CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

----- X

March 19, 2015 Start: 01:22 p.m. Recess: 03:13 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E:

DANIEL DROMM Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

ALAN N. MAISEL
ANDY L. KING
ANTONIO REYNOSO
CHAIM M. DEUTSCH
DANIEL R. GARODNICK
DEBORAH L. ROSE
INEZ D. BARRON
JUMAANE D. WILLIAMS
MARGARET S. CHIN
MARK LEVINE
MARK S. WEPRIN

MARK TREYGER
STEPHEN T. LEVIN
VINCENT J. GENTILE

[gavel]

3 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much 4 and thank you all for coming out today. Good 5 afternoon I'm Council Member Daniel Dromm and I'm 6 Chair of the Education Committee. Today's hearing 7 is on a preconsidered resolution calling upon the 8 New York state legislature to eliminate the governor's receivership proposal in the executive 10 budget for New York City. I'd like to thank my co-11 sponsors that signed onto this very important 12 resolution, the members of the Education Committee 13 that has joined me and all of you that have turned 14 out today to testify. New York state governor 15 Andrew Cuomo's executive budget includes a plan for 16 the State Commissioner of Education to designate 17 receivership districts and the lowest 2.5 percent and schools in the lowest achieving five percent 18 19 state wide. In these cases the State Commission of 20 Education would be authorized to a penitent 21 individual, another district, or a nonprofit 2.2 organization to assume the management of the school 23 or district. The so called receiver would have the 24 power to unilaterally change school budgets, 25 curriculum, collective bargaining agreements,

2	school schedules and school staffing. The receiver
3	would also be authorized to convert the school into
4	a charter school uh-huh without a vote from
5	parents. There is no evidence of the effectiveness
6	of this receivership model and many aspects of it
7	are quite concerning. Let me add in districts where
8	we have seen receivership most cases it has failed.
9	And in some cases has even made the situation
10	worse. I addition to the proposals lack of a solid
11	vision or evidence of success it is tied to the
12	budget in a way that could ultimately harm the
13	students of the state and the city. Under the
14	leadership of Mayor de Blasio and Chancellor Farina
15	the New York City Department of Education has
16	designated 94 schools as renewal schools. This
17	renewal schools program includes 150 million dollar
18	investment and an enormous commitment to improving
19	the lives of students and families and communities
20	that these schools are in. While this is a
21	relatively new agenda it is showing signs of
22	working. This plan needs time to take root and to
23	develop. As a former teacher in the New York City
24	public school system I can attest to the importance
25	of commitment and consistency in the lives of our

2	students. Long term changes are needed in many
3	schools and their renewal program is just a
4	beginning of such a process. The receivership plan
5	the governor proposes could undermine any positive
6	changes and create more turmoil for students and
7	families. Again I want to thank you all for coming
8	and we're going to hear the first witness in a
9	moment but first I want to introduce my colleagues
10	who are here with us today. We have Council Member
11	Mark Treyger from Brooklyn, Council Member Chaim
12	Deutsch the chair of the subcommittee on nonpublic
13	schools and Brooklyn as well, and Council Member
14	Margaret Chin from Manhattan. And I'd like to bring
15	up our first panel and that will be Karen Alford
16	from the United Federation of Teachers 52 Broadway.
17	And be before you begin Ms. Alford I do swear
18	everybody in in this committee. So I'm going to ask
19	if you'd raise your right hand please. And do you
20	solidly, do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell
21	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
22	truth and to answer council member questions
23	honestly. Thank you. And would you turn the mic on.
24	I think the red light should be on. And then you
25	can hegin

2	KAREN ALFORD: Thank you Chairman Dromm
3	and members of the education committee. It's
4	certainly a privilege to testify here today on
5	behalf of New York City's public school educators
6	and the 1.1 million students that we serve. I
7	taught in District 23 Ocean Hill Brownsville for
8	many years and I was the district representative in
9	that community. And under the previous
10	administration this community faced a lack of
11	support from the Department of Education and there
12	many many many school closings. The UFT has fought
13	to strengthen school communities and to improve
14	outcomes for our children. And since 2012 the UFT
15	has embraced a community learning schools model for
16	our 24 schools so that schools in communities like
17	Ocean Hill Brownsville receive these holistic
18	approaches that they so deserve. And we understand
19	that all struggling schools must have this holistic
20	approach where we address the whole child and there
21	must be a strong academic core. And that is why we
22	embrace this partnership with Mayor de Blasio and
23	Chancellor Farina and we believe that we are moving
24	in the right direction by having these 94 renewal
25	schools and we certainly hope that we will reverse

2	the effects of the years of neglect and
3	mismanagement under the previous administration.
4	This 150 million dollar investment will certainly
5	help our schools and give them the supports that
6	they've needed for so long. This community school
7	approach and individual school plans that each
8	school will have to create they'll be developed in
9	partnership between the DOE and the UFT. These
10	schools will be working to add more guidance
11	counsellors to establish academic interventions to
12	make sure that there are more health and mental
13	health programs including dental and eye screenings
14	that we built partnerships with community
15	organizations. In these schools you will see more
16	instructional time in the school day. Smaller class
17	sizes, and you will see that the teachers will be
18	receiving more coaching, mentoring, and
19	professional development. All the ingredients that
20	are needed to help turn schools around. And we
21	understand that under the renewal school process we
22	have three years, three years to set these clear
23	goals and improve outcomes for all of our students
24	in these schools and be held accountable for doing
25	this work. And we certainly accept that challenge

And we see the work that's occurring at Boys and
Girls. And since Principal Wilshire's here he will
certainly talk about his school. But how you can
look at Boys and Girls as a model that in the early
stages clearly the school is moving in the right
direction and you see the same type of movement at
automotive high school and Williamsburg Brooklyn as
well as Richmond Hill High School and PS284 in
Brooklyn. And there's so many other schools that we
can site where you are seeing a stronger academic
core. You are seeing more programing for
afterschool's. You are seeing more parents
engagement and we are moving the dial already in
the short amount of time. We believe that our new
contract lends itself nicely to the renewal schools
to be able to move schools. We have time built in
the day for parent engagement. There is time for
teachers to work on their craft. And so we do have
professional development once a week. There are now
teacher, teacher career ladder programs so that
teachers can learn from master and model teachers
in their school as we help build capacity within
our educators. So we believe that New York City is
certainly moving in the right direction. And that's

2	why it's disheartening to us when you look at the
3	governor's proposal. This idea of receivership is
4	dangerous and then we'll certainly impede the work
5	that we've been doing collaboratively here in New
6	York City. And we think that we see that
7	receivership is just a fancy way of saying state
8	control. We are opposed to this idea. We believe
9	that the approach that we're taking in New York
10	City is certainly the right way to go as opposed to
11	the governor's plan which should strip away local
12	control ad turn a school over to this outside
13	entity. We've seen that it doesn't work. It hasn't
14	worked in Roosevelt Long Island. It hasn't worked
15	in Newark. It hasn't worked in Indianapolis. And
16	the list goes on and on and on. And Roosevelt what
17	we've seen is that there were a lack of books,
18	sloppy record keeping, and an influx of millions of
19	dollars but yet the system didn't get any better.
20	What we saw in Newark after 18 years of state
21	controlled you saw that the graduation rate did not
22	rise and the test scores was still abysmal. And
23	Indianapolis what you saw in some schools the water
24	was shut off in some school buildings. Independent
25	operators were asking for more and more money. So

what we think is privatization of a central public
services tends to mean less public oversight and
more problems. And that's why certainly turning
over our schools to a private company or
organization is the wrong way to go. This top down
approach that the governor's proposing is the wrong
way to go. And we'd like to ask the governor to
please certainly abide by the CFE lawsuit and make
sure that that 2.6 billion dollars is restored to
the public schools here in New York City because
that will certainly help as we try these efforts to
turn around our school. In reality this is just the
governor's way of playing politics with our
schools. And so for all of the aforementioned
reasons we strongly support the council's
resolution today and we hope that we are able to
continue the good work that's beginning in our
renewal schools in collaboration with the city, the
UFT, and the DOE. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well thank you very much. Appreciate you coming in and giving some testimony. I always like to hear from teachers exactly what's going on in their shoos having been a teacher for 25 years in New York public school

\_

J

system. I believe deeply that we really need to talk to the practitioners to know what's going on on the grassroots ground level in the classrooms and so hearing your testimony is very very important to me. I do have some questions.

KAREN ALFORD: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I notice that you said in your testimony that the teachers were going to be spending an extra hour of instruction on a daily basis. Is that for all of the renewal schools. I know that that was true I believe in Boys and Girls high school.

KAREN ALFORD: Each school is developing their own action plan. And this hour of time may also be offered by the CBOs. So each school may look very differently and what the need is but there will certainly be additional time to the day.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So how is that possible. Is there a provision in the union contract that allows people to decide the hours or extension of the day?

KAREN ALFORD: It can be done creatively through a school based option that the school can take. But the CBO can also help add that additional

are certainly trying to tailor based upon a needs

\_

2.2

assessment what the intervention should be for that particular school.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And in Boys and
Gils, and I'll probably ask Principal Wilshire
later about this there's been an increase in
attendance. Is there any particular reason that's
attributable to why the attendance has gone up. Is
there something that is keeping the students coming
to school more frequently than in the past?

KAREN ALFORD: I certainly think

Principal Wilshire is the best person to speak on
behalf of boys and girls. But from what we're
seeing in PS 284 and the other schools yes as
they're creating their plans as they're having more
afterschool programming as they're looking at their
offerings during the school day as you're seeing
more and more programs in the schools. Even around
the wrap around services because sometimes children
are absent because the parent has to take the child
out of school to go to the dental appointment or
the eye exam. As we're offering more and more of
these services in the school children are losing
less seat time because these, these different
occurrences are happening during the school day.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So this holistic approach to me sees quite a departure from the failed policies of the past where we saw an administration, the Bloomberg Administration to be specific solely focus on test scores and not on the overall benefit of the child. Would you agree with that?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I would certainly agree with that. We're schools, we're know, we know that certainly academic success is paramount but it's so important that we have to address the challenges and, and the needs of our children brining to our classrooms every day. And so some people say it may not be the place for the school but we know that it is... children come in hungry, we have to feed them. When they can't see we have to offer the eye exams because if I don't have glasses I, I'm not going to work and be able to achieve at an optimal level. So yes the holistic approach in partnering with parents and families we believe is certainly in the right direction. That's why the UFT has been doing this and its community learning schools.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I wonder if that also isn't a part of the reason for the attraction for students to prove their attendance is the, all the wrap around services that are offered, motivates them to come into the building in the first place. I think so and, and you know as teachers are building capacity through professional development as we've added a parent engagement time and so parents feel like they have a voice in the system that I think they didn't have under the previous administration. I think it's all of those things that collectively add to why schools are moving in the right direction under the renewal program.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Is part of what the teachers are talking about in the schools when they're looking at the plans that they're drawing up for the renewal schools, something that you mentioned before like almost on a daily basis in the school where I taught at a student would come into the classroom with some type of a problem, some type of an issue that they were dealing with at home. Would there be an opportunity in these schools for somebody, because I was very difficult

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

for me to stop what I was doing and try to deal with the issue that the student was bringing to me at the same time try to provide some instruction is there anybody who is going to be there like a social worker or a guidance counsellor to be able to deal with the issues that these students bring in almost on a daily basis.

KAREN ALFORD: I think if you look at the data on these schools you will see that compared to other city schools there are a higher concentrations of more vulnerable populations. So in these schools you will see more students that live in transitional housing. You will see you know very high free and reduced lunch numbers. You will see... you know all, all of this, high special ed numbers. And yes it's so important to us and part of the renewal schools program is making sure that these schools do have more guidance counselors working with more mental health institutions and organizations so that for children or families that are in crisis there is an outlet, there is a way to help. So yes you certainly will see higher concentrations of guidance counsellors and social service organizations.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: In your testimony you also mentioned the issue of privatization. It does seem to me that the governor is trying to privatize the public schools in a roundabout way through both the receivership and ultimately by turning some of the schools over to charter schools. This committee has held hearings on charter schools in the past and have had very little cooperation from the charter school sector in terms of providing us with information in terms of transparency accountability. And I'm wondering how that would also affect our public school system.

Mayor is very capable of handling our struggling schools and certainly previously there was certainly a move to close schools before trying to support schools. And so we think that that is certainly the direction to go and not to turn schools over to an outside entity with not, with folks that don't necessarily have a proven track record when already in this short time we're seeing that schools are making gains. Schools are moving

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 in the right direction. And I'm sure you'll hear a 3 similar kind of testimony from Principal Wilshire.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: You know this over toward privatization and corporatization of not only public schools but of many what were considered governmental serveries in the past is also something of major concern. Before, prior to being the chair of the Education Committee I was the chair of the Immigration Committee. And it's estimated that approximately 50 percent of all immigrant detention centers are run by private institutions. And we've seen a tremendous amount of corruption in those detention centers and in the way in which services are provided including issues like solitary confinement with very little oversight by government agencies into what is exactly going on in those institutions. And one that particularly comes to mind is juvenile detention centers. Where we've actually seen and had examples of cases around the country where juveniles were kept in these detention centers, not immigration but regular juvenile detention centers because the private corporations that were running them wanted to keep the numbers high so they got

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

larger amounts of reimbursement from the state. So this is something that I fear when we talk about privatizing our public schools. And I could just see a number of things happening you know including fudging enrolment number, services provided, etcetera. Is that a concern of yours also?

KAREN ALFORD: It's certainly a concern. I mean we've seen in state after state city after city where there has been privatization of education it hasn't worked. And as you said oversight has been very poor. And so it's a huge concern to us that if these schools are turned over to some outside entity what does the accounting look like? How do we make sure... as you said that the numbers aren't fudged. We want to make sure that our children are getting a sound basic education and we're not sure that that will happen if you all aren't' allowed to have the oversight and the chancellor and the mayor aren't allowed to have the oversight that's so needed once you decide to privatize education.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And this will be the last observation question that I have for you and then I'm going to go to other council members as

2.2

well. But basically you know we've had a long struggle in this city for local control over our public school. And now it seems the pendulum is going the other direction again where the state wants to come in ad impose itself on us after we've fought so hard to have this local control over our schools so that parents have an opportunity to have a say about what goes on in these schools, what happens citywide. Are you of the same opinion that local control over our schools is vitally important to their success?

that. You know we breathed a sigh of relief once the last administration was no longer involved in education because we did feel like people were shut out of the process. Parents didn't have voice, educators didn't have enough voice. And so finally to have voice to have a partnership to have a collaboration we feel like, we feel like we are turning things around in a positive way for all the children in New York City. So yes we want to keep... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: ...I was always taught also when I went to methods classes in those days

2.2

as they might have been called that the parent was the child's first teacher.

KAREN ALFORD: Yes.

really wanted to be successful in school you needed to engage their first teacher so that you could incorporate what the parent was doing with what the teacher was doing in the classroom as well. And I think we've, with the proposals by the governor really moved too far away from that idea of parents being the first teachers of our children.

KAREN ALFORD: I agree.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay thank you.

Alright we don't have questions so you're getting off the hook easy.

KAREN ALFORD: Thank you all.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Alright thank you.

Okay our next witness will me Michael Wilshire, the principal from Boys and Girls High school in

Brooklyn. Welcome Mr. Wilshire. I have to swear you in. So I'd ask if you would raise your right hand please. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and to answer council member questions honestly.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay thank you and

2 PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Yes I do.

3

you may begin your testimony.

4

5

12

25

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Okay thank you.

Good afternoon Chairman Dromm and members of the 6 7 8 9 10

Education Committee here today. My name is Michael

Wilshire and I'm the principal of Boys and Girls High School and Bedford Stuyvesant Brooklyn. Thank

you for the opportunity to speak about school ...

11 program and the progress it is bringing to boys and

girls. For me the renewal story did not begin when 13 I became principal at Boys and Girls last fall. It

14 started in 2001 when I took over as principal of

15 Medgar Evers Collage... School, then middle college

16 high school at Medgar Evers College. This was a low

17 performing school where only 14 percent of the

18 students gradated with a... diploma and 61 percent of

the students graduated in four years. I came to 19

20 Medgar Evers College Preparatory School from a high

21 performing school district on Long Island where

2.2 almost all the students graduated and went onto

23 college or entered the workforce. For e the key

question was why can't we do the same thing here. 24

Now the graduation rate at Medgar Evers College

Preparatory School is in the high 90s. This school
offers an early college program with Medgar Evers
College where many students graduated from high
school with just a high school diploma but also an
associate degree. The school also offer a situation
where all high school graduates graduate well
prepared to enter college. The question and the
challenge has been the same at Boys and Girls. I
taught at Boys and Girls nearly 25 years ago. Then
it was the pride and joy of Bed-Stuy. I returned
because I was confident that with my experience and
Mayor de Blasio's and Chancellor Farina's vision
for supporting and lifting up struggling schools we
can change the dynamics of this school. We know
that children on Long Island at Medgar Evers and at
Boys and Girls are no different. They all deserve a
high quality education while some need more support
than others. They all deserve a path for college
and meaningful careers. Reeling from a decade as a
struggling school Boys and Girls students need that
extra support and attention. That's what the
renewal, renewal program is for. And since day one
at Boys and Girls that is what it is delivering. My
staff and I have received the support and resources

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

we need to change the direction at our school. As part of the renewal program we have added an extra ninth period of instruction each day and new Saturday academy where students learn in small groups and prepare for the regions examinations. We have developed an excellent relationship with Aimee Horowitz the Executive Superintendent for School Renewal Program who has been there every step of the way with instructional and operational support. With the guidance of Amy and our renewal coaches we assess where our students were and where they needed to be and developed a, and implemented the interventions to get them from where they are to where they should be. We ask the hard questions about why students were not succeeding in the core subject areas they needed to graduate in time. And we reprogrammed the entire schedule to ensure that students were taking the required courses. We took collective responsibility for the fact that our 12<sup>th</sup> graders were not being pushed to the full, to their full potential. Now we have an individualized plan for every senior in our building. In the spirit of the coming of full community school which is essential piece of the renewal initiative we are

2	investing in resources and services that meet the
3	needs of students and the families we served. Since
4	I came to Boys and Girls in October we have
5	launched a mentoring program for our struggling
6	students. We have worked closely with good shepherd
7	services, our partner CBO to provide counselling
8	for students. And we are deepening that partnership
9	this summer and next school year as part of our
10	full transition to be in a community school. We are
11	bringing parents into the building for monthly
12	Saturday brunch where they can learn about the work
13	the school is doing, ask questions, and raised
14	concerns. We have worked to strengthen our own
15	craft as educators through frequent intensive
16	professional development leveraging our resources
17	in this case with our partner school Medgar Evers
18	College Prep and our higher education partner
19	Medgar Evers College. We are conducting joint
20	professional development with Medgar Evers College
21	Preparatory School. Our teachers are receiving
22	coaching and improvement strategies from their
23	colleagues who have experience in leading high
24	achieving classrooms. On top of that as part of our
25	assessment and reprogramming process we had each

time for Boys and Girls teachers to collaborate on
academic plans for their students. With our focus
and transform, and the transformation of Boys and
Girls comes high expectation and accountability. As
part of this transformation all teachers must
reapply for their position at the end of the school
year. With only a few months into my tenor in the
renewal program we are starting to significantly
change the dynamics at Boys and Girls High School.
In October when I came to Boys and Girls 40 seniors
were on track to graduate on time. Based on the
corrective measures that we implemented we now have
80 seniors on track to graduate and another 26
students who are almost in track. At Boys and Girls
our students are not just getting more time through
the new 9 <sup>th</sup> period and Saturday Academy. The school
attendance is also improving. This is a leading
educator of the academic subsets and one that I
believe reflects our aggressive work to meet needs
of all our students and engage them in learning
again. January regions scores are up. Several
students have enrolled in AP courses with our
partner school Medgar Evers College Preparatory
School And over a hundred students are

2	participating in our early college program with
3	Long Island University. I must tell you that I'm
4	extremely proud and indeed excited to see so many
5	of our seniors receiving their college acceptance
6	letter. This is progress but it is nothing rest, to
7	rest on In fact the work is picking up as we
8	headed towards the end of year one and the
9	beginning of year two of our renewal. There had
10	been more assessment and intervention like
11	reprogramming and developing plans tailored to each
12	student's need. We will be, we will be more work to
13	tur boys and girls into a true community hub a
14	holistic program that meets students and families
15	where they are with community school services. And
16	there will be more thoughtful professional
17	development to improve teaching and learning in our
18	classrooms. This is unprecedented work for boys and
19	girls. My staff and I are confident as we approach
20	the work ahead and we expect to be held accountable
21	for the success. I look forward to working with Amy
22	Chancellor Farina, the mayor, and our city
23	including the city council to make Boys and Girls
24	high school once again the pride and joy of Bed-
25	Stuy. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Pride and joy of

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Bed-Stuy. Alright. I'm so glad to hear in your testimony that your expectations for Medgar Evers and also for Boys and Girls are the same expectations that you had when you were an administrator in Long Island. Because I do really deeply believe that our students deserve and need those same types of expectations and standards set for them because when the standards are held high our students can achieve. And I, I also, I went to a catholic school it was St. Mary's Boys High School but it was a college preparatory school. And I think just having those words in the title of the name of the school to me meant you're going to college kid. You know and I think having that in the, in the, in the name of the Medgar Evers School as well with the expectation you're going to college is a really good way to start. So let me congratulate, congratulate you on that. And I, I also saw in your testimony that you've done an individualized plan for every senior in the building. Can you just describe how that went and how you work that out and the logistics of that because that to me is very interesting to hear.

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Yes so at Boys and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

20

21

23

24

25

Girls we have 189 students in the court meaning that 189 students started the 9th grade. When I arrived at Boys and Girls I mean about 16 students transferred and we currently have 146 students attending boys and girls in the, in the 12<sup>th</sup> grade, 146 students in the 12<sup>th</sup> grade. Of these 146 students we have around 80 of those students who are on track to graduate so they have a graduation plan. We then have another 26 students who are not quite on track but almost in track. So for those students we have given them a mentor. We have set a Saturday academy program for them and so we have develop a plan specific for those students to meet their needs. We then have another 39 students who are highly over age and so and under credited. Those students are placed in a task program in the school where they're working towards their high school, New York state high school diploma. And we're hoping that about 20 of those students will graduate. Part of the plan with those students is that they spend half of the day working on their high school equivalents and the other half therein a CTE program with CuabTech [phonetic] And there

are another small, smaller group of students who
are reading below the fifth grade level. Those
students we have placed them with District 79 in a
literacy program so that every student who are
currently at Boys and Girls in the 12 <sup>th</sup> grade we
are account, we have accounted to, for, for, for
everyone. So this seems to me to be a very detailed
plan which is obvious from your knowledge of the
numbers of students. I mean that is quite admirable
to hear that you know that there's a 98 here and a
110 here or whatever your numbers were. I can't
even remember them at this point. And I think
that's really important to individualizing the
instruction that you're providing to those
students. What is your class size, your average
class size? Has that changed at all in your school?
PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: They, I don't think
the average class sizes change but the average
class size at Boys and Girls I would say is around
20 students.

22 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: How many?

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: 20.

J

students?

also have to apply for their jobs, yes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay... [cross-talk] Who are the mentors that you're assigning the

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: We have assign teachers and other staff members to no more than one or two students. And basically what they do they would call those students in the morning, the students would come and they would meet with them. Someone, a trusted adult in the building that these students can look up to, someone who really cares about them and can give them that personalized attention. And I think that was significant in improving our attendance and also students' academic performance as well.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I notice in your testimony also that you said it was a part of the transformation teachers must reapply for their positions. My first question in regard to that is how did the teachers in the union react. And number two do administrators also have to reapply for their jobs.

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Administrators will

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And what about the,
3 the teachers in the union contract.

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Well I think that was part of the agreement between the UFT... [crosstalk] So I, I think you know I think it, it's a difficult situation but you know just something that has to be done.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. So how do we beat the self-fulfilling prophesy of Boys and Girls High School being a quote unquote failed school? In other words how do we change that idea in the public's mind so that we can attract students to that school if they want to go to that school.

principal Wilshire: Alright I, I, I may go back to what we did at Medgar Evers College

Preparatory School. What you do, we have to change the entire culture, the perception of the school.

And part of what you do to do that is you ensure that you bring to the school the quality academic program, the other support that our students need. You, my approach is to have a holistic academic approach so that we bring back I mean rigorous academic programs. We bring back the fine and the performing arts. And we couple that with the, with

2.2

the athletic programs. And so that the community
can begin to see I mean how students fair in these
areas. And that was what we did at Medgar and the
population at Medgar Evers College grew from 600 in
2001 to 1300 where it is today while most other
schools were going down were, were chopping up into
small schools. So I think it has to do with the
kind of academic program and, and so forth that you
bring to the school that will attract the
community.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So when I visited

Boys and Girls I saw that former councilman Al Vann

was there and a number of other community leaders

were there in the school. I also met a nun, a

catholic nun who is having involvement with your

school. And I forget what organizations... [cross
talk] and what, what is good shepherd services

going to provide to your school?

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Good Shepherd

Services is our CBO partners. They provide what

they call advocate counselling. They also help with

our tenants outreach. They also provide tutoring

services and so forth. So they're kind of embedded

in the fabric of the school.

3

4

5

6

О

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. I'm going to turn it over now for questions from other council members. And if I have something more I'll come back and, and ask... Next will be Council Member Inez Barron. Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you Mr. Chair. And Mr. Wilshire it's a pleasure to see you here. And I just want to say that your record is a stellar record and I'm sure that's a part of what motivated the decision to bring you to that school that has such dire needs. I know that you're going to be successful. You've got a staff that's behind you. I know people there and you know people talk. They're there, they're supporting you, and they want, every one of them wants to be associated with success. No one wants to be associated with failure. So I applaud you for taking on this challenge and want to say that I'll be there supporting you. And just in terms of the receivership that the governor is proposing I think it is just disingenuous for the governor to expect that a school that has been through 12 years of the Bloomberg regime and has consistently declined under that program that puts such huge emphasis on

testing did not have a well-rounded curriculum in
terms of allowing for the arts and athletics to be
incorporated in that so that children can have many
opportunities to shine and then hopefully
transition those successes to the academics.
Schools were underfunded. The governor at one point
had said that as far as he was concerned CFE was
done. And it's only now that there's a more
continued effort and push that there's discussion
about bringing forth that money. We can't say that
education is important without funding it to the
degree to reflect what we think is its importance
so we're hoping that that will happen and will,
that will come class sizes that have a manageable
number of students in the class as well as a
wraparound services and professional development
and also support for the principals that are there.
So I just want to say I encourage you with what
you're doing and in any way that I could be
supportive please reach out to me, I'd be glad to
do that.

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay Council Member

25 Treyger.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you Chair 3 and welcome Principal. And we, we here believe in you and believe in your school and your vision and 4 mission. And so we don't always hear those words in the past decade. But we, we as a, especially this 6 7 committee, many educators here we, we, we believe in what you're capable of doing, what your 8 staff is capable of doing. I just want to ask a 9 couple of questions about how many total kids are 10 11 in Boys and Girls High School?

 $\label{eq:principal wilshire: There are 512} % \end{substitute}$  students in register at the moment.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: 512. And are they from Bed-Stuy community or are they from all over...

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: They're from all over.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: From all over.

And can you just tell us there are some who think
that schools operate somehow in isolation of their
communities. And I think that that's, that's the
wrong view of schools. It takes a village to, to
really educate and raise the child and, and the
school that yes has an impact on the village, the

2.2

village has an impact upon the school. Can you tell us about the surrounding dynamics of the community challenges, strengths, opportunities that you've been able to kind of partner and connect with with, with your school. I think one of the things that, there, there has been tremendous good will from the community we have, able to have lots of community support, many people from the community have come in and asked me what can they volunteer to do. You know so sometimes excess is not too good but I, I'm really moved by just the tremendous amount of goodwill from the community, from, for Boys and Girls.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: And, and if we put let's say test scores aside and not look at kids as numbers and people as numbers what are things that you enjoy seeing in your school every day? What are things that you like to celebrate, things that you want to cultivate and build that are not captured through a test score, not captured through a progress report or, or a state report card? What are things that you're proud to see in your building happening every day that you're

celebrating that are not always captured on a piece
of paper?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: And... a first student. They want to learn. And the, and, and if I may just give you an example of that. I have a situation where we had these students were not doing well. They were all under credited. They had no really hope of graduating end, at the end of this academic year. I met with those students about 18 or 19 of them and I told them that I was going to develop a plan for them to get at least a high school, a new York state high school diploma. And I outline the plan where we, they will come in half a day and then the other half a day they would go to CuabTech and I asked them when would you like to start and they said tomorrow. And I said if you're serious meet me here at 8:00 the next morning. Every single one of those students turn up. As a matter of fact they got there before I did. And that tells me that our students, all that they want is to get that opportunity. They need to have that caring and that supportive environment where we believe in them. And you know those are some of the the things that I really celebrate.

3

4

5

dime.

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2 23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Isn't it amazing that, that the thing that we needed, we needed the most in our system doesn't really cost a

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: It's about having faith and belief in our kids and our, and our... but speaking of costing dies the state has historically as it's, it's been recorded and, and, and a lawsuit. It's well publicized. The state has short changed the city, this, the school district billions of dollars. And if you divide that by the number of schools that we have in the city of New York your school is owed millions and millions of dollars. What could your school do with millions of dollars in enhancements it doesn't have right now.

tell exactly what we could do.

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Okay let, let me

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Please, I'd love to hear it.

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: We could look at our science labs. We'd renovate and upgrade all of those science labs. We'd bring back some of the career technical education programs such as the

2.2

welding program, such as the building trades, such as our architecture and design program. And so we would bring back, we developed an outstanding career technical education program. We would upgrade our science labs. We would improve some of our facilities like our dance studio and so forth. And so that would go far away in bringing some new changes, facility changes and so forth that we...

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Well I hope, I hope Albany is listening to you because they are really notorious for imposing mandates but not funding them. And when I keep hearing the term college and career ready and career technical education programs then you obviously have thee vision. You have kids and staff on board but your, but you're lacking what they're lacking is the faith from their own government and not just in words but in actions.

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: You know if I may the way in which we have structured our program now is that there're really three strands. We have our early college. We also have our, what I call our college bound. And we have our career technical

2.2

education. So students who would like to take on the challenge of beginning college work very early they can begin that early college program. If they want to do advanced placement courses and prepare for college they have that opportunity. If they want to go directly into the workforce they will be able to get a career that can give them a living wage so that they can enter the middle class if we still have one. And, and so you know that is, that is how I vision. I mean Boys and Girls... in the future.

I, and I, and just some closing I turn over to the chair as a former teacher myself I know firsthand and I know what you're talking about. The things that you see happening in your school that are amazing, that are extraordinary that are never captured on a piece of paper. And I take issue when people call the, when people all schools and people failure, children failures when they're doing extraordinary things and if you have kids working on architecture and building trades those are careers that they can make, they could support families and buy homes and really become middle

2.2

class. So that's not a failure. The failure here is the failure on the government's part to support schools like yours to really meet the needs of our kids today. Thank you. Chair thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much.

And I met a student at the school who has already been accepted to Syracuse University and was wait, awaiting other acceptance forms, letters before he made a decision what college he's going to go to.

So that was great as well. Now we're going to turn it over to questions from Council Member Rose and Council Member, and then followed by Council Member Chin. And we've also been joined by Council Member Allen Maisel, Council Member Mark Levine, and Council Member Antonio Reynoso.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Good afternoon Principal Wilshire.

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Good afternoon.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: As a, a person who worked at CUNY in a dropout prevention program for 20 years I truly truly recognize the challenges that you've had and the wonderful achievements that you've accomplished in a very short period of time. and I want to congratulate you. And I am a firm

believer in the holistic approach in terms of
academic achievement. And so while you were
speaking I, I was really pleased to hear that your
expectations and your standards are high. Because I
believe that if we set the bar high our students
rise to that standard and that level. And I think
for far too long the bar's been too low. And so
again I want to thank you for what you're doing
there. But in your testimony I didn't hear anything
about parent engagement. So are you doing anything
in terms of parent engagement, in terms of maybe
trying to change the culture in the home that would
help sort of reinforce all of the, the wonderful
things that you're reinforcing during the school
and after school hours. Is there any effort to
bring the parents in and make them a part of that
student planning [cross-talk]

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Yes, yes there is.

I must say I was very disheartened when I arrive at boys and girls in the first PTA meeting that we had, we had maybe less than a dozen parents showed up. I mean what...

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I'm sorry, could you speak into your mic.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Oh... [cross-talk] better? Yes? So what I'm saying that, that I was very disheartened at first when I arrive at Boys and Girls and that there were not many parents participating in the schools.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Absolutely.

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: So we have come up

with a plan and one of the ways in which we can get parents out is to find some way to acknowledge the accomplishment of their children. They will always come out to celebrate their child. So we're doing Saturday brunch where we can, where we get students awards for their achievements in attendance, academics, and so forth and also invite the parents to come and celebrate that with us. And also on the 28<sup>th</sup> of this month for example we are bringing out the parents to be a part of our planning for next year. I've also... retreat in the school we are invited the parents to come out and for, for, so we can hear their voice, what their, their needs are. And so we're making a concerted effort to get the parents involved because you know the, the education of the child is, as you may well know is not just the teachers but the parents they play a

Council Member Chin.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Principal Wilshire I also want to add my

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you Chair.

congratulation to the success of boys and girls high school and it also for me as a parent, as a former teacher I think every kid's going to school want to be successful. And they want to have the opportunity to learn. I don't think any kid's going to school just want to fail. So they do need the resources and the support and I'm so glad the school is finally getting that. So my question to you is that in this whole renewal program how much more funding are you getting directly from DOE to

be able to implement the kind of program that

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Well the, the DOE has been extremely supportive of our program and the things that we've planned that's for example the, the development of our welding program and so forth. They have, they are supporting that and I'm sure they will be funding that. So I, I'm satisfied at this point that we're getting a tremendous amount of support from the DOE.

you're doing right now for the individual students?

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Yes that does mm-

and, and that helps right?

23

24

2.2

2	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Good. And, and we
3	just wanted to make sure that the governor hear us
4	loud and clear that schools like Boys and Girls
5	High School and all our school are New York City
6	schools. And we're on our way to improving our
7	school and we wanted to keep it under our control.
8	And so I thank you for the good work that you're in
9	there and we look forward to working with you.

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: You're welcome, thank you.

Member Chin and I, that measure was also delivered loud and clear yesterday when we went to Albany to speak with the legislatures there that we agree with the 63 percent of the folks who were surveyed in the Quinnipiac poll that they disagree with the governor's plan and that we continue to believe in local control over our schools. So thank you for reminding me of that. Now we hear from Council Member Mark Levine.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you Mr.

Chair and thank you Mr. Wilshire for being here and for your very eloquent powerful comments. I think that you have probably given us the best proof of

why this proposal for receivership is just so
unfair and unwarranted and a receivership is used
by states in extreme circumstances; when there's a
locality that goes bankrupt or there's some sort of
endemic corruption or massive financial
mismanagement organized crime, infiltrating local
government or some sort of willful sustained
negligence and none of the applies here. Even those
who might quiver with the details of the policies
that, that the DOE's implementing on turning around
schools have to, can see that there is an intense
well thought out well intentioned effort. And with
leaders like yourself on the ground one that I'm
betting has a great chance of succeeding and for,
for the governor to come in and seize control in
this environment midcourse of, of such an intense
effort is, is I believe a violation of sovereignty
of New York City and so we want, we want to give
you the, the room to succeed , a chance to succeed
and we want to make sure that you're not interfered
with by any outside forces. Really just a comment
from me and a thank you to you for your effort sir.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Not only does the
governor of Council Member Mark Levine want to come
in and take control of our schools but he wants to
re-implement failed policies from the past. I mean
you can't make this stuff up. It's unbelievable.
Anyway I want to thank Principal Wilshire for
coming in and I, actually Ambassador Principal if
I'm not mistaken is your correct title. Because you
do for two schools

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: ...am I right?

PRINCIPAL WILSHIRE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: ...sir. Thank you very much for coming and we appreciate your time and letting us know what's happening in your schools.

 $\label{eq:principal wilshire: Again thank you for the opportunity. \\$ 

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. And our next panel will be Zakiyah Ansari from the Alliance for Quality Education and Natasha Capers from the Coalition for Educational Justice. I see we have Communities for change in the house so... We know when you're here. Okay thank you. And I do swear everybody in in this committee so I want to ask if

J

,

start?

2.2

you'd raise your right hand please. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and to answer council member questions honestly?

[combined affirmations]

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay who'd like to

ZAKIAYAH ANSARI: So thank you to the

council for having this hearing on receivership. I think there's a lot of better more important things we could be talking about but we have to talk about this so I'm glad that we are having it here. So my name is Zakiayah Ansari. I'm the advocacy director for the Alliance for Quality Education, a statewide alliance that for the last 15 years has been fighting to ensure the state meets its constitutional obligation to provide every child with a sound basic education including the commitment to fully funding the Campaign for Fiscal Equity. But I'm also a mother of eight and a grandmother of three. And I want to begin by saying that Governor's Cuomo, Governor Cuomo's budget has

nothing to do with what will improve educational

outcomes for children and the everything to do with

2	what will please his hedge fund campaign donors.
3	Donors who wheel their wealth as a hammer on our
4	democracy, who push more privately run charters,
5	more testing, who fund majority that doesn't
6	support a living wage or a without strings
7	attached and who only believes money doesn't matter
8	when it comes to equitably funding our public
9	schools. Let's be clear receivership is just a cold
10	for privatization, privatization and shuttering the
11	voices of parents, educators, and students.
12	Governor Cuomo clearly wants control of New York
13	City public schools period. The governor lay silent
14	as many I've heard today right as Bloomberg close
15	over 150 schools, pushed high stakes testing,
16	disrespected the voices of parents and educators
17	while showing favoritism for privately run charters
18	which turned out to be a failure. As a matter of
19	fact now because we have elected a mayor and Mayor
20	de Blasio that supports research based strategies,
21	collaboration, and prioritizes our public schools.
22	All of a sudden he feels the need to take over our
23	schools. But where was the governor when CEJ and
24	AQE and other advocacy groups around this city as
25	well as state were pushing for things that work for

2	the last 12 years. Most recently the governor had
3	the audacity, I'm sure many of you have seen the
4	report he released where he claims that some of New
5	York City public schools have been failing for 10
6	years. And I wonder perhaps the governor doesn't
7	realize or he's forgetting that Bloomberg had
8	control over those schools for 12 and was using the
9	same failed policies that he is trying to push in
10	his missed opportunity agenda this year. Well I
11	haven't forgotten. As the parents and students in
12	both Newark and Detroit who have, who have been
13	under state control for decades. What has, has it
14	done for the academic success of their schools?
15	Detroit public schools are looking at a billion
16	dollar deficit instead of a surplus. And Newark
17	students are being shipped all over New Jersey all
18	in the name of choice. State takeover is not what
19	our schools need especially since we have yet to
20	try equity, not only in the city, not only in this
21	state, but in this country. We need to end
22	educational inequalities and support the strategies
23	that were New York City public schools, students,
24	over 2,000 dollars per student. What kind of
25	programs and resources I think we heard a little

J	
2	bit from the Principal Wilshire could the children
3	in Brooklyn use with the 720 million dollars in CFE
4	funding that they're owed, 720 million dollars. How
5	could Queens reduce the overcrowding with the 700
6	million dollars owed to them? We know what works.
7	Every four year old needs Pre-K. We have a mayor
8	that's already on the ball with that. Every student
9	in high needs communities should be a community
10	school. Every student, not just in wealthy schools
11	need a high quality culturally relevant curriculum
12	to prepare them for college careers and life. Focus
13	on teaching and learning not testing and punishing.
14	Programs for English language learners including
15	immigrant, unaccompanied minors, supporting school
16	climate that keeps students in school and doesn't
17	push them out through harsh and punitive discipline
18	and meaningful engagement and respects for
19	students, parents, teachers, administrators, and
20	community members. That's what our schools need.
21	But that's not the agenda the governor is pushing.
22	Mayor de Blasio and Chancellor Farina is already
23	working on a real plan to support our schools. And
24	they should be given the opportunity to, to see it
25	through. Rather it's the investment in pre-k that

2 will ensure that our four-year olds our most 3 vulnerable have access to a better start. To their 4 plan for community schools and renewal school initiative that will provide resources and 5 collaboration, that will provide opportunities... I'm 6 7 probably stealing Natasha, because she's the one who always talks about this, the opportunity for 8 parents and community members to be part of the 9 solution right that they're at the table when 10 things are happening, not after they're happening 11 12 and that they are engaged throughout the whole 13 process of whatever is happening at schools and are 14 looked at and viewed upon as the experts they are 15 no matter if they have an eighth grade education or 16 PHD. AQE is clearly in support of the resolution 17 coined upon New York state legislature to reject 18 the governor executive budget proposal on school receivership. And I'll close by saying this. I've 19 20 been at this table for many years over the years and many time, very early on obviously just 21 2.2 everybody else very nervous about speaking but 14 23 years of educational advocacy when my children were all in school and now I have grandchildren and we 24 still have yet to fully fund CFE? And then we have 25

folks out there including the governor who claims
to be a democrat and support schools but is pushing
policies that we know have been tried tested and
failed. And we are fighting every day day in and
day out to ensure that every child not only in New
York City, AQE is New York state has access to a
high quality well-funded guess what public school
education. And I am very clear. I think Council
Member Dromm said this. Language is extremely
important. The words we use make a difference. We
cannot adopt a failing school conversation in
language. Because I remember years ago and many of
you do as well at the panel for educational policy
meeting when the, around school closures when our
young people were getting up and crying and saying
I am not a failure. Our young people internalize
that. And we can no longer, and I, there's no doubt
in my mind that the governor and others use that
language just for that to incite that right? So
until we are really ready to address equity that
means equity right? That doesn't necessarily mean
equality. That means giving those who need the most
more. And the governor claims to say that he's
giving kids three times as much or whatever their

2.2

number is. But equity means that maybe you need to
give them five times as much. That's what equity
is. And so thank you to the council for having
this, the struggle continues and I just want to say
to everybody else that no matter what happens in
this budget, no matter what the struggle to fight
the conversations around this must continue.
Because this is just the beginning of it right? And
while I can't guarantee we will get everything that
we need if we don't fight 'till the end we will
definitely get nothing. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Just before Ms.

Caper starts as well. You're absolutely right. The struggle is only beginning. And you are being very successful. You look at that poll yesterday that came out, the Quinnipiac poll, the message has gotten out to people that these policies that the governor is trying to impose upon us and throughout the state are failed policies that are rejected.

They can't pull the wool over the eyes of parents and people who care the most for their children.

And so don't be discouraged because you're beginning to win the battle. Thank you.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

ZAKIAYAH ANSARI: I just want to say I can never be discouraged. We have too many children out there but you know it's just others do get discouraged... Thank you Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Absolutely. Ms. Capers.

NATASHA CAPERS: Good afternoon. I'm Natasha Capers, the Coordinator for the coalition for educational justice, a citywide coalition that works in low income communities of color around educational equity and ensuring that we're providing high quality education to every student in the city. But I'm also a mother of two, one of which... here today, my oldest Brandon who is 10, almost 11. And I think back to three years ago when I was a parent in PS298 where my children attended in District 23. And I think of the heart break when the news came down that we were a quote unquote failing school and that we were up for closure. And I think of the devastation that took upon the teachers, the staff, myself, the parents, and most importantly my children. Because what people have failed to realize is that when we message failure then you mark us failures and we fail. And we do

2	not try to get back up because you've already
3	beaten us down. And I will contend that none of
4	these schools are failing schools. They are failed
5	schools because they were failed by their
6	government, they were failed by the officials that
7	we elected to uphold what we knew what was
8	valuable. They were failed by a system that didn't
9	care. They were failed by a system that didn't
10	value their color or their creeds or their sex.
11	They were failed by a system that invalue their
12	parents, their language, or their communities. They
13	were failed, they are not failures nor are they
14	failing. They were put into a system that was
15	designed to fail them. And it is our contention
16	that there is a way in which to change that system.
17	We know the way to change that system, we heard it
18	today. We know that community schools is a model in
19	which communities are at the table that they're not
20	just identifying their problems but they're the
21	root solution to them. That no longer do we view
22	people as failing and as deficits but as assets to
23	help solve their own issues within their schools,
24	within their lives and within their communities.
25	And we know that that is done through having high

standards through teaching and learning not just
through testing, test prepping, bubbling day after
day after day in which we already know does not do
anything to increase cognitive ability. It is done
through supporting teachers, through supporting
administrators, through helping them learn through
their craft so that they're giving the absolute
best to the best that parents have given them.
Their children Parents send their jewels of their
lives to schools every day. And they're told that
they failed, that they did it, the system failed
them. We also know that by having an ongoing
college culture that supports parents through
college going experiences that supports them
through understanding how do I get my child to and
through higher education. We know that that works.
We know that having systems that support students
through all aspects of their lives social an
emotionally works. We know what works, but will we
fund what works? Or will we continue to fund a
school to prison pipeline that feeds on children
that look like my son. The question lies within
those who we elected to do our will, who are now
doing the will of hedge funders. And that we know

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

have no intention in making sure that little brown boys like Brandon get what they need and what they rightfully deserve. We know that false messaging has eroded the systems of principals. We know that it erodes the minds of our students and it's time to change all of those things. It is time to stand up to our governor who is pushing a system that we already know doesn't work. We've seen over 150 school closures. And yet schools are not any more successful. Yes they've raised the graduation rate but to do what? When students still only have, only graduate 13 percent, black and brown students 13 percent college and career ready meaning that they would have to go through remediation, meaning that they have to pay for a high school education. So you've raise the graduation rate for what? For students to go where? To do what? We know what works. Community schools work. The Coalition for Educational Justice has been working hand in hand with the Department of Education and City Hall to ensure that the model that initiative for renewal schools works on every level, especially around parents and community engagement. Because when parents do not understand what is happening within

2.2

the confinements of the school walls they will not be able to sit fully to participate at a table to ensure that things are done properly. We are fully committed and we know that when we say no to this governor that it means something. The parents ad citizens of the city said no to a failed policy of Bloomberg. So we say no again to a fail policy from the state. And we reject the, the notion of receivership. Thank you.

much for your testimony, your emotional testimony.

And thank you for coming the other day to the press conference on the same issue. I deeply appreciate your support and your efforts on behalf of our students and your struggles in this battle. And what can I say you're 100 percent right. Thank you very much. Okay. Alright our next panel is Kesy [phonetic] Foster from Urban Youth Collaborative, Kesi I'm sorry. Kama Lacarmen unofficially, oh okay okay. And Leonie Haimson from Class Size Matters.

Okay I'll have to swear you in. So if you'd just raise your right hands please, do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and nothing but the truth and to answer council
member questions honestly. Great.

KESI FOSTER: So I want to thank you Council Member Dromm, Education Committee for having us here today on this very important proposal. Hello my name is Kesi Foster. I'm the coordinator for the Urban Youth Collaborative. We are a coalition of organizations led by youth that come together to fight for racial justice in our public school system. We believe that if New York City is going to close the opportunity gap and provide all young people with high quality educational opportunities we must invest in solutions developed with the community and based in equity. For the last 12 years education reform has been imposed on our communities with no input or engagement with the young people attending our schools or the communities. Schools were closed down with no regard for the impact that it was going to have on the students that remained. We know young people that have had to attend classes in former janitor closets, young people that have to take gym and music classes online because their schools had to let go teachers as they were going

through the phasing out process. Students became
collateral damage. Still the narrative continues
today about how successful closing schools is, is
as, is as a turnaround strate3gy. Of course those
imposing policies on us never engage with the young
people that get the short end of the stick. Now we
are being told we have two choices. We can continue
to close schools or turn schools over to private
operators through the governor's receivership
proposal. We continue to invest in solutions that
shut the community out and young people as part of
the process and create a petition creating winners
and losers and making equity impossible to achieve.
The community's school model when done systemically
to include rigorous engaging and culturally
relevant curriculum transformative community and
parent engagement positive discipline practices and
strategies to improve teaching and learning has
shown to be successful in districts throughout the
country. Recently open unified school district move
to transform all their schools and the community
schools beginning with a yearlong process that
engaged community members and stakeholders in a
visioning process to move the city forward

collectively. I just saw that as part of Governor
Cuomo's plans to receivership the receivers would
potentially be tasked with doing just that,
creating community schools through wraparound
models. Essentially what the governor is proposing
is the same idea as Mayor de Blasio and Chancellor
Farina have already put in place but his plan is to
give it over to private operators without giving
the city a chance to fully implement it. Handing
schools over to the state to give the private
operators or charter management companies that do
not have the answer to communities or have the
communities represented on boards or leaderships
will not address root issues that we have, that we
need to address to improve our school system. We
understand the urgency to improve our schools.
Where's the urgency to identify equitable solutions
that don't create a lottery for success. Where's
the urgency to address the 150 thousand students
that walk through medical detectors every day and
attend schools where we spend 2,000 dollars less on
their education than in schools where we don't have
metal detectors. Where is the urgency to address a
school system that employs over 5,000 school safety

2	agents and uniformed school safety officers and
3	less than 35 hundred guidance counsellors. Or
4	where's the urgency to address the needs in schools
5	where 20 percent of the young people who attend the
6	schools are homeless. We should look no further
7	than Newark where state controller schools has torn
8	apart the community where young people had to
9	occupy the state appointed superintendent's office
10	because their concerns were continued to be
11	ignored. If we want to look at how well
12	receivership has worked we can look to Detroit
13	where after years of failure, failure the Michigan
14	governor has just transferred the turnaround office
15	to the Department of Technology Management and
16	Budget because that's where education should lie.
17	Our educational system is currently not providing
18	all students with the same high quality educational
19	opportunities. We should acknowledge that it has
2,0	never provided all students with the same high
21	quality educational opportunities. The achievement
22	gap is an opportunity gap. If we are serious about
23	improving a system for all 1.1 million children
24	that attend our schools it is time for us to look
25	past solutions that create a pathway through, for

2	some	and	collectively	embrace	and	invest	in
---	------	-----	--------------	---------	-----	--------	----

- 3 solutions that create a pathway for all students.
- 4 Community schools is a step in the right direction.
- 5 Receivership is a step in the same old direction.
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you Kesi.
- 8 Leonie.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

LEONIE HAIMSON: Yes I, thank you very much for having me here. I'm here to speak in favor of the resolution against the governor's proposal. The state has no track record of improving schools ad receivership. When the state education department took control of the Roosevelt school district in 2002 and ran it for over a decade there was little or no improvement as reported in a news day article in 2013 quote Albany's intervention ends Monday after 11 years and more than 300 million dollars in extra state spending. The period marked by limited scholastic progress and memorable mistakes by state officials and their appointees was the first and only time the state ever managed a local school system. And then I quote Roger Tilles who's the region from Long Island who said

that the State Education Department has no

2	capabilities to run a school district. We need
3	other alternatives. Moreover I'd like to focus on
4	the narrative of the crisis of failing schools that
5	has been used to justify the expansion of state
6	receivership which I think is manufactured to
7	encourage the hostile takeover of public schools.
8	As Michael Petrilli of the Thomas Fordham Institute
9	just wrote and he's a big supporter of the Common
10	Core standards and the new exams; some education
11	reformers in media outlets are already using the
12	results of the new tougher tests to brand schools
13	as failing as if most of their students don't make,
14	meet the higher standards. Note for instance the
15	daily news' special report that was just put in
16	this week fight for the future which leads with the
17	provocative headline New York City is rife with
18	underperforming schools including nearly two-thirds
19	of students missing state standards. This line of
20	attack closely resembles the talking points of Eva
21	Moskowitz and Jeremiah Kittredge of Families for
22	Excellent Schools who both promote the notion that
23	in New York City 80, 800 thousand kids can't read
24	or do math at grade level. And 143 thousand kids
25	are trapped in persistently failing schools.

2	Petrilli goes on to say these statements are all
3	out of bounds and reformers should say so. They
4	validate the concerns some educators voiced all
5	along that we would use the results of the tougher
6	test to unfairly label more schools as failures.
7	The results of the new common core exams are
8	essentially unreliable. They were designed to find
9	two-thirds of the students failing and did so, not
10	just in New York City but across the entire state.
11	These reports by families for excellent schools
12	claiming a crisis were put out by an organization
13	that has received considerable funding from hedge
14	funders and wall street financiers as well as more
15	than 700 thousand over the past two years from the
16	Walton Foundation, an organization with an
17	aggressive privatization agenda. These same
18	unreliable figures cited by this organization were
19	echoed in a report from the Governor's Office that
2,0	has been described as quote sometimes
21	indistinguishable from the eight reports on
22	struggling schools at the FES has sent reporters
23	since the summer. Not surprisingly the governor has
24	received huge from some of the same hedge funders
25	and financiers. Yet Carol Burris award winning

2	principal in the Rockville Center School District
3	in Long Island has shown how unreliable these
4	figures are based on cut scores imposed by the
5	state that purport to show which students will be
6	college ad career ready. For example only half of
7	her students in the district were said to be
8	proficient or standards at ELA and math based upon
9	their state test scores. 100 percent of them
10	graduate with a regions diploma and 85 percent with
11	an advanced designation. Over 92 percent of them go
12	to college and persist and are there, still there
13	two years later. Another such district is Oceanside
14	Long Island where 96 percent of students graduate
15	with a regions diploma of 58 percent with advanced
16	and 92 percent go on to college including 70
17	percent of four year colleges yet more than two-
18	thirds of their eighth graders were found to be
19	failing and below grade standards as well. When
20	Michael Bloomberg was running for reelection in
21	2009 the state test scores purported to show that
22	two thirds of the city students had achieved great
23	standards in English and 82 percent in math. Now
24	the state says only about one-third of them do.
25	Clearly the cut scores were set for political

reasons then and are now just as politically
motivated. They were preordained to fit the
ideological goals of those who were intent on
dismantling and privatizing our schools. A few
years ago another conservative Riques [phonetic]
commentator at the American Enterprise Institute
revealed the motives behind these exams in an
eerily pertinent column called the common core
Kool-Aid quote. First politicians will actually
embrace the common core assessments and then we'll
use them to set cut scores that suggest huge
numbers of suburban schools are failing as well as
city schools of course. Then parents and community
members who previously liked their schools are
going to believe the assessment results rather than
their own lying eyes. Finally newly convinced that
their schools stink parents and voters will embrace
quote unquote reform. However most of today's
proffered remedies including test based teacher
evaluation, efforts to move effective teachers to
low income schools, charter schools, and school
turnarounds don't have a lot of fans in the suburbs
who speak to the things that parents are most
concerned about. Common core advocates now incense

an eerie confidence that they can scare these voters into embracing the reform agenda. And this was written two years ago. When Governor Cuomo was running for reelection he acknowledged that the state test scores that children received were not fair and thus he promised that they should not be put on their transcripts he ran a campaign ad in which he promised quote not to use common core scores for at least five years and then only if our children are ready. If these scores aren't ready to be used to judge students they aren't adequate to judge our schools or deem them failing either They are certainly not reliable enough to ask the state education department to take them over which has no record of success in doing so. Thank you.

much for coming in and for presenting that testimony, for giving testimony. I was very glad to hear Leonie your comments as always. I am, I agree with you on the use of test scores. We're going to have a hearing on that on March 30<sup>th</sup> to inform parents of their right to opt out of the test because I do believe as well as an educator that part of the underlying cause for all this hysteria

2	round the crisis quote unquote is based on the
3	misuse of standardized tests to judge everything
4	from principals raises and bonuses to how well
5	students are doing in the classroom to raiding
6	schools themselves which we saw 12 years of failed
7	policies, we saw manipulation of test scores during
8	certain mayoral elections when all of a sudden
9	scores in 2009 and maybe previous to that went up.
10	And it looked like everybody was doing wonderful
11	and then two or three years later they fell and now
12	nobody's doing good and you know it reminds me of
13	when I first became a teacher. The principal would
14	bring us down and in those days they would score
15	the test by 2.1 second grade first month, 2.5 and
16	4.5 or whatever it was. And you know it asked me
17	some questions about what I would do to help get
18	the scores up and you know you'd be held
19	accountable for that and you'd come up with a
20	little bit of a plan. But it wasn't everything
21	about the child. It wasn't everything about the
22	schools. And we have fallen into this trap that we
23	have allowed elected officials politicians to use
24	these test scores to determine everything about the
25	success quote unquote of schools success or failure

3

4

5

6

,

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of these schools. And underlying all of these battles is the way in which these test scores have been used. It's wrong. It was never meant to be used that way and we need to move away from it as quickly as humanely possible. So that's my comment for the day. Thank you. Council Member Treyger.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Very briefly the chair thank you very much for really crystalizing exactly the point here. And I thank you for your powerful testimony for both of you. And I just want to just shed light because I, I was a teacher during the, the Bloomberg years and, and so as we were trying to implement the Danielson evaluations and we were, we were being told that we must align our lessons to common core I taught history, I made, I pointed out that the exams have not been aligned to common core so we're aligning, we're shifting instruction, preparing our kids for an exam that is not aligned to our instruction. That just goes to show you the disconnect and the self-fulfilling prophesy. And for, and for those people who you know I hear some, some of the people yelling that this is the civil rights issue of our day. Well I'll tell you we just observed the  $50^{\text{th}}$ 

3

4

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

anniversary of Selma and when I taught history I don't, I didn't see these testing companies include Selma bloody Sunday on our US history regions. So here's some news for, for our testing companies out there in park. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And while we're on

it. You know the use of these test scores for teacher evaluations is also totally absurd. You know some teachers, gym teachers for example don't have anything to do really with the reading score. People will prefer to possibly become gym teachers. They don't test kids below second grade right. So who wouldn't want to teach the grades lower than second grade. In schools they have to share test scores right? They come up with some type of a system where the gym teacher shares the test score with the overall school or on the grade or ... I don't think the public fully understands this piece of the misuse of tests to determine teachers evaluations and determine scores for schools. It's bizarre that anything like this could have ever have happened. And the reason that it did happen is because we did not have education experts from the regions and the chancellor all the way down to the

## COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2	former	administration	[cross-talk]	understand
3	what's	aoina on.		

2.2

LEONIE HAIMSON: Actually, actually yeah it's came down from the federal government with a race to the top. And they, they...

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Absolutely.

that point were not considered either. The national academy of sciences came out saying this is a bad idea, this race to the top. You cannot evaluate teachers this way. All the experts said so and they did it anyway. And that's when the system was imposed not just in New York City but across the country. And it's been very very damaging.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Absolutely. Thank you. Thank you both for coming. Kesi thank you as well. So we do have a quorum so we can vote on the resolution that is before us. And with that I'm going to ask the clerk to all the vote.

COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: William Martin,
Committee Clerk. Roll call vote Committee on
Education. Chair Dromm.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Absolutely 100 percent yes.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 76
2	COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: Garodnick.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Aye.
4	COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: Chin.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: I vote aye.
6	COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: Levin.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Aye.
8	COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: Weprin.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: I vote 85
10	percent yes.
11	COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: Deutsch.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Yes.
13	COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: Maisel.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: Yes.
15	COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: Treyger.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: New York City
17	schools are not for sale. I vote aye.
18	COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: By a vote of
19	eight in the affirmative, zero in the negative, and
20	no abstention item has been adopted.
21	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much
22	Mr. Clerk. This is a clear message to the governor
23	that we reject his plan and that they need to scrap
24	it and come up with something else. I'm also asking
25	the clerk to keep the vote open for another 15

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 77
2	minutes or so. Let's say 20 minutes. And with that
3	I thank all the members for coming.
4	COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: Council Member
5	Williams.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I vote aye.
7	COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: Council Member
8	Barron.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I vote aye.
10	COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: Council Member
11	Rose.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Aye, yes, and
13	we're going to get this money son of a gun. You
14	know I'm sick and tired of
15	COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: Council Member
16	Levine.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I vote aye.
18	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Yes I'd just
19	like to say that it is now 3:13 and the meeting of
20	the Oh okay yes, okay. Clark would you call the
21	final call, the final vote?
22	COMMITTEE CLERK MARTIN: Final vote in
23	the Committee on Education is now 12 in the
24	affirmative, zero in the negative, and no

abstentions.

1	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION 78
2	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Very good and with
3	that I will adjourn this meeting at 3:13.
4	
5	[gavel]
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 31, 2015