CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

----- X

January 12, 2017 Start: 10:10 a.m. Recess: 11:57 a.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room

16<sup>th</sup> Fl

B E F O R E: RAFAEL L. ESPINAL, JR.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Vincent J. Gentile

Julissa Ferreras-Copeland

Karen Koslowitz
Rory L. Lancman

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

James Hearst, Director of Enforcement NYC Department of Consumer Affairs

Casey Adams, Director of City Legislative Affairs NYC Department of Consumer Affairs

Mary Cooley, Assistant Commissioner NYC Department of Consumer Affairs

Alba Pico, First Deputy Commissioner NYC Department of Consumer Affairs

Abe Ayesh, Chief Executive Officer ATM World

George Sarantopoulos, CEO and Founder Access One

Mike Keller, Co-Chair Government Relations Council ATM Industry Association, ATMI Special Counsel to Cardtronics

Jim Shrayef, Northeast ATM Association Everything ATM, Dumbo, Brooklyn

Bruce Renard, Executive Director National ATM Council

Robert Wilkenshaft (sp?)

Robert Toitman Access One ATM

2 [sound check, pause] [gavel]

3 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Good morning. My 4 name is Rafael Espinal. I'm the Chair of the 5 Consumer Affairs Committee. Today the committee will 6 hold a hearing on Intro 1406, a Local Law that would require the implementation of certain security 8 measures at non-bank ATMs. The committee will also 9 hold a vote on Intro 1061, a Local Law to amend to 10 the Administrative Code of the city of New York in 11 relation to the sale of plants, and flowers during 12 the Asian Lunar New Year. Automated televisions, 13 ATMs, allow customer-consumers convenient access to 14 their cash. Most bank branches maintain an ATM 15 vestibule that is available for use at any time. Regulated by state and federal law, these bank-owned 16 17 ATMs are safe, well lit, and continuously monitored. 18 The wide distribution of non-bank ATMs beyond bank 19 branch locations has further facilitated consumer 20 access to their money. This is particularly true in 21 low-low-income communities where there are few bank 2.2 branches available. Unfortunately, the regulations 23 that apply to bank ATMs do not cover non-bank ATMs in 24 our city. Non-bank ATMs are those commonly found at 25 corner stores, gas stations, smoke shops, delis,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hospitals, restaurants, and convenience stores across the city. And I have to admit I uses those probably 90% of the time. They are estimated to compromise of 50% of the ATM market nationwide. Security is not a mandated factor in the placement of non-bank ATMs and consequently they are often vulnerable to tampering and fraud. ATMs have become major targets of identity theft and fraud particularly in the form of skimming. ATM skimming usually involves the placement of an illicit card-reading device over or even into the ATM's legitimate card swipe mechanisms. These card-reading devices, card-reading devices scan the magnetic strip on the consumer's debit or credit card. Additionally, thieves may place a small visual (sic) detect camera to record the unsuspecting victim and change their PIN number. FICO, which monitors hundreds of thousands of ATMs in the US reported that in the 2015, the number of ATMs compromised by criminals rose by 546%. The company also noted that 60% of the targeted machines were non-bank ATMs. NYPD has long put out flyers warning consumers to the dangers of ATM skimming including according to news reports placing them among ATMS in some neighborhoods in Queens. In the 115 precincts, the number of

persons to sell plants or flowers on the day of the

25

2 Asian Lunar New York, and for seven days prior

3 without first obtaining a license. This year, Asian

4 Lunar New Year falls on January 28<sup>th</sup>, right around

5 | the corner, and the committee is happy to vote this

6 bill out in time for the festivities. I would like

to invite Council Member Chin to say a few words on

8 her bill.

1

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, Chair. Good morning. I am Council Member Margaret Chin. represent Council District 1 in Lower Manhattan, which includes only Tribeca and Chinatown. Today, we will vote on Intro 1061, legislation that will allow individuals to sell flowers for seven days prior to the Asian-Asiana Lunar New York and on the day itself, without having to go through the impossible process of securing a general vending license. want to thank the Chair of the Committee on Consumer Affairs, Council Member Rafael Espinal for brining this bill to a vote. Flowers are important symbols for many cultures for the Lunar New York. For many Asian cultures the blooming of flowers symbolize a new start to the new year bringing good luck and good fortune to friends and family. In many districts with large Asian and Asian-American residents

including Chinatown in my Council District, people
provide service to the community by selling to
traditional flowers during the Lunar New York often
times at a very affordable price. Unfortunately,
this packet is illegal for anyone without a general
vending license including those with a food vendor
license, and sometimes enforcement agents would
arrest these food vendors taking them away from their
families during one of the most important cultural
holidays of the year. Intro 1061 will allow
individuals to sell plants and flowers on the day of,
and seven days prior to the Asian Lunar New Year. We
hope this bill will give the vendors some reprieve
and ensure that enforcement is sensitive to the needs
of this cultural holiday and that it's celebrated by
a growing number of Asian-American New Yorkers. Once
again, I want to thank our Chair Espinal for his
support of this bill. I also want to thank Committee
Counsel Lavoni Wyman (sic) and Rob Newman for all the
work they put into this bill, and I ask my fellow
council members on this committee to vote for Intro
1061. Thank you very much, and I wish everyone a
happy Lunar New Year, and full of good luck and

2	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Can we
3	leave the roll open for another 15 minutes. Council
4	Member and colleagues just to vote. Thank you, thank
5	you, thank you. Thank you, now-now back to the non-
6	bank ATMs. I would like to invite up the first
7	panel, which his the Administration. We have James
8	Hearst, Director of Enforcement; Casey Adams.
9	They're all from DCA, but Casey Adams, the Director
10	of City Legislative Affairs. We have Mary Cooley
11	from—the Assistant Commissioner of DCA; Alba Pico,
12	First Deputy Commissioner of DCA. Will you please
13	raise you right hands so we can administer the oath.
14	Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
15	nothing but the truth in your testimony before this
16	committee, and to respond honestly to Council
17	Members' questions?
18	PANEL MEMBERS: [off mic] Yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You may begin.

JAMES HEARST: Good morning. My name is

James Hearst, and I am the Director of Enforcement in
the New York City Department of Consumer Affairs.

I'm joined today by my colleagues Alba Pico, Mary

Cooley and Casey Adams. I would like to thank the

Committee for the opportunity to testify on

25

Introduction 1406, which would mandate certain 2 3 security measures and other practices for owners and 4 operators of ATMs that are not affiliated with banking institutions. DCA shares the Council's goal of making non-ATMs safer, and more secure as well as 6 7 improving the options available for low-income New Yorkers to access their hard earned assets. We look 8 forward to discussing the best way to achieve these goals with the committee. DCA's new mission is to 10 11 protect and enhance the daily economic lives of New 12 Yorkers to create thriving communities. We serve New 13 York City's consumers, businesses, and working 14 families enforcing laws and providing services that 15 address the need of New Yorkers from their wallets to 16 their work places. DCA also operates the Office of 17 Financial Empowerment, the first local government 18 initiative in the country with a mission to educate 19 empower and protect New Yorkers and neighborhoods 20 with low incomes so they can build assets, and make the most of their financial resources. OFE conducts 21 research to better understand the issues facing low-2.2 2.3 income New Yorkers, and potential barriers to accessing safe and affordable financial services. 24 Since they first appeared in 1996, non-bank ATMs have

25

2 become a common sight in New York City's corner bodegas, bars and restaurants. Indeed, many of the 3 4 types of businesses that host ATMs like bodegas and gas stations, are already regulated and inspected by DCA. New Yorkers rely on these machines for fast 6 access to their cash and are willing to pay a premium in the form of out-of-network fees for the service. 8 However, the fees associated with this convenience may be more burdensome to lower-income New Yorkers 10 11 who also tend to have fewer options for accessing 12 their money. In a recent study, DCA found that there 13 are currently 360,000 households in New York City who do not have access to a bank or credit union account. 14 15 Moreover, there are an additional 780,000 households who are under-banked meaning they have a bank account 16 17 but continue to use check cashers, non-bank money 18 orders and prepaid cards. For many unbanked New 19 Yorkers like those with only pre-paid or payroll card 20 and under-banked New Yorkers, access to cash is 21 extremely important. Many of these New Yorkers must 2.2 rely on the type of non-bank ATMs, this bill 2.3 addresses. Most banks allow customers to access their cash at affiliated ATMs without added fees. 24

Under current law, ATMs owned or operated by

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

financial institutions must provide security measures similar to those that would be required for non-ATMs by Intro 1406. For example, bank ATMs must be monitored by security cameras and be adequately lit for consumers during both daytime and night time hours. Because these are not-these-because there are no similar requirements for non-bank ATMs, unbanked or underbanked consumers face a situation where they may be charged more than a banked individual to use an ATM that is less safe and secure. In addition, the variety and decentralization of non-date-non-bank ATM deployment, may make them particularly attractive targets for those who seek to steal personal and banking information using skimmer devices. These devices, which very widely in terms of physical appearance, technical sophistication and ease of identification, are attached to ATMs in order to harvest sensitive information from unsuspecting consumers. Some of these devices can be identified simply by jiggling the card receiver slot to see if any foreign objects are attached, while other are so well concealed that only an expert would be able to spot them. In a recent report, FICO found that the number of ATMs compromised by criminals rose

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

dramatically in 2015, and that non-bank ATMs were the main targets. Intro 1406 would combat this problem by putting the burden of regularly inspecting ATMs and reporting any suspicious devices on the merchants who host the machines, and the distributors who provide them. DCA supports the intent of the Intro 1406, which is to make non-bank ATMs safer and more secure, and protect consumers from skimming and identity theft. At this time, the Law Department is still conducting its review of the bill, and all relevant legal issues. We look forward to discussing the specifics of how this legislation would operate, the type of inspection and enforcement actions DCA could take, and how the requirements of Intro 1406 would interact with existing state and local laws. We also seek to continue the conversation about how DCA and the Council can work together to expand the options available to low-income New Yorkers who want to improve their financial health, and plan for successful futures. My colleagues and I will be happy to answer any question you may have.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [pause] Thank you for your testimony. So I—I don't it was made clear in your testimony. So are you supportive of the

in the foreseeable future?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- Do you think it's a good idea? Do you think 2 3 it's something we should move forward with? You know, do you have any issues that you think we'll see 4
- JAMES HEARST: We support the-the intent 6 7 of Intro 1406, and as I mentioned in the testimony, the bill is still being reviewed.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, does the-does the Administration have figures for reported or certain cases of ATM skimming in the city?

JAMES HEARST: The Police Department has provided some information that I can share with you today. The New York Police Department's Financial Crimes Task Force has reported that they've seen an increase in the number of ATM skimmers recovered citywide. In 2016, the department recovered 75 skimming devices, and in 2015, they recovered 47. While the majority of skimming devices are affixed to ATMs, this data-data also includes skimming devices affixed to other card readers.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Now, we-we've seen incidents where the perpetrators have been caught on camera. Do we have statistics on how many arrests were made?

2.2

2.3

JAMES HEARST: Well, we—we don't have specific statistics on the number of arrests, but we can provide that information working with the New Yorkers Police Department as it involves looking at the arrests related to larceny, and—and look—and digging deep into—doing a deeper dive into the data on the various crimes that might comprise ATM fraud.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So—so the administration would agree that non-bank ATMs have—are—are more vulnerable than banking—banking ATMs to—to fraud or tampering? Where they are—

JAMES HEARST: [interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: --certainly less safe than using those ATMs than they are using bank's ATM.

JAMES HEARST: There are certainly fewer security features in place on non-bank ATMs than there are on bank ATMs. Bank ATMs are regulated by the state. There are a number of security features that are required under state regulations, and FICO has identified an increase in the—in the incidents of—of skimming activity. The rate at which non-ATM—non-bank ATMs are targeted has increased from approximately 40% to 60% between 2014 and 2015.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I—I know in the past this issue was—was—was raise by the Council. I believe there was a bill requiring these ATMs to be registered. Could you speak onto why you—you don't think that was a—that was a good idea, and why that wouldn't work?

JAMES HEARST: Well, that was a—a different bill at the time. It required registration and decals, and I think the—at the time the department had a concern that the decals would be ineffective, and they would leave the impression that that particular non—bank ATM was safe, and I don't believe at the time that bill included all of the safety and security features that this bill includes.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So it didn't have the security features this includes? So this bill pretty much just—just matches state law to how banks are required to monitor their ATMs? So it's—it's requiring the same amount of—of protection and the same amount of surveillance on the street ATMs and non-bank ATMs as current—currently banks do. Do you agree that we should have the same level of protection on both—on both sides—on both ends?

2.2

2.3

2	JAMES HEARST: It includes the
3	requirement to include—have the cameras installed and

4 the lighting requirements, and we believe that that—

5 the intent of that is—is appropriate and having the

6 similar requirements is good.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I guess my only concern personally is the burden this might put on the small business. Will be able to give us an estimate on how much this will cost a deli or—or any other sort of business? Do you just put up—put up an extra camera or maybe some extra lighting?

JAMES HEARST: I don't have that information right now, but we can do research and get back to you on that in the future. [background comments, pause]

CASEY ADAMS: I should say we do have some ballpark estimates just from cursory research, but there are members of the industry here today who may be able to give more specific information of the cost of cameras, the cost of lighting. It's just that, you know, the depth and the—the requirement of the security features is not something we're ending the relation right away. (sic) [pause]

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I guess so my question is how—how would DCA enforce this bill? So you're going around from business to business. Like what would be DCA's job? What—what is it that you will be looking for when you walk into an establishment?

JAMES HEARST: Well, non-bank ATMs are not currently required to be registered, and so we don't know all the exact locations of non-bank ATMs within the city. However, we do know, anecdotally that many of these non-bank ATMs are in bodegas, and in grocery stores, and at gas stations, and other locations that the Department of Consumer Affairs routinely inspects. So we will inspect say our retail dealers and gas stations on average once per year, and we would need to take a close look at what the requirements would be in terms of recordkeeping for this, and what would be involved with the inspection. But we see incorporating the inspection in non-bank-non-bank ATMs into those regular inspections of those locations. Now, there are a number of non-bank ATMs that are not part-that are in businesses that we don't routinely inspect. For

## COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

example restaurants and bars, and we would not be entering those locations.

is part of my questions. I—I guess with, you know, with DCA let's say you have one of your inspectors go and traveling around a particular neighborhood, and you see an ATM out in the street where they're frankly gong to come out to their vehicle and—and approach that ATM and see whether the ATM has the certain—certain security measures in place?

JAMES HEARST: I think the majority of these non-bank ATMs are found inside the businesses themselves. So they would be identified during the inspection. While we're inside the bodega, we would notice that there's a non-bank ATM, and then be able to identify whether the lighting in the camera is located. But, of course, we need to take a close look at the—at the law, and identify what the inspection requirements are, and make a determination about how we would incorporate it into our regular inspection practices.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You know, there—
there—a lot of them are inside businesses, but there
are lot that are outside. You know, just last night

## COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

I used one that was outside a business, and there wasn't no camera, right. So the—the ATM did have certain—a certain lighting component to it, but besides that, no one can actually monitor what's going on with the machine. You know, I myself jiggled the—the card swipe reader to make sure that it wasn't, you know, compromised. So, I guess my—again my question is will DCA, will your inspectors be able to—well, if they're driving down a particular neighborhood and pull out there—come out of their vehicle once they see an ATM, and just check for security features that we're requiring on this bill.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PICO: I mean most of our inspectors are now by car, and most of our inspectors are by foot, and—and they—they walk and they use transportation, public transportation, but they do go to many businesses that they're going to set it on site, and—and of they're require any that they have to inspect, then we would add that to their inspection requirements.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [pause] I guess one—one idea we had was that when DCA goes into a—a business in general or sees an ATM, whoever the owner of the ATM is, they would have some sort of like I

work for the agency?

2.2

2.3

guess placement agreement, and they would be able toDCA would respect that agreement and make sure that
all the security measures are in place, and that—that
the merchant himself is taking care of this machine.
Do you think that's something that would—that could

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PICO: It would. It adds time to the inspection, of course, because we have to go in more than just, you know, the ATM. It does add time, and so I just want to make sure that you know that. Also, when you spoke about the lighting we're going to need the special lighting, you know, like vices that measures the—the lighting, but it's requested. And we also have our legal office that, you know, our counsel's office that subpoenas records. So we have to look at the records that may have to be kept based on the rules that get promulgated they will be doing that also.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah, I-I understand this adds timing, and maybe some cost to buy some gadgets, but then, you know, I think we should worry more about the—the—the interest of the public to make sure that they feel comfortable, you know, using these machines when they—when they—when

by the state of federal regulations. We-we can make

an estimate about the number based on looking at the

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

number of non-bank ATMs in Westchester County. are required to be registered in Westchester County. They have approximately 500, and if we apply the same ratio of non-bank ATMs to residents, then we can estimate that we might have approximately 5,000 nonbank ATMs in the city of New York. Because there potentially are a higher density of commercial activity in New York City, there may be-it may be a low estimate, and we know that are approximately nearly 8,000 licensed cigarette dealers, and many of them have non-bank ATMs that may be associated with their-their place of business. So again, we have approximate numbers, but in terms of regulations, there are—there are not many specific safety regulations for non-bank ATMs. There's an agreement between the merchant and the distributor.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Okay, because certainly I would not want to hurt, you know, small business in any way. What about the ATMs on banks that are outside? There's—in my community there are many that you can just walk over. I don't do it, but you can—on the outside you can go in and get money, and just go on your merry way. Who regulates that?

24

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JAMES HEARST: That's regulated by the—by
the Department of Financial Services from New York
State, and they conduct routine inspections of bank
ATMs, and they have very specific regulations in
terms of the safety requirements for both in-door and
outdoor bank ATMs.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Well, I bank at Citibank, and I've been baking at Citibank for 15 years, and this year my card was compromised by going to the ATM. So, you know, they have devices also in the bank because I'm not the only one that it happened to. What-what was good about it is that they called me almost immediately. Like it happened on a Friday night, and Saturday morning early in the morning they called to tell me that my card was compromised. But in the meantime, whoever compromised my card, did it the whole, you know-they charged quite a bit of money. So, you know, I quess it happens all over and the bank-and in this case the bank is lit up to-you know to a degree. I don't think they can put another light bulb in there. you know, I just don't want the small businesses being hurt by, you know, having to do so much. mean there has to be-people have to be safe.

2.2

2.3

have to feel safe going in there and taking out money
but, you know, I think we have to have some kind of
happy medium here.

CASEY ADAMS: Yeah, I mean we—we don't actually know the—the cost to city, and we don't know that it would be incredibly astronomical. I mean some of these businesses may already have security cameras, and they may already have lighting in their establishments, but again it vary business by business, and I—I don't—I don't think we would think that it would be an extremely high cost, but again we don't have a part—we don't specific information—

Okay, but what I think we have to do is find out exactly if we're going to [coughs] do a law and find out how many machines are out there, you know, that are going to be affected by this and, of course, the cost of what it will cost people. We have to have more information about, you know, about this, and how many people's lives will be affected by this. You know, the people that own the machines and, you know, all that. So I think we have to find out a little more before we do anything, or decide anything.

25 Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. So you
mentioned earlier there has been a significant
increase in the amount of ATM skimming incidents in
the city, right? Last year the NYPD reported 47.
This year it jumped up with-well last-well sorry.
2015 and it's '17 now. In 2015, it was 47. It 2016
that's 75, right? Do we know what kind of outreach
the NYPD is doing with communities to warn them of
the-of the-of the-the dangers of using some of these
machines?

JAMES HEARST: Yes, NYPD has reported to us that the Community Affairs Bureau provides tips to the public about protecting themselves from skimming devices and fraud on their website, and this info is distributed at community precincts and Council meetings. We have samples of those tips here today. I should also note that our own External Affairs Division, Department of Consumer Affairs has produced a consumer beware. We'll show you an example. Ten tips on what to look out for in terms of fraud, and one of those tips regards skimming devices.

CASEY ADAMS: Yeah, I should also note that, you know, that DCA produces tips on identity theft in general, and we distribute those widely year

alert for whatever dangers.

round at types of events including Shrayef, which is
our annual event to-to help people shed their
personal documents to prevent—to prevent identity
theft. And so obviously we incorporate identity
theft, tips about ATM skimmers and a lot of our tips
right now, and we'd be happy to work with the Council
on your ideas on how we can increase the word and
spread the word about how-how can consumers can be

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So I will put this hearing on pause. I know we've been joined by Council Member Julissa Ferreras and Vinny Gentile, and I also want to go back—I want to go back to roll and vote out—engaging sic)in our bill. (sic)

CLERK: Introduction 1061, Council Member Ferreras-Copeland.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND: I vote aye.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I vote aye.

CLERK: The final vote on this item is now 5 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and no abstentions. Thank you.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. We'll close the roll and get back to ATMs. Julissa, you have a few questions?

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank you, Chair and thank you for holding this committee meeting. I represent Council District 21 in Corona, Queens, the Corona, Jackson Heights, Elmhurst and Elmhurst, and I feel like every other month we're working with a local precinct about a news city of skimming. So I get very much the intention of this bill, and the importance of this bill. question is about privacy in areas where there may be a clinics. You know, we have paper protections. did this-why didn't-why didn't-why wouldn't portend to be triggering an issues if there's security or an observation? I understand. So I'm kind of debating against myself because I understand why it's needed but, you know, are there any privacy concerns that have come up, and if-and if they've been addressed can you tell me how? You know, from clinics-from, let's say as someone who's getting a particular service that they want to keep private, and/or just something as simple as a hair salon. Not everybody wants to see themselves pre-product, right or like,

concerns perhaps?

2.2

2.3

you know, right? So like the ATM at my hair salon is way in the back past the stations where women are doing all types of stuff and pulling all types of hair. So, can you walk me through the privacy

we'd have to consult with the Law Department. I mean in a lot of these establishments there may already be security cameras. We're not immediately familiar with the security, and—and the privacy rules which you're referencing for those cameras. So I think your concern is very valid. I think we would just have to take a look at the current landscape, and what this—what this would lay on.

COUNCIL MEMBER JULISSA-FERRERAS:

[interposing] And perhaps it's also creating a rule

that maybe ATMs need to be placed away from certain—

so if it's by the entrance and people are walking in

and out, you don't know where they're going or what's

happening as opposed to having it in the back or by

the doctor's desk or in a specific clinic.

JAMES HEARST: Okay, I just want to add that the law requires that the cameras are directed at the machine. So it's—it's only looking at a

certain area of these merchants' locations, and in addition, it requires that the cameras are not position in such a way that it can record any--

COUNCIL MEMBER JULISSA-FERRERAS:

[interposing] Right.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JAMES HEARST: --of the personal bank information that's being entered by the consumers in the ATM.

COUNCIL MEMBER JULISSA-FERRERAS: and I get that, but you've testified that in some cases business owners can use existing security camera, and the existing security camera may not have those specific details that you request or require. So, some systems I know that the NYPD is always asking bodega owners can we look at your cameras that have been live recording for an incident that happened across the street, right. Because it's recording. So, if that's the case, would that be asked of a clinic or a health clinic or-I just would like to kind of have more information on that especially we're going to potentially be relying on already existing security cameras in some cases? then my-my other question was, you know, do you potentially see that fees, you know, when you go-I

2	don't know what the proper term is, but maybe the
3	non-affiliated banking whatever these ATMs are
4	called. I'm sure there's a very proper term that I
5	don't have right now, but you can pay anywhere
6	between \$2.00 to \$5.00. So that we're tagging on
7	this new security measurement or these agreements
8	that have to be established, do you potentially see
9	an increase to the consumer when they go withdraw
10	money because there could be an additional fiscal
11	impact? I love it when there's like no words coming
12	out of your mouth.
13	CASEY ADAMS: No, again-again, we-I mean
14	we don't-we don't know the precise cost that is of

we don't-we don't know the precise cost that is of what it will take for these businesses to comply.

So, we can't say for certain how much it will cost, and that's something probably-probably will be--

[interposing] Is there a—is there a—a—what is this term? Is there a cap that can be charged by these private entities on the fee?

COUNCIL MEMBER JULISSA-FERRERAS:

[background comments, pause]

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER COOLEY: I can tell you I don't think so. Yeah.

2.2

2.3

JAMES HEARST: So—so again, we don't think that the cost of installing these cameras is going to be very high or have a significant impact in terms of changing the nature of these agreements that are entered into between the—the providers of the non-bank ATM machines and the merchants. But again, we can look into that and—and identify if we can obtain data and work with you going forward to identify those costs.

would be great and then just finally the intention of the bill is to—is to protect consumers from either if it's identify theft and—and other crimes. But in most cases I would think at least the ones when I've worked with my local businesses. My local businesses aren't the ones doing the—the scamming right? It's usually someone that comes, walks by and saw the—but this fine, if these rules aren't adhered to would be to the small business?

JAMES HEARST: For not complying with the law to install the camera.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPLAND: Right.

So it's like we're fining the small business because

2.2

2.3

intention, and we need to-- These are constituents that we're all trying to protect, but the small businesses are also our constituents. So it's the kind of constant balance that we have to-- So I am concerned about adding additional fines with the best of intentions of this bill what could potentially— And any time we say we don't expect this to be too

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah, I guess—I guess I just want to add, you know, as—as the author of the bill, and the Chair of the committee, I love small businesses, and—and—

much, it ends up being a little bit more than zero.

COUNCIL MEMBER JULISSA-FERRERAS: [interposing] Of course.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: --I took the committee hoping to find ways to ease the burden, some of the burden that these small businesses already face, but, you know, the ATMs are income generators for the small businesses as well, and—and we have to find a way we can find that day so we are protecting our consumers, and making sure that the business is providing protections to the—to the consumer as well. So, you know, I will make sure that it's not heavily burdensome. You know, I'm—I'm—

I'm only envisioning this to be a law where that
would just require for them to install an extra
camera, and extra light bulb and, you know, that-tha
would be it, but, um, justjust requires them to,
you know, right now every day come into work and
check the ATM to see if whether or not there was a
skimming device placed and within that time frame
they-they can go back to the cameras and see who
placed the-the skimming device. So, I'm hoping to
achieve that balance here. I—I have to say I'm a
little disappointed with the amount of information
I'm receiving from DCA because I wish we had more to
talk about and more to say. So I-I think from-does
anyone else have any questions? No. No, I guess
that's-that's it. Yeah. You guys are free to go.
Thank you. [background comments, pause
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I'd like to call up
the next panel. Okay. Just one second.
SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Everyone quiet down

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I would like to call up the next panel. We have Mike Keller from ATMIA and Cardtronics. We have Abe Ayesh from the

you. [background comments, pause]

please. Quiet down. We're still in session. Thank

2.2

2.3

begin.

- ATM World, and George Sarantopoulos from Access One.

  So we have George, Abe and Mike. [background

  comments pause] Hi, Mike. [background comments,

  pause] Alright, whenever you're ready, you can
  - ABE AYESH: Thanks. Good morning to the Council. My name is Abe Ayesh. I'm the CEO of ATM World. We're a large deployer of ATMs. We—we work with our local merchants to deploy ATMs in New York City. Oh, that. We work with our—the bodegas and the retailers out there for ATMs for them. After reading this proposed bill, we—we strongly oppose it because skimming is not done on the retail ATMs, and if you guys look at it, it's mostly done on the banking ATMs. With all their security measures, with all the cameras, if we have and we could give you guys statistics.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah, you have—you have to show me that because the data--

ABE AYESH: [interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: --we have shows a 546% increase across the country on non-bank ATMs.

ABE AYESH: Right.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ABE AYESH: So we have--

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] I'd like it, yeah.

ABE AYESH: We have-we-we have some data that can show otherwise. We-we have some data to show up there. We have 7,000 machines in the five boroughs of New York we operate, and we-we've had one incident of skimming in the last 12 years. we've-we've never seen it. Second of all, they're putting the cameras and the lights. It's going to be-it's going to be a big cost, and the only way for us to recoup the cost especially after just going through a whole ENB upgrade for all the machines for the chip card upgrade, and it costs millions of dollars for this industry. We're going to have to pass on the cost. We didn't pass on the cost for the ENB and New York-New York benefits from the lowest surcharge in the country for non-bank ATMs. It's under the \$2.00 mark. The national average is over \$3.00. So in New York we've been able to keep the cost down, and with these laws look I mean we're willing to, you know, put something on the machine like a sticker. Please make sure the, you know, check for anything abnormal on the machine where, you

it's a non-event.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

know, we can even support you by giving you data any
time there's a skimming device on the machine just to
show that if it gets out of control, we're willing to
do something more, you know. But right now, it's—

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Thank you.

GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS Hello. My name is George Sarantopoulos. I'm the CEO and founder of Access One. We're based here in Bay Ridge, Brooklyn. I just want to go over four quick points that might have not been covered by the City Council. I would say the number one consideration that you have to think about is the surcharges that are generated don't go to, you know, just me and Abe and other distributors. Typically, that's shared 50%. Approximately 50% gets shared by the bodega owners, the grocery store, the convenience store. A lot of times that makes the difference for that operator to pay the rent, to upgrade their store, to, you know, make-expand their store and make it look it better, to do a lot of things. That money, 50%, you know, on average stays in the community, and I want to stress that enough. That's your local bodega guy. That's your local convenience store. That's your local gas

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Fifty percent of that money is staying in the community. So that's, you know, that's one consideration. The second thing that I want to talk about, which was never talked about, we're very focused on the bodequs and convenience stores. A lot of times our ATMs are placed in night clubs, bars, in settings like that where the light bulb thing makes really no sense in the lighting. You know, no offense toward to whoever came up with that, but in the-in the night clubs and bars, a lot of times we're a safe place for somebody to get cash at 2:00 or 3:00 in the morning instead of going back out on the street, go using an ATM. So that's something you guys want to consider. I would say the third thing is, you know, after speaking to the Police Department off the record, you know, the number one thing, and this law doesn't really cover is there's really no steep penalties for somebody caught skimming. that guy that got caught skimming, if he got caught one time, chances are he's not some young kid in Bushwick or he's underprivileged and he's just trying to make buck. He's probably some Eastern European gangs that have been doing this for a long time. So, and as you got them, if we got them caught, there's

2.2

2.3

really no steep penalties. There's no penalties in this law to, you know, give them a mandatory let's say five years or three years or whatever. And the final thing is as Abe, my colleague in the industry was talking, this giving thing is kind of getting covered by our upgrades to ENB, which has cost the industry millions of dollars over the last couple of years, and will probably cost millions of dollars in the next couple of years as all the ATMs are upgraded to handle chip card and ENB. So, you know, I just want to give enough time for everybody to speak, but those are the four points that I feel needed to be considered today if you guys craft something. So, I accede my time to Mike Keller here.

MIKE KELLER: Good morning, Chairman

Espinal and members of the committee. Thank you for
the opportunity to testify today on Intro 1406

related to ATM safety. My name is Michael Keller.

I'm the Co-Chair of the Government Relations Council
for the ATM Industry Association, ATMI, and I'm also
the Special Counsel to Cardtronics, one of the
nation's largest owner and operator of deployed ATMs,
non-bank ATMs. It is important to note that captured
or-I'm sorry. ATMI supports the Council's goal of

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

defeating skimming. However, skimming is a national or international problem not a city problem. requires international and national approaches and ATMI has done that over the years as other members of the committee industry have done through best practices, ENB and other items, and we continue to do that and would welcome the opportunity to work with the City to come up with ways to-to defeat skimming on all ATMs, and also skimming on point of sale devices that are at gas tanks-gas tanks and restaurants. We believe—Abe and I believe firmly that a merchant who has installed an ATM within the interior of his business need not have a surveillance camera focused on the ATM. If the city sees fit that all retail establishments must have a video camera recording each customer in or leaving a store, that's a different issue, but to have one focused specifically on the ATM, we object to this as an increased cost and expense for operating the ATM because it's important to keep in mind that not only do you have to install the-the camera, you have to maintain the-the log of it so you can produce the video when it's requested. All that just as an added cost to the merchant. Most importantly, we believe

2 the period inspection of the ATM is very problematic. 3 The current bill says at least once every 24 hours at 4 a minimum, and-and, you know, that suggests that any merchant who wants to do more than the minimum would have to inspect his machine more than once a day. 6 7 And—and again, the term ATM stands for Automated 8 Teller Machine. The economic viability of an ATM is derived from its automated nature. Requiring a merchant or a distributor to inspect the ATM at least 10 11 daily severely increases the cost of operating that ATM. For that reason, if this bill moves forward and 12 13 if there is an inspection requirement, we suggest that the frequency of ATM inspections be limited to 14 15 each time the ATM is replenished with cash or 16 otherwise receives a maintenance call. And, of 17 course, we have no objection to the bill's 18 requirement that if a skimming device is discovered, 19 that it be reported to the local Police Department. 20 Indeed, we follow that procedure now today. Finally, 21 again, if the bill is passed, currently the-the resolution-the legislation suggests that 120 days be 2.2 2.3 allowed for it to be effective. I think this law is going to affect whatever point it comes in. Over 24 5,000 merchants in New York City. 120 days is just 25

2.2

2.3

woefully inadequate to—to do the changes that are required by this law. So we would respectfully suggest whatever is implemented that we at least be given a year to do that. And other than that, we're happy to answer any questions that the Council may have of the ATM Industry and its operations in the city that—that they have.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. So,
Mike, it sounds to me like you don't want any
regulation at all on the local level of these ATM
machines?

we—we want regulations that make sense, and—and that do not put us at a—a competitive disadvantage to bank ATMs, you know, and again ATMs, as stated by George, you know, they're—they're a stand—alone economic unit. If they don't succeed economically for the merchant, they will disappear. And then the convenience for your consumers to have access to their cash will also disappear and, in fact, Cardtronics, the company that I worked with for 15 years and now I'm Special Counsel to, last year in New York City we dispensed \$1.1 billion from non—bank ATMs, and almost half of that—of those transactions

2.2

2.3

were surcharge-free transactions, but we didn't charge a single surcharge because those cardholders who went to our ATMs were members of Allpoint or the merchant just, you know, decided not to charge a surcharge because of competitive issues, and as was mentioned before, New York City has one of the lowest surcharges, and—and that's unique. You know, where—where else in this country does New York City rank at the bottom of cost and items? And the ATM is—is one of those.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I just—I just don't see how this would be heavily burdensome to a small business, right. When the shop owner every day has to wake up every morning and lift his gate up, and I don't think that him going to his machine and swiping his finger around the—the card entry would add any sort of like burden or anything of that nature that—that can kind of—this—put—this little—kind of hurt his business or make it difficult for him to do business. Also, you know, in New York City I feel a lot of our shops already have security cameras in place. You know, what would it cost to for them to maybe turn the angle of a camera to point towards a

2.3

2 machine or maybe just add one more camera onto—onto 3 their—onto their mainframe or database?

MIKE KELLER: Well, I'll let other

members talk about the cost of what that is to the

merchant, but if a merchant does have a camera, I

suspect he has the camera aimed at—at a place where

he believes it's most critical to the safety of—of

his business and his employees not the ATM. And

again, to suggest that doubling the number of cameras

he adds is any significant cost, well again, I'll let

others talk to that but, you know—

of the things that the sponsor banks have always told us is one of the requirements is not to put the camera on to the ATM and the key pad. So the transaction is not recorded. So I just felt that also needs to be addressed here, and put out there. Anyone who's—

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: This is actually in the bill.

22 GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS: Okay, okay.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: It can't point towards—towards the key pad so that the merchant won't know what is—what is the person's PIN is.

2.2

2.3

GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS: Okay, okay. I did want to make sure that's covered because we—if—if that's—that already would be in immediate conflict, you know.

passed will force us to pick up some of the locations that don't do enough volume for—for us to to justify the expenses of spending on the camera and lighting because, you know, in the city of New York or any of the boroughs, any time you—you want to add wiring or—or add more lighting somewhere you have to go pull permits and there's a real cost to this. So, what—there's going to be a amount of—a lot of analysis and a lot of locations. So a lot of consumers are going to suffer. They're going to—because we will pull the location.

Suffering already because they're being ripped off, you know. Again, these machines are income generators for—for the banks and even the small business. You know, what—you know, we need to put something in place to protect our consumers. You know, I may—I mean you may not told—well, you don't agree with most of the bill, but, you know, and I'm

very flexible making some changes that make sense for the small business, but at the same time we have to make sure that our consumers in the city are

5 protected.

2.2

2.3

ABE AYESH: You know, Councilman, we invite you to sit with us, and we can work together and come in—come to a point where it makes sense for both us, and where we think we'll protect the consumer and we'll protect us and will not affect the industry. Just so you know, this industry employs a lot of people from Armored Cars to technicians to—to—to people who just do their rigging for the machines. So I—I know that Armored Car use has 160 employees in New York City that just service the machine. So once we start—if—if we're forced to start pulling some of this business, it will affect jobs.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: My—my question to you, Abe, is you mentioned earlier that you had info on the amount of incidents of skimmings that happen in your machines?

ABE AYESH: Yes, we have—we have info.

GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS: We have somebody who is going to testify with our-from my industry and they can give you a little more accurate information.

Yeah, for the bank.

25

2.2

2.3

2 ABE AYESH: And not caught the guy.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] I could happen to the bank. It could happen to the bank, but the banks already have these security measures in place. I'm—I'm trying to put in place for these street ATMs.

ABE AYESH: Yes, I-I think some of the data we could show will show that the banks have the majority of the skimming issues.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, I would love—
I would love to see that data. I haven't seen that
data, but please.

MIKE KELLER: And certainly banks have the majority of skim cards because the skimmer wants to put his skimming device in a location that's going to maximize his capturing of data in a minimum amount of time, and is Abe mentioned, you know, a lot of locations in—in the city of New York here may only have two or three hundred transactions a month.

Whereas they grab an ATM or a bank—ATM at a bank vestibule may have two or three hundred transactions in the morning.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 MIKE KELLER: So they're in and out
3 quickly, and that's what skimmers want. They want to
4 get in and out quickly.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah. I-I-I-Iquess I hear what you're saying, but I-I feel like the banks, not to defend banks, but I think that there's more stringent measures in place. Like if there was an incident we are able to capture, you know, who-who-who breached the machine and put the skimming device in. In the ATMs that we have on our streets and delis, you now, maybe some of the delis or maybe some of these businesses do have cameras onto-to the machines, but a lot of them don't. You know the one I was using last night they didn't-they didn't have a camera. So, I-I think that what will do is dissuade someone from approaching an ATM and putting this machine in, and we will have far less incidents happening, occurring in the city.

MIKE KELLER: Well, after the meeting I went and quickly talked to the DCA, and—and they indicated that during the presentation they said that 56% of skimming, their data that they have received, 50%—it's 56% of skimming had occurred at non-bank ATMs, which means that 44% of skimming events

was their data not-not our.

2.2

2.3

occurred at bank ATMs with these added security
measures, and I'd suggest 56/44 isn't a—a big split.
You know, if the security measures were effective,
the split should be 80/20 perhaps. Not 56/44, which

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Right. So—so I have data. The Wall Street Journal actually reported back in May 2016 that while overall crime in the city has—has dropped significantly, you know, thieves are moving away from banks and they're targeting ATM locations that do not have surveillance cameras. So, it isn't that it isn't occurring, it is a real issue, you know because they are targeting these ATMs that don't have cameras.

ABE AYESH: You now what they're targeting, they're targeting breaking into our machines. Even with cameras in the stores, we are at—we're averaging six, seven losses—my—our company alone I think probably may be up to 20, and we—we've been working with the NYPD on it. People—they're just—I'll give you an example. Pay—O—Matic store last—two weeks ago, the store is open. They walked, had put it on, kicked the machine. Cameras everywhere. Picked the machine and rolled out with

2.2

it. The store is open. This is happening whether you have cameras. A motivated criminal will do it no matter what's there.

there are people who are going to do it no matter what's there, and they're going to end up in jail, but there are people out there who will be more reluctant to do it if they know there's surveillance camera there. You know, I think this is another way to also raise this—raise this awareness across the city and saying listen, you know, there are cameras pointing towards the ATM that you're planning on targeting later on today.

ABE AYESH: Most of our robberies, and you can check with NYPD, all have video, and has not deterred anybody.

GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS: We have beautiful video of people coming in and stealing a machine.

ABE AYESH: I—I can—you know, I invite you, Councilman, to come and sit with us, and we'd love to just show you what we're going through on a daily basis. I'm trying to protect.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Have you spoken to consumers who have lost their identity or have been ripped off and lost a lot—all of their money?

ABE AYESH: Yeah, absolutely, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: And have they spoken to you, you know, what—what can help them feel safer?

GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS: Well, what happens is, the minute—just so you know, if a consumer gets their compromise their thing and they calculate it, they're insured by their bank. They automatically put that money back in there the minute they file a dispute, right? So if somebody saying, you know, hey I didn't do this transaction—

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I wouldn't-I wouldn't say immediately. It might take a few days or a few weeks. Advisory Board A few days, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: But you know, a few days or few weeks to a low-income family that's— that's an eternity especially when you have to pay your bills, and you have a landlord who is trying to push you out of your apartment, and can use that as an excuse. There's a lot of instances where—where—

2.2

2.3

where these consumers, you know, they're—they're just facing very tough times especially in the city.

ABE AYESH: Right, but if there's a real cost to us, any more than we dared already in the industry, we will have to raise costs. We will have to dip to the national averages and we've been lower than the national average.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So what—what are the costs that the city requires from you right now besides the fact that there was a federal move to change—to change the machines to cards to have the chip readers. Besides that, what does the city require you to do?

ABE AYESH: Well, look, so we have real costs right? Cost of cash, cost of armor, cost of communication, cost of insurance on the machine. So, once we give the retailer half the money, the other half, about 80% of it covers or 90% of it covers cost. So sometimes once we put a machine in, we're not like the bank where they can get their money back in—nine or ten months because they have so much volume on their machine, and it makes sense for them because it decreases cost of labor and retro factors that go with it. For us, sometimes it takes three,

2.2

2.3

three and a half year just to recoup the cost of the machines. So if you put a \$500 burden with cameras and stuff, I will tell you some of these locations might take a year just to recoup that, and I—there's going to be hundreds of machines taken out when this law passes, and—and if we can't—if we choose not to raise surcharges, but we are the lowest national average. For the most expensive city in the United States, we have the lowest cost of transactions. If you go to a bank, and you have banking with it right now, they will charge you \$3.00 to \$3.50. Our average is \$2.00, \$1.75 in some boroughs, and even 99 cents in places like the Bronx.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Hey, listen I'm—I'm willing to have further conversations after the hearing, and—and seeing where—where we can make improvements on the bill, or where we can come to some common ground. But again, you know, there is an issue out there, and we have to find the best way to tackle that issue.

ABE AYESH: And we'd love to work with you, sit down with you and collectively come up with something that does make sense. We—we don't want to be robbed. We don't want our consumers to be robbed.

2.2

2.3

At the end of the day we're all trying to work together here. We all have the same goal. We're just—let's just have a goal that really works, and not something that's going to put a patch on something because a guy can wear a hoodie and eventually put a skimmer on it, right.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah, and unfortunately we—we didn't have cost analysis from—from the administration on what this will cost a small business. So again, we have to have further conversations on the issue.

MIKE KELLER: One of the things we can do, we've done this in other cities in the past when we've been faced with a-a-you know, a sudden increase in robberies at ATMs is to work with local police departments and create task force and go out and try to target, you know, decide where these—this gang is working, and help this one there, and and—and help police find the criminal and—because they all work in gangs. You know, these are not someone, you know, looking at the Internet and say I'll go out and skim a machine today. That's not the guy we're working—these were Eastern Europeans. They are well trained, well organized. They know how to, you know, they—the

2.2

2.3

2 know how to put the machine—the skimming device on quickly, discretely.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You say Eastern Europeans. Are data supporting—

MIKE KELLER: Yes, and-and according to the NYPD, most of the ATM skimming in the city is Eastern European, and they're kind of divided ATM skimming, and then there's gas pump skimming and restaurant skimming. Those were done by different nationalities and—and I encourage you to talk the NYPD. They can give you those statistics and stuff.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah, unfortunately, they weren't here today

ABE AYESH: And we've been working with the NYPD on robberies and I think Tim will be able to walk through, but we—we have been—we've had a very close relationship with NYPD. I'll give you an example. The biggest problem right is our ATMs being robbed just in—we have hundreds of footages of just people taking it. We've lost in my company alone close to \$300,000 in the last two months alone. You know, and we're eating a lot of deductibles because remember the insurance only covers so much. And so we had to cover this much. We're burying a lot of

- 2 cost right now, and trying to keep our cost down to
- 3 the consumer. So that—and—and just what I've learned
- 4 is the robber don't care whether they're on camera,
- 5 | not camera. They will—they will do whatever it is.
- 6 They're driving through the stores' windows with
- 7 | their cars walking out, and yanking machines out.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] I've
- 9 seen a lot of True TV.
- 10 ABE AYESH: Yes. [laughter] They're
- 11 | walking-so there is a solution to-to-to improving
- 12 | it, but I don't think we're ever as an industry going
- 13 | to be able to get-get it to go away, but we'd love to
- 14 | figure a better solution with you. Sit down and
- 15 | collectively come up with a collective group of guys.
- 16 Maybe we'll get all the ATM companies in New York to
- 17 | sit down with you. Let's-let's discuss what will
- 18 work and what will not.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I can't promise you
- 20 that I will scrap this bill because I like it. I-I
- 21 | will commit to having a conversation how we can make
- 22 | it better, and see what makes sense and what doesn't
- 23 | make sense.
- 24 ABE AYESH: Okay.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: But I-you-but
earlier you mentioned that 50% of the fees goes to
the business owner, right?

GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS: Traditionally at least.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: And I-I-I-I have to agree. I do have a-a friend who's a business owner who-who uses and ATM at-at his location. But I-I-I've heard reports that there are businesses, minority owned businesses usually with language barriers that have ATMs in their business and they're-they're told that they can't receive-they-they don't receive a percentage of the fee. You know, do you-do you know if-

GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS: [interposing] Give them my phone number. [laughter] You know, I'll give you my card after.

ABE AYESH: I will tell you I—I operate, you know, by a—of course, 8,000 machines and I think 4,500 in the—in the Manhattan area, and I will tell I don't think—and I could even come in and invite you to look at all our contracts, and I don't think you will ever see that case. I don't think there is

## COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

anybody that gets up 50%. In—in today's world, they're even asking for 75%.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: We heard of—we heard of—we heard of one incident so maybe we do have to circle back—

GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS: [off mic] [interposing] It's probably one.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: -and-and figure that out.

GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS: It's probably—it's probably what—what Gabe said. It's probably one-off, a merchant who got taken advantage of by a distributor or something or—or maybe, you know, what happens sometimes if it's a mediocre location, the guy will say look I'm going to pull the location out. If you still want to have it. I've seen some distributors do that, you know, because it just didn't pay for the guy to keep it there, and the guy is just happy to have a machine going there.

ABE AYESH: There's a real cost to having a machine just there. You know, you're going to pay for the interest, the communication, the—the integral money that goes in their insurance, you know. So this some kind of cost, and we're noticing the more ATMs

out there, that's—that's started to be deployed in
this—in the city, the lower our transaction for
location. Just-just so you know, the average
transaction I think for our machines throughout the
whole network of independent ATMs for us is probably
about 350 transactions. So there's a lot of lower
level machines we're keeping out there because the
neighborhood needs it, and we keep it out there. I
will tell you that I have machines that we make \$10 a
month after all costs are done, and we keep it out
there—out there just because, you know, it's a need,
and—and there's no more cause for us to deploy other
than just the cost of doing the service and all the
folks. So-

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You don't think there's consumers out there who are afraid of using machines, and maybe if you made them a little bit—they had—they had a little more security you'll see an increase in business?

ABE AYESH: I don't think so.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You don't think so?

ABE AYESH: I don't think so.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay.

2.2

2.3

ABE AYESH: I—I—and I've been in the industry since 1998, and we are—we are just like my colleagues here, we operate a lot of machines, and we see it, and it doesn't make a difference whether you have—it's the location. It's—it's truly the location where you have the machine is what makes the difference.

MIKE KELLER: And, of course, I don't think Abe is suggesting that, you know, consumers do feel good if they see a machine that's a modern well equipped --

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] Yes.

MIKE KELLER: --machine. Obviously,
that's what we—our industry strives for. You know,
we comply with the ADA, and all of the network rules
and stuff. So there's a lot of expense going with
that, and—and one thing I would like to mention, you
talked about daily inspecting, how easy it is for the
bodegas guy that walked his machine and inspected it.
You know, I—I won't speak for—for that person, but,
you know, he probably has a checklist that's longer
than any of us can imagine to operate his business
everyday. But also the—the other top ATM were—were—
is a majority of ATMs from my company Cardtronics is

2.2

2.3

managed ATMs, and the larger national account stores, and there, you know, our responsibility is, you know, we put the machine. We do everything. Load the cash, clean the machine, maintain the machine, pay the telecommunications. The only thing that the merchant provides is electricity for the machine. That's it and they expect us to do everything on that machine, everything, and to go out and inspect and we have 1,000 of those here in the city. And to go out every day to inspect those would be a huge additional

ABE AYESH: Yeah.

cost. It would be prohibitive.

MIKE KELLER: It would be hugely expensive.

ABE AYESH: All our machines are operated by our company so that, you know, to ask them—the merchant is not going to touch them. So we're going to have to do that at a cost of sending people to inspect those machines on a daily basis. You know, we have Armored Car companies that go there one—or once, twice even four times a month to some locations depending on the volume. We can—we can have the Armored Car guys—we could have the Armored Car company inspect it when they're loading the cash.

2.2

2 Check. We can put a checklist for the Armored Car 3 company to it when they're there.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: And just—just for this—just this final point I'm going to make. I think the bill actually allows for you guys to negotiate with the merchant on who will be responsible for what when it comes to the securities of—of the machines. Just—just so—just to point.

MIKE KELLER: I—I understand that fully, Chairman, and I can guarantee what those merchants are going to say: Your machine. You take care of it.

GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS: [interposing] Your problem.

MIKE KELLER: Your problem. [laughter]
Okay, now instead of getting 50% of the surcharge
they get 90% of the surcharges. So I—I understand
that, but—and again, at key locations the merchant is
the driver. You know, it's—it's—ATMs are like real
estate, location, location, location. You know, he
has a great location. He's going to make you do
everything. That's the nature of our game, and so
we'll lose those locations.

2	ABE AYESH: And, you know, a lot of
3	merchants depend on us to-to hardship, you know, to
4	get, you know, we get merchants that ask us for
5	loans, and we help a lot of businesses out there. I
6	can show you that we loan-we give loans at no
7	interest, just loans to—to merchants so they can like
8	pay their bills. Sometimes they're behind in their
9	rent. We-we do so much to help. They-every merchant
10	looks at the-the ATM as like their bank, right. They
11	come to us before they go to their bank to get a
12	loan. It's-it's-its' unbelievable and-and I will
13	tell you sometimes somebody will unplug the machine
14	by accident. That merchant will not go to that
15	machine and unplug the machine. Don't make them.
16	They'll call up, and we'll tell them to check.
17	They're like no, send somebody.
18	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Got you. Thank you
19	guys. Thank you Mike. Thank Abe and thank you
20	George
21	GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS: Thank you for your
22	time.
23	ABE AYESH: Thank you for your time.
24	GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS: And, you know, and

we-we definitely take this seriously.

25

name and give your testimony.

_	COMMITTIES ON CONDOMER MITMING
2	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yes, and—and the
3	invitation is open to you to-to meet with us any
4	time. You know, you can come to our facilities. We
5	can-we can show you, walk through some stuff we do.
6	We're your-it's an open door policy for you.
7	ABE AYESH: Alright, let's do that.
8	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.
9	GEORGE SARANTOPOULOS: Thank you very
10	much.
11	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, thank you.
12	The final panel. [background comments] We have Jim
13	Shrayef from Northeast ATM Association. We have
14	Peter Wilkinslaw(sp?) from the Best Products. We
15	have Robert Toitman (sp?) from ATM. Sorry, I can't
16	read the last part. From ATM Industry, and we have
17	Bruce Renard the National ATM Council, Incorporated.
18	[background comments] One, two, three, four.
19	FEMALE SPEAKER: To us.
20	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yes.
21	FEMALE SPEAKER: Okay.
22	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: We have Bruce,
23	Robert, Peter and Jim. [background comments, pause]
24	Okay, whenever you're ready, you can just state your

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JIM SHRAYEF: [off mic] My—my name is Jim
Shrayef. I'm—I'm with the

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Is the mic on?

JIM SHRAYEF: Oh, my name is Jim Shrayef. I'm with the Northeast ATM Association. Also part of an organization called Everything ATM in Brooklyn in the area of Dumbo. I want to thank you for the opportunity to have this conversation. As I told my colleagues, I am in support of the bill, but there are things that need to be addressed, and there I'm talking exact-precisely about practical things. of the issues that I learned when we were working with the-when we are working with the NYPD is that the frustration that the force has in-in doing their job. Let's say they mentioned many times that we have evidence against somebody, legal evidence against somebody that broke into our ATM, destroyed We have it recorded. Destroyed the storefront, broke into our distributor's card and a bag with \$60,000. We have all the videos. Now, in New York City-in New York prosecution, okay, and what also the DAs tell us they do not have the tools. They laws are very lenient when it comes to prosecute nonviolent crimes. Installing a skimming device on an

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ATM is considered a non-violent crime, and a camera in front of the ATM will get you a very beautiful smile over the temper plate (sic). He might wave at you because he was-he's not going to jail. might get arrested by the NYPD, but NYPD eventually is going to get frustrated because the next day he's going to be out, and another person hired by the same eastern European gang, okay, he doesn't have to have They can pick anybody, and tell them you do this for us. We'll-we'll pay you \$100, and this person will do it, and who benefits is the person that controls the-not the guy that put the device on the ATM. Okay, that—that is the little fish. The person that's outside receiving the radio transactions is the one that does not get caught on camera. Okay, we picked up many of those 75 devices and-and locations. We have it in pictures where the store own-store owner himself with a bat took a guy and took the pictures, hold his idea, and the next day the person came back to the store. So there are in our beautiful city rights that we cherish and appreciate, but when it comes to this kind of-of crime, they-they do not-they do work. The police do not have any tools. Okay, so that-that is the major

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

frustration of the-of the Police Department. On the other hand, we want to say that even if you install a camera, okay, in a device-in a location, it will be very hard to get even a-a real picture of the person in case if that works for anything. Okay, in case it could works for anything. People can just on the proper way and they will-you will not get him back. You're talking about giving the person-of-of somebody that's sent by a gang, a very well organized gang to get information on a card. On the other hand, thethe cards are changing. So, even with a skimmer, those cards are-they cannot be used okay even with a skimmer because they are changing into-with cards that do not have-my names as set. (sic) And Mike Keller said, this is not a city thing, it is international. In Europe, they cards do not have a max drive. Okay, that was the solution that they They only have a chip. So no matter came up with. how much skimming they have, skimmers they have, they cannot-it's very hard for them to copy the information on the chip. One last thing. I promise this—this will be my last one, but since you opened the door, you say that some of those bank ATMs they have-they do not have-they have-they-they do not

JIM SHRAYEF: Yeah.

24

scaling away their-the cardholder making this witch

That is not the case. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

hunt against our small ATMs saying that small ATMs are more risky than the bank ATMs. Yes, the bank ATMs have more light, but in this 43% of incidents in the bank probably they get more data at—at a bank ATM probably 100 times more at—at one bank ATM that can get as one—on bodega ATM. So that creates a false a real false sense of security. For the cardholder,

okay, they said if you use a bank ATM you are safe.

BRUCE RENARD: Good morning, Mr.

Chairman. Thank you so much for holding this hearing.

We really appreciate it. I'm Bruce Renard. I'm the

Executive Director of the National ATM Council, and

we represent the independent ATM providers throughout

America. The earlier comments from Mr. Keller on

behalf of ATMA—ATMI, represents both bank and non
bank ATMs. We focus exclusively on the retail ATMs,

and there's been. There's a lot of misinformation

out there that I think has maybe mislead some of

what's in this bill that I want to try to share with

you to get—give you facts. First of all, [coughs]

the skimming issue. When we read about the FICO

study about this big increase in skimming, I

immediately [coughs] jumped on it and asked all our

2 major ATM providers throughout America many of which 3 are in the city here have you guys seen skimming? 4 this a problem? And everyone came back to me and 5 said no. I've never seen a skimmer. So, I-I immediately [coughs] was trying to figure out what is 6 going on here. So we put—we did a survey, a national 7 8 survey with hundreds of ATM companies responding to it about it skimming. And sure enough, 93% of the ATM providers had never had one skimming incident 10 11 ever in their life. Half of them I've been in 12 business for 10 years or more. Okay. I've included 13 a copy of the survey results report in my testimony 14 that is filed with you. Okay. So then we started 15 thinking well, what is going on here? What is going 16 on here? And-and how do we explain this 500% increase right, [coughing] and it's that you cited 17 18 earlier. It's what Jim said. They're trying to 19 scare people from using retail ATMs because when you 20 only have one skimming incident, right, a year, let's 21 say, well, 500%, guess what? Now there's five, you 2.2 It started with nothing. All the skimming has 2.3 happened historically on the bank ATMs. Because they're unmanned. There's nobody there to 24 25 watch you, right, and the volumes as has been said

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

are hugely bigger. So if you're a skimmer, you go in for a bank ATM, and if you look at Krebs on skimming, he's like the ultimate expert in the field that everybody looks to. He has like listed 50 examples of skimming over the last five years that he's been covering this stuff. Not one of them is a retail They're all bank ATMs. There are a couple of point of sale devices on them. So what is this with the retail skimming, right? Here is what it is. every country that has introduced ENB chip cards, the bad guys see the window closing, and they jack up their skimming. They want to use this ill gotten data, and max stripe stuff before the chip comes in fully. So all these countries you see this spike in skimming. So that's what's happening right now. Whereas, it was all bank before, now some of the guys are going for some retail if they can. numbers of retail ATMs are very small. Even with the jump you cited earlier up to 50 or whatever it was, it's a-it is such a drop in the bucket. Okay. to your question earlier could there be skimmers of people we didn't catch? Yes, there could. But, you know, these guys unlike the banks, right, the banks their main business is the bank. ATMs to them are

- 2 | just, you know, an aside. They're really a pain to
- 3 be honest with you. They ain't going to like it.
- 4 It's a dirty business for them. For us, it's our
- 5 total business. Okay, if consumers aren't
- 6 comfortable and feel safe and secure in using our
- 7 machines, we're out of business. So, we have every
- 8 | incentive to have this safe secure environment. We
- 9 don't need any help. It's like saying, you know, let
- 10 me-let me help you when you when you need to go to
- 11 | the bathroom when you get up in the morning. Okay,
- 12 | you don't need any help. You get up and you got to
- 13 | go. We have every incentive to protect because if
- 14 | the consumers don't feel safe with our machines,
- 15 | we're done. We're out of business. We have no other
- 16 business.

- 17 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] Yeah,
- 18 and we're trying to help you out with this bill.
- 19 BRUCE RENARD: I'm with you. Now, here's
- 20 how you can help us.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: It is important.
- 22 I'm trying to help out. I'm trying to make the
- 23 consumers feel safe to use the ATM.
- BRUCE RENARD: We're there—when we're—and
- 25 I want you to know we're with you because check it

2 out. Before competition before the entrepreneurs got 3 into the ATM business, there were about 120,000 ATMs 4 in America. With competition there are about 420. So there's guys are serving all the areas that the banks don't want to go because they're not safe. 6 You know, 7 they don't make as much money there, right, and we've 8 done mapping there, and we've mapped out communities. I haven't done it for the city, but I will, and it's amazing. It's like the banks had redlined these 10 11 communities. You look at the communities and the bank machines are in the affluent areas. 12 13 into the poor areas, that's where our machines are. These guys are hurting right now. The banks are 14 15 trying to put us out of business, quite frankly. 16 have an anti-trust case pending about this right now. 17 It just went to the U.S. Supreme Court, and we wont 18 in the Supreme Court. Now, so when you hear about 19 skimming, that's what's the real deal on skimming. 20 Let me talk to you about race. Okay. We've done a 21 study because FICO. No, it was FICO. It was Bank 2.2 Rate. The Bank Rate Study came out saying, you 2.3 remember this: Spike in ATM rates. ATM rates skyrocketing, right? It's bull. Okay. Here's the 24 real-here's the reality. The reality is the average 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ATM charge that the actual ATM only charges is about \$2.60 a transaction nationally. So you've got, as I said, you got to deal going in the city here, right? The banks, the ten largest banks in the country eight of them charge \$2.50 for their customer to use one of our ATMs. Two of them charge \$3.00. They don't have the cost of the ATM. That is the problem. they should be-they shouldn't be charging their customers penalizing them for using our-they should paying us because we're taking the cost of the ATM off their shoulders, and we taking them in the most crime ridden toughest areas. They should be paying us, not charging their own customers, penalizing them and charging them more than the poor ATM charges, and he has all the cost of the machine and the money and everything you've heard today. That is [coughing] is a real, real problem, but it's the bank's problem. It's not the retail ATM guy's problem. Okay. So, what have we done about this with skimming because when-when I learned about DNB and how it's going to increase skimming, I immediately went to the National Association of Convenience Stores and Connexus who's their standard body, right. They have most of thesethese stores in America, and said we need to get

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ahead of this curve and we did. We put together a skimming-Anti-Skimming Guide, which is also part of my testimony, and we distribute it now to all of our members, all the companies, all the stores. We're with you on this. You know, if we can get that information out there—we put it into a two-page thing, two sides on one page that you could pat behind the-the cash register at the bodega, and actually use. And we did tell them to inspect just what you said. So we have a little difference on-on that issue because to me and to us it wasn't that big a deal to go and jiggle the thing for 30 seconds. even said maybe each shift. Okay, but we don't-we can't take a new level of government regulation. can't have every city coming and telling us do it this way, do it that way. We need to work with, and we have worked. We-yeah we have folks here who have been with NYPD for decades, and we've worked with them successfully to deal with other crimes. Okay, but where we can really, really use the-the Council's help is getting some penalties. Two things: Get the penalties right. Make these people that if they mess with an ATM or the people that we loading, they're going to jail. Period. End of story. When that was

24

25

2	done in Australia, the crimes against ATM tanked.
3	See, because you hear it. If they think they can go
4	in and do this, and it's not just the skimming, it's-
5	it's taking machines. It's—it's getting, going after
6	consumers using machines. These criminals need to
7	know they're going to jail, and that's where we would
8	really like to work with you. Not just in the city,
9	but the whole state. Let's get this state safe for
10	consumers to use ATMs, all ATMs, and that will go a
11	long way. With that, communication. With us
12	working, you know, the actual guys in the trenches
13	that know where the real crimes are happening and how
14	to stop it. Work with the police and help us to work
15	with the police, and give the police the resources
16	they need to actually deal with these crimes. If you
17	really want to stop it, we can help you stop it. So,
18	thank you, and we're here needless to say for any
19	follow up meetings, anything you need to make things
20	safer for consumers in New York. We are totally with
21	you because if—if they're not feeling safe and
22	secure, we're out of business. Thank you.
23	[background comments, pause]

PETER WILKENSHAFT: Hello.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: How you doing?

2 PETER WILKENSHAFT: Good. My name is Peter Wilkenshaft. (sic) I represented a small 3 4 company on Long Island. We have several thousand machines basically the majority of, you know, five 5 boroughs New York City. I think most of my 6 7 colleagues and Bruce just go-gave over most of it-you 8 know, my objections to the-to the bill. I'm not necessarily against it. I just think there are certain things that could be modified. One thing I 10 11 know you're tying this a lot into banks, and bringing 12 their regulations at New York State banks face 13 already into the retail space. The biggest thing 14 here is ATMs are not in the vestibule in a bank lobby 15 that doesn't have somebody looking at that machine. 16 All-I-not all of our machines but the majority of our 17 machines are facing the-the clerk at the register. 18 They are—they have eyes on it 24 hours a day if—if 19 they're open 24 hours. One thing that we do in 20 particular, we've never had a single instance of 21 skimming on retail machines. We have had instances 2.2 on bank machines that we the processing for. Again, 2.3 because that's what the-the thieves go after. send out a periodic statement mailer to all of our 24 customers just to inform them of what to look for. 25

2	Keep the eyes on the machine, you know, inspect it on
3	a daily basis. Keep it clean. Keep it well lit.
4	Just kind of best practices so people do feel safe in
5	using the machines. You know, that doesn't cost us
6	anything really to do other than, you know, to print
7	out a piece of paper. So, maybe that goes a long way
8	in-in preventing that because like I said, we've
9	been-been in business since '98. We haven't had a
10	single instance of skimming ever. Never seen a
11	skimmer on a retail machine whatsoever. That's
12	basically all I have to add to this, but that's my
13	point of view. Another thing I think somebody else
14	also addressed it. If this does go into place, the
15	cost would definitely get passed onto the consumer.
16	Somebody has to pay for this, and like everybody has
17	said here over and over again, New York surcharges
18	are the largest, you know, the lowest in the country.
19	They only have one direction to go, and that's-that's
20	really just up, and the more costs that people wrap
21	in, somebody has to eventually pay that bill. It
22	can't always come out of the-that ATM deployer's
23	pocket or whoever owns that machine. That's
24	basically it. [pause]

2 ROBERT TOITMAN: How are you, Chairman. 3 My name is Robert. I am the representative of Access 4 One ATM. We're a Brooklyn based ATM company. My CEO spoke earlier before. I'm also a former attorney or a current attorney, but much to the chagrin of my 6 7 mother, I left being a lawyer to work in the ATM 8 industry, and one of the main reasons that I-I left was because I really enjoy working with small businesses in the community. I just didn't get that 10 11 satisfaction when I was an attorney working for tons 12 of rich dudes buying real estate and it just didn't 13 fulfill me. I love that every morning I wake up, and 14 I visit small businesses. I visit bodegas. I visit 15 convenience stores. That is very fulfilling to me. 16 The reality is this proposed bill with all due 17 respect I believe is casting a very wide net to try 18 and address a very detailed and small problem for our 19 industry. All of the statistics that you mentioned 20 before that are brought by FICO, which is basically in bed with all the banks. You know, I want to 21 emphasize that I've been in this business a lot 2.2 2.3 shorter than these guys, but I've-I still have seven or eight years. I've only seen and heard of one 24 skimming device on one of the machines that  $\mathbf{I'}$  ve 25

2.2

deployed in eight years. If I'm a sophisticated
entity that is trying to put skimmers, there's no way
I'm going to a retail ATM. There's just not enough
data. Why would I go for ten transactions a day when
I could go a Citibank machine that probably does, I
don't know, 5,000 transactions a day. I mean I'm
doing the same amount of work for 100 to 200 times
less money to steal. So I think that you really need
to analyze those statistics and realize that
obviously FICO has a vested interest in scaring
people on retail ATMs because they're basically in
bed with the banks and funded by the banks.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: And Wall Street.

ROBERT TOITMAN: Yeah, and the Wall
Street Journal as well. So, basically the reality on
the ground is that if—if this wide net is
implemented, there's two situations that are going to
happen. One is that the local bodega owner and the
local merchant is going to have to front the cost of
this, which again we don't believe will make any
significant inroads in either making the consumer
feel comfortable and actually catching the criminal.
The consumers won't know that these cameras exist.

Bodegas and convenience stores already are very well

lit and already have significant security systems in
place. And like the said, unfortunately, even when
you get a criminal on camera, unless you have blood
DNA or catch them at the scene, it's very hard to
catch these guys. So either the-the merchant is
going to have to pay, or the ATM company will have to
pay in which case we'll have to analyze whether or
not to remove the machine. We work on really small
margins in this business. We're not Citibank. We're
not Chase. We're not HSBC. Sometimes it's been the
difference between three to five cents a transaction
is the difference between us keeping the machine or
not. I think this—this proposed bill will lead to a
lot of ATMs being removed from communities and stores
that really need it, and really depend on us, and
like-like they said, we're-if consumers don't feel
comfortable, we're-we're out of business. So we are
really aware of this. We work with the NYPD and-and,
you know, hopefully we'll have some conversations in
the future to work together and fix-fix this issue.
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Well, yeah, thank

you guys all for-for your testimony. Is there anything you want to add?

BRUCE RENARD. II I Can, MI. Chailman. I
forgot one thing that I think you'll find important.
With these foreign bank fees that are more—as much or
more than the ATM guys actually charge, right? The
other huge problem from the consumer standpoint is
they in addition to telling—when you call they tell
you it's the ATM fee, and so the consumer calls these
guys complaining when, in fact, it's the bank's fee.
But if you look on the bank statement, the bank's are
purposefully misleading consumers right now. We're
working in Washington on this, but I'd love to see
you get ahead of us, okay. When you look on your
bank statement they do one of two things. Either
they put—like if you go take 200 bucks out the
machine

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [off mic] Where you see—where you see those. (sic)

BRUCE RENARD: You're going to get one line on your statement, and your bank statement is going to be—and he's charging \$2.00. You're going to get a \$202.00 line item. On the bill it will either say the name of the company, the name of the bodega, right. Then below that, you're going to see another line item and that's going to say \$2.50 or \$3.00 on

it, and it ought to say Bank of America or it ought
to say Chase, but what they're doing is they're
putting either the same name as the ATM guy that goes
on the line that is actually his charges, or they're
putting on a non-Bank of America ATM fee, and they
trick you into thinking it's not really their fee.
Okay. so if you really want to help at least stop
the-the, you know, the rate gouging what the banks
are doing on people now, make them put their name on
it, on the statement when they send you your bank
statement so people will know what their bank is
doing is doing to them. [laughs] Because right now
they're tricking people, and they're getting a lot of
money from this, a lotbillions of dollars on these
charges making you think it's these guys' charges.
So that-that could be a great help.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, that's something I would love to talk about as well.

BRUCE RENARD: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: A great issue, but that—you want to add anything else?

JAY SHRAYEF: I want to say talking about us getting customers, those fees scare customers a lot more.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: UH-HUH.

JAY SHRAYEF: And the possibility of those foreign fees scare customers a lot more than the possibility of him skimming. So you talk about only 75 units out of thousands and thousands of machines. Those—those were caught immediately.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Right.

JAY SHRAYEF: Okay, but the—again the police cannot prosecute those.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I appreciate it.

You all have given testimony that is very insightful,
and we'll—we'll go back and do our homework, and
hopefully have a conversation with you guys as well.

Chairman. We really appreciate it. One of my—I—I think a—a skimming device is kind of like getting your credit card stolen. It—it seems a lot scarier than it actually is when it actually happens. You know, I've lost my credit card or somebody has used it. I—I don't think there's ever been a case where a consumer has had their bank account skimmed where the banks aren't totally receptive to giving their money back almost immediately. So, I think in—in theory you feel very violated, which you should, but in

sure that consumers feel safe, and they're not being

[gavel]

Thank you.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS	92
2	BRUCE RENARD: Thank you.	
3	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you guys.	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date \_\_\_\_\_January 16, 2017