CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

----- X

February 13, 2017 Start: 10:11 a.m. Recess: 10:54 a.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm.

14th Fl

B E F O R E: FERNANDO CABRERA

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Inez D. Barron

Rory I. Lancman

Barry S. Grodenchik Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Felipe Franco, Deputy Commissioner Division of Youth and Family Justice, DYFJ

Charles Barrios, Associate Commissioner and Executive Director of Juvenile Programming Division of Youth and Family Justice, DYFJ

Rebecca Kinsella, Youth Social Worker Brooklyn Defender Services

Reverend Windy Calderon-Payne, Executive Director Community Based Programs Bronx Connects

2 [sound check, pause] [gavel]

3 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Good afternoon. Ι 4 am Council Member Fernanda Cabrera, Chairperson of 5 the Juvenile Justice Committee. I would like to 6 thank you all for coming for today's hearing and several informed pieces of legislation, Intro 1237, 8 Intro 1451, Intro 1452, Intro 1453 and Reso No. 1025. 9 Thank you to the staff who have worked to put this 10 hearing together, our Committee Counsel Beth Golub 11 and Senior Policy Analyst Will Honkatch (sp?). I 12 would also like to acknowledge the committee members 13 who have joined us today. Actually, we don't have 14 any right now. They are on their way. There's a lot 15 of traffic out there. When young people enter the 16 Juvenile Justice system, their primary goal is 17 rehabilitation. The administration ACS, the 18 Department of Probation, and others have done great 19 work to provide a range of therapeutic options for 20 our young people to meet both the safety needs of the 21 public and the treatment needs of the youth. 2.2 However, there is work to be done to make sure when a 23 young people lands in detention, they are able to 24 hear, and are not further derailed for a stable life. 25 That is why I am proud to sponsor the four bills and

2.2

2.3

one resolution we hear—we are hearing today. Let me get my glasses on. Together, this legislation will work to keep the emphasis on saving our young people and not punishing them by making sure youth in detention receive the best possible healthcare, to stay connected to their community.

Intro 1237 will require ACS to maintain electronic health records for youth in detention, and electronic health records are necessary in order to provide consistent and appropriate care so that doctors treating these young people can assess their medical history, and not disrupted diagnosis, prescription and treatment plans. Also, in 2016 DYFJ did not obtain electronic health records for youth in detention facilities.

Intro 1451 will require ACS to allow youth in detention facilities to designate visitors outside of their family including a coach, teacher, clergy person or other adults who has a positive relationship with a young—with a young person. Often times, young people in detention has strained parent—child relationships, or they have other people who help them feel including beyond the parent or caretaker. Young people should define their own

2.2

2.3

families, and families should be defined as broadly as possible.

Intro 1452 will require ACS to allow young people in detention to video conference with family and any other approved facility. (sic) The fact is it's not as always easy to make in-person visits. We must make every effort to encourage family and parent engagement as early and as often as possible.

Intro 1453 will require ACS to allow young people in detention to request privacy during visits and phone calls. Visiting rooms are large and parents meet with their children without any privacy, which prohibits private conversation and discourages open conversations. DYFJ should allow youth and their parents private opportunity to speak, to discuss their cases and other confidential concern.

Finally, resolution 1025 calls upon the

State to amend the Civil Practice Law and rules to

prohibit juvenile admissions and statements against

penal interests made during court ordered mental

health screening and treatment from being admitted

into evidence in subsequent criminal proceedings. I

look forward to hearing more about how DYFJ will work

you the work of the Division of Youth and Family

25

Justice as already undertaken in these areas as Well
as some of the efforts that are currently underway.
In Bill 1237, Introduction 1237 will mandate ACS to
require any healthcare provider to maintain health
records for the young people in Juvenile Detention in
an electronic format, and to retain the records for
the period of ten years. As the Council may know,
ACS contracted a floating hospital to provide medical
and dental care for youth in detention with Bellevue
Hospital to provide psychiatric and psychological
services, and the START Treatment and Recovery Center
to provide mental health services. So, ACS contracts
with these excellent organizations to provide these
services, but electronic healthcare records remained
the property of ACS. Thus, it's ACS' responsibility
to maintain the records, and other contracted
healthcare providers. We respectfully request that
the language for the bill be revised to reflect that
the duty to remain records remains that of ACS.

Intro 1237 speaks to an initiative that ACS has undertaken—had made great strides to well implementing. In fact, we could provide you an update on our progress. In March of 2015, first under New York State Regulations, ACS integrated E-prescribing

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

software for the youth in secure and non-secure detention and in the placement operations, emergency child welfare continues. The software enables that a deployment for both controlled and non-controlled software, and enhances the WTL (sic), the Division at the Division of Youth and Family Justice to ensure continuity of care with respect to medications for youth as they transfer from ACS detention facilities to Close to Home placement to Child Welfare, foster care placement or to alternative placement programs in the community. This technology can improve and has not only bolstered ACS coordination of the unique (sic) mental health treatment for youth in ACS care, but will also serve as a platform for the agency procurement of the new electronic healthcare record system and the new application.

In October 2016, ACS issued a request for information to help develop a scope of requirement for the new electronic healthcare records system, and to inform a future request for proposal, RFP. The RFI has closed, and the responses received are being used to operate the business requirements, identify a cost estimate, and inform the development of the RFP, which we expect to issue in spring of 2017. The

2.2

2.3

effort to start an electronic healthcare record system is currently underway. However, we are concerned that a new and effective date for this legislation does not provide sufficient time for ACS to complete the procurement process needed to put the justice (sic) system in place.

Family Engagement. Family engagement is critical for—for ensuring families are continually involved throughout the young person's time in detention. We make sure that young people in detention and the staff who work with them remain accessible to families for the duration of the young person's stay.

And Intros 1451, 1452 and 1453 they speak to the work that we do to promote family engagement through our visitation and correspondence.

Intro 1451. Intro 1451 endeavors to broaden the definition of family from a deeper meaning to visit youth in detention facilities except when determining—determining that such spaces are detrimental to the youth or to the visitors or the visitors refuses to comply with visitation rules. We applaud the Council for recognizing that potential youth maintaining meaningful contact with the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

significant-with significant people in their lives. While preserving the Division of Youth and Family Justice duty to ensure its safety. As you know, ACS detention operations are overseen by the New York State Office of Children and Family Services, or OCFS. The State Executive Law requires all OCFS to reach a regulation, which confirms detention operations throughout the state, and includes rules of visitation in detention facilities. ACS is required to abide by those regulations, and under the State Social Service Law, any rules ACS issues in the regard and will approved by OCFS. The State Regulation has established a minimum standard for visitation, which the Division of Youth and Family Justice exceeds in practice. For we are the Division of Youth and Family Justice at ACS have used an expanded definition of family that includes parents, grandparents, siblings, siblings of youth, also the quardian caretakers, permanency resources and other positive influences, and we encourage families to visit frequently and often. We start to ensure that youth have contacted only positive adults and peerpeers. So we'll start work with parents and guardians at intake to identify a list of appropriate

2.2

2.3

visitors for the youth. Each must be approved by the parent or guardian of the youth, and our secure facilities offer visiting hours four days a week including weekdays, evenings and weekends, at our non-secure detention group homes scheduled visit by appointment and are required to offer an opportunity at least twice a week. Youth in detention are also able to enjoy with families doing special events at the facilities such as our monthly family days with official approved other activities for families as well as our special events for family members who are able to participate in activities, social culture drives (sic) and our presentation by the two events and performances.

Intro 1452 would require the Division of Youth and Family Justice to establish a video conferencing program, which enables youth in detention to communicate with any of the youth approved visitors in addition to in-person visits.

Before I address the bill for this, I am pleased to share that the Division of Youth and Family Justice has made substantial progress while implementing—implementation of the video conferencing in our secure detention facilities to enhance family

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

participation in youth mental health treatment. Division of Youth and Family Justice has secured an agreement for video conferencing and is right-and is wiring-and is unwiring within the mental health suites in the detention facilities and is scheduled to begin shortly. This capability will enable our mental health team to actively engage youth and their families in more frequent in-depth collaborative discussion about the youth mental health needs and treatment plans. We respectfully interrupt (sic) We understand the Council decided to establish a video conferencing program for family visiting and detention as a corrective way of expanding visitation opportunities. Video conference as with any other conference requires us to ensure the contact does not place the youth or all of us in harm or danger. So with in-person this is and telephone calls, video conference will be carefully coordinated and supported by our staff. We also request clarity in whether the Council intends for video conferencing to be established in every detention facility in the city including all secure detention and residences. In addition to the two secure detention facilities with Division of Youth and Family Justice-Justice run

directly, we also contacted not-for-profit
organizations to provide non-secure detention
services at 11 sites in Brooklyn, Queens, and
Manhattan and the Bronx. Our NSP residences are
located within the community in homelike settings
that are accessible to families. Because of the wid
accessibility of our NSP residences, and the strong
length of stay in non-secure detention, the security
of video conferencing in these setting would be
meaningless. (sic) The logistics and exact cost of
establishing video conferencing capabilities for
visitation across the entire detention continuum
isn't clear at this time, but is certain to be a
significant consideration for the city. We welcome
the opportunity to partner with the Council and the
advocate community to discuss ways in which we can
make-we can make further improvements to visitation
for youth in detention that meets family needs while
preserving safety in our facilities and the
community.

Intro 1453 would mandate detention

facility directors to permit private visits and phone

calls at the youth's request. The Division of Youth

and Family Justice grants privacy to the previous—

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

previous conversation between young people and their attorneys including attorneys such as work staff. also do not use recording or listening devices while youth are speaking to their families and/or support network, but it is imperative that staff remains present and available at all times during family visits and phone calls. As many of you have seen during your visits at a secure detention facility, family visits occurring in an open visitation room would separate tables and chairs for each family In our modern non-secure residences family group. groups typically occur in common spaces such as living rooms and recreation areas. In both, secure detention and NSP to establish persons in the visiting areas, but they do not call over our families—our families, and families are able to speak privately within the space. In cases where families wish for these committed (sic) matters, we can arrange for a special visits procured in a smaller room through a staff positioned nearby.

Youth in Secure Detention are also able to call their families at a minimum of once a week. Additional calls can be earned based on the youth level aspired at a behavioral modification program.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In Non-Secure Detention, Youth are able to make or receive calls twice per week, and additional calls can be earned based on their level of the NSP

referenced behavioral management system.

At intake the youth assigned case manage received a list of people that the youth is authorized o call. At the time of the call, the case manager directs the telephone numbers, waits for the person to pick up, verifies the identify of the person on the line, and then hands the phone to the Staff-staff has no ability to control what youth. appears at the other end of the line once the phone is handed to the youth. So the case manager remains nearby for the duration of the call to make sure the conversation remains appropriate, to de-escalate conflicts as they arise and to take actions when an unauthorized person joins the call. These calls have served as welcomed opportunities for parents and families to engage in group discussion with the case managers, and the youth about the youth progress in detention, and progress any issues that the youth-the youth and family wish to discuss. Youth are permitted contact—contact—who contact direct things (sic) via telephone as requested. And attorney calls

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

do not-and attorney calls do not subtract from the time-do not subtract from the time allotted to youth for family phone calls. As the family calls, the case manager and ensures the call to the youth's attorney, and verifies the identity of the person on the-on the line. But the case manager does not remain in the room when a young person is speaking to their attorney. As you know, the Division of Youth and Family Justice's mission is to improve outcomes for young people who come into our care, and that they receive services and programming we provide in detention our aim and meeting that objective. But in doing so, we must recognize the importance of perfecting the victims on the outside as well as protecting other residents from the-the victimization that incites. But I also make-maintaining a safe work environment for our staff. But other visitation and phone calls remove staff ability to ensure the conversations remain appropriate, that contraband is not being introduce, that victims are not being contacted directly but through third parties, and to diffuse the physical and verbal conflicts among those concerns. Thanks for the opportunity to comment on these bills, and to discuss the important work we are take your questions.

2.2

2.3

already doing to modernize and will help with the system to enhance family engagement, participation and improved correspondence, and we represent the Council's growing support as we continue to promote safety, and the fact that we're strengthening connections between youth and families. I'm happy to

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much,

Commissioner, and let me just acknowledge that we've

been joined by Council Member Lancman and Grodenchik,

which I'm sure you will probably have questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: [off mic]

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Great. So,

Commissioner, I want to take a moment to first thank
you, and all of your wonderful—I mean the staff for
all the work that you have done, and also your level
of openness in working with advocates in our
community and, of course with this Council, and it's
demonstrated in your level of effectiveness. Thank
you for your testimony, and I'd like to just go one
bill at a time if we can here. With Intro 1237, you
request that the language of the bill revised to
reflect that the ability to maintain the records
remains that of ACS and obviously opened—more than

back to you with a set date?

2	CHAIRPERSON	CABRERA:	Okay.
---	-------------	----------	-------

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: I need to talk to the assistants.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, do that also.

Intro 1451, I—I wasn't too clear from—from this end
was it that you support 1451. I don't know or—I was
looking for a statement that will say yes, no, maybe,
perhaps or to work on this.

think that our—our position has been, and I mean there's two parts. One of them that actually whatever change to visitation policy that we enact in New York City will have to be approved by OCFS. So that's a point of clarification. The second is around we could not only, but actually we want to be able to discuss with parents. I mean these are minors usually 15, 16 years old and that, who should be in the approved list of extended family and so forth.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So help me understand. [laughs] I'm so--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: So, I-I-

2 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] So
3 what do you—what do you need a green light from the

4 State?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: We need—we need a green light from the State if we wanted to make any change to our policies.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: In terms of the language of the bill—as I understand it. I may get this wrong—we are allowing for the minor to define Family Support Network, our current practice, and I think it's important to state that, is that we actually have a conversation with the youth and their guardian to determine who's fairly—the family and the Improvement of visitors. And—and we feel that a particular authorization that we were working with as the number young people continue to reduce in the system, we encounter more and more young people who have been victimized, and we take very seriously our mandate to ensure that they actually are not exposed to someone who could further victimize them.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Absolutely. I'm sure we could work on the language that we could finally codify it. My—my fear is there's always

2.2

2.3

going to be in your administration. We're—we're seeing that at the federal level, right as somebody could come let's say they get an order, or a different policy, and then we change our administrations, and sometimes, you know, policies that are changed that really don't—does not make sense to advocates, to the present administration, to the Council. So I would love to codify it, and—and to make it as permanent as we can.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, I'm—I'm looking forward to seeing how we could work in that language, and—and listening to the advocate as well and—and come with a—with a middle ground. 1452 you mentioned that you want to ensure, of course, that the contact does not place—in page 4 in your earlier testimony—does not place the youth or others in conference (sic) being your kid. Can you explain to us and—and the committee what are the possible client endangers in—when it comes to video conferencing?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: I don't-I don't-we don't see any harm in that, you know, we can just acknowledge itself. Again, we want to make also with the previous bid that we are actually to ensure

COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

that young people are contacting those that are positive—positive influences in their lives. So as we've done—we've done with previous policy, we want to make sure that our case managers and our staff can work with the youth, and their families to determine who should be a contact.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And so, you-your-your open to the idea of-of-of in this bill focusing on secure facilities?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Yes, and we actually have acquired technology. We actually doing the installation of the technology as we speak, and soon we will be able to allow families to come and be part of conference (sic) convening for young people in secure detention.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: The—the challenge is non-secure?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: I mean—I mean more than a challenge. I mean non-secure sites—there is one size that tends to be used for the lower risky kids who have to be detained by the nature of the—the way they're set up. Families actually come often to see their kids. They actually participate in outside the facility at CDC so families and

going to have significant, you know, improvements

with video conferences that are beside more calls

24

2.3

face. We actually are required to have our staff

25

[interposing] Sure, with our cases.

25

Services [pause]

2	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I love that.
3	[background comments, pause] Well, Commissioner, I
4	think this is one of the quickest, fastest probably
5	hearing I've ever had [laughs] with you, and that
6	sounds like a good sign. Again, I want to thank you
7	I'm looking forward to our continued discussion
8	regarding these bills. We're-we're looking forward
9	to moving this forward, and I would love for us to
10	have a meeting of the minds just have another day.
11	It's what benefits our children, and to make sure
12	that they have the best possible track in their
13	future. And again, I want to thank you, and all you
14	wonderful staff for all that you do.
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER FRANCO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much, and with that, we're going to have the next panel.

Reverend Windy Calderon from Bronx Connect and Rebecca Kinsella (sp?) from Brooklyn Defender

Good morning. My name is Rebecca

Kinsella and I am a Youth Social Worker for Brooklyn

Defender Services. I want to start by thanking the

committee and Chair Cabrera for the opportunity to

testify today. As a youth social worker, my caseload

include adolescents detained at Crossroads, Horizons 2 3 and also at Rikers Island. Therefore, I have seen 4 first hand how important and critical family 5 engagement is during detention for youth to just really to ensure smooth reentry post detention. 6 7 bills before the committee today are an important 8 first step in promoting family engagement for detained youth, and we are grateful to the Council for seeking to eliminate and prevent the barriers 10 11 that separate detained youth and their families. With the bills being introduced, and we want to also 12 thank you for the bills introduced, and for 13 14 considering our recommendations from our testimony in 15 September. We support the Council's efforts to 16 require ACS to maintain electronic health records for 17 Justice involved youth with Intro No. 1237. 18 support the Council's efforts to expand the category 19 of people who are able to visit detained youth in 20 Intro No. 1451. We also support the Council's 21 efforts to provide video conferencing in detention facilities with Intro No. 1452 and we would, however, 2.2 2.3 respectfully ask the Council to amend the language to make clear that any video conferencing should be free 24 for parties on the call. We support Intro No. 1453 25

2 | that will allow youth to request privacy during phone

3 calls, and I just want to once again thank you, Chair

4 Cabrera, and the committee for the opportunity to

5 testify and show our gratitude for the proposal

6 today.

1

7

8

REVEREND CALDERON-PAYNE: [off mic]

[ello. Can you hear me? Yes? [on mic] Now you can

I speak loud anyway but good morning

10 Chairperson Cabrera and all the members of the

11 Juvenile Justice Committee, City Council Committee.

12 I am Reverend Windy Calderon-Payne. I'm the Director

13 | over Bronx Connects. Bronx Connects is a faith based

14 community based program that offers alternative

15 detention and incarceration to connect court involved

16 youth with positive resources in their local

17 communities through mentoring relationships. We

18 prevent recidivism and address youth initiated goals

19 | and education and employment. Bronx Connects was

20 | actually the first ATD and ATI programs serving our

21 | Bronx community. Most people had to be sent out of

22 | borough. We began in 2000-in the year 2000. We've

23 | also been serving in the City's Crisis Management

24 Intro Violence System in the last I'd say about three

25 years. Thank you for allowing me to testify today.

25

2 I testified as the Executive Director of Community 3 Based Programs. We are staffed by members of the 4 community. We have been hiring ex-offenders since before Bloomberg told us we had to, and we are all people of color. We have served over 2,000 children 6 7 and young people over the last 17 years, and we hold 8 the lowest ATV arrest rate and failure to appear rate among the city's ATV programs. We fully support the recent activity of the City Council to improve and 10 11 support family bonds during a time a child's time in 12 detention, and the successful community based agency. 13 Family is a powerful tool to turn a child's life 14 around, and I'm going to say I'm calling them 15 children because they're children. If they're-if 16 they're juveniles, they're children in my heart and legally. Unfortunately, many times parents are seen 17 18 as part of the problem and not an active member of 19 the team whose responsibility it is to help the child 20 make decersions-decisions that will help them succeed 21 in life. So I'm going to quote some research by two 2.2 people: Grant Dude (sp?) and Valerie Carr. 2.3 studied the effects of prison visitation on recidivism among 16,420 offenders released from 24 Minnesota's prison between 2003 and 2007 using

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

multiple measures of visitation, any visit, total number of visits. Was it for months? The time of the visits and the number of individual visits andand recidivism, new offense conviction and technical violation revocation. They found that visitsvisitation significantly decreased the risk of recidivism, which is really wonderful, and I'm going to say-I'm going to say that as a community person, I tell parents do not forget the influence you have on a child. If you don't want certain activity, speak up, say it loud, say it clear. I actually had a young man come back and say the only reason he left the gang life because when he was in Rikers the only person who visited him was his daughter and his That was it and it was his mother, and he said it was for my daughter and my mom I left the gang life. There was nobody else visiting him. findings suggest that revising prison visitation policies to make them more visitor friendly, to yield public safety benefits by helping offenders establish a continuum of social support that from the prison to the community. Their study I actually cited in the paper if you want to look at it further.

Unfortunately, they also found that 39% of their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

sample were deemed unvisited inmates. Give the evidence that visitation has positive effect on recidivism, I would encourage the City Council to contract with community based agencies that actually can build to find out why young people aren't getting visits and why and how to reconcile that. And also if by any chance they really have no outside support to begin building that outside support within the community. Detention and incarceration upsets the family unit and plans for their future. By expanding the definition of-of family you increase the pool of those who can offer support and love to a child all In addition, add-adding privacy can the same. strengthen the family's ability to maintain bonds that encourage a positive behavior when the child returned home. I would say that the-that the quardian needs to help define who the family is because we have a lot of children who are trafficked and abused and in abusive relationships that they think are positive and they're not. Video conferencing has had great success with adults detained and incarcerated-and incarcerated populations in New York City and should be implemented for those detained in juvenile

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In addition, it seems obvious that if facilities. rehabilitation and support the changed behavior is our motive with detained youth, that health records would be kept electronically so that they can move with a child as they leave. Finally, mental health counseling should not be restrained by those who want to build a criminal case, and that which is discussed in counseling. Resolution 1025 is instrumental to allowing children to take full opportunity of the Council offered while in detention. Thank you for these very common sense changes, and thank you for community leaders who are pointing out ways we can improve these changes. We fully believe that community alternatives are best, but for those who are detained, a full spectrum of family funding support should be provided. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much, can I want to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Salamanca. I want to just take a moment to thank the advocates because as a matter of fact I received correspondence from Media Outlet asking me where did you get all these ideas from, and I have to say it is the advocates. So thank you and we take very serious your testimony. We review them.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We come back with some idea. So really, this is the fruit of your labor, of your direct contact that you have with the young people because you know best what's happening in their lives. I want to thank you also for the suggestion of making the video conferencing for free. When you think about that, I think that is something should be provided. don't want the lack of resources to prohibit the young people to be able, and their family to be able to have contact. I-I did have one question. It was in regards to the way everyone-in the-you know, you mentioned this in your testimony. With the Commissioners—have they come about a different issuing(sic) of family, and if I heard right, it's in consultation with the young person and with the quardian and--

REVEREND CALDERON-PAYNE: Well, I to be honest I've not even—I—I wasn't here for the initial. I don't know how they're defining family, but I know for our families there are times that a big sister will just play the role or a brother—in—law might play the role, or an uncle may pay the role and really, you know, sometimes people work in jobs where they can't just take off, or they have to watch kids,

Right.

REVEREND CALDERON-PAYNE: [interposing]

criteria has to be fined as somebody--

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: --and if I heard the Commissioner right, they—they spoke about the

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

idea of again the young person, the guardian and
working alongside with—with his staff. So is this—
is—is this along the same lines that the advocates

are looking to do so we could codify this?

REVEREND CALDERON-PAYNE: Yes. I think, you know, even in public school you're allowed to say if somebody doesn't have-cannot have access to you. So let's say you codified that uncles are allowed to visit, but I think in public you're actually allowed to say this person does not-is not allowed to have access to my child. So, you-I think that would be a way of saying if a-if a mom is or that uncle is abusive, I think maybe that uncle abused her. So even though uncles are allowed, I'm saying this person does-cannot have access to her, or this boyfriend is, you know-I don't know the way how they do that, but, you know, I think someone should be able to say this is an abusive person. I don't want them to have access.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Just wanted to have to Council Member Grodenchik. He has question.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you.

I'll talk loud, too. Can you tell me—I was happy to hear that—that your rates for recidivism of the

2.2

2.3

2 arrest rates are—are lower? Can you tell me what 3 those rates are?

REVEREND CALDERON-PAYNE: So when we ran our ATV program we had a failure to appear rate. So the whole program, the ATV program was so that kids did not have to go to Horizon. They stayed in the community, and if they didn't come to court, they got a failure to appear warrant. So over I think a thousand kids in eight years or seven years we had a 5% failure to appear rate. The City was requiring a 7% failure to appear rate. Our re-arrest rates for that ATV population the city required a 10% re-arrest rate and we had an 8% re-arrest rate. A thousand children over eight years.

COUNCIL MEMBER GRODENCHIK: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you for your work.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much and with that we conclude. Again, I want to thank all the advocates for all the great work that you're doing, and again for being a catalyst for these pieces of legislation, and with that, we conclude today.

1	COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE	38
2	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Have a wonderful	
3	day, everyone. [gavel]	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 15, 2017