CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS
Jointly with the
COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

----- X

November 22, 2016 Start: 10:50 a.m. Recess: 1:41 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers-City Hall

B E F O R E: JUMAANE D. WILLIAMS

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Stephen Levin Eric Ulrich

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Miss Eisenberg Resident NYC

Nancy Sher Tenant 125 Court Street Brooklyn, NY

Benjamin Dulchin Executive Director Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development (ANHD)

Ellen Davidson Staff Attorney The Legal Aid Society

Yolande Nicholson Financial Services Attorney Brooklyn, NY

Terri Davis-Merchant Senior Legislative Analyst Government Relations Group NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD)

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
2	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [off mic] So we
3	have and then David, you can come up. Sorry, you
4	can Where's Nancy?
5	[Pause]
6	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can one of the
7	sergeants grab Miss Sher? They said she's next door
8	She's in the restroom or she's next door?
9	[Pause]
1,0	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Nancy Sher?
11	[background voice] Oh, I see.
12	[Pause]
13	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can you please
14	raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the
15	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in
16	your testimony before this committee and to respond
17	honestly to council member questions? You'll each
18	have three minutes for your testimony and you can
19	begin at whatever your preference.
20	[Pause]
21	MISS EISENBERG: Oh, okay.
22	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sorry, just hold
23	for one second. I'm sorry.
24	MISS EISENBERG: Okay, I'm going to tell

you a very short story, which I think is at the crux

of the matter. Now my grandson was having dinner one night and I went to him and I said, "I have a question to ask you." I put my fists like this on the table and I said, "This hand collects information, but they don't verify the truthfulness of the landlord's statements. Do you understand what verify is?" And he said, "Of course, Grandmother. It means to check." "And this hand uses this information and gives away a lot of money; over a billion dollars. What do you think's going to happen?" He was nine years old and he took about four seconds to come up with an answer. He said, "Oh, Grandma, they're going to cheat. They're going lie. They're going to lie over here." Okay, and that's the situation you find yourself in because the rent registrations have no basis, many of them. Many of them; in fact, most of them are fiction. You don't know; you have no way of knowing, especially when it comes to 421-a. A simple

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

according to the law, the first rent collected and
the first check collected, why doesn't HPD get this?
Okay, why are landlords allowed to change
registrations when they feel like? Why did HPD

solution would be since the landlord must keep

testify at a landlord-tenant hearing against... it happened to be 125 Court Street and the head of the benefits program testified and said very clearly; she said, "Well, we don't look at the individual registrations. We don't look at the individual resistrations. We don't look at the aggregate," and in this case, it was I believe \$1,150,000.00 a month. So how big of an incentive do you have to have people cheat?

2.2

2.3

So the state has absolutely no idea if any of the rent registrations are true or not because they have no proof. They have a form that's been filled out and that's it and so this legislation is inadequate because it doesn't get to the heart of the problem. So if you want to check and see if people have gotten file certificates, they don't actually check on the rent registrations and so therefore, you have a lot of people in this city who are suffering because they're paying way too much under the rent stabilization on 421-a buildings. There's a lot of thieving going on, and I live in Williamsburg and believe me, we've got lots of landlords who are doing it. Some who have sued; most people decide not to sue and just move, and that also brings up the 20%

committee on Housing and Buildings Jointly with the committee on Finance 6 vacancy decontrol; that when you vacate an apartment, you get a bump up, which encourages the landlords to get people out, because 20% is a lot better in the last couple years than 0%. So it's up to you to change the system so that you have something that's fair for everybody.

2.2

2.3

NANCY SHER: Hi, my name is Nancy Sher.

I live at 125 Court Street. I've been here once
before and told you my story, ProPublica has told you
my story and nothing has changed. As far as I'm
concerned, the system is rigged. I think HPD is a
zombie agency and I think in tandem with HDC,
Department of Finance and DHCR, they have wrecked so
much misery on so many people and the only people to
benefit are the real estate.

I'm going to abandon my original testimony because I found the HPD and Department of Finance testimony so fantastic that I actually felt like I was living in an alternative universe.

Nothing they said has resonated at 125 Court Street, but then again, Two Trees is a big developer with the sophisticated lawyers and the resources to run roughshod over all their tenants. She said you know, all affordable living tenants were overcharged. They

tried to evict an 86-year woman using falsified evidence. These people have not gained the public trust and I would like to know if HPD and Department of Finance are going to go and try and claw back over 10 years of misbegotten tax benefits that they've never qualified for.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In addition, they set the initial rent; In the case of 125 Court Street, they issued an initial rent schedule, but it was fraudulent because they didn't have the information they needed. I mean Why wouldn't they lie? There's no Two Trees lied. penalty for lying or misrepresenting or fraud. There's no penalty. So, they said like their commercial rents were \$1.18 per square foot. fit into the statutory formula. My apartment alone; I've had C Violations, which means hazardous; repair within 24 hours because of broken floors and toxic mold since 2011, and I had children living with me and you can't find those violations on HPD site anymore; not because a floor has been repaired. because HPD calls Two Trees and, "Oh, yes, we repaired that." They don't verify, so they take it off. It's just... they changed over 2,000 rent registrations at DHCR unsupervised and created a

whole other fantasy and story about 125 Court Street. My neighbor, who was in on this fight with me, was just forced to move. His rent went from \$3,700.00 to \$6,700.00 in one lease and the court said yes, that's okay.

2.2

2.3

You know I just really feel the system is rigged and we're dealing with corruption, incompetence, collusion and racketeering, and I thank you, Mr. Williams, for your efforts on behalf of tenants you know, to try and get to the bottom, but it's a cesspool. It's a cesspool and hopefully Bharara will shine; will be successful. [background voices, laughter]

BENJAMIN DULCHIN: So thank you, Chair
Williams and other council members. I hope you don't
mind if I address myself directly to the gentleman on
your left, who, because he has the gavel, is clearly
the one in charge of the hearing. [laughter]
Hopefully, he will respond.

So my name is Benjamin Dulchin. I'm the executive director of the Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development. We're the umbrella organization of 101 neighborhood-based affordable housing economic development organizations

across all five boroughs, and I'm here today to testify in favor of Intros 1359 and 1366. You know, we all know the problems with oversight enforcement of 421-a; the ProPublica stories were unfortunately confirmed and what we already knew to be the case, which is that the city was you know, lax, to put it nicely, in their enforcement of 421-a affordable units.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I listened with great interest to HPD's testimony this morning and to (inaudible) testimony. It is certainly encouraging to hear that since 2014 they have been putting in place some measures to try to understand and track and enforce where there are affordability benefits within 421-a, but I think the fact that in a program that started in the late 1970s; that for the first time in 2014 there's really any kind of attempt at enforcement speaks volumes for the problem and really calls out for the need for some kind of structural audited enforcement, as is proposed by these city council bills. We simply cannot leave it up to the interest of any one administration or other administration what their level of interest is going to be to enforce 421-a. It has to be required by law. There has to be a

mandated oversight. Even if the program does not come back; even if it remains suspended, which is probably unlikely, we're going to have 421-a buildings on the books for the next 25 years, and probably long after that. Even if we sort of think that the current steps being taken by this administration are an improvement, nothing is the same, but the administration or the one after that will effectively oversee the affordability benefits and so the city council can and must step in with these (inaudible) approach of requiring audits and oversight.

2.2

2.3

Just sort of by way of a little bit of context; I mean just what I think what folks already know, the need to maintain some kind of public affordability benefit is absolutely crucial, just give the overwhelmingly ineffective nature of the program. In an ANHD study in fiscal year 2014, New York City deferred about \$1.2 billion in that year alone on 421-a benefits, covering some 153,000 units overall, of which about 12,500 were affordable in any measure. What you end up with then is a cost per affordable unit that is about five times what any other program in New York City costs. It is [chime]

committee on housing and buildings jointly with the committee on finance 11 by a factor of five the most ineffective most expensive affordable housing program, if you can call it that, in this city and to not even enforce the most minimal affordability benefits is really a crime against the public. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

I want to say good afternoon. Just checking the time. My name is Ellen Davidson and I am a staff attorney at The Legal Aid Society and I want to start by saying thank you to Chair Ferreras-Copeland; to Chair Williams and also to Chair Williams and Council Member Levin for their bills that would require auditing of 421-a buildings to ensure compliance with both rent registrations and affordability requirements.

I've written my testimony. We support the bills. They're vitally important. I want to make a couple of points. I think it is a wonderful thing that the city is threatening revocation of benefits to landlords who have not complied with the law. I think it's great that in the city's press release that they mention that even if benefits are revoked, tenants remain rent stabilized. I find it troubling that once again, there seems to be no

notification going to the tenants that they are rent stabilized and once again, it will be up to the tenants to figure out that their buildings have lost benefits and that they remain rent stabilized and to enforce their own rights. It's fairly typical that tenants are always responsible for enforcing their rights and I think that is just really too bad.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Also again, I think that having an auditing of the bills is incredibly important. thing that is also troubling to me is that HPD seems not to follow the part of the 421-a law, which says that a first rent in a 421-a building cannot be preferential, so the first rent in a 421-a building is supposed to be the rent that is charged and paid. That means that the landlord cannot then go and if he's charging \$1,800.00, cannot then go and register the rent at \$4,000.00. That happens all the time and HPD has completely ignored that part of the law and said that it's up to DHCR to enforce it and DHCR says it doesn't enforce the law. So that is I think something that... so even if the buildings are being registered, they're being registered in a way that violates the law, which completely harms tenants, and that is a real problem.

2.2

2.3

And then the third thing: I know we are not here to talk about the 2015 law and whether or not it should or shouldn't be suspended, but I do want to put on the record and so for your own edification to understand that one of the changes that went into effect in 2015 is that after 2015 any building that's built under those new laws, market rate units can be deregulated upon vacancy. This is for buildings that are built after the 2015, which [chime] means all of the market rate units, [chime] which again, the city just told you would be \$4,000.00, will all be deregulated upon first vacancy and so they won't have to register those units, and will be in compliance with the law for not

Anyway, you have my written testimony. I appreciate the opportunity to testify today.

registering, which is again, pretty troubling.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I know we're going to have one more person to testify and I want to just have her come up to the table as well to give her testimony.

But I did have a couple of questions. Miss Eisenberg, you said HPD testified that they didn't check...

1	committee on housing and buildings jointly with the committee on finance 14
2	[interposing]
3	MISS EISENBERG: Right.
4	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Uhm
5	[interposing]
6	MISS EISENBERG: They said that
7	[interposing]
8	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: What year was
9	that?
10	MISS EISENBERG: Last year. It's uhm
11	[interposing]
12	NANCY SHER: 2016.
13	MISS EISENBERG: 2016.
14	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So they testified
15	to the opposite, so what are you saying that they
16	said at the… where was this?
17	MISS EISENBERG: This was in Housing
18	Court and it was Two Trees versus Bromber
19	[interposing]
20	NANCY SHER: Oh, Goodman and Bromberg.
21	MISS EISENBERG: Goodman and Bromberg.
22	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And what did they
23	say exactly?
24	MISS EISENBERG: Excuse me?

testimony and I believe we have a copy.

about the preferential rent. Now normally I would

1	committee on housing and buildings jointly with the committee on finance 17
2	recommend putting a bill in or something to be given
3	that information during the lease, but most tenants,
4	unfortunately, don't read the lease, so is that a
5	good way to get the information to them? What would
6	be a better way to get that type of information to
7	the tenant?
8	ELLEN DAVIDSON: We've had this
9	conversation about whether tenants read their leases
10	or not. I actually think that since part of the
11	requirements of the 421-a law is that tenants get
12	notification in their leases that their rent
13	stabilized because of the 421-a law and often that
14	does not happen, I do think that this needs to be
15	part of the notification that comes
16	[interposing]
17	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: In the lease?
18	ELLEN DAVIDSON: In the lease. I do.
19	Many of clients do read their leases.
20	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: They read the
21	lease and the riders and all the
22	ELLEN DAVISON: How else do you get
23	information? I mean I never have a problem with
24	requiring agencies to send out letters

[interposing]

18

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay.

ELLEN DAVIDSON: That are outside the leases. Certainly you know, in the case of the J-51 tenants where the letter was sent to the landlords by DHCR, saying by the way, these units should be regulated and no one told the tenants.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Maybe an independent letter outside of the agency.

[crosstalk]

ELLEN DAVIDISON: We did urge the agency at that point to send a letter to the individual tenants they declined. So I also think though, and I was at a hearing in February where Council Member Kallos had a bill that would allow tenants to look at one database to see the regulatory statuses of their buildings. Strongly support that; for a tenant to be able to look up their building and understand all the facts about their building, which gives them at least an opportunity to do a little bit more research and perhaps in that site you know, and if they did that site, there would be a way to like click on and get some more information about what that means; what some of the rules are. That's another way to do it,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

committee on housing and buildings jointly with the committee on finance 19 but you know, as much information that you can get to tenants as possible is I think essential in any...

[crosstalk]

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Uhm...

[crosstalk]

ELLEN DAVIDSON: Way.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And just for both you and Mr. Dulchin: they testified to a lot of activity going on that sounds really good in terms of enforcement. I'm surprised to hear someone testify that nothing's happened in their buildings. What they testified to, have you been feeling that on the ground and have you been seeing any of that activity occurring within any buildings that you're working with or with any of the organizations that are working with these buildings?

don't know that this is exactly the type of work they said they were doing, but we are representing a pretty big building in the Bronx where the tenants never received the required notices and the landlord announced last year that the 421-a benefits were ending this year and therefore, everybody was going to be evicted or rents were going to be raised by

1 committee on housing and buildings jointly with the committee on finance 2 100%, which again, is not allowed by law, but once

3 again, it's the tenants who had to ... we had to bring

4 the case in Bronx Supreme explaining that 421-a

5 requires that tenants get notice they are rent

6 stabilized because of the 421-a benefits and that

7 rent stabilization will end and they're supposed to

8 get that notice in each and every lease and if they

9 don't get that notice, they remain rent stabilized.

10 So it's possible that... I mean it sounds like what HPD

11 | is saying that big landlords like Two Trees and like

12 | the landlord that we're dealing with in the Bronx do

as much as possible to comply with some of the law,

14 | but still figure out ways of both overcharging

15 tenants and getting away without providing required

16 notifications.

17

18

19

25

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: What building was that you...$

ELLEN DAVIDSON: It's been in the paper.

I can get you that information. It was a case that

21 Legal Aid and Legal Services Bronx brought together

22 | in the South Bronx.

BENJAMIN DULCHIN: But we... the ANHD

24 organizations have not noticed any additional change.

We're certainly willing to believe that there is more

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE conscientious oversight by HPD now. In none of the buildings that we're working in or researching have we noticed any kind of additional enforcement. may be happening, but it's certainly not happening in a way where tenants who are currently covered in rent, so housing conservation coordinators on the West side of Manhattan did quite an important study in their own neighborhood, and as far as I know... and when they looked in great detail prior to 2014 at rent units that should have been rent regulated that were where that the tenants did not understand that they had that right and did not seek to have that enforced. As far as they're aware, none of the tenants in their neighborhood who should have been rent regulated have been notified by HPD, so I suspect that whatever they are starting at HPD has not yet gotten out more broadly.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I would also just point out one sort of additional thing, which I think is of an important new set of facts on the ground now around 421-a, and that is that we're in this sort of odd moment of the suspension of the program and the assumption has been from the beginning that it was in some way that a tax abatement was necessary for the active functioning of

22 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE the market and that new construction you know, may be in high-rent neighborhoods, but certainly in low-rent neighborhoods would drop off dramatically without We've never really got a chance to observe what would happen. There was never a control group for this because 421-a has been a fact of life every minute of the year for the last 40 years. For the last six months, since January, we've actually had a controlled experiment on this to see what happens to the markets without 421-a and two things had become readily apparent. One is that the construction markets have not died. In fact, new things are being built you know, and they are being built across the city. I think even REBNY you know, knew that was likely to be the case given how strong rents are, but both this administration and REBNY have assured us with absolute 100% sort of surety that there would be no new rental construction in the weaker markets of the outer boroughs without 421-a. In the last couple months this has apparently clearly become not the The Furman Center has issued a white paper showing that new construction permits in the last quarter of 2016 returned to their 2014 levels, which is very significant. It means that ...

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

[crosstalk]

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Well...

[crosstalk]

BENJAMIN DULCHIN: New construction is...

[crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Some of the pushback has been that... I would love to see this, but the applications for those permits were in the works already. Do you know if that's the case or these are just brand new...

[interposing]

BENJAMIN DULCHIN: These are brand new, so we saw there was a huge spike prior to June 2015. There was a huge spike in permits as everyone tried to get sort of their permits done. There was then a dramatic drop off right after that and the question was would that drop off continue because so many applications had come in prior to June 2015 or would it revert to sort of more natural 2014 levels? We thought it would take a while for it to revert back to 2014 levels. By the last quarter 2016, it has reverted to 2016 levels, significant... I'm sorry, 2014 levels. What's interesting about this, and this is why I think this is sort of such an important moment

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 24
for everyone to sort of step back and look at what
the impact is, the clear understanding has always
been by policy, folks, that at some level 421-a has
served to juice land values, right? That the
existence of 421-a, right; in a city where the rental
market is so hot; you know, where the market is so
active that essentially the fact that 421-a gets
baked into the land prices in an unnatural way. So
the important thing to look at would be without 421-
a, right; and we see that new construction is back a
pace, but why? What else has changed? And so the
last quarter's data from real estate firms looking at
the price per square foot of new development sites
shows that in weak market neighborhoods in the outer
boroughs, right; where you actually would want 421-a
hypothetically to incentivize new development in
those neighborhoods, right; the price per square foot
of development sites, which had been shooting up for
the last number of years, has absolutely flat lined,
meaning that without 421-a it is now less expensive
to purchase land for development sites.

[interposing]

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Mm-hm.

BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Which is what makes
new construction possible in those neighborhoods
without a tax exemption.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Oh, so it...

[crosstalk]

BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Which is like ...

[crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [inaudible]

itself.

2.2

2.3

BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Yeah, which suggests that 421-a has been sort of deeply unnatural and has created an unnatural development environment and that without it, in fact, affordability returns more naturally to the neighborhoods where you would want more development affordability and that without 421-a our weaker outer borough markets are looking more like what they should like with all the development that we'd want to see, but without the tax exemption and without the wasted tax exemption, which everyone acknowledges is simply wasted in the higher market neighborhoods. And again, 421-a... you know, markets are complicated. The impact of 421-a is complicated. You know, it's not... I suspect that the facts are a little more nuanced than what I'm presenting, but

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE this is a significant moment for everyone to just 2 3 sort of step back and look and see what have we learned in the last six months about what 421-a does 4 or doesn't do and therefore, how much should we be spending on it? What is it actually accomplishing 6 7 for the taxpayers of New York, given that we are spending \$1.2 billion a year on it and with the new 8 proposals on the table from REBNY you know, easily another half a billion to a billion more per year. 10 Well, thank you 11 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: 12 for that and if you can... I'm sure the committee can 13 find it, but if you can get us the white paper, I'd 14 love for the committee to take a look at that, 15 I know that a council member has a question. 16 [interposing] 17 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Just one question. 18 [interposing] 19 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And then we're going to hear from Miss Nicholson for her testimony. 20 21 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Have you been able to do... to start off on that last line; that last 2.2 statement there or series of statements. Have you 2.3 been able to do an analysis... you know, obviously as

you've indicated before, 421-a is an extremely

24

BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Mm-hm.

that with the money saved if there was no 421-a or if there had been no 421-a, with the money saved and increased tax revenue for buildings that don't have the 421-a, if that were to be put in a lockbox or a dedicated funding stream, would it work even with the cost of site acquisition because land is very expensive obviously in New York and you know and how would that relate in terms of you know, only producing affordable housing in parts of the city where the land costs are lower?

BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Well, so I think there's... so that's a complicated question and so my answer is imperfect. I think there's two answers to that, Council Member. One is that if you look at the June 2015 revisions to 421-a, right; which is most likely going to be the basis of what is renewed when and if something is renewed...

[interposing]

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Mm-hm.

2.2

2.3

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 BENJAMIN DULCHIN: The expectation is 3 that in the hotter market neighborhoods, you actually won't have a lot of 421-a development. You're going 4 5 actually have developments go condo in those neighborhoods; pay their taxes as they should, right; 6 because what you're foregoing in tax revenues in hot 7 market neighborhoods is really simply not worth the 8 small amount of affordability that you're getting. So where are you going to be... according to the city 10 11 where you're going to be capturing the affordability is in the softer market; sort of the softer outer 12 13 borough.

[interposing]

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Mm-hm.

BENJAMIN DULCHIN: You know, parts and certainly the more northern parts of your district. There the affordable AMI was set at 13% of area median income, which is about \$2,800.00, which is...

[interposing]

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah.

BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Far above what the actual markets rents are in those neighborhoods, so in fact, the supposed affordability benefit that's being created as...

1	committee on housing and buildings jointly with the committee on finance 29
2	[crosstalk]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So you're saying
4	in the areas closer to the waterfront.
5	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: In the areas away from
6	the waterfront, right; into the softer parts in the
7	more outer borough parts [inaudible]
8	[crosstalk]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: The AMIs are going
10	to be 130% AMI?
11	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Correct.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Up from 60?
13	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Up from 60, yep.
14	ELLEN DAVIDSON: It's developer choice.
15	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Yeah, it's developer
16	choice, so Option A is range. There's three options
17	and essentially developers will be choosing either
18	Option A or Option C most likely. Option A is 25%
19	and it's a range from 40 to 50 to 60. Option C is
20	going to be the most likely one in the less strong
21	markets and
22	[crosstalk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Mm-hm.
24	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Those it's 30%
25	affordable at 130% of AMI and in fact, in the Option

1 30 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 2 A neighborhoods, at least under the proposal that was 3 you know, passed by Albany in 2015 and then 4 suspended, it is you're not likely to have a great 5 quantity of 421-a development in those neighborhoods because in those neighborhoods a developer is more 6 7 likely to go to [inaudible] 8 [crosstalk] 9 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Mm-hm. BENJAMIN DULCHIN: The numbers work out 10 better that way, so in fact, what was being shown as 11 12 being the benefit is not enormous. You know, in 13 terms of the tax dollars, alright, so in 2014, right; 14 about \$58,000.00 in deferred tax dollars per year per 15 unit was spent for every affordable unit. That makes 16 it by a factor of five the most expensive affordable 17 housing program we have on the books. There are 18 better ways to spend that money for affordable 19 housing. You know, we're certainly in a circumstance 20 now where we don't have unlimited city on land. 21 [crosstalk] 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah, we don't 2.3 have... [crosstalk] 24

BENJAMIN DULCHIN: To build on to say ...

1	committee on housing and buildings jointly with the committee on finance 31
2	[crosstalk]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Any city on land
4	at all.
5	[crosstalk]
6	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: To say the least, but
7	you certainly do need to incentivize the private
8	market in some way, right? Any robust affordable
9	housing program in the city
10	[crosstalk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. I mean
12	[crosstalk]
13	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: We've got to find a
14	way to leverage the private market, but this is you
15	can do it
16	[crosstalk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right.
18	[crosstalk]
19	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: More effectively than
20	\$58,000.00 a year.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, I mean it's
22	a challenge because we just the reality on the
23	ground is different from where it was even a decade
24	ago, but definitely different from where it was 20
25	years ago. If you go to a neighborhood like

1	committee on housing and buildings jointly with the committee on finance 32
2	Bushwick, there were 1,700 vacant lots probably in
3	1982 and there is you know maybe like 50 left.
4	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Yeah. No and it's
5	certainly it is scarce now, right? So I mean
6	[interposing]
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Let alone city-
8	owned lots, which there are
9	[crosstalk]
10	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Yeah.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Which there are
12	[crosstalk]
13	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: So again, I think we
14	do
15	[crosstalk]
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Probably few of.
17	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Yeah, that's
18	absolutely the case, right? I mean so there are I
19	think a couple years ago we did a study that showed
20	that under the current zoning you can build around
21	7,500 units of housing in the city-owned land that
22	was currently controlled by HPD. I'm not sure where
23	that is now, right?
24	[interposing]

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah.

1	committee on housing and buildings jointly with the committee on finance 33
2	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: So it is pretty small.
3	[crosstalk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Does that include
5	like neighborhood gardens and stuff?
6	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: That does not that
7	does not include theirs.
8	[crosstalk]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Oh, no, okay.
10	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: They would get mad at
11	us for that. Actually I think we took a percentage
12	of the gardens and assumed that they would be
13	developed, but it certainly is small.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Mm-hm. A
15	miniscule
16	[crosstalk]
17	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Yes.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: In the right.
19	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: But the answer to that
20	then I think is
21	[crosstalk]
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Acquisition.
23	[crosstalk]
24	BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Something like
25	mandatory inclusionary housing, right; done right

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 2 with the right details, right; with the right amount 3 of affordability. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. The 5 challenge with the mandatory... [crosstalk] 6 7 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Council Member? 8 [crosstalk] 9 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Inclusionary... 10 right, that's alright. Just lastly, the challenge 11 with the mandatory inclusionary is you need the upzoning to do it, right? I mean matching it with 421-12 a was all well and good, but if you don't have the 13 14 up-zoning, you know, then you're stuck with just the 15 421-a. 16 BENJAMIN DULCHIN: I was going to say if 17 you took the same amount of money; the tax money that 18 we're deferring and put it into a city Section 8 type 19 program, you would have ... 20 [interposing] COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Mm-hm. 21 2.2 BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Five times the 23 affordability benefit and you can put it where you

want that it would be immeasurably more efficient.

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, we might 3 need it when this incoming administration attacks 4 Section 8, but... BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Indeed. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. 6 7 BENJAMIN DULCHIN: Thank you. 8 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Miss Nicholson, 9 can you please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but 10 11 the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to council member questions? 12 13 YOLANDE NICHOLSON: Yes, I swear to do 14 that. Thank you. 15 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. You have three minutes for your testimony. 16 17 YOLANDE NICHOLSON: Thank you. Good afternoon. It's unfortunate that Councilman Levin 18 19 left because I wanted to echo what you said. Oh! 20 Councilman Levin, my councilman. 21 In my three minutes I'll say I actually did an analysis. I have testimony prepared for 2.2 2.3 Assemblywoman Latrice Walker of the proposed 2015 421-a plans and it does not provide affordable 24

housing for civil servants; working families between

committee on housing and buildings jointly with the committee on Finance 36 60% and 125% AMI, as you have indicated, and it's just yet another big giveaway to the developers, and I'd like to share that with you and send it in, where we're sort of saying well, we're going to give 30% or something to low income families when we could do it with Section 8 housing and when you do that and you disregard enforcement for even the market rate units and the low income housing units, because we've seen that the low income housing tenants are also overcharged, you end up with something that is not achieving affordable housing in communities where working families who are teachers and civil servants and accountants want to live.

2.2

2.3

But thank you for the opportunity to testify and again, I want to thank you both for introducing this legislation, which I understand is co-sponsored by New York City Public Advocate Latisha James. We have come before you before and you've listened and we so much appreciate it. Your legislation seeks to rein in the abusive, fraudulent and otherwise illegal practices of developers that have been entrusted by New York City to construct and operate residential multiple dwellings with 421-a bond proceeds. These developers, as you know, enjoy

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE a huge economic cushion in operating these annually with millions of dollars of real estate property tax exemptions yet are not held to any level of accountability at a tenant level. With this letter I bring to your attention that I've requested that the New York State Attorney General intervene with enforcement to hold Two Trees and other 421-a developers that have flagrantly violated the law and defrauded tenants accountable under the New York State penal code and separately under New York State I've included in the package the request. Filing a false registration on the state registry is a crime. Filing of false registrations to achieve deregulation, de facto luxury decontrol of 421-a units is actually a violation of the state rent stabilization laws. The new law that was passed under the Tenant Protection Act last year, which permits deregulation of 421-a units upon vacancy; I ask the city council to intervene and ask the state to either repeal that law or amend that law. [chime] There is no reason why [chime] government funding, whether it's direct dollars or 421-a bond subsidies or tax credits or tax exemptions should be used to create 70-80% of new construction for families making

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1	committee on housing and buildings jointly with the committee on finance 38
2	over \$200,000.00 and there is no reason for luxury
3	decontrol when we have a housing crisis in New York
4	City with over 60,000 of working families sleeping in
5	the homeless shelter, according to the New York City
6	Department from the Homeless, dressing and going to
7	work in the morning, taking their children to school.
8	Children are living from school to school district
9	because they cannot afford New York City rents. I
10	firmly believe that the development at the corner of
11	Court and Atlantic was partly instrumental in this
12	artificial
13	[crosstalk]
14	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Ma'am?
15	[crosstalk]
16	YOLANDE NICHOLSON: Inflationary
17	[crosstalk]
18	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can I ask you to
19	[crosstalk]
20	YOLANDE NICHOLSON: Ride.
21	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: To close.
22	[crosstalk]
23	YOLANDE NICHOLSON: So I just wanted to
24	I would submit this testimony and I thank you. I
25	just want to say one other thing. HPD is the agency

working family man, needs to be asked and encouraged

and maybe told to have his agency help working families. They too... they most are harassed and no one is stepping up. If you go to any Housing Court, they're all being evicted.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Well, thank you for your testimony, Miss Nicholson and I know the work that you do and the advocates, all of you, for the work that you're doing. There are some alternate issues and to the tenants yourselves for coming out and putting your face and name and sharing your personal stories. We appreciate that. Thank you so much. Oh uhm...

[interposing]

YOLANDE NICHOLSON: For the opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I think the

Councilman has one more question.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. I just wanted to read one thing into the record here because Assembly Member Jo Anne Simon and I wrote a letter to DHCR, the Tenant Protection Unit back in April regarding this particular building, and I'd like the members of the city administration to hear this because this is the kind of response that we got, okay? Dear Assembly Member Simon and Council

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 41 Member Levin, thank you for your letter addressed to Commissioner Rubin in regard to 125 Court Street in Brooklyn and your request for a review of the building by the Tenant Protection Unit. As you are aware, the rent setting process for the 421-a program is conducted by the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development. Accordingly, you may want to pursue the matter with HPD first to ensure that all rents have been properly set. addition, any tenant may file with our agencies, office, or branch administration if they feel like they are being overcharged and every claim will be handled pursuant to the law. Thank you again for your letter. Please don't hesitate to reach out to the agency with any additional questions you may have. Sincerely, Richard R. White, Deputy Commissioner, TPU.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So that was the extent of the response that we got to a two page detailed letter and it highlights frankly the passing of the buck. It's a classic passing of the buck to go from one agency back to the other; city to state; state to city and so I agree with you that there needs to be real accountability and tenants need to know where they

1	committee on housing and buildings jointly with the committee on finance 42
2	can go where their claims are taken seriously and I
3	think if at the very least out of this hearing and
4	these pieces of legislation and the reporting that's
5	been done by Scozzari and his colleagues at
6	ProPublica, if anything comes out of it, that
7	includes accountability for tenants; places where
8	tenants can go and the public can go to ensure that
9	the law is being complied with. I think that that is
10	the very least that we ought to be able to do.
11	So I want to thank you very much for your
12	advocacy because I wouldn't have done this without
13	your buildings coming to my office
14	[interposing]
15	YOLANDE NICHOLSON: Appreciate it.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And advocating.
17	NANCY SHER: I just want to say one thing
18	about there should be a database tenants can go to
19	and get information, but it has to be truthful
20	information. DHCR now certifies a state document
21	that they
22	[crosstalk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: But they don't
2.4	honor the truth

[crosstalk]

NANCY SHER: Say they have not verified.

You take that to court, you can't overcome that. How do you certify a state document you've not verified?

registry and the same pass the buck happened with the Attorney General. The Attorney General I understand looked into it. They said HPD deflected to DHCR, so as a tenant; a resident. My mother and I lived in that apartment. We were evicted, as you know. I have a matter in court. The courts are confused because HPD continues to come to court and publicly deceive. That's the word I would use. So I ask the city council, who I believe has a regulatory authority and oversight over HPD, to begin to rein them in.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And I want to also for the record publicly apologize to your building because you came to me several years ago and I didn't understand the details of what was going on and so I didn't act when I should have acted, so small consolation, but I do want to for the record apologize to you or to members of your...

[crosstalk]

2.2

2.3

answers, but I'm going to try and ask them anyway.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 45

If you can just fill out the slips, please. No, I'm

just going to ask some questions, yeah.

[Pause]

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can you both please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to council member questions? Thank you so much.

So a couple of things that I heard there were a bit troubling, so I just wanted to raise them. The first one was that 125 Court Street. They didn't seem to feel that any of the enforcement that was discussed was happening in their building and I think that one of the advocates mentioned another building in the Bronx. I just wanted to know if you had any information about those buildings.

TERRI DAVIS-MERCHANT: I do not at this time. My name is Terri Davis-Merchant. I'm a senior legislative analyst with the Government Relations Group at HPD. I do not have any specific information to my knowledge about the specific instances at 125 Court Street, as well as the other building in the Bronx that's being referred to right now. I'm

committee on housing and buildings jointly with the committee on finance 46 unfortunately not authorized to speak on these matters at this time.

mention that in court many times that HPD testifies they don't have jurisdiction I guess over individual tenants to see if the individual unit is being applied properly. They'll look at the gross rent receipts and not the individual rent receipts. Do you know if that's accurate according to the law or do you know if that's accurate what's happening in the courtroom?

TERRI DAVIS-MERCHANT: Again, I am not authorized to speak on these issues and I'm definitely not authorized to speak on any matters about a subject of ongoing litigation.

You probably have the same answer, but it seems that this is about a back and forth going with DHCR. I was concerned about what Council Member Levin read into the record. So it seems that there is ping ponging going back and forth. Do you have any idea if the enforcement that was testified today... is HPD finally just saying that we accept responsibility and

2.2

2.3

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
                                                         47
 2
     stop pushing it back to DHCR in terms of enforcement
 3
     of some of the agreements?
 4
                TERRI DAVIS-MERCHANT: I think as
     mentioned in our testimony, we are continuing to work
 5
     with DHCR as best as possible to create an
 6
 7
     enforcement mechanism in order to make sure that any
     taxpayers that are receiving 421-a benefits are doing
 8
     so in a manner that is in accordance with the law.
     Again, I'm not authorized to speak on any of these
10
11
     particular matters and I would be happy to get back
12
     to you on any questions that you have.
13
                CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Well, I appreciate
     it. I don't know if you're going to add anything
14
15
     from DOF? Can I just ask how long you've been at
16
     DOF?
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [off mic] Sure,
17
18
     I've been there since May.
19
                CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Of this year.
                                                        And
20
     how long have you been with HPD?
21
                TERRI DAVIS-MERCHANT: I joined HPD in
2.2
     February 2015.
2.3
                CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very
     much. I figured that this is how it would probably
24
```

go, but I appreciate you coming back up. I think

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE what I'm going to try and do in the future for hearings like this is get a panel before administration so that administration has the opportunity to respond because I am concerned about what was said and it didn't sound like it jived completely with the testimony, although I'm sure there's good work going on, but we want to make sure that the works being done is being felt by the most affected tenants. So thank you very much. appreciate it. We don't have anyone signed up for additional testimony. I do want to mention that Council Member Ulrich was here and for the record, we have testimony submitted by REBNY, Community Development Project at UJC and Tenants and Neighbors. With that, the hearing is now closed. [gavel]

48

19 20

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

23

24

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 15, 2016