CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

----- X

September 26, 2016 Start: 01:06 p.m. Recess: 04:06 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

BEFORE:

ELIZABETH S. CROWLEY

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

FERNANDO CABRERA MATHIEU EUGENE PAUL A. VALLONE

RORY I. LANCOUNCIL MEMBERAN

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Mark Peters Commissioner New York City Department of Investigation

Alex Crohn
General Counsel
Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice

Timothy Ferral Deputy Commissioner New York City Department of Corrections

Frank Dolca
Deputy Commissioner
Financial Facility & Fleet Administration
New York City Department of Corrections

Jeff Thamkittikasem Chief of Staff New York City Department of Corrections

Elias Husamudeen President Correction Officers' Benevolent Association

Gregory Levine Executive Vice President JPay

Sarah Kerr Staff Attorney Legal Aid Society

Kelsey De Avila Social Worker Jail Services

Tanya Krupat
Director
Osborne Center for Justice Policy & Practice

# A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Jane Stanicki Hour Children

Vivian Velasquez Resident New York City

Victoria Phillips Advocate Urban Justice Center Mental Health Project

Jennifer Parish Member Jails Action Coalition

Faith Barksdale Member Jails Action Coalition

Kelly Grace Price Jails Action Coalition

Craig Levine
Managing Director for Civil Practice & External
Affairs
Bronx Defenders

[gavel]

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Good afternoon. My name is Elizabeth Crowley. I am the chair of the Fire and Criminal Justice Services Committee here at the Council. Today this committee will vote on four bills related to the Department of Correction. I fully support OMB's bills and firmly believe that the council will soon pass all four of these very important bills. I recently addressed each bill individually and then afterwards we'll take a vote. The first bill, Intro 899A sponsored by Council Member Gibson relates to the use of the nursery at the DOC. By state law the DOC is required to allow any children born while their mothers are in DOC custody to be housed with their mothers. The DOC has established a nursery for this purpose and this bill creates procedural requirements to ensure that the DOC does not deny children entry into the nursery without due process. It also requires regular reporting on the use of the nursery to ensure transparency and oversight on this important issue. Intro 10-14A, the second bill, Intro 10-14A sponsored by Council Member Johnson pertains to the ever increasing number of inmates in DOC custody

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

who have serious mental health issues. The bill requires regular reporting on the number and the rate at which these inmates are released into our city and the number and the rate at which they are re-incarcerated after one year. Intro 10-64A, the third bill sponsored by myself, sponsored by myself relates to the programming that DOC offers inmates. At the depart, as the department continues to roll out an ever increasing array of inmate programming it is crucial that the department and this council be informed of what the programming costs, what it entails and what results it produces. My bill will require regular reporting on these important issues with a comprehensive report that will allow the public and policy makers deep insight into this critical issue. Intro 11-44A, the fourth and final bill sponsored by Council Member Cumbo requires the training and usage of trauma informed care. Trauma informed care is a method of treating victims of trauma that have been developed and promoted by the federal government and used at a variety of context including in correctional facilities with great success. This bill would require the DOC to train appropriate employees in trauma informed care to

hear a number of pieces of legislation. Good

25

to hearing how these measures would impact the

25

efficiency transparency and fairness of every day

Department of Correction operations. I'd like to

thank the public advocate who was here today to

talk about her bill and all my colleagues who have

sponsored this legislation now. I'd like to

7 recognize our public advocate who is going to true

8 champion our criminal justice reform.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: I want to thank the Chair Council Member Crowley for all of her great work and her leadership in this area and her staff for holding this hearing on these critical pieces of legislation. It's also nice to see the Department of Investigation Commission of Mark Peters, thank you for being here as well. More than half of the inmates detained in our jails are there because they and their families cannot afford even a low bail, up to \$3,000. When someone is detained, especially when the reason for the detention is lack of money the system should not further penalize their family and friends by allowing a large cooperation to charge up to 50 percent of the fees on any money sent to them but it's basically unconscionable. And some of these corporations are allowed to put their kiosk like ATMs at numerous

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

locations on Ryker's and in the borough facilities. And DOCs even directs people on the DOC website, straight to the corporate websites... And the corporations do not pay a dime for this opportunity to exploit the family and family members of pretrial detainees. Individuals who are innocent until proven guilty. And so consider this the money, friends and families in the credit card transaction is doubly taxed. First there are fees to the credit card company and then on top of that fees to JPay or Western Union with the deposit transaction. And I just recently learned as a result of... conversation with a media outlet that some of these corporations don't even have their licenses unfortunately have not been renewed. And unfortunately the city continues to do business with some of these companies. So let's sa6y mom sends her, mom or dad sends their son or daughter 50 dollars so that they can put money on the phones so that they can engage in conversations with their families. The reality is if she puts \$50 on her, on her son or daughter's commissary account. She is charged an excessive fee for doing that. And DOCs takes a surcharge of \$25 from inmate accounts every

1 2 time an inmate is sent to solitary. Inmates are 3 already paying high prices to use the phone and to 4 buy basic amenities from the commissary and 5 corporations should not be skimming off the top before the detainees even get the money from mom or 6 dad. State law has capped these fees at \$5 but there has been no enforcement of that law. In fact, 8 it's actually ignored by the Department of Corrections. And we again informed DOCs that this 10 11 was a problem and unfortunately not much has been done if anything. And so a \$5 fee is basically too 12 13 much when people are depositing \$10 or \$25 or \$50. 14 And that is why my bill does not allow fees any 15 larger than 1% of the total amount of the deposit 16 and never more than \$5. State law already requires DOCs to keep inmates' money in a trust in inmate 17 18 accounts which they do. In fact, DOC already has an 19 elaborate system in place to handle deposits and 20 withdrawals from inmate accounts internally. And they do this because state law requires it. The 21 contracts with kiosks and websites are an 2.2 2.3 additional and necessary hurdle, an extra portal to go through for deposits preventing people from 24

accessing the existing DOC system. Deposits used to

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

be three. Families would mail in a money order. And DOCs own website says it used to be free but that JPay improved things. And I ask the question or begs the question improved for whom. This really should be free again. The DOCs own director of, directive says that they will accept money by mail for free. You should be able to take money to the jail for free. And the city should and could sent up, set up a web portal where deposits could be made for free is an unnecessary gift to a private corporation. It may well violate the gift clause of the New York state constitution and I have asked our commissioner to investigate why the contract happened and why DOC continues this practice. The bill we are considering here today particularly of the speaker's bill would basically prevent the city from profiting off of mass incarceration. As a result of this activity, and so I thank the chair, I thank all of my colleagues in government. I thank the Commission of DOI and I thank all of you for being here today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you again to our public advocate for introducing an important bill today and her advocacy all together on

2.2

2.3

criminal justice reform. First we're going to hear from the commissioner of the Department of Investigation, Commissioner Peters, and, and discuss mainly the DOI inspector general bill. And then we will hear from DOC and discuss the DOI bill as well as all the other bills on the agenda. So I thank the commissioner for being here today and before you begin we have to go through the formal procedure affirming you to tell the truth. So if you don't mind if you could raise your right hands and answer the question. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth

in your testimony before this committee and to

respond honestly to council member's questions?

Thank you. Please begin your testimony.

afternoon Chairperson Crowley, Public Advocate

James, and members of the Committee on Fire and

Criminal Justice. I'm Mark Peters Commissioner of

the New York City Department of Investigation.

Thank you for inviting me here to comment on city

council's proposed bill; Intro 1228A, a local law

to amend the New York City charter in relation to

investigating, reviewing, studying, and auditing of

empowered to make any study or investigation which
in his opinion may be in the best interest of the
city including but not limited to investigations of

of corruption, waste, fraud, and abuse in the

city's jails. Chapter 34 of the city charter states

that the DOI Commissioner quote is authorized and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

18

24

the affairs, functions, accounts, methods,

19 personnel, or efficiency of any agency close quote.

20 Executive Order 16 endorsed by every mayor since Ed

21 Koch grants DOI quote unrestricted access close

quote, to city documents and employees to carry out

23 these investigations. These broad provisions

establish and mandate DOI's role as the independent

25 | inspector general for all city agencies in

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

operation. In the context of our oversight of the Department of Correction the work of DOI's DOC IG unit has already led to the arrest of 34 DOC staff since 2014, nearly two dozen staff disciplined, and more than three dozen inmates arrested, all on various charges including assault and smuggling contraband. Those arrests include one correction officer who smuggle seven scalpel blades in to be used as weapons. And most recently a correction officer who sexually assaulted a female inmate. Several more arrests are expected by year end. Under the current law our work looks at both broad systemic issues and individual criminal cases spanning use of force and violence, sexual assault, and contraband. Where appropriate we should report which detail our findings and issue specific recommendations to the Department of Correction for further action reform. Since 2014 we've issued three such reports which have led to implementation of reforms including improves recruitment procedures, the introduction of drug sniffing dogs and new enhanced security screening procedures. Once again I appreciate and support the council's intent to focus energy and intention on the issue

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of jail safety and human rights. I also appreciate the council's confidence in DOI's work to date and the role as the city's an independent inspector general that has led the council to ask DOI to carry out further work on these issues. My understanding of the intent and purpose of this bill is not to affect or be duplicative of the current robust work of DOI in our oversight of the Department of Correction. The work DOI undertakes to comply with 1228A will not impede or interfere with DOI's ongoing investigatory functions and will produce reports of a type separate and apart from that work with the work being done by others under, under the Nunez Settlement. That is to say the reporting requirements stipulating the bill applied to the new work the office will undertake pursuant to the bill. This new unit must be fully funded and supported by both the mayor and the city council in order to carry out its requirements. And the work contemplated by the bill cannot begin until such funding occurs. In order to accommodate the council's directive to produce system wide reports and studies on an ongoing basis in addition to an annual report DOI would require an additional 25

16

1

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 1
2	COMMISSIONER PETERS: That would
3	obviously be for additional investigations so that
4	you can then have the report.
5	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay. And now can
6	you explain how your office works with the Nunez
7	case.
8	COMMISSIONER PETERS: Our office so the
9	Nunez case is separate from the work that our
10	office does. There is a Nunez monitor. We,
11	obviously I do not represent the city in a legal
12	capacity and the settlement in Nunez involves the
13	Department of Corrections. So I can't really talk
14	about Nunez. That's something you would need to
15	talk to either DOC about or the Corporation
16	Council's Office about.
17	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay.
18	COMMISSIONER PETERS:separate
19	settlement.
20	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So your work and
21	the monitor's work doesn't get in the way of one
22	another?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: No, absolutely

24 not.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Your paths don't 3 cross?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: We have had no, we have had no problems working with one another.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Have you had cases referred to you by the monitor?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: We have obviously had a number of conversations with the monitor there has been an exchange of information in both directions. I obviously can't go into the specifics of any specific cases that have been referred. When allegations were made to department, to your determent or to the department of correction about one of their staff members doing something, that it's illegal or infringes upon the rights of an inmate. When do you as an agency decide to get involved.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Sure. That's a great question. So we receive roughly 3,000 complaints a year from various sources including from DOC ID. The way that it works is we will take a preliminary look at all of those complaints. We will then make a preliminary look at all of those complaints. We will then make a preliminary

2.2

2.3

determination as to whether we think there is criminal activity which we need to investigate or whether there is noncriminal activity that may need to be dealt with that we still need to investigate or whether there is noncriminal activity that could be handled by DOCs own internal group where we believe there is criminal activity we will inform DOC that we are going to be doing that investigation we will then handle the investigation where we believe that there's not criminal activity that requires our intervention. We will then so inform DOC and they can do their own internal investigation.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Like to recognize Council Member Johnson with questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you Chair Crowley. I don't have a question. I just want to thank Commissioner Peters and you know all the hard work that's been done on Ryker's Island. This administration inherited a total mess and the amount of work that's gone in both on the DOC side where there's still a lot more work to be done but just generally the administration putting forward 10s if not 100s of millions of dollars to try to

2.2

2.3

implement some of these changes whether it be reducing solitary confinement, not letting contraband get into the island and actually setting up situations to ensure that there would be strict protocols. There's been an enormous amount done and this is an issue that most of the public doesn't look at because it's a jail system that I just wanted to say thank you for the work that DOI has done in trying to implement some of these really important changes. And I hope we pass this bill and fund your office in the way it's needed to continue these important investigations.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Thank, thank you very much. I really appreciate on, on behalf of my staff who work incredibly hard at this. I really appreciate the kind words. And I also want to say that yes we understand that there is still much work to be done and we are committed to doing it.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you Council Member Johnson. Commissioner, what is your current staff level at DOI for Department of Corrections.

COMMISSSIONER: For the Department of Corrections... We have a significant number of staff as we do not release the exact number of staff of

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE currently working there for various security

2.2

2.3

reasons.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So the… you wouldn't be able to tell us where the, the additional 25 staff would double your department or…

COMMISSIONER PETERS: It would not, it would not double our department. Our department is bigger than that. But we don't go into details much beyond that for a variety of investigative reasons.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And I read through some of your reports and sometimes the time it takes to investigate could be over a year. When they're serious criminal complaints does your office always get involved?

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Yes, when there is serious criminal complaints involving DOC staff. We always get involved. There have been times and I cannot go into details or names for obvious reasons where we have said to DOC at the outset of an investigation that we have concerns about a particular officer and have asked that that officer and that officer has ended up on modified duties so they are away from inmates. Obviously

2 investigations, especially the kind of large

3 independent systemic investigations that we have

4 done take a long time but where we believe there is

5 a present danger to an inmate or inmates we will

6 alert and where it is possible to do so we will

7 alert DOCs so that they can modify the relevant

8 officers so we don't continue to have a dangerous

9 situation.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay. No I, I did those... that sometimes it takes over a year and all the while we're still paying for the staff so I hope that with the passage of this bill we could expedite the investigations. And especially since the staff is still getting paid full salary. I, I understand and I, and I understand that I deeply sympathize with your point, it is frustrating to us as well. But at the same time it's important that we put together cases that are airtight. Because the worst thing to do is to jump the gun publicly too soon. But I... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I, I agree. I agree with you completely. You know when there is an allegation of rape or serious or criminal assaults or any type of criminal activity it is

imperative that we remove the staff from working with inmates and when we... need to make sure that DOCs are always following those rules because in hearings before they have not had specific numbers in terms of those who've been accused of rape. They, they moved them out of areas where they worked with women and then they moved these officers to work with men. So I just want to make sure that your office and the Department of Correction when working together and they make agreements that they're going to adhere to policy changes that they actually follow what they agree to. And in closing because I have no further questions just when it comes to the TSA type of security screening procedures that they agreed to two years ago they never implemented those security procedures and even just this month the mayor announced earlier in September that they're finally going to implement these procedures where they have these high tech screening. So, so it's important to note though... Department of Corrections says they're going to do something they don't necessarily follow their commitment through.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER PETERS: I, I would note that we have a new agreement with the Department of 3 Corrections under which we now have a specialized 4 unit that takes a first look at use of force 5 allegations and we have committed to the Department 6 7 of Correction and we have done so that we would give them within 30 days we will let them know 8 whether the particular allegation is one that we've decided needs further investigation by DOI because 10 it could be criminal or whether it's one that could 11 12 be sent back to the disciplinary process which 13 allows them to... where, in cases where the officer has not committed a criminal act but where the 14 15 officer does need discipline they... DOC can move 16 more quickly on those because we give the 17 notification.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: That is good news.

I appreciate your commitment to reform on Ryker's

Island within our city jails. I thank you for being here today and for your testimony. I have no further questions.

COMMISSIONER PETERS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Next we'll invite the Department of Correction up to testify. I... I...

2.2

2.3

2 if the Department could come up at the same time 3 every representative of the Department of 4 Correction is here today to testify. I think we 5 have enough seats. And also the Mayor's Office of

Criminal Justice Alex Chron.

ALEX CROHN: Good afternoon Chair

Crowley and the Public Advocate. My name is Alex

Crohn and I'm the General Counsel of the Mayor's

Office of Criminal Justice. Thank you for the

opportunity to testify today... and Molly Cohen

associate counsels from my office are here to

answer any questions that may arise.

begin your testimony I just want to make sure that the committee has a copy of your written testimony. I haven't received it yet. Thank you. Okay, sorry for the interruption. But what, what we have to swear you in as well and the whole panel, anyone who is going to speak or testify. So if you could all raise your right hands and answer the question. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee and to respond honestly to council member's questions? Thank you.

1

25

2 ALEX CROHN: The Mayor's Office of 3 Criminal Justice advises the mayor on public safety 4 strategy and together with partners inside and 5 outside government develops and implements policies aimed at reducing crime, reducing unnecessary 6 arrests and incarceration, promoting fairness and building strong and safe neighborhoods. Two of the 8 issues we are here to discuss today, a bill to ensure individuals in DOC custody are transported 10 11 to all court appearances regardless of bail status 12 and a bill that will eliminate the three percent fee taken from individuals' bail when they plead or 13 14 are found guilty should be seen in New York City's 15 larger context. New York City's use of jail has 16 declined precipitously in the last several decades. 17 While jail and prison population increased 11 18 percent between 1996 and 2013 in the rest of the 19 country. New York City's jail population fell by 53 20 percent. ...enforcement has also reduced 21 dramatically. The number of summonses issued 2.2 citywide has dropped 34 percent since 2009 for 2.3 example. This sharp reduction has happened alongside a 60 percent decline in major crime. You 24

need proof that jurisdictions can both be safer and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

reduce reliance on jail. The current challenge and one that the mayor's office has confronted head-on is to solve the difficult system problems that remain. Working to solve these problems will allow New York City both to continue to be the safest big city in the country and to reduce unnecessary detention even further. Close coordination between DOC and the state core system is critical to ensure that people who do go to jail during the penancy [phonetic] of their cases do not remain their unnecessarily. Justice Reboot, a system wide initiative, to reduce case delay launched by the city and state courts in April 2015 has proven to be an effective vehicle for coordinating across agencies and achieving significant system gains. Every part of the criminal justice system is necessary to produce change. To reduce case delay in an enduring way it is critical that we recognize the judges, prosecutors, defense lawyers, witnesses, corrections officers, juries, and grand juries of citizens all have a role in determining how quickly or not a case will move. Few of these entities answer to the same boss. The continual challenge is cutting case delay then will be

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ensuring that representatives from each part of the system continue to see value in working together to improve case processing times despite sometimes differing political interests competing budget priorities and the nature of an adversarial system. Critically then justice reboot is built around the recognition that efforts to reduce case delay require the engagements of all the district attorneys, the defense bar, as well as mayoral agencies among others. To date the city has addressed this issue to productive regular oversight and accountability meetings of a committee representing each part of the system with reform ideas developed in partnership. The committee met initially in mid-April of 2015 and continues to meet regular, to review progress towards goals and make implementation decisions on the borough teams recommendations. The administration supports the goals of Intro 12-60 ensuring individuals arrive for all their court appearances regardless of their bail status is a key element of ensuring that cases are resolved without unnecessary delay. As such the administration has already begun discussions with

1

the Office of Court Administration and in order to 2 3 determine what can be done to achieve the goals of Intro 12-60. However, our office has concerns about 4 any legislation that would mandate specific forms of coordination with the state court system, an 6 7 entity that is not within the city's control. Given both this constraint as well as the success we have 8 seen using the Justice Reboot convening model we propose that the aims of Intro 12-60 be achieved 10 11 through non-legislative means. Last October the 12 city launched the bail lab aimed at reducing 13 reliance on money bail and promoting public safety. 14 The bail lab builds upon New York City's history of 15 leading the nation in pretrial justice reform. Currently New York City is a national leader in the 16 17 percentage of defendants who wait for trail at home without condition, like supervision or money bail. 18 19 Even though New York City sets bail amounts that 20 are... lower than a national average. Only 10 percent 21 of people are able to pay that bail at arraignment. Another 30 percent make bail after arraignment, 2.2 2.3 most within a week. This suggests that these defendants may be able to come up with the money to 24 pay bail but that inefficiencies in the bail 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

payment process could be leading to delays that result in unnecessary time behind bars. To identify bottlenecks in the bail payment process the city partnered with a Center for Court Innovation to comprehensively map physical and procedural obstacles to paying bail. Last may the city announced several new tools that will make easier for defendants to post bail. The resources developed as part of the bail lab include ATMs and all of New York City's criminal courthouses and the easy to understand guide to paying bail that describes how to send money to inmates and obtain a bail refund. Since then the city has made significant gains in improving the bail payment process. The city now plans to create a remote bail payment system accessible by internet, phone, and kiosk. This system will allow family and friends to bail out individuals without making the lengthy and burdensome trip to DOC facilities. It will reduce unnecessary incarceration. The city has also created an alert to notify defense attorneys and court staff when the defendant has the potential to be detained solely on dollar bail which is an administrative hold that the court system uses in

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

order to ensure defendants receive credit for the time they are detained on multiple cases. This notificati9on will ensure that court personnel and defense attorneys are aware of the hold and that the dollar bail can be posted before an individual is needlessly detained. In addition to these reforms the city enthusiastically supports eliminating the three percent fee taken from an individual's bail when they plead quilty or are found quilty and... the administration and the council have worked together to develop the bill we are discussing today. The administration therefore is in favor of Intro 12-61 as it furthers the city's bail payment reforms. We appreciate the city council's interest and look forward to working, continuing to work together.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: When DOC is ready please begin your testimony.

Mark Viverito, Chair Crowley, and members of the Fire and Criminal Justice Services Committee. My name is Timothy Farrel. I'm the Deputy

Commissioner... with the New York City Department of Correction. I'm here to speak about the proposed

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

introduction bill 12-62 which would prohibit the department from producing inmates to court appearances in departmental uniforms in all cases. The department not only recognizes the right of all defendants to a fair trial, it appreciates defendants concerns that appearing in a jail uniform may negatively influence the outcome of a criminal jury trial. We're also cognoscente of the concern that inmates release directly from court wearing a correctional uniform can be stigmatized. For all jury appearances including appearances before grand jury, trial appearances, sentencing, jury selections, inmates are provided with the opportunity to wear personal clothing from their property. Recently the department implemented an institutional uniform plan. As part of that plan we accounted for situations that necessitated the inmates access to personal clothing. To that end the department operationalized procedures for the retrieval of inmates clothing in the facility prior to court production. We began establishing clothes boxes, or clothing storage within each court facility to enable inmates in uniforms being

released directly from court the ability to change

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

into street clothing. Currently we are making changes to better supply and improve the clothing for this purpose. An inmate may also elect to simply leave the court in their institution uniform. But it's important to note that in selecting the uniform designs the agency contemplated that an inmate may in fact leave our custody in the uninform so we chose a generic hospital scrub style uniform of a tan/beige color with no correctional identifiers of any kind. The department's decision to transition into the institutional uniform plan was aligned with our overall 14-point anti-violence agenda. More specifically it was based on a fundamental understanding that there was a correlation between an inmate's attire and the entry and concealment of contraband within our facilities. Contraband, particularly weapon contraband, is an ongoing threat to the safety of staff, inmates, volunteers, and visitors alike. Utilization of uniforms has proven successful in reducing violence and promoting safety. Now upon entering the Department of Correction custody all individuals are provided with uniforms for the duration of their

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

incarceration. As I previously stated uniforms resemble medical scrubs and contain no departmental identifiers. These uniforms facilitate search procedures as our officers are familiar with the standard design and better able to assess the limited locations where an item could be concealed where as in contrast civilian attire may have multiple pockets or hidden compartments that may be used to hide contraband. The adoption of uniforms has also eliminated the need for inmates to receive clothing which serve to further limit an avenue to introduce contraband within our correctional facilities. The use of the uniforms is also not meant as a means of eliminating the entry of contraband it's also a tool in its discovery. In 2016 in comparison to last year there have been 63 percent increase in contraband fines. A number of factors have contributed to this increase. Uniforms are just one of those factors. The establishment of the uniform system further enhances facility safety and security by providing immediate visual identification from our staff to determine who is an inmate and who may be a civilian or non-uninform person in the area. As I stated earlier coupled

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2 with the adoption of the uniform plan the department... procedures for the provision of 3 4 civilian clothing for applicable court appearances. 5 The department has a system for retrieving personal clothing. Every evening in preparation for the 6 7 following day's court production my office provides each facility with a list of inmates who must be 8 produced to court the next day with a notation for those inmates who must be offered personal 10 11 clothing. The inmate's personal clothing is kept in 12 sealed property bags within property storage units 13 at the facility. Uniform staff must pull the bags 14 for each inmate who will be offered this personal 15 clothing. When the inmates are being produced for 16 court in the morning they are asked if they would 17 like to wear their personal clothing. For inmates 18 who wish to wear their personal clothing uninform 19 staff open the sealed property bags, review the 20 contents with the inmate to ensure that the 21 contents match the bag's inventory receipt, allow

23 inventory the bag with a new receipt and reseal it.

the inmate to remove the needed clothing, re-

2.2

24

25

This process is repeated when the inmate returns at

the end of the day and the clothing is returned to

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the bag. Currently on average 70 to 100 inmates are offered the opportunity to wear civilian clothing at court appearances on any given day. The clothing retrieval protocols I just described are conducted for each of these inmates in its totality is a time consuming but essential practice. To provide further context on an average day approximately 1,000 inmates are transported to court for a range of court appearances. Jury appearances represent a small percentage, approximately three to five percent. DOC current operational practices distinguishes between routine court appearances such as scheduled motions that don't involve appearing before a jury versus those that may including appearances before grand juries, trial appearances, jury selection, and sentencing. Enactment of this legislation as currently drafted would require the department to complete this process on a daily basis for an estimated additional 900 to 1,000 inmates who will not be appearing before a jury. We share the council's interest in ensuring that all inmates receive a fair trial and have actively instituted necessary safeguards the department must also balance safety

2.2

2.3

have.

and security needs while optimizing available resources. As currently drafted this legislation would be unduly burdensome and poach... potentially detrimental to the court production process. We welcome the opportunity to continue our dialogue with the council towards addressing the stated concerns within an operationally feasible construct. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I'm happy to answer any questions you may

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you...

Department of Correction and the Mayor's Office of

Criminal Justice. Okay I understand now. [crosstalk] one more testifying from DOC.

FRANK DOKA: Good afternoon Speaker Mark
Viverito, Chair Crowley and members of the, and
Public Advocate James and members of the Fire and
Criminal Justice Service Committee. I am Frank
Doka, Deputy Commissioner of the Financial Facility
and Fleet Administration at the New York City
Department of Correction. I am here today to speak
about the proposed Intro 11-52 which will limit the
fee that money transfer agents would be permitted
to charge customers who use their services to

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

transfer funds into an inmates personal account who is in DOC custody. Since 2007 the department has had a licensed agreement cured via request for expression of interest solicitation. With Western Union and JPay to provide money transfer services. The services provided by these vendors expand the options available to the public for making deposits into an inmate account to include online by phone kiosk or walk-in service at the vendor's establishment. Prior to 2007 the only avenues available to deposit money into an inmates account or to travel to one of the borough jail facilities or to the cash, central cashier's office on Ryker's Island located in the visit center or to send a money order via US Mail. These options are still available and there is no service fee. I would like to take a moment to briefly describe the process and how it works. Each inmate in the department's custody is provided a personal account to use for all their financial transactions. Family and friends may deposit money into an inmates account and inmates may transfer funds out. The addition of the service provided by JPay and Western Union...

family and friends to make deposits in a manner

1 that is simple, convenient, and saves time 2 3 regardless of where they may reside. These options eliminate the need to travel to a DOC facility 4 5 solely for the purpose of making the deposit. Family and friends can select from any one of the 6 following options. By phone, deposits can be made by calling the participating money transfer agent's 8 toll free numbers and using a credit or debit card to deposit the chosen amount. The city's 3-11 10 service maintains contact information for money 11 12 transfer agents which is given to callers as 13 needed. Via the internet money transfers can be 14 made by going directly to a transfer agent's 15 website. Additionally, DOC's website contains a link to a transfer's agent's website. A credit or 16 17 debit card could be used to complete the 18 transaction. Walk ins at money transfer agent 19 offices, deposits can be made in person at any

money transfer agent office by using a debit or credit card or cash. By kiosk; located at DOC cashier offices allows for the deposit to be made

20

21

2.2

24

2.3 by credit, debit card, or cash. At a DOC facility

cash deposits can be made free of charge at the

25 cashier window regardless of whether the inmate is

conducted a review to compare internet and phone

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

transaction fees charged by transfer agents for deposits made into an inmate's account in DOC custody to fees charged to inmates in other correctional facilities. The review... to reveal that the rates DOC vendors charge are comparable and in some instances lower than the fees charge at other correctional institutions. If intro 11-52 limits the fee these vendors can charge to one percent or a maximum of five dollars per deposit based on the average amount and number of deposits made in fiscal year 2016 the estimated annual revenue collected by the vendors would be approximately \$147,000. In revenue lost to the vendor of 93 percent. Since there is a \$300 cap per deposit on most of these services the five-dollar maximum fee will most likely never be reached. This legislation would not have a fiscal impact on the department as the department does not collect any deposit fees. However, it may have a negative effect on the money transfers agents who may determine that there is no financial benefit in providing this service. The most significant consequence of the bill would be to the inmate and the inmate's family and friends who no longer be afforded the convenience of

1

12

14

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 remotely transferring funds. Family members or 3 friends who want to make a deposit would be required to travel to a DOC facility in person or 4 5 send a money order by mail. This option would be especially difficult and costly for those 6 individuals living out of the city or out of the 8 state. We believe that the department's current deposit system is in line with the council's position in ensuring that family and friends have 10 11 options and convenience in transferring funds into

13 legislation as drafted could undermine the positive

individuals in DOC custody. The current proposed

changes established since 2007. We welcome the

15 opportunity to continue to dialogue with the goal

16 of maintaining the current options and conveniences

while addressing council's core concerns. Thank you

18 for the opportunity to testify. I am happy to

19 answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank the

Department of Correction and criminal justice

coordinators office for testifying today. We're

briefly going to go back to the committee vote

before we continue hearing this new legislation.

I'd like to ask the committee clerk to call on

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE council member Eugene for votes that we took

3 | earlier.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE CLERK DESTEFANO: Committee on Fire and Criminal Justice Services. Continuation of the roll call. Council Member Eugene.

 $\label{eq:council_member_eugene} \mbox{Council MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you Madam} \\ \mbox{Chair and I vote yes at all.}$ 

COMMITTEE CLERK DESTEFANO: Vote now stands at five, five in the affirmative, zero in the negative and no abstentions.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I'd like to now call on the speaker of the city council whose shown outstanding leadership on criminal justice reform and who has four of the bills that we are hearing today from the inspector general to transporting inmates in multiple cases to court appearances, to the waiver of fees in the collection of cash bail and the circumstances in which inmates are produced in civilian clothing. I recognized the speaker of the council Melissa Mark Viverito.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: I want to thank
you Chair Crowley for, for the, convening and I
know that opening remarks have been made. I want to
thank you for your leadership on all these issues

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

as well. You've been a strong voice. And so I'm just going to make a couple of comments regarding the bills that I'm sponsoring. So you know obviously it's clear to all of us in the city that long term systemic changes at Ryker's Island are necessary. That's why the administration has put forward its plan. That is why I've also... the establishment of an independent commission to create a blueprint of the long-term reform in our city's jails so that one day we may make the dream of closing Ryker's a reality. Along the same lines I introduced proposed intro 120, 1228 to establish a permanent independent investigatory body to monitor the DOC and ensured the proper treatment of inmates. The goal of this bill is to compliment the department of investigation's existing work with the department of corrections by specifically focusing the DOI's attention on the treatment of inmates. These issues are already being addressed to some extent by the court monitor in the Nunez case. But that solution is temporary and only addresses a discreet number of issues. The solution I'm proposing is permanent and addresses all issues related to the treatment of inmates in our city's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

jails. And to keep our city, keep our eyes on longterm systemic changes at the DOC we can also make progress in the short term by addressing a number of issues that may seem small but that do add up. That is why the other three bills that I'm sponsoring here today, and that is what... that's what will happen with the three bills that I'm sponsoring today. The issue we're addressing in Intro 12-60 is a simple one. If an inmate has multiple criminal cases he or she should be brought to all their court dates even if they are not technically incarcerated on all of them. Right now this is not happening and it is causing needless delay in case processing and the needless work by our district attorneys, defense attorneys and judges. It also causes inmates to lose out on receiving credit for the time they are actually incarcerated. Intro 12-60 is a simple common sense solution to these problems and I'm proud to sponsor this bill. Also proud of Intro 12-61 which is another simple fix to a simple problem. Right now friends and family who post cash bail for a defendant or charge a three percent fee even if the defendant makes all court dates. If the defendant

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is convicted of any offense. This fee is charged even if the person is convicted of a non-criminal offense such as disorderly conduct or a traffic infraction. The purpose of bail is to ensure a defendant's appearance in court and the city should not be in the business of taxing the friends and family of criminal defendants, the clear majority of whom are indigent. Intro 12-61 will instruct the Department of Finance to address this issue which I trust will result in our city returning 100 percent of the cash bail we collect. And finally I'm not sponsoring Intro 12-62 which addresses a court issue of fairness in our criminal justice system. Those too poor to afford bail should not be treated any differently than those with more financial means. Everyone charged with a crime is entitled to the constitutional right to be presumed innocent yet reports indicate that based on a recent DOC policy change to house all pretrial detainees in uniforms some people who are supposed be presumed innocent are forced to testify in front of the grand jury in a DOC uniform. And so this is simply just not acceptable. The DOC has tried to address this and I appreciate those efforts but their

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 1 47 solutions so far have been insufficient. We should 2 3 not be willing to accept any instance of a defendant being prejudiced because of their 4 financial status. ...would be willing to accept defendants being released from custody onto the 6 streets of our city with nothing but a DOC uniform on their back. Yet despite the department's efforts 8 reports indicate that this too is happening far too regularly and it is unacceptable. This is a 10 11 humanitarian issue, especially in the winter time. 12 For decades the DOC brought inmates to court 13 appearances in their street clothes and my bill 14 calls for nothing more than a return to that 15 practice. So I look forward to hearing from all of 16 you. You've testified. I will turn it back to Chair 17 Crowley and we can I guess begin by asking 18 questions. 19 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I'm going to ask a 20 few questions on how the Department of Correction feels about the Inspector General bill. So 21 2.2 generally does DOC support the bill?

TIMOTHY FARREL: As it's DOI we're not

prepared to answer that.

2.3

^

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TIMOTHY FARREL: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So in the testimony that the Department of Investigation Commissioner Peters gave he spoke about how years ago DOC agreed to measures and changes and practices. But information I have today to more than two years later is that you're still not doing these practices such as the level of security screening. Can you at least answer those questions. Have you implemented that level of screening that the DOI Commissioner said the DOC was going to do over two years ago? Or when you have a serious criminal complaint against one of your correction officers, the DOI commissioner said that that particular officer would be removed from working with inmates. I've heard different testimony in prior hearings. Are you able to answer that whether DOC adheres to that strict policy that the DOI says is practiced?

TIMOTHY FARREL: I'm not prepared to answer that now.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So you're not going to prepare... you're not going to answer any questions on DOI?

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 49
2	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay? Okay. Can
3	you tell me how many of your inmates are housed in
4	uniforms? Like
5	TIMOTHY FARREL: All of them.
6	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:what percent
7	TIMOTHY FARREL: All of them.
8	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Every single one?
9	TIMOTHY FARREL: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And so now how
11	many of them are able to change when they go to the
12	court?
13	TIMOTHY FARREL: It depends on their
14	status. If they're on trial a jury selection, grand
15	jury, anything basically appearing before a public
16	entity to deal with their adjudication they are
17	offered the chance to change into street attire
18	from their property bags or have clothing dropped
19	off for them so they can appear before them.
20	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So they're never
21	denied the opportunity to have street clothing?
22	TIMOTHY FARREL: If they're in that

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: A detainee status?

24

23

status, correct.

2.2

2.3

2 TIMOTHY FARREL: No, appearing before a 3 jury or some type of jury selection, jury trial.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But there are situations when they're not changing into street clothing?

TIMOTHY FARREL: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Well, why is that happening?

TIMOTHY FARREL: Those are appearances that appear before a judge through basic motions that would result in a thousand inmates each day being changed into street clothing and then when they return back into their institutional uniform. It would be a operational challenge and could have some impact on our court production numbers as well because of the volume and the activity that would have to take place both with identifying pulling the clothing, having it available, allowing the inmate to change, transporting to court, returning the inmate to the facility, changing them back into the institutional uniform, re-inventorying and storing the property.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Let me... if I can just interject something.

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Yes.

2.2

2.3

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: I just want to ask a couple of clarifying questions on the issue of the, of the, the grand jury in particular.

TIMOTHY FARREL: Mm-hmm.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Right? Because you're claiming that DOC, that not, that you don't do that for grand jury appearances, right, that you don't bring them in the uniforms.

TIMOTHY FARREL: Right.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: But a lot of times OCA does not calendar cases for grand jury appearances because a lot of times they operate in secret. So can you explain how the DOC determines when an inmate has a grand jury appearance if that's the normal practice, is that, a lot of times you don't know when that's going to be...

usually, the court communicates with staff within my office indicating if there's a jury, jury selection, or some other type of special status because what we do is we, we get that information because we prioritize the transportation methods for the inmates so they're at court what we call

the priority one transports. They're on the first busses out because of the status of the case. So what the court does is they will either fax or email or scan notification that a particular person needs to appear for that particular status. And what we do is we communicate that information via written documentation to the facilities instructing them that the defendant is to appear and be offered civilian attire and that they're included on the priority one transportation team.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: I mean we have, you know we have... Have you spoken to some of the legal groups that represent some of these detainees? Because a lot of them are expressing concerns and have actually documented cases in which they are being brought in in the uniforms.

TIMOTHY FARREL: There are situations where we need to improve upon things but when we are notified we remediate them as soon as possible. But the other thing is sometimes we offer the clothing and the defendant chooses not to... they don't want to wear their personal clothing and they go in their tans. But we can always improve and we

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE are aware of that and we make concerted efforts to try and do that.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: So what, you know to me to, to be honest instead of having a standard policy in which you are bringing everyone in their street clothes what you're delineating about how you determine which ones and what to do and what, it just seems much more complicated than just reverting back to the policy of just having everyone appear in their street clothes. It seems like what you've created is a little bit more complicated administratively from the way you're describing it in terms of all the steps taken. What was the primary reason and rational as to why DOC changed the policy it was, it was, that was in place which is that everybody comes in with their street clothes to, to now with the uniforms?

TIMOTHY FARREL: The agency...

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: I don't, you know just the original rational.

TIMOTHY FARREL: Yeah okay. Basically for...

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Yep.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

TIMOTHY FARREL: ...visual identifiers and 2 3 the detection of contraband and to impede upon contraband introduction to facilities.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Okay so now going to the issue of contraband, that's one of the things that you're saying in your testimony, one of the things that you claim, right, it prevents smuggling of contraband, can you break down for us exactly where there have been issues of contraband when it comes to transporting the detainees to their court dates?

TIMOTHY FARREL: With the uniform...

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Is that what primarily the contraband issue comes in or is it in another way?

TIMOTHY FARREL: That's... contraband coming in is, that is one of the ways. I'm not going to say it's the only way. I'm not going to say it's the... [cross-talk]

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: ...primary reason? The primary way?

TIMOTHY FARREL: I don't, I don't, I do not have the statistics to say whether it's the primary or secondary...

2.2

2.3

would think you would have that if that's the reason why you're saying that you changed the policy, that you would have that data. I mean you're saying the reason that you are changing the policy to uniforms is because of contraband then you should be able to prove to us that the reason by, what the numbers are when it comes to the transporting of the inmates in their street clothes versus uniforms and you're telling me you don't have that information.

TIMOTHY FARREL: I don't have it with me but I can look at getting it for you.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: So in the past when all inmates were brought to court in street clothes, right, before you changed the policy, how many instances were there of inmates smuggling contraband from court appearances? Is that data you can provide to us? If not now do you have that data?

TIMOTHY FARREL: I can research that.

SPEAKER MARK-VIVERITO: Because that is important. I mean I think we would want to see that information. So... because that, again going back

that's the reason you're saying that the policy was changed. So we would like to, to look at that. We ... I still believe obviously in, in this bill. It's my bill and I believe in importance of everyone being treated equally that is the reason why we proposed a bail fund to begin with, that people that are too poor to pay should not have to sit extra days in Ryker's as opposed to those that can, same policy that someone who does, is in Ryker's and can't pay bail... shouldn't be presented in their... in a uniform versus those that are outside waiting their trial date can bring, can appear in their, in their street clothes. So I continue to be convinced that this is the right approach. I'll leave it there and I'll hand it back to the chair.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Just a point of clarification. When did DOC implement the uniform policy throughout the whole... all of the facilities?

TIMOTHY FARREL: We began rolling out the process about a year ago. And within the last I believe three months we were able to completely outfit the entire agency.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And have you seen a decrease in the number of stabbings and slashings sense then?

TIMOTHY FARREL: I'd have to research the statistics.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Just bring this up because you're not prepared today with the number of contraband recovered as per the question the Speaker asked about those coming in from court appearances. But one thing, the data that I've seen is the monthly stabbings and slashings for this year and last year significantly higher than 2014 when there was no uniform policy. Now I'm going to identify, I'm going to ask the public advocate who I know has a number of questions to, to start asking her questions.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you Madam Chair. I want to limit my questions to 11-52. But before I get there first let me applaud the administration for their support of eliminating the three percent fee taken from individuals, inmates, from an individual when they are, when they either, either plead guilty or are found guilty. I want to thank the administration for their support. But in

58

the testimony of Mr., of, of... Well doesn't say, say your name. There's a, in the, the testimony of the

4 | individual I believe it was from the Office of

5 Criminal Justice you indicated that there is a

6 oversight in the accountability meeting of a

7 committee representing each part of the city with

8 reform ideas developed in partnership. Can you

9 please tell me who was on this committee and who

10 | they represent?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

ALEX CROHN: Yes. So there's members of the entire criminal justice system. So it's both city agencies including DOC and the police department. It includes state entities, the Office of Core Administration. It includes the Defense Bar, and the DA's offices.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So can you... can the defense bar... who exactly on the defense bar is part of this committee?

ALEX CROHN: It would be all the institutional providers, so legal aid, Bronx Defenders, Brooklyn Defenders, as well as representatives from the 18-B committees.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 5
2	PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay. And you
3	meet with regards to reforms of the criminal
4	justice system and you meet in a monthly basis?
5	ALEX CROHN: It's a bit narrower than
6	that. It's just focused on case processing so it's
7	really primarily focused on speeding up the, the
8	length of time that cases sort of languish within
9	the court systems.
10	PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So it just
11	primarily focuses on the speedy trial?
12	ALEX CROHN: Correct.
13	PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay. So it
14	doesn't focus on any of the bills that are before
15	the committee today?
16	ALEX CROHN: No the, the bill that
17	requires production of inmates in all cases, not
18	just cases where they're held in on bail is sort of
19	related to case processing so that would be part of
20	it but not the other bills, no.
21	PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So the committee
22	hasn't taken a position on any of these bills?
23	ALEX CROHN: No.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Third issue in your testimony you indicated that there are ATMs

24

### COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2 all over the criminal court houses. Who has, who, 3 what company has these contracts?

ALEX CROHN: I actually don't have the company's name handy. I can get it to you. IT's administered by DCAS.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: It's DCAS. And do you, do you have any idea the fees that are being charged at these ATMs?

ALEX CROHN: I don't but that's information we can definitely provide.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay. If you could get that information to my office that would greatly appreciate you. In regards to the bill 11-52 which is a bill that I have sponsored which is basically requesting that we cap the fee. Let me begin with the following, the stats. In your testimony Mr. Doca, Mr. Doca you indicated that 29 percent were walk-ins at agent locations, 26 via the internet, and 22 percent conducted over the phone. So based upon my math that means 77 percent of the individuals who were charged on average according to your testimony when they, they're basic, their average transaction is 48 dollars all

2.2

2.3

you've been given this ...

### COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2 FRANK DOKA: From SCOC, yes.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And what are the circumstances surrounding this waiver? What's the basis for the waiver? The company is making two million dollars. What was the basis for the waiver sir?

FRANK DOKA: I'm not exactly sure what the basis is a waiver for is. I think it's basically that to allow the vendors, to license vendors to license vendors to come in and provide the services.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So, so... I'm baffled because the Department of Corrections is more concerned with a company that is earning. Its revenues exceed two million dollars a year and you want to continue that contract despite the fact that it has a disparate impact on low income people.

FRANK DOKA: I don't think it's, that department does not have concerns, the department if... would love to have their rates lowered if it was possible but these are vendor charged rates on the licensed agreements that they charge.

2.2

2.3

### COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

me make some recommendations. The city has a free way to make deposits to inmate accounts. Do you know that there are other agencies? In fact, there are 60 types of payments one can make via city pay which is free. All you have to do is get in touch with DoITT or DCAS. And the question is why doesn't the Department of Corrections participate in that?

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Let me ask you this question. This contract has been going on, this process has been going on since 2007. Why was this contract renewed and what was the process for renewing this contract?

FRANK DOKA: We will look into it.

FRANK DOKA: There was no renewal on the contract. It's a license agreement and it be in existence until agreed upon to terminate within 30 days of writing.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So since 2007 a licensing agreement has gone on from year, every year and there have been any, there's not been any oversight or any inquiry into the disparate impact or its, its policies, its performance, its review

2.2

2.3

many...

2 PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Oh, sorry.

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Sorry.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Madam Chair you

5 know how I can get.

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Deputy

7 Commissioner, Commissioner...

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Chief of Staff.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Chief of Staff. If you could raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the whole truth, nothing but the truth in your testimony or in answering questions?

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: I do.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And can you identify yourself, your, your name, and your title?

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yes, sorry. Jeff
Thamkittikasem. I'm the Chief of Staff for the
Department of Correction. Now I just wanted to kind
of... because I think that...

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: What's the process for a licensing agreement in terms... as far as you're knowing...

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: For the details

I'll let Deputy Commissioner Doka answer. I wanted
to kind of make sure that it was clear that the

2 reviews that happened, the department folks is on 3 trying to give as many opportunities to public to

4 kind of be able to provide those. And so in terms

5 of fees we contract out through a vendor to ease

6 that process each year. The state reviews that and

7 given their analysis of the rates that are used in

8 other areas. They also... they basically grant the

waiver to the process in terms of the license

10 agreement.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Can I just, can you... [cross-talk] can I stop you right there? Can I stop you right there?

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yeah.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So what you're saying is that the state on its own decided to issue a waiver...

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: No, we submit that in order to provide the spectrum of services...

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Yeah.

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: ...that are allowed everything as was described in the testimony in terms of online payment, phone payment, so forth.

We put that to them and they review it and they issue the waiver based on that review.

state. We checked in with that and provided that to

2.2

2.3

the state and the state reviews it every year and provides that waiver.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: So you thought it necessary that we should, you, you, that individuals, loved ones should pay more than the five-dollar fee, that it was just...

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: No ma'am, we, we make no judgement on it so much is we looked for the opportunity to get somebody in who could provide as many services as possible.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And was it the position of J Capital Western Union? They were no, no longer going to provide that service if, with a five-dollar cap on their fee?

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: I can't speak to them ma'am.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Well obviously you submitted the application. So there had to be a basis for it.

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Well we... The basis was basically on the fact that they were providing services that we wanted completed to make sure that family members could provide payment from multiple sources because the limitations on coming in

2.2

2.3

physically we didn't want to cap, we didn't want to burden families on just coming into facilities.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And we couldn't submit another request for bids or information from other vendors as opposed to just J Cap and Western Union. Are they the only companies that provide the service?

FRANK DOKA: We originally had four companies. And two of them... because it wasn't financial profitable for them to provide the services.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And both... and did, was DOC aware that these services are available to taxpayers, to New Yorkers free of charge through DCAS and DoITT since 60 other agencies basically collect deposits free of charge to consumers in the city?

FRANK DOKA: We will, we will have to look into that.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Okay. So since

2007 to this date this licensing agreement has

continued with no oversight and you wait, we waived

the five-dollar cap. And 70 percent of, of New

Yorkers or individuals whose loved ones are

2 incarcerated have been paying in excess of five

3 dollars per transaction. Is that basically

4 summarized your position?

2.2

2.3

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: I don't believe that we haven't done any review of it. I mean I think that they, that the, the number...

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: There's been no review. I'll... let me add that too. There's been no review at all since 2007.

FRANK DOKA: Well we have compared the rates to other correctional facilities in other states. And actually we are paying less then what they're being charged so...

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: The bottom line is that individuals who are paying on average 48 dollars a transaction are being paid, are being asked to pay a fee that exceeds a five-dollar cap that the state put in place which was waived for some reason. And the Department of cost, the Department of Corrections doesn't see a problem with that. That, that concerns me. So let me just make some recommendations. One, I would urge that Commissioner Ponte reconsider his position with respect to 11-52A, two, that the Department of

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Corrections current, immediately get in contact with DoITT and DCAS. And, and terminate these contracts with JPay and Western Union which are basically exorbitant in, basically are basically unfortunately putting profit over people. And I just think if we are concerned about the interest of low income people that we would do, we would do the right thing and provide services to them which are free of charge and at our disposal. Madam Chair thank you for this opportunity and I look forward to further conversations with Commissioner Ponte and the Department of Corrections. I want to thank them because I did raise this issue to the Department of Corrections as early as 2000 and... I believe I wrote a letter to the Department of Corrections in, one second, in October 2015 where we asked the question, the following question, is there any internal oversight by DOCs of the manner in which services are provided and the actual rates charge. Explain the manner by which companies are selected. What efforts are made to prevent dispirit impacts on low income people and what is the frequency of review of policies, performance, and fairness of rates. And as of today I have not

2 received a response but it's clear that there has

3 been no review by the Department of Corrections.

4 And I would urge you to reconsider that position or

5 | if Department of Investigation is still present I

6 would urge an investigation. Thank you.

[applause]

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Donovan Richards.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Good line of questioning Public Advocate. I agree with your stance and the city needs to do more. We should be a leader and we should not be charging inmates, inmates families. We should not be contracting out. We should find a way to do it ourselves. We have

Council Member Donovan Richards who has questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you...

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: ...recognized

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: ...Madam

Chairwoman and thank you Commissioner and all for being here. Just had a few questions and, and definitely relating to 12-62 and... You know one of the things we're taught in life is don't judge a book by its cover but obviously you know human beings unfortunately in some circumstances do the exact opposite and have those exact opposite

TIMOTHY FARREL: ...or khaki color.

assuming most people who come through the system,

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: But I'm

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

I'm sorry.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

who go to court, who are not enabled to change their clothing are coming in with that sort of ... you would give them that, the same attire? TIMOTHY FARREL: Can you rephrase that?

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: I'm, I'm saying... so you said it's a basic same shirt and type... uniform that if you're not enabled to change into your own clothing...

TIMOTHY FARREL: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: ...you would... Okay. So would you acknowledge to a degree that when those, when individuals come in with this uniform that there's a preconceived notion that they are already guilty?

TIMOTHY FARREL: No. Matter of fact when the public such as a jury is going to be adjudicating them or making a decision they are in fact afforded the opportunity to wear whatever clothing they choose, whether it be a suit, whether it be clothing that they had when they came in...

2

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Council... Sorry Council Member to your original question I think that in terms of recommendations we certainly believe that there is a concern in having uniforms when there's a prejudicial...

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Can you just speak into the mic a little bit more?

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Sorry, yeah. We do have our own... we recognize the public concern. We actually take that concern pretty seriously about any production of inmates in uniforms when there's a prejudicial... That's why we actually have focused on kind of making sure they do arrive for court in terms of jury trials and other things that would be prejudicial. As you know there are a lot of other circumstances where we might bring them in for appearances that are not in front of a jury trial, that are not prejudicial because they're in front of courts. So I think that as the Deputy Commissioner was talking about as written where all appearances to a court would be in civilian clothing. That's where we just wanted to make sure that there was a separation. Operationally we

76

definitely want to kind of focus on where there is 2 3 a prejudicial concern.

4

5

6

7

8

1

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So I think you sited difficulties in your testimony in ensuring everyone could come to court within their own clothing. And I think one of the things you cited was the issue of contraband. I'm not sure if this was covered already. I think you had an issue or concern with contraband so can you just speak to

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

10

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Sure. Essentially recently we made the move to actually provide every inmate within the department, in uniforms before all inmates could be wearing whatever clothes they came in with and whatever clothes were brought in by other people. We move to institutional uniforms in order to address three separate things. First obviously was a contraband issue. It's a lot easier to ensure... we've had higher rate of contraband finds over the last two years, everything from drugs to weapons.

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: And how is

24

this contraband getting in?

that a little bit more?

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: So both... in all 3 ways. Right now we're looking at concerns with visitors. We're looking at concerns with staff. 4 We're looking at all of that. And part of our reform efforts have been to address each one of 6 7 those things, not to isolate it. We don't believe 8 that there's only one way that inmates are bringing contraband into... 10 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Point of 11

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Point of clarification because it gets confusing when you are talking about once... when you implemented the uniform policy we're talking about in the past two years.

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yes, it's...

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And you're talking about an increase in contraband recovery in the past two years which contradicts that...

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: No actually I think...

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: ...uniforms decrease...

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: ...proves the point a bit. Because what we have been able to do is isolate and better train our officers to search.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

pose, poses... [cross-talk]

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Absolutely.

_	COMMITTED ON TIME MAD CHAMME GOODIGE
2	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:as your numbers
3	show you have in the past two years nearly double
4	years prior. So it just doesn't add up that the
5	uniforms are making the jails any safer.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Right. Yeah
7	and that's I think that's that's where
8	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: The question
9	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS:that's where
10	I'm going. You know it's it, it does sound
11	contradictory if you're, if you're saying that in
12	one sense these uniforms are supposed to be helpful
13	and they have no pockets but yet
14	JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: I would only
15	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS:slashings and
16	other things are going on.
17	JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: I would only
18	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So how do you
19	JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM:that we're using
20	one metric of violence in the jails. One of the big
21	issues that we've been pushing obviously is
22	violence across slashings and stabbings are up. I
23	mean I, I don't… [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Nobody's saying...

2

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: ...argue with that.

With the inability to use some of the ... scanners that we could use to detect those specific weapons what we have been able to find are more drugs and more manmade weapons in there because they've got to use them within the cells. Violence overall in terms of uses of force inmate fights, those that result in serious injuries are down from last year. So we're moving in the right direction even if slashings and stabbings are up. So I just would, would push at least to take a look at that as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So we're saying it's, it's better for defendants to go to court with...

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: No, absolutely not. I think what I've been ...

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: ...trying to say is that we would definitely focus on making sure that those appearances would have presidential kind of influence. We would definitely focus... We, we already put those people in civilian clothing.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: ...uniform on?

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Let me ask you a question. So I know they have to be an assortment COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE of organizations that can be helpful in the areas of ensuring that the civilian clothing that is given is...

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: is up to par.

So are you working with any organizations like I could just go off the top of my head such as Goodwill or other organizations that tend to focus on this area?

JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: Yes. We have focused out on other institutions to especially focus on clothing that could be provided in the courts before departure so that they are released and they choose to not leave in their uniforms. They would have clothing within the clothes boxes as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So would you say that more defendants are going to court, and this may just be a repetitive question, I know the answer to it, I just want to hear it, in uniform or in civilian clothing?

TIMOTHY FARREL: Uniform.

2.3

2.2

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: And could you 3 give a percentage of... what would you say is the breakdown of that? 4 TIMOTHY FARREL: Would probably say it's... we have about 10 percent of our, of our court 6 7 production, somewhere between 70 and 100 inmates each day go to court in the civilian clothes. 8 9 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: 10 percent go in civilian clothing? 10 11 TIMOTHY FARREL: 10 percent of the ... yes. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So... You want 13 to... 14 JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: I was going to 15 say, but to clarify... 16 [gavel] 17 TIMOTHY FARREL: ...those 10 percent go... JEFF THAMKITTIKASEM: We set... We... 18 19 [gavel] 20 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Excuse me, sorry. 21 Your answers are somewhat misleading. You need to 2.2 understand that when an inmate appears before a 2.3 judge a judge is not going to see that inmate in a uniform. They're supposed to wear street clothing. 24

When the... we're talking mainly today about grand

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: And from the study I think that came out I think they say grand jury's is happening very little correct?

TIMOTHY FARREL: Correct.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Alright. So how are we planning on correcting this particular issue?

TIMOTHY FARREL: The issue...

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Of people going to grand juries without...

TIMOTHY FARREL: They, they have the option to wear civilian clothes if they go before...

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay. And how many people are actually going to a grand jury with civilian clothing on if that's the case, fi they have the option?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

TIMOTHY FARREL: The percentage I have is, lumps all trials including grand jury, that three to five percent.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: So I think we would need that information?

TIMOTHY FARREL: I'd have to break that down.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: But I mean I, I would believe that we know the answer to it and you know we hear it from the advocates, we hear it from you know every day people who, who may have gone through this system in particular. And you know it is my hope that the administration does look at this carefully because you shouldn't be labeled guilty you know before innocent when you stand before a grand jury or any train. And that's just not happening these days. I don't want to be a repetitive because we could go on and on. But I'm, I'm hearing you're not supporting it in its current form and I think that this is just a common sense measure, a very easy measure that if proper systems are being put in place... And we get I don't want to downplay the, the reality of contraband in these particular things but there seems to be no

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 correlation between whether you wear a uniform or

3 | not you know if, if... stating that you're, you're,

4 you're, you're guilty. So we, we need to really

5 look at this and take a closer look at ensuring

6 that anyone going to court or... for anything has,

7 ahs the, the basic decency and right to be heard

8 and seen in a fashion that won't necessarily say

9 that they're quilty again before they even tried.

[applause]

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I have no further questions from the Department of Correction.

Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. I am disappointed that you are not prepared with answers for a number of significant questions. You know the US Supreme court law and New York State Court of Appeals law states that somebody on trial does not appear in a uniform but comes in regular street clothing. The bill you heard today was specifically about what the Department of Correction does as it relates to Grand Jury appearances and, and the fact that you didn't have your numbers today is disappointing. And the way that you try to

correlate it to safety doesn't make any sense to me
as Chair of the Committee. Numbers don't make

4 sense. We're not going to hear... I mean I don't have

5 any other questions and we're going to move on.

We're going to take a two-minute break and then
we're going to hear from people who are... from the

8 public to testify.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: We're going to continue the council hearing on criminal justice. Next from the public we have the president of the Correction Officers' Benevolent Association Elias Husamudeen.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Good afternoon. Good afternoon Chairman Crowley and members of the Committee on Fire and Criminal Justice. My name is Elias Husamudeen and I am the President of the Correction Officers' Benevolent Association which is the second largest law enforcement union in the city of New York. Our members, New York City's boldest are responsible for the care, custody, and control of the inmate population in the nation's largest municipal jail system. I thank you for the opportunity to address this committee concerning

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the legislation that is being introduced today. With regards to intro 12-60 which would amend the administrative code of the city of New York in relation to transporting inmates in the custody of the Department of Correction and to all criminal court appearances the COBA's position is consistent with our longstanding commitment to execute the safe transportation of inmates to their court appearances. However, there is one caveat. If our responsibilities are expanded under this bill, then it is only logical to expand the number of corrective officers who will now be responsible for transporting many more inmates to a significantly greater number of court appearances. I know that the members of this committee understand the security implications that are involved when transporting anywhere between 900 to 11 hundred inmates daily back and forth through the five boroughs, to the courts, and back to their facilities. There is no room for error. And correction officers perform this essential service every single day without incident. The criminal justice system depends on this seamless process in order to adjudicate the numerous court cases that

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

are processed daily. To add a new requirement that would only increase the number of trips our offices would be required to make would have a major impact on the criminal justice system. We have no issue making more trips with more inmates. However, it is incumbent upon this council to hold the Department of Correction responsible for increasing the staffing level that would be required to meet these new challenges. We cannot do more with less and our offices are already stretched too thin as it is. Before this hearing end I would ask each of you to pledge that you will not pass this bill without ensuring that the men and women at the front lines have the resources they need to take on the additional challenges. I also want to comment on Intro 12-62 which would amend the administrative code of the city of New York in relation to prohibiting the Department of Corrections from producing inmates to court appearances in department to uniforms. We recently met with City Council Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito and Public Advocate Tish James to express a number of our security concerns regarding this proposal. And we are grateful for their willingness to understand

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the basis for these concerns. We have, we have not as, as yet seen a detailed plan that would demonstrate how producing inmates and civilian clothes would prevent the concealment of weapons and contraband which we already struggle with when inmates are behind bars. In addition, if an inmate is presented before a judge without a jury present it doesn't matter whether the inmate is in a DOC uniform or not. Furthermore, it is important for the council and the public to be reminded precisely why inmates are transported wearing DOC uniforms in the first place. If god forbid there is an accident and the department vehicle is compromised enabling dozen of inmates to escape and pour out into the streets wearing civilian clothes they would be able to quickly assimilate and avoid capture. We need to maintain optimal security protocols at all times if we are to act, if we are asked to keep the public safe at all times. Our member safety as well as the public safety must be paramount and this must, and this proposed legislation as it is currently stand is deeply troubling. We urge the committee to oppose this measure until a more detailed plan taking into account the security concerns as

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

provide. In closing I want this committee to address a crisis that is unfolding throughout the department and which impacts hundreds of our members, hundred of correction officers. More and more of our members, over 49 hundred who are female, and many of whom are single mothers are being ordered to work triple overtime shifts which is unprecedented in the history of this department and is also a direct threat to safety and security inside the jails. How can this agency ask correction officers to be away from their children for 72 hours straight without proper rest? How can this agency force law enforcement officers to miss meals during these punitive shifts? How does the department even justify mandating triple overtime shifts when the inmate population has actually declined from last year? We are aware that the DOC managers were here today and we ask this committee to pose these questions to them in a written follow-up. Just this past August 335 correction officers were forced to work triple tours because of the numerous programs the DOC has adopted. For example, in the... Center, GRVC, they have a secure unit that began in July. There are only 7 inmates

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

but yet there are 60 correction officers assigned to monitor these seven inmates. In the Lebanon Davoren Center where we have the adolescents there is the TRU program called the Transition Rescue Unit Program and requires 50 to 60 correction officers to monitor less than 10 inmates. Some of the programs such as the accelerated program, Unit APU, the program accelerated clinical effectiveness unit called PACE and the Clinical Alternative to Punitive Segregation Program called CAPS. All of these programs exist in the NM Craw Center [sp?]. Just yesterday morning we had 49 correction officers working triples, 21, 22 hours because of these programs. Because of the implementation of programs such as these without proper staffing levels we are firmly in support of Intro 10-64 which will require the department to evaluate the effectiveness of the program it utilizes. One would think that giving a million dollars the council have allocated to the department that a robust mechanism to evaluate the program would already exist. This should already be in place. The city council should move swiftly to address this immediately. The COBA will continue to voice our

members' concerns on these vital issues and we will
work vigorously to assure that safety and security
is at the forefront of any legislation that this
council passes. It is outrageous to our members and
their families that in this public dialogue about

1

7

8

10

24

25

population is the protected class while the men and

9 women of the front lines, New York City Correction

jail reform it always appears that the inmate

Officers, are the forgotten class. We are here

11 today to make sure that the 9,000 men and women who

12 patrol the toughest precincts in New York are not

13 forgotten. With that said at this time I'm happy to

14 answer any questions that you may have.

15 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you

President Husamudeen for being here today for your

17 testimony. Thank your members, 9,000 of which work

18 | very hard to protect our city. I appreciate their

19 level of commitment to service, to serving our

20 city. And I appreciate how you've taken the time to

21 | inform the committee on the various different way

22 the department is being wasteful with the number of

23 officers in certain programs units. This is very

important. I couldn't imagine what it's like to

have to work in such an important public safety

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And... and...

2 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: ...days a year. So...

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: To compliment...

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: ...have other agent...

I'm sorry.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Yes, it's just to compliment your members in the way that they're transporting so many people each and every day of the court and appearances, nearly 1,000 every day right?

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Between 900 to 11 hundred a day.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So I, I... that, that's important to because I, I understand that, the concern that if, if there was once a escape from your custody and they're not in uniform that would be a concern to the members of public. But they're more likely to escape from the police custody.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Because just based on what's happened in, in years prior. So I still don't think that that's good enough reason. I do understand that your members are working longer hours and that...

2 ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Absolutely.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: The burden of getting the clothing to them in a timely manner may require more staffing hours.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Yes. If I may?
CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Yeah.

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Just, just sitting back there and listening to the agency and listening to the questioning of your colleagues I don't think it's fair that we make this just about contraband. I mean if you really want to know, the COBA, we keep our own statistics. And we already, September 15<sup>th</sup> in the Sally Port of MDC [sp?] there was an inmate slashed by another inmate. And 9-9, a bus on route to the Bronx Courts an inmate was slashed. We can give you the listing of, of incidents that happened on the bus even with a jumpsuit or with a uniform on. For us it's going to be worse without it because the, the thing that everybody has to remember is that this is not, like I said this is not just about slashing. This is just not about contrabands with inmates. It's also about public safety. Because right now if one of, if one of these busses with my correction officer

\_ -

driving it should catch on fire and I have 50 inmates in there and they're all in their street clothes, civilian clothes, and we have to empty that bus how are we going to determine who these 50 inmates are. There's a public safety issue. And I don't think the city council or the members of the council or the public advocate should lose focus that there is a public safety issue involved in this, in, in this matter. And it should be considered before any type of legislation is passed.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: But do you have any comments on the other legislation you heard today?

on... what is it 10-64 as far as reporting and evaluating the programs. Because part of the problem, the reason why we have triples in the facilities right now is literally because of the programs. I'm for...like, like I said in my testimony GRVC, the commissioner created a program called secure program, secure unit. In, in the six months that it's been opened they've only had seven

2.2

2.3

2 inmates in this program. But we have 60 correction 3 officers working this program.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: The bill has ...

ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Which ... which ...

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: From... because of your testimony today I'm going to look to amend the bill and our next go around. Because it doesn't ask for that. It's probably going to be a different version, not the same number, but it doesn't ask for the amount of correction officers working at a particular program. And that's valuable information we need to know.

they're running the programs but they're running the programs but they're running the programs but they re running the programs and they don't have the staff to run the programs. And they continue for optic purposes to please the reform movement or board of corrections or whoever it is they're trying to make happy. They're actually doing a disservice to the inmate as well as the correction officer. Because if you don't have the staff to run the programs that you claim that you want to run then you're, you're jeopardizing the safety and the security of the jail, the inmate, the correction officer, and

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE
2	everybody involved. And that's what's happening
3	right now.
4	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I agree. That's
5	very important. That is.
6	ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Absolutely.
7	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Well I appreciate
8	your testimony today.
9	ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Mm-hmm.
10	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And I'm going to
11	discuss the recommendations that you have with the
12	rest of the committee and the speaker's office,
13	speaker. And we will keep you abreast of any
14	changes. Thank you again to
15	ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:your leadership
17	and, and for the work that your members do.
18	ELIAS HUSAMUDEEN: Appreciate it. Thank
19	you Ma'am.
20	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Next we have JPay,
21	Gregory Levine from JPay.
22	GREGORY LEVINE: Good afternoon Council
23	Members. I feel it's very unfortunate that the

public advocate is not in here to hear my

24

25

testimony.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Oh, she might be back. So you know we can hear from the legal aid and then wait for...

GREGORY LEVINE: Is she coming for sure.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: That's the reason

we put you on before legal aid. But let's hear from

legal aid first and then I'll call you back. So if

I could ask Sarah Kerr from the Legal Aid Society

to come up as well as from the Brooklyn Defender

Services Kelsey De Avila. Great so you could begin...

SARAH KERR: Good afternoon...

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: ...in whichever order you...

SARAH KERR: I'm Sarah Kerr, Staff
Attorney at the Prisoner's Rights Project at the
Legal Aid Society. On behalf of the Legal Aid
Society I provide this testimony and thank the
council and the public advocate for continuing to
introduce legislation to improve conditions,
increase accountability and transparency in the
criminal justice system in the city jails. I'm
going to start with 12-28A which is the one about
DOI. The Legal Aid Society supports ensuring that
the position of an inspector general for

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE corrections is firmly established and codified. And we agree about making it permanent, the monitoring, because having... you know the Nunez litigants. We'd done a number of other cases where, and we've watched improvements based on settlements to only watch those improvements disappear after our monitoring ends. So look forward to working with you on thinking about how to do this best. We're uncertain about exactly what the mechanisms will be and would like an opportunity for ourselves and other stakeholders to discuss this legislation more to understand it better. It's just not completely clear what the intersection between the DOI role would be with the Board of Correction and we think that we should talk more about what it would do. So we didn't really offer many amendments to it at this time but we look forward to further discussions. We support the limit on the fees that can be charged for depositing money into individual's institutional accounts. The proposed fee cap is appropriate in our city jails where many individuals are incarcerated solely due to their indigence and inability to pay bail. We have

proposed an amendment to the legislation. Currently

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE there is no fee charged at the DOC cashier's office when an individual deposits cash into an incarcerated person's account in person. We recommend that incorporating that no fee option into the legislation to ensure that it doesn't disappear. On 12-60 this is the legislation to ensure that people are produced if they're in custody. This is just vital. We've had far too many people kept in custody or having warrants issued in error when they're in the city's custody and unable to make appearances. So we are very supportive of this. 12-61 is the proposed, the speaker's proposal about authorizing the waiver of fees collected in instances of cash bail. We support that also. The impact of the legislation is significant. 85 percent of defendants paid bail using cash. Literally thousands of people paid the administrative fees over the years 2011 and 2012 for no compelling reason at all. On court clothing... Approximately a year ago the department started using jail uniforms. And we don't oppose jail uniforms inside the jails. But during this year DOC has regularly produced our clients to their court

proceedings and appearances in, and other

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE appearances in jail uniforms. This has included appearances before the grand jury and at trial where the law clearly protects individuals from having to appear in a jail or prison uniform and despite multiple attempts to resolve the issue through discussions with DOC. DOC does intervene and solve the problem in individual cases when we bring the matter to the attention of DOC Council and other high level officials. However, these are adhoc last minute solutions and they are no substitute for consistent policy. I regularly when I get somebody upset about this not having their client produced in uniform I contact Jeff Kitatasm [sp?] and Counsel Heidi Grossman [sp?] and they have never told me that the correct person to go through is Depferral [sp?]. I've never heard that is the process. What I hear is I hear from our attorneys regularly in the courts that they're told something different week to week, courtroom to courtroom, day to day in the same trial. Judges do not know to contact Depferral. The court orders that we get are often ignored. You know it may be an operational challenge to get people their

clothes but they have to overcome that. What is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE going on is not consistent and, and continues to occur. We made... I'm trying to speed this up. All individuals incarcerated in our jails should have access to civilian clothing that will not prejudice their appearance and we believe that's before a grand jury, a jury, a judge, or the public in any court proceeding. I think the simplest thing to do is to expand the legislation and make it all court appearances so that the process is exactly the same. 180, 80 days which are often when grand jury appearances happen we consistently have everyone brought to those courtrooms in the jail uniforms. And the argue... you know I heard a number of things as you say that it's not even always the jail uniform that's the tan scrubs. Sometimes it's the orange thing that says DOC in big letters. Very recently I had a attorney tell me that their client... they were told that their client was going to be brought in the orange uniform with the security mittens on their hands into the courtroom for a grand jury appearance. And I did get council to intervene and that didn't happen but that's the way it works. It is every single person scrambling

for something at the last minute. And that includes

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE that when our clients are being released to programs and we inform DOC ahead of time that they're getting released at their court appearance even though it's not a jury or grant, or trial appearance we tell them the clothes are needed... a court order, and that's still ignored. Sometimes our clients are told that DOC won't let them leave in the jail uniform so they're going to have to go back to Ryker's to get their clothes even though they got released. Sometimes DOC staff and court staff help us scramble to find clothing. Sometimes they interfere with us providing clothing in the court rooms. So it's completely inconsistent and the statute is very important. But I do think it, we do think it should be expanded to all court proceedings and appearances. And I think you need to include in the language ready access to civilian clothing because the bringing of the property does not happen in the way that was described to you today. I'm not going to comment on the four bills that you voted out of committee today. We did make a few suggestions in our written testimony and I'll leave it at that. But we are in favor of all of those. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

KELSEY DE AVILA: Hello. My name is Kelsey De Avila. I'm the Jail Service and Social Worker at Brooklyn Defender Services. And BDS does support each piece of legislation that's under consideration today. For today's hearing I would like to narrow my comments on the issue of DOC jail uniforms. You know we do believe that it's critical to ensure people being produced to court be brought in civilian closing. Producing people to court in jail garments is prejudicial not only to juries but they can inspire implicit biases in judges and court staff. To say that it's an operational challenge to bring someone in civilian clothes is offensive to the individual and our own justice system. It is simply more just for all people to appear in court in their own clothing to appear innocent before proven quilty. You know today we heard DOC comment on, on their procedures and it's our own client experiences that, that contradicts their own statements. You know I'd like to share a few stories that our clients are being produced in the jail uniforms. Most recently an incident with an individual intending to testify their grand jury

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE was adjourned in order to allow DOC to produce the person again in civilian clothing thereby unjustly extending their incarceration. In another instance after some delay the individual's family was able to provide clothing so that they could testify. And then recently it had two trials were delayed because our clients were denied their trial clothing despite multiple requests to correction officers and calls to DOC from the court as well as our office. Another disturbing side effect of producing people to court in jail garb is that they are released back to the community in jail garb. The nature of criminal proceedings can be unpredictable. Frequently people are released to programs otherwise... particularly I have personally witnessed people on the subway in their jail uniforms and we cannot compare their attire to medical scrubs. It is simplistic and does not address the impact of an individual. You know releasing people in jail uniforms is, is both degrading and dangerous. And you know recently we had a 16-year-old who was, who we represented and it was released from Ryker's. And they were

terrified of returning to the neighborhood in the

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE uniform for fear of the police and gains in the area. You know again we heard DOC testify that they provide clothing boxes to people if they're being, once they're being released. But recently another case the judge was concerned about our young female clients released in jail uniform and refused to release our client until BDS brought clothing to the courthouse for her because the court and DOC staff reported that they would not do so. And our office continuous, continuously provide clothing either by donations from the community or our own closet. And the defense bar should not be made to play this role. And lastly it should be noted you know many people with criminal court cases also have cases in family, housing, and other civil courts. We urge the council to extend the sensible reforms including this bill by amending the language to include other courts. The issues of prejudice and dignity... these settings as well. We thank the council for its continued attention to the needs of people and city jails and their families. We hope that you continue to adopt an aggressive stance toward making New York City

humane for all people. To that end we urge you to ...

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE support legislation that will cap unreasonable fees across the system. We also urge you to investigate the disturbing conditions families endure when they visit their loved ones on Ryker's Island. On a good day the process is degrading and can take many hours. On a bad day it involves sexual assault by correction officers or being denied a visit all together. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you both for your advocacy. I just have a quick question for the legal aid society in terms of your attorneys representing their clients who have not appeared for their court dates because they're incarcerated for a different arrest. So how frequently does that happen?

KELSEY DE AVILA: I'm not, I'm not sure.

I wonder if we can pull that information from our system and I can try to do that.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Yeah, I just...
[cross-talk] seems completely unorganized... and
unfortunate that we have to legislate this.

KELSEY DE AVILA: And I think some, sometimes the judges require the person to be brought in and other judges will issue the dollar

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

bail in their absence. So there, there may be

different solutions to this problem. But people

should get to court so that the actual proceeding

goes forward. So that, that should be the solution.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And what do you think... and either one of you can answer this question, about the Department of Correction saying that they're transporting inmates in uniform to these court appearances in uniform for public safety reasons or to reduce the occurrence of contraband from entering into the jail system?

KELSEY DE AVILA: The, the property has been searched already that's put into the bags that's kept for them. So when they're providing them with, and I think they probably search it again when they provide it at the time that they produce the property. So I'm not sure that I really believe that that's a problem. They remain in DOC custody throughout their court time. So I don't think that was a problem when we didn't have uniforms. And I don't think that's going to add to that problem.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I'm, I'm glad that we discussed that. I have no further questions.

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2 Again I think you and your organizations for the 3 work that they do and for being here today to 4 testify. KELLY GRACE-PRICE: Now we'll try to get you that other information. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I, I would 8 appreciate that. [applause] 10 [background comments] 11 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Alright we still don't have the public advocate here. So we're going 12 to move on to the Osborne Society or OLJPP, Tanya 13 14 Krupat, and also to share in testimony at the same 15 time, around the same time but to, to come up from 16 our children, Jane Stanicki trying... Okay so let, 17 let's hear from Osborne first and then Hour Children. 18 19 TANYA KRUPAT: Thank you so much. Good 20 afternoon. My name is Tanya Krupat as you said from the Osborne Association Justice Policy and Practice 21 Center. And I'd like to commend the council, 2.2 2.3 yourself, and the committee for its attention to the issues raised in the bills today. My remarks

will focus on four of the nine bills. My

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE perspective comes from my role coordinating the New York Initiative for Children of Incarcerated Parents. And as a member of the DOC visiting working group whose goal is to improve the visitor experience on Ryker's. And I support Kelsey De Avila's recommendation to also look into the visiting process and the experience of visitors. First was Intro 12-62. This is very important and should be revised with two additions as people have mentioned before. This bill should apply to all court appearances including those in family court and not only trial appearances. Furthermore, it should obligate the department to provide civilian clothing to those in its custody who have no personal clothing. And additionally DOC should consider making it easier for families to deliver clothing in advance of court dates through packages or clothing drop-off procedures. As you've heard and I won't go into, appearing in court in clothing versus the DOC jumpsuits or scrubs that they've been referred to is so important. The odds are already stacked against those awaiting trial on Ryker's with research showing worse outcomes for those fighting their cases from inside of jail than

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE those fighting from outside. Family members and children attend court hearings and seeing their loved one or parent in a DOC uniform especially when this is pre-trial is painful and unfair. It can also serve to reduce the legitimacy of the law and the meaning of innocent until proven guilty for children, families, and communities. In cases where individuals are released directly from court no one should have to walk into the streets of New York City in a DOC jumpsuit. Intro 12-60 as you've already heard today requires DOC to transport all incarcerated people to their criminal court appearances and should include family court. You've heard about the potential staff concerns or burden that this would present and I encourage the council to work with the courts, the DAs, and bail reform. If we incarcerated fewer people pre-trial we wouldn't have the burden of transporting them to court. In terms of Intro 899A Jane will go into a lot of what's needed to improve the nursery. We agree that someone with expertise in early childhood development should be involved in decision making about nursery acceptance and

recommend the bill be revised to ensure that this

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE perspective as part of the original decision making process, not only appeals. The knowledge background and training needed to decide whether placement in the nursery is in the child's best interest falls outside of the training and expertise of DOC staff including all the way up to the commissioner. The nursery manager should be part of the decision making and appeals process. And the council should specify if the early childhood development expert will come from another city agency or a community based organization. We recommend that this be someone who is outside of ACS. ACS and DOC have recently signed an MOU to guide nursery decision making for mothers with child welfare cases. However, the broader perspective of a child development specialist outside of child welfare should be sought and incorporated and in the testimony we make specific recommendations of who might be considered for the early childhood perspective including our children. Finally, with Intro 12-28A increasing the accountability and transparency of DOC is a laudable and necessary goal. However, in the bill I didn't see any mention

of the Board of Corrections and just wanted to ask

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE what is their role regarding oversight. They already have a mechanism on their website for filing complaints and their charter mandates that, their purpose is to establish and ensure compliance, investigate any matter within the jurisdiction of the department, review grievances, evaluate the performance, it's supposed to function very similarly to that which this bill proposes. Also in front of this committee in May the BOC Executive Director shared the, the budget now has been increased to 38 staff and a budget of over three million dollars. So before we invest further in other oversight bodies we just wanted to know how this will all relate to the Board of Corrections. And we ask the council to consider the city's investment in the BOC. And if its rule is to meaningful that it be included and incorporated into this and other bills being considered today. Thank you for your time and consideration. JANE STANICKI: Thank you. Jane Stanicki

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JANE STANICKI: Thank you. Jane Stanicki from Hour Children and I'll confine comments to the section on the nursery. First I'll endorse everything telling you just said we agree completely with her comments. I want to mention the

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE item where use of force is referred to in the 2 3 proposal. There's no reference in Use of Force to the possibility of Use of Force by staff on a child 4 in the nursery. And that should really include not only use of force but any instances of inattention 6 which could result in serious illness or injury or anything else. I can give you, if you want a 8 specific example I can give you one quickly. Last winter I guess I should first tell you that if you 10 11 haven't seen the nursery mothers sleep in their 12 cell and the infants are in a center room, all 13 cribs in one, in a center room. And there's a ... 14 [cross-talk] 15 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Sorry. I visited but I... 16 17 JANE STANICKI: Okay. 18 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: ...thought that 19 there were beds near where the babies' beds are. JANE STANICKI: No, the woman is in her 20 cell at night and the cribs are all in one center 21 room and there is a sound monitor so that any child 2.2 2.3 who's crying is heard by the officer who's on duty. And the monitor is probably a foot away from the 24

officer. It's right on the desk so it's very close.

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE Last winter there was an incident where the officer was so sound asleep that the officer did not hear an infant whose arm got caught in an awkward position. The child was not injured but it was quite awkward and she was crying. And the officer was asleep and didn't hear it. Now I did learn that and reported it to the warden who immediately took action so it was rectified. But there ought to be a reference in the protocol here in the administrative code. We talk about, about staff use of force on, on the detainee but we don't talk about use of force or inattention where an infant could be injured. So I think that's a real deficiency there. Tanya referred to the fact that there is an MOU now between DOC and ACS which gives attention to admission requirements into the nursery. So I won't mention that. And then the last thing I'll mention is that the denial of the nursery application according to the administrative code here or the proposal is that the commissioner or the chief of department be the appeal. We believe that's too far removed from where the action really is that the person who hears that

appeal should not be the commissioner and it's

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE essential that they have a child, child development expert as a consultant and the nursery manager.

There's a nursery manager who knows more about this than anyone else.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Who should hear this appeal?

appointee of the commissioner. I understand that DOC wants the central role there but perhaps an assistant in charge of programming or... a debt for programming or someone who has a little closer contact with what happens on a day to day basis at singer and certainly the commissioner is not that individual. And we'd be happy to make suggestions later on if solicited.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Well there, there's a gynecologist right? Maybe the doctor...

JANE STANICKI: Yes, there's medical staff that... that... the current medical director is terrific. She's interested in public, comes from a public health background. There are mental health people, there are nurses, there's the nursery manager. There are a number of people available who could do that.

# 1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay I think 3 that's going to be a separate bill. 4 JANE STANICKI: And that's it, thank 5 you. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay. Thank you 6 7 for testifying today. Both just question about the Osbourne Center is affiliated with the Osbourne 8 Society. But you're in Brooklyn? 10 TANYA KRUPAT: It's part of the Osbourne 11 Association who has offices in Bronx and Brooklyn 12 and on Ryker's... 13 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Gotcha [phonetic]. 14 TANYA KRUPAT: ...Island and... 15 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Wanted to make 16 sure. 17 TANYA KRUPAT: Yeah. 18 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you. Now 19 show of hands how many people from the Jail Action Coalition are here to testify today and are 20 actually going to give testimony? Okay it... five? 21 How many altogether? Can we have them all together 2.2 2.3 on the same... Alright so we're going to do it in two groups of three. So Victoria Phillips, Vivian 24

Velasquez, and Jennifer Parish. For the first panel

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE and then we'll have second panel. Jennifer does that make sense for your panel. Is there anyone else you want on your panel? Okay who should be on the first panel that I didn't call? You're, you're going to be on the second panel with... okay. Candy? Faith? Okay so first if you could just identify yourselves for the record before you begin your testimony. I, I'm not sure I... so you're Candy. I just want to make sure I have your... You filled out one of these forms right? Okay Candy Johnson.

Thanks. So we'll hear from Candy, Vivian, and then Victoria in that order. Thank you. Please begin your testimony.

2.2

2.3

CANDY: Okay. Good afternoon. My name is Candy from the Jails Action Coalition also known as the Solitary Survivor. I spent over three years in solitary confinement where I was awaiting trial for a speedy trial and was eventually acquitted of all charges. As I said before I'm a solitary survivor and yes I'm still a victim of solitary confinement. It's a miracle that I'm alive and after being dehumanized, tortured, raped in solitary confinement it's also a blessing to be speaking here this afternoon. For I was isolated for so long

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE without human contact that I forgotten how to speak. Being in solitary confinement means an inmate must wear a department of correction uniform at all times. It could be a jumper or it could be a two piece... [off mic comments] When I got into solitary confinement we were to wear brown uniforms while in the building. If we were on Suicide Watch like I was he majority of the time you were to wear a grey uniform. When leaving the building you were supposed to be in orange uniform similar to this. We were given dirty brown uniforms and were being forced to share them until I begged Deputy Johnson to allow us to wear the orange and grey uniform since the orange outnumbered the grey. Department... I mean Deputy Johnson had told me that's a good idea, I'll think about it. Officer Mont [sp?], shield number 15656 had given me a disgusting brown uniform that had looked as if someone had took egg yolk, cracked it, and put it in the crotch of the, the crotch area of the uniform and it smelt of rotten shrimp. I was denied my medical appointment just because I've asked for a clean uniform. Pardon me for sounding so grotesque but this is the god's

honest truth. I felt dehumanized, belittle, low,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE everything. I had to put on, every time I had to put on that uniform that someone else had on I felt low. Imagine wearing a smelly dirty uniform to court. How can you focus on your case if you have to focus on the stench of the uniform or you're fearful of catching a skin disease from wearing it. The answer is you can't. It was a matter of time before we only worn grey... orange uniforms because there were not enough brown nor grey uniforms. However, we only had clean orange uniforms for a few weeks. Nope. We only had a few clean uniforms for a few weeks. The orange uniforms were being shared as well as the officer stated no one would take the uniforms to the laundry and no one would pick them up from the laundry as well. Many of the uniforms were utterly soiled resulting in them being put in the trash. Uniforms were getting thrown out or for some... reason they were not getting replaced. Do you know what that means? Many inmates as well as myself were being denied services due to the lack of uniforms. After I return from Elmhurst one afternoon I saw a Officer Robison [sp?] 11774 take my one jumper from me and

give it to Veronica Lewis, another solitary inmate

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE to wear to her clinic appointment. When we had put on the brown uniform the officers made fun of us. They labeled us as monkeys. They would say put on the quote unquote shit suit. We were labeled as pumpkins as well in the orange uniforms. Can anyone quess what we were labeled as in the grey uniforms. We were called crazy or psychotic bitches. Those names stick with you and it makes you wonder what would the jury label me as? You already saying hey I'm quilty just by wearing a dirty soiled smelly ugly Department of Correction jail suit or uniform. I was awaiting a speedy trial for approximately 39 months. The majority of the time my case had gotten adjourned because the Department of Correction could not find a uniform, I hadn't ran out of uniforms. My point is I had to miss many court appearances, family court as well, because of a uniform, a uniform that I had no control over, a uniform that speaks a thousand words. A uniform, a uniform that's unpleasant, that has unpleasant stenches, of course I'm... of course I am pleaded for you to prohibit Department of Corrections for not only having detainees miss court appearance but to

have each inmate, human man woman, child, a pan ...

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

appear in civilian attire. When you go to an

interview you go on your, in your best attire

because the employer is judging you. The same... must

go for an inmate that is being viewed by complete

strangers that had his or her life in their hands.

Thank you and god bless Candy a Solitary Survivor.

[clapping]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Just before we hear from the next... we're in a... a... a public round. Everybody spoke before Candy represented an organization, a greater amount of people. Although you're affiliated with the Jail Action Coalition a lot of times when we have the hearings we start right away with just giving two to three minutes to even organizations but since there's going to be six I'm going to each of you two minutes. Candy we gave you a little bit more than two minutes. I appreciate you being here and sharing your personal experience with the committee. The information you gave about the particular officers we will certainly share with the Department of Correction as well as the complaints about the dirty uniforms. And I understand your position and your recommendation as to nobody should wear a uniform

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE at any time while they're incarcerated in the various different channels and certainly not appear in front of... This committee agrees with you. Certainly myself as a council member agrees with the part about court appearances. Certainly no inmate should have to miss a court appearance and 39 months is not a timeframe for a speedy trial. And so we, we could talk more about what types of injustices that you had to experience and I will make sure that various different agencies be it state agencies or city agencies are aware of that. And I do again appreciate you being brave enough to share your personal experiences and I could sympathize and understand and we would like to do whatever we can to make sure that what happened to you doesn't happen to anybody else.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CANDY: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay. Now two minutes everybody after... thank you.

VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: Hi, my name is Vivian Velasquez and I am, I'm speaking on behalf of my husband who is recently incarcerated and he was just released. Thank god for... who assisted me in getting the process expedited. While he was in

Τ.	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE
2	there his eighth amendment was violated. And I want
3	to also discuss the visitation. And I want to also
4	discuss the visitation and I want to also discuss
5	the fees of how difficult it was for me to be able
6	to give my husband every week commissary money. And
7	it was more than once a week okay because of the
8	simple fact that if I put in 40 dollars a day
9	within two days his money was depleted. There was
10	no
11	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Sorry, when you
12	put in 40 dollars how much did it cost?
13	VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: It costed me five
14	dollars. If I put in 50 dollars, it costed me 10
15	dollars. So every time I had to put in money. I had
16	to make sure that I had the extra fee to
17	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And how did you
18	put it in through what agency, Western Union or
19	VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: Western Union.
20	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY:JPay.
21	VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: Or JPay. And those
22	were the only two options I had available to me at
23	that time. Either it was that or take the train all
24	the way down to Department of Corrections which I

refuse to do because they dehumanize you before you

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE even get into the building. So I did not want to go through that process. And in order for me to get the money that I did have to get. I had to go out there and I had to sell things or beg for money in order for me to be able to support my husband even though he was there for 40 days. It was very difficult for me to support him while he was in there. He has to wear a smelly jumper. There was one time that he went in and the jump suit that he had on was too small. So they took them back and they brought him back out which lost time, which lost our visiting time okay. And then we was able to sit down and talk again because the uniform was too tight for him. They didn't have one in his size.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Right. Okay, so I understand the concern about uniform and also that many other family of inmates may have been in the same situation as yourself and made smaller payments throughout the week rather than one large payment and because of that you're paying more money to...

VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: Right because the Department of Corrections what they do is they say

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2 they give them two free phone calls but as soon as 3 you put the money in the Department of Corrections 4 are taking the money back. So it's not a free phone 5 call. You're actually paying for the phone call. 6

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay.

VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: So that's why the money depletes so fast because the Department of Corrections are taking money away from what I put in. If I put in 50 dollars a day within two days he only has seven dollars because the Department of Corrections already took his money out. So I have to make sure he has enough money to be able to go to the commissary to get a simple deodorant or soap or slippers and every time he's taking out for his medication or for whatever reason or his thing in his building, or his dorm is taken away.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay.

VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: So I have to go out and he has to buy them again. So his things are not secure when he steps out of his cell.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So you have complaints about his personal belongings being taken, stolen, and also about the payment for ...

VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: Commissary money...

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

## 1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: ...putting money in 3 his commissary. 4 VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: ...that is paid. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Yeah. 5 VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: That is difficult for 6 7 me to have paid. 8 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Got it. 9 VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: Every time it was paid it was paid again because the things that he 10 11 had purchased was stolen out of the place where he 12 was sleeping at in his little cell. 13 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay we'll also 14 make sure the Department of Correction looks into 15 that. I thank you for testifying today. 16 VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: Yes, thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And the last 18 person on this panel please. 19 VICTORIA PHILLIPS: Good afternoon. My 20 name is Victoria Phillips. I, I'm an advocate at 21 the Urban Justice Center Mental Health Project and I am a Jails Action Coalition Member simply because 2.2 2.3 of the atrocities and barbaric human, violation of human rights I witnessed while working on Ryker's. 24

That's why I sought out JAC. I, I did not prepare a

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE thing today to speak but after coming here and listening to DOC I wanted to address some issues because a lot of times they come before city council or the board of corrections and they give straight BS. And a lot of times they lawyer up to not have to answer. Similar to what they did today we don't have the direct data and they should have been prepared. You mentioned earlier, you asked the DOI Chairperson, Chair, Chairman Crowley. You asked DOI would their request interfere with the monitor. And honestly DOI needs to be on top of the department of corrections. At the last Board of Corrections hearing I directly told the board you are the parents for the DOC. They are a direct afflection [phonetic]... reflection of your leadership. And even we, the ... can't get correct answers out of DOC or DOC backtracks or double talks them. And even with the monitor I am also a member of the advisory board for the Department of Corrections adolescent and young adults. And one meeting a couple of months ago we had them monitor, the monitor representative come in. And I asked her directly, I said is DOC aware of all your visits

and dully visit outside of nine to five hours. And

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE
2	she told me sitting across the table DOC is always
3	aware and no we do not make unannounced visits. So
4	yes, it is imperative that there's an additional
5	monitoring system over the department of
6	Corrections. And while working in the Department of
7	Corrections I have witnessed and heard them
8	threaten incarcerated individuals if you don't do
9	something that I, that we said we going to throw
10	you in a orange jumper for you to go to court and
11	you know how the judge going to think if they see
12	you in an orange jumper. These are live threats
13	that I've actually heard them say to people. And
14	quickly I want to talk about the transport. DOC
15	representative came in here and he was saying the
16	bus catch on fire and all this stuff. Yes, if the
17	bus catch on fire it, and public safety but I want
18	you to, and he, and he also quickly mentioned
19	programming and how people always advocate for the
20	incarcerated individuals. But I want you to keep in
21	mind the majority of people that's being
22	transported to these courts have not been convicted
23	of a crime. That is [cross-talk]
24	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Oh yeah, I know

that.

#### COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

_	COMMITTED ON TIME TWO CIVILINATE COURTED
2	VICTORIA PHILLIPS: That's very
3	important. And I always tell people and I'm a tell
4	you today too, I'm a army brat. My mother's buried
5	in the military cemetery. That flag behind you
6	means something to me. And any, at any given time I
7	could be one of those people incarcerated and I
8	expect all of my rights and liberty. I expect
9	everything and my family served this motion for to
10	be given to me. So I, I advocate wholeheartedly for
11	the people that I witness being tortured behind the
12	walls because they have nobody to listen to them or
13	to see them. And I just wanted to let you know
14	before I shut up that, that he, he mentioned the
15	officers and, and having to protect the officers
16	and when P incarcerated individuals are talked
17	about they're talked about in the sense of having
18	these animal instincts or just not being human. But
19	I want you to know that as a community organizer I
20	had an officer's mother who was locked up reach
21	out to me. Someone told her about the work that I
22	do and how I could help because she was being

assaulted by officers. And she reached out to me.

She was ashamed for her son to even know she had

gotten arrested. And she called me to see what  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ 

23

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

could do for her. So I have a problem with officers

always coming to tell you the negative and, and

putting this bad light on people that are their own

family members. This is, this is real. I was pro, I

was racially profiled by NYPD on Saturday walking

down the street with two of my friends. And all I

kept saying was my god this was my friend and two

of his friends how would he even handle the

situation. And those were the type of people that

end up incarcerated because of broken windows

policing, racial profiling. These are what, and we

are not... for the city nor should we have our rights

violated on the street or behind the walls. You

have a nice day.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you for your advocacy. Thank you to the three of you for testifying. We're going to move to the next...

VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: I just want to mention one things. In the dorm where my husband was staying at... CO was talking about contraband being, being brought in by the inmates. He needs to check to see the COs that are bringing in the contraband. The COs are bringing contraband in to the inmates.

### COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Okay.

2.2

2.3

VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: The COs.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CROWLEY: We'll look into}$  that, thank you.

VIVIAN VELASQUEZ: Not the ...

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Next. Second to last panel. This is the rest of the people here today is from the jail action coalition. If you could... start in the order you with to begin and before you begin your testimony if you could state your name for the record.

JENNIFER PARISH: Sure. My name is

Jennifer Parish. I'm a member of the Jails Action

Coalition. And I'm here to present a portion of

JAC's testimony, the written testimony has been

given to you regarding the bills under

consideration today. The Jails Action Coalition is

an alliance of activists that includes formerly

incarcerated and currently incarcerated

individuals, family members, and other community

members who are working to promote human rights,

dignity, and safety for people in New York City

jails. We strongly support Intro 12-62 legislation

that would prohibit DOC from bringing incarcerated

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE individuals to court in DOC uniforms allowing individuals to wear their own clothes to court is fundamental to promoting basic fairness in the criminal legal system. We urge the council to require that individuals be allowed to wear their own clothes not only to all criminal court proceedings but also to family court proceedings as well. Additionally, if a person's clothes aren't available the court's, court clothes should be provided. Individuals who've been charged with a crime and are awaiting trial also known as detainees are in DOC custody because they cannot post bail. The DOC serves the court system by confining these individuals while their cases are, until their cases are resolved. That is the agency's fundamental purpose. All DOC policies regarding DO, detainees should be in the service of advancing the resolution of their criminal cases. DOC's current practice of bringing defendants to court in uniform flies in the face of the presumption of innocence and the right to a fair trial. It turns the whole system on its head. Being in custody while one's case is pending already places the defendant at a huge disadvantage

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE compared to those who are able to contest their charges while living in the community. It restricts their access to council and to assist in their own defense. We must not allow yet another obstacle to their receiving a fair trial. Contrary to what DOC... contrary to what DOC told you today we regularly learn of cases, of defendants whose trials are commit while they're, who are being brought to court in their uniforms on the day their trials are to commit. They also because of ineptitude bring them to court even when their trials are going on in their uniforms. I think the issue of the grand jury is a fundamental importance because I believe they never bring people to court in their street clothes for that purpose. Clothing matters. We all know that what we wear says something about us before we utter a word. Recognizing the seriousness of these proceedings none of you came to this hearing in your gym clothes. Incarcerated individuals whose liberty is at stake must be allowed to present themselves to the court and to the grand jury as human beings. They should be permitted to wear the clothing that matches their

gender identity. When they are dressed in jail garb

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE that demeans their humanity their guilt is presumed. They look like a person who's already serving a jail sentence. And I think that the shame and humiliation of people who leave court in those uniforms should also trouble this council. It's certainly an unacceptable burden to place on defense lawyers to address this problem. And the DOC has responsible, responsibility for housing defendants and must be required to allow them to dress in a manner of their choosing in their criminal proceedings. This is a question of fundamental fairness and the recognition of the human dignity of individuals. The vast majority of whom are too poor to pay for bail. Just finally we, we support Intro 12-61 and we must be committed to reducing the jail population and finding as many avenues to enable people to contest the charges against them without being punished in advance. This legislation is consistent with the city's efforts to reform the bail system. However, it should be amended to require the waiver of fees rather than merely allow for the possibility of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

doing so. Thank you.

## COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2	FAITH BARKSDALE: Hi. My name is Faith
3	Barksdale. I'm a member of the Jails Action
4	Coalition and I also volunteer as a teacher on
5	Ryker's specifically Rose and Singer Center. I've
6	spent about two or three hours a week for the past
7	18 months there. And I speak in support of Intro
8	11-52 to cap fees charged from family and friends,
9	deposit money into an incarcerated person's
10	commissary account. Currently JPAY and Western
11	Union, private corporations profit off of
12	incarcerated individuals as the public advocate
13	informed us, 77 percent of whom when their loved
14	ones make these transfers. There's no reason that
15	family and friends of incarcerated individuals to
16	be charged with exorbitant rates for transferring
17	money. Almost 50 percent of small deposits and
18	often exceeding the five-dollar cap established by
19	state law. To echo a statement, we heard earlier we
20	should not be in the business of taxing the family
21	and friends of detainees. Providing commissary is a
22	significant means by which families connect with
23	their incarcerated loved ones and ensure their
24	needs are met. We heard from the DOC earlier that
25	the fees that they charge are equal to or lower

1	COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE
2	than many jurisdictions. This is New York City. We
3	have better standards. We should be trail blazers
4	and vanguards. In the Rosen Singer Center, Rosies
5	[sp?] for example DOC provides 144 non-adhesive
6	menstrual pads per five detainees per week. This
7	load number often leaves menstruating women and
8	trans people held at Rosie's
9	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Sorry, how many
10	pads?
11	JENNIFER PARISH: 144. Is that what I
12	said? 144 per five detainees per week.
13	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So what is that
14	what is that for one person?
15	JENNIFER PARISH: I guess if you
16	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: 71? 144
17	JENNIFER PARISH: 144 pads per five
18	detainees.
19	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So 44 divided by
20	JENNIFER PARISH: I guess that's about
21	20, 28 maybe?
22	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So it's 26.
23	JENNIFER PARISH: 26.
24	CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I'm yeah.
25	JENNIFER PARISH: Okay 26 but

### COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: four or five days.

JENNIFER PARISH: I'm sorry? Yeah for four to five. But I mean if you take into account variations, women with...

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I was just trying to figure out...

JENNIFER PARISH: Okay, yeah sure. Okay this low number often leaves menstruating women and trans people held at Rosie's without access to vital hygiene projects unless they're able to show, to purchase them from commissary or also ensure the mutilating process of visually showing correction's officers their need for clean menstrual products. On a personal note as you notice voluminous afro type hair it actually requires special cleaning products that are not the standards provided by... at Ryker's. Talking to detainees with similar type hair. They actually have to purchase different products in order to ensure their hair is clean and healthy. ...detainee access to commissary leaves them at risk of not having their fundamental hygiene needs met and denies their humanity and personal violation while confined. Just a couple more. We support Intro 12-28-A investigating, reviewing,

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE studying, and auditing of making recommendations relating to operations, policies, programs, and practices with the DOC by the Commissioner of the DOI. We support legislation to create independent general, investigator general that'll have oversight of the Department of Corrections. For too long DOC has operated largely in secret. Recently the Board of Corrections has begun to provide more robust oversite in public reporting. But having a designated inspector general in the Department of Investigation will allow for enhanced oversight, transparency, and accountability. Okay. And then we also support Intro 12-60; transporting incarcerated individuals in DOC custody to all criminal court appearances.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RELLY GRACE-PRICE: Hi. I'm Kelly Grace

Price with the Jails Action Coalition. Three quick

notes. 26 menstrual pads seems like a lot for a

week but you use those menstrual pads to sit on the

toilet. Those toilets are permanently stained and

they're metal and they are freezing. You need those

menstrual pads to keep your body away from that

horror show that you're provided. Secondly this

comment about the DOC busses exploding in fire and

# 1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2 having to keep the community safe from us. Remember 3 that inside those DOC busses are cages. You're not 4 sitting on some bench sharing a PB&J and sipping on 5 milk with your neighbor. You are handcuffed and you are inside a cage. So I find it completely 6 offensive that the head of ... primary concern is 8 there is a bus explosion or fire is that inmates and detainees will run amuck through our community when in fact those people will be trapped on that 10 11 bus. And the, the third thing I want to say is just quickly this business of it being so time consuming 12 13 for the DOC members to go through peoples' personal 14 property and to give them their property every day 15 when they need to make court appearances. I had properties stolen. I'd mentioned many times that my 16 17 shoes were stolen by CO Rebelta [sp?]. She still 18 has them. I'm still asking for my... she went through 19 my property bags. That is not an isolated incident. 20 If the DOCs has time to go through our property 21 backs and to steal our property they have time to 2.2 give us our property so that we can show up and 2.3 make court appearances appropriately. Remember, I was charged with 324 counts of the now 24

unconstitutional CPLR240.30 which mandated that any

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE electronic communication that annoyed or alarms the 2 3 recipient was a criminal act. Off I went to Ryker's 4 because Cyrus Vance [sp?] needed to shut me up, the man who was pimping me, beating me, abusing me was his number one confidential informant for Operation 6 Crew Cut which has enabled Cy Vance to pump up his political efficacy regarding gun control and gangs 8 and increase his political power. People like me end up in, in Rosie's all the time. We are victims. 10 11 I can't believe that was two minutes. I didn't even 12 get a chance to address my testimony. But I'm 13 turning it in and I, I really want to emphasize 14 Laurie, I'm sad that that Councilman, Councilwoman 15 Laurie Cumbo wasn't here. I'm very appreciative of 16 her bill that specifically mandates the 17 introduction of trauma informed care. Please pay 18 attention to my testimony. I've spent a lot of time 19 speaking to all the national experts about trauma 20 informed care specifically regarding rape and sexual assault and the efficacy in evaluating those 21 claims as verified rather as un-fabricated. Note 2.2 2.3 that the DOC numbers that they have released to us about rape and sexual assault, even those mandated 24

by this city council that were voted on in April of

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2015, Local Law 33 mandated that the DOC provide
information about rape and sexual assault posted on
its website yearly and also compared and contrasted
between previous reporting period. If you reviewed
the, the current posting on the Department of
Corrections' website you only have some very...
numbers from 2015 I believe that are posted.
There's no comparison. They're not even paying
attention to the reporting laws that you are
mandating. So I would ask the council to please go
back and make sure that there's some teeth. And
please pay attention to my testimony about rape and
trauma... [cross-talk]

2.2

2.3

your testimony. The bill was voted out of committee today so we're looking to have these bills voted in the next stated meeting which is next week.

Alright. Wednesday, Wednesday. So... and then we'll continue the discussion you mentioned about reporting and adhering to all the bills that we passed. We want to make sure that DOC abides by the law. And so it's troubling. But I do appreciate you being here today, your advocacy, everybody who testified, and we'll continue to work together to

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE strengthen the laws. We are going to hear from JPay and then, and then I think we have one more panel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

GREGORY LEVINE: Hello everybody. Hell Council Members. My name is Greg Levine. I'm Executive Vice President here at JPay. With all due respect to the public advocate and all the folks that are in favor of IAT11-52 I feel they are terribly misinformed on, on the realities of money transfer and how it works in the Department of Corrections. I think maybe that your council is also misinformed. So I'm going to try to, try to fix that quickly in a, in a minute and 50 seconds here. Put my glasses on here. First of all the public advocate mentioned that City Pay is free for other agencies that is, that is absolutely false. They charge 2.49 percent merchant processing fees which would be 1.49 percent more than she's proposing in this bill. Our fees are more than that as well. All of our services are a convenience to the friends and family members of the DOC. They do not have to use our services or western union services. They could, they could walk in and, and give a money order or give cash for free. The reason that the DOC contracted with our company is

to make it more convenient for mom and dad to send money. So again they don't have to use our services. Number three somebody came up here and said that it was 10 dollars for 50 dollars, 10-dollar fee, it's not true. Our fee for 50 dollars and it's published on the DOC website is \$6.95 so that's not 10 dollars. By the way the average transfer fee is 48 dollars.

2.2

2.3

[background comments]

GREGORY LEVINE: You said you weren't sure actually.

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: ...the panel.

thing I want you to know about is that the

Department of Corrections wanted us to provide

intake kiosks and lobby kiosks... intake booking

kiosks. Now an intake kiosk costs JPAY thousands of

dollars to help the DOC intake inmates safer and

quicker. That's something we provide for free. And

to think the most important thing before I give it

to my written testimony very quickly is the

security that we provide to the DOC both the

offenders and the agency as well. By using our

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE
services, they have the ability to see who's
sending money in and track crime syndicates, drug
syndicates etcetera. And the New York City
Department of Corrections as well as all of our
other customers and incidentally we, we are the
money transfer provider for most of the offenders
in the united states, two million of them. We have
38 state contracts, 33 state contracts and 39 or so
county contracts.
CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Money, do you move
through, you said the average was 48 dollars. But
how much money do you move through the Department
of Correction each year.
GREGORY LEVINE: I am not sure the the
department [cross-talk] two million dollars they
said a year.
CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Two million?
GREGORY LEVINE: Yeah, that's what they
said, two million dollars. I'm not exactly sure if
that's accurate or not. I'd have to go back and
check.
CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Well how much does

GREGORY LEVINE: How much do we make?

JPay make?

## 1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Yeah. 3 GREGORY LEVINE: On two million? I 4 couldn't tell you. I don't know. I mean I can tell 5 you that our fees are... CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Alright. 6 7 GREGORY LEVINE: ...significantly higher... 8 [cross-talk] CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I know what your 10 fees are. I see them... [cross-talk] 11 GREGORY LEVINE: No I mean our, our 12 costs are significantly higher than one percent or 13 a cap on five dollars. As a matter of fact, with 14 all due respect council, councilwoman no company 15 will ever provide this service for those fees 16 period. It's not going to happen. You're going to, 17 the friends and family members are going to lose 18 the, the option, the convenience of having, of 19 sending money from their cell phone, of sending 20 money from Walmart. 21 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Does your company 2.2 give gifts in any way to the Department of 2.3 Correction?

24 GREGORY LEVINE: Gifts?

# 1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Like paid for food 3 or outings... 4 GREGORY LEVINE: Pay for nothing. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Conferences? GREGORY LEVINE: No, we pay for nothing 6 7 for any of our customers. Absolutely not. We do 8 not. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Do you have a 10 license to do the work that ... 11 GREGORY LEVINE: Yes, by the way that was also misinformation from the public advocate. 12 We're fully licensed in all 50 states. And we have 13 14 been for many... for several years now. 15 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: And in order to do 16 the work that you do in New York City jails have 17 you gone through a RFP or you're just... or blindly 18 given the ability to ... 19 GREGORY LEVINE: There, there was a, 20 there was a bid several years ago in 2007. We won. And then we had been renewed annually as the 21 department mentioned. 2.2 2.3 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: So what is the average. I understand the average is 48 dollars but 24

what is the most occurring so the mode amount?

### 1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2 GREGORY LEVINE: We don't allow more 3 than 300 dollars. 4 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: No, no, no like are you more often doing transactions of 20 dollars 5 6 versus... 7 GREGORY LEVINE: Well the ... CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I understand the 8 9 average so you're going to take them... all the money and divide it by the number of the transactions you 10 11 get 48 dollars which means that ... 12 GREGORY LEVINE: I don't want to ... CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Doesn't know... that 13 14 doesn't tell me that more often or not you're 15 getting under 20 dollars. 16 GREGORY LEVINE: I don't want to give you misinformation. I don't have that information 17 18 in front of me. I can tell you the average is 48 19 dollars... 20 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Be good to give 21 the committee like the mean, median, and mode. 2.2 GREGORY LEVINE: I'm sorry, can you say 2.3 that again? 24 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: The average, the

25

most occurring.

#### COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

GREGORY LEVINE: Sure. I could provide that to you.

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: It does seem very high and it's very unfortunate that families have to pay this amount of money. So I, I agree with the public advocate that there needs to be reform.

GREGORY LEVINE: Well you know they don't have to pay this amount of money if they choose not to. They can walk in or they can send a money order...

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: It is an onus.

It's very difficult to get to Ryker's Island from most parts of the city.

GREGORY LEVINE: Are you aware of the security that we provide by having the intelligence through who sends money from where?

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: I'm just aware of the needs that many families have to get money to inmates so that they can buy basic necessities. And then I'm aware of the amount of money that you're charging which seems excessive. And Western Union is doing the same and it doesn't seem right that we have families that are often just putting in 30 bucks because that's all they could spare that week

1 COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE 2 or that month. And then to see when they put in 30 3 dollars they, they have seven dollars taken out which is... 4 GREGORY LEVINE: Yeah. CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: ...yeah it's, it's 6 excessive. It's... 7 GREGORY LEVINE: Well I would tell you 8 9 this though Commissioner our fees are less than if you went to Western Union and transferred money to 10 11 your mom or something so... Our fees were not only 12 aligned with... CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: If I wanted to 13 14 give money to most of my family members I'm able to 15 do it online and without a... just a quick... most 16 banks these days, no charges. I'm often giving my, 17 my sons money that way and so I know about that. 18 But I have no further questions. Thank you for your 19 testimony today. We do have one last panel. 20 GREGORY LEVINE: Thank you. 21 CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: That came in late. We have Craig Levine from the Bronx Defenders. 2.2 2.3 CRAIG LEVINE: Thank you very much and at, at the outset my apologies for the late 24

submission of my... I was waiting to be called at

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE the, the hearing next door which is still ongoing from this morning. I got it. I won't take more. I'm Craig Levine. I'm the Managing Director for Civil Practice and External Affairs at the Bronx Defenders as you likely are aware. We are a holistic public defender organization based in the south Bronx the, in the poorest congressional district in the country. We represent in an average year about 30,000 people in criminal defense, family defense, immigration and a broad range of civil legal matters. I'm here briefly to express our strong support for the panoply of bills under consideration by this committee which collectively represent a set of necessary reforms and oversight provisions. Very briefly. Bringing defendants to courts in street clothes if they have them seems to us the most basic vindication of the, of the foundation of criminal due process, principal of the presumption of innocence. It's as simple as that. There's no way a negative presumption is not drawn by many fact finders, even judicial fact finders from the contrary. Bringing defendants to all of their court hearings, all of them, frankly when we learned of this issue was a little bit

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE surprising to us... both avoid lots of delay and protracted stays behind bars and also increase efficiency for the court system which as you know is an enormous issue particularly, particularly in the Bronx. And the subject now of pending federal litigation. The bail amounts are an enormous burden for those facing them who we know are vastly disproportionately impoverished allowing fees to be waived strikes us as imminent, reasonable, and can make the difference as we know in our civil practice between food being on the table and otherwise trauma informed care it has been state of the art for many years. It's time to require that and finally given the litany of abuses frankly not a week goes by, often not a day goes by without another report raising collective eyebrows, not just at our organization but around the city and institutionalize, institutionalizing and independent oversight IG function seems long overdue. Thank you for the opportunity to share our views.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CROWLEY: Thank you for your testimony. Thank you to all those who came today to testify. This concludes the fire and criminal

COMMITTEE ON FIRE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE justice hearing. We also have the NAACP that submitted testimony for today's hearing. Again this concludes the hearing of a fire and criminal justice September 26<sup>th</sup>, 2016. [gavel] 

## 

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date \_\_\_\_\_September 29, 2016