CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION

----- X

June 16, 2016 Start: 1:04 p.m. Recess: 3:04 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm.

16th Fl

B E F O R E: INEZ D. BARRON

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: James Vacca

Fernando Cabrera
Jumaane D. Williams
Laurie A. Cumbo
Ydanis A. Rodriguez
Vanessa L. Gibson

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

James Murphy, Dean
Enrollment Management
City University of New York, CUNY

Catherine Abata, Budget Director City University of New York, CUNY

Carmel Martin, Executive VP for Policy Center for American Progress Washington, D.C.

Karan Aileen (sp?)
Appearing for State Assembly Member Charles Barron

Harold Stolper, Senior Economist Community Service Society

Mallory Nugent, Senior Policy Analyst Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies

Chica Onyejiukwa, Vice Chair Legislative Affairs, CUNY Student Senate President, Student Government, Hunter College

Amanda Roman, Student College of Staten Island Board of Directors, NYPERG

John MacFarland, Brooklyn College Graduate Tech Adviser, CLAS Student Government Office James Hoff, Professor of English
CUNY Professional Staff Congress
Borough of Manhattan Community College

Steven Briar, Professor ant Historian Urban Education PhD Program CUNY Graduate Center

Mike Fabricant, Vice President Professional Staff Congress 2 [sound check, pause]

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Once again, with the cell phones, make you take down the ring tones, and put them on the vibration. If you're going to take a phone call, please take it outside to lobby--to the lobby where the elevators are, and we are about to start soon. [pause]

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Good afternoon. My name is Inez Barron, and I am the Chair of the Committee on Higher Education. Today, we are hearing Introduction No. 1138, a bill I introduced in April to establish a task force to review proposals for restoring free tuition a the City University of New York. From its founding as the Free Academy in 1847 until the financial crisis of the 1970s, the City University of New York was committed to providing students of merit a free college education. Since arriving at the Council in 2014, I have made it clear that we should be working to restore CUNY to its former glory, as the Free University of New York. I've spoken extensively has CUNY's free tuition policy made it possible for me to attend Hunter College in the 1960s. We have held hearings exploring graduation rates and student debt. With

2 Council Member Vallone and Speaker Melissa Mark-3 Viverito, I pushed for restoration of the Council's 4 Merit Scholarship to partially alleviate the financial burden for college students who maintain a B average. In the budget year 19--2015, the Council 6 7 established the City Council Merit Based Scholarship 8 available to all New York City high school graduates who have a B average and attend a city college university -- a city college institution. At budget 10 11 hearings and in meetings I have pressed CUNY administrators on whether we share a common belief 12 13 that college should be a right that is part and 14 parcel of the commitment we make to provide free 15 public education in grades K through 12. I cannot affirmatively say that we are in agreement about 16 17 this. Too often CUNY's responses to questions about 18 restoring free tuition boil down to an assertion that 60% of CUNY students graduate with no debt. Beyond 19 20 the fact that--beyond glossing over the fact that 40% 21 of CUNY students do graduate with debt, the assertion raises a question of what percentage of students 2.2 2.3 never graduate, but nonetheless, the CUNY burdened by student loans. Ultimately, however, such assertions 24 move us away from a discussion of whether we should 25

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

restore free tuition, and how the city and state can work together to make that happen. The path to restoring merit-based free tuition policy isn't easy, and we recognize that those who earn college degrees have a far greater buying power during their lifetime, and we do want to acknowledge that towards of goal of better preparing students for college, the DOE, the Department of Education of New York City has established a goal of having at least two-thirds of high school graduates be college and career ready, and they have instituted several initiatives to make that happen, the Universal Literacy, Algebra for All, AP for All, College Science, Computer Science for All, College Access for All at both the high school-middle-school and high school levels. But again, the path to restoring a merit-based--merit-based tuition fee policy isn't easy. CUNY is the largest institution with a multi-layered bureaucracy reliant on local, state and federal funding, accounting to a central administration, a Board of Trustees appointed by city and state officials, and the New York State Board of Regents. There are obviously a lot of political interests and financial interests in play, and there are legitimate policy debates about race,

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 class and immigration that surround this issue.

3 Clearly, an oversight hearing is not the forum for

4 such a debate, and is not going to reduce the path

5 forward on this issue. However, I firmly believe

6 that we have an obligation to advance this very

7 necessary dialogue. This is why I introduce this

legislation to create this as a taskforce of experts

9 and interested stakeholders to produce

10 recommendations on how to proceed.

Intro 1138 would establish a task force consisting of 13 members including the Public Advocate, the City Council Speaker, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, or their designees. The Mayor would have six appointees, and it would bring together representatives of the CUNY faculty, students, the Board of Trustees, experts in Finance and management of public universities and representatives of advocacy organizations. This task force would be tasked with producing a report that includes an analysis of existing and potential revenue streams that could replace tuition. Obstacles preventing the implementation of a free tuition policy and recommendations for how such obstacles could be addressed. The task force would

give us your name and give us your testimony.

[pause]

1

2

3 DEAN MURPHY: I know the light is there. 4 The light's broken. [coughs] Good morning, 5 Chairperson Barron and members of the Higher Education Committee. My name is James Murphy. 6 7 CUNY's University Dean for Enrollment Management. Our office is responsible for admissions, financial 8 and registration for all 270,000 undergraduate and graduate students at CUNY. I'm joined today by the 10 11 University Budget Director Catherine Abata. We are 12 delighted to be here today to discuss the City Council forming a task force to explore the 13 14 possibility of CUNY receiving adequate funding--15 receiving the adequate funding needed to provide free or at the very least reduced tuition for all or 16 17 certain categories of students. I would like to 18 begin with a little history of free tuition at CUNY. 19 A free tuition regardless of their background for 20 [coughs] or finance--financial means was the 21 cornerstone of the Free Academy, which later became 2.2 City College when it was established in Manhattan in 2.3 1847. Back then a class typically averaged about 100 or so students. In 1909, two years after moving to 24 more spacious accommodates at Holmes, City College 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

expanded its offerings to include a separate evening baccalaureate program. Over the time, the system's night school's general studies expanded through the city and served tens of thousands of non-degree students. By 1930, there were three public colleges in New York City, City, Hunter and Brooklyn, which offered a free education to students admitted to degree programs based on a very high academic average. Applicants who all were average were admitted as non-degree students and attended for the college--for the most part at night. These students paid for their courses Tuition known as--known then as instructional fees were uniform for all non-degree students who paid regardless of their financial circumstances. For many low-income students in the pre-financial aid, tuition was a hardship. In fall 1957, for example, 36,000 students attended Hunter, Brooklyn, Queens and City Colleges for free, but 24,000 paid up to \$300 a year the equivalent of about \$2,500 today according to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Online Inflation Calculator. Students at New York City Community College were paying instructional fees as early as the 1950s. Free tuition for--free tuition for day students only

2 lasted through much of the last century until 1970 3 when the University dropped all tuition charges for 4 undergraduate in-state students, and accepted any applicant with a high school diploma. 5 ushered in a brief period of free tuition at CUNY for 6 7 all New York State undergraduate students despite 8 attacks by state official including then Governor Rockefeller, who by 1961 had successfully ended the free tuition, which had been offered to certain 10 11 groups of students at the SUNY colleges. Unfortunately, free tuition would not survive the 12 13 fiscal crisis of the 1970s. [coughs] At one point, 14 CUNY could no longer meet its fiscal obligations, and 15 actually had to close down for a two-week period. 16 Both city and state were silent on offering 17 assistance and President General--General Ford 18 refused any -- any help noting that public colleges in 19 his home state of Michigan charged tuition. It became 20 clear tuition was the only source of funding 21 available. The chairperson of the CUNY Board of 2.2 Trustees, and two other trustees resigned in protest. 2.3 On June 12, 1975, Governor Hugh Carey signed into law a new financing plan, which allowed CUNY to complete 24 the academic year. Tuition was charged for the first 25

time for all students in the fall of 1976. 2 3 currently enrolls over 274,000 students in a combination of fall, winter, spring and summer 4 sessions. It will be important to determine if free tuition will be available for each session or just 6 7 fall and spring. We would expect enrollment to grow 8 if tuition was eliminated. However, of the past eight years, CUNY's enrollment has increased by 30,000 students. We do not currently have the 10 11 faculty or the space to significantly increase enrollment any further. CUNY enrollment includes 12 13 undergraduate, graduates, matriculated, non-14 matriculated, New York States residents -- New York 15 City residents, out of state and international 16 students. An important part of free tuition--tuition 17 policy is the determination of which categories of 18 students would be eligible for free tuition and for 19 how many years or semesters. Currently, matriculated 20 New York State residents are eligible to pay in-state tuition. If we include all categories of students, 21 we could see a dramatic increase on admissions 2.2 2.3 applications from both New York State residents and out of state residents. If we do not have the 24 25 capacity to grow, free tuition could have the

2 unexpected consequence of limiting access to 3 applicants from New York City, many of whom apply 4 late in the process. This past year, CUNY administered \$1.4 billion in federal, state and city and institutional financial aid of which slightly 6 7 less than one billion was grant aid to our 8 undergraduate students. This aid coupled with federal and state--federal and state tax credits allow 60% of our matriculated undergraduate full-time 10 11 students to attend CUNY tuition free. In fact, \$700 million of--over \$700 million of--of this aid goes 12 13 directly to pay tuition and fees. Any plan to offer free tuition should probably incorporate these funds, 14 15 not necessarily as financial aid, but maybe a block grant, you know, as part of a--a funding formula. 16 17 appreciate the Council calling attention to the -- the 18 issue of student affordability, and look forward to 19 continuing to partner with you on this topic. 20 now ask Cathy--Catherine Abata to provide the

CATHERINE ABATA: Good afternoon, Chair

Barron and members of the Higher Education Committee.

My name is Catherine Abata, and I am CUNY's Budget

Director. Thank you for your continued support for

financial information.

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CUNY, and for the opportunity to speak with you today about forming a task force to explore the possibility of identifying funding in order to provide free tuition at CUNY. Tuition rates are \$6,330 at the senior colleges, and \$4,800 at the community colleges. This generates about \$1.5 billion out of the total budget of \$3.2 billion. Financial aid and scholarships cover about 49% of our tuition revenue Students out-of-pocket tuition and fee budget. expenses are about \$784 million, and this includes all students both undergraduate and graduates. University's fixed costs increase annually, and while we always strive to cover these increases through efficiencies where additional state and city funding may be absent, it does put pressure on our ability to identify funding for programmatic investments. tuition were to be eliminated, while it would definitely be a financial benefit for students and their families, any result in reduction in funding levels would be harmful and would likely outweigh the initial financial benefit. Revenue from tuition has enabled the university to make significant investments over the last five years including the hiring of 1,000 new full-time faculty and the

2.2

2.3

enhancement of academic and student support services.

During this time, graduation rates have increased at both senior and community college, 20% more degrees were awarded annually, and more credits were earned, and the goal is certainly to be able to continue this

trajectory of improving success in outcomes.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: [off mic] Thank you for your testimony. [on mic] Thank you for your testimony. I want to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Vanessa Gibson. So I have a few questions to start, and then I'll invite my colleagues to share their questions as well. First question: Notwithstanding the space limitations and the other things decided in your—in your testimony, which I'll go into in greater detail. The first question is do you think that college should be free, that it should be an extension of the rights that students have from K to 12? Do you think it should be free and extended beyond that 12th grade?

DEAN MURPHY: My own personal opinion?

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Yes. I'll take

both. I'll start with your personal.

DEAN MURPHY: My personal opinion is college should be affordable to--for all students.

2	Whether it should be free tofor all students or
3	not, certainly depends. I'm not sure the taxpayers
1	should have to foot the bill for somebody who makes
5	whose family \$500,000 a year, but I also don't
5	believe somebody whose family makes \$100,000 a year
7	should have to pay to attend colleges.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: So if that's your position, then do you think that the state bill, which allows for students to be a part of the benefits up until--I think it's \$50,000, but then the mysteriously jumps to \$80,000 and then includes them in getting the benefits. Do you think that that's a legitimate practice? That there's a--

DEAN MURPHY: You're talking about the take--you're talking about the--the--the TAP program-

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Yes.

DEAN MURPHY: --and the income formula for the TAP program--

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: [interposing] Right.

DEAN MURPHY: which is--I think is very

stringent when you--I--I think the--the TAP program

24 should be more generous.

2.2

_	COLLITTIBE ON ITTORIENT EDUCATION
2	CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Okay, and the
3	problem with affordable is it depends on who you're
4	talking to, you know? It's a fragment. I think it
5	always needs to say affordable to whom
6	DEAN MURPHY: [interposing] That's
7	correct.
8	CHAIRPERSON BARRON:or what is
9	affordable.
10	DEAN MURPHY: Affordable toto different
11	people means different things.
12	CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Exactly. Okay, so
13	inin your testimony you said that you would expect
14	enrollment to grow as tuition was eliminated, and
15	that over the last eight years enrollment has grown
16	by 30,000 students, and we do not currently have the
17	faculty or space to significantly increase enrollment
18	any further. Are you saying that you're capping
19	enrollment going forward?
20	DEAN MURPHY: I'm not saying we're
21	capping enrollment going forward.

22 CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Okay.

23

24

25

DEAN MURPHY: What I'm saying is right now we don't have the space for the faculty. If we-- if we're going to increase enrollment, and right now

2.2

2.3

we seem to be in a--in an era that enrollment is holding steady. But if we were going to increase again, we would have to certainly increase faculty, especially full-time faculty, and we would need more space.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: So we don't-DEAN MURPHY: [interposing] We're not

chopping enrollment, no.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Okay because that's what I'm sort of incurring—inferring from what you have here, and you say you do not have the capacity to grow? Free tuition could have the unexpected consequence of limiting access to applicants from New York City many of whom apply late in the process. Could you expand on that further?

DEAN MURPHY: Well, if we have free--if we have free tuition I would expect that the applications from students who live outside the city who all want to come to study in the city, but it's not affordable for a lot of them. They--they would certainly want to come to CUNY because the tuition would be free, and they could use what they would have spent on tuition for housing.

you said those who pay, who have large incomes should

should also be required to pay for tuition for--pay a

pay affordable amounts. Do you think that they

2.3

24

free tuition just for community college--let's say if

we were to take steps moving forward, baby steps,

24

CATHERINE ABATA: -- the incoming freshman

class for next year. I--I don't have calculations on

24

(sic).

DEAN MURPHY: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: All right, so--

4 so--so--

DEAN MURPHY: [interposing] We're

6 Switzerland.

the state were to say surprisingly, but the State were to say, hey, you know, we find \$140 million that I think will be better used than in some of the projects that are seen that to be honest with you would--would not give the type of return that I think that we will absolutely get out of CUNY. Again, I'm a believer in CUNY. I believe that it's a tremendous--students get a tremendous education. So would you be in a position to say yes, you know, we will welcome the \$140 million, and then allow for New York City residents to be able to go to the community college, and that would be the first step.

DEAN MURPHY: We would certainly be interested in looking at how that would work, and if it--if every--if everything fit and everything worked then we would certainly be interested in it.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: What does--what does that mean that you'd be interested in how that would work, right?

DEAN MURPHY: [interposing] Well, look, you know whenever you talk about--

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: What's the logistics that you worry about?

DEAN MURPHY: Whenever you talk about the \$146 million, what else would be cut? You know, is—is that rate that would be given to CUNY for other projects being cut? So, I—I mean we'd have to take a look and see where the funding is coming from and how it's going to be spent?

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: I--I agree with you and I--I am in total violation with you that nothing else should be cut that really, you know, I--I think that we have agendas throughout the state that really does not equal the return that I believe that we will get by planting the seeds in the young people and removing the obstacles that--that are in previous generations, as you mentioned, so when they mentioned that they were afforded to people, I'm just wondering why those previous generations and their economies they were able to do this. And perhaps--

perhaps it's a matter of priority. I learned that

when people want to get things done, they get it

down. You know, when there's a will there's

obviously a way. Well, thank you so much. Keep up

6 the great work that you're doing, and that closes--I

close with that. No more questions, Madam Chair.

Thank you so much.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you. I want to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Ben Kallos. I'm going to ask my colleague Vanessa Gibson if she will pose her questions now.

much Chair Barron and good afternoon. It's good to see all of you here. I appreciate the discussion we're having, and certainly want to thank our Chair, Chairperson Inez Barron for putting forth this legislation. In terms of having a conversation about an actual task force, I think being here and my-during my tenure at the Council being a graduate of CUNY and SUNY, I'm a twofer, definitely want to recognize, you know, the importance of having this discussion. And whether we develop short or long-long-term plans, I certainly think it's something that should be very viable. It's a reasonable

2.2

2.3

request, and I'd like to know CUNY's thoughts on the legislation before us actually developing a task force. What would you envision in terms of the stakeholders that would involved in this task force, and what do you think of our series of goals?

Because obviously getting to tuition free at CUNY is the ultimate goal, but I also think there obviously should be other goals as well in terms of academic excellence making sure there's always diversity at CUNY with faculty and—and other priorities. So, what would you think the task force should be composed of in terms of stakeholders, and what some of our goals should be in mind around this task force?

DEAN MURPHY: I actually agree with

Councilwoman Barron who I think outlined at the

beginning of her speech or opening remarks about who

should be on the task force. I think that just

encompasses everyone. There should be students.

Three should be faculty. There certainly should be

representatives in the city. If you're going to ask

for money from the state, they're going to want to

be--they're probably going to want to be involved.

[laughs] You know, there certainly should be some--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

some representatives from CUNY's Board of Trustees, and certainly members of the City Council. And I think, you know, so ultimately I do understand that your goal is free tuition for everybody, but if we-if we have to proceed and take baby steps and increase the affordability by lowering tuition by--by providing additional funding to CUNY, that would be-you know, that would be a great step. And, you know, as far as the others--the other things, how--how is the--what type of funding is needed to--to provide the types of services that CUNY students need in the way of tutoring, in the way of advisement as well as faculty? What type of additional funding is being-will be needed, you know. Academic counseling is-is--is--has got to be a priority for us because we just don't have enough academic counselors--COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing] Right.

DEAN MURPHY: -- for our students.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: I think we've done an incredible job at CUNY where many of our colleges are literally bursting at the seams because of enrollment. A lot of older adults are returning back to school to get higher degrees of education.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So I think coupled with all of that and knowing the history, I know my colleagues and I we're all still facing student debt. I have debt from undergrad and So I really wish that I was at CUNY during the '70s when it was free, but I recognize, you know, that, you know, decisions have to be made, and many of us really do believe that, you know, during that time when tuition was instituted was when you saw a large enrollment of students of color that joined the CUNY system. So certainly speaking on behalf of many of my students in the district that are CUNY students that always my goal. I have never been a fan of tuition increases when I was in the Assembly, and all the efforts that we undertook because we recognized that we had to support SUNY and CUNY, but not on the backs of students. So I am absolutely very supportive of any efforts whether it's short-term, long-term, whether we can make baby steps, as long as we make those steps in the right direction moving forward and not backward. I guess I just wanted to pose one question, and similar to what my colleague Council Member Cabrera described in terms of community colleges, and I do believe the Chancellor may have made mentioned that having four-year schools

2.2

2.3

institute tuition free may not be the immediate
approach, but staring with some of the community
schools. So I wanted to find out if there was any
thought behind that. Is it just that we're starting
at a lower level with community colleges or we think
that the first two years are, you know, the most
important in terms of the students' academic success?
DEAN MURPHY: I believe the momentum
there asas President Obama's pre-community college
speech.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

DEAN MURPHY: I think that's where there that—that came from this time. Apparently there was some willingness by the federal government to—to support a free community college, which certainly would make it a lot easier to get to that goal.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, great.

Well, I'm happy that we're having conversations and they're along the same lines. I do believe that, you know, the work we're doing as a Council as an Administration is certainly investing in students.

The CUNY Merit Based Scholarship Program has given thousands of students every year an opportunity to get into college and not worry about the financial

2.2

2.3

- burden. So I'm very supportive, and certainly pledge
  my support to work with you and work with our chair
  and the members of the Council to make sure we can
  not only pass this bill, but really make it a
  reality. So I think for being here, and thank you
  - CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you, Council

    Member. Before I go to my colleague for his

    questions, you indicate that there might be increased

    costs due to academic counseling that would be

    required for students that would be coming in.
    - DEAN MURPHY: Well, I--what I meant is that we don't have enough academic counselors now.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Okay.

Chair Barron for your leadership.

DEAN MURPHY: But certainly if we brought more students in we'd have to increase the academic counseling also, but yes.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Going back to when I was--back in the day when I went to Hunter College it was free because you had to maintain a B average.

You had to come in with a B average from high school, and maintain that during the time that you were there. If you didn't, of course, you were put on

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 Cumbo, and we will now have questions from Council
3 Member Kallos.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you, Chair Barron, for leading this effort, and this committee as chair and through Introduction 1138, which I am proud to be a co-sponsor. I think it is one of the first things I mentioned to you when I met you in the Council. For those who don't know, I'm Council Member Ben Kallos. I'm a graduate of both SUNY's Albany where Vanessa and I are both alum, and Buffalo where I attended law school, and I am still in debt, and will be for the foreseeable future. You can read about it in the Daily News, in fact. [laughter] want to thank all of the CUNY students for being here today, and if I could trouble the audience for a quick Instagram photo to show the support, and you can find it on Twitter or Instagram@benkallos. Can I see smiles? There we go. Those are the smiles I was looking for. Thank you.

MALE SPEAKER: [off mic] You can apply for your trouble now. (sic)

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I--I hope that the professors will give--

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: I have to ask that we please not have comments from the audience. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: And any appreciation that you want to show, we don't clap, but you can give us the sign language. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Graduating with a bachelor's degree means \$1 million more in lifetime earnings that a high school degree according to the United States Census. So in 2006, those with just a high school degree earned just \$31,071 while their peers with a bachelor's degree earned \$25,717 more than an average income of \$56,708. Rather than rezoning New York City from affordable housing and manufacturing into luxury development, we could double city, state and federal income tax collections by simply funding CUNY education through free tuition, and taxpayers could expect an immediate return on investments with three years, and for an initial investment -- at the time I did these numbers -of \$22,920. The 40-year pay-off if somebody stays in the city is about \$346,398. That's return on the investment of about 15 times, which is better than

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you'll see in any hedge fund. It comes--if--if you do all the math between the city, state and federal taxes somebody who graduates from CUNY will end up paying about \$8,660 in taxes. And one solution I have been talking about, but I prefer free, would be that any of these--financing any loans from the city could be forgiven at 10% per year that somebody stays in the city because just the city's share of it would be \$2,574 in taxes because the people would be earning more. Again, this is across the average. This is using census numbers. There will be people who earn less. There will be people who earn more, but in that case we would actually see a complete return on investment. Since that proposal, President Obama in his State of the Union has presented a free community college plan, which we'd love to learn more details on, but it seems-- I'm--I'm curious about where we are in implementing that since that was announced by the President. The other piece of this is it seems like this whole system of -- if we were to do free tuition for anyone who doesn't already have other financial aid, whether it's other aid packages, with--according to CUNY's number only 20% of CUNY's students graduate with debt. So we're talking about

2.2

2.3

2 20% of that 270 or so thousand, which means we're
3 only really talking about 40,000 or 50,000 kids, and
4 then 58% of those full-time undergraduates are
5 receiving enough federal or state tuition assistance
6 to attend CUNY tuition for free. So this should be a
7 very small portion, and I guess the question is what
8 is the price tag if we were to cover the tuition on
9 any of the folks who aren't already covered? So I

guess those are the two opening questions.

CATHERINE ABATA: So the total price tag
for all students at the university--[background
comments] Okay, the total price tag is about \$784
million. At the community colleges it's about \$146
million.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And so--and that's--if we were just going to give it to everyone, or just the people who need it over and above existing aid?

CATHERINE ABATA: It would be out-of-pocket expenses that students are currently paying.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So we just increased our budget from \$78 billion last year to \$82 billion, and if we had taken just one billion of that dollars, we would have had 275,000 children--not

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that. Is there--?

children--adults, people of all shapes and sizes and ages in the CUNY system for free. Yes.

CATHERINE ABATA: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Have you done a-what--what is the cost if we were just to cover this subset of people who would graduate in debt who are having trouble graduating and aren't graduating. Maybe they went. They need to take time off because they have to pay off their students loans, and they won't be able to come back for the foreseeable future or if we paid it they might be able to. So where are we for the people who aren't otherwise covered by financial aid or aren't going to graduate debt free? DEAN MURPHY: So the average student who does graduate from CUNY it's 20% of the students--I'm sorry--not 40% were staying before, but 20% of the students who graduate from CUNY graduate with debt. The average debt is between \$12 and \$14,000 per student. I--I don't understand the question beyond

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay, so maybe the price tag or if it's 12--so it's 50,000 kids--sorry 20--50,000 graduates and at 12,000 that's about \$600 million if we just covered their costs.

2.2

DEAN MORPHI. 11 understand what you le
saying. It'syou may certainly do the math that
way, but once you start covering costs for that
you're going to increase the cost because why would
you be singling out the students who were just taking
out student loans? Some students are taking out
students loans. Others are working two jobs to pay,
you know, to pay their tuition. So I mean II don't
think you canyou can, you know, look at the way
you're looking at it, but it's certainly part, you
know, it's certainly part of the discussion.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And what--has-has CUNY done any studies on the economic impact of
your graduates? What's the average salary when
somebody graduates CUNY and--

DEAN MURPHY: [interposing] We--we can get you those numbers. There have been studies done. We can get you--I don't have those numbers off the top of my head.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: If you could share that with me and—and the Chair I think the public should know what the return on investment is, and if it means that taking care of all of our graduates and making sure that they're paid for means

its share and then the federal government shows up

2.2

with its money as--as the usual federal reimbursement
route.

DEAN MURPHY: So if you--if you recall, though, the state was trying to push--push about a third of our budget off on the city this year so I think the--the bottom line is we have not done any research in that--we have not had any major conversations about this outside of some back office discussions.

Still a couple of days left in the session, and I--I believe that our chair has a--a strong partner in Albany who can do some work here. So to the extent you can share with us as soon as possible what is necessary so we can pick this up in September, now is the time. I will reserve my questions, but just thank you for your support, and I'll--so my last questions is how many more people do you expect--How many people would be eligible for free tuition?

You're--you're concerned about additional--how--how many more additional students could you expect if we did this, and I think that's a good thing.

2.2

2.3

DEAN MURPHY: It would--it would depend on the categories of students. If we included free tuition--

5 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing]
6 Everyone?

DEAN MURPHY: --if we, it would be--the-I couldn't even venture guesses. We would certainly
grow by at least 30 or 40,000 students over the
course of a couple of years.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: That would be amazing. Thank--thank you to CUNY and thank you to our chair, Barron, for her leadership.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you, Council

Member. I want to acknowledge that we've been joined

by Council Members Rodriguez and Williams, and I

believe Council Member Cumbo has questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you, Chair Barron. I only have two questions. Wanted to talk about in the ACS hearing we talked at length about foster care, and students that are aging out of foster care, and their ability to attend CUNY. Can you talk a bit about that in terms of is the expectation behind young people that are aging out foster care to have the ability to attend CUNY for

2.2

2.3

free. As you were stating that you were believe very much that it should be based off of need, and certain the need is there.

DEAN MURPHY: The students aging--aging out of foster care unless they have significant income of their own are receiving the maximum TAP and POE (sic). Currently, I don't know you might be aware of this. There are some discussions about housing up to 40 students at--at--

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: [interposing] That has been Queens.

DEAN MURPHY: --at Queens College and ten students at the College of Staten Island and, you know, we've been-- Kathy and I were on a couple of phone calls the past couple of days. What that looks like, that's a go.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: So there will be an opportunity there for housing. What about on other CUNY campuses that also have dormitory facilities?

DEAN MURPHY: Well, not in the short term but certainly in the long term that could--it's just a little too late for next year, but certainly we could look at that for the fall 2017 semester.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: So--

CATHERINE ABATA: [interposing] And we planned--sorry--

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Go ahead.

CATHERINE ABATA: --but there plans to, you know, grow that program over the next couple of years.

the option for let's say students that wanted to attend the college currently without dormitories?

Let's say a student is aging out and they'd like to attend Medgar Evers College, which does not have dormitory facilities, what would be the plan or the option? Could they stay at another CUNY campus where they do have housing, and then commute to Medgar or what is the thought?

DEAN MURPHY: Yes, yes they could. In fact, the Queens College Plan, the Foster Children, we expect only a couple of students to be actually Queens College students. We expect the other to be attending the college in Queens Borough. I believe there is one from John Jay that they're talking about, a couple from Lower Manhattan Community College. Staten Island is a little bit different

2.2

2.3

because, you know, the—the travel restrictions, but certainly the plan is for—for students be able to be housed on other campuses. And, quite frankly, right now for getting foster children, if a student in CUNY at any CUNY college is looking for dorm space, and it's available in other community colleges, they're eligible to—to take that space, you know, as long the—of course, there's a cost involved. You know, there's also New York City Housing group that provides housing for students in a number of places around the city, and a lot of our CUNY students are housed in those facilities.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Do you know how many young people that are aging out of foster care actually into CUNY every single year?

DEAN MURPHY: I can't tell you the number that actually enter every year. We did a couple of different—we looked at it from a couple of different ways last year because we're actually trying to get that number, and the best we can determine is somewhere between 270 and 300 students currently enrolled at CUNY who were currently or were former foster children.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: So that's between 3 270 to--

DEAN MURPHY: 300.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: --that you approximate enter each year or are currently in the fiscal--

DEAN MURPHY: [interposing] Those who are currently enrolled, currently enrolled.

think that the opportunity to have free tuition as well as room and board could be such a power and important solution for so many young people who like nobody at the age of 18 in New York City is almost ready to move on their own, have a job, pay for housing, and have it all together. So I think that this is a really viable opportunity that we should certainly hone in on, and continue to find solutions. Final question. The Chancellor had testified that only the first two years of college should be free. What is distinct about the last two years of college that they should not be an entitlement?

DEAN MURPHY: So I--I'm not familiar with that testimony, and it's the second time it was

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

mentioned. I don't know what the thought--thought
process was there?

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Okay because we're very curious about it as well. Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you. Now, we'll move to my colleague Council Member Rodriguez for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Chair. of all, thank you for the work that you have done, and as you know, Dean Murphy also means being connected with a family of tradition and working hard on CUNY as an institution to continue opening more doors not only at the student level, but also at the staff level, which has one of the efforts of Chancellor Murphy when he was running the institution. As someone again that went to our city college in 1989, fighting against tuition increase and budget cuts, organizing that effort to keep our college open. We win tuition, and I believe that our fight at that time when Mario Cuomo was the one who came out with a tuition increase proposal from \$750 that we paid at the time, that -- that there was an effort to raise tuition from \$750 I think it was like to \$2,000. And we were able with the students in--in

2 the street marching with more than 25,000 students

3 from Wall Street to John Jay to present the governor.

4 He did not increase tuition. He did not cut--

DEAN MURPHY: [interposing] I remember

6 | that.

1

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: -- the funding. But when I was there those years at City College, 80% of the population was Black and Latino. And was the same numbers that we have senior colleges. Today, we are dealing with a different reality, which is I don't know the accurate data in front of that, but I can say that population has been going down probably to 70% or less in our top senior colleges being Baruch, John Jay, City, Hunter and Brooklyn College. And for me it would be interesting to analyze on how tuition plays a role. Because when I was there, beside the year that I used to be a taxi driver to support myself and my family at night and go to college in the day time, at some point I also applied for public assistance. And in the '80s as a student in senior college we were allowed to get our public assistance so that we can get additional help to pay our costs. As you know, we got rid of everything in the previous administration and the Pataki (sic) and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the Administration in the city and still today we have inherit a situation that even though with the Commission of HRA and the Mayor, they restored the right of the student at community college to be able to get public assistance without mandated to work 35 hours to get those benefits back. It's not the same thing for the senior colleges.

DEAN MURPHY: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: The state doesn't allow a student at the senior college to be to say we can get public assistance, and we can get a waiver of those 35 hours because those 35 hours should be counted for the homework, for all the needs that they have to do. So, again there was additional help in the population. I think that the CUNY population represents the diversity of the city. Today, we have seen a reduction especially for our working class who are the first door to have it bring to--for the middle-class was to be able to enter college. So when we think about the plan of having a free tuition, you know, in our colleges it's not only to dream that one day we go back to free tuition, but it's also how a student will be able to be in senior college, and be able to quality to get additional

2.2

2.3

support from the HRA so that they don't have to work those 35--be able to get the additional dollars to support themselves without having the requirement to work 35 hours. So when you look--and I say the institution--the future in the 20 years from now knowing that the city has lost--has seen a reduction of especially the Black and Latino in our senior colleges. How do you think that a free tuition policy will help to increase the population of especially the under served community from the Black and Latino so that we can bring that number as it used to be ten years ago?

DEAN MURPHY: I think that, you know,

CUNY and all its colleges should serve as—should

reflect the diversity of the city. How we get to

that point is not something I could answer off the

cuff here. There's a lot of—a lot of ideas we could

put forward, but a lot of discussion has to be—be

had, and there's got to be some give and take by—by

different groups of people.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: I want to thank you,
Council Member and certainly we want to move from the

dream of free tuition to reality of free tuition. So
we've got to take the steps to make that happen, and
I'm not going to call on Council Member Williams for

5 his questions.

2.2

2.3

Madam Chair. Thank you for--for this bill. I think it's a great bill, and I always like to see--it's always difficult to figure out what we can do, but it's always great when we figure out what we can do within the power that we have. I think this is a--a great first step. I have you I think beat, Council Member Rodriguez. I got arrested fighting for tuition in the '90s. I can't remember when it was. I don't remember what exactly the raise was, but we went out fighting. We lost, but we went out--we went out fighting. Obviously, this is a--a great bill, and I think I heard that you support the bill, is that correct?

DEAN MURPHY: We support certainly looking at the possibility of free tuition yes. We support forming the task force.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you, and I know it was brought up before, but obviously tuition used to be free. When the

And how much--

1	COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION 51
2	CATHERINE ABATA:of the total budget.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:and how much
4	does that make it?
5	CATHERINE ABATA: \$1.5 billion.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: \$1.5 billion
7	per year?
8	CATHERINE ABATA: Right and I'll just
9	note that out of that \$1.5 billion out-of-pocket
LO	expenses for students is about \$784 million. The
L1	rest is covered by financial aid.
L2	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, say that
L3	again.
L 4	CATHERINE ABATA: So ofof the \$1.5
L5	billion that makes up our tuition revenue budget,
L6	\$784 million of that is actually paid by students out
L7	of pocket, and the remaining amount if covered by
L8	financial aid.
L9	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And how much of
20	thatthat will be, that out-of-pocket expense?
21	CATHERINE ABATA: The out-of-pocket is
22	\$784.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: That meansI

I assume that it grows a little or does it--does this

remain the same?

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CATHERINE ABATA: Absent tuition

increases it remains the same, and absent any shift

in terms of students being eligible for more

financial aid or less financial aid it remains the

same, and enrollment obviously would have an impact.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So if we had \$800 million per year everybody can go to school for free?

CATHERINE ABATA: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So, we'll basically look at our budget and it shows you what's important. So basically for the city and the state and the federal government \$800 million is not important enough for everybody to have free access to education, and I think that's appalling. So I'm glad that you're supportive of this now. I--I was struck when I brought up questions before to CUNY. I think we asked--we asked them questions about if we had found funding would they still support, you know, increases in the future and they said yes, which is very disturbing. Is that still the case? If we found \$800 million a year would you still support increases in tuition?

2.2

2.3

DEAN MURPHY: I think what we have to do is we have to make sure that CUNY is funded sufficiently. If you're saying that this year it's \$800 million, and the next ten years it's going to be \$800 million, that could be a problem. But if you're saying that we're going to get an increase each year to cover, you know, cost including, you know, technology and salaries and all that—that, you know, it would be a different conversations. That's I think part of what has to be discussed with the task force.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Do you foresee increases? When we achieve the dream, and I think we can achieve the dream, do you foresee an increase in enrollment?

DEAN MURPHY: I would--I spoke about this before. I do and I have a little bit of a problem with that because I--I actually am concerned that the enrollment increase would not come from New York City students necessarily. It would come from out of state students who are flocking to New York now to go to college that they would now flock to CUNY because CUNY is free. And, of course, a lot of these--a lot of these individuals come from communities in more affluent parts of the country where they have, you

- 2 know, one counselor for every 50 students, and they
- 3 just get their admissions applications out sooner.
- 4 | So I--I do have some concerns about that. I think
- 5 that's something we have to talk about, you would
- 6 have to talk about in the task force would be, you
- 7 know, who actually is eligible for in-state tuition.
- 8 Someone mentioned before what if it was just New York
- 9 | City students? Well, I would see it grow with the
- 10 New York City students, but that would be a better
- 11 growth.

- 12 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Yeah, I'm
- 13 | going--you talked about before, and that's actually a
- 14 | legitimate point. I think everybody should have
- 15 access. I want to make sure New York City has access
- 16 | first. [laughter] So, I--
- DEAN MURPHY: [interposing] I think we're
- 18 | in agreement there.
- 19 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I think--I
- 20 | think that's a good point. Actually, I know that we
- 21 | saw enrollment jump during the Recession of people
- 22 going to public colleges who otherwise might have
- 23 gone to private colleges. I think that's a
- 24 | legitimate concern, but I'm glad at least on this

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Both.

can.

Thank you.

2	DEAN MURPHY: And I just want to say that
3	wewe appreciate the City Councilall the efforts
4	of the City Council
5	CHAIRPERSON BARRON: [interposing] Yes.
6	DEAN MURPHY:makes on our behalf
7	CHAIRPERSON BARRON: [interposing] Yes.
8	DEAN MURPHY:including the Merit
9	Scholarship.
10	CHAIRPERSON BARRON: [interposing] Yes,
11	and we're doing the
12	DEAN MURPHY: [interposing] And I'll be
13	right there with the need scholarship.
14	CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you. I'm glad
15	for that, and we're pleased with thewhat the budget
16	reflects is coming to CUNY. Wish it were more, but
17	we're pleased with what we see. Thank you.
18	DEAN MURPHY: Thank you.
19	[pause, background comments]
20	CHAIRPERSON BARRON: We're now going to
21	call our second panel. We're going to have Carmel
22	Martin from the Center for American Progress; Harold
23	Stolper from Community Service Society; Mallory
24	Margaret. No, that's not right. Nugent from FPWA

Kennedy in the Senate, and I was an appointee of

2 President Obama at the Department of Education. I'm 3 very thrilled to be here and thank--thank the 4 committee for having me and the Chairwoman for inviting me. I welcome the opportunity to testify 5 today about improving college affordability and 6 7 success at the City University of New York. As you know, each year CUNY provides affordable high quality 8 education to thousands of students across New York opening the door to the middle class and providing 10 11 opportunities that lead to a better life. CUNY is 12 particularly important for low-income and students of 13 color who make up 57% and 77% of its enrollment 14 respectively. Keeping college affordable is critical 15 for those students. Unfortunately, national trend--16 trends threaten college affordability efforts. 17 Across the country lawmakers have put funding for 18 public higher education on the chopping block forcing 19 institutions to raise tuitions and balance budgets on the back backs of students. Thanks to these cuts, 20 the real price of a four-year college is up 289% 21 since 1980. While college prices have skyrocketed, 2.2 2.3 wages have stagnated in recent years. This leaves families squeezed devoting more to college while 24 struggling to cover other essentials like healthcare, 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

housing and childcare. Left without -- with no other choices, students may turn to student loan debt or they may go part time jeopardizing their chances or completing and exacerbating stark gaps in college attainment by income in this country, and I have addressed this issue a little more thoroughly in my written testimony. While we have made progress in terms of college going rates by income, by race and overall, we have seen a stagnation in terms of college completion. Given these negative national trends, I'm encouraged to hear the committee's interest in finding ways to eliminate tuition at Doing so could send a powerful clear message to students that higher education is a more--is within reach for them. If done right, this push could also provide a model for tackling college affordability not just in New York, but across the In my testimony, I want to focus quickly on country. four things that I hope the committee will consider as it works on affordability. First, additional support must pri--prioritize the students with the greatest financial need. Free tuition for all is a laudable goal, and one which I would support, but we also live in a world of finite resources. If trade-

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

offs must be made, then they should benefit the most at-risk students above all else. Second, the task force should understand that the cost of college includes more than just tuition. This is a key part of prioritizing students with the greatest need. Even someone with low or no tuition may still struggle if they cannot cover living expenses like rent, food, transportation, or child care, or they may have to work so many hours to pay for these costs that they fall behind in the classroom, which drives out low college completion rates. The task force should recognize the financial burdens may students face beyond tuition and study what can be done about those expenses. Third, tuition assistance for CUNY should be awarded before federal financial aid, and this is the most important point I want to make. estimates that the previous panel gave you presume that federal financial aid goes first. What the practical impact of that is if you made it tuition free tomorrow, it would mean that low-income students would benefit less than higher income students because the federal aid would first be applied to tuition, and then the city would make up the difference, which would be a portion of tuition.

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

high income students, the city would be making up the entire portion of tuition. So really the -- the lowincome students may benefit, but they may not. Pell Grant may already cover tuition. So you have made their situation no better off unless you have this aid the first dollar not last dollar. That's the student aid parts. So I think that's the most important point I want to make today, but finally I just want to say that I hope the task force does think about completion as the goal and, therefore, looks not just at the cost of college, but the supports that low-income students need in order to complete. Charging students nothing, but then leaving them to flounder would undermine the ultimate goal of completing college. CUNY has already been a leader in experimenting with support services. particular note is this accelerated study in associate programs are ASAP. This program can provide students with robust advice and support, helps them navigate courses, and gives additional financial help among other services. A rigorous evaluation of ASAP by MDRC found the largest positive effects of any community college intervention it had studied. Other intervention--interventions may help,

2	too. We have put out a report at CAP about some
3	innovative programs across the country, which I'm
4	happy to share with the committee. Theyyou could
5	look at things like Guided Pathways that gives
6	students a clear map to graduation once they've
7	chosen a major as well as other promising types of
8	assistance like mentorships and summer bridge
9	programs to ease the transition to college.
10	Following these four recommendations would produce as
11	affordability program that could serve as a national
12	model. It would acknowledge the complexities of
13	affordability that go beyond just tuition, emphasize
14	completion and not just enrollment, and ensure that
15	the most at-risk students get the most support. And
16	it would do so in a way that is jointly supported by
17	the state city and federal governments. Thank you
18	again for the opportunity to be here, and I look
19	forward to any questions you have.
20	CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you so much.
21	We'll now move to Mr. Aileen representing Assembly

[background comments] 23

Member Charles Barron.

22

24

25

KARAN AILEEN: Greetings. Good afternoon, Madam Chair. Good afternoon other council

2 members. Good afternoon everybody else. My name is 3 Karan Aileen, and I'm here today representing State 4 Assembly Member Charles Barron. Unfortunately, he is unable to attend due to his obligations in Albany. I'm here to testify in full support of Council Member 6 7 Inez Barron's Bill Intro 1138. This bill seeks to create a task force to review proposals for restoring 8 CUNY back to where it used to be, free. As former chair of the Committee on Higher Education, I, too 10 11 advocated for the return of free tuition. I'm proud 12 to see that my wife shares the same sentiment and is 13 taking this discussion a step further. New York City is a port to the world where people seeking 14 15 opportunities dock for that prospect. The main path towards achieving one's goals is through the 16 17 educational system. We must acknowledge the more 18 education you acquire the better your prospects are 19 to survive the capitalist system. People seek higher 20 education all across the country and the rising cost 21 of education is crippling and hindering these 2.2 opportunities especially for Black and Latino people. 2.3 Upon completing college, too many students are left with insurmountable debt that stifles their chances 24 to build a life for themselves and their families and 25

attain any real wealth. New York City once agreed
that higher education should be free, but that was
for white males. Once tuition was initiated and as
attendance of our Black and Latino people increased
due to open admissions, the cost has beenhas been
placed back on the backs of students. Every year our
students are left with the burden of lobbying the
city and state elected officials to not cut financial
AID. We must find better ways to cover the
increasing cost to obtain a college degree. It is
unreasonable to expect and 18-year-old to sign a
promissory note for their loans, and be expected to
pay back while only earning minimum wage. This is a
disservice to the next generation and we have an
obligation to create a better future for them. I
support the passage of Intro 1138, and encourage
members of the City Council and Speaker Melissa Mark-
Viverito to co-sponsor the bill. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank Mr. Aileen. We'll now hear from CSS.

HAROLD STOLPER: Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Harold Stolper. I'm the Senior Economist at the Community Service Society. We're a local anti-poverty

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

organization. So we're working on a report that will propose or outline the components of an affordability plan, and we just want to summarize some of the findings briefly today. I'm not going to read from the testimony. Since you all have it, I'm just going to point out a couple key points. So the first rather unsurprisingly is that a college degree offers really the only security from unemployment and a path to higher wages. If we look at folks who do have a bachelor's degree, they have lower rates of unemployment especially during times of recession and earn wages that are about 80% higher. Second, our own polling data shows that New York City residents overwhelmingly support college affordability as an urgent policy priority. They view a four-year degree as really a requirement for sustaining a family, and getting ahead. But they view costs not just tuition but living expenses such as housing and food as the main barrier. Third, low levels of college readiness reinforce these financial barriers and limit completion. So I think about 27% of New York City public school 12th graders are deemed college ready. This shifted towards 2-year colleges where there-where they soak up their financial aid taking only

2 credit bearing courses, and in general are less 3 likely to succeed. The next point on the topic of 4 two-year versus four-year so recent tuition and financial aid policy at CUNY has--if we look at the neediest students, and then from--those families have 6 7 less have \$30,000 income who are federal aid 8 applicants, their net price tuition minus aid has gone up by more 50% at four-year colleges over the last five years, but only 10% at two-year colleges, 10 11 right. And at the same time, the enrollment has sort of worked in the other direction. 12 These students are 13 enrolling in much higher numbers at two-year 14 colleges. So we have concerns about -- about these-the 15 neediest students being shifted towards two-colleges 16 where in some cases they're less likely to succeed. 17 So that's sort of the main points we want to make 18 today that affordability policy should ensure that 19 the neediest New Yorkers are not steered to two-year 20 colleges if they are, in fact, capable of succeeding 21 at four-year colleges. And we think so these 2.2 affordability policies should also include a range of 2.3 support services and programs, some of which were just outlined by other people on the panel. This 24 includes -- so there's evidence that providing 25

2.2

2.3

information to high school students about the cost and benefits of different programs and events, and staying on top of it, and informing them of application deadlines can really help enrollment. We support remediation in initiatives to help fast track students into credit-bearing courses, and also oncampus support programs such ASAP, which includes a range of counseling services, and also subsidized Metro cards has—appears to be an important piece of that. So we are working with some of our allies, or other organizations. We are sort of formulating our proposal and we support this task force and hope that our recommendations will—will be considered, and thank you for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you and we certainly are so proud of the ASAP program, which is a stellar program and nationally recognized, and we will now hear from the representative from the Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies.

MALLORY NUGENT: Hi. My name is Mallory

Nugent. I'm a Senior Policy Analyst at the

Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies. I'd like

to Chair and the members of the committee for the

opportunity to testify today. I will not read

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

directly from my written testimony. This is one of my favorite topics. So I start typing and look up and there's nine pages of testimony. I am here to express FPWA's support for the--for the bill at hand, and our interest in participating in a task force should one be formed with our interest--with our focus on poverty alleviation, and our member agencies that make up non-profits doing work around college access both directly and potentially child welfare, after school early childhood education. As an antipoverty organization FPWA commends the Council for seeking to explore means to promote college access and achievement. Education is a significant factor in economic stability and upward mobility especially for low-income students. Without a college degree, a child born in the bottom depth of the income distribution has a 45% chance of remaining there and only a 5% chance of moving to the top fifth. that same child earns a college degree, their chances of making it to the top nearly quadruple, and their chances of making it out of the bottom increase by more than 50%. You'll see more data in the written testimony about the immense impact of a college degree on a graduate's economic stability.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

important to note that while a college degree can be a key to upward mobility, students from low-income families are much less likely to obtain a degree. While half of all people from high income families have bachelor's degrees, only 10% of those from lowincome families do. Give the demonstrated positive impact of achievement in higher education, there's a growing national trend towards eliminating the cost barrier to college by institution Promise Programs. Promise Programs are place based scholarships, which address tuition and expenses at varying levels for stellar, less stellar and need based, merit based et cetera. But they offer this promise to allow students to go to college, and sometimes have accompanying wrap-around services like New York baseline(sic) program, which is nationally lauded. Since Kalamazoo, Michigan began the robust Kalamazoo Promise in 2005, Promise Programs have gained traction in localities across the country with more than 40 programs operating nationwide. Aside from the financial boosts, communities like the ones discussed in their written testimony reported growing college going culture, families, students and school staff are more likely to think of college as awaiting

2 (sic) rather than an F, resulting in better economic 3 performance, increased advanced placement courses 4 especially for students of color, and more 5 information circulating regarding college opportunities. While Promise Programs have been 6 7 shown to be successful in a variety of communities, New York City likely presents some unique challenges 8 and questions that a successful task force should-should address. I was lucky enough to attend a 10 11 conference in Kalamazoo, Michigan in the fall, a 12 gathering of 200 representatives from Promise 13 Programs from across the country, and they all had 14 the same story of how their Promise Programs began, 15 and that began with a task force like this. 16 Community stakeholders who came together to address 17 the issues and make this work. And it also built a 18 sense of ownership. The Promise communities have a 19 real sense of pride in being a Promise community. 20 Some of the most pressing questions for New York 21 include how to ensure that CUNY's quality remains 2.2 where it should be given our potential rapid influx 2.3 of students, especially given they're already very constrained by just--and the lack of investment from 24 the State. We also want to avoid unintended 25

2	consequences and ensure that students are at the
3	rightthat are attending are at the right place,
4	that they're both properly prepared for college and
5	not, you know, going through remediation over and
6	over again. And that they're not under-matching
7	foregoing opportunities at more selective schools
8	that might be a better academic fit just because of
9	the price tag. An perhaps the most pressing is just
10	how to fund such a program especially given the size
11	of New York City's population. The in turnthe
12	return on investment with an increasing number of
13	graduates would be substantial, but the initial
14	investment would be large. Many local Promise
15	programs are funded by private donors, which is
16	likely a feasible solution given the size of New York
17	City's student body. FPWA strongly supports further
18	exploration of eliminating CUNYCUNY tuition, and we
19	feel that it presents a unique opportunity for the
20	city to increase college access and college going
21	culture leading the state and promoting achievement
22	in higher education. I'm also happy to answer any
23	questions you have on anything in the written
24	testimony at a later date if you'd like.

## COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you so much for your presentation here, and you've offered to serve in the task force. So I would ask the others on the panel, would you also consider serving on the task force?

CARMEL MARTIN: Well, I'm out of town [laughter] but you can call me up.

My--my pastor had a phrase, which I developed--which I adopted at a long--an early age. It was, "You don't lock talent out of the organization." So certainly, of course, we would do that yes. Okay, and you commented on the fact that 27% of students need remediation, and that's 27% of those who graduated. So it's not the total graduating class. It's only I think 71% of the students who actually graduate, and of that 71% only 27% are adequately prepared, and of the 20--27% who aren't prepared and they need that remediation as well, and it's quite a strain on our CUNY system. We do have a question from my colleague Council Member Rodriguez.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Yes, and before I the question I would like to say that even though our focus today is on free tuition at CUNY,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

but we cannot, you know, have any conversation about free tuition to a student without addressing the need for our professor to also get a contract who also have been a critical ally to the student body, and it's all about what we've been going through. for many years they've been working with our contracts. So, I think it is important to highlight that also the source funding especially at our state level should be in place not only to do the best we can for our students, but also for our professor. Ms. Carmel Martin, when you look at the national-this situation at the national level, have you--is there any other municipality or any other state that are already engaged in this conversation of free tuition? Have you seen some advance in this conversation in other states?

jurisdictions that have done free community college at the state level. Tennessee has moved forward with that. There are jurisdictions, as my panel colleagues here mentioned who have engaged in college Promise initiatives. So it's not necessarily free tuition, but rather looking at students on an individual basis, and making sure that costs are

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

covered. So it might be more than tuition for some students, and less than--less than full tuition for other students.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Uh-huh, and-and I--my other question is on how can you from the advocate side help us to make a case to persuade the business community that they should be on side because at the end of the day, if we have a better plan of how to address a reality where based on the Budget Director in New York City two years ago, he said that this administration will inherit a city with 46% of New Yorkers living in poverty. And if we can turn our city into the more middle-class city, no doubt that it will be good not only for those New Yorkers who will be able to be in a better position to support their family. But also from the business community perspective, people will be able to spend more in their establishments. They can buy more shares if they would like to investments. So how can help us on--

CARMEL MARTIN: [interposing] Excellent.

Yeah, I mean I think, two--two things and we have

some reports at CAP that I can send to the committee

afterwards that has more detail on both of these

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The first is, I think the businesses should appreciate how it's in our short-term business interest to have a more educated population. We estimate that nationally in the next ten years 65--65% of new positions will require a degree, at least a two-year degree, while the population only--only 40% have--are meeting that goal. So they're to have a shortage of -- of skilled workforce, but I think the other argument, which you've laid out is that in--in the long--longer term by having a more educated workforce, and therefore can have--earn higher wages will create--put pressure--create a greater aggregate demand for products and services. Middle income families spend more of their income in the economy than high income families do, and we've written many reports making that argument that basically a mid--a healthy middle class is not a--a result of a healthy economy. A healthy middle-class is prerequisite to a healthy economy for exactly the reason you said Councilman, that -- that when people are making a living wage, they invest that money, and then that creates aggregate demand in the economy. We did a report last year that showed that one of the reasons people are feeling so frustrated right now

economically is because over the last 10 years their-					
-their wages have been stagnant while costs, costs of					
things like college, housing, healthcare have gone					
up. So your product typical nationally a prototypical					
family of four their income actually went down by					
\$5,000 a year because even though theirtheir rent,					
their wages were flat. These costs meanmeant their					
real wages went down. So I think that's another					
thing to tap into politically. I think people					
appreciate that college affordability is really a					
pocketbook issue, and something that is important for					
them in the short term because they just can't afford					
it. But they also innately know that long term it					
will have big benefits. One of the committee members					
asked previously what the economic ROI of a college					
degree is. I don't know what the aggregate number					
is, but for an individual is the average is if you					
get a four-year degree, you will earn a million					
dollars over the course of your lifetime more than					
you would if you didn't have that college degree. So					
it means a lot for the individuals, but it also means					
a lot for the economy at large.					

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

MALLORY NUGENT: I'd also add as we--we spoke about Tennessee, and they were the first state to do free community college, and that was put in place by a Republican governor and a Republican legislature, and that was done largely because of support from the business community. There was a--a--an extreme skills gap that they--they weren't able to meet in their business community. So the business community came out in support of this free community college to--to increase the number of--of capable people to fill those jobs. And I--I thought it will be just like. In New York State by 2020, 69% of all jobs will require post-secondary education of some kind. So that's definitely, you know, one way to keep people on board.

think that--first of all I would like also to make that--to be clear that President Obama's vision on investing more. His plan on--on investing more on our colleges. It is not the reason why we are not able to really say that we can implement this--this plan is because the Republicans became an obstacle. They would--they--they did not allow, you know, this plan to move forward. So, he could have a have great

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and his great proposal, but at the end of the day, it was stopped by the Republicans there in the White Therefore, there is no funding coming to the House. state and, therefore, there is no funding also at the local level that we can say how can CUNY be able to implement or be able to explain now they're spending the money. We don't have the money because that money was never put in place there. But I would like to end with, you know, we also have said before there's a guy that he was right when he said education is the passport to the future, and that person was Malcolm X, and he was right. And, you know, like I always say that we--most of us we go to masses, we go to celebrate Rosa Park and Martin Luther King. They did what they needed to do in their time, but education isn't and you don't see the right name. We--we can go and analyze all the studies, all the research at University of Maryland saying about the importance to invest in the first year, CUNY, Columbia, NYU nationwide. Everyone knows the answer. The question is why we have built that system because it's not--Jumaane Williams say, like Council Member Williams say it's not that we have to, you know, back the system. The system has been made

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

with a purpose like, you know, when a person--when a human don't have--doesn't have a--a pipeline to say that they can be able to be in a good high school, be prepared to be in college. And some of you have done good research in those lines. We will be over this rally (sic), and I think that this concept, and I agree with the --with the Chairman of this committee saying that this, you know, be as a dream. We need to work on this, and having CUNY also coming on board in support of the creation of this task force and institution such as you that have a nationwide, citywide, statewide reputation. I just hope that we don't waste any time that we definitely come on board. I hope that we can move this bill, and I also would like to add my name to--on this bill, too. thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you, Council
Member. Just a few closing points. Ms. Nugent, you
said that Tennessee now has free tuition at
community. When did they implement that? How many
years?

MALLORY NUGENT: I believe it was 2014.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Oh, so it's recent.

25 Okay.

1

25

COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 actually the higher income persons would benefit more 3 than the lower income based on the fact of the--

CARMEL MARTIN: Well, if--it depends on how the program is constructed. I believe the--the CUNY officials who were here gave you cost estimates.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Uh-huh.

CARMEL MARTIN: My understanding of their cost estimates is they assume that these wouldn't-that the -- this initiative would not be first dollar. It would be what we call in financial aid probably its last dollar. And essentially what that means is that the institution would apply federal student aid to the student's cost or tuition first, and then this new initiative would pay any difference. So Pell Grants are--I think it's \$5,600 right now. If you're the--the max--a maximum program recipient you get \$5,600. At many of the CUNY institutions they would cover tuition. So if they apply that there then there's no cost. So it's a way to bring down the cost of this free tuition initiatives, but I mean that student gets no benefit from this initiative. Whereas, a student making \$500,000 a year if it's free for everybody would get a 50--if the tuition at the given campus is \$5,600 they get a new \$5,6000--

1 COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION 83 2 CHAIRPERSON BARRON: [interposing] Right. 3 CARMEL MARTIN: --benefit. 4 CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Got it. CARMEL MARTIN: So, I--I don't--that 5 doesn't mean you can't do free tuition. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Right. 8 CARMEL MARTIN: What I'm saying is make 9 this first dollars so that Pell eligible student can use their Pell Grant towards living expenses because 10 11 that student needs it for that purpose because tuition isn't enough--12 13 CHAIRPERSON BARRON: [interposing] Right. CARMEL MARTIN: --for that student. 14 15 gentleman who testified from CUNY said many--many 16 students don't borrow. They take two or three jobs. 17 That's--that's admirable, but that's why we have such 18 low completion rates. It's too difficult for people 19 to focus on their students. 20 CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Right. 21 CARMEL MARTIN: So I think we--our goal needs to be particularly for low-income families or 2.2 2.3 first generation college goers. We really need to

make it free cost of attendance, not free tuition for

those students. People in middle-class families or

24

25

I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: You may begin.

CHICA ONYEJIUKWA: Greetings Council Member Barron and members of the Committee on Higher Education. My name is Chica Onyejiukwa, and I serve as the Vice Chair for Legislative Affairs at the CUNY University Student Senate, and I'm the President of Hunter College's Undergraduate Student Government. am here to express the USS leadership support for the proposed local law that would establish a temporary task force to review proposals for restoring free tuition at the City University of New York. Last year we testified before this committee about our support for President Obama's Free Community College Proposal, and encouraged the City Council to take ownership of the President's vision, and explore ways of establishing free community colleges. We commend this committee for taking concrete measures to ensure the vision of a tuition free CUNY becomes once again a reality. CUNY was established to provide free quality higher education for all New Yorkers regardless of ethnic, racial or socio-economic status. For 129 years that unique proposition was a live and well in the form of the greatest experiment

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in public higher education, a tuition free CUNY. free tuition policy managed to accommodate an influx of immigrants in the late 19th Century and early 20th Century, and endured two world wars, and the Great Depression without compromising quality. All 13 Nobel Peace Prize graduates were from the tuition free era. A tuition free CUNY served as a support system, safety net and springboard for thousands of New Yorkers pursuing the American dream. However, 40 years ago in the fall of 1976, tuition was imposed at Since then, our state and local governments have disinvested from CUNY, and financial aid programs resulting exponentially increasing tuition Accordingly, CUNY is at risk of departing from its unique mission, and leaving behind those who are most in need. This legislative session provide an opportunity for New Yorkers to demonstrate our commitment to protecting CUNY and an affordable education. Students, faculty, staff, alumni, elected officials, concerned parents, and future CUNY students joined to successfully fight back against the Governor's proposed \$485 million budget cut to CUNY. The groundswell of public support was a reaffirmation that public higher education remains a

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

top priority. While the state government continues to explore alternate funding source--proposals for our public higher education system, now is the right time to review plans for restoring free tuition at CUNY. The Independent Budget Office upon request of Brooklyn Borough President Eric Adams has laid a good foundation about the total cost of eliminating tuition and potential pitfalls to avoid like ensuring students retain access to state and federal grant programs that are crucial to offset ancillary costs like rent, textbooks, transportation, and food. estimates the total cost of attendance is \$9,592 for students living at home, and \$20,295 for independent students. We are confident, however, that if established this task force will take these factors into consideration. We are also pleased that the task force will be comprised of a diverse group of members representing a wide array of constituencies, idea and experiences. There is only one concern that the University Student Senate has with the appointment process proposed in the draft law. Section 1(b)(5) of the policy currently states that the Speaker of the City Council would appoint to the task force among members one member who shall

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

represent students at the City University of New The wording of this clause does not require the appointed member representing the students to be a CUNY student. Based on this current language anyone would be eligible to represent the students at CUNY. Thus, USS would like to respectfully recommend the aforementioned line to be amended to read: Chairperson of the CUNY University Student Senate or their designee who shall represent students at the City University of New York. Since USS chairperson is already elected to represent all CUNY students before various levels of government, it would only be appropriate for out elected students representative to be and ex officio voting member of the proposed task force. In closing, I would like to emphasize our support for the proposed local law, and urge this committee and the City Council to adopt the bill with our recommendation. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you. Next panelist, please.

Good afternoon. My name is Amanda Roman and I'm a College of Staten Island student, and a member of the New York Public Interest Research Group Board of Directors. NYPERG is the state's largest

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

non-partisan student advocacy organization. appreciate the opportunity to share our perspective on the creation of a task force to explore free tuition for CUNY. Today, students are burdened with the ever-increasing cost to attend college. On top of mounting textbook, housing and transit costs, that State's so-called rational tuition policy has jacked up the cost of tuition at public colleges that were 30% since it was passed in 2011. At the same time, state funding has remained largely flat, and funding for financial aid programs has stagnated. combination has eroded college affordability for too many college students. A college educated workforce is in demand. A recent Georgetown University study found that by 2018 nearly two-thirds of New York jobs will require a post-secondary education. more, college educated workers still earn more than their high school educated peers. In fact, by an average of \$17,500 per year for--for millennial. wages increase, so do tax revenues, which support any number of public services. While a college education is a smart investment for long-term earnings, recent wage stagnation may be affecting the ability for some to even enroll in college or to finish our degree

2 A recent survey study found that from 1979 3 through 2011 the wealthiest 1% of New Yorkers saw an 4 income growth of 241%. The wealthiest 1% of New Yorkers saw an income growth of 241% while the bottom 99% saw an income growth of only 8.5%. Moreover, 6 7 wage stagnation was more pronounced among the lowest income earners. Free tuition would help to balance 8 this inequality. Small businesses are the back bone of New York City's economy representing 98% of all 10 11 New York City businesses. As the city--as the city's 12 Small Businesses First Report notes, these small 13 businesses employ more than half of New York City's 14 private sector workforce, and often provide a first 15 chance for the economic self-determination and a path 16 to the middle-class for their owners. However, 17 studies have shown that students--students who are 18 burdened with student loan debt are far less likely 19 to start a small business. Laudably, CUNY 20 institutions rank better than the state average when 21 it comes to student loan debt levels. However, it is 2.2 still a burden endured by a fair amount of its 2.3 students. For example, according to the Institute for College Access and Success, in 2014 50% of CUNY 24 25 Brooklyn College graduates had student loan debt

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

averaging \$12,500. This is compounded by the high cost of living in New York City as rent, food, insurance premiums and other costs not widely covered by current financial aid such as Metro Cards and textbooks. Current debt enhancing will grow, the effect being even greater for low-income students as well as students of color. In closing, just as the City invests in kindergarten through 12th grade, because full and equal education opportunity is a public good, expanding investment to higher education will benefit New York City's economy as well as communities at large. For these reasons, we support the establishment of the task force to analyze ways to eliminate CUNY--to eliminate tuition at CUNY, and we would welcome an invitation to sit on such a body. Thank you for holding this hearing today, and providing us an opportunity to share our reviews.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you. Next panelist.

JOHN MACFARLAND: Good afternoon. My name is John MacFarland and I am a Brooklyn College graduate from City Council District 48. I recently served as the Tech Adviser to CLAS Student Government Office. I am here on behalf of that office to

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

support the establishment of a task force to review proposals for restoring free tuition at CUNY. here to tell a more personal story. Like many New York City residents, I come from a single-parent lowincome home. My mother struggled to put a roof over my head, and often had to sell her jewelry just to make ends meet. When I was 17 I dropped out of high school and got my GED. For many young men in New York City, that's the beginning of a downward spiral into crime and eventual incarceration. My mother fought to make sure that didn't happen, and thanks to CUNY I had access to affordable education that gave me opportunities I wouldn't other--I wouldn't have had otherwise. Now, as a computer science graduate, I can say with certainty that CUNY saved my life. Thanks to CUNY I won't need to struggle as hard as my mother did because I was able to go get an education. Instead of becoming a criminal, I became a productive member of society. I'd like call on the Council to adopt this proposal but with more representation with CUNY students. The member who represents students at CUNY should come from or be the designee of the CUNY Student Center. It would be more responsibility of this Council to establish this task force and to

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Yes.

explore every available option in restoring free
tuition to CUNY. To do otherwise is a disservice to
the community and to the state.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you so for coming and giving your testimony. I also want to acknowledge other representatives from USS. have Joseph Awaje (sp?) and Luca El Monte and we appreciate all the work that all of your groups are doing, and we want to look forward to your continued participation as we go forward in this venture. Thank you. And we will call our final panel. are the last three persons who have submitted a request to present testimony. Michael Fabricant from PSS, Joseph Briar from CUNY faculty. Oh, was did I say? Steven Briar. I'm sorry and James D. Hoff. So if those person would come forward. [pause] If you would raise your right hand, please. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and to answer all questions honestly? PANEL MEMBERS: [off mic] (in unison)

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you. You may begin. Give us your name and your testimony.

2 JAMES HOFF: Hello, thank you. My name I'm professor at the Borough of 3 is James Hoff. 4 Manhattan Community College. I want to thank Chairman Barron for holding this committee and for her proposal. So, I'll just read my statement. 6 7 Again, my name is James Hoff. I'm a member of the 8 Professional Staff Congress CUNY, a proud graduate of the City University of New York Graduate Center, and Professor of English at the Borough of Manhattan 10 11 Community College where I teach many of the most 12 socially and economically disadvantaged students in 13 I'm also a proud member of Socialist our system. 14 Alternative, one of the organizations that helped to 15 build last year's National Million Student Marches in 16 the fall and the spring, which mobilized tens of 17 thousands of students at hundreds of campuses across 18 the country to demand free college tuition, an end to 19 student loan debt and a \$15 an hour minimum wage for 20 all campus employees. I'm here today to stand with 21 the thousands maybe even hundreds of thousands of 2.2 CUNY students, faculty and staff who support the 2.3 return to open admissions and free tuition, and to strong urge the City Council to not only create a 24 committee, to look into the possibility of free 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

tuition to CUNY, but to act with the utmost haste to return the university to its original mission as a university of the people free and open to all. 15 years at CUNY I have taught at many campuses across the University system, and I have seen how tuition, debt and low wages crush the hopes and dreams of my students. I have seen students drop my classes because they could not afford tuition, or because their--their financial aid was denied or taken away from them. I have seen students struggle to find time to study for my classes because they are forced to work 30 or 40 hours a week at minimum wage jobs just to pay for tuition and books. I have watched students take on huge course loads that they were unable to handle because they could not afford to pay for addition semesters. I have talked to students who are afraid to take out loans because they do not know how to they will ever be able to pay them back, and do not want to begin their adult lives under the crushing weight of massive debts. During this same period, I have watched with disappointment and anger as Albany has continued to subject CUNY to decades of deficient budgets and consistent budget cuts that have led to skyrocketing student tuition

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and fees, which have in turn made the university increasingly unaffordable for the working class and students of color it was originally founded to serve. In less than three decades, annual tuition at CUNY's four-year colleges has increased by an astounding 400% from \$1,250 in 1989 to \$6,330 today. And the unelected CUNY Board of Trustees is considering yet another round of multi-year increase that could raise tuition every year for years to come. Meanwhile, the percentage of the university's budget that is covered by the state and city has sunk to historic lows. Currently, only a little more than 50% of the university's senior college budget is funded by the state. Much of the remaining percentage is funded by student tuition and fees, which often hit working class students and students of color the hardest. Ιt is clear, ladies and gentlemen, that CUNY is faced with a stark choice: Either restore open admissions and free tuition and return to its original mission or lose its public character entirely. CUNY was founded to serve the people of the City of New York, but thanks to rising tuition and that confusing and wasteful bureaucracy of financial aid many of these people are increasingly being shut out of the

2.2

2.3

Thank you.

university, and cheated out of their right to an education. I urge the Higher Education Committee to approve the establishment of the task force to consider proposals for free tuition at CUNY, and I urge the members of this committee and their colleagues in the City Council to make a free and open CUNY a part of their political platform, and to engage their constituencies, and urge them to organize and fight for that demand along side them.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you. Next panelist.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this important subject of establishing a proposed task force to consider a free tuition policy at CUNY. My name is Steven Briar. I'm a historian, and a professor in the Urban Education PhD Program at the CUNY Graduate Center where I teach the history of public education. I appreciate the efforts of this committee and especially its Chair Council Member Inez Barron with whom I had the privilege of sharing a police van and an adjoining jail cell earlier this year when we were both arrested for peacefully protesting Governor's Cuomo's anti-CUNY policies.

2 I'm pleased that you're willing to consider establishing--re-establishing New York City's 3 longstanding free tuition policy for our public 4 colleges. I think a little bit of historical context 5 might also help this discussion. So I--I will try to 6 7 say this briefly and--and hopefully it will be helpful in the considerations. We've already about 8 the founding of CUNY in 1847, the free tuition that lasted until the Fiscal Crisis of 1976-77. 10 11 rightly celebrate this historic public commitment to provide free-tuition-free public higher education to 12 13 our citizens. But we also need to be cognizant of 14 the fact that the historic embrace of a free tuition 15 policy by the four original municipal colleges, 16 CUNY, Hunter, Brooklyn and Queens also helped to 17 assure that the overall institution especially in the first few decades after World War II remained 18 19 increasingly exclusive academically and 20 overwhelmingly White and increasingly middle class. This occurred in the 1950s and 1960s because the 21 2.2 municipal colleges were brought -- which were brought 2.3 together under the CUNY umbrellas only in 1961 when CUNY was created, had failed to broaden their 24 admission policies in response to the city's changing 25

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

demographics in these years. In effect, they chose free tuition over expansion and open access. thousands of the city's African-American and Puerto Rican high school graduates sought access to affordable higher education in the 1960s, a surge that CUNY was unable to fully accommodate because of its limited size and its restrictive admissions policies. Only with the student uprisings in the spring of 1969, which began at CCNY, and then rapidly spread across the CUNY system, did the Board of Higher Education finally agree to institute an open admissions policy with assured public higher education access tuition free to any New York City public high school graduate. Open admissions led to the dramatic expansion of the CUNY student body, which numbered a quarter million by 1972. that policy would last barely seven years, a casualty of the 1976 Fiscal Crisis, which proved to be the opening shot in the neo-liberal counter-revolution that has done so much to undermine and even destroy public programs and institutions. I would argue that a commitment to free tuition while important to reestablish especially for the hundreds of thousands of poor and working class CUNY students, most of their

immigrants and students of color should not blind us 2 3 to the very real and ongoing material problems that 4 CUNY faces including a sharp decline in the full-time 5 teaching workforce through the growing use of contingent but adjunct faculty, decaying and 6 7 overcrowded classrooms and buildings as attested to 8 by the recent front expose in the New York Times. And an ever-growing and highly paid administrative bureaucracy, which has little impact on classroom 10 11 instructions -- instruction. Those endemic problems 12 cannot and will not be solved by reinstituting a free 13 tuition policy alone, however desirable that policy 14 would be, and how much it would improve the 15 educational experiences of CUNY's poor and working 16 class students. CUNY has been savagely underfunded 17 by the state of New York for decades now, a policy 18 that has continued both Republic and Democratic 19 governors including current occupant of the 20 Governor's office. One major example of this 21 consistent underfunding is the fact that 20--the 2.2 25,000 strong faculty and staff members at CUNY, 2.3 members of the PSC CUNY have been without a new contract and thus without any salary increase for 24 25 almost six years now. We all -- we will only bring

2 | CUNY back to its earlier educational glories if we

3 not only reinstitute free tuition, but also directly

4 | confront the neo-liberal attacks on higher education.

We need to remember that public higher education is a

6 public good that the State of New York needs to

7 recommit substantial economic resources to if CUNY is

to remain a world class public university system.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you and our

11 | next panelist.

1

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MIKE FABRICANT: Good morning, Chair
Barron, and I'm Mike Fabricant, Vice President of the
Professional Staff Congress. I bring you greetings
from Barbara Bowen and the rest of our negotiating
team. We've been locked in a room for 36 hours
straight trying to get to a settlement. On behalf of
the 25,000 faculty and staff CUNY represents, I'm
speaking on behalf of the 25,000 faculty and staff at
CUNY representing represented by the PSC, and I think
you for the opportunity. One, I would say that it
makes good sense [coughs] to establish or appoint a
task force of stakeholders and experts to undergo a
careful analysis of what it would take to make CUNY

free again, and we support the development of such a

25

2 task force. The task force is charged. It's outlined in the legislation. Let's present a report to the 3 4 Mayor and Speaker, which covers, but is not limited 5 to an analysis of existing and potential sources of revenue that could replace tuition at CUNY. 6 7 Obstacles preventing the elimination of tuition, recommendations for how such obstacles could be 8 addressed, and steps the city could take to address We would respectfully recommend adding to that 10 11 list of charges an analysis of existing and potential sources of revenue that could provide resources 12 13 beyond replacing tuition, given the university's 14 serious and long-term underfunding, which has had 15 consequences for quality of education. And that this 16 does represent frankly an opportunity to join free 17 tuition to the question of quality of education, but 18 it requires a political will, which I know you share 19 to promote this other part of the investment. 20 Obstacles beyond tuition to students' abilities to 21 succeed in college and obtain a degree. Recommendations for how such--such obstacles should 2.2 2.3 be addressed, and steps the city could take to address them. As I've noted, replacing tuition 24

revenue although necessary, is not sufficient.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

every dime of CUNY's tuition revenue were to replace right with federal funding or money from some other source, CUNY would still be drastically underresources. There would be a shortage of full-time faculty, adjunct faculty who currently teach half the courses at CUNY and work for low wages without job security or adequate teaching conditions. students most of them low-income New Yorkers, people of color and immigrants would still be squeezed into crowded classrooms in buildings that need significant repair. And CUNY students face many challenges that you've--we've heard about today, and we know about that go beyond tuition. If we can imagine a return to a CUNY education, we must also imagine and fight for a paradigm of shifting investments in relationship to a CUNY education, which means increasing the number of full-time faculty, improving advisement, and expanding student supports so that we're not only assuring access and affordability, but we're also assuring quality and a future that goes along or is joined to that access. When he unveiled his proposal for free community colleges, President Obama cited CUNY's ISAP program as a model. I don't need to recall all of the many features of that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

program or its many benefits. The bottom line is we know how to promote this transition. We know how to move students from all--who are often underprepared to highly prepared and move--movement through the university. It's not a question of knowing and knowledge, it's a question of investment and political will. Every CUNY student deserves increased public investments associates with a quality higher education. This city is rich in the wealthiest nation in the world, and if we can't make it happen nobody can, and we should make it happen and set a standard. But it will require more progressive structures at each level of government, elected leaders who will make quality public higher education a top priority, and offer answers to the naughty policy questions that have surfaced today, and will need to be addressed simultaneously. There are additional issues that we would urge the task force to consider. We've highlighted a number--all of them in our statement, but we would simply--I'll simply note the questions without the elaboration in the interest of time. Should tuition free college be limited to community colleges or extended to CUNY as a whole? It's a central question, and particularly

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

question as we look at the consequences associated with simply breaking off one part of the system and not offering it to another. What are the consequences associated with that for the entire How should the City define residential eligibility for students seeking free tuition at CUNY? If the federal--federal government doesn't set the policy, the consequences of funding for those who live outside the city and the incentives to attend CUNY become--and particularly the community colleges become far greater, and that has additional consequences for the university. Should free tuition at CUNY be available to all resident students regardless of their personal family income? That's an issue that I think we're going to need to struggle with in the case of finite resources. Who should this program benefit? We would argue that first in line should be the poorest students, and the poorest students from often the most stressed and underinvested in communities across the city. encourage the city--the Council to set its sites on the full cost of getting a quality college degree. While even some college experiences helps students, the greatest economic, civic and personal benefits

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

country. Thank you.

accrue to students who receive a degree. encourage you not only to consider the cost of covering tuition, as I've noted, but also all of the range of services that promote a quality education and much greater capacity of leaving the university. Make CUNY tuition free. We'll go--making it tuition free will go a long a long way in making this possible, but we urge you to make sure that CUNY also becomes a beacon. First, it has been, but it would become the first instance in which affordability and tuition is drawn--is tied, linked to the question of quality as in separable pieces, and that becomes a reform agenda that, in fact, shines a very bright light on what's necessary in order to create a new public higher education at CUNY and across the

CHAIRPERSON BARRON: Thank you. I want to thank the panel for coming and presenting your testimony, and certainly I agree that we must continue to mobilize. It's got to be an issue that we drum up mass support for. We've got to put pressure on the elected because that's what they respond to. We've got to become even more organized in getting students to look beyond the immediacy of

L	COMMITTEE	ON	HIGHER	EDUCATION

their day-to-day challenges and responsibilities and come out in mass numbers as you talked about, mobilizing them around the nation, and certainly be willing where possible to be able to put our bodies on the line and offer ourselves for arrest in those kinds of situations should that be the case. And certainly, we do need to look at making sure that as a part of this task force. As one of the other panelists said earlier, that one of the objectives is completion so that it's not just getting students in, but giving them what they need, and all of those attributes that are outlined to make them successful students. So we want to thank you for coming, and seeing that there are no more slips that have been submitted, we are going to adjourn this hearing. Thank you very much. [gavel]

18

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 3, 2016