CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY,
JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

----- X

January 14, 2016 Start: 10:09 a.m. Recess: 12:09 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E:

JAMES VACCA Chairperson

VANESSA L. GIBSON Co-Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Annabel Palma

David G. Greenfield
Barry s. Grodenchik
Joseph C. Borelli
Vincent J. Gentile

Julissa Ferreras-Copeland

Jumaane D. Williams Robert E. Cornegy, Jr.

Chaim M. Deutsch Rafael Espinal, Jr.

Rory I. Lancman Ritchie J. Torres

Steven Matteo

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Mark Levine Laurie A. Cumbo Corey D. Johnson

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

David Kirks
Associate Commissioner
New York City Department of Information
Technology and Telecommunications

Inspector Richard Napolitano
Commanding Officer
Communication Division
New York City Police Department

Ronald DiBiase
ECTP Program Integration Manager
New York City Department of Information
Technology and Telecommunications

Christopher Bromson
Deputy Director
Crime Victims Treatment Center

Andres
Representative
Court Legal Interpreting Coalition and
Deaf Community

Marsellette Davis
Representative
Black Deaf Advocates

Nicolyn Plummer Social Workers Barrier Free Living

TRANSCRIPTION NOTE: Inaudible testimony of Nicolyn Plummer beginning at time stamps 01:44:18, 01:50:12 and 01:57:30.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay? Can we start? [laughter] Okay.

Good morning everyone. My name is James
Vacca and I'm Chair of the Committee on Technology.

Today is January 14th and we're here today to discuss
legislation introduced by our Council Members; my
colleagues, Laurie Cumbo, Mark Levine and Vanessa
Gibson, Int. 0868, a bill that would require the

Department of Information Technology and

Telecommunications to create a plan that would allow
the public to communicate digitally with emergency
responders, using the City's 911 system. This

Committee, along with the Committee on Public Safety,
chaired by Vanessa Gibson, will examine the
possibility of allowing New Yorkers to contact 911

via text.

I'd like to thank Chair Gibson for holding this hearing with me and we look forward to hearing today's testimony, and I wanna thank the bill sponsor, Laurie Cumbo especially, she approached me several times to have this hearing and this is

2.2

2.3

something she's enthusiastic about and after I'd seen this legislation, I'm enthusiastic about it also and I thank her for coming up with this suggestion.

There's no doubt that being able to text 911 would be an extremely helpful alternative to calling 911 in many situations. Those who are deaf, hard of hearing or have a speech disability would certainly benefit from having such a service available to them.

Additionally, there are situations in which making a voice call to 911 could be potentially dangerous for someone in peril; incidents of domestic violence, home invasions or active shooter scenarios are examples of situations where texting would be helpful. In each of those instances, dialing a voice call to 911 may alert a potential attacker that emergency personnel are being contacted and the situation could end in tragedy.

There are several municipalities across the country whose 911 systems can accept text messages, including seven counties in New York State. Such a system is called Next Generation 911, which permits digital information, including voice, video, pictures and text, to be transmitted from the public

through an internet protocol-based system to

3 emergency responders. In other words, Next

4 Generation 911 allows members of the public to

contact emergency services through many means other

than direct voice phone calls. Although NG911 has 6

been adopted widely, it's not yet been included as 7

8 part of New York City's 911 system and the FCC does

not mandate it and that's why I really appreciate

Councilwoman Cumbo's forward-looking legislation 10

11 today; it would task DoITT with creating a plan to

12 upgrade to New Generation 911 systems. Since New

13 York City is typically a leader when it comes to

technology, why haven't we put a plan in place to 14

15 implement this system?

1

2

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

For more than a decade the City has been executing the Emergency Communications Transformation Program (ECTP), which is a citywide multibillion dollar endeavor intended to modernize New York City's emergency communication infrastructure. Over the years the ECTP has been plaqued with construction delays, cost overruns and contract issues and was examined by the Department of Investigation in 2014. DoITT's assessment found that components of the

program will not support the development of the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 latest 911 technology standards, but a long-term

3 strategy should be formulated, they say, to include

4 implementation of New Generation 911. While ECTP

5 enters its final stages, it appears the

6 administration is focusing on completing items that

7 suffered previous setbacks, such as PSAC II, the

8 | backup call center in my district.

Today these committees will be examining the feasibility of adding a text communication component to the existing 911 system and the ongoing ECTP project. We look forward to hearing from DoITT, the Police Department and other stakeholders and it is with all that said that I turn the chair over to my co-chair, Chair Vanessa Gibson of Public Safety. Thank you.

much, Chair Vacca and good morning ladies and gentlemen, it's a pleasure to be here, welcome to City Hall. I am Council Member Vanessa Gibson of the 16th District in the Bronx and I am proud to serve as the chair of the City Council's Committee on Public Safety. I truly first thank my colleague and fellow co-chair, Council Member Jimmy Vacca for co-chairing this very important hearing; I thank all of the

members of both the Public Safety and Technology

3 Committee who are here and certainly thank all of my

4 staff.

1

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

This morning we are hearing Int. 0868 relating to creating an emergency mobile text system or text to 911 for out New York City residents. 911 call system is the backbone of public safety and is most often the first point of contact between the community and emergency assistance. According to recent reports, roughly 96% of New York City residents own cell phones and 80% own smartphones; the way we communicate is simply changing and evolving. In this day and age where everyone sends text messages, including yours truly, photos and video, we need to make sure our emergency system is also updated to meet the needs of this changing time. At the end of 2015, over 400 jurisdictions across the country have adopted text to 911 capabilities. calling 911 is still the most preferred method of communication, there are circumstances in which calling for help is simply not feasible.

For example, in Indiana, a carjacking victim who was in the back seat of her own car was able to text 911 to alert the police and the

2 carjacker was arrested. In Texas, a woman who was 3 kidnapped by her partner was saved when she texted 4 911; there are other examples in which individuals 5 who are physically unable to speak or are hearing impaired have been saved by using text to 911. 6 7 San Bernardino, California, a hearing-impaired woman who lived alone was saved during a heart attack after 8 9 These are just a few examples which she texted 911.

I am sure will grow as more jurisdictions across the

country adopt this technology.

While we would not want to impede technological progress, we need to make sure that this system is rolled out in a thoughtful and deliberative manner. The technology is one aspect of the equation, but an equally important part of this conversation is our 911 operators. Our 911 call-takers provide a vital and important function in public safety while working in incredibly stressful situations and environments; we are not trying to replace any of those operators with computers, but instead need to make sure that our 911 call-takers are taken into consideration as we contemplate moving into the next generation of technology.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I believe Int. 0868, which I proudly sign 2 3 onto as a co-sponsor, will help to stimulate the 4 conversation around such important technology. today's hearing I hope to learn from the 5 administration, both DoITT and the NYPD on what steps 6 7 they have taken towards implementing this technology, 8 ongoing conversations with all stakeholders and how this would impact 911 call-takers as well as emergency procedures. I truly wanna emphasize the 10 11 importance of our 911 call-takers; myself, as well as Council Member Cumbo and Council Member Levine and 12 others have taken the time to visit the 911 Call 13 14 Center at MetroTech, we have sat several hours with 15 the call-takers, we have sat with the dispatchers, 16 we've sat with EMS as well as FDNY to really see the 17 day to day operations of the 911 call system. 18 Understanding there are almost 30,000 calls that come 19 into 911 every day on an annual basis, almost 9 20 million phone calls, so we know that whatever 21 technology we are analyzing must be done in a 2.2 thoughtful way. I appreciate the work our call-2.3 takers do every day and the supervisors there, because they do work under extremely difficult 24

situations, taking every emergency call that comes

into the system. My first reaction after my very first visit, because I've been there several times, was I couldn't believe the level of calls and the fact that many individuals call 911 for everything, and so that is something that we are extremely clear about; we will be very considerate and sensitive moving forward, but we certainly want to be a part of a new wave of technology. New York State has been the growing state of lots of different initiatives and we certainly look at technology as an important part of the 21st century, so I am grateful that we're here today; I thank Chair Vacca once more and thank all of my colleagues and especially Chair Cumbo, who chairs the Women's Issues Committee, recognizing this is very important.

I wanna recognize the staff on the

Committee on Public Safety because I am a part of a

team, I don't do this work alone; I wanna thank my

Legislative Counsel, Deepa Ambekar and Beth Golub;

Legislative Policy Analyst, Laurie Wen; our

Legislative Financial Analyst, Ellen Eng; the

Speaker's staff, Theo Moore; Fiza Ali, and my

government staff, Dana Wax and Kaitlyn O'Hagan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

Thank you all for being here; thank you, Chair Vacca and I look forward to a productive hearing.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you Chair

Gibson. I'd like to thank my staff as well, Brad

Reid, Legislative Counsel; Ken Grace, Legislative

Financial Analyst and Stacy Gardener, my Deputy Chief

of Staff and Director of Legislation; Frank Fraioli

[sp?], my Communications Director.

I wanna introduce the sponsor of the bill, Chair Laurie Cumbo.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you so very much. Good afternoon; I am Council Member Laurie Cumbo and I'd like to thank you all for coming today.

much, from the bottom of my heart, Council Member

Vacca as well as Council Member Gibson for their

leadership on this issue. I have been very

persistent over the last year in holding and

requesting to have a hearing on this particular bill

and so I so appreciate all the work that you all have

done with me in order to bring it to this point.

Today's hearing is the result of over a year's worth

of work of us bringing this particular bill to the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

2 forefront and I could not have done it without you.

3 I particularly wanna thank Council Member Gibson,

4 because she has held our hand the entire way in terms

5 of taking us to the call center, introducing us to

6 those dynamic workers, most of them women, who are

7 really and truly essence, the first responders in a

8 sense in terms of when 911 calls come in and they do

9 | an extraordinary job; they are extremely hardworking;

10 some very overworked and so this is really very

11 | important that we had an opportunity to meet them, as

12 | well as with our labor unions and having an

13 popportunity to understand how they see this as well.

I also wanna thank on my staff Dominique Bryant; she is my Constituent Affairs Director, and this particular piece of legislation was her idea; she brought it to the office; she is a resident of Ingersoll Houses and even just two days ago a 67-year-old woman was beaten and robbed in an elevator one building away from her; this woman had to get 10 stitches; she's 67 years old, and when we hear about

22 cases like this, it's prudent for us as members of

23 the City Council to explore and exhaust every single

24 resource to make sure that New Yorkers are safe in

their homes, when they're walking to and from work,

anywhere they are in the city of New York, and so I certainly wanna thank Dominique Bryant for her leadership on this.

4 leadership on this.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Over four decades ago 911 was introduced to the public as a reliable source of assistance during emergencies; the landscape of our world has changed dramatically since then; technology has advanced in a measurable way, yet 911 technology is virtually the same today as it was 40 years ago. the United States, individuals who use 911 must actually dial into a call center; the vast majority of the 911 call centers in our nation use analog equipment that does not have the capability to receive text messages, videos or photos or certain calls from computers. The call centers also don't have the capability to transfer or reroute calls in the instance that they are oversaturated, in the case of a natural disaster, for example, which unfortunately are far too frequent in today's society.

In the year 2016 this shouldn't be the case; as the world changes we have no choice but to adapt. Moreover, an individual should be able to get help from any device at any time, especially those

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

who are caught in a situation where speaking out loud is not possible or when whispers or mumbles are inaudible to someone on the other line, making it more likely for critical information to get lost. This includes victims of high-risk situations where making a phone call is difficult or may put further endanger into peoples' lives in that instance. also includes the deaf and speech-impaired community, as well as victims of domestic violence who are too afraid to dial 911 an operator for fear of being caught or harmed even further by the abuser. includes victims, mainly women, who are sexually assaulted or raped by a perpetrator in a taxicab and nowhere to escape. Just last week the NYPD reported that 14 out of 166 committed rapes by strangers in New York City in the 2015 year happened in taxis, livery cabs and other for-hire vehicles. As Chair of the Women's Issues Committee, I am incredibly concerned about the rise of violence against women in the city of New York; it has escalated in a way that is making every woman feel more vulnerable in the city. Instead of engaging in victim-blaming language, we as public officials should be providing New Yorkers with tools to ensure that they are

4

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 effectively protected and taken care of each and 3 every day; that is why we have put forth Int. 0868, a local law to amend the Administrative Code of the

City of New York in relation to creating an emergency

5

mobile text system, also known as Next Generation 6

911. Next Generation 911 is said to be the most

important technological advancement in public safety 8

communications since mobile radios were first

implemented in emergency response vehicles almost a 10

11 century ago and New York City certainly has to be at

the forefront of this. Next Generation 911 goes 12

13 beyond enabling individuals to send emergency texts;

14 it would also allow for streaming video to and from

15 first responders in the field; it would give call

16 centers the ability to reroute calls to neighboring

17 centers when necessary; it would promote the sharing

18 of information that can ultimately result in valuable

19 information about suspects.

> I just wanna briefly give you some stats from the Federal Communications Commission. Currently there are over 450 counties in the country that have adopted 911 texting, 7 new call centers

were upgraded just between December 2015 and January

2016, 7 counties in New York have 911 call centers

2 adopted emergency text messages, which include

2.2

2.3

3 Chemung in Elmira, Monroe in Rochester, Montgomery in

4 Fultonville, Oneida in Oriskany [sic], Onondaga in

5 Syracuse, Steuben in Bath and Rockland in Pomona.

According to Brian Fontes, the CEO of the National Emergency Number Association that sets the standards for 911 call centers, he mentioned that in the next two to three years an overwhelming number of citizens will have smartphones, almost 85%. Leaders in public safety have been totally satisfied with 911 texting he says, calling on legislators to provide the funds to add 911 texting services. He also noted that the main holdup for 911 texting he says is that leaders in every area of government have not stepped up to the plate and made sure that 911 texting works. It's not a technology problem he says because the upgrades are not overly complex; it's mainly a funding problem.

Lastly, I would like to acknowledge the incredible first responders, the 911 call-takers, the behind-the-scenes heroes that we rarely hear from.

It is their strength and patience that make our city the safest place to live, work and play. I would be remiss if I did not address the need for the study to

include research and recommendations that consider

how the technological advance would impact the 911

call-takers, specifically the need for additional

staffing, professional development and training and

the psychological benefits and challenges, as well as

educating the public about the advanced system.

I wanna thank you again to Chairs Vacca and Gibson for holding this hearing; I wanna thank my co-sponsor, Council Member Mark Levine from Harlem; we have been working very closely together and it has been a pleasure working with you to bring it to this point.

I look forward to hearing feedback on the bill, including ways we can improve it so that we can take law enforcement into the 21st century.

I wanna thank Aminta Kilawan, I wanna thank Drew Gabriel, my Legislative Director, Monica Abend; she is my Deputy Chief of Staff and also the Director of the Women's Issues Committee; Kristia Beaubrun, who is the Communications Director on my staff and everyone who has made this possible, particularly Dominique Bryant, my Constituent Affairs liaison. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you Council 3 Member Cumbo and let me introduce the members of the 4 Council that are here with us today; to my left, Council Member Annabel Palma, Council Member Barry 5 Grodenchik, Council Member Joe Borelli, Council 6 7 Member Cumbo, who you just heard from, myself, Council Member Vacca, Council Member Vanessa Gibson; 8 Council Member Mark Levine. [background comment] Oh, Council Member Robert Cornegy, the tallest person 10 in the room and I missed... I missed the tallest 11 12 person. See, that's what happens. [background

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And very briefly.

Thank you, Chair Vacca, thank you Chair Gibson; thank you, Council Member Cumbo for your leadership on this; proud to be working with all of you.

comments] Oh, Council Member Levine wishes to say

some words. Council Member Levine.

It's great to see Inspector Napolitano
here; as Council Member Gibson mentioned, the day we
got to spend with your team, the frontline calltakers, was I think really important in the
development of our understanding of this complicated
system and of our appreciation for just how intense
and stressful and challenging the work of the call-

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

takers is; I don't know of any job in the city that
has that kind of constant pressure where the life of

4 people is in your hands minute to minute, hour by

5 hour; we wanna give those important frontline workers

6 new tools, we wanna give them new technology and we

7 wanna give the people of New York a chance to connect

to emergency services via the means that are becoming

9 more popular and effective in the 21st century.

I don't need to repeat all the compelling cases in which having this option could mean the difference between life and death, but I do wanna add a little bit of color by reading you a recent text exchange that took place last week in Alpharetta, Georgia; it started with a woman named Lisa Collis texting: "Does 911 work on text?" And an operator responded: "Alpharetta 911. If it is safe to do so, call 911; if not, what is the address of the emergency?" Miss Collis responds: "I'm at the Old Navy by North Point Mall; someone left two children in a car; they're about 2 and 5 years old, I think; I'm deaf." Operator responds: "Okay, what type of vehicle?" Miss Collis: "It's silver; it's parked right in front of the store." Operator: "Car, truck, SUV or van?" Miss Collis: "Car." Operator: "Two or

2 four doors?" Miss Collis: "Small car; I'm inside

3 store now, so I can't see the make; I'm keeping an

4 eye on it." Operator: "Thank you for texting; I have

5 an officer on the way to your location; would you

6 like to meet with her?" Miss Collis: "Sure, I will

7 wait for the officer."

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

It's believed that may have saved those two children's lives and people in New York simply wanna know, if you can text 911 in Alpharetta, Georgia; why can't you text 911 in New York City? Ιf in Honolulu you can send in a picture of a suspect on 911 via text to help in an apprehension; why can't you do it in New York City? If in Houston you can send in video of a crime that could aid in prosecution; why can't you do that in New York City? If in Indianapolis, if you are under attack in a situation in which it's not safe to speak, you can text 911 to call for help; why can't you do that in New York City? There's really no need to even debate the compelling interest in this city catching up with hundreds of jurisdictions around the country who have already moved to the 21st century, there's just no debate; we almost don't need to spend time on the topic; the question is, how can we get there, when

DAVID KIRKS:

I do.

25

2 RICHARD NAPOLITANO: I do.

3 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Thank you.

Who would like to start off?

2.2

2.3

DAVID KIRKS: I'll start.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: 'Kay, please

introduce yourself for the record.

DAVID KIRKS: My name is David Kirks; I am the Associate Commissioner at Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications (DoITT).

Good morning Chairs Vacca and Gibson and members of the City Council Committees on Technology and Public Safety. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today on Int. 0868, which would requite DoITT to develop a plan to allow the public to communicate digitally with emergency responders using the City's 911 system. I would also like to take the opportunity to update you on the steps the City is currently taking to do so.

New York City's 911 emergency

communications system handles more than 10 million

calls each year, delivering emergency; often

lifesaving services through an expert combination of

people, processes and technology. So I begin by

2 stating that the administration shares with the

3 Council the goal of this proposed legislation,

4 enhanced access to emergency services for the public

5 and has in fact begun working to develop a long-term

6 strategy for implementing a next-generation 911

7 system or Next Gen 911.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

As you are aware, when dealing with lifesaving systems, even a single error is an error we must do all we can to prevent; therefore, we must always carefully conduct due diligence before proposing or making changes to the systems and/or processes that fuel our 911 operations.

A review conducted by the City has revealed that while some municipalities have made progress with text to 911, to date no large city in the country, and certainly none comparable in size, scope or complexity to New York City, has successfully implemented a comprehensive Next Gen 911 system. So before updating the Committees on the progress the City has made with this long-term Next Gen 911 planning, it is beneficial to outline, from a technology perspective, what moving to Next Gen 911 means.

2

1

45

67

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

In order for people to move from older analog telephones, landlines to current cell phone technology that enables text, video and data transfers, mobile carriers have had to build out entirely new digital infrastructure separate from their copper-based legacy networks and in order to offer 911 users the same features, 911 systems across the country must make the same transition to an alldigital network infrastructure. While texting to 911 will be available as part of any Next Gen 911 system, some jurisdictions, as has been pointed out, are implementing a version of texting prior to a Next Gen 911 rollout. That said; text to analog 911 remains a developing technology with limited market deployment and multiple open technical concerns. However, we are committed to continue working with NYPD and FDNY to find an acceptable solution in the short-term provided such a solution does not in any way compromise the City's ability to quickly and effectively respond to emergencies.

So what we have done thus far; DoITT has created a Next Gen 911 project team in the summer of 2015 to develop a path forward with all stakeholder agencies. We received approval from the 911

2 Executive Steering Committee consisting of top

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 executives from the First Deputy Mayor's Office, the

4 Mayor's Office of Operations, NYPD, FDNY, and DoITT

5 to move forward with the proposed path.

Next we will be releasing a request for information to assess options for migration to a Next Gen 911 system for New York City. This RFI is still in an early step in our comprehensive planning process but will provide us with a better understanding of the benefits, challenges, risks and issues associated with migrating to a Next Gen 911 platform. This RFI will help drive our decisions around Next Gen 911 technologies by surveying experts across the industry and ensuring a holistic look at the City's options for seamlessly integrating the processing of traditional voice calls with various types of modern and emergency forms of communication. Responses to this RFI will help the City create a Next Gen 911 system that ensures highest standards of public safety and emergency response all with the framework of 911's operational needs and specifications.

While the City is best positioned to make determinations about what technologies and practices

2.2

2.3

all New Yorkers.

fit with its functional requirements, throughout this
process we are committed to looking at the innovative
solutions the private sector has to offer even beyond
texting in rolling out a state of the art system for

We have described today our efforts to plan for a Next Gen 911 system and our commitment to work with NYPD and FDNY to identify potential interim solutions, well aware that any change to a system as vital and complex as our 911 system must be approached in as cautious and deliberate manner as possible. We encourage the Council's continued feedback and input as we work together on a safer, more responsive New York City for all. Thank you.

I'd like to now turn it over to Inspector Napolitano.

RICHARD NAPOLITANO: Good morning Chair

Gibson, Chair Vacca and members of the Council. I'm

Inspector Richard Napolitano, Commanding Officer of
the Communication Division of the New York City

Police Department. In that capacity I oversee all

NYPD operations at the 911 call center, including

call-taking and dispatching. On behalf of Police

Commissioner William J. Bratton, I wish to thank the

City Council for the opportunity to comment on Int.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

0868 as it relates to the Police Department's participation in the current 911 call-taking process.

Int. 0868 would require the Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications to create a plan to upgrade the City's 911 system to Next Generation 911 which would allow the public to communicate digitally with NYPD call-takers using the City's 911 system. The system would allow the public to send digital communications, including text messages, videos and photographs to 911.

At the outset of my testimony I wanna state very clearly that the NYPD strongly supports the move to this technology. While Int. 0868 certainly contemplates important technological advancements that will eventually be made to the City's 911 system, the Police Department agrees with DoITT and the administration that these upgrades to the system must be made thoughtfully, given the significant operational, training and staffing implications involved in upgrading a complex system.

NYPD personnel at the 911 call center received 10 million last year; as you probably know by the volume of calls, it is the largest 911 system in the United States. Under this administration and

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with the help of this Council, the Police Department has increased the headcount of police communication technicians, commonly known as 911 operators and police dispatchers, which has resulted in handling calls more quickly and efficiently while also reducing the reliance on overtime. There are significant operational implications associated with moving to a system that allows text to 911 communication. When call-takers answer a 911 call they are trained to ask a series of questions, all of which are designed to elicit pieces of information that are ultimately used to inform an emergency response. As an example, all 911 callers are asked where is the emergency and what is the emergency. Different types of emergencies require different sets of follow-up questions; the answers to these questions are often essential to ensuring that the responding officers, firefighters or EMTs have the information that they need to respond efficiently, effectively and safely; they are also necessary to be able to prioritize jobs so that we could provide the best service possible to the public. Text to 911 has implications for the back and forth dialogue that our call-takers use day in and day out to ask these

2 questions and get this information; accordingly,

3 there are certainly operational issues we will have

4 to work through to implement any text to 911 system.

5 There are also significant training implications

6 associated with moving to a text to 911 system. Our

7 dedicated PCTs receive rigorous training, entry level

8 call-takers receive nine weeks of training, radio

9 dispatchers receive an additional five weeks; in-

10 service training is also conducted in order to ensure

11 | that our PCTs have the skills to use the most up-to-

12 date procedures.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

As the intake point to the 911 system,

PCTs are strictly and rigorously trained on how to

handle each and every one of these approximately

27,000 daily emergency calls into the 911 call center

with efficiency and precision. Next Generation 911

would dramatically change the duties of PCTs and such
significant changes would require substantial

retraining. The 911 system and PCT training are

exclusively based on telephone calls and verbal

questions and answers; accepting and analyzing text,

video and photos from the public will require an

entirely new training curriculum. Finally, there

will certainly be new headcount requirements

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

associated with this change; we are in the process of working through out proposals on hiring associated with text to 911 so that we can ensure that this technology will not hinder response times.

We agree that there are benefits to an emergency mobile text system, especially as it relates to domestic violence emergencies or in instances where a person is hiding or unable to speak into a phone, but it benefits all New Yorkers that these important upgrades be dealt with in a meticulous and cautious manner. The NYPD and the administration are committed to further improving the 911 system through technological advancements as well as through collaborations with City agencies and the Council.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you this morning and I am happy to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I thank you and I do wanna mention we've been joined by Council Member Julissa Ferreras and Council Member Steve Matteo.

Thank you both for your testimony, I ... [background comment] oh Council Member Gentile is also here, sorry. I did wanna go into some questions 2 regarding the RFI; now the RFI is referred to in your

3 testimony and Mr. Kirks, can you walk us through what

4 an RFI is and what steps are taken; what does an RFI

5 result in; what is the chronology and the timetable

6 involved?

2.2

2.3

DAVID KIRKS: Yes, an RFI is a communication of the needs for Next Gen 911 that the City has, so it includes scope statements of the kinds of technology solutions that the City is looking for Next Gen 911; it references various standards that the system should adhere to and it is communicated to industry and it looks for industry to provide responses in referencing and describing solutions that they have that they could offer the City; then one of the next steps is to invite those respondents into some meetings with the City and further understand what their offerings are so then we can be well-informed as to what kind of technology solutions out there that are applicable to our particular environment.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So in this case you're issuing an RFI and you are expecting people to come back with proposals; your RFI is going to have a list of variables that it's going to address and want

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 33 2 those who submit proposals to address; is the 3 legislation that Council Member Cumbo has put forth; 4 is that included as one of the specifications that 5 anyone submitting an RFI must include in their 6 package? 7 DAVID KIRKS: Well when you say proposal, I wanna make sure that we're clear; it doesn't 8 include cost information, this is really about solutions that the... [interpose] 10 11 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No, no, but are 12 you specifying that you want the RFI to include a 13 texting mechanism for people to communicate with 911; is that in your... is that in your RFI...? [crosstalk] 14 15 DAVID KIRKS: Yes it is. Yes it is. Yes 16 it is. CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So is that one of 17 18 many things you're looking for? 19 DAVID KIRKS: It is one of many things; 20 the other part is the upgrade of technology that's a 21 part of the Next Gen 911 system. CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So could someone 2.2 2.3 submit a proposal, or an RFI, could someone submit an RFI that possibly does not include the texting 24

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 34 2 component and still be a successful bidder, so to 3 speak...? [interpose] 4 DAVID KIRKS: No. CHAIRPERSON VACCA: No? DAVID KIRKS: No. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So they would have to 8 When they submit an RFI to your office, your office assesses the RFIs, and what do you do next; do you then put together an RFP? 10 11 DAVID KIRKS: Yes, once we invite the 12 respondents in and we assess the completeness and 13 quality of their offerings, then the next step would 14 be to issue a request for proposal. 15 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Alright, and then you 16 assess request for proposals and then you basically 17 will arrive at a proposal that you like the best and 18 then there is a process of approval and review that 19 must take place before that RFP becomes effective? 20 DAVID KIRKS: Yes, we would follow the 21 City's procedures and procurement and contracting 2.2 procedures. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Okay. Now, this process we've spoken about is how long of a process; 24 do we anticipate this would involve?

25

. |

DAVID KIRKS: I'm afraid I wouldn't be able to give you a precise answer on that; it's dependant upon the procurement process of the City; I'm happy to get you a... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I'm going to a certain place here because I'm aware in the past that we've issued RFIs and no one has responded at all and I'm also aware that in the past we've issued RFIs, never proceeding to an RFP and then there have been times where an RFI has been issued and it takes a year-and-a-half to two years until the RFP process is completed... [crosstalk]

DAVID KIRKS: Uhm-hm. Uhm-hm.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So my contention
here, where I'm going with this line of questioning
is that I do not feel that you're coming forth today
saying that there is an RFI coming out; I do not feel
that that negates the need for Council Member Cumbo's
legislation. My question to you is that are you
prepared to take the text messaging component and
implement it separate from an RFI if we as the
legislative body feel that this is a priority with
us?

2.2

2.3

our current system.

DAVID KIRKS: Well our current plans right now are; we are working with NYPD to assess text to 911 solutions and that is independent of going forward with a full Next Gen 911 solution, so we're already working on that with NYPD to assess potential solutions out there that would be a part of

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: What is your timetable on that; how long have you been engaged in these discussions and what is your timetable as to a conclusion on those discussions?

DAVID KIRKS: Well we began that, as I mentioned before, the summer of 2015, engaged with the plan and we've also been engaged with NYPD to assess potential solutions for a text to 911 system; in terms of how long will that take, I really hesitate to give you an exact date because we're not finished with the assessment of potential solutions.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Would I be correct in stating that you have put this on the fast track and given this a priority?

DAVID KIRKS: Yes, you can say that. We have a project team that's dedicated, reports to me,

administration coming to these hearings and the

2.2

2.3

administration telling us not only that they support
the legislation and concept, which I am confident you
do...

DAVID KIRKS: We do.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: but that based on what we're hearing today, based on our hearing today, what revisions would you recommend; what parts of the legislation do you think we should reconsider, so we're looking for that type of input; that's part of the hearing process...

DAVID KIRKS: Uhm-hm.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: so I really need to hear from the administration not just that you support the concept of Ms. Cumbo's bill, which I feel confident you do, but I need to know from you, as part of your preparation for today's hearing, what suggestions do you have to make the bill even better or where do you see difficulty in some of the wording, because we need your input as we proceed. So do you have any input concerning that today?

DAVID KIRKS: Well my input would be that we're currently assessing a program plan and to state that we would have to have a specific timeline I think would sort of hamstring us because this is... we

2.2

2.3

testimony.

much. Thank you, Chair Vacca and thank you so much,
Associate Commissioner, thank you, Mr. Kirks, as well
as Inspector Napolitano and I also wanna recognize
the presence of the NYPD Deputy Commissioner for
Technology, Jessica Tisch, who oversees all the
technology at the NYPD, which this Council has been
very supportive of, equipping all of our officers
with smartphones and tablets, so we're very excited
about that. Thank you for your presence and your

So I am excited about Next Gen and I know that while we are in preliminary conversations, the Steering Committee composed of several stakeholders is very important; I just wanted to ask, with the RFI that will, you know, soon be announced, other than text to 911; what other capabilities are we looking for from the industry surrounding advancing 911, besides text messaging, what other capabilities are we looking for?

DAVID KIRKS: So the primary components of this will be to transition from an analog-based infrastructure to digital-based... [crosstalk]

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 41
2	CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Right, to
3	digital. Uhm-hm.
4	DAVID KIRKS: so that it can readily
5	receive the texts and the multimedia inputs from
6	callers. But that's not the only thing; it really
7	moves us into a complete digital infrastructure so
8	that the new innovative technologies can be
9	integrated into that infrastructure much more easily
10	than the analog-based systems. Another important
11	component of it is cyber security; we have to make
12	sure that as we move to an all digital-based system
13	that we adequately protect that system from any cyber
14	attacks.
15	CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So that includes,
16	in addition to texting, photos and images as well
17	when you talk about a full… [interpose]
18	DAVID KIRKS: Yeah.
19	CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: digital system?
20	DAVID KIRKS: Full digital inputs.
21	CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.
22	DAVID KIRKS: Yeah.
23	CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And I wanna
24	[interpose]
25	DAVID KIRKS: And also excuse me

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 42 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: 2 Uhm-hm. 3 DAVID KIRKS: And also, with the 4 recording systems that we have in place, those would have to be updated to be digitally-based. 5 CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Does that 6 7 also include conversations around storage of data as well? 8 9 DAVID KIRKS: It does. CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, so pretty 10 11 much everything except cost is what we're looking for 12 from the industry? 13 DAVID KIRKS: Yes, that's correct... 14 CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. 15 DAVID KIRKS: in the RFI it does not 16 [crosstalk] 17 CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: In the RFI. 18 Right. So while I know Chair Vacca talked about an 19 actual timeline for the RFI of which we don't have a 20 definitive answer just yet, I wanted to ask, within 21 the RFI, is there an evaluation process that you're 2.2 looking for from the industry as you solicit requests 2.3 for information?

2.2

2.3

DAVID KIRKS: We'll be looking for ...

maturity of technology, will be a key component of
that.

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And besides maturity, anything else?

DAVID KIRKS: The degree of deployment of the solution, so not only how advanced the technology is, but adoption of the technology, the compliance with the national standards as well.

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. What has been the conversations with some of the other jurisdictions; I know there are several states that we may have had conversations with, but even within New York State, we identified I believe seven counties right now that have a 911 to text mechanism, including Chemung County, I believe Wyoming; there were several counties in pretty much western New York that have this mechanism, so have there been any conversations around their implementation and what that would mean for us?

DAVID KIRKS: There have been discussions, not only with areas in New York, but also other states, such as Oregon and their adoption of Next Gen 911...

2 CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DAVID KIRKS: I would have to get you the details of those discussions, but the experts that we have hired have been engaged with different municipalities.

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okav. And so we identify Chemung, Monroe, Montgomery, Oneida, Onondaga, probably the closest to New York City, Rockland County and Steuben County that have a 911 system -- Chemung, Monroe, Montgomery, Oneida, Onondaga, Rockland and Steuben. So I wanted to ask, in addition to the RFI, understanding how popular texting is, if this is something that we are to implement, understanding that it is an option, right, so it would not be the primary level of communication; would there be any conversations or have there been conversations around a public service announcement campaign raising the awareness to the public on a mechanism of text and video footage in addition to the basic and primary communication of phone calls; has that been a part of the Steering Committee's conversations?

DAVID KIRKS: The public awareness campaign has been discussed as an integral part of

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

the program; working with NYPD we recognize that rolling out a new capability, the public needs to be aware of that is a new feature. I would ask if you have comments in terms of the impact to the operational aspects and the public's interaction.

RICHARD NAPOLITANO: Let me just say thank you, the Council, for acknowledging the hard work and dedication of our 911 dispatchers and operators.

As far as educating the public, we all believe that would be incredibly helpful; we spoke with several other states; counties that have simple, you know, call if you can; text if you can messages like that that we can work with the Council gettin' that out to the public and just driving that home so they don't overuse the text to 911.

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: So in a lot of the conversations what have you learned in terms of some of the best practices that these jurisdictions have adopted that we could certainly adopt, understanding there's no other locality like the City of New York, their demand is much smaller, their population is much smaller, so have they adopted any

2 911 that we could incorporate in our current

3 | conversations?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We, as the Associate RONALD DIBIASE: Commissioner had indicated, many months ago had started research into the best approaches for a text to 911, an interim solution until we got to Next Gen, when we can implement a full host of enhanced functionality, and we've come upon many different implementations, there are many vendors out there. So the points that have been brought out, due diligence definitely needs to be done to understand lessons learned from these different municipalities and then what's best for our situation here in New York City. As the Inspector had indicated, we do between 25-27,000 calls a day; once a texting implementation is complete and it gets out to the public, there's no way to pull it back, so we have to be absolutely sure in the manner that we're going to implement this, both from a technology perspective and also from an operational perspective.

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. In addition, recognizing, I think Inspector, you talked about the training that current call-takers go through as of now as well as the dispatchers; do you

2 have an idea of the other jurisdictions, how long

3 their training was and are we looking to also

4 incorporate some of the same level of training for

5 | text to 911, and then secondly, some of the

6 | localities that I talked about, counties upstate,

7 what they have done is they have a set of 911 call-

8 | takers that just do voice calls and then they have a

9 subset of call-takers that handle texts and you know,

10 other forms of communication, so are those

11 | conversations, are we looking at that and also, what

12 do you think the training would look like?

13 RICHARD NAPOLITANO: Yes, we have spoken

14 | to them about that and their training was

15 approximately a day or less; again, they have much

16 | smaller departments, probably much fewer issues that

17 | we would encounter, and the issue with our training,

18 | which would require a decent amount of time, is that

19 | anytime I pull people off of the 911 floor I have to

20 | backfill that position with somebody on ordered

21 | overtime, so due to our large number of employees,

22  $\parallel$  approximately 1400 with the PCTs and the supervisors,

23 | if I even train 20 a day you can see that it would

| take... that's approximately 70 days just to train the

25 | floor.

24

2.2

2.3

Speaking with the other jurisdictions, I

noticed that a common concern for them was texting in

different languages and that was the most common

issue that they spoke about; they've used some

translation services, but then that is also a problem

of facts being lost in translation. So we will take

learn from the things that they've been through already and move forward.

a very close look at these types of matters; we can

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, thank you;

I appreciate that; that was my next question in terms of language access, multiple languages and TTY calls, just to make sure that that is a part of the conversation; if we open the door for a new mechanism of 911 calls, obviously we have to be very concerned about those whose first language is not English, because you know, that's the city that we represent. So that is a part of our thoughts and it will be a part of the conversation?

RICHARD NAPOLITANO: Absolutely.

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay, great. So

I just have one final question before I turn it over
to my chair. In terms of a lot of the challenges
that you identify that we really must be cognizant

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of, the workforce, the training, the staffing, the overtime; is this something that we ideally can see happening in the foreseeable future with technology advancing and just lots of different waves of technology; is this something that -- I don't wanna say that we're overly going to embrace, but something that we really can see happening for the future? mean when you hear some of these stories in other locations, I mean it's pleasing to see that those localities have it, because we don't know what could be the end result had that, you know, option not been available and so I want us collectively as an administration, as stakeholders very involved in these conversations to really look at this as something that could be positive. Knowing the challenges that we face, I understand, but certainly I think, you know, myself, I'll speak just for myself; I am ready to work with all of you to address a lot of those challenges so that this is something that we could realize and once the RFI is out, looking at what the industry will tell us, since they are the experts doing this work all the time and giving us some best practices on what has been happening and how we can effectively embrace this.

with what DoITT and NYPD has already been thinking

about and so that's why, you know, we are grateful

that you support the concept, the idea of this bill

2.3

24

2 because I think we're both having the same

conversations and we both wanna get to the same goal, so this bill is really calling for a study; it's not saying implement this tomorrow or next fiscal year, but it is saying let's look at the industry, let's look at best practices, other jurisdictions and what

8 we can do a city to adopt a lot of these options for

the residents of this city. Thank you gentlemen and

10 | I'll turn it back over to Chair Vacca.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: One question quickly. Have you looked at the fact that you can now text 311 as something you would possibly replicate or model after? You can now text 311. So did you look at that model to think of how that could be replicated?

DAVID KIRKS: That's something that we are looking at as one of the options or the solutions, is what they've implemented. Yes, absolutely... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you.

DAVID KIRKS: So that's on the technology, but I think on the, obviously on the NYPD side there's a different aspect of that in terms of how the information is taken and enacted upon.

2.2

2.3

RICHARD NAPOLITANO: No, I was not.

\_

this?

also been many articles and press conferences that we had put out in regards to this and had begun the process of doing the work legislatively, having our counsels draft the legislation, introducing it at a City Council Stated Meeting and also having press conferences following, so I'm a bit confused how we've been working on these separate tracks for so long, up until now in January, so my concern or I guess my question is; how do you anticipate working alongside us as we have the legislation we're putting forward and you all have really created somewhat of a team of individuals that are already working on this; how do you anticipate working with the Council on

DAVID KIRKS: Well I think through the Mayor's Office, I'm sure we'd be happy to share the results of all of our... information that we gather from industry and as we put a plan together, share that with the Council.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I would appreciate that immensely, because I feel like it doesn't make sense for us to spin our wheels and expend energy and to do research when we're looking, as Council Member

Gibson said, to get to the same goal, so it's very important that we share this information because this is a very important and timely piece of legislation.

I wanted to ask you; have you thought about this or has there been discussion around this as it pertains to work around terrorism in the City of New York? So are a lot of cities looking at this 911 text system as also a safety measure when it comes to acts of terrorism, with campaigns such as our "If you see something, say something," or the ability that text 911 would give immediately on the scene to be able to share video, photographs, information that's critical at a very time-sensitive period where you can capture that information and allow first responders to have that while entering into a situation?

RICHARD NAPOLITANO: Yes, that is something we considered, obviously the call volume and when it's text-capable, the volume of text messages would go up by a large amount; we would put that in our testing scripts to make sure that the system is capable of receiving these volumes. So we actually did speak of incidents of that because that

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

would affect us, just the sheer volume alone. So that is something that we looked into.

4 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Would you 5 anticipate, from the other cities that you'r

anticipate, from the other cities that you're doing work with, and obviously we're the largest municipality; have you anticipated a rough estimate,

a broad estimate in terms of how much this would

9 actually cost in terms of a ballpark figure?

DAVID KIRKS: No, we're still collecting information in terms of what other municipalities have spent to date on not only text to 911, but as they move towards Next Gen 911. The difficulty in this information, to get, is; they are on a very much different scale, as is New York City; even the state of Oregon, as they've gone to Next Gen 911, the complexity of their systems are not even in the same league as New York City. So we have to understand that while they may have spent a certain of money in transitioning to Next Gen 911, New York City, with its complexities is really on a different scale, so we have to recognize that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Do you have any, right here today, any figures in terms of how much it

2.2

2.3

of with any agencies.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Are other agencies working on it or you don't know if other agencies are working on it or are they working... [crosstalk]

DAVID KIRKS: As we work with NYPD on the text to 911, for example.

setting, in an ideal setting, if everything goes, similar to Council Member Jimmy Vacca's questions, if everything goes as the way you would want it in terms of after the RFP and individual companies send in proposals and that sort of thing, what would be your ideal timeline if everything went according to how you'd like to see it implemented?

DAVID KIRKS: You know I'd be just guessing a number; my ideal would be, you know, if we had it next year I would be extremely pleased, but I know with the complexities of the 911 call-taking system, things like that, I know that's not gonna happen. That's part of the assessment process is looking at the technologies that are available to us; then doing that assessment as to how long it'll take to transition to the Next Gen technologies.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: What have been some of the challenges that other counties have

2 stated, cites or states, that they have stated in the

911 Next Gen process; what have been some of the
setback or some of the challenges or things that have

5 complicated the process for them?

2.2

2.3

DAVID KIRKS: It's really been around the complexity of the integration of the new technologies, moving from an existing production environment or you know, the real operational environment and then transitioning that to another environment that's based upon the all-digital technology, those are the biggest challenges.

Obviously with the campaigns to the public, making sure that they're aware of the new capabilities that are being offered by this new technology.

final question goes in terms of -- it's more of I guess a comment than a question, is just that given the interest of time right now and given the complexities of New York City, everywhere from natural disasters and we've seen terrorist attacks here and also abroad, is really a matter of timing and I really just want to express that this is something that we really wanna see moved on aggressively, effectively, conclusively and with more

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

60

2 transparency, because this is certainly something

3 that we should do collaboratively and together. I

4 also just want to acknowledge that my Constituent

5 Affairs Director, Dominique Bryant is here, who

6 conceived of this idea -- Dominique, stand, wave a

7 little bit, there we go -- and just wanna acknowledge

8 here because this has been a tremendous amount of

9 work that she's put forward, so we certainly wanna

10 see this move forward and expeditiously and we

11 certainly wanna be able to do it together. So that

12 | concludes my questions, but thank you for your

13 | testimony and I look forward to working with you.

DAVID KIRKS: Thank you.

RICHARD NAPOLITANO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Council

Member Gibson had a question.

ask, in terms of the current conversations with stakeholders, looking at the text to 911, seeing some challenges with FD and EMS; are they a part of the conversations and to what extent are they giving you feedback on whether this process will be more complicated for them as compared to like police

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 emergencies, compared to medical and fire? Would you
3 happen to know?

RICHARD NAPOLITANO: Speaking with them, we would have to... again, we would test how we send text messages back and forth, because right now all the calls come into an NYPD operator, originally, and then if it's a medical emergency, we transfer it to EMS; if it's a fire emergency, we transfer it to the Fire Department. So how would we go about processing these texts; would we send it as a group text and get the Fire Department involved and we all text together? These are issues that we're really gonna have to drill down and you know, nail it.

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And in terms of the storage, right now I don't think any of us understand how calls are stored into the 911 call system, so I know a part of the RFI discussion is storage and storage capacity, but would there be a difference... well the other jurisdictions that have texting now, is their storage of phone calls different from texts and pictures; is that a different storage process or is it all put in one database?

2.2

2.3

2.

2.2

2.3

RICHARD NAPOLITANO: One of the agencies

I spoke with, it was very different; in fact they
didn't store it other than what the details were that
they typed into their ICAD system that they would be
dispatching to the units in the field. So just say
that text message -- I'll try to explain it -- the
text message comes in, they type in the information
into another system and the information in that other
system was saved, but not the actual text messages.
We would look to obviously save all the information
that came in. So some other agencies, yes, they
treat it completely different.

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And what about some of the current TTY information, would that be useful for the texting to 911?

RICHARD NAPOLITANO: TTY is used so infrequently in the NYPD that last year we've only handled 4 TTY calls you could say, tech... [crosstalk]

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: For the year?

RICHARD NAPOLITANO: for the year, and I spoke with many of my call-takers that have been around for many years and they can't remember ever handling, so just to give some idea that I don't

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 63 2 think there's enough calls from TTY to really make 3 that information helpful to us. CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: And another part 4 5 of the conversation for us is the new technology that police officers have; with their new smartphones they 6 7 are able to receive 911 information; correct? 8 RICHARD NAPOLITANO: That's correct. 9 CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. So are we also looking at how that information would be 10 11 transferred to the officer's phone if it's not an 12 actual phone message, but rather an image or a text? 13 RICHARD NAPOLITANO: Sure, that would be something that we would definitely look closely at. 14 15 CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. Are we 16 also talking to -- you know, I'm very big on 17 stakeholders and in addition to the 911 call-takers, the union representation as well; are we talking to 18 19 police officers to see if this is something that they 20 would also embrace, now that they have latest and up-21 to-date information; are we talking to some of the officers as well? 2.2 2.3 RICHARD NAPOLITANO: Yes. And as stated earlier by the Council, everybody that I speak to, we 24

do embrace it and we look forward to text to 911.

2.2

2.3

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And while

I know my -- I was hoping my co-chair would bring it

up; I just wanted to ask a very quick question on the

PSAC II and how that is relative to this current

conversation. Do you know where we are with PSAC II

and in terms of the RFI and with Next Gen, how does

all of that intertwine together?

DAVID KIRKS: Yes, with regards to PSAC II, we're proceeding with a plan to open it in June of this year... [interpose]

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay.

DAVID KIRKS: as has been previously announced, and as far as the Next Gen 911, this is where the technologies that we're... the digital-based technologies that we're looking at, is this would be the environments that we would then transition the analog components into digital. So it would be across both PSACs in Manhattan, as well as in the Bronx.

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Okay. And just one final question -- I always have lots of questions -- in terms of workforce, Inspector, I think you outlined that, obviously with implantation of such a mechanism there would be a conversation around

headcount and with the increased numbers of 911 PCTs, do you have a number of where you think we should be in terms of call-takers, right now we're at 1250, right; do you have an idea of what we would need to be at in terms of implementation of such a measure?

RICHARD NAPOLITANO: We would need more is the simple answer. The text messages [background comment] exactly how many more, I don't want to sound greedy and ask for too many, so I would rather just say we could use more call-takers and speaking to other jurisdictions, text messaging does take longer, obviously, a text conversation may take triple the amount of time as a verbal conversation or perhaps even more, so I believe that it would help to hire more PCTs before we roll out the text to 911.

will imagine that we're also looking at whatever that new headcount would look like and be, we would also make sure that the additional training, every PCT would be trained so we wouldn't have like other jurisdictions has a subset of just dedicated trained PCTs that work on just text and pictures and then the others do all the phone calls, so our system,

2.2

2.3

DAVID KIRKS: Thank you.

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RICHARD NAPOLITANO: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Your testimony, Mr. Kirks, refers to a possible interim solution, your last paragraph says, "We described today our efforts to plan for a Next Generation 911 system and our commitment to work with NYPD and FDNY to identify potential interim solutions because we're 1 COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY, JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY
2 well aware that any change to a system as

2.2

2.3

well aware that any change to a system as vital and complex as 911 must be approached in a cautious and deliberate manner." Can you tell me where are those discussions now and what options for potential interim solutions have you explored?

DAVID KIRKS: The interim solutions that

I referred to are with regards to text to 911. Yes.

And so we have been work with NYPD to look at a

number of different potential application solutions

in the industry and how they might work within our

environment, and none of those that we've looked at

thus far have been suitable, have been true

candidates for ready adoption, so we continue looking

at other options.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: So when you say interim solutions, you're looking at interim solutions that could be outside the RFI context; you're looking to do something sooner because there is a timeline with the RFI that could be substantial?

DAVID KIRKS: The interim solution would be earlier than the RFI, which refers to Next Gen 911; that's correct.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Alright. Council
Member Cumbo's legislation requires a study and sets

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 68 2 a date by which the City must report to the Council 3 and their efforts. It seems to me that you are 4 studying the issue; I think the issue with the legislation is that perhaps you are concerned with a timeline that the Council requires, but I would 6 7 suggest to you that that is something we can work 8 out, because I think that between the interim effort and the RFI, we in this Council want to emphasize our oversight responsibility and I think that this bill 10 11 gives us that vehicle. So I think that we could work 12 expeditiously on making this bill a reality and I 13 would need your assistance and I hope that we can 14 count on the administration's help. 15 DAVID KIRKS: We'd be please to continue 16 this... 17 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: 'Kay. 18 DAVID KIRKS: and support it. 19 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Alright, thank you. 20 I hear no other questions from the Council, so I will now call upon our second panel; I wanna than our 21 2.2 first panel, thank you very much. I call up on 2.3 Christopher Bromson and Andres Piadrachita [sp?], I think. If there's anyone else who wants to speak, we 24

have slips; please fill them out, and I want to thank

the representatives that are here from the hearing impaired community and I see the captioning and it's something that I've advocated for a long time; we in the Council don't have this service at the current time and I think having it today is fantastic and we welcome you to our proceedings and we thank you for your patience. Okay, Mr. Bromson; would you want to go first please, introduce yourself for the record.

CHRISTOPHER BROMSON: Sure. My name is

Christopher Bromson and I'd like to begin by thanking

very sincerely Council Member Chair Gibson and Chair

Vacca and all of the members of both of these

committees and also Council Member Cumbo, her staff

and all the co-sponsors of this bill; we're very

pleased to see it.

Like I said, my name is Christopher

Bromson and I am the Deputy Director of the Crime

Victims Treatment Center (CVTC) at Mount Sinai, St.

Luke's and Roosevelt Hospitals and also the co-chair

of the Downstate Coalition for Crime Victims

Legislative Committee. Between these two roles, I

spend each professional day from beginning to end

working with survivors of violent crime and today I'm

2.2

2.3

here as an ally of my deaf colleagues and the deaf
community at large.

address and identify the needs of underserved populations of crime victims; underserved populations include non-English speaking survivors, teens and adolescents, sexually exploited people, young men of color; all of these are underserved populations and we've been pretty successful in engaging those communities.

Because of this, three years ago we received a grant from the United States Department of Justice Office on Violence Against Women to increase therapeutic and advocacy services to the deaf and hard of hearing community, specifically survivors of sexual and intimate partner violence. The grant brought together executive leadership from five very prominent organizations in the community -- CVTC, Barrier-Free Living and Harlem Independent Living Center, both of whom are in this room, Connect and the Manhattan District Attorney's Office. Our goal was to work together to create culture change within all of our own organizations that would allow each of

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 us to serve deaf and hard of hearing survivors of 3 crime.

Very early on during one of our first coalition meetings, we began discussing the concept of justice. What does justice mean to a crime victim? Is it seeing the perpetrator of the crime arrested and convicted? Is it receiving a sincere apology from the guilty party? Is it simply having the opportunity to heal at a place like CVTC? conversation went on for several minutes until Christina Curry, who's sitting right over there, she is the Director of Harlem Independent Living Center and is herself deaf, said something that changed everything. Justice to a deaf survivor is simply having access to communicating effectively. A concept of justice beyond that, like the ability to make a police report or pursue legal remedies, things most of us take for granted, are not available in the absence of effective communication; there are things that a deaf survivor may never imagine he or she could have.

Imagine being hurt very badly by another person and not being able to call for help, being trapped in a situation of abuse with no means for

independent escape; maybe a neighbor hears something and calls 911, thank god, right, the police arrive and help is finally there, but you can't tell them what happened; maybe the person hurting you can tell them though, maybe he or she is going to explain that this was just a simple argument; accidentally raised my voice, our neighbors misinterpreted it, everything's fine here. Imagine that. The deaf and hard of hearing community are among the most vulnerable to victimization, yet have the least

number of deaf clients and the experiences they have had with the people and systems designed to help them are beyond shocking. The right to access 911 should be a given for every individual living in this city, access to police, fire or emergency medical services should not be denied to someone because the language they use is not a spoken one. Lack of access in this case can mean the difference between life and death and it can also mean that those committing violence are able to continue that behavior with no repercussions.

2.2

2.3

access to services.

2.2

2.3

I understand the concerns about this bill and the concerns about operational impacts of allowing a text system to exist with 911, but we can't allow those concerns to be a justification for prohibiting the use of 911 by an entire community of New Yorkers.

Let's expand the definition of justice for deaf individuals. Access to effective communication shouldn't be anyone's sole definition of justice; access to effective communication should be everyone's right and this is an excellent place to start. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you very much, very effective. Sir.

[sign language interpreter]

ANDRES: Hello, my name is Andres; thank you for inviting me to speak here today. I am here to speak for the Court Legal Interpreting Coalition and deaf people and hard of hearing community in general.

So there's different counties, there's seven counties in New York State here that already have implemented text to 911 program; just most recently Rockland County; that was October 2015. My

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So I'm gonna explain some of the

experiences that I've had from people when you're texting to someone else or if you call someone else; it's not direct, so like the video relay messaging,

1

2

3

4

6

7

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

them to respond or you have to wait for another 8 person, so you're texting and then they're making a

that's not a direct message, so you have to wait for

call for you, so it really delays the process

exponentially and you're not dealing with direct 10

11 communication. That kind of experience, that should

12 not be able to continue for people, we really deserve

13 direct and immediate access to the same things that

14 everyone else so if there's an emergency they can

15 come immediately so that that 10, 15 minutes, 30

16 minutes, we have no idea how long it would take and

17 that's precious time.

> I really support this program that would make text to 911 possible and I would love that it happened in New York City, New York County, all of the counties to adopt the text to 911 and really all over the U.S., so I really encourage your guys to try to create a timeline for... just create a goal date so that we have something to work on to help implement it and give us a little bit of fire so that we make

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

sure that this happens. Thank you so much forallowing me to speak today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I thank you and I see the testimony and I hear you and I do think that,

Christopher, your statement about justice for persons hearing impaired is the ability to make a report starts there; I think that that is quite an amazing statement.

CHRISTOPHER BROMSON: And Council Member Vacca, not to call you out, but I think it's important to make a distinction; hearing impaired is not a term that is accepted by the deaf community and I think that as part of this it's important to incorporate all the things that are important to that community and you'll see in my testimony that I've used deaf, both uppercase and lowercase and an uppercase D, Deaf is somebody who identifies as Deaf strong and somebody who was raised in that culture who identifies with the Deaf culture, and lowercase deaf is somebody who may not be able to hear, but doesn't necessarily incorporation themselves into that community as strongly, and I think hearing loss and Deaf with a capital and lowercase d are ways to call that, so.

that there was really any push for letting everyone

25

2 know that 311 was available to the deaf community;

3 there was no education or outreach or anything like

4 that to let us know that that capability was now in

5 | place, so. It seems like it would be a good system,

6 but like I said, I've never... I've never known that it

7 was available via text, so I haven't been able to us

8 | it.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

very important, because that question came up earlier as it pertains to 911, as far as how are we going to inform individuals, how are we gonna inform the city of New York that that is a possibility, and for you to let us know that you were not even aware that 311 had that capability is so important for us to know because it lets us know that there is a service out there that an entire community does not know about and is not aware of, so I really thank you for letting us know about that.

And Christopher, wanted to ask you a question, in terms of the work that you do, have you found circumstances with dealing with those individuals who are survivors when they tell their story; has there been a lot of testimony in terms of people stating that they couldn't reach out for help;

2 there was no way for them to inform individuals, 911

3 or anything like that because of the circumstance

4 | that they were in?

2.2

2.3

CHRISTOPHER BROMSON: Yes and I'm only smiling because it happens so often. CVTC has just really started working with deaf and hard of hearing individuals and every client we've work with who is deaf and hard of hearing has had horrible experiences with not being able to access help. But beyond that, also I think for survivors of intimate partner violence... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Uhm-hm.

Violence, this is a huge issue; somebody who, regardless of whether they can hear or not, is trapped in a situation of abuse, it would be so lifechanging to be able to text to 911, to not have that perpetrator breathing down somebody's neck and being able to hear the call to police and things like that. So I think it comes up in all different areas of our work; there are so many situations, especially regarding domestic violence that people don't feel safe enough to call 911, even though it could be life-saving...

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you.

CHRISTOPHER BROMSON: I think having that

4 would...

2.2

2.3

ask Andres one more question. Are there services that you utilize via text to be able to assist you in your day to day? So for example, because these are things that I don't utilize, so I may not be as aware, such as your cable bill or National Grid or applying for different things; is text messaging utilized in other ways in your life that's very helpful?

[sign language interpreter]

ANDRES: Most of it used video relay services, so there'll be an interpreter on the phone and there'll be a TV, so I'll be signing in front of the TV and they'll speak for me on the phone, so that's actually quite quick and that's very effective for deaf people in general who use sign language, and that's been around since about 2000. So TTY, some people use it; that's really kind of becoming an obsolete technology...

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Uhm-hm.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

ANDRES: so for the past five years there's been new technologies; you can picture message your... you can sign in a video and you can send that directly to VRS, so that just came out two, three years ago. But text to anything hasn't really become part of our technology uses yet, so. And technology moves so fast that TTY people were use to and now it's just a complete different system, so... and there's a new program available for that, so we have VRS, which kind of made TTY outdated, so that's our current platform for what we use, but many hearing people in the U.S., they have no idea what VRS is, so sometimes that system that we do have, if we get a call and someone answers the phone and says hi, I'm an interpreter, I'm here on behalf of ... they'll just hang up the phone; they don't understand what that is, so there are still problems; we do need a lot of education and we need education for both hearing and for deaf and hard of hearing individuals, and that information needs to be readily available for everyone so we can text, use our hands, but there should be different modes of communication that we can be using to try and bridge that gap and utilize

2.2

2.3

the communication that we use every day; that should definitely be included.

much, thank you for answering those questions; that is so appreciated; we appreciate you being here today and testifying on behalf of this legislation.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I appreciate also,
but I wanted to ask a question, but first let me
mention Council Member Williams is here and Council
Member Deutsch has joined us. But the mechanism you
describe by which a deaf person can reach 911; isn't
a time element involved that we should be concerned
about? Calling 311 is one thing, because 311 calls
are basically about city services and programs, but
calling 911 relates to an emergency, so my concern is
that the process you just outlined may take time and
every minute is a crucial minute when you have a 911
situation. So can you tell us what time we are
looking at currently?

[sign language interpreter]

ANDRES: So when I make a call, that company will look for an available interpreter and the FCC, they have rules and regulations saying there have to be 30 seconds or 60 seconds to get a

1

2

3

4

5

25

standing by, they have to take a few seconds to call, 6

and then once we get the operator is ready and

delay time, because we should have access to that,

7 they have to call emergency services and then the

8 call will clarify the person, whoever's on the phone

9 -- hi, I'm an interpreter, I'm speaking for the

person on the other line. So it's like almost one 10

11 minute to two minutes from the time that I call to

12 the introduction saying hi, I'm introducing the two

13 parties, so that's two plus minutes that are

14 completely lost. So texting is just an immediate

15 response, so if I were able to text them, that two

16 minutes is down from two minutes to immediate; I

17 don't need to wait for anybody, and maybe they don't

18 have direct information or the right information, so

19 they have to translate it... maybe the person

20 translating it makes a translation error, so if I'm

21 signing, you can see what I'm doing, but you guys

2.2 don't know what I'm doing, so the person on the phone

2.3 doesn't see what I'm doing, so they might not know

what's happening, so if I can text, I can get 24

specifically what I need to say to the people who

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

need to know it. So text to 911 is just way better, 'cause it's a direct line, there's nothing in the middle that could possibly get in the way of the information that we're trying to communicate to one another, so it really is the best possible result.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: That answer was very helpful. We in the Council are concerned when we see 911 response time go up by seconds; we want oversight hearings, we demand answers because those seconds are important seconds; here we're talking about minutes and we're talking about an entire process that seems to be something we can address through texting. thank you very much for your testimony; that was enlightening.

CHRISTOPHER BROMSON: And if I could just say in response to that question as well... [interpose] CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Yes.

CHRISTOPHER BROMSON: VRM, VRS is incredible technology and is really effective for situations that aren't incredibly dangerous; texting is private, but what he's describing is a booth set up where you have to sign to the interpreter, so if you're in a situation of abuse, if there's somebody trying to hurt you and is able to see everything that

deaf community experience and texting, for me, for contacting different communications or VRS first and then you explain in your information, hi, I'm deaf, okay, when you send... Okay. So if I am contacting a company, like the gas company or the electric company, that person will text for communication on the way to come to me, so they'll say like, when they arrive, if they need to... like if they're coming to fix my gas or something, they'll text me saying that I'm almost there and then when they arrive we'll have a piece of paper so we can communicate with each other. So that's one of the ways that they're able to bridge the gap, so they utilize texting as part of their services that they provide. So [background commentsl

NICOLYN PLUMMER: Hello. Okay. Morning;
I'm Nicolyn Plummer; I'm a domestic violence social
worker from Barrier Free Living [inaudible] who are
victims of domestic violence and there is something I
would like to clarify about 911. A lot of times when
a deaf person calls to 911, the [inaudible] video
relay [inaudible] NYPD will not [inaudible] to answer
the phone [inaudible] hang up [inaudible] call.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 MALE VOICE: Yeah, I agree with that, 3 they definitely will hang up on you.

NICOLYN PLUMMER: Can everyone hear me? Can you guys hear me? Oh okay. So not only that; last time [inaudible] call 911 because I have to depend on someone who is hearing to call on their behalf; if they cannot call on their behalf, call 911 on their own, [inaudible] the very next day, they'll call a provider, just like myself, to call 911 on their behalf because a lot of times when they'll call 911, there has been a lot of [inaudible] communication between law enforcement and [inaudible] and also, in regard to [inaudible], a very few [inaudible], so uhm but just want to be... bear in mind that's why it is so difficult for some deaf victims to be able to call 911 because they are not [inaudible] and a lot of the times when they do, when they arrive home, the interpreter is not always there, which means they have to ask the officer to call that number right there in their presence, 'cause remember, there is no interpreter on-site, so it can be very challenging for EMS, for law enforcement to communicate with a deaf victim while they rely on someone who can hear [inaudible] that's

supposed to be communicating directly [inaudible],

2.2

2.3

3 not someone who can hear, 'cause a lot of the times

4 [inaudible] a lot of not be able to voice [sic] for

5 themself when they're not given an opportunity to

6 speak for themself. Okay.

made the case very effectively, all of you today have made the case very effectively and we in the Council are committed to moving this bill and committed to being of help and you've spoken volumes, truly have. So I wanna thank you. Are there any council members with questions or statements? Council Member Cumbo.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: I just wanna thank you for your testimony here today; it's extraordinary for you to share your voice with us and to be able to share your experiences with us and really, hearing it from your perspective really drives the point home in terms of how important text 911 is to make sure that all New Yorkers have access to be able to reach out for help and assistance, particularly in an emergency situation. So we thank you so much for your testimony; we thank all of you for being here today; we thank you for sharing your experiences with us and that is so appreciated. Thank you so much.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Council Member

3 Gibson.

> CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you very much, Chair Vacca. And I too wanna thank you for your presence and certainly making sure that you are part of this conversation. When you look at stakeholders and the advocates, I mean everyone has an opportunity be a part of this discussion and so we wanna make sure that we get the voices that are important as a part of this conversation. I just wanted to ask one question. We learned today that there is request for information that the City is looking to get information from the industry, so in addition to texting to 911, from your perspective I wanted to find out how helpful and beneficial it would be to also use other ways to get to 911, like pictures, like images; do you think that would be beneficial to the community, for yourself and for your colleagues as well?

> > [sign language interpreter]

MARSELLETTE DAVIS: Oh yeah, I definitely think it would be beneficial, texting a picture or a video of everything would benefit the police, it would benefit the firemen, so while that was

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

happening and they're on the way they can see exactly what they're dealing with in the situation that's arose. So if I'm texting a picture of the problem, they'll be able to receive that picture and they might be able to save my life or the lives of one of my colleagues because of that information. So if I'm sending it, they'll know what it looks like, it's direct communication, so we don't need an interpreter, we don't need a video relay service; if I'm texting a picture or a video, that picture or video is going directly to the people who are gonna be coming to help me, so when the firemen arrive, they tend to talk to the hearing person first, so if I'm the deaf person, I called them, why would they go to someone else before they would go to me, if I'm the one that called them?

[background comments]

NICOLYN PLUMMER: I wanna clarify something. When we text to 911 it's important to remember [inaudible] it's important to incorporate pictures, because we have... the majority [inaudible] so it's important to incorporate pictures [inaudible] where they can understand [inaudible] and reply back, but without a picture there might be some challenges

2 [inaudible] to understand what's been written

[inaudible] the text, so not just plain English, but to also incorporate the picture, when I take picture, [inaudible] something [inaudible] that I could understand that someone's on the way, coming.

## [inaudible]

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

[sign language interpreter]

MARSELLETTE DAVIS: Also, I'm tryin' to remember which one... which language it is; it's not here in New York, but we have staff from a 911 center who can sign, so if there's deaf and they contact them, that person can be transferred directly to someone who's been trained in 911 and maybe there's a video blog or they'll be able to explain what they need help for and that person will know sign language, so they'll be able to accurately explain what they need to and then they can tell the first responders immediately, so if those people are involved in the actual call center, that would save time so I could use my video relay service to call directly to the police and then they could transfer to the appropriate first responders and I'd be able to do that in my own language. So I would suggest if they were gonna implement that, maybe... if you have so

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

many employees, maybe you could implement a training program to give some people some sign language or maybe do some... reach out in the community and try and get some people who sign, 'cause writing, like my colleague said, some deaf people have a hard time understanding that, so if you have a few staff with sign language skills that can make access easier, especially in a big place like this, or maybe police or firemen or maybe EMS people, just a few people that work specifically with the deaf community; that would also be very, very helpful. I think the 911 center should definitely have people with sign language training who are there, so if someone in the deaf community calls using one of our wonderful technology pieces, that they can be transferred directly to them and speak directly to someone who knows and speaks their language.

CO-CHAIRPERSON GIBSON: Thank you; that's awesome, because my next question was going to be, if you look at emergency services, what else could we be doing as a city to make sure that we incorporate best practices for the deaf community. So you just outlined the training and that's something... I won't say is an easy fix, but I will say that it's an

2 important component to ma

2.2

2.3

important component to make sure that when we're looking at text to 911 we could also say that within the training for 911 call-takers that they could also be trained on sign language as well. So thank you so much for that great idea; we will make sure you get credit for it too. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you. Our last question from Council Member Levine.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Well I wanna thank both of you for your eloquence and for speaking out on behalf of New Yorkers who deserve the best services we can offer through emergency response.

You know I wanna observe that time and again when we have made policy changes to accommodate people with disabilities it's ended up benefiting everybody, even if that wasn't the original intent.

So we originally created closed-caption on televisions for people who are hearing impaired, but today people of all backgrounds use it in all sorts of different circumstances and many people have forgotten that it wasn't for general benefit that the technology was created. We put curb cuts in our sidewalks in New York City originally for people who use wheelchairs, but now people of all backgrounds,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 pushing baby strollers or carts or just people who

3 aren't so sure-footed enjoy having the accommodation

4 of a ramp. We put elevators in subway stations

5 originally perhaps for people with wheelchairs, but

6 now New Yorkers of all backgrounds rely on them. And

7 I believe that texting to 911 is another example of a

8 policy we can implement; yes, it will benefit one

9 community, deaf New Yorkers and the hearing impaired,

but there are benefits for everybody; there's a

11 compelling case to do this more broadly.

I do have a question for both of you, which is, in describing this bill I have often got the feedback saying well, we have teletype or TTY, why do we need this and we learned that there's only four calls a year that come in via that technology in New York City, so I would love to hear from either or both of you an explanation for why this is so rarely used.

[sign language interpreter]

MARSELLETTE DAVIS: Yeah, so TTY, the product is really obsolete, it's... because of new technology, analog's not really being used anymore, so it's all changing to digital, so deaf people have video phone services, we have VRS, we have cell

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

phones; TTY is... we're not using it anymore because that system is old and it was designed during an analog period, but now we have digital, so we have closed captions, we have video phones, we have ... so it'd be really helpful if the 911 center had all these other things that deaf people are actually using nowadays, because like you said, they're not using TTYs anymore and deaf people have their cell phones when the go out with them all the time, so iPhones, you can use FaceTime, so I actually don't even need to be home anymore to make a video phone TTY is... it's just nobody's using anymore, it's call. become an obsolete technology for most of us because we're using the same technology everybody else is using, so now that that's become obsolete we do need to focus on the technology that we are using and bring it up to somewhere that we can actually have access like everybody else does.

NICOLYN PLUMMER: I want to clarify about the TTY. [inaudible] TTY, it is [inaudible], but it should not be excluded, it should not, because not all individual deaf sign [sic] [inaudible] some will prefer to call to TTY to relay if they're not comfortable calling on the video that she described,

2 | that's one method that's primarily being used right

3 | now in the deaf community is video relay. TTY is

4 very small percentage, but should not be excluded

5 | because you've got to admit [sic], people who don't

6 sign and that would prefer communication through TTY,

7 | but most [inaudible], from my experience, [inaudible]

8 to TTY, never answer phone on the other end

9 [inaudible] the NYPD has a TTY phone, hospitals have

10 a TTY phone, but nobody's picking up the phone, so we

11 don't know how the system at the end is set up, but

12 [inaudible] there's no one that will answer the phone

13 directly [inaudible] relay, if someone is not gonna

14 answer a phone at the other end, [inaudible] through

15 | the relay. Okay.

16 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: 'Kay. Thank you

17 both again for your very, very important perspective.

Thank you.

18

1

19 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: Thank you, Council

20 Member Levine and I wanna thank you all, today's

21 hearing has been fantastic. Oh, Council Member

22 | Torres is here. And we are going to follow up here

23 | at the Council and your testimony and what we learned

24  $\parallel$  today is very impactful and I think you should know

25 | that. We're determined to move ahead on this; I

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY, JOINTLY WITH THE 1 97 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 2 wanna thank my co-chair, Vanessa Gibson and I wanna 3 thank Laurie Cumbo, sponsor of the legislation. There is no further business before this committee 4 5 [background comment] it is now... oh, there is? Oh... Oh I'm sorry... [interpose] 6 7 NICOLYN PLUMMER: Uh, uhm... CHAIRPERSON VACCA: go ahead. 8 9 NICOLYN PLUMMER: before we close [sic], I'm hoping -- this is going to happen, but I'm hoping 10 11 that we have the coalition, Court Legal Interpreter Coalition, Black Deaf Advocate and [inaudible] 12 13 Coalition to work together with you, because we are the experts here in this particular area, but don't 14 15 know if that might be a part of the [inaudible]. 16 CHAIRPERSON VACCA: I thank you for that 17 and I do wanna echo Council Member Gibson's statement before that we in the Council do consider 18 19 stakeholders to be very important to us and we are 20 always in communication with stakeholders and we 21 certainly want your input as we proceed on the 2.2 legislation and the oversight. And we've been joined 2.3 by Council Member Corey Johnson. Without further to do, it is now 12:09 and this hearing is now 24

25

adjourned.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY, JOINTLY COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY	WITH THE	98
2	[gavel]		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date <INSERT TRANSCRIPTION DATE>