CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

May 5, 2015

Start: 10:26 a.m. Recess: 12:23 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm,

16th Fl.

B E F O R E:

MARK S. WEPRIN Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Daniel R. Garodnick Jumaane D. Williams Donovan J. Richards Antonio Reynoso Ritchie J. Torres Vincent M. Ignizio Vincent J. Gentile

Ruben Wills

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Richard Lobel
Sheldon Lobel, P.C.

Samuel Nitka Cherry Hill Gourmet Market

Ed Jaworski
President
Madison-Marine-Homecrest
Civic Association

Kelly Carroll
Director of Preservation and
Community Outreach
Historic Districts Council

Cliff Bruckenstein Brooklyn Resident

Steve Barrison
President
Bay Improvement Group

Anthony Kelly Cherry Hill Gourmet Market

Rabbi Avrohom Hertz Brooklyn

Elias Abramoff
Maitre d'
Cherry Hill

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Rabbi Shlomo Cohen Chabad of Sheepshead Bay

Noah Yevdayev Employee Cherry Hill

Raisa Chernina
Resident of Cherry Hill Community

Nick Carcanola Brooklyn Resident

Alex Rabinovich Architect Cherry Hill

Rachel Tagiev Owner Red Square Media

Oleg Koshul President EcoMeal Organic

Eric Winter Produce Manager Cherry Hill

Pedro Guevara Maintenance Cherry Hill

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Gary Silver Architect Gary Silver Architects

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: We're gonna be waiting another five minutes just to start; we just had to wait for Council Member Garodnick, who's here for a vote we're taking right off the bat.

Hi; we ready?

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN:

[pause]

Alright, I apologize for the delay. Ladies and gentlemen, good morning, my name is Mark Weprin; I'm Chair of the Zoning and Franchises Subcommittee. have a number of items on the agenda today; the first two, or the first two subjects, are votes we are gonna be taking at the beginning of this hearing; we are then going to move to having a hearing on the Cherry Hill Text Amendment, which I know most of the people here are here for that topic. We will have that hearing today; everyone who wants to testify will have an opportunity to testify; we will not be voting on that today; we will put the vote off until later in the week so we can digest, since it looks like we're gonna get a lot of information here today, but first we have to take care of voting on a number of items. And before that I want to acknowledge who is here with me today; Councilman Dan Garodnick, who just got here; it is his birthday today, so we

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 forgave him for being a little late, so happy

3 | birthday, Councilman Garodnick; [cheers, applause]

4 Council Member Jumaane Williams, Council Member Ruben

5 Wills, Council Member Donovan Richards, who got the

6 gold start today for being early, Council Member

7 Reynoso and... okay, and we're also joined by Council

Member Deutsch, who represents the Cherry Hill in

9 Brooklyn site.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

So before we get started, we had a hearing recently on the Vanderbilt Corridor, which is Land Use Nos. 197, 198... [background comment] 199, 200 and 201. Right? [background comment] Council Member Garodnick has been working on this relentlessly for about two years now, believe it or not; he has done an amazing job, there was a press conference across the street where everyone who testified came out and everyone who testified against and for ended up standing there in favor of this rezoning change, so hopefully we can do that and other things as well. But I'm gonna call on Council Member Garodnick, who has a statement to make and then I'm gonna read the modifications that he is proposing into the record; then we will announce one

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

more item and take a vote on those items. Council

Member Garodnick.

[background comments]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

much, Chair Weprin and thank you for your patience, not only today, but also in chairing that very, very long hearing on both One Vanderbilt and the Vanderbilt Corridor and I appreciate the opportunity to say a few words about those applications today.

As my colleagues may recall, toward of his administration former Mayor Bloomberg proposed an extensive rezoning of East Midtown, and while I shared his concerns about the quality and age of office buildings in the area, the Mayor's proposal left too many unresolved questions of air rights, pricing, public realm improvements and infrastructure deliverables; this was particularly troubling in the context of so much as-of-right zoning. As a result, I ultimately decided to oppose the plan and Mayor Bloomberg withdrew it. Last year, with my support, Mayor de Blasio and City Planning Commission Chair Carl Weisbrod announced a different two-pronged approach to addressing the zoning challenges of East Midtown.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The first phase before us today is a rezoning of Vanderbilt Avenue between 42nd and 47th Streets in which applicants can apply for a special permit to build up to 30 FAR in exchange for public improvements or via the purchase of air rights from a neighboring landmark. The second phase covering the rest of East Midtown will follow. It is no secret that the Grand Central area and Vanderbilt Avenue in particular are in need of significant improvements. Grand Central is a mess; it is one of the busiest transit hubs in the world and it has gotten crowded well beyond its capacity. It badly needs upgrades to its infrastructure and pedestrian circulation system; sidewalks in the area are far too narrow and crowded, and Vanderbilt Avenue, a street directly adjacent to one of the most iconic buildings in all of New York City, looks and feels like a back alley. This rezoning will bring some badly needed change to the area.

My concerns from last term, which included the fact that so much certainty was afforded to the development community without any real guarantees to the public, do not exist here; that's because the City and the public maintain full

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 discretion to approve or deny each application

3 through a special permit. Any applicant along the

4 corridor will therefore have the burden of convincing

5 | the public that the proposed infrastructure

going to be worthy of the max.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

6 improvements are worthy of the additional development

7 rights. We in turn will demand that any improvements

8 to area infrastructure are done and delivered to the

9 public in advance of the occupancy of the building.

One of the key questions will be for us whether any given site along the corridor deserves the density that it seeks. Of course not all development sites will necessarily go after or be deserving of the maximum 30 FAR. While I believe that this is the appropriate location for the City to encourage high-density development, not every site is

The first applicant at One Vanderbilt is subject to this very question. They have proposed considerable infrastructure improvements, ranging from subway entrances to platform changes, to new public areas and access points to Grand Central, valued at \$210 million in exchange for the density bonuses necessary to build a 1.6 million-square-foot and 67-story commercial building. In addition to

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

those improvements, the Council has negotiated in this process some additional significant changes ton One Vanderbilt to further enhance the benefit to the public in two ways. First, SL Green is now committed to add a second transit hall on 42nd Street at the base of One Vanderbilt; this will create a grand three-story light- and air-filled public transit entrance on 42nd Street at the base of One Vanderbilt. We also are delivering 22 percent more subgrade circulation space for commuters to move underground from level to level. Prior versions of this plan would have required East Side access and subway riders to go up to the main concourse level at Grand Central in order to transfer between them; we have corrected this problem, creating a straight shot on the same level and generations of commuters may not know it now, but they will thank us later. At a cost of \$10 million, these additional improvements will bring SL Green's total public investment to at least \$220 million.

It is worth noting that these improvements come out to about \$400 per spare foot of additional density, which means the public is getting more per square foot than they would have under the

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES Bloomberg plan. Additionally, the public will see these improvements up front; if there are cost overruns or unforeseen circumstances, the developer is still on the hook to complete the project before moving into their building. And I'm getting close, Mr. chair, but I know that you deferred your remarks for me, so I appreciate it.

We are sending to City Planning today several changes to the Vanderbilt Corridor proposal itself. First, we will also further define the site criteria that might entitle a site to get up to the maximum density, such as the size of the lot, whether it has direct access to subway and transit facilities and whether it is directly adjacent to the open area above Grand Central Terminal. One Vanderbilt has all of these characteristics, but not every site will.

It needs to be clear to the development community today that 30 FAR is not an entitlement here; it is not a gimme and not all sites may be deemed worthy of such a large density bonus.

We also going to add back a requirement that a developer make pedestrian circulation improvements when purchasing air rights from a landmark. We will have the ability to waive this

requirement through ULURP, but we believe that the default position should be that any applicant seeking such a large density bonus, even if they are using a landmark's transfer, that they should contribute in

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

6 some way to the public realm.

Finally, while any hotel that wishes to build along the corridor will be required to seek a special permit, we do not wanna penalize hotels that are damaged or destroyed. In the event that an existing hotel in the Vanderbilt Corridor is damaged or destroyed, we will allow that hotel to be reconstructed without a special permit up to 15 FAR. If they seek to build additional density they will still need to seek a hotel special permit and the special permit for other transfers.

I wanna commend the Chair of the MultiBoard Task Force, Lola Finkelstein and other members
of both Community Boards 5 and 6 and Borough
President Gale Brewer for their thoughts and
recommendations throughout this process, as well as
Chair Greenfield, Chair Weprin and my colleagues.
Today we have a much better rezoning and project
thanks to their hard work and thoughtful suggestions.

2.2

\_ .

\_ .

\_ \_

We still have work to do, not only on the greater East Midtown rezoning, but in pursuit of historic preservation in this area. There are three buildings along the Vanderbilt Corridor that have been identified as potential landmarks -- 52

Vanderbilt, The Roosevelt Hotel and the Yale Club.

The Landmarks Preservation Commission needs to review and act, as appropriate, all sites in the area so they are not lost due to development pressure.

In conclusion, this plan gives the public certainty about real improvements to Grand Central which will have positive impact for riders all along the 4, 5; 6 route, as well as for the shuttle and for riders on the future East Side Access. It will, even with the first project alone at One Vanderbilt, create over 5,000 construction jobs, 190 permanent union jobs and create \$50 million in annual tax revenues for the City.

I urge my colleagues to join me today in voting to send these changes to City Planning before final approval of the plan and thank you all for your time today.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you Council Member Garodnick and for that description in detail

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

14

2 and plain language of the changes that have been

3 made. Congratulations to you; this really is

4 something that will affect the entire City of New

5 York, even those of us in the outer boroughs, in the

6 outer reaches of the city, it means a lot to us as

7 | well; people in my district work in Midtown East,

Vanderbilt Corridor and that area; this is a very big

9 thing for the future of this city for many years to

10 come.

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

I have to read into the record, so you'll have to bear with me, the more legal description of some of the things that Council Member Garodnick spoke about, so it's a couple of pages and I will try to read it as quickly as possible.

The Vanderbilt applications are now in front of this Subcommittee for a vote and there are a series of modifications, as described by Councilman Garodnick, recommended for approval consistent with that statement; modifications to the Vanderbilt Corridor Text Amendment, Land Use 197 states these amendments.

First, to add language to Section 81-00, the General Purposes of the Special Midtown Subdistrict which makes clear that the additional

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 15
density which may be followed along the corridor

3 after a public review process must be based on a

4 sound site planning criteria.

2.2

Second is to add an additional finding to the Section 81-641, Public Realm Improvements,

Special Permit Bonus provisions which will be applicable to development sites that do not front on too wide streets; the additional finding will require the City Planning Commission to find that the amount [background comment] of the additional floor area sought by a proposed development is appropriate, taking into consideration specific site characteristics, including: 1. the size of the zoning lot; 2. the amount of frontage on the wide streets; 3. the development's adjacency to Grand Central Terminal Air Park and the development's direct access to subway stations and other rail mass-transit facilities.

Third, with respect to the Landmarks

Transfer Special Permit, Section 81-635, the text

would be modified to retain the requirement of a

major improvement to transit and the public realm in

the corridor for a Landmarks Development Rights

Transfer, but will allow the City Planning Commission

2.2

the discretion to waive that requirement where appropriate.

Fourth, and again, with respect to the Landmarks Transfer Special Permit provisions, the text will be modified to provide that in the case of a proposal that seeks to build to an FAR greater than 21.6, that the Commission give in due consideration in its findings to the site characteristics criteria required in the public realm improvements bonus.

And lastly, it's recommended that Section 81-611 of the text be modified with respect to the new Hotel Special Permit requirement for the development of or conversion to hotels within the Vanderbilt Corridor. It is proposed that the text be modified to allow a now existing hotel within the corridor to have the ability to rebuild in the event of damage or destruction of its building and no more than its as-of-right FAR without having to apply for a new special permit. It is therefore... [background comments] The following modifications are proposed for the One Vanderbilt Special Permits, Land Use 199, 200, 2001 [sic]: The plans for onsite public realm improvements at One Vanderbilt as approved by the City Planning Commission has been modified by the

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2 applicant through negotiations with CM Garodnick, whose birthday it is today, to further enhance the 3 4 public improvements that are required for the proposed One Vanderbilt building specifically: a. increase the size, visibility and functionality of 6 the 42nd Street subway entrance, which will include a third escalator directly to the street level to 8 improve accessibility to East Side access; b. an 9 increase to the daylight to the below-grade 10 circulation spaces; c. the creation of a new direct 11 12 elevator connection from East Side access to the 13 street level, as well as each intermediate level, and 14 d. the addition of a new direct access corridor to 15 connect East Side access to the 4, 5, 6; 7 trains and 16 shuttle subway system lines, and e. the additional 17 improvements will result in a 22 percent increase in 18 public circulation space provided by the project. The restrictive declaration for the special permits 19 20 would be revised to reflect these modifications, as well create a task force to address any construction-2.1

17

It is therefore recommended that we vote to modify the special permits and restricted declaration to reflect the additional improvements

related concerns during development of the project.

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 18 described therein. [background comments] So that's it on Vanderbilt.

And then we have another item that we're voting on, which we also had the hearing on already; it's a modification to the 3941 West 23rd Street Special Permits. Land Use 209 and 210 concern the 3941 West 23rd Street project that was in Council Member Corey Johnson's district and he is supportive of this now; they are special permit applications to modify the use, regulations and permit an automated parking facility in a residential development containing 43 dwelling units, including four affordable units and a ground floor retail.

This Subcommittee held a hearing on these items on April 23, 2015 and they are now before us for a vote with the following modifications recommended for approval. CM Johnson supports the project and asks for a modification to modify the area mean income limits of the four units of the affordable housing to be provided on-site; specifically that we approve two of the units as affordable to households with incomes of no more than 80 percent AMI and we approve the other two units as

2.2

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 19
2	affordable to households with incomes of no more than
3	130 percent AMI.
4	We are gonna couple these votes to
5	approve Land Use 197 through 2001 [sic], the
6	Vanderbilt applications [background comment] right,
7	197 to 201; don't know what I said, but 201, Land Use
8	209 and 210 on West 23rd Street, with the
9	modifications I described long-windedly just before.
10	I will now call on Ann McCoy, the
11	counsel, to please call the roll.
12	ANN MCCOY: Chair Weprin.
13	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I vote aye.
14	ANN MCCOY: Council Member Garodnick.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank you
16	again and I vote aye.
17	ANN MCCOY: Council Member Williams.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Pass.
19	ANN MCCOY: Council Member Wills.
20	[background comment]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Aye on all.
22	ANN MCCOY: Council Member Richards.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Aye on all.
24	ANN MCCOY: Council Member Reynoso.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Aye on all.

Deutsch's district. I'm gonna call up Richard Lobel,

2

\_

3

4

5

6

7

,

8

9

10

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and is Avrohom Hertz coming? Okay. Are you gonna testify as well; I don't know, but... [background comments] Did he fill out an application? [background comment] You did. Okay. [background comment] Sorry. [background comments] So... [background comments] Okay. Okay. Alright.

So I want to remind everyone; Mr. Lobel, whenever you speak, to please state your name for the record, so if someone transcribes this later on and they're not looking at us, they'll know who's speaking; that's true of everybody, when you're on a panel -- the way this is gonna work, we're gonna have the applicant testify, there'll be questions from the panel; we are then gonna call people up to speak. Due to the large amount of people here to testify, we are gonna limit speakers, after we get through the questioning of the applicant, to approximately two minutes each. So if you could try to take your remarks and boil them into two minutes, if you have other people testifying with you, maybe you could divide the subject matter; there may be some questions afterwards, you know, anyway to further elaborate on your two-minute statement.

So Mr. Lobel, whenever you're ready, please describe this application and I'd ask the audience to please be on your best behavior and try not to make any call-outs or noises so we can have decorum here today. [background comments] Thank you very much; Mr. Lobel, whenever you're ready.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you Chair Weprin and thank you to the members of the Subcommittee.

My name is Richard Lobel; I'm from the law firm of Sheldon Lobel, P.C.; I'm joined with Sam Nitka of Cherry Hill Gourmet Market and we're here today for a text amendment application to the Special Sheepshead Bay District Regulations.

The history of the property, which is behind us, is that the building was built as the FWI Alumni's [sic] building in or around 1934 and the building housed the Lundy's Restaurant, a treasured local institution for many, many years, which would have upwards of 10-15,000 patrons per day on some of the more active dates that the restaurant was in operation. Sadly, Lundy's went out of business in the 70s, and at that time the restaurant was in trouble and over the intervening 20-30 years was essentially out of business and was in a state of

3 state of disrepair.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

You can see from the photograph on the top, a 1988 photograph, that the lot itself was overrun with weeds, with vermin; it was a -- from what I understand from others testifying -- was a dangerous place to be.

So during that time a number of restaurants came to the property but were unable to operate on the property. The property is subject to the Special Sheepshead Bay District Regulations created in 1973 to revitalize the area and to create a business community which would improve the local area, bring jobs, bring tax revenues to the city and basically have complimentary uses to the waterfront here. So after a number of failed applications in the 70s several things happened. First in 1992 the Landmarks Preservation Commission designed it a landmark building, and then after that, and literally after decades of misuse and disrepair, Cherry Hill Gourmet Market came in around 2007. So the Sheepshead Bay Special District Regulations prohibit a food market in this subdistrict and prohibits a food store greater than 3500 square feet.

 $\angle \perp$ 

So just to take a look where Area B of the Sheepshead Bay Special District, Subarea B -- and so really this application affects a very small part of the Sheepshead Bay Special District; it is this one lot; it is 43,000 square feet. The entirety of the Sheepshead Bay Special District consists of Subareas A-H, has over 20 block fronts it in; I show you additional board -- upside down. You can see the extent of the Sheepshead Bay Special District, so this really is only... this text amendment affects this one lot.

So Cherry Hill comes in in 2007, files applications for a food store in the area; those applications were reviewed, there were senior plan examinations; permits were issued by the Department of Buildings. Subsequent to that time in 2010, there was an audit conducted and it was determined that the food store of this size in this building would not be permitted as-of-right.

In 2009, Cherry Hill hired counsel to bring an application at the City Planning Commission in order to legalize the food store use of this size in this location; that application was, quite frankly, soundly rejected by the Community Board, and

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 25 so at the time the application was withdrawn, it went nowhere.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

After that application went nowhere, something happened, which was Superstorm Sandy, and at that time Cherry Hill Gourmet reached out to the community, was one of the first businesses to open after Sandy and was really seen as being not only a good community neighbor, but an important asset to the community. And so Cherry Hill hired our firm and basically we approached the Community Board, as we often do in a preliminary way and we spoke to Community Board 15 and they then said, we are not receptive to this application; we understand that this is an important business in the area, that they reached out, that they were important to us in a time of need and so we are going to support their application. So we've gone through the process and where have we come?

In February of 2015, the Community Board voted by a vote of 20 in favor and 5 against to support this application to amend the Sheepshead Bay Special District Regulations. The Brooklyn Borough President rejected the application with conditions and those conditions look much like what the

community, who have said that they support this

2.2

23

24

25

our application. Sam and I are happy to answer any individual questions. CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Lobel. I'm gonna [background comment] just start out and I'll see if anyone wants to join in. Okay.

So can you first explain to me -- I know you just said, you know as the special permit that

RICHARD LOBEL: District.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: District, sorry; at the end you said it was really to serve the local community, but indeed, like what was the thinking in the 70s, why they created this in the first place; why did they create the special district?

RICHARD LOBEL: I'm happy to answer that. And if you'll excuse me for a moment, I'm just gonna pull out the Sheepshead Bay Special Use Regulations. There were certain conditions set forth in the Special Sheepshead Bay District Regulations as far as why they wanted to create this district: a. to promote and strengthen the unique character of the district area as a prime location for waterfrontrelated commercial and recreational development and to help attract a useful cluster of shops, restaurants and related activities, which will compliment and enhance the area as presently existing; b. to encourage the provision of housing and applicable; c. to improve vehicular and pedestrian circulation patterns by requiring limited curb cuts and uniform sidewalk widening, etc.; d. to

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 provide an incentive for redevelopment of the area in

3 a manner consistent with the foregoing objectives; e.

4 to promote the most desirable use of land in this

5 area and thus to conserve the value of land and

6 | thereby protect the city's tax revenues.

2.2

So most of these findings and most of the general purposes were about economic vitality, about the local area, about developing in consistent character with this area [background comment] and the Community Board and the City Planning Commission found that this application, in promoting a use for this property which was going to allow the property to thrive met the majority of those general purposes, and so was consistent with the general purpose of the district.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: So originally I guess they were trying to foster waterfront businesses, seafood restaurants... [interpose]

RICHARD LOBEL: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: things related to the fishing industry and other waterfront issues that might be there.

You mentioned -- Well first of all, who fixed up the property? You showed the before and

time did they do that?

2.2

disrepair was in 1988; [background comment] there was additional work that was done by the sub landlord, which incurred through the 90s and the 2000s and then immediately prior to the opening of the restaurant, Cherry Hill actually did work in order to improve the building and the restaurant; pursuant to the sublease that they were into, the landlord had to make certain improvements to the roof, so there was work that was done by both Cherry Hill, as well as the sub landlord of the building.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: You mentioned earlier that at one point Cherry Hill went for an application... [interpose]

RICHARD LOBEL: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: applied for an application for this site; now obviously -- I mean this is more of a market, a supermarket, it's hard to see that this would've fit what the, at the time, the local community was talking about...

RICHARD LOBEL: Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

RICHARD LOBEL: To Department of Buildings; there was a discussion as to whether or not -- the Zoning Resolution has very broad definitions of primary use, accessory use -- so there was an attempt to try to bring this through as a primary use of a restaurant with the accessory use of a food store, so the application was reviewed, was ruled upon, was approved, construction proceeded and it was three years after bringing the application that there was a decision by Department of Buildings to come back and to issue a violation, which is the sole violation issued against the property right now, against the use, that this use was not permitted. So really it really went for a number of years, the restaurant during that time ope... I mean the food market opened during that time and was operational and it was not until 2010 that they were affected.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: So when did they open as the market; when did it first open?

[background comments]

RICHARD LOBEL: 2009.

Special District Regulations.

actually has, and I'll discuss later in a moment, has

important point. You're saying that the original

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 35
2	application was approved by Department of Buildings
3	[interpose]
4	RICHARD LOBEL: Correct.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: and later it
6	was denied?
7	RICHARD LOBEL: Correct.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay. So
9	when you started out and you tried to do this, you
10	tried to do it legally… [interpose]
11	RICHARD LOBEL: Correct.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: you reached
13	out to the DOB; the DOB said okay; then they turned
14	around and said not okay, but at that point you're
15	already in operation?
16	RICHARD LOBEL: Correct.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay
18	[crosstalk]
19	RICHARD LOBEL: Correct.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So that's
21	basically the answer to that question.
22	RICHARD LOBEL: Correct.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you; I
24	appreciate that. The reason I brought up that
25	question first is because I wanted for it to be clear

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 36
2	on the record that I read this letter by Earl
3	Barrison; I have to tell you that in the five years
4	that I've been a council member I've never seen
5	something quite like this; I've never seen something
6	so offensive, honestly; disrespectful to not just the
7	council member who's representing the district, but
8	also to the council's home as a whole and to the
9	individuals; misogynistic and sexist. The reference
10	over here to "a despicable red-haired woman"
11	[background comments] is just shocking and appalling
12	and throwing out things like "rumors of criminal
13	activity," which obviously are not based on any sort
14	of facts, simply just making allegations. And the
15	reason I brought up the first point is because I
16	wanted to be clear that I am objective and I actually
17	read the letter and I wanted to review the only
18	factual point, which was the question of the fact
19	that it was operating based on outside of the zoning.
20	I'm just really amazed, surprised, appalled and
21	disappointed that a letter like this could be
22	submitted to the record and much less, be signed by
23	someone who appears to be an attorney in good
24	standing in the state of New York, and it's just
25	really, really inappropriate and I would just ask

15

24

25

2 that today, when we have some conversations here,

3 which I'm certainly happy to partake and to listen in

4 as the chair of the Land Use Committee that we try to

5 show a little modicum of respect when we are dealing

6 with people who we may disagree with; you can

7 disagree with people, you can think that they're

8 wrong; this is not a situation, quite frankly, of

9 life or death, it's a zoning situation; it's a

10 | question of proper usage; I will point out there was

11 another factual error in this letter as well, which

12 | is that this zoning text amendment, I can tell you as

13 | the chair, would only apply to this particular piece

14 of property; is that correct counselor... [crosstalk]

RICHARD LOBEL: Yes, that's correct.

16 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: and so the

17 | allegation in this letter that somehow this would

18 change the entire area is incorrect as well, but

19 | that's fine, I don't mind facts; when you start

20 getting into a letter that opens up with misogyny and

21  $\parallel$  sexism and seems to make fun of people because of

22 | their heritage, I find that offensive as the chair of

23 | the Land Use Committee and I will tell you, I will

personally hold it in very strong bias against anyone

who comes up here today and testifies in a

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

\_ \_

- -

disrespectful manner towards anyone. You wanna argue the facts, argue the facts. You guys should be ashamed to submit this letter to the City Council for us to review where you insult people based on the color of their hair; you wouldn't do that to anyone else, because she happens to be a woman and happens to have a certain color of hair, to make fun of her in a legal letter to a Council Member; to submit it to the New York City Council is appalling, so I'm gonna ask please, very strongly, that you try to limit your remarks to the facts and not disrespect people here today; that's not something that we're gonna allow here in the Land Use Committee. Thank you.

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you Mr. Greenfield. I just wanna discuss the application again one more time.

So it is somewhat a concern, you know, is it your impression, Mr. Lobel, that the operation of this market was consistent with the Sheepshead Bay Special District as it was originally designed? I know you sort of talked about how it's become, you know more of serving the community, but do you

3 was a conforming operation?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

RICHARD LOBEL: No. I think that the application at Department of Buildings was a valid application; I think that while it was professionally certified, which basically means that it was entered upon the architect's certification, [background comment] it went through full plan examination and review and so this was something where they were in operation pursuant to valid permits, to build-out [sic] pursuant to valid permits, put hundreds of thousands of dollars of improvements into the property pursuant to valid permits. So several years I was in front of the Council on the... I believe it was the Kingsbridge Mall in Brooklyn, and I had a client who had a property adjacent to that mall and basically the Council approved a zoning change to ratify an architectural error; the mall had built too far into the rail yard, Community Board 15, same community board; Community Board 15 voted against that application. And so I came here as a representative of a private applicant to say, you guys are basically allowing this clear error by an architect to govern land use determinations and so I

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

argued and I said I didn't think that that was appropriate and the Council said you know what, people make mistakes, and so while we're sympathetic with this neighboring owner, the truth of the matter is that from a land use perspective, we think this was a good decision and so they ratified what was an illegal building. And so now we find ourselves here today with the difference being that Community Board 15 voted on this twice and by a vote of 20-5 approved this application, saying that, you know what; people make mistakes and land use changes and this is

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: So if I could try to paraphrase what you're saying is, you would agree that originally they probably were not consistent with the Sheepshead Bay Special District, but it's your contention that having shown that they are good neighbors, shown that the Community Board now is supportive; initially they were not, that we should sort of take that into consideration and realizing that there needs to be a change to the text in order to incorporate this business that is well-received by members of the community?

appropriate for these subdistricts now.

approximately 100 seats, and then there's indoor food

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 42 2 -- there's indoor accessory restaurant use, which I think amounts to about 2500 square feet; [background 3 4 comment] right, [background comment] which is all year round, which is -- my client tells me it's about 5 2,000 square feet. [background comment] And it's... 6 7 yes... [crosstalk] CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Also, Mr. 8 Lobel, what other businesses are there now? What are 9 the other businesses? 10 11 [pause] 12 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: They gotta hire you 13 an assistant there. Okay. Alright... [crosstalk] 14 RICHARD LOBEL: That's correct. So the 15 technology fails us all. So you can see that there's 16 certain -- in green there's café and restaurant uses 17 on the ground floor, on the remaining portion of the 18 property; the building itself is roughly 51,000 square feet; Cherry Hill operates the 15,000-square-19 20 foot food store, plus 6500-square-foot accessory, so about 21-22,000 square feet; the remainder of the 21 2.2 uses are devoted to eating and drinking, retail and 23 office.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Can you tell me what

specific businesses are there now?

24

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure. I would go with the ground floor, which has the -- there's the Momo Ya... [crosstalk, background comment] sorry... Momoyama Restaurant, which occupies 4,000 square feet; the Masal Café, which is approximately 3800 square feet, and then there's retail of about 2750.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: So those restaurants are doing well, they're there, they do well? 'Cause at the beginning you mentioned something, that restaurants had tried to open up... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: in the space at

Cherry Hill and just were not successful; how is it...

[crosstalk]

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure.

RICHARD LOBEL: Right.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: that these restaurants are able to survive?

RICHARD LOBEL: I think that the restaurants reflect land use patters in the building, which was smaller retail operations, as well as the fact that this is a landmark building, so you're not able to make changes to the exterior of the building and when these occupied this space, so it's basically you're hampered a little bit by your inability to

2

3

4

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 44 draw attention to separate businesses and so you're really kind of hampered by the building in a sense; it devotes itself to a large space, which is why the

5

other restaurants that went in this space, including

6 7

which operates the Central Park Boat Café, very

ones that were operate by Taam [sp?] Restaurants,

8

successful businesses... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Right.

9

RICHARD LOBEL: despite the fact that,

11 Chair, they put literally over \$4 million into

12

renovations of the space; were unable to make a go of

13

it through 2004, which is when all those restaurant

14 15 uses ended. I'd also add that while running space in the Sheepshead Bay District generally that there is,

16

as was put on the record, particularly in the

17

Brooklyn Borough President's Office, a tremendous

18

amount of vacancy in the area; there are for let

1920

signs, there are businesses that have gone dark; I

think one of the concerns of the Community Board here

21

was that if this application fails, that you facing a

22

very real possibility, as can be commented by general

23

counsel to Cherry Hill, that the lease will be

24

terminated and this property will go dark and you

turn to the public whose here; we have a lot of

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 46 2 people here to testify. As I mentioned, we're gonna limit people to two minutes on the clock; there may 3 be some questions, so it could help you elaborate on 4 5 what your two-minute speech was. Give me one second while I figure out how we're doing this. We're gonna 6 7 alternate between people opposed and people in favor,

[pause]

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Oh... [interpose]

for the record, so give me one second.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you, Chair; I would just ask one question, which is that... [crosstalk] CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN:

Sure.

RICHARD LOBEL: Mr. Nitka of Cherry Hill was wondering if he will still have an opportunity to speak on the record.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: He can make his ... [background comments] you can make a... You have a statement you wanna make; you can ... [background comments] Well if you wait, you're gonna have to, you know, we'll come back at a later point; I mean I... I... [background comment] you wanna testify separately as a panel in favor of the project? [background comment] Okay. Okay; wanna add him into the oppo ... [background comment] Okay; that's... fine.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Association.

Alright, so I'm gonna call up a panel in opposition to this project -- Do we have four chairs there, Ray? We good for four? [background comment] Yeah, okay. We're gonna call people up four at a time and like I said, everyone will get a chance to testify who wants to testify. I'm gonna start with Steve Barrison, Cliff Bruckenstein, Kelly Carroll, and [background comments] Ed Jaworski. [background comments] Okay. Should've brought my glasses with me [background comment]

So I wanna remind you all to please state your name when you speak. I missed him... You can decide who's gonna testify first, just again, make sure to say your name when you do speak so we can sort this out if we look back at it later. Whenever you're ready. Yeah, just make sure the mics are on; they sometimes have the counterintuitive [background comments] way the light will... yeah. [background comments] Good; you're in good company on that, by the way. 'Kay, you're not confused. Alright.

ED JAWORSKI: Good morning, Ed Jaworski, President, Madison-Marine-Homecrest Civic

2.2

A few years ago I was gathering some information about a contentious and abused special permit known at Zoning Resolution 73-622; it's unique to just four community boards. That special permit and CB15's rubberstamp policy is behind the fact that CB15 has more demolitions than neighboring community boards, hundreds of stop-work orders in effect, thousands of violations and millions of dollars in unpaid DOB, ECB fines. If this text amendment before you to legalize Cherry Hill breaking the law is passed, it will be another welcome mat for more illegal work.

When I was looking into the abuse of 73-622, I came upon the concept of spot zoning; at one point I wrote to City Planning and I'd like to read part of the response from the legal counsel at the time; you have copies of the full letter in front of you.

"Dear Mr. Jaworski, the New York State
Zoning Enabling Statues require that the land use
regulations be adopted in accordance with a
comprehensive plan. The New York State Court of
Appeals stated spot zoning is the very antithesis of
plan zoning. The Court of Appeals defines spot

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 zoning as the process of singling out a small parcel

3 of land for a use classification totally different

4 from that of the surrounding area for the benefit of

5 the owner of such property. If however an ordinance

6 is enacted in accordance with a comprehensive plan,

7 | it is not spot zoning."

Of course there is no comprehensive plan for this Cherry Hill amendment. If this amendment to legalize the illegal passes, then it will be time for the Assembly and State Cities Committees to examine legalizing the illegal passes like the State Assembly and Zoning Committee did when they looked at the DOB a few years ago, and at that point Commissioner Lancaster was forced to step down.

City Planning and Community Board 15

deserve scrutiny for allowing spot zoning for [bell]

approving a new text amendment when there is so much

illegal work under current rules; surely taxpayers

will be concerned in a time of tight budgets that

illegal work is linked at and approximate a billion

dollars is unpaid in DOB/ECB fines citywide.

In closing, this is an obvious illegal spot zoning and please tell the public why it's

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 50 acceptable to break the law and be given a free pass for the violation. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you. Go ahead, next. Great. Make sure to say your name, please.

KELLY CARROLL: Yes. Good afternoon, my name's Kelly Carroll [bell] and I am the Director of... [interpose, background comment] okay... I'm with the Historic Districts Council.

I just wanna start my statement by saying that the fact that the building is a landmark is completely irrelevant; it's a 100 percent false that you can't change the exterior of a landmarked building; I work with that all day. Landmarks also does not regulate use and Landmarks also does not regulate interior space, so the fact that the building is landmarked, if it's preventing business of any kind; that's what hardship filing is for. So with that I'll proceed with my statement.

HDC is opposed to the Zoning Text

Amendment proposed for this individual landmark Lundy

Brothers building in the Sheepshead Bay Special

District and views this amendment as a legalization.

2.2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

2223

24

25

The Special District was created in '73 to strengthen and protect the unique character of this waterfront community, and like all comprehensive plans, this can only be successful if its special provisions are continuously adhered to, enforcing the plan in the long term. Regardless, Cherry Hill was aware of the building's location within this special district and that is why it was supposed to operate as a restaurant with a small market component; it has been operating as the reverse, an enormous market with a small dining component. The fact that Cherry Hill has survived as a business in this space is also irrelevant, as the allowance of this amount of floor space for an illegal use eclipses the opportunity for other legal uses in the Special District. As long as this store remains open, the community and the city's hard-won vision for the Special District remains flouted.

HDC finds a text amendment for special zoning for the benefit of one tenant unacceptable. If the use is determined to be retroactively legal, it will undermine the function of the district as a long-term tool to preserve and protect this historic harbor and will set a dangerous precedent regarding

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the function of the Zoning Resolution and the law citywide. If approved, the only thing special about this Special District will be the special permission granted to owners and/or developments to ignore the law. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.

CLIFF BRUCKENSTEIN: Hello, my name is Cliff Bruckenstein; I'm second generation resident of Sheepshead Bay. I live within the Special District; I've worked for the community in many, many different ways and only for the betterment of Sheepshead Bay, in general as a larger component.

It seems when people say Lundy's was not in serve, well the building was part of an estate for many, many years; it was one of the largest estates in South Brooklyn to be broken up. When it was reopened as a restaurant I was very happy; many things happened when it was open for a restaurant around the city -- 9/11 happened; we had many different hard times; Sandy hit the neighborhood very hard -- it has, and what I like to see is what's in Lundy's on the other side of Lundy's now; more than half the building is used for restaurant, offices, doctors' offices and other offices and Anthony Weiner

4 just saying that there were many other uses in that

5 building and a restaurant is really what is needed in

6 that area, and I believe it would work well.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

Just to work on another point; let's say

I want to open up an IHOP on Ocean Parkway and Avenue

U; could I just open it up and then come here and

okay it, have it approved? Could I open up in

Manhattan Beach an IHOP and come back years later

that everybody likes it and approve it here, to make

it zoned right? It's very questionable when a

business operates for many years illegally, for

whatever reason [bell].

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Alright,

Mr. Barrison. I promise I'll ask you a question, so don't get too upset about the two minutes.

20 STEVE BARRISON: My name is Steve

21 | Barrison, President of the Bay Improvement Group; I'm

22 | here representing Preserve Our Waterfront, eight

23 | organizations; I've been involved in the Special

District for over 32 years; I've given my life to

this, besides state and city boards. I worked at the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 54

Landmarks Commission as volunteer for five years, at
the 25th anniversary.

2.2

What the supporters don't understand is nobody's against Cherry Hill, nobody; it's a nice store and that's what you're all gonna say, they give donations, they give it to the temple and all the people here have received donations, so it's one big, two rooms full of conflict of interest; even Raisa, who Councilman Greenfield spoke of because he didn't like the letter written by Big Earl; she stood up with signs and said that I'm anti-Russian and anti-Semitic, defamatory, slanderous, libelous, disgusting behavior, considering I'm a Russian Jew, just like you. I've never seen anything like it in my life; all we said was follow the law... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Stay on the point; you're gonna lose out on your time... [crosstalk]

STEVE BARRISON: Alright, well here's the bottom line; it's right or wrong; this procedure's wrong, okay; that's the bottom line and if you allow this, then you should just forget about the BSA; you really probably don't even need zoning anymore, just open up, create your audience in a special district designed to last hundreds of years and then bring all

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

your supporters that love the place and tell them
that government's gonna shut you down. The truth is;

4 we are centuries behind the rest of the world on

5 preservation, we only started Landmarks 50 years ago;

6 this is the anniversary this year; special districts

7 were designed to last hundreds of years, and yes,

8 there are many restaurants that wanna come into this

9 place; that's what I do for a living as an attorney;

10 I've gotten calls from national chains, local chains;

11 restaurant operators right in Sheepshead Bay.

As far as the building, I'd like to answer all of the things that Council said, but there's not enough time. That picture was taken of the rear of the building when there as an estate battle for 16 years; it was then fixed up in '95, as Cliff spoke about, to a very successful reopening of Lundy's until 9/11, 'cause the same people invested millions of dollars of personal guarantee and 9/11 wiped them out, and that's the truth and that's the bottom line; they're opening [bell] more Cherry Hills right now; there's a Cherry Hill opening on Avenue U, they're advertising in the Russian newspaper; I don't read Russian, but all my Russian friends showed me that they're asking for employees. So I'd like to

STEVE BARRISON: That's correct.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

> 13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: but you have a problem with it being a supermarket, grocery; is that... is that... [interpose]

STEVE BARRISON: It's all about use. No, it's if you open up...

and you guys on the Land

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Yeah.

STEVE BARRISON:

Use Committee deal with this every single day; if you're in a special district for certain kinds of uses, and this being the only Maritime Special Use District in New York, with the exception of Coney Island, which is for amusements, City Island is a different kind of special district; the idea is to enhance and complement the waterfront and it's working, there are cafés and restaurants up and down the entire strip; the landowner, who owns the most successful block, from Baku all the way to Randazzo, and the Applebee's owner called us and called the councilman and said he's in favor of it; he would love to have, the more the merrier; other restaurant operators said they too, the more the merrier. far as vacancies, there's something called Hurricane Sandy; it's only two-and-a-half years out; I suggest you go to New Orleans, seven years out; they're still 4 comments]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: You know, I do think some of the rhetoric is over the top in that it is not... it's not like we're putting in something that's a completely use, in my mind; I understand, I agree; I mean... I mean, I think the attorney agreed that at the time that the applicant wanted to go in there that they didn't think it was consistent with the special district, so I may agree with you on that, but there are more extreme [background comment] examples of -- you know, a supermarket that has a café portion is not so different than a restaurant as compared to other businesses that might come in there.

STEVE BARRISON: Well that's only in the nice weather; they couldn't open until just a few days ago and you know, that's weather-related, you gotta hope it doesn't rain; not too hot; not too windy...

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Well...

STEVE BARRISON: so it's a limited amount of time at best during the year and that, you know

2 dozen or so seats inside doesn't qualify as a

3 restaurant and you know that competes with all the

4 other groceries in the neighborhood and they're now

5 hurt.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: You mentioned that this, you know, this special permit district, use district is unique to this area; granted, passed in the 1970s through the ULURP process by the City Council; it was something that was felt was needed then, but -- So listening to you talk, you act like it's the Constitution of the United States, which by

14 [crosstalk]

STEVE BARRISON: No, but it's the intent of it... it's the intent and... and it's in its infancy... [crosstalk]

the way has been amended many times, so and ...

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: and but let me say,

I... I... and I just wanna be clear; I'm not... [crosstalk]

STEVE BARRISON: Sorry.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I'm not being antagonistic [background comment] as much as -- you know, things change; I mean times do change on occasion and as long as you're consistent with what's good for a neighborhood, I think it is, you know,

important that there can be changes, but granted,

3 there is a reason we set up districts and rules have

4 | to be followed, so I agree with you on that point

5 | that this definitely was a questionable use when it

6 was established and we're trying to decide whether

7 circumstances have changed somehow that make it, you

8 know, somehow -- that maybe it isn't as questionable

9 as originally felt, but you know I mean you can't

10 | treat it like you know you break the rules, 'cause

11 | there is the fear that was mentioned; will anything

12 | else go in there; I know you say you have restaurants

13 | that would go in there -- the Community Board, some

14 of the information we got from the Community Board is

15 | they were concerned that they would have an empty

16 store for a very long time and would hurt the

17 | community.

18 STEVE BARRISON: Well it's interesting

19 | the Community Board was against it and then once the

20 chairperson of the Community Board and others got

21 donations from Cherry Hill that now they're for it,

22 so... so... [crosstalk]

23 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Well we don't ... we

24 don't know that; I mean...

STEVE BARRISON:

25

1995.

before they opened in 2009, was already battling for

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: To get that space?

STEVE BARRISON: construction, there were stop work orders, there was all kinds of stuff going on for a few years, so I mean they said that, they admit; there's no debate on that. So it was only a few years before they opened; it's a big project, they had to change the awnings and I'm sure they had to do renovation.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Mr. Greenfield has questions as well, so let me call on Mr. Greenfield.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

So I think what we try to do here in the Land Use Committee, and this is why we're trying to keep things on track; is we try to argue the merits of every application, which is why, and we're gonna — just so you are clear, Mr. Barrison, we're gonna hold the people who are pro by the same standard; we're not gonna allow people to hurl insults or to question people's integrity; it's not what we do over here. What we do is we focus specifically on the zoning. I wanna just you know address a few of the

3 is that we act here at the Land Use Committee.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The whole purpose of the Land Use Committee of the New York City Council is in fact to, via the City Charter, which is the equivalent of our Constitution, as the Chair referenced, is for us to actually review zoning; zoning is a living, breathing document, because the reality is that zoning does have to change; that's not to say that zoning here should change; I'm just trying to explain the concept, right. The idea is that the city keeps changing, so for example today, right before you, we had unanimous approval for a zoning application that the zoning on it was actually a lot younger; I believe it was 1982, when there was the East Midtown zoning that restricted the heights of buildings in East Midtown to 15 FAR and today we had unanimous approval of the Subcommittee and I imagine, as the Chair, I believe that we will have unanimous approval of the full committee as well to allow for an area that is 15 FAR to literally double in height and to create a skyscraper in Midtown where in 1982 we said that was not permissible. So what are we doing? by the standard that you have outlined here today,

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 66 2 you would say that's outrageous, it's ridiculous, but that's not true, that's what we do. What we do is; 3 we look at the zoning and we try to decide; is the zoning still relevant from 1982; is it relevant from 5 1973; is it relevant from the 1960s when the modern 6 7 zoning took into effect; sometimes we agree, sometimes we disagree; that's what a democracy is all 8 about. And so I specifically wanna refer to what Ed 9 was referring to; this is not spot zoning either; I'm 10 sorry, but you're misinformed, and I do this a lot 11 12 because I like to help people understand what goes on; I don't want you to be frustrated when you leave 13 14 today; I want you to understand what's happening. 15 Spot zoning is exactly what you refer to; I believe 16 your name was Cliff; is that correct? Which would 17 be, on Ocean Parkway, in a residential district to 18 wake up one day and to throw a restaurant there; that would be spot zoning; right? Over here there's a 19 20 commercial district; there already are commercial establishments; what the application is for has to 21 2.2 solely do with what is called the use group, right; 23 it's a question of what can you do in that building. So I'm happy to chat about it; [background comment] 24

let me just finish my point. So my point is, just so

4

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

you understand, we're not engaging in spot zoning; [background comment] this is not spot zoning; this is a question of the use group that is allowed, and to refer back to your point, Mr. Barrison, that is what we do, we have conversations and we're not prejudging it; we're here to hear both sides of the equation; the only thing that we're insisting on is that it be a civil conversation and we focus on the merits. think a good example of focusing on the merits was; Kelly's testimony very clearly focused on the issues that she was concerned about from a historic perspective, which we appreciate and respect and we're certainly open to having conversations and to hearing feedback when you have questions or concerns about the merits of the application, but just so you understand; this is what we do and we do it every day; we look at the zoning, we tweak the zoning; sometimes we agree, sometimes we disagree; we certainly take into account what the Community Board said; you may not be happy, but the reality is that the Community Board did overwhelmingly vote in favor of it; we obviously take into account what the local council member says; we take into account what the people who come out and testify say, and through all

understand what's happening today; not looking... I'm

not look... sorry Cliff; we're not looking... it's not a

24

```
1
               SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
                                                         69
 2
     debate and we're not looking to get back and forth;
     I'm simply trying to clarify what is the proper role
 3
     of the Zoning Committee of the New York City Council.
 4
     And with that I... I... [crosstalk]
 5
                STEVE BARRISON: Can I give you a point
 6
 7
     of information?
                COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I... I am done ...
 8
 9
     No.
10
                 STEVE BARRISON: We are rewriting the
     zoning; we've been working on it for years...
11
12
     [crosstalk]
13
                COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: That's great.
14
                STEVE BARRISON: and it's a use district;
15
     not... you're combining it with all the zoning
16
     districts; it's a littler different, a special use
     district... [crosstalk]
17
                COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: We're... We're...
18
     But... but... but Mr. Barrison, we have one application;
19
20
     you're a very smart man, you are, it's very apparent,
     you're a very smart man, and I think you're trying to
21
2.2
     confuse the issues; we have one question before us
23
     today and that is the question on the use group 6B,
     food store within Subdistrict B of the Special
24
```

Sheepshead Bay District, which applies solely to

Block 8775, Lot 4-1; it's a question as to one piece of property and for this piece of property that is allowed to have commercial uses, can we expand those uses to the application that is being requested today, which would be a hybrid of a supermarket and a restaurant establishment as described in the description; that is the only question here today.

Now, if you wanna make the argument; it's fully within your right to make the argument; if you do this, other people will come, true... [crosstalk]

STEVE BARRISON: How do you say no after

2.2

that?

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: and then... and then... we do because we make these decisions every day; that's the point I wanna make. I don't want you to think and no developer should think that simply because we may or may not, because we haven't prejudged this application; we may or may not agree with this or disagree with this; that does not preclude anyone tomorrow, if we vote it down, it doesn't mean someone tomorrow can't come and make an application and if we vote it up, it doesn't mean the next guy's gonna get it either. It's one question having to do with one piece of property, which is one

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 1 71 2 issue and it's only this particular Lundy's/Cherry Hill Gourmet Supermarket, that's all we're discussing 3 today; now you're worried about the precedent; that's 4 fair, but let's be clear about the facts, as 5 represented in the letter, which says that it would 6 7 impact the entire neighborhood, that's just not true, so I just want people to understand what it is that 8 we're discussing, whether you're watching at home or 9 whether you're here today, the only question before 10 us today on this one particular piece of property; 11 12 whether we can change the use for it. I'm happy to hear from both sides on that and we're [background 13 comment] certainly gonna obviously come to a 14 15 conclusion... [crosstalk] 16 STEVE BARRISON: Can I ask you a 17 question? 18 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: because we have to... No, it doesn't work that way... [crosstalk] 19 20 STEVE BARRISON: Oh okay. COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: doesn't work 21 2.2 that way. We have to... We have to wrap it 23 up, but I'm certainly happy to hear you, I'm certainly happy to hear others as well; we will make 24

a conclusion by the time that the full committee

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

meets on Thursday, which I chair, and we're happy and

72

3 welcome to have information and so we're not

4 prejudging it; I just don't -- A lot of times people

5 come in and they're frustrated 'cause they don't

6 understand what's happening; we're focused on one

7 project, the use of that project; [background

8 | comment] that's it; you know like it, I respect that;

9 | that's fair and [background comment] some of the

10 | arguments you've made have been valid, but we're not

11 going to allow obviously to bleed into misinformation

or [background comment] personal attacks; we're

13 | focusing on the facts of this one application.

Thank you very much, Mr. Chair; I'll turn

15 | it over to you.

1

2

14

25

16 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you,

17 Mr. Greenfield. Before you leave -- don't get up

18 | yet; I just... I wanna be clear on this. So again,

19 | nobody doesn't like Cherry Hill, but there's a

20 problem with this site. Now can you describe for me,

21 | what's the problem with this business; is it you

22 don't like the idea of a supermarket in general like

23 | there, you know if it was a restaurant it would be so

24 different and things would be so much wonderful or

you're more worried about the precedent that if we

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 73 add a supermarket to the use groups that somehow the next group's gonna say, well I can put my business in there; I can put my... you know whatever I wanna put in there?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

STEVE BARRISON: Yeah, you...

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Explain to me what the big issue is. \\$ 

STEVE BARRISON: Okay, you touched upon all three points. Number one, once you allow one, there are many people that have said, well then why can't I open down the street another? Number two, normally you go to the Board of Standards and Appeals, which is the right procedure; not a retroactive text change after you've been operating all this time illegally, and number three, most importantly, is the whole design of the entire area. You have the complimentary use of the shopping districts and to compliment the waterfront, recreation and entertainment [background comment] uses; we are suddenly now creating competition where there never was before; other places in the area looked at Cherry Hill, were gonna open there; found out the zoning didn't allow and went back to the shopping district. So you kinda like, [background

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 74 2 comment] this guy created his own customers; of course the people go there. Which one of you on the 3 4 panel have ever walked into a business in New York City and asked what the zoning is or are they legally 5 operating; nobody does. The truth is; nobody's aware 6 of this stuff... [crosstalk] CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Never know, people 8 do some weird stuff; don't worry about it... 9 [crosstalk] 10 11 STEVE BARRISON: Well you know what I'm 12 saying; nobody knows and we all know and our 13 councilman knows, so the truth is, it's about -- how 14 are we goin' about this? 15 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Alright, Mr. 16 Barrison -- Would you massage his shoulders just to 17 calm him down a little bit? Okay. Mr. Barrison, now 18 so... Alright, so I just wanna... I just... [crosstalk] I'm not against anybody... 19 STEVE BARRISON: 20 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I really... I'm just tryin' to get clear here. So the issues are just 21 2.2 sort of on principle that if you, you know we break 23 the rules here, we might have a problem in the future; that seems to be the biggest -- you know, why 24

give these guys a break; they were breaking the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 75

rules; now we're rewarding them for breaking the

rules, even though we love this -- you know the

business isn't a problem, the business itself is not

that big a problem except for competition, it's

7 itself isn't creating a traffic nightmare or issues

rather a good business [sic], but the supermarket

8 as far as everyone hates it, it's smelly, it's dirty;

9 | it's not those issues; it's the idea on principle of

10 they broke the rules; why should we reward them; why

11 should they be allowed to do it; why weren't other

12 people allowed to do it; what about the next guy

who's gonna try to do it; is that sort of the big

14 issues?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

6

it from somebody else. I got a call from a student in Boston on Friday who saw it on the internet and called me up; I was very surprised, she's at a prestigious school; she said hi, you don't know me, but I read the thing online and I know Sheepshead Bay, but I don't live in New York and she said, I don't understand, there's only one Sheepshead Bay; why can't the store go someplace else; what's the big deal, and they have other stores. And I laughed and I said well that's kinda like what it's all about.

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 76 2 As far as the precedent, as an attorney; I honestly don't know if any of you are attorneys, but the whole 3 system of laws and democracy's based on -- you can go 4 to court; even if you guys approve or disapprove, and 5 a smart attorney can go into court and say hey... 6 7 [crosstalk] CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. I'm gonna 8 wrap this up; I just wanna one more time on this 9 point, 'cause I wanna just be clear. First of all, I 10 11 don't know what it was you're referring to that she 12 saw online, the student saw online... [interpose] 13 STEVE BARRISON: The Cherry Hill debate, you know, the debate over the issue of... [crosstalk] 14 15 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Like a video of you 16 at a committee meeting or something or a debate...? 17 [crosstalk] STEVE BARRISON: Well there's ... there's 18 lots of stuff online. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: That type of thing. Okay. I just wanna be clear how this ruined 21 2.2 Sheepshead Bay; like Sheepshead Bay is Sheepshead

24

23

Bay... [crosstalk]

follow the regulations the appropriate way; go for a

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 78 2 BSA variance; that's the normal way you do something 3 when you're... [crosstalk] 4 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Right. And uh... 5 STEVE BARRISON: correcting an improper 6 use. 7 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Right, so you don't like the... But the problem is is that they're 8 violating the rules as they were set and what the 9 10 purpose of this agreement was and if they wanna try to change that, you think they should go a different 11 12 way and not violate this district that was set up? 13 STEVE BARRISON: It's why we have laws 14 and procedures. 15 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Right. 16 STEVE BARRISON: It either applies to all 17 of us or none of us, so. 18 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Right, I just wanted to try to be clear, really, it was just... 19 20 wasn't comin' from an angle or anything; I know it's hard to imagine. But alright, I don't have any other 21 2.2 questions; does anybody else wanna ask anything? 23 Well thank you very much, and we will move on to the

next panel; you're all welcome to stick around.

2.2

23

24

I now... [background comments] Yeah, we know there are people in the other room as well...
[background comments] so if you are finished and you wanna clear out some seats here, they may wanna move into this room eventually, but if you hear your name in the other room, please come in; you could even try to yell through the wall and we'll hear you; we apologize for the logistics of this building.

I'm now gonna call up a panel in favor; we're gonna call up Sam Nitka, Avrohom Hertz, come up for that, Anthony Kelly; I assume no relation to last Kelly, and then Abramoff; I can't read the first [background comments] Elias [sp?]. Okay. [background comments] Yes. So that's four. Alright, I will remind you again, when you speak to please say your name; we didn't do as well on that last panel as I probably should have to make sure people kept saying their name when they spoke, but again, we're gonna limit you to two minutes; I do give a little leeway, but I can't do that all the time because then it causes complete chaos, okay. So you decide who goes first. Again, say your name.

ANTHONY KELLY: My name is Anthony Kelly...

25 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Perfect.

2 [background comment]

2.2

ANTHONY KELLY: A simple question -- Why are we here? I need to correct the record; these are not self-certified drawings; these were signed, sealed by your two Commissioners, Mossad [sp?] and Gallagher; they're not self-certified; this was approved. And I hear Barrison speak, a dozen seats; there's 45 seats in the café; there's more than that outside.

I have no interest in this at all other than I built the place; everything is signed, sealed; built to approval. Our problems came in when Kruger got involved, your Senator Kruger, because we didn't play... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Carl Kruger.

ANTHONY KELLY: 'cause we didn't play ball with him. You know there were so many rumors, there were so… [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I... I'm just gonna caution you now, because this is the same issue that Council Member Greenfield brought up before, [background comment] just be careful not to [background comment] get into stuff that's not related to the land use thing; try not to talk about

the people who already spoke; you can talk about the

3 points they made -- hold the clock -- okay, good. We

81

4 can talk about points people made; don't mention

5 people by name; everyone's emotional on this

6 [background comment]; we don't wanna start trouble,

7 and then when you're gonna mention something, you

8 know, a former elected official, don't make it about

9 someone being corrupt, even though he was, it's not

10 | relevant to the land use meeting before us today; you

11 see what I'm sayin'? So let's [background comment]

12 | just be careful and that's for everybody.

ANTHONY KELLY: So back to my original question -- Why are we here? We have two commissioners, commissioners that signed off on this and approved it, okay; we are 8-9,000-square-foot kitchen, bakery, hot food section; you total that together, there's very little room for the supermarket, as they wanna call it. This is a witch hunt and it needs to stop; it's absolutely... the

21 letter that was written, I mean that's slander,

22 | that's ridiculous. [background comment] I'm gonna

23 stay on the subject...

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Right.

24

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

ANTHONY KELLY: but again, nobody here can tell us why we're here, other than the fact that Cherry Hill should not be there. Why? We have a café, we have a restaurant [background comments]... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Right.

ANTHONY KELLY: we have a bakery, we have everything -- What's this over, apples and bananas?

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Alright. Are you done... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: You don't have to use the whole two minutes either; I wanna be clear, you know there's... when you start getting into train of thought, I'm...

ANTHONY KELLY: I'm done. I'm done.

ANTHONY KELLY: Well I'm clear on the topic [sic]... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I could tell you why we're here, everyone here, 'cause there's an application before the City, going through the ULURP process to change the use groups and to allow this business to be legal, so that's why we're here; that's why Mr. Greenfield and Mr. Deutsch and Ann McCoy are here to hear this thing; we're gonna give

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ANTHONY KELLY: So I have two commissioners that signed off on this... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Right; I understand.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So the answer sir to your question is, and just once again, this is just like how we were trying to explain to the last panel, we're trying to explain to you as well, which is that there was a determination made that obviously those commissioners signed off in error and that the use group in fact does not allow a supermarket; that's why we're here, and also just to correct something that was said before; this is in fact the proper jurisdiction, right; so this is not -- this is the ideal way to do it; the ideal way to do it is not in fact to seek a variance; it is in fact, if you want to make something glatt kosher, to use a term from Brooklyn; the way you do it is you actually change the zoning and specifically, you would change the use group. So that's why we're here, we're here to have a conversation about something and I hear your argument, which is that you're saying obviously that when you did this you thought you did it

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 84 2 correctly and you got the signoff and that's why you went in there; I think it's an important point, but 3 4 as to the question as to why you're here, the answer is because obviously it appears that those original determinations were made in error, even if though 6 7 they were made; believe it or not, this happens all the time, our council can tell you, not every 8 government agency's familiar with the rules of zoning 9 and the zoning does not allow a supermarket here and 10 that's what we're discussing, which is whether we 11 12 should change the zoning to allow a supermarket only 13 for this one location, and if we do that, then all is 14 well and if not, obviously it's pretty clear that the 15 store will have to shut down. So thank you, sir. 16 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Alright. Well let's 17 move on to the next panel and by the way, we use 18 glatt kosher in Queens too. [background comments] Next pa... next panelist, right. Okay, next... sorry; 19 20 that's what I meant. [background comments] RABBI AVROHOM HERTZ: My name is Rabbi 21 2.2 Avrohom Hertz; I'm a Rabbi in the community of 23 Brooklyn, a friend of Cherry Hill... [interpose] COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Do you also 24

keep glatt kosher, just so we know? Okay.

2 [background comments]

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

RABBI AVROHOM HERTZ: They are friends of the community; people support it in the community; I just would like to share my observation.

I grew up in Brooklyn; for 30 [sic] years pharmacies used to sell only prescriptions; now you walk into Walgreens, they sell more food than medicine. You mentioned before, Chair Weprin, you mentioned constitution; Constitution of America says by the people, for the people; there's no question that the community today does support it and even Mr. Barrison mentioned he has no problem with the actual usage of the place really; it's a problem about how will then buy [sic]. As a Rabbi I would like to say that people do make mistakes, but we focus on the present and the future, and the present is that Cherry Hill is a great asset for the community, it employs many people, it helps the community; involved with supporting different organizations -- the police and charitable organizations. So I'm here just to support it and I know that Councilman Deutsch is a friend of the community; has done wonderful work for our community SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 and we hope that you will support this project.

Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Young man.

ELIAS ABRAMOFF: Hi, my name is Elias Abramoff; I'm the maitre d' of Cherry Hill, in the restaurant; we have over 45 seats indoors and we have about 102 outdoors. And from experience, I have a chance to speak to people who come into the restaurant who haven't been into Lundy's in 30 years; unlike most people in this room, I do have the opportunity to speak to people and they tell me on a consistent basis that this place became much better than it used to be. I mean they tell me that Lundy's was a strictly seafood restaurant that was huge and they enjoyed it and as children or as, you know when they were younger, they remember it differently than When they walk in they see it's a beautiful place and it's till an active restaurant; we have full seating indoors full-year round and outdoors is seasonal, depending on the weather; nobody wants to sit outside in the winter. And change is what America's all about and unlike most people also, that seal is -- when the Dutch settlers came to New York,

Mr. Brian Moore, who passed away two days ago who was

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 88 tragically killed. We're here for politics and our store, but certain things in life are a little bit

more important than just business.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Let me tell you about Cherry Hill quickly, and I'll try and stay within the guidelines.

Cherry Hill today employs people from different walks of life; we employ Iraq war veterans in our store, our produce manager is a veteran of the military, our maintenance man is a member of the military; we have people from Russia, from any country you can think of, speaking any language you want. We carry multiple types of foods, as they stated; bakery and hot food and cold food, etc., etc., etc. But I'd like to get back to a bigger issue; what was Sheepshead Bay when Lundy's was closed? I grew up there; I grew up in Brighton Beach; Lundy's, when it was closed, was a haven for drugs infestation [sic]; you could go through the parking lot, you'll see the needles, the crack vials. We were told at the City Planning Commission that we had desecrated the building. I don't like the word desecration, I like to leave it for things such as temples and churches and cemeteries. As the son of a Holocaust survivor, we were brought up somewhat

20 understand the hard work they put into Sheepshead Bay; however, in those days you put a nickel or dime 21 2.2 into the phone booth; it went to a quarter; we went 23 to a beeper; today's it's a cell phone; things adapt,

things change, the world changes. We would like ...

Steve Barrison at the Community Board before the

Brooklyn Borough President, before CPC and I

24

18

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 90
2	[background comment] We would like to change with you
3	and we would like you to change with us. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. I just wanna
5	first figure out who everyone is. Now Rabbi, you are
6	a rabbi from a synagogue in the area or do you just
7	live in the area?
8	RABBI AVROHOM HERTZ: In Brook… no, in
9	Brooklyn.
10	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Somewhere else in
11	Brooklyn?
12	RABBI AVROHOM HERTZ: Yeah.
13	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: And your affiliation
14	with Cherry Hill is you are friends with them?
15	RABBI AVROHOM HERTZ: Friends with people
16	who work there and friends with many customers who go
17	there
18	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay.
19	RABBI AVROHOM HERTZ: come to our
20	synagogue and they are part of the community.
21	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Alright,
22	that's true [sic]. And Sam, your title is what with
23	Cherry Hill?
24	SAMUEL NITKA: My title is problem-
	1

solver...

consultant... [crosstalk]

if there's anyone here that I didn't get that wanted

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 94 2 to testify. So I am gonna call... [background comment] 3 I'm gonna call Nick up, Nick P., Nick with a P., [background comment] there you go, thank you, 'cause 4 that... you could be a doctor with that handwriting. 5 [laughter] Raisy [sic]; have I got that right, 6 7 Chetinina [sp?]... [background comments] close? Risa... Raisa; Nameek [sp?]... it's a combination of my eyes 8 and the handwriting, so I'm gonna -- Yevdaldev [sp?]; 9 [background comment] Okay, and Rabbi Shlomo 10 right? Cohen, [background comments] Rabbi Cohen. Okay. 11 12 [background comments] Whenever you guys can decide 13 who goes first; again, state your name. [background 14 comments] Rabbi, they took a vote; you won, or lost, 15 depending on how you look at it, but whenever you're 16 ready. 17 RABBI SHLOMO COHEN: I quess we can still 18 say good morning. [background comment] My name is Rabbi Shlomo Cohen and I am the rabbi of Chabad of 19

Sheepshead Bay, two blocks away from Cherry Hill. I got to know Mr. David Isaev, the owner of Cherry Hill, a few years ago when I needed some food for people, that we gave out to the poor people on the holiday of Passover; someone suggested to go over to Cherry Hill and that was the first time I met

2.2

Mr. David Isaev an don that day he loaded up my minivan full with food without asking anything. And I wanted to point out; I live in Sheepshead Bay with my family since 1992; we enjoy to take a walk down Emmons Avenue, we enjoy the scenery and we have seen the changes; I remember the time when they started to rebuild Lundy's and I wanna tell you that I did not know that there was a supermarket in that place until much later when someone actually told me there's a supermarket, because when you walk outside you don't see any signs of a supermarket; it looks just like a restaurant like any other restaurant from the outside; people sitting outside, it's beautiful.

Another point I wanted to make; I resent the fact that it was mentioned that people are being paid off, giving donations; I wanna tell you,

Mr. Isaev was very generous way before he opened up

Cherry Hill in Sheepshead Bay; as a matter of fact,

we get donations from many different organizations

and it happens to be that I do get also donations

from a competitor of Cherry Hill that would benefit

from Cherry Hill closing. So we are here because we

think the right thing should be done. Thank you very

much.

3 Next please.

2.2

NOAH YEVDAYEV: Hi. My name is Noah
Yevdayev [sp?]; I'm listening for the past few months
all this negative comments to the neighborhood; I
can't believe this is 2015. I respect the law of
hard labor; I understand the zoning issue; however,
the time has changed since 1970s. I'm an employee of
Cherry Hill and a family man; I can tell you that we
made a difference in the neighborhood; speaking with
old customers every day standing on the floor.

Cherry Hill is a very unique gourmet store and it attracts Sheepshead Bay neighborhood. Cherry Hill was open for business operation from 7:00 a.m. until 11:00 p.m.; by getting so many phone calls from all neighborhood, from old customers, we are now open 24/7, just to serve our community. Cherry Hill is a part of Sheepshead Bay community; we're a part of America; we're a part of this community. Can we change the zoning? Yes we can, as our President Obama will say, yes we can. I'm not asking to change the law; we're asking to obey the law. Now it's 2015; it's not 1970s.

2.2

As a tax-paying citizen and a family man,

I'm really concerned about my job and my family.

Please allow us to stay employed and support our

neighbors and families. Just to mention that Emmons

Avenue is a commercial area where Cherry Hill is

located; it was mentioned about Ocean Parkway; I live

right on Ocean Parkway; Ocean Parkway is not a

commercial area to open up an IHOP, it was a wrong

suggestion; was wrong comments like you know, like,

just stick to the point that we are in a commercial

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, sir.

RAISA CHERNINA: Can I collect everybody's time? [sic]

area. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: No. Go ahead.

[background comments]

RAISA CHERNINA: Okay. My name is Raisa
Chernina; I am a member of Russian-speaking community
and I live around seven or five [sic] blocks from
Cherry Hill and I am the despicable woman in the red
hair; you see I change my color because of it; it's
expensive.

Now I want to say about -- not issue of Cherry Hill; for us, for my family, it's very

looking for parking; here we have a parking, 24/7 3

hours that the store is open, and Friday, when I'm 4

5 doing shopping, you can meet everybody, it's like

coming at your home [sic], everybody see each other -6

7 - hi, bye or gossip. I want to say time has changed;

when never got broken border president gentleman, we 8

never got first black lady, first in the City of New 9

York; time change; we can't stop this train, we have 10

to just sit and go on with this train. 11

> I want to say something; I am here for my community, Russian-speaking community; somebody has to say, what people said 1942; first they came for the socialist and I didn't speak out because I was not socialist; then they came for the trade unions and I didn't speak out because I wasn't trade unions; then they came for the Jew; I didn't speak out because I wasn't Jew; then they came for me and there was no one left to speak for me. I speak for my community; I don't want to be last one.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [background comment] Thank you, [background comment].

[background comments]

24

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

NICK CARCANOLA: My name is Nick

2.

3

4

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Carcanola [sp?]; I'm a resident of the neighborhood; long-time shopper at Cherry Hill. I've been listening to all this and you know, Cliff and Mr. Barrison speaking about, you know it'll... desecrating, it's tearing the whole façade apart of the area -- there's a lot of different things on that strip; would it be better if a giant high-rise went up instead; that would create more traffic and more hassle for the older people who live there who can barely get around as it is? I think it would; I think it's well-served in what it is; there's may elderly people; my friends of elderly parents, disabled parents who can't get in a car and drive, but they can take a short walk and go into a market that reminds them of home, that reminds them of what they don't have anymore; they don't live in Rumania

anymore, they don't live in Russia, they don't live

in Georgia, they don't live in all these places and

service to the community; not tearing it apart and

today, you know things change, times change; you go

into Williamsburg, it's condos everywhere; I'm sure

like Councilman Greenfield said, and many others

to keep a place like this open is only doing a

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 10
2	it took something away from it, but then it added at
3	the same time. You know, things have to go with
4	what's beneficial to the area and to have a nice
5	gourmet supermarket that does everything and have a
6	place to sit and eat after you're done shopping,
7	'cause you can get your food delivered, is great.
8	You know I bring my little girl there; she's 15
9	months old, 16 months; she walks around and says hi,
10	hi, everyone knows who she is, you know she's a
11	little busy body; they don't oh, put your kid, grab
12	no, everyone's friendly; they know it's a family-
13	oriented store; to take it away is like taking a
14	member of your family, just throwing them aside.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I have a
18	point of information. Your Nick is it? [crosstalk
19	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Mr. Greenfield, with
20	a point of information.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yes your 15-
22	month-old actually walks?
23	NICK CARCANOLA: She's been walking since

10-and-a-half months.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 101
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Oh my gosh
3	[crosstalk]
4	[background comments]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Gonna go home
6	and start talking to my 14-month-old about what's
7	goin' on over here. Thank you.
8	NICK CARCANOLA: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Come on; you should
10	know that; come on, around one they start to walk,
11	David; you have how many you have; four; right?
12	[background comments] How many children do you have?
13	Three, okay.
14	NICK CARCANOLA: Yeah, they say girls go
15	quicker than boys [crosstalk]
16	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Have I got news for
17	you
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: That's fair;
19	that's a good point. Yes, everybody knows that girls
20	are more advanced than boys… [crosstalk]
21	NICK CARCANOLA: And she… she's up to
22	about, almost 17, 18 words already.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Wow, that's
24	great [crosstalk]

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 102
2	NICK CARCANOLA: She's quick with
3	everything.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: She's not
5	like mountain climbing yet is she?
6	NICK CARCANOLA: She's up on the couch in
7	a matter of a second… [crosstalk]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Wow.
9	NICK CARCANOLA: right up [crosstalk]
10	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, I'm gonna I'm
11	gonna ask Mr. Greenfield if he has any questions,
12	besides the ones about Nick's family [crosstalk]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: These are
14	very pertinent questions here
15	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Yes.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: No, no questions
18	exactly for this panel? We appreciate them taking
19	the time. Thank you very much for your testimony.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I actually
21	have one question for the panel, actually
22	[crosstalk]
23	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Oh okay.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 103
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: thank you,
3	Mr. Chairman, now that you prodded me; I have a
4	question; you prodded me [crosstalk]
5	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay; I was hoping I
6	was gonna prod you quicker, but okay.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: No, no; this
8	actually is an important question.
9	You know, a lot of the conversations that
10	have gone on here and the people who are opposed to
11	it are opposed to it because they believe that this
12	would change, permanently alter the character of the
13	neighborhood; I'm just curious; would you support
14	other changes to this district or is your support
15	just focused on this particular application?
16	RAISA CHERNINA: Excuse me
17	NICK CARCANOLA: Okay.
18	RAISA CHERNINA: we used to have
19	Loehmann's store… [interpose]
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Can we let's
21	just [background comment] give you the microphone.
22	RAISA CHERNINA: I'm sorry… [crosstalk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Yes, thank
24	you.
25	

else that was unique to come to the area that added

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES to it and didn't take away, like an IHOP would take away or a Chipotle, which you can't turn a corner in Manhattan without finding a Starbucks and a Chipotle next to each other, which to me is... whatever, but you know, that's what it is. If it added to it and gave something that wasn't there already, I would think it would be a good idea. 

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Got it. Okay. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: 'Kay, thank you all very much.

Alright, we're gonna move to the next panel, [background comment] Raimee Tygive [sp?],

George Mankutkin, [background comment] okay; that was close, Oleg... Oleg; you here, Koshul? [background comments] And then... actually two more, but is that Alex, is it Resnogich [sic]; is it Alex, I'm not...

[background comments] Yeah, you think so? Is he here still? [background comments] Okay, yeah. Alex; right? Okay. Alright, we'll wait; someone may go by themselves, we'll see.

Alright, once again, the same rules apply; please state your name when you speak; try not to make it personal to anybody who spoke before or

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 106 anything like that, just stay to the merits and we'll all have a good time. Okay. Whenever you're ready.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

NOAH YEVDAYEV: My name is Alex
Rabinovich, and we are the architects and engineers
that filed the original plans and the documents for
this project.

This project was standard approved by the Deputy Commissioner and the Commissioner who was involved in the design of our store. We designed the store where the Department of Buildings felt comfortable in approving it, being that we have a restaurant within the store; we have enormous amount of space for made food that you take out, which is allowed; we have a bakery, which is allowed; we have a flower shop, which is allowed. So this store is broken down to many uses and the Building Department was comfortable in approving; we don't have any violations on the use; we are... right now the only violations they have is landmark and there's an argument which part of the building was violating landmark requirements. So basically, we did what the Building Department was comfortable with; we have a problem with some items that are being sold, boxed items that the Building Department was not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 107 comfortable with; they wanted to have simply prepared So the area that we are trying to get variance or any type of an approval here is a very small area in that store, but the store is not a regular supermarket; it's a market with a restaurant, with prepared food, with bakeries, flower area where you can buy a bouquet of flowers. So the area that we're talking about, it was designed in such a way where it will be comfortable for the community and it's not gonna be a supermarket where it was kinda clash with the [bell] zoning. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, sir.

RACHEL TAGIEV: Okay. I wrote it down so I can fit everything in two minutes. [laugh] name is Rachel Tagiev and I own Red Square Media and I'm an organizer of a Grand International Festival that took place last week. We gather entire community from young to elder and we were nominated as a community supporter by Eric Adams, President of Brooklyn Borough and personally thanked us for being part of the Brooklyn community.

Since I am a part of the Brooklyn community, I would like to say a few things on behalf of Cherry Hill.

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Since the Cherry Hill has been around, that's where I buy food for my family; the food is healthy, fresh and homemade. I am a working mother of three children and I make sure my kids are being fed properly. Cherry Hill is the place where I'd rather shop; the place is open 24/7 and I can come shop late if I'm working late. Most of my friends shop at Cherry Hill; my friends come from Jersey, Staten Island, Long Island and they come to Cherry Hill because once again, best take-out food is there. We work a lot and we don't want our kids to eat IHOP and McDonald's when we're not home, so we try to fill up the refrigerators with the proper food.

[interpose]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: IHOP's taking a big hit today; I don't know why, yeah.

RACHEL TAGIEV: Yeah, I put it into the speech because I heard it. Cherry Hill has its own bakery and they even make their own bread. Most of the place is take-out -- hot food, salads and much more -- old cultural food that our mothers who did not work made at home; unfortunately, now is not the time for mothers to stay home and be a homemaker; we work a lot, but yet we want our kids to eat healthy

food; the place has everything that I need for my

3

family, which is very important I buy good food for

109

4 my house.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

There are a bunch of stores that are dirty and don't have good food, but yet no one is raising the subject to get rid of them, but yet we have a topic to close the store that feeds an entire neighborhood, plus has hired over 60 people, which gives jobs to 60 families.

The place has everything I need personally; I can go park my car, get help with my shopping into my car, 'cause the personnel is very helpful and very nice. The store gives you all [bell]... okay...

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Finish up; I interrupted you in one spot.

RACHEL TAGIEV: Thank you. Cherry Hill, the personnel is extremely friendly and you can see they care for the business and to me that shows that the boss keeps them happy; they donate to elders, so they do give their share to community. To me it's an asset to community, but yet we're sitting here spending everyone's time trying to close the most amazing store in the neighborhood. The place used to SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

3 years, and now it's a beautiful place where we spend

4 many days summertime to eat outside in the restaurant

be a drug store, 'cause I'm in America for over 28

5 and shop for our family, so as part of a community

6 media agency, I want the store to be there to shop

7 and not to create problems for a beautiful and clean

place to feed our families. Thank you... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you. Okay,

10 good. Thank you. Yes, sir.

Koshul, I'm the President of EcoMeal Organic, one of the vendors of the Cherry Hill Market. This is one of the best of my accounts, one of the friendliest customers I ever deal with. Besides that I'm one of the vendors, I live in the area; unfortunately I'm not a second-generation resident of Sheepshead Bay, but I know one thing; 20 years ago I wouldn't take my family to Emmons Avenue; there was drugs, there was rats around; you cannot walk, you cannot sit there, so it was like crazy place to go. Cherry Hill has such a great reputation and I think most of the restaurants who opens right now in the area, they open only because Cherry Hill is there and people are going there having fun and while talking about I'm

```
1
               SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES
                                                        111
 2
     shaking; you're tying to close the best place in
 3
     Sheepshead Bay in Emmons Avenue and I hope it's never
 4
     gonna happen. Thank you.
 5
                CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN:
                                      Thank you, sir.
    Would everybody just make sure the mic is close to
 6
 7
     you?
 8
                                  My name is George
                GEORGE MANUKEN:
    Manuken [sp?] and I came to the United States in
 9
     1973; I grew up in the neighborhood; I see a lot of
10
11
     changes. In this neighborhood we have on eight
12
    blocks, very use [sic], over 40 restaurants, all
13
     kinds; none of them is working, so I would like to
14
     ask; what really [sic] is going on here; why can't
15
     Cherry Hill stay here, you know and it tries to bring
16
     everything to a legal zoning? I wanna ask Mr. C;
17
     what should trumaty [sic]... [background comments]
18
                CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Alright... I'm gonna
     stop on that, but... [crosstalk]
19
                COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:
20
                                             No.
                                                   No.
                                                        No.
     No... [crosstalk]
21
2.2
                CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN:
                                      Thank you.
23
                GEORGE MANUKEN:
                                  all the papers... all the
24
     people here [background comments]... you know I...
25
     [interpose]
```

future of the reality in front of us, and that's it.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: 'Kay. Thank you very much. Any comments or questions? You've got your finger there; are you ready to push a button?

No? Okay, alright. Well thank you very much; we thank this panel. [background comment]

I only have one slip of paper left, but I will give the opportunity for other people who had a comment, Eric Wei... is it Weiss? [background comment] Is he here, on behalf of Cherry Hill? No? [background comments] Oh, well is... Okay. Is there anyone else here to testify on this matter? Yes and you didn't... did you fill out a slip? You did it right now? Give that to sergeant of arms; you can take a seat, just give it to us. Eric is here though; right? Eric is here and then this gentleman, you can join Eric. What's his name? [background comment] Gary... [background comment] Is it Gary... Yeah, Gary Silver. [background comments] that... that's you? Come on; join us. Come sit here on the panel. Anybody else here to testify on this matter who hasn't been heard already? [background comments] We have one more filling out a slip. Okay, you fill out that slip and then join Eric and [background comments] No, I just mentioned Garv.

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 114 2 your name and this gentleman's gonna join you. you could start and [background comments] I'll call 3 your name for the record here, [background comments] 4 5 and sir, you can go sit up front there with those two 6 gentlemen. [background comments] Pedro Guevara 7 [sp?] Pedro, you join these guys... [background comments] Eric; you know Pedro? [background 8 comments] Pedro; Eric. [background comments] 9 Gary; 10 Eric; Pedro. Okay. Whenever you're ready, go first. Yeah... [background comment] yes, please. 11 12 ERIC WINTER: Good morning. I'd like to 13 apologize for my attire; originally I decided to 14 refrain from participating today, but at the last 15 minute realized that the topic truly is so important 16 to me, to my family... [crosstalk] 17 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Sir, please 18 state your name for the record, please. ERIC WINTER: I'm sorry, Eric Winter. 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you. ERIC WINTER: Sorry. Me and my family 21 2.2 and my... my Cherry Hill family. Yes, I said Cherry 23 Hill family. I am a veteran of the United States Air Force -- Desert Storm/Desert Shield -- I have worked 24

for such companies as Stop N Shop Supermarkets,

My name is Pedro Guevara and I am the maintenance guy

GARY SILVER: This is Gary Silver...

24

25

[crosstalk]

117

1

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Oh Gary; sorry.

GARY SILVER: Gary Silver Architects; I'm

3

4

5

6

8

the area.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the project architect for the project. And I wanna address quickly some zoning... a zoning issue that hasn't been brought up and the change in character that's been said that this supermarket would bring to

So the Sheepshead Bay Special District comprises eight subareas, A-H and the areas F and G... I'm gonna step to the map... [background comments] Okay ... [background comments] Can you point to F and G? [background comments] F to G comprises more than half of the area, as you can see on the map and in those areas the supermarket is an allowable use asof-right, so as far as changing the character in the area, that's something I think that should be considered. And again, something that's been said over and over again, we're talking about one small spot, which is circled, which is outlined in red, Area B. So that's basically the point I wanted to make regarding the zoning. [background comments] Okay.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Mr. Greenfield, do you have any questions? No...? [crosstalk]

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I too will thank you folks for your service; we are very [background comments] grateful for that and we appreciate it. Thank you. [background comments]

I'd like to call on... [background comments] Oh...

Before we start, I just wanna make sure; is anyone else here to testify on this matter? I see none.

[background comments] 'Kay. So we are gonna close the public hearing portion; I'm gonna call on Council Member Deutsch, who represents this area who wanted to make a comment.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Yeah, I'm gonna make a very brief comment.

I just wanna first of all thank everyone for coming out today; I have been listening to the concerns, pros and against this text amendment for months, actually almost since I got elected and have been representing the 48th Council District for a little over 16 months, and I'm always available, listening to the concerns, listening to different ideas based on this text amendment and I think that this hearing was very important to hear the views of each and every one that testified here, and I just

wanna really, gonna make my decision based on what I have heard today and what I have heard in the past from different parts of the community. And it's not really different parts of the community; I heard someone say this is a Russian store; it's not, I see many non-Russian people using Cherry Hill and this is one community undivided and hopefully it's gonna stay that way. So I'm looking forward to Thursday and I am very fair and I will make a fair decision based on what I have heard. And of course you know, Cherry Hill has been a part and a staple of our community on Emmons Avenue and people do utilize Cherry Hill and I'm looking to make a fair determination of what I

2.2

Thank you so much.

Mr. Deutsch. So as I mentioned, the hearing is now closed and this subcommittee will be recessed until this Thursday, that's May 7th, at 10:45 a.m.; it's gonna be in the Committee Room at City Hall; that's right next to the Council Chamber, where we will vote on this amendment; we will deliberate that over the next two days and we will be meeting that day. So

it's 10:45; there's an 11:00 Land Use meeting

Thank you,

have heard and I look forward to Thursday's vote.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN:

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 120 following that, so anyone who's listening to my voice at home or in their offices, remember, if they're on the Zoning Subcommittee, at 10:45 this Thursday be in the Committee Room at City Hall.

Mr. Greenfield has one statement to make before we adjourn... [crosstalk]

Mr. Chairman. I just wanna point out that at that 10:45 meeting and at the 11:00 a.m. subsequent meeting, there will be no further opportunities for comments; as per the way we operate the Land Use Committee and Subcommittees, this was the opportunity for comments and feedback and we're received all the feedback and comments, you're welcome to attend, I just don't want people to think that if they come they're gonna be able to speak, because these subcommittee meetings are in fact where we have all the comments and all the feedback and at those hearings we actually vote on the applications...

[crosstalk]

22 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank...

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: so just a point of clarification.

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you for clarifying that, yes, and that's why I was -- you know, made sure everyone who wanted to speak today was able to speak. So with that in mind, we are gonna recess the Subcommittee on Zoning until Thursday, so we are recessed till Thursday, 10:45 a.m. Thank you. [gavel]

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date May 22, 2015