CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

----- X

October 26, 2016 Start: 10:18 a.m. Recess: 6:07 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: RAFAEL L. ESPINAL, JR.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Vincent J. Gentile

Julissa Ferreras-Copeland

Karen Koslowitz
Rory I. Lancman

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Lindsay Greene, Senior Advisor

Deputy Mayor for Housing & Economic Development

New York City Office of the Mayor

Corinne Schiff, Acting Deputy Commissioner Environmental Health Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Amit Bagga, Deputy Commissioner External Affairs Department of Consumer Affairs

Frank Vega, Deputy Chief & Executive Officer Patrol Services Bureau New York City Police Department, NYPD

Jeff Lynch, Assistant Commissioner Intergovernmental and Externa Affairs Department of Transportation, DOT

John Mancuso, Deputy Director Community Affairs Department of Sanitation

Gale Brewer Manhattan Borough President

State Senator Jose Peralta 13th Senatorial District, Queens

Mahamed Assia, Food Vendor Food Vendors' Project

Sean Bazinski, Former Street Vendor Street Vendors' Project

Michael Lambert New York City BID Association Bed-Stuy Gateway Business Improvement

Ellen Baer, President Hudson Square Connection and New York City BID Association

Monica Blum, President Lincoln Square Business Improvement District

Lisa Sorin, Executive Director Westchester Square Business Improvement District

Jeffrey La Francois Director of Operations and Community Affairs Meatpacking District

Quenia Abeau, President New York Women's Chamber of Commerce

John Bonizio, Chairman Westchester Square Business Improvement District

Paige Halper, Outreach Coordinator Appearing for: Dr. Dick Carpenter Director of Strategic Research Institute for Justice

Diana Robinson, Campaign and Education Coordinator Food Chain Workers Alliance

Kishnendu Ray, Chair
Depart. Nutrition, Food Service & Public Health
New York University, NYU

Alfonso Morales, Professor Urban and Regional Planning University of Wisconsin

Ryan Thomas Devlin, Professor Public Administration John Jay College

Beazer Pitzker
Disabled Veteran Vending Advisory Committee

Robert Letterman, President Artists Response to Illegal State Tactics for Artists

Kristin Rouse
Veteran, United States Army

Barbara Morris Food Operator

Dan Rossi

Ellen Goldstein, Vice President Policy, Planning and Research Times Square Alliance

Jessica Lappin, President Downtown Alliance

Mark Dicus, Executive Director SoHo Broadway Initiative John Doyle, Senior Vice President Real Estate Board of New York, REBNY

Rob Burns, President
East Midtown Partnership and Co-Chair
NYC BID Association's Street Vending Working Group

Robert Bookman. Legal Counsel New York City Hospitality Alliance

Jack Beller, Vice President Workmans Cycles

Sante Antonelli, Director Business Services Queens Economic Development Corporation

Yanki Tshering, Executive Director Business Center for New Americans

Dave Cook, Photo Journalist

Cindy VandenBosch, Founder and President Turnstile Tours

Jeff Orlick, Tour Guide

Nelson Eusebio, Executive Director National Supermarket Association

Eddie Perez United Restaurant and Lounge Group Spokesperson, Bodega Association of the United States

Brendan Sexton, Coordinator, UFCW Local 1500 Appearing for President Anthony Speelman

Richard Lipsky
Representative for Bodegas and Supermarkets

Lindsay Doole, Appearing for Rabbi Jill Jacobs, Executive Director T'ruah, Rabinic Call for Human Rights

Cecil Fuentes
Red Hook Food Vendors

Frank Appearing for Adam Sobel Cinnamon Snail, Vegan Lunch Truck

Marlin Guinchard, Owner Chile Ganacha, Astoria Mexican Restaurant

Matthew Shapiro
Appearing for Reverend Micah Bucey
Judson Memorial Church

Michelle Birnbaum Vendor Taskforce Committee NYC Community Board 8

Morton Sloan, Co-Owner Morton Williams Supermarkets

Martin Hill Restaurant Owner

Ian Culos
New Yorkers for Street Vending Reform

Mr. Swan, Veteran and Street Vendor

Andrew Fine, Representative
East and Sixth Street Association of Manhattan

Steve Harris

Thomas Culligan
Urban Law Center, Fordham University

Leslie Ramos, Executive Director 82nd Street Partnership

Matt Bauer, President Madison Avenue Business Improvement District

Jesse Bodine, District Manager Manhattan Community Board 4 Appearing for Chair Delores Rubin

Terri Cude, First Vice Chair Community Board 2

John Morano
Throggs Neck Homeowners Association

Donde McKeller, Street Vendor Leadership Board, Chairman of the Veterans Committee

Catherine Barnett, Director Restaurant Opportunity Centers

Christine Lynch Street Vendor

Favio Ramirez Caminatti, Executive Director Del Centro Immigrante

Josh Gatewood, Food Vendor and Interim President New York City Food Truck Association Jordyn Lexton, Found and Owner Drive Change

Vicki Mao Appearing for:
Lena Alfridi, Policy Coordinator
Equitable Economic Development at the Association for
Neighborhood and Housing Development, ANHD

Lowell Vanderbilt, President Carnegie Hill Neighbors

Joe Glaser, Owner/Operator
La Bella Torte Dessert Truck

Jerry Chink, Tax & Business Consultant Food Vendor & US Army Veteran

Melissa Chapman, Vice President, Public Affairs Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce Appearing for Carolos Scissura, President Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce

David Bross Appearing for:
Natasha Lycia Ora Bannan, Associate Counsel
Latino Justice PRLDEF

2 [sound check, pause] [gavel]

3 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Good morning. My 4 name is Rafael Espinal. I'm the Chair of the 5 Consumer Affairs Committee. I am joined by other 6 members of the committee. We have Rory Lancman from Queens. We have Vinny Gentile from Brooklyn and we 8 also have some sponsors of these bills. We have Mark 9 Levine from Manhattan. We have Ydanis Rodriguez also 10 from Manhattan, and we have Andy Cohen joining us 11 from the Bronx. We have two items on today's agenda, 12 a committee vote or proposed Intro No. 1017-C relating to protections for freelance workers and a 13 14 first hearing on nine pieces of legislation related 15 to street vendors. We'll start with the street 16 vendors hearing, and pause at some point to hold the 17 vote on 1017-C. Today's first hearing on nine pieces 18 of legislation collectively known as the Street 19 Vendor Modernization Act, are related to the 20 regulation of street vending in the city of New York 21 with an emphasis on food vendors. Street vending 22 became an integral part of the city's street life in 23 the last quarter of the 19th Century long before hot 24 dogs. At a fancier time, early food vendors sold 25 oysters. Street vending has long been an important

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

entry level entrepreneurial opportunity for-for new immigrants as well as often the only viable employment option available to them. Unfortunately, since street vending's early days it has prompted complaints and concerns still voiced today. are the street vendors-these are the street create sidewalk-- These are the street vendors create sidewalk congestion, sanitation problems, are unsightly and unfairly compete with brick and mortar businesses that bear significant overhead costs. These concerns contrast with the fact that long after Mayor La Guardia called street vending antiquate, and sought to move them off the street, food trucks and carts have been and remain extremely population with many New Yorkers. In the early 1980s, the Cox Administration in response to concerns at the time capped the number of food vending permits at 3,000. That number has not changed since. By some estimates, there may-there may be as many as 10,000 food vendors operating on the city streets. to renew a food vending permit is \$200 for two years. According to news reports, the lack of new permits and the fact that many permit holders lease the permits to others had led illegal food vending and a-

2.2

2.3

and a black market for permits in which vendors are made to pay as much as \$25,000 for a two-year permit. This is a violation of the law. Meanwhile, there have been growing calls from the business community and other stakeholders for more enforcement of vending regulations. Some communities have a larger share of vendors than and attendant problems and concern such as street and sidewalk congestion, sanitation issues and others have become acute. The current approach to vendor policy does not appear to be working. The legislation before the committee today tackles this problem and what seems to be a measured reasonable effort to balance the needs and concerns of both parties.

number of permits to vend food on the streets and sidewalks of New York City releasing 600 permits each year between 2018 and 2024 plus an additional 35 permits each year for veterans. At the end of the seven years, the number of available legal permits would—would more than double. The bill will also create a dedicated vending law enforcement unit, which would exclusively enforce vending laws. It would focus first on hot spots in the city with known

2.2

2.3

vending enforcement challenges, and then move to all areas as compliance improves. The bill will also create a street vendor advisory board, which would include vendors, brick and mortar small business, representatives from the community groups and city agencies to examine the rule for duplicative unclear or unnecessary provisions. The enforcement unit and advisory board will come on board for a full year—for a full year before new permits are released to ensure we have some time to improve compliance before new permits are released. Street vendor policy has not been assessed in many years. The committee will take the opportunity to hear other bills that address common sense issues, and help to bring our vending laws up to date with our present needs.

Intro No. 1299, sponsored by myself, will provide that only applications for a mobile food vendor permit required the production of a certificate of authorization to collect state sales taxes. Currently, all person operating a food cart or truck must do so, which it appeared to be potentially duplicative and unnecessary practice.

Intro No. 72 sponsored by Corey Johnson will facil-will facilitate enforcement and enhance

2.2

2.3

transparency by requiring the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene to post on its website information pertaining to the number of mobile food vending permits issued for a push cart or vehicles specifically reserved for veterans, disabled veterans and disabled persons. Such lists will be updated at least monthly.

Member Margaret Chin would extend to consumers the same protections they expect from brick and mortar businesses by requiring mobile food vendors to post the total selling price of every item offered for sale either in print or a digital format, and would prohibit the vendor from charging more than the amount displaced. Under current law, a mobile food vending permit may at the discretion of DOHMH be transferred to a dependent spouse, domestic partner or child or general vending license will not be transferred at all.

Intro 432, sponsored by Margaret Chin as well, will provide to both mobile food vendor permits and general vendor licenses shall as a right be transferrable to a dependent spouse, domestic

2.2

2.3

partner, or child upon a death or incapacitation of
the permit or license holder.

Intro No. 1061, also by Margaret Chin, would grant an exemption to the current licensing laws, and allow individuals to sell plants and flowers on the day of the Asian Lunar New Year, and for seven days before.

Three preconsidered intros sponsored by
Ydanis Rodriguez would make the following changes:
Require all push carts be placed within three feet of
the curb as opposed to abutting the curb as currently
required. This would keep the vendor from standing
in the street, which may be dangerous. Clarify the
law by indicating the minimum distance a vendor must
maintain from bus stops, taxi stands, driveways,
crosswalks, and subway entrances. And finally, amend
the law to allow vendors to places items on their
vehicle or push cart. It is our hope that these
recommended changes may reduce confusion and
violations for vendors for infractions that neither
disturb nor harm the public or consumers.

The committee looks forward to hearing testimony from the Department of Consumer Affairs and other relevant agencies, the business community,

7

2 street vendors and other interested parties on this

package of legislation. I would like to take this 3

4 moment to invite my colleagues to speak on their

bills. Councilman Mark Levine.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. 6

Thank you for that excellent opening Chair.

8 statement. You know, we New Yorkers we practically

live in the street. We're always on the run.

usually in a hurry. We love food from around the 10

11 world. We don't like to wait, and for generations

12 street vendors have been meeting those needs, really

13 for centuries, and they've done it when and where New

Yorkers have wanted to. Along the way, they have 14

15 created a whole industry, which has provided

16 livelihoods to thousands of New Yorkers historically

17 and today as well. It's been a path to

18 entrepreneurship, to business ownership for so many.

It's really been an economic ladder for almost every 19

20 wave of immigrants to come through this city, and

21 that is true today as well. Street vending, however,

2.2 has remained frozen in time. It's really been since

2.3 the 1980s that we've updated the rules around this

industry, and the results are not working for 24

anybody. We have incredibly inconsistent enforcement 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of the street vendor rules especially in the most congested parts of the city, and we have an arbitrary cap on the number of food vendor licenses, which hasn't been adjusted since 1983, which as the Chair explained has created a thriving black market for permits, and stand for thousands of vendors to vend without a permit meaning they're not under the jurisdiction of the Health Department and safety inspectors, and—and much else, and I'm excited that Intro 1303 takes a very balanced approach to tackling these problems by creating the first ever dedicated enforcement unit that we've ever had in this city, that would be staffed at a robust level with a robust budget, and would have one and only one mandate, all day everyday, which is to enforce street vendor We've never had that in the city, and after rules. allowing that enforcement unit to get up and running for a period of a year, we would then begin to very slowly and in a measured way try to drain the black market by adding some additional licenses for food vending. This would begin until 2018, and would roll out very slowly over a seven-year period. With the idea being that this would not only drain the black market, it would bring more revenue for the city as

we increase the license fee by more than five fold. 2 3 It would also bring in vendors from out of the 4 shadows, and so they would be under the jurisdiction of health inspections and other important enforcement. Finally, we would create the city's 6 first ever vendor advisory board that would be 8 comprised, as the chair mentioned, of merchants, brick and mortar merchants, of business advocates, of community groups of vendors themselves. So that we 10 11 can closely monitor the implementation and rollout of 12 this bill, which as I explained will roll it over 13 more than seven years. This body, the Vendor Advisory Board will be tasked with creating an annual 14 15 report, and with suggesting changes or tweaks to the 16 law as they see fit once we learn how this is 17 implemented and practices. This really has the 18 potential to be a win for everybody. I strongly 19 believe it will be a win for congested commercial 20 areas that have been crying out for clear rules and 21 consistent enforcement for years. A win for vendors themselves who will have an alternative to this 2.2 2.3 incredibly exploitive black market, and win for New Yorkers who are still going to have a quick, easy, 24 affordable way to get great street food, and I'm-I'm 25

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 thrilled that we've already acquired many, many co-

3 sponsors on this bill, 14 at my last count from all

4 boroughs of the city, all kinds of neighborhoods, and

5 I'm excited to learn more and discuss more about this

6 important package today. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Council Member Levine. As I-as I stated earlier, we're going to put this hearing on pause to vote out a very important bill. Today, the committee will hold a vote on proposed intro 1017-C the freelancers and free act sponsored by Council Member Brad Lander, which establishes protection for freelance workers. An estimated 1.3 million freelance workers reside in New York City, and many of these individuals routinely face difficulty collecting payment for their services. Under current law, failure to pay a freelance worker is a breach of contract, and legal actions to recover damages are adjudicated under state contract law. This bill would enhance the legal remedies available to freelance workers in the city. In sum, the bill requires a written contract for freelance services at \$800 or more would require hiring parties to pay the freelance workers on time and in full according to the terms of the contract or

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

within 30 days of completion of the work. It would prohibit retaliation. To enforce these rights, an aggrieved freelance worker would file a civil action in state court where the hiring party will be liable for double damages, full value of contract and attorney fees and costs. The bill will created a standalone cause of action for failing to have the contract. For this failure, the hiring party will be liable to the freelance worker for damages equal to \$250 provided that that the freelance workers can prove that a contract was requested. This bill will also require the Office of Labor Standards to receive complaints from freelance workers and forward these complaints to the hiring parties along with information about the rights granted to freelance workers under this law. In addition, OLS will be required to create a navigation program to provide information and resources about the provisions of this local law, and to gather data and report on the law's effectiveness at improving contracting and I would payment practices in the freelance economy. like to invite Council Member Land-Lander to speak further on this bill.

24

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you so 3 much, Chair Espinal and for your partnership and leadership on this bill. You've got a full house 4 here to talk about vendor and vendor and vendor issues so I'll brief in my remarks in the committee 6 and talk more on the floor tomorrow. But I am 7 thrilled that with this passage of Intro 1017-C will 8 make New York City the first jurisdiction in the country to protect freelancers and independent 10 11 contractors from getting stiffed. New York City has, 12 as you say, 1.3 million freelancers, 70% of whom 13 have-report having been victims of theft of payment or late payment or being forced to accept less than 14 15 they were fully owed for an average of about \$6,000 16 per year per freelance. That's people like Elizabeth 17 McKenzie, a film production freelancer who lived on 18 bread and rolls and worked in the dark when she 19 couldn't pay her utility bills after getting stiffed 20 out \$2,500 she had earned. Or Mauricio Niebla (sp?) part of a group of 40 writers and editor cheated out 21 2.2 of a total of \$400,000 by a national publishing 2.3 company and Just Ramona a pattern maker from the Bronx, who paid the people she owed out of her 24 savings when she was stiffed, but couldn't pay her 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

rent or her phone bill. We heard many more people at the hours long hearing that you chaired, and there are many, many stories out there. Intro 1017-C, as you say, will establish a set of protections including double damages and attorney's fees, set up the Department of Consumer Affairs and its office of Labor Standards as a navigator and with an ability to process complaints, and help people get paid and also the law will strengthen people's rights in court to their right of timely payment to getting a written contract, and to being protected from retaliation. We made significant changes to the bill. Intro 1017-C, which means it's the fourth edition, and that came from changes made as a result of the hearing that you chaired. Changes raised by thoughtful concerns by members like Council Members Cohen and Lancman and others. And I appreciate, Mr. Chair, your role in helping us navigate that as well as extensive conversations with other stakeholders. I do want to especially call out the role of Freelancers Union, who played a significant role in bring this bill, this issue to our attention and working with us to develop the bill. And I'll save all my-I won't go through al the list of changes

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

except to say we increased the value of the contracts 2 3 that are covered from \$200 to \$800, adjusted the role 4 of the Department of Consumer Affairs to make it far more feasible and effective and efficient. 5 Eliminated criminal penalties, and addressed the 6 7 issue of failure to contract in a way that I think 8 members feel substantially improves and eliminates the risk of any lawsuits. I'll save my thank yous for tomorrow except to say for Freelancers Union, to 10 11 the staff of the Legislative Division including 12 Rabbani Raffman (sp?) Wesley Jones, Rachel Cordero, 13 Annie Decker and Matt Gewolb. Two late aging nights, 14 not just one, four versions and really extremely 15 thoughtful lawyering to move this along. And big thanks to my Policy Director, Annie Levers as well, 16 and with that, I'll save the rest of my remarks for 17 18 tomorrow with thanks to you and my colleagues and the

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, they did it all, (sic) but thank you to all the staff for all the work they did on this bill. Again, thank you, Brad for your leadership on protecting freelances in the city and for setting unprecedented protections for freelancers that we haven't seen in this country.

rest of the members of the committee.

First, I want to just say that the last time we dealt

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with vendors was in the 90s when I was the Chair of Consumer Affairs, and we did make some strides at that time where we had people having 500 licenses or We passed a law that one license to one vendor as the vendor is their own boss. And I was very happy to work with this bill. In fact, I see Jim Caras (sp?) who was my counsel at the time. So now I have a little bill that's not a big deal that-to show pricing of all items that the food vendor sells. know many of them do, but not all of them. So I am proposing the bill that will be that all vendors price-have the price list of all the foods that they sell. I think this is fair to the public that they know when they go over to a food vendor if they want to buy frankfurter, the frankfurter is a dollar. me, I know it's more, but a dollar for me and a dollar for everyone else that comes to the food So that is my proposed bill for today. vendor. CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you Council

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: I'd like to thank first of all the staff and Speaker Melissa

Mark-Viverito, Council Member Levine and the rest of

the council members who are putting this package of

Member Koslowitz. Council Member Ydanis Rodriquez.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

legislation together. One thing that I would like to say is that first of all we recognize that business owners, small business owners you make the first-the large and most important social jobs in our city. the same time, I'm happy to see voices not only from the street vendors, but business owners, business improvements districts, and the whole administration to understand that it is time to do a reform, a reform that we hope will be good for everyone. For a street vendor to have the opportunity to continue making a living. Those of you sitting back there I know that working 12 hours a day you are raising the new child that is going to be the next council member or will be the next president of our city. As also, we recognize that the business owner they also need more protection. As we have heard, there is a black market that persists in our city when it comes to a street permit. We make our hardworking residents vulnerable to arrests as well as the whims of whoever owns the permit they are operating under. importance of-the important reforms we discuss today are about lessening the impact of these markets and offering more protection to a street vendor that have always been a part of New York City's street life.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We also know that there's must-that there must be a level of enforcement that defines the balance between street vendors, business owners and the public. I think that these reforms go a long way to meeting those goals, and I'm glad the Council is finally tackling these problems. My bill in this package will help to make street vendors safer and clear up some of the old rules that no longer make sense. need to be sure of the economy opportunity in the-in the street flow while ensuring the safety of our residents in the fiscal hope of our assisting a small break in mortal business remains intact. I'm eager to hear from industry representatives and the administration, and I thank the Chair of this committee and the Speaker for their leadership. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Council
Member Rodriguez. For our first panel I'd like to
invite the Administration represented by Lindsay
Greene from the New York City Mayor's Office; Corinne
Schiff from the Acting Deputy Commissioner for
Division of Environmental Health; Jeff Lynch,
Assistant Commissioner to Intergov and Community
Affairs, DOT; Amit Bagga, Deputy Commissioner of

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DCA; Deputy Chief Frank Vega from NYPD; Lieutenant

Domin-Domino from the-the NYPD. Would all please

raise your right hand for an oath. Do you affirm to

tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the

truth in your testimony before this committee, and

PANEL MEMBERS: [off mic] Yes.

respond honestly to Council Member questions?

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. You may begin.

LINDSAY GREENE: [coughs] Good morning. Thank you Chair or Chairman Espinal and members of the Committee on Consumer Affairs. I am Lindsay Greene, Senior Adviser to the Deputy Mayor for Housing and Economic Development. I work closely with several agencies that are involved with economic development, public space and business opportunity including the Department of Consumer Affairs, the Department of Small Business Services, and the New York City Economic Development Corporation among others. I am joined today by several colleagues from various city agencies that touch mobile food vending and mobile vending including DOHMH's Careen Schiff, Acting—Acting Deputy Commissioner for Environmental Health; DCA's Amit Bagga, Deputy Commissioner for

External Affairs; NYPD's Deputy Chief Frank Vega, 2 3 Executive Officer Patrol Services Bureau, and DOT's 4 Jeff Lynch, Assistant Commissioner for Intergovernmental and External Affairs. Each of thee 5 individuals will provide direct testimony today, and 6 7 we are joined by many of their esteemed colleagues for Q&A. Additionally, members from SBS and our 8 Department of Environmental Protection are on hand for Q&A as well as they interact albeit less directly 10 11 with mobile vending. We are pleased to be representing Mayor Bill de Blasio's Administration 12 13 here today. Thank you for inviting us to testify on 14 the package of bills known as the Street Vendor 15 Modernization Act. These bills specifically focus on 16 mobile food vending, which has been a part of the New 17 York City landscape for over 150 years. We would all 18 agree that vending is important to the city in 19 multiple ways. It is a colorful part of our cultural 20 landscape due to the major past economic 21 opportunities for veterans, immigrants and recent arrivals to New York and its vibrancy, variety and 2.2 2.3 entrepreneurial spirit contributes to our overall as the food capital of the world. Currently, the city's 24

vending landscape includes several categories:

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

carts, non-motorized carts selling various foods, or all trucks including our produce green carts, et cetera. Food trucks, motorized and-and mobile trucks selling food, which are classified as similarly food in the law. General vending, which consists of individuals at non-mobile or staging is typically tables with displayed items for sale such as handbags and the like. It also includes First Amendment vendors, non-motorized vendors again usually on table selling books, music and art including paintings, prints, photographs and sculptures. Veteran general vendors. Again, general vendors who happen to be vets and who have the special cap for general vending in some instances. And disabled veteran vendors, which include general or food vendors who are disabled veterans who are licensed specifically by the State and general business law. General vendors are required to only have licenses, which are issues by the Department of Consumer Affairs. Food carts and food trucks receive licenses for the person working on the cart, and the permit for the cart or truck itself from the Health Department. There is a cap on the number of health permits for carts of approximately 40-4,100 excluding the 1,000 that are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

set aside for green carts. The non-green cart food permits are broken down into specific subgroups including 2,800 full year citywide permits, 100 citywide permits exclusively for the (1) disabled veterans; (2) persons with disabilities; and (3) veterans in that order of priority as well as 200 full year borough specific permits with up to 50 permits for boroughs other than Manhattan, and 1,000 citywide seasonal permits, which are valid from April through October. There are on the general vending front there is a cap on citywide licenses of 853. There is also a cap on specialized general merchandise vending licenses issues to disabled veterans pursuant to state general business law of 105 for Midtown only, and no limit for elsewhere in the city. There is no cap on the number of general vending licenses that may be issued to veterans. should be noted that a large amount of vending activity that exists it's either general Merchandise vending or personal vending, which includes artists selling their own or other's art. As I mentioned, the majority of the bills today are focused on food vending and do address other types of vending. our comments will focus obviously on food vending

2 primarily, but will also address how the other

3 categories of vending interact and create issues we

4 must address when talking about any vending

5 | regulatory report.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

First, a bit about where vending is taking place. While we do not have the precise count of the amount of street vending activity that occurs, we know that it is widely varied, and incredibly dense in many major commercial corridors in multiple boroughs. Through a review of complaint inspection and violation and other data for mobile food vending, we have identified several key hot spots in places of major activity including a large part of Manhattan south of 96th Street, Sunset Park in Brooklyn, particularly Fourth and Fifth Avenues, Bushwick and in Brooklyn particularly along Knickerbocker and Wyckoff Avenues, as well as Jackson Heights, Roosevelt Avenue, and Flushing and Main Street in Queens and Fordham Road in the Bronx. As previously mentioned, DOHMH is responsible for permitting of food vending units as well as health and safety inspection and issuances of licenses for food vendors. DOHMH also permits and inspects food vending commissaries of which there are approximately

100 in the city today. Commissaries are the physical 2 3 spaces where all food carts must, according to city 4 and state law, be taken for cleaning, maintenance and storage when not in use. DCA licenses general 5 vendors and facilitates all license and permit 6 7 renewals for all classes of vending and fields a 8 large majority of vending complaints, which are then referred to NYPD and DOHMH as appropriate. Police Department enforces vending on a day-to-day 10 11 basis, particularly for on a non-inspection basis and issues violations to both general and food vendors. 12 13 These are the core agencies that are interacting with 14 mobile food vending, but in totality there are many 15 more tough points and a vast expanse of underlying 16 laws and rules governing street vending. 17 totality, there are several city agencies directly 18 involved in some aspects of vending regulations from 19 licensing and permitting to enforcement and adjudication including DCA, DOHMH, NYPD, DOT, DEP, 20 FDNY and DSNY. The direct regulatory framework 21 involves multiple sections of the Administrative 2.2 2.3 Code, the Health Code, the rules of the city of New York, and also the New York State Sanitary Code and 24 the New York State General Business Law. 25 This is a

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

complex web of regulations and age-and agencies that have made any change in the area very difficult in the past. This complexity creates massive data inconsistencies throughout various city systems, and produces a set of vending guidelines that our agencies are striving to enforce. You will hear from many of these agencies in direct testimony today to gain insights into-into the specifics of these various regulatory topics and how things are currently playing out on our streets today. Generally speaking, we agree that the system preventing regulation we currently have could benefit from a thoughtful and diligent review. We support the Council's efforts to examine this important issue, and look forward to working with the various stakeholders to consider the results of this review, and if necessary discussing improvements that work for everyone. We feel that these bills are the firs step in that direction. I will begin by addressing the major bills overall, and my agency colleagues testifying with me will offer additional feedback per their areas of expertise. Generally speaking, we recognize that vending is a business and vital economic opportunity for those that do it, especially

2 immigrants and veterans. We must also acknowledge 3 that regulation and enforcement of vending activity 4 is incredibly important as well. Enforcement and 5 regulation topics in particular matter a lot to a wide range of stakeholders including vendors 6 7 themselves and also local community boards, business improvement districts, local property and business 8 owners and, of course, our elected officials. Vending is a vital part of the city landscape, and we 10 11 must make sure that it is legal, safe and works well 12 for everyone. Regarding the proposed increase in 13 permits, one of the major aspects of this collection 14 of bills is this proposed increase. We are 15 supportive of the notion that legal vending is 16 obviously better than illegal vending. However, we 17 cannot truly assess the impact of the proposed 18 increase in permits without understanding the true 19 scale and scope of vending activity currently 20 happening on the ground. As much as we would like to 21 have it, we do not have a true complete vending activity map that accounts for all the various types 2.2 2.3 of vendors, their location and whether or not such activity is legal or illegal. Know that would be 24 incredibly helpful in discussing permit increases. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Accordingly, under the leadership of our Office of Operations, we are engaging in a preliminary citywide vending count starting in-starting in early November that will take approximately six weeks to complete, and we can offer more concrete feedback on the proposed permit increases then. The count will not be fully comprehensive by any means, but it will notas it will not capture seasonal vending or vending that occurs in the evening and on weekends, but it will obviously be the first sense of directional data we can acquire as a starting point. In addition to wanting to understanding the-the impact of the numbers of new permits, we feel strongly that any new permits must also come with geographic restrictions and specifications that be similar to those that we have on the books today. Further, on location we have very specific public safety and security concerns about the levels of current-current vending activity, specifically in the Times Square 42nd Street area as well as around the World Trade Center, and would like to see enhanced restrictions specifically in those areas. With regard to the timing of this-the issuance of the proposed new permit increases, we would like to utilize the

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

insights from our preliminary count to inform our feedback-feedback on timing and specific sequence of many of the milestones proposed in the bills as well. On enforcement, we-we strongly support the concept of enhanced enforcement and of more proactive enforcement. As you'll hear from NYPD and DOHMH, we do both proactive and complaint-complaint based enforcement. Currently, any new enforcement team needs to honor the current areas of expertise of the various agencies involved in vending enforcement, and generally we want to make sure to not dilute that expertise or the resources of those involved agencies. The precise structure of more enhanced enforcement is something we need to evaluate more closely, and we look forward to discussing that along with all the relevant stakeholders. We feel very strongly that a complete review and overhaul of the City Admin Code as it relates to vending is worth considering, review recommendations to this effect, as the primary goal of the proposed Advisory Board in its first year. Some of the bills proposed here contain several so-called cleanup items, but we do not think making changes on a piecemeal basis is productive and would rather make changes

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

comprehensively. We agree that regulations need to be simple and straightforward in one section of the code and easy to teach and train. As you will hear from DOHMH, we think a major amount of attention needs to be given to commissaries of which there are currently roughly 100, a number which we do not feel is adequate to support an expansion of permitted vendors. Without additional commissaries, carts and trucks have no place to be safely stored, which also creates a public safety concern. We agree that any street vendor advisory board should include multiple city agencies and external stakeholders, and we are still formulating our thoughts on what that—what that composition might best be, and we will share that with you over the coming weeks as we discuss other details of the bill package. We generally think that the idea of using several zones across the city to try out and study the impact of some new vending rules and regulations has merit. Choosing those areas is a complex process that needs to take into account among other things areas of concentrated vending activity and the variety and intensity and types of vending across the city. As such, we think the selection of those zones should involve multiple

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

city agencies as well as external stakeholders, and we think that this is a topic appropriate for the involvement of the proposed advisory board. We are supportive of more transparency in public posting of prices. We are supportive of transferring permits among family members the way businesses are handed down through generations. We also support ways to legitimize major cultural events and vending activity associated with them, but need to work on other mechanism than-than proposed here to address potential legal concerns. As I said, we recognize that the current state of mobile vending is complex and imperfect and we look forward to working with everyone to try to make those improvements. You'll hear next from several of my agency partners. from Corinne Schiff at DOHMH followed by DCA, NYPD, and DOT. Thank you. [pause]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Good morning
Chair Espinal and members of the Consumer Affairs
Committee. My name is Corinne Schiff, and I am the
Acting Deputy Commissioner for the Division of
Environment Health at the Department of Health and
Mental Hygiene. On behalf of Commissioner Bassett,
thank you for the opportunity to testify. I would

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

like to briefly review our work. I would like to briefly review our work in the mobile food vending area. The department's mission is to protect and promote the health of all New Yorkers, and when it comes to mobile food carts and trucks, that includes educating vendors about how to safely store, prepare and serve food to limit the risk of foodborne illness; inspecting carts and trucks before authorizing them to operate to check with the required equipment for safe operation is in place; and inspecting the units once they are out on the street evaluate compliance with food safety laws. On behalf of the city, we issue permits that allow people to operate mobile food units. We maintain permit waitlists, and we issue licenses that allow people to vend from these permitted carts and trucks. We also permit and inspect the city's commissaries where according to both state and city law, food carts and trucks must be taken for-for cleaning and secured when not in use. Regarding the suite of bills under consideration today, the department looks forward to working with the Council on the following issues: The first is food safety. The department's top priority when it comes to mobile vend-mobile

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

vending, is to promote the safety of food served from mobile carts and trucks. Commissaries, licensed facilities that provide required services to carts and trucks are necessary to maintain food safety standards. The lack of commissary space for the thousands of new permitted carts and trucks will need to be addressed. It is critical and required by law that every permit holder have a spot a commissary. The commissaries where carts and trucks are stored were not in use. So they cannot be tampered with out on the street where they can be properly cleaned to maintain sanitary conditions and prevent pest infestation. Where was accumulated throughout the day is disposed, and where food and supplies are available for restocking. The number of commissaries currently operating is inadequate to serve all of the new units that this legislation authorizes. These new permit holders will not be able to operate safely or legally unless new commissaries are opened.

Second, we are concerned about the unintended impact that an increase in permitted vending units could have on air quality, particularly if it results in more vendors grilling meat. Meat grilling is a significant source of air pollution in

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the city, and without controls the expansion of this type of vending could contribute to the premature morbidity and mortality associated with particle pollution. By our estimates, one additional vendor grilling meat emits an amount of particle pollution in one day equivalent to what a diesel emits driving 3,500 miles. The Council addressed this air quality concern with respect to restaurants in its recent revision to the Air Code, and it is essential that it similarly be addressed in this legislation. We know that New Yorkers care deeply about this issue. Participants in our Take Care New York community consultations last year ranked air quality as a top policy priority and we frequently receive complaints from residents about smoke and odor coming from mobile food carts and trucks. We must consider adding restrictions on grilling meat to minimize the consequences of increase particle pollution that might otherwise result from this legislation. Lastly, reforming the mobile vending industry presents an important opportunity to address matters of food policy. It's a chance to counter the abundance of unhealthy operate-options currently available in our community by increasing the

availability of healthy food choices sold from carts
and trucks. Together, we should consider setting
standards for the helpfulness of offerings and for
assuring that these units reach areas of the city
that have inadequate access to affordable, healthful
food. We should introduce measure to ensure that our
children in particular are not bombarded with only
unhealthy food offerings as the number of legal carts
and trucks operating in their neighborhoods expands.
We also want to work with the Council to mitigate the
effects that mobile vending changes may have on green
carts, which are permitted specifically to sell whole
fruits and vegetables in neighborhood with limited
access to produce, and may become a lesser use permit
if more flexible permits are made available. We
would welcome the opportunity to work with the
Council to promote ways to harness the vibrant mobile
food industry to address issues of food equity and
combat obesity and other nutrition related illnesses.
Thank you for the opportunity to testify. I'm happy
to answer questions.

[background comments, pause]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: Thank you Corinne. Good morning Chairman Espinal and members

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of the committee. I am Amit S. Bagga, Deputy Commissioner of External Affairs for the New York City Department of Consumer Affairs, and I am joined by several of my colleagues from the agency here this morning. On behalf of Commissioner Lorelei Salas, thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today about vending, an important issue not only for vendors but also for consumers, businesses and anyone who lives or works on the street where vending takes place. DCA's new mission is to protect and enhance the daily economic lives of New Yorkers to create thriving communities. We serve New York City's consumers, businesses and working families enforcing laws and providing services that address the needs of New Yorkers from their wallets to their workplaces. We thank the Council for calling today's hearing as it gives city agencies, law makers and the public an opportunity to provide comment on proposed vending related legislation. As one of the many city agencies involved in vending, we appreciate this opportunity to offer testimony. Under current law individuals who sell goods or services on our city sidewalks typically known as general vendors must obtain a license from DCA. These are vendors who

largely sell merchandise and do not sell food. 2 3 Previous City Councils concerned about potential 4 congestion of city sidewalks moved to limit the number of general vendor license that can be active at any given time. Since 1979, the total number of 6 general vendor licenses has been capped by law at 8 853. Honorably discharged veterans are able to apply for general vendor license beyond this cap. Currently, there are 1,910 licensed general vendors 10 11 in total, of which 712 are non-veterans and 1,198 are 12 honorably discharged veterans. Certain honorably 13 discharged disabled veteran vendors are granted 14 privileges to vend in areas otherwise restricted to 15 non-veteran vendors as well as honorably discharged 16 able bodied veteran vendors. These privileges are 17 granted by New York State General Business Law. DCA's 18 role in street vending is strictly limited to 19 licensing general vendors and accepting paper 20 applications from mobile food vendor license and 21 permits on behalf of the Department of Health at our 2.2 licensing centers. We play no role in the regulation 2.3 of food vendors. We have no authority of jurisdiction in determining the number of licenses 24 available to the public, which is the purview of the 25

Council. 2 Additionally, DCA does not play a role in 3 determining where and when vending can take place. 4 Furthermore, DCA does not conduct vending enforcement 5 with the exception of price posting enforcement and that, too, is only conducted on the basis of 6 7 complaints. All vending related complaints that go 8 to 311, are routed to the New York City Police Department. We also do not have the authority to confiscate goods from vendors or make any arrests. 10 11 General vending is just one of the many types of onstreet commercial activities that DCA licenses. 12 13 addition to general vending licenses, we issue 14 licenses to restaurants with sidewalk cafes, 15 individual newsstands and businesses that utilize 16 what are known as stoop line stands, which are the 17 wooden stands that are found most often in front of 18 grocery stores and bodegas to display produce and 19 flowers among other items. Currently there are 20 approximately 2,000 licensed stoop line stands, approximately 1,300 and 1,300 sidewalk cafes and 300 21 2.2 newsstands across the city. Taken together, mobile 2.3 food and general vending and stoop line stands, sidewalk cafes and newsstands can pose a variety of 24 quality of life concerns. As the licensing agency 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for many of these types of business activities, DCA hears complaints on nearly a daily basis from community boards, residents, business organizations and indeed council members and staff about such issues. In the last year we've heard significant concerns about these issues directly from council members representing Brighton Beach, Flushing and Bay Ridge among other neighborhoods. Current laws and rules subject to the jurisdiction of several different agencies allow for many different types of commercial activity to take place on the same block or set of blocks at the same time. For example, Council Member Levine. In your district on Broadway between West 97th and 125th Streets, vending, sidewalk cafes, newsstands, and stoop line stands are all permissible. On this strip in addition to vendors there are 21 licensed sidewalk cafes, seven stores with stoop line stands and four newsstands. Even in locations where vending is otherwise largely restricted and other commercial activity takes place such as parts of Main Streets in Flushing and 86th Street in Bay Ridge, we have been made aware by the local community boards and council members that unlicensed vending is commonplace and has at times

2.2

2.3

severely exacerbated other issues. At this time, no clear mechanism exists that enables the city to assess whether or not small business strips such as Fordham Road, Jamaica Avenue, Manhattan's Third Avenue, Kings Highway or Victory Boulevard are appropriate for all, any or some portion of these types of commercial activities. In fact, several agencies are involved in the process of license issuance for these types of commercial activities, and there currently exists no process by which there can be an ongoing review of the appropriate use of sidewalk space for commercial activity.

restrictions were last assessed in a substantive manner, the population of New York city was approximately 7.3 million. Today, it stands at more than 8.4 million. Additionally, a record 59 million tourists are now visiting New York City on an annual basis. Many neighborhoods bustling with bars, restaurants, and other types of commercial activity in 2016, saw a fraction of such activity in 1995. The city would greatly benefit from a legally authorized ability to revisit the scope of on-street commercial activity as we evolve and as we grow.

2 Determining the viability of any given street to be 3 open to vending requires all of us to be mindful of 4 other commercial activity on the streets. We would respectfully offer to the Council that in addition to 5 basic license issuance considerations, assessing the 6 appropriateness of a block commercial strip or 8 neighborhood for commercial activity involves questions of density, transit usage, clearance and congestion and importantly neighborhood character. 10 11 We agree strongly with the Council that the 12 regulation of street vending demands a collaborative 13 approach, and we are happy to play an appropriate 14 role as needed as part of this collaboration. 15 Identifying solutions to questions regarding vending necessarily requires input from vendors, businesses, 16 17 consumers, communities, lawmakers and regulators all 18 of whom together need to ensure that livelihoods are 19 protected, economic opportunities are encouraged, 20 particularly for immigrants and veterans; that the 21 marketplace is fair and that our streets are clean 2.2 and safe. We would all benefit from concise, clear 2.3 and consistent guidelines for the conduct of commercial activity on our streets and we look 24 forward to participating in a dialogue with the 25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank

Council, and all other relevant stakeholders about 2 3 how those can be best achieved. Before I close, Mr. 4 Chairman, we'd like to note that current DCA rules, which were promulgated under the de Blasio 5 Administration do, in fact, allow for the transfer of 6 7 general vendor licenses to family member in certain 8 difficult circumstances including the death or incapacitation of the original license holder. would also like to point out that under current law 10

[background comments, pause]

all vendors are required to post their prices.

you for the opportunity to testify today.

questions you might have.

colleagues and I will be happy to answer any

DEPUTY CHIEF VEGA: Good morning, Chair
Espinal and members of the Council. [coughs] I and
Deputy Chief Frank Vega, the Executive Officer of the
New York City Police Department's Patrol Services
Bureau. I am joined here today by Lieutenant Michael
De Maneau (sp?) Commanding Officer of Patrol, Borough
Manhattan's South Vendor Task Force. On behalf of
Police Commissioner James O'Neill, we are pleased to
join our colleagues and the administration to discuss
vendor enforcement and the proposed legislation with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you today. The Police Department recognizes that that lawful street vending is an important part of the commercial history of our city. Legal street vendors contribute much to the charm and character of our city, and what often makes New York so unique is its ability to foster economic opportunity. While the Police Department respects individuals' rights to earn a living for themselves and their families, this must be done so in an environment that complies with laws that govern. Enforcement of the city's vending laws and regulations is part of the myriad responsibilities that are entrusted to our patrol officers. As a quality of life issue—issue, each precinct's vendor enforcement is driven by 311 complaints, community concerns that are often raised at precinct community Council members, and by our own officers' observations while out on patrol. It is essential to note that illegal vending and other vending law violations are persistent complaints in the precincts that have high pedestrian traffic. addition to the general responsibilities of patrol officers, vending enforcement is also supplied by our vendor taskforce that is stationed in Patrol Bureau Manhattan South. This specialized unit is charged

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with singularly focusing on combatting illegal vending and trademark counterfeiting, enforcing both general and food vending rules and regulations as well as training members of the larger department on vending rules and regulations. Additionally, both the Midtown North and Midtown South Precincts in Manhattan have created patrol units that specifically focus on vendor enforcement. Moreover, we coordinate and provide assistance where necessary when our fellow agencies seek to take enforcement action relating to vending. While acknowledging that this is a complex regulatory scheme, the responsibility that accompanies a vending license and permit should begin with observance and respect for the city's laws and regulations. It is a policy of the New York City Police Department to issue Environmental Control Board summonses to permitted mobile food vendors. For more serious violations such as unlicensed vending, the Police Department can take criminal enforcement usually through the issuance of a criminal court summons. The City's law has also authorized the Police Department and other enforcement agencies to seize and remove property from a vendor where warranted. Today's hearing and

the legislation proposed starts a much needed
conversation on improving the vendor environment in
our city both for individual vendors and the agencies
tasked with enforcement. In some neighborhoods in
the city vending contributes to crowded sidewalk
conditions and it is essential that the possible
addition of more food carts and any potential changes
to placement restrictions do not inadvertently force
pedestrians to enter into the street. A firmer
balance must be struck between increasing the number
of food vendor permits, as well as the ability to
take timely enforcement. While we have concerns on
how some of the legislation may impact sidewalk
conditions and the quality of life in our
communities, we are pleased to be a part of this
conversation, and look forward to partnering with the
Council on this important issue going forward. Thank
you. [pause]

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER LYNCH: Good
morning, Chairman Espinal and members of the
Committee on Consumer Affairs, and other Council
Members here. My name is Jeff Lynch, and I'm the
Assistant Commissioner for Intergovernmental and
Community Affairs that the New York City Department

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of Transportation. Today, I am joined by additional DOT staff including Sean Quinn, the Senior Director of our Office of Bicycle and Pedestrian Program and Michelle Craven, our Senior Executive Director of Cityscapes and Franchises. I'm glad to be here today to discuss our tools for analyzing sidewalk congestion, and how those could be applied to vending as proposed in the bills being discussed today. would like to note that DOT currently is not responsible for the regulation or enforcement of general or food vending activity on sidewalks. Nonetheless, we recognize our role in maintain the City sidewalks as a valuable transportation asset, and that our expertise as transportation planners could bring key insights in making—in making changes to the vending landscape. There are different tools that we currently use to analyze sidewalk congestion. One tool is the pedestrian level of service analysis of proposed newsstands as laid out in DCA's rules. The specified pedestrian level of service analysis examines the width of the pedestrian clear path from the front of the newsstand to the front of the adjacent building and the number of pedestrians walking past the proposed newsstand site at peak

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

This analysis is effectively a sidewalk congestion measure for a single site directly in front of the proposed newsstand. Next, in Times Square in response to concerns from many agencies and stakeholder including the Council, we assessed sidewalk and plaza congestion in one of the densest pedestrian environments in the world to develop a regulatory framework of designated activity and pedestrian flow zones that was authorized by the Council this past spring. DOT used an expansive method for this complicated analysis of sidewalk and closet congestion through the assessment of actual pedestrian travel times under real world conditions. This tool was adapted from the tools we regularlyregularly use to measure vehicle traffic flow. DOT conducted pedestrian travel that runs through the plaza during multiple time periods and combined this data with a large amount of observational study to develop a unique and specialized regulatory framework with specific zones designed for Times Square.

Now, I'd like to discuss how these tools could be applied to vending at—to the vending issues in Proposed Introduction 1303. I want to be clear about what is possible with DOT's current tools,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

which focus on evaluating current sidewalk conditions and the impact of proposed changes at a particular location or corridor. The proposed legislation directs DOT to identify designated vending location pilot program areas based on excessive sidewalk congestion and high levels of vending activity. Our current tools described above are seated to assessing a specific site or corridor, but not practical for estimating or rating excessing sidewalk congestion throughout the city. For the selectin of these areas, DOT would only be able to rely on information about congested conditions that we already know about or have directly observed. Suggestions or requests received from stakeholders including Council Members and/or information on summons activity and complaints relating to vending from our sister agencies. Additionally, the Administration's upcoming count of current vending activity will be useful in making decisions on potential pilot zones. Broadly speaking, DOT believes that we are not best suited to select these areas, and the selection of these areas should be decided by a broader interagency group that will be able to comprehensively evaluate the range of relevant considerations after there is a clear

based on the seasonality of vendors.

2.2

2.3

picture of the actual number and location of vendors throughout the city. After specific areas are selected, our technical expertise could be very useful in analyzing sidewalk conditions. DOT would need to do—to survey the areas, conduct—conduct extensive observational studies, and develop a new tool based on some of our previous experience.

Depending on the complexity of the analysis, this could be costly and could also take up to a year

Next, I would like to touch on some of the broader challenges that could occur in any effort to relocate or reorganize where vending is permitted. As you know, more people are living, working and visiting here than ever before, and with that comes an incredible demand on our streets and sidewalks. These demands for walking, biking, driving, parking, loading and unloading, vending, commercial entertainment and other activities are often concentrated in the same busy locations in the city with a finite amount of public street and sidewalk space. As a result, some locations of heavily congested sidewalks and high vendor activity may bethat may be potential candidates for relocation, they

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

may-they may actually lack alternate locations nearby for vendors to-to be-to operate out of. Expanding the sidewalks might not be an option either. In some of these same locations where we would like to have more sidewalk space, the adjacent roadway may carry public transportation buses, and already be heavily trafficked. With areas where general or food vending is permitted or relocated from a very high trafficked area to less busy locations, vendors may have challenges making a living. And stakeholders at any alternate location may perceive such action as moving a challenge form one place to another. These are just a few examples of factors some of which and most of which are beyond DOT's purview, but need to be taken into account when determining the location of the vendors. Therefore, DOT believes that the Advisory Board in this legislation to determine the recommended framework for any potential relocation of permitted vending areas if that's determined to be needed. Additionally, I want to take this opportunity to address-address the requirement placed on DOT by this proposed legislation regarding signage. Intro-Intro 1303 would also require DOT to mount at least one metal sign on any block in the

2.2

2.3

city where vending is not allowed under Titles 17 and 20 while exempting any block without any existing street poles. (sic) In recent years, DOT has embarked on an extensive policy of reducing extraneous and unnecessary signage. We also caution the cost of installing and maintaining signage is—is not insignificant. DOT believes the tools to inform the public of vending regulations whether they be signage, pamphlets or other methods should also be reviewed by the Advisory Board on their work.

Lastly, I would like to quickly express
DOT's concerns about the two preconsidered
Introductions that focus on pedestrian clearance.
The first reduces the clearance distances for vendors
from bus stops, taxi stands, driveways, subways,
subway entrances and exits, and crosswalks, and the
second expands the distance from the curb that
vendors can operate. We feel strongly the proposed
minimum space it could pose safety congestion or
accessibility issues. It could also pose potential
conflicts with transit access. Again, this could
also be part of the—what the Advisory Board could
look at in their comprehensive evaluation of—of

2.2

2.3

vending. I want to thank you for this opportunity to testify, and I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you all.

Before we move forward, I just want to recognize some of my colleagues who have joined us. This is the most active I've seen this committee. People from all over the city have come to join us on this very hot topic issue. We have Councilwoman Inez Barron, my neighbor in Brooklyn. We have Antonio Reynoso, Carlos Menchaca, and we are also joined by one of the sponsors Margaret Chin. I'll give her a chance to say a few words on—on her bills. [pause]

Thank you, Chair. I'm Council Member Margaret Chin.

Today we are hearing a large number of bills including two pieces of legislation I introduced,

Intro 432 and Intro 1061. Food and general vendors makes up an important part of our local economy.

These entrepreneurs, many of whom are immigrants looking to achieve the American dream, work long hours to supplement the services that brick and mortar stores offer. Prior to the Administration's rule change, vendors who were unable to pass to their license down to a spouse to keep the business going.

2	While the current rule now allows a permanently
3	disabled vendor to pass on the license to a spouse,
4	it does not go far enough. Intro 432 will allow both
5	general and food vendors who are temporary or
6	permanently incapacitated to pass on their license to
7	their spouse or family member in order to continue to
8	provide for their family. My other bill, Intro 1061
9	will allow vendors to sell plants and flowers, which
10	is essential for the celebration of their Asian Lunar
11	New Year. This bill will give the vendors some
12	relief and ensure that enforcement is sensitive to
13	this important holiday for Asians across our city.
14	Finally, as the sponsor of the Street Vendor
15	Modernization Act, I understand that the current
16	system does not work for vendors, not does it work
17	for the residents in my district. Almost every day I
18	hear from several residents about the spotty and
19	ineffective enforcement of vendors who do not follow
20	the rules. These vendors spoil the vending
21	experience for customers and law abiding vendors
22	alike. I want to thank Chair Espinal for hearing
23	these important bills, and I look forward to hearing
24	from residents, members of the business community and

2.2

2.3

2 the Administration on how we can have a vending 3 system that works for all. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you

Councilwoman Chin. So I'm going to get right to it.

One of my biggest concerns about the food vending industry is the—is this black market that exists because of the lack of permit. Do we have an assessment of how many vendors are using these permits in the black market.

LINDSAY GREENE: We do not know the entire scope of the level of illegal vending activities that is currently taking place. It's something that we expect to capture with the preliminary count we're initiating.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Do any of the agencies receive complaints from vendors about how much they're—they're paying in the black market or—or maybe some practices that these permit holders have used against them?

LINDSAY GREENE: Yes, we are very well aware of the issue. I believe multiple of my agency colleagues have fielded complaints and have heard anecdotes on various aspects of the black market. We share your concerns, and we think it's an important

2.2

2.3

issue that we—that we should definitely address, and we think it's one of the—the top priorities along with the review of the rules and regulations that the Advisory Board should take up.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: And so I—I know

Mark Levine's bill looks to increase the cap to—to

kind offset the issue of black market. Do you feel

what—how do you feel is the best way to go after the

black market?

issue that we want to make sure we address appropriately. It—it has—the black market has a lot of different causes and issues in addition to just the number of permits, and we want to thorough—thoroughly study it to have a really thoughtful approach to addressing it more than we currently do.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Have you heard of cases of—of vendors—of multiple vendors using the same permit in—in a given day? Are they—are there—are there—are there cases where you have let's say two or three vendors in one day renting the same permit? Is that possible?

LINDSAY GREENE: I am not aware of the specifics of—of some of the—the ways that permits are

2.2

2.3

2 used illegally. Certainly I—I would imagine those 3 stories have come across some of our colleagues.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, do you believe that increasing the amount of permits will help resolve this black market?

LINDSAY GREENE: I think we would like to really study it in depth before we could determine if—if increases in permits are truly going to reveal the black market.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. Now, when it comes to the brick and mortars, you know, and—and—and the building owners, there's—there's—I want to talk currently about the issues that we see now, right? There are a lot of complaints about food vendors leaving trash, food vendors congesting the streets, food vendors standing in front of similar businesses. What is the—what is the—well, what agencies are like currently responsible to handling those issues?

LINDSAY GREENE: Well, certainly with regards to issues of garbage, I-I-I do believe that the Department of Sanitation plays some role in that, and I think they've really received some complaints there in addition to DCA. But with regard to the broader issues of competition, and where vendors are-

we want to study.

2.2

2.3

are cited, that is a complex web of regulations and rules and there's nothing that specifically addresses where vendors can or cannot be in relation to—so—called directly or beside a store. We have heard these issues and—and concerns from—from many people, elected officials and business owners organizations representing workers as well. We're very well aware of it, and agree it's important, and it's something

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So currently, if you're-you're selling meat balls out of your food truck, you can stand in front of the meat ball shop, and sell meat balls?

LINDSAY GREENE: Currently I believe that is legal yes.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, and does measure see an issue with that at all?

LINDSAY GREENE: It's—it's a complicated issue, you know, competition has its various impacts and sometimes as we've seen that it has positive benefits for consumers, but again it's—it's—it's a complicated issue. It's something we—we would like to study and discuss further with all the relevant stakeholders as part of the Advisory Board.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So when it comes to 311 complaints from building owners and small businesses, what is the majority of those complaints?

Who fields most of these calls?

LINDSAY GREENE: We play no role in what—
in terms of where complaints are directed. A—a large
majority of the complaints are directed to the Police
Department.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So the Police

Department is currently the only agency in charge of enforcing any—any rules against food trucks or vendors in general?

LINDSAY GREENE: They enforce a lot of the day-to-day issues. They—they have officers who are on the street who notice a lot of things, and they—they again field a lot of complaints, and a lot of the complaints might be received by other agencies particularly in regards to locations are often referred to them.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: How responsive is—
is the agency to—to these calls? Let's say, you know,
you have—you have a—a—a small business is having an
issue with a vendor and, you know, day in and day out

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 2 | they're having—they seem to have the same issue.
- 3 How-how quickly do the agencies respond?

LINDSAY GREENE: We take all complaints very seriously. I can't speak to specific response time, but perhaps Chief Vega you might be able to address that.

DEPUTY CHIEF VEGA: Yes, certainly. I mean that each and every 311 call that's placed that gets routed to the New York City Police Department is handled in-in due fashion usually within that same tour that it's given to use. Honestly, the primary mission of the New York City Police Department is, you know, crime reduction. You know, quality of life, you know, controls as well as, you know, kind of terrorism and a myriad of other important issues, but none the same. You know, if a 311 complaint comes into our jurisdiction for addressing in terms of a vendor issue, it will be addressed that evening. Depending on where that complaint comes in, obviously we have special-a specialized unit that's in the Patrol Borough of Manhattan South that only deals with the vendor issues. More than likely they would handle that issue specifically. In the outer boroughs, it could be handled by the-with the two

are the issues that we usually see in Bushwick?

aware of it. Perhaps some of the agencies that

LINDSAY GREENE: I am not personally

25

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

received complaints could—could shed some light on

what those topics might be. I was responding to some

data that we had seen disaggregated overall

5 complaints on this section.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I—I—speaking from experience, I know a lot of the issues that we see in Bushwick is that we have these smaller vendors who are out selling for example mangos or churros. Do—does this—does increasing the cap or—does increasing cap help cover those individuals?

LINDSAY GREENE: I've—I-as I understand the bill to be written any—there are multiple vendors who would be eligible to apply for those increasing—those extra permits as proposed. I—I don't. I do not believe there would be any restrictions on some of the existing vendors certainly if there was and to apply for those permits.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So you believe that they can be covered if they decide to apply?

LINDSAY GREENE: As I under-understand I believe they could. Corinne, do you want to address that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: The legislation as we understand it sets up three tiers,

2 three priorities for obtaining one of the new 600

3 permits that will be up-rolled out each year. And do

4 it would depend on the specifics for the—the vendors

5 you're describing, whether they met one of those

6 priorities and as a general matter we couldn't say,

7 but we could evaluate that depending whether that

8 vendor had been on a wait list or had a continuous-or

continuously was licensed from the date set out in

10 | the legislation.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Does—does that cover individuals who have a vendor license? Do they follow any of those tiers, people who currently have vendor license or applying for a permit?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: So as were ad the legislation, the—the tiers are set out in this way. First is for anyone who has been on a—on a wait list since October 20—2011, and licensed continuously since March 2014 and then the second tier—the second priority would be not on a wait list, but licensed continuously since March 2014. Then, finally the third tier is on the wait—on a wait list since October 2011, but not continuously licensed. So again it would depend on the particular circumstances

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

for the—the vendors that you're hypothetically describing.

LINDSAY GREENE: I'd—I'd also like to reiterate that the licenses with the exception of the existing green card—sorry—permits with the exception of the green card permits don't have specific categories or allowances for specific types of food vending.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Back-back to enforcement. Do the agencies feel they have enough resources to currently enforce the-the current regulations and laws and-and-that are in place now?

LINDSAY GREENE: Corinne and—and Frank would you like to--

DEPUTY CHIEF VEGA: Yeah, I mean obviously really the—the enforcement picture is—is quite complex. We work with our partner agencies in the Administration to enforce these laws. There are certainly, you know, in most of the outer boroughs it's a reactive to 311 complaints, community complaints at Council meetings. You know, letters written to the precinct and community council—the—the Precinct community—Precinct Commander about some of the vending issues. Those would certain be

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

addressed. In Manhattan, we're a small, you know, highly concentrated. Obviously, we have amore proactive unit to address those complaints. You know, certainly it's, you know, we do—we do what we can, and also we—we try to set up—set up priorities in terms of enforcement as it comes across, you know, our desks. And certainly vending is one of those things that we try to address as much as possible.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Do you feel that you can use more help when it comes to addressing vending issues?

DEPUTY CHIEF VEGA: No, we—we always, you know, we always look for help from our partner agencies, and they're always there for us. You know, we—we do a multitude of things with the departments at this table as well as other agencies that aren't at the table right now to, you know, enforce these laws.

LINDSAY GREENE: I think generally with respect to the concept of changing enforcement or doing more enforcement, we—we have to consider what forms. That's up to—to proper evaluate what resources might be needed.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So	o, Amit,	you—you
mentioned in your testimony that DC	CA currer	ntly
doesn't do any regulations for-for	vendors	?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: We do not currently conduct any enforcement with the exception of going out to check for price posting, which we only do on the basis of complaint.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So you do price posting on—on these trucks only if there are complaints?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BAGGA: Only if there—if we receive a complaint.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. [pause]
Council Member Levine, a question?

and thank you, panel for being here. Ms. Greene, you talked about the lack of information is prohibiting you from taking a firm position on the bills today, but we know there are thousands of unlicensed vendors out there. We know there's a thriving black market and we know that enforcement has been extremely uneven. What-what more do we need to know before we decide to act? [pause]

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

thoughtful about vending requirements having real concrete data to the best of our ability even if it's just a point in time rather than relying on anecdotes, and our perceptions of the—of the reality. We really want to be extremely thoughtful and diligent in how we think about the landscape and you—you actually have to assess the real level of activity to properly do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I'm all for that as long as—as long as it is not an excuse for an action, and for an industry that's been around hundreds of years that most New Yorkers encounter everyday, and probably most people in this room have a depth of experience with. I think we know enough that we got—it is time to update the rules around this industry, but let me move on. You-you-talked about your discomfort with-you-you said diluting the expertise of existing enforcement in opposition to creating a dedicated enforcement unit. And I was-I was a little surprise by that. You know, we have all sorts of dedicated enforcement units already in the city. We have an entire mayoral agency, and just for this purpose the Office of Special Enforcement whose-

2.2

2.3

whose really precise purpose is to bring together multiple mayoral city agencies together under—under one team. For example, they enforce the rules against illegal hotels, and arguably they have agents the Health Department sends out to inspect restaurant health or—or specialized enforcement. And when you're talking about diluting and that—that means spreading out. It seems like we're doing the opposite here. We're bringing together under one roof people whose sole job everyday is going to be to focus on these issues proactively not reactively. Isn't there a precedent for this? And—and maybe you can explain again why you see that as diluting our enforcement capability?

the—the phrasing was diluting the expertise. I think certainly the focus on enforcement needs to be based on specific areas of expertise for each agency. The inspectors of the Department of Health go through rigorous training on health and safety and inspections in their work, and that is fundamentally different from training like a NYPD officer would get or—or likewise. And so, we just want to make sure that we respect that expertise when we're talking

about enforcement. We're open to discussing ways to
do it differently or improve it on the ground, and
I'm not-I would like to say specifically with regards
to the Office of Special Enforcement that it does
lean on expertise of individual agencies in this
capacity. It is—it is a coordinated effort. So we
are-we are certainly open to discussing that, and I
also-I just-I want to clarify on your questions about
the count, and thethe way the bill is referred to
increase the number of permits. We really want to
focus on a complete data driven picture. We are very
serious about doing a preliminary count before we can
respond effectively to the—to the proposal today. We
are-we are not trying to defer. We are actually
initiating the count. We have detailed plans and
that count will get underway next week, and we'll-
we'll be concluding within six weeks, and we can
share feedback with you-with you when it's done.

understand. I just want to clarify that. The

Department of Health would still continue to do its

mandated job, and health inspections under—under our

bills. I don't believe that the Department of

Community Affairs—or Consumer Affairs have agents on

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

the street doing this work at the moment. Correct me if I'm wrong. I don't think that DOT has agents on the street doing this enforcement work, which is part of the reason why we need to create more enforcement.

LINDSAY GREENE: Right.

subtracting from any existing enforcement efforts.

It's actually entirely additive. It's something that—that we needed for a long time. But I want to shift to—to the Health Department. Commissioner

Schiff, you raised some objections about the particulate matter emanating from grills, but—but help me—help clarify something here. We—we have laws in the city about air quality that currently stipulate that any food establishment has got to have a hood over a grill, and my understanding is that would apply to a restaurant. It would apply to a food cart. It would apply to a mobile food truck.

Is that not currently the law? [pause]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: The equipment requirements that apply to the food carts and trucks don't capture the air quality, the—the particulate matter that we're concerned about because we've had that—those experiences ourselves walking by

2.2

2.3

carts and trucks and meat is being grilled and we can all breathe—breathe that smoke in. So, we really see this an opportunity to work with the Council to think through how we might use this Modernization Act to improve air quality, and—and also to make sure that with availability of newly legal permits that there isn't some adverse affect on our air quality. But the current—the current laws don't actually control the emissions that we're concerned about.

anxious to have that discussion with you, but I just want to clarify. So, you—you made a pretty stark statement that a food cart is generating as much particulate as truck driving—driving 3,500 miles.

And you're talking about a food cart with a legally mandated hood that is over the grill and absorbing the emissions, right? And—and a cart with that equipment you're telling is generating as much particulate matter as a diesel truck going 3,500 miles. I just want to clarify that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: That's right. That's-that's-

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] But

25 you--

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: --smoke that

you see coming off of that--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]

You—you want to compare it to open grills. You were actually factoring in the benefit of a hood?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Yes, there's been-there's-the-the equipment on the carts and trucks doesn't capture. It's not an emissions control.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay. Alright,

I—I would like to pursue that. I have a feeling that
what's happening here is the confusion between
unauthorized vendors who sometimes have an open
grill. We've all seen it. Sometimes it's literally
just a—a barbecue that's out on the sidewalk where
clearly there's a lot of emission, and the kind of
modern hood apparatus, which is designed to and does
absorb what's coming off the grill. But—but may—
maybe we can pursue that further. I'm going to
pause. I have a number of other questions, but I
want to give deference to the Chair and my
colleagues. Thank you.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. [pause]
3 Alright, next we have Council Member Gentile followed

4 by Council Member Rodriguez.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you all for being here. Particularly a shout out to Commissioner Bagga for your recognition and acknowledgement of the conditions that exist in Bay Ridge, and to Commissioner Lynch for your good suggestions on street—the street analysis, the congestion analysis. I'm wondering are we putting the horse before the cart, so to speak in that we should first create and convene the proposed Advisory Board before we increase the number of permits here? Because think about it. [coughs] As you've heard, there are 3,000 permits, but the-IDLS makes for about 10,000 carts in the City, and that's due to a combination of illegal duplication, and as we talked about the illegal rental of the permits. Now, given that situation where it's easy enough to duplicate the permits, and certainly to illegally rent the permits, it is an impossible situation I would imagine for the NYPD officer who is asked to check out a cart to determine

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY CHIEF VEGA: I mean it's—it's certainly complicates the—the scope of enforcement but that's the same process that we—we always look at, you know, each—each situation as a unique case. You know, when in doubt we check with our partner agencies. You know, we have specially trained people in our Legal Bureau that help us out with these, you know, the Administration and with the codes and regulatory schemes. But certainly, you know, it's one of the things that we would love to see, you know, working together to have it more simplified and then fixed for us.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So, there is no way that a police officer, though, can on the spot, on the scene determine whether a permit that's up on a cart is—is a valid permit, or an illegal duplication?

DEPUTY CHIEF VEGA: I mean but based on his training and relative expertise, he should be able to determine if it is, in fact, fraudulent?

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: How so?

DEPUTY CHIEF VEGA: What's that?

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: How so?

DEPUTY CHIEF VEGA: But based on his training and, you know, taking a good solid look at the document itself if it's, you know, if there's some kind of, you know, you know, correction on it. Some over—you know, writing over it or some kind of reduplication of it or, you know. It would take a little bit of work, but certainly it's incumbent upon the officer to do that prima facie case and make sure that is, in fact, a fraudulent document or not.

we'll-we'll continue. My colleague just remindedjust correct me that I got the analogy wrong. It's
cart before the horse. So, I'm sorry about that.
Anyway, thank you, Councilman. But you have this
illegal duplication. You have the illegal rental of
permits. I mean I-in the research I've done there
are permit holders that—there are permit holders
right now that are retired and sitting by poolside in
Arizona holding these permits, and collecting the—the
rentals on these permits year after year after year.
So, if we increased the number of permits, what's to
say that instead of 10,000 we'll have 20,000 permits

2.2

2.3

on the street. Without some kind of mechanism to determine which are fraud and which are valid.

LINDSAY GREENE: We think, Councilman, you raised very, very serious concerns that we share, and, you know, we've heard those anecdotes as well, and I think that's why we think first and foremost that again doing the assessment through our preliminary account of the current activity and really having a scope of what is illegal versus—versus will be incredibly instructive and—and certainly enforcement would play a huge role in the black market, and we recognize that we should definitely discuss ways that that might be enhanced, and we think that—that addressing the topics you specifically mentioned would be something that the Advisory Board should want and 100% pick up.

should we start over? Should we start at zero and—and maybe employ some kind of system that eliminates the rentals, you know, the rentals and duplication by saying—maybe putting a computer chip on a permit so that a police officer could readily tell whether something is a fraud or not?

2.2

2.3

2 LINDSAY GREENE: Those are, but those are 3 certainly--

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: [interposing]
Well, some kind anti-fraud stuff.

a number of different ideas that—that—that folks have proposed, and we think that merit—merits a lot of study and—and it's certainly in an initial report the Advisory Board is going to do that will set the current regulations we have on the books, and ways we might week to amend them, and incorporate technology or other tools to improve the landscape.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So—so you—you would—you would do--

LINDSAY GREENE: I think you should—I guess what—what I'm saying is we—we should—we should study, if that's the right approach, and take into account what thoughts we have about enforcement and—and what we will learn from our count about the activity currently on the ground.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: And would you agree with me that the permits that we come up with should also define the distance from other food establishments that a cart should be, and—and the—

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

place are really conflicting. Commissioner Schiff,

for example, you—you have a brick and mortar

restaurant that has a kitchen that needs to comply

with mountains of regulations, right, be graded and

6 be fined. And yet, they see food vendors carting,

7 you know, a cooler full of chicken into their cart,

8 run a generator on a public sidewalk, and pour grease

9 down the catch basin. Do-do you understand the-the

10 problem that we have here? [pause]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: So the Food Safety Regulations set out in the New York City

Health Code actually apply equally to brick and mortar restaurants and to mobile food units. And so our inspections of the—are two types of establishments, are—are essentially the same. So, I think that the issues that you raise speak to things beyond food safety, and that the Food Safety Regulations actually apply to all food service establishments, both restaurants and mobile food vending units.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: [pause] Well, pouring grease down catch basin you're saying it's a DEP issue?

holder is responsible for violations that occur on

2.2

2.3

the cart not to the—not the person who's working that day, who may be the permit holder, but the fine—the

4 violation goes to the permit holder.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay. So now you are issuing it to—to the permit holder?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Correct.

good. That's—that's great. In terms of—and I don't think—think anybody on the panel is from DOS, from, yeah the Department of Sanitation. So maybe our, you know— I'm sorry, Ms. Greene, is it? Yes, Ms. Greene. Brick and mortar stores have the full liability for the maintenance of the sidewalks. So a vendor, a cart vendor does not. So any time there's a dirty sidewalk, any time there's oil on the—on the sidewalk created by a vendor, is the brick and mortar business or building owner that is responsible for the maintenance of that sidewalk, and gets that summons? Do you realize this something that needs to be addressed?

LINDSAY GREENE: Yes, we recognize that it needs to be addressed because it's certainly within the scope of enforcement, you know, changes that we would discuss and consider absolutely. It's

2.2

2.3

2 an important—it's an important issue, and it's a 3 complex one, and it needs some attention.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: And that really needs to be addressed if we're going to really modernize the—the—

LINDSAY GREENE: [interposing] Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: --laws.

LINDSAY GREENE: Absolutely, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: And—and since

DEP is not here, you can answer this, too, but it's

about the—the grease disposal. The kitchens in a

brick and mortar need to have a proof of grease

disposal in private carting, but food vendor carts do

not have that same requirement. Do you—do you agree

with me that we need to correct that situation?

LINDSAY GREENE: I—I would de—defer to my colleagues at DEP and I'll get you a specific answer on that as a—as a follow up, but certainly proper disposal is something we would all take very seriously, and would if—if that needs changed to—to be able to happen more effectively than it does, we would certainly consider that, absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: And—and another area that I'll ask you about in general, New York

2.2

2.3

City and we always—we always deal with this here in the Council we put constraints on sidewalk uses all the time. We constrain sidewalk cafes, we constrain street fairs, we constrain block parties. Yet, there is so such limit put on the operating time for food carts.

[background comments, pause]

the garbage question. Per—per the Health Code, and we enforce the Department of Sanitation, all garbage is actually is actually supposed to brought back to the commissary, but as—as we've mentioned, we—we are aware that we can certainly use more commissaries in the city than we currently have.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Yes, but the-the point is if there is a dirty condition on that sidewalk, it is not the vendor, it is the-the-the abutting business that's responsible for the maintenance of that sidewalk.

LINDSAY GREENE: The—when we have issued tickets previously the—we—we definitely make an effort to issue tickets to veterans and we aware of those issues because they are supposed to dispose of the trash at the commissary not on the sidewalk.

issue or anything of that nature, the actual summons

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2	will be written to the street vendor. Anything that
3	has to do with improper disposal in a receptacle on a
4	corner, that would be issued t the vendor because
5	they need to be taken back any type of refuse from
6	the day, and back to their commissary for proper
7	disposal, but then it's supposed to taken back to a
8	private carter. But any type of litter or any other
9	overflowing garbage cans or anything on site, the
10	actual summons will be issued to the street vendor
11	itself.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: If-if-if the street vendor is there at the time. Otherwise, it gets issued-

JOHN MANCUSO: [interposing] Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: --if there's a dirty sidewalk, it gets issued to the owner of the property.

JOHN MANCUSO: Correct, correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Right.

JOHN MANCUSO: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So that's an issue that needs to be rectified if the vendor is responsible for the dirty condition on that—on that sidewalk. I just want to get back again, Ms. Greene,

- because I-I'm-I'm out of time about the time
 restraints on sidewalk uses. We do it to cafes, we
 do it--
- 5 LINDSAY GREENE: Uh-huh.

2.2

2.3

effort.

- COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: --for street fairs, we do it for block parties. Would you agree that we-we need to do it for the use of the sidewalks in terms of vendor carts?
- there's complex set of rules around how activity on the street takes waste both commercial and non-commercial activity, and it's one of the topics that we think definitely merits discussion with the Advisory Board.
- COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: That it would merit discussion?
- 18 LINDSAY GREENE: Absolutely, absolutely.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay. So I'm very hopeful about what I've heard here today, but these are really matters of health and fairness that somehow need to be address in any modernization effort that we do. So Mr. Chairman, I look forward to working with you, and the sponsors on that

2.2

2.3

I would like to put the clock at five minutes for my colleagues. I usually don't put a clock, but being that how popular this topic is, and how many members we have here today, I'll put five minutes for my colleagues, and three minutes to the public. [pause]

With—with a chance at a second round of questions.

[pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Well, thank

you for your work, and we have to give credit to this

Administration because this conversation did not

happen before, and the fact that we are opening this

up to deal with a situation that we've been chasing

for many years is the hope that we have on how we can

reorganize, you know, the street vendor industry.

You know, I would like to compare the corruption of

black market permits—

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing]

Council Member Ydanis, I would like for us, the panel in the future and my colleagues to refrain from using the word black market. Let's use underground market. Underground.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Let me call the name to be us today. (sic) The

corruption of black market permits [laughter,
background comments] in our city is similar-similar
to corruptions interwoven. For many years it was
happening, and it was so big that no one preferred to
address it. People were very powerful. They were
around doing whatever they needed to do. A few of
them are behind bars, and everyone is being surprised
by what's happening. The black market permits is
controlled by powerful forces, and I hope that today
the DA joins in start investigation after the black
market because those three vendors who are working so
hard they've been paying the prices for individuals
that are controlling those industries selling those-
those permits for \$20,000, controlling more than 80%
of those permits, and we know and that has been
happening for 20 or more years. So, where have we
been in the past? How many investigations have been
opened after those powerful individuals that are
controlling this industry. And again, this—I'm very
proud to see this administration. This is something
that we inherit and that the current administration
tries to address. But my question is in your record
how many investigations has the city started going

2.2

2.3

2 after those individuals that control more than 80% of the permits?

we—we share your concerns about markets that
perpetrate illegal vending activity, and illegal
permits. It's something that we take very seriously,
and investigate to the best of our ability when we're
made aware of it. We can get back to you with the
specifics on how many investigations we've initiated.
I'm—I'm not aware of that number. I don't think we
have it at the hearing today.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] I'm sorry.

LINDSAY GREENE: I—I—I was saying we—we share your concerns about the proliferation of illegal permits, and markets that substantiate the trade, but we do not believe have the exact figures on investigations to be conducted in that area. We can get back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] -no agency in-of record that we can say that they've been opening an investigation of those individuals controlling those permits?

how the activity takes place. It's-it's--

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: [interposing]

It's small, it's big, it's in the middle. Can we say it's under 10, it's under 20, it's under 50%? It's so big that we don't see?

LINDSAY GREENE: I—I don't—I honestly do not think we know the exact figure. It's that we are going to do our best to capture the amount of illegal permits on the ground as a part of our preliminary count, but we certainly do not have any insight into a number of individuals who traffic in—in—in major markets for the carting.

Okay. So the information. That we have is they—
there's an estimate the 70 to 80% of permits are
illegally in use by someone other than the permit
that we hold there. Can we agree with that
information?

LINDSAY GREENE: We-we will take a look at it and-and-oom-compare with what data we have. I-I don't have those-those statistics here with me today.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Okay, but thank you to the administration. You're doing a great. You know, the business owners we are on your

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

side, too. We have to be on board on this one. What

I hope is that with this reform, we will create more

opportunity for the street vendors. Also, we should

be able to support our business owners in our

_

communities. Thank you.

LINDSAY GREENE: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:} \quad \mbox{Up next we have} \\ \mbox{Rory Lancman, then Reynoso.}$

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. It's nice to see you all. I have a couple of particular concerns. They revolve around the underground market as well as the impact on local businesses. The district that I represent in Queens for example has many-several commercial strips, the Hillside Avenue, Second Boulevard, Jamaica Avenue that are mom and pop stores almost exclusively run by first generation immigrants, and they would be very, very concerned about an increase in vendors in their area when they are struggling day to day just to make it. Wouldn't it make more sense, and I-I know this has been brought up-been brought up, bit I think-I think your testimony you said at this time no clear mechanism exists that enables the city to assess whether or not small business strips such as Fordham

2.2

2.3

Road, Jamaica Avenue, Manhattan's Third Avenue, Kings
Highway or Victory Boulevard are appropriate for all,
any or some portion of these types of commercial
activities. Wouldn't it make sense to use this study
that's been talked about before we make a decision on
the number of additional licenses to issue, and at
least set in place some kind of rules and
restrictions where it comes the geography where

different kinds of vending can-can operate?

LINDSAY GREENE: Certainly. I think there's—there's—there's two issues in—in your question, Council Member. Well, certainly with regard to making an—an informed set of insights regarding the appropriate or—or otherwise amount of proposed increase, and we need to understand the activity on the ground again, which is why we are initiating a preliminary count, and secondarily we also would want to take into account the various agencies that have jurisdiction over the activity that they place on the street both commercial and non-commercial. When think about locations for vending both of those things are—are topics that we would—be counted as information, and the mechanism

for citing activities is—are things we would

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 2 definitely discuss in the context of the Advisory
- 3 Board study that's—that's being put out, and
- 4 certainly with regard to the question around
- 5 | timelines and milestones we would reserve our
- 6 | feedback on-on those milestones until we-we know the
- 7 results of our count in several weeks.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So on the issue of the-the underground economy, as it were, and-and I was a vendor once. I spent the summer selling Chakita Ice Pops on 34th Street, and I remember at the time-- What's that? [background comment] Yeah, well, I think that I was legit. You know, I-I was with some company. They gave me the card. I showed up at their commissary every morning and did my thing. But the-the competition for space was-was cut throat, alright, and I tried to stay away from the guys who were doing this for this because, you know, this is what they—they have to feed their families, and I was just a kid making some money during the Is there any basis for thinking that the increase in the number of available vendor license will not just merely double the size of the underground economy? These things seem to be very

valuable, and they are making a lot of money for the

2 people who possess those licenses who choose to-to

3 sell them or sell the use of them. I just don't know

4 | if there's any study or basis for thinking that

5 doubling the number of licenses will lower the—the

6 incentives, the economic benefit of-of selling one's

license illegally or just create a much, much bigger

8 "black market?"

1

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LINDSAY GREENE: Sure. That's a-that's a very valid concern, and one that we share, which is why we emphasize the need to actually know the number of vendors who are actually on the street so you can compare that to the-the universe of permits we have, and take that in context with what the bills propose so we could provide some feedback. We-we cannot assess what, in fact, the permits would have onexcuse me-what impact more permits might have on the scope of the underground market without understanding the overall scope of illegal permits that we currently have. It's-that's-and addressing that issue is a separate matter. It goes through Enforcement. It goes through a whole host of other things, as you know regarding the location information and things of that nature that we hope to study with the Advisory Board.

2.2

2.3

council Member Lancman: And—and my last one is what—what is going to be done to conform the standards that vendors have to abide by in terms of health and safety, et cetera that your—your mom and pop storefront has to as—as well? Because that seems to me to be a very, very important aspect of modernizing our—our vendor system.

LINDSAY GREENE: Sure. Absolutely. We, would look to study the—the host of vending regulations that we have both as—as it relates to health and—and other matters as a part of the code review that we think has merit in initiating, and we'd love to—to do that in conjunction with the folks mentioned on the Advisory Board.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you very much.

LINDSAY GREENE: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: So thank you guys for—for being here. I—I kind of want to follow up with what Council Member Lancman was talking about which are my same concerns, of course, always thinking that a study before law be something that we do, and could be good practice with lawmaking in our—in our—in our body. I do want to speak to some marks

2 and to mom and pop stores, but I'm getting a lot 3 calls and—and meetings in regards to this 4 legislation. First off to-to-to preface it with the-5 the immigrant story. You know, food vendors are largely immigrant-immigrants, but so are supermarket 6 owners and so are the majority of the workers in 7 8 supermarkets and in these mom and pop locations. so I just to diversity the immigrant story. Some are success stories, and-and were in these communities 10 11 long before anyone else was there, before there were Whole Food, before there were all these other places 12 13 we had our associations. We had our food. 14 our bravoes. So I just really want to put in 15 perspective that we expand that story. I also want 16 to speak to regulation, and it seems like this is the 17 story that I get. They get fined or businesses, 18 small businesses get fined for proper storage 19 handling, display, temperature checks and-and so 20 forth, taxes that they have that are completely 21 absent in the vendor space. So while it's something 2.2 that they're saying that they're claiming. 2.3 would walk in, give them fines for not properly storing or keeping let's fruits and vegetables at at-24 25 at a-at a reasonable temperature or-- But outside in

2.2

90 degree weather, they're selling the same type of
fruits and vegetables with no-with no real regulation
I guess. And they're saying that because of all the
infrastructure and the regulations that they have to
deal with, they have to sell their product at a
higher price than let's say someone—a food vendor
that has a simple cart let's say to sell. Is that a
legitimate concern here in—in the industry right now?

and I'll let Corinne speak to the—the health aspects. There are a whole host of regulations that apply to vendors about how they're supposed to handle their food, how they're supposed to handle their garbage. How they're supposed to display their merchandise. It is—it is not an unregulated industry by any means because there is actually a very complex set of regulations, and we—we have said, you know, a lot of that merits review and study, and if there are ways to enhance that particularly with an eye towards enhancing enforcement, we definitely would consider that as a we do our review, and specifically on the health in terms of there's a—a large set of—of things to abide by there.

2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: So I can
3	speak specifically to the enforcement of food safety
4	rules. The-the New York City Health Code sets out
5	the requirements for-for food safety in a variety of
6	areas, and those rules apply to all food service
7	establishments under our jurisdiction, and that
8	includes both restaurants and mobile food vending
9	unit. And so the example you gave was holding food
10	at a safe temperature. That is required, and that's
11	because the food out temperature can increase the
12	risk of foodborne illness. And our requirements and
13	our enforcement of those through inspections is
14	actually the same. So we-we inspect restaurants for
15	food temperature holding, and we inspect mobile food
16	units as well for safe food-food holing, and all of
17	the—all the very same requirements will tie to those
18	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Alright, so
19	would this legislation encompass vegetables and
20	fruits stands as well. or carts that produce—that—

LINDSAY GREENE: I—I believe it's as we understand the proposal, it is—it is not speaking to change the—to proposing any changes in the number of

that--?

Koslowitz.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you, Chair.
3	I-I think that what brings a lot of us here is our-is
4	our concern for exploited street vendors, and so what
5	I-I'd like to understand the-the permit is issued to
6	an individual. A corporation can't get a permit, is
7	that correct?
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: A corporate
9	entity can get a permit.
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: They can?
L1	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Yes.
L2	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Are most of the
L3	permits issued to individuals or to corporations?'
L 4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: I don't have
L5	those numbers but we can get that to you.
L 6	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Do you have any-
L7	any idea at all where-I mean I only ask most or
L8	[laughs]?
L 9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: I'm—I'm
20	sorry. I don't-I don't know those numbers, but we can
21	get back to you.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Well-well, maybe-
23	maybe the police know. As-as the enforcement unit

when you see these permits, are they generally-

1

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

problem is here?

25

2.3

24

25

So we do training.

2 LINDSAY GREENE: So as we've said, 3 certainly regarding enforcement, we do require permit 4 holders to be present and accountable for violations as it relates to the crime. I just want to clarify that, and with regards to the proposal about the 6 7 distinction between licenses and permits, again it 8 is-it is an-an idea that has been suggested on occasion to us, and it's something that we think merits study. Again, in conjunction with multiple 10 11 stakeholders, we consider the-the full suite of-of-of 12 proposals as part of the Advisory Board. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Are they 14 unpermitted but worker at a-at a food vending cart. 15 I mean how do we know that I've gone through 16 training? How do-or did I have any, you know, food 17 preparer reg-regulation at all? 18 LINDSAY GREENE: Go ahead. 19 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: To obtain a 20 license, which is required to work on a food unit, 21 you do need to take and pass our food handler class 2.2 at our health-the Health Department's Health Academy.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Even to work, even if you're not the permit holder?

2.2

2.3

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: So everybody who works on a food vending cart has a-has a license or?-

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Every—you must have a license, and to have a license, you must have been trained at our Health Academy in food safety.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: But even—even if you're not the permit holder--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF:

[interposing] Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: --just a worker.

I-I have a question about enforcement. I-I represent to BIDs in my Council District and we had a problem both in-in the 50th and the 52nd but it took an enormous about of work and—and I felt almost guilty reaching out to the—the 50th because I know that they have other things to do other than try to regulate.

I-I wonder if the—if the NY and, you know, and Manhattan South is a long way from—from—from my district. I wonder if the NYPD has any thoughts on the—if they are the appropriate agency to regulate this industry or enforce the laws in this industry?

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 DEPUTY CHIEF VEGA: You know, working 3 with the partner agencies certainly we have an-an 4 enforcement component to the-you know, to-to these roles, and-and certainly, you know, up in the Bronx, you know, if a private owner applied to the precinct, 6 you know, the adequate resources should be devoted to 8 each and every complaint that comes across their, you now, their desk. They're-they're always available for logistical support from the Legal Bureau as well 10 11 as the, you know, the-the court experts in Manhattan 12 South for, you know, advice in terms of enforcement 13 stuff, but, you know, the responsibility lies in those local precinct commanders to address those 14 15 concerns on a-on a local basis.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Is there any way for NYPD to quantify the amount of resources already devoted to enforcement in this area?

DEPUTY CHIEF VEGA: The—what's—other than the core group of guys that work in the Patrol Bureau Manhattan South, the Lieutenant is CO of that unit. He has his own core group involved. A couple people dedicated in the precinct Mid—Midtown North. A couple people dedicate in Midtown South. Other than that, it becomes incumbent upon the local precincts

2.2

2.3

to, you know, devote resources whether they be once
again from the neighborhood coordination officer,
from a local conditions teams if they have such a—a
specially equipped and trained team to address those
conditions.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Do you know, though from COMPSTAT? Like do people keep records of I responded to a—either 311 complaint or some other complaint related to a—a street vendor?

DEPUTY CHIEF VEGA: Yes, we-we-we quantify all of those complaints. Yes, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I think we'd be interested in seeing the number of complaints related to—to street vending. I think that would be helpful in terms of—

DEPUTY CHIEF VEGA: Absolutely.

LINDSAY GREENE: We-we have the--

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: [interposing] You know I also am concerned about—about the BIDs. The—the BIDs are in addition to the brick and mortar store is paying rent, and the BIDs they are also paying for additional services and again the street vendors are—are not. And I—I think that there's just an equity issue there that I think is—is of concern

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

to me, too. I appreciate that. Maybe if we have a second round, I'd like to come back.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Next we have Karen Koslowitz and Carlos

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Thank you, Mr. First of all, I want to make a correction to Chair. Consumer Affairs. Pricing on a cart it's a law-it is not a law, and that's why I introduced to be a law. They me a law. Next, I noticed in the last undertake by dealers in my area I have on one street I have five carts. It's Continental Avenue, which is a big transit hub in my community, and they just come. They are—they are new, there—there are five carts there. I know years ago it was used-it was one cart on each corner. It could be one on this corner, one on that corner, and a certain amount of inches fromfrom a main corridor, and how do they get there? Does anybody-if I have a license and I come in and I get a license, and I get a cart, do I just go out and decide where I want to put my cart? If I want to put my cart again on Continental Avenue where, like I said, there's a lot of people, can I do that? Can I just make my cart the sixth cart on the block?

2.2

2.3

LINDSAY GREENE: There are a host of rules that govern where vendors are permitted to—to be with their food carts. These materials—this information is avail—available in some materials and I—I believe the—the Health Department can share specifically as it relates to information for food vendors.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWTIZ: So you-do you have-you send them out to where they want to go? Is that what you're saying?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: The laws sets out places where vendors cannot be, and what Ms. Greene is referring to is some recent materials that we have sent out to all the mobile food vending permit holders and licensees, which provide the packet of laws, and also set out those restrictions on—on where they can't vend. It's not on the list as what you can vend and you can't vend, and we've also just to finish our public education materials, a companion to the—both to set out the—the laws, we also just recently mailed to all permit holders and licensees this guide, this—mostly the pictures setting out information including for example where some of the placement rules. And—and kind of daily

recently sent out.

2.2

2.3

guide for what you need to do to vend legally. So it's information. We've—we've mailed it out to everybody. We've had it available in—in multiple places. It's costly when once we get it, and it's translated into nine languages on our website. It's—it's newly updated information that we've just

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: So what you're saying is that there could be five carts on Continental Avenue, and then maybe three carts in the vicinity of two blocks three more carts?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: So I can't speak to the specific blocks without studying the list, but I can say that what the law does do is set out places where you can't vend, and that can vary also by days and times, and so long as the location is not on a prohibited—on the prohibited list, then you can vend. The specifics of those blocks I don't know.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: [interposing] We need quiet of the floor. Please quiet down. Please, we need it quiet.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWTIZ: And what about are there any restrictions how long those carts can

2.2

2.3

be there? Because I know some of them are there
overnight?

peruty commissioner schiff: And I should just add that—that in addition to the block and specific block and time restrictions there are other restrictions, which some of which are laid out in our little blue guides up her about—things about the bus stop and being an attack (sic) with standards and that sort of things. So all of those things would bebe taken into account. And I'm sorry. Remind me of your—your questions, you next question.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Overnight? Can they-

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: Overnight.

So the law requires that when the unit, the cart or the truck is not in use, then it has to be stored in a permanent commissary, and it also requires that at least once a day that unit be take to the commissary for a cleaning. But about overnight times, again, it depends on the list of restricted areas.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWTIZ: So what do we do when the carts are there overnight? Is there anybody monitoring this?

2.2

2.3

times there are complaints or if there happen to be an officer in—in—in the neighborhood who—who would observe that, it—it—it can be addressed there, but certainly it—it's—it is something that we are aware of that can occasionally happen. We—we do our best to—to make sure that people are following the rules.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWTIZ: Right. Well, wouldn't that be a good thing for the Enforcement Unit to do?

LINDSAY GREENE: Ab—absolutely. It is something that we would consider as—as we think about the scope and structure of—of what law enforcement looks like. Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Because don't forget in--in the middle of the night all the other stores are closed. So they can't—they have no place to go to kind of relieve themselves so to speak and clean the cart?

LINDSAY GREENE: As—as—as Commissioner

Schiff mentioned, carts are supposed to return to

their commissary at least once in a 24-hour period

for cleaning, and they are supposed to I believe make

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 119 themselves available for proper sanitary conditions 2 3 as well. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Okay, well, I would like right now I mean you need the enforcement 5 for the whole city of New York because I'm sure this 6 7 happens in other areas. Right now I would like you to look into this. It's something that's very 8 important to the community. I get constant calls. 10 So I would like someone to look into what's going on 11 right now. 12 LINDSAY GREENE: Thank you. 13 understand. COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Thank you. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So just to follow up on one of her questions. So theoretically 16 17 speaking with a couple of trucks they were to form a 18 wall in front of Trump Towers. 19 LINDSAY GREENE: That's not going to 20 happen. (sic) [background comments] 21 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: They did it in 22 Vegas. I was a fan of it. So that means one maybe 23 they can do here.

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LINDSAY GREENE: I—technically speaking, with this I don't know the specific streets, but it is possible depending on restrictions.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Up next we have Carlos Menchaca, but before moving forward, I want to recognize our great Public Advocate Letitia James who has joined us.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you, Chair and thanks again for being here today, and thewith the-with the limited time I really want to focus on a couple of things that I think some of my colleagues have been pointing to. Folks that have had issues with this legislation, and those that are supporting, but it's just an important thing to kind of underline our-our motivations here, and this is an economic justice issue not just for the vendors, but also the brick and mortar. This is a safety issue to make sure that people are safe and consuming these goods and these products, and also making sure that we keep our-our sidewalks clean and then those safety issues on the sidewalk-on the sidewalks in our-in our How many licenses, and I'm kind of following through some of the testimony, does a vendor have to have before even getting to the-the street permit.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

We already—we already talked about the food handler license for example. You can just walk us through what—what every vendor should have as they set up shop on a street.

LINDSAY GREENE: Sure. I'll defer first to Commissioner Schiff on—with regards to food vending and Commissioner Gradau (sp?) with just general vending.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: The Health

Department licenses and other food vending licenses

they're separate from the permit. You have a permit.

If you're permittee, you have a permit and a license,

but to be vendors you have license.

council Member Menchaca: And so it's essentially two different licenses. Someone could have a food handlers license or the mobile vending license, and then they get their street vending to be able to be on the street as well?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: [pause]

The—if I understand your question correctly, the—to
then you need the mobile food vending license from
the Health Department. The permit applies to the—to
the units. So there's -there are people who have a

they need is the license.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

permit, and so they—it's sort of essential that the owner of that unit, and then different—it's the same or different people can then form that unit, and all

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Great I quess what I'm-what I'm trying to point to is that there are a couple of things that people need to have. There are vendors right now that have one of them already, and they're just waiting for us to open the gates and get it. So I guess what I-I just wanted to point to--and this is an analysis that we can do as a committee--is people think that all of a sudden when we lift the cap there's going to be a sea of new vendors, and what we're actually doing is just permitting some of the vendors that are already on the street and have had history with a location and neighborhood, and -- So I just want -- there's a point that I just wanted to make sure that—that as—as people are understanding this, this is not going to create a massive amount of new permits or new vendors that are going to come from thin air. These arethese are essentially going to create new opportunities for currently unpermitted vendors to have permits. Is—am I getting that correct?

2.2

2.3

2 LINDSAY GREENE: That is a possibility—
3 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing] a
4 Possibility.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: It—it—it

depends on the extent and the number of vendors that

are currently on the ground. There could be a

mismatch between the number of existing licenses that

we have and some of those may not always be

legitimate, and the—the amount—amount of vendors that

are actually on the street.

to move to my next question, which is on this waiting list. I want to understand that a little bit more and how the waiting list is—is essentially going to operate in this first—first come, first served on the waiting list. Can you walk us through that again so we can really understand how in March of next—in 2018 when we release those first new license—new permits, how—how the waiting list is going to work, and—and how confident you feel about that waiting list. Is this a stale waiting list? Kind of give me a sense of analysis on—on that as well.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: So as—as we read the legislation, it sets out that what we were

2.2

2.3

discussing before three priority tiers for acquiring one of the new 600 permits per year, and the bill also offers departments do rulemaking. So we would engage in our—in our rulemaking process to set up a process for the wait list that would provide a—a fair and open and equal opportunity for all the people who—who can demonstrate that they meet one of these priority levels established in the bill to be eligible for the—one of the new permits.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: So that would be more clear after the rulemaking is done?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHIFF: We're not clear yet to talk about the details of how we would do that but we would engage in a rulemaking process. So that would be open to public comments, of course, and—and revisions.

think there's just-just-and we're going to listen to some of the advocates on—on all sides, but I think we're going to—we're going to hear a little bit about the difficulty in this waiting list, and-and we know we have to start somewhere because there is a list, but it would be great—it would be interesting to see if we can listen to them. [bell] And my final

2.2

2.3

question if I can just pitch it to you is what role does the Department of Health have, and really the city have in ensuring that whatever booklet you just showed us now and it's out in the world, and—and really to educate folks. What role did you have as a city agency or a city agencies in getting these vendors to comply? What role do you have and what role and accountability are you taking yourselves?

Not just to create the rules, but also usher people into a—a healthier and rule abiding vendor community?

LINDSAY GREENE: Certainly. I'll-I'll-

I'll take a stab at that. We make every effort particularly as part of our—our licensing process, as Commissioner Schiff mentioned, to make sure is adequate information, and there are trainings that we have available in—in—in multiple places that go a long way towards to ensuring that vendors are aware of the vending, which they are expected to operate. We are obviously open to discussing any suggestions folks might have so that we can enhance that if folks feel like it needs enhancement, and we think that as part of the discussion we have in the Advisory Board.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: And again—and again, this is—I think this is part of the innovation

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that we're going to have to bring to this discussion because I think there's a lot of vendors that want to comply.

LINDSAY GREENE: Uh-huh.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: There's a lot. of vendors that want to view this, but I think innovation is going to be required from the city's perspective to figure out how we can-how we can bridge that gap more, and solve some of these issues. Because at the end of the day--and this is my last comment, Chair-is we-essentially, we're talking about people from all sides, our brick and mortars and our vendors that are from the community. They live in the community. They all know each other, and we're all-we're all part of a family, and I know we havewe've had a lot of attempts from our local Business Improvement District that have-have tried to do so But I think there's a need, they need to receive real support, innovative support from the City agencies to ensure that there is compliance, and to offer some real gap measures to that vendors can take that leap, and-and comply at higher rates. Now that we have this unit, I hope this unit can also take that on in a big way.

2	LINDSAY GREENE: Certainly we are—we are
3	open to discussing all those issues. We make every
4	effort to make our materials and trainings as
5	successful as possible. We offer them in-in multiple
6	language, and multiple languages, excuse, and are
7	always open to discussing ways, too, that it has
8	access forfor people.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: And hopefully
10	we can-we can measure that success in the next-in the
11	next version of our—our chapter in street vendors in
12	the city. Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Before
14	we move forward I want to also—I want to pause this
15	hearing again to-first to recognize Councilwoman
16	Julissa Ferreras from Queens, and open up the roll to
17	Intro 1017-C, the Freelances Act.
18	CLERK: Continuation of roll call, the
19	Committee on Consumer Affairs, Council Member
20	Ferreras-Copeland.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND: I vote

CLERK: The final vote now on 24 Introduction 1017-C stands at 5 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and no abstentions. Thank you.

22

23

25

aye.

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. 3 close that roll and now we will switch back to the Vendors Act and Julissa also has some questions. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND: I just wanted to ask-I know that the 6 you, Chair. predominate number of cases that we get about 8 complaints about vending is focused on illegal vending. So, if you are given the additional 10 resources needed to create this enforcement and 11 actually enforce the rules that currently exist, 12 would that alleviate a lot of the concerns that you 13 get as complaints, that we all get in our office, it-14 it-would-do you see that if we are able to actively 15 improve the enforcement mechanism that the city 16 hasn't done in the post that that can potentially be 17 a part of-of our discussions, do you see that as a 18 way to alleviated some of the complaints that our 19 residents and small business owners have? 20 LINDSAY GREENE: Councilwoman, thank you.

Just to clarify, your question is about would more enforcement improve complaints?

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND: more enforcement reduce complaints because we will have less of the illegal vending?

2.2

2.3

2 LINDSAY GREENE: That would obviously be
3 a hope and a goal of more enforcement. We would
4 absolutely want to make sure that as we think about
5 ways to enhance enforcement, we think about the scope
6 and structure of it so that we could adequately
7 address the complaints that we've seen.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND: Can you walk me through the strategies the Administration currently has on enforcement against any of the black market, the existing black market permits?

LINDSAY GREENE: So, we are aware of the black market. We cannot be certain particularly--

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND:
[interposing] Can you bring your mic closer because I can barely hear you.

LINDSAY GREENE: Sorry. Apologies. We are obviously a very aware—aware of the black market. We don't—cannot quantify the extent of it—and how it originates. We can certainly quantify through our count at least that a staff shot in time how many illegal permits or licenses are—are there, but we—we do not know the entire scope of the market as it currently exists.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND: What

3 is the Administration's current strategy to ensure

4 that the new permits that you will issue aren't

5 easily forged or, you know, is there a mechanism? Is

6 there a way that these new permits are not going to

7 be easily forged, which is a reality that we have

8 today?

LINDSAY GREENE: With regards to the proposed increase in permits, I can't speak to how we don't--

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND:

[interposing] Can anyone else speak to the—the technical component of the actual permit? How you can prevent it from being forged? So that those that have, you know, are going to through this process that are going to have potentially their legal permitting that they're able to have the protections to understand that they can't forged?

LINDSAY GREENE: I think that is—those are the kinds of things, you know, I don't know that we're aware of—of any particular deficiencies in that regard as it stands today. But it's certainly something we—we—we will study as part of the Advisory

2.2

2.3

- Board. I-I-we-I don't we can comment on the technical specifics of the-of the permits today.
- 4 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay,
 5 Chair, I'll just-
 - LINDSAY GREENE: [interposing] We can get you with you with some advocates on that.
- 8 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS-COPELAND: Okay.
 9 Thank you, Chair.
 - CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Alright. Thank

 you. I also want to acknowledge my good friend from

 Brooklyn Jumaame Williams. [background comments,

 laughter] Mark Levine, other questions?

Mr. Chair. I do feel we need to clarify just what this package of bills does and doesn't do. I think there's some misinformation out there. We are creating the city's first eve enforcement unit. We never did this before. This will be a team of people who everyday have one and only one mandate, which is to enforce the rules related to street vending. So many of the concerns, very valid concerns, which I've heard raised over the last two and a half hours have at their root been because we're not enforcing consistently, and that is exactly what we are trying

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to tackle in these bills. The first year that's all we're doing. The first year is about letting the enforcement settle in, and only then beginning in 2018 would we have a-a relatively gentle measured increase in the number of legal permits over the following seven years that would be matched by the creation of the first ever Street Vendor Advisory Board comprised of brick and mortar merchants, business interest groups, community groups, vendors themselves who would oversee the implementation, who would prepare an annual report on its effectiveness and would suggest changes to the laws if and when they are needed. This is I think an incredibly balanced approach which I believe addresses the concerns that we've heard today. I have to say regarding the Administration I have been somewhat disappointed at the negative tone that permeated your remarks over the last couple of hours, and your-your repeated comments that you don't have enough information about a problem which has been festering for decades. This is not new. This is not the first time the City Council has addressed this. not the first time you've discussed this matter with the Council. You've been hearing complaints from all

- sides about this for—for year and decades, and there was—your—your refusal to even concede some very, very basic realities about this challenge that every New Yorker knows including the prevalence of thousands of unpermitted vendors is—is pretty surprising. So I—I do just want to just clarify a few things. Do—do you, in fact, agree that we need to have more consistent enforcement of the street vending rule?
- Variety of issues that you and—and your colleagues and—and—and various members of different stakeholders, communities raise are really important. We want to make sure we get it right. We take the concept of enforcement, we take our licensing and permitting authorities very seriously. We want to be very deliberate and make sure we get it right.
- COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay. I—I think

 I heard a yes there. I'm—I'm going to take that as a

 yes. You agree we need more enforcement. Do you

 agree that if—

LINDSAY GREENE: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: --that--that the basic law-did you want to clarify that?

LINDSAY GREENE: No. I was just-

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] Go
3 ahead.

LINDSAY GREENE: --going to say we—we definitely want to discuss enforcement and the way to do it effectively and if—if changes should be made we obviously support the-the notion that we do need more enforcement of rules with that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Yes. Okay. So next question. Do you agree that if we increase the number of permits that it will incentivize some people to move from unpermitted vending to permitted vending, and that it will lower the price of permits on the illegal market?

LINDSAY GREENE: We can't speak to the impact of permits on what happens in underground markets for those permits.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: It is—it is the law of supply and demand, right? You may increase the supply. That's basic economics and the price falls.

LINDSAY GREENE: Yes, that is one of the factors that—that go into those market dynamics.

Thee are other factors that I've—we admit (sic) we are aware of that at play in—in the vending market.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And do you agree
3	there needs to be some form for all the relevant
4	agencies-I think there are six or more to come to the
5	table together with the relevant public interest
6	groups to evaluate this issue?
7	LINDSAY GREENE: Absolutely. We are en-
8	enthusiastic in our support of the Advisory Board.
9	We've-we've mentioned a lot of times that it was-it
10	was not meant to be negative in many way.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Alright, I know
12	I'd get at least one firm answer out of you. Thank
13	you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
14	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Public
15	Advocate James.
16	PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So before I give
17	my comment, I just have a question for the
18	Administration. As I was entering into the building,
19	I was told that the Administration basically supports
20	an omni-omnibus bill, which would include part of
21	each of this bill into one big ugly. Is that true?
22	LINDSAY GREENE: I'm-I'm not sure I-I-I
23	follow the question. There's-there's the state of
24	the bills in consideration today.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Yes.

2.2

2.3

2 LINDSAY GREENE: There are multiple.

3 PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Yes.

LINDSAY GREENE: There is—there is one that we would all believe to be larger in scope than the others. That' 1303. So we've—we've tried to comment on them as a package obviously.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So do you support one omnibus bill, which would include aspects of each, and bring all of the bills before us today. Or do you believe that they should be voted on separately and apart?

of the issues that are mentioned in some of the bills. I think particularly as it relates to particular modifications consisting of technical specific in the code. We think doing those in isolation without look at the rest of the code comprehensively, may not be the most productive. So we—we—we would like to defer the discussion on those to a comprehensive code review.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you. I want to thank the Chair and I want to thank Council Member Espinal and his staff for holding a hearing on this critical—on these critical pieces of

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

legislation. I also want to thank all of my Council colleagues and friends for sponsoring and supporting these important bills. And I-but I particularly want to thank Council Member Levine for allowing me to say a few words, and for allowing me to co-sponsor his bill today. I also want to thank the advocates particularly the Street Vendor Project and the Freelances Union for their tireless efforts. voice of the hardworking men and women who help power our economy, but are often left out of the process, and finally are being heard. Not only vendors but also small businesses in the State of New York. For far too long both freelancers and street vendors have often been forced to live in a shadow economy that exists besides our-beside our own providing invaluable contributions to our city, but often without the safeguards and lawful recourse that our laws could provide. And, I am proud that we are moving forward with provisions that will finallyfinally provide freelancers with real protections against unscrupulous employers who would seek to cheat them out of what they are owed. However, I am primarily here today in support of measures that will finally bring common sense to the confusing and

conflicting nest that makes up our street vendor
regulatory scheme. Street vendors are hardworking
small business owners. They are a vital part of our
city's future, and its flavor and its culture.
However, I do understand that there are at least two
sides to the story, and that some people who own
small businesses or live or work in areas with heavy
concentration of street vendors have legitimate
concerns, and that's what makes this bill such a
smart piece of policy. Both sides can be heard, and
hopefully we'll come up with a smart framework that
will legitimize this, and regulate street vending at
the same protecting small businesses in the city of
New York. The status quo is broken, and this does
not mean to-need to be a zero sum game. Everyone car
be a winner. If we take the time to do this right
with the administration with all of you here today.
And so I look forward to the thoughtful discussion or
the issues today in going forward, and it's an honor
and a privilege to see my former commanding officer
of the 88 th Precinct here, and I know under his
leadership we'll-we will get this right. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Public

Advocate James. I don't believe my colleagues have

issues. There is no such thing. Street vendors have

25

been a controversial issue in New York for well over 2 3 a century. There have always been tension between 4 food vendors and fixed location businesses, which is what you hear today. In the 1800s in an effort to 5 ease these tension vendors were forced to move every 6 7 half hour. After the Depression, Mayor La Guardia tried to move them to six off-sidewalk locations, and 8 Mayor Giuliani tried to close virtually every Manhattan street to them. The reason street vendors 10 11 and especially food vendors persist is simple, New 12 Yorkers love inexpensive accessible good food and 13 enterprising recent immigrants want to take advantage 14 of the opportunity that this entry level business 15 affords them. In March 2015, I used a report, Small Business Big Impact, which tries to look at the issue 16 17 the same way I believe the Council is looking at this 18 issue. Food vendors are a type of small business 19 that should be treated as a small business. 20 report I called for raising the cap on food vendor 21 permits. The Speaker and Council Member Levine's 2.2 legislation, which I am proud to join starts with 2.3 that promise that pairs it with an equally important one, which Council Levine and others have focused on 24 given that food vending is a business that occurs on 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

public space sidewalks. We have to pay special attention to enforcement. The Council legislation seeks to balance new opportunities for vendors with improved enforcement. Importantly the set up of the Special Enforcement Office comes first. First, we need to make sure we have adequate and targeted enforcement, and I think we should all be open to carefully considering the speed and amount of increasing the cap to make sure our enforcement can keep up with it. But no balanced proposal can be effective without both of these critical components. The Council deserves praise for the third and perhaps most important element of the legislation, the mandate it creates to experiment and think outside of the box. I've been around long enough to know how complicated this issue is and how easy fixes are destined to fail. In the 1980s, Mayor Koch threw out all of the street regulations in Manhattan, and in the 1990s, Mayor Dooley closed most of them with very little review. We see where the approaches got us. This legislation requires for boroughs, specific pilot programs that would allow DOT to work with the community boards and the business improvement districts to figure out new placement arrangements

for vendors that would accommodate the need of both 2 3 the vendors and the communities. During the time of 4 these pilot programs, new enforcement units will be 5 required to focus on these areas. That's a good idea. Maybe we will come up with a program that 6 improves the situation for pedestrians and vendors. 8 Maybe we will learn which types of restrictions work and which have just been unnecessarily layered on top of each other throughout decades of frustration. 10 11 while these experiments are being conducted, the cap will be slowly lifted with each incremental lifting 12 13 being studied and subject to recommendation by an 14 advisory panel. At the same time, we can try to give 15 food vendors the benefit of commercial kitchens 16 through a pilot program in which we link them to EDC 17 funded kitchens and other commercial kitchens that 18 may be available for such use like senior centers. 19 This would help improve food safety and hygiene 20 especially for our newest vendors and may help some 21 businesses move past vending into opportunities for catering or fixed location businesses. 2.2 The academic 2.3 in me loves nothing more than these types of controlled experiments. I also support Intro 72, 24 which I have sponsored along with Council Member 25

2 Johnson, Koo, Koslowitz and Levin. This proposed 3 local law would provide us with information o the 4 number of pushcarts and food trucks out on the streets and sidewalks, which would be valuable in 5 crafting pilot programs in reviewing current 6 regulations. Finally, I cannot emphasize enough that 7 in order for this to work, this cannot be thought of 8 a zero sum game between street vendors and fixed location businesses. I know have the tensions have-10 11 have always existed that we will not be able to 12 magically erase. Boy, do I know that. This bill 13 recognizes vendors as the smallest of our businesses 14 that have a place in our city's economic life, but 15 while this legislation provides opportunities for 16 food vendors, they will also require them to take 17 their responsibilities more seriously. My hope is 18 that at the end of this, there will be some 19 additional opportunities for food vendors that we 20 will no longer have situation where there are walls 21 of vendors on particular streets block fixed location 2.2 businesses, and vendors stands that are four times 2.3 the legal size constituting whole produce stores. Ιf you want to be this big or take up this much space, 24 you really need to find a store. But improving this 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

situation for food vendors does not mean we worsen it for fixed locations. In fact, our hope is that vendors-I'm almost done-will become profitable and want to grow, will leave the sidewalk and move into fixed location businesses. I call them storefronters. We need to help our storefronters and those who aspire to become. That's why Council Member Johnson and I are working on a bill that would eliminate the commercial rent tax in Manhattan for owner/operator retail and food service establishments in storefronts as well as for all supermarkets. hope the Council will consider this legislation together with the vendor reform bills. Today we affirm our position that street vendors are legitimate small business worthy of our attention. We certainly can offer no less to our storefront business, and must help them in their struggle to survive in the face of national change and high rents. Thank you for your opportunity-for the opportunity to testify today on this important legislation. Thank you. [pause]

SENATOR PERALTA: Good afternoon. I'm

State Senator Jose Peralta. I represent the 13th

Senatorial District in Queens. First and foremost, I

must add and start off with I thought it was tough 2 3 enough to get a straight from the Administration up 4 in Albany, and I can see that they dance on you, too. Good afternoon and thank you for inviting me to testify today on this important issue of street 6 7 vending regulations in New York City. First, will 8 you allow me to personally thank the Chairman, Council Member Espinal for allowing me to testify here today before the New York City Council Committee 10 11 on Consumer Affairs. Let me also extend my gratitude 12 to Council Members Koslowitz and Ferreras-Copeland, 13 Levine, Menchaca, Williams, all of whom I am glad to 14 call not only my colleagues but friends. It's my 15 pleasure to be here with all of you this afternoon. 16 For far too long, a reform of the city rules 17 governing street vendor has been overdue. As many of 18 you may recall, Mayor Giuliani formed a Street Vendor 19 Review Panel in 1995, with the mission of addressing 20 many of the same problems that have brought us here 21 today. That panel has laid dormant for over 10 2.2 years. While issues surrounding congestion by vendors 2.3 in a pervasive black market for street vending permits have been allowed to grow exponentially in 24 25 scope and size during this time. In representing

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

State Senate District 13 I Queens, I know all too well what the result of ill fated policy has been on both street vendors and the brick and mortar establishments that sometimes directly compete with each other. My district includes Roosevelt Avenue, a corridor that has always served as a welcoming point for immigrants from all over the world who come to New York hoping to make a better life for themselves and their families. Many times these aspirations to achieve to the American dream rightly come in the form of starting a small business. Sometimes that small business is a storefront, and other times that small business is starting from the street vending operation-becomes a street vending operation. are equally important. However, with the lack of real regulation of street vending practices, Roosevelt Avenue like many other areas across the city has fallen into a state of disarray. It is not uncommon to see vendors arguing with brick and mortar business owners over the distance of the pushcarts from stores. It is uncommon to see serious health and safety issues that arise from unregulated vendors who I've witnessed emptying hot cooking oils onto the street or to see litter from vending operations all

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

over the sidewalk. Along Roosevelt Avenue it, too, is not uncommon to see street vendors shelling out several thousand dollars year to rent a permit from a holder who may not have been a street vendor him or herself for several decades. In fact, I've seen reports of permit holders flying back to New York from as far as Florida and Pakistan to renew their licenses in what's clearly become a very lucrative rental scheme. Simply put, there are no legitimate interest who are winning under the current regulatory scheme in New York City. Now, is the time for immediate action on this problem. I am pleased to see that that City Council under the leadership of Speaker Mark Viverito, Borough President Gale Brew and Council Member Levine has acted to bring real reform to the broken system of street vendor licensing. I am a supporter of the introduction of that introduction now under consideration by this committee that is—as it is very similar to legislation that I have sponsored up in Albany for several years now, which would amend the New York City Administrative Code to deal with these issues head on with a study, a formation of the commission that would study the issue and make recommendations

to the City Council, which I have included in my 2 3 testimony. I would recommend that you take a look at 4 it, and if you merit then maybe I can get a home 5 rule, but if not, that's fine. It is without question that the several city agencies involved with 6 7 enforcing the regulated street vending must collaborate effectively in order for any new policies 8 to be successful. In proposing just that, this legislation creates a Street Vendor Advisory Board 10 11 that brings together city agencies as well as representatives of street vendors and brick and 12 13 mortar business owners who will an equal seat the 14 The newly proposed Office of Street Vendor table. 15 Enforcement will finally bring real teeth to 16 curtailing illegal activity by the handful of street 17 vendors who do not recognize the responsibility as 18 good neighbors to all of those around me. I am also 19 pleased to see that City Council is considering 20 lifting the cap on street vendor licensing that has perpetuated a salacious black market wherein some 21 vendors pay in excess of over \$10,000 a year to rent 2.2 2.3 a license simply for the right to do business. prioritizing applicants who have been waiting a long 24 period of time for a license, and in some cases since 25

2 before 2011, this legislation offers a far and 3 graduated solution to eliminating this problem. 4 Additionally, the text of the legislation is strengthened by allowing the approval of licenses for a smaller number of new applicants annually, which 6 7 recognizes the reality that there is a growing demand 8 for street rule across the city inclusive of all the unique flavors and offering that are at the fabric of New York City's food scene. I will offer a strong 10 11 word of caution with regard to lifting the cap on 12 vending licenses, though. In anticipation of the likelihood that bad actors will attempt to secure 13 more than one vending license for the purpose of a 14 15 rental profit, the legislation currently limits the 16 issuance of a new applicant license to one per 17 person. However the Department of Consumer Affairs 18 and other relevant agencies must be vigilant in promul-promulgating rules that ensure that this is 19 20 how the regulatory reforms would actually proceed 21 especially with the advent of the IDNYC program there exists more safeguards than ever to confirm the 2.2 2.3 identity of a licensed applicant. In developing the new Office of Street Vendor Enforcement, I strongly 24 encourage the Administration to utilize the 25

2 technological developments that are at their 3 disposal, to counter the potential for duplicate 4 licenses to be issued to a simple-single applicant. For instance, license-licenses could be embedded with a barcode that will allow inspectors to instantly 6 7 determine the identity of not only the license holder, but the identity of all workers who would be 8 registered to work at particular cart. This effort would be a critical step forward ensuring that a 10 11 license holder is actually operating a particular cart versus renting out their licenses to an 12 13 unsuspecting market entry. It is also no secret that 14 some employers routinely coerce workers into 15 dishonest behavior in order to achieve their own 16 pecuniary gain. Correspondingly, the office should 17 develop a protocol to detect situations where a 18 license holder is pressuring an employee or family 19 member to seek the improper issuance of a second 20 permit on their behalf. Additionally, the Office should engage in routine inspections to determine 21 whether street vending licenses are being rented for 2.2 2.3 profit, rather than conducting sporadic sweeps that target a group of vendors every few months. Again, 24 from experience in my district, the occasional fines 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that issued to vendors in violation of the law have largely been integrated as the cost of doing business rather than having a deterrent effect. The black market must be minimized at every cost in order to provide legitimate street vendors with the economic means that are required to succeed. Constant communication and collaboration between the Office of Street Vendor Enforcement and the vendors with whom they would maintain oversight is key to producing viable results. While I am encouraged by the proposed system, which would include the publishing of relevant regulations in several languages as well as the proposed examination that would serve as a threshold to the issuance of a new license, I believe that those hired to enforce the City's street vendor regulations must also receive adequate training on pertinent rules and policy trends as they develop. Should new regulations be promulgated as a result of the Board's work, the office should embark upon extent-extensive outreach campaigns to notify street vendors and business owners of changes in policies as well as how much such changes affect their legal privileges and responsibilities. As the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene testified, there should

2 be a safety and health issue component addressed and 3 I agree. An item that is not included in this 4 particular legislation is the establishment of a mandatory grading system for street vendors who sell food similar to that already in place for traditional 6 restaurants in the city. I am the sponsor 8 legislation that would the implementation of such a New York Senate Bill S-1311-A. In taking the street vendors in my own district, feedback 10 11 clearly indicates that many vendors are in favor such 12 a grading system as it brings legitimacy to their 13 business and quells the concerns of consumers who may 14 think their food is unsafe to eat. My experience 15 with street vendors is that—that the vast majority 16 are extremely proud of their offers with the vast 17 majority also preparing their food using sanitary 18 methods. While I am encouraged by the educational 19 programs the current introduction seeks to provide to 20 vendors, I believe that the establishment of a 21 grading system brings everyone a step closer to putting street vendors on par with brick and mortar 2.2 2.3 businesses. I also commend the City Council for working to initiate a pilot program in the city's 24 public school cafeterias and other commercial 25

2 kitchens to develop food preparation space for 3 I believe that these shared kitchen spaces 4 or kitchen incubators as they are called are an essential tool in getting some small businesses off In fact, Governor Cuomo recently signed 6 the ground. 7 a bill into law that was sponsored by myself and my 8 colleagues Assembly Member Crespo, which will now waive state licensing fees for the use of these facilities for the first two years of their use. 10 11 street vendors and other small business entrepreneurs 12 hoping to manufacture their food items on a greater 13 scale, the availability of a commercial kitchen 14 facility can be a lifeline to the expansion of a 15 business and its ultimate growth and success. 16 Queens alone some of the borough's most popular 17 mobile vendors have recently opened up their own 18 storefronts, most notably Epilady (sic) along 19 Roosevelt Avenue in my district and the famous, the 20 King of Falafel and Shawarma in Astoria. In closing, 21 it is promising to see that the city is considering leveraging new innovations and brining about real 2.2 2.3 solutions to the ongoing issue of street vendor policy in the form of new street signage that makes 24 it clear to vendors where and when they may conduct 25

business on a development of newly designated vending
locations in high traffic areas. Roosevelt Avenue
being a prime example of undue and unsafe sidewalk
congestion, it is important that we come together as
elected officials to promote a sustainable set of
reform that full take the interest of all
stakeholders into account. As you know, this effort
has truly been decades in the making, and we owe it
to all New Yorkers to develop intelligent policies
that addresses every aspect of this ongoing problem.
Again, I thank you for inviting me to testify here
today, and at this point I'll be glad to answer any
questions.
CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Well,

thank you for coming in and thank your for your advocacy. We appreciate it. [background comments, pause] The next panel we have Julia Chindorazo (sic) from the Street Vendor's Project, Mahamed Mahia from the Street Vendor Project, and Sean Bazinski from the Street Vendor's Project. [background comments, pause]

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Keep it down please.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: In the interest of time we're going to keep the clock at three minutes.

2 MAHAMED ASSIA: Good afternoon, Misters 3 and now Chairman, but also known as City Council 4 Members. I firstly I want to thank you so much for having mere here today. My name is Mahamed Assia. I'm a food vendor. I've been vending for eight years 6 eight, and right now I work on 53rd Street and Park 7 Avenue and make smoothies. I'm a leadership board 8 member with the Vendor Project. I just want to thank you so much for having this legislation introduced. 10 11 Firstly, we can talk about our biggest issue ever as food vendors, which is the lack of the permit. 12 13 now the cap has been postponing the permits more than 14 three decades ago. So, now for three decades there 15 are no more permits available for any vendors, and we 16 believe that this is the main reason that created the 17 black market, and that's why any food vendor to 18 operate legally to be vending legally on the street, 19 he has to go to the black market. There is no other 20 way to get a permit from the city, and that's why 21 this a major problem we face, and believe it or not, the price in the black market nowadays goes up to 2.2 2.3 \$25,000. That is paid for someone as was said earlier who is not a vendor necessarily who might be 24 living n a different state or a different county. He 25

2 just comes here for a few days to collect the money 3 and leave. This person paid the City \$200 only to 4 renew and he gets \$25,000 for two years permits. So now, we believe if the city issued more permits that 5 will really attack the black market. That will make 6 7 a very big difference with a lot of vendors. 8 Thousands of vendors right now are vending and they're dealing with the black market. If they got an opportunity to get their permits of the city 10 11 legally, they will stop dealing with the black 12 So finally this is maybe the only way to end 13 the black market, and then all these vendors will be 14 vending legally. As vendors, I can tell you we work 15 so hard. We support our families, we pay our taxes, 16 we serve all New Yorkers. We serve fresh food all 17 over New York City and I believe all New Yorkers know 18 that, and they are so happy with vendors everywhere. 19 A lot of opposition is coming out from the street 20 clutter, coming out from illegal vending issues. 21 do understand that, and we are aware of all these 2.2 concerns, but meanwhile you have to know that vendors 2.3 have a lot of rules and regulations to follow. not only having a license and a permit and you just 24 25 go out there, sit anywhere and vend and do a

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	business. We have to follow a lot, a lot of the
3	rules and regulations. That makes it sometimes
4	impossible for a legal spot to be legal. So even if
5	there's a street that is not restricted for vending,
6	you can't just find nobody vending there because of
7	the big list of rules and regulations. A lot of
8	things need to be clarified. I heard a lot of
9	concerns from City Council members, and it seems to
10	be like it is not really clear, and I'm so sad that
11	the Administration didn't have enough sufficient
12	questions-I mean enough sufficient answers for you.
13	So, if you have any questions of any concerns you
14	want to ask us as vendors because we are the people
15	on the ground who will be so happy to answer. Thank
16	you so much. [pause]

JULIA CHINDARAZO: [Speaking Spanish] TRANSLATOR: And good morning. Here name is Julia. She's a food vendor. She sells ice creams in Queens, and she is going to be translating.

JULIA CHINDARAZO: [Speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: We need help getting the permits. We a lot of times our-our products have been removed. We have gotten tickets of over \$1,000 sometimes.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 158
2	JULIA CHINDARAZO: [Speaking Spanish]
3	TRANSLATOR: She has two sons to support.
4	JULIA CHINDARAZO: [Speaking Spanish]
5	TRANSLATOR: Her mom suffers from
6	epilepsy.
7	JULIA CHINDARAZO: [Speaking Spanish]
8	TRANSLATOR: She supports her and a
9	brother.
10	JULIA CHINDARAZO: [Speaking Spanish]
11	TRANSLATOR:
12	JULIA CHINDARAZO: [Speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR: She would to have the more
14	permit so shouldn't have to
15	JULIA CHINDARAZO: [Speaking Spanish]
16	TRANSLATOR: So she can create a better
17	future for her kids.
18	JULIA CHINDARAZO: [Speaking Spanish]
19	TRANSLATOR: And don't have to pay as
20	many tickets of a \$1,000.
21	JULIA CHINDARAZO: [Speaking Spanish]
22	TRANSLATOR: If we don't have permits on
23	the streets, we are treated like criminals.
24	JULIA CHINDARAZO: [Speaking Spanish]

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 TRANSLATOR: On the streets, they take 3 our stuff.

JULIA CHINDARAZO: [Speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: And if you will help our future to improve.

SEAN BAZINSKI: Well, thank you Mahamed and thank you Julia and thank you to the Chair, and also to Council Member Levine and Council Member Chin who are in here and supporting vendors around the city. My name is Sean Bazinski. I was a vendors almost 20 years ago, and I wasn't tough enough to be a vendor so I went to law school, and so I've been working with Street Vendor Project ever since then, and I'm really honored and privileged to be here today to help lend a small voice to the thousands of vendors who, of course, can't be here, Council Members. They are working today. They may not even know about this legislation. Many of them don't, and yet they will be-their lives will be affected by it fundamentally. And, in fact, I-I-and so if I can speak one word properly for them I'll be lucky to do I have here, and we've been hearing so much-so many valid concerns today but I actually have here the list of the 2,500 vendors on the permit waiting

2 It starts with Josefina Abad, and it goes onto Mahamed Zurikosh (sp?), and it has 2,500 Mahameds and 3 4 Marias and many other names in between of people who struggle every day, and who need permits to be able to survive legally. They pay their taxes. 6 They want 7 to follow the rules. The—the summary of our 8 testimony is we believe that the Street Vendor Modernization Act is certainly far from our dream legislation. It's far from it, but it is a 10 11 reasonable compromise and a real step forward in 12 terms of making a better system. The caps have been 13 talked about already very well by Mahamed and Julia. 14 So I'd like to talk on-touch on some of the other 15 things, very important things that our industry has done in the modernization act quickly. They may not 16 get as much attention. One is that we support the 17 18 Office of Vendor Enforcement because the vending 19 rules are complicated and we need a well trained 20 group of enforcement officials to be able to apply 21 them properly. Two, are the special permits for 2.2 disabled veteran vendors, and I want to recognize the 2.3 work of two of our leadership board members who are veterans Donny McKeller and Robert Swan who are here 24 who pushed to make that special permit for veterans a 25

25

2 reality, and making sure we don't leave the veterans 3 behind. As for the Street Vendor Advisory Board, 4 this will be first time that vendors have a voice inside the government, and we applaud that effort and 5 we look forward to working with that board on issues 6 that came up today, issues like air quality. 7 8 Certainly an important issue that vendors would like to deal with not just for the health or the whole safety of the quality of the whole safety but their 10 11 own health. They are the ones who are suffering over 12 these grills everyday, and it will be great to be 13 able to work and make recommendations on the 14 technology that might be more useful, and there could 15 be incentive programs that could be used for example 16 to help with that. That is something that the Street 17 Vendor Advisory Board could do. Quickly, we support 18 the plans to make information more accessible. 19 support the rules that make it safer to be a vendor 20 on the street like allowing the three feet for a 21 vendor to operate without being in the traffic and 2.2 getting hit by cars. That supports Vision Zero. 2.3 do note that there are a number of things that we would like to see that were not in the legislation. 24

We're disappointed in that. For example, there were-

2	we asked-we would love to see a reduction in the 20-
3	foot rule, and the overly strict existing ban on
4	vendors away from hospitals to be looked at. We
5	heard that the Administration is interested in doing
6	the study. We welcome that study, and we would love
7	to be a part of it, but vendors have been waiting 35
8	years for this change. The Administration—we
9	launched this campaign publicly 2-1/2 years ago. The
10	Administration has known very well. Cranes did a
11	report in May of 2015 that said they thought that a
12	bill would be introduced that summer, last summer.
13	And so we certainly welcome a study to be done, but
14	we don't believe that that should delay the progress
15	that needs to be made, and the time for reform is
16	now. Thank you very much.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you Mr.
18	Bazinski and thank you to your our panel. Just want

Bazinski and thank you to your our panel. Just want to ask a question in Spanish, and I'll repeat in English. [Speaking Spanish]

JULIA CHINDARAZO: Si.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I'm just going to repeat that in English. Sorry. I just—I just asked Ms. Chindarazo to clarify that if she were given the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

opportunity to buy into the permit system to pay \$1,000 would she do it. [Speaking Spanish]

JULIA CHINDARAZO: [Speaking Spanish]

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I'll-I'll

translate as well, and—and I'll give just a little color commentary where she said yes, though she felt the price was steep, but the opportunity to-to vend in the street with fear would-would make it worth it, and I-I think it's important to emphasize that-and we'll hear from Mahamed I hope in a moment that we see here someone who is actually telling us the City of New York I want to work within the law. someone who said give me the chance to work within the law. That's-that's all she's asking. that's admirable, and I think the city is better off. If you are given that opportunity, and it's something that—that I'm hoping we can accomplish. Mr. Assia, if you care to expound on the same question about whether you'd be willing to buy a permit and how that calculus makes sense for you.

MAHAMED ASSIA: Sure. I think that would be a great idea, and we as vendors we feel so sad that we are paying that much money to somebody and the city doesn't benefit from this. So I believe if

we pay \$1,000 to the city to get a permit legally
under our names that would be a great idea. So even
if it goes higher than \$1,000, that's still very good
for us. That's way better than paying \$25,000 every
two years, and the bad news I have to tell everybody
is the price is going higher every single year. That
is \$25,000 tens years go. Ten years it was less than
\$6,000. So you can imagine how rapidly it goes
higher every year. So, as the city hasn't issued any
more permits, in a couple of years we're expecting to
hear something like \$30,000, \$50,000 who knows. But
if the city would benefit from this much money, we
will be so glad to pay it. Why not?

Assia, and Mr. Bazinski, maybe you can help shed light on something I simply could not get an answer from the Administration on. How do you explain the timing of a study on an issue, which has been front and center in the city for decades, and on the legislative agenda of this—this counsel for—for a long time. How do you explain the timing at exactly this moment beginning in November of this study that the City wants to do?

2	SEAN BAZINSKI: I don't know, Council
3	Member. I-we hadn't heard that before from the
4	Administration, but we-I do know that, you know, a
5	lot of times when people don't want something done,
6	they say let's have a study, and we've heard this
7	many times with vendors. People go oh, we need to
8	start from the beginning. Well, here on the table
9	today we have real possibilities for reform written
10	down and introduced by you, thank you, and signed now
11	by 14 Council Members. So, there's a lot of-of room
12	in the bill through the advisory board to work on
13	the-on the details, and to do more studies. I mean
14	we love to do studies, and we'd love to do a study
15	with the Administration, but I do feel like for them
16	to wait until today and say that they're going to
17	launch a study when they have known this has been
18	coming down the pipe that vendors have been clamoring
19	on the steps of City Hall for more than 2-1/2 years
20	now. I think that that's a little disingenuous
21	timing wise, but still we look forward to working
22	with them.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Bazinski for your-your advocacy, and leadership on

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

2 this, and—and thank you to our entire panel. We
3 appreciate you being here.

SEAN BAZINSKI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: We still have more questions.

SEAN BAZINSKI: Thank you, I'm sure you do.

question for you because I think your—the Street

Vendor Project did a—a report about only 26% of the

vendors believe that they have a good understanding

of the City's vending—the Vendors Law. I didn't get

a chance to ask you today, but can you maybe just

talk about your effort, I mean your organization's

effort in terms of educating the vendors about the

laws and the regulations? And also what does DCA

give them when they apply for a license or like do

people have information in different languages

telling them what the laws are by the City, or not??

SEAN BAZINSKI: No, they don't, Council

Member Chin, and thank you for that question. The

rules, as we know, are very complicated, and so our

organization actually produced a pamphlet, a visual

pamphlet in five languages to help vendors understand

2.2

2.3

the rules, and we have distributed more than 5,000 of those at our expense. Just this past month, the—after—after years of this pamphlet being out, we applaud the Health Department for coming out with the—a visual guide as well. But the rules are still very difficult. They're still only offered in English, and they come from many different locations, and so training is important. Vendors are asking for training, and as well as police. The vendors would love to know what the rules are so that they can follow them. So we look forward to the chance to work with the Administration to help to make the rules more understandable for all people in all languages.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Good. Well, thank you.

SEAN BAZINSKI: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [pause] Our next panel we have Ellen Baer and Michael Lambert from the New York City BID Association. We have Monica Blum from Lincoln Square BID. We have Jennifer Brown from Flatiron BID. We have Jeffrey La Francois from the Repacking BID. We have Lisa Soren and John Bonizio from the Westchester Square BID, and we have—Again,

2.2

2.3

2 sorry if I-Kenya Braille from the New York Women's 3 Chamber of Commerce.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Folks, if your name was called, please come down. If you have copies of your statements bring them with you. Those that don't, please take any conversations outside, folks. Any conversations, any telephone use outside please. I guess anybody here for Higher Education it's in the room next door. So go stand in the back, and we'll get you to that room shortly. [background comments, pause]

MICHAEL LAMBERT: Good morning Chairman
Espinal, Sponsor Levine and other members of the City
Council. Thank for the opportunity to testify today
on behalf of New York City BID Association the Street
Vendor Modernization Act. The BID Association
represents the City Business Improvements Districts
across all five boroughs. I'm Michael Lambert from
the Bed-Stuy Gateway Business Improvement and I'm
sitting here with my co-chair Ellen Baer, President
of the Hudson Square Connection to my right. We
would like to provide an overview of the
Association's preliminary thoughts on the substantive
process relative to Intro 1303 and the other bills

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that form part of what's being called the Street Vendor Modernization Act. First of all, we applaud the City Council for attacking this complex issue, as many have noted. The laws and regulations governing street vendors have not been subject to a thorough overhaul in decades. Given the dramatic changes in the ways that New Yorkers use and travel through the public realm, we agree that it is high time to create a new framework for vendors, one that continues provide economic opportunities while respecting and effectively addressing questions of health, safety in shared public space. In the written testimony that we have submitted to you, we cover four areas that we think need improvement in the legislations introduced. If we had to sum it up in a single phrase, however, we would ask that you treat street vending as you would any other use of public space. They're all familiar with the rigorous process to approve a sidewalk café. Historically, we have not treated food vending carts the same way because they're mobile. We set general parameters, certain streets are open or closed at certain times of the day. The sidewalk clearance must be protected for pedestrians travel-for pedestrian traffic, and health

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and environmental regulations must be respected. we also all know that many vendors occupy the same spot of the sidewalk day after day, year after year just like newsstands or bike racks or Wi-Fi kiosks or sidewalk cafés. All these other uses require a formal process for siting with varying degrees of vocal input. We-we have to gradually double the number of mobile cars on the city streets. We believe that a single process must be established for vendors. [coughs] We are very happy to see the creating of a dedicated street vending enforcement unit. We believe better vending enforcement will be critical to successfully managing any increase in the number of food carts on city streets. enforcement unit must be adequately resourced to ensure that it is able to work effectively across the city, but further we also believe that we must rigorously evaluate how vending enforcement is working as-not after the number of permits grows along with every other impact of an increase including the impact on small storefront business on pedestrian safety, on sanitation, health, and the environment. Before we move forward with this-with issuing new permits we must have initial impacts so

to better understand the state of street vending
today. Subsequently, each year's increase should
also be subject to a formal valuation and approval
process based on objective criteria analysis that
measures the impact of previous increases. Finally,
we'd like to ask that do approve any change in the
current placement restrictions on vending such as
proximity to the curb and to crosswalks and subway
entrances until the new street vendor advisory board
has a chance to complete its comprehensive review of
current laws and regulations. This is a once an
opportunity—one in a generation opportunity to get
street vending right in a way that's fair to all
stakeholders. Such a complicated issue cannot be
rushed the legislative process without giving ample
time to the many concerned parties from small
business owners to local residents. Thank you very
much for giving us the opportunity to testify, and
we'll be happy to answer any questions you may have.
[pause]
CHAIDDEDCON ECDINAL. Angene

22 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Anyone?

MONICA BLUM: I don't know. Am I going

24 next or--?

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Oh, yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes.

MONICA BLUM: Okay, my name is Monica I run the Lincoln Square Business Improvement District. I've been the President for 20 years. First of all, I want to commend the Council for taking on this incredible task of trying to overhaul our vending system. However, I do not think that it should be done precipitously. You need to have—you need to consult with all stakeholders. I support the idea of an Office of Street Vendor Enforcement. I think that's a very good idea, but I think it must be adequately funded and must be 24/7. There's a lot of street vending activity on weekends and the evenings. One of the biggest problems with this bill is that there is no mechanism for siting, and you must have siting requirements. Right now, street vending is governed by the vendors. They determine where they go, and who goes where, and very often at least in our district, there have been fights. So you need to treat this the way you treat, and a lot of people said that before the Administration, newsstands, which have very, very strict criteria. Property owners must be notified as they are of newsstands because they're responsible for the repair and upkeep

2 of the sidewalks, and there need to be distances and 3 other requirements. New York City has changed 4 dramatically since-over the last 10 years and 20 We have a tremendous increase in street furniture. Our population has increased. I think 6 7 the City said it went from 7.4 to over 8-I think it's 8 8.9 now. I'm not sure. We now have 59 million visitors, tourists come to New York City every year. So you need to take that into account. We have bike 10 11 lanes. We have bike share. We have bike racks. We 12 have links. We have all these things on the 13 sidewalks that were not there before. Brick and 14 mortar concerns need to be respected as well. 15 Finally, I think—it's not finally. I have a couple 16 of other points. I think a study is a great idea, 17 but you don't study something seven years after you 18 start increasing the cap. We heard today that no one 19 really has an-an idea-an exact idea of how many 20 vendors are out there. We need to get a handle on 21 the numbers. Who's legal, who's illegal, who has forged-you know, forged permits. So study it first, 2.2 2.3 and I think the City studied, they talked about doing it, you know, by November, but they're not going to 24 study it on weekends. They said specifically they 25

2.2

2.3

were going to do it during the week. So you need to
have a comprehensive study. In my 20 years there has
never to your question, Mr. Levine, there has never
been a study and that needs to be undertaken.

Finally, clearances or the three bills that deal with

or the two bills that deal 54-514 [coughing] and 5115 that deal with clearances. Those are for safety. I mean for safety issues. You don't reduce clearances from bus stops from crosswalks from corners from driveways, and there's—and subway entrances. Those are the most congested. So I would strongly opposed those, and I think you should, too. So, and taxi stands as well. I think that covers most of my points. Thank you very much.

LISA SORIN: Good afternoon and thank you for allowing me the opportunity to address you today. My name is Lisa Sorin and I'm the Executive Director for the Westchester Square Business Improvement District in the Bronx. I'm here today representing my 77 property owners and 156 merchants.

Unfortunately, my merchants aren't able to be here today because unlike the businesses you are here to support and look to increase the number, my brick and mortar family-owned individuals do not have the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

luxury of being mobile as they are relying on me make their voices hear today. To you they're city representatives. As a former entrepreneur, I understand the desire individuals have to own a business and provide a stable financial income for their family. It is all a part of the American dream, but the concern and fears shared by my district is that our surrounding—and our surround commercial districts is how will this bill benefit them. Right now, our merchants have a long list of permits required by the City to start up and maintain their businesses. Not to mention being ready at any given moment for the deluge of inspectors that consistently visit their establishments. With that, come the rent, electricity, taxes, maintenance, garbage, and an in our case BID assessments, and various other expenses that come with having a storefront. This is without taking into account the money and time spend in research and selection of the community they intend to invest in. For years, our property owners saw a dire need to invest in the commercial corridor for their businesses to survive, and to keep viable. In the last four years, these owners have invested almost \$2 million to provide our

- 2 community with supplemental cleaning services,
- 3 marketing, safety in the goal increasing foot traffic
- 4 | for family friendly-for a family friend inviting
- 5 | place to eat, shop and play. We are respectfully and
- 6 strongly requesting that this bill be reviewed in
- 7 more depth. A study on long-term financial impacts
- 8 of brick and mortar, options on siting, the role of
- 9 BIDs when working with vendors, consistent inspection
- 10 and enforcement from the City, and data showing how
- 11 many businesses are lost under unfair vendor
- 12 | competition. Thank you. [pause]

13 JEFFREY LA FRANCOIS: Good afternoon and

14 | thank you, Mr. Chairman, Council Member Levine and

15 | Council Member Chin. Nice to see you guys. My name

16 is Jeffrey La François. I'm the Director of

17 | Operations and Community Affairs for the Meatpacking

18 | District, a Business Improvement District in the

19 | Southwest corner of Manhattan, which represents over

20 | 200 ground floor businesses, over 700 million square

21 \parallel feet of commercial office space, 3,000 residents, and

22 approximately eight miles of sidewalks. Thank you

23 | for the opportunity to submit this testimony. The

24 Meatpacking District applauds the Council's efforts

25 \parallel to reform the city's antiquated street vending laws,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

but greater consideration should be given to others affected by this legislation, and the unintended consequences that will arise. A patchwork of laws makes up a haphazard bureaucracy that is challenging to navigate for vendors and-and inquisitive New Yorkers alike. The reform of street vendor laws is needed, but the city should first attempt to fix the current system before expanding it so drastically. The first step in this process should have been a comprehensive citywide study of the entire current street vending landscape. The findings in that study should then inform this legislative proposal. would properly address the issues while modernizing the process at the same time. We support and welcome the idea and proposal of a dedicated enforcement unit. Enforcement of the current laws on street carts is basically not in existences. Yet, this legislation speaks to expand on the current situation. While the proposal currently includes expanded enforcement units, its funding stream must be dedicated to ensure enforcement of vendors no matter the city's economic standing. There are a number of issues that amendment to this legislation ought to address. These include a lack of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

comprehensive citywide study; no siting or placement guidelines for vendors; a creation of designated vending locations program without a formal review process; replacing of standards for where vendors can operate on sidewalks in relation to the curb, crosswalk and subway entrances; privatization of sidewalk space and inhibiting on pedestrian safety. Nearly every aspect of the city's public space is regulated, and has specific guidelines for entities to operate within the. Public space is also fundamentally a land use issue. Creating criteria siting and for local input from BIDs, community boards, et cetera, whether prior approval is a part of the annual evaluation should be incorporated into this legislation. This is a once in a generation opportunity to reform an historic entity of New York The BID appreciates the efforts to create a system through which street vending can legally operate, but this must be a fair process. This legislation will effectively double the number of vendors on the street, but in reality the City doesn't even know how many currently operate. complicated issue with sweeping ramifications must not be rest-rushed through the legislative process.

2	New York City is a difficult place to do business.
3	The bureaucracy is complicated, costs are constantly
4	changing, and the Internet has dramatically
5	transformed the way people spend money, which affects
6	ground floor retail and, therefore, the City's bottom
7	line, too. This legislation is an affront to brick
8	and mortar businesses that are trying desperately to
9	keep their doors open through varying economic times.
10	And just like vendors, they keep our streets lively,
11	and New Yorkers employed. At the BID, we advocate
12	for businesses and commercial entities, but our
13	constituencies also pass through our boundaries. We
14	have a large stake in the city's public good as a
15	fair amount of the BIDs work revolves around keeping
16	the city sidewalks and streets clean, maintaining its
17	plazas and even paying for capital improvements. We
18	work hard to maintain and keep accessible the
19	Meatpacking District's eight miles of sidewalks and
20	our big public plazas. Greater consider-
21	consideration must be given to all stakeholders who
22	will be affected by this sweeping package of reform.
23	Thank you again for the opportunity to submit this
24	testimony.

2 QUENIA ABEAU: I was going to say good 3 morning, but at this point good afternoon. My name 4 is Quenia Abeau, and I'm the President of the New York Women's Chamber of Commerce, an organization that advocates and actively works to support women 6 7 especially disadvantaged women to become economically empowered through business ownership, micro 8 enterprise ownership and self-employment. One of the most valuable things we can do for our women is to 10 11 provide them with the tools that will help them become economically sufficient in the city of New 12 13 York, and a street vendor's permit is one of those 14 tools. Street vending offers incredible opportunity 15 for countless women to make a decent living while 16 taking critical first steps in the direction of 17 growing a business without sacrificing the care they 18 take of their family. Moreover, for formerly 19 incarcerated women street vending is a highly 20 accessible way to enter the workforce as they rebuild 21 their livers. The reason why the New York Women's Chamber of Commerce supports Intro 1303 with the 2.2 2.3 following observations and recommendations: spirit of economic justice, a plan must be put into 24 place immediately to end the street vendor's permit 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

black-black market to ensure that only one permit is given per individual. We need to punish those who have made a practice of taking advantage of others by renting the permit at its original annual cost. the spirit of entrepreneurial fairness and diversity, new permits should not only be available for vendors, but other industries also-should also be considered. So we don't end overpopulate in the neighborhood and saturating the city with cycle truck and hot-and food trucks even though I love them. We need to have a diverse and healthy mix of products available by our street vendors. Order and safety must prevail. Therefore a plan that addresses organization, regulations, inspections, and enforcement should be implemented sooner than later. In our city some neighborhood are overcrowded with street vendors' activities making it difficult to walk on the sidewalk. A street vendor should not become intrusive and an inconvenience to business owners, residents and pedestrians. They should add value to a neighborhood and make our city more attractive. Since we started the New York Women's Chamber in 2002, we have turned away hundreds of women who come to-who have come to our office seeking assistance to

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

get a street vendor's permit to start a micro

3 enterprise that will allow them to generate an income

4 to support their family. We are excited to see the

5 City is working to change that, and the Women's

6 Chamber applauds the efforts of Council Member Mark

7 Levine and other council members who are working to

8 increase the number of opportunities women would have

9 to enter the street vending industry, and would look

10 | forward to working with you to make that happen.

Thank you very much.

time I come here it's amazing how many people are here when I get here, and how many people are left when I leave. So I want to thank you for still being here, the two of you in particular. My name is John Bonizio. I'm the Chairman of the Westchester Square Business Improvement District up in the Bronx. I've also been tasked recently with the development of two addition BIDs in the Bronx. Altogether we represent 378 commercial buildings and 729 merchants up in the Bronx. My grandfather was a first generation Italian immigrant who supports his family from the back of a horse drawn vegetable cart in the early part of the 20th Century. I am told that he always put the cart

2	in front of the horse. He and may grandmother raised
3	11 children in their home in the Bronx and their
4	progeny grew in advance to become the hard working
5	professionals, tradesmen and entrepreneurs that are
6	part of the strong fabric of this city. As their
7	proud grandson, it is, therefore, with great regret
8	that I stand before you today in opposition to Intro
9	1303. The emotional side of me wants to support this
10	bill, but the practical side of meanother trait I
11	was told I get from my grandfather-knows that this
12	bill is flawed and an ill-considered mistake being
13	shoved down the throats of the City Council and the
14	City by a lame duck speaker whose motives, really
15	emotional
16	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] John-
17	John, are the—are the cheap shots necessary?
18	JOHN BONIZIO: No. I love Melissa.
19	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: It doesn't help
20	your cause.
21	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Keep it down please.
22	JOHN BONIZIO: Excuse me. Lame duck
23	means that she can run again, right.
24	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Yeah, that's fine.

5 So-so--

study that are necessary to ensure that the impact of

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the bill will not create unintended consequences that will be detrimental to city's pedestrian and small business communities. It's true this bill this bill is overdue particularly the enforcement angle, but that does not mean that you to pass it into law so you can understand it. Throughout the past decade, our city has adorned itself with one million trees, street furniture, bike share racks, bus shelters, kiosks and any number of other amenities that are taking space from the pedestrians that traverse our sidewalks. This bill will further reduce our already overcrowded public spaces, and be exacerbated by an inability to harmonize the needs of pedestrian traffic flow with the unorganized site grads that are sure to be the hallmark of vendor competition. politics of this legislation is obvious to nearly all in this great chamber. This has been called-and I'm not, this is not my words, this is in the press-The Speaker's Bill, and it carries with a hands-off warning that demands its approval from the rank and file. But this bill is flawed, poorly contemplated and ill-prepared to meet the needs of this growing city. It is, therefore incumbent upon the members of this great body to stand up and adhere to the oath

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 they took to protect this city and its people by

3 voting no until such time as a thorough data driven,

4 multi-stakeholder evaluation can be employed to

5 determine its true impact upon our city, and I remind

6 you that hope is not a strategy. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I am very happy we've had a chance to have all your voices here on I've spoken to many of you, met with the record. many of you, most of you actually before today and I look forward to continuing to meet with you, speak with and get your input. Incredibly valuable. also appreciate the remarks of a couple of you particularly Mr. Lambert and Ms. Blum who appeared to understand the balance we are seeking to strike in this legislation. While I understand the ways you would like to see it improve, I appreciate you acknowledging the way it solved many of the problems that you face. For some of the others of you, I-I think my role today is going to be to remind people who haven't read the bill what the bill actually does. This bill creates the first ever enforcement unit for the City of New York dedi-dedicated solelysolely to enforcing the rules around street vendors.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: --a question, Mr. Bonizio. How many vendors are there on an average day in the Westchester BID? Today for example, how many? A rough estimate, guess.

JOHN BONIZIO: Four.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: You have four

24 vendors?

18

19

20

21

2.2

25 | JOHN BONIZIO: Correct. [laughter]

said all day, there is a significant illegal vending

25

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

have raised the cap and-and I think someone actually said on the panel that it—it performs a study after seven years. I believe that was you, Ms. Sorin

LISA SORIN: That's right.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: That it performs a study after seven years of an in-increasing the cap. So I'm going to remind you guys what's in the bill. The bill says that for the first year the only major implementation is of enforcement. That's the first year, and that once that is underway, then we can look at raising the cap by a very, very, very small amount. I don't know what-what, five percent of four vendors is, but I-I can tell you it is modest, and as for the study, this is not a study after seven years. We are created a Vendor Advisory Panel, with-which your sector will have a seat on. Let me repeat that. The BIDs will have a seat on, and that task force is charged with creating a study every year including the first year before there's been any lifting of the cap. So, if there's anyone who's putting the vending cart before the horse, I think it's you guys, and we have been incredibly thoughtful and balanced about rolling this out in a way that will be a win for everybody. A win for

the Institute for Justice. I'll be delivering the

25

2 remarks of IJ's Director of Strategic Research Dr. 3 Dick Carpenter whose full written testimony was submitted prior to this hearing, and I brought copies 4 5 with me today. IJ is a national public interest, civil liberties law firm that advocates for the 6 7 constitutional right of all Americans to earn an 8 honest living. For years we have been deeply concerned about New York City's permit cap, which keeps untold numbers of hardworking entrepreneurs out 10 11 of work or in the shadows. Lifting the caps on food 12 vending permits in New York City would open the way 13 for greater job creation, entrepreneurship and 14 economic expansion especially for those on the first 15 rung of the economic ladder, and it can be done while 16 maintaining public health and safety. My support for 17 lifting the cap is based on empirical research a 18 colleague and I recently conceded. In upwardly 19 mobile street vending and the American dream we 20 conducted a national survey of vendors as was as an 21 in-depth economic case study of New York City's 2.2 vending industry. We found that these diverse 2.3 hardworking business owners contributed more than \$70 million in taxes, nearly 18,000 jobs and over \$190 24 million in wages in 2012 alone. The city's vending 25

2.2

2.3

industry has generated a considerable amount of economic activity even with the cap, and can make even more sizeable contributions to the city's economy if you lift the cap on permits. In a second IJ Study: Street Eat Safety (sic) we analyzed more than 260,000 food safety inspection reports from seven large cities, and found that street food is as safe as or safer than restaurant food. Our findings suggest that the recipe for a clean and safe mobile food vending simple: inspections. We support current initial inspection requirements and lifting the caps on permits would subject more street vendors to these inspections. Thank you.

Hi, good afternoon. Thank you to the committee for letting me testify here today. My name is Diana Robinson, the Campaign and Education

Coordinator at the Food Chain Workers Alliance. On behalf of the Food Chain Workers Alliance I am here to voice our strong support to the Street Vendor

Modernization Act. The Food Chain Workers Alliance is a national coalition of worker based organizations who are—whose members plant, harvest, process, pack, transport, prepare, serve and sell food. We are working together to build a more sustainable food

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

system, one that not only provides healthy food, but also ensures that the people who are making and selling our food including street vendors in New York City are treated fairly. As a native New Yorker, I have enjoyed the foods from vendors my entire life. From a hot dog to fruit juice they've always been there when I needed a snack or a quick breakfast. was-I was first exposed to many difficulties food vendors face when I was a college student. interned with a street vendors organization in East Harlem, [speaking Spanish] that provided services, helped to educate vendors on rules and represented them in court. Through my internship, I saw the hardworking vendors, the majority of them immigrant women trying to raise families and give their children better opportunities. I saw them trying to achieve the American dream. They were also of great value to the community providing foods that reminded folks of home and exposing people to new tasty foods. So for many years vendors have not been treated fairly. The low cap on food vending permits imposed in the early 1980s as the lobbying from big corporations has created a system based on exploitation and abuse. Exploitation at the hands of

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

permit owners who change exorbitant rates-who charge exorbitant rates to rent permits that they themselves do not use. Abused at the hands of the police who arrest and ticket vendors grave enough or desperate enough-or brave enough or desperate enough to try vending with permits just to support themselves and their family. We know from our experience that unlike most celebrity chefs we see on TV and unlike most people who write books on food policy, the majority of people who make and serve our food are women, immigrants and people of color. absolutely the case of street vendors in New York City. They work long hours for little pay, and they often do not have time to go to their community board meetings. They often may not know who their council members are, and yet the decisions made in this roomin rooms like this dramatically affect their lives. This Administration and the City Council have pledged to address the inequality that exists in New York City. Doing so creating a more just city and more just food system can be controversial. Many people in power, restaurant owners, real estate companies, business associations will object. I ask you to consider just as strongly as the thousands of workers

opportunity to testify today.

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

selling the food right now on the streets in the

communities across the city whose lives will change

forever if they receive a permit. Thank you for my

KISHNENDU RAY: [coughs] Thank you for having me here. I'm Kishnendu Ray. I'm the Chair of the Department of Nutrition Food Service and Public Health at NYU, and—and I'm her to speak in support of the Street Vendor Modernization Act. I think it has the right combination of enforcement and judicious expansion, which will not solve all the problems but, in fact, ease some of the major ones of supply and enforcement. I'm one of three principal investigators on a research project street vending in seven cities that includes New York, Toronto, Mexico, Singapore, Shanghai and Sidney, and what—what we are finding is based on the seven-city study of street vending, we are finding that city governments are changing their attitude towards street vendors from opposition, which is a very 20th Century model to better regulation and accommodation in the 21st Century, and Sidney and for instance Shanghai and Singapore look towards New York City and New York City's regulations as model of the 21st Century, and

I think this Street Vendor Modernization Act is a 2 3 terrific example of that as street vendors are 4 increasingly seen as important to the character of the city with a couple of things in mind. First, as has been pointed out as an important source of 6 7 livelihood for migrants, veterans, micro 8 entrepreneurs, and good research shows that was cited before that street vending-vending in New York City contributes to almost 18,000 jobs, \$200 million in 10 11 wages, approximately \$70 million local, state and 12 federal taxes. And good research shows that the 13 increase of opportunity happens and this is important 14 with no additional public health risk. A study-a 15 number of studies have shown that street vendors are 16 about the same in terms of health-the following 17 health regulations as restaurants and-and other 18 businesses. So there is increasing consensus amongst 19 researchers that better enforcement and easing of the 20 demand curve in the underground economy will in some extent reduce risk in the street vending domain, 21 which will encourage innovation in terms of food. 2.2 2.3 And, what is—what is available in terms of nutritional, sustainable and interesting food that 24 could become available with well regulated street 25

2 vendors, which ensures better access to livelihoods

3 and micro entrepreneurship, and makes cities more

4 lively and secure with more regulars in street

5 corners. So, with that, I would say that the Street

6 Vendor Modernization Act can become a model of

7 | flexible and innovative management of public space,

8 access to livelihoods and attention to liveliness of

9 cities. Thank you.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ALFONSO MORALES: Good afternoon. name is Alfonso Morales. I'm a Professor of Urban and Regional Planning at the University of Wisconsin in Madison. I'm very thankful for the opportunity to speak her in support of Intro 1303 in support of increasing the number of mobile food permits in New York City. Let me say that street vendors are important part of the ecology of retail. I has been for more than-for more than century in this country. We have to remember that street vendors and that type of retail activity preceded storefront retail on many occasions, and dominated the retail sector for-for the majority of the history of the country. For 25 years, I've written about this history as well as contemporary aspects of street vendors and market places, and for those 25 years, the lessons in my

work have been applied in a variety of jurisdictions 2 3 including here in New York City. My research is 4 published in a variety of peer reviewed academic journals and books and discussed in periodicals around the county and I work with jurisdictions 6 around the country to support the integration of 8 complete streets of favorable opportunities across the great use of retail activity. Let me suggest three evidence based rules that fully we can all 10 11 support. After each of these sentences there are 12 numerous citations that we can supply with respect to the veracity of these claims. First of all, 13 14 increasing the number of permits makes for a more 15 robust and retail environment, not simply by 16 increasing the number of retail activities, but by 17 making more dense the supply chains associated with 18 that activity. Food carts and trucks do make options 19 available to people, but they also help dispose of 20 unwanted activities in warehouses and other aspects off the-the supply chain. It's very important to 21 understand that legislation like 1303 releases the 2.2 2.3 creative energy people are bringing from the immigrant experiences as was discussed by a number of 24 people earlier, and channels that energy. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Regulations help channel the energy towards mutually advantageous goals that we all seek. It's very important to focus on that important part of this legislation. I think it's also very important to understand that increasing the number of permits will change people's perspective about the black market activities that they're engaged in. When we increase the number permits, people can see the option that they have to engage in legitimate activities. take their perspective, we can understand how they can make a choice in this matter. Third, and I think this is also quite important, we must be able to see that street vendors are business people. They have succession planning that they need to do. They often want to grow beyond the street in the storefront and other activities. It's very important to assemble teams that comprehend the variety, not simply the regulatory side, but the economic development opportunities that are associated with street vendors. So it is this tra-this trajectory that I think we all can support. Finally, the city should recognize the value of this implementation strategy, increase the number of permits over a number of years helps accommodate the various interests in play,

2.2

2.3

brings people to the table to reconcile the very difficult and different positions that—that they may have, and helps us to see the arguments people might have as moments in good conversations. The increased costs of vending permits will support the business aspirations involved, and I think that the city and the administration working together supported—by providing support services to these ambitious entrepreneurs will help mobile vendors spread the benefit of their hard work across this great city. Thank you for your attention.

RYAN THOMAS DEVLIN: [coughs] Hello, and thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak today concerning today's proposed legislation. My name is Ryan Thomas Devlin. I'm a Professor of Public Administration at John Jay College here in New York City, and I have spent the last ten years researching and writing about street vending informality and conflicts over public space here in New York. My testimony here today is based on evidence from my research, and based on this evidence I support Intro 1303 because I feel it represents a common sense even-handed improvement to an overly restrictive and ultimate ineffective set of laws

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

currently in place. I think that's something that both people who are against and for this bill havethat's the one consensus I think we've had here this morning and afternoon that the current rules don't work. Vending laws that exist now serves to encourage informal activity and underground markets. This happens whenever laws regulating commercial activity are written in ways that do not reflect social economic reality on the ground. This is simple-it's a simple matter in many ways of supply and demand. There is a pent up demand for the goods and services that food vendors provide, and food vendors are trying to meet this demand, but are prevented from doing so legally and efficiently because of out-of-step regulations and overly restrictive regulations particularly in regards to permits. Raising the number of available permits better reflect the economic and social realities on the ground would go a long way to solving issues of information practice, and black markets. In fact, it was mentioned before while we raised the number of permits won't that just increase the number of people on the black market, and that-that doesn't--The value of the black market is dictated by scarcity.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

If you increase the amount of permits, the black market will at the very least go-reduce and probably go away. It's not that people want to be in the black market, it's that it exists because this is a valuable bill because they are scarce. Obviously, when markets functions in ways that produce unacceptable side effects, government has a role to step in and impose some limits and parameters for the good and society as a whole. Now, one of the problems with the current set of street vending regulations, however is that most of these laws were, especially in the early 1980s, put in place to benefit the interests narrowly defined as business and property interests particularly in Midtown. instance, the cap on food vending permits, which was put in place by Local Law 17 of 1983 reduced the number. There were 9,000 street vendors doing business in the city prior to this. It capped the permits at 3,000. This wasn't by the way the first hard cap on permits on food vending permits in the city's history, and this artificially low number was arrived at largely due to pressure at the time. Remember New York was emerging from the fiscal crisis of the '70s and it was very open and very receptive

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to pressure, particularly for Midtown property interest to reduce vending. So we've come a long way since the 1980s. New York is no longer in fiscal crisis and—and the same crisis was in the '70s, street vending is seen a vital welcome addition to city life. Many cities, as was mentioned by my colleague, across the country tried to encourage street vending in every form, laws to make street vending easier. New York should follow suit, and resist catering to the narrow interests of antivending set at the expense of everyday New Yorkers. City government—in closing, city government should not be in the business of playing favorites between interests groups or progressive by a new Council that cares about the needs of regular working class New Yorkers should pri-prioritize the interests of everyday citizens. Vendors want to pursue the American dream through hard work and entrepreneurialism. New Yorkers want inexpensive and convenient-and innovative food. Let supply meet demand and raise the cap. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Mr.

Devlin, Professor Devlin. We—we are—we still have

over 50 people who want to testify, so I'm not going

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to detain you further, but I-I do want to thank all of you for-for your perspective. We truly appreciate the-the legal angle on questions of immigrant issues, and to our three academics, you've raised something in our justified discussion of-in terms of our congestion and sanitation issues that we focused on this morning, we really hadn't had time to address, which is the fact that most New Yorkers would rather not live in a sterile shopping mall. Most New Yorkers want to live in a place with life and character. That's why people generally choose to live in New York City, and street vendors do add to the vibrancy and diversity of the landscape, and this an important point to make, and I-I appreciate all of you expounding on that today. Thank you very much.

RYAN THOMAS DEVLIN: Thank you, Chair.

I'm excited that we have a panel of advocates for veterans, and the disabled. We have—forgive me if I'm mispronouncing your name. Beazer Pits—Pifka.

Forgive me if I'm mis—mispronouncing that. We have Robert Letterman, James Kreshner (sp?)—Again, I'm—Barbara Morris, Dan Rossi, Christian Rouse, and forgive me, Armando Crecen—Crecensi. Okay, if I had

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 that correctly. If—if I called your name if you

3 could please make your way up here. We're excited to

4 hear from you. [background comments, pause]

BEAZER PITZKER: Yeah, good afternoon.

My name Beazer Pitzker. (sp?)

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]

Sir, if you could sit only so that we have your voice on the microphone. [pause] And—and if you could tell us your name again.

BEAZER PITZKER: My name is Beazer I'm a member of the Disabled Veteran Pitzker. Vending Advisory Committee, a Board established by General Business Law 35-A in conjunction with the Department of Labor to help promulgate the rules with our city agencies. I am here to speak in strong opposition to Intro 1303, or as Madam Speaker refers to it as the Street Vendor Modernization Act. On May 11, 2015, Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito along with Council Member Donovan Richards and Move Systems' CEO James Meeks announced the pilot program to provide 500 safer, cleaner and more environmentally friendly food carts to vendors throughout our city's neighborhoods. With the pretense of an unselfish objective our most crowded streets were subjected to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

an unsafe experiment with an unproven model for the benefit of greedy and wealthy corporations. here to provide evidence that these so-called safe carts are anything but that. In fact, in my view and the view of many horrified bystanders, these carts are extremely dangerous incendiary devices that can and have exploded in a flash, literally. On the morning of October 8, 2016 on 52nd Street and Sixth Avenue at the southwest corner a Nathan's Corporation Hot Dog cart built by Move Systems Corporation burst into flames and was engulfed by intense fire, heat and toxic black smoke within seconds. Thankfully, it was a pre-lunch and typically the massive crowds and lines for food had not yet formed. Imagine what could have happened had this safe environmentally food friend cart ignited then? I have some posters. [off mic] This is the Nathan's cart, okay. This is as the fire department is coming up, I spoke to the captain, and then they decided to precipitously move out of the way because they were afraid that this cart might explode. Now, as you'll see that Murphy's Law also comes into effect with a booted—a booted vehicle that was unable to move because of the city's Booting Law. So that's an unintended consequence.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: If—if you can
3 wrap up, Mr. Pitzker. We have--

BEAZER PITZKER: [interposing] Yeah, I'll wrap u.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: --a long list of people waiting to testify.

BEAZER PITZKER: Okay, I just would like the same courtesy as the others. There are the police who were afraid—the fire department, our brave fire department who were afraid to move upon this cart thinking that it might explode. So in wrapping it up, why should the citizens and the vendors of New York City as I have seen and heard of these foods—food carts going up in flames in many ways. And I have a vide, by the way, that portrays—

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]
Okay, so we have—we have dozens of people waiting to speak. Please wrap up.

BEAZER PITZKER: Unfortunately, this isn't an isolated incident. I have heard of other incidents, but not have had the time to independently investigate them. I also hope that some of the reporters in this room will assist in helping us document these other instance—instances. It would

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 209
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not be in the best financial interest of Move
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Systems' leadership
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Alright, thank-thank you, sir. So we have to move on.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We do appreciate your time.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BEAZER PITZKER:to inform the Speaker
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and the City Council or other interested parties
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] You
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can submit your full comments for record in writing
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BEAZER PITZKER:of this dangerous
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE:but we need to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	move on.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BEAZER PITZKER:nature of these carts.
17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, sir.
18 19 20 21 22	BEAZER PITZKER: That you are well aware
19 20 21 22	of.
20 21 22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Alright.
21	BEAZER PITZKER: Okay?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: We appreciate
	that.
23	BEAZER PITZKER: If you want to
	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]
24	Please proceed.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 210
2	BEAZER PITZKER:address the black
3	market
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]
5	Alright.
6	BEAZER PITZKER:or the underground
7	economy
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] We-
9	we got you, but we do need to move on. You're taking
10	time from dozens of people.
11	BEAZER PITZKER: [interposing] I also have
12	information on that.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Sir
14	BEAZER PITZKER: [interposing] I have
15	contracts.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE:you had three
17	minutes.
18	BEAZER PITZKER:lease agreements.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Your time is up.
20	BEAZER PITZKER: Okay.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: We need to move
22	on. Please proceed.
23	ROBERT LETTERMAN: [coughs] My name is
24	Robert Letterman. I'm the President of Artists
25	Response to Illegal State Tactics for Artists. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

represent the city's 2,000 street artists. Most politicians like the ones sitting in front of me have a public and a private face, public story that they tell about this bill Intro 1303 and a private hidden corrupt agenda that's behind this bill. If you want the real story on this bill to #stopintro1303 or Facebook Stop Intro 1303. There are hundreds of documents that will show you that this bill is an attempt to privatize all vending to turn it over to one corporation, and to use immigrant vendors as a ploy to get this company, which is only allowed to have one permit, thousands of permits. It's a totally corrupt and sinister deal. Now, why does this company need thousands of permits? Because based on City Council law, they're only allowed to So, as the Speaker is term limited now, have one. she's been talking about releasing these permits for her entire time in the City Council. So she's waiting to the last year to suddenly get behind this corporation and pretend that this bill is about helping immigrant vendors. Now, let me tell you something about the group that pretends to represent these vendors. SVP, which is known on the street as the Surveillance of Vendors Project financed by the

1

2 City Council, by the U.S. Justice Department, by 3 banks, by the real estate industry, by everybody that's a traditional enemy of vending. That's who 4 5 finances the Street Vendor Project, and the only vendor group in all of New York City that the City 6 7 Council invites to these hearings or deals with, and 8 we see Sean Bazinski going in and out of their offices all day is SVP. And then they pretend to thank him for your wonderful advocacy for the city's 10 11 vendors. None of the city's vendors except this 12 small group of people is SVP will ever deal with the 13 I was on the original Board of Directors. helped them be founded. He came to me and wanted 14 15 letters of recommendation. I was on the Board of 16 Directors with other people in this room. After the 17 first meeting we realized this is a stooge for the 18 City Council. This is not a vendor advocate. 19 lastly-lastly, I want to say this about the black 20 market all you're going to do is duplicate the 21 problems that are here today, and double that because 2.2 nobody who's making a good living in the black market 2.3 is going to become an employee of Move Systems that going to be able to surveil every single sale they 24 It's partly run by a company—a credit card 25

[background comments, pause] My name is Kristin

25

2 I'm a veteran of the United States Army. 3 served three tours of duty in Afghanistan, and I live 4 in Brooklyn. I am testifying on behalf of the more than 200 dues paying members of the New City Veterans 5 Alliance several of whom are street veterans street 6 7 vendors, and who have been active in advocating for reforms for New York city street vendors. Street 8 vending is a time honored tradition in New York City, but our system for regulating and supporting this 10 11 vibrant community of entrepreneurs must re-must be 12 streamlined and updated to reflect today's economy 13 and needs, and to minimize the costs and restrictions for hardworking people to make a living. For these 14 15 reasons we support the spirit and intent of this 16 package of street vendor modernization legislation. 17 Yet, we must remind this committee that veterans 18 street vendors have been central to New York City's 19 street vending community going back more than a 20 century, and veteran vendors merit both protection 21 and preference as the city modernizes its policies 2.2 and regulations. Veteran street vendors have been 2.3 the heart and soul of New York City's veteran entrepreneur community going back to 1894 when New 24 York State established the law that assured disabled 25

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Civil War veterans free and unrestricted access to selling goods on the street. Today, New York City has more than 1,700 veterans street vendors. Yet city policies, restrictions and selective enforcement has significantly scaled back the ability of veteran vendors to make a living as intended by the original law. Street vending is a hard job, and made it even harder by complicated rules and restrictions that make vendors easy targets for summonses and fines that take away profits. This hefty cost of doing business also feels like a betrayal of veterans who were once promised unrestricted access to vending on the streets. We fully support bills that streamline regulation of street vending so that no one is unduly punished for just trying to make a living, but we-but we remind this committee that veterans must be specified in these bills as a protected class of street vendors. We've heard from veteran street vendor that they feel unfairly targeted and fined by police especially in the Midtown core while unlicensed vendors appear to operate freely. City policy has over the years eroded and restricted the freedoms of veteran vendors provided by the original state law with only their-only-with their only

- apparent recourse being to sue the City. Our city

 government can and must do better to honor the

 service of veterans and the historic protections that

 New York provides our veteran street vendors. We,
- 6 therefore, make the following recommendations:
 - 1. Any reformed legisdate-legislation must make mention of veterans in order to retain and reinforce these protections. As it currently stands, 1303 makes only a mention that the law will not interfere with State law pertaining to veteran vendors. This is not enough.
 - 2. Any raising of caps on mobile food vending permits must protect the current minority of veteran street vendors. We believe that veteran vendors make up approximately 15% of New York City's voting population. Set asides for veteran vendors must not fall below this 15% mark.
 - I want to throw the remaining comments into the permanent record. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.
- COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Miss—

 Miss Rouse. [applause]
- SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Please. There's no applause.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Folks, we have a tradition around here that if you want to express approval, we go like this. This is the New York City way, the New York City Council. Ms. Rouse, if-
MALE SPEAKER: [interposing] Thanks my approval.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Ms. Rouse, so that I can express proper deference, would you mind telling me what—what your rank was in—in the services.

Rouse, I want to thank you both for your service to the nation. I want to thank you for your service to the veterans in New York City, and I want to thank you for offering a very thoughtful, reasonable and effective piece of testimony. I just want to clarify one thing, which is that our bill currently more than triples the number of permits that are set aside for vendors from the currently 100 to 345.

KRISTIN ROUSE: That's great.

 $\label{eq:council_member_levine:} \mbox{ But no, we-we are} \\ \mbox{very proud of it.}$

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS
2	KRISTIN ROUSE: If-if
3	veterans in—in assuring that that
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE:
5	does—it does specify that.
6	KRISTIN ROUSE: Becaus
7	count that I saw does not have-do
8	called out specifically. I-I may
9	seen the updated copy.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE:
11	the whole bill, it's in-it's in-i

we could specify is, in fact--[interposing] It

e it's-because the es not have veterans not have not have

If-if-if you read the whole bill, it's in-it's in-it's in a later section. It makes-makes reference to that and it's explicit.

KRISTIN ROUSE: And again, not-not to quibble, but it was not in the-it was not in the version that we have now.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] I think you have to read a little bit farther down.

KRISTIN ROUSE: I-I-I word searched and I scrolled several times.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay. [background comments, pause] I'm just-okay. It's-it's just some complicated letter sorting course, but it's-because it's-because veterans are specified in the code, the

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

2.3

amended language doesn't make redundant reference but

it's quite explicit but--

KRISTIN ROUSE: [interposing] And the for instance the definitions of veterans. We tried cross-reference, but it—it does not—the—the quantity of permitting it's—

I—I would love to work with you to fix that. I—I think there's no intent there to—to erase the role of veterans. Our intent is to dramatically increase the number of permits for veterans, and if you can send us some good language for making that explicit we would love to work with you on that.

KRISTIN ROUSE: Will do, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, please.

BARBARA MORRIS: My name is Barbara

Morris. I'm the widow of a disabled veteran and I

have a valid food operator license. I have been

stuck in court for the past seven years fighting to

get a food cart permit under State laws banning

disabled veterans the right to vend because the city

refuses to give us priority for citywide permits.

I'm opposed to this proposal as it's written. If

Council Member Levine, you're serious about helping

1

immigrants and veterans both disabled and non-2 3 disabled, before any proposal is enacted it's crucial 4 that this Council demand a clean list of all permits issued to date to ensure that every permit is valid, and every permit holder is legitimate and not just 6 7 In the past or before—in the past the Health 8 Department failed to remove the names of permit holders that were revoked or expired. Thereby, creating the illusion that the cap was reached and 10 11 there were no permits available. That proved to be 12 untrue as permits were being stockpiled. It's very 13 unlikely that there are a large number of permits available right now, and there may not be a demand 14 15 for the larger numbers proposed in this bill, which will dramatically increase the numbers to well over 16 Additionally, a few years ago the Health 17 double. 18 Department wiped out all priority when it stopped 19 including license numbers on our licenses. 20 know there's a tremendous amount of illegal permit 21 leasing and no accountability as to the manner in 2.2 which food cart permits are issued by the department. 2.3 So a magical mystery lottery. It is cloaked in darkness. If, in fact a list for citywide permits 24 prior to 2011 was created by a lottery, that list 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

must be abolished. Citywide permits must be issued by the original date of the issuance of the license to ensure that the process is fair with veterans getting the highest priority. Currently, there is no waiting list for disabled veterans. The 2007 list was exhausted in 2012, and a new lists will not be created—this is important, sir-- I will wait until you're finished. I'll start again. Currently there are no waiting lists for disabled veterans. The 2007 list was exhausted in 2012, and a new list will not be created until after both the existing disabled person list and the existing veteran are exhausted. There are approximately 200 people combined in both lists. In giving we-I don't understand why are we the only category to be restricted to a 5% cap? But giving 35 permits a year means it's going to take six years before a list for a disabled veteran will even be created for a citywide permit. Get it? I would like to ask the sponsors of this bill where do I stand as 69-year-old woman holding a license since 2009 in the disabled veteran category? There's no list? Am I in the general pool? Where am I? appears from this proposal that I and all other disabled veteran category are relegated to the lowest

non-disabled.

2.2

2.3

denomination or is it rather that according to

paragraph 5 under the General Business Law, Section

32 all veterans and non-veterans will be issued

citywide permits above the 5% cap without having to

be on any waiting list. The Council needs to do its

homework carefully and honestly if it's serious about

helping immigrants and veterans both disabled and

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Ms. Morris. Please, sir.

Thank you.

DAN ROSSI: Yes, sir. Good afternoon.

My name is Dan Rossi. Councilman Levine, I'd like to just ask you a simple question. We're all here as vendors and veteran vendors, and we want to see everyone go to work, and you've been—there's—there's people here who want to go to work. They're working illegally. Now, if one of those persons was to get a permit today, and his business didn't pan out, and he found a steady job somewhere, what do you think he's going to do with that permit? The first thing that person is going to do is lease the permit, and you take it the other way. Let's say he's very successful. What do you think he's going to do? He's going to go out and lease another permit to put a

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

second cart on the street. That's business. 1995, when this city knocked out the companies, they imploded the industry. We had 2,000 permit sitting in the drawer for two years. No one wanted them because the city said you couldn't lease it. Do you know what Mayor Giuliani did? He changed the policy, and he put the word out that you can now lease your They actually set up tables in the Health Department for brokers that as a person got a permit, he would go to the table, put his name on a list, and that company would find someone to lease that permit. So when you asked what do you do to people who are leasing permits, you do nothing to them. Not if it's city policy to allows leasing. If you were to right now magically get rid of the black market, the food vending industry would cease to exist since 90% of the permits out there are involved in the black You're going to created 4,025 more permits market. for the black market. That's what you're going to This is an industry. This is not one person, one permit. It doesn't work that way. Every person who gets a cart has to go into a commissary. For that commissary to exist, it has to have a number of Those carts come from the black market.

the permits were taken from the companies, 80% of the
commissaries shut down. Giuliani had a heart attack.
He didn't what to do. No one was coming to get the
permit. So the City of New York and the City Council
right here created the black market. Karen Koslowitz
was here, and was complaining about four carts on—on
the street in her neighborhood. She sat on the
Street Vendor Review Panel. They closed hundreds and
hundreds of streets in Manhattan. Where did you
think the carts were going to go? When you create
your first 575 permit carts, Westchester Square is
not going to have four carts. It's going to have
eight carts. Where are they going to go? Do you
understand this is an industry that it has to be
considered an industry, and you have to talk to the
people in the industry, not talk to the people that
are trying to encourage black market. That's about
it.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank—thank you for getting it right on three minutes. We appreciate that. Thank you, panel.

DAN ROSSI: Okay, let's go home.

[background comments, pause]

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Let's call up the next panel. Ellen Goldstein from Times Square
Alliance, Jessica Lappin from Downtown Alliance,
Frank Wessels from SoHo Broadway Initiative, Mark
Dicus from SoHo Broadway, John Doyle from REBNY, Rob
Burns from the East Midtown Partnership. [background comments, pause] And I would also like to call up Rob
Bookman. [pause]

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Can we have it quiet, please on floor. [background comments, pause] Can we have quiet, please?

ELLEN GOLDSTEIN: Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Ellen Goldstein, Vice President of Policy, Planning and Research for the Times Square Alliance. First, we at the Alliance want to thank Speaker Mark-Viverito, Council Member Levine, and Chair Espinal for stepping up to address such a complex issue. We agree with the authors of these bills that street vendors are an essential part of New York City's fabric, providing economic opportunities for hardworking individuals many of whom are immigrants and contributing to a vibrant street life. We also agree that New York City's vending regulations are confusing, convoluted

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and long overdue for comprehensive reform. As the stand today, vending rules to not work for anyone, not for vendors, not for mom and pop stores, the property owners and not for the officers tasked with enforcement. Accordingly, we think that Intro 1303 subject to certain modifications and clarifications present an important first step towards creating more rational vending regulations an contains the seeds of some powerfully potential reforms-powerful reforms. Rather than delve deeply into the specifics of bills that we will surely evolve in the coming weeks, we wish primarily at this point to speak of a few core principles that we feel should guide how the Council and the Administration approach any vending reform legislation.

1. Criteria require hard data about pedestrian safety and flow should guide time, place and manner of vending regulations rather than endlessly modifying hundreds of one-size-fits-all requirements that no one can remember. Is it three feet from a curb or is it five feet from a fire hydrant? Is that on a wildly crowded 15-foot wide sidewalk next to a subway station or on barren 25-foot sidewalk next to the west side highway? We

2.2

2.3

believe the starting point should be explicit objective criteria which lead to a rigorous and factual analysis of zones that have been identified as especially problematic. Those criteria would fundamentally related to how many people are on the sidewalk, how many people are forced to walk in the street, what are the entry and egress flows immediately adjacent. Use Nationally Codified Level of Service Analysis developed by transportation experts with a guide for determining whether a sidewalk is functioning well or not or if crowding is endangering pedestrians. Hard facts will help identify real problems and solve them.

- 2. Help clear mechanism for identifying potentially problematic zones and allow communities to have a say in the process. Make sure that there is a clear process for having DOT identify areas that need potential study, but the community should have a voice as well even if it's not definitive.
- 3. Ongoing and iterative review of regulations should be informed by the community criteria and facts. Any ongoing policy setting body should have true community representation input and have criteria related to pedestrian flow and safety

2.2

2.3

and be required to regularly review and update both regulations and the regularly refreshed data that drivers them. Our sidewalks and public spaces are utilized in innumerable ways both to accommodate pedestrians and to accommodate commercial activity. They have changed considerably since so many of the vending regulations were last updated and will change again in the future. These bills contain the seeds of larger reforms if they are modified to require the city and the communities' voice in the process, and to recognize the unique characteristics and everchanging facts of our communities. They have great potential. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

testimony on behalf of Jessica Lappin, President of the Downtown Alliance. The Downtown Alliance is a business improvement district representing Manhattan south of Cambridge, and we are pleased that the Council is tackling a comprehensive overhaul of street vending. Lower Manhattan and New York City—is New York City's second largest business district. It is home to nearly 90 million square feet of commercial real estate, more than a quarter million

jobs, over 1,000 store front businesses and over
60,000 residents, and that does not include the 14
million who will visit Lower Manhattan this year.
Street food vending has long been part of Lower
Manhattan's landscape. Clean, well regulated and
appropriately located street vending can provide a
pathway to business ownership for veterans and low-
income New Yorkers while contributing positively to
the overall retail landscape of the district. That
said, today's poorly thought-out regulatory system
has led to lax enforcement and a chaotic street
environment that advantages pedestrians and others
who compete for the use of our crowded streets. The
bill represents a long overdue effort to rationalize
the city's approach to street vendor regulations,
pairing a gradual increase in vendor licenses with
ramped up enforcement, and a serious review of many
locations is a reasonable and welcome approach. This
initiative is a crucial chance to get things right
and balance needs of our brick and mortar small
businesses and vendors. With that in mind, unless
certain items below are addressed, it will be hard
for any real reform or rationalization to take place.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Intro 1303 proposal is to allow the Department of Health to entirely eliminate the existing cap on street vendor licenses after March 1, 2025, is inconsistent with the City Council's intent of taking a measured and responsible approach to issuing additional permits. It unnecessarily eliminates the Council's own authority, cuts out communities and makes numbers proposed in legislation moot. That should be eliminated. The report—the proposed Office of Street Vendor Enforcement is vaguely defined by Intro 1303. It failed to identify an agency to be responsible for enforcement, and then establish adequate staffing and sufficient resources on a citywide level to deal with issues of vendor cart friendliness and sanitation.

vendor—a new Street Vendor Advisory Board. The SVAB appears to be charged with preparing recommendations for the creating of designated community spaces for vending as well as analyzing the results of the increased number of vending permits. The Board composition only includes one community appointment in the legislation to speak on behalf of all communities. This is deeply troubling. The

2.2

2.3

legislation does not require any form of community

import—input or specify a mandated venue for feedback

into the SVAB's work. It is unclear what metrics

will be used to determine the results of the proposed

permit expansion.

- 3. It is unclear how the City will respond if all the new permit holders attempt to use the same congested vending locations that are already overwhelmed. The Council should consider a geographically based model for street vendor permitting, and street permits for high-for specific high traffic locations such as the plaza in front of 140 Broadway, just a few blocks away from City Hall. It provides certainty for street vendors while greatly reducing conflict of proper locations.
- 4. My last point. Our public spaces are critically important for—important community resources and their use should be subject to serious review and community feedback. We urge the City Council to amend Intro 1303 to require that any change to existing vending restrictions be subject to the Uniform Land Use Review Process, ULURP. This extensive vetting process and procedures would give vendors, small business owners, local residents,

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

civic groups, property owners, community boards,

borough presidents, and the City Council the

opportunity to review new vending locations.

MARK DICUS: Hi. Good afternoon, Chairman Espinal, Council Member Levine, and fellow members of the Council. Thank you for this opportunity to provide testimony. My name is Mark Dicus. I'm the Executive Director of the SoHo Broadway Initiative the not-for-profit that manages the Business Improvement District along Broadway and The SoHo Broadway Corridor is a vibrant mixeduse community that includes residential, office and retail operating side by side. Vendors are attracted to the robust amounts of food-foot traffic-not food traffic-foot traffic that fill our sidewalks. very familiar with the rules governing vending and our close working relationships with many vendors operating our corridor. We have also spent thousands of dollars mapping the area and developing a guide book to show where vending is permitted in our district. In fact, many vendors come to our office for help in finding legal locations in our district. However, if you come to Broadway and SoHo, you know we have a congestion problem. Our sidewalks are

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We are pleased to see that the Council is interested in tackling comprehensive reform of the laws governing street vending. This legislation adds 4,200 new food per-food vendor permits and leasing several location restrictions, but the main elements of what most agree is a broke system are left unchanged. For example, how many food vending permits were revoked—were not renewed last year by the-by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene? Zero. We have a broken system, but every single food vendor cart permit that applied to get renewed last year was renewed. There were 12-12 permits that were revoked last year. So if we have a broken system, why aren't more permits being revoked? Why aren't more people not getting renewed? Just food for The City should not be in the business of thought. creating a model where fines are just a cost of doing The city should be creating a system that business. incentivizes compliance with the rules, and revokes the permit of those who are blatantly and continually violating the rules. This legislation also does not modernize food carts to address the noise and exhaust pollution they create. That's a problem. We're a mixed-use community, and people live right above

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

where these food carts are operating. It's not fair to the food cart operators either to have to work in such an environment. Please form the Advisory Board and give all stakeholder including vendors the opportunity to shape a system that works for the City, give community boards and BIDs a formal role on this Advisory Board. I'm happy to hear that that might be possible. All revisions to the location restrictions should be referred to this Advisory Board, and no changes to those restrictions should be authorized as part of this legislation. Once the system is functioning, then we can determine whether more carts can be accommodated. Please create the Street Vendor Enforcement Unit. It will be an important tool in this process. More details are needed as to the size, authority and deployment of this unit to ensure that it has the resources needed to be successful. I'm sure more details are forthcoming. Please start a pilot program designating vending locations that are hot spots within the city to test innovative approaches to the placement of vendors in our city. However, as with other uses of public space, this decision should be subject to a formal community driven input and review

2.2

2.3

and approval process. Street vending—sorry—street vending significantly impacts the quality of life of the residential population, the office workers in the ground floor retail businesses that call our district home. This is a once in a lifetime chance to reform the system, but it must be done in a way that allows meaningful input for impacted stakeholders. Forming an advisory board, as this legislation suggests, would be the first step in that process.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

JOHN DOYLE: [off mic] My name is John—my name is John Boyle and I'm not on. [on mic] Okay, my name is John Doyle and I'm Senior Vice President for the Real Estate Board of New York. I've got comments on four of the bills here, but I'm going to start with Intro 1303 and hope that you'll use your questions period to ask me about the rest of them. In terms of 1303, it goes on two parallel tracks. One is enforcement and the other is the issuance of additional permits, but that's the problem, the tracks are parallel. They don't intersect and by that I mean that you have an enforcement that may or may not get set up. You can't mandate what the Administration does, and frankly based on the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

presentation that was earlier, I'd say I at least called them contemplator, and if they don't set up the special enforcement unit, the permits get issued automatically as a matter of the law, as a matter of the operation of the law. And following that, in the follow year you set up this advisory panel, you mandate that they will do a report. You don't mandate that anybody reads it. You don't mandate that anybody holds a public hearing, and whether or not they even write the report, the next incremental permits get issued March 1st that following year, and the following year and the following year after that. So we've seen-heard the business community get up and be very strong in their emotions about this whole process, the-the issuance of additional permits. I suspect that the feelings really stem from the lack of enforcement, and if you want to gain the confidence of the business community, you'll deal with enforcement issue and make enforcement a predicate on the issuance of any additional permits. Okay. So that's that. Now, in terms of a comprehensive program, we believe that food trucks should be required or be incentivized to use cleaner fuel and take noise mitigation measures.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

believe that there should be a study conducted to establish where the pedestrian saturation standard is, and prohibit vending in super congested area, and we believe that the issue of vendor clustering needs to be addressed. We don't say that those things should be done in advance of issuing any additional permits. These are things that should be part of a comprehensive program. Now, I see I have 49 seconds left. So I'm going to go to-let's do the-the change on where the truck is located, okay. So you've got to pedestrian clear path of 12 feet. That's what your-that's the minimum that's required to situate a cart, okay. Now, you're going to move the back of that cart three we-three feet away from the curb. The cart itself if five feet wide. That means it's eight feet into the sidewalks that's 12 feet. leaves four feet in front of it for clearance, or if it's crowded, don't you think pedestrians are going to walk behind it in three feet that's there and have them be run over? Not a very pedestrian safe measure in my mind. The same thing holds true for the other bill about clearance requirements. Lowering the clearance requirements for bus stops, driveways, subway stations, intersections and crosswalks just

doesn't make any sense. Pedestrian safety should be the primary consideration on the siting of carts.

4 That's it.

1

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROB BURNS: I could make this really fast what John said. [laughter] Hi, I'm Rob Burns. the President of the East Midtown Partnership, which is a Midtown Manhattan Business Improvement District, but I'm also co-chair of the New York City BID Association's Street Vending Working Group, and in that capacity I've met with almost half the members o the City Council over the last year and a half as well as community board members, small business people, residents and vendors themselves. I'm not going to go into my whole prepared remarks. They're really brilliant, though. Make sure you read them. I will talk on in that year and a half of emergences, and by the way, I'm having a little separation anxiety. You'll hear from Co-chair for the Vending Working Group, Leslie Ramos from Jackson Heights shortly. But usually we're-we've been together for a year working on this. So being up here alone is strange, but I will tell you over the last year and a half working on the issue, here are some high points that I can speak on what the Business Improvement

2 Districts and other community groups are looking at. 3 One is that we love the Office of Street Vendor 4 Enforcement in concept. We think there are a few things to work out, and-but we also think that that or that office has to be up and running, and has to 6 7 be experienced, and it has to start improving the 8 results before we start putting new permits out on the street. Siting is also a concern, as you've heard here from many of the panelists over the 10 11 afternoon. Vending at the end of the day is a-a land use issue, and vendors should not-we don't feel 12 13 vendors should be exempt from the same sort of public overview that governs sidewalk cafes, street 14 15 furniture. We're not equating human beings to bus 16 stops. Don't get me wrong, but if they're out-if-if 17 people are-if-if-it something is out on the streets 18 and possibly impeding pedestrian flow, we think the 19 public needs input on it. The black market has been-20 or-or the undoc- I'm sorry, what is-we are the 21 underground market. It's been-it's been cited and we 2.2 understand at least theoretically where the arguments 2.3 comes that more permits would mean a lessening supply and demand chain. But what we we'd like really like 24 25 to see in legislation is some-some teeth that treat

this criminal enterprise as a criminal—criminal
enterprise. Right now, the worst that's going to
happen no matter how bad you abuse your permit, and
exploit workers often immigrant workers, is you're
going to get that taken away. There is nothing that
gets worse than that in the law, and we think that
the law should be backed up to-to give it some-some-
some real strength on the black market question. And
finally, as has been pointed out, the distance
researching are there for afor a reason. Changing
the distance restrictions we feel in almost every
case directly contradicts the goals of Vision Zero.
They put pedestrians in a-in a crowded city. They
put pedestrians in a very unsafe position, but
backing up the framework is here for great
legislation. The City Council should take a little
bit of time and talk to more constituencies out
there, and this could be something everyone can be
proud of. Thank you.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: Good afternoon, Robert Bookman. I am counsel to the-today to the New York City Hospitality Alliance, the not-for-profit trade group that represents thousands of restaurants and eating and drinking establishments throughout the

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

five boroughs. I first want to say congratulations 2 3 and thank you to the council members who are still here. You really-no seriously, you don't know how 4 over the 30 years that I've been testifying before you how frustrating it is to sit for hours and hours 6 to have just the chairperson there. So even though I 7 8 don't think we can agree on a whole lot, you know, today on this, Council Member Levine, I think you for being here and staying throughout the whole things. 10 11 Kudos to you. You have my testimony-

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]

I'll be going to lunch now.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Just kidding, just kidding.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: You have my testimony. There's no-I don't need to read it into the record.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You give these guys too much credit. What's up with the Chair?

ROBERT BOOKMAN: That's alright, I'm trying to—I'm trying to brownnose a little bit. So I just want to go over a few points from what—what I've heard today. I think you've heard a consensus from the business side of the community that vending is

important. It's part of New York City's history, but 2 3 it's broken and it needs to be fixed before we have comprehension addition-additional permits out there. 4 I think that is our uni-uniform position. So bravo for the enforcement unit. We've been talking about 6 7 it literally, you know, for a long time. needed. There obviously is a lot of details that are 8 not there. I think the most important detail that's not there is we don't know where it will be, when it 10 11 will be up and running, when it will be effective, 12 and there should be no changes no changes until well after that. So brave for that, brave for the concept 13 of advisory board, but we believe that that advisory 14 15 board really needs to be expanded and really deal 16 with a true modernization. We're talking about a 19th and 20th Century industry here that's being 17 regulated by 19th and 20th Century ideas. I don't see 18 19 a lot of 21st Century ideas in this legislation 20 though I heard a lot of interesting things just in this hearing today that I think the Council could 21 2.2 work, you know, with all the parties on it. I do 23 want to make a couple of points on the underground market. And I was around when the Giuliani law was 24 passed, and understand that it-it did two things: 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

addition to ending corporate ownership of permits, it made one per person, which was supposed to be, you know, the solution. It effective created a black market economy because the second thing that it did it was it prohibited the sale of your one permit. So when a person works there 20, 30 years and they're ready to retire of if they've done very well, and they want to move onto that brick and mortar store that you talk about, there's no legal way for them to sell their business like every other business in-in the city and the country has to do. So what is their option? Turn it in and get zero for it or illegally rent it? So they're illegally renting it. the-that was the cause. That was the beginning of-of the black market. You're not changing anything here by increasing by a few hundred or a few thousand the number of-of permits when there are 13,000 current licensed food handler permits for vendors. So you're just dramatically increasing the black market, and that's our fear that there's no-no teeth in here to deal with the 80 or 90% of the people that are illegally renting now, and it's easy enough to do that. You know, there are many, many ideas. I will-I will just wrap up. The Health Department was non-

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

responsive to your answers. Big shock to the Health Department, but I'm on-I'm the Speaker's appointee, one of the appointees in the Food Advisory Board, and I can tell you that they can't handle food safety on food vendors right now, which is why they don't have letter grades. They're claiming they don't know-they can't inspect them frequently enough and often So they're overwhelmed by the number of food vendors out there now as far as food safety goes. You double over six years without a comprehensive concept with we're going to deal with this. We have a problem, and last but leas--and I appreciate the extra 60 seconds you've given me-is you know I do a lot of sidewalk cafes. I—and I represented newsstands for 30 years. I am amazed that—that I can't get a 50 square foot newsstand approved on the same block that has a wall of 50-foot food vendors on-on a daily I'm amazed that the clear path requirements that we have for those other uses, and there's not clear path requirement for food vendors. We've to have a coordination and common sense approach. think you're on the right track. Kudos for opening up this Pandora's Box or what we used to call when I was at Consumer Affairs the third rail, but I just-I

legislation. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

think you have some answers here, but I think we've

got a lot more work to do before you pass

thank this panel for the incredibly thoughtful and well thought out feedback you had on this package of bills. I—I agreed with much, if not most of what was said here. I think there's a lot of basis for us to work with you to-to incorporate your suggestions that you—you clearly know so much about this.

MALE SPEAKER: Next time call us earlier. [laughter]

to—to speak one very—very important and powerful issue that—that Mr. Doyle and I think I think maybe others raised about the futility of this entire undertaking if the Administration doesn't actually create the enforcement unit, or does it in a way that's inadequate. And we—we obviously care as much or more about that as well. We don't want to be wasting our time either. I will say couple things on that front. One is that the—unlike a lot of proposals this one actually carries some additional—additional revenue because of the increase in the

cost of the permits from about \$200 now I believe for
a two-permit to \$1,000 for a one-year permit. So I
guess it's a 10 fold increase from doing that, right?
And that would actually net a couple million dollars
a year for the City, which would help to offset the
cost of staffing on their unit, but-but I also want
to emphasize that—that—that I believe the Council, at
least those of us who support this bill, are
committed budgetarily to make sure that—that we on
the Council would fight for adequate resources for
this office. And I think if—if you're not talking
about at least, I don't know, 75 or 100 agents, if
that's the right word, then—then you're not really
talking about a viable workforce. So, you know, we
would welcome your feedback on-on staffing and
resources, but—and—and if—if you act—if some of you
are attorneys or you have attorneys on your staff, if
you want to talk about ways that we can specific that
in the bill, I'm open to that. What—what our
legislative tells me is that there some issues around
curtailment that prohibit us from micro managing this
too much that this is proper-properly thought out in
the budget process. If you had anything striking to

2.2

2.3

2 say on that topic now, I'd welcome your thoughts on 3 it or--

JOHN DOYLE: My only-my only thought would be that it—rather than trying to do it simply legislatively because I think that there are problems with doing that. I think you should do it as part of the budget. I think you should allocated a specific amount of money for a specific number of positions. You need to sit down with the Administration and find out what agency it actually goes before them, and then do an MOU with them to make sure that it gets spent in a certain fashion over a specified period of time.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: And yes and you are correct. There are legislative restrictions on what you're talking about, which is why I guess we're suggesting that you—you—we have results—enforcement results first. That way the—that you have legislative control over to see that you have these enforcements, and then let's—and—and simultaneously with that, we have a—you know, this—I wouldn't even call it a study, but we have this working group going on to look at how to modernize, you know, and—and how to expand the number and how to modernize it, and how

2.2

2.3

to do it in a way that makes sense for—you know, for all the stakeholders, and then you pass the legislation. Your problem is you want to do both at the same time, and you're right, you know, you have restrictions. You know, the cost of enforcement should not necessarily be we're going to guarantee a doubling of the number of permits today. Enforcement should be enforcement. It needs to be done, and—and that's both enforcement on the streets, and on the people who are improperly renting their permits and will continue to so under your law.

I—I do appreciate your framing of this as being long overdue modernization, and probably have to fix. I would to add to the list of problems not only those that you identified, but I would put the existence of an illegal market as one of the problem categories. So we're—we're seeking to address that now even thought the implementation may be phased in a little.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: Absolutely and one quick law story. When I left the Department of Consumer Affairs as counsel in 1986, vendor reform was being discussed, and one of the ideas then was that the city should identify X number of thousand spots on

2.2

2.3

the street, put a number from 1 to 4,000 of them, and do a lottery for those. Therefore, there would be no illegal people at those spots. It would be your spot. You would know where it was. Those spots would be determined based on objective, you know, criteria. There were even discussions about, you know, competitively bidding them out, you know, and maybe one in, you know, like rubber chutes a day would—would—would get \$10 and maybe one in Midtown would get \$30,000, but it would bring a revenue stream to the city, and it would pay for the enforcement. So that's an idea that's three decades old I'd say.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Definitely an idea we're looking at one—one that has a—a mind numbing number of challenges that—that—that we can talk to at a later date.

ROBERT BOOKMAN: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And I'll just close by one last thing because we do have so many people waiting. You talked about the—the lack of clearly 21st Century ideas. There is one indisputably 21st Century idea in here that we haven't spoken about, but it's in the bill, which is

2.2

2.3

- it would mandate creating of an app that would

 clearly chart locations that where vending is

 permitted or not permitted so that the average

 citizen has that information at their fingertips.
- 6 ROBERT BOOKMAN: I like that. That's a good one.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Alright, then thank you.
 - CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Councilman Cohen, please wait. We have--
 - have—I don't even know if it's question or just a thought, but—but what John was saying is sort of a—a nexus between them. I mean I wonder if we could just link the number of permits to the number of enforcement agents In other words, the city—if—if there were 75 enforcement agents, the city shall issue X amount of permits, and—and, you know, we'd hire five more agents. We could issue X amount more permits.
 - JOHN DOYLE: That's—that's possible. I would—I would suggest that—that the first thing that you can do legislatively is in the second, third, fourth and fifth years. That panel that comes up

with the report, its report, you can mandate in this legislation that there be a public hearing on it, and there be a vote of the City Council to either accept the report or reject the report. That can all be put in that legislation right now. But the initial with the enforcement unit perhaps you can do that type of connections. I'm being told by my attorney—I'm being told by my attorney that you can, but you should check with your own legal set. But clearly you can address the issues of the second, third and et cetera years simply.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you, Chair.

[background comments, pause]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Carlos Menchaca.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: One more--

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: We have—we have

another question, guys.

JOHN DOYLE: Oh.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: I just want some clarity. John, I don't know if it was you or the gentleman next to you, can—can you clarify a little bit about the question around permits and reselling of permits and what your plan is to

2 essentially that practice. I-I don't think-I don't

3 think we get the full concept. It would be great to

4 do that in this hearing.

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

JOHN DOYLE: Sure, I didn't-I didn't claim to have the solution to it, but I said that there was nothing in this law, which changes the status quo other than making more permits available. The only suggestion I did make was I-I pointed out under the-when the Giuliani law was passed in the '90, it's for the first time prohibited the free sale of-of-of permits. So that if I'm a food vendor, and I've been working hard for 20 years on the street, and I've had it, and I'm ready to open a brick and mortar, you know, I'm ready to retire, this law since the Giuliani law was passed gives you no option other than to either turn in my license, which I know is silly, because it's valuable, or illegally rent it to somebody. And you're not changing that system here other than increasing the number of permits. that--

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing]

So there's—there's no idea about how to solve it, but you're just reiterating the problem here?

2 JOHN DOYLE: Well, no, I-well, that's a 3 solution. In my opinion if you're saying I don't know what the numbers, but you guys have said that 4 maybe eight-as much as 80 or 90% of the current 3,500 5 permits are being illegally rented. If you allowed 6 those people tomorrow to sell their business, my 7 8 guess is you free a couple of thousand permits up for people on the waiting list because those people would have the opportunity to retire in dignity and move on 10 11 legally. So that-that is a partial solution. Another Council Member mentioned earlier as a 12 13 possible solution requiring the permit holder to be 14 at there-you know, at their carts. That would 15 certainly be a solution. I said assign new space. Is the city going to the trouble of assigning spaces, 16 and-and-and having lottery would solve that because 17 18 you would know who's, you know, who, you know, who's-19 who's there at any point in time. It could be nobody 20 else, and would also make it easy for the Health 21 Department to do enforcement and letter grades because right now they claim they can't because 2.2 2.3 they're mobile. They're all over the place. there are number of possibilities. I don't pretend 24 25 to have all the answers. There are a lot of smart

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

together.

- people in the city, a lot smarter than me, and I think if we sit down and talk about it with all the, you know, with all the interest groups including Sean Bazinski who is a tremendous, wonderful public servant and advocate and I've known him for years and God bless him for doing this for so many years. think we can come up with creative solutions. mean, you know, Councilman Levine is a smart. if he sits down with us, we'll come up with solutions
- COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you for that. I just wanted to give the opportunity t expand on that.
- ROBERT BOOKMAN: Thank and I appreciate it.

17 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: You're free to go [laughter] [background comments, pause] next panel we have Cindy Bosch, Dave Cook, Jeff Orlick, Yankee Sharon, Sante Antonelli and Jack Beller. [pause]

JACK BELLER: Good morning and thanks. [coughs] Well, now good afternoon, and thank you members of the City Council for your time. Some of

255 2 you may remember me from previous meetings of the 3 City Council on issues concerning permits. 4 spoken here more than once dating back to the 1980s. 5 If I look different, well, I didn't age as well as my wife I quess. For most of you who do not know me, my 6 7 name is Jack Beller and I'm Vice President at 8 Workmans Cycles 800 buy carts. We New York City's and possibly the country's oldest manufacturer of mobile food vending carts, trailers, trucks and 10 11 kiosks, and not new systems so we don't blow things 12 up. We are also known for our industrial tricycles, bicycles--and bicycles. Continuously in here-here in 13 14 New York City since 1898 first in Manhattan, then in 15 Brooklyn and now in our facility in Ozone Park, 16 Queens, we have provided opportunity for employment 17 throughout that time for New York City residents who 18 earn an income, pay their taxes, buy homes, raise 19 families and contribute to the overall vitality of 20 the New York City economy. I spoke against 21 restricting the number of permits back when it was first conceived—conceived because I knew it would 2.2 2.3 create an opportunistic play market-play market driving up costs, changing the game, so to speak for 24

what I felt was the original intent of food vending

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to offer the most basic path through a capitalist enterprise for those without much money. These were people like my parents who were immigrants or those without means due to circumstances of life that they were born into. That said, any modifications to the law that increase the number of available permits available is a good thing. So I heartily encourage the passage of—or passage of Intro 1303. modification would go a long way to return the food vending permit back to its intended purpose to allow people to sell food instead of what it has-has become an asset used to generate income in and of itself. Increasing the number of available permits will no doubt be of benefit to the company I work for as well because more permits means additional vendors, which means more food vending permits will need to be produced and sold-food vending I mean. This will also benefit the city as we potentially will be able to hire more personnel who pay taxes, raise families here, and well, you get the picture. I'm pretty sure that most of BIDs will come out against the expansion of the number of permits claiming that more street vendors will only hurt the businesses of the brick and mortar food vendors who pay rent to the real

estate owners. This has been an ongoing claim since
the restrictions on permits were put in place.
However, given the high rents we know are associated
with New York real estate, the really low vacancy
rates and the number and variety of restaurants
available on most commercial city streets, this claim
seems to be unfounded. This is the kind of business
the city needs employing its own residents, producing
a product that is used within its own jurisdiction
generating revenue from its outset to its actual use
on the streets. Unlike many manufacturing businesses
that have left New York because they can produce
elsewhere at far lower cost, the mobile food carts,
trucks, et cetera that we produce for the New York
market we typically produced here for practical
reasons. In summary, increasing the number of
permits for vendors is good economic policy for New
York City. It potentially increases business for
manufacturers, which increase their ability to hire
fellow residents, which increase the tax rolls and
give people money to spend in the city further
enhancing the economy. I urge you to pass this
legislation. Thank you.

2	SANTE ANTONELLI: Dear members of the
3	Council, my name is Sante Antonelli, I am the
4	Director of Business Services for the Queens Economic
5	Development Corporation. Thank you for the
6	opportunity to present the mission of the QEDC, which
7	is to create and retain jobs for neighborhoods and
8	also to promote the great Borough of Queens. We are
9	the borough go-to resource for anyone seeking
10	business advice and counseling especially form low-
11	income communities, immigrants, minorities and women.
12	We help through the entrepreneurship process with a
13	variety of no-cost and low-cost programs and
14	services. In the last year we have assisted over
15	1,500 individuals to start more than 250 businesses.
16	We had over 500 new jobs through our Business
17	Literacy, a counseling program; helped over 1,000
18	handymen and women become fully licensed contractors
19	with New York City's Department of Consumer Affairs
20	with training offered in English, Chinese and
21	Spanish. We've worked with clients to get certified
22	as Minority and Women Owned Businesses, opening doors
23	for opportunities. We continued to grow the number
24	of food businesses via our entrepreneur space, our
25	kitchon inquhator Wo also oponod up a poodod Ougons

2 retail store to help local manufacturers comply with 3 local laws, create brand awareness and sale with 4 their communities. But I'm here today to support 5 this proposal-proposed legislation to increase vendor opportunities. We have worked with many individuals 6 7 where street vending is the only way to commence a 8 legitimate business. This is especially true for those with limited language and economic ability. For low-income individuals with many jobs 10 11 opportunities starting out as-as a street vendor is a 12 viable and entrepreneurial alternative to earning a 13 living. We would note that street vending has in 14 some instances been a launch pad for small one-person 15 endeavors to grow in and become big businesses. 16 few blocks from us on Orchard Street Muskrat Eyewear 17 was founded in a pushcart, and further up Broadway is 18 ABC Carpet founded by Samuel Weinrib who sold his 19 rugs also from a push cart. These are just two 20 examples that scratch the surface of the immigrant street vendors that have become American success 21 2.2 stories, and there are many more. In 2014, we co-2.3 piloted an education program through New York City EDC's Competition Thrive called the Street Vendor 24 25 Academy. The goal was simple. We-to help street

vendors to become better business people. Our goal
was to encourage them to operate a legal business,
work within the law, identify supply and demand
constraints, pay their taxes and utilize technology
to help them climb up the economic ladder. We began
with the Southeast Asian group of Bhutanese, Nepalese
and Tibetan vendors. The response was excellent. We
found a found a tremendous desire in the Street
vendor community to do the right thing. This
legislation will create more opportunities and the
support from the public and non-profit world. We can
help an entire sector, but even though I may
currently be disenfranchised, as the drive and work
ethic to improve the situation and in turn contribute
to the improvement of the micro economy of our city.
We hope the Council will move on this this
legislation. If so, please be assured that QEDC will
become the valued partner with you in helping the
vendor community. Thank you. [pause]

YANKI TSHERING: Good afternoon,

Honorable Council Members. My name is Yanki Tshering
and I'm the Executive Director of the Business Center
for New Americans. I'm a Tibetan Refugee who
emigrated to the U.S. in 1986. Founded in 1997, the

2 Business Center for New Americans creates a pathway 3 to self-sufficiency for immigrants, refugees, women 4 and others by providing small business loans, specialized training, technical assistance and 5 personalized coaching. As of September 2016, BCNA 6 7 has named over 2,680 loans for a total of \$16.7 8 million. WE rea certified by the U.S. Department of Treasury and the Small Business Administration. 90% of our clients are minority and 40% are women. 10 11 BCNA has almost 20 years of experience working 12 directly with street vendors. We provide loans, 13 specialized training to vendors who are looking to 14 start or expand a street vending business. Our staff 15 serves clients with everything from the creation of a business plain, obtaining the required licenses and 16 17 permits to upgrade their business and to help them 18 with marketing and general business management. We 19 strongly support the expansion of permits for New 20 York City food vendors. For one thing, vendors who 21 do not have their own permits must rent them 2.2 illegally from others finding it very difficult to 2.3 formalize and grow their business. We often must say no to food vendors who seek loans to buy permits on 24 the black market. We cannot justify lending money 25

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

for such a risky venture. Furthermore, the current permit system makes it nearly impossible for most vendors to accumulate wealth. Most of the money they make each day goes to pay of the permit owner leaving the vendor always treading water and never able to swim. If vendors have their own permits, however, the profits can be recirculated in expanding and improving their business and taking care of their families. We're excited at the prospect that there may soon be hundreds of new food vendors who are given the chance to legalize their business with a permit. We look forward to serving these small business owners with loans and technical assistance to help each one of them achieve a part of the American Dream. Thank you. [background comments, pause]

DAVE COOK: Good afternoon. My name is

Dave Cook. I'm a photo journalist with my own

website, Media Translation and for other print and

online publications. By and large the substance of

my work as a writer and a photographer coincides with

my interest as a consumer. I enjoy seeking out

interesting food that's served in less formal sitings

off the beaten track about the city. Street food

2 vendors live all five boroughs of New York City, and 3 they run their small business in neighborhoods in all 4 five boroughs, too. In many of these neighborhood especially once you travel outside the most heavily trafficked areas of Midtown and Lower Manhattan, the 6 7 character of the vendors reflects the character of 8 the community. We should be a little surprised since so many of these vendors have business close to home. This affinity between street vendors and the 10 11 communities isn't simply a matter of what's on the 12 menu, although the variety of the streets of New York 13 may be unmatched anywhere else in the world. You can 14 taste Jamaican Jerk Chicken, Dominican [speaking 15 foreign language], Bangladeshi Jamuri (sp?), Ecuadorian [speaking foreign language] Mexican 16 17 [speaking foreign languages] Chinese [speaking 18 foreign language] and other dishes representing 19 dozens of national and regional cuisines provide that 20 you're in right place and at the right time much as 21 coffee and donut carts in the morning give way to hot dog stands in the afternoon an evening. 2.2 2.3 vendors do business on the rhythm of the neighborhoods. Like other small businesses, street 24 food vendors don't simply offer a reflection of their 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

communities, they also serve as stakeholders in their communities. The best established of these vendors, the ones whose own right to do business is secure and who can confidently operate in plain sight on a regular schedule often functioning much like mobile mom and pop stores. They provide reliable place where neighbors can meet up with one another, speak the language that they speak at home, share the local news and keep watch on goings on. The most celebrated of these vendors attract new business for their communities not only from culinary adventurers, but also from residents and tourists in search of the real New York, the one with all the local color that they can't find anywhere else. By raising the number of permits, by streamlining enforcements, and by recognizing the different needs of different neighborhoods the Street Vendor Modernization Act will provide new opportunities for vendors and help ensure that they can contribute-that they continue to contribute to their communities. Thank you.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.

CINDY VANDENBOSCH: Thank you so much for having us here today and introducing this important bill. My name is Cindy VandenBosch and I'm the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

Founder and President of Turnstile Tours, a social	
enterprise that has been offering tours about the	
street food industry and the Financial District and	
Midtown for over six years. In that time we have	
shared the stories and delicious food of over five	
dozen street vendors with thousands of people both	
New Yorkers and tourists, and one of the vendors we	
work with even catered my wedding a few years ago.	Ι
am here today on behalf of Turnstile tours to	
demonstrate our support for the pass-passage of this	
bill to ensure that street vendors most of whom as—a	.S
many of us have discussed today are immigrant and	
working class people can operate their small	
businesses and support their families with knowing	
that they have the support of the City. At no point	
in New York City's history have we experienced such	
cultural diversity. New Yorkers and tourists alike	
understand that through street food they can	
experience the cultural diversity, that it offers	
opportunities to taste some of the most authentic an	.d
traditional cuisine from the newest wave of	
immigrants and migrants that we have here in the	
city. To taste dishes like kati rolls, tortas and	
binatas, and jerk chicken and in some ways by tastin	g

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this food and interacting with the vendors, we bring people closer together and build community. As with the waves of Jewish and Italian immigrants of the past, today's street vending is still key to providing entrepreneurial opportunities to immigrant working class people who are the life blood of this great city, but we need to do a better job to make it possible for vendors to operate lawfully and make a Aside from the vendors themselves, they areliving. they are supporting all—this industry is supporting tens of thousands of people. So on one hand there's the vendors themselves, but they are the metal fabricators that we heard about earlier who design and produce the carts and trucks. They are the graphic designers, the commercial kitchens, the storage facilities and even food supply companies that support the back end of these businesses. believe the changes proposed will help the City of New York reset its relationship with street vendors in a way that's constructive rather than destructive. We give tours year round, and they're out there working with street vendors in the rain, snow and heat, and on holidays like New Year's Day and during Ramadan. The vendors we know are hard working

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

entrepreneurs and work service, the people from places like Bangladesh, Egypt, Trinidad, Mexico and Ecuador. They're mom and pop businesses, and they're right there in Midtown in the Financial District. They are mothers and fathers, sons and daughter and as with any small businesses they take great pride in their work. They know the names of their regular customers, and look forward to seeing them. know the comings and goings of their block like the back of their hands, and they're dedicated to providing quality food at affordable prices, which is an increase-increasing rarity in the city. changes proposed we believe will give vendors a voice, a much needed voice at the table, and untangling the complex web of regulations that they face on a day-to-day basis, and will simultaneously improve clarity around enforcement. We also are excited about the hot prospects, the fact that this bill would open up opportunities for street vendors to gain access to much needed affordable commercial kitchen space, something we hear that the vendors struggle with all the time. Lastly, we really do believe that this bill probably most importantly will help enable vendors to operate their businesses

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

without the constant fear of losing their permits
and, therefore, their livelihoods every other year
due to predatory black market pricing. Thank you so

5 much.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JEFF ORLICK: Okay. [coughs] My name is Jeff Orlick. I-I created the Roosevelt Avenue Midnight Street Food Call and the Taste of the World Tour in Jackson Heights, Elmhurst, Woodside and Corona, Queens. I also create and organize and produce events linking culture and food in New York City. My work in the industry has-has got attention nationally and internationally, television and publications, whatever, whatever, whatever, and then, but today I continue to lead travel journalists, professors, reporters around Queens and New York City usually finding ways to link culture and food, and New York City is the-the greatest place in the world to do this. So my work has given me unique perspective to understanding tourists, locals, entrepreneurs, establish business people, BIDs, business food districts. I've worked with the 82nd Street BID, the Flushing BID, the Sunnyside BID, and politicians. So, people usually come to me because they want to see the legendary real New York.

2 want to be immersed in our culture, and most of my people are educated about New York City. 3 They know 4 the census report, and they could-they know there's no real Italian in-in Little Italy, and they know there is nowhere to eat in Times Square. 6 They want 7 the real stuff not the chains. They want nothing to 8 do with PR firms or copyright lawyers. They want to see the seeds of New York. They want to see now and the future, and when I bring people to Roosevelt 10 11 Avenue, their eyes light up with buzz on the street. 12 They get dizzy from the IRT overhead, and they're 13 comforted by rice and tacos Tia Julia, and when they leave the street food of Roosevelt Avenue, they can't 14 wait to come back to 82nd Street on the next trip to 15 16 New York. And these are the pictures that they're 17 most excited to show their friends when they go back 18 to LA or London. This is the stuff that the NYC Tourism Board should be going monkeys over rather 19 than Carnegie Deli, which exploded, which has a gas 20 21 line leak and it's as dangerous as much of the street 2.2 food places are. A hundred years ago with the Jews, 2.3 the Italians and Germans selling-selling on the streets of New York City and today these are the 24 surnames on our buildings and business. They are 25

2 iconic to us. When I see the street vendors on 3 Roosevelt Avenue, I see my family from Eastern Europe 4 who created themselves on the Lower East Side, and every time I see current ones fined and confused, I 5 see my own people, my grandparents trying and being 6 7 squashed. This is our future and our past. It's not 8 about just brick and mortar. It's about educating versus suffocating. I mean you guys have to choose the objective of these restrictions to destroy or 10 11 correct. There are too many skilled people out there who are forced to abandon their business because 12 13 there are too many obstacles to work for themselves. Now, I'll do some math. There are 4,000 vendors-14 15 permits out there times \$20,000 and a gap between 16 what's asked from the city and what's asked by the black market, half of that that's-that's \$40 million. 17 18 That's-that's up-that's in the clouds that we could 19 be using for something like the-the Advisory Board 20 or-or the-or the Enforcement Project, which everyone 21 wants. I mean we could bring on grading. This is a 2.2 concession you guys could sell to have one to all the 2.3 opposition. Remember, everyone wants to be graded. The street vendors want to be said-want to be 24 25 considered legitimate. No one wants to be afraid of

2.2

2.3

the food or given a reason for people to be afraid of the food. We are trying to uplift our residents and our life here. There's no better way to help customers and constituents feel more confident than to have a grade on a vendor. Vendors want to be given—given credit for being clean and they don't way dirty vendors or unsafe vendors bring their whole industry down to bring on the grades. Now, the last panel—

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [interposing] I'm going to ask you-I'm going to ask you to wrap up.

was awesome. They bring in a lot of good issues and they want to have studies. Some of you want to have studies and delay it, but the fact is if you're ready, you're late. We can't keep putting this off for 30 more years, and other people it seems like fear is their opposition. And as you can see in the election now, fear is probably not going to win the presidential election and—and this is not a way to go about life being afraid of street vendors or people that are trying to make it.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Alright, thank you.

JEFF ORLICK: That's it.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 272
2	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Andy.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you, Chair.
4	Mr. Beller, how much does a cart cost to make it?
5	JACK BELLER: It all depends. I guess
6	the least expensive unit would be like an ice cream
7	cart. They range between \$4 and \$2,000.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: \$4,000 to \$2
9	JACK BELLER: From \$2 to \$4,000.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: \$2 to \$4,000.
11	JACK BELLER: Less. Actually—no I'm
12	sorry. An ice cream cart will run between \$1,400 and
13	a little over \$2,000. A hot dog cart runs around—a
14	hot-just great hot dog and soda, which is non-
15	processing runs around \$4,000. A chicken trailer not
16	where you stand inside, but where you stand on the
17	street they can run to \$18,000. The Hallal type of
18	units where you're standing inside or things that are
19	enclosed trailers can run \$25 to \$30,000. Food
20	trucks can run—but usually most customers there will
21	buy their own trucks second hand, and they repurpose
22	it and reconvert the kitchen inside, and they can run

on the low end today- It didn't used to be but today

say between \$30 and \$60,000 or even \$70,000.

23

24

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: And—and your business is manufacturing. Is there somebody else who did not come to testify today whose job is in financing of these—of these carts?

JACK BELLER: There probably is but we are—I don't get into that. We—our—our interaction with the customer is what we where we will take the deposits on an order, and by the time the unit is completed, we get paid from them if they've gotten their own financing.

I don't know. I'm not directing this to anybody in particular on the panel but because—but none of you are—are vendors yourselves, but in your dealing with vendors, is—is it the common experience that—that have permits, or—or are most of the people you're dealing with are, you know, on the tour or—or another—another context all using someone else's permit?

YANKI TSHERING: Base on our experience at the Business Center, the—I would say the majority of the food vendors that we work with are renting the permits, or using someone else's permit.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SANTE ANTONELLI: It's like not even a question. Everyone is using someone else's permit, everyone.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: I-I guess what I'm concerned about is that if you're increasing the-I would like to see at the end of the day that this legislation puts-gives-gives the vendors, the people on the street, the people who I see out there, you know, who seem to be working very hard in tough conditions, at least they're in the position of having their own permit, and so that they're-You know because I mean right- I men the system now not only is it illegal, but it seems just right for exploitation. There's no-they're-they're really working for the permit holder in a way that can make sure that they do not get a minimum wage, that they don't get-they break all the regulations that you might have if these people were employed as employees versus they're sure to being the victims of exploitation, and I'm just not sure if creating more permits if we don't get the permits into the right hands is going—is going to do anything.

JACK BELLER: So in—in my experience with the many people in New York clients, we sell products

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

all over, and we don't only sell to individuals. sell to corporate people, too, but in my experience, the people in New York most of them whether they're immigrants or young college people who have started trucks, which is another part of the relatively recent in my experience anyway business. Most of them are "leasing a permit" and leasing is not legal. So what-what is done is the way-the way it's gotten around is the person whose name the permit is in becomes a partner in that business. So, and that they-the-the person who wants to use the permit pays that permit. They're given that—a certain amount of money for that, right, so that they could do that. That's how it's been circumvent. If-if one wants to actually-Again, that's why I said if-if you don't want it to be an asset, if you want it to be a means of-of selling food, then if the person whose name the permit is in has to be at the unit, that would solve the problem. So I mean, it-it depends what the city-In my mind the—the original—the reason that the permits weren't-were not like taxing guns, but they were not-and I may be wrong, but why else. reason they were not transferrable, they were not sellable was because the intent of it was for-to

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

give—not to be an asset, but just as a means to get into the system if you will. So that's all.

COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Carlos Menchaca.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you, Chair, and my question I want to just underscore something that was said in this panel, and maybe it was said a couple times before, but it's really important. One, these are our neighbors in our communities and they can serve as eyes and ears and they understand the kind of fabrics and goings on in the neighborhood, and so that's an important thing, and connecting in better relationships with our police department is important and all. Thank you for-for saying that. Second, cooking spaces is-is another kind of concept that I haven't seen, and we're kind of looking at legislative pieces, but a cooking location that is-that appears to the-the DOH regulations, and make sure that we have safe food that's prepared before it gets onto the street and I just want to make sure that—that we all heard that, and that's an important thing for everybody I think back to the safety issues, and so that's an important-that's the-that's a beginning of

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I don't know what that looks like. a solution. don't if anybody has more ideas about this, and—and the testimony is on its way. We want to hear it, but that's another component to this and it's-again, this might not be a legislative fix, but it is a community conversation that can happen where a community can come together and say this is how we're going to work with our vendors. This is how our vendors are going to prepare food. Is there spaces that we can do? Maybe the--the city has a role, and that's my earlier questions to the Administration. I-I-I asked a very open-ended--ended question about what's your responsibility in help remove the gap of opportunity that people can take. And so I have-my last and my final question, Mr. Beller, you're a manufacturer and have you seen opportunities to evolve your work, your-specifically your carts and all the products that are going out but haven't been able to do that for whatever reason that can-that can help us get to safe standards and better carts that can-that can answer some of these questions that we're-we're dealing with today, and-and again I just want to get a sense about how-how you're evolving the industry as well as you're hearing some of these-these issues?

2.2

2.3

Because I mean some of these issues are related to
the infrastructure that some of these vendors have at
the end of day on the ground in your years of
manufacturing?

JACK BELLER: Okay, so I—I think—what I understand you're trying to ask is whether the equipment has evolved to meet that—the production of food, and—and safety and—

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: [interposing] Handling food production.

JACK BELLER: Okay, so the Health-many of the Health Department's regulations that are on the books if they were enforced—if they were enforced and if they were enforced equally across the board—board, which they're—they're not, would—would actually be a god send to—to the public. Earlier, much earlier in—in today's meeting, I think the Department of Health said something about the char—the charbroilers and the emissions. Well, these—all of these units are required by the Health Department to have an exhaust hood with filters and fans, exhaust fans, a blast fan, and they are also supposed to be enclosed on three sides in the pot. That's the way the law is written, and if you walk around—and—and few years ago

2 I'd say that's what happened. The Health Department 3 regulations are enforced like for two years in one 4 direction and then two years in another direction, and it-it makes for as a manufacturer who likes to make-- I'm sort of a by-the-book kind of guy, and so 6 7 I've always tried to make things according to the way 8 it's described in the regulation, but if other manufactures- Well, for example, your-your cart is supposed to be enclosed on three sides and the top, 10 11 but you'll see many of the units have sliding units. 12 That's not legitimate, and many of the vendors prefer 13 it, and because it—it gives them open air and makes it visible, whatever, but that's not the Health 14 15 Department's description. So we, of course, will 16 adapt our manufacturing through what we'll sell also 17 because we're in the market and we have to be able to 18 sell. So even-so if we find out that from customers 19 who say well, Joe Blow has a cart with this, and 20 that's the way we want it. We're going to do that. 21 So, if the laws were enforced the way they're 2.2 supposed, and consistent and not tell one vendor you 2.3 can have this and another vendor you can have that, and that's true because I've seen it. I've seen 24 25 people come back as failed, and for things that don't

2.2

2.3

know.

even make sense like a casual—there's no—there's—a couple of years ago, I—I do—I remember certain things. So a couple of years ago, a customer she sold nuts or something like that. She failed. One of the reasons she failed was—it was the—I saw it on the casters that—that were too small. There's no—there's no regulation on the books relation to this side of unit. Why she was, you know, failed for that and not somebody else, you can only—you know, I don't

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you for that, and I--I think what this—this points to is—is a lack of accountability on multiple parties, and I think we all need to come to the table with some accountability period across the table. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you panel.

Let's call up the next one. We have Eli Bueno from 180-180 First Street Small Businesses; Kamal Murphy from the Bar (sic) Association; Richard Lipsky from the Bar (sic) Association; Joe Fantano from Local 338 WBSU; Nelson Eusebio from the National Supermarket Association. [background comments, pause]

MALE SPEAKER: Mr. Chairman, 338 had to leave, but we have Local 1500. Can they replace 338?

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Sure.

3 MALE SPEAKER: Brandon, if you're here.

[background comments, pause]

NELSON EUSEBIO: It says her good morning, but actually it's good afternoon or good evening. Good evening Chair Rafael Espinal and other members of the New York City Council Committee on Consumers Affairs. My name is Nelson Eusebio, and I'm the Executive Director of the National Supermarket Association. The NSA is a trade association that represents the interests of independent supermarket owners in New York and other urban cities throughout the East Coast. In the five boroughs alone, we represent 400 stores that employ over 15,000 New Yorkers. I'm here today to testify on two items rel-relevant to our membership, Intro 1303/2016, Intro 0072/2014. Intro 1303/2016, a local law to amend the New York City Charter and Administrative Code of the City of New York in relation to expanding the availability of food vendor permits, creating an office of street vendors enforcement and establishing the Street Vendor Advisory Board. The NSA has long had extraneous relation between store owners and street vendors.

Street vendors often set up shop directly outside our 2 member stores undercutting them in pricing and steal 3 4 business right underneath their nose. This can result in losses up to \$5 to \$6,000 a week. Maybe 5 street vendors operate illegally setting up in 6 7 loading zones or meter parking and operating there for extended periods of time. This often results-8 results in confrontations between store owners and street vendors. I think that we can all agree that 10 11 that doesn't benefit anyone. With that being said, 12 NSA most certainly believes in the right to 13 entrepreneurship and the rights of street vendors 14 many of whom are immigrants that provide for their 15 families. It is precisely this balance we're seeking 16 in the Street Vendor Modernization Act. While we 17 commend the New York City Council for working to put 18 together a well thought out piece of legislation, 19 there are certain measures that need to be included 20 in order to make this legislation live up to the 21 spirit of the law and alleviate many of the issues of brick and mortar stores, particularly supermarkets 2.2 2.3 facing from the street vending community. Our suggestions are the following, if I may: More on 24 detailed enforcement unit. NSA was particularly-25

2.2

2.3

particularly—particularly pleased to see separate enforcement on a task force overseeing that arm and focus on enforcement around supermarkets. However, in order to ensure this union functions as intended, the NSA recommends that Intro 1303 include a method where our personnel can reportedly—report directly to a unit, a hotline or some other method to directly communicate and report violations. We also recommend that the law spell out how the unit will be staffed according to reasonable ratio of enforcement, agents to vendors, and to require that the units lay out perimeters and priorities for how they intend to target illegal street vendors. [bell] Well, I have a lot more here to say.

MALE SPEAKER: You should go into the restrictions on that. (sic)

NELSON EUSEBIO: The supermarket industry is highly regulated, and the Department of Consumer Affairs mandates that stores post prices and tags on each product. Stores that fail to do so, can suffer large fines harming their business and indirectly the communities they feed. We are glad to see that measures in the level of regulatory playing field with related industries selling these products.

nowever, there are many box and bon regulations in
the supermarket industry that we believe should be
expanded to the push cart industry for basic consumer
protection reasons. But for also regulatory
fairness. For example, the supermarket industry
faces strict refrig-refrigeration requirements for
both displays and storage items. Push carts should
be held to the same standard, but instead you see
stands with produce sitting outside of stores under-
refrigerated in 90 degree weather. We implore the
City Council to further expand in these discrepancies
and consider—and consider legislative action. The
NSA will be happy to serve as a resource in this
process. Let me state that we are not against push
carts, but we are for the regulation of push carts.
The spirit of the law when it comes to our food
vendors and produce vendors was to provide fruits and
produce to neighborhoods where they weren't. When
these vendors are in front of our supermarkets, they
are not satisfying nobody needs.

EDDIE PEREZ: Good afternoon. My name is

Eddie Perez. I represent the United Restaurant and

Lounge Group. I'm also a spokesperson for the Bodega

Association of the United States, and I want to thank

2 the members of the City Council, those of you that 3 are left, for the opportunity for me to speak. 4 and exact justice to all men of whatever state or persuasion. Jefferson wrote that and it's on the 5 ceiling of this room. But when Council Member Levine 6 7 had spoken of a \$1,000 permit fee and the young lady 8 that was at this-at this very same seat, had said that it was too expensive, that right there is not equal and exact. When a restaurant wants to open up 10 11 a sidewalk café, they're faced with over \$6,000 in license fees, consent fees, security deposits and 12 13 rigorous approval, which involves hiring an 14 architect, which also incurs additional expenses. 15 Let's put another scenario. Out of all our members 16 let's say somebody decides to open up a mobile 17 clothing boutique, right, about the same size of the 18 food truck. The member parks it in front of a mall. 19 They're going to be able to sell a lot of T-shirts, a 20 lot of clothing that otherwise would be sold by clothing vendors inside the mall. That's hijacking 21 2.2 customers. Food trucks don't just compete with the 2.3 brick and mortars for food traffic. They also occupy a long stretch of parking spots where customers look 24 25 to park their vehicles. Street vendors enjoy a

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

competitive advantage to brick and mortar businesses. Brick and mortars they have to pay a portion of their sales for rent, property taxes, downtown improvement district fees, common area maintenance by their landlords, the landlord's property insurance, and food trucks they pay if not any, maybe very little of these burdens. Traditional restaurants must sustain a local workforce year round even though their profit margins shrink in the winter time. Food trucks would be seasonal. Most of them are and add competition during the warmer months. We're not completely against this legislation, right. It's a free market and everybody deserves an opportunity to live the American dream, but this legislation needs to be though out a little more carefully. A lot of the restaurant owners, a lot of the brick and mortars spend a lot of time and money on advertising and promotions to bring people in, and the food trucks benefit from that. Large retail operations should also be concerned that vendors discourage walk-in customers by blocking the sidewalks and contributing to the overall degradation of the environment around the store. And if we want to talk health, safety, security, the street vendors may be targets for

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

crimes of opportunity because of the cash they're going to carry on hand. The issue is that vendors may be risk-may be risks, you know, for-for additional crimes. Vendors and the power generators they are noise polluters as well as air polluters. It would be very beneficial if every food truck were require to have an electrical plug in at the site where they will sell their lunches. And in regards to food safety, most of the problems arise when the truck's operators illegally store food at home where health inspectors cannot go. Commissaries there's not enough. It was stated earlier to day, and with the rampant rezoning under this Administration there are very few places in Manhattan zoned for new commissaries. You know, again it's-it's a debate that's happening all over the district, and I'm pretty sure it's happening across the country. You know, we want to make sure that food trucks can peacefully co-exist with brick and mortar restaurants, but the investments that the restaurants owners have made in New York City they need to be protected. Thank you.

2 Local 1500. Thank you for having me today. you to the Chairman and—and the council members. 3 4 want to read a statement that was prepared by our 5 President, Anthony Speelman and I'm the President of UFCW Local 1500, New York State's largest grocery 6 workers union. I'm here today to testify on the 7 Street Vendor Modernization Act, a bill whose main 8 goal is lifting the limitation on the number of license of street vendor in New York City. What is 10 11 missing is any substantial concern for the impact 12 that street vending has on the city's 600 or more 13 neighborhood supermarkets many of whom employ the 14 hardworking men and women of my local union. 15 over 20,000 members, Local 1500 is one of the largest 16 locals in the UFCW. Our union represents men and 17 women in Queens, Brooklyn, Staten Island, the Bronx 18 and thousands more in Nassau County, Suffolk County, 19 Westchester and Duchess. Our members work companies 20 that have a long history in New York City. These 21 companies such as Fairway, D'Agostino, Stop and Shop, 2.2 Gristides, Shop Right. They have been serving New 2.3 Yorkers for many years. Our members receive better salaries and better benefits because of the hard work 24 25 Local 1500 does in the grocery on their behalf.

2 However, all is not well. Over the past 16 years, we have lost many of our jobs to-have lost many of our 3 4 jobs as supermarkets have been forced into bankruptcy. This is not the fault alone of New York City. The city's regulatory environment have made it 6 7 difficult for our stores to compete in today's city. 8 In this challenging environment, the City Council is adding one more obstacle, adding additional street vendors. This proposal flies in the face of a 10 11 regulatory system that allows produce vendors to set 12 up shop directly in front or adjacent to our 13 neighborhood supermarkets. According to our 14 companies they lose anywhere from \$5,000 to \$7,000 a 15 week to street vendors. When those lost sales go to 16 the street, it means that workers lose hours, get 17 laid off or just don't get hired in the first place. 18 Produce is sold on the street and really good paying 19 jobs are sacrificed. The reason lies—But why? 20 reason lies in the Council's unwillingness to take a 21 chance and reverse 70 years of bad legal precedent. 2.2 When the court ruled in 1943 that the city couldn't 2.3 restrict vendors solely on the basis of competition, it did so in the face of Mayor La Guardia's effort to 24 ban all street peddling in New York, something we do 25

2 not want to happen because we applaud the efforts of 3 striving street vendors to pursue their vision of the 4 American dream. But we don't think that their pursuit should be fulfilled at the expense of our hardworking members. The legislation before you calls for a 6 greater focus on supermarkets, but the bill is out of 8 focus when it comes to the preservation of supermarkets and our members. In order to get the right kind of focus, the Council needs to place the 10 11 needs of this vital industry front and center. 12 treat our members' survival as merely ancillary to 13 the needs of street vendors is like putting the 14 peddler's cart before the supermarket's horse. 15 right focus means finding ways through the city's 16 overriding authority to ensure that the health and 17 safety of its citizens and to regulate where vendors 18 can operate in the public interest. I'll leave it to 19 some of my coalition partners to lay out the 20 specifics, but there are ways that the City Council 21 can do this. In order to do this, you'll need to recognize the fairness-that fairness involves finding 2.2 2.3 the right balance. Assuming that the current bill is only a dozen parts. Our members are watching and 24 hoping that the Council will amend this proposal to 25

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

reflect the genuine needs of their employers and themselves. We stand ready to work with you in order to achieve this goal. Thank you.

RICHARD LIPSKY: Good afternoon, Council Members. My name is Richard Lipsky. I have been representing the interests of bodegas and supermarkets for close to 35 years. One of the things that I think should be very clear from the outset is that supermarkets are disappearing in New York City. As my colleagues have said, the challenges of operating in the New York City environment are great, but I'm here today to talk about a specific niche in the food chain, which is the local bodega. One of the things that we have seen over the last 10 years is a renewed interest bodeguero to become healthier food options. We have Shop Healthy, which is a-a program that's sponsored by the New York City Department of Health, but recently the Bodega Association has instituted through a grant from the Center for Disease Control a program called Healthy Bodega. Healthy Bodega is now 50 strong in the Bronx, and one part of the program is training the store owners to provider healthier food options for the residents and working with Bronx

2 Health League to create a community partnership with 3 the store owners. The other thing that the store 4 owners do is to retrofit the stores, and spend 5 thousands of dollars in new equipment in order to provide those healthier food options, new deli 6 7 counters, new coolers for water in the front of the 8 store, rather than soda and beer, things of that What the Modernization bill before us does or doesn't do in this case it doesn't address the 10 11 equity involved in getting bodegas to finally reach 12 out to the community, deal with the health issues. Bronx is 62 out of 62 counties in the state of New 13 14 York in terms of health outcomes, and to look at that 15 and to say we need to-to encourage that experiment. 16 We need to invest in the small store owner who has 17 put tens of thousands of dollars into those stores. 18 Instead what this bill can do potentially because the 19 cap eventually becomes non-existent is to place more 20 vendors in front of those stores selling comparable 21 goods, and to the point of my colleague from the Union, this Council has the opportunity to look at 2.2 2.3 the stores that Mr. Usega represents mostly in the outer boroughs in underserved areas. The bodegas 24 25 that I am speaking for today, and that when those

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

stores are selling--as the supermarkets do and now the bodegas are following suit-when those stores are selling healthier food items in the interest of public health, we need to put a perimeter around those stores in those neighborhoods of at least 500 fee that you- It makes no sense if the city's policy is to promote supermarket poten-retention and health bodegas to then put vendors on the street to undermine city policy for public health. So, to wrap it up, the City Council has the opportunity not on the basis of competition, but on the basis of public health to say around healthy bodegas, around super markets in underserved areas, we need to form a court within 500 to gives those stores the opportunity to grow and to continue to provide healthy food for communities that need them. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr.

Lipsky. Thank you to the whole panel. I think it's really important that we heard from your perspective.

It matters a lot to me and I think everyone on

Council and you offered very I thought reasonable and thoughtful remarks, and I really appreciate that.

One small thing to point out that D'Agostino, and I believe also Gristides started as street vendors. So

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I have no doubt that the next generation of your members are someone where on the street waiting to find their first storefront. But on a-on a more serious note, I-I do believe that enforcement is at the bottom of much of the challenges that you describe, and uses supermarkets that I regularly patronize and bodegas. Often it's-it's-street vendors were not permitted or are not adhering to location rules, and that is a problem that we are trying to address and, in fact, the only type of establishment that's singled out for priority enforcement in the bill in the entire city is supermarkets where this new street vendor enforcement taskforce is specifically instructed to prioritize enforcement in front supermarkets because of our-the con-the concerns that you addressed and-and I think that that would alleviate a lot of the conflict that you're currently experiencing. A lot of us have thought about this notion of can you-can you-could you pass a law that said you can't vend the same. Either your product or food in front of a storefront if it's a direction conflict, and what-what our legal people are telling us is that there are legal challenges to that. Now, if you all have—either have

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

your own expertise, or both of those of you—those of you can tap other thoughts on the legality of that specific piece of prohibiting vending of one product type in front of a store which sells the same product. If you want to offer that thought now or—or get back to us, I certainly would be—be anxious to hear that.

RICHARD LIPSKY: Council Member let melet me address that because we did meet with staff on this. We've been kind of going back and forth on a decision from 1943 Good Humor v. New York, and what that did-what that decision did was to prohibit Mayor La Guardia from banning all peddling in the city of New York because the Mayor didn't want them competing with brick and mortar businesses. Subsequent decisions in the last 75 years or so have made it clear that the city municipalities throughout the state have the ability to restrict locations of vendors based on public health and public safety. that if you look at for instance in Manhattan where supermarkets generate tremendous foot traffic, then you can restrict vendors in front of those markets because of pedestrian traffic issues. In the areasin the underserved areas where public health becomes

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a more paramount concern then we believe that the Council has the legal authority to restrict even greater distances based on the City's own fresh program, which is designed to promote and protect supermarkets in the city because of public health If you want to protect and promote, you reasons. don't put vendors in front of stores taking \$7,000 a week out of their cash registers. That's not promoting, that decimating, and that's what we're saying. We-we think and people coming-we're-we're part of the New Yorkers for Street Vendor Reform. There are people coming in. Mr. Sloan from Morton Williams will testify and also someone from Goth and Government Relations who is a lawyer who will go into the legal details of it. But we think where there's a will, there's a way. Let that be challenged. Put it out there. Protect the public health and public safety. Restrict the locations. I think you'll be winners in that legal battle. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you for

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you for that.

NELSON EUSEBIO: [interposing] Council Member, if I-if I may add, and this is in regards to public health and-and public safety but, you know,

the same way that at a restaurant, employees are
required to wash their hands before returning to
work. There should be some sort of requirement that
requires the food truck or the food cart employees to
have restroom access within 200 feet of the food
truck, and as far as proximity to a food service
establishment or a small food retailer like a bodega,
they should think about restricting the proximity of
the food trucks to not less than 65 feet away from
the restaurant or small food retailer.

NELSON EUSEBIO: I'd-I'd just like-COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]
Yes.

NELSON EUSEBIO: --to say that what we would like from the City Council is for the spirit of the law to be activated here. The spirit of the law when it comes to produce and vegetables is to provide those areas that don't have produce and vegetables that are being underserved parked in front of a supermarket is not what this law was intended to be.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: That's an
absolutely fair point. I'm glad you raised it.
Thank you very much, pane. Thank you.

NELSON EUSEBIO: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay, next up we have Rabbi Joe Jacobs, Adam Sobel, Cecil Fuentes,

Marlene Richard, and the Reverend Micah Bucey. I
hope I pronounced that correctly. [background
comments, pause]

LINDSAY DOOLE: Hello, my name is Lindsay I'll be reading today on behalf of Rabbi Jill Doole. Jacobs. I'm the Executive Director of T'ruah, the Rabinic Call for Human Rights, a non-profit organization that brings together rabbis and cantors from all streams of Judaism together with all members of the Jewish community to act on the Jewish imperative to respect and advance the human rights of all people. I am proud to support Intro 1303, which will expand opportunities for street vendors, and allow them a better chance at the American Dream. Today's street vendors are the direct descendants of the push car sellers, once a fixture of New York life. As a Jew whose family came to America from Eastern Europe some 100 years ago, I know how these

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

small business ventures propelled immigrants into the middle class. Today, we need policies that gives vendors the same chance to follow this path. That is why I am supporting the vendors' right to work legally under their own permits without fearingfearing daily arrest and confiscation of their equipment. Jewish law also protects the rights of low wage workers establishing protections against taking unfair advantage of those most vulnerable to exploitation. Of course, vendors must comply with the many regulations that exist to ensure public health and safety, and vendors must be given the fundamental right to operate their business in public space. For this reason, I support Intro 1303, which will increase the number of existing food permits, thereby expanding the opportunity for vendors and helping to secure for them a better livelihood. personal level, my family and I have been active for years in the movement for the rights of street vendors in New York City. We have gotten to know vendors and to understand the struggles they face. We have take these struggles on as our own because we believe vendors deserve to be treated with justice, fairness and respect. I hope you will join me in

- supporting Intro 1303, and other policy changes that
 support street vendors and their campaign for
 worker's rights and human rights in New York City.
- 5 Thank you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 6 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you and
 7 please send Rabbi Jacobs my best. Thank you for
 8 being here. I appreciate that.
- 9 CECIL FUENTES: [off mic] Thank you
 10 honorable members of the New York City--
- 11 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Your microphone,
 12 sir.
 - Sorry. Good afternoon. Honorable members of the New York City Council. My name is Cecil Fuentes. I represent a small organization of business owners, hardworking men and women who gambled their lives and most valuable possessions in pursuit of the American dream. Our organization is small, but very well known to people in the city. Our fans and patrons are fondly nicknamed The Red Hook Food Vendors, a simple name that describes where we come from and what we do. The Red Hook Food Vendors have been around since 1974. That's 42 years in business. We

are considered by many to be a Brooklyn cultural

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

institution because we have become a neighborhood staple and a seasonal staple for all New Yorkers through the decades. But today, we come not to tell you about the life of the Red Hook Food Vendors, but to advocate for the life of this proposed bill to support lifting the caps that provide new life to fellow small entrepreneurs like us. In order to advocate for life, we would like to tell you briefly the story about the death of the Red Hook Food Vendors. You see, exactly ten years ago our markets was sentenced to death by change. Change came to our neighborhood in the form of gentrification, but we persevered. Change devastated our neighbors and bankrupted our fellow small mom and pop businesses, but we preserved. Change chased away our most local patrons and took our traditional open market feel, but we persevered. We fought back against that very death sentence to shut down our market, but we persevered. But victory against change came at a very high cost for the Red Hook Food Vendors. We had to compromise some of our very things that made our market so unique and special to our patrons such as our open market feel. And while the compromise that this was a good one was purchasing food trucks, but

2 it came at a cost that some of our vendors simply 3 could not afford. But we hope that our food trucks 4 will enable us to expand business to different days and locations to offset the loans and increasing 5 overhead costs. Our compromise on the restricted 6 7 area permit limited our operation to a single space 8 and only and handle-handful of days between spring and summer seasons. This resulted in many of our vendors going bankrupt, selling their trucks out of 10 11 desperation and unable to afford or continue 12 operations at the Red Hook Food Marketplace due very 13 varied permit restrictions. Among them is my family, 14 who are currently struggling financially and at risk 15 of losing their home. Today, out of 12 original Red 16 Hook Food Vendors who ten years ago were so close to 17 achieving the American Dream, only four survived. 18 The highest price the vendors have to pay to fight 19 against change and persevere is losing two-thirds of 20 its members. Of course, the Red Hook Food Vendors 21 Market as it stands today it's inevitably condemned 2.2 to death, a slow and agonizing death of what is 2.3 considered one of the great markets in New York City. Honorable, City Council Members, while it may not be-24 25 while it may be too late for the Red Hook Food Market

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 to ever flourish back to its glory days, the only

3 thing that we ask today is that you consider creating

4 the 4 or 5,000 opportunities for aspiring vendors who

5 still are there to gamble everything for the American

6 Dream. Who knows, perhaps amongst the shuffle there

7 | is Red Hook Food Vendor is desperately waiting for a

8 second changes, because hope, Dear Council Members,

9 is the last thing we lose.

[off mic] Hello [on mic] Hello. FRANK: My name is Frank and I will be reading the testimony of Adam Sobel of the Cinnamon Snail. I started my Kosher certified vegan mobile food business in 2010 with \$11,000 that I scraped together from working as private chef. Because permits weren't available for New York City, I had to start in Hoboken, New Jersey. When we finally found a New York City permit to rent my food truck, the Cinnamon Snail, became one of the most sought after food trucks in the country drawing national and international tourists to our truck daily. We received numerous accolades and awards, were listed as the number place to eat on any kind-of any kind New York City on the yelp.com and the number for in the entire USA. We serve different neighborhoods providing an extra yummy vegan kosher

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

dining option in seven different communities each week, which other-otherwise had no options for working people of serving these dietary choices. early 2016, the cost and legal complications associated with renting a New York City mobile food vending permit became so large that I had no choice but to shut down our food truck operations in New York City. Due to the city's broken permit system, our trucks now have been forced back to serving only out-of-state where they still attract customers from all over the country and the planet. In January of 2016, we launched our first brick and mortar location Midtown Manhattan and are opening a second location downtown this winter. We currently employ 42 people who are on the books and paid a respectable living wage, and are planning to hire another 18 people by early 2017. Without having been able to launch our business on a serious budget, I never would have been able to start a business in New York City. Street vending is an integral part of New York City's famous food culture and way for entrepreneurs to start a small business without getting themselves into insurmountable debt. The City Council should pass this bill and issue more food vending permits.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Frank.

3 MARLIN GUINCHARD: Hello. I'm Marlin Guinchard. I'm the owner of Chile Ganacha. I 4 actually had a food truck before, but I'm going to 5 read this because it's a lot here for me. 6 [laughs] 7 So I-now I-I own Chila & Garnacha, a Mexican 8 Restaurant in Astoria. Before I opened my restaurant we had food truck called Mexico Boulevard. I am here to testify in favor of Intro 1303, which would allow-10 11 which would allow more food vendors and vendor 12 permits to be issued. I originally wanted to open a 13 restaurant in New York City, but in 2010 before we 14 had the food truck I tried to open the restaurant, 15 but it was almost impossible. We went to the Department of Small--Small Business Administration 16 17 who told us that we had an excellent plan, but it was 18 very difficult to get the financing. Also, the 19 commercial space to-to lease a restaurant in New York 20 City was very scare in-in a way, and very expensive. 21 We had some friends and family that were willing in 2.2 our business, but they would commit to-they wouldn't 2.3 commit to the risk of opening a first-time restaurant during the recession. So initially, we thought that 24 a food truck would be a much better option, but the 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

expense, and—and so okay. The expenses were less than a restaurant, and we were able to convince our investors to support it. I think I'm-[laughs] Opening a food truck was a scary process. I normally try to do everything by the book, but working towards this food truck seemed like a criminal-criminal enterprise. So we eventually found a permit holder to partner with. It was crazy that we could not just get a city from the permit-I mean a permit from the city. Despite all these challenges, operating this food truck enabled us to start and grow our business and open this special restaurant we have today. food truck functions as an incubator that allowed us to test our food, and see what kind of customers we would attract. We tried out different neighborhoods around the city, and learned what type of food worked best for the area. We could never have done that if we just started a restaurant. Allowing more food vending permits would-means more diverse-diverse food businesses will be able to start and grow. learned very quickly that people loved our food, and we were lucky our truck was so successful. New York City provided to be too hostile to food vendors even though vending has such a large-long

history here. We couldn't deal with the broken 2 permitting system and the harassment from enforcement 3 4 authorities since we had no legal place to park. closed our food truck in October 2015, but running 5 our food truck allowed us to-to get financing from a 6 7 bank to open the restaurant. The bank no longer saw 8 us as risk, since we have the experience and success from our food truck. I still have the food truck in my back yard, and I'm-I'm waiting to get my own 10 11 permit. If that's so I will put the truck on the 12 street, and we will have very happy customers again. 13 Thank you for the opportunity to testify [laughs] 14 And I just have one little thing I wanted to today. 15 say about the-they mentioning a lot of things today 16 about food trucks do not pay rent. We do pay rent. 17 I am not a food truck vendor any more, but we do pay 18 rent because we pay rent to the commissaries, and 19 they're not cheap, and also we have to pay rent to-20 for the commercial kitchens as well. And actually 21 one of the reasons why I opened the restaurant was because we didn't have the commercial kitchen so 2.2 2.3 that's why we opened the restaurant so we could have our own commercial kitchen and do everything again by 24 the book. And I do have like-25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MARLIN GUINCHARD: -14 employees now.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: --were the average rents for a commissary and a kitchen cost for a food truck?

MARLIN GUINCHARD: Well, the-the rent was like around \$1,000 a month for the-for just to park the truck, and then we would have to, you know, to pay per hour for the cooking, and it was like between \$75 and \$100 an hour, and sometimes I mean we have big operations, and also just the fact of cooking in a commissary, the-the storage-there's all these issue with storage that you have to deal with because you have to also-they charge you per square foot of storage. So you get dry storage. You get the refrigerated storage and you get the-the freezing storage if you need freezers. So, and then also that's-that's a conflict as well because you have to deal with many other people in-within the commissary to just access your own stuff. So just the fact of having to cook at a commissary because that's the legal way to do it if you don't have a commercial kitchen. It-it became like very tedious and-and

- 2 sometimes even unsanitary because there's a lot-they-
- 3 they—they have to be more enforceful for the
- 4 commissaries as well, you know, checking them.
- 5 Actually, they—they closed the—they shut down the
- 6 commissary for us for two weeks because of sanitary
- 7 problems, and that's when we decided to open the
- 8 restaurant immediately because we needed the
- 9 commercial kitchen.

- 10 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you for
- 11 your story. Very information. Sir.
- 12 MATTHEW SHAPIRO: Hi, my name Matthew
- 13 | Shapiro, and I'm here to read the statement for
- 14 Reverend Micah Bucey from Judson Memorial Church.
- 15 The Judson Memorial Church is a community of faith
- 16 | wholly united and unapologetically rooted in seeking
- 17 | the spirit of justice in its myriad and
- 18 | intersectional forms. Justice as—as we live it is a
- 19 determination to ending the marginalization of our
- 20 | neighbor. It is a unwavering commitment to securing
- 21 | equal opportunity and jobs for all, and it demands
- 22 that social institutions guarantee every person the
- 23 continuing right to participate in economic decision
- 24 making that affects them. It is because of our
- 25 continuing tradition of justice seeking that the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Judson Community supports this legislation the Street Vendor Modernization Act, which is a critical step toward economic justice for street vendors, for New Yorkers and for all. This act is an inclusive move toward providing even more hardworking business owners, job creators and entrepreneurs with opportunities to expand their livelihood and to contribute-contributing to the growth and vitality of the communities they serve. This act is an interpersonal move towards empowering mothers, fathers, grandparents, towards empowering families with stability, security and the resources to provide for their loved one, and this act is a developmental move towards growing the vitality of our streets enriching neighborhood life and strengthening community development. The Street Vendor Modernization Act is critical to securing the city's wealth of public life and lifting up our most valuable resources: New Yorkers themselves. No matter what faith tradition one holds dear, our collective humanitarian commitment to the Golden Rule calls us to treat others the way they would prefer to be treated, and to think outside the silos and systems that keep us and our neighbor from pursuing

2	and embodying justice. This current chance to
3	advance the economics of opportunity, give us and the
4	city as a whole a clearly defined and clearly
5	inclusive moral and ethical vision. The logical end
6	to the core truth of this act is that those of us
7	most marginalized by the injustices in our society
8	will be those who can transform the shape of our
9	collective future, the immigrant, the poor, the
10	families struggling to survive, the street vendor.
11	We look forward to helping to advance the Street
12	Vendor Modernization Act into ensuring the economics
13	of justice for more and more New Yorkers. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you very much. Well, thank you to our panel. We appreciate it.

MATTHEW SHAPIRO: Thank you. [background comments, pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I'd like to invite up Michelle Birnbaum, Sarah Gestelum (sp?), Morton Sloan and Dan Biederman. [background comments, pause] Hello, Birnbaum, a pleasure to see you again. Please—please turn on your microphone.

 $\label{eq:michelle} \mbox{MICHELLE BIRNBAUM: Nice to see you as} \\ \mbox{well.} \mbox{ Thank you.}$

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you.

3 Please start us off.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MICHELLE BIRNBAUM: Yes. So, Council Member Levine and other Council Members, I'm testifying today as Co-Chair of the Vendor Taskforce Committee of Community Board 8, and also as a community representative of the New Yorkers for Street Vending Reform, which was actually a bit of an outgrowth of a forum that our community board meeting had held. I will submit a written narrative, but because of time constraints, I'll give you the following bullets points just in the interest of time. I want recognize today as I do at the beginning of every one of our meetings that I respect the very hard work and dedication of the vendor community, and I express that to them all the time, and they are very wonderful advocates for their position. However, we are opposing lifting the caps today. There's-and because for a few reasons, one of which there's really-there's no explanation as to how you arrived at the 635 per year number. We support a vendor enforcement squad, but the size of the force is not addressed. There needs to be a ratio of the number of enforcement agent to vendors, and it needs

2 to be substantial and active in all areas of the city 3 as is the-sort of the meter enforcement squad, not 4 just active and congested areas. As a matter of fact, our community board in 2006 passed a resolution 5 asking for such a force, and I would suggest after 6 listening to everyone today that it would be a really 7 good idea to make this a freestanding bill so that 8 you could act on it almost immediately. At this moment there are no legal spots for truck vendors. 10 11 All their locations are in illegal parking spots. They're at meters, no standing or loading and 12 13 unloading only zones, ambulance and Access-A-Ride 14 spots. There should be a sign vending locations 15 using the bidding or an Italian system or a community 16 based planning for converting parking lots, et cetera 17 to vending zones. And they should be facing the 18 ULURP process. Violations should be issued to both 19 the permit holder and the licensed vendor who is 20 manning the cart of the permittee as both are 21 contributing to the non-compliant act. 2.2 Environmental Impact Statement is due to be done in 2.3 2024 to '25. However, it should be implemented before there is any increase in the number of 24 25 vendors, not after just as an EIS is done prior to

constructing a building. The EIS should include the
effects of vending on the quality of life of
residents, which is not included in your study, and
how cooking odors, fumes generate a noise and oil
fumes affect them. Incentivizing and encourage
shared books in the location. This is done in areas
in Chinatown and by corporations such as Baskin-
Robbins and Dunkin' Donuts would be a good idea.
Parking lots should be used as well. Be aware also
that increased vendor locations will help to empty
storefronts because store owners in an effort to
expand their businesses will and do at this present
time turn to truck vending for their expansion.
Also, the street Vendor Advisory Board a great idea,
but it should include City Planning and it doesn't
because they after all are responsible for most of
what happens on the street. The Advisory Board
should not be proposing locations. The community
should be the voice of whether not vending should be
increased or decreased in their neighborhoods, and
where these locations or venues should be. If any
locations are proposed by a government agency, they
should be subject to an open hearing. There should

Birnbaum. Okay. Next, please.

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 MORTON SLOAN: Is this on? Yes. Thank 3 you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Yes.

Councilman Levine and MORTON SLOAN: other members of the Council, thank for hearing what I have to say today. I was going to read my speech, but I can see that I won't be able to get it in three minutes. So I'm going to read a small part of it and then-and then make some comments as well afterward. My name is Morton Sloan and I am the owner or one of the owners of Morton Williams Supermarkets, a family owned and operated business whose headquarters are in the Bronx. We currently operate 14 New York City supermarkets, employ over 1,000 New Yorkers most of whom we hire from the Kings Bride Road Office. all of our workers are union members with good living wages, and with pensions and benefits. We are here today to testify on the Street Vendor Modernization Act, a bill whose main goal appears to be-to me to be the lifting of limitations on the number of licenses currently available for vending in the city. What is missing is any substantial concern of the impact that street vending has on the viability of stores like mine that not only pay millions of dollars in real

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

estate taxes, but also provide an important public health service of the city. Especially in neighborhoods that have been designated as underserved because of their poor health outcomes. The most compelling question that this legislation asks is does the city really want street vendors to replace existing taxpayer stores. The current bill with potentially no limit on the number of vendors in the next few years will flood the streets and make it difficult for many stores, but especially supermarkets to survive. And I'm just make some comments. We have stores pay real estate taxes, real estate taxes of as much as \$850,000 each. Each super-supermarket, an individual supermarket will be paying \$16,300 a week in real estate taxes. vendor who opens his produce stand in front of us pays \$200 a year. On top of the \$16,300 a week, we He pays nothing. We pay union pay 4% rent tax. wages. We pay pensions and health benefits. provide a good career path to people who are immigrants. Ninety percent of our-of our employees are immigrants, who either came to this country or whose parents came to this country. It's not like the-the-the vendors are the immigrant class. Our

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

employees are the immigrant class, as well and they should not be treated like they are some privileged few who happen to work in-in a brick and mortar They come to us because they know they have a good career, and the vendors succeed by-by-by selling inexpensively. Well, it's fine if you don't have to pay rent. You don't have to pay Social Security. You don't have to pay Disability. You don't have to pay violations. A thousand things that we have to pay because we have a store, they don't have to pay. Take away all those expenses from me, and I'll be the cheapest guy in Manhattan. Let me find one or two more little points to make. As far as the-as far as the clean—as far as the—the—the stores in—in-in poorer neighborhoods that were intended to be the beneficiaries of the Clean Health Act I think it was called where-where food vendors would be allowed to sell in disadvantaged neighborhoods, it's a been a failure, a total failure because the-the vendors who were given those permits don't sell there any more. They've all flocked to the main boulevards, to the subway stations, to the bus stops, the supermarkets, to all the areas, the high rent areas where the traffic is. They're not serving the-the

2	disadvantaged areas that—that the laws were invented
3	for. We proposed that there a 200-foot restriction
4	around supermarkets mainly for-for traffic reasons.
5	We can't-we can't get deliveries. We can't get into
6	our own stores, and we are intimidated by the-by the
7	vendors. One-one vendor who I call the police about,
8	and who is violating every imaginable law you can
9	think of, but when the police came, and—and—and they
10	finally left because they—they showed the guy he had—
11	that he had some kind of license. The vendor said to
12	me, you bother me again I kill you. I won't-I won't-
13	I won't go to my own store any more because of this-
14	this kind of activity. You've got a very lawless
15	class acting out there, and this is not fair to the
16	people
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]
18	Okay.
19	MORTON SLOAN:who obey the law.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank-thank you
21	for your input. We need to-need to-to move onto the
22	speaker. Thank you, sir.
23	MORTON SLOAN: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you.

24

2 MARTIN HILL: Hi. My name is Martin 3 Hill, and I am not Dan Biederman. I'm a little 4 dismayed that I'm the only brick and mortar restauranteur to speak today, and I'm curious as to why. I would like to mention that I am the son of 6 immigrants. I was raised in Jackson Heights, and I 7 8 currently reside in Greenpoint. My parents opened a restaurant together after 14 years of working in restaurants. I'll probably reiterate a bit of what 10 11 Mr. Sloan said. I drove a couple truck a couple of 12 summers in-during college, serving Long Island City, 13 underserved areas. I opened my first sale-my first 14 business was a deli in Sunnyside and my partner 15 operated a hot dog stand from 12 midnight to 5:00 in 16 the morning outside a bar on Union Turnpike. 17 Underserved. So I'm not anti-immigrant obviously, 18 very pro and I'm pro vendor. But it's not a level 19 playing field. They're competition. I've heard talk 20 about offering cheaper options. Well, a hamburger is 21 \$14 in mine because I'm paying commercial rent tax 2.2 because in one of my locations I signed a lease seven 2.3 years ago. On any commercial lease the real tax increases get passed onto the tenant. Seven years. 24 I'm not paying \$147,000 in real estate tax, a \$40,00025

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

increase this past year alone. How can I compete with the street vendors? So I'm not against the street vendors. The city has got to answer these questions for me. How come I-how can I compete? Tell me. I can't get a sidewalk permit in Midtown because of congestion. Yet, there can be two street carts 4 x 8 feet on the corner, the southwest corner of 44th Street, which I constantly walk in the street to get around it because I don't want to bump into people in line. And I get a ticket for having an Aframe chalkboard outside my store, \$100 ticket because it's illegal if it blocks the sidewalk. You have explain the rationale to me of allowing the street vendors to block the sidewalks, to go into competition with me when my-I feel my playing field is not level. I-I am being driven out of business by the city more than even street vendors, but-I mean what am I supposed to do? I'm here crying to you, they're here crying to you. You know, the guy who said oh, the street carts really lucked out and he was looking to boot those. I hate to be the guy operating the Columbian Restaurant and Columbian food cart opens up down the street. This guy is paying the real estate tax, maybe not the commercial rent

2.2

2.3

- tax out in Queens, but it's not a fair game, there's so many other permits that we have to get that street vendors just don't have to get. And as for paying taxes, I'm sorry, I don't believe they pay anything close to the type of sales tax, income tax that they're supposed to. We know that it's a cash business, and they're just paying. I know for a fact. I know. I mean we have to be honest with ourselves. So, if the city will help out restaurants, I mean today's food section in the New York Times you can read about it, the New York City restaurants are having a hard time. The costs are
- COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Well, I appreciate your input on it. Well, the visuals behind you--

just too high. So thank you.

MARTIN HILL: The visuals behind me are from the BID that—that they—they let me speak, but I didn't want to reiterate what a lot of the BIDs already said, but—

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]

And-and--

MARTIN HILL: -this is on my corner.

These two are right next to each other. So, you

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

know, here I am and I—I hear that—the enforcement
unit, and I think it's a great idea. I think the
bill, a lot of the things in the bill was a great
idea, but I'm sorry if I just don't trust the city to
get it right.

appreciate your perspective, but I'm disappointed more restauranteurs haven't been here. We certainly invited many, and we've spoken a number of times with Mr. Biederman who I know has a lot of thoughts on this topic, and he's very well informed.

MARTIN HILL: Completely different from the ones I stated here today because I am a mom and pop restaurant owner.

think that in your case and in the case of supermarket that Mr. Sloan referred to and so many others that we've heard from today, many of the vendors in front of your store, they're simply not following the rules. Maybe they have a table longer than eight feet. You can't have a table longer than eight feet. We seed food vendors with expensive tables sometimes. Maybe they're too close to the doorway. Maybe they're simply unpermitted, and I

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

permitted so--

2.2

2.3

don't whether you're checking these things, but statistically most vendors out there are not

MARTIN HILL: [interposing] You have to realize I'm skeptical that the city will fix this-
COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] I-I think--

MARTIN HILL: --or raising the number-COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]
Right, I think that what—what your businesses need
and what the city needs is for the rules to be
enforced in a way that they're not currently, and
that's a huge, huge part of this bill, but I-I see
everyone is anxious to jump in and I want to give our
fourth panelist a chance to speak please, sir.

IAN CULOS: Thank you very much. My name is Ian Culos (sp?). I'm here in place of Brad

Gershman. I also had my separate card. Since Brad stepped out and we're from the same group, I decided to step in in his spot. Good afternoon Council Members, Council Member Levine. I'm here on behalf of New Yorkers for Street Vending Reform. We represent brick and mortar stores throughout New York City, and we're here today together with our

2 Coalition a few of whom have trickled out by now, to 3 recommend four changes to the Street Vendor 4 Modernization Act, four primary changes in addition to the ones that are just recommendations in the memo. First, we're happy to see that the City 6 Council shares our concern over enforcement and has proposed the creation of the dedicated enforcement 8 We like that you're focusing on excessively congested areas, and areas for stores that dedicates 10 11 substantial floor space to fruits and vegetables. 12 But we would like to increase or strengthen our 13 concerns for excessive congestion by recommending the geographic restriction based for the vendors, based 14 15 on pedestrian traffic. Now this is where we get into 16 Good Humor, and I'll touch on that in a second. 17 specifically propose that excessively congested, 18 which is a word in your bill, is defined as 5,000 19 customer transaction for any store, not just for-not 20 just for grocery for any store and anything deemed excessively congested creates a 200-foot barrier for 21 vendors to not be able to vend in that area. Now, we 2.2 2.3 all know that Good Humor is the elephant in the room, but Good Humor itself-- And, I'd like to let the 24 25 court speak for itself--said that you can restrict

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

based on traffic -- and I read here from the court -- any use of the streets and certainly any use of the street for private business purpose, which interferes unduly with the use of the streets by others for travel, may doubtless be prohibited in proper case by the Legislature. A 200-foot restriction is indeed the proper case, and it is-it would pass the test of a rational basis. It would call for a legitimate public purpose. [coughing] Second, and I know I'm low on time here, we propose that penalties be increase for repeat violators. If you're violating the law repeatedly, let's face it, tickets are only as good as the compliance that they foster. The very definition of enforcement is to cause compliance. you're not compliant you're just paying it as a cost of business. That's not good. This leads to our third proposal. The third proposal is let's create a mechanism by which the community can complain versus 311 or preferably a private cause of action that we see in other legislate—in other legislation federally like environmental laws, in the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act. You can sue for an injunction for people that are violating those acts. Let's do the same thing here because let's face it, we're all

talking about enforcement issues. What would be
better than helping citizens enforce—having citizens
help you enforce a law that we're trying to pass in
this. And four, let's talk about raising the cap.
We're doubling the number of permits, but what I
haven't heard too much discussion about today is
Section 17325.3 (3) That section shifts the
authority from the legislature to an administrative
body. I believe the Department of Transportation to
lift the cap in the future. That's basically not a
cap, and I think everybody knows it. It's a cap
right now, because it lets say it's a cap, but in the
future, and now it's going to be administrative
decision and not be the decision of a publicly
accountable body, which is you, and let's face it,
the public cares. If they didn't care, this room
would not be filled. Thank you for your time.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you all very much. We're—we're so backed up on speakers so we're going to have to move onto the next panel, but I really appreciate who is here today. Thank you. I would like to call Mr. Swan. If I'm pronouncing this correctly Autumn Roark. Forgive me if I mispronounce it. It's hard to read the handwriting.

- 2 Martin Wheelan, Andrew Fang (sic), and Steve Harris.
- 3 [background comments, pause] Mr. Swan, you can start
- 4 | off if you'd like.

- 5 MR. SWAN: Hello, my name is Mr. Swan.
- 6 I'm a veteran and I was vendoring before I even came
- 7 | to New York while I was in the service, USFC. I was
- 8 vendoring without any-any military personnel when in
- 9 the service known as the roach coaches. Okay, that's
- 10 a person that serve you on base. So I was doing that
- 11 | before I even I got out. I just got discharged. So
- 12 | I'm doing that thing now. I was a vendor and got my
- 13 license in 19-in the, you know, the 1980. I had my
- 14 | license then, but getting back to the question in
- 15 here, that all veterans even the Council we all took
- 16 an oath, right, to swear to the bar to guard this
- 17 country, right, during domestic and foreign. Okay.
- 18 \parallel A lot of these are veterans in war never came back.
- 19 Some come back disabled, and you can't help make a
- 20 | living, and get-come back to New York and make a
- 21 | living, and getting harassed by NY-NYPD, Park and
- 22 Police. They breaking the law-I mean 19 to state law
- 23 on the 19^{th} Section, Article 35 and 35-A. We're
- 24 | being harassed, and these—this gentlemen that's ben
- 25 up here talk about vending around stores and

2 supermarkets. We pay-we pay taxes. When you vend 3 like food, like you-like you, the young man was 4 saying right here we pay storage fee, kitchen fee. 5 You got to buy supplies. We talk about, you know, not spending the money. Come on. That's ludicrous. 6 7 We are here to make a business like everybody else. 8 That's the American Dream. This country is founded by immigrants. If it wasn't for immigrants, where would we be? Especially New York. Vendors from all 10 11 over the world come to New York to make a living, and 12 this-and this bill was-we-I supported this bill 13 somewhat, but the other-by the 5% for the Marines-I 14 mean for the veterans, come on, that's a slap in the 15 face. It should be-it should move than that. 16 put our life on the line for this country, and we're 17 getting slapped in the face. Come on. We need to do 18 better than this. As a matter of fact, our veterans 19 who were the first vending out here since 18th Century, not 19th, 18th Century. We don't mind 20 21 sharing, but don't joke us. We are here to make a 2.2 living. Everybody has to eat. We don't mind that 2.3 everybody eat, and these people more than the stores like this. Shoot. Come one. That's ludicrous, but 24 they cry. They cry just like we cry. 25

Thank you.

Sir.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. 3 Swan, for-for foremost your service to our country in 4 the Marine Corps. Thank you for advocating on behalf of New York City's veterans. I want to thank you for speaking today. I do want to remind folks that the 6 7 bill includes more than a threefold increase in the 8 number of permits that are just set aside for veterans, and we're going to save a lot, of course, veterans that are coming to New York and have access 10 11 to the broader pool. I understand you'd like more, 12 but just for the benefit for the people who are here 13 and want to-I do want to establish that we have almost three and half times increase. But thank you, 14 15 Mr. Swan, for your advocacy and for being here today.

ANDREW FINE: Hello, thank you for having me, Councilman Levine and Menchaca. Thanks for sticking it out. I've made it six hours, and being a—a real estate professional, I haven't sat still for six hours since 2009. So thanks for that experience. In any case, I—my name is Andrew Fine. I represent the East and Sixth Street Association here in Manhattan. As you are probably aware, I'm sure you've been to the Upper East Side, East 86th

Street and the local area is completely overrun with 2 street vendors. A good example would be on Third 3 Avenue between 85th Street and 86th Street where at 4 any given time there are up to eight vendors just on the west side of the street. The problem that we've 6 7 been having is that there's been virtually no enforcement whatsoever. The enforcement of the 8 existing regulations and requirements for instance distance from store entrances of 20 feet, corners 10 10 feet never. It's not even 10 inches. That's that a 11 cell cover guy on 86th and Third. The subway 12 13 entrance, bus stop, these are routinely ignored. size in height restrictions are routinely flouted. 14 15 As a resident in a residential area that's extremely 16 densely packed with people, I think that the Council 17 ought to look at the residents that—the residents and 18 the pedestrians and how much space street vendors in 19 our neighborhood take up versus for instance the 21 20 million people that come and go from the East City 21 Sixth Street Subway Station. Obviously, there are 2.2 lot of problems with garbage in the area, and the 23 street vendors really do not seem to care whatsoever. What I'm recommending and from what I've seen here 24 today is that we need to a renewed effort to enforce 25

2	regulations, and if that happens to come through your
3	new entity so be it, but we need that enforcement
4	first. What we don't need is any new street vendor
5	permits whatsoever. You have several thousand
6	already, and 80 to 90% of them are illegitimate.
7	What you should be focusing on is making sure that
8	the existing licenses are in the hands of people,
9	veterans that actually use them, and not a guy
10	sitting in some far away place collecting 20 or 25
11	grand. The idea that you have this entrepreneurial
12	spirit, think of the person who is renting this
13	license and trying to sell mangos or churros or what
14	have you, and he has to make that first \$20 grand
15	before he makes a nickel. That doesn't sound like
16	entrepreneurship to me. That's-that seems like some
17	sort of far flung old fashioned servitude. Finally,
18	in terms of the idea of doubling the number of
19	permits, essentially that's just doubling the
20	problem. You're not going to get rid of the illicit
21	market for street vendor licenses by doubling it, and
22	I'll give you an example and I'll make this quick
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]
24	Please wrap up if you can.

2.2

2.3

ANDREW FINE: The-Wrigley Field has seats

for 41,000 people. The Cubs are in the World Series.

If you want a ticket right now, it's going to cost \$3

to \$5,000. If they added 40,000 seats to Wrigley

Field, guess what, you're still not going to pay face

value. There's a market for everything, but doubling

the black market, you may bring the prices down, but

you're not take the effect that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]
Alright, thank you.

ANDREW FINE: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Sir. Excuse me, before you start, sir, I just—I'm—I'm reminded that we left one person off this panel. I'll ask Thomas Cayenne to come up, and join the panel and please, sir, go ahead.

patience this afternoon. My name is Steve Harris. I live at Broadway and 74th Street. I'm just appearing here today on my own behalf as a citizen, and to reflect some of the news of my—my fellow residents and Morrisania (sic) and in the neighborhood on the Upper West Side. I see you agonizing a lot with micro legislation. How many feet should we have open

2 between a subway entrance and the vendor stand? 3 think community boards are designed to deal with 4 those kinds of instances on a case-by-case basis and I would really encourage the Council to seek advice from the community boards in siting vendors and which 6 7 are their locations. They can deal with all of the 8 factors that go into it. They can allow the residents to have input. One of the things we find as residents with the vendor situation is we've had a 10 11 very difficult time communicating some of our concerns and then having those concerns channeled 12 into actionable things. We go the 20th Precinct 13 meeting, and it was filled with people, over 100 14 15 people there one night. They were all concerned 16 about vendor issues. The police have a very definite 17 set of regulations they have to deal with. The 18 community boards can condition operations at 19 locations for restaurants, for restaurant sidewalk 20 use by hours, by type of activity and so on. But the 21 police have to apply very strict regulations and it takes a lot more enforcement. So, use the community 2.2 2.3 boards. You've got them. Try to build that into the-into this legislation in a stronger way. Also, 24 your enforcement groups sends a very adversarial 25

message. I think it sends it to-to both the vendor	2
community and to the-to the residents. What I would	Ld
encourage you to do is—is work on enforcement,	
education and mediation. Give the residents or	
people who are affected by the vendors, be it the	
residents, a business, whoever, that may have a	
complaint, a chance or forum. Some where they can	go
and sit down across the table and meet with people,	,
and explain what the concerns are, and maybe there'	s
a middle ground. Everybody can work it out without	-
trying to throw rocks. The-the other thing is and	
somebody did bring it up. It's a very good idea.	
Allow for private right of action, and somebody is	
continuing to do something, and—and one of the	
reasons I got involved in this is because of the lo	oud
music and the boom box that the vendor was playing	
under my window. Give them a private right of acti	Lon
to go get an injunction if there's-if there's	
behavior that goes beyond the tale in the scheme of	Ē
things. But that's-that's a few big things. Give-	-
give residents a channel to work into the system ar	nd
use your [bell] community boards. Thank you very	
much	

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr.

3 | Harris. Mr. Culligan.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

THOMAS CULLIGAN: Mr. Chairman, thank. My name is Thomas Culligan. I am a final at the Urban Law Center at Fordham University, but today I represent Women in Informal Employment, Urbanizing and Organizing. Otherwise known as WIEGO. global network of membership based organizations of the urban working poor in the informal economy spanning 84 countries. For 20 years WIEGO has worked with organized street traders all over the world. Our testimony today offers the global context towards this goal. It is now widely recognized that informal livelihoods and source go enterprises are here to stay. They form the broad base of urban employment not only in countries of the global south, but also in countries of the global north. Street traders represent as much as 24% of total urban employment in some cities today. Urban policy makers and city plans as draftable, are now recognizing street vendors for the valuable economic contributions they make. Street vendors create their own employment, generate demand for large enterprises including suppliers, wholesales, wholesalers and others and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

provide affordable foods at convenient locations for residents of all socio-economic classes. In other parts of the world, these and other small scaled livelihoods are referred to as the people's economy. Four international agreements issued in the past year and a half demonstrate this recognition. sustainable development goals 1, 5, 8 and 11 commits nation states to ensuring that all people in particular the poor and vulnerable as well as women and migrant workers have equal rights to economic resources, safe and secure working environments, and increase of public spaces. Second, the International Labor Organization's Recommendation 204, recognizes the need for member states to ensure the reservation and improvement of existing livelihoods, respect workers' fundament rights and ensure opportunities for income security, livelihoods and entrepreneurship. Third, the September 2016 report issued by the first ever U.N. Secretary-General's high level panel on Women's Economic Empowerment identifies the need for a legal shift moving from stigmatization and criminalization of informal workers such as street vendors to the assurance of rights and protection including regulated access to

2.2

2.3

public space as work bases. And finally, the New Urban Agenda adopted in Kita, Ecuador last week commits U.N. States to prioritizing equal access for all to public goods as well as increasing public spaces where the needs of all inhabitants are met, recognizing the specific needs of those in vulnerable situations. By expanding the number of food vending permits and establishing a street vendor advisory board with the representation of street vendors on it, the bills before New York City Council would bring New York into line with other cities in the world that recognize the economic, social and cultural importance of street vending and a need for inclusive urban planning practices. Thank you for your time.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Mr.

Culligan, for that unique and important perspective.

It's very, very helpful and impeccably time to the second. Well done. Thank you panel very much. Our Chairman is going to introduce the next panel. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, it's-I'm willing to stay here all night, but I'm just going to do a little housekeeping. It's close to 5 o'clock.

TRANSLATOR: I had a ticket from last

It was \$1,000. I haven't even been able to

24

25

year.

2	ERMA LOSALA: [speaking foreign language]
3	TRANSLATOR: I do contribute to the city.
4	We do contribute to the city. We pay taxes. I am a
5	single mom. I have my kids, and I have to support my
6	kids as well. I need my permit so I can continue
7	contributing to the city.
8	ERMA LOSALA: [speaking foreign language]
9	TRANSLATOR: I hope you value not only
10	our words, our presence here, and you're able to
11	provide permits maybe for the people that are here.
12	We're also looking to better ourselves.
13	ERMA LOSALA: [speaking foreign language]
14	TRANSLATOR: We want to be heard.
15	ERMA LOSALA: [speaking foreign language]
16	TRANSLATOR: We want to be heard. We
17	contribute already. So we want to be heard and have
18	a voice as well.
19	ERMA LOSALA: [speaking foreign language]
20	TRANSLATOR: Thank you.
21	ERMA LOSALA: [speaking foreign language]
22	TRANSLATOR: [speaking foreign language]
23	ERMA LOSALA: [speaking foreign language]
24	TRANSLATOR: [speaking foreign language]

MARIA REVAR: [speaking foreign language]

2	TRANSLATOR: Okay, I—my name is Maria
3	Revar. I've been in this country for 22 years. I am
4	paying \$20,000 for my-for my cart, and I'm paying
5	\$6,000 for my ice cream cart. It's a lot of money.
6	MARIA REVAR: [speaking foreign language]
7	TRANSLATOR: We have to pay for those to-
8	to use those permits it's \$20,000 for food, vendors
9	and \$6,000 for ice cream vendors. So the question is
10	why aren't we able to get those permits? Why-if we
11	are the ones using it, why aren't we able to get
12	those permits instead of having somebody else have
13	them?
14	MARIA REVAR: [speaking foreign language]
15	TRANSLATOR: Okay, we-on top of the fact
16	that we do pay taxes, that we have to work hard
17	because it's not that the we have great profit to
18	make those payments. We also have to deal with the
19	ticketing from the Health Department and from the
20	police. So it's-it's a lot.
21	MARIA REVAR: [speaking foreign language]
22	TRANSLATOR: The question would be and
23	my-and my-my question would be how come the city is

not able to—is able to provide that permit to that

other person who in the end-who in the end is just

24

- leasing it—leasing it to us instead of letting us who
 are working, who are the ones working with the permit
 have our own permit?
- MARIA REVAR: [speaking foreign language]
- 6 TRANSLATOR: Thank you very much for
- 7 | hearing us. [applause] [pause]
- 8 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: The next panel.
- 9 Leslie Ramos, 82nd Street Partnership; Valerie Mason,
- 10 the 72^{nd} Neighborhood Station; Rachel Thieme, 92
- 11 Dupont Street-oh, sorry, Sunnyside BID; Matthew Bower
- 12 | from the Madison Avenue BID; Barbara Blair from the
- 13 | Garment District BID; Patricia Goras from Manhattan
- 14 CB4; Jesse Bodine, Manhattan Community Board 4. Just
- 15 one? Terry from CB2; John Morano, Throggs Neck
- 16 | Homeowners Association; Renee Giordano from Sunset
- 17 Park BID. Let's get this show on the road. Just
- 18 state your name and give your testimony.
- 19 LESLIE RAMOS: Good afternoon, Chairman
- 20 | Espinal and Council Members Levine and Menchaca.
- 21 | It's a pleasure to see you today. My name is Leslie
- 22 Ramos and I am the Executive Director of the 82^{nd}
- 23 | Street Partnership, a business improvement district
- 24 serving businesses in Jackson Heights and Elmhurst in
- 25 | Queens. I also co-chair the New York City BID

Association Working Crew on Street Vending. 2 3 to thank you for your effort attacking the black 4 market for street vending permits. Over the past two years I have met many victims of this immoral 5 practice and their stories are truly heartbreaking. 6 You just met a few of them. Street vending is part 8 of the fabric of the city. In the community that I serve, street food provides comfort to those who have left their families behind to make New York City 10 11 their home. Most of them small business, those 12 family and immigrant owned businesses that line the 13 street of Queens. Many of these families have worked for many years as cooks and servers and are now 14 15 pouring all their savings into a business in order to 16 provide for their family. For them, owning a small 17 business is not a symbol of success, but instead it's 18 part of the continuous struggle. Despite owning a 19 business, many of these owners are working poor. 20 They are not only some of the most flavorful 21 businesses we have, they are also the most vulnerable 2.2 to unfair competition. The current proposal 2.3 completely ignores the impact that increasing the vending, the number of permits will have on the 24 city's small and immigrant businesses. 25

legislation calls for a study in 2025. But that's
nine years from now, and only after the—the number of
vendors have doubled, and I understand that there
will be an analysis on year basis-yearly basis, but
an analysis is not a thorough study of how this will
impact small business. This is unacceptable. Before
we proceed to increase the number of permits, the
city needs to understand the challenges that small
businesses are currently facing when they are
surrounded by street vendors. Also, if food vendors
will be allowed to park in front of restaurants, some
rules need to be revisited to lift regulations that
currently burdens these restaurants and hinder their
ability to compete with street vendors in this-in
that—and to have much lower overhead costs. For
example, business owners are responsible for the
cleanness of the sidewalk as well as for 18 inches
into the street. Business owners are often fined for
gar-garbage on the street even when there's a food
cart between them and that street. We need to
determine now not in 2015 while we are trading off.
In my time left, I have a few other comments. So I
will just submit it for the record

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Good afternoon, Chairman Espinal and members of the committee. I'm Matt Bauer. I'm the President of the Madison Avenue Business Improvement District, which represents Madison from 57th to 86th Streets here in Manhattan. First of all, I want to say thanks to our chairs, to our association for all their work in presenting to you this morning, but I thought since we've got a lot of items I want to just go a couple of exciting issues that we have some concerns about. You know, one in the preconsidered notions-motions-introductions was that the-that the space could be indented where the vendors who set up three feet into the curb, and since our sidewalks are between-usually between 13 and 12 feet usually, if you add the three feet in the space in plus the five feet of the cart, you only have four or five feet of clear path, which really isn't enough, and it's just not us saying that because, as you know, there are sidewalk café regulations. These say you have to have eight feet, and that's really what it kind of needs to be. The other, one of the other preconsidered introductions we're concerned about is that, you know, that the carts be placed within five feet of the corner quadrant. You know, there's a lot

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of timing (sic) that you'll find on the corners, a lot of people, the pedestrians that we have here on the street, and it really does present an issue in terms of-of clearance and vision of the-of the curb. And once again, there is precedent. You know, you have to set a-a newsstand 10 feet back from the corner quadrant. You know, third of the preconsidered introduction is concerned about siting on or the placement of the carts in bus stops. They're 25 feet away from the sign and, you know, we have a lot of buses on Madison Avenue and a lot of other places and, you know, the buses, a typical bus is 40 feet long. And, you know, we have a lot of doubling up of buses. This is no way that that cart would not be in-interfering with people exiting and entering the buses and, you know, we can't-you know, newsstands, news racks are regulated and they cannotfirst amend them further and they will have to be within a bus stop. So thanks a lot for your opportunity to speak. Thank you, sir. [pause]

I'm Jesse Bodine, the District Manager from Manhattan Community Board 4 and I'm speaking on behalf of Chair Delores Rubin and Community Board 4. First, I'd like

[coughs] Good afternoon.

JESSE BODINE:

2 to thank Council Member Espinal and Council Member 3 Levine and the other members of the Committee on Consumer Affairs as well as the Council and the staff 4 for the committee, which I know does the lion's share of the work in these types of hearings. Manhattan 6 7 Community 4 welcomes the opportunity to comment on 8 the proposed package of legislation for reforming street vendor operations and licensing. appreciate the long history of vending in New York 10 11 City and its role in population such as immigrants 12 and US veterans. We also appreciate the limit on 13 vending permits, which is not-has not be unchanged 14 for decades. I might have read that wrong, but which 15 has been unchanged for decades, and it has led to an 16 underground market of vending permits. Reform is 17 needed. However, we believe this legislation will not resolve the underground market problem, and we 18 19 can-and would exacerbate other existing problems. 20 This legislation would eventually double the number of street vendors operating on New York City 21 sidewalks, and shows-unfortunately shows little 2.2 2.3 regard for the public space and pedestrian safety. This legislation must strike a balance for all New 24 Yorkers, and-and not endanger pedestrians and street 25

considered:

2.2

2.3

- vendors. At this time, Community Board 4 opposes
 this legislation unless the following changes are
 - 1. Outreach and Input. A proper consultation with the public is—with the public with presentations to the community boards, business improvement districts, block associations and other relevant parties. These presentations should include supporting data, much of which would have been asked for today by your—by your community itself, and is still unknown.
 - 2. Any reform of licensing programs should have proactive measurements to prevent license, the license of the sale of any kind of license in the underground market. New licenses should and could be embedded with GDS tags. This will prevent the duplication of licenses and all for NYPD and other enforcements units to properly monitor the location and operation. And I will be very quick to wrap it up.
 - 3. In terms of placement and siting, as already mentioned prior—previously. No, the rules should be mandate—should be made consistent with Vision Zero and pedestrian flow priorities and other

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 sidewalk furniture siting. A possible logical of 3 reform would be to make street vending placement 4 consistent with newsstand guidelines, which includes a 9.6 foot pedestrian right-of-way between carts and property line.

I will just summarize the last point, though. Community Board 4 would like that any type of-if any form of this legislation should pass, we-we would request that the Community 4-Community Board 4 be included or the district-Community Board District-I'm Community District 4 be included in the pilot designated vending area program run by the Department of Transportation. Thank you very much for your time today.

TERRI CUDE: Good evening. I'm Terri Cude, First Vice Chair of Community Board 2, Manhattan. I'll just talked with my colleague. You've received a letter from four community boards and I reiterate its main request. We ask for time prior to the Council's consideration of this-of-of this package of-of legislation so community boards can gather community input and craft their positions. That's about 45 days minimum necessary for us to have hearing to-to inform the community to have a hearing

and to prepared our position and vote on it. On
another matter, in 2013 Community Board 2 Manhattan
had a unanimous resolution seeking a review panel,
and regulation of both vendor carts and trucks. Both
are important. We have highly desirable and
therefore enormously congested streets and sidewalks.
At the hearing I attended at the City Council in
2013, where and how many vendors per block base
including both carts and trucks was the strong focus.
This is vital and something the Community Boards need
to be a part of. We know our neighborhoods, and we
hear from our stakeholders in a formal well-informed
manner. We let people know we're going to be talking
about, and we listen and then we like-we make
recommendations to you. We need the times to do
this, and we ask for this deliberation to be
postponed so that we can give the input that you
should need for us. Thank you so much and I under
time.

JOHN MORANO: Thank you. Good evening,

Councilmen, and thank you for the opportunity to

testify today. I'm—I'm—my name is John Morano, and

I'm representing the Throggs Neck Homeowners

Association. I am also a community board member up

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in the Bronx in Community Board 10. As a the representatives of homeowners who live in and work around a large commercial district, we are not only opposing this bill, which will bring more congestion both on our streets and sidewalks and without any viable input from those of us, and make up the very foundation of our neighborhood. When Vision Zero was forced upon us, it brought questionable road changes without -- within our community, which I have included additional meetings, corner bulbs and reducing the In addition, we are now the amount of lanes. recipients of more trees, benches, garbage bins. are not opposed to the city's initiative to make our community safer or cleaner and more attractive, but when a bill such as this is introduced it completely contradicts that mission. Our family owned businesses who have worked for years to establish themselves in a vibrant and ever-evolving community are now-I'm sorry-an ever-evolving community are now being told that they will get additional competition from businesses who do not have to invest in the community, but just profit from it. competition is in the form of mobile vehicles that will not only take away from-will not take away some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of our consumers, but can do it without having to worry about the financial obligations to a neighborhood. The competition—the competition will not have to pay rent, electric, taxes, fines from various city agencies. The competitors will not have to hire sanitation companies to remove their garbage and the competitors will not have to struggle to market their businesses. [coughs] [bell] When-when they didn't-when did it become okay to punish the brick and mortar businesses? They are the backbone of our communities who are also immigrants trying to provide for their families. Except they establish themselves in a permit structure because they were not lucky enough to open a business when our city government decided they needed to give other opportunities at the cost of those already here. The three plus years of hard work being done by our merchants and property owners to establish to establish a business improvement district will be for nothing with approval of this bill. Why invest in our community where anyone can establish themselves without paying into it. Why do-why do you get to decide what is best for some and not for others. And finally, our financial investments paid to-to get

- 2 better schools or—to get better schools. Our money
- 3 pays to make sure our streets are clean. Our money
- 4 pays for your salaries. Our commitments helps
- 5 retailers decide if they should invest in our
- 6 communities. Yet, your message to us is investing in
- 7 | your community is a coin to us. We try-we, City
- 8 Council Members, will decide—will decide who your
- 9 competitors will be, and they won't have to pay for
- 10 it. Thank you.

- 11 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. [pause]
- 12 Donde McKeller (sp), Street Vendor Project; Linda
- 13 | Lena Afidi, ANHD; Catherine Barnett, Opportunity for
- 14 New York; Christine Lynch, Local Color NYC; Cliff
- 15 | Staten, Nutsy Nuts; Craig Levine, Julia Kite, Favio
- 16 Ramirez Caminatti, Ariel Barbuff (sp?); Angel Del La
- 17 | Rosa. It looks like a lot of people got scared off.
- 18 | Jordan Lexton; Josh Gatewood. You can start with
- 19 | your testimony. Just state you're your name before
- 20 you do.
- 21 DONDE MCKELLER: Hi. This is a statement
- 22 of Donde McKeller food vendor, Street Vendor Project,
- 23 | Leadership Board and Chairman of the Veterans
- 24 | Committee in support of Street Vendor Modernization
- 25 Act. My name is Donde McKeller. I'm an LGBTQ

2 disabled veteran who served from 1981 through 1985 3 active duty. My rank in the service was a disbursing 4 clerk, payroll, leave and statement and travel pay. I looked at numbers all day long. I was the numbers guy. I currently am on Community Board 2 in the 6 7 South Bronx, and I've been a street vendor since 8 2004. It is estimated that there are 20,000 street vendors in New York City by the Street Vendor Project. Now the Department of Consumer Affairs has 10 stated that there is 1,721 vendors—veterans who are 11 12 street vendors. Within that number, 144 disabled 13 veterans have specialized mobile food permits, the 14 permits, which allows them to work around the city 15 parks. The warehouse ready and 44B permits are 16 seeking for citywide standards. So I was very 17 delighted to see the 5% increase of the veteran 18 citywide permits, and this piece of legislation. 19 New York State since 1986 after the Civil War 20 veterans have been given the right to vend, hock and 21 peddle on the streets through State Business Law 4, 2.2 Article 35. Veterans could vend so they could 2.3 provide for their families. People from all cultures and all backgrounds have actively been ensured a part 24 25 of who they are here. This city is truly a melting

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

legislation.

The tourists and the native New Yorkers look for diversity and appreciate it. Street Vendor Modification supports New Yorkers who deserve to then-who-excuse. The Street Vendor Modification Act supports our New Yorkers who desire to vend food and provide for the families. This is long overdue. While preserving-while preserving the footprints of veterans and the veterans in the communities. I do wish that the City Council would review two sections. First-first, transferring the permits for family This should be reserved for U.S. Military veterans. Second, veterans should be on the Veteran Street Vendor Advisory Board. In conclusion, I would personally like to commend Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito for and the New York City Council for their time and effort they put into this much needed

CATHERINE BARNETT: Good afternoon.

Thank you to the Honorable City Council Members of this committee. My name is Catherine Barnett, and I'm the Director of the Restaurant Opportunities

Centers of New York, ROC New York, an affiliate of the Restaurant Opportunities Center United. For the past 15 years, ROC New York has advocated for fair

wages and working conditions for thousands of 2 3 restaurant workers here in New York and nationwide. 4 Along with the Street Vendor Project, ROC is also a member of the Food Chain Workers Alliance, which 5 supports workers from the farms (sic) across city 6 7 plans to restaurants and even street vendors. 8 testifying here before you in support of increasing permits for food-for vendors. Our members share common circumstances. Many of them are immigrants 10 11 and they provide vital services, but their work is 12 often devalued and disregarded. America is now a 13 country that eats out more than we cook at home at 14 variety of locales from restaurants to food trucks. 15 And in many cases individuals turn to vending in 16 order to create a job for themselves when other jobs 17 are not available to them due to inabilities to 18 transfer credentials and experiences to the US 19 market, immigration status, attempts to reintegrate 20 into society after serving their country or previous 21 Criminal Justice System involvement after paying 2.2 their debt to society. Like other entrepreneurs, 2.3 vendors may be driven to own their own enterprises to have more control over their scheduled, their 24 finances and their destiny. And in my cases they 25

employ others providing more job creation for our 2 3 city. At ROC New York just as we believe that all 4 restaurant workers deserve to be paid fairly with one fair wage for the work that they do, and to work in safe and sanitary conditions free from harassment. 6 7 We believe that street vendors deserve the respect to conduct business like other small business owners do. 8 By increasing the number permits available, vendors would be able to and we would argue prefer to sell 10 11 legitimately and pay taxes rather than pay to their 12 fines and have their equipment and products 13 confiscated and damaged. In fact, many restaurant workers who dream of opening their own establishments 14 15 when they start by selling in markets or at festivals 16 and many vendors have their plans of opening their 17 own brick and mortar establishments. This year, some 18 of our own restaurant worker members with dreams of 19 entrepreneurship began selling at Baily (sic)Plaza in 20 conjunction with the Street Vendor Project. New York 21 City should continue to be a hub for the 2.2 entrepreneurial opportunity and growth in all legal 2.3 ROC New York urges the City Council to lift the cap and make more licenses available to food 24 25 vendors. Thank you.

2 CHRISTINE LYNCH: Hi. My name is 3 Christine Lynch. I'm a small business owner, and I 4 happen to be a street vendor. I don't vend food, but I do own a mobile pop-up shop that sells all locally made goods by local artists and designers. 6 7 though I don't sell food, there is something that all 8 street vendors have in common, and that is opportunity. When I lost my job in 2013, I couldn't find regular employment so I decided to start my own 10 11 business. When I started my business plan, I quickly found out that commercial rents were out of control 12 13 and independent person like me could not start a 14 brick and mortar business. So I turned to street 15 vending and built a pop-up shop in a truck. 16 people here are looking for similar opportunities. 17 Maybe they used to have a deli or a restaurant, and 18 the rent was too high so they had to close, and now 19 they've vending on the street. Maybe they don't 20 speak English, but they make the best damn tacos that 21 you will ever have. The common thread here is 2.2 opportunity and, you know, I love-I love mom and pop 2.3 shops, and grocery stores in a city like New York with millions and millions of people living and 24 25 visiting every year, these grocery stores should not

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

be put out of business, but because of taxes and high rent. Someone having a green vendor cart selling vegetables is not putting these grocery stores out of business. It's the ridiculous taxes and high rents that are putting these mom and pop stores out of business. So I believe that there is room for everyone in this great city to succeed, and I—I don't think vendors are the cause of most of these problems that have been talked about today. Thank you.

FAVIO RAMIREZ CAMINATTI: Thank you very much for having me and congratulations to survive through this marathonic session. My name Favio Ramiez Caminatti. I'm Executive Director of Del Centro Immigrante, the largest New York City workers center and community-based organization. It was founded in 1997 in Staten Island and since then we are the oldest newest member of the National Labor Advanced Network. I'm proud legal founder of the New York Workers Incorporation. Our mission is to promote the economic advancement of immigrant workers and their family. Our centers works mainly with the community of day laborers and domestic workers on Staten Island but also in the other four boroughs. Like street vendors, the laborers are frequently the

2 targets of anti-immigrant bias. Like vendors, they 3 are often the subject of complaints from local business owners who would rather not see them on the 4 corner. Like vendors, they receive harassment from the police and community residents. Even with all 6 7 the struggles they face, both street vendors and day 8 laborers perform vital services that our city could not function without. Many people who call to complain about day laborers will just as quickly hire 10 11 them to renovate their home or mow their lawn. The 12 same issue for vendors. Many of the titans of Wall 13 Street buy a cup of coffee from an immigrant vendor each morning and it is not just the bankers on Wall 14 15 Street. Many of our members work such long hours 16 that they don't have the time to cook their own 17 They cannot afford to east from restaurants, meals. 18 and they may not have the time to sit down. 19 rely on the street vendors for their daily 20 sustenance. In this way, one the community of 21 immigrant workers is supporting the other. 2.2 that what good neighbors do. In recent years, the 2.3 City Council has made efforts to improve the life of low wage immigrant workers in New York City including 24 domestic workers, day laborers, restaurant workers 25

2.2

2.3

much.

and car washers. We ask that you do the same for our brothers and sisters who sell tacos, tamales and other food on the street. We stand in solidarity with them in their efforts to increase the cap on vending permits so that more of them can work without the fear of ticketing rest and deportation. Intro 1303 could be a positive step forward for all immigrant workers in New York. That is why we urge you to pass this proposal into law. Thank you very

JOHN GATEWOOD: My name is Josh Gatewood.

I'm the Interim President of the New York City Food

Truck Association, and it's not just immigrants who

participate in the food vending. I'm a food vendor

as well. My direct descendant or ancestor was in the

Revolutionary War so you've even got some old school

Americans that are doing the street vending thing.

But the common thread is that we are all chasing

after the American Dream. The mobile food vending

industry has been a second opportunity for me.

[coughs] After moving to New York City to work in

finance, I discovered it impossible to find a job in

my desired industry after much effort, and I came up

with an idea to start a restaurant showcasing the

2 all-American cuisine of the south, which is where I 3 moved from. I was long on dreams, but short on 4 capital. So I did what any rational person would do, 5 and I applied for Who Wants to be a Millionaire. After repeat efforts of getting on the show, I 6 finally was placed in the official contestant pool. 7 8 Months went by with no contact from the show, and my bank account quickly dwindled living in New York City without any real income. I was humbly forced to get 10 11 on Food Stamps. With that and with about \$200 left 12 to my name, I decided to move back to Tennessee with 13 my younger sister. The Mon-the Monday after I got 14 back to Tennessee I go the phone call I was on the 15 show. I threw some clothes in a backpack and took a 16 mega bus from Knoxville, Tennessee to New York City 17 and got on the show and when host Meredith Vieira 18 asked what I was going to do when I won the million 19 dollars, I said I was going to start Yankee Doodle 20 Dandy's in New York, and that's what I did, but I 21 didn't have enough to get a restaurant. I had enough 2.2 to get a food truck. So, I've got a masters degree 2.3 from the University of South Carolina. It's the number one school in classes that I majored, but no 24 25 amount of preparation could prepare me for the trials

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and tribulations of street vending in New York City. So, you know, this city is referred to as the big city of dreams, and like I said, I'm pursuing my own version of that dream. But what is comprising my version of this dream is the broken permit system in New York City, and that's why I'm so glad you're all here to address some of the shortcomings of this-the current status quo. Food trucks we have endeared ourselves to New Yorkers. We provide the perfect complement with the fast paced New York lifestyle, but providing New Yorkers an opportunity to quickly grab a gourmet lunch and return to work as soon as possible. During Hurricane Sandy when much of Brooklyn and Lower Manhattan and Staten Island was under-was without power and could not provide hot meals, the food trucks in New York City were deployed to provide hot meals for New Yorkers. Recently, I was contacted by the Office of Emergency Management who were interested in creating a list of New York City food trucks ready to be deployed in emergency situations to food New Yorkers. OEM asked me what could be done to make this a reality. My reply was a simple one-word answer: Permits. You're not going to have a list of food trucks ready to serve New Yorkers

2.2

2.3

if there's crazy high turnover because the permit system is broken. So we're requesting that these new permits be released that, you know, you look out for the food trucks, and we can help create a list that's ready in an emergency situation because when God forbid an emergency does strike, people are going to say what could have been done, you know, to—to feed people or provide. And this is one of the thins we're offer, and I'm looking forward to being part of the Advisory Board as an active vendor, and thank you for your time today.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

exciting. Thank you for hosting this hearing. I'm here to testify on behalf of organizing Drive Change in favor of the passing of the Street Vendor Modernization Act. Ultimately this act is about access to opportunity, something that we stand for completely and behind completely. Drive Change uses the food truck workplace to run a one-year fellowship for young adults who are coming home from jail and prison. I started Drive Change after teaching on Rikers Island for three years, and I called it the public high school because New York, as you probably

2 know, is one of two states that automatically 16-3 year-olds like adults in the Criminal Justice System. 4 We arrest about 50,000 16 and 17-year-olds annually, 5 and at the time that I was Rikers, I worked with approximately 1,300 young people during the three 6 7 years that I was there. I witnessed first hand a 8 very racist and class system and nearly 80% of my students were detainees who simply couldn't afford When a young person is released, employment 10 11 and enrollment in school is often--it's often 12 impossible, and some returning citizens can't live in 13 the public housing that they potentially came from. 14 For my students the road ahead was paved with red 15 lights, stop signs, dead ends. One place inside of 16 Rikers where people thriving and happy was in a 17 culinary arts class. I'm a native New Yorker. Food 18 has always been an educational tool for me. I'm on 19 the eating side. I wasn't on the food business side 20 at that point, but I thought to myself I can start a 21 food truck business as a platform for workplace 2.2 training fellowships for my students when they are 2.3 released from jail and that's exactly what I did. 2012, I left my full-time teaching job. I worked on 24 Quince (sic) taco truck, and I learned about the 25

2 mobile vending industry. From the onset, I learned 3 how challenging the industry is. I saw the way in 4 which vibrant vendors were trying to go with the regulation and law but were-it was an impossibility 5 because of the black market. Drive Change ourselves 6 7 we don't have a citywide permit. We all have a 8 restricted area permit because we didn't want to participate in that market. As a result, we're actually really challenged to find parking. 10 11 something that challenges our operation, it 12 challenges our ability to work with more young people 13 who are coming out of the system, and it forces us to 14 reconsider what growth and scale can look like in New 15 York City. We've now worked with 19 young returning 16 citizens-citizens over the course of the last two 17 years, all of whom are now in full-time jobs and 18 full-time opportunities or back in school full-full 19 Our food truck that we own and operate won the 20 Vending Award for best food truck in New York City in 21 2015, and we source all of our food from local vendors within 150 miles. It's all farm to truck. 2.2 2.3 We really want to proposed that in addition to this law being passed that we actually are able to put in 24 25 place 3% of the permits to go to vendors who want to

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

hired returning citizens as a result of using employment as a tool for opportunity. Eighteen vending permit per year because we know that there are at least 18 per—current vendors who have already approached us asking how they can use their business, the platform for the social justice mission. So we really encourage this—this bill. We look forward to being a part of the conversation, and we look forward to being a part of—of making this happen. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you and thank you for all of your ideas and thank you for—for testifying. Appreciate it. Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Sorry. If I could really quick. Thank you. Thank you, Chair. Again, I'm also thankful for all of your testimony, and I just wanted to highlight a couple of things and ask a couple of questions. There was some conversations here about the immigrant status work that's happening on the ground and—can we—can we talk a little bit about that, and—and really I think you kind of brought the work that we're collectively doing in the city. But, on immigration status, there's no prohibition for members of—of our community, residents that have an array of

- 2 immigration status or no status all, which is great.
- 3 What are—what are the issues for—for those who don't
- 4 have status and—and have—does this bill address any
- 5 of those issues beyond the access to permits?

6 CATHERINE BARNETT: Certainly I think the

7 access to permits and being able to do business

8 | legitimately is going to be one of the major hurdles

9 that they will be able to overcome because in many

10 | instances even just the fear of what starts as a

11 | sanitation ticket then leading to, you know,

12 | immigration or something like that. I think it just

13 creates a climate of fear and uncertainty among that-

14 | that sector of the vendor population. We do know

15 | that thanks to the great work of the City Council in

16 other areas the notion and the recognition that

17 | immigrants—the immigrant workforce is a vital of our

18 | community. We need to make opportunities for people

20 citizens and legitimize—legitimize their work as

21 poposed to just stigmatizing them because they do

22 have to create their own jobs. So that's through co-

23 ops or vending.

24

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you for

25 that, and I also just want to say thank you for-for

2.2

2.3

lifting the voices of the LGBT community because our vendors are also in LGBT community and immigrants, and— Jordyn, I have a question for you on—on this incredible program that I hope that we can talk to many members about just because I think you have some really interesting points to wedge more opportunity out of—out of that, not just the permits, just giving the permits out, but what those permits can do in a workforce program—

JORDYN LEXTON: [interposing] Yes.

The city should get involved in this in a way that they can adopt and—and make it a part of the work that we have to do. We also heard from veterans earlier today that they want a piece of this, get some percentages set aside. And so this is—this is just a healthy conversation. Do you know from those 18—you said there's 18 vendors that you have or possible vendors with business plans that you're training—

JORDYN LEXTON: [interposing] Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: --out of your program. Do you know if any of them are on the waiting list?

2.2

2.3

JORDYN LEXTON: Yeah. So I don't know if they're currently—there are other already pre-existing vendors who have also approached us that we do know are currently on the—the waiting list itself, and then some would be individuals who have not yet joined the waiting list.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Got it and the let's find out, and we can work offline on that because I'm-I'm going to have—have some questions about the waiting list as well—

JORDYN LEXTON: Yes.

earlier. Then-then the final thing is, and this almost a question for every panel, but something we have to answer is what—where do we bring—bring more responsibility and accountability to all the players on the street brick and mortar vendors on things like quality of life issues. And so, your program I think is kind of poised to train the—like a new fleet of—of—

JORDYN LEXTON: [interposing] Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: --street vendors, street and food trucks that can—that can create a whole new set—set of responsibilities,

2.2

2.3

social justice measures that are both on the kind of workforce and while you're on the ground in the community, what can you do to engage to—especially the mobility of the food trucks. Kind of shape—reshape how—how vendors take responsibility on the ground for issues that may or may or not be related to them. We heard from—from brick and mortars that they are responsible for the—for the cleanliness of the streets and they will get cited. And—and we could—we could—we could change the conversation. I don't know if you have any—

JORDYN LEXTON: [interposing] Yeah, one specific response to that is that there's actually a new RFP being released by the District Attorney's Office for social enterprises that are specifically working with young adults coming home from jail. It was then out of the Criminal Justice Investment Initiative. It's a \$7.3 million RFP, and our plan for applying of that RFP is to build our own garage commissary. As a result of that ecosystem and space we will be in a position to help with that kind of regulation, which we see as like a public benefit corporation style. You know, if you want to be a part of this space, which we hope to be able to work

embedded in this work.

2.2

2.3

- with the city on, then we'll be in a position where

 people come and are part of that space. We'll be

 able to be a part of a community that is invested in

 the—the social components that we want to see
- 7 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: That sounds 8 incredible. Let's talk off--
- JORDYN LEXTON: [interposing] Great

 COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: --offline on

 that—on that work. Thank you to the panel.
 - [background comments, pause] Alright, let's call up
 Lena Afidi (sp?), ANHD, Joel Glaser—Joe Glaser,
 Rainier Ramirez, Steven Assaro, Almy Wong, Jerry
 Chin, Alexis Smallwood; Vivian Deyoung (sp?), Joseph
 Loreno, Joseph, and Mr. Chapman. Jessica Walker—
 Jessica Walker, Sonya Enriquez, Anna Cordero, Jerry
 Lay, Andrew Eyler, Mitchell Bowmit, Roman—Roman
 Michel Lechek, Lowell Vandenbilt. Anyone else who is
 looking to testify? You're the last gentleman
 believe it or not, but you can have a seat? So this
 is the last panel. We all made it. Looking forward
 to everyone testimony. Please state your name before
 your testimony. You may begin. [pause]

2 VICK MAO: Thank you, Council Member. MV3 name is Vicki Mao. I will be reading the testimony 4 on behalf of Lena Alfridi. Good morning. Thank you Chair Espinal and thank you to the members of the 5 Committee on Consumers Affairs and an opportunity to 6 7 testify on the expansion of food vendor permits. name is Lena Alfridi and I am the Policy Coordinator 8 of Equitable Economic Development at the Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development, ANHD. 10 11 is a membership organization of NYC neighborhood 12 based community. We have nearly 100 members 13 throughout the five boroughs. Our mission is to 14 ensure flourishing neighborhoods and decent 15 affordable housing for all New Yorkers. We are 16 speaking as a part of United for Small Business NYC, 17 a citywide coalition of community organizations 18 across New York City fighting to protect New Yorkers' 19 small business and all residential tenants from the 20 threat of displacement. With particular focus on 21 owner-operated low-income minority and immigrant run businesses and also low-income and minority 2.2 2.3 communities. ANHD and USBNYC support lifting the cap on permits for street vendors. New York street 24 25 vendors are essential to our neighborhoods and

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

communities providing jobs in culturally relevant 2 3 boroughs. In a climate where small business, too, may face displacement, vendors are among the most 4 5 vulnerable. Vendors do not have brick and mortar shops for the same reasons many brick and mortar 6 businesses are closing, high cost of rent, 7 8 gentrification and harassment. New York is small business the vendors need robust and strong protections to ensure their success. Lifting the cap 10 11 on permits for street vendors is a necessary part of 12 a larger toolkit for establishing meaningful protections for all small business. Thank you. 13

Vanderbilt. I'm President of Carnegie Hill
Neighbors. We welcome this opportunity to testify.
Carnegie Hill Neighbors is located in the Upper East
Side, and between Fifth Avenue and Third Avenue, 86th
Street to 98th Street. We—we are concerned that
there was lack of notice for this—for this bill, and
we hope that you will go slow before a vote is taken.
It's possible that the bill could be split into
various components. Some could go faster, for
example the—the enforcement unit, which is an
outstanding idea. It's something that our Community

2 Board 8 in the Upper East Side has been calling for 3 many years. We would strongly support that. 4 might go before the other, for the expansion of the vendors, and we do think enforcement should come 5 first. I also want to say that wouldn't it be 6 7 fruitful if someone could organize the testimony of 8 various individuals today who may report. It could become sort of a white paper. It could show both sides, strong arguments from both sides. That would 10 11 be helpful, and we think that given the timeframe a 12 45-day period to allow community boards to 13 participate fully before you make any decisions would 14 be very helpful as well. We think that-I mentioned 15 priority of enforcement. The black market issue has 16 been raised again and again. This is one of the 17 first times we've had a vendor hearing where the 18 focus has been on the black market, and there-we 19 should-you should explore various possibilities, 20 maybe get advice from universities, and I just-the medallion ideas has been-has been thrown forward. And 21 2.2 I think that a report or a white paper that you make 2.3 should include this. Another idea would be to experiment with adding 400 vendors with 100 vendors a 24 year each, and that they would be auctioned. 25

2.2

2.3

would carry a four-year period, but they would——
there would be a free auction. You could find out
what the true price is, and the city would get all
the proceeds. So it would create—and people could
renew their auction because they would bid again, and
once you have a stable price, the prices of these
auctions permits will not vary that much. So, I
encourage more creative thinking, more innovative
thinking to generate more funds, and to make a stable
market and to eliminate the black market. Even
though people have said you'll never do it because of
the control of the commissaries, I think that could
be looked into, too. Thank you very much.

JOE GLASER: Good evening. My name is Joe Glaser. I own the La Bella Torte Dessert Truck in New York City here. I currently operate off a restricted area permit, which allows me to vend on private property. The issue that is brought up here about the black market or underground market, whatever you want to consider it, is very simple. There are brokers out there. These brokers work in the commissaries. Some brokers control up to 1,000 permits each at \$20 or \$25,000. You get them and you stop them, and you stop the black market. It's that

2.3

24

- 2 That's just my---no it's not that simple. 3 know what I'm saying. Also, okay, food safety. 4 the first one to go for public safety and quality of 5 food that I put out. I've been inspected several times on several locations. Never have they been 6 7 able to find anything wrong with my truck. I do not like being lumped in with food carts, which one 8 gentleman showed pictures of because those things look like a circus show. My truck is hand painted. 10 11 It's a beautiful truck. I have one-I have one truck 12 I'm working on a second truck. If I had a 13 citywide permit, I'd be able to have ten trucks. 14 I thank you guys for listening to me. Also, you 15 know, what I was a plumber for 28 years of my life. 16 When the economy tanked in 2007, I went back to 17 pastry school, became a chef became a pastry chef, 18 and opened my own business. So this is a second 19 chance for me in life as well. I'm 53 years old, and 20 I'm out there and I'm working 15, 16 hours a day like 21 everybody else. If you can consider this, thank you 2.2 very much.
 - STEVEN ASSARO: Good evening. I'm Steven Assaro. I appreciate you staying here so late.
- 25 We've all been waiting all day to speak. I own the

2 Crepes's truck. I also own Softee Express. My 3 family has been doing the mobile food vending 4 business for 45 years. I actually own my own food vending permit. I was lucky and fortunate to win a 5 lotto more than 20 years ago. That being said, when 6 7 I grew up in the business, there was no talk of 8 permits being rented because everybody could get one. There was no market for it because it was worthless. If you can go get one for free there's no need to pay 10 11 \$20,000. Unfortunately, Giuliani and other people 12 have closed it off. They capped it off. 13 basically protecting businesses and restaurants and 14 that is the big paying lobbying group. That's why so 15 many of them spoke here today. So joined early on 16 in—in the proceedings. They carry a lot of weight in 17 government, but once they capped those permits, the 18 prices started to go up and in the mid-90s it was 19 five grand for permit. Now, it's \$25,000. So the 20 only way you're going to get rid of the black marketfirst of all there's-there's two main reasons why you 21 2.2 want to get rid of that. First of all, the city 2.3 should get that money, and not some foreign person, you know, and-and it's going to bring down the prices 24 to nothing because if there's lots of permit 25

2 available there's no need to pay people for them. Number two is I own my permit. I know valuable that 3 4 permit is. So I'm not going to go and run my truck like a circus because I'm afraid those violations could cause me to lose my permit, but when you rent a 6 7 permit, hey I need 20-I'd paid 20 grand for this? 8 I'm going to make-and try and do whatever I can to make that money back as well as the \$1,000 a month for the rent, the insurance, the workers 10 11 compensation, thousands of dollars in violations and 12 So when people rent things, they don't treat it 13 the same way as when they own it. You know, this is why it's important for people to own their own permit 14 15 because they'll follow more rules. If you look at 16 the history of my violations, I get minimum 17 violations. A couple here and there, but the city 18 has been so harsh on the mobile food vendors compared 19 to a restaurant owner. When I worked in restaurants 20 we almost never see a Department of Health inspector. 21 When you own a food vending cart in New York City, 2.2 you'll see them two, three, four maybe five times a 2.3 summer in a course of a couple of months, you know. So, you know, the city has designed this to try and 24 avoid the proliferation of carts because they want to 25

2.2

2.3

protect the restaurants. But meanwhile, this is how people move up into that business. You know, you—you just can't just open up a restaurant without having—having some experience in making money, and food—mobile vending permits have allowed people like myself to get the experience, to save up money over years and years and years, and you've heard stories of the Cinnamon Snail and Metzger Boulevard and Mexi—Cuba, you know, moved onto bigger and better things. So I hope you guys would expand the number of permits you release and—and see what good it can be instead of hearing all of this propaganda about stealing people's business. Thank you so much.

JERRY CHIN: I'd just like to start off
by saying now I'm surprised at the amount of interest
in the little hot dog business. I wonder if the City
Council gets so much, you know, so many speaker for a
million dollar construction business. Having said
that, you know, hopefully you saved the best for
last. My name is Jerry Chin. No relation to the
Honorable Council Member Margaret Chin. I am a tax
and business consultant and a food vendor and a
veteran of the US Army. I applaud the expansion of
the number of permits to allow greater opportunities

2 for immigrants trying to pursue the American Dream in 3 a tough economic environment. I also applaud the 4 creation of a dedicated food vending bureau to 5 regulate the industry. Takin the NYPD out of the food-out of the business of food vending regulation 6 makes sense for everyone involve. The NYPD has more 8 important things on its plate in the age of terrorism. The vendors, most of them new immigrants with limited understanding of English or the American 10 11 legal system do not appreciate person with a badge and a gun telling them how to achieve their American 12 I would ask the council to consider 13 implementation of a lottery or auction system where 14 15 the City can regulate and monetize the allocation of 16 Those of us who have worked in the mobile 17 food business understand that the one crucial 18 component to success in this business just like the 19 real estate business in New York City is location, 20 location, location. The City should recognize this 21 and work together with vendors to develop a system 2.2 where everyone, the vendors the business improvement 2.3 districts representing the brick and mortar storefronts and the city itself can all benefit 24 without any one party suffering disproportionately. 25

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 I have come to speak to my fellow vendors and my 3 fellow veteran vendors. Nobody wants to increase 4 your cost of doing business. I understand that, but would you pay for stability? Taxes, fees, and 5 regulations is what makes the American economy 6 7 stable. Stability is the cornerstone of a sustainable and successful business. We are 8 interested in the illegal black market for permits and want-and want to eliminate. Putting aside moral 10 obligations-objections to what is legal and illegal, 11 12 there's two ways to go about controlling illegal activity. You can either declare war on it, a war on 13 14 drugs, a war on terrorism, a war on vendors or you 15 can legalize, regulate and control the selling of 16 permits. We have a model for this in the way the TLC 17 regulates taxi medallions. So, that's it.

MELISSA CHAPMAN: Hi. Good evening,

Chair Espinal, and Council Member Menchaca. I am

Melissa Chapman. I serve as the Student Vice

President for Public Affairs at the Brooklyn Chamber

of Commerce, and I'm reading this evening's testimony

on behalf of President and CEO, Carlos Scissura. The

Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce is membership based

business assistance organization which represents the

interest of over 2,100 member business as well as 2 other business across Brooklyn. While the overall 3 4 goal of this proposal is in line with our mission to promote a healthy and robust business environment throughout Brooklyn, we have certain concerns about 6 the legislation in its current form. 7 8 implementation in the absence of a wide ranging and inclusive study will negatively impact small business across New York City. Small brick and mortar 10 11 business are subject to a host of laws and regulations that cut into valuable resources needed 12 13 to operate. These include formal licensing, public 14 approval for community board as well as approval from 15 NYC agencies. Expanding the availability of permits 16 without a serious analysis of the impact will 17 devastate brick and mortar business particularly if 18 both groups are not held to the same operational 19 standards. Another example that shows an imbalanced 20 approached to this proposal is the fact restaurant 21 establishments, as you've-as you've heard, must 2.2 comply with the city's letter grade system. On the 2.3 other hand, there is no such requirement for street vendors. Further, such an expansion in permitting 24 25 may reduce access to metered parking spaces and

commercial loading zones for brick and mortar
businesses. As an alternative, the city should work
to identify specific zones in public spaces where
vendors can apply their trade in a way that this is
safe for them as well as for customers. We support
the portion of the bill that calls for the
establishment of an advisory board. However, it is
crucial that this one of the perquisites for any
permanent change that applies to street vending
including an increase in the cap. As we mentioned
earlier, such a task force-I'm wrapping up-should
include a wide range of representatives. These are
all important considerations that this committee must
make in order for there to be an equitable co-
existence between these business groups. Otherwise,
any sudden expansion will create an unstable
commercial environment. And thank you so much for
the opportunity to testify on this issue.

DAVID BROSS: Good evening Honorable

Council Members. Thank you very much. My name is

David Bross. I am reading a statement, testimony on

hehalf of Natasha Lycia Ora Bannan, Associate Counsel

at Latino Justice PRLDEF. This organization is a

national civil rights organization engaged in

2 advocacy and impact litigation on behalf of 3 underserved Latino communities along the East Coast. 4 Thank you for the invitation to address you today, on the important issue of economic justice for working class street vendors, many of whom are Latino and 6 almost all are immigrants. As you know, immigrants 8 are a vital part of New York's economy often serving as the economic engine in their communities through small business and entrepreneurial ventures. Part of 10 11 the creativity and vibrancy immigrant owned 12 businesses bring is the culinary traditions that 13 expand both the available options in their communities for eth-ethnically relevant food choices 14 15 as well as diversifying the choices available. 16 businesses include street vendors who carry their 17 traditions with them from corner to corner of this 18 city contributing to the culturally diverse and 19 economically vibrant communities they are a part of. 20 However, many of these workers have been laboring 21 under exploitative conditions paying upwards of 2.2 thousands of dollars for a permit issued years and 2.3 years ago because no more have been or will be issued without the necessary changes to regulations. Street 24 vending is often an entry point into the city's 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

economy, a chance for immigrants, many of whom are Latinos, Latinas to provide for their families, pay their rent and develop business skills. presence is often overlooked yet their contributions ae significant particularly within their communities. Yet, laboring as part of an invisible economy that is rife with exploitation and abuse often in getting vendors and their families as they sell food and products in our streets daily is unacceptable and cannot be condoned even implicitly by refusing to act on a simple measure that could create more economy opportunity for low-wage workers while addressing the exploitation that street vending is currently infected with. We know that it is always the most vulnerable among us who are most susceptible to exploitative labor practices and street vending is not exempt. It is incumbent upon government to identify, address and eradicate such a bus when it surfaces, which is what this body has a chance to do today. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. I really just want to thank everyone for staying here this late, and exercising your right to testify. I know it's been a long hearing, but we do take

everyone's testimony into consideration, you know,
when we move forward. So everything that has been
said here today will be taken back and will be
studied, and see if we will make any amendments and
things of that nature. So thank you again for coming
and for staying that late. I think with that said,
it's just me and Carlos Menchaca left in the room,
but I want to thank all my colleagues, Mark Levine,
especially my staff Lobany and Israel for the work
they've done on this-on this-on these bills. I also
want to thank the sergeant-at-arms for all the work
he has done to keep this—this hearing in order. So
with that said, everyone have a good evening. We
said good morning, we said good afternoon, we said
good evening, now good night. [gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 7, 2016