CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

March 7, 2016

Start: 9:36 a.m. Recess: 5:35 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E:

DONOVAN J. RICHARDS

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Daniel R. Garodnick

Jumaane D. Williams

Antonio Reynoso

Ritchie J. Torres

Vincent J. Gentile

Ruben Wills

Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

David G. Greenfield

Inez D. Barron

Vanessa L. Gibson

Carl Weisbrod Chairman New York City Planning Commission

Purnima Kapur
Executive Director
New York City Department of City Planning

Vicki Been Commissioner New York City Housing Preservation and Development

Maria Torres-Springer President New York City Economic Development Corporation

Gregg Bishop Commissioner New York City Department of Small Business Services

Ismene Speliotis
Executive Director
Mutual Housing Association of New York
and MHANY Management, Inc.

Ryan Jacob Member Community for Change Real Affordability for All

Maritza Silva-Farrell Coordinator Real Affordability for All

Barry Smith
Business Agent
Local 79
President
100 Black Construction Workers

Yvette Cumberbatch Member 32BJ

Rick Russo
Senior V.P. and COO
Representing
Carlo Scissura
President and CEO
Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce

Enrique Cologne Outreach Coordinator CASA

Rene Arlain
Director of Housing Counseling
Cypress Hills Local Development
Corporation

Roy Frias
East New York Farms,
United Community Centers

Zulmilena Then
Founder
Preserving East New York

Brother Paul Muhammad Member Coalition for Community Advancement

Meredith Marshall Co-founder and Managing Partner BRP Companies

Martin Dunn Owner Dunn Development Corp.

David Bryan
Director
Consumer Economic Development Advocacy
Unit
Brooklyn Legal Services Corporation A

Michael Wadman Phipps Houses

Shai Lauros Community Development Director Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation

Rebecca Gillman Crimmins
Project Manager
Cypress Hills Local Development
Corporation

Emily Van Ingen
Director of Programs
Cypress Hills Local Development
Corporation

Paula Crespo
Planner
Pratt Center for Community Development

Adrien Weibgen Staff Attorney Community Development Project Urban Justice Center

Shamona Kirkland Member People First Democratic Club

Danixa Carr Member People First Democratic Club

Mayfield Goddard-Adetimirin Member People First Democratic Club

Bill Wilkins
Director of Economic Development
Local Development Corporation East New
York

Emily Goldstein Senior Campaign Organizer Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development

Shekar Krishnan Director Preserving Affordable Housing Program Group Representation Unit

Jonathan Furlong
Zoning & Technical Assistance Coordinator
Association for Neighborhood and Housing
Development

Sam Chiera Staff Attorney Brooklyn Legal Services

Maria Contreras-Collier Executive Director Cypress Hills Child Care Corporation

Dave Powell
Director of Organizing & Advocacy
Fifth Avenue Committee and
Neighbors Helping Neighbors

Maria Hia
Resident of Cypress Hills/East New York

Aida Castillo
Cypress Hills Local Development
Corporation
Member
Coalition for Community Advancement

Female Voice
Representing Darma Diaz
Member
Coalition for Community Advancement

Umberto Martinez Representing Rose Martinez

Sophia Carlton
Director
Family Resource Center
The Partnership for the Homeless

Female Voice Representing Leah Archibald Evergreen

Luis Enriquez
Deputy Director
Legal Services NYC

Diana Reyna
Deputy Brooklyn Borough President

Richard Bearak Land Use Director Brooklyn Borough

Naved Husain Lead Organizer CAAAV

Translator for Francisco Reyes

Francisco Reyes Tenant

Moses Cuello Tenant

Deborah Mesloh Representing Nancy Carin, Executive Director, Business Outreach Center Network

Daisy Gonzalez Community Organizer Community Voices Heard

David Benke
CEO
Lutheran Social Services of New York

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

TRANSCRIPTION NOTE: Testimony of

3 Francisco Reyes in Spanish with the use of a 4 translator, audio Pt. 2 of 2, (Job #002-01-533135) 5 beginning at time stamp [00:27:29].

[gavel]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Good morning. Welcome to the public hearing of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises.

I would like to recognize that we are joined by Council Member Espinal, who represents East New York, also Subcommittee member Vincent Gentile and we expect other members to come in as we proceed through this hearing. Today the Council will be holding a hearing on the East New York Plan, which includes related land use applications that are subject to City Council review -- zoning map change that would increase residential density in a neighborhood, a text amendment to apply the provisions of Mandatory Inclusionary Housing to this area and establish a mixed-use district, and a special enhanced commercial district, an amendment to the Dinsmore-Chestnut Urban Renewal Plan and a related disposition of City property.

2 The East New York Community Plan is 3 different from previous neighborhood rezonings in two important ways. One, this is the first neighborhood 4 5 where Mandatory Inclusionary Housing would be mapped. We recently held 10-hour-long hearing on Mandatory 6 7 Inclusionary Housing and everyone should know that we are working hard to make sure that whatever is 8 produced is the best policy for this city that we can make. Because both programs are evolving as we 10 11 continue our work, some of the specifics about how 12 Mandatory Inclusionary Housing will apply in East New

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

New York.

Additionally, the administration has made many commitments for East New York, Cypress Hills and Ocean Hill that go beyond new zoning. The City has proposed housing subsidies, capital commitments and economic development strategies that are designed to support the zoning changes that are being proposed and I'm sure that council members will have many

York have yet to be determined. I want to assure

how it could apply in all types of neighborhoods

throughout the city, especially in places like East

everyone though that in working out modifications to

Mandatory Inclusionary Housing we are keeping in mind

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 11

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

questions on those commitments. These proposals come at a time when there is a great deal of anxiety and concern about the changing character of New York and of some of our low-income neighborhoods. neighborhoods grow and evolve and still remain affordable is the central challenge we face today.

The council has a city charter-mandated 50-day review period, so we will need to make a decision on this proposal over the course of the next several weeks, but I know that council members Espinal and Barron have been working on this issue for months and I want to acknowledge all of their hard work with the local community to get to this day. Hopefully everyone who signed up to speak received a hearing tip sheet; if not, you can grab one at the desk downstairs.

Today we will be giving everyone a chance to speak, so we will be here as long as we need to be and that was proof in the MIH and ZQA hearings; we held 20 hours of hearings over a 24-hour period.

Speakers will be limited to three minutes As always, please keep your testimony civil and be respectful of the views of others. Please make sure you're present when your name is called.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

We will also be accepting written and electronic testimony at correspondence@council.nyc.gov.

testimony at correspondence@council.nyc.gov.

Correspondence@council.nyc.gov, and I'm just gonna

underscore once again; whether you disagree or agree

with whoever is testifying, we ask you to remain

7 | civil; if you're not, we will have you removed.

I think now that council member Espinal is here; we've also been joined by council member Antonio Reynoso. We will now hear some words from council member Espinal, who represents this area.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I want to start by thanking all of my

constituents who made the time to be here today. I

wanna thank my colleagues, Council Member Richards

and all the members of this committee as well.

I want to specifically acknowledge the Coalition for Community Advancement that has been working to bring the East New York community together throughout this entire process.

Today we are here to consider the zoning changes proposed for the Cypress Hills, East New York and Ocean Hill communities, changes that are necessary to successfully implement the East New York Community Plan.

This process is deeply personal to me, because as many of you are already aware, I am not just the elected official who represents this community and the council and through this process; I am also someone who has lived in Cypress Hills my entire life and continues to lay my head on a pillow each night in the same streets we are looking to rezone.

My family's story is similar to a lot of those who live in this community; my parents came here from the Dominican Republic and worked hard to save nickels and dimes to buy a two-family house and raise six children. I, alongside my neighbors, lived to witness decades of this investment by city and state administrations. The neighborhood struggled through the crack epidemic in the 80s; gun violence was higher than any other part of the city; schools were overcrowded and lacked basic resources and most recently, the 2008 housing crisis left hundreds, if not thousands of my constituents jobless and facing foreclosures on homes they bought to fulfill the American dream.

But through these struggles I was able to see the strength and resilience of a close-knit

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

community who came together to keep our neighborhoods going and to keep them safe, because they knew then what we know now; that our neighborhood is a diamond in the rough, a community where working-class and immigrant families can achieve the American dream and call home. Today our community continues to be tested; the reality is that our families are facing difficult times, the cost of rent and mortgages are rising quickly; because of this, basement apartments are a norm, our roads are in constant disrepair, our schools are overcrowded and kids are taught in trailers instead of classrooms, and unemployment in East New York is double that of other neighborhoods throughout the Brooklyn and the city. Though this plan will bring with it long overdue investments into our community, it is my job to make sure those investments benefit those who have built this community and not those who may live here 10 years from now.

I want to take a moment and let my

community know that over the past year-and-a-half I

have heard you, I understand your fears and

frustrations about these proposals and I am well

aware of the changes happening right now in our

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

neighborhood. My goal has always been to make sure we had a real community plan that would not just bring long overdue resources into our community, but that moves away from the one-size-fits-all approach of previous administrations and instead was tailored to specific needs of residents that live here today.

And to the administration, I want to let you know that though progress has been made since the process started, I believe we still have a ways to go before I can go back to my community with my head held high, because I know that as the first neighborhood to be rezoned under the Mayor's Housing Plan we are setting the bar for how this process will play not just in Brooklyn, but across the city. may be the first, but I will not be the last council member to stand before you and ask the questions that community after community will keep asking -- how do we create truly affordable housing for low-income families; how do we create policies to support local hire and high road retail; how do we incentivize local development in the private sector without compromising our values and displacing our residents; how can we plan for growth when existing resources

2 are insufficient to handle the community that exists
3 today.

2.2

2.3

The kind of changes in this proposal are big changes, changes that will fundamentally reshape East New York, Cypress Hills and Ocean Hill communities, so we need to make sure that we proceed with confidence that the final plan is one that will respect current residents while allowing for expansion over a period of time.

As we discuss the proposed zoning changes here today, I want to make the priorities clear as to what I believe my community needs in order to welcome new residents and grow.

First, I want to make sure this plan protects those who live here today; how are the antidisplacement strategies working on the ground; what strategies do we have to incentivize our property owners and landlords to keep rents affordable? We need to aggressively target owners who cheat the system and seek to evict rent-stabilized tenants in exchange for higher rents. Above all, we need to make sure that residents who are at risk of displacement actually know about the resources available to them — spending millions of dollars on

programs and services to help at-risk residents
doesn't do much if those residents are not aware of
it.

Secondly, I want to make sure that the affordable housing built is truly affordable. Yes, the administration estimates we can see up to 6,000 units of new housing in East New York over the next 15 years, but that's an estimate; we don't know the final number; what we do know is that the first 1,200 units built on a combination of City-owned and private sites will be 100% affordable, but we need to know what happens after that.

Third, I've said all along this could not just be a housing plan; it must be a jobs plan, because we know that no home is affordable without a good-paying job. I'm looking forward to learning more about the plans for how HireNYC will target local residents for new construction jobs, how the recommendations from the East New York IBZ study will be implemented and as a proponent of local businesses, how we plan to protect our small businesses from displacement by larger chain stores, and if new chain stores do come into the neighborhood, I want to make sure they are high road

,

retailers so that workers are paid a living wage and given the benefits they need to support themselves and their families.

Four, new growth in the neighborhood means we need to focus on building up infrastructure and community resources. I want to make sure that in planning for growth we have concrete plans for how we will implement the strategies to address community needs as the years roll by. This includes our public safety resources, our streets, our parks, our schools, daycare centers, and our community centers.

Finally, commitments made must be commitments kept. We have to find a way to ensure the commitments made as part of the East New York plan are kept by this administration and those that follow in the decades to come. We must create a mechanism that will hold agencies accountable and track progress made in our community.

As we discuss the future of these communities here today we must also acknowledge our past; we must recognize that our communities have changed rapidly in recent years because of failed policies that accelerated displacement and the people that have been and continue to be displaced are the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

very people who made our neighborhoods in Brooklyn into the brand that it is today; the working-class families, the local manufacturers, the immigrants, and the artists, they are the soul of Brooklyn and they are running out of places to go. This plan is so much more about the blocks set to be rezoned; it is really about the future of East New York and the Borough and making sure that 10 or 20 years from now Brooklyn continues to be a diverse, inclusive place with lots of character and soul.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. So I'm sure you got all of his questions already.

[background comments] With that being said, I now open the public hearing. We will first hear from the administration, council members will then have an opportunity to ask questions and then we will open it up to the public for testimony, alternating with panels of speakers in favor and in opposition.

Our first panel will be the Chair of the
City Planning Commission, Carl Weisbrod and the
Executive Director of the Department of City
Planning, Purnima Kapur; Commissioner of the
Department of Housing Preservation and Development,

Development Commissioner Vicki Been, Economic

Development Corporation President Maria Torre-

24

25

Springer, Small Business Services Commissioner GreggBishop, and our Executive Director at Department of

4 City Planning, Purnima Kapur.

2.2

2.3

As you will hear this morning, the East

New York Community Plan responds to multifaceted

goals identified during extensive outreach with the

community; it is the product of unique, unprecedented

collaboration among several City agencies, including

the Departments of Transportation, Parks and

Recreation, Cultural Affairs, The School Construction

Authority; the Department of Environmental

Protection, the Office of Management and Budget, and

the Mayor's Office, as well as the departments

represented here today.

I'm gonna provide a very brief overview of our efforts to date in East New York; Ms. Kapur will make a presentation on our planning framework, and that will be followed by Commissioner Been, President Torres-Springer and Commissioner Bishop, who will discuss the critically important housing and economic development elements of the plan.

While East New York remains well below its peak of close to 70,000 residents in the 1950s, over the past decade its population has been growing;

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in fact, since 2000 East New York's population has increased more than five times faster than Brooklyn as a whole; housing production has not kept pace and this imbalance has led to increased crowding and pressure on housing prices, threatening to undermine the social fabric and stability of the community. Ιf we do nothing the pressures will continue and the threat of displacement of existing residents will increase. The City has vastly expanded its antidisplacement efforts, as you will be hearing from Commissioner Been, in East New York and elsewhere. Last week's report of a significant decline in evictions last year is an encouraging sign that these efforts are working, but we must act affirmatively for the future while we continue to provide effective measures to resist powerful economic forces that are being felt not only in East New York; not only elsewhere in the City, but in urban areas throughout the country.

East New York represents a new approach to neighborhood planning; we are committed to looking at neighborhoods holistically, engaging communities from the ground up, deploying not only our zoning powers, but our capital resources, our design

2.2

2.3

housing.

expertise, our economic development tools, and our
planning skills. We aim to increase through zoning
housing capacity in a city as well as in a
neighborhood that is growing and needs more
affordable housing and to forever protect the
affordability of a significant portion of that new

Through this plan's unprecedented level of community outreach we heard from hundreds of community residents, community boards and organizations; the Coalition for Community Advancement, business and property owners, elected officials and other stakeholders. In dozens of meetings we learned about how proud they feel of their community, their wishes and hopes to make it even better for themselves and for their kids and for their grandkids.

The invaluable input from this public outreach effort can be found in the plan's goals, strategies and proposals. We are intent on doing so while fostering a more livable, thriving East New York community by making coordinated public investments in a new school, open space, better streets and streetscapes, particularly through a

major new investment on Atlantic Avenue, as well as by working to spur economic development and job opportunities.

Our goal is to provide housing, more and better retail and services and a quality physical environment for the existing community, which has long suffered in East New York, as Council Member Espinal just said. But we also want to protect and enhance the neighborhood for generations to come. The Department of Environmental Protection has already started installing bioswales in the IBZ to treat storm water and beautify streets and will soon be doing so throughout the East New York neighborhood.

We hope to be able to deploy new tools, such as Mandatory Inclusionary Housing and Zoning for Quality and Affordability, which are currently under consideration by the City Council. And if enacted, East New York would represent the first application of the MIH program, as Chairman Richards indicated. And we have already established a dedicated \$1 billion Neighborhood Development Fund to support growth in neighborhoods where we are planning for increased housing capacity. NDF funding will ensure

that the public investments needed to make
neighborhoods better for existing residents and for
those to come, for example, great parks and better
streets, are planned and funded up front; the City is
putting its money where its mouth is to assure that
commitments are made and that commitments are kept.
The East New York Community Plan, if approved, would
represent the first application of the Neighborhood
Development Fund as well.

The East New York plan could facilitate the development of approximately 6,400 new apartments. As you will be hearing from Commissioner Been, HPD has committed to financing more than 1,200 units of deeply affordable housing in the first two years after the plan's adoption, skewed heavily toward low- and extremely low-income residents. In the next few years we fully expect that other new housing built in East New York will required HPD subsidies and HPD has committed that any building it subsidizes will be 100% affordable.

When the market is strong enough to support unsubsidized multi-family housing, then MIH will guarantee that at least 25% of these new apartments in East New York will be permanently

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

affordable and that means forever. You will be hearing much more about the housing plan from Commissioner Been.

We have also committed to building a 1,000-ft. school in East New York on the City-owned Dinsmore-Chestnut site; it is already budgeted, and this site will also contain new affordable housing with commercial and community facility space.

We have committed to a significant upgrading of Atlantic Avenue that will make the central spine of the neighborhood safer and more attractive, with better streetscapes, including raised planted median, safer crosswalks and new sidewalks complete with benches, bike racks and more than 100 new street trees. These actions will finally remove a significant physical barrier between the north and south side of the East New York community. Some of the funds for this had already been committed, but we have enhanced the scope of the project, using the Neighborhood Development Fund.

The NDF will also fund a series of open space improvements, including the transformation of an asphalt portion of City Line Park, which will have its own community design process, and the

retail opportunities in East New York.

2.2

2.3

redevelopment of a playground in Lower Highland Park.

And as you will be hearing from EDC President TorresSpringer and SBS Commissioner Bishop, the City will

also be deploying its economic development tools to
enhance opportunities within the East New York

Industrial Business Zone, as well as job
opportunities for local residents by establishing a

Workforcel Career Center in East New York. SBS will
also be working with local businesses and residents
to help them take advantage of the new service and

The sum of this unprecedented level of collaboration in these actions, commitments and public investments will support a more vibrant, inclusive neighborhood with a wide variety of housing options for current and future residents, local and regional commercial uses, job opportunities, attractive streets, and high-quality schools, parks and other community resources.

With that, upon the conclusion of the presentations by Ms. Kapur, Commissioner Been,

President Torres-Springer and Commissioner Bishop, we would be very pleased to answer your questions.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Acknowledge we've been joined by Chair of the Land Use Committee, David Greenfield.

PURNIMA KAPUR: Good morning. For the record, my name is Purnima Kapur and I'm the Executive Director of the Department of City Planning.

As Chairman Weisbrod has described, the East New York Community Plan is a coordinated neighborhood plan to promote new affordable housing, retail and job growth and new community resources. The land use framework and zoning proposal developed by DPC, in partnership with other City agencies and with community input, would facilitate these objectives by identifying the areas for growth along major corridors, such as Atlantic Avenue, as well as areas where the residential character would be protected through contextual zoning. Broadway Junction and the Industrial Business Zone are not included in the rezoning proposal; however, as you will hear from EDC President Maria Torres-Springer, from strategies to protect economic development and job growth in the IBZ are crucial elements of this plan.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

The East New York land use proposal includes the following zoning actions: a zoning map amendment to promote mixed-use growth and preserve the character of side streets, zoning text amendment to establish Mandatory Inclusionary Housing, create enhanced commercial districts to promote active retail on major streets and special mixed-use districts to allow light industrial uses to continue to remain, along with new residential uses in selected areas.

An amendment to the Dinsmore-Chestnut
Urban Renewal Plan to allow new uses, including
affordable housing on one of the major City-owned
sites in this area and an authorization for the
disposition of the City-owned site at DinsmoreChestnut.

The zoning as it exists today in East New York allows low-density residential uses north and south of Atlantic Avenue, auto and industrial uses in manufacturing and commercial zoning districts found along parts of Atlantic Avenue, Liberty Avenue and portions of Ocean Hill and low-density residential uses with some commercial along Pitkin Avenue and a mix of residential and retail use on Fulton Street.

2.2

2.3

No new housing is allowed along Atlantic Avenue or in this part of Ocean Hill.

Restricting new development to only low-density has limited the production of affordable housing. Zoning as it is today has not been changed in most of this neighborhood since 1961 and does not reflect current uses. For example, over 60% of the lots in Ocean Hill are residential, despite the manufacturing zoning district and have historically been so.

The proposed zoning, as part of this community plan, would promote mixed-use development on key corridors near transit, mandate affordable housing in areas where we are adding substantial capacity to develop new housing, require active uses on the ground floors along major corridors, protect the residential character of neighborhood side streets and allow light industrial and residential uses in mixed (MX) districts where there are such uses today.

On key corridors, such as Atlantic

Avenue, Fulton Street, Pitkin Avenue and Liberty

Avenue, medium-density residential district with

commercial district overlays are proposed to propose

this plan... [background comments, protesters]

ask you to sit down, please [gavel] [background

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alrighty, we'll

2.3

24

25

2 protesters] or we'll have to have you removed.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 [background protesters] [gavel] Alrighty, we're

4 gonna have you removed. If you can't respect the

5 testimony, we unfortunately have to remove you.

6 [background protesters] We're gonna give you 10 more

7 seconds and then we'll have to remove you.

8 [background protesters] Okay, you can remove them.

[background protesters] [applause, cheers, background

10 comments] 'Kay, thank you. You may resume.

PURNIMA KAPUR: To support ambitious housing goals of this plan... [background comments] the land use proposal includes the first application of the proposed Mandatory Inclusionary Housing program, which would require permanent affordable housing in all medium-density districts that are being upzoned to allow substantial new residential use. While MIH is an important strategy for achieving neighborhood affordability in East New York, we expect new development in the near future to be public subsidized, which combined with this zoning proposal to allow medium-density development along major corridors will enable us to achieve broader and deeper affordability than can be achieve through a zoning requirement alone.

2.2

The zoning proposal would also strengthen key commercial corridors of Atlantic Avenue, Pitkin Avenue and Fulton Street by requiring that any new development must provide active use at the ground floor, such as retail stores or community space.

In addition to residential districts, commercial and mixed-use districts are proposed in response to the community's desire to allow a greater diversity of commercial and light industrial uses along with new residential uses.

Commercial districts are proposed for key areas on Atlantic Avenue, Pitkin Avenue, Fulton

Street and at Broadway Junction to allow larger-scale commercial uses, such as shopping and entertainment destinations.

Special Mixed-Use Districts, which combine manufacturing and residential districts, are proposed on parts of Atlantic Avenue, Liberty Avenue and in Ocean Hill to better reflect and support the existing mix of light industrial and residential uses currently found in these areas.

At the core of East New York rezoning area are the residential blocks between the major corridors. These side streets are characterized by

2.2

2.3

are predominant.

two- to three-story row houses and small three- to four-story apartment buildings built in the early 1900s. Recent development conforms to the current R5 zoning regulations, which require off-street parking often provided in the required front yard, producing developments that do not match the form and character of these existing buildings. Contextual R5B and R6D districts would cover large swaths of the rezoning area between these major corridors and west of Broadway Junction, where existing residential uses

The proposed contextual zoning would ensure that new infill development compliments and enhances the existing residential character, which allows parking along the side of homes instead of in front yards, to maintain the historic street wall and character of these neighborhoods.

There is one large City-owned site within the rezoning located at Dinsmore-Chestnut Street, with frontage on Atlantic Avenue. This site is intended to be used for a new school with open space, as well as new affordable housing, with stores or community facilities at the ground floor. The requested amendment to the Urban Renewal Plan, which

applies only to this site, would allow these uses per the zoning proposal. The requested action would also authorize disposition of the site by HPD to facilitate development.

Together, these land use strategies, investments and services will work together to support a more vibrant, affordable and inclusive neighborhood. This is an image of Atlantic Avenue at Warwick Street today; as you can see, the street is lined with low-rise, auto-related uses, fast food establishments and there is almost no housing because there is no new residential use permitted there today, and this 120-foot-wide corridor is unsafe and difficult for pedestrians to cross, creating a barrier between neighborhoods.

In the future, with the approval of this plan, as the community plan strategies are implemented, Atlantic Avenue would be lined with significant amounts of new affordable housing, stores and community facilities at the ground floor of new buildings, providing this neighborhood with more retail and services, as well as local jobs, a planted median, new crosswalks and sidewalks, making this a safer street; more inviting for pedestrians. The new

residents, it hurts the City's economic

25

2.2

2.3

competitiveness, it contributes to the growing inequality gap that undermines our ideals and

unravels the social fabric of our neighborhoods.

The proposed rezoning in East New York is one step of many towards resolving that affordable housing crisis, by allowing our neighborhoods to grow while protecting the distinct architecture, street life, historic significance, mix of housing types and uses in the neighborhood and preventing the displacement of current residents.

In response to concerns that we've heard from the community, we've crafted a set of strategies that will use permanently affordable housing to allow existing residents to stay in the neighborhood they love, even as the neighborhood changes and to ensure that future development results in an even more diverse, thriving and livable community.

As Chair Weisbrod just described, the
East New York Community Plan is the result of an
extensive community planning process that spanned a
number of years. The Mayor's Housing New York Plan
started with neighborhoods and committed the City to
the thoughtfully planned development, the sound City
plan that Council Member Espinal referred to earlier.

2.2

That has led to an unprecedented degree of interagency collaboration and engagement with local community. At HPD, the Mayor's focus on neighborhoods led us to form a new Office of Neighborhood Strategy to lead community engagement around the development of neighborhood housing plans, such as this one, and I wanna -- there are copies available for anyone who wants them and I think they've been distributed.

The plan speaks directly to community needs and concerns. We began in 2014 with analysis, desktop research -- an analysis of the existing housing stock, the demographics of the community and the threats in opportunities for affordability that East New York faces.

Throughout 2015, along with many of our sister agencies, we conducted a series of listening sessions, workshops and stakeholder meetings to get feedback on the extent to which our preliminary research resonated with the community members, based upon their lived experience in the neighborhood.

Last summer we also participated in a series of working meetings with the East New York Coalition for Community Advancement, where HPD's

2.2

2.3

in the community.

Deputy and Assistant Commissioners were on hand to brainstorm creatively about how to work together with the community to achieve common objectives. Based on the ideas developed at those meetings, we drafted the housing plan specifically for East New York. The housing plan outlines and will continue to guide and focus our housing policies, programs and investments

The process has been very iterative; while we were crafting the housing plan, we met with local elected officials, the Coalition and other stakeholders to provide updates and to gather additional input and ideas.

As Chair Weisbrod outlined, Brooklyn's population has grown considerably in recent year; the Borough is seen as one of the most desirable places to live in this country; indeed the world, and is one of the most expensive. East New York is often cited as one of the few remaining affordable neighborhoods in the Borough, attracting people priced out of other areas.

In addition, existing residents are remaining in place as they have children and increasingly are aging in place, so East New York is

Z 1

growing even without in-migration. Between 2000 and 2010, the area's population increased to the rate of 11%, faster than Brooklyn and the city as a whole, which only grew by about 2%.

As the population grows, the increased demand for housing is putting pressure on the area's housing supply. Between 2000 and 2013, prior to the announcement of any rezoning, median home values in East New York increased by over 100%; median rents increased by approximately 26% in East New York over the same period, compared to 16% in all of Brooklyn.

The median household income of the neighborhood is about \$33,000, but in order to afford current asking rents in East New York, one would need to earn at least \$44,000 for a one-bedroom apartment or \$56,000 for a two-bedroom apartment. Indeed, over two-thirds of East New York households are already spending more than what the Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development defines as affordable.

Many of you may have seen a statistic quoted in the press that says that 50,000 East New York residents are going to be displaced as a result of the proposed community plan. That figure, taken out of context from the draft Environmental Impact

2 Statement, has been grossly misrepresented.

3 the report actually says is that 50,000 people are

4 already at risk of displacement because so much of

the housing in East New York is in small buildings.

There are 50,000 people in East New York today who do

7 not live in housing that is regulated by a government

agency or rent-stabilized and who because of their 8

low incomes cannot afford the increasing rents that I

described just a moment ago. Those people are at 10

11 risk of displacement now as pressures continue to

rise on rents because the demand for housing in East 12

13 New York outstrips supply.

1

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So what are we proposing to do about that risk? Doing nothing is not an option. If the supply of housing is not increased, rents will rise and as Council Member Espinal noted, protecting residents who are vulnerable to displacement as rents increase has to be our number one priority.

So first and foremost we will preserve the existing stock of regulated housing and ensure that homeowners and rent-protected tenants have the resources to enforce their legal right and resist pressures to move. But to keep rents from skyrocketing we must ensure that are allowing the

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

benefit from our financing and tax incentives in

supply of housing to increase to meet demand and that any new housing built must include affordable homes.

A large proportion of the homes in the East New York rezoning area, over 40% or about 25,000 homes are already affordable because of existing regulatory restrictions that require the housing to serve low-income families or because of limits on rent increases. Those units are regulated by government agencies and we are monitoring those properties and reaching out to owners with regulatory agreements that are near the end of the affordability period to let them know about the financial incentives we can provide to preserve affordability. Approximately 1,100 homes will reach the end of their existing regulatory period by 2020 and we are doing everything we can to keep those homes under regulatory protection; indeed, we've preserved almost 400 such homes over the past two years alone.

We are also working to identify opportunities to protect the affordability of buildings that are not currently regulated. We're taking a much more proactive and strategic approach than ever before to target building owners who could SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 43 exchange for agreeing to maintain the affordability of their units. Many owners are either not aware of our products or feel uncomfortable working with a

public agency and we are trying to better serve those

6 owners.

2.2

2.3

One example is our new Green Housing

Preserving Program, which targets small buildings of

5-50 units with low-interest loans that will make the

buildings more energy efficient in exchange for

maintaining the affordability of their units. In the

spring we'll be launching a comprehensive outreach

and technical assistance campaign specifically

targeting East New York property owners who could

benefit from that new program.

There is also a significant stock of unregulated housing in East New York, predominantly in owner-occupied, small, 1- to 4-family homes.

We've heard from homeowners that they are being approached to sell their homes on a daily basis and many do not have the resources to make critical repairs or to protect themselves from what may become very aggressive harassment. So we are prioritizing several programs.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 First, we are working with the Center for 3 New York City Neighborhoods to dedicate a homeowner help desk in the community, with financial and legal 4 5 counselors to help homeowners modify their mortgages, prevent foreclosures, access home repair and 6 weatherization loans, and address scams such as deed 8 theft and other issues. This responds to an issue that the Coalition explicitly raised in our working meetings and will be an important resource to help 10 11 protect homeowners and their tenants.

We also are working to increase awareness of and access to our range of small home repair loan programs, as well as to expand our down payment assistance program for first-time low- and moderate-income homebuyers in the neighborhood.

Protections for tenants in renal housing also are critical, of course. The City is deploying immediate, proactive tools to protect residents from landlords who engage in harassment or do not maintain the safety and quality of their building.

First we're providing free legal services to any tenant in East New York facing harassment.

Mayor de Blasio has increased the funding for those programs by tenfold. We are participating in the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

_ _

housing and especially for new affordable housing to

relieve the demand pressures that are driving up rents in East New York. In this way we view the

zoning proposal before you as an additional and

bringing enforcement action, including criminal charges against landlords who harass tenants. Among other tools, the New Tenant Support Unit goes door to door in neighborhoods across the city, informing tenants of their rights, documenting building

first-ever task force dedicated to investigating and

violations, soliciting complaints related to

harassment and eviction and making referrals to the

free legal services whenever necessary.

Since it launched in July of 2015, the unit has identified 2,400 New Yorkers in need of help; a thousand of those cases have already been resolved and agencies and the legal service providers are addressing the remainder.

With those kinds of programs in place we are pleased, as Chair Weisbrod mentioned that a recent study found that evictions citywide have decreased by 18% over the last year.

We must also create opportunities for new

2.2

2.3

2 important preventative measure to combat and prevent
3 residential displacement.

The zoning proposal has been crafted to ensure new development is only permitted along the major commercial corridors -- Atlantic, Fulton,

Liberty and Pitkin -- that currently contain very few residential units. Residential areas on the side streets are not being rezoned to allow for greater density, thereby ensuring that the existing low-scale character of those residential areas is preserved and ensuring that the rezoning will provide no incentive for owners to change the nature of the housing.

On the avenues we're proposing to implement the Mandatory Inclusionary Housing Program; if approved, MIH in East New York will represent the first neighborhood-scale implementation of the ambitious new program. Through MIH, any new development in these locations will be required to set aside at least 25% of the units as affordable for a family of three making on average \$47,000 per year or 60% of the area median income. Remember that the MIH program would require these units to be permanently affordable and to average at 60% of AMI a 100-unit building could include 25 units, for

example, with 10 for families making \$31,000; 5 for families making \$47,000 and 10 for families making \$62,000; it's an average of 60% AMI; not all at 60%

5 AMI.

2.2

2.3

But in East New York, MIH is only the floor; it is not the ceiling. When developers come to HPD for financing we will only finance buildings that are 100% affordable and at levels that target low-, very low- and extremely low-income. Within those 100% affordable buildings we are committing that at least 40% of all units will be reserved for households earning as little as \$23,000 to \$39,000 for a three-person family. The remainder of the units in each building will be primarily affordable to households making less than \$47,000 for a three-person family or 60% AMI.

We have fact sheets available, again, over near the sergeant at arms, outlining exactly the AMIs and the incomes that are at issue.

I cannot stress enough; asking rents for apartments on the market in East New York today are significantly higher than the affordable housing that we will finance. So the new housing we will support

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.3

24

25

will create more, not less opportunity for current residents to find an apartment they can afford.

One example of the type of development we expect the rezoning will encourage is the project we just funded at the corner of Pitkin Avenue and Berriman Street, and which is shown to the right there. Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation is the developer of that project, which is starting construction this year. When completed, it will include 60 units of affordable housing for families at the income levels I just described, as well as 12 units for formerly homeless tenants. It will also include a new supermarket. The site was rezoned about two years ago to the zoning districts that are now being proposed for all Pitkin Avenue which allows 8-10 stories of residential uses, with commercial and community uses on the ground floor.

As a down payment on our commitment to affordability, we are seeking to expedite the construction of over 1,200 units of deeply affordable homes, plus other facilities in the neighborhood, including the new 1,000-seat public school that Chair Weisbrod mentioned, over the next two years, but we need the proposed zoning plan approved so that we can

move forward on those projects, as housing and educational uses are not permitted under the current zoning on that lot. Those sites in clued the publicly-owned site at Dinsmore Place and Chestnut Street, the former Chloe Food facility, which is now owned by a nonprofit affordable housing developer, Phipps Houses, who has committed to develop 100% affordable housing serving the incomes I described earlier. To meet calls from the community that more housing should serve the lowest-earning families on public sites, like the Dinsmore-Chestnut site, we are committed to finance even more units, 35% of the total at the income of \$23,000 to \$31,000 for a family of three.

Here you can see those 1,200 units broken down by that affordability level. Within the next two years we are proposing to finance the construction of 480 homes serving families earning between \$23-39,000 and 686 homes for families of three earning between \$39,000 and \$47,000. A remaining 45 homes will serve homeowners and renters in one- to four-family buildings throughout the district.

2.2

2.3

.

Finally, on our HPD site we provide rigorous construction quality and safety monitoring; none of our sites have been involved in construction death and we are doing everything we can to ensure that they are safe and high-quality construction.

As part of our housing plan for the neighborhood, we are committing to full transparency in the projects we invest in and the programs we are deploying in East New York so that the public can keep us accountable to what we say we are going to do and to track whether we're making sufficient progress towards our goals.

We also will continue to evaluate market and demographic trends in the neighborhood on an ongoing basis and make those analyses public as well in order to determine whether policy refinements or new tools are necessary to address changing needs.

We are not walking away from East New York once this plan is approved; to the contrary, our work is only beginning and we will shift into implementation mode as soon as we hope it is adopted.

I'm including a chart here showing HPD's capital budget allocation over the next five years which total \$3.8 billion, to reiterate that our

capital funding remains stable and the Mayor's commitment to Housing New York and specifically to East New York remains fully funded.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today and when we are all done I'll be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: Good morning,
Chair Richards, Chair Greenfield; members of the City
Council.

For the record, my name is Maria Torres-Springer and I'm the President of the New York City Economic Development Corporation.

EDC has been coordinating closely with our sister agencies, residents and stakeholders in East New York over the past two years to help develop the East New York Community Plan. As my colleagues have described, East New York has been undergoing tremendous market change over the last few years, so the purpose of the City's work is to ensure that these changes happen in a way that benefit local residents and the small businesses of East New York. We will achieve this through coordinated investments and actions that will balance two key needs -- mixed-

2 | i

income residential growth and job-intensive mixed-use commercial development along key transit corridors in East New York, Cypress Hills and Ocean Hill.

Central to all of this is EDC's work in the East New York Industrial Business Zone. Since last summer, in partnership with Council Member Espinal, we've been engaged in a comprehensive planning study for the IBZ, with a goal of positioning it for growth, building upon its roots as an industrial hub and better connecting it to local residents and workforce.

Through engagement with the LDC of East

New York, Cypress Hills Local Development

Corporation, the East New York Restoration LDC,

Community Boards 5 and 6 and scores of business

owners and property owners, we have been developing a

comprehensive plan to spur economic development in

East New York. The plan focuses on three primary

strategies: 1. preserving and growing commercial and

industrial space; 2. connecting local businesses and

the workforce to development opportunities, 3.

attracting new employers. I'd like to use my time

today to quickly discuss each of these three

strategies.

)

First, in order to create jobs for the residents of East New York, we need to both preserve existing commercial and industrial space and create additional capacity for new employers. The East New York Community Plan will introduce a minimum of 859,000 sq. ft. of commercial uses and at least 457 sq. ft. of community facilities that are expected to generate approximately 4,000 new jobs by 2030.

At the same time, we'll also be strengthening the Industrial Business Zone which currently is home to 250 businesses and 3,000 well-paying jobs. The IBZ is not being altered or rezoned as part of the East New York Plan; in fact, Mayor de Blasio recently announced the City will be limiting hotel and mini storage development in IBZs in order to ensure that space remains available to the types of employers that create jobs accessible to a wide range of New Yorkers.

EDC has made many different investments in the IBZ and we are pleased that this summer we will begin construction on a \$1.5 million renovation to the East New York Industrial Building, a Cityowned 30,000 sq. ft. building at Powell Street, in

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES order to make it a better industrial facility for

3 existing and future tenants alike.

And just last week we also began accepting applications for a brand new \$150 million Industrial Development Fund. This fund will help spur the creation of 400,000 sq. ft. of new industrial space by providing grants and low-interest loans to nonprofit industrial developers to preserve and develop modern industrial space to accommodate good jobs in neighborhoods like East New York.

Our second strategy involves connecting local businesses and workers to contracts and jobs. We at EDC are working to ensure that we're not just investing in new buildings, but in new opportunities for the people of East New York that any investment that the City makes in East New York directly benefits businesses and the workers of the community; that's why, through our HireNYC Program developments that receive City funding will be required to first engage with the City's public workforce system when hiring for new jobs that are being created, ensuring that the people of East New York have a meaningful first shot at these employment opportunities.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

My colleague, Commissioner Gregg Bishop, will discuss the efforts to connect East New York residents to job opportunities in greater detail, but I also wanted to mention some of EDC's efforts to ensure just the same and also to ensure that MWBE developers and companies have the ability to take part in all of the development.

This summer, for instance, we will begin recruiting for a Brooklyn cohort of our Manage

Forward program, which provides small business owners, including construction companies with business education to help them grow and will be putting a particular focus on East New York business owners when recruiting for this program.

We'll also be providing opportunities for
East New York businesses through our Blueprint to
Success program, which matches MWBE, certified
construction companies with a team of consultants,
leaving them better positioned to gain City
contracts. We're making more funding available to
MWBEs as well. The Mayor recently announced
approximately \$30 million in new funds to help MWBEs
better participate in the City's work. This includes
a range of financial assistance programs that will

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

help to ensure that East New York-based firms are poised to benefit from all of the new development that will occur within the neighborhood.

Meanwhile, our Kick-Start Loan Program will provide MWBEs with loans of up to \$250,000, which can be used to cover labor, equipment, material costs and to provide working capital as well.

partner to ensure that East New York companies can take advantage of new business opportunities. The LDC of East New York, for instance, has already had great success helping local businesses secure construction contracts in the community. Through a series of what were called "Meet the Developer" events, five local companies, for instance, have secured over \$3.2 million in contracts for the Livonia Phase I project and approximately 300-unit affordable housing project in partnership with HPD and Dunn Development Corporation.

This brings me to the third component of our strategy -- growing jobs by attracting new employers to the East New York Industrial Business

Zone. EDC has been aggressively recruiting companies to the IBZ, providing assistance wherever needed to

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In a changing market we are of course also mindful of the pressures existing businesses face and we work every day to make sure that we're bringing many resources to the table to help those businesses. For instance, we recently worked with Ultra Flex; a flexible plastic packaging manufacturer to keep the company in East New York, its home for over 40 years. As part of a deal with the New York City Industrial Development Agency, we helped to retain its existing 260 employees and added an

companies to East New York.

additional 50 jobs, all of which will be sourced via the HireNYC program.

2.2

2.3

We also know that attracting businesses and supporting existing ones require investment in core infrastructure. For instance, we recently revamped the four East Brooklyn Business Improvement District gateway signs with a new design and lighting repairs and we are looking to create better and safer pedestrian connections between the industrial area and Broadway Junction, resulting in more access for residents of East New York to every part of their community.

In the weeks ahead, as we finalize our study of the East New York IBZ, we look forward to talking in more specifics about those recommendations and working with Council Member Espinal and the community leaders on a full plan for the implementation of all of the strategies that will emerge from that study. So thank you again and I'd now like to turn it over to Commissioner Gregg Bishop.

GREGG BISHOP: Thank you. Good morning, Chair Richards, Chair Greenfield and members of the City Council. My name is Gregg Bishop and I'm the

Commissioner of the New York City Department of Small Business Services. Today I will discuss a series of programs and services SBS is delivering to East New York job seekers, small businesses and commercial corridors developed together with our local partners to strengthen the East New York Community Plan.

These investments were inclusively informed by extensive community outreach, including nearly 30 community meetings, workshops and forums with East New York stakeholders over the past two years.

Over the course of the community planning process we've heard the need to provide East New York residents with better access to occupational training and employment opportunities in growing industry sectors. To meet this need, SBS will open a Workforcel Career Center in Workforcel Career Center in East New York where employers can access free recruitment services to find qualified talent and job seekers can receive employment services, including job placement, skills training, career advisement and job search counseling. SBS is actively evaluating sites with Council Member Espinal and targeting space selection as soon as possible.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

We have been working diligently with 14 local community-based organizations, including Cypress Hills, LDC, The HOPE Program and Community Solutions to design a custom suite of services for this new Workforcel Center to ensure that the services provided will serve the East New York community. We've also spoken to businesses in the East New York area to understand the workforce need, to make sure that our training matches the workforce that they are looking to hire.

In collaboration with our partners, we've identified major barriers to employment and a need on focus on serving out of school; out of work youth and individuals formerly acquainted with the criminal justice system. The new East New York Workforcel

Center will also enable SBS to use the City's newly expanded HireNYC program, which you've heard a lot about today, to connect East New York residents to employment opportunities generated by the City's work.

As the largest and most impactful targeted hiring program in the nation, HireNYC will enable the City to leverage its economic development investment to connect more New Yorkers to jobs and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

helping to ensure local businesses find the talent they need. The program's expansion requires any contracting receiving \$2 million or more in subsidy from HPD, as well as any contractor with a City contract for goods and services valued at a million dollars or more to post open positions with the City's Workforcel system that we administer and consider qualified candidates referred through the system. Additionally, to further advance the policies laid out in the City's Career Pathways report, SBS is tripling its investments in occupational skills training, creating industry partnership to expand access to career track jobs in fast-growing industry sectors and improving job quality.

In conjunction with the new East New York
Workforcel Center, the City will leverage our
economic development investments; customize trainings
and industry partnerships to connect more New Yorkers
to jobs while helping ensure local businesses find
the talent they need.

To respond to changing neighborhood and real estate challenges faced by small businesses, SBS is expanding and launching several new programs to

support existing New York mom and pop businesses as
well as the commercial corridors that anchor the

4 community.

2.2

2.3

ease workshops and clinics to business owners; we are partnering with the East New York Restoration LDC and Brooklyn public libraries to bring a series of commercial leasing workshops and clinics to East New York this spring. SBS will also provide business owners with legal assistance and free lease review case management. Beginning tomorrow, SBS is launching a FastTrac GrowthVenture business course to help East New York business owners strategically grow their business and adapt to changes in the market.

Just wanna take a second to really underscore why this is important. We have over the past two years talked to so many small business owners in East New York and this is one of the programs we realize that would be impactful in this neighborhood. FastTrac not only goes into helping a business owner understand how to run their business, but we focus on key areas, like how to access capital, how to identify growth opportunities within the community, how to build sales strategies, how to

improve your financial performance, and how to adapt

to change in markets, everything business owners have

asked for.

2.3

With support from the Cypress Hills LDC, our first FastTrac GrowthVenture course is customized for East New York, like I said, to help local businesses with the areas that I talked about; the

class will be offered in both English and Spanish.

To lay the groundwork for the development of the East New York commercial corridors, we are working with Cypress Hills LDC, Highland Park CDC and LDC of East New York to conduct commercial district needs assessments of the Fulton, Atlantic, Liberty and Pitkin Avenue commercial corridors. These partnerships and assessments are a component of a new comprehensive SBS approach to neighborhood development called Neighborhood 360. This program will proactively help SBS and its community partners better understand the needs of local commercial corridors and develop ground-up recommendations to develop a healthy local retail mix, which we've heard is a desire from the community.

Over the next six months SBS will publish an East New York neighborhood retail profile, co-

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

64

produced with the LDC of East New York, Cypress Hills LDC and Highland Park CDC, facilitate a work plan with local stakeholders on how to best align SBS, City and community resources with the needs of the East New York commercial corridors and award competitive funding to nonprofits to deliver commercial revitalization services to East New York and place a paid full-time Neighborhood 360 fellow at Cypress Hills LDC to help coordinate and augment the Neighborhood 360 East New York Initiative. program also aims to build and maintain a strong SBS staff presence focused on East New York beyond the community planning and ULURP processes, thereby cultivating stronger community relationships and agency accountability.

We have much work ahead of us, but I believe we have an extraordinary opportunity to leverage the efforts of our partner City agencies and the local community-based organizations on the ground so we can continue to serve and support the job seekers, businesses and commercial corridors of East New York.

24

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you for the opportunity to speak today; we are now happy to address any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. before I begin, I just want to acknowledge we've been joined by Subcommittee member Council Member Dan Garodnick and we've also been joined by Council Member Inez Barron, who I'm going to allow to read an opening statement.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Mr. Chair, thank you for calling this hearing, thank you to the panel for coming and sharing all the information... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I want to acknowledge we've been joined by Council Member Jumaane Williams, who has to chair another hearing at the same time, so gonna step out probably shortly.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: and thank you to the audience for being here and I hope that we'll be able to hear from all of you.

I'm Inez Barron and I do represent the 42nd Councilmanic District and a part of this plan is in fact in my district, a small part, but a part nonetheless and my constituents, in large numbers

-- that represents 68% of the people who live in my

residents that are currently there earn less than

\$35,000 and another 15% earns between \$35,000 and

\$50,000. So that represents -- [background comments]

2.2

2.3

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

community. The housing plan at one point had said they would propose about 7,000 jobs. I'm sorry, 7,000 housing units, dwelling units, as they are called; of those 7,000 units, half are market rate. So when we talk about affordable housing, we're not talking about all of the units; out the outset we're not talking about all of the units being affordable; half, 3,500 are already set aside for those market rate housing, so we've cut it in half and we're down now to 3,500, roughly, apartments. And of those apartments, that 3,500 -- the first chart here on the left talks about where we are in terms of the residents that are here and the second chart refers to how the change would occur. So right now you can see that that area on the far left, on your left, represents the income and the chart on the far right shows the change that would occur, based on the units that are proposed to come in and those are based on their numbers. And the reason I brought these charts is because I used to be a teacher and I always found that visual aids help to bring into effect what it is that we're saying.

So this is a plan that is an upzoning plan for housing; what's guaranteed in this plan is

that dwelling units will be built; the other

1

2

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

amenities that we're looking to have come forward are
not guaranteed and I believe the language that they

5 uses is that the East New York Community Plan

6 includes a commitment to neighborhood-based programs

7 as well as strategic infrastructure and community

8 | investment. This strategy, such as targeted

9 investments and new affordable housing and programs

10 | to support small business development and the

11 construction of a new school, streetscape improvement

12 along Atlantic Avenue and investments in local parks,

while separate from the proposed land use actions,

14 are essential for achieving this comprehensive vision

of a thriving and sustainable neighborhood, but it's

16 not guaranteed. We know that there are going to be

17 | 50% market rate apartments; we know that a portion of

18 | the apartments that are coming in are designed for

19 people making up to \$75,000 and we know that it's not

20 reflective of what we have in our community.

And the other part that for me creates some concern is that the MIH is still in limbo; the Council has not yet made a decision on that, and when I was first introduced to this concept about two years ago when there were meetings that were being

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

held at the Community Board, we were told that it would be tailor-made for East New York; we were told that each district would be able to shape it to their own desires so that it would be reflective of what the community actually wants. My community wants greater affordability, my community wants a greater percentage of the housing to be reserved for people who live there; we're looking at 30% of the units to go to those earning \$23,000 and below, 30% for \$23-34,000, 20% for \$34-50,000 and 20% for \$50-65,000; then the rest can go to market rate. We're also very concerned about the ability of developers to have development off-site, although we've been told that there are all kinds of provisions that would discourage them from doing that and we're concerned that we will not be able to maintain our community for the benefit of the current residents who have endured much of what has been neglect.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, Council Member. [applause, background comments] Alrighty, so we'll get into questions and I'll raise a few questions; then I'm gonna defer to the local council members who represent the area.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So Council Member Barron obviously just raised MIH and ZQA, so I wanted you to just give a little bit specifics based on where the plan is today on how do you foresee both of these plans benefiting the East New York rezoning?

CARL WEISBROD: Well let me start, Mr. Chairman and then ask Commissioner Been to continue. But as you know, you have, you as the City Council, have held a hearing on Mandatory Inclusionary Housing and Zoning for Quality and Affordability that is also on a timetable and we fully anticipate that that will be decided by the Council and we are hopeful that the Council will agree and decide to approve a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing program and based on your ultimate actions, we will be able, as we've indicated, to map that in East New York as our first neighborhood. So we are presenting this to you today with the expectation and hope that a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing program will be embraced and adopted by the Council.

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Let me just add to that the question of -- the mandatory inclusionary proposal does allow tailoring to the specific communities, it has a range of options; as you know,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the option that's being proposed here is the option that has a set-aside of 25% of the housing at an average of 60% AMI; to average 60% AMI you can go down to 40% AMI and up to 80% AMI, for example, to reach those averages. So it does address the specific needs of the community in terms of going deeper than the other options that are provided for. Because it's critical to remember that mandatory is what is required of developers and then in addition, we will use our subsidies to get even more affordable housing and in terms of how much is market and how much is affordable, the only thing that is guaranteed is that if a developer comes to us and works with us on our subsidies that it will be 100% affordable; we don't guarantee what the market will do, only the market controls what the market will do, but we control what we do with our subsidies and that will be 100% affordable at the 30%, 40% and 50% levels that I outlined earlier.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So 35% of the residents in East New York earn between, you know, below 30% AMI, so I sort of wanna hear a little bit of strategy of how you are getting to the population who's earning below 40% AMI here.

2.3

is earning -- Well first of all, our housing does address and we are committed, for example on the 1,200 units that we're committed to move forward on quickly, we are committed to use what we call our extremely low- and low-income program, our ELLA program, which reaches 10% at 30; 15% at 40; 15% at 50 and we're committed in East New York to go even deeper on those public sites, the Chestnut-Dinsmore site, for example, will have 35% at those 30% and 40% levels.

In addition, as you know, we use our vouchers, we use very tool that we have to address the needs of the lowest-income families. But I just wanna reiterate that the current asking rents in this community are way above the levels that we are targeting, way above. So if we do nothing, those rents will continue to escalate and the affordable housing will not get built.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Can you just go through -- so if neither MIH or ZQA pass, how many units do you perceive could move forward in East New York without MIH and ZQA, how many units would you be able to generate on some of these public sites in...

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 [background comments]

2.2

2.3

permanently affordable, they won't be being provided at the developer's expense. So we will work with the community with our existing affordability programs, but I can't guarantee any particular amount because, again, they would only be affordable for the period of time that I can make a loan for, which is usually 30-40 years instead of permanently affordable and they will be at the taxpayer's expense rather than at the developer's expense, so it'd be... [crosstalk]

COMMISSIONER BEEN: a missed opportunity to lose the opportunity to apply MIH in this neighborhood.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And can you just ...

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Can you go through the City-owned sites a little bit, so you said you're gonna target between 35% affordability to AMIs between 30 and 40; why aren't we going higher on City-owned sites?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: I'm sorry; why aren't we going higher... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So City-owned sites in particular, you spoke of the Dinsmore piece,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 74 so you said at that particular site… can you just run through the affordability numbers a little bit at

commissioner been: So on the publicallyowned site, the Chestnut-Dinsmore site, 15% of the
homes would be for those making 30% of AMI, which is
\$18,000 for a single person, \$23,000 for a family of
three; we would provide 20% of the units for families
making between 31-40%, which is -- 40% is \$24,000 for
a single person or \$31,000 for a family of three; we
would provide another 10% for people making between
\$30,000 for a single person and \$39,000 for a family
of three and then the rest would be at levels between
51-60% AMI or up to \$46,000 for a family of three and
then it could include up to 20%, going up to 80% AMI,
which is \$62,000 for a family of three.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. So I just wanna put on the record that I believe on the City-owned sites that we should be able to drive a harder bargain in particular in ensuring that we're definitely reaching -- you know I see the 15% earning up to 30% AMI, but where we control, where the City in particular controls sites, I believe that we

2.2

2.3

that site?

2 should be looking to drive a harder bargain on deeper 3 affordability, especially because it's what we own.

not a question of driving the harder bargain; it's a question of having a building that pays its own way; right? We have to be able to operate the building and if we drive a building too -- you know, if we don't have enough income coming into the building, then we have to provide a constant source of City subsidy or that building will not be maintained.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So you're gonna do 10% at 50 AMI and I think, you know, based on what I see, half of these units are going up to 60% AMI; perhaps there should be some leverage to move that 10% around a little bit at 50; I know you're trying to follow closely -- I would assume this is close to ELLA term sheets, but you know, once again, we're just gonna stress that on the City-owned sites that there needs to be a little bit more wiggle room to ensure that there's deeper and more deeper affordability at our sites. So I don't wanna stay there, but I just wanna put that on the record that we're going to look for where we control sites, for

2.2

2.3

bit more affordability. [background comments]

2 the housing stock to be deeper and to have a little

I'm gonna go into the Neighborhood

Stabilization Fund, so can you just speak a little bit more about that? So famous billion dollars that we keep hearing; is this billion dollars, is this the floor or the ceiling, because we're gonna be going through obviously possibly a little bit more than 14 rezonings, I'm assuming and we wanna know how much of this money is being spent in East New York out of the Neighborhood Stabilization Fund and I also wanna know

how will community participation help to shape your

priorities as we move along through this fund?

dollars is a budgeted amount in our Ten-Year Capital Plan, over 10 years, for all the neighborhoods that we anticipate creating neighborhood plans for; obviously East New York is the first; I will say this is certainly an area where one size does not fit all; this is a fund that is designed to be utilized as per the specific needs of each neighborhood, so there'll be neighborhoods that will for whatever reason require more allocation of capital resources and some neighborhoods that will require less, depending on

the existing infrastructure in those neighborhoods.
It is in part an engagement process with the local
community; certainly the items we have committed to
so far in East New York have been due to engagement
with the community and we certainly expect as this
plan we hope is embraced by the City Council to see
other identifying other items. I will say that
this is a very novel new approach to capital
budgeting and commitments to neighborhoods; we
recognize that the City has not in the past, over
many, many years, always kept its commitments and
this is an effort to assure that our capital
commitments are kept by budgeting them up front and
again, we look forward particularly to working with
the council members in this area and with the Council
as a whole to assure that the key needs of the
community are met and when they're met and when
they're agreed upon to see that they are budgeted and
then also to monitor their progress going forward.
CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Can you go through

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Can you go through some of the commitments that were secured so far...

[crosstalk]

CARL WEISBROD: Yes...

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: and give me a
3	timeline of when we anticipate some of these projects
Д	to move forward?

CARL WEISBROD: We have I believe made two commitments so far in East New York; one is to renovate Highland Park and redesign City Line Park; we've also, in addition to that... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: A price tag next to... [crosstalk]

CARL WEISBROD: Uh I...

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: if there is one.

Mr. Chairman; we will provide that to you. In addition to that, we have enhanced the previously budgeted improvements to Atlantic Avenue to make our efforts on Atlantic Avenue even more robust than we had in the past and then I just should point out that outside of our Neighborhood Development Fund we of course up front have funded a 1,000-seat school in East New York that, assuming this zoning goes forward and we can anticipate the growth in East New York's population, we expect that school to open in 2020 and we would start it right away.

2.2

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And when will we have shovels in the ground for the school in particular; do we know

CARL WEISBROD: Well I think it's ... it's 2016 now; it would be on a public site, so we do believe it would start expeditiously so that it can open for the school year in 2020; that's our goal.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So I will say the Council is definitely going to be looking for a lot of transparency around the Neighborhood Stabilization Fund; you know, this is taxpayer money; we wanna make sure that commitments that are given are kept and we share your vision in obviously ensure that these communities get more than just housing, but we wanna ensure that there is a lot of transparency and community participation around how these funds in particular are being spent... [interpose]

CARL WEISBROD: Yeah. And let me just say that we agree with you that this has to be a transparent process; that our commitments -- you know, we should be held accountable for our commitments and we are prepared to do that, and that's part of the design of the Neighborhood Development Fund, it is something that we've never

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 80 2 done before and I think it's a recognition that as we 3 look at increasing housing capacity in neighborhoods 4 we recognize that neighborhoods require additional public investments as well; not only for those 5 neighborhoods to be able to accommodate new 6 7 residents, but also we recognize that we have an obligation to provide an enhanced quality of life to 8 the people who have lived in these neighborhoods for many, many years and who wanna see their children and 10 11 grandchildren live there as well and the vision that Council Member Espinal expressed in his opening 12 statement we share. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Great; we will continue that conversation, because you know, a 15 16 community like mine wants a billion; I'm sure East New York wants a billion; you're gonna have a lot of 17 18 communities who want a billion... [interpose] 19 CARL WEISBROD: Well let me just be clear; it's not a billion for each neighborhood. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I know, but I'm just saying, I'm just putting it on the record; 2.2 2.3 that's why we need to know how much is being spent in

each rezoning, so definitely some transparency around

24

25

that.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I just wanna go into the housing stock a little bit, so you spoke of 50,000 unregulated apartments, I believe 50,000 I think is what you said, but we know that half of all the housing stock in East New York is unregulated, so I wanted to hear a little bit more around your strategies on preservation; how are we working to now ensure that the current housing stock that we have, the conditions that residents are living in now are actually being fixed, you know, as we move forward and build new housing, but you know, if we're gonna build all this new housing, we should also be focused on ensuring we're fixing a lot of the issues that are occurring as we speak today. So what is HPD's, in particular, strategy around locking in a lot of these unregulated apartments so that as the market pressures we know come will be able to preserve units there.

COMMISSIONER BEEN: So let me just be clear; there are about 50,000 people at risk of displacement, according to the draft Environmental Impact Statement in those unregulated homes; there are about 24,000 homes that are not subject to either a government regulation or the rent regulation; you

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

know, the state rent regulation program. So we're doing two things because, as we talked about earlier, preserving the existing affordability is absolutely critical, so in terms of buildings that are now under some sort of regulatory agreement, those buildings are all under our asset management, we work with them from day one, from the day that they get financed in the first place, throughout the life of that financing; we're in constant communication with them, constant oversight and as the regulatory agreement nears an end, we work very closely with those owners either to extend the affordability by some other regulatory agreement that will roll it forward another 30 years or whatever. So those are the buildings that are currently under a regulatory agreement, right, and we have a variety of tools for working with those kinds of buildings, between tax incentives, loan programs, etc.

But what we're doing I think that's much different than what we've done in the past is that we're trying to bring units, homes that have never been regulated into an affordability program and that means providing something that helps bring down the cost or contain the cost or meet some of the

financial needs of the building in exchange for them agreeing to become affordable. So what we do there is -- I mentioned the Green Preservation Program where we say to an owner, we will help you bring down your electric costs, bring down your gas costs, bring down your water costs, but we wanna see those savings result in affordability for your tenants. So we're working with owners on that. We also... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And how responsive have they been to that?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: We've gotten a lot of interest and for example, in the mobile van that we have out there, we're getting a lot of interest; we've held resource fairs, we're holding more resource fairs; we're using something called the Accelerator citywide and we're rolling out an Accelerator program this spring targeted directly to East New York, which basically works with owners; help them do a scope of work; helps them assess what all is involved, but that is just rolling out this spring, because it was just RFP'd in the fall. So we're gonna see exactly how that works, but so far we've gotten a great deal of interest.

2.2

2.3

_

_ _

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And how many units do you think we can grab that are unregulated; not within your scope now, outside -- I mean is there a projection that you can give?

know, tremendous need because, as you know, that's in the demand management area that is really pushing up against the limits of the supply, so we see a tremendous need in terms of water conservation; we've got about 900 homes right now that are getting some form of water rebate because the water costs are just higher than what they can bear. So we're expecting that -- you know, it's very hard to put a number on exactly how much take-up there's gonna be on a brand new program, but we certainly think that there are thousands of units in East New York who could benefit from the program and who we can have a conversation with to try to bring them into those programs.

So the Green Preservation Energy Water

Conservation is one form of program; we also just

reach out to owners who may be struggling; they need

a new boiler; it's time to repair the roof and they

don't have the financing for that or they don't have

the funds for that, so we're working with them

So we're working across the board, we've

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

85 through a small building repair program to provide loans that would enable them to make those repairs, again, in exchange for agreeing to a period of affordability for their tenants.

spent actually the last year-and-a-half working across the city with both tenant groups, small building owners, lawyers, financer, etc. that work with small buildings to try to design programs that are attractive to small building owners, which is the stock that we're talking about here. HPD has traditionally targeted larger buildings because it's almost as much work to go through a loan and a closing and everything for a six-unit building as it is for a 60-unit building, so we've traditionally focused on those bigger buildings, but in an area like East New York where there are so many small buildings, we really have to retool and we've been working very hard to do that through outreach, through owners fairs, through help desks; those kinds of things, to make it easier for those small buildings to come into our program.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, I'm gonna just ask one more question; then I'm gonna to go

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Council Member Espinal. I wanted to go into local hiring a little bit and the workforce training programs, so how soon do we foresee the Workforcel Center coming online, and then I noticed in your testimony you spoke of a requirement of contractors receiving \$2 million or more in subsidy from HPD; them now being forced to, I'm assuming, to post opening positions at the Workforcel Centers, and I know there's some legality issues that we always run into when it comes to requiring these contractors to hire locally, but you know, I'm tryin' to understand the strategy around \$2 million or more in subsidy; why didn't we lower the threshold there; if they're receiving any subsidy from the City, they should be required to post jobs -- I don't know if I'm reading your testimony wrong, but you know, it says the program's expansion requires any contractor receiving \$2 million or more in subsidy from HPD, as well as any contractor with a City contract for good and services valued at \$1 million or more to post open positions with the City's Workforcel system and consider qualified candidates referred through the system. So can you just go through that a little bit more... [crosstalk]

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 GREGG BISHOP: Yes.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: and how is the

City driving a harder deal to ensure that if they are

getting subsidy from New York City, that local

hiring, of course, is happening and that there are

local goals that are being set to ensure local

residents will be hired so that they're not...

[crosstalk]

GREGG BISHOP: So...

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: walking past these projects while other people in New Jersey; places -- no offense to New Jersey -- are working on their projects?

GREGG BISHOP: Right. So I'll talk about the Workforcel Center and how HireNYC works with the Workforcel Center. So we are looking to open up the center as soon as possible; we are working with Council Member Espinal's team to identify locations; usually we look for a location that's accessible to the community; we've looked at over 20 sites and each have different issues, so we are continuing that work and our goal is as quickly as possible to identify a site and open up the center. One of the things that we anticipate is that that center will be highly

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 Brooklyn, actually has a high volume of East New York

our Brooklyn center, which is located Downtown

88

5 residents that use that center already, so we

6 anticipate that there will be high usage of that

7 center and we are also looking to make sure that we

8 ensure that the center meets the needs of East New

9 York and if you remember in my testimony I talked

10 about not only providing services, but also the job

11 | skills training, because I wanna emphasize on the

12 | fact that we wanna make sure that as we are training

13 the residents of East New York for the jobs in the

14 community that is closely aligned to the jobs that

15 the businesses in the community need.

So HireNYC is also part of that tool; one of the things that... system-wide our Workforcel

Center, what we do really well is actually do a lot of business development, so our staff, we really work with small businesses across the city to identify opportunities and we wanna make sure that those opportunities are published and connected to the job seekers. So HireNYC actually gives us the opportunity to learn about job openings from

businesses and from contractors who are working with

SUBCOMMITTEE	OM	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

the City, so we basically are first in line. It allows us to connect job seekers to those opportunities and certainly we think we will see an increase in that; we've done this before in the past where companies voluntarily work with us on the recruitment services; it's a service that we sell through our NYC Business Solutions system and it's been very successful, so we anticipate seeing a larger volume of job opportunities coming through as a result of HireNYC.

In terms of why it's at \$2 million subsidy; I'll turn it over to my colleague, Maria Torres-Springer.

MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: What we've created we believe for the HireNYC program is actually the most robust framework, despite all of the quite complicated legal issues around local hiring, to ensure that targeted communities have a first shot at employment opportunities available because of the different investments.

As it relates to thresholds, whether it is the citywide HireNYC program or the particular HPD HireNYC threshold, they are set to accomplish two things; one is to ensure that we get as many of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 90 covered contracts into the program as possible, but also to establish a threshold so that it makes

practical operational sense in working with employers

5 and so there's more than just one job implicated.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

What I do know for the citywide \$1 million threshold; that actually encompasses nearly 94% of the value of all contracts that are being let by the City, and I don't have it at my fingertips; I'm sure we can get it, but it is likely, kind of a similar or comparable value that is covered even with a \$2 million threshold for HPD projects.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So Vicki, can you go into why your threshold is \$2 million then and the City is one, EDC's is one?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Okay. The threshold for HPD does cover almost all of our developments, but it goes back to what I was saying earlier; we're trying very hard to work with smaller buildings and when you have a small renovation or a small repair -a boiler, etc. -- and you're providing a loan for it, you know, those are the kinds of things that we don't want to trigger a whole bunch of additional requirements and normally those are people in the neighborhood; they are often nonprofits who are

4

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

local community. So we're just trying to strike the right balance.

there already is a very robust connection with the

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right, but EDC's number, value of the contracts for posting and local hiring stuff [sic] is \$1 million, so why is HPD's \$2 million; that's what I'm saying? I get what you're saying, but I'm not understanding why \$2 million is...

COMMISSIONER BEEN: So ours was set at \$2 million because that's where all of our thresholds for a wide variety of additional requirements kick in and you know, we were trying to align those requirements, again, so that we don't end up with an overly complicated program for the people trying to use it.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So I'm gonna just say, as we move forward we're gonna look at this threshold a little bit more; I'm very interested in revisiting this because we wanna ensure that we can capture as much local hiring as possible and if the threshold is \$2 million, you know, I mean I think we can capture some contracts at a million possibly...

[crosstalk]

2/

GREGG BISHOP: I just... I just also wanna be... to emphasize the fact that regardless of the threshold, the way we work with our Workforcel Center is through business development, so any opportunity, so if there is a developer in the community, if there is a retail store that's opened up in a community, any job opportunity, our team is out there; we will be working with that company to create recruitment events, and that's how we work across the city, so it will be no different at East New York.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And I'm grateful for that because I see that in Far Rockaway, where we're having a lot of movement at the Workforcel Center, so I will commend you on that and just wanna make sure that we're capturing as much as we can in East New York as well.

So I'm now going to move to Council

Member Espinal, followed by... I thought Barron was
here... and then Reynoso. We've been joined by Council

Member Vanessa Gibson from the Bronx.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you,

Councilman; just wanna say thank you for all the

questions you've asked because those were questions

2 that my constituents really care about and I really

3 | appreciate you looking into that.

2.2

2.3

You did ask a lot that I wanted to ask a lot that I wanted to ask and you know, that comes with a deeper affordability, the jobs aspect; things of that nature, but for a point of clarification, I wanna talk about HPD's plans to support affordable housing across the rezone area. So can you explain ELLA just for people who are watching and don't understand what ELLA actually is?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Sure. So ELLA, it stands for our Extremely Low- and Low-Income

Affordability program, which is the financing program that we use to reach the deepest levels; we have a companion, very deep level affordability program for senior units that we call SARA, so those are the two that reach the deepest.

As you know, normally most affordable housing that is developed, because it's developed with low-income housing tax credits, have tended to focus on 50/60% of AMI; ELLA drives that down further. So on a privately-owned site, under our term sheet, ELLA requires that 10% of the units be at 30% AMI, for which we provide vouchers; 15% of the

subsidies to subsidize market rate, buildings that

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 have market rate; we will only do 100% affordable

3 buildings in East New York.

2.2

2.3

at least 50% of the units built in the rezone area will be affordable, at least 50%? 'Cause Council Member Barron said that outright 50% will be set aside for market rate, so you're saying that only at least will be 50%?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: No, no; I'm saying that any building that we subsidize will be 100% affordable housing; how many of the privately-owned sites come to us for financing is an estimate; because of where market rents are in East New York right now, we don't expect that many will be able to build without our financing, so we expect that at least 50% over the next few years are gonna have to come to us and then will be 100% affordable.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: So the plan projects that there will be 7,000 units built in the end of 15 years; right?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Uhm-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Now is it a possibility, you know I have members in my community saying that we want 5,000 units of affordable

J

housing, you know 'cause the 3,000 that's being proposed is not enough; 5,000 is what they're asking for, so you're saying it is a possibility that if every developer decides to use ELLA on Atlantic Avenue or in any other parts of the rezone area that 100% of the housing built in the rezone area could be affordable as ELLA?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: If they come to us for subsidies, yes.

council Member Espinal: Okay. And how can we encourage developers to come to you for subsidies, 'cause you know, HPD from day one has kept saying that the market in East New York is not where it's attractive enough for developers to build without subsidy, you know but I can tell you, neighbors on my street are already jacking up their rents to \$1800 a month, you know these homeowners who own one- or two-family homes who fairly have lived there 40 years, right; now they're jacking up the rent to \$1800 a month for a three-bedroom because they understand there is a need for these apartments and people are being pushed out of other neighborhoods, like Williamsburg and Bushwick and are able to afford these rents. So you know, how

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

confident can we be that the market won't hit a point where developers don't have to approach you for subsidies; are there other options besides ELLA; is there a way where we can strong-arm these developers to actually use some sort of subsidy to build affordable units?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Well so there are a couple of things going on here; right? The problem that you raise is exactly why mandatory inclusionary is so important, because when we can offer our subsidies, when we can attract developers to our subsidies, which is exactly the balancing act about how much do you give, how much do you require, how many other restrictions do you impose upon the building; that's the balancing that we do in order to attract people to our programs. But in addition to attracting people to our programs, mandatory is absolutely essential here because it will require that if there is a market building; at least 25% of that market building is affordable, which is not a requirement that exists now; right? Right now if somebody builds market, it's zero affordability; if MIH is passed and applied to East New York, then at least 25% of it will have to be affordable at the

1	~	~			
\perp	SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 levels that we've talked about, which are also low 3 levels, because they are averaging to 60; right?

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: So how does

421-a play into this? I know it's expired, but is
there a possibility that it might come back into
play? So if MIH is required, you know how does 421-a
play a role into the entire picture?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: So 421-a is one of the tax exemption programs; it actually would be absent of 421-a; ironically right now makes developers more likely to come to us for financing because if our financing is involved, we have other kinds of tax exemption tools; if it's just a market rate building, even if it's 25% affordable in a market rate building, those tools are not available. So that's an incentive in these early years for the developers to build 100% affordable buildings.

You know, in the long run, for the City has a whole, it's absolutely critical that something like 421-a come back into existence; we worked very hard to reform 421-a, to get more affordability, deeper affordability at a lower per unit cost to taxpayers; we achieved an enormous amount; unfortunately it all blew up in the end, but we

affordable units in the rezoned area, I hope that's

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

100

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

the case; I know that's not the reality and this probably won't happen, but you know I think we should work hard to make sure these developers are encouraged to use the ELLA program throughout the neighborhood.

CARL WEISBROD: Council Member, can I just add to what Commissioner Been said? We heard a lot of testimony at the City Planning Commission hearing on this, that if land costs in East New York today were zero, there was no cost of land, you could not build market rate housing, multi-family market rate housing in East New York today. So the market rate housing that is possible is at best, I think many, many years away and what we expect is that and by rezoning and increasing housing capacity and encouraging not only more housing, but multi-family housing where today either no housing can be built or just a small number of units can be built, it really assures, at least in the foreseeable future, that owners who wish to build are gonna really be required to go to the City to participate in one of the City's programs, which as Commissioner Been indicated, will require that that housing be 100% affordable.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: A question -- we 3 know developers build because at the end of the day 4 they wanna make a profit, right, now what would be 5 profit margin or difference if they decide to build a building of 100 units, for example, at ELLA as 6 opposed to using MIH with no ELLA program and having 7 the market rates; is there a huge difference in the 8 profit margins or what they can make off the property? 10 11

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Between a 100% affordable building... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Using ELLA.

COMMISSIONER BEEN: and a market rate building?

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Right now the rents
just won't support a market rate building, so they're
not gonna build it; right? So developers insist on
a, you know, rate of return that makes it worth their
while to take the risk of development rather than
putting their money into a CD or whatever and right
now the market won't support that. So right now, in
order to take that risk they're going to insist that
I help subsidize it through the ELLA program or

2 through whatever programs I use, but I've said the
3 ELLA program.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. Has HPD considered using acquisition funds to buy plots of land in the rezone area? I know we've seen it in other parts of the city, but have you at least considered finding places where you can buy land?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Yes, we do encourage the acquisition; sometimes if a private owner acquires land and brings it to us to subsidize, we will pay for part of those acquisition costs; we have what we call the New York Acquisition Fund to help people buy land that they are going to then devote to affordable housing, the Phipps land, the Chloe Food site, is one that they have bought with our encouragement. So yes, we do do that.

HPD has said that it plans to issue RFPs on publiclyowned land, you know but my office was able to
identify some plots of land, large plots of land
actually, right outside the rezone area and there are
actually developers who are looking to build, for
example, senior housing, but they've been waiting for
over a decade to get some sort of RFP from HPD. I

	\bigcirc N T	DONITHO	7/ 1/ 17		000
SUBCOMMITTEE	() [\	7.() N N (-	AMI	PRANU.HI.	> F. >

2.2

2.3

think that this is the time now to actually start looking at those lands and expedite that process so that we can use those sites that are not being mentioned in this plan so that we can build the senior housing or more affordable housing. For example, in Brownsville on Christopher Avenue or Christopher Street, there is a lot that can build about 100 units, you know and we have these people who are waiting for HPD to release that land; I'm just hoping that your agency could look at those properties and actually include this at the end of the day as part of this plan; then that way we can up the amount of affordable housing in the area.

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Uhm-hm. I mean we're looking at all of the land in Brownsville and you know, trying to press it into service; much of the land, as you know, for example on Lavonia Avenue we have pressed into service, so but I'll find out about the specific ones that you mentioned.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay.

CARL WEISBROD: And Council Member, let me just add and just note that this is one of the reasons, particularly for senior housing why zoning for equality and affordability is so important,

1

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

because it would eliminate in many neighborhoods, probably here as well, the parking requirements that create an additional and unnecessary economic burden on building senior affordable housing, which we all desperately need.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Right. I wanna talk about the small homeowners, 'cause most of the neighborhood is made up of these one- and two-family homes and you know a lot of them are up to the neck in debt, right; they have property liens because of water bills they couldn't pay, they're renting out... most of the neighborhood is renting out their basements to earn a little extra income and keep rents affordable; you know, like I said before, in 2008 the market crash forced a lot of these people to lose their homes; a lot of homes in the neighborhood are under foreclosure. Is there any plan for these homeowners on how we can bring them into the fold and find a way we can work with them to help them get out of these situations and possibly supply affordable apartments or rent for their neighbors?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Yes. So the legal services that we currently are making available in the neighborhood are available for anti-foreclosure

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

105 purposes; also deed theft and other kinds of scams that unfortunately are going on. Of course the Council and many other philanthropic organizations have poured a great deal of money into the Center for New York City Neighborhoods, which has provided antiforeclosure services. We are working now with the Center for New York City Neighborhoods to develop sort of a homeowner help desk specifically for the neighborhood that will provide even more targeted help and referrals to homeowners for either, you know, constant buyout offers that constitute harassment, deed theft, anti-foreclosure scams, needs for modification, etc., so we are working to come up with a help desk that will pull that all together in a more easily accessible way for those homeowners. We've been providing some of those referrals through our mobile van; as you know, we've been seeing some of the homeowners through that, and we'll continue to reach out.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. So any plan -- Sorry if you've mentioned this -- but any plan on the water rate situation that a lot of them are facing, you know a lot of people can't pay their water rates, they continue to increase; is there... I

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

106

2 know a few months ago we -- you know when you showed

3 us a snapshot of what the plan is gonna be, there was

4 some mention of water rates being looked at and how

5 we can help alleviate that issue with homeowners?

6 COMMISSIONER BEEN: So the Department of

7 Environmental Protection gave water reductions to I

8 | think about 90 home... how many? [background comments]

9 770? Sorry, 770 homeowners, so maybe it was 90,000...

10 | [background comments] I have 90 in my mind. Yeah,

11 \parallel \$90,000 worth of reductions to 777 homeowners; we

12 | will continue to look at that; we're working with the

13 Department of Environmental Protection now more

14 generally across the city on the burdens that water

15 | rates are imposing upon our very low-income

16 homeowners.

apartments, like I said, most of Cypress Hills, a lot of the homeowners there -- I hope that DOB isn't listening -- but a lot of homeowners there do rent out their basements to make a little extra income; is there any plan by HPD; are we looking at how we can bring these apartments to the fold and be able to

legalize basements so that homeowners can make a

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

basement apartment would created a third unit, then

law issue, so that's an incredibly complicated issue.

3

18

25

4 We've had conversations in parts of the city that

5 shall remain unnamed so as not to trigger additional

6 concerns; we have had conversations about doing a

7 pilot. Some of the complicated issues that arise

8 there are, you know, if we legalize some of those

9 apartments, how can we protect the current tenant or

10 will we see those current tenants just pushed out and

11 | then prices rise on those basement apartments? So

12 | it's a very complicated issue; one that's not

13 susceptible to just a quick resolution. We are

14 committed to trying to work across agencies and

15 | across jurisdictions because of the state law issues

16 | that are involved there to try to work it out, but it

17 | is hard and slow-going, you know, I just have to say.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Yeah, I

19 | understand it's difficult; I know you mentioned the

20 possibility of having a pilot program; I think that

21 | this rezone area will be a perfect place to begin

22 this pilot program and it's something that I really

23 | wanna have a real conversation on before we decide to

24 | move forward with this plan. So I really wanna sit

down with HPD and talk about how we can bring these

appreciate the amount of folks that are here and I

110

guess, how serious you're taking this East New York

3 housing plan and what it means to the Mayor.

So I wanna start with -- what is the amount of public dollars being used in East New York and will that be matched in other comparably sized neighborhoods across the City of New York?

CARL WEISBROD: As I indicated earlier, Councilman, we have set up a Neighborhood Development Fund that is budgeted at a billion dollars over the next 10 years for neighborhoods that we are looking at increasing housing capacity. There is no one size fits all; it will depend on each neighborhood's infrastructure needs and it will depend on the extent of the area that we are looking at increasing housing capacity. I will say that this is an ongoing process in East New York; we have in East New York already committed to funding a school that is \$100 million in our capital budget; that's outside the Neighborhood Development Fund; the school commitments are not included in the Neighborhood Development Fund... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: So to just -- because of the time -- so we're saying that we can

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 111
2	divide the 15 neighborhoods by a billion dollars
3	[crosstalk]
4	CARL WEISBROD: No, we cannot
5	[crosstalk]
6	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I know we can't
7	because it's gonna vary, but that council members
8	should be aware that there is some money, a billion
9	dollars, and that we should be mindful of the fact
10	that we should try our best to get as much of it as
11	possible. So I just wanted to put it in perspective.
12	[laughter] And I know that East New York
13	[crosstalk]
14	CARL WEISBROD: I will say East New York
15	comes first… [crosstalk]
16	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: It's just a lot
17	of people think East New York is gonna be spoiled and
18	everyone else is not gonna get as much… [crosstalk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: We were ignored
20	for decades, so I think it's time… [crosstalk]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: That is true.
22	That is true. I wanna… I wanna advocate… [crosstalk]
23	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Far Rockaway too
24	has been ignored for decades.

[laughter]

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 112 2 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I wanna advocate 3 for East New York and that we expend as many dollars as possible to make sure that we take care of it, so 4 in an unselfish move, I wanna make sure that you take care of East New York, absolutely... [crosstalk] 6 7 CARL WEISBROD: There are advantages of going first. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Alright. 10 second thing is; is any of the proposed MX-zoned districts being used to calculate this 859,000 sq. 11 12 ft. of commercial uses? CARL WEISBROD: I'm sorry; could you... 13 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I think this is... 14 15 CARL WEISBROD: Yeah. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: for ... 17 CARL WEISBROD: And could you... some ... some 18 of the... [crosstalk] 19 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: for ... is any of 20 the… [crosstalk] 21 CARL WEISBROD: M zone...

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: proposed MX

CARL WEISBROD: Yeah.

24

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: zone district
3 being used to calculate the 859,000 sq. ft. of new
4 commercial uses?

CARL WEISBROD: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yes. Okay, so that... Okay. Thank you. Where in the city has MX ever led to industrial or commercial preservation?

CARL WEISBROD: I think it's... the way we view MX really is that it is transitional; it protects businesses that are there... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: How so? Where...

So I kinda ask the question; where in the city, and you could use Williamsburg, by the way, which I'm very familiar with, where MX has ever led to industrial preservation?

CARL WEISBROD: Alright, I'm gonna ask

Ms. Kapur to respond because she… [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Okay. I think

it's almost as black and white as it gets, but go

ahead.

PURNIMA KAPUR: I think, as the chairman was beginning to explain, we do see this as a transitional district; it may not encourage a lot of new industrial use; what it does in areas where the

market is not strong, like in East New York, is to

continue to allow the uses that are there today to

remain and to actually increase. So as part of the

MX district that we are mapping here, we are

increasing the density of industrial use that's

allowed, reducing the parking requirement for

industrial uses. But to the extent there is the

desire and there is the need for new industrial uses

11 we are also... [crosstalk]

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: So you said the housing... and I'm sorry; I'm only cutting you off because I have 45 seconds behind you... [crosstalk]

PURNIMA KAPUR: Okay. Sure.

to locate here, we are gonna continue to allow that;

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: So you're saying that it's not strong; when we talked about neglect and what the City has done to industrial businesses, I can tell you it's not strong because you guys haven't done anything to support it. In this one case you're finally going to -- [applause, background comments] you gotta do this -- in this one case you're finally talking about instruments that you're using to support industrial manufacturing businesses, but adding to that this MX; why not just use those

much... and I wanna let East New York know, we'll

supported it. If you completely... [crosstalk]

district... if you completely abandon a portion of the district, which is manufacturing-related, there is no way it's gonna thrive; we need new roads, we need... we're talking about roads, money, capital dollars, ensuring that the areas around it are not being rezoned to residential so that we don't get people that are using -- I forgot the word now -- speculation, speculation, so they're buying up this property, sitting on it, calling for financial hardships when there is no financial hardship; it's just they want to convert it into [background comments] residential.

PURNIMA KAPUR: Coun...

[background comments]

PURNIMA KAPUR: Council Member, I hear you, sir... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I just think that you guys are not answering my question; you're running around it and I think... [background comments]

PURNIMA KAPUR: I am trying to answer your question...

2.2

2.3

1

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: No, no; you're not; I think you're trying to make a point that is

PURNIMA KAPUR: The housing that I am

4

unrelated to my question.

5

6

talking about in Ocean Hill has been here since the

7

early 1900s, for the most part; these are not

8

converted loft buildings, these are not converted

9

industrial buildings; these are small three- to four-

10

family; some large... [crosstalk]

11

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: And the

12

manufacturing around it?

13

PURNIMA KAPUR: in manufacturing

14

districts; these are... [crosstalk]

15

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Exactly. So

16

what about the manufacturing portions of that?

17

PURNIMA KAPUR: There are schools, there

18

is homeless [inaudible] hotels; there are...

19

[crosstalk]

20

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: But why not give

21

it a shot? Why not give manufacturing a shot? I... I

22

2.3

not one time did you guys say we're not... at this

asked that question three times and you guys said...

24

point you're abandoning all manufacturing within the

25

rezoning area.

1 2 CARL WEISBROD: You know, Council Member, 3 it's not they're in Ocean Hill... it's not that there 4 are whole areas that are manufacturing and other areas that are residential; it's all interspersed 5 today and it has been for 60 years. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: So you're

choosing residential over manufacturing.

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CARL WEISBROD: We're protecting the homeowners who are there today and we're also protecting... we're also protecting... [crosstalk]

12 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: But not 13 protecting the jobs, you're not protecting the jobs.

CARL WEISBROD: we're also protecting the jobs that are there today and the manufacturing [inaudible]... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Real jobs averaging \$54,000 a year; not retail jobs. I just wanna be very mindful of that; you guys are proactively doing something and you could've added the manufacturing portion of it to the rezoning and did the density and economic development there as well to make that stronger; instead you're leaving it alone again and using programs outside of a rezoning [applause] purview to make [inaudible], but I'm... my

2.2

time has been up for quite some time; just know; I always think that you guys are never doing enough for manufacturing.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: We're gonna go to Espinal; then to Gibson.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: I just wanna follow up on what Antonio was saying; you know the MX... the mapped [sic] zoning is a concern of mine; it's something that I look forward to talking about over the next few weeks. But you know again, the IBZ, which was included in the original East New York Sustainability Communities Plan, talked about preserving manufacturing in the area and building on the manufacturing, but it was left out of the entire conversation until I brought it back in and we started saying how important this is to the overall plan. You know what's the reason that the IBZ and say Broadway Junction was left out of the entire picture?

CARL WEISBROD: We specifically left it out of the rezoning precisely for the reason that Council Member Reynoso indicated; we did not want to see the manufacturing resource in the IBZ undermined, and in fact, as you know we have and I will defer to

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

President Maria Torres-Springer, we have in fact
invested in and will continue to invest in
rejuvenating the IBZ, but we didn't want to address
the rezoning in the IBZ precisely for the reasons
that Council Member Reynoso indicated and in addition
to that, and I will also let President Maria TorresSpringer address, we are, through the manufacturing

policy of Mayor de Blasio's administration, are

10 addressing issues in IBZs more generally.

MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: That's right. I'm working with you, Council Member; we've been very much focused on making sure that we are understanding not just the current needs and challenges of Industrial Business Zone, but that we're also looking to the future to make sure that it becomes the type of hub of industrial activity that allows for a wide range of jobs that as we all know in this sector is available to a wide variety of New Yorkers of different educational attainment, for instance. so as part of the study, as you know there is a number of primary goals; one is to really understand what are the underutilized sites in order to catalyze industrial growth, looking at critical infrastructure throughout the IBZs, looking at how we can better

increase local capacity to provide more services to industrial businesses and to promote and attract the types of new employers again to increase the supply of industrial businesses in the area. But just as critical -- think about all of those activities as increasing the supply of good jobs. As critical to that however is to ensure the residents of East New York can connect to those jobs and so it's really in the partnership between SBS and EDC and local community-based organizations where we can make sure that as the Industrial Business Zone gets strengthened and the jobs increase and these are good jobs, that East New York residents have access to them.

The other piece I would like to mention is that there's the work in the specific recommendations that are going to come out of the study, but as important are the citywide policy initiatives as part of the 10-point Industrial Action Plan that are also going to strengthen and promote the IBZs; in particular, the commitment to ensuring that there will be no residential development in IBZs; that we will limit hotels and self-storage; there are also new financial incentives, so I

2 mentioned earlier a \$150 million Industrial

3 Development Fund that will be available to not-for-

4 profit developers to increase again the supply of

5 industrial space throughout the city and certainly

6 available to anyone interested in East New York, in

7 addition to a whole variety of workforce development

8 and baseline funding for industrial business service

9 providers. So really think that the totality of

10 | those investments, but the concentrated focus on

11 | making sure that they're deployed in a smart way in

12 | the East New York IBZ that will allow us to increase

13 | a supply of good jobs and East New York residents'

14 | connection to them.

1

24

25

15 COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Yeah and let me

16 | just stress on why this IBZ portion is very

17 | important. You know I think the housing and the jobs

18 | have to go hand in hand, you know if we're gonna

19 | build all these units of housing we also have to have

20 | these jobs. Let me tell you a little story. Just

21 | last year I had a gentleman walk into my office

22 | looking for a job and I knew that the IBZ had a bus

23 company that was looking for drivers; I sent him to

the IBZ; he went, got a job; he's making \$40,000 now,

but he's still tripling up with his family and he

2.2

2.3

wants his own apartment, but he can't afford an apartment, so he's making \$40,000 and he's hoping that he can get an affordable unit. So that just shows how important the relationship is between the two.

And I also wanna say that -- I guess my question is the IBZ is very important to me, Broadway Junction, the Borough President has stated is very important to him; he would like to see some sort of economic development happening there, and I know it was left out of this original plan. Can the administration make a commitment to me that we will do some sort of follow-up action and take a detailed look at these two areas on how we can rezone and reshape these areas to promote jobs and to promote the area?

CARL WEISBROD: On the IBZ, I think this will -- certainly follow-up actions will await the outcome of the work that the City is now doing in cooperation with you, Council Member. With respect to Broadway Junction, most of the land in the Broadway Junction area is controlled or owned by the MTA; we agree with you, we think that Broadway Junction is an important and potential resource. As

J

2.2

you know, the City has committed to an extraordinary and unprecedented amount of capital to the MTA and we would anticipate that in light of the City's commitment to the MTA that the MTA will help address City priorities, Broadway Junction being very high on our list.

question again is; can the administration make a commitment that we will go back and look at the IBZ and see how we could rezone the IBZ and the Broadway Junction area to make it more attractive to business? I can say, you know the lots in the IBZ aren't big enough for a certain type of business, for example, we have Brooklyn Brewery, who's moving out of Williamsburg, but the East New York IBZ is not an option because there's no space for them there. You know we have to look at how we can expand those spaces and create larger spaces for businesses to move into.

CARL WEISBROD: Well I certainly think once we see the results of EDC's efforts with you in the IBZ, we will certainly be prepared to sit down with you and discuss that and with respect to Broadway Junction, we have ongoing discussions with

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the MTA about that; we need their cooperation; we need their help and we have publicly indicated that Broadway Junction is very, very high on our list of priorities.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Yeah. Alright, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Council Member Gibson.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Thank you very much, Chair Richards, Council Member Espinal and all of my colleagues who are here. Good afternoon to all of you, thank you for your testimony and certainly understand that we come together really trying to find a balance in this plan. We hear from the voices of the people in our districts each and every day; those that are feeling pushed, priced out; those that are facing eviction, in and out of housing court and so when we talk about commitments, we wanna make sure that this administration understands exactly where we come from. The fact that there are 60,000 families that go to sleep in a homeless shelter every night is a reality that we all deal with each and every day. So some of the questions that we pose, obviously, is looking at the future of our city, but also making

1

3

4

5

_

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sure that every opportunity we have to address those most in deed, those that are at the bottom, that are at the 30% AMI, are absolutely our priority.

So I wanted to know, with the \$1 billion
Neighborhood Development Fund, \$1 billion is not

Neighborhood Development Fund, \$1 billion is not enough. For many of us in our communities, and I know we've heard from Brooklyn and Queens, so I also plug in the Bronx, we have not had a lot of investment over the years, so every opportunity we get to maximize and capitalize on any rezoning plan we need to do so -- open space, access to mass transit, housing, jobs, schools; Workforcel Development Centers. So East New York being the first plan, what happens with the exhaustion of the \$1 billion fund; are we going to look at more money that we're putting in to this development fund as we continue to move on beyond East New York? So East New York is going to ask for a lot and they should, so what happens with future rezonings and the development fund?

CARL WEISBROD: Well thank you, Council
Member. I will say that the Neighborhood Development
Fund is just one element of our capital planning; I
don't have to tell any of you and we all know that up

in East New York, as I indicated earlier, the school

2.2

2.3

that we are committed to building at a budgeted cost of \$100 million that's already in the budget, that's separate and apart from the Neighborhood Development Fund. Atlantic Avenue, which work has already begun by the Department of Transportation, most of that is separate and apart from the Neighborhood Development Fund, so it is not simply the Neighborhood

Development Fund that is going to be investing in our neighborhoods.

I have two more questions. The ELLA and SARA programs that have been talked about that I know would allow for deeper affordability, which is what we truly care about and wanna make sure that it's prioritized, what happens beyond the 30- to 35-year regulatory agreement? So if we're talking permanently affordable; what happens in instances where the regulatory agreement has expired; how are we to be assured that ELLA and SARA would still be applicable to continue with our permanent affordability to achieve that?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: That's what we do now, we're limited to 30, 35; in some cases a little big longer, by our loan authorities and other things,

but what we do is that we asset manage every one of those homes, we have a long relationship with the owner and the developer; we work with them as that 30 years is coming due to put them in to a new program so we get another 30 years or another 40 years. So that's our preservation program, as the Mayor committed to preserve at least 120,000 units of preservation, you know, of existing affordable homes and we'll continue to do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. And my last question -- sorry, Chair Richards -- is [bell] there is a tremendous amount of advocacy that we are getting at the Council to the extent that the administration is prioritizing local hiring and creating real-paying jobs; we understand and know that there is a huge correlation between creating affordable housing and creating good-paying jobs, worker and construction safety -- there have been too many workers that have been injured on a lot of our construction sites -- as well as MWBE requirements and local hiring, so to what extent is this administration going to prioritize some of those provisions that we know are extremely important if we're being told that it's not applicable in a zoning

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2.3

24

25

text amendment; how are we to assure our residents that they have an opportunity to even be a part of building the housing that they wanna live in, right; that's the number one question we face every day?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: So let me just tell

you from HPD's perspective. So in all of our incentive programs, in all of our programs, we have construction quality and safety monitors who are out at the job several times a week; they ensure that the construction is proceeding as it's supposed to be and they also ensure that the areas are safe; there have been no deaths on any of the HPD sites and we will do everything we can to ensure the safety of those workers. So that's in terms of the construction quality and safety. In terms of local hiring, we do in our both low-income housing test program and can in our RFPs prioritize developers who have those local connections, who do local hiring, who have apprenticeships and other kinds of programs. And then last, but certainly not least, as you well know, we have invested huge amounts of time and effort in the last few years on the MWBE Fund; we now have a course for MWBE and for nonprofit developers; it's in its second year; it's been just a tremendous asset;

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

2.3

24

25

we have had a Request for Qualifications for MWBE developers and targeted six different clusters of housing sites to that prequalified list so that those MWBE developers can be paired with projects that help them get to the next level. So you know, a developer who's been developing at 30 units can be given a project at 50 units to push them to the next level. So we have the most robust MWBE program that we have ever had; the RFQ is the first in the nation, and the designated RFQ process for helping build the capacity of MWBEs is the first in the nation. There's always more that we can do and always more that we will be doing, but we are putting enormous effort to leveling the ball field, making sure that it's completely fair and to developing the capacity of the developers who work and live in our neighborhoods.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. Thank you; sorry, my time is up, but I will say that out of everything you describe, I appreciate it, but I take away we can do better and I think we should; we have an opportunity to make sure we maximize; this city's MWBE percentages are an embarrassment to the state; we don't even compare to the State of New York that are at almost 25-30% and we and the city are less

[crosstalk]

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright, great.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: thank you, Chair

4 Richards.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alrighty, we're gonna go to Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I looked at the Final EIS, the Final Environmental Impact Statement and I was very disappointed to know that there are some adverse impacts that have not been mitigated in this plan. One of them is that there will not be adequate child care services that will be a part of what goes into this zone; another is that open space will be reduced below the guidelines, which is presently 2.5 acres per 1,000 residents, and according to what I read; it will be 0.5 open space through this plan. shadows are an adverse impact and it will cover about 25 of the total resources that are designated in there. There is no protection for any architectural findings that might occur during the time of construction that they might be preserved, and there's a notice that they believe that the wastewater from this project, which will generate 2,450,269 gallons per day; that it will be able to be

135

2 processed through the 26th Ward, which is further down in the southern part of the district.

4

5

6

8

3

1

that it projects that there would be a need for 3,569 new seats and that 1,882 of them would be at the elementary level and I understand that your plan is for a K-8 school which would provide 682 seats for K-5 and 318 seats for the IS [sic], so that's only half of what the need would be. So I'd like to have

your response to those adverse impacts that are not

mitigated as stated in what I've read in the final

In regards to the school, your plan says

11 12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

10

EIS.

CARL WEISBROD: Thank you, Council

I'll respond to some of them and perhaps my

colleagues can respond to others. 16

> With respect to the school, we do expect there may be a slight, slight timing gap with the new school that is going to be constructed expeditiously and will open in 2020, but that will account for all needs for at least the next 10 years and the School Construction Authority has agreed to monitor the situation very, very carefully if a new school is needed or if other mitigation measures have to be

undertaken they will do so and they are committed to

136

3 doing so.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

With respect to child care, the FEIS does show an unmitigated impact that many, many years from now, but within the period that we're studying, there would be a need for additional child care, but again, one of the advantages, one of the goals of rezoning is to provide more of both retail and community facility space, particularly on the ground level to accommodate more child care and day care offices and spots and specifically, and I will let my colleagues at HPD and SBS respond to this further, but it is, from our perspective, desirable to see in buildings that are going to be 100% affordable and where City subsidy is provided that we do have day care and other child care needs accommodated in those buildings and those developments when they're built. And I will just add that the analysis in the FEIS does not take into account UPK, which is an important component of this or private day care, which also will be provided. And then finally, the Administration for Children's Services is also going to be monitoring that situation going forward.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I will say with respect to water and wastewater, the FEIS does indicate that it will be treated and that we do [bell] have means of doing so and that it does not create an unmitigated impact. I'm trying to remember -- with historic resources -since the FEIS publication, LPC has agreed to calendar a portion of a building... have calendared a portion of a building on Atlantic Avenue for designation... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I couldn't you; a portion of a building where?

CARL WEISBROD: The dairy building on Atlantic [background comments] Avenue and I think that's up for designation tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Let me just add, in terms of the day care situation, we love day care facilities on the ground floor of our affordable buildings and work very hard to get them; I will say that that is one of the reasons why Zoning for Quality and Affordability is so key, because those spaces especially should not be crammed into 8 ft., 9 ft. spaces; we need that additional space, that additional 5 ft. in order to provide high-quality day

intense interest in this as the Chair of the Land Use

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Committee and certainly have been paying close attention to everything that's been discussed here today and to the questions; I just sort of wanted to bring a little bit of perspective to what we're doing here and I think it's important as well and certainly to recognize the critical role that Council Member Espinal has played. Council Member Espinal has made it clear that he has some very important issues that he's concerned about, specifically anti-displacement; Commissioner Been, you actually spoke specifically to that and I think it's fair to say that much of that work was due to Council Member Espinal's insistence that we start early and often as opposed to wait until afterwards, and so his constituents are benefiting from that right now.

The other thing that he set out, which was very important, was deeper levels of affordability. By your own numbers in the proposed East New York affordability requirements, 40% will be below 50% AMI; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Yes.

CHAIR GREENFIELD: And that's just the ELLA program; that doesn't even include the public sites that will actually be 45% below 50% AMI.

COMMISSIONER BEEN: No. No.

CHAIR GREENFIELD: Okay. So this is really, just to put in context -- and once again, to give credit to Council Member Espinal -- these are historic levels of deep affordability.

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Yes.

CHAIR GREENFIELD: Okay. Let's talk about the infrastructure. A 1,000-child school; that's a lot of money; parks, streetscape -- do we have an estimate on how many millions of dollars in infrastructure are gonna be going into East New York as a result of this plan?

CARL WEISBROD: Well let's start with the school, which is \$100 million and not, as I indicated, not part of the Neighborhood Development Fund; I agreed to -- Chairman Richards had asked for a dollar amount of what has been committed to date, which we will provide, but Council Member Espinal has raised a number of other concerns that we are...

CHAIR GREENFIELD: 'Kay.

2.2

2.3

2 CARL WEISH

2.2

2.3

CARL WEISBROD: considering, so I suspect

3 the final tally will be considerably more.

CHAIR GREENFIELD: And we certainly wanna see that and obviously one of the issues that the council member has been pushing and we endorse is we'd love to see a tracking system; the Chair has mentioned this; the council member has mentioned this, the tracking system, a way to publicly track to make sure that these dollars are being spent. I will note that the funds for the school have already been allocated; right, so that's actually in the pipeline... [crosstalk]

CARL WEISBROD: Yes, that is budgeted.

CHAIR GREENFIELD: as we speak. The point that I'm making is that well over \$100 million in infrastructure improvements, which the council member has insisted on that is coming to his community, which I think is incredibly important as well, and also, the revitalization of major commercial strips [sic] like Atlantic Avenue; I mean I for one live in a neighborhood where I'm fortunate to have a lot of small businesses and retail and shops; I don't see why other neighborhoods shouldn't be entitled to have the same thing; why should you

COMMISSIONER BEEN: That is correct.

2 CHAIR GREENFIELD: Bloomberg

2.2

2.3

Administration and that's 20%. So the point that I'm making is, and so Hallets Point has 2,400 units in total of which around 483 units are gonna be affordable -- it's a trick question, I'm a lawyer; I always know the answers before I ask the question...

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Okay.

CHAIR GREENFIELD: there's 483 units that are going to be affordable and we're talking literally a couple years ago and the ceiling at that point was 20% affordability and here we are today, we're talking 50% affordability of which 40% will be 50% or below AMI.

COMMISSIONER BEEN: That is correct.

CHAIR GREENFIELD: Okay. How did you get to such deep levels of affordability?

COMMISSIONER BEEN: Well we are putting a great deal... [crosstalk]

CHAIR GREENFIELD: And what kind of financial commitment do you believe -- just to clarify my question -- what kind of financial commitment will this take on behalf of HPD? I imagine it's not free to get to such low levels of affordability.

2	COMMISSIONER BEEN: It is not free,
3	unfortunately. I mean we are putting enormous
4	amounts of subsidy, bonds, tax exemptions, loans,
5	grants; we are putting 9% tax credits the Pitkin
6	Berriman project that I mentioned, we actually
7	advanced 9% low-income housing tax credits to get
8	that done. So we are putting enormous subsidy into
9	reaching these levels of affordability.
10	CHAIR GREENFIELD: Do you have a
11	guesstimate on how roughly how much it's gonna cost?
12	COMMISSIONER BEEN: Uhm
13	CHAIR GREENFIELD: Just on the HPD side.
14	COMMISSIONER BEEN: I mean just on the
15	HPD just on direct cash, between HPD and HDC, which
16	we worked together, just in direct cash we are
17	putting upwards of \$150,000 to \$200,000 per unit into
18	these properties.
19	CHAIR GREENFIELD: Alright, I wasn't good
20	at [crosstalk]
21	COMMISSIONER BEEN: and that's
22	CHAIR GREENFIELD: I'm not good at math;
23	that's why I went to law school. So if you have
24	\$200,000 a unit and roughly 1,200 units; what are we

talking about?

the fact that the Mayor has doubled the capital

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

budget for affordable housing from the previous 10 years, citywide. So we are allocating more, double the amount to affordable housing than we had in the previous 10 years and obviously more than we have ever allocated before and that is the commitment that the administration is making together with all these other tools of deeper affordability, mandatory inclusionary zoning, zoning for quality, increasing housing capacity, the Neighborhood Development Fund, which is separate and apart from the \$8.2 billion that has been budgeted for affordable housing subsidies alone. So it's an enormous commitment and it is really placing a big bet and a major commitment on protecting and expanding affording housing in New York City.

CHAIR GREENFIELD: By my math, by the way; thank you, I found \$240 million in direct subsidies; doesn't include the indirect subsidies, which doesn't include the \$100 million for the school, another \$150 million in infrastructure; we're getting close to half a billion dollars and I just think it's important -- here's my point, that certainly, to be fair, the job of council members individually is to push the administration as far as

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

possible to get as much as we can get; we would not be doing our job otherwise; however, it is also my job as the Chair of the Land Use Committee to give some perspective to point out that we're talking about a half a billion dollars of investment; we're talking about guaranteed up front 1,200 units of brand new affordable housing; we're talking about the lowest levels of AMIs that we've seen and to speak specifically to MIH, we're proving another point, which I think is important, which is that the MIH is a floor; it's not a ceiling; right? So when we talk about MIH, and certainly, to be fair, we're negotiating with you and we're gonna get more out of you from MIH and ZQA, just so that you know and the record reflects that, but I want folks who are watching at home to know that as we do these neighborhood by neighborhood rezonings that Vanessa Gibson's right; we should and we will put more money in and we will advocate to put more money in for infrastructure and affordable housing because that has to be the priority of this city and I think what we're seeing here is really a reflection of the priorities of the City, but also the outstanding negotiation skills of Council Member Rafael Espinal;

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, Chair Greenfield. And yes, if you agree to everything we see in MIH and ZQA, we will get there.

rezoning deal that we've ever seen for a district in

the history of the City of New York. Thank you very

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

much.

Next we will go to Council Member Espinal, followed by Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you and thank you, David for the kind words.

Going back to the school, the 1,000-seat school, there's a lot of concern from my community that the 1,000 seats aren't enough and you mentioned that there will be a little bit of an issue down the line; you know I asked the administration a few months ago to do a study of the existing schools, you

7 are we with that study?

2.2

2.3

CARL WEISBROD: I believe, Council

Member, the SCA Capital Plan is looking at that;

we'll have to get back to you on the precise details

of it, but I believe they are and there is certainly

a commitment to get rid of the trailers not just in

East New York, but citywide.

seats. Is the administration doing that study; where

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. Good, so that study and the needs are gonna be very important at the end of the day for me.

And also want to reiterate what the Councilwoman said, Barron, is that day care centers as well, the slots are a big issue and we have to maximize the amount of slots in the neighborhood. You know currently we are, again, in need of those slots.

CARL WEISBROD: Yes and let me just underscore; we understand that, we totally agree with that and at the very least what we are doing is

creating the capacity by increasing community

1

2

3 facility space to accommodate day care slots as well

4 as other community facilities, and let me just add

5 one other thing, which Council Member and Chairman

6 Greenfield just touched on, which is the importance

7 generally of increasing retail opportunities in the

8 East New York area; we've heard that from the

9 community time and time again that there is

10 | insufficient retail in the East New York community;

11 | the increased population, together with the increased

12 capacity for retail, particularly at the ground

13 | floor, is an important element of this plan; East New

14 | York residents shouldn't have to go miles away to

15 shop when they should be able to shop in their own

16 neighborhood.

neighborhood?

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Talkin' about the retail portion of the plan, again, I'm a proponent of small businesses, business that pay a living wage, provide benefits to their workers, you know, in the rezoning plan we have C4 districts drawn into the plan; why would we map C4 in East New York if we're looking to minimize the amount of chain stores and big box stores that come into the

_ _

2.2

2.3

retail will be on ground floors which are really not gonna be suited to big box at all, we're not creating a huge shopping center here that would be able to accommodate big box and again, certainly for many, many years to come; most, as Commissioner Been indicated earlier, most of the development in this neighborhood in the early years will be requiring HPD subsidy and City involvement for City-owned sites and so the ability to control the type of retail will be much greater than if it's just left to the private market. But the configuration of the zoning and the building development will not be encouraging for big box.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay.

GREGG BISHOP: And I just wanted to add also, from SBS standpoint, one of the things that Chairman Greenfield also made a note of; in the past SBS has not been at the table at the very beginning and the fact that we are on the ground floor even before the rezoning is happening, we are already starting to do a commercial district needs assessment to really understand the retail mix of the corridor.

As I mentioned in my testimony, we are focused on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Fulton Street corridor, Atlantic Avenue corridor, the Liberty-Pitkin Avenue corridor, and what we're doing is really understanding the retail linkage, the built environment, the area's demographics; the small business conditions, which will influence and actually help us with what type of retail should be in those additional spaces. In addition, SBS has a number of courses for entrepreneurs and I think it's a wonderful opportunity if there is and we have identified what type of businesses are missing; we could then influence our other areas of SBS in terms of helping entrepreneurs understand what businesses would be the right type of business to actually launch in East New York. So I think it's a great opportunity for SBS to be at the table right at the very beginning.

council Member espinal: Speaking of small business, you know I'm the type of person that likes to see the shovel in the ground; I understand planning is important and getting the data is important, but at the end of the day we like to see these things come into fruition. You know, I understand you've been studying these corridors and I know it's something that the administration committed

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

153

and I have to say the work is being done and you are partnering with the local organizations; at the end of the day, when do you expect to see these recommendations implemented, you know, what kind of implementations can we expect see; would it be capital improvements; would it be loans for the small businesses; would it be anti-displacement measures, 'cause we've seen great small businesses... [crosstalk]

> GREGG BISHOP: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: in neighborhoods like Bushwick and Williamsburg be pushed out and taken over by Whole Foods and bigger pharmacies and things of that nature, you know what are the actions that we're gonna take and... [interpose]

GREGG BISHOP: Right. So we do understand the pressures, not only in East New York, but all across the city. Specifically to East New York, we expect to have our plans completed by the fall which will then dictate what type of services we need to offer. We've already, as you know and as mentioned in my testimony, through the early round of community engagement we have identified some services to actually start delivering, which we will start actually tomorrow; that's the FastTrac Growth Venture 2 Program. So once we have the plan in place, then we

3 will work with our partners at HPD and EDC to really

4 fine-tune how we actually implement those plans. So

5 | before I commit to a time for shovel on the ground in

6 terms of what services, we need to understand what

7 the need is, similar to what we did early on this

8 process.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: I would say that the number one need right now as this plan is moving forward is anti-displacement; is there any legal help that these businesses will receive or are receiving?

that's part of one of the issues that we have heard loud and clear from businesses in the community; we have businesses that currently have leases; we have businesses that actually are on a month-to-month, so we have expanded our legal services in the community through clinics and workshops; workshops are really more so to help businesses understand their current rights and responsibilities and what protections they have; clinics, it's more tailored to a business owner's specific concern in terms of what they are protected under their current lease, if they have one. Certainly I am working with Council and the

SUBCOMMITTEE	OM	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

administration in terms of an overall package for small mom and pop with the commercial rent issue, because we have heard, and I have heard across the city that it is a concern for small business owners.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Looking at the map, I see C4s generally near Pennsylvania Avenue, right, near Broadway Junction; I guess my question is, you know -- I'll get back to you; let me think about it, but... [background comments]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: No problem. We'll go to Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

In terms of the C4, there was a big push by the world's largest retailer, Walmart, to come into East New York to the Gateway Project; the community decided that those were not the type of quality jobs that they wanted to have and they fought, so we did beat back a giant. So Walmart now I understand is trying to downsize and have smaller square footage, but still push their way back in, but they still have the same history of being a bad corporate partner in terms of providing for their workers.

J

2.2

2.3

So we know that it's not something that we can say we can't eliminate them from coming in, but we certainly wanna make sure that there's that kind of consideration is being considered that we consider good players, good employers; good partners.

When the Gateway Mall was being constructed there was a lot of dialogue that went on and my predecessor, Council Member Charles Barron, worked very diligently with community groups to make sure that they would be able to have a designated portion of Gateway Mall for mom and pop stores and small businesses and there is a portion that's designated as the Elton Street Corridor, which is a set-aside for that, so I don't know, but that's something you might wanna look at going forward, if we can see how we might designate an area for that and it could be supported to the training and courses that you say you want to provide for businesses that are interested in that.

In terms of, again, the adverse impacts, the EIS says that there would be a displacement of 158 residents in 53 dwellings located on 19 of the 81 proposed sites and that there would be 88 businesses that employ 584 people that would be displaced and

construction... [crosstalk]

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 [background comments]

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CARL WEISBROD: It's our best estimate upon completion of the overall plan over 15 years, yes.

[background comments]

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay. And I just wanted to also say the other adverse impact that was cited was transportation, air quality and noise. part of what we are looking at is because it's along the A train, the C train and the other trains that are there and those lines are already very much heavily impacted and traffic and used for bringing additional in, so the very attraction for this program is now compounding the problem of having additional traffic and it cites additional traffic, both pedestrian and traffic and air quality, as you can imagine, with the number of people that are being brought in and cars that will be in the community, the air quality will be diminished, as well as the noise. So those are also findings from the EIS.

CARL WEISBROD: Yes, Council Member, on air quality, the FEIS makes it clear that that will be fully mitigated and with respect to transportation and traffic impacts, [bell] both on the transit and

SUBCOMMITTEE	\bigcirc T	PONTENTO	7/ 1/ 17	ED ANOUT C	3770
SUBLIGIMINITITE	() [\	7.() N N(-	AMI	PRANUHI:	フドル

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

pedestrian traffic side, those also will be fully mitigated and on traffic it will be partially mitigated. I will point out that on traffic particularly, I think we are so committed to making really major transportation improvements along Atlantic Avenue that has been really an unsafe street, a street that's divided the community, as you and Council Member Espinal know better than we do, and I really do think that although there will be some signal timing issues and turning issues on traffic, what we're doing in terms of transportation, street transportation, pedestrian safety, pedestrian attractiveness and streetscape in East New York really will create an environment that is far, far, far better than what exists today.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alrighty, we're gonna move this along so we can get to the reason we're here; to hear from the public, so we're gonna hear from Council Member Espinal and then I have one more additional question and then we're gonna get to the public.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Speaking of streetscapes; is DOT in the room by any chance, DOT?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CARL WEISBROD: I don't believe so; we... 2 3 we... as I said in my opening remarks, this has been a collaborative effort of so many City agencies, but as 4 noted, we didn't want to bring everyone with us to 5 further burden you this morning. 6

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. So what is the estimated completion date on Atlantic Avenue? CARL WEISBROD: We'll get back to you on a completion date.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. Alright, great. I mean that's something that we can look at doing immediately, being... [crosstalk]

> CARL WEISBROD: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: that the funding is there and the work needs to be done... [crosstalk] CARL WEISBROD: It is ... It is ... The work has begun and design is underway right now on Atlantic Avenue, so we'll get back to you on a schedule and a completion date.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. And also, now that I'm on the mic talking to DOT, we have a lot of potholes, a lot of sinkholes that are currently in the rezone area and need a lot of attention --Arlington Avenue, Ridgewood Avenue -- these are main

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

arteries in the neighborhood that do need attention and need to be addressed as soon as possible.

CARL WEISBROD: Got it. We'll definitely relay that to DOT.

facilities -- one of the biggest needs in the neighborhood has been a community center for at-risk youth, for teenagers and you know we currently don't really have one, you know we have one building on Pennsylvania Avenue which is The Powell Building, but the uses are very limited, it's under disrepair, the community board recently moved out and they found a lot of issues with the internal structure. Is there a commitment from the City to improve that building to make it into a community center and also look at other sites on where we can have the center?

CARL WEISBROD: Yeah, you, Council Member Espinal and the community have made very clear to us the importance of a community center; we're looking now at a variety of options which we hope to be able to present to you very, very shortly, but we are well aware that its a big community need and we agree that it's a big community need.

throughout this hearing we've been talking a lot about East New York and I think we've been focused a lot on Cypress Hills and East New York, but we haven't really been focusing on Ocean Hill, which is the little section on the left side of the map. Can you explain in general what your overall vision is for that piece? It is separated by Broadway Junction and Pennsylvania Avenue, so it's not really a neighborhood you can really walk to from East New York and Cypress Hills.

Miss Kapur responded to Council Member Reynoso earlier, this is an area today that is largely, the majority of the area, is residential and yet it's zoned manufacturing and we really do wanna see it, first, rationalized and legalized so that the people who live in Ocean Hill today can live there in peace and harmony and then beyond that let me ask Miss Kapur to respond further.

PURNIMA KAPUR: You know, as you know, this part of Ocean Hill is sort of in-between Bushwick, Ocean Hill; Brownsville, it's a small segment that sort of is leftover manufacturing space

from what was happening many years ago in Broadway
Junction, basically. Most of that is homes today and
the manufacturing zoning is really more a name than
in fact in that area and our vision really is to see
that become more integrated into the rest of Ocean
Hill; into Bushwick and you know, given that it's
next to a major transit node, that you could really,
one, legalize the housing, not legalize, but make it
more conforming and then also provide opportunity for
a whole mix of uses that includes residential, that
includes community facilities, retail and some light
manufacturing, if there is a market for that as well.

fact that that is such a small portion of the rezone area and I feel like there hasn't been much focus -- even though we have been talking about it, there hasn't been as much focus as there are in other parts of the area and I've been kind of flirting with the idea of possibly cutting it out and revisiting it after we do the East New York and Cypress Hills plan. Is that something that you think that we can consider, you know, what would be the pros and cons of that?

2.2

2.2

2.3

PURNIMA KAPUR: So my understanding is during the process we have engaged with the communities; there was a lot of support in Board 16, where that area is located, for this kind of a change and need and I think what we are proposing there is actually in direct response to a lot of what we heard from Board 16 on its vision for that area and we think what we are doing is really modest, it is acknowledging what is there and allowing for productive change to occur in that area moving forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Now let's continue on to zoning -- Fulton Street, right, it's a commercial corridor, I like the idea of blanketing it with commercial overlay, but I think there's concern from the community about how high we're gonna build there; we're looking at I think eight stories; right? And it's also a very low-density area and the housing around Fulton Street is one- and two-family homes; would this propose any danger to the character of the community if we go that high, 'cause I personally have some concerns with that?

PURNIMA KAPUR: So Fulton Avenue, as you note, is a very strong retail corridor, it runs

through the entire length of Brooklyn; it is also one with an elevated train line on it. So the zoning sort of is acknowledging that physical reality and allowing for slightly higher height so that housing can set back from that train line; our zoning would require that the ground floors be non-residential, provide retail, community facility spaces; it allows buildings to go up to four to six stories on the street line and then sets back and goes taller. So we think that the proposal we have is actually really responsive to the relatively low density context there, takes it to medium density, requires Mandatory Inclusionary Housing and requires retail.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Alright, my last and final question and this is one that the local group in my neighborhood has been talking a lot about and it's about mapping a special purpose district and there has been a lot of pushback from the administration on why this wouldn't work for East New York and why this probably isn't such a good idea for the neighborhood, but you know, it does -- what it tends to do is guarantee that as the community grows there's enough facilities in place to support that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

growth, you know, why do you believe that this

3 doesn't work in our neighborhood?

2.2

2.3

CARL WEISBROD: I think that in part,

Council Member, in large part, that's what the

Neighborhood Development Fund is designed to

guarantee and as Chairman Greenfield indicated

earlier, we do also have an obligation to report and

assure that the commitments we make are not only kept

but are monitored transparently over time so that we

can assure, all of us can assure that as the

community grows and as our commitments are realized

they are both budgeted, committed to build and that

is a transparent process that we're committed to.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. Thank

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: 'Kay, thank you.

Just a final two questions and a point. Can you go
through the FRESH initiative a little bit and how are
you looking to integrate the FRESH initiative into
ensuring that East New York certainly has good
supermarkets and also, while I have you, Madame
President; how much money currently is in FRESH to
incentivize this particular program around the
different areas, especially low-income areas that

So the FRESH

2

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

don't have a lot of access to fresh fruit and fresh food as FRESH is supposed to focus on?

[background comment]

CARL WEISBROD: Okay. So Miss Kapur will respond to the zoning provision and then... [background comments1

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So you can go through the strategy of how you're gonna integrate FRESH...

[background comments]

MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER:

program should be thought of as both zoning and incentives in order to bring about and catalyze the development of grocery stores, etc. in particular in neighborhoods that are underserved. And so on the financial incentive side, really it's a set of both real estate taxes, mortgage recording tax and sales tax exemptions that we kind of put together in order to catalyze that type of development; Miss Kapur will talk about the zoning. But the project that we talked about earlier on Pitkin and Berriman, together with the Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation is one example of how we are bringing this program to bear in a place like East New York and so the full

package of those types of incentives to in this case

3 establish a several thousand square foot Fine Fare in

4 the neighborhood and to the extent that there are

5 other potential parcels in this community or others,

6 it is a program that we market heavily and we will

7 continue to do so in order to make sure that in the

8 | retail mix that gets created as part of the plan,

9 that healthy food, healthy grocery stores are part of

10 that mix.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

2

PURNIMA KAPUR: All I would say is that the entire area that we are zoning is covered by the FRESH program from a zoning perspective, so to the extent there are sites, they would be followed by this [sic].

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So this will be all areas that are considered food deserts, as I'm sure East New York is, will be considered for this...

[crosstalk]

PURNIMA KAPUR: Right.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. Lastly -this question is more for Commissioner Been; I know
you have to leave, so we're gonna close out -- wanted
to hear a little bit more around the strategy;
there's a lot of homeowners, as Council Member

Espinal said within the district, what strategies are
you putting in place to really work with homeowners,
and I know you mentioned the Center for New York City
Neighborhoods; what are you putting in place to

6 ensure that we are gauging homeowners who may be
7 going through issues with their mortgages or need

8 some sort of assistance?

the program that we're trying to develop with the

Center for New York City Neighborhoods is to put a

sort of help desk type of thing right in the

neighborhood so that it makes it very easy; that way

people can be referred to legal services if they're

going through a foreclosure, anti-foreclosure

counseling, scam... you know, whatever it is, that we

can get them the help that they need immediately.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, great. Well I look forward to hearing a lot more about that and will there be resources also attached to that, outside of counseling. So I know we work with Parodneck, but is there any designated funds that will be available to help homeowners who perhaps are going through pressures there as well?

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

already dedicated funds to helping people with foreclosures; this would tap into that, similarly there are programs that are directed towards deed theft, etc.; so this will all tap into that as well as to the legal services programs that are available already.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And then just to go back to President Maria Torres-Springer. So obviously there's some talented people in East New York who probably can use space to cultivate their talents a little bit more; have there been any thoughts of doing a business incubator there or... [crosstalk]

MARIA TORRES-SPRINGER: So yes to the first part of your question, that there is tremendous talent across the board in East New York. I think that the City has, whether it's SBS or EDC, has in many different occasions committed resources to catalyze that particular type of development; now the building for which we are putting in \$1.5 million in capital improvements, you know, one could think of that in many ways as an industrial incubator because the hope is for that 30,000 sq. ft. facility that

East New York, whether it's... we've done incubators for tech, for food, manufacturing, and a few other subsectors and so that's certainly something we'd be open to talking about.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright. Final two points I just wanted to... just to go back to Commissioner Been, I know that there is some legislation within the Council on the certificate of harassment, which is definitely gonna be something important; I know that's Council Member Lander's legislation, as we move forward with rezoning and then I just wanted to echo, just going back to Council Member Espinal's request for a special district that before this particular rezoning passes through this committee we're gonna look to hear a

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this plan.

172

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you, Chair Donovan and thank you to the administration, you know I think we've heard here what the main concerns are and what are the questions that need to be answered,

but we look forward to continuing to work with you on

SUBCOMMITTEE	\bigcirc T	PONTENTO	7/ 1/ 17	ED ANOUT C	3770
SUBLIGIMINITITE	() [\	7.() N N(-	AMI	PRANUHI:	フドル

2.2

2.3

so I wanna thank you for working with us and making sure those questions and those asks [sic] become a reality. You know we have 40 plus days or maybe less than 40 days to make this happen and at the end of the day you know we have to come up with something that benefits the community and something that will be comfortable and make sure that that works, not now, but also 15 years from now. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Council Member Barron.

Mr. Chair. I wanna thank the panel for coming, for sitting through quite a number of hours and for sharing the information with us and we look forward to coming up with a plan that reflects what it is that the community says they really, really want, which is more units at lower rent, along with the consideration for the jobs, along with the community center, which I'm so glad my colleague mentioned, 'cause it didn't come up and I need to make sure that people understand a community facility is not the same as a community center, so those are just some of the things that we are very much interested in and we look forward to coming up with a plan that reflects

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

all of what we know is best for our community and we certainly wanna at least double or triple what the previous administration did. Thank you.

CARL WEISBROD: Thank you and let me just

say, Mr. Chairman and Council Members and particularly Council Members Espinal and Barron, I think you all recognize that this is quite a departure from the past ways we've been approaching neighborhood planning and I really also wanna take this moment to just acknowledge the work of our Brooklyn office, particularly Winston Von Engel and Koren Manning, who has been not only coordinating the slides today, but coordinating life in general in East New York for us. But also, it took a coordinating process with you and we think we've got an approach that is very different, very committed to East New York, very committed to other neighborhoods as well; we recognize that you have pushed us to go further; you are continuing to push us and I am sure we look forward to working with you in the days to come to get the absolute best result for East New York all of us want. So thank you very much.

[background comments]

So Maritza Silva-Farrell, Real
Affordability for All; Ismene, Mutual Housing of New
York; Ryan Jacobs, New York Communities for Change;
Barney Smith, Local 79; Loretta Fine, New York
Communities for Change.

[pause]

Affordability for All.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alrighty, you may begin, Ismene.

[background comments]

And please, before you speak, please introduce yourself for the record and the organizations you're representing as we go down the line. Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ISMENE SPELIOTIS: Thank you, Councilman and Council Members for having the hearing. Good afternoon. My name Ismene Speliotis and MHANY Management, Inc., thank you for the opportunity to testify.

As the Executive Director of MHANY Management, I oversee the development and management of a unique scattered site portfolio of rental housing that is primarily affordable to individuals and families with incomes at or below 40% of the New York City area median income.

MHANY's work spans several neighborhoods; we own property in Crown Heights, Brownsville, East New York, Bushwick neighborhoods of Brooklyn, Mott Haven, Hunts Point in the South Bronx, East Harlem, Corona, and Jamaica, Queens.

After careful analysis, we at MHANY are very concerned that the proposed plan will not generate enough truly affordable housing and will not employment opportunities for very low-income and working residents of the local and surrounding neighborhood; therefore, we are in opposition to the plan as it is currently presented.

1

3

4

5

6

/

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In a city with one of the highest rates of income inequality in the nation, we cannot let the administration move forward on a plan that does practically nothing to protect residents who are deeply rooted in East New York, Cypress Hills and Ocean Hill. As new housing is targeted at income levels almost 50% higher than the neighborhood median income, as well as leaving out over one million New Yorkers throughout the city, rents will rise in these neighborhoods and put all lower-income families at In addition, in Cypress Hills/East New York over 50% of the residents live in unregulated housing, meaning they have no protection from landlords who can significantly increase rents or choose not to renew leases. As the housing market "improves" they can charge higher rents to a completely different population.

The City's plan should aim first to comprehensively address endemic poverty and joblessness so that all New Yorkers can realize the economic promise of Mayor de Blasio's commitment to equitable and livable development. Indeed, if the rezoning efforts do not prioritize low-income families in East New York, it could further

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 exacerbate the housing shortage and homelessness

2.2

2.3

3 crisis and cause widespread disruption to the

4 neighborhood's cultural heritage and identity.

If local hiring and our state-approved apprenticeship programs are not included in the plan, low-income residents will miss out on the new jobs created by the development and another generation already plagued by failing schools and unemployment would be lost.

Community- and faith-based organizations from across the city have united under the banner of Real Affordability for All to make sure that the interests of wealthy developers do not co-op the Mayor's new housing strategy.

PROPA [sic] and MHANY are urging the City
Council to consider the rezoning plan for East New
York will set a course through the neighborhood that
after all the initial investments and new
construction for which developers will take the
initial lucrative subsidy offered, \$200,000 per unit,
as was mentioned earlier, will for the most part
become unstoppable. As the housing market will
inevitably improve with the proposed rezoning,
developers will begin to realize ever-increasing

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

profits and the domino effect that we have seen in other parts of the city will [bell] push current

residents out of their home.

Currently, neither the most affordable subsidy plan that the City offers nor the Mayor's proposed Mandatory Inclusionary Housing plan will create nearly enough units or at the affordable living levels. The East New York median income is at 50% but the area median income in East New York is around 45 and below.

Our recommendation is that scarcity resources and controls as in the rezonings be targeted to the most and the more needy; if implemented, it could result in less overall apartments being built and it may impact the \$200,000 plan that we're all striving towards, but we should consider that it actually will create more affordable units to the more needy and the lowest-income population if we build it correctly. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

[applause, background comment]

RYAN JACOB: Good afternoon. My name is

Ryan Jacob and I'm a member of the Community for

Change and the Real Affordability for All Coalition.

25

2 My family and I have been living in East 3 New York for roughly 21 years; I've been living in 4 East New York; my mom's been living longer than that. I make about \$25,000 a year driving a cab and right now I live with my wife and two kids in a one-bedroom 6 7 apartment -- my kids are 2 and 3 -- that we can 8 afford and with this plan I would not be able to afford living in East New York and I don't wanna move from East New York. The reason why I don't wanna 10 11 move from East New York is because in the 90s we not 12 only lived in East New York; we survived in East New 13 York; we lived at a time when you had to sit away 14 from your window because you don't know if a bullet 15 will come through that window and hit you. We live 16 in East New York at the time when you say to someone 17 when they ask you where you are you from and you say, 18 I'm from East New York was as if you were saying you 19 are from Baghdad; the stigma of living in East New 20 York was that great and thanks to the effort of our 21 great police department, thanks to the effort of 2.2 people who like myself who had pizza parties to 2.3 encourage our young people not to get involved in gangs, someone like myself who ensured that every 24

young person in my family who were thinking about

3

1

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

joining a gang or who found themselves in a gang that I did everything in my effort to get them out of a gang and through prayer; whatever means, we did to ensure that East New York will change and now that East New York has turned the corner, we should be the people, whatever plan happens, that has the best of these plans and should not be forced to move.

When I first heard about the Mayor's plan to bring affordable housing, which was a campaign promise that he made, I was happy and hopeful that finally I will be able to get a two-bedroom apartment for my family, but when I looked at the numbers that out of the 6,300 apartments [bell] guaranteed for affordable, for people makin' 40 of the AMI or less, only 324 apartments would be available for people makin', you know, below that income. Gentrification is already moving to East New York; how is my family going to be able to stay if we can't afford the price? This plan, in my opinion, helps greedy landlords that will kick us out and therefore I'm asking the Council to vote no to this rezoning plan for East New York. Thank you.

MARITZA SILVA-FARRELL: Good afternoon members of the Council and good afternoon, Chair of CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I know you're louder than that. Alright, move it closer.

2.2

2.3

 $\label{eq:maritza} \mbox{MARITZA SILVA-FARRELL:} \quad \mbox{Is that better?}$ Okay...

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: There you go.

MARITZA SILVA-FARRELL: Great. Thank you for allowing me to testify today. My name is Maritza Silva-Farrell; I am the Coordinator of the Real Affordability for All Coalition. Our coalition is a coalition of labor, faith, community, and tenants from across the city fighting to ensure that we actually have affordable housing and also good jobs for our neighborhoods.

We share the goal with the Mayor of creating and preserving affordable housing throughout New York City, especially in neighborhoods that the administration intends to rezone; unfortunately, the Mayor's current plan in East New York will leave behind many of the same low-income New Yorkers who were left behind by the previous administration.

The Mayor's plans include no standards or criteria for job quality or local and it doesn't

legal support all of these tenants are at huge risk

24

25

of displacement.

2.

_

The lack of attention to job quality is even more disconcerting when you consider the recent evidence showing that even \$15 [sic] per hour isn't enough to make low-income neighborhoods affordable.

Low-wage workers and their families living in neighborhoods that will be rezoned will not be helped by the current plan, they will be left behind.

This rezoning is bringing increased density along key corridors in East New York; that's what we are talking about today, increasing the density of neighborhoods. When the City gives away density [bell] like it's considering right now, it needs to be more effective so we can create and preserve real affordable communities. The City sees increasing density as a primary tool in creating affordable housing, so then the question is; why are we getting so little in return?

And just to wrap up, our message here is simple -- either build it right or don't build it at all; we can't continue to give in to the wealthy real estate interest in the city who only care about their bottom line; we must use our zoning power to prevent gentrification and to create good jobs for local residents. The Mayor's plan fails on all those

counts and we're at risk of losing one of the last
remaining working-class neighborhoods in Brooklyn.

4 Thank you.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BARRY SMITH: Good afternoon Chair,

Council people. My name's Barry Smith; I'm a

Business Agent for Local 79; I'm also the President

of 100 Black Construction Workers.

I was born and raised in Brownsville; I was there when no one would look in Brownsville, when it was drug-infested, when it was violent and basically no one was ever lookin' at East New York and Brownsville to develop at all, so we know that change is inevitable, but we wanna make sure that the changes [inaudible] for the people that live here first, the survivors, the ones that made it through all that came through; we wanna make sure, number one, that we do have affordable housing, but in order to have affordable housing you have to first have good jobs; we don't mean non-union jobs where people are workin' on an unsafe environment and they're dyin'; we mean certified, state apprenticeship programs, local hiring, things that will bring our people up. We're tired of having generations that visit Attica, Clinton and Comstock; we wanna start

_

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

makin' generations that visit Paris, Switzerland and become homeowners, so good jobs is [inaudible] that we're advocating out here, unionized constructions jobs. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. And I'm gonna go to Council Member Espinal for a statement.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: No, I just wanna thank you for all your advocacy you've been doing for the most neediest of people of my district; you know, you've been heard loud and clear and you know, I've been working to push, to get as much as we can out of this plan. I just take issue with just one comment you made, or the slogan part is, the don't build at all part, you know and I'm concerned about that part because -- I understand we have to build it right, of course, but the don't build at all part, I'm concerned because I've seen neighborhoods that have no construction done to them, like Bushwick for example, and every day people are being pushed out of the homes 'cause most of the homes there are row houses, one or two families, the homeowners have their discretion to raise their rents and the neighborhood is completely different, right and I

MARITZA SILVA-FARRELL:

What I can tell

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

think the EIS report shows that the same thing can happen in East New York. So If we don't build affordable housing and gentrification comes and takes over East New York, then where do our people go? I guess just wanna hear your thoughts on that.

you is that do... I mean [inaudible] talk about is 'cause MHANY has built actually in East New York. The reality is that we hear... in the morning we hear comments about Williamsburg, right, like Bushwick even, a neighborhood right now folks are being displaced because there is no affordable housing as it is, but with the current plan right now, we heard that there is land stipulation; people are sitting on land; the neighborhoods are gonna be changing anyway, developers, that's like a fear tactic, developers say we're not gonna build it, we need this plan, we need to coordinate, we need to take some subsidies and we've heard this story for years and years, that was sort of like the narrative with the previous administration. So now they, what we have to do is protect the neighborhoods and ensure that if density is provided and is going to be part of this plan, at least we get more in return and that's what we're

2 asking for. And we understand also that we need a

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

| | Mandatory Inclusionary Housing plan, right, but let's

4 just do it right, let's coordinate it to make sure

5 that it actually fits the needs of the communities,

6 not just have like some sort of like a plan that is

7 standardized as a one-size-fits-all.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: And I just wanna say to the gentleman that spoke about East New York. You know we share the same stories; I grew up in the neighborhood, I know what it was like in the 90s and the 80s and it's my job to make sure we get the best plan for our community.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, thank you,

Mr. Chair. I wanna thank this panel for coming and

for giving your testimony and I wanna comment you

that you have been consistent over at least a twoyear period of keeping this issue before the

community, before the grassroots people and getting

them to understand that yes, they have the power to

make sure that what comes in their community reflects

what's in the best interest of the community. So I

wanna thank you again for your coming and your testimony.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And I wanna thank you all for not just on your leadership here, but obviously on other issues around the city and just wanna be clear that this committee, that Council Members have certainly heard and you know, we represent the communities too, we have our ear to the ground, so we're gonna drive the best bargain and deal that we can for our local communities. But the option of no is definitely not an option; the option of making sure that we get what we need for our local communities is gonna be the option that we go with. So I wanna thank you all for testifying today. If you have any last statement.

ISMENE SPELIOTIS: Thank you, Councilman. We embrace density, we've actually embraced density from the beginning, so we don't want to be here to say don't build, but the problem really is that at the end of the day, if you don't stay strong, and we're here just... and we know you've been great and we're just here to support you; if we don't kind of get it right, I mean it's historically proven that the neighborhoods, after the initial City investment,

RYAN JACOB:

I'm good [sic].

2.2

2.3

CHAIR GREENFIELD: I wanna thank you so

much for coming out here and testifying as a

community resident, certainly hear about your

concerns. If you don't mind my asking; how much do

you currently pay in rent?

RYAN JACOB: I currently pay roughly \$700 for the one-bedroom.

CHAIR GREENFIELD: \$700 for one-bedroom.

RYAN JACOB: Yes.

CHAIR GREENFIELD: Okay. And so your...

just to clarify your concern, just wanna zone in on

that because you're from the community, you came, you

took the time today, so I wanna make sure we hear

your concern. Your concern is that not enough of the

affordable units you would qualify for; is that what

your concern is?

RYAN JACOB: Yes and not only myself, but others.

CHAIR GREENFIELD: I'm sorry?

RYAN JACOB: Not only myself, but others in my community.

CHAIR GREENFIELD: Okay. So it's a general -- I mean by my count, you would qualify for roughly 25% of the affordable units that are gonna be

next panel.

Dr. Jakeer Kabir [sp?]; Meredith

Marshall, BRP Development; Martin Dunn, Dunn

Development; Rick Russo, Brooklyn Chamber of

Commerce, and Danixa Carr.

2.2

2.3

So go through this again. Rick Russo,
Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce; Martin Dunn, Dunn
Development; Meredith Marhsall, BRP Development,
Dr. Jongere [sp?] Kabir [sp?], Jongere Kabir and
Danixa Carr or Danita Karr, Danixa Carr.

[background comments]

Alright. They're on their way upstairs?

Okay. [background comments] Can you just state your name for the record, so we...

RICK RUSSO: Rick Russo.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Russo. Alrighty,
we'll go to way upstairs as we speak or... [background
comments] okay, they're 20... okay, so we'll continue
to call again. Mayfield Goddard. Mayfield Goddard.
[background comments] Are you here, Mayfield
Goddard? Alrighty. Shamona Kirkland. Shamona
Kirkland. Shamona Kirkland. Jimmy... I don't know
what the last name is... Jimmy Love... 57 Street, that's
all I see. Jimmy, 57 Street; looks like Love. David
Bryan, representing Brooklyn A, David Bryan. Yvette

like me. My community also needs a plan that sees

195

3 same coin. The new residential buildings from the

good jobs and affordable housing as two sides of the

4 rezoning will create more supers, porters and

5 handyman jobs. As a security officer, I know the

6 difference it makes when there are prevailing wage

7 | jobs and when there are not; when it's a union job

8 and when it's not. I know my community needs jobs,

9 but I know that we need good jobs even more, so I

10 want a plan for my community that creates high-

11 quality building service jobs. While I urge the

12 Mayor and the City Council to do all that's in their

13 power to ensure that rezoning results in good jobs, I

14 am also calling on developers and property owners in

15 East New York to commit to creating the kind of jobs

16 that will allow working people to support a family in

17 New York.

2

Property owners are going to benefit greatly from the rezoning, developers like Bluestone Group and Phipps Houses are already seeing this and purchasing land in the rezone area. Now, before the rezoning moves forward, my community needs commitments that these developers and property owners

in East New York are going to develop with residents

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2 like me in mind and provide much-needed affordable

3 housing and good jobs. Thank you.

Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

RICK RUSSO: Thank you Mr. Chairman;

Council Members. I'm Rick Russo, Senior Vice

President and Chief Operating Officer at the Brooklyn

Chamber of Commerce and I'm delivering testimony on

behalf of Carlo Scissura, President and CEO of the

The Brooklyn Chamber is a membership-based business assistance organization which represents the interests of over 2,200 member businesses as well as well as other businesses across Brooklyn through our promotion, support and advocacy work.

The Chamber stands in strong support of any efforts to revitalize East New York. As such, we support Mayor de Blasio's and the New York City

Department of City Planning's proposal for the rezoning of East New York as proposed within the East New York Community Plan. It will sustain existing affordable housing, develop new affordable housing, connect residents to employment opportunities and

2.2

2.3

revitalize commercial corridors by requiring active ground floor uses.

We believe the specific actions outlined the community plan will further strive to advance East New York, making it an attractive and safer place for existing residents; the changes will enhance transit infrastructure and facilitate the revitalization of retail, while promoting new uses on the Atlantic Avenue, Pitkin Avenue, Fulton Street, and Liberty Avenue commercial corridors. There is significant retail vacancy on Pitkin Avenue, for example, that could be filled by new businesses to serve existing and new residents.

The commercial spaces will improve the lives of area residents by bringing retail closer to their community, unlike today, where many have to take buses or taxis to Gateway Center Mall. The lack of retail options was something that the community residents in both East New York and Ocean Hill often cited as a wish for their community. Retail businesses are currently struggling from a lack of customers and density and any new residents would add to that customer base. The requirement for active ground floor uses along the retail corridors will

2.2

2.3

area.

The resulting land use recommendations of the rezoning are expected to generate more than 3,000 new affordable housing units, 3,700 new jobs and more than 800,000 sq. ft. of commercial space, which will directly benefit East New York residents.

As the voice of small business and job creation in Brooklyn, we welcome the efforts to provide workforce training and support small businesses through this plan, such as the opening of the Workforcel Satellite Center to connect residents with jobs and the launch of the East New York FastTrac Growth Venture course to provide training to business owners.

We believe the rezoning is critical to the long-term sustainability of East New York and thank you for the opportunity to speak this afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you both for your testimony.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: This is a question for the Brooklyn Chamber. You talk about the retail portion of the plan; are you familiar with

wonderful evening; that was about three weeks ago,

and we're committed to doing more. We're looking

24

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 200 forward to assisting with retail attraction 2 3 throughout commercial revitalization work and providing all the various technical assistances that 4 the Chamber can bring to bear. COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: And from what 6 7 you've seen, would you say that small business in those neighborhoods need as much resources as the 8 City can provide? 10 RICK RUSSO: Absolutely. And the Chamber 11 operates the Business Solutions Center in concert 12 with SBS, so even there we can help a business launch with financing, procurement opportunities and 13 14 everything that a business owner may need to be 15 successful, so we're looking forward to doing that. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. Alright, 17 thank you and thank you 32BJ, thank you for 18 testifying; appreciate it. 19 [background comment] 20 RICK RUSSO: Thank you. 21 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Oh wait, hold on; Council Member Barron had just one statement she 2.2

24

2.3

wanted...

say that you did mention Fusion East; I was a little

i |

-- not focused, but you mentioned Fusion East?

RICK RUSSO: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, that's a

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, I just wanna

part of that corridor that I mentioned earlier where we wanted to make sure there was a designated area or portion where smaller mom and pop businesses -- businesses just getting started would be able to have some presence in a larger scale. Thank you.

RICK RUSSO: Thank you.

[background comments]

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: I would like to call up the next panel; we have Rev. Edward Mason; Enrique Cologne from CASA; Father Ed Mason -[background comments] uh same one -- Roy Frias from the neighborhood, Sheridan Avenue, East New York in the house; the famous Brother Paul Muhammad, one of the sharpest dressers in East New York; Zulmilena Then, Preserving East New York; Rene Arlain, also from the neighborhood, Arlington Avenue, which needs to be repaved.

[pause]

[background comment]

)

So anyone can speak in any order, just introduce yourself, say your name for the record and then you can proceed with your testimony and after the person's done speaking, the next person can take over.

ENRIQUE COLOGNE: Good afternoon. Hello everyone. My name is Enrique Cologne and I'm the Outreach Coordinator for CASA, Community Action for Safe Apartments, which is the housing organizing initiative for New Settlement Apartments located in the Southwest Bronx.

I am a member also of the Bronx Coalition for Community Vision that's working around the Jerome Avenue rezoning and we're also part of the citywide RAFA Coalition, Real Affordability for All.

I have lived my entire life in the neighborhood being rezoned in the Bronx near Jerome Avenue; I am here representing our coalition in the Bronx to let you know that we are watching; we are concerned; if housing is built but isn't for the people in the neighborhoods who need it the most, then who is this housing being built for?

If getting some housing leads to the displacement of thousands of black, brown and

immigrant residents of our city, then in whose interest are we doing this for? Your decisions about how to move forward in East New York will send a message not just to the residents here, but to thousands of us in the Bronx about who we care about as a city and whose lives we value the most.

The average income of families in East

New York is \$33,000 a year; how does this plan ensure

enough housing for them; how does it prevent

displacement; how does it paint a path towards union

jobs and local hire; how does it reflect the needs

and priorities that community members have outlined

for you in their own community plan?

We are concerned that our brothers and sisters in East New York are not happy with this plan; if they are unhappy, that means that they are not being respected. What you decide to do has implications that will set the state for the rest of the city. We hope that when we meet again in these City Hall chambers we will know that you took us seriously and respect the gravity of our work to create a more just city. Thank you for your time.

[background comments]

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 RENE ARLAIN: Good morning Council My name is Rene Arlain; I work with the 3 Members. 4 Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation, Housing 5 Counseling Director, and been living in Cypress Hills, East New York since about 2002 and just want 6 7 to mention a couple of quick things that I feel I need to kind of address, which was that Council 8 Member Greenfield kind of emphasized in a peculiar way how affordable the Mayor's rezone plan is and 10 11 will be and historically affordable and so on and in spite of this historic affordability, there is still 12 tremendous need for even deeper and deeper 13 14 affordability in terms of housing costs for the 15 residents of Cypress Hills.

To begin with my testimony, I just wanna mention the importance of anti-displacement strategies for tenants and owners in small homes is incalculable; I urge that you vote no the Mayor's East New York rezone plan through the Department of City Planning; I should say, though the Department of City Planning has recently voted in favor of the plan and as such rejected the community's coalition alternate plan. Unless there's strong antidisplacement policies, programs and resources in

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

place, as outlined in the community's alternate plan, East New York and Cypress Hills' residents will probably face unfavorable changes and challenges that are part and parcel of gentrifying and gentrified communities in Brooklyn and the other boroughs.

Given that the Mayor's affordable plan proposes to develop and preserve affordable housing, I urge that resources be targeted to distressed owners, owners facing foreclosure lawsuits, renters, and first-time homebuyers.

Based on propertyshop.com online real estate database, each week there are about 20 owners in Community Board 5 named on list pendings [sic], foreclosure filings; not only are investors, speculators and scammers vying for cheap acquisitions, some are unscrupulous and resort to deed theft, property flipping, load modification scams; even manipulating unwitting owners engaged in short sale transactions.

I estimate that far more cases of illegal and/or unethical real estate practices go unreported compared to the cases that are reported or that reach nowhere because complainants cannot afford the legal representations these cases would require.

2.2

2.3

There are many vulnerable owners with performing and nonperforming mortgage accounts being pursued by speculators with articles [sic] of all-cash transactions, as you've heard; daily these owners have to contend with inordinate numbers of unsolicited phone calls, visits, videographing, etc.

The proposed rezoning of Cypress Hills,

East New York has exacerbated the volume and

intensity of solicitations and speculation; real

estate interests have exerted significant pressure on

the market, [bell] inflating property values... okay,

thanks. Thank you, Councilman.

Further, many owners and renters are saddled with total household debt that is credit, housing and other expenses, reaching 55% and more of their gross income. CHLDC caseload owners, clients are largely low- to moderate-income, ranging from 25% to 70% of AMI of ages from early 40s to late 50s and renters are definitely the majority below 30% of AMI.

In this speculative climate, most senior owners and renters are particularly vulnerable and in danger of being displaced. The City's draft Environmental Impact Statement ignores the real threat of displacement of low-income renters in small

1

3

4

5

6

,

8

9

11

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

homes that are unregulated and to low-income homeowners. That unscrupulous and opportunistic owners of rent-regulated properties will attempt to unlawfully evict renters and/or increase rents, decrease services of those who don't know their rights or are afraid to exercise them. [sic]

I'm gonna -- this is -- I'm just gonna wrap here. Concerning the overwhelming majority of unregulated one- to four-family homes in Cypress Hills, East New York; Brownsville and contrary to HPD Code Enforcement's testimony during the Department of City Planning hearing, indicating that there are few harassment complaints; in fact most renters experiencing regular harassment and/or housing code violations avoid filing formal complaints for fear of retaliation, decreased services, holdover actions, and harassment. As we're all aware, owners of unregulated buildings can bring holdover petition without cause, yet in this community there's a unique juxtaposition of interest between owners and renters; these stakeholders are critically aware of housing affordability's importance dealing with this market while struggling and managing property repairs,

2.2

2.3

mortgages, rent, taxes, water, sewer charges, etc.

3 and more so when there's a financial crisis.

I just want to wrap up by saying that I definitely urge the City Council to vote no to the Mayor's rezone plan and to support the community coalition's alternate plan and that this issue is so great and so important at this point that it's important that it be dealt with now rather than later. Thank you.

ROY FRIAS: Hello, hello. I'm here.

Alright. Thank you. My name is Roy Frias; I'm a community resident; I work with a part of United

Community Centers and East New York Farms; I've been living in the rezone area since 1990, the year I was born, and I just wanted to add some more context towards why the community has really felt a disconnect between how this plan is now.

In the history of East New York, in general, East New York was a prospering neighborhood that had a lot of investment, but that investment was there when there was a different people economy there — so what we always like to mention, when I do all these tours in East New York Farms, is that East New York had a massive white flight that happened in the

2.2

2.3

1950s and 1960s that's a part of the neighborhood history and has really created a lot of what the City now sees as developable land. East New York, this is a neighborhood that was redlined tremendously and the act of redlining itself left a mortgage shortage in the neighborhood that saw a lot of this open space; that saw a lot of its space economy really compacted and disinvested in.

So but East New York Farms, what we do is we in general work with -- we have a network of 60 gardens, farms, back yard gardens all throughout East New York that really was one way in which the community before this rezoning plan happened tried to take back the land and tried to advocate for somethin' that the community felt was important for itself. East New York has always had a long-graying [sic] community; we've been growing and eating food since the 1800s, since the Dutch were there and it's something that we hope to be able to continue as the rezoning plan goes through.

Excuse me for a sec -- alright. So what we're requestin' and what I'm requesting personally is that low-income communities such as East New York that don't have the economic capacity to implement

urban gardening projects that they should be impediant [sic] or just advocated for more green spaces that's not just parks, but also gardens in the neighborhood because that's also another way of how you can develop a community and develop people is by giving them spaces to do different activities, as well as increasing the capacity for changing the Asphalt Park to add city land park; we want that... Yeah, we request that the land that's already there -- Perry Winston is one of our founders who passed away last year and I mean he specifically warned that gardens and green spaces will not always be advocated for, they won't always be protected and we wanna encourage the people [bell] to continue to grow their own food to be able to speak and eat for themselves and to have their land protected. Basically, housing development and community development are two different things in general and the community is really feeling that we want more community development and not so much -- not that we don't want the housing development, but we wanna see more community development and just to get back the justice that was denied from us. Thank you.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

ZULMILENA THEN: Hello City Council
members. My name is Zulmilena Then; I am the founder

of Preserving East New York, also known as PENY.

PENY emerges an initiative to advocate for the preservation of the historic buildings and places within the communities of East New York and Cypress Hills. Through community support, PENY's goal is to create awareness to protect the community's historic resources that are threatened by the City's rezoning proposal.

So the City's rezoning proposal has taken a holistic approach by partnering with other City agencies, like HPD, DOT and SBS, but the Landmarks Preservation Commission hasn't been an agency that has been engaged as an active department within the rezoning plan. Based on the Environmental Impact Statement, many of our historic resources within the rezoning are under severe risk of demolition for new development, so can you imagine our majestic community center at 127 Pennsylvania Avenue demolished or the beautiful Russian Orthodox Church at 400 Glenmore Avenue demolished or even the original 75 Police Precinct station house, 484 Liberty Avenue demolished? These are just three out

1

3

4

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of the many that PENY believes are landmark worthy and like the East New York Savings Bank, the demolition of these architecturally and culturally significant buildings will leave us with a broken heart, but most importantly with a flavorless neighborhood.

Some may think that preservation may not fit perfectly within the goals of this plan, but these buildings are part of the heart which makes East New York East New York and Cypress Hills Cypress This plan will be responsible for the lasting transformation of this neighborhood and we strongly believe that we should not sacrifice our past in order to improve our community. Preservation combined with sound planning and revitalization strategies drives economic growth, which adds to the community's wellbeing; therefore, preservation will promote growth for new and existing businesses and help create jobs while preserving and protecting existing structures, community organizations, small businesses, and local residents. We can't revitalize our neighborhoods without protecting their history, character and identity; without understanding these benefits, how can we effectively revitalize our

ΤΟ

neighborhoods? While we may be asking for different issues to be met, we are here, all of us are here with the same goal and that is to make sure that this rezoning plan works for our com... [mic cut out]

As the first neighborhood to be rezoned and as the one that will lead as the model [bell] for the rest of the other 14 neighborhoods, we have to make sure that we make this plan right for us and right for the neighborhoods just like ours. When John Pitkin decided to found his own city in 1835, he had a great vision and his vision was so great that he wanted to create a city to rival the City of New York and that's why he called his city East New York. So therefore, let us use this community plan as a leading example and let us live up to that legacy. Thank you.

BROTHER PAUL MUHAMMAD: I'm Brother Paul Muhammad; I'm a member of the Coalition for East New York Community Advancement. My family has owned property for 47 years right on the fault line of this tsunami of gentrification that's coming through my community. We're here today to address the issues of this rezoning plan.

25

2 I've been part of this coalition for now 3 two years, mosque, the Nation of Islam, under the direction of Brother Javier Muhammad, under the 4 direction of Mr. Farrakhan [inaudible] ministry of Mr. Farrakhan, and we're here, we joined our 6 7 churches, we joined on with other members of local folks there, like myself, that live there and we have 8 [inaudible] NYCHA housing; I remember it was built there in 1971 and... We're here to say that we welcome 10 11 investment in our community, but you can't build 12 self-sustainable housing without helping build self-13 sustainable people. We felt that this plan is a put-14 upon; it has not included us in the fact that we've 15 suffered through 40 years of disenfranchisement, 16 crippling -- social engineering policies that have 17 crippled our schools; we have schools that are 18 [inaudible]... I heard somebody talk about a school 19 bein' built, but my daughter is a guidance counselor 20 at Thomas Jefferson and in that school there are four different schools, but also there's a curriculum 21 that's the same thing in that school that's out at 2.2 2.3 Rikers Island; I can't understand that; where is the investment in the people? See we're jumping ahead of 24

ourselves here to talk about buildin' a community,

2

14

but you're building it on the backs and the blood of 3 the people there. Proverbs 12:5 says that the plans 4 of the righteous are just; the council of the wicked is in deceit and in blood. There's a lot of blood out in East New York and the blood flows because you 6 7 can't talk about building when you're not building 8 the people; we've got 35% unemployment, we have 18year-olds and 40-year-olds that don't have jobs; this is an opportunity for this plan to address the ills 10 11 of my community; we're skipping a step here; we're not talkin' about how to build our people more. 12 got young men that are bein' demonized around me 13 [sic], they're not Martians, they didn't fall off a 15 planet not mine [sic]; they came out in the policy 16 and the dictate of a government that don't give a damn about them. Nothing's affordable without a job, 17 18 but you've gotta built people who have that aspect; 19 we've suffered through crack, heroin, designer drugs 20 now and you're pumpin' it into those communities and 21 our communities get those drugs, we're like test 2.2 pilots for those drugs. But I wanna see this plan 2.3 take an opportunity to stand for the fact that... invest in the people. See this is... I'm a homeowner 24 there; I'm getting offered crazy money for my house 25

now and I say it's crazy, I'm not sellin'. My daughter went to school; she makes \$60,000 a year as a guidance counselor; if I sell to somebody who doesn't look like me, then she'll never be able to buy back in the community [bell] our [inaudible]. So what we're looking at, the 26% increase in the value of property has not increased with the fact of pay scale, and what I'm trying to tell you with this and all of us are saying is that, this plan is not a plan for us by us; it's a plan bein' put upon us.

And I would like to finish with something here and I'll be quiet, because I wanna show that we are people who demand our rights, we've been treated as a colony and I'll say this, there was a great document written well over 300 years ago, but it wasn't thinkin' about us people of color when it was written, but it goes to people of god -- We the people -- and you could substitute United States with East New York -- in order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, and insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare and secure the blessings of liberty to observe ourselves and the prosperity of our future. We're asking for that kinda protection

pushin' the administration to find a space for

Jumaane; we're joining forces to make this city do

what it has to do, justice or else.

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you.

[background comments]

2.2

2.3

I'd like to call up the next panel. We have... [background comments] Meredith Marshall from BRP Development; David Bryan from Brooklyn A; Martin Dunn from Dunn Development, and Michael Wadman from Phipps Houses. [background comments]

Just so that we can know who's on the panel, Michael Wadman, you're up? Okay. David Bryan? Meredith Marshall and Martin. Okay. Again, you may begin and just state your name before your testimony and then the next speaker can follow and do the same.

MEREDITH MARSHALL: Hello. Okay. Good afternoon everyone. My name is Meredith Marshall and I'm Co-founder and Managing Partner of BRP Companies, a New York-based firm at the forefront of developing affordable, mixed-income and mixed-use workforce housing in New York City. We are also now active in Philadelphia and Newark, New Jersey. Thank you for this opportunity to testify today in support of the proposed East New York Community Plan.

By way of background, I was born at Brookdale Hospital and raised in East Flatbush; I

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 220

3

2

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

attended public schools in close proximity to East New York and I believe I have a unique understanding of the challenges faced by tenants, landlords and struggling small business owners; in short, I have been all of the above.

Since our beginning in 1998, BRP has developed over 600,000 sq. ft. of [inaudible] real estate projects and we have over three million feet currently in development. We broadly support the de Blasio Administration's ongoing efforts to increase the production of affordable housing for low- and middle-income New Yorkers and we hope to contribute to that effort in every way possible.

My firm has successfully built affordable housing in four boroughs and is completing the rehabilitation of approximately 500 apartments in East New York and we plan to commence construction on another nearly 300 units that will be affordable to both low- and extremely low-income residents later this year. We are fully supportive of the East New York plan because we believe it will facilitate the construction of much-needed affordable housing, mixed-income and mixed-use developments and in short-

Z 4

term with government subsidies and in the long-term without government subsidies.

It is no secret that there is a severe

shortage of workforce housing throughout the city,
but this largely a result of unprecedented growth in
household levels over extended periods which
outstretched the level of new housing construction.
As this trend continues, we need to develop supply or
[inaudible] solutions to alleviate the burdens of
increasing rent levels and displacement fears.

We are fully supportive of the East New York Community Plan because it aims to strengthen East New York and surrounding neighborhoods by investing in new mixed-income housing, supporting economic development programs targeting small and minority women business enterprises and by allocating substantial resources to build new schools, improve public spaces in major corridors such as Atlantic Avenue.

In addition to supporting the East New
York Community Plan, we also support other efforts
that harness market rate development in order to
increase the production of affordable housing. We
believe these desired outcomes will be accomplished

1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

through the de Blasio Administration's Mandatory

Inclusionary Housing proposal and the changes sought

in this proposed ZQA. Simply put, the

5 administration's initiatives will enable for-profit

6 not-for-profit and firms alike to more quickly and

7 easily develop affordable and senior housing for low-

8 and middle-income New Yorkers and it will enable us

to design those buildings in ways that better serve

10 the populations in need.

Thank you again for this opportunity to testify in support of the East New York Community

Plan and the creation [bell] of more affordable housing and I'll be happy to take any questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you.

Martin Dunn from Dunn Development Corp., a Brooklynbased developer of affordable housing. I have a long
history of working in East New York, going back to
1992 when I spent five years working with the East
New York Urban Youth Corps. At the Urban Youth Corps
we worked on numerous projects in the rezoning area,
including multiple new construction projects on
Pitkin Avenue. We're interested in purchasing sites
in the rezoning area to do a new affordable housing

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 P

development and anything we do will be deeply
affordable because we can't build in East New York
without government subsidies and the government
subsidies require deep affordability, which we always
seek to exceed the requirement.

I want to mention two projects we've done in East New York that I think shed light on these The first is Livonia Commons, which has a proposals. lot of similarities to Pitkin Avenue; we completed a rezoning along a seven-block area that changed an R6 with a C2-3 overlay to an RF7-A with a C2-4 overlay, exactly what's proposed for most of Pitkin Avenue. It enables us to build higher density with reduced parking; the result, new affordable housing deeply affordable to the neighborhood, more than 60% of the units are affordable to people earning less than 50% and 40% of AMI, along with high-quality ground floor retail, lots of economic development and jobs, and that's what this new rezoning can accomplish as well. Without the higher density and the reduced parking requirements, we're not gonna be able to bring the high-quality retail and community facility services people are looking to see on Atlantic, Liberty and Pitkin.

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

The second project is Liberty Apartments, which we opened in 2010 in the rezoning area in collaboration with Cypress Hills LDC. On that 30,000 sq. ft. site we were only able to build a three-story building with 43 apartments because of the R5 zoning. But the other thing I wanted to mention about Liberty Apartments is that we did include 21% of the units below 30% of area median income. The amount of capital subsidy it took from the City to accomplish that was \$10.9 million; that was for nine units below 30% AMI and the rest were at 60%; that was \$253,000 for ever apartment in the whole complex and that's not including the value of free land provided by the City. On Livonia Commons we received \$272,000 per unit in City and State capital subsidies to achieve that affordability; again, about 56% of the rents were below 50% and 40% and the rest below 60%. reason I bring that up is because we do have to be realistic, we're a champion of affordable housing and build as deep as we can, but we have to be realistic about the percentage of affordable units and the AMI levels that can be required as part of rezoning; we want this rezoning to result in the development of a lot of new affordable housing and not have the cost

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

be so prohibitive that no development happens or only very small amounts, and affordable housing needed at all AMI levels in the East New York, at 30%, 40%, 50%, 60% and above 60% AMI, just like the City's and State's termsheets require.

I have a little bit more time; I can talk a little bit about what we saw at Livonia Commons -about 1 in 8 renter households in all of East New York applied for that project and we saw it pretty evenly spread across income levels. Again, below 30, below 40, below 50; below 60, but also a lot above 60; we had a lot of people that came to us saying we make too much -- people from right around the project saying we make too much to qualify for the unit. lot of people that come through the Urban Youth Corps' youth programs, the college access programs, they went away to college, have good-paying jobs, are back living with family saying do we have to leave the neighborhood to find a decent new apartment and so I really think that, especially if it's averaging and if the units at higher than 60% to make units lower than 60% possible you can serve the broad needs of the community. Also, the economic development activity was very significant; we hired lots of local

1

3

4

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

subcontractors; [bell] we trained 145 residents; hired 51 new jobs and 15 residents that worked with subcontractors, so a tremendous amount of local development and more opportunities in the retail users that are coming in. Thank you.

DAVID BRYAN: Good afternoon; I'm David Bryan; I am the Director of the Consumer Economic Development Advocacy Unit for Brooklyn Legal Services Corporation A; it's the only Brooklyn nonprofit law firm dating from the 1960s that is managed by people who look like and represent the community they serve.

I represent between 100 to 150 homeowners every year facing foreclosure and other financial catastrophes. We represent those homeowners in every city, state and federal courthouse in Kings and Queens County. I've worked for this firm for the most part since I became a lawyer in 1998 and I'm the product of the New York City public schools.

My first job as a lawyer was serving HIVpositive clients in East New York and other parts of Brooklyn; my job was located on the 2nd floor of the Citibank building at the corner of Jamaica and Pennsylvania Avenues at the mouth of what is now the Jackie Robinson Parkway. At the time, serving HIV-

positive people in Brooklyn meant you were working
with people of color who were living in the shadows;
the stigma of AIDS was such that people who needed
help the most were the most afraid to obtain it. Th
stigma of AIDS also meant that many people from

1

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

outside the community imposed their ideas of how to

ideas were good; some really weren't and the thing

8 resolve the issues in my clients' lives. Now some

10 that was most frustrating is that you had bright and

11 earnest people who would tell my clients that they

12 didn't know what was best for themselves; your years

of working and suffering counted for nothing because

14 the A team was on the job now and for all due

15 respect, I fear that's what's happening today.

East New York is a community of one- twoand three-family homes and I congratulate the Mayor's
reliance upon legal services organizations and those
organizations' newfound commitment to represent small
homeowners, contrary to their past when they only
represented rent-regulated homes; that's admirable,
but it's not the answer to decent affordable housing
for the people of this community. The best attorney
cannot resolve an eviction case if there is no

affordable place for the tenant to return to live.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

I wanna just say also that I'm happy to be in the chamber with Councilwoman Barron and Councilman Williams; it just seems recently we are having these meetings about people who were in financial distress and trying to find ways to resolve it and I feel pleased that I'm here for that.

I write to you today -- excuse me -- I

came to you today because I wanted to talk to you about what I've seen, researched and lived as an advocate in this city that deals with problems that are to be resolved by bright and earnest people. Bright and earnest people have always come forward to resolve problems in crisis and they do so from a sense of public service. In the 1930s depression, bright and earnest people came forward in the Roosevelt Administration and they worked to solve the housing crisis; they created various federal programs that sought to resolve the freezing of the banks by quaranteeing mortgages for lenders; those bright and earnest people created what we now know as redlining [bell] by using their own bright and very earnest perceptions to safeguard the government's money by keeping it away from people of color who everyone knew couldn't be trusted to repay their mortgages;

2 t
3 e
4 E
5 v
6 a
7 c

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

this is a convention of wisdom that any bright and

earnest people would rely upon. Eighty years later

Elizabeth Warren shows that the descendants of the

5 very same bright and earnest people advised HUD, FHA

6 and others not to modify loans in East New York. I'm

concerned because I see that any reasonable person

8 can see that bright and earnest people have

determined that the resolution of East New York's

10 housing crisis is to create a world where East New

11 York's people cannot be housed.

My clients have been the backbone of East
New York during hard times and they've maintained the
foothold necessary to keep the community in place.
Any plan that any reasonable council certifies would
requires that the community supersedes the
determination of bright and earnest people if the
affordable housing cannot be afforded. It's a social
and economic issue and it's not an accomplishment or
an analysis, it's just a mistake. If the plan cannot
be constructed in a fashion that's affordable for
current residents, then it's not appropriate to move
ahead and I would urge the Council to send the
administration back to the drawing board.

_

)

2.2

MICHAEL WADMAN: Hi. Good afternoon, thank you, Council Members for allowing us to present today. My name is Michael Wadman; I represent Phipps Houses. Phipps Houses is here to speak on behalf of the rezoning proposal. We are the city's oldest and largest not-for-profit owner and manager and developer of affordable housing, particularly low-income and very low-income rental housing. We own and operate approximately 8,000 apartments throughout the city, serving something like 20,000 people; this will be our first development in Brooklyn should the rezoning plan pass.

As a result of the rezoning initiative,
Phipps purchased a large site to form a Chloe Foods
site at 3301 Atlantic Avenue, which had been blight
on this part of the neighborhood for many years;
prior to that it had been an employer and an
important part of the community. As a result of the
rezoning, we should be able to build over 1,000
apartments on this site; every one of these
apartments will be low-income or very low-income or
extremely low-income, serving people at 60, 50, 40,
and 30% of AMI. We'll also have about 100,000 sq.
ft. of retail and community facility use on the

,

ground floor for job creation and to provide needed services.

Without the rezoning, certainly this
level of impact would not be possible and as the
site's manufacturing currently, no level of
development would be possible. So we look forward to
this opportunity to provide low-income housing and to
really help change this particular part of this
particular neighborhood and Phipps is looking forward
to working in this neighborhood for many years to
come. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you. And thank you, Phipps; I look forward to working with you and creating a vision for that area of Cypress Hills. It is a large site and I think a lot of good could come out of it; you know, again, the need for fresh supermarkets, the need for community space and for... and even community center spaces; I know the YMCA is looking for places to move into to expand their presence, so I look forward to having those conversations with Phipps and local residents and local organizations.

Just one question for everyone; is it possible, from what you see -- is it feasible to get

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

more affordable units or more affordable rates in this current plan?

MARTIN DUNN: I think those of us that

develop affordable housing are gonna do projects that are 100% affordable and our goal is to do as many of the sites -- I think collectively the affordable housing community's goal is to do as many of the sites as possible. But one of the important parts of mandatory inclusionary, the concept is using the market to cross-subsidize affordable, which we don't do; we're just an affordable housing developer, but the City can only do so much affordable housing with capital subsidy; the City's budget is only providing so much affordable housing for capital subsidies, so we do need opportunities for market rate units to cross-subsidize the creation of affordable units; that's many years away in East New York, but when it finally does happen, it has to be something realistic and so I think you can have a wider range that averages to these numbers, but even at that it's gonna take market rates to jump a super amount, so if you have to wait until market rents are \$3,000 before you see cross-subsidy; what's gonna happen to displacement by the time you hit that rent level? So

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

again, early on, 100% affordable housing is what
we'll do if we can secure a site, but in the longterm, the City has such a high need for affordable
housing that you have to have feasible cross-

6 subsidies.

2.2

2.3

I do agree with anyone who's said we've gotta raise income so more people can afford it, absolutely. We set a minimum wage of \$15 an hour on all of our construction jobs so everyone who worked on Livonia Commons could afford to live there.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: And did I hear correctly in your testimony; you said that Dunn Development is looking to purchase sites in the rezone area?

MARTIN DUNN: Yes, we have been working...

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MARTIN}}$$ DUNN: and we do hope to do all affordable deals.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay.

MARTIN DUNN: We were also looking for sites before the rezoning along Pitkin Avenue and Atlantic Avenue; on Pitkin Avenue in particular, done multiple sites on Pitkin; because of the subway, it's expensive to build on Pitkin and nothing was feasible

1

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

even to do affordable housing without rezonings. As you know, the most recent project on Pitkin required a rezoning to make even affordable housing feasible and I think that's important.

MEREDITH MARSHALL: Okay. My firm

actually does low-income and mixed-income and market rate housing through the city and other jurisdictions and we find the same thing Martin finds in East New York and the Bronx and to a less extent Bed-Stuy, which has now changed, but 10 years when we built a mixed-income in Bedford-Stuyvesant, no one thought that you could be even \$2,000 for a two-bedroom apartment; now you can get \$3,000; at the time we advocated along with City Planning to upzone Fulton Street Corridor and we had a lot of pushback from residents; some people wanted more affordable housing; some people didn't, but a lot of folks didn't want increased density and we have a supply imbalance in this city and so without the increase in density, the zoning changes, and MIH, you cannot address that imbalance, so we could max out the City's subsidy for low-income and extremely lowincome housing, but if you look at the push for 30% of AMI, I think that takes you up from \$20,000 to the

high 20s and 60% of AMI caps a family of four at \$51,000; even, admittedly, in East New York where the median income I think is \$33,000, you will exclude a lot of people at 30% of AMI and even at 60% of AMI, as Martin alluded to, we have many families that are over income and are disqualified from the lottery. So I think we need to push density; I like the NextGen plan; I think we spoke to Councilman Williams about that plan for NYCHA, that we need even more housing on NYCHA sites, but we have a dearth of housing in the city and if you did nothing, gentrification would actually accelerate in East New York; it's not the rezoning that accelerates [inaudible] market forces and where you have transportation you have a movement eastward in Brooklyn that you know, people who make higher incomes are just gravitating to those sites and those communities.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: This is one of the last neighborhoods that are affordable in Brooklyn and I think it's important that we try to capture as much as we can here in this plan and make sure that Brooklyn continues being the very soulful

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

place... the people who live there actually who made
Brooklyn so soulful, so.

,

2.2

2.3

MEREDITH MARSHALL: Absolutely. I mean we started in Fort Greene 17 years ago, as I mentioned in my comments, in 1998 and it was hard for us to rent up apartments and we were selling, for sale units then; it was hard to see units at \$180,000 for a two-bedroom apartment and now Bedford-Stuyvesant, you can't find those numbers and we're doing work in East New York but we actually had to move to Queens to actually buy viable affordable sites in Jamaica, Queens because East New York is too expensive for us to build mixed-income housing, even with substantial subsidies.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: I just wanna thank Brooklyn A for all the work you do; I know you run a satellite kind of office out of my office and your attorneys are very helpful, so thank you. I think Council Member Barron has a question...

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Yes, thank you; I wanna thank... [crosstalk]

 $\label{eq:council_member_espinal:} \mbox{and Jumaane}$ after.

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: thank the panel for coming and yes, the housing that has been built in East New York by Dunn and company is 99% affordable to the people who presently live there; that's why we move forward in that kind of way. I don't think that -- as we're talking about Phipps building about 1,000 units of affordable housing at 60 and below, if we can find two more sites -- I think someone has talked about acquisition, what is the City doing -- if we can find two more sites and build 1,000 each on those two sites, we would meet the 3,000 which is earmarked in this plan for affordable housing, so I'd like to offer that as a solution to get these 3,000 units of affordable housing for people who presently live in East New York. And I wanna thank Mr. Bryan for the outstanding work that you do, assisting people who are in foreclosure and whose mortgages have been almost stolen from them and wanna comment you and work with you as we go forward. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: 'Kay, thank you all for your test... oh... oh, Council Member Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir; much appreciate it.

_

2.2

Thank you to the panel; I know a number of you for quite some time through a lot of your work; I appreciate it.

Back to the AMIs. I did wanna just repeat the question again, and you can answer them both, because you looked at the MIH and you're looking at this; is there an ability to go deeper that is reasonable? And I wanted to ask also, in you opinion if HPD -- I like the ELLA program; I really like her, 'cause she's good, but is there a way to do another kind of termsheet and program that you think is feasible to get to 30%, because right now the program doesn't exist, right, so can we get -- I mean more of the 30%; I think we're stuck at 10 at 30?

Just in your opinion those two questions.

MARTIN DUNN: Well I think you can do
more at the deeper levels if you do more at a little
bit higher levels, again, not 130% AMI, but if you
did -- for every one you did at 30 you did one at 90,
you know you're still... the projects are more
sustainable. One of the challenges; a 30% AMI I rent
for a one-bedroom apartment is \$395; it only covers a
portion of the operating costs; forget the cost to
build it and so it's such a deep subsidy, and again,

1 2 since there's such demand across AMI levels, we had 3 as many people over income from Community Board 5 as 4 under income, right; as many people made too much as made too little and so you can... the averaging is a good solution and I think what you would see, since 6 you know the ELLA termsheet requires so many units already at the lower level; if you had units or, 70, 8 80, 90% of median adding in, it would increase the overall affordability. So I think that's feasible, 10 11 but having projects where you can't even support without rental subsidies, the operating expenses, 12 much less -- that's not sustainable for the long-13 14 term; forget building it up front; it's not 15 sustainable for the long-term, the cash flow [inaudible] 'cause you know, trends downward over 16 17 time.

MEREDITH MARSHALL: Yeah. I'm actually not a fan of 30% of AMI levels without a long-term operating subsidy, for the points that Martin made; it's just unsustainable and we have a lot of 30% of AMI units; you have to cross-subsidize those units for higher rents, but also, if you look again at the median rents, a lot of East New York would be precluded from those 30% units and we look, you know,

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

4

S

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

2.3

24

25

just... and [inaudible] at a few other markets and a lot of the 30% AMI units are residents in NYCHA developments who aren't at risk of being displaced. So if you take those out, what is the real median income of people who really need housing; I think most of those units are above 30% of AMI.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So... go ahead.

MARTIN DUNN: I was gonna add something about household size. I think it's important to look at who's applying for housing from East New York. Of all the applications we got from Community Board 5, which again was about 1 in 8 renter households applied, 68% were one- and two-person households and 41% were one-person households; there's a huge demand from single people and two-person households for housing and we're not building enough smaller units. Only 14% of the households that applied -- and we had more three-bedrooms than studios or we had more of the two and three's than the studios and one, but only 14% of people that applied from East New York had households of four people or more and so I think we also need not just to look at the AMIs, but look at what unit size we're building; we have lots of young people that can't move out of their parents'

houses and you have a lot of older people, seniors that need affordable units that are looking for studios or one-bedrooms.

interesting, 'cause I think most places feel like we need a lot bigger units, places for families to grow; we don't see too many four-bedrooms; we need three-bedrooms, so it's interesting that you say that, but if we followed that logic, wouldn't it stagnate a community because they wouldn't be able to grow if we only had one-bedroom apartments?

MARTIN DUNN: I'm not saying just build one-bedrooms; I'm saying we need to build more smaller units. I think what you see in the market is that a lot of the family size units are taken up by roommate situations. I think actually in East Brooklyn about 40% of households are one-person households, but we have a tiny number of studio apartments, so you have three, four, five roommates renting the larger apartment, three- and four-bedroom apartments, it's hard for families to compete with roommates renting those where you're bringing three or four incomes into them. So I think building more studios and one-bedrooms would -- I think people

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

242 prefer their own units and if you had an affordable unit of your own instead of being with a bunch of roommates, I think it's gonna free up a lot of family size units.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And then lastly, just based on what you were saying; looking at the East New York plan as it is now and the MIH plan; have we cross-subsidized as much as we could, in your opinion, to go as deep as we can and make it a viable project and proposal? And also, can we revise, in your opinion, the ELLA spreadsheet or another spreadsheet to do exactly what you're saying?

MARTIN DUNN: I think for the MIH option, I think it's already a deep requirement for crosssubsidy. The termsheets could subsidize further, it's just more dollar per project and so I'd have to say that's not a decision for me; it's a decision for the City about how many units do you build versus how deeply affordable they are, right, so it's the same pot of money and again, that's not for me. But yes, you could require more deep affordability in Citysubsidized deals by putting in more subsidy if they would reduce the number of units, and that's a hard trade-off; I think that's always been the trade-off

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:

I got it.

24

25

but...

MEREDITH MARSHALL: Right, so I mean... so that's the main issue with ELLA. But I would say this though, you know at some point rents will appreciate based on demand and then you could trade tax exemptions; you don't have a ta... [background comments] a 421-a tax abatement now, but you could sort of trade the tax incentives with density to sort of give you a better mix, but right now I don't see the upper tier rents being sufficient enough to cross-subsidize at 30% AMI level in East New York.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank...

[crosstalk]

MARTIN DUNN: Yeah and when 421-a is overlaid, especially if you go to the averaging, you're gonna end up with more units, right; if 421-a requires units at one level and you're going to broader levels, you'll get deeper affordability than 421-a. And again, raising the wages, so for a 40% AMI two-bedroom you need to make \$12.00 an hour and for a one-bedroom you need to make \$10.00 an hour; if we can raise wages, it eliminates or most significantly eliminates the need for the 30% AMI units.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Can you repeat 3 that again, at 40%...

MARTIN DUNN: Yeah, so to afford a 40% AMI two-bedroom you need to make \$12.00 an hour working 40 hours a week and you need to make \$10.00 an hour to afford a 40% AMI one-bedroom. Actually, when I hear the discourse about 40% AMI is not low enough, people keep talking about the maximum; what matters is the minimum; it doesn't matter how much you can make, it matters how much you need to make and so for a 40% AMI studio you need to make \$19,200; for a one-bedroom you need to make \$20,500; for a two-bedroom you need to make \$24,700. So yes and for a three-bedroom \$28,500, so people talk about 40% AMI and say wow, it's 40% AMI, you can make up to, you know, \$40,000; that's too much, but you only need to make \$24,000, so it's affordable at \$24,000 and so you know...

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: For a single person?

22 MARTIN DUNN: No...

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Yeah.

MARTIN DUNN: for a two-bedroom. The minimum incomes are based on the rent; not household

The minimum is based on rent; not household size.

So

by 30% to get to the level, when there's actually a

[background comments] Emily Van Ingen, Coalition for

3 and Coalition for Community Advancement. Alrighty

and Adrien Weibgen from Urban Justice Center. And 4

I'll just ask you all to state your name for the

record and which organization you're representing and 6

7 you may begin.

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SHAI LAUROS: Shai Lauros, Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation. I have laryngitis, so maybe bear with me with a couple extra seconds.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: You guys may wanna disinfect the mic.

[laughter]

SHAI LAUROS: Good afternoon esteemed Council Members. My name is Shai Lauros and I'm the Community Development Director at Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation. Our organization, CHLDC is a proud member of the Coalition for Community Advancement; we're a 32-year-old not-for-profit community development corporation and settlement house, with many concerns about the housing development and preservation aspects of the rezoning plan.

First on the centerpiece of the plan, the 6,500 units of housing built with 50% being

affordable and 50% at market rate does not adequately
address the dire housing needs of current residents.

Given the average AMI of East New York is just

\$34,000 a year, market rate housing is tantamount to

6 luxury housing; almost no one can afford it.

2.2

2.3

Second, we have serious doubts that HPD can produce the 50% affordable units that they have promised in the plan.

Third, HPD's definition of affordability does not match what current East New Yorkers can afford for housing.

Lastly, HPD's housing plan lacks specific and committed resources, staff, targets, and timetables to preserve housing in the community.

Cypress Hills and East New York residents are not opposed to increased density if the resulting housing is affordable to them; however, this is not the case with the administration's 50% affordable and 50% market rate ratio. In the rezoning area alone there are 5,246 households, representing 40% of all households with severe housing needs defined as [sic] those entering the shelter system, paying more than 50% of their income on housing or who are living doubled up.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2 Further, the City's plan overstates a 3 number of affordable units likely to be generated by 4 the rezone, relying too heavily on sites that have not been identified and the use of HPD subsidies that are voluntary and do not offer permanent 6 7 affordability. So far only 1,200 units of the promised 3,250 affordable units have been sited and 8 committed; that means the administration is upzoning to allow more than four times the amount of higher-10 11 income housing as they guarantee deeply affordable 12 housing. Land speculation in the community has 13 driven up the prices beyond what affordable housing 14 developers can afford for acquisition and still make 15 the numbers work, even with the density increases 16 between 100-200%. If the City does not have the 17 projects now in the affordable pipeline, we strongly 18 urge the Council to reduce the overall scope of the 19 rezoning; even the housing that will be subsidized by 20 HPD and produced through MIH will not reach those 21 most at risk of displacement; a third of East New York residents, 33%, earn under 30% of AMI, but only 2.2 2.3 10% of the units to be built with HPD subsidies will be affordable to these residents and MIH presently 24 does not include a lower affordability band. 25

_

The administration has repeatedly stated that by increasing the overall supply of housing in East New York it relieves rent pressures and prevents displacement of the 12,635 low- and moderate-income residents living in small homes in the rezone area; that's not how New York City real estate works and everyone in this city knows it, but more specifically, we challenge the argument on other grounds; [bell] if low- and moderate-income residents cannot afford the market rate units and more than a third cannot afford the affordable units or if these residents are not lucky enough to secure units through the lottery system, then the rezoning will not have served as a preventative measure for displacement; it will instead have hastened it.

The HPD housing plan produced five months ago for the community includes numerous big picture strategies for preserving housing and promoting local economic development, but there are no specific details included in the plan as to the targeted number of units to be preserved, jobs to be created or small businesses to be retained. The plan needs quantifiable targets, funding, staff, and timetables.

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Lastly, the Coalition for Community Advancement has been advocating for the vast majority 3 of the new units, 5,000 units, to be affordable at 4 current neighborhood income and affordability bands; we have also proposed desperately needed small homes 6 7 preservation strategies. We implore you, the members of the City Council, our elected representatives who 8 most understand the needs of struggling East New Yorkers to take action now and not approve the 10 11 rezoning plan without significant changes to increase the number of affordable units, deepen the depth of 12 13 affordability and add a robust small homes 14 preservation plan. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

REBECCA GILLMAN CRIMMINS: Hi, my name is Rebecca Gillman Crimmins; I'm a Project Manager at CHLDC, working on developing affordable housing in Cypress Hills and East New York. Thank you for the opportunity to testify in front of the Council today.

We want to express our concerns with the inclusion of Arlington Village site in the rezoning of the Cypress Hills/East New York neighborhood. We support the Coalition for Community Advancement's demand to cut out Arlington Village from the East New

York rezone plan. This site is of extreme importance
to the community in that it is the single largest
development parcel in the neighborhood, a lot size of
310,000 sq. ft., with a total buildable area of
329,588 sq. ft. current allowable under existing

8 increased 854,992 sq. ft. would be allowable, for a

zoning. Under the proposed East New York rezoning an

9 total buildable development of 1,184,580 sq. ft. The

10 site is a critical location; it's located on Atlantic

11 Avenue, which is centrally sited and on the largest

12 | thoroughfare in the neighborhood to be upzoned on

13 Atlantic Avenue.

1

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Given the many years of disinvestment in the property and the community by the previous owner, the infamous Rita Stark, and given its sale to the also infamous speculative developer Bluestone, providing additional density on this site averts what in any other neighborhood would require a developer to go through an extensive public review process and to make commitments to the neighborhood in the form of, for example, a community benefits agreement or similar. Evading this process makes the City not only complicit in the actions of this developer, which so far has included illegal renovation and

harassment of tenants, but actually rewards them for their speculative behavior based on the assumption of easily obtaining additional density without any consideration as to how expansive the critical site and should contribute to the needs of the neighborhood. We must address what is wrong with our city that a slumlord can earn almost \$30 million off the backs of low-income tenants of this neighborhood and pursuantly a developer can afford the

construction of any significant quantity of

affordable housing.

2.2

2.3

This is where the government must step in now to ensure that what the market does not provide but what the public needs actually happens. Perhaps there should be a policy on any FAR increase on sites over a certain very large square footage threshold over which an increased amount of affordable housing is required; say 50% affordable housing and we'll call this East New York MIH Option 2. The stakes are simply too high to allow the City to award extensive FAR benefits to the site without any prerequisites to meet the needs of the community by way of affordability and other neighborhood needs as expressed by the community.

The City has said that cutting the developer out means that they will build without any affordability and the damage will be worse; however, the numbers paint a different picture, such that, one, the developer will not build the approximately 450 units now at market rate that is allowable with the existing zoning if the site is cut out because the current market rate of the neighborhood will not cover the cost of construction and the debt required to finance that development. Under MIH [bell] only 25% of the units will be affordable, so the gains to the site and the developer are tremendous and yet the community would only receive an estimated 207 units of housing at 60% of AMI. To be clear; the negative impact of the other 621 units of luxury housing added to the neighborhood do not justify the 207 units mostly unaffordable to the community. Lastly, in fact, the damage is not worse if the site is cut out; the damage is worse if the site is kept in the rezoning plan. The major significance of the Arlington Village site requires a real site-specific public review process. Thank you very much for your time.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

EMILY VAN INGEN: Good afternoon. My name is Emily Van Ingen and I'm the Director of Programs for the Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation, a member of the Coalition for Community Advancement and I will testify today on the impact that the rezoning will have a community that I care deeply about and have dedicated the last 20 years of my life to.

We're urging you to vote no on the City's

East New York plan unless it's the Coalition's

alternative plan.

One of the reasons we urge you to vote no is based on the deep concern we have about the depths of affordability articulated in the Mayor's plan.

What is included in the Mayor's plan does not go far or deep enough for the current residents of our community. Two-thirds of the residents living in the East New York zoning area are rent-burdened, severely rent-burdened, overcrowded or homeless. In an effort to respond to our current needs and prepare for the future, we're advocating for the creation of 5,000 deeply and permanently affordable housing units to be created that are aligned with Community Board 5's AMI numbers; not the New York City AMI figures.

2.2

2.3

The Coalition recognizes and appreciates that the City has already included in the East New York plan a commitment to build one new school building that would accommodate approximately 1,000 students; as we heard earlier, that's not enough; it does not satisfy the need for adequate education facilities in the community. The East New York zoning area, especially on the north side of East New York, is already facing overcrowded schools and this is before an estimated 24,000 new residents move into the neighborhood.

CHLDC has been the lead agency of the Cypress Hills/East New York Beacon at the IS 302 campus since 1993. Our Beacon Program serves over 1,100 community residents in over 20 different programs and includes and ACS-sponsored foster care prevention program that supports 45 families at risk of losing their children to the foster care system. The IS 302 building, which is at the heart of the community and the heart of the rezone plan is already facing serious space challenges with three schools crammed into the campus that could result in the elimination of our Beacon Program.

We're urging the City to develop a plan that includes committing to the construction of additional school buildings as well as a comprehensive plan to deal with the current overcrowding situation in our local schools and allows us to maintain critical community services.

And I would note, we're also deeply concerned about the lack of participation by the DOE and the SCA in both the community meetings and these hearings.

Lastly, the Coalition is urging you to vote no unless the alternative plan is accepted because we're deeply concerned about the tremendous threat to tenant displacement. The City says that the rezone would not result in a significant adverse direct residential displacement impact; we completely disagree. We have already begun to see an increase in tenants coming to our housing office because their landlords have either dramatically increased their rents or told them they need to move out. We've already begun to see land prices rise significantly and homeowners receiving numerous calls, letters, flyers; door knocks offering all cash to buy their homes; this is all before the rezone is even

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

finalized; we anticipate this activity only increasing in intensity. The Coalition has created a comprehensive list of anti-displacement strategies, incentives to keep rents low, disinvestments and speculation; an anti-harassment district. [bell] I'm urging you to vote no.

PAULA CRESPO: Hello, good afternoon. am Paula Crespo; I'm a Planner at the Pratt Center for Community Development and one of several technical assistance providers to the Coalition for Community Advancement.

The community resources and facilities that exist in East New York today, things like schools, child care centers, spaces for youth, etc., are at overcapacity and are not sufficient in number to meet the needs of the current population. rezoning is designed to lead to housing for tens of thousands of new residents in the neighborhood; however, there is nothing in the plan that outlines a strategy or policy to ensure that as these new residents come to the area community facilities will be built to support them. We can't just naively assume that enough school seats and child care slots will be created to meet the needs of a growing

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

community. Downtown Brooklyn is only one example of a rezone neighborhood whose recent residential growth has placed serious strains on existing infrastructure like school seats.

Therefore, provisions should be established in the zoning to ensure that commitments to build needed infrastructure, amenities and space for community facilities are binding and will be fulfilled over the multi-year course of the residential build-out in East New York. The City should consider mapping a special area-wide zoning tool onto the rezoning area to accomplish this goal; this could include but is not limited to a special purpose district or a newly designed density growth management area. Zoning could require that developers of housing over a certain size get a CPC certification that would either state that: a. existing community facilities are adequate and can absorb the new residential development, or b. that the developer will be granted additional FAR or developable space for the creation of a community facility. The developer would then be required to use that extra FAR to build out a space for a community facility and they would be paid the fair

262

market value for it; as such, it would not be a 2 developer exaction.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Since the City is deploying zoning as a tool to meet its ambitious housing creation goals, it should also be using zoning to deliver the community facilities that existing and new residents will need. This is not a radical or a new idea; there is ample precedent in the New York City Zoning Resolution to privilege or any mandate very specific desired uses.

Given the magnitude of the impact on communities that don't have enough community facilities, the modest amount of time that DCP would have to spend to assess if there are enough community facilities before letting new residential development move forward would be time well spent.

Finally, we also recommend that the City use a tool called a PILOT or a payment in lieu of taxes to dedicated fund to pay for [bell] developing community facilities. As a technical assistance provider to the Coalition, I strongly urge the City to genuinely and meaningfully consider using the power of zoning to ensure that the build-out of community facilities keeps up with the significant

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 263 increase in population that this rezoning is intended

3 to spur. Thank you.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

ADRIEN WEIBGEN: Good afternoon, my name is Adrien Weibgen; I'm a Staff Attorney at the Urban Justice Center Community Development Project and working with the Coalition for Community Advancement.

CDP shares the City's desire to create more affordable housing in East New York, but we have grave concerns about the City's plans to upzone East New York without any quarantees that it will meet its goals that at least half of the housing is affordable. Inviting the construction of many more apartments that current residents cannot afford will not help to alleviate their housing crisis because this is not a supply and demand issue where the benefits trickle down. Either the housing meets the needs of current residents or it does not and there is too much doubt in the current plan. The City has to do more to ensure that a greater share of what is built is deeply affordable; do not open the flood gates of market rate housing in East New York because this is a move that will not meet local needs, that

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

will increase the risk of displacement and that can never be undone.

The City estimates that the rezoning will produce over 6,000 new apartments and it is trying to make over half of those affordable, but the City does not have a concrete realistic way to get to the number of affordable apartments it has promised. has committed to subsidizing just 1,200 units so far, which is less than a fifth of the total; Mandatory Inclusionary Housing can't get you the rest of the way there to that halfway number, so the City would have to subsidize almost 1,000 more units to meet the goal of half affordable, but the City has not said where or when these units will be built exactly and it hasn't put a firm timeline on when they think that developers will want to stop taking subsidies, but one thing that they have said numerous times; the only number they have put on is to say that we think that most developers will need to take subsidies in the first two years of the plan. They are talking about a 15-year plan that allows for over 6,000 units to be built and they only have a two-year financing plan. Not doing a significant upzoning today does not mean that you can't bring further density to East

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

New York in the future; it does mean that you wouldn't irresponsibly open the door to market rate housing without a clear vision of how you are going to make more of the units affordable to the current residents.

The second fundamental problem with the City's plans is that the affordability levels simply don't match the current neighborhood need; as many others have noted, Mandatory Inclusionary Housing would require a quarter of units to be affordable at an average of 60% AMI, although it's true that to get that average, developers could make lower rent units -- the obvious converse of that is that they could not and they don't have to make those lower rent units, 'cause the only requirement that is currently in MIH is that they make a quarter of the units affordable at 60% AMI, which adds up to households making over \$50,000 a year, which is well above the local median income of \$34,000 a year for a family of four.

One important point to remember is that MIH is the forever plan, whereas subsidies are the near-future plan, so when developers come here and say that it's not possible to make more units

_

2.3

affordable at 30% AMI under MIH, [bell] that isn't true in the future, because MIH imagines a very different market condition than exists in East New York today and it is feasible under the City's own analysis to have an MIH option which sets aside 20% of units at 30% AMI and that's a forever plan for East New York that could guarantee that more units are available at the current income levels.

to meeting the neighborhood need, but close enough isn't good enough and the question isn't whether this plan is better than what Bloomberg did in the past; the question is whether this is the best plan that we can do now for East New York and the answer to that question is no. Similarly, the question isn't whether the administration has talked to the Coalition and community members numerous times, and we do commend people for doing that, but whether they have actually listened to the Coalition and taken elements of their plan as part of the formal plan, and to a great degree the answer to that question is no as well.

In HPD-subsidized projects, just a quarter of apartments will be affordable to families

2 earning up to the local median income, so as a 3 reminder, so far HPD has guaranteed that they would subsidize 1,200 units and that is all they can so far 4 guarantee; if a quarter of them are available at or below the local median income, that means that of the 6 7 6,000 plus apartments the administration is talking 8 about building, so far they are only quaranteeing that 300 of them will be available at or below the local AMI; that is simply not enough; the risk of 10 11 allowing that many units to be built with a reward for 300 units, doesn't make sense for this community. 12 The City should drive down the rent levels in the 13 14 projects that it subsidizes, which would require 15 increased money and as Ismene from MHANY pointed out, that might mean the overall number of units is lower, 16 17 but in terms of meeting the need of the current 18 residents and not inviting a greater risk of 19 displacement; that is what people would prefer; they would sooner have fewer units that meet their needs 20 and don't increase the risk of displacement than the 21 City's neighborhood economic diversity strategy, 2.2 2.3 which will only fuel the fire of gentrification. East New York does not need additional thousands of 24 units of market rate apartments; as we all know, it 25

already has a very significant amount of unregulated units that could go up in price overnight; it doesn't

4 need more.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Gonna ask you to wrap up.

ADRIEN WEIBGEN: Yes, sorry. So in closing, so the City should invest additional money to drive the income [sic] levels down in the projects that it subsidizes and if it's not able to commit to subsidizing a greater share of units; it should simply cut the size of the rezoning so that the share of affordable units relative to market is greater. And finally, East New York is not just a means to the end of achieving the Mayor's housing plan; it is a community with needs of its own that this rezoning plan should meet; the rezoning should be for the people of East New York or it should not be at all. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: What would you say to the panel that went up before you who Council Member Williams raised the question on the 30% AMI, and I think they raised concerns about continuous operating funding to maintain the actual units?

ADRIEN WEIBGEN: So I am a lawyer, not an affordable housing developer, so I would defer this question to the affordable housing developer's detail.

SHAI LAUROS: I'll take that question.

There's a couple different ways to provide subsidies, but it is about subsidy. I don't know what exactly the budget is going to be this year for the City, but it's in the order of \$80 billion and fiscal priorities are set, including by the City Council, for how that money's spent and we've all seen waste in every City agency and different types of funding, so there's a question about how you allocate subsidy and this being an affordable housing plan, or really a growth plan, right, 'cause the 1,200 units are on two sites; we have many avenues.

So there's federal subsidies, there's state subsidies, there's city subsidies, there's city funding; there's also even developer fees; it's been put before us. Is Ismene [sic] still here? Oh.

Well she has said publicly previously that there are developers who will take on development projects for a smaller percentage of the developer fee. I don't wanna get into the complexity of underwriting, but

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 270
there are many places within which to look; however,

I do agree with Meredith that it does require long-

term operating and maintenance subsidy.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you for your honest answer. Okay, I'm gonna go to Council Member Espinal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you all again for coming here; thank you for your advocacy.

My first question is regarding MIH. You brought up that MIH can play different roles in different neighborhoods if the land values are different, so you're saying that MIH in Williamsburg would yield less units, but in East New York you would get more units if MIH was used properly or... [crosstalk]

ADRIEN WEIBGEN: No, sorry. To clarify,

I just meant that Mandatory Inclusionary Housing,

it's a cross-subsidy scheme that only works if the

market in the neighborhood is strong enough to

support that cross-subsidy. So in East New York and

in many other communities where the current market

rents aren't that high, to build at all, for some

time developers will need subsidy, so they will be

taking HPD subsidies, but my point is that MIH is

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

271

what is left after the market is no longer in a place where developers are wanting to take subsidies. what I was referring to is that there should be a deep affordability option within Mandatory Inclusionary Housing and a deep affordability band in every MIH option. So what that band would basically do is -- East New York, currently the MIH plan that they're planning to us is to make a quarter of the units affordable at an average of 60% AMI; it's not changing that fundamental part, but it is saying that within that 60% that you have to have a guaranteed share of deeply affordable units at 30% of AMI. And the effect of this -- if the City did that change alone to this plan and didn't subsidize anything more past the 1,200 units; doing that would dramatically increase the amount of units that are available at 30% AMI or below for the community.

> COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: 'Kay.

SHAI LAUROS: If I could also just add that we still need to provide housing for people at 30% of AMI and below, so it's incumbent upon us to find a way to do so and part of the answer I think really is about exploring whether or not you want to build fewer units at deeper affordability or create

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 272 an East New York termsheet or financing program that would create more units below 30% of AMI, for

4 example.

2.2

2.3

wanna talk about Pitkin-Berriman; it's something that I'm bringing up because it's something I've been informed about just now, over the weekend. Well first of all, it was built on Pitkin Avenue and that pretty much sets the basis on how high the buildings will go on the rest of Pitkin Avenue and two years ago my office actually allocated a million dollars to the Capital Fund, the City's budget, to hit deeper affordability levels...

SHAI LAUROS: Which we're very thankful for, Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you. So my question is; what was the average AMI in that building, 'cause I've heard a number and I just wanna make sure it's correct?

SHAI LAUROS: I mean I can actually give you the precise breakdown. There were seven units at 30% of AMI; nine units at 40% of AMI; nine units at 50% of AMI, and 35 units at 60% of AMI, and the reason why we were able to get to those levels of

2 affordability is because HPD worked with us to

3 | implement the ELLA termsheet; it was originally

4 designed for the previous administration's termsheet,

5 [inaudible], and we were able to be able to do ELLA

6 with that financing gap between those two termsheets

7 | with Section 8 Vouchers.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: So that's how deep you were able to go, exhausting all of your resources... [crosstalk]

SHAI LAUROS: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: and the resources the City had. Okay. So if we used the ELLA termsheet now; what do you think the apartments would like today?

SHAI LAUROS: Well this is with the ELLA termsheet, all of those units and that breakdown is the ELLA termsheet, but if we didn't have the Section 8 Vouchers, it would not be financially feasible to develop that project.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL:

ADRIEN WEIBGEN: Can I just add one quick point? So that's another reason why having a deep affordability band within MIH that meets the 30% AMI level is so important because it's actually very

2.2

difficult for affordable housing developers that are making a 100% building to reach a significant number of units at or below 30% AMI, but by having a deep affordability MIH band which would then exist in every neighborhood that's rezoned and every site rezoning in wealthier areas and that sort of thing, like you'd be able to create a pool of units at or below 30% AMI, which would otherwise -- it does require a lot of subsidy.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. I'm gonna continue pushing for deeper affordability; I'm just a little conflicted internally because I remember that project being a success for the neighborhood and we were touting how great this is gonna be, but the AMI levels aren't as low as we're all talking or asking for.

SHAI LAUROS: Yeah, it's as low as we can go right now.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. Thank you; appreciate it. And thank you, Emily, for all the work you do on behalf of The Beacon; wanna make sure it stays in 302, so if DOE's listening, do not push The Beacon out of the neighborhood.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Cypress Hills does support the idea of having legalized basements in the neighborhood?

SHAI LAUROS: Yes, legalized basements that are safe and healthy and... [crosstalk]

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: I do ... I do ... I do too, if that was your question. [sic]

SHAI LAUROS: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: 'Kav.

SHAI LAUROS: And you actually cannot proceed with many other types of programming unless you legalize basements. For example, some of the other City agencies are talking about solar, rooftop solar; you would never be able to work with DOB and get that approved if you have an illegal basement. And similarly, there's likely about 500 sq. ft. that's; very far away from a boiler, hot water tank, etc., so there are safe ways to be able to do that.

The concept of the third unit where you end up with a three-plus unit, multi-family dwelling, which is different than the one- to two-unit; one of the things that that requires is a different type of stair; you can't have a wooden staircase; you would have to a steel staircase. So these are things that are addressable within a refinancing program where you take advantage of what the square footage needs are of the homeowner, especially for example an elderly single person and then to be able to divide up that home in a way where you could have rental

which is why it's important that we look at

25

legalizing these basements 'cause there are so many programs out there that can help our homeowners, but they can't access or they're afraid to use them...

5 [crosstalk]

2.2

2.3

SHAI LAUROS: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: because of ...

SHAI LAUROS: Right, it's like tens of thousands of people, if not more; I can't remember the precise number, unfortunately; I gave it in a previous testimony.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Right. You also run foreclosure help in your office; correct?

SHAI LAUROS: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Do you know what -- like what's the reason that people are falling behind on their mortgages, like what's the top reason? Is it because they don't have a job; is it because their tenants are not paying enough rent; is it because the water rates are too high; what would you say is like the number one or the top two reasons?

EMILY VAN INGEN: It's a couple of things, but the top one is loss of income and then the second I would say is followed by either medical

of the plan? No. Okay. Just state... say your name

and then you can say your testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

2.3

24

25

SHAMONA KIRKLAND: Okay. My name is Shamona Kirkland; I am an active member of the People First Democratic Club located in East New York. here today to say that [inaudible] some of the things that are on the Mayor's plan today. In looking at the plan, they talk of an increase in health care; whereas I think that is a great idea, I think it's important that the health care fits the needs of the community. We need a hospital in our community that is focused on oncology and diabetes because we have a high population of community members who are suffering from these illnesses and there is no specialized hospital for them to go to. A lot of the community members have to go to Manhattan to get the treatment that they need; we need a hospital in our community that focuses on oncology and diabetic treatment and preventive services for those diseases and making sure that we have high-quality health care for mental health services as well. So I do agree with the health care improvement, but we definitely need something that's specialized in our community.

The other thing that I would like to

address today is the importance of the 1,000 seats in

education; that is a great opportunity, but with the

_ .

increase of 25,000 residents with the density increase, we need more seats in education; we need more options for the community and the family members who will be coming in to the East New York community.

And I don't see in the plan any plans on higher learning, there is no talk of a university or a college facility for families to increase their education or to get job training to be able to increase the economic issues that we have in the East New York community.

Some of the other things that I would like to address is the lack of information on the middle income of housing where the families -- yeah, we need affordable housing in the community, but you do have family members who don't fit in those income brackets that live in the community and need to be able to stay in the East New York community as well; there is no real talk of that; we need to improve that in the plan before it is approved. Thank you.

DANIXA CARR: Hi, my name is Danixa Carr and I'm also an active member of the People First

Democratic Club in East New York and I'm a young 20something who -- I'm a lifelong New Yorker, but you know, is grateful to come back to East New York to

24

25

2 find housing, but I feel that contrary to the points 3 that were made earlier, a lot of my neighbors aren't 4 roommates, they are families working hard and they need options as well. I agree, for example, that there's 25% of housing set aside for low-income 6 families, but 30% would better address the need because the average income in East New York is 8 between \$33-36,000 and 25% will, you know, effectively shut out those 5% who are vying for those 10 11 available housing and I like also the \$36 million set aside for tenant protection, but this information 12 13 needs to be easily disseminated in the neighborhood 14 and in the different languages, such as Bengali, 15 Spanish; Chinese to better get that information out 16 there and to make sure that all residents understand 17 and that it should be placed in resource centers, 18 libraries so that when people in the neighborhood are 19 looking for these resources they're able to find them 20 because not everyone has access to Wi-Fi; not 21 everyone can understand the internet and if this 2.2 information is readily available, at least tenants 2.3 can know their rights.

Also, as far as middle-income housing, it's good that HPD is creating more than 12,000 units

2.2

2.3

for affordable housing, but there are some in the
area, for example, who can afford the housing, but
they can't pass the credit check and there needs to
be resources for them to improve their credit in
order to meet their standards so that they're not
pushed out by someone who has the credit and has the
income, versus they have the income, but not the
credit.

And also, I like the fact that, for example, for housing units of less than 10 are being built in the, I believe in the corridor between Fulton Street and Atlantic Avenue, but the problem with that is that under the current plan if developers build units with less than 10 apartments, they don't have to set aside any for affordable housing and that's not fair to the residents of East New York and so for a young working person like myself who's looking to start a family and expand, but I can't do that if [bell] that is not done.

MAYFIELD GODDARD-ADETIMIRIN: Hi, good afternoon. My name is Mayfield Goddard-Adetimirin and I'm a People's First Democratic Club member also.

I'm a homeowner; I live on Wyona Street, between

Fulton and Atlantic; I'm the president of my block

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I've lived in the neighborhood for the association. past 25 years; my husband and I have raised three sons in that area. We lived in East New York when East New York wasn't cool, okay, we lived in East New York when people refused to say they were living in East New York; they call it Cypress Hills, especially on our side, because people were ashamed of what was going on, but now our neighborhood has become the hotbed. My eldest son went to University of Hartford to school and I lost him to Connecticut; he found a beautiful woman and they got married and they settled in Connecticut because although I own a three-family, it's not feasible for me to have my children living there when I have to pay a mortgage. So right now I'm about, this year and our older son gonna have... we're gonna have our first grandchild and it's gonna be a long distance relationship because my son cannot afford to live anywhere close to where we live.

I do like a lot of aspects about the plan

-- the beautification, the green spaces, Highland

Park; my husband and I walk in Highland Park and

exercise in Highland Park; we love the tree lines on

Atlantic Avenue -- these are things we always wanted;

this is nothing new to us; we always wanted to live

285

2 | this way; we always wanna live in these standards.

3 My block is a mixed block, we have an apartment

4 | building on the block, but most of it are homeowners.

5 We love the safety that will come in with the tree-

6 lined streets and the median because anyone who lives

7 | in that area knows Atlantic Avenue; a lot of people

8 lost their lives on that avenue.

I like the fact that as a homeowner my property may be valued a little bit higher, but that's a double-edged sword. Okay, not only here I may be getting more, but in the interim, 'cause I have no intentions of selling any time in the near future, I'm gonna be stuck with taxes. I like the idea of the new business development because I'm even lookin' into something for myself and I'm likin' what I see in the plan for women and other benefits that would be for me.

Some of my concerns are the watchdog agencies that are gonna be entrusted with a lot of the implementation, you know, there are tax breaks; right now we have the STAR Program and I do get that credit, but will that program credit go up as my property taxes go up? I see there's gonna be a water

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

bill credit. Suddenly in our area our water bill
jumped [bell] to a crazy level without explanation.

You know my two younger children are still in college; I have one at University of Rochester; I have one at SUNY Courtland; that's not cheap; I pay, 'cause we're so-called middle-class, so we get no breaks, I pay full tuition for both of my children.

about is good; makin' basements legal, but as the prior speaker said, we're concerned about those loans; what are the stipulations that come with those loans; what homeowners' rights do I lose and I have to give up to get a low-interest loan? Will I be able to say who comes in my property; who goes out my property? So there's so much fears, you know I love the solar energy idea; I wanna improve my home, but I'm scared of, you know, what is the implication of getting one of these so-called loans? Up front it looks great, but what is the backlash?

We would love to have a respectable grocery store in our area; I don't wanna have to keep buyin' my groceries from Fairway in the city and Whole Goods in the city, because when I buy from my

Thank you;

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

neighborhood grocery, why do they spoil in two days but my salad at Whole Foods goes for two weeks? Something is wrong that the same lookin' tomato from Fairway and from Whole Goods have a longer shelf life...

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: My milk does the same thing.

MAYFIELD GODDARD-ADETIMIRIN:

you live in the area, and I'm saying, what's going on, I buy fruits in the city and it's fine and I buy fruits from my neighborhood and in two days, if I don't freeze it so I could do my shakes in the morning, it's a complete loss and that's not fair to the neighborhood. I'm in agreement that we need improvements; nobody's gentrifying us, my parents gentrified me; gentrify means [inaudible]; I was [inaudible] from the way I was raised; we're not sittin' waitin' for anyone to come and gentrify us, we wanted all these things; we wanted better schools, we wanted better streets, we wanted flowers, I plan flowers in my front yard; we, you know have a beautiful block party every year; we do what we can for the neighborhood so we're not waitin' to be gentrified. I'm just saying that we're glad these

things are coming, but I'm just hopin' that there's someone lookin' out for us because nothing in the plans are talking about the middle-class and we wanna be able to preserve what we have. Our lifestyle, it may not be great, but it's what we have. Thank you guys very much for listening.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you so much. Shamona, you used to live in East New York?

SHAMONA KIRKLAND: Yes, I was actually pushed out before the rezoning situation happened...

[crosstalk]

 $\label{eq:mayfield goddard-Adetimirin: Yeah, we} % \begin{center} \begin{center} MAYFIELD GODDARD-ADETIMIRIN: Yeah, we were neighbors. \end{center}$

SHAMONA KIRKLAND: Yeah, I lived in East

New York for four years with my children; was a

strong advocate with Cypress Hills and a lot of

organizations, but I was penalized for getting a job

and improving my life, because once my income

increased I wasn't able to be eligible for subsidized

housing and rent was too high for me to manage on my

own bein' a single mom of two. And so I had to take

my kids our of the East New York schools, they're not

in schools in different neighborhoods and we live in

Canarsie. So I'm actually here as an advocate for

New York, but my fear is that if I want to expand and

have a family, I won't be able to do so.

2.3

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. And you 3 live in a two-three-bedroom home...? [crosstalk]

DANIXA CARR: No, I live in... I live in a one-bedroom; I actually live off of Crescent and Fulton, which is almost the tail end of where the plan is going to be affected. And also one of my other concerns is that I'm afraid that my landlord being, seduced by these outside people to raise rents or even to sell the building is gonna pretty much sell the building and I'm out of a place to live.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. So what

I'm hearing is that no matter what AMI you're in,

we're all facing the same issue in that the rents are

gonna go up to a point where we can't afford it;

right?

SHAMONA KIRKLAND: Right, we need to think of the mixed-income, 'cause you need to be able to have affordable housing 'cause when I first moved to East New York, I was making under \$18,000, so I was given a housing subsidy and there was like these predator landlords who would say, oh, pay me a side deal and your subsidy will be like \$1,000, but they would want you to pay out of pocket about \$400, but after your subsidy is ending, there's no way you can

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 291						
2	pay that high price rent, so you have to be very						
3	strategic in trying to figure out how to pay your						
4	rent. I'm afraid that these types of incidents will						
5	continue as one rent goes up, people are, you know,						
6	desperate for housing and there's no affordable						
7	housing; you'll, you know, make a deal with anyone to						
8	find a roof for your children to have a roof over						
9	their heads, so my fear is that affordable housing						
10	means affordable housing and that affordable housing						
11	also address people that have assets and income as						
12	well, like I shouldn't have to make \$23,000 a year						
13	and not improve in my life to be able to afford to						
14	live in East New York.						
15	COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: So you're a						
16	family of three?						
17	SHAMONA KIRKLAND: I'm a family of three,						
18	yes.						
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: And you can						
20	afford rent between \$1,000 to \$1,500?						
21	SHAMONA KIRKLAND: Right.						
22	COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Alright. Okay.						
23	And the homeowner, I'm very familiar with your story;						

my parents bought a home there over 40 years ago, so

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

I know where you're coming from. Thank you for coming and I hope to visit the block party for some...

4 MAYFIELD GODDARD-ADETIMIRIN: Yeah, July 5 16th.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Got it; put it on my schedule.

Next panel -- Mr. Bill Wilkins, the man from the IBZ; Shekar Krishnan; Samuel Chiera from Brooklyn Legal Services Corporation A; Emily Goldstein, ANHD, Jonathan Furlong from ANHD.

BILL WILKINS: So I'll get started. As it says on the ceiling -- Government of the people, by the people, for the people -- I submit that we need to have more comfortable seating for our gallery for the public, for the people.

My name is Bill Wilkins, Director of

Economic Development for the Local Development

Corporation of East New York; our motto is: Growing

businesses, changing lives, strengthening

communities.

As you know, we were birthed out of industrial and manufacturing businesses over 36 years ago and to this point we were the first industrial BID, first in-place industrial park and empire zone;

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

we are also members of the Coalition for Community

Advancement of East New York; I will testify today on
the impact that rezoning will have on our

manufacturing businesses in the area.

For over three decades the LDCENY has worked successfully and in partnership and collaboration with EDC and SBS to create a vibrant manufacturing district. We most recently have assisted EDC with an industrial business survey last summer; we've held numerous seminars and informational sessions regarding rezoning, advocated for the rehabilitation of Gateway signs in the BID and now we await the rehabilitation of the EDC Industrial Incubator and also the Workforce Solutions Center.

The City has proposed the zoning of almost all manufacturing properties in the rezoning area to be MX zoning that Councilman Reynoso was talking about earlier. These industrial properties are currently zoned M1 and are located on Atlantic and Liberty Avenues; businesses in the current M1 zone include metal fabricators, bakeries, sign makers, and others. We are very concerned about the manufacturing sector in our community, which has long

been the backbone of an otherwise bleak local economy; manufacturing jobs pay an average of \$50,000 annually, good living wage jobs, that East New Yorkers desperately need and deserve. The MX zoning districts being proposed allow for industrial, residential and commercial development, but in 14 other neighborhoods of the city, MX zoning has failed to promote a real mix of uses or to preserve land for manufacturing, as documented by the Pratt Center for Community Development, without exception the MX designation has resulted in a loss of industrial land.

As I close, back in 2006 Mayor Bloomberg commissioned a study of industrial and manufacturing sector; one of the recommendations that came out of the study was to protect and preserve M1-zoned land in New York City; we just don't have inventory available for businesses to expand, [bell] grow or relocate; I therefore hope you will have the courage not to approve the rezoning plan; however, if you do approve the plan, we are in need for funding for the Industrial Relocation Grant Program for East New York companies. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

EMILY GOLDSTEIN: Good afternoon and thank you for staying so long. My name's Emily Goldstein and I'm the Senior Campaign Organizer for the Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development. ANHD is a membership organization of New York City neighborhood-based housing and economic development groups; our mission is to ensure flourishing neighborhoods and decent affordable housing for all New Yorkers.

We're concerned that the majority of the new housing developed based on the current rezoning proposal for East New York will be unaffordable to the majority of people currently living in the neighborhood. I know you've heard a lot on this subject already today; I just want to reiterate that the lowest affordability level actually guaranteed by the current version of Mandatory Inclusionary Housing that's working its way simultaneously through the land use process is 60% of AMI or about \$51,800 per year. Yes, developers do have the option to do income averaging and make units at a lower level, but there is no requirement for that, so those lower level, deeper affordable units have no guarantee.

2.2

2.3

2.2

has committed to create a set number of units using its ELLA program, where 10% of the units would be affordable to families at 30% of AMI, where so much of the local need is; however, that's only 10% of units; that still doesn't match the local area's need and those units are not permanently affordable, nor can you guarantee that developers will choose to come in and use an HPD subsidy program rather than waiting out the market until the market does increase and improve and they're able to do market rate development.

You also can't guarantee, right, HPD can talk about the next couple of years, but we don't know what's gonna happen under a future administration; we don't know what the level of commitment to deeply affordable housing and to the subsidies that that does really require is going to be in the long-term; zoning is long-term; subsidies are less so.

The mismatch between the new housing likely to be built in the area and the income levels of East New York residents makes a greater focus on preserving the area's existing affordable housing all

the more important in order to ensure that local residents are not displaced from their homes. We've already heard that speculation is increasing, rents are rising; land prices are rising. The City must incorporate strong anti-displacement measures into any land use action it takes to ensure that local affordable units are not lost through speculation or tenant harassment. Legal services are needed and are welcome, but are not enough to counteract the profit motive that leads many landlords to drive out longterm residents in favor or higher profits.

One tool that can and should be applied to help prevent the displacement of low-income residents in East New York is the implementation of a special district requiring a certificate of no harassment when landlords apply for permits from the Department of Buildings. If landlords with a history of tenant harassment were either unable to renovate their buildings to attract higher paying tenants or had to provide a cure of permanently affordable [bell] apartments in the building, there would be a clear monetary disincentive for tenant harassment. Based on the experiences of tenants and community organizers in the Clinton Special District on

Manhattan's West Side, we believe this mechanism would help to relieve the mounting pressure on East New York's low-income tenants.

Members. My name is Shekar Krishnan; I direct our advocacy and litigation on behalf of tenant groups and community coalitions at Brooklyn Legal Services Corporation A, or Brooklyn A. We are a community-based legal services office working for the neighborhoods and families primarily of North and East Brooklyn.

I've come here to oppose the rezoning proposal in its current form and to support the comments and perspectives offered by the Coalition for Community Advancement.

Mayor de Blasio and his administration have emphasized that this housing plan aims to build and preserve affordable housing in order to foster a more equitable and livable New York City. While these goals may be the right goals, as with so many things, the devils are truly in the details.

My office has approached the proposed rezoning with a serious concern that was borne through our extensive experience working with the

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

neighborhoods of Williamsburg and Greenpoint and
Bushwick in the decade following the waterfront
rezoning there. That rezoning too promised a
significant expansion of affordable housing; however,
while the promise of affordability has failed to

6 while the promise of affordability has failed to

7 materialize, rampant speculation and luxury

8 development arrived in full force. Skyrocketing

9 property values have led to a massive increase in the

10 | harassment and displacement of low-income long-term

11 residents, as well as the perpetuation of housing

12 discrimination and residential segregation.

As notable as its stated aims may be, the proposed rezoning will not actually improve housing affordability without substantial improvement to the quantity of affordable units and the depth of affordability demanded of developers and the ongoing vigilance of government actors in enforcing our housing laws.

One issue that has gone completely unaddressed in the City's proposal, and really in rezonings across New York City, is the need to ensure that the City's rezonings will foster integration and fair housing in East New York and Cypress Hills and not perpetuate segregation.

fair housing laws in the country as well.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The Coalition has urged the City to consider fair housing impacts in its analysis and the City has thus far flatly refused to do so. Let's be very clear about this; New York City is the largest recipient of Federal Fair Housing funding in the country; it is also one of the worst violators of

We don't need to look very far beyond rezonings in Brooklyn, which also stands as one of the most segregated boroughs in the city and one of the most segregated metropolitan areas in the country. Look in Brooklyn at the rezoning of the waterfront, but not just there; the rezoning of the Broadway Triangle on the border of Williamsburg and Bedford-Stuyvesant and the way that litigation revealed systemic flaws and failures in New York City's enforcement of fair housing laws when it comes to rezonings. [bell]

Under federal law, the City of New York, as a recipient of HUD funding, is required to "take strategies and actions that must affirmatively further fair housing." To affirmatively further fair housing is defined as "taking meaningful action in addition to combating discrimination that overcome

2.2

patterns of segregation and foster inclusive

communities free from barrier that restrict access to

opportunity based on protected characteristics."

This duty "extends to all of the City's activities

and programs relating to housing and urban

development."

In light of Brooklyn's storied history of residential segregation and the broad scope of the City's proposed action in historically segregated communities, it would be a clear violation of the City's fair housing obligation to fail to consider these impacts of the proposed rezoning on segregation and discrimination.

action is rooted in a desire to ensure that a neighborhood continues to serve diverse fair housing needs and to protect neighborhood characteristics.

This does not go far enough, not does it comply with fair housing laws. It is important that the low-income residents of color in these neighborhoods who have lived here for generations are not forced out by rezoning actions that do not meet these needs and will foster further displacement and in doing so entrenched segregation that is only exacerbated with

3

4

1

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

this rezoning action by an AMI scheme that does not meet the vast majority of families of color's needs.

This is a problem that it not just specific to the East New York rezoning, but to rezoning in New York City generally. These studies are simply not done under HUD regulations and federal law. The problem however is in low-income neighborhoods the consequences are disastrous, so I would urge the City Council to vote no on this rezoning and substantially push the administration to do better under fair housing laws. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Before you begin, I just have a question for the audience. are being pushed out of this room because of an event that's gonna happen here around 4:00, so I just wanna get a sense of how many people in the room are going to testify. [background comments] Okay. Alright. So I'm gonna push the clock; we're gonna go as far as we can and then we have to move across the street to 250 Broadway; I believe it might be the 16th Floor. Thank you.

JONATHAN FURLONG: Okay. Good afternoon; thanks for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Jonathan Furlong and I'm the Zoning and Technical

Assistance Coordinator for the Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development. As you heard from my colleague Emily, we are a membership organization of over 100 housing groups across the city and my testimony today focuses on the needs of one- to four-family homeowners and what the City can do to provide critical help in maintaining the affordability of renters in small one- to four-unit buildings.

Small homes or those buildings with one to four apartment units are the predominant housing type in the study area of East New York; we would estimate that the number is closer to 3,300 units; I think HPD's testimony was slightly below that; most of the structures within the study area are over a century old and are in need of major system repairs.

Community Board 5 continues to be affected by the foreclosure crisis, with over 1,000 foreclosures filed in those zip codes within the Community Board last year.

We've been working with the Center for

New York City Neighborhoods, as well as the Coalition

for Community Advancement to put forward some housing

1

3

4

4

5

8

^

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2.3

24

25

policies and ideas which we feel would sort of add to the toolbox of what's already out there.

First of all, we'd like to create a Good

Neighbor Tax Credit that could protect unregulated

those landlords would offer a protected lease to

tenants at below market rates; again, as Shai from

landlords of one- to four-family homes; in return,

affordable housing by providing a tax break to

CHLDC pointed out, we would also strongly advocate to

pilot Shai's CDC's base campaign to retrofit and

provide safe and affordable units in basements. The

legalize basement apartments to allow homeowners to

ADU code or Accessory Dwelling Unit code would

legalize safe units even in areas that are currently $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

zoned to limit housing in single-family residences

and it would keep units affordable by creating a

conditional tax incentive for homeowners and

providing technical and financial assistance to

homeowners who participate in this pilot program.

We would also advocate for the implementation of UHAB, the Urban Homesteading

Assistance Board recommendations to reform the City's tax lien policy; we would like to see homeowners enter into a repayment plan with the City that could

include a regulatory agreement; we would also advocate for owners that continue not to pay outstanding tax debt that the City should initiate foreclosure and use the third-party transfer program to transfer buildings to an affordable housing provider.

Third, we'd like to create a capital fund for upgrades for low-income homeowners to finance roof replacements and energy efficiency measures to offset rising housing costs and develop a retrofit and small home repair market for local contractors.

As was noted before, we're very happy that the City is working with small homeowners on water and sewer rates and we would continue to advocate that they continue those programs. [bell]

And lastly, enact a Flip Tax to disincentivize real estate speculation. What we're finding, particularly in East New York, and Michelle Neugebauer brought this to our attention several months ago, that large predatory equity companies are buying up one- to four-family homes at auction and basically making them into sort of unaffordable rentals and so the first-time homebuyers within the market get pushed out.

And lastly, we just really would like to see the City overall expand the tools in its toolbox -- everybody smile -- and you know, really push for some of these policies to be put forward; we love the fact that people are being provided legal assistance; we love the fact that the help van is out there, but these are reactive measures and we think that more proactive stances can be put into place. Thank you.

SAM CHIERA: Good afternoon City Council
Members; thank you for this opportunity to testify.

My name is Sam Chiera; I'm a Staff Attorney at
Brooklyn Legal Services Corporation A; as you heard
from Mr. Krishnan, we serve the communities of North
Brooklyn and East New York and have done so for
nearly 50 years. Brooklyn A advocates to ensure lowincome tenants are protected in their homes in
neighborhoods facing massive displacement and we
would like to voice a number of concerns about the
current rezoning project.

One, that there are not enough deeply affordable housing units proposed in the plan; that the anti-displacement measures are insufficient; that service needs, including schools, are not being adequately addressed in that community as is;

1

3

4

Ŭ

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

economic development aspects of the plan need to be strengthen, specifically protecting existing jobs and industry, and there must be real accountability mechanisms in place to ensure that the commitments made today and during this process are kept for a long term.

The affordability of the proposed housing does not match the incomes of current residents, as several people have testified today; currently 50% of the housing will be market rate and 50% will be affordable, but in East New York market rate housing will be luxury, might as well be luxury housing, as it is totally unaffordable for current residents. date only 1,200 units of the proposed 3,000 have been identified and those do not reach the deep affordability levels that we need in our community. We need more deeply affordable housing and we continue to advocate for 5,000 units to be constructed. Without these objectives, this rezoning will not benefit the existing community of East New York it is intended to serve; rather, it will further gentrification and displacement.

The Coalition for Community Advancement has put forward over a dozen proposals for

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCH	ISES

2 strengthening anti-displacement, including
3 implementing an anti-harassment zone in East New

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 York; we need action and commitment on these

5 proposals. There is a severe shortage of school

6 seats that will be exacerbated by the rezoning; we

7 need additional commitments on these school seats and

8 all critical services that make a neighborhood

9 livable, healthy, safe, and strong; that is why we

10 have proposed a Special Purpose District that would

11 support the development of new services throughout

12 | the life of the East New York Plan.

The economic development aspects of the plan must be strengthened with additional resources, preservation of manufacturing and stronger antidisplacement strategies to retain the 500 mom and pop small businesses on the impacted commercial corridors. We also need firmer commitments on local hiring strategies which will result in living wage employment for East New York residents in the construction, manufacturing, retail, and other neighborhood growth sectors; too many communities have been promised great things by developers and City officials only to realize too late [bell] that pledges made at zoning hearings, promises made to

JONATHAN FURLONG: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: situation we're in now.

JONATHAN FURLONG: Without community-driven input and solutions, this rezoning will not meet the needs of the neighborhood in which it sits.

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 For these reasons we strongly object to the rezoning

3 plan in its current form.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay, thank you. Thank you. Thank you, Bill for coming to the signing on behalf of the IBZ and manufacturing; as you heard earlier, we've been pushing very hard in making sure that the IBZ is being looked at and receives the services and the resources it needs; the administration can [sic] speak on my behalf; one of the top things that I've been advocating for is the IBZ and making sure that you have the resources to make sure it's a thriving place. So I know you said, have the courage to vote no, but I don't think voting no is actually courageous for me, you know it's easy to vote no, it's easy to say no; I've done it many times on different pieces of legislation; I think I should weather the storm and try to get us to the best place we can be and if at the end I don't like what I see, then we could talk about voting no, but I think it's important that we go through this process and try to get the best we can for our neighborhoods.

BILL WILKINS: And I just wanna underscore the fact that I had mentioned the Industrial Relocation Grant, because of us losing the

SUBCOMMITTEE	\cap N	ZONTNG	ΔND	FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

M1 inventory, that those businesses will need assistance; when you have to shutter or close your doors and move it's a significant cost that they would have to bear the brunt of and that's a fund that happens to be underfunded and we need to have money put into the fund, especially for some of our East New York businesses on Atlantic and Liberty that will be looking for relocation spaces.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Duly noted.

Thank you. Call up the next panel. Moses Guerrero;

David Powell; Maria Collier; Barry Smith, Maria Hia

[sp?]. [background comments] Aida Castillo; Bishop

David Benke; Darma Diaz. Let's begin; just state

your name before you give your testimony. Press the

button for the...

MARIA CONTRERAS-COLLIER: I'm sorry.

Good afternoon, City Council Members, my name is

Maria Contreras-Collier and I'm the Executive

Director of the Cypress Hills Child Care Corporation.

I'm here to testify about the East New York rezoning

plan's impact on child care services.

The Cypress Hills Child Care Corporation was founded in 1990 and runs a nationally-accredited ACS Early Learn Child Care Center, a Head Start

Program and a Family Day Care Network. Annually we serve 500 low-income, predominantly Latino families.

According to the City's Final
Environmental Impact Statement, the rezoning would
result in a significant adverse impact on publiclyfunded child care facilities. Specifically, 630
children under the age of 6 will need a publiclyfunded day care slot and an additional 203 slots will
be needed after available slots are used. We urge
the City to adopt the Coalition for Community
Advancement's proposal for a Special Purpose District
in the neighborhood to match the growth of preschool
population with new child care centers. We are
concerned over the lack of commitment for the new day
care facilities as part of the rezoning plan.

First, we know that the City's statistics in the EIS are flawed; none of the child care centers in Cypress Hills have additional capacity, including ours, but we are shown as having open slots in the EIS. Between Cypress Hills Child Care, St. Peter's Lutheran Preschool, St. Malachy's Child Care Center and Head Start, all in the rezone area, we have a combined waiting list of 240 families who desperately need child care for their infant toddlers and

preschoolers. The demand is greatest between the ages of infancy and 3 years old.

The mitigation strategies proposed by the administration in the Final Environmental Impact

Statement are not viable. The City believes that 4year-olds can be served by UPK; we wish that this was
true, but our public schools are already overcrowded
and unable to accommodate UPK programs; nonprofit
sponsors of UPK have no additional room or capacity.

The City also proposes that family day care providers can absorb some of the influx of children; unfortunately, this will only benefit parents that can privately pay for child care services, as ACS has a notoriously long waiting list for youchers.

Another mitigation suggested by the City is that parents will travel with their children to find day care centers closer to their job. Accessing the J train with strollers and sleeping infants and toddlers, especially in inclement weather is an extreme hardship. [bell]

Another of the City's mitigation strategies is a development of ground floor commercial space that will allow community facilities

2.2

2.3

such as child care centers. While we hope that these opportunities will arise, we know that from experience that millions of dollars are needed to outfit and equip new day care facilities; therefore we request specific commitments be made to fund new child care facilities to meet the current and future needs of families and that the Council supports the

Coalition for Community Advancement's proposal.

In conclusion, we ask the City Council to vote not unless the City commits to the implementation of the Coalition's alternative community plan. We must remember that early childhood education is a game changer and Cypress Hills' children and the future families need and deserve quality services so that children can embark on their educational journey with all the tools they need to succeed. Thank you very much.

DAVE POWELL: Thank you. My name is Dave Powell; I'm the Director of Organizing and Advocacy for the Fifth Avenue Committee and also for Neighbors Helping Neighbors, both in Brooklyn, but neither one of which is in Cypress Hills or East New York, but like Enrique from CASA in the Bronx, I'm here because I'm concerned about what's happening or potentially

)

happening to our neighbors with this rezoning and also because we see this coming down the road for us in the future and we know that what happens in East New York sets a precedent.

First and foremost, we wanna express our support for the Coalition for Community Advancement's Neighborhood Rezoning Plan and sort of emphasize what we see is a flaw in the current administration's plan; an overarching theme identified in CCA's plan is that a lack of formal community input is a deep and fatal flaw in the proposal that's been put forth and there cannot be trust in this process, let alone justice in the result without community residents at the decision-making table. And again, we say this because we're in solidarity with our neighbors, but also because this is the kind of engagement that we expect from the administration when they come to talk to us about Gowanus and Sunset Park, which we know is coming.

Secondly, the proposed rezonings in East
New York, Jerome Avenue and beyond, while admirable
in their goals for affordable housing creation, are
critically flawed in that they rely on inflating
current housing values without creating additional

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

protections for tenants and businesses that will almost definitely face displacement. Simply put, without such protections in place before any rezoning, the forces of predatory real estate speculation will find fertile ground in the Mayor's proposal and any proposal that unleashes additional density for market rate development.

This is a lesson our community has learned firsthand. A prime example of this was the destruction of 150-158 4th Avenue in the wake of the 2003 rezoning of 4th Avenue in Brooklyn. These were five rent-stabilized buildings that provided 40 units of deeply affordable housing to low- and moderateincome families in our community -- I've attached a one-pager about this to my testimony. The increase in density was too tempting for this unscrupulous landlord who viciously and persistently harassed every last tenant out of these buildings until they were empty. By 2009, these buildings were demolished and today our community walks by a luxury development that receives a 421-a tax break where 40 of our beloved neighbors once lived.

Just down the block is 140 4th Avenue, where two families are left to resist the

constructive eviction and harassment techniques of the landlord as he recklessly slaps two additional floors onto this eight-unit rent-stabilized building.

Diagonally across the street is 78 St.

Marks Place, another eight-unit building where two households bravely fight against a landlord who first harassed tenants out, but who has now shifted gears and has applied to DHCR to demolish the building legally.

The danger and harassment endured [bell] by these families, only four of whom are still in our community today, is a cautionary tale about the destructive forces that are unleashed by increased density and a call for additional tenant protection. I therefore urge the City Council and in particular, Council Members Espinal and Barron to vote no on the proposal that is before you unless and until a community planning framework and strong antidisplacement measures put forth by the Coalition for Community Advancement and that are integrated into Int. 0152-A, which is before this Council and which was mentioned previously for a certificate of no harassment, can be integrated into the current plan. And I will remind all Council Members that again,

And lastly, Councilman, I just wanna thank you for your patience and for, you know, frankly letting us not just have our three minutes, but go over our three minutes; I wanna just commend you for that because that's not the norm in this chamber and we do appreciate that.

I want to invite you and Council Member
Barron to come to 4th Avenue and to see what's
happened in our corridor and really show you what we
think is the utility of having something like an
anti-harassment special district or a citywide zoning
amendment that would require that in addition to
reforms to the Department of Buildings and other
tenant protections that we think are essential if any
sort of upzoning is to be successful; it has
literally ripped our neighborhood apart and you know
we think there's a real lesson there to be learned.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Yeah, I would love to. I'll make sure I connect you with my staff.

DAVE POWELL: Great.

2.2

2.3

MARIA HIA: Good afternoon. My name is

Maria Hia and I am a long-term resident of Cypress

Hills and East New York and I have a child in the

public school where the rezoning plan is in design.

And I work for 30 years in Cypress Hills to alleviate

the overcrowding situation in public schools. And I

decided really early thinking that well, for the

[inaudible] I will not have this problem again, but

unfortunately I'm here again testifying against the

lack of planning in the public school system.

New York City is proposing a rezoning plan that's not taking consideration the real needs of our community. They are planning for 1,000 school seats, which is really short for what they are planning to develop. Now the public system, the schools in our neighborhood, they are overcrowded; we have trailers that are being used as [including] schooling; those trailers were planned for a temporary solution to alleviate overcrowding and now some trailers are 13, 15 or 16 years old.

Now that we have the opportunity to build schools from scratch, those trailers should not be considered as a part of the permanent space, so we should get rid of them and build real seats inside of

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2 public schools, and also we should take the

3 opportunity to go along with the Mayor's agenda to

develop community schools in New York City and why 4

5 not in our community? So therefore we need more

space to provide service [inaudible] community 6

schools. And also we have to preserve those services

that are already in our schools, like The Beacon 8

Program and I was happy to hear from our Council

Member that yes, he is definitely supporting to keep 10

11 The Beacon Program, but there also are other programs

12 and there are other programs that we need to

13 implement because there is a great need for our

14 students to have extra support besides classroom

teachers; there is clinic in the schools [bell] that 15

16 are not being taken into consideration, there is

technological service that those students need, so I 17

18 really encourage you to support a plan that considers

19 the needs for the community and a plan that is

20 developed by the community and takes in consideration

what is needed for the existing community and for the 21

future members who are gonna be part of this 2.2

2.3 community. Thank you.

24

AIDA CASTILLO: Good afternoon members of

the City Council. My name is Aida Castillo; I'm a 25

1

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

lifelong resident of Cypress Hills; my parents are homeowners in Cypress Hills; I work for the Cypress Hills LDC, and I'm a member of the Coalition for Community Advancement.

I am testifying on the need for the City to help the owners of one- to four-family homes preserve their housing and create protection for our renters. I'll testify today on the importance of anti-displacement strategies for tenants and owners in small homes. We are urging you to vote no on the City's East New York plan unless there are strong anti-displacement policies, programs and resources in place as outlined in the community's alternate plan.

The City's Final Environmental Impact Statement ignores the huge threat to displacement of low-income renters in small, unregulated homes and to low-income homeowners themselves. The FEIS states that the rezone would not result in a significant adverse direct residential displacement impact and no further analysis is needed. We disagree. Rezoning, without locking in affordable rental units and small homes and without preserving affordability for lowincome and senior citizen homeowners, will lead to massive displacement. Small homes containing one to

J

five units are an extremely prevalent housing type in the East New York rezone area, accounting for more than 3,300 residential units. At three residents per unit, nearly 10,000 people live in this vulnerable housing type.

The rezone puts low-income renters at greater risk of losing their apartments to skyrocketing rents and homeowners at risk of losing their homes because of rising real estate taxes and increased pressures from scammers and speculators. The area median income of homeowners in Cypress Hills/East New York is significantly lower than the citywide average, making local homeowners vulnerable to housing market changes. Home values in the area have risen by more than 150% since 2012; this has caused an increase in property taxes and higher rents. We are proposing ways to prevent displacement in these homes.

As part of a long list of antidisplacement strategies that the Coalition is
proposing, we are calling for specific ones for small
homes, incentives to keep property taxes and rents
low and disincentives to speculation. The Coalition
has proposed a Good Neighbor Tax Credit and a Flip

2.2

2.3

Tax. The Good Neighbor Tax Credit would be a property tax credit to incentivize tenant protections by requiring leases, tenant protections and affordable rents in exchange for a real estate tax credit.

The Flip Tax would be an investor purchaser transfer tax and would increase the transfer tax on transfers to non-owner-occupied investor purchased units.

In addition, the Coalition is calling for the creation of an Investor-Landlord Tax classification [bell] which would reclassify investment purchase small homes, one to four units, as Class II properties to increase property tax rates.

We have also asked the City to lower water and sewer rates for low-income homeowners, provide deep rehabilitation subsidies in exchange for affordability for renters, create grants to retrofit basement conversion programs that require homeowners to sustain low-income tenants, and to create a fund for capital upgrades for low-income homeowners to finance roof replacements and energy-efficient measures to offset rising housing costs.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

Our neighborhood needs these tools; the City must use all the tools it can to ensure that the East New York rezone keeps current residents in their homes and in their community. Because the City's plan has failed to do so, we urge City Council to vote no unless the City adopts the community's plan. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you.

FEMALE VOICE: I'm not Darma Diaz, but I'm reading for her; she was unable to leave work today.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: I was wondering.

FEMALE VOICE: I'm gonna read her testimony.

Good afternoon, my name is Darma Diaz and I'm a homeowner and long-time resident in Cypress Hills; I'm also a proud member of the Coalition for Community Advancement; I am testifying on the need for the City to plan for more emergency services as part of the East New York plan. We are urging City Council to vote no on the City's East New York plan unless it is the Coalition's alternative plan.

The City acknowledges that the rezone will bring about 24,455 residents and workers to the

impact to fire protection.

325

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

area, but the City says that the rezone will not "create a sizable new neighborhood where none existed before," and so there is no need to assess the potential impacts to fire protection. It seems impossible, given the density and built-up nature of Brooklyn and the population increase that our neighborhood wouldn't need additional fire protection services. The Coalition continues to request that the City include an assessment of the potential

In addition, since both Engine 236 and Engine 332/Ladder 175 are located directly next to proposed development sites within the rezoning area, the Coalition has asked that the FEIS assess how developing those sites would potentially impact or inhibit access to those emergency service facilities.

The Coalition is pleased that these concerns were heard; however, the FEIS concluded that no construction impacts would be expected and the response times would, and I quote, "not be materially affected by construction due to the geographic distribution of the police and fire facilities and their respective coverage area." The Coalition

believes that there would be a serious impact that would threaten the safety of all of use.

Like with fire protection, the Coalition asked that the FEIS assess what additional police patrol, personnel and facilities would be needed to serve the estimated additional 24,455 new residents and workers. The request was also based in the fact that the 75th Police Precinct is one of the geographically largest in the city. The City's response was the same as its response to the request to study indirect impacts on fire protection; the rezoning, and I quote; "will not create a sizable new neighborhood where none existed before and therefore is not needed."

The Coalition also specifically asked that especially give the 75th large catchment area, response times for emergencies in Highland Park be assessed, given the increased demand for emergency services generated by the 24,455 new residents in the community. The City responded that the issue is outside the scope of assessment, probably because they believe that no sizable new neighborhood would be created; just like with fire protection, this seems impossible. [bell]

2.2

2.3

In conclusion, this plan must be more than a housing plan; it must address the needs of existing community members, especially our needs for emergency services. Because the City's plan fails to identify our neighborhood's increasing needs for emergency services and to mitigate threat to public safety, we urge the City Council to vote no on the East New York rezone plan and instead advocate for the adoption of the alternate plan presented by the Coalition for Community Advancement. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you all.

Thank you. And day care was something we talked about earlier, something we're looking into, so it's one of the priorities of this plan. Thank you all.

[pause]

Sorry; I'm negotiating my lease here.

[pause]

We'll call up one more panel and then we have to consider moving, if there are still people who are going to testify. The next panel, we have Rose Martinez; Betsy MacLean; Shayla Bussig [sp?]; sorry if I mispronounce your name; Amy from Staten Island; Helene Leo [sp?]; Zintium Kai [sp?] from Henry Street; Lisa from 964 59th Street; Leah

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 328
2	Archibald from Evergreen; Sophia Carlton from
3	Partnership for the Homeless; George Finley; Legal
4	Services NYC, Louis Enriquez; Melvin Faulkner from
5	Barron's office; James Malone. [background comments]
6	So can you just say your name very
7	quickly, 'cause I don't know who came up?
8	UMBERTO MARTINEZ: I'll be testifying for
9	Rose Martinez; my name's Umberto Martinez.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay.
11	SOPHIA CARLTON: I'm Sophia Carlton,
12	Partnership for the Homeless… [crosstalk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: 'Kay.
14	FEMALE VOICE: I'm testifying for
15	Evergreen, Lisa from Evergreen.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Leah?
17	FEMALE VOICE: Yeah.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay.
19	LUIS ENRIQUEZ: I'm Luis Enriquez from
20	Legal Services New York City.
21	MELVIN FAULKNER: If you can hear me, my
22	name is Melvin Faulkner; I'm [inaudible].
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Okay. You can
24	begin; state your name before your testimony.

3

4

5

6

7

8

J

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2.3

24

25

UMBERTO MARTINEZ: I'll start. My name is Umberto Martinez; again, I'm testifying for Rose Martinez.

I'm here to testify today on the importance of a retail plan to strengthen the commercial corridors of the rezoning area and the need to implement anti-displacement policies for small businesses in Cypress Hills/East New York.

The Department of Small Business Services should be commended for its commitment to conducting a Commercial District Needs Assessment for the commercial strips in the rezoning area. There are roughly 500 small mom and pop retail and service shops on these commercial strips that can benefit greatly from the City's investments in business attraction and retention services, storefront and building improvements, merchant organizing, and small business technical assistance. The Commercial District Needs Assessment will identify the longerterm projects that the City will implement to preserve these commercial corridors. We need commitments that the financial resources for these strategic interventions will be available in the following fiscal years.

/

2.2

The potential of small businesses is a major concern of the Coalition, which has not been adequately addressed; in the FEIS the City concludes that the direct displacement of 88 businesses does not constitute a significant adverse impact. It also states that the rezoning intends to increase the amount of retail space for local businesses and that directly displaced businesses will be able to find space in new developments. We question these assumptions; we doubt that newly constructed retail spaces without significant public subsidy can match what small businesses are paying now on Fulton Street and Pitkin Avenue and we ask where in Brooklyn will these businesses go?

Furthermore, there is contradictory

projections in the FEIS about future retail growth in

East New York; the FEIS says that the rezoning will

not create new types of retail uses, such as a

destination retail; instead the FEIS expects new

businesses to be primarily local scale, commercial

activity to support anticipated residential

development; however, the FEIS omits an analysis of

the impacts of the C4-4D and the C4-4L zoning

districts proposed for each of the four corners of

the East New York rezoning area and the fact that
they are designed to foster regional commercial
centers that will compete with local merchants and

5 drive up rental prices.

2.2

2.3

and share the potential impact that new commercial and commercial overlay zoning districts will have on existing small retail businesses, including an analysis of the impact of chain stores on local businesses and the potential displacement that larger commercial [inaudible] will likely create.

Mitigation strategies that need to be studied are outlined by the Coalition in its alternative plan and include setting aside spaces in new mixed-use developments at current commercial rental levels for neighborhood small businesses and start-up entrepreneurs; coordination between the City's housing and small business agencies in implementing the retail plan and not locating new retail in direct competition with existing small businesses; [bell] the Good Neighbor Tax Credit to create incentive for owners of mixed-use buildings to keep rents affordable; storefront improvement grant and building repair loans, grants that can

2.2

2.3

incentivize owners to keep long-term commercial tenants and attraction of high-road retailers to destination retail locations or downsizing the amount of current proposed destination retail.

Lastly, East New York has one of the highest rates of unemployment in New York City, at 19%; this is actually three times higher than the unemployment rate citywide; we need to ensure that the East New York rezone plan includes strides to increase living wage jobs for local residents.

Because the City's plan has failed to acknowledge the threat to current retail businesses and has failed to generate sufficient mitigation strategies, we urge the City Council to vote no on the City's plan unless the City adopts the Coalition's alternate plan.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you, sir.

UMBERTO MARTINEZ: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: I'm gonna have to stop being as flexible with the clock, only because we do have to leave at a certain point, so you know, when the clock rings, just finish up your testimony.

SOPHIA CARLTON: Hi, thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Sophia Carlton

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and I'm the Director of the Family Resource Center that's the project of Partnership for the Homeless and we are located in East New York.

The FRC serves hundreds of families each year through our health, housing, education rights, and family stability programs. Most of the people we serve are either homeless or at risk of losing their housing. Even with the City and State FEPS programs intended to help families move out of shelters, quality housing in East New York is still out of reach for many. We are currently working with 43 families who have been approved for CITYFEPS and are waiting to find decent, safe and affordable housing. Most of these families would like to stay in East New York or in surrounding neighborhoods but are being forced to move to other boroughs where they have no family or community connection. Our housing advocates have found it challenging to find affordable housing for families with four or less people, as there are fewer units available at the rent cap for these family sizes. Increasing rent and stagnant rental subsidies are forcing families to either accept subpar housing conditions or go into apartments that are too small for their family size.

2.2

We recently worked with one family of six that moved into a two-bedroom unit against our recommendation because they were so desperate to get out of the shelter.

We are greatly concerned about the condition of housing that is accessible for East New Yorkers who are homeless. Many of the units that are offered at or under the CITYFEPS rental cap do not meet the Department of Housing and Urban Development's basic habitability standard. The unfortunate thing here is that even if we deem a unit to have failed inspection and do not recommend that a family accept it in present condition, they may be under so much stress and pressure to move out of the shelter that they are willing to accept even a roachinfested unit with broken appliances and mold. These are not units that just need a little TLC; this is a huge issue that needs a city level intervention.

From 2013-2015, we saw a doubling of the number of homeless families coming to us for assistance who were at risk of eviction; most of these are holdover cases in unregulated units where landlords are not willing to renew their lease. We are now seeing families who have been in their homes

a

_ _

for years, decades even, who are now facing eviction; one elderly couple who came to us had resided in their home for over 30 years, with the landlord just beginning the eviction process this past year.

The area median income for East New York is \$35,000, but the average income of families that we serve at the FRC is significantly lower. We support the Coalition for Community Advancement's proposal to dedicate construction of permanently affordable family size units at levels of affordability that make sense for East New York's AMI. Units available at 15% of AMI would allow the families that we serve to at least have a chance of accessing housing that's inaccessible according to the current plan.

In addition, we support the Coalition's proposal to dedicate funds to repair aging housing stock, as well as incorporating an array of antidisplacement policies to prevent further evictions. If these recommendations are not incorporated into the plan, families will continue to be evicted from their homes and displaced from East New York at alarming rates. [bell] The families we serve have ties in East New York; they have existing social

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHTS:	ES

2.2

2.3

networks and social capital that have taken years

even generations to establish; once we uproot

families from this community their foundation for

regaining economic stability is derailed and this is

simply not acceptable.

I look forward to being part of the upcoming discussion on revising the rezoning plan to ensure that the voices of homeless families are part of this conversation. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you.

FEMALE VOICE: Good afternoon. I'm speaking on behalf of Lisa [sic] from Evergreen, comments and lessons learned on the 2005 rezoning of industrial Greenpoint and Williamsburg. [sic] Your North Brooklyn Business Exchange, I would like to share our experience after the rezoning of the North Brooklyn waterfront in 2005 in the hopes that it illuminates the potential impact of zoning policy on industrial employment in East New York.

Evergreen is a membership organization that champions manufacturing creative production and industrial service businesses in North Brooklyn and beyond. We connect businesses with resources and opportunities to help create and maintain high-

quality jobs at all skill levels. In 2015 Evergreen served over 300 businesses in a variety of ways, including helping link them with financing and assisting them with city and state incentive programs. The 2005 rezoning had a monumental impact in the industrial business communities, both within the rezone areas and in the protected industrial business zone just outside of the rezoned area.

In the years leading up to 2005 rezoning, we estimate that we lost over 100 companies with many more jobs leaving fewer than 175 industrial firms in the rezoned area. A survey conducted in 2006 demonstrated that we lost another 59 firms; on the remaining 155 firms, more than half were tenants and over half of these firms expected to have to move because they had no long-term lease in place.

The protected Greenpoint/Williamsburg IBZ area retained its M zoning, but has continued to decline to approximately 66 industrial firms employing fewer than 1,600 people at present.

Although there is a pocket of seven blocks of predominantly industrial use in this IBZ, the majority of this industrial business zone is

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

populated with hotels, nightclubs and large-scale
amusement.

At present there is a proposal pending to create a district in the majority of this IBZ, which is 14 blocks to allow for a very large-scale office development in exchange for supplying on-site manufacturing space.

Overall, there has been a 28% decline in the numbers of manufacturing businesses since the 2005 rezoning; there are 2,000 fewer employees despite the 40% increase in the number of service businesses. [bell] Not only did this decline impact the loss of manufacturers and local community jobs, it also had a detrimental impact on residents who were forced out of the community despite the City's effort of incentivizing the development of affordable housing. Some businesses have expressed their concern that inflated rents caused them to lose skilled employees due to them having to move to far outside districts or even outside the city. Although the proposed East New York rezoning has different characteristics than the Greenpoint/Williamsburg rezoning, we believe that any rezoning from M to R will likely result in a loss of industrial businesses

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

and jobs both in the rezoned area and in the nearby protected Industrial Business Zone.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Thank you. Can I ask, please wrap up just if you have one more or two more sentences you wanna add.

FEMALE VOICE: Yeah, I'd like to do that quickly. At the time of the 2005 rezoning, the Mayor and City Council agreed to a series of interventions designed to address the community's concerns. Below is a review of the points of agreement to preserve industrial jobs in the wake of the Greenpoint/Williamsburg rezoning. The Industrial Real Estate Development Fund -- this fund was established to allow nonprofits to develop affordable industrial real estate that will stay industrial. Four million was awarded to GMDC for the purchase of the McKibbin Street building and Evergreen was awarded two grants totaling \$3.7 million for the purchase of a building on Cook Street and Dobbin. Evergreen purchased another two buildings on Cook Street with a \$2.4 million allocation from this fund. Although the fund was supposed to have \$200 million for development in CB1 after \$10 million was spent by Evergreen and GMDC the fund was disbanded ...

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Sorry; I'm gonna

3 have to cut you off.

FEMALE VOICE: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: but thank you for your testimony.

FEMALE VOICE: Thank you.

LUIS ENRIQUEZ: Good afternoon. My name is Luis Enriquez from Legal Services of New York City. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

As the Council is aware, Legal Services and Legal Aid received the first batch of City funding to expand the provision of legal services in the rezones. I'm a Deputy Director in the Brooklyn Legal Services office and I happen to be one of the ones that has been directing that work; in Brooklyn we have in fact been very busy hiring a bunch of new lawyers, training them, establishing relationship with community-based organizations like New York Communities for Change, like Cypress Hills LDC and the idea of more lawyers for tenants in housing court, I definitely favor that, but of course, as has been expressed many times today, more lawyers for people in housing court is not the only tool that will get the job done in terms of preserving the

2.2

2.3

existing area residents in East New York and so we

are here to join our voice to the many concerns that

have been expressed today by many organizations and

unsurprisingly, our concerns are very much the same

6 as those that the Council has already heard today.

First, that the proposed rezoning will accelerate gentrification in East New York and therefore also increase displacement of low-income tenants from the neighborhood.

Second, that the administration's accompanied mandatory inclusionary proposal will not create the amount of affordable housing needed to counteract, to offset the displacement that this community will see.

And third, that this will lead to a situation where the character and accessibility of the neighborhood will be forever transformed and many current East New York residents will not remain to enjoy any of the benefits of the proposed rezoning.

Now in my written testimony we developed these points, but I'm just gonna close out by saying or giving you a window of my experience as a tenant representative in housing court, there's several landlord behaviors that we associate with "a rapidly

gentrifying neighborhood." I'm talking about tenants
that have been living for decades in their apartment
and they are for the first time taken to housing
court, I'm talking about the proliferation of LOCs,
I'm talking about aggressive personal buyout offers,
I'm talking about aggressive pursuit of specific
types of eviction cases in housing court, specific
trends in the type of cases that you see in housing
court and I can communicate anecdotally to the
Council that we are seeing that in our East New York
work. And so at different times today we have spoken
about gentrification in East New York as sort of like
a future thing and I believe and I would say that
that is something [bell] that we are seeing right now
from the perspective of housing court practitioners
and so that being the case, the key question is; does
MIH stand to produce sufficient and deeply affordable
housing to offset the displacement that is already
happening? And if it doesn't do that; then the net
effect of MIH can be displacement; not net increase
of affordable housing and that is of course a concern
that we in Legal Services and every organization has
hoon ownrossing today to the Council

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

25

2 MELVIN FAULKNER: Again, my name is 3 Melvin Faulkner and my area of expertise is 4 foreclosure; we're always in the courts fighting for homeowners who actually, some cases, overextended their monetary gains and basically they're before 6 7 judges. And basically what most of the banks want is 8 the property. Being honest with you, we tell everybody that we go to court with; that's not part of the transaction, we refuse to negotiate taking 10 11 back the person's property, because when they laid out, they laid out thousands of dollars to put a down 12 payment, they're in over their head actually as far 13 14 as mortgages are concerned and the situations have 15 changed from the day that they implemented the loan 16 in the first place; some parents have separated, some 17 have died; what other circumstances they've been 18 through and basically what we're tryin' to do now is 19 salvage that home, 'cause everybody that's on my list 20 says, I wanna keep my house, regardless and basically say okay, so what about the 30-year mortgage going to 21 2.2 40; we'll do the 40-year mortgage, but we want them 2.3 to keep the house. One of the primary things that we say to everybody, please do not give that deed away 24

to nobody; once the deed's gone, we can't help you.

2	And I'm sure everybody at this table recognize when
3	people want to stay where they are, where are they
4	gonna go, to a shelter, shelters are full to
5	capacity, so there is no room the for shelter; if
6	anything, when we had a workable program called
7	Advantage, we actually could ask people to work with
8	their landlords; it was a moderate type of thing,
9	landlord, which was the owner of the property,
10	workin' with the tenant; that was salvageable, that
11	was workable, but what happened; the state comes
12	along and cut it right six months after it was
13	implemented, cut it right out; City followed them and
14	cut their amount [sic] and where did that leave the
15	tenant; tenant was now fighting against the homeowner
16	for the right to stay in the building. We were on
17	both sides of the coin there, I want you to
18	understand it; we had to look out for the tenant who
19	was not a part of that transaction with that lease;
20	the lease was a two-year lease by the governor and
21	basically he reneged on it; the city had to back them
22	and renege on that; where did that leave the
23	landlord, which was the owner, and the tenant; at
24	each other's throat. We cannot allow that to
25	continue on and we must come out with an [inaudible]

DIANA REYNA: Nice... [crosstalk]

25

2.3

24

I'm joking.

Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DIANA REYNA: I don't know... I don't know. I want to thank the committee and our Chair; Council Members. My name is Dian Reyna and I'm the Deputy Brooklyn Borough President; I'm here with our Land Use Director, Richard Bearak, here to testify on behalf of Brooklyn Borough President Eric L. Adams; together we represent 2.6 million Brooklyn residents who call this great borough home. I want to thank the New York City Council for giving me the opportunity to provide comments at this public hearing on the East New York Community Plan, represented by Council Member Espinal and Council Member Barron.

On December 30th of last year, Borough President Adams submitted a Uniform Land Use Review procedure (ULURP) recommendation to disapprove with conditions of an application submitted by the New

_

York City Department of City Planning to amend the zoning of approximately 200 blocks in the neighborhoods of Cypress Hills, East New York and Ocean Hill. The response was issued following months of dialogue with local stakeholders and community activists, including a public hearing he held in the courtroom of Brooklyn Borough Hall on Monday, November 23rd.

Borough President Adams has recommended a number of measures to ensure the proposal achieves a meaningful creation and preservation of affordable housing, including greater resources to combat resident displacement as well as increase efforts to build very low- and low-income units on previously unstudied lots.

Additionally, his recommendations address the need to document the City's commitment to the holistic community development outlined in their plan, including the establishment of a post-approval follow-up body with local representation; this is critical to the progress monitored and tracked on behalf of residents and local representatives.

According to the Recommendations Report of the City Planning Commission, there has been much progress in

1

3

4

5

67

8

۵

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

furthering of commitments associated with the overall plan, which we would like to thank the administration for responding to.

Recognizing the added rental pressures that the proposed rezoning will have on existing residents living in housing not subject to rent regulations, Borough President Adams has expressed the importance of achieving permanent affordability for affordable housing units created through the East New York Community Plan beyond the proposed 25% requirement of any new residential development with more than 25 units. In particular he has focused achieving agreements of permanent affordability at the City-owned Dinsmore-Chestnut Urban Renewal Disposition site and scattered a new In-fill Homeownership Opportunities Program site, as well as the City-financed former Chloe Foods site, where Phipps Houses has now been on record that its approximately 1,000 units will be permanently affordable.

Borough President Adams has called for accountability to put in place and sustain the Anti-displacement initiative the City has proposed in their East New York Community Plan, including code

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

enforcement by the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development and funding for free legal representation in housing court for all tenants facing harassment, which is now a firm commitment by the administration. This is critical to tracking what would be residents that are perhaps experiencing any type of harassment and eventually being displaced and to recognize and follow those families so that they can certainly have an opportunity to apply post the certification of this particular rezoning.

He has also expressed concern regarding the potential for displacement on additional sites identified through an analysis by our office which may be attractive for future development. Efforts he proposes include the potential implementation of anti-harassment areas, creation of tax incentive options for small property owners in return for indexing rental unit lease renewals to increases by the Rent Guidelines Board and cataloguing of government-assisted housing with expiring affordability requirements to proactively protect affordable housing units with HPD now being proactive

with outreach to owners where affordability
requirements are soon to expire.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In addition, Borough President Adams has recommended implementing further measures to address the current and potential future displacement of local residents; he has outlined a proposed commitment of 50% preference for new area housing to residents of Community Districts 5 and 16, inclusive of former residents who were previously displaced, as mentioned before, with targeted educational resources and marketing outreach; he has also encouraged the City to increase the supply of very low- and lowincome affordable housing through new opportunities that have not been under consideration thus far, including the Grant Avenue Field Municipal Parking facility, the site previously considered for the Brownsville Community Justice Center, as well as development rights possessed by Local NYCHA properties as land lease options and the PS 178 St. Claire McKelway Annex.

Borough President Adams has highlighted that this effort should be extended to houses of worship with development rights, following the successful engagement with clergy across the borough

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

as part of his faith-based property development

3 initiative. HPD is in fact engaged with entities of

4 | two such faith-based properties.

includes zoning text amendments to encourage deeper and more flexible bands of affordability in new housing, a study of proposed residential districts to better match the allowable zoning, including the potential for targeted down-zoning to combat displacement, as well as a codification of the minimum threshold for family size units on HPD-owned and HPD-financed sites. It is crucial that an integration of income as well as unit distribution of bedrooms are recognized within each income bracket AMI range.

Regarding commercial development related to the rezoning proposal, Borough President Adams has reiterated his general displacement concerns and asks for an assurance of access to food retail expansion to support health, which is our FRESH zone in the City of New York, grocery stores, making sure that the appropriate size of no less than 10,000 sq. ft. to actually ensure what would be quality access to

2.2

2.3

fruits and vegetables, ensuring that any lost supermarkets are replaced on-site.

2.2

2.3

His recommendations highlight the need to support local entrepreneurs and artisans who provide quality local jobs, impacting his call for a restriction on big box retail in the rezoned area and financial incentives to prevent commercial displacement. In particular, he has noted the need to strengthen and preserve the East New York Industrial Business Zone, inclusive of promoting new urban agriculture uses and a \$20 million toward financing and industrial development fund for the East New York Industrial Business Zone, similar to what was created in the Williamsburg/Greenpoint IBZ of North Brooklyn.

In keeping with his pas ULURP recommendations, Borough President Adams has articulated the importance of local hiring, the retention of Brooklyn-based contractors, and subcontractors, especially those who are designated locally-based enterprises (LBEs) and minority- and women-owned business enterprises (MWBEs). HPD has subsequently committed to promoting MWBEs in the developments it subsidizes and that should be

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

24

25

mirrored before what would be any contract being publicized so that language is recognized within any RFP.

Because a number of holistic community development measures outlined in the East New York Community Plan are not directly stipulated in the City's land use application, Borough President Adams has advocated for the documenting of efforts to address issues that will accompany an increased population, such as access to jobs -- HPD has since committed to connect local residents to job training and job opportunities in developments it subsidizes -- to sufficient school seats in addition to Dinsmore-Chestnut, DOE has replacement of the trailers in its five-year plan, quality open space, City-lined parks -- redesigned community outreach will begin this spring -- reliable transportation and last, upgraded storm water, waste water green infrastructure -- DEP has now 116 bioswales in its pipeline.

Other strategies that Borough President Adams has offered include a potential CUNY innovation lab, neighborhood-based siting of a new Workforcel Career Center -- SBS has now committed to opening the

1

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The Office of the Brooklyn Borough President continues to monitor this process closely; we look forward to working with the residents and stakeholders of East New York to make sure the affirmation recommendations are upheld as well as hold the administration accountable, as the stewards

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DIANA REYNA: It's extremely important, it's our transportation hub; it's dependant on making sure that many of its riders are moving from east to west into Manhattan, connection to Manhattan to come back into Brooklyn, to go south; Broadway Junction is

one of the areas that we're calling for the Freedom

3 Ticket, where we want to reactivate what would be the

4 | LIRR, access for less burden on existing

5 | infrastructure to be able to have a sustainable

6 transportation model. We are in discussion with the

7 MTA, we're concerned about the L train and its

8 | shutdown for repairs; the positions as far as how do

9 we move forward and grow our transportation needs

10 along with the population is something that we battle

11 every day, but we are certainly prioritizing Broadway

12 Junction as one of our -- it's Brooklyn's Port

13 Authority.

1

14 COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: It is Brooklyn's

15 | Port Authority; that's why I think it's important

16 | that we take a close look at it and thank you for

17 again, your advocacy... [crosstalk]

18 DIANA REYNA: Absolutely. Thank you for

19 | all your work and representation and you have been a

20 | dynamic leader in this plan; we hope to see greater

21 achievements through this plan and I know that we're

22 | just beginning what would be conversations with City

23 | Hall. Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, Madame

Deputy Borough President. If you can just fill out a slip.

Alrighty, next we'll call the next panel -- Naved Husain from CAAAV; Francisco Reyes, East New York Coalition; Moses Ciello [sp?], Coalition for Community Advancement -- sorry if I messed up your name -- Deborah Malo [sp?], Business Outreach Center (BOC), alright; Nancy Carin, BOC. [background comments] We have two people who are representing BOC; right? [background comments] Nancy Carin is not here. Okay, gotcha. Alright. [background So we're gonna read through who's here comments] [background comments] Well... [background comments] Alright and we'll ask you to identify yourselves and the organization you're representing as we move forward. [background comments]

NAVED HUSAIN: Good afternoon, thank you for having me speak. My name is Naved Husain; I'm the Lead Organizer at CAAAV, Organizing Asian Communities. On behalf of hundreds of our members that are low-income immigrants living in Chinatown as well as in Queensbridge, we demand that any rezoning should benefit the communities that have lived in the

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

neighborhood for generations and not simply benefit wealthy developers. The problems that residents of East New York are facing are the same problems that residents in Chinatown, in Jerome Avenue in the Bronx and in East Harlem are facing. The problem is that there's not enough affordable housing and whatever affordable housing there is available is being delivered into the hands of the private market. in the private market, developers and landlords hike up rents so that the communities that have been living there for generations can no longer afford to live there. Overwhelmingly, the communities that are being affected by this and that are being rezoned in this destructive manner are low-income communities of color, African-American, Latinos and immigrants of The very fabric of New York City's all backgrounds. diversity is being ripped apart through this process.

Not only are landlords and developers pushing for policies that will essentially get rid of affordable housing, but the manner in which they are evicting tenants, using methods of harassment which include hiring firms that are paid to harass tenants in Chinatown, to using construction on site as a type of harassment. Many of these actions run afoul of

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

the law and violate the dignity and peace of long-time residents.

Residents of Chinatown have seen what bad rezoning policy has done; about eight years ago the East Village was rezoned to allow for more luxury development; since then, there has been massive displacement of low-income communities from the East Village. In response to this, CAAAV, as well as other members of the Chinatown community created our own group, the Chinatown Working Group; we have released our rezoning proposal that the residents of Chinatown want the City to implement. In our proposal we find much in common with what our allies in East New York want; we demand and they demand that any rezoning should create affordable housing units that are pegged to realistic AMI levels, not the inflated AMI levels currently used, but number that reflect the actual incomes of people living in East New York and in Chinatown.

We also demand that East New York and Chinatown be deemed a special district, similar to the Special Clinton District; this would help discourage and penalize landlords and developers that harass tenants through various means. We also demand

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 360
2	that the process through which the rezoning happens
3	is open and transparent to the community's needs;
4	this is the only way we can preserve affordable
5	housing and protect working New Yorkers and
6	immigrants that make up this city and make it run.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. You
9	may begin.
10	TRANSLATOR: They're gonna speak Spanish
11	and
12	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Spanish [00:27:29]
13	[background comments]
14	FRANCISCO REYES: Spanish [00:27:36]
15	TRANSLATOR: My name is Francisco Reyes
16	[inaudible] you can hear me; right?
17	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Pull it closer.
18	TRANSLATOR: Uh okay. My name is
19	Francisco Reyes; I've been livin' in Arlington
20	Village for 40 years and he's really tired and very
21	concerned because they wanna tall buildings
22	[inaudible] so he's really confused [inaudible].
23	Spanish [00:28:24]
24	FRANCISCO REYES: Spanish [00:28:26]

TRANSLATOR: Spanish [00:28:28]

25

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES Spanish [00:28:30] 2 FRANCISCO REYES: 3 TRANSLATOR: Spanish [00:29:05] He had three children, they grew up there; [inaudible] the 4 5 developers, they want them to move to... they wanna relocate the tenants to another area where it's been 6 7 abandoned for the longest time, so the apartments 8 they are offering them are not finished; they have electricity for the 1940s and the first apartment... Did you say something about the fires? No. Spanish 10 11 [00:29:40] 12 FRANCISCO REYES: Spanish [00:29:41] 13 TRANSLATOR: Yeah, on the first apartment 14 the first person that agreed to be relocated to... 15 because they were really pushing them and harassing the tenants to move; the first family, they were 16 17 really lucky by a miracle they survived to a fire; 18 the apartment got on fire overnight right before 19 these people move, the stuff were already inside. 20 Spanish [00:30:18] FRANCISCO REYES: Spanish [00:30:22] 21 2.2 [bell] 2.3 TRANSLATOR: Spanish [00:31:14] Yeah, he is feeling so sad and very concerned again, because 24

these developers, they tried to move people out; he

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 362
2	feels [inaudible] that they are being pushed out
3	because these apartment are not really like I
4	said, they've been abandoned for a long time, so they
5	have lead and asbestos and [inaudible] things; they
6	don't even have a switch in the bathrooms, they make
7	a quick fix and they wanna put people in there, so
8	they feel like they really wanna push them out, that
9	the future is very uncertain for them. So they keep
10	saying they're gonna be linked up with them and they
11	don't know what's gonna happen, so they're asking to
12	think and consider the people that doesn't make
13	money, the poor people that already lives there for
14	such a long time to be considered to avoid to be
15	displaced.
16	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. We'll
17	go to the
18	TRANSLATOR: Uhm
19	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Or did they they
20	did dual testimony or is he gonna speak too?
21	TRANSLATOR: He is still going he say
22	that no
23	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I'm saying next to
24	him; is he

what the future is gonna have for them. So... Spanish

24

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL:

Okav.

they're working in her house, like they're creating a

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 very uncomfortable situation and with harassment

3 mainly.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: 'Kay, thank you and we're gonna talk after. And I'll ask you... ma'am, if you can just fill out a slip, since you spoke on the record.

TRANSLATOR: Of course.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

TRANSLATOR: Thank you.

DEBORAH MESLOH: Hi, I'm Deborah Mesloh [sp?]; I'm speaking for Nancy Carin, our Executive Director at the Business Outreach Center Network.

We are the New York City business
provider for... thank you. We are the New York City
providers for East New York flatlands IBZ.

Manufacturing industrial businesses are thriving in
East New York; among East New York manufacturing
businesses are wood and metal product manufacturers,
corrugated and solid fiber box, food manufacturing,
electrical equipment and food packaging; East New
York also has a concentration of construction,
specialized trucking and wholesale jobs. Support for
these businesses and the jobs they create and sustain
is crucial to current and future equitable economic

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

development. Manufacturing jobs are livable wage jobs; they average \$50,000 per year in contrast to the average retail wage of \$25,000.

Mixed-use zoning is a threat to industrial jobs; why? Because other uses attract higher rents; the real estate market rewards residential development over industrial. Mixed-use zones would also encourage real estate speculation, which drives instability and instability discourages long-term investment in business and in the City's workforce.

Industrial uses are not compatible with residential development; close proximity to industrial areas may present conflicts between businesses and neighbors, truck transporting access, on-street parking and early and late operating hours present issues that impact residents and businesses alike. Atlantic Avenue is also the only through east/west truck route in the Borough of Brooklyn.

Just as housing needs a physical location, jobs also need a place to live. No housing is affordable without a job. We therefore applaud City Council Member Espinal for maintaining a high priority or

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

preserving the East New York Industrial Business Zone and proposing a jobs plan for the neighborhood.

To retain industrial and manufacturing businesses and jobs in East New York we recommend preserve existing M zones in Ocean Hill and along Liberty Avenue; develop an area within the East New York Industrial Business Zone designed to attract new businesses to create jobs for community residents.

We also agree with the Association of Neighborhood Housing Developers (ANHD) and their recommendations to train local residents for jobs with career ladders, ensure that local graduates of workforce programs are given first priority for local jobs; establish a [bell] Workforcel Satellite Center and a Youth Development Center in East New York focused on local job placements. Workforce programs must focus on jobs that will be created as a result of the rezoning; provide small business support services, including legal counseling and access to capital. Let us not abandon the process of growing the city's industrial and manufacturing sector to create middle-class jobs. And again, in closing, no housing is affordable without a job. Thank you very much for listening.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you and tell Nancy I said hello; she does a great job in Far Rockaway. We're gonna go to Council Member Espinal.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Just verv quickly on the IBZ. We met about a week ago and you spoke about Atlantic Avenue and the whole DOT project that is happening there; how can... Do you wanna give us input on how you think that the DOT should plan around the IBZ and Pennsylvania Avenue area?

DEBORAH MESLOH: Well I think basically what we're looking for is a buffer zone and we see that you can put in commercial, you know, commercial buildings as a buffer zone, it's just that it's really, really hard when you have industrial right next to residential. You have truck traffic all the time; we don't want children getting hurt because of the truck traffic; we have that in areas of Queens already where children are getting hurt because the trucks don't see them. So you know, we're just concerned, we wanna make that if this is implemented that it's implemented correctly so that it's good for everybody. Okay? Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you all for your testimony today.

you to lie about your name, you know. God is

years, along with East New York and the South Bronx.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

addressed.

1

2 East Harlem has a median income of about \$34,000,

3 similar to East New York, close to 37% of all East

4 Harlem households make less than \$23,000 per year and

372

that is at 30% of the AMI. East New York, the area 5

getting rezoned, 33% of households are at 30% AMI. 6

7 The median income in South Bronx is even lower, at

8 \$27,000 per year. So these are some of the last

remaining places where low-income people can attempt

to survive in the city. The people most at risk of 10

11 homelessness are the lowest earners, the percentage

of households that are at 30% AMI. So we really need 12

13 to have a set-aside for people at and below 30% of

14 AMI on private and public land and it needs to be

15 permanently affordable.

> All of the these communities have worked on community plans since the Mayor's announcement; the East New York plan, you know it's sort of not a community plan yet, right, and I feel like we've been hearing about that today, right, that this a community-based planning process, but I think based on a lot of the comments today, like it's not completely a community plan yet, because so many of the needs and policy recommendations haven't been

2.2

In the Speaker's district we have some very good policy recommendations that I think we really need to look at in all of the rezoned areas; we need to have a united front, especially since we've seen rezonings in the past displace people of color and the lowest-income people more than anyone else. So I wanna talk about some of these policies.

One policy that we voted on with the Speaker is for affordable housing -- of all the housing that is built after the rezoning, 50% needs to be affordable across the board and there is a setaside for 20% at 30% AMI. One way to reach this goal is with the changes that are being requested and Mandatory Inclusionary Housing and through the development of public sites being 100% affordable.

Another recommendation in the plan talks about building pre-apprenticeship programs with unions; in this plan, the East Harlem neighborhood plan, all the parties approved a need for a more robust density bonus program to get local hire, union work and deeper affordability levels. [bells]

Also, a recommendation to require recipients of public subsidy and City-owned property to be in a state or federally approved apprenticeship program

Ŭ

_ 1

for the trades they perform work in was approved by the steering committee and the project partners.

There's another recommendation about requiring prevailing wage and living wages for workers if a landowner gets subsidy and City-owned land and there is a lot more of these policies; they are just a start and we'll be looking to strengthen them throughout the process as well.

You know the East New York plan is going to set a precedent for the upcoming rezonings so we really need to ensure that these things are included in East Harlem as well as in East New York and the South Bronx and any other areas that might be considered for rezoning; otherwise, I really wanna urge you to vote no and I wanna talk a little bit about Mandatory Inclusionary Housing. In that program the developers get paid basically three times, right; they get the upzoning, they get the subsidy and they get an additional FAR within that program and if that's gonna happen, then we need to demand for 30% AMI if they're gonna be getting such a deal. Thank you.

DAVID BENKE: Boom. Okay. Yes. My name is Dave Benke... [crosstalk]

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 375
2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: We found your
3	slip, by the way.
4	DAVID BENKE: You got my slip?
5	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Yes, we had a
6	slip
7	DAVID BENKE: [inaudible]
8	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Prayer at work
9	[sic].
10	DAVID BENKE: Yeah, thank you for
11	signing that for me. You're going to heaven on the
12	express bus. [laughter] But not soon.
13	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I found your slip
14	though.
15	DAVID BENKE: You found it. Okay, thank
16	you… [crosstalk]
17	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Yeah.
18	DAVID BENKE: Thank you. Dave Benke,
19	the recently retired Bishop of Lutheran churches in
20	the eastern half of New York State; now the CEO of
21	Lutheran Social Services of New York, \$45 million
22	worth of contracts out there and for most of my life,
23	40 years, the Pastor of St. Peter's Lutheran in
24	Cypress Hills on Highland Place and Hale Avenue,

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

which is my cathedral and that's why my heart and soul is attached to this rezoning.

We had a saying in the council bishops after a long day like this and that was; everything has been said on this topic, but not everyone has said it and so I thought... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: We use that a lot in the Council, so...

DAVID BENKE: Do you? Yeah, isn't it interesting?

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: A lot of our colleagues say the same thing... [crosstalk]

DAVID BENKE: Same thing? Yeah. It's the same when people with a lot of wind in their sails. Okay. I thought that Diana Reyna, on behalf of the Borough President made a tremendous amount of good points here today and I hope they are all taken with deep seriousness; those were well thought out, they mirror to many degrees what the Coalition for Community Advancement has been saying, what I think is also being said in East Harlem and by the Council Speaker. So we have people at the top level of City government here who are saying -- nobody's saying don't rezone, nobody is saying don't engage change,

DAVID BENKE:

Thank you.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: and I just wanna be clear as we close out this hearing, that Council Member Espinal and many of our colleagues have certainly been listening to the community and we...

DAVID BENKE: Oh yeah.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: trust me, are pushing very hard on all fronts to bring back the best deals we possibly can for our local community so when you speak of jobs and affordable housing, the question of density, homeownership; quality of life, these are all things that we all take very seriously; I don't know any council member goin' through a rezoning whose, you know, interest is necessarily making sure developers leave with the best deal; it's really about ensuring our communities can leave with the best deal. Many of our communities which have had very little investment, such as East New York, all the way to the Rockaways.

DAVID BENKE: All the way out.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So I just wanted to put out there that we've heard everybody very loud and clear today and these are things that even when the cameras are not rolling, when there is no hearing, that these are the issues that council

2 members have been pushing on, whether it's light or

3 dark...

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DAVID BENKE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: and I think that...

I wanna commend Council Member Espinal as we close

out this hearing for the work that he's done around

East New York -- this is historic, my friend.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: yes.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I mean who would've thought that the City would be speaking of investing billions of dollars in East New York? on would've imagined; right? But you know the thing is to make sure we get it right as we move forward as well and I wanna commend everybody for coming out to testify. Now we'll pass it over to Council Member Espinal, but I wanna thank the Land Use staff, Dylan, Raju, I think I see Amy over there, for all of their due diligence in getting us through this day and I wanna thank the public for testifying, my staff, Chief of Staff Mercedes Buchanan, my Deputy Chief of Staff Jerrel Burney for the work that they've done, our sergeant at arms, who kept us safe today; I wanna even thank the administration for coming out and taking all the hard questions today. And Rev., we're

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 380
2	just gonna ask you to lay your hand on 'em and pray
3	for them, you know, wait, there's a wait, there's
4	uh wait, the church and state… [crosstalk]
5	DAVID BENKE: Yeah, little issue there
6	[crosstalk]
7	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, got it.
8	Right, do it outside.
9	DAVID BENKE: I'll do it out in the
10	hallway.
11	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Do it out in the
12	hallway.
13	DAVID BENKE: I like you said lay your
14	hands and pray
15	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Exactly. That's
16	exactly.
17	DAVID BENKE: otherwise [inaudible]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: I asked for I
19	asked for a prayer over the weekend; did you
20	[inaudible] me?
21	DAVID BENKE: Oh I did, [inaudible].
22	COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Alright.
23	DAVID BENKE: Yeah, we did have prayers
24	in church.

[background comments]

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Well we pray in here too. [background comments] Alrighty and hope I don't get sued. Alright, I am now going to go to Council Member Espinal for his closing remarks.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: I really don't have anything written out, but I just wanna thank Donovan for your leadership, thank you for taking my call last night at 11 p.m. last night to talk about what we were gonna do today... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: You woke the baby up.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: I know; I'm sorry about that, but I really appreciate just the work you're doing and your friendship around this issue. I wanna thank Raju Mann, who's spent countless hours into... they translate to days and my staff, Courtney Patterson and Lloyd Lesbrans [sp?] and Rick Arbello [sp?]. I wanna thank the Coalition who came out and they spend the entire day here; they have been around this issue since day one. I wanna thank the Speaker's Office and Ramon and everyone who's played a role. We're not done yet; we still have 40 days to try to come up with something that benefits our community. You know since I first ran

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

for office four years ago to the State Assembly, I was crying on TV that East New York doesn't receive the attention it needs and deserves and now we're in the middle of attention; the eye's on us now, right, we finally got to that point, you know and I've seen cities across the state receive a billion dollars, like Buffalo, through the Governor's Office and I just always thought, when is it gonna be East New York's time and this is our time, but it's our time to make sure that we get the best plan for the residents of our community, for everyone who's vested into our community and make sure it's the best community for the people who are gonna move into the community as well. So I look forward to the days ahead, look forward to continue working hard on behalf of everyone in Cypress Hills, East New York and Brownsville, so thank you guys. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: 'Kay, I wanna thank everyone once again for coming out; I am now laying over all items for future consideration regarding this rezoning; I now will close the public hearing portion of the East New York rezoning plan. I wanna thank everybody for coming out today and we

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES	383
2	look forward to continuing to work through this	plan.
3	Thank you all.	
4	[gavel]	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 14, 20<u>16</u>