CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

----- X

February 24, 2016 Start: 10:27 a.m. Recess: 10:57 a.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: JULISSA FERRERAS-COPELAND

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Ydanis A. Rodriguez

James G. Van Bramer Vanessa L. Gibson Robert E. Cornegy, Jr.

Laurie A. Cumbo Corey D. Johnson

Mark Levine

I. Daneek Miller Helen K. Rosenthal

Steven Matteo

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Michael Blaise Bacher, Deputy Commissioner Neighborhood Development NYC Department of Small Business Services

Jennifer Kitson, Program Director Business Improvement District

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 [sound check, pause]

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND:

Excellent. [gavel]

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.

CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Good morning and welcome to today's Finance Committee hearing. I am Council Member Julissa Ferreras-Copeland. I chair the committee. We've been joined by Council Member--Minority Leader Matteo, Council Member Cumbo, Majority Leader Van Bramer, Council Member Rosenthal, Council Member Miller. Today, we have six items on the agenda, two resolutions related to closing the carried interest tax loophole in the federal and state tax system, transparency resolution and one land use item, and two BID items. Let's start with the resolution. With tax season upon us, today we will consider two resolutions that aim to make our tax system more fair and equitable by taxing carried interest at the same rate as ordinary income. Carried interest is a type of compensation that is paid to managers of private equity, venture capital, hedge and other private investment funds. The way it works is that these investment fund managers receive a set percentage, typically 20% of the profits earned

2 on the investments made by the fund as compensation 3 for their service. Although the amount actually paid 4 to each manager may vary based on the success of that year's investment, the compensation essentially functions as a performance based salary for work 6 7 performed. However, unlike you, me and the vast 8 majority of American workers who are subject to a federal income tax rate of up to 39.6% of paycheck, the private investment fund managers benefit from a 10 11 tax loophole that allows them to pay only 20%--a 20% 12 tax rate of their earnings. These are individuals 13 who hardly need a handout from the government to protect their wealth. In 2013, for example, the top 14 15 25 hedge fund managers earned a combined \$11.62 16 billion in compensations with three individuals 17 earning over a billion dollars each. 18 Congressional Budget Office estimated that closing 19 the loophole would have produced \$17 billion in 20 additional federal revenues between 2014 and 2023. 21 Closing this federal income tax loophole is a matter of fairness to the 99%, the hard working Americans 2.2 2.3 who fully--who are fully taxed on the wages they earn for their labor, and who are not getting a break. 24 25 fact, closing this tax loophole is such a reasonable

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

proposal that two of our presidential candidates from opposite ends of the political spectrum can agree that this should be done. Therefore, I have sponsored Resolution 868, which calls upon President Obama to this step in the name of equity and to close the carried interest loophole by executive action. We are also considering a preconsidered resolution sponsored by Council Member Miller that would provide the same measures of fairness in respect to the city's unincorporated business tax. Because of the way the federal government characterizes carried interest as investment income subject to a lower tax rate instead of ordinary income that is taxed at the higher rate. New York City also treats carried interest the way--for purposes of the UBT, which exempts it from tax altogether. Other types of partnerships subject to the UBT, such as law firms, mutual funds or doctors' practices do not receive such preferential tax treatment, and for that reason and for the reasons already describe it does not make sense to single out private investment funds for a tax break. According to the Independent Budget Office, if the carried interest exemptions were eliminated, the city would recognize an additional

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

\$200 million each year in revenue, revenue that could go a long way to fund school construction, homelessness and other vital city services. I, therefore, urge my colleagues to vote in favor of

6 each of these resolutions.

Next, we have a transparency resolution, which sets forth a designation and changes in the designation of certain organizations receiving local aging and youth discretionary funding, and funding pursuant to certain initiatives in the budget. Organizations appearing in the resolutions that have not yet completed the pre-qualification process conducted by the Mayor's Office of Contract Services, the Council or other entity are identified in the attached chart with an asterisk. As with all transparency resolutions, Council Members will have to sign a disclosure form indicating whether or not a conflict exists with any of the groups on the attached list. If any council member has a potential conflict of interest with any of the organizations listed, he or she has the opportunity to disclose the conflict at the time of their vote. As a reminder, please disclose any conflict that you may have with proposed subcontractors that are used by

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 organizations sponsored by discretionary funding.

These disclosures must be made before the subcontractor can be approved. Roham Grant from the

5 General's Office can assist you with any questions or

6 concerns regarding disclosures.

We will now consider the LU item, which is Academy Gardens in Council Member Palma's district. This project will serve 467 units of rental housing for low-income households, and will receive a full 40-year property tax exemption under the city's HFDC's program. Council Member Palma supports this project. Next we have the BID items. First--the first BID item, which will be voted on today is Intro 1029 concerning the Fulton Street Business Improvement District also known as the Fulton Area Business Alliance, or FAB Alliance in Council Member Cumbo's District. The Fulton Street BID is requesting the following three changes to the district plan: An expansion of its boundaries to include nine existing properties, one property under construction on the Brooklyn Academy of Music Harvey Theater, as well as the removal of one residential property that was--included an error when the BID was established. A change in the method by the

assessmentby the assessments are calculated to
include both a front footage rate, and an assessed
value rate, and an increase in its annual assessment
from \$300,000 to \$500,000. On January 19, 2016, this
committee held a hearing to consider the Local Law
that would effectuallyeffectuate the changes of the
BID. As required by law, thisthat hearing was
closed without a vote, and a 30-day objection period
began so that property owners affected by the
expansion of the BID to formally object to the
creation. According to the City Clerk with whom
these objections would be filed, no property owners
filed a valid objections to the expansion of the
Fulton Street BID during a 30-day period.
Accordingly, the committee now may vote in favor of
Intro 1029 if we can answer the following four
questions in the affirmative:

Were all notices of the hearing for all hearings required to be held published and mailed as required by law in all the five--and otherwise sufficient? Does all the real property within the district boundaries benefit from the expansion of the district except as exempt as otherwise provided by law? Is all real property benefitted by a district

2.2

2.3

included within the district, and is the expansion of the district in the bet interest of the public? In addition, the Committee must determine that it is in the public interest to authorize an increase in the maximum annual expenditure amount that the relevant tax and debt limits will not be--will not exceeded, and there's a notice of the increased proposed expenditure amount was properly published? Council Member Cumbo supports the proposed extension and other changes to the BID.

The second BID item a public hearing and vote today on Intro 1047, which would authorize an increase in the budget for Fiscal 2016 of the Fulton Mall special assessment district in Council Member Levin's district. The budget increases have been requested by the property owner within the boundaries of the district, and would be used to enhance the services provided. The requested budget increase would raise the annual assessment from 1.5...\$1,537,500 to \$2.1 million. Council Member Levin supports the actions. Representatives of the Department of Small Business Services are here to testify regarding both of these BID items, if anyone has any questions on the BID? You may come up and

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 testify SBS, and Council Member Miller, if you want
3 to say anything on your resolution? [pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you Chair Ferreras-Copeland. It's a pleasure and honor to join you here this morning as we hear these two resolutions, 868 and Preconsidered 430--or 4139 as it relates to carried interest, tax loopholes that exist on federal and city lev--level. The latter requiring state action. Across the nation, this has become a bipartisan issue. The payment of profits derived from wealthy private investment firms ought to be taxed similarly to other forms of compensation.. Performance based pay typically is taxed. We can consider insurance agents, persons or ticket agents as an example. There is no logical reason why a money manager should not--should have their compensation excluded from our tax system. monies and funds derived from these taxes will benefit our communities. With these resolutions we are asking our federal leaders to close these loopholes that carry interest--that carry tax interest loopholes for money managers. In turn, we will raise funds for our communities and create a

7

8

9

12

15

- 2 more equitable taxation system. We look forward to 3 passing this today. Thank you, Madam Chair.
- CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank

 you. You may begin after--as soon as you get sworn

 in.
 - LEGAL COUNSEL: Do you affirm that your testimony will be truthful to the best of your knowledge, information and belief?
- 10 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BACHER: I do.
- 11 LEGAL COUNSEL: Thank you.
- morning, Chair Ferreras-Copeland and members of the

 Finance Committee. I am Michael Blaise Bacher,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BACHER: Good

- Department of Small Business Services. I am joined

Deputy Commissioner of Neighborhood Development,

- 17 by a Business Improvement District Program Director
- 18 Jennifer Kitson. I'm here to provide testimony on two
- 19 distinct items. One the Fulton Street BID expansion
- 20 proposal also known as the Five Alliance BID, and
- 21 support for an assessment increase for the Fulton
- 22 Mall Special Assessment District. For the first
- 23 item, today's vote on the FAB Alliance BID expansion
- 24 I'd like to report on a few items. As required by
- 25 | law, the FAB Alliance BID expansion steering

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

committee mailed a summary of the City Council
resolution no less than ten days and no more than 30
days before today's hearing to the following parties:

To each owner of real property within the existing district, and proposed expansion at the address shown on the latest city assessment roll. Τo such other persons as are registered with the city to receive tax bills concerning real property within the existing district and proposed expansion, and to tenants of each building within the existing district and the proposed expansion area. In addition, SBS arranged for the publication of the copy of the summary of the resolution at least once in the city We would like to report on the result of the objections filed with the City Clerk against the -- the expansion of the FAB Bid. At the conclusion of the objection period at 5:00 p.m. on February 18, 2016, and taking into consideration any objections filed the next two business days, through February 22nd 2015 no valid objects were filed with the City Clerk. Due to zero objections being filed, this is within the acceptable threshold needed to advance the bill for favorable consideration by the full City Council. SBS requested City Council approve the FAB Alliance

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BID expansion. At this time we're happy to take any questions on this item, and if there are not, then we'll go onto the second item. [pause]

5 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: You can 6 go.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BACHER: Okay, sure. Moving to the second item, today's hearing and vote on an assessment increase for the Fulton Mall Special Assessment District, I would like to express our support for the law providing an increase in the amount to be expended annually from \$1,537,500 to \$2,100,000. As required by law, Fulton Mall published a notice of the public hearing at least once in a local newspaper having general circulation and in the district specifying the time when, and a place where the hearing will be held and stating the proposed amount to be expended annually. addition, Fulton Mall certified that they have mailed a letter to property owners informing them about the proposed assessment increase, and the time and place of this public hearing. Finally, Council Member Levin has submitted a lever--a letter of support for the assessment increase. These increases will further expand, reinforce and strengthen existing

- 2 core services currently provided in the district.
- 3 Representatives from Fulton Mall are present to
- 4 answer any questions. However, I'm happy to take any
- 5 | questions you might have.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Council
- 7 Member Cumbo would you like to make any statement on
- 8 | your BID?

- 9 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you. Just
- 10 want to add my continued support, and to urge my
- 11 | colleagues to vote yes. This is really a very
- 12 | important expansion for our district as the merchants
- 13 continue to grow, and our businesses become more
- 14 popular and more people are making the Fort Greene
- 15 Community a destination. It's important that we put
- 16 | in all of the infrastructure to make sure that the
- 17 | experience that the local residents enjoy as well as
- 18 | new residents, and those that are coming for tourism
- 19 related purposes are able to also enjoy all of the
- 20 amenities that the district has to offer. Thank you.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: Thank
- 22 you, Council Member. Do we have any additional
- 23 questions? I will now ask the Billy Martin,
- 24 | Committee Clerk to call the roll.

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 15
2	CLERK: William Martin, Committee Clerk,
3	roll call vote Committee on Finance. Chair Ferreras-
4	Copeland.
5	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: I vote
6	aye.
7	CLERK: Van Bramer.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: [off mic] I
9	vote aye.
10	CLERK: Gibson.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: I vote aye.
12	CLERK: Cornegy. [pause]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Aye.
14	[background comments, laugher]
15	CLERK: Cumbo.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Aye.
17	CLERK: Levine.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Aye.
19	CLERK: Miller.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: I vote aye.
21	CLERK: Rosenthal.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Aye.
23	[pause]
24	

_	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
2	CLERK: By a vote of 8 in the
3	affirmative, 0 in the negative, and no abstentions,
4	all items have been adopted.
5	CHAIRPERSON FERRERAS-COPELAND: We're
6	going to keep the roll open for the next ten minutes.
7	So staff, if your members are not here yet, please
8	let them know we're going to keep the roll open for
9	ten minutes.
10	[background comments]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Um, uh, could-
12	-could my name be added to two [laughter] of the
13	resolutions. I don't need to know if II'd like it
14	to be added to Council Member Ferreras-Copeland's and
15	Council Member Miller's resolution. Thank you very
16	much. Awesome job.
17	CLERK: Council Member Matteo.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER MATTEO: No. on Reso 868
19	and Preconsidered Reso 4139, and yes on the rest.
20	[pause]
21	CLERK: Continuation roll call the
22	Committee on Finance. Council Member Johnson.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: I vote aye.

25 COUNCIL MEMBER: Thank you.

24

Thank you.

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 17
2	[pause]
3	CLERK: Continuation roll call of the
4	Committee on Finance, Council Member Rodriguez.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Aye.
6	CLERK: The final vote in the Committee
7	on Finance now stands atall items have been adopted
8	on today's agenda by a vote of 11 in the affirmative,
9	0 in the negative and no abstentions with exception
10	of the following items: Resolution 868 and
11	Preconsidered Resolution in relation to the business
12	tax[pause]have been adopted by a vote of 10 in
13	the affirmative, 1 in the negative and no
14	abstentions. Thank you.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 25, 2016