CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

----- X

March 17, 2015 Start: 10:18 a.m.

Recess: 7:19 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: STEPHEN T. LEVIN

Chairperson

LAURIE A. CUMBO Chairperson

FERNANDO CABRERA

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Annabel Palma Ruben Wills

Donovan J. Richards Vanessa L. Gibson Corey D. Johnson Carlos Menchaca Ritchie J. Torres

Darlene Mealy

Elizabeth S. Crowley

Karen Koslowitz

Ben Kallos Maria Del Carmen Arroyo James Vacca Inez D. Barry Rory I. Lancman

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Gladys Carrion Commissioner NYC's Administration for Children's Services

Susan Nuccio
Deputy Commissioner of Financial Services
NYC's Administration for Children's Services

Jill Krauss,
Deputy Commissioner for Communications
NYC's Administration for Children's Services

Gilbert Taylor Commissioner Department of Homeless Services

Lula Urquhart
Deputy Commissioner
Fiscal and Procurement Operations and Audits
Department of Homeless Services

Diana Rodela
Assistant Commissioner
Budget and Revenue
Department of Homeless Services

Donald Brosen
Deputy Commissioner
Administration
Department of Homeless Services

Camille Rivera

Deputy Commissioner

Communications and External Affairs

Department of Homeless Services

Steven Banks Commissioner NYC Human Resources Administration

Ellen Levine Chief Programming Planning & Financial Officer NYC Human Resources Administration

Erin Villari
Executive Deputy
Commissioner of Finance
NYC Human Resources Administration

Jennifer Yeaw Chief of Staff NYC Human Resources Administration

David Keye Secretary-Treasurer DC 37 Local 372

Jeremy Hoffman
Director of Childcare Policy
United Federation of Teachers

Stephanie Gendell
Associate Executive Director
Citizens Committee for Children

Sarah Fajardo
Child Welfare Policy Coordinator
Coalition for Asian-American Children and Families

Gregory Bender United Neighborhood Houses Sharif Griggs Alumni of Foster Care

Anthony Turner
Peer Specialist
You've Got to Believe

Valerie Lynch

Jessica Marcus Brooklyn Defender Services Family Defense Practice

Gretchen Bedell
Foster Parent
You've Got to Believe

Amy Ellengbogen
Project Director
Crown Heights Community Mediation Center

Chris Parque
Executive Director
Homeless Services United
Coalition of the New York City Non-Profit Homeless
Service Programs

Raysa Rodriguez
Vice President
Policy and Planning
Women in Ned (WIN)

Kisha Shakamona Veras Homeless Artist Richard Lewis
Community Board 12

Ann Valdez Community Voices Heard

Melinda Nimmons Community Voices Heard

Michael Hentz

Joseph Wimpa [sp?]
Community Voices Heard & WEP Worker

Lisa Levy
Director of Policy Advocacy and Organizing
New York City Coalition Against Hunger

Rachel Sabella
Director
Government Relations
Food Bank for New York City

Yakima Pena Community for Healthy Food

Eric Munson Chief of Staff Metropolitan Council on Jewish Poverty

Marianne Yang Immigration Practice Director Brooklyn Defender Services

Michael Jackson

David Eng Human Services Council of New York [sound check, pause]

1

2

2.2

23

24

25

3 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good morning. Good 4 morning everybody. I am Council Member Stephen 5 Levin. I'm Chair of the City Council's General 6 Welfare Committee. I want to welcome everybody to 7 today's preliminary budget hearing. Because we have 8 a full day with ACS, HRA and DHS, and public testimony all coming before this committee, I'm going 10 to keep my opening statement brief. For the next two 11 and a half ours we will examine the Preliminary 12 Budget for the Administration for Children Services, 13 also known as ACS, and it is the City's agency 14 responsible for protecting and strengthening the 15 City's children, youth and families through quality 16 child welfare, juvenile justice and early child care and education services. This hearing is being held 17 18 jointly with the Committee on Women's Issues chaired 19 by Council Member Laurie Cumbo, and the Committee on 20 Juvenile Justice chaired by Council Member Fernando 21 Cabrera.

I would also like to acknowledge the other committee members who have joined us this morning, Council Member Jimmy Vacca, and we expect other council members throughout the morning. And I

2.2

would like to thank the committee's staff and the General Welfare Committee who worked on today's hearing, Brittany Morrissey, Tonya Cyrus, Policy Analyst for the Committee, and Andre Vasquez, Counsel for the committee as well as my Legislative Director Matt Ojala. I would also like to thank Commissioner Carrion and her staff for being with us this morning to provide testimony and answer council member's questions. Today, we will be hearing testimony from ACS and its Expense and Capital Budgets for Fiscal 2015--2016, and Fiscal 2015 Preliminary Mayor's Management Report.

ACS' Proposed Fiscal -- Oh, we've been joined by Council Member Donovan Richards. ACS' Proposed Fiscal 2016 Expense Budget totals \$2.95 billion, which is a \$43.2 million increase compared to Fiscal 2015's Adopted Budget. This increase can be primarily attributed to the new edition of funds for Child Welfare Reform, and a debut of funds for Operation Safe, which was introduced in the Fiscal 2015 Executive Budget. The Council looks forward to hearing the Administration's testimony on several important issues today including the Early Learn provider and enrollment rates, future funding for

child care vouches, protective, preventive and foster care services, and the Preliminary Mayor's Management Report indicators regarding family permanency services. Additionally, much of our discussion this morning will center on the new funding for Child Welfare Reform and the implementation of Operation Safe. Again, I want to thank everybody for being here this morning, and I will now turn it over to my colleague Fernando Cabrera, Chair of--I'm sorry. We'll send it over to--to Chair Laurie Cumbo of the Women's Issues Committee.

[pause]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Correction. We'll send it over to Chair Fernando Cabrera of the [laughter] Juvenile Justice Committee.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: First, a Happy St.

Patrick's Day to everyone. Good morning. I am

Council Fernando Cabrera, Chair of the Juvenile

Justice Committee. I would like to thank Chair Levin

and Chair Cumbo for their collaboration with the

committee, and also to all of the committee members.

The last 2015 Preliminary Budget hearing much of our

discussion focused on the Close to Home Initiative,

and the status of the transfer of youth from the

2	
3	

4

5

6

7

State in Limited Secure placement facilities, two city facilities. I look forward to hearing any updates that ACS may be able to share with us today. In addition to the Close to Home Initiative, last year's hearing also involved discussion pertaining to Raise the Age. The 2015-16 New York State Executive Budget set aside \$25 million partly for the placement

9

8

of newly sentenced youth in OCFS facilities as part

of Raise the Age. So it is clear that State is

to hear how ACS is preparing for the potential

10

11

preparing for the next stage of this process. I hope

12

impacts, fiscal or otherwise that this could have on

14

13

the city. I am pleased to see that the Preliminary

1516

Mayor's Management Report describes several positive

17

things. From the report, we can see that more in-

18

care youth are being referred to mental health services, and more are actually receiving those

19

services. Continuing the term that initiated in

20

Fiscal 2009, the average daily population of

21

juveniles in detention continues to decrease. I

22

would like to applaud the Administration for such an

23

encouraging--for such encouraging trends. And I

would also like to hear how we could continue this

24

progression, and make similar improvements regarding

3 other areas.

2.2

While much of today's focus will be directed towards the Close to Home Initiative, I would like to examine some of ACS capital projects, specifically renovations for the Horizon and Crossroads Juvenile Detection Facility. I believe making the necessary renovations will be an important investment and enable us to better serve the youth at these facilities. ACS traditions of youth and family justice aims to help young people to be a positive—to be positive member of society. And we could help the Division to achieve this vision by ensuring that youth—that they youth they provide care for stay in a healthy and productive environment.

Before I conclude, I would like to thank
Brittany Morrissey and our Financial Analyst; Beth
Cullen, and our Legislative Analyst; and William
Hongach, our Policy Analyst for the work they did in
putting together today's budget hearings. I'm
looking forward to hearing from the Commissioner.
Thank you so much, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Council Member Cumbo for an opening statement.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Thank you and also a

Happy Saint Patrick's Day to everyone. It's
beautiful to see this sea of green out here today. I
am Laurie Cumbo Chair of the Women's Issues
Committee. I'd like to thank Chair Levin for his
support and collaboration with the committed, and I'd
also like to thank my other Chair, Council Member
Cabrera. I'd like to thank my committee staff,
Finance Analyst, Brittany Morrissey; Counsel Aminta
Kilawan; and Policy Analyst Joan Pavoni for their

work in preparing this hearing.

As March is National Women's Herstory
month, as I like to say, I would to take this
opportunity to recognize the incredible growth that
women have made. This has been an incredible month
as well as an incredible year, and I'm so very proud
as I watch my predecessor Public Advocate Letitia

James fight for so many of the issues citywide that
affect women, as well as our Speaker Melissa MarkViverito, the first Latina ever elected to this
position. I'm also very proud to be surrounded by so
many women in power. We have over two dozen New York
City commissions and directors in the Administration,
Commissioner Carrion being one. I'm so very proud to

have this many women working in so many positions of power and Senator Montgomery also sends her regards to you.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

As March is National Women's History Month, we have to recognize that great strides have been made, but there are still so many more strides that we have to continue to make such as in Albany. One of the things that we're very passionate about is breaking the three men and/or now four men in a room. And we certainly want to see Andrea Stewart-Cousins as part of that dynamic where she's able to make critical decisions on our \$130 billion budget. A pay gap still exists with women overall earning 77 cents for every dollar the average White male makes. If we dissect this figure we find that Black women make only 70 cents and Hispanic women only 61 cents for every dollar that the average man earns. The median wealth for single White women is nearly \$42,000. While the median wealth for African-American women is \$100 and \$120 for Latino women. Ultimately, one of the biggest obstructions to economic security and advancement for low-income women and communities of color is the lack of access to affordable high quality childcare. And that's why we are here today.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We must acknowledge that ACS and the City of New York is in an amazing position to act as the catalyst for change and positively impact the work for women and girls of New York, including women and girls of color that face even greater economic disparities. ACS oversees the largest municipal childcare system in the country. Fortunately, this means Early Learn can help so many children and families.

During today's hearing, I would like to examine the Early Learn provider rate and salaries for Early Learn teachers. We need to ensure that the New York City is providing the best possible early education and care for low-income children. Childcare providers, the majority of whom are women, need to be adequately compensated, and we need to attract and retain the highest quality teachers and care providers. We cannot afford to provide inadequate services when the stakes, the future of the children of New York City, are so high. being said, ACS Fiscal 2016 Budget does not include additional funding for childcare services. leaves no additional resources for the City to address some of the concerns regarding childcare. am happy to see that money was added for Child Care

2	Welfare Reform. Coupled with the funds added in
3	Fiscal 2015 for Operation Safe, money for Child Care
4	Welfare Reform will help to strengthen the City's
5	provision of protective and preventative services.
6	Although this is extremely encouraging, I am curious
7	to learn more about the implementation of these
8	reforms, especially how they will incorporate and
9	foster cultural awareness and sensitivity. These are
10	the issues that we cannot turn a blind eye to.
11	Rather, we must gauge a constructive dialogue to
12	ensure that collectively we support the children and
13	families of New York City while also empowering the
14	women across our city. I look forward to having a
15	critical discussion on these matters today, and hope
16	that we can work together to ensure that the needs of
17	these unique and often vulnerable populations are
18	met. So I thank you, and I look forward to your
19	testimony today, and I thank all of you for being
20	here for this very important discussion on our most
21	valuable resource, New York City's children. Thank
22	you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Chair Cumbo. We've also been joined by Council Member Ruben Wills, and thank you very much Commissioner

you.

23

24

25

2.2

Carrion for coming today to testify. I know that we've been joined also by Deputy Commissioner Jill Krauss and Deputy Commissioner Susan Nuccio. We will ask before testimony for you to raise your right hands please. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and to respond honestly to council member's question? Thank you very much. Commissioner Carrion, the floor is yours.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Can you turn on your microphone, please?

[pause]

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Good morning

Chairs Levin, Cumbo and Cabrera and members of the

Finance, General Welfare and Women's Issues and

Juvenile Justice Committee. I am Gladys Carrion,

Commissioner of New York City's Administration for

Children's Services. With me today is Susan Nuccio,

Deputy Commissioner of Financial Services, and Jill

Krauss, my Deputy Commissioner for Communications. I

appreciate—She does everything. I appreciate

having this opportunity to brief you on the

Preliminary Budget, and to update you on the

Children's Services ongoing work to protect and work

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

New York City's most vulnerable children and families. Children's Services budget for the 2016 Preliminary Budget Plan provides for operating expenses of \$2.95 billion, of which approximately \$907 million is tax levy. This is an increase of last year's adopted 2015 budget of \$2.91 billion, and an approximate \$895 million city tax levy funding. The \$12 million tax levy increase is due to the new funding added to the 2015 Executive -- the 2016 Preliminary Budgets funding that has been added primarily to support Child Welfare Reforms. For too long the world of child welfare has focused on protecting children without paying much attention to how they're doing. Promoting the wellbeing of the children must be as important as keeping them safe and stable. All of the initiatives that comprise our Preliminary 2016 Budget are oriented toward these Safety, permanency and wellbeing. qoals:

ACS' child welfare work is threefold and it involves protective, preventive, and foster care services. Each year we investigate over 60,000 reports of maltreatment, and we provide preventive services to over 25,000 families. So children can remain safely at home. And when out of home

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

placements are necessary, we oversee approximately 11,000 children in foster care. ACS depends on over 2,000 dedicated frontline staff to make difficult decisions that have profound consequences in the lives of children and families.

I am pleased to announce that the

Preliminary 2016 Budget positions ACS to make the most significant investment in our workforce, and child welfare practice in over a decade. proposed, the budget will also help ACS strengthen our ability to provide intensive services to families, and to better identify which families could benefit the most from these services. Early in the de Blasio Administration, ACS embarked on a series of reforms to bolster our child welfare practice. All of the initiatives related to last year's Operation Safe are well underway. We are in the process of hiring 362 new positions including 130 new Child Protective Specialists, creating 23 new Family Services units, which oversee our highest risk cases. And adding three new units in emergency children's services, which initiate child protective investigations overnight and during weekends. has also hired 35 new attorneys and administrative

staff within our division of Family Court Legal
Services to support our legal efforts in Family Court
to promote positive outcomes for vulnerable children
and families. And to help assess the appropriate
level of supervision in high-risk cases. As we
expand our frontline staff, we must make sure that
they're constantly strengthened, developed and
supported throughout their careers. The Preliminary
Budget allocates \$9.79 million to establish an ACS
workforce institute in partnership with CUNY and our
non-profit providers. This institute will support
professional development, opportunities similar to
those offered by other helping professions such as
teaching and medicine. Every child welfare worker
will have meaningful educational opportunities from
the latest in brain science and evidence-based mental
health programs to the most effective family
engagement strategies. In addition to serving all of
our frontline staff, the institute will also support
our private partners, which include more than 2,000
preventive and foster care caseworkers employed by
our contractor providers. The institute will feature
a curriculum developed by experts in child welfare

2 and educational fields. And provide a full catalog
3 of courses with simulated and exponential learning.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ACS is also developing a comprehensive coaching model, which will reinforce a culture of supportive supervision and continuous improvement in our work. The most important and challenging decisions a child protective professional must make related to assessing risk. The Preliminary Budget funds ACS to develop a predictive risk assessment data tool that bolster our capacity to target appropriate support services and intervention. We will join other jurisdictions like California, Michigan and Florida all who already use aggregated data compiled from hundreds of thousands of child welfare cases to understand what factors predict whether a family may be the subject of a future substantiated report. Some of these factors include histories of foster care placement, past incidents of domestic violence, level of prior ACS involvement and incidents of homelessness. By combining these factors in an analytical database, frontline staff at different stages of the case will be able to identify highest risk families, and ensure that they receive a higher level of supportive intervention that can help

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

prevent maltreatment and future system involvement. Experiencing the stress and trauma of poverty, homelessness, depression, substance abuse and violence places extraordinary challenges on parents and their children, especially those who are under five years of age. Research shows that children at highest risk of severe neglect or injury of those whose bond with their caregivers is not strong. 2016 Budget proposes that ACS adds 240 new slots of evidence-based preventive services focused on these high-risk families with young children. We believe that the additional investment in evidence-based clinical mental health services for parents with trauma, as well as mental health services for parents with very young children will provide tremendous support to this vulnerable population. Families and children experiencing housing instability and homelessness are among the city's most vulnerable citizens. At any given time, about one-quarter of the families in homeless shelters are actively involved with ACS. Since the beginning of Mayor de Blasio's Administration, ACS has in close collaboration with DHS developed a series of new measures to better understand the needs of ACS child

welfare involved families in shelter, and to increase interagency coordination to ensure proper services and supports.

2.2

establish two child protective units at the PATH

Center in the Bronx. These new units will be

comprised of one child protective manager, two child

protective supervisors and ten child protective

specialists. Working in tandem with the DHS intake

staff, these units will assess the needs and risk of

incoming ACS involved families, and help them access

a wide array of preventive services, support

supports, and childcare. As well as help with the

families that are already in the homeless shelters

that are child welfare involved.

In addition, this spring ACS will conduct an enrollment drive to ensure that every child in a DHS shelter under five has access to quality childcare. Finally, of all the fatalities of children known to our child welfare system, half involved infant deaths related to unsafe sleeping conditions. Practices like sharing the bed with an infant, having objects in the crib and placing infants on their stomachs can be dangerous. Using a

initiative.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 public health approach, we're working with agencies like the Health and Hospitals Corporation, Department 3 of Health and Mental Hygiene, community based 4 organizations, the American Academy of Pediatrics, 5 and the private sector to develop a coordinated 6 7 public awareness campaign. The proposed budget allows ACS to hire outreach liaisons whose work will 8 include engaging community members, developing local 9 strategies and distributing educational materials 10 related to safe sleep practices. We look forward to 11 12 partnering with the Council on this important

Considering the quality--considering the impact that quality early education has on the development of cognitive, social and emotional skills for all children especially those coming from highly stressed environments, is integral to ACS' work of strengthening and support families. ACS is deeply invested in moving toward a coordinated aligned early care and education system that provides quality services for all children. As many of you know, in December ACS issued an RFP to award \$56 million new Early Learn New York City Services. The RFP targeted 39 zip codes and locations where the City Council has

education services.

funded child care services for the past several years. ACS is currently reviewing the submissions and we expect to announce recommended awards from approximately 4,800 Early Learn New York City seats by the end of April. We recognize that the transition to Early Learn may present new challenges for some providers. So we look forward to continued communication with the Council in the months ahead. We are excited to bring in new providers, and serve

additional communities with quality early care and

Now, moving on to Juvenile Justice. The time has come for New York State to offer developmentally appropriate services to the 16 and 17-year-olds who come to the attention of the Justice System. This will be accomplished when the States passes the proposed legislation raising the age of criminal responsibility, which ACS strongly supports. One of the existing functions of ACS is to work with justice-Juvenile Justice involved youth to promote public safety and to improve the lives of young people, families and the communities by providing therapeutic treatment, safe and secure custodial care, responsive health care, effective re-entry

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

services, and promoting educational achievement.

Raise the Age will allow our agency to extend these intervention services and care to the 16 and 17-year-olds who need and can benefit from them.

ACS is committed to providing young

people with--in our Juvenile Justice programs with safe and security environments as well as programming and exposure to experiences that encourage youth to thrive. We firmly believe that preventive services for youth in crisis are imperative, and out-of-home Juvenile Justice placement is, and should be our last option. As such, I am happy to report that the New York State Commission of Criminal Justice Services extended the contract with ACS, and with New York finally in the Center for Court Innovation to provide alternatives to detention services to youth in Queens. These services address the needs of youth who are at risk of detention due solely to family instability or conflict rather than public safety or failure to appear. The initial funding timeline for this program was March 2013 through December 2014 and was recently extended by DCJS through December 2015 with an additional \$333,000 in funding. To better serve youth in secure detention, we're looking to add

significant funding to improve the infrastructures of the two facilities that we directly operate. We're moving forward with large-scale renovations that will include the creation of a psychiatric medical suite in both Crossovers and Horizon, classroom updates and upgrades, energy, lighting, roofing, and plumbing upgrades. Kitchen equipment upgrades, and outdoor recreation area enhancements. We believe that these physical improvements will greatly improve young people's experience while in our care.

I am excited to announce that Limited

Secure Facilities Phase 2 of Close to Home will

officially launch next month. We experienced some

challenges with respect to construction and

renovation of the limited secure sites. However, our

three non-profit provider agencies have hired over

300 staff, conducted numerous trainings during the

past six weeks, and are on track to begin accepting

LSP youth. Each of the six sites will serve 12 to 20

youth for a total projected census of over--census of

approximately 100 young people in our system.

It is my sincere hope that as I endeavor to continue to strengthen the work of ACS, I can refame our work to impact on wellbeing that speaks to

2 the success of our young people. I would like to thank our dedicated workforce for their tireless 3

4 efforts to support -- support the children and families

of New York City. I look forward to a continued

6 productive collaboration with the City Council.

Thank you for your time this morning, and I welcome

your comments and questions. 8

1

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much Commissioner. I'm going to ask a couple of questions and then turn it over to my co-chairs for their questions, and then we'll have other members ask questions. Thank you very much for your testimony, Commissioner. I know that there's been great strides that CS has made over the last year under your tenure. And we are very excited about all the areas of advancement and innovation that ACS is embarking upon. We are thrilled to see new funding in protective services and preventive services. have a few questions, though. Starting with the Administration has a -- a Children's Task Force that we were told was going to come out with a list of recommendations I believe back in December. And then, we were told that it was going to be coming out in January. It's now mid-March and those

recommendations have not been presented to us.

1

2

3 don't know what areas those recommendations will

4 cover. We don't know what within ACS' jurisdiction,

5 | other agencies' jurisdiction those recommendations

6 | will be addressing. And my concern is that a lot of

7 those recommendations would be best addressed as part

8 of the budget process. Because they may involve the

9 allocation of funds that we think is most appropriate

10 | in the setting of putting forward an FY 2016 budget.

11 Do you have a date when those recommendations will be

12 presented to the Council and to the public?

13 COMMISSIONER CARRION: The

14 recommendations are close to being finalized. We had

15 more input from the task force members than

16 | anticipate. And so we're--we are planning and

17 | hopeful that we'll be able to finalize the work of

18 | the task force on those recommendations very soon and

19 no later than next month.

20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Will those

21 recommendations be taken into account as the

22 | Administration is preparing an Executive Budget for

23 2016?

24 COMMISSIONER CARRION: Yes, I'm sure that

25 that will be part of the discussions, and we are more

than prepared that once those recommendations are
finalized to discuss them with the City Council, and
discuss them with your office.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Are those recommendations, and I know that they're not obviously finalized yet, but will they involve significant proposed allocation of funds?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: I don't know yet because those recommendations haven't been finalized.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You know, we think that obviously we're disappointed that they're not here yet. Because we believe the most appropriate way to discuss those recommendations would be through the preliminary budget process, and through this hearing as you discuss the Preliminary Budget. And then, through the Council's response to the Preliminary Budget, and obviously the Executive Budget through the full process of budget negotiations. So we are hopeful that—that that—those recommendations really should be presented within the next couple of weeks because we as—as was mandated by the Charter, we'll be presenting a Preliminary Budget response. And those issues should be taken into account in that setting. So if it's

possible, we thing it's--it would be best for the Administration to present those recommendations as soon as possible.

2.2

COMMISSIONER CARRION: I understand your concerns.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. I wanted to ask a little bit about Operation Safe and Child Welfare Reforms. In reviewing the two sets of programs, I want to make sure that we best understand the relationship between the programs, the initiatives. How the funding works because we have funds that will be allocated as part of Child Welfare Reforms, and we have it as \$27.7 million for Fiscal 16 for Child Welfare Reforms, and \$25.3 million as part of Operation Safe. Can you just speak in general terms about the relationship between the two initiatives? What falls in which—which falls in which category and how that works together.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So I mean overall,
Operation Safe is really about bringing in more
resources, more staff to do the work--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: --and op--and our Child Welfare Reforms is really efforts of how do we

support and strengthen that staff? And I can talk in particular to Operation Safe. So that's an overall arching framework that we brought in additional staff--

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: --with a--with an eye to really make sure that we can continue to lower caseloads, to be able to have staff that has the proper supervision, bring in more supervisors. And then, the Child Welfare Reforms with our institute and the work there is really an effort to strengthen the skill set of the staff overall in the agency. But Operation--Operation Safe adds 362 new positions to our Child Protective, Preventive and Foster Care Services. As you said at a cost of \$25.3 million. Operation Safe the breakdown of the 362 positions are--includes one, an internal monitor positions. also provides for 229 positions for the enhancement and redesign of Family Services Units. These are the units that deal with the high-risk cases that are court ordered supervised cases. Restructuring of the next set of five positions will be for the restructuring of our borough offices in the Bronx and in Brooklyn. They have very high caseloads. We are

2

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

1718

19

20

21

23

24

25

very concerned about the ability to have one borough commissioner have that span of supervision. So we've divided those two offices into separate zones and increased both the supervision and administrative ability for oversight of those two offices.

The nature of the cases for both the Bronx and Brooklyn are very high-risk cases and the volume is high. And so, we wanted to make sure that we have the proper staffing, and the proper supervision in those two boroughs. We also are 92 positions in Operation Safe for the restructuring of the family permanency and family support, investigative consultants and policy and planning units. We're going to establish with the 92 positions case compliance of monitoring units. will help focus particularly on reunification cases on those transition points that are very important and critical in the life of a family. When we're ready to do trial discharges, we want to make sure that we can provide support both to the agencies as they are making those decisions, and also to the families. So we will have enhanced oversight over those functions. We are also going to be hiring five investigative consultants that will--that will be

2.2

2 in mind that that number is a four-month. It's a moment in time.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: The seven was very low. Also a moment in time, but I think with the effort to staff up, we want to make sure that we keep caseloads as low as we can.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh. In terms of new Child Protective Specialists, often we hear of turnover in positions like that. Can you speak a little bit to efforts that ACS is undertaking to discourage turnover, and to support those staff members from--from burnout?

cognizant of the turnover and, in fact, our turnover rate has gone down from last year. I think that what is very important is to make sure that we support our workers with the proper supervision and training, which is why we're--we in this budget propose

Workforce Training Institute. It's very important for staff to feel supported, to have the resources they need to do their job, and the knowledge base to be able to do their job. It's also very important for us to deal with the secondary trauma that staff

2	experience on a day-to-day. And so there will be and					
3	has been wellness focus on making sure that each of					
4	our borough offices, our field offices staff have the					
5	support they need to deal with that secondary trauma,					
6	and feel that they're supported. That becomes very					
7	important. We sometimes tend to focus just on					
8	salaries, when that is what is important to workers.					
9	But when you survey workers, salary yes is important,					
10	but other things are as important if not in some					
11	instances more important. And having the right					
12	supervision, having the right training, and support.					
13	And having an agency that understands the secondary					
14	trauma that they face and efforts to deal with that,					

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In terms of the budgets both for Operation Safe and Child Welfare Reforms those are both reflected in the Child Protective Services' budget? Is that correct? Is it—are they entirely within those budget categories?

and help them to address that is very important.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: They're sprinkled across Protective, Preventive, and Foster Care.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. With regard to Preventive Services then, we--the Budget for 2016, the Preliminary Budget reflects a decrease of a

be a decrease then of--

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES			
1	AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 39			
2	COMMISSIONER CARRION: [interposing] No.			
3	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:of about \$400,000?			
4	COMMISSIONER CARRION: It's 2.2			
5	[background comment]			
6	COMMISSIONER CARRION: For the Nurse.			
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: \$2.2 for Nurse. So			
8	you're expecting then for the Preventive Services			
9	Budget to be entirely flat?			
10	COMMISSIONER CARRION: Yes. Well-			
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NUCCIO: [off mic]			
12	COMMISSIONER CARRION: For DPS because we			
13	have 240 slots for intensive Preventive Services.			
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: That would be added?			
15	COMMISSIONER CARRION: That would be			
16	that is under the Child Welfare Reforms.			
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is that reflected in			
18	the Preventive Services budget.			
19	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NUCCIO: [off mic]			
20	We're self-funding. [sic]			
21	COMMISSIONER CARRION: We're self-funding			
22	thosethose additional slots for Preventive.			
23	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right, ifif			
24	Operation SafeI'm sorry. I'm sorry. Excuse me.			
25	If Safe Harbor and Nurse Family Partnerships are			

somewhere else in PS, is that right?

25

had actually hired someone that worked for most of 2 the year, and then just recently left. So that 3 4 position reports directly to me as Commissioner. 5 the intent in establishing that position is that I wanted to make sure that all the reforms. All the 6 7 recommendations that we received as an agency are representative from our Accountability Review Panel 8 that reviews child fatalities, DOI recommendations, 9 child stat recommendations would actually be reviewed 10 and implemented and that we close that loop. And so 11 12 the primary function of that person has been to develop and infrastructure or protocols for us to 13 make sure that we are reviewing those 14 15 recommendations. The recommendations that we received 16 in the past, we received recommendations from the DA. We've received recommendations from grand juries. 17 18 We've received recommendations from reports and making sure that we have a protocol for reviewing 19 20 those recommendations, for acting on those recommendations. And the reason for not acting on 21 2.2 those recommendations if they don't make sense for 23 us. And so, that person's job has been to establish 24 that structure, to review that and put them all in a

centralized place. And also to make sure that we're

think that it would be helpful to this committee in

its oversight role to be able to see some of those

24

recommendations that the Internal Monitor is making,

and get a little more insight into what they are

looking at, and the recommendations that they are

5 making.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARRION: We always welcome the opportunity to share our work with the Council.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: All right. I want to speak for a moment, and then I'll turn it over to my co-chairs [coughs] about issues that are arising out of foster care that are concerning. We saw in a -- the most recent Federal Child and Family Services Review Data that New York State is ranking consistently very low in the bottom five states for four out of seven of the indicators. And only met the National Standards for one indicator. So in terms of maltreatment in care, we rank--46 out of 48 states ranked did not meet the National Standards. Recurrence of maltreatment 48 out 48 states ranked permanency within 12 months. 35 out of 49 states ranked permanency in 12 months for child--for children in care from 12 to 23 months. 50 out of 51 states ranked did not meet the National Standards. Permanency in 12 months for children in care 24 months or more. 48 out of 51 states ranked re-entry

into foster care in 12 months. 40 out of 48 states				
ranked also did not meet the National Standards. In				
placement stability we ranked much higher, three out				
of 48 states ranked where we did meet the National				
Standards. But in looking at the PMMR, we saw that				
theone thing that jumped out at us thethe				
adoption rates for the first four months of 20of FY				
15 has decreased 21.5% from 339 in Fiscal 14 to 266				
in the first four months of Fiscal 15. The children				
eligible for adoption has remained at 4.4% Excuse				
me, 4.4% more children are eligible for adoption.				
They remained in ACS custody for the reported period.				
What do you believe is happening there, and how is				
ACS addressing that particular issue in light of the				
fairly dismal performance across the board as per the				
Child and Family Services review data?				

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So first, I think we have to use some caution in using a four-month period to be able to predict or forecast what it will look like in a year. There are lots of fluctuations, and so a four-month window really does not give us an accurate picture.

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] But

2.2

I'm just saying possibly. I mean if we're--it makes up a third of the year.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: [interposing] It does. [sic]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So obviously if we're down by 21% for the first four months of the year, and it's been that, we would have to make up for it by being 21% over for the next four months just to be on track.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Well, anything is possible.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes.

that, I think that we're recognized that that is a challenge. You know, as the numbers in foster care shrink, the children that are going to be left in the system, we'll have a higher number of children for instance waiting to be adopted. But historically, over time our numbers in time to adoption has been very, very long. And so, what we have done at ACS, and it is an area of great concern to us. We don't want children languishing in care when they can be-if we could expedite the adoption process. So we've

25

initiated what we're calling No Time to Wait. 2 secured private funding from a foundation to help us 3 partner--to help us identify in partnership with the 4 Family Court, with the Judiciary where are the delays 5 in the system? What's causing--what are the barriers 6 7 to timely movement across the continuum to be able to facilitate and expedite adoptions. As you know, 8 we're not the only player in this that has something 9 to do with moving adoptions. And so, we are--have 10 hired consultants that are working with us, and with 11 12 the court system to identify where are those delays. Preliminarily, they've identified some areas where 13 they think that we can do better in expediting the 14 process. For instance in being able to speed our 15 16 subsidy, our adoption subsidies. Developing a different business process that would expedite that. 17 18 Looking to see how we can increase our kinship adoption and kinship care. Also looking how we could 19 20 expedite the acquisition of documents like birth certificates. And, working better with our city 21 2.2 agencies our partners in really being able to 23 assemble the documents that are necessary. So it's an area where we're focused on, an areas where we're 24

doing work. And that we're cognizant that it takes

2 too long in New York City Council and in the State of 3 New York to adopt a child.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The private funding that you spoke of, how much does that account for?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: It's about \$200 or \$300,000 over two years.

Years. Because the--because the budget for adoptive services--adoption services in the Preliminary 2016
Budget is--there's no increase in the Preliminary
Budget of City tax levy or State of Federal funds.
So that would be the only--the only increase would be this infusion of private funds? So that would be the--the only increase would be the--the only increase would be therefore the only increase would be the--the only increase would be this infusion of private funds.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: The private dollars to fund the initiative No Time to Wait.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Just in terms of, and this is my last--my question before I turn it over to my co-chairs. In terms of kinship, there has been also in the PMMR over that four-month period a decrease from 2014 of 4,028 down to 3,736. So, that's also indicating a lag, if you will, in--in the

2.2

2 first four months of the 20--Fiscal Year 2015. So, is there--is that an area of concern or are you--?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARRION: It's an area of It's part of the No Wait--No Time to Wait initiative to really look at how we incentivize that more and, and how we use that as a vehicle to expedite permanency for children in care.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. I want to acknowledge we've been joined by Council Member Annabel Palma, as well as Council Member Daneek Miller. And I want to turn it over to my Co-Chair Laurie Cumbo tor proceed with questions. Council Member--Chair Cumbo.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Thank you, Chair Levin and thank you so much for your presence here today. Want to start off with a low ball question just a little bit off the beaten path. Wanted to ask you from the last time we met because you were so new to the position, what are one of the things that you're really proud of that happened over this year? And what continues to be one of your greatest challenges?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Well, I think that one of the things that I think is important in the

1	AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 52
2	work that we've commenced at ACS is really to change
3	the framework to look at the wellbeing of children.
4	And really to change the conversation. That we focus
5	on how children are doing. As a system, we're very
6	good at measuring the things that Council Member
7	Levin pointed out to, and that we don't do so well
8	at. But time to permanency and things like that all
9	very important things that we measure. But we forget
10	about the child, and we forget to really focus on how
11	are they doing. And ourand that's really important
12	because it is really it should be about the child.
13	And coming into care should not be an adverse
14	experience that results in poor outcomes for children
15	and young people. And so, I'm very focused on that,
16	and as a system really working hard to reorient the
17	system to really look at the wellbeing. And to work
18	with our partners to really focus on the wellbeing of
19	the child. How are they doing? How are they doing
20	in school? What's their emotional-social
21	development? You know, are they ready to learn? Are
22	theyare they excelling in school? Are they having
23	the normative types of experience that children have
24	that are not in foster care? Those are the things

that I am focused on, and that's-- I think we started

2 that conversation with our partners, and I look
3 forward to really deepening that work.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

In terms of challenges, the agency is one big challenge.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: It's--it's very hard work. There are lots of challenges in every aspect of our work. Some challenges with infrastructure, our inability to do our work as efficiently and as smart as we would like. Because we don't have the infrastructure. For instance, IT tools. Things like that have really surprised me having come from the State, and making certain assumptions about the capacity of ACS and other city agencies to have the tools to do the work. Also, trying to make sure that we create, have the resources and work to develop those tools in IT that we need to strengthen the training of our staff. Our work is getting more and more complex as the science and research develops. We need to help our staff, our workers, our frontline workers understand that. Have a better understanding of trauma. So those are the things that keep me up at night. But those are the things that challenge me, and what I'm proud

2.2

about introducing in the agency and working toward having a trauma informed development—development in the appropriate system that is really focused on the wellbeing of children.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: In your testimony you spoke about the development of the Predictive Risk Assessment Data Tool Predictive Risk Assessment Data Tool. Are these the types of tools that you're looking to have implemented and expanded throughout the agency to assist with your work?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Absolutely.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON CUMBO:} \mbox{ And where are you}$  with that implementation of this?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Well, we're-we're-we actually have much of data--

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: [interposing] Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: --right, in building that capacity, and we're building that tool. And so, we're in the process of building the tool. We identified who our partners are that we need in terms of the expertise to develop that tool. So we're on our way. We know how to do it.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Okay. I wanted to ask you more on a--on a more recent issue that's

1 2 happened. And I want to understand how a tool like that can be helpful. So we recently all saw the 3 4 horrific incident that happened at McDonald's. And as we're looking at it unfold, we're seeing these 5 6 young women brutally beating up another young woman. It looks just on first glance as it's an issue of some girls having the fight. But then when the 8 reports come out you're seeing that the leader in 9 that had been arrested six times. Had stabbed her 10 brother. Had punched her grandmother in the face, is 11 12 also a mom herself I believe at the age of 15 or 16. And having all of these development issues. When and 13 where does ACS intersect with a situation such as 14 15 this? Where does your agency get notified that a 16 young person like this is in trouble? Because the media has made her out to be politically in many ways 17 18 a brute of sorts. But, you know, I really believe that a young person like that has some serious 19 20 challenges. And wanting to know in all of the testimony that's been put forward, we're looking for 21 2.2 solutions to have this sort of thing not happen. 23 when someone is being alerted to the fact that they're having such a long--so many run-ins with the 24

Police Department, when does ACS intersect with this?

ways that we intersection. There would have to be a call to the SCR that reports some abuse or neglect.

And then there has to be--we have to meet a standard to see whether or not there is the case as indicated.

Is there sufficient evidence? Is there probable cause to believe that there has been abuse and neglect? So that is one door where cases like this might come to our attention. The other is the Juvenile Justice System--

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: [interposing] Uh-huh.

either in our role there as we run the detention system. So a young person would have to be arrested, and detained in order to come into our detention.

And our detention is pre-adjudication waiting for the case to heard in court. Or, if a judge then makes a decision and decides to place a young person, they would go into our either limited and a non-secured system now. And that would be a judicial determination as to whether or not a young person would be placed in a non-secure setting. Those would be the two instances or there is a third. Or,

and that would have to be a voluntary act by a family				
seeking help. Unless in Family Court the judge, if				
there was abuse or neglect, would mandate Preventive				
Services. So it would require a call to the SCR. It				
would require a decision by a judicial body. Or, if				
we didn'tif ACS didn't think that there was				
sufficient evidence to indicate a case and to remove				
children, or mandate services, we could recommend				
services to the family. We could work with the				
family, but the family would have to be willing to				
engage with us. So there are opportunities across				
the continuum for us to be able to provide services				
to families. But there has to be a willingness on				
the part of families to engage. Or, there has to be				
a determination by a judicial body that says these				
services are mandated. Or, they come into our				
Juvenile Justice System, and they're placed with us,				
and get services through that system.				

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: So in this particular situation, the young woman was arrested several times, but not detained. And so because she's arrested and is not then placed in one of your facilities, then it's almost as if a situation such as hers would not reach ACS' attention in that way?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMISSIONER CARRION: It might not. Ιt would depend once again if a family affirmatively sought out help.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: It seems like there a--there's a--there something missing in that scenario because I know that in doing further research on that case that there was an order of protection that the family put out against that particular young woman after the incident happened with her grandmother. So it seems that they may have been instructed in some ways about what they could to do protect themselves. But it seems like there's a-there's a missing link in terms of them then being given all of the options to say these are the types of services that are available when a situation like this happens. And so, it would seem that there is more research that needs to be done on the part of this when young people are exhibiting very dangerous signs that they are a danger to themselves. They're a danger to their family, and a danger to society. It appears that we have to do more preventative or interjections in that way in order to prevent situations like that from happening.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY

25

2 COMMISSIONER CARRION: So, ACS--this has been an issue for ACS for a number of years, and ACS 3 has been involved working in collaboration with 4 partner city agencies like the Department of Health 5 6 and the Office of the Medical Examiner, and HHC, the 7 Health and Hospitals Corporation to really do training. And so, there's been a lot of training and 8 work already done to increase everyone's awareness 9 across the systems about unsafe sleep conditions. 10 This particular -- we've been also -- I recently had a 11 12 conference. I think it was in November and December where we had a conference where a coupled of hundred 13 14 people were present from across city agencies. And 15 we invited Baltimore, the City of Baltimore to come 16 because they have one of the most compelling and effective safe sleep campaigns to share with us and 17 18 have some lessons learned from the. And that was very incisive and very helpful. And from that 19 20 exchange of learning, we have developed this now campaign where it really is about how do we get into 21 2.2 the community and create awareness in the community? 23 We feel that we've created awareness around the 24 professions, and that we become aware of this

problem. But really using more of a community

issue in the city so that there was buy-in. There

2.2

was awareness, and there was community working

3 together on many levels to really prevent any further

4 deaths of children. But they did have an impact on

5 | their bottom line.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Thank you, and I definitely want to be a partner in that as Chair of the Women's Issue Committee, but this is certainly a critical issue to the wellbeing of our babies and children. I wanted to talk a bit about UPK. The Fiscal 2016 Budget show a decrease of \$14 million in UPK and the Head Start Program area. Wanted to know why is UPK funding declining? Couldn't that additional funding still be utilized? And wanted to start with that, and then to get a little bit more into UPK.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So that \$14 million was a one-time allocation when UPK was launched to be able to fund classroom enhancements and professional development for the teachers.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Okay. Now, one year later we've been talking still a lot about the disparities there. At the Fiscal 2015 Preliminary Budget hearing you stated that ACS was in the process of exploring the possible options for addressing the

pay disparity between UPK and Early Learn teachers.

And this was a big issue with the rollout last year.

One year later, what options have you identified for addressing this issue in terms of the pay disparity between UPK and Early Learn? And is ACS considering implementing any of these options that I hope have come to the forefront at this time?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So first let me share with you that that's been part of the work of the task force--

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: [interposing] Uh-huh.

the recommendations that we're waiting for. But as, you know, as part of the initial rollout, there was additional funding to have parity between the DOE, community-based teachers of the fours with the Early Learn teachers, lead teachers for the four-year-olds. So that level of parity was established.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Right.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: I think that there is additional work to be done, and that's the work that's being-- Those issues about parity across the systems that is being looked at as part of the work of the task force.

2 CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: So it's still being

3 looked at?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARRION: It still is.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: How long do you think we'll be looking at it?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: I think it will be a while.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Okay, I'll get back to that issue in the second round of questioning. But wanted to move into better serving our youth in secured detention. I mentioned this last year when we were looking to add significant funding to improve the infrastructure, and we were moving forward with large-scale renovations, classroom updates, upgrades, energy, lighting, roofing, plumbing, psychiatric medical suite, and many of those types of enhancements. Wanted to know because we know that many of the young people, our Black and Brown young people that are in our detention centers. Has there been any movement or any energy put into cultural programming, cultural relevant programming to young people in our juvenile detention facilities. Because I believe very strongly that so many of these young people are so disconnected from their culture in the

25 CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: [coughing]

realize how important it is for young people--

<u>٦</u>

commissioner carrion: --to have a sense of self, and know what their heritage is, and who their leaders are. And how they are important, right, and that they need to have a sense of self-efficacy, and that's how we really build that sense of self-efficacy by understanding what the accomplishments of those who came before them are.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Do you do that through partners?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: We do that through a lot of partners. Absolutely.

interested to know who some of those partners are, and if you could send me a list of who some of those cultural partners are. Because coming from my background in the arts, I certainly have a great understanding of many of the cultural partners citywide. And would love to recommend some to also be critical partners in this process. Such as Caribbean Cultural Center, African Diaspora Institute, West Indian American Day Carnival Association, and many that are working to bring cultural heritage competency to our young people

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARRION: We'll definitely share our list, and welcome any additions that you have.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Thank you very much

for that, and wanted to get to the heart of the matter in my district, but something that happens, and is addressing many of the providers as well. But also wanted to add, too. I noticed that you all are doing a tremendous amount of hiring, and I can't imagine how challenging that must be to bring so many people on staff so rapidly and so quickly. say that I feel somewhat disconnected from that process. And there are so many people that come to our offices looking for employment, looking for opportunities. How can we be a part of that process. So that when you are doing this level of hiring, that our offices who are on the ground in the communities with our constituents, how are we able to direct them to that? And how are you all communicating that these opportunities are available?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So we do some outreach. I think that we could do much better in terms of outreach. I think that we should be letting your offices know when there is that call for the

Civil Service Exam for people to take. Because there
are Civil Service positions, and to let you know, and
we will do that.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: I would appreciate that—I mean there's—In your testimonies I always hear about all these great things happening. But how we can be interconnected would be phenomenal for our districts, for you. And I noticed that you also had somewhat of a relationship with CUNY in terms of that being a pipeline for hiring throughout ACS. Can you talk to me a bit about that?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Well, we have a lot of CUNY interns, and we have a deep relationship with all the schools of social work. And I meet with the deans of school social work. They do internships at the agency, which is part of a pipeline. We have fellowships. We recruit in the CUNY schools. So CUNY does training. They're our partner in much of the work that we do.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Okay. My final question goes to what I'm sure you are aware of yesterday. A couple hundred people from my district in Fort Green are grappling with an issue that many communities are facing. Senior citizens came here.

1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 2 Children came here that are three and four years old. Hundreds of members out of my community came from the 3 Young Minds Day Care Program. And this is a really 4 5 very serious issue that many organizations are facing particularly in rapidly gentrifying communities. 6 7 People often think that gentrification is connected specifically to housing. But it has everything to do 8 with our day care centers, and our schools and many 9 other institutions. So Young Minds Day Care is 10 currently in negotiations with ACS regarding their 11 12 lease. But they have been unsuccessful in reaching an agreement on the timeframe of the lease. With other 13 city agencies on site that are offering Young Minds a 14 15 new ten-year lease, and ACS is only offering a three-16 year lease with the term of opting out in outer years. My question is why is it that ACS does not 17 18 offer a ten-year lease or some form of permanency for Young Minds and day care centers in which you, ACS, 19 20 oversee? Because many landlords at this time are not interested in making capital improvements, and going 21 2.2 forward with year-to-year leases when they really

need that level of long-term lease that would provide

our young people with the type of security that they

need. Versus having three and four-year-olds

23

24

participating in a budget dance, if you will, on the
steps of City Hall just yesterday.

those concerns. I think that what we are trying to do is to align the leases with the contract terms of Early Learn. And the Early Learn timeframe for recompetition is 2018. So we don't want to get into leases that are beyond that because we really don't know what the landscape is going to look like. This landlord is negotiating with DCAS. They'll negotiate something on behalf of all the city agencies, and so we're hopeful that we will reach an agreement. But we need to align those lease terms with the terms of our Early Learn contracts.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: I hear you. We're at the point right now where the landlord is ready to put a for lease sign on the door I understand Monday. And so, if other agencies are not negotiating in the same way as ACS, it creates a serious discrepancy in terms of buildings that are operating services for either seniors or for children or for other services. If the administration is not working collaboratively in terms of how they understand their lease

2.2

lease, right. So they're already under the understanding that they're going to be expanding their Universal Pre-K program, while at the same time the landlord is looking to put a for lease sign on the door on Monday.

understanding of the landlord and where the negotiations are in terms of the information that we've gotten from DCAS. So it's our understanding that those negotiations are ongoing, and not aware that the landlord is putting in for rent sign or lease sign on his door.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Well, my understanding is that--

COMMISSIONER CARRION: [interposing] But we'll look into it. I mean absolutely we will look into it.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Well, my
understanding is that that's going to happen, and I
really want to understand how can we avoid the yearto-year budget dance for organizations that fall
under the Negotiation Acquisition Extension? How can
we avoid that moving forward?

2.2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARRION: But, you know, we have a procurement process in the city, and that's the framework, right and that's what rules. So these contracts really have to have a beginning and an end pursuant to our procurement rules. And so, we're really not in a position to be able to contract for a term that's longer than we can say that we are going to have a contract. Because we're going to have an open competitive process, and we don't know if -- Who is going to receive a contract. And so, I think that's part of the challenge that we have as we try to align. And we will renegotiate each term with the landlord. So we have a three-year term. If this agency or any other agency then receives a contract, we will negotiate for another term that is aligned with the life of the contract.

understand something because this is—— Is it possible then to look at certain situations differently than others where other agencies are——that don't have your same policy, are willing to negotiate for a longer period of time? Why does this agency have the ability to have—— Why are they the lead in this? Why isn't the Department for the Aging the lead in this?

Why are we falling subject to your rules and regulations?

2.2

really can't speak for the Department for Aging or any other agency. I don't really know what their policy is. I know that we have—we're working very hard to align our contracts. I really can't speak to what other agencies are doing. I understand what the needs are of ACS and how we are working very hard with the landlords to renew contracts to meet the increases in certain cases in rent. We understand that, but I really can't speak to what other agencies are doing.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Won't it be highly problematic that you're not going to be issuing long-term leases for any type of not-for-profit provider in the sense that on a year-to-year basis or on an every other year basis, they will not know if they will be in the same facility or not. Or that they could be potentially put out of the facility that they're in. How can organizations do long-term new planning, five-year planning, ten-year strategic plans? How will they be able to have the stability as providers for the work that they do if the leases

are up for renewal so frequently? And the contracts are up for renewal so frequently?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Having run an agency that is the life of an agency, you live and die by the length of a contract. That's the procurement process in New York City. We can't quarantee beyond a contract that an agency is going to receive a contract in the City of New York from ACS. There is the life of the contract. We are required. We have renewals and when we can renew, we renew, but it has a beginning and an end. And we have to go through a procurement. So there is no guarantee. Having run an agency and being responsible developing those budgets, long-term planning you rarely can do it for more than six years, which usually is the term of the life of a contract. Three years and then renewal for three, or maybe two years and renewal for two. That really is your window for planning in the non-profit world. We don't have long-term contracts that go on for 10 or 20 years and, therefore, we need to align the leases with that procurement structure that we have.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Okay, and then the} % \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2$ 

colleagues have questions. Young Minds Day Care has
been in existence now for 38 years. It's served over
6,000 young people. Over 100 young people utilize
this service in Central Brooklyn. It would be a
tragedy in our community for an institutionand I
say institution in all senses of the wordfor them
not to be given that opportunity to continue to grow.
How is it that in previous administrations and
organizations such as that could be in existence for
38 years providing a level of quality care and
service to young people. And now, in this
administration and organization like that three years
may be here. Three years gone the next year. How
could that happen?
COMMISSIONER CARRION: Well, I think I

need to remind you that the prior administration created Early Learn--

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: [interposing] Uhhuh.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: --and the whole contracting process.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: [interposing] Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: And that's the process that we're living with, and that comes up for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

renewal or RFP in Fiscal Year 18. And so that is the frame. That is the context in which we live where we have Early Learn. And that was--and that's been in two years, and that's what's changed and that's what's different now.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Because the trend that we see particularly in communities of color, when these contract agreements and these new leases are renegotiated, that it's often that the communities of color that have run these day care centers for so many years in that particular transition or change, it often doesn't reflect the new provider of communities of color. And so that's the challenge that we're facing right now in a highly gentrified community that the fact that we're in a place of we don't know what's going to happen. guess my final question to you is what is going to happen moving forward? Because I as a Council Member can't go back to my district with an institution with so much history particularly in the African-American community closing.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Two things. One is that in this RFP process that we did for the negotiated acquisition of Council Member items, we

ㅗ	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

took special care to ensure that one of the criteria in the review process of the RFP was really looking at the experience in a community, and the rootedness in a community of organizations that were proposing to provide services. So we are very aware of the importance of having indigenous groups be able to provide the services. But we need to do that in the context of an open competitive process. Those are the City's rules. And so, agencies have a contract. That contract can be renewed for a specific number of years. Then there's an open competitive process. the extent that we can in part of the criteria for reviewing proposals, make sure that we focus on prior experience, community rootness. Knowledge of the community. Providing services to the community. quality of services within the community. Familiarity with the community. Coming from the community. That those be factors that be considered. We can do that and we have done that in this last RFP. But we need to do that within the context of the rules of a procurement process.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Could Young Minds potentially win the RFP, but also then lose the

ability to have their lease renewed by the
administration? Could that happen?

2.2

COMMISSIONER CARRION: We negotiate with the landlord, and certainly the landlord is free to use the property in any way—to rent the property to whoever they want. But we negotiate with them in good faith, and we try to meet what the demands within reason are of the landlord. So is it possible? Anything is possible. We work very hard to prevent that from happening.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: So if Young Minds wins the RFP process then the least would be renewed?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: It's up to the landlord. We don't control that, right. We negotiate that in good faith. We put forth our best offer, and then the landlord decides whether or not he wants to enter into a contract with the City of New York.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: Okay, in the interest of time and I know that my other colleagues have some questions, I'll be here. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Co-Chair, if you would like to visit Horizon with me, I would love to take you and see some of the wonderful works of art

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

young people are putting forth. They are really fantastic. I was really impressed especially the last time.

CHAIRPERSON CUMBO: I'll take you up on that.

Fantastic.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:

Commissioner, thank you. I want to take a moment shortly here, but significantly to point out that you are doing a fantastic job. And it is very easy for us to sit here. And, to shoot at you all these questions why this, why that. You're doing a fantastic job. You're not just bringing change, you're bringing transformation, and I see a better future for ACS. I also want to thank your First Deputy Commissioner Felipe Franco because he's doing a fantastic job as well. I appreciate your flexibility, and also it's a different tone that I see from previous years where you really do listen.

I have a few questions. One is regarding, as you know, the 2015 and 16 New York State Executive Budget that introduced the \$25 million that was held to place newly sentenced 16 and

You take into consideration what council members and

the public at large have to say.

2.2

take him at his word that he will fully fund raising the age of criminal responsibility. I think the \$25 million is an initial—an initial payment primarily for OCFS who has to start planning the development of additional facilities. But we were recently in Albany to lobby and to raise the age. A number of commissioners went up, and we received a commitment from the administration to fully fund this initiative.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Fantastic. I would like your purchasing--you have a cap-- Let me just talk about your Capital Budget. \$1.6 million for 21 secure passenger vans. Is that to replace or just because you have more children or--?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: That is to replace an aging fleet--

2 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] Okay.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: --of vehicles.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Fantastic. I know Horizons, if I remember right, has \$20 million worth of capital improvement. And if I recall right from my readings, Crossroads is less than a million, it's \$800,000 in capital improvement. When can we see-what do you see as the--the time span in the renovations that are going to be taking place?

and Horizons—well, Horizons is \$13 million, and that \$13 million has been already approved by OMB. So we will start the planning process. I will tell you, as you know, we've already started to do some of this work. But these are some major renovations that have to be done. So the planning process has begun in Horizon. We had estimated that it would cost another \$13 million in Crossroads. We have received about \$840,000 approval from OMB to start the planning process to better assess how much really do we need for Crossroads. So we have a sense of urgency, particularly with the air conditioning, the HVAC. Some of that has already been purchased, and we're

2.2

initiative that we call Crossover Youth Initiative

25

2.2

that we're partnering with Probation with the Family Court and Georgetown University to be able to track these young people. But also to introduce interventions to prevent Child Welfare youth from further penetrating the Juvenile Justice System. So there is a lot of data that we collect, and there is work that we're doing across the City of New York. We launched it first in the Bronx, and worked very closely to have a dedicated part, and a judge that would hear these cases. The interventions are all Child Welfare interventions. And the next borough was Brooklyn that we're introducing it. And now, we're going citywide.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And are you planning to report it through the MMR or the--?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So, yeah, we do a lot, and so it's very limited the things that get reported to the MMR. If we were going to report everything we do, it would be a dome [sic]. So we're selective. We are certainly open to hearing what the Council is interested in seeing. You know, it's a citywide. Everything agency is a citywide document. They've got constraints around pages numbers. You know, the number of pages and things. So we

certainly are willing to report on any of these
initiatives directly to the Council.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: The PMMR showed that the youth and youth assaults are the altercation rates for non-secured placement slightly, just slightly increased as to the youth on staff, the assault rate. What is your strategy coming in? And I know you have-- Well, let me--let me just leave it like that. What is the strategy that you do?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: I think that always when any of these numbers go up, there's great concern. But I want to share with you that mechanical restraints have gone down by 23% and secure. Youth on youth assault is down by 27%, and AWOLs are down by 63%. So the system is really going in the right direction.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: That's great.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: We're working to really create an trauma informed system. To really be able to give staff additional tools in terms of de-escalation. Working with our providers both on our non-secure and secure, and our non-secure system with our providers and with our staff in our secure. It's an area of focus of great oversight where we

monitor that, and we work for staff to be able to have tools to respond. And really working with young people to be able to help them manage their behavior.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. The percent of the inter youth who were referred for mental health services, and the percent who were referred for and receiving mental health services both greatly increased. What caused this increase, and how will ACS ensure that this indicator continue to progress?

as our system shrinks, the young people that are coming to the system really exhibit much higher needs. And we have to be cognizant of that. We have developed a deeper partnership with Bellevue, and so we now have psychiatrists, psychologists, psychiatric nurses on staff, on our staff. They're part of Bellevue, but in the facility. And really working to create a trauma informed environment in our facilities. So I think with the assessment tools we are able to—they are able to do better assessments and to be able to capture better what the needs are that the young people present. And then what the right response and interventions are. So I think

2 that our partnership with Bellevue is yielding some 3 very good results.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Just a couple more questions because I know that we have colleagues that have questions. I wanted to ask you about the dual status that children have at times, and the increased collaboration. And how can we have the increased collaboration in the Juvenile Justice System here and the Foster Care system?

again the major area where we're focusing this is really on the crossover work. But I think that within ACS, you know, one of the challenges is breaking out of the silos. And understanding the children in Juvenile Justice are foster care youth the way we set up the system in the State of New York. So there is much greater collaboration within our Foster Care system and our Child Welfare system. But more importantly, there is greater collaboration with our external partners like the Family Court and Probation where there's— And corporation counsel. I can't forget about corporation counsel with the presenting agency. We have partnered together, and there is alignment around a vision that says, Where

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

possible, and where young people don't pose a risk to public safety, Child Welfare interventions are better than a punitive criminal justice response. And that's the work that we're doing together to prevent children from going deeper into the Juvenile Justice System, when we're really looking at behavioral challenges.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Commissioner, I want to commend you and the administration for all the new hires that you're going to be having. I know in the last four years I was always told we could do more with less. I never bought that argument, because if you did, why did you have those people in the first place to start with? So, I--we need the staff. You know, I did Preventive Services in a past life. It is very intense. We're dealing with real lives, and I like what you said earlier. We're talking about kids, children who are very vulnerable, who are very scared, who are going through a tremendous amount. Many of them, as you know, through trauma, and they don't have the coping mechanisms. So the ratio that you are creating the internal system I see you are, as a matter of fact, changing some of the structures. I think it's going

2.

back to--

to be-- Number one, it's going to be very encouraging for the staff that is already there. The reinforcements are coming, and the same time providing the training. And I think that is so necessary to provide training, and you have like an Easter, too, that you're putting together. I think is just genius. Thank you so much. I'll turn it

COMMISSIONER CARRION: [interposing]
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: --my Co-Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you Chair

Cabrera. Commissioner, I just have a couple of questions that I want to ask, and then we'll turn it over to Council Member Ruben Wills who stepped out for a moment here. Oh, you're right here. I'm sorry. I wanted to ask about Early Learn. We had a hearing in January on the status of Early Learn. As you may surmise, and I'm sure you've been hearing it, too, we've been hearing from Early Learn providers and advocates extensively over the last several months. And across the board we're hearing a lot of consistent issues that they're raising. In the center based programs, first off the rate is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

insufficient to be able to meet their obligations. So, when Citizens Committee for Children did an informal survey, which I'm sure you've seen, the average deficit is over \$200,000 for a program. So we're seeing that that's a--that's a deficit for a small program that obviously cannot afford to run an annual deficit of \$200,000.

That's the average. The maximum was something around \$800,000 deficit. There's issues around facilities. There are issues around covering rent because the rate does not -- is the same across the board. So programs that are in high rent areas are not being compensated to be able to meet those rent obligations. One area that has been particularly concerning to me is that in--in the new Early Learn structure, programs were required to go out and purchase their own health insurance. own workers comp insurance and the liability insurance. And when it comes to health insurance, individual employees are not purchasing health insurance. So here's a situation where under the previous system they were insured by Central Insurance of the City of New York. Under the new system, they come in. They have to buy their own

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

2122

23

24

\_ ¬

25

insurance. Because they haven't gotten a raise-- If it's a 1707 worker they haven't gotten a raise in 10 years.

They're making, you know, 30 something thousand dollars a year before taxes. They have to pay 10% of their health insurance. They're opting not to do that. So here we have a situation where people have insurance that they can purchase. it's there for them. It's been acquired for them to take, but they can't buy it because they can't afford it. And then we hear from the providers that they're actually relieved that so many employees are not purchasing the health insurance because they have to cover 85% or 80%. And if--I think it's 85%. And if they--if all of their employees bought the insurance, took the insurance, they couldn't afford to stay in business. So for them it's better than nobody is-that very few people are taking the health insurance because they can't afford to pay for it out of pocket themselves. So it's not working. That's not working. Health insurance is not working. ACS or the CSA and 1707 don't have a contract.

We haven't seen, as I said a raise--and I have the schedule here-since 2005. We have this pay

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

disparity issue between 4-year-old teachers and 3year-old teachers, which is a \$10,000 disparity. So there's a significant disincentive to become a 3year-old teacher right now in the Early Learn system. So the system itself we're hearing pretty clearly it is messed up. And it needs to be addressed, and really the only way that we're going to effectively address this is with additional funding. All of these--these issues can't--they not just policy issues. They have to be fixed with funding. Is ACS looking to address all of these serious structural issues that are pretty well established. If you talk to providers I mean these are all--these are all real issues. These aren't--they're not making them up. Are we looking to address those issues in the Preliminary Budget, and we're not in the Preliminary Budget why not? And are we going to look to address

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So clearly, it's not in the Preliminary Budget, right. So let me share with you that I understand everything that you've said. I think that I would like you, and I know that you are certainly aware of this, is that we have a serious concern, a problem around enrollment.

some in the Executive Budget?

1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 2 And that if we had more of our programs at full enrollment, we wouldn't be seeing as many of these 3 problems. I acknowledge that there might be some, 4 but even at full enrollment we would still have some challenges. We don't know the depth or breadth of 6 7 that, and everything has been pretty anecdotal. So we don't know. I will tell you that New York City by 8 far, and probably anywhere in the country, but I 9 certainly can attest to New York State, contributes 10 more than other jurisdiction in tax levy dollars to 11 12 support early care and learning. And support our Early Learn system. I would also tell you that New 13 York State when it set its market rate, set a 14 15 separate much higher rate for New York City. 16 there is a separate market rate for New York City. 17 And New York City still pays above that rate that was 18 set by the State, which is the minimum. We pay much more than that. So I agree with you, and that is 19 20 what the task force is doing is taking a deep dive into what the issues are in coming up with 21

2.2 recommendations. I don't--I'm not--I'm not sure what

23

24

25

the right answer is and how to address all of those

problems. Hopefully, we will come to some solution.

But we all need to work a lot harder to get these

programs fully enrolled. And I don't think that any
of us want to reach a situation where we're paying
more rent than we are for programs and services.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Unfortunately, where we are in New York City today is that rents have gone up. Commercial rents have gone up. I mean, you know, quite frankly you're competing. Your programs are competing with charter schools. They're competing with commercial uses that are driving up the rents, and that's something that's really beyond the jurisdiction of both his Council and ACS. We can't control commercial rent. But I'm not--I'm not convinced, and I think that from what I've heard from providers across the board that this is strictly an enrollment issue. Because we've--we've heard from providers that they are at enrollments of 90% or 95%, which is-- You know, it's not--it's not easy to be right at 100% all of the time. And, you know, that's--that's, you know, there's a--that's a--that's a challenge that every educational institution has to face. But we don't--we don't, you know, penalize schools if they're at 95% enrollment. These are structural issues. I mean clearly the issue around health insurance, I don't think has anything to do

with enrollment. That is--people are not taking health insurance. Is there a consideration to go back to insurance under--coverage under the City of New York, under a central insurance coverage, which was the rule for a very long time?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: It doesn't exist any more, and the world of health insurance has changed substantially with the Affordable Health Care Act that I think makes that possible. But I'm not the expert. That's my understanding.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because I mean again it's not--I mean if people aren't buying--if people aren't taking the health insurance that's offered to them, something is wrong. And it's not just the rate. There something that's wrong with the pay, the City's contribution to insurance coverage. I'm afraid that the system itself is--puts an incredible strain under its current configuration on these programs, and they're crying for help. And I think that I would--I would like to see in this budget the steps that ACS is looking to take to start to alleviate some of those substantiated concerns. I mean they're not--again, they're not--they're not just pulling things out of thin air.

There is city also.

25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 98
2	COMMISSIONER CARRION: There is city
3	also.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: And is there any
5	federal money in that mix?
6	COMMISSIONER CARRION: Federal dollar?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: No, is it totally
8	State and City.
9	COMMISSIONER CARRION: It's State and
10	City.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER CARRION: Oh
13	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: [interposing]
14	Does ACS
15	COMMISSIONER CARRION: [interposing]
16	That's for
17	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: I'm sorry.
18	COMMISSIONER CARRION: Not for Limited
19	Secure though?
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NUCCIO: That's
21	limited.
22	COMMISSIONER CARRION: No, for Limited
23	Secure there are no federal dollars. For non-secure
24	there are federal dollars, Title 4A dollars.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay. Does ACS provide oversight for the subcontractors of the Limited Secure Facilities contracts. If so, what roles and interactions does ACS provide? What do they provide?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: We absolutely provide oversight for the--for our providers. We have a robust oversight where we visit, review their They're accountable to us. They have reports to submit. We go on site. So there is robust oversight from ACS, and there is very robust oversight from the State that has a designated unit that has over 30 people that are charged exclusively with the oversight of the Close to Home Initiative.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: So what does ACS or this robust oversight from the State? Would it be done in regards to South Ozone Park facility. Since February it has received two stop work orders. They cited several ECB violations including workers' safety, and it's currently subject to a special audit by the DOB with preliminary findings that resulted in a notice to revoke permit.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Excuse me. I'm I was confused. I answered your question in sorry.

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES
1	AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 100
2	terms of the program providers. We're talking about
3	the contracts for construction?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: All contracts
5	period, architect contracts
6	COMMISSIONER CARRION: [interposing]
7	Well, if you're talking about the construction
8	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS:the construction
9	contracts, everything.
10	COMMISSIONER CARRION:you're talking
11	about development.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Because the
13	contractthe construction contracts I would assume
14	are contracted out by either ACS or the provider.
15	COMMISSIONER CARRION: They're provider
16	contracts.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay. So the
18	provider's contracts are out for the architect and
19	the construction company?
20	COMMISSIONER CARRION: Yes, and they're
21	responsible for that oversight.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: [interposing] And
23	ACS provides any support for that?
24	COMMISSIONER CARRION: Wewe have
25	general oversight becausewe have general oversight

but the relationship is between the contractor and the provider agency.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: So why would ACS be pushing the Department of Buildings to approve a waiver for—a waiver for the People with Disabilities Act?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Because those are our--those are our providers, and those are facilities that would house our young people. So we--

if--if you're pushing them to make sure that this facility can gain a waiver-- Okay, let me just back up. Are you--are you familiar with the fact that architect submitted erroneous information a wrong document to the Department of Buildings to receive the first waiver in the South Ozone Park facility? Or is any of your staff aware of that? Because I've written letter to you. So I'm sure somebody has received it.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER CARRION: I'm not aware of that.}$ 

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: You're not aware of it. Okay. Well, we have a copy of it fore you

2.2

from the Department of Buildings, and it is in their audit stating that this architect and contract who submitted this information. He actually submitted it from a plan from the Bronx, and that's why their permits went through in the first place. That was now corrected by your organization pushing for them to get a waiver for the people with disabilities.

And what I don't understand is if you are providing all of these services in these facilities, why would your organization believe that they do not have to be in compliance with this?

[pause]

COMMISSIONER CARRION: The way the--the way it works is that we are required to have one facility that is compliant with the American Disabilities Act. So not every single facility has to be compliant because we have the ability to direct young people that have whatever disability, mobility issues to the facility that is certified and meets all the disability requirements for EGLAS [sic].

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: So you're saying that the total capacity that you project of anyone that may have a disability [bell] plus those-- I need extra time, Mr. Chair.

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Thank you. All of the people that may come into visitation or actually provide services would be enough for one facility to house them?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: That's our--

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: [interposing]

Even with the age of responsibility, criminal responsibility being raised and that's going to expand your program. You've already taken all of those projections into account?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: We're planning for Limited Secure. We're not planning for Raise the Age.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: All right, but you did say that you were preparing for Raise the Age in the budget. So your limited secure facilities--

COMMISSIONER CARRION: [interposing] But the Limited Secure system is separate from what our Raise the Age facilities, additional facilities we would need. So right now we're focused on what our needs are in terms where— We're opening facilities in April to a Limited Secure for the current population. So Raise the Age is a two-year horizon

that requires lots of planning and work moving forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: I don't understand how that statement can actually be made with making projections, but if that's what you want to say on the record that fine. Also, are you aware that this Limited Secure facility in the class that in your letter to the Department of Buildings as being a Use Group 3 Community Facility? Are you aware that that states that it has to have a not-for-profit that is the owner and operator of the facility?

[pause]

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Yes, we are aware.

of that by your letter, are you aware with the copy of the deed that the owner of the facility is not a not-for-profit. Therefore, they're in violation of it? Of the South Ozone Park facility--

COMMISSIONER CARRION: [interposing] Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: --I'm talking

22 about.

23 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [off mic] Please wrap

24 | it up. [sic]

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: No, problem.

want--

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So, Council Member Wills, I think it would be helpful if you gave me the information that you have so we can review it. The General Counsel just came to speak to us. Some of this we know. Some of it we don't know.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: [interposing] You know what--

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So that if you

appreciate that, and I appreciate the work you're doing. But I think it's disingenuous for the General Counsel to come over and tell you this now, when we've sent correspondence to you. This has already been in part of the Department of Buildings' preliminary findings. These are things that are public documents. So instead of ACS trying to ram a facility down the throat of a community that does not needs this because of over saturation, I think that you should just--just work on some of the correspondence that we've already sent you. We've sent you this about the waiver, and then ACS themselves have gone over to the Department of Buildings to force a waiver in so you will be in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

compliance. But now, if you sent a letter to the DOB saying that they're in--that this is a part of a Class 3 or Group 3 Community facility and it clearly says that it has to be owned and operated by a notfor-profit. And the deed that you signed with--Well, the contract provided that you put up, which is responsible for over half--well, half of the six facilities that you're doing is now in contract with a lease for a corporation that is clearly a not-forprofit. I don't understand why I would have to forward this to you again while in the meantime with me keep forwarding correspondence to you. You're just pushing this project through. Even if we're not talking about that, are we talking--do you have any idea of what oversaturation is? And does ACS take into account oversaturation for these types of facilities in the community? Because if it does, then I want to know why we have one close to home non-secured facility on the same block that we have this. That facility is an ALU [sic] on the same block as this. And then in your testimony you said that you're working with DHS, and less than 20 blocks away we have a shelter with 37 Level 2 and 3 sex offenders. So even if we're going to talk about

9

community again, and we've had town hall meetings 10

with you, what I want to know is oversaturation one 11

12 of the issues that you cited when siting these

facilities there? 13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Council Member Wills, this contract was procured two years ago, if not more, and part of the procurement the agencies came with site control. ACS did not have a role in selecting the sites.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Commissioner, you just said ACS has a robust--

COMMISSIONER CARRION: [interposing] Oversight --

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: -- oversight

COMMISSIONER CARRION: -- over a program.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: --of your

3 providers.

2.2

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Over our providers, but the providers-

Okay, so your providers—Commissioner, with all due respect, if your providers—if you have oversight of the providers, then somebody should—you should have had some type of mechanism to check and see if this facility would have been not just acceptable but feasible in this community. Do you realize that you are going to have a 15 or 12 or 16-foot fence heights? You're shaking your head no. So you have that information, but you don't have that other information? You shook your head no just now. Are you saying that you're not going to have those fence heights?

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER CARRION:} \quad \mbox{We have six-foot} \\ \mbox{high fence.}$ 

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: The proposed fence heights for this facility were 12 to 15 feet.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: It's six feet.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: It's six feet now

25 and it's a Limited Secure facility?

is tired of having crap dumped on them--

24

Member Wills. Commissioner, I want to get back to

25

25

2 some Early Learn questions. PEN [sic] Child Care providers that are in the Early Learn system that are 3 part of networks. We've been hearing significant 4 5 concerns from those providers themselves around what seems to be a wide array of practices between the 6 7 networks and the providers themselves. They include--let me look at my notes. Let's see. 8 There are issues around the rates being not standardized. So 9 providers themselves being paid less than market 10 rate. Their affiliation agreements that often lack 11 12 disclosure of their rates and fees that are required of them to be paid to the networks over the last 13 14 several -- Last year, several networks had begun 15 raising their fees on providers themselves. And 16 we've been hearing reports of providers being forced to sign affiliation agreements on the spot with no 17 18 time to review them. As well having trouble getting affiliation agreements later on. There are also 19 20 issues of language not being able to provide bilingual agreements with providers themselves. As 21 2.2 you, the providers themselves are often, you know, 23 very small providers. And there seems to be no standardization across the board with regard to these 24

issues. Is there anything, which prohibits ACS from

2.2

providing clear rules for networks to have--in terms of their relations to individual providers. For example, saying that providers themselves must be paid a certain rate that the fees are set. That there's a standard contract across the board. Is there anything that-- Right now ACS does not have such requirements, correct?

really don't. So I'm getting notes here. I really am not aware of this issue. It hasn't been brought to my attention. So, the stipend to providers is standard. It passes through the network to providers. We don't--we're not a part to those negotiations. And it has not been brought to my attention that there is a problem. But if you're saying that there's a problem, that's something we would look into--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Okay.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: --and we would talk to you about.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, we're--we're hearing that it is an issue, and would ACS consider instituting across the board standards to the extent that they're legally allowed to do so?

that--? Yeah.

2.

FY14 Budget, is it?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Unexpended Council Funds that were--that were--that were part of the

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NUCCIO: In negotiation with the Administration and the City Council during the adopted budget, there was \$2.6 million of unspent Fiscal Year 14 dollars that were formerly City Council funded vouchers, which were tolled into 15. And so that added to the tally.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So all of the funding was then on the Council side of the ledger, is that correct?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: That's our understanding.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is there--because there's--because this is restored funding, but it's restored from a few years back, there was significant ramping up in going through the wait list, and, you know, in getting letters to go out. And we very much appreciate ACS' willingness to work with the communities and this committee to make sure that that was happening smoothly. Is there--but because it's taking some time to ramp up, and it now seems to be ramping up, is there a consideration on ACS' part to

include this in the Executive Budget so that there's

some stability in the system in baselining this funding. Because obviously, if the funding were to not be re-allocated in FY16, then we would have gone through a significant amount of work, you know, for a very short-lived program. SO is there--is there consideration on ACS' part to baseline this funding, \$12.6 million or are we-- You know, or do think the

meat out there is obviously more. But is there a

willingness to baseline this funding at this point?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So as you know, this is—this is an important initiative both to the Mayor and ACS. We've worked very closely with you to implement this. And so we're really open to that conversation and discussion and we imagine that that will be part of the negotiations in conversation around this Preliminary Budget.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, thank you. So, we've been joined, by the way, by Council Member Inez Barron. We were also joined by Council Member Corey Johnson, and we are also joined by the Interexchange Program from the Philippines that—that was here. So we want to welcome them very much. They're in the back. I want to, before turning it over to—to

2.2

Council Member Barron, just ask about capital funds,			
and additional required funds that wouldthat are			
resulting from the recent Head Start Report, the			
Federal Head Start Report. Which we are planning on			
conducting a hearing about. But obviously, the			
report raised serious concerns about Head Start			
programs that are contracted with ACS both in terms			
of facilities, and overall oversight. And we're			
we're going to convene a hearing shortly. It's going			
to be the next hearing of this committee. It's going			
to be on this issue. So I let you know. But, I want			
to see if there are commitments that are being made			
in the Preliminary Budget or other considerations			
being given to the issues around Head Start for the			
Executive Budget as a result of that report?			

not in the Preliminary Budget, but we have already worked very, very closely with the programs to make all of the repairs that were capital—you know, building types of repairs or program facility program needs. ACS made a huge investment in helping the programs meet those standards, and we continue to work with each of the programs to be able to make sure that they're meeting all the health and safety

2 standards of both the City and the Federal Head Start

2.2

3 Office.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: No, new funds will be required to do that because in the budget we see a reduction of \$14.4 million in Head Start funding that's reflected in--that's part of the UPK, but it's the--

COMMISSIONER CARRION: [interposing] It's UPK.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --but there's no-there's no additional funding in the Head Start
budget to address these concerns. Is there--do you
anticipate that there is going to be funding
required? The concerns that are raised out of that
report are significant and serious. And do you
believe that funding is going to be required to
address those needs?

commissioner carrion: So I think that we're still in the process of reviewing that. We've made many--we've made the repairs that are necessary. And so that is still for us we're taking a very close look as to what are the needs moving forward, if any, after we've made the corrections and addressed the deficiencies that have been identified.

2

3

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So we'll speak more

about this at the -- at the next hearing, but with that

I will turn it over to my colleague Council Member 4

Barron for questions. 5

6 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you, Mr. 7 Chair, and to the Co-Chairs for hosting--having this

important hearing. Thank you to the panel for 8

coming. I have a just a few questions. 9 The Early

Learn Contract enrollment is noted here as having 10

declined by 3.1% during the first four months of 11

12 Fiscal 2015. To what do you attribute that decline?

13 COMMISSIONER CARRION: So, you know, it's

hard to be able to look at a trend over a four-month 14

15 period. From last year Early Learn enrollment

16 actually has gone up from 86% to 88%. But we always--

So having said that, we're always challenged with the 17

18 fluctuations in enrollment in Early Learn. We're

working very closely with Department of Homelessness 19

20 for instance to identify every child that's in that

system under the age of four to be able to make sure 21

2.2 that they have access--

23 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]

24 Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Learn program. COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I'm on the clock.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: --to an Early

So I'm just going to try to be succinct. You may remember last year I asked about the fact that Early Learn seemed to have had some very questionable practices in awarding the contracts. And I know that that there was one organization who had their submission rescored so that they fell below the cutoff. And I understand that they be pursuing some other legal actions in that regard. But those contracts that were taken from well established groups with successful national recognition, and given to other groups that did not represent the children in those areas maybe a part of the reason for that decline as well. So we have no way of tracking that?

> COMMISSIONER CARRION: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And I asked last year what outreach had been done to persons who had not been given an opportunity to continue to be employed. And you told me there was a great outreach, and people had been contacted to let them know that there's a possibility. Groups came behind

the case. So I'm

done to make sure

is, in fact, the

2	your panel, and said that that was the case.
3	very much concerned that as we talk about the
4	outreach and the work that's being done to ma
5	that people are informed that that is, in fac-

1

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

7 Street. I think Council Member Cumbo may have asked

case. I do have a question also about 966 Fulton

you about that. And, I wanted to know what is the 8

delay in reaching an agreement with the landlord for 9

negotiating a lease for that space which has an Early 10

11 Learn program as well as a senior program?

> COMMISSIONER CARRION: I know that what I can share with you is that the negotiations are underway. DCAS represents ACS and also the agencies in negotiating leases.

> COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So DCAS is doing the negotiations. You're not in any way involved in that?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So DCAS does the negotiations.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay. there seems that there's some concerns because DCAS at this point wants to have a short-term lease of only three years. But yet still requiring major plumbing for the landlord to complete in order to

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES 1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 2 negotiate that. So we're very concerned about that, and I do have one further question. You say in your 3 testimony that you have a partnership with CUNY for 4 professional development. I'm very much concerned 5 how that's structured because I am the Chair of the 6 7 Committee on Higher Education. So I want to know have you already designed the curriculum? Is it 8 going to be a required curriculum, or is it going to 9 be a menu of offerings where each venue can pick what 10 it is that they want? How is it going to be 11 12 structured? 13 COMMISSIONER CARRION: Well, we have not finalized the curriculum or how that is going to be 14 15 structured yet. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So do you have an 17 objective going in to structuring it as to what 18 benefits you want to achieve from that. So that that can help--19 20 COMMISSIONER CARRION: Oh, absolutely. COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay, and what 21 2.2 are some of those? 23

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So I mean the idea is really to have experts at the community system that are experts in child welfare help to develop the

24

25

curriculum. We're looking at introducing simulated, and training in different modalities, introducing how we deliver the training. We want to make sure that staff. Yes, staff has different ways of learning, and we want to make sure that the Workforce Training Institute is able to present training in different ways simulated. And we're--we really want to have a menu of different trainings and a catalog that we can provide both to our frontline staff, and the provider agencies.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And what would be the costs associated with that training?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So, overall, it's \$9.7 million that's being allocated for the development of the Workforce Training Institute.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay. Thank you.

Member Barron brought up a point that we didn't get clarity in terms of the question as far as the capital improvements that are being asked of the landlord to make major capital improvements that would place a hardship on the landlord in that way. Without him having the stability and the understanding of how long that lease will actually be

in place. So can you talk about that in terms of the rationale of asking landlords to make major capital improvements. But also not extending a long-term lease?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: I really can't speak to the details of the negotiation because I'm really not in the room. I think that we want to make sure that every facility that we house children is up to building code. And is—meets all the safe—health and safety standards. But I really can't talk or speak to the details of the negotiation.

Member Levin also brought up an interesting point in terms of the cost of commercial real estate. And your point in terms of spending more potentially on the real estate than actually on the care of the children. And, of course, it's a dilemma, and it's a challenge in that way. But if the lease costs had been a sticking point, would extending lease terms help in leveling off some of those challenges. So if you offered in the negotiations, and you entered into agreements with landlords for long-term leases, which is what they are asking for, that would give particularly in highly gentrifying communities, a way

2.2

to lock in a certain amount of rent that would be paid for. Versus every year or every three years renegotiating that lease where rent costs in my district are skyrocketing every year, every three years. What it will be this year will be different from what it will be ten years from now. So wouldn't long-term leases give us the opportunity to allow the landlords to make those capital improvements with comfort. But also to lock a rate that the day care providers—excuse me. That the agencies will be able to afford to actually pay.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: You know, I think that those decisions are made case-by-case depending on the situation. You know, once again, the overarching goal is to align these contracts with the lease—align the lease with the contract terms. But it really is a case-by-case determination that's made by ACS, OMB, DCAS as to what the best solution is for a particular situation.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well, I'll just say that on this case-by-case basis that you'll look favorably on the opportunity to make sure that this almost 40-year provider is given the opportunity. As was announced at the press conference yesterday,

before all of these children, was the fact that they

1

2

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

were at the same time dealing with this issue also
talking about full day UPK. And what a tragedy that
will be if those young people are not given an

opportunity to benefit from that. The last question

7 I have is if current--if current providers have not

8 reached the 100% capacity goal, what is the thought

9 process in expanding to acquire more seats in the UPK

10 | campaign?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: You know, it's about having different choices in a community, and serving threes and serving fours. There might be a greater demand in a community for a UPK program. It really depends on what the needs are in a particular community.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay. Well, I'll just close by saying I do look forward to coming to resolve and resolution on this issue very quickly because we have a number of 3-year-olds and 4-year-olds who are actively going to be looking towards an opportunity to have the UPK education that we have rolled out and touted all throughout New York City. And wanting to make sure that these young people have this opportunity, but that a viable institution in

2.2

our community, which is a landmark institution in our community for cultural gathering, for information, for our young people, for our seniors. We have to make sure that this entity stays in our community.

And I look forward to working with your agency this week to make sure that this Young Minds program stays in existence, and also thrives. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Thank you.

just have one last question or comment. It was mentioned already that we have about 1,300 children that are, you know, ready to be adopted. And it's shocking to me that we have literally thousands of Americans who will go overseas and spend \$25,000 to \$30,000 a year to adopt a child overseas while we have all these children here who are looking, you know, for a family. Do you get the sense that maybe some—a lot of people, including myself at one point they don't realize that we have so many children waiting. And if you could share with us what—is there a cost at all to the potential new parents? How does the process work?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So I agree that we really need to do a better job of publicizing, and we

special needs.

have an art gallery. There are lots of things that we do now, but I think that clearly we need to do more to get the message out that these children are waiting. And that they need adoptive homes. I think that we have reasons to adopt a child. If a child has any particular kind of disability or special needs, there is subsidized adoption dollar subsidies for adoptions that are available for families.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing] What is--what is--if you could be a bit--a little bit more specific. What does that mean? Does that mean that get a stipend for--?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: [interposing] The get a stipend. They get a stipend until the age of 21 while the child is in--you know, after they have adopted their child up to the age of 21 to help support their--that child in their home because the child has special needs.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Well, does the child have a disability or not? I'm sorry for interrupting.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: It's a child with

ABC--at ABC or NBC. NBC that publicizes that--the

25

I think I have it. [pause] So foster care support

25

20 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: So--

care area under foster care support.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NUCCIO: :

22 [interposing] Functional.

19

21

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: --from what I'm understanding, and this is--I have to premise this with I don't have the research on it. Another

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES 1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 131 2 councilman asked me before they me before they left 3 to ask this. Some of these services to recruit new parents has gone down, the amount that you put into 4 recruiting new parents in certain-- I don't know 5 6 whether it's just in Southeast Queens. I'm sure it's not, but I know this is coming out of Southeast 7 Queens. Is that true? 8 9

COMMISSIONER CARRION: SO I think what you might be referring to is that there are two contracts that historically ACS has had for recruitment of both adoptive and foster parents that I am renewing because I don't think they performed well.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay. Could you give me their names or is it--this is not secret, right? This is public information?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: No, it's not a secret. It's I've Got to Believe and it's--COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: You've Got to Believe.

2.2 COMMISSIONER CARRION: You've Got to 23 Believe.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Yeah, I got an email from them. Okay, so that's them.

2.2

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Yeah, it's You've
Got to Believe and they have a big campaign.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: And the second one Council for Adoptive—Adoptive Children. Both agencies had a contract with ACS. That wasn't renewed and it was an RFP process. They were—were granted the contracts again, and when I've come on board and reviewed the entire way that we recruit foster parents and adoptive parents and how we're structured, I'm redesigning that. And so, at this point in time I'm not prepared to renew those contracts.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay. With those were part of these contracts to recruit foster parents? Part of the hard to recruit like the ages between 10 and 16 or something like that was it?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Yes, they were.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay, and what have we done to mitigate the loss of those two providers.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Well, quite frankly, Council Member in my estimation it wasn't a great loss. Their recruitment numbers weren't all

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

24

25

that great, and so the agency does a lot to recruit.

And I'm not prepared to continue to spend that kind of money for the performance that I was getting.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: And so, that's an unpopular decision, but I think it's a wise stewardship of the City's money.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay, thank you. One of the things I wanted to ask was the State passed a law that says that there should mandatory kindergarten for every student. I think that was in 2012 or--2012 or something like that. I know that our former Speaker pushed a lot of programs that deal with that. Have we dealt with the fact that a lot of the children that have been now recruited for Universal Pre-K will become kindergarten parents-children the very next year? And if we have, what are we going to do because I'm under the impression that we short on space for kindergartens. We're not going to have enough kindergarten classroom space if we have 53 to 70 or 80,000 new children in Universal Pre-K moving up. So are we--are we designing programs now so that the CBOs will become partners

-do all the new needs presented here represent the

25

2 entirety of the new needs presented by ACS to OMB,

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Yes, it does.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So do we--we're not expecting that there will be additional new needs presented in the Executive Budget?

and to the Mayor for the FY16 Budget?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Not to my knowledge, but I have a lot of ideas. [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, new needs may

arise, but there's nothing--there's nothing that was presented up to this point to the Mayoral OMB as new needs that--that was not included in the Preliminary Budget?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: No, the Mayor and the OMB are very--have been very, very supportive of the work that we've been doing in our requests to strengthen our work.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: A couple of more questions here. With regard to Operation Safe, we have heard from advocates with concerns about cultural competency, cultural sensitivity in the overall system. So it's our understanding that ACS actually will pay a parent advocate \$40 per--like a per diem I suppose for language accessibility in

communities where there is a language barrier. But that seems to be something of a band-aid, if you will, not truly addressing issues around language accessibility when it comes to Child Protective Services, Preventive Services, Foster Care. Is there a greater effort as part either Operation Safe or the Child Welfare Reforms that are being currently proposed for greater cultural sensitivity, language access, et cetera?

think two related questions you've asked. One is around the cultural sensitivity training and awareness in the agency, and I think that have that as part of our training and curriculum. We do many trainings without Child Protective system to make sure that our staff is culturally competent. We have a very diverse staff.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Does that include language?

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Also, we have a language policy in the agency. So there are different ways that we address that. One is by trying to where possible to hire staff that is bilingual. We also have access to translators and

2.2

interpreters that we hire and we pay for, for agencies to make sure that agencies have the language skill set that they need. We also work very hard with agencies and encourage agencies to hire staff that has a language capacity. And we also have, you know, the telephone interpreter service. So, we monitor that very closely. And, in fact, as a result of some work that—that we're doing, 311 now will be able to take complaints about lack of language access, and be able to report out by agency. So we think that's important for us to be able to know when there is a concern or an inability to provide the services that are needed to interpret or translate.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Does that include

Mandarin and Cantonese, Bengali. You know, the wide

array of languages that are spoken in our city.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: We try very hard to have all the languages that are represented within our client population. Some are more accessible than others, but we have access to all of those service translators.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sorry. Just a couple more questions here. In last year's Preliminary Budget hearing--switching gears here. In last year's

Preliminary Budget hearing you stated that ACS had

paid out over \$8 million for legally owed vacation

time and sick pay under the Collective Bargaining

hearing that the \$8 million did not cover all of the

remainder of the -- what is the status on the remainder

Contract for former child care workers. We're

funds that were to be paid out. What is the

1
1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

of the payment?

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Eighty-six percent came in.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So the \$11.8

represents 80.6?

came in.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: So, we have paid now \$11.8 million. So there has been a substantial

increase in payouts. We await -- we could only pay out

working with the day care. We continue to work and

we have for a number of years with the Day Care

based on the receipt of audits. And so, we are

Council to be able to get these audits, and to be

able to pay out these vacation accruals.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you have a sense of how much more that will ultimately be?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NUCCIO: [off mic]

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Eighty-six percent

Eighty-six.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Eighty-six

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NUCCIO: [off mic]

percent.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Eighty-six. Thank you. At the Early Learn hearing in January, ACS announced that it would be partnering with Small Business Services to work--provide technical assistance around business skills for child care providers. Is there going to be a cost associated with that out of the ACS Child Care Budget?

anticipate at this point. We've met with them. They have identified a set—a series of trainings that they have that they think might be applicable to our day care providers. And they've also offered to create a specialized set of trainings for our providers. Because we feel a sense of urgency, we're right now reviewing the trainings that they have already to see which would be applicable because we think that developing a curriculum would take some time, a set of trainings geared just to our day care providers. We certainly will explore that with them,

COMMISSIONER CARRION: No. Not that we

but we're interested in getting these trainings up
and running as soon a possible.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Commissioner, thank you very much. Deputy Commissioners, thank you very much for your testimony. We look forward to working with you as part of the Council's response to the Preliminary Budget, and as you present the Executive Budget, we look forward to seeing you in a couple of months. It's been very appreciated your testimony and your candor, and thank you very much for your time.

COMMISSIONER CARRION: Thank you.

[pause]

2.2

SERGEANT-A-ARMS: Ladies and gentlemen, please find a seat.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good afternoon,
everybody. We'll resume the Preliminary Budget
hearing for the General Welfare Committee. At this
time, I want to acknowledge my colleague Ruben Wills,
who has joined us. We are going to hear from the
Department of Homeless Services next. This is our-this is the second of our Preliminary Budget hearings
for the General Welfare Committee. We look forward

to the testimony of the Department of Homeless

Services, Commissioner Gilbert Taylor regarding DHS'

Preliminary Budget and general agency operations

within its proposed \$1.03 billion budget. As well as

performance indicators for Homeless Services within

1

2

3

4

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

8 Report. DHS provides emergency shelter, re-housing

the Fiscal 2015 Preliminary Mayor's Management

9 support and services to single adults and families

10 with little to no alternative housing options. As

11 | the homeless population continues to grow to

12 unprecedented levels in New York City, so does the

demand for financial resources required to meet the

14 needs for this vulnerable population.

The Proposed Fiscal 2016 Budget—

Preliminary Budget for DHS increased by \$79 million

when compared to the Fiscal 2016 Adopted Budget,

which represents an 8.3% increase. This increase in

funding can largely be attributed to additional new

needs related to the new Living in Communities or the

LINC Rental Assistance Program. As well as

Preventative Services. Since adoption of the Fiscal

2015 Budget, DHS' Fiscal 16 Budget has grown by

\$242.1 million due to 11--\$111.7 million in new

needs, and \$130.4 million in other adjustments. I am

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

pleased to see that DHS' Revised Mission Statement includes language that reflects a commitment to transition those in shelter into stable housing. And providing the tools needed to remain in housing, which could be seen through the implementation of LINC in partnership with the Human Resources

Administration and the State of New York.

For years the Council has been advocating for a new rental assistance program for the homeless after the elimination of the Advantage Program. year, the Council worked closely with the Administration to advocate for State funding, and for a change in the State Budget language for a rental assistance program for the working poor and chronically homeless. Given that the Commissioner has recently testified about the implementation of the LINC Programs, I would like to take the opportunity today to discuss the budget for LINC for the remainder of Fiscal 15 and the Proposed Budget for Fiscal 16. My main concern is if DHS is on track with LINC placements for this fiscal year, and if not, how will this impact the LINC budget for Fiscal 15 and 16? Once again, this fiscal year, DHS' Shelter Census hit historic highs over 58,000

1

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

individuals, of which over 24,000 are children. The agency's 2014 November Plan included an adult and family shelter re-estimates to accommodate the growing homeless population. This pattern of increased shelter spending caused concern. While we support the provision of shelter services, and making sure that the capacity is adequate enough to accommodate everyone who needs, we are anxious to see at what point the LINC Program and increased funding for Homeless Prevention Services will have a visible impact on the homeless shelter population. And to that end, we want to note that the Fiscal 15 Budget since adoption has increased so that it is currently over \$1.1 billion in adjusted for new needs.

Before introducing the Commissioner, I would like--I would be remiss if I did--if I failed to mention the recent Department of Investigation's Report on the State of the City's Shelters and Cluster Sites. The report cited poor conditions at family shelters including hundreds of health and safety violations. Violations include vermin infestation and fire safety issues. The report found that these violations were not new but, in fact, were due to decades of neglect at our family shelters.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

addition, the report found that the worst conditions were at the cluster sites, which are private residential buildings with units operated by a notfor-profit that DHS contracts and where their lease holding tenants also reside. Cluster sites were found to have lacked security, vermin infestation, mold, lacked exits and broken fire and carbon monoxide detectors. While I understand that it is unfair to lay blame squarely on the current administration and DHS, as the report period goes back to March of 2014, the deterioration can be traced back to the previous administration and their policies. It is up to the agency to now thoughtfully and quickly address these violations. I would like to take the opportunity today to discuss DHS' Capital Plan, and how the agency will allocate capital funds to address conditions at family shelters.

Before I introduce the Commissioner, I would like to thank the committee staff for their work, Donhini Sompura, our Senior Legislative Finance Analyst; Andrea Vasquez, Counsel for the Committee; and Tonya Cyrus, Policy Analyst for the Committee in preparing this hearing. As well as Matt Ojala, my Legislative Director. I now welcome the testimony of

leadership and commitment to homeless issues in this

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

city have enabled DHS to make significant strides in the past year. When I came to DHS, it was quite clear that there were challenges ahead. Over the past year, DHS has embarked on an ambitious plan to improve the quality of life for clients within our system. At the same time, we are committed to creating a clear path for individuals to rejoin their communities. Our clients deserve the highest quality of services and we will settle for nothing less. Over the last year we have made substantial advances to reduce homelessness and to improve the lives of our clients. We have increased the financial investment into the Homebase Program. We have increased our funding to do outreach for homeless New Yorkers in the streets and in the subways. And have committed significant resources to helping our

The Mayor's Preliminary Budget reflects over \$18 million in new needs to support our efforts to reduce our efforts to reduce our census, and to ensure that there is sufficient capacity in the This includes funding for the LINC support and Aftercare, the PATH community-based model; Permanency Specialists; route site review

clients make the journey from shelter to home.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

inspections; and the expansion of our hours at our Drop-In Centers. DHS' current Fiscal Year 2015 Expense Budget is \$1.1 billion. For next year, the Fiscal Year 2016, the budget will be \$1 billion. \$1 billion for Fiscal Year 2016 is comprised of the following: \$518.5 million in City funding; \$132 million in State funding; \$378 million in federal funding; \$4.1 million in CD Grant funding; and \$851,000 in Intercity funding. The \$1 billion budget allocates \$505 million to services for families; \$361 million for single adults; and \$26 million for supportive administration services; and \$141 million to agency wide personnel services. The DHS Capital Plan for the five-year period of Fiscal Year 2015 through Fiscal Year 2019 is currently \$107.6 million. Capital projects for homeless families totals \$29 million. Projects for single adults totals \$56 million. \$12.9 million has been allocated for administrative support services; and \$9.3 million is designated for Council -- for City Council funded projects.

As you know, this Administration truly believes that we have a tale of two cities. New York City is facing pronounced economic inequality because

2.2

of our clients.

of low wages, the lack of affordable housing, and the increased cost of living. Today, approximately 46% of New Yorkers live near poverty, and approximately 22% live below the poverty line. The reality of this infinite inequality combines—combined with the drivers of homelessness such as eviction, domestic violence, and overcrowding, manifests itself in the city's shelter system. At DHS we have a commitment to reducing homelessness and improving lives for all

On Monday, March 16th, DHS' total shelter census was 57,727 individuals. This includes 2,400--24,000 children under the age of 18 living in shelters throughout the five boroughs. With our \$1.1 billion budget, we have the opportunity to substantially enhance our programmatic efforts, and to develop effective strategies to reduce our census. We recognize that we must use every tool at our disposal to get our clients out of shelter and into permanent housing. To that end, DHS recently introduced its 2015 to 2017 Operational Plan, which will be a road map for how our agency will do its work going forward. The Operational Plan delineates five goals: Prevention, outreach, shelter, housing

permanency, and organizational excellence. The plan

1

2

3

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

encompasses the entirety of the work that's being done by DHS, which is to guide clients in their

4 done by DHS, which is to guide clients in their

journey home. The Operational Plan seeks to build on

and refocus our efforts to assist New Yorkers who are

7 threatened with housing instability and homelessness.

8 The plan requires us to coordinate services across

9 the DHS system of care. Using our existing resources

10 to best serve our clients; improved case management;

11 and identifying long-term sustainable strategies to

12 reduce our census; and assist clients to achieve

13 housing self-sufficiency.

As I testified to previously, prevention is the cornerstone of DHS' efforts to combat homelessness. We believe that shelter is a last resort. In collaboration with our partners, we provide comprehensive services that combat the many drivers of homelessness. Our Homebase Prevention Program is nationally recognized and proven to be 95% effective in helping clients remain stably housed and out of shelter. In Fiscal Year 15, a \$20 million investment allowed us to increase Homebase offices from 14 to 23 throughout New York City. These are located in neighborhoods where DHS sees the largest

number of shelter entrants. We know Homebase works,

1

2

3

4

which is why we recently launched our largest media campaign called Imagine, to raise public aware of

5 Homebase, and the prevention services it offers. The

6 | Imagine campaign is targeted to the communities with

7 the most shelter entrants, and urges those at risk of

8 homelessness to reach out for assistance before

9 shelter is the only remaining option. We are being

10 | extremely aggressive in our marketing efforts for

11 | Homebase with ads featured on subways and buses,

12 | local establishments, faith based institutions, and

13 community-based organizations. In addition, we have

14 recently launched our 32nd television ad for there to

15 | be greater awareness of the program, and what it has

16 to offer.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

work by pursing a new community-based family shelter intake model. The community-based demonstration project for PATH, Prevention Assistance in Temporary Housing, aims to improve services to families with the ultimate goal of keeping them stably housed in their communities of origin, and making shelter an option of last resort. Instead of using a single point of access for family intake as we do now, we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

will be opening additional family shelter intake offices with emphasis on prevention first in four of the five boroughs. Which will be co-located with HRA and Homebase. Community-based family shelter intake will allow for a more effective diversion model focused on counseling, prevention, and other resources in a community-based context in order to enable families to remain stably housed in their own boroughs and neighborhoods. In addition to the prevention and diversion efforts being made through Homebase in the new community-based family intake model, DHS received \$200,000 in funding to enhance diversion efforts at the front door of the single adult system. These funds are administered through our partnership with Palladia Homebase, who provides on-site diversion services and Aftercare. clients will continue to receive these benefits if they remain in a shelters, and maintain regular contact with the provider for Aftercare services. We have been able to rapidly return clients to the community, who we otherwise would not have been able to divert.

In addition to prevention, DHS is committed to serving all unsheltered individuals

2	across the city. This work came into particular
3	focus this past winter, one of the coldest on record.
4	Throughout the city we deploy teams around the clock
5	to encourage people living on the streets and in the
6	subways to move into transitional and permanent
7	housing. We have expanded our street and subway
8	outreach work within the past year, and developed a
9	network of transitional housing specifically to serve
10	this population. DHS added more Safe Haven and
11	stabilization beds to our system in FY15.
12	Stabilization beds provide an alternative housing
13	option for individuals who are unwilling to enter
14	traditional shelter. Safe Haven are shelter options
15	for street homeless individuals who do not want to
16	enter traditional shelter. Clients are referred to
17	Safe Haven by outreach teams who prioritize Safe
18	Haven beds for street homeless individuals who are
19	the most vulnerable, and who have been outdoors for
20	the longest period of time. DHS has also increased
21	its efforts to work collaboratively with community
22	organizations and religious institutions around the
23	city to help expand the reach of this valuable
24	program.

Similar to the Safe Haven, DHS also added
stabilization beds, which are also a low threshold
shelter option. Outreach providers are able to place
clients directly from the streets into these beds and
provide on-site services. There are 545 Safe Haven
beds and 326 stabilization beds in the DHS system.
Street homeless clients also have access to overnight
respite beds, which are linked to Drop-In Centers at
houses of worship. These respite beds are usually
located in extra spaces at churches or synagogues and
are staffed by volunteers who provide dinner and
breakfast. Last year, as part of the new contact
with the MTA, DHS combined funding with the MTA to
invest \$6 million to outreach services to be provided
in all 468 subway stations. DHS now has outreach
teams working in subways 24 hours a day, 7 days a
week ensuring that all subway stations and train cars
are assessed for homeless activity on a routine
basis. Thus far, we have been able to successfully
place 30% of these chronically homeless individuals
into shelter, which is a significant increase from
the previous year. Our goal is to get as many people
off the streets and subways and into shelter.

Providing shelter and social services for

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

those in need continues to be DHS' core function and mandate. We provide temporary emergency and safe transitional housing to eligible families and all individuals presenting needing shelter. DHS is seeking to improve social service delivery in shelter by creating a new model of practice. Using already established methods, the new model will be a fourlayered approach to providing quality services to all clients in shelter. This model of practice will require that going forward all DHS shelter providers use the following things in their work with DHS clients: Consistent and comprehensive documentation in the Client Assistance and Rehousing Enterprise System also known as CARES. Critical Time Intervention Services, Rapid Rehousing Techniques and

The CARES system is an electronic case management system that is used by all DHS providers in direct line shelter staff to document all work that is being done with clients that we serve. We will be strengthening case work documentation requirements throughout the system and upgrading the

principles and Motivational Interviewing Techniques

and best practices in work with clients.

CARES system itself to keep pace with practice refinements that will be taking place within the agency. All case management services provided to clients are required to be documented in CARES.

Critical Time Intervention is an evidence informed model of practice used to work with homeless clients. New York City will be using two versions of CTI in our practice with clients in shelter. A full intensity version of CTI will be delivered to clients who have the most substantial barriers to housing, and who are most likely to return to shelter after locating permanent housing. A lesser intensive version of CTI will be made available to all clients who are in temporary emergency shelter. CTI is a means by which customized services can be offered to families and individuals so that they can exit shelter into permanent housing faster. An assessment tool will be used to determine the level of CTI that will be required.

Principles and best practices of rapid rehousing will be incorporate in all of our work with clients in shelters. Now that there are more housing resources available to assist homeless New York City residents to exit shelter, we will require that rapid

rehousing efforts be pursued more aggressively for all clients in our shelter system. Rapid rehousing will include identifying a housing resource, and will being as soon as the individual enters shelter.

Motivational Interviewing techniques will be incorporated into our system wide work with all clients in shelter. This practice of meeting clients where they are at and focusing on collaborative conversations with clients to strengthen their motivation and commitment to change, is at the center of motivational interviewing. As a person-centered counseling style that is not directive, but rather allows the client to identify his or her own need to achieve change. In this case, permanent housing. This method of delivering services has demonstrated success in helping clients achieve goals across many systems. As such, it permeates all layers of our proposed model of practice.

In combination with all four of these elements will strengthen our system wide work, and will improve outcomes for our clients. DHS will also be creating Permanency Specialist Teams within the agency to work with program and shelter staff to support their work of helping clients obtain housing

2.2

independence. These highly trained teams will be compromised—will be comprised of 30 licensed social workers who review cases, offer technical assistance to providers and DHS staff, and will support agency efforts to create independent living plans for all clients in shelter. The Permanency Specialist Teams will also be available to support our agency Aftercare efforts to connect clients to community—bases supports and services to help them maintain housing.

The continue support of the Safety First
Teams is a major part of the Administrations vision
and efforts to improve conditions in social services.
As my staff testified to on February 27th, the safety
of all children in shelter is of the utmost
importance. Due to the significant number of
children in our system, it is critically necessary
that our agency be attentive to child safety and
wellbeing. These 19 Safety First social workers will
engage and assess high-risk families with children to
determine an appropriate plan of action and services
when needed. The Safety First staff will coordinate
with DHS Family Services Division and shelter
providers through case conferencing, effective case

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

management, coaching and interventions to support the family in keeping children safe with their parents and caretakers while they are in shelter. The Safety First staff will identify necessary services and interventions to assist families who are in need.

Preventive maintenance and necessary repairs are essential components of our agency's work to improve shelter conditions for individuals and families within our system. As such, DHS has expanded its budget for maintenance and repair work. Through Routine Site Review Inspection, RSRI, is DHS' primary tool to inspect and assess the physical plan conditions of our shelters to ensure that they are in compliance with codes, regulation, and laws governing temporary housing. The RSRIs allow our agency to evaluate providers' use of city funds budgeted for maintenance and--maintenance of shelters. The RSRI also identifies problematic building conditions. Preventive maintenance can be used to minimize larger issues down the line, and has recently been revamped to more efficiently predict the physical plan needs of shelters. Additionally, we recently updated and strengthened our agency's policy guidance for shelter inspections to raise standards across our system.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are also in the process of creating a new regulatory compliance unit to ensure that appropriate and safe conditions are maintained at all of our sites.

As part of the Mayor's One City

Initiative to reduce energy costs by nearly 30%, expanding our in-house preventive maintenance programs will help further the work of properly maintaining and replacing mechanical systems with new and improved technologies. In doing so, DHS will see a reduction in equipment failures, as well as a reduction in fuel usage and cost. With the investment of capital funds, DHS will be able to maintain its investment in new mechanical equipment and ensure its full life expectancy. We want to also ensure that all viable shelter sites are contracted, and that such contracts contain provisions for active enforcement of any code of regulatory violations. DHS has reviewed lease and other site control agreements between provider agencies and landlords to ensure that they contain repair clauses and have language consistent to standards promulgated in the Shelter Inspection Policy and Procedure.

During the FY 16 contracting process, DHS will review contract language of provider agencies

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

2122

23

24

25

and will ensure that there is a separate allocation for ongoing maintenance and repair. A contract clause will be included prohibiting providers from using these for non-related maintenance expenses.

DHS understand that we are judged by the performance of our shelter providers, and we are establishing clear expectations and accountability for all work-for all who do this work.

The LINC Rental Assistance Program helps move individuals and families who need additional assistance from shelter into permanent housing. Our Homebase Program provides additional--provides initial support to all clients who exit shelter with LINC vouchers. LINC I assists families in shelter who are working full time, but who are unable to afford stable housing on their own to relocate from city--from the city shelter system. LINC II will assist families in shelter with multiple shelter stays that need additional assistance and support. LINC III provides rental assistance to families recently affected by domestic violence. LINC IV assists single adults and adult families in shelter as well as in Safe Haven and Drop-In Centers who work--who are working. That includes someone in the

2.2

age of--someone who is age 60 and above. LINC V assists single adults and adult families in shelters, Safe Haven and Drop-In Centers who are working to exit shelter to permanent housing. And LINC VI will assist families with children to exit shelter by moving in with relatives or friends. The LINC I families and LINC V single adults--for LINC I families and LINC V single adults the Human Resource Administration's employment vendors will be available to support these clients with Aftercare when they exit shelter. We have been granted \$2.1 million for LINC II, Family Care Services in FY15, and \$6.3 million in FY16 to support families who have multiple shelter stays to maintain permanent housing.

DHS issued a Request for Proposals and awarded four providers with contracts to deliver the Aftercare services following the Critical Time Intervention model. LINC III families can receive services for HRA's non-residential domestic violence service contracts. for LINC IV, DHS is in discussions with the Department for the Aging to provide Aftercare support to seniors, singles, and adult families through their subcontractor providers. In addition, we are also moving forward with LINC VI,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

as I mentioned, which will be targeting families with children to exist shelter to move in with relatives and friends.

The final goal of our Operational Plan is

striving towards organizational excellence in all the work that we do. We are striving to ensure that we have systems in place within DHS to support best practices in all aspects of our work in order to improve outcomes for our clients. This includes training for DHS staff and shelter staff; clear and consistent policies and procedures on the various aspects of our work; and professional development opportunities for all DHS and provider staff. must invest in DHS staff and provider agency staff to ensure that they have what they need to do their best work. Human service work and specifically work in DHS shelters is difficult and not without complications. Counter-transference and emotional fatigue can take a toll on staff who have worked with clients in shelter. And clients who are threatened with housing instability. We must be attentive and responsive to the vicarious traumatic effects of our system's work on those who do this critically important and necessary work each and every day.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Organizational excellence must include strategies to support both our system and our staff who are committed to doing the work of reducing homelessness and improving lives.

In conclusion, the Mayor's Fiscal Year 2016 Budget builds upon this past year's efforts to reduce the census and improve conditions and enhance services for our homeless across the city. budget invests in the necessary supports to ensure that homeless clients are safe, have access to comprehensive social services, and are able to achieve housing stability, independence and permanency. DHS' partnership with the City Council is vital to ensure--to ensuring we are successful in reducing homelessness and improving lives. I know that all of you on this committee the Council as a whole are equally committed to ensuring our most vulnerable New Yorkers are assisted on their journey home. I look forward to talking with all of you over the coming weeks and months to solicit your input and ideas on how we can improve upon this collaboration. Thank you for the opportunity to testify before you today. My colleagues and I will now answer any questions you may have.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much

Commissioner Taylor for your testimony. We are also
joined by Council Members King and Miller, and I want
to start off with a few questions around the DOI
investigation and DHS' response to it. As we said in
our introduction, a recent DOI investigation report
cited poor conditions at family shelters including
hundreds of health and safety violations. Including
vermin infestation and fire safety issues. The
report found that these violations were not new but,
in fact, were due to decades of neglect at family
shelters. With regard to family shelters, how did-how did it get to the point where DHS was cited for
hundreds of violations in shelters that you've been
working with from many, many years?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, Chairman Levin, you know this—the DOI inquiry into the family shelter system began last March—March of 2014. And this Administration had been in office for some three months when it was commenced. At that time, DHS, my staff and I we had begun our own inquiry into our system as a whole, as an aggregate. Looking not just at the family shelter system, but also at the adult, the adult system and our adult family system.

2.2

Looking at social service programming, looking at physical plan conditions. Making visits to sites and locations, reviewing information that had been left for us from the previous administration. And what we found was that there was a lot of work that still needed to be done. And particularly to your question about the conditions of buildings, we found that in that particular area there were a number of violations that had been left outstanding that we needed to cure.

And so, we began the work in advance of the DOI inquiry of actually going to sites and taking care of any life safety violations that we came upon immediately. Once DOI began their inquiry, and began going to the 25 sites that they looked at, we went with them. And in going with them as did the Buildings Department, the Fire Department and HPD, we all came upon what they had reported in their report, which were conditions that needed to be repaired. Anything that needed to be immediately repaired, was immediately repaired. And anything that needed some planning to repair, the planning was commenced at that time. And I will say, you know, that we did consider what was the total need of our system. And

2.2

just, you know, make reference that the DOI inquiry

was really in one part of our system, albeit the

largest part of our system. But when you think of

the full totality of all of the buildings that we're

using for shelter, single adults, adult families and

families with children, there had been over time a

lot of work that needed to be done. And there is

9 more work that continues to need--that we need to

10 | continue to do.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Was DHS cited for any violations? Specifically, was the agency cited for violations?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, not that I know that the agency was cited for violations. Any violations that were found either in City owned buildings or in private buildings that are provider owned, they were cured. So, you know, anything that was brought to our attention we immediately either addressed or prepared to develop a plan to address.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So I know a lot of violations were cited at cluster sites. Obviously, outside of our Tier 2 Network there were some of the worst violations. When DHS contracts with a--with a--a cluster site location and provider, does--does

DOH--does DHS call on DOB to do a site inspection

prior to the families moving in.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So there is an inspection of any unit, any apartment that's being used for cluster capacity before that unit is

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Who does that inspection?

occupied by anyone of our client families.

is done preliminarily by the provider and secondarily by staff in Maintenance and Repair. So we have staff on site who go out subsequent to the provider's maintenance staff doing their inquiry. Once they give us a green light and say that it's clear, we then go in and inspect before we start using the unit for sheltering purposes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Then how was it that units within the Cluster System were found to be in violation of either DOB or HPD rules?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So many of the units that were referenced in the DOI report had been unusable-- Actually, let me take a step back. All of the shelters that were referenced in the DOI report had opened prior to this Administration taking

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

office. Many of the families living in those units had been in those units for quite some period of time. And so, when the inquiry was commenced, there may have been inspections that had taken place historically before the families moved into those units. But over time, if necessary repairs were not made in those units to secure whatever conditions were presenting, then when DOI began its inquiry and when we took-- When we came into office as well, and started our inquiry, we would have come upon items that were not corrected that had actually been allowed to develop over a period of time. So we did--so as a point of information, DHS did over the course of Calendar Year 2014 we did dispatch staff, Social Service staff to all of our Cluster sites. they were out there to actually speak with our clients to get a sense of how they were being sheltered in these units. They were not staff who are, you know, trained in terms of physical plan conditions, but they went out to actually meet with every family to see the units and to offer, you know, feedback to our maintenance and repair site if they saw something that was concerning. That was for all Cluster sites. In terms of the physical inspections,

2.

we were able to accomplish 1,600 physical plan inspections of Clusters last calendar year. And so we have over 3,000 in our Tier 2 [sic] portfolio, but we did 1,600 in fair swift order. And we were able in those instances to be able to do a physical inspection of each one of those units, and make necessary repairs or plans for repairs. So we began looking at Clusters from the day that this

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But there were--there are DOB violations, for example, and HPD violations in those sites. Are you working in conjunction with those agencies so that, you know, that-- Are you asking, for example, for DOB to do the reviews or is somebody-- When DOH staff is doing their internal review, are--I'm assuming you're bringing up the DOB reports for each building and HPD reports for each building. If there's violations--

COMMISSIONER GILBERT TAYLOR:

[interposing] Uh-huh.

Administration took office.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --that are--that come up, do you--do you not proceed? Do you not proceed until they're rectified, or does DHS go ahead and

violation in the unit that is a Cluster Site shelter

25

2.2

resident was occupying right. So there are one of two things it could have been. It either was the violation that was there prior to them moving in, or the violation ensured after they moved in. You're not sure which of those cases applied in which instances?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Well, the history that was reported to me was that if there were violations that the providers and the landlords were told to cure the same in the last administration. In this administration in addition to checking the DOB website, I'm also working closely—— To your first question do we work with DOB——

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: --and FDNY, so we have. We have started working closely with them. We actually want to strengthen our work with those agencies and perhaps more so formalize it than had been the case in the past. Whether it's by MOU or whether it's by some other agreement to make sure that we are working in tandem as we continue looking at this part of our portfolio for shelter and all of our shelters overall. But, you know, the current practice now is that if a unit is--if a unit has

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

violations, and it is unoccupied by a client, we will take that unit off line. And so, taking that unit off line means that it will not be used again until such time as the violations are cured. If it is occupied by a client, and we come from the violations, then we will require that the landlord and the provider make necessary arrangements to cure the same. And so, the recent policy quide and set we promulgate in this area would require that they not only structure a corrective action plan. But also submit to us demonstration of their efforts to move forward on that plan. And they are given a tight timeframe to actually complete the repairs. In the absence of them doing so, then we would have to, you know, effectively plan to re-shelter the family. Or, the best option would be to move the family to permanent housing if that's an option that's available to them, and then take that unit off line.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is there a cost that

DHS has determined that DHS will have to bear on

correcting these violations and then is there a

specific time table that has been determined by DHS

or prescribed by DOI that's going to have to proceed?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR:

So to your first

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

,

8

10

11

1213

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

question about the cost of correcting the violations that were surfaced in the report, in the DOI Report. So for the 25 facilities, that were specified in the

spoken to in that report, we've estimated that the

DOI report and only for those violations that were

That's combined capital as well as expense funding.

total costs to cure the same will be \$12.5 million .

On average that's about \$500,000 per building. Some

buildings will require more less. That's an average number that I'm sharing with you now. So, each

violation and corrective action will in some cases

need to be bid, and then there will be cost estimates

that will be procured and sent into us. And then for

private providers, they would have to go about their own process of actually preparing the buildings. As

a point of reference, it was spoken to in the report.

But I did want to share with you there were two sites

in the DOI report that we elected to close instead of

pursuing to use them as continued shelter. And we

closed those sites, both because of physical plan

deficiencies as well as because of Social Service

deficiencies in the work that was being done with the

	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES
1	AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 174
2	families at those locations. So those two sites have
3	been closed.
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Can you identify
5	thosethose sites?
6	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. One was
7	Mike's House and the second was Mike's House Annex,
8	both of which are in the Bronx.CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:
9	Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: They are Provider I
11	locations, and we worked with the provider to close
12	down those two locations. W
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Who was the provider?
14	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: The provider for
15	those sites was Aguila.
16	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: For both sites?
17	[background comment]
18	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: It's Aguila.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, for both sites?
20	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: For both sites,
21	yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, okay.
23	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: It was Aguila.
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And in terms of
25	timeline, timetables has that been?

2.1

2.2

terms of the repairs, they're being prioritized in terms of the level of seriousness. And so, I will say that all of the life safety violations that have been commented upon in the DOI Report have been cured. The more serious violations that remain outstanding those will be addressed imminently. Some of them, you know, will require that certain corrective actions be taken, and that may require—it may require some investment and some more time to get specific things done. And I'll give you an example. So they coded a serious violation as being a building without a Certificate of Occupancy.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh.

-one such reason for why a building may not have had the Certificate of Occupancy is because the fire panel needs to be upgraded. And so upgrading the fire panel and actually getting the fire panel to the levels that it would need to be to pass inspection by FDNY can take some time. But that's work that we had begun planning on. We have a consultant who is working with us, to get a sense of what we need to do to get C of Os for all of our locations. And in

those instances, while they are serious violations, they've violations that we are actively planning to correct, and it will take some time to cure. All others will be structured in terms of our corrective action process in terms of the due dates that have certain things corrected depending upon the level of intensity in investment to make such—to make such changes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In terms of the \$12.5 million that you cited as the cost to DHS that would be both in the Expense and Capital Budget, and then also in reference to what you just mentioned about things that DHS may be required to do in their Corrective Action Plan. Is that reflected as new needs in the Preliminary Budget now proposed? Is that \$12.5 million for example reflected in the Preliminary Budget as a new need?

[pause, background conversation]

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So part of it will be reflected in the Capital Budget, the Capital Budget request that will be made. And the other part will be reflected in the Expense Budget.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

Z <del>4</del>

know, gone to each of the sites in response to the report, and really made our best estimates in terms of what repairs need to happen. But I do want to stress that, you know, a number of the sites are not City-owned buildings. They're actually sites that are privately owned and operated.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Like all the
Cluster sites, and so the providers and landlords
will have to incur the cost and expense associated
with making those repairs. And they would have to do
it within the timeframes win, which we give them.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. One thing that
I as Chair of the Committee for the last year have
heard extensively is from the Not for Profit Tier 2
providers, and concerns that they've had about
capital needs that they have at their buildings.
Obviously, they're under a tremendous amount of
strain because it's a capacity, overall capacity
issues in the system. You know and where they're
having to turn around a unit in a matter of hours—

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: [interposing] Uh-

3 huh.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: -- and, you know, those units have been lived in. And they need--they need work done in addition to the buildings that they're occupying throughout the -- you know, the common space areas. You know, in addition to roofs, you know, roofs leaking or pointing. You know, largescale capital repairs that need to be done. These are programs that don't have a capital reserve. It's not in their contract with DHS. And they're, you know, holding everything together with, you know, twine and spit. So, you know, it's a difficult situation. Are you exploring how to best address these kind of larger capital needs, these things that will--that may be at a City-owned facility. May be at a not for profit-owned facility in the Tier 2 And, you know, what's the best way of kind system. of getting that overall issue under control? know, what's the best way of kind of getting that overall issue under control?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, we are addressing that issue. We have 55 City-owned buildings that is indeed in this portfolio [sic], and

our plans related to related to capital—capital construction, capital improvement for all of those buildings are being factored into our global planning across the entire system. And what the needs are.

What actually needs to be repaired. What needs to be replaced. So for providers who are running shelters in those locations, we are actively working on addressing those needs because the City actually is responsible for capital construction at those locations.

For non-City-owned buildings or nonprofit owned buildings or privately owned buildings
so the majority of our--I would say all of our
contracts actually have line items in them for
maintenance. The question then becomes when you have
maintenance that is more substantial than what is
additionally covered for wear and tear.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So significant capital investments that need to be made either for a roof, as you had mentioned, or perhaps for a boiler. So what we've done historically, and what we continue to do is work with each provider to get a sense of what the need is. In some instances, we have--we

2.2

have granted new needs requests that have been made by providers. We don't have unlimited funding to actually do that. So we have in some instances also worked with a provider to find ways in which we can help them to obtain the funding that they would need in order to make the necessary repair. But that's part of our work to be responsive to improving conditions in shelters throughout the entire system. We're looking at that group of shelter providers as well. And there is no one answer for each of those that are affected in that particular way, but we are looking at each individual shelter provider to get a sense of what their needs are and how we can be supportive of them in terms of attending to the same.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I mean we've heard traditionally when providers submit a new need request, you know it's rarely granted. And so, is that—that process itself of what—what new needs are going to be granted, what the process is for interacting with DHS. Is that all being kind of looked—looked at?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: It absolutely is in terms of how we are deciding new needs. How we are allocating funds to be responsive to new needs, and

2.2

really trying to make sure that it's consistent across the board. So that there's clarity for all providers in terms of what we can--what we can find and what we cannot.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, and is DHS kind of doing a soup to nuts assessment of it's entire--of the entire Tier 2 portfolio to try to get an understanding from DHS' perspective about what the cost is? I mean, you know, presumably it's hard to--it's hard to know what the actual cost would be unless you do kind of a very thorough top-to-bottom assessment. Are you conducting that type of investigation.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: We are--we are conducting that assessment. That assessment actually began in advance of the DOI Report of the information that we've gotten from the DOI Report for those 25 locations, now 23, that we are currently still in, will inform our work looking across the entire system. So not just Tier 2s, but every place that we are sheltering individuals to get a sense--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: --of what the needs are at those sites as it pertains to the physical

2.2

plan. We're working closely with OMB to establish

cost estimates in terms of what it will take to

upgrade facilities as necessary. But our staff have

been out. They continue to be out and they will--

6 they will formulate a plan that will help us be

7 responsive to whatever the needs are in our system.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, because I think it would be an appropriate time and kind of a compelling case for DHS to go to OMB and say, Look, here's a DOI report. It's pretty bad. It talks to the condition in shelters. WE need this. This is a new need for the agency. You know, it's not good for the city to have a DI report like that. But I would think that it would be a compelling case to be--to be made to OMB. OMB would be-- You don't want OMB to come back and say, you know, we're not really going to grant that in light of--in light of this report.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I mean I would say that this administration is not going to tolerate the substandard conditions that were referenced in that report. And while we began the work to cure the same, we know that we have more work to do.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And like any living 3 environment, there is going to be the need for

4 continuous preventive maintenance and repair, you

5 know, for any one of our facilities this year and in

6 | years to come. And so, structuring a plan that can--

7 that will enable us to be effectively responsive at

8 any of the locations that we're sheltering clients in

9 is what we're intending to do, and we intend to do it

10 | with OMB's support and involvement.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great. Just two more questions on this—on this issue. Is—in terms of ongoing monitoring of site conditions, can you speak to how—how the FY16 Preliminary Budget is going to address kind of the issue of ongoing site monitoring both in the Tier 2 and the Cluster System?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So in FY16, we were funding for additional—for additional headcount for inspectors who work within or Maintenance and Repair Unit. I believe there are 19 additional heads that we've been funded to hire, which will help us in terms of—in our bandwidth in sending out staff who can inspect physical plant conditions. Who can help us to, you know, correct—collect necessary information that we would need in order to structure

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

corrective action plans. And to inform providers or direct them on sites what needs to be corrected and what needs to be changed. And so that additional investment is going be a really good one for us in terms of helping us to deepen the work in a particular area.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And then my last

question on this--on this issue is DHS looking at its methodology for emergency contracting for shelters in clusters so that it's better able to address the violations issued. I think that one concern is that because of the capacity concerns in the entire system, particularly in the family system, that when--when you need to open a Cluster site, you don't have a lot of time. And the pressure is on because you have a legal obligation to provide shelter and, you know, we couldn't build--You know, we couldn't build those Tier 2 facilities. Even if every neighborhood welcomed them, it would be difficult to build in an appropriate timeframe. So is that -- are you looking at your emergency contracting procedure to better address the issue of violations when they cone up?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So we are. We're looking at not only the mechanisms to bring sites to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

contracts. We're also looking at the provisions within each contract to ensure that they include requirements for ongoing maintenance in the tier. your point, last year when this administration took office, in order to expand shelter capacity, we did so by way of emergency declarations that were granted to us by the Controller's Office. They were deliberate in wanting to only bring on shelters that were under contract. Because we know that if we have contracts in place for providers that you can then better oversee the work that's being done with our shelter system. And also, it supports the provider in terms of them having clear--clear information about what are the requests and requirements of them? And so, you know, we're moving to a place where we're looking at all of our contracts, as I testified to in my testimony. But we want to make sure that there is language in there and provisions in each of our contracts that will have money available for maintenance and repair. And clear accountability of what is required to maintain facilities that we're using for shelter.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you,

Commissioner. So I'll turn it over to my colleagues.

words inter-changeably.

We've also been joined by Council Member Corey

Johnson, Council Member Vanessa Gibson, and Council

Member Inez Barron. And we'll first call on Council

Member Ruben Wills for questions. We have the clock

running at five minutes. We can come back for a

second round of questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Good afternoon,

Commissioner. So a quick--a few quick questions. I

noticed that you kept referencing your report from

DOI as an inquiry and not an investigation as the

press had presented it. Which one is it and why?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I was using the

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Interchangeably.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So it was an investigation by the Department of Investigation-
COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: [interposing]

Okay, I wanted to use the right term.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: --in which there were many inquiries made about our system and the work that they did.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: All right, so the-in your testimony you said that instead of using a
single point of access for family intake, what we do

the old--the only option of actually coming into shelter. And so we're looking for locations where we can have Homebase, where they can actually engage families when they come through the door around what resources are available.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Well, I get that and I really appreciate that, but I only have a few minutes. So I just need to ask you-- [laughs] I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay, so--

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: [interposing] So are you getting input from the electives or community groups on the siting of these new facilities?

commissioner taylor: So right now, as I said, we don't have specific buildings that we are considering. We are looking for locations. We're looking to go into places where we believe they would be centrally located. Where they would actually meet the needs of the community. We would welcome the input from elected officials. You know, if there are locations that you would want us to consider, please tell us what they are. But there are certain size requirements and specifications.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: [interposing]

1

2

3

That's understood.

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Okay.

24

25

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: There are space requirements that we need in order to actually open up these offices. And as we had mentioned some months ago, our intention was to begin in Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay. trying to improve the location in which a complaint is stemming from. If it is discovered that a client in shelter is complaining about conditions they re suffering from, they are immediately routed to DHS Client Advocacy, instead of the complaint being reported to the appropriate agency. Do does HPD or DOB or DOHMH whether it's rats, heat or SROs. Are you going to work with 311 to make sure that it not only goes to the client advocate but also then the proper agency that a response could be a lot sooner?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So actually this is the first that I'm hearing of what you're saying. I would actually like to look into that--

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: [interposing]

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: -- and get a sense of if it's not an immediate building, you know,

were not under contract with DHS.

25

\_

Ŭ

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay, but if

Avila was the provider for those two locations, are
we looking into the contract and the other places
they are providing services for?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So again, for those two sites, there was no contract.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Those are noncontracted locations. But for all of our sites, for
Aguila, and for all of our providers, we're looking
at the contract language to get a sense of exactly
what is required for maintenance and repair. I also
mention this flag--flag in response to your question.
So physical plant conditions is one piece of the
equation that led us to close those sites, but there
is a real inquiry on social services that were being
delivered at those two locations for clients, which
we found was not in keeping with what we wanted,
which informed our decision to close them.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay, because last year we asked the same question if there is a client or if there is a provider that runs a cluster site, and a shelter, if one is found to be out of order, do you then look at the other side of their services to

2 make sure that they're in total compliance? So I'm
3 asking that. Is that being done right now with

4 Aguila?

2.2

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes, it is.

what I needed to know. The recent guidelines that you said were promulgated on the work that needs to be done-- No, better yet. We had the coldest winter since for a long time I think 20 or 30 years. Does your agency--have they or do they plan on doing any emergency declarations for housing because of this winter?

[bell] in place a RFP for Safe Haven capacity, which would help our Shelter New Yorkers come into a Homer Dudson [sp?] model of a shelter that would have them actually have a place to be as opposed to be on the street. And so that RFP had gone out. We had opened an additional Safe Haven capacity as well as stabilization beds as I testified to this past winter to make sure that there were locations where we could bring anyone who on the street or in a subway into a shelter—

2 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: [interposing]

3 Right.

2.2

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: --out of the cold.

And we're going to continue to look at that model,

and get a sense of exactly what the need is and how

much we need to procure.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council Member Wills. Council Member King.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. I appreciate it. And to all of my brothers

and sisters of Irish descent a Happy St. Patrick's

Day and it's good to see you Commissioner--

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: [interposing] Good afternoon.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: --and the team.

And I appreciate the conversation that we had. I

want to go back into all the conversations that we

had in regards to it in addition. But I do have a

similar follow up because as I looked at the numbers,

and your testimony, I'm curious to know what is the

budget for security for all your facilities or

specifically the facility that's in the district that

Cohen and I are really paying to, which is the
project and its renewal. We want to get an idea of
where it is. You know, just to follow up from my
meeting. We're still waiting to hear about the task
force that was supposed to be created. And doing an
assessment of all your facilities. So we're at a
point right now, where we really want to know what
kind of budget is in place for security. And how are
we going to address that particular site, which
everyone knows And just for the record for those
in the 47th Precinct, we're having a real struggle
with that site because of the number of calls that
come in; 675 911 calls since it opened in 2014. And
they're reporting over 90% of those calls yielded
nothing and couldn'tshouldn't have been called. So
it in turn occupies time and takes resources for the
rest of the 11th and 12th City Council District. So
if you can help me with that so that I can share with
Cohen your conversation with us today.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So as to your first questions to what is the budget of security at the particular location and project and the shelter that is in your district-- Do we have that number?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART:

[off mic]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay, so we don't

I don't have that number with me today. [sic]

have that number for this testimony available, but we will provide it to you for that particular site. response to--We'll make sure that you get it subsequent to--to our testimony today. But in response to your question about the immediate follow up after our meeting. So the DHS Task Force, which are peace officers--a group of peace officers who actually go from site to site. We dispatch them depending upon where there is a need. Whether they're privately run sites, or whether they're Cityowned sites. Because at all of the City-owned sites we have peace officers. So we did go to that site, and part of their work was to get information for us to inform what safety--what safety--what increases in security needed to actually be in place at the location. As that information is still being pulled together because it was also going to be informed by our work with NYPD at that precinct that you had referenced. And so we will get you a formal response to what our conversation had been when we met in my office. But the -- the broader question of security at

all of our locations, just to put it in focus, we're
looking at security across the full portfolio for
direct run as well as for privately run sites. We
want to make sure that all of our sites are safe. We
want to make sure that they havehave their staff,
security personnel, or security mechanisms in place
that would ensure that clients, who are there, can
feel safe where they are. That includes the location
in your district, and all of the other locations.
That has taken some time. We have decided to work in
partnership with the NYPD to do crime prevention
survey work particularly for all our Cluster
locations for all scatter-site apartments. Because
it's a bit more challenging to actually deliver
security service to some of the residents in those
apartments are leaseholder and others are our
clients. And so, considering what the need for that
employee would look like as part of our overall
planning work for security. But it is on our radar.
We are structuring and doing a full soup to nuts plan
on how we're going to make sure that security is in
place at all of our locations at ample levels. In
order to ensure the safety of our clients.

1 2 COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay. Well, thank you. I mean if you can, because I know out of the 3 4 last meeting that we did have Cohen and I were expecting some communications by the end of February. 5 It hasn't happened yet. But if someone from your 6 7 office can reach out and let us know where are we? Where should we go from here? Considering that the 8 number of individuals that do come to that site, is 9 not proportionate across the city, which we had in 10 that conversation. So, safety is really an issue for 11 12 the homeowners who live in the neighborhood. So if we can figure how to address that, and give some 13 relief to the 47th Precinct, it would really be 14 15 appreciated. 16 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So I'm being told 17

that we do have a response. It will be forwarded to you today.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay, thank you. Thank you for your time. Thank you.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council Member King. Council Member Johnson, are you ready for your questions?

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Good to see you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Good afternoon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you for

being here. I know that DHS has had an enormous challenge that it's had to deal with over the past 15 months due in large part to many factors. But I think that the previous Administration really left things a mess. And I'm glad that you and your team are there righting the ship. We still have along way to go. I wanted to just personally thank you, and thank Deputy Commissioner Rivera, and also Matt Borden, who I know recently joined the team -- [coughs] excuse me--for all of your help with BRC on 25th Street in my district. I think we've seen substantial improvement of the last many months. There are still a ways to go in some areas that are mostly out of your control actually. But it has made a tremendous difference, the peace officers that are there, and the attention that Deputy Commissioner Rivera and Mr. Borden and Heidi Schmidt have paid to the facility. So I really just wanted to thank you for your help there.

I wanted to ask, and I know you touched on this, but I wanted to drill down a little bit more, and forgive me if this was already asked when I

2

3

4

5

huh.

6

7

8

9

10

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

24

25

was out of the room. But the shelter population, as you said as of earlier this week was around 57,000-
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: [interposing] Uh-

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: --individuals,

24,000 children. I wanted to understand what--what do you think is the biggest cause of why we're seeing this increase in the shelter population?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, you know, I would--I would go back to last year, and I would think about what housing resources were available in January of last year. As you know, and as the Council knows, there was not rental assistance in place. [bell] There was -- there was none at the time. There was—there was no priority for eligible homeless clients who were in shelter to access public housing. That was not in place. The prevention levels were lower, about half as much then as they are now in terms of the investment into Homebase. The tools that we now have available took some time to create. And so Living in Communities, our rental assistance program, didn't launch until September of 2014. And so for a nine-month period we were working actively with the State as well as with other city

agencies to come up with a plan. Even though it

launched in September 2014, it took some months

traction with some amendments, some incentives

thereafter for the program to actually pick up more

raising the rent levels. It took a while for us to actually move our clients from shelter into public housing. The allocation that was given to us, but we did it last year. And while we were doing all of these things, you know, there was still a tremendous need on the part of New Yorkers who were either homeless or becoming homeless, which resulted in them coming into our shelter and the census growing. So what we've seen over the past few months with the implementation of our rental assistance programs, and with the movement in the system, where we have thankfully a lower number of individuals presenting needing shelter at the front door. And we can attribute that to we believe our diversion efforts

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Have you seen any populations that LINC populations that landlords have been resistant to renting to?

are prevention efforts. But we also have more people

who are exiting shelters in the back door.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: We haven't though we have--we have had, you know, some work to do over the past several months to actually market the program, market and sell it. And that is what we spent the past I would say four months doing very aggressively. Not so much for clients, but selling the program. It's for landlords to actually understand what living in communities is, what the rental structures will be. What type of support will be provided to clients subsequent to their exit from shelter, and moving into the apartments. And so it's a lot of conversation that's still ongoing. A lot of myth busting, a lot of clarifying, you know what had been in the old advantage days and what is now. know, making sure that there was some clear delineation that the two programs are different and distinct. That took some time to do, and with that work we've been able to move, you know, move the programs forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: [bell] I just have one more question, Mr. Chair. Has DHS been tracking if landlords are asking for the maximum rent subsidy under LINC even if it's above the legal rent?

2	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, we arewe are-
3	-we are looking at that, and we are clearly looking
4	at what the rent-regulated rate would be for
5	apartments that are maybe rent regulated that our
6	clients are moving into. And if we come upon that,
7	you know, it's something that we've been talking to
8	the landlord about. And talking to HRA about, but
9	guarding against any, you know, any problems with
10	respect to this program. We are looking at it very
11	scrutinously to get a sense of exactly how are our
12	clients experiencing their housing searches. To make
13	sure that what we're able to offer can support them
14	in being able to find new homes.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you.

Thank you again for your help in my district to you and your team. Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council Member Johnson. Council Member Gibson.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Good afternoon,

Commissioner Taylor and--

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: [interposing] Good afternoon.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: --and to the DS

Team and Deputy Commissioner Rivera. Good to see my Camille. But I am thankful that you're here. you for your testimony, for your presence. So I have lots of questions, as you always know. But I guess I just wanted to say I truly thankful for working with you. Since I've been in the Council this past year, DHS has always truly been very responsive. Even when I yelled at you, you still respond to me, and I appreciate that. Thank you. So I saw in your testimony, and I do want to acknowledge that the PATH Center in the Bronx is in my district. So all of the New York City's homeless families come through the Bronx each and every day. I appreciate the efforts that DHS is making to open intakes in other boroughs. I think it's a huge disservice for New Yorkers to have to travel to the Bronx when they're not Bronx residents whether they are homelessness or not. appreciate that effort as well as the LINC program, and domestic violence and how we're looking at that.

I just have a couple of questions. Right now, there is a disparity in domestic violence victims versus traditional homeless families in accessing public housing, getting into NYCHA, and we

2.

held a hearing a couple of months ago. So I'd like to know if there are any updated conversations that you're having with the Housing Authority on how we can get some of those families into public housing. And are we looking at making sure that there is parity in these category of homelessness for traditional versus domestic violence?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So we're looking at all of the parity lanes for public housing access. I will say, as you know, council member, that a third of our families who come into shelter are escaping domestic violence.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: That's right.

reality, many of our families who now are being afforded out of rental systems, or who are being called off of the waiting list for public housing who are in shelter, you know, they are domestic—the are domestic violence survivors. And being afforded that housing benefit. But to your particular question about are there continued conversations, there are.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: They're taking place with the NYCHA Chair as well as with HRA.

We're at the table and we're looking at how can we make sure that, you know, that resource, that precious resource of public housing is available to all those who would be eligible for the same. So, yes, we are looking at it. Yes, we are talking about it. And thankfully, we have other resources in addition to NYCHA to help our clients who are in shelter who are escaping domestic violence to find permanent housing.

about our homeless and runaway youth? When I was in the Assembly, we always supported runaway and homeless youth funding for New York City, and I do know that we're trying to get a lot of homeless youth into public housing. But not just getting them there, but also offering supportive services. A lot of providers are now looking at housing for youth aging out of the foster care system, which I think is very important. So I'd like to know has there been any conversations on that as well?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So there have been citywide conversations about runaway and homeless youth. You know, they're being led primarily by DYCD. They oversee that system of shelter for young

huh

people who would fall into that category. We are at the table and actively participating in those discussions because we do have a sizeable number of young people in our single adult system who are between the ages of 18 and 24.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing] Uh-

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And I have said to you, and I will say to everyone that I believe that for that group, you know, there are. There have to be—there have to be other options available for those clients. Because they are so young and have so few barriers to housing and should have opportunity to exit shelter and to move to permanent housing as quickly as hey can. So DHS is taking on that work within our own system, but we're doing it in partnership and in collaboration with DOSCD [sic] and the other city systems that are working with any young person who may be—who may become homeless.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. Now, with the fact that we have such a crisis right now in the homelessness system with almost 58,000 families, 24,000 children, are we looking to create a plan of action where we can reduce the number of cluster

scatter sites? I've always said to you that I want a Vision Zero. I want to vision and see zero cluster sites. They don't do a service to families. There's no supportive services on site. We waste and spend way too much money on these clusters. So I know that we talked about it for many months, and I'd like to see those numbers to continue to go down. I recognize that families do have to go somewhere. So I just wanted to know what's the plan of action for reducing clusters?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So our commitment to reducing our reliance on cluster capacity is spoken to in our Operational Plan.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And the Operational Plan itself is the plan to help us to take on homeless issues in New York City. Prevention Outreach in shelter, Aftercare, housing permanency and thinking about how we're doing the work. That's one component of it. And to your point, I did not disagree with you last year, and I do not disagree with you now. But it would be our preference with those units that they be returned to the affordable housing market and made available—

Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing]

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: --for our clients to rent using the rental assistance programs--

but step by step, slowly but sure, we're moving to a place where we're talking with our providers, and they're talking to their landlords about what could be possible, what could be possible sooner than later. And also, we're making very intentional decisions at the front end of our system around where do we choose for the shelter families that are coming in? Do we want to continue to bring on more cluster capacity, and I would submit to you that we do not.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay.

commissioner Taylor: And so, you know, in 2015, we have not brought on more cluster capacity in this calendar year. Last calendar year we brought on less cluster capacity than had been the case in two prior years of the last administration. There were significantly fewer units last year, and those units that we brought on were units that, you know,

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

huh.

24

25

could accommodate families with very large household compositions. Some families -- we had a family that came in it was one person and 15 young people.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Uh-huh. Okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So there are not a lot of places that we can shelter a household of 16 or a household of 13, but when they come, we must be responsive and have place to house them. And in some instances, it is the clusters. So we're going to reduce our reliance. We're going to make a deliberate decision to do things differently in that regard because we agree with you.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, and I just had two final questions. I Chair the Committee on Public Safety here, and so I've asked and spoken to the NYPD about subway homelessness. And I know there's a \$6 million contract with the MTA, DHS as well as BRC. I've said to the NYPD, you know, homeless individuals sleeping on the subways are not criminals and we don't need to arrest them--

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: [interposing] Uh-

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: --unless they're violating the law. Many of them need to be in

shelter and for many reasons and I'm glad you're making efforts on dealing with a lot of the physical conditions of our shelters because many homeless individuals choose to be on the streets and the subways than in shelters because of those conditions. So I appreciate your efforts. And I would like to know is there an update when did this contract actually start with BRC, and are we seeing any results just yet?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So the contract

began on July 1st of year of the 15 Fiscal Year.

It's actually a venture that's funded by both the MTA and DHS, but DHS is administering the contracts. So we raised the value of the Subway Outreach Programming from about \$800,000 to \$6 million. And with that additional investment, we tripled our staffing levels. So we were able to actually cover all 468 subway stations. Not just the stations, but we also have staff who go onto the subways on the cars. So looking in the stations, looking in the cars, engaging clients that they find, or unsheltered subway homeless individuals they may find. What we've seen is a tremendous uptick in terms of the number of clients who are active

\_\_\_

caseloads with BRC. The program had not been 24 hours before. It is 24 hours. So when you add more money, you add more people, you add more skill in terms of where you're going to go. What you end up doing is reaching more of those who are in need. And that's what we've seen happen. And so almost year end. July will be one full year since we've been doing this. We've virtually tripled the number of clients that we've been able to engage compared to the last contract to bring them into shelter and to also get them permanently housed.

appreciate that, and I would like to keep working with you on that. It's very important. I guess my last question is on community notification, and you and I have had several conversations about the sevenday notification. And I wanted to know is DHS still under an emergency declaration where we don't necessarily meet that sevenday notification to the community board and the elected officials if we are not able to. But also, a lot of the new shelter sitings have been under a temporary status. Which in many cases temporary becomes permanent—

2.2

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: [interposing] Uh-

3 huh.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: --for individual homeless families as well as singles. So I'd like to know as far as future sitings, are we making any changes? Are we really trying to get to the seven. You know in my situation I have five days in one of mine in Dolores Heights. But I do see this continuing, and so I'm trying to get a sense are we really engaging community residents? Are we really establishing community advisory boards? Are we really doing our very best to make sure that, you know, we're not shoving shelters in communities where they're not wanted, or where they're not welcome.

We're really trying to establish a working partnership with residents and all the stakeholders.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So in response to your question, Council Member, we-- Last year, as you know, when we had gotten the emergency declarations in order to bring on additional shelter capacity, we had to do it very quickly, [bell] which is why they were--why the--why the Emergency Declaration was granted to us. And so, as part of that work it was our intention to give at least seven days notice to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

24

25

community board and elected officials that would be hosting our shelters. That--those mechanisms allowed us to enter into emergency contracts. And those emergency contracts were for a finite period of time. So what you're seeing happening now is, as you referenced, those emergency contracts are ending. now, we're entering into long-term procurements. And many of the sites actually continue to use them for shelter going forward. And that long-term procurement process requires certain notifications as well publication in the city record. So it may seem as though, you know, something new is happening, but those are sites that we have been in when the emergency period is ending. And now the new procurement, the long-term procurement is going forward.

And to you fundamental question, going into shelters using emergency contracting mechanisms is not what we want to do. We want to get to a place where if there is a need for additional shelter that it would come through the long-term, you know, traditional RFP process that has built into it notifications that are required in advance of the submission of any RFP to us to provide shelter. But

2.2

our focus for this year is really on housing permanency. Our focus for this year has to be exiting families and individuals from shelter to the permanent housing. By doing that, we open up more capacity in our system, and hopefully obviate the need for us to have to come to you to say we have another shelter that we would like to open. So we have to be successful in terms of exiting families and individuals from our shelter system. Not just for their benefit, because that's really why we're doing it. That's the fundamental reason, but also the system wide benefit would be, it would help us with our capacity needs.

I can say don't call me, Commissioner? Don't call me. No more shelters? [laughs] I understand. I just wanted to go on record and say that. But thank you so much for the work that you guys do, and I'm looking forward to continuing partnerships because se do have a lot of work to do, and thank you, Chair, for your leadership as well and all the work you do. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thank you.

2

3

4

5

67

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

24

23

\_ -

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you, Mr.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: -- for coming out.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council

Chair. Good afternoon, Commissioner and to your team. Thank you again--

Member Gibson. Council Member Miller.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: [interposing] Good afternoon.

I just--I have some questions, but I want to digress a moment and speak to what Council Member Gibson was saying as a matter of clarification. And she spoke to the value of partnerships in communities and elected. And obviously, I have prided myself in being one, and that our community understand that there has to be shared sacrifice, which means that there will be shelters and other supportive services that have to be provided throughout the city. But in doing so, we have to--there has to be value to those partnerships. And we talked about the seven-day notice. There was a declaration. In fact, there was a resolution that came out in my Community Board 12 addressing the issues of shelters. And very shortly after, there was an article that came out in the local paper about a temporary shelter. And it was

2.2

disheartening that I did not—that I had to find out from a newspaper article, and that I had no response to my constituents because of that. And so, obviously that impacts the relationship, and that partnership. There was—I was given an explanation about it being temporary and of what you were talking about of contracting. But that really doesn't make a difference when you have to explain to your constituency, and you don't have the answers. So I would hope that in the future, that we could rectify that and go back to the genuineness that it's not a matter of semantics. Whether it's temporary or permanent that the notifications comes—the notification comes because it's the right thing to do. That we have a partnership and that we want to

So with that being said, there has been a lot of earlier conversation about violations by providers and landlords. And I understand that that's a considerable challenge to provide shelter and housing. That is often difficult to kind of keep your arms wrapped around this. My question is that what kind of background, scrutiny is done to ensure that we deal with good players? That we aren't

continue to work together.

2 rewarding habitual violators by allowing them
3 contracts?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, Council Member to your question, we are looking at existing portfolio for shelter. Looking at it in partnership with all of our providers to get a sense of where improvements need to be made. To get a sense of how our providers are working with independent landlords. Also, to get a sense of what services are being provided, and what do they need in order to effectively do their work well? And as we do this examination, what we have come up is there are some landlords who--who have been hard to work with. And, you know, they have been called out by different providers who have historically had a relationship with them. And I will tell you that some of those providers have actually discontinued those relationships, and we have worked with them to make other choices. And so that's what we're going to be doing going forward if indeed we encounter any vendor or anyone who is not in keeping with our commitment to our clients and our goals and objectives as outlined with our Operational Plan. You know, I would be remiss if I didn't say that over the year

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and three months that I have been in this position in working closely with all of our providers, our providers they are doing this work because they are interested in helping clients. They are doing this work because they really want to fulfill our vision of reducing homelessness and improving lives. And so, their hearts are in the right place, and you know, they are--there are--they are feeling now more empowered to make different decisions as it pertains to what resources are available to them for shelter. Because we have made different decisions only because we have articulated different values with how we're going to do the work. And so they've come along very quickly and very easily. And we're looking at -- You know, the question that you posed in terms of if there is anyone who is not working with us. If there is anyone who is working against us or at odds with us in terms of the work that we have to get done, then we cannot continue that relationship and we won't.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: I would just--I would just say and it works both sides with the providers as well as the landlords. But there are some landlords that have historically horrendous

22

23

24

25

Yes.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR:

--heads of

households, and we also have numbers in our single adult system. I try not to conflate the two. But on the family with children system we have about a third of head of house—a third of our families have one head of household who's working. Working either part—time of full—time but working every day and

trying to make ends meet. On the single side of our

[background comment]

system, I'm not sure what the percentage is.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: About 15% of our those single individuals are--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] What is the average income that they earn?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So I don't have that number available right now. I can get it for you, for both populations.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] I would appreciate that because, as you know, we are oversaturated with shelters in East New York. We've had this discussion many times, and now I understand that you are looking to expand the PATH, Prevention Assistance in Temporary Housing to have one in each borough.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR:

[interposing] Uh-

1

2

3 huh.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So I can't

imagine that there would be one in East New York since we're oversaturated, but in any event, we know many of the people living in shelters are--do come from that community.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Uh-huh.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: In light of that

fact, and you don't have the figures in terms of what the average income is. But in light of that fact, I would hope that you would support the efforts of the East New York community to encourage the Mayor to have a larger set-aside of the Sustainable Housing Plan that he's advancing than what he's presently advancing. Of the 50% of the housing that is slated to be affordable, because the other 50% is market. So the 50% that is slated to be affordable he at this point has an overall template that says 8% would be for people making less than \$25,000 of the average AMI. And this is my--my push here is that we need to provide permanent housing. Temporary shelter is not housing. It's a very unpleasant situation that people are living in. So I would hope that you would

2.2

support us as we address the Mayor's plan, and say
that there needs a significant set-aide portion. So
that we can bring people out of shelters and get them
into housing. And I believe it says that the
homeless families must apply for shelter, but
individuals have a legal right to shelter?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.

 $\label{eq:council_member_barron:} \mbox{Council Member Barron: Can you explain}$  why that is?

eligibility requirements for the family side our system that were promulgated I believe pursuant to litigation that concluded some years ago McCain v. Boston, if I'm not misspeaking. On the single side of our system pursuant to a settlement—a settlement agreement on the Callahan litigation, there was a consent degree that was entered into that will allow a single individual—

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] Okay, that's okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: --who needed shelter to come into the shelter--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]
What are--what are the rights that shelter residents

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

have? Because, as you know, there are numerous shelter and safe havens, and other housing, temporary housing in my community. And we often times get complaints. There was one woman who is--she uses a wheelchair and her--she didn't adequate storage for her wheelchair. There was another woman who came with all kinds of complaints about the disrespect, which they felt they were being subjected to. the staff is not sensitized to deal with very troubled and a very oppressed population in a very caring and sensitive way. So what are the rights of these residents, and how is the training--how is the training done with the employees. And also, what would be my abilities to visit shelters in my community, as I would like to go and see what's happening?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay, so I'll take each question--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] Okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: --and each part.

So our clients, any of clients who are in shelter have a right to safe. Have a right to resource rich, in my opinion, shelters that have services that can

help them to overcome whatever barriers that have
them being in shelter. And have them to move to
housing permanency. They have a right to have staff
who are, you know, who are interested in their
wellbeing, and staff who are trying to help them to
move to housing independence. The formal, you know,
rights and responsibilities of clients we can send
that to your office. But I want to just make sure
that you know, and all the council members know if
you have any constituents who are in shelter, who
have issues they feel are not being addressed, we
want to know about. We have an office of an
ombudsman. We have an ombudsman's office within DHS,
who is actually working with all clients and
providers who have needs. And our ombudsman's job is
to troubleshoot whatever the issues are. Whether
it's with a provider, whether it's with other systems
that families or clients are with, to help them to
have those needs met. To help their experience in
shelter be a good one, and be one that can ultimately
help to get to housing permanency. So we have a way
in which we can flag cases for us. To your point
about

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:

[interposing]

3 Visits.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Visits. training--training. So I'm star with training and then I'll get to visits. So to your point--to your point about training, as part of our--as part of our Operational Plan, you know, what I had spoke to in terms of organization excellence in considering how we do our work. The model of practice that I described and the four key elements of what we want every shelter provider to do with any individual family who is in shelter, those are things that we have to train people to do, right. So while the training may not have been as robust as we had wanted it to be in the previous administration, it is going to be robust in this one, right. So having a clear curriculum. The only way that you can adhere to or implement a model practice is having a curriculum, training to it. You know, offering technical assistance for any staff who are doing the work, and then measuring outcomes in terms of how they're performing with what you want them to get done. we believe, we know that an investment in training, an investment in staff and support to our staff

Thank you.

2.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council Member Barron. Second round of questions, Council Member Wills. And we're--we're on the clock for three minutes.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Three minutes is great. I only have two questions. So, Commissioner, I'm sure you are aware that the State Senate enacted a bill that had bi-partisan support, S3925 that creates the provisions for municipalities or local governments to site shelters. They did not have the power to do that when it was only a State power before, and the assembly is trying to get it done now.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I am somewhat familiar with that proposed legislation, but not entirely conversant with it. I--

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: [interposing] So

I'm not--no I wasn't-- So--so what I'm asking is e

did a Reso last year asking them to do this because

every time we spoke about creating fair share

legislation so that you could only do 13% of the

entire borough shelters in one community or in one

district, the answer we kept getting was the State

had supervision over anything that had to do with

3 housing.

2.2

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Uh-huh.

has passed this legislation in reaction to one of the districts in Long Island had a lot of sexual offenders in one of the shelters. So what I'm asking you is we're now putting forth legislation to expand the amount of feet that a sexual predator Level 2 and 3 can live from a school or park or daycare center from 1,000 to 1,500 feet. Now, once the Senate passes this and the Governor signs it—signs it, the city will have that ability. Will you support that type of legislation?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, Council Member,
I would—I would want to review it a bit more closely
so that I can have an informed conversation with you
in your office about it.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I don't feel as though I am prepared to have that conversation-
COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: [interposing] I'm

24 sorry.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: --right now.

Member Wills. Council Member Vanessa Gibson.

25

1	
_	

2.2

huh.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Council Member

Wills are you challenging me so that I can beat that?

You are? It's okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: No, no, because you can do all things.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Commissioner, I
just wanted to ask a quick question. Serving as a
former State Legislation understanding how important
and critical Albany's budget is to New York City-COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: [interposing] Uh-

weeks left of the State Budget-- Thankfully, we have a new Speaker in the Assembly, who I happen to know. I just wanted to ask about the EAF, the Emergency Assistance for Needy Families and that 10% share. That's a new proposal? Am I understanding that correctly? And if so, how would that impact your budget and the level of services, as well as the State support that we're getting from Albany?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, it is a new proposal, and if it is implemented I believe that it will result in some reductions in our budget.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [off mic] Yes.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES
AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 231
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: That could
ultimately reflect, you know, affect ourour ability
to program for shelter. So it is new, and if it does
go into effect it could very have an impact on us.
COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: So the
conversations I assume and hope that the
Administration is talking to the Governor's Officer.
Is OCFS involved in this as well?
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I believe they are.
We are having conversations that are being ledled
by OMB with the State Budget about this, and
COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [interposing]
Okay.
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR:you know, it's on
our radar, and it's something that's being discussed.
COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: So, is this
something that's just being proposed for New York
City or all localities in the State?
COMMISSIONER RIVERA: [off mic] New York
COMMISSIONER RIVERA: [off mic] New York  City. [sic]
City. [sic]
City. [sic]  COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Just New York

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Oh, Lord, Okay.

COMMISSIONER RIVERA: [off mic] Our

locations with special services--4

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: [interposing]

6 Right.

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER RIVERA: [off mic] I really have to look. [sic] [on mic] For our Social Services districts with a population of five million or more the reimbursement rate wouldn't be 100% with 90%.

COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: Okay, so obviously I'm very concerned about this, and I know, you know, with two weeks left I just know that if that were to be successful, that's going to certainly have an affect on us in the city. So I would like us to keep having conversations. May of us are going to Albany tomorrow to talk to our State friends about some of the last minute priorities that we are trying to get into the Executive Budget. But we should definitely keep talking because if there is a way that the City Council obviously can be supportive as we have conversation now in our preliminary working towards the executive, I would love to be a part of that. I know the State has an agenda, and a

that based on?

25

housing.

So there was a study that was done on the Homebase Program by a group called ABT, A-B-T, I think, Associates where they looked at the work that was being done by Homebase. They looked at the targeting that was being done, the use of the Risk Assessment questionnaire that is applied to all clients who come in seeking Homebase intervention and services. That questionnaire once they target the right level of service for clients. For those who come into the Homebase Program and at its full strength they found that for that group that 95% of the time those clients do not come into shelter. And it is effective in terms of helping them maintain safe

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: But do we know longitudinally if that's the case. Might it be that at some point subsequent to that initial contact they don't even come. They may go into a shelter?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So I don't know how far--how far out they follow cohort. That's an-that's an excellent question, but for the point in time in which they had actually done this study, and-

Okay.

levels was at 95.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: --reported their findings, they had included that the effectiveness

was at the intake and you don't know the length of the following. That's—that's fine. Now, we know that one of the results of income inequality is the instability in housing. So, how are we going to get the other two—thirds of the families to get jobs, and the other 85% of the single people to have gainful employment?

OMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So that's part of our work with respect to independent living planning. So if realize that for everyone of our clients that unemployment is a barrier to them obtaining or maintaining housing, then we have to make sure that our providers are working with them to do what is necessary for them to either become employable or to gain employment. Whether it's job skills training, or whether it's, you know, inventing their education, they're working closely with the employment vendors

## COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES 1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 236 2 from HRA around what the needs are for our clients in 3 that particular--4 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] 5 Okay. 6 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: -- area and we do 7 all of that, and--8 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] And 9 just to squeeze in one last question. 10 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay. 11 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: What's the rate 12 of return in terms of people, either single or families that leave and then come back? 13 14 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So the rate of re-15 entry into our system? I will have to get that for 16 you. Wait. Hold on. 17 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BROSEN: [off mic] I 18 am showing 30%--30%. 19 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay, so for 20 families with children the rate of re-entry into the 21 system at this time is 30%. 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thirty-eight? 23 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thirty--3-0 24 percent.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thirty percent.

3 And for singles? Do you have that?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I don't have it for single adult.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: If you could get that, I would appreciate it. And I wanted to just echo what Council Member Miller had said in terms of making sure that those providers who don't measure up to the standards, don't find a way to shift the ownership on paper to their nephew, their cousin, their brother, and then still be able to reap that financial benefit. So I don't know how we can track that, but finding some way that we can make sure that these poor players don't benefit from the system. And finally, I just want to say continue to look forward to working with you as we address this issue. It's a major issue, and I'm sure that as the Mayor's plan for housing looks at a larger percentage of homeless low-income -- And it's interesting because I believe he's talking about housing for moderate and moderate goes up to \$100,000. So that's not going to help the persons living in shelters. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Council Member Barron. Commissioner, I have a few final

questions. I know that Commissioner Banks is patiently waiting outside, as he patiently waited for the previous hearing. So I will try to keep this brief, but we want some--certainly some further questions to be asked for the record. So I go through them quickly. The first question, you just said that the rate of families with children that are returning to shelter is 30% right now.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: What does that compare—how does that compare to say two years ago, or a time prior to this Administration?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, I would have to get that information to you, Chairman, unless my Deputy Commissioner has it right now.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BROSEN: [off mic]

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So I'm being told that it was studied across—it was a study that was done from 2005 until 2012. And for the 2005 cohort, it remained at 30%. So it actually has not increased.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: All right.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: It hasn't gone down, but it has not increased.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And that's certainly something that we hope that LINC has the ability to address by keeping the subsidies sustained over a number of years. So hopefully, that will have an impact.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Well, we also believe that Aftercare Services that are now being provided with LINC, which have not been provided in previous years--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: --will help to address that issue as well.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I'm going to get to LINC actually right now. So I have to admit in going through the LINC budgets between your agency and HRA, it's actually a little bit confusing to me what's in DHS and what's in HRA, and where all the--where all those dollars end up in the various budget lines. Which LINC budgets are in DHS?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay, so I'm going to defer to my Deputy Commissioner for Fiscal and Procurement Lula Urquhart.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART: For all of the rental subsidy budgets are in HRA's budget/

ĺ	
1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 241
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Uh-huh.
3	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART:and
4	that's Aftercare. It's \$2.6 million.
5	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: 2.6.
6	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART: For 2016/
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So, in total we're
8	looking at about \$8.9 million in DHS' budget isis
9	LINC related services?
LO	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART: Yes.
L1	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Those are budgeted
L2	not only for the remainder of that budget team but
L3	they are in the Preliminary Budget for '16?
L 4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART: Yes.
L5	Those are FY16 numbers.
L6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: They're FY16 numbers.
L7	Where is that reflected in DHS' budget? I believe
L8	that it's in General Administration currently, is
L9	that right, as the holding code?
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART: It is in
21	General Administration at this point.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you plan to with
23	the Executive Budget to move it into it'sit's own
2.4	budget code?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART: Yes, we will be moving it the correct budget code after.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. It raises--

there's a concern that—that was raised as part of—Did you have a chance to see the—the IBO Report that came out this month very—a two-pager that speaks to concerns that they have about the out year funding for—for LINC. Basically, for keeping new cohorts funded in the out years. So as they have described it, there's funding in place for LINC I and II for the Rental Subsidies, but LINCS II, IV, V—and I don't know about VI now—they're not—they're not currently in the Preliminary Budget for new cohorts.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is that—is that something we're looking at addressing in the Executive Budget?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART: Well, OMB is working with us and with the State to see how the funding can be in the out years.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And that's a question that—Is the issue that it's dependent on the State Budget being finalized hopefully this month? Is that the concern or—?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So when we began the programs—if I can interject—we wanted to make sure that we could, you know, test the effectiveness of each of the programs to get a sense of what was working, what works well, and what can work better.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART: Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And so, if it's the IDO [sic] report that you're referring to, I think I saw it today.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I saw it yesterday.

[laughs] There's some question about their numbers, and I think their sources were all public facing documents. So we want to talk to OMB and get a clear sense of exactly the numeric calculations that they had in their report. But in terms of the question as to why the funding is for this period of time, we're still, you know, looking at the effectiveness of the programs to decide how we move forward.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So at the moment I just want to make sure at this part is clear. At the moment LINCs III, IV and V are not funded in the Preliminary Budget for 16?

2.2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART: So funding is in the budget, but we're still looking for support from the State on the funding. The funding is all city tax levy in the budget, but we are looking for support from the State.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I mean I'll certain ask HRA as well because if those fundings--if those budget lines are in HRA's budget. I'll take it up with them as well. Is LINC working right now? Are you seeing--is there--is it on track for move outs, for the number of letters going out? Does it vary from various LINCs like from LINC I to LINC II to LINC III?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So LINC is working.

LINC is working in that we know, you know, we have
over 1,000 families, households who have moved out of
shelter with LINC with LINC--the LINC programs that
we currently have in place, and--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] In the aggregate?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: In the aggregate.

And, you know, when you think about, you know, the benefits to those families who are no longer in shelter who are living independently, who have, you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

know, the financial support that they need who--These are families who have been in shelter the longest period of time. So really, you know, kind of holding our--holding that moment, right. And being thoughtful about what it means to them. Yes, it's working. Yes, we are on target we believe to make a reallocation for LINC vouchers that have been issued. Yes, the processes are in place in terms of HRA and DHS, in terms of certification of clients. You know, delivering Aftercare services, and we're looking at it very closely and pushing it forward. As I had testified to earlier, we are still working with landlords. We are still finding ways in which we can recruit more apartments for the program. provider network is doing that as well as part of their housing permanency work. And we're going with everything that we have to have this program be successful. But, yes, it is working, and we believe that it will continue to work.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Because there was some ramping up time with the program, there is a possibility that there may be some funds in FY15 that were allocated that—that may not be drawn down. Is that—are you looking at that closely, and if—what's

the contingency if all that's in DHS' budget is not entirely drawn down?

it very closely. You know, as I said, we believe that we will complete our allocation of placements with that funding by the end of that fiscal year. We have, I think, 15 more weeks. Not that we're counting, but we are really looking at it to make sure that we can push it forward, and, you know, have full--full speed ahead.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is there any particular LINC section that is less appealing to landlords than others. We've been--we've seen it--it's reflected somewhat in the numbers that LINC II, there's--there are fewer move-outs under LINC II. Is that a concern? Do you think that that reflects something on the part of landlords' resistance to taking clients that receive a LINC II subsidy or is it--is it some other reason that that's happening?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So LINC II had a-had a slower ramp-up because of the need to have

Critical Time Intervention Services available to
those clients before and after they exit shelter. We
have to go through a procurement process, and enter

many LINC II recipients are relying on SSI or SSDI

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

1516

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

for their income, how is DHS working with those families to ensure that at the end of the LINC subsidy that they'll be able to maintain their apartments?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So the work, you know, begins, as I had mentioned, for that particular cohort before they exit shelter.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And so, when we're

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh.

thinking about the transition from shelter to permanent housing and then supporting them along the way when they move into their new homes, it's a service that can be--that can be delivered in conjunction with our Homebase offerings. And really developing a tailored plan for each family to understand what their unique needs are. And to really have them get to a place where beyond the subsidy there will be some thinking on some real planning around how they can maintain going forward. And so, it may -- it can look different to each family, but I think it can't be one-size-fits-all. be different for each family, and it has to be work that's ongoing throughout the lifetime of the subsidy that is being provided. So what may be the

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR:

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: We do.

circumstances now in year one for that family may be different in year three. And maybe there are some opportunity, a way in which they can have sufficient

So, we do.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Is DHS seeing landlords that are preferring to have month-to-month leases rather than long-term leases, or is that not an issue and your intention?

revenue and income in order to maintain the homes

that they've moved into.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So we have not see that as a phenomenon of the LINC programs.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I'm going to save the rest of my LINC questions for HRA and then the Executive Budget when we--when we get there. But I just have a couple more questions around the shelter population, and the needs that DHS is going to have in FY16 around budget for shelter population. Do you--do you believe that the current proposed FY15 Preliminary Budget for--for family and adult shelter is -- is adequate for the projected need in FY16?

2.2

it's lower than the actual of 20--of FY2015, which obviously went up by how many million? Close to \$100 million or maybe more than \$100 million--more than \$100 million from the Adopted Budget in FY15. Are we--that was--that was in shelter costs. IS that something that we think is going to happen again, or-

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: [off mic] Do you want to talk to the estimate--to the estimate? [sic]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART: [off mic]

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How do you determine that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER URQUHART: The difference between FY15's budget and FY16 budget it's--it's due mainly to grants. We add the grants in later during the year. And so \$13 million of grant funding will be added for ESG. The other difference is that for adult shelters--adult family shelters and families with children in shelter, the budget--the 2015 budget included one-time funding from OMB. And when the re-estimations are done, that will be added into the 2016 budget.

\_

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you know where you may have to--where you'll be locating new shelter units that need to come online?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So at this time we

do not. I mean right now we're just looking. As I had made reference to before, we're doing everything we can to reduce our shelter census. And we're assessing to do some shelter census, and we can open up more capacity in our system. So we're using all of the resources that we currently have. That is really our focal point for this year. We have got to find a way to make sure that the resources we have available can benefit the families who now have them in hand, and are ready to go.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you have a number for the number of units broken down by adult families, families with children, and single adults that—that have been brought on to date in FY15?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: The number of shelter units?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: We can get you that information.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, but there's been--I mean it's been something that you obviously have had to work through over the past year?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: We did. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is that—in terms of trends is that—is that being relieved at all or is that—is it still as pressing a need as it was say six months ago?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So we're feeling some relief only in that we did bring on quite a bit of shelter last year.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: We had to, right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh.

And now we're, you know, at a place where because of the rental assistance programs, and the other housing resources that we have available we're seeing movement in our system. So we still have 57,000 individuals in our system, but we're at a place where we can at least predict that because of the resources that we have, the housing resources that we currently have that there'll be some movement. There will be some housing—there will be clients who will actually move to housing permanency. Which was not the case at the beginning of last yea. We didn't have these

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 253
2	resources available, and we didn't experience it this
3	way. So, things have changed somewhat.
4	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So do you
5	think that we'rewe're turning the corner?
6	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: We'rewe're doing
7	something different. [laughs]
8	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: We're doing
10	something different than we had done before, and
11	trying to go in a different direction, and we will
12	succeed.
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great. Commissioner,
14	thank you very much. Thank you, Deputy
15	Commissioners. We appreciate youryour testimony.
16	We appreciate your time. Council Member Gibson, do
17	you have any further questions?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [off mic] No.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GIBSON: [off mic] thank
21	you.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Have a great
23	afternoon, and we look forward to seeing you at the
24	Executive Budget Hearing in May. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thank you.

25

2 [background comments, pause]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Good afternoon,

4 everybody.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SERGEANT-A-ARMS: Quiet, please.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you for your patience. I want to thank Commissioner Banks and his staff for--for their patience. Good morning. I am Council Member Stephen Levin, Chair of the General Welfare Committee. This is the third and final Preliminary Budget hearing for the General Welfare Committee. At this point, we'll hear testimony from the Human Resources Administration, also known as HRA regarding--regarding it's Preliminary Budget and general agency operations within its proposed \$9.7 billion budget. As well as performance indicators for Social Services within the Fiscal 15 Preliminary Mayor's Management Report. HRA provides cash assistance, Food Stamps, HIV/AIDS Support Services, also referred to as HASA, and many other public assistance programs to aid low-income New Yorkers. Beginning this fiscal year, HRA expanded its services to include the centralization of legal services, anti-eviction services and civil legal services contracts. Expedited access to rental arrears

benefits, expanded homelessness prevention programs, and created with the Department of Homeless Services and the State of New York three new rental assistance programs referred to as the Living in Communities or LINC.

Since the adoption of FY15 Budget, HRA

Fiscal 16 Budget has grown to \$181 million--I'm

sorry. Grown by \$181 million of which \$180.9 million

are new needs for the agency. The majority of the

new needs related to HRA's administration--are

related to HRA's administration of the LINC program

including providing Aftercare and employment

services, apartment inspections, landlord and broker

fee bonuses, and enhanced moving allowances. While

the Commissioner has already testified regarding the

administration of the LINC program at an earlier

hearing, this hearing will focus on the LINC budget

for Fiscal 15 and the proposed LINC budget for Fiscal

16, in addition to how HRA will measure success of

this rental assistance program.

With this new administration and new HRA commissioner, I am pleased to see impactful changes being made to HRA programs that provide services to New York City's most vulnerable populations. In

2.1

2.2

vendors.

particular, HRA is making great strides in reforming its work employment programs, which were heavily criticized under the previous administration. While the Commissioner has already presented his vision in restructuring HRA's work employment programs to the General Welfare Committee, I would like to take this opportunity today to hear more details about the implementation of the proposals that the agency presented to the State for approval. As well as the upcoming Requests for Proposals or RFP for employment

Nutrition Assistance Program, also known as SNAP remain a concern. Monthly SNAP benefits allow more \$250 million in food spending across the city every months. The federal cuts to SNAP, which reduced monthly benefits on an average by \$18 have created a strain on emergency food pantries across the city, which are also under the jurisdiction of HRA.

Pantries have been inundated with hungry New Yorkers in need of food once monthly SNAP benefits have run out. HRA's funding for emergency food assistance programs need to be increased for this upcoming fiscal year to accommodate this growing demand.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Overall, HRA's proposed Fiscal 16 Budget--Preliminary

Budget reflects a new vision for the agency, a vision

that shows a commitment in helping low-income New

Yorkers and providing them meaningful impactful

6 services.

Before I welcome the Commissioner, I would like to thank the committee staff for their work Dohini Sompura, Senior Legislative Finance Analyst; Andrea Vasquez, Counsel to the Committee; and Tony Cyrus, Policy Analyst for the committee for preparing this hearing as well as my Legislative Director Matt Ojala. I also want to acknowledge Council Member Vanessa Gibson. And acknowledge one other thing, which I think is important to know. That if you look at our Fiscal 16 Preliminary Budget compared to the Fiscal 15 Preliminary Budget, and there are a lot of reasons for this. And it's a complicated agency with a lot of different funding streams. But the budget actually decreases by a modest amount. It's around \$47 million, which out of--over \$9, almost \$10 billion is a small percentage. But I think what -- the reason why I acknowledge that is there have been a significant amount of reforms out of HRA under this

administration that have really changed the playing
filed and have undone 20 years or more20 years of
misguided policy in the city. And what this
administration has been able to show over the last
years is that it's been able to change the agency.
And change the way that the agency implements its
mission withoutwithout breaking the bank. And
withoutwithout creating a massive financial impact
with. Which I think some folks out there might have
thrown out dire warnings that this city under a more
progressive leadership would break the bank. The
fact of the matter is that they've been able to
implement meaningful reforms while being also
fiscally prudent. So I acknowledge that at the
outset of this hearing. And with that, I welcome
Commissioner Steve Banks, and I'll ask you to take
this oath. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the
whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your
testimony before the committee, and to respond
honestly to council member's questions?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I do.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Can I ask you can you 

all take the oath as well?

ELLEN LEVINE: I do.

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Great. Thank you.

ERIN VILLARI: I do.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much.

Mr. Banks, the floor is yours.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thank you very much for your very kind words. We really appreciate it.

We want to thank the Chair, Steve Levin and as well as Council Member Gibson, who is here, for giving us this opportunity to testify today about HRA's budget work to move forward with reforms of our policies and procedures. My name is Steven Banks and I'm the Commissioner of the New York City Human Resources Administration. Joining me today are HRA's Chief Programming and Planning and Financial Officer Ellen Levine to my right. Executive Deputy Commissioner of Finance, Erin Villari, who is right beside us, and HRA's Chief of Staff Jennifer Yeaw, who is to my left.

HRA is proud to be in the forefront of the de Blasio Administration's efforts to address poverty and income inequality. HRA is committed to reforming its policies and procedures to achieve these goals by first helping working families stay in the workforce when jobs—when their jobs don't pay

2

3

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

enough to live on by providing supports such as food aid and cash assistance, public health insurance, and emergency cash assistance, and eviction prevention services. By aiding struggling--those struggling to return or enter the workforce by providing a variety of employment related services including access to education; job skills training; help with job search and placement; and temporary cash assistance. providing a safety net those permanently or temporarily unable to work.

While most of the public focus tends to be on how many people receive cash assistance, it's important to note that a large number of New Yorkers receiving some assistance from HRA were already working, and that HRA's support helps them remain in the workforce. Living in a very expensive city lowincome workers who are generally struggling to begin with can be derailed by a variety of emergencies and unexpected expenses. Among other assistance HRA provides the key work supports. There are \$2.5 million New Yorkers receiving Medicaid through HRA, and tens of thousands more through the new State Health Insurance Exchange. \$1.7 million New Yorkers are receiving SNAP food assistance and millions of

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

meals are served through food pantries in community kitchens. Seven hundred thousand New Yorkers are receiving home energy assistance very winter, and 100,000 are receiving one-time cash assistance each year to prevent evictions and utility shut-offs or provide assistance with other emergencies. For all these New Yorkers these support can be critical in maintaining employment.

Having health insurances means workers can stay healthy and working and avoid the economic disaster that severe illness can impose on those with no insurance. Food and energy assistance, child support and earned income tax credits strengthen households and help families survive on low-income jobs. Emergency cash assistance and services to prevent homelessness can also stabilize families and individuals and keep them from losing employment in the face of sudden emergencies. Clearly, efforts aimed at keeping low-income workers in the workforce are much less expensive, and are more efficient than having to help New Yorkers return to the workforce especially after extended absence. Among those who do receive cash assistance, half are children, and nearly half of the adults are not subject to any

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

federal and state work requirements as they—as the prior administrations had acknowledged because they are seniors or people with permanent or temporary disabilities who have a barrier to employment.

HRA also helps thousands of the most vulnerable New Yorkers by providing shelter and supportive services to families who are survivors of domestic violence. Support for people living with HIV and AIDS. Protective services for adults unable to care for themselves. Homecare services for seniors and individuals with physical and mental disabilities, and legal services to address the harassment of tenants, avert homelessness and help immigrants. The breadth of our work explains the size of our budget and our staff. And particularly, given the hour, we're going to just give you some highlights of our testimony through Power Point. You've got the full testimony for the record, and we're going to start moving through the Power Point now.

First, we'll give you an overview of HRA.

So HRA serves more than three million low-income New

Yorkers through a broad range of programs to address

poverty and income inequality and prevent

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

homelessness. The Operating Budget in 2016 is \$9.7 billion; \$7.5 billion in City funds. 78% is for Medicaid payments and cash assistance benefits, and HRA continues to be responsible for much of the Medicaid program, which totals \$29 billion in New York City, although only 20% of those costs are in our actual budget. In addition, HRA administers the \$3 billion in Federal Food Stamps, the SNAP Program that do not pass through the City Budget. So those dollars are directly provided. The next slide gives you a sense of all the various programs that we have. We have education and training and job placement services to assist low-income New Yorkers in obtaining employment. We have cash assistance to meet basic human needs. Rental assistance to prevent homelessness; Federal Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program SNAP Food Stamp benefits, and emergency food assistance to food pantries and community kitchens to fight hunger. Services for domestic violence-survivors of domestic violence. Services for New Yorkers living with HIV-AID. Services for children, including child support and childcare. Protective services for adults unable to care for themselves. Homecare for seniors and

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 individuals with disabilities. Home energy3 assistance. Legal services including homelessness

4 prevention and anti-harassment and immigration

5 assistance. Enhanced client services through our

6 new--through our info line call center and the Access

7 NYC Online Portal, and IDNYC where HRA administers

the back office and back end eligibility reviews and

9 approvals.

HRA is more than just about cash assistance. We help low-income workers stay on the job. And here you see the numbers. Annually, we provide critical support that helps many low-income New Yorkers remain in the workforce. There are 500,000 receiving ongoing cash assistance annually. In a given month, that's 350,000 approximately; \$2.5 million on Medicaid; \$1.7 million on Federal Food Stamps; \$700,000 on home energy. And this is since-and I indicated earlier \$100,000 in any given year receiving the emergency rental assistance and utilities. The next several slides give you a more granular review on the numbers, and Mr. Chair, you have those data before you. In order to save time, why don't we move through those charts, and onto some of the additional information. This is the

information that we have given you before as to the numbers of recipients for each of the various programs.

To give you an overview of the staff, there is a 14,333 budget headcount in FY16 paid for with a combination of City, State and Federal funds. These are public servants who choose to work for HRA to help New Yorkers in need. Many have dedicated their entire careers to public service. It's a diverse workforce. Seventy percent are women; 59% are African-American, and 18% are Hispanic; 15% are White and 8% are Asian. It's a unionized workforce. You can see the breadth of the locals. Many are DC37, CWA Local 1180, Local 371, Local 1549, Teamsters local and so many others.

The next two charts give you a picture of our FY15 Budget as sort of a pie presentation of the different percentages. And you can see again that medical assistance is the largest driver of the budget. The chart for FY2016 continues that trend and you can see the various percentage allocations of the \$9.7 billion budget ranging from homecare, employment services, crisis DV services, and all the other ones that I mentioned. You can see the

2	percentages again. Medical assistance is the largest
3	driver of the budget. To walk you through the budge
4	detail, slide 10 shows you the budget in FY15 is
5	\$9.88 billion; \$7.63 billion in tax levy, declining
6	to \$9.7 billion or \$7.56 billion in tax levy in FY16
7	for reasons that we'll be explaining as we testify.
8	But the basic components of the budget you can see is
9	\$6.4 billion in Medicaid; \$6.3 billion in city tax
10	levy. Sixty-six percent of the total budget is
11	Medicaid costs, and 84% are HRA city funds budget as
12	well. \$1.4 billion and \$584 million in tax levy with
13	public assistance programs. \$81 million and \$55
14	million city tax levy for the LINC Rental Assistance
15	Program. \$21 million in legal services; \$7 million
16	in tax levy. Additional baseline funding is being
17	evaluated in the Executive Budget, which we'll be
18	discussing with you. \$234 million and \$62 million
19	city tax levy for employment and related support
20	services such as transportation. \$177 million and
21	\$86 million in city tax levy for HIV and AIDS housing
22	and support services. \$108 million and \$23 million
23	city tax levy for domestic violence crisis and adult
24	services. \$11 million and \$9.9 million city tax levy
25	for emergency food. \$789 million and \$232 million in

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

tax levy for staff working with clients in the SNAP Food Stamp Program and the Job Centers, Child Support Office, and HIV/AIDS service centers and Medicaid Offices. \$341 million and \$129 million tax levy for administrative costs. Leases, supplies for HRA 68 job centers, SNAP centers, HASA offices and other client serving locations.

The Capital Budget is \$211 million, \$113 million for facilities and construction, which is really renovation expenses. \$78 million for information technology, including development of our benefits re-engineering program, which we'll be talking to you about; and \$20 million for telecommunications and other capital projects. Overall, our budget increased by \$82 million in total funds and \$76 million in city funds in our November and February plans. And this includes five items totaling about \$69 million related to reducing and preventing homelessness through the rental assistance and eviction prevention efforts, which we will be talking about. The other item is restoring staff working on SNAP Food Stamps program, which is part of our effort to ensure that everyone who qualifies for federal food assistance receives it. Which we'll

also be discussing with you. In terms of the
federalor the February Financial Plan changes, in
summary there's a \$45 million or \$41.5 million in tax
levy for LINC Rental Assistance to help families and
adults move out of DHS and HRA shelters, which is in
addition to the \$32 million, \$13 million tax levy
that was already in the Adopted Budget. And there is
\$5.6 million, \$4.9 in city tax levy for shelter
prevention, and after care programs. And \$9.8
million or \$9.1 in city tax levy to support the LINC
program including apartment inspections, moving of
furniture, allowance enhancements, and funding for
427 staff to facilitate LINC moves, homelessness
prevention and processing of emergency rent payments.
\$6 million in total funds; \$3 million tax levy added
for additional anti-eviction legal services on top of
a million additional dollars that have been added in
the Adopted Budget already. \$5 million was added in
FY15 for the Anti-Harassment Legal Services Program,
and further implementation is going to be addressed
in the FY16 Executive Budget. And funding of \$13.8
million and \$6.9 million tax levy was added to
restore \$515 SNAP positions that were slated to be

cute by the prior administration from our Food Stamp
Program.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

There are a number of new initiatives, which as the Chair indicated, are reflected in--under our underlying budget that are part of the reforms that are underway. We created a new Homelessness Prevention Administration for the expansion of existing creation of new programs to prevent homelessness. We created -- we have homelessness diversion units located at all HRA job centers, and now at DHS' PATH facility. They are now utilizing new diversion tools that include short-term financial assistance to help families and individuals remain outside of the shelter system. HRA is now deploying on-site staff at DHS Homebase offices around the city, and at the NYCA Administrative Hearings Office in addition to our prior staffing at the Housing Courts. All of this staffing is aimed to try to intervene and prevent evictions and homelessness particularly important in the Homelessness Offices where previously not-for-profit staff worked with clients. And they had to be sent to an HRA location without knowing what could be done or not done necessarily. And by having staff on site, we--we can

expedite services, and streamline services, and
enhance services at the same time. We have
implemented and early intervention outreach team for
outreach to families and individuals in need of legal
assistance or emergency rental assistance based upon
early warning referrals we're getting now from the
Housing Court. We have a Landlord Ombudsman Services
Unit established to address the needs and the
concerns of landlords and management companies that
provide permanent housing for families and
individuals receiving public assistance. We have the
Rental Assistance Program that we implemented for the
new LINC initiative. We have the Legal Services
Initiative Program to manage all of the HRA legal
assistance programs, which reflect both an expansion
of programs, new programs, and the consolidation of
programs that were previously in other agencies.
And HRA has created during this past fiscal year the
Family Independence Administration Central Rent
Processing Unit to centrally process, issue, and
deliver emergency rental assistance payments in place
of the old system of having checks issued at centers
all around the city with delays that were inherent in

that type of a system. And now they're centrally produced in a much more expedited fashion.

aspects of LINC beyond what we testified about in the prior hearing in January. Since we testified as we continue our efforts to prevent and alleviate homelessness we've created three new LINC programs. So there are new six unique LINC programs for homeless New Yorkers. LINC IV is rental assistance for homeless seniors. It's 1,100 such rental assistance programs. It's \$2.6 million in city funds in FY15 and \$8.7 million in city funds in FY16.

We've recently published a rule that will be out this week giving enhancement of this program to include also individuals receiving disability benefits in the single adult shelter systems. In order to enhance

2.2

There is LINC IV, which is rental assistance for working homeless adults, a thousand working homeless adults. This is time limited rental assistance for working adults, and adult families without children--minor children. It's \$2.2 million in FY15 and \$7.1 million in FY16, and also there's

our efforts to meet the needs of that population in

single adult shelters.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

aftercare for working individuals and adult families

to assist with employment and maintaining housing

after placement in permanent housing. And then

there's the LINC VI Program that will pay for rent on

behalf of families with children already in shelter

who exit to live with relatives or friends. And the

budget for that program will be part of the Executive

Budget presentation and discussion with you.

The specific November and February initiatives that were touched on earlier, or the Landlord Bonus Enhanced Security Funds, one-time incentive payments for landlords participating in LINC programs, a program to reimburse landlords for potential costs not covered by security deposits or rent grants. The funding for the LINC apartment inspections, the increased broker's fee. As you know from prior hearings, there has been a reduction from the prior administration of 50%, and we restored the full amount, an then in this recent period we've been paying 15%. This comes on the heels of increasing the HASA broker's fee originally, and now we're using it for move-outs from the DHS Shelter system and the HARA DB shelter system as well. Enhanced moving allowances for homeless families, increased furniture

allowances, additional funding for costs as we increased spending as a result of the LINC moves. We've got enhanced program support within HRA, 79 staff to provide operational and emergency shelter support to HRA and DHS as HRA and DHS continues to work on homelessness prevention. And move to provide alternatives to shelter.

There is also the Home Section 8

Transfer. We're working with HPD to transfer Home

Section 8 Funds to HRA to implement a new program to help alleviate homelessness. This is subject to approval from HUD. It was in the Consolidated Plan last week. HPD will allocate \$20 million of its

Federal Home Grant to HRA for Tenant-Based Rental Assistance Program to move more than 1,200 homeless families out of shelters and into housing beginning in FY16. This is rental assistance targeted to families in homeless shelters receiving SSI or Social Security benefits. And the program will begin operation during the summer. It's for families in the DHS and HRA Domestic Violence shelters.

In addition, there are the Legal Services initiatives where, as we testified previously, all of the programs have been consolidated that were

previously at DHS. HPD, CJC, DOICD they are all now at HRA. And the services include anti-eviction, anti-harassment, tenant protection, immigration, domestic violence services security federal benefits and other legal matters. And we're also developing a study of unmet needs in Housing Court. So that we can evaluate what programs will be most effective in preventing Housing Court evictions as we--even as we continue to expand our funding for legal services.

For the Anti-Eviction Legal Services

Program, there was the baseline increase of \$6.4

million to \$13.5, and this is--includes the Housing

Help Program, which is courthouse-based, which

focuses on some of the keys zip code areas, the key

neighborhoods that's producing the most numbers into

the shelter system. And we created a new court

referral system to ensure that high-risk tenants are

referred for these services. As the Mayor announced

in the State of the City, we are also implementing a

new Anti-Harassment Tenant-Based Protection Program

in the rezoning areas, and the communities around the

rezoning areas. This is to prevent tenant harassment

and displacement, and keep families and individuals

in their homes. Maintain affordable housing, and

2.2

FY15 Budget. It was--it's \$36 million is in the HRA

FY15 Budget. It was--it's \$36 million program, and

we're focusing on how much money will be allocated as

part of the expansion for the next--for the next

fiscal year. There will be an RFP issued, and there

will be new projects in place by the fall of 2015 for

this program. It's up and running with two existing

providers, and the RFP process will provide an

opportunity for other providers to participate in the

program as well.

at HRA. There are two programs the IOI program that, as you know, for many years has been a Council priority. That's now baselined at HRA. It's a \$3.2 million program, and it's focused on outreach screening an application of legal representation for immigrants. And an RFP is to be issued for this at the end of the month so that new contracts will begin during the course of FY16. There is also the former DYCD [sic] program that was federally funded through the CSBG grants, the Community Services Block Grant. There are four options: Legal services, domestic violence, and trafficking youth and worker's rights. And we're issuing an RFP on that program again for

There are a

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

3 number of programs consolidated. As you know, the

new contracts for the fall of this year.

4 discretionary programs that the Council has funded

for the Unaccompanied Minors Initiative; the Model

6 Program New York Immigration and Family Unification

7 that's now consolidated at HRA. There are a number

of other programs, as you know.

But there's a new program that we're going to be implementing, the Federal Disability Benefits Program. We're creating a new service to complete Homebase Federal Disability Benefit applications for homebound clients. And we are creating a new legal services program for clients to obtain Federal Disability Benefits after denials through an administrative counsel review. Or, in some cases appeals to the federal court. The aim is to focus on the 1,000 or so homebound clients who are not receiving Federal Disability Benefits currently. And then, we expect approximate 600 a year as we go forward, and we'll be providing legal services in addition to that applications help to a projected 1,300 clients a year. With the aim of helping clients obtain federal disability benefits in place of public assistance benefits. Slide 23 shows you

2 again all of the various important Council

discretional programs, legal services for the working poor; legal services for domestic violence survivors; legal services for veterans; the Council's unemployment insurance and SSI Advocacy Program;

Citywide civil [sic] legal services; and the Anti-

8 Eviction Act Legal Services.

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

As you've heard from prior hearings we have a major effort underway to make enrollment in the SNAP Food Stamp Program easier. That includes the restoration of the headcount that the prior administration had determined to cut to improve services for clients. We are getting waivers that we described previously in order to permit on-demand telephone interviews. We will be implementing them over the course of this year an improved access to NYC to make it possible not only to apply for federal food stamps, but to recertify and to be able to see what's happening with the benefits. And later this year, you're going to be able to use a Smart Phone to submit documents, which will certainly address historic problems in terms of waiting to submit documents and problems with documents. So they're all part of the reform effort.

We're implementing extensive outreach efforts. We already conduct extensive outreach efforts in partnership with Robin Hood and the Benefits Data Trust and DFTA. We're conducting outreach to 100,000 seniors, and we're working with NYCHA to identify residents who don't receive food stamps, and we're likely to qualify them. And in Mid-April we're going to be implementing a major outreach campaign to enhance receipt of SNAP benefits. With respect to Local Law 49, we have added 40 new caseworkers in HASA. Twenty-nine new supervisors and 23 new Eligibility Specialists are also being added. That's all in order to ensure our compliance with Local Law 40, and we will continue to monitory--Local Law 49, which as we testified last-last, the prior administration had not staffed the HASA program at the level it should be. And we're adding those positions in order to address that need. Over the course of this past year, we've implemented a 30% Rent Cap, and 7,500 people received retroactive payments in order to be made whole back to April 1. Which was the moment in which the State Budget passed and there were procedures put in place statewide

20

21

2.2

23

24

Z 4

following that. And we want to make sure that everyone got the benefits to which they're entitled.

As you know, IDNYC is part of HRA's responsibilities for providing back office functions and the back end review. In partnership with MOIA and the Mayor's Office of Operations, we put in place Security and Confidentiality Protocols to protect personal information. Protocols with respect to outside requests for information. Limits on the use of image search technology, and we've got a full administrative review of application denials. We are currently operating at 21 locations including two HRA locations that were added over the last month, and two new locations that were added this week. And the entire infrastructure sits within HRA.

In terms of data, if you look at Slide

28, you'll see that the 50,000th card was printed on

March 14th. That's 50,000 cards that have been

issued since the program was implemented in January.

And you can see the status of the--of the program.

There are already 63,000 applications that have been approved, and 55,000 have been printed. 50,000 are

actually out. As I indicated previously, the next

appointment will be available March 25th in Corona,

1 2

Queens.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

with Legal Aid Society week. That's been supported

HRA entered into a landmark settlement

for court approval or submitted for court approval.

That's designed to significantly reform the way that

HRA provides services and to ensure great

accessibility to clients with disabilities.

aspects of this will be approved by the Court over

the next number of months. But suffice it to say

that--and the key elements are on the screen and in

the testimony. Suffice it to say that it's all aimed

at assisting clients obtain access to services in

compliance with federal law including the assistance

for clients to apply for federal disability benefits.

We're developing new training for staff,

effective communications with clients. There will be

including training and disability awareness and

a community advisory panel that will be formed to

advise on a range of policies and practices that

affect clients. We are going to be providing case

management services based on the needs of the client

in effort to assist clients with disabilities to

maintain their benefits. And before taking any

negative action for failure to comply with required activities, HRA will review the case to ensure the client's disability was not a factor in the non-compliance. And that reasonable accommodations, if needed, were provided to enable the client to comply with required activities. In conjunction with an expert consultant, HRA will be developing tools to assess whether clients need reasonable accommodations as a result of physical and mental health limitations or other impairments. And then, provide appropriate accommodations including referrals [bell] to the We Care Program or other services designed to assess and meet the needs of clients with disabilities.

We've set up a new ADA office. Some of the most vulnerable New Yorkers are often clients with disabilities who require accommodations and support to access the benefits that they need. The goals of our work are to improve the methods to capture information to ensure that we're providing the special accommodations. And to ensure equal access to our services. Even before the settlement, we created the office with Jennifer Shaoul, while an advocate, is the Executive Director of Disability Affairs. There are a number of ongoing projects that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we are happy to answer questions about. We've also set up a new LGBTQI Advocacy Office for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual Transgender or Questioning Intersex people who have been identified as an underserved community who may be disproportionately likely to live in poverty, and to have difficulty in accessing public benefits. To address these clients' needs, we have created this office with Alana Redfield, again a well-known advocate, Director of LGBTQI affairs. goals of this work is to increase awareness and visibility of the LGBTQI issues across HRA. Identify, assess, [bell] implement solutions to specific obstacles to access participation for LGBTQI clients in HRA's programs. And to serve as liaison for CBOs to increase collaboration and transparency regarding LGBTQI issues in HRA.

We also have Language Access—a Language and Immigrant Access Office, Refugee and Immigrant Affairs as run by Anne Montesano, an experienced staff person, Executive Director of that office. And that office is working collaboratively to advance language and immigrant access to our public benefits with a number of projects underway. And the goals of that work are to ensure and provide proper guidance

and expertise to program areas to ensure access to

our services for all clients.

2.2

We are also part of the Mayor's Action

Plan for Neighborhood Safety. We've been asked to

provide outreach support in 15 targeted NYCHA

developments. We've already provided outreach staff

in seven of the 15, and we expect to start the rest

this spring. We're deploying the staff specialist at

each development to meet with residents and provide

guidance on benefits enrollment. Help in navigating

access to emergency services, and troubleshoot

existing cases with HRA, and solicit feedback from

the community.

Plan, and we want to give you an update on that, and I know you may have some questions on it. Again, there are 500,000 clients that receive recurring assistance annually, 350,000 in any month. About half are children. Many more are seniors, and either permanently or temporarily disabled. And for that reason not subject to work requirements. Of the approximately 90,000 clients who are subject to Federal and State work requirements, 25,000 have jobs. However, they make so little that they still

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

qualify for public assistance, which certainly reinforces the urgency of the Mayor's advocacy efforts on increasing the minimum wage.

A State budget provision, as you know, subjects HRA to a \$10,000 chare back for unnecessary hearings. In HRA's past approach was to attract placements for only six months, but 25% of HRA's reported placements and assistance ended up returning to seek recurring cash assistance again with 12 months. And 23% of the applicants during the first six months of 2013 for DHS shelters had a cash assistance case that closed or a case sanction in the previous 12months. The new Employment Plan was approved by the State on December 31, 2014. implementation plans are underway. The goal is to ensure that our employment training programs are effective in connecting and reconnecting New Yorkers to the workforce. The reforms are based on these principles, maximize, education and training, and employment relates services. Sixty percent of employable clients on our caseload do not have a high school diploma. We want to allow recipients up to age 24 to participate in full-time basic education. And we want to increase access to targeted training

2.2

and jobs in high growth industries, and utilize the Available Career Pathway Programs, and we are allowing participation in four-year college as permitted by the new State Law. We're are replacing the one-size-fits-all approach. We've improved assessments in programs that address specific client needs and abilities. We're creating new employment strategies for youth. Clients with limited English proficiency. Shelter residents, those with work

limitations, those with Justice System involvement,

and older clients. And we want to enhance program

participation dispute resolution.

As we previously announced, we are phasing out WEP and replacing with more effective and sustainable work activities. I can report to you so far following the approval of the plan on December 31, over the last 2-1/2 months, we've collaborated with CUNY to implement the Paid Work Study Program. In January 2015, we began a program to provide those work study opportunities for CUNY students who are pursuing undergraduate degrees, and need to meet an HRA work requirement. As a result of this collaboration, we've phased out approximately 500 CUNY WEP slots, which is about 10% of the total

Z 4

number of WEP slots throughout the city. We're developing additional initiatives to replace WEP in accordance with the employment plan. And after finishing this phase out at CUNY, we're turning our attention to 500 WEP slots at HRA itself as we continue to move forward with the plan to phase out those slots.

The remainder of the Power Point
highlights some of the key reforms that we previously
testified to the committee about. But in the
interest of time, and I know since you have a number
of questions, that I will simply point out that the
last several slides of the Power Point relate a far
range for reforms that have been implemented in just
literally the last 11 months as part of our efforts.
The items that we described in today's testimony is
the next round of reforms that we're pursuing.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you,

Commissioner. I'm going to have to take some time to
thin up some new questions. Because I think you
answered probably most of my questions. I think
it's--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, anticipation is always an important think, right?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So I think if--if
you're competitive with--with yourself and last
year's Executive Budget, I think this year you have
21 pages of new HRA initiatives. I think last year
it was only about 13. So, you know, you're exceeding
the expectation--you know, your own standards.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I just want to lessen expectations for the Executive Budget. It's only about two months away.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [laughs] Yes, it is.

But no, obviously this is—this is remarkable and,
you know, I mean just— just that if everybody has an
opportunity to see this Power Point, you know, there
is going to be a lot of digesting to do here. And
so, I thank you for such a comprehensive view of what
HRA has been up to as well as making it user—
friendly. Because HRA is such a large agency
sometimes it's difficult to kind of understand
everything that it is doing at all times. But this
is—this is very digestible for us.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thank you and I should just not I appreciate the complimentary things that you periodically have said about me as the

2.2

Commissioner, but the people in the front row here do all the work.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: If things go well, they deserve all the credit, and they do.

I think we'll--we'll direct most of our questions at the areas where there are need needs that are being put forward. So, I think I'll start with talking about LINC issues. I think first off-- So, if you could just detail exactly what portions of LINC are in HRA's budget. I asked Commissioner Taylor the same with DHS. I know you spoke about that in your testimony, but if you could in a little more detail say specifically in which budget lines and which--which--how that corresponds to each LINC program.

are associated—the dollars for rent for I, II, II, IV and V—remember, we said VI is still being—going to be dealt with in the Executive Budget in terms of dollars. But the rent allocations, the actual rental assistance totals \$28 million in FY15 and \$81 million in FY16. That is in the HR budget. But Aftercare for several of the programs run—

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER VILLARI: [interposing]

3 Three and five.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: --three and five. Is \$2.1 million in FY15 and \$4.1 million in \$4.8 million in FY16. Other support for the program the landlord bonuses, the moving expenses, the broker's fee. The sort of supporting elements, the furniture allowance, the supporting elements that enable someone to actually move out, that's \$20 million FY15 and it's \$10 million in FY16 not representing a judgment that that department is being cut, but representing what Commissioner Taylor testified about earlier. And I'll testify as well that we're still in discussion about exactly what the components will be for FY16. And that's really an aspect of trying to make sure that everything we're doing actually works. Part of--I think the big difference from past rental assistance programs like Advantage is that it's not one-size-fits-all. And it's very careful calibration about what's working and what's not working? Should we continue with this? Should we change that? And then there's also rent arrears that are in our budget. So anything involve rent arrears or rental assistance or the support for the program.

or the aftercare for the ones that we described is in

3 the HRA budget.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So for LINCS III

through VI that—that the IBO Report identified as—
and we talked about with Commissioner Taylor, that
will—that will show up as additional new needs in
the Executive Budget or that will—?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, the IBO Report that we received this morning indicated that—that there was only on cohorts for those programs.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Those are--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The current cohort.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right. Those are fully city funded programs. The I and II has stayed in city cost-sharing, and the amounts and the cohorts and whether there should be other cohorts are all the subject of the focus that we're undergoing right now in terms of what's working, what's not work. And what do we need to do in terms of moving forward.

And you'll see the plan for FY15 in a fuller fashion in FY--in the Executive Budget. I mean, as you know, these programs were put in lace for the most part following the adopted budget. And we've been very

transparent about the fact that we're putting them in place to see which one work and which ones don't work. And we'll replace the ones that don't work with other ones, and we'll enhance those that need enhancements. So I think, you know, focusing on where we were when we issued the Executive—the Permanent Budget in January, is just exactly that. That's where we were at that moment and there are continuing efforts literally on a weekly basis. Sometimes on a daily basis to make sure that the programs are working the way they should.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you expect that the--all the assessments that you're looking to do in preparation for '16's budget will be able to be done by the Exec so that it won't--it won't go beyond that in terms of--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing]

That's--that's certainly our aim, and as you could see in our testimony we've got the Home Section 8 program. It will be another--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: --tool that will be available to help with shelter move-outs from DHS shelters and HRA shelters in FY16. So you can see

program is laid out, but it's not a LINC program.

25

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 294
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right.
3	COMMISSIONER BANKS:which has ahas a
4	limitation on it, but it's not technically Section 8
5	in the way that you and I
6	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Oh,
7	it's not?
8	COMMISSIONER BANKS: -but it'sit's
9	referred to Section 8 Home Funds
10	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] It's a
11	federal voucher.
12	COMMISSIONER BANKS: It's a federal
13	voucher program.
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And how many will
15	that account for? I know you said that it was
16	COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] 12
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:12 million.
18	COMMISSIONER BANKS: 1,200.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: 1,200?
20	COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yeah, it's slightly
21	over 1,200.
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, and how much
23	and how much is the value of that?
24	COMMISSIONER BANKS: It's \$20 million.

allocated funds for FY15 are going to be disbursed in

this fiscal year with regard to LINC or are you--or

24

25

is that too early to tell, or how are you look at

1

2.

\_

3

4

5

5

6

7

/

8

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

1819

20

21

22

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [laughs]

that? Are you keeping track of it? I'm sure you are

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How are you keeping

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yes, we're keeping

track of it?

but--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I should just pick that question and say yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes.

track of it. The--there's been, as you know, a tremendous effort no the part of multiple-city agencies with HPD doing inspections, DHS and the providers doing move-outs and HRA providing the support that we are to the program in order to relocate the families as quickly as possible out of shelter and into homes. And more than 1,000 households have already been moved out from programs, as we know, just started in earnest. In December IV and V didn't exist and I, II, and III HRA and DHS increased the rental allowance in November to reflect Section 8 levels as opposed to the originally set level. And it's an awful lot of-- If you--it's a sufficient number of households in a relatively short

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

period of time that have been moved out. And the focus continues to be on making use of every available resource to continue the move-out to the pace that we've been--we've been operating under. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The Administration

extended the landlord bonuses and broker fees to the end of this month, March 31, 2015. Is HRA planning on extending those two incentive programs beyond March 31?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, wouldn't it be great if there were just a lot of people who came to offer their apartments in the next week or two? mean literally this is the kind of analysis that we It's been extended previously. When we testified in January, we had an earlier end date, and it's one of those thing if it's working, we want to keep using it. And if it's not working, we'll--we'll use the resources some other way.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: okay. In terms of the maximum rent payment that's made available to landlords associated with LINC, that was increased to align with Section 8 Guidelines?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Yes.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: So, the vast
majority of the--of the move-outs and the numbers
that Commissioner Taylor and I had given are
following that increase. And there was very little
move---move-outs before the increase occurred.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh. So whatever is there now minus a handful?

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER BANKS:} \quad \mbox{That would be a good}$  way to look at it.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Has HRA seen

landlords resistant to different LINC subsidies. So

I asked Commissioner Taylor about LINC II. Is that a

concern where landlords are--are--have less

receptive--receptivity to--less reception to LINC II

than LINC I or LINC III?

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER BANKS: I'm going to think} \\ \mbox{from a--from a perspective of the families and the} \\$ 

2.2

individuals. There are multiple kinds of programs designed to try to meet a broad range of needs. But similarly from a perspective of a landlord, there are a number of different programs that have program designs that could be attractive to some landlords. Each of them has had an uptick. I think that Commissioner Taylor's analysis of the—of the—trajectory of LINC II is really reflective of the—of the aftercare issue that he described.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In terms of making a qualitative assessment, how--how is HRA assessing--if this is-- Obviously, you're doing this now in anticipation of the Executive Budget. How is--what is the methodology that you're using for how effective the program is?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, it's a partnership with the Mayor's Office, OMB, DHS, HRA and, you know, HPD and NYCHA have perspectives as well given their knowledge of the housing market. I think our assessment continues to be really focused on is there— are there available apartments that can be rented, and are there landlords interested in participating? And give the upward trajectory, those have all been positive signs up to this point.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Are you going to be able to— In the upcoming Mayor's Management Report, be able to establish metrics to show the effectiveness of the programs.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I think again given the fact that these programs are literally brand new, that we'll be developing the metrics once we've been able to take a look at a the effectiveness as part of the Executive Budget Analysis. I mean right now the metric is how many—how many move—outs are we achieving? And that's really the bottom line in terms of the families that are involved. How quickly can we move them out.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: We've been hearing anecdotally that landlords may be denying housing to FEPS clients because they are more interested in taking LINC subsidies? Is that something that HRA has seen, and if so, what are you doing to address that?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: We have actually not received any reports of that, and we would be very interested in understanding what the facts are, who the landlords are so that we can determine what course of action would be appropriate to take in that

3 HR

case. Obviously, given the amount of investment that HRA and the Administration put in to preventing people from losing their home, we want to make sure that there is an opportunity to preserve an apartment that it can be preserved.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In terms of apartment inspections and how, you know, we're moving forward on that process, you know, particularly in light of the DOI Report that showed that cluster sites, those apartments are—had a higher rate of violations than Tier 2s. What is the process that HRA undergoes in terms of inspecting the apartments prior to families moving in. And if there is found to be violations or areas that need to be remediated, what's the process for [bell] such remediation?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, we're funding HPD to do the inspections. So, the--the normal standard of an inspection for habitability is what's applied. And we expect that the items that HPD clears--HPD indicates that are problems are cleared.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So it's--it's being done entirely within--within--with HPD inspectors-
COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] Right.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: -- the search for

Department of Buildings violations and--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, because that'sactually it's a concern because that doesn't seem to
be the process with--with cluster sites. So with
cluster sites it's--there's a DHS inspector that's
going out. But with--with LINC it seems that it
would be more appropriate to have an HPD inspector.
They--they know what they're doing with their--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] Right.

13 | I think--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: -- the LINC.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: --you know, the way the LINC Program is operating is HPD does--does an inspection, and they indicate what needs to be fixed in order for the apartment to be habitable. And for DHS move-outs, DHS is verifying that those things have been fixed before move-outs are occurring. But you have a baseline that's set by HPD. In terms of the situations with the clusters, you know, that's--that's not permanent housing as we know. So it's a different--a different process.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. I want to change topics.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I want to ask about

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Sure.

the recent situation that we've been seeing with one of the larger providers throughout the -- the not-for-not-for-profit providers in FEGS, which announced that it's closing its programs. FEGS is the largest provider of job--job placement for the We Care Program or was. Can you speak a little bit to how that closure of programs has affected HRA's FY15 Budget and how you're dealing with the contracts that the FEGS had with HRA? First off, I guess what was the scope of the--of the contracts that FEGS had with HRA?

series of contracts that -- that FEGS had. FEGS had a \$33 million annual contract for We Care. The annual number of clients would be about 40,000 with a monthly number as of October of 17,000. FEGS managed two Back-to-Work Contracts, \$14.5 million annual contract value for two boroughs, Bronx and Manhattan. Our annual number of clients served in calendar year 2014 completed employment plans that 10,860.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I mean there were a

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

proceeded.

monthly number of clients as of January 2015 was 2,470. There was also a million dollars annual--a million dollars annually for a Jobs Plus Program, and there were also some home care programs. And they had recently won an award from a prior bidding process for a DB Contract. So, when this matter came to light in December, clearly our first concern was for our clients. An equal concern for the staff, and it's a very long and venerable organization. But it--we were confronted with the need to move very quickly to address the very serious needs for clients. There are client needs. The are federal and State participation registries that were affected. Under their procurement rules, our options were limited to choosing other vendors that had like contracts. And I can lay out for you how we then

So in the We Care area, there were two contracts, FedCap and FEGS, and so FedCap agreed to assume 100% of the work, and they're on track to do that in April. Before Back-to-Work, two of the other contractors agreed to take over the work: American Works in the Bronx and in Manhattan DB Grant. And they're also on track to be in place to do that in

3 sı

April. The Jobs Plus programs there was a subcontractor East Side Houses, and they are going to simply take up the work. So there are—that's pretty seamless in terms of moving from a contractor to a subcontractor. The DB contract we hadn't actually proceeded with it. So we've moved to the next contractor for these nine residential DB services. And in terms of the home care operations, those appear to be separate operations that they're going to continue to operate.

You know, having described that to you, though, the background, of course is that we are moving forward to rebid all of the employment contracts including We Care, and that's going to play out over the course of the next year as part of the employment plan.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: So would have been in a position to bid out the contracts--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Yeah.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: --anyway. Certain providers made judgment that they're going to keep providing services, and presumably they're going to bid in that--in that process. And that will be the

outcome that it's going to have as the process proceeds. But this is a very short-term--short time in which we had to move to get services in place, comply with federal and State law. And also, meet the requirements of the procurement rules, which didn't give the ability to just go out and choose whoever--whoever you wanted. But the pool of potential other alternative contractors were those that were existing contractors.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is there any indication that there was an impact on--on clients, on We Care clients or other HRA clients?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, I-- Again, the transition from FEGS to these other providers is well underway and is moving forward. So we believe that the transition will--will avoid the kinds of problems that we all were concerned about at the beginning of this.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I guess what I was asking is, is there any evidence-- Clearly, there is significant mismanagement of some kind. And has HRA kind of done an audit to see--a quality control audit basically to ensure that FEGS clients over the last, you know, certain period of time whether it's a year

that--that population?

25

2 COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right, as--as we indicated at the Employment Plan hearing back in 3 4 October, there are a number of moving parts to be able to move forward over this. We have to put a 5 6 better assessment process in place. In the best of 7 all worlds we would wait to make any of these other changes until we had that in place, but there is an 8 urgency in terms of addressing the WEP program. 9 we've had concerns about how--how--in terms of 10 outcomes for clients from that program. So, 11 12 therefore, waiting until all of the pieces are in place is not really an option for us. We have the 13 benefit of the Jobs for New Yorkers Task Force 14 15 recommendations to talk about Clear Pathways. 16 wanted to take it piece-by-piece as we testified in October. And the first piece was we were able to 17 18 fund work-study placements at CUNY to eliminate that. And before we get to other agencies, we want to 19 20 complete the process for the 500 HRA--the 500 slots at HRA. That will essentially get us about 20% of 21 2.2 the total--total number of placements that have been 23 in existence in HRA in the system. And then we'll just keep moving, you know, process by process as we 24

go forward. Participation in education, and in

particular four-year college, participation in high school equivalency type activities. Those are going to also be rolled out.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: And so, many of the kinds of changes that are going to be happening all at once as we move forward, and it's like the LINC experience. We're going to see what works as we proceed.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: And I think we--we don't want to replace the one-size-fits-all approach with just another one-size-fit's all approach. I think as we go along with some of the reporting to the committee about the progress that we're making in the next levels of changes. That we are going to be able to find alternatives to replacements that are currently operating at the different city agencies and do them, too. [sic]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In terms of the timeframe for an RFP on employment programs--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] Uh-

24 huh.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --what--when is that-

-when does HRA anticipate that that will be?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Over the next several months, we're developing concept papers now.

We're going to take some input from different—

different perspectives. Obviously we are constrained by the, you know, by the procurement rules.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Right.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: We can't, you know, issue drafts, and take comments in that way, but we are certainly in the process of consulting, you know, Community Voices Heard. Others or our own staff they're all very important groups that we want to consult with. That is—those were the key stakeholders that participated in the original creation of the Employment Plan in terms of the input we got. I think I had said to you we took a survey of our clients. We took a survey from key community groups and client groups like Community Voices Heard, Housing Works and others. And we surveyed our staff, and we found at the end of the day that you could have—you could have—— If you didn't know which survey was which, you would find that the results

extent that we've overlooked something, and you

25

employment program with the same amount or --?

24

2.2

your opening remarks at the beginning of the hearing about our ability to make use of the existing resources and repurpose them. And that's really what our—what our aim is here. You know, there will be some additional funding that we need to implement the settlement that affects the needs of clients that have work limitations.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Uhhuh.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: But the basic employment programs that we're going to be operating is the same \$200 million allocation that prior administrations had.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Now is that the RFP will incorporate transitional jobs, or is that something separate?

commissioner banks: The aim of the RFP is going to be to move people off of the HRA caseload that can be moved off of the case load by connecting them to employment. So if you go back to the statistics that I gave you, it's 60% of our clients don't have high school equivalency who are required to pursue work. And the data is very clear that

huh.

shows that if you don't have a high school equivalency, you're going to earn about \$20,000. If you have a high school equivalency, you're going to earn about \$30,000. And if you have two years of some sort of associate's degree or a full college degree, you're going to earn \$40,000. You can see that a key aspect of our employment plan is going to be focused on getting people on a career pathway.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh.

is they transitional jobs, is they not? How much? Is only part of the puzzle because we're looking to give people the ability to be able to move out of poverty. The fact that we've got 25,000 people working on our caseload now is an indication that we need better programs to help move people out of poverty because we don't want to move people into programs where they're going to be working full-time and still be on our caseload.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right. In terms of the green job sector--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] Uh-

1	AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 315
2	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:are you looking at
3	opportunities within that sector for partnerships?
4	COMMISSIONER BANKS: No, nothing isno
5	stone is going to be unturned. There arein the
6	past HRA was sort of in ain a silo of where to look
7	for jobs. And I think one of the good things about
8	being part of an overall city approach to fighting
9	poverty and income inequality, is it opens up other
10	possibilities to be able to connect our clients to
11	other kinds of employment.
12	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Inin terms of
13	benefit
14	COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] If I-
15	-if I may, frankly, that's the reason why we're
16	phasing out WEP is because it wasn't leading people
17	to
18	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Of
19	course.
20	COMMISSIONER BANKS:things. So we
21	want to create a pathway that leads people tooff
22	the caseload.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Right. In terms of public assistance, the--the PMMR evidence that there was an increase of 21% in individuals in the first

23

24

25

four months of FY15 receiving one-time benefits,

Primary Emergency Rental Assistance from HRA, and you
speak to what accounted for that 21% increase?

Projecting is a good things and we like it.

reporting, we've been very focused on ensuring that rental assistance to prevent evictions is available.

And so, we've been providing an enhanced amount, an increased amount of rental assistance to individuals.

We've been providing—we've had an increase of 23% in the numbers of people who have been getting rental assistance in order to keep people in their homes.

So that's really reflective of that changed approach.

asked Commissioner Taylor about the State Executive
Budget proposing 10% local share for New York City's
support of the EAF program. That could have a
potential fiscal impact of \$22.5 million on the city.
And HRA receives grant funding through EAF for
emergency service and rental arrears. What—what is—
how are you approaching that as the—as you kind of
approach a final state budget right now? Is that
something that you're concerned about, and are you
making contingency plans? What's the plan of action?

testified at the Budget hearing, this is a cut that we are urging not be implemented. And it is certainly City priority to not have it be implemented. In terms of contingency plans, we're keeping focused on—on the conversation to avert it being implemented

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In terms of budget efficiencies—and this is what we've been discussing so far—has HRA identified areas for efficiencies within its budget? Are you continuing look through your overall budget to find efficiencies? And what have you been able to identify for FY15 thus far?

Those are

COMMISSIONER BANKS:

conversations that we're having with OMB. I think as again you indicated in your opening comments, although you can see very transparently what was added in terms of program dollars, you can see that our headcount has been largely, you know, essentially stable with the addition of the--putting it into the baseline of that staff that had been our headcount. But had been subject to a peg [sic] from the prior. So I think we're finding a lot of efficiencies not because our staff was inefficient previously, but

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

16

17

15

18 19

> 20 21

2.2 23

24

25

because there were a lot of transactions that ware thought to be important in the past that we think aren't as important now. So for example, if we provided a robo calls, as we have been, we're going to close fewer cases. That means that staff don't have to then spend the time reopening the case, and we don't have that \$10 million charge back and all the other issues. So there's a very active conversation that we are having internally with OMB about how to recognize those kinds of things. But as you indicate, we're making an awful lot of reforms with--essentially for that budget.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: With regard to the chargebacks and the Fair Hearing--

COMMISSIONER BANKS: [interposing] Uhhuh.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --rate. Can you give an overview of how that reform has proceeded over the last 12 months. And if you could provide some kind of points of measure.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, I think the most--the key measure is that the chargeback was--was developed against the background of a large HRA. A large backlog of HRA fair hearings because so many

2.2

Commissioner.

hearings are being requested, and we have been able to essentially eliminate the backlog. And that's been a focus on the pending cases, and also a focus on avoiding unnecessary case closings. And other actions that only would have resulted in hearings, which would have been reversed by the State and then subjected us to the penalty. So I think the key metric is where do we stand in terms of the backlog? And we have essentially eliminated the backlog.

There were 70,000 pending cases when I became the

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: 70,000 pending, and this point there's no pending cases?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: It's--it's almost to zero. Obviously, cases keep coming in, and a certain amount builds up. There are never going to be no cases pending, but that was a large backlog of pending cases that were reflect of a substantial number of hearings that were being requested.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is there--has there been a change in the percentage of fair hearing cases that HRA is--or that the City is winning? Because I know in the past it was 90% of fair hearing cases HRA was losing, and the City was losing. And obviously

that—that goes into I think the State's reasoning for the chargeback is that—is that—I mean I'm assuming that if—if the number of unnecessary cases comes down dramatically, then the percentage of cases that the City would win would—would increase. Is that faulty reasoning?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, I don't think
I want to answer the last question? [laughs] It's
not as linear as that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: I think the primary

motivation in the change—in that change to State Law was that there was a backlog that reflected too many hearings. And then—and then on top of it that the HRA was losing a lot of hearings. The primary focus of our work over the last 11 months has been to prevent a backlog from developing, address the pending cases and put processes in place to address unnecessary hearings. We haven't been focused on the metric of how we're doing in the ones that actually end up being held, But that will eventually be a metric that will be relevant because it will reflect how many—how many hearings we've been able to eliminate from even being requested.

- 1	

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: It does have a fiscal impact, though, because of the State chargeback. Is the--is the state instituting a chargeback at this time?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Through the first three-quarters of the year, we were able to meet the baseline to not have the chargeback.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Got it. So that's good. Very good.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: But as you eliminate the backlog, the ability to avoid the chargeback given the way the statute is written becomes more limited.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: The statute was--was written to address a backlog, but then when you have no backlog, your ability to escape is--is difficult if not impossible.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The PMMR states that in the first four months of Fiscal 15, 97.4% of families that are—individuals and families that are at imminent risk of homelessness were diverted. Do you have a raw number of the number of individuals or

target for how many that you had estimated at the -- at

now for the 30% rent cap, and is this--is this on

the outset?

as I said, received the retroactive payment, but, you know, the questions is what--where do you draw the line from the outset. The outset was at the point in time which we--there wasn't a statute that finally passed. And the statute that passes is the one we're implementing. So, the number of people who are receiving the benefit is in line with that statute says. As opposed to earlier versions there were different projections about what the statute might

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: How is HRA measuring the impact of that—that change? What metrics are you using?

say or might not say. So there were early

projections that reflected that.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: We're looking at the numbers of people, for example, we can move out of the--of shelters or transitional housing. And, that's an ongoing effort that we're looking at.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Any other measures that you're able to identify?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, that's--that's sort of the primary one. People that have come to. How many can we--how many people were we able to move out? It is also, you know, the other metric of how many people come to us who are able to stay in their homes because they didn't come to us to begin with. But that one is much more focused on somebody's got a They want us to provide the 30%, and we wouldn't have been able to do it before. And so those people would have been likely to lose their home. It's sort of a reverse metric. So it's a metric that exists because the statute exists and we are able to provide them the benefit. Without the statute, without the benefit that's a very large number of people who would have been at risk of becoming homeless.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Do you have an opinion on--on the issue of expanding HASA to everybody living with HIV and AIDS?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, I think that there's a task force in Albany that's focused on implementing the goal of ending the epidemic by 2020. And we are, you know, as anxious as any entity or any person to see what those recommendations are, what

they're going to mean for our clients, and what they're going to mean for the agency. So, our focus is really on what's the recommendations going to be ultimately from our task force, and what—what does that mean for our clients in the city. Since most of the cases are in the city, the recommendations could be very helpful to us in terms of providing client services.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: In terms of the HASA
Budget, there's decrease in the Preliminary Budget
of \$330,000 from the Adopted Budget in '15. That can
be attributed to one-time Council funding. Is thereis there a plan to address--making--your making
that--that budget whole to the Executive Budget or
how would you actually approach that?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: It's like all of the budget processes between the agencies and the Council were always interested in conversations about programs that—are programs that should be run as demonstrations that could ten become baselined and they would be subject to our fees. The particular dollars here are a number of very limited types of spending. But we would be happy to talk with you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

about any particular programs that you think we should be running as demonstration programs.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And there was a-there's a--the HASA Running Management RFP. Can you
give us a status update. I know that that RFP is
supposed to be going out as we speak. Is that--is
that out yet?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Right, there are--

[background comment]

there's a soon to be released Employment RFP. We run a demonstration program with GMHC. We thought that it really demonstrated that there was a need for programs, and so we'll be issuing a program for that. And that's in part of the baseline. The Financial Management Program I know predates me by a number of years. It had been funded at a particular level in FY11, and as I understand it the thought of the agency was that some of the services could be claimed through the claimant process through Federal and State government. It turned out not to be the case. And so the dollars are at the level that they're at now. And, you know, it will certainly be part of any analysis that we're going to do about what--what should develop over that program.

\_

2.2

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, and then just the final two questions. With regard to emergency food programs, first off, the--in light of SNAP benefit cuts on the federal level, we are seeing an increased demand at pantries across the city. How does HRA plan to address that issue within the Emergency Food Networks?

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, one of the issues we want to see is how is the--you know, how does the State budget turn on these issues since there are dollars at play in the State Budget. And that will be factored into our analysis in conversation with the development of the Executive Budget.

that we heard from our network of pantry providers is a preference. There's two distinct models that emergency food pantry programs are funded through.

One is a Council—the inclusion of the Council funded model for one-half the year, and one-half is baselined at HRA. And as a result of the November 2013 baselining, it appears that for the Council portion of—of the pantry program it cannot continue to operate in that same way. And during Council

side, it was--there is a model in which the food bank

did the purchasing, and pantries were able to pick

and choose what they wanted buy from the food bank

itself. And that doesn't exist under the baseline

6 model. How do you want to approach that issue as we

7 look forward to the potentially a single approach in

8 FY16?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Well, in the approach to the Preliminary Budget and now soon to the Executive Budget, we've had a series of meetings with providers about the kosher provider about the particular issues that were once that we could address in terms of purchasing and providing of kosher food. And meetings with the whole community-representatives of the whole community of food providers. And that has been a good exchange, and there is some additional information that we are awaiting. And that will be part of our analysis to see whether we can make any changes in what has been a traditional model. And it really stems from a -- the ability to purchase more with the dollars in effect through the City purchasing program. But having said that, we had some productive meetings with providers. And we're anxious to see the information analysis

2 that we'll be getting to see if that gives us any

ability to make any changes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Well, Commissioner, thank you very much for your time. I thank your entire staff. I thank you for your patience. Our hearing is running a little bit late today, but I think as I said before, this is remarkably, impressive document here. We're going to have to go through it, and fully digest it over the next couple of weeks. But this has been remarkable changes. I think that this is on our end a real appreciation for the work that you've done so far. And the gusto with which you are doing it. So, thank you and all of your staff, and we look forward to seeing you again in may at the Executive Budget Hearing.

COMMISSIONER BANKS: Thank you very much for your compliments for the great work of our staff, and we appreciate your support and advice frankly as we go forward, and as you have in the past. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you Commissioner. So I want to thank the public for your patience. We're going to take a 10-minute break, and resume with public testimony. Thank you.

[pause, background comments]

CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen, be advised if you'd like to testify during the public portion, make sure you see the Sergeant-at-Arms and fill out a witness slip. Thank you.

[pause, background comments]

SERGEANT-A-ARMS: Ladies and gentlemen, can I have your attention please. Please find your seats and turn your cell phones into vibrate or into the sound positions. We are ready go.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Hi everybody.

Welcome back. If you had taken a break, and thank for staying if you had stayed through all of the agency testimony. So, the time is currently 5:23. Thank you very much for your patience. I know this has been a long day for a lot of us. We are eager to hear your testimony. That being said, there are very many people that have signed up to testify. I think we have over 40 people. So, yeah, to keep this, you know, to keep it— You know if we want to get out of here before 9 o'clock tonight, then I think we're going to—we're going to keep it to two minutes per—per speaker. If you could adhere to that as much as

possible, we would greatly appreciate it as would all

of the people that are scheduled to speak after you.

So we thank you very much for your patience. This is

Administration. And we thank them for their candid

this is the part of hearing that we get to hear from-

-from those of you that know what's going on, on the

the DHS shelters. In the programs and the childcare

programs working with parents and children as part of

the preventive and protective services system, and

hearing what you have to say. So with that, we are

the foster care system. And we look forward to

ground that are in the centers, the HRA centers in

great. A very exciting day with a lot of new

testimony, for answering all of our question.

information from the three agencies in the

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[pause]

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Representatives from our Labor Unions David Keye from Local 372; Trina

Pryor from Local 372; Jeremy Hoffman from UFT. If there is anyone else here who is representing a labor union, you can please come to the front table as well.

going to call up our first panel here, and--

3

4

5

6

-

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Actually, I want to also take this opportunity to thank Regina Padera-Ryan[sp?], who is here as our Deputy Finance Director overseeing our agencies. Thank you, Regina for doing such a great job.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sorry, Regina. I mispronounced your name, Pareda-Ryan. Better.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You can go ahead.

DAVID KEYE: Good afternoon General

Welfare Committee Chair Levin and Finance Committee

Ferreras, and distinguished members of this

committee. My name is David Keye, and I am the

Secretary-Treasurer here on behalf of DC 37 Local

372. However, I am here to testify under my capacity

as the Panel Coordinator in District 79. I would

like to thank you for the opportunity to provide

testimony on the Mayor's Proposed Budget for 2016.

Local 372 represents close 23,000 New York City

Department of Education employees. Our members are

dedicated and hard-working support staff in New York

City schools. They are school crossing guards,

school aids, health aids, substance abuse and

prevention and intervention specials, panel professionals, panel coordinators, annual [sic] lunch employees, and hourly lunch employees. These are some of the lowest paid municipal workers making less than \$15 an hour and are working less than five hours a day. With the cost of living continuously rising, housing has become a major hardship for our members. Low wages, too few hours, loss of spousal income, and the rising cost of housing are what our member struggle with in the city working poor.

Every week our office receive members who live in shelter or staying with family members, and other are sleeping on the subways while they are reporting to work each day in the New York City School System. They come to our office, the city's working poor, to ask for help to keep them in their homes. They city's lack of affordable housing with the rising cost of rent, and their low wages are causing our members to become homeless. According to the Coordination for the Homeless, homelessness in New York City has reached its highest level--Go on?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Summarize, please.

DAVID KEYE: Okay. Summarize. Okay.

2.

That's going to be in there. Okay. Okay, well, I'll give you an example. It is unfair to uproot these hard-working municipal employees who are doing their best to get back on their feet. We have members in School District 27 in Queens, a family of powerful professionals, cooking school aids who are homeless.

However, they are making every effort to make it to work everyday if it means sleeping on the trains in an effort to hold onto their jobs. All right.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much DAVID KEYE: You welcome.

Hi. Good evening. My name is Jeremy
Hoffman. I'm the Director of Childcare Policy at the
United Federation of Teachers. We represent the
city's nearly 20,000 home-based family childcare
providers. [coughs] I'm going to summarize very
quickly. You have the written testimony. I'm going
to skip the platitudes, but Council Member and Chair,
you're doing an amazing job of keeping track of all
this information today. Real briefly what I wanted
to say is I think we need to really begin to focus on
childcare policy in the City of New York on the
entirety of early education and care, and be equally

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

respectful of all the different modalities and setting where parents choose to send their children. Whether it be a childcare center. Whether it be a public school Pre-K program or whether it be family childcare. Those all exist jointly, and a parent who has a child in a public school or a CBO based pre-K program also very likely have a two-year-old child in childcare, and has that child quite likely enrolled in family childcare. So when think about moving the sector forward, and you think about developing policies that are family friendly and help parents in their multitude of needs, we need to be building up both systems concurrently. Not building up the investment in childcare concurrent to our increasing investment in Pre-K is only doing a disservice to both achieving the educational child development goals of Pre-K as well as meeting the needs of working families. Since 2006--fiscal year 2006, there were 23,000 less children enrolled in family childcare. The system as a whole in childcare is about 16,000 children smaller than it was in FY06. This is a problem. We know that 27% of income eligible families qualify for childcare. We need to be doing more to build out that funding. We need to

be doing more to work jointly and align programs to really need and facilitate the needs of families.

There's a lot of information in here that you can review in your own time. [coughs] The one final issue that I'll try to mention in five, four, three seconds is—

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] I'm going to actually add a minute for everybody so keep going. [bell]

JEREMY HOFFMAN: --is the issue, and you raised in the hearing earlier today, and I appreciate you doing this. The issue of the market rate that networks do not pay--currently are not paying the family childcare providers, which is documented, which is understood. It's been articulated to various levels of City and State government is of critical, critical importance. And I appreciate and have a tremendous amount of respect and sympathy and stand solid with my brothers and sisters in 1707 and CSA who are dealing with the salary parity or lack therefore for the three and four-year-old instructors, a lack of parity in the public schools. And these are all problems I think we understand we need to fix for the sake of the health of the system.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

That lack of salary parity for an independent family childcare versus a childcare provider who's affiliated with a network, is as important to the overall health of the system. An increasing share of infant and toddlers, children under the age of three seek and get to a home-based setting. If we do not figure out how to deal with the complexities of the economic and financial restructuring of early care and education and really deal with these issues of lack of parity in salary and rates that insufficient. And everything you've spent a tremendous amount of time talking about today, ultimately, if we don't fix that we're going to create a crisis and inability of families to access childcare. The programs need whether it be a center or a home-based program, needs to be financially viable. And I think we need to begin to proceed and deal and address these issues. We can see that it warrants not so much for the sake of our members. It's really about maintaining access and content of important childcare services that improve later academic learning and help families find, seek, and maintain employment.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sir, you can, if you want to go for another minute, you're welcome. 25

2.2

DAVID KEYE: Okay. In closing, I want to draw your attention to the desperation, homelessness, low wages and hardship Local 372 many endure. Yet, they continue work everyday with pride and dedication to their job where it is to protect and serve and feed over 1.1 million school children in New York City. Affordable housing for our members should not be viewed as a luxury, but it's a human right issue that we must address, not next week or next year but right now. We at Local 372 stand ready to join hands and minds to help remove the homelessness and the lack of affordable housing experienced by our members and the city. Thank you.

I appreciate very much both of your testimony for the work that your members do. It's the life blood of the entire system, and without their contribution, I think our system would not function. So we thank you very much for your patience, and for your testimony. I very much appreciate your being here today. Thank you.

DAVID KEYE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Next panel Sandra

Killet [sp?] from Child Welfare Organizing Projects;

few days is the issue has come up about directly

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these sites. And that the leases aren't being negotiated, and we're at risk of losing centers where we might want to keep children, and we just had one close last week. So I feel like this is an issue we really need to work on, and make sure we don't lose actual sites because once they're gone, they going to be gone especially in some of the communities where they're located.

On child welfare, I appreciate you reading into the record the Child and Family Service Review findings where New York has essentially failed almost all of the federal measures. And particularly as they relate to permanency, and how long children stay in foster care. I also think that the new data that's applied is part of the last 46, 48 and 49 show that youth in care struggling. And that we need to do much more to help them find families and achieve permanency in the system. We were intrigued to hear the Commissioner talk more about her new program, a resident one-year home, but it's the next version of one-year home, but getting home. And we just urge the Administration and ACS to be more transparent and collaborative about what they're doing in child welfare so we can have more information about the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 status. And also lend our expertise where appropriate. We also once again urge the city to 3 consider investing in primary preventive services so 4 5 that they can target programs and services in places where children and families are at risk before 6 7 opening a case. When the Commissioner was asked about the McDonald's case, she talked about it like 8 ACS couldn't be involved unless the case had been 9 called in or the child had been arrested and gone to 10 detention. But there are services that could have 11 12 been out in the community engaging those young people and no warrant. [sic] And they could have been 13

without having a case open. We also think we need

more services to prevent youth from aging out, and

also to help those who do.

With regard to DHS, I just want to say we are very pleased to hear about the upcoming plans for LINC VI. We think that this reflects the reality of many families who leave shelter, and live with friends and relatives. The other issue, though, is that while we are pleased that DHS is investing money into inspections and repairs for the 25 sites that were in the DOI Report, there are many, many more sites. And we would want them to be investigated or

2.

3

4

5

\_

6

7

8

9

10

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

24

25

at least inspected. [bell] And then any additional money put into the Capital Budget for the rest of Tier 2 and cluster site shelters as well. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you and we have your entire testimony?

STEPHANIE GENDELL: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. Thank you, Stephanie.

SARAH FAJARDO: Good evening. My name is Sarah Fajardo and I'm a Child Welfare Policy Coordinator for the Coalition for Asian-American Children and Families. CAACF has been working the fields of health, education and child welfare for the last 30 years, and we work with the Pan-Asian community of New York City. We have over Asian led and serving members that work directly with our community members. APA is by personage the fastest growing community in New York City. Over 70% of Asian-Americans in New York are foreign born. have the highest rates of linguistic isolation, and the highest rates of poverty in the city. These are huge barriers to accessing services, and we encourage ACS to consider a number of recommendations to improve access to services for our community members.

The first recommendation is to increase education and outreach to the Asian-Pacific American community

4 about the services and programs available.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We encourage ACS to develop a public education campaign utilizing multiple languages. Our community members speak over 40 languages and dialogues. It's very hard to outreach. We're happy to help as a member organization. We have a number of partners in the community. So the second recommendation is to increase capacity in partnerships with member organizations that serve community members. We also encourage ACS to provide linguistically accessible child welfare services in language, and to ensure that cultural competency trainings include Asian-Pacific American cultural information and perspectives. We're really pleased to see that ACS is proposing a budget allocation for cultural sensitivity training for the coming year, and we encourage that these trainings are inclusive of all of New York's extremely diverse community members. And finally, we'd like to thank you for your support of a City Council bill to disaggregate data collected by ACS, and this is just really an extremely important way for ACS to target services

effectively, and to get community members the

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

3 resources that they really need. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

GREGORY BENDER: Hi. I'm Gregory Bender. I'm from United Neighborhood Houses. We are New York City's federation of settlement houses and community center. I'll also submit the whole testimony with everything, but I just wanted to talk a tiny bit on the issues around salary parity and benefits parity for the Early Childhood workforce. Our member agencies have been really excited to be part of the historic expansion of Pre-K, but understand that to truly take aim at equality -- at inequality, we really need to address the comprehensive system for working families, which is the ACS system. And you know that ACS has heard from you. They've heard from the task force--the task force that they've convened and they've heard from providers. And we really hope that the Administration uses its Executive Budget as an opportunity to address these long-standing issues of instability and inequality with the Early Childhood system. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. And thank you very much to this panel. On behalf of the entire

organizations for -- for a long time. So we appreciate

346

3

1

2

4

5

it.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

SARAH FAJARDO: Thank you.

the collaboration that we've had with your

[pause]

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, next panel, Gretchen Bedell from You've Got to Believe; Sharif Griggs from Foster Care Alumnni; Anthony Turner, You've Got to Believe; Jessica Marcus, Brooklyn Defenders; Valerie Lynch and Amy Ellenbogen.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, whoever wants to begin can go ahead.

SHARIF GRIGGS: Hi, my name is Sharif I'm an alumni of foster care. I aged out at 21 and I came in at 13. My life is good because I had--was offered the chance of permanency prior to aging out of foster care. But I have here a letter from someone, one of my colleagues who did not have that option, and I'm just going to read a little bit of her letter to you guys. Dear Chairman Levin and distinguished members of the committee. I am speaking to you today in hopes that you can assist

older youth in care just like me who in just two weeks are about to lose a critical resource that helps us get forever homes, families, love and respect, You've Got to Believe. I entered foster care at age 15 due to a PINS warrant. My mother said she had washed her hands of me, and before I knew it, I was immediately placed in foster care. I'm still in foster care to this day and pending exception to policy. For the seven years that I have been foster care, no caseworker has ever told me that I had the possibility of having a forever family or an actual parent who makes me feel safe and wanted forever.

I first got connected with You've Got to Believe at an award ceremony at ACS. I was there with a non-profit organization called Voices
Unbroken. I remember the staff from You've Got to Believe being so welcoming and embracing. One of them, a woman who already had provided forever homes to several foster children, wore her heart on her sleeve, and I would never know how much of an impact she would have on my life today. If it had not been for her and a few other staff at You've Got to Believe, I wouldn't have two dedicated women willing to love and be fully committed to me. I also

2

4

J

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wouldn't have a group of adults that I look to as mentors that were also there to mold me into the young lady I've grown into. It waters my eyes to just learned that the Administration of Children's Services is stopping work with You've Got to Believe and other agencies that have worked to find loving forever homes to older youth in foster care throughout New York City.

This could only happen at a worst time when thousands of youth, such as myself are about to age out of foster care right on New York City streets with no permanent family as a safety net. I've also learned that ACS might possibly reconsider working with older adoption agencies some time in the near future. But by this time, it will be too late. Because from this day on there will be another child that goes hungry. Another child that struggles in the streets, and another child that goes homeless, and is denied their right to a forever family. How can we continuously see members of the homeless foster youth grow? It hurts to see my fellow peers panhandle money on the train just to eat. My last dollars goes to them every time. Please ask ACS to reconsider cutting off older youth in care from

forever homes that they so deserve. Please ask them to extend the YGB contract. Young lives will be even more and more at risk each day. No one should be alone, nor should they age out alone.

ANTHONY TURNER: Good afternoon, committee members, I'm Anthony Turner.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much. thank you for your testimony.

ANTHONY TURNER: So my name is Anthony

SHARIF GRIGGS: Thank you.

Turner, and I'm a Peer Specialist You've Got to
Believe, and I'm just going to be speaking on behalf
of one of my colleagues as well. Her name is Bizanne
Gulley. [sp?] So I'm here to-- No. I entered foster
care at age 16 due to unspeakable abuse inflicted
upon me by my parents. I was immediately placed in
care, and remained there until I aged out at the age
of 21 last year in September. Through my own
perseverance, tenacity, and optimism I began college
at 17 at Mercy College. And, I'm now just two
semesters away from graduating. I was merely given
independent living--independent living training with
the hopes that simple--that simple gesture would aid
me on a young adult living on my own without a safety

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

net in this world. But last August, just one month before I aged out it was my therapist who told that I was not too old to get a parent.

My therapist learned that I could have a parent regardless of my age because she wants to adopt a foster child, and began attending training classes to get certified at YGB, You've Got to She learned through her training that Believe. You've Got to Believe not just parents with youth at any age. Once I learned this from the therapist, not any New York City caseworker, I quickly contacted YGB on my own. Shortly thereafter YGB contacted Genise Huff and had me appear on Wednesday's Child. From that appearance, and my continuing relationship with YGB, I not only had a prospective family, but also met other adults who are now serving as resources to alleviate the feeling of isolation aged-out youth have once they are removed from the system. very short time I had been in contact with YGB, my life has substantially changed, which is why I'm writing to you now.

Although I am in college and working as a home health aid and trying to keep my head above water, I also know that I am one step away from

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

homelessness, and public assistance dependency. Because if I lose my job, I would have no one to help me. But it is with YGB, and now have--and now having prospective parents for the rest of my life and other YGB adults who care about me. That's more than I had just a mere seven months ago. I just learned that ACS is also saying that maybe they will possibly reconsider working with older adoption agencies one day in the future. That is too late because every day until then there will be thousands of fellow foster youth who will be denied the opportunity of a forever home. And, unfortunately, I am all too familiar with where they may end up, back in the system as a statistic. And before I just conclude, I want to leave you guys with a quote-- I'm sorry. Okay. Yeah. "Everyone needs a -- everyone needs a house to live in, but a supportive family is what

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much for your testimony.

builds a home." Thank you.

VALERIE LYNCH: Good evening. My name is Valerie Lynch. thank you for having me today. I'm nervous.

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Don't worry about it. 3 You're doing good.

VALERIE LYNCH: I entered foster care at 4 the age of 13 years old because my adopted mom would 5 abuse me. Until one day her friend called ACS on 6 7 her, and they one night to take me away. I then remained in care from age 13 to 21 moving through 12 8 different fosters homes in many different boroughs of 9 New York. The only goal that I saw for myself was 10 independent living because returning to family was 11 12 the only option that they offered me, and it didn't feel safe of comfortable enough for me to stay there. 13 For as long--for as long as I was in care, no worker 14 15 had ever explained the possibility of having a 16 forever home, actual loving parents, a safe environment to put my head down for the rest of my 17 18 life. Like around those that I knew that they truly cared or loved me. Not for who they wanted their 19 20 ideal child to be. I was merely given independent living training, and I believed that I didn't need 21 2.2 anyone to help me, nor did I want any help, After 23 going through what I had been through, I thought that I could do it all by myself and I would. Even now as 24 25 a young adult trying and wanting to live on my own,

without understanding the needs of some sort of
safety shield in this world. That someone, some
family out there could take me, care and give me what
I needed which was more than just \$40 or \$80 that
like they give us a month or that I receive for an
allowance. And a family who would make me feel that
I was saying at That they wouldn't make me feel
like I was staying at a temporary hotel, who would
keep remind me every day That wouldn't keep
reminding me everyday that I was part of the system.
Who actually showed me affection and treated me like
I was their own child, I was human. Instead, I
wounded up aging out of foster care, and in 2013 I
was often hopping from one friend's house to another
struggling to find a job of my own, and making sure
wouldn't go hungry or wind up wandering on the
streets with nowhere to stay all the while [crying]
I can'tI can't, but hank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Keep going. You're doing good.

VALERIE LYNCH: [crying] All the while waiting for the Housing Department-- I can't.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Just take a second.

2.2

VALERIE LYNCH: --to call me and tell me that I would finally going to get to move into my own apartment. Not until the end of January of this year did I finally get notified that I was able to move in. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. You can take more time if you want. That's fine.

VALERIE LYNCH: I'm fine.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much for your testimony, and thank you for your courage in coming out and telling your story. And for speaking out on behalf of many that—that will benefit from this. So you're being very brave in taking a lot of responsibility for this. Thank you for being here.

VALERIE LYNCH: Thank you.

[pause, background comments]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You have to--you have to speak into the microphone.

JESSICA MARCUS: Thank you. My name is Jessica Marcus. I am from the Brooklyn Defender Services, Family Defense Practice, and thank you so much for this opportunity to address you today.

Brooklyn Defender Services is the largest Brooklyn based legal service provider representing 40,000--

45,000 low-income Brooklyn residents each year who
are arrested, charged with abuse or neglect of their
children or face deportation. And our Family Defense
Practice, the FDP, is assigned to represent parents,
and caregivers in 1,000 new child welfare cases each
year. That's the majority of the respondents in
Brooklyn Family Court. Using an interdisciplinary
model, the FDP has advocated for the safe return of
over 6,000 children to their families. And over 90%
of the child protective cases filed by the
Administration for Children's Services are based on
allegations of neglect and not abuse. And the
majority of theses cases are caused by or exacerbated
by the family's poverty. Most of these cases
represent a failure of the city's safety net system
for poor children and families. Public and other
subsidized housing, public assistance, healthcare,
mental healthcare systems to truly support families
in need. The City Council, ACS and the Department of
Homeless Services should address the barriers that
exclude families who need these services. Which
would result in fewer families entering the Child
Welfare System, and save the city's scarce dollars.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'm just going to briefly mention my recommendations, which are elaborated on in the testimony, entered in testimony. First, we strong support the idea that increased training for ACS case handlers and supervisors is a critical piece of an overall strategy for improving case work practice. But we believe that in order to create a training program that actually achieves significant change in culture, ACS should be partnering with communitybased groups, parents, children and advocates for parents and children to better understand the problems faced in case work practice. Which go far beyond the tragic fatalities that we hear about in the press. If the city invests substantial funding in ACS' Enhanced Training Program, a key metric for success must be public accountability to those most impacted by the child welfare system.

We also firmly believe that case workers-ACS caseworkers and supervisors need to be trained to help our clients navigate the systems that they have to interact with on a daily basis. Including the shelter system, public assistance, SSI, the Office for People with Developmental Disabilities, Medicaid and mental healthcare systems. And should

be better trained on the rights of people with disabilities and mental health problems.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We are grateful that in 2013, ACS promulgated principles to inform Child Welfare decision making regarding mental health issues, but we continue to see many problems with ACS' interaction with families who experience mental health problems, particularly improper reliance on mental health [bell] assessments, which don't meet the minimum professional standards. And don't provide useful information about parenting abilities. And are then relied on inappropriately to mandate treatment and make decisions about families being separated. We also are concerned about ACS not being properly trained to work with people with intellectual disabilities. There's a very large number of parents who have cognitive delays. ACS should not be filing neglect cases against these families because of their disabilities. But, should be getting them the proper services through the agencies that have expertise in working with parents with disabilities. And ACS workers should be better trained in working with parents with disabilities effectively. And also in assisting them in accessing

2.2

the proper services through the Office for People
With Developmental Disabilities. We strong believe
that ACS needs to re-examine its focus on parents
simply because of marijuana use. As a separate
recommendation that cases should not be brought
solely for marijuana use. Marijuana possession is
now legal in New York, but ACS still prosecutes
parents solely for using marijuana, even if there is
no evidence that they are under the influence while
caring for their children. And this practice also
leads to children staying in foster care for longer
because sometimes the only thing preventing children
from coming home from foster care is that they are
testing positive for marijuana. Even though there is

their children. We believe--

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Please summarize.

no evidence that they've used in the presence of

JESSICA MARCUS: Okay. ACS should stop illegally removing children without coming to court first. That's an issue that we've been working on with them since 2010, and we strongly believe that ACS and the Department of Homeless Services need to better--work together better to prevent the situation

2.2

that we see very often, which is ACS telling families that they have to go into shelter. And then the Department of Homeless Services telling families that they're eligible for shelter, and should go back to where ACS told them that they couldn't live because it was dangerous for their children. And that obviously is a situation where two city agencies are shirking their legal responsibilities to families to provide services to prevent children from going into foster care. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

GRETCHEN BEDELL: Hi. I'm Gretchen

Bedell, and I am a foster parent through You've Got
to Believe. And I'm her to ask you to believe help
us continue that funding because permanency is what
makes a difference for the kids who are in care.

There's been a lot of talk about homelessness today,
and how to address that. One thousand teens age out
every year in New York City, age out of care.

Twenty-five percent of them experience homelessness.
That's an enormous statistic, and in some cities 80%
of incarcerated people came up through the city-through the system. 80% of incarcerated people.

When you give kids stability, you prevent

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 homelessness. You prevent crime. You prevent 3 incarceration, and there's the moral answer and

4 there's the financial answer in giving them

5 permanency. You've Got to Believe focuses on

6 permanency not just until a kids is 21, and that is

7 homelessness prevention. A lot of foster parents

8 foster because they need the money, and we're

9 grateful for them.

But, when a kid hits 21, they need that kid out so that they can put another kid and get the funding that they need. That's what make You've Got to Believe families different. They recruit families who want families, who want permanency, who want forever. And, I'm a foster parent. I have four teens that I am a forever resource for. Fifty percent of foster parents quit within the first year. Fifty percent because they don't get the support they need. You know, the kids need the support. The way to get the kids the support is to support the foster You've Got to Believe staff is made up of parents. foster parents. They're the ones who understand what it's like to have a kid in a home. Caseworkers have a huge job, and an important job, but when you need advice about how to handle a situation you've

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

never been faced with before, you need someone who's been there. And that's what You've Got to Believe provides.

The only way to have a successful permanent long-term placement is to have the kind of support that foster parents need. I will tell you I have four kids. I would have failed. My husband and I would not be foster parents today were it not for the support that You've Got to Believe has given us. And that's not true just for me. That's true with any. And, you know, I understand that there's a concern about You've Got to Believe recruitment numbers. And I would sit here and say, yeah, their numbers probably are lower than other agencies. And the reason is because it's a lot harder to find someone up to step up for permanency than it is for temporary. That's a very different recruitment process. [bell] This isn't--this isn't just about getting kids into a home. This is about getting them into permanent homes so that they're not carted around from home to home. Some of my kids have been 17 homes. Seventeen homes.

We've got to focus on the permanent ones, the ones who want to be there forever. Not the ones

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

who give up because they don't have the support they need. And it's hard being a foster parent. tell your right now it's hard. You've got to have support. So, you know, for every dollar spent on agencies that find adoptive forever homes, about \$60,000 is saved every year on those kids. Three of the kids I have came from residences. Those are the highest level of care. And so, the savings by bringing them into my home is huge for the City, you know. And, now you have a productive member of society who's--who's able to have the love and support needed to go out and get a job, and to have a healthy family themselves. As opposed to a teen pregnancy where that kid ends up in care as well. It's a vicious cycle. Permanency is the way to go. That's the way to change the cycle. It's the way to address--address homelessness and crime and drug abuse and teen pregnancy.

There are so many things that permanency addresses. And the money--because I know you've got to deal with the money--the money that is saved is enormous because this is about ever single year of that--that person's live not just when they're in care. So I'm asking you to please continue to fund

25

You've Got to Believe. They're the only agency—
I've been at CASA and I've been a foster parent.

I've dealt with a lot of agencies. [bell] They're
working really hard. No one does this. No one
focuses on permanency. We can't start looking at
temporary again, and give up on permanency. It's the
answer. It's what will turn things around. So,
please, please talk to the Commissioner Carrion, and
please ask her to continue to fund You've Got to
Believe.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

GRETCHEN BEDELL: Thank you.

AMY ELLENBOGEN: Good evening. My name is Amy Ellengbogen and I'm a Project Director of the Crown Heights Community Mediation Center, which is a project of the Center for Court Innovation. I'm here today to urge you to support the Center for Court Innovation's groundbreaking efforts to promote and expand the use of community-based alternatives to incarceration. Divert young out of the criminal juvenile Justice Systems, improve services and outcomes for victims of crimes, and increase equal access to justice for vulnerable New Yorkers. The Center for Court Innovation has a deep commitment to

2.2

vocational success.

improving outcomes for young people impacted by the Justice System. Working with more than 2,300 youth each year, our alternative to detention programs includes Staten Island, Harlem, Red Hook and Brownsville serve to critical off-ramps for the Justice System for young people. They also serve as vibrant neighborhood resource centers helping youth build core skills and competencies; promoting accountability; offering support and encouragement. Nurturing positive connections to family and community, sparking civic engagement and offering participants new pathways that lead away from system involvement and towards academic social and

Our Youth Futures programs offer wraparound case coordination for Justice involved young people who need mental health services.

Programs like Make it Happen in Crown Heights,

Brooklyn provide culturally appropriate counseling and strengths focused support to help young men with color manage trauma arising from violence they may have experienced in their neighborhood. And all of our Youth and Community Justice Centers engage participants in community benefits projects that

combine service work with education. Training kids to be leaders and promoting a lasting investment in overall community wellbeing.

works hard to improve the lives of women and youth trapped in the world of prostitution and trafficking. In 2013, New York State Chief Judge Jonathan Whitman announced the launch of New York State Court's Human Trafficking Intervention Initiative to ensure that individuals caught in the cycle of exploitation and trafficking are treated as victims and not as criminals. Instead of jail time, Center for Court Innovation clinicians identify and address each person's complex needs and shape a plan to stop the cycle of new arrests and new victimization.

The Center also helps children involved in sex trafficking. A recent study by John Jay and the Center found that there are approximately 4,000 commercially sexually exploited children ages 18 and younger in New York City. In response, we are testing a new initiative in Family Court called Creating Change for Children, which works to promote ongoing identification strategies among legal stakeholders; sustain ongoing training of judges and

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

legal staff; increase services for exploited child victims; and successfully engage young people in services that can help them gain a foothold on a safer and healthier future. You can read the rest of my testimony.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much for your testimony, and I thank this panel for your testimony, and I thank this panel for all of your important work and advocacy. I appreciate you very much staying until 6:00 p.m. to deliver your testimony. I know it's been a long day, but we really appreciate you taking the time, and we commit to you that we will take all appropriate action in working with you to ensure that there is a continuation of services. Because this is a vital need, and it needs to continue to be funded. So, thank you very much for your time. Thank you. Next panel, Christy Parque from Homeless Services United; Jeff Foreman, Care for the Homeless; Jeremy Reese and Raysa Rodriguez from Coalition Bronx Works Can by Henry Street Settlement. Richard Lewis, Community Board 12, and Kisha Shek-Umono Veras [sp?] from the Electric Amp and All Homeless People.

Foreman submitted testimony. He had to leave so--

[pause]

this panel. Whoever wants to begin.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And my apologies to

CHRIS PARQUE: Hi, I just think that Jeff

CHRIS PARQUE: As I was saying, Jeff
Foreman, my colleague and member from Care for the
Homeless I believe he submitted testimony. So he
really wanted to be here, so his apologies for not
being able to stay. My name is Chris Parque. I'm
the Executive Director of Homeless Services United,
which is the Coalition of the New York City NonProfit Homeless Service Programs. You've heard me
testify just recently—as recently as a month ago
about some of our feedback on the great work that the
de Blasio Administration and also with HRA and HRS
has done. You can refer to that testimony regarding
things on LINC, and other great innovations at HRA.

So today, I just want to focus on the financial starvation of non-profit agencies, and the program they operate as well as the staff that serve our homeless brothers and sisters in New York City. In regard to the DOI Investigation, we welcome any opportunity to increase the quality of the programs

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

homelessness.

that we operate, and increase the quality of the buildings that we operate them in. So, I want to focus just on the last page of our testimony, but just by stating that, we know that homelessness is one of the most complex and tragic manifestations of poverty, but it is solvable. The solution requires facilities that can provide a stabilizing environment. The solution also requires staff that can provide the support and caring that helps clients tap into their own unique strengths and identify a path to a permanent home. The solution also requires staff that can understand and adapt to the complex and rapidly changing systems, and rules involving health, housing, and other public benefits. And the solution requires an administrative infrastructure that provides the support that any program needs to succeed. By failing to invest or even maintain its

Just a couple of areas that I want to focus on is that we believe that in order to serve our clients we need to have as many services that are

low levels of support, which includes things like

working against itself in resolving the crisis of

capacity of buildings and capital needs, the City is

2.2

as diverse as the people that we're serving. So we really want to get back to the day when New York City was providing client-centered services that means jobs, education, physical and mental health services, a robust system. I was happy to hear the Commissioner state that, that he was interested in robust services. It's been a long time since we've heard that. So I'm very excited to hear that. To that end, we're happy to support our colleagues who will be testifying after me. The last page focuses on our Call to Action for the Mayor and the City in order to invest in the non-profits that help our neighbors. So if we are truly the solution as the DOI Report made it seem, then we really need some

measures. I know there's a lot of little arrows there, and normally I like to come with three, but really it's been 20 years, and we have more than three arrows of areas that we need support on. But the most important, as we heard from DOI, is immediate budget increases for the maintenance and repair of the portfolio—the City's portfolio of shelter programs and services. And what that means

support, and we're asking for your help today.

1	AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 370	
2	is that it isn't enough just to hire folks that will	
3	look at what the needs are. We actually need to put	
4	the money in the budget to repair all those programs.	
5	Not just the 25 that DOI assessed. We also need a	
6	thorough assessment of the other programs not	
7	included in that 25. And also making sure that we're	
8	in alignment with the funds for that. [bell] We	
9	should also support DHS to include in their budgets	
10	present and any new budget. As I see, they're	
11	bringing folks under contractscost escalations	
12	related to rent, real estate taxes, transportation,	
13	utility, sewer, insurance and all other fees that we	
14	have to pay related to operating our programs. And	
15	we should also make sure that we protect shelter	
16	budgets. Should the day come and we have implemented	
17	PEGS or budget cuts again, so that we have a baseline	
18	of sufficient funding to operate our programs. And,	
19	lastly, we think it's really important to support and	
20	reward our heroes who work in the shelters every	
21	single day with appropriate salaries and benefits,	
22	and that includes we'd like to see a 10% living wage-	
23	-a 10% COLA, as well as provide for a career ladder	

and living wage for our folks who are operating and

working in these programs.

25

2.2

And the last final thing is to ensure that DHS as an agency and its partners, the non-profit partners, receive parity as far as administrative overhead. Currently, we have the lowest administrative overhead of the Human Services programs in the City, and we think that it's fair and right. Now is the time to change that, and make sure that it comes into parity with other programs. And maybe look at increasing some of the other programs because it's very low in most programs. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

RAYSA RODRIGUEZ: Hi. Good evening. My name is Raysa Rodriguez and I'm the Vice President of Policy and Planning at WIN, an organization that strives to transform the lives of women and children who are homeless. I apologize. My colleague from Henry Street Settlement, Jeremy Reese had to leave earlier, but we're here to represent a coalition of providers that includes Bronx Works, CAMBA, Henry Street Settlement, and HSU to really urge the City Council to fund a new citywide initiative. Combined, our coalition serves over 2,000 families across the city, and over 4,000 children. We already know that

2	24,000 kids in our system call shelter home often	
3	times for more than a year. And we urge there's a	
4	incredible need to fill the gap in specialized	
5	services of the needs that we see day and day out.	
6	So very simply, our request is for the City Council	
7	to consider and invest in a citywide initiative that	
8	focuses on four critical areas. The first is	
9	building resiliency of children through trauma-	
10	informed services. We know by age 12, 83% of our	
11	kids in the system have experienced some level of	
12	trauma. The second area is to invest in staff	
13	capacity around evidence-based home services to	
14	better engage clients. And it was really great to	
15	hear Commissioner Taylor today express commitment on	
16	motivational interviews, for instance. The third is	
17	to reduce recidivism. We know that families who need	
18	shelter are at risk of returning during the first two	
19	years after they find placement. So how do we	
20	support them through the appropriate comprehensive	
21	services beyond shelter. And the last component	
22	equally important is to prevent child maltreatment.	
23	We know that 25% of the kids in or system have some	
24	level of open ACS case. And there are proven methods	
25	and interventions that can prevent maltreatment. So	

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

21

20

2.2

23

24

Z 4

we look forward to working with the Council on this important new initiatives, and we hope to partner with you. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much.

KISHA SHAKAMONA VERAS: Hello. you. My name is Kisha Shakamona Veras [sp?] and I'm actually a humble homeless artist. I've lived in adult family shelter in the Bronx at 963 Prospect with my husband for over two years now. employment due to excessive appointments that DHS and the shelter was requiring us to meet. I have severe emotional problems due to the circumstances of living in poverty. I grew up in Detroit, Michigan and was homeless twice. Being in a shelter with the excessive mice, the mold, the dust, and the lack of resources to obtain food and toiletries has caused me to really be shattered. I've have to literally go on the trains to ask for help, and panhandle in the street and try to sell my artwork just to survive. \$268 a month for two adults in Food Stamps is not enough to sustain. We don't have a refrigerator. the food goes faster, and the cash assistance is not enough to maintain cleaning products, transportation.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

24

25

On my way here today I was almost arrested by the police because I had to hop the train because it's very imperative that I come here to My husband has never had breathing problems, and I am afraid of losing him. The dry heat in the shelter is overwhelming. He has rashes. I have rashes. The steam poles in the building are old and rusted, and the maintenance people spray it with some type of silver spray. They are not trying to get us out of the shelter. I've literally provided my case manger with every document they've requested for supportive housing, and not one application has been filled out on my behalf. The only application filled out that was on my behalf was when I did NYCHA over a year ago. No one is helping me. I can barely keep up with documents and papers because of my mental problems, and I went to college to avoid becoming an African-American statistic.

And I refuse to allow my education and my family heritage as native Americans to be crushed into a prison. Which in my opinion, it is a coffin waiting for someone to die. I have had to kill mice, and the only thing that they give us is a mouse trap. Which if you know nature like I know nature from a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

spiritual aspect, they know how to avoid the traps, the different traps. No one is helping us. Not with food, not with toiletries, and definitely not with transportation. I feel for the amount of money that HRA and DHS is paying to shelter landlords, they should put us in housing. We do not deserve to live in shelters that are just filthy just because we're homeless. I have been a working class American since the age of 17, and I have been denied Social Security benefits. And I cannot tell you how walls I've punched. How many holes in walls I've punched because of frustration. Because I feel like there is no hope for people like myself. Now, they have this LINC program, which I appreciate. But I'm trying desperately to find a way to work throughout my mental issues because I'm that desperate to get out of the shelter.

I refuse to sit back and watch my husband suffer another night of insomnia, and the lack of ability to breathe because of people and their greed. For \$2,573 a month I can live on 14th in Union Square. I figure I have created a program with my art and my husband performing music, and that is the only thing that I can focus on. Focusing on my art,

my music and finding a way to fight back against this

1

2

3

4

wretched system. There is a flaw in every sector of

its being. And to incriminate--to criminalize people

5 like myself because we're poor. I'm poor because

6 minimum wage is less than it was 60 years. I am

7 homeless because whoever founded this system took the

8 | land from my native American ancestors, and now the

9 majority of the people who are in poverty are either

10 African-Americans or of Native-American descent,

11 | which includes Puerto Ricans, Dominicans, Cubans and

12 | everyone else. I stand for every person in this city

13 | who has helped me, who are also homeless and want

14 change.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

If you want to change the situation, take into consideration what each individual needs. If you're going to put me in a shelter and pay the landlord \$2,000, then you should increase my welfare benefits so that I can eat properly. Instead of giving me \$268 for two people, why not give that amount for each individual? Instead of giving me \$145 every two weeks for two people, why not give that for every individual ever two weeks. And instead of making me mandate to go to a freaking—

excuse me--We Care Program, which does nothing but

_
2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

increase my mental agitation for waiting hours just to show up and give a person a person a piece of paper to show that I've been exempted from work. do not want to be in this situation any longer, and I'm asking my country, my city, my state to take a stand and not put these corporations over our needs as the people. As Carl Sandberg said, We the people make up the government. Well, I speak for the The funds are being mishandled, and the people. system who is keeping this in place should be ashamed. We are construction a non-profit organization on our talents in music and arts because that's the only thing that's helped us to keep our sanity. And we ask that you guys open up your table to our advice and our expertise as healers, natural healers. Not pharmaceutical, natural healers or creativity and sheer love. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much for your testimony. Thank you.

RICHARD LEWIS: My name is Richard Lewis, and I'm here [coughs] representing today the testimony of Community Board 12 in Manhattan on the Mayor's Fiscal Preliminary Budget for DHS and HRA.

You have our testimony. So I'll just briefly go over

2.2

it so that in the essence of time. I'm also here at the request of our Chairman George Fernandez who couldn't be here today. And so, I also serve as Chair of the Housing and Human Services Committee.

So we're happy to testify on these Preliminary Budgets. Let me state that our Community Board has not passed any resolutions yet on the Mayor's—on the New York City Preliminary Budget for Fiscal Year 2016. The is premised on some \$78 billion, but really in the four-decade history of our board, we've taken very consistent positions in our resolutions, some specific and some general, as regards to budget rankings of DHS and HRA services in our district.

So this testimony will just briefly summarize one position, which we feel is in critical need. And that is the expansion of legal services and the right—the right to legal counsel in addition to the new LINC services that we just heard today. So, those agencies in several fiscal years have had some similar programs with funds to prevent some form of homeless. We've heard about the rental assistance programs, the Homebase programs, HASA and agency legal consolidations for a variety of outsourcing with legal services contracts for anti-eviction

have been evicted from their homes. Two-thirds of those families earn less than \$25,000 a year. It

service--efforts. Yet, in 2013, some 30,000 families

costs the city \$36,000 a year for a shelter bed, and

about \$250,000 if you were to build an affordable

unit. These are-there are many other costs we just

can't calculate like days lost from school, days lost

from work stress, instability for families.

And full representation in housing costs, cost about \$2,000 to \$3,000 per case. We've heard reports that there have been long lines in Housing Courts throughout the city waiting to receive agency attorney help. And many of those are also turned away by contracted legal service organizations, and community-based organizations. Clearly, these HRO and DHA programs, although they're well-intended and provide some relief, have not been either efficient or effective in reducing unjust eviction rates. And we have no metrics on the newer programs that they have also initiated. So we believe that this litigation [sic] to prevent homeless centers stem the loss of needed affordable housing for families in our district is the right to housing court appointed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

legal counsel. And just let me briefly go over that and I'll be done.

In two successive budget cycles including this one, Community Board 12 Manhattan has recommended and ranked as the number one expense priority legal assistance and heavy legal counsel. HRA and DHS and DR--DHS can only currently handle about 10% of the case brought to Housing Court. That's very few. Attorneys of our association, judges, CBOs, electives, and tenant advocates contend that nearly half of these cases with direct legal counsel could be averted. Thus, cutting the eviction rate to a minimum or virtually in half as I said before. Since many tenants are losing their housing mainly because they could not navigate the maze of Housing Laws and Regulations or court proceedings or afford legal counsel. There is every need to level the playing field in Housing Court as tenants--as landlords are almost always represented by counsel. The right to a court-appointed tenant attorney has become a necessity in Housing. And Community Board 12 will be discussing this matter in April with the possibility of passing a resolution in support of

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: If you could summarize, I'd appreciate it.

RICHARD LEWIS: That's what I'm about to do.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay.

RICHARD LEWIS: If Into 214 is passed by City--by the City Council and signed into law by the Mayor, will there be sufficient funding on Fiscal Year 2016 to allow for this large increase for direct--for direct funding of attorneys, best practices and will this funding adequately provide for multi-lingual services. That is Community Board Manhattan's testimony, and are there any questions?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much for your testimony. I think this entire panel was very helpful and informative, and we look forward to working with everybody on -- as we move forward. This is a -- there have been strides made today, but we still have a lot of to do in the city. So we appreciate your help. Thank you.

RICHARD LEWIS: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 382 2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, so we have 3 three panels left. We appreciate everybody's patience. They're all HRA related panels. The first 4 one is around WEP and job-related programs. I would 5 like to call up Ann Valdez from Community Voices 6 7 Heard; Joseph--8 JOSEPH WIMPA: [off mic] Wimpa. CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --Wimpa, Community 9 Voices Heard; Melinda Nimmons, CVH; Michael Hentz; 10 11 and--

[pause]

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The last name Ortiz from--I don't know the first name.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And whoever would like to begin.

ANN VALDEZ: Good afternoon. My name is Ann Valdez. I'm a leader at Community Voices Heard. We thank the Mayor, Commissioner, and City Council for the announcement for the phasing out of the Work Experience Program. Students at SUNY have mostly been offered work-study positions at \$10 an hour instead of WEP, and they are thrilled. Some are finding places that they can work that will also

2.2

supplement their educational goals. We are glad that the Departments of Education, Fire and Aging have stopped taking in WEP workers. But we would like to see a pathway to those jobs for the lowest income Americans—New Yorkers. [coughs] The Parks

Department has also stopped accepting WEP. Parks has a Parks Opportunity Program, we are glad they are working to improve the training provided in the program. One career pathway from Parks could be to the New York City Department of Environmental

Protection positions, which will be hiring thousands of people to maintain new greenways, and storm water management systems.

While this progress is good, according to HRA's own website, every week 11,854 people are being mandated to a WEP assignments. We know that Commissioner Banks has a plan to create a clerical training program at HRA, but there are still close to 500 WEP workers there. There has been an increase in people assigned to the Department of Sanitation.

While some people who are doing WEP assignments, are told about a test to apply for a permanent position, many were not aware of this or do not want to work in Sanitation. The Department of Sanitation needs a

2.2

plan for how to shift relying on over 1,000 unpaid workers. The MTA and DCAs are the other two agencies with the largest number of WEP workers. The DCAS Commissioner said at a hearing a couple of weeks ago that she is waiting for HRA before making a plan. There are almost 1,000 WEP workers at her agency. There needs to be a plan of how to replace those workers with paid positions, and the people who have been doing the work deserve a chance at those jobs.

MTA in the past has hired a small number of the WEP workers, but many people have worked cleaning trains and platforms without pay believing that after sometimes years they will be hired. Some discovered that missing one day for being sick or a mistake by HRA can cost their chance, when the reality is they were not going to be hired. This exploitation must stop. HRA could and should stop sending people to WEP assignments tomorrow. Yes, they must create new systems, but there will be more pressure on these agencies relying on the workers if they were not there. And people needing help could be reassessed to determine what would actually help them obtain permanent employment instead of being in

an exploitive waste of time program of WEP. Change

is coming, but how long must we wait? Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

MALE SPEAKER: Good evening, Chairman

Levin and everyone else who is left. First of all, I to, too would like to commend Commissioner Banks and his staff for the monumental job that they have done in terms of affecting positive change. However, I think now at this time we are at a critical time where we are confronted with the opportunity to influence and to bring about something that can immediately affect the lives of thousands. And ultimately lay groundwork by creating the steps necessary to alter communities and the consciousness of sense of loss and dignity and hope. To give people and opportunity to provide for their families, for themselves and to be contributing members in good standing to society, reflects the inner most desire of so many immigrants, the poor, and middle-class. Who look for the freedom to stand where others have stood, and to become self-sufficient, educated, healthy and prosperous. Right now, that opportunity exists, but we need not only Commissioner Banks' efforts, we need the effort of the Mayor, of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Office of the Mayor. We need the Mayor to indicate to all City agencies that it is a preference that should be preferred or extended to those people who have been providing services in their agencies for many years, and have yet to be recognized or really paid. We should create pathways in City agencies for people who are willing and capable of doing the work needed. And have already proven it with years of non-paying WEP assignments. People who are good enough to labor for free at DCAS, Sanitation, MTA and multiple other city agencies, should have access to those positions. We need the City to support workers' cooperatives, small businesses, entrepreneurial activities, and job training programs that leads to meaningful employment. The City can use its billion dollars of purchasing power to incentatives -- incentives and leverage of corporations and unions to help with apprenticeships and entry level employment opportunities. That's what we're looking for.

So just to, you know, sum it up because it's also in the rest of my testimony, once again we're looking for pathways to employment. We're looking for people to be provided the opportunities

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that have already been in some of the agencies to be given a change of getting real jobs. And when we're talking about if they're qualified going thorough an interviewing process, we're not talking about bypassing. But we're talking given the opportunity to prove themselves. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much.

MELINDA NIMMONS: Good evening,

Commissioner Levin and everybody else on the panel. I'm sorry I didn't have a print copy for you. I just wrote it up right quick for you. I'm work with CVH, Community Voices Heard, and we are trying to get employment for the people that are on public assistance and get salary in the place of a WEP Program. There are a few basic issues that I would like to highlight my concerns as briefly as possible. I appreciate what little help and/or assistance that I'm receiving currently from the HRA. I would--I would have absolutely nothing besides three degrees in the field of business. My previous job experience working in law offices, and several administrative business settings. I have been faithfully searching for stable. I have--excuse me--I have been faithfully searching for stable--a set stable source

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of income. Trying to obtain a job is a job within itself. I have been practicing with any and all of the sources and programs that are available.

I am still unemployed after six years of losing my job with the Bronx D.A.'s Office. And attending college--currently attending college to obtain my bachelor's in the business management and HRA fields. I decided to continue my education for several years: To get out of the congested and stressful situation that I have been--that I have no other choice than to live in because I would never be able to survive in a shelter. I visited a shelter the other night, but to be in a shelter is like being in the subway. everybody looks like a panhandler and a homeless person. And it's not a beautiful sight and I can't live there. I go to the college show the individuals that I reside with that I'm attempting to improve myself in my situation and give them their space. My attending school is also another way to relieve and try to avoid stress. [bell] I must endure as the stress as a -- the stress that I must endure as an HRA recipient. If there are positions for the WEP workers 9:00 to 5:00 in the city jobs, why can't we get salaries?

2.2

They love me when I'm working for free,
but when I start talking about salaries then there's
issues. I'm always working hard to find living
quarters on my own. It's impossible to be able to
afford an apartment without a job. I have been
searching and applying for affordable housing. I
received one response stating that working applicants
are their priority. If you have a job and you're
working, you should be able to have an apartment..
And I think people that don't have a job should be a
priority. To make a long story short, without a
source of income, and nowhere to live makes it
difficult for me to study, and obtain my bachelors.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much.

JOSEPH WIMPA: Good evening. Thank you for your time. Excuse my voice. I had surgery and they removed my voice box. I'm a member of CVH and also a WEP worker. [coughs] I hurt on a WEP assignment standing a 12-foot ladder. I fell against the wall and smashed my spine. I had three surgeries front and back and so did I tore my rotary cuff.

Nothing is in place if you get hurt. But they tell

you to do whatever they--whatever they want. When I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

got hurt this whole--and they probably lying--because I saw eight people do it because I brought in the documentation. They just gave me the runaround, excused me, and then go back to a WEP assignment. And they find out I can't use my hands, and I can't walk. Oh, well, maybe you've got a different type of doctor. I'm living my own personal horror story. The problem is there are thousands of others that have got their personal horror. Every which way I go it's no. I was in school for three days, and the center too me out because they said no this is back to training--I mean back to work not training. Basically, I didn't get the money if I'm going to school. I had a lawyer, which was basically no good, but when he found out that I was entitled to compensation time ran out. Because people look me dead in the eye and oh, you're a WEP worker. You're

I'll be quick. What was heard today and said today sounded really good, but I'm at the bottom. But, I'm at the bottom. I'm at the bottom of the barrel. People on top don't come down to the bottom of the barrel. People say, Oh, the system is broke. I laugh because if you think the system is

not entitled to compensation.

2.2

broke you're delusional. The system is designed to do what it's doing. People like me no voice. People like me you do what you're told, and that's it. That system need to be vamped and redone over. People are hurting like me. What I got? I ain't got a damn thing to live for? Yet, people keep pushing me, stepping on me. Well, I'm trying hard to get under their foot. I need help. Not just me but there are thousands of others. We're here today to try to find a way. The things that were said earlier, oh, that sounded good, but let's see if it's happen because I've been lied to so many times. People looked dead in my eye, and I'm just saying pray to God this man is a man of his word. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, sir.

MICHAEL HENTZ: Good afternoon, honorable members of the Council. I apologize for not having a prepared presentation. I promised Council Member

Levin that I would have some documentation, and I-
I'm going to, you know, fulfill that. About this time of the day in 2007, I would be getting ready to board the train, Metro North, to head up to my--the parking lot up in Cortlandt Manor in Westchester to get in my car and drive to my home up in Westchester.

25

2 I found myself in 2011 living in that car. circumstances beyond my control, I found myself 3 homeless. And that's when I started to become 4 political. Until then I was living a casual life, 5 6 and I was never political. But as most of these 7 people here have testified, the -- the programs the grandest programs and the testimonies that we've 8 heard from the Commissioners of DHS and the HRA, they 9 sound very, very good. But, the perspective is from 10 the top. They--and what I'm trying to make aware to 11 12 the Council Members is that there's another perspective. There's a perspective from the bottom 13 14 that should be addressed. I was impressed 15 particularly by the Commissioner of the HRA Steven 16 Banks' statements that they were eliminating the backlog of the fair hearing requests. I found that 17 18 very, very impressive, but not in a positive way. I plan to mount a legal challenge in Federal Court to 19 the means and message by which they're eliminating 20 this backlog. I find that I'm repeating the same 21 2.2 challenges that I--that I brought to the courts back 23 in 2011 when I also ordered to join one of these work programs in order receive public assistance. That's 24

not what the law reads. That's not what the law

2.2

says, and today I find myself in the same—the same situation. I'm quoting the Supreme Court that ruled on the Due Process Clause in the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. It requires an evidentiary hearing before receiving of certain government benefits, particularly welfare, can be deprived of such benefits. If the court has denied offering benefits to an eligible applicant they may deprive that person of the very means by which to live.

[bell] Prior to this I had a fair hearing on the same issues that I am going to attend to—to try to address tomorrow. I received a letter notifying me that the fair hearing had been—I forgot the—had been disposed of because the agency found no— I could read it, but I'm not going to tie—tie up the Council and the other people's time. The fair hearing had been disposed of. My rights to due process, the Constitutional right to due process had been just totally dissolved. And this seems to be the solution that HRA put in place to clear the books. I don't think that this is going to solve any problem. It's not going to solve the homeless

problem. It's not going to solve public assistance problems. It's not going to help people transition from homelessness to public assistance back to a productive member of society. I've been through every one of the programs, the DHS programs, public assistance programs. And I've been on the adversarial side since day one. I'd like the City Council to sort of look at the bottom if they would take the time to. The glowing reports and policies that we saw in the Power Point presentations are very attractive, are very seductive, but they don't reflect the reality of life in the system. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Mr. Hentz.

Thank you to this entire panel for your insight and constructive testimony. We greatly appreciate your patience--

MICHAEL HENTIZ: [off mic] [interposing]
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: --as well as the time that you've taken to present a very compelling case to this committee, and we look forward to working with all of you in the--in the months to come. So thank you. Folks, we have two panels left. I just

2 have to step out for one moment to make phone. I
3 have to call my mom and I'll be right back.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[pause, background comments ]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: All right, thanks everybody. Mom is doing fine. Okay, next panel. appreciate everybody's forbearance. We're closing in here. Rachel Sabella from the Food Bank; Lisa Levy. Lisa is--Lisa is here from New York Coalition Against Hunger. Eric Munson, Met Council; Yakima Pena, Community for Healthy Food, CHLVC. And then, just so you guys know, the next panel is Lynn Frederick, Holly from Mount Sinai, Sexual Assault and Violence Intervention; David Eng from Human Services Council of New York; Mallory Nugent from FPWA; and Marianne Yang from Brooklyn Defenders. I remember that Brooklyn Defenders and FPWA and Mount Sinai and Human Services Council got called up last this time. I'll make sure that it won't happen again. So we're going to rotate you guys to the front of the next hearing. But we appreciate you--your patience. okay. Hi, everybody. Lisa, you're up.

LISA LEVY: Okay. Hi. I'm Lisa Levy,

Director of Policy Advocacy and Organizing at the New

York City Coalition Against Hunger. [mic static]

Sorry, I'll scoot this over. I'm testifying on
behalf of the city's more than 1,100 soup kitchens
and food pantries, and the approximately 1.4 million
New Yorkers who live in households that can't afford
enough food. I want to first thank Chair Levin for
his work on behalf of people in need. As well as to
the committee for allowing me to testify here today.
One in six people in New York City is hungry. Nearly
one in four of those is a child, and one in ten is a
senior. Nearly every day I speak with people who
rely on SNAP, and they tell me they run out of money
before the end of the month, and they are forced to
turn to food pantries and soup kitchens to make ends
meet. These are people with jobs and kids in school.
Some of them are disabled. They are of all
backgrounds and races. Some of them grew up in New
York and some didn't. But not one of them deserves
to go to sleep hungry. They are not numbers, they
are people. New York City's food pantries and soup
kitchens faced an increased demand of 7% in 2014 on
top of increases of 10% in 2013. Yet, 58% of these
agencies suffer from cuts in combined government and
private resources. Making matters even worse,

federal nutrition assistant programs are suffering
from the worst attacks in decades.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Even before the most recent SNAP cuts kicked in, our annual hunger survey provided hard data to prove what we see everyday, still soaring hunger. 92.7% of New York City's food pantries and soup kitchens reported that they were being impacted by SNAP cuts. Taken together the Healthy Hunger-Free Kids Acts and cuts FONDO [sic] cuts reduced SNAP by nearly \$14 billion with many reductions going into effect as of November 1, 2013. In New York City, the average household SNAP benefit were cut by \$19 a month equaling a \$228 in groceries per year. The amount of SNAP benefits per meal in New York City was reduced from the paltry level of \$1.70 per meal in August 2013 to even smaller \$1.60 per meal in August 2014. Partially because the benefits were less adequate, fewer New Yorkers applied or re-applied for SNAP. The rolls declined by 125,487 people in the City during that year. As a result of both reductions and average benefits amount, and the drop of overall caseload, low-income New York City residents will receive an estimated \$426 million less in Federal SNAP funding in 2014 than 2013.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Since his appointment, Commissioner Banks started making massive reforms providing exactly the competent -- the kind of competent progressiveness that Mayor de Blasio promised. The agency is now treating low-income New Yorkers and the advocates who represent them as trusted partners, not feared adversaries. Unfortunately, there were 1.87 million recipients of SNAP in New York City last year. According to the New York City Coalition Against Hunger Estimates, there were approximately 600,000 eligible New Yorkers not receiving SNAP. While we recognize this prediction is difficult to be precise, we also are aware of that there is a gap between the number of public assistance recipients and those who receive SNAP even according to HRA's own fact sheets. Which means that HRA must do a much more effective job in outreach.

Here are some--we recognize that a bureaucracy of HRA's size change needs still to come. Here are some suggestions. We encourage the continuation of the HRA engineered update that they have begun. We recognize--we specifically recommend that HRA continue to improve their on-demand interviews for re-certifications. We request

expansion for easy access, Access NYC Mobile Document upload program and other methods so that claimants can submit documents and reduce the chance that documents are lost as well as expedite application re-certification process. And we also request that the Drop Box system be improved.

In order to support organizations that

NYCAH works with, food panties and soup kitchens that

fill the gap when SNAP runs out, we request that the

City Council Baseline funding for EFAP. Over the

last year, New York City has made progress in the

fight against hunger because we have made a concerted

effort to do. New York City Coalition Against Hunger

acknowledges that effort as it is society's duty to

care for the most vulnerable. Though continued

effort—through continued effort, New York City will

continue to be more effective at assisting those in

need. Thank you.

RACHEL SABELLA: Good evening. My name is Rachel Sabella, and I'm the Director of Government Relations at Food Bank for New York City. I know it's been a long day, but I especially want to thank Chairman Levin and the staff here for ensuring that every single person was able to share their story. I

1	AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 400
2	think we heard a lot of powerful messages, and we're
3	really grateful for that opportunity. You have my
4	written testimony. There's a lot of policy
5	recommendations in there. There are some good facts.
6	There is even a map. I look forward to meeting with
7	you about that, and sharing that information with
8	more of your colleagues. But the one point I want to
9	leave you with today that approximately 1.4 million
LO	New Yorkers rely on emergency food programs. They
L1	have to go to a soup kitchen. They have to go to a
L2	food pantry. We want to see improvements to that
L3	program. I appreciated your questions to
L 4	Commissioner Banks. We are encouraged by some the
L5	by potential changes in the movement that can happen.
L 6	We are asking that the baseline food funding for EFAP
L7	be increased to \$14.4 million. I think it's really
L8	important to recognize that when the pantry shelves
L 9	are bare, there is no other food for somebody. And we
20	want to make sure that that doesn't happen. And I
21	was especially encouraged by his comments regarding
22	changing the system. We very much advocate for the

system of choice for providers, and we hope to see

that moving forward. So thank you very much for your

23

Development Corporation in Bedford-Stuyvesant in

2 Brooklyn, and West Harlem Group Assistance in West 3 Harlem.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Also, we serve some of the Queens neighborhood as well. These program partner as are embedding healthy food in their community development work through resident outreach, nutrition education, cooking education classes as well as creating and improving healthy food outlet, and generating food sector jobs. Through Cypress Hills Healthy City we've helped on several work-related to each community residents how to grow their own food through community workshops--community gardens. Also, we help provide budget and education sessions for people in order to teach them how to use and stretch their food dollar. And also, we provide some health screening to city senior center affordable housing and building and local schools. And the most important, we work with this platform of Cypress Hills Shelter [sic] which provides service to 500 children and their family. And basically, we increase healthy food options that is served in those childhood facilities and also to teach their parents how to provide meal improvements. Thank you very much.

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

1

ERIC MUNSON: Thank you, Chair Levin and 3 Committee for inviting me to testify today. My name 4 is Eric Munson, I'm Chief of Staff at Metropolitan 5 Council on Jewish Poverty. As you know, for more than 6 7 four decades Met Council has supported and championed families, seniors and adults living in poverty and 8 near poverty. Met Council provides immediate 9 assistance to New Yorkers in crisis, and also 10 pathways to self-sufficiency both directly and 11 12 through grassroots Jewish Community Council Network in client's neighborhood right where they live. 13 the fight against poverty we serve immigrants, 14 15 seniors living on fixed incomes, the un and underemployed and all others in need. And as an 16 organization founded on Jewish values, we serve 17 18 everyone regardless of race, religion or ethnicity. Support from New York City Council enables our case 19 20 workers to assist the City's poor and near poor in addition to individual member items for food, social 21 2.2 services and Metropair programs in Met Council were 23 supported through the following three City Council initiatives: First, ACES or Access to Crisis and 24 25 Emergency Services, which supports our social

2.2

Project Metropair at Met Council, through which we provide handyman services in senior's homes to enable them to age in place. And finally, \$268,000 for the Dove Initiative for our Family Violence Program. So we respectfully request that the Council sustains these funding streams from the Council in Fiscal 16, and in addition as part of our Holocaust Survivor Networks, we've also requested a million and a half dollars to start a new survivor initiative in the Council. So, I'm not going to read the pamphlet that was attached to my testimony with all of our service numbers. You can read those yourself. I would say

And I won't read the rest of my testimony here, which basically describes all of the major changes that we've made in the Council to improve service delivery. But I will just add that when it comes to improved service delivery at Met Council, it's all boiled down to the increased staff and staff time that we've spent out in the community. We've hired really the best direct line staff in the city and I think you've heard from many of them already.

despite the small font that the numbers are really

big and we're really, really excited with our staff.

1	AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 405
2	But in our Social Services Department, we've created
3	an Ombudsperson to deal with Geriatric Services,
4	Susan-Moritz. It's a name you should get to know
5	because she's really incredible. Holocaust Services
6	we've also hired someone to do that focused work at
7	our agency. Two people in our Family Violence
8	Program; additional handymen for Project Metropair,
9	and we're currently in the process of populating yet
10	another affordable housing development in the Bronx
11	with 1,777 units of affordable housing in the city.
12	So, we're really excited about all these things.
13	Thanks.
14	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much.
15	I though you were going to say something. How many
16	units did you say?
17	ERIC MUNSON: 1,777.
18	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. So I thought
19	you were going to say that's how big the development
20	was. So that's
21	ERIC MUNSON: [interposing] No, it's
22	1,777.
23	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN:a big development.

ERIC MUNSON: Yeah, we are one BOD. [sic]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: But thank you very

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I appreciate the work that all of your agencies do in ensuring that people have access to quality food, and that no family or no child goes hungry in New York City. It's the network of providers that makes it happen. So we greatly appreciate all of your work, and thank you very much

for taking the time out of your day to be here and to

stay for the public testimony portion. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, the last panel. Marianne Yang, Brooklyn Defenders; Mallory Nugent, FPWA; David Eng, Human Services Council of New York; and Lynn Frederick-Holly, Mount Sinai Sexual Assault and Violence Intervention.

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Oh, yes. I'm sorry. Somehow that got--I'm sorry. Michael Jackson, Bronx Defenders. Sorry. We got you here. I'm sorry, guy. My fault. I hope I didn't--if there's anybody else that's here that I missed, you know, misplaced the slip, come on up.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay. Oh, the light has to be on. Is the light on, on your mic? Nice.

MARIANNE YANG:

Thank you. I'm Marianne

1

2

follow suit.

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'm the Immigration Practice Director of 3 Brooklyn Defender Services. And together with the 4 Legal Aid Society, Bronx Defenders, BDS serves as 5 assigned counsel under the New York Immigrant Family 6 7 Unity Project, NYIFUP, which is our country's first public defender system for immigrants facing 8 deportation. We thank the City Council for having 9 made NYIFUP a reality, and we're here to ask for your 10 continued support into the coming year. Today, with 11 12 the City Council's leadership, this city can say that no New York Family will have a loved one locked up or 13 14 deported simply because they cannot afford an 15 attorney. And with New York's leadership, we have 16 cities such as Boston, Chicago, San Francisco, and Los Angeles looking to mount replication efforts. 17 18 And more than a dozen cities making inquires to

You know, without NYIFUP, detained New Yorkers would face deportation in a complex system that without a lawyers results in 97% of people basically being deported. With NYIFUP, we will provide high quality deportation services to more than a thousand New York immigrants this year.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

issue.

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Pursuing NYIFUP's primary goals which are to keep families united, and to keep communities strong. Our clients come from really all across our large and diverse city. We've got an appendix that we attached to my written testimony, and it shows that our clients so far have hailed from 48 of the 51 Council Districts in New York City. Really, it's a citywide

So the preliminary data from NYIFUP's pilot phase of 190 cases in Fiscal Year 2014 show what a critical difference NYIFUP has made to New York's immigrant communities. February numbers show that NYIFUP's pilot has results in a 69% trial win rate, meaning our clients win their cases. And it has also showed that 42% of our clients are being reunified with their families whether at the end of the case win, or because they secured their release pending the outcome of the case. We can with this data and others working together with the Institute of Justice tell you that we can project an increase in the percentage of immigrants who will win their right to remain the United States to 1000% compared to the 3% success rate that a study [bell] has found would result otherwise.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

One final note is that as you consider funding NYIFUP into the future, immigrant enforcement levels frequently change. It's really they change in both directions. It could be high in one year and lower the next year. It's hard to predict where you'll land in any given year. But to maintain stability for the program, and to make sure it's a reality and a success while at the same time allowing for flexibility for this city to meet emergent immigrant legal service needs, we're asking for the continued funding rate of \$4.9 million into next year that allows just that. We will continue our priority of representing detained people into deportation proceedings. But in any given year where there are emerging legal needs like the one coming up where the Federal Government's--President's Executive Action Announcement for expanded deferred action for childhood arrivals, and deferred action for parents of citizens and lawful permanent residents. Where you see that need, you can always see NYIFUP as a partner and responding nimbly. And reacting in ways that would make this city one of the most robust immigrant resource legal service cities in the country. Thank you. Next--next to me is Mr.

2 Michael Jackson who is one of NYIFUP clients and he's 3 here to give you his testimony today.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much for your testimony and for the work that you do.

MICHAEL JACKSON: My name is Michael I was an immigrant in the United States when I was nine years old with a Green Card. reside in Hollis, Queens at 10 PS 134 Jamaica High In 2006, my mama's house went into foreclosure, and I was--I was homeless. I went to the shelter, and I caught a misdemeanor case for assault. And Immigration picked me up on that in September 2014. While incarcerated I wasn't able to afford a lawyer. This program provided me with a lawyer and a social worker. My lawyer Paige and my social worker Shane helped me with reaching family members and people that come while I was incarcerated. And if it wasn't for them, I would probably still be in detention. I'd probably be deported. While I was in detention, I was very miserable and depressed because I missed the holidays -- the whole holidays. I couldn't spend time with my family or my daughter. I missed her second birthday. And I just came out around a month ago out

didn't choose the right decision at the time because

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of instability, and that was very helpful to the judge to see that I wasn't a bad person. I just went through some hardship, and I didn't pick the right decision.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. I really, really appreciate your taking the time to be here today. Thank you very much. Thank you for your testimony.

DAVID ENG: Okay. Hello, Council staff and Chair Levin. [coughs] I know it's been a long day so I'm going to try to make this very brief and to the point. My name is David Eng. I'm here on behalf of the Hum Services Council, and we represent a wide variety of organizations that provide social services throughout the city. As you know, the social service sector has been delivering, you know, much needed government services to the community. But we've been facing a lot of challenges, you know, and that includes: Funding cuts; lack of cost of living adjustments; a lot of regulatory requirements that are counter-productive. And the sector has been due a substantial investment for a long time. Unfortunately, that hasn't happened and although

there's been a heavy demand for human services, the

funding for these programs and services has remained

stagnant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So, what we're asking for is we're urging for a total increase 10% to the non-profit human service sector by 2016 with a 5% increase this fiscal year and another 5% increase next fiscal year. This is going to be very important for us, as you know, because due to inflation the cost of living in New York City is ever-rising. But, unfortunately, the wages for those initial service sectors isn't. And in order for us to, you know, provide quality services to the community, we also need that reinvestment into the sector as well. So we hope that, you know, the City council and the legislators can work with us in make sure that there is adequate investment for our sector. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much, and thank you to this panel for your testimony. Especially, Mr. Jackson, thank you for coming out. Welcome to City Hall and I wish you the best of luck with raising your daughter. I wish her many successes. So, thank you and thank you to this panel, and we appreciate your insight and your patience for waiting to testify here at the Council.

## COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON WOMEN'S ISSUES AND THE COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

We greatly appreciate your input, and we will
certainly be taking that into account moving forward.
And we look forward to working with all of you into a
good era here in whatin term social services in New
York City. And, I look forward to working with all
of the providers and advocates as well as the
Administration as we move forward to make this city a

every citizen with the dignity that they deserve. So

fair place, a better place, and a place that affords

thank you very much, and thank you to everybody for

12 your patience and your time, and with that at 7:19

13 p.m. the Preliminary Budget Hearing of the General

Welfare Committee and 2016 Budget is hereby

15 adjourned.

[gavel]

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 5, 2015