1CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

----- X

June 15, 2016

Start: 10:21 a.m. Recess: 1:28 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: BRAD S. LANDER

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Inez E. Dickens

Daniel R. Garodnick Ydanis A. Rodriguez Margaret S. Chin Deborah L. Rose Jumaane D. Williams Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Mark Levine Steven Matteo

Melissa Mark-Viverito

Steven Matteo

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Larry Dais, Candidate for appointment to NYC Civil Service Commission

Allen Cappelli, Candidate for appointment to NYC Civil Service Commission

Rosanna Vargas, Candidate for appointment to NYC Board of Elections

Maria Keary, Legal Counsel Akerman, LLP

Marissa Soto, Legal Counsel Akerman, LLP

2 [sound check, pause]

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: All right, we're going to go ahead and get started. [background comments] All right, Mr. Dais, Mr. Cappelli, can you join us at the witness stand. [laughter, background comments] [gavel] Good morning and welcome to the New York City Committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections. I'm Brad Lander Chair of the Committee. We're joined this morning by Council Members Dan Garodnick, Ben Kallos, Daneek Miller, Ydanis Rodriguez, Minority Leader Steven Matteo, Jimmy Vacca and Debbie Rose, and other members of the committee and other council will be joining us through the day. I also acknowledge our attorney Jason Latonya (sp?). I want to appreciate the staff members of the Council's Investigative Unit Chuck Davis, our Director of Investigations who got a lot of shoutouts yesterday, but even while he was doing all the budget conflicts work, he was continuing to investigate the nominees as well as Deandra Johnson. We have three candidates to consider today. We're going to do the Civil Service Commission nominees first, and then the Board of Elections nominee

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS second, which is different than the order that's in you red book council members, but I think it will provide us a little more time for a couple of people to join us. And you have in your books on all three nominees all of their background information, resume investigation information and pre-hearing questions. In a letter dated June 3rd, 2016, the Mayor formally submitted the names of Larry Dais, a resident of Manhattan and Allen Cappelli a resident of Staten Island to the Council of the City of New York for our advice and consent regarding their nomination for appointment to the New York City Civil Service Commission. This is the first time in this term and actually the first time dating back quite a few years that the Council has appointed people to the Civil Service Commission. So I will briefly explain the functions of the Commission. It consists of five members with not more than three being from the same political party. The Mayor from a list provided by a screening committee makes the appointments. Members have a six-year term and are rein--reimbursed on a per diem basis of \$488.55, \$488.55 for the chair, and \$411.55 for the other members. That 55 cents is very important for attendance at scheduled meetings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS commission's duties include hearing and determining appeal from any aggrieved person from a decision by the Commissioner of the Department of Citywide Administrative Services, DCAS, who's responsible for citywide personnel decisions. The Commission may affirm, modify or reverse such a decision. Commission also has all powers and duties set forth in the State Civil Service Law, and the Commission either on its own or at the request of the Mayor, the Council or the DCAS Commissioner may conduct reviews or studies of the administration of personnel in the city including classification of titles, but its main duty is that personnel issues that have previously been decided by the DCAS Commissioner can be appealed to the Civil Service Commission for review, and we're glad to have Mr. Dais and Mr. Cappelli here. You have their written response to questions in your book and they'll each make an opening statement, and then we'll have the opportunity to question them. Cappelli and Mr. Dais if you--[background comments] All right, and this I'll flag that Mr. Dais, you're-you're--you're popular. You have more news articles than we could put in each member's red binder. Council Members if you'd like to see all of them,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 6
we'll pass them around as well. Mr. Cappelli and Mr.
Dais, if you could raise your right hand to be sworn
in.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you swear or affirm that the statement you are about to make will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

PANEL MEMBERS: [off mic]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So go ahead in whichever you like with your opening statements.

LARRY DAIS: Good morning. [pause] [laughter] Chairman Lander and members of the Rules, Privileges and Elections Committee of the New York City Council. It is an honor and a pleasure to--to appear before this body as one of the Mayor's nominees to serve as a member to the Civil Service Commission. If this body approves my nomination, I assure you I will serve with the highest standard and tradition and great regard toward the Civil Service system and the civil service employee from New York City. I am a man of faith. I have been married for 37 years. I have two sons and three grandsons and another grandson arriving in July. My two sons Landon and Larique are Morehouse Men. They also have masters degrees from Columbia University. Landon

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS received a JD from Harvard (sic) and they are the future within my family to work on behalf of the city should they choose to do so. I stand before you as a first generation graduate in my family. My father died when I was a toddler. A loving and devoted mother with an 8th grade education who worked as a domestic worker raised me. It was my mother who instilled the values and principles that have been the foundation of my personal and professional successes. She believed that hard work and fairness are core values, and ones that I fully embrace. had an extended period of time serving in various capacities in the community and in public service in New York City. An appointment by this body to serve as a member of the Civil Service Commission would be an honor and another opportunity to serve New York City and its residents. If appointed, I will look to my colleagues to learn and to work collectively as commissioners to maintain and enhance the core mission of the Civil Service Commission. I currently serve as an arbitrator. The role of an arbitrator is similar to the role of the Civil Service Commissioner. As an arbitrator, I preside over disciplinary hearings as well as employees

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS grievances. As an arbitrator, you have to render fair and equitable decisions. If appointed, I will strive to bring these past experiences and skills to this Commission. As I understand it, the mission of the Commissioner is to be fair, and impartial in deciding matters before it, and to ensure that the treatment of Civil Service employees and applicants is consistent with the Civil Service laws and the City Personnel Rules. I will work with my fellow commissioners to render decisions that will be reflective of our collective thinking and reasoning and supportive decisions that will stand on its merits. As well as decisions that are fair to New York City employees and agencies. I believe good judgment is critical in this position, and I welcome the opportunity, if appointed, to demonstrate my talents and skills as a commissioner. Moreover, I will bring the same determination and commitment to the work of the commission as I have in my past endeavors as a professional and volunteer in community and public service. I will close by restating if the Committee and Council deems me worthy and confirm my appointment, I will do my very best to represent those to affirm my nomination to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 9 serve as a member of the Civil Rights--Civil Service Commission. Thank you again for your consideration, and I look forward to answering any questions you may have.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much,
Mr.

ALLEN CAPPELLI: Thank you. morning, my name is Allen Cappelli. I'm going to begin by thank Mayor de Blasio for submitting my name to the City Council for you to review, and to Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito, Chairman Brad--Brand Lander and the members Rules, Elections and Privileges Committee for the opportunity to be considered for a position on the Civil Service Commission of the City of New York. Let me specifically acknowledge my Council Member and friend Debbie Rose, who has been a partner with me for many years fighting for equity and justice for all of us in these residents. also give a shout-out to the Minority Leader Steven Matteo who is also a fellow Staten Islander, and who has worked on a number of issues with me as well. think he stepped out of the room, but he was here before. I've been in public service for over 30 years, whether in full-time government positions by

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 10 serving the City and State as I have for the past eight years as a member of the MTA. A significant governmental transportation and for the -- impacting the lives of millions of New Yorkers every single day. I'm native of New York City, and began my career in government work in the Manhattan Borough President's Office. that was a long time ago. 1982, when Mario Cuomo was elected Governor, he asked me to join his administration where I worked throughout his 12-year tenure in several positions. I was appointed to Chairman of the Unemployment Insurance Appeal Board at the end of his administration. I served on that board until I joined the staff of former Bronx Borough President Fernando Ferrer where I worked with another of council members here, Jimmy Vacca, who was the District Manager at that time on issues in the Bronx, and then subsequently worked with him on issues of transportation when he was Transportation Chairman, and so we have a -- a long relationship there as well. I worked with Fernando Ferrer for four years as one of his chief assistants until he left office in 2002. I spent the next year managing the campaign of Carl McCall in his effort to defeat George Budacki.(sp?)

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 11 At the conclusion of that effort, I went into the private practice of law where I spent the past 14 years doing primarily criminal defense work with a significant part of my practice devoted to indigent defendants. One of the common threads between all of my endeavors is to make the commitment to social justice and reform, and a desire to make government work for all of the residents of our city and state. My tenure in government including the MTA has been defined by my independent spirit of live to seek ways for the government to work in a transparent way for all of our residents so that everybody can share in the opportunities available particularly in education, housing and employment. I know that a good city job is the pathway to--to a better life. will give each applicant the serious consideration to which they are entitled. If the City Council approves my appointment, I pledge to do everything the law permits to ensure that those whose appeals are before us are treated in a timely, fairly and as a transparent matter as the law permits. I thank you for your consideration, and I welcome your questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Thank you.

1

2 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much 3 for your opening statements. As is our practice I'll 4 ask a few questions of the Chair of the relevant Council Committee who in this case is Daneek Miller who chairs the Civil Service and Labor Commission 6 7 will ask questions, and then other members who are 8 interested will as well. You each have long careers, which you touched on in your opening statements, and obviously are more reflected in your resumes in a mix 10 11 of public and private sector management, Mr. Dais, in 12 your case also some experience as a -- as a mediator. 13 I wonder if you could just give us a little more--so a couple of examples I guess really of the 14 15 experiences that you've had in the past around either management or case mediation that you think are 16 17 relevant for this service. It's my understanding 18 that a majority of the appeals that come before the 19 Civil Service Commission are either people who are--20 have been disciplined for misconduct or incompetence 21 or have been disqualified for character or medical or 2.2 other reasons. Though there's a range of other types 2.3 of appeals, but those are most of them. If you could just give us a little more flavor or examples of the 24 ways in which your work experiences and mediation 25

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 13 experiences have shown you situations like these and, you know, examples of what you've learned about how

to render fair decisions and think about those cases

in a--in a---in a fair and objective way?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

[off mic] I'll get my chair LARRY DAIS: Okay. [on mic] Thank you, Mr. Chairman. served as the Chairperson of the Tripartite Committee for the MTA where there were three individuals that had to render a decision through consensus. One was represented by a union person, and the other by MTA, and it was there that I learned the importance of working collectively to reach fairs decisions based upon the facts. In some instance, we on the front end had disagreements among the group, but it was my job as chairperson to ensure that at the end of the discussion that we could reach an agreement that everyone could live by. I believe that I had the responsibility to ensure that the union rep could go back to his members and explain to them why the Tripartite reached the decision that it did. I felt that the MTA rep had the same responsibility. shared that responsibility, and to the best of my knowledge over my term we collectively reached fair decisions.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And what were the

3 nature of those--of the decisions you were--?

addiction or drugs, the use of drugs on the job,
absenteeism, insubordination. Those are the primary
cases. In some instance there may have been
complaints coming in from New York City of citizens
saying that they were mistreated by an MTA employee,
but by and large it was in the area of disciplinary
problems. And the one that was most challenging was
for those employees who failed the drug test.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank your, Mr. Cappelli.

all, I have served in both city and state government. In state government I was appointed to an agency that had a whole component of it that was not covered by collective bargaining or any rules and regulations, and one of the tasks that I had at that time was to work with management and labor and develop a classification system to ensure that employees in that side of the shop were treated the same and as fairly as people in another side of the shop. There were gross disparities in—in salaries between one

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 15 and the other, and we were able to negotiate a fair system that leveled the playing field for all of the employees involved. I was also tasked with developing a grievance and disciplinary system in negotiating it with the union, and then played a role in being part of a process by which discipline and grievances were adjudicated in a fair and efficient manner, and I did that for a number of years as well. I'm also a litigant in court every day. In my criminal practice I deal with people and their problems. I understand what serious issues are and what they're not, and what the bars to employment that some of these situation bring about. And I work very hard to mitigate the impact that whatever mistake they may have made. In fact, they made a mistake, it does not bar them from a meaningful opportunity to support themselves and their family. It's something that is very near and dear to my heart. I--I serve on a transportation agency that delivers people to their jobs, but the point is the people have to have jobs to be delivered to, and I see the civil service system in the city of New York as being a pathway to curing many of our--our-our There's nothing that works like a-city's problems.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

a good job to help lift somebody up, and support her or his family, and I will be a champion for workers and fairness for—in this position if the Council chooses to confirm me. And the same way I have tried to be for—for the riders of the MTA, and for my—my litigants, my defendants that I deal with every single day in trying to make their lives better.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you both very I just have one more question, and then I'll pass it along to my colleagues. Obviously the vast majority of the work of the Civil Service Commission is adjudicating these individual appeals, and I think it's pretty straightforward from the answers that you just gave and you backgrounds and experiences that you each have a lot of experience you bring to that. So I think the second question has been certainly a much smaller percentage of the role, but I think there is just a little bit of difference between what the Council reads the -- the mandate of the commission to be, and the written answers that you guys gave to a series of policy questions. So I just want to surface that, and make sure we're roughly on the same page. The--you know, the Charter provides that the Commission on its own initiative or upon request of

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 17 the Mayor, Council or Commissioner shall have the power and duty to conduct reviews, studies or analyses of the Administration and personnel in the city including the classification of titles by the commissioner. And I just wonder how you understand that we asked a series of questions in the written questions about a--a range of policy matters relating, which I think one could read as relevant to that, stemming from that authority. You mostly said those things are outside the purview of the There is no doubt most of the job of the Commission. Commission is review these appeals. But I just wonder if you could clarify your position on the role of the Commission related to the, you know, broader sort of policy questions related to the personnel policy in the city.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ALLEN CAPPELLI: We'll alternate. In every position I've ever been in whether it was the Land Use Chairman in the Community Board 1 Staten Island with Council Member Rose all too long ago that date us both. I--I--I have been accused of taking and expanding the role of that traditionally had been, and certainly at the MTA I have been a voice for things that traditionally MTA board members have

not chosen to step out, and I've worked with many members of the Council on issues prodding the institution, prodding the city, prodding the state in order to bring about the necessary reforms as I saw fit. I want to--I will take advice and consent happily working with the Council on how the role of the position should be defined. I understand the statutory responsibilities of the adjudication of the disciplinary and the disqualification hearings right and clear. But I think that I'm not adverse to--to getting into areas that may need to be expanded upon from, you know, prior administrations.

2.2

2.3

LARRY DAIS: I would like to amplify my perspective colleague point that it's important to review and evaluate those frameworks which prescribe what you can and cannot do. And I think it's important that the commissioners when appropriate have internal discussions as to how they can improve what they are charged with doing. I also recall or understand based upon experience as and independent arbitrator that as such I was bound by the contract between the parties. I could not rewrite it. I had to interpret it, and live by it. On occasion, though, I would call for a sidebar, and take the

parties outside off the record and say, listen,
here's what you should think about. This is what you
might want to do. I can't dictate or legislate, but
I think it would be healthy for the employer and the
union to rethink your positions. And I must say, on
many occasions that worked very, very well. I'm not
sure if the environment within the Commission and the
city agencies will permit that, but I think it's
something that the Commissioner should at least
internally consider

2.2

2.3

both for those thoughtful answers and—and also the openness and willingness to consider working with the Council. I'll—I'll be honest. I—I have not focused on the Civil Service Commission before today's hearing in my seven years in the—in the Council, and I think in general we think of labor relations as a matter that is bargained between the unions and the city and kind of handled by the Office of Labor Relations and not as much something the Council focuses on. I think seeing that the Charter, in fact, empowers us to work with the Commission to think about matters of policy where those are relevant, and obviously in many cases they are.

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 20 2 We've made progress as the city in moving all our 3 municipal employees up toward \$15 an hour in--in 4 moving toward paid family leave and in other ways as well. So I think, you know, I appreciate those 5 answers and that willingness to work with Council. 6 7 With that, I will hand it off to the Chair of the Council's Civil Service and Labor Committee Daneek 8 Miller, who will be followed by Council Members Kallos and Rodriguez, and I will also note that we 10 11 have been joined by Council Member Levine and after 12 Council Rodriguez will be Council Member Rose. 13 let me just remind members we're not--we don't do the 14 clock in here because it's a small committee. 15 we'll have another nominee waiting. So please ask the essential questions. 16 17 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Yeah, thank you 18 Chair Lander. We appreciate the opportunity to be 19 here this morning to address our candidates, which I 20 believe was such an important position, which leads 21 me to my first and very basic question, why do you 2.2 want to do this? [pause, laughter]

LARRY DAIS: Well, first and foremost I've had the pleasure of serving in two important sectors, community and public, and I've gained

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 21 tremendous experiences. I think I've become a better person because of having the opportunities to look at individuals who are less fortunate that I at some moment in time, and then have the opportunity to work with them to improve their station life. And in New York City there are so many New Yorkers in need. New York City there are hosts of vehicles that as New Yorkers we can use to improve the lives of other New Yorkers. My engagement with community organizations and agencies have been a learning experience for me, am eye opener and sense of worth. So to serve as the Commissioner on this body will continue that opportunity for me, and provide a vehicle to do so. I sincerely believe that my contact with some young folks on the front end of my career allowed me to move forward. As I stated, I'm a first generation graduate. I was fortunate enough to be able to enter the workplace because of having the network of individuals that helped me. I made an early commitment that if I'm able to do so for others, I would do one. One individual as a high school student introduce me to community work. His name was John Bess. John was a talented young man, a very bright young man, who left us at a very early age.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

opportunity

But it was John that introduced me to the not-for-profits in Harlem. It was John that introduced me to the New York Urban League, and then the National Urban League. So I am willing to look at individuals without having any biases regarding the age, sex, as someone that could--that I can learn from and can work with. This opportunity comes to me at a stage in my life where I think I can continue to offer my personal and professional services if given the 

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Mr. Cappelli.
Mr. Cappelli.

a son of an immigrant who came to this country. My mother was widowed with four children. As a young man we were poor, dirt--dirt poor. We didn't--I didn't take the bus, I walked and I walked many places, and I worked hard--a lot of small jobs to get myself through school I went to public colleges here and received opportunities. I was fortunate to--to meet some people who got me into government and government service where through my education and through my interaction I became a disciple of Robert Kennedy. I learned at the foot of Mario Cuomo, who

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS instilled in me a sense of an obligation to the

23

3 community in which we live to--to give back and

1

2

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 provide the opportunities for others. Unlike the

5 MTA, which the question could be, you know, what ever

6 possessed you to do that. Okay, and I'm still

banging my head on the wall over the amount of hours

8 and the pain that has been inflicted in me for doing

9 that, but understanding what a vital government

10 service it is. I love helping people is--is the

11 answer. I'd love to be in a position to help my

12 | fellow man and woman to--to get ahead to--to have--

13  $\parallel$  have an opportunity. I--I believe in public service,

14 and this board will allow me an opportunity, if--if

15 | you confirm me, to be able to do that for next

16 several years, and work in collaboration with other

17 | like minded people such as yourself, and others who

18 are really helping to make New York a--a great

19 progressive city, and I look forward to hopefully

20 having that opportunity. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you. So as a life long civil servant myself obviously I would like to see people that share that value in the system and that—so that we can protect the integrity of the system [ringing cell phone] as is. Obviously,

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 24 over the years there's been some problems with it, but also there--there have been administration have-that have played roles that have diminished the Civil I had--there were some--some Service system. discussion about the rise in the roles once again recently, but I--I think that speaks to how services get deliver, but also impact on communities. While the role that the board plays is mostly adjudicating appeals and so forth. Is there a broader policy and I--I know Mr. Dais spoke to this briefly, a role that he can play that would protect the integrity of -- the diversity of the workforce? I think that the Civil Service system was kind of derived with that in mind that it would not be patronage, but it would be merit based. How do we then protect the diversity of the workforce in doing so? ALLEN CAPPELLI: [pause] Well, I think that as a citizen if we see an injustice we're

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ALLEN CAPPELLI: [pause] Well, I think
that as a citizen if we see an injustice we're
obliged to speak out against it, and I agree with you
that the Civil Service was designed to be a merit
based system to protect against the abuses of—of an
executive who may not see the value in meritocracy
system as pathway for—for all. Or who may not be
aware that—that—that it's a problem. Certainly, if

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 25

I am selected by the Council to perform this

position, I will speak up and I will work with you to

bring about the kinds of reforms and certainly the

spotlight on where those abuses may be taking place.

6 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: If there were an 7 agency that--

ALLEN CAPPELLI: [interposing] Are we staying with me or we going to him?

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: No, it doesn't matter. If—if there was an agency that you saw that it may have been some dis—some systematic disqualifications as we have seen in the past, certainly how—how would address that? How and that would be for either one of you.

ALLEN CAPPELLI: Well, let me take this one first. I'm on a roll here a little bit. If--if I saw that there was a particular problem, the first thing I would do is talk to my colleagues on the board and ask, you know, what's the history here? What's going on? It just seems to me every time agency X comes in here, there a problem with, you know, it just seems to be a pattern, and find out the internal history of it and what communication may have taken place prior to my arrival on the scene.

You know, but—and hopefully I would get an answer that satisfied me. If not, then I would be—I would push the entity to be more aggressive in dealing with that agency as to those aspects. I mean, you know, I've had some informal chats already on that subject with some of the board members, and there—you know, there is a give and take that goes on behind the scenes and that should be allowed to exist for a period of time. You know, you're dealing with a case on its merits, but then you've got the pattern. But

at some point, you've got to address the pattern.

2.2

2.3

LARRY DAIS: I would like to amplify my colleagues' comments, and focus on the latter part. As I stated by—early on rather, that it's extremely important that there is a collaborative environment within—among the commissioners. And I think the same thing should take place among the agencies. I would encourage within the law to have sidebars with the leadership of any agency that we deem to be off track as it relates to being fair to New Yorkers. With respect to those communities that may have been neglected perhaps not intentionally or intentionally I think we should look for opportunities again to identify partners where permissible, and again within

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 27 the law, and responsibilities of the Commissioner--Commission to encourage conversation, to figure out how we can go about developing working relationships to improve the flow of candidates. And working with the agencies to ensure that they understand their responsibilities within the law again, and hope that we can influence them via persuasion, not necessarily getting involved in policy areas. A lot will depend upon the environment that we are operating in. like the virgin here. I don't know what that environment is, but I'm willing to learn with respect to my colleagues. I think this committee could be a resource for us, and at the end of the day, we should do what is right for New Yorkers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Yeah, I--I--I
believe that there is a role for the Commission to
play that we've seen historically throughout and--and
the--the city of New York, but I think that some
agents--agencies are--are--have--have come to grips
with--with that running through the courts or through
their own volition, but I think they're doing a
better job. But we would like to see the agency kind
of act as eyes and ears in doing so as well. Mr.
Dais, also am--am I correct that you--you were also a

LARRY DAIS: Now, as a member of--

25

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

29

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: [interposing] I'm

3 sorry. What--what you would do as--as--

LARRY DAIS: As a member of the

Commission? 5

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: As a member of the Commission.

LARRY DAIS: Well, [coughs] within PERB we as arbitrators rely on the contract as well as the quidelines from PERB, which tell us what we can and cannot do. But with respect to the parties, it is a contact. Within that contracts, as you know, they are conditioned to establish as to how you will proceed with--to bring closure to any grievances advanced to the body. In many instances you cannot as an arbitrator operate outside of that contract. You must adhere to the contract. Within this Commission I think we have the same--as a Commissioner, we have a similar responsibility to make sure that we stay within the law.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: So--so in a case where there may be a conflict between the collective bargaining agreement and one of these articles such as, you know, a--a civil service role or law, would

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 30
2 you then be make--the interpretation would that be up
3 to you to decide the merits then of that case?

LARRY DAIS: As a Commissioner, I'm not sure at this point in time.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: No, no I mean as-is your current role in PERB?

LARRY DAIS: Oh, yes, yes, yes. Again, we are to rely upon the contract, and then the parties at the table, but to make sure we comply with the contract, which dictates what we can and cannot do or what we can consider or not consider in deciding a--a case.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: So again if--if, in fact, someone--if--if the union is arguing that--that according to Section 73 that the person that they were held out unduly, and that they should not be terminated, the contract there would then speak to that. That's more of the Civil Service role. Is that something that you've--you've seen in the past or would--would that be still that would enhance what you would be doing as part of the Commission?

LARRY DAIS: Yes, I--I--I think it's transferrable. In that instance it would be contract interpretation where there's a dispute between the

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS
                                                       31
    parties and ask you what they think the contract is
 2
 3
     saying and what, in fact, it is saying--saying.
 4
    arbitrator in that situation would make a decision,
    but could not change anything in the language.
     it help the parties to come to a position of
 6
 7
     agreement, and I think working with fellow
     commissioners, if--if we had a similar situation we
 8
     would try to approach it the same way.
10
                COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay, so--
                CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Chair.
11
12
                COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER:
                                        Yeah.
                CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Chair Miller in the
13
14
     interest of time.
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER:
                                        I know.
                                                  I know.
16
                CHAIRPERSON LANDER: It just sounds like
17
     outstanding questions for an oversight hearing in the
     Civil Service and Labor Committee --
18
19
                COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: --Yes.
20
                CHAIRPERSON LANDER: --with the Civil
     Service Commission.
21
2.2
                COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: So, I--I--is
2.3
     that--
                CHAIRPERSON LANDER: In fact, we almost
24
```

25

do a dent:

ALLEN CAPPELLI: I'm not sure if I understand exactly what the question was but--

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: How would you--so aside from--aside form appeals, how you help to bridge the gap and advance the Civil Service

Commission and the roles of Civil Service employees?

ALLEN CAPPELLI: Well, as--as--as the

Chairman--Chairman Lander mentioned before, there is
a broader--there's some broader language that exists
in this statute that may or may not have been

utilized in the past, and I would be willing to have
a discussion with the Council and with my colleagues

1 | COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

2 if I'm confirmed first as to, you know, what that

3 means and where we ought to be going, particularly as

4 it relates to the protection of the Civil Service

5 system.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you so much and thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, Chair
Miller. No and I--these are really interesting and
important questions. I 'm glad we're opening the
dialogue, and I hope we'll continue them. We have
been joined by Council Members Palma, Dickens and
Chin. Because--because we do have additional, not
many and from a separate agency, I--we are going to
move to a clock then as we have four folks testify.
Let's do it at five minutes so there's--there's
plenty of time, but I will let you guys know that
Council Members' questions, it's question and answer
time. So, people may ask you to hurry along if they
have more than one question. So all right, we have
Council Members Kallos, Rodriguez, Rose and Dickens
with questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you Chair Lander, and thank you Chair Miller. It's always a pleasure to with you on these issues. I'd like to

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 34

cover the exam timelines, and provisionals and

recruitments. So we have five minutes to do so. Are

you familiar with the Mayor's Management Report?

[pause]

ALLEN CAPPELLI: I'm familiar with it as a--as a--as a document. I'm not familiar with the

8 | current Mayor's Management Report.

1

2

3

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Are you?

LARRY DAIS: I am not.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I would recommend visiting nyc.gov/mmr. I'm a huge fan of it. Mayor's Management Report, one of the goals for DCAS, Goal 1C is for ensure timely administration of civil service exams. This is something that Chair Miller and I have been focused on, and we've gotten it down from 251 days in Fiscal 14 for median time from exam administration to exam results complete days to 209 days in Fiscal Year 2015 down to-- But Fiscal Year '16 for the four-month actual, it's actually gone up to 310 days. We--we--it's--it's increased by 33%. That is bad. You as Civil Service Commissioner play a role in determining the eligibility list and being a part of that process. Will you make sure that we keep that number down to that 210 number? Do you

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 35

have any strategies you might have to do so? People-people shouldn't have to wait almost a year or a

more. When we started, it was actually over a year

5 to find out whether or not they got the job after

6 they took the Civil Service exam.

2.2

2.3

ALLEN CAPPELLI: Well, I agree with the general premise. I mean once you take an exam, you--you--there's expectation that people are going to be notified within a reasonable amount of time. Now, you know, sometimes there--I understand there are some legitimate problems, but the--the grading of these exams and that can lead to delays. But, you know, if the number is trending upwards, an explanation is certainly required from the agency administering it. And if their answer is satisfactory, well then you can look at as a glitch. And if it's institutional then the corrections need to be taken in order for the situation to move back in a---in a positive direction.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: The--the Civil
Service Commission is theoretically--it might be
theoretically be part of the problem. So I guess
along those lines, the Charter Section 813, which
creates the Civil Service Commission gives you a

1 | COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

2 | power under Section E, The Commission on its own

3 | initiative or upon request, the Mayor, Council or

4 commissioners shall have the power to--and duty to

5 | conduct reviews. That is your analysis of the

6 administration or personnel in the city including the

7 classification of titles by the Commissioner. Is

8 this a power that you would be willing to use in the

9 | instance that you feel that the timeliness of exams

10 or provisionals is headed in the wrong direction.

[pause]

11

12

13

25

ALLEN CAPPELLI: You can answer that.

LARRY DAIS: Well, again I'm on a

14 | learning curve relative to the Commission and working

15 as a member of the Commission, but I think anything

16 that we can do to improve the process and timeliness,

17 we should embark upon within our charges. Looking at

18 | the agencies having, as I stated earlier, sidebar

19 conversations with them to identify problems and

20 | hopefully work collectively to find solutions. That

21 I think is important for the Commission.

22 ALLEN CAPPELLI: And I think this also

23 | is--was covered by the--my answer to Chairman Lander

24 as to the broader powers of--of--of the Commission

other than the judicial function of it. But yes, I

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 37 would be willing to work with the Mayor's Office and with the Council to exercise those powers if--if that should be.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: The--the good news is you have them on your own, and I'd suggest to use them. And then one last piece. We've been focused on provisionals. Provisionals are basically political appointees. They can create the appearance of impropriety. The Civil Service was actually an answer to political patronage appointments in Tammany, and in--in 2014, 23 had 22,954 provisionals. That went down by 1,418 in February 28th of 2015. However, as of February 29th, 2016, the last report from DCAS we now are at 23,052 provisionals, which is actually more than when this administration started. And I think this would be ripe for a third hearing on provisionals since as soon as we stop paying attention, things go the wrong direction. But how can the Civil Service Commission work with us to replace all these provisionals with civil servants who have taken an exam and have the skills [bell] and the knowledge and have been tested to prove it?

[pause]

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

objectives that you talk about here.

ALLEN CAPPELLI: The answer is please,

yes. [laughter] The--I'm sorry--the--what I would

do first day if I am fortunate enough to--to be

appointed or confirmed by the Council is raise those

issues with my colleagues at the--at the Commission,

and I'd be--I would welcome an opportunity to sit

down offline and have conversations with you as to

how to better and effectively meet some of the

Would make sure that I would have conversations with my fellow commissioners and a one-on-one conversation with the Chair person to ensure that we are looking at this properly. And then suggest where opportunities present themselves to work with the Council and others to do so to find solutions that's in the best interest of New Yorkers and in particular the workers.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you both very much. Council Member Dickens has to go to chair a subcommittee meeting so she is going to jump the staff. We'll have Council Member Dickens followed by Rodriguez and rose.

2.2

2.3

since Columbia was doing a rezoning in that area,

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 40 were you able to assist in getting MWBE contracts for minorities and women?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LARRY DAIS: I would say that I have in my position as vice president--well prior to that position when I was with Columbia College, had an opportunity to open up the campus to students from the Harlem community. Did so to ensure that they had a summer program provided with academic skills to ensure that they had proper counseling so that they could apply to college. Our success rate at Project Double Discovery, that I was head, was ranked as the number one program within Upward Bound. Based upon that--the performance of that program I served at a national level, working with other Upward Bound programs across the country. With respect to immediate surroundings of communities of the university, I thought it was extremely important that we not only support the community based organizations financially, that we also engage the faculty and students in terms of human capital to have them to participate in programs in the community service in the Harlem YMCA as Chairperson, we were able to bring resources to the Harlem YMCA, which allows them to purchase a building. Because at that point in time,

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 41 2 Harlem Hospital was having a very difficult time 3 recruiting nurses because the other hospitals are 4 able to offer employment and housing. The University made a contribution of \$100,000 at my request to the 5 Harlem for the C.T. Walker Building with the 6 7 understanding that they would only have to pay a dollar year for the building. When I've looked at 8 the work that was done through Abyssinian Development Corporation when I was chairperson in the early 2000, 10 11 it was a period of time where measurable growth took 12 place. The University is involved in supporting that 13 effort, and serving on Community Board 9 I think 14 there's an opportunity to do so. Now, specifically 15 to your question about whether or not I contributed 16 to employment opportunities--17 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: No, what I was 18 asking for, Mr. Dais, was in the capacity as--as the 19 Vice President--20 LARRY DAIS: [interposing] Right. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: -- and as the Director of Community Affairs and particularly during 2.2

the time that just prior when all of the conflict was

going on about the rezoning for the expansion of

Columbia, were you able to assist in -- in securing

2.3

24

contracts that were actually enforced, acted upon?

2.2

2.3

2 LARRY DAIS: As I stated, Councilwoman, 3 that was not my area of responsibility.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Okay. Thank you so much.

LARRY DAIS: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, Council
Member Dickens. We have Council Member--Council
Member Rodriguez has stepped out. Council Member
Rose you are up.

think you've been pretty thoroughly vetted. So I'm not going to use my time asking redundant questions. But I--I did want to say that, you know, I'm really pleased today to welcome a fellow Staten Islander and a friend, Allen Cappelli, who was nominated by the Mayor to serve on the Civil Service Commission, and I've known Allen to--to be a distinguished public servant. He has a proven record of service, which is evidenced by his tenure on the MTA Board where among many other achievements he spearheaded the reopening of the old South Ferry Subway Station. After Super Storm Sandy, which was then a new station, which--which had been closed to water damage, Allen was instrumental in reopening the station in a timely

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 44 manner and saving thousands of Staten Islanders from having to walk from the ferry to Rector Street to access the train. He's always been a strong advocate and voice for the transit riders. His time and tenure on the MTA Board was distinguished as having a loud active voice for--for not only Staten Island, but all of the riders all of the consumers that were affected by the MTA. He's also a great advocate, a stalwart defender of social justice issues, and he had a distinguished employment -- he served with distinction on the Unemployment Appeals Board, and he worked with numerous labor unions and has held managerial positions as well. I--I just needed to say today that Allen Cappelli is--is distinctly qualified for this position. His experience uniquely qualifies him to perform the work of the Civil Service Commission with fairness and integrity. It's--which is a quality that we've seen him demonstrate over and over in his legal career. and that he wasn't afraid to raise the thorny issues, and one of my colleagues talked about some of the issues, and problems with the Civil Service Commission, and whether or not these candidates would address them. I can, you know, say without any

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 45 hesitation that I know that Allen Cappelli would not run away from a thorny issue, and would address it, and—and bring it to some area of consensus that I'm sure would be beneficial to the—the consumers of the Civil Service Commission. So, I just wanted to say thank you, and I'm glad to see you here.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much,
Council Member Rose. Council Vacca you have a
question I believe.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I--I also wanted to speak about Allen. I've known Allen since the 1980s, and you did refer to it in your testimony. Allen was Press Secretary to Fernando Ferrer, Bronx Borough President, and I was District Manager to Community Board 10. So I became acquainted with Allen many, many years ago, and then ran into Allen again, of course, when I chaired the Committee on Transportation here at the Council, and he's a good guy. He's a dedicated guy. He's--he's responsible. He's very blunt, and I think he's going to do a great job on the Civil Service Commission. I think we need someone with his experience, but also someone of his character. So--although I don't live on Staten Island, of course, but I know he's done great work,

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, Council Member Rigue--Rodriguez.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you Chairman Lander and Miller, and no doubt that the Administration has referred three great qualified candidates. The two that--

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: There's just two.

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: --the two that--

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON LANDER:} \mbox{ The third is not}$  referred by the Administration.

have three candidates in front of us today, but before I get into the question, I want to remind everyone that the reason why we have these lighted candles here is in honor of the 49 lovely people that we lost in Orlando. So we are still remembering the men and women that we lost knowing that this was not only an act of terrorism, but this is also an act of hate towards the gays and lesbian community. After we saw the many negative messages that that community have been dealing with for decades. So this is

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 47 something I want to remind everyone. You know, I believe in this administration because this administration is all about breaking the cycle of poverty. We inherit a city where based on the budget director, 46% of New Yorkers live in poverty in one of the most developed city in the world. And I think that sometimes it's, you know, it's a challenge for people to move from a privileged sector to address and understand the reality of other sects. If vou've been part of the upper class, you can go and talk about other initiatives that we have, other resources, but sometimes we are not into breaking that cycle of poverty. And I believe that where you're going to be, this is the place that we can say we can train our New Yorkers to get good jobs. also we have to make that connection. We have to clear the system. People should not be waiting so long to be placed in a job. It cannot be that a lot of the jobs that we have in the city are not for people who live in the five boroughs. How can we, you know, from the Civil Service, have a plan--and I hope that with your experience the only thing my expectation is only don't come and tell us that we have done it for 25 years because all of us are

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 48 responsible including places that you have served where we are today to have a city or the 1% and the 99% even though this administration is so committed to pool resources to identify men an women that really is trying to make a difference in our city. So from your perspective based on the experience that you already will bring if you will be approved by all, by--by--not only by this committee later on, but also by the whole Council, how--what is the changes that you are planning to bring to the Civil Service so that we could really have a system in place that we can say this is the legacy that I will leave on connecting more New Yorkers to those exams. people they don't know about those exams. I live in the underserved community where essentially a lot of people believe that the Latinos community live in area that they are undocumented and all they have is a green card. That those people they don't speak the language. Just there's some community that they don't have a system where they can say what DCAS is saying we're actively promoting this exam. certain groups that they are doing--doing-they are doing--that they are doing better than others. That they provide the closes, that they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

provide training, that they know when the exams are, but there's other communities that they don't have this information. So, what is the change that you are expecting to bring us as members of that commission in the previous experience that you have so that we can continue working along the vision of Mayor de Blasio or providing more opportunity for working class and middle-class to be connected with good jobs.

2.2

2.3

LARRY DAIS: Yes, as a product of the projects, I understand the lower class or the class of low-income individuals who are worthy if given an opportunity. It was a public service employee that encouraged me [bell] to move forward on a path that led me to employment and a better understanding of self-worth. My mother used to tell me anything worth having is worth working for. If a person gives you something, and you think it's worthless, take it anyway because one day they might give you something that's worth a lot. So my foundation has been and will continue to be to share information with those persons who can benefit what I have to offer, and I think as a commissioner within the law and within my networks, I will work to make sure that people are

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 2 better informed about the opportunities in New York 3 City in terms of public service. In the network that 4 I'm engaged in is with people from various walks of 5 life, different organizations, but there is an opportunity not to misrepresent or make commitments 6 7 or promises but to share information about 8 opportunities. Last [background comments]. lastly [laughs] I think we all have a responsibility to make sure the people are well informed. Often 10 11 times it's the lack of information that keep people 12 out of opportunities that they are deserving. 13 with that I'll close, and let you. (sic) 14 ALLEN CAPPELLI: [off mic] I--I know 15 that--if I can just have 30 seconds to be responsive. 16 The--first of all, we had a discussion offline about 17 this before. I'm very pleased that the City Council 18 put the money in the budget for Summer Youth 19 Employment Program. It is a such a great thing for 20 the City and, you're all to be congratulated for that 21 because there -- Yeah, that is the beginning of the process getting young people employed, beginning to 2.2 2.3 get them trained and get them, you know, the understanding of having a regular paycheck to be able 24

to--to--to make their way. You know, then you couple

50

1

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 51 that with additional efforts such as we spoke about offline to increase information available to communities. I know that there are efforts going around to teach people about Civil Service opportunities, and I think that that's something that needs to be expanded upon, and to schools and community colleges, and community based, faith based groups. So that people understand what great opportunities do exist. And then I think it's incumbent upon those of us who serve in this area including the Civil Service Commission to try to eliminate the obstacles and streamline the process so that people have greater access to these jobs on a consistent basis. I will not accept the -- this is the way we've always done it, and as a--as an answer particularly as it models the status quo that I'm not happy with it. CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I want to thank my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I want to thank my colleagues for the thoughtful questions. It's always a fine line in this committee where we are up, you know, where between are these nominees qualified for the positions to which they've been nominated, and how do we engage with the function between the Council and that commission? I think it's useful to

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 52 use these hearings, but especially when we haven't done an appointment to civil service in quite a long time to explore that relation, and I'll encourage the Chair and--and other members to continue to explore these questions in the Civil Service and Labor Committee where I think that they would be very useful to continue to explore and push. I think it's well worth spending some time in this hearing going beyond the narrower questions of their fitness for services, which I--I think in this case are pretty straightforward to this broader set of questions about the function of the Commission. That said, out of respect for our next nominee I'm going to move us along. Actually, one more small question, though. Mr. Cappelli, it's my understanding that if confirmed for this position you would step down, you would resign from the MTA Board where you currently serve? That's correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ALLEN CAPPELLI: I think there's a matter of practicality. That decision will be removed from me because I believe that Mr. Redanis (sp?) and his colleagues are going to be confirmed hopefully in the next day or two, and in that group of appointments is my successor. It's not a mayoral appointment but a

your thorough answers, for your interest in this

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

6 ALLEN CAPPELLI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
7 Thank you all.

your nominations. Thank you very much.

LARRY DAIS: Thank you and the committee members.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay, we will now move to our third nominee and second agency for this morning's hearing, and we will now consider candidate Rosanna Vargas to the Council of the City of New York nominating her for appointment by the Council to the New York City Board of Elections. As the members of this committee well know, the Board of Elections, the Board and its commissioners are responsible for the maintenance and administration of voting records and elections. The Board also exercises quasi judicial powers by conducting hearings to validate nominating petitions of candidates for nomination to elective office. It oversees the elections themselves as well as maintenance of the voter lists. Of course, this is no secret in this body it's ability and strength in meeting those tasks has been subject to some

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 55 debate in the -- in the public, and -- and that we've had conversations here at the Council about. There Board is required to make an annual report of its affairs and proceedings to the Council once every 12 months and no later than the last day of January in any As a matter of state law, the composition of the board is ten commissioners, two from each of the five counties, and the process for nominating and appointing moves essentially back and forth between the city's county political committees and the Council. Generally, the -- under state law, the county political committees from each of the five boroughs have the authority to recommend a commissioner for appointment to the Council at least 30 days prior to the seat representing the county and party becoming vacant. That comes to the Rules Committee and then the full Council has the authority to appointment the--each recommended commissioner. If the Council as a whole does not appoint a recommended commissioner within the 30 days of the recommendation by the county, party political committee, the applicable political party caucus within the Council becomes empowered to approve or reject recommendations democratic vacancy by the vote of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 56 Democratic Party Caucus, Republic vacancy by the vote of the Republican Party Caucus and then if after another 30 days, the party caucus in question is not appointment the recommended commissioner, then the applicable county committee may file another recommendation within 30 days of the point in time. So it pings back and forth between the county political committees and the party caucus. case of a vacancy due to expiration of a term, the--I won't go into it because that's not the situation we have here. But there's a--a similar essential responsibility, and again if the Commissioner services as a holdover after the expiration of his or her term. So we are in the first of those circumstances. We are more than 30 days within the time of a seat representing the Bronx Democratic position on the Board of Elections becoming vacant. And the Bronx County Democratic Committee had exercised their authority under state law to appoint Rosanna Vargas, which is what brings us here today. Ms. Vargas, thank you very much for your patience while we went through our first hearing. I thought that one would be faster than--but as you see, the Council takes seriously its responsibilities to ask

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 57 questions and get answers, and meet our duty under the City Charter, and-and we're honored for the opportunity to do that here as well. As you know, the Board of Elections and its ability to deliver good effective elections, maintain the voter list and ensure that the smooth practice of local democracy, which--for which for us there's nothing more important. It's a matter of--of high importance to both the Council and to the public. So we're honored to have you before us here today. I will point members of the committee to Ms. Vargas' background materials and response to pre-hearing questions in your red binder. I will now turn it over to her for her opening statement. I have some questions. will then go to Chair Kallos who chairs the Governmental Operations Committee, which oversees from our point of view our relationship to the -- to the Board of Elections and then other members also are signed up to testify. So, Ms. Vargas, if you will raise your right hand to swear or affirm your testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you swear or affirm to that the statement you're about to make will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

2 ROSANNA VARGAS: [off mic] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Now, we--your

opening statement.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROSANNA VARGAS: Good morning, everyone. I want to thank everyone for coming, the New York City Council Members and the Committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections for holding this important hearing to consider my nomination to serve as the Bronx Democratic Commissioner of the Board of Elections. As this committee is aware, I was born and raised in the Bronx. I'm a practicing attorney in Akerman's New York Office. I'm an associate in the litigation department and my focus--and my focus is on commercial litigation in state and federal court, mediations and arbitrations. I believe that my experience as a lawyer and the analytical skills that comprise much of my practice will be an asset in identifying the issues and challenges of the Board of Elections. I'm an active member of the Dominican Bar Association, the Puerto Rican Bar Association, the National Hispanic Bar Association and Latinas Organizing in Action. I'm also a member of the Law Committee of the Bronx Democratic Council Committee, which has been led by Council to County.

| COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 59       |
|---|
| Committee will focus on the needs of the community    |
| such as the youth seminar and events to assist the    |
| people that live in the community such as college     |
| applications, review of their personal statement,     |
| introduction the LSAT, mock job interviews and resume |
| reviews. I'm also part of Akerman's internal network  |
| of Women's Initiative Network alongside with the      |
| CASA, which is the Court Appointed Special Advocates  |
| Association program to promote volunteer advocacy for |
| abused and neglected children in the foster care      |
| system. I am committedI am committed and              |
| personally vested in the Bronx and in the State of    |
| New York. I believe that I'm able to protect each     |
| citizen's fundamental right to have their votes heard |
| and counted through the electoral process. My goal    |
| is to ensure that the Bronx Community voice and every |
| other voting individual is heard by ensuring their    |
| access to the ballots. I am confident that I am       |
| qualified for this position, and that I am prepared   |
| to serve as the Bronx Democratic Commissioner at the  |
| Board of Elections, and I hope you appoint me. I      |
| look forward to working with the Board and all the    |
|   |

other commissioners.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you for that.

Before I get to some questions more broadly about issues of reform at the Board of Elections, we'd just like to get a little more sense of your experience on election matters. You refer in your—in your written statement to work you've done on the nuts and bolts of campaigning, on collecting signatures, on petitioning, on binding, on challenges. I wonder if you could just share a little more about that, both what your experience has been in—in doing that work, and what that has shown you about the Board and its functions and how it's working and not working, and you know.

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes. Well, over the last six years, I have volunteered with the Bronx Democratic County Committee. I've been involved in reviewing and designating for possible challenges, binding the petitions, assisting in preparation of the challenges for the designated positions. I have engaged in the practice of election law such as working with the matters from their commencement before the Supreme Court all the way out to the New York Court of Appeals. I've also worked on several campaigns and their related election law matters. I

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 61
have gone door to door to collect signatures, review
the [banging door] petitions of the candidates. Made
and answered challenges to the counted petitions, and
followed those through the Board of Elections as
well.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And can you give me any sense for out of that experience? You know, what are some things that are working and what are some thing that are not working? You know, the challenge process, for example, is one that people have raised questions about. Is that the right way to, you know, get candidates on or off the ballot or just, you know, from that experience you've had. All of us have done--that are up here have done some amount of that work. So we all stand out there with our clipboards and green petitions, and we're aware of the processes of challenges and binding, and I'm just--I wonder if you could give us some reflections on what you've -- You know, things you've learned from doing that work that you would bring to the Board that you think would be valuable in either strengthening things that are working or raising questions about things that should be addressed or -or perhaps improved?

2.2

2.3

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes. Well, definitely I will agree with strengthening things that are working such as the specific deadlines for everybody to submit their petitions, their cover letters and the challenges—and the objection sheets.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I'm sorry. Can you tip your mic up just a little so we can--there better.

ROSANNA VARGAS: [laughs] Okay, am I better now?

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you.

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes, I was saying to strengthen the deadlines that we have such as submitting all the cover sheets, the objections because it's very important for people to meet everything that we submit since the entire process must be done in such a short amount of time that making sure everyone knows when everything has to be submitted. For example, if they're not aware that their cover sheets are not properly filled out, and then they're trying to submit it after the deadline. It can—the deadlines cannot be moved for anyone specifically because of the short amount of time that everything must be done. So strengthening that and

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS also stressing the fact that everything must be submitted by a certain date.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And anything from those experiences that has led you to think, I--I don't know if this is the way we should be doing things here, or surely we could do this process better. Anything that, you know, the experience of campaigning and--and working with campaigns has led you to think should be improved or altered or changed?

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes, well definitely. I think that a lot of things should be improved. Such as the assistance to some of the people when they submit their own campaign—when they submit their petitions to support their campaign maybe they should be more—how can I explain this? They should have more knowledge towards it so they will minimize their own errors.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So education to candidates and campaigns could--that's something that you're--you found could be strengthened or--

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And anything within the board itself, anything in terms of the practices

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 64 and whether they—as opposed to participants ought to better understand the rules, anything in the rules or processes themselves that you think would be worth—

ROSANNA VARGAS: [interposing] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: --improvements to.

ROSANNA VARGAS: Definitely. I believe that the voter goal should definitely be improved, specifically the accuracy of the people listed on it. Also an implementation to make sure that the machines are working properly in each poll site. So the elections can run smoothly, there should be several improvement in how people can--once they submit their registration information to ensure that they will be appearing on the ballot and they will be permitted to vote in every election.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay, so these last issues that you're mentioning are issues that is—have been in the news a great deal lately as a result of problems on their vote file, obviously predominantly in Brooklyn, not in the Bronx, and on the functioning of machines, something that's been an issue everywhere.

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And so I want to ask a couple of questions about that set of issues in terms of how those reforms can move forward. Let me start with the Department of Investigations report, which is now a couple of years old and proceeded the most recent elections, but identified 40 some odd recommendations that need to be--that the--that the Department of Investigations Commissioner recommended needed to be changed. Quite a few of those recommendations have been implemented, but it's my understanding that some of them still have not. You know, have you taken a look at that report, and do you support all of its recommendations? Are they ones that you think are especially important? there any that you don't--that you don't support? ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes. I am familiar with the report, and yes it was conducted in 2013, which was before the debacle, but--

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: [interposing] There have been many debacles to beat there.

ROSANNA VARGAS: [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Almost every election cycle is a debacle, which is why it's our job to ask hard questions and do our best to try to

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 66
help make sure we get improvements there. The most
recent one are, you know, most in our minds, but that
report was commissioned as a result of problems that

had taken place in the 2012 election cycle so--

2.2

2.3

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes, and yes, I am familiar with the report, and I do agree. I would like to stress the importance that I believe that the process should be more open enabling the candidates for key positions that were not recommended by a party to be interviewed and considered. Also, pursuant to the law, however, we cannot change the ultimate requirement, which is to have a balance between the Democrats and the Republicans listed, and the recommendations by the Department of Investigations should, for the most part, be implemented, if it's allowed by the state statute.

me just drill down a little on this. Obviously for the Commissioners themselves state law provides the balance of five and five appointed through the process that we are currently engaged in. So this one was not posted online for nominations. This one the state law clearly provides that the county make a nomination to us. But at least as I understand the

2 DOI report, for all staff positions the

3 recommendation there is that those be posted online

4 and fully open and hired through a merit-based

5 process. Is--is that a recommendation that you--

6 | that you support?

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay. I think Chair Kallos will drill down a little more on some of those specific questions as well. I'm going to move along to, as you know, recently Mayor de Blasio in the budget put forward a \$20 million enhancement offer to the Board of Elections if it would agree to a series of reforms hiring an outside operations consultant, and handling a blue ribbon commission; enhancing poll worker training; increasing salaries and bonuses; and ensuring transparency in hiring and the same on the issues that we just talked about as well as providing new email and text notification for voters as legislated by the Council. Unfortunately, as a budget adoption we still don't have that, and obviously you're not privy to those negotiations or conversations. But do you support all of the recommendations that the Mayor made with that offer? If not, can you tell us which ones and -- and why and,

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 68
2 if so, what would you do as a commissioner to advance

3 those things?

2.2

2.3

enhance the personal qualities, and definitely to improve how everything is conducted, and making sure that the professionals know exactly what they're doing. However, for the Mayor to select individuals, pursuant to the law, it must adopted by a Legislator but what I can do to support it will be once it's adopted to enforce it, and pursue with the rules of the Legislator.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So I was not--I mean as I read the Mayor's proposals I--I--none of them need adoption by state law. They're not him appointing people. Which--which ones of them do you read to require changes to state law?

ROSANNA VARGAS: No, I'm to the extent if the Mayor if has to select himself new individuals, then that must be adopted.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So that none of the Mayor's proposals involve him selecting any individuals. The Mayor—the only—the transparency in hiring at least as I read the Mayor's statement is the—and you just said that you agreed to—

2 ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: --which is online posting of all staff positions and a merit-based hiring process for those things, which aren't like your position required by state law to be a county--a political party appointments. So, you--you--you had said you support that, yes?

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay, so it sounds like all other--at least as I'm--I'm not aware of any elements of the Mayor's proposals that would require state law changes.

ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, I was saying I do agree with everything you're saying only to the extent that they're not the--specifically if he has to appoint them, if none of them that he is proposing if he doesn't have to appoint any one individual, then yes I fully agree with his proposal.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay, which is good. He definitely is not proposing to appoint any individuals to the Board himself.

ROSANNA VARGAS: [interposing] Good.

24 [laughs]

2 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So, all right.

3 then let me just ask that second question, which is

4 what, you know, what, you know, I--what are the

5 | things that you'll do to help move that forward?

6 ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, once it's proposed

7 that's something that we can definitely discuss at

8 | the Board or with the other commissioners to

9 implement strategies and also to make sure that we

10 can have enough training for the personnel, and have

11 enough improvements for the program itself.

12 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So you'd be a vote

13 on the board to adopt those recommendations to take

14 \$20 million and move forward to more?

15 ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, right now, I will

16 not commit to any vote. I have to ask all these

17 | specific facts in front of me. But if the situation

18 ∥ is that the Mayor's--all the Mayor's proposals are

20 committee to do the appointments of the personnel,

21 then yes I will agree.

25

22 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay. So I quess I

23 | might ask you after this hearing then and before we

24 go back and take a look. I don't read the--the, you

know, fairly detailed proposal the Mayor made. I

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 71 don't read any of those things that's requiring 2 3 changes to state law. SO I guess if you could get back to us after this hearing, and if there are 4 things you think require changes to state law, make 5 that clear. If there are things you don't support 6 7 from there, I'd love to get that answer, but 8 otherwise, I would love it, and you don't have to do it today, but if you could get back to us before we vote on whether you would commit to support those 10 11 things, it would be very helpful to us. And-and at 12 least to me in--in making my--in making my decision

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay, I have one last area before I turn it over to Chair Kallos and other members here, and this is something we've talked about in advance. It's my understand that you're currently in your employment a registered lobbyist.

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And you've lobbied in the past to various city and state elected officials?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

here.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, not various. would like to specify that I have done minimal work 3 4 with lobbying. It's a--as part of the firm I'm part of the Litigation Department, and as my three years in the firm, I have done two projects with the Real 6 Estate Department of lobbying, and to that extent is 7 8 to the beginning of the projects. And I do not plan or foresee myself being highly involved in the Real Estate Department. I am a litigator, and my focus is 10 11 on state and federal court cases.

it's--you're--you're aware of the fact that in this term and the prior term it's been the Council's practice to request that any nominee for any panel not just the Board of Elections, but any nomination that we appoint, if they've engaged previously in lobbying we are fine with that. We have in all cases asked people to commit not to lobby in general, and obviously specifically elected officials in cases where they might be involved in decision making that relates to those offices.

ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, pursuant to the law, I am committed to be a lobbyist and also a commissioner. However, to the fact that I have

personally lobbied a council member and that's a person coming before me. If I feel that there is any issue, I can bring that up to the Conflict of Issue Committee, and decide amongst. But to what I have been involved in the past, and what I see it will be a very minimal expense of my involvement with any lobbying matters.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: But you're not prepare to commit that you won't engage in any lobbying?

ROSANNA VARGAS: No, I--I am registered by the firm to be a lobbyist, and I am--I will not commit to the extent because I don't see that being an actual conflict in this point because in the three years, as I said, it's only been very minimal two cases. And I don't foresee myself being as a Real Estate Department attorney because I am part of the Litigation Department.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay, so--and just to clarify the issues, you--you're--you're right that there is not a legal prohibition on being a registered lobbyist while serving on the Board of Elections. And I think you're also correct that the appropriate thing to do in that case would be seek

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 74 guidance in any particular instance from the Conflicts of Interest Board. But I just, you know, for this Council it's been our practice for the entirety of this term and for most of the prior term. And again, with all appointments. This is not about you. It is not about the Board of Elections. all appointments to -- to request that people who have been lobbyists commit to cease engaging in lobbying in general, and obviously especially with specific reference to elected officials for situations in which they might be involved in decision making as-as you will be here on -- on the Board of Elections. So that's--the--all of that is your understanding as well?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes, and I also want to clarify that myself or the firm has never come before the Board of Elections. We've never done a matter before the Board of Elections, and that to the extent that I have been involved with the lobbying, it will be to the initial steps, and from that anything after the initial meeting or the initial—the initial introduction of the project will be taken upon the Real Estate Department and the partners in charge of that matter.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay, I will--3 there's a lot of folks signed up. So I'm--I'm going 4 to leave it there. I may come back and asks some additional questions, but we've got a lot of members signed up to ask questions as well. We will go ahead 6 7 with a--with a five-minute clock here because we have 8 a lot of folks signed up to testify, and we can do a second round if necessary. We'll give the Chair discretion, but -- so we'll start with Chair Kallos and 10 11 then we'll move onto Council Members Garodnick,

Levine, Palma, Gibson and Vacca.

Lander. As the Chair of the Council's Committee of Governmental Operations with oversight of the Board of Elections I know that law board has made progress under current Executive Director so many of the 40 different items in the Department of Investigation report for the reform is still needed to ensure elections and our democracy is run fairly and transparently by individuals with a background and their knowledge in running elections. So, many of these decisions from some date of the operations for broader policy is actually rather than being set by the Executive Director will actually be set by you

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 76 2 and nine of your colleagues. Which makes this one of 3 the most powerful commissioner appointments we have, 4 and one of the most important for making sure that democracy works. So I want to thank you for coming 5 before us, and for your civic engagement. So I guess 6 7 the--the first piece is do you promise to attend 8 hearings of the Committee on Governmental Operations upon invitation without the necessity of a subpoena? ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER 10 11 KALLOS: 12 ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Do you promise to give testimony under oath before the Committee on 14 15 Governmental Operations? 16 ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: At the next Board 17 18 of Elections meeting will you swear or affirm that 19 you yourself will motion for or second a motion and 20 vote in favor of requiring public job postings for 21 all vacant permanent and temporary positions substantially similar to the public postings 2.2 2.3 recommended by the Department of Investigations?

ROSANNA VARGAS: Read it one more time.

I would like know what I'm supposed to agree to.

24

25

empowered--

because it's something-- Well, do you mean it's

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 79

accepting all Civil Service exams? Everybody must

take the Civil Service Exam in order to accept a job?

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: We--we just had the Civil Service Commission here. One of the answers to patronage, one of the answers to Tammany is to replace patronage appointments with civil servants appointments. You can still require certain representation at certain levels of the Board of Elections, but so many of your workers are temporary employees who don't even make the \$15 minimum wage that our Governor and Mayor have promised. And so, I'm--I'm asking for your commitment that you will pay people a living wage. That they will make at least a \$15 minimum wage that the temporary employees will be replaced with full-time employees and wherever possible that you're going to bring in civil servants?

ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, where possible I will agree because I have to have all the facts, and particular--

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Well, will you dig into that? Because you'll be a Commissioner.

You will be one of ten people who get to decide how this operates. Our Executive Director has pretty

much told us during the Executive Budget hearing that he doesn't have the power to hire--fire or--hire or fire. It's--it's up to you. So either you're doing it or you're empowering him to do it. Would you consider empowering the Executive Director with hiring and firing for people who perhaps hundreds of

2.2

2.3

thousands of voters?

ROSANNA VARGAS: What I will say is that I will agree, but I would not commit to any permanent answers beforehand because I have to--you--as you mentioned, you said there is over 40 or 50 recommendations. Now, there's submitting including the exams that you're saying now, and also replacing the--all of the temporary workers to full-time.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: But that's not—
that's not DOI. That's just to me good governance
and if you have employees they're going to work
better for you when they actually know that they
have a job versus at-will employment.

ROSANNA VARGAS: I agree that a lot of people are not paid barely the minimum wage, but to that extent, in order for me to commit to an answer to you, I will have to know that that is permitted, and also that it's capable. That we have the

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Great. So--so pending when you find that to be accurate, hopefully that will happen. With regards to the Presidential Primary, what is your plan to avoid the improper purging of voters?

ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, my--

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing] Would you--would you support removing people who improperly purged voters and yeah.

ROSANNA VARGAS: My plan for the elections and in order to avoid the purge is actually to establish a system that will be I'll say checks and balance. That it will make sure that before you delete or before you remove a member from a specific poll site, that they have to be scrutinized by a higher authority, individuals to be reviewed such as the voter poll must be updated in order to ensure accuracy, in order to avoid the purge. Which only the members can be removed as they are deceased, if they are not in the residency or for another reasons. That before a person is able to be removed by a certain number that that must be reviewed by the

| COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 82      |
|--|
| Commissioners of a managerial position to prevent.   |
| Because inevitable there might be human errors, but  |
| if we have established a checks and balance in place |
| that will make sure before you delete a certain      |
| number of candidates that that must be scrutinized.  |

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I'm going to wrap up with two questions and give it back to my colleagues and then go to second round. As my colleague Council Member Lander referred to the \$20 million for Mayor de Blasio whether or not you would accept that for your budget. Specifically recommendations whether or not you would agree to guarantee transparency in hiring by posting all job vacancies prior to hiring, which I think you said yes to Council Member Lander. I'd just like to hear that again. Poll training enhancements and then a salary increase for poll workers to \$15 an hour. And last, but certainly not least, with regard to that \$20 million creating a voter information portal so people can check their registration status, track absentee ballots, Council Member Vacca's email and text messaging as well as Council Garodnick's poll site modifications.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

all of that?

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes, I would agree.

Also, in this spread that I think we should

considering implementing more social media or just

implement a type of social media in order for people

to receive more notifications—

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing] So--so you--

ROSANNA VARGAS: --such as the one that

Council Member Jimmy Vacca wants to put in place of
the emails and the texting so people are updated
where is my poll site? Where am I going to go vote?

Am I prevented to vote? Is there something that that
individual can do before election day in order to
ensure that it will run smoothly, and they won't
encounter any problems.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And I think

you're headed in the same direction as I am, but do

you support expanding the franchise to unregistered

residents with Internet access or even just Smart

Phones with online by implementing online voter

registration at the City Board of Elections with—

which it appears that our Attorney-General Art

support it, and make it happen?

2.2

2.3

ROSANNA VARGAS: I do agree and I will support it because I feel that right now in--in this day and age, a lot of people learn what's going on through Social Media and through notifications instead of watching the news. So, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Thank you.

That's my line of questioning. I'm leaving it to some of my colleagues to ask a little more about the lobbying, which I think is a concern for many of us.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, Chair Kallos. We have Council Member Garodnick, followed by Council Member Levine and then Palma.

much and I will take that point and follow up on the-the lobbying issue, and thank you for your presence
here today. Obviously, you have--there's a lot of
affection for you from our colleagues. Some of our
colleagues who've expressed interest in your
candidacy from the Bronx. But an appointment here of
a registered lobbyist would be a departure for this
Council at least among recent years. So I did want

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 85 to talk to you a little bit about that. I understand 2 3 from your testimony a moment ago that you are part of 4 the Litigation Department at Akerman, and that you worked on two projects in the Real Estate Department, 5 and that you don't necessarily expect to be part of 6 7 future projects in the Real Estate Department. But you do leave open the possibility that you may be 8 involved in projects in Real Estate Department in the future. Is that accurate? 10 11

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes. I will be in--if I am involved in the future, it will be to a very minimal event.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: And only in the projects involving the Real Estate Department would you need to be registered as a lobbyist? Is that correct?

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: So then help us understand why you wouldn't be willing to forego being a registered lobbyist in this context if there really is an unlikely situation for you at the firm?

ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, as part of the firm's standard practice in--in our Litigation

Department here in the New York office, sometimes

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 86 they use other associates from other departments depending on the projects that they're working on. Right now, we are over 600 attorneys, and the likelihood of constantly using another associate from anther department is very low. It does occur depending on how many projects that they're having, and in the busy season I have been appointed twice in three years, but most of the times each department is separate and conduce of each other. But once the firm will keep me registered, in the event that I have to do something minimal or even go to the initial meeting or do the initial presentation of the actual project matter, it would not be to the extent that I am spearheading the meetings, or that I am conducting it, and that I am taking charge of everything. It will only be to the initial stages of the actual project.

articulate the concern that I think I--I know that I have, and I'm sure others have, too. Can you envision a scenario in which you are lobbying the City Council as you have on those projects the Real Estate Department previously, and contemporaneously

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS making decisions on election-related matters

involving council members?

2.2

2.3

ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, we--we can go over a lot of theories and speculations or review what can occur and what cannot occur, but what I can certainly tell you is that I will never jeopardize my integrity as a Commissioner if I'm appointed, and my integrity as an associate of the law firm. The law firm wouldn't itself either. If I feel to the point that there might be an appearance or an issue of conflict depending if I am personally lobbying a council member, and then that same council member is before me at the Board of Elections, then that is something I will definitely bring up to the Board or the Conflict of Interest Committee to let them know exactly what's going on, and make a decision on it.

ask you your own view on the subject because I think that's independently important in light of the fact that it would you and the situation would be raising a point of discomfort if it happened. Do you think that that would be an appropriate situation for you to be lobbying the City Council at a time when you had matters pending before an individual council

Good morning, Ms. Vargas.

25

Chair.

2 ROSANNA VARGAS: Good morning.

2.2

2.3

council Member Levine: You--you have anan incredibly impressive background, and you could
pursue a career not only corporate involved with-with your skills, but the fact that you're choosing a
public service option is something I'm--I know we're
all very grateful for. In New York and nationally
we're confronting a new era of voter suppression,
which in particular has fallen heavily on local (sic)
communities of color and particularly immigrant
communities. I'm wondering if--if you can speak a
little bit about what you're seeing up close in the
Bronx about challenges of any of those communities in
being full participants in the electoral process?

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes. Thank you. I would like to stress the fact that my involvement with the community is something that I have a passion for. It's something that I feel I have a duty for my own community to give back, and to make sure that people that are coming from the same background that I have, which is being--coming from a low-income and from the same Bronx Borough have the same opportunities I have, and that my involvement with the Board of Elections will give me an opportunity to

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 90 give back to them by ensuring that every single vote matters, and every single vote is counted, and that they are permitted to vote. That's the only way that the majority of the low-income communities have a voice, or else everyone will not have the opportunity to even have a say in the matter. My way of making sure that a lot of people are involved in voting is as--as have been involved in the past is ensuring that the law committee through the Bronx Democratic County Committee continues to be enabled, and continue to have these events in ensuring that all the youth knows about the voting, and that they're registered. That their parents know. That can be done in multiple languages. The attorneys that we have are already part of that law committee. different languages. There is something that we can bring the materials to enhance. And as a commissioner, I feel that it will be very important to continue or to start the spread of social media and have Facebook pages in different languages, and even Twitter accounts. People whether or not they are proficient in speaking English or any other language everyone has the majority if everyone has a Facebook account. We can have that in different

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 91 languages. We can have that in Mandarin or we can have that in Spanish, and make sure that it reaches everyone, and now days, people receive a notification, and Tweet alert before they even look at the channel and turn on the TV. So they're already aware of what's going on. If we can have updates, and ensuring that the people understand that their vote matters, that they must have a say in this election, and every election. How this is such a dire need that everyone must vote, and make sure. Ιf they don't vote, they're not going to be heard. Their issues will not be presented before the elected officials. The challenges that they face will not be known to the people that can make a difference in their life and in their community.

those are great ideas to be sure, and you identified rightly issues of language access, which is important not only in the Board's community to the public, but when someone goes to vote that they would have translation services available. Can you comment on—on what you've seen in the Bronx and elsewhere about how consistently available translation services are,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 92 and—and what if anything the Board can do to improve that?

ROSANNA VARGAS: The--in most--most of the sites do have translation available to the fact that they are a lot of bilingual workers at these sits. But I feel that as a commissioner and if I am appointed that it's important that we ensure that is--that one community whether it's a poll site, the majority of that language if anything else in Spanish that at least one of the poll workers will speak the other language in order to translate and communicate to the voters how is the procedure, how can they pull the lever. How can they vote, and explain to them the process. Also, by reviewing the background of that community whether or not they speak three or four other languages or two or three and ensuring that the person in charge of that borough, that commissioner will delegate the task to their managerial positions to ensure that they have a minimum one, maybe more depending on how big or small that poll site is. And that they are able to translate properly the procedure by the managerial going over with the specific individuals that they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: All right, thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, Council Member Levine. We have Council Member Palma followed by Council Members Gibson, Vacca and Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Thank you, Mr. I--I have a--a quick--some remarks about Ms. Chair. Vargas, and--and then a quick question. I want to thank the committee members for their interest in--in making sure that the candidates before us answer the questions or concerns that they have. I know you're known to be a person of great character, and integrity and have demonstrated that, you know, professionalism. That she's been charged with has demonstrated to be, you know, to have commitment and passion to what's she--what--what she brings to--to the Bronx by making sure that our young people are engaged and involved. And, you know, whether Rosanna Vargas realizes it or not, her contributions extend beyond the direct efforts because of your and your commitment and your passion for a rigorous, a participation. And I want to thank you for always

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 94 being present when we've needed someone to volunteer or help out. You've never turned away from any task that you were given, and so I appreciate all that you've done for us in the Bronx, and helped us forward. I know that you will bring the same passion and--and commitment to the Board of Elections, and to take to a higher standard in the future. So I look forward to the -- to when the vote is taken, and -- and my colleagues in this committee support your confirmation. With that being said, I--I want to ask you a little bit about the -- the lobbying efforts. When--when you say it has been to a minimal can you explain a little bit like what your exact role has been in those two efforts in--in lobbying those two specific council members when you've come before the City Council?

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROSANNA VARGAS: Thank you very much.

Thank you for the introduction. I want to say that my efforts as a lobbyist, as I stated, it is very minimal, and what I have done for those two specific situations—two projects that I have worked in have been initial setup of the meeting such as making sure that it is scheduled, and that everybody will be present as well as the initial meeting itself for the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 95 project members and the council member that everything is there and present. And so far that has been my involvement. let's say if a person can or cannot attend and needs me to go and to make sure that the first meeting is set up, and they have the project, just to introduce the -- the location of it, and who's proposing. That has been my extent in the last two projects, and as I said before, I understand that it's perceived to be one firm and that everybody goes in jointly, but it is very separately and divided each department. We have our own tasks in which we are trained for, and we are counted upon the partners to be there. I am counted upon, and being a litigator I go to court. I do arbitrations, mediations, and also the -- the firm understand that that is my role. That's what I've been given to do, and also that my partake in the lobbyist it's very minimal, and I feel that every situation should be taken for itself, and reviewed of the particular facts. Every single case is not the same. Every single member is not the same. That's like deciding a court case. You must take the case for itself, and what it specifically involves in. In this matter, I am registered as part of the firm to do exactly as I

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 96 stated the initial steps of the project, and for that I continue the remainder, 95 or 98%, which is litigation.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: And--and the firm clearly understands the--the position that you will--you now may be tasked with if--if confirmed. Do--do you see any issue moving forward if presented--if the firm asks you-- If you can engage in some lobbying efforts will you-- I--I--I'm confident that you will have the ability to look at what's before you on it and--and ask the firm if they can--do you see--do you see that being a problem?

ROSANNA VARGAS: I agree to your first—
to your first question. Yes, the firm does
understand and they do know how this procedure works,
and as being commissioner I have to [bell] preserve
the integrity of the firm and myself. I do now see
this being a problem. The firm does not see this
being a problem. To the extent that there might be a
problem and they want to assign a litigator a big
task of being a land use [laughs] associate to
spearhead and take charge of a project, that is
something that we will definitely consider. And I
can see myself requesting to even be removed from the

97

2 project. However, the firm knows what this

3 responsibility will take, and how passionate I am to

4 become and to continue to give back to my community,

5 and how important this is to me, and this is

6 something that they understand and took once I told

7 | them of my nominations.

8

25

COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Thank you so much.

9 Thank you, Mr. Chair. [pause]

10 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, Council

11 Member Palma. Council Member Gibson followed by

12 | Vacca, Williams and if he gets back, Torres.

13 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, my time is

14 running. Good afternoon, Ms. Vargas. Thank you so

15 | much for being here. Thank you Chair Lander and

16 Chair Kallos, and to all of my colleagues who are

17 | here. I'm delighted to be here, and I want to thank

18 | the entire Rules Committee. You know, we do have a

19 procedure here, and you have truly been vetted, and I

20 | appreciate, you know, your interest in this position

21 to serve as a Commission for the New York City Board

22 | of Elections. Recognizing that we have a lot of

23  $\parallel$  challenges. I think as a city and an administration

24 | we've done an incredible amount of work around voter

education and voter empowerment, around reducing some

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 98 of the deficiencies we've seen in the system. certainly we know there's a lot of work that needs to be done. So I am very proud that this nomination is before the City Council. I've known you for several I know the work you've done speaks volumes as not just a resident of the Bronx, but certainly the Caliber and quality you bring as a candidate I am very proud of. I've looked through the report here, and many of the questions during the prequalification process, and I know and I will say with confidence that you are absolutely more than qualified for this position. And I want all of my colleagues, Chair Lander and Chair Kallos to understand that we ask these questions because we simply want to make sure that you understand what you're undertaking in this position. And I do believe that voter education, and the new texting campaign and education, and making sure that the Mayor's recommendations, and to the extent that we can get a lot of that done. I do think that we have a lot of work to do. I--I know your record, and I simply have just--just two questions, and understanding the challenges before us as a City Council as the Board of Elections, we have had

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 99 Michael Ryan here many, many times, and we know that there is a lot of work to be done . So what I'd like to ask you is based on all of your experience, all of the petitions, the challenges, the designating petitions, everything that you have been through, what are some of the things that you think you are uniquely qualified for in this position? And what do you think we as a City Council obviously need to look at in terms of reform, election reform, and making sure that voters are empowered, voters are engaged in the process. There's a lot at stake as you know, and we want to do all that we can in the next several months to make sure that any reforms that we can make as a Council we will. So, I'd like to ask you what do you think in all of your experience that we as a Council should be prioritizing as it relates to election and voter reform?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROSANNA VARGAS: Thank you, Council

Member Gibson. I would like to start by saying that

yes, I do agree with all of the reforms that you were

just mentioning and examples. Also, that what I'm

bringing to the table other than my analytical

skills, my practicing attorney skills and my

experience is to refresh that of the whys. It's

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 100 something that I can identify the issues and challenges to the Board of Elections as well as my experience a voter and as a community from the Bronx I understand what other people have difficulties with. And I understand that -- their lack of understanding of the election process. Their lack of not having enough education of how does -- how does it work and even for the poll trainers. The--the implementations that are planned to bring up to the board, and to bring up to other commissioners are very specific to the issues that we face such as they don't understand the process. There must be more education to the people. Specifically, the poll trainers. Everybody always complains that the people on sites don't understand, don't tell them where to go, and I feel that the training should be more tailored to the specific issues that are there. of them that I mentioned before will be speaking other languages depending on the background of the community as well as -- as having specific training for the election day for the people in the poll sites to understand what's going on, how to explain it to the other people, what to look for, and to make sure that

that person is there. Also, as part of the training

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections 101 to the community that they receive the knowledge that they are permitted to vote. That everything is in line, that they have submitted their documentation and the proof that they need to the Board of Elections, and that they will be permitted to vote.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Right. guess the other two issues that I--I think are very important is you would be one of ten commissioners the faces of the Board of Elections. It's really important that we look at changing the culture at the various Boards of Elections, and I expect many of our commissioners to do so. And then also the community We want you to be more than just a name and a ties. title. I think there's a lot of opportunity to engage voters [bell] in the community, and I see a lot of your community ties, and I do believe that this position will be an extension of that. So is there anything that you could speak to in terms of changing the culture at the Board of Elections as well as community ties?

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes, as to changing the culture as the Board of Election, what I will is that I'm not coming in with any preconceived notions or any other expectations of any of the past

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 102 environments at that level. That as my culture I'm bringing in my experience, but I expect--what I would like the people from my community to feel and to grow and to continue being the community that everyone always is permitted to vote. As well as my ties to the community. It will continue to grow. This will be an improvement because in this one I can actually impact more of my community by actually implementing programs and events that will enable my community and the people to be permitted to have their--their ballots ready and to vote. In this tie, I would implement programs that are specifically tailored to the that, and continue to speak go the community and see what they want, what they're willing to do, and implement a program specifically tailored to them.

much. My time is up, as you can see, but I look forward to working with you and certainly I will work with my colleagues through this process, and thank you for being here, and certainly thank you for your interest in becoming a Commissioner of the Board of Elections, and thank you so much, Chair Lander for having this hearing today. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, Council

3 Member Gibson. Next up is Council Member Vacca.

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Thank you, Chair Lander and it's an honor to have you here today. We've met so many times before, and looking at your resume and knowing you as I do, you're going to be a fantastic asset to the Board of Elections. And I--as a Bronx person I'm proud of your nomination. the things that I see in your resume, of course, speak to community involvement and community action, and we do want to have people serving in government who have a grassroots connection, and you certainly do. Based on your background as an attorney, that supplements that type of activity and commitment. was pleased to note when you were being questioned by another member about your--your commitment to using technology at the Board of Elections, and social Because a concern that I've had is that media. younger people are often not voting, and we in the Council have financed voter registration days for high school students who are turning 18. but the issue becomes how do we get them to vote? registered them, but how do we get them to vote? I'd like you to speak a little bit about what you

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 104 would see as a possible plan of action for the Board of Elections to implement that would involve younger people, social media, technology. How would we incorporate all these things that we get younger

6 people more involved?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROSANNA VARGAS: Thank, Council Member I agree with everything you're saying. Technology right now has advanced so much to the point that they use these towers with iPhones and iPads, and they can understand and use it so much well than turning on the TV. People would rather just see it on the devices. But to specifically say a plan for the Commissioners and for the Board to have, I will say it's implementing a way that even if we can have an app and call it the BOE App, because nowadays everybody downloads an app, and they can have updates. The app will be accessible between 7 and 8--17 and 18 year olds just to get the familiarized with the actual information of how they're registered to vote. How can they understand But not only the app itself, but also having these Facebook pages the Twitter accounts even an Instagram page where it shows videos because nowadays we don't have to only have it listed on paper or have COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 105 it as a handout. We can have a video of a quick snip or a snapshot where it has the--an actual person sampling the voting and how the process goes, and also having a -- a way to encounter the youth by having them -- the individuals themselves submit videos and why they want to vote and why they want to receive updates. And that encourages the rest of their community to also be involved. For example, once you turn 18, why you want to vote to keep the momentum going. Once you turn 20 what you want--what have you learned, and why you want to continue to vote. as part of that progressive movement, it will also have--once you turn 23, why you want to continue to vote, and continue reaching the young individuals and also our community. Because that's something I would like to see, I would like to get done as being part of that -- close to that generation itself, too. would like to know my updates and my apps, and that's something I can open up and see as a train ride. a lot of them while they're in recess anybody can just check their phone, or in colleges. So let's say right before they vote, and they want to check the notification on Facebook or Twitter or the app or Instagram, and they want to see oh, tomorrow is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

| 1  | COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 106      |
|----|---|
| 2  | voting day. I don't know if I want to vote, but       |
| 3  | people check in. Nowadays you can check in on the     |
| 4  | app. Oh, my colleagues checked in. I want to go and   |
| 5  | meet with them right after we vote, and we can have a |
| 6  | voting party, and have small little events within the |
| 7  | page itself. It can be a Facebook page, a Twitter     |
| 8  | page. You just accommodate to their specific          |
| 9  | programs, software, and have that softsoftware        |
| 10 | accessible to everybody around us, and that's         |
| 11 | something that can be done very easily because we     |
| 12 | have more than enough people trained for this, and    |
| 13 | it's so common that I feel and I do believe if it's   |
| 14 | implemented the voting for the youth will increase by |
| 15 | far, double digits in one or a couple of years that   |
| 16 | has never been seen before because that's a day and   |
| 17 | era that we live now, technology. So I fully support  |
| 18 | your proposal and improving and spreading social      |
| 19 | media and continue to just grow our votes, the youth  |
| 20 | they will also be voting. I just think we have to go  |
| 21 | with the generation and the era.                      |

COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Boy that was great.

ROSANNA VARGAS: [laughs]

2 COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: I thank you and,

3 you know, I do want to remind you that, too that I--I

4 would like you to follow up when you become a

5 commissioner hopefully [bell] on the commitment to

6 train staff because many of the inspectors of many,

7 many years, inspectors who were there for years.

8 When we brought in the new technology, the new

9 machines, they quit, and then they were not able to

10 cope with the technology. So there has to be a

11 | commitment to ongoing training of election inspectors

12 so that they can keep up. Many of them depend on

13 | that check, and I don't want them to fall through the

14 cracks. I need them to be trained. I hope--and I

15 did note that you were committed to it, but I want to

16 reinforce that for you.

1

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes, I am definitely committed to it. That is something I have encountered myself at my voting poll site [laughs] with my-- But to continue the training, and when I mean continue the training not something in general. I think there should be changes done. I have a lot of ideas and specific circumstances that I have incurred myself, and by tailoring the training itself

to the specific issue is more beneficial to the

much for being here, and for providing your testimony

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 109 and answering questions. I know it can't be easy on that side. I appreciate it. You do look like you have great credentials to be -- to be here -- to be a rep, and I'm generally inclined to try and support the County's recommendations. I think we do have to do so with the mind frame that very many people are unhappy with the way the Board of Elections are running, and we have to do so knowing that some of the people they are mad at came through these halls and we supported and put in those positions. With-with that said, the -- the one thing that really concerned me is the assistance to continue to be able to lobby council members. So I want to talk about that a little bit. Why do you feel that you need to retain the ability to lobby council members?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ROSANNA VARGAS: It's not something that

I feel that I need to continue doing. It is
something that the firm will continue to do as a
precaution, and as a measure. They are going to
continue to have me registered as a--as a lobbyist in
the event that they need me to do in the future some-one more or two more or however more projects as the
ones I've done in the past. For example, during the
initial meeting and attending the meeting, they feel

that I should continue to be registered as a lobbyist. I do not see myself or I don't plan to be a—to have many more projects as a lobbyist. As I said, in the three years with the firm, I have only had two matters, and then those two matters have been very specific with just setting up and scheduling the initial meeting and attending the initial meeting.

It has not gone to any further extent. And I plan that as a precaution I would follow what the firm will have me to do, which is to maintain my status as a registered lobbyist.

2.2

2.3

mean some people are concerned even the firm is--is going to be lobbying or could be lobbying us. I mean I think there's a problem, and though it's not technically illegal, but I think it does give an appearance of conflict if you are not willing to even say that you won't be the person that's lobbying council members particularly when you're overseeing city elections. Do you at least see why that would give the appearance of conflict?

ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, yes, as you say it--it is permitted by law to be a registered lobbyist and to also be a commissioner. There is no

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 111 issue under the law. Also, as myself in speaking in this particular situation, referencing me I do not see an issue with myself making a decision that has to do with the Board of Elections as a commissioner, and a project that has been put forth by any other department. Once the -- the actual positions or any specific facts are put in front of me, that will be decided according to and pursuant to the law. I don't see an issue for myself making any decisions because, as I mentioned, I am not fully engaged or fully in the project of any of the real estate one. I will not move forward, and I do not usually know what happens after I do my initial meetings. That is not dealing with my department, and in my instance, I do not see an issue, but in the event that I do, that's something I will bring up to the Conflict of Issues Committee, but I don't see an issue at that point.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So I'm--I'm thankful that you at least do why it would appear to some people there might be a conflict even if you don't agree. I do think whatever the project might be it still might be concerning that you're a part of the City Board of Elections, and whatever project

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 112 even if it--even it's not a problem, you're going to be lobbying council members. So I--I--it would be helpful. I'm not sure where the stumbling block is, but I think saying that you won't lobby council members for the time period you're on the board I think is a -- not a big thing. I think it would ease a lot of people's minds, and hopefully you would at least--we--we consider that just for a little bit. The last time that I remember we had such an issue was with the DOI Commissioner a few years back, and he, too, mentioned that he didn't view any kind of conflict. It turns out that there was, and he actually didn't immediately recues himself. It took a little while for that to happen. So these things do happen even if we don't foresee it. Again, I'm inclined generally to support the County's people in decisions. This one does provide a little hesitation for me just because particularly where we are right now, and the city looking at the Board of Elections and the dysfunction that has occurred, that the appearance of conflict can--will [bell] -- it still can be a problem with people having confidence in the Board of Elections. So it's just something hopefully that you will think about a little bit more.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

2 ROSANNA VARGAS: I understand what you're 3 saying, and that is something that it could be 4 considered. However, the firm will continue to take its own precaution measures, and continue to list me as the lobbyist. The firm understand and I 6 7 understand that in taking this position would be an 8 impact, of course, of my workload and my specific matters at the firm. However, in--as I have done, and what I am going to continue to do is to be part 10 11 of my Litigation Department, which encompasses state 12 and federal court cases. As I mentioned arbitration 13 and mitigation. That's what I do at the firm. 14 That's what I was hired for, and that's my 15 responsibility. In the even that another couple of 16 cases come down the line in another three years or 17 five years, and the partner expects me to go the 18 first meeting and present the -- the initial fact of 19 where the location is of the project, where the site 20 might be, that's something else. But I do not see an 21 issue in myself to be having any type of conflict, 2.2 and as the example that you said before when that 2.3 person had a problem, as I stated, every single case should be taken for one at a time, review those 24 specific facts, review particularly what were the 25

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 114 And in this one, the issue will not be present because my involvement has been minimal to none, and I do see that the firm will continue to proceed in its own way. And once you are--how Akerman deals is once you in the department they expect you to--the majority of all you work has to be part of them. You have people that you work with all the time, but people I work with all the time is in the Litigation Department. Those are my workload, those are my people that I work for, the partners. They give me the work, and that's my involvement for over 95% of my workload of the year that is not something that the firm plans to change. We have never been before the Board of Elections. does not plan on taking any case. I don't think they would because there's no such--we don't take those cases at all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So thank you.

My time is up, and I want to just ask if I can ask

one more question. I appreciate it, and I appreciate

what--what you're saying. Perhaps--I don't know if

the firm would maybe write a letter delineating

exactly what it is you would be doing and not doing.

So we can see if for whatever reason they want to say

your achievements, and as a, you know, an immigrant I

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 116 think we're growing up in a low-income family, and your willingness to really commit to public service and helping others in the community is very admirable, and I think that what you've talked about in terms, you know, creating more opportunities for people to make sure they exercise their right to vote, and you highlighted social media, getting young Those are great ideas, and hopefully you will have an opportunity to implement those. And one of my concerns is that to really make sure that especially in immigrant communities when they register to vote, they get the information in a timely manner because a lot of times we register at the last minute, you know, right before the deadline, and they never hear from the Board of Elections. And often times I, myself, have to go online and check for them. So I think that's something that we really need to do as part of the whole--for the Board of Elections. I just also want to follow up with what my colleagues have said, and I really urge you to talk to your firm because I really don't want to see, you know, that as a problem for you, and it shouldn't And I agree with you and you know yourself that you can--will have the judgment and recues yourself

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 117 from being inside of that. But the problem right now is the issue with the Board of Elections, and the perception of conflict. It's there, right. So just even minimize that if you can, you know, talk to your And I think that they probably love the idea that you are doing public service, and being a commissioner on the Board of Elections it's a very prominent position. I think it's also--it's good for the firm to have their associates on that position. So if they can really understand what we are looking at, maybe then can sort of reiterate to you that look they're not going to--they don't have to put you in those positions because you've got a lot of lawyers So you actually can just focus on your job, which you stated, and you do litigation. And those other things, you know, preparing for a meeting and scheduling. Somebody else can do that, right? So this way it's just minimizes the large law firm. perception, and I--I hope you would do that because you will be a great asset -- you know, asset to the Board of Elections, and I don't want that to be one thing that holds you back. I know because some of my colleagues is asking the same question. So, if you can commit to like talking to the firm, and asking

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

them to sort of understand the situation, and make it easier for you, and just look, they said they won't put you in--in those positions because you've got a main job to do, and they could have other people to do what you were talking about these initial meetings. It doesn't need to be you. So if you can talk to the firm and have them sort of help reiterate that, I think that would really increase the comfort level of a lot of my colleagues.

2.2

2.3

ROSANNA VARGAS: I will definitely relay the message to the firm regarding the letters of the parameters of the duties, but the firm will not commit to—it would have me to continue as a lobbyist. However, the letter detailing the par—parameters could be something to be brought up. The—the firm maintains it's not a final problem because it is permitted by the law. It is not required by the law for me not to be a registered lobbyist. It is something that it can be done. It should not be a conflict. They—they do understand that, and I understand that myself. That is something that I will continue to make sure I'm in mind. I would have—I would continue my integrity as a commissioner if I'm appointed, and regardless I will continue my

integrity as an attorney, as an individual that I bring to court everyday, that I bring to myself, that I bring to my community. I uphold myself to be doing some responsibilities that have to continue to maintain in order to continue to help and advise my community to follow through on the correct things to do and, therefore, that's why myself I don't see an issue because I don't plan on continuing, but I will definitely bring up the letter to the firm.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I was going to nominate Council Member Chin to call the firm and make an appeal because I found her very persuasive on all the ways they will benefit from your--the cache of your service on the Board and, therefore, should agree to not have you be a registered lobbyist. the good news is you don't even need to make the phone call because there's a representative firm signed up to testify. We have three people. stick around Council Member Chin and you can make your pitch live and in person. Okay. Just--well, first I want to say that it really is great to hear the enthusiasm for your colleagues especially your colleagues from the Bronx who have worked with you for your service, and also your enthusiasm for

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 120 talking about getting people involved in voting, and getting people on the voter roles, engaging people over the social media and in person. So I really appreciate hearing all those--all those things from you, and--and from them. I--I want to apologize. know you've been asked, but I--I want to ask one more time. I'll give you two examples of situations where lobbying and serving on the Board could present a conflict that has nothing to do with you or your integrity. So I just want to give you these two examples, and kind of get your response on them, and I think they both would be situations that would--in which you could have impeccable 100% integrity, and still a problem not just of appearance but of--of--of conflict that exists. One is that you come before a You come to lobby one of us on a--on a real member. estate deal even at that first initial meeting and, you know, it's not that far before an election, and we know that we have a contested election coming, and that the Board is going to be who rules on our--our challenges around our nominating petitions. that's--so now, even if you would never in a million years allow that to influence what you did, it's in the head of the elected official, the person sitting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 121 2 before me and lobbying me is someone who is going to rule on something that matters to my political 3 4 future. And unfortunately, you can't control the integrity of all the elected officials [laughs] and I quess the second concern that I have is that I know 6 7 you said you said you would seek guidance from COIB. But one thing COIB could recommend in some 8 circumstances is recusal, right? That's often how someone deals with a situation where they've got a 10 11 conflict is that they recues themselves. But recusal 12 here could be a real problem. The Board is 5/513 Democrats, Republicans and so recusing one of the five Democratic voters could present a, you know, a 14 15 situation in which decisions get made again. And so 16 in both of those situations your trustworthiness, 17 your integrity it--I don't--I won't say they're 18 irrelevant, but the -- the question is not would you do 19 what's right. I trust from what I've heard from my 20 colleagues. You would do what's right, but those 21 might still present conflicts. So I quess I'll give 2.2 you the opportunity to help me understand why we 2.3 shouldn't be concerned about those things, you know. ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, I guess we can go 24

over so many speculations and so many theories of

specific circumstances. But to address the two that you brought up, that is something that I feel depends on every single case. Let's say that the—the—that I'm lob—that I'm lobbying a council member, and I was there for the initial meeting, and they submitted their petitions with no cover sheet, and there's objections. That is something that is plain on site to be—if it's pursuant to the law, and there are specific decisions that must be followed because of

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: [interposing] My concern is not that you would make a decision that was based on how that meeting has gone at all. I trust that you would make the decision based on the rules in the law. My concern is that that elected official that you're lobbying is sitting there thinking, ha, this is a person who could be deciding on my fate. I should be inclined toward the position that she's representing in the lobbying visit.

precedence in the law, then there is--

ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, I understand what you're saying, but that is something that I'll definitely take into account. If it's right election seasons, I have to consider the—the specific people coming up, what am I doing, what are my tasks, what

| 1  | COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 123      |
|----|---|
| 2  | assignments am I receiving. And that is something     |
| 3  | that I will bring up even to the firm, and our        |
| 4  | Conflicts of Interest that we have there, too. I do   |
| 5  | not see an issue because if it's permitted by the     |
| 6  | law, that means that it should be allowed, and I      |
| 7  | understand that you say the appearance will be a      |
| 8  | conflict. However, I don't see the conflict because   |
| 9  | I don't see one thing involving a council member      |
| 10 | being or jeopardizing another decision on a petition. |
| 11 | Let's say that petition, as I said did not finish or  |
| 12 | did not sign the witness statements in the cover      |
| 13 | sheet, or did not sign their petitions. Then those    |
| 14 | things are overrules according to law itself. There   |
| 15 | are some things that are just binding on the          |
| 16 | petitions itself, and the candidates. Also, there     |
| 17 | are things that must be considered, and I'll bring it |
| 18 | up to the Conflicts of Interest at the Board of       |
| 19 | Elections, and as well I would consider as part of    |
| 20 | the firm the elections that are coming up, and the    |
| 21 | cases that I potentially will or will not receive.    |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And if you bring it               |
| 23 | up to COIB, then they recommend recusal, would you    |

recues yourself?

2 ROSANNA VARGAS: I--I really wouldn't see
3 an issue of recusing, but that is something that I
4 will speak to them about, and see what's the best

5 step for us to take.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay, but if they recommend recusal, would you recues yourself or you're saying you wouldn't necessarily? If the Board could--if you sought COIB guidance, and COIB recommend--recommended recusal on a vote, would you commit to recues yourself?

ROSANNA VARGAS: If it's reviewed and the facts are particular and the circumstances call for something specific, that is something that the Conflicts of Interest would recommend, then I will follow. But to the extent that that probably will occur, I don't see that issue happening because of the difference of responsibility in doing it. It's that each thing has such as the commissioner position and such as the attorney lobbying. If I have received two matters in three years, the—the work for I to receive in the future and now the firm will take this into—into consideration of giving me tasks or giving me anything that has to do with lobbying, that's something that we are taking not very lightly.

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 125
2 We're going to plan it and the firm is taking it into
3 consideration.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And just understand, you know, when you say only two times in three years, of course, my response is great. It would be so easy for them just to say let's not do it in the future.

So I hear you, but I just on--I guess on the recusal point, do you understand my concern. You know, I--we--we can't know the circumstances. So you seek

COIB guidance. COIB recommends recusal. If you recues yourself there's now a 5/4 vote or not necessary a 5/4 vote but there's 5 eligible

Republicans and 4 eligible Democrats to vote on that matter whatever it--whatever it might be.

ROSANNA VARGAS: But those are mere speculations. If we can think of all the negative and all the worse ideas and the worst events that can happen, what is in four or five years and that we haven't even received another case or another lobbying-

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: [interposing] That would be fantastic.

ROSANNA VARGAS: --that--everything would be moved.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: --all right.

2.

1

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: We're going to give

127

3

her some water as well so--

4

ROSANNA VARGAS: [laughter]

5

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: It's--it's not--

6

it's not everyday that Board of Elections nominees

7

are subject to inquisitions, but I'm kidding, I'm

8

kidding.

ROSANNA VARGAS: [laughs]

10

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: You should look at

11

the hearing of the last one. It is everyday that we

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: But I'm--I'm

12

have them. Yes, it is. Well, this is only our

13

second, and the last one was just as long.

14

15 proud to be supportive of your selection. I thin

16

the three criteria that matter to me the most is

17

first you have deep roots in the Bronx. You have

18

experience with and knowledge of election law, and

19

you have character. I think you have as stellar a

20

reputation as anyone in the Bronx. All my colleagues

2122

speak the world of you. On the subject of lobbying,

2.3

you know, it's one thing to be a registered lobbyist

20

on paper. It's something else to have an active

24

practice, and your practice has been minimal. Is

25

that correct?

| 1  | COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 128      |
|----|---|
| 2  | ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.                                  |
| 3  | COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And so that's a                |
| 4  | meaningful distinction.                               |
| 5  | ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.                                  |
| 6  | COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And there's                    |
| 7  | reasonthere's no reason I think that when you         |
| 8  | become commissioner it's going to remain minimal. Is  |
| 9  | that a fairwould that be a fair assumption?           |
| 10 | ROSANNA VARGAS: That it would remain                  |
| 11 | minimal?  |
| 12 | COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Yes.                           |
| 13 | ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.                                  |
| 14 | COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Okay, and as you               |
| 15 | rightly pointed out there's no legal prohibition, but |
| 16 | what prevents a conflict between our role as a        |
| 17 | lobbyist and your role as a commissioner of BOE is    |
| 18 | your character as an individual.                      |
| 19 | ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.                                  |
| 20 | COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And so I have                  |
| 21 | enough confidence in your integrity to keep yourself  |
| 22 | honest and to hold yourself accountable. So for       |
| 23 | those reasons I'm proud to be supportive. So thank    |
| 24 | you.  |

ROSANNA VARGAS: Thank you.

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS
                                                       129
 2
                CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, Council
 3
    Member Torres. Next up for a second round is Council
    Member Kallos.
 4
                COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So Akerman has
 5
    how many attorneys would you say? Is it around 600?
 6
 7
                ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.
                COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And at the New
 8
    York office there's more 60?
 9
                ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.
10
11
                COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And then within
12
    the lobbying practice for it appears to only be about
    six or seven?
13
14
                ROSANNA VARGAS: Partners, yes.
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So I guess the
16
    question that Council Member Lander, Chin and others
    have asked, if it's such a small part of your
17
18
    practice and I'm--I'm attorney. I'm a litigator.
19
    That's what I do, federal court dozens and dozens of
    case. State Court thousands of cases. That's what I
20
21
     do. That's my bread and butter. I never had to
2.2
     lobby. Do you want to be a litigator?
2.3
                ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.
24
                COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Do you want to be
    a lobbyist?
```

saying at Akerman with 600 other attorneys if they

can use the 599 other folks or the 7 other big
lobbyists ferks--folks who appear at Akerman, is that
something that you could--would be willing to do and
just focus on the litigation practice, focus on the

2.2

2.3

Board of Elections.

ROSANNA VARGAS: That would--that--that is my plan to continue to focus my litigation practice, as you stated, being a litigator and being a lobbyist are two completely different fields. They do not--you have to have a level of expertise for each one, and as I am a litigator, I do plan to continue being in litigation. I plan that all the majority to all of my work will be on litigation, and once I become a commissioner--

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing] So can you just give us--can you please just commit that you will--even if you have to take a sidebar with whoever is here from your firm to just get their sing off to say, I--I won't sit in these meetings, and I won't actively participate in it because I'm a litigator and there--I shouldn't be lobbying. I should be focusing on my primary skill set.

ROSANNA VARGAS: I cannot commit and the person here from the firm actually had to leave.

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 132

They had a partner meeting. So he--I saw him when he had to leave, but he was here scheduled I think from 10:00 to 12:00 something like that.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: [interposing] So,

I--I think that it's--it's disappointing because just

because you could doesn't mean you should. There are

many different things that we as elected officials

can do that are legal, but we don't do because it

would create the appearance of impropriety. And

along those lines, can you tell me a little bit about

the clients that you've lobbied for?

ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, along those lines

I will also state that it—it just—and every case is
different. When the firm requires to be registered
for whatever precaution that they're taking, you
follow what the firm has, and as Council Member
Torres said being a lobbyist on paper, and practicing
and doing the majority of you work as a lobbyist is
two different things. I've also done one case in
Latin America. I'm not an international attorney,
but when they require you to bring in and do a case,
that is something that you have to fulfill and do.
The two cases that I have done is to just to save

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So which are the two clients that you're representing in your lobbying capacity?

ROSANNA VARGAS: This will be very sad if I tell you I don't know their cite.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: It's okay. It's Azimuth and Acadia. Do those sound familiar?

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So I guess one concern just to make it very real Azimuth has a property in my district.

ROSANNA VARGAS: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So I guess one concern just to make it very real, Azimuth has a property in my district. Azimuth wants something from me. They don't want to have to pay taxes on their property. I have an election coming in 2017.

What now? Are you going to be able—are you going to be an advocate in—in my elections process? Are you going to have to swear yourself out? Acadia

Properties has properties in almost everyone else's district. Between Azimuth and Acadia they've got

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 134 dozens and dozens of properties in Corey's district, in multiple parts in Brooklyn, in Northern Manhattan. What happens when somebody you're representing as a lobbyist has business in our districts and wants something from us, and we need your vote in 2017 to get on the ballot?

2.2

2.3

ROSANNA VARGAS: Well, you know, and I definitely understand. I don't see a conflict because you shouldn't see a conflict in it either.

When a person is put in a specific situation, they have to decide within themselves and their integrity what they're going to decide. It's not the circumstance that places the person, it's the individual itself deciding. If I have to decide something in your ballot in 2017, it has nothing to do with whatever project they have before your district or anything that they're trying to propose. I don't see an issue for myself making an unbiased mutual decision for a petition or for any of your people work for--for you going for re-election.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And--and what about the--the appearance of impropriety on the other side of people saying well, Ben supports, you've given that affordable housing a tax rebate and now

the Bronx Commissioner is—is voting in favor of him getting on the ballot? You're putting us in a bad position because you're representing somebody who has something in my district, and I'm trying to do it on the merits, but now I have an appearance of impropriety because of whatever actions I've taken and people can say well, he just did it so he wouldn't have to worry about getting knocked off the ballot.

ROSANNA VARGAS: The--I don't see one thing going in with the other because you say the appearance, but the appearance of deciding the--your petitions and your paperwork can also be decided by the law. Let's say if you did not submit one of your paperwork, if your signatures of if you're missing your cover sheets and there's objections and there's challenges, then that's something automatically that you will be either removed or not follow as a candidate for that re-election. Those are some of the circumstances that already present itself of individuals bringing in their own petitions. I don't see an issue with me deciding one thing doing with another as a Commissioner.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: So as a

2.2

2.3

Commissioner, you could have the choice whether or not to put the Public Advocate on or off the ballot because of a typo on their cover sheet?

ROSANNA VARGAS: That is something we have to decide amongst the board.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: And--and so-ROSANNA VARGAS: [interposing] That's a
Board decision.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: --in that case history shows that in 2009 when Bill de Blasio was taken off the ballot because of his cover sheet, and then he was later put back on. So the law isn't always very clear, and perhaps if we are taking the legalistic approach and the Board having overruled the law in that case, and corrected for that error, we would not have Mayor de Blasio, but I think these are very real questions. Would you be willing to share your current client list, and billing list with the City Council had further consideration so that we know exactly what apparent conflicts may be apparent for all the different members?

ROSANNA VARGAS: That is something I have to bring up to the firm.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Okay. I--I guess the--the big concern is just--I'll just say on behalf of myself, assembly members, senators it might not be the best position for an elected official to be sitting across the table from a commission of the Board of Elections when somebody has something in their district.

ROSANNA VARGAS: But that's like having a case and then you see a judge in the courtroom. I mean--or you see them having a dinner plan or a party. The two things are completely different.

That's up to your conscience and your integrity. In my conscience and my integrity I would perceive two completely different things. Your petitions and how the Board decides things, and how we encounter the meeting with the petitions have nothing to do with any project before you, or anything that the lobbyist has brought up to your county.

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: Right now when somebody comes before me, I have to worry about my residents, my constituents. I have to worry does this person what they want agree with their constituents? Is there support from the neighborhood? Is there support from the community

| 1  | COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 138       |
|----|--|
| 2  | board? Some members may consider is this person        |
| 3  | giving money or not? There are a lot of articles       |
| 4  | about campaign finance contributions, but that's all   |
| 5  | public. There are lobbyists. They are capped at        |
| 6  | \$250 or \$400. I have legislation that would actually |
| 7  | limit matching for lobbyists bundles because maybe     |
| 8  | they could give six figures, and I don't support       |
| 9  | that. But ultimately, I think it would be a whole      |
| 10 | new rub for elected officials to have to wonder when   |
| 11 | they're being lobbied about that person's additional   |
| 12 | power over them within the Board of Elections.         |
| 13 | ROSANNA VARGAS: By having additional                   |
| 14 | power what do you mean? Because thatthat just goes     |
| 15 | directly to the specific individual. What power        |
| 16 | would I have over the petitions and the lobbyists if   |
| 17 | whatever has been presented to you in one situation    |
| 18 | the lobbyist, would not endure or make me biased in    |
| 19 | any way. I would remain neutral in considering the     |
| 20 | petitions and everything before the Board?             |
| 21 | COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I think that's                  |
| 22 | what I   |

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: [interposing]

Council Member, you've--you've explored this issue.

25

23

COUNCIL MEMBER KALLOS: I--I have and just I--I would hope that despite a lot of us giving you very tough questions that should you be appointed that you--you won't hold it against us, and you'll make unbiased decisions.

ROSANNA VARGAS: As I repeated, I won't hold anything against one thing. [laughs] It's-it's--such as yourself, if you're a litigator you understand that you just have to look at the facts, the particular circumstance before you, and that's how you make the decision. By you raising or not raising the lobbyist cap or not the cap, it does not have nothing to do with me, my decision, my--my way of reviewing your petitions in anyway I'll bring. If there's something that it must be contested or not, and it's before the rules, just follow the rules of elections in your position. You'll be fine. I don't see my decisions impacting in anyway myself in anything of the lobbyists or the two matters.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, Chair Kallos. All right, Council Member Palma for our final second stack--second round.

COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Thank you. It's-it's more of a statement. I think, you know, we can

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 140 ask the question maybe in more ways. I believe that she has responded to if--if ever presented with a situation I also believe that that in the course of the questioning we ask. If -- if she was presented with a case where it was to come before the City Council and Ms. Vargas response was that she will then at the firm level ask them, ask the firm to recues her or to excuse her from having to lobby the City Council. And she--her response was she saw--she didn't see a problem with it. So I believe we need to be confident that at the firm level, the firm will do everything it can--it can within its power to protect not only Ms. Vargas but also the firm's integrity and--and that I--I'm definitely confident. think we'll ever see Ms. Vargas lobby any Council Member after this hearing.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much for the time your patience. This has obviously been a--a long morning. We appreciate it. I will say that when we close these hearings, we just recess them. So we may ask additional questions in writing or ask you to come back again. We don't vote at the end of the first hearing. That provides some opportunity for additional dialogue and back and

```
1
    COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS
                                                       141
 2
    forth. We have three individuals signed up to
 3
    testify. I understand that one of them is not here,
 4
    which is--it is unfortunate Steven Polivy from
    Akerman, but I will ask Maria Keary and Marissa Soto
    who have signed up to come and testify. Ms. Vargas
 6
 7
    you can--
 8
                ROSANNA VARGAS: Great.
 9
                CHAIRPERSON LANDER: --take a seat.
                ROSANNA VARGAS: Thank you very much.
10
11
                CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you.
12
                [background noise, pause]
13
                MARIA KEARY: I was going to say good
    morning, but I'll say good afternoon. [laughs]
14
15
    Steven--
16
                CHAIRPERSON LANDER: [interposing] I'm
17
     sorry, please identify yourself.
18
                MARIA KEARY: Oh, Maria Keary. thank you
19
    very much. Good afternoon, every one. Thank you so
20
    much for allowing me the opportunity to
21
     enthusiastically speak on behalf of how proud we are
2.2
    as a community and as individuals to support an
2.3
    individual like Rosanna Vargas to be our
    representative and to be the Commissioner of the
24
```

Board of Elections. Before I do that, Mr. Steven

is a position that she wants, and she's going to do a

really good job at. But someone who understand that

she needs to be upholding herself to higher grounds

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 143 in ethics. That she needs to be mindful of what she will--the decisions that she will make, and no unlike all of our pasts and all our lives where we're confront with people that we know and on a business we may disagree, but certainly on a personal level we can have a different type of relationship. It is I think for her an individual that has not have -- had an experience in the political world to sit here as a woman of integrity, a woman in law of integrity, a woman of the face that we recognize and understand. Some who understands technology who is going to bring the level that's important to the Board of Elections, I can't say any more. One of the things that I observed and I was taking just certain notes that I believe are going to be important in the decision, and I believe that this body has a tremendous opportunity to send a message, a positive message to the individuals that do not trust the Board of Elections. That believe that the Board of Elections' only right is to think of not the people but not the voters and not the people, but to think of the representatives that should be elected. creativity, her recommendations will take the Board of Elections at a storm. They--she will certainly

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 144 step up to the plate to assure that there is a well run election. Knowing Rosanna and the things that I've asked her to do--many have expressed her volunteerism. I certainly had that opportunity to volunteer. Rosanna was the individual that gave an invocation to 17 minority students receiving scholarships from the Dominican Day Parade, and the students were expressing that when that money came in it was the money they needed to be able to graduate from college, and this is the young lady, not a priest, not someone from the clergy, that gave them an invocation that they will never forget. Many of them, if not all of them, we received letters of congratulations where they were touched. kind of spirituality, and that's what she dialogues Her transparency I--Rosanna is transparent in with. every way that I think of in the way that she does her work, in the way that she communicates with you. You will never see here waiver as to what she thinks is the right thing to do. Here highest levels of compliance. She complies, she--she dialogues. understands your points of view, but she will not waiver in making sure that she stands firm on what needs to be said. She is engaging and involved.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I understand from her is that here decisions are

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

time.

MARISSA SOTO: I would first like to say and disclose that I am Counsel to the Bronx

Democratic Council Committee. However, I speak today not in that capacity but in--I speak as a woman and a Latina who has practiced law both in large law firms

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 147 as well as in private practice, and I just wanted it to be clear that although Rosanna is a lawyer who has her own independent license, as junior attorney at this large law firm, she has very little discretion over exactly what the firm will permit and what the firm will permit. As Council Member Levine mentioned earlier in his comments, Ms. Vargas has the opportunity to pursue an exclusively corporate career where, you know, her sole motivation and -- and goal and purpose can be basically profit, money and making the most that she can in--in, you know, here career. However, Rosanna has a commitment to the community, which won't allow her to do that. So, she has gone out on a limb professionally and with her firm by even requesting to pursue this commissioner position. Because this is not something that is in furtherance of the firm's goal. This is in furtherance of her commitment to her community and to the society in general, and I just wanted to be in clear in that while yet it does seem like a simple task for her to say oh, I won't--I won't lobby the City Council. It's not exclusively within her authority to say. The firm has its own interest when they need her to remain a lobbyist. Let's say they ask her to do

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 148 something where there's even a possibility that it's something restricted to something only a registered lobbyist might do. If she does it, then the firm is exposed because it's the ultimate--it's the partner who directs her at the firm whose--whose professionally liability is at stake in whatever action she takes. So by the firm requiring her to remain a registered lobbyist, that could be completely precaution -- a precautionary measure to make sure that the firm is covered in the event that she does something that that might--that those credentials might become a necessity for her. And then similarly in terms of her involvement, you know, as a young Latina lawyer at one of these large firms, I can tell you she is not in the majority, not by any stretch of the imagination. Nor is she one who is going to be mentored by many other partners of color who are there and available to assist her and bring her through the process. That's not the current society in which we live, and it most certainly is not the demographics of the large law firms in which Rosanna is an associate. So in that role, she's really trying to maintain a very delicate balance.

She's trying to advance our interests as Latinas as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 149 women as lawyers by staying at this law firm where she is not the majority. And in--and in experiencing life in that way, of being a constant minority, and also giving back on the more macro scale by engaging in the Board of Elections. Because this is something that she's personally passionate about, and I--I would hate for--and, you know I--you had mentioned earlier that perhaps Councilwoman Chin could reach out to the firm and--and I would think that that would probably have much greater sway upon the firm's opinion of which matters Rosanna should participate in going forward if the City Council were to reach out directly to them. But for Ms. Vargas, it's not really in her power at this point to make those determinations or to make commitments on -- on behalf of the firm because she just doesn't have that position within the firm.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you both, and I--I don't think anyone was suggesting that she should put her job at risk, you know, and that, you know, tell the firm what--what's what. I think as Council Member Chin said it's pretty clear. You know, two things are pretty clear from my kind of point of view. You know, I think you are--this is

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 150 not a--in many ways this is not about Ms. Vargas. have had a practice this Council of not appointing registered lobbyists to any boards. We, you know, even the dangerous dog Advisory Board. That's just in our--our practice, and even though it's not required by law in every one of those cases, we think it's a sensible precaution to take. And that's not because we doubt the integrity of any individual. think she showed beautifully today her integrity and her passion and her commitment. That's a practice we've taken and--and I personally think it's a good practice. So, you know, I--I--I think that Council Member China also eloquently articulated why this is a very good case to the firm to in this case decide that it's appropriate to say that she doesn't need to remain a registered lobbyist so that she can continue to do her litigation work at the firm, and serve the people of the City on the Board of Elections. that seems to me, you know, that's all. I mean I appreciate your points both about her, which I think she showed us today and the reasons for wanting a smart young Latino women representing New York on the Board of Elections, and more broadly are--are clear to all of us. That's something that this Council

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 151
under the leadership of our Speaker has been very
strong on, and that we're all proud to be a part of.
So, anyway, I--I appreciate the points that you both
made, and I also appreciate the time you spent today
here with us so--

2.2

2.3

MARISSA SOTO: And so--and I'm sorry, if

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: [interposing] Of course.

MARISSA SOTO: --if I may just one--one more point is going back tot he firm. Well, I can't speak specifically to Akerman. I--I was not an associated in my--in my prior life as an--as an associate at one the big law firms. I was not Akerman, but I can say that the--the perception of conflict of interest and--and the fallout from that is something that will also be on Akerman's radar. It's not something that they would be deaf to. It's something that they would consider. Many firms especially of that size have internal conflicts of interest counsel that b--any associates can consult. The consultations for the most part can be had and discussed outside of any partner involvement. That way the associates may speak freely about whatever

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 152 2 issues they enter--excuse me--they're encountering. 3 So I think that not only will Ms. Vargas have the 4 Conflicts of Interest Board at her disposal to discuss analyze any potential issues that may arise, but she will also have the firm's resources in terms 6 7 of evaluating whether or not she can continue on a 8 project or even join a project. So that's another kind of issue. I know that you had mentioned the Conflicts of Interest Board before, but you know, Ms. 10 11 Vargas would also have the resource of the firm's 12 internal Conflicts of Interest Board that she can 13 consult with about any matters that may be--that they 14 may be trying to assign her to.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Understood though obviously their obligation is to their clients and not to the voters of the city of New York so--

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MARISSA SOTO: [interposing] Oh, no, but their--their issue would be that if she were to perform some kind of malpractice--if she were--if there were to be a real conflict then it would be on the firm because she would be doing so in her capacity as a member of the firm, and not as a solo practitioner. So their interest would be more in terms of her professional defenseur (sic). As a

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 153

lawyer she has separate fiduciary obligations and

ethical obligations that exist and are imposed upon

her regardless of whether she works in government or

whether she works in private practice or a large law

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Understood.

MARIA KEARY: So one more question. basically the -- the Council has decided through a consensus not to appoint individuals to the -- any commissionership if there is -- if they're a registered lobbyist, correct? So you're not actually digesting the individual's personal resume per se. You're making an assumption, and you're stereotypically saying therefore because we have one example that probably showed very bad discretion and absolutely in the eyes of the voters and the lay person makes us look like there is a terrible conflict and that things are not being run the way they should. you're--you're alleviating or so you're releasing the opportunity for someone that can certainly show that these individuals can certainly serve and serve in the best way. Am I understanding that correctly?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

firm.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: We have a very wide range of rules some by law, some by Council rule and some by practice--

MARIA KEARY: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: --that are designed to prevent conflicts.

MARIA KEARY: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So we don't allow lobbyists or people who do business with the city, to get that mass campaign contributions or to give at the same level--

MARIA KEARY: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: --and we're not interested in the individuals. Most of those individuals would not abuse it if they could do it, but some of them might, and that's why that's law we passed. We have rules at the Council regarding our-we just strange to them what our-we banned outside income for all New York City Council members just a couple of months ago because even though most people would not abuse the discretion that that would allow, some combination of the occasional person who might, and the appearance led us to strengthen our rules on ourselves, not by law but by Council Rule. And then

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 155
in this case yes we have a practice now not forever--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: --but it's some meaningful number of years that even though most people would not abuse that relationship, the combination of the possibility plus the appearance of appointing lobbyists to the wide array of commissionerships and other appointments that the Council considers is something we don't do. That's been our practice, and I believe it's the right practice, and that is not casting dispersions on any individual. It's saying the practice of doing that as a rule is better than not doing it. And in each individual case having to do some combination of, you know, hoping, crossing your fingers and setting failsafe. So, this is really not about whether we believe or don't believe Ms. Vargas, who has high integrity. I'm persuaded by her appearance before us today, and everything I've read that she has high integrity, but asking the body to depart from a practice we've set up to, you know, that's a--that's a question this body is going to have to consider. I--I believe that practice is the right practice.

Again, that's why it seems to me the easiest thing

2 for all of us here. and the right way to proceed is 3 for the firm to conclude that they don't need her as a registered lobbyist, and remove any potential 4 conflict. You know, form point of view and I can't 5 speak for other colleagues she's persuaded me in all 6 other matters that she would be a strong appointment to the -- to the Board of Elections. We asked a lot 8 of other questions. She asked--answered them. Barring that issue, to me I would be pleased to 10 11 support her candidacy. That one it's not just about 12 her. It raises questions about our process. 13 do it here, will we do it in every case? We've had a practice I think is a good one, and I--I don't think 14 15 we'd be wise to abandon--MARIA KEARY: [interposing] Uh-huh. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: -- and so that's the-18 -yes, that's the issue that is presented to us. 19 And I can certainly respect MARIA KEARY: 20 your practice, and I can certainly understand your 21 practice, and if there were many individuals that

would be before you similar to Ms. Vargas diversity,

we understand is a very important thing particularly

now in the world that we live in. And because of

that, you know, that has to be considered.

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

156

This is

1

2.2

2.3

24

| 1  | COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 157      |
|----|---|
| 2  | an opportunity to identify the face that is very      |
| 3  | important representative of the voters of the city of |
| 4  | New York. And having said that, I certainly respect   |
| 5  | your position. I think it's a very good practice,     |
| 6  | but I believe that if you can have 20 Ms. Vargas      |
| 7  | before you it's just standard practice, but in her    |
| 8  | case, and maybe I'm being biased, it is something     |
| 9  | that really needs to be looked it, and maybe could be |
| 10 | resolved by Ms. Chin [laughs] making aa quick phone   |
| 11 | call but Iwhatwhat I think you need to walk away      |
| 12 | with is, and you said it yourself, the way that she   |
| 13 | handled the grilling time and time of her             |
| 14 | registration as a lobbyist shows tremendous honesty   |
| 15 | and in her the ability to understand her positions    |
| 16 | and respect that.                                     |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much               |
| 18 | for spending your time with us today. We'll put this  |
| 19 | hearing into recess, and resume it next week.         |
| 20 | [gavel]   |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON LANDER:                                   |
| 22 |   |

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 22, 2016