CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK -----Х TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES Of the COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION -----Х June 30, 2015 Start: 10:18 a.m. Recess: 03:51 p.m. HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall BEFORE: YDANIS A. RODRIGUEZ Chairperson COUNCIL MEMBERS: ANTONIO REYNOSO CARLOS MENCHACA COSTA G. CONSTATINIDES DANIEL R. GARODNICK DAVID G. GREENFIELD DEBORAH L. ROSE DONOVAN J. RICHARDS I. DANEEK MILLER JAMES G. VAN BRAMER JAMES VACCA MARGARET S. CHIN STEPHEN T. LEVIN

1

World Wide Dictation 545 Saw Mill River Road – Suite 2C, Ardsley, NY 10502 Phone: 914-964-8500 * 800-442-5993 * Fax: 914-964-8470 www.WorldWideDictation.com

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Robert E. Cornegy Chairperson Committee on Small Business

Meera Joshi Chairperson NYC Taxi and Limousine Commission

Polly Trottenberg Commissioner Department of Transportation

Nilda Mesa Director Mayor's Office Of Sustainability

Michael Allegretti Senior Manager for Public Policy Uber

Nicole Benincasa Senior Policy and Regulatory Associate Uber

Steven Shanker Executive Director Livery Round Table

Avik Kabessa CEO/Board Member Carmel/Livery Round Table

Cira Angeles Spokeswoman Livery Base Owners

Nancy Soria Vice President Green Taxi New York Inc.

A P P E A R E N C E S (CONTINUED)

Kenneth Pokalsky Vice President Business Council of New York State

Matt Mincieli Northeast Region Executive Director TechNet

Jonathan Gross [sp?] Chief Operating Officer Tower Auto Mall

Rami Seagull [sp?] American Lease Group

Eric Rothman [sp?] President/CEO Fast Track Leasing LLC.

Jean Ryan Disabled in Action in Taxis for All Campaign

Edith Prentiss Chair/Vice President Taxis for All Campaign/Disabled in Action

Ethan Gerber Executive Director Greater New York Taxi Association

Jonathan Matz Move New York

Rick Russo Senior Vice President/ Chief Operating Officer Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce

Michael O'Loughlin Cab Riders United

A P P E A R E N C E S (CONTINUED)

Bill Ledour [sp?] New York Taxi Workers Alliance

Bhairavi Desai Executive Director New York Taxi Workers Alliance

Jazz Singh [sp?] Medallion Owner

Victor Salazar [sp?] Individual cab driver

Jainism Darzi [sp?] Yellow cab owner/driver

Mohammad Tipu Sultan [sp?] Full time driver

Laurel Brown Executive Vice President Downtown Brooklyn Partnership

Peter Maze [sp?] General Counsel Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 5
2	[gavel]
3	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Good morning
4	everyone. And welcome to today's hearing of the New
5	York City Council Transportation Committee. I'm
6	Ydanis Rodriguez Chair of the Committee and I am
7	joined by my colleague Council Member Richards,
8	Constantinides, and Van Bramer. Today's hearing
9	focus on the unprecedented growth of the for-hire
10	vehicles industry over the past several years and
11	how this is impacting our streets, our
12	environments, and related industries. Since 2010
13	there have been 23,000 new FHV cars added to the
14	streets of New York City. This includes a nearly
15	tripling of the number of black cars from the
16	10,000 to 28,000 in just the past 18 months.
17	According according to a rooter attiols [phonetic]
18	in 2012 the largest amount of time on the road
19	that yellow and for-hire vehicle is spend on the
20	road a increase of 2,000 vehicle is equivalent to
21	80,000 individual passengers vehicle. That means
22	that the 23,000 increase is actually as if 920,000
23	New Yorkers over the 11 percent of our population
24	bought another car. This increase is something that
25	we must evaluate and study in order to allow our
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 6
2	city to best respond. The bills we will hear today
3	will do just that, fully assessing the
4	socioeconomic and environmental impact of this
5	grove to give our city's leaders a greater
6	perspective on industry trains and their
7	consequences before even more cars are added to our
8	roads. The first bill, Intro A47 introduced by
9	myself will require the TLC and other related
10	agencies such as DOT and Department of
11	Environmental Protection to examine the impact of
12	the grove of the FHV industry as… related to
13	traffic, air quality, noise, and public health. The
14	study will be due to the end of August 2016. Since
15	the committee's first ever hearing on apps
16	technology we have dedicated ourselves to ensuring
17	that every player in every sector undergoes the
18	same level of scrutiny and regulation. Our city
19	must regulate based on a uniformity of
20	accountability measures. Currently before the city
21	issues new taxi medallion they begin environmental
22	study to evaluate and determine the lay of the land
23	before new medallions are released. This study
24	introduce in Intro A47 will apply the same already
25	assisting standard for the higher sector allowing

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 7
2	our city to operate from a place of knowledge. The
3	second bill, Intro A42 introduced by my colleague
4	Council Member Levine and myself would place a
5	temporary reasonable growth cap on the ensuring
6	insurance of New FHV licenses by TLC. This again, I
7	repeat temporary cop will return the sector to base
8	of historic growth allowing the city to evaluate
9	the current market as accurately as possible.
10	Because of that historically high rate of growth in
11	the… market without slowing the rate of growth, the
12	results of this study will be useless if this
13	growth cap were not installed. The temporary cap
14	wil be lifted following the completion of a study
15	by the TLC and other related agencies in the con
16	in the consideration of their policy recommendation
17	or… or by August 2016 whichever comes first. It
18	should come to no surprise to anyone here that the
19	growth of the FHV sector coincides directly with
20	the entry of… FHV companies to this transportation
21	market. They are now over 75 companies licensed by
22	with the TLC to provide services through mobile
23	applications. Yet a troubling aspect of this growth
24	is that 72 percent of the two largest companies
25	pick-ups occur in the central business districts

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 8
2	meaning the overall majority of the growing number
3	of cars on city street are driving in the already
4	congested area of lowers Manhattan. The taxi and
5	limousine Commission have pointed to a slower
6	traffic pattern across Manhattan as a growing
7	concern both for the licensed drivers who is stand
8	to earn less with slower travel times and from the
9	environmental of our cityfrom the additional
10	cars continue to meet harmful fumes into the air
11	and the concerns are highly rightly funded.
12	Environmental protection agency national skill
13	talks assessment study… resident or New York
14	County, New York Manhattan, had the third highest
15	cancer risk cause by airborne chemicals of all
16	countries in the united states. This is no small
17	part due to the high rate of congestion our
18	roadways see. Additionally as we seek to achieve
19	greater in the regulatory governing this industry
20	these bills will move the system for adding new FHB
21	car closer to what is in place anytime new taxis
22	are added to the roadearly the TSU already
23	regularly study the impact of adding new medallions
24	even though only 22 hundred have been added since
25	1947. These studies dawn in in compliance with the
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 9
2	stage environmental review laws examining
3	environmental impact social and economic
4	consideration as well as parking in safety, in
5	safety in nearby communities. Impact on traffic
6	congestion often take a permanent role in this
7	report. With a 2013 environmental impact statement
8	sighting the addition of two 2,000 new medallion
9	as potentially having significant adverse traffic
10	impacts particularly during rush hours. As we have
11	seen 14 time this number of cars added to the road
12	in the past 18 months alone there's serious concern
13	about the impact and a study is clearly won
14	wanted. We hope to hear from the administration
15	industry leaders and advocate about how this law
16	will impact different aspects of the for-hire and
17	taxi industries as well as related industries such
18	as insurance, financers, car dealers, and more.
19	Additionally additionally we would like to hear
20	about concern that may arise if this bill are
21	passed as a means of base assess in this industry
22	and its need. Balance with those of New York
23	residents and consumers. During my time as a chair
24	of the Transportation Committee we have here a
25	length about the impact of car on our street
ļ	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 10
2	particularly when it comes to safety. Under Vision
3	Zero safety is a center piece of our city's
4	approach to our roadways. Those interest in
5	hearing about street safety if a street safety is
6	impact by this influx. Before we begin I would like
7	to thank my committee staff, Council Kelly Taylor,
8	Policy Analyst… Gafar Zaaloff and Russell Murphy as
9	well as my Chief of Staff Carmen de La Rosa and my
10	communication legislative director Lucas Acosta.
11	And I'd also like to recognize Council Member
12	Levine, Greenfield, Vacca, and Garodnick. Now let's
13	hear from my colleague Council Member Levin as he
14	will read his opening statement too.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very
16	much Mr. Chairman. I don't have a prepared opening
17	statement but I wanted to thank you and the
18	committee staff for bringing this hearing together
19	today on this very important topic. I want to thank
20	members of the administration for being here today
21	and for your diligent work on this issue. We look
22	forward to your testimony and we want to thank
23	everybody who has come today to testify. We are
24	eager to hear all sides of this issue. We are eager
25	to hear constructive suggestions. But I I believe

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 11
2	very strongly at the outset that the measures that
3	are proposed here through these pieces of
4	legislation today are absolutely warranted,
5	necessary, and appropriate in order to address what
6	is an unprecedented increase in for-hire vehicles
7	in New York City over the last several years. We
8	take our role very seriously as city council and as
9	a city government. When in order to protect the
10	public interest and public health and environment
11	of New York City. Any time a developer in New York
12	City seeks a rezoning they are required to do an
13	EIS. When there are new medallions issued there is
14	an EIS. We believe that it is on its face
15	appropriate and warranted that with this
16	significant increase of 63 percent over the last
17	three and a half years or so that we need to have
18	an environmental study that can look at this issue
19	and come up with recommendations that can address
20	those impacts. So with that I'll turn it back over
21	to the chairman but I thank everybody here for your
22	interest in this matter we look forward to all the
23	testimony today.
24	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you
25	Council Member I would like also to recognize
I	I

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 12 2 Council Member Garodnick, Reynoso, and Menchaca. And I'd like to call my staff a... Counsel Kelly to 3 4 do the swearing. COUNSEL KELLY: Will you please raise 5 your right hands. Do you affirm to tell the truth, 6 7 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the committee today and to respond 8 honestly to Council Member questions? 9 10 MEERA JOSHI: Good morning. Good morning

Chair Rodriguez, members of the Transportation 11 12 Committee and city council. I am Meera Joshi Chair 13 of the New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission. 14 Today with me are two individuals I'm sure you're 15 familiar with; DOT Commissioner Polly Trottenberg, 16 and Nilda Mesa Director of the Mayor's Office of 17 Sustainability. Thank you very much for the 18 opportunity to speak today about legislation introduced by Chair Rodriguez and Council Member 19 20 Levin to limit and study the growth of FHVs for one year or the duration of the study whichever is 21 2.2 earliest. TLC supports limiting the issuance of new 23 FHV licenses while TLC, DOT, DEP, and the mayor's office of sustainability and other agencies conduct 24 a study of the impact that rapid FHV industry 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 13
2	growth and growth in other TLC regulated industries
3	is having on NYC traffic congestion, air quality,
4	noise, and public health. Following the completion
5	of the study TLC would submit recommendations to
6	the speaker and the mayor for mitigating any
7	impacts identified. These bills would not impact
8	the issuance of green borough taxi permits which is
9	already regulated by state law. Several emerging
10	trends new data obtained by the TLC and concerns
11	regarding the future of mobility, public health,
12	driver welfare, and accessibility in New York City
13	have led the TLC to support an impact study and a
14	growth limitation during the pendency of that
15	study. First some background on how the FHV
16	industry works as compared to other industries.
17	Unlike other TLC regulated industries there is no
18	real growth control mechanism in the FHV industry.
19	For yellow taxis the number of medallions is set by
20	state and local law. For green taxis the number of
21	permits that can be issued is set by state law and
22	findings from a market analysis TLC performs
23	between each issuance period. For commuter vans new
24	authorities are subject to DOT review of community
25	needs and TLC approval. But for FHVs TLC currently

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 14
2	has no authority to limit the number of licenses.
3	They stand alone in the private for-hire world as
4	the sector without a meaningful growth oversight
5	mechanism. Recent trends demonstrate the
6	potentially problematic consequences of the system
7	without a growth control mechanism. Growth in the
8	FHV industry in the past several years has been
9	tremendous. Since 2011 about 25,000 new FHVs have
10	joined the fleet. There are now nearly 63,000 for-
11	hire vehicles, a 66 percent increase from just four
12	years ago. We have strong reason to believe that
13	without council action growth in the for-hire
14	vehicle industry is expected to continue. In each
15	month of fiscal year 2015 the TLC issued
16	approximately 2,000 new vehicle licenses. One
17	company has stated that by the end of 2015 it
18	intends to have 10,000 additional drivers and
19	estimates that this means a minimum of 7,500 cars.
20	And growth isn't necessarily a bad thing
21	particularly if it's bringing about better service
22	in our city's most transit starved neighborhoods.
23	We're excited to have new entrance in New York
24	City's for-hire market and that new and old FHV
25	companies alike are leveraging technologies that
l	l

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 15
2	could improve the efficiency of our transportation
3	network. We're hopeful that true shared riding long
4	the dream of transportation planners specifically
5	for that last mile home from the train station
6	could finally become a reality due to a wider
7	adoption of technology. However new data TLC has
8	received demonstrates that the past several years'
9	growth in the FHV industry has not focused on
10	transit starved neighborhoods but has been
11	Manhattan Centric. New FHV trip records show that
12	most new FHV activity, 72 percent of pickups is
13	taking place in the Manhattan core, the most
14	congested area of the city. The rapid ongoing
15	growth in the number of vehicles and their
16	concentration in Manhattan could be causing
17	unintended negative consequences. As Commissioner
18	Trottenberg will explain between 2010 and 2014
19	average Manhattan traffic speeds decline
20	significantly from 9.35 miles per hour to 8.51, a
21	decrease of nine percent. Bus speeds have also
22	declined. During the evening rush hour Manhattan
23	bus speeds were five percent lower in November 2014
24	than they were a year earlier, a trend that none of
25	us would like to see continue. Correlation does not

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 16
2	equal causation however we're at a point at which
3	there are enough data points and a strong logical
4	nexus between FHV industry growth and Manhattan
5	congestion that a closer look is necessary. A
6	limitation in growth while we studied the issue and
7	develop informed policy is so important because
8	traffic congestion is no minor issue. By some
9	estimates traffic congestion cost the New York
10	metropolitan regions economy 16 billion each year
11	driven by factors such as the difficulty businesses
12	have trying to move goods around the city. A recent
13	report issued by the partnership for New York City
14	cited traffic congestion on our roads a significant
15	factor driving up the high cost of doing business
16	in New York City and a threat to our continued
17	status as the preferred headquarters for the
18	important global financial services industry.
19	Beyond serious economic impacts the negative
20	effects of congestion are also demonstrated in our
21	public health statistics. As Director Mesa
22	Director Mesa will explain in more detail. Chronic
23	exposure to traffic related air pollution has been
24	linked to the respiratory disorder such as asthma
25	as well as premature death from heart attacks and

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 17
2	strokes. Air pollution from traffic congestion at
3	83 of the nation's largest suburban, urban areas
4	contributes to more than 2,200 premature deaths
5	annually costing the health system at least 18
6	billion. The New York metropolitan area is second
7	only to Las Angeles and United States in premature
8	deaths and related costs due to congestion related
9	air pollution. I also have concerns about two other
10	issues that relate to mobility. First is driver
11	income. Congestion itself can hurt driver's income
12	because it limits their ability to get to the next
13	fair. Additionally though I do believe the pie of
14	overall for-hire passengers is expanding it may not
15	be expanding as rapidly as the supply of vehicles.
16	I hear concerns that drivers are cannibalizing one
17	another's business making it difficult for any
18	single driver to earn a living. The ability for
19	for the people who work hard on the streets of New
20	York City to meet expenses and earn a decent living
21	has to be something we look at as a city and take
22	into account in our policy making. A second concern
23	of mine is mobility for those who need accessible
24	service whereas in the yellow and green taxi
25	industries we have clear paths and the progress
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 18
2	already towards accessibility. There's not yet
3	something similar for the FHV industry. As FHVs
4	become ever more part of the fabric of New York
5	City a pathway towards accessibility in this
6	industry which is no simple matter in an open entry
7	system becomes increasingly important to the
8	ability of people who use wheelchairs to
9	effectively navigate the city. For all these
10	reasons and before more people invest in putting
11	new vehicles on the road it's time to tap the
12	breaks while we look at the impact of rapid for-
13	hire vehicle industry growth and growth in other
14	for-hire segments. TLC has already begun
15	coordinating with DOT, DEP, Department of Mental
16	Health, of Health and Mental… DOHMH and the Mayor's
17	Office of Sustainability on a study that is part of
18	the one NYC plan and a limitation on growth would
19	enable us to make better use of this study's
20	findings and conduct a more robust study by
21	preventing runaway growth while we study the issue.
22	While we fully support the bills we do think there
23	could be another way to distribute the limited
24	growth allotments so that it is fair as possible.
25	Regardless of the calculation methodology that is

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 19
2	ultimately decided on the growth limitation
3	proposed in Intro number of 842 would be a
4	significant step. We thought through what impacts
5	this step would have on service availability for
6	the public and job opportunities for New Yorkers.
7	We are certain that the public will not lack for-
8	hire transportation options during the period of
9	the cause. Between 13,587 taxis, 63,000 for-hire
10	vehicles including green taxis there are plenty of
11	vehicles available to meet demand. Many of these
12	vehicles are not on the road full time. And if
13	consumer demand grows each existing vehicle could
14	as needed be used more intensively. A few facts
15	boost my confidence that despite claims to the
16	contrary passengers will continue to enjoy
17	excellent levels of service. For example in
18	December 2014 when New York City had nearly 7,000
19	fewer for-hire vehicles than it has today. Uber
20	told Newsweek Magazine that its median wait time
21	was three minutes and eight seconds in the outer
22	boroughs and two minutes and 25 seconds in
23	Manhattan. Wait time for tax season, the areas they
24	cruse are typically just a couple of minutes.
25	Consumers will continue to have plenty of options

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 20
2	for fast service during the growth limitation
3	period regardless of whether they prefer to street
4	hail or e-hail a yellow or green taxi, call a local
5	car service base, or use an app to book a for-hire
6	vehicle. New Yorkers who already earn a living in
7	he FHV industry would continue to be able to do so
8	for a number of reasons. Existing FHV licenses
9	could still be renewed and FHV license holders
10	could still be able to switch vehicles and bases.
11	Existing bases could still grow their businesses
12	beyond the growth cap out lined in this bill by
13	affiliating vehicles that were previously
14	affiliated with other bases or by giving each
15	affiliated vehicle more calls. Based on the 250 to
16	700 for-hire vehicle drivers who get summonses and
17	sometimes even get their vehicle… for illegal
18	street hails each month it's an indication that
19	they are kept busy enough with legal dispatch work.
20	I suspect that many drivers would welcome more
21	legitimate dispatch work. Even new drivers wishing
22	to join the industry would have options. They could
23	drive the second shift an existing vehicle or work
24	with the base's existing vehicles. This could be a
25	good thing for those drivers looking to work

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 21
2	without taking on an onerous car loan. There are
3	several other important questions we've gotten and
4	that I've heard in the media since these bills were
5	introduced and I'd like to take this opportunity to
6	address them. First some have accused the TLC of
7	supporting these bills in an attempt to protect the
8	existing yellow and green taxi industries were the
9	traditional car service industry. I can assure you
10	this is not the case. In recent years new market
11	entrance have come to New York City and have
12	flourished. TLC demanded that these new entrants
13	meet the same licensing standards as similar
14	existing companies and once they did they enjoyed
15	growth only capped by their potential to provide
16	excellent service. The numbers and TLC's actions
17	speak for themselves. There are now 76 different
18	FHV apps operating in New York City and an
19	estimated 25,000 for-hire vehicles getting their
20	trips through apps. Any review of the TLC
21	rulemaking over the past year would find that our
22	primary approach to the profound changes in the
23	industry has been to buckle down and focus on
24	ensuring passengers have the safety and consumer
25	protections they deserve regardless of whether

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 22
2	their car of choice is yellow, green, or black. A
3	great example is that is our most recent rulemaking
4	surrounding dispatch service providers. Throughout
5	the process we had fruitful discussions and
6	received helpful feedback from Uber, Lyft, Via, and
7	the longstanding FHV industries, drivers, and city
8	council which ultimately resulted in a set of rules
9	that protects the public while allowing for
10	continued innovation. However given the trends I
11	described earlier we must recognize that unbridled
12	growth at some point poses its own challenges and
13	we must legislate responsibly. A second question
14	we've gotten is given policy debates around the
15	world here and in New York City whether TLC is
16	supporting this bill to target Uber. Uber is one of
17	many FHV companies legally prating under TLC
18	licensure. And if enacted the law would apply to
19	all 893 FHV companies. Uber is not the only FHV
20	company that has been growing recently 654 non-Uber
21	bases actually grew by an average of 14 cars each
22	between last summer and this spring and across the
23	and across the board this growth has added up. And
24	even right now we are in court fighting lawsuits
25	brought against the city by yellow medallion owners

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 23
2	and lenders that think that FHV companies including
3	Uber should not be allowed to provide prompt
4	service to passengers who order them by
5	smartphones. Beyond the growth allotment each base
6	receives during the period of the study any FHV
7	company can continue to grow further in vehicles by
8	competing to work with vehicles already in the
9	industry. Another great way these companies could
10	grow would be by giving each vehicle more trips
11	which as I mentioned earlier would be a more more
12	than welcome by most drivers. The wealth of
13	breadcrumb data on traffic circulation that FHVs
14	equip with technology now collect if they agree to
15	share it with the city could even help us with the
16	study and shorten the time it takes to complete it
17	by enabling us to understand more about how FHVs
18	move around the city and how this impacts
19	congestion. Another way in which FHV companies
20	could help the study as it pertains to car
21	ownership trends. Since this data available so far
22	seems to refute the assertion that rapid growth in
23	the size of the FHV industry industry in New York
24	City has brought about decreases in car ownership.
25	However if FHV companies agree to work with the

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 24
2	city owned surveys of their customers and drivers
3	this could help us all understand more clearly what
4	impact the larger number of FHVs are having on car
5	ownership. There are also those who would wonder
6	whether a better approach would be to let the
7	market and consumer demand dictates how many FHVs
8	are on the road. Although in many cases I agree
9	that allowing consumer demand to dictate supply is
10	a solid approach we also know that markets aren't
11	perfect. The government needs to consider and
12	sometimes create policies to account for
13	externalities, that is impacts on the rest of
14	society that are the result of market transactions
15	between two parties. Anyone who rides a vehicle in
16	New York City whether it's a private vehicle, a
17	taxi, a for-hire vehicle creates some negative
18	externality on the rest of the community in the
19	form of congestion, noise pollution, and mobility
20	challenges. By taking a hard look at the impact of
21	large numbers of vehicles for-hire in New York City
22	streets the city will weigh the benefits of these
23	vehicles passengers against any associated negative
24	externalities in terms of congestion and air
25	pollution. The study will try to determine what
l	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 25
2	policies need to be in place so that we take into
3	account not only individual consumer demand which
4	the market captures but also externalities faced by
5	everyone else sharing the city. What it boils down
6	to is this. At some point I strongly believe the
7	city needs to step in and make sure that there is a
8	balance between those of us who choose instant
9	gratification and convenience of travel in private
10	vehicles and the much larger group who could not
11	afford private car service and for and those for
12	which it is literally inaccessible and the less
13	are affected by mobility challenges, the rapid
14	expansion of this sector could present. We are at a
15	real crossroads. We need to provide fertile ground
16	for new services to thrive but not at the expense
17	of those who do not have the privilege of using
18	them regularly. So we will be guided by the data
19	and the need to provide an overall efficient
20	regulatory framework that leverages technology to
21	improve services in all sectors as well as the
22	overall quality of life for all New Yorkers and
23	visitors. I'd like to sum up by bringing us back to
24	the numbers. As I said earlier since 2011 we've
25	added 25 thousand vehicles to the fleet. The fleet
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 26
2	has grown by 16,000 vehicles since 2013 and at
3	about 5,000 more vehicles in just the first half of
4	2015. Yes this is a big city. Yes in a theoretical
5	word without externalities the law of supply and
6	demand would naturally bring us to some optimal
7	number of vehicles but month after months we've
8	been licensing 2,000 new vehicles without any signs
9	of slowing down. This should give even the
10	strongest proponent of an uncapped system some
11	pause. It's time for us to moderate growth for a
12	limited time period while we engage with
13	researchers and other experts, stakeholders, the
14	public, and council to take a hard look at whether
15	the path we're on this… we're on is the right one
16	for our economy public health and quality of life.
17	We hope that council will support bills to
18	temporarily limit and study growth in the various
19	for-hire sectors and would be happy to answer any
20	questions you may have.
21	POLLY TROTTENBERG: Guess we'll jump
22	right to me. Good morning Chairman Rodriguez and
23	members of the Transportation Committee. My name is
24	Polly Trottenberg and I'm the Commissioner of the
25	New York City Department of Transportation. On
ļ	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 27
2	behalf of the de Blasio administration I'm pleased
3	to be here today with Chairwoman Joshi and Nilda
4	Mesa Director of the Mayor's Office of
5	Sustainability to discuss Intro 842 and Intro 847.
6	Under Intro 847 DOT will assist the TLC in its
7	study of the rapidly changing taxi and for-hire
8	vehicle industry and the implication for the city's
9	transportation network economy and environment. And
10	I'm joining my colleagues I supporting this study
11	and the proposed limitation on growth of the new
12	for-hire vehicle licenses during its completion.
13	The taxi and the FHV industry are an important part
14	of New York City's transportation network. These
15	vehicles provide a much needed service to New
16	Yorkers, especially those who do not have access to
17	an automobile who live in neighborhoods with
18	limited public transit or have other mobility
19	challenges. Overall taxis and for-hire vehicles
20	carry more passengers per day than the Long Island
21	Railroad and Metro North combined. However as the
22	Chairwoman has said in the last two years the FHV
23	FHV vehicle industry has grown tremendously
24	especially within the transit rich Manhattan
25	central business district, that area of Manhattan

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 28
2	south of 60 th Street. According to trip records
3	most new FHV vehicle trips, 72 percent of pickups
4	are taking place in the already congested Manhattan
5	core. DOT has an obligation to manage our street
6	networks so as to maximize safety and mobility for
7	the 8.5 million city residents and the millions of
8	tourists and commuters who come to the city every
9	day. This challenge is particularly acute in the
10	Manhattan CBD. The economic engine of the state and
11	the region where there's fierce competition for
12	limited street and curb space. As the number of FHV
13	trips in Manhattan continues to rise we must
14	consider the implications on our street network on
15	mobility, congestion, city's economy, environmental
16	sustainability and quality of life. Taxi GPS and
17	other recent transportation data indicate that the
18	increased FHV activity in Manhattan may be
19	contributing to the growing congestion on our
20	streets. Between calendar years 2010 and 2014
21	average Manhattan CBD traffic speeds have declined
22	by nine percent from 9.35 miles per hour to 8.51
23	miles per hour. This decrease in traffic speeds is
24	happening at the same time that overall traffic
25	into the Manhattan CBD has fallen. The average
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 29
2	weekday number of vehicles entering the Manhattan
3	CBD south of 60 th Street dropped from 778,000 in
4	calendar year 2010 to 731,000 in calendar year
5	2014, a decline of six percent. We've also seen
6	drops in bus running speeds between 2013 and 2014
7	the average bus running speed in Manhattan South of
8	96 th Street declined by five percent during the
9	evening rush. And with this decline in bus speeds
10	has also come a drop in Manhattan bus ridership of
11	six percent in that two year period as compared to
12	a less than one percent drop for the rest of the
13	city. MTA busses which provide over 140 million
14	trips per year in Manhattan are the most
15	affordable, accessible mode of travel on the city's
16	surface streets. A standard bus can carry up to 70
17	passengers while the typical taxi or FHV FHV trip
18	serves only one or two. Given the capacity
19	constraints of our streets reversing the drop in
20	bus speeds is vital to the continued growth
21	vitality and quality of life in the Manhattan CBD.
22	The proposed study will examine how the changing
23	nature of the taxi and FHV industry may impact bus
24	service, transportation choices and overall
25	mobility within the Manhattan CBD. They will look

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 30
2	at how the city can best balance the needs of
3	street users so as to move the maximum number of
4	people and goods through the economic heart of the
5	city. As part of the study TLC and DOT will draw on
6	a wide range of data sources including taxi GPS
7	data, MTA bus time data and midtown in motion data.
8	This will help us better understand when, where,
9	and how traffic in the CBD is changing and what
10	role taxis, for-hire vehicles, construction
11	activity, economic conditions, and other relevant
12	factors are playing in these changes. The agencies
13	will explore a range of recommendations to improve
14	mobility for all street users including transit
15	riders and taxi and for-hire vehicle customers
16	while maximizing the efficient use of scarce street
17	capacity and minimizing the environmental and
18	community impacts. These recommendations could
19	include new types of transportation technology and
20	innovation and changes to the existing taxi and
21	for-hire vehicle regulatory framework. In
22	conclusion I thank the council for the opportunity
23	to testify today. DOT looks forward to working with
24	the TLC, DEP, and the Mayor's Office of
25	

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 31 2 sustainability on this study and happy to take 3 questions.

4 NILDA MESA: Good morning Chairman Rodriguez and members of the Transportation 5 6 Committee. My name is Nilda Mesa. And I'm the 7 Director of the Mayor's Office of Sustainability. It is a pleasure to appear before you today with my 8 esteemed colleagues Taxi and Limousine Commissioner 9 Meera Joshi and Transportation Commissioner Polly 10 Trottenberg. The numbers of for-hire vehicles on 11 12 NYC streets have grown significantly in the last 13 few years. For hire vehicles are an important part 14 of the city's transportation mix. And adding new 15 options for passengers like ride sharing they may 16 well wind up contributing to the city's quality of 17 life and sustainability. Or they may contribute to 18 our already serious congestion with its result in air pollutants and greenhouse gas emissions. As a 19 20 result the mayor's Office of Sustainability supports limiting the issuance of new FHV licenses 21 2.2 while TLC, DOT, DEP, we, and other agencies conduct 23 a one year study. While we recognize that new models may provide the opportunity to decrease 24 environmental impacts on our residents. At this 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 32
2	time I'd like to speak in more detail about the
3	possible air quality and greenhouse gas impact of
4	unmitigated rapid growth of the FHV fleet. For many
5	years New York City has had a goal to have the best
6	air quality of any large US City. In one New York
7	the plan for a strong and just city we restated
8	this goal and committed to cutting emissions from
9	mobile sources. While we have made progress over
10	the last several years, air pollution remains a
11	leading environmental health threat to the health
12	of New Yorkers. Of primary concern are nitrogen
13	dioxide, sulfur dioxide, ozone, and fine
14	particulate matter, all of which are emitted during
15	fuel combustion. The Department of Health estimates
16	that fine particles alone contribute to more than
17	2,000 deaths and over 6,000 emergency visits and
18	hospitalizations for cardiovascular and respiratory
19	disease in the city each year. Our asthma rates are
20	amongst the highest in the nation. The city's
21	efforts to phase out the use of highly polluting
22	number six fuel oil and state reductions in the
23	sulfur content of heating oil which are used in
24	buildings have led to significant reductions in PM
25	and SO2 emissions from buildings. The recently

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 33
2	passed air pollution control code will further
3	reduce emissions by controlling previously
4	unregulated sources such as commercial cooking.
5	Reducing emissions rom on road mobile sources will
6	help us continue to realize air quality
7	improvements. Now when we try to break down the
8	sources we find that 17 percent of local
9	particulate matter emissions come from on road
10	vehicles. Increases in the number of vehicles on
11	the road directly and indirectly increase air
12	pollution. From a direct perspective more FHVs on
13	the road mean more pollutants however because the
14	increase in vehicles leads to more traffic everyone
15	moves slower increasing emissions for all vehicles,
16	not just the new FHVs. Moreover with bus speed down
17	five percent during evening rush hour and overall
18	daytime bus speeds down three percent people may be
19	choosing to take vehicles rather than the bus
20	thereby adding to the problem. What we do know is
21	that recent TLC data confirms that 72 percent of
22	new FHV activity is occurring in the Manhattan core
23	where traffic speeds are slowest and air quality of
24	concern. As we hit the summer heat season the heat
25	cooks up the emissions. The projected increase in
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 34
2	emissions in the central business district also has
3	an impact on the health of downwind communities
4	such as Queens through increases in ozone levels.
5	Those would feel its effects most are those who
6	work and live in a central business district in
7	downwind communities along with vulnerable
8	populations including children, the elderly, and
9	those with respiratory diseases. People will be
10	stuck in two places, midtown because of the traffic
11	they'll miss their meetings or openings to that
12	Broadway show they've been waiting to see. And
13	downwind the abuelita, the grandmother whose lungs
14	burned and who gas from COPD won't be able to go
15	outside during ozone alerts. And neither will he
16	kid with asthma. If it's a heat wave and that
17	grandmother doesn't have air conditioning she'll
18	have to choose between going outside to get to her
19	neighborhood cooling center or staying inside and
20	risking the impact that heat may have on her
21	system. New York City has also committed to reduce
22	its greenhouse gas emissions 80 percent by 2050 to
23	help avert the most dangerous impacts of climate
24	change. As an island in coastal city with 520 miles
25	of shoreline we are especially vulnerable to the
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 35
2	threats of rising sea levels, extreme storms, and
3	heat waves. While most of our greenhouse gas
4	emissions are attributable to buildings passenger
5	vehicles contribute approximately 14 percent of the
6	city's aggregate carbon emissions. To meet our
7	goals requires facts and a strategy based on those
8	facts. This year we project that under current
9	conditions the FHV's fleet of 63,000 vehicles will
10	be responsible for approximately a million metric
11	tons of carbon dioxide emissions. If allowed to
12	grow unchecked at the current rate the FHV fleet
13	will contribute an additional 230,000 metric tons
14	of carbon dioxide emissions next year. The proposal
15	before you would limit emissions growth to a
16	maximum of 50,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide
17	which could mean at least a short term reduction, a
18	78 percent in the rate of increase. Over the long
19	run we would look forward to exploring strategies
20	to keep GHG emissions down for this fleet and all
21	fleets. And we are open to ideas. To paraphrase
22	from a song from the musical "Rent" 525,600 minutes
23	how do you measure, measure a year. Tapping the
24	breaks to study the impact of rapid FHV growth
25	alongside other licensed vehicles makes sense for
	l

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 36
2	us to develop a sound strategy to meet our air
3	quality and climate goals. It makes sense for the
4	Queens grandmother and the kid with asthma. It will
5	allow us a baseline with facts for future planning
6	so we can keep things humming in midtown and
7	elsewhere. In addition there are real concrete
8	benefits to human health and the climate system of
9	moderating FHV growth for a limited time period. We
10	hope that the council will support these bills.
11	Happy to answer any questions.
12	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. First
13	of all I'd like to say that in a city where we have
14	this… a snowstorm Sunday the highest temperature in
15	our history and President Obama saying that… what
16	wake him up every day is knowing that climate
17	change is real and that that's the biggest problem
18	that we face in world in in our world. I hope
19	that all sectors in our society put any interest
20	aside and understand how important it is to expand
21	on environmental impact study. Know… car that we
22	have in the street… any expansion or any industry
23	in our nation. So I just hope that we have this
24	conversation about how many car any particular
25	sector has he or she is expecting to expand to

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 37
2	understand that this about saving our planet. And
3	in that direction my first question is how often is
4	our city requiring environmental impact study.
5	NILDA MESA: Overall I believe we have
6	about 800 or so a year of the environmental
7	reviews. It depends on the the scope of the
8	proposed project. It depends on the projected
9	potential impact that's what would trigger it.
10	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:environmental
11	study to the yellow taxi industry?
12	NILDA MESA: Yes sir it is true.
13	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So why we wait
14	so long to a address the need to require
15	environmental study impact for the for-hire sector?
16	NILDA MESA: The approval process for
17	both is quite different and I would defer to my
18	colleague to describe how it's different.
19	MEERA JOSHI: I don't want to overstep
20	the law department here but I'll speak on their
21	I'll I'll speak on their behalf. The the
22	environmental review statutes are triggered by
23	specific action and the issuance of for-hire
24	vehicle licenses is not considered one of the
25	actions that triggers environmental review because

1COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION382it's not considered a discretionary, it's an3administrative action.

4 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: ...how does the 5 TLC track changes in the for-hire vehicle... for-hire 6 vehicle sector? And do you keep data on how many 7 vehicles are affiliated with a... with each base 8 month to month, year to year, before the massive 9 growth in the black car market post 2011? And how 10 much growth could you expect to see year to year?

11 MEERA JOSHI: We keep records both 12 online and in our internal database on active 13 vehicles, base affiliations, and active bases. And 14 in the years prior to 2011 the number of for-hire 15 vehicles including livery, black car, and luxury 16 limousines between 2007 and 2012 vast... you know 17 really move between 40,000 and 39,000. They didn't 18 really vary beyond that range. And now in 2015 we're up to 63,000. 19

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So you put the number on the table. You know you share the data. However lawyer will come and testify saying we should not be required to do the environmental impact study. What can be the arguments that we should make to persuade all New Yorkers that in a 1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 39 2 industry where we have a seen a growing of more 3 than 25 new car in the street is so critical to do 4 environmental study impact... environmental impact 5 study?

6 MEERA JOSHI: One I want to just qualify 7 the... the study that the TLC, the city, DOT, and DEP are undergoing as part of 1NYC is a... is a really a 8 voluntary study it's not triggered by a statute. 9 It's one out of a real desire to understand the 10 nature of this changing market and the one proposed 11 12 by the bill that you're sponsoring again would be 13 separate and apart from the secrets [phonetic] of 14 statutes. But what ... what do you gain by it? I think 15 that without a... a small delay or pause or time of 16 limited growth we lose a lot by not reflecting on 17 how best to go forward with allowing these 18 industries to flourish with as well as keeping in mind some of the externalities that are caused by 19 20 an unbridled growth. So by allowing ... by having a study now you take a little bit of time out for 21 2.2 better long term results. And that's a balance 23 strong industry that thrives for everybody rather than taking no time out and you have one with 24 unbridled growth with lots of negative consequences 25

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 40 2 for some people that never even get to use the 3 service. CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: ...that we will 4 move on on this bill to support my colleague at 5 the council the mayor sign this bill what are the 6 7 area of this study that you see are more important? Going... looking at the overall congestion boosts 8 ridership, pollution, developments, parking street 9 10 safety... 11 MEERA JOSHI: So I'm going to give my 12 perspective as the TLC regulator and I'm sure my 13 colleagues will give their perspective that will 14 highlight theirs... their areas of expertise but ... 15 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: One second. 16 [pause] 17 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Let's check 18 first to see everything is okay. Okay. MEERA JOSHI: So I think the question ... 19 and I'll try to rephrase it but I might have 20 21 forgotten exactly what it was what are ... what are 2.2 we... what are the areas of priority in terms of the 23 study and so I'm going give you the ... the regulator's perspective and ours is really multi-24 faceted. We're in an incredible time of change and 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 41
2	I think as I said in my testimony at a real
3	crossroads and a real opportunity to form a
4	regulatory system that allows new technology to to
5	thrive and new technology be To be a way to make
6	more efficient transportation alternatives for
7	people who live and work in the city. But that also
8	means that we need to temper that with
9	understanding what effects that has on congestion
10	especially in Manhattan where regardless of how
11	many ways new companies come in or bases recruit
12	vehicles and drivers Manhattan will always be a
13	pull for drivers because of the passenger rich
14	density there. So there's congestion in Manhattan
15	as well as air pollution of general mobility issues
16	including accessibility and consumer the quality of
17	service that we're providing for consumers.
18	POLLY TROTTENBERG: I'll just add a
19	little bit to what Meera said. On the… on the
20	transportation side as she mentioned congestion and
21	mobility and goods movement and quality of life
22	were clearly important pieces of the study. And
23	until recently you know we didn't have the type of
24	GPS data that we have now from taxi cabs, from bus
25	time, that's providing us with incredible new data
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 42
2	sources and we think there's a lot can learn that
3	can tell us what's happening on the streets right
4	now and help us project what's going to happen in
5	the future and how we're going manage what… what
6	the chairwoman has said is always going to be
7	fierce competition for Manhattan streets
8	particularly in the central business district.
9	NILDA MESA: Just to echo what my
10	colleagues have already said and and to add onto
11	that I would say air quality and climate change
12	clearly. It but I think they need to be looked at
13	longitudinally and layover several different
14	scenarios and over a period of time and I also
15	think that it's important to look at mobility and
16	the effect on demand on bus effect on on freight
17	without within the the city.
18	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Does does TLC
19	keep record of drivers who are using the app
20	service that they are doing pickup in New York City
21	but they are licensed in another city?
22	MEERA JOSHI: So would an example be
23	someone who's doing…
24	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Well I I I
25	spoke to a driver yesterday and he told me that 50
ļ	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 43
2	percent of the passing that he… his license is a
3	TLC license. But he told me that 50 percent of the
4	other services that he provide he do it in Hoboken
5	New Jersey and other 50 percent in New York City.
6	He's a TLC license driver.
7	MEERA JOSHI: So
8	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Putting aside
9	that case can we also be in a situation where
10	drivers have a license let's say… Jersey and they
11	do 50 percent of the service in Jersey and they do
12	another pick up industry in New York City?
13	MEERA JOSHI: If they're licensed in
14	Jersey they can do a pick up or a drop of in New
15	York City but they can't do point to point work in
16	New York City.
17	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I would
18	like to recognize a… Council Member Miller, Lander,
19	Chin, and Crowley. And I'd like to now call to my
20	colleague that they would be asking question. I'm
21	waiting for Council Member Levin but first let's go
22	Council Member Greenfield.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Good
24	morning. Thank you for your testimony today. I I
25	want to drill down Commissioner Joshi on one of

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 44
2	the… Chair Joshi on one of the points that you
3	made. And I guess it's either for you or for the
4	transportation commissioner. So what is to stop you
5	right now from doing a similar study. I understand
6	the moratorium of course who needed our authority
7	to do that but what's to stop you from doing a
8	similar study? And it sounds like this is a pretty
9	important area of importance so why haven't you
10	done this study yet?
11	MEERA JOSHI: As part of Plan 1NYC we
12	have already undertaken this study. We're in the
13	preliminary phase of it but you're correct. There's
14	nothing to stop us and we have already started.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay so then
16	you don't need our legislation to actually do this
17	study. You're in the process of doing it already.
18	MEERA JOSHI: Correct. To do a study we
19	can do that unilaterally.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So the the
21	numbers I think have been something like in the
22	last four years you've been a… seen a 66 percent
23	increase in FHVs right, for-hire vehicles? Much of
24	it we believe I… I guess is due to the… the new app
25	

5 Dw 10w
IOW
IOW
IOW
1 ′ t
ı't
nce
ive
ne
ру
very,
ì
IS SO
and
n and
-
ch
a n

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 46 2 through an app. And that's all well within our 3 jurisdiction. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: And my question is that you folks have the best sense 5 6 right, because you are the TLC of exactly how many FHVs are out there because you're giving them the 7 licenses right? 8 9 MEERA JOSHI: Absolutely. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay so you 11 were the folks who knew that these numbers were 12 spiking over the last four years. What have you 13 done about that specific issue? Is it ... Was this the 14 first time that you're trying to address that 15 issue. 16 MEERA JOSHI: We don't have the 17 authority to actually do anything about the 18 increase in numbers. But we have publically spoken about it and talked about it in the past, that the 19 20 number is increasing. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay without 2.2 any suggestions as to what to do about it? 23 MEERA JOSHI: I think this is probably 24 the first time there's been a formal suggestion on what the path forward is. As a regulator you know 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 47
2	your first inclination is not to shut down a market
3	it's to let markets thrive. And I think we've
4	actually reached a tipping point where even the
5	most you know orthodox regulator who would never
6	want to cap a market is finding themselves in a
7	position where the onslaught of vehicles is so
8	tremendous that it seems actually irresponsible not
9	to legislate in some way.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Sure I
11	agree. As you know I have legislation that I
12	introduced a year ago to try to regulate portions
13	of this market. And I'm I'm I welcome the fact
14	that TLC has come around to a more aggressive
15	enforcement and interaction with this market. I
16	I'm curious though about… about something…
17	something in your testimony. And I believe… you
18	said something to the effect of that the city
19	needs to step in and make sure that there's a
20	balance between those of us who choose instant
21	gratification and convenience of travel in private
22	vehicles and the much larger group cannot afford
23	private car service. Never really heard it termed
24	that way, the difference sort of in terms of an
25	
I	

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 48 2 economic difference. Could you elaborate on that 3 particular point?

MEERA JOSHI: Sure. Issues of congestion 4 and mobility especially in the central business 5 district affect everybody who lives and works or 6 7 needs to make deliveries. So there is a segment of the population that regularly patronizes the for-8 hire vehicle service. But I think that it would 9 naïve to think that everybody in New York City can 10 11 afford to hire a private car and have a private 12 driver. That much larger segment that can't is 13 still none the less affected by growth in that 14 segment if it is increasing congestion and making 15 mobility in Manhattan where they work or be 16 delivering goods and services more difficult.

17 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: And I think 18 I heard a similar argument from Director Mesa about the unintended consequences. So I guess my ... my 19 20 final question Mr. Chair is when you look at the overall TLC regulated industry is there a push ... is 21 there a consideration to significantly reduce via 2.2 23 taxis or yellow vehicles or for-hire vehicles based on the ideas of either income inequality or based 24 on the environmental impact that it's having? So is 25

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 49 2 there an idea out there perhaps that we know we 3 should cut it in half or perhaps get rid of it 4 altogether? Because if we did that obviously we 5 would be handling both of those concerns. So have 6 either one of you thought about that?

7 MEERA JOSHI: I don't think we could make any kind of ... even suggestion regarding a 8 solution until we... as... as Commissioner Trottenberg 9 mentioned we have the ... the luxury of data in ... in 10 depth that we haven't had in the past to compliment 11 12 the Tpap data. We now have trip records from FHVs 13 and as part of the study I'd invite any you know 14 FHV company that has its own GPS data that they'd 15 like to redact and share with us so we could better 16 understand travel patterns especially in Manhattan. 17 But I don't think that anybody could make an 18 informed decision about what the policy should be going forward with the regulatory framework should 19 20 be going forward without having the time to understand that data. And I think that is the ... that 21 2.2 is the ultimate goal of the study.

NILDA MESA: If I might just add. I think right now what we're seeing are symptoms of illness in the patient and what we need is more

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 50
2	information in order to make the diagnosis. So I
3	think the… the idea is to get to some place where
4	the system is sustainable and benefit… you know
5	benefits the maximum number of residents of New
6	York.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay thank
8	you.
9	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
10	Commissioner Polly I had a and only a a question
11	about your your testimony, the last page, and then
12	I will pass it to my colleague Council Member
13	Levin. At the end of your testimony you say that
14	these recommendation a the that started this
15	agency will explore so at the end of the… say that
16	these recommendation could include new type of
17	transportation technology and innovation. Can you
18	highlight and show [cross-talk]
19	POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah and again I
20	think I think that the chair put it well. I mean
21	that's what we're seeing in this field right now.
22	In a lot of ways look it's been tremendously
23	exciting and is as given consumers new choices
24	there we're seeing all kinds of new for-hire
25	vehicles… all kinds of new apps. On the future
I	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 51
2	perhaps we will have some kind of self-driving
3	vehicle so there are a lot of new things coming our
4	way on the… on the bus and transit side there are a
5	lot of exciting new technologies. So I mean
6	certainly in transportation we're changing very
7	much from a model in which people chose a mode to
8	one as we know now. People have their smartphones
9	and they choose from a whole range of options every
10	time they want to take a trip and we think there's
11	going to be a lot of exciting innovation and I
12	think it's something we should look at as we try
13	and see what is mobility and transportation going
14	to look like in New York City 5, 10, 15, 20 years
15	down the road.
16	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
17	Council Member Levin.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you Mr.
19	Chairman. Thank you all for… for your testimony. I
20	have several questions and I may jump around kind
21	of from topic to topic if that's okay. In in 2013
22	the TLC conducted a or had with along with
23	auction of 2,000 medallions, yellow taxi medallions
24	and EIS conducted. I don't know I don't think the
25	administration conducted or the TLC but the… I… I
I	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 52
2	think the… somebody conducted it. What were the
З	findings of that EIS in… in… you know in a
4	nutshell, summarized?
5	MEERA JOSHI: So I I believe the
6	complete EIS is available on our website and I'm
7	going to summarize from what I recall the last time
8	that I read it, a thrilling document. It was an EIS
9	over whether the city could sell 2,000 more
10	medallions, accessible medallions so they have to
11	be hacked up with accessible vehicles which
12	obviously have their own you know respects that are
13	much different than a standard vehicle. But I think
14	the findings in terms of congestion which is
15	probably the… one of the findings you're most
16	interested in is that there was a some some
17	indication of a negative impact with 2,000
18	additional medallions but there were some
19	mitigating factors that the city could take to
20	counterbalance that. Some one of the mitigating
21	factors I recall and I'm happy to follow-up with
22	your office on the exact document where where sort
23	of changing lights changings and intersections
24	making them more efficient. But yes there was a a
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 53
2	a slight negative indication for 2,000 more
3	medallions to be added.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: We… we have here
5	in our committee report quote significant adverse
6	traffic impacts. That was that was a quote from
7	the EIS. So that's that's correct that that was
8	[cross-talk]
9	MEERA JOSHI: Yeah if you have the
10	document you have a better… [cross-talk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:quote from the
12	[cross-talk]
13	MEERA JOSHI:or you have a quote from
14	the document then you're a step ahead of me because
15	I'm doing it from memory so
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I don't have the
17	the full document in front of me but we do have
18	MEERA JOSHI: Well we'll up on… I can
19	pull it up right now on our website and get back to
20	you before the end of the hearing.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Really my point
22	is that with 2,000 medallions of yellow taxis there
23	was a finding of significant adverse traffic
24	impacts. If 72 percent of the increase in FHVs is
25	in the central business district of Manhattan the
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 54
2	logic would follow that that would likely also have
3	significant adverse traffic impacts correct?
4	MEERA JOSHI: Yes that's logical.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay because I
6	think that that's important to note that that's
7	again what we're doing here. We are based on
8	previous experience taking a a small break if you
9	will and limiting the size of growth so that we can
10	study what has been found in the past at a much
11	smaller scale to have significant adverse traffic
12	impact. So I just want to make that that clear.
13	What percentage of cars right now in the central
14	business district are for-hire vehicles? Do we have
15	an accurate sense of what that is?
16	POLLY TROTTENBERG: We… we looked at
17	this back in around 2009 and I think it was in the
18	low 60 percent where taxis and for-hire vehicles.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So back in 2009
20	prior to the increase in for-hire vehicles it was
21	60 percent?
22	POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah I I think it
23	was in the low 60s. And and I think that's one of
24	the things in the study we want to we want to
25	update those numbers. But it's
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 55
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right.
3	POLLY TROTTENBERG:look anyone who's
4	in midtown Manhattan you merely have to look around
5	to see that the… I think the vast majority of the
6	vehicles there are… are taxis and for-hires.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Because because
8	one of the critiques that I've seen in the in the
9	the last few days is that you know there's a
10	statistic drawn out there that one percent of all
11	cars in New York City, or one percent of all cars
12	entering New York City are for-hire vehicles on any
13	given day but I'm assuming that that includes the
14	cars driving from Westchester to New Jersey and
15	going on the Cross Bronx expressway and vice versa.
16	POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah I Actually was
17	very I think the the numbers of the the I think
18	the number was that Uber's vehicles represent one
19	percent of all the vehicles in New York City. There
20	are many many vehicles in New York City, many of
21	them sit on the street most of the day or they take
22	one trip. So I don't really I don't think that's
23	it's not the number of vehicles it's the number of
24	the trips that a given vehicle is taking and I
25	think again the focus is on the central business

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 56
2	district. New York City is an enormous city. There
3	are cars driving everywhere. But I think the CBD
4	south of 60 th Street in Manhattan is where our
5	focus is.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Got it. Do we
7	have a a sense of the number of sorry let me take
8	one step back here. Just to be clear the increase
9	in the for-hire vehicle licenses… has that been
10	focused in black cars or liveries or both? Or can
11	you break it down between black cars, liveries, and
12	luxury limos?
13	MEERA JOSHI: It's primarily black cars
14	I think in the… if you look at the black car sector
15	alone there's been almost a 200 percent increase in
16	black car licenses.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Since?
18	MEERA JOSHI: Since 2011.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Do we have
20	a sense of how many black car trips there are per
21	day?
22	MEERA JOSHI: We have a set of rules
23	that passed last year that require all bases to
24	send us trip records. And we have instituted that
25	rule and we're in the process of gathering those

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 57
2	records. So we don't have a complete picture of the
3	entire industry. But we do know from some of the
4	records that we've received so far that of the
5	larger bases because we're doing this in waves so
6	the larger bases have to comply with the trip
7	record requirement first. Of the larger bases
8	they're doing about eight trips a day.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Eight trips.
10	MEERA JOSHI: Eight trips per vehicle. A
11	taxi does about 30 trips a day… in a day. And a
12	green taxi which is dual use, that means it does
13	dispatch and hail, we don't have the figures on the
14	dispatch but in addition to whatever dispatch trips
15	it does about 11 trips a day.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay.
17	MEERA JOSHI: They do… Yeah green taxis
18	do about 56 hail trips a month now.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay.
20	MEERA JOSHI: 56 thousand sorry about
21	that.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And do we have a
23	sense of how like… so one of the things that I've
24	heard from Uber over the last few days is that
25	their drivers are driving part time. So they're…
Į	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 58
2	something about that is is I I think is odd that
3	someone would purchase a car, insure a car, license
4	a car with the TLC, and only drive it a couple
5	hours a week but do we have a sense of how many
6	hours a week those drivers are… are driving? So of
7	the new black car licenses that have come on how
8	many hours a week those cars are on the road?
9	MEERA JOSHI: So that we don't have
10	exact an exact sense of we don't have equipment in
11	the black cars like Tpap which gives us log on and
12	log off. But there are a couple factors that we are
13	aware of in the industry that sort of cut against
14	that. One I think you mentioned there is a
15	financial burden to owning a car and ensuring a car
16	and some of the agreements that we've been that
17	drivers have shared with us, lease agreements and
18	finance agreements actually limit use of the car to
19	solely the… the person leasing the car if you're
20	paying 450 dollars a week for a 2012 Toyota Camry
21	but you're the only person who's allowed to operate
22	it and nobody else is allowed to operate it it
23	makes it difficult to use it part time and you
24	certainly can't use it as a family car when you're
25	not using it to operate as a for-hire vehicle. And

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 59
2	some of the financial incentives offer to bring new
3	drivers on also cut against a part time notion. So
4	for example there's now I I saw this morning
5	35,000 dollars in six months you can earn except
6	for you must be… do 200 trips a month, be online
7	200 hours a month which I remember from my law firm
8	days can be a lot of billable hours…
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay so 200
10	sorry 200 trips a month so that would that would
11	be obviously you know eight trips a day. I don't
12	know if that… I can't do the math in my head right
13	now but that's that's more than eight trips a day
14	right? Five days a week?
15	MEERA JOSHI: Looks like we're two math
16	stumped people trying to figure this out.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Just so
18	we're… okay. It'll be just about… I guess…
19	MEERA JOSHI: Yeah.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:just about eight
21	trips a day.
22	MEERA JOSHI: 10 right?
23	POLLY TOTTENBERG: [off mic] 10 [cross-
24	talk]
25	MEERA JOSHI: 10, thank you Polly.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 60
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Does do
3	we… do we track then… So if there's… that increase
4	in the number of black cars since 2011 we've seen
5	we know what the increase has been. Do we know how
6	many drivers are then associated with those cars?
7	So how many drivers are are you using those cars
8	how many of those cars have two drivers using them
9	or working in shifts? Do we have
10	MEERA JOSHI: Yeah we… we… I don't think
11	I can give you anecdotal information. We've see an
12	in an increase in cars and an increase in drivers.
13	And the… historically the livery and black car
14	sectors have been a mix of leasing models where a
15	car might be shifted out one person drives it
16	during the day and another person drives it at
17	night. It gives higher… higher utilization to the
18	car. It provides the car owner or whoever's paying
19	the lease payments a second steam of income so that
20	the car when the car is being driven by somebody
21	else. And then more recently we've seen some where
22	there are these limitations so the person who owns
23	or leases the car is limited and they're the only
24	person who can operate the car and that would
25	constrain their ability to make additional income
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 61
2	on leasing the car out. But… but I think to the
3	extent that we're looking at ways to be more
4	efficient about transportation things like higher
5	higher utilization of vehicles, carpooling, you
6	know ride ride for-hire vehicles that offer
7	carpooling services. They're both tremendous ways
8	we can still provide consumers with the service
9	they need without complicating an already
10	complicated congestion problem.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Do we know
12	do we know what how many of the new for-hire
13	vehicles are driving for multiple bases? So I
14	there's there's a there's obviously a reality out
15	there that drivers are affiliated with one base,
16	driving for multiple bases. Do we know is that
17	how widespread that is and do we get a sense of
18	MEERA JOSHI: Well again as we get more
19	of the data in we can quantify it but anecdotally
20	we know that that is a frequent it's a frequent
21	occurrence. And last year we were… did a rule
22	package to make it crystal clear that drivers as
23	independent contracts have the freedom to work for
24	multiple bases. And and the proposed legislation
25	wouldn't in inhibit that freedom at all. So
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 62
2	drivers… and we know drivers toggle between
3	multiple apps and in fact in our last rule making
4	ensure that we would allow more flexibility in the
5	number of devices allowed in a car just so that
6	drivers can toggle between several apps giving them
7	more choice on which fairs to pick up and which
8	you know which sort of base they want to work for.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. So I think
10	it's it's important actually to to to reiterate
11	that that the proposed legislation in no way limits
12	a driver's ability to take fairs from a base that
13	is not their affiliated base right?
14	MEERA JOSHI: No it does not does not
15	limit them at all.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And then another
17	thing that I think is important to note is that
18	the… the… the proposed legislation does not limit
19	the number of drivers. So going back to our
20	previous point about it limits the number of cars
21	but those cars can have multiple drivers working in
22	shifts. Is that is that correct?
23	MEERA JOSHI: Yes. You can have much
24	more efficient use of cars and there's no limit on
25	the number of drivers. And in fact many drivers
I	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 63
2	would probably prefer having to… rather than having
3	to make a down payment on a car loan or be stuck
4	with you know vehicle financing arrangements that
5	may work in good times but may not work in bad
6	times may prefer to have a a more temporary
7	financial arrangement so that they're not sort of
8	handcuffed to an industry that may work for a while
9	but after a while it may not.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Do we have a
11	sense of how many how many cars are dropping out
12	or how many drivers are dropping out of the market
13	in any given month?
14	MEERA JOSHI: I can get that information
15	for you for… on drivers.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay but not in
17	terms of cars… if we're adding 2,000 cars a week…
18	MEERA JOSHI: In cars we lose so the
19	net gain is usually around 12 hundred, 13 hundred a
20	month, about 800 cars can drop off every month.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Okay.
22	MEERA JOSHI: And that's in recent
23	months. Like during this period of growth that's
24	where we are.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 64
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right So under
3	the current scenario if left unchecked if if the
4	if the industry were to be allowed to grow totally
5	unchecked we don't pass this legislation, we don't
6	do a study, is it reasonable to think that growing
7	at a rate of of if we're at 63,000 64,000 now
8	and growing at a rate of net 12 hundred a month
9	that within three four years we'd be at 100,000
10	for-hire vehicles on the roads in New York City?
11	MEERA JOSHI: I think that's easy to
12	fathom. I also believe that if one… you know the…
13	there's a study ongoing and without some limited
14	growth mechanism there is some sense that people
15	will instill a sense of fear that the outcome of
16	the study could inhibit further growth and there
17	might be a rush to increase the number of vehicles
18	added to the fleet during the pendency of the
19	study.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And okay that's
21	important to note. And just… just for… again for…
22	for clarity sake and as as a point of comparison
23	that would be over the next few years potentially a
24	hundred thousand for-hire vehicles how many yellow
25	taxis are on our streets right now?

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 65
2	MEERA JOSHI: Today we have… let me give
3	you the exact number 13,687.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay.
5	MEERA JOSHI: I'm sorry 13,587.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. 13,000
7	versus today 63,000 for-hires potentially you know
8	80, 90, hundred thousand in the coming years. How
9	many green cabs?
10	MEERA JOSHI: We have issued 8,400
11	8,043 permits and on the road hacked up and
12	providing service are 6,736.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay so between
14	yellow cabs and green cabs there are currently
15	three times as many for-hire vehicles potentially
16	over the coming years four or five times as many.
17	Is that correct?
18	MEERA JOSHI: I think that's correct.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And my last
20	MEERA JOSHI: Let me just clarify. Our
21	green taxis are also considered a subset of our
22	for-hire vehicle market.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Got it
24	
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 66
2	MEERA JOSHI: So you should probably
3	deduct from the total of for-hire vehicles the
4	green taxi number.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Got it. Deduct
6	six to eight thousand.
7	MEERA JOSHI: Yeah.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And then lastly
9	I there's and then I may come back for a second
10	round of questions but there's been one critique of
11	the legislation is that and you made reference to
12	this in your testimony that supply is just keeping
13	up with demand right? And and that's an
14	interesting question because obviously we've seen
15	you know this… a large increase in demand. Is it…
16	is it possible that the FHV supply is outpacing
17	demand? And where is this demand in T TLC's
18	opinion where is the demand coming is it coming
19	from subway riders? Is it because it's so easy to
20	push a button on your phone, it's easier than
21	calling a livery service or going outside and
22	hailing a taxi that that people are opting out of
23	the subway or the buses to take for-hire vehicles
24	now beause it's so… so much easier or how… how do…
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 67
2	how are we approaching this issue of of supply and
3	demand?
4	MEERA JOSHI: So your first question is
5	is supply outstripping demand?
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah.
7	MEERA JOSHI: And it's hard to
8	definitively answer that. But I can say that
9	there's some sense that it might be because we do
10	enforcement action regularly on illegal pickups.
11	And in the last two months or so we have seized
12	900 950 about TLC license vehicles performing
13	illegal street hail. And an overwhelming majority
14	of those are vehicles affiliated with an Uber base
15	which leads one to believe that there may not be
16	enough legal opportunities and you have a a
17	tremendous… you might have a… you know too many
18	drivers and now [cross-talk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Because they're
20	[cross-talk]
21	MEERA JOSHI:they're
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:picking up
23	illegal street hails.
24	MEERA JOSHI:picking up illegal to
25	supplement their income or to make payments that

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 68
2	may be due for their… related to their auto
3	expenses.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And are they
5	taking just in in those instance are they taking
6	cash or how is that transaction happening?
7	MEERA JOSHI: It could happen a variety
8	ways but I cash or you know it it really depends
9	upon the circumstance. The point here is they're
10	not prearranged trips. They're a person standing in
11	the street hailing. The car stops, and picks up the
12	trip. That's… then it's not tracked by us. There's
13	no recourse by the passenger. It's not covered by
14	you know their insurance. So it's not… it's… it's
15	a risky venture for the driver and for the
16	passenger but it's often an indication for us that
17	there's not enough legal work so the person's
18	resorting to illegal to supplement their income.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And those are
20	those are drivers that are that are the majority
21	that are affiliated with an Uber base or they're
22	affiliated with some livery or black car base and
23	they're doing
24	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 69
2	MEERA JOSHI: Affiliated with an Uber
3	base but as you pointed out they can take
4	dispatches from anybody.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. Okay I'm
6	going to turn it over to my other colleagues and
7	then come back for another round of questions.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And
10	we're going back to the clock and take the
11	question and comment from my colleague. I would
12	like to also remind everyone that after we have the
13	administration we will have Uber coming to testify.
14	I know that… very busy offering free riding to
15	passenger and drivers but we will hear from them
16	when they come to testify why they were so against
17	we do an environmental study. We go back to the
18	question. Council Member Vacca.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Thank you Mr.
20	Chair. And thank you everyone for your testimony.
21	I've been receiving emails from you… from Uber
22	since this hearing was called so I do have a
23	question based on one of the emails. They say that
24	we will lose 10,000 doll… 10,000 jobs a year. Now
25	can you answer that how do you respond to that? Is
Į	

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 70 2 that true? Is job... Have... Is jobs part of your 3 thinking process?

MEERA JOSHI: Well jobs is a funny 4 terms. Jobs I associate with healthcare, sick time ... 5 you know vacation time, pensions ... So I don't think ... 6 7 these are independent contractors. They're streams of income but they're not jobs. But I think as ... as 8 we said at the outset there's nothing in the 9 proposed legislation that stops drivers from 10 11 joining the TLC. There's ... open opportunity and an 12 open market for more drivers to come in. What it 13 does is stop the number of vehicles. And it allows 14 the vehicles that are already in the existing fleet 15 to be used more efficiently. And we know today that 16 they're not. So it allows new drivers to come in 17 and use existing vehicles to earn income. But in no 18 way shape or form does this prohibit anybody from coming in, getting a TLC driver's license, and 19 20 earning money.

21 COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: You did speak 22 about the time it takes for cars and busses to 23 travel in Manhattan. You know I'm supporting the 24 move on New York plan which is very progressive 25 about traffic in Manhattan. I hope my colleagues

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 71
2	will consider it because we've got to do something
3	and that plan was put forth by Sam Schwartz New
4	York Daily News columnist and Grid Lock Sam and I
5	think it's fantastic. But beyond that these times
6	are eight minutes. The average car goes eight
7	minutes in Manhattan… eight miles an hour south of…
8	eight miles an hour south of 96 th Street. I believe
9	you by the way because I represent a Bronx district
10	that has express busses that are not I call them
11	non-express busses. They they don't go anywhere.
12	And I'm going to challenge one of those busses. I'm
13	going to walk faster than that bus can move.
14	Because I can jog and I can even sprint and I will
15	beat that bus in Manhattan. And what are we… you
16	know if if this is the case this is just one
17	aspect of what we have to do I hope you know. But
18	what impact in your opinion does 10,000 licenses a
19	year have on this issue?
20	POLLY TROTTENBERG: Alright I'll I'll
21	jump in on that one. And look there's no question
22	congestion remains an enormous challenge throughout
23	the city. I mean we're talking about the Manhattan
24	CBD but I think I've practically heard from every
25	member of the council and community boards in a lot

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 72
2	of the public. There's there's congestion in
3	different parts of the city. It's obviously the
4	worst in midtown and yes the travel speeds it's no
5	secret there they're extremely slow. If you are a
6	spry person you can certainly often outrun the… a
7	car or a bus. And as we've said today there could
8	be a lot of factors behind it. I mean certainly
9	because we are actually seeing declines in vehicles
10	coming into the central business district over the
11	river crossings and at 60^{th} Street I'm inclined to
12	think that adding all these new for-hire vehicles
13	is a factor but to be fair I think we need to study
14	it to see what is going on. We're also doing a lot
15	of construction in the city. We have a lot of
16	economic activity. There could be a bunch of
17	factors and I I don't want to I don't want to
18	make correlation the same as causality but
19	certainly I think it would logical to conclude that
20	that is a significant factor.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Is the 2,000
22	number which you gave the committee is that the
23	constant number or was it recently 15 hundred now
24	it's 2,000 or was it 3,000 now it's 2,000 is that
25	an average number or is this a consistent number?

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 73
2	MEERA JOSHI: It's a consistent number
3	over the last six months or so. It may go up in the
4	next few months and a couple years ago it was much
5	less.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Many of the
7	permits you give through TLC offer out of borough
8	cabs, the so called green cabs. Is there a is
9	there a way for you to omit Manhattan from further
10	for-hire vehicle permits?
11	MEERA JOSHI: Are… are you suggesting
12	similar to the geo-fencing that goes on with the
13	green cabs where they can drop off but not pick up
14	in Manhattan?
15	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Yeah I'm I'm
16	just questioning option you considered to to
17	address this?
18	MEERA JOSHI: I think as I mentioned
19	earlier it would be premature to come to a
20	conclusion before we've done the data analytics
21	that we need to do to understand the issues.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Okay. I mean I
23	definitely think we have to do something I'll be
24	open to working with you and I'm glad we're having
25	this the hearing today on this legislation.
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 74
2	MEERA JOSHI: Thank you. And we welcome
3	your participation.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member
6	Garodnick.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank you
8	very much Mr. Chairman. And I have the privilege of
9	representing that Manhattan Central Business
10	District and I can confirm what everybody knows
11	what your testimony has revealed which is that it
12	is a… it's a mess out there. The speeds are slower
13	and you know the people who I represent many of
14	them enjoy the services that Uber and Lyft and
15	other for-hire vehicles bring but they also want
16	traffic to move in the process. And we all
17	recognize that the ultimate result is frustration
18	and then loss of economic activity. But what I
19	wanted to ask was on the subject of cars going into
20	Manhattan being fewer and traffic speeds also being
21	slower. There… there must be some theories here as
22	to what potential cause or we've had a lot of
23	changes in in the streetscape bike lanes even
24	speed limits, closing off streets. You know the
25	suggestion that was left by the testimony was that

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 75
2	it likely is the cause of the number of for-hire
3	vehicles but can you… can you say anything more
4	about the potential causes here?
5	POLLY TROTTENBERG: Look I think I've I
6	think I've mentioned some of them and look I I
7	think we want to make sure that this study takes an
8	intellectually honest look at all the potential
9	factors. Certainly in the central business district
10	it is an interesting phenomenon that the cross
11	that the crossing's in we're seeing vehicle traffic
12	decline but we're seeing traffic speed slow. So
13	it's… it's clearly I think a phenomenon that's
14	happening there. You know again I think it seems
15	logical as we've seen for-hire vehicle licenses go
16	up that the… the cruising of for-hire vehicles.
17	There's a this is a dilemma in every city. And I
18	I think the chairwoman can speak to it… There's a
19	tendency for for-hire vehicles to congregate in the
20	places where they can most efficiently pick up the
21	most people and take them to nearby destinations.
22	That's something you know that this I know this
23	committee and this city has grappled with. How do
24	we spread that service out more fairly. So we think
25	that to be simple we… look we also do want to look.
ļ	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 76
2	What's happening with construction, with goods
3	movement, with things with street redesign,
4	etcetera. You know from my own department's part
5	as… as you know we try very hard when we do our
6	street work to make sure that we do everything we
7	can to make sure the traffic flows are just as good
8	or improved when our projects are done but sure
9	that should be a part of what we look at as well.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: So as to the
11	study and you know to the extent that we can learn
12	something here I think would be important for us
13	to… you know to establish what the rules should be
14	here. There has to be a saturation point at some
15	point here for the number of cars that we can
16	handle on the road in Manhattan and the rest of New
17	York City. But how exactly are you going to do this
18	study. So how exactly are you going to do this
19	study? Let's say we… we move forward. I know that
20	you have already started some of this but how how
21	do you do it? Do you have access to the… to the
22	data for all these car companies? What are we going
23	to learn that we don't already know today?
24	MEERA JOSHI: I'm going to defer to
25	Polly for the mechanics because that's not my area

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 77
2	of expertise but I can let you know what data we
3	have and what new data we're getting. And as I
4	mentioned before I would really welcome those
5	companies that use GPS so that they have the… they
6	have breadcrumb data for their vehicles which we
7	don't currently require they submit to us if they
8	were to provide us with that breadcrumb data our
9	ability to study this would be greatly enhanced.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Isn't our
11	ability to study it significantly inhibited by the
12	absence of that information? I mean if we knew what
13	the cars actually were doing… [cross-talk]
14	MEERA JOSHI: I don't think it's
15	inhibited but it would be better. And I'll let
16	Polly sort of speak to the mechanics of traffic
17	studies but today we have the Tpap data from the
18	green taxis and the yellow taxis will have drop
19	pickup information from the for-hire vehicles. And
20	then if any if companies voluntarily give us the
21	breadcrumb data then we would also have the
22	breadcrumb data from the for-hire vehicles in and
23	around Manhattan which would definitely be
24	illuminating. But as to the mechanics and the other
25	factors that are looked at I'll defer to Polly.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 78
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Good. And
3	since I have 42 seconds left I'll just throw in my
4	last question which is you know the need for a
5	moratorium in order to achieve this goal. And I
6	apologize I had to step out for a moment, so if
7	you've answered this I apologize. But what is… what
8	is so critical about freezing everything at a
9	moment in time to be able achieve this? And so you
10	can do this in whatever order makes sense but I
11	just wanted to make sure I got that… [cross-talk]
12	MEERA JOSHI: I'll speak like an
13	auctioneer. The… it's a limited growth proposal and
14	the importance of that is to establish a baseline.
15	Because if you do a study based on conditions in
16	September and your recommendations come out in
17	December but the world looks drastically different
18	by December you've mooted out any recommendations.
19	And and I think it's sort of a basic scientific
20	principle that you have a baseline, you do the
21	study from that, and then you make recommendations
22	based on that. But let me turn it over to Polly
23	POLLY TROTTENBERG: I'll go quickly on
24	the data and then look I think as we as we
25	progress with the study we'll we'll probably have

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 79
2	further discussions. I mean we have our existing
3	sources, particularly the taxi GPS data now the…
4	the the real time bus data which we've only had
5	for a couple of years and it's given us some level
6	of insight but we think we can actually plum the
7	data for more insights. One thing when you look at
8	the taxi data you'll see for example two taxi cabs
9	that are cruising around the same part of
10	Manhattan. One of them will pick up a lot more
11	rides than the other. Some well come of them are
12	just operating more efficiently than other not
13	clear why that is. I think some deeper analysis
14	might give us some insights there. I mean our
15	ultimate goal is to as you say avoid the saturation
16	point and get as much use out of the roadway
17	network as we possibly can.
18	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member
19	Lander.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very
21	much Mr. Chair. Thanks to the three of you for
22	being here. You know I enter this conversation with
23	an open mind. I'm a… a regular Uber user. I think
24	the technology is great. I think the latest
25	smartphones are sort of shifting a lot of our

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 80
2	transit. They just started SpotPog in my community
3	this week and I'm eager off board fare payment on
4	the busses… but I share the concerns. There's
5	certainly one thing I'm mindful of. You know we
6	have this great chart on page four of our of our
7	the council prepared study. It's not only 50
8	percent growth since 2012 but it was essentially
9	level for-hire vehicles at 40,000 in the six years
10	before that. So it sure looks like a bubble.
11	Understanding what that's about is really
12	important. It sounds to me like we don't have good
13	data on number of rides so really understanding
14	what's happening here and how much is that a shift
15	from work that had been full time into work that is
16	now increasingly part time. So yeah just have a lot
17	more drivers out there getting fewer rides you
18	know you can call that flexibility but I don't
19	think what we mean by the sharing economy is you're
20	going to have to like share an apartment with other
21	drivers because you can't afford the rent. We don't
22	want to see it shift from an industry where people
23	had full time jobs to ones where everybody's part
24	time in the name of flexibility or sharing. But how
25	are we going to study that? Or you know are we…

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 81 2 could we compel the for-hire vehicles to give ride 3 data to us? Do we need a third bill? Are we going to survey drivers? It seems to me like we really 4 5 need to get at that question... [cross-talk] MEERA JOSHI: Yeah I think that's... and I 6 7 think that was layered into my testimony as well as congestion harms driver income just basically 8 because it's harder to get from one place to 9 another, less opportunity to get fares, but with 10 11 the increase of vehicles and in the increase of 12 drivers and what we see is the ... the obvious 13 prevalence of illegal activity that does appear to be an oversaturation of drivers and we hear this 14 15 anecdotally and ... and you know sharing is nice but 16 you know when it's your income it's... it's a little 17 difficult. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So how are we going to study that though? [cross-talk] 19 MEERA JOSHI: So to study that is ... 20 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: ...compel the 21 2.2 provision of the number of rides or we got to get 23 good surveying of drivers like how... [cross-talk] MEERA JOSHI: I think a combination. One 24 we ... we would encourage drivers to come to us and 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 82
2	give us information about their income their
3	income levels. The pick-up data will also give us
4	the driver information and the vehicle information.
5	So analyzing the driver and vehicle and and seeing
6	where the… where the frequencies are we'll be able
7	to more accurately study how many trips each driver
8	is making. We won't know how much they'll make on
9	each trip based on what we have but we can at least
10	estimate what a trip value will be to get to some
11	better generalizations about income opportunities.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: But we'll only
13	have that newly. We won't be able to go back and
14	[cross-talk]
15	MEERA JOSHI: We won't be able to go
16	back. And in the past… [cross-talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:because if it's
18	the same number of rides spread out over 60,000
19	vehicles that were previously spread out over
20	40,000 vehicles but we'll have that at least going
21	forward.
22	MEERA JOSHI: Yes. And and in the past
23	we've done surveys like we did prior to launching
24	the green taxi program to get an idea of what
25	drivers were making through dispatch calls from

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 83
2	bases. And and found out that where there because
3	there wasn't there was not enough work there they
4	were supplementing illegally which is where the
5	green taxi you know program really was born to… to
6	be to supplant that illegal activity.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And then I
8	wonder whether you're worried about at all
9	monopolization here. I know there are a lot of
10	other for-hire vehicles besides Uber but it sounds
11	to me like you said there's 18,000 Ubers out there
12	out of 62,000 for licensed for-hire vehicles. And
13	there was zero of them a few years ago.
14	MEERA JOSHI: Mm-hmm.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Today look as a
16	customer there offering a great service at a great
17	price but do we need to be… pay attention to or
18	have any concern about regulating against monopoly
19	here because obviously you'll get to a point where
20	they or someone else, but right now it seems like
21	them, who could approach monopoly power and that
22	that would really have a dramatic impact on the
23	system?
24	MEERA JOSHI: It's like meet the old
25	boss, same as the new boss. There's there's sort
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 84
2	of the perceived monopoly among the yellows.
3	There's a perceived monopoly potential in the for-
4	hire… [cross-talk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: That's why
6	MEERA JOSHI: …I think…
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:you regulate
8	the fairs.
9	MEERA JOSHI: Yeah yeah I think you…
10	you… you hit upon a very important point. New
11	Yorkers want choice and they deserve choice but if
12	we're not careful they won't have choice. And what
13	may be a good service today if there's no
14	competition will be whatever it will be without the
15	check of competition to keep it in line. So yes
16	that is something that we would you know and it
17	we would have to look at that through the
18	regulatory lens to find out where we overstepping
19	our regulatory power and markets are able to
20	correct this or where there is a role for
21	regulation. And I don't think that's an easy
22	matter.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Alright and then
24	just… I'm… I'll end with an observation here and
25	this just really builds on Council Member

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 85
2	Garodnick's point. I… you know I'm… I'm persuaded
3	that the study is needed and that the… the pause is
4	needed. I do think there's so many related issues
5	here that while this study can't get at them we
6	need some big thinking about the transitions that
7	we're facing as a strong supporter of congestion
8	pricing I want to just keep urging the
9	administration to keep looking at it. There's other
10	models of car share developing. It's time to
11	revisit our parking requirements in new
12	development. This is an important thing to do to
13	pay attention to the real risks we're facing in the
14	systemic shift in for-hire vehicles. But it points
15	to a bigger set of shifts and how people are moving
16	around this city. And I really just urge the
17	administration to keep thinking big and look for
18	other ways to make progress on these issues.
19	MERA JOSHI: Thank you for that urging.
20	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
21	Council Member Reynoso.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you
23	Chair. Hello. And thank you for being here. And I
24	think a common theme in the last couple of weeks is
25	that three women in a room and is very happy to see

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 86
2	you guys up there doing amazing work that you do
3	and thank you for that for your service first and
4	foremost. And I… I just want to say it seems like
5	a one of these is not even needed because
6	you're already doing a study. And it kind of… and
7	it kind of tells me that the first bill is is used
8	to justify the second bill right? We need a… we
9	need to do a study and because we're going to do a
10	study we're going to put a moratorium until the
11	study's over. But you already started this study.
12	So we're just putting on this is either a waste of
13	paper or time in regards to the study that you're
14	already doing what codifying a study that's already
15	started. I don't understand that very much. So I do
16	want to say that it doesn't seem like we need to
17	pass that legislation because you're already doing
18	that work. So that just the perception seems a
19	little off when it comes to it and it and it kind
20	of lends to Uber's… Uber's consistent thought or
21	statements that this is… is a war on Uber at… at
22	all times. And then that's what that looks like
23	already you're feeding that. Then we have the… the
24	Livery bases, black car bases, and luxury limo
25	bases of which we all have a one percent growth for

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 87
2	vehicles that are 500 and over right… basses with
3	500 or more vehicles, bases with 20 to 499 vehicles
4	could grow by five percent so whether you have 20
5	vehicles or 499 in your base you could go up by
6	five percent and then bases with less than 19
7	vehicles grow by 15 percent. That's all in the
8	legislation in regards to the study. And you… you
9	spoke about a patient and doing a diagnosis. You
10	guys are giving the… the shot to the patient before
11	even knowing what the… what the sickness. You don't
12	have an idea of what it is because the study's not
13	complete but you're already saying that the
14	moratorium needs to happen. It's extremely
15	concerning when… when all those steps are being
16	taken and you're singling out one base… one guy…
17	one person. And I just want to speak to that. How
18	many Livery bases or black car bases are over 500
19	vehicles in the city of New York?
20	MEERA JOSHI: 15.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Alright my
22	statistics say nine. And I got that from the city
23	council the citizen council. [cross-talk]
24	MEERA JOSHI: I have 15; five black car,
25	nine Livery, and one luxury

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 88
2	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: We have three
3	Livery so I'll fix that here.
4	MEERA JOSHI: Okay.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: And how many of
6	those are Uber?
7	MEERA JOSHI: Six I believe.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Six out of the
9	how many altogether?
10	MEERA JOSHI: 15.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: six out of 15.
12	[cross-talk] Alright so they got a they got a one
13	percent growth is what those guys are getting. Now
14	how many how many vehicles are 20 to 499?
15	MEERA JOSHI: 470.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: 470. Alright.
17	So those guys get five percent. And then we have…
18	how many are less than 19 vehicles?
19	MEERA JOSHI: 398.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: 398. So 98
21	percent of the industry is either going to get a
22	five to 15 percent and Uber is going to get one
23	percent increase over that time.
24	MEERA JOSHI: But the the tricky thing
25	about… and I just want to point out one thing. We

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 89
2	did mention in our testimony that we would love to
3	talk further with the council about growth
4	limitation mechanism
5	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: The arbitrary
6	growth limitation mechanism [cross-talk]
7	MEERA JOSHI: There there may be
8	something that needs to be more consistent but
9	percentages are tricky things. So if you've got a
10	lot of vehicles one percent is actually a lot of
11	vehicles and maybe well more vehicles than 15
12	percent of a base that has 19 or fewer. So I… I
13	think the percentage makes it sound as though
14	somebody with 19 or fewer is going to get to bring
15	on a lot more cars but in reality one percent will
16	allow you to bring on a lot more cars if you're a
17	large base… [cross-talk]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Right. When
19	when you put it in scale though it it doesn't
20	necessarily apply [cross-talk] but what I'm trying
21	to say is that that… [cross-talk]
22	MEERA JOSHI: But I think a consist
23	something that's consistent across the board that
24	applies to every base regardless of their size
25	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yeah.
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 90
2	MEERA JOSHI:would be a a more
3	regulatory you know
4	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: All I'm all
5	I'm saying is why not make the diagnosis to choose
6	the percentages right? Why… why not do something
7	that has factual statistical and data that speaks
8	to the percentages that you're looking for. Instead
9	what you're doing is just imposing whatever
10	[cross-talk]
11	MEERA JOSHI: But I think you're
12	starting from a… you may be starting from a
13	presumption that the solution is the growth
14	limitation proposal in the bill. And I don't… I…
15	and I don't think my colleagues sitting next to me
16	think that that's accurate at all. This is [cross-
17	talk]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Right.
19	MEERA JOSHI:a way to study and keep
20	conditions somewhat constant during the study so
21	that the study isn't entirely useless.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: A study that
23	you are already doing that we don't need to
24	legislate.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 91
2	MEERA JOSHI: I I think we are we are
3	already doing it and if you choose to legislate it
4	it would be because council wants to hold the
5	city's feet to the fire to make sure they do the
6	study.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: No I think I
8	think should I think that [cross-talk]
9	MEERA JOSHI: But other than that we'll
10	be doing our study anyway. We do not…
11	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: We don't need
12	to hold your feet to the fire. Because you're
13	already on the fire. You're already doing your
14	work. We don't need to push you in any further.
15	What are we going to do? It makes no sense. And
16	and then the last part I want to say is when we
17	talk about environmental studies north Brooklyn,
18	South Bronx, and Southeast Queens have been
19	fighting for reduction in truck traffic for the
20	last I think seven years when it comes to
21	especially when it comes to the… transfers
22	stations and the City of New York and I just want
23	to… the city of New York… the Mayor's Office has
24	vehemently been working against these communities
25	when you talk about abuelita, that's what abuelita
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 92
2	the abuelitas are. The grandmas are there. And no
3	one's helping us out and having any moratorium on
4	the amount of cars that we need. No one's talking
5	to us about having a study done about what's
6	happening. They're… instead they're working against
7	us. Sustainability… look out for the communities
8	that are essential… are downtown Manhattan… they
9	don't need the help the way we need it in North
10	Brook and South Bronx and northeast and southeast
11	Queens. And it it just shows us that where your
12	priorities are in the… in the Manhattan… Manhattan
13	obviously instead of these outer boroughs.
14	MEERA JOSHI: What I said was about the
15	downwind impacts.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Well we got we
17	don't need
18	MEERA JOSHI: And I'd be happy
19	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO:it's happening
20	on ground zero
21	MEERA JOSHI: Yeah and I'd be happy to
22	follow up with you. I sincerely mean this. I would
23	be very happy to follow up with you on this.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO:and you will
25	say the same thing every other commissioner and
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 93
2	deputy mayor has said you know you guys have to
3	deal with this. Unfortunately you bear the burden
4	of having all these vehicles in your district, deal
5	with it. But in Manhattan we could put a moratorium
6	on it temporarily. It's it just shows where your
7	priorities are especially when it comes to
8	communities of color and in my district
9	specifically. Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. For
11	calling to my… the next Council Member Menchaca I
12	want to clarify that there is other colleague of
13	our that they… Reynoso for your clarity. Council
14	Member Reynoso for your clarity you have other
15	colleague here at the council that they been
16	introducing bills regard on regard to regulate
17	truck that go to the South Bronx so when we look at
18	the environmental impact it's not only about Livery
19	car driver it's about trucks that they work at the
20	Department of Sanitations. So I believe it is
21	important that we as a city continue working with
22	this administration with a comprehensive approach
23	of how we can save mother earth so that we can
24	address climate change from perspective on thing
25	that we can control. So I… I did not… it was not my

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 94 2 intention to refer to any of those bases that you 3 have in your district that I have in my district. And need I refer to Uber as ... as the biggest one 4 that have 18,000 car affiliated. However there's a 5 reality. We have to address the environmental 6 7 impact and no... no TLC has not been working ... had not been mandated. We as a council has not pass a bill 8 asking the TLC to take the time to do the study. I 9 appreciate what they do in the data collection. I 10 have my own bill with data and other aspect of ... of 11 12 that... other area ... those ... area where ... TLC doing some work. But I believe it is our time from the council 13 14 to mandate TLC to take the time so that they can do 15 a real environmental impact. So I... after a year we 16 can have a clear ideas on where we should go. 17 Everyone including those stakeholder of the major 18 corporation. They know that if they come to the city or they go to San Francisco and New Mexico and 19 20 any other place and build any major development. 21 They are required to do environmental impact. So we 2.2 are ... I think that we are moving the wrong 23 direction. Let's talk to the resident with Staten 24 Island who so like so many people that they... that 25 they... after Sandy because they... they wouldn't ...

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 95
2	they weather is changing in our city. So it is our
3	time. I don't see Uber. I don't see… I don't see
4	Lyft. I don't see any base in our district. What I
5	see the need that we have to do whatever we can to
6	mandate everyone to do environmental impact study
7	before we continue taking so many car… Council
8	Member Menchaca.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Thank you
10	Chair. Thank you. And one of the big base for… or
11	the bases for this movement for understanding
12	what's happening clearly is on climate change and
13	couldn't be more more fierce about that commitment
14	to understanding the impacts 100 percent. I want to
15	bring you down to Brooklyn. I know that the
16	congestion is pretty big in Manhattan. But I'm
17	wondering about the impacts on congestion in outer
18	borough, specifically in Southern Brooklyn. And
19	give us an indication now since you've already kind
20	of started that process if if there's congestion
21	issues well we're one we're all telling you we have
22	congestion issues even in our districts. But
23	understanding just from preparing for this and
24	doing some preliminary studies where you can tell
25	us about that. And then two looking at other pieces

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 96
2	of congestion not just cars, but looking and how
3	your data is going to understand and connect the
4	waterfront looking at ship issue port activity
5	and thinking about Sunset Park and Red Hook
6	thinking about other industrial manufacturing
7	congestion and the BQE. And so… so how are you
8	going to really splice this out. And you can kind
9	of talk to us a little bit about that. And then
10	well then have some other other questions about
11	city bike but let's… let's come back to this.
12	POLLY TROTTENBERG: It I will admit we
13	have very good… I… we've reasonably good data for
14	the central business district the DOT actually
15	works with state DOT and the port author and the
16	MTA to do annual counts of all the crossings. And
17	then we do our own counts of the cordon of 60^{th}
18	Street. So we… we do have robust data on the
19	central business district. For other parts of the
20	city as as many of you know we do traffic studies
21	but I think they tend to be more localized. I think
22	that's a very fair question downtown Brooklyn
23	certainly a good example of do we need to do
24	something more robust there. You know at some point
25	we have to figure out what our resources are and

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 97
2	how much traffic counting we want to do. But again
3	there are actually some very promising new
4	technological avenues out there the companies now
5	that are tracking GPS data on tens of millions of
6	smartphones. Some of that data is proprietary and
7	you have to pay for it but I think you know again
8	something I'm excited about. I think in the next
9	coming years we're going to find incredible new
10	ways to have much better sense of traffic
11	movements, traffic lines, etcetera all over the
12	city.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: So the study
14	will will give us information on Brooklyn,
15	downtown Brooklyn, southern Brooklyn, and other
16	non-Manhattan business district.
17	POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah I mean that
18	that was not intended for the… for the purview of
19	the study I don't I don't know that we're not open
20	to considering taking a look at that. I mean I… I
21	think maybe we'll take a quick look at some of the
22	taxi data and seeing if we think that's starting to
23	be a real factor there.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay. I mean
25	that's going to be important. Just on on so many

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 98
2	different issues and understanding how there is not
3	only through congestion but other other pollutants
4	in… in… in our environment; helicopters looking at
5	the waterfront and the ships with the dirty fuel.
6	There's a lot of work here I think we need to start
7	looking at. So I just want to… see the scope or
8	understand scope where you're moving forward not
9	just with these bills but with the mandate you
10	already have on studying this. And second just the
11	other two points that I want to make is whether or
12	not the… the rate of speed for cars in Manhattan
13	was also affected by city bike I think there was
14	folks talking about how traffic has got slower. We
15	want traffic to be slower in some ways for safety
16	but does a study also look at impacts of more bikes
17	on the streets as well as cause for… or is just all
18	congestion?
19	POLLY TROTTENBERG: No no I think as I
20	said in my testimony we're going to look at every
21	factor… [cross-talk]
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Every factor.
23	POLLY TROTTENBERG: We will we will
24	take a look at everything. I haven't actually… I
25	don't know that I've seen anecdotally that city
ļ	

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 99 2 bike has done much to slow down traffic but you know it's certainly something we can... we can take a 3 look at. 4

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: And the final 5 piece is on tech... the actual technology talked 6 7 about and working with the for-hire vehicle companies and is there a list of information that 8 you'd like from them. I know in previous public 9 hearings we asked them to release more data. Is 10 11 there any ... any now moving forward I and thinking 12 about how we're going to start looking at both 13 limiting the licenses but also studying the impacts 14 if there's a wish list of tech... technological 15 information from the for-hire vehicle companies. 16 MEERA JOSHI: So what we get by rule now

17 is just pick up daytime location. What we get on 18 the yellow taxi and green taxi side is the breadcrumb data because they All have the GPS 19 20 machines in the ... in vehicle. So yes if I had a wish list it would be to have that same information on 21 2.2 the for-hire vehicle... [cross-talk] 23

COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: ...want parody?

25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 100
2	MEERA JOSHI: The breadcrumb data would
3	be immensely useful and I think it would expedite
4	any study that we did.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: And you've
6	you've asked for that data.
7	MEERA JOSHI: I've suggested it.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Good.
9	MEERA JOSHI: Just now.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: Okay. Thank
11	you. Perfect.
12	MEERA JOSHI: And earlier in my
13	testimony.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER MENCHACA: And
15	POLLY TROTTENBERG: And and can I just
16	add I think it would help clarify you know there's
17	I think you know legitimately questions about are
18	the cars really congregating in Manhattan? How much
19	are they going to outer boroughs? I mean think it
20	would really help us have a clearer picture of
21	where those vehicles are going like we have now
22	with the green cab with the green and the yellow
23	cabs.
24	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Yeah and and
25	clarity the bill that I that we are introducing is
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 101
2	in the five borough the studies for the five
3	borough. So even though we know that the priorities
4	in certain area of Manhattan but the bill…
5	introducing today is a as we are hearing today is
6	to do a study in the five borough including new
7	area too… Council Member Miller.
8	MEERA JOSHI: So… so let me just add
9	one… one other thing… you said if there was a wish
10	list. As I mentioned earlier we can tell how many
11	trips vehicles are making and how many drivers are
12	making those trips. We don't know how much money
13	those drivers are making from the trips and we
14	don't know what the expenses are like we have on
15	the yellow taxi side in terms of either commissions
16	taken out or their fees taken out. And I think to
17	the… the socioeconomic aspect of this is
18	understanding the driver income ramifications of
19	adding vehicles and adding drivers. So any… and on
20	the wish list would also be information about
21	driver intake from using a for-hire vehicle to to
22	in for-hire service.
23	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you Mr.
25	Chair. And thank you Commissioner Commissioner and
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 102
2	all that are out today. And and we we can stay
3	right where we at my question will what what is
4	the impact on the increase of for-hires and e-hails
5	have they had on the outer boroughs if any that
6	you've been able to see as result of the data that
7	you currently have?
8	MEERA JOSHI: Of the data that we have I
9	think that there is there's more service being
10	provided in the outer boroughs through at base
11	dispatches. 72 percent is happening in the core but
12	26 percent is happening in the outer boroughs. So
13	there is definitely more service there as well as
14	the green taxis which are gaining in ridership
15	steadily.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: So with with
17	the data that you have been able to obtain from the
18	e-hails does that give you are you able to look
19	at the… the… the direction and the duration and
20	where they're actually operating in the… in the
21	outer boroughs? Because I have not seen
22	MEERA JOSHI: Yeah so outer boroughs is
23	a loose term.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Yeah.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 103
2	MEERA JOSHI: There the concentration
3	of trips are definitely on the outer borough
4	locations that most closely that are closer to
5	Manhattan. [cross-talk] True outer borough? No
6	they're still [cross-talk] service.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER:southeast
8	Queens.
9	MEERA JOSHI: Yeah.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: But you do have
11	the data that would determine that?
12	MEERA JOSHI: We have we're in the
13	midst of collecting the on the for-hire side. Like
14	I said we've collected from the larger bases and
15	we're working our way through the smaller bases.
16	When that's complete we will have a better picture
17	of where all bases are providing service. But what
18	we have now shows more service in the outer
19	boroughs than years passed but definitely in need
20	for a more spread of service throughout the outer
21	boroughs.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: So I I know
23	that we have discussed similar legislation
24	legislation that we put together last year around
25	commuter vans. And we were very specific about a
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 104
2	moratorium based on safety, accessibility, and a
3	number of of of facts that we wanted to see in
4	the study specifically that address house services
5	get delivered and what its impact was. What… based
6	on that what specifically are you looking for in
7	this study here because it is lovega [sp?] aside
8	from its environmental impact exactly [cross-talk]
9	MEERA JOSHI: I mean it the commuter
10	van is sort of an interesting analogy. There was at
11	one time years ago a moratorium on commuter vans.
12	And today there's a growth control mechanism for
13	commuter vans. So you can't have a new commuter van
14	authority without input from the community a
15	recommendation from DOT and TLC. So I think
16	although we don't know until we look at the actual
17	traffic patterns and some of the socioeconomic
18	factors that we'd like to look at what the ultimate
19	regulatory framework is but that is the goal is to
20	find a framework that allows the new companies that
21	come and provide excellent service to commuters
22	solid footing in New York so they can stay but in
23	balance with the rest of New York some of whom
24	patronize them and some of whom don't.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 105
2	COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Okay so I I
3	this wasn't about the other about my legislation.
4	I specifically wanted to talk about this but I hope
5	that you would certainly support that in the same
6	way that the admin and and that your agency is
7	supporting this because I think how services
8	transportation gets delivered in the city is very
9	important to the economic and the social as well as
10	the environmental impact of of communities
11	throughout the city. And I would hope as my
12	colleagues said that that it is done equitably in
13	that community and not disproportionately as as we
14	have seen that the same emphasis on how services
15	and the amount of services it deliver I I I'm
16	hoping that we see the same thing with the same
17	emphasis on the quality of service that is being
18	delivered to communities. But I I think that
19	answers my question on this one. Like I said I hope
20	to see the same due diligence when it comes to
21	commuter vans. Thank you for your time.
22	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member
23	Crowley.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Thank you to
25	our Chair. Good afternoon. Now when it comes to

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 106
2	yellow hail… sorry the green hail taxis are they…
3	have they all been made for sale? Are there people
4	buying them? And what what is the process of which
5	they've been rolled out?
6	MEERA JOSHI: They were issued under the
7	state law. They're issued in three tranches. So the
8	first tranche is completely sold. We're in the
9	midst of selling the second tranche. And there's
10	exacting requirements on how we sell them. There
11	we cannot sell they're sold in bunches of one
12	thousand. So there's 18,000 total. There's three
13	tranches, 6,000 each is that right?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: That makes
15	sense.
16	MEERA JOSHI: Yes? Okay. 6,000 each. But
17	every 1,000 must be sold 200 accessible and the
18	balance 800 non-accessible. We can't get to the
19	next 800 unless we've sold the 200 accessible. And
20	it's that kind of mandate that ensures that there's
21	enough accessible vehicles. We know without a
22	mandate people do not volunteer to put accessible
23	vehicles… [cross-talk]
24	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Sure sure.
25	MEERA JOSHI:on the road.
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 107
2	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Now are people
3	buying those?
4	MEERA JOSHI: Yes they are. They're
5	buying
6	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: So there's has
7	the the price gone down or the [cross-talk]
8	MEERA JOSHI: The price is set by state
9	law so the first ground was 1,500 dollars. The
10	second round is 3,000. And the third round will be
11	4,500.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: So there's
13	still a demand to buy those?
14	MEERA JOSHI: Yes. And ridership is
15	steady and growing in the green taxi hail market.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Okay out where
17	I represent it's it's rare that I see either a
18	yellow or a green. So when you have Uber and these
19	other different companies that you could hail
20	through a app it has made a lot of my constituents
21	lives easier. The congestion is probably more in
22	other parts of the city. However my area may be
23	where they park some of their cars I also think a
24	lot of the drivers live in my district too. And I
25	think in the outer boroughs. And this has provided
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 108
2	some real serious income. I I'm very much open to
3	the idea of studying I think that we should have
4	already been doing that. We're sort of late to the
5	game in understanding the ripple effects that this
6	industry has. But you know when it comes to my
7	district and a lot of the outer boroughs certainly
8	in my… as you get further away from the core of the
9	city transportation options are just not the same.
10	And and it's not fair to my constituents that we
11	don't have the level of transportation that they
12	truly deserve being so close physically to the
13	city. And and so it's it's difficult to to want
14	to halt the ability of these types of services to
15	my constituencies because many of them op not to
16	take their car because it's quicker for them to not
17	have to find parking and often cheaper when they're
18	going to an event.
19	MEERA JOSHI: So I think you know and
20	especially in the case of Uber they've done an
21	excellent job in providing service to areas that
22	didn't previously have it. And I think in fact you
23	know they now have wait times that are close to

24 three four minutes with the number of vehicles. And 25 that was a... a... a wait time that was quoted when

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 109
2	they were 7,000 less vehicles that they are today.
3	So any limited growth mechanism wouldn't
4	deteriorate the number of cars that are currently
5	on the streets. It would maintain those and maybe
6	even increase because at 7,000 cars later the wait
7	you know or decrease the wait times that you're
8	currently experiencing. So I think that your your
9	constituents would still get the level of service
10	that they get today. They may even get better
11	service as more drivers come in and vehicles are
12	utilized. And so that limited growth mechanism
13	would basically keep conditions at least at the
14	same and with the ability to bring on new drivers
15	possibly increase the service levels.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Have we done
17	if I'm a driver right now if we didn't put these
18	limits in place what do I go through in order to
19	become a for-hire?
20	MEERA JOSHI: The limits have no effect
21	on the driver process. So you can still become a
22	driver the same way as you would have previously.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: But there'll be
24	less job opportunities no?
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 110
2	MEERA JOSHI: Well there are today we
3	think an oversaturation of drivers. The
4	opportunities are diminishing because the demand is
5	only so much. We're bringing on lots and lots of
6	drivers and as your colleague pointed out those…
7	those full time jobs become more and more part time
8	jobs for people that… who are relying on full time
9	income to sustain themselves. So yes the more
10	drivers you bring on the more the more that
11	they're fighting for what is ultimately a limited
12	supply. Although you know the passenger base is big
13	it is it is still limited. So the bill wouldn't
14	stop new drivers from coming on. It puts a halt to
15	or a limited growth mechanism for vehicles but
16	allows the owners of those vehicles to better
17	utilize their vehicles and actually make a second
18	stream of income from leasing them out to another
19	driver.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Okay thank you.
21	MEERA JOSHI: Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Great. Thank
23	you. Before we let the administration go and call
24	Uber we will give a chance for any council member
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 111
2	that has any question. Yes one question one, two,
3	three? Thank you. I'm sorry. Council Member Lander?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Yeah sorry this
5	is very brief but I just… this is for Ms. Mesa. I
6	was talking to Council Member Reynoso and so you
7	you… you guys are currently doing the study of
8	commercial waste. I guess sanitation is doing the
9	study of commercial waste regulation in New York
10	City.
11	NILDA MESA: BIC [sp?] is doing the
12	study along with NYU.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Did we think at
14	all about putting a moratorium on allowing new
15	what do we call them Council Member Reynoso that
16	they're
17	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: In in asking
18	for permits to be… moratorium on any… expanding on
19	any new permits or allowing for any permits that
20	are not currently on the road right now from being
21	able to operate.
22	NILDA MESA: I need to get back to you
23	exactly on that but I don't think that there is a
24	massive increase in applications. But it's a great
25	question and let me get back to you on it.
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 112
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: We would love to
3	look at it. I think we're both… [cross-talk]
4	NILDA MESA: Yeah it's a great
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:about the study
6	we're doing on Commercial waste under 1NYC.
7	NILDA MESA: Yeah.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: But I think we
9	feel urgency there as well and don't want to just
10	wait for you know to… to… to be taking some steps
11	forward. So perhaps… [cross-talk]
12	NILDA MESA: Happy to follow up. I
13	believe that they are limited right now but I will
14	verify that and if they're not we'll follow up.
15	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: They they are
16	limited by more than 50 percent of the permits
17	unused capacity and what we're asking for is a
18	freeze on any unused capacity actually to get rid
19	of the unused capacity.
20	NILDA MESA: Happy to follow up.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Super. Thank
22	you. Thank you Mr. Chair.
23	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member
24	Levin has other questions.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 113
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you Mr.
3	Chairman. Just… I just want to clarify and make
4	this clear for the record. The purpose to pause or
5	limit the number of licenses during the period of
6	the study because this has become a kind of a a
7	sticking point and I'm not a traffic engineer. I
8	don't conduct traffic studies so it's
9	COUNCIL MEMBER: Well we've seen you out
10	there with the speed guns Council Member Levin so
11	we know… [cross-talk]
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Very unscientific
13	though. They're not… Can you explain just a little
14	bit more as to why it's appropriate to… why it's
15	appropriate to cap while the study's being
16	conducted versus say allowing for the growth during
17	the study and then measuring the different impacts
18	during that growth? Can is that is that something
19	you can speak to?
20	MEERA JOSHI: So I think it just comes
21	down to that it's difficult to conduct a
22	sort of an honest study of ever-changing conditions
23	because you're going to make recommendations based
24	on volume, quantity, service levels, income
25	opportunity, the ratio of accessible to non-

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 114 2 accessible but the world within you're making those 3 judgments will not be the same as the world that you conducted the study on and made recommendation ... 4 5 based your recommendations ... COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. And because 6 7 we have baseline data from 2013 when there was an EIS conducted for the medallions and... and 8 another one from 2008 I believe or 2004. There's ... 9 is there... is there adequate data to provide that 10 11 baseline for ... so that we can gage the growth? 12 MEERA JOSHI: Well 2013 was a very different world than 2015 today. We had 25,000 more 13 14 vehicles today than we do ... or maybe 20,000 more 15 vehicles today than we did in 2013 and 72 percent 16 of those you know vehicles are operating in the 17 core. So I don't think the 2013 EIS would be an 18 appropriate baseline. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. But we'll 19 20 be able to use ... in terms ... MEERA JOSHI: We can utilize it as... as 21 information. It's informative of what... of what some 2.2 23 of the problems are when you add vehicles that are operating primarily in the Manhattan Core. But the 24 baseline from with which ... from with the baseline 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 115
2	that we're going to go and make recommendations
3	based on I think it would be erroneous if we
4	relied on data from 2013. It would be you know an
5	an unhelpful conclusions because it wouldn't mirror
6	what the world looks like today.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. And one
8	other question. Just because we know that as due
9	to your testimony before we know that that the
10	the increase has primarily been in the black car
11	sector versus other for-hire vehicle sectors. Do
12	you believe that it's the most appropriate course
13	of action to to provide the limit across the board
14	for for-hire vehicles versus a just the black car
15	sector or you think that it's most appropriate to
16	to limit Liveries and limos bases as well.
17	MEERA JOSHI: There are three sectors
18	that have no growth control mechanisms. So I don't
19	think it would be prudent to be take a narrow
20	approach and limit only one sector when they all
21	have the same model under which they operate. So
22	any recommendation we made for one sector would
23	likely be applicable to all three. And there's not
24	much distinction. You can move between sectors. You
25	can be a Livery car one day and then affiliate with

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 116
2	the Black car base and be a black car the next day.
3	So those distinctions which held a lot of meaning
4	many years ago are over time holding less and less
5	meaning. But as a group they represent a large
6	uncapped for-hire vehicle market and that's the
7	sector we need to look at.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: The broader
9	sector. Okay thank you very much. Thank you Mr.
10	Chair.
11	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:I would like to
12	ask you take the time to answer just one question
13	so that we can take the time to hear Uber. Council
14	Member Greenfield.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So I guess I
16	just have two questions about the the moratorium
17	and the completion of the study. And it seems like
18	we do studies all the time and there's shifts in
19	traffic patterns. So what makes this situation so
20	unique as opposed to when we generally do a traffic
21	study… and I imagine as soon as you print it
22	potentially could be out of whack. And I'm mostly
23	curious as to why it would take a whole year to
24	complete this study.
25	

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 117
MEERA JOSHI: Well our hope is that it
wouldn't take a year. So the year is the maximum
limit but it… the… you know the… the sooner it's
done the better for everybody. But what's different
here is if you have a general traffic study we know
like in the New York metropolitan area the rates of
car ownership haven't really varied over time. So
you're more likely to do a study in it for it to
remain relevant. But we… we also know in this
particular dynamic that we're bringing on in
unprecedented number of cars and any study which
everyone knows the end result of will be a
recommendation to set forth the framework that
doesn't exist today which would increase anxiety
about people's ability to bring on new cars might
actually encourage people to in a pace quicker
than is currently happening which is 2,000 a month
bring on even more cars there's extra… external
circumstances that mean that the world will
tremendously shift over the duration of the study.
And that is something that's a little different I
think than normal traffic conditions traffic study
conditions.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 118
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Just to be
3	clear on the study you're going to study everything
4	right from traffic patterns to bicycles to speed
5	limits right? You're not just going to study the
6	one issue of for-hire vehicles is that correct?
7	POLLY TROTTENBERG: Yeah I think I
8	think we're going to study a bunch of different
9	things but cutting a little bit… you saying why is
10	this going to take a year. I mean if we study
11	everything that that's definitely going to add
12	time to the study. So I think we're going to try
13	and pick… [cross-talk]
14	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: That's fine
15	by me… [cross-talk]
16	POLLY TROTTENBERG: Right right. I mean
17	I think
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:make sure
19	it's
20	POLLY TROTTENBERG:I think the goal is
21	to pick the most relevant factors we can where we
22	have some good data and [cross-talk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yeah.
24	POLLY TROTTENBERG:get some good
25	conclusions in the year time frame if the… you know

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 119
2	that the… at least if we following the council bill
З	that the council bill mandates.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO:I think he
5	asked the question regarding why a moratorium. I
6	think is the first time you guys ever put a
7	moratorium before a study. So I'm glad he asked it.
8	MEERA JOSHI: We have we don't have the
9	authority to so we couldn't have… [cross-talk]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: We we can we
11	can give you that. So I guess my question would be…
12	my hope is when this study is completed that the
13	information you find regarding bike lanes, busses…
14	because you're going to find that bike lanes are
15	positive, that we need more bus only lanes that the
16	problem is every time you're in a car and you think
17	that you're… it's taking too long it's because
18	you're in the vehicle, maybe you should just take
19	the train. When we find that out that you are just
20	as aggressive in imposing those positive features
21	of of transportation in that all the members here
22	under the administration goes all in and looking
23	forward to a to a statement by the mayor's office
24	supporting Move New York as soon as that study is
25	done. I would prefer before then but we need to

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 120 2 start being more proactive in making sure that we let people know what the positive impacts of 3 transportation are not being afraid to impose those 4 positive additions like bike lanes and bus only 5 lanes and things of that nature? 6 7 POLLY TROTTENBERG: And... and I will say because we've been ... particularly my colleague has 8 been talking a lot about 1 New York. I mean as you 9 all have seen in that document we are calling upon 10 the administration. We're calling upon ourselves to 11 12 build out more select bus service routes to get to 13 20 in the next few years, to build 50 miles of bike lanes every year to do some of the things you're 14 15 talking about so that certainly they're not 16 mutually exclusive and we are pressing ahead with a 17 lot of those great projects as well. 18 MEERA JOSHI: And I just want to say there's another aspect... and this is from my narrow 19 20 minded perspective... TLC, pooled car service which is something that hasn't taken off in New York in a 21 2.2 way that I would like to see it take off and would 23 definitely help with congestion mobility, as well

25 reduce the cost for the individual passenger. So

24

as access to for-hire service because it would

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 121 2 that's one thing we would like to look into how we can support the further growth of that. And 3 4 technology has been a real boon to being able to 5 not connect just one passenger to a car but several 6 passengers to a car. 7 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So before ... before you leave I would like to ... question about ... 8 for the TLC. You talk about TLC getting pickup 9 data. Are you also getting the ... the drop off data? 10 11 MEERA JOSHI: No, our rules ... our current 12 rules require only that we get pick up 13 date/time/location, the I.D. number for the driver 14 and the I.D. number for the vehicle, and the 15 dispatching base and the affiliation base I.D. 16 numbers. 17 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Great. Were 18 they... everything expanding the data as you know for me I looking that when we are getting ready to vote 19 20 on those two bills that we are hearing today that also include the three additional bill. One is to 21 2.2 expand the three record bill that I... is... is... a bill 23 that I have. Another bill that I have which is calling to establish the base agreement and also 24 council member Greenfield which is focused on surge 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 122
2	pricing. So I know that we will continue
3	conversation but I believe this is a time for us to
4	act up and say everyone all the stakeholder in New
5	York City who serve the 57 million tourists. And
6	the eight million New Yorker who live here they
7	they should be subject to the same rule and
8	regulation. So I know now we will continue
9	conversation but I just wanted is to make you aware
10	that for me the other three bills are so important
11	of those two bill that we are listening today.
12	Thank you. Now I would like to call Uber.
13	MEERA JOSHI: Thank you.
14	[pause]
15	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: To start
16	[pause]
17	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Folks we ask
18	everyone to find a seat. Once again please quiet.
19	Hearing is still in session.
20	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: To start I would
21	like to say thank you. As a representative of a
22	very important sector in the taxi limousine
23	commission and to say that from my end as a
24	chairman… Silence please.
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 123
2	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Folks please quiet
3	on… on your way out. Please keep it quiet on your
4	way out. Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:again before we
6	hear testimony I would like to say that I… we
7	appreciate having Uber in New York City as we have
8	Lyft and we have the additional 73 services. We
9	believe that the consumer deserve the… as much
10	choices as possible so this conversation today is
11	not about being against any particular new player
12	in the industry. This is about having a
13	conversation exploring the way of how everyone from
14	yellow, green, and Livery are subject to the same
15	rule and regulation. And I understand and we
16	believe that environmental impact study is very
17	critical and so important. So I wanted to pu things
18	clear. Uber is welcome to a city. We appreciate
19	consumer. My wife is a customer of Uber as my niece
20	who live in Brooklyn and got… yesterday inviting
21	her to come to this rally this morning. So you know
22	like everyone welcome Uber but we just want to have
23	that conversation. And we believe that in order to
24	get something done we need to change the way of how
25	we targeting any particular council member because

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 124 2 we are introducing a bill without having that 3 conversation is not a productive way of how we can 4 get things done.

MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Michael Allegretti 5 with Uber. Good to see you all today. Let's get to 6 7 it. The bills before you will have serious and lasting consequences for riders, drivers in the 8 city of New York. Supporting these bills will 9 destroy jobs. And it does so primarily among the 10 group that this administration and this city 11 12 council have pledged to help the most. Yep we 13 anticipate it bringing 10,000 new people onto the 14 platform this year. This legislation locks these 15 people out and in fact it jeopardizes the jobs of 596 of them right now. I have their names right 16 17 here. I don't know what the council plan is to do 18 to offer them an opportunity but maybe we could talk about that. The rational for this study is at 19 20 best questionable and is at worst negligent. It prejudges the solution. We just heard that from the 21 2.2 panel. It's already started in fact. It examines 23 the question and pre... and before it examines the question it prejudges the solution. It's a complete 24 departure from the 25 studies found on the DOT 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 125
2	website and as you heard from the panel they could
3	not point to an example where a vehicle cap has or
4	some sort of cap has been in place before you do
5	the study. We have computers for that now. It's
6	called modeling. I guess we can only assume that
7	the bike study was flawed because we didn't hold
8	the supply of bikes constant. Maybe every education
9	study that you receive from an advocate is flawed
10	because we didn't hold the population of students
11	constant you would laugh us out of your office if
12	we came in with it. To your point Councilman Levin
13	a serious policy alternative would be accompanied
14	by a vehicle would not be accompanied by a vehicle
15	cap, of course not. It would look at all causes of
16	congestion. And it would look at all corners of the
17	city. There seem to be disagreement between the
18	chair and the people doing the study as to whether
19	we're talking about the boroughs or not. They are
20	part of the city. And of course an independent and
21	objective third party which I think is now I
22	question. The vehicle limits that accompany this
23	study have absolutely nothing to do with congestion
24	or air quality. They have nothing to do with
25	congestion or air quality. They have everything to
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 126
2	do with limiting competition. Come on. The taxi
3	the Committee for Taxi Safety offered a hauntingly
4	similar proposal three months ago. Let's
5	acknowledge what we're doing here. Everyone wants
6	less congestion and cleaner air. I learned today
7	that Uber was the cause of Superstorm Sandy and
8	climate change as well. That was revealing. But
9	this process is making a mockery of very important
10	issues, issues I've worked on in my life as well.
11	And it's manipulating them to do one thing and that
12	is stifle competition. Any argument that this… and
13	I appreciate some of the comments from some… from
14	some of the counsellors. Any argument that this is
15	not about a single company? It's dismantled. Once
16	you learn that basis that are affiliated with Uber
17	and had Uber's size can grow by a whopping one
18	percent. Others can grow by 15. Tell me why the
19	distinction. Please someone explain it. Because
20	it's about the overall supply. Now I think what
21	we'll say or what we'll hear is it's because we
22	don't want to cripple small business. We want to
23	make sure everyone has a chance. Well my gosh
24	people are conveniently forgetting that the men and
25	women who use the Uber platform are small business
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 127
2	owners. One by one the rational for this
3	legislation and the methodology fall like a house
4	of cards. Slower average speeds in Manhattan now
5	apparently something we need to fix. Just this year
6	we dropped the speed limit from 30 to 25.
7	Arbitrarily singling out one percent of the 2.7
8	million cars that go over the toll free crossing
9	into the… out… into and out of the city every day
10	stunningly incomplete, ignores 99 percent of the
11	problem. I guess you know we're going to start also
12	putting out you know studies that single out
13	delivery services when they want to come into the
14	city… maybe car dealerships because they put
15	vehicles on the road too. Let's be honest about
16	what we're doing. Shared rides whether they're
17	yellow, green, black I don't really care what
18	color they take personal cars off the road. Getting
19	more people into shared rides takes more cars off
20	the road. You heard Commissioner Joshi talk about
21	the notion of pooling. That's the future, not
22	studies. The consequences of this legislation will
23	simply be crippling for the people who need the
24	most support from the city council, this
25	administration, and each of us. It will lead to
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 128
2	higher prices for those seeking a ride. Let's be
3	very clear about that. And and this this notion
4	that we need to cap the supply of vehicles and
5	somehow that's going to give us this thing called a
6	baseline? I'm going to laugh walking out of here.
7	What are you going to do about demand? Are you
8	going to cap demand too? No of course not. This is
9	just basic… basic reasoning. So prices will go up
10	and they're going to go up in the communities that
11	can't afford them the most, the outer boroughs. The
12	service reliability will get worse. It's just math.
13	Further crowding on our mass transit systems… And
14	you know what Uber and other services like ours
15	will be just about as reliable as a yellow taxi in
16	the far corners of this city. Is that what we're
17	looking for? Vote for this bill and you're telling
18	real people wait another year to save for college,
19	wait another year to pay off your debts, put your
20	aspirations on hold while we conduct a traffic
21	study. And we deserve better and I know the members
22	of this committee are committed to doing better.
23	And with that we'll take questions.
24	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you
25	Michael for your testimony. I teach my daughter

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 129
2	that it is important not to litter in the street
3	because a piece of paper that she throw in the
4	street end up in the ocean and therefore we can
5	contaminate the environment and we cannot have the
6	solution for the big problem but we is can be part
7	of the small solution in our city. So when I refer
8	to the snowstorm and Sandy and the climate change I
9	did not refer to any particular industry; Uber or
10	Lyft or anyone. What I say that everyone should
11	understand the important of doing environmental
12	study. Have you been… have you work with any
13	industry in the past where the city here or any
14	place in the nation has mandate you to do
15	environmental study before you start running your
16	business?
17	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Well I can't speak
18	to all of our markets. We're operating in over 300
19	cities around the world. But what I can speak to is
20	partnership. And the notion of partnering with a
21	government makes The notion of partnering with the
22	government to understand impacts make sense. What's
23	going on here where a study is started before it's
24	been voted upon with arbitrary base lines. It's not
25	even a study.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 130
2	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Are you aware
3	that at yellow taxi industry they are required to
4	do environmental impact study before they take the
5	car on the street?
6	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Yeah you… of course
7	Chairman you… you outline that at the start. I will
8	remind you that yellow taxis are on the road
9	essentially 365 days, 24 hours, 7 days a week. For
10	hire vehicles are not. They are the personal
11	vehicles of people who live in this city. Many of
12	them use them for their own personal purposes. I
13	just wonder what path we're going down. Why not
14	just start studying all vehicles?
15	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Are you aware of
16	the impact that a corporation such as the one that
17	you work for with 28 with 18,000 car on the street
18	has to the environment and traffic in New York
19	City.
20	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Well I think these
21	are really important questions to look at and I
22	know there were some giggles in the back of the
23	room when I mentioned partnership but truly
24	understanding impact. And it's funny because we're
25	we're looking at a lot of things in this study.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 131
2	What happened to noise? Noise is in there too.
3	We're also going to look at noise and congestion
4	and air quality. And then something just broadly
5	called impact. What I do know is that Uber is
6	providing economic opportunity to 18,000 to 26,000
7	people who did not have the same economic
8	opportunity before. And last I checked the top
9	priority in this city was solving for income
10	inequality. And doing so in a way that ultimately
11	perhaps leads to lest congestion. So we're solving
12	for income inequality. The men and women on our
13	platform are seeing more money in their pockets and
14	we're putting more shared rides on the road. But we
15	need a study all of a sudden.
16	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: When you came to
17	New York City how much of the fair that the driver
18	collect went to the drivers and how much went to
19	Uber?
20	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: You want to take
21	that?
22	NICOLE BENINCASA: Sure.
23	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Nicole has worked
24	here longer than I have.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 132
2	NICOLE BENINCASA: Good after [cross-
3	talk]
4	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Just the number
5	percentage why
6	NICOLE BENINCASA: Sure so
7	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: 80/20
8	NICOLE BENINCASA: 80/20.
9	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And today?
10	NICOLE BENINCASA: 80/20.
11	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: 30 percent is
12	in 30 percent of the what the driver makes today
13	doesn't go to Uber?
14	NICOLE BENINCASA: I believe it's 80/20
15	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I believe it's
16	30 percent go to Uber and I believe that it's more
17	than 30 percent go to Uber.
18	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Perhaps the
19	confusion is that we pay eight eight 8.75 percent
20	sales tax chairman as you know. So it's going to
21	the government.
22	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I believe
23	it's 80 I 30 percent go to the to the to the
24	corporation percent So it's more than 30 percent
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 133
2	that go to the corporation. [cross-talk] So I
3	believe… I believe that… [cross-talk]
4	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: But but
5	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:if we're
6	talking about working with our working class how
7	Uber has been so great… [cross-talk]
8	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Sure.
9	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: We need to put
10	things clear that the percent is that how they
11	the fair distribute to drivers in the corporation
12	today is not the same as we created the whole
13	illusionare the whole all your industries
14	selling certificate that were not real. So you came
15	out to the city announcing that they were A making
16	80 percent
17	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Right.
18	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:today number is
19	not the same.
20	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Chairman we can't
21	walk out of this room with any ambiguity on this
22	fact. Black Car bases pay north of eight percent
23	sales tax. So we're… we're proxing [phonetic] eight
24	to 10 let's… let's be clear about that. The 30
25	percent that you're that you're saying goes to
ļ	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 134
2	Uber yeah 20 percent goes to Uber. We're a company.
3	The rest goes to the government. That 8.75 goes to
4	the government. It is sales tax. We pay it. Livery
5	bases do not. And of course neither do taxis. But
6	we're not in Albany right now discussing… [cross-
7	talk]
8	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I believe that
9	those percentages are paid after the 30 percent.
10	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Alright well it's
11	another hearing because… [cross-talk]
12	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: No no… [cross-
13	talk]
14	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:you know we
15	[cross-talk]
16	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:we can no I
17	[cross-talk]
18	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:other bills.
19	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:since you are
20	so open I believe us I believe that and you
21	highlight the best team people who have a lot of
22	experience on the taxi limousine. I think that… I
23	hope that by the end of the hearing we can come out
24	with a clear breakdown of those numbers.
25	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Very well.
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 135
2	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Great. So have
3	Uber conduct any any particular study by themself
4	[phonetic] on the environmental impact that you
5	have by having 20 by having these large numbers of
6	cars and the numbers of car that you envision to
7	have in the future.
8	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I don't think a
9	study that would be conducted exclusively by Uber
10	would be worth reading. I think the point is that
11	you'd need an independent and objective third party
12	to study the question. It's obviously not the panel
13	that came before us. And if we did you wouldn't
14	believe it anyway. So let's get a real independent
15	expert to look at the question.
16	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Great. I just
17	hope that as you know as the samethat we do in
18	this city and we will do it. We will pass those two
19	bill, the bill that we're hearing today. We have
20	the number to pass it and we have the will the
21	mayor to sign it. So… but…
22	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: So then when are we
23	having the hearing? [cross-talk]
24	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:only New York
25	City will be the one passing those two bill. But
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 136
2	London is also having the same discussion about the
3	need to get Uber to do environmental impact. What
4	is a conversation that you have in your
5	corporation is having with Uber with elective and
6	the government there about this discussion about
7	the need to provide environmental impact different
8	from the one that we are having here in New York
9	City.
10	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Chairman I think
11	we… we've already answered the question. And you've
12	already said the bills are passing so perhaps
13	little to… to keep drilling down on.
14	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member
15	Levin.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you Mr.
17	Chairman. Mr. Allegretti thank you for your
18	testimony.
19	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Good to see you
20	again.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Nice to see you.
22	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: [off mic] sorry
23	Council Member… Council Member will be… continue
24	conducting this hearing.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 137
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So how many cars
3	how many cars has Uber brought online since 2011?
4	How many how many cars licenses that TLC has Uber
5	brought on?
6	NICOLE BENINCASA: So for for-hire
7	vehicles that are affiliated with Uber bases
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Mm-hmm.
9	NICOLE BENINCASA:there are 18,858.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. So this is
11	going back to
12	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: In case you're
13	interested that means that over the next year we'd
14	be able to provide 201 more opportunities for
15	people to work.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. So when the
17	Chairman asked… brought up the… you brought up the…
18	the… so you said quote the rational for this study
19	is at best questionable and at worst negligent. In
20	2013 when the city did an EIS prior to the auction
21	of 2000 medallions the EIS which was required found
22	quote significant adverse traffic impacts. So that
23	was the finding of the EIS on 2,000 medallions. Why
24	do you believe that 18,858 cars over three years,
25	72 percent of which are picking up the hails in the
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 138
2	central business district in Manhattan ought not
3	warrant an EIS and would not have the same quote
4	significant adverse traffic impacts?
5	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I scratch my head
6	with the term significant adverse traffic impacts.
7	It's it's almost like the the
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I didn't write
9	the terms [cross-talk]
10	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: No I understand
11	that.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: It was in the
13	EIS. So unless… I'm wondering… my question for you
14	is if the EIS found in that instance… [cross-talk]
15	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: For yellow [cross-
16	talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:2,000 [cross-
18	talk] 2,000 new medallions would have a sig a
19	significant adverse traffic impact why 18,858 would
20	not. 72 percent [cross-talk] of which are picking
21	up fairs… [cross-talk]
22	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: No no I understand
23	the question. I'm just… And I'm sincere when I say
24	I'm scratching my head a bit because congestion,
25	air quality, and to a lesser degree noise are not
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 139
2	things that happen in isolation. As a as a
3	lifelong Brooklyn resident until recently I take… I
4	take offense to the notion that the city's
5	congestion problem is only in the central business
6	district which apparently is where the study is
7	going different than what some members of the
8	panel… [cross-talk] perhaps thought.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Sir I'm [cross-
10	talk] just… [cross-talk]
11	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: So that is [cross-
12	talk] that is the main reason that I think the
13	rational for the study is already in question.
14	[cross-talk] What are we even studying?
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Let me rephrase
16	the question. Did… do you believe that the EIS on
17	the medallions was unwarranted as well? Do you
18	believe that there ought not be any EISs at all
19	anywhere in the… [cross-talk]
20	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Councilman
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:taxi industry?
22	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: The EISs on
23	medallions is mandated by law and I'm not in a
24	position to… [cross-talk]
25	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And so
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 140
2	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:make a
3	determination [cross-talk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:but but do you
5	think that it's do you think that law is wrong?
6	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: No… I… do I think…
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: No?
8	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:it's wrong? It's
9	not my place to say one way or the other. I'm I'm
10	not representing
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:you think it's
12	wrong… you think it's wrong for… for you to have to
13	do an EIS but you don't think it's wrong for the
14	medallions that have to [cross-talk]
15	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I want to be clear
16	and you quoted me so I'll quote myself again. I
17	said that a credible policy alternative would be
18	would not cap the supply of vehicles. Again we have
19	computers and models for that would look at the
20	entire issue of congestion and be clear perhaps
21	that it was citywide and look at all the inputs,
22	delivery vans, trucks… You know just today they
23	said that over… I believe it's 275,000 truck trips
24	are going to come off the road thanks to the
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 141
2	opening of the South Marina terminal. That's a good
3	thing. Again makes me wonder the urgency to…
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: But you did say
5	in your…
6	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:issue.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I'm sorry but you
8	did say in your testimony the rational for the
9	study This has nothing to do with the cap this is
10	the rational for the study… [cross-talk]
11	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:hand in hand
12	[cross-talk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:just just
14	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:as we heard from
15	the panel.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:you said I'm
17	I'm… I'm quoting your testimony. You didn't say the
18	rational for the cap is questionable you said the
19	rational for the study is questionable. Do you
20	stand by that statement?
21	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I I do stand by
22	that statement because the people conducting the
23	study said that the cap was required to make the
24	study work to establish something apparently called
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 142
2	a baseline that cannot be modeled and we have to
3	take a snapshot of the world.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay I'm going to
5	move on to another question. In your testimony you
6	asserted in paragraph two supporting these
7	supporting these bills destroys jobs is what you
8	said, correct?
9	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Paragraph 2, yes.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Paragraph 2. That
11	it destroys jobs for potential drivers for Uber. It
12	is widely known and widely reported that Uber is
13	currently working on driverless taxi cab technology
14	including a partnership with Carnegie Mellon,
15	including a including a partnership with Carnegie
16	Melon, including obviously a significant investment
17	from Google. Google itself is developing driverless
18	technology. And Uber is developing a… a facility in
19	Pittsburgh Pennsylvania next… next to Carnegie
20	Mellon where they have an advanced robotic
21	department. Your CEO Travis Kalanick said in
22	response to a question at the code conference last
23	year about driverless cars quote love it all day
24	close quote. So he said in initial response love it
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 143
2	as in he loves it all day. My question is how many
3	drivers will be driving the driverless cars?
4	[laughter]
5	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Nah it's fine.
6	Councilman I know about it… as much about this as
7	you do. But what I do know is certain is that these
8	bills will put a heck of a lot more people out of a
9	job than any driverless car in our future.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Do you agree with
11	Mr. Kalanick when he was asked during that
12	interview what he would say to Uber drivers who…
13	whose job Uber is working to make obsolete? He said
14	quote I'd say look this is the way of the world and
15	the world isn't always great. We all have to find
16	ways to change with the world. Do you agree with
17	CEO of Uber Travis Kalanick in that statement?
18	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Again I know as
19	much about this as you read in the papers.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Well I
21	think that to be frank and I don't want to get off
22	topic here.
23	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I think we've done
24	that already.
25	
I	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 144
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Your assertion
3	that this legislation would quote unquote destroy
4	jobs is is dubious at best considering that the
5	long term business model for Uber is to move to
6	driverless technology sometime in the next decade
7	I think that's fair… maybe 15 years.
8	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Folks who have a
9	college payment or a house payment or a car payment
10	or a grocery payment, they're worried about today
11	and tomorrow. And this is this is there [cross-
12	talk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So it's not a
14	long term career… [cross-talk]
15	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Councilman there
16	there was an argument made up here that don't worry
17	everyone can still get on… [cross-talk] you can
18	only get a license… [cross-talk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay.
20	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: [cross-talk] we're
21	just capping the vehicles. So you can go out there…
22	[cross-talk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And and is that
24	[cross-talk] is that not true? Can can can
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 145
2	somebody not a new driver not share a vehicle that
3	is currently licensed? Does that… [cross-talk]
4	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Let's talk about
5	that.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Is that not
7	possible?
8	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: No it is possible.
9	Let's… so the point of the legislation to be clear
10	is to make the for-hire industry mirror the yellow
11	taxi industry. To make people who are small
12	business owners become shift workers. To take what
13	could have been 100 dollars a day and make it 50.
14	How is this solving for income inequality? Please
15	tell me.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Is it not
17	possible…
18	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Yes it is. And I
19	just told you how is they can become shift workers.
20	[cross-talk]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: But they could
22	still…
23	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: They could rent the
24	car from someone else, yes.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 146
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And that's and
3	and and they're not able to to collect fairs and
4	they're not able to collect
5	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Councilman I'm
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:make a living in
7	that in that [cross-talk]
8	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:clear as possible
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay so let me
10	ask you The current currently because that
11	currently happens. Does that not currently happen?
12	Do you not have drivers that are driving for Uber
13	that don't own their cars?
14	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Absolutely and
15	[cross-talk]
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And are they
17	and and are those drivers able to make a living?
18	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:that are
20	currently driving for you?
21	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: It's an impossible
22	question for me to ask because I don't know all of
23	our drivers. I know that people [cross-talk]
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Are those drivers
25	who are… who don't own their vehicles now but are

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 147
2	driving for Uber, that are licensed to drive for
3	Uber are driving for Uber that… but that don't own
4	their vehicles are they are they able today to
5	make a living?
6	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Are they able to
7	make a good living or are they able to make the
8	best living or are they able to make… [cross-talk]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Are they able to
10	make a good living?
11	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: It's it's all
12	relative isn't it?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay.
14	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: It's all relative.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Okay I'm
16	going to… I'm going to… thank you very much for
17	your question testimony for your response to my
18	questions. I'll turn it back over to the chairman.
19	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I will give it
20	back to Levin but I want to say that please he has
21	respond a question. Follow the direction of the
22	council member. Don't interrupt. Here we are the
23	one that rule the way of how we conduct a
24	conversation. Thank you. Council Member Garodnick.
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 148
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank you Mr.
3	Chairman. And Mr. Allegretti I just wanted to jump
4	into a few… a few questions here with you. I
5	understand that it's your desire… Uber's desire to
6	put 10,000 new cars on the road or is it 75 hundred
7	cars on the road in the next year. What… what… what
8	exactly is the number that you guys are hoping to
9	do in the next year.
10	NICOLE BENINCASA: So we were talking
11	about the economic opportunity for 10,000 new
12	drivers.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: How many how
14	many cars though is the question.
15	NICOLE BENINCASA: We're not looking to
16	put any numbers of the cars on the road. We're not
17	that's not our… that's not our motive. We're
18	looking for drivers to be able to be on the road in
19	either their own cars or sharing cars or whatever
20	the case may be. But we're not we don't have a
21	
	goal for putting more cars on the
22	goal for putting more cars on the COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: How many more
22 23	
	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: How many more
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: How many more drivers than was it the 596 drivers that you want

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 149
2	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Well those are just
3	the folks who came in and signed up since June
4	15 th . So we're just wondering what happens to…
5	[cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: I quess what 6 7 I'm... I get it. I get it. And... but I'm really trying 8 to understand from you all as to what Uber's goals and desires are here. What is your business plan? 9 What is your aspiration for year one, year five, 10 11 year 10 in New York City? Because as I said here 12 right now I don't have any understanding of what that is. And they're obvious impacts whether you 13 14 accept them or not they're impacts and I just think 15 we should be honest about that. They're not all 16 attributable to Uber. Uber is not the cause of all 17 New York City's problems. But the presence of a 18 growing number of cars you know is something that we at least need to acknowledge has some potential 19 20 impact out there. So what is the plan of Uber in New York City for adding however you define it over 21 2.2 your one, five, or ten.

23 MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I can't speak to 24 that. And I'm not being coy. The... the way that we 25 bring new drivers and new vehicles onto the road is

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 150
2	based on them signing up and supply and demand. And
3	our goal is to be the most reliable ride. We don't
4	want… I see Donovan… Councilman Donovan Richards is
5	not here right now. His district has one of our
6	longer pick up… average pick up times. That's not
7	good. Yours has one of our shortest. That is good.
8	We don't believe there should be that discrepancy
9	and we believe that as demand rises in further
10	corners of the city we need to have supply to meet
11	it. Otherwise we can't.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: And it it's
13	Uber's position that there should never be any
14	limits imposed on the number of for-hire vehicles
15	in New York City?
16	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I… I'm not prepared
17	to make a statement one way or the other on that.
18	But what is clear is there shouldn't be a cap
19	imposed before the study is done.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay I
21	actually don't think that's what the bill is doing
22	at least from my impression for whatever it's
23	worth. And we'll I'm sure we'll have plenty of
24	future conversations about that. But… okay so Uber
25	has no position on whether or not there should be a

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 151
2	cap at any point in New York City to the number of
3	for-hire vehicles. Is that is that what I'm
4	hearing you say?
5	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: You're not hearing
6	me say that. It's something that we need to
7	consider and talk about but that's at least a
8	conversation.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. The
10	idea of taking a snapshot… I understand that Uber
11	believes that TLC and DOT and the Mayor's Office of
12	sustainability for whatever reason are not
13	qualified to do this. But let's talk about… I'm not
14	sure I agree with that by the way.
15	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Can I refine that
16	to say I don't believe that they're not qualified.
17	I believe there's a question of objectivity.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay well
19	look I I don't I don't think I agree with that
20	either. But I do want to ask about that snapshot
21	question. Because the point that they raise and you
22	rebutted although I'm not sure I understand it was
23	that if you were going to try to do a study which
24	let's for the moment accept that we want to do a
25	study and we want to be able to figure out what's
ļ	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 152
2	going on out there. If the landscape in front of
3	you is changing as it is what is and please do not
4	answer about the jobs because we… we heard your
5	point on that. And that's not an answer to this
6	question. But what is the… what is so irrational
7	about the idea of saying okay well let us stop for
8	a moment, figure out where we are and then proceed.
9	Because it's not saying anybody's looking to say
10	you're stopped here forever. It is let us stop
11	temporarily whether it's for three months, six
12	months, nine months, or a year what what is so
13	irrational about that?
14	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I'm going to go
15	into a depolicy [phonetic] for a second and I
16	apologize.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: No that's
18	fine.
19	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: It's simply
20	selection bias. When you do a study and congestion
21	is a citywide issue and it has a lot of inputs and
22	many of you have I think everyone on the in the
23	council so far at this hearing has mentioned
24	another potential input to the city's congestion
25	problem. We talked about bikes. We talked about
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 153
2	delivery vans. We talked about trucks. We talked
3	about personal cars. We talked about the death
4	strains, plazas, and 50 other things… When you hold
5	one of those things constant in this instance about
6	60,000 black and livery cars you bias the study one
7	way. We don't need a… it makes sense when you hear
8	it… explain that way. That's all that's wrong with
9	this right now.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Right okay.
11	Well my… my time is up but I… I… there's one area
12	in which there's obvious and apparent and immediate
13	growth and in the other areas there there is not.
14	[cross-talk]
15	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I know I'm not
16	allowed to interrupt
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK:to me
18	that's
19	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:but there there
20	are other areas.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Hold it I'll
22	let you answer but that that to me is not an
23	irrationality. That… and that's not even a
24	selection bias. That's saying we want to understand
25	what's happening here with our overall taxi for-
l	

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 154 2 hire vehicle situation. You know what... what is so 3 wrong with that?

4 MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Examining the impact of for-hire vehicles is a... is a worthwhile 5 question. Again there's a way to get this right. 6 7 But to say that the other inputs are not somehow increasing... record tourism, overcrowding on the 8 city's mass transit system... the people aren't 9 coming out of thin air. We know there are more 10 11 bikes because we advocate for more bikes because we 12 advocate for more bikes in this chamber all the time. Vision Zero a success. So we know the other 13 14 things are going up too. We know other things might 15 be dropping as well. But we know they're all 16 moving. It's just not actually a credible way to 17 approach public policy.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay so what 18 you would say is do the ... do a study but do a 19 20 complete study and add all the other factors in? Throw in Uber, throw in Lyft, throw in everybody 21 but throw in bikes and tourists and all the rest of 2.2 23 the ... the other issues if I'm hearing you correctly. MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Which... the ... the DOT 24 commissioner seemed to indicate was on the table at 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 155
2	one point. She… it… it went back and forth between
3	just the central business district in Manhattan
4	versus the whole [cross-talk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. I
6	understand. So we will get to clarity on that point
7	as to whether the bill and DOT intend to study all
8	boroughs and whether they intend to study all
9	impacts. But what I want to understand from you is
10	if it in fact does study all boroughs and it does
11	in fact study all impacts, presumably your
12	objections are only limited to the fact that you do
13	not trust TLC and you do not trust DOT and you do
14	not trust the Mayor's Office of Sustainability it's
15	just about those core issues. Is that is that
16	fair?
17	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I think not
18	trusting is a… is a mischaracterization. I'm
19	concerned when I hear we're going to cap a certain
20	class of vehicles to study them because I know
21	that's not
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: I understand
23	but what I
24	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: so
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 156
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK:you you've
3	raised specific issues about the study. And so I
4	wanted to understand from you is if those issues
5	were not in fact present whether you would have any
6	objection to
7	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I I want to be
8	precise. A a vehicle cap cannot be part of it. And
9	as currently written they're two different bills.
10	And obviously I I believe that was intentional. We
11	have a cap bill and then we'd have a study bill so
12	I could be in favor of this study but against the
13	cap.
13 14	cap. COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. Lastly
14	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. Lastly
14 15	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. Lastly and thank you Mr. Chair Mr. temporary chairman.
14 15 16	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. Lastly and thank you Mr. Chair Mr. temporary chairman. The the trip data. This is not something that is
14 15 16 17	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. Lastly and thank you Mr. Chair Mr. temporary chairman. The the trip data. This is not something that is currently shared by for-hire vehicle companies with
14 15 16 17 18	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. Lastly and thank you Mr. Chair Mr. temporary chairman. The the trip data. This is not something that is currently shared by for-hire vehicle companies with the TLC. They they were describing bread crumbs
14 15 16 17 18 19	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. Lastly and thank you Mr. Chair Mr. temporary chairman. The the trip data. This is not something that is currently shared by for-hire vehicle companies with the TLC. They they were describing bread crumbs bread crumb data. Can you just give us a little
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. Lastly and thank you Mr. Chair Mr. temporary chairman. The the trip data. This is not something that is currently shared by for-hire vehicle companies with the TLC. They they were describing bread crumbs bread crumb data. Can you just give us a little more of a sense as to what information about the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. Lastly and thank you Mr. Chair Mr. temporary chairman. The the trip data. This is not something that is currently shared by for-hire vehicle companies with the TLC. They they were describing bread crumbs bread crumb data. Can you just give us a little more of a sense as to what information about the trips and what you know drivers are doing out there
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. Lastly and thank you Mr. Chair Mr. temporary chairman. The the trip data. This is not something that is currently shared by for-hire vehicle companies with the TLC. They they were describing bread crumbs bread crumb data. Can you just give us a little more of a sense as to what information about the trips and what you know drivers are doing out there that's actually shared with TLC. Because it seems

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 157
2	NICOLE BENINCASA: Sure. So trip data is
3	regularly shared with the TLC every month. We
4	submit electronically data that includes pick up
5	information of every trip that's made through the
6	Uber app and information regarding the drivers for-
7	hire vehicle license number, the driver's for-hire
8	license number, the date and time… so it includes
9	the pick-up location. It includes information that
10	Commissioner Joshi confirmed that will be helpful
11	to do this sort of study.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay I
13	understand. So it doesn't include the… it doesn't
14	include the drop off though right?
15	NICOLE BENINCASA: It does not. That's
16	not
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: And it
18	doesn't… and it… and it doesn't include if I had
19	500 Uber cars that were you know idling in my
20	council district waiting for an opportunity that
21	also would not be picked up by the data that's
22	being shared with TLC right now right?
23	NICOLE BENINCASA: It's completed trip
24	data.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 158
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Com
3	completed trip data. So it's the initial part of a
4	completed trip. It's not the drop off of a
5	completed trip. It's the pick-up part of a
6	completed trip.
7	NICOLE BENINCASA: That's right.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay thank
9	you.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very
11	much Council Member Garodnick. Council Member
12	Antonio Reynoso.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: …Uber. I just
14	want to say you know it's just we have the city
15	speaks and then Uber speaks and this is not an Uber
16	legislation. It just… it just doesn't pan out well
17	with me there. I do want to say to previous
18	questions that the law specifically states this is
19	a study in relation to the… a… in relation to
20	requiring the study on the impact of growth and
21	taxicab and for-hire vehicle industries. It's very
22	particular to what is being studied. And so… so I…
23	I hear what your concerns are. I do want to say
24	that the market pressures are changing. And you
25	guys are a big part of that in the taxi industry
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 159
2	and the overall TLC industry is what I want to call
3	it. And I do think that there's a level of
4	responsibility that needs to be had in making sure
5	that the long term sustainability of that industry
6	as a whole is taken into consideration. And if you
7	are an impact or impacting that in a significant
8	way that you you have to to take the time to step
9	back and say you know these other portions of the
10	industry are valuable and we want to make sure that
11	they they survive. And and I don't know if that's
12	happened yet and I wanted to ask if that's
13	something that you guys would like to look into
14	and…a nd I think to be honest that this study is
15	going to look into. So I guess my question is is
16	there a level of of responsibility that guys hold
17	yourselves to to ensuring the the long term
18	sustainability of the industry as a whole.
19	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: The industry works
20	when a street hail is part of the mix, when a
21	prearrangement is part of the mix, when a binding
22	fair quote is part of the mix. We have different
23	options for different folks in different
24	neighborhoods. We brought street hail liveries
25	online. I I think it's open openly acknowledged

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 160 2 because there was no service in the outer boroughs 3 to speak of. We're starting to fill that gap. 4 MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: We're adding to the economy system. And I think that's a good thing. 5 6 And of course I think we have a responsibility. 7 It's why despite us being here to defend these job opportunities for these individuals we're also here 8 to say there is a right way to do a study and a 9 wrong way to do a study. We didn't come here to say 10 there should be no study. Maybe that got lost in 11 12 translation. We think there should be a study. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: And by the way 14 I just want to let you know there is a study 15 happening with or without this law. The study is 16 happening. So just know that that's going to 17 happen. And my last thing is are you ... you ... you 18 speak to being the outer borough you know champions or ... or being able to ... can you just speak to your 19 statistics that would say that's happening and then 20 also I know you have ... you guys have incentives. 21 2.2 You... you give like a thousand dollars to anyone 23 that joins the program. Are you using like an incentive where maybe you give folks that are in 24 25 Southeast Jamaica Queens or ... or in areas that are ...

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 161
2	are starved traditionally with this type of service
3	more money or or just using that incentive to
4	encourage more outer borough trips?
5	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Well no we… I mean
6	in terms of us serving the outer boroughs we see
7	just a steady increase in the number of trips that
8	are to, from, or within the boroughs. It's just a
9	fact it's in the trip data. It's about 27 percent
10	if you… not including the airports.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Mm-hmm.
12	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: And the drivers go
13	where the demand is. And as the city is expanding
14	and as corners of the city are becoming
15	alternatives to just this central business district
16	that no one I guess can get around anymore right?
17	It's getting more and more congested. We're seeing
18	downtown Brooklyn. We're seeing Long Island City.
19	We're seeing corners of the Bronx. We're seeing far
20	Rockaway becoming these nodes and we're helping to
21	bring people there. So it's not… it's not about
22	driving it. And you know the way… pricing as well
23	is an incentive to get drivers into certain
24	neighborhoods.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 162
2	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Alright my my
3	last thing is my last thing is for the vehicles I
4	I just want this study and I agree with the study
5	as well by the way. I do agree that it should
6	happen the moratorium if need be or a cap should
7	happen after. I think that's where a lot of us
8	differ. I think across the board folks think that
9	the study's a good idea. But what I want to make
10	sure happens that if in this… after this study is
11	done we find that you know express bus lanes and
12	more bike lanes and these type of things also
13	contribute to less congestion that we impose those
14	limitations just as much as we're going to impose
15	limitations on on Uber and that it's across the
16	board. And I feel I'm pretty confident that once
17	the study comes out if you guys are the culprit to
18	the congestion that you're going to take the hit
19	immediately and everything else is going to take
20	years for us to figure out on whether or not it's
21	good business when it comes to congestion. And I
22	just want to make sure that we deal with that as a
23	whole. So thank you for your time here. Thank you.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you Council
25	Member Reynoso. I just want to point out for the
	l

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 163
2	record I I mispronounced your CEO's last name.
3	It's Kalanick, not Kolonic [phonetic] so I got the
4	pronunciation wrong. I did get the quote right but
5	I got the pronunciation wrong. Next up Council
6	Member Carlos Menchaca of Brooklyn. Oh he's not
7	here. Council Member Brad Lander of Brooklyn.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you Mr.
9	Chair… Mr. Allegretti, Ms. Benincasa nice to see
10	you here. So I guess first… do you believe that
11	every time you bring a new car or a driver on an
12	equivalent number of additional rides are created.
13	You're creating these jobs. You bring a new car on,
14	a new driver on that's a new job. So magically
15	enough new rides are also called into being that
16	that is really new and not just taken from
17	somewhere else in the system is the job is created
18	I'm taking it.
19	NICOLE BENINCASA: So demand has been
20	increasing steadily in the city since we entered
21	the market in 2011.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: You have some
23	measures of this?
24	NICOLE BENINCASA: We certainly do.
25	[cross-talk]
ļ	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 164
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:data?
3	NICOLE BENINCASA: I'm happy to share
4	with you some of the kind of demand metrics but we
5	see it steadily increasing and it doesn't really
6	decrease.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: 50 percent you
8	think it's increased by?
9	NICOLE BENINCASA: I would
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: That's what your
11	data shows.
12	NICOLE BENINCASA: I would say
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I'd love to see
14	it.
15	NICOLE BENINCASA:we we have writers
16	I think in the tens of thousands that are making
17	their first trip on Uber every day and every week.
18	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: And Nicole the the
19	precise number is just under 25,000. So there's a
20	disagreement from what you heard from the prior
21	panel that said there's an oversaturation of these
22	drivers. We're hearing anecdotally they're not
23	making as much with the facts on the ground where
24	we're seeing almost 25,000 people a week signing up
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 165
2	to use the service. And we're seeing the
3	individuals who are driving
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: But you
5	obviously have no way of knowing what they were
6	doing before. You only know what they're doing And
7	look I'm a regular user so you have no idea what I
8	was doing prior to signing up for Uber whether I
9	was taking a yellow, whether I was taking a green,
10	whether I was taking a Livery, whether I was taking
11	my bicycle. You have no idea. So you… actually your
12	data doesn't show anything about whether there's
13	growth in rides you only know whether there's
14	growth in Uber rides, true or false?
15	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Well our data of
16	course would only show Uber
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: You survey… but
18	you said there was new rides. I take it that means
19	you surveyed customers and asked them what they
20	were doing prior to becoming Uber drivers so you
21	can speak to whether previously they were taking a
22	different form of transportation? Because if so
23	that data would be meaningful and if not it
24	wouldn't mean anything at all.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 166
2	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: To be precise
3	Councilman when when I say that 25,000 new people
4	are signing up to use the service each week that is
5	an empirically truthful statement right? [cross-
6	talk]
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: That is a growth
8	of Uber riders
9	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:be sure [cross-
10	talk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:is in no way
12	speaks nothing to whether that's people that are
13	now using for-hire vehicles that weren't before
14	correct? We don't know anything about… [cross-talk]
15	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:that point but
16	that wasn't the initial question.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: It was the
18	initial question. I didn't ask whether they were
19	new Uber rides. I asked whether new people were
20	starting to take for-hire vehicles. And you said
21	you had some evidence of it but it's pretty clear
22	you don't have any evidence of it. I didn't know
23	how you could but you offered it. So we don't know
24	whether those jobs are created, whether the
25	economic activities created or whether it shifted
ļ	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 167
2	right? We just don't know. I'm not saying one way
3	or the other. I don't know. But you don't know
4	either. Is that right?
5	NICOLE BENINCASA: So I think something
6	that Uber does that that other traditional members
7	of the industry aren't able to do is make rides
8	more efficient. So these drivers that are
9	partnering with Uber are making more rides in the…
10	in the same amount of time than they would on on
11	another industry because they're
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Now you're just
13	being… using sophistry. You can call that more
14	rides. I don't doubt that it's efficient. As I said
15	I use it. I love being able to walk out of the
16	airport I hear now we might not be able to do that
17	and get the ride but that's not new ride… you don't
18	know whether they're new rides or more rides. You
19	don't know what people were doing before at all.
20	NICOLE BENINCASA: What I'm saying is
21	drivers
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: That was my
23	question though and you said yes and you keep
24	saying more rides. But you don't have any evidence
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 168
2	that there are in fact more for-hire rides as a
3	result of Uber?
4	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: So…so what we're
5	debating and we're trying to answer is whether
6	25,000 new users who… [cross-talk]
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I asked about
8	25,000 20,000 new well 18,000 new Uber cars,
9	20,000 new for-hire cars. That's what my question
10	focused on. I didn't ask about the users.
11	NICOLE BENINCASA: So so what I'm
12	trying to explain is those… first of all they're
13	not new cars on the road. There's 18,000 cars
14	affiliated with Uber. They could have come from
15	other… other areas of the industry. They are… they
16	were always… [cross-talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: We know that
18	there are 20,000 new cars on the road since 2011
19	and we know there's 18,000 new Uber drivers who may
20	have been new cars or they may have shifted. And of
21	course those facts could be totally unrelated but
22	it seems unlikely.
23	NICOLE BENINCASA: So again it's there
24	are 18,000 for-hire vehicles affiliated with Uber.
25	There aren't 18,000 drivers with Uber.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 169
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay.
3	NICOLE BENINCASA: So I think it's we
4	we just… you know we need to parse hairs here
5	because I think it's important to say that [cross-
6	talk]
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: We parse words
8	and split hairs but no problem.
9	NICOLE BENINCASA: Okay. These vehicles
10	are… could have come from anywhere. They… they
11	aren't necessarily new cars on the road. That's
12	very important for everyone to understand.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: You think it's
14	www.let.d.thewe.eve. 20,000 were few bive webicles or
ΤŢ	unrelated there are 20,000 new for-hire vehicles on
15	the road per the TLC data? Or maybe that data's not
15	the road per the TLC data? Or maybe that data's not
15 16	the road per the TLC data? Or maybe that data's not objective.
15 16 17	the road per the TLC data? Or maybe that data's not objective. NICOLE BENINCASA: There there are
15 16 17 18	the road per the TLC data? Or maybe that data's not objective. NICOLE BENINCASA: There there are hundreds of members of this industry, hundreds of
15 16 17 18 19	the road per the TLC data? Or maybe that data's not objective. NICOLE BENINCASA: There there are hundreds of members of this industry, hundreds of bases. There are just the six Uber bases that have
15 16 17 18 19 20	the road per the TLC data? Or maybe that data's not objective. NICOLE BENINCASA: There there are hundreds of members of this industry, hundreds of bases. There are just the six Uber bases that have over 500 vehicles affiliated with them. I think
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the road per the TLC data? Or maybe that data's not objective. NICOLE BENINCASA: There there are hundreds of members of this industry, hundreds of bases. There are just the six Uber bases that have over 500 vehicles affiliated with them. I think there. I think there is a lot a lot to be said
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the road per the TLC data? Or maybe that data's not objective. NICOLE BENINCASA: There there are hundreds of members of this industry, hundreds of bases. There are just the six Uber bases that have over 500 vehicles affiliated with them. I think there. I think there is a lot a lot to be said here that doesn't only involve Uber. I can't I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 170
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Alright. Can I
3	keep going Mr. Chairs? Thank you.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yes Council
5	Member Lander.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I just so I I
7	my first question was just about whether there are
8	new jobs being created or whether in fact just
9	rides are being shifted around. And I think the
10	answer is we don't have any idea. You don't know…
11	you don't have that data. You call them new jobs
12	but you don't actually have data that speaks to
13	whether we're just shifting the rides around and
14	whether there's some risk that we're taking what
15	were more full time jobs and making them more into
16	part time and shift work. We just don't know. And
17	we don't know how that would be affected as things
18	go on. My second question… you said that Uber takes
19	private cars off the road. Do you have any what is
20	your evidence that Uber is taking private cars off
21	the road? I can read it from your testimony.
22	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: No no you don't
23	have to. It's a it's a developing evidence base,
24	the idea that if you could bring the cost of a
25	
l	

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 171 2 shared ride down low enough ultimately you can disincentivize personal car ownership. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: That's a theory. 4 You said it was happening. Do you have any evidence 5 that's happening and do you have any evidence that 6 7 Uber's responsible for it as ... opposed to for example the growth in city bike or increased subway 8 ridership... all the things you said before. 9 10 MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Well I... I think that's right. It is also the subway, the bus, 11 12 bikes... it's all part of a... a public and for-hire 13 transport infrastructure which starts to make our 14 city's more livable and dis-incentivizes personal ... 15 [cross-talk] COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So it sounds 16 17 like you don't have data and it's not even clear 18 you have a theory. You're not sure whether there is reduced car ownership. You don't have any data. And 19 20 if there is it might have to do with many other things. 21 2.2 MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Some of ... many of 23 the questions asked today are ... are theoretical in 24 nature. 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 172
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I I'm only
3	asking you about a fact… a statement you made. I
4	didn't make this statement. I had no idea about it.
5	You testified that Uber was leading to reduced car
6	ownership and [cross-talk]
7	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Well no
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:have any data.
9	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I said that
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And you don't
11	even have… [cross-talk]
12	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:shared rides
13	[cross-talk]
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:it would be
15	true.
16	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:take cars off the
17	road. And that more share rides take more cars off
18	the road. I think that is an empirically flawless
19	statement. If you have more people sharing [cross-
20	talk]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Without data
22	it's all empirically flawless.
23	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: If you have more
24	people sharing a ride of course versus one person
25	in a car it's a… it's a… less… less cars.
ļ	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 173
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: We don't know
3	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: And and the same
4	would be said for a taxi.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay. So that's
6	your second statement for which there is no
7	evidence. Now I appreciate that you're now as a
8	result of the new regulations providing the new
9	information to the TLC and hopefully that will be
10	useful. The commissioner mentioned some additional
11	data that it would be useful to have like the
12	information on what drivers are earning like the
13	breadcrumb data from cars, some things which they
14	have not required but they made clear that it would
15	be appreciate if you would provide voluntarily
16	openness to providing that voluntarily?
17	NICOLE BENINCASA: We're open to
18	discussing some sort of data sharing partnership.
19	But I think that it it we would need to very
20	closely consider the impacts that any data sharing
21	may have on drivers or riders. We've done this
22	successfully in other markets. We're happy to have
23	that conversation.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: That's great to
25	hear. And I look I want to protect their privacy

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 174
2	and your business model but I think at the same
3	time if we could have as much data as possible that
4	would be great. As I indicated before one of my
5	concerns is about monopoly power. So I wonder in
6	markets around the world as you've gained market
7	share have you adjusted your deal with drivers or
8	your pricing structure with customers?
9	NICOLE BENINCASA: In I I think just
10	to your specific concern about monopoly I think
11	we're always iterating on the cost of a ride for
12	riders and what that means for making sure that
13	we're getting more trips in a certain market. So
14	you may have seen you know we have you know we have
15	lower prices here in the city. We've done that in
16	other markets. We do that all the time to make sure
17	that demand and supply are staying as as aligned
18	as possible.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: There's some
20	markets you even charge below what it's costing
21	you simply to gain market share.
22	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: I I can't speak to
23	the markets outside of New York but it's a common
24	business practice to to incentivize people to
25	start using your service when you're new but back

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 175
2	to Councilman Reynoso's point the New York City
3	transit ecostructure works when there are multiple
4	pieces to it. The street hail… [cross-talk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: It does which is
6	precisely my anxiety which [cross-talk]
7	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: No but I
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:but if you
9	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: …I guess I'm
10	saying… [cross-talk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:gain market
12	share up to monopoly power then the thing won't
13	have those which is one of the things I'd like to
14	understand better. Your growth has been
15	extraordinarily rapid and for good reasons you
16	offer a good product at a good price. But it's our
17	job to think about what the long term regulatory
18	consequences are of that. And I guess I'll just end
19	here. I have a long list of additional questions
20	[cross-talk]
21	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: And I'm saying I I
22	agree with you.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: But you know I
24	I just want to be straightforward. I I didn't come
25	with a with a bias this morning. I've said it a

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 176
2	bunch of times and you can check my trip data. I'm
3	a I'm a regular user and so I've tried to like
4	shut my eyes to like Uber uses GPS to keep China
5	drivers from going to protest or flaunts regulation
6	in other cities and just like not not even you
7	know and be open. But I do have to be honest. I
8	don't think that your sarcasm was helpful here
9	beforetake a contribution from a yellow base or
10	a green base or a for-hire base to any, to my
11	knowledge at all. I'm not the least bit interested
12	in protecting base owners or preventing
13	competition. What I am interested in doing is
14	trying to make sure we get the regulatory model
15	right for a system that's in system shock… that's
16	in dramatic transition. And that has real
17	consequences. And that's my job. Now your job isn't
18	to create jobs. Your job is to protect Uber's
19	bottom line and you've got every right to come in
20	here and do it. But I'll be honest. I don't believe
21	your sarcasm served that purpose well today.
22	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: That wasn't the
23	intention. It was to simply say we… we've seen this
24	proposal before, let's be honest about that. That's
25	all.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 177
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you Mr.
3	Chairman.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you Council
5	Member Lander. Just have a couple of follow-up
6	questions. So do we have a… a clear picture… do you
7	have a clear picture of how many… how many Uber
8	trips per day? How many how many how many actual
9	Uber trips per day?
10	NICOLE BENINCASA: Sure so we do
11	hundreds of thousands of trips in the city per day.
12	But that information is something that we provide
13	to the TLC so they can they [cross-talk]
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So what is it
15	now You can provide it to this committee as well.
16	What is it?
17	NICOLE BENINCASA: So hundreds of
18	thousands of trips.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Every day?
20	NICOLE BENINCASA: Every day.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: More specific
22	please.
23	NICOLE BENINCASA: That's that's what I
24	have to offer you
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 178
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: That's what you
3	that's what you give to the TLC, hundreds of
4	thousands?
5	NICOLE BENINCASA: No we give them
6	specific trip data as I mentioned to Councilman
7	Lander. And I think that when… when the TLC looks
8	at that information they can utilize it in the way
9	that's necessary for them.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: That's
11	unacceptable. How many what is the average trips
12	per day over the last month?
13	NICOLE BENINCASA: I don't have that
14	information for you. I… I apologize… [cross-talk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: What is the
16	average trips per day over the last week?
17	NICOLE BENINCASA: Again we don't
18	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Again we don't have
19	that. It's
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Why don't you
21	have that?
22	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI:to the TLC.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: You don't have
24	you give it to the TLC but you don't have it?
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 179
2	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: By by law we're
3	required to submit it. It's enormous reams of excel
4	if that's a term.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I find it very
6	difficult to believe that you don't have that data
7	to provide to this committee for this hearing.
8	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Answering
9	truthfully I we do not have a precise number
10	[cross-talk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:somebody here on
12	your team that has that data that you could provide
13	to this committee during this hearing?
14	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: During the hearing?
15	No but we'll follow up and get it to you.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I I honestly
17	that is not… I've… I've… I… I find that to be a
18	dubious answer and I'll leave it at that. But I
19	think it's it's it's very surprising to me that
20	you would come to this hearing making this case
21	without that data that you have to have that you
22	provide to the TLC but you're not able to provide
23	to the public here come out and deserves to know
24	that and to this committee because for us to be
25	able to make an informed decision we need data. How
ļ	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 180
2	many… how many trips per car does Uber have per day
3	per car?
4	NICOLE BENINCASA: Again we don't have
5	that information. We're… we're happy to follow up
6	with you but we… this specific bill that we're
7	testifying on the two bills that we're testifying
8	on we have information on our position on those
9	bills but we don't have our entire trip data in
10	front of us. And I apologize for that.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: How many trips
12	per driver?
13	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI: Same answer.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Does Uber
15	have an accessible fleet?
16	NICOLE BENINCASA: Sure. So just as a
17	point of clarity Uber does not have fleets. We…
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Are Uber cars
19	does Uber have access cars that are affiliated
20	with Uber bases are there… are there accessible
21	Uber cars?
22	NICOLE BENINCASA: Yes sir. So we have
23	a we have an option called Uber wave and it is an
24	option that utilizes the yellow cabs and the green
25	street hill Livery vehicles that are on the Uber
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 181
2	app and are accessible. And it in for the street
3	hail vehicle section it operates in the outer
4	boroughs for yellow cabs. It operates wherever
5	yellow cabs are able to operate. So across the city
6	Uber does ot control the type of vehicles that
7	drivers own. So there are some black cars that are
8	also accessible. But Uber's wheelchair accessible
9	vehicle options on the app are through the yellow
10	and green.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So they're not
12	affiliated They're not they're not cars that are
13	affiliated with an Uber base correct?
14	NICOLE BENINCASA: The… any car that's
15	using an Uber base or using the Uber app is… and is
16	accessible is in that wheelchair accessible option
17	for yellow cabs and for green street hail Liveries.
18	So Street hail liveries are affiliated with Uber
19	Bases. The yellow borough cabs are… are… they
20	yellow cabs are using the Uber app through the e-
21	hail program.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So the green
23	[cross-talk] hails are licensed. They're… they're
24	licensed to an Uber base.
25	NICOLE BENINCASA: That's correct.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 182
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay I'm going to
3	ask that same question to to advocates for
4	accessibility. TLC rules require quote equivalent
5	service for for-hire vehicles. Do you offer that?
6	NICOLE BENINCASA: For for-hire
7	vehicles?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:accessible
9	service.
10	NICOLE BENINCASA: Sure so we have the
11	Uber waive option on the app which is in in the
12	the way Uber functions is a way for anyone who
13	needs an accessible ride to be able to get one for
14	the app.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So then you do
16	have that? Is that what you're saying? You have
17	equivalent service?
18	NICOLE BENINCASA: We have the Uber
19	waive option in the app?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay that's all
21	the questions that I have. Do any of my colleagues
22	have further questions? Seeing none I thank you
23	very much for your testimony for responding to our
24	questions and look forward to keeping up the
25	conversation in the future. Thank you.
Į	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 183
2	NICOLE BENINCASA: Thank you. [cross-
3	talk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: We're going to
5	call up the next panel and I apologize in advance
6	if I mispronounce anyone else's name. Steve Shanker
7	Livery Round Table, Avik Kabessa, Carmel Terrek
8	Molla [phonetic] Dial 7, Cira Angeles Livery Base
9	Owners, Nancy Soria Green Taxis of New York. Okay
10	folks since we have nine panels to testify and it
11	is 2:30 so we've been at this hearing for four
12	hours and change we're going to keep you all to a
13	three minute clock. Is that okay? Very good. Okay
14	whoever wants to start go ahead.
15	STEVEN SHANKER: Good afternoon ladies
16	and gentleman. May it please the committee my name
17	is Steven Shanker and I am the Executive Director
18	for the Livery Round Table. I would like to start
19	off by stating that the Livery Round Table believes
20	that this environmental study that is proposed by
21	the committee is long overdue and we wholeheartedly
22	support it. We acknowledge and understand the
23	concerns that are being raised by the committee
24	believe that significant amounts of information can
25	and will be obtained from that study that will
l	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 184
2	likely be used at a later point in time for the
3	benefit of the public and the industry. On the
4	other hand the Livery Round Table believes in the
5	free market and healthy competition. The free
6	market along with the laws of supply and demand
7	have obviously caused a phenomenon that this
8	industry has never experienced. We believe that
9	this phenomenon in and of itself should caution the
10	committee in moving forward. If a decision is made
11	to impose a moratorium we believe that as a
12	precursor to such a moratorium that it would
13	necessarily entail a full analysis of the growth of
14	each sector of the for-hire vehicle industry and an
15	open discussion on how such a moratorium would
16	affect each sector of the for-hire vehicle
17	industry. As you know there's numerous sectors of
18	the industry and there are multiple nuances to
19	each. This means that there would be multiple
20	nuances for the committee to consider if a
21	moratorium were to be imposed. And if it was how it
22	would and should be properly imposed upon each
23	sector. As it will be demonstrated more fully by
24	Doctor Kabessa each sector of the for-hire vehicle
25	industry has not grown and has not changed the same
	l

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 185
2	over the past three years. In other words this
3	phenomenon of exponential growth has been limited
4	to the black car industry and has not occurred in
5	the Livery industry. Accordingly we believe that if
6	a moratorium were to be imposed that all sectors of
7	the for-hire vehicle industry should not
8	necessarily be treated the same way. We believe it
9	would be inequitable and possibly dangerous to the
10	interest of the public to place a blanket
11	moratorium on the entire industry when the growth
12	of the industry and the effect of the industry has
13	not been the same as compared to the Liveries and
14	the black cars. We also ask precaution because we
15	do not want to hurt a sector of the industry that
16	may very well be not contributing to the problem
17	for which now the study is being proposed and a
18	solution is sought. We would like to further work
19	with the committee to determine if and how to
20	implement a proper moratorium for the purposes of
21	that moratorium are served while not hurting the
22	livery industry and consequently the public at
23	large. Unless you have any questions I would like
24	to thank you for the opportunity to speak today.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 186
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I'm going to turn
3	it back over to the rightful chairman of the
4	committee Ydanis Rodriguez.
5	AVIK KABESSA: Good afternoon Chairman
6	Ydanis and the committee members. My name is Avik
7	Kabessa. I'm the CEO of Carmel and and a board
8	member of the Livery Round table as mentioned by
9	our executive director Steven Shanker we do support
10	the study. And we've been advocating for it for a
11	long time. A recent development of extreme growth
12	in the number of black car in New York City may or
13	even should trigger the need for a temporary
14	moratorium. I would like to caution the committee
15	against a shotgun approach. A moratorium on the
16	entire for-hire industry is a drastic move that
17	should not take place without a careful evaluation.
18	Collecting and analyzing available data can help
19	the committee in coming up with a much more precise
20	moratorium, one that will put the brakes on an
21	unexplained growth and the numbers of black cars
22	but will not hinder normally needed growth of other
23	sectors of the for-hire industry. A growth needed
24	to answer the demand for their services in the
25	handout I provided you with I've attached a very

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 187
2	short table easily obtained data that provides
3	meaningful information. The table looks at their
4	relationship between the growth in number of
5	vehicles per category which constitute the supply
6	as it relates for example to the growth in number
7	of tourists visiting New York City which constitute
8	the demand. For those who do not have the table I
9	front of them I can summarize by saying that the
10	data shows clearly how while the average annual
11	growth the number of luxury limousine and Livery
12	vehicles from 2011 to 2015 runs between two to six
13	percent which is along the line of the four percent
14	average growth in visitor per year. The average
15	yearly growth in number of black cars is of 33
16	percent per year which is eight times more than the
17	average annual growth in people visiting New York
18	City. Data such as this and others will help the
19	committee shape a much more precise moratorium as
20	far as what sectors of the fire… for-hire industry
21	should be should be monitored, allowed to grow, by
22	how much, and this is only one example of the data.
23	I would like to offer my help and the help of the
24	Livery Round Table in gathering more relevant data
25	and work with transportation committee in crafting

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 188
2	a moratorium that will not hinder the growth of
3	sectors that have nothing to do with the new
4	reality created by the extreme increase in the
5	numbers of black car in New York City. And since I
6	have 19 seconds more I I beg to differ with the
7	Commissioner Joshi, Meera saying that the Livery
8	can become a black car the black car can become
9	Livery at ease. For a black car to become a livery
10	there's a thousand dollar minimum investment of
11	different requirements of the Livery. So it's not
12	such an easy shift as it was presented.
13	CIRA ANGELES: Good afternoon. My name
14	is Cira Angeles. I spoke to… for the Livery Base
15	owners. Basically we share in the interest of time
16	we share the sentiments of our colleagues in the
17	industry in the for-hire industry Livery side. But
18	we need to point out that the distance that we have
19	as communities as as as people we offer the
20	safest modes of transportation for millions of
21	residents throughout New York City. Our families
22	live and work in the very communities our drivers
23	work and in the childrens [phonetic] of our drivers
24	that go to local schools. Our industry has
25	witnessed an unbelievable transformation over the

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 189
2	last five years, the borough taxi changes in
3	regulations for the for-hire industry, vision zero,
4	the prices of the yellow medallions, an
5	introduction of the app technology. Uber Lyft are
6	part of the rapid transformation that we all have
7	witnessed. It's now time to understand what is the
8	impact. To focus in the matters in what matters the
9	most, how this changes will allowed all the parts
10	of the industry to con to continue growing in our
11	great city and level the playing field. Our
12	industry has been a regulated one but now powerful
13	sources want to come in, throw their money around,
14	pay our drivers thousand dollars just to change
15	bases, not even to create jobs. You just moving our
16	jobs to your jobs. And at a thousand dollar pace in
17	a lot of other incentives is very easy to change
18	jobs… not to create ones. What is good for them
19	rather than what is good for all of New Yorkers. We
20	support price surging because we are concern about
21	our communities that don't have what it takes to
22	pay 900 percent cost when I can pay 10 dollars. So
23	we are not providing service that our borough taxis
24	when we created it do not create in in in our
25	communities. We still at a standstill. It provides

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 190
2	wheelchair transportation. And and also that
3	needed, that much needed service in our communities
4	we are not replacing service. They're alleging that
5	they're created new job market. The market is
6	created and is consistent according to what Doctor
7	Avik Kabessa has said. I'm not going to… the same
8	numbers but the truth is that we have to take a
9	look at our industry, do the market study, and
10	allow us to measure the impact that this
11	unprecedented rapid growth has. We for decades are
12	men and women of different segments worked very
13	hard playing by the rules, building communities.
14	That is our commitment. Let's now work together and
15	grow together in a way that is consistent that
16	continues to provide jobs that in the future when
17	they decide to get rid of our drivers we still have
18	answers as an industry. We are responsible. We're
19	not planning to replace our drivers. We are
20	planning to consistently be here 30 years from now.
21	As my father left this… for me and for my family.
22	So we believe that working together and putting
23	legislation together to continue to protect our
24	industry, our bases, and our communities.
<u>ог</u>	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 191
2	NANCY SORIA: Good afternoon to all in
3	attendance. My name is Nancy Soria, Vice President
4	of Green Taxis of New York Inc. It is well known
5	that the arrival of the… has had a tremendous
6	effect in New York State and its taxi industry. The
7	ace in which a customer can get a taxi has
8	increased substantially but the does not however
9	mean you will arrive at your destination any
10	faster. Since e-hail apps came in New York City in
11	2011 thousands of for-hire and black cars, vehicles
12	have been added to the streets increasing the
13	traffic congestion. Many surveys conducted by
14	various agencies have concluded that this also has
15	effect that public public transportation with rush
16	hour speeds decreasing for busses as well as
17	overall traffic speeds decreasing for all
18	motorists. With so many vehicles out on the street
19	one must also take into consideration the effect
20	that this has had on the environment. We at GTNY
21	Inc. are also drivers who have all come to see the
22	complete stand still in our city. We feel that
23	bringing new vehicles to our streets is not a win
24	win situation for all of us. By limiting the
25	vehicles that are put out on the street we are
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 192
2	collectively winning not only will the environment
3	suffer less but those who use public transportation
4	won't have to wait as long for the bus and those
5	who drive in the city will face less traffic.
6	Licensed drivers will have less competition for
7	fairs and customers that use e-hailing apps still
8	will be able to get their vehicles but be able to
9	arrive at their destination quicker. With a limit
10	on FHV licensees we can all get to where we need at
11	a more timely and sustainable rate.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you.
13	NANCY SORIA: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I just have a
15	question to Cira and to anybody… anybody else. It's
16	about when since also you work with a lot of
17	drivers that they are also Uber affiliated too. So
18	what is the experience that you have or what
19	experience have the driver share with you when it
20	come to the percentage that drivers the how Uber
21	and the driver is split the fare that the passenger
22	pay at the beginning compared to how much How is
23	that taking place at this moment?
24	CIRA ANGELES: In many of our drivers at
25	express initially were lured based on how much
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 193
2	money they were promising. They were promising
3	10,000 dollars a month. They were promising a
4	thousand dollars to switch the bases. And they will
5	do all the paperwork. And a lot of drivers
6	basically said you know what it's money and I have
7	to make it. But then the reality changed because
8	there are fees on top of percentages and there are
9	other things that when they calculated the rates
10	the rates are basically \$8.00 an hour as opposed to
11	what they were doing per trip as as opposed to
12	what they were doing at the regular car service
13	base. Let's say a car service base charges 50 35 to
14	75 dollars per week. Our drivers control the rates.
15	They do what they need to do. So basically our
16	drivers are driving home with 90 to 95 percent of
17	their salary. Here our drivers basically started to
18	say instead of me driving although I'm making more
19	money in some instances but yet I'm driving
20	instead of driving with 90 percent home I'm driving
21	with 65 percent of my salary or 70 percent. So in
22	many of the cases and yes indeed we talking about
23	that it is different when it comes to the car
24	services and you talking about the… the taxes and
25	the 2.5 percent for the workers comp. And we're
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 194
2	very well aware of it. But the truth is that the
3	promise for this dream kind of idea and I give you
4	also money if you bring me another driver you… then
5	again is not so much the creation of of of of
6	jobs. And then our drivers when… once they saw that
7	they went back to our bases. And we are seeing that
8	a lot of the drivers that left are just coming back
9	to our bases.
10	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Well based on
11	information that a driver had shared with you again
12	what we're saying is that the drivers only take 65
13	percent or 70 percent and then the rest go to Uber?
14	CIRA ANGELES: Even our drivers that
15	actually call our radio show on the regular bases
16	every Saturday they have expressed that they're
17	understanding of what the… the percentages are is
18	30 percent in taxes and and and other fees and
19	also with the green cabs there are fees that apply
20	to dispatching calls as well. So there… they vary
21	so each driver is different but the fees are really
22	really high compared to what our industry charges.
23	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So I used to be
24	the 112 of Bailey car service. And I remember being
25	a Livery taxi driver. One thing that we love when

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 195
2	we have a lot of phone calls to on the
3	prearrangement. So you know the… probably barely
4	enough time they say have 150 drivers. So with 150
5	drivers when we have let's say hundred some phone
6	calls driver will be doing fine because the offer
7	and demand play and work for both. So we always
8	have phone call especially during the weekend. So
9	that those driver that they work in the Livery
10	bases that's when we make the money. And those
11	driver they have to expand they they Friday
12	evening Saturday working behind the wheel instead
13	of the family because they had to work hard. So by
14	everything is about the number the the many phone
15	calls… [cross-talk]
16	CIRA ANGELES: Another
17	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:time was by
18	phone not with… through the apps. [cross-talk]
19	CIRA ANGELES: Another
20	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: But my concern
21	is…
22	CIRA ANGELES: Another good thing is
23	that we… our drivers… a lot of our drivers own
24	their bases.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 196
2	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Yeah. And so my
3	concern is also… I'd like to hear feedback is that
4	if the corporation in this case Uber since they the
5	one that came and testify that all they're doing is
6	creating job for… working class for minority is
7	they continue with the plan of growing probably
8	drivers who join Uber right now and they join it
9	because they have a lot of incentive. But as the…
10	is the corporation continue growing and they move
11	for an 18,000 to 50,000 drivers. So the mark is
12	there… will not be enough for the driver to make
13	all the… amount of money that they are promised to
14	make.
15	CIRA ANGELES: Not only that. I think
16	in… when… in one of the panelists for Uber made a
17	interesting statement. You go ingot a market and if
18	you see fit to reduce course to a level in which
19	our drivers don't make it a… don't make enough
20	money is not their concern. Their concern is is
21	not necessarily the driver in building something in
22	a community. Their concern is basically market
23	share. And that's all they care about. That's why
24	you see those signs as if you a Livery I give you a
25	thousand dollars, change your base. So it's not
I	I

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 197 2 necessarily about building communities. It's not 3 necessarily about caring about community and the 4 driver. It's about market share.

AVIK KABESSA: If I... if I may add you 5 know I hope no one here believes the ... the image 6 7 that Uber's trying to portray as if they're some kind of a salvation army. I mean they... they move 8 from zero to 50 billion dollar ... not because they do 9 charity, not because they're doing the right thing 10 by society. And I think they keep on trying to hide 11 12 it but guys they're ... their venture capital is going 13 to... return on investment and they're going to do 14 whatever it takes. And ... and if anyone is somehow 15 think that they think about the simple worker I 16 really have another thing to sell you.

17 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: My ... and my last 18 question and then my colleague Council Member Levin... ask question is about you know we are 19 20 addressing a... first of all we have in front of ... those two bills. The one I is ... the one to call to 21 2.2 do the study. You two represent a... that represent 23 two major Livery base association that probably you represent most of the Livery bases in the city. It ... 24 so you have bases that are in Antonio Reynoso 25

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 198 2 community, in my community in Levin Community in 3 the south Bronx. So ... and I know that there was concern about should we ask all the bases to have 4 a... to do a... a environmental study. So in... what ... 5 6 what is ... why you think that that bill that is ... to 7 the study environmental impact study is important in why you want to be part of that solution on that 8 environmental study. 9 10 AVIK KABESSA: You know maybe people ...

maybe people do not know it but the Livery sector 11 12 is the only sector that is required to do an 13 environmental assessment study prior to renewal or 14 even being granted a license. So we've been asking 15 this about the rest of the industry for the 16 longest. And ... so we definitely are embracing 17 it on the other end which we are saying is the 18 Livery is... If you look at the impact, if you look at the reason you are here right now it is focused 19 20 on the black car. We don't, not want to hinder the growth of the Livery because of what's being done 21 2.2 on the black car and we would like to work with the 23 committee as to dissecting which ... which way to do it best. So study ... hold on and stop and ... and 24 25 take some analysis yes but we want to make sure

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 199
2	that the Livery which has nothing to do with what
3	where we are today is not suffering unjustifiably.
4	CIRA ANGELES: And again our bases have
5	played by the rules. And we have to comply every
6	time we renew our licenses as pointed out. But the
7	truth is that we need to know and we've done it in
8	the… Livery. The TLC did some studies to look at
9	what was the impact that these vehicles will have
10	on the road and and they comply with that. So
11	having a study and knowing exactly the impact that
12	all our neighborhoods will have in in not just the
13	central district is very important. Now we will
14	proceed and work with with the comedian and with
15	with you Mr. Chairman just to make sure that we
16	look at numbers that will not affect adversely our
17	segment of the industry and also a consistent
18	growth. Because there's other businesses around our
19	businesses. We have dealerships. We have
20	brokerages. We have insurance companies. There is
21	many people around restaurants and and and all
22	these people basically we move the the
23	transportation industry moves New York that way
24	it's it's important that we looked into it
25	

1COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION2002carefully and... and as I said we work together to3find a common ground.

STEVEN SHANKER: Mr. Chairman to answer 4 your question I believe that the study should be 5 done because information is a tool. And it's a tool 6 7 to be used to the benefit of the city and the entire industry. Now I think we can all agree that 8 we have different ideas about what abused this 9 phenomenon of the growth of Uber from zero to 10 11 18,000 in such a short period of time. But one 12 thing is for sure is that there is a vast 13 difference between Uber and the black car industry 14 and the Livery industry. It has always been that 15 way and will always be that way. And the reality 16 is... is that it would be unfair as opposed to what 17 Commissioner Joshi had recommended that there be a blanket limitation on all bases it would be unfair 18 to place the same limitations on the black cars as 19 20 would the Liveries are not the ones that are creating, causing, and really contributing to the 21 2.2 problem.

CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: So it is fair tosay that you support the two bills with the

1	
	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 201
2	understanding that we will continue conversation on
3	some details involving… [cross-talk]
4	STEVEN SHANKER: Absolutely.
5	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
6	CIRA ANGELES: And price surging.
7	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
8	AVIK KABESSA: And base agreements.
9	CIRA ANGELES: And base agreements.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So I just wanted
11	to actually just ask a very quick technical
12	question just because it's in in helping us
13	understand the industry a little bit better. The…
14	the issue of Workers Compensation… can you speak to
15	the…just a little bit of how workers comp is
16	covered by Livery bases versus black car bases
17	versus Uber or or Lyft or or what have you.
18	AVIK KABESSA: Well Uber is a black car
19	base so
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right but
21	[cross-talk] I don't know if it… [cross-talk]
22	AVIK KABESSA: By the way
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:traditional car
24	versus Uber or whatever I just… I don't know how…
25	how does workers comp work [cross-talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 202
2	AVIK KABESSA: So
3	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:system?
4	AVIK KABESSA:Uber Black car
5	companies and luxury limousine company bases have
6	to contribute two and a half percent of their… of
7	their receipts towards the black car fund which is
8	a workers compensation fund.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Two and a half
10	percent.
11	AVIK KABESSA: Two two and a half
12	percent. On the Livery side there is a… it's… it's
13	the… the New York State Livery worker's
14	compensation fund which is more of a catastrophic
15	fund whereby the base pays a certain amount per
16	year per vehicle. And that covers the driver for a
17	the driver gets covered either by the fund or by no
18	fault. So the driver is covered no matter what but
19	workers compensation coverage goes on catastrophic
20	injuries by the fund or by no fault which by the
21	way just passed a law in Albany this session to
22	match the the benefits of no fault with the the
23	worker's comp. So the driver is covered but the
24	base is responsible for that.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 203
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. And with
3	the… with… with like Uber or Black Car base
4	it's two and a half percent across the board
5	AVIK KABESSA: Goes to the black car
6	fund yes.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Got it. And that
8	comes from the base?
9	AVIK KABESSSA: That comes from the
10	passenger… from the passenger to Uber to the black
11	car fund.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Is it coming out
13	is it is that coming out of is that deducted from
14	what the driver's making?
15	AVIK KABESSA: Overall well depends how
16	you define it but yes.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Okay thank
18	you.
19	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member
20	Alright just some for some clarity regarding that
21	you said it's two and a half percent to the black
22	car fund. You made a statement that the driver's
23	owned a base right? They're real partners. And what
24	I experience in in Brooklyn that's exactly how it
25	works. So if they own the base they're still paying
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 204
2	into this New York state workers comp thing how
3	much do you pay into that a year per car?
4	AVIK KABESSA: It's 220 dollars… 220
5	dollars per year per car.
6	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: 220 dollars per
7	car a year.
8	AVIK KABESSA: Yes.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER REYOSO: Okay. Alright
10	just wanted to make sure I knew that. I just have a
11	couple of questions. A statement here was made that
12	Uber is not growing new jobs. They're just taking
13	the ones that we… they're just moving the drivers
14	around. In making that statement it kind of speaks
15	to the fact that there should be no increase in new
16	vehicles either or new drivers. I it speaks to
17	stabilizing force. Nothing has gone up. There are
18	no new jobs. All we are doing is moving them from
19	you know 777 to Uber. So… just doesn't make sense
20	there when we're seeing a a huge increase right. I
21	just want to make sure that you understand making
22	that statement speaks to no increase in jobs in
23	and therefore no increase in in truck in
24	congestion. I mean in in [cross-talk]
25	
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 205
2	CIRA ANGELES: No no no I was speaking
3	and I think is out of context to say that what
4	this the panelists made a statement saying that
5	they needed 7.5 75 hundred more new vehicles added
6	to the industry and 10,000 new drivers to create
7	new jobs.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Mm-hmm.
9	CIRA ANGELES: The ads that they're
10	pointing out doesn't speak to that. It speaks about
11	let me get the driver from somewhere else. The
12	vehicles the green borough taxis and the yellows
13	are not affiliated with Uber. They are not. And
14	that is a total incorrect statement because those
15	wheelchair accessible vehicles they might use the
16	app but they are not affiliated. So to say that you
17	creating 10,000 new jobs is incorrect. That's
18	exactly what I said. You created a new wave of
19	almost 20,000 vehicles. That is so true. But to say
20	that you're going to put another 10,000 new much
21	needed jobs in New York City it is completely
22	untrue… [cross-talk] why is it that you have to
23	offer a thousand dollars [cross-talk]
24	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I understand
25	
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 206
2	CIRA ANGELES:from somebody from
3	another… [cross-talk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yes I
5	understand. I just want to… I just want to say… so
6	the drivers that come from your bases don't have
7	vehicles that they moved over to to Uber. They
8	they they leave the job [cross-talk]
9	CIRA ANGELES: Some… okay let me just
10	explain. Some drivers do, some others do not.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: So when they
12	move their vehicle [cross-talk]
13	CIRA ANGELES: Because
14	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO:from one
15	[cross-talk]
16	CIRA ANGELES:my driver might have
17	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: So wait one
18	second I gotta [phonetic], I gotta ask the
19	questions. I got a time limit and I want to make
20	sure we're answering the questions the right way.
21	I'm trying to explain to you that what you're
22	saying is that Uber is not creating new jobs. If
23	Uber doesn't create new jobs and doesn't get new
24	people out on the streets and new vehicles on the
25	streets and there is no issue of congestion. What

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 207
2	we're saying is there is an increase in jobs and
3	vehicles
4	CIRA ANGELES: Okay if if if you are
5	so for Uber because your family works there I can
6	understand that.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Oh my goodness.
8	What [cross-talk]
9	CIRA ANGELES: But that's not the point.
10	[cross-talk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: You can call it
12	what you want
13	CIRA ANGELES: We're not discussing
14	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO:I have more
15	family members working for you than I do for Uber.
16	[cross-talk]
17	CIRA ANGELES: Well I I [cross-talk]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Alright because
19	I… [cross-talk] You're making assumptions… [cross-
20	talk]
21	CIRA ANGELES: I am not. You
22	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yes you are.
23	And I just asked you a question and if you can't
24	answer it… [cross-talk]
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 208
2	CIRA ANGELES: This is a public [cross-
3	talk] All I'm saying is…
4	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: And then I just
5	want to say that Uber also supports the bill if
6	there was a carve off for them as well. [cross-
7	talk]
8	AVIK KABESSA: I would like I would
9	like… [cross-talk] to…
10	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I want to make
11	that statement clear.
12	AVIK KABESSA: If if I may
13	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: No you you
14	can't. You don't… you can't right. When I ask a
15	question you answer. And you guys are losing the
16	curtesy of being able to go back and forth this way
17	because you… you want to make it personal. So I
18	just want to let… [cross-talk]
19	CIRA ANGELES: No I did not.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: You did.
21	CIRA ANGELES: No because in in
22	[cross-talk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Whether you
24	want to or not you did… [cross-talk]
25	
	l

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 209
2	CIRA ANGELES:instead of
3	understanding… [cross-talk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: And again I
5	didn't ask you a question so there's no need for
6	the answering right? So when I ask a question you
7	can answer.
8	CIRA ANGELES: Sure.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I agree with
10	you. You made a statement regarding precise
11	moratoriums. That's my point right is that this
12	moratorium that's happening it's too… it… it's too
13	random. It's too arbitrary. It doesn't take into
14	consideration a perfect example is that you're
15	Livery… you don't believe Livery is a problem but
16	they're going to be sucked into this moratorium.
17	And it doesn't make any sense so it's like I want
18	to make sure that we can take time and have a
19	conversation about the right way to impose certain
20	measures to control or to to fix their the
21	problems that we have. I personally think that we
22	should wait for the study to happen thereafter
23	impose it where… where it needs to be. And from
24	what you're showing me good information that they
25	wouldn't impose any limitations on you guys because
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 210
2	you're not the problem. So my my measure is wait
3	for the study, then let it happen, then we impose
4	the restrictions. At this moment you guys are going
5	to be sucked into the same plan. And anybody would
6	support the study. Everybody agrees across the
7	board. And you guys are saying you would you would
8	agree to the moratorium as long as it's only Uber
9	that's affected.
10	AVIK KABESSA: If I may I would like to
11	do… to… to do three things about… First of all
12	creating job I think there's a confusion between
13	creating job to creating the revenue for those
14	jobs. I think when Uber's talking about creating
15	job they're talking about more drivers on the road.
16	And I think what the the council member spoke
17	about is who gets the revenue. So and in all
18	honesty I do think that Uber created some
19	additional demand but not all of the demand. But I
20	do think they have reduced a lot of full time
21	revenue source to part time revenue source. Now to
22	answer your question the reason we did this is to
23	say there's a 33 percent per year growth on black
24	car industry which is eight times more than the
25	average visitor… [cross-talk]
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 211
2	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: But then an
3	increase in in in the amount of vehicles in the
4	street here by… by a lot. You have… [cross-talk]
5	AVIK KABESSA: No no trust me if it is
6	one thing I do is I give correct numbers.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Right.
8	AVIK KABESSA: And they grew from 2011
9	to 2015 by 133 percent averaging percent now 30,
10	33 percent. If the moratorium will be and the study
11	will be only carved out it will take shorter time,
12	will be much more precise and may resume activity
13	faster. That is what I will suggest.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: And I agree by
15	the way. With… your statement is what I'm trying to
16	let the chair know and everyone know that that's
17	what I agree with. We don't need to have this
18	blanket arbitrary. I think just random selection of
19	one one portion of the of the entire industry to
20	suffer all the consequences of the change in
21	markets. It's very specific to maybe just Uber. But
22	shouldn't we let the study determine that and then
23	make take actions thereafter? Not put a moratorium
24	on anyone, you or Uber. And that's what I'm saying.
25	Now right now you're being sucked into this to

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 212
2	this moratorium unjustly so I'm just saying that
3	your logic and my logic actually aligned itself
4	even though you don't think so. The next thing
5	the… the last thing I want to say Council Member if
6	you could give me a second it seems like what
7	you're… you're… another statement that you made is
8	that the market is somewhat stabilizing itself,
9	that you had drivers that were with Uber that are
10	coming back to you. And and there it seems like a
11	normalization that's happening within the market
12	all on its own which I don't think is is a
13	perfect model. I know it's notit's not going to be
14	perfect but the market itself is saying if it
15	doesn't work here if they were making more money
16	with you guys everyone's going to come back.
17	Wouldn't that be the logic for for me What you're
18	saying is they make more money with you guys. So
19	they're going to… after the incentive dries up… the
20	thousand dollars they're going to end up coming
21	right back and coming back to your job. So isn't
22	there like a stabilizing force where Uber will
23	eventually stop being able to get folks in and
24	actually lose people to get back to the bases that
25	they they should be working with?
l	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 213
2	CIRA ANGELES: Not if they keep on on
3	giving this perception of the you know the bill of
4	goods that they provide you 10,000 dollars and they
5	promise you. And if you don't make it they will pay
6	you… we don't have that ability so… [cross-talk]
7	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I'm sorry I'm
8	sorry
9	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Council Member
10	Council Member I think my time is over. So
11	[cross-talk]
12	CIRA ANGELES: So we don't have the
13	ability to compete at the same level. So eventually
14	if I keep giving you and giving you and giving you
15	and giving you I I don't know how the market will
16	continue to play itself. I mean there's a lot of
17	drivers that are new to the industry. There's other
18	drivers that understand the benefits of being in a
19	community car service. We collectively have
20	upgraded our game. We have platforms and we have
21	apps. We provides the same on demand service so
22	they also see that we have incorporated different
23	technologies into the system so they see the
24	benefits of being with friends and also the
25	partnership offers that many of our bases have

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 214
2	offered to them which will never be seen in in a
3	structural platform like it… you know the… the app
4	companies that we have in the city.
5	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Thank
6	you everyone. Now I'm calling the next panel
7	Iiyana Delemen [phonetic], Ken Pokalsky, and Matt
8	Mincieli.
9	[background comments]
10	KENNETH POKALSKY: Good afternoon. My
11	name's Ken Pokalsky. I'm the vice president of
12	government affairs for the Business Council of New
13	York State. Little bit about who we are and who I
14	am and why we're here. The business council is New
15	York's largest employer statewide employer
16	association. We represent about 24 hundred private
17	sector employers across New York State about a
18	million private sector workers. We've been around
19	for 101 years. We started out as an upstate
20	manufacturing association. But our membership is
21	is very diversified includes new economy businesses
22	including Uber and Lyft. We are very interested in
23	state and local regulations and affect private
24	sector and growth industries. So we… we're very
25	interested in the proposals here as they apply to
I	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 215
2	the business in New York City and the potential for
3	them to set precedent for the jurisdictions in the
4	state. My background is I've been doing government
5	affairs work for about 30 years. 15 of those years
6	were exclusively in the environmental regulatory
7	field. So I spent a lot of time working on
8	environmental impact statements, regulatory
9	proposals, etcetera. So I wanted to focus my
10	comments today on Intro 847. The study legislation
11	talk a little bit about the… the… the moratorium
12	bill. In our view the legislation is proposed. It
13	it's not an environmental impact statement as those
14	are laid out under state environmental quality
15	review act. It's only looking at the effects.
16	There's no mention in the bill of benefits and
17	seeker by statute as a balancing of of impacts and
18	benefits; economic benefits, consumer benefits, and
19	others and requires agencies to adapt or look at
20	mitigation measures that reduce the impacts while
21	preserving the benefits. So truly not a a EIS
22	truly not a congestion study because only looking
23	at one potential set of factors that are affecting
24	congestion in in New York is really not a
25	transportation industry study as well. So we do… we

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 216
2	do oppose the bill as proposed because we do think
3	it's far too narrow, far too narrow to the answer
4	the types of questions that are being asked today.
5	The… the type of study that the commissioners were
6	talking about in the first panel to us sounded far
7	more comprehensive. We don't know all of the
8	specifics of it but you really do need to look at
9	the… the wide range of issues at play here. And
10	importantly you have to look at the benefits
11	including the benefits to residential consumers, to
12	businesses, to visitors to the state, especially
13	benefits to those people in the outer boroughs. And
14	very briefly on the second bill we're very
15	concerned that these bills if adopted together
16	really put the cart before the horse. We're not
17	familiar with any… any similar regulatory issue
18	where the activity was banned before a study was
19	concluded to to make specific recommendations
20	right action forward. So we don't think a
21	moratorium is is warranted especially considering
22	that the… you know the evidence we've heard today
23	that traffic into the city is actually declining.
24	It seems counterintuitive that the growth of Uber's
25	businesses is somehow contributing solely

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 217
2	contributing or significantly contributing to
3	congestion. There's other factors that have to to
4	look at… have to be looked at as well. Thank you.
5	[cross-talk]
6	MATT MINCIEL: Councilor Rodriguez to
7	the members of the committee thank you for the
8	opportunity to testify in opposition to bill 842
9	and 847. My name is Matt Mincieli. I'm the
10	northeast region executive director for TechNet.
11	TechNet is a member association made up of senior
12	executives and CEOs from over 70 of the nation's
13	leading technology and innovation companies. I'm
14	here today to express my concern over this proposed
15	legislation which unfortunately represents yet
16	another attack on the innovation industry in New
17	York City. Faced with our extraordinary demand for
18	ways to move around the city New York City's
19	leadership should encourage options like Uber and
20	Lyft to continue providing more rider options to
21	New Yorkers. Technology innovations like those
22	offered by Uber are the solution to congestion and
23	not the problem. As one example of the benefits
24	that innovation technologies can bring Uber has
25	committed to taking one million cars off the road

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 218
2	through the the creation of a carpooling service
3	as you heard earlier today. But the city passes
4	these proposals that strike at the heart of the
5	free market principals of supply and demand. The
6	unintended consequences will make rides less
7	reliable, more expensive, and New Yorkers will be
8	discouraged from using the service. Right now over
9	2.7 million cars use the toll free bridges leading
10	into New York City every day to say nothing of the
11	cars that use toll bridges and tunnels yet this
12	proposal from the council singles out for-hire
13	vehicles as a problem when tech when TechNet sees
14	their technology as a solution. Congestion is
15	certainly an issue that should be studied but the
16	city should not be targeting such a small subset of
17	the industry, much less singling out a single
18	company and restricting its ability to respond to
19	consumer demand. New York City has over 10,000 plug
20	in vehicles right now, electric plug in vehicles
21	and the number is growing. Should the city put a
22	ban on plug in stations and discourage electric
23	vehicle manufactures from coming to this study in
24	order to finish their environmental impact study?
25	Of course not. The total number of FHVs affiliated
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 219
2	with bases that use Uber and Lyft make up about one
3	percent of vehicles on the streets and those
4	vehicles are never on all on the road at the same
5	time that they are being targeted as the main cause
6	of congestion simply due to rapid growth and
7	popularity. The tech community is important sector
8	in the New York City economy and could be leveraged
9	to solve the problem of congestion that has plagued
10	New York City for decades not have their growth
11	limited. On behalf of TechNet I respectfully urge
12	you to vote against the cap on vehicles and work
13	with the tech community to come up with innovative
14	solutions to the transportation problems New York
15	City is currently facing. Thank you for your time.
16	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Hello. Thank you
17	Chairman Rodriguez and members of the council for
18	for having us today. So I want to start out by just
19	dispensing very quickly. I know we're… we've all
20	been here a long time with the idea we absolutely
21	support the idea of a study. We think environmental
22	impacts are very important and we do try to tailor
23	a lot of our businesses to do more of the… the real
24	sharing of ride services that Commissioner Joshi in
25	particular was was commenting on being a benefit.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 220
2	That's something that's a priority for us all over
3	the country and in fact we've only been doing it
4	able to do it for about six months here and 30
5	percent of our rides are shared rides shared by
6	multiple passengers. So that's a priority for us.
7	You know we're often lumped in I think with in the
8	same group as Uber and I do appreciate that we are
9	testifying separately for that reason. But in the
10	New York City market size is a real factor here and
11	also there are other differences in the companies
12	but Uber as far as we can tell has about 90 percent
13	of the market share at this point. We have less
14	than seven percent of the market share. So when
15	we're lumped together in the terms of in those
16	terms it's a very different environment where we're
17	really a small company in this market trying to
18	grow trying to see what other services we can
19	offer. So just to… that's my preface on talking
20	about the moratorium. I've made this argument to
21	any of you before of unintended consequences of
22	these things. We know that these are unintended
23	consequences but we just want to flag this again
24	as as a a major concern of the type of caps that
25	have been proposed during this moratorium. We again
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 221
2	as as we can verify if we if we work with the TLC
3	to verify it Uber holds about 90 percent of the
4	market share in the for-hire vehicle industry or
5	the black car part of the for-hire vehicle industry
6	at this point measured by the number of rides
7	provided. According to… trust legal precedent that
8	is a monopoly. And it's not by accident. Here's a
9	quote from an article just two weeks ago by one of
10	Uber's most outspoken investors, Chris Sacca. This
11	is a winner take all game and Travis will take all.
12	And Mr. Sacca's referring to Travis Kalaick, the
13	Uber CEO who I… I believe Councilmember Levin had
14	also referenced earlier. So the… here's why the
15	council's proposal for the moratorium as written
16	would preserve and extend Uber's grip on the market
17	while Uber maintains the 90 percent share as far as
18	we can tell although the the TLC will be helpful
19	in verifying these… Lyft's current market share's
20	approximately seven. Again we… we will need more
21	verification the studies on these numbers other
22	players in the for-hire vehicle market who want to
23	grow and provide service to New York City's
24	residents have even lower market share. And the
25	current limits for growth the way that it's
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 222
2	currently written the percentages of the current
3	size would prevent any material shift. So it
4	freezes the market in place. And that's going to be
5	a real problem in terms of how the companies then
6	are able to grow, what alternative services can be
7	provided, and what what the impact then is on the
8	drivers on passengers and on the nature of the
9	services that can really grow and develop in this
10	market. Thank you.

11 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: First of all I welcome you know the business community to come and 12 bring your input on this important conversation. 13 14 ...know putting the record straight. First of all 15 like we know going after any particular sector and you know if we ... if there's any different data in 16 17 fact then we can you know have that conversation 18 though. So like I New York City what we are sayig 19 and you heard the yellow taxi industry they are 20 subject to do environmental impact before a person who took the risk buy a medallion for 750 thousand 21 dollar for a million dollars they take that car in 2.2 23 the street. A livery base that is open they also 24 have to do environmental study. So if we will be saying that no one is asked to do environmental 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 223
2	study in a particular corporation is the only one
3	that are asked to do the environmental study. Then
4	the argument will have merit saying okay but you
5	are asking X base a corporation form environmental
6	study when the rest of the player they are not. So
7	this is the first thing. Like this is no about we
8	going after any particular stakeholder this great
9	business. This is about being sure that everyone
10	rule… play the same rules. You know and this is
11	something that people should understand it. Like
12	when when someone buy yellow taxi medallion they
13	invest a million dollar day by day is closer to do
14	the pick up at the JFK and LaGuardia airport.
15	That's why the invest a huge amount of money. So as
16	a former taxi that I was know I cannot pretend that
17	I will be allowed to go to JFK and take the
18	passenger from there when all I invested was 6,000
19	dollar for insurance and you know of 10,000
20	dollars. So you know to be clear you know I
21	appreciate again like it is important to hear your
22	voice. And as we move forward we want to get your
23	input. And we wanted to be part of this
24	conversation. But… and I know that Uber you know if
25	they're the one that believe that we… today you

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 224
2	know crying like baby it because you know that
3	there's something wrong that they been doing. And I
4	even believe that they know what they doing. They
5	know that they would not get everything but when
6	you come with a big portfolio of 43 billion dollar
7	corporation of course like you are acting like
8	negotiating a contract. You want everything knowing
9	that you will no get everything that at least you
10	would get a 50 percent. I believe we acting fair to
11	Uber, Lyft, and everyone when the only argument we
12	making is guys follow the same rule are… rule and
13	regulation of the rest. We are not treating anyone
14	different from the other. It's all about New York
15	City is a place where we believe provide
16	opportunity for everyone, people risk when they
17	invest, they should be supported but everyone
18	should play by the same rule.
19	UNKNOWN MALE 2: If I can two things
20	If the… if the… the yellow cab medallion studies
21	are environmental impact studies under the… under
22	the state environmental quality review the act the
23	study proposed in this bill is nothing like that
24	nothing like that. Seeker is far more expansive in
25	in looking at both the cost and the benefits,

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 225
2	looking at the potential mitigations for the
3	adverse impacts in making a decision that balances
4	those. There's nothing in Intro 847 that requires a
5	study to look at at the at the ben the economic
6	benefits, the social benefits which are specific
7	requirements of seeker. So sure if you want to play
8	by the same rules if you're comparing a seeker
9	study to this study they're very different. That
10	was my point. And my second the point is in the
11	context of a proposal here that's an environmental
12	study. I keep hearing a lot of talk about economic
13	regulation. They are two different things.
14	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Look 176 in
15	couple years ago I the previous administration the
16	fire departments they wanted to build a temporary
17	station, small one on 173 rd and in across a
18	park. And the fire department and it's like only a
19	first floor small building, nothing peranent. And
20	the fire department has to get… when they went to
21	get… apply for the variable they needed to do
22	environmental study. And in the study it was not
23	the major thing that they had to prove. But at
24	least they had to ask respond questions such as
25	how much noise will they make. Will they with that
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 226
2	change the landscape of that community. So yes like
3	when we look at the study of course this is
4	legitimate question on the environmental study.
5	This is study will be focused on traffic, air
6	quality, noise, and public health. So when we see
7	any industry growing so faster as the black car and
8	the for-hire vehicle is moving I think it is fair
9	that we as a city say we need to collect those data
10	for first. Let's see how this that corporation is
11	moving and to have a require a re a requirement
12	which is they should do… why the yellow taxi have
13	to do environmental study for one car. Why did base
14	have to do environmental study? So let's get rid of
15	any requirement for environmental study to the
16	Livery and Yellow and anyone from Uber Lyft, and
17	whoever or the new player they should do the same
18	environmental study that the yellow and Livery are
19	asked to make too.
20	UNKNOWN MALE 2: Sure. And my only point
21	is it's not the same study. It's not the same
22	study. This is not the… the… the factors being…
23	that are laid out in Intro 847 are not the factors
24	that you would look at under a study under same
25	environmental quality review act. It's far more

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 227
2	limited far more limited. And that's my point if
З	there's going to be a comparable study make them
4	comparable.
5	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay thanks. You
6	want to say something nobody else?
7	UNKNOWN FEMALE 2: Chairman Rodriguez do
8	you mind if I just clarify? I want to just say
9	again that we are not opposed to a study. We think
10	a comprehensive study is good. We think database
11	decision making is good and we're out there in
12	other parts of the country trying to make sure that
13	we're having a positive environmental impact on
14	cities. So that's something that just to be very
15	clear we are in support of.
16	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: And and of
17	course at the council we do business in
18	professional way. So we are… we are always ready to
19	debate and how conversation get the feedback. And
20	this is only year… the first year in. So we will
21	like to continue a conversation with all the stake
22	player the stakeholder. What we don't like is when
23	someone in this case from Uber targets a particular
24	council member because he or she introduce a bill
25	that they feel that this is something that they

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 228
2	don't like. We prefer to continue the channel of
3	conversation. And let's talk about the… put a…
4	let's put a the table you know in the process
5	before these… between the hearing and the day we
6	took it to the vote probably you can convince… that
7	we should make some changes in in in this bill.
8	Council Member.
9	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Thank you. We
10	really appreciate that. We
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Sorry I just had
12	a quick question following up sir on on your point
13	about seeker and and and the language in this
14	bill. The… a… a seeker requirement is… is
15	significantly more. So if the complaint is that
16	this study is is onerous in some way wouldn't
17	wouldn't something that was a larger study that had
18	a a you know that in had greater limitations
19	and and was more expansive wouldn't that wouldn't
20	that be is that would you support that? I mean is
21	that something you would support?
22	KENNETH POKALSKY: I didn't I didn't
23	say it was more onerous, I said I didn't say this
24	is less onerous I said it's one sided. It sounded
25	like the… the study that was being… and I don't
I	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 229
2	know the parameters of the study that the two
3	commissioners spoke about this morning. But just
4	from their description it seemed far broader than
5	the study that's described in in this bill. This
6	bill lists I believe four environmental factors as
7	the requirements of the study. It doesn't look at
8	is there… what is the impact. I… a lot of talk
9	about whether it's creating new jobs, new revenue
10	streams, shifting don't talk any about the
11	economic benefits or or or adverse economic
12	benefits. Doesn't talk about benefits to the… the
13	consumers which should be a an important factor
14	here. It's not those things aren't even mentioned
15	in the bill. So my point about if we're if we're
16	doing a the same a comparable study of this
17	industry versus other things that are required
18	this statute… this proposal doesn't set forth a
19	comparable study, that's my point.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Oh
22	sorry Council
23	COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Good afternoon.
24	Thank you Chair. I would like to thank Chair
25	Rodriguez for allowing me to participate in today's

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 230
2	hearing. I unfortunately am not on the
3	transportation committee but I felt it was
4	important to participate today in my capacity as
5	the chair of the Council's Committee on Small
6	Business. I try to consider… take consistent
7	positions here at the city council in support of
8	the interest of my constituents. And in this case
9	workers in small businesses as I understand them.
10	Yesterday I spoke out in favor of lifting the cap
11	on the permits for street vendors because I
12	understand that vending is an important way that
13	New Yorkers meet… make ends meet and support their
14	families so… so is driving a Livery cab. This is a
15	way that hundreds of my constituents, most of them
16	immigrants, support their families. I don't want to
17	see the council do anything to interfere with their
18	livelihoods or to… tailor choices of individual
19	constituents have who have chosen to use their
20	companies to address transportation needs. What
21	I've seen in Bed-Stuy and Crown Heights is that New
22	Yorkers are benefitting from the recent growth in
23	this industry. They're benefitting due to jobs that
24	have been created and those that have been
25	improved. Drivers are earning more with more

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 231
2	flexibility and they're using that income in time
3	to fulfil their goals for themselves and their
4	families. Riders have benefited from this growth as
5	well. Constituents who like to call up their local
6	car service can still do that. But others who've
7	been frustrated by the difficulty of getting a
8	reliable ride home or to another destination now
9	have more choices. I do not believe that there's
10	any legitimate justification for this council to
11	act to interrupt this marker that is changing in
12	generally positive ways. When New Yorkers started
13	lining up at shake shock we didn't place a
14	moratorium on burgers while we studied the health
15	impacts. Even though the popularity of Shake Shack
16	might have hurt some Five Guys or other McDonald's
17	or other restaurants like McDonalds. I would
18	absolutely support a plan to study the impacts that
19	growth in this industry are having on the city but
20	I cannot support imposing draconian limits on
21	growth while a study takes place. The Federal Trade
22	Commission has weighed in on similar proposals and
23	other jurisdictions and have counseled against
24	imposing limits that restrict consumer choice. I've
25	asked them to examine the current proposal and hope
I	I

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 232 2 that they will weigh in on this proposal before the 3 bill advances and that will need their advice not 4 to regulate growth out out of the thriving market. Thank you. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Now 7 we're calling the next panel. Iris... [phonetic], Jonathan Gross, Eric Rothman, and Rami Sigal 8 [phonetic], Seagull. You may begin. 9 10 JONATHAN GROSS: Yes, I was going to say good morning but we've been here a while so good 11 12 afternoon Council Members. My name is Jonathan 13 Gross and I'm the Chief Operating Officer for Tower 14 Auto. Tower Auto's primary business model is to 15 lease cars to the TLC licensed drivers affiliated 16 with the Livery and Black Car Industry. It's a

17 little different perspective that we've been 18 talking about for the last three hours. Many of these drivers are immigrants that came to the 19 20 United States to live the American dream and to have the opportunity to become successful and 21 2.2 productive citizens. I am speaking here today to 23 ask you to reconsider your proposal 842 and not immediately put a cap on driver growth. In the 24 early 18 hundreds the gentleman standing behind me, 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 233
2	Thomas Jefferson, our third president, decided to
3	build a system of roads, rivers, and railroads. It
4	was immigrants that build these railroads and by
5	the late 18 hundreds railroads move people and
6	goods between the cities. By the early 1900s it was
7	the electric steal car and by 1930s half the
8	families in the US owned vehicles. Most of the
9	transportation industry, including the bridge which
10	is not too far from here, our Brooklyn Bridge was
11	built on the backs of people that came to this
12	country wanting an opportunity. In fact the owners
13	of Tower Auto came to the city with the same dream
14	and have spent the last 20 years realizing their
15	dream. First we believe that a cap on growth
16	immediately on every base in New York City will
17	hurt so many of the many people that have come to
18	the city to build a successful life. Additionally
19	this cap will have a domino effect on many specific
20	New York City industries we've heard before
21	restaurants, insurance industry as well, and the
22	local economy as a whole. Secondly tower has leased
23	cars to thousands of drivers that have become
24	successful independent owner operators who pay
25	their taxes and are not dependent on any of the
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 234
2	federal social programs. All the leases that we do
3	primarily are lease to own programs and the driver
4	can then buy the car for a dollar at the end of the
5	lease term. We have leases maturing on a monthly
6	basis and an immediate cap on growth would become
7	effective these drivers will not be able to acquire
8	a TLC diamond on their own and they will not be
9	able to use their car to provide for their
10	families. Thirdly and importantly tower has
11	hundreds of cars on order and have planned to order
12	hundreds more from auto manufacturers that build
13	these cars in these United States. If we do not
14	have driver growth we do not have new we do not
15	need new vehicles. And this will impact the auto
16	industry to a small level which is having its best
17	year in many years. Tower as I mentioned previously
18	has been in business for 20 years and has strong
19	relationships with many of the black car bases and
20	these drivers. And these bases will be
21	significantly hurt by an immediate cap in driver
22	growth. We do support the environmental study and
23	we may even want to consider supporting proposal
24	842 but we… we have so many things that are in
25	process at this time that we would prefer that the

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 235
2	council defer the decision until the study has more
3	information and more data to make a more accurate
4	decision. As the Chief Operating Officer of Tower
5	Auto I am responsible for managing finance
6	technology and operations. And we have in
7	increased our staff by over 30 people over the last
8	12 months many of whom are single mothers, are
9	hourly and commission paid employees. And we have
10	planned to recruit and employ many more people over
11	the next 12 months. However an immediate cap on
12	growth we will be forced to implement a hiring
13	freeze and may have to lay off many of the current
14	staff. This immediate cap on growth will hurt the
15	auto industry. We believe it will hurt the New
16	York… New York City Livery and Black Car industry
17	and many New York City current and potential
18	employees. We understand the need and support the
19	need to analyze and evaluate the cause of city
20	congestion and any environmental impact. However
21	the council should consider utilizing other means
22	to measure congestion that are more appropriate at
23	this time without an immediate cap on driver growth
24	that if implemented will cause significant
25	financial impact… certainly in our company, Tower

1COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION2362Auto and the local economy. Thank you for your time3and consideration.

4 RAMI SEAGULL: Good afternoon guys. My name is Rami Seagull and I represent the ... the 5 6 American Lease Group. We are ... we are as well a 7 lease to own company in the Livery and Black ... Black Car industry of New York City. Lease to own company 8 give drivers without credit or money for down 9 10 payment and chance to own a new car and finish paying for it in as little as three years. The 11 12 lease to own segment grew tremendously a few years 13 ago after the TLC started to inspect FHV vehicles 14 every six months in all cars that couldn't pass the 15 inspection have to be upgraded. A lease to own cars 16 a title and registered on the leasing company name 17 until the lease is over. At that point the driver 18 can buy their car for one dollar. There are over 6,000 cars that are currently financed by the lease 19 20 to owned companies in New York City. About a third 21 of these cars will finish the leases every year. 2.2 This represent over 2,000 driver that will finish 23 the leases this year and will own these cars. This... this driver will need to transfer the car on their 24 25 name and register them with the DMV and get a new

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 237
2	FHV license. If this bill will pass over 2,000
3	driver that will finish that will finish their
4	leases this year will find themselves in a
5	situation that they own a car that cannot be
6	registered with the TLC. If they need to obtain a
7	new FHV license these 2,000 driver will become
8	unemployed. Other drivers that own old cars that
9	cannot pass the six month TLC inspection cars that
10	are 10 years old, even older this… if this driver
11	have good credit and few thousand dollars they can
12	buy a new car and transfer the same FHV license
13	they already have. But for the drivers that don't
14	have good credit and thousands of dollars there's
15	no solution. They will need to rent a car in a
16	market that is short on TLC cost for rent already.
17	Many of the driver would lose their ability to earn
18	a living as well. Our company will employ 24 people
19	with… but our plan was to get 10 more in the next
20	12 months. We are many more jobs through vendors
21	and subcontract. I'm afraid that if this new bill
22	will pass we will need to cut significant amount of
23	employees and there will be no need for sales
24	people equipment installers etcetera. The app
25	companies like Uber, Lyft are big and rich. This

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 238
2	bill would not stop the growth. They will be able
3	to put millions into recruiting drivers for small
4	community bases by offer them great incentive. The
5	loser will be the small community bases that will
6	not be able to replenish the driver by adding new
7	drivers at the communities in Brooklyn, Queens, and
8	the Bronx that will have fewer cars to serve them.
9	I ask the community to do the right thing, to
10	conduct the study first and then decide what need
11	to be done. Make sure that FHV cars are the cause
12	of the problem before you make them the solution.
13	Please don't make thousand of driver small
14	businesses and their employees, small community car
15	service, and the resident of the outer boroughs the
16	collateral damage of this war.
17	ERIC ROTHMAN: Good afternoon. My name
18	is Eric Rothman. I'm President and CEO of Fast
19	Track Leasing LLC. Fast Track is a small business
20	located in Queens New York. We opened our doors in
21	October of last year to serve the New York City
22	Vehicle for Hire drivers by providing them with
23	turn-key lease to own vehicles and short term
24	rentals. Beyond sourcing and financing the vehicles
25	we take the cars through TLC and DMV, install any
	l

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 239
2	required equipment, and provide any necessary
3	insurance for drivers and the vehicles. We
4	currently have approximately 200 long term leases
5	on the road and 50 plus rental vehicles and 75 more
6	in various stages of TLC and DMV. Given the
7	vibrancy of the current New York City market
8	created by consumer demand for vehicle for-hires
9	we've been able to hire over a dozen employees
10	already and are on track to hire more this year and
11	next. Our employees are reflective of our customer
12	base and include men and women that are Latin
13	American, Indian, Pakistani, Chinese, and Russian.
14	All of our employees earn above the minimum wage.
15	We not only provide jobs but contribute to the tax
16	base and now lease three parcels of land in New
17	York City and seeking a fourth. Additionally we
18	have helped put well over 200 mostly minority or
19	immigrant TLC drivers and vehicles for which we
20	have paid significant fees to the TLC ad DMV. The
21	proposal current currently before the city council
22	on limits to base growth is extremely concerning to
23	my business, its employees, FHV drivers and the
24	consumers they serve. The whole premise seems
25	upside down. You do not ease traffic congestion by

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 240
2	or pollution by limiting growth of vehicle for
3	industry. On the contrary cities around the world
4	tackle the issue of congestion. Their their answer
5	is to discourage private vehicles from entering the
6	city's center through fees and tolls while adding
7	more mass transit and more vehicles for-hire. One
8	vehicle for-hire can fill up its car all day and
9	shuttle people around the city instead of many
10	separate individual driving private cars around the
11	city adding congestion, pollution, and parking
12	problems. Beyond the lack of logic to the proposal
13	its passage would have a chilling effect by putting
14	a strangle hold on any growth of the entire vehicle
15	for-hire industry while a yearlong study is done.
16	Whatever happened to due process and the notion of
17	innocent before proven guilty? This immediate
18	moratorium on growth punishes the entire industry
19	before it even determined if any harm is being
20	caused by them. This proposal is an attack on small
21	businesses. It's anti-growth, anti-labor, anti-
22	consumer and will not only hurt the hardworking men
23	and women who wish to drive a vehicle for-hire but
24	hurt consumers by limiting their choice when
25	travelling. The propose, this proposal negatively

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 241
2	impacts our local consumers, especially those in
3	the underserved outer boroughs the tourists the
4	city thrives upon and threatens the survival of
5	base owners, FHV drivers, and companies like Fast
6	Track. My business would suffer irreparable harm if
7	this proposal is adopted in its current form. We
8	field on average 75 inquiries a week from drivers,
9	many looking to join a base for the first time. FHV
10	vehicle bases have successfully worked hard to
11	establish demand for their product by providing
12	superior service and competitive pricing. The
13	proposed artificial cap on growth would lead to
14	disastrous results for bases. Those that serve the
15	FHV industry such as Fast Track and limit options
16	for gainful employment for those men and women
17	looking to become FHV drivers or otherwise work in
18	the industry. Due to this uncertainty we have no
19	choice but to halt our hiring plans, reevaluate our
20	current staffing for potential downsizing, suspend
21	vehicle purchasing, stop advertising in local
22	media, and suspend any further capital improvements
23	or leasing of more property in the city of New
24	York. Given the tremendous negative unintended
25	consequences that would result from the passage of

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 242
2	the proposal in its current form I respectfully
3	request the city council to reject it for the sake
4	of the broader industry and its consumers. Thank
5	you.
6	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: One thing that I
7	would like to say that we will continue
8	conversation with everyone including you and
9	others. So we will be more than happy to you know
10	continue that dialogue. And at the end we want to
11	come out with a bill that will be good for
12	everyone. Council Member.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Had a question
14	or first off just to let you know that it's not the
15	intention of this legislation to prevent drivers
16	who are currently leasing their vehicles from
17	purchasing those vehicles and continuing to have a
18	TLC license. So I think that that's something that
19	you brought up in your testimony and I [cross-
20	talk] I I want to just make clear that it's not
21	the intention if if if you're assertion is
22	that
23	RAMI SEAGULL: If if I can just answer
24	that?
25	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah.
l	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 243
2	RAMI SEAGULL: There's something
3	there's a technical process that you guys missing
4	over here. The driver is leasing a car. A leasing
5	company all the lease to own companies when we put
6	a car on the road the car is titled under leasing
7	company name. The registration is on our name and
8	the FHV license is on our name.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right.
10	RAMI SEAGULL: When the guy finishes
11	lease those licenses are not transferable. So when
12	the guy finishes lease in essence he finish paying
13	for a car. Now we have a car that he cannot
14	register with the TLC because in essence, in
15	actuality it's a brand new FHV license that he have
16	to obtain. And those not going to be available. So
17	now he have a car that he finish paying for and is
18	[cross-talk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: But the but the
20	license is attached to a registration number. The
21	registration number doesn't change… [cross-talk]
22	RAMI SEAGULL: The license… [cross-talk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:car.
24	RAMI SEAGULL:is attached to to a
25	company to an individual [cross-talk] company.
Į	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 244
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right but it's
3	all it's not attached to the car. There's not a
4	RAMI SEAGULL: It's attach no it's
5	attach to a company. We can… we can…
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:attached to the
7	company and the car no?
8	RAMI SEAGULL: No.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Just just the
10	just the company… [cross-talk]
11	RAMI SEAGULL: The license belong
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:not the car?
13	RAMI SEAGULL:to the company. And it
14	then you attach a car a car to that and you can
15	replace vehicle whenever you need.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Okay that's
17	something that [cross-talk] something that we can
18	continue the conversation with. My my question was
19	how many lease in your companies how many leases
20	go to own annually?
21	RAMI SEAGULL: All of them.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Annually how
23	many?
24	
25	
l	l

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 245
2	RAMI SEAGULL: Annually? It's depend
3	the start the lease and three years later they
4	finish the lease so
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I know I want a
6	just a picture of like what it is annually or
7	monthly. Whatever… whatever's a metric for you.
8	RAMI SEAGULL: In my company you're
9	going to say this coming year probably 600 600
10	only in my company going to finish their lease
11	term.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay so 600 will
13	then go to… Okay. And then you start… How many… how
14	many how many new leases do you start annually?
15	RAMI SEAGULL: In the last few months we
16	been putting about 150 cars a month.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: 150 cars a month
18	that you're starting to get the new licenses
19	RAMI SEAGULL: Yes.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And they're but
21	they're not attached you don't own a base right
22	SO
23	RAMI SEAGULL: No we don't.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Which bases are
25	they are they across the board [cross-talk] Uber?
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 246
2	
	RAMI SEAGULL: All across the board. All
3	across the board. I have I have a lot of them in
4	the community bases. We are… we are a… our main
5	offices are in the Bronx in the south Bronx. So a
6	lot of our customers are the south Bronx, Brooklyn,
7	Queens, as well as Uber.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Alright
9	let's continue to have a conversation in the… in
10	the coming weeks.
11	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: The next panel
12	is Dustin Jones, Jean Ryan, Edith Prentiss,
13	Sotringer Sink [phonetic] You may begin.
14	JEAN RYAN: Hi. I'm Jean Ryan. I'm from
15	Disabled in Action and Taxis For All Campaign.
16	Hardly anybody has said anything about our central
17	question which remains how are we going to get a
18	ride and when will we have an equal chance of
19	getting a for-hire vehicle ride with Uber or any
20	other for-hire company. The impact on people with
21	disabilities is huge and awful. We've made our case
22	many times with examples. I'm not going to go into
23	it now. We want drivers and owners to make money
24	and at the same time we want a ride. Accessibility
25	needs to be accounted for if the numbers of for-

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 247
2	hire vehicles are capped or even if they aren't
3	capped. The impact of very limited or no access to
4	Uber vehicles must be studied along with other
5	factors. As it is we cannot every count on getting
6	a ride the same day in any for-hire vehicle when
7	the same day that we want one and even booking one
8	day ahead of time is impossible. I live in car
9	service heaven where anyone is ambulatory can get a
10	car service in five minutes. But if you're a wheel
11	chair user and do not have a foldable wheelchair
12	and can transfer forget about it. Look we want to
13	ride. And if you think about it you have at least
14	90,000 and rising customers that you are shutting
15	out of your services, all of you. And that's not
16	counting all the tourist and business people with
17	disabilities who visit New York on a temporary
18	basis. How is it that you are okay with fewer
19	customers? What kind of a business plan is that?
20	That's all my testimony.
21	EDITH PRENTISS: Thank you. I'm sorry.
22	I'm so busy pulling up the phone. It's an important
23	issue… I'm Edith Prentiss. I'm the Chair of the
24	Taxis For All Campaign and the Vice President of
25	Disabled in Action in Metropolitan New York. What's
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 248
2	very interesting… this morning Uber was bringing
3	people in to protest in front of City Hall. I
4	wonder if they offered the same consideration to
5	wheelchair users. Everyone's making a point that oh
6	it's okay through the wave it works. The bottom
7	line is by adding the number of inaccessible
8	vehicles that the TLC has allowed I mean the TLC
9	is enabling these services via… area on the west
10	side, no accessible services, that's okay. Uber,
11	how many thousand cars? No accessible services? Oh
12	you're going to use the green and you're going to
13	use central dispatch, that's okay. This is
14	ridiculous. We are going back to the point of
15	equivalent service. Equivalent service never
16	worked. Equivalent service was in the dark ages
17	where every base who did not have their own
18	accessible vehicle was allowed to contract was
19	forced to contract with about 12 companies that had
20	accessible vehicles. And the bottom line was you
21	had to call your local base, your local base called
22	who they were in contract with, no one knew what
23	the… was and no one got services. If you add the
24	thousands of inaccessible vehicles that that the
25	ride services have added that are now utilizing the
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 249
2	accessible services you are wiping out any access
3	to accessible services for everyone in New York
4	City. And that's not fair. They want to earn lots
5	of money. God bless. But earn it by having
6	accessible vehicles that's required by state,
7	federal, and city law. It's inquired it's required
8	by TLC regs when the TLC isn't enabling them and
9	like closing their eyes. We want accessible
10	services. We support the concept of having the
11	study. But if the end point of the accessible
12	serve… study is going to be equivalent service we
13	oppose it. Luckily we start getting our… we start
14	on the road to 50 percent accessible taxis next
15	year and 50 percent in 2020. But the bottom line
16	is if the TLC is not continuing to sell medallions
17	they are going to force owners of inaccessible
18	vehicles to become accessible. It's a little
19	uncomfortable for us as a community because we're
20	the ones who are going to be pointed out and said
21	it's all their fault. I'm tired of being it my
22	fault. I just want a damn ride. Thank you.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER: Well said.
24	UNKNOWN MALE 4: Good afternoon [cross-
25	talk]
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 250
2	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:my district.
3	UNIDENTIFIED MALE 2: Sorry. This on?
4	Good afternoon Chair Rodriguez, all respected
5	Council Members and everybody in the room. My name
6	is I am the driver and owner of [background
7	beeping]. We also drove a cab as and got honor
8	certificate by the mayor of New York City And I'm
9	proud of the participation and still continues to
10	drive. Ladies and gentleman I want to share my
11	experience while driving all these years that how
12	the city change on traffic and affected mine and
13	everybody's life. I start my day about 3:00 p.m.
14	from JFK. It takes me 15 to 20 minutes to just pick
15	up and get out from the terminal because of all FHV
16	vehicles blocking and obstructing the traffic at
17	the terminals that I never… before. For the city
18	passenger the first question by the passenger is
19	how long will it take to get to city sir, answer,
20	one hour 15 to 30 minutes sir. Really, it's about
21	16 mile, how come. Sir, because of traffic. Oh, but
22	traffic should be other way around going in the
23	city. It used to take me 45 to 50 minute to get in
24	midtown as as you know it's a flat fare from JFK
25	to Manhattan, 50 dollars. Now I have to spend more

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 251
2	half an hour in gas to earn the same money. Not
3	only seen the worst… worst traffic ever also
4	frustration by the passengers who want to get their
5	destination quickly. Some of them commented am I
6	sitting a bus or with app company a company driver
7	who just don't know what time which should be
8	taken. As you know they all rely on apps, driving
9	straight, suddenly stop in the middle of the road
10	because they have to response quickly to the app to
11	get the passenger causing traffic and accidents.
12	Some of them driving very slowly especially in the
13	5 th avenue… pass… illegally. Offering them the
14	negotiated rides at a causing the causing the
15	traffic and I seen it every day. It happens every
16	day and it's grown rapidly. Last year also. When I
17	got my license it took me about two weeks and 80
18	hour classes and drove for for three months to get
19	my real license to become a permanent cabbie. In
20	other hand today you can start driving for app
21	companies the same day, no classes needer
22	[phonetic] rather just pay the fee and get FHV
23	license from the taxi and limousine commission of
24	New York City. Even you don't have any clue or
25	knowledge for rule and regulation for the New York
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 252
2	City and start working same as us. Many passenger
3	coming back and telling us a worse experience while
4	the driven by impaired app company drivers. Ladies
5	and gentleman I so proud but now I'm so worried
6	about my job as losing passenger who can't afford
7	the fair because of the traffic and fair has gone
8	up. How will I complete how how I even I will
9	compete with 18,000 more FHV vehicles which
10	stealing my ride every day in front of my eyes and
11	they have no cap on them. Instead we are only work
12	13,580 80 87 cabs. TLC cannot force FHV and us
13	there's one one I want that. If they're forcing
14	through the cabs become 50 percent of the
15	wheelchair accessible why can't they force FHV
16	vehicle to put 50 percent at least. There's nobody
17	asking about that question. And also thank god till
18	now if they would have a data we can calculate it
19	that how many cabs they have. Yes? Okay. Can I can
20	I go on? Okay thank you very muchokay. As you
21	know given by the app companies to the TLC prove
22	that 72 percent of… are in the city it means most
23	of them time the city causing more traffic
24	congestion in one day when a couple got in my cab
25	and said please drive me… drive fast… because my
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 253
2	wife is pregnant and having severe pain and traffic
3	is brutal. I barely made it but I have to use the
4	bus lanes to get there and risk my to getting the
5	summons. Even I had a… a one business guy I drove
6	from JFK to city. He came from Bombay. When he got
7	into midtown and he commended oh is there no
8	difference… Bombay and New York. And I had one lady
9	came in my cab and smoking. And I said please stop
10	smoking. She said… she laugh at me and told me that
11	you smoke more than me because sitting all day in
12	congestion with the traffic.
13	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Okay thank you.
14	I'm sorry.
15	UNIDENTIFIED MALE 2: Okay can I take
16	one second please to finish? Okay. Thank you very
17	much. Really appreciate it.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you for
19	your testimony.
20	UNIDENTIFIED MALE 2: My pleasure.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Very very
22	helpful. Thank you. Thank you Edith.
23	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ:Ethan Gerber
24	Jonathan Matz, Michael Lockland, Sine Crocosweek
25	[phonetic], Rick Russo. You may begin.

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 254
2	ETHAN GERBER: Thank you. Good morning
3	Chairman… Good afternoon Chairman Rodriguez. I'm
4	Ethan Gerber from the Greater New York Taxi
5	Transportation Association, an association of
6	yellow, green, and black car fleets. In other words
7	the regulated portion of the for-hire industries.
8	Thank you Mr. Chairman for having the courage to
9	hold this hearing in the face of the 50 billion
10	dollar California company organized to defeat any
11	disruption of its disruptive business practices. I
12	appreciate the fortitude it takes to hold these
13	hearings. The hearing today is about common sense.
14	It is about fairness, it is about congestion, and
15	the fifth most congested city in America, and about
16	health in the city where more and more children
17	like my daughter suffer from asthma. Despite the
18	Uber publicity machine to facts are self-evident
19	and borne out by all relevant data. Traffic
20	congestion has increased since Uber put 18,000
21	vehicles on the road and two subway ridership has
22	actually increased not decreased in the last two
23	years. This in turns mean that the city should take
24	a breath while there's still air to breathe and
25	study the effect of an unlimited number of e-hail

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 255
2	vehicles flooding the streets. In the past the city
3	would require environmental impact studies every
4	time it put on new medallions. They did so in 2004
5	and required again in 2012. The city knew that even
6	300 more taxis working 24/7 could affect
7	congestion. The city require these studies even
8	though the city itself sold these medallions for
9	one million apiece and had real fiscal incentive to
10	get them on the road. Now Uber says 18,000 more
11	cars that generate no revenue at all for the city
12	should go on with no problem and no study. The fact
13	that public transportation ridership has not
14	decreased also means that the ridership pie is
15	being cut in ever thinning slices for the regulated
16	brick and mortar local businesses and regulated
17	drivers like those in our association represents it
18	means ever decreasing income. This affects the
19	local businesses and the drivers, mechanics,
20	clerical staff, and all those who depend on this
21	income to feed their families. But it is also bad
22	for the Uber and Lyft drivers as well. Today Uber
23	is fighting for the ability to diminish, deplete,
24	and dilute the income of its own drivers. So long
25	as the ridership pie goes to Uber Uber could care
I	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 256
2	less how its drivers earn. Thus if 1,000 rides go
3	to 100 if 1,000 rides go to 100 drivers or split
4	among 500 drivers or even 1,000 drivers Uber gets
5	the same 20 or 30 percent of the income. It's only
6	the drivers who suffer. Moreover in the parts of
7	this country where it has already destroyed the
8	transportation industries it takes a bigger and
9	bigger percentage of the driver's portion. In
10	September 2014 Forbes reported that in California,
11	the birthplace of Uber, Uber unilaterally increased
12	its share from 20 to 25 percent. In 2015 Forbes
13	reported Uber unilaterally took another five
14	percent from each and every driver's fair now going
15	to 30 percent. That is why they want to keep
16	flooding the street with more and more cars as they
17	destroy the local regulated industries the drivers
18	have nowhere else to go and take more let more and
19	more of the fares. This is why there are riots in
20	the streets of London and Paris today. The streets
21	are flooded. It is no longer possible to be a
22	professional driver. Careers are becoming part time
23	jobs. As the career of cab driver comes to an end
24	as the ability to regulate comes to an end the
25	ability to improve and control comes to an end as
I	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 257
2	well. I'll sum up. Technology is ever improving.
3	New Yorkers deserve a for-hire transportation
4	center that is state of the art and user friendly.
5	The legislation today does not provide answers to
6	all the questions that need to be asked in these
7	exciting new times. They simply give the time to
8	ask the questions and get real answers while there
9	is still time to do so and industries left that can
10	be regulated at all. Thank you very much.
11	JONATHAN MATZ: Thank you. Good
12	afternoon. My name is Johnathan Matz. I am with
13	Move New York. Dear Chairman Rodriguez and
14	colleagues thank you for giving us the opportunity
15	to speak to you today. As you know Move New York
16	is… is an initiative with the triple objective of
17	sustainably funding New York's transportation
18	infrastructure, establishing toll equity for the
19	region's drivers and slashing congestion in the
20	city's central business district and its
21	surrounding neighborhoods. Move New York does not
22	take a position per say on setting limits on any
23	particular class of for-hire vehicles but we
24	applaud the committee's efforts to tackle the
25	growing problem of traffic congestion in our
I	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 258
2	already grid locked city. Along with groups such
3	such as Transportation Alternatives we consider
4	taxis to be part of the city's public
5	transportation system occupying a space between
6	private vehicles and the mass transit network.
7	Ideally for-hire vehicles should should provide a
8	mode of transport that allows for an overall
9	reduction in car use and car ownership but at the
10	same time they constitute more than half of all
11	vehicles travelling in the city's economic core
12	with the city's population projected to top nine
13	million before 2030 we can't all be relying on cars
14	either either our own or ones that we hire to get
15	around. Our streets are already over capacity and
16	our pedestrians and bicyclists are paying for it
17	with an unacceptable number of vehicle caused
18	injuries and deaths. To be sure not every trip in
19	the city causes the same amount of congestion or
20	air pollution. For instance for-hire vehicle is
21	travelling between outer parts of the city verse
22	those crossing into or circulating south central
23	park. However there is no question that for-hire
24	vehicles especially with the advent of app a
25	services are contributing to congestion. As you may
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 259
2	know Move New York has proposed one solution to the
3	problem, a toll reform and rebalancing plan for the
4	city's major and and minor crossings. A key
5	element of that plan is adding a surcharge to
6	yellow and green cabs as well as new app based
7	services equipped equipped with GPS technology
8	while they're travelling in the taxi zone south of
9	96 th . Those same classes of for-hire vehicles would
10	be exempt from the city's or MTA's tolls imposed on
11	east river crossings. Under the new… Move New York
12	Fair Plan taxi and app based passengers will be
13	contributing back to the city by help sustaining,
14	by helping to sustain the mass transit system that
15	will always be the mode used by the vast majority
16	of New Yorkers to get around the five boroughs.
17	Paradoxically the surcharge will be a boom to for-
18	hire vehicle drivers, owners, and passengers alike
19	because our plan will lead to 18 to 20 percent
20	faster travel speeds within the CBD, passengers and
21	drivers alike will benefit from faster trips and
22	roughly 14 percent more fare turn turnover meaning
23	that drivers can drop off their fare and pick up
24	the next one more quickly thus adding about five
25	fares to each shift. We look forward to working

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 260
2	with this committee to establish a balanced and
3	thoughtful set of rules and regulations in which
4	for-hire vehicles including app based services
5	remain a crucial part of a citywide system for
6	increasing mobility and yet also contribute to a
7	transportation network with with sharply reduced
8	traffic congestion and robust mass transit. Thank
9	you.
10	RICK RUSSO: Good afternoon Chair
11	Rodriguez, Council Member Levin. I'm Rick Russo,
12	Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
13	at the Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce and I am
14	delivering testimony on behalf of Carlos Scissura,
15	President and CEO of the Chamber. The Brooklyn
16	Chamber of Commerce is a membership based business
17	assistance organization which represents the
18	interests of over 21 hundred member businesses as
19	well as other businesses across the borough of
20	Brooklyn. The Brooklyn Alliance is a not-for-profit
21	economic development organization of the chamber
22	which works to address the needs of businesses
23	through direct business assistance programs. While
24	we support the committee's proposal of a study to
25	examine the impact of growth in both the taxi cab

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 261
2	and for-hire vehicle industries we have our
3	concerns about the accompany proposal to limit the
4	issuance of FHV licenses until the end of the
5	study. We respectfully add that it is unfair to
6	single out FHVs in terms of limiting the issuance
7	of licenses. In its most basic form the FHV system
8	supports entrepreneurial spirit which is crucial to
9	economic development in New York City. A potential
10	entrant in the FHV system is issued a new license
11	by the taxi and limousine commission. Affiliates
12	with a base and essentially goes into business for
13	his or her self. In the face of high unemployment,
14	underemployment, and a fickle job market New
15	Yorkers need uncapped access to FHV licenses as a
16	viable option to maintain families and contribute
17	meaningfully to the economy. Further New Yorkers
18	should have the right to have equal access to their
19	preferred method of transportation. And capping the
20	number of FHVs on the streets would create a
21	painful shortage in reliable transportation options
22	to get around. The FHV option is for great
23	importance, especially in the outer boroughs where
24	the presence of taxi cabs is not as frequent as in
25	Manhattan. As a prime representative of the small
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 262
2	business community in Brooklyn the Brooklyn Chamber
3	of Commerce is dedicated to foster economic
4	development and job creation throughout our borough
5	and within the diverse communities we serve. We
6	believe that creating a business environment that
7	embraces innovation is an essential component to
8	the continued success of the city's economy and the
9	quality of life endured by its residents. At the
10	end of the day we simply want to ensure that these
11	proposals while clearly well intentioned in theory
12	do not in practice unduly and unequally burden FHVs
13	and the transportation industry as a whole. We all
14	share a common goal to provide a safe, reliable,
15	and easy access to transportation services for all
16	New Yorkers. The Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce urges
17	the committee and industry to forge a practical
18	and and expedient way forward. Thank you.
19	MICHAEL O'LOUGHLIN: Good afternoon. My
20	name is Michael O'Loughlin. I speak today for Cab
21	Riders United. I've also submitted joint statement
22	from my friends at the… the Lung Association in…
23	NRDC. The Mission of Cab Riders United is to
24	improve the safety, the quality of service, and the
25	Environmental Impact of the city's taxi and for-

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 263
2	hire vehicle industry. We appreciate the committee
3	turning a spotlight on the subject today. There are
4	some real issues here. However we are concerned
5	that the way the issue has been framed is unlikely
6	to yield the best results for passengers or for the
7	broader public interest. Why is the problem defined
8	as growth of for-hire vehicles rather than
9	congestion or air pollution or emergency response
10	times more generally? Put simply we do not consider
11	limiting the growth of for-hire vehicles citywide
12	to be a credible strategy for tackling congestion
13	in the busiest parts of Manhattan nor do we view it
14	as a real strategy for preserving and managing an
15	ecosystem of taxis and for-hire vehicles that meets
16	the needs of New Yorkers. But even asking whether
17	it is or not conflates two different and only
18	partially related topics. And it distracts from the
19	big picture questions we should be asking. One;
20	what is the city's big picture, vision, and
21	strategy for how we regulate the taxi and for-hire
22	vehicle industry in this era of rapid change
23	including the development and rapid growth of
24	popular transportation network companies. And as I
25	think Edith and Jean have left but as we try to

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 264
2	confront new challenges like increasing
3	accessibility throughout the city and looking at
4	the social justice concerns of workers. Two; what
5	is the city's big picture, vision, and strategy for
6	improving mobility in Manhattan and addressing
7	congestion and related issues like air pollution.
8	That's about more than just traffic speeds and the
9	number of for-hire vehicles. A real strategy for
10	that probably involves looking at how vehicles are
11	operated and where, how effectively traffic laws
12	are enforced, how to improve the full range of
13	mobility alternatives including bike lanes, bus
14	only lanes, better enforcement of traffic laws,
15	smarter goods movement, planning, congestion
16	pricing I've bled on that hill for a couple years
17	myself and a strategy for ensuring access to taxis
18	and other for-hire vehicles in in Manhattan.
19	Traffic congestion related emissions are a real
20	problem and they merit a real comprehensive
21	strategy. Congestion is also somewhat cyclical and
22	correlated to the health of the economy. Limiting
23	the growth of a car service base and potentially
24	restricting the mobility options for New Yorkers in
25	Canarsie or Cambria Heights or Castle Hill seems a

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 265
2	strange way to solve the congestion and air
3	pollution problems in Midtown Manhattan. A for-hire
4	vehicle based in one part of the city can provide
5	service to a customer in a different part of the
6	city but why is the city wide cap better for
7	example than geo-fencing Manhattan is we do for the
8	green borough taxis. Even if one were to grant the
9	premise that limiting the FHVs is the right
10	approach to managing traffic emissions, and we're
11	skeptical on that, how exactly does limiting the
12	growth of large bases to a lower allowable
13	percentage than bases with fewer vehicles reduce
14	congestion and pollution more effectively? I have a
15	series of additional questions included in the
16	testimony. One I think is important; are new bases
17	allowed? If so that's a potentially huge loophole
18	in what we think is you know a work in progress…
19	the piece of legislation. If if new bases are not
20	allowed that again seems to unfairly penalize
21	people who are looking for either entrepreneurial
22	opportunities or transportation and mobility
23	options in parts of the city that may be
24	underserved right now. In defense to the time and
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 266
2	no doubt low blood sugar throughout the room I'll
3	submit my written testimony and spare you the rest.
4	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Now
5	let's call the next panel. Bhairavi Desai… Victor
6	Salazar [phonetic], Bill Ledour, Mohamad Tipu
7	Sultan
8	BILL LEDOUR: This on? Yes it is. I'm
9	Bill Ledour with the New York Taxi Workers
10	Alliance. Been here too long. Uber is the number
11	one outlaw corporation. And its openly stated hell
12	bent goal of world domination of the taxi industry
13	Uber has caused strikes and riots in France,
14	England, Spain. Who says it can't happen here. To
15	to achieve its malevolent goal it employs
16	deception, lies, and countless million spent on
17	lobbying. I can't believe that used car salesman
18	they had here speaking for them oh my god what a
19	piece of work. Uber's business… aka the black
20	plague mask an unconscionable public be damned
21	attitude led by a brazen ethically challenged CEO
22	and supported by a compliant cadre of MPA MBA
23	goons. And less a fare let anarchy and chaos reign
24	nonsense. They want to make their own rules and
25	their own standards and impose in the near future
I	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 267
2	fares that will make us current sky-high serge
3	pricing seem almost benevolent. New York is in
4	periled. Don't let the public be at the mercy of
5	these economic terrorists. The two proposed bills
6	constitute a reasonable sensible start to flood New
7	York with a limited number of vehicles will not
8	will not only deprive drivers of livable income but
9	undoubtedly add to traffic congestion, air
10	pollution, asthmatic and most of all impedes
11	lifesaving emergency vehicles. How many New Yorkers
12	must die so Uber can live?
13	BHAIRAVI DESAI: Good afternoon I'm
14	Bhairavi Desai, Executive Director of the New York
15	Taxi Workers Alliance. There is so much to say
16	about all the double speak that we've had to sit
17	through and and hear today. First of all Chairman
18	Rodriguez in in in honest reply to your honest
19	question drivers are charged 30 percent on the
20	commission and then the taxes that they lose that
21	they pay for is after that 30 percent is deducted.
22	And they still have to pay for gasoline and vehicle
23	expenses and other shift expenses after the loss of
24	that 30 percent in commission. And in other cities
25	like in San Francisco and San Diego when the number
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 268
2	of vehicles increases Uber has increased that
3	commission rate on drivers. So it's not a surprise
4	to us that they want to put more cars out on that
5	road. They make drivers more vulnerable. I mean the
6	one thing in common among every single sector of
7	drivers right now people are working longer hours
8	for less pay. We're not interested in gigs. We want
9	to maintain full time income for full time work. 94
10	percent of drivers in the taxi and FHV sector are
11	immigrants, majority people of color. Uber at the
12	moment is aggressively reporting people with
13	private vehicles who don't drive for a living, who
14	have had no interest in driving for a living.
15	Everything that they're doing to bring in new
16	people into the industry is at a great expense of
17	the men and women, the workers who are already
18	laboring in this industry. I think the most
19	important number that we've talked about actually
20	is the 72 percent. 72 percent of these trips are in
21	Manhattan. And unlike the traditional black car
22	service where black cars will wait, it's customary
23	for the vehicle, for the driver with the vehicle to
24	be waiting, parked in a location waiting to be
25	dispatched for a prearranged fare in this on demand

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 269
2	app service and really let's call it what it is
3	it's really a taxi that's been painted black. You
4	have drivers cruising. All the drivers are out
5	undercutting each other. And that's absolutely
6	unconscionable. The fact that 500 I know almost
7	all Uber drivers had their cars towed and received
8	a summons for illegal pickups is unconscionable.
9	There are people working out there 12, 14, 15, 16
10	hours. They should not be forced to enter into
11	illegal activity because they cannot make ends meet
12	because these companies don't get enough work yet
13	they promise them streets of gold. So you know and
14	the fact that 72 percent of their trips are in
15	Manhattan would be equivalent to the city of New
16	York unleashing 15,000 new vehicles it… taxis,
17	yellow taxis into Manhattan not requiring an
18	economic impact study. And lastly I just want to
19	say that you know when we talk about other licenses
20	like the vendor licenses or even commuter vans we
21	look at geographic factors. The reason that Uber
22	and these other TNCs have selected the black car
23	industry is because it is the least regulated of
24	all of the sectors. And you know at the end of the
25	day their end game is about deregulating what
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 270
2	service that's to that serves one million riders
3	every single day. We applaud you for proposing both
4	pieces of legislation. They are necessary. It's
5	getting harder and harder for every segment of
6	driver including Uber drivers to make ends meet.
7	This is not how you grow the economy. This is
8	there is no job creation here. If anything it is a
9	desperate fast track to poverty for thousands of
10	working men and women who can least afford it.
11	Please, we urge you pass this legislation.
12	JAZZ SINGH: Good afternoon respected
13	council members. My name… [cross-talk] My name is
14	Jazz Singh and… Good afternoon. My name is Jazz
15	Singh and I'd like to talk on behalf of myself as a
16	medallion owner and all of my fellow drivers. And
17	there are a few key points that I'd like to touch
18	on and I'll try to be quick. But this is honestly
19	an issue where you could write a 50 page essay on
20	just justifying… trying… trying to explain their
21	justification I mean. So the first area is the
22	underserved areas that proponents of Uber are
23	claiming to extremely serve. There's no significant
24	data available on that. And at the same time most
25	of their pick-ups are done in Manhattan, 72 percent
	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 271
2	of their pickups. So how is this term of
3	underserved areas being thrown around so loosely?
4	We need to enforce that if They going to they
5	going to say something they need data and proof to
6	back that up. Currently FHV vehicles, they do not
7	have the same GPS tracking system for income data
8	trips, miles, paid miles, anything like that that
9	yellow cabs and green cabs have. So we are
10	following all the rules, doing everything by the
11	book where for them we're just relying on mere
12	hearsay like and surveys and opinions that's not
13	factual data. Give me numbers from the actual
14	driven car, not from the person's mouth or from the
15	company's head. That's one point. As far as the
16	wheelchair accessibles I support that a thousand
17	percent. I am a proponent and a firm supporter of
18	the American with Disabilities Act. Yellow and
19	greens are transforming to serve them as they
20	deserve fair access just like everyone else. Why is
21	Uber and a lot of FHV bases trying to undermine
22	that. If we're following the laws so shouldn't
23	they? Our industries have been stable for over a
24	decade. I have to I used to have safety, pride,
25	and choice in my profession and I wake up every day

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 272
2	with no sense of what's going on in my industry. I
3	have almost four college degrees including graduate
4	education. My future to college hasn't been
5	promised but my medallion job that I created was.
6	At the same time I have employed six drivers over
7	the last two years on my single cab. How many
8	drivers are on a single Uber car? I can't barely
9	pay my 5,000 plus overhead due to not being able to
10	find drivers at many times in the year gaps. And
11	these drivers that I lost few of them have
12	transferred to Uber. And that is a ongoing problem.
13	If opponents for the cap in the FHV argue their
14	should be no cap then why not take away the cap
15	from everything. Why not put… as many yellow and
16	green cabs as we want? Why can't we match their
17	numbers? It wouldn't be logical would it? And when
18	the investigation concludes the findings indicate
19	that excess of vehicles negatively impact our
20	environment which is plausible to say at this point
21	already what will TLC or anyone do to rectify this?
22	Who will take responsibility and how will they have
23	factual data tracking available for us? I put my
24	health, blood, sweat, and tears into this industry
25	just like all my brothers and sisters here sharing

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 273
2	their struggle. What's funny is that everyone here
3	opposing the cap on cars represents a base in a
4	higher position they are probably associated with
5	Uber. Why are no drivers here speaking on behalf of
6	their experiences rather than these
7	representatives? My drivers are here. Where are
8	they? And I'd like to conclude with that. Thank
9	you.
10	Good afternoon councils We support the
11	bill with New York City taxi drivers support the
12	bill completely. I I talk on behalf of many many
13	taxi drivers…
14	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Sorry can can
15	you state… [cross-talk]
16	VICTOR SALAZAR:colleague next to me
17	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Sir your name
18	no?
19	JAZZ SINGH: My name Jazz Singh.
20	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: You're?
21	VICTOR SALAZAR: Victor Salazar. So
22	Chairman Rodriguez and Council Member Levine I am
23	I'm very proud… I'm very happy that this is the
24	forward step… this is positive steps to actually
25	you know fix what's going on in the industry.
I	

1 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 274 2 Unfortunately our previous administration, taxi and 3 limousine commission made a mistake to give Uber a license as a black car service. That was a really 4 5 mistake ... that right now we ... all New Yorkers are paying the consequences from that mistake. We hope 6 7 that during this study you know things will be rectified. I heard today in this chamber about how 8 Uber explain about how many cars come to ... to New 9 York City. It is true. Thousands of cars come 10 through New York City but many of them... as a taxi 11 12 driver I can assure you many trucks come from New 13 Jersey and they go straight to Bronx, to Brooklyn, 14 to Queens, even to Long Island ... county ... Suffolk 15 County you know for distribution. And there are 16 busses indeed. But however those 18,000 Uber cars 17 that are operating we get constantly idling in New 18 York City for over 17 hours or even 24 hours. So that's a big difference right in... in terms of 19 20 environmental impact, in terms of traffic, the traffic just ... of a New York City ... You know 21 2.2 passengers always complain you know two years ago 23 I... I used to get a fare from... it used to be like 10 24 minutes from downtown to upper eastside or upper Westside. Now it takes half an hour. It's just 25

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 275
2	ridiculous the way the situation is in New York
3	City in terms of traffic. Farther more I want to… I
4	want to say that no matter how much money Uber is
5	paying you know for lobbying in every city of
6	United States, every city in other countries… all
7	the drama they make today in front of city hall is
8	just ridiculous you know. This is one company that
9	wants to take that that wants to destroy, disrupt
10	the industry in New York City which is already
11	doing so. I applaud my… my colleague drivers in
12	France and Italy and in Brazil and other countries
13	that have stood for their rights and were able to
14	kick out Uber especially right now in France we
15	know that all Uber representatives are in jail
16	because of the illegal activities. I would love to
17	end up just by saying that yes we support this bill
18	and I hope that the New York City the the public
19	of New York City at the same time learns from this
20	from this experience and hopefully they start to
21	delete their apps, their Uber apps and any other
22	apps. Furthermore I am I want to say that Uber and
23	any other apps should be just an e-hail app. It
24	should not be having the license of you know of
25	of any black car services in the entire United

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 276
2	States. It's really terrible that just because they
3	have one one tour to connect from cell to
4	another you know they're able to use that
5	instrument or use that as an instrument of
6	exploitation to… to a lot of taxi drivers across
7	the globe. And I think New York City always… the
8	right things. And I'm I'm very happy about these
9	this committee is taking the first step to rectify
10	things.
11	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thanks. Let me
12	call the next panel the next and last panel.
13	Jainism Darzi, Peter Maze, and Laurel Brown.
14	JAINISM DARZI: Should I start. Good
15	afternoon. My name is Jainism I am the yellow cab
16	driver for last twelve years.
17	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry this
18	was the last panel so I just hope that we didn't
19	leave anyone out. Thanks.
20	JAINISM DARZI: Yes sir. My name is
21	Jainism Darzi. I am a yellow cab driver and owner
22	for last 12 years. I have never ever seen the type
23	of hardship you know I am going through because I
24	have been driving my cab alone for last nine
25	months. I don't have the second shifter driver
I	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 277
2	because every driver runs for the Uber. And more
3	than 12 12 of us for the we can't drive you know
4	as per the TLC Law you know. And I am unable to pay
5	my mortgage on time for the medallion you know and
6	a one month I am I am already going one month
7	behind. And the bank keep calling me and threaten
8	me to repossess my medallion as they did before.
9	You know the one time I have the notice of here.
10	They they seize my medallion two year two months
11	before because I can't make the mortgage because I
12	am the… I have the wheelchair accessible cab and
13	nobody want to drive the wheelchair accessible you
14	know medallion I mean cab. So bank also tell me you
15	know you will lose your house because you know I
16	house last year and if I unable to pay the mortgage
17	there's a big very big for me and for my family.
18	So my medallion is wheelchair accessible. I bought
19	this medallion keeping in mind to serve and help
20	the wheelchair accessible people. But I didn't know
21	that the circumstances I have to go through because
22	there is no… and comfortable cab available in the
23	market. TLC normally TLC give us like a seven
24	years to run with the… with this wheelchair
25	accessible cab. But the thing is you know last time
I	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 278
2	I had to retire my cab within three years because
3	it's not comfortable. We can't you know run… we
4	can't stuck with… stick with that cab. The… there
5	was too much repairs, so many problems were going
6	on. And I you know got the new cab. But it's the
7	same thing, it's a really big headache. There's no
8	you know wheelchair accessible cab in the good and
9	comfortable cab available in the market. So because
10	passenger are making too much noises and the you
11	know percentage of complaints all the times. So
12	and second thing is as Uber representative just
13	said like an hour before offering that wheelchair
14	accessible service by hiring and sharing with the
15	yellow cab. That's totally wrong. They are not
16	sharing with us. We're… because they are just
17	trying to excuse that we are using the yellow cab.
18	They they don't have any anything. Because we
19	have our own you know work… jobs for that. And
20	second thing is you know two people had a heart
21	attack by getting the letter from the bank that we
22	have to pay 177 thousand dollars within 10 days. I
23	have the letter of this one. I have the letter the
24	bank. Because bank we are very… facing very hard
25	time with the… from the bank is very very hard

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 279
2	time. So… and we are also paying like a 50 cents
3	and 30 cents to the city and a no what Uber paying,
4	nothing. And that's just… I'm just requesting you
5	know introduce please please do something because
6	everything is… Thank you so much I thank you.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you for
8	your testimony. You can stay. You can stay.
9	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Stay there.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: If you want to.
11	MOHAMMAD TIPU SULTAN: Hi, good
12	afternoon Council and and the folks in the
13	audience listening. My name is Mohammad Tipu Sultan
14	and I'm a full time driver last ten years, and also
15	organizing with the New York Taxi Worker Alliance.
16	So that's making me a very good opportunity to talk
17	with the other driver either Uber or green cab and
18	yellow cab. So observerate [phonetic], observing
19	that I'm… I myself as a dob driver. I own the
20	vehicle and I rent the medallion from the broker.
21	So as I'm observing in myself and I use to go to
22	Kings Brooklyn College they studying Computer
23	Science because of the driver struggling I cannot
24	I cannot continue that. We used to the same… the
25	same car we use to drive it three driver but now we
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 280
2	are driving two driver because of the driver crisis
3	driver shifting. In… in my neighborhood around 50
4	driver, yellow cab driver and also around 20 of
5	them Uber driver so I get every day the summary how
6	they are doing actually. None of the Uber driver
7	saying they are doing good. But they already bought
8	a luxurious car, 60-70 thousand dollar car and
9	they're always struggling because they are not
10	making enough fare, they're not taking enough fare
11	for their is the is the car maintenance money and
12	there is a rent insurance and everything. They
13	paying more than 30 person and they don't have
14	enough fare for their late… living actually. So it
15	is a great great great crisis. And as a yellow cab
16	driver I also suffer and I stop going in college
17	because I don't have any driver. Because in my
18	driver 30 driver shift to the Uber driver and is
19	still we have a 50 yellow cab driver is struggling.
20	And most of them are dob driver. And they don't
21	have another second driver. So they some of the
22	driver are driving own self as a one driver and
23	some of them return those car to the garage because
24	they cannot drive anymore by my themself single
25	driver. So this is all crisis coming this

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 281
2	technology. And I will say very beginning. The TLC
3	did a mistake to understand what is the… coming
4	forward. So this is the situation who we are facing
5	now. We don't want to be seen farther struggling.
6	We don't see… want to see the driver crisis
7	anymore. And we don't want to see the driver
8	suffering with their income. As like Uber driver
9	they cannot make money and what what next. So when
10	there is a car will be… and need to be maintenance
11	and the other things year down… year… one year
12	later it will be more situation bad. So this is the
13	time to understand what's going on on the industry.
14	And I support this bill and this bill means that
15	polls… we can realize… we can research on this
16	issue just that we can learn the taxi driver dob
17	that's most important transformation in the New
18	York City and please help this bill to be passed
19	and let us know about the driver, focus on the
20	driver's struggling parent. Alright thank you so
21	much.
22	LAUREL BROWN: Good afternoon. Thank you
23	for having me Chairman Rodriguez and Councilman
24	Levin. My name is Laurel Brown. I am the Executive
25	Vice President with the Downtown Brooklyn
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 282
2	Partnership and Economic Development Organization
3	based in downtown Brooklyn. And I'm here on behalf
4	of our President Tucker Reed. You know there used
5	to be a joke if you've been around New York for a
6	while you know that you just can't get a cab to
7	Brooklyn and and much less try to get a cab while
8	you're within Brooklyn. So it's really interesting
9	to have this conversation right now. But so I'm
10	here on behalf of our organization Downtown
11	Brooklyn Partnership to express concern over the
12	proposed bills. The bills comes with serious
13	ramifications especially for the outer boroughs in
14	terms of economic development and job growth. As
15	you may know downtown Brooklyn is a key economic
16	center for New York City. Despite the references
17	earlier today there's not just one central business
18	district in New York City. There are multiple in
19	downtown Brooklyn is one of them. Just to give you
20	some context the area boasts a business district
21	with more than 17 million square feet of office
22	space, historic vibrant shopping center Fulton Mall
23	which many know of which attracts 150,000 visitors
24	daily, a burgeoning residential community, 60,000
25	college and law students, 60 cultural organizations

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 283
2	that welcome 4.5 million patrons a year and two
3	professional sports teams. A key to maintaining
4	this economic vitality is the neighborhood's
5	transit accessibility. And we actually did a study
6	that was commissioned in 2012 that was called the
7	Brooklyn Tech Triangle's strategic plan. And in
8	that it was identified that public transit in the
9	area is currently more oriented to facilitating
10	connections between Manhattan and Brooklyn than
11	within the borough itself. Again more public
12	transportation is oriented Manhattan to Brooklyn
13	than within the… within the borough itself. So we
14	have all these jobs in downtown Brooklyn and and
15	in rest of the Brooklyn. And in order to support
16	economic development and continue jobs within this
17	tech triangle which in 2012 included 10,000 direct
18	innovation jobs which is projected to double by
19	this year. Better inter-borough public transportate
20	[phonetic] transit accessibility is needed. But
21	that's that's that's expensive, takes a long
22	time. So until that time comes the for-hire vehicle
23	services provide an invaluable service for
24	connecting Brooklyn business owners and their
25	workers to their customers for connecting cultural

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 284
2	to performances and venues and shoppers and diners
3	to retail establishments. According to Uber nearly
4	20 percent of their riders rides a current Brooklyn
5	compared to three percent of traditional taxicabs.
6	Without the transportation services provided by
7	companies like Uber it is our fear that Brooklyn's
8	thriving economy will suffer. Additionally it's not
9	only the jobs of the Brooklyn innovation workers at
10	stake Uber itself has vowed to create 10,000 jobs.
11	So in summary we urge you to reconsider your
12	support for this bill which would negatively impact
13	all workers, residents, students, shoppers, and
14	tourists who make downtown Brooklyn the thriving
15	business district. A joke that started off as you
16	can't get a cab in Brooklyn could very well end up
17	you can't get a job in Brooklyn. Thank you.
18	PETER MAZE: Good afternoon Chairman
19	Rodriguez and Council Member Levin. My name is
20	Peter Maze and I am General Council to Metropolitan
21	Taxicab Board of Trade. 60 year old organization
22	representing the owners aboard 55 hundred licensed
23	medallion taxicab the licensed taxicab agents who
24	operate these cabs and more recently many of the
25	license drivers who lease taxicabs from our

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 285
2	drivers. MTBOT is dedicated to safer and more
3	efficient streets for all New Yorkers, is a proud
4	supporter of Move New York plan to reduce
5	congestion, and fund the MTA. We're also proud to
6	be among the earliest endorses of the Mayor's
7	Vision Zero plan. MTBOT supports the intention of
8	intros 842 and 847 which appear to limit the
9	expulsive growth in the for-hire industry until the
10	TLC completes a study of the impact such growth
11	will have upon the environment and worsening
12	congestion problems of the city. Unfortunately as
13	written these bills don't go nearly far enough to
14	ensure that the million multibillion dollar global
15	app companies and the bases they control will be
16	held to the highest environmental standards.
17	Consider that in 2004 when the TLC proposed adding
18	just 900 new taxi medallions and prepared a 200
19	plus page environmental impact statement with
20	filled with traffic analysis, business models, and
21	air quality data. Again in 2013… prepared a 400
22	plus page EIS, one that received authorization to
23	add additional taxi cabs. The EIS included
24	recommendations to mitigate the effects of
25	transportation and pollution. Over the last 75
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 286
2	years the city of New York carefully and
3	thoughtfully build a very efficient yellow taxi
4	fleet of just over 14,000 vehicles adhering
5	strictly to the highest environmental standards
6	imposed by law and balancing the health and safety
7	of New Yorkers. Now consider that in less than two
8	years one multi-billion dollar… multi-billion
9	dollar global transportation company that provides
10	on demand services similar or identical to yellow
11	taxicab service has added 19,000 vehicles in New
12	York City without any environmental review what's
13	so ever and recently announced that it looks to
14	nearly double its fleet in the year to come.
15	Consider that five years ago there were 490
16	community car service bases, 194 luxury limousine
17	bases, and 76 black car bases licensed by the TLC.
18	Collectively they affiliated 37,782 vehicles. Today
19	there are 488 community car service bases and 182
20	luxury limousine bases about the same number as
21	five years ago. But the number of black car bases
22	has grown to 191 nearly triple in five years. The
23	number of license for-hire vehicles has increased
24	to 63,462 an increase of 70 percent. And 26
25	thousand more for-hire vehicles on the road than
l	l

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 287
2	they were in 2010 and they… and some in the for-
3	hire industry would like to add another 20,000. All
4	this is done without the benefit of environmental
5	traffic business or other study. It should be
6	obvious to anyone in the city that this is very
7	very wrong. While these bills would limit the
8	issuance of new for-hire vehicle licenses does
9	nothing to limit the proliferation of new bases nor
10	does it prevent larger bases from expanding by
11	poaching drivers of smaller community bases
12	depriving these communities of needed service. In
13	fact under this legislation existing bases would
14	still be allowed to add about 24 hundred new cars
15	between now and August 31 st of 2016. That's more
16	than the total number of new medallions issued
17	since 1996 and nearly half the number of street
18	hail liveries currently on the road. There is a
19	major disparity in regulation over the taxi
20	industry versus companies like Uber. And this
21	legislation does not level the playing field. While
22	it's an important first step in helping the TLC
23	meet its New York City Charter mandate of
24	developing a broad public policy of transportation
25	by empowering at least to initially study and
l	I

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 288
2	report on the overall for-hire industry is a small
3	step… more needs to be done. Ironically while Uber
4	likes to poach to the… preach to the world that it
5	is the solution to congestion it is fighting even
6	the most basic environmental congestion review of
7	its fleet in the Black Car industry. Obviously Uber
8	does not want the public to know the impact that
9	its unsustainably large fleet has on traffic
10	congestion and the environment here in New York
11	City. We thank you for this opportunity to testify
12	and we'll be happy to answer any questions that you
13	may have.
14	CHAIRPERSON RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. With
15	this panel we'll come to the end of this hearing.
16	We will continue the dialogue and and we expect to
17	continue getting your feedback as I say from the
18	beginning this hearing is very important since we
19	are analyzing how fact the for-hire industry's
20	growing New York City and the need to call the city
21	to do environmental study and then based on that
22	information to come out with some new policy. But
23	for the mean time our needs us so that we come out
24	with a moratorium. So a I would like to thanks
25	Council Member Levin for being a co-sponsor with
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 289
2	this important bill and we will continue working
3	together. First the most important group is the
4	consumers who want to be sure that the 57 million
5	tourists in our city and and the eight million New
6	Yorkers get the best services second the drivers.
7	We need to be sure that they also are supported to
8	continue doing the work and supporting their
9	family. And third anyone who is a stake… a
10	stakeholder in the… in the big taxi industry. They
11	should know that we expect that they will be
12	subject to the same rule and regulation. With this
13	this meeting's adjourned.
14	[gavel]
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	I

CERTIFICATE

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 13, 2015