CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

----- X

May 4, 2016

Start: 1:12 p.m. Recess: 2:19 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E:

RAFAEL L. ESPINAL, JR.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Vincent J. Gentile

Julissa Ferreras-Copeland

Karen Koslowitz
Rory I. Lancman
Andy L. King

### A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Alba Pico First Deputy Commissioner & Acting Commissioner New York City Department of Consumer Affairs

Amit S. Bagga
Deputy Commissioner
External Affairs
New York City Department of Consumer
Affairs

Chief William T. Morris
Manhattan South
New York City Police Department

Captain Robert O'Hare Commanding Officer of Times Square Unit New York City Police Department

Lieutenant Daniel Albano Legal Bureau New York City Police Department

Johanna Zaki Executive Director Alliance for Coney Island

Jack Friedman
Representative
Times Square Alliance

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Jim Caras
General Counsel and Land Use Director
Office of Manhattan Borough President,
Gale A. Brewer

2.2

**TRANSCRIPTION NOTE:** Spanish spoken by Chairperson Espinal at time stamp [00:56:10].

[gavel]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Good afternoon. My name is Rafael Espinal and I am the Chair of the Consumers Affairs Committee. I am joined by other members of the committee; we have Rory Lancman from Queens and we have the bill sponsor, all the way from the Bronx, my man Andy King. The committee will conduct its second hearing on Int. 0467-A, a local law that would require registration of costumed individuals engaged in solicitation in public spaces.

In recent years, individuals dressed as well-known characters from various films, television productions and comic books have become a common sight on the streets surrounding Times Square. By and large, these costumed individuals solicit tips from tourists and other passersby in exchange for posing for photographs; often this is fun for both tourists and the costume performers, who also make money soliciting for tips; unfortunately, there have also been numerous complaints and news reports involving aggressive solicitation and worse, including incidents of violence and allegations of

2.2

2.3

sexual assault. Prompted by these concerns from tourists and local businesses, the committee held a hearing on a previous version of this bill in November of 2014; that previous version would have required licensing of costumed individuals engaged in solicitation. The committee at the time heard extensive testimony from City agencies, including the NYPD, borough presidents, Chambers of Commerce, costumed individuals themselves and other 

stakeholders in the Times Square business community.

In the summer of 2015, as the committee pondered its next steps on the complex issue, a surge of costumed and painted performers posing for photographs in Times Square's pedestrian plazas prompted more complaints and arrests. The City convened a task force to discuss quality of life issues in the area's pedestrian plazas. One of the task force recommendations recently passed into law by the City Council authorizes a creation of activity zones where performers would be allowed to operate without impeding pedestrian traffic and minimizing aggressive solicitation. Int. 0467-A will compliment this effort to bring order to our pedestrian plazas by adding a measure of transparency; as many as

300,000 pedestrians, from all corners of the world, regularly enter the heart of Times Square each day; according to the Times Square Alliance, on the busiest days that number approaches a half-million persons; costumed individuals who are difficult to identify can add to the confusion in the crowds at the crossroads of the world. Int. 0467-A would require that costumed performers whose faces are covered must registered with DCA and wear proof of registration on their person while engaged in performance and solicitation. This will allow for quick identification when there are incidents and greater safety in the streets and bustling centers of our city.

With that said, I would like to call up
the first panel. We have Alba Pico, the Acting
Commissioner for DCA; Amit Bagga, the Deputy
Commissioner for DCA; Tamala Boyd, Deputy General
Counsel of DCA; Chief William Morris of the NYPD;
Captain Robert O'Hare of the NYPD; Lieutenant Daniel
Albano of the NYPD, but before you begin, I would
like to give my colleague Andy King a chance to say a
few words on his bill.

2.2

2.3

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Thank you,

Mr. Chair and I thank the NYPD and all interested parties from the City of New York. Back in 2014, as the Chair mentioned, we did have a hearing on Int. 0467, now 0467-A today, in an effort to address some of the chaos that was happening around the streets of New York with individuals who were dressed up in costumes or dressed up in anything that would change their natural look that we will not be able to identify them [sic]. This legislation today that we're gonna be talking about is designed to make sure that we're able to identify all those folks who go out there to solicit, put a smile on someone's face or engage our tourists population, as well as regular New Yorkers.

So I'm excited about today's conversation; I wanna thank all the interested parties, from Times Square Alliance to NYPD and the council members who have helped take up this action by establishing the pedestrian plazas that they want to regulate, but I think at the end of the day we still need to go further and that's what this legislation attempts to do from its inception in making sure that we can identify everyone that's out

with you today about Int. 0467-A, which would require

24

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DCA to register individuals who solicit in public while wearing costumes and face-obscuring makeup.

We would like to thank Chair Espinal for convening today's hearing, as well as Council Member King and other members of the committee for your close consideration of this issue.

DCA is the largest municipal consumer protection agency in the country and it is our mission to empower consumers and businesses alike to ensure a fair and vibrant marketplace. The agency licenses approximately 80,000 businesses across soonto-be 54 different industries, mediates complaints between consumers and businesses, conducts patrol inspections and legal investigations, educates businesses about laws and rules and also enforces New York City's Earned Sick Time Act, commonly known as the Paid Sick Leave Law. In addition to its licensing, consumer protection and labor-related work, DCA operates the Office of Financial Empowerment (OFE) to connect low-income New Yorkers with valuable financial services and education.

As the number of tourists coming to New York City has continued to grow over recent years and is expected to reach a record high of nearly 60

2.2

million this year, so too has the opportunity to
provide tourists with new and varied experiences;
among these experiences is that which affords
tourists, mainly in Times Square, the ability to
interact and take pictures with individuals dressed
in costumes that are designed to look like
recognizable characters, from Minnie Mouse to Elmo to
[inaudible], among others. The costumed individuals
have become an indelible part of the urban fabric in
New York City and in many instances interactions
between costumed individuals and tourists are safe
and pleasant; unfortunately, this is not always the
case; while many costumed individuals are hardworking
New Yorkers attempting to earn a living, there have
been many reports of these individuals engaged in

As costumed individuals are largely concentrated in tourist-heavy areas such as Times Square, the resulting competition between individuals for business can lead to congestion problems and conflict between performers. Costumed individuals have been reported to be aggressive towards rival performers, tourists who they feel have failed to tip them sufficiently and even the New York City Police

troubling, unsafe and reckless behavior.

Department. The problems caused by congestion and overconcentration tend to become more acute as the weather gets warmer and more people venture out to enjoy New York City's public spaces.

I will now ask my colleague Amit S. Bagga to speak in great depth about Int. 0467-A.

AMIT S. BAGGA: Thank you, Commissioner Pico.

Int. 0467-A would create a registration for individuals who solicit in public while wearing costumes, masks, accessories, makeup or other objects that obscure the face beyond recognition. Costumed individuals would be required to conspicuously display their registration while wearing a costume and engaging in solicitation in a public space. The fee for a registration would be \$30 and each registration would be valid for two years from the date of issuance. Costumed individuals who solicit in public without a registration would be subject to a civil penalty of \$25 up to \$100 for the first offense and from \$100 up to \$250 for subsequent offenses.

DCA very much shares the Council's goal of protecting consumers and tourists, ensuring that

#### COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

our public spaces are accessible and inviting and making it easier for the NYPD to respond should problems arise.

We believe that Int. 0467-A is an important first step in creating an appropriate and enforceable regulatory framework which we believe is a goal shared by the administration and the Council. We seek to work closely with the Council in pursuit of this goal and it is with this in mind that we offer specific feedback on Int. 0467-A as it is currently written.

We have identified several challenges and concerns in the current bill that may mitigate

Int. 0467-A's ability to address issues involving soliciting or solicitation by costumed individuals.

We hope our feedback will be helpful and we look forward to continuing discussions with the Council about how Int. 0467-A can be strengthened.

First and most importantly, Int. 0467-A does not provide for a method by which DCA can deny, revoke or refuse to renew registrations; DCA has this ability for all of our existing license categories.

Under the current language, DCA would not be able to withhold a registration from an individual who

violates the law by soliciting without registering, engages in fraud or misrepresentation or is convicted of aggressive solicitation. As the bill is currently written, DCA would be required by law to renew such an individual's registration.

New York City sanctions an individual's activity when it grants a permit, license or registration; in particular, a DCA license or registration might signal to consumers that it is safe to transact in a business transaction with the holder of that license or registration and that the consumer will have recourse to the agency's consumer protection mechanisms should any problems arise.

Creating a DCA registration without giving the agency the power to deny, revoke or refuse to renew registrations for cause risks misleading consumers about the level of risk in their transactions and undermining confidence in DCA's imprimatur as a consumer protection agency.

In addition, Int. 0467-A provides that a registration will be valid for two years from the date of issuance; typically, all of the licenses in a DCA category will expire in a set month on a set date rather than on a rolling basis. Applicants can still

2.2

2.3

come in to apply for a license at any time and fees are prorated based on how much of a license period has already elapsed. This structure allows DCA to better manage our approximately 80,000 licensees by staggering the expiration periods for the soon-to-be 54 different categories. Instituting a rolling registration for costumed individuals would create operational difficulties by requiring the agency to process and track these registrations differently than for all of our other license categories. I should note that I believe that the Council has indicated preliminarily openness to changing this particular provision.

DCA very much appreciates the opportunity to testify before the committee today; while the agency must respectfully decline to support

Int. 0467-A in its current form, we appreciate the Council's leadership on this issue and look forward to continued discussions about creative solutions.

We hope that our feedback will be helpful to the Council as Int. 0467-A continues through the legislative process. My colleagues and I will be happy to answer any questions you might have. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHIEF MORRIS: Good afternoon, Chair
Espinal and members of the Council. I am Chief
William T. Morris, the Chief of Manhattan South for
the New York City Police Department; I'm also joined
here today by Captain Robert O'Hare, the Commanding
Officer of the Times Square Unit and Lieutenant
Daniel Albano of the NYPD Legal Bureau.

On behalf of Commissioner William J.

Bratton, I would like to thank you for the opportunity to speak to you about the bill before you today, Int. 0467-A, which would amend the administrative code to require costumed individuals who solicit in public spaces in our city to register with the Department of Consumer Affairs. Int. 0467-A would enact the new administrative code provisions that apply to any person wearing a costume who accepts or requests "by spoke word, signs, gestures or any other means a fee, donation, tip, payment, or any other form of compensation." The bill would require that costumed individuals who solicit in public spaces to register with DCA. The bill would make it unlawful for a costumed individual to solicit without having first registered with DCA as well as to not conspicuously display their proof of

-

/

registration while engaged in solicitation of the public. Lastly, the bill would require that the costumed individual, at the request of a police officer or other enforcing agency, remove portions of a costume that obscure the individual's face in order for the officer to verify that the individual wearing the costume is the authorized registrant whose photograph appears on the displayed proof of registration.

We appreciate the Council's interest in addressing the concerns surrounding the proliferation of costumed individuals in our city; the administration recognizes that most costumed individuals are not engaging in criminal activity or intending to take advantage of unwitting tourists, they are simply trying to make a living for themselves and their families and we respect their right to do so. Registration is one tool to addressing this proliferation so that good actors can operate in a better environment and bad actors can be identified and the public can be protected from them.

The Police Department is supportive of the concept of registration because it will help our officers to better identify costumed individuals in

the field. As we have seen in Times Square, multiple
individuals often wear the same costume and this
dynamic has, at times, inhibited our abilities to
investigate reported crimes and identify those
responsible. The Police Department, however, has
concerns with the bill as currently drafted; notably,
the bill contains no criminal penalties for
unregistered solicitation by a costumed individual
and/or failure to display proof of such registration.
The bill only permits police officers and other
enforcing agencies to issue a civil penalty for this
conduct. The lack of any criminal penalty provides
a significant challenge to enforcing the registration
framework currently contemplated in the bill;
essentially, there would be no practical way for a
police officer or any enforcement authority to
properly issue a civil penalty if a costumed
character refuses to display their registration or
provide any form of identification upon request.
Without the ability to properly enforce, the need for
registration becomes obsolete and also fosters
inequity between those who take the time to register
and those who do not. While we certainly recognize
that the intent of the bill is to use civil

enforcement, a criminal penalty must be available to the enforcing officer in order to compel a costumed individual to produce the required registration or any kind of identification and to possibly elevate the severity of enforcement for repeat offenders.

Notwithstanding this challenge, we are pleased to continue this discussion as well as collaborating with the Council to make this legislation workable for those who will be tasked with enforcement.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today and I'm pleased to answer your questions.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. I'm gonna give Andy King a chance to ask some questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Thank you,

18 Mr. Chair.

2.2

2.3

I applaud both of your conversations, your honesty; we've been having these conversations for over two years now to try to make sense of how to address what we're dealing with, not just in Times Square, but at Barclays or even at the Statue of Liberty where our New Yorkers are getting dressed up for entertainment and solicitation purposes.

25

1

You mentioned the NYPD -- my first 3 question goes to NYPD in regards to being able to 4 have access to the criminal component in here for punishment that allows you the power to act, which 5

currently in the bill, in its current form, doesn't 6

7 provide you that power to do so. My first question I

would have to ask; I understand that; just the mere 8

fact of a bill saying that you cannot be on the

streets of New York to solicit in a costume or change 10

11 the natural appearance; that doesn't give you the

12 power to move... if we're saying it's unlawful to be

13 out there, to engage in activity dressed in such a

14 manner, that that doesn't give police, NYPD the power

15 to remove if we're saying that's mandatory to be out

16 there with that ID on; if you don't have it on, this

17 is what happens to you?

18 CHIEF MORRIS: I think, Council Member,

19 the challenge becomes in the operational world for,

20 the police officer who's engaging in that, it's what

21 happens when the person refuses that order; what

2.2 happens when the costumed character refuses that

2.3 order? As I understand it, this intro as currently

written, there's no future penalty and that's what 24

the challenge is; what happens when... and I'm

repeating myself again; what happens when the costumed person says no, I won't move, and that's why we need the criminal... the potential...

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay.

CHIEF MORRIS: of a criminal sanction to put some force behind the officer's direction.

agree, you've gotta have proper punishment; if you're saying the punishment right now is too light other than just writing a summons; there's nothing written in her to say that you can remove somebody from wherever they are if they don't comply.

CHIEF MORRIS: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay. I thought that was the intent, so forgive me for that end, but yes, that's an element that necessarily needs to be in, because you need to have the power to do something if someone doesn't comply and just having words on a piece of paper, if it doesn't give you the power, then we need to make sure it's in this legislation so you have the power to act. So I thank you, I thank you for bringing clarity to that as well.

#### COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

Do you see anything else that's missing other than that that would prohibit you from supporting the bill?

CHIEF MORRIS: I think my co-presenters here brought up an issue about the ability to revoke or suspend a license during the licensure period; I think we discussed it was a two-year period...

[crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Right.

CHIEF MORRIS: so what would happen if we had an individual who had caused some issues during that two-year period; what would we do during that point; I think that's something we would have to look for...

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay.

CHIEF MORRIS: and examine a little bit.

ask you for what suggestions that... because I know in the previous bill the way it was laid out before, there were a whole host of things that were in there; some things got shifted around, moved out, because there was a fingerprint component that was tied to it at first in an effort to make sure we did weed out if someone was a pedophile or if someone had warrants,

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 2			
2	to understand that we won't give them, so that was			
3	kind of moved out; what kind of criteria would you			
4	add into the bill that would you say might give it			
5	more teeth for your operational purposes?			
6	AMIT S. BAGGA: So pursuant to our			
7	testimony, I think we find it critical that the bil			

testimony, I think we find it critical that the bill contain ability specifically authorizing DCA to suspend, revoke or fail to renew a license for essentially problematic behavior... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay.

2.2

2.3

AMIT S. BAGGA: and I should correct myself; I shouldn't have said license; I meant registration, which is what the... that's the regulatory framework that the bill creates is a registration, not a license. This is critical, from our perspective, because as the largest municipal consumer protection agency, if we are giving our imprimatur to an individual, what we are essentially implying to a consumer is that -- to a tourist in this case or in most cases -- is that it is somehow safe to be interacting with this particular individual [background comment] and we are very deeply concerned that considering the very problematic behavior that has been observed with

1

4

5

6

7

^

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2223

24

25

respect to costumed characters, specifically in Times Square and I believe the number of arrests since 2011 is 71 for a variety of different violations, that if DCA were to provide this type of registration, that we are sending a signal that it is completely safe to be engaging in a commercial transaction with this person and that if this person somehow engages in a problematic transaction with you, and some examples have included aggressive solicitation, holding children hostage for greater tips, et cetera, and we have some specific examples that we can provide you with, that if your registration is not taken away or is not somehow implicated when you engaging in that type of behavior, that we the City are saying that you are still able to be out there and be a costumed character and solicit tips and engage in commercial activity; that to us is a problem as a consumer protection agency and so for us, we would want to see the inclusion of that provision in this bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay. Mr. Chair, I don't have any more questions; I think we're all on the same page in regards to how we make sure that this bill is solid enough that it will pass and it's solid enough that it does what it's intended to do

2	

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

and that's to hold people accountable who are out there and identify the individuals who are out there and have a system in place; if you can't play by the rules, then there are some consequences. So I think all of us are clear on; I know I'm clear on; that's my overall intent. You know when my granddaughter went out there and Strawberry Shortcake snatched the head off a 5-year-old, you know that messes up reality because for kids, that's reality for them, whether it's you know, Dora or anybody else they're watching, that's their reality, so for someone to violate the innocence of a child that way, they definitely need to be held accountable, so I will look forward to working with the chair and working with you to put the teeth in you think that's necessary without log-jamming it so we can actually move it forward. So I understand and I hear you clearly, so I'm looking forward to us putting something together that makes sense that we all can move forward with together.

AMIT S. BAGGA: Thank you Council Member;

I'd just like to quickly add that we do very much

support in general the concept of a regulatory

framework here and we do seek to work very closely

#### COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with the Council on creating a regulatory framework
that works for both the administration and the
Council.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you, Councilman King. I just wanna say that you know I do hear the NYPD's call for having more teeth and be able to go after these costumed characters who are not obeying the law or give you the power to be able to remove them in a way that this bill is gonna allow you to. But I have concerns with the DCA portion and it's because of that... we're talking about a license and registration, right; a license is giving the person the ability to go out and do their business and the registration is just for us to know who they are when they're out there in the street; right? you know, I would have to respectfully disagree with your point, 'cause I do believe that these people do have the right to dress up and do the work they're doing and we shouldn't have the power to remove that right from them; if NYPD feels that they should intervene and remove them off the streets, I think they should have that authority to do that and they

#### COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

should be able to be registered, so that way we can just know who they are when they're out there with their faces covered.

AMIT S. BAGGA: Thank you Chair Espinal for those thoughts. I think, you know, we are very much in agreement in that we certainly don't seek in any way to take an overly aggressive approach towards costumed characters or one that somehow signals that we intend to criminalize them; I don't think that that's certainly the intention of DCA or the administration overall. I do think that if the intent of this particular bill is to simply create a registration that perhaps an area of discussion is where is the best place for that registration to live and hopefully that's something that we can continue to discuss with respect to the bill.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay, great.

Alright, thank you, thank you for your testimony;

appreciate it.

CHIEF MORRIS: You're very welcome, sir.

[background comment]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: I would also like to do a little housekeeping. We have been joined by Julissa Ferreras-Copeland from Queens and Karen

2.2

2.3

Koslowitz from Queens. We're gonna put this meeting on a hold to switch -- for us to vote on a bill.

[pause]

Yeah, we're gonna vote on a bill and then we'll come back to talking on the original bill.

Okay. Yeah, this is a different law, different bill.

[background comments] No, you will have a chance to speak; we're gonna put this hearing on hold; we're gonna move on to another issue for 10 minutes and then we'll come back to this issue.

[pause]

Int. 1006-A. Int. 1006-A acknowledges that times have changed and the government needs to keep pace with the times. The bill would repeal DCA licensing for motion picture projectionists. At one time movie-going could be dangerous; nitrate film was highly flammable and carbon arc lighting required a film changeover every 20 minutes as the carbon rods burned out. The work required special skills and training; DCA tested and licensed projectionists to ensure projectionists were properly trained and qualified.

# COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2	Film technology has advanced greatly
3	since this license was first implemented, starting in
4	the 1950s, with safer film and more efficient xenon
5	bulbs. In the mid 1990s, digital film revolutionized
6	the movie industry; today the vast majority of movies
7	are made on digital film and projected by digital
8	machines that operate at the push of a button.
9	Digital projection is safer and far less labor-
10	intensive than traditional projection was. Digital
11	film is often delivered remotely via satellite or on
12	a hard drive or other digital media and the
13	projectors operate at the push of a button. The new
14	technology has rendered the old licensee scheme
15	obsolete. Of course, there are few theaters that
16	might periodically roll out the old film projector
17	for special events and screenings; in those cases
18	state law imposes a requirement [inaudible] ensure
19	public safety. The movie industry is central to New
20	York City's consumer and businesses alike;
21	Int. 1006-A will update the City's licensing laws to
22	ensure that regulation is tailored to respond to the
23	consumer's interest and to minimize burdens on
24	businesses.

Int. 0467-A and if someone does come in to vote, we

25

will switch back over to hold the vote, but we will
put the vote on hold and continue hearing

Int. 0467-A.

2.2

2.3

[gavel]

I would like to call up the next panel; we have Johanna Zaki from the Alliance for Coney

Island, Jack Friedman from the Times Square Alliance and we have Jim Caras from Borough President Brewer's office. You may begin; just state your name before you give your testimony.

JOHANNA ZAKI: Thank you. My name is Johanna Zaki; I'm the Executive Director for the Alliance for Coney Island.

Thank you, Chairman Espinal and members of the committee for allowing me the opportunity to testify on Proposed Int. 0467-A related to the registration of costumed characters engaged in solicitation.

Again, my name is Johanna Zaki and I'm the Executive Director of the Alliance for Coney Island, a nonprofit organization dedicated to improving the quality of life of the community and transforming Coney Island into a year round world-class recreational destination.

Since 2012, the Alliance for Coney Island

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

3 has worked with local business owners and community stakeholders to raise the profile of the people's 4 playground, drawing visitors from throughout the five 6 7 programming, such as Friday Night Fireworks, Flicks

boroughs and well beyond. Through expanded

on the Beach and holiday programs, distribution of 8 Coney Island Fun Map and supplemental sanitation

services, the Alliance has helped transform Coney 10

Island's Boardwalk into a tourist destination. 11

Last year, throughout the summer season, over five million visitors enjoyed everything Coney Island has to offer, from Nathan's Famous hotdogs to Coney Island Cyclones, the new Thunderbolt, Deno's Wonder Wheel, and countless other businesses and attractions.

However, as Coney Island has reemerged as a hot spot for tourists, we have also faced new challenges on the Boardwalk. Last summer costumed characters dressed as Elmo, SpongeBob and other popular cartoon characters began to take up residence on the Boardwalk, demanding tips for pictures with unsuspecting tourists; these characters and other unlicensed vendors detract from the experience of the

#### COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

Boardwalk, hurt local small businesses and leaves
visitors with a negative impression of our community.
Coney Island faces unique challenges in regulating
this type of commercial activity; unlike Times
Square, the Boardwalk falls under the jurisdiction of
the Parks Department, which has rules in place to
regulate commercial activity. The registration
requirement proposed in Int. 0467-A removes the
anonymity of the costumed individuals and combined
with increased enforcement of park rules will provide
additional protection for tourists who face
aggressive solicitation from costumed individuals.

Coney Island is known for its unique performers who draw spectators from all over the world; the Alliance for Coney Island will celebrate the spirit of these performers at our very first Coney Island Busker Festival this summer; they are part of Coney Island's history and support other amusements and attractions in the area. The costumed characters on the other hand create a negative impression on visitors, drawing customers away from the Boardwalk and Coney Island small businesses. For this reason the Alliance supports the goal of Int. 0467-A to increase regulation of costumed

#### COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

2.3

2 individuals engaged in solicitation, taking into
3 account any contingencies necessary for enforcement.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

JACK FRIEDMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman; my name is Jack Friedman; I'm representing the Times Square Alliance today and our President, Tim Tompkins; I want to send his regards; he was unable to be here this morning. But we'd like to thank you and especially Council Member King for your leadership on this issue from the very beginning, starting several years ago and also to thank each of the council members who have helped to shape Int. 0467-A.

As you know, starting several years ago, residents, workers and visitors to Times Square expressed growing concern about quality of life issues and aggressive behavior on Times Square's pedestrian plazas, often involving costumed characters whose identify was obscured. When an initial bill, introduced by Council Member King to address this issue, supported by the Alliance, did not pass, the Mayor's Times Square Task Force ultimately recommended a number of actions, including the passage of legislation that authorizes the

\_ \_

2.3

Department of Transportation to write general and site-specific regulations for pedestrian plazas

[inaudible] that codified into city law this April with the passage of Int. 1109-B.

Accordingly, our top priority now is working with the City to implement the provisions of that law, specifically DOT's ability to create zones on the Times Square plazas where designated solicitation for tips by costumed characters and other solicitors can occur, while also preserving space on the plazas where such activity cannot take place. This scheme will also allow costumed characters and others to continue earning a living in Times Square but will let visitors and New Yorkers alike avoid solicitation if they so choose.

At the same time, we agree with Council
Member King and the authors of Int. 0467-A that the
kind of registration scheme they have proposed may
well be another useful tool for addressing improper
behavior by anonymous characters, should it continue
even after the implementation of the zones. Our
understanding is that attempts in the past by the
NYPD and the DA to take legal action against
characters who have engaged in aggressive

$\sim$	
٠,	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

2.3

\_ \_

24

25

solicitation, intimidation, verbal harassment and other questionable behaviors have been made more difficult by the challenge of identifying which of many identical costumed characters actually engaged in the unlawful behavior. Above all, we wanna be explicit and clear that we respect and support the fact that many costumed characters are honest players trying to earn a living. Times Square has always been a place that has celebrated expression; the issue is the behavior of certain bad actors who take advantage of the cloak of anonymity to repeatedly hassle or hustle people; as we've said many times, quirky is fine, but creepy is not. When we cite bad incidents, we are not casting aspersions upon the many characters that we think are honestly trying to earn a living; indeed, we think a rational registration system as outlined in this bill can potentially be an additional tool both to validate the legitimacy and affirm the innocence of the many hard- and honest-working costumed characters out there who we support.

If properly crafted and thoughtfully implemented, a registration system can potentially improve the current situation in three important

2.2

ways. If someone has a recent or substantial
criminal record involving harassment or predatory
behavior, registration may disincentivize them from
proceeding. Registration also potentially creates
accountability for the characters in the same way
that the name on a police badge or the name on a taxi
medallion helps create a culture where those who are
interacting with the public know they can be
identified and held accountable and thus are less
likely to behave unlawfully. Finally, registration
also potentially makes it easier for Consumer Affairs
to track recidivist offenders who repeatedly engage
in questionable behavior, such as a Spider-Man who
was recently arraigned in Manhattan criminal court or
his second assault charge in the last few months
alone for kicking a tourist over a tip.

While we have not yet had the opportunity to discuss Int. 0467-A with Council or administration staff and thus reserve our thoughts with respect to the specifics of the bill, lest there be items we do not fully understand, we do wish once again to say our deep gratitude to the council members, especially Council Member King for his early and activist leadership on this issue, and to the Consume Affairs

## COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2 Committee chair and members for their thoughtful consideration of this bill.

2.2

2.3

In sum, we do believe that Int. 0467-A can potentially be an additional useful tool in addressing those who take advantage of anonymity to repeatedly engage in unlawful predatory behavior while also legitimizing the many honest and hardworking characters who are just trying to earn a living. Thank you.

and members of the Consumer Affairs Committee. My name is Jim Caras and I'm General Counsel and Land Use Director for Manhattan Borough President Gale A. Brewer. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today on Int. 0467-A and the subject of the registration of costumed individuals. We would like to thank Council Member King and the committee for pursuing this legislation.

As you know, at this point Times Square is the area in greatest need of regulation relating to costumed characters; we want costumed characters to be able to operate in a manner that allows them to earn a living and provide entertainment, but not in a manner that allows abuses to go unaddressed because

/

2.3

of their anonymity. As we testified at the original hearing on the legislation, the Manhattan Borough President believes licensing or registering these costumed characters should be a tool in addressing this phenomenon so that good actors can operate in a better environment and bad actors can be identified.

First, the Borough President would like to thank the Council staff for reaching out as they worked on amending this legislation. Borough President Brewer supports the registration approach as one that is protective of expressive conduct; we would recommend that the committee consider reducing the \$30 registration fee to \$10 or \$20 and include a provision that the registration or a temporary registration be promptly issued upon presentation to the Department of Consumer Affairs of the require materials. In this way, no one will be able to claim that we are restraining anyone's right to express their inner superhero.

In addition, we know there must be careful balancing between the First Amendment protections for expressive conduct and the City's interest in protecting the public from being targeted by characters who may have engaged in egregious

-	
2	conduct. However, we would urge the committee to
3	consider if registrations could be suspended or not
4	renewed if the registrant has engaged in any type of
5	aggressive criminal conduct. We understand that the
6	types of violations found in other licensing schemes
7	which can result in suspension and revocation, such
8	as locational requirements and payment of taxes,
9	could create legal difficulties when applied to
10	expressive commercial conduct, but we believe that
11	narrowly tailored requirements preventing aggressive
12	behavior would withstand judicial scrutiny.
13	Thank you for the opportunity to testify
14	today.
15	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you so much;
16	I appreciate it.
17	JIM CARAS: Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Let's
19	call up the next panel; we have Jose Escalona.
20	[pause] [00:56:10 Spanish]

JOSE ESCALONA-MARTINEZ: My name is Jose Escalona-Martinez and I am here because I am totally against this Int. 0467-A, against. Why I'm against; I'm gonna say why. Most of the people who are here right now testifying, they even put a statement that

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they don't even [inaudible]; I don't know why they wanna do it, but I hope that this come to [inaudible] you know and people can understand what is the reality or the situation.

For example, I'm talking about freedom,

you know; nobody can control my freedom at all and I have the fundamental right to walk freely in Times Square and walk in Coney Island and anywhere and dress whatever I want to dress; right? Nobody can tell me that I need to have a license because I already have a driver license and any police officer come to me and ask me for ID or a driver license, here you go, you know, I keep it right here. So this is my colleagues right here, my friend, you know; they have a driver license as well and why should I have some patch over here so that means that we are gonna be working for the government, for you all so you guys gonna be... to put us in peril? This is what I really want, to really wanna know, because what is the meaning to give us an ID when I already got ID; you know. I got ID right here in my hand and you want to give me another ID? So the police officers who were here, the commissioner and everybody that was here before, they were talking about to have to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

control; can you see; can you notice; they just don't want us to be out there, they just want to have the control, even if you guys give an ID, you know, they just want to take us control and that is not gonna happen, because I standup right now with all my colleagues; if we wanna lawsuit the City anytime sooner and I'm already trying to planning it with my lawyer and I'm gonna do it; if you guys don't wanna stop with this situation, this is not a threat; I will do it and I will do it and everybody gonna follow what I'm saying, you know. So please, bring the [inaudible], you know; don't bring... money's not important you know in this life; what is important is happiness; we need to be happy... I feel happy the way He said about Spider-Man a few minutes ago; he don't even know what he's talking about. I was the one who take the video to the New York Post; New York Post, without my permission, to the print shop [sic] and post the world [sic] picture, when Spider-Man was trying to defend himself, because the guy was one aggressor; I have the whole video, you know. Spider-Man never kicked a kid; that never happened; the guy was the one who fight Spider-Man and the Spider-Man was the one to call the police, so this guy over here

listened to the news, but nobody know. For example,
in the very beginning over here, in the last meeting,
they talking about the [inaudible] arrest; I have
four; I got myself four; three not guilty, another
one for felony, they drop it to a misdemeanor; offer
me to take community service. Check it out; to tell
you the truth, they need to drop it or take it to the
court; like I say, I'm not guilty; I'm not the kind
of person who go over there to run with a [inaudible]
running away, you know, this is not me, but they did
and they put me all over the news and the City needs
to pay for that damage they causing to me. You know
now, all these costume characters right here, they
are just human beings, you know; there is a lot of
people down there; they appreciate us, there is a
whole bunch of people [inaudible] the news about
everything, you know, they put us bad reputation,
because before we wasn't like that, but as soon as
the City and the news and the police, you know
[inaudible] to be right there, you know what they
did; bad reputation put people in jail. I wanna see
how many guys you find guilty; I wanna see how many
guilty people the police find when they arrest
costumed characters. They accused Woody for touching

2.2

2.3

somebody; you guys said it right here a few minutes ago. Woody's not guilty; Woody [inaudible] touched somebody and I got Woody form and I can bring... they dismiss, they seal [sic], you know. Woody was not guilty. So I just wonder if all these things are [sic] being made up by the City, by the police, by internal affairs; what's going on? Come on, let be Times Square and in focuses [sic] and fight terrorism [sic], you know; find those who are selling drugs, you know; those who destroy [inaudible]; you know how? Showing practice that they're not supposed to do, you know. In situations like you know, costumed characters, they are there for the kids; people love it in Times Square; we are the attraction, you know.

And another thing that I would like to finish with this situation is; we use Times Square; Times Square cannot use us, you know, we are the ones who use Times Square; we are freely to walk; I don't have a license on my back, you know they... here, you need to park in [sic] over there if you want to be like a costumed character. Think about it, what I'm gonna say. Disney [sic] don't sell me the Batman; Batman, I bought it for just a [sic] replica; this is sole the license do the replica [sic]. Now, did the

replica give me sell me the costume for \$300, \$3,500
I pay and I wear it for what; to put in where; on my
house; hanger? No, I wear it wherever I want. So if
people wanna give me some tips; police officer, the
City, the internal affair can tell the people do not
give me tip, do not do this; do not do that because
this is against the law. We can take this one to the
Supreme Court; the Supreme Court will understand, you
know, because legislators just made law, but it
doesn't mean that they are right, you know, because
sometimes you guys listen when somebody hey, this
is what happened; this is what happened; this is what
happened, but what about us, you know, they don't
know probably how to express, you know, but I am; I
know, I know how to express myself and I have a
feeling and I am a human being and I hope these
complaints, because this is more worse than Donald
Trump, this is more worse than that. Do you wanna
see something worse? Costume characters, "we are
gonna get rid of costume characters." Stop that,
please; it's not costume characters, you know; it's
terrorists, it's those who really big crimes. Now, I
said to the police, you know, those who commit crime,
take it, prosecute, put [inaudible] in jail, you

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 45
2	know, find guilty and show to the world look, we've
3	got a Spider-Man in jail for one year, three months
4	because he did such, such crime, you know. Where is
5	somebody… I wanna see any costumed character in jail,
6	where is it; they [inaudible] them not guilty, go.
7	You know what I mean; that's what I'm talking about.
8	Stop accusing costumed characters and ruining their
9	reputations, because right there right now you can
10	imagine how costumed characters feel, you know; feel
11	like is hurt; there is so many that right now they
12	can't even pay their bills, they can do nothing
13	because people just don't even they're looking like
14	nasty. For what? You guys right there, the news
15	media, boom stop this please.
16	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Alright, thank you;
17	I'm gonna ask you to wrap it up so we can
18	[inaudible] [crosstalk]
19	JOSE ESCALONA-MARTINEZ: Yes. Would you
20	like to ask any questions?
21	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Are you done?
22	JOSE ESCALONA-MARTINEZ: Yeah.
23	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Okay. I appreciate
24	your testimony. Andy; do you have any questions?
25	[background comments]

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: One thing.

1 2 JOSE ESCALONA-MARTINEZ: one thing is 3 completely... if you really want to know what we can make [inaudible] safe, is to put those who commit 4 5 crime right there, find them guilty and put 'em right there three months in jail, just like that, simple, 6 7 find 'em guilty. That's the only thing, if you can... 8 because Times Square is safe. Times Square is safe; there is no place more safe than Times Square in New York City. 10 11 COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay. Alright. Thank you; appreciate your testimony... [crosstalk] 12 13 JOSE ESCALONA-MARTINEZ: You're welcome. 14 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. 15 believe that's everyone; I don't believe there's 16 anyone else who is left to testify, so with that 17 said, thank you Councilman Andy King for all your 18 work and putting this bill forward. I also would 19 like to acknowledge that we have been joined by my 20 colleague from Brooklyn, Vinnie Gentile, but the 21 hearing on Int. 0467-A is over; we're gonna switch over to a vote on 1006-A. 2.2

[gavel]

COMMITTEE CLERK: Committee on...

25 [crosstalk]

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 49
2	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: We will vote on
3	1006-A. Clerk, will you please call the roll?
4	COMMITTEE CLERK: Committee on Consume
5	Affairs, continuation of roll call, Int. 1006-A.
6	Council Member Gentile.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I vote aye.
8	COMMITTEE CLERK: Final vote stands at 5
9	in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and no
10	abstentions.
11	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. So with
12	that vote we will close out 1006-A.
13	[gavel]
14	[background comments]
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 25, 2016