CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

----- X

September 22, 2016 Start: 11:27 a.m. Recess: 12:01 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E:

DAVID G. GREENFIELD

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Vincent J. Gentile

Annabel Palma

Inez E. Dickens

Daniel R. Garodnick

Darlene Mealy

Rosie Mendez

Ydanis A. Rodriguez

Peter A. Koo

Brad S. Lander

Stephen T. Levin

Jumaane D. Williams

Ruben Wills

Deborah L. Rose

Donovan J. Richards

Inez D. Barron
Andrew Cohen

Ben Kallos

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Antonio Reynoso Ritchie J. Torres Mark Treyger A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 4
[gavel]
CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Good morning.
My name is David Greenfield; I'm the Councilman from
the 44th Council District in Brooklyn; I'm privileged
to serve as the Chair of the Land Use Committee.
[background comments]
Folks, if you could either whisper or
take your conversations outside, we'd appreciate it.
I wanna welcome my esteemed colleagues
who are members of the Committee and who have joined
us here today Council Member Gentile, Palma,
Dickens, Mendez, Rodriguez, Koo, Rose, Richards,
Cohen, Reynoso, Torres, and Treyger, and congratulate
Council Member Koo for arriving early today.
I wanna thank Chair Dickens for her work
with the Planning Subcommittee; Chair Richards for
conducting our hearing for the Zoning Subcommittee,
and Chair Koo, as always, for his leadership of the
Landmarks Subcommittee.
The Committee will be voting today on
resolutions before you for presentation and vote by

the Full Council.

We're gonna start first with LU No. 458, Altus Café, which is an application to operate an

2.2

2.3

2 unenclosed sidewalk café located at 4325 Broadway in 3 Council Member Rodriguez's district.

LU 438, Adorama, this is an application for a special permit application submitted under Section 747-11 of the Zoning Resolution that would facilitate a 17-story building with commercial and residential use and the restoration of historic buildings in the Ladies Mile Historic District in Manhattan.

Because this application has generated some attention, I wanted to make sure that we provided some additional context to this Land Use Committee. The special permit would allow for changes in building form to accommodate building design approved by the Landmarks Preservation Commission. Over the course of a public discussion on this application, it has been argued that it is a test case for applying Mandatory Inclusionary Housing requirements to special permit applications. We heard testimony at our hearing on both sides of that very lengthy hearing and I wanna thank Chair Richards for his work to ensuring even-handed discussion.

Section 74-32 of the Zoning Resolution states that we should apply the MIH requirements

2.2

2.3

where a special permit approval would allow for a significant increase in residential floor area. The application we are now considering involves a series of waivers to height and setback regulations. The waivers the applicant is seeking are partially a reflection of the fact that the building is in a historic district which constrains the applicant's development site.

There are two key considerations, which taken together are the reason that the Council does not believe that MIH should be appropriately applied in this particular situation.

First, the maximum floor area permitted on the site will not change as a result of this approval; in fact, the applicants are proposing to build less floor area than what the zoning district currently permits.

Second, the applicant has demonstrated that it would be able to develop as much or more residential floor area than the amount being proposed on an as-of-right basis without needing any zoning waivers at all.

To be clear, the Council will be scrutinizing these kinds of applications very

2.2

2.3

carefully to ensure that we apply the MIH program consistently and we'll be looking carefully at the facts of each application to determine on a case by case basis whether future special permit applications lead to a significant increase in residential floor area.

I understand that the applicant has also agreed to work closely with Council Member Johnson to find ways of preserving affordable housing in this community that so desperately needs this and we'd like to thank the applicant for doing so and wish them luck.

I also wanna thank the Manhattan Borough
President for her advocacy on behalf of affordable
housing and for bringing this issue to our attention;
I think she provided us all with a service by having
a conversation on an interesting and disputed area of
law. And I also wanna thank Council Member Johnson
for his very careful consideration of the issues at
play in this application and arriving at what was
certainly a difficult, but in the Chair's opinion, a
very fair decision. Moving along.

Preconsidered LU, Barnett Avenue. I think everyone is aware that this is an application

Council Member Richards' district.

2.2

2.3

for rezoning in Council Member Van Bramer's district.

This application has been withdrawn and therefore

it's subject to what we call a motion to file.

Next we have two applications for the acquisition of buildings in Queens for their continued use as libraries in Council Member Koo and

First is LU No. 467, the Mitchell-Linden Library site which is an application submitted by the Queens Public Library and the Department of Citywide Administrative Services for the acquisition of property located at 31-32 Union Street.

Second is LU No. 468, the Rosedale

Library site which is an application submitted by the

Queens Public Library and DCAS for the acquisition of

property located at 144-20 243rd Street.

And finally, we have several applications from HPD. The first is LU No. 427, Hope East of Fifth Resyndication. This is an application for the disposition of property at 212 East 117th Street in the Speaker's district. Pursuant to Article 16 of the General Municipal Law, to Hope East of Fifth HDFC, [inaudible] will rehabilitate one multiple dwelling to create 14 rental dwelling units.

we also have LU 454, LU 455, LU 456, LU							
457, Southeastern Queens Vacant Homes, Clusters 1, 2,							
3, and 5. These are applications by HPD to propose							
UDAAP approval and property tax exemption under							
Section 696 under General Municipal Law for a vacant							
single-family home; in this case there are several							
vacant single-family homes. Cluster 1 is at 32-10							
102nd Street and that's in Council Member Julissa							
Ferreras-Copeland's district; Cluster 2, at 89-55							
208th Street is in Council Member Barry Grodenchik's							
district; Cluster 3, at 80-44 162nd Street is in							
Council Member Rory Lancman's district, and Cluster							
5, at 144-41 158th Street; 171-15 144th Avenue and							
222-33 Road and for the life of me, I don't							
understand why in Queens they even have streets,							
avenues and road, but that being said, those are							
located in Council Member Donovan Richards' district.							

And finally today we have Preconsidered

LU La Central tax exemption, which is a continuation

of the work that we did in our last hearing and this

is an application for tax exemption submitted by HPD

to facilitate La Central, a new mixed-use development

for which other actions were recently approved by the

Council in Council Member Salamanca's district.

\_

comment

2.3

all.

We also have been joined by Council

Member Kallos and counsel has scribbled something
that I cannot read, so I'm gonna take a short 10second break to decipher the words.

[pause]

Thank, you counselor. So in fact, while the Barnett application was withdrawn, it was technically never on our calendar and therefore we do not necessitate what is known as a motion to file. [background

Thank you, counselor.

Fascinating technical issue there.

All the members that have applications in their respective districts, they support the application and with that I will turn it to any members that would like to make any remarks. Anyone wanna make any remarks? Oh happy day. Are there any members who have any questions? Hearing none, I will ask the clerk to please call the roll and I will ask the clerk to please start with Chair Dickens.

COMMITTEE CLERK: William Martin,

Committee Clerk, roll call vote Committee on Land

Use. Council Member Dickens.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: I vote aye on

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 11
2	COMMITTEE CLERK: Chair Greenfield.
3	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Aye on all.
4	COMMITTEE CLERK: Gentile.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Aye on all.
6	COMMITTEE CLERK: Palma.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Aye on all.
8	COMMITTEE CLERK: Mendez.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Aye on all.
10	COMMITTEE CLERK: Rodriguez.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Aye.
12	COMMITTEE CLERK: Koo.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Aye on all.
14	COMMITTEE CLERK: Rose.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Aye on all.
16	COMMITTEE CLERK: Richards.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Congratulations
18	to Council Member Johnson and it was a pleasure to
19	work with Chair Greenfield on these applications.
20	With that being said, I vote aye.
21	COMMITTEE CLERK: Cohen.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER COHEN: Aye.
23	COMMITTEE CLERK: Kallos.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Permission to

explain to my vote.

3

4

5

7

8

\_

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Council Member Kallos to explain his vote.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I wanna thank the Zoning Committee for hearing this and a thorough discussion, particularly from Council Member Williams and Torres, as well as Council Member Johnson; I share some of the very same concerns about the Adorama site and the waivers and changes we're doing as part of this special permit, particularly allowing a sliver that would go from six stories up to 17 stories, eliminating the 60-foot requirement between buildings and going down to 50 feet, removing the setback which allows for the blocking of light and air, and fundamentally I'm concerned about having chosen between multiple models under the [inaudible] model, there would only be 45,730 square feet of residential FAR as they are proposed, brings it up to 68,097, which to me, I believe is a significant increase in residential. I understand that they have an alternative model that has 73,000 square feet of residential and that somehow it reduces, but in that case they end up with an additional 50,734 square feet of retail. I am overwhelmingly concerned with 74-32 which we amended under MIH and the fact that

21 COMMITTEE CLERK: Torres. 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I vote aye. 2.3 COMMITTEE CLERK: Treyger. 24 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Aye.

Lander.

COMMITTEE CLERK:

25

1	COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 14							
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Aye.							
3	COMMITTEE CLERK: By a vote of 15 in the							
4	affirmative, 0 in the negative and no abstentions,							
5	all items have been adopted.							
6	CHAIRPERSON GREENFIELD: Thank you. As							
7	is our practice, we're gonna keep the vote open for							
8	15 minutes and we'll round it up, so we'll say 11:55.							
9	[pause]							
10	COMMITTEE CLERK: Continuation roll call,							
11	the Committee on Land Use. Council Member Williams.							
12	[background comments]							
13	[pause]							
14	[background comments]							
15	COMMITTEE CLERK: Quiet please.							
16	[background comments]							
17	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I vote aye on							
18	all, with the exception of 438, which I abstain.							
19	[pause]							
20	COMMITTEE CLERK: Continuation roll call,							
21	Committee on Land Use. Council Member Wills.							
22	[background comments]							
23	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: I vote aye on all.							
24	You've got me on aye on all. Okay.							

[pause]

hearing is hereby adjourned.

1		COMMITTEE	ON	LAND	USE	16
2	[ga	vel]				
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 12, 2016