CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

December 14, 2016 Start: 11:34 a.m. Recess: 11:42 a.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: Donovan Richards

Chairperson

Ruben Wills

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Daniel R. Garodnick Jumaane D. Williams Antonio Reynoso Ritchie J. Torres Vincent J. Gentile A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, good
3	morning. I'm Donovan Richards, Chair of the
4	Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises, and this
5	morning we are joined by Committee Members Vincent
6	Gentile, Council Member Ritchie Torres, Council
7	Member Jumaane Williams, we're also joined oh,
8	Council Member Garodnick, as well, and so we're
9	going to start. We have one item for our
10	consideration today, Land Use items number 531 and
11	532, the Carroll Street rezoning in Council Member
12	Lander's district. This application seeks a
13	rezoning from M1-1 to an R6B and designation of
14	mandatory inclusionary housing area on three lots
15	owned by the applicant at 1418 Carroll Street in
16	Brooklyn. The rezoning would allow for the
17	development of a 10-unit residential building and
18	six accessory parking spaces. We held a hearing on
19	this application on Monday and after careful
20	consideration and discussion with the applicant and
21	the community, the Subcommittee will be voting to
22	disapprove this application today. The site of the
23	rezoning is located on the border of both
24	predominately residential and predominately
25	manufacturing uses. Anytime the city considers

whether to rezone manufacturing land we must					
carefully consider whether the public benefit of					
the new uses would outweigh the potential loss in					
jobs and economic activity that would be associated					
with manufacturing development. In this case the					
rezoning would lead to a development of exclusively					
market rate housing. We investigated the					
possibility to allow both manufacturing and					
residential uses on the site, but such a					
modification would be outside the scope of the					
application before us; therefore, we are voting					
today to disapprove the application because the					
existing uses permitted on the property					
manufacturing and commercial uses would better					
serve the city and the neighborhood than the					
anticipated development that would result from the					
rezoning. I will now turn it over to Council					
Member Lander for a statement before we vote on					
this item on these items.					

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very much Mr. Chair for that good opening statement that explains to members who were not there the other day what the issues are and for your leadership at that hearing, and I want to thank the staff as well

for working closely with you and with me. I am
asking my colleagues to join me in voting yes to
disapprove this application of 1418 Carroll Street.
There's no joy in that. I don't I don't relish
voting down an application, but this one is simply
not in the public interest. What we have today is
a 6200 square foot piece of M-zoned land in an area
that's long been mixed use. It's a block from the
port. There's some housing on the block. There's
some manufacturing uses on the block. We've been
losing the manufacturing and light industrial and
commercial uses in this area as property has been
speculated and bought up and bid out for
development. There's a wonderful nursery, a plant,
plant store, there's a great doggy daycare place,
there's a bakery, and those uses are under pressure
and that's what this land has been zoned for. The
applicant purchased the land and as we have
considered in many cases the public benefit of
affordable housing, if they were proposing to do a
project under MIH, would be a compelling reason to
do this rezoning, and in fact they applied for a
rezoning that would take them above the MIH
threshold. They're asking for something that would

allow 13,700 square feet of development, well above
the 12,500 square foot threshold, and yet they've
made clear that not only will they not commit to
utilize the MIH Program, they have no plans to
include any affordable housing or utilize the MIH
Program in any way, and for that reason this simply
is no public interest in the application to lose a
M-zoned piece of property for 100 percent market
rate luxury condo development in Carroll Gardens. I
just don't see a public interest justification. We
did, as the Chair indicated, seek to work with them
on other alternatives to find a way that they would
commit to utilize the program. I would be willing
to consider even some additional density. This
isn't a, a, a case of not wanting the density. I'd
be open to a little more density on the site, but
it's out of scope of the application that they
submitted. As the Chair also mentioned, we
considered the possibility of a mixed use
application. It's a mixed use block. We could look
at something like M1R6B or some other but again,
that was not within the scope of the application
that they submitted. So what we're left with today
is simply to rezone it to R6B knowing we would get

2.2

a 100 percent market rate condo project and lose
the M-zoned land with no public benefit and for
that therefore I'm asking you to disapprove. While
that might mean nothing happens on this block,
perhaps they'll come back with a new application
and certainly for other sites, both in my district
and around the city, I hope this means that
developers when they bring us applications will be
sure to include and commit to the affordable
housing and public benefit that we need to move
forward in the city. So thank you for your
leadership again Mr. Chair and for the time, and I
ask the members of the committee to vote yes to
disapprove.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you,

Council Member Lander for your leadership.

Alright, any questions from members of the subcommittee? Alright, seeing none I will now couple Land Use Items number 531 and 532 on a vote to disapprove, council please call the role.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Chair Richards?

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I want to

congratulate Inez Dickens. This is her last day

here. We're going to miss you.

\sim	
/	[applause]
_	

- 3 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you for
 4 your leadership and commitment to the council since
 5 I can't remember the year you began. I was much
 6 younger.
- 7 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: I came here in 8 2006.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: 2006, wow so
 10 congratulations on moving on. I will-- I proudly
 11 vote aye to disapprove this application.
- 12 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member
 13 Gentile?
- 14 COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I too vote
 15 aye, and my congratulations to Council Member
 16 Dickens.
- 17 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member 18 Garodnick?
- 19 COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Aye.
- 20 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member
- 21 | Williams?
- 22 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: May excuse
- 23 me-- may excuse me a moment? May I be excused to
- 24 explain my vote?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Yes, you may, Council Member Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you very much. I was talking with Brad and a few people that-- to make assistance [sic] I was going to vote to approve it, but I'm going to vote to disapprove, but I want to say congratulations to Brad for the leadership that you've shown here. I think too many projects come through this body. I'm speaking-the-- first, you presented a large plethora of opportunities that could of been done. I'm focused mostly on the housing part, and I want to appreciate what you said about the housing and I want-- think that's something we have to think about more and I'm hoping more colleagues will think on that line of what we can get as a public use, and so I'm very proud of what you've done today and I just wanted to thank you for doing that, and say congratulations to my colleagues -- my colleague Inez Dickens. It's been great serving with you. Give them hell in Albany, and I vote aye.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member

25 Torres?

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I vote aye.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: By a vote of 5 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and 0abstentions Land Use Items 531 and 532 are disapproved and referred to the Full Land Use Committee.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you all for coming, and I'm proud to hear Jumaane Williams strike the right tone in the committee today. So with that being said, we now will close the vote. Thank you.

[gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date	January	3,	2017	
------	---------	----	------	--