CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

Jointly with

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

----- X

January 17, 2017 Start: 10:08 a.m. Recess: 1:35 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Elizabeth S. Crowley

Chairperson

Vanessa L. Gibson

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Mathieu Eugene
Fernando Cabrera
Rory I. Lancman
Paul A. Vallone
Vincent J. Gentile

James Vacca

Julissa Ferreras-Copeland

Jumaane D. Williams
Robert E. Cornegy, Jr.

Chaim M. Deutsch Rafael Espinal, Jr.

World Wide Dictation 545 Saw Mill River Road – Suite 2C, Ardsley, NY 10502 Phone: 914-964-8500 * 800-442-5993 * Fax: 914-964-8470 www.WorldWideDictation.com

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Rory I. Lancman Ritchie J. Torres Steven Matteo

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Joseph Ponte Department of Corrections Commissioner

Darcel Clark
Bronx District Attorney

Jeff Thamkittikasem
Department of Corrections Chief of Staff

Elias Husamudeen Corrections Officers' Benevolent Association

Thomas Farrell Legislative Chairman

Faisal Zouhbi Assistant Deputy Wardens Association

Peter Kiers Director of

Peter Jones Legal Aid Society

Nick Malinowski Brooklyn Defender Services

Scott Levy Bronx Defenders

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Sergio De La Pava New York County Defenders

Rachel Foran
Brooklyn Community Bail Fund

Joshua Norkin Legal Aid Society

	4

1 COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Good morning. My 3 name's Elizabeth Crowley, and I'm the Chair of the 4 Fire and Criminal Justice Services Committee. 5 is a joint Oversight hearing with the Committee on 6 Public Safety chaired by Council Member Vanessa Gibson. At today's hearing we will examine the 8 prosecution of violent incidents in our City's jails. The Fire and Criminal Justice Services Committee will 10 also be hearing Proposal Introductory Bill 1373A. 11 want to begin by giving some opening remarks about 12 our joint oversight hearing, and I will reserve my remarks about Intro 1378A for our second panel. 13 14 Despite the best intentions of our City's Department 15 of Corrections, violence continues to plague our city 16 jails. According to the Mayor's Management Report, 17 inmate on inmate violence has increased substantially 18 each of the past four years, and inmate on staff 19 violence has increased for at least four straight 20 years before finally slowing down slightly in the 21 most recent fiscal year. Whether it's between inmates or between staff and inmates, violence in our 2.2 23 city jails is not acceptable. One should be safer in 24 our jails, and it's certainly not acceptable for

someone to be stabbed or slashed on Rikers Island.

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES It's no more acceptable for someone to be slashed or stabbed on Rikers Island than on our city streets, and we should expect as robust of an investigation and prosecution of violence in jails as we do for any other acts of violence. That is why I was disturbed to learn in 2015 that former Bronx District Attorney Robert Johnson had a serious backlog of cases coming from Rikers Island and that there was no-- and that there was a public rift between the then District Attorney and the Department of Corrections about who was responsible for this backlog and an overall lack of meaningful prosecution of cases from Rikers It is unacceptable that correction officers Island. who work hard every day in one of the most difficult and demanding jobs in our city could be attacked while doing their job, yet their case would not be prosecuted in the same way as if they were attacked on their way home from work, and it is equally unfair to the inmates who are more often the victims of this violence, most of whom are pre-trail detainees who have not been convicted of anything, that these crimes and the crime victims don't have the same rights as others. I'm also interested in the due process as those accused while on Rikers Island

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES should have access to legal counsel. Rikers Island already has a culture of violence as described by the United States Department of Justice, and a lack of meaningful prosecution of violence can only add to this culture. I have bene very pleased to hear about the progress that the new Bronx District Attorney Darcel Clark has made on this issue. From tripling her staff who work on jail violence issues to opening a new facility on Rikers Island, and even a preliminary glance at the number of inmates arrested as reported in the Mayor's Management Report indicates that progress is already being made. very interested to learn more from DA Clark about her efforts thus far and what her plans are for the I'm also interested in learning more about the Department of Corrections and what it has done to ensure that the investigation's gathering of evidence and processing of arrests are occurring efficiently and judiciously, because we've learned that it's only with the close cooperation of both the Department of Correction and the District Attorney that violence can be meaningfully prosecuted in our city jails. thank the staff for helping put this hearing together, and I thank all the Council Members who are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committees on public safety & fire and criminal justice services 8

here in attendance, and I will name them before I

recognize my Co-chair, Council Member Vanessa Gibson.

Here today on the Committee of Fire and Criminal

Justice, Council Member Rory Lancman, and then I will

let my Co-chair recognize the members of her

committee.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much, Chair Crowley. Good morning to each and every one of you. Welcome to City Hall to our chambers. I am Council Member Vanessa Gibson of the 16th District in the Bronx, proud to chair the Committee on Public Safety. I want to thank my fellow colleague and Co-Chair, Council Member Elizabeth Crowley for joining me to chair this important hearing this morning that's entitled, "Prosecuting Violence in our City's Jails." I'd also like to thank my colleagues and members of the Public Safety Committee who are here this morning. As many of you know, over the past several years there's been an increase in violence in our City's jails. In 2016, there were 11,240 incidents of fights and assault infractions reported in our jails. Just recently, in the summer of last year, there were several high-profile assaults by inmates on guards, and this fall an inmate was

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES slashed in his face by other detainees. As New Yorkers we all have a responsibility to keep everyone safe, and that not only means preserving safety on our city streets, but certainly protecting our most vulnerable and often unseen residents such as those that are behind bars, and we also want to protect the civilian staff and all of the corrections officers that do work each and every day to provide safety and security and provide public safety. Part of keeping our inmates and officers safe is holding everyone accountable and those who perpetuate the violence and the cycle of violence in our city's jails. all of the cases that involve inmates are investigated by the Department of Corrections staff. Arrests of inmates have increased dramatically from 795 arrests in 2015 to 1,538 arrests in 2016. While the Department of Corrections investigates each case, the ultimate responsibility to prosecute individuals on Rikers Island is with our Bronx District Attorney. In 2015 there were numerous reports that then the District Attorney Robert Johnson was facing challenges with keeping up with all of the cases coming from Rikers Island. Not only did the cases increase the backlog of the cases in the borough, but

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES there were also challenges with coordinating the prosecution with the Department of Corrections staff as well as access to the jails to investigate cases for prosecution. In response to these issues and other challenges, our new Bronx District Attorney just completing her first year in office, the Honorable Darcel Clark, created the Rikers Island Prosecution Bureau. This new bureau created in September of 2016 is staffed with investigators, administrators and assistant District Attorneys located on the island with the mission of making Rikers Island a safer place by ensuring faster and efficient prosecutions of crimes committed by inmates and visitors to the jail. Not only will the bureau arrest individuals that committed crimes on the island, but they are proactively working to dismantle criminal networks that are working throughout Rikers Island and collaborating with the special investigations and public integrity departments of the District Attorney's office. Although this unit has only been up and running for just a few short months, I am certainly interested this morning in today's hearing in learning about the first few months of operation and how individuals who commit

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES crimes on the island are held accountable. addition, we'd also like to hear from the Department of Corrections staff and the steps they're taking to decrease violence and crime internally so that we can keep working on both guard's and inmate's safety in our city's jails. I know we have a lot to get to today, and I want to thank the Commissioner and our Bronx District Attorney for being here for my county of the Bronx. I want to thank you both for all the work you do. Certainly, it's not easy, but you have partners here at the City Council who are working very closely with you hand-in-hand. I too want to thank the staff of the Public Safety Committee, our Senior Legislative Counsel, Deepa Ambekar [sp?], our Legislative Counsel, Beth Golub [sp?], our Legislative Policy Analyst, Casey Addison, and our Senior Legislative Financial Analyst, Steve Riester [sp?], and I'd also like to recognize that we've been joined by members of the Public Safety Committee, our Minority Leader, Council Member Steve Matteo, Council Member Rory Lancman, Vincent Gentile, and James Thank you once again for everyone being here, and I look forward to a fruitful and productive discussion on today's hearing and a very important

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

topic. And now I'll turn this back over to my colleague and Co-chair, Chair Crowley. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you, Co-chair Gibson. So, today we have our first panel to testify, Commissioner of Department of Corrections, as well as the Bronx District Attorney. I understand that the DOC Commissioner has to leave early. So, is it true that you just have about 15 more minutes So, I think that it makes sense to forgo your here? opening statement and let-- we'll just go into questions. Right? Unless, you know, you would like to do it quickly? I would like an opportunity to ask you questions directly before you leave. Could you summarize it in less than five minutes? I mean, because anyone could have read your testimony. the questions that we want answers. If you could try to best summarize your testimony, and then when we do ask you questions to you both, you Commissioner and to the District Attorney. Can you just raise your right hand and affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the Council and before this committee and answer any questions honestly?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 13

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you.

commissioner ponte: So now for the time, essence of time I'd be more than happy to answer your questions. We do have testimony we'll submit. So, I apologize for the fact I have an appointment.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Understood

Commissioner. So, I'll get right into questions.

How does the Department of Corrections decide which cases to refer to prosecution, and before that happens, are your inmates who are about to get prosecuted, do they understand their right to counsel?

that occurs on island, our officers make the arrest in cooperation with the District Attorney's office.

So, it's usually a dialogue, a discussion as the case evolves as to how to proceed if there's an arrest, does it need more evidence; all those discussions happen now with the bureau on island. The typical arrest protocols in place, meaning that at the time of the arrest the inmate is informed of his or her right to counsel.

2.2

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 14

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Every time there's a use of force, is that when the DOC decides to investigate?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So, all incidents,

be it forced or other types of incidents in the jail

are investigated at different levels depending on the

type of incident. It may be a facility

investigation. Many investigations are handled by

our Internal Affairs or ID Unit depending on the

level of severity.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, you do some type of review, and then at what point do you contact the District Attorney's Office?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: If we believe it's a crime we'll typically— and now with the attorney, with the DA Bureau on island, they're typically involved early on in the cases, and there've been phone calls or inquiring, depend— you know, the— we do what's called a COD which is generally talks about incidents and reports those on the— on our iPad, Blackberries or devices. So, the DA typically would be aware of an event. They may step in early depending on the severity of the event. Anything that's criminal in nature will be investigated by

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 either our ID or our CIB staff in conjunction with 2 the Bureau Chief. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, let's say there was a situation where violence breaks out and an 5 inmate is slashed. How soon does the District 6 7 Attorney's office get involved in your investigation? 8 COMMISSIONER PONTE: It could be 9 immediate. I'll let the DA talk-- speak to that, but it could be immediate. It could be at the point of 10 11 the event. 12 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But now you have the ability because the DA's office has offices on Rikers 13 14 Island to get -- and this is different from before. 15 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right. 16 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: To get involved 17 right away. 18 COMMISSIONER PONTE: They may show right up at the crime scene. They may actually go into the 19 20 jail at the event. 21 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay. And at what point do you notify the defense's counsel? 2.2 2.3 COMMISSIONER PONTE: So, we not-- we advise the inmate of his right to counsel. I'm not 24

sure on our need to notify his current counsel.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, because we've
heard from the Bronx Defenders, they say that they're
never informed when the Department of Corrections
questions one of their clients, and that some process
needs to be put in place to make sure that the
defendant who is getting pros-- who is about to be
prosecuted again, understand that they have the right
to counsel.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: And many people may be in, you know, question as a result of an event and no be the perpetrator. So, we may, you know, as part of any investigation talk to many inmates who may not be arrested in this case.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But do they understand that what they're saying could be used against them?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: If they're a suspect, they would be advised of their rights, yes.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Now, are you working— the DOC is working closely with the District Attorney's Office to dismantle criminal networks? You have the wherewithal?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think as we develop better intel, looking at the criminal

networks that occur inside and outside of our jails, much of either drug trade or weapons. We work very closely with the DA's office to-- obviously, when you say dismantle, you don't close-- it's not-- we don't close everything. We'll obviously take an event, press charges, and there'll be other networks that are created behind those. So, it's a continuous effort to stop criminal behavior inside our jails.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: When an incident happens, how much do you work together to investigate it to prevent a similar type of situation to happen Do you have a procedure sin place where you can then sit down with Correction Officers? And in the real world you're supposed to have a greater control on what happens, because that's the job of the Department of Corrections. However, it seems that one is less safe behind bars. So, what are you doing to really understand why so much inmate on inmate violence is continuing to happen? Through these types of investigations are you able to then work with your officers to say, "Well, next time to avoid a situation like this from happening again we're going to do this differently."

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

camera coverage at all of our facilities on Rikers

Island, all of our housing areas, many of those

events are reviewed real time with us there. After

any investigation those events are reviewed at a very

high level, and feedback is given to the facility on

how to improve both safety and staff performance.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: In the past, the

Department of Corrections has been criticized about

not getting inmates to court appearances in a timely

manner. Now I imagine that has changed. Is that now

the case that they're showing up for these types of

prosecutions?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: We're doing much better. We were just recognized by the courts on our on-time performance over the last couple months.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And of the prosecutions that are happening, there are prosecutions happening on the island, or is it just the investigation? Has anyone come before the judge on the island?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: I'll leave that to the DA to respond to.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Do you believe that 2 3 this new partnership is helping to reduce overall violence? 4 COMMISSIONER PONTE: I believe the final outcome will be to reduce overall violence, yes. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, you believe that now more people are getting arrested that probably 8 weren't arrested in the past? COMMISSIONER PONTE: I believe that 10 11 incurring in bigger numbers, yes. 12 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, why isn't this 13 helping to reduce the violence? COMMISSIONER PONTE: I think the best 14 15 outcome would be consecutive sentencing for these 16 acts. We're still not there yet. I think as those 17 inmates who now pay additional years on their 18 sentence based on violence inside of our jails that 19 will have a dramatic impact. 20 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Are there numbers 21 of how many people have been prosecuted? That's 2.2 really the DA's, I think. 2.3 COMMISSIONER PONTE: Right. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I'm going to 24

recognize the Co-chair for her questions.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Than

1

2

14

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,

3 Commissioner, and I know our time is short. So,

4 certainly after today's hearing, I mean, there will

5 be much more conversation about this topic which I

6 think is very important. I'm going to ask two very

7 | quick questions. In my opening I talked about the

8 increase in arrest form 795 in 2015 to about 1,538 in

9 2016, and I'm looking through your testimony and I

10 see the efforts that have been made to reduce

11 | violence. So could you just describe for us where

12 | the increases are coming from? Is it more violence,

increased population? Is there more contraband? Can

you give us a sense of the increase in numbers, what

15 | that's attributed to?

16 COMMISSIONER PONTE: So, there's a number

of factors we could look at, both from-- you know,

18 one of the good things is that we've hired a lot of

19 new staff. One of the things we know with new staff,

20 | it takes time for them to be seasoned and perform at

21 a certain level. So that's one of the issues. You

22 know, the retirement bill in the Department of

23 Corrections is a 20-year retirement. So, we have a

lot of experience walking out the door. In the

agency 20 years ago there was a hiring-- the City had

a hiring freeze, which obviously now we're in the retirement height that we-- a number of staff who could leave in fairly large numbers, which hurts our experience level in our jails. Those are factors.

The gang issues in our jails is so problematic for us, and while the inmates are smaller in number, they're-- obviously, their charges are much more serious. So more violent in nature inmates coming into our jails.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And when you talked in your testimony about the 14-point Antiviolence Reform Agenda you talked about deescalation and crisis intervention training. Can you give us a sense of where we are with that, and does that apply to both uniformed and civilian staff, meaning the medical staff?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: It applies to all.

As we've had great success in our MO Mental Health

Units, it's a teamwork between mental health staff

and our uniformed staff. De-escalation is something

we train all of our staff in coming new out of the

Academy. It not-- while it's good and it's

effective, it's not the answer. It's not the total

2.2

2.3

committees on public safety & fire and criminal justice services 22 answer. So, it's something we've had great success in our mental health units.

and the curriculum, do you get feedback from both uniform and civilian staff on is it successful? Do they feel it's helpful for them to further do their jobs? I know many times in the past, and if you talk to anyone who's a CO these days, they will tell you that they're not social workers. But we recognize the increased level of mental illness that many of our individuals are facing every day. So what has been the feedback from the staff on the curriculum that they're being trained?

commissioner ponte: It's been extremely possible. Staff have used it. It's been successful. It's reduced our incidents in our mental health units substantially over time. The fact that the offices and the clinicians talk as a team now. It's been very effective, less violence.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, thank you. Chair Crowley?

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Commissioner, what is enhanced supervised housing?

2.2

2.3

24

process for that also.

what is the process? Why is somebody in enhanced supervised versus punitive segregation, and how is it really different if from what I understand from what BOC Board Member Hamill had said that the people who are in the enhanced supervised housing are in restraints whenever they're not in their cell. At least with punitive segregation when you're outside of your cell you're not in restraints. Is that correct?

segregation is a sanction given to inmates based on conduct within the jail. It's a sentence of anywhere from one to, in the most violent offenses, 60 days, and then you release on that status. Enhanced supervision housing is a-- it's just what it states, increased supervision of inmates who are showing a propensity for violence in our jails.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Do they have to infract in order to get into that?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: You would have had to create to commit an event. That probably would have landed you in punitive seq, and then eventually

2.2

2.3

into enhanced supervision housing depending on your history and the violence that you've created.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Is anyone with a mental health diagnosis in this enhanced supervised housing?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Seriously mentally ill generally are not in those housing units.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But if you have a mental health diagnosis, you can be in those restraints.

COMMISSIONER PONTE: That's a possibility because there's degrees of mental illness.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, this is a-- the people in enhanced supervised housing would have ordinarily been in punitive segregation. Is that what you're saying? You're trying to decrease the amount?

they would have gone through punitive seg. It is an increased supervision after that. So, somebody in 60 days after serving in punitive seg doesn't all of the sudden become less violent. So it's a way to increase inmate and staff safety to enhanced supervision after--

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] And it's allowed within the rules of the Board of Correction?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes, it was created in the rules of the Board of Corrections.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And did they know that the inmate would be restrained anytime they were not in the cell?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: So, an ESH Level I, it's a new system we created in October of last year in order to reduce the violence in some of our more problematic areas. Those inmates are in restraints while they're at a restraint desk in Level I, because of safety concerns for staff and other inmates. typically are very violent. They have either slashed or seriously assaulted others. That's why they're in that status. They have the ability to progress through those statuses, to be out of restraints in Level II and then more out-of-cell time in Level III.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: At any time during the day, are they outside of their cell without the restraints?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: If they're in rack and showers, yes they are.

2

1

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Do they have an

3

hour of recreation without the--

4

COMMISSIONER PONTE: [interposing] They

COMMISSIONER PONTE: No, I think it's

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And then you could

5

have an hour recreation, that's correct.

6

assure the committee here that anybody in enhanced

7 8

supervised housing would ordinarily be in punitive

segregation, but you're trying to reduce the numbers

10

that you have in punitive segregation.

11

12 more along how do you safely manage somebody over a

long period of time. I mean, somebody slashing

somebody, you know, after 30 days or 60 days in

punitive seg, where you going to put them after?

this is where we place inmates after they may have

served a punitive segregation sentence so they can be

And the board

safely managed prior to their return to population.

Corrections that gives the amount of time oen would

supervised housing. Who makes up-- who makes those

decisions in terms of length time? What type of due

be either in punitive segregation or in enhanced

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:

internally that you have in the Department of

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

process internally is there?

1	COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 28
2	COMMISSIONER PONTE: So, it's in the
3	rule. It was created ESH was created by a rule of
4	the BOC, so that's where the hours of cell time come
5	from, which is seven hours
6	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] But
7	when one is sentenced to these restrictive housing
8	areas, don't they come before a panel?
9	COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes. So, and
10	inmate
11	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] So,
12	who makes up the panel?
13	COMMISSIONER PONTE: An inmate who goes
14	into ESH gets due process prior to being placed.
15	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And who's on the
16	panel?
17	COMMISSIONER PONTE: Just the Adjudication
18	Captain, somebody who normally hears punitive seg,
19	but also hear inmates for placement in ESH.
20	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay, I
21	Commissioner, I understand you have to go.
22	COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, somebody from
24	the department is here to answer questions?

COMMISSIONER PONTE: Yes.

25

appropriate.

District Attorney I have jurisdiction over all

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES offenses committed on Rikers Island, even though the bridge to the island runs from Queens, I have legal jurisdiction over any offense committed at any of the facilities on that piece of land. I was here nearly 10 months ago, that was in March 2016, asking for your help in providing funding that would allow me to transform Rikers Island, which is my toughest neighborhood, to a facility where people are treated humanely and can work or visit without fear. same month I created the Rikers Island Prosecution In that same month I created the Rikers Island Prosecution Bureau and the Public Integrity Bureau which work together to prosecute crimes at Rikers. The Rikers Island Prosecution Bureau focuses on crimes by inmates and visitors while the Public Integrity Bureau has official misconduct as its focus. You came through for me, and for that, I thank you so very much. The City provided the necessary funds to open a satellite office to house the bureau on Rikers Island and it officially opened its doors almost four months ago. I promised to jump start reforms, and I believe we have made progress in the few months that we have been there working side by side on the front lines with the Department of

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES Corrections we can measure success in numbers, the number of arrests, the number of convictions of brutal attackers and contraband smugglers, and the number of years behind bars that offenders served. The Rikers Island Prosecution and the Public Integrity Bureau now have a combined total of 27 Assistant District Attorneys and 10 support staff members, and I am currently in the process of hiring more personnel for both bureaus. The two bureaus work seamlessly with each other and with the Department of Corrections and Department of Investigation. The Rikers Island Prosecution Bureau is currently handling over 100 pending indictments and 81 felony investigations involving inmates and visitors. Last year, my office prosecuted almost 1,100 cases of crimes committed on Rikers Island. Approximately 300 of those cases were felonies and 700 were misdemeanors. Those charged included inmates, their family and friends, as well as correction officers and staff who actively participated in the smuggling of contraband into the jails. And of that number, we have disclosed of more than half with convictions. Since the creation of the Public Integrity Bureau in March 2016, we have

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 33 convicted 17 correction officers at Rikers Island on charges of promoting prison contraband, assault, offering false instruments for filing, falsifying business records, and official misconduct. focused my officer's efforts on creating better communication with DOC and other prosecutors' offices about the defendants who commit violent offenses on Rikers Island. We are trying to work on global dispositions of pending felony matter matters, or to get those defendants' cases tried expeditiously so that we can get them off the island as soon as possible. Now, look, we can always prosecute violence that has occurred, but I would suspect that it would better and wouldn't it better to prevent violence from happening in the first place, and I assure you that the answer is, "Yes, of course it is." So, let's talk about ways that we can reduce violence on Rikers Island. You and I have both seen the grisly reports of crimes that happened on Rikers It was just two weeks ago that an inmate was slashed on the bus on the way back to a Rikers jail, and news reports showed a long gash marring his face. So why do these things continue to happen? Let me tell you what I've learned. I want you to look at

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES this two-dollar roll of black electric tape. rolls of tape like this can help inmates smuggle scalpels and other dangerous instruments that have maimed and in some cases nearly killed inmates, correction officers and DOC staff. How does this Well, when a scalpel is completely wrapped happen? in black electrical tape, it will bypass the metal detectors at the entrance of the Rikers jail. much does a scalpel cost? Well, 100 scalpels can be bought online for less than 10 dollars, 100 for 10 dollars on most of the sites, and if you're lucky, you could even get a hundred for about five dollars on EBay. In the jail, one scalpel is worth 50 dollars each. A scalpel is contraband, and what contraband is is any item that is not permitted in a jail. A scalpel is contraband because it can be used as a weapon and is inherently dangerous. addition, objects like tobacco are an invaluable commodity behind bars and therefore is also contraband. Marijuana is contraband, as are all other illegal drugs. Trafficking in contraband leads to violence at Rikers. For example, in a recent investigation into a tobacco smuggling ring, DLC investigative staff intercepted packages of tobacco

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 3.5 When the inmate dealer and his and marijuana. cohorts didn't get the packages, they assumed that they were stolen. The inmates were heard on surveillance plotting to brutally attack other inmates over this theft. Fortunately, arrests were made before the tobacco could fuel such violence. Unfortunately, this is only one of many examples of how contraband is a catalyst for violence. very clear and present danger for both staff and inmates. Small amounts of tobacco and marijuana can rake in thousands of dollars on Rikers Island. know that contraband is a leading trigger of violence because we've seen it time and time again, but there is state of the art technology that if available to DOC would detect weapons and contraband. More than half of the contraband smuggled into Rikers is brought in by secreting it in body cavities. I support Commissioner Ponte's recommendation on this technology, TSA-style body scanners, the cell sense [sic] plus portable scanners, and the ionizing radiation body scanners, along with the enabling legislation that would help them be able to use those idolizing [sic] body scanners. I have described significant re-- I have dedicated significant

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES resources of my office to prosecuting the violence on Rikers Island, but as much as we are committed to working hard on prosecuting cases of contraband and the devastating results that they produce, investigators simply cannot uncover smuggled items into the facilities without the proper technology at their disposal. The other leading factor in violence is gangs. It is well-known that gangs turn to violence to retaliate against members suspected of cooperating with law enforcement. It is also not merely a coincidence that the targeted efforts against gangs by District Attorneys of this city and NYPD have further caused beat-downs and slashing's behind bars. Moreover, large take-downs of specific gangs had led to larger concentration of gangs held on Rikers Island. Once again, the response to this is brutality as larger gangs want to assert their dominance while on Rikers Island. And Rikers is the hub of a criminal network that has tentacles because it goes beyond the walls of the jails and reaches throughout the City. The Rikers Island Bureau assisted my Gangs Bureau to make a case against the leader of the Bloodhound Brims who allegedly was ordering shootings and stabbings of rivals from his

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES cell at Rikers. A major deterrent to such violence is consecutive sentencing for crimes committed in correctional facilities. Indeed, consecutive sentencing is what my office seeks to achieve in making recommendations to judges as sentencing proceedings for violent inmates. When individuals commit crime in custody, my ADA's are instructed to recommend consecutive sentences. What that means is that the defendant will begin to serve his prison term for the crime he committed on Rikers Island only after he has completely served his term for the crime that placed him in Rikers Island in the first place. It is, of course, the judge who has the final say whether to impose such a consecutive sentence, but our Rikers Island Prosecution Bureau is having positive results in this area through preparation and zealous advocacy. We need to show inmates who commit these devastating assaults that there will be real consequences and that they will come at a steep price, and that is longer jail sentences. example, a defendant who slashed an inmate at Rikers received four years for it, and the judge made it consecutive, too. That is on top of the prison term that he got for his underlying case. We consider

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES that a success, because swift and certain consecutive sentences for violence committed in DOC facility should deter violence and not encourage it. Finally, the question is this, where do we go from here? There are impediments to making Rikers safer that are inherent in the very nature of what a jail is required to do, to provide care, custody and control of those accused of crimes. When an assault occurs in the jails, many times we face obstacles to prosecution. DOC staff need to secure the perpetrators and clean up the areas in order to resume normal operations before DOC investigators arrive. That, of course, is the nature of running that sort of facility. Rikers cannot stop being a jail, and we cannot stop being prosecutors who have a need to preserve a crime scene. There is a natural tension there. The use of video surveillance and defendants' statements have significantly assisted my officer's efforts to hold violent inmates accountable. We continue to explore technology that is being used by other law enforcement that allow for the quick gathering of data and the essential preservation of the crime scene. My office has arranged for the NYPD to provide training to a

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES greater number of DOC investigative staff as well as Rikers Bureau, Detectives, Investigators, and DOI personnel. We will find a means through with the Department of Corrections can become certified in evidence collection in the same way as NYPD. We also believe DOC investigators should receive training similar to that of detectives in NYPD and Fire Marshalls in the Fire Department. We would also like to have DOC obtain 3D evidence scanners to memorialize crime scenes accurately and swiftly. As to the courts, with the assistance of the Honorable George Grasso [sp?], the New York City Criminal Court Citywide Administrative Judge for Arraignments, we successfully cleared a backlog of over 100 Rikers cases that had been pending arraignment for long periods of time. More importantly, through better communication and coordination with DOC and the courts, my office should never be placed in the position of having that sort of backlog again. believe my office and its new practices and procedures have had a positive impact on Rikers Island. However, challenges remain. As I said at the outset, Rikers is my toughest neighborhood. knew when I started that there would be no quick fix,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

but we are making progress more quickly than I thought. We have begun to make some in-roads in just four months, and now we're identifying what we need to do to go further to make things safer. My office is committed to being a strong partner with DOC in the efforts to reform Rikers Island. I intend to use our presence there to ensure that crimes are investigated and prosecuted more quickly, and I am confident that we are moving in the right direction. Thank you very much for your continued support.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,

DA Clark. That was an extensive and very

comprehensive analysis of your work with this unit in

just four short months. I want to commend you and

certainly thank you for the work you've done, your

advocacy, making sure that you got the sufficient

funding and the adopted budget was very crucial to

moving forward. I was there at the opening and I was

happy to see the level of staff that's already been

dedicated. So, I just want to get into a couple of

questions, and first, let me acknowledge the members

who have joined us. We have Council Members Julissa

Ferreras Copeland, Council Member Jumaane Williams,

Council Member Mathieu Eugene, Council Member Rafael

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 Espinal, Council Member Chaim Deutsch, Council Member 2 3 Ritchie Torres, and Council Member Fernando Cabrera 4 have joined us. Thank you very much, colleagues. So, first I want to begin with the staffing. You indicated the prosecution unit and the Public 6 7 Integrity Bureau. You have 27 ADA's and 10 support 8 staff. Can you give us an analysis? Are there more staff in the Prosecution Unit or more Public Integrity Unit? Because they have two different 10 11 functions, right? DARCEL CLARK: Well, presently they have 12 13 about an even amount. 14 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. 15 DARCEL CLARK: But we need more, and 16 thankfully, for the funding that we received from the 17 City, I am still in the process of hiring more 18 Assistant DA's as well as support and administrative 19 staff to assist us in that effort.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So, just for clarification, the new Rikers Island Prosecution

Bureau focuses only on cases in which the inmate or a visitor is a defendant, but not when a correction officer is the defendant.

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DARCEL CLARK: That's correct.

2 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

DARCEL CLARK: Rikers Island deals with inmates and visitors. Public Integrity deals with DOC staff and officers.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, great. If an offense occurs in the jail, who investigates the offense first? Can you give us a step-by-step on what process takes place when an offence occurs on the jail? Does DOC begin the investigation, because in your testimony you talked a lot about different measures you'd like to incorporate with staffing, and including NYPD Investigators where DOC officials are essentially trained the same as in NYPD Investigators?

DARCEL CLARK: Right. When something happens on the island, it's the Department of Corrections that initiates the investigation, at least as far as the Rikers Island Prosecution Bureau is concerned. With the Public Integrity piece it can be DOC as well as the Department of Investigation. It also has a jurisdiction over investigations of Public Integrity. So, it would be DOC. If there's an incident it depends on what the incident is.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 Everything is on a case-by-case basis, but DOC is on 2 3 the ground first. 4 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And speaking 5 of cases, you mentioned that your bureau is handling 100 pending indictments and 81 pending felony 6 7 investigations involving inmates and visitors. 8 DARCEL CLARK: Yes. 9 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Are these cases that you inherited or is this just since the unit was 10 11 created four months ago? DARCEL CLARK: It's a combination. 12 13 of them are-- you know, before the bureau got on-14 island, we still were having cases--15 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Right. 16 DARCEL CLARK: brought in. I was still 17 prosecuting them. So, it's a combination of both. 18 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, so the cases 19 you inherited plus current cases, you know, obviously 20 this unit is to streamline the process much better so 21 that you have ADA's that are only focused on these 2.2 types of cases, correct? 2.3 DARCEL CLARK: Right, yes. CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And also let 24

me ask some of the -- so you said that you are in the

1 COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 44
2 process of hiring additional staff for both the
3 prosecution unit and Public Integrity, right?
4 DARCEL CLARK: I'm in the process of
5 hiring Assistant DA's for my entire office. As you
6 know, we changed office to vertical prosecution.
7 What the type of-- because we're on-island, there's

What the type of-- because we're on-island, there's a lot more cases that we're investigating right away, so I really need more assistance in Rikers Island immediately, and I'm interviewing every day.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, well I'm glad you put that out there for the public. So, I'm sure that--

DARCEL CLARK: [interposing] Yes, go to my website--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: there will be many-DARCEL CLARK: [interposing] BronxDA.gov.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: interested parties that will be coming to you. Wanted to ask, you work day-to-day with DOC officials and investigative staff. What is the relationship you have as well with the correctional staff? So, the union that represents correction officers, what's the relationship and how are you guys working together?

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

DARCEL CLARK: I think we're working well with the Corrections Unions as well. I know that the President of COBA is here, Elias Husamudeen and we speak on a regular basis, and I think that we've developed a pretty good working relationship, professional. We don't always agree, but we agree to disagree, but we keep the lines of communication open. So, we have a very good relationship with them.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. One of the challenges that we talked about months ago before the unit was created was the transportation and getting inmates from Rikers Island to the Bronx to go into court. I know that there is another stakeholder that's involved the Office of Court Administration and with it being a new year, is there still current conversations and will it be a priority to work with the state to find out ways in which we can get a physical courthouse on the island? Is that still a plan of yours?

DARCEL CLARK: Yes, and I think we have an agreement in principle with the Office of Court Administration. I had a meeting last week with the Chief Administrative Judge of the state, Larry Marks,

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 and we agree in principle, and it is going to be a 2 3 priority this year, because I think it would be a 4 tremendous help to have a courthouse right there on Rikers Island. It's just the logistics that I think that has to be worked out. I know that the courts, 6 one of their-- one of the asks of the court is that 8 they want a real bricks and mortar building to be the courthouse because of the fact that, you know, it's inmates, it has to be open to the public. 10 11 trailer would not be sufficient. So, you know, we're 12 looking into those matters for this year. 13 priority. 14 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And if that happens-15

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And if that happens- when it happens, let me speak it into existence,
when it happens would there be administrative
proceedings or would there be trials that would
actually take place on the island?

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DARCEL CLARK: I think to roll it out first we have to see what we can do, baby steps. I think the first thing that needs to happen is to have the arraignments done there.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

DARCEL CLARK: That way, I mean, with-- and that's what created the backlog in the first

place is that there were cases that needed to be investigated. Let's say they were even prosecuted and complaints were drawn up, but it's difficult to getting those inmates to 161st Street to the courts. The problem is that you can't mix inmates who are pre-trial detainees with newly arrested people with NYPD arrests. So, therefore, it was only a limited amount of inmates that can go to be arraigned on 161st Street. That problem is eliminated if you do it right at Rikers Island. They don't have to travel all the way to 161st Street. They don't have to worry about being mixed with NYPD new arrests; it'll be done right on island.

2.2

2.3

ask a question. You talked a lot in your testimony about technology, and I know there is a plan. I don't know where we are with, you know, the TSA-style body scanners. In your example, obviously, the inexpensive electrical tape and the level contraband that's obviously brought onto the island is extremely disturbing, easily accessible, and just not, you know, having the latest and up-to-date technology. I wanted to ask with the 14-point plan the DOC has outlined on reducing violence and identifying those

gangs and crews and the different operations, how often is your office involved and how much, you know, up to speed are you kept in terms of working with DOC to identify, and really for your unit to understand those crews and those operations, almost like the old NYPD Gang Unit and how we identify the crew operations, are you kept up to speed in the latest in the gang world?

2.2

2.3

DARCEL CLARK: Absolutely. We work handin-hand with DOC's gang intel. They provide intel
for us. We give them information that we find out
form out on the street. I have a gang major case unit
in my office that deals with those members who are
out on the street. So when we have these big takedowns, we know which gangs they are because we took
them down. That in turn, that information is turned
over DOC to know you got a whole influx of this gang
or this set or this crew coming into Rikers Island.
So, they do the work that they do as far as their
intel as well. We keep each other informed all the
time.

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: To add to that, exactly that, with the Rikers bureau on island we also have the ability to kind of update things on a

very day-to-day basis as opposed to just doing an analysis on growing gang trends and reporting them out every week or every month, it's a daily operation between our two organizations that people are aware of where they are, not just in terms of the broader gangs, but also in what facilities and what populations those gang members might be concentrated.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So, I know

2.2

2.3

today's topic is obviously city jails, right, but you know, most of the conversation is around Rikers

Island. Can you give me an idea, probably from DOC, the majority of the violence in our city's jails comes from Rikers Island, is that safe to say?

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Well, just based on population in terms of proportion, we've got, you know, over 7,000 on Rikers Island directly out of the 9,500 average daily population. So, just by proportion that's true.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: How is it determined whether you go to Rikers Island or one of the city jails in another borough?

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: It depends a lot on just categorization and the classification of the inmate. Several of our borough facilities we try to

focus on short-stayers, people who are going to be released in a short time, so they're closer to the community. We also have special population kind of areas within each of those boroughs. A lot of it obviously is on the island where we have a lot more resources where we have places for the severely mentally ill, those who are higher offenders and more security concerns because we have the resources on island to deal with that population. So, we try to separate based on that.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. In that same conversation, the number of inmates that are known to be involved in gangs and the activity on the street continues on the island, is that also a factor where DOC will say we have a known gang that's in the same unit on Rikers, but because of that we're going to separate those inmates and put them in another location.

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: That's right,

Council Member. What we've tried to do over the last

two years is build a new classification system that

actually allows us to better capture gang

memberships, sets within gang memberships and house

them accordingly so that we can balance between

different gangs so that, you know, an entire gang doesn't run an entire unit on their own and that we kind of create better separation. Certainly, we've tried to work closer with both the NYPD and the DA's office to increase our intelligence so we really understand not just kind of leaders, but also members of gangs which, you know, gets to kind of getting intelligence from the streets and really incorporating to what we do in our jails.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And I think one of the challenges that we continue to confront is the messaging and what we send as a message. The repetitive offenders that, you know, unfortunately are in Rikers, you know, in the first place, but don't believe their consequences, you know— that there will be consequences for their actions, and so they engage in the repetitive violence on the island, and you know, everyone's in jeopardy, the staff, the CO's. I mean, what is the message that we have to continue to say and not only just say it, but we have to show it in our actions and in the work we do, and you know, DA Clark, you talked about that, because there's too many repeat offenders. And even the—you know, those that are on Rikers Island that are

just there to do their time and go home, they're in danger too. So, you know, and it's something that, you know, we think about all the time, because you know, these perpetrators that are there that just want to impose violence on someone else is a major problem, and these are the numbers we have to stop. Like, it's unacceptable to me.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DARCEL CLARK: Well, I agree with you and I think that's why consecutive sentencing is the answer. These inmates think that they can just -they could act at free will because whatever they do on Rikers is going to be a freebie as a result of the underlying case that they have. So, let's say for example we have an inmate that's in Rikers in the first place because he committed a crime in Brooklyn. So he's in Rikers and on bail. He can, you know, slash an inmate, slash a correction officer, and we've heard statements like this from inmates saying that it doesn't matter, I'm going to get concurrent time anyway. So that one becomes free, and if they get sentenced on the underlying case, then the Rikers case doesn't mean a thing. They get it for free. they know as they commit those offenses on Rikers that it's mandatory consecutive, they'll stop, and we need to get that message out to them that it's no longer a freebie, but it's consecutive. We need laws to say that it's consecutive, and as a former judge, I would hate to have any discretion taken away from, but if we really want to get rid of the violence, the judges can't have the discretion to make it concurrent. It needs to be consecutive.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And my final question before I turn it back over to my chair and other colleagues that have questions, in the City and you know, let me focus on Bronx County, in the past year we've had several major take-down cases that involved heavy drug trafficking, major crime and violence, and many of those cases obviously, you know, came from Rikers Island but were a part of a larger take down that involved DOC, NYPD, the DA's office, but also our federal prosecutors. So, to what extent do they work with you? Because there's a lot of ongoing work that we don't see, and I know, you know, we hear about once it happens, but we know that there are more to come. So what's the relationship that both DOC and the DA's office have with our federal prosecutors?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

Well, I could speak with DARCEL CLARK: the DA's office. We work well with the southern district. They have, you know, different laws and different requirements to bring the cases that they bring, the Rico [sic] cases and things of that So what they have are new case as well as nature. old cases. Some of their defendants can be sitting in Rikers Island on a case that I am prosecuting, but the federal authorities have the ability to, you know, supersede my charges and make their charges part of their federal investigation. So sometimes those inmates are takin out of Rikers and put into federal custody. Other times they sit in the city jails. It depends on the case.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: On the DOC side,
just we also kind of dedicate resources to kind of
partnering up with different organizations. There's
a joint command center for high-def [sic] with the
federal agencies involved in several prosecutions.
So we sit at that area and we also continue to keep
the lines open whenever NYPD initiates things with
federal help.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 55

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Thank you very 3 much. I'll turn it over Chair Crowley.

Gibson. I want to ask about the jail-based arrests.

The numbers have gone up significantly, looking at the fiscal years 14, 15, 16. Fiscal Year 16, which ended in June, there was 1,538. However, District Attorney, when you said the number of open cases, it seemed like there was on—there was 101 indictments, 81 felonies. Where are we with all the other arrests? Like, do you have a way that we could look at these fiscal years and see where the inmate is in the judicial process?

 $\label{eq:decomposition} \mbox{DARCEL CLARK: I'm not sure I understand} \\ \mbox{your question.}$

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right now-- I think
I heard you correctly. I might not have. When my
Co-chair asked about the open cases that are being
worked on Rikers Island right now, there was a number
of 101 indictments, 81 felony prosecutions under way.
So, if you could tell me how many open cases your
office is working with on Rikers Island.

DARCEL CLARK: I just told you the figures for the felonies that are open. Those are

committees on public safety & fire and criminal justice services 56 the current indictments that we have filed right now. There's also pending investigations which haven't led to an arrest yet. We're still investigating, and perhaps an arrest will come about and perhaps not. We have to investigate—

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Right.

DARCEL CLARK: the case. There's also a number of misdemeanors as well that are pending, and after, you know, some of the investigations there may not be any criminal charges that lie, but we still have to investigate them. The exact numbers I don't have with me. I could get that for you, but I could tell you we are actively involved in indicting inmates every day, visitors who come in smuggling things. These are happening as well as the ongoing investigations which I can't necessarily speak to specifically because we're investigating, but you know, it's an ongoing process.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, your office can get us the numbers. I believe that we should now request more information in the Mayor's Management Report when we look at the number of arrests to understand better how many were felonies versus misdemeanors, but where we are in the process of the

committees on Public Safety & Fire and CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 57 case being adjudicated. Your office would be able to get us those numbers.

2.2

2.3

DARCEL CLARK: so you mean if the case is pending, you want to know how long it's been pending, what is--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Yeah.

DARCEL CLARK: what has holding up the disposition of that case?

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right, and what the end result was to measure whether anyone is getting sentenced to consecutive time.

DARCEL CLARK: We can get you that. We could—one of the—I think one of the things that hinders that type of information—we could get it for you, but what you have to realize is that I'm dealing with inmates who have cases that are pending all over the city. So, it's not just the Bronx cases. I'm in charge of those crimes that they commit on Rikers, but unfortunately what drives the train a lot is the underlying case that got them on Rikers in the first place. So, a lot of times, and you can hear this from the defense attorney, if a person has a case pending in Brooklyn, they're trying to get that Brooklyn case adjudicated first before

they talk about a Rikers Island case. So that's what delays the total disposition. That's why I said that we work for global disposition. I'm working with the other four District Attorneys so that we can make these Rikers inmates, especially the ones that are committing the violence, we make them a priority. We work together with the underlying case as well as the Rikers case to try to get a global disposition, and if not, get the judges to make it a priority to push those cases up so they could be tried right away so we could get those individuals out of Rikers Island

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: What is preventing your office from doing that? If I understand correctly, there could be a way in the near future that somebody who is getting arraigned for an arrest that happened on Rikers Island will go before the judge that heard the first case that put that inmate on the island.

that are causing the violence.

DARCEL CLARK: Well, there's no law presently that does that. I mean,--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] But there's no law that prevents us from making sure that would happen and we could do that.

ask the state to allow the jurisdiction to change,

your office would still do the prosecutions, or you

would need other prosecutors coming on the island for

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 wherever their original crime occurred, is that 2 3 right? Because if you have to go before the judge that is based in Queens Criminal Court versus Staten 4 Island, you'll need a prosecutor from that borough as well, is that right? 6 7 DARCEL CLARK: Yeah, because they have the jurisdic-- they have the jurisdiction to 8 prosecute their own cases. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: The first case. 10 11 DARCEL CLARK: The first case, right. have the second case, which is the Rikers case. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So, the only way to get a judge, the same judge, is to allow the other 14 15 boroughs on the island? I mean, perhaps, but 16 DARCEL CLARK: 17 you're talking about -- I mean, a court on Rikers 18 Island is not going to be capable, is not going to be 19 like a real courthouse where there's a jury box where 20 you could try it and everting else. 21 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right. I mean, I could see there being video indictments, you know, 2.2 2.3 where you don't have to leave the island if you build a system where you have District Attorney from the 24

five boroughs there that you could be able to have

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES the District Attorneys, ADA's working there and then being before a judge in a type of video setting. It seems like the only way to answer this problem is to get sentences that are consecutive, and we're not getting there because we're not getting in front of the judges who -- they're the decision-making body. DARCEL CLARK: Right, but it's usually two different judges. It's the judge--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Right, right, right.

DARCEL CLARK: that has the underlying case and the judge in the Bronx that's handling the Rikers case.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But your office is mostly just doing the investigations that are happening. Your office is not able to go before a judge on Rikers Island.

DARCEL CLARK: There's no judge on Rikers Island.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right. So, alright, I understand that. Do you think there could be a way to be a video type of set up so that the inmate doesn't have to leave the island?

2.2

2.2

2.3

DARCEL CLARK: We have video conferencing
now within the jails and in the courthouses. It's
just that the law doesn't allow arraignments by video
or any video conferencing that has to be done has to
have the consent of the defense or the defendant. If
you're not getting that kind of consent, then it
can't happen. The technology is there. It would be

great, but it's just not happening.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Well, I mean, it doesn't seem to be helping the number of arrests increasing, doesn't seem to be helping with the inmate on inmate violence, and the end goal is to reduce the violence and make the jail safer. And in order for an inmate to think twice about getting involved in a situation, they would need to be seriously prosecuted, and now there's just too many hurdles put in place for the vast majority of the inmates, because they'd have to have really committed a crime first in the borough of the Bronx in order to have the same judge for the crime they commit on Rikers Island.

DARCEL CLARK: Well, yeah, that's way-it's on the Bronx DA that has the jurisdiction.
Unless that changes, that's--

DARCEL CLARK: Absolutely, yes.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Does that happen

3 often?

2.2

2.3

DARCEL CLARK: Well, yeah. If they have a pending case, they have a right to testify. 1950 allows that. So, we have to transport them there in order for them to testify. How many of them actually go into the grand jury, it's not many, but some of them do exercise that right because they have the right.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Right, okay.

Would it be better for you if you had a grand jury

one or two days a week on the island?

DARCEL CLARK: Anything would help, but I don't know-- I mean, it's hard enough getting those grand juries in the Bronx.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: To show up, right?

DARCEL CLARK: Something about those jury subpoens that people don't like. I mean, anything would help. I think the bottom line is this, that the crimes were happening before they weren't even being charged for them. Now, they're being charged for them, okay. So those are consequences that they know about, to put a-- to make it more stringent,

1 COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES they need to understand that there are consequences 2 3 for collecting cases, Rikers cases, and that means 4 consecutive time. We need the consecutive sentencing 5 for it to really mean something, and we need to communicate that to the inmates, say, "Look, it's no 6 7 longer a freebie." You know, because some of them 8 will sit there, they could be even convicted and ready to go upstate, and they'll commit acts so they can stay downstate by slashing and cutting and 10 11 splashing and doing all kinds of things so that new 12 charges could be brought and then have to still stay 13 down here until those cases are disposed of. We need 14 to get them out of Rikers as soon as possible. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So, that's--16 when you say in your testimony "global dispositions 17 of pending felony matters," that's what you're 18 talking about, packaging a plea on all cases whether 19 it's a Brooklyn case, a Queens case and a Rikers 20 case--21

DARCEL CLARK: [interposing] Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: to combine all the cases together, you have a global, as you call it, a global disposition --

DARCEL CLARK: [interposing] Yes.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 66

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: on the felonies so that they could be then transferred to an upstate facility.

DARCEL CLARK: Absolutely, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay, that's what you're talking about.

DARCEL CLARK: That's what I mean, except that right now, that has to happen in two places. In the Bronx the plea has to be taken on the Rikers case and the other jurisdiction, the other, the felony, the underlying felony, the plea has to be taken in that particular borough.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Then if that's before two different judges, who imposes the sentence?

DARCEL CLARK: Well, there you go, that's the whole thing. It's like I would love to get rid of the Rikers case first, because what happens is that those other counties back up my cases because they don't want to take a plea on the Rikers one first. They want to dispose of the one, the case that got them there in the first place. However long it takes for them to get rid of that case either by trial or by a plea, I have to wait for that. So

1	COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 67
2	that's why it's important that the DA's work
3	together. We sit, take a list, these are the top
4	crime-drivers in Rikers. Where are their cases
5	pending? Sit down, talk to each other, and say,
6	"What are you offering?" Speak with the defense bar
7	as well. We don't keep them out of it because a
8	global disposition means all parties, the judges, the
9	defense attorneys, the DA's, everyone involved, and
10	see if we can come to a, you know, a understanding
11	where there's a disposition on a plea, and then we
12	get the person out of Rikers as soon as possible.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So, is that a
14	hope or is it actually happening? Are you sitting
15	with the other DA's, and
16	DARCEL CLARK: [interposing] We have
17	started. No, yeah, we have started, absolutely.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: And you're
19	talking about those types of dispositions.
20	DARCEL CLARK: Yes.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay.
22	DARCEL CLARK: Yes.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Has this been
24	successful yet?

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 DARCEL CLARK: We're trying, but you 3 know, again, it's so many factors, the judges, you 4 know, how many judges are there to actually try the 5 cases. Some people don't want to take a plea because you know, they never -- they don't have trial capacity 6 7 anyway. I could just wait. We'll wait them out. 8 Wait out the cases, drag it along. The witnesses People disappear, things like that happen. forget. With Rikers cases where it's inmate on inmate, one 10 11 inmate might be there for a certain amount of time 12 and next thing you know they're released on bail or 13 they get acquitted or they go upstate and no longer 14 do you have access to the witnesses. So it's a 15 waiting game.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I see. So, -
DARCEL CLARK: [interposing] And delays

are, you know, delays are not good for the people.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Yeah, so it's a multi-tasking problem that you face.

DARCEL CLARK: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Is the word though getting out to the inmate population about consecutive sentences versus the concurrent sentences?

DARCEL CLARK: Well, we're hoping -- I 2 3 mean, do we have a concentrated, like, marketing 4 strategy to get the word out? We haven't done that yet. Maybe that's something that we need to do, but I know that those inmates know that I'm prosecuting 6 7 now. They're catching new cases when they didn't get 8 them before. But again, it's the waiting game: "Alright, I'll just wait until I finish my other case, and then we'll deal with Rikers." So, by the 10 11 end, they've been in so long that the judges say, 12 well, you know, to the DA, "Look, just offer him this 13 and run it concurrent with the other one and we can get him out of Rikers." That seems encouraging, but 14 15 then the message doesn't get to the inmate, because 16 that's what they know. "We'll just wait it out. 17 I'll get it all wrapped in one. It'll be concurrent, 18 and I could leave." That's why they continue to 19 wreak havoc. You know, they need to know that it's 20 consecutive.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Because as you call it a freebie.

DARCEL CLARK: It's a freebie.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Right. That's--

25 yeah.

21

2.2

2.3

24

DARCEL CLARK: And it's a danger to the

correction officers and staff, because that's exactly

what they say to them when they commit these crimes

right in front of them or to them: "Don't matter.

It's going to be concurrent anyway."

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Uh-hm.

DARCEL CLARK: That's a safety problem.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Right.

DARCEL CLARK: so, consecutive sentencing, to me, is the answer, and we could—you know, we could put up signs just like we put signs everywhere else in the Department of Corrections about, you know, the phone calls, you know, whatever, contraband. Anything we warn them about, they need to know. You know, they know right now there's a new sheriff in town because I'm prosecuting them now.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: They--

DARCEL CLARK: [interposing] They weren't getting that before.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: They're getting the--

DARCEL CLARK: [interposing] But the sentencing needs to go hand in hand.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 71

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I see. I see.

2.2

2.3

That's good. You've made a good case for how contraband as you say is a catalyst for violence in the jails. And you advocated along with DOC for the type of technology that you need to combat that kind of contraband coming in, but DOC already has those scanners, right? DOC already has those body scanners.

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yeah, I can speak to that, that we have several body scanners, but because of a limitation in the state legislation, we aren't allowed to use them. So, we are certainly actively producing and appreciate all of the support the Council can give us to kind of change that legislation so we can use that technology.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So you were banned from using those scanners because of health law? What--

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing] Health
Law basically requires that any of the use of that
machine is by a registered kind of radiologist for
medical purposes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So, are the scanners that you have the ones that, Madam DA you

committees on Public Safety & fire and CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 72 mentioned, the TSA-style body scanners, the Cellsense Plus, are those the scanners you have?

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, the TSA-type scanners, the body scanners that we have, we have several of those, about seven I believe basically in storage, and as soon as we get the approval to use them, we will use them, but we have them. We just can't use them.

DARCEL CLARK: You have other--

have other technology. We certainly are not stopping. As the DA said, we've been working with them, with NYPD constantly exploring other technology that would allow us to identify better— to better identify contraband movement, but for some of the very small non-metallic scalpels wrapped in the black electrical tape, those are not found by either the magnometers [sic] or by even the Cellsense which are portable things for larger metal devices. So they can find a cell phone, but they can't find a scalpel blade.

DARCEL CLARK: So they need the TSA-style type with the--

2.2

the same one, Chair. Sorry. So, there's a

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES clarification. There are previous TSA-style body scanners that emit low-level of ionization, and they're very good at detecting materials particularly in body cavities, to put it out there. There are other new technologies that the TSA is using now for the purposes of identifying, you know, larger pieces of contraband. They use them in the airports now where I think you've all seen them where you stand inside a receptacle, you raise your hand and then they kind of whirl around to kind of detect if there's any image [sic]. Those are the technology that we have actually moved forward purchasing. They're in manufacturing now. We're hoping for delivery. But the body scanners that everyone's talking here about in terms of really identifying that smaller contraband, the scalpel blades and those in cavity, that's the technology we do own. cannot use it, and we're hoping to kind of figure out ways we can use it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DARCEL CLARK: And I attached the legislation from the Assembly where which is enabling legislation that would help override the state law and make an exception so that DOC can use those ionizing body scanning types.

```
1
    COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
                                                        75
2
                COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE:
                                          Now, that
3
     legislation I looked at, it's a 2015 bill.
4
                DARCEL CLARK: Yeah.
5
                COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Is there a new--
     is there a new bill in this new session?
6
7
                DARCEL CLARK: We hope so.
8
                COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay.
9
                DARCEL CLARK:
                                That's what we're going to
     be pushing for.
                      That's part of our legislative
10
11
     agenda.
             I know it's part of DOC's.
12
                JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: We'll keep working
13
     with them. Obviously we want to meet whatever kind
14
     of concerns they have, but we'll certainly negotiate
15
     on the language to make sure any concerns they have
16
    we address, but it gives us the fundamental ability
17
     to use it to detect for these contraband and weapons.
18
                DARCEL CLARK: But they have them there.
19
     How many did you say you have?
20
                JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM:
                                       Seven.
21
                DARCEL CLARK: Seven of them there
2.2
     already? How long have they been sitting there?
2.3
                JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: For a while now.
                COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: And so TSA has
24
```

that type of medical assistance?

2 JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: So the federal--

3 so, as an irony, the federal government is allowed to

4 use these. In the actual federal facility in

5 Manhattan they're allowed to use these, but we are

6 not within the city jails because of a state

7 regulation.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay, so the federal government--

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing] Yes,
is allowed.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Is allowed to do so, okay. Sounds very strange, but anyway. You mentioned, DA, about preserving crime scenes and the difficulty that presents at Rikers, and you-- has DOC increased the video surveillance as a way of helping the evidence preservation?

DARCEL CLARK: The video surveillance is there and it's very helpful, but at the same time you need the physical layout to keep everyone out of the crime scene so that the evidence can be collected. The video will show what happened, and hopefully in real-time like a "war room" where we could watch what's happening as it's happening to know, oh, you could see the inmate put the particular contraband,

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 77 you know, under the bed or under the windowsill, 2 3 something like that. So, the video will show that if 4 we did that in real-time. Somebody's watching it, 5 and those who are responding tell them, "Look under the windowsill, that's where they put the 6 7 contraband," that would help, but at the same time, the forces are this: DOC has to maintain order. 8 when something happens and things are, you know, chaotic, they have to freeze the place. The most 10 11 important thing is to secure the inmates, clear the 12 area so that they can get back to work. Well, that 13 works against--14 COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: [interposing] 15 Evidence. 16 DARCEL CLARK: DOC and DA's Office 17 collecting the evidence that we need. So there's 18 technology that can help with some of those things as 19 well. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Y011--21 DARCEL CLARK: [interposing] These 2.2 scanners that will scan the whole room, make a 3D 2.3 model of the area so that, you know, we'll know

exactly what it looks like. It preserves it so we

know exactly where everything is. But you know, at

24

committees on public safety & fire AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 78 the same time we need the boots on the ground, the technology, the video all happening at once.

2.2

2.3

you don't mind, the department also set up its own evidence collection section to kind of work with the DA on this, and I think the DA is exactly right.

There's a tension on trying to make sure that we can end any type of lock-down in a certain area to kind of resume operations so other inmates aren't impacted by an incident, but we are working with them trying to collect kind of recommendations. While we have improved some technology and certainly have dedicated staff to kind of joint training with the Bronx DA, we're always looking for more. So we're trying to work together to kind of basically break past that tension.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So, are you training your staff to do evidence collection at the same time that they're trying to quell a situation?

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, we-- basically dedicated staff. So when they're in there they're focused on the evidence collection as opposed to the facility focused on kind of maintaining order and separating out kind of inmates from other inmates.

Rikers Island. So do you have anything that's

```
COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
 1
                                                         80
     independent that -- of any type of crime stats
 2
     occurring throughout the year in Rikers Island?
 3
 4
                JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: The DOC certainly
     collects data on kind of everything from use of
 5
     force, inmate fights and other crimes. In terms of
 6
 7
     investigations and arrests, that's information we
 8
     kind of jointly shared with the Bronx DA, and they
     have more on the actual arrest and kind of
     dispositions of those.
10
                COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Oh--
11
12
                DARCEL CLARK: [interposing] We can get
13
     the numbers--
14
                JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing] Yeah.
15
                DARCEL CLARK: that we have.
16
                COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, in-- first
17
     of all, if you do have crime stats, is that made
18
     public?
19
                                I don't know.
                DARCEL CLARK:
20
                COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So, in the NYPD-
21
2.2
                JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing] The
2.3
     violence indicators are certainly made public--
                COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: In the New York
24
25
     City Department--
```

2 JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: I'm not sure exactly

3 | which one--

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [interposing] you have every month actually they print out the crime stats, and then they also have CompStat. They have TrafficStat. Do you have like RikersStat where you actually speak to the correction officers to see what better tools they need to have more accountability? It's that, you know, we're coming to a hearing here discussing the crimes happening in Rikers Island and then we keep on saying consecutive sentencing, but by the time you get the consecutive sentencing, people are already injured. So we need to do more prevention. We need to take more preventive measures by understanding what tools are needed, and I think by publicizing the crime stats, letting this city, letting the world know how many crimes are happening in Rikers Island, then this could get exposed of how many crimes are happening, how many people are getting injured, how many inmates are getting injured, how many officers are getting injured and are not coming home at night and end up in a hospital, how many crimes are being reported within Rikers Island. I know like in CUNY colleges now,

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES which I had meetings in my district, and any crimes happening in CUNY should be reported to 911 as well. So, if something happens in Rikers and does not get reported to 911, it's not part of the numbers. not part of the accountability of what is happening within Rikers Island. So, consecutive sentencing is very nice to scare, a scare tactic. To say if you're going to commit a crime, then you're going to be sentenced. There's a new sheriff in town, but we need to have accountability. We need to understand what better tools the offices in Rikers Island need, and these are people that have families that need to get home to the families. They're in danger even as we can see. Even when they're not at work they're targets, as we've seen the last few months. So we need to have these stats publicized. We need to have stats. We need to hold accountability. We need to make sure these offices are safe. So these are some of the ideas that I have that I wanted to bring out at today's hearing, and again, we should not wait 'til a hearing to discuss this. This should be something that if it's-- we have to speak to the state. We need to speak to the City for more

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

committees on public safety & fire and criminal justice services 83 resources. Let us hear from the officers by having RikerStat.

2.2

2.3

DARCEL CLARK: Thank you for that comment. If we don't have that, I think it was something that, you're correct, that we should look into, and we'll talk about that.

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: And we have certainly an internal process called "Teams" that is basically a version of the DOC kind of CompStat process to kind of publicize data. We use it proactively to try to identify ways in which we should focus on different facilities, different crimes, different gang affiliations, but actually the recommendations are all taken very seriously. Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Are those numbers part of what we have here?

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, there are both
in the Mayor's monthly reports, Management Reports,
there are data. In CompStat I was told that there
are some DOC data in the CompStat data as well, and
we obviously provide that, whatever requested, as
well. So, there are different avenues by which to
publicize some of that data.

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 84

2 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Council Member

4 Lancman for questions?

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Good morning.

DARCEL CLARK: Good morning.

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I just want to ask you why you're so -- you seem so committed to the idea of global dispositions because it seems as if waiting for the underlying case to get resolved and your case, the underlying case all to get resolved in the same time and wrapped up in a bow, would, from the testimony that I'm hearing as well as just intuitively, make it more difficult for you to resolve the cases quicker and also make it more difficult to get those consecutive sentences or different sentences that are as I think you correctly identified, the key to really giving some teeth to this effort. Why can't you just prosecute your case at your paced based on the evidence you have which is probably in many of these circumstances a lot less complicated and difficult to bring to a state of readiness, and then let the other underlying case do its thing at its pace. Why tie yourself to that?

DARCEL CLARK: Councilman Lancman, that's from your mouth to God's ears, okay? I do that every day. This Rikers Island Prosecution Bureau is working very hard. We're indicting the cases. getting the discovery out. We're answering ready for trial. We're ready for trial, but there's another side to it. There's the defense side, and when you get a defense attorney knowing the Rikers case is more recent, the other case is older, always the concentration is on dealing with the older cases That's one thing that we have to deal with. I'm answering ready. I will try the case. I don't need to wait for Brooklyn, or Queens, Manhattan or Staten Island or anybody else. When I'm ready, I'm ready. Give me a judge. I got my assistants here. We're ready to go. Can't get it done.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And is the reason that the courts will not say to the defense counsel, "You've got your other case. This case is ready. I'm putting it on for trial." Is it a fundamentally an unwillingness on the part of the courts to accept the fact that you're ready to try the case, that's right?

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 DARCEL CLARK: I don't know if it's a

fundamental unwillingness, but you need a judge to be able to try it. So even though I'm ready to go and the defense may ask for an adjournment, you need to have a judge that's ready to try it. We have a

7 shortage of the judges as well.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So, I do want to drill down on that, because you know, my other hat other than being a member of these two, being Courts and Legal Services.

DARCEL CLARK: Of course.

matter of just a shortage of judges or is it a matter of getting the Bronx judge to push the defense counsel to say, "No, we're not waiting for what Brooklyn or Queens does. There's a live case before us. It's ready. It's getting tried next week, next month."

DARCEL CLARK: Yeah, I mean,
unwillingness, I don't know, but it's just not
happening. If there's a judge that's ready to-look, I sat there 13 of my 16 years as a judge. You
don't know how many times I said let's do the Rikers
case first. I don't care what's happening in the

committees on public safety & fire and criminal justice services 87 other counties, but you have to have a judge that is willing to do that. And you have to have the capability of trying the case when it's actually ready, but there's a culture in the courts unfortunately sometimes that the older case goes first.

2.2

2.3

improve the process?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay, I--

DARCEL CLARK: [interposing] But it's not the right thing, and I'm not for that. I will try the case. You give me a court, you know, you give me a judge that's really willing to try it, I have assistants that'll be ready to try it.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay, so that's something that we can work toward on our end as well. Thank you.

DARCEL CLARK: Thank you. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I have one last question. I'm not sure if my Co-chair has. So, District Attorney, do you believe that process is much better now for gathering evidence? You have any complaints about how the DOC is gathering this evidence that you need for your prosecutions, and is there stuff that they could do that will help to

1

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DARCEL CLARK: Well, I think it's much 2 3 better than it was because the fact that they have created the Evidence Collection Unit which wasn't 4 there before. So that's in and of itself is an improvement. They need the technology to really be 6 7 able to, you know, actually solidify a real, you know, effective type of evidence collection process. 8 And you know, we're looking to all the technologies that's there that can assist them in being able to do 10 11 it. Because remember, that evidence collection, we not only need it to be collected, but that's the 12 13 evidence that I'm going to use at the trials. So, it 14 has to be, you know, a process where, you know, 15 there's no taint on the way that the evidence is collected. You know, there has to be procedures and 16 17 policies that are there that make sure that there's 18 integrity in the collection of the evidence.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Council Member Williams?

much. Thank you, DA, and thank you, Corrections, for being here as well. I appreciate your testimony. I just want to start because I know that just the country itself puts itself out there as a beacon, but

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 29 it's always troubling to me what happens with violence in this country. It doesn't happen in many other places that we align ourselves with, particularly gun violence. The country is about five percent of the world's population, about 25 percent of the prison population. So, for one in four people who are in prison in the world in this country, we have a view of prison that I think doesn't help us rehabilitate anyone. That always troubles me. There are humane prisons across the country that people look at where the violence doesn't happen the same way, and I think it's just our view of violence, our view of prison helps that move along. So, the more we make it -- people don't want to think of humane -humanity when we thinking of prison. So, the more we take that away, the more we crush and push down, and the more people are going to push back. That is a What also is true is that we have the truism. violence in prison, a danger to the other prisoners and obviously a danger to officers, correction officers who are there and we don't want their-- them harmed as well. So, I'm-- my questions are just in that context. I think the more we keep trying to do the same thing over and over, it doesn't work, and

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

people are hurt, but we're stuck in a quagmire because this has been going on for so long it's hard to push a button to make it stop and start over again. But I do want to just for a second be in a dream world. What would a prison look like to you if we can just start all over again today?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, just one of the things that we had been trying to push for, sir, is to change that culture, to move it towards a more humane kind of area where a one-size-fits-all does not apply, that we focus a lot more on kind of the programming, the training to the officers, the engagement of the officers to empower them to kind of do their jobs, but also to give them a safe space while also providing to anybody in our custody and care kind of the necessary programming tools and kind of just disposition to really understand exactly what they're going through and what they can prepare for once they leave. Obviously, while we focus on this we have dedicated a lot of resources to kind of training our officers in different tools so they understand kind of the changing population in our jails. We have a rising mentally ill population. We have a rising gang population. So, both on just a

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 91 lot more treatment and coordination with H&H and health and mental health physicians has been helpful; the hiring of kind of both partnerships with the Department of Education as well as other agencies to ensure job skills or trained inmates, and then directly connected outside, but also again, focusing on the officers and giving them the tools that they need both to kind of deal with kind of a majority of the population that don't provide, as you said, a lot of the violence and separating and really being able to identify those that remain problematic and giving kind of the proper separation so they don't kind of, you know, unduly influence the rest of the population with kind of whatever issues are coming from the streets, whether it's gang kind of tensions or just kind of some of the networks that the DA was talking about in terms of contraband.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: We were just informed that we have to be out of here by 1:00 p.m., so we'll give you a little bit more time, but we have to move the hearing along.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Alright. Would have been good to know so I can--

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Yeah,

3 you can wrap it up.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, I have COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: another question. So I want to just sum up. I just want to k now what stops us from flipping the switch now. I know that in general the population has a difficult time accepting that you don't have to be as harshly punitive to exact, whatever you're trying to exact. We have to change our mind frame. Our mind frame of crime and punishment is one of the main problems, I think, in trying to deal with this. I'd like to know what's the problem with-- I believe Rikers should be closed. That's another conversation. I'm not asking that now. I'm asking what's stopping us from doing it more humanely, and my second question that I was going to get to is, people who are close to the ground have told me that some of the violence spiked actually when they started separating the street crews, because at least when they were intermingled there was some kind of balance, but when we separated them there was more from each side that would increase because when they're separated, you have to become a member of that particular street crew, and that may have

committees on public safety & Fire and Criminal Justice Services 93 increased some of the violence, and I wanted to know if you had heard anything.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: sure. I mean, two separate points. On the first one, I don't think anything's stopping us, and I don't think that we're actually trying to prevent it. I think actually what we've done over the last two or three years is to focus primarily on actually moving forward the path of creating more humane and safe jails. certainly had early indicators that the trends are moving in the way that we want them to, in kind of units where we have restarted, literally taking people out of entire units, retrained the officers, provided new programing, provided new technology to allow for kind of a more quieted environment, and then putting inmates back in. We've had reductions in violence up to 70 percent on the uses of force, 90 percent on kind of serious assaults on staff. those are efforts that we are implementing now. We have hired dramatically program counselors and we've kind of ended the practice of punitive segregation for 16 to 21-year-olds, and certainly created a larger model of progressive discipline that allows people to kind of be addressed -- I mean, basically be COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES disciplined for the action they took. Not to, again, impose one final sanction for anybody regardless of what they did. So, first of all, I agree with you, and I think the department agrees with you and so does the Administration, that we shouldn't be waiting and we haven't been waiting. We've been moving Secondarily, on your ground truth. I think there are tensions about what we do with our gang affiliations. When I say separation, I don't necessarily mean separate and isolate within one housing area all of gang members for one-- sorry, one different gang affiliation. What I meant is just to try to isolate the small population that is gang related or have a particular propensity for violence and moving away from the general population. Once they are in that we do try very hard to balance different organizations so that there isn't that kind of ownership by one gang which, you know, promotes a lot--

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: [interposing]

Thank you. I know I'm out of time, but thank you. I

try to-- I generally try not to use the word gang. I

know you have to--

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing] I

3 know, I'm sorry.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: because people use "gang."

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: It's the classification [sic].

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: They take away the humanity of the person, so I just try not to do that. But thank you to the Chairs. Thank you for being here. My hope is that we can continue with us, and the more we can make people realize that doing this in a humane way is not soft on crime, I think the better off we'll be. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,

Council Member Williams. I also want to acknowledge

we were joined by Council Member Paul Vallone. I

just had one final question. I know we have others

who are here to testify today. The conversation that

we are having around 16 and 17-year-olds and the

criminal prosecution of 16 and 17-year-olds. Is

there an update that you guys could provide? I know

DOC has been working on a number of proposals, I will

say, absent of state legislation. Can you give us a

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 sense of what we're currently doing with 16 and 17-2 3 year-olds, and if there are any proposed changes? 4 JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: I think as you know, Council Member, what we've done certainly is try to 5 focus attention on the adolescents. We have provided 6 7 more schooling. We've separated them into their own 8 facility and treated them different, to give you the short answer. In terms of the forward progress, we had provided funding for design studies of facilities 10 11 that could be dedicated to the adolescents off-island 12 so that we could treat them appropriately and also 13 create space for them to hopefully divert and make sure they don't even end up in the actual cells of a 14 15 jail. So, those talks are ongoing. The funding was put in, and I know that the planning people are 16 17 currently designed -- working to figure out what the 18 best design is. I don't have an update more than 19 that. 20 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So, you said that there have been sites identified off the island? 21 2.2 JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yes. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. I think--JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: [interposing] And 24

they're working through--

```
COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
 1
 2
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: I have an idea of
 3
     where they are.
                JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Well, I think that
 4
     they're working on the design. They've looked at
 5
     former ACS sites at Horizon.
 6
 7
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: That are
    underutilized, right?
 8
                JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yeah.
                                               So,
     underutilized, and basically what a redesign would be
10
11
     to kind of take care of an adolescent population
     while still providing them all the education and
12
    programming we've tried to implement here at DOC on
13
     the island.
14
15
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So, I guess my
16
     final statement before I close is, identifying all of
17
     the challenges, the investments, the millions and
18
    millions of dollars that we've poured into both the
19
     DA's office and DOC that we will continue to pour in,
20
    violence is increasing in terms of on Rikers Island,
     right? Because we're arresting more individuals.
21
     So, is it safe to say that violence is increasing on
2.2
2.3
    Rikers Island or that's not true?
                JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: I wouldn't go there.
24
```

I actually think that what the Bronx DA has been

touting has been very favorable. We're trying to work on prevention. The more they can arrest and actually take critical action right away after an incident, the more it helps us to be able to kind of articulate that there are repercussions to their actions. Furthermore, as a department we've been focused on a lot of broad changes, and actually are early indicators, you know, just for the calendar year of 16 compared to calendar year of 15. We've seen dramatic decreases in several areas where any real violence that resulted in serious injury, whether that's uses of force, inmate fights or assaults on staff, all of those has declined year over year from 15 to 16. So, while we have a long way to go, and I would never want to tell this council that, you know, our job is done, there have been a lot of decreases in those serious incidences that resulted in any kind of harm or injury. CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. In the serious incidents, did you include stabbings and

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

slashings as well?

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: So, stabbings and slashings, let me be very clear, are up. They are up, and one of the big issues, and we've been pushing

for, kind of the body scanners and change in state legislations to be able to find the weapons, that we can't-- we just cannot find right now because we don't have the technology to do so.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And I guess that's probably the alarming part, and you know, I really hope that we will all be aggressive this year to see if we can get, you know, state law changed. The relationships we have, we cannot continue to allow the propensity of violence and contraband that are getting onto the island because we lack the technology. We're the greatest city in this country. We have to do better. So, I guess, you know, moving into this year for us in the Council, we hope that you will give us your suggestion and your input on ways that we can support you, ways that we can continue to reduce violence in many of the categories you've described. The slashings and the stabbings, we have got to deal with that. I mean, the pictures and the images that I've seen and the people is disturbing, and we know that most of the staff on Rikers Island just like the inmate population are people of color, and so that's really disturbing for me, and most of, you know, civilian and uniform staff

```
COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
are women. So, we have to protect everyone there.
We have to protect everyone on the island, and I
know, you know, we have a commitment and we say it,
but we have to make sure that it happens.
                                           The voices
and everyone that sits in Albany with a title,
everyone has to realize what's happening.
                                           These are
our family members and our friends and colleagues,
and you know, we have to give them the assurance and
the confidence that we're protecting them. For those
of us that represent districts like mine where my
residents want these bad apples off of the streets,
someone has to take care of them, and when they get
to the island they're sometimes even more dangerous,
and so I get that. It's a very sensitive
conversation, and trust me, like you I struggle with
it. I talk to the NYPD. I talk to DOC staff.
talk to the everyday, you know, resident that
sometimes does not want to come forward, because if
they come forward they're fearful of their life.
They're fearful of retaliation. Their status is in
jeopardy. So these are all the things that, you
know, we have to give them assurance, and it cannot
just be lip service. It has to be real service.
I thank you for the work you're doing, and certainly
```

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

we will continue to have further conversations on this issue. Thank you to the Commissioner and to you, Jeff, and to our DA. Thank you DA Clark for the work you're doing. I hope you continue to hire more staff, and obviously there's a need for it, but thank you for your work to you and your team. We appreciate you being here.

2.2

2.3

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Thank you.

DARCEL CLARK: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I too am grateful for the District Attorney being here today and for your extensive testimony, for the work that you're doing on Rikers Island and altogether and in your work as the Bronx District Attorney. as for the Department of Corrections, I don't think from the numbers I'm looking at, which you're—if you're there, you're more likely to get stabbed or slashed at an increase of nearly 20 percent. That's serious. Inmate fights are up from 4,971 to over 6,000, increase of greater than 20 percent. So, while it appears that uniformed staff are not getting injured as much as they were, it's still far too high, and we're now going to transition into hearing from the correction officers and wardens who actually are

committees on public safety & fire and criminal justice services 102 working there. I do appreciate Jeff, you being here on behalf— Chief of Staff on behalf of the Commissioner, and this conversation certainly is not over.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Great.

DARCEL CLARK: Thank you.

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Now, before we move to part B where we hear Council Member Lancman's intro 1373A, we're going to hear from the Correction Officers Union, and we have Elias Husamudeen who is the President. We have Mr. Thomas Farrell, who is the legislative Chairman, and then from the Assistant Deputy Warden's Association we have Faisal Zouhbi. probably said that wrong, so I apologize in advance. And also, we did receive the testimony of the Correction Officers -- we have your written testimony, so in the interest of time we hope that you could be brief, and open up after summarizing your testimony for questions. We also have the Department of Corrections Commissioner's testimony that is part of the record. So, Mr. Husamudeen, when you are ready, if you could please begin your testimony, and again, if you could summarize the written testimony in the

interest of time as we have to be out of this room within an hour.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Thank you. morning, Chairwoman Crowley, Chairwoman Gibson and members of the Oversight Committee. My name is Elias Husamudeen, and I'm the President of the Correction Officers' Association, the second largest law enforcement union in the City of New York. Our members, as you know, provide care, custody and control of 8,000 inmates daily in over 60,000 inmates just last year alone. We are here today to discuss the topic of prosecuting jail violence. Before I begin with my testimony, I would like to express my gratitude to the Mayor and the Office of Labor Relations for negotiating with our union and incorporating contract, the provision for a Rikers Island Arrest Unit Bureau, something that we've been fighting for for years. That's overseen by the Bronx District Attorney Darcel Clark. We also thank Bronx District Attorney Darcel Clark for committing vital resources to the rearrests and prosecution of inmates and visitors who commit crime on Rikers Island. also appreciate the Department of Corrections' new commitment to taking seriously the rearrests of

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES inmates who assault correction officers. Last, but not least, we appreciate your Oversight Committee and the committee members for always keeping correction officers in the forefront. I want to admonish our city's criminal justice system which has a backlog of over 800 inmates who have yet to be arrested for their crimes committed against staff and other inmates on Rikers Island. There should also be no delay in prosecuting these inmates, and I am here today to call on your committee to immediately look into what is holding up this process. The public has a right to know and the union has a right to know. The public also has a right to know about the facts concerning the ramification of major policy changes that Commissioner Ponte, the mayor, members of the City Council and the Board of Corrections have hailed all in the name of progress reform. If progress forms the basis of the term "progressive," then it would seem that reform measures supported by this council and the mayor would be generating positive outcomes following the elimination of punitive segregations for inmates 21 years old and under last October. For a while, on the one hand this may-- and certain Council Members and members of the Board of

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES Corrections want to brag about reform, the violence continues to rise. In fact, by the Department's own account there was an 18 percent rise in the number of inmate-on-inmate slashings last year over the previous year. There are three distinct indicators of jail violence that the Mayor's Office, the City Council and the Corrections Commissioner and the Board of Corrections have not been able to bring down. One of those categories is serious injury to inmates, which is inmate-on-inmate violence. second one is the inmate-on-inmate stabbings. third one is on the inmate-on-inmate slashing, and now we have a new category which is the slashing and stabbing of correction officers, because prior to three years ago we didn't have that category. last three years under this Administration, three of the four categories continued to increase. The reason why they have not been able to reduce the numbers in these categories is because of the insane policy of eliminating punitive segregation for 16 to 21-yearolds, which existed three years ago and because they do not understand the culture of jail and specifically Rikers Island. Hailing reform to reduce jail violence while the reality only demonstrates the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES complete opposite that jail violence continues to soar is nothing short of a systemic hypocrisy. To be blunt, Mayor's Office is guilty of hypocrisy. Certain members of this council are guilty of hypocrisy as is the Board of Corrections and the Corrections Commissioner who are entrusted to prosecute crime. For example, just a couple of weeks ago 35 individuals were arrested in Brooklyn for violent crimes, weapon possession, drug possession and gang violence. Everyone from the police to the District Attorney determined that these 35 individuals were too violent and have too much potential for violence to remain on the streets of New York. As a result, they were given high bails or remanded in the same cases. So all of the criminal justice policy makers have decided that to protect the general public from these violent predators and put them on Rikers Island in the custody of who else, New York City Correction Officers. According to Assistant Police Chief James Essing [sp?], these are notorious gang members who have terrorized Brooklyn for years. That's what gangs usually do with guns, violence and drugs. Sixteen of them have been involved in shooting incidents, 17 have been arrested

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES for weapon possession, and 25 have been arrested for robberies. At the same time, these same policy makers, City Hall, City Council, the Mayor, these same policy makers have stripped correction officers of all the critical tools necessary to maintain safety and security within the confines of the City These reform-minded law makers such as Jail. Councilman Jumaane and whoever else that just came here to make an appearance and leave, these same law makers make -- these reform-minded law makers place these violent predators in our custody and then accuse us of making them more violent once they're incarcerated. They have declared these individuals to be too violent to remain free on our streets, but yet they are apparently not violent enough to segregate inside the jails from other inmates in general population. This hypocrisy from law makers and policy makers will no longer be tolerated by the Correction Officers' Benevolent Association. we've conveyed to the constituents of Council Member Danny Dromm in Council District 25 last week, you will not and cannot continue to demonize and scapegoat correction officers for the policy failures of those who have been elected to keep the city safe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES Unlike the police, the District Attorney, the judges, the court officers, and even the Commissioner and all of you sitting here, we have to live with these violent predators not just for a few hours, not for a few minutes, but for literally 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365 days a year. For us this is not just This, ladies some theoretic progressive exercise. and gentleman, is life and death. Kalif Brower [sp?] was arrested and jailed for allegedly stealing a backpack that was never found. He remained on Rikers for more than three years. He was by all accounts the victim of a failed criminal justice system. was given-- I'm just about done. He was given a very high bail and inadequate legal representation. eventually was released from Rikers Island, and two years later tragically killed himself. Correction officers, as has become the norm, are the scapegoat and the demonized for his death, and that did not even occur while he was in our custody. Neither the judges, the District Attorney, the public defender, nor the Department of Mental Health are responsible in any way shape or form for this man's tragic spiral to death, only the correction officers. This again, ladies and gentleman, is the worst form of hypocrisy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 109 The Governor of the State of New York hails the close of over a dozen state prisons and pushes to pass legislation to try to stop trying 16 and 17-year-olds as adult, but yet, the state's criminal justice system fails to remove inmates after they are sentenced for 25 years to life for murder, like the inmate who cut his mother's head off, and instead are left on Rikers Island and end up assaulting correction officers and other inmates. This union has taken many steps to meet with the Mayor and his staff, including Elizabeth Glazer, the Director of Criminal Justice Services, and recommended many proposals to reduce jail violence and make our facilities safer. We have met with the District Attorneys and requested processes for the DA's to expedite cases such as inmate John Doe who faces charges in a Brooklyn case from 2011-- he's still on Rikers Island-- a 2011 gun case in addition to the numerous assault cases that he's accumulated against correction officers and other inmates. Inmate John Doe and other inmates like him know full well that they will continue to be hold at Rikers instead of being sent to a state facility because the Brooklyn DA is not going to try a case as explained to you by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 110 the Bronx DA until the Bronx DA tries the Rikers Island cases. The inmates routinely commit infractions at Rikers for this very reason. play the game, and unfortunately all too often they are winning. These committees must find a way to put an end to this immediately. We are here today solely to not-- we aren't here today solely to point out hypocrisy. We're here to propose solutions that we sincerely hope will be adopted and incorporated into the prosecution of jail violence. Recently, again, Governor Cuomo has proposed eliminating the prosecution of 16 and 17-year-old juveniles that are tried as adults. We couldn't agree more. New York is one of the two states that still tries 16 and 17year-olds as adults, and if this Administration is desirous of leading the nation in reform, then why not lead the nation in rolling back this policy and keep the adolescents off of Rikers Island completely. Secondly, in a recent report of New York Comptroller's Office it was revealed that we spend 132,000 dollars on each incarcerated inmate. spend more than 300 million to incarcerate the adolescents alone. Why isn't the city's upcoming budget focused on community youth programs to offer

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES troubled adolescents and those at risk with punitive alternatives to life behind bars? Third, because of the judges in this city-- because the judges in this city seem more intent on political activism than on law and order, we need to ensure that the most dangerous criminals who repeat their crimes in jail face minimum sentencing with consecutive sentences imposed on the worst of the worst. We're not talking about petty misdemeanors and larceny and minor drug offenses. We're talking about gang members, rapists and murderers who prey on our officers and the other inmates. Fourth, the Department has needlessly spent over 275 million dollars on overtime, an unbudgeted post that has needlessly jeopardized our members' safety and wasted valuable resources. Put this money towards ending the backlog of the 800-plus inmates waiting for their cases to be prosecuted. Fifth, recently iPads have been given to the new recruits of the Correction Academy. Let's provide all officers with smartphones and iPads and the necessary technology to detect weapons and monitor gang behavior and fight jail crime the way it should be fought in the year 2017. Sixth, provide every single correction officer with individual gas masks, the

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES same way stab-resistant vests are allocated. just about finished, ma'am. Finally, and certainly not least of all, we need to change the way we talk about the use-of-force incident. Last year, some 97,000 people in New York City were arrested by the NYPD, and of those arrests 60,000 of them were placed in our custody of correction officers. Most of the jail reform debate references 8,000 inmates and factors in use of force incident within the smaller figure which is misleading. When the use-of-force rate of 538 per 1,000 inmates is calculated using 60,000 figure, it is obvious that correction officers have performed exceptionally well in maintaining care, custody and control of the DOC facilities. Around the country and as close as New Jersey, correction officers are murdered every day in the line of duty at the hands of inmates. That hasn't happened here in over 40 years, but the ill-advised policy changes that have been recently implemented by the Mayor, the City Council, their Oversight Committees, the Board of Correction, and the Correction Commissioner makes that risk all the more greater, and as correction officers, ma'am, we feel it every freaking day; we feel it.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 113

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Understood. Thank
3 you.

2.2

2.3

impose radical reform, then that reform must be anchored by a secure system that put law and order ahead of politics with no exception. Correction officers must not continue to be demonized when these reforms fail. We are not shrinking from our responsibility. We are asking for the shared accountability among all the stakeholders in our criminal justice system, and let this hearing serve as our notice that we will continue to hold everyone from this point forward accountable to ensure justice is served behind bars just as it is on the street.

I'm happy to answer your—

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] Thank you, Mr. Husamudeen. And anyone else on the panel looking to testify or just here to answer questions?

Oh, you have--

FAISAL ZOUHBI: [interposing] My name is
Faisal Zouhbi. I'm the President of Assistant Deputy
Wardens' Association. Good afternoon to the Council,
Chairs Gibson and Crowley, Lancman and members of
both committees. Madam Chairpersons, as I said

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES before, I'm the President of the Assistant Deputy Wardens' Association. I represent -- this union represents Assistant Deputy Wardens, Deputy Warden and Deputy Wardens in Command also known as Warden Level I and Warden Level II. Our responsibility and pay is equivalent to that of NYPD Lieutenants, Captains and Deputy Inspectors. I'm thankful for this opportunity to testify on this important issue of jail violence and its effect on custodial staff and inmate population that we're entrusted to manage and protect. For all accounts the jail violence has increased and is at an all-time high during this DOC's Administration and leadership, both in assaults on inmates with weapons and the increased viciousness of the assaults on our uniformed staff members. has been an ongoing problem of our department, inability to deal with the increasing number of inmates committing disciplinary infractions who are not being segregated from the general population. These inmates then continue to commit further violent acts when they should have been locked down within punitive segregation. I know that the Mayor and Commissioner and Department of Corrections has eliminated from the segregation for 16 to 21-years-

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 115 old, but we encompass various age groups. violence in our city jail continues to escalate as a direct result of a weakened internal disciplinary process for inmates in which there are no consequences following violent crimes. With the reduction of the use of punitive segregation, inmates have no respect for authority within our jails. This has created a situation where many uniformed staff feel intimidated and threaten to go to work. leaves the criminal justice system where too long inmates who commit violent acts in the jail have their sentences combined only to have been given a concurrent sentence when clearly the law called for consecutive sentences. I thank honorable Darcel Clark for the efforts that she's doing prosecuting these inmates and also her District Attorney team who recommend to these judges to give consecutive That's one of the tools that we can use sentences. to stop violence on Rikers Island, and I would greatly appreciate the support of the Council and facilitating a law to ensure that these consecutive sentences are commuted. There's no doubt in my mind that violent episodes were reduced by initiating prompt sentencing guidelines in intelligent and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES judicious administration of justice. We're not saying like, you know, President Husamudeen said, that people who commit minor infractions like quality of life infractions to be thrown away in our justice system. I understand what Jumaane Williams was talking about in regard to the personal feelings out there in regards to closing Rikers Island, but the fact of the matter is, even if you close Rikers Island and you put them in satellite locations, it's not going to stop the problems of jail. It's not going to stop the problems of what's going on in these communities with failed resource and poor education, and also turning a blind eye on the things that cause crime in these communities. I'm a product of these communities. I know what went on in my community growing up. I know that if you turn a blind eye to drug usage and you decriminalize certain acts of drug use, it's only going to lead to further deterioration of that community. Those are things that of course the City Council and the law makers hopefully in the future will address, but we're going to get back to what we deal with on Rikers Island. As Darcel Clark said, that these inmates are using a two-dollar roll of black electrical tape.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

sophistication that the inmates is using to smuggle these titanium scalpels is to wrap it and to secrete it. I believe that DOC's does need better and more sophisticated detectors to combat this trend. The support of giving them these TSA-type body scanners would greatly help to increase the likelihood of identifying as contraband and hopefully the successful prosecution of these individuals that commit these crimes. But on top of that, the internal processes on how we deal with these violent criminals needs to change as well. And so it goes hand in hand.

2.2

2.3

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Understood. I'm} \\ \mbox{sorry, we have to cut your testimony short.}$

FAISAL ZOUHBI: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But I thank both the unions for being here today. I'm going to ask just a few questions and I think some of my colleagues. So, if what you had planned to say wasn't said yet, maybe it'll be said in the answers or in a very brief statement at the end. A question about crime. It seems violence according to the DOC, they keep saying it's going down, but you're saying something quite different. What is the case there?

You know, fewer of your officers have been seriously assaulted according to the Department of Corrections, but still seems like a lot of the officers are getting assaulted. Can you speak to the department putting out that type of impression, and is it accurate? Are the jails safer today than they were a year ago?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

FAISAL ZOUHBI: I believe because of what I said before, the weakened internal process, that the inmates are emboldened to commit these vicious attacks. The reality of it is is that they play the game of when they commit these acts of not being prosecuted and staying on Rikers Island to only get a concurrent sentence, it furthers the case that they can just commit these acts and get away with it. That's number one. The reduction of punitive segregation and the disciplinary process has been reduced to a point where it's almost nil. It has no effect on their behavior, and that plays another part in the rise and increase in violence towards other inmates and our custodial staff.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Is the jail safer today or not? Just a quick answer to that.

FAISAL ZOUHBI: I'm sorry.

1

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Part-- this is the 2 They submit these different statistics to you, 3 deal. 4 to the City Council, to the Mayor's Monthly, whatever 5 this report is, and then they sit in your face and tell you that it's going down, but they told you on 6 paper that it's actually an 18 percent increase. 7 8 These are their numbers. So as far as who's zooming [sic] who, I'm not quite sure, but at the end of the day -- District Attorney Clark said a lot of things. 10 11 She actually gets it, and the problem is the Commissioner doesn't, and the Mayor don't seem to get 12 13 it, and certain members of this, you know, of the 14 City Council don't seem to get it. We can't-- the 15 example that I use, you cannot arrest 35 gang members 16 and tell us that they are terrorizing Brooklyn, but 17 we're going to put them in the jail and as they 18 terrorize the jail, there's nothing that you can do. 19 It's impossible to come at us with that type of 20 mentality. You can't, again, as I continue to say, 21 it's like taking the gun from a New York City Police Officer and saying, "Go in and fight crime." So, 2.2 2.3 there we have the same issue. You want to take punitive seq. You want to take the measures that 24 25 have worked. If you look at it, three years ago the

numbers were actually going down. Three years later under this Administration the numbers are not going down, and for whatever reason don't seem to be able—they don't seem to be able to do anything to make it go down, and it's because they again are out of touch with the culture of Rikers Island and with the culture of running a jail.

2.2

2.3

FAISAL ZOUHBI: And just to try to answer, Chair Madam Crowley, your answer, if you asked an average correction officers do they feel safe going to work, there would be a resounding no.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Understood. Now, I thought I heard one of you testify about a delay in arraignments. Is that still happening? Do you feel that the inmates who are committing the crimes are getting prosecuted or arraigned?

they have a backlog, and the problem with the backlog is not-- it's a problem of the department actually making the arrest. For whatever reason, the department don't seem to take-- when an inmate spits in your face or throws urine and feces in your face and in your mouth, those arrests seem to be put on the backburner. For whatever reason they don't seem

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 to think that those type of assault rises to the 2 3 level where these people should be immediately 4 arrested. So, as correction officers, when these things happen to us -- and we know that there's a large population of inmates who have AIDS, Hepatitis, 6 7 HIV, and a lot of different things. SO they spit in 8 our face. They spit in our mouth. They spit in our They throw urine and feces in our face, and they're not arrested immediately. Yes, the ones who 10 11 cut, the ones who stab, the ones who slash, yes, 12 those arrests are done immediately, but then the ones 13 who throw urine and feces, which is an assault, those ones are not-- those arrests are not taken as serious 14 15 by the agency, and the DA can only do something when the case is actually brought to them. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I imagine that 18 affects your morale. 19 ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: It absolutely affects 20 morale, and--21 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Just identify your name for the record if you don't mind. 2.2 2.3 THOMAS FARRELL: Thomas Farrell, Legislative Chairman. Not to be disrespectful, but a 24

lot of time this committee and these hearings we go

```
COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
to, it reduces morale. It's almost an exercise in
futility. We've come here going on nine years and we
keep talking about the same thing, and nothing's
really being done. Can you guys take sanctions
against the department? We talk about numbers,
reporting numbers, reporting numbers, reporting
numbers, but what happens? The numbers are changed.
The numbers are fudged. The numbers are moved.
go from Category A to Category B. Are we ordering
food or are we reporting numbers? Nothing is being
      If we want to make some changes here, exactly
what DA Clark said, we need to get mandatory
sentencing, in my opinion, and we need to have
consecutive sentencing? There's a bill up right now
in the Senate. It's going to be introduced in the
Assembly to make it a "hate crime" to assault a
police officer, a firefighter, an EMT, and a
correction officers. Maybe then it'll stop.
handcuffing the judges and maybe sending these
inmates a message that you're not going to assault
us, but the Council needs to take steps to do it.
           CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:
                                 Understand.
           THOMAS FARRELL: You really need to force
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a hand.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But it is state law, and so we will take a look at the bill you just mentioned and look into doing a resolution in support. So, I understand why you're critical. be critical of the department. We have been able to get more officers which is important, but we still need a better training facility. We need to make sure that we truly are prosecuting because it seems that state law is preventing us from making sure that the same judge who could really give the consecutive sentence is really not able to hear the case, and time is of consideration as well, and these delays certainly don't help. But this committee is certainly focused on improving, certainly the levels and statistics that we see are troubling and they have been, and they're getting worse and I agree with your testimony. So, I'm going to conclude. I do appreciate.

: Just-- I'm sorry. Just one thing real quick. Part of my testimony--

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] No, you're going to be asked more questions--

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] No, no.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 124

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: from other

3 committees, too.

2.2

2.3

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Just one more thing.

If you guys can be as helpful as you can in getting the District Attorneys of this city, Brooklyn, Bronx, Queens, all of the District Attorneys into one room to resolve some of the issues that was actually eloquently addressed by the District Attorney so that we can get these guys off of the island, so that we can get them out of our system so that we can reduce the amount of assault against correction officers, because this population is largely responsible for a lot of it. I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: We'll look to do
that, but it would take a state law to change your
jurisdiction. I thank you for the work that you do.
I know that your members of the union work hard under
very difficult circumstances. So, again, I
appreciate the work of correction officers as well as
the management there. I'm going to now transfer it
over to my Co-chair.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much, Chair Crowley, and good afternoon to all of you, Mr. President and to your team. We appreciate you being

committees on public safety & fire and criminal justice services 125 here and certainly understanding the challenging dynamics that we work in. So, before I begin, let me just comment. In your testimony you made a lot of criticism towards the City Council, and let me first say--

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] Members--CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] that any Council Member that was here earlier who is certainly not here, that does not mean that they are not equally as dedicated to working with you and COBA and DOC and many others. So, I know you referenced one of my colleagues, and I certainly don't want this hearing to end and my colleague thinking that you called him out because he had to leave. So, let me be clear, any members that come to our hearing today and have come forth, their commitment is absolutely firm. You know, we have schedules and it doesn't mean that this information is not important, but I certainly want to make sure that, you know, blanket statements towards the City Council towards these committees, I certainly will not allow to go on record. So, I want to make sure you're clear and understand where I'm coming from, because you called

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

committees on public safety & fire and criminal justice services 126 out one of my colleagues that sits on my committee.

So, just want to make sure that's clear.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: I need to make sure that you're clear--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing]
Absolutely.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: that I have been coming to these council hearings for almost 20 years.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: And I'm quite accustomed to City Council Members who come in-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

the floor and leave, and don't have the respect to stay. If you have some— if you're going to come here and you're going to put an argument or you're going to put a particular idea on the floor as if there's some type of inhumane treatment that's going on, then I have every right in representing my members to call you out, and I absolutely, positively will. There are members of this City Council, and they may not be sitting up there, who every chance they get they take a hit at New York City Correction Officers—

2.2

2.3

```
COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
 1
 2
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Oh,
 3
     okay.
 4
                ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: and they find it
     necessary to blame us, and I consider --
 5
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] I got
 6
 7
     you.
 8
                ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Jumaane Williams and
 9
     Danny Dromm and Ydanis Rodriguez and quite a few
     other ones to be those people. So, I--
10
11
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Okay.
                ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: am offended when they
12
13
     come to these meetings, make their statement, ask the
     question on behalf of whoever pocket they're in, and
14
15
     then leave.
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Oh, okay. So, you're
16
17
     entitled to your opinion, but as the Chair of Public
     Safety--
18
19
                ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] I
20
     understand.
21
                CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: and you know,
     working with my committee members, I certainly want
2.2
2.3
     to make sure I go on record. I've been here for
     three years. So, I don't have the tenure of 20
24
     years, but certainly in my three years of chairing
```

1	COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 128
2	this committee I want to make it clear that we are
3	committed to working with you. So, we know emotions
4	are high, and you know, don't think that I don't
5	understand what your members go through every single
6	day, because I have friends that are on the job.
7	ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Absolutely.
8	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So I get it. I get
9	it.
10	ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: It's not about you.
11	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Absolutely. This is
12	about the bigger picture of making sure that
13	correction officers are safe, they're experienced,
14	they're well trained, and they're given the services
15	that they need.
16	ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: And
17	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] So, in
18	your testimony you talked a little bit about

resources. You talked about iPad. You talked about other things. That's a simple measure that can happen. So, is it just the new CO's that are coming out of the Academy that are given the smartphones and other technology and the existing CO's are not being given these new devices?

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 2 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Is that what you're 3 saying? ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Yes, currently. 4 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: That's definitely 6 7 true. 8 FAISAL ZOUHBI: Just to add to that, 9 they're only given that to work inside of the Correction Academy, not to-- as part of their day-to-10 11 day equipment. 12 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Oh, okay. So that's 13 an even bigger problem then. 14 ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: We're still in a 15 dinosaur days when it comes to collecting data, 16 collecting information and producing the necessary 17 reports of things that can actually assist us in 18 doing our job. 19 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And with some of the other technology that we've talked about with 20 21 the DA as far as the different technology that we obviously need state approval, is that something that 2.2 2.3 COBA has already been working on in terms of getting state law changed? You have relationships in Albany 24

with many of my former colleagues. So, do we have a

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES further commitment that you can help us to see if we can get some kind of success in this year's session? THOMAS FARRELL: Absolutely. We're very hopeful. They changed the Chair of the Correction Committee up in the Assembly. So we're hoping that--CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] So I heard.

THOMAS FARRELL: the new Chair will be a little more towards the middle and a little more understanding as to what the City needs and what we need to do our job and to protect not just our members but the inmate population as well.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right. Okay. And let me ask a question, because I did get a chance to ask Commissioner Ponte about the de-escalation training and the crisis intervention training. Can you give me your thoughts on that, because you know, I always hear multiple sides of a story, one side, the other side, and then the truth is in the middle. So, can you give me your perspective on the de-escalation and crisis intervention for officers?

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: Chairwoman, the fact of the matter is is that the de-escalation training and the other start [sic] program and the training,

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES the things that they're given to correction officers, actually the training means nothing. It means absolutely nothing at all if we're not going to enforce the basic laws of the land. If we're not going-- if you're going to tell my member and teach my-- train my member to de-escalate a situation, but you're not going to train my member what to do when that situation can't be de-escalated, or if they end up with procedural violations of that, then you want to suspend them or maybe even arrest them. It means nothing. I don't think that anything that is said by the Commissioner here today or anyone else, it's hard for us to take it serious, because until you deal with the basic fundamental issues that are facing us, we have to be safe, and the de-escalation training hasn't done anything to make us safer. It hasn't done anything to even make us feel safer. So, until they begin to literally address this handful of inmates that they're allowing to put the agency and the city in a chokehold, none of this other training and none of this other stuff really matters. just not important. I mean, this is just my opinion of talking to my members and being in the jails and seeing exactly the result is that all of this

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

training that we've been—that they said they're giving us, what's been the outcome? What's been the result? What has it done? It hasn't decreased the numbers. So obviously, they're not paying attention to whatever it is that they need to be paying attention to.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So, if that is your position and many of your members, I mean, we are investing millions and millions of our taxpayer dollars getting to the root issues that we deal with, you know, focusing on the consecutive versus concurrent. DOC has the authority to determine which infractions are prosecuted first. So, you talked a little bit about some of the more minor infractions, but they're still infractions. No correction officer should be urinated on. That's unacceptable. It's disgusting—

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] It's probably happening right now.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: that a human would do that to another human, right? Let's call it what it is. So what do we need to do to get the Administration to look at every single infraction

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 133 with the equal level of attention? That's the bottom line.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: One of the things-and I'll let President Zouhbi answer for himself. One of the things is this-- and yes, we are definitely about and interested and support the consecutive sentences. The problem is that's only a part of the problem. That is only a part of the solution. The immediate problem is that my members should be able to come to work and feel safe first. So, as far as the Department being in a position to address what comes first and what infractions, at the end of the day they're not addressing the issue that's most important like urine and feces and spit and slashing and stabbing and the things that are being done to my members. We have to address those issues before we can move forward. It makes no sense to try to help somebody that's homeless unless you're going to give them some place to stay. It makes no sense to try to preach to somebody who's freaking hungry unless you're going to feed them first. first thing that they have to do and they have to focus on is making us safe, keeping us safe, and then we can move forward to all the other things, because

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 134 then it's easier. It'll fall right in place. I'm sorry, Zou?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

FAISAL ZOUHBI: Yeah, I agree with There are many working parts that President Elias. go along with it. The problem and if Corrections is going to give you statistics to say that there's a large mental health population, I don't know if the courts have identified these issues, but if we're dealing with a large mental health population and you're teaching de-escalation tactics, they're not mental health psychologists or psychiatrists that can diagnose these problems. They can try to identify them, but it won't always lead to a positive outcome. The reality of it is that the guys who are throwing feces and spitting on staff and assaulting staff like that, they are also manipulating the system. know that if they commit these acts it prevents them from going to state prison and/or prolongs their stay on Rikers Island. There's a lot of working parts to it.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So, I know we have to move on, but you know, let me just say that, you know, obviously the old system isn't working and we have to be much more creative. We should be

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES smarter than those that are on the inside. the bottom line. If they play the game, then we need to play the game better. That's just the reality, and I refuse to sit here and think that all of our education and experience, all the titles we have and we somehow can't find a solution to this. There are more of us than there are of them. Like, it's absolutely just ridiculous sometimes that we have to keep having these conversations. Even down to something as simple as technology, let's get it done. I know it sounds easy, but I guess I'm committed to doing it because I'm frustrated. I hear from my residents all the time, and they're frustrated. therefore, I'm frustrated, right? So, you know, this is something that we have to keep dealing with, and I appreciate your willingness, but you know, also understand that everyone's got to give something, This is about negotiation, but we should never jeopardize or minimize our commitment to our members. So, I'm fighting for my district. You're fighting for your members. Your members need to be safe. My district needs to be safe. So we all have a vested interest, but how we get there is the critical path that we have to figure out. And you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committees on Public Safety & Fire and CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 136 know, to me, as long as violence continues to erupt on the island, then we're all taking responsibility, and I take responsibility as Chair of this committee. So, you know, we have a lot more to discuss and we can't do it all in two hours and three hours—

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing]
Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: but you know,
certainly I look forward to working with you. But you
know, being clear again, I get the criticism, and
I'll take the criticism where, you know, I think I
have not done my role, but I also want to make sure
that you understand that there's obviously more
stakeholders that are involved in this process that
really have to work with us. You know, we can't just
talk about it, we have to be about it. That's the
bottom line.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: I mean, not to interrupt you. This union has been attempting to work with this agency in this city for I don't know how many years. We've never ever--

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: [interposing] Well before my tenure.

2.2

2.3

1

2 ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: We have never ever not 3 want to work to make things safer for us. 4 just -- makes no sense. So, we are definitely on the same page. Listen, Councilman Gibson, I know you. You know me. I appreciate you. 6 I appreciate 7 everything that you've done as well as Councilman 8 Crowley as correction officers is concerned. just that the fact of the matter is, we need to be safe, and you guys are in a position to make that 10 11 happen. I mean, the same way you guys push, write 12 these rules, write these laws, it needs to be done, and I think that the Commissioner's feet needs to be 13 held to the fire. I mean, let's just call it what it 14 15 I think it was Councilman Crowley asked him 16 about EHS, and she asked him, "Is it punitive?" 17 Well, listen, let's call it what it is. It's 18 punitive. Why in the business of law enforcement are 19 we going to be afraid to say it's punitive? 20 the business that we're in, and if we're going to keep officers and inmates and civilians safe, there 21 2.2 are going to have to be punitive measures taken to 2.3 answer or to resolve or to address certain types of behavior. But the Commissioner is in a position 24 where he's scared to death because the Board of 25

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 138 Corrections or the City Council or in particular some City Council Member is going to take him to task because he's saying yes, EHS is a punitive measure, and that's exactly what it is. You can't say that you want to protect correction officers, protect the inmates, and not want to use punitive measures when it becomes necessary. So, we have a situation where everybody's afraid to say the word punitive. We need to say the word punitive. We need to make it very clear. Listen, ma'am, you commit a crime in these You get arrested. You go to jail. That's punitive. You go to jail. You could have commit the same crimes in the jail. You should be subjected to punitive measures to correct or address your behavior. You can't tell us that we can't do head shots and the inmate comes out of his cell trying to take my head off. We're dealing with a bunch of people who don't have boots on the ground and don't have a clue as to what we do. But they want to sit in these places and in these chambers and tell us what our job is, and that pisses me off, and it pisses off my members, and I'm hoping that it pisses off the City Council and everybody else involved. Because at the end of the day last year more than 600

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

of my correction officers were assaulted, 600. Do you know the kind of freaking fever would be going on in this city if we said 600 police officers were officers? So, what, we don't count? We're law enforcement officers just as everybody else. We have been brought here to uplift and to protect public safety, and we don't get the same type of reaction or respect that everybody else get. There's no way in the world that the City Council, any City Council member, any mayor, anybody should be comfortable that 600 law enforcement officers charged with enforcing the laws in these jails were assaulted, and that's okay. I'm sorry. It's just not something that's going to continue—

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: [interposing] No, it's not okay.

ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: to be tolerated.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: That's why we had the hearing today, to understand why inmates are not getting prosecuted, whether they're assaulting an officer or another inmate. I do appreciate the whole panel for being here today to testify. We're going to continue to work together to do what we can to bring justice to those who are victims of crime on

```
COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
1
    Rikers Island, and we're also going to work together
2
3
    to reduce the violence or hold this Administration
4
    more accountable. There'll be more hearings and you
     know my number. I'm always open. We'll continue
    this conversation. I'm sorry that we don't have more
6
    time today, but as I mentioned earlier, we do have to
7
    get out of this room and there's a second part to
8
    this hearing. We're actually going to hear a bill
    now that is Council Member Lancman's. So, again,
10
11
    thank you for being here, and I'm going to quickly
12
     get into the second part of the hearing, which is a
    bill introduced by Council Member--
13
14
                ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] Thank
15
    you.
16
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:
                                       Thank you.
    Council Member Lancman--
17
18
                ELISA HUSAMUDEEN: [interposing] Thank you
19
    Madam Chairs.
20
                CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I'm not going to
21
     speak to the bill in the interest of time. I'm going
2.2
     let Council Member Lancman do that. Now, I'd like to
2.3
    recognize Council Member Lancman. While he's getting
    his opening out, I just want to also let the folks
24
```

here and the public know that Elizabeth Glazer who is

the Executive Director of the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice was invited today to give testimony on behalf of this bill. She's not here. Is anybody here today to— with prepared testimony on behalf of the Office of Criminal Justice? Council Member Lancman.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you. afternoon. I'm Council Member Rory Lancman and a member of the Committee on Fire and Criminal Justice, and I'm the prime sponsor of Intro. 1373 which this committee will now hear. I also chair the Committee on Courts and Legal Services. I want to thank the Committee on Fire and Criminal Justice chaired by Council Member Elizabeth Crowley for hearing this legislation today, and I look forward to what I'm sure will be an interesting discussion. Today, more than half of all inmates are sitting on Rikers Island because they can't make bail. Indeed, in 2015, 84 per of the more than 6,000 non-felony defendants assigned bail of 500 dollars or less failed to secure bail at arraignment, and 40 percent of these defendants never made bail prior to the final disposition of their case. Rikers Island is filled with people too poor to buy their freedom, but this

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES should come as no surprise when contrary to state law almost no effort is ever made to evaluate a defendant's financial ability to make even a seemingly low bail amount. In June of 2015, this committee together with the Committee on Courts and Legal Services held a hearing on the state of bail in New York City and potential ideas for reform. hearing featured testimony from stakeholders across the criminal justice system, including the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, the Center for Court Innovation, New York City's Criminal Justice Agency, retired judges, District Attorney Offices, indigent defense providers, charitable bails funds, and outside advocacy groups. Stakeholders emphasize that we could make our current system more fair by ensuring that judges set bail amounts with full information and consideration of a defendant's current financial situation, including what bail amount if any they could realistically make and whether they or their relatives had assets which could be pledged if necessary to secure their attendance at trial as the law allows. As even the Office of Court Administration acknowledged in explaining Chief Judge Johnathan Lippman's bail

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES reforms in October of 2015, arraignment parts suffer from enormous case volumes and legally impose time constraints, but often preclude a more thorough consideration of relevant factors and where information about a defendant's circumstances may be limited. This is where the legislation that is being heard today comes into play. The Criminal Justice Agency already conducts pre-arraignment interviews of every defendant to evaluate their flight risk. requiring CJA to also objectively and independently evaluate a defendant's financial capacity to afford certain amounts and certain kinds of bail, Intro. 1373 will ensure that judges have the full information they need to, if necessary, set bail amounts and bail mechanisms that are appropriate given a defendants' financial resources. This law, in conjunction with other reforms efforts such a the Citywide Bail Fund sponsored by Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito and the City's expanded Supervised Release Program will go a long way toward ensuring that people are punished for their crimes, not for their poverty. Let me close by citing the United States Department of Justice in a brief filed last year in the 11th circuit. At issue was a Georgia

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES Municipalities Bail Law that sent a man to jail for six days because he couldn't pay 160 dollars bail for the misdemeanor charge of being a pedestrian under the influence of alcohol. "A bail practice violates the 14th Amendment if without consideration of ability to pay and alternative methods of assuring appearance at trial, it results in the pre-trial detention of indigent defendants." This fairly describes the state of bail in New York City, and I believe that the current operation of our bail statute here in New York, and I believe the current operation of our bail statute here in New York City. I do look forward to hearing the witnesses' testimony. Madam Chair, let me just mentioned that MOCJ has planned to testify. There is written testimony. The individuals who was going to provide that testimony, Alex Crohn, I understand had a death in the family, and so we understand. But at some point in the conversation with some of the other witnesses, I might highlight some of the points that MOCJ raised in posing this bill. Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: We're ready to call the first panel. Peter Kiers, representative from

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 145

New York City Criminal Justice Agency which is a nonprofit organization.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

PETER KIERS: Hi, thank you very much. My name is Peter Kiers. I'm the Acting Executive Director of the New York City Criminal Justice Agency. I'm here to give testimony regarding the bill at hand. Good morning to-- or good afternoon to Chair Crowley, Gibson and Lancman and the people on the committee. In the effort to save time I will not read through it, but I believe you all have the testimony that I have there, but I do want to read the more pertinent points. As you know, CJA is an outgrowth of the Manhattan Bail Project in 1961 where it was reasoned that people, the developers of the project rightly realized that the reason why jails were full was because arrested persons could not afford the bail. Persons who could afford the bail were released, while those who could not afford the bail sat in jail. Money was the deciding factor, and that is what we want to talk about today, the introduction of money in the bill. As we go forward, the-- what happened with the Manhattan Bail Project was a demonstration. It was a success because of the interpersonal contact that was reached between the

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES project and the people who were arrested regarding personal characteristics and community ties. continued with that with using the assessment that we do use, it's a more-- it's a validated risk assessment, and we are able to go forward using that with our ability to rightly asses defendants who are going arraigned as to whether or not they should be released on recognizance, and in some instances other Recently, the City has funded a Supervised Release Program in all boroughs giving the court an alternative non-monetary release option for defendants who are likely to receive money bail a release condition. Additionally, CJA provides a full range of pre-trial options to better assure that defendants who are released receive outreach from us to return to court. We do notifications for both for people for upcoming court dates, both several days before and on the morning of the court date using interactive computerized call, text messages and letters that give appropriate court dates and noncompliance warnings. We have Failure to Appear Units that identify persons who did not appear in court, and the staff reaches out to arrested persons for up to 29 days, counseling them to return

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES The units have been successful in voluntarily. getting over 40 percent to return without additional warrant charges with having additional warrant charges dismissed. The agency is cognizant of those who leave court with low-cash bail and who are slated for transport to Rikers Island and borough facilities. With that in mind, we have Bail Expediting Units. Those Bail Expediting Units are very important because we're able to put holds in the court for people who are able to have sureties come to court and post the bail for them. They are not transported to Rikers Island or to other correctional facilities. We also are working with the bail funds in that regard so that we are able to work with the bail funds in identifying people and also to place holds on them so that the bail fund representatives can come and place the bails in the court. principle in all of these initiatives that I just mentioned is the exposure of the arrested person to the people who work with him or her during the pretrial period to encourage court appearance and stress to them the importance of not getting rearrested, encouraging a defendant to be truthful, to participate, to have him work with his family, and

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES also to come to court. What we are very concerned about here is reintroducing money as a stakeholder. The bill before us today is different. It rests solely on assessing an amount of money to be levied while recent initiatives in New York City focus on the infusion of making the surety more personal in the process. The language of the bill focuses on using money as that which influences the released decision. When we put into law or statute a mechanism that focuses on using money bail no matter what the amount, the court relinquishes a certain discretion that is solely the courts and only the court's prerogative. If you think about it, only the court can simultaneously maximize the three-pronged consideration necessary for a pre-trial release, weighing the liberty interest involved in the presumption of innocence and the presumption of release, making choices that assure the court the court appearance, and quarding the public safety in a way that is consistent with the law. Without the personal dynamic how can an amount of money better assure safety? Can money guarantee appearance better than non-financial or non-secure personal release funds? Does money better ensure liberty? We already

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES know the answer to that. Regarding the proposed bill, how do we arrive at an established amount that the defendant can afford? What do we consider it? Salary? Bank accounts? Assets and property? Cost of defending oneself? Outstanding debt? And whose money are we talking about anyway, the defendant's or another personal surety? The work necessary to ascertain the information is formable, and once an amount is determined and the information goes to the defense attorney to talk about money bail, to talk about an alternative with his client, then money is on the same playing field as the other forms of release. What was individually informed decision by the judge to grant our ROR, release of the supervision, unsecured personal bond will become largely a mechanical one determined by the nature of the chare in an amount that a person can afford? What seems to be the intention of the law is good? As I can see, the law is meant to reduce the number of defendants both at Riker and other correction facilities by providing the attorney with-- excuse me-- by providing the attorney and defendant financial information obtained by the Pre-trial Services Agency, which could be presented to the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

```
COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
                                                   150
judge, allowing him or her to have more factual
knowledge when setting a monetary bail. It is
presumed that the judge will use the information to
set a bail so that the defendant can bail him or
herself out. It is also presumed that the court
culture will change if the judge should have this
information.
              The DA also has the opportunity to
speak against it and to make bail recommendation.
research report by CJA entitled, "Doctors influencing
release in bail decisions in New York City," by
Doctor Mary Phillips has shown that the most
important influence in the courts release decision is
the DA's bail request. Without cultural change, will
the court and other players buy into the bail scheme
that the law mandates? Just by making a law, we
think not. So, what to do right now to alleviate the
number of defendants going into DOC arraignment.
The estimated current cost we estimate of
implementing this proposal would be approximately
2,300,000 dollars, including personnel, fringe, shift
differential overtime, and OTPS. The number of
defendants held on bail in 2016 was about 41,586. The
number of defendants held on bail-- I'm sorry.
```

However, only 40 percent of them were employed, and

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES their median earning was 400 dollars per week. Most of these defendants are unlikely to be able to afford any amount of bail. If the Council is being asked to allocate so much money to facilitate the release of small numbers of defendants on bail, it would make more sense to fund proposals that would give you more bang for the buck. The following suggestions may be helpful. One, the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice along with CJA will be working on new ROR risk assessment that will be updated and hopefully will expand the number of defendants recommended for ROR. The assessment can also be used to identify defendants who can safety be released under supervised release with appropriate release responses. We would certainly recommend funding the expansion of supervised release and enhanced supervised release so that such expansion with guaranteed responses citywide can service more defendants who would ordinarily be given bail. in the short term working with charitable bail funds. Three providing money for judge training on the arraignment process and extoling the purpose of law, liberty interest and the release decision. Four, working with the unified court system in New York

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES City to stress other forms of bail allowed by law but There ae eight of them in the state usually ignored. law. And five, provide funding for the pre-trial services agency to review status of detained defendants on an ongoing basis to determine if there are any changes in eligibility for release or other circumstances that might enable, the conditional release of defendants and provide the court with needed information to facilitate the release under appropriate conditions. The goal should be to create multiple non-cash options to help judges realize the actual release of bailable [sic] defendants by reducing the use of money bail. The goal should be the elimination of money bail and each small decision that is made regarding the judges release decision should keep that in mid as we move to achieve the ultimate goal. The philosophical and ethical principles of creating a personal approach in fashioning each defendants' release, ROR, supervised release, unsecured personal bonds, working with charitable bail organizations have their roots in the original concept of bail. Without the use of bail, more defendants become eligible and able to participate. The court, the people and the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 153 defendants are the stakeholders in the release 2 3 decision process. Money should never be a stakeholder 4 at the table. If the focus is shifted to any version of the current money bail system, it will shift the decision-making focus and hinder the personal 6 7 released decisions that are necessary for the 8 simultaneous considerations of liberty, assurance of court appearance, and public safety. In conclusion, I want to thank the Council for the court about the--10 11 the council for its concern about the very critical 12 aspect of pre-trial release and for the opportunity 13 you have afforded me and others to offer comments and

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you for your testimony. I'm going to recognize Council Member Lancman with questions.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

suggestions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Good afternoon. Thank you for your testimony. Thank you for your patience.

PETER KIERS: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I have to be frank with you. There's an undercurrent in your testimony and at some points it stated directly, and I've heard it from other advocates of fellow fighters

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES of the good fight in the effort to try to eliminate money bail. And it smacks of the perfect being the enemy of the good. The reality is now, correct me if I'm wrong, there are thousands of people each year who are sent to Rikers Island for some period of time, could be a day or two, could be weeks, it could be months, because they cannot make small bail amounts, 500 dollars, 1,000 dollars 1,500 dollars, even less. And we start with the proposition while we wait for the magical day to occur when cash bail is-- monetary bail is eliminated in New York State despite efforts of people far more prominent and powerful than you or I or this City Council to achieve that result. We start with the fact that under state law now judges are required to examine, among other factors, a defendant's financial circumstances when deciding whether or not to set bail and what amount, right? The Criminal Procedure Law 510-30: the court must consider the kind and degree of controller restriction that is necessary to secure his court attendance when required. determining that matter, the court must on the basis of available information consider to take into account 11 different factors, nine different factors,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

```
COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
                                                  155
including defendant's employment and financial
resources. So, right now, that almost never happens.
I've sat in arraignment parts. Lord knows you've
seen numerable arraignments. That almost never
happens. And so we have an important piece of state
law that could contribute to changing the culture and
putting information in front of judges that will
enable them to apply state law and set bail amounts
that a defendant could reasonably make, and we're
being told that in part we shouldn't provide that
information. We should continue to allow the judges
to effectively ignore the law, because doing so might
undermined this higher principle of goal of one day
being able to eliminate monetary bail entirely.
think that is just a very, very unrealistic way
to view this problem and to try to help as many
people as we can without -- to be able to stay out of
Rikers Island. Because if you set -- for some people,
many people, if you set 500 dollars bail you might as
well set it at five million dollars. Let's go
through -- I'd like to go through some of the
suggestions that you--
```

PETER KIERS: Can I just make one quick

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

comment--

3 Sure.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

PETER KIERS: the part that you just In taking a look at that, one of the things said? that -- there are a couple of things that we mentioned. You know, and as you know, the pre-trial process is always squeezed in the time frame of, you know, the 24-hour rule, you know, arrest to arraignment time, and when we take a look-- well, when we take a look at people coming out of arraignment in the Beck's [sic] program, for instance, and we see that they have 500 dollar bails. It's very seld-- you know, I mean, we get a few that we call the surety up, usually mom, dad, wife, whomever, you know, very-- they're able to make it, but a lot of times they're just not able to, you know, to make the bail. And the other thing is that, you know, in looking at the timeframe, that's the scariest part for us. Because as we come up with these non-financial positions like supervised release and -- well, certainly ROR is there, but we're afraid that these things will get bumped because the court culture will always go back to money, you know? it's just like the default mechanism. And when you

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES $\,$ 157 look at the state law, there are other types of bail that are non--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: [interposing] Well, that's one of the problems also, because as we know not only are there nine considerations that a judge should give before setting bail and deciding what the amount are, there are nine different mechanisms for how that bail can be met. And right now, 99.9 percent of the time, it's either cash bail or an insurance bond, but if the judge was given information that so and so maybe had real property or personal property that could be pledged, or that so and so's mother or father or brother could likewise do that. We think that at least providing the judge the information necessary to see if any of these other seven much less onerous criteria than cash bail or insurance bond could be met, then in some number of instances, and I think a lot of them, but in some number of instances the judge will then say, okay, we're going to do number seven. You know, we're just going to -- I'm just going to let you out. You're -- I don't want to do ROR. I don't want to release you on your own recognizance, whatever reason. I wasn't doing it anyway, but you pledge if you don't show up,

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 158 I know you've got a job and you're going to have to pay the court 250 bucks, whatever. If the court is not being given the information to be able to comfortably choose a different mechanism for paying bail, we are consigning those defendants to the reality that they will either be released on their own recognizance, terrific, or be one of the very, very lucky few who get into the bail fund or the supervisory programs that we have, or be part of that mass of thousands of people who end up going to Rikers Island, because the only thing the court could think of is 500 dollars, 500 dollars, 1,000 dollars without any real thought or consideration given to it.

PETER KIERS: Right. And the other two things that we mentioned is that— well, on the form itself we do take in— we do record information regarding work, the people the person supports, the amount of money that the person makes, you know, when he's in his job. If he's unemployed, then he's unemployed. So, that's why we came up with these statistics that I just mentioned to you about 40 percent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 159

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And listen, I've had that opportunity which I appreciate to sit and watch those interviews--

PETER KIERS: [interposing] Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: being conducted, and I'm sensitive to the fact about the 24-hour arraignment time, and I know all the DA's are very, very sensitive about, you know, how well they're doing in that time. I know Judge Brown in Queens is almost fanatical about, you know, being the fastest in the City, if that's true. I don't know. says it. So, I get it, but I'm confident knowing your agency, and we wrote the law vaguely. Like, we're not prescribing the exact questions to be asked and how to ask them, because we think that you can figure that out maybe working with OCA and the Defense Bar, and the DA's offices, but I don't think it would take more than five, 10 minutes per person to just get this basic information and be able to put that in front of the judge. Look, let's go through the specific things that you had mentioned as suggestions, alright? The new risk assessment, that's terrific. We look forward to seeing what that is going to be, and we hope that appropriately

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 160 recalibrates the courts and the DA's offices in particular expectation of how often ROR would be offered, but I don't see that being mutually exclusive, and at the end of the day there are going to be a certain number of defendants that the DA's offices and the courts are going to feel like this guy's got to have some skin in this game, and for those places we want to make sure that the skin is an amount that can be reasonably be met. It mentioned in the short-term working with charitable bail funds to expand their use; amen, but we still haven't gotten the council's bail fund up and running if I'm not mistaken. We're close I'm told. We're still not there, and I think it's been-- we're going on two years now. Working with OCA with the court system to stress the other forms of bail allowed by law-listen, unless the courts are confronted with the direct information which would pass through the defense council that CJ was able to collect, I don't see any compelling reason why would be able to do a better job than Judge Lippman did who was completely committed to this issue to getting the courts to change that culture. Look, this is a starting point. I know that's said often in legislation as a cliché.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

It's a bill. It's a hearing. We want to move this forward. I'm confident that if we work with you and that if you have an open mind about how we can better provide information to courts that they're legally required to consider but don't currently have, we can build a better mousetrap than what we have today.

2.2

2.3

PETER KIERS: Okay. I would just mention the whole idea of the court culture being very important, and I did mention the research project as well about the effect of the District Attorney's bail request in there. It's an extremely important element in the court process.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Yeah, I remember you briefing me on that when we had one of our initial conversation and that what the DA asked for is the significant— is the most significant factor in what is eventually offered. If the defense counsel is able to get up and say, Mr. so and so has no money in his bank account, no job, no nothing, but you know, his mother's got a job, maybe they can put up 50 bucks. I don't know. It's got to— it's got to— confronting the courts with the reality that thousands of people are being sent to Rikers Island because they can't make what seems to us an

insignificant amount of bail has got to have an effect on that culture, and we'll arm the defense counsel to challenge the District Attorney's kind of wrote recitation of we want 500, we want 2,500.

2.2

2.3

understand where you're coming from. I understand the realm of it. I just wanted to make sure that we say what our experience has been both in the court, in the culture of the court and also with the Beck's programs that we put forward. and you know, I just—we wouldn't absolutely would not want to see money put on the same line with the judge's consideration as supervised release and the expansion of supervised release, because that's, you know, what the whole testimony was about was that the personal contact that was there seems to be more, much more than just the dollar amount [sic].

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: As you know, I hope you know, this council so far as I can observe—I don't speak for the council, but this council, myself, my committee, we're big advocates and proponents of supervised release bail funds. Well, bail funds as a measure of dealing with case bail, of doing away with monetary bail to the extent that it's

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Good afternoon.

testify.

24

PETER JONES:

Yes.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Sorry.

PETER JONES: That's fine.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Let me ask if there are other folks testifying on the bill. Is anyone here today to testify on the bail bill?

PETER JONES: There are. They're colleagues from my organization, and I'm happy to defer to them.

 $\label{eq:council_member_lancman: Yeah, we want} % \begin{center} \begin{center$

PETER JONES: Understood.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And If you could stick around.

PETER JONES: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So, Legal Aid folks-- what's the next panel? Okay. Josh Norkin, Nick Malinowski, Brooklyn Defender Services, Scott Levy, the Bronx Defenders, and Sergio De La Pava, from New York County Defenders. Come on down. Let's talk about bail. Also-- whoops, sorry. Rachel Foran from the Brooklyn Community Bail Fund. Is she still here? There she is. Just come on down. We'll do it in one shot. Can we get her a chair? Can we get her a nice chair? She's been waiting all day. With

committees on public safety & fire and criminal justice services 166 cushions— okay. If there's no preferred order, why don't we just start from you on down. How's that? Push the button, red light.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOSHUA NORKIN: Joshua Norkin with the Legal Aid Society. I work in the Special Litigation I have been personally working on the Legal Aid Society's bail reform issues for the past five or six years or so, and officially sort of now work on that issue fulltime. Our testimony today that was prepared I'm going to just leave to the Council Members to read for themselves, but I think the focus of my testimony essentially piggy-backs on some of the issues raised by the Criminal Justice Agency most recently which is in their testimony which is what is the potential impact of this bill, and will it actually influence judges to make decisions to release our poor clients who cannot afford to get out of jail from Rikers Island. And I think that the Legal Aid society hopes or at least has some hope that this bill may provide some relief in that regard. Right now there are very few if any people being released on unsecured or partially secured bonds which are authorized by the statute, but I think that our experience over the past several years

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES and my experience individually is that when our clients are released on these forms of bail, it tends to be very successfully. It tends to ensure that our clients get out, and we tend to have the same results as having our clients released on their own recognizance. Primarily probably because the incentive to plead guilty has been removed from the process by having them obtain their release. say that my personal experience with this having been a trail attorney in the Bronx is that having a client released on an unsecured, partially secured bond to a relative allowed that person to spend 21 days out of They did not take a plea. The case went to jail. trial, and that defendant was eventually acquitted of all of the charges, and in our testimony we go through a litany of different cases, and in particular these examples include a number of different non-violent and violent felony cases in which we have had success having our clients released on these types of bail and making their appearances in court. And one thing that I would like to point out, these types of bail are routinely used in Federal Court. The numbers that we cite too in our brief say that in Federal District Court roughly 36

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 168 percent of defendants are released on unsecured Thirty-four percent of those are violent crimes that the defendants have been released on an unsecured bond. Given that the rate of release on these types of bonds in New York City is essentially zero at this point, and given the fact that we have bail funds working, that there are certain constraints to defendants being able to post 500 dollars bail that may not necessarily be solved by this particular piece of legislation. There is a hope in my mind that this legislation may reduce the portion of Rikers that some of the other measures don't address, and by injecting a non-partisan thirdparty recommendation into this process at a point that will allow our clients hopefully obtain their release, then there is the hope that if there is the shift in culture, judges, attorneys, and-- it's little unfortunate that our District Attorney Clark has left the room-- that perhaps some of this culture shift will actually take root because it largely hasn't in our six years of advocacy. So, when judges do this, I think that it has been largely very, very successful. I think our own examples confirm that. I think that when our attorneys ask for it, they are

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 169 met with sort of puzzled looks, silence, and a judiciary that largely has not sort of acknowledged that these remedies exist in the Criminal Procedure Law, and I think that the great hope of this bill is that by putting that information in front of judges, in front of the District Attorney is going to be much harder for them to ignore that, and it makes it much more likely that the judges might be willing to do something that when simply asked by a defense attorney they previously weren't going to do. I'm sure everyone else here has got plenty to say, so I'll leave it at that and take any questions. COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Good. Thank

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you.

NICK MALINOWSKI: My name is Nick
Malinowski. I'm here today on behalf of Brooklyn
Defender Services. We'd like to thank City Council
and the Committees for having the hearing today. BDS
staff is on the front lines of working to mitigate
the negative impact of bail policies. We heard a lot
earlier today about the issues at Rikers Island, but
also wanted to bring to your attention more also the
harsh consequences that follow up from our clients
who can actually pay bail. So, that is money that

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 170 they might otherwise use to pay rent, food or other necessities. People often borrow money which can create problems as well in the community. We have done some mapping in Brooklyn of the neighborhoods where our clients post bail, and as you might imagine it's concentrated in a few specific zip codes, and what we've been able to see is that there's an impact throughout the entire neighborhood where money that might be spent, you know, in the bodega, in local small businesses instead is tied up in court. as many as 75 percent of people in the local jail system being pre-trial detainees, the common narrative is that people are in jail simply because they're poor, but this suggests an abstract passive process to which people simply find themselves locked up on Rikers Island. In reality, individual judges and District Attorneys make discretionary decisions that lead to the pre-trial incarceration of presumptively innocent people. While judges ultimately set bail amounts and methods, the financial recommendation of prosecutors made without even a cursory glance at a defendants' financial resources significantly impacts the judicial determination of who goes to jail and who gets to go

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES The bill considered by City Council today attempts to get at some of the issues listed above by requiring CJA to report on people's ability to pay so that judges have more information at their disposal when making bail determinations. It's crucial that bail is only used in the most limited circumstances. The reality for our clients is the difference between 180 dollars in bail and 500 dollars in bail is incredibly significant, but this is never considered by judges. We rarely see bail set under 250 dollars or even 500 dollars, and in general there are only a handful of bail amounts that judges in Brooklyn use, typically advancing in 500 dollar increments, again, showing that no individualized determination is being made with respect to defendants' financial resources. While we believe it's essential for the court to better consider our client's financial capacity to pay, we also have concerns about due process and privacy concerns. Public defenders should be the gate-keepers to this information about our client's financial resources, and we're also concerned about net widening [sic] and that just because CJA has determined that people have the capacity to pay bail should not mean that they do. Lastly, in the last

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

piece of the bill it mentions commercial bail bonds which we also have significant concerns about. Our clients regularly report problems with the bondsmen, and in addition we've seen that it's rare for bondsmen to take bonds lower than a thousand dollars. So, for many people even though that is provided by a judge as an option, practically speaking it's not. I guess in sum we support the bill and thank you for allowing us to testify.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Good. Thank

you. the first version of the bill had the

information going directly to the court, but in

response to feedback like what you've given us, the

current version of the bill has the information going

to defense counsel, and then he or she can decide how

and when to use that.

NICK MALINOWSKI: We think that's very important thank you.

SCOTT LEVY: Thank you. Scott Levy, I am Special Counsel to the Criminal Practice at the Bronx Defenders. Given everything we've heard I'll try to keep it brief, but what we do know is the inability to pay even small amounts of bail distorts the administration of justice at every level. Just two

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES weeks ago I had a client who is charged with petty larceny. What is considered a moderate amount of bail was set. His family would have been able to come up with some of that money but was not able to come up with all of it, and as a result he ended up taking a plea to a short jail sentence rather than waiting in Rikers for his trial. And for many of our clients the amount of bail set at arraignments seems arbitrary and completely disconnected from the realities of their lives, and when bail is set at an amount or in a form that clients cannot make, concepts like due process and a presumption of innocence take a back seat to the realities of poverty and economic dislocation. The legislation that we're discussing here today would advance the cause of justice in two important ways, and we've discussed those already. First, it would ensure that judges consider a client's financial circumstances when setting bail, so that the amount does not feel and is not in fact arbitrary, and is actually calibrated to an amount that the client can play, but secondly and equally important, the legislation would require judges to consider alternatives forms of bail such as partially secured bonds when making their

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES determinations. And as we've discussed, the courts already have a number of tools already at their disposal to ensure that bail serves its proper function to ensure a person's return to court rather than act as de facto preventive detention. When the state legislature wrote our current bail statute it included a long list of alternatives to traditional bail and insurance company bonds. Despite these efforts -- despite efforts of the defense bar to educate judges, the alternative forms of bail that have been on the books for decades have been slow to take effect. The resistance to alternative forms of bail it seems to us is neither principled or ideological, but rather a result of a systemic lack of training and familiarity, bureaucratic inertia, and a lack of logistical and clerical support that leaves judges with the impression that it's just not worth the hassle. This legislation today rightly encourages judges to consider the alternative forms of bail already available to them by removing significant bureaucratic and logistical obstacles, providing judges with a clear roadmap. If embraced by the courts, the legislation could finally allow existing bail statute to be used to its full

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committees on public safety & fire and criminal justice services 175 potential. The legislation would add a layer of much needed accountability and transparency in the City's arraignment court rooms, and more crucially, this effort will help safeguard the presumption of innocence and due process for our clients.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you.

RACHEL FORAN: Good afternoon. Thanks to the Committees and the City Council for permitting this testimony. My name is Rachel Foran. I'm the managing director at the Brooklyn Community Bail We are a charitable fund or a charitable bail organization and operate under New York State law which says we can pay bail in amounts of 2,000 dollars or less for misdemeanor defendants who can't afford it. Grateful to be here with the PD offices who can speak to the use of alternative forms of bail outside of cash bail and insurance bond. I'm here to speak to the intent of this bill based on our day-today experience paying bail for New Yorkers who cannot afford it and to but for our organization and the two other charitable bail organizations operating in New York City would be jailed for their inability to afford even a small amount of bail. So, the Brooklyn Community Bail Fund has been in operation for a year

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 176 and a half. In that time we paid for 1,300 lowincome New Yorkers. Despite our name, we operate in Brooklyn, Manhattan and Staten Island, and serve New Yorkers who are represented by all the PD offices in those boroughs. So, on average the bail we pay for our clients is 910 dollars. That's the difference between freedom and jail for the New Yorkers we Unable to raise a few hundred dollars, our clients would have spent an average of roughly two weeks in jail. We all know that the collateral consequences of even short lengths of incarceration can be profound for individuals and their families and their communities. As you can see from our daily experience and from the data your committee has in front of you, from CJA there are thousands of New Yorkers every year who have a bail amount set, but they will never be able to afford. What may seem like small amounts on paper, it is often beyond the reach of many New Yorkers. A study just last week from CNN noted that 60 percent of Americans do not have access to 500 dollars in savings or liquid cash. So, we commend the intent of this bill. Our existence and our experience show that the ability to afford bail amounts must be considered if we are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 177 truly to uphold New Yorkers' presumption of innocence and equal justice. We know from our work at the Brooklyn Community Bail Fund that the central tenant of bail is flawed. Money is not what gets people back to court. We provide our clients with friendly phone call reminders of their court dates. connect clients in need to community-based services to meet the needs that they themselves identify. the extremely rare event that a client does not return, it's not because they fled; they don't have the desire or the resources to do so. Rather, they may have been hospitalized or are really ill or they're the sole breadwinner and are struggling to keep food on the table and to make ends meet. though they have no financial incentive to return, not to us, not to the court, or to a commercial bail bondsmen, 95 percent of our clients have made all of their required court dates, at times facing great difficulties, and this is despite the fact that 75 percent of our clients were judged to be either moderate or high-risk of not return by CJA. is the really-- this is the reason that they had bail set in the first place rather than being released on their own recognizance. The bill being considered by

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES City Council today attempts to confront the reality we face every day by requiring CJA to report on people's ability to pay, so that judges have more information at their disposal when making bail determinations, and we believe that this is incredibly important. However, we do have some concerns about how CJA would determine defendants' actual financial capacity to pay bail and hope that City Council will consider this as it considers this bill. As I said before, 75 percent of our clients were deemed moderate or high-risk of not returning by CJA and then they return at 95 percent with no financial incentive. These individuals should have been deemed low-risk of not returning, and we must ensure that any new system that's going to consider financial capacity, confronts the process and the tools for accurate measurement. And obviously, in any new system there's going to be a lot of details to work out and we commend the committees for addressing a huge inequity in the current criminal legal system, the jailing of people simply because of their inability to afford bail and buy their freedom. Thank you so much for this opportunity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you very

3 much.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGIO DE LA PAVA: Good afternoon and thank you for this hearing and for the opportunity to be heard. I'm Sergio De La Pava from New York County Defender Services. I certainly join my colleagues in applauding any attempts such as this one at bail reform, but also feed the need to advocate on behalf of a more radical re-thinking of this City's procedures and practices in this area. The proposed bill introduces a very welcomed element, a determination that recognizes what should be a fundamental truth. Judges must tailor bail to fit the resources of the defendant. What concerns us is that this ameliorative measure will reduce the always tenuous incentive for more significant reform while increasing the improper centrality of money bail in our system. New York City must set as its goal the elimination of money bail. Jurisdictions like Washington D.C. long ago recognized the wisdom of a system centered not on the ability to pay money, but on an extensive system of supervised release to be used when appropriate, and I guess my concern is if resources are devoted to making this determination

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES that the bill calls for, will that be diverted where it may, as representative from CJA mentioned, be more properly infused into a more extensive supervise release system. I think supervised release system has been a genuine tangible success in this area in the last years, and I would far welcome, and I assume my colleagues would welcome an expansion of that system, and that may be the best place to place resources. Also of concern is the proposed statute's explicit reference to insurance company bail bonds. This is troubling to us because this is a form of bail that should be at least strongly disfavored, if not outright banned as it has been elsewhere. bonds introduce the elements of commerce and profit taking where they most certainly do not belong. A person's liberty and constitutional right should not be a venue for commercial exploitation. Predictably, the resulting industry is rife with abuse and bad faith. What's required here is not further normalization of insurance company bonds in the form of distatutory [sic] language, but rather a deemphasizing of this improper practice. That said, we certainly applaud any attempt to reduce the population of pre-trial detainees based on poverty,

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 1 2 and for that reason we are generally in support of 3 the bill. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Terrific. I 5 don't have any questions. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I don't have any 6 7 questions. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Good. I want to 9 thank you very, very much for your patience and for your perspective. It's extremely valuable. As I 10 11 mentioned, it already helped us to adjust an 12 important part of the bill, and as we go forward, 13 we're definitely going to rely on your experience 14 representing indigent defendants, in your case, 15 helping them make the small amounts of bail that are not so small to them. So, don't take by my lack of 16 17 questions any lack of appreciation for your testimony 18 and input. Thank you all very, very much. 19 UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you. 20 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Next and last we 21 have Legal Aid Society, Peter Jones. [off mic] testify? 2.2 2.3 [off mic] CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Good. 24 So, the

Committee and the Council will be working with

COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC SAFETY & FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES 182 Council Member Lancman on this bill. We appreciate all that -- all those who came today to testify. We know it is important to reduce the population on Rikers Island and anyone who is not truly at risk of flight should not be given a high bail or a bail. So, we're going to continue this conversation. And I think that concludes our hearing. This concludes [gavel] Fire and Criminal Justice joint with Public Safety Hearing of January 17, 2017. Thank you.

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date January 26, 2017