4	recommendations and what happens if there is a
15	disagreement and they choose not to, how does that
L 6	process work?
L7	CHAIRPERSON WILEY: So, that's also a
18	question we've not yet fully developed in terms of
L 9	our relationship with the Police Department under the
20	new Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON WILEY: And obviously, I came on just as Commissioner Bratton was leaving. I would say that number one, you're absolutely correct that many of our recommendations are directly focused on

2	NYPD and our hope and our experiences have been that
3	where we can raise those issues in our conversations
4	with them, hash out where or how they should shift.
5	There are areas of ongoing conversation, obviously,
6	that we're going to continue to have with the new
7	leadership at the Police Department. we're also
8	meeting regularly now with community-based groups and
9	advocacy groups to understand what they're seeing,
10	whether or not they're seeing changes, what kinds of
11	experiences they're having, where they have concerns.
12	A great example, because sometimes it's also not
13	what's in our reports, the benefit of being able to
14	have these dialogues with all sides of
15	police/community relationships is there are sometimes
16	uses that are flagged for us that we didn't write a
17	report about because community groups flagged them
18	for us. There have been instances in which we've
19	been able to go directly to the Police Commissioner
20	and say here's something that we think is easy to
21	fix, and the Police Commissioner has said to us,
22	"Great, I'll get a message out to fix it." And so we
23	have seen that level of cooperation, and we're
24	continuing to identify where we need to talk more
25	about policy issues and recommendations.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And so moving

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

\_ \_

21

2223

24

25

forward, how does the agency determine what the next topic is that you will focus on? So, do you look at

all of your caseload and do you look at trends,

patterns, whether it's from a geographical

perspective, age, ethnicity, or any category where

you can say something is happening in this particular

community that causes us to look and review further?

Does that propel you to provide reports? So, for

instance, you recently did a report about Tasers, and

I know that's a conversation that we're constantly

having with the Department, but how do you figure

moving forward where you get your topics from to

focus on in terms of subject matter?

CHAIRPERSON WILEY: There are really multiple ways in which we identify topics. One, absolutely looking at our data and identifying trends and saying here's a report that should be written because we're seeing a trend. That is our public education function and our ability to identify opportunities to improve policing and police/community relations. The second is sometimes that we have a topical issue like Tasers where

something is changing so we determine that it's

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 appropriate to track and see what's happening, not 3 because we necessarily look at our data and see a 4 trend, but we think we should, and then we should 5 make that publicly available what we're seeing. So, in the cases of many of our reports we're also 6 7 committed to updating the reports to see what happens over time. Tasers being a very good example of that. 8 The third like with the report we did after the symposium we did with LGBTQ communities around any 10 11 unique issues or vulnerabilities that come up with 12 policing where we identify a vulnerable population and say let's have a look at the vulnerable 13 14 population and see how we understand policing in 15 their context and what recommendations we can make. 16 So sometimes it's literally just identifying 17 populations. Sometimes it's data trends. Sometimes 18 it's because something is changing in the world of 19 policing that we decide we should start looking at 20 what our data says and making it publicly available. 21

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Okay, and I guess my last question, I thought Council Member Williams had a question for you as well. There is a lot of partnership that has to go on with CCRB and the NYPD, right? Just because of the virtue of the

work you do. There ae a number of legislative
efforts that this council has embarked on that had
been codified in local law where we've looked at,
year, enhanced training. We've looked at crisis
intervention to deal with emotionally disturbed New
Yorkers and when we roll out these, again, they're
massive because it's the entire department, the
uniform staff that's focused on getting this new
enhanced training. It's usually built into the
Academy curriculum. So how often and how does that
work for you and your staff to understand what's
happening, the nuances, the new initiatives, the new
partnerships right, because it all means patrolling
differently in our city like the Department has
Precision Policing that's a different model that
focuses on targeted New Yorkers that are the most
offenders of crime in the City. So how does CCRB
ensure that you're kept up to speed with the new
initiatives, and how do you make sure your
investigators are trained to understand because when
they get these cases they need to know what is
happening out in our community so they can best
handle that that particular complaint?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILEY: So, we do that in several ways.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: One of the ways

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

obviously as I mentioned is that we have regular meetings with the Police Department, and part of what we can do in those meetings is obviously make sure we understand what's changing and what we need to understand. The second thing we do, obviously, is have meeting -- even outside of those quarterly meetings if there are things that are shifting that sometimes it's a Deputy Commissioner-level conversation with one of our senior team about that specific thing , DAO makes sure we get updates of any changes in patrol guide that accompany any changes. We also because we have our Internal Training Unit ae able to take any changes that we're seeing and incorporate that to in-service updates for our investigators and that has been an incredibly important mechanism for us to make sure that our investigators are also remaining up to speed. And we have, you know, it's the fact that we have so many different points of contact with NYPD both formal and informal has been what has enabled that. Now, one of

2	the things we're looking at is also identifying where
3	we can learn together about some opportunities for
4	change that we may not either one of us be seeing
5	unless we're talking about it. So, that's something
6	that we're talking about how we do more. The other
7	way is how we also feedback information to NYPD. So,
8	one of the things that we've done with the Risk
9	Management Unit is create a way to give feedback to
10	the Risk Management Unit about things that we may see
11	in our cases that even though we're not
12	substantiating allegations, that it indicates that
13	there's something that the department should be
14	thinking about that does relate to how its training.
15	So, for example, theoretically, you could have a
16	police officer dhow does something that is a problem
17	for police/community relationships but is not
18	actually misconduct because of the way they were
19	trained, and that's an opportunity for us to bring it
20	to the attention of the Police Department and say,
21	here's an opportunity for you because this is
22	creating a problem. It's not going to come back to
23	you as a substantiation. It's going but this is a
24	way for us to feedback information to you about
25	things you may consider changing and training.

2.2

2.3

very much.

2 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Well, thank you so
3 much. None of my colleagues have any questions.
4 It's a pleasure working with you. I look forward to
5 working with you to address the needs for the new
6 fiscal year making sure that we'll have those
7 conversations to ensure that it can get into the
8 Executive Budget, and I look forward to seeing you at
9 Exec, and thank you for your work, and I appreciate

CHAIRPERSON WILEY: And thank you for the leadership of this committee. We really appreciate the support and the oversight. Thank you.

the partnership with the entire CCRB team. Thank you

[break]

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ladies and gentleman, at this time please find your seat. We are going to start. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Good afternoon,
everyone. Welcome back. I'm Council Member Vanessa
Gibson of the 16<sup>th</sup> district in the Bronx, and I
welcome you all to our Public Safety Committee
hearing of the Fiscal 2018 Preliminary Budget.
Earlier today we heard from the New York City's
Prosecutors, our District Attorneys and the Special

2	Narcotics Prosecutor, and we also just heard from the
3	Civilian Complaint Review Board. Now, we have with
4	us this afternoon, the Mayor's Criminal Justice
5	Director Elizabeth Glazer and her staff,
6	affectionately called MOCJ, and certainly before I
7	proceed I want to make sure I recognize Council
8	Member Rory Lancman is here with us. Thank you,
9	colleague. Many of us, we all know, the Mayor's
10	Office of Criminal Justice plays a critical role in
11	the cooperation and the coordination of many of the
12	City's agencies involved in all criminal justice and
13	public safety. Though their budget is supported by
14	the Mayor's Office, their work provides critical
15	resources, oversight, coordination, and policy
16	direction for criminal justice in our entire city. I
17	hope this afternoon to learn more about their
18	initiatives, their ongoing and continuous
19	partnerships, some of the recently announced
20	partnerships by the Administration and what role the
21	office continues to play in overseeing many of those
22	initiatives. And I just want to go on record, you
23	know, after before you begin just in saying that
24	Chairing this Committing and working with MOCJ it's
25	been an absolute and incredible pleasure and a

2	blessing. MOCJ oversees so much in this entire City,
3	and I can go down the list of every initiative that
4	we've worked on, and I guess out of everything if I
5	could pick what I'm most proud of is our new Office
6	to Prevent Gun Violence, and I certainly want to
7	credit our new Executive Director Eric Cumberbatch
8	and my colleagues Council Member Jumaane Williams and
9	Council Member Laurie Cumbo, and all of my
10	colleagues, the Speaker and everyone who's really
11	made sure that we continue to address the ongoing
12	violence in our communities. The DA earlier in his
13	testimony talked about the conceal to carry
14	legislation that's before Congress now where we may
15	be forced to comply with this backwards legislation
16	that allows anyone from any state to come into our
17	state with a weapon, and that's very scary, and I
18	think, you know, the fact that we're so impacted by
19	gun violence every day in our city is just really
20	disturbing. So I thank you for your partnership.
21	Unfortunately, I had a horrific homicide in my
22	district this week of a young 21-year-old young lady
23	who was killed and last night dozens of us gathered
24	in the community joined as one, Anti-Gun Violence,
25	Cure Violence, Eric was there, just to reassure a

2 family who is never going to be the same again. 3 They've lost a daughter. They've lost a niece, and so I think about these families because there are so 4 5 many of them, and I commit every day to doing everything in my power to make sure that we reduce 6 7 We are tired of going to funerals to say the 8 least, and I know, you know, I speak for all of my colleagues when I say that. You know, we just want to continue to push the button to get more creative 10 11 to constantly embark on partnerships that can really 12 make a difference in saving many of our young people. 13 So, just wanted to say that, you know, going on the record that MOCJ does an incredible amount of work 14 15 and we could not have done all of this work in the City without your leadership and the leadership of 16 all of your team who I'm proud to work with. So I 17 18 thank you for being here, and also want to recognize 19 your staff, Alex Crohn is here from the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. We have Jean Claude 20 LeBec also from MOCJ as well as Nicole Torres, also 21 So thank you for being here, and those of 2.2 from MOCJ. 2.3 you who are testifying and submitting testimony today if you could just raise your right hand so we can 24 provide the Oath of Office. Thank you. 25

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. You may begin. Your microphone is not on.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Yep. First, I just wanted to--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Much better.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: acknowledge your remarks and, you know, nothing we could do or do do could be done without the partnership of the Council and the strong leadership that we've seen from you and your colleagues, and so we thank you for that. And also just wanted to take a moment to remember Ms. Alder. That was a tragic, tragic murder. There is no murder that isn't tragic, but there is particular resonance to that, and so we move forward from that. We have our eye on that ball. We're grateful to your support for having been able to create the Office to Prevent Gun Violence and look forward to further work from that. So, good afternoon, Chair Gibson, Council Member Lancman. My name is Elizabeth Glazer. I'm

2	the Director of the Mayor's Office of Criminal
3	Justice. I appreciate the opportunity to testify
4	today, and as you mentioned, I'm joined by my
5	colleagues, Nicole Torres, Alex Crohn, Jean Claude
6	LeBec and other members of my team are here who have
7	knowledge of an array of different topics that you I
8	know are interested, and so I'd just like to
9	introduce Christian Klossner who heads up our Office
10	of Special Enforcement, Eric Cumberbatch who you've
11	already acknowledged, Dana Kaplan who heads up our
12	Juvenile Justice work, Chidinma Ume who heads up our
13	work around case delay and case expedition, Renee
14	Francois, who together with Amy Sananman heads up the
15	Mayor's Action Plan for Neighborhood Safety. Our
16	office advises the Mayor on public safety strategy
17	and, together with partners inside and outside of
18	government, develops and implements policies that
19	reduce crime, unnecessary incarceration and promote
20	fairness. In the last three years in New York City,
21	we have seen an acceleration of the trends that have
22	defined the public safety in this city over the last
23	three decades. And while jail and prison populations
24	around the country increased, New York City's jail
25	population has fallen by half since 1990. And in the

2	last three years, the jail population dropped another
3	18 percent, the largest three year decline in the
4	last 20 years. This declining use of jail has
5	happened alongside record crime lows. Major crime
6	has fallen by 76 percent in the last 30 years and by
7	nine percent in the last three. And 2016 was the
8	safest year in CompStat history, with homicides down
9	five percent, shootings down 12 percent, and we
10	believe that this is a strong record that shows that
11	New York City's experience is continued and unique
12	proof that we can have both more safety and smaller
13	jails. My office's goal is to invest public
14	resources to further reduce both crime and
15	incarceration while promoting fairness, and to drive
16	towards that goal, we're pursuing a number of
17	strategies that can be grouped under three headings,
18	and I'd like to give an update on each today. The
19	first strategy is moving toward a risk-driven system.
20	If risk were the guiding principle in our justice
21	system, jail beds would be used by individuals who
22	pose a risk to public safety; those who are not
23	dangerous would wait for trial at liberty; and law
24	enforcement resources would be concentrated on the
25	few individuals driving violent crime. The goal is

to improve the criminal justice system's accuracy by
ensuring we can separate those few who should be
detained from the many who should not. Over the last
year, the City has taken many steps to move closer to
a risk-driven system, and we track a few indicators
related to risk: The first is the size of the jail
population. For the last three decades, as I
mentioned, the size of our jail population has
steadily declined, driven largely by intentional
efforts to reduce the number of people who enter and
how long people stay in jail, the two drivers of the
size of our population. Reducing the number of
people who enter jail is generally associated with
reducing the use of jail for individuals for low- and
mid-risk. On this score, last year, we saw that
misdemeanor and non-violent felony jail admissions
had fallen by almost eight percent. Defendants
facing misdemeanor and nonviolent felony charges are
now eligible for a new alternative to jail,
supervised release that rolled out just about a year
ago. Supervised release gives judges the option of
allowing eligible low-risk defendants to remain home
with their families and continue working while
waiting for trial and to date has diverted over 3,000

2	people from jail since it was launched. The jail
3	population detained on bail under 2,000 dollars has
4	dropped 36 percent since 2014. During this same
5	period, the City has rolled out several programs to
6	make it easier to post bail more quickly, including
7	installing ATM's in every courthouse to ensure that
8	people have easy access to cash to post bail. We're
9	currently in the process of rolling out an online
10	bail payment system that will be operational in the
11	City later this year. The number of people with
12	behavioral health needs in the City is also down by
13	about seven percent. After this population steadily
14	increased for five years, the number of people with
15	behavioral health needs in the City has fallen in the
16	three years following the implementation of the
17	Mayor's Action Plan on Behavioral Health and the
18	Criminal Justice System. This plan includes
19	strategies that begin before arrest, extend to
20	reentry after jail to help people with behavioral
21	health needs get access to services that can help
22	them stabilize. And for those who do enter jail, the
23	City has continued to reduce the length of stay by
24	reducing case delay. Detainees awaiting trial at
25	Rikers for long periods of time are the single

2	biggest driver of the City's jail population. In
3	April 2015, in partnership with the courts, each of
4	the five District Attorney's offices, the defenders
5	and others, the City launched Justice Reboot, an
6	intentional effort to remove systemic causes of case
7	delay. Since this effort began, the length of
8	Supreme Court cases on which this initiative focused
9	has been reduced by an average of 18 days, the first
10	reduction in decades, and the number of severely
11	delayed cases, those older than three years, has been
12	reduced by half. We will be announcing further
13	efforts to reduce the jail population over the next
14	months in partnership with the many entities who are
15	working with us on this important issue. The second
16	indicator is the composition of the jail population.
17	As the overall size of the jails and population has
18	fallen and fewer people who pose a low risk are
19	detained, jail has been increasingly reserved in New
20	York City for those who pose a risk, either of flight
21	or to public safety. The proportion of the jail
22	population detained on violent offenses has increased
23	from about 30 percent of the population to almost
24	half over the past 20 years, while the number of
25	people held on felony drug charges declined by 78

2	percent, and the number of people held on misdemeanor
3	drug charges by 62 percent. These two trends have
4	accelerated in the last three years with the number
5	of jail admissions, as I mentioned, for misdemeanors
6	dropping by 25 percent since 2014, suggesting that
7	we're getting closer to the goal of reserving jail
8	for those who pose a risk. Additionally, the City
9	has repeatedly affirmed and remains steadfast in our
10	support of raising the age of criminal
11	responsibility, an effort that we hope may come to
12	conclusion as soon as the end of this week with the
13	work of the Legislature in Albany. Moreover, the
14	City is committed to moving 16 and 17-year-olds off
15	Rikers Island as a moral imperative that can't wait
16	for Raise the Age legislation to pass. To make best
17	efforts to move the adolescents, the City has so far
18	committed 300 million dollars in Fiscal 2017 budget
19	for both off-island options for adolescents currently
20	held on Rikers and the needed renovation of ACS
21	facilities. The City is also in the very final
22	stages of a feasibility study to explore possible
23	sites for a dedicated, modern facility that could
24	house the adolescent population off-Island.
25	Specifically, we are looking at the Crossroads

facility in Brooklyn in need of significant repair no
matter what in which we would consolidate the entire
detained ACS population, and we would use Horizon in
the Bronx as a dedicated facility for 16 and 17-year-
olds in DOC custody. The third indicator that we
follow is whether enforcement resources are targeting
the drivers of violent crime. While the overall
number of arrests have continued to decline in the
last year, arrests for murders were up 16 percent and
gun arrests were up almost 11 percent. The enhanced
enforcement is due in part to Project Fast Track, an
initiative the Mayor's Office announced last year in
partnership with the Courts, the New York City Police
Department, the City's five District Attorneys, the
Special Narcotics Prosecutor to institutionalize a
system-wide focus on the limited number of
individuals driving gun violence. This initiative
balances safety and fairness focusing on the few
high-risk individuals driving gun crime and
concentrating on building the strongest cases against
these individuals. An initial assessment of this
initiative's effectiveness will be published later
this spring. Additionally, in the last year, my
office in partnership with the police department, the

2	First Lady's office, the Mayor's Office of Domestic
3	Violence, and experts inside and outside of
4	government launched a Domestic Violence Task Force to
5	reduce domestic violence by intervening as early as
6	possible, enhancing pathways to safety for survivors
7	and ensuring swift, effective and lasting enforcement
8	to hold abusers accountable. While overall crime has
9	fallen dramatically in New York City, domestic
10	violence homicides have remained relatively stagnant.
11	The Task Force is charged with developing durable
12	solutions to a problem that persists nationwide, and
13	their comprehensive, citywide roadmap, which will
14	include ways to ensure that law enforcement resources
15	are effectively reducing domestic violence, will be
16	released later this spring. In addition to moving
17	toward a more risk-driven system, the second
18	overarching strategy our office pursues is
19	investigating approaches to crime reduction that
20	extend beyond traditional law enforcement. In the
21	last year, as Chair Gibson noted, we launched in
22	partnership with the City Council, the Mayor's Office
23	to Prevent Gun Violence. New York City already has
24	the lowest incidence of gun violence of any major
25	U.S. city, and 2016 had the fewest shootings over 30

years; 40 days without any shooting whatsoever in the
City of New York. The new office will be the
backbone for innovative strategies that include
traditional law enforcement and extend beyond to
engage residents and neighborhoods as partners in
fighting crime. Chief among these strategies will be
overseeing an expanded Crisis Management System,
which includes teams of credible messengers to
mediate conflicts on the street and connect high-risk
individuals to services that can reduce the long-term
risk of violence. This approach has contributed to a
15 percent reduction in shootings in the 17 highest
violence neighborhoods in New York City since Mayor
de Blasio took office. The second major initiative
our office oversees to reduce crime through
innovative approaches that extend beyond traditional
law enforcement is the Mayor's Action Plan for
Neighborhood Safety, or MAP. In the last year, MAP
launched NeighborhoodSTAT, which brings residents of
15 high-crime public housing developments and
surrounding neighborhoods together with City agencies
to identify key public safety issues, review relevant
data, and work hand in hand in developing solutions
based on their combined expertise. NeighborhoodSTAT

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is now operating alongside the other components of the Mayor's Action Plan for Neighborhood Safety, targeted law enforcement, physical improvements, and expanded opportunities for work and play, to create a model that has led to a reduction in index crime of four percent and violent crime of six percent since MAP began compared -- which is higher than the NYCHAwide crime numbers in those same categories. final overarching strategy I would like to discuss today is ensuring not only that the system is fair, but that New Yorkers see it as fair and legitimate. This trust is the foundation of a well-functioning criminal justice system, and it means in the most pragmatic terms that people will call 911, appear as witnesses, serve as jurors and grand jurors, and follow judges' instructions. Public engagement is a foundational public safety strategy. A key example of this work is reforming the summons process so individuals can more easily understand when and where they need to appear in court and expand options for doing so. In the last year, we partnered with the NYPD and the state courts to rollout citywide a series of changes that we believe will reduce the number of warrants issued for summonses.

changes include a redesigned summons form, a text
message reminder system before court dates, later
court hours to accommodate work schedules, flexible
court appearance dates, and a website that allows
individuals to access complete information about and
translations of their summons. These changes are
targeted toward reducing the high failure to appear
rate in summons court, which can lead to the court
issuing warrants that in turn can lead to unnecessary
arrests. As we continue to drive down both crime and
the use of jail in New York City, my office's
priorities will continue to be focused on solving
these difficult system challenges, allowing New York
City both to continue to be the safest big city in
the country and to reduce unnecessary incarceration
even further. Thank you very much for the
opportunity to testify here today, and I'd be pleased
to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Great. Thank you very much. I appreciate the detailed testimony, and certainly myself and Council Member Lancman have just several questions for you. So, first, since I talked so much about my love and appreciation of the Office to Prevent Gun Violence, I wanted to ask if it's

7

19

25

2 fully funded, or should we expect to see additional

3 funding maybe in the Executive Budget? And I wanted

4 to find out what will this office look like outside

5 of Eric Cumberbatch in terms of staffing? What will

6 we expect to see with this new Office to Prevent GV?

DIRECTOR GLAZER: So we're excited about

8 the future work and about the work that Eric and his

9 team are currently engaged in. the office currently

10 is staffed with Eric and four other people. We

11 | anticipate hiring several other people. we're

12 | already have identified two of those, and we also

13 | believe that we'll have added to our baseline

14 | approximately four million dollars to help deepen

15 | that work that Eric is doing and to supporting the

16 | Cure Violence teams and the associated other

17 | nonprofits that form the Crisis Management system in

18  $\parallel$  the 17 neighborhoods in which the office works.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So, I'm glad you

20 | brought up the Cure Violence initiative. With the

21 Office to Prevent Gun Violence, obviously it's

22 citywide focused but there are particular boroughs

23 and neighborhoods that account for most of the gun

24 | violence, right, and I'm mildly speaking, so will

there be an emphasis in terms of the staff that Eric

and his team have, is there going to be a borough approach? So are there going to be resources infused in Bronx, Brooklyn? Like is that what we can see?

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Exactly. So I think that is very much the vision to be able to be on the

maybe Eric, if you'd like to just come up and say a

ground in a very extensive way in the boroughs, and

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Oh, sure, yes.

few words if you need to--

DIRECTOR GLAZER: be sworn in and he can give you--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Okay.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: a little bit of a feel

for that, if you'd like, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, well as he comes up, because we have to bring a chair over, let me just ask another question, because it ties into the same work around gun violence. The Cure Violence work and I am so grateful that the Mayor has acknowledged publicly not just privately the support of the Cure Violence model of the Crisis Management System of the work that all of the grassroots organizations are doing hand-in-hand with MOCJ,

working with the NYPD. They cannot and should not do
it alone. What I'm most proud of from our providers
is not only have we had success, we've had some of
our providers that have celebrated 365 days. I had a
chance to go out to Queens Bridge. Bronx Connect
Release the Grip is doing great work. We also have
Save Our Streets, SOS, Brooklyn, Crown Heights, South
Bronx, Morrisania that are doing just tremendous
work. In the Preliminary, the Mayor announced a 4.5
million dollars additional for the 17 catchment
neighborhoods. What I'd like to know is will that
focus, is that detailed? Because many of our
providers are giving jobs to young people. They have
Summer Youth and even all-year round which is exactly
what we want. So do you have an idea of where that
4.5 million will go to our neighborhoods?
DIRECTOR GLAZER: Yeah, very much so, and
just wanted to acknowledge for a second since you
mentioned Queens Bridge, one of the great successes I

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Okay.

think is it's not just Queens Bridge but there are at

least three other sites that saw 365 days without--

DIRECTOR GLAZER: a single shooting.

of overall autonomy as we have a lot of organizations

that have very varying capacity. So we have somewhat
of a prescribed idea of what we would like to offer
to the organizations, but then for some of those
organizations who already have those needs met, to
give them the autonomy to fill gaps where there's a
lack in service provision. In terms of the five
boroughs and the office to prevent Gun Violence, when
we're fully staffed we'll have one coordinator per
borough. That coordinator will focus on the entire
borough, but have a very keen role on connecting the
CMS partnership internally with the current wrap-
around services, but also things that are going on
across the City. So we want to create the tie-in
between what PD is doing in that precinct, what the
DA's office are doing in that precinct, and other
partners that are deeply vested and have resources.
It's really about alignment, and we want to be
completely synced with all of the violence
intervention and interruption activities taking place
across boroughs.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And can you quickly talk about the trauma kits? I'm excited because what many don't often realize unless they are experiencing themselves, after a shooting and/or a

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

homicide, the trauma emotionally that a family member, loved one and especially the friends. I think last night's candlelight vigil highlighted the incredible amount of love this young lady had in our community, and the friends I think about so much because I'm not sure that we in the work we do capture friends as a part of this effort to address post-shooting, post-homicide and the services we have available for them.

ERIC CUMBERBATCH: Definitely, and we look to engage not only with the victim's family or even the network around the alleged perpetrator, but everyone that's impacted in the community. We do have a public safety tool kit that we utilize and it's based on three core areas: what community members can do to prevent violence in their neighborhoods; what are some of the best practices that they can employ around intervention, and then where are the trauma-related services; where are the resources in their community so that they can be better connected and also serve as connectors? the particular case in the Bronx, you know, we have a lot of very key and close advocates and volunteers for our office. So we were able to align the family

2.2

2.3

that void?

and align the SOS team with Victim Services and a lot of the other things that we can offer. We also make this public safety tool kit available during or after large scale law enforcement take-downs. So when we're going into community recognizing that there's a trauma when we've had individuals removed from the household, how do we link families to services, and how do we prevent the next generation from filling

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: That's great, thank

you. I look forward to continuing to work with you

on that, and I appreciate that we're looking at it

from multiple perspectives. Many don't realize with

these massive take-downs, the siblings and the

friends and the family members that are really

impacted by that, and I think it took, you know, both

you and I to experience it and see it to make sure

that we connect the dots, right? So I look forward

to working with you on that.

ERIC CUMBERBATCH: Thank you, likewise.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: I want to get to some other work that MOCJ is doing. Recently, the City Council passed three pieces of legislation, the Criminal Justice Reform Act, the-- we call it MOTS.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Sure.

2.

Ū

ALEX CROHN: And so we've, on the services, community service, we recently named the Center for Court Innovation as a provider of community service at OATH.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

ALEX CROHN: And so it'll be fully up and running this summer. It's been one of the smoothest roll-out processes I've been able to be a part of, so it's really been a great sort of group effort between OATH, NYPD, DoITT, the Mayor's Office of Operations; there are quite a lot of people involved.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

ALEX CROHN: But it's been very smoothly and we're absolutely on track.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And you said July would roll-out?

ALEX CROHN: Yes, that's correct.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Now what about the Office of Crime Victim Services?

DIRECTOR GLAZER: So, do you want to do this one--

ALEX CROHN: [interposing] Sure, I can do this one as well. So, part of the Office of Crime Victim Services is providing a resource guide to

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 169
2	victims so that they can access, you know, necessary
3	housing resources after, you know, a crime has
4	occurred, the various resources that exist in the
5	City. So we're working on procuring for that right
6	now in order to provide a resource guide to victims.
7	As the Director mentioned, we also launched the
8	domestic violence taskforce and we anticipate
9	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Right.
10	ALEX CROHN: many of the recommendations
11	that come out of that will not necessary be DV-
12	specific. Many of them will, but many of them will
13	sort of impact crime victims generally. So that
14	will that's also been a part of this office, is
15	extending additional services to crime victims, and
16	we look forward to coming out with those
17	recommendations
18	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Okay.
19	ALEX CROHN: in the next couple of weeks.
20	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Is there
21	DIRECTOR GLAZER: [interposing] And we
22	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Is
23	there and I'm glad you mentioned that because
24	sometimes when we think of crime victims we only

think of DV, but there are so many other New Yorkers

2.2

2.3

that are victims of a crime. How does that parallel or are there any similarities between the NYPD's new effort with Safe Horizon to provide services in the precincts for victims of crime. Again, that's also not just geared towards DV, but any victim of a

crime. Are there any overlap with that?

DIRECTOR GLAZER: So, I think one of the things that's so critical about this new office which is really sort of the resources is that— and that NYPD is very excited about is that it will— right now, they sort of put together by hand what the resources are in every precinct or neighborhood to give to their offices, and this will provide a kind of easy access for them to do that and to have it updated, and I think the other feature of it that we're very excited about is that this work will also be a key part of supporting some of the trauma work that Eric discussed because it will be able to highlight right away what those services are that are available and make it easier to connect people to them.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And then lastly the Office of Transitional Services, and I

together so that we are identifying and effectively

J

2.2

providing the right kind of services to people to ensure they never go back to either jail or prison.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, great. Let me have Council Member Lancman ask questions, because I'm pretty sure as Chair of Courts and Legal Services he'll have questions on the legal services aspect, 18B attorneys, I'm giving him questions as well as all of our District Attorneys have courtroom space issues and needs and priorities that MOCJ is very much aware of that we always want to make sure we go on record for. Council Member Lancman?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you, and good afternoon.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Good afternoon.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So, I got to be honest with you. I'm a little less thrilled with the state of criminal justice, public safety in the City than you are and the Administration is, and perhaps some of my colleagues, and maybe that's just I'm a glass half-empty kind of guy. Just, can the Sergeant at Arms just make sure the door is closed in the back because there's a lot of noise? Yeah, thank you. So, the backdrop for this is I think the City is doing way too much patting itself on the back when it comes

1 to the state of public safety in the City. 2 3 reality is in 2016 there were just as many murders, 335 as there were in 2013, the last year of the 4 Bloomberg Administration, flat, no progress. the-- for two of the other seven index crimes that 6 7 make up our measurement of how safe we are in City. 8 Rape was up 3.6 percent comparing 2016 to 2013. Felony assault was up 5.3 percent. And if you look at the precincts throughout the City, what progress 10 there has been made on crime statistics have been 11 12 very uneven, and I don't think any of us would be 13 surprised to see that that unevenness comes in 14 communities of color. Just going through -- now, I 15 understand some of these questions or issues might be 16 better directed at a particular person, right? 17 Police Commissioner, but you're the Director of the 18 Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, so somehow it all 19 in some way, shape or form, you know, lands on your 20 desk. Alright? We start with the fact that we've 21 got a speedy trial crisis and acknowledge speedy trial crisis in the criminal courts, and the Mayor 2.2 2.3 has still not appointed, as I believe the number is, eight judges that would be available to be assigned 24

to criminal court to help relieve that crisis which

all of the District Attorneys testifying this morning
thought I'm characterizing their testimony was
ridiculous. We have the Justice Reboot program,
which we had a hearing on last year in the fall which
was designed to reduce the backlog at the time of
approximately 1,400 cases pending at Rikers Island
for a year or more. That backlog was reduced, but
now we have another 13,000-13,050 cases, at least
that was the testimony in the fall, and I was not
able to elicit from the people who were testifying
any identification of any systematic changes that
were going to be put in place so we're not just
continually replacing one cohort of 1,400 people with
another cohort of 1,400 people. On Raise the Age,
that's terrific that the Mayor supports raising the
age, we all do. We have identified some specific
things which the City has within its power to do to
mitigate the effect of New York not having Raise the
Age, and we'll see what happens this week. But we
have asked for an expansion of what I understand is
called Project Reset which Cy Vance testified about
earlier today which deters or sends young people on a
different track from being prosecuted for low-level
non-violent crimes. Right now I understand it only

2	exists in Manhattan and one precinct in Brooklyn. We
3	have urged the Mayor to expand it citywide and also
4	to give consideration to expanding who would be
5	eligible for that program, but we haven't heard any
6	response. On the issue of bail which we've had
7	numerous hearings on, I think it's great that we are
8	finally expanding the ability or creating the ability
9	for people to pay bail online. We had a good
10	conversation yesterday, and I appreciate that. We
11	were supposed to have this up and running, the mayor
12	said, in spring, and now in your testimony, later
13	this year. But that's progress. I get how
14	government works. It's hard. But there's been no,
15	to my knowledge, expansion of the BECS [sic] Program
16	which has been incredibly helpful in keeping people
17	out of going to Rikers Island in the first place. I
18	do not understand the Administration's objection to
19	my bill or working with me on this concept of getting
20	Judges' financial information about defendants who
21	are being arraigned so that they can see that for
22	this defendant, 500 dollars bail might as well be
23	five million dollars bail. And then we have the
24	backdrop of Broken Windows policing where still a
25	couple of hundred thousand people a year are run

2	through the criminal justice system overwhelmingly
3	people of color, irrespective of where the 311 calls
4	for. I mean, I love when the Commissioner shows the
5	maps of this is where the 311 calls come from and
6	this is where quality of life they don't match, and
7	so the system overwhelmingly impacts people of color.
8	I do not understand the Mayor's refusal to recognize
9	the impact that this Broken Windows, this quality of
10	life policing on undocumented immigrants, that there
11	were 30,000 people last year who were arrested and
12	charged with the misdemeanor of fare beating rather
13	than given a civil summons and sent to the Transit
14	Adjudication Bureau, that a Deputy Commissioner
15	certainly going to bring this up with our next guest-
16	- could state that nobody gets deported for jumping a
17	turnstile, when objectively that is not true. I
18	don't understand why the NYPD and the Mayor's
19	Criminal Justice team has waited the full year to
20	come out with the guidelines that we mandated
21	legislatively last year to determine who was going to
22	get sent to a civil process versus a criminal process
23	for a host of low-level quality of life offenses. I
24	get it. The deal was you get a year, but with the
25	election of Donald Trump and the focus on deporting

2 immigrants, why is there no sense of urgency of 3 expediting that and let's get that rolling? And you

4 know, we had a hearing on summons reform, Summons

Court reform I think two years ago, and the 5

deficiency rate for summonses is still the same as it 6

7 was, meaning I think last year 55,000 people were

issued a summons which was on its face defective. 8

So, I get that you can point to successes and the

Administration should be proud of its successes, but 10

11 this overall rosy view, I got to be honest, I find

the Administration to be very timid, particularly in 12

13 the face of the urgency that I believe is required

14 coming from the Trump Administration. So let's-- I

15 don't have all day, and that was my speech. Can we

16 start--

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Was that a statement or-- we have time, so the PD has to So can you just ask a direct question, come up. because you put a lot in there?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Let's do as many of these as we can, and Madam Chair, you tell me when we've had enough. I've sat in that chair. I get it. I think it's the Justice Reboot Program, the effort to whittle down the number of cases pending at Rikers

2

3

4

5

6

/

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for a year or more. At the hearing in the fall, one group of 1,400 went out and there was another 13-1,350 that went in. Are there any systematic changes that you're proposing that will maintain a much, much lower number?

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Yeah, so I think this is I think one of the most vexing problems, case delay, in part because there's so many pieces that are-that comprise it and none of them are within the control of any single person. So, I think it was a good thing to clear out the backlog. You know, we have 104 fewer cases that are a year or older now. That's good, much steeper reduction and even older I think the moving from sort of the initiative to the system to the systematic approach is absolutely critical, and over the course of the last six months we've worked with all the different partners that must agree to this to establish milestones at each of the critical points to ensure that we're starting to shrink the younger cases coming in because what we've seen happen is that the older cases drop significantly, but the younger cases started to pile up. So we now have these milestones, how many days it takes between indictment and

arraignment, what the goal is to shrink the number of
times between adjournment, between disposition and
sentencing, and those benchmarks seem to be to serve
a slow slog [sic] and very different in borough by
borough. Each borough has different issues, but
we're starting to see that that is shrinking the
number of younger cases by about seven percent and
we're seeing some milestones a lot more success. For
example, the indictment to arraignment milestone is
now it has to happen within 14 days. It used to
happen could happen within 14 days, but in many
boroughs was happening over a course of a month or
two months, and other places where we need to where
the milestones are still outside of our reach. So,
between disposition and sentencing is still sort of a
milestone that has to be shrunk, but that is a
systemic thing that all parts of the system are now
focused on, the courts and the DA's, the defenders,
Department of Corrections which also obviously has a
role. So I think it's a, you know, steeper hill than
we hoped it would be, but I think that there are real
opportunities here, and I think that we're on our way
to addressing them.

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Now, I don't know what's going to happen with Raise the Age up in Albany, but can you take a look and speak to the other DAs if necessary or whatever is necessary-it's a collaboration between the NYPD and I quess the DA's offices -- whether or not we can expand this Project Reset? It's hard to keep track of all the names that we come up with.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Yeah, so I know that--COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing] Is it all five boroughs?

DIRECTOR GLAZER: So, Cy Vance is

expanding it to all of Manhattan where it was a pilot project. I have some questions about Project Reset. It deals with folks that for the most part are not jail-bound. It is not clear to me at all what in fact the effect of it is, and I'm very eager to see the results, but I don't think we have those kinds of results yet. There's a big question. Generally, in this area, the notion of sort of having a heavier hand on low-risk people has been shown repeatedly to have the exact opposite effect than you would like it to have. So I'm very, very cautious about taking very, very low-risk guys, folks who have been sort of

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Thank you.

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you.

DIRECTOR GLAZER: Appreciate it.

[break]

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the City Council to our Chambers. I'm Council Member Vanessa Gibson of District 16 in the Bronx. I'm proud to serve as the Chair of the Committee on Public Safety. I welcome all of you here this afternoon to the Public Safety Committee's Fiscal 2018 Preliminary Budget and Fiscal 2017 PMMR hearing. This afternoon we will hear testimony from our NYPD Police Commissioner James O'Neill and the NYPD regarding their Preliminary I also want to recognize the members of our committee here, Council Member Rory Lancman and Council Member Robert Cornegy. The Department's Fiscal 2018 Preliminary Budget totals 5.3 billion dollars, an increase of two percent compared to the Fiscal 2017 Adopted Budget. More than 90 percent of this budget supports personnel services, while less than 10 percent supports other than personnel services. The Department's budget supports a budgeted headcount of approximately 52,000 personnel which includes 36,000 uniformed personnel and 16,000

2	civilians. This City Council and this Administration
3	have made significant investments in public safety
4	and criminal justice reform over the last few
5	budgets. By working together collaboratively we have
6	continued to build stronger ties with the NYPD and
7	our communities in this City. Together, we have been
8	able to achieve the hiring of 1,300 police officers,
9	raising the headcount at the NYPD, strengthening the
10	Neighborhood Coordination Officer Program,
11	neighborhood policing model, adding more than 600
12	civilian staff, passing I'm very proud of this
13	the Criminal Justice Reform Act, integrating and
14	upgrading technology and police operations, and
15	successfully implementing a uniformed overtime
16	control plan. This budget reflects new initiatives
17	and investments in the NYPD such as the hiring, again
18	my personal favorite, 200 school crossing guards, and
19	for the first time, 100 school crossing guard
20	supervisors. The Preliminary Budget also funds an
21	enhancement of the Vision Zero initiative, bullet-
22	resistant windows for patrol vehicles and an NYPD
23	Management Team to assist with the overall Homeless
24	Shelter Training and Security with the Department of
25	Homeless Services. This afternoon I hope to learn

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

more about the Department's new initiative, its capital programs, particularly precinct-wide improvements, the accomplishments and the existing expansion of the Neighborhood Policing model, the NCO program, as well as budget priorities for FY 2018. Before we get to our Commissioner I certainly want to thank you for your leadership. This is your first time coming before the City Council in your new capacity as Police Commissioner, and we are grateful for your partnership, for your commitment. We've stood with you many times on a number of press conferences and new partnerships that we are embarking on as a city, and I'm very proud of the work we're doing. I certainly know we're not done yet. We have a lot of work to do, but you have the commitment of this City Council, of our Speaker and all the members of the City Council. So I thank you for being here and now want to turn this over to our Counsel to administer the oath before we begin, and once again thank you and welcome, and I know that you're here at this hour because earlier today we graduated about 600.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Over 600.

1 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 2 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Over 600 new police 3 officers. I hope I can say this publicly, 22 percent 4 women. COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Yes. CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, wonderful. 6 7 we are definitely making a difference, and I know not 8 just women, but people of color excited at the efforts that we're undertaking, and certainly we want to keep pushing because I want that number to get 10 11 higher and higher. So thank you once again for being 12 here.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: I do.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, and thank you. Just want to recognize we have Commissioner James O'Neill of the NYPD, our Chief of Department, Chief Carlos Gomez, our First Deputy Commissioner, Ben Tucker, and our Deputy Commissioner of Management and Budget, Vincent Grippo. Thank you so much, and you may begin.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Okay, thank you.

Good afternoon everyone. Thank you for the opportunity to discuss the Mayor's Preliminary Budget for the 2018 Fiscal Year. It's a pleasure to be here and to testify before the members of the Council about the outstanding work the men and women of the New York City Police Department have been doing and continue to do every day and every night. When I was named by Mayor de Blasio as Police Commissioner six months ago, we spoke about continuing to bridge the gaps between the NYPD and the communities we serve about building on the philosophy that true public safety is very much a shared responsibility. order to drive crime down past already record low rates while keeping people safe, ensuring that they also feel safe, the NYPD requires the insight and assistance of every person who lives in, works in and visits our great city. We've been working long and hard over the past three years to make sure we're improving community police relations and ensuring our partnerships are built on trust. New York City is a welcoming place and NYPD aims to keep our well-earned title of safest big city in United States. We want to keep New York City a place where everyone in every

neighborhood can walk the streets without fear. I
believe the way our officers perform their duties and
interact with the public regardless of age, race or
immigration status helps us maintain that goal, and
we don't plan to throw away any of the goodwill we've
earned because we know that each of us has a stake in
keeping all of us the safe. Before I highlight some
key budget items I want to update you on our core
crime fighting mission and several significant public
safety initiatives. As you know, the NYPD is
undergoing the most radical top to bottom operational
change it has seen since the advent of CompStat in
the 1990's. I want to be crystal clear here.
Neighborhood Policing is not simply a program we're
trying out in one or two corners of the city with
cops who have some spare time on their hands. In
fact, it's the diametrical opposite. Neighborhood
Policing is a complete paradigm shift in the way the
entire NYPD goes about its business. We have
restructured our department at every level. We draw
in the sectors that police officers patrol so now
they follow natural neighborhoods instead of
arbitrarily chosen blocks of space. Added the role
of Neighborhood Coordination Officer to our command

2	so that two NCOs in each sector can act as liaisons
3	between our cops and our communities, dedicated teams
4	of sector officers who work the same neighborhoods
5	every day on the same tours so those we serve can
6	actually get to know them and vice versa, and most
7	importantly, we're finally giving our police officers
8	the time and the time and the resources to accomplish
9	everything we've long asked them to do.
10	Specifically, our steady sector cops now have a third
11	of their work days away from the constant stream of
12	911 jobs dispatched over their radio so they can
13	leave their vehicles, visit workers in stores and
14	residents in their homes and interact with children
15	on streets and in the playground. Additional
16	officers still are assigned to what we call Response
17	Orders [sic], to cover their radio runs while all
18	this is going on. Every police officer now works
19	closely with community members to identify problems
20	specific to their neighborhoods, develop intelligence
21	about crime and lead problem-solving and crime
22	fighting efforts. This is how trust is earned. This
23	is how lasting productive relationships are built,
24	and the NYPD is doing it. Neighborhood policing, of
25	course, is a crime fighting philosophy first and

We'll never lose focus of our mission, 2 foremost. 3 fighting crime and keeping people safe. We knew we needed to change the way we fought crime so we could 4 5 simultaneously rebuild relationships in every neighborhood. Never in any previous model of 6 7 policing have we granted our officers the time to do that. Now we do. Everything we do now is geared 8 towards fostering genuine community ties while also reducing crime and keeping people safe, everything. 10 11 For our Neighborhood Coordination Officers and the 12 teams of cops they work with, it's now much more than just a traditional answering of one 911 call after 13 14 another. It's about deeper problem solving. It's a 15 tailor-made policing that individualized for whatever neighborhood you're in. Neighborhood policing is 16 17 inherently proactive. Not only with respect to 18 making connections with those who live and work in 19 every corner of New York City, it also strengthens 20 our efforts to investigate crime, catch criminals and 21 prevent crimes before they occur. This is a Police Department that understands that no one knows a 2.2 street, a block or neighborhood better than those who 2.3 live and work there every day. Throughout this 24 tremendous change we've had the Mayor's full support, 25

2	and we've benefitted from the City Council support as
3	well. Thank you for your ongoing partnership and
4	assistance, for everything you do to help us build a
5	better and stronger Police Department. Most notably,
6	the Council and the Mayor authorized a first
7	headcount expansion the NYPD has seen in a dozen
8	years. With the hiring of new police officers and a
9	strong push towards civilianization we essentially
10	added 2,000 officers to patrol. We use them to
11	create our counter-terrorism critical response
12	command, to repurpose our former taskforce as a new
13	and improved disorder and crime control unit called
14	the Strategic Response Group and to bolster our
15	patrol precincts in housing police service areas as
16	we prepare to introduce neighborhood policing
17	citywide. We are well on our way with neighborhood
18	policing operating in 39 of our precincts and in all
19	nine PSAs. We're slated to add four more precincts
20	in April. We know that our police officers are
21	enjoying and indeed flourishing under this new model
22	of policing, and anecdotally, we know the residents
23	and workers in our communities find it's equally
24	rewarding. To quantify all this, we've been working
25	with an outside contractor and soon expect to enhance

25

2 the metrics by which we measure the levels of 3 satisfaction in our neighborhoods. It revolves 4 around a real-time sentiment [sic] meter and can show 5 us exactly where in the City trust and effectiveness are thriving and where we need to work harder. 6 7 gauging of satisfaction will be similar to the way we approach our ongoing quality of life efforts. 8 quality of life response continues to be driven by complaints from the public. The public wants and 10 11 expects us to keep answering their concerns. 12 with the knowledge that many issues can be resolved 13 in ways that do not involve summary enforcement 14 action. Turning to crime, as you know, 2016 was an 15 absolute banner year for us. New York City 16 experienced another four percent drop in major crime from the year before and that was on top of a 17 historic crime reduction realized over two decades 18 19 Robberies dropped by nine percent. 20 Burglaries dropped by more than 14 percent, and we saw the fewest number of shootings since modern 21 2.2 record-keeping began. We had 998 shootings last year 2.3 and it was the first item New York City was ever below 1,100. We're now nearly through the first 24

quarter of this year and 2017 has picked up right

2	where 2016 left off. So far this year, major crime
3	is down another five and a half percent. Robberies
4	are down 12.1 percent. Rape is down 6.7 percent, and
5	shootings for the year are down another 21.8 percent.
6	For the current 28-day time period, shootings are
7	actually down more than 35 percent. I don't think
8	it's possible to overstate how remarkable that is.
9	The NYPD is waging an all-out war on illegal guns in
10	this city and we're winning. Crime is down in the
11	precincts. It's down in it's not down in transits.
12	It's up slightly, and it's just about even in public
13	housing. In January, for example, the largest public
14	housing development in the United States, the Queens
15	Bridge Houses in Queens, recorded a full year without
16	a single shooting. The New York Times in an article
17	that highlighted our partnership in community-based
18	efforts marked the occasion with this headline, "Six
19	Blocks, 96 Buildings, Zero Shootings, New Recipe at
20	the Queens Bridge Houses." We know that our
21	successes don't happen by accident. They are all a
22	direct result of the dedication of our cops, the
23	skill and creativity of our commanders, the
24	leadership of our Executive Staff and the unrivaled
25	assistance from the public we serve They're also a

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

result of the commitment to public safety by this Administration and by you, and we look around our nation at crime trending upward in other major We can all see that New York City is indeed an exception. One of the ways we're continuing to push crime down is through precision policing. Focusing our resources on the relatively small percentage of the population responsible for committing much of the violence, last year we conducted about 100 take-downs of individuals, gangs and crews and locked up about 1,000 people. are people who are committing the robberies, the shootings, the murders, buying and selling the guns, and conducting the wholesale drug dealing in our communities, and we're not done. We've already conducted several more takedowns this year including one this month that netted 24 individuals who were charged by the Brooklyn DA's Office and a 627-count indictment with weapons offenses and conspiracy for trafficking guns purchased in Virginia, transporting them up the iron pipeline and selling them on the streets of New York City. The more than 200 weapons recovered by police included assault weapons and machine gun, rifles and handguns with extended

2	magazines, and high-capacity ammunition drums. The
3	way we look at it, every one of those firearms
4	represent a life saved and a family kept intact.
5	Each time we conduct a take-down we later hold a
6	community debriefing session. We confer with
7	community leaders and hold that meeting at a time
8	that works best for those who live and work there.
9	At these sessions we explain who we arrested and why
10	and asked for an ongoing open-line of communications
11	so we can keep doing the important work that keeps
12	all of our communities safe. We are able to do what
13	we do because of the relationship we enjoy with our
14	local, state and federal law enforcement partners.
15	Through the joint Terrorism Taskforce and other
16	coordinated efforts, we routinely work seamlessly
17	with the FBI, the ATF and others to combat crime in
18	ways that could not previously be conceived even in
19	recent years. Now collaboration is stronger than
20	I've ever seen it in my nearly 35 years in law
21	enforcement. As we conduct long-term investigations,
22	we remain in lock-step with our five District
23	Attorneys in New York City and the southern and
24	eastern districts of New York at the federal level.
25	Each year we also receive portions of money the DA's

offices have seized through asset forfeiture, and in
early in this month, we were fortunate to get an
additional 20.3 million from the Queens District
Attorney's Office. That money will enhance our
neighborhood policing efforts and includes the
purchase of new SUV's and cars for the 16 police
precincts in that borough, plus replacing and
updating training equipment and technology to be used
in our police academy and beyond. I want to make sure
I don't I made a little bit of an omission on
dealing with our prosecutors were also instrumental
in dealing with the Special Narcotics Court. Another
partnership producing results is our work with the
City's Department of Transportation to reduce traffic
fatalities as part of the Mayor's Vision Zero
initiative. Traffic fatalities in 2016 dropped to
the lowest number ever, and we recently completed our
Dusk and Darkness Campaign which showed fatalities
dropped 26 percent this winter, and summons to
drivers for violations like speeding and failure to
yield rise exponentially. As we continue our stepped
up efforts of education coupled with enforcement, I'm
confident we'll continue to make even more
significant progress in this area. Citywide, we'll

2	continue to leverage every tool available to us to
3	keep the city safe, including the use of new and
4	innovative technology. Twenty-three years ago,
5	CompStat revolutionized crime fighting by leveraging
6	the power of data. We still rely on CompStat today
7	to ensure rapid and relentless follow-up on crime
8	conditions and accountability. We're also keenly
9	focused on technological advances and how they can be
10	applied to fighting crime and creating safer and more
11	efficient ways for police officers to do their jobs
12	of keeping all New Yorkers safe. As you already
13	know, we're moving ahead with our Body One Camera
14	Program, and all of our patrol officers will be
15	wearing them in the years ahead. Every one of our
16	officers already has a Department-issued smartphone
17	putting police databases in the palms of their hands.
18	New exciting applications for those phones and the
19	tablets in their patrol cars are coming by summer.
20	Soon, the memo books cops carry with them to record
21	their assignments, the jobs they're dispatched to,
22	the community engagement activities while off the
23	radio, and more will be shelved in lieu of an
24	electronic activity log. And our revolutionary
25	neighborhood policing application will soon allow

quality of life tasks to be managed and discussed by
the groups of officers responsible for the specific
areas of the city. Next month, a new performance
evaluation system will be up and running and
available on each our members' Department-issued
smartphones. It already allows self-reporting so
officers can record the deeds and interaction that
make up their work days. It allows supervisors in
the field to make notations about individual officers
who may not even be under their direct command.
Soon, the system will allow quarterly evaluations to
ensure that police officers and precinct PAs and
transit PSAs in transit districts on a wider scale
are performing at their full potential. We're also
working with NYCHA to link our unique Domain
Awareness System to a thousand of additional security
and surveillance cameras in 15 housing developments
throughout the City. In the Bronx, our new Public
Safety Answering Center, or PSAC II, is open for
business and is now our primary 911 call center for
all of New York City making Metro-tech in Brooklyn
our backup location. Importantly, we've leveraged
the City's investment in the Bronx site to dedicate a
large portion of that space as our backup to One

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Police Plaza. With all the capabilities of NYPD headquarters in real-time tabletop exercises we've already managed several large scale mock incidents from IIPP [sic] as we call it. At a public-facing level, we'll soon revamp our valuable NYPD Crime Stoppers website to help garner even more tips in exchange for cash rewards. This public/private partnership between the NYPD and the New York City Police Foundation has been an invaluable crime fighting tool since the program's inception in 1983. The City has also responded very well to us making police accident reports available online to the parties involved. Approximately 21,000 people have downloaded reports from our website since it went live in November. Our footprint in social media also continues to expand. In order to share timely important information directly with the public, the NYPD currently runs 122 Twitter accounts and one for each-- one for every precinct PSA and a handful of chiefs and specialty units. We also maintain 15 separate Facebook accounts, including 12 for neighborhood policing commands, one for recruitment, and one for the Cadet Corps. More coming soon. Additionally, the NYPD has a very popular blog at

2	NYPDNews.com, and we're on Snapchat, Instagram and
3	have our own YouTube channel called NYPD Connect.
4	Turning to budgetary issues, the NYPD plans to apply
5	again for and obtain federal assistance to protect
6	members of the public and critical infrastructure
7	including the financial district, the transit system,
8	bridges, tunnels, and ports. We rely on these funds
9	to help protect all New Yorkers and visitors to our
10	great city against terrorist attacks and to
11	strengthen our Homeland Security preparedness.
12	Although we've already started planning for the
13	Federal Fiscal Year 2017 Grand Funding process, the
14	application guidelines for Homeland Security
15	Preparedness Grants have not yet been released
16	because the Federal Government, including the
17	Department of Homeland Security and the Federal
18	Emergency Management Agencies, currently operating
19	under a continuing resolution until April 28 <sup>th</sup> , 2017.
20	The timing of the Fiscal Year 2017 appropriation will
21	significantly compress the timeframe to announce and
22	award these grants by September 30 <sup>th</sup> , 2017.
23	Consequently, grant applications will have a much
24	shorter application period than in recent years,
25	potentially as short as just several weeks.

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Specifically, federal grant funds have allowed the NYPD to develop and sustain our sensor [sic] and information technology centerpiece known as the Domain Awareness System, or DAS, which I mentioned earlier. It provides critical support to the Department's counterterrorism mission. Federal funding also allows us to hire intelligence research specialists and deploy officers to the transit system into strategic locations citywide based on intelligence and to train officers to respond to chemical or biological and radiological threats or incidents as well as to active shooter scenarios. The NYPD also uses federal funds to purchase personal protective equipment for uniformed members of the service and purchase other critical equipment that enhances our ability to protect New Yorkers as well as critical transportation and port infrastructure. Regarding the President's recent Executive Order on Sanctuary Cities and the US Attorney General's remarks about cities complying with federal laws as they pertain to immigration, it is still too early to predict what impact this will have on the federal funding we regularly receive. It is unclear if the Executive Order would apply to all federal funding or

2	only to funding from the Department of Justice and
3	Department of Homeland Security. Additionally, this
4	order states that it may exclude law enforcement.
5	The Law Department is currently reviewing the
6	implications of this Executive Order. Regarding the
7	Mayor's Preliminary Budget and its impact on the
8	Police Department, the Department Fiscal Year 2018
9	City Tax Levy Expense Budget is 4.9 billion. The
LO	vast majority of this 92 percent is allocated for
L1	personnel services. Highlights in the Preliminary
L2	Budget include DHS Shelter Security Management Team
L3	funding totaling 3.2 million was provided in Fiscal
L4	Year 2018 for additional 22 positions, 18 uniform and
L5	four civilian, to staff the NYPD's Department of
L 6	Homeless Services' Shelter Security Management Team.
L7	This funding also provides for the purchase of
L8	vehicles to be used by the NYPD Management Team to
L9	travel between DHS shelters. NYPD Enhanced Training
20	Program for Department of Homeless Services' Security
21	Officers: The NYPD will now have an Administration
22	25 positions, 24 uniform and one civilian to staff
23	the NYPD's Enhanced Training Program for DHS Security
24	Offices with 2.5 million in additional funds in
25	Fiscal Year 2018. Funding in the amount of School

_	COMMITTEE ON FOBLIC SAFEIT 202
2	Crossing Guards: Funding in the amount of 6.3
3	million in Fiscal Year 2018 was also provided for an
4	additional 100 full-time School Crossing Guards Level
5	II Supervisor and 200 part-time School Crossing
6	Guards Level I. This funding also provides for the
7	purchase of vehicles and phones for the School
8	Crossing Guard Supervisors. Community Center at 127
9	Pennsylvania Avenue: Funding in the amount of
10	915,000 in Fiscal Year 2018 was provided for an
11	operating budget to be used for a diverse course of
12	programs and equipment at the 127 Pennsylvania Avenue
13	NYPD Community Center. Vision Zero equipment:
14	Funding in the amount of 550,000 dollars was provided
15	in the Fiscal Year 2018 for various Vision Zero
16	equipment needs including speed detection guns, video
17	message signs, and high definition cameras for in-car
18	video systems. In addition to city funds, New York
19	State Asset Forfeiture funding was provided for
20	ballistic window inserts for vehicles. Funding in
21	the amount of 5.2 million in Fiscal Year 2017 and 5.2
22	million in Fiscal Year 2018 was provided to equip
23	3,813 Department vehicles with ballistic window
24	inserts. On January 24, 2017, the Mayor released the

Fiscal Year 2018 Preliminary Capital Budget Committee

2	Plan. The total Capital Plan for the Police
3	Department is 1.736 billion which covers Fiscal Years
4	2016 to 2020. In this plan, the NYPD was able to
5	secure an additional 339 million in city and federal
6	capital funds for Fiscal Year 2017 to 2021 and 117
7	million for Fiscal Years 2022 to 2027, over and above
8	the last Capital Plan. As you know, three years ago
9	this Administration began addressing an important
10	NYPD priority, the major rehabilitations and complete
11	replacement of Department facilities. Funds have
12	already been allocated for the design and
13	construction of a new 40 <sup>th</sup> precinct station and house
14	in the Bronx which was built in 1924. It's in very
15	poor condition and cannot be rehabilitated. I'm
16	pleased to report to you that the design for the new
17	40 precinct station house was recently completed, and
18	we expect the construction contract award later this
19	calendar year. It's important for me to note as well
20	that this will be the first precinct built that
21	incorporates community space where residents and
22	workers from the surrounding neighborhoods can engage
23	with their police. I want to highlight the
24	Administration's fun the Administration funded the
25	upgraded firearms training facility at Rodman's Neck.

A total of 275 million has been allocated to 2 3 reconstruct a state-of-the-art facility which will 4 allow for more tactical training and also include 5 firing ranges with baffled ceilings to help with noise mitigation. This site will also be completely 6 overhauled to improve the underlying infrastructure, things like gas, improved electric and sewer lines. 8 Due to these necessary upgrades, it is estimated to take five to seven years to complete this project. 10 11 The Department is focused on our ability to continue firearms qualifications, and therefore we're looking 12 13 to complete the firing ranges early in overall 14 timeframe as possible. The Times Square substation 15 upgrade is another project I want to highlight. design for an upgraded space with a visitor-centered 16 approach through the use of public amenities and 17 exhibits centers on the role of the NYPD in our 18 19 community. This effort is part of a citywide 20 initiative to incorporate public spaces into police facilities as evident in our design of the 40 21 precinct. It is estimated that design of the Times 2.2 2.3 Square substation will be complete toward the end of this calendar year with construction starting shortly 24 thereafter. The following is a summary of the 25

2	Department's civilian and uniform authorized staffing
3	levels. During a January 2018 Financial Plan, the
4	uniformed headcount increased a total of 42 positions
5	starting in Fiscal Year 2017 as follows: 18
6	positions for the NYPD's Department of Homeless
7	Management Team which is tasked with overseeing
8	management of security at homeless shelters and 24
9	positions for the training of DHS Peace Officers.
10	The July 2016 police officers class size was 600
11	recruits. Of these, 539 graduated in December. The
12	October 2016 police officers class size was 712
13	recruits, and in January 2017 was 439 recruits. The
14	April 2017 and the July 2017 projected class sizes
15	are 474 and 600 respectively. The projected
16	attrition in Fiscal Year 2017 is approximately 2,085,
17	and the average uniform headcount is estimated to be
18	36,305. During the January 2018 Financial Plan, 100
19	fulltime School Crossing Guard Supervisors and 200
20	part-time School Crossing Guards were added to our
21	budget as well as seven other civilian positions.
22	However, 150 vacant civilian positions were
23	eliminated as a saving initiative. As a result, the
24	number of funded authorized fulltime civilian
25	position is 16,058 in Fiscal Year 2017 and 15,971

Fiscal Year 2018 and the out years. The reason for	
the reductions in 2018 and the out years is that a	
number of grant-funded positions have not yet been	
accounted for in the Fiscal Year 2018 budget. The	
part time budget currently funds 3,497 positions in	
Fiscal Year 2017 and 3,527 in Fiscal Year 2018 which	
consists mostly of school crossing guards. I am ver	У
optimistic about the future of the Police Department	
and the direction we're heading. As the decrease in	
crime so far this year shows, we can police the City	
effectively without intruding unnecessarily or	
excessively into the lives of residents, businesses	
and visitors. I believe the same is true of our	
mission to defend New York City from another	
terrorist attack. In my experience there's a direct	
correlation between the level of community support	
for the police and success in fighting crime and	
terror. We will continue to work tirelessly to earn	
the trust and confidence of all New Yorkers and to	
ensure that there are even better days ahead. We'll	
do so in a way that optimizing officer safety. This	
is all part of policing in the $21^{\rm st}$ century and no	
Police Department in the nation has been more	
successful in the past two decades than the NYPD. W	e

fully expect this trend to continue, and we're so grateful to be moving ahead together with the critical support of the Mayor and the City Council. The police don't underestimate the change even one person in our great city can affect, neither should the public. Everything we do is geared toward embracing our difference and celebrating all of our common traits. I look forward to working with each of you as we make our way forward. Thank you again for this opportunity to testify. At this point, I'd be happy to take your questions.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,
Commissioner, for your detailed analysis of the work
the NYPD is doing. Obviously, some of the challenges
that we continue to face as well as looking at future
new needs for this fiscal year. I'd like to
acknowledge the presence of Council Member Ydanis
Rodriguez and Chaim Deutsch, and we also had Council
Member Jumaane Williams. So, before I get to my
colleagues asking questions, I just wanted to delve
right in. I have a lot to always ask. But obviously
in the climate we're working in, the federal funding
is of grave concern to us, this City Council and the
Mayor. You've stood with us on a number of press

conferences really affirming our Sanctuary City 2 3 status and the work we're doing to protect every New 4 Yorker regardless of status. You know, obviously 5 that needs to continue. I think because of the environment we are working and living in, many New 6 7 Yorkers are very fearful and need to be reassured by 8 everyone, not just the NYPD. I think those potential victims of a crime or domestic violence are less likely to now come forward because of their status or 10 11 their-- the status of a loved one. So, it's very 12 scary for many New Yorkers and obviously we need to 13 be the protection and we need to be the comfort that 14 is necessary, and also for me, sharing of accurate 15 data and information. I cannot tell you how important 16 that is. I've come across cases where parents are 17 being asked to sign away the rights of their 18 children. They're signing documentation not 19 understanding what it means. So, just so that you 20 obviously understand the work that we're doing and 21 the impact. So could you talk a little bit more 2.2 about the federal impact and the proposed cuts? 2.3 even beyond that, the seven million dollars that we are seeking reimbursement that I understand is not a 24 grant, but I think it's a competitive grant, can you 25

explain a little bit of how that works and what are we expecting from this federal government as far as financial support?

Grippo will speak in detail about both, but I just want you to know that a week ago Monday I went down to Washington, and I spoke to a number of people down there about our concern about the 110 million we might possibly lose in federal grants and also the getting reimbursed for the 24 million from 56t and Fifth from Trump Tower. The talks were very productive. They were receptive to us coming down there, and I look forward to maintaining those grants, but again, it's unclear as to what the outcome is going to be, but I'm very optimistic about the outcome.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: Vincent

Grippo, Deputy Commissioner of Management and Budget.

So, for the seven million dollars which is the monies that the Congress has put up that we believe the City would be able to essentially seek reimbursement for—in that grant, and it is a grant, it would be overtime cost only incurred to secure Trump Tower.

They have not written the guidelines for the grant.

2	So ultimately we haven't started the official
3	application process. We do believe based on reading
4	the way the grant is written that it will be a
5	competitive grant and we know we believe New York
6	City areas in New Jersey and areas in Florida would
7	be eligible because of time that the President spent
8	in all three locations between Election Day and the
9	Inauguration Day. The would all be eligible to
10	apply. Certainly our stance having taken the most
11	significant financial hit, we will seek all of those
12	funds and have sufficient overtime records to justify
13	that. We're also, as the Commissioner mentioned,
14	lobbying in Washington with the hope that we will be
15	able to recover the additional monies that have been
16	expended above seven million, the total being 24
17	million. So, those are the that's related to
18	reimbursement for the security that was involved in
19	securing Trump Tower exclusively between the election
20	and the inauguration. In addition to that, we have
21	costs now that we're incurring to secure the tower
22	with the President in Washington but his family still
23	residing at Trump Tower, and we're also lobbying to
24	recover those funds as well. That's on Trump Tower.

2.2

2.3

2 Do you want me to speak to the monies that might be

3 at risk as well?

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Yes, and obviously the uncertainty that we are living with, but are we working on a contingency plan? And you know, I think it's really insulting that we are competing with other localities for expenses that have already been incurred, and certainly we deserve and need that money. I don't think it's fair that we have to compete. Is this typically how it works with the

feds where we have to apply for reimbursement?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: Well, this is no atypical in terms of the way grants are written, and this is a somewhat unique situation.

Certainly we've never been in a situation quite like this. I don't know if there's precedent for President-elect spending time in three different localities and it costing those localities as much as you're seeing in these three instances, but—so, but I would say that the grant itself is written in a way that we're familiar with, and the competitive grant process is one we're familiar with. We think New York City by far will have the strongest case as the impact of having the President-elect in that Midtown

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 212
2	location for the time at which he was there.
3	Certainly, we incurred the most significant burden on
4	this.
5	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And I'd also
6	like to ask, obviously in the climate we are working
7	in in this city, all of the reports that we hear
8	about the increase of hate crimes across the City,

9 can you tell me the current size of the Department's

going to increase resources for the unit to deal with

Hate Crime Taskforce, what it looks like, and are we

12 | the increased cases that are coming to the Hate

13 | Crimes Taskforce?

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Yeah, Madam Chair, Chief Boyce will speak about hate crimes.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. As he gathers his papers, thank you Chief. Just state your name for the record.

CHIEF BOYCE: Robert Boyce, Chief of Detectives. Good morning. Good afternoon I should say.

22 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Good afternoon.

CHIEF BOYCE: Right now we have 27 officers assigned to the Hate Crimes Taskforce. That is the most we've ever had. We usually carried

around 20. So we beefed it up quite substantially
because of the increase in hate crimes. Right now
they're able to handle that. To date we have 131
incidents as of March 26 <sup>th</sup> , versus 65 last year.
It's a 102 percent increase. We have a overall Chief
of Special Victims Division oversees it. We have a
captain and several lieutenants, sergeants and
detectives. The biggest increase we see right now is
anti-Semitic. We have 67 year-to-date versus 28 for
an increase of 39, which gives us a numeric
percentage of 139 percent increase. Now, most of the
incidents are up across the board. Anti-black is up
13 versus five, as evidence this past week of what
happened in Midtown South. A quick arrest was made.
So we see somewhat across-the-board increases. We're
able to meet that challenge. Fortunately, the best
thing to come out of this is there's not a lot of
violence associated with it outside of that homicide
that happened in Midtown South.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

CHIEF BOYCE: So we see mostly graffiti, either verbiage or more than likely--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Right.

CHIEF BOYCE: swastikas in and around the city. Transit has had a tremendous increase in what they've seen as well. Some of the boroughs that are most problematic: Brooklyn South which is what we usually see it in, as well as Manhattan South and Manhattan North.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Do you have numbers on hate crimes against Muslim New Yorkers and LGBTQ New Yorkers?

which would fall underneath that. It's at 17 this year versus 15 for a plus two. As far as anti-Muslimism, yes, that's up as well, six versus two which is an increase of four which is a high number. It's 200 percent. As which goes to my-- what I-- my earlier statement that we've seen across the board.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So is there any effort this year, will we see more than 27 officers right now, or?

CHIEF BOYCE: We believe now with because of the sensitivity of the case and the cases assigned, that's adequate to do it. I will say also that's the largest Hate Crime Taskforce in the country.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, thank you. I
want to ask about the DHS homeless shelter, the
training and management, and where we are with that
since it was a fairly recent announcement, and even
moving forward with the dedicated staff that you
have, the training, some of the security measures,
focusing on the Peace Officers, the NYPD Management
Team; how is that going and where do you foresee any
changes if any that you will experience with the
Mayor's recent announcement of dealing with the
homelessness crisis, and the creation of brand new
shelters that you will ultimately assuming oversee
and that will be rolled into this plan as well?
COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: The financial
aspect, Commissioner Grippo can talk about, but
CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Okay.
COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: for the
operationals be Chief Thompson.
CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

CHIEF THOMPSON: Good afternoon, Deputy Chief Thompson.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: What's your first

24 name?

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2 CHIEF THOMPSON: Deputy Chief Edward

3 Thompson.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, thank you.

CHIEF THOMPSON: Which one would you like to me cover first, the Management Team specific or?

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: That's fine. You can

just go in order.

CHIEF THOMPSON: So, the Management Team is made up of 22 people. We started out at DHS in May of last year. Thus far, we have oversight responsibility over hiring, promotion, security, all aspects of assessing security at all the shelters throughout the City. That's our current role right now. Deployment, ensuring that we have appropriate coverage in all of the shelter facilities, and that's what we've done thus far. Our initial goal was to ensure we had appropriate coverage at most of the facilities. When we first arrived they operated some of the shelter coverage on a part-time basis. At this point, I think we've been able to increase that to at least two tours of 16 hours, and our primary goal is to ensure that clients are safe within those facilities on a regular basis.

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Have you seen a
3	reduction in crime since you started this in May of
1	last vear?

2.2

2.3

CHIEF THOMPSON: I can't give you a specific number regarding that. One of the issues with DHS was they were a paper-based system. What we have been able to do is implement our computer system, digitize all of their forms. Now that we have records that are computerized, we can establish baselines, and then go back and track to see where we are.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And this also applies to the commercial hotels that the Department is phasing out. So you're also overseeing security in those locations as well, right?

CHIEF THOMPSON: Yes. Private security operates within the hotel system, and we oversee and manage that private security.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And while we're there, since May, are you looking at any staffing issues that DHS may encounter in making recommendations? So are you looking at this monthly and quarterly determining more Peace Officers are needed, more security cameras? How does that work,

3

4

5

J

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

because I imagine in any of those recommendations or analysis, there would be a cost associated with that.

CHIEF THOMPSON: Yes, recommend-- we look at deployment on a daily basis.

CHIEF THOMPSON: So we look at the

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

incidents as we can. We're doing stick [sic] counts obviously because they're not computerized, although we do have some computerized records now, and we make those determination son a daily basis. I meet with Commissioner Banks regularly, and we try to deploy-we don't try, we deploy according to those demands and needs. So as we see incidents increase, we try to drill down to understand exactly why some of those incidents are occurring. Obviously, we're concerned about some of our larger facilities where we have most men like 30<sup>th</sup> Street which has 851 clients, as well as Bedford Atlantic, but yes, we-- every day we make decisions regarding deployment. We change deployment. We increase deployment. recently increased to outside patrolling within the confines of the shelter to address those conditions as best we can, but we look at deployment regularly and on a daily basis.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, thank you,

Chief. I have a question about the NCO Officers and the continuous expansion we're having. Commissioner, you talked a little bit about the effort to do an analysis and an evaluation of the NCO program. you expand a little bit on the details of the indicators that we're looking at, the performance measurements? So, obviously, we know the crime data in those particular commands where we have the NCO, but we're looking now to involve community residents, members of faith-based organizations, business leaders, etcetera. How are we going to with this survey assess the success of the NCO program and some of the best practices as we continue to expand?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Obviously, as crime is the number one driver here in the Neighborhood Policing Program --

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Right.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: So much work has been done over the last decades. Chief Monahan will explain what we're doing moving forward with Neighborhood Policing.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHIEF MONAHAN: Good afternoon, Chief 3 Terry Monahan, Chief of Patrol. Currently, we have 39 commands out of our 77 precinct commands that 4 5 Neighborhood Policing is up and running in, including all nine of our PSAs. In April we're going to expand 6 to four more, April 24<sup>th</sup>. We'll be starting in the 7 25 precinct, the 76, the 94, and the 123. In July we 8 will expand to four more precincts. We're going to be going out to the 63, the 83, the 106, and the 115, 10 11 and we're looking to keep on adding four more commands every quarter as we move forward until we 12 13 can get the whole city underneath the whole 14 philosophy of Neighborhood Policing.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Can you talk a little bit about the community survey?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Sure, I think what would be best is if we actually gave you-- we exposed you to the system itself and maybe came over and gave you a demonstration.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: I think that would work best.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: That would be great.}$  We could do that.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: It's based-- and this is not the only survey we'll be doing, but this will be a real-time survey done on an app on people's cell phones, and we'll get the people-- the outside contractors come up and give the Council a demonstration. I think that'd be very informative.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, great. We recently made an announcement on Healing NYC, a new effort the administration is embarking on to address opioid use and abuse and overdoses that we continuously have in this city. Can you talk a little bit about the Department's plan to equip and train patrol officers with naloxone? I do know it's a part of crisis intervention training that all the new officers are getting, but can you speak to the existing patrol officers that did not necessarily get some form of CIT training that will now get these naloxone kits?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Right now we have 13,000 kits out there, 17,000 people trained, and working in conjunction with DOH we're looking to get 23,000 out there.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And what does that look like in terms of training? So, do the

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

I'm sorry, Health & Hospitals Corporation.

24

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: They are going to give-- I think the lead time is about four weeks, and at the point at which those come in, they will be delivered to the new police academy, excuse me, out in College Point for distribution from there to the boroughs.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, and to deal with the trafficking of opioids in the city, you also are looking to employ additional civilian staff like investigators and lab personnel. Could you explain that a little?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: I'll get Chief Boyce to explain our overall plan.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

CHIEF BOYCE: Giving it a few minutes, I think I explained what we're up against and the problem what we're seeing right now.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Sure.

CHIEF BOYCE: In 2017, opioid deaths have risen 29 percent year-to-date, 162 to 126. Total opioids deaths have doubled the murder rate and the vehicle collision deaths in 2016. Roughly 1,200 overdose deaths versus 335 murders, plus 244 vision [sic] collision deaths. This continued rise in

2 opiate deaths is due to the availability, the 3 increasing purity and the decreasing wholesale price. This means to ingest opioids, you no longer have to 4 do it intravenously, but a simple taking of a bill, cutting a fentanyl patch or snorting a mixture. 6 7 market has also evolved from prescription-base to 8 street-level dealing as the demand has grown. clientele does not discriminate on socioeconomic status and opioids span a variety of neighborhoods 10 11 throughout the city. To combat this phenomenon, the 12 NYPD has implemented a precision-guided response with 13 the creation of the heroin, opioid and fentanyl 14 investigative teams. We're going to call them HOT 15 This will allow the NYPD effectively, more effectively, investigate, track and identify opioid 16 17 usage patterns. The teams will work along with the District Attorney's Office, Special Narcotics Court 18 19 and the federal prosecutors to incarcerate dealers 20 and their associates. These teams will work between 0800 hours and 0100 hours. When they are not 21 2.2 working, responses to all overdose, either deaths or 2.3 saves by Narcan will be done by the night watch from 01 to 0800 hours. The Criminal Enterprise Division, 24 which is our advanced investigative teams will 25

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

investigate interdiction. They will provide tracking for all these incidents so we can better manage this. Working as one team, they will task to identify the source of the opioid, the purity, the product, the dealers, and distribution networks throughout the City. They will gather intelligence, identify stamps and utilize full capacities of the forensic investigation team, which we will also be amping [sic] up as well, more criminalists to treat and track these homicides -- I'm sorry, these overdoses. Basically, we want to see how much is mixed with fentanyl as well as heroin. These teams will emphasize interviewing surviving victims as well as the family members of friends and family of those lost to opioids, heroin and fentanyl. The survivors and the families will become partners with us to further assist the investigation to identify the source of the opioid-based products. Through interagency partnerships the investigators will be able to avail rehabilitation facility information, counseling services to individual and the family and friends of the affected. The designated team liaison officer per borough will be charged to work alongside Community Affairs Officers and the Neighborhood

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

Coordination Offices to disseminate information and provide details to engage the public and obtain intelligence. The outreach by these units will ensure that resources and social programs will be made known to their communities. They will provide information on naloxone, rehab centers and identifying at-risk persons going through crisis. Heroin, opioid and fentanyl teams will be working alongside designated ADAs throughout the City in their respective boroughs as well as federal partners as earlier stated with SNC. The Criminal Enterprise Division will be expanded by 20 officers; 15 will go to the OSED [sic] Teams and five will go to DETF. those 20 will work specific interdiction on transportation into the City. We have seen an increase in heroin coming out of Mexico into the United States by two of the cartels there. We will work with our federal partners to interdict that.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

CHIEF BOYCE: So, it's--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] So--

CHIEF BOYCE: [interposing] I'll finish

24 | up right now.

25 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

CHIEF BOYCE: We have a total of 64 going
throughout the City to invest-- to narcotic boroughs,
and I'll give you that now. Five each will go to

Manhattan North and Manhattan South. The Bronx will
get 13. Nine will go to Brooklyn North, and nine
will go to Brooklyn South. Two to Queens South
because we don't see a lot of overdoses in Queens

South. Queens North will get eight, and Staten

10 Island will get 13.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

CHIEF BOYCE: We will put supervisors, five lieutenants and eight sergeants to manage those officers.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So you already have the staff that you need for these particular units and roll-out teams?

CHIEF BOYCE: Well, we're doing that now.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

CHIEF BOYCE: We're selecting those officers as we speak.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, just trying to ensure that if there is a new need to let us know so that we can work with you on that.

fulfilled that 2,000 additional officers on the

\_

3

4

5

6

/

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

street, civilianization is extremely important to us and to you. So I wanted to find out if there's a hiring update on those 415 positions. When do you anticipate the hiring process to be complete, and any challenges or barriers that you're facing as we speak? Civilianization?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: Yes. So. yes, there are in total 615 positions that were Two hundred were two years ago, 415 last funded. year. The most recent update that we have is of the 615 we've hired approximately 400, 393. We have 201 positions remaining to be filled. The main issue in terms of the timing on filling thee came from recruitment issues with crime analysts. ultimately were trying to work within the civil service system to identify people for the crime analyst position. The first round of recruitment we were finding candidates that simply were not qualified. So we appealed to DCAS, worked successfully with them over a number of months to get the salary range for the appropriate civil service title raise which is now allowing us to attract more qualified candidates. So, that issue is just resolved in the last two weeks, and we have now begun

2	aggressively recruiting on those positions. So, of
3	the 200 remaining civilianizable civilianization
4	vacancies that have not been filled, 96 of those are
5	the crime analysts. The other two positions, the
6	Evidence Property Control Specialist and the Auto
7	Service workers, we were having issues in terms of
8	the time to clear background check requirements. So
9	working with the personnel bureau, we have expedited
10	some of those background checks, and we're seeing a
11	greater success in terms of moving on those
12	positions. So we anticipate that by the end of this
13	fiscal year we will have completed the recruitment
14	for the Evidence Property Control Specialist as well
15	as the Auto Service workers, and we should have all
16	of the Crime Analysts identified in going through the
17	hiring process at that point.
18	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, great. And
19	where does those uniform police officers that are
20	deployed back to patrol, where do they go?
21	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Back to
22	Neighborhood Policing.
23	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, so do they go
24	back to the precinct in which they're currently

working in, or?

Well,

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

	COMMISSIONER	O'NEILL:	Yes.

2.2

2.3

3 actually we have to take a look and analyze it.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: And if that's where they're needed, that's where we'll put them.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Okay. And in our overall savings plan we save 5.1 million for the reduction of the 150 vacant civilian positions. How does that factor into the civilianization that we're working on? So those positions that were vacant, do you know how long they were vacant, and who's doing the work of those positions?

answer is they are not impacting civilianization efforts. It's simply looking at the Department's vacancy rate in these civilian non-safety positions, holding in reserve the civilianization positions that the Mayor and the City Council provided in the last two budget cycles. We still had a number of approximately 400 civilian non-safety vacancies, and what the Mayor was looking for from the Police Department was a savings plan. Ultimately, as we've explained many times in front of the City Council and as we explained again in testimony, our budget is

structured in a way that taking non-personnel cuts is very difficult because it is such a small percentage of our overall budget. So, ultimately, in order for us to find savings without impacting the uniformed headcount and without impacting an OTPS budget that's already very strained. The only place to go is civilian vacancies, and so ultimately we gave up those positions. It's virtually impossible for me to tell you how long those individual positions have been vacant, because we have attrition rates.

They're essentially administrative civilian positions that have been— that are scattered throughout the Department, but they are not the positions that we had targeted for civilianization efforts.

I wanted to make sure we clarify, that those positions did not affect civilianization while we're focusing on the civilianized hiring. Okay, great.

Let me get to my colleagues who have questions, and I just want to remind all my colleagues, we're going to have a six-minute clock so that we can allow every member to ask questions. We will begin with Council Member Robert Cornegy followed by Council Member Rory Lancman. Thank you, colleagues.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Thank you, Madam Thank you, Commissioner and staff, for being here today. I have-- there's a joke that usually when a Council Member says he has two questions he usually have 15 subsets of each question. I actually have two questions, one of which I will actually-you so graciously agreed to meet on the hate crimes with the BLAC at another time, so I won't waste this body's time on those questions. I'll reserve those for when we actually set the meeting. But I wanted to talk to you about the body cam program. Now, I'm acutely aware that we share very similar outcomes, goals and outcomes for the body cam program, but the Black, Latino and Asian Caucus which I co-chair has had some misgivings about the vendor chosen and whether or not a field test was done. We found that the vendor that was chosen had faulty equipment and had failed on several occasions in other states, and we're concerned that we wouldn't reach the same outcomes that we both want to reach which is safety and training. We viewed it, and I'm sure you do as well, as a very adequate training mechanism if it's used well, the body cams. I just wanted to know what your thoughts were on that and where we could

4

\_

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

2425

possibly go from here to get to some resolution that produces the correct outcome of that program.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: So, the vetting process for Body One Cameras was comprehensive. So, can we do two things? We'll have first have Ben Tucker talk about the program overall, and then Jess Tish can talk about the camera specifically.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Tucker, First Deputy Commissioner. So, you remember what we were trying to do and this was driven by the Federal Monitor with respect to the Stop and Frisk issues. so we are as pursuant to all the discussions we've had with the Monitor, his people, the plaintiff's counsel, and our internal discussions as well as a whole plethora of outreach that we've done at the beginning of the process, and Jessi can talk more about that as it relates to the vendor side, but on the outreach side for purposes of understanding just what we needed and what we could learn from other Police Departments, from the Justice Department, from Police Executive Research Forum, and a whole host of others that were involved in starting up the use of body cameras before us, so we gathered all that information and we used that to help us

2.2

2.3

24

25

develop specific policies, again, and that was a very comprehensive process. We also did some outreach as you may know to do a questionnaire, a survey, to not only our police officers but also to the public at large and we received I think 30,000-- between 25 and 30,000 responses. We can talk more specifically about those, but we used the information we receive from the 30,000-- the 25,000 responses from the public as well as the 5,000 police officers who responded to the questionnaires that we asked. were very comprehensive and we used those suggestions and comments that they provided and considered those as part of the overall development of the policy and also our practices in terms a whole host of very specific issues related to when the body cameras are on and off and so forth. So, but now fast forward to where we are right now just to give you a sense of our movement in the direction for implementation. So, beginning in April, April 24<sup>th</sup>, we are about to commence the roll-out of the Thousand Camera pilot that we are implementing as a result of our conversations with the Federal Monitor. We've selected a whole host of 20 precincts and a research design model that allows us to select 20 precincts

1 2 that will-- where we deploy the cameras and another 3 20 precincts that won't get the cameras. So we have 4 some capacity to really understand a whole host of--5 gather a whole host of information with respect to how effective the cameras are, whether our 6 7 assumptions are good or bad, but we'll-- for a year 8 we'll test this strategy in 20 precincts. We will begin with the 34 precinct in Manhattan Uptown on the 24<sup>th</sup>, and we'll roll out each for the next several 10 11 months precinct by precinct by precinct in the 20 commands, and I can tell you what those are. So, for 12 example, we'll start with the 34, but then we'll go 13 to-- and not necessarily in this order-- the Midtown 14 North, the 13<sup>th</sup> precinct, the 30, the 25, the 42, the 15 16 43, 44, 47, 48, 60, 63, 67, 71, 72, 79, 102, 105, 115, and 121. So, those are the plans. We'll be 17 18 deploying the cameras. Those cameras will be deployed 19 to all the officers working, the third platoon in 20 those commands in each of those commands as we go forward. So it'll take a pretty good time to roll it 21 out, but we'll do it precinct by precinct. 2.2 2.3 Ultimately we expect to have just over a thousand cameras, maybe somewhere in the area of 1,200 24

ultimately and so forth. That's phase one of the

2 roll out. Again, as I said, there'll be this be-- as 3 part of this randomized controlled experiment, we 4 want to evaluate the impact of the cameras once we have them rolled out, and we'll do that throughout the first year. Ultimately, what we want to do in 6 phase two starting in fall of this year, we will roll 7 8 out cameras separate and apart from the pilot. want to-- we believe the efficacy of using cameras is such that we don't want to wait until we have just 10 11 the pilot conducted. We will begin to think about 12 rolling cameras out in precincts that are not part of

evaluation.

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: So, I guess my question is, and I don't know if I misheard what you're saying, there's a capacity within the contract during the time of the roll out or right after the roll out to make necessary changes to the provider?

So, my concern is this. After the research that we

the experiment also in the PSAs in the transit

district also that are not part of the monitor's

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TUCKER: [interposing] Yeah.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

did--

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: My concern is that, you know, I-- all things considered, I think NYPD is the best and most effective Police Department in the country. My question is why wouldn't we provide them with the best equipment to match their capacity? So, I think in lieu of not having a field test done, how do we know that the equipment is going to provide with the-- give us the best outcomes that we want which is training, which is safety, which is the ability to mitigate any murky circumstances between police and citizen interaction, which is what we all want?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Well, I mean, I think we have—— I think we have the best equipment. We believe we do. But let me ask Commissioner Tisch to give you the specifics and the details about the process and also about why my response is that we have the best equipment, why that is.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TISCH: Thank you. So, our--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] State your name.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TISCH: Sorry. My name is Jessica Tisch. I'm Deputy Commissioner of

2.2

2.3

ultimately went with.

Information Technology. Just to give you the short version of this contract and how we came to this vendor and how we're moving forward. We don't get to pick, you know, based on the City's procurement rules, I want to do a contract with this vendor. So, we-- there are a number of rules that we follow where we competitively bid the body cameras out, and we had a very large evaluation committee made up of members throughout different bureaus in the Department, and the members evaluation committee had to review 50-plus bids that came in based on two factors, the technical competence, I would say, of the products, and the price. So, at the end of the day it came down to two vendors, Taser and VIEVU, the one we

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Let the record show I never mentioned the names of the [inaudible].

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TISCH: I don't think it's a secret. It came down to two vendors, and based on those two factors and based on the procurement rules of the City of New York, we went with VIEVU which got very good technical score from our evaluation committee. That's not to say that we won't continue to re-evaluate. I mean, at the NYPD

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 240
2	we agree with you. We believe we need and we should
3	get the best for our officers. So you have our
4	commitment. You have my commitment that I am
5	confident that the body camera program will roll out,
6	but if we have to change course, we will, but I don't
7	expect that based on what I've seen myself firsthand
8	at other law enforcement agencies who have used the
9	same vendor.
10	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: So, yeah, my
12	intent was not to nail you to the wall on this. I
13	want to make sure or I don't want to make sure I'm
14	not making any mistakes in terms of so they're as
15	part of the assessment
16	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Council
17	Member, sorry, we have to
18	COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: [interposing]
19	There was not a field alright, alright.
20	I'll come back if possible.
21	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, thank you.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: we can talk about

that when we meet.

3

4

5

6

1

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you, Council Member Cornegy. Next we will have Council Member Lancman followed by Council Member Rodriguez.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you.

afternoon. So, I'm going to start the same I started with Ms. Glazer who testified beforehand, and speak frankly. I think there's a little too much patting on the back going on in this Administration when it comes to public safety and the state of criminal justice in the City, and Commissioner, I have to be honest with you. Your reference to, your phrase, "2016 was an absolute banner year for us." Listen, there are many successes that the NYPD can be proud of, but the reality is this, of the seven index crimes that make up our evaluation of whether crime is up or crime is down, murder compared 2016 compared to 2013 was flat; 335 in 2013, 335 in 2016. Rape was up 3.6 percent. In 43 precincts in the City rape was up or stayed the same since 2013. Felony assault, another index crime, was up 5.3 percent. In 40 precincts in the City felony assaults were up or stayed the same since 2013. Just to go back to murder which was flat citywide, in 34 precincts murder was actually up in 2016 versus 2013. And

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

somehow despite all of that, the officers are still spending a tremendous amount of time handing out criminal summonses for low-level quality of life crimes, offenses, rather than in my view the NYPD putting its time and resources towards these more serious crimes. There were 267,000 criminal summonses issued citywide last year, and if you exclude Central Park, almost 60 percent were issued in majority minority neighborhoods. That is the context that I bring to this oversight and this budget hearing. Let me ask you specifically about something that came up in the last two months, particularly with the election of Donald Trump and his Executive Orders and his focus on immigrants. Deputy Commissioner for Legal Matters Larry Byrne is quoted as saying, "Nobody is getting deported for jumping a turnstile." Now, approximately 30,000 people last year were arrested and charged with the penal law misdemeanor of theft of services, jumping a turnstile. Now, you're the Commissioner, you set the policy for the Department. Do you understand that getting convicted for a penal law misdemeanor theft of services can make someone subject to deportation, even a legal immigrant?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: There is a threshold for actually getting arrested in lieu of a TAB summons for theft of services for beating the

fare. So, if you're getting arrested for theft of

6 services, that means you've done it-- there's a

number of circumstances, but one of them is that

you've done it numerous times.

you understand that if you have decided to arrest someone, charge them with the misdemeanor, that doing so potentially subjects someone to deportation.

That's a separate question from when should we sent someone to the Transit Adjudication Bureau, and when should we charge them with a misdemeanor, but can we just have a basic understanding that when someone is charged with a misdemeanor, theft of services in particular—

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] If they are arrested, yes. They get--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing] that that is something that can subject to--

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing] fingerprinted [sic].

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: deportation.

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 244
2	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Yes.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay. In light
4	of that
5	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] So,
6	can I just back you up a little bit?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Certainly.
8	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: As far as 2016?
9	I've been in this business a long time, almost 34 and
10	a half years, and to come in at 998 shootings is a
11	banner year. I didn't say for the NYPD. I said for
12	New York City.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing] So-
14	_
15	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] That
16	is I think it's 102 less people shot.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: As I said, there
18	are many things about which the NYPD should be proud
19	of and our City should be proud of, but the reality
20	is for three of the subject three of the most
21	serious index crimes that make up our evaluation of
22	whether or not crime is going down or not doing down
23	two of them are up and one was flat. But let's I
24	want to still focus on immigration in the time that I

have. In light of the fact that the Trump

sense of urgency about changing the Department's policies about who gets the criminal charge and who gets the civil summons when it comes to fare evasion

2.3

24

and about expediting and getting these guidelines out before the June 13<sup>th</sup> deadline so that we can ensure that nobody is put through the criminal justice system who shouldn't be, and we can keep them out of Trump's ICE hands?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: So, I also had the-- I was fortunate to be a Transit Police Officer before all three Police Departments merged in 1995, and as a result of fare evasion enforcement in the early 90's, we see where crime is in the subway today, because usually six [inaudible] six index crimes day, six million riders a day. So I don't know if you're suggesting we don't do fare evasion enforcement, I don't know if that would work.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

expired, but I'm certainly not suggesting that people not be held accountable for evading a fare, the question is whether or not they're going to go through the existing civil process and keep them as far away from ICE as possible.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: We are constantly} \\ \mbox{looking at what defines a recidivist.}$ 

a park or take the train, how safe they are. And I

know that you're doing a great job, and I

24

2 congratulate all of you. Also I would like to 3 congratulate the men and women of the NYPD, that having going through a process of being trained and 4 5 retrained in the last couple of years under the leadership of -- your leadership and Mayor de Blasio. 6 I know that it's not a easy thing for individual, we 8 as a human being, to leave the comfort area and to deal with a new policy that we as a city from the City Council to the Mayor and the leadership have 10 11 been able to produce important reforms in our city. 12 But for me, I'm very happy to see how crimes have 13 been going down. I'm happy to see how last night I 14 had a town hall meeting on public safety in my 15 district, and difference from the 80's when all the concern would be that they were shooting going on and 16 17 that they were-- we have more than 104 homicide in 18 the 80's and the 90's. last night town hall meeting 19 was about quality of life issues, issues that we 20 still have to continue addressing, but it was not 21 about there was a shooting in this block, there was 2.2 shooting on other blocks. It was more about noise. 2.3 It was about how can we continue addressing those issues again that they are important but are 24 different. And I also saw last night as someone that 25

2	had a bad experience interact with NYPD in their
	_
3	years in the 80's, being stopped and arrested at
4	181 <sup>st</sup> [sic] because I was exercising my first
5	amendment, to now being conducting a town hall
6	meeting and also seeing a town hall meeting is that
7	is not based on accusation or many cases or excessive
8	use of forces, and people going after the NYPD or the
9	NYPD no different approach to the community. I
10	believe that we are in a new time where no doubt that
11	especially the leadership of the Mayor, because
12	everything has started from the top, and you guys,
13	you know, from your role, I think that you are really
14	doing a great job when it comes to going down and
15	connecting with the community that we serve, earning
16	their respect. I never send a student to the
17	principal office in the 13 years that I taught in the
18	classroom. I can tell you that I was walking around
19	and one of the Transit Police Officers, Alexandra
20	Nunez [sp?], who was walking about here is one of
21	those thousand student that because of the respect
22	that I gave to them, I know that I have earned that
23	respect back, and that's what I have seen today in
24	our streets. I got to see I have seen a higher
25	level of communication and interaction between all of

us together and while make our city safer. I have one
area what I believe this area where we have work to
do, and that's about diversity in the leadership of
the NYPD. I think that in the 1900 census the
population of this city was completely different from
the population of 2017, and when we look at today's
population and see that, you know, [inaudible]
Dominicans, 747,473; Puerto Ricans, 719,444; African-
American closer to million. It's the black, Asian
and Latino make more than 50 percent of the New York
City population. I know that I know you're
committed, and I know that the Mayor is working to
create the pipeline, but when I look at the hierarchy
of the NYPD there's no diversity there. That's you,
but those numbers there in the high leadership, they
don't represent. You know, when President Bush won
the election, he stole the election from Al Gore, I
went to 26 Federal [sic] Plaza. I said, "Republican
stole election." But I had different experience later
on, and I said this isn't about one party stealing
election from the other. This is a struggle for
power. When I go out and say Donald Trump has
created cabinet like mainly male and white, then I go
back to our city, I say, "What's going on in the top

2.3

positions?" I know that the desire and commitment is there, but I hope that we can leave us a legacy, a NYPD that should be more diverse when it come to the top leadership that we have in the institution.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Commissioner

Tucker is going to speak about the levels of diversity within the Department, but as you know in many conversations that we've had, my commitment to this is truly one that this is I know where we need to go. We need to have not only a Police Department that reflects the diversity of the City. We also need leadership that reflects the diversity of the City, and if you can look up at your own district, you know who the precinct commanders are, DI Mundo [sp?], who is—and then DI Ramblas [sp?] who is going to be promoted to Full Inspector tomorrow. So, I'll let—I'm fully committed to this, but Commissioner Tucker will talk about the levels of diversity within the Department right now.

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TUCKER: So,

let me just talk generally in terms of what the

demographics of the Department look like between 2002

through January 2017. The department at that point

was 49.8 percent white, that's male and female, 15.6

percent black, 28.4 percent Hispanic, 8.3 percent

3 Asian, and 0.1 percent or 0.5 percent of other. The

4 chart that I have that are more current in terms of

5 uniform breakdown, 77.4 percent white, 8.3 percent

6 black, 10.9 percent Hispanic, 3.3 percent Asian.

7 What I want to show you as well is where we are with

8 respect to the ranks, what they look like in terms of

9 the promotions, discretionary promotions in

10 particular, but let me also preface that by saying

11 | that the Department overall is majority minority when

12 | you look at it. That is a very different picture

13 today, than--

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

14 COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: [interposing]

15 This is about leadership.

I'll get to the leadership piece as well, because I want to walk you through what that looks like as of just in comparing promotional path, for example. You and I have talked about that with respect to discretionary ranks. With respect to the people who take the exam, those who take the entry-level exam to come in as police officers and then go up through the

ranks through Sergeant, Lieutenant and Captain.

think we've said, and I've said when I appeared

FIRST DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TUCKER:

2 before the committee to talk about recruitment a 3 month or so ago, I spent a fair amount of time 4 talking about our recruitment efforts, the new re-5 arrangement around our Candidate Assessment Division, and the fact that our outreach was pretty broad with 6 7 the new campaign both, you know, in terms of media, but also specifically in terms of face-to-face 8 recruitment by our Recruitment Division. continue to focus on the need for recognizing the 10 11 importance of diversity. So we're moving in that 12 direction. But let me just shift a moment to 13 promotional path. So, as we go up, I'll start with 14 detectives because I think that's come up before. 15 So, when we talk about detective, in 2014, for 16 example, there were 700-- and just looking at just blacks, Hispanics and Asian on occasion, 700 in 2014, 17 18 757 black detectives. This is 579 were male, 178 19 were females. We move over to 2014. That number 20 jumped to 784, and then if you look at it in 2016 we 21 were at 789. If you look at the same group for Hispanics it was 1,246 male and female in 2015, 1,312 2.2 2.3 and then for 2016 we ended at 1,331. If we look at deputy inspectors, you know, we see some increase 24 there. Blacks, eight males, three females in 2014; 25

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Hispanics, 21 males and one female. Moving to 2015 blacks were seven males and four females. So it's an increase. And then the Hispanics were 18 and then two-- 18 males, two females. And by 2016 the numbers started to in some cases remain the same as it relates to male blacks, but as it relates to females it was an increase up to five, and in terms of Hispanic males, 19 and a net gain of two females to make it four. And then we had no -- if we look at 2014, no assistant chiefs at all in either in the black column and two in the Hispanic column, two males. That went up to at least one in each category, male and female in 2015, and doubled in 2016. Of course all of that is as the higher you go up the numbers get thinner and leaner, but I think it all is-- the bottom line is what the diversity looks like starts at the bottom, and in order for our people to increase the ranks of minorities at the highest levels, we are encouraging folks they have to being somewhere. They have to take the sergeant's So, our goal is to encourage people to come into the Department through our recruitment pipeline that involves explorers, cadets and so forth and takes us into police officer in hopes that those

\_

)

individuals, and we encourage everyone to take the promotional exams, would move up through the ranks. So, I, you know, we hear you. I hear what you're saying, and the numbers are not perfect, but they do seem based on some of the data I just provided to suggest that there are some increases taking place, but we definitely do pay attention to diversity and, you know, there's no magic number but we do follow that closely and try to ensure that where we can promote people, not just on merit but also where we can to make sure that we're aware of the issue around increases in minorities.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: And just as a final thought, every time before we have promotions, Commissioner Tucker and Chief Gomez sit down, we all sit down at length and discuss this very issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,
Council Member Rodriguez. Next we have Council
Member Williams followed by Council Member Deutsch.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you,

Madam Chair. Council Member Lancman, I have to look

but I thought it was a Barron that was asking those

questions. I have to look up really quick. Thank

2	you, Commissioner and everyone on the panel, for your
3	time here. I do want to say just at the outset I
4	honestly believe this Administration and under your
5	leadership, we are in a different place absolutely
6	than we were even a few years ago. I think there's a
7	lot of progress. I think there's a lot going on the
8	Department isn't getting credit for even that is good
9	and positive. Sometimes it makes me feel
10	uncomfortable saying that, because it sort of
11	justifies the sort of history that we have to had to
12	have move forward in the first place, and I don't
13	want to give that any justification at all, but I do
14	want to acknowledge that there is changes happened.
15	The two areas that I think have not changed is
16	transparency where we've gone completely backwards
17	and accountability where there's been no change at
18	all, and I think when we can fix some of those, then
19	some of the credit that's not being given will be
20	given. So hopefully those are areas we can do a lot.
21	With the NCO programs, I think they're great. It's
22	not community policing. It's not true community
23	policing, but it is good programs. What we're doing
24	with the Crisis Management system I think is great.
25	I think one of the things we've done is begin to

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 257
2	change the conversation about what public safety is
3	and what it isn't, and that public safety doesn't
4	have to just lie in the domain of the Police
5	Department, and the police can have additional tool
6	besides arrests and summonses, although we have to
7	work on that. Really quickly, I know on page three
8	you mentioned Queensbridge as part of the success. I
9	do want to shout out Council Member Van Bramer and my
10	brother from another, Kay Bane [sic] who actually
11	runs the 696 [sic] program. Then you went on to say
12	we know that our successes don't happen by accident.
13	They're all a direct result of the dedication of our
14	cops, the skill, which is all true. I want to make
15	sure they get the credit, but that one in particular
16	I want to make sure we give the credit also to the
17	Crisis Management System because there's a direct
18	link to when the 696 program came and the zero
19	shootings. So I will just assume it was an
20	oversight, but I do want to make sure we point out
21	that the other message that we're using are having a
22	direct effect particularly on shootings across this
23	city.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: I apologize for that oversight. I know how important they are.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you.

Appreciate it. I do have a few questions I want to

try to get through in the time that I have. Timothy Kaufman, I know we're going to have a meeting soon, but I was a little dismayed at the reaction not just NYPD, also NYPD, the Administration, the newspapers. The response to that I think was not respectful of the community of the man that was killed. Generally, when I see something like this there's a press conference that comes out that tries to sway the community of what's going on and what's happening. So, I had a question as why that didn't happen when Timothy Kaufman was killed. I appreciate the work that went into arrest the man and the work that's being done to try him, but it seems like there wasn't a response saying this happened and this is what we're doing to prevent it from happening again. The community was left to try to figure out for itself, and I just want to know what happened there.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: There's a tremendous amount of work being done on that case from the instant it happened. It wasn't a day or two later. Chief Boyce's people in Manhattan South and Chief Bill Aubury [sp?] took that case as seriously

3

4

5

6

7

8

J

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

as they take any other homicide in New York City. So there was a tremendous amount of work, and as far as an overall threat, this is something that we do every day. We take a look at the threat stream as it comes in, and we're still in the process of doing backgrounds on the person that committed that brutal, brutal murder.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I appreciate that. I would say I believe there was a lot work done. It doesn't answer the question of why that wasn't relayed to the public, why that wasn't relayed to the black community after someone came up from Maryland with the express purpose of killing a black man and wanted to incite something further. was my-- and it was seen from the entire Administration for days there just wasn't a comment on that, and so I just want to point that out, and I'm hoping to hear what's being done. I didn't hear anything black churches, black institutions, or even to say we know that this person had connection to white supremacist terrorist groups, we don't feel that there's going to be anything else. Nothing like that. There was no kind of I think comment to make people feel better.

usually we'd be back two, three, four years to do the

same thing. That's oen question. I'm really trying

to understand what happens after take-down, what

there's an issue with mental health. I had a very

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

question?

good community officer, Michael Smith, committed suicide in the 70<sup>th</sup> precinct. Always-- every interaction was filled with CPR. So, I was very sad. My understanding is one of the problems is if they seek attention, psychiatric attention, there's no confidentiality. It has to be reported to the police, and that might be preventing people from moving forward. I wanted to see if there was something we could work on when it comes to that. 15 last question has to do with foundations. I wanted to know how much money the foundation was given to 16 17 Police Department for various duties. 18 COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: I'm sorry, the last

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: The foundations, I know there's a big pot of funding that is given to the NYPD from foundations and private sources. I wanted to know if we can get a handle on how much that is. Right before you answer that, I do want to make two points because my time is going to

2	be up. With the low-level offenses, when it comes to
3	Broken Windows and what have you, it's a lot of
4	school of thought. I think it's being misapplied. I
5	just want to associate myself with many things
6	Lancman was saying, and we have to be honest.
7	Although here they might not be deported, if they go
8	out of New York City and they have those records for
9	things that were arrested here, even though we're not
LO	doing it, they can still get deported and we have to
L1	be honest with them when we're saying that. And
L2	lastly, with the diversity, I just want to make
L3	clear, the last time we had a conversation with
L4	diversity, even within the Department diversity
L5	doesn't reflect going up, and hopefully we're
L6	figuring out why people aren't taking the test or
L7	failing the test. But those last two are just
L8	comments, and the other questions hopefully
L9	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Okay.
20	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Alright, just to
21	respond to one of your comments, I speak to all the
22	fraternal organizations and I talk about advancing
23	through the Department. That's one of the main
2.4	issues when I go down and speak to them. So, this is

something that we're working on, and it's important

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that they -- that everybody within the NYPD takes the test. Just post take-down, we have the post takedown debriefing that we do after to tell the community exactly what transpired and why we did what we did, and then with the 67 is a neighborhood policing command, and I think that's where the NCO's play a very vital role in letting the-- in making sure we work with other agencies to see that other people don't step up and fill the void. I think we're in a good place on that. And mental health within the Department, we do have an extensive program called Are You Okay? None of that has to be done within the Department. I've been a police officers for a long time. Unfortunately, I've seen many people that I know have committed suicide, so this is something again that I'm truly committed to. Police Foundation, we could talk about that. We do get money from them to fill the gaps. I think it's important that organization exists. They pay for our liaison program, our foreign liaison program. I was just down in Santa Domingo visiting our foreign liaison, and we signed a Memorandum of Understanding with the Dominican National Police. These posts play a very vital role in continuing to keep this great

but for many of us we understand the impact on

families, loved ones, siblings, and friends, and the

24

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

likelihood that there could be a potential hierarchy of individuals that will rise up now with the large and mass take-downs. But job fairs and career fairs, and we've done that in the Bronx, because we recognize that with major arrests and individuals that are charged with serious crimes, the fact that we have to go in and invest in resources, and there's nothing better than a resource like a job. And so I know that Edna's unit is doing that. I've met with her several times about it, and I think it's a good approach, and certainly even beyond the briefing, looking at some of those factors and root causes in those communities of why young people are engaging in violence, but not just answering with arrest, but saying that we're going to put jobs and community centers and PALs and other social services where we can address the needs of those children and families Just wanted to say that. in those areas. we'll have Council Member Deutsch followed by Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you, Madam
Chair. Good afternoon, Commissioner. First of all, I
just want to extend my condolences to the Smith
family and to the entire NYPD family. I've known

2	Officer Smith since when he first became a cop. So,
3	it's a shame that that happened, how it happened, and
4	I agree with Jumaane Williams that a little more
5	mental health for the NYPD officers. That's one of
6	the things we do agree on sometimes. But anyway,
7	there's not much I can complain about because I do
8	I still enjoy one of the highest reductions in crime
9	in the entire city, in the three precincts in my
10	jurisdiction which is the 60, the 61 and the 70 under
11	Deputy Inspector Polumbo [sp?] and the 61 under
12	Deputy Inspector Faison, and the 60 under Deputy
13	Inspector Taylor. And I also want to thank you,
14	Commissioner, for being very responsive and
15	accessible. I don't have to tell you what you did a
16	few weeks ago, but I just want to know everyone knows
17	that you did come out to my Muslim community in my
18	district and Jewish community and you really had a
19	great impact on the entire district, and my district
20	does represent what New York City is all about, and
21	we all are one family district, and I want to thank
22	you for coming out and addressing the community.
23	Just one question that I have about training. I am
24	involved with the children of autism, and I just want
25	to know how the NYPD is trained when they when

someone calls 911 about a autistic child or adult or if 911 responds to a home that has someone who has autism in the house, what kind of training the officers have to identify and to deal with when they walk inside. That was the first question.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Deputy

Commissioner Keessee, Deputy Commissioner of Training

is going to speak about that.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KEESEE: Good afternoon, Tracie Keesee, Deputy Commissioner of Training. Our officers start with autism awareness when they are recruits in the Academy, and we also do ongoing follow up. When we-- we have NYPDU, so we are doing a lot blended learning through our technology. So we have the ability to send out updates and reminders about what we should be-- how we should be engaging kids and how we should be engaging parents. So we take that, you know, pretty seriously. Plus, we do have within the Academy parents of autistic children who also do seminars for us as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: If an officer respond to a house where there's autistic child, what

is the officer-- what is the procedure? I mean, how does the officer identify that the person has autism, or if an autistic child locks him or herself into a bathroom, what kind of training does the officer have to actually try to convince?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KEESEE: Well,
through the training, and part of that is also
through our CIT training as well, they will talk to
the parents and they will talk with the children. So
they will go through a procedure of trying to
identify what issues are happening, and then they
will ask for the additional resources that they need
to make sure that they can provide the services to
the family.

[inaudible]. Okay, second question I have is the increase in the swastikas and in particular in the trains, and I did receive a phone call not too long ago that a swastika was observed and an MTA workers was cleaning off the swastikas. So what kind of--what is-- what happens like within the MTA, within the Police Department that they would tell others that if you see a swastika it should be reported that's going to be cleaned off?

2.

2.3

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: Well, working-- I don't know if Chief Fox can come up here and speak about it specifically, but any time there's any hate graffiti, we advise people not to remove it and give us a chance to come out and investigate. Joe?

CHIEF FOX: Sir. Chief Joseph Fox, Chief of Transit. As the Commissioner said, it's a top priority, and as I said at the MTA board meeting the other day, whether a swastika is one inch or 10 feet, it evokes the same pain that it was intended for many years ago, and our response is accordingly. The—we've actually seen an increase of swastikas found have doubled. We credit the people who have told us about these in some cases before they were cleaned off. Council Member, I think you gave me one of them that you reported to us, and our best tools are an informed public and people watching out and contacting us and telling us when they see these things.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: What kind of like education campaign do you do to alert the ridership that when you do something like that what kind of impact it could have on an individual and entire community?

CHIEF FOX: Actually, I think the best education is the media reporting it and us reporting it and talking about it, because the same— that should evoke a sense of outrage and as we see people who are reporting this when they see it on a subway, I think that's reflective of that, and we do have—we engage people. We've done crime prevention outreach, engagement initiatives where last year in 2016 we've engaged about 350,000 people where we greet them in the stations, on the trains, on the platforms, and we give them a myriad of pieces of information. And your comments, I'm going to consider. That's actually a good idea to include some package in general on why hate crimes are as horrible as they are in these areas.

See if we could do more work with the Council like maybe billboards and just do some educational outreach of the things, you know, basically all colleges to let people know that any type of hate crime bias that you do on a train what impact this would have on others. And finally, I just want to say, Commissioner, thank you. Thank you, Chief, and I want to thank your leadership and Chief Boyce and

1

\_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

so many others I see over here, Chief of Patrol, and you all do a phenomenal job and you have my full support as always, and I want to thank every single, the members of the NYPD, the men and women, for always being out there protecting us and [inaudible] conditions. Thank you so much, anyway [sic].

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,
Council Member Deutsch. Next we'll have Council
Member Barron followed by Council Member Levin.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Madam Chair, and thank you to the panel for being here I want to fully associate myself with the comments of my colleague, colleague Lancman and what he has said, and I think that a part of the reason we're in this predicament is because my colleagues capitulated to the NYPD and said that we would allow you to go ahead and establish what would be the thresholds, and I think that we should have codified into law what it is that we wanted to see done and that might have prevented us from being in this situation trying to now react to what's going on in Washington, D.C., and I would urge my colleagues to vote out the Right to Know bills that we are considering. I have several comments, so I think what

2 I'll do is put them in and then ask you to respond. 3 Regarding the Broken Windows policy which was 4 embedded in the Stop and Frisk program which was 5 carried out by Bratton and which was declared to be unconstitutional, and which is in fact I think a 6 7 mainstay of the mass incarceration that we see which came about as a result of the policies of President 8 Bill Clinton. I would like to know for the record how you justify continuing that policy if in fact 10 11 that is your position. And then in 2017 we continue 12 to see a manifestation of the institutionalized 13 system of racism on which this country was built. 14 People don't like to talk about it. Let's get over 15 We're back in 2017. We've had a black 16 president, so there's that denial. However, this 17 country was in fact founded on racist chattel 18 slavery. It's embedded in the founding document that 19 blacks are considered three-fifths of a person. 20 never been adjusted or amended in the Constitution, so that still stands. So it has created a culture 21 where black lives are undervalued and where black 2.2 2.3 lives are taken unjustly. The consequences that are metered out for that don't rise to the same level as 24 other persons who are killed. So, we know that in 25

1973, I believe, it was Clifford Glover who was 10 2 3 years old, five feet tall, less than 100 pounds who 4 was shot in the back by a police officer. He went to 5 indictment, but there was no-- jury did not find quilt, and we know that we've seen the Eric Garner 6 video. There was not even an indictment, and we know 8 that there was no indictment when Ramarley Graham was murdered in his own home. So we know that there is a pattern. We're waiting to see what the special 10 prosecutor is going to do with Delrawn Small case 11 that's at that level and we're glad that that's 12 there, because there is a relationship that exists 13 14 between the District Attorneys and the Police 15 Department where they rely on each other to be 16 successful in what it is they're doing. So I think 17 that that's inherent with creating a policy of 18 perhaps not being as vigorous in pursuing cases 19 related to police officers who kill unarmed black and 20 Latino people. Recently it was reported that now 21 Inspector Chell of the 75 precinct killed an unarmed person, shot him in the back. The civil suit that 2.2

was brought by the family when they heard the

ballistics said no, it couldn't possibly have been

under the circumstances that the Inspector relayed.

25

2.3

2	He was then a lieutenant, but he was able to rise and
3	move up and increase his salary and his rank and his
4	status and positions after he killed Ortanzso Bovell.
5	But the Police Department did not conduct an
6	investigation of any sort at the time, nor did the
7	District Attorney at the time, Charles Hynes, launch
8	an investigation. I have asked the Acting DA to look
9	into this situation based on ballistics evidence
10	which apparently was not presented to the Police
11	Department or to the DA to see in fact how we can
12	proceed. I've been told that the NYPD says there's
13	nothing further that they intend to do. The District
14	Attorney has said to me what has been reported to
15	report to have said that they would look into the
16	matter as new evidence is presented to them, and I'm
17	also looking to examine whether or not we can't get
18	the Special Prosecutor to look into this case even
19	though it happened in 2008 because nothing was done,
20	and Chell is now an inspector. And as relates to
21	disclosure of the records, the public disclosure of
22	disciplinary records, I've been told that someone has
23	leaked the information that yes, Inspector Chell also
24	had previous instances where he fired his gun, and we
25	know that Pantaleo had a litany of charges against

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

him. So unfortunately, we're still having to pursue getting that type of information released. certainly these are people who have in their history a pattern of abuse, of disrespect, and perhaps of killing others who continue to rise and to go up in the Department. I've introduced legislation which calls for a moratorium for all officers under investigation so that they can go and take these exams, but that they not benefit during the time that they're under investigation for crimes that they may have committed. And I don't think it's about training. Chief Gomez, about two or three years ago, talked about how training had changed after Timothy Stansbury was killed by the Police Department, but yet still it was subsequent to that that Akai Gurley was killed. So, I would like for you to respond to that.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you, Council Member.

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: As far as Broken Windows, we continue to do quality of life enforcement. I was a precinct commander for six and a half years. If I did not conduct quality of life enforcement, I wouldn't be a precinct commander very

2.2

2.3

long. Most of that is driven by 311 complaints and community complaints. If you look, summonses are trending down and arrests are trending down also, as are 250's, which is Stop and Frisk. In 2011 I think the number was 600,000. I have to check it to be accurate. Last year we had 13,000. I am committed to building trust between the community and the Police Department. That is—neighborhood policing is what I'm—I'm not a big believer in legacy, but if I do have one, this is what I'm looking to do.

I'm looking to make sure we do not have a repeat of November 2014 and December 2014, and that's my goal as Police Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much.

Thank you, Council Member Barron. I know there's a

lot of follow-up that we'll have to do at another

time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Madam Chair, if I might?

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you. I have to get to another colleague.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I would like a response to whether or not the Police Department intends to take any action in light of the fact that

that go for law enforcement only go to cities that

2	are participating in effective collegial, cooperative
3	way with the Federal Government. That that would
4	send a message that we simply have got to end this
5	policy." That's pretty unambiguous language. I
6	realize language in the Executive Order may be a
7	little more ambiguous. He's sending he said in his
8	own words he wants to send a message against
9	"sanctuary city policies." Can you say how much
10	federal funding does the Police Department get
11	annually, or this in FY 17, this past fiscal year
12	or this current fiscal year, in Department of Justice

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: So, for Department of Justice it's approximately 10 million. As you've said, in different fiscal years the number varies because of asset forfeiture funds that come in through the Department of Justice. Last year it was 9.1 million. As far as Homeland Security grants that's a much larger pot of money. It's the counterterrorism funds that we receive. We get 95 million for the Homeland Security Grant Program and another 15 million that come in through other Homeland Security grants, the port grant and the

grants? And Department of Justice and Department of

Homeland Security grants?

2	transit	grant,	bringing	the	total	to	110	million

3 dollars.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Plus the 10 million in DOJ.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER GRIPPO: And that does not include monies that come in from the Department of State, to be clear. State Department provides 25 million dollar reimbursement for security that we provide to the UN when it's in session.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. So if you were to take Attorney General Sessions at his word that he clearly intends to coerce City of New York into complying or cooperating with ICE and according to their definition or their standard, if you were to take him at his word, what would then be the impact worst case scenario to the NYPD for the loss of those federal funds? What would you envision if all those funds were to go away in your FY 18 budget?

COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: It's 110 million dollars. So, if you did the math there and took a look that's two percent of our annual budget which would represents hundreds and hundreds of police officers in real terms. I'm not sure how the

of Homeland Security which is where we get most of

our money from. The second comment was by AG

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Sessions, and what he said was for Sanctuary City, and again he did not define that, was not compliant with certain federal immigration laws, they would not get Department of Justice grants, and the issue is the City not the agency. So, when we apply in the future according to what Sessions said for DOJ grant money as opposed to DHS grant money, we will have to sign a certification that we are compliant with the federal statute, and if we are not under his program, we will be disabled from getting DOJ money. third overlay which has nothing to do with either is that in the President's Preliminary Budget there are cuts across the board that potentially affect this funding even if the Executive Order or the Attorney General's proclamation is never entered, DHS is still having funds cut that would come to us. So we're facing the risk of loss of funds on multiple fronts.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I mean, the fact of the matter is they're all playing on the same team. If the rule applies to DOJ funds, presumably that would still—that would apply to DHS funds, and I just want to make it clear, in this open session here that the potential impact to New York City could be very, very devastating when it comes to

2	counterterrorism funds. It would make New Yorkers
3	less safe. It would make Americans less safe. We
4	attract people from all over the world to this city.
5	It would make everybody less safe for the Federal
6	Government and the Trump Administration whether it's
7	at DHS or DOJ to without federal funds that are
8	specifically designed to ensure the safety of, you
9	know, the country's largest and most diverse city,
10	and this is grant monies that NYPD over the last
11	number of years has used very effectively to counter
12	the significant overall terrorist threat that faces
13	our city. Would you agree with that?
14	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: I agree with your
15	comment.

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you, Council Member Levin. I know we have the public behind you, so I want to make sure that I am respectful of everyone's time. So we're going to follow up around Shot Spotter expansion, school crossing guards. Just want to make sure that the new guards we're hiring will be covering any brand new schools that are opening in September, and I also wanted to further follow up on the evaluation of NCO officers as it

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 283
2	relates to what you talked about with the new domain
3	system versus radio run time of NCO officers versus
4	non-radio run time. We wanted to understand that a
5	little bit more.
6	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: We have all those
7	stats. We can get them.
8	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Okay, thank
9	you very much for coming. We appreciate your work
10	and certainly look forward to working with you.
11	Thank you, Commissioner, to you
12	COMMISSIONER O'NEILL: [interposing] Thank
13	you.
14	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: and the entire team.
15	[break]
16	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Good afternoon,
17	ladies and gentleman, and welcome back to our Public
18	Safety Budget Hearing on the Mayor's Preliminary
19	Budget for FY 2018. We have heard from the New York
20	City Prosecutors, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor,
21	the Civilian Complaint Review Board, the Mayor's
22	Criminal Justice Director, MOCJ, and the NYPD. Now
23	we will have members of the public who remain with u
24	to bring testimony before us before this committee.

I want to thank you all for your patience and for

2	waiting with me through the long day. I'm grateful
3	that you're still here. We want to call up Viviana
4	Gordon from the Center for Court Innovation, Justine
5	Luongo from Legal Aid Society, Clayton Brooks from
6	Covenant House; we have testimony written from Rob
7	DeLeon representing the Alternatives to Incarceration
8	and Reentry Coalition, CASES. We have that for the
9	record. We have Michael Polenberg from Safe Horizon,
10	and I believe he's left. Vincent Riggins [sp?], I
11	think he may have left. Okay, thank you all. And if
12	there's anyone else here that still wants to sign up,
13	please do so at the Sergeant at Arms. Thank you.
14	Thank you. So you are my last panel of three. Thank
15	you so much for staying, and anyone can begin.
16	That's fine. Whoever chooses?
17	VIVIANA GORDON: Hi.
18	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Hi.
19	VIVIANA GORDON: Good afternoon, Chair
20	Gibson and members of the Committee on Public Safety.
21	My name is Viviana Gordon, and I'm the Deputy

My name is Viviana Gordon, and I'm the Deputy

Director at the Red Hook Community Justice Center,

which is a project of the Center for Court

Innovation. Thank you for giving me the opportunity

to speak today. The Center for Court Innovation

2 supports the Council's efforts to improve public 3 safety and bring more justice to communities 4 throughout the City. For more than 20 years, the Center has been engaged in the same effort, working 5 to create a more effective and humane justice system 6 7 in New York City. Beginning with the Midtown 8 Community Court, the Center has created 28 neighborhood-based projects in all five boroughs, bringing together community members and criminal 10 11 justice stakeholders to respond to local problems, 12 decreased violence, improve public safety, aid 13 victims, reduce the use of jail, and transform 14 neighborhoods. Through projects such as Bronx 15 Community Solutions, the Red Hook Community Justice 16 Center and the Crown Heights Community Mediation 17 Center we've worked to improve the lives of New 18 Yorkers in need including immigrants, the poor, young 19 people, women, the LGBTQ community and communities of 20 I'm here to urge the Council to support continued funding for the Center for Court Innovation 21 2.2 and its efforts to improve public safety, promote and 2.3 expand the use of community-based alternatives to incarceration and increase equal access to justice 24 for vulnerable New Yorkers. The Center for Court 25

Innovation seeks \$700,000 in City Council support. 2 3 This includes a continuation of \$500,000 to support 4 ongoing core operations in communities across the 5 City and an enhancement of 200,000 dollars to expand alternatives to incarceration in several key 6 7 neighborhoods. The Center is committed to improving outcomes for young people impacted by the justice 8 system and offering them pathways towards academic, social and vocational success. Through both court 10 11 and community-based programs such as Project Reset, our adolescent and young adult diversion courts in 12 13 Manhattan and Brooklyn and youth justice centers in 14 Queens and Staten Island we provide judges, 15 prosecutors and police with meaningful alternatives 16 to business as usual. Our program serves more than 6,000 youth each year providing them with 17 18 opportunities to avoid Rikers Island and in many 19 cases court. In addition to diverting New Yorkers 20 out of the justice system, we're helping people transition back to community life after spending time 21 in jail or in detention. In collaboration with the 2.2 2.3 New York City Administration for Children's Services and its contracted aftercare providers, the Center 24 provides structured strength-based services for youth 25

much. Welcome.

returning from residential placement. These young
people and their families are offered skill building
and leadership development, educational support, case
management, cognitive behavioral services, art and
cultural programming, and pro-social activities.
Youth are also referred to additional community-based
services to help them overcome challenges, manage
family transitions and sustain positive growth. The
City Council's support has been invaluable in the
success of the Center for Court Innovation, helping
us maintain core operations and expand our
demonstration projects throughout New York City. The
Center for Court Innovation looks forward to continue
to work with the City Council to improve public
safety and create new alternatives to incarceration
that result in a fairer more accessible justice
system for all New Yorkers. Thank you again for the
opportunity to speak, and I'd be happy to answer any
questions you may have.
CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Great. Thank you so

JUSTINE LUONGO: Good afternoon. Thank

you very much, Chairperson Gibson, for the

opportunity to speak, but also sort of the endurance

that you and your colleagues demonstrated in today's
very long hearing. As I reflect on what has been
said today from all of the stakeholders, the theme I
believe is times have changed, and sometimes our view
could be that times have changed for the better. It
is true that there are statistics that demonstrate
that we are doing better by reducing the number of
people that have been arrested. It is true that
through the Cure Violence Initiative young people are
becoming engaged proactively to hopefully stem the
stream of sort of youth-on-youth unfortunate violence
and gang involvement. It is true that we are having
conversations, deep ones, sometimes difficult ones,
even painful ones about how we should be coming
together as a City. But equally true, as I sat here
and listened today, there's also a disconnect a bit
with some of the agencies under this Administration
that believe that it's okay to simply continue the
rhetoric without making the change. And so, an
example of that for instance is listening to
Commissioner O'Neill's response to Council Member
Lancman and several other Council Members about
Broken Windows, particularly about fare evasion
arrests, and the disconnect from what people in this

2	city, particularly our clients as this City's primary
3	public defender, feel about being arrested for
4	jumping a turnstile and getting a conviction for
5	jumping the turnstile, and perhaps being deported or
6	removed for jumping a turnstile, and the answer
7	Police Commissioner gave this Council in terms of
8	talking about recidivism and repeat offenders, which
9	was the term he used. Let's be clear about what
10	who he's talking about. He's talking about poor
11	people. He's talking about people who \$2.75 is
12	actually a meal, not pocket change. He's talking
13	about homeless people who would love the opportunity
14	to pay a fare, but simply cannot afford that, and so
15	the choice for them is to either jump a turnstile,
16	ask somebody for a swipe and get arrested because
17	that happens in this city, too, or miss your shelter
18	bed deadline. That disconnect of using "repeat
19	offender" when we're talking about fare and fare
20	evasion is actually what creates mistrust between
21	police and our communities, particularly mostly our
22	black and brown communities. The other thing is the
23	lack of transparency and accountability. We can talk
24	about neighborhood policing all we want. We can roll
25	out body cameras and pilot projects, but unless we

2	have a police force that is willing to go on record
3	to say that there are some bad apples that violate
4	the policies, abuse people, kill people, and then
5	take the action to terminate them or discipline them,
6	and then let the public know that that is the action
7	that they take, we can roll out every pilot program
8	in the planet and we're still not going to have
9	communities of color feel safer. And finally,
10	there's Broken Windows, and unless we start
11	recognizing that there is a history of a police
12	practice that has created now scores and scores of
13	people with criminal records that can't get jobs or
14	getting deported or removed, again, we are never,
15	never going to build the trust that is really needed.
16	And I thank this Council for always pushing back
17	against that. What I do want to say is, there are
18	positive things happening, and we are really proud as
19	the City's primary public defender, and I speak on
20	behalf of Legal Aid Society, but I know if my
21	colleague's from all the defender organizations were
22	here, we'd say the same thing. We are proud to be
23	engaged in fighting for the rights of our immigrant
24	clients, our homeless clients, our clients that are
25	struggling with mental illness and substance abuse,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

our clients who day in and day out get brought to the criminal justice system, and as the DA's have asked for more money, we too ask for more money and resources. As the DA's ask for more salaries, we should note that our budgets have remained flat for five years, and my attorneys at the Legal Aid Society which is a unionized workforce actually don't have parody with the DAs, and while our-- we testify in front of courts and legal services we want this committee to know that, because as you know, there is a system where we are both in the courtroom with the DAs, and so while they come here and ask for money from this committee, we want you to know that we need the resources as much as anybody else to be able to be on the frontlines of protecting the people of the city day in and day out. Two programs I want to actually talk about specific to Legal Aid Society because I think they matter to this committee and actually it was you and this committee and some of your members that actually made this happen, and that is our Prisoner's Rights Project and the funding that the Council has provided in the past to allow us to have a unit at Legal Aid Society that not only helps our clients, but helps anybody whose held at Rikers

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in their many, many needs and obstacles that are created for them as being incarcerated. asking that for our funding to be restored but asking for a 250,000 dollar enhancement because we think that there is a crucial need not only to address the needs of those New York City residents that are incarcerated at Rikers, but to also start to look and help those New York City residents that have been sent up state that will return to this city as our returning citizens and looking at ways to assist them more and to create in-roads back home to ensure that they have a fabric and a community to return to. so we are asking for that. But the success of that has been enormous. We are far over the number of clients we have ever thought that we'd be able to reach, and that's because this City Council has paid attention to those we have locked away. The other is our Community Justice Unit, and there was much talk earlier on about the importance of Cure Violence. are part of that, and we call it our Community Justice Unit, but it is part of the Cure Violence Unit, and we partner with many of our organizations in 18 communities. But one of the things I want to point out, and it's so true about all of the legal

services and all of the social service agencies of
this city, we go above and beyond. And so when the
Executive Orders of President Trump rolled out and
the raids began to happen and the fear became sort of
palpable in communities in New York City and people
were scared for their lives, we utilized the
Community Justice's 24-hour hotline also as an
immigration hotline that 24 hours, seven days a week,
and we go that up and running within 12 hours of the
first raid, and we were able to do that because the
City Council again has provided funding for us to go
beyond our sort of court rooms and into communities
to connect with communities to hear what it is they
need. That hotline received close to 200 calls a day
and still does from not only New York City residents,
but our hotline has reached California and other
places, and people are now calling to say, "If I
travel to New York, will I be safe?" So, I raise the
sort of these two positive aspects to say with
ingenuity and resources, New York can do anything.
We could actually build real trust in our communities

between our communities and the police, but you have

to have the real mission and the goal, and I thank

2 this council for continuing to push for real 3 conversations and real reform.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. Close us out?

MICHAEL POLENBERG: Thank you, Council Member. Michael Polenberg, I'm Vice President of Government Affairs for Safe Horizon, the nation's leading victim service organization and New York's leading provider of services to victims of violence and abuse. I'm not going to read the testimony. I'm just going to touch briefly on the Child Advocacy Center initiative which the Council has funded and the Dove Initiative. This with support from the City Council, Safe Horizon responded to 64, just over 6,400 child victims and nearly 4,000 attending caregivers last year between all five of our Child Advocacy centers. As you know, the child comes into the Child Advocacy Center at Safe Horizon, and the prosecutors and Special Victims Unit detectives and ACS and the medical provider are all there to respond to the child at one time. So, volume at our Child Advocacy Centers has always been high. It increased dramatically anytime there's been a high profile death of a child, but since the death last year, the

tragic death last year of Zymere Perkins and Jaden
Jordan and other children, the volume has really
dramatically increased. You'll have the chart in
front of you. It's about a 52 percent increase
across all five of our Child Advocacy Centers, and in
the Bronx, Council Member, it's almost 71 percent
increase. This is largely driven by cases that
people might not have thought were that serious in
the past, but because of Zymere Perkins, because of
Jaden Jordan and some other cases, people are acting
out of caution and referring the cases to the Child
Advocacy Centers which we're happy about. These
cases should have been seen in our centers all along.
The, you know, my question to my colleagues initially
had been, okay, so we've seen an increase, but how
many of those cases are, for lack of a better word,
real or founded cases? And it turns out a good
healthy portion of them are. So we're relieved in a
sense that these cases are now coming to the Child
Advocacy Centers, cases where there may have been
allegations of neglect, but not abuse. Now those
cases are coming to us, again, out of an abundance of
caution. So we're asking the Council to restore the
funding, the \$748,000 that we've received over the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

past few years, to really make sure that the core funding for our Child Advocacy Centers is in place as we deal with these new high numbers across all five counties. Quickly, on the Dove initiative, the Dove initiative continues to be a success. We're now up to about 70 subcontractors across the City doing a whole range of work from legal services to case management and crisis intervention. This year it's real exciting. We're doing something. partnering with leading researches in domestic violence around the country to do what we're calling a Building Partnerships Colloquium. The idea is to help providers who don't already have the ability or haven't tried to explore, evaluating their work and showing real tangible outcomes either because they were nervous about it, afraid about it, didn't know the best steps to go, and the idea is to really help especially the smaller organizations build a capacity to do this work, which ultimately will help them be more successful when they apply for grants or RFPs from the City or from the State or from anybody else. So that's going to start in May. We're doing two trainings, one in May, one in June, again, with some of the leading researchers across the country.

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 having a great response from the Dove grantees.

restore the Dove initiative in FY 18.

We're going to have a packed house, and we're really excited, and none of this would be possible were it not for the Council's, you know, wisdom to allocate funding in this way. So we ask the Council to

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. I just had maybe a few questions, because luckily for you guys I know the work that all of you do, and it's been just a real honor and privilege working with you in my capacity as Chair and looking at it from the Courts and Legal Services perspective as well. So, CCI, my CCI is Bronx Community Solutions. I was at their 10-year anniversary celebration. I've been with them since the beginning. That's how old I am. But just incredible work, and I've recognized, you know, over the years the fact that yes, as Tina said, we are doing much better. We're recognizing that we can no longer use the old model of how we address young people involved in violence, looking at alternatives, looking at prevention and not detention, but I recognize of course that we still have a very long way to go. To me, I mean, the biggest challenge has really been the mindset and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

what we truly believe is the right course of action. So when we did the Criminal Justice Reform Act and it took us almost a year of just conversations and negotiations to make sure that you really understand why we're doing this, why we want young men and women of color to be given a fair chance. I always used to say given a second chance, but no, they deserve a first chance. We shouldn't even talk about a second chance because they deserve a first chance, and you know, not just because I represent a community that has a high demand when you look at crime, when you look at alternatives. I mean, I have it all, right? The precincts I work with volumes of cases, and I get it, and we're trying our very best, and we're not just talking about it, but we're really investing in the programs. So I recognize with this year and some of the different challenges we face, right, because some of the challenges we've already faced around staffing, around salary parody and making sure that there's equity in keeping and retaining our staff. recognize that those are challenges that we faced, and we will work to address them. But for me, the largest challenge that I think we're facing is with this Administration, because they are setting forth

2 on reversing every good measure, everything that 3 we've done to try to make a dent in the system, 4 right? Because that's really what it is, it's making It's not a transformation just yet, but we're making strides and steps of progress, and we're 6 7 trying to keep moving forward and they're trying to take us backwards. So, the work that you're doing 8 has an even greater impact now, and my concern is moving forward how do we continue to draw down on 10 11 those residents, those parents that are very fearful because of ICE, because of their children going to 12 13 public schools, because they may be a victim of 14 domestic violence, they may be a victim of a crime. 15 How do we reassure them that the work we're doing is 16 going to make an impact and we need them to come out? Like, so I don't necessarily in the housing world, I 17 18 don't want to get them when they go to Housing Court, 19 right, or when they go to Criminal Court. We have to 20 get them before they get to court, because many of 21 them don't want to go to court. They don't want to 2.2 go through metal detectors and face, you know, the 2.3 public scrutiny and the public criticism that many of them feel they will deal with. We were with the 24 Mayor and the school's Chancellor about two weeks ago 25

at TWEED and we announced a new effort working with
school safety to focus on prohibiting ICE agents from
coming into our schools, which I think is great, but
then I thought about something the Chancellor said
which is very interesting, because as much as we can
protect our children while they're on school grounds,
what happens when they leave and they go home and
realize that mom or dad is gone? And so I know that
eventually we will start to see a need to get to the
courts, because I know that's very important even
now, right, courts and every public space we have in
this city to try to provide some measure of comfort.
So, I just wanted your thoughts on that. You know,
obviously your budget request, we have some of the
challenges you're facing. I certainly will do my
part in making sure that all of this is prioritized
in our budget response and we work to get it in the
Exec., but just in that regard like what are you
finding and what are you hearing from your clients,
and how do you think we can help address a lot of
those issues of anxiety and fear?

JUSTINE LUONGO: So, all of the public defenders have raised with the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice and the Office of Court

2	Administration that we have a growing, daily growing
3	concern, that ICE has been in our courthouses, have
4	removed people from our Criminal Court Houses. There
5	was a ICE agent that picked up a client in Brooklyn,
6	a parent in Brooklyn Family Court last week. The
7	court officers union issued a memo that was disclosed
8	to the media that indicated that told the President
9	of that union told its members to 100 percent comply
10	with ICE's requests. We are saddened as public
11	defenders that neither the City Administration or the
12	Office of Court Administration has issued a policy.
13	We raised with the great stride that the Mayor took
14	with the Board of education. I understand, and the
15	Speaker announced in her State of the City that
16	hopefully all of the city agency buildings would be
17	protected, and we raised with MOCJ that we believe
18	that the City owns the buildings in which the courts
19	reside, and so similarly DCAS could bar ICE. And
20	then we're told that it's an issue for OCA, and we
21	have continued to report to OCA every single ICE
22	sighting, but people are afraid. People are this
23	will sort of increase the warrants in Criminal Court,
24	and people will absolutely see what's happening in
25	Criminal Court and not if they're a victim of a

crime, for instance, not go to the DA which is	next
to the court. Or they will start not going to	) answer
their perhaps eviction notices in Housing Cour	ct, and
they are already afraid to go to Family Court,	and
again, I think the point you just raised about	<u> </u>
protecting children in school, but what happer	ns if
their parent goes to Family Court and ICE is t	there?
We must be a true Sanctuary City and State, ar	nd
that's not just talking about it. That's abou	ıt
saying to Trump and that Administration, "Sorn	ry, but
New York City and New York State are not we	are not
going to help you." And unfortunately, last w	veek,
one of our attorneys was forced with a client	who was
released on his own recognizance and had come	willing
and voluntarily to court, we found out that IC	CE was
there, and after we conferred with an immigrat	tion
attorney and spoke to that client and that's o	client's
family, the lawyer had to ask for bail be set.	. I
want to reflect on that, that a public defende	er, our
job is to keep our clients in the community	was
safer for that client so we can afford that cl	lient
due process to talk to an immigration attorney	į to
make sure that client was able to be seen by t	their
family before ICE took them away, and ask you	know.

ICE could place them in Jersey tomorrow and Texas the
next day. In order to do that, we had to ask our
client consent to ask for bail, and that client was
so afraid that they said yes. And so it was this
City Council's DOC policy that then the Mayor signed
that actually protected that client more because DOC
wouldn't release that client unless there was a
judicial warrant, and to-date ICE has not come to DOC
with a judicial warrant, to-date. Had he had we
not taken that drastic step, that client would have
walked from inside the well, outside the court house
and been taken, and again, ICE did not have a
judicial warrant. All they had was an administrative
warrant signed by an ICE official. No judge had
reviewed the findings. That is what we mean about
being a real sanctuary courthouse, and so we ask this
City Council to continue to push both the Mayor and
the Chief Judge of New York State to implement
policies to protect people so that they can come to
court.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So, this client that you're talking about was safer in jail?

JUSTINE LUONGO: Let's reflect on that, but the answer to that is yes, because we have a law

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right.

JUSTINE LUONGO: And so that gentleman did what he was supposed to do.

> CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right.

JUSTINE LUONGO: He was told by a judge to come back on his court day, and he did, but ICE was waiting and the only way to protect him was to ask for bail.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Very scary. wanted to-- did you have something to add to the same, Michael?

MICHAEL POLENBERG: It's absolutely terrifying. You know, we had a meeting I think it was last week to talk about so what happens when ICE shows up to our eight domestic -- any of our eight domestic violence shelters or our shelter for homeless youth. You know we have a more-- some kind of an understanding, not a formal understanding, with the NYPD. If they show up and they have a warrant, they're looking for somebody, they understand it's a shelter, it's a nonprofit. We're going to take a

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

copy of the warrant and fax it to our General Counsel's office. If they have to get the person, they're going to get the person, but there's an understanding we have with the PD. We don't know that we're going to have any kind of understanding with ICE who shows up to our shelters. We want to make sure that our staff, someone who's answering the door at 10 or 11:00 at night in the shelter has the wherewithal and the fortitude to be able to stand up to five or six or how many ICE officers there are waving a warrant saying we need to come in and x person and then of course, once they're in the building they can talk to and probably will talk to more people. So we haven't figured this out. actually saw a memo from Seymour James recently that we're going to look at and see if there's any quidance we can take from there, but it's absolutely terrifying to think of what it's going to mean. you mentioned, or I think you mentioned what happens if the kids come home from school and mom isn't there? What happens if ICE comes to our shelter and takes mom when the kids are at school, and when they come from school that day that's the reality that they're going to face? If we're lucky, none of this

2.2

2.3

will come to pass, but we're certainly not assuming that's going to be the case, and we're starting to develop and really try to solidify a policy in place to make sure that our staff are equipped to be able to respond to when ICE shows up and what guidance and safety planning can we offer our clients who may be undocumented so that they can have a plan in place for themselves, for their children and for other people. So I just want to echo was Tina said, it's just terrifying.

and I appreciate your insight and your guidance as we move along because your partnership is very critical to this. To hear those cases are extremely disturbing. I had a meeting recently with HRA and DHS as it relates to some of the LINC programs, and you know, many of them have eligibility requirements that clients may begin to be eligible, but through the process they get employment and they're no longer eligible. And we had a case in the Bronx of a DV client who decided to return to her abuser, and you know, it was heartbreaking because she was no longer eligible for the LINC voucher. So, it's the same message. We cannot have these stringent rules where

## COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2.2

we turn around and, you know, the end result is
exactly what we don't want to happen. And I'm pretty
sure immigration had a role in that, but it was very,
very disturbing to hear of this case, and I know
there are many others. I wanted to ask quickly,
Michael, since you have a contract to administer the
victim's services in the police precincts, how we're
doing with that and rolling out, and are you finding
any challenges with that, and how are your staff
doing at the various precincts?

MICHAEL POLENBERG: So we're in 26 precincts this year.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

MICHAEL POLENBERG: And I don't have the list with me, Council Member, but I'm happy to send it to you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: That's fine.

MICHAEL POLENBERG: We'll be in 29 precincts in the coming year, so another 29.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

MICHAEL POLENBERG: And so how is it going? I think it's going pretty well. I think there were a few bumps, you know, and I think there's some variants between precincts, but I think overall

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

it's running pretty well. I think it's a huge service for victims of crime to know that there's somebody in the precinct that they can go to for questions, for guidance, for advocacy that isn't a police officer. Not to say that the police officer might not want to help. The police officer may be too busy or may be called into a different direction. So to have somebody in the precinct who's not a police officer, but is there 100 percent to focus on the need of the crime victim I think is a wonderful It's also worth noting that we're going to work with that victim whether the victim decides they want to cooperate with investigation or prosecution or not. Some victims will make the initial report to the police and then decide for any number reasons that they don't actually want to cooperate with the

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

police any longer or don't want to cooperate.

MICHAEL POLENBERG: We're going to continue to work with that victim if he or she wants us to work with them. So that's another nice feature that we offer. You know, we're working closely with the PD, but we're our own organization, and we're going to offer the services just as we do in the

3

4

5

Ŭ

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Criminal Court programs where we'll work with the crime victim even if they ultimately choose not to go forward with the prosecution.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

MICHAEL POLENBERG: So, the big picture answer is things are going well, and I'd be happy to get you the list again of the precincts who are already in currently and the precincts that we're set to be in in the coming year.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. I think it's also important to note that we're talking about victims of all crimes and not just DV, because initially that's what we always assume, and you know, recently in the news I mean we've seen an eruption of crimes against seniors which to me is the worst type of crime when you rob seniors, and they've been violent robberies that have all been caught on Your client that your staff get, so some of camera. my concern has always been the clients that have to physically come into the precinct to see your staff. The DV officers in particular as an example do home visits. Do your staff go with them, and then do you do your own visits to a client as compared to having them come into the precinct to visit you? If they're

robbed the other day in the Bronx, and she didn't

talk on camera. Her face wasn't shown, but she was

a senior, you know, many of them don't even talk on

camera about -- you know, there was one that was

visibly shaken up, and she was robbed by a female as

well, and so that particular victim may not come

forward, but how do your staff work with them to make

sure that they feel assured that their case is going

MICHAEL POLENBERG: It's a great

to get full attention?

question. So you're right, we work with victims— we have two advocates in every precinct with the exception of some of the very low volume precincts, the one in Central Park and handful of others, but for almost all of the precincts we're going to have a domestic violence advocate and a crime victim advocate to respond to a multitude of crimes that take place. We get in touch. We call. Our advocates call every crime victim who makes a police report the next day or the day after to say, "This is Safe Horizon. This is the role we play. We're different from the PD. We have this to offer. Can we help you?" If the person wants to come to the precinct we'll work with them in the precinct. If

McMahon has talked about a Community court in Staten

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Island. So the concept and the reason why we have a community court is very important to me, and I think it's in line. You know, obviously I would love to avoid anyone appearing in court in the first place, but I recognize that if they have to I want it to be much more community oriented, right? So I think that's what the goal has always been of Community So can you give me a understanding of how it works so that I can share that with my folks in the Bronx and my DA to see if-- you know, well the issue we deal with in the Bronx is we don't have space. That's a major issue. But the concept, and you know, what BSC does is great but they're like physically in the courthouse, so ultimately we would need to have our own physical location of a Community Court.

VIVIANA GORDON: Absolutely, and we would love to host you in Red Hook whenever you're able to come out.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Sure.

VIVIANA GORDON: I did want to say to your point earlier about what we're all dealing with right now under the new Administration and immigration. As you know, CCI's project span everything from BSC which is based in the man Bronx

2 Courthouse to standalone courts like Red Hook to more 3 community-based programs like SOS, the Cure Violence 4 model, but across the board one of the big missions is, you know, bridging the gap between whether it's a justice system or the police in the community and 6 7 building trust and being more responsive to community 8 needs, and as we are any of our court-based projects are still subject to the same policy or lack thereof of OCA around this issue, but it is I think more 10 11 important now than ever to expand pre-arrest 12 diversion options or options for the DAs to decline 13 to prosecute and pursue other programs that prevent 14 people from getting caught up in the system and 15 getting a criminal record. Community Courts have 16 been really effective in-- I mean, in Red Hook 17 certainly, and Brownsville the planning has been well 18 underway since 2010. Hopefully we'll be opening 19 Myself and my colleague Charese Crouther both 20 worked in Brownsville for a few years in the planning efforts there, and I think that's a community that 21 2.2 could really, really benefit when it does open its 2.3 doors. I think if you were to consider a Community Court in the Bronx, the communities I think really 24 can benefit are especially communities for whom the 25

relationship with the justice system has been really
broken, and there's been really high levels of
contact and very little accountability of the judges,
the prosecutors, the police whose mission really
should be to keep the community safe, to build public
trust and to really enhance the quality of life.
When you have a community court which is really a
neighborhood-based geographically centered court
within the community, the community gets a lot more
access and it really is designed and customized to
meet that community's needs. When Red Hook was
planned in the 1990s, some of the biggest issues at
the time was the use and sale of heroin and crack
cocaine, and so treating addiction and mental health
and trauma and the underlying reasons people were
getting arrested was very effective as a problem-
solving tool. In Brownsville a lot of the issues are
turf-related gang issues, and you know, crews and
sets, but the underlying issue is really that youth
really don't have many opportunities or much of a
positive outlook for themselves or their future. So,
to design a Community Court in the Bronx, pick a
community and start a planning process in which the
community has a lot of input over what services would

1

2

3

\_

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

need to be collocated and housed there to give that immediate connection, and it has been shown to reduce crime and recidivism while reducing the use of jail.

So--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Okay.

VIVIANA GORDON: It's very effective.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, great. then I just have one final question for you, Tina. The Prisoner Rights Project, you know, I've always been a plan of it. Incredibly work that you do. I wanted to ask, you know, because Rikers Island is Darcel's biggest challenge, so to speak. I say that mildly. I recognize the climate and the conversation we're having around closing Rikers, but I also recognize the immediate needs that we have to fulfil every single day, and there was recently an article that talked about the clinical civilian staff feeling public safety concerns around administering medical services for the staff there. Darcel talked about a case of an individual who is a part of repetitive violence on Rikers Island, again, one person out of many. SO I wanted to find out with the clients that you work with, and obviously you deal a lot with families' access to medical care, children issues,

1

24

2	employment issues, making sure that many of these
3	clients still have stability when they leave the
4	island, right? How has your program found some of
5	these challenges that we hear so much about, the
6	violence, the prosecution unit that Darcel has, like
7	what, you know, main function does the Prisoner
8	Rights Project have, and what challenges have you
9	seen within the program as it relates to some of the
10	other issues I've described?
11	JUSTINE LUONGO: Sure. The issues that
12	the Prisoner's Rights Project address for people held
13	at Rikers, their medical needs, their mental health
14	needs
15	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Right.
16	JUSTINE LUONGO: their
17	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Legal
18	as well, right?
19	JUSTINE LUONGO: their legal needs, the
20	sort of level of discipline, the solitary confinement
21	sentences and sort of the ability to challenge those
22	and adjudicate those for people who have been put in
23	confinement. Looking at the ways in which the staff

are housed there and kept at Rikers. The idea that 25

interact with the people who they are sort of-- who

you-- if you are somebody that's held at Rikers, 2 3 you're torn out of your community, you're torn away from your family, you're thrown out on an island. 4 What you need is a connection to the outside, to a 5 lawyer, to a paralegal who is going to help you 6 7 facilitate the things you need. That connection plus 8 visitation with your family is the driving force to actually data that shows that if you meet those needs, those core human needs of contact, health, 10 11 mental health services, that actually violence gets 12 reduced. And the Prisoner's Rights Projects, every 13 single-- we have a hotline. So, Monday through 14 Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. we have a hotline 15 funded by the funding that was provided by City Council, that we have a trained paralegal who does 16 17 intake for every single call and then connects that 18 client to a lawyer or another paralegal that actually 19 is trained to go out and investigate. And what I 20 have seen in terms of the support that that hotline 21 has given the people that are held at Rikers is been for many of them the creation of a lifeline. 2.2 2.3 while we're still going to see the issues of either CO to "inmate" -- I hate that word, but I'll use that 24 in the context of this-- CO to inmate or what is 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

called "inmate-on-inmate violence" we still have to address that, and that is about I believe restructuring our jails to be sort of places of rehabilitation and actually not places that are actually more punitive that then feed into a violent cycle. But the Prisoner's Rights Project sort of has addressed all of those legal issues, and I am very proud to say that that hotline has calls coming in every single day and we have staff out there turning around to investigate a claim within the very next morning, and so we look forward to continuing to do that, and now looking at ways to actually provide that same level of service with New York City residents that are held in state prisons who face the same thing. But we want to sort of ensure that if they're returning as they will to their home in New York City as our returning citizens, that we understand those issues that they're bringing home with them so that we can look at reentry mechanisms that'll create safety nets. So, we're very proud that the Prisoner's Rights Project thanks to the funding here has been able to at the root level deal with the human needs of those that are held at Rikers. While we still have to push for reforms and

2.2

2.3

continue to push for reforms, for instance a winter coat issue. That was our Prisoner's Rights sort of pushing and pushing and our lawyers pushing to say, "Hey, it's the coldest winter ever," and two days before Christmas, we pushed them to get 900 winter coats so that people were not freezing when they were brought to court or released with no winter coat. So it's that type of basic human-driven kindness to sort of say while you are there we didn't forget you and we're here to protect and get you the things you need.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you, and it's humane. It's the right thing to do. I'm grateful for that. I remember the stories and also, you know, the Speaker was pushing to ensure that detainees that are coming to court from Rikers Island also are, you know, they're dressed in suits and not necessarily a prison uniform, right? So, just lastly, I just want to thank you for your incredible partnership swath the New York Crisis Management System, with the Anti-Gun Violence organizations that are doing tremendous work. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you. They are finally getting the recognition that they rightfully deserved from the NYPD, from the Mayor and from

2	everyone. And this year, we are investing almost
3	five million dollars in additional funds for these
4	community groups, and I experienced, you know, like I
5	mentioned earlier, a homicide in my district this
6	week, and SOS from Brooklyn was right there with me
7	in the Bronx. Life Camp came from Queens. So the
8	love that families are feeling is incredible. I just
9	am sad that, you know, these types of things keep
10	happening to our children. It's such a violent way
11	they're losing their lives and the families that are
12	left behind. So, I want to thank you for your work.
13	Thank you for your partnership, and certainly during
14	this budget process we look forward to working with
15	you to ensure that you get the support you need to do
16	the great work in this climate to make sure we
17	protect every New Yorker regardless of their status.
18	Thank you so much for coming today and thank you for
19	staying. Alright, and with that, our hearing is
20	adjourned. I want to thank my team. Thank you Beth
21	and thank you Steve, and thank you Casey in the back.
22	Thank you to the Sergeant at Arms for just always
23	making sure that we have a great, smooth hearing. We
24	are adjourned and we will be back during the
25	Executive Budget Hearing. This hearing is adjourned.

1	COMMITTEE	ON	PUBLIC	SAFETY	321
2	[gavel]				
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 30, 2017