CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

----- X

September 8, 2016 Start: 12:26 p.m. Recess: 12:46 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm.

14<sup>th</sup> Fl

B E F O R E: BRAD S. LANDER

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Inez E. Dickens

Daniel R. Garodnick Ydanis A. Rodriguez Margaret S. Chin Deborah L. Rose Jumaane D. Williams Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Mark Levine

Melissa Mark Viverito

Steven Matteo

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Indi Savitala

## COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

[gavel]

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Good afternoon, and welcome to the New York City Council Committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections. My name is Brad Lander. I'm honored to be the chair of this committee, and we're joined this morning by other committee members, Margaret Chin from Manhattan, Rafael Espinal from Brooklyn, Minority Leader Steve Matteo from Staten Island and Council Member Inez Dickens from Manhattan, and I suspect some other members of the committee will join us as well. to our counsel Jason Otano, and as always to the staff of the Investigative Unit, Chuck Davis, our Director of Investigations as well as Alicia Bussell (sp?), Andre Johnson Brown and Aldo Knight for as always preparing the material that members have on their desks. We're joined this afternoon--and thank you for your patience-by Indi Savitala. communication dated August 10, 2016, Mayor de Blasio Mr. Savitala's name to the Council of the City of New York nominating him for appointment to the New York City Environmental Control Board as the Noise Pollution Control Member, something about which the members of this Council and their constituents have a

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS lot to say, and feel passionately about. Within the OATH, the New York City Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings, there's the Environmental Control Board that adjudicators know-adjudicates notice of violations issued by various city agencies include DEP, Police, Sanitation, Health and Mental Hygiene, Fire and Buildings. DCB has the power to render decisions and orders and to impose civil penalties under law, and may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction for enforcement of any decision, order or subpoena that it issues. Amongst the provisions, the law enforced by ECB are those related to cleanliness; the city streets; disposal of wastes; provision of a pure wholesome and adequate supply of water; prevention of air; water and noise pollution; the regulation of street peddling; and the City's response to emergencies caused by releases of threatening and hazardous substances; and ECB can also make rules in relationship to many of those issues. ECB consists of the Commissioners of the Departments of Environment Protection, Sanitation, Health, Mental Hygiene, Buildings, Police, Fire and the Chief Administrative Law Judge at OATH as well as six persons appointed by the Mayor with the advice

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 5 and consent of the Council, the Chief Administrative Judge of OATH serves as the Chair of ECB, and ECB can then have an executive director and hearing officers. Five of the six non-commission members must possess broad general background and experience, one in each of the following areas: Air pollution control; water pollution control; noise pollution control; real estate for the business community; and the sixth non-commissioner member represents the general public. And as I mentioned, Mr. Savitala has been appointed, nominated for appointment as the noise pollution control member. Members other than the Commissioners are compensated and receive a whopping \$175 per diem stipend when performing the work. The ECB member terms are for four years, and we are honored to have you here today. I know you have prepared an opening statement, and also a response pre-hearing questions, and I would invite you to make your opening statement, but first we ask that you be sworn by the City Council-by our committee counsel.

LEGAL COUNSEL: If you would raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm—swear or affirm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS
2
    to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
3
    the truth?
4
                INDI SAVITALA: [off mic]
5
                LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you. You may
    proceed.
6
7
                INDI SAVITALA: [off mic]
                                           Good
    afternoon. My name is- [on mic] Let's try that
8
    again. How's that?
                CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Much better
10
11
                INDI SAVITALA: Thank you. I project.
12
     [laughs] Good afternoon, Chair Lander, members of
13
    the Rules, Privileges and Elections Committee of this
14
    New York City Council. My name is Indi Savitala, and
15
    I'm honored to appear before you today for
16
    consideration as a nominee to be the noise specialist
17
    appointed for a member on Environmental Control
18
    Board. When I move to New York about a decade ago,
19
    I could not imagine as a California boy I would have
20
    the opportunity to directly impact New Yorkers'
21
    lives. I started small by volunteering with the
2.2
    locak percussion group who needed people to assist
2.3
    with an upcoming concert. For three days we produced
    free concerts at the Players Theater in Greenwich
24
```

Village. I helped with stage setup, taking tickets,

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS even learned to operate light board. The event was such a hit that I voted Vice President of the New York City Chapter of the Percussive Arts Society. The following five years I continued to assist with producing free concerts for the community. I reached out to locals artists, sponsors. I received donations for the event coordinated with New York University students who volunteered their time to take tickets, do stage setups, conduct raffle prizes, and create pamphlets and handouts. From this experience I was able to see how my skills and knowledge of noise and sound could be helpful to the community. My work as an accoustical consultant has also provided opportunities to impact the lives of New Yorkers. To date I have provided accoustical input on many public and private school projects. continue to work with the design teams and the School Construction Authority in implementing accoustical designs solutions so that each child is given the best chance to learn and grow. This includes such design solutions as environmental sound reduction from noisy traffic and trains, and sound separation from mechanical units or other adjacent classroom spaces. As the population of New York increases,

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS noise pollution associated with construction, mechanical units and traffic, just to name a few, become a more prevalent problem. With limited space available for new construction, developers build taller and skinnier buildings, forcing us to live on top of each other. Traditionally, quiet spaces such as libraries become contaminated with unnecessary noise and our safe havens can potentially disappear. Further more, the number one complaint lodged with 311 relates to noise. It is a major public health issue and needs to be addressed. These facts lead to my desire to serve on the Environmental Control Board. I'd like to use my years of experience and understanding of noise code laws and its applilcations to serve my fellow New Yorkers. would be an honor to serve alongside other members in helping to resolve everday issues that are impacting the people of New York City. Thank you again for considering my nominatin to the Environmental Control Board. I'm happy to answer any questions you have. CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much for that opening statement and for your response again to the pre-hearing questions. I'll just ask a

question or two, and then turn it over to any

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS colleagues that have them. You know, I think you alluded in your opening statement to the challenge in this position. We need new construction to have housing and it's no fun living next to a construction site. We want bars and night clubs and music venues and performance venues to make that-make New York City what it is, and it's no fun to live next door to one of those tither, and-and that sets up this constant stream, as rightly point out that typically sound complaints are the number one 311 complaint. They're certainly something council members hear about. And then, it-it often turns into a-you know the challenge of doing something about it is a big So the people complain, you know, folks complain. The process of getting an agency out to measure and assess whether they're, you know, it is or isn't within acceptable limits is not a simple one between the police department and DEP depending on the place in time, and the having that move forward through an adjudication process in which both the, you know, the party feels, you know, treated fairly, but the complainant gets some opportunity to see change happen. I would say it's pretty rarely satisfying if the goal is what you rightly say, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 10 it sounds like you're doing a lot of your work, trying to set up a problem solving process that does the best possible under the circumstances to manage and reduce noise in a way that lets the activity proceed but disrupts the neighbors as little as possible. So I'm just wondering if you could talk a little about either things you have done in your experience or things you think ECB and the City even beyond ECB's purview could be doing to improve, you know, do our best to improve that situation and make it as much about solving problems and as little about unresolved complaints and contested fees as we could INDI SAVITALA: Sure, sure. There arethe Noise Code that's part of the-the Noise Code Law is-it covers various aspects from, you know, domestic pets to vehicles that produce noise like Aspen (sic) trucks, Sanitation, building code, you know, various aspects of it. My role in-in wanting to be part of the Board would be bringing that expertise to provide a fair and just way of viewing all of those different complaints. They're hard to read. It's hard to understand, and sometimes the inappropriate portion

of the code is applied to something that's not

appropriate, and that's what I want to bring to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

table is a fair and just way of looking at, and trying to provide a level playing field for those types of complaints. There' 750,000 of them. Not everyone can be a noise specialist and understand why the measurements were taken, what they were for, and what's really at the bottom of the complaint, and that's what I'm hoping to bring to the table from that level of expertise.

ask you a slightly different question. There's no doubt that to me that you're qualified to serve on the ECB and that we need someone to serve on the ECB in this capacity, and that fairly and impartially applying the law to the facts is what you're being asked to do. So I, you know, having said that, it sounds like from some of—I think what—what I—my experience is that that isn't necessarily the best way to actually achieve the outcome of helping problem solve in a community in a way that improves quality of life for people, and it sounds like you have some experience doing that kind of work. And so—-[interposing]

INDI SAVITALA: Yes.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: --separate from your obvious qualifications to serve on this board and with your useful clarification that you will objectively apply the law to the facts, I'm curious again if just have things you have seen that help move forward toward a slightly less cacophonous-[interposing]

INDI SAVITALA: A slightly quieter New York?

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Yes, with yes.

INDI SAVITALA: Sure. So, the Office of Environmental Remediation, the OER, has applied a window wall attenuation to mainly new construction along the west side highway. Some of the documentation is based on measurements or conditions that aren't going to be put in place any more. As an example, Hudson Yards, which is a project that I actively work on. At the time that the OER evaluation was done it was with the idea in mind that a ball (sic) Stadium was going to be put within the area. There were evaluations of traffic noise that were going to increase over a certain number of years obviously for people going to the stadium. So on face value looking at the attenuation that was being

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 13 required, one would just apply that and say this is what I need to do. However, the research that we did uncovered well it was based on facts that aren't really going to happen. So we then have to go in front of OER and present our documentation and our findings and say okay, here is what we would like to apply to this situation. There-that's one example in which some of the attenuation mitigation laws and numbers that are put in place aren't necessarily what's happening in the direction that New York going. And-and so, there need to be updates that could possibly be made to then reflect the direction that the city is moving in. That's just one example of-of ways in which we were able to provide the right approach to that project, and make it, you know, appropriate.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And does ECB have any—I mean obviously when you get a complaint you've got to rule on the complaint, and there's fines associated with violations. I wonder if you see anywhere in the process whether before it gets to ECB or after it gets to ECB where there's room for problem solving?

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.3

24

25

2 INDI SAVITALA: There may be. 3 wouldn't necessarily be a process in which I would be 4 involved with it, right. So the Department of Environmental Protection or DEP has a list of noise specialists. I'm one of those people on the list, 6 7 which is how I got the phone call. That list spans 8 multiple acoustical consultants who are qualified to take those measurements from various firms out there. So when a complaint is lodged with 311, often times a 10 11 person will also find a list that's readily available 12 online, and call one of the noise specialists and say 13 hey I've lodged this complaint, but it's taking a 14 while. Could you come out, and I'll pay your firm 15 third-party to also take the objective measurements 16 and either qualify or disqualify my claims. And then 17 those people can write their own opinions or reports 18 and submit them along with the complainant's 19 My role in wanting to be on the ECB information. 20 would be to review all of that information once it's 21 been received not to be involved necessarily in the 2.2 before aspect of it.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Council Member Chin or Council Member Dickens, do you have any questions.

Good. Council Member Chin.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you. sound like, you know, with your expertise, this might not be the right place. I mean it's just kind of like maybe it should be, you know, something with E-C, with the Environmental Protection Agency because I guess looking at, you know, using your expertise, you're-you're talking about giving a fair and just way of viewing complaints. You know, that's great, but it would be great in that role to really look at what-based on the type of complaints what-to make the recommendations I guess to the Administration and to the City Council in terms of policies or things that we can find some solution to. Because the biggest complaint let's say in my district is helicopter noise, and we hear from, you know, oh, there's not that many complaints about helicopters complaints I mean compared to other types of complaints. But that is something that we are looking at because it is the reason for actually not just the school, but like playgrounds and parks and a beautiful place like Governor's Island, you can't enjoy the day with all these helicopters flying above you. And then, of course, the next thing is garbage trucks, you know, and drillings and things like that.

I feel like starting under ECB is actually the—the best course of action to understand how the summons are being—from issuance all the way through hearings, right, and understanding the process. In order to be a policymaker, it would be great to know what are the issues that are coming through, and how they're being handled. And then from there, if was years down the road that you still feel like I'm the expert that you want to see to make policies, then absolutely. Let's talk about that, but I—I do feel like my role in ECB would be a great start in understanding what is happening with the city, and what are people complaining about, and then we can move on to figure

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Well, we've been joined by Council Members Levine and Garodnick. I think so far what we've concluded is that Mr.

Savitala is unquestionably qualified for this spot, but that we want is actually like a commissioner of—of Quiet NYC, which is not [laughter] what he has been nominated for, but we still have spent all our time asking him about. Council Member Garodnick.

2.2

2.3

out how we can solve it.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: I will say it is simply extraordinary to have an expert on quiet and acoustical considerations before us, and that is a very, very good things, and I think actually, it will be very helpful when it comes to questions about noise before ECB, and as you noted in your testimony that that is the number one complaint that 311 sees and also that many of us see on a daily basis. really just wanted to ask one simple question about how it came to be that you were appointed to the Environmental Control Board by the Mayor? What the process was. If you expressed interest anywhere? How-how it all came to be. Just give us a sense of that for the committee, and that's really my only question.

INDI SAVITALA: I love that question.

Thank you. [laughs] That's a great question. I was on the DEP Noise Specialist Listing that was issued I want to say back in 2009. So I've been on the list for a—a number of years, and received a phone call from the Appointments Office to see if I was interested, and it wasn't just myself. There were a handful of other colleagues not just in my office, but also across various offices, not that I know who

```
COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS
                                                  19
any of them were. I just know that there were a lot
that were --[sneezing]-God bless you. I know that
there were a lot that were considered. So, um, I
think I was just one of the few that said yes and
enthusiastically yes I'd like to move forward, and
when they asked me for a simple thing: Can you
provide us a bio. Can you provide us with a CV?
made sure to try and return that as quickly as
possible understanding as my-my dad said in the Army
is you hurry up and wait. So, you know, get it done,
and then let them do their thing for as long as it
takes them, and just continued to press the
Appointments Office to make sure that any information
they needed was still being handled, if they needed
anything further, or needed me, and spending the time
to go down and—and do the interviews and fingerprints
and all that good stuff. I'm fairly boring so I have
really clean background. [laughs]
           COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK:
                                     Terrific.
That's what we wanted to hear.
           INDI SAVITALA: Okay.
                                  [laughs]
           COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank you very
much.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25 INDI SAVITALA: Thank you. [laughs]

1	COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 20
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Boring is good.
3	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Here, here and
4	rooted in expertise as well[interposing]
5	INDI SAVITALA: Exactly.
6	CHAIRPERSON LANDER:you know, it's
7	pretty good.
8	INDI SAVITALA: Exactly.
9	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Alright, any other
10	questions from colleagues? We do not need to belabor
11	this any more than necessary. Mr. Savitala, thank
12	you very much. We don't vote on the date of our
13	hearing because occasionally they are less clear than
14	this one, and we want to have some time
15	[interposing]
16	INDI SAVITALA: I understand.
17	CHAIRPERSON LANDER:afterward to think
18	about whether it's a good idea or a bad idea, but
19	[interposing]
20	INDI SAVITALA: I understand.
21	CHAIRPERSON LANDER:I will certainly
22	recommending to colleagues on—on the day of the vote
23	that we support your nomination for this position.
24	So, thank you very much.

INDI SAVITALA: Thank you very much.

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 8, 2016