CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

----- X

October 27, 2016 Start: 10:39 a.m. Recess: 11:09 a.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: BRAD S. LANDER

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Inez E. Dickens

Daniel R. Garodnick Ydanis A. Rodriguez Margaret S. Chin Deborah L. Rose Jumaane D. Williams Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Mark Levine

Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito

Steven Matteo

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

2 [sound check, pause]

1

3 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Great. Here we go. 4 [gavel] Good morning and welcome to the New York 5 City Committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections. 6 I'm Brad Lander, Chair of the committee, and we're joined this morning by Council Member Dan Garodnick, 8 Council Member Margaret Chin, Council Member Debbie 9 Rose, Minority Leader-Leader Steve Matteo, Council 10 Member Rafael Espinal and Council Member Inez Dickens 11 and Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez, and others will 12 be joining us as well. Thank you to committee 13 counsel Patrick Bradford, as always to Chuck Davis 14 our Director of Investigations as well as Alyssia 15 Vassel (sp?), Andre Johnson-Brown and Addo 16 Knight(sp?) for all the materials that we have here. We are voting on two nominations today. In a letter 17 18 dated October 14, 2016, Mayor Bill de Blasio formally 19 submitted the name of Kenneth Mitchell to the Council 20 for our advice and consent regarding his 21 recommendation by the Staten Island Delegation for 22 appointment to the New York City Taxi and Limousine 23 Commission. If Mr. Mitchell is-receives the advice 24 and consent of the Council and is subsequently 25 appointed to the TLC, he's a Staten Island resident.

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS He'll be eligible to complete the remainder of the seven-year term expiring on January 31, 2022, and we had a good dialogue with him in particular about the needs of Staten Islanders in relationship to taxi for hire vehicles and other TLC service. And I our second nomination in a letter dated October 6, 2016, Mayor Bill de Blasio formally submitted the name of Laurie Hawkinson to the Council for our advice and consent regarding her nomination for appointment to the New York City Art Commission. She is a Manhattan resident, and would be appointed to the seat designated for an architect, and be eligible to complete the remainder of the three-year term expiring December 31, 2016. So I guess she will have to be reappointed after that, and she was I think, you know, eminently qualified for the Art Commission and showed really she's got a lot of experience working on public realm projects and answered our questions in a very thoughtful and thorough ways. We have one small item of follow up, which is that during the committee meeting on October 20th, Council Member Garodnick raised a question about the Conflict of Interest Board letter dated September 28, 2016 to Sidney Nielson, Chair of the Art Commission. The

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS letter was sent in connection with this nomination. Laurie Hawkinson has some business that her firm has done before the Art Commission, and she was seeking COIB guidance on what work she could and could not participate in, and in that letter as Council Member Garodnick noted, it was indicated that it would not conflict for the purposes introduced to the City from Ms. Hawkinson's firm. Not her directly but her firm to appear before the commission on projects sponsored by the city or by a "city affiliated not-for-profit." Council Member Garodnick asked about what is a city affiliated not-for-profit, which was not a definition or a term that any of us were familiar with. Chuck Davis and Council for the committee Patrick Bradford contacted Wayne Hawley, General Council for COIB, and he directed the committee to the COIB Advisory Opinion No. 2003-4, Page 2, and I think members all have a copy of that for a definition of City affiliated not-for-profit, and he also provided a four-page list of city affiliated not-for-profits, which is quite a fascinating list, which includes some things that you would expect like the Mayor's Fund and the Fund for Public Schools, and then also quirky things that seem to have been a long time like

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS a local not-for-profit school for young children under the category Mark Green. So it may be that COIB should clean up its list of these items, and I will say this is actually not unrelated to other legislation that the Council is currently contemplating and considering in relationship to notfor-profits, and fundraising and conflict issues with the city. That's for a future dialogue and hearing, but for now at least in terms of how it relates to specific to-specifically to Ms. Hawkinson's letter, it is quite clear what the city affiliated not-forprofits are under the COIB definition and current COIB list under which Ms. Hawkinson's firm could appear before the Art Commission, but she could not directly, and those documents have been sent to the Council by the Art Commission, and put into the record. If there's about that or anything else we can talk before proceeding to a vote. I recognize Council Member Garodnick.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

much. First of all, I just want to thank the staff of this committee and the legal team for going that extra step to answer some of the questions about the City affiliated not-for-profits. I think I certainly

```
COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS
have now a better clarity on the existence of the
list and the permissibility of an applicant like Ms.
Hawkinson doing some work for them, and so I-I
certainly will be happily supporting both candidates.
I-I did want to make the point and I think you
started to make that Mr. Chair that the list itself
is odd. The list has items on it, which you would
expect like the Bronx Children's Museum or the
Riverside Park Fund or-or even the World Trade Center
Memorial Foundation, but it also includes items like
from Council Member Allan Gerson's office Downtown
Visions, and I have spoken to his successor and this
is not a program that is, you know, well known to
her. Council Speaker Christine Quinn not-for-profit
organizations whose city funding was cut for Fiscal
Year 2009 is on this list, and as you've noted, Mark
Green a local non-profit school for young children.
So I-I-I certainly hope that the Conflict of
Interests Board will take a fresh look at the list,
and consider whether this is really the-the list that
is applicable today, and whether there should be
other groups on it, and obviously there's some legacy
items here that deserve to be removed. I think
that's something actually and I have referred this to
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS the broader Council, and also to the Chair of the Gov Ops Committee. As you know, there's a broader conversation. You know, this comes out of an advisory opinion. Not out of a local law, and I think part of the gray area is what are the rules around fundraising by elected officials. This list doesn't come as I understand it specifically from athe kind of question Ms. Hawkinson asked. generated by COIB as how to think about some rules around fundraising by elected officials in relationship to not-for-profits, and that there's some distinction between city affiliated not-forprofits like raising for the Mayor's Fund or I assume in former Speaker Quinn's case perhaps she was looking to do private fundraising to alleviated cuts the city had, and there's an argument that is in some way different from other kinds of fundraising that an elected official might do. None of that has provided any guidance by local law, though. So COIB here, part of the reason that they're big is they're trying to invent or they were trying to do-to do by advisory opinion some guidance, and I think all of that, and it's not just the list the needs cleaning up it's the framework by which local law and the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 9
advisory opinion work together to provide that
guidance, and I'm hopeful that the Council and the
Gov Ops will be taking that question up in the not
too distant future, and it's helpful now that we at
least know this opinion and list exists so we can
make sure as we do that work that we provide that
additional guidance. But in any case, I concur with
you that for Ms. Hawkinson's purpose this is
sufficient for making sure that her particular
conflicts are addressed. Any other questions or
comments before we proceed to a vote on these two
candidates? [pause] Okay. Alright, seeing no other
questions or comments, we will proceed to a vote on
these two candidates. Alright, seeing no other
questions or comments, we will proceed to a vote on
these two candidates? So, I'll ask the clerk to call
the roll.
CLERK: William Martin, Committee Clerk.
Roll call vote Committee on Rules. Chair Lander.
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I vote aye on both.
CLERK: Dickens
COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: [off mic] Aye.
CLERK: Garodnick.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Aye.

1	COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 10
2	CLERK: Rodriguez.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Aye.
4	CLERK: Chin.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Aye.
6	CLERK: Rose.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Aye.
8	CLERK: Espinal.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Aye.
10	CLERK: Levine.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Aye.
12	CLERK: Matteo.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: Aye.
14	CLERK: By a vote of 9 in the
15	affirmative, 0 in the negative and no abstentions,
16	both items have been adopted by the committee.
17	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much,
18	Billy, and we will leave the roll open for ten
19	minutes to allow other members to come and vote.
20	[pause]
21	CLERK: Continuation of roll call, the
22	Committee on Rules. Speaker Mark-Viverito
23	SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: I vote aye.
24	CLERK: The vote now currently stands at

25 | 10 in the affirmative. [pause] The final vote,

1	COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 11
2	Committee on Rules, stands at 10 in the affirmative,
3	0 in the negative and no abstentions.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: Thank you. Let
5	it be know that the Minority Leader is closing out
6	this hearing, and we are officially closed. [gavel]
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 15, 2016