<u>New York City Economic Development Corporation</u> New York City Council Hearing: 141 Willoughby Street Jeffrey Nelson, Executive Vice President, Real Estate Transaction Services October 5, 2016

Good morning Chairman Richards and members of the subcommittee on zoning and franchises. My name is Jeffrey Nelson, and I am Executive Vice President of Real Estate Transaction Services at the New York City Economic Development Corporation or EDC.

EDC Background

At EDC, it is our mission to create shared prosperity across New York City's five boroughs by strengthening neighborhoods and growing good jobs. By leveraging partnerships between the public and private sectors, we stimulate the economy with real estate developments that create jobs, build and revive communities, and achieve important policy goals to improve quality of life for all New Yorkers. I am here today to in support of the proposed actions, including the sale of 2,600 square feet of City-property to Savanna, which is developing a mixed-use building on the adjacent property.

Project Background

EDC and Savanna signed a contract in May 2016. Assuming a successful application, Savanna will construct a project that accomplishes key administration goals. The development program that adds much-needed commercial office space to Downtown Brooklyn, helps address the City's housing affordability crisis by delivering an MIH-compliant program, delivers ground floor retail and provides an enhanced, permanently accessible public open space to foster active,

vibrant street life along one of the Borough's major corridors. I'd like to briefly touch on each of these elements in greater depth.

Office Space

In recent years, Downtown Brooklyn and the surrounding area solidified itself as a thriving cultural scene and a growing tech/creative cluster. However, the area's growth into a mixed-use hub has been slowed by a lack of available office space – and particularly new construction – despite strong demand. In February 2016, the office of Brooklyn Borough President Eric Adams released a report that noted the area's vacancy rate for office is as low as 3.4%, compared to 10% in Lower Manhattan and 6% in Midtown. The report called on the City to use its zoning tools to increase the production of commercial space in the Downtown Brooklyn. We support the Borough President's recommendation. Earlier this year, EDC released an analysis that projected citywide market demand for new commercial space could reach as much as 60 million square feet over the next decade. Despite low vacancy rates, the delivery of new space in Downtown Brooklyn has been particularly challenging due to competing economic demands and a lack of anchor tenants. By delivering new commercial space through an innovative, mixed-use model, 141 Willoughby can meet the growth needs of the local economy, generate more jobs and increase tax revenue.

When completed, Savanna's project will add nearly 144,000 square feet of commercial space, approximately 98,000 square feet of which will be office space. Without these proposed actions, Savanna would not develop any office space.

Affordable Housing

EDC is also pleased that this development will create 81 new units of permanently affordable housing through the Mandatory Inclusionary Housing program. As the Council is well aware, this Administration is committed to addressing New York City's affordable housing crisis. Mayor de Blasio's plan to build and preserve 200,000 units of affordable housing over the next decade is ambitious and timely – as costs of living in New York City skyrocket and our population rises, the City must seize every opportunity to address this critical need. The affordable housing program is made possible by the rezoning application.

Neighborhood Amenities

In addition to creating commercial space and affordable housing, this project presents an opportunity to deliver neighborhood amenities that enhance quality of life. Savanna will transform an uninviting open space, undertaking a redesign that includes improved landscaping, furniture, and lighting. Once completed, Savanna will fund the maintenance of the open space in perpetuity, thus reducing a City maintenance obligation. While Savanna will own the property, a permanent access agreement will ensure the open space remains useable for the general public in perpetuity.

Savanna will also build 45,000 square feet of retail on the first and second floors of the building. This addition will provide services to local residents, activate the surrounding streets, and complement EDC's efforts at nearby projects such as the Willoughby Square open space and garage, currently under construction, and the City Point development, portions of which have already opened to the public.

Conclusion

I ask the Council to approve this application to achieve our shared goals of providing Downtown Brooklyn with additional commercial office space, affordable housing, and public open space, and thereby support the neighborhood's continued transformation into a vibrant, 24/7 live/work community. Thank you for your time and consideration. July 13, 2016

;

Eric Adams, President Borough of Brooklyn 209 Joralemon Street Brooklyn, NY 11201

RE: ULURP and Related Applications N160029ZRK, C160030ZMK and C160054MMK

Dear Borough President Adams:

I write to share with you my profound concerns regarding the proposed building at 141 Willoughby Street. I write informed by my experience residing in neighboring Boerum Hill since 1983, as an involved community leader coordinating the response of ten community groups to the Downtown Brooklyn Plan, as a small business owner in Downtown Brooklyn since 1997, and now as the Member of Assembly representing Downtown Brooklyn.

As I understand it, the developer Savanna seeks:

- A text amendment to establish a maximum FAR within the Special Downtown Brooklyn District of 18.
- A zoning map amendment to rezone the Project Site at 141 Willoughby Street to C6-6 and a further text amendment to establish special height and setback controls for the Project Site.

As you know, the Downtown Brooklyn Plan significantly up-zoned the area after significant study and investment a mere 12 years ago to an FAR of 12, which already allows for extremely tall buildings. The issue of height alone, however, is not my primary concern. Rather, it is the utter failure of the City to consider the cumulative impacts of such a rezoning (which require compliance with the New York State and New York City environmental quality review statutes and regulations) and all that entails.

Recently, my office conducted a survey of residents and businesses seeking their input regarding development in the Downtown Brooklyn area. We received 574 responses, nearly 92% of which

agreed that development in Downtown Brooklyn needs a fresh look and a new approach that better ensures community needs are addressed.

As you may recall, the Downtown Brooklyn Plan envisioned a total of 5.3 million *sf* of new development, of which 4.2 million *sf* was anticipated to be commercial. Further, its Environmental Impact Study (EIS) calculated impacts based on an anticipated 973 residential units. Already over 10,500 units have been built and an estimated 7,000 more are on deck. Thus, the development that has taken place under the Downtown Plan is the opposite of that envisioned by City Planning and the Plan's proponents. The district's residents and small businesses have already given density in furtherance of the Plan, they should not be asked to give still more.

At the time of the Downtown Plan's ULURP, the Department of City Planning pooh-poohed the idea that the next market might be largely residential and that the zoning might need to address a growing residential community with the infrastructure improvements and additions needed by an increased number of residents, such as increasing transit capacity, improving water and sewer and road beds, off-street parking, improved utility capacity, as well as the need to increase the capacity of area schools, health care, police and fire and -a key issue in the debate at the time - the significant and growing need for open space. Indeed, the site in question was to have been a grassy knoll under the original proposal, but the owner was successful in having the site removed from the plan. Had it been rezoned, it would have been rezoned to C6-4.5 with an FAR of 12.

The proposed zoning actions would be a major change in land use policy in Downtown Brooklyn and would have the potential to generate significant adverse environmental impacts, most notably the impacts which would arise from growth induced by the proposed increase of maximum FAR to 18 within the Special Downtown Brooklyn District. Such drastic changes in zoning should be preceded by careful study and environmental analysis, neither of which has occurred.

The proposed ULURP should be rejected because:

- 1. Mapping a C6-6 zone with a base FAR of 15 in downtown Brooklyn and its associated text and map changes are a radical departure from the City's past land use policies in downtown Brooklyn, specifically, and outside Manhattan, more generally. The Proposed Zoning Actions would establish:
 - the first zone outside of Manhattan with a maximum base FAR of 18,
 - the only zone in Brooklyn with an FAR in excess of 12, and
- 2. It is exceedingly rare for the City to authorize a base FAR of 15, and it is entirely unprecedented that a base FAR of 15 would be allowed outside Manhattan. The City has mapped these zones only where it intends to induce a massive amount of new construction and a very substantial increase in the size and scale of as-of-right development. For example,
 - A base 15 FAR zone in the Special Midtown District was mapped in 1982 to produce "major new development to bring about a dramatic change in the area." The City wanted to spur very substantial new investment to reverse the deterioration of and

encourage new development in Midtown West, where then-existing market rents did not support private development.

- Zoning three blocks in Long Island City to a base FAR of 15 was part of an effort to encourage enough development to "provid[e] for a new CBD" in a manufacturing area. Mandatory subway improvements, which were identified as needed to support the additional density, were required to aid this transformation.
- The 2004 Downtown Brooklyn rezoning, which sought to dramatically increase density and facilitate a Central Business District while managing the significant environmental impacts from this change, did not allow FAR of more than 12.
- 3. These changes have implications far beyond the 141 Willoughby site because the opportunity to achieve a higher (sometimes much higher) FAR will encourage owners and developers to seek rezonings that will allow denser development and may make development of additional sites profitable. Moreover, there is no reason to believe that the City would not fully support such rezonings, especially given the precedent proposed to be set at the 141 Willoughby site. The rationale for this rezoning ". . . consisten[cy] with the Downtown Brooklyn Development Plan's goal of promoting higher density uses in areas well served by transit" could apply to virtually every soft site in Downtown Brooklyn.
- 4. Previous rezonings to 15 and 18 FAR followed extensive study weighing the potentially significant adverse impacts of these high-density zones and included measures to mitigate such impacts.
- 5. Unlike other major re-zonings to increase density, including the Downtown Plan, the proposal is based on no study, no environmental review, no analysis of economic or other indicators or impacts. In fact, it would have the effect of nullifying the Downtown Plan, which was passed only after years of analysis and painstaking study.
- 6. This City should have, *but did not*, study the cumulative impacts of such a radical upzoning. Instead it unilaterally increased the FAR it certified for ULURP review form 12 to 18 without conducting any area-wide planning studies. Rather it looked only at the 141 Willoughby Street site. There is no record of its having considered, let alone studied the effects of the proposed zoning in Downtown Brooklyn and the affected neighborhoods.
- 7. The City's rationale, the need for increasing affordable housing and inducing commercial building is factually incorrect. No public support is needed to develop in the Downtown Brooklyn of today. Witness the several million *sf* of private development under construction and contemplated in the greater Downtown Brooklyn area.
- 8. The proposed ULURP does not comply with environmental review requirements under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA); the City Environmental Quality

Review (CEQR)(See <u>Fischer v Giuliani</u>); the CEQR Technical Review Manual; and the city's own past practices in connection with the few existing 18 FAR rezonings.

1 1 17

9. As Community Board 2 has testified, "[t]he proposed rezoning creates tens of millions of dollars of value for the developer, for which the City receives little in return. The 81 units of affordable housing included in the building program are now required pursuant to the Mandatory Inclusionary Housing text amendment approved in March. While it would be nice to have the public plaza renovated and maintained in perpetuity by the developer, there are other mechanisms for achieving the same result. This leaves the new office space as the only significant new public benefit, which Community Board 2 does not believe requires subsidy, which in this case takes the form of additional floor area granted through the rezoning."

As noted above, Community Board 2 has recommended disapproval of this ULURP. It is right to note that the public has already paid for the increased density in Downtown Brooklyn. The development community has received enormous profits from building residential space and the area has rebounded from a sleepy commercial business district to a vibrant area, soon to be struggling not to fall victim to its own success. We need your leadership to work together to ensure that the gains are not lost.

Very truly yours,

Jo Anne Simon Member of Assembly

ş

October 5, 2016

Remarks by Andrew Hoan, Executive Vice President & Chief of Staff, Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce at a public hearing held by the City Council Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises in support of the ULURP application for the development of 141 Willoughby Street, CALENDAR ITEM 1 — 160030 ZMK AND 160054 MMK

Good morning,

My name is Andrew Hoan and I am the Executive Vice President & Chief of Staff at the Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce speaking on behalf of Carlo A. Scissura, Esq., President and CEO of the Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce in full support of the development at 141 Willoughby Street.

The Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce is a membership-based business assistance organization which represents the interests of its member businesses, as well as other businesses across the borough of Brooklyn. The Brooklyn Alliance is the not-for-profit economic development organization of the Chamber, which works to address the needs of businesses through direct business assistance programs.

The project for the development of the mixed use site at 141 Willoughby Street by Savanna Real Estate Fund is a much welcomed addition to Downtown Brooklyn bringing much needed affordable residential units, ground floor retail, office space, as well as a renovated and permanently maintained park.

There is a lack of Class A office space in Downtown Brooklyn. If approved, this project would provide approximately 98,000 SF of such critically needed office space. In addition, inclusion of 30% of the total units as affordable will help address a shortage of affordable housing for the community. The preservation, renovation, and maintenance of parkland (Lot 8) as per the deed restriction that the developer has agreed to abide by is part of this proposal. As the area increases in residential and employment usage, the perpetual maintenance of parkland is key to addressing the shortage of open space that workers and residents alike need

The current zoning allows residential and retail use as of right. The developers are seeking to allow for more affordable housing and office space. But were it not for this ULURP item, we would not see the development of 30% affordable housing, or the improvement of parkland, added retail, and desperately needed office space. We believe that this proposal will create a balanced development in a pedestrian and transit heavy downtown corridor.

The Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce shares the Community Board, Borough President's Office, and City Council Member's views that schools are critically needed in Downtown Brooklyn, and we agree with the School Construction Authorities' (SCA) opinion on the matter that this location is may not be ideally situated or configured. We have worked in partnership with stakeholders and met with the SCA and were pleased that funds have already been allocated for a several thousand school seats in Downtown Brooklyn. Siting the needed school facilities at an appropriate location that will produce the best possible space for children should be a priority for all of us.

We are in full support of this calendar item on behalf of Savanna Fund Real Estate.

Thank you.

CAS/ah

The Savanna Application for Zoning Change

I appear before this committee as a longtime Brooklyn resident. I have lived in Brooklyn Heights since 1973, following graduation from Brooklyn Law School, and my father owned a bar and grill on Nevins Street near Flatbush Avenue. Accordingly, I am from Brooklyn before it got "cool."

Like the ancient Hebrews worshiping the golden calf at Mt. Sinai, the De Blasio Administration worships at the idol of affordable housing. Real estate developers have apparently learned that where they agree to provide affordable housing, such concerns arise as:

- (1) project density
- (2) needed off-street parking
- (3) employing union employees
- (4) access to neighborhood schools (pre-K, elementary and middle school)
- (5) existence of and overtaxing the water, sewer and utility systems

Disturbingly, the City Planning Commission, in considering the Savanna development application, not merely ignored the concerns of the local Community Planning Board, it was made prior to costs imposed on the City for:

- (1) tax abatements
- (2) school construction
- (3) providing hookups to utilities

It also appears that at some point in the development process the City sold existing air rights over the Department of Health building on Flatbush Avenue. It is unknown if the City was adequately compensated, that the legal right to sell them, or if this is another Rivington Street situation.

This project sadly reveals a *laissez faire* attitude, in which we delegate to real estate developers to shape the downtown Brooklyn landscape driven by the "siren song" of financial gain, but scrupulously avoiding accepting the responsibility to provide municipal services for the residents. Much like manufacturers who produce their products, but then proceed to dump their production wastes in the rivers and streams, and fouling the environment, an overtaxed citizenry is required to devote scarce municipal resources to <u>remediate</u> the harms caused by overdevelopment.

Finally, addressing "urban optics," from an architectural perspective glass and steel buildings age poorly when compared to brick. Compare the "Brooklyn Bridge Park" buildings with their promenade overlooking brick counterparts. Brick ages more gracefully than steel and glass. New may be cheaper to build, but it robs us of both our dignity, and our soul (think of Jane Jacobs's "Life and Death of American Cities").

I accordingly urge you to say NO to the new "urban highwaymen" – the real estate developers who the Mayor empowers. Like the ancient Hebrews worshiping the golden calf, this

administration worships at the shrine of "affordable housing." Does anyone even know what that term means for the apartments sought to be built?

We will all pay dearly for our "idol worship" long after the Mayor has departed office.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York October 5, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

Roger B. Adler

(212) 406-0181 rbalaw@verizon.net



SSOCIATION 55 Pierrepont Street, Box 17D Brooklyn, NY 11201 (718) 858-9193 info@thebha.org www.thebha.org

Statement Before the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises, October 5, 2016

My name is Peter Bray. I am the Executive Director of the Brooklyn Heights Association. I appreciate this opportunity to speak for 20,000 residents of Brooklyn Heights in opposing this rezoning action.

The city needs more below market rate housing, office space options and well maintained open space, but regardless of the specific merits of this project, it cannot and should not be evaluated independent of its consequences for Downtown Brooklyn and nearby neighborhoods. For if this application is approved, it will set a precedent to similarly upzone throughout this area and lead to a 50% increase in its allowable density.

It is the BHA's position that this rezoning application must be considered in a broader context. As you know, the 2004 rezoning of Downtown Brooklyn was intended to make it a third central business district. Only 1,000 housing units were expected over ten years. But according to a recent Brooklyn Borough President study, 11,000 units have been built or are in the pipeline.

The report found that "the gap between what was assumed ... and what has been developed warrants a fresh look at how to accommodate past and future growth and ... capital budget investment" and that the City should explore a zoning text amendment to encourage more commercial development coupled with an assessment of the area's infrastructure needs. Most importantly, it also posits that "each instance of a discretionary land use action in the rezoned area should include recommendations for additional school seats and infrastructure."

The rezoning of 141 Willoughby does not do involve a fresh look, assessment or recommendation, but is more of a "build first, mitigate later" approach. But mitigation is not always possible. The City now cannot find a single location for a downtown school, despite the recognized need for 3,000 new school seats.

This action before you is not a solution to a problem but a recipe for more and more dysfunction. We deserve a serious planning effort.

Accordingly, the BHA asks the subcommittee to reject this rezoning application.

I live with my family at 140 Cadman Plaza West, 23 floors above the exit ramp from the Brooklyn Bridge.

Sixteen years ago, as owner of Roberto Cappuccino Caffè on Court Street, mine was one of the first wave of successful, small businesses that closed due to high rents.

Overdevelopment is obviously not working. The City of New York is a less hospitable, more crowded, more noisy, more expensive place to survive.

In addition to the paucity of school seats, there is also the serious component of heavy traffic with its health & pollution impact, the transformation of our area into a major tourist magnet, the closure of Long Island Hospital, the sale of our neighborhood library, an overcrowded subway and bus system, the impact of lengthy periods of daily and nightly construction activities, overburdened sewage, trash collection and energy deficits.

The DiBlasio administration's policy of collaborating with luxury real estate interests has erased the vestiges of neighborhood identity and community foundations.

The City of New York with collaboration of City Council members agreed to the sale of the Cadman Plaza Public Library as well as the sale of the highly-rated Long Island College Hospital. Today, we have no hospital for our area.

Overdevelopment and the sale of AIR RIGHTS should stop because the process is taking place with inadequate attention to infrastructure.

I urge Councilman Levin to vote "no" on the 141 Willoughby project. His "yes" vote to sell our library and LICH has already been noted by his constituents.

ROBERTO GAUTIER 140 CADMAN PLAZA WEST BKLYN, NY 11201

Testimony: In opposition to Savanna's request for rezoning 141 Willoughby St.

Toba Potosky, Board President of Cadman Towers, a Mitchell-Lama Co-op located in Downtown Brooklyn.

Good Morning Council Members,

Thank you for giving me an opportunity to speak to you today. My name is Toba Potosky. I am the President of the Board of Directors at Cadman Towers, a Mitchell Lama co-op located in Downtown Brooklyn.

First, I would like to submit for the record the signatures of more than 238 Cadman Tower's residents urging our Council Member Steve Levin and the Council's Land-Use Committee to reject the rezoning application by the development firm Savanna, that would dramatically increase the allowable FAR beyond 12 at 141 Willoughby St.

I am joined by my neighbors from other co-ops in downtown Brooklyn in opposition to this request. They have also collected signatures from their neighbors. We are supported in this effort by BP Eric Adams, State Senator Velmanette Montgomery, Assembly-member's Jo Anne Simon and Walter Mosley and Community Board 2. Together we represent thousands of downtown Brooklyn residents who unfortunately could not be here today. However, I am here today to urge you to join us in rejecting Savanna's request.

Savanna's request, if approved would set a precedent that would dramatically change the allowable heights and densities for construction within a district that was studied for three years before its creation in 2001 and its ULURP approval in 2004.

Unlike in the past, in this instance there has been no Environmental Impact Statement, no study of impacts on infrastructure, no provisions for additional school seats or transportation or any of the other considerations of the impact that such a massive new complex at 141 Willoughby Street would have on the surrounding area.

The failure to conduct even minimal impact studies of such a dramatic up-zoning on the area around 141 Willoughby constitutes a lack of compliance with both the State and City Environmental Quality Review Acts (SEQRA and CEQRA) and is inconsistent with the city's past practices. Failure to conduct these studies should in and of itself prevent the lawful approval of the proposed request. Moreover, allowing this rezoning to go forward would act as an incentive for other developers to similarly seek FAR 18 on other sites in Downtown Brooklyn without the careful consideration of an environmental impact survey.

With several million square feet of office space already planned or under construction in Downtown Brooklyn without this change, it's hard to understand the rationale for pushing ahead.

For those of you who remember your geography or the 70's TV show Welcome Back Kotter, Brooklyn would be the 4th largest city in America if it were a city. Meaning, we have plenty of space to build affordable housing without over-crowding an already overburdened area.

If my neighbors were here in person today they would want you all to know about the number of overcrowded subway trains they have to let go before they can find space for themselves, or the one elementary school with a waiting list and lottery for a spot in their kindergarten, or the space where Long Island College Hospital once stood, and will soon be replace by a 4 high-rise towers.

I hope that you will listen to our request and to the request of our elected officials and reject the rezoning application by Savanna for the project site located at 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.

1

Thank you.

Testimony before the New York City Council Committee on Land Use on Rezoning of 141 Willoughby Street By Allen Rosen, Resident of 75 Henry Street, Brooklyn, NY11201 Email:arosen7@nyc.rr.com

My name is Allen Rosen and I have been a Brooklyn Heights resident for more than 20 years. I live at 75 Henry Street, also known as the Whitman Owner Coop with more than 350 residential units and commercial spaces. Some of you might remember our coop whose shareholders, in support of our neighbors and community, turned down a developer's offer in the range of 100 million dollars for a piece of our property. Had we sold this land, our Coop and shareholders would have been in a financially enviable position for many years to come. My apartment faces downtown Brooklyn and from my window and balcony I have an excellent view of most of the new developments arising in my community.

I totally understand and agree with Mayor Deblasio's vision for more affordable housing in our City. I support the 2004 rezoning of downtown Brooklyn to allow for additional commercial space and more affordable living units. It is my understanding that the maximum floor to area ratio for most of downtown Brooklyn is 12, assuming that it includes commercial space and affordable housing.

I disagree with Savanna's request to rezone its 141 Willoughby Street property to a FAR of 18. Additionally, from my building alone 74 residents have signed petitions against this project. On the surface, it may seem like this is no big deal. One 49 story mixed use tower with approximately 80 affordable apartments. However, the precedent that this could set would likely destroy the livability of our community. If you approve an exception for one developer, what stops other developers from using this rezoning for additional properties? They have the financial and political resources to make this exception work for them.

Please consider that this precedent could add 1/3 more to the height of new developments in downtown Brooklyn without addressing the Borough's services and infrastructure. Schools, buses, subways and other services could not address the huge influx of new residents to our area. The skyline of downtown Brooklyn could look like that of Hong Kong or Dubai.

I respectfully ask that you reject Savanna's request to rezone the property at 141 Willoughby Street.



Downtown Brooklyn Partnership 1 MetroTech Center North, Suite 1003 Brooklyn, NY 11201 www.downtownbrooklyn.com

Wednesday, October 5, 2016

City Council Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises New York City Hall City Hall Park New York, NY 10007

Good Morning Council Members:

I am Alan Washington, Managing Director of Real Estate + Economic Development at the Downtown Brooklyn Partnership, and on behalf of DBP, I would like to voice our strong support for Savanna's planned mixed-use project at 141 Willoughby Street.

As the not-for-profit economic development organization that serves to foster the growth of Downtown Brooklyn as a world-class business, cultural, educational, residential, and retail destination, we advocate for projects that offer multiple needed improvements to our neighborhood – particularly those that address the diverse goals of the 2004 rezoning.

To that end, the 141 Willoughby project is a tremendous example of a true mixed-use project offering much needed retail, housing, and office space to Downtown Brooklyn. First, we support the 270 proposed apartments in the project. New York City's population growth is expected to add 1 million residents by 2030, a 35% faster growth rate than the period between 2000 and 2010 according to a report by Columbia University. This means that New York City can expect over 5,000 new residents per month for the next sixteen years underscoring the immediate need for housing. We are particularly pleased that 30% of the apartments in the project will be set aside as affordable - one of the largest percentages in in Downtown Brooklyn. With over 3,500 local residents who have attended affordable housing seminars we hosted over the past year and the 89,000 applications submitted for the last affordable housing lottery in Downtown Brooklyn, we know the demand for affordable housing is extraordinary.

Second, over the last few years, Downtown Brooklyn has experienced tremendous growth in demand for office space. The emergence of Downtown Brooklyn, DUMBO, and the Brooklyn Navy Yard as an entrepreneurial hub has created tremendous demand for additional office space in Downtown Brooklyn, having seen a 22% increase in the number of innovation firms alone. With not enough office space planned to meet future growth projections and an incredibly low commercial vacancy rate of 3%, we are facing a space crisis that threatens to



Downtown Brooklyn Partnership 1 MetroTech Center North, Suite 1003 Brooklyn, NY 11201 www.downtownbrooklyn.com

halt this incredible momentum. Therefore, the addition of 98,000 square feet of commercial space at 141 Willoughby will help foster the innovation and economic engine that is taking off here in Brooklyn.

Also noteworthy is Savanna's commitment to making permanent improvements to the 2,500 square foot plaza north of the project site that will remain open to the public permanently. We also support Savanna's goals to work with local hiring initiatives and MWBE programs in the construction and operations of the project.

Finally, given the multiple uses proposed for the project, the building's location within the core of an urban environment on Flatbush Avenue, the proximity to similarly sized buildings, and the strength of the infrastructure in the vicinity, particularly transit, we believe the scale and design of the proposed building is most appropriate for the site's location in the heart of Downtown Brooklyn. This is an example of smart density and we urge you to move forward in support of this project.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Alan Washington Downtown Brooklyn Partnership

FOR THE RECORD



BROOKLYN BALLET

Brooklyn Ballet is a professional not-for-profit dance company dedicated to artistic excellence and education, reflecting Brooklyn's diverse communities.

Who We Serve



Brooklyn Ballet serves people throughout Brooklyn and from Manhattan, Queens, the Bronx, Staten Island and New Jersey.

712 students (436 children, 276 adults) attend Brooklyn Ballet School this year. The school is approximately 40% White, 37% Black/African American, 9% Hispanic, 8% Asian, 3% biracial and 3% other.

Brooklyn Ballet offers scholarships to public school students from our "Elevate" programs in Weeksville, Brooklyn Navy Yard, Canarsie, Flatlands and Downtown Brooklyn and to low-income students throughout Brooklyn.

What Expansion Means



Brooklyn Ballet has proven over its 14-year existence that its community-based approach to dance education and performance really works.

Every year we grow, and we do so with a sense of collaboration and inclusivity, making dance accessible to all.

This year – as we step back to marvel at our success – we also look critically at what we must do to pave a path for continual growth.

What Expansion Means



Our earned income has grown consistently each year, but we can double that figure by offering additional classes in two more studios of our own (current total revenue is ~\$600k).

Expansion will attract many more school participants and provide more earned income. Our reach and margin will expand, allowing us to increase scholarships and support a conservatory and performance. Diversity and accessibility will remain our hallmarks as we grow.

What Expansion Means



More performances for our professional company, providing greater financial stability to professional dancers.

Elevate public school residency in more public schools – eventually, in every district in Brooklyn.

A world-class Brooklyn Ballet Conservatory, so our talented dancers no longer have to travel to Manhattan to prepare for professional careers – they can continue their studies at home in Brooklyn.



141 Willoughby St. Presentation

October 5, 2016

OWNER:

SAVANNA 430 Park Avenue, 12th Floor New York, NY 10022 T 212 229 0101

ARCHITECT:

Morris Adjmi Architects 60 Broad Street, 32nd Floor New York, NY 10004 T 212 982 2020

LEGAL COUNSEL:

Greenberg Traurig, LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 T 212 801 9200

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT:

AKRF, INC. 440 Park Ave South, 7th Floor New York, NY 10016 T 646 388 9751

MAPPING CONSULTANT:

Philip Habib & Associates 102 Madison Avenue, 11th Floor New York, NY 10016 T 212 929 5656

Site Context



Zoning Sectional Map Detail





www.ma.com

141 Willoughby St. Presentation

Project Area Photographs





VIEW OF SITE, FACING NORTHWEST FROM FLATBUSH AV. EXT. AND WILLOUGHBY ST INTERSECTION.



3 VIEW OF SITE, FACING SOUTH FROM GOLD ST AND FLATBUSH AV. EXT. INTERSECTION.





Morris Adjmi Architects 141 Willoughby St. SAVANNA

141 Willoughby St. Presentation

VIEW OF SITE, FACING NORTHEAST FROM GOLD ST AND WILLOUGHBY ST INTERSECTION.

PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN JUNE 6, 2014.

Тах Мар



Morris Adjmi Architects www.ma.com

141 Willoughby St. Presentation

THREE PROPOSED ACTIONS

Zoning Map Amendment rezone a C6-1 + C6-4 to a C6-6 district

Zoning Text Amendments

- designate a new MIH area
- establish a C6-6 district within the SDBD
- modify the SDBD's envelope regulations

City Map Change

demap a portion of Flatbush Avenue Extension



Zoning District Comparison

C6-1 (EXISTING)

7.8 FAR

(MAX. 3.44 RES. FAR, ASSUMES PLAZA BONUS) CURRENT ZONING

WITHOUT HPD LOT DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS

RESIDENTIAL: 9 FLOORS / 67,768 GSF HOTEL: 9 FLOORS / 62,392 GSF RETAIL: 2 FLOOR + CELLAR* / 31,681 GSF COMMUNITY FACILITY: 1,055 GSF

C6-6 (PROPOSED)

18 FAR

INCLUDES HPD LOT DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS

RESIDENTIAL: 40 FLOORS / 275,188 GSF OFFICE: 7 FLOORS / 98,353 GSF **RETAIL:** 2 FLOORS + CELLAR* / 45,357 GSF HOTEL: NONE







www.ma.com

141 Willoughby St. Presentation

DWELLING UNITS

APPROX. 985 GSF PER UNIT. BASED ON TOTAL RESIDENTIAL AREA

	AFFORDABLE UNITS	MARKET UNITS	TOTAL UNITS
C6-1	0	70	70
C6-6	81	189	270
NET CHANGE C6-1 TO C6-6	+81	+119	+200

COMMERCIAL AREA

	RETAIL (GSF)	OFFICE (GSF)	HOTEL (GSF)	TOTAL (GSF)
C6-1	31,681	-	62,392	94,073
C6-6	45,357	98,353	0#	143,710
OFFICE INCREASE C6-1 TO C6-6		98,353		

TOTAL PROPOSED GSF: 418,898 (372,078 ZFA)

<u>LEGEND</u>

RESIDENTIAL

OFFICE

RETAIL

HOTEL

COMMUNITY FACILITY

*NOTE: ALL AREA CALCULATIONS ARE GSF.

Streetscape Elevations







NOTE: ILLUSTRATIVE DEPICTION OF PROPOSED BUILDING WITH ESTIMATED ELEVATION HEIGHTS OF SURROUNDING BUILDINGS.

View of Site Facing South from the Manhattan Bridge





NOTE: ILLUSTRATIVE DEPICTION OF PROPOSED BUILDING WITH APPROXIMATE MASSING.

View of Site Facing South on Gold St.





Morris Adjmi Architects 141 Willoughby St. SAVANNA

141 Willoughby St. Presentation

View of Site Facing NW from Flatbush Av Ext & Willoughby St





www.ma.com

141 Willoughby St. Presentation

3D Illustrations



View Looking South







NOTE: ILLUSTRATIVE DEPICTION OF PROPOSED BUILDING WITH APPROXIMATE MASSING.
EAST 147TH STREET REZONING C 160251 ZMX

CITY COUNCIL SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES PUBLIC HEARING OCTOBER 5, 2016

Prepared by Sheldon Lobel, P.C.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

Exhibit A	Executive Summary
Ехнівіт В	
Ехнівіт С	Тах Мар
Ехнівіт Д	Area Map
Ехнівіт Е	BRONX COMMUNITY BOARD 1 RECOMMENDATION
Ехнівіт F	BRONX BOROUGH PRESIDENT RECOMMENDATION

EXHIBIT A EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

East 147th Street Rezoning C 160251 ZMX

Owners of 860 East 147th Street Block 2600, Lot 96 Bronx, New York (the "Premises")

<u>Proposal</u>

The proposed zoning map amendment application seeks to rezone a portion of Block 2600, including the Premises, from an M1-3 zoning district to an R7X zoning district. The proposed rezoning would facilitate the development of a 12-story residential building on Block 2600, Lots 187, 213, 220 and 222, located across East 147th Street from the Premises. The owner of Lot 96 has spent a significant amount of time and resources to develop the Premises with a hotel, a use permitted under the current M1-3 zoning district but not permitted under the proposed R7X zoning district. After years of work and expenses incurred to move this project forward, including holding costs for the ongoing maintenance of the property, the owners and developers of the Premises only recently learned that their property was included in the East 147th Street Rezoning application. The Premises is an appropriate location for a hotel development. Today it is part of the larger manufacturing and adjoining C8 zoning districts which permit hotel development in a corridor along the Bruckner Expressway, from the north of Longwood Avenue to the south to the Harlem River. While the proposed rezoning would insert a finger of residential zoning along East 147th Street, hotels would continue to be permitted throughout much of the adjoining area; therefore, a hotel use cannot reasonably be called inappropriate. A rezoning of the Premises would be to the owner's detriment and we therefore propose to exclude Lot 96 from the application. A public hearing was held by Bronx Community Board 1, where they agreed that the Premises should be excluded.

In addition to derailing a long-planned development on Lot 96, the proposed rezoning would create an unworkable split-lot zoning condition on the adjoining Lot 89. Lot 89 is a large and irregularly-shaped lot that would be mostly excluded from the rezoning and remain within the existing M1-3 district. However, a triangular portion of the lot extending over 78 feet from the proposed new zoning boundary line would be placed within the proposed R7X district.

As a result of the foregoing, there is a strong land use rationale to adopt a finely-grained approach to rezoning the subject area that would permit the proposed residential project to be built, and concurrently permit the proposed hotel to be developed and avoid splitting Lot 89 into two incompatible zoning districts. It is therefore both desirable and consistent with long-standing and ongoing City Planning best practices to create a zoning map that both fosters the desired housing development, and allows for the proposed and long-planned hotel development with the added benefit on avoiding a split-lot condition on Lot 89. Thus, we propose that Lots 96 and 89 be excluded from the East 147th Street Rezoning application.

EXHIBIT B Zoning Change Map

Zoning Change Map



Current Zoning Map (6c)

Proposed Zoning Map (6c) - Project Area is outlined with dotted lines

Rezoning from: M1-2 to R7X/C1-4 district M1-2 to R7X district M1-3 to R7X district

EXHIBIT C Tax Map

East 147th Street, Bronx



 Feet

 0
 30
 60
 120
 180
 240

EXHIBIT D Area Map

Area Map

East 147th Street, Bronx Block 2600, Lots 30, 47, 49-51, 89, 96, 99, 100, 101, 103, 131, 186, 187, 213, 220 & 222

ы 1 19 ай 19 ай 19 ай	600' Radius
Pass? F "	Development Site
Pananse a ^R	
°	Project Area
	Zoning Districts
Existin	g Commercial Overlays
	C1-1
\boxtimes	C1-2
	C1-3
\otimes	C1-4
	C1-5
	C2-1
	C2-2
	C2-3
\boxtimes	C2-4
62	C2-5
۲	Subway Entries
5037	Block Numbers
	Property Lines
5	Number of Floors
Land U	ses
	One & Two Family Residential Buildings
	Multi-Family Residential Buildings (Walk-up)
	Multi-Family Residential Buildings (Elevator)
	Mixed Residential & Commercial Buildings
	Commercial/Office Buildings
	Industrial/Manufacturing
	Transportation/Utility
	Public Facilities & Institutions
	Open Space
	Parking Facilities
	Vacant Land

200



North

600 Feet

400

Prepared by Urban Cartographics April 2016

EXHIBIT E BRONX COMMUNITY BOARD 1 RECOMMENDATION BRONX BOARD ONE



BRONX COMMUNITY BOARD #1 3024 THIRD AVENUE

BRONX, NEW YORK 10455 (718) 585-7117 • Fax (718) 292-0558 • E-mail: brxcb1@optonline.net

SERVING PORT MORRIS • MOTT HAVEN • MELROSE

RUBEN DIAZ, JR. BOROUGH PRESIDENT

GEORGE L. RODRIQUEZ CHAIRPERSON All-America City

CEDRIC L. LOFTIN DISTRICT MANAGER

PUBLIC HEARING May 26, 2016 Project Name East 147th Street Rezoning MODIFICATIONS/CONDITIONS ON Uniform Land Use Review Procedure Application Number C1602512ZMX CEOR Number 16DCP154X

The Board's modifications/conditions are as follows:

- 1. That the Rental Management Company hired by MLK Plaza LLC that they seek to obtain another Management Company besides Wavecrest Management for the site.
- 2. That the property which the Community Board voted to exclude from the proposed rezoning be continued the street address is 860 East 147th Street, Bronx, New York (Block 2600 Lot 96) and not be included in the rezoning action for residential use. That the rezoning doesn't harm any other businesses within the rezoning that is to occur.

3. That Bronx Community Board 1 receives 50 percent Community Preference as to building rentals.

- 4. Upon approval of the rezoning that the Board receives ongoing reports on how many local Community Board 1 residents are hired during the construction period and post-construction permanent hiring for the development.
- 5. During the development process that MLK Plaza LLC provides optimal greening of project area and along the streets immediately surrounding the project.

Very truly yours,

bu & Laptin

Cedric L. Loftin District Manager

DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING CITY OF NEW YORK	Community/Borough Board Recommendation Pursuant to the Uniform Land Use Review Procedure
Application #: C 160251 ZMX	Project Name: East 147th Street Rezoning
CEQR Number: 16DCP154X	Borough(s): Bronx Community District Number(s): 1
Please use the above application number on all co	orrespondence concerning this application
S	UBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS
EMAIL (recommended): Send (CB or BP) Recommendation + MALL: Calendar Information O EAX: to (212) 720-3488 and no 2. Send one copy of the completed form y	epartment of City Planning by one of the following options; email to <u>CalendarOffice@planning.nvc.gov</u> and include the following subject line; (6-digit application number); e.g., *CB Recommendation #C1000002SQ° ffice, City Planning Commission, 120 Broadway, 31st Floor, New York, NY 10271 te "Attention of the Calendar Office" with any attachments to the <u>applicant's representative</u> at the address listed below, d one copy to the Borough Board, when applicable.

Docket Description:

IN THE MATTER OF an application submitted by MLK Plaza LLC pursuant to Section 197-c and 201 of the New York City Charter for the amendment of the Zoning Map, Section No. 6c:

- changing from an MI-2 District to an R7X District property bounded by Southern Boulevard, a line perpendicular to the southeasterly street line of Southern Boulevard distant 275 feet northeasterly (as measured along the street line) from the point of intersection of the southeasterly street line of Southern Boulevard and the northeasterly street line of East 147th Street, a line 100 feet northeasterly of East 147th Street, Austin Place, East 147th Street, Timpson Place, and a line 100 feet southwesterly of East 147th Street;
- changing from an M1-3 District to an R7X District property bounded by Timpson Place, East 147th Street, Austin Place, and a line 100 feet southwesterly of East 147th Street; and
- 3. establishing within a proposed R7X District a C1-4 District bounded by Southern Boulevard, a line perpendicular to the southeasterly street line of Southern Boulevard distant 275 feet northeasterly (as measured along the street line) from the point of intersection of the southeasterly street line of Southern Boulevard and the northeasterly street line of East 147th Street, a line midway between Southern Boulevard and Timpson Place, and a line 100 feet southwesterly of East 147th Street.

Borough of Bronx, Community District 1, as shown on a diagram (for illustrative purposes only) dated May 9, 2016, and subject to the conditions of CEQR Declaration E-385.

Applicant(s):	Applicant's Representative:
MLK Plaza LLC 77 Cuttermill Road Great Neck, NY 11021	Steven M. Sinacori Akerman LLP 666 Fifth Avenue, 20th Floor New York, NY 10103
Recommendation submitted by: Bronx Community Board 1	
Date of public hearing: MAY, 26, 2016 Location: 3024	THIRD AVE. BRONX WY. DYSS
	ionum of 20% of the appointed members of the board,
Date of Vote: Location: 3024 T	HERD LVE BRONK N.Y. 10455
RECOMMENDATION Image: Approve Image: Approve Image: Disapprove Image: Disapprove	ifications/Conditions lodifications/Conditions
Please attach any further explanation of the recommendation on addition	onal sheets, as necessary.
Voting #In Favor: /3 #Against: 4 #Abstaining: Ŏ Total mem	bers appointed to the board: 140
Name of CB/BB officer completing this form CEDRIC L-LOFTIN Cadsic D. Lefter	STRICT Date NA9ER 5/26/16

EXHIBIT F BRONX BOROUGH PRESIDENT RECOMMENDATION

BRONX BOROUGH PRESIDENT'S RECOMMENDATION ULURP APPLICATION NO: C 160251 ZMX East 147th Street Rezoning July 10, 2016

DOCKET DESCRIPTION

IN THE MATTER OF AN application submitted by MLK Plaza LLC pursuant to Section 197c and 201 of the New York City Charter for the amendment of the Zoning Map Section No 6c:

- Changing from an M1-2 District to an R7X District property bounded by Southern Boulevard, a line perpendicular to the southeasterly street line of Southern Boulevard distant 275 feet northeasterly (as measured along the street line) form the point of intersection of the southeasterly street line of Southern Boulevard and the northeasterly street line of East 147th Street, a line 100 feet northeasterly of East 147th Street, Austin Place, East 147th Street, Timpson Place, and a line 100 feet southwesterly of East 147th Street;
- Changing from an M1-3 District to an R7X District, property bounded by Timpson Place, East 147th Street, Austin Place, and a line 100 fect southwesterly of East 147th Street; and
- 3. Establishing within a proposed R7X District a C1-4 District bounded by Southern Boulevard, a line perpendicular to the southeasterly street line of Southern Boulevard distant 275 feet northeasterly (as measured along the street line) from the point of intersection of the southeasterly street line of Southern Boulevard and the northeasterly street line of East 147th Street, a line midway between Southern Boulevard and Timpson Place, and a line 100 feet southwesterly of East 147th Street.

Borough of The Bronx, Community District #1, as shown on a diagram (for illustrative purposes only) dated May 9, 2016, and subject to the conditions of CEQR Declaration E-385.

BACKGROUND

MLK Plaza LLC (the applicant) is the owner of property located on Block 2600, Lots 187, 213, 220 and 222. This site is located on the North side of East 147th Street, between Timpson Street on the East and Austin Place on the West. In total this site consists of approximately 24,143 square feet. Existing land use on each lot includes:

Lot 187: A one-story parking garage with nine bays and an exterior parking area able to accommodate a multiple vehicles. Lot 187 consists of 6,863 square feet.

Lot 213: This site is used as an outdoor parking facility. Lot 213 consists of approximately 12,280 square feet.

Lot 220: A two-story residential building occupies this lot. The lot area approximates 2,500 square feet. This building is vacant

Lot 222: A two-story residential building occupies this lot. The lot area approximates 2,500 square feet. This building is vacant.

Approving this application will amend the Zoning Map, including the Development Site and surrounding Lots, thusly:

From: M1-2, to R7X: Block 2600, Lots p/o 30, 47, 49, 50, 51, p/o 89, 96, 99, 100, 103, 131, 186, 187, 213, 220 and 222

From: M1-2 to R7X, M1-2 to R7X/C1-4, & M1-3 to R7X/C1-4, C1-4 overlay measuring 100 feet deep from Southern Boulevard on the northeast and southeast corners of East 147th Street: On portions of Lots 30, 47, 49, 50 and 131

In addition, approving this application will offer a text amendment of the New York City Zoning Resolution ("ZR") Appendix F to classify the Project Area as a mandatory inclusionary housing (MIH) designated area.

These zoning amendments will facilitate construction of a 12-story residential building, offering 165 units of affordable housing to low and moderate income families under the ELLA program.

Details of the proposed residential building include:

- 12-story residential building, rising a maximum of 125 feet. The building will feature three vertical sections, the first rising 1-6 stories, the second rising 6-9 stories and the third rising 9-12 floors.
- The proposed building will consist of 164,592 gross square feet of development with 6 FAR.
- Unit size will include:
 - o 16 studio units approximating:
- 525 square feet
- 67 one-bedroom units approximating:
 61 two-bedroom units approximating:
- 640 square feet 820 square feet
- o 21 three-bedroom units approximating; 1,060 square feet

The applicant intends to offer:

46 units for the formally homeless

13 units with a family income at or below 90% of AMI

106 units with a family income at or below 60% of AMI

Additional features offered in each unit will include:

- Granite countertops
- Cherry wood flooring
- Dishwasher in each unit
- Stainless steel appliances in each unit.

These features will be offered to all those residing in this building regardless of income eligibility.

It is intended that this development will satisfy a LEED Gold status with common areas featuring:

- Naturally lit corridors
- A community room approximating 1,003 square feet
- On-site laundry facilities
- No on-site parking is proposed, given the waiver as available pursuant to the Zoning for Quality and Affordability Act text amendment dated March 22, 2016. The applicant reserves the option of including some interior parking prior to the building's final design being adopted.
- Recreational access and Green roof access from four separate landings:

0	B-Level:	1,462 square feet
0	1 st Floor:	1,428 square feet
0	7 th Floor:	1,341 square feet
0	9 th Floor:	950 square feet

Street trees and foundation plantings will grace the building's sidewalk areas and main entrance

Existing development of the surrounding community is predominately characterized by low and mid-rise industrial buildings, numerous off-street parking enclosed garages, one and two family homes and mid-rise residential buildings. Present as well are numerous undeveloped, vacant properties. Much of the industrial functions pertain to auto-related uses. Noticeably absent is easy access to retail activity that typifies a residential community. Subway service via the #6 Lexington Avenue line is located on East 149th Street at Southern Boulevard, approximately two blocks northwest of the site. Bus service operates on Southern Boulevard and on East 149th Street.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW AND ULURP CERTIFICATION

This application was reviewed pursuant to CEQR and SEQR and given a Negative Declaration meaning that the proposed action this application will facilitate will have no impact on the environment. The City Planning Commission certified this application as complete on May 9, 2016.

BRONX COMMUNITY BOARD PUBLIC HEARING

Bronx Community Board #1 held a public hearing on this application and voted to approve it with modifications. The vote was 13 in favor, four opposed and zero abstaining. The modifications included:

- 1. That the Rental Management Company hired by MLK Plaza LLC seek to obtain another management company besides Wavecrest Management for the site.
- 2. That the property which the Community Board voted to exclude from the proposed rezoning be continued the street address is 860 East 147th Street, Bronx, New York (Block 2600, Lot 96), and not be included in the rezoning action for residential use
- 3. That the rezoning doesn't harm any other businesses within the area where the rezoning is to occur.
- 4. That Bronx Community Board #1 receive 50 percent Community Preference as to building rentals.
- 5. Upon approval of the rezoning that the Board receives ongoing reports on how many Community Board #1 residents are hired during the construction period and post-construction permanent hiring for the development.
- 6. During the development process that MLK Plaza LLC provides optimal greening of project area and along the streets immediately surrounding the project.

BOROUGH PRESIDENT'S PUBLIC HEARING

The Bronx Borough President convened a public hearing on this application on June 23, 2016. Representatives of the applicant were present and spoke in favor of this application. Also present was the owner of property located across East 147th Street. A representative of the owner spoke to request that the scope of this proposed rezoning exclude their property in order that a hotel be constructed on this site. It was noted that if the current Manufacturing District is rezoned to an R7X District, the hotel could not be constructed. MLK Plaza LLC, requested that this exclusion not be granted. No other members of the public were present and no other testimony was given. The hearing was closed.

BRONX BOROUGH PRESIDENT'S RECOMMENDATION

Residential development in The Bronx continues to break all records. So too, the need for affordable housing in New York City has reached unprecedented levels. Therefore properties heretofore zoned for manufacturing uses; where such use is marginal if not entirely absent, it is I believe entirely appropriate to rezone for residential purposes.

In this specific case, MLK Plaza will transform a block where much of the existing development consists of vacant wood-frame buildings and underutilized garages, into a well-conceived residential building featuring 165 units of affordable housing. I am especially pleased to note that this project will seek a LEED Gold rating and that a Platinum rating may be realized. Key to this is objective is the substantial amount of green-roof space that will be included and that the common areas will benefit from natural lighting. Given the overall "mixed-built profile" of the surrounding community, the inclusion of a two-story glass entrance will not only allow for substantial natural lighting in the new building's lobby, but at night this entrance will serve as a beacon to all who walk through this community.

At my public hearing I note that owners of property located across East 147th Street from where MLK Plaza will be constructed, have asked that their lots be excluded from this rezoning proposal. After reviewing the matter, I believe that it is necessary to include all those lots that have been identified for the R7X designation. I base my position on the fact that to date, no development pursuant to the M1-1 District has taken place within the proposed rezoning area and that construction of affordable residential development is urgently needed throughout our city.

I recommend approval of this application, and that the full scope of what the Zoning Amendment as proposed herein be approved.

1968 SECOND AVENUE REZONING C 160194 ZMM

1968 SECOND AVENUE Manhattan, New York



CITY COUNCIL SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES PUBLIC HEARING OCTOBER 5, 2016

Prepared by Sheldon Lobel, P.C.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXHIBIT A	Project Summary
Ехнівіт В	ZONING CHANGE MAP
Ехнівіт С	Тах Мар
Ехнівіт Д	Area Map
Ехнівіт Е	PLANS

EXHIBIT A Project Summary

PROJECT SUMMARY

1968 Second Avenue Rezoning C 160194 ZMM

1968 SECOND AVENUE BLOCK1673, LOT 1 MANHATTAN, NEW YORK (THE "PREMISES")

<u>Proposal</u>

The proposed zoning map amendment would allow for the legalization of the use of the rear portion of the existing applicant-owned 2-story commercial building located at the Premises, which is only partially located within an existing Cl-5 overlay district.

The proposed rezoning area consists of a portion of Block 1673, part of Lot 1 and part of Lot 6, located to the east of Second Avenue between 101st Street and 102nd Street in Manhattan Community District 11. The applicant and owner of the Premises, 1968 2nd Avenue Realty LLC, seeks an amendment to zoning sectional map 6b to expand the existing Cl-5 commercial overlay district over a portion of the midblock area currently zoned R7A. The existing overlay is mapped only over the R8A district, which extends to a depth of 100 feet from the Second Avenue frontage. The applicant proposes to move the existing commercial overlay, from a line parallel to and 100 feet east of Second Avenue to a line parallel to and 155 feet east of Second Avenue. The proposed extension would cover part of the adjacent R7A district located within the midblock area between First and Second Avenues, creating an R7A/Cl-5 district. The zoning of Lot 1 would change from R8A/Cl-5 and R7A, to R8A/Cl-5 and R7A/Cl-5. A portion of Lot 6 would change from R7A to R7A/Cl-5.

The rezoning would facilitate the legalization of a long-standing supermarket within the East Harlem community, the Cherry Valley Marketplace, which community is underserved by fresh food stores offering fresh meats, dairy, and produce. The proposed action will bring the existing commercial use and rear loading dock into conformance and compliance with zoning regulations, and would not result in any new development at the Premises or enlargement of the existing building. Absent the proposed action, the existing commercial building would be repurposed and re-tenanted, most likely with an as-of-right community facility use, depriving the surrounding Second Avenue community of its only full-service supermarket.

Community Support

Manhattan Community Board 11 voted to approve the instant zoning map amendment application at their Full Board meeting held on July 19, 2016, with 37 votes in favor and one abstention.

EXHIBIT B Zoning Change Map

Zoning Change Map



Current Zoning Map (Map 6b)



Proposed Zoning Map (Map 6b) Rezoning from R7A to R7A/C1-5 zoning districts.

EXHIBIT C Tax Map

1968 Second Avenue Rezoning



Feet 0 5 10 20 30 40

EXHIBIT D Area Map

Area Map 1968 Second Avenue Rezoning





200 400 600 Feet

North

Prepared by Urban Cartographics December 2015

EXHIBIT E Plans





11 PARK PLACE SUITE 817 NEW YORK, NY 10007 PHONE: 212-532-7586

190 EAST MAIN STREET HUNTINGTON, NY 11743 PHONE:631-424-8460 FAX:631-547-4

EXISTING 2 STORY RENOVATION

1968 2ND AVENUE NEW YORK, NY.

MEP ENGINEER NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP: TEL: E-MAIL:

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP: TEL: E-MAIL: SPECIAL INSPECTOR:

ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP: TEL: E-MAIL:

REVISIONS:

SITE PLAN



SHEET 01 OF 06

 PROJECT INFORMATION

 ADDRESS:
 1968 2nd Avenue Manhattan NY 10029

 BLOCK:
 1673

 LOT:
 1

 ZONING DISTRICTS:
 C1-5 In R8A / R7A

 ZONING MAP:
 6b

 COMMUNITY BOARD:
 111

 LOT AREA: IN C1-5/R8A & R7A = 10,740 S.F.
 S.F.

ZONING INFORMATION

APPLICABLE SECTIONS	ITEMS	REQUIRED/PERMITTED	PROPOSED	COMPLIANCE
ZR 32-10	USES PERMITTED AS-OF-RIGHT	1-6	6a AND 6b PROPOSED	COMPLIES
ZR 33-03	"STREET TREE PLANTING IN COMMERCIAL DISTRICTS"	1 PER 25' OF FRONTAGE	STREET TREES REQUIRED TO LEGALIZE THIS USE	COMPLIES
ZR 35-24	MODIFICATION OF FRONT YARD REQUIREMENTS	NONE REQUIRED	NONE PROVIDED	COMPLIES
ZR 33-25	MODIFICATION OF SIDE YARD REQUIREMENTS	NONE REQUIRED IF PROVIDED MIN 8'-0"	NONE PROVIDED	COMPLIES
ZR 33-261	REAR YARD (BEYOND ONE HUNDRED FEET OF A STREET LINE)	REQUIRED 20'-0"	PROVIDED 20'-0"	COMPLIES
ZR 23-633	STREET WALL LOCATION AND HEIGHT AND SETBACK REGULATIONS IN CERTAIN DISTRICTS	REQD. MINIMUM BASE HEIGHT = 40'-0" REQD.MAXIMUM BASE HEIGHT = 85'-0" REQD.MAXIMUM BUILDING HT. = 120'-0"	PROP. MINIMUM BASE HEIGHT = 34'-6" PROP.MAXIMUM BASE HEIGHT = 34'-6" PROP.MAXIMUM BUILDING HT. = 34'-6"	COMPLIES
ZR 33-121	FLOOR AREA REGULATIONS	COMMERCIAL FAR= 2.00 Ist FLOOR AREA 10,740 X 2 = 21,480.00 S.F.	COMMERCIAL FAR 20,548 / 10,740 = 1.91 < 2.00 FLOOR AREA = 20,548.59 < 21,480.00 S.F.	COMPLIES
ZR 35-24	FRONT SETBACKS IN DISTRICTS WHERE FRONT YARDS ARE NOT REQUIRED	REQD.MAXIMUM HEIGHT ABOVE STREET LINE = 85'-0"	ACTUAL HEIGHT ABOVE STREET LINE = 31'-0"	COMPLIES
ZR 35-24	A RATIO OF VERTICAL DISTANCE TO HORIZONTAL DISTANCE	REQUIRED ON NARROW STREET = 2.7:1 REQUIRED ON WIDE STREET = 5.6:1	ACTUAL = NONE ACTUAL = NONE	COMPLIES
ZR 36-683	RESTRICTIONS ON LOCATION OF BERTHS NEAR RESIDENCE DISTRICTS	REQD. MIN. DISTANCE, NO ENTRANCE TO OR EXIT FROM THE BERTHS ONTO THE #STREET# SHALL BE LESS THAN 30 FEET FROM THE DISTRICT BOUNDARY	PROVIDED DISTANCE FROM DISTRICT BOUNDARY R7A = 30-0"	COMPLIES
ZR 77-11	CONDITIONS FOR APPLICATION OF USE REGULATIONS TO ENTIRE ZONING LOT	WHENEVER A #ZONING LOT# EXISTING ON DECEMBER 15, 1961, OR ON ANY APPLICABLE SUBSEQUENT AMENDMENT THERETO, IS DIVIDED BY A BOUNDARY BETWEEN DISTRICTS IN WHICH DIFFERENT #USES# ARE PERMITTED, THE #USE# REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO THE DISTRICT IN WHICH MORE THAN 50 PERCENT OF THE #LOT AREA# OF THE #ZONING LOT# IS LOCATED MAY APPLY TO THE ENTIRE #ZONING LOT#, PROVIDED THAT THE GREATEST DISTANCE FROM THE MAPPED DISTRICT BOUNDARY TO ANY #LOT LINE# OF SUCH #ZONING LOT# IN THE DISTRICT IN WHICH LESS THAN 50 PERCENT OF ITS AREA IS LOCATED DOES NOT EXCEED 25 FEET. SUCH DISTANCE SHALL BE MEASURED PERPENDICULAR TO THE MAPPED DISTRICT BOUNDARY.	C1-5/R8A APPLICABLE TO THE ENTIRE LOT	COMPLIES



11 PARK PLACE SUITE 817 NEW YORK, NY 10007 PHONE: 212433-7566

190 EAST MAIN STREET HUNTINGTON, NY 11743 PHONE: 631-424-6480 FAX: 631-547-4193

EXISTING 2

STORY RENOVATION

1968 2ND AVENUE NEW YORK, NY.

MEP ENGINEER NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZP: TEL: E-MAIL: STRUCTURAL ENGINEER NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: ZP: TEL: E-MAIL: SPECIAL INSPECTOR: NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZP: TEL: E-MAIL: STATE: ZP: TEL: E-MAIL:

REVISIONS:

energia de la companya

ZONING CALCULATIONS



Z-001.00

SHEET 02 OF 06



SCALE: 1/16"=1'-0"

ENTIRE GROUND FLOOR USE GROUP 6A

125' ZONING LOT

ĕ

EAST 101ST. STREET



11 PARK PLACE SUITE 817 NEW YORK, NY 10007 PHONE: 212-032-7566

190 EAST MAIN STREET HUNTINGTON, NY 11743 PHONE: 631-424-8480 FAX: 631-547-4

EXISTING 2 STORY RENOVATION

1968 2ND AVENUE NEW YORK, NY.

MEP ENGINEER NAME: ADDRESS: CITY; STATE: ZIP: TEL: E-MAIL: STRUCTURAL ENGINEER NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP: TEL: E-MAIL: SPECIAL INSPECTOR: NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP: TEL: E-MAIL:

REVISIONS:

FIRST FLOOR PLAN



DATE:



ALL PARTITIONS AND EXITS SHALL BE AS APPROVED BY DOB



ENTIRE SECOND FLOOR USE GROUP 6B

INTERIOR LAYOUT AS SHOWN SHALL BE SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIED WITH, AND ALL EXITS SHALL BE AS APPROVED BY DOB.

MAXIMUM OCCUPANT LOAD PER FLOOR/SPACE SHALL BE AS APPROVED BY DOB



11 PARK PLACE SUITE 817 NEW YORK, NY 10007 PHONE: 2124332-7586

190 EAST MAIN STREET HUNTINGTON, NY 11743 PHONE 631-424-6480 FAX: 631-547-4

EXISTING 2 STORY RENOVATION

1968 2ND AVENUE NEW YORK, NY.

MEP ENGINEER NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP: TEL: E-MAIL: STRUCTURAL ENGINEER NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP: TEL: E-MAIL; SPECIAL INSPECTOR: NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP: TEL: E-MAIL:

REVISIONS:

SECOND FLOOR PLAN







NORTH ELEVATION (101TH STREET)

SCALE: 3/32"=1'-0"

ſ



11 PARK PLACE SUITE 817 NEW YORK, NY 10007 PHONE: 212-632-7568

190 EAST MAIN STREET HUNTINGTON, NY 11743 Phone: 631-4246480 FAX: 631-547-4193

EXISTING 2 STORY RENOVATION

	1968 2ND AVENUE NEW YORK, NY.
EXISTING R7A WITH PROPOSED C1-5 OVERLAY	MEP ENGINEER ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZP: TEL: E-MAIL: STRUCTURAL ENGINEER NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZP: TEL: E-MAIL: E-MAIL: STATE: ZP:
FIXED ALUM./GLASS WINDOWS - WIDTH VARIES (TYP.)	SPECIAL INSPECTOR: NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP: TEL: E-MAIL: REVISIONS:
FACE BRICK	
30'-0"	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ť

NORTH ELEVATION





EAST ELEVATION (SECOND AVENUE)

SCALE: 3/32"=1'-0"



11 PARK PLACE SUITE 817 NEW YORK, NY 10007 PHONE: 212-032-7566

190 EAST MAIN STREET HUNTINGTON, NY 11743 PHONE: 631-424-8480 FAX: 631-647-41

EXISTING 2 STORY RENOVATION

1968 2ND AVENUE NEW YORK, NY.

MEP ENGINEER NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP: TEL: E-MAIL:

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER NAME: ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZP: TEL: E-MAIL: SPECIAL INSPECTOR: NAME: ADDRESS; CITY: STATE: ZIP: TEL: E-MAIL:

REVISIONS:

EAST ELEVATION



A-400.00 SHEET 06 OF 06
ROCKAWAY BEACH HOTEL REZONING



108-02/20 Rockaway Beach Boulevard, Rockaway Park, NY Block 16180, Lots 1, 2, 3, 8 and 9 ULURP Appl. No. N 160219 ZMQ ULURP Appl. No. N 160220 ZRQ

AREA MAP

£'

b

è



PROJECT SITE



108-20 Rockaway Beach Blvd Block 16180 Lots 1, 2 and 3

- Lot Area: 11,996 square feet
- Tax Lots 1 and 2: Improved with two 3-story buildings, formerly McNultys Hotel & Bar, most recently an SRO, abandoned since Oct. 2012
- Tax Lot 3: Unimproved since the 1930s

PROPOSED ZONING APPLICATION

- Zoning Map Amendment (ULURP No. N160219 ZMQ)
 - Rezone Block 16180 from an R5B/C1-3 zoning district to an R6A/C2-5 zoning district
 - R5B/C1-3 district does not permit hotel uses
 - Proposed R6A/C2-5 district permits hotel use with 2.0 commercial FAR
- Zoning Text Amendment (ULURP No. N160220 ZRQ)
 - Designate the Project Area as a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing (MIH) Designated Area
 - MIH Option 2

ROCKAWAY BEACH HOTEL



- ▶ 4-story hotel building 54 feet in height
 - Bround floor with lobby, accessory restaurant and event space
 - Floors 2 thru 4 transient hotel use
 - > 33 hotel rooms
- Open courtyard with swimming pool and lounge deck
- Cellar level
 - > 26 attended parking garage accessed via ramp from Rockaway Beach Boulevard
 - Accessory storage
 - All areas below flood resistant construction elevation to be dryproofed

PROJECT BENEFITS

Economic Revitalization

- Facilitate development of a historically underdeveloped property with a use that is needed in Rockaway Beach/Park
- Construction of hotel will serve as a catalyst for further investment in and the continued economic revitalization of the neighborhood

Job Creation

- Approx. 140 construction jobs
- Approx. 100 seasonal and permanent jobs upon completion
- Local Hiring

Anticipated that overwhelming majority of permanent jobs will be hired from the local community

Community-Oriented Programming

Community programming (such as hospitality school, children's, senior's, and artists programs), catering and community event space

ROCKAWAY BEACH HOTEL

— NEW YORK — —

8

SITE PLAN

, «

× *



217-221 West 29th Street

Presentation to the CITY COUNCIL SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING & FRANCHISES Councilmember Donovan Richards, Jr., Chair

October 5th, 2016

Ironstate, Developer

- Formed in 2001 by David and Michael Barry, Ironstate is a developer of hotels and mixed-use properties, with both market-rate and affordable apartments, in New York City & New Jersey
- Manhattan: 200 East 39th Street and 210 East 39th Street, with 20 percent of the units affordable; 210 Bond Street, 71 Reade Street
- *Brooklyn:* the new 247-room Pod Hotel currently in construction
- Staten Island: a mixed-use complex in Stapleton, the URBY Staten Island opened this spring, with 456 market rate and 115 affordable housing apartments

Project Description: 217-221 West 29 Street

- 79,105sf, 21-story mixed-use building currently under construction pursuant to DOB approved plans
- 721sf of retail along West 29th Street, with 69'10" linear feet of frontage on West 29th Street, between 7th and 8th Avenues
- 95 rental apartments of which 20% are affordable under the 421(a) program: 76 market rate / 19 affordable at 60 percent of AMI for 35 years and 80 percent after 35 years
- We are requesting 45 parking spaces in an attended accessory parking garage, which represents a reduction of 3 parking spaces from the long-time, existing surface parking lot on this site;
 19 spaces are permitted as-of-right

Area Context

ķ,



North Side of West 29th Street with Proposed Project



North Side of West 29th Street Between Seventh and Eighth Avenues (facing north)

Surface Parking

ł,



Requested Actions: Parking Garage Special permit for 26 additional parking spaces:

- 19 spaces permitted as-of-right for a total of 45 attended spaces in a two-level, 11,044sf garage
- Accessory parking priority for residents, remaining spaces made available to the public
- Ground Floor: 2 ADA parking spaces, 1 parking space, 2 reservoir spaces
- Sub-cellar: 19 surface parking spaces and 23 parking lifts
- Charging station for electric cars
- In addition, 48 bicycle spaces will be provided in the garage

Requested Actions: Zoning Text Amendment & Authorization

- Zoning text amendment to authorize reduction of retail street frontage required in the M1-6D zoning district, and authorization pursuant to the text amendment
 - Text amendment modifies the requirement that retail use extend along a minimum of 50% of the width of the zoning lot street frontage to a minimum depth of 30' feet from the streetwall.
 - The requirement only applies to zoning lots with more than 50' of street frontage; the modification would apply only to zoning lots with a minimum of 50' and less than 75 feet of footage.
 - Without this exemption, the 50% required retail frontage at this site would call for 35' of frontage and a depth of 30'; under the proposed authorization the retail frontage would allow a width of 26' and alternating depths from 18'-8" and 27'-5"

Ground Floor Plan: Under Existing Zoning





9

Proposed Ground Floor Plan

.



Zoning / Text Amendment

- The development site was included in a rezoning to M1-6D in 2011.
- The rezoning was intended to facilitate creation of vibrant mixeduse areas by preserving existing Class B and C office and light industrial spaces, while allowing contextual, infill residential development with ground floor retail on underbuilt sites.
- Maximum FAR is 10.0 for commercial and community facility uses, 9.0 for residential uses, with the ability to increase to 12.0 with Inclusionary Housing
- The new development's FAR is 11.47



Project Site

100-Foot Radius **Development Site**

MultiFamily Walkup Buildings

MultiFamily Elevator Buildings

Commercial/Office Buildings

Public Facilities & Institutions

Industrial/Manufacturing Transportation/Utility

Parking Facilities Vacant Land



The M1-6D area consists of a mix of industrial/manufacturing and commercial/office • uses, as well as a smaller amount of mixed-use residential with commercial uses.

Proposed Street View



Proposed Street View



Project Benefits

The text amendment, zoning text authorization and parking special permit would allow:

- Sufficient and appropriate space for the project's three ground floor components: garage entrance/egress and related curb cut, residential lobby space, and retail frontage
- The project is consistent with 25' wide storefronts in established retail districts throughout Manhattan and would create a vibrant streetscape experience
- Completes a missing portion of the West 29th Street streetwall
- Is consistent with prevailing building size, form, height, bulk, streetwall, and scale of this mixed-use neighborhood
- Retention of 45 of the 48 parking spaces on this site that would otherwise be lost
- Generates 19 much-needed affordable apartments



The Bay Ridge Conservancy % Victoria Hofmo 7201 - 4th Avenue, Apt. B9 Brooklyn, NY 11209 718-748-5950 or <u>victoriahofmo1@gmail.com</u>

TESTIMONY TO REVOKE SPECIAL PERMIT IN COMMUNITY DISTRICT 10

I am Victoria Hofmo, Founder of The Bay Ridge Conservancy. As to revoking the Special Permit in Community District 10, all I can say is Alleluia - It's a about time.

In 1997, when the New York City Planning Department offered each community district to vote on a Special Permit text amendment, I spoke out in opposition, on behalf of the BRC. The Board's intentions were good, as they wanted residents to be able to make minor alterations, such as bumping out a kitchen and to encourage homeowner stability.

But, the BRC was still concerned that it would be misused. As we feared, that is exactly what happened. Since that time, the BRC has been trying to have the Special Permit revoked.

I have been at the Board of Standards and Appeals close to a dozen times, trying to prevent onerous construction projects, that far exceed minor alterations and have instead changed the entire shape, bulk and character of a home, as well as the context of a block. One can only object to proposed alterations in terms of the criteria set by the zoning resolution, which are solely based on character inappropriateness. So, I took along many photographs to prove that point. However, the BSA has not defined character and therefore always voted in favor of the applicant.

The last time, I went to testify at the BSA, in 2013, it was on behalf of Mrs. Walsh. Councilman Gentile, CB10 Chair, Josephine Beckman and many residents were their to support Mrs. Walsh. Unbeknownst to us, there was another group from Dyker Heights, there to testify, against yet another Special Permit application. To our surprise, BSA Chair, Meenakshi Srinivasan suggested that we revoke the Special Permit, (since we kept testifying against the applications). I concur with the Commissioner. Since the implementation of the Special Permit far exceeds what CB 10 had expected, it needs to be revoked. And since the Special Permit is being used in projects that are in conflict with our rezoning, thus weakening our contextual zoning it needs to be revoked. And since the 621 Special Permit already allows for minor alterations, thus fulfilling CB10's original reason for voting for the Special Permit, it needs to be revoked.

The Bay Ridge Conservancy, as well as the majority of our community, realize the Special Permit is not in the community's best interest best interest and that we should follow the advice of former BSA Commissioner, Meenakshi Srinivasan to revoke it We ask that the City Council support this decision.

Members Our

Victoria Hofmo, President/Founder The Bay Ridge Conservancy October 5, 2016

Testimony of Fran Vella-Marrone President of the Dyker Heights Civic Association Before the NYC Council Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises Regarding Community Board Ten's Application to Repeal the Special Permit Section 73-622 October 5, 2016

On behalf of the officers and members of the Dyker Heights Civic Association, I would like to state our support for Community Board Ten's request for repeal of Community District 10 from ZR Section 73-622, Special Permit.

In 2005 and 2007 Bay Ridge and Dyker Heights sought and successfully obtained contextual zoning in order to stop and prevent further increase in density which was having an adverse effect on the character and stability of these communities. The Dyker Heights Civic Association was proud to play a role in support this effort. Once again, we are lending our voice to a zoning matter affecting our community.

The Special Permit ZR 73-622 was originally approved by Community Board Ten in 1997 in an effort to accommodate growing families so that they would not have to leave the community because their family had increased and their home no longer afforded them the space they needed. However, over the years, the Special Permit has been abused and used instead for luxury expansions, speculative purchases or legalizations.

Each Special Permit request that came before Community Board Ten was reviewed individually on the merits. In some cases, the Community Board approved the applications and in some cases they were not approved. However, regardless of the recommendations of the Community Board, the Board of Standards and Appeals had approved all of the applications. ZR 73-622 mandates that the alterations made pursuant to the Special Permit must be in line with the character and nature of the surrounding area. Each time the Community Board would state that the application was not in compliance with the character of the surrounding community and as such should not be approved, the Board of Standards and Appeals would approve it anyway. Which entity is more equipped to judge the character of the community? I dare to say that which is closest to it. In this case that would be the Community Board not the Board of Standards and Appeals. The Community Board repeatedly asked the Board of Standards and Appeals as well as the Department of City Planning for their definition of community character but received no such definition. How can the Board of Standards and Appeals decide on community character if they are unable to provide a definition of such?

Dyker Heights has been the victim of illegal home conversions that are draining city resources, straining the infrastructure, creating substandard housing that is dangerous to its inhabitants as well as the surrounding residents and altering the character of the community. This is an illegal end run around the contextual zoning that our community fought so hard to implement. In many cases, the Special Permit has been used to increase density and legalize illegal conversions. We cannot afford to have this continue.

ZR 73-622 was initially submitted to the Department of City Planning for application Citywide but ultimately limited to seven zoning districts. They were Brooklyn Community Districts 10, 11, 12, 14, 15 and Staten Island Community Districts 1 and 2. The Staten Island Districts were ultimately removed from consideration leaving only the aforementioned Brooklyn Community Districts applicable. It seems to me, that initially, this zoning resolution was not viewed in a positive light otherwise it would have been implemented Citywide with other communities asking for it. It is also important to note that in 2000, the City Planning Commission approved the removal of Brooklyn Community District 12 from applicability. Thus, Community Board 10's request is not without precedence.

Other options will still exist for those who wish to expand beyond what is as-of-right which are available Citywide such as a variance or a Special Permit under ZR 73-621. It is important to note that ZR 73-621 limits additions to no larger than ten percent which can be seen as a more reasonable expansion.

Community Board 10's initial support of the ZR 73-622 in 1997 was with the understanding that it was to be used for modest expansions for families who wanted to remain in the community and that the City's mandate that all alterations made under the provisions of the Special Permit must be in line with the character and nature of the surrounding area would protect and maintain the character of the community. However, due to abuse, lack of a definition of community character and the Board of Standards and Appeals' complete lack of regard for Community Board 10's recommendations, it is time for the removal of Brooklyn Community District 10 from ZR 73-622.

Thank you.



Community Board Den

8119 5th Avenue • Brooklyn, NY 11209 (718) 745-6827 • Fax (718) 836-2447 BK10@cb.nyc.gov www.bkcb10.org

LORI WILLIS Vice Chairperson JAYNEMARIE CAPETANAKIS Secretary SANDY VALLAS Treasurer

DORIS N. CRUZ Chair JOSEPHINE BECKMANN District Manager

COMMUNITY BOARD TEN PRESENTATION

APPLICATION TO REPEAL ZR-73-622

New York City Council – October 5, 2016

My name is Josephine Beckmann and I am the District Manager of Community Board Ten and the applicant in a submission to the New York City Department of City Planning for a Zoning Text Amendment to a Board of Standards and Appeals (BSA) Special Permit (SP), ZR 73-622, Enlargements of single- and two-family detached and semi-detached residences. This application has the support of both City Council Members representing CD10 which include Council Member Vincent Gentile and Council Member Carlos Menchaca.

Community Board 10 is proposing the removal of Community District 10 (CD 10) from its current applicability under the existing regulations. The SP presently applies to three full community districts; Brooklyn CD's 10, 11, and 15; and a specific R2 area in CD 14.

Community Board Ten's decision to submit an application to eliminate the Special Permit is based on 13 years of study and experience with the Special Permit and how it has been handled by the Board of Standards and Appeals.

CB 10 believes that the original intent of ZR 73-622 was to allow existing residents a mechanism for relief from non-compliances, allowing modest expansions and an ability in the zoning text to enable growing families to remain in their homes by enlarging kitchens and or building additional bedrooms. Board Members at that time supported a provision in this section that included community character to be considered regarding whether an application should be granted. Notably since its adoption by City Planning in Feb'98, only 21 SP applications have been filed and of these applications, half were disapproved by Community Board 10. Of those that were disapproved – all were approved by the Board of Standards and Appeals.

Community Board 10 members have been on record four times in the last thirteen years to remove Community District 10 form ZR 73-622. CB 10 originally supported inclusion even with great citywide opposition and only 5 Community Boards citywide opted in.

The original land use justification for the applicability of the SP (limited enlargement for residents to remain), has in practice been predominantly used to legalize existing illegal conditions, or to produce luxury housing for speculative sale, or purchase. BSA approved all applications regardless of community opposition. BSA never prescribed conditions or safeguards suggested in recommendations made to BSA to minimize adverse effects on the character of the surrounding area.

ERIC L. ADAMS, BOROUGH PRESIDENT

Community Board Jen

Page -2-

In 2003, Community Board Ten adopted a planning document called the "Case for Preservation Zoning" That document was the blueprint for our request for a district wide rezoning which was overwhelmingly supported by Community Board Ten, community organizations and all elected officials representing Community District 10. Community Board Ten was partially rezoned in 2005 and completely in 2007. This zoning section allows non-compliant zoning changes without limitations which are inconsistent with stricter zoning requirements intended to preserve community character.

I have brought boards illustrating the main objections impacting community character – no limits on the amount of floor area ratio expansions, allows horizontal and vertical enlargements of non-compliant side yards and rear yard encroachments to 20 feet.

CB 10 believes that future enlargements should adhere to the as-of-right residential regulations, proceed within the established relief offered by way of BSA variance, or provided under an alternative existing SP, ZR 73-621 (Enlargement, extension, or conversion of building containing residential uses), that limits enlargements to 10 percent.

Community Board Ten -- public review process -- following its certification, Community Board Ten held a public hearing on June 15, 2016 with broad outreach and notification. The public notification included a 6,000 piece residential district mailing to those areas in which this section of the Special Permit has been granted in the past. Notices were also sent to local press, community groups, civic organizations, houses of worship, elected officials, prior applicants as well as local real estate brokers, architects and land use attorneys.

Over 100 residents attended the public hearing with 19 speakers. 15 spoke in support and 2 spoke in opposition, one speaker asked a question and one took no position. Residents were asked to indicate their position on the sign in sheet and all were in favor except for 5 residents.

The Zoning and Land Use Committee presented its report to the General Board at its duly publicized meeting held on June 20, 2016 following the certification of CB10 text amendment application by the Department of City Planning. The Zoning and Land Use Committee report noted the overwhelming support of all in attendance at the public hearing reaffirming the application made by Community Board Ten. The Chair in agreement with the members of Community Board 10 formally submitted all public testimony to the Department of City Planning to demonstrate the overwhelming community support for Community Board Ten's application in a letter dated July 15, 2016 to Carl Weisbrod Director NYC Planning Commission.

Today, we are delighted to have received the unanimous support of the members of the City Planning Commission and appreciate the opportunity to present to this New York City Counicl Sub-Committee on Land Use. We welcome the additional opportunity to listen to public comment and to answer questions on Community Board Ten's application to repeal ZR 73-622 from Community District 10.

Testimony of Bob Cassara

President of the Brooklyn Housing Preservation Alliance

Before the NYC Council Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises

Regarding Community Board Ten's Application to Repeal the Special Permit Section 73-622

October 5, 2016

Good Morning,

I am Bob Cassara, a life-long resident of Dyker Heights and Bay Ridge and President of the Brooklyn Housing Preservation Alliance. On behalf of our officers, I would like to state our support for Community Board Ten's request for repeal of Community District 10 of ZR Section 73-622, Special Permit.

Bay Ridge and Dyker Heights' Zoning is set up and designed to protect the character and charm of our community. In the mid 2000s the Community Board, along with its elected officials lobbied the City to down-zone CB10 because of the as-of-right abuses that we saw happening in our community. Single family homes were being torn down and replaced with much larger out of scale and out of character multi-family buildings. We were successful and an agreement was reached with the City and most of our community was down-zoned.

Based on what I have learned, there have not been that many requests (approximately 20) for home enlargements in Community Board 10 and just a few have been given permission to increase the size of buildings in our community by the Community Board. However, in spite of our Community Board's diligence in safeguarding the community, the Board of Standards and Appeals approved all applications despite instances where Community Board Ten members raised objections that the expansion projects did not conform to the intentions of the statue and adversely affected community character. In many of the cases where ZR 73-622 Permit applications were approved, the result is that it doesn't reflect the initial intent of the special permit but resulted in many

enlargement applications for luxury expansions, speculative purchases or legalizations.

Now we are faced with still another and even greater challenge. Our community is under siege by unscrupulous developers and investors who are illegally converting our predominantly one and two family residences into SROs. This in turn is causing overcrowding of our schools (170%), health hazards, strains on our sanitation services and risks to our first responders. These developers are illegally converting them under our very noses and those of the Department of Buildings. The DOB should be preventing this illegal behavior but they and other city agencies have been unable to stop it. If left unchecked, in time it will break our zoning. The developers and investors will seek to enlarge their property in order to make SROs that contain even more units. I fear that the Special Permit ZR Section 73-622 will only give these opportunists the as-of-right ability to cause even more destruction to our community, thus changing its very character for good and eliminate the reasons why so many of us, for so long, have called this place home. Once the tipping point is reached, our community will be changed forever and will not be a place that any of us recognize or wish to continue to live in.

Accordingly, I support our Councilman, Vincent Gentile and our Community Board's request for the Special Permit 73-622 to be rescinded.

Thank You,

Bob Cassara

President, Brooklyn Housing Preservation Alliance, Inc.

www.brooklynhpa.com

(917) 882-5893



MLK PLAZA

869 East 147th Street Bronx, NY, 10455 Block: 2600 Lot: 187, 222, 220, 213





Magnusson Architecture and Planning PC www.maparchitects.com





Zoning Change Map



Current Zoning Map (6c)

Proposed Zoning Map (6c) - Project Area is outlined with dotted lines

Rezoning from: M1-2 to R7X/C1-4 district M1-2 to R7X district M1-3 to R7X district

East 147th Street, Bronx



.

0 30 60 120 180 240

Area Map

East 147th Street, Bronx Block 2600, Lots 30, 47, 49-51, 89, 96, 99, 100, 101, 103, 131, 186, 187, 213, 220 & 222





North

Prepared by Urban Cartographics April 2016
Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn . If this rezoning is approved, it would set a precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
Petition	

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	Sarah Ingber	5-fr	10 Cluston St. 112 Buooken NY 1120;	9/29/14
2.	Kathy Paquette	Kas Pg	10 Clinton Street Brookly_NY 11201	9-29-16
3.	Renee Adler	Dineg Jole	10 Clinton St 7T. Brooklyn, My 11201	9/29/16
4.	Karen Klen	Van Klei	10 Clintor St BK/MM/1201	9/29/16
5.	Siobhan Glynn	S. Dhym	10 Clinton St. ATN BKNN 11201	9129116
6.	CONSTANTIN GALAMTSKY	16-	10 CUNTON ST ADT 4V BROOKLYN NY IMOJ 10 clinten st 13R	
7.	DAVID Doherty	que	BKIYN NY 1100	9/29/16
8	SHIRLEY WALLACE	Shuley Weeline	10 Cluten Strappon nu	9/30/16
9.	Deborah Buchman	Dolipiah Buchman	10 Clinton St 10T /120/ Brooklyn NY 11201	9/30/16
10.	Rubbyne LaumiL	JULLY MA	10 CLINTON STREET - 5Q BODIKIYN, NY 11201	9/30/6
	· · · ·	U ·		

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting		
	that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to		
	FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow.		
	Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a		
	precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.		
Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use		
	Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting		

to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.

[]				
	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	MARY TEPPER	M	10 CHNTON ST.	9/29/16
2.	Jeffrey L Shandf	phut Shell	10 Clinton Gt.	9/29/16
3.	JAMES MENALE	Jang Mende	10 clinton	9/24/16
4.	Glen Nison	Lenes	10 Clinton &	9/29/16
5.	ELizabeth ACERRA	Elizabeth acerra	10 clinton 58 75	9/29/16
6.	MARTIN ADELMAN	Martin Eddle	- 10 anter ST 12T	9/22/12
7.	DRhucien-CMG DONALD Lisp PetersiLin	Loa Automatic	10 CLINTON ST	9/19/16
8	Lish PetersiLin	Lion Allyna hie	PCLINTENST.	9/24/16
9.	Patricia Jung	patricially	10 aunton St, Hyper Brooklyn, NY 11201 10 Elintons +-164 Brooklyn 11201	9/29/16
10.	Rochelle Provie	Rull Min	10 Clinton St-16V Brooklyn 11201	9/29/16

ĺ	lssue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting
		that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to
		FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow.
		Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a
		precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
	Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	NANCY SCHLICK	Turcy Schlick	10 CLINTONST, #ST BKLYD., NY 11201 18 CCI NHON ST 9 P	9/28/16
2.	Genera Butts	Sereva Bitts	Brocklynnyi1201	9/28/16
3.	BARRARA DEMAYO	Burn Delhan	10 CLINTON STREED GOTSY-7 BROOKLYN NY 10 CLINTON ST #4	9/28/16
4	Salara.	Essea	BROOKLYNY NY 11201.	{ (
5.	Marlyn Kee	Marlyn Klee	10 Clinton St. apt 3L Brooklyn, W1/201	9/28/16
6.	Lucinda Canale	Lucinda Canale	10 clinton St., Apt. 6-5 Brooklyn, M.Y. 11201	09/28/16
7.	Marthalie McClean	Matha	10 Clinton street	9/28/16
8	Sukan Raboy	Dusan Raf	10 Clinton St IOM 1201 10 Clinton ST	9/28/16
9.	STANLEY RYTMAN	Here Hert	(SE(YA, NY 1/20)	9/18/16
10.	Uda Bradford	UPA BRADFIKD	10 clinton St. Brooklyn NY 11201	9/28/16

Issue NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.

Petition We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	William Tuton	W.a. Juten	10 clinton st 12Q	9-28-16
2.	Rosenword Scanlon	Reservery Scala	10 Clinton St. 9T	9/28/16
3.	Robin Bernbaum	R. Blanbon	10 Clinton 13P	9/28/16
4.	Ricado Einsaun	<u>Ch</u>	10 Cinton 137	9/28/16
5.	Wendy Levine	MAN	10 CLINTON ST 12/	9/29/16
6.	FRANK GIABIA	My Hab	10 CLINTONSTILP	9/29/16
7.	Eva Steinman	Ditte	10 CLINTON 5N	9/29/16
8	ALICE K. BEZL	ali Koll	TO CLINTON #70	9/29/16
9.	BARBRIE PETERSILIA	Razlie Steril	a 10 GINTON 4N	9/29/16
10.	ELAINE WESS	Coc	10 JANION SI ST	- 9/24/4

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn . If this rezoning is approved, it would set a precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.	
Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.	

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	T. DOVEROVA	T. Decef	10 Clintou st apt. SR Brooklyn, NY 11201	10.01,16
2.	POHO	Paul Do	10 clinton St #9(Broutdyn NY 1120)	10/2/16
3.	JAN STERNBERG	Jon Harrison	10 CHNTON ST, APT 7V BROOKLYN, NY 11201	10/2/16
4.	Holbrook Newmen	foreg }	10 Clinton St, 6L Brookleyn NY 11201	10/2/16
5.	AmyDeutsch	Azverten	ILV	10/2
6.	El an Golomb	Elar Solomb	101 Clade St. # 10 E 13 Klyn, 14 11201	10-2.11
7.	CLIFTON TAYLOR	Attes of of	10 CLINTON ST. #13L BRODKLON NY 11201	10-3-16
8	DIMNNE B. HII'BUD	DB hugeno	10 CII D tan ST - SKIP 13 100 KIYN, DY 11201	10/3/14
9.	DAVid	-	Pio, BAGO	(2/3/14
10.	· · · · · ·			

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting
	that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to
	FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow.
	Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a
	precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use
	Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting

to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.

, ,	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
		<u>UIONATORE</u>		DATE
1.	FRANCIS KING	Janes King	BROOKLYN NY 11201	9/30/16
2.	MARY Barbato	May Borbeto,	10 CLINTON ST BRIVE NY, 11201	9/30/16
3.	Rochelle Holtzberg	Joulle Hotely	BHOGN NY 11201	10/1/16
4.	Priscillia Gore	Riadlin Dor	DC linton St BAUD: NY 1120	10/11/6
5.	Rebecca Leighton	Rbhh	10 Clinton Street, 671 Brochyn, NY 11201	10/1/16
6.	Max Ledghopen	Ch An	10	17
7.	chery Mgch	ching Mon	10 Clinton St 10 V Brook yn 11201	10101116
8	Many Jones	NANCY JOINES	111/1/11	10/01/16
9.	William RCorry	Mc Conny	10 (1)2704 SXIZ	
10.	M.B. VASILION	n. B. Vadelin	10 Clinton ST 5R	10/1/16

Issue NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, <u>FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn</u>. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.

Petition We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	BARBARA MINGOIA	Babara ningo	101 Clark ST, BKling My 112	10/3/10
2.	GEORGE A, MCKAY	Hungo Q, Mr Eng	101 clash pt, Alyn	10/3/00
3.	55 weet	Sally Hover	101 Carle Bly	10/3/13
4.	INEZ W. FOXWORTH	Inequilison out	101 Clark Street Bikly	10/3/13
5.	Linck Vander Woude	S. Vander Worke	101 Clark St. Bklyn 11201	10/3/16
6.	Stephanie Set	Add	101 Clark St. Brooklyn 11201	10/3/14
7.	Tommy Wu	Znn	101 Clark St., Broklyn, NY 11201	
8	Cliz. Chidder	Echidde	101 Cark St BK PX 1201	10/4/26
9.	Robt. Manshing	2021. ARMSTRONG	101 CLARK ST. BKUM 1100	10/4/16
10.	V			

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting
	that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to
	FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow.
	Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a
	precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use
	Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting

to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.

[]				
	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	Susan O'Doherty	SONohorty	1DI Clark 87 420A BK11361	10/2/16
2.	Mary Wade	mary Wade	101 Clark St. Sole, Bt. 11301	/ /
3.	SANDRA EMANUEL		101 CLARK ST. #21K, BILLYNIL	
4.	PAULA SOLOMON	P. Jolonon WIELIAM PETERSEN		
5.	William Petersen	WIELIAM PETERSEN	101 CLANK ST#12A BROOKYN	10-2-14
6.	JUAN AVILES	Jun partes	BROKUNNYIDON 101 CLAUK ST 8-F	10/2/16
7.	ROBIERT ZOLVERZA.		161 Clark 2010	10/2/16
8	Caroline Van Zandt	Caroline Van Zunda	101 Clark Street, Apt, 13J Boocklyn, NY 11201	10/2/16
9.		al-AA	10/ clark Street Brooklyn Nf 11801	10/2/16
10.				

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting
	that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to
	FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow.
	Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a
	precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	Minh Bimbaum	MAS	HOI CLARK ST APPT 24A	\$10/1/18
2.	Sahn Yule	N-	101 Clark St. 24A	10/1/16
3.	Bahn Yule Ronnie WOLFF	Ronneledel	101 Clark St Apt 3k	10/1/16
4.	Kathken Rugger	K.RM		10/1/16
5.	Geraldine Mechanic Grossma	Dicebang. Jam	101 Clark St 30E	10/1/16
6.	Doris Kaplan	Dorie Raplan	101 Clark St 13B	10/1/16
7.	GERALD F. LEROY	Seuld They	101 Clark St. Apt 19G	10/1/16
8	Alice Levin-Jones	alice Levin-Jones	101 Clerk St Aptuc	10/1/16
9.			101 clark st. Apt 4-c	10/1/6
10.	Marshall JONES Bradleponces	Bradley Join	101 Clork Street Apt46	/ /

Issue NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
 Petition We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	Pequilence REDINSON	Pearlene Robisson	10/ Clark St	9-29-16
2.	Beveely Solochet	Bluerg Solochet	101 Clark St.	9-29-11
3.	GERALD DERSCOLL C	Elabor Dassy	101 Chick St	9-29-16
4.	STEVET Colemant	Ann Malan	101 CLARKST	9/29/16
5.	Gretchen Ford	Phetcher Ford	101 Clark Streets	9/29/14
6.	JUDITH O'DRISCOLL	J.ODASCOLL	101 Clarke St	9/29/16
7.	DAVID SEWELSON	BE	101 Clark ST	9/29/10
8	Sheilah Quinn,	SAND	101 Clark St	9/29/16
9.	CHIN MEE KING	mee King Chin	101 CLARK St.	9/29/16
10.	JOSEPH POLINY	prover faling	IUL CLARK ST.	9-29-16

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting
	that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to
	FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow.
	Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a
	precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use
	Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting

to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.

PRINTED NAME SIGNATURE **ADDRESS** DATE ISRK 1. 51 (Awn) 2. Award 11 8/16 er 3. Clark 9128 16 16(oba A +25E 9 MARILAN 101 Plan 4. 28 MUTCHNIK 5. 101 ark st 4-5 TÉREJA É. WALKEN SEPT. 2016 Warrey 6. 101 CLARK ST. SUE AARONSON 9/28/16 101 CLARK ST 9B 7. 9 · Su L SLARDE SS 136 Lake 1 8 10 1 181 CLARER ST, 2317 9. MATTHNEY Roy 9, \mathcal{O} 10.

Issue NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
 Petition We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	MOND Stevenson	Man	lol Clipple S	9/28/14
2.	Marjorie Horow.tz	maginie flat	101 Clark St - 183	9/28/16
3.	Felicia Birnel	Filicia Birnel		9/28/16
4.	ANNE KNAUERHASE	Anne Banaueren	101 Clay St BRITH	9/28/16
5.	Jenlyn Kaplan	Juntin Kappan	101 Claric SV. 24/4	9/28/16
6.	Jenlyn Kaplan Chorles McFAUL	Charles Mc Fael	101 CLARK ST. BKLYN, NY.	
7.	Lisa Weis	Lisa Weis	101 Clarkst GHBRLyn, NY	
8	'Yaulathy hes	Hauldhard	161 Clarksr. Dx4	9/18/16
9.	Julie Wong	Julie wong		
10.	stragates	Ing Aler B	1010 Delalo 101	91/28/16

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting		
	that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to		
	FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow.		
1	Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a		
	precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.		
Petition	ion We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use		
	Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting		

to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn. **PRINTED NAME** SIGNATURE DATE ADDRESS 9/28/16 MICHAEL A. MILLET 101 CLARKST - ZZC 1. H 11 2. MICHAEL MANZOIIIO 101 Clark St DOREEN HAZEL 9/28/16 10A 3. Jehu Roberts 9/28/16 4. 101 Clark St. 26A J.R. BROWN 2/28/K 101 CHARLEST 22K 5. ghanm Torres 101 Qark St -305 6. IAM ane 9/28/16 Michelle Arrington 7. 101 Clark St - 20D 9/28/16 Ann Zemastis 9/29/16 10/ Clark St. 19A 8 RONNI POTOSKY 9. 101 CLARK STR. # 200 23557-2016

101 clanlest#25A

9/28/16

Anthony Duron

10.

Issue NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to FAR '18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
 Petition We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	VIVIAN C. DE VITO	Juran CAOTites	151 Clark St	9-29-16
2.	VIVIAN C. DE VITS Judiths Borasm Judith Borasm	Judith S. Gron	10, clarkst. 316	9/29/16
3.	JOYCE ARMSTRONg			9/29/16
4.	Engenekrinsky	Con 200	101 Clark St	9)2g))y
5.	ANGELO CIRELLI	angrelo Ctrelle	101 Clark St	9/29/16
6.	LEVINE Xou Levine	Sois & Levine	101 CHARK St	9 /29/10
7.	ALLAN LUKS	alles	Wol Clark St.	9/30/2016
8	Karentuks	Jernen Luchs	101 Cluck St	9/30/2016
9.	VIRGINIA CARIDI	Urginia Casido	101 Clask) St.	9/30/14
10.	SAMES Dultan E	Jenny Durker	1ey chard St	9/329/16.

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn . If this rezoning is approved, it would set a precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	Slaane smaking	Schrokille	Willaukst # BE	SEADAD
2.	Ronde Bruela	Alequer	101 Clark St BB	9/2/16
3.	FREDDIE SHILEY	Francie Salley	101 Clark of	9/29/16
4.	SHEILS MARKS	Sheila news	IOI CLARK ST	9/29/16
5.	Barbara Habenstreit	Barbara Habenstreet	101 Clark St.	9/29/16
6.	HERBERT DAMSKY	Hapt Dausp	(Clark St	9/29/16
7.	Lee Goldman	Lee Goldman	101 Clark St.	9/29/16
8	NILDA LIVERA	Nobe Luna	101 Clark A	9/24/16
9.	STEPHEN L. GRIMES	Shiphing Low	101 CLARK ST.	9/28/16
10.	JANAL. DICKERSON	June L. Aicho	101 Clark St	9/28/16

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn . If this rezoning is approved, it would set a precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	Evelyn Cary	Luclyn Oary	101 Clark St.	9/29/16
2.	MARY NICHOLLS	Nagovalallo	101 CLARK ST.	9/29/16
3.	JOSEPH WINOWIECKI	Angh & Co inoviection	101 CLARK ST	9/29/16
4.	MARTIN BLANK	Martin Blank	101 CLARK ST	9/29/16
5.	GARY Greere	Gary Jaene	101 Clark St	9/29/16
6.	Olivia Eccleston	Olivin Eccleston	101 Clark &-	9/29/16
7.	Gloein Robinson	Aloría Robenson	101 Clark St.	9/29/16
8	AGNES N. DATET	Azon Nye	101 Clark St.	9/29/6
9.	Foot V Ilford		101 dpakg	9109146
10.	Phyllis Ziegler		12, clark St	9/29/16

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn . If this rezoning is approved, it would set a
Petition	precedent for Downtown Brooklyn. We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use
	Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	DEVERLY SHNIPPER	Beauly Schniften	101 CLAINST-20E VO.K.LYU., N.Y 1201	9/29/16
2.	LAURA Goldberg	101 clark (120)	Lama Dolla	7/29/4
3.	Charles Runkel	Charles Runtel	101 Clark 310	9/29/16
4.	ADELE SUSSIAM	ade susan	101 Clink # 36	9/29/16
5.	JOE LAMARTINA	Hallerten	101 Clark ST. 14F	9/29/16
6.	CLAIRE BARA BASH	Gaux Parabase Gamel Ford.	101 Clark St-26D 101 Clark St. 3H.	9/29/16
7.	GABRIEL Ford.	Jamet Ford.		9/29/16
8	Susan Ginzburg	Spesser May	101 ClarkSt. 185	9/29/10 9-29-16
9.	GEORGE B BLOUNT	ABBOLL	101 CLARK ST	9-29-16
10.	Ema lebourtz	Gina Lebourtz	101 clark St 4B	9/29/14
		į		(('

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting
	that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to
	FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow.
	Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a
	precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use
	Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting

to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.

PRINTED NAME SIGNATURE **ADDRESS** DATE earl "lenny" Schwartz 101 Clash So #ZIF 129 1. 16 2. Robert HAUSSMANN 101 CLACK_5+ #17D 29 3. Gene Sturges 0 1291 CLARK ST # 8F 101 16 Irchin Kennedy 4. Dr Babbe-Lynne Urchin Kennedy 101 CLARK St. #26-K At- Bebbe- Lynne 5. D51,205 Gar Jask 6. (0)7.20F heldon salihsky Va 7. 8 Robert CLARK_ST ILD 9. Josep TRIED 287 JOAN CrACCO 10. 25# 101

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to	
	FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn . If this rezoning is approved, it would set a	
	precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.	
Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.	

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	ANITA CANLAS	anita Caulas	101 Clark St	929.16
2.	SUSAN SCHNADT	Sucan bahnapt	101 Clark St. 18F	9/29/14
3.	ELAINE GREENE	Aufer	101 CLANKSTSE	9/24/16
4.	CARISY Vestre	Carl Mestie	101 clark St 30B	9/29/16
5.	Juliet Kennedy - Norten	Auliet Kennoly- Mothe	101 Clark St. 21B	9/30/16
6.	Durare Lyps	0 m	101 Clark 85 9C	9-30-16
7.	Antony B Soll	Julay & Soll	101 Clark St. 234	9-30-16
8	CHEROWAL MINAGIA	Carry Mur	101 CLARK St. 27H	9/30/14
9.	Barbara Mark	BARBARA MACK	101 CLARY	9/38/16
10.	Dr. A lisan Berknett	Dr Alisan Bennett	101 Clark St. #31-D	9/30/16

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, <u>FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn</u> . If this rezoning is approved, it would set a	
	precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.	
Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.	

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	KATHLEEN REYNOLDS	Kathler Reyald	101 CLARKST-153-11201	9/30/16
2.	ET BAN	A Bur	181 CLASK St 214 1120	9/30/16
3.	Jema miking	TRAMA M. TING	101 CLARKS, 180	g/32/14
4.	Kaesun Alexis-John	Supe	101 Clark St. #30A	9/30/16
5.	LESTIE A. GERMAIN-MODE		101 clarke St 11B	9/30/16
6.	ALVIN S. GUODMAN	algont	101 Clartst NG	9/30/16
7.	Isabelle Thompson	Joabelle Thompson	101 Clark 74	9/20/16
8	CAROL POPPRE	Cellong	101 Mark ST 31E	9-30-16
9.	JOHN & KREVITS	XX	101 Clark St 286	9/30/16
10.	HAROLD CORN	Sarold Com	101 Clark St 17C	

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting
	that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to
	FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow.
	Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a
	precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use

	PRINTED NAME	<u>A SIGNATURE</u>	ADDRESS DATE
1.	Audrey Reilly	Aubry herely	101 Charles St. Ny11201 9(30(16
2.	Enid R.Shen Kman	(allertin	101 Clark St. 14/1201 9/30/16
3.	BEN LORENTZEN	Bohnder	101 RLARK ST. N.7.11201 9/30/16
4.	Judy Jowes	Judy Jone	101 Clark St NY 11201 9/30/16
5.	AISLINN COHN	Acolin Cola	101 CLARKNY11207 9/30/16
6.	JESSE JOHN		-101 Clark St 11201 9-30-16
7.	Benitalover- River	Dent Greekeve	101 Clark 11201 9-30-16
8	Ernest Landers J.11 Holder	Emeste Janders	101 Clark St. 11201 9-30.16
9.	D.11 Holder	Jer Hen	101 Clarkst 11201 9-30-16
10.	Encloy		101 Clark St 1201 9/30/16

Issue NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn. If this rezoning is approved, it would set a precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.
 Petition We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	
1.		Conole Cole	ADDRESS Inol DATE 101 Clark St Ricyn 9 930/16
2.	Frances CIZA	K Stonly Cost	101 CLARR ST BAUX 13/115
3.	Sheila Hord	Seile Alba	101 Clark St 17 JEKlen Atiolike
4.	HARRIS SARNEY	Junh Sm	101 CLARK ST BUN 9/30/K
5.	JOE ANNE SHAPIRO	Jeline Shapiro	101 Clark & Belyn 120, 10/1/16
6.	SOFIYA Shteyn	Sofja Shhm	101 CLARK St BROOM 10/1/16
7.	BURT GROSSMAN	But Drossman	10/ Clark St. Brosklyn 1120 10/1/16
8	JOHN MUELEER	opt 1 ms	101 cuoren 57, 11201 10/17016
9.	Sheila Roman	sherea forman	101 CLarkest. Brooklyn, NY11201 10/1/2016
10.	ALTAMESE Ford	a stamon for	201 CLAREST BICH NJ. 10/1/205
	-		

Issue	NYC Based Real Estate Developer, Savanna, has submitted an application to the NY City Council requesting that their lot, located at 141 Willoughby St, be rezoned from its current status of a FAR 6 (Floor Area Ratio) to FAR 18. FAR 18 would allow Savannah to build a high-rise tower taller than current zoning laws allow. Presently, FAR 18 is not allowable anywhere in Brooklyn . If this rezoning is approved, it would set a precedent for Downtown Brooklyn.	
Petition	We, the undersigned, residents of Cadman Towers, urge Councilman Levin and the NY City Council Land Use Committee, to reject the ULURP (Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure) application by Savanna requesting to rezone 141 Willoughby Street in Downtown Brooklyn.	

	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
1.	Angels Desplorez	Zih	10 chidon \$0.	10-3-16
2.	Anoselo Desployer INA Fheedman	AFreder	10 CHARTONT	10-3-(1
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.	-			
7.				
8				
9.				
10.	•			

	THE COUNCH	
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK	
	, Appearance Card	
	I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No	
	in favor In opposition 141 Willarghby	
	Date:	
	Name: Assembyman Walter Mosley's offices	
	Address: 55 Hanson Place Brookligh MY	
	I represent: The STAN ASSEMBLY Distanct	
	Address:	
	. THE COUNCIL	
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK	
	Appearance Card L1 89	
	I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No in favor	
	Date:	
	(PLEASE PRINT)	
	Name: <u>DIEPHEN HARRISON</u> Address: 317-79EST. BROCKLYN NY	
	O DEVENDER BURGED (1)	
	Address: <u>8119</u> St AVE BROCKLYN NY	
20 20 20	THE COUNCIL	
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK	
	Appearance Card	
	I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No	
	\square in favor \square in opposition \square	
	Date: 10 5 020 0	
	Name: Vosephine beckmann District Manager	,
	Address: 8119 STRAURNUR	
	I represent: Brooklyn Community Board len	
	Address: 8119 5th Ave 71209	
	Please complete this card and return to the Sergeant-at-Arms Accession	

	THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card 489 I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. Res. No.
	🖌 in favor 🔲 in opposition
	Date: $\frac{10}{5}$
	Name: Matthew Cassara
, *.	Address: 1026 Bay Ridge Parkway Blugg, NY 11228
	I represent: Dyker Heights Civic Association
	Address: 1072 80th St. Brooklyn NY 11228
	THE COUNCIL
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	_ Appearance Card 2. U = 474
	I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No in favor in opposition
	Date:
	Name: LUMA PARECISCO Brooklyn
	Address: 1100 Schermerhorn St. Ballet
	I represent: Brooktyn Ballet
	Address :
	THE COUNCIL
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card 489
	I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
	in favor in opposition
	Date:
	Name: ROBERT V. CASSARA
	Address: 973-BAY RIDGE PKWY, BKITN, NY 11228
	I represent: BROUKLYN HOUSING PRESERUPTION ALLIPNCE
	Address: 1.0 BOX 280345
	Please complete this card and return to the Sergeant-at-Arms
	 A second sec second second sec

.

	THE COUNCIL
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card
	I intend to appear and speak on Int. Np Res. No
	in favor p in opposition $L40472$ zoning
	Date: $10 5 7016$ (PLEASE PRINT)
	Name: Senator Velmanette offices
	Address: 30 Third Avenue Brooklyn, NY
	I represent: DISTRICT (Senate) 25
	Address:
	THE COUNCIL
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card
	I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 141 Willowship Res. No Res. No in favor in opposition U - 0472
	Date: 10[3(10
	(PLEASE PRINT)
•	Name: TOBA POTOSICY
	Address: 101 (LATE SHREET BUDFLYM IVY ILDI
	1 represent: Cadman Lowers
	Address :
	THE COUNCIL
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card
	I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No in favor
	Date:
	Name ANDREW HOAN
	Name: FINDREW FIOAN
	Address: I represent: BROOKLYNCHAMBER OF
	(1) K (0) K (1) V (1) (1) (0) (1) (0) (1) (0) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1
	I represent: ONOCIONAMERCE

THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK 1 Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. ____ Res. No. in favor in opposition 1600332MQ 160351ZM10 Date: PLEASE PRINT I on behalf it bleitman Rea DANL PROULY Name: Associates - the Applicant Address: c/o Holland and Knight 31 W 52MB 10019 I represent: Address IE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card 2,473, Ret No I intend to appear and speak on Int. No.47 📋 in favor in opposition Date: (PLEASE PRINT) Name: Address: I represent: <u><u><u>BROOKLYN</u></u></u> ITS ASSOC ST Address: Y OF NEW YORK Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 0477-2016 Res. No. in opposition in favor Date: 16/5/16 (PLEASE PRINT) Michael Barry Name: 110 Greene NΥ Street \mathcal{N} Address: I represent: Ironstate Development LLC Address: 110 Greene Street Please complete this card and return to the Sergeant-at-Arms

	THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card
I intend	to appear and speak on Int. No 0477-20 Res. No.
	1 in favor in opposition
	Date: 10516 (RLEASE PRINT)
Name:	Deivdue Alabor, Greinbey Training
Address	THOMAN
I repres	212 122 (millingth Aris 1
Address:	
	THE COUNCIL
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card
I intend	to appear and speak on Int. No. 472. W Res. No.
	in favor 🗋 in opposition
	$A f f f can t Date: \frac{10 S 16}{(PLEASE PRINT)}$
Name: .	Tay segal
Address:	200 Pork Anenie
I represe	$\mathbf{mt}: \underline{Savana}_{A}$
Address:	
	THE COUNCIL
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card
I intend	to appear and speak on Int. No. 0475+047 Res. No.
	\square in favor \square in opposition
	Date:
Name:	Lisa Orrantia Akerman LLP
Address:	
-	
I repres	ent: MLK Plaza LLC

,

	THE COUNCIL	
TH	E CITY OF NEW Y	ORK
	Appearance Card	
Lintend to annear	and speak on Int. No. 04-15-40	47/ P N
	🛛 in favor 🗌 in oppositi	on ///
	Date: <u>/</u>	Akerman 1
Name: Josephi	(PLEASE PRINT) Myev	Akerman
Address:	<u> </u>	
I represent: MAT	> APCHITECTS	
Address:		
and a second statement of the second s	THE COUNCIL	menne strukture strukture in stander in som
TH	E CITY OF NEW Y	ORK 141 Will
	·	
	Appearance Card	
	nd speak on Int. No.	
4	🖄 in favor 📋 in oppositio	
	(DI FACE DDINT)	0/5/2016
Name: ALAN \	NASHINGTON	·
Address: 1 Met	ro Tech Center	
I represent: Down	Fown Brooklyn Part	nership
Address :	- Marken	•
	THE COUNCIL	
THE	E CITY OF NEW Y	ORK
	Appearance Card	
	id speak on Int. No. <u>480/481-20</u>	
E State Stat	in favor 🔲 in opposition	n .
•		·····
Name: DAN -	(PLEASE PRINT) TUBRIDY	
Address:		
I represent: ROCKA	WAY BEACH POTEL	uc
Address :		
AUUIC88.		

•

THE COUNCIL
THE CITY OF NEW YORK
Appearance Card
I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. LV 972, 979 Res. No.
in favor 🔲 in opposition
Date:
Name: Jeff Nelson
Address: NICEDC
I represent: NYCEDC
 Address: 10 culline of.
THE COUNCIL
THE CITY OF NEW YORK
Appearance Card
I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 480/411-20/ Res. No.
in favor 🔲 in opposition
Date:
(PLEASE PRINT) Name: JOSH RINESMITH
Address:
I represent: ROCKAWAY BEACH HOTEL LLC
Address:
THE COUNCIL
THE CITY OF NEW YORK
Appearance Card L.U. 490
I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
in favor 🔲 in opposition
Date: 10/3/11
(PLEASE PRINT) Name: RICHARD LOBEL
Address: SHELDAN LOBEL, P.C.
I represent: 1968 SECOND AVENUE LLC
Address: 1968 SECOND AVENUE, MH
Please complete this card and return to the Sergeant-at-Arms

	THE COUNCIL
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card
I intend to a	appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
	in favor \Box in opposition $10/5/16$
0: 5: 1	Date:795/70 (PLEASE PRINT)
Name:	Jordan Most
Address:	Sheldon Lovel PC
I represent:	Property Owversin Brook CB(O
Address:	
Audress.	THE COLNCH
	THE COUNCIL
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card
I intend to a	appear and speak on Int. No. <u>0475</u> Res. No in favor [] in opposition
	Date:
1	(PLEASE PRINT)
Name :	DAN RAD
Name: Address:	DAN RAD MLK PLAZA
	DAN RAD MLK PLAZA RIDOGAN DIGITCI JARMEDIT
Address: _	DAN RAD MLK PLAZA
Address:	DAN RAD MLK PLAZA RADSON DEVELOPMENT
Address:	DAN RAP MLK PLAZA RADION DEVELOPMENT THE COUNCIL
Address:	DAN RAD MLK PLAZA RADSON DEVELOPMENT
Address:	DAN RAP MLK PLAZA RADION DEVELOPMENT THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK
Address: I represent: Address:	DAN RAP MLK PLAZA RADSON DEVELOPMENT THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card 141 Willowly
Address:	DAN RAP MLK PLAZA RADION DEVELOPMENT THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card 191 Willingty appear and speak on Int. No. L. 972-979 Res. No.
Address: I represent: Address:	DAN RAP MLK PLARA RADION DEVELOPMENT THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card Million M
Address:	DAN RAP MLK PLAZA RADION DEVELOPMENT THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card 191 Willingty appear and speak on Int. No. L. 972-979 Res. No.
Address: I represent: Address:	DAN RAD MLK PLARA RADSON DEVELOPMENT THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card MILLAN Appearance Card MILLAN MILLAN MILLAN (PLEASE PRINT) Charlender
Address: I represent: Address:	DAN RAP RADION DEVELOPMENT RADION DEVELOPMENT THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card Millingty appear and speak on Int. No. L. MILLING in favor in opposition Nellicat Date: 10/5/16. (PLEASE PRINT)
Address:	DAN RAD MLK PLARA RADSON DEVELOPMENT THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card MILLAN Appearance Card MILLAN MILLAN MILLAN (PLEASE PRINT) Charlender

Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. (U 4734) Res. No. Print favor In opposition Date: I of the construction Name: ED WALLACK Address: Address: THE COUNCIL Address: Address: THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Address: I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. I in favor	1	THE COUNCIL
I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. UMPTY Res. No. Print favor in opposition Print Date: Lot Hill (PLEASE PRINT) Name: ED WALLALO Address: Vo CAUCTANERC WALANCE OF Low I represent: Strace Address: THE CUTY OF NEW YORK Address: Address: (PLEASE PRINT) Name: GOBERTO Address: IMO CADMAN PLAZA WEST BKL I represent: SEUE Address: I consector (PLEASE PRINT) Name: SEUE Address: THE COUNCIL THE CUTY OF NEW YORK Address: THE COUNCIL THE CUTY OF NEW YORK Address: I consector Address: I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. MITH I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. MITH I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. MITH Address: COUNCIL THE CUTY OF NEW YORK Address: DNLYIFQ with M Date: 10/5/14 (PLEASE PRINT) Name: Con Egels Address: 200 Cak the / (o Creanly Travery I represent: Sevent		THE CITY OF NEW YORK
In favor in opposition Address: Date: Yo (PLEASE PRINT) Name: Yo Address: Yo Address: Yo Address: Yo Address: Yo Address: Yo Address: Yo Address: Yo Address: THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Address: Address: Address: I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. YH4 Res. No. I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. YH4 Res. No. (PLEASE PRINT) Name: Roberto OAddress: I opposition Date: (PLEASE PRINT) Name: Sector Address: Address: </th <th></th> <th>Appearance Card</th>		Appearance Card
Address: State: Address: Address: THE COUNCIL THE COUNCIL THE COUNCIL THE COUNCIL I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. I in favor I in opposition Date: (PLEASE PRINT) Name: ROBERTO Address: I O CADMAN PLAZA WEST BKL I represent: SELF Address: MY Address: MY Address: MY Address: MY Address: MY I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 472-471 Res. No. (Appearance Card MY I infavor I in opposition Seat: MY Address: ONLY IFQ withow Date: Iols //b I in favor I in opposition	I intend to appe	ar and speak on Int. No. LUY724 Res. No.
(PLEASE PRINT) Name: ED WALLACE Address: 70 (MECCUPERC WALLACE of Internet of the Council The Council The Council The Council The Council Address: The Council I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. I infavor I in opposition Date: (PLEASE PRINT) Name: COEERTO Address: YO CADMAN PLAZA WEST BKL I represent: SELF Address: THE COUNCIL THE COUNCIL (Appearance Card WALLACE OF NO. (Applicat I in favor I in opposition Sea.) ONLY IF Question Sea.) ONLY IF Question Sea.) ONLY IF Question Sea. 200 Cat the / (0 C (Maily S1601) I represent: Sea. 200 Cat the		
Name: <u>D</u> <u>WALLALA</u> Address: <u>Yo</u> <u>GREENDER</u> <u>WMALLALA</u> Address: <u>Address</u> : <u>THE COUNCIL</u> <u>THE CITY OF NEW YORK</u> <u>Address</u> : <u>Address</u> : <u>ULEASE PRINT</u>) <u>Name</u> : <u>COBERTO</u> <u>Address</u> : <u>COBERTO</u> <u>Address</u> : <u>THE COUNCIL</u> <u>THE COUNCIL</u> <u>THE COUNCIL</u> <u>THE COUNCIL</u> <u>THE COUNCIL</u> <u>THE COUNCIL</u> <u>THE CITY OF NEW YORK</u> <u>Address</u> : <u>Address</u> : <u>Address</u> : <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> : <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> : <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL</u> <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST BKL <u>Address</u> <u>COMPARA DEST B</u></u></u></u></u>		Date.
I represent: State Address: THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card Infavor I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. Infavor I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. Infavor I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. Infavor I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. Infavor I represent: SELF Address: I represent: THE COUNCIL THE COUNCIL THE COUNCIL NY Address: NY Address: I represent: Pearance Card I''' I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 472-471 Res. No. Adplicat I in favor I in opposition I infavor I in opposition I''' I infavor I in opposition I''' I mane: Date gers Date: DIs/16 Name: Date date / (o Gradify Frauty I''' I represent: Subance I'''	Name: ED	
Address: THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. Name: ROBERTO Address: I represent: SELF Address: THE COUNCIL Appearance Card <	Address: <u>70</u>	CREENISERC WS/12C-ERTIAN
THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card		(i V G A AG
THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card I I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. I in favor I in favor I in favor I in favor I in favor I in favor I represent: SELF Address: I represent: THE COUNCIL THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Address: I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 772-711 Res. No. (Appearance Card I'multiplication I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 772-711 Res. No. (Application) I in favor I in opposition Pare: I co Egers Address: I co Cat the / (o Graaf by Travy I represent:	Address :	
Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 474 Res. No. I in favor I represent: I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. (12-171) Res. No. (Applicat I in favor I in favor I in opposition Image: I opticat I represent: I represent: I represent: I represent: I represent:		THE COUNCIL
I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. <u>474</u> Res. No. in favor in opposition Date:	Τ	HE CITY OF NEW YORK
I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. <u>474</u> Res. No. in favor in opposition Date:		Appearance Card
□ in favor □ in opposition Date:	I intend to annea	en an
(PLEASE PRINT) Name: BOBERTO Address: IMO CADMAN PLAZA WEST BKL I represent: SELF Address: THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK <i>Appearance Card</i> I'T! UILLI I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. <u>MIZ-MM</u> Res. No. (Applicat I in favor in opposition Pay) ONLYIFQ John Date: 10/5/16 (PLEASE PRINT) Name: DCn Egers Address: Zoo Cak the / Co Granby Trainy I represent: Summe	a survive to appeal	
Name: ROBERTO Address: 140 CADMAN PLAZA WEST BKL I represent: SELF Address: THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK <i>Appearance Card</i> I'll I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 472-471 Res. No. (Applicat I in favor in opposition Pan DILYIFQ JESTIMA Date: 10/5/14 (PLEASE PRINT) Name: Den Egers Address: 200 Part Are / Co Granbey Frank	n de la companya de Na de la companya de Na de la companya de	
Address: 140 CADMAN PLAZA WEST BKL I represent: SELF Address: THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK <i>Appearance Card</i> I'T! I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 172-171 Res. No. (Applicat) in favor] in opposition Pan ONLY IF Q Jeshow Date: 10/5/16 (PLEASE PRINT) Name: <u>Can Egers</u> Address: <u>200 Cak the (co Graaber Travit</u> I represent: <u>Suran</u>	Name: Robi	E R.T.O.
Address: THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card 171 I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 472-474 Res. No. I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 472-474 Res. No. (Applicat in favor in opposition I opposition Infavor in opposition I opposition Image: 10/5/16 (Appearance Card 10/5/16 (Applicat Infavor Infavor I mame: Development Development Name: Development 10/5/16 Name: Development 100 Contender from the formula I represent: Summent Summent		CADMAN PLAZA WEST BKL
THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card MM I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. <u>172-171</u> Res. No. MM I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. <u>172-171</u> Res. No. MM Applicat in favor in opposition I opplicat In favor In opposition I make: Destructure Iols/16 Name: Destructure Iols/16 Address: Zoo Park the / (o Graaf by Trave I represent: I represent: Summer Summer	I represent:	ELF
THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 472-474 I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 472-474 Res. No. (Applicat I in favor I in opposition Scale (PLEASE PRINT) Name: Den Egers Address: 200 Cak the / (o Greenber Trans) I represent:	Address :	
THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 472-474 I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 472-474 Res. No. (Applicat I in favor I in opposition Scale (PLEASE PRINT) Name: Den Egers Address: 200 Cak the / (o Greenber Trans) I represent:	1	THE COUNCIL
Appearance Card 11/1 I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. <u>172-171</u> Res. No (Applicat in favor in favor in opposition back (DNLYIFQ Jestion) Date: 10/5/16 (PLEASE PRINT) Name: Don Egers Address: 200 Pak the / (0 Graatley Trange I represent: Summer	- TI	
I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. <u>172-171</u> Res. No (Applicat D in favor D in opposition Lew) DNLYIFQ Lethons Date: <u>10/5/16</u> (PLEASE PRINT) Name: <u>DCn Egers</u> Address: <u>200 Cak the / (o Graabley Travy</u> I represent: <u>Summ</u>	-	
(Applicat Din favor □ in opposition Jean) ONLYIFQJestions Date: 10/5/16 (PLEASE PRINT) Name: Name: Address: I represent: L represent:	1:	
Jean UNLYIFQuestions Date: 10/5/16 (PLEASE PRINT) Name: Dan Egers Address: 200 Park the / 10 Granbey Trans I represent: Summe	I intend to appear	and speak on Int. No. 772-777 Res. No.
(PLEASE PRINT) Name: Den Egers Address: 200 Park the / 10 Graaby Transy I represent: Summe		IFQ restions Date: 10/5/16
Address: 200 Pak the / 10 Graaby Travij I represent: Suum		(PLEASE PRINT)
I represent:		1-0.001
-	Name: DCA	Egers
	Name: <u>DCA</u> Address: <u>2</u>	Egers so Park the / co Granby Train

. "

	THE COUNCIL
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card L.U. 475/6
	I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No
	🗌 in favor 🛛 🏹 in opposition
	Date: 1-15/16
	(PLEASE PRINT)
	Name: RICHARD LOBEL
	Address: SHELDON LOBEL, P.L.
	I represent: 860 East 147M St Owners
	Address: 860 East 147th St. BX
	THE COUNCIL
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card 0480
	I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 048/4 Res. No.
	🖸 in favor 🗇 in opposition
	Date: 10/5/16
	(PLEASE PRINT)
	Name: LISA Orrantia
	Address:
	I represent: <u>Rockaway Beach</u> Hutel LLC
	Address:
	THE COUNCIL
· •	
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card
	I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No. 4477
	in favor 🗌 in opposition
	Date: 10 3/16
	(PLEASE PRINT)
	Name: DAVID WEST Architects
	Address: (201dstein Hill West H Broad A/YC
	I represent: Ironstate
	Address: 11 Broadway NYC
	Please complete this card and return to the Sergeant-at-Arms

•

	THE COUNCIL	
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK	
	Appearance Card [4]	
	I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 477-474 Res. No.	
	(1α) (1α) (1α) (1α) (1α)	
	rew) ONLY If and show Date: [7] 5/10-	
	Name: Morry Adjmi	
	Address:	
	I represent: Savance	
	Address :	
	THE COUNCIL	۲
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK	
	Appearance Card - 6472	
	I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No	
	in favor in opposition Date: $10/5/16$	
	(PLEASE PRINT)	
	Name: AIRODOFERM	
a An an	Address: 271 BALTIC BIC	
	I represent: ASSEMBLEW. JOANLE ZIMCU	
	Address: $\underline{\bigcirc C \land \bigtriangledown}$	
	THE COUNCIL	
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK	
	Appearance Card	
ς.	I intend to appear and speak on Int. No Res. No. 4477	
	in favor in opposition	
	Only if avestruny Date: 10 \$5 2016	
	Name: NARCY DOON	
	Address:	
	I represent: VHB	•
-	Address: 2 Penn Plaza, Svitt 2602, NY, NY	
	Please complete this card and return to the Sergeant-at-Arms	
	n en	

THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK Appearance Card 474 I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. 472 Res. No. 🔲 in favor in opposition Date: LEASE PRINT) ēd Valan Viesice Name: TSA 25 r 140 Codura he Address: 265) I represent: Junk T 42.1 Address: 140 THE **W YORK** NH) 1. Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. Res. No. 🔲 in favor in opposition Date: _ (PLEASE PRINT) Name: Address: ٠.__ I represent: Address: I NCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK 2tm **Appearance** Card 11477 I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. _____ Res. No. in favor in opposition 10 Date: . PLEASE PRINT) Eary Name: Address: Wel ofmen बाट I represent: Stla + ash in Ton Address : toboky Please complete this card and return to the Sergeant-at-Arms

	•
THE COUNCIL THE CITY OF NEW YORK	
Appearance Card I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. I in favor in opposition RUD (achin Date: 10/5) 20)6 (PLEASE PRINT)	
Name: <u>VICOMA</u> Hotino Address: <u>7201-4th</u> Areme I represent: <u>Bay</u> Gail Conservation Address: <u>Save</u> Please complete this card and return to the Sergeant-at-Arms	
THE COUNCIL FOR OWLY THE CITY OF NEW YORK OWLY	
Appearance Card 440 I intend to appear and speak on Int. No. Res. No. Image: Infavor In opposition	
Date: 10-5-11 (PLEASE PRINT) Name: A2AM Moltammen Address: 657 Itaveas MAW Romn	
I represent: 1963 200 AVE DEALTY LIC Address: 1968 SECOND AVE N.YNY	
Please complete this card and return to the Sergeant-at-Arms	

	THE COUNCIL
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card
T	
	ntend to appear and speak on Int. No. <u>4</u> <u>4</u> <u>4</u> <u>4</u> <u>4</u> <u>4</u> <u>6</u>
	Date:
	(PLEASE PRINT)
	ne: KUI- DINITE Adly ES,
	iress: 10 Clinter SKIA-7-T
	$= M \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$
Add	lress :
÷ •	Please complete this card and return to the Sergeant-at-Arms
	- THE COUNCIL
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK
	Appearance Card
I in	tend to appear and speak on Int. No. 472-475 Res. No.
	in favor in opposition
	Date:
Nan	(PLEASE PRINT) De: RILHARD LANDY
	ress: 140 CAMAN PLAZA
	present: CADMAN PLAZA NORTLY
· · · · ·	ress: 140 CADMAN PLAZ.
ta star 🖡 🖡	Please complete this card and return to the Sergeant-at-Arms

.

.