CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

----- X

April 6, 2016

Start: 10:14 a.m. Recess: 11:53 a.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E:

VANESSA L. GIBSON

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Vincent J. Gentile

James Vacca

Julissa Ferreras-Copeland

Jumaane D. Williams Robert E. Cornegy, Jr.

Chaim M. Deutsch Rafael Espinal, Jr. Rory I. Lancman Ritchie J. Torres

Steven Matteo

The Public Advocate

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Tonya Cantilo Representative Brooklyn Borough President Eric L. Adams

Laurel Durst Representative New Yorkers Against Gun Violence

Paul May
Representative
New Yorkers Against Gun Violence

Phil Jonas
Representative
New Yorkers Against Gun Violence

Rebecca Brown
Policy Director
Innocence Project

[gavel]

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Good morning ladies and gentlemen, welcome to City Hall to the council chambers. I am Council Member Vanessa Gibson of the 16th District in the Bronx and I am proud to chair the City Council's Committee on Public Safety.

I welcome you all to our hearing this morning in which we are looking at supporting state and federal gun reform legislation and exploring what we can do in our city to ensure the safe storage of guns, universal background checks for all potential gun owners, research on gun violence, a local jurisdiction's ability to decide who can carry concealed weapons, and providing safeguards against wrongful convictions.

Sadly, it seems that we hear about an incident of gun violence each and every day, whether it is a mass shooting or intimate partner domestic violence, the violence and injury caused by firearms is far too common and simply must be addressed. We need to use objective data to implement reasonable and responsible measures to protect our communities.

In 2014, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention found that 33,599 Americans died from

2.2

2.3

gun violence; similarly, that same year the City of
New York had 6,839 incidents of violent crime
involving a firearm. While we work hard every day to
protect all New Yorkers from illegal handguns, we
simply cannot do it alone. New York State and New
York City have some of the strictest gun law in the
country, but weaker laws in other states have
resulted in an alarming flow of illegal guns in our
city and in our state. Of the 4,585 guns that were
recovered in New York State in 2014 whose source
states were identified, 70 percent were from out of
the state of New York.

The lack of simple safety procedures, even by lawful gun owners, leaves all of our children; neighbors at risk. We cannot stop this public health crisis alone and this public safety crisis and today we will discuss the various ways that we can combat this issue with our partners on the state and federal levels.

This City Council and this administration have made tackling gun violence a major priority. In Fiscal Year 2016, we have invested almost \$20 million in the New York City Crisis Management System to provide a comprehensive and community-based approach

2.2

2.3

to addressing gun violence. This crisis management system includes a variety of programs, such as Cure Violence, community therapeutic services and a number of services for our youth. Through this funding we attempt to address many of the root causes that contribute to gun violence in our city. In addition, I'm extremely proud that one of my bills, Int. 0809, will work to reduce gun violence and crime in general, it will mandate that this administration creates a plan to provide social services to address systemic issues, such as unemployment, lack of education, substance abuse; mental illness that often contribute to gun violence and crime in general.

This City Council is working with the administration to also create an office to end gun violence. In her latest State of the City speech, our Speaker, Melissa Mark-Viverito, announced that she would be creating an Office of Victim Services that would support victims of gun violence, along with other crimes.

Today we are hearing four resolutions relating to firearm regulations; two of today's resolutions are sponsored by Council Member Jumaane Williams. Proposed Res. 0674-A, calls on the state

to pass Nicholas' Law, which would require the safe storage of guns and Res. 0940 calls on the federal government to restore funding for gun violence

2.2

2.3

research.

Res. 0853, sponsored by our Public

Advocate, Letitia James, calls on the federal

government to close the loophole in the current gun

background check system.

The Preconsidered Resolution, which is sponsored by The Speaker, and I proudly co-sponsor, calls on the federal government to oppose the Constitutional Concealed Carry Reciprocity Act of 2015, which would allow a resident from one state who has a license to carry a concealed handgun to lawfully carry the gun into a different state, regardless of the licensing standards of the other state.

In addition to the four resolutions we're hearing today relating to firearms, we're also hearing a resolution on a very important issue; providing safeguards against wrongful convictions.

Studies have shown that two of the leading contributing factors resulting in wrongful convictions are eyewitness misidentification and

2.2

2.3

false confessions. Just last month our Brooklyn
District Attorney, Ken Thompson, testified before
this committee that his office has exonerate 18 of
the 60 cases they have reviewed in their Conviction
Integrity Unit, 18 cases, 18 individuals released.
We need to make every effort to prevent against these
miscarriages of justice. Res. 0979, which I am proud
to prime sponsor, calls on the State Legislature to
pass legislation that requires the recording of
entire custodial interrogations in certain serious
crimes, mandates blind or double-blind
identifications and allows photo identifications to
be admissible at trial. These basic procedural
methods would safeguard against wrongful convictions.

I am thankful for the collective support of all of my colleagues, as well as the prime sponsors of all these very important resolutions and certainly I am committed to working as chair of this committee to working with our colleagues in Albany as a former Assembly Member, working with many of my former colleagues as well as our colleagues in the U.S. Congress to make sure that we can make an impactful difference this year during the legislative session.

And before I get to several of my colleagues who will give opening remarks, as they are prime sponsors of some of these resolutions, I want to acknowledge the members of this committee who are here; our Minority Leader Steve Matteo, Council Member Chaim Deutsch, Council Member Rory Lancman, and Council Member Jumaane Williams are here with us.

I also wanna acknowledge the staff of the Committee on Public Safety for whom this hearing is possible with their work and with their collaboration; our Committee Counsel, Deepa Ambekar; our Legislative Counsel, Beth Golub; Legislative Policy Analyst, Laurie Wen; Legislative Financial Analyst, Ellen Eng; The Speaker's staff, Faiza Ali and Theodore Moore, and my Budget Director Kaitlyn O'Hagan and Dana Wax. Thank you all for being here this morning and now I'd like to turn this over to one of our prime sponsors of two resolutions for opening remarks, Council Member Jumaane Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you,

Madame Chair and thank you for your leadership on gun

violence and in general; it's obviously a very

important issue; I'm happy that it has reached its

way to the presidential debates, but disappointed by

some of the headlines I saw this morning; my hope is that some of that will be reversed.

As usual, I always try to make sure that gun violence is talked about in two buckets; one is the supply, which is mostly the gun control issue that -- we do have some control over; mostly federal will have more control of that, and the demand, which I think we do have a lot of control over and this council is showing a lot of leadership in trying their best to fund programs that deal with the demand of violence; even if the guns are available, they don't have to be used.

resolutions, which don't have the force of law, but at the very least can let folks know where this

Council stands. So I'm pleased that Chair Gibson is hearing Res. 0940, which, if adopted, will put the

Council on record as having joined at least 10 other city councils across the United States in calling on the federal government to repeal a ban on research on the cause of gun violence in our nation. I thank

Laurie Wen of the Legislative Division for drafting this bill.

2.2

2.3

As sad as it is to say, gun violence afflicts us every day, from the mass shootings of Sandy Hook, South Carolina, California, Colorado, Oregon, Tennessee, Texas, Washington, D.C., Connecticut, and Wisconsin, among others, to the daily occurrences of shootings that continue to claim lives and cause injuries, but have ripple effects throughout our communities across the nation.

In 2013, there were nearly 30,000 deaths related to firearms and approximately 85,000 incidences by firearms; majority of those deaths were suicides.

In 1996, a republican member of Congress,
Jay Dickey, was a prime sponsor of banning the Center
for Disease Control from "engaging in scientific
research into the causes of gun violence, arguing
against further gun control." However, the former
congressman has reversed his position. In a December
1, 2015 letter, to U.S. Representative Mike Thompson,
Chair of the House Gun Violence Prevention Task
Force, Representative Dickey stated that "research
could have been continued on gun violence without
infringing on the rights of gun owners in the same
fashion that the highway industry continued its

_

_ .

. .

research on how to reduce head-on collisions on highways without eliminating the automobile and scientific research should help answer how we can best reduce gun violence; doing nothing is no longer an acceptable solution."

It's important to note that gun violence kills just as many people as automobile accidents, yet it is very limited on research. This is one of the bills that I just clearly don't understand the opposition from, particularly from those on the right. While I disagree with them on some of the opposition on gun control measures, this one in particular I don't understand what the reason is, except for, I believe, the NRA's opposition to it.

To be clear, allowing CDC research has nothing to do with the politically-charged debate over the Second Amendment; this research is something that we should be able to support regardless of political affiliation.

I'm also very proud that local legislative officials across the country have either passed or vowed to pass such a resolution in the following cities through the National Network to Combat Gun Violence, which I convened -- Los Angeles,

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

California; Detroit, Michigan and Minneapolis,

Minnesota have already passed it; Berkeley,

California; Westchester, Pennsylvania; St. Louis,

5 Missouri; Cleveland, Ohio, and Hudson, New York, to

6 name a few of the others who have agreed to put this

7 same resolution at city council and hopefully get it

8 passed.

Proposed Res. No. 0674-A; I'm very proud to be the prime sponsor of that resolution, along with my Brooklyn Borough President, Eric Adams. resolution calls on the Legislature to pass Nicholas' Law, which would require the safe storage of all guns that are not in the immediate possession or gun control of the owners. This legislation will go a long way toward avoiding situations like what happened to 12-year-old Nicholas Naumkin of Saratoga Springs, New York, who died in 2010 after being shot unintentionally by a friend playing with his father's unlocked gun. According to a 2012 general social survey, one-third of households with children contain a firearm in the United States, according to the U.S. Centers of Disease Control and Prevention; 1.7 million U.S. children under 18 lived in homes with a loaded and unlocked firearm in 2002, and according to

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Amendment.

2.3

24

25

simply the NRA and gun manufacturers who are really

these commonsense gun control measures, but it is

qun legislation while still respecting the Second

other side of the issue of NRA and believe in many of

the CDC, 98 American children died from accidental shootings in 2010. Further, the Harvard School of Public Health writes that children were the shooters in roughly 85 percent of accidental shootings of children in the U.S. between 2003 and 2006.

When this legislation is passed, New York State will join 14 states, along with the District of Columbia, to hold adults criminally liable if they fail to store a gun safely.

The guns must be stored either in a safe storage depository and or with a lock device to prevent access by children or others who should not have access to them. Owners who fail to comply will be subject to criminal liability, ranging from a violation to a Class E felony and an owner who fails to store a weapon safely will be subject to a Class E felony if that gun fires, causing physical injury or death.

We should be able to support commonsense

Indeed, a majority of gun owners on the

2 pushing against this. I'm not sure how much death we

3 have to see before we really step up.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So I urge my colleagues to support these measures to save New Yorkers' lives and I thank the Chair again. And I also wanna thank my staff, Nick E. Smith, who worked on this.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much, Council Member Williams. And I also wanted to add in reference to Proposed Res. 0674, and I know New Yorkers Against Gun Violence are here, and I just received information last night from Lea Barrett that the City Council of Saratoga Springs unanimously passed Local Safe Storage Law named in honor of Nicholas Naumkin, and I'm thankful for that; Nicholas' mother is thankful for the Saratoga Springs City Council and Mayor Yepsen essentially said safety is the number one priority for any community and Saratoga Springs families deserve any sensible precaution that can collectively be taken. Nicholas' Law saves even one life, it will help ensure that other families will not have to endure such a horrific tragedy. So I wanna thank New Yorkers Against Gun Violence and certainly commend the City Council of Saratoga Springs in Upstate New

2 York for unanimously passing the bill that we're 3 looking to pass as well.

So thank you once again, Council Member
Williams, and we've also been joined by Council
Member Robert Cornegy and Council Member Ritchie
Torres, thank you colleagues for being here. And we
will get our first panelist representing Brooklyn
Borough President Eric Adams; we have Tonya Cantilo
here on behalf of Brooklyn Borough President Eric
Adams; please come forward. Thank you so much for
joining us.

TONYA CANTILO: Good morning.

"Good morning, Chair Vanessa Gibson and members of the New York City Council's Committee on Public Safety. My name is Eric L. Adams and I am the Brooklyn Borough President, representing the 2.6 million residents who call Brooklyn home. Thank you for the opportunity to submit written testimony in support of Res. 0674, a resolution calling upon the New York State Legislature to pass and the Governor to sign Nicholas' Bill, submitted on my behalf by Council Member Jumaane D. Williams.

2.2

2.3

Thank you to Council Members Margaret Chin, Vincent Gentile and Chair Gibson for cosponsoring this important legislation as well.

In December 2010, 12-year-old Nicholas Naumkin of Saratoga Springs, New York died after being shot by a friend who was playing with this father's unlocked gun.

In response, State Senator Jeff Klein and Assembly Member Amy Paulin are serving as sponsors of the Child Access Prevention (CAP) Safe Storage Bill, also known as Nicholas's Bill. I thank them and the more than 50 state senators and assembly members who have signed on as co- or multi-sponsors of this legislation.

Nicholas' Bill would require that the safe storage of all guns not in the immediate possession or control of the gun owner either in a safe storage depository or with a locking device to prevent access by children and others who should not have access to them. The law would prevent gun injuries and deaths by limiting children's access to guns. More than half the states [sic] in the United States have safe storage on their books; despite New York's traditionally strong gun laws, CAP is not one

2.2

2.3

of them. According to New Yorkers Against Gun
Violence, a chief proponent of this legislation,
studies have found these laws to be effective in
reducing accidental shootings of children by as much
as 23 percent. In addition, according to New Yorkers
Against Gun Violence, among states with the highest
level of child gun deaths, 7 of 10 do not have CAP
laws, while states with low levels of child gun
deaths, 7 of 10 do have CAP laws. New York State's
continued reluctance to pass this legislation has
real casualties.

Unfortunately, Nicholas' story is just one of the many that afflict our state and country.

According to "Innocents Lost: A Year of Unintentional Child Gun Deaths," a report from every town for gun safety, at least 100 children were killed in unintentional shootings from December 2012 to December 2013, nearly two-thirds of which could've been avoided if gun owners stored their guns responsibly and prevented children from accessing them.

In New York State alone, from 2015 to today, 10 children were killed or injured unintentionally; 5 of these shootings occurred in New

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

York City, 3 of which took place in my borough of

3 Brooklyn. Enough is enough.

> Brooklyn's Borough Board passed a resolution endorsing Res. 0674 last year; I urge the New York City Council to follow suit and pass this important resolution, calling upon the New York State Legislature to enact and Governor Cuomo to sign Nicholas' Bill." Thank you.

> CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. And you answered my question; I was going to ask if you knew of any cases relative to what we're trying to do in passing Nicholas' Law that apply to the Borough of Brooklyn and you outlined that. Do you know with any of these cases, in terms of some of the details where it was, in the case of Nicholas, where he was able to -- you know, his friend was able to get access to a gun and obviously Nicholas' Law is something that we want to have an impact in the entire State of New York, but with those cases in Brooklyn, is there any detail you could share with us that would be helpful in our further conversations with our colleagues in Albany?

> TONYA CANTILO: I don't think I have any additional information at this time; I could probably

get back to you with further information if you need it.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Just wanna tell you thank you for being here and thank the Borough President for leadership on this bill and to his leadership on gun violence throughout the borough and the city as well. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much;
I echo the same sentiments. Please give our warmest regards to the Borough President and thank him for his support and working with him as a partner to end gun violence across the city. Thank you very much for being here.

Before we call the next panel up for this hearing, I'd like to acknowledge the presence of our New York City Public Advocate, the Honorable Letitia James, who is the prime sponsor of Res. No. 0853, which calls on Congress to pass and the President to sign H.R. 1217, also known as the Public Safety and Second Amendment Rights Protection Act of 2015, which closes loopholes in the current gun background check system and ask her to make her opening remarks. Public Advocate Letitia James, thank you so much for being here.

hearing.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you, Madame
Chair. I wanna thank you for holding today's

As you mentioned, I am the prime sponsor of Res. No. 0853, calling on Congress to pass and the President to sign H.R. 1217, also known as the Public Safety and Second Amendment Rights Protection Act.

H.R. 1217 would close loopholes in the current gun background check system by requiring background checks for guns sold online, at gun shows and through classified ads.

Our First Amendment gives us the freedom of speech and religion, amongst other freedoms, but those freedoms have limitations; you cannot scream fire in the proverbial crowded theater, obscenity can be prohibited in certain circumstances, religious activity in the form of consuming illegal drugs or polygamy can be prohibited because they violate federal law. We as a nation accept that there are reasonable constraints on these First Amendment rights; why then do these radical gun advocates refuse to accept that reasonable constraints should also apply to the Second Amendment? The bottom line is that background checks will keep Americans safer

by ensuring that guns don't end up in the wrong 2 3 hands; unfortunately, opponents of sensible gun 4 control are not interested in ensuring that guns don't end up in the wrong hands; they want guns in as 5 many hands as possible, because it's really all about 6 7 the bottom line, and their own ideas about how laws should address a gun demonstrates just how irrational 8 their position truly is. In fact, a bill was recently introduced in the State Legislature in 10 11 Missouri and it would basically charge any member of the Assembly with a Class D felony if that member of 12 13 the Assembly proposes a piece of legislation that 14 restricts gun rights; that's insanity; that means you 15 can go to jail simply for introducing legislation to 16 prohibit the sale of guns to 4-year-olds, but the 17 government couldn't perform a background check on a 18 suspected terrorist trying to buy an AK-48. Council 19 Member Lancman, if you were in Missouri, you would go 20 to jail just for proposing a reasonable law; it's really ridiculous. And at least 15 states have bills 21 aimed at barring officials from enforcing federal gun 2.2 2.3 In Montana, a voter referendum sought to grant police the authority to arrest FBI agents trying to 24 enforce gun laws, in Montana; it would give the 25

2.2

2.3

24

25

police the authority to arrest their fellow law enforcement officer, an FBI agent simply because they wanted to enforce gun laws and they would basically charge those individuals, those FBI agents with kidnapping, crazy; not reasonable; not rational. so no surprisingly, existing laws concerning background checks are equally saddening -- 40 states do not require background checks for gun sales between private parties; it is estimated that 40 percent of all guns sold in the U.S. are not subject to background checks and no federal background check is required on the sale of ammunition. Even when a background check is required, the FBI only has 72 hours to determine whether a purchaser is prohibited from owning a gun, 72-hour window, that's it, and if they cannot or do not make a determination in that time, the gun sale can proceed. In spite of how illogical and insurmountable the opposition to sensible gun regulations seems, we must not lose faith. The fact of the matter is that history, morality and the laws are on our side and the people are on our side; reasonable people would not want to put guns in the hands of individuals on the terror watch list, convicted domestic abusers or people

previously convicted of gun-related felonies; for that reason we must require background checks for all gun sales, whether sold online, at gun shows or through classified ads. I firmly believe that if we continue to demonstrate the facts and the logic of our position we will turn the tide on this dangerous chapter in our country's legal history and if we fail to do that, then there's always the law on our side and I'm certain that at some point in time the law will reverse all of this insanity that's happening in our country and keep our children safe.

Again, I wanna thank Chair Gibson and all the members of this committee and I urge the Council to speak with one voice in supporting this important resolution and I thank you for your attention to this most important issue. Thank you, Madame Chair.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,
Public Advocate James. Thank you for your support,
for your leadership and being a strong advocate
standing against gun violence and calling on sensible
reforms that must happen in our state.

Our next panel is from New Yorkers

Against Gun Violence, three members -- Laurel Durst
is here, Paul May and Phil Jonas. Anyone here --

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

Phil, Paul May and Laurel Durst, from New YorkersAgainst Gun Violence. Please come forward.

2.2

2.3

LAUREL DURST: 'Kay. Good morning; I'm

Laurel Durst and I will be reading a testimony about

Proposed Res. No. 0674, Nicholas' Law, which we

already heard about from...

The unsafe storage of firearms is a public health and safety issue in the United States. A 2000 study of firearm storage practices in American homes with children found that 55 percent reported having one or more firearms in an unlocked place and 43 percent reported keeping guns without a trigger lock in an unlocked place.

A 2005 study on adult firearm storage practices in U.S. homes found that over 1.69 million children and youth under age 18 are living in homes with loaded and unlocked firearms.

Unintentional shootings: Each year firearms cause thousands of unintentional deaths and injuries. A 2013 New York Times study found that unintentional shooting deaths occurred roughly twice as often as the records indicate because of inconsistencies in how such deaths are classified by the authorities. Nevertheless, between 1999 and

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

2014, 10,500 people in the United States were reported as dying from unintentional shootings, including 2,974 children and young people ages 0-21. In New York, over the same period, 240 people died from unintentional shootings, including 56 children and young people ages 0-21. In New York State, an annual average of 210 children age 19 and under are treated in a hospital because of an unintentional firearm injury; 75 seriously enough to be hospitalized; 4 children die each year because of an unintentional firearm incident.

Suicides: Suicides by firearm represent nearly two-thirds of all U.S. gun deaths annually. Between 1999 and 2000, over 290,000 Americans killed themselves with a gun; 7,145 in New York State. 2014, firearm suicides were 54 percent of total New York gun deaths, about 85 percent of suicide attempts with a firearm are fatal; whereas many of the other most widely used suicide attempt methods have fatality rates between 5 percent. A 1999 study found that more than 75 percent of the guns used in youth suicide attempts and unintentional injuries were stored in the home of the victim, a relative or a friend. At least two studies have found that the

2.3

risk of suicide increases in homes where guns are kept loaded and/or unlocked. Between 2010 and 2014, 250 New York children and young people ages 24 and under used a gun to commit suicide.

Education published a study in 2004 of 37 school shootings from 1974-2000. The study found that in more than 65 percent of the cases the shooter got the gun from their home or that of a relative. Research has shown that laws requiring the use of gun locks or storage containers are effective at preventing suicides and saving lives. States with a law in place that required handguns be locked, at least in certain circumstances, there were 68 percent fewer firearm suicides per capita than states without these laws.

Gun Thefts: Securing firearms not only reduces unintentional shootings and suicides, but also gun thefts. Research indicates that at least 500,000 firearms are stolen annually from residences. Many stolen guns are used to commit subsequent crimes.

A U.S. Department of Treasury study revealed that nearly a quarter of ATF gun trafficking

investigations involved stolen firearms and were associated with over 11,000 trafficked firearms; 10 percent of the investigations involved guns stolen from residences.

In the City of Albany, over 100 firearms were reported stolen from homes and motor vehicles between 2010 and 2015; law enforcement supports safe storage laws because they help prevent gun thefts, reducing the numbers of illegal guns on the streets. Safe storage laws are consistent with the Second Amendment.

Opponents of laws requiring the safe storage of firearms claim that such laws violate the Second Amendment; this claim ignores the scope of the right articulated by the U.S. Supreme Court in District of Columbia v. Heller and has been repeatedly rejected by the courts. In challenges to the law on Second Amendment grounds, the courts have consistently found that safe storage laws place only a minor burden on the Second Amendment, because a firearm is accessible in a matter of seconds and this burden is justified by the state's interest in public safety in keeping firearms from falling into the hands of children and other prohibited individuals.

3

4

6

Saratoga Springs.

safe storage law.

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Existing New York Safe Storage Laws: Safe storage laws have been enacted in the following New York jurisdictions: Rochester, Syracuse, Buffalo, New York City, Westchester County, and Albany and

The 2013 New York Safe Act requires

firearm safe storage only in households where

individuals live who have been convicted of a crime,

involuntarily committed or subject to an order of 10

protection; however, there is no law requiring gun

owners to safely store firearms around children.

There is no national firearm State Laws: safe storage law. However, 28 states and the District of Columbia have some form of child access prevention law; Massachusetts is the only state that requires that all firearms be stored with a locking device in place when not in the owner's immediate possession or control. New York, if it passes Nicholas' Law, would be the second state to have a

We urge the City Council to pass Res. 0674-A in support of Nicholas' Law, which will help keep guns out of the hands of thieves and children and young people who may otherwise use them

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

in unintentional shootings, suicides or schoolshootings. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: You may proceed. Thank you very much.

PAUL MAY: Thank you. Thank you, Madame
Chair for giving us this opportunity; thank you
members of the Council for hearing what we have to
say and in particular I wanna thank the Honorable Ms.
James for sponsoring this and offering this
intelligent resolution.

Conducting a criminal background check with every gun sale is an easy and effective way to keep dangerous weapons from getting into the hands of criminals, violent abusers; the dangerously mentally ill. Currently federal law does not require a background check on private sellers at gun shows, at flea markets or over the internet, which represents approximately 40 percent of all gun sales. This gaping loophole contributes to the epidemic of gun deaths and injuries in the United States, so if someone is required to submit to a background check, they can avoid it very easily by going to one of these forums. The effective background checks on New York State is that New York State closed the private

1

3

4

S

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

sale loophole in 2013 with the passage of the Safe Act; this means that background checks must be performed for all gun sales, with the exception of transfers between immediate family members; as a result, New York State's relatively strong gun law, our gun death rate is the nation's fourth lowest.

Gun deaths fell 14 percent from 2013-2014.

There is compelling evidence in the Missouri case that the background checks work. decades Missouri did require handqun buyers to pass a background check and obtain a permit to purchase firearms; in 2007 the state dismantled this permit system and eliminated its background check requirements. While federal law continues to require background checks for all gun sales by licensed dealers, handgun buyers who shop with unlicensed sellers in Missouri effective at that point are no longer subject to background checks or permit systems. Felons, convicted domestic abusers and others legally prohibited from buying guns can evade these background checks by seeking out unlicensed sellers, including at gun shows or even online. result, it became easier for criminals to buy guns and as a result of that or immediately after that,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the share of crime guns recovered in Missouri that were purchased in state grew by 28 percent, gun trafficking nearly doubled and importantly, the gun homicide rate increased 25 percent per year after that legislation was dismantled.

H.R. 1217, by requiring criminal background checks on all commercial gun sales, including at gun shows and on the internet, would keep guns out of the wrong hands. However, H.R. 1217 would not close the private sale loophole completely; it would go some way towards keeping guns out of dangerous hands and would benefit New York by reducing gun trafficking in our state.

The effect of background checks on suicides and mass shootings; background checks reduce gun suicides. A recent study showed that there are 48 percent fewer gun suicides in states that require background checks for private handgun sales than in states that do not, and there's more statistics on that in today's agenda by your own Council.

In states that require criminal background checks for all handgun sales, there were 52 percent fewer mass shootings between January 2009 and July 2015; significantly, states with background

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2.2

2.3

check requirements for all handgun sales had 63 percent fewer mass shootings committed by people prohibited from possessing firearms and 64 percent fewer domestic violence mass shootings.

We urge the City Council to pass this resolution in support of strengthening our weak federal gun laws by expanding criminal background checks and note that a member of Congress from Staten Island, a republican member, who has been both for and against this H.R. 1217, was a sponsor of it but then did not vote to vote it out of committee, may be swayed by the City Council's resolution, so we appreciate your input. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much. Thank you.

PHIL JONAS: Chairwoman Gibson, thank you so much for this opportunity to surface these issues and allow us to discuss them and particularly I wanted to thank Council Member Jumaane Williams for your consistent support of these measures and your sponsorship of this particular proposal, proposal 0940, which would restore funding to allow the federal government to support gun violence prevention research.

2.3

Research into the causes of gun violence is critical to devising policies that will reduce gun deaths and injuries and make our communities safer; without good research, it's far more difficult to develop effective lifesaving policies, something the NRA and the corporate gun lobby understood when it succeeded in getting Congress to cut off federal funding for gun violence research in 1996; that's 20 years we've been without federal funding.

In 1992, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention launched the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control to do research on the causes of injury in America and the center sought to research injuries that were considered intentional -- guns, which have consistently killed over 33,000 people and injured over 84,000 Americans each year fall into that category. A year later the New England Journal of Medicine published the CDC-funded research paper that found keeping a gun in the home was strongly and independently associated with a greater risk of homicide by a family member or intimate partner. Various research has shown that a gun in the home is 14 times more likely to be used on a member of that household than on an intruder.

2	The NRA saw the results as an impending
3	disaster for gun sales, already in decline since the
4	1970s, so the NRA leadership directed its point
5	person at the time, Arkansas Representative Jay
6	Dickey, to insert language in the 1976 Appropriations
7	Bill stripping the CDC of its entire budget of \$2.6
8	million for firearm injury research, \$2.6 million;
9	not a tremendous amount of money when we're talking
10	about the federal budget. It also included a
11	provision explicitly prohibiting any CDC funding to
12	"advocate or promote gun control." This so-called
13	"Dickey Amendment" was effective in achieving the
14	NRA's goals, public funding for gun violence research
15	plummeted from \$2.5 million from '93-'96 to just
16	\$100,000 in 2012, a decline of 96 percent; as a
17	result, the rate of firearms injury has remained
18	unchanged over the last 20 years; firearms killed
19	28,800 Americans in 1999 and 33,600 in 2014, an
20	increase of 15 percent, representing the increase in
21	population.

By contrast, major motor vehicle injury claimed nearly 41,000 lives in 1999; however, a \$500 million annual research budget led to policies that reduced this to 33,736 in 2014, a decrease of 18

percent. Again, despite the fact there are more cars
and more people on the roads.

2.2

2.3

Since 1996, the gun industry, through its willing minions in Congress, has restricted law enforcement's access to gun trace data, preventing cities and elected officials from sharing data about gun crimes. In 2004, the National Research Council issued a report, "Firearms and Violence," an assessment of the state of knowledge in the field and said, "The inadequacy of data on gun ownership and the use is among the most critical barriers to a better understanding of gun violence. If policymakers are to have a solid empirical and a research base for decisions about firearms and violence, the federal government needs to support a systematic program of data collection and research that specifically addresses this issue."

The virtual research blackout has stymied efforts to craft legislation to reduce gun violence, since there is little empirical evidence to rely on; a meaningful evidence-based discussion has given way to an emotional and ideological debate, yielding no new federal firearm legislation since 1994. We urge the City Council to pass Res. 0940 without delay.

We would like to leave you with a

2.2

2.3

proposal that is within our power as New Yorkers to realize; in the current absence of federal gun violence research, we ask you to support the creation of a gun violence research center in New York; that's what California's trying to do; a bill currently before the California Senate would fund firearm violence research by establishing the California Firearm Violence Research Center within the University of California; the center would provide scientific research into the origin of firearm

violence and prevention models.

In New York, my Assemblyman, Matt Titone, with Assembly District 61 on Staten Island, recently proposed two bills, A.9245 and A.9224, that would start a gun violence research fund supported by a \$5.00 fee on firearm registrations in New York and establish a dedicated taxpayer gift check-off on state returns. If two of the largest states, New York and California, were to take this step to initiate gun violence prevention research, it would go a long way to address the problem and provide pressure on Congress to finally repeal the "Dickey Amendment" and to get on with this lifesaving

2 research at the federal level of what is clearly a public health issue.

I wanna thank the panel; I also want to thank also Council Member Vinnie Gentile for also cosponsoring this legislation and also being a consistent supporter of this work. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much, and I certainly want to commend New Yorkers Against Gun Violence; you guys do a tremendous job helping us better understand what some of our state laws and federal laws are saying and not just Nicholas' Law, but I was a part of the legislature when we passed the Safe Act in 2013, so I really wanna thank you for the tremendous amount of work you do in making sure that we can pass comprehensive legislation that really saves the lives of many, many New Yorkers, so thank you for that. And I also wanna acknowledge we have been joined by Council Member Julissa Ferreras-Copeland and we will have questions from one of my colleagues, Council Member Ritchie Torres. Ritchie.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you so much, Madame Chairwoman; thank you for your advocacy around anti-gun violence prevention.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

In the presidential race there's been much discussion about immunity for gun manufacturers and I wanna just get a deeper understanding of that issue. So what's the present state of federal and state law when it comes to immunity for gun manufacturers?

PAUL MAY: There is one industry that is immune from lawsuits; it is the gun industry; car manufacturers, cigarette manufacturers are all subject to liability for deficiencies in their products; gun manufacturers are not; that has been the case since I believe 1972, when the law was passed or that may not be exactly accurate. Some members of Congress, including one who's currently running for president; was from a rural state, had not supported changing that and I think that's probably to what your... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: That's not even a question of gun control; that's just quality assurance for customers, right, like if you buy a product, you do so with the expectation that it's free of defects and that it's safe, so I as a gun manufacturer, under federal law have no obligation to manufacture a safe product; is that...

2.2

2.3

PAUL MAY: I think perhaps it may be the same reasoning that was referred to earlier by Public Advocate James about somebody who proposes legislation and how that person should be subject to criminal sanctions; not something that I can explain, because it's not something that makes any sense to

me, but that does seem to be the case.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And then on the question of storage, so if I'm a parent of the...

[interpose, background comment] if I'm a parent and I leave a gun unlocked on the table and my child kills himself; I have no liability at all in New York State?

PAUL MAY: At present -- the question is if... under the current law, if a parent were to leave a gun and their child were to use it, if it's not in their immediate control... [interpose, background comment]

LAUREL DURST: Well in most cases there is no harm found, they're not charged with any crime; there is no law against it.

PAUL MAY: The only instance where there would be if they were a convicted criminal or if they had an order of protection against them; those are

_

the things that are currently covered by the Safe Act, but under Nicholas' Law, if it's not in your immediate control, you would be subject to criminal sanctions for having left that gun negligently out for a child.

question regarding the research, CDC research into gun violence, and I'm gonna ask a skeptical question. What do we hope to achieve with greater research; I think... like what do we hope to gain that we don't already know; we know that gun violence is a social pathology that's responsible for the deaths of thousands of Americans, so what do you hope to achieve with further research into the matter?

PHIL JONAS: Well I think there are several research targets; understanding who is most subject to violence; who's most prone to committing violence, coupled with their capacity to arm themselves is something that's very worthwhile studying. I spent several years as a psychologist doing violence risk assessment and the more you know about who should not have access to lethal firepower, the more you can understand the intersection of dangerousness and accessibility. Mr. Williams

referenced earlier supply and demand; another area of
research that we do actually at New Yorkers is we
look at our in-school… we have in-school programs in
all five boroughs now that address really the supply
side; we look to enable young people to perceive
their influence and their empowerment through
advocacy and through their ability to, for instance,
go to Albany and advocate on behalf of their own
communities; we partner with John Jay College in
assessing the viability of this approach, along with
the approaches that are being employed in Chicago,
Los Angeles, Detroit, Philadelphia, and many other
cities. Research on violence prevention is also
germane to the issue and I think that would be
another reason why Congress should renew its very
tentative commitment; that \$2.5 or \$2.6 million
commitment was really frankly a drop in the bucket,
given the size of the public health problem.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And one final question about the Heller decision, which establishes a right to bear arms for the first time I believe, the individual right to bear arms, as far as I know. I guess, what are the legal limits of gun control in light of that decision; how far can we go legally

legis... but that's a very interesting question and

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

[internal] 25

what we'll do is, we'll get back to you, specific to your office, specifically with an analysis of that.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Again, thank you for all the work you do. Madame Chairwoman, thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you, Council Member Torres. We have also been joined by Council Member Vincent Gentile and before I get to two other colleagues that have questions, I just wanted to ask two questions quickly related to Nicholas' Law. wanted to ask about the difference between an internal and an external lock on guns and I also understand that New York State currently prohibits any retail sales of firearms without a locking device during gun shows and other places, but private sellers are exempted from this current law, so I wanted to know your thoughts on that, on private sellers being exempted from selling guns that do not have a locking device and then if you could just explain some of the benefits, pros and cons of having an internal lock versus an external lock, if you're aware.

PAUL MAY: Both external and internal...

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

2 PHIL JONAS: Go ahead.

2.2

2.3

PAUL MAY: and internal locks are effective if they're used. An external lock would be a locking safe, for example, or a... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

PAUL MAY: a device that wraps through the trigger that prevents it from being fired and the internal lock would be built into the device, the gun itself; both are effective if they're used. For example, a biometric trigger that can only be pulled by the person who's programmed... that owns the gun, and then same with biometric safe, which is only usable to the people who have programmed the safe, but that's an external lock. So that's basically the difference between the two; both are effective... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

PAUL MAY: it's more a question of which, you know, of having the device enabled, because having a safe or having a trigger lock doesn't do any good if it's not used.

And in answer to your second question, an internal or external lock that's not a part of the gun itself is really of no use if it's obviously not

2.2

2.3

2	being implemented, but I think you're correct about
3	the fact that a gun lock must be provided as part of
4	a gun purchase, but again, there's no obligation by
5	the owner to use it and so they're available; I mean
6	you can go out and actually buy a separate gun lock
7	and apply it to your shotgun or weapon of any type,

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right, but that's only an individual decision that's made by that particular person; right?

but having it is of no use if you don't use it.

PAUL MAY: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

PAUL MAY: It's made available.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right. Okay. Okay.

Thank you very much. I will have Council Member

Williams, followed by Public Advocate James.

much, Madame Chair. I don't have any questions; I just wanted to say thank you so much for the work that your organization is consistently doing and for your support and pushing of these bills, not just here, but actually in the state, where we really can have an affect if we get them to pass. Most of these will be working primarily on the supply side and my

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hope is that we'll continue both, working on the supply side and making sure that we're dealing with the demand and particularly of the handgun violence that affects cites every single day.

And I just wanted to give a shout-out to the movie, Making a Killing: Guns, Greed and the NRA, which is a new documentary that I know you also support and my hope is that can be to the gun industry what Blackfish was to Disney [sic] World, so one can only dream; I hope we can continue supporting these bills and continue to make changes and... I'm in awe of how difficult it has been to make these changes; it just amazes me that people continue to push back on the most commonsense of bills, not even the bills that I support, but I can understand where someone would say there's an issue here; these bills are the simplest of them all and we still have continuous pushback, which just is disgusting in its own right, but hopefully that will begin to change, particularly with the work that you're doing, the documentaries that are going forward and the work that's being done here in the City Council. Thank you.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much, Council Member Williams; I echo the same sentiments. Next we'll have Public Advocate James.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Thank you; I too

wanna share in that sentiment and thank you for all of your service. But for the record, I just wanna note that in 2005 a republican-led Congress passed the Protection of Lawful Commerce in Arms Act, which basically gives immunity to gun manufacturers and gun dealers, and those who, unfortunately have been victimized by gun violence, it prevents them from seeking any liability in state or federal court. There have been a number of cases that have been dismissed, unfortunately, all throughout the country as a result of this statute, but right now there is one particular case that we are tracking in the Office of Public Advocate; we look to file an amicus, basically challenging the constitutionality of this case. I believe that politics is not going to fix this; I think that the law will fix this; just as the law was able to bankrupt the Ku Klux Klan, hopefully the law will bankrupt the NRA and bring them to their knees and bring some sanity and some safety to countless Americans in this country. I thank you for

2 all that you do and I look forward to working with 3 you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much,
Public Advocate James. I just had one more question.

I know we have a lot of stats that we are looking at
to make a lot of decisions and focus on priorities,
but could you just give me a sense of the numbers
that we have for New York State of legal guns versus
illegal guns, right? I know that many of the guns
that are trafficked across our city are coming from
other states, but do we have any numbers like
statewide that look at the number of legal guns we
have versus illegal?

PAUL MAY: We can provide information, but if you could just clarify your question in terms of a gun that's purchased legally, I mean a gun that's purchased legally and then sold on to, for example, through a straw buyer and sold on I would consider an illegal gun; is that more or less what you have in mind?

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Yes. So I remember when we passed the Safe Act, we got a lot of pushback from gun manufacturers that employed a lot of New Yorkers in upstate counties and Remington was one of

PAUL MAY: only for certain guns, but
we'll get you the answer to the number of legal guns

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right.

2.3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

but we trace it by the origins and that's the data 3 that we're looking at; right? Okay, great. Council

4 Member Williams had a final question; I also wanna

acknowledge the presence of Council Member Jimmy 5

Vacca. 6

> COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you. Not a question, but yeah, two things I wanted to ... just important to point out that New York City does get, unfortunately, a significant amount of guns from New York State, but outside of New York City because other parts of the state do have more lax gun laws, so I think it's a point to point that out. And also, sometimes the legal versus, I believe, sometimes the illegal guns versus legal guns is misnomer; while we need those statistics, most of the illegal guns were legal at some point and so it's very important to make sure we remember that when we're discussing how best to apply both the gun control laws on the supply side and dealing with the demand side as well. Although there's some pushback we always get about we don't wanna ... we only should deal with illegal ones, they were legal at some point, which is why we need a lot of these laws. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much.

3 | Council Member Vincent Gentile.

Madame Chair and I just wanna also add my thanks and congratulations to New Yorkers Against Gun Violence for your consistent advocacy in this regard; I remember standing on the steps of the State Capitol more than a decade ago with you at your rallies back then and so you have been doing good work for a long time to get some sensible gun control in this state, so I thank you for that.

I just had a quick question, and I'm sorry I got here late, so it may have been answered, but I'm curious, if H.R. 1217 were to pass and become law, tightening the background check system, how would that work for the private sales that happen in localities by classified ads; how would those people be required to do a background check and what enforcement would there be in that regard?

PAUL MAY: Good question and the NICS, the NICS rule does not address private sales;

H.R. 1217 would address private sales by licensed gun owners, so you would still have a loophole for people who were not license gun owners, which as Councilman

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	Williams pointed out, would be the second or third
3	buyer, for example, or a family member; right now
4	it's probably easier without this law for me to
5	transfer my car to my child than it is for me to give
6	my gun to anyone. I mean I'm sorry, it's harder to
7	do the car than the gun; I mean you would have, by
8	this 1217, you would have added restrictions for
9	private sales by license gun owners. Private sales
10	between non-licensed gun owners would not be affected
11	by this and this is not a perfect solution, but as
12	we've seen over many years of attempts legislatively,
13	as well as in other ways to get things done in
14	sensible gun legislation, you have to take what you
15	can get and this would be a step in that direction.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: It seems almost

ost and unsolvable problem then with unlicensed gun owners; do you have a proposal that in the best of all worlds would work with unlicensed gun owners?

PAUL MAY: I think I'd ask Phil to address that. My feeling is that you're gonna get more done through education than you're gonna get through legislation; legislation's important, but I think the more important thing that we do now is with our reaction program, teaching high school students

the alternatives to gun violence and the alternatives
to guns themselves, in showing that you're cool, so

4 Phil.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Yeah and just to add PHIL JONAS: Yeah. a little bit of color to 1217; 1217 would purport to close the gun show loophole and also would address internet sales, so currently, 60 percent of gun transfers are subject to background check; it would raise it to something over 90; there's a definitional problem, of course, as to what is a private sale; in fact the administration has been addressing that specifically, with trying to come up with a harder definition of what is a private seller; if you sell 15 guns in a month, you're not a private seller anymore; I forgot the exact number, but the DOJ is actually addressing this right now. So I think that 1217 would go a long way, but not all the way and to the earlier point, virtually all guns start off as legal sales and it's the tracing of the 5 percent of dealers who steer too much traffic into the black market immediately through the avoidance of qun registration, so this would be the third rail, if you will, in Congress, but gun registration from manufacturer to licensed dealer to eventual owner

work; it is an absolute pleasure working with you and

I am very committed to continuing to work with you

24

and certainly ask you to use us to help move the	
process along in Albany and at the federal level;	if
there is anything else that we should be consideri	ng,
please let us know as well as, you know, we're in	the
middle of a budget process and we are very committ	ed
as a council and as an administration to fund	
programs against gun violence, secure a violence	
model, the crisis management system; very importan	t
to us looking at the root causes of gun violence,	so
we are very supportive of youth employment,	
employment, all year round, youth employment for	
young people, focusing on areas where we have a lo	t
of poverty, domestic violence, intimate partner	
violence; I mean, all the things we know where we	
have lots of statistics to look at we are very	
focused this year in the budget to make sure we	
continue to make investments, but certainly on a	
legislative level we can do a lot more. I agree;	we
get what we can get, but we will not give up and	
education is absolutely critical to the conversati	ons
moving forward, so I thank you for your work and l	ook
forward to working with you and thank you for bein	g
here this afternoon. Thank you so much.	

,

Our next panelist is Rebecca Brown of the Innocence Project; please come forward. And if there's anyone else here that is still interested in testifying before the committee, please do so and sign up with sergeant at arms to your right and we will call you up shortly. Thank you once again.

[background comments] We've also been joined by Council Member Rafael Espinal and Council Member Jimmy Vacca. [background comments] Okay, thank you for being here; you can begin.

REBECCA BROWN: Thank you so much,

Chairwoman. My name is Rebecca Brown; I'm the Policy

Director with the Innocence Project. We are a

national organization dedicated to exonerating the

innocent through post-conviction DNA testing and also

to prevent future wrongful convictions through policy

reform.

Nationally there have been 337 post-conviction DNA exonerations and here in New York State there have been 29 post-conviction DNA exonerations.

Eyewitness misidentification and false confessions are two of the leading contributing factors to wrongful conviction; of the 29 New York

2.2

state DNA-based cases that I mentioned earlier, 15 involved a false confession and 14 involved at least one mistaken identification.

We are so grateful to Councilwoman

Vanessa Gibson for authoring and sponsoring

Res. 0979, calling upon Albany to require law

enforcement to record custodial interrogations and to

use evidence-based practices shown to enhance the

accuracy and reliability of eyewitness evidence.

Res. 0979 urges the State Legislature to pass Assembly Bill 8157-A and Senate Bill 5875, which would codify the practices that I just described.

Assembly Bill 8157 would require police to adopt evidence-based practices that have been proven to enhance the accuracy of eyewitness identifications. The National Academy of Sciences, the nation's leading scientific entity, the International Association of Chiefs of Police and the American Bar Association and many other organizations have recommended these best practices, which include the blind administration of line-ups; that means that the person administering a line-up does not know who the suspect is, so they're not in a position to provide any suggestion, intentional or inadvertent,

and this critical reform is part of the legislation that has been winding its way through the Legislature in Albany for quite some time.

This bill would also require the recording of custodial interrogations in their entirety for serious felony cases, and that really protects against wrongful convictions grounded in false confessions. False confessions played a role in 18 exonerations in New York City and those are DNA and non-DNA cases, as listed by the National Registry of Exonerations; that's the database that's maintained by the University of Michigan.

And while people with mental limitations and juveniles are particularly susceptible to false confessions, perfectly mentally capable adults provide false confessions all the time; it's a counterintuitive phenomenon, but there are many factors that explain why people provide false confessions; fear of a harsh punishment, fear of authority figures, being sleep deprived, you know, being subjected to hours and hours of interrogation, coercion, many, many reasons why people false confess to crimes they did not commit.

2.

_

2.3

Recording interrogations in their entirety sheds light on circumstances that led up to the confession and this is a critically important point, because in many cases solely the confession is recorded; not the interrogation that led up to the taking of that confession and that's critically important evidence that is lost to fact-finders if this practice is not in place and if it is not in place uniformly; that needs to be done in every serious violent felony case in New York City and across the state and that's what this legislation would require.

This legislation would've made a hug difference in the Central Park Five case. Earlier this morning we held a press conference on the steps of City Hall; we were joined by Raymond Santana, one of the Central Park Five, who spoke about the fact that seven years of his life behind bars could have been prevented had this practice been in place. And frankly, in his case and many others, the judge and the jury only see the confession, they don't see, for instance, in the case of the Central Park Five, the 30 hours of interrogation that led up to the taking of that confession. While the teenagers were

-

_

convicted of a crime they didn't commit, the actual perpetrator went on to rape another woman.

And we've actually collected a lot of data at the Innocence Project around the country of crimes that could've been prevented had reforms been in place and had the person not been wrongfully convicted. So we are now aware of more than 70 rapes and more than 30 murders that took place at the hands of the real perpetrators while the innocent languish behind bars. So there are many reasons why this legislation is critically important for the State of New York; obviously to protect the innocent, to spare them and their families and their communities countless years of pain and suffering; it's also a public safety risk to not put these very important reforms in place.

So in conclusion, this would protect against wrongful convictions, it would benefit the entire criminal justice system by increasing transparency, accuracy and fairness and we hope that the committee will indeed pass this resolution; calling on Albany to act at long last. And I'm happy to answer any questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you so much,

involved.

Rebecca. You and the Innocence Project have been doing tremendous work; it is a pleasure working with you and you know this morning's press conference for me just continued to reaffirm how we must be committed to changing state law; whether the number is 1 or 29, as it is in the state, that's one person whose life can never be replaced; it's lost time that could've been ultimately prevented, so I understand the critical nature of what we're looking to do and certainly my support; the support of this Council, we'll make sure that we really work with Assembly

Member Lentol and Senator Nozzolio and the Governor,

because we have to have all the stakeholders

I wanna make sure you know the public understands that we're talking about transforming lives; people who have always been innocent from the very beginning and no price tag, no lawsuit, no dollar figure can ever give them back the life that they have lost, the 20 and 30 years that they have served in prison. So there is a lot at stake and I am thankful the Innocence Project is really doing a lot of this research and understanding how we can

provide better reforms in the interrogation process
from beginning to end; I don't think many of us knew
that the interrogation process is not videotaped,
only the confession and everything that happens
before that, we just simply don't know. And so we
wanna look at this obviously from a balanced
perspective, because this is about protecting
everyone. I'm thankful that we have district
attorneys like Ken Thompson that has exonerated 18
individuals already and that number's probably going
to grow. The Bronx district attorney has recently
exonerated someone as well with four months in
office; I appreciate the district attorneys that are
recognizing through their offices a conviction review
unit is the way to go, because we all make mistakes
and if we can change someone's life and recognize the
mistake that has happened, think about the message
that we send. So I think New York is in a great
place to be a leader behind so many other states that
have recognized this necessary reform that's already
happened. So I guess I just had one or two questions
and then want to get to my colleagues that also have
a couple of questions

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I wanted to ask, within New York State, are there any local jurisdictions that currently have any reforms around double blind line-ups and the recording of statements during the interrogation process; any other jurisdictions in the state that we could look to for a model?

REBECCA BROWN: Sure, absolutely. conducted a FOIA, or Freedom of Information Act request of police agencies a few years ago and very few police agencies, and I don't recall the number or names of those agencies off the top of my head, do use a blind administrator for line-ups, so very, very few agencies are in reform when it comes to eyewitness identification protocols. When it comes to recording of interrogations, quite a number of police agencies have been doing this for many, many years, so Broome County, for instance upstate, has been doing this for years and years and years and you know, it is... the NYPD has represented that at this stage they have rolled out the recording of custodial interrogations; it's unclear to us in what crime categories they are doing that; it is unclear to us how widespread it is; we don't know when the tape starts officially, so we have not seen that policy;

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

we don't know if it's happening; we don't know if its' happening uniformly and with regularity, and a law is basically the best way to ensure that there is uniform practice across the state on both of these critically important issues.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: I couldn't agree

I think we struggle sometimes with the recognition that we propose legislation and support legislation to codify and put matters and practices in law beyond an existing administration to maintain the universal approach and the consistency. You know, we don't want this to be done in any police department, including the NYPD, where it's happening on an interim basis, you know, a model, an initiative, a pilot, you know many things that we start now that ultimately we want to be universally done, but we wanna make sure, to me, it sends a loud message as well that we wanna do better; we recognize there are loopholes and things happen, mistakes happen and so that's why we have these proceedings and we want them to be recorded from beginning to end to ensure that things are done properly. that's a fair statement and I am hoping that your work, as well as the work of the advocates and the

voices of those that have been exonerated continues
to be loud; we wanna make sure that people understand
as easily as it's the 29 individuals exonerated in
the state, it could be our loved one, our friend, our
neighbor and when you put that in a greater
perspective, people sometimes have a different
position and they don't think it can happen to them;
it absolutely can; it can happen to anyone these days
with false identification with the line-up process;
it can happen to anyone; I can't emphasize that more
than, you know, just seeing the faces of the Central
Park Five and others who have recently been
exonerated. So I didn't realize Broome County had
something, so that's good to know, an upstate rural
county like Broome County

I wanted to ask about the... after... you mentioned it in your testimony, but in some of these cases where an individual has been exonerated, a conviction has been overturned, how often is the real suspect identified and not only identified, but actually prosecuted and brought to a court of law and ultimately convicted; does that usually happen; what is the process and are you familiar with that?

REBECCA BROWN:

Right. So we know of the

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

nation's 337 DNA-based exonerations, the real perpetrators have been identified in about half of those cases, so approximately 150 of those cases the real perpetrator was subsequently located. crimes that I mentioned to you earlier, the more than 70 rapes and more than 30 murders, those are actually convictions, that they were subsequently convicted, so those don't represent for instance the breadth or scope of criminal activity that those people were likely engaged in. After all, our clients are often accused of rape or murder because that's where DNA is most probative of guilt or innocence. And so I think it's noteworthy, right, that a lot of these real assailants are probably serial rapists, so it's actually a public safety hazard, right, to have the wrong person in prison and the real perpetrator at large in a position to commit additional crime. there are many reasons that we should care about these reforms and you know it's hard to rank what is most important; obviously the wrongfully convicted are made to suffer so much and I can't really put into words what our clients go through, what their families go through; these are people robbed of their

2.2

2.3

2	children, their children robbed of their parents for
3	years and years on end; on average our clients spent
4	14 years behind bars; we have clients that have spent
5	nearly 40 years behind bars for crimes they did not
6	commit and so when you really think about those
7	numbers, I mean it's impossible to wrap your head
8	around it, but certainly, you know for those people
9	who are impacted individually, it's not just that,
10	it's their families, it's their loved ones, it's
11	their communities and it's the public really that car
12	no longer really trust a system that can produce

these kinds of wrongful convictions.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right; I agree.

Can you tell me where we are with the state

legislation; is there an update and any strategy we

have, you know, obviously working together to get

both houses to pass the bill and also I know in the

past the DA's Association of the state has not been

supportive; do you think that, you know, DAs that

establish their own conviction integrity units is

certainly a way to go and any other thoughts on how

we can get the bills passed in Albany?

REBECCA BROWN: Sure. So the bill that, you know your resolution speaks to was actually

2 ultimately supported by the New York State Bar 3 Association, the DA's Association and the Innocence 4 Project at the close of session and it had passed the entire senate and the issue around this bill is that there are a lot of factors, right; this affects sort 6 7 of the collection and introduction of evidence related to two key forms of evidence -- eyewitness ID 8 and confession evidence, and because of that, the devil is in the details, right; this really affects 10 11 how both defense lawyers and prosecutors are going to present this evidence in court and because of that, 12 there has been a lot of back and forth over the years 13 14 about what provisions should stay, what provisions 15 have to go and ultimately, you know our position is that the perfect cannot be the enemy of the good; 16 17 that we really have to at least get this started. 18 There are always ways of improving this bill, but 19 what I will say is that, you know I think we had an 20 unprecedented amount of support at the close of session; we continue to work with the stakeholders; I 21 think you raised a very critical point earlier about 2.2 2.3 the need to bring all of the stakeholders together; we continue to engage in negotiations; must of that 24 has been mediated by the State Bar Association; 25

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: 'Kay. Do you know where the defense attorneys; have they taken a position in the past and currently?

REBECCA BROWN: The Defense Bar continues to I think support the value of these reforms; they certainly would like to see a more progressive piece of legislation come through and we continue to work with them; I mean we're very, very helpful that that can happen. You know for instance, I think that the Defense Bar would like to see enhanced crime

_	COMMITTEE ON FORLIC SAFEIT /2
2	categories; this bill speaks to some serious violent
3	felony crimes; it does not include all of them; a lot
4	of the language that's contained in this legislation
5	grew out of the work of the first permanently
6	judicially created task force by former Chief Justice
7	Lippman, and that task force issued a set of
8	recommendations; it was based on consensus; certainly
9	folks from the Defense Bar would have liked to have
10	seen a more progressive set of recommendations grow
11	out of the justice task force, but that was the basis
12	for this legislation and our hope is that we can
13	continue to add some elements to this bill that bring
14	the bill to a more progressive place; that's
15	certainly our hope as well, but we also believe at
16	the same time that this bill cannot keep being sort
17	of held back in Albany, because we would like to see
18	more, all of us would like to see more.
19	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: I know. I agree; I
20	know we have to start somewhere; we aim high, right
21	REBECCA BROWN: Right.
22	CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: and we know that at
23	the end of the process there's always a compromise,

but I think the bottom line is, something that we

should not falter in our commitment on is the

2.2

2.3

Has there been any recent conversation with our newly confirmed chief justice that succeeded Chief Justice Lippman, because the courts, the judges play a major role in this process as well and you know many of them, they're limited in their own positions, but are we having conversations with Office of Court Administration?

REBECCA BROWN: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

REBECCA BROWN: And you know, the chief justice as well sits on the justice task force and she for years has been engaged in these issues and our hope is that the courts also will take notice.

The truth is, when it comes to these issues, everyone needs to be educated about sort of the fallibility of identifications; about the fallibility of confessions. In some ways it is a counterintuitive phenomenon to understand that somebody could get on the stand and say that is the person that raped me and they could be wrong, right; it doesn't seem to make sense to the average citizen that somebody would

adults can provide false confessions; it's very

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

important for people to really appreciate that and
that's why, as you noted I think so eloquently, one
person is too many; it has to be done in every
instance, because we never know when this is gonna
take place and it's just key evidence that needs to

2.2

2.3

be safeguarded.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you. Council Member Jumaane Williams.

much and sorry I couldn't make the press conference today, but it was fantastic; thank the Innocence Project and the Chair and thank you for the work obviously that you're doing. I just wanted to know if -- or not... I don't know that it matters, because I think you just have to provide justice, I just wanna know if any of the places where this has been adopted have complained about clearance rates being affected or anything like that. I am completely supportive of it, but I did wanna know that. And are law enforcement officials generally supportive of the changes?

REBECCA BROWN: Right, it's a great question. So we know of 19 states that mandate the recording of custodial interrogations; not one of

2	those states has gone back to doing it the old way,
3	meaning not recording, which to me is indicative of
4	the fact that if they were losing strong convictions
5	because of that practice; certainly there would be an
6	outcry from law enforcement to go back to doing it
7	the old way. Same with eyewitness misidentification;
8	15 states now require blind administration through
9	policy or law; again, none of those states have
10	returned to doing it the old way. This is only
11	anecdotal, so you know nobody has collected this
12	data, but what I will say is that certainly from a
13	law enforcement perspective and you know, a
14	prosecutorial perspective, you know if there was sort
15	of this loss of good convictions and the belief was
16	that it was based on these practices, certainly those
17	parties would go back to the legislatures and try to
18	overturn those laws; that's never happened, so I
19	think it's a great question; we haven't seen that
20	happen.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: How long have those states had it in effect?

REBECCA BROWN: Right. Various degrees.

New Jersey was the first state to implement

eyewitness identification reform, so our sister state

I could not trust or believe or know what happened in

2.2

2.3

that interrogation room and therefore I was not able to vote guilty. So I think there's a real law enforcement incentive actually to get this right as well, because you wanna produce strong unassailable evidence of guilt and you don't wanna leave open questions about what took place in the interrogation

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you for the continued work and I just wanna give a shout-out to my Brooklyn DA, Ken Thompson, for the work he's doing around making sure that innocent people do not remain in jail. Thank you.

room or how evidence was collected.

REBECCA BROWN: Yes and I'd like to echo that; we are incredibly grateful to Ken Thompson for his leadership and also to Councilwoman Gibson for hers. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you. And on that note I wanted to ask your thoughts on district attorneys establishing review conviction integrity units; obviously when I think about the Innocence Project and a lot of the advocacy groups, the impacted community, you know, obviously the focus is very much the same, but just a different way to get there; what are your thoughts on DAs establishing

REBECCA BROWN: I think that's a great

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

2.3

24

25

these units and do you think generally they are successful; is that something that we should obviously look to expand among other district attorneys across the state?

question and I think, much like this legislative proposal, the devil is in the details; Ken Thompson has done a wonderful job; I think there's no question that he has revealed plenty of wrongful convictions since coming into office and should be applauded for his work. I think it's critically important though that the defense and innocence voice be involved in any sort of undertaking of that magnitude; it's critical, because I think any of us sort of reviewing our own work are fallible; we can make errors; it so important that there be sort of an independent voice and assessment of the evidence that was presented so that there is a true look back at these convictions in an authentic way. And so while we're very supportive of conviction integrity units, in theory and in concept, I think it really comes down to implementation and how they are put together and our office remains very happy to consult with and work with district attorneys' offices, prosecutors'

_

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

offices that are interested in forming their own units, but there are a lot of sort of guiding principles and best practices that have to be part of the mix.

CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right. And you know, without having a uniform series of regulations and guidelines that a DA is bound by, I imagine it's up to that individual district attorney and what he or she wants to do while they're in office; what have you seen with other district attorneys across not just the City of New York; we have a new district attorney in Richmond County, we have Cy Vance in Manhattan, Judge Brown in Queens and my new district attorney in the Bronx, Darcel Clark, that came in with a vision and has established a conviction integrity unit to really look back at all of the cases that have come to her office, but also within the state, like what have you seen with other DA offices and how they're putting together these units that would focus on current convictions?

REBECCA BROWN: Right. I mean as I said,

I'm not expert in the individual unit, so I can't

really weigh in on one versus the other, mainly

'cause I'm sort of working more in the policy setting

2	and not sort of in the case review setting, but what
3	I will say and sort of, you know, I guess echo my
4	earlier point; it's just so important that these
5	reviews not be sort of these closed-door processes,
6	but instead be those processes whereby there really
7	are sort of independent voices asking questions about
8	that evidence. Anyone can look at old evidence and
9	believe and truly believe that it confirms guilt, for
10	whatever set of reasons and it's therefore all the
11	more important that there be different voices at the
12	table to really do an independent objective review of
13	these cases and I think certainly in many instances
14	the proof is in the pudding; what Ken Thompson has
15	produced and revealed with respect to wrongful
16	convictions has been extraordinary. There are
17	examples and I'm not gonna speak specifically to
18	particular units, but I mean certainly around the
19	country where there are concerns that it really
20	amounts to more of a public relations kind of effort
21	as opposed to like a true reinvestigation of old
22	cases and I think we have to be very careful to be
23	sure that if these units are established they're done
24	so responsibly.

2 CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Great, thank you so 3 much; I have no further questions. Innocence Project 4 does a great job; I'm very proud to work with you and over the next several weeks, before June, I hope that we can get further action and I, once again, am very 6 7 committed to working with my former colleagues in 8 Albany to see if we can get movement on this very critical bill; the longer we wait, the more people that are impacted and so I can't emphasis enough that 10 11 time is always of the essence; we think we have a lot 12 of time, but we really don't, because there are a lot 13 of innocent voices that are sitting behind bars right 14 now that are waiting for someone, waiting for 15 collective action and we have to recognize that it's 16 great to have the impacted community work with us, 17 but there are so many other voices that have a 18 similar testimony and whose voices we don't hear and 19 you know, I'm very committed because I know there are 20 a lot of people out there that don't see government 21 ever helping them, that don't see a way out; they don't see light in the midst of darkness and all the 2.2 2.3 other factors and families have been ripped apart as well, so if we can save one more New Yorker, one more 24 25 person; if we can exonerate another person from a

the sergeant at arms and this hearing of the

Committee on Public Safety is hereby adjourned.

24

1	COMMITTEE	ON	PUBLIC	SAFETY	84
2	[gavel]				
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 29, 2016