CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON SANITATION AND SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

----- X

May 4, 2016

Start: 1:39 p.m. Recess: 2:12 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm.

14th Fl

B E F O R E: ANTONIO REYNOSO

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Andy L. King

Vanessa L. Gibson

Costa G. Constantinides

Steven Matteo

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

[sound check, pause, background comments, pause] [gavel]

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Okay, so thank you to everyone for your patience, and to all my colleagues for being here. This is an important day an important vote. We want to make sure that every opinion is heard, and that every council member is present, and we have one more colleague that will be joining us in a few. I've been joined by my colleagues from the committee, Council Member Matteo, Council Member Gibson, and Council Member Costa Constantinides, and also members or the sponsors of the sent--Introduction 209 that we will be voting on today. Council Member Margaret Chin and Council Member Brad Lander. I'd actually like to call on the two co-sponsors of the bill to make statements regarding this bill, and then we'll open it up to a So Council Member Brad Lander from Brooklyn. vote.

much Chair Reynoso for this opportunity and also for your support of the bill and for the close work that you and your staff have done with Council Member Chin and I, and this wonderful coalition of community, environmental and climate justice groups on Intro

25

2 209-A, the bring-your-own bag bill. We're thrilled to be here to be voting--to be voting on it in 3 4 committee today. We all know plastic bags are a problem. We see them in our trees, our streets. They clog up our storm drains and our recycling 6 7 equipment. They become part of giant island of 8 plastic sludge in the ocean where they harm wild life. Every year New Yorkers discard nine billion single-use plastic bags totaling nearly 100,000 tons 10 11 of solid waste. They never decompose. They simply break into smaller pieces, and it's worth remembering 12 13 that they're made from petroleum and non-renewable 14 fossil fuel. About 12 million barrels of oil a year 15 is used in making the plastic bags that are used in 16 the United States. So the question is what to do 17 about them, and the beauty and the challenge of this 18 problem is that we can solve it together through very 19 simple actions that we can all take. All we have to 20 do is simply bring our own bags when we go shopping. 21 It's not that hard. Every single New Yorker 2.2 remembers to do things like this every day. We bring 2.3 our Metro Card to ride the train. We bring our keys when we leave the house. We bring lunches in a bag 24

from home. When people go shopping many already

2 bring a card to a car. We bring laptops, toolkits, 3 briefcase, purses, or prayer shawls. We can remember 4 to bring reusable bags. I have 20 in this one. You can easily do a whole week's shopping in the bags. As Margaret and I have shown many times, you can 6 7 carry one very small one in your purse or your 8 briefcase. It's easy to do, and overwhelming evidence from cities and countries around the world shows that a simple five cent fee on single-use 10 11 carryout bags will help get us all to do it. Bag 12 fees have been implemented successfully in cities and 13 countries all around the world. Washington, DC's fee has led 80% of residents across lines of race, 14 15 income, neighborhood to begin bringing their own 16 bags, and reduce bag waste by over 60%. San Jose 17 reduced plastic bag litter by 89% in the storm drain 18 systems and 59% in city streets. In San Jose the average number of bags that a folk--a person brought 19 20 to a supermarket or grocery store decreased for a 21 three-bag average to a .3 bag average because people 2.2 started bringing their own bags. And bag fees are 2.3 also in place in Seattle, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Austin, Minneapolis, and in countries as diverse as 24 25 Germany, Belgium, Great Britain, France, Israel and

2 South Africa. The answer is not just to switch from 3 plastic to paper bags by banning plastic bags. 4 could actually lead to more solid waste since most paper bags don't get recycle and they weigh more than 5 plastic bags as well. Now, it's no secret that this 6 7 bill has many opponents, and--and we'll hear from 8 some of them today, and how could it not? It works by irritating us in the remembering to bring reusable bags. So I understand why people find it irritating, 10 11 and many people just don't believe that we will 12 overwhelmingly change our behavior with just this 13 simple fee even though all the evidence says it will. So we understand the opposition, and I really want to 14 15 appreciate that this body has been able to debate and 16 disagree about this bill in a respectful and 17 appropriate manner. There's nothing wrong with disagreement or with a close vote. In fact, that's 18 19 what we're supposed to do, and it makes me proud to 20 be a member of this council. But I do want to 21 correct a few myths that have been floating around, 2.2 many of which have been spread by the plastic bag 2.3 industry, which has spent tens of thousands of dollars for the obvious reason that they make money 24 25 selling those nine billion bags. First, bag fees

25

don't harm low-income families or seniors. The 2 evidence from around the country is clear when bag 3 4 fees go into effect more than 80% of people again from every community across lines of race, age, gender, class, neighborhood start bringing reusable 6 bags. It doesn't matter whether you're rich or poor. 7 No one wants to pay that nickel, and everyone is able 8 to remember to bring reusable bags instead. people started to get used to it pretty quickly. In 10 11 DC more than 80% of people said they don't mind or 12 actively support the law. Fewer than 20% say they 13 are bothered by the law, and again that's consistent across neighborhood and race. Myth 2, plastic bags 14 15 cannot be economically recycled. We did an extensive 16 fact finding effort with Novolex, the largest 17 supplier of plastic bags in the United States, and 18 one of the main sources of funding for opposition to this bill, and they actually own a plant in Wisconsin 19 20 that has recycling technology. But the fact is this: 21 Novolex has made very clear to us that they don't 2.2 have any interest in opening up a plant here to wash, 2.3 shred, pelletize and recycle bags. Why? Because it's not economically feasible. It is sadly much 24

cheaper to make new plastic from petroleum than it is

2 to wash, shred, pelletize and recycle plastic bags. 3 That's why Sim's Recycling, which has tons and tons, 4 tens of thousands of tons of plastic waste every 5 year, has been looking for years to find someone who will take and recycle their plastic waste, and they 6 7 cannot find it. And I know some people think that 8 the plastic bags they bring back to the pharmacy or the supermarket and put in the bins get recycled. But you know where those plastic bags go? They go to 10 11 landfills because it's not economically feasible to 12 recycle them. One other thing you'll hear is that 13 recycle--reusable bags have been linked to disease. For this, I'll simply say that all the research that 14 15 has purported to show this has been funded by the 16 plastics industry in Consumer Reports, that gold 17 standard for independent information for consumers 18 has declared it false. I'll add, yesterday a new-just yesterday a new sort of whisper campaign started 19 20 because all of these fees are structured. 21 is structured like all of them that stores must 2.2 charge at least five cents. We don't put a ceiling 2.3 or a price ceiling on it any more than we do on a loaf of bread or a gallon of milk, but I have to say 24 25 this is just plain silly. Stores are legally allowed

25

to charge for bags now just like any other product. 2 3 They don't charge more than their competitors because 4 customers would go to different stores. And those few stores that are in the business of price gouging their customers have far easier ways to do so by 6 7 adding prices to the items that are on the shelves 8 like the milk and the bread and the eggs. Bill all over the country and the world are structured just like this one, and we have literally not one example 10 11 that we've heard of a place where people start 12 charging above the minimum required charges. 13 just a--a scare tactic. The sponsors of this bill are very committed and--and Council Member Chin has 14 15 been the most dogged in doing this of anyone. We are 16 working hard to give away reusable bags, and we're 17 going to do it. The bill--the law actually requires 18 the City to engage in a large scale public-private 19 giveaway focusing on low-income communities and 20 senior to make sure all New Yorkers have the reusable 21 bags they need to avoid paying the fee, and the city 2.2 will also engage in extensive outreach in multiple 2.3 languages including in ethnic and community media to let people know about the charge. We'll make sure 24

that people have the reusable bags that they need,

25

and we'll keep a close eye on how it's working. 2 3 There's an annual waste stream report to check and 4 make sure that we're getting the reductions we want, and after two years a survey on people's attitudes and practices, and if those studies show a need for a 6 7 change, then we'll come back here and make the 8 changes that are necessary to strengthen it. It's not yet time to take a victory laugh. This vote will probably be the closet vote in the City Council this 10 11 term, but enormous work has gone into this. So I do 12 want to say a few brief thank you. First of all, Council Member Chin and her staff have been 13 incredible co-sponsors and partners in getting us 14 15 here and to her--and her whole team. New York's environment is lucky to have you. 16 To our Chair 17 Reynoso and his staff, the Council and committee 18 staff especially Colin Howell have been extraordinary My staff over the years, Michael Freedman-19 20 Schnapp and Ben Smith, now gone, but also Annie 21 Levers, John Schaffer, and Rachel Goodman. To the 2.2 Speaker and her office who have supported us and made 2.3 it possible. Sanitation Commissioner Garcia has been a great ally as well as other members of the de 24

Blasio Administration. And we have some great

25

2 retailers who have been partners, too. Businesses 3 like Sahadis, and also some of the trade associations 4 like the Food Industry Alliance and the New York 5 Metropolitan Retail Alliance who have helped us work to make this work for our retailers. And finally, a 6 7 tremendous coalition of advocates too big to name 8 them all, but the New York League of Conservation Voters and Yatan Lu, Natural Resources Defense Council, climate justice groups, public housing 10 11 resident leaders. An amazing coalition of young 12 people and students from Hewitt School and the 13 Cafeteria of Culture, Citizens Committee for New York 14 City and, of course, Jenny Romer, the foremost 15 national expert on plastic bag laws. Now has been 16 tremendous, not in the way that's entirely serious. 17 We've given this so much research and attention to 18 try to make it work right, and we've been lucky to 19 have the best expert to do it. So, to our colleagues 20 who support the bill, to Council Member 21 Constantinides and to Council Member King we give 2.2 deep thanks. To those who oppose the bill, I want to 2.3 thank you for a respectful debate, and I will simply say that I have been offering this bet to council 24

members and I'll put it on the record. We go stand

_	
П	
- 1	
_	

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

at the--six months after this bill goes into effect, we'll go stand at the checkouts at a supermarket, and we'll see whether more or fewer than half the folks have started bringing reusable bags. And if fewer than half have started bringing reusable bags at your local supermarket, I'll--we'll--I'll buy you dinner and some reusable bags to go along with it. [laughter] You know, what I think is exciting is that even though this is contentious today, what it's going to mean for New York is that together we're going to achieve something really significant, a reduction of tens of thousands of tons of solid waste, a reduction of billions of single-use plastic bags every year. So, Mr. Chair, I apologize for the lengthy statement but I really appreciate the opportunity to you and to all of my colleagues. CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Absolutely. Thank you, Council Member Brad Lander and because one of

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Absolutely. Thank you, Council Member Brad Lander and because one of our colleagues has to go to vote in another committee, Council Member Andy King, we're going to open it up to the Clerk for a vote.

CLERK: William Martin, Committee Clerk, roll call vote Committee on Sanitation and Solid

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Waste Management. Introduction 209-A, Council Member
King.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Yeah, absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: I'm going to be quick, but Council Member Chin and Council Member Lander, I want to thank you for a spirited conversation when it comes to how do we protect our environment. I will say over the last year the conversation I've had with neighbors, friends and colleagues was one that was enlightened, bizarre at times and informative. I know we've got a lot of work that we've got to get done in the City Council and when we start talking about plastic bags, I sometimes jump back when I'[m looking at people who needed some place to live, who need employment opportunities of placing what our real values are. just know at the end of the day that this piece of legislation wherever it goes that it across--across New York City that it's fairly distributed. That means what? Someone who is going to a Gristedes on 86th and York is given the same treatment as someone who is on 149th Street and Third Avenue.

25

dist--that at no borough in the--that there's--no 2 3 communities are being treated any differently. I--I 4 would hate to see a bill get passed, and then in some 5 areas the law has a greater impact than, you know, some communities that's because of income. 6 7 want us to be mindful where--wherever this bill goes 8 that all communities participate and the business people participate fairly, and that if we're giving bags out, I know I saw something about two times a 10 11 year, if we need to give out more bags, then let's 12 give out more bags. But let people understand, and 13 explain this fairly. The -- one of the things that 14 bothers me in the world of politics the pros and the 15 cons that people get paid to have a conversation. There's a good and a bad to everything. But how do 16 17 we set the values of what's really important in our 18 society? If we're saying that this is important, 19 then we need to have real conversations to our 20 community that we represent and understand what we're 21 doing, and we don't get -- trip -- trip ourselves up in 2.2 sound bites or mis-education. So, with that all 2.3 being said, I stood out with my--to my communities in front of grocery stores, train stations. We got 24

their opinion and find out what they wanted to do.

2.2

2.3

They thought five cents was not much to ask for, but we've got to understand five cents could mean a lot to some communities. We've got to understand that if five cents is going to be five cents, no one can't up the ante and say because the paperwork said I can charge 12 cents, I'm charging 12 cents. So I'm saying to us let's be real mindful, and if anybody violates or exploits any community that we come back and have a conversation about this bill, and make sure we do right by New Yorkers as well as the businesses in New York. So that all being said, me and my community I am voting aye today and congratulations.

Member Andy King. [laughs] And I know have a-another council member that is also supposed to be at
another committee hearing, but-- All right, obviously
there's a conflict, and we're going to need to
resolve it. Good job. [laughter] Good job, City
Council. Now, I'm going to call on the other cosponsor Council Member Margaret Chin from Manhattan.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you, Chair.

I'm going to be brief since Council Member Lander

explained everything in the bill. But I just want to

25

take this opportunity to thank you, Chair, for really 2 3 working with us, working with Council Member Lander 4 and I to push this legislation forward, and I know Andy--Council Member King just left, but I really 5 wanted to thank you. And we had so many 6 7 conversations over the years, and, I'm glad that he 8 is supportive. The bill -- the goal of the bill is very simple. We need to reduce the nine billion plastic bags that are in the city landfills clogging 10 11 up storm drains, hanging on our trees, polluting our 12 cities, and the solution is so equally so simple. 13 just need to encourage New Yorkers to bring their own reusable bags. Little tiny bags they can pull out to 14 15 become a big bag, and they don't have to pay. Just--16 they don't have to pay a cent. Just bring your own 17 bag, and these bags are so tiny enough you could put 18 it in your pocket. I mean guys who don't have a 19 pocketbook you put it in your pocket or hanging on 20 your belt chain. You could do a lot for the 21 environment just to do that, and the member version 2.2 to lower fee is because we have, you know, talked to 2.3 a lot of colleagues in the Council in the community to really make a more powerful and more receptive to-24

-to lower the price to five cents. But we know that

1	SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 1/
2	it will still help us reduce the plastic bags, and I
3	really also wanted to thank our Speaker for
4	supporting. We have the majority of the City Council
5	supporting us, and the Mayor. I think he also
6	supports us, and we have a really strong diverse
7	coalition that Council Member Lander talked about,
8	and that has continued to grow. So this little small
9	charge on this bill that five cents is going to have
10	a huge impact on our environment. So I am so glad
11	that we finally got to this point, and I am looking
12	forward to going out to every single community that
13	wants to be out there with them [coughing] in the
14	Bronx, Staten Island to give out reusable bags.
15	Council Member you could design your own bag.
16	[laughs]
17	CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you for that.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you, Council
20	Member Chin. I want my bags with Council Member
21	Matteos' face on it. [laughter]
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: [off mic] As long
23	as they have price on there. (sic)

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: So, I agree. I

agree. Those are actually--both are very expensive.

24

25

2.2

2.3

2 So we might not want them. So, I'd actually like to now call on the clerk to vote.

CLERK: Continuation of roll call Committee on Sanitation. Chair Reynoso.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: I'd like to pass so}$ that my colleagues to vote first.

CLERK: Oh, okay. Council Member Gibson.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Mr. Chair,

permission to explain my vote.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Absolutely.

much. So I, too, will attempt to be brief. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. It's really a great honor and pleasure to be here, and I really want to thank the sponsors of Intro 209, Council Members Brad Lander and Margaret Chin. This bill has had so much conversation I'm blue in the face. We have talked about this bill for almost two years now, and as a member of this committee, I really want the public to understand that this is a bill that does not have a lot of—just not ramifications, but this bill did not pass lightly. There were a lot of conversations, a lot of contentious conversations, and certainly my remarks and my vote is just the fact that I represent

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

a borough in the--in community in the Bronx, and I've looked at this bill. I've gotten lots of concerns both supportive and against. I've talked to independent supermarket owners. I've talked to many of my tenant leaders, and I think the reality is that we should never assume that certain communities cannot conform to change because they're low-income, because they're on a fixed income, because they're seniors we should not assume that they are not able to sustain a change. What I think is something that we really need to keep in mind is that many families and many New Yorkers every day live a struggle, and they struggle to survive. They live paycheck to paycheck, and they have a lot of challenges that they face each and every day. And as a member of a community that has faced a lot of those challenges, it's really hard to understand that this bill will have more benefits that it will a burden. simply do not want to be a part of a conversation that places a further burden on an already burdened system of many of my families. Council Member King talked about a lot of the work we're doing around the Fight for 15, unemployment and, you know, the fact that a lot of families live in poverty each and every

25

2 day, and I don't take that lightly. Those are the 3 faces of the district I represent, and I've talked to 4 many of them, and I do believe that the intentions and really the concept of this bill is good. I do 5 think we need to do something. We care about the 6 7 environment. We care about waste. This bill really 8 stimulated a long conversation that was long overdue, and I agreed with that. I also agree that it is necessary to change bad behavior, and we do that 10 11 through a series of things. As a former state 12 elected official, we put taxes on tobacco and alcohol 13 because we recognize that people were dying from 14 alcoholism and--and liver and lung cancer. And so, I 15 recognize something has to be done, but what I think 16 and what I hope that this bill will ultimately do is 17 in the study I really am hoping that it will identify 18 several things. It will recognize that no matter 19 neighborhood you come from, we can adapt to change. 20 It will also stimulate a conversation around 21 education for people to be aware of some of the 2.2 hazardous and harmful products that we currently use. 2.3 And thirdly, it will be for me a -- a recognition that some of the already overburdened communities can 24

sustain something such as this. A lot of the

25

2 criticism that I got from my district in this bill 3 was the fact they don't feel comfortable giving money 4 to their business owners knowing that it's going back to them, and not going into an environmental program. So we face truck traffic and pollution and air 6 7 quality, and all these other air quality issues in 8 the Bronx. And so many residents have said well, why can't we use some of that money to focus on environmental programs so we can deal with the issues 10 11 we face in the South Bronx. So I'm hoping that 12 through this study we can try to identify some 13 alternatives, and I also want to be clear that I don't want Intro 209 to set a precedent. I don't 14 15 want us to say as a City Council and as an 16 administration that if we identify another product 17 that's not plastic bags, it is deemed hazardous and 18 dangerous to the environment, that our only solution is a tax, whether you call it a fee, a surcharge, it 19 is a tax, and tax is a tax is a tax. That is the 20 21 bottom line, and in this climate, I think we need to 2.2 have a lot more conversation about this bill and 2.3 other bills. I'm concerned about the -- the language of not less than five cents per bag. I do recognize 24

that while I want equity in my community, what do I

25

2 face now? I face supermarkets that charge higher 3 rates for their foods and products because they're in 4 low-income communities of color. That's what happens 5 right now, and so to give my constituents the reassurance that this bill is not going to target 6 7 them because of the neighborhood that they live in, I can't assure of that and I--I make no guarantees. 8 And so, I sit here as someone who has thought and prayed a lot about the ramifications of this bill, 10 11 and really at the end of the day, the -- the side of 12 the argument that I really want to be on. And so it-13 -it's no easy task to make these types of decisions on behalf of thousands of residents. Many of my 14 15 residents came to me in opposition, but I did have a 16 lot that came to me in support, but at the end of the 17 day as a legislator you have to make a decision and 18 stick with it. And so, that is exactly what I'm going to do, and I really want to thank Brad and 19 20 Margaret because they have talked to me quite a bit 21 about this bill, and really let me understand a lot 2.2 more. As elected officials, sometimes we need to do 2.3 more listening than talking, and I have been doing that because I really want to understand the 24

ramifications of this bill. And so with of that

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 1 being said, which is a lot, I--I do think it's 2 3 important for me to not vote on this bill, and to 4 really look at it a little bit further until tomorrow. And so I will be abstaining on this bill of Intro 209. Thank you very much. 6 7 CLERK: Constantinides. COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Aye. 8 9 CLERK: Matteo. COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: Costa, that was 10 11 quick. [laughter] 12 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINDIES: [off mic] 13 Not if you were on. (sic) 14 COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: Mr. Chair, can I 15 be excused to explain my vote? 16 CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Absolutely. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: Thank you. I 18 think everyone knows where I fall on this issue. 19 I've been very clear since day one the decision that 20 I'm going to vote no. It's been pretty easy for me 21 except that there's an amendment for my picture on 2.2 the bag. I--I do want to--I do want to start by 2.3 responding to some of my colleague's assertions in

the bill, but I want to start off by Brad's point

that this Council we have proven and as the

24

25

25

Republican leader I think for me more so than anyone 2 3 else who has probably voted no on more pieces of 4 legislation than anyone else in this Council, that we can agree to disagree. We can have substantive debate, and so I do appreciate that. You know, Brad 6 7 and I were--were just going over issues right before 8 the hearing, you know, right up to the point where I'm going to vote. And so I do appreciate the debate with--with not only Brad, with--with all of my 10 11 colleagues on this even though everyone knows where--12 where I'm going to wind up. So I'm just going to 13 respond to a few of your assertions, say a few of my own opinions and then I'm going to vote no. You 14 15 know, I've said time and time again over the last 16 year that this fee, you know, is really a tax on all 17 of our constituents. It's a tax that will take money 18 of my constituents' and everyone else's constituents' pockets. And adding insult to injury my opinion it--19 to put a fee to send it to the private businesses is 20 21 a precedent that we should not be setting. It's a 2.2 tax that in reality it will cost New Yorkers hundreds 2.3 of millions of dollars a year, and--and that's just the reality. From--from my constituents for 24

constituents on the South Shore of Staten Island,

25

another reality of this bill is that it's going to 2 3 make people go to New Jersey, and--and people have 4 said well, there's tolls. And people go to--Staten 5 Islanders go to Jersey now to save money on gas, to save money on lunch, on clothes, on--on shopping 6 7 already, you know, with deposit fees. It's just 8 another reason for Staten Islanders not to shop locally, and to head over to Jersey and spend the day there, and spend their hard earned money in a 10 11 different state, and that's the reality. That's the 12 reality of the people in my district and the people 13 in the South Shore. It's a hop, skip and a jump over the Goethals, Bayonne or Outerbridge and they do that 14 15 and they take their families there and they spend the 16 whole day there. That's something that I would rather have Staten Islanders stay on the island and 17 18 in the city. As I mentioned before, I think it dose set a dangerous precedent. I know my colleague 19 Vanessa Gibson is concerned about a precedent. I--20 it--it does set a dangerous precedent, and it's 21 2.2 solely enriches private businesses with no direct 2.3 public benefit from these funds. It doesn't go to education. It doesn't go to recycling. It doesn't 24

go to litter initiatives. It goes to private

25

That doesn't sit well with me. 2 businesses. 3 would be for, you know, a normal tax because I would 4 vote no on a normal tax anyway, but let's just be 5 clear, no fees, no taxes. Enough. You know, my constituents and, you know, I'm not going to vote on 6 7 legislation that continues to nickel and dime my 8 constituents. You know, the notion of plastic bags end up on trees. Yeah, they do, but that's human behavior. What are we going to do next? 10 11 going--we're going to look to put--add another ten 12 cents in the coffee cup because our constituents 13 continue to throw it in the street. You know, people litter and--and they don't get on plastic bags just 14 15 because they exist. They get on plastic bags because 16 people throw them in the streets, and throw them in 17 the wind, and it gets there. So, you know, part of 18 the--I don't think it's going to change behavior--I--19 I don't believe that this bill is going to change 20 that. Will there be less plastic bags? Yes, but 21 there's still going to be bags all over the place, on 2.2 the street, and my clean-up teams are just going to 2.3 start to go out and clean 300 locations that we do every year. The language in the bill that says not 24

less than five cents. I--I hear you Brad about a

25

2 scare tactic, but I'm a Republican. If you want 3 three-five cents, let's just say five cents. It--it 4 shouldn't be open to anything that -- interpretation where a business owner can charge 15 cents, 50 cents a dollar. If--if you want to say five cents, it 6 7 should be five cents. I disagree with your 8 assessment that bags can't be recycled, and I know we've had discussions on this throughout the last year and a half. I have had discussions with Sims 10 11 and--and--and those folks. I believe that this city 12 should be putting in the capital investment to 13 recycle the bags. I think they can be recycled. my--from my conversations I--I have a different 14 15 assessment than what you do on recycling. And at the 16 very least, then we should just have at least a 17 definitive study on recycling and -- or a definitive 18 discussion on just banning plastic bags or exploring 19 alternatives to plastics, single stream recycling. I'm willing to sit at the table. I've been willing 20 21 to sit at the table to come up with other options. 2.2 You know, I've worked in my district on eWaste to 2.3 reduce illegal dumping. I want to be at the table. I, you know, I wan to put all these initiatives up 24

I just don't believe that adding a fee, which

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 I still believe is a tax, reaches the goal--your 3 intended goal. So I think all these options should 4 be on the table, and--and, you now, I've said this is what I believe is bad policy. I think it's bad for the city and for my constituents and, you know, I've 6 7 said this over the last few years, if it smells like a tax, it looks like a tax, it acts like a tax, it's 8 a tax. And government should be looking at ways to make our constituents' lives easier, not adding more 10 11 regulations and more fees to--to their--to their backs and making life a little less--a little less 12 13 easier each day than a little bit more difficult. for all those reasons, I'm going to vote no, and send 14 it back to the chair.

> CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you.

CLERK: Chair Reynoso.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: Thank you guys for--for voting, and I will--I will tell you who it will be easier for are the families in the South Bronx, North--North Brooklyn and Southeast Queens who currently carry the burden of 75% are handling 75% of the city's trash. As the Chair of the Committee of Sanitation and Solid Waste Management, I have made sustainability and environmental justice my main

25

2 priorities. So I want to stress why this issue particularly affects low-income communities of color. 3 4 As you already heard from my colleagues, plastic bags account for 91,000 tons of waste into landfills every 5 year in New York City. [coughs] One sanitation 6 7 truck holds about 12 tons of waste meaning plastic bags account for more than 7,500 truck trips every 8 single year. These trucks travel primarily in three low-income communities of color where the majority of 10 11 waste is processed in New York City roads, and those 12 again are North Brooklyn, the South Bronx and 13 Southeast Queens. These trucks bring not just fumes, high levels of asthma and other respiratory problems, 14 15 noise pollution and dangerous streets to these 16 communities. Reducing plastic bag waste is just one 17 part of the city's larger goal setting zero waste 18 landfills by 2030. Reaching this goal would make a huge difference in quality of life in the 19 20 environmental justice community. I want to stress 21 again that this bill is not about charging people for 2.2 It's about encouraging people to use resuable 2.3 bags rather than plastic ones. The 34th District and these communities of colors--of color are ready and 24

excited to continue to fight for environmental

2	justice. Communities of color are not incapable of
3	change. Actually, they historically have been the
4	catalyst to environment reform in the city of New
5	York, and will continue to do so. I want to thank
6	all my colleagues again for all their hard work on
7	this legislation, the Speaker for coming out in
8	support, and this bill again is an important step
9	towards sustainability and environmental justice for
10	our communities, which isis thehow I started the
11	conversation, but how I want to end by time here in
12	the City Council is to make a difference
13	environmentally in the City of New York and this
14	legislation is helping do that, and I am going to
15	vote aye on this piece of legislation.
16	CLERK: By a vote of 3 in the
17	affirmative, 1 in the negative and 1 abstention, the

affirmative, 1 in the negative and 1 abstention, the item has been adopted.

CHAIRPERSON REYNOSO: And we are adjourned. [gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 22, 2016