CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

----- X

March 30, 2015 Start: 1:32 p.m. Recess: 2:30 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: DANIEL DROMM

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Vincent J. Gentile
Daniel R. Garodnick
Margaret S. Chin
Stephen T. Levin
Deborah L. Rose
Mark S. Weprin

Jumaane D. Williams

Andy L. King
Inez D. Barron
Chaim M. Deutsch

Mark Levine
Alan N. Maisel
Antonio Reynoso
Mark Treyger

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Vanessa Ramos Director of Policy Committee for Hispanic Children and Families

Camilla Carmen

BSNBCS - Bedford-Stuyvesant New Beginnings Charter
School

Katherine Sprowal Class Size Matters

Rosalie Friend Save Our School

Dr. Anna-Maria Thomas Retired Educator New York City Department of Education

Natasha Capers Coordinator and Parent Leader Coalition for Educational Justice

Hilary Bucknell District 18 CDC

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, good afternoon.
I'm Council Member Daniel Dromm, Chair of the
Education Committee. Thank you all for coming today,
and thank you to my colleagues on the Education
Committee for being here. And I want to apologize
for being late. I had to vote in the Civil Service
Committee, and it took a little bit of a while to do
that. But, now we're here, and we're starting this.
Let me introduce my colleagues Council Member Mark
Weprin is here form Queens. Council Member Mark
Treyger, Council Member Steve Levin, Council Member
Alan Maisel both from Brooklyn or all three from
Brooklyn. Council Member Margaret Chin from
Manhattan; Council Member Mark Levine from Manhattan,
and Council Member Helen Rosenthal, who is the prime
sponsor of the legislation that we're going to be
hearing today.

At today's hearing we'll be discussing several resolutions. I should say one of the pieces of reso--legislation. Resolution No. 577 sponsored by Council Member Rosenthal calls upon the Department of Education to amend its Parents' Bill of Rights and Responsibilities to include information about opting out of high-stakes testing and distribute this

2.1

2.2

document at the beginning of every school year to
every family in every grade.

Member Levine is a resolution calling upon the New York State Legislature to fully implement the education funding requirements from New York City resulting from the Campaign for Fiscal Equity v. State of New York case. And lastly, Resolution No. 563 that I sponsored is a resolution calling upon the New York State Legislature to reject any attempt to raise the cap on the number of charter schools. We had a previous hearing on this legislation on March 3rd.

While these three resolutions appear at first glance to have different topics, they actually all have a common element, and that is how New York City schools and students are affected by decisions set in Albany. Standardized testing, funding, and charter school caps are all decisions that are made elsewhere. I understand the respective process, but I also believe we need to add our voices and concerns when necessary. These children attend schools in our city and are under our care, and we need to stay on top of these issues. As you often hear me say

2.2

for 25 years before I got elected to the City

Council. I have been--I have seen what works and

what doesn't. I know that when you respect and

engage parents, teachers, and students in the

decision-making process, results will be positive.

So today we will hear from Council members,

proudly, I was a New York City public school teacher

advocates, parents, and students about how these decisions affect them. Thank you all again for coming, and now I'd like to turn the floor over to my colleague Council Member Rosenthal, and then Council

Member Levine to say a few words about their resolutions. Council Member Rosenthal.

Thank you—thank you so much, Chair Dromm. I'm just so pleased to be given the opportunity to introduce this resolution, which is a commonsense call for transparency by the Department of Education. You know, next week on Friday parents could, if they want to, let their principals know that their child would opt of testing. The tests will happen the week right after they come back from Easter—the spring break. And sadly, not many parents know that they have that option. They can opt out from these high stakes

tests, and they can pursue another very legitimate
path that the Department of Education has laid out
for them. This information is buried on the
Department of Education's website, but it's not
widely known by principals or certainly by the
Sorry. It's not widely known by parents. Principals
can have one opinion or another about the tests and,
therefore, let parents know or not let parents know.
This is a commonsense resolution that asks the
Department of Education to include the information
about opting out of testing in the Parents' Bill of
Rights and Responsibilities, and to distribute that
document to parents at the beginning of every school
vear for every different grade. Thank you very much

Member Rosenthal, and just if I might add, parents across the state are beginning to see this as a real issues and a real problem. They're beginning to understand that these tests are being used today for purposes for which they were never intended. And that is one of the main goals behind the hearing here today. Teachers were never meant to be evaluated with using these tests. Principals' bonuses were never meant to be determined using these tests.

2.2

Grades for schools were never meant to be used—these tests were never meant to be used to give grades to schools. So, I think that having this hearing, making parents more aware of this issue is really very important. And, in fact, in my district we're going to be having a rally soon against the testing mania that has gone on in education with some of the alleged reformers. And I use that word very—very loosely, but anyway, I'm very—I'm very glad that we were able to hear this, and now I'd like to turn it over to Council Member Mark Levine.

Dromm. I'm pleased to say a few words on behalf of Reso 592. You know, nine years ago when the highest court in New York State issued a landmark ruling, mandating that our state government live up to its Constitutional obligation to fund the sound base of education in New York City. I think few of us could have imagined or would have believed that our state government would be so brazen and shameless in ignoring a constitutionally mandated and court reaffirmed obligation. But, here we are nine years later, a year in which there is a budget surplus of several billion dollars, and a one-time \$5 billion

2	infusion of money because of the bank settlement.
3	And we are still in the position of begging our
4	Albany leadership for the money that our kids
5	deserve. And, I have to say how disappointed I am to
6	see early reports on the budget deal that was
7	announced last night. We're still waiting on the
8	details, but it appears that we got far, far, far
9	less than the \$2 billion that our students in our
10	schools deserve. And this is kind of an abstract
11	number. It's so big, but this is real. On a per-
12	student basis, this means the loss of \$2,000 per kid
13	per year in New York City. That is the difference
14	between adequate class size and overcrowded
15	classrooms. It impacts our ability to restore arts
16	education to our schools after years of painful cuts.
17	It affects our ability to implement technology in the
18	classroom. This has real consequences for everything
19	we're fighting for as educators and leaders. And I'm
20	so proud that the City Council is going to stand up
21	and say with one voice that we demand our kids get
22	the resources that are allowed. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, Council Member Levine. Somebody had asked me if this still relevant today in light of the alleged or the

supposed budget dear that's been reached up in
Albany. And, of course it is because we're going to
continue to fight to get those dollars for our folks
and our kids here in New York City. And from what I
understand as well that on the State level there is
\$1.4 billion, if I'm not mistaken. I could be off a
little bit on that number, but that's for the whole
state. That's not even just for the City, and the
City is owed over \$2.6 billion, and the State is over
\$5 billion. So, it really comes nowhere near to what
the minimal requirement is for this. And as you
stated, this is a constitutionally guaranteed right
that our students have that has not been fulfilled.
And the obligation to our students has not been met.
So I really want to thank you as well for sponsoring
this legislation. I think I've announced everybody,
and we will now call our first witnesses up and that
will be Vanessa Ramos from the Committee for Hispanic
Children and Families; Katherine Sprowal from Class
Size Matters; Rosalie Friend from Save Our Schools;
and Camilla Carmen, BSNBCS Pact. Okay.

[pause, background noise]

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: If I--I think I did forget to announce Council Member Antonio Reynoso is

questions honestly?

2.2

here and Council Member Andy King as well who is

here. Thank you both for being here. And I do swear

everybody in, in this committee. So I do ask you all

to raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or

affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and

nothing but the truth, and to answer council member's

PANEL MEMBER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you very much, and Vanessa, do you want to start?

VANESSA RAMOS: Yes, sure. Good
afternoon. Buenas tardes. My name is Vanessa Ramos,
Director for Policy at the Committee for Hispanic
Children and Families. We thank you, the committee
for the opportunity to testify at this hearing, and
we are here to support the three Resolutions 583, 577
and 592. On Resolution 583, our organization is not
against charter schools. We think strong
performance, education and opportunity and parental
choice for New York City families are welcome
developments. However, charters must be held
accountable; must offer bilingual and dual language
programs and must provide transparency in their
financial structures and remain subject to public

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

scrutiny. In other words, our position is that charters must play by the same rules as public schools. If the cap on charters is raised once again, the City will have to spend millions in rent payments for charter schools in private spaces. Instead, those monies can be used to benefit more than one million children in the City's public schools by improving the infrastructure, increasing the number of bilingual programs, and providing professional development opportunities for teachers and administrators. Even under the present cap, the City will have to provide space for our regional new charters as well as any existing charter wishing to expand. This is already creating an undue and onerous financial burden on the city.

On Resolution 577, our organization advocates for parental choice and beliefs that parents should be empowered to make informed decisions regarding the education of their children. Parents must have information in their home languages and in print about opting out of standardized tests and know the possible consequences of opting out.

On Resolution 592, as stated here, New York City is currently owed more than \$2.5, \$2.6

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 billion by the State. In the meantime, our public classrooms are overcrowded and significant cuts have 3 been made in art, literacy, guidance counselors, 4 social workers and others. All of these cuts have 5 6 the greatest impact in low-income communities, 7 students living below the poverty line, English language learners, and students with special needs. 8 For those reasons, we support this resolution, as a 9 10 step towards ensuring that the human rights for education is met, and that the State complies with 11 12 its Constitutional obligations. Our organization believes that education is the vehicle that moves 13 children and families out of poverty, and it must be 14

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much. Next, please.

Thank you.

[pause]

prioritized as an investment.

CAMILLA CARMEN: Hello, Committee and thanks for the opportunity to speak before you today. I'm in favor of all of the proposals on the agenda, but I came here to speak about the Parents' Bill of Rights. My name is Camilla Carmen and I have two children in public school, one in fifth grade and one in eighth grade. When my 13-year-old was in

2.2

kindergarten or first grade--I've lost track--I
attended my very first City Council hearing. I had
heard that the City was proposing to extend
standardized testing to children as young as she was,
and that just seemed outrageous to me. So I decided
to take a half day at work and come here to find out
more about it. I date my politicization a public
school parent to that hearing. I sat in the audience
listening to City Council Members, and they all
seemed to agree that it wasn't such a great idea.
But their hands were tied because their role was
really symbolic.

The Mayor controlled the schools. I felt completely disenfranchised. It did not make sense to me that my public institution was not responsive to me, the taxpaying citizen whose child actually attended school. I don't mean to digress and get off onto the topic of school governance. I am back here today because I realize that to make any progress in resisting inappropriate tests, I cannot rely on my elected officials even the sympathetic ones. I would have to act on my own in consort with other parents. So I did. Parents at my child's school formed a parent action committee to fight against education

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

policies that we felt were damaging the schools, and especially to the kind of progressive education we wanted for our children. While we have taken up all sorts of fights from budget cuts to class size, we eventually focused our attention on high-stakes testing because we felt that the emphasis on testing was at the root of so many things that concerned us.

Not only the curriculum, loss of play time, unfair teacher evaluations and more. committee is now in its fourth year, and last year our efforts really paid off. Eighty percent of the children in testing grades at Brooklyn New School refused the test. This fete was widely publicizes. As a result, this year many schools have been reaching out to about supporting their efforts to start a conversation about test refusal at their schools. What quickly became clear, however, was that parents at our school were in the enviable position of having a principal who supported our efforts. This was not true at many other schools, or to be more precise, I should say that sometimes the principal is privately supportive, but afraid to be publicly so. She or he impedes the use of school lists for dissemination of information about forms or

2.1

2.2

opt out. And I've met with parents who filled the back room of a bar because they felt like they could not meet at school about this issue, which is absolutely related to school.

with parents from nearly six different schools at a Brooklyn synagogue. Several spoke about receiving heat from school administration regarding their principled decisions to refuse the tests for their children. Finally, about two weeks ago I met with parents at two Title 1 schools. One mother told me through a translator that she is afraid that if she were to refuse the test, which is stacked against her child as 97% of English language learners statewide failed last year, the that principal would not promote her daughter who is having other struggles.

I am hopeful that the resolution proposed today would allow that mother and many more like her to act on what she knows is right for her daughter. The truth is that although parents are happy to learn about the tests and the movement to oppose them at other schools, that information still lacks the official stamp of the imprimatur that would embolden

2.2

- them to act. The proposal to assert test refusal as
 a right in a Parent Bill--Parents' Bill of Rights
 would go a long way in addressing that. I would also
 hope that the actual language and tone of the bill
 would differ from the Parent's Guide to the State
 Tests recently released by the NYC DOE, which is off-
 - CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much.
 Next, please.

putting, to say the least. Thank you.

- 11 KATHERINE SPROWAL: Hi. Can you hear me?

 12 SERGEANT-A-ARMS: Turn it on.
 - CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Just the red light has to go on.

Okay. All right. Thank you so much for giving us the opportunity to speak here. I often feel as a parent that our voices are— You know, our opinions are definitely falling on deaf ears, and it just—a lot of you up there are just my champions. I'm so glad to see my Council people up there to see Helen and Mark and Dromm, who is out here. Okay. So my name Katherine Sprowal. I'm a public school parent, and I'm here today representing my middle school son, and the parents of Class Size matters.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We support all of the resolutions on the agenda today. The right of parents to be notified that they can opt their children out of standardized testing and also in support of keeping the cap on charter schools. My child was only one of 685 children in his elementary school on the Upper West Side that opted out three years ago. Since that time, the opt out movement is growing everyday.

According to the New York State Education Department, more than 60,000 parents have opted out of the State exams last year. Parents in New York City should be notified of their rights to opt out, and this right should be included in the DOE's Parents Bill of Rights. Here are some of the reasons why we support it. May principals, parents, teachers, and students have found that the test for the last three--the last three years are flawed developmentally, inappropriate, too long, culturally biased, and filled erroneous ambiguous phrases and questions like the Pineapple and the Hare. Many of these text passages have also been filled with logos, trademarks and commercial product names, which I think is disgusting. The former Education Commissioner John King set to cut scores high at a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

level preordained to show that two-thirds of the state students would not be college and career ready, including in many districts where more than 90% of the students graduate and go onto college, and o very well.

The test scores have no diagnostic worth to teachers, and they don't -- and they are being used--they are being used instead of for--for high stakes purposes like teachers evaluations -- evaluations for which they have no proven value. Many experts including the National Academy of Science and American Statistical Association have said evaluating teachers bias students test scores not yield reliable or valid results with one-third of teachers rating highly one year and then poorly the next year. And could force out good teachers. Testing and test preparation is taking too much time and money. It has a narrow curriculum driving down arts, music, science and have led many students to start to detest school and learning. We are also very concerned about the privacy implications inherent in allowing for profit companies like Pearson to access our children's personal data. The Department of Education has failed to protect our students'

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

privacy, and comply with the new State Privacy Laws.
Or, even inform parents on how vendors are getting

access to students' personal data.

I can't finish the rest, but I just want to say this: The reality is that these tests cause more harm than--these cause more harm than success. The results are separate and unequal especially for non-White students. The results are poor teacher quality for non-White students. The results are subpar for non-White students. The results are questionable in ethics and distribution and grading, violates student's rights and causes physical and emotional harm. It facilitates school-to-prison pipeline, and facilitates redistribution of wealth. And we strongly urge you to pass these resolutions-and these--all of these resolutions have affected me personally in a very personal way. I had a child that was in a charter school that was kicked out after two days. I have child where we opted out, and it did cause him harm when we went through the middle school process. And then also in watching all of these mandated services that are doing nothing for him, when just being in a class with 15 students and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 a good teacher did far more. So thank you today for 3 having us. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, Katherine . Next.

[pause]

Hello, my name is ROSALIE FRIEND: Rosalie Friend. I'm recommend--I am representing the National Grassroots Group Save Our School, and I'm very proud that Katherine read a phrase from our flyer, and I have more for the rest of you, if you would like them. And this flyer features a photograph--small, but still a photograph of Katherine , which she didn't know was there. I've taken some of my valuable time, but I did want to make this connection. My child fortunately graduated from the New York City public system before all this happened. And I am--have been concerned. As I am an educator, I have been concerned about the quality of our public schools I guess since I started that career many decades ago. My organization strongly, strongly supports the cap on charter schools. Schools should be a public service for our citizens to prepare, the young people to be active

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 citizens in a democracy. Schools should not be a 3 revenue stream for corporations.

We also strongly support the Campaign for Fiscal Equity. Who could quarrel with the court's finding that it's not Constitutional to withhold for children's schools. But I will speak primarily about the need to inform parents to opt out. This is a turnaround for me. As an education psychologist who taught assessment at CUNY for years, I have had--I had a lot of faith in standardized tests. I know how they're developed. I know how they're used, but boy it seems like they have been kind of distorted recently. Once the politics got involved, we have uses, as Councilman Dromm mentioned, that are inappropriate, that are invalid. What's the point --? If you want to tell how well teachers are doing, what's the point in spending zillions of dollars putting all that pressure on the teachers and the kids to get a value added measure that the American Statistical Association says can't give you accurate information.

Now, you can't claim that the Statistical Association has—is a special interest the way our State officials claim that the parents are a special

2.2

interest. The Statistical Association has no dog in this fight. Their business is creating accurate statistics, which you don't get from [bell] these tests. So parents who opt out for--for reasons of right and wrong are doing the right thing. Parents whose kids are made anxious by all of the pressure from the test. You know, what, parents have a Constitutional right to do--to make decisions on behalf of their children. We should be making it clear to all the parents that they have that right, and we should be questioning the institutionalization of procedures that are not doing anybody any good except the businesses that make money off of them. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much, and my first observation is exactly how these tests are used in election years. And I remember scores going up way high in 2009 and everybody was doing wonderful. And then all of a sudden everything fell down to 30% because of the new Common Core, the way it was implemented. And, it's just very interesting to follow the trend and the pattern in which the scores go up and down. So that's my observation. Council Member Reynoso has a question.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you, Just wanted to ask, you talked about your 3 Chair. 4 experience and your transition from elementary to 5 middle school when you opted out. And just wanted to know what that transition looked like, and whether or 6 7 not you were aware of the consequences to opting out? And also just to educate us on exactly what happens 8 9 after a parent opts out.

KATHERINE SPROWAL: Well, this was three years ago. So, there wasn't a lot of people opting out, but as you know I think it's the-- Is it the fourth grade where they teak all of the test scores and use that to do the admission process for the middle school. We--they did a black line master on my son, and when you fill out the applications, they're--they're geared towards knowing what your child's testing score it. They're not--they weren't set up to review the black line master. So we had very, very little schools, and we were able to do-we were able to apply to them and we wound up going to whatever school accepted him. Which is a school that's in District 3 and it's pretty much--it's a choice school where everybody goes, and where you don't get picked anywhere else. Something from like

2.2

from what I understand from like 40% of the children in school have IEPs; 100% of the kids who are in one school get free lunch; and this is—this is what happened to us. And my son is very well able to get a four on most tests. He can score a four on the CUNY test. He also has ADHD so that—that can vary. For anything that can happen, one day that can happen. So that's why I think this is a good way of testing and measuring his intelligence. So it affected him, and it impacted him.

CAMILLA CARMEN: I could say that that is actually different today. Although, it might still be happening in some places. The latest NYCD OEF IQ [sic] very clearly states that all—all programs including screen programs—middle school and high school screen programs must have a protocol to deal with children who have no test scores. And one of the things that we did at the Brooklyn New School last year we called all the schools in our district, and all the citywide schools, and some schools, our schools and un-zoned schools. So we draw from several districts in Brooklyn. So that also included some District 13 schools and other schools. And what we found actually was that most of the schools that we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

taught including the ones that are considered the most vaunted ones to go to, actually, you did not need a test score. And our principal told us that she had students with high scores who didn't get into that, you know, that school, and children with low scores who did. But there is a perception, which the DOE has not really publicly dispelled that if you don't have a test score that you're imperiling your child, and so that dissuades opt out. And so like the meeting that I was at this morning like that was one of the really big factors. People feel like all these other reasons to opt out are--they want to opt their children out. They don't like the way the tests are being used. But they're fearful not just fourth grade parents, but the first--I heard this for the first time, too. The fifth grade parent, well, if I opt out and then I want to appeal -- I mean I opted out and now I want to appeal my middle school placement. Could my principal use that against me, and it has to be really clear. And I think one thing that really could help this and I don't--this is not part of this resolution. I don't know how--I'm not sure how this works, but if the test scores were not put on students' transcripts in schools, so the DOE

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 now is saying we're--the schools can't discriminate

3 against your child because they do not have a test

4 score. But the truth is they still send the

5 transcript with the test score to the schools. So if

6 the test score was decoupled from the transcript,

7 | then you can't say that you used the test score to

8 determine placement.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Thank you.

Thank, Chair. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's a very important point to be made, and I heard some of that in your testimony as well. There are some other who wanted to ask questions. But also another observation that I have regarding the use of these tests that a lot of people don't seem to understand is that if you're a teacher in K or even Pre-K through second grade, you have to share test scores with teachers in other grades. If you're an art teacher, you have to share test scores with teachers in other grades. If you're a gym teacher, you have to share scores. This is the insanity. I mean how do you evaluate teachers who have never taught a reading lesson? Now, in the madness that goes on in the public school system, they say well the gym

2.2

teacher should do a little lesson and then have the kids write a story about their exercise. And this is—this is the point. This is absolutely ridiculous. And then they hold them accountable for—for the test scores. I mean I don't know if people understand that. You know, that is a—these teachers are being evaluated on test scores that come from kids that they have no interaction with in terms of reading or writing or math bizarre. Council Member Reynoso. Excuse me. Council Member Rosenthal

followed by Council Member Treyger.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you,

Chair. You know, I'm just curious for the people on
the panel I very much appreciate your testifying
today. It's so great to hear about well, parents in
other districts and mine. I'm so I'm less aware of
it, but I know in my district there are parents
organizing like you have. So it's very helpful to
hear that it's happening across the city. I'm
wondering two things. One, your experience with
getting a copy of the Parent's Bill of Rights, et al,
or whether or not principals have ever—how it was
communicated to you that the Parent's Bill of Rights
and the responsibilities even exist? And secondly,

- I'm wondering your experience--what you think given
 the answer to the first question will have to happen
 in terms of translating to many languages?
- 5 VANESSA RAMOS: [off mic] You answer 6 that? [sic]

CAMILLA CARMEN: I can answer the first part of the question pretty easily. The only reason why I know about the Parent's Bill of Rights is because I'm actively organizing this area. And so that last year we were kind of desperately looking for information about the—how the DOE would respond to students who opted out. And we've looked to change the stakes, which who like asked for questions, and then got this. I didn't know about the Bill of Rights per se, but the—the guide—it's the Parent's Guide to State Testing. And to be frank with you, that guide that came out under Shilpa Skowronski [sp?] actually felt more friendly than the one that just came out from Inya LaFrenia [sp?].

VANESSA RAMOS: Yes, I--

22 ROSALIE FRIEND: [interposing] Well, so-

23 Okay.

VANESSA RAMOS: Well, you know, following this, you know what, I can tell you we have programs,

2.2

after school programs in the Bronx and we do have programs in Brooklyn and Bronx in high schools. If we don't tell parents that they have a Bill of Rights, they will find out about it. You know, that's—you know, that's one of those things. The other matter is that our, you know, our community, our Latino community they are not going to go in the website. They need everything printed in their home language. That's how they get information, and I think, you know, more has to be done in that respect by—you know, by the DOE and others.

an organization of parents, educators and concerned citizens. And when the first families were thinking about opting out, they--they've been working against the high stakes testing for the reasons that we all gave you. And we have a few very eloquent members who were able to get a meeting with the officials at the Board of Education and a series of meetings about promotions. Change the Stakes has been trying to disseminate this information, and as Camilla said, Shilpa Skowronski was helpful in saying on tape that you could opt out, that you had a right. He was videoed saying this twice, and the people at the DOE

agreed to post frequencry asked questions on their
website. Which we have been tryingtrying to
disseminate. What would be very helpful to many
parents would be if this body with wethere are
other groups working to change and mayoral control of
schools. But in the meantime, if the City Council
could help get out the word to parents all over the
city that the tests are not required to pass to the
next grade any more. And to get out word that opting
out is an option. Maybe not everyone wants to do it,
but that it can be done, and that therethat you
give the letter. That it's your Constitutional
right. You can give the principal a letter and we
can send out model letters. If you want, we can get
that information to you. And it would be very
helpful if the City Council could help communicate
that to the parents of the city, especially people
who may not have the sophistication of the people who
work the schools.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, thank you. I know you have posters here today. So hopefully it will help us get the message out on this as well. Thank you very much. Council Member Treyger.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you,
Chair, and I just want to first just say thank you to
the parents and advocates here. As aas a teacher
myself II could say that the more involved the
parents and families or guardians are, I mean it
justthe greater likelihood of betterof better
outcomes. And so, I know that you are voice not just
for your own children, but for all of our kids, and I
truly, truly appreciate that. I just have a quick
question for Ms. Sprowal, I believe.
KATHERINE SPROWAL: Yeah.
COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: You mentioned in
your comments that your child was briefly in Success
Academy
KATHERINE SPROWAL: [interposing] Yes.
COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER:Charter. Is
that correct?
KATHERINE SPROWAL: Yes.
COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Can you describe
the circumstances for why the child is no longer
there?
KATHERINE SPROWAL: Yeah, afteractually
on his first day of attending there, he was held

behind. When I went to go pick him up, he didn't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

come down with the rest of the kids, and I asked where he was. They had him upstairs doing drill walks. They said he had to learn how to walk through the hall quietly. And this is when I every single day--every single day he was being punished for something. And then finally, by the fifth day, they told us that something is wrong with your child. don't know what it is, but you need to find another school. And my thing was like wait a minute. is--don't you get public dollars? Is this a public school. He won the Lottery. He took a picture with Governor Patterson. How can he be--how can he even go, you know. And so I said, Well, can you at least tell me what's wrong with him, because he just spent two years in day care, and he did fine. He thrived and he did fine. No one has ever told me anything is wrong with him, and they just--everyday just gave me names of schools in District 3. I'm sorry, in District 6 and told me that I needed to take him to this school. So then I started recording everything, and then we did an exchange, and it wound up being a New York Times story that Michael Winerip did two years ago.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: So how long was he actually in the school for?

KATHERINE SPROWAL: He was actually there for 12 days, and there were--there were--were five kids in his class that within the first day they identified these were children that were going to be problematic. And everyday one of those children were gone, and I was like the holdout. So I stayed for like 12 days, and that was only after I realized that it was causing more damage to my son to keep him there. And that was more important than the principal fighting them. But he went on to a public school and I said nothing to them because I actually thought something was wrong with my son, and they weren't going to take him, and he thrived and did well. In fact, they told me midway through school, they said your son is gifted. He has this really high IQ and he did fine. And how I got into advocacy is that I saw as he was thriving and doing fine in this place -- in this public school that I didn't have a problem with him. I saw teachers teaching in the hallway, and, you know, those kinds of things and in classes with 32 kids. And that's when I started getting involved trying to do anything that I can to

need to leave.

2.2

- ensure that, you know, that we maintain the integrity
 of public school because they had took him and he
 thrived. Whereas, in the charter school, after two
 days, they said something is wrong with him. You
 - COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Now, if I could just quick follow-up. There was a lottery at the Success Academy, correct?

KATHERINE SPROWAL: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: And you had to show up with your child.

KATHERINE SPROWAL: Yes, it's like at the Armory. It was a big thing. It was when Patterson was the Governor and my son had won and took a picture with him. [laughs]

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: And what kind of screening did you have to go through? Did they ask you questions? Did they ask your son questions?

What type of screening did you go through?

KATHERINE SPROWAL: No, we filled out an application and it went into the lottery. This was-mind you, this was 2008.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Right.

2	KATHERINE SPROWAL: So this was before
3	they perfected the lack of transparency about a lot
4	of things. So, you know, so we filled out an
5	application. He went to the Armory. There were I
6	think 200 slots and they invited 5,000 parents there
7	with all of the news. And my son was one of the
8	winners. So I couldn't understand like after a
9	couple of days them coming to me and saying, you
10	know, something is wrong with your child. You've got
11	to leave. He's not focused. He was five years old.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Well, I tell you
13	this storyyour story that something is wrong with
14	your child would nevernever fly in traditional
15	public

KATHERINE SPROWAL: [interposing] No.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: --school.

Because we have to serve all of our children, and we don't just simply say leave. And so I--I just, you know, your testimony is very powerful, and then I hope, you know, certainly we do more than just sitting in the state to making sure that we're addressing the needs of all of our kids and not just something. Thank you very much.

like to begin over here on the left?

25

2	DR. ANNA-MARIA THOMAS: Thank you. Our
3	schools, our voices. My name is Dr. Anna-Maria
4	Thomas. I'm a 39-years veteran retired educator of
5	the New York City Department of Education. I want to
6	thank Councilman Dromm for the resolution developed
7	to encourage more parent's participation in the
8	policies, which will affect their children. But the
9	real questions are: (1) Why are the parents and the
10	community being shut out of the decision-making of
11	these polices? And (2) Why is there such a big push
12	by all our elected officials to continue mayoral
13	control? As a result of the Bloomberg
14	Administration, the New York City Public School
15	System was destroyed in favor of charter schools.
16	Our taxes are used to fund these private charter
17	schools. We have no libraries, no science
18	laboratories, no guidance counselors in our high
19	schools. Our elementary school curriculum does not
20	teach (1) Phonics, which our English language
21	learning students need the most in order to learn to
22	read and speak English. (2) Grammar, which all
23	students need to write a sentence; and (3)
24	Penmanship is no longer taught. Thus all students do
25	not learn script or cursive writing.

The disappearing Black and Latino
educators from our New York City classrooms have
drastically declined under Bloomberg tenure. To add
insult to this injury already being suffered by our
public school system, Governor Cuomo continues to
withhold school funds necessary for our public
schools even after the courts have ordered New York
City's school funds be released. The removal of
funding from our schools is the most violent action
perpetrated against our children. These funds are
our taxes we pay to fund our schools, and pay our
elected officials' salaries. Our voices are not
being heard when we say loud and clear "End mayoral
control." All of the above facts validate [bell]
politicians are not equipped to run our public
schools equitably for all our students. A last
interesting question: Why is the New York Public
School System the only school districts in the entire
New York State under mayoral control? Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much.
Ms. Capers.

NATASHA CAPERS: Good afternoon to the Council and thank you for having me today. I'm

Natasha Capers, Coordinator and Parent Leader for the

2 Coalition for Educational Justice, and I'm also representing our coalition partners the Alliance for 3 4 Quality Education. Zakia Ansari [sp?] and Maria Batista are busy at our office working with a 5 6 pushback to our governor, and they regrettably cannot be here today. But briefly I want to speak to why it is so important that we receive the funding from 8 the Campaign for Fiscal Equity. A lot of times we 9 10 hear that you can't just throw money at a problem. We often hear that from people with money, but 11 12 whatever. But in this case, money can help to solve several of these problems. For one, as we just 13 heard, schools are lacking basic necessities such as 14 15 libraries, book, facilities, science labs, in which 16 we test fourth and eighth graders. And so, therefore, we know that this money can be used 17 18 wisely. Secondly, we know that the governor, as well as our Mayor have this big push for community 19 20 schools. Community schools while yes it helps to align funding, especially on the state and city 21 2.2 level, it is not a free endeavor. And without the 23 proper money, and without the proper funding to ensure that all of our schools have the opportunity 24 to have--to become community schools, full-service 25

community schools that allows students to have a rich
dynamic culturally relevant curriculum that allows
parents within its realm. It allows them that
decision-making implementation design and evaluation.
That it speaks to the needs of the community. It
views communities and parents and students as assets.
Not just deficits or problems to be solved. We know
that with this necessary funding, with this
Constitutional funding and quite frankly with the
money that has been over and over again
confirmed to be ours, we can transform the lives of
students. Students don't get a second chance to be
students again. My 8 and 10-year old wont be 8 and
10 again. They won't have a second chance to be
third and fifth graders, God willing. They won't
have an opportunity to have a rich educational
experience ever again. And so, it is our moral
imperative to make sure that we educate each and
every 1.1 million students within our realm. It is
our moral, on this high holy week. It is our moral
imperative that we educate them all, and we must have
the proper funding to do that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Ms.

Bucknell.

2 HILARY BUCKNELL: Good afternoon, 3 Council. My name is Hilary Bucknell, as you can see, and I am here on a philosophical statement. So I'm 4 5 either for or against what the politicians have put 6 in place. But I am going to say this: Our children 7 and from the words of Shakespeare's Socrates are--I'm saying this--Are we educating or corrupting them? 8 Teaches are placed between a rock and a hard place 9 supporting their families while teaching our children 10 while the administrators bicker over what the budget 11 12 is missing. Brown v. Wade on the Board of Education gave over \$5 million. Councilman Maisel, I was in 13 your office when Betty and Betty Zorr [sp?] and that 14 15 whole crew was there trying to find out where the 16 money is. My son is now 19 and still doesn't have a 17 high school education completed, but we won't discuss 18 [laughs] Now, those children that went to court to get the Board of Education to pay that \$5 19 20 million I think they're grandparents now, and their children is still waiting for the education that they 21 2.2 fought for. The Education Department is almost non-23 functioning, and we've learned through transparency the more we learn, the less our children get. 24 25 Please, I'm asking weigh the cost of the un-unified

2.2

effort of teaching our children, and recognize the real dilemma: Where it falls. Because it's squarely on the shoulders of our children, and there is no excuse for such travesty. In these 2000s we have come too far to return to the past more arrogant than we were during the age when there was no court system to guide the masses. Pleas pay attention to what we're doing to ourselves. Because this is our future that we're shaping. Education is not measured by tests, and in one quote from Martin Luther King says, The contents of one's character is important.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much for that testimony from all three of you. I really do appreciate it. I'm very proud to say that this Council is taking some affirmative steps in regard to some of the Governor's agenda in Albany. And it's a pretty progressive step forward we're going to be voting on, and I know we'll be passing a resolution to say not to increase the cap on charter schools.

We're going to be voting and passing on a resolution to declare opposition to the misuse of tests, and to inform parents of their right to opt out, and to protect their children from that type of testing. We going to be passing a resolution in support of the

Campaign for Fiscal Equity, and we're going to vote
on those resolutions here today. I urge my
colleagues to vote in favor on that. We'll be voting
on this at the full Council Stated Meeting tomorrow.
And in addition at a previous hearing, we already
heard and voted on a resolution urging the state
elected officials not to put the city into
receivership. So we have come up with a package to
address all of these issues. Plus, we have dome up
with a package of ideas and suggestions, which are
proactive and things that the state government should
be doing for our city schools, which is to provide
that adequate funding. Which is to provide support
for our failing schools, and I use that word failing
very, very hesitantly because none of our schools are
really failing. None of our students are failures
either, but I'm very proud of what it is that this
Council is about to do. And I want to thank you for
coming in and giving testimony. Hearing from our
parents, those who are affected, whose children are
affected by the schools is very, very important to
me. So I think without further ado, what we're going
to do

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Permission to

explain my vote, Mr. Chair.

24

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [off mic] Yes.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay. I'm going

4 to be--

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] [on mic] Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I'm going to be voting age on Resos 592 and 577. I want to say a couple words about Reso 583, which is that I believe there are some good charters and some bad charters. The Chair I know has good feelings towards some of the mom and pop charters that are out there. I think as policymakers we have an obligation to ensure more transparency and accountability in this sector. And that means holding them accountable on things like attrition as Ms. Sprowal mentioned, and backfilling. And I have Bill 613, which would mandate this kind of reporting. Most importantly, we have an obligation to make sure that charters serve a representative sample of students in their district. Including special ed and English language learners, and not all of them are doing that, and that's critical. points weren't present in this Reso. So I'm going to be abstaining from on voting on Reso 590--excuse 583. Thank you.

The increase in the cost in terms of the outpatient

25

2 of the Department of Education budget on charters, which has increased many fold. And one thing that 3 has in particular irked me year after year is--is how 4 the Charter budget increases with a lack of 5 6 transparency. So for example we would see a certain 7 amount of increase year over year reflecting the Preliminary Budget. That would usually be about \$50 8 million reflecting the Preliminary Budget increase 9 from the prior year's Adopted Budget. What would 10 then happen is we would come back to the Executive 11 12 This is going to happen again this year, by Budget. the way, so keep your eye out for it. The increase 13 14 in the Charter budget will go up to something either 15 \$100 million, \$200 million. Those charter increases 16 that are not reflected in the Preliminary Budget. 17 They're then put into the Executive Budget, and it 18 is--it does not allow for a full airing of how those costs are arrived at. Now, I think that the previous 19 20 administration did not have -- In fact, they were -they cultivated this I believe or they found--they 21 2.2 were eager to do this in a way that was not 23 transparent. I believe that the current administration does not address it in a similar 24

fashion. But it has its hands tied, if you will,

2.2

because they have to provide the funding either for a private location, or allow for the charter school to be located in a current public school facility. And so, for that reason just strictly from a fiscal perspective I do not believe that the charter cap should be raised this year. I think that it is a--it is increasingly becoming a larger and larger part of the New York Department of Education budget. And that's why I want to vote aye on Resolution 563, and I'm proud to co-sponsor it. Thank you and aye on all.

CLERK: By a vote of 12 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and no abstentions, Resolutions 577 and 592 have been adopted. And, by a vote of 10 in the affirmative, 0 in the negative and 2 abstentions, Reso 563 has also been adopted.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much, and I just wanted to re-hone [sic] my comment in regard to Council Member Levin's remarks. As well, I support wholeheartedly what it is that your saying. At some point during the budget hearing last week, I mentioned that some of the estimates that I've spoken to people informally have raised a--have spoken about of upwards of \$200 million if charter schools were

CLERK: Yep, final vote Committee on

Education on Resolutions 577 and Resolution 590--592

24

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 50
2	are now adopted by a vote of 13 in the affirmative, 0
3	in the negative and no abstentions. And Reso 563,
4	which is adopted now by a vote of 11 in the
5	affirmative, 0 in the negative, and two abstentions.
6	Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Thank
8	you, Mr. Clerk, and with that, this meeting is
9	adjourned at 2:502:57.
10	[gavel]
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 13, 2015