CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

----- X

October 6, 2016 Start: 1:03 p.m. Recess: 1:28 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm,

16th Fl.

B E F O R E:

JAMES VACCA Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Annabel Palma

David G. Greenfield Barry S. Grodenchik Joseph C. Borelli

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ben Krakauer
Director of Watch Command
New York City Department of Emergency
Management

Don Sunderland
Deputy Commissioner for
Application Development
Department of Information Technology and
Telecommunications

[s	ound	check]

[pause]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Good afternoon

everyone. Welcome. It is now 1:00 p.m. My name is

James Vacca and I'm chair of the Committee on

Technology and we're here today to discuss Int. 1240,

a bill sponsored by Council Member Daniel Dromm that

would enhance the language access of two types of

important digital notifications issued by New York

City.

This Committee has held previous hearings focused on enhancing the access of City services and communication for the various New Yorkers for who English is not their first language. We are in one of the most diverse cities in the country and it's vital that everyone living in New York City has an opportunity to access the many services and features our local government provides.

One of these features is City-issued electronic communications through wireless emergency alerts (WEAs) and Notify NYC notifications. Just recently, on Monday, September 19th we were able to use this technology to great effect. That morning the City issued a massive WEA that provided the name

2.2

2.3

of the Chelsea bombing suspect; this text-like
message was sent to every cell phone using a cell
phone tower in New York City. The suspect was in
police custody three hours later and Police
Commissioner James O'Neill described these types of
alert systems as the future. We agree. Messaging
services are not just useful for criminal threats;
WEAs and Notify NYC can inform our residents of lifethreatening weather events like tornadoes and
hurricanes, missing persons and other major
disruptions.

Because these messages can relay information that requires immediate attention, it is imperative that every resident of New York City have the opportunity to access them. Presently, some Notify NYC text messages provide limited language translations, but this is not enough. We do feel that these messages should have automatic translation features to more effectively reach people with limited English proficiency, and this bill would provide that that be done and would allow for both an English and Spanish translation of its alerts and this bill would require that Notify NYC subscribers have the option to receive all of their texts in one

of the City's most commonly spoken languages unless a real translation of the general English notification is unavailable.

We anticipate that this bill will move us forward toward the goals expressed by the Administration to make City services available to all. The Committee looks forward to hearing testimony from OEM and DoITT and we thank you for your attendance; we thank everyone for being here today.

I want to introduce the Committee members that are here with me today. To my right, always, is Councilman Joe Borelli and to his further right -- [interpose, background comment, laughter] who should be sitting next to me more -- is Council Member Barry Grodenchik. We thank them for joining us.

And I now wanna call upon our witnesses;
will you please stand and... Ben Krakauer, OEM and Dan...
Don... [background comment] Don Sunderland, Deputy
Commissioner for DoITT. I'd like you to swear -would you please raise your right hand? Do you swear
or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and
nothing but the truth today?

[background comments]

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay, you may

3 proceed. Please sit down. Thank you.

BEN KRAKAUER: Good afternoon Chairperson
Vacca and members of the Technology Committee. I'm
Ben Krakauer, the Director of Watch Command for New
York City Emergency Management. I'm joined here
today by Don Sunderland, Deputy Commissioner for
Application Development at the Department of
Information Technology and Telecommunications.

It's a privilege to be here today to discuss the Notify NYC program, its history, procedures, and the steps that we have taken and continue to take to ensure all New Yorkers have access to official, near real-time information from the City during an emergency.

As you know, the mission of New York City Emergency Management is to plan and prepare for emergencies, to educate the public about preparedness, to coordinate the City's response and recovery operations, and to collect and disseminate critical information to key stakeholders and the public during emergencies. During an emergency we issue media releases, update social media, provide information to 311, and send messaging to our

2.2

2.3

partners through a variety of distribution networks, including Corp Net, which goes to our private sector partners, the Advanced Warning System, which goes to our special needs partners, Citizen Corp, which goes to our nonprofit partners, and all elected officials and community boards through emails and conference calls spearheaded by our Intergovernmental Affairs Unit. Notify NYC is one of many tools and one of the most effective methods that we use to provide information to the public.

The program began as a pilot in 2007 following the tragic Deutsche Bank fire on Liberty Street and is designed to provide city residents, businesses and visitors with near real-time information about emergency activity and possible disruptions in their communities. The program went citywide in 2009 and now has more than 440,000 subscribers. Registration is free and open to anyone who self-registers using their landline telephones, mobile phones, instant message or email address, and is also available through social media via Twitter and our RSS feed. Access to a computer is not required as registration is also available by calling 311.

all subscribers are automatically enrolled in the Emergency Alert group -- messages that direct them to take protective action, such as evacuate an area for any reason. Outside of that, subscribers can personalize their alerts by choosing additional notification features targeted to their area or areas of interest and tailored to the category of message they wish to receive, such as significant events like large fires, major mass transit disruptions, major road closures, public health notifications, Department of Education school closure or delay advisories, and emergency suspensions of Alternative Side Parking rules.

In 2014, the Notify NYC program began incorporating American Sign Language videos with audio and subtitles into many of our messages to ensure the deaf and hard of hearing community were able to receive emergency information. Today, 86 of our most commonly issued messages can be issued in a generalized form in the 13 most commonly spoken languages in New York City in additional to American Sign Language. The translated version of these messages is available via hyperlink at the bottom of the Notify NYC email. Additionally, we've worked

2.2

2.3

closely with our colleagues at DoITT to make the Notify NYC website and registration portal available in multiple languages. We're in the final stages of making 35 additional messages available in multiple languages, bringing the total to 121.

Since the program's beginning in 2007, the program has sent out more than 7,500 separate messages.

The current setup is the extent to which we're able to provide language access options without additional personnel or financial resources.

We are currently working with DoITT's insource team on the development of a Notify NYC mobile application that will allow users to get emergency information based on their present location and not based on pre-selected addresses. The development is ongoing and we hope to be able to release the application to the public in 2017.

With regards to the legislation at hand,
we would first like to discuss Section 1A of the
proposed bill, where we're directed by City Council
to send out messages via the federal government's
Wireless Emergency Alert system (WEA) in languages
other than English. It is important to note that

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

there are limitations beyond our control that impede our ability to fulfill part of this legislation.

First, NYC Emergency Management is not the owner of the Wireless Emergency Alert system; it is a system run by the federal government and users of it are subject to the rules, regulations and technical

limitations that exist within the system today.

In recognition of these limitations, we have worked tirelessly over the last year to advocate for needed changes to the system and are pleased to report that just last Thursday the FCC adopted rules strengthening the system for the country. updated rules will increase the maximum length of WEA messages from 90 to 360 characters; require participating wireless providers to support the inclusion of embedded phone numbers and URLs in all WEA alerts, including Amber Alerts, thus enabling the public to click to see a photo or to call authorities; require participating wireless providers to deliver alerts to a more granular geographic area; creating a new class of alerts to convey essential actions to save lives; support transmission of Spanish-language alerts; and make it easier for participants like New York City to test, train

\_

personnel and raise public awareness about the service. Depending on the improvement, wireless carriers have up to 30 months to implement the new rules. These dates, of course, are subject to change based on any appeal to petitions filed by the carriers.

We are pleased with the new rules adopted by the FCC but we're not done advocating for even more change. For example, while the system will now allow us to message in Spanish, it is still not designed to support non-English characters, such as Russian, Chinese or Arabic. Also, cell phone users do not have, and cannot choose, a language preference.

Operationally, if mandated to provide these alerts in more than one language, this means that recipients of these messages could receive an English message and then several minutes later the same recipients will receive a Spanish message. It's also possible that, depending on an individual's coverage, that they may only receive the English or Spanish version and not both. This concerns us because, with the exception of alerts issued by the President, individuals are permitted to opt out of

2.2

2.3

WEA messages and if people receive multiple messages in a language that is not their own they may opt out of the system and not receive future messages. Also, we cannot control which message they receive first and if a message is received first in a language other than English there may be either panic or confusion, or people will potentially ignore a lifesaving message.

Finally, we will continue to advocate that the opt-out option from user cell phones be removed so that there is no question that those targeted to receive an emergency alert will, in fact, receive it. We would welcome the City Council's support as we continue to advocate at the federal level for meaningful improvements to the Wireless Emergency Alert system.

With respect to Section 2A of the proposed bill, which directs us to offer Notify NYC message in seven languages in a disaggregated form via email, telephone, text message and internet-based feed, we, again, support the Council's intent and are confident that we can meet the legislation's obligations provided we are given the necessary

3

1

ی

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

2.3

24

25

resources to ensure the message are crafted correctly and in a timely fashion.

Currently we always have a Public Warning Specialist on duty whose primary mission is to evaluate all of the information coming into Watch Command, our 24/7 operations center, evaluate it against our established action thresholds, craft messages, and send them out to the public. With emergency messaging, time is of the essence, and we currently have an average message issuance time of 7 minutes, with 87.5% of our messages being issued in 10 minutes or less. In order to ensure that not one, but seven messages to different delivery points are sent out within that timeframe, we may need to have two Public Warning Specialists on duty at all times. Financial resources would be needed to support pretranslation of messages, increased vendor costs for sending out messages in multiple languages, and costs associated with an expanded user base.

To be clear, under the paradigm described, we would still be sending out a generalized version of the message. For example, the Spanish version of message about a road closure impacting the FDR Drive would include everything in

2.2

2.3

2 Spanish except the location of the closure, which would be inserted in English.

In order to do true, real-time message translation we would need to have personnel on duty 24 hours a day, 7 days a week who could speak, read and write each of the seven most commonly spoken languages. We feel strongly that such an effort is cost prohibitive and would require, in addition to more than 40 additional employees, an expansion of our existing operating space. That said; we are committed to attempting to recruit bilingual or multilingual staff for future Public Warning Specialist positions which will allow us, where possible, to offer directly translated messages in some languages.

In summary, we support both the intent and content of the legislation that would impact our Notify NYC program and, even without legislation, have already started moving in that direction as both technology and resources permit. Language access to emergency information has been and continues to be of critical importance to the Emergency Management agency. Ready New York -- our flagship emergency preparedness program -- educational material is

•

2.2

available in 13 languages and has been since 2009.

However, we need to be very clear that without

additional personnel and financial resources we will

be unable to meet the bill's requirements.

Before close, I would like to thank the

Council for the collaborative way that this

legislation was developed. We had several

conversations and meetings with Council staff where

we expressed our concerns with the early drafts of

the legislation and through the cooperative efforts

we were able to sit here today and feel that the

legislation before you is reasonable and developed in

a way that will give us an opportunity to make an

already effective program even better.

Finally, permit us to note that we are proud to have built a world-class emergency public notification system that keeps New York City informed and we never stop looking for ways to improve.

Allowing registrants to select their preferred notification language and receive messages in a language that is most comfortable for them is a natural next step to the program and we are eager to work on implementation.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No. So where do you really think that we need the extra staff and why is it so difficult to do then if we're already two-

2.2

2.3

through the numbers, but we'll need to have two
Public Warning Specialists on duty at all times;
right now we have one.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You have a Notify NYC staff on duty 24/7, don't you?

2.3

think that this makes sense, if we think that it

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

would benefit people, if we think it's going to save one life at a certain point in time; then I would think that we have to find a way to do this.

> BEN KRAKAUER: We agree.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Alright. Well how big is your agency; how many people are in your agency?

BEN KRAKAUER: Approximately 180.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: We can't find one or two more people to do something like this? This is what this legislation may call for, but I see this as a possible lifesaver, and I just wanna know why we think there are so many obstacles to it.

BEN KRAKAUER: Well I think that we've made significant progress in sending out messages that are translated already, Mr. Chairman, but we wanna make sure that if we send it out in this disaggregated form -- right now we do it by clicking a link, so a subscriber gets the message, it has the English on top and then if you wanna see that same message in a generalized form in the other languages, you click on a link and you're brought to a website where a generalized form of that message appears. The bill calls for receiving it in disaggregated

form, which we fully support, we think it's 100% the direction to go, but we wanna make sure that we're maintaining the speed at which these messages are going out, which is why we're asking for additional

2.2

2.3

personnel.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Now Notify NYC occasionally issues general messages with an option to click a hyperlink to see other versions, you mentioned; however, the general message is written entirely in English, so some users may not know that there is an option to use translations.

that as a gap; we've been working with our vendor; we expect late this year or early 2017, calendar year 2017, that tagline at the bottom of the messages where it says, to view this message in American Sign Language, Arabic, Bengali, Russian, etc., right now that appears in English; by late this year or early next year that will actually appear in those languages for that tagline.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: And how often are the links clicked? Do you have a percentage? What percentage of times are those links for other languages clicked?

4

5

6

7

8

J

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BEN KRAKAUER: It's been some time since we've looked at the click-through rate, but we can look at that and get back to your office.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I think it would be interesting to know. Under the current system, is there a way to offer at least some of these general messages in other languages? And if so, how could that feature be implemented?

BEN KRAKAUER: So under the current system we are doing what we can do, which is to send out the English message and then link to a webpage that has the American Sign Language and then all the other messages. There is not, at this point, the ability to send it out in any disaggregated form. the way that we would implement the bill, when it passes, and assuming it gets signed into law, is we would work with DoITT to capture at the point of registration the person's language preference, the registrant's language preference; that would be sent to the same synchronization process we use now with our vendor so that when we hit send, people who want the message in English will get the message in English; people who want the message in Spanish will get the message in Spanish, so on and so forth.

J

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So how long would that take to implement the software change?

BEN KRAKAUER: So the vendor's working on it now -- not for us; not for this legislation, but for their other customers -- they anticipate having it done within the year that the Council is requiring the bill be implemented.

me that the only concern we have with this

legislation is personnel, then I have to tell you

that your agency should be resolving that, 'cause
what you're telling me is that your agency and the

Administration support the bill, but there are
administrative issues that have to be addressed. So

I'd like your agency to get back to the Council

staff; if you consider this something that's worthy
and good; you're not asking us to tweak the

legislation, you're asking for more people to do what
you have to do and that becomes an administrative
matter that your agency and the Administration have
to address, because you've already told us that you
think this is a good idea.

BEN KRAKAUER: Yes. So we are having those discussions with OMB and City Hall; we'll

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

continue to have those discussions, but in the interest of transparency, we wanted to let you know what the one obstacle was to successfully implementing the legislation before you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Well I just wanna look up the legislation; there is a time period whenever we do legislation as to the effective date, [background comment] and it would be one year from the date the Mayor signs it... [interpose]

BEN KRAKAUER: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: hopefully. January, the Mayor will be releasing his Preliminary Budget; I'd like to know if this is something your agency is requesting from the Mayor; has your agency requested more personnel in the budget coming up?

BEN KRAKAUER: We have not assembled our January budget ask yet, but our budget office is in communication with OMB about a number of things, including the personnel requirements required under this bill.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yeah, I would hope that your agency takes an opportunity to request that from the Mayor. Councilman Dromm, I'm sure, and others, including myself, will be trying to be of

2	assistance, if that's the case, if you see that this
3	not funded in the City budget; we certainly want to
4	assist you through the Council. But I think this is
5	an objective of the City to increase participation
6	and outreach and therefore, I do think it's something
7	that the Administration should fund. The law that we
8	have before us seems to be a law that the Council
9	would pass and the Mayor would sign; I just think we
10	have some administrative issues to work out. So I'd
11	like you to take that back…
12	BEN KRAKAUER: I certainly will.
13	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: and let them know
14	that it's a priority. Do people ever reach out to
15	Notify NYC in languages other than English through
16	social media? And if so, how does OEM respond?
17	BEN KRAKAUER: I can't think of a case
18	where we have received a tweet or even email in a
19	language other than English.
20	CHAIRPERSON VACCA: You can't think of a
21	case where you have ever received an email or a tweet
22	in another language?

BEN KRAKAUER: Directly to Notify NYC?

No... [crosstalk]

23

am going to adjourn this meeting. [gavel] 1:30 and I thank you so much for your testimony.

I can't think of anything outrageous to say, or do, I

2.3

24

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY	26	
2	[background comments]		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 20, 2016