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CHAIR: Okay good afternoon and welcome to the Education Committee's oversight hearing on overcrowding in New York City public schools. We'll also hear testimony today on, on a resolution that I sponsored, resolution 563, which I'll talk more about shortly. Overcrowding is a longstanding severe and growing problem in New York City schools. A July 2014 report by the Independent Budget Office found that overcrowding in city schools increased steadily from 2007 to eight through 2012 and 13. In fact the 2014 audit by the Controller's Office found that 36 percent of the city's school buildings were overcrowded in the 201112 school year. In addition the number of students impacted by overcrowding is going. The IBO found that 40.3 percent of total enrollment attended school in overcrowded buildings in the 2007 to eight school year. But by the 2012/2013 school year the number had grown to 43.5 percent of students. It's important to note that the IBO defines a building as overcrowded if its utilization rate exceeds 102.5 percent rather than the 100 percent standard used by the controller and
others. The IBO also found the highest rate of overcrowding in elementary schools with median utilization rates of 101.3 followed by high schools at 90.2 percent and middle schools at 82.2 percent in 2012 to 13. But even these figures underestimate the problem because the DOE's enrollment capacity and utilization report known as the blue book is widely considered inaccurate leading DOE to create a working group in 2014 to reevaluate it. In fact one of the findings of the court in the campaign for fiscal equity the CFE lawsuit was that overcrowding is even worse than reported because the blue book overstates school's capacities.

Further court of appeals decision in CFE specifically sited overcrowding and overly large class sizes, class sizes as deficiencies preventing students from receiving a sound basic education. Overcrowding can result from a variety of factors including enrollment growth due to increases and birth rates and immigration as well as new housing development. Policy changes can also impact overcrowding. For example the city's pre-k expansion initiative has increased pre-k enrollment from just under 20 thousand in 2013 to 14 to more
than 53 thousand students this school year with plans to expand that number to over 70 thousand by the fall of 2015. Colocations of both district and charter schools can also lead to overcrowding. In the case of school colocations instructional space is lost because each school needs its own administrative offices, spaces to provide services for students with disabilities, and other specialized spaces. In order to accommodate these additional space needs classrooms are often converted into offices or other needed spaces. I want to take a moment to clarify something. I've read numerous statements in the press to the effect that charter colocations don't contribute to overcrowding because schools where charters are collocated have lower utilization rates than the average. I don't believe that is always the case especially since the formula used to determine under or over utilization seems to be somewhat of a mystery. Furthermore when charters grow to their full size many times the school building will exceed 100 percent capacity as indicated in the DOE's educational impact statements. Research shows that overcrowded schools have a negative impact on
both students and teachers. For example crowded schools are noisier which affects children's reading abilities and cognitive development and results in lost instruction time due to noise distractions. In addition studies have found that teachers are more stressed, have more absences and are more likely to experience burnout when schools are overcrowded. Students in crowded schools also tend to have larger class sizes which have a negative effect on student learning. Overcrowded schools often convert specialized spaces such as science labs, libraries, music and art rooms into regular classrooms negatively impacting instruction in these subjects. Too often instruction occurs in hallways, closets, stairwells, and other inappropriate spaces in overcrowded schools. Further multiple lunch periods are needed to accommodate all students in overcrowded schools starting as early as 9:30 in the morning and continuing until 2:30 in the afternoon in some cases. How can we expect children to be able to focus and learn when they're hungry. The DOE's efforts to address overcrowding have fallen far short. The DOE's analysis has identified a citywide
need of approximately 49 thousand seats. But because of funding constraints the capital fund proposes to fund just 32,629 new seats including seats that were funded but not started in the prior capital plan. Critics say that the DOE's projection of seat needed is far too low. The control's audit found that a need for 85 thousand additional seats just to address the 520 over utilized schools identified in the 2011-12 blue book. Advocate also say that the DOE's projection of 49 thousand doesn't account for seats needed to reduce class size or to accommodate students currently in trailers called TCUs which DOE says will all be removed by the end of this five year capital plan. Clearly this is an important topic and we have a lot to examine today regarding overcrowding in city public schools. The committee also looks forward to hearing testimony from parents, students, educators, advocates, union CEC members, and others on this issue. As I stated earlier we will also hear testimony on Resolution 563 today. That resolution calls upon the New York state legislature to reject any attempt to raise the cap on the number of charter schools. In 1998 the state
legislature passed the New York charter School's act reauthorizing the creation of up to 100 charter schools which are publically funded but privately operated schools. Since that time the cap on the number of charter schools allowed to operate in New York state has risen twice. In 2007 the New York state legislature doubled the number of charter schools allotted in the state from 100 to 200 in 20, and in 2010 the cap was raised again to allow an additional 260 charter schools making a total of 460 charters available statewide. More than half of the new charters authorized in 2010 or 156 out of 260 remain unused. Under the current state charter cap up to 256 of the state wide charter school total of 460 can be located in New York city. Currently 197 charters are operating in the city. Another 34 have been approved and 25 remain unused under the cap for New York City. Since charter schools were first authorized there has been no comprehensive independent evaluation of charter school operations and had been relatively few audits of charter schools conducted by the state controller to date. Audits of charter schools that have been conducted by the state controller have
found significant numbers of deficiencies and mismanagement including conflicts of interest, failure to complete required checks of criminal history and inadequate systems of internal controls over basic financial operations in some cases. A November 2014 report by the center for popular democracy and the alliance for quality education estimates that New York could stand to lose 54 million dollars in charter school fraud in 2014 alone. Because charter schools are publically funded it is important to conduct a comprehensive assessment before committing substantial further investment. Additionally as part of the 2014 state budget legislation New York City is the only district now required to provide free space to all new or expanding charter schools either by collocating the charter in a city school building or by paying for rent in private space. According to the New York City Charter School Center the city would have to pay the lessor of the actual rental cost or a total amount of up to two million of, excuse me up to $2,775.40$ per pupil in 2015 to 16 . There are not many city schools that are sufficiently underutilized to accommodate such
colocations as most schools are near or at capacity thus the city will increasingly have to pay for rent for charter schools in private space. Under the existing cap the city will have to provide space for an additional 59 new charter schools as well as any existing charters that wish to expand. Raising the cap will create an undue financial hardship for the city because of this new requirement for providing free space to charter schools. Therefore Resolution 563 calls upon the New York state legislature to reject any attempt to raise the cap on the number of charter schools. I would like to remind everyone who wishes to testify today, excuse me, that you must fill out a witness slip which is located on the desk of the Sergeant of Arms near the front of the room. If you wish to testify in resolution 388 , 388 please indicate on the witness slip whether you are here to testify in favor of or in opposition to the resolution. I also want to point out that we will not be voting on this resolution today as it is just the first hearing. To allow as many people as possible to testify testimony will be limited to three minutes per person. And please note that all witnesses will be sworn in before testifying. So I'd like to say we've been joined by my colleagues in the council, council member Alan Maisel from Brooklyn is here and Council member Mark Treyger is here as well. There are about three or four hearings going on at the same time. The teachers are here on time as usual. And the principal is here on time as usual because we know we don't go to school late; never did, never will. And we're here. So they will be joining us though seriously because those other hearings I also will have to step out to go to another hearing and then come back so I get attendance in the other meeting. But anyway we'll take that as we go along. And I guess without further ado I will introduce Elizabeth Rose the acting deputy chancellor for the division of operations from the Department of Education and Loraine Grillo the president and CEO of the School Construction Authority. And I need to ask you to raise your right hand so I can swear you in. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and to answer council member questions honestly?

ELIZABETH ROSE: I do.

CHAIR: Okay. I don't know who would begin. Ms. Rose?

ELIZABETH ROSE: That would be me. Thank you. Good afternoon Chair Dromm and all the members of the Education Committee here today. My name is Elizabeth Rose, acting deputy chancellor for the Division of Operations at the New York City Department of Education. I am joined by Loraine Grillo, president and CEO of the New York City School Construction Authority. We are pleased to be here today and discuss our work as it relate to overcrowding in New York City schools. This administration is committed to ensuring that all our students have access to a high quality education in school facilities that provide a sound instructional environment. Overcrowding in New York City is a complex issue with many causes and solutions. In September of 2014 we opened 11 new sites creating over 5,000 new seats for our students. And we are on track to open 42 new locations this September for an additional 13,324 seats in the $2015 / 2016$ school year that, including new pre-k sites. Even with new seats we recognize that overcrowded buildings exist in certain
geographic pockets throughout the city. We define a school building as overcrowded if its utilization rate is greater than 100 percent as reflected in our annual report on capacity, enrollment, and utilization commonly known as the blue book. To assess capacity and utilization we apply citywide standards for space requirements and class sizes at every grade level. For Kindergarten through third grade the standard is 20 students per class. In grades four through eight the standard is 28 per class. And in high school the standard is 32,30 students per class. Based on the 20132014 blue book the most recent year available the system is at 93 percent utilization. While the 93 percent utilization figure suggests that the DOE has sufficient capacity to meet demand we know that in districts across the city, the supply of seats is not perfectly aligned with where students live or wish to attend school. As a result we have individual buildings and in some cases entire neighborhoods that are overcrowded. And in other cases we have buildings and neighborhood that are underutilized. By borough the utilization rates are 86 percent for Brooklyn, 88 percent for Manhattan,

93 percent for the Bronx, 99 percent for Staten Island, and 105 percent for Queens. Of our approximately 13 hundred 11 building this excludes TCUs and other buildings that do not have student capacity. 575 buildings, about 44 percent have a utilization rate that exceeds 100 percent. Almost three-fourths of these buildings house a single school. And only one-quarter of these buildings hold collocated schools. Of the over utilized buildings 80 percent are elementary school buildings and the vast majority house a zoned school. We also find that overcrowding is prevalent in our most in demand high schools such as Francis Lewis, Towns in Terrace [phonetic], Midwood, Brooklyn Tech, Curtis, Bronx High school of Science, and LaGuardia High School of Music and Performing Arts to name a few. Schools that offer attractive programs and a wide array of programming are attracted to students and made raw students from all boroughs. The DOE places a high priority on meeting student demand and ensuring that students have access to high quality programs. Addressing overcrowding here would require we limit our students' ability to gain access to such highly
sought after schools and programs. For our school communities overcrowding is primarily experienced as larger class sizes compared to the class size standards used by the DOE to calculate capacity. In all cases the DOE applies class size figures that are below the maximum allowed by the United Federation of Teachers Contract. While class sizes may be larger than the targets used by the DOE to calculate capacity in the blue book students still receive specialty instruction such as art, music, and science. In the last capital plan the DOE funded upgrades to ensure science laboratories were available to all high school students. When specialty instruction rooms are not available students may receive specialized instruction in their main classrooms. The Office of Space Planning, OSP, within the Division of Operations is responsible for monitoring, building, and school overutilization and devising appropriate strategies to reduce overcrowding. I'd like to depart from my testimony at this time just to introduce Tom Torraco [sp?] the chief executive of our space management group, and sitting next to him Rich Bochicchio [sp?] the executive director of the

Office of Space Planning who are with us today. OSP regularly conducts cross departmental meetings with the SCA, the Office of District Planning, the Office of Student Enrollment, the Division of School Facilities, and Superintendents to evaluate seat need and consider strategies to relieve overcrowding. Strategies to alleviate and address overcrowding including great expansions, grate..., rezoning of elementary and middle school catchment areas, opening of new schools, conversion of inefficient spaces and existing school facilities and building new capacity. Among other projects the Office of Space Panning is implementing a system to better track overutilization and monitor the strategies we are using to alleviate overcrowding. An example of our efforts to reduce overcrowding include our work with community education councils which have the authority to approve zoning lines. And other community stakeholders to rezone the catchment areas of elementary and middle schools. Since the 2010/2011 school year 217 schools have been rezoned. Of these schools nearly 60 percent have experienced a decrease in utilization in the first year after rezoning. After three years 70
percent of these school's utilization rates declined. Because rezoning only impacts the incoming grade level each year the full impact of rezoning is felt after six years for elementary schools and after three years for middle schools. For instance in District 20 in Brooklyn there has been extreme population growth and an increased number of overcrowded buildings over the past several years especially at the elementary school level. In 2011 and 2012 two rezoning plans were put forth by the DOE and were approved by CEC 20 to shrink the zones of PS69 and PS105 among others. In Just three years by shrinking the size of the PS69 and PS105 zones and by utilizing new and additional capacity at nearby schools both PS69 and PS105 had seen decreases in enrollment and had fewer students on a wait list. In fact for the first time in several years PS 105 did not need to cap an overflow kindergarten students this year. Moreover the full effects of the rezoning will not be realized for several more years after larger cohorts graduate and smaller cohorts of students enroll. In some cases we may open a new school or program in existing underutilized space to attract
students from outside a zone and alleviate overcrowding in nearby buildings. Last year in District 24 in Queens we relocated a gifted and talented program from IS61 in Corona to IS73 in the Maspeth and Elmhurst neighborhoods. The majority of students attending the program were actually zoned to IS73 so relocating the program both shortened the travel distance for most students and alleviated overcrowding at IS61. Currently over half of our overcrowded buildings are located in areas where we have funded new capacity in the 2015 to 2019 capital plan. New capacity is an important tool to tackling areas of overcrowding. However resource constraints mean we cannot depend solely on new capacity to address overcrowding. The proposed 13.5 billion dollar fiscal year 20152019 capital plan reflects the citywide need of 49 thousand seats and will create approximately 33 thousand new seats. Within our capital plan we prioritize funding to address overcrowded areas where we are unable to rezone or there is no underutilized capacity nearby. The capital plan also include funding for this administration's priority to remove all transportable classroom
units known as TCUs and to reduce class sizes. Specifically 480 million has been allocated to remove TCUs and redevelop the yard space where the TCUs are located. And here I'm going to correct what is in the written testimony. Since October 2013 we have removed 47 TCUs and have developed plans to remove 94 additional TCUs. We are also working with principals and superintendents to develop plans to enable the removal of the remaining TCUs. Plans include building new capacity in overcrowded areas, supporting schools to better utilize the space in their main buildings, and assessing the needs for potential changes to zoning or other enrollment adjustments. The class size reduction in the capital plan recognizes the need for targeted investment of additional resources to bring class sizes down in areas that might not otherwise require new capacity. Funding set aside in the class size reduction program will allow us to build approximately 4,900 new eats. An interdepartmental group within the DOE is in the process of conducting an analysis to determine the areas where these seats will be allocated. In addition to the significant financial investments
in the capital plan and in response to longstanding concerns raised by school communities and other stakeholders. Last spring Chancellor Farina established a bluebook working group to review the way space is reflected in the blue book. The group comprised of parents, teachers, principals, advocates, elected officials, and SCA and DOE staff has focused its work on understanding the underlying formulas that determine current bluebook utilization figures and discussing recommendations that would improve the way our communities understand how space is used. Changes we have already implemented as a result of this groups recommendations include adjusting the bluebook formulas so that enrollment in TCUs is now included in the main buildings current enrollment and creating a more user friendly bluebook formula for school communities. The group has recently submitted its preliminary recommendations to the mayor and the chancellor and we will soon publically release a final report. It is important to note that over the past six years total enrollment in New York City school buildings has increased by over 34 thousand students. At the same
time the number of overcrowded buildings has remained relatively constant. The increased enrollment and relatively constant number of overcrowded buildings over the past several years suggests that the strategies the DOE has been using to address overcrowding have allowed us to enroll and educate an increasing number of students in our public schools. That said we know that far too many of our students attend an overcrowded school and we are omitted to working creatively and systematically to reduce the number of over utilized school buildings in the city finally one of the most vital tools to help reduce overcrowding is to ensure that every neighborhood school provides its students with a high quality education. This remains the administration's top priority. The city council has been a strong partner in our efforts to reduce overcrowding and we look forward to our continued collaboration. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. We will take your questions.

CHAIR: President Grillo you having any words? No? Okay. Alright well thank you very much Deputy Chancellor for your testimony today. I want
to say first we've been joined by Council Member Inez Barron and Council Member Mark Levine, also former educators. So I want to thank them for being here. A lot of information to digest in your testimony. And often times take time to really understand exactly what's going on. So let me just start off by asking you first of all how many students are currently enrolled in overcrowded schools. So what would the total number of students be there. Because you mentioned some figures about 45 percent or something like that $I$ think in your testimony of the schools are not overcrowded but how many students is that actually involved that are in these overcrowded schools because I think that tells a more real picture.

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Thank you Chairman.
Excuse me, I have laryngitis.
CHAIR: Oh okay I didn't know.
PRESIDENT GRILLO: Currently there are 490 thousand students in overcrowded...

CHAIR: 490 thousand?
PRESIDENT GRILLO: Yes.
CHAIR: Well that's...

CHAIR: So that's a good 40, I mean just estimating, good 40 percent or 45 percent of our... PRESIDENT GRILLO: Correct. CHAIR: ...of our student population... PRESIDENT GRILLO: Right. CHAIR: ...that's in these overcrowded schools. So the situation to me is still pretty dire and it's something that really requires us to look more deeply at it because $I$ think that number is actually the number that we can go by. You know I taught as you know in District 24 I've told you these stories before in PS199 in Sunnyside. And literally one day they came into the classroom, they came into the uh, I was in the staff room and they opened the maintenance closet which was next to the classroom, pulled out the pitchfork, the rake, the shovels, threw up a coat of paint and turned it into the speech room. And one of the things that we see happening in schools like 199 and other schools particularly in 24 an in 30 which is really the epicenter I think of the overcrowding and I think now we're hearing more about District

20 as well. Is the, the picture of the use of rooms for purposes that they were not originally intended. So for example in 199 the girl's locker room and the boy's locker room are now currently classrooms. The dressing rooms behind the stage are now classrooms, full classrooms by the way, sometimes with reduced number of students. How many schools are like that where you have those types of situations occurring.

ELIZABETH ROE: So we don't have a number of schools of schools with that particular set of circumstances. One of the things that we have one and again this was at the recommendation of the blue book working group was to adjust our survey that feeds into the bluebook so that principals have the opportunity to note that a room is not being used for its originally intended purpose which I think is why, was very glad to see the, the blue book working group formed. Because I think on that level principals many, many do know the history of their building. Some don't though actually because it's the overcrowding situation particularly in these districts that I mentioned before is so old that it, it predates even the
principals who are in those buildings now and don't know that perhaps a science lab at one time is now a classroom or whatever. But that also I think gives us a better feel or exactly what is happening in the schools. So you know I don't think we can say that, and obviously... I mean... hate to go back... but that's my own experience but I think it's typical of other situations in these overcrowded districts. To say that they're at 149 percent or whatever it may be isn't exactly accurate either because there probably is like more like 200 percent because they're using those rooms in a way in which they weren't originally intended. Will that be part of the new bluebook working groups reporting back to us?

PRESIDENT GRILLO: That, again I apologize for the voice, but that will be included in the, the annual principal survey that informs the bluebook. So that information will be folded in but it will not stand out in the new bluebook. But it will show up in the principal survey.

CHAIR: So let, let me, let me go off on a, on another little bit of, so with the transportables in your testimony you say that we're
making some progress which $I$ think is good. And, and let me go to another example. At 125, IS125 I noticed in one of the reports that I have here before me they're due for removal of the transportables and, in 2017. In the list of the schools that are due for removal of the transportables that means just the removal or does that also mean the construction of the new school or the new addition or the, the wing?

ELIZABETH ROSE: So the removal of TCUs in some cases is related to addition or other new capacity very nearby. In other cases it, we are able to remove the TCUs without requiring new capacity. Whether that is working with principals to better organize their space in their existing buildings or frankly we have some cases where TCUs have simply remained past the time when they were needed. So not all of them are dependent upon new additions.

CHAIR: So Deputy Chancellor when I hear you say that you help principals reorganize their space it causes a little bit of concern for me because it goes back to the question that I was asking you just before this, prior to this, about
the utilization of space for which it was not intended. And I think that you know principals find creative ways when they have to but one of the things that I think that we should really be looking at and $I$ hope that we can get some of this information from the new bluebook is to go back to having those labs, the music rooms, the cluster rooms in our schools. And so that causes me a little bit of concern when $I$ hear that.

ELIZABETH ROSE: I was not referring to removing cluster rooms or labs or other specialty spaces in that process.

CHAIR: Do you anticipate a day coming when we can go back to having those rooms used for the original purpose with the current plan?

ELIZABETH ROSE: So most schools do in fact continue to have specialty rooms and spaces for specialized instruction. I don't have a specific of in some of the more overcrowded districts where we have new capacity how many of those schools may or may not be missing some of those rooms.

CHAIR: So that also brings up a point in your testimony. And I think I underlined it,
just let me go back to it for a second. Where you were talking about they get the programs like reading... while class sizes may be larger than the targets used by the DOE to calculate capacity in the bluebook students still receive specialty instruction such as art, music, and science. Often times though in many of these overcrowded schools, and I'm wondering if you can acknowledge this to be correct they're pushing programs. So you have a teacher doing science from a cart. Actually that' what I did before, in the two months between being, having won the primary election until the last, to the day in November when I quit to come into the, into the council it was do science off of a push cart or music or even library in some cases. In your statement is that, are you including the provision of those services when teachers use carts to push into classrooms?

ELIZABETH ROSE: We, it is certainly not the intent that schools would not have specialty spaces. We do know that that sometimes does occur because of the overcrowding and we are working to address those areas of overcrowding particularly in heavily over utilized districts where we need new
capacity. And we acknowledge that we need new capacity. Districts 24 , district 30 as you mentioned, district 20 , district 15, many of those areas we don't have alternatives to building new capacity. But we also in other districts have opportunities to better utilize underutilized spaces in order to help address some of those situations.

CHAIR: So has the protocol for identifying school sites and reducing over, over, overcrowding change at all since the Bloomberg administration?

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Actually no it hasn't. But I will say what has changed is the cooperation and help of the city council members in identifying those spaces and we appreciate it.

CHAIR: So that takes me to another question. And this is a general question. But have we considered the use of imminent domain in regard to sites in some of these overcrowded districts because I know for certain that in District 30 and 24, perhaps in 20, I don't know Council Member Treyger will confirm this, the issue is we just don't have land. And I think we need to begin to
look at some ways to acquire this land for public purposes.

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Actually Chairman we do use imminent domain, we use it rarely, but we do use it. And the most important things to remember about it is we will not displace homeowners. We will not displace religious organizations and active businesses that have people working. So those are really our criteria for that. But certainly we have used it in certain cases and we will continue.

CHAIR: That's good. And so that is part of the consideration. So I'm going to stop here now. I'm going to let my colleagues ask some questions. And I think the first person on the list now is Council Member Alan Maisel followed by Council Member Treyger and then Levine.

COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: Thank you Mr.
Chairman. I met, I think either Chairman Dromm said it or maybe, maybe I heard it someplace else that... schools are not considered to be a factor in overcrowding?

ELIZABETH ROSE: What I said is the vast majority of the overcrowded buildings in the city are single organization district school buildings.

COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: Alright. So
would you agree though that if you have a charter school going into a underutilized school it does prevent the school district from being flexible in that if there are overcrowded schools surrounding that public school that you could no longer rezone because now that school is filled up. So in effect it eliminates one of the tools to alleviate overcrowding in the district. So it's not just the school that has the charter school, it impacts the whole district, do you think that's a fair statement?

ELIZABETH ROSE: So it is, it limits the potential to use some of that space for rezoning. But it also attracts students who may be in overcrowded schools. So it, it's an alternative strategy... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: Yeah but that would have to be a very very small number.

ELIZABETH ROSE: ...force students out of the zone.

CHAIR: Yeah most of the charter...
[cross-talk] schools as I understand it are relatively small. So that last part can't be too significant. The main thing however is I, I think would be much more significant if we had the ability without charter schools to rezone whole blocks out of a overcrowded school or out, overcrowded several schools and put them into a underutilized school as... And having been in the business for a very long time myself I was Chairman of the school board, I was chairman of the Zoning Committee, we did that. But then we didn't have charter schools to worry about.

ELIZABETH ROSE: Well, and we have made many efforts to rezone many of our schools. As I mentioned in the testimony we've in fact succeeded in rezoning 217 schools over the past few years. And we would have been able to rezone more beyond that. In some cases no matter, despite our working closely with CECs some of our proposed rezonings were not passed.

COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: Does these...
[cross-talk]
ELIZABETH ROSE: But we are, we are...

COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: Yep, thank you. ELIZABETH ROSE: ...we're, we're very
happy to work with CECs to rezone schools.
COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: The, the other question I had is the role of the community district education councils. Do they actually, even though the law says that they're supposed to be involved under the Bloomberg Administration I don't think they were involved at all. And I know that rezoning is supposed to take place every odd year, or can take place every odd, just not required but it can. So does, do the local education councils, are they going to have a role to play in discussion of overcrowded schools and possible rezoning?

ELIZABETH ROSE: So they have a very
large role to play in discussions of overcrowded schools and rezoning. And in fact under the prior administration and today the Department of Education can propose rezoning for overcrowded schools. But we cannot implement rezoning unless it is passed by a vote of the CEC. COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: Right. ELIZABETH ROSE: So they have... [cross-

COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: That by the way was not the case before. Because in the two education councils in my district, they complained to me that when it came to local rezoning they were bypassed by the Department of Ed. Under the previously... [cross-talk]

ELIZABETH ROSE: Let, let's discuss those situations... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: ...limited...
ELIZABETH ROSE: ...offline.
COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: ...administration.
ELIZABETH ROSE: I'm happy to discuss those, whatever those situations were offline.

COUNCIL MEMBER MAISEL: Okay thank you very much.

ELIZABETH ROSE: But we cannot pass rezoning without the CEC.

CHAIR: Okay. Council Member Treyger.
COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: I'd like to
actually begin by noting that typically at these types of hearings we would hear from former deputy chancellor Kathleen Grimm may she rest in peace... [cross-talk]

ELIZABETH ROSE: Thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: ...because this would be a hearing that you would definitely be at and we, she's a loss for the DOE and for the entire city of New York. Just a couple questions on, over the counter students and, and this issue of enrollment. I taught at district 20 in the high school. And one of the challenges was that you had students coming in from other countries... [crosstalk]

ELIZABETH ROSE: Mm-hmm. And so how does the DOE deal with making sure that we don't exacerbate existing space issues at schools where many immigrant families are settling in. And how is that being evenly dispersed among all the schools in the nearby area.

ELIZABETH ROSE: So when a student comes in over the counter meeting sort of in the few weeks before school begins in September they first meet with an admission's advisor. We open admission centers in each of the boroughs and assess where, where the student is at educationally, what their potential needs are. That admission advisor then if they have a zoned school that would be where they would be sent to attend their zoned school. They're
also potentially placed in choice schools that have seat available. So we try to best match the needs and interest of the students with the seats that are available in each of those locations. So we try to do, make that the best fit for each student.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: But have there been cases where students from new countries come in and are placed in schools that are already overcrowded?

CHAIR: So it is possible that they would be placed in a school where the utilization is above 100 percent. So using that definition yes that is possible. The, in each school every year has an enrollment projection of the number of students that it is anticipated to serve. And so the seats available for over the counter are based on what is that school's enrollment projection for the year and how many students do they currently have enrolled for the appropriate grade level?

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Because my concern is that these were kids that were also very vulnerable in our system. They are, it's possible that they're English language learners. And when they're placed in a situation where the school's
already overcrowded those needs sometimes fall through the cracks. I'm curious to know are if, if there's a charter school in the area where the family's coming in do charter schools have to take these, over the counter kids.

ELIZABETH ROSE: So charter schools admission is regulated by state law.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Mm-hmm.
ELIZABETH ROSE: And there, there admissions have to go by lottery which is managed in the spring time. So if a student comes in new to the system in that summer period what we would call over the counter it is unlikely that they would be eligible for charter school seats.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: So to be clear just, so if there is a public school that's at 100 percent capacity and a charter school at 70 percent capacity and a new family comes in they are not going to that charter school at that time is that correct.

ELIZABETH ROSE: Most likely yes.
COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: A question just to give you an example of when this happens this was a, a colocation proposal that happened during
the Bloomberg years but it took effect under this administration. There's a school, Seth Low Junior High School IS 96. They are right on the boarder of 21 and 20. And I appreciate the, the, the candor of the testimony that district 20 is one of the most overcrowded districts in the city of New York. There was space in Seth Low Junior High School to accommodate the volume of growth from District 20 from elementary schools to middle schools. But instead of working out an arrangement where district 20 kids would go to Seth Lowe they decided to move forward with a colocation with success academy. And obviously we still want to address this issue but can you explain how are these determinations made where you had a situation where District 20 is extremely overcrowded. You have Seth Lowe which is right on the boarder of 21 and 20. They could have taken in the 20 's growth. But instead they placed with the colocation. Tell me how that process is supposed to work and how we can make sure it never happens again.

ELIZABETH ROSE: Well I'd like to talk about this administration...

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Right.

ELIZABETH ROSE: ...and how our process will work in this administration. So first of all there's several aspects to your question. One is the assessment of space and how that space is used. And here we are increasing our engagement and our discussions with the local community, with district superintendents, with principals, with elected officials we want to discuss how we will be using our buildings where we have available space. We also have a new law that does require us to assess potential space for charter colocations or pay rental assistance through an appeals process. We are assessing the space needs that we have very carefully. And that includes our needs for District 75 students. It includes our needs for other programs that we might have at the DOE. It includes the potential for rezoning and how to best use our space. There're very few of our underutilized buildings that we believe have potential space for charter colocation or for an additional organization. So we want to assess the needs more carefully. We want to work with the communities and elected officials and go forward from there. But
our core focus is ensuring that we are working closely, more closely with our communities.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: I, I have one final question and then I have a question maybe we'll follow up offline about some TCU situation in my district particularly with PS97 and 101. We met with the staff before but... Just want to make sure you know Mayor de Blasio has a very ambitious housing plan to preserve over 100 thousand units and to build many units as well. Can you discuss the collaboration between the, that housing plan and, and the DOE because one of the concerns we keep hearing about over and over and over again in my district was rezoned some years ago. But some districts are now going through that rezoning and eventually this will be an issue in my district as well is the issue of school space as we're trying to accommodate the growth of population. So can you discuss the level at the extent to which this collaboration between the agencies are making sure that we're not exacerbating overcrowding conditions already.

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Right. Yes we're, we're very very clear about our participation with
city planning, we meet with them regularly. We are working with them on their rezoning plans and we of course have a very loud voice as it relates to the school needs. And they are looking at this very comprehensively. We're working very
comprehensively, we're working really closely, we understand you know 160 thousand new market rate and affordable housing. And we are working... like for example in east New York we are specifically looking at schools and what the needs will be, so yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Alright. Thank you Chair.

CHAIR: Thank you very much Council
Member Treyger. Council Member Levine.
COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you Chair Dromm. Hello to you both, great to see you. My district includes part of community school district six in northern Manhattan, partly myself, partly Council Member Rodriguez. You know this district well, happened to be the, the origin of the campaign for fiscal equity lawsuit. And a district which suffered some of the worst overcrowding in the city in decades past particularly in the boom
years of the 90 s. And evict, a district which was a victim as much as any other of the flaws in the bluebook as it has currently been applied so that throughout the district we lost all sorts of space for arts and music and science and other facilities because it was repurposed as classrooms. The district hasn't been in the news as much today and overcrowding has been reduced some since the boom years. But the reality today is still pretty rough. We've got seven schools in the district which have either trailers or annexes of some temporary structure, a third of the schools in the district have no art room, a third have no music room, many have no science or computer rooms, Mott Hall the district's only GNT middle school has no gym, cooking facility, or library. I could go on and on and on. You're familiar with this?

ELIZABETH ROSE: Yes.
COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: So this, this district desperately needs the current bluebook to be thrown out so that we can have a more rational accounting for space. And $I$ want to give you a chance to comment but I just want to give you one piece of information which illustrates the
absurdity of the status quo. We have three buildings in the district which were all built at the same time roughly in the 90 s that are basically the exact same blueprint, PS4, PS5, PS8. Each of them has had over 100 students added to their allowable enrollment without any additional classroom space being built. And each of them today at a different capacity. I don't know exactly what they are but they're all different even though it's the exact same school exact same floor plan. So tell us about when, when we can expect some relief in district 6.

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Well first let me recognize Council Member that there was a, since $I$ co-chaired the Bluebook working group I can tell you clearly that there was a very strong voice of the concerns of district 6 that participated very strongly and we heard our concerns, we hear your concerns and I think that some of our recommendations will address the information that you have requested based upon you know prior use of, of $a$, of $a$ room whether it was designed for $a$ particular purpose or not. As we said earlier the
principal survey will now include that information. So a lot of this will be much more transparent.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: What is the timeline here? Your recommendations been made public yet?

ELIZABETH ROSE: Soon.
COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Soon? Will this be in time for the next school year? Will it affect this year's capital budget?

ELIZABETH ROSE: Well I think we are hopeful that we will be able to incorporate some of the recommendations, many of the recommendations that were made by the Bluebook working group. And just from a process perspective expect that some of them, we would be able to implement for the current year's Bluebook and some of them might have to be in the following year simply from a computer program and timeframe.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: When does the ink dry on this year's Bluebook? Like what, the clock's ticking at this point right? How much time do we have?

```
    PRESIDENT GRILLO: ...March.
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March?
PRESIDENT GRILLO: Yes.
COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: So that's three or four weeks so that's really a short timeline. Last I checked there was no additional capacity fund, capacity building funded for district 6 in the capital plan. Is that still the case?

PRESIDENT GRILLO: That is the case.
COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Does, does nothing even in the preliminary analysis of this Bluebook working group indicate that there might need to be additional capacity in the plan for District 6.

PRESIDENT GRILLO: I think the Bluebook Committee worked on the formulas and what information will, will go into the Bluebook but the calculations have yet to be determined.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Well just... I'll close by emphasizing that we're, we're in desperate need of some relief uptown. We are anxiously awaiting the results and, of the Bluebook working group. And we're really hopeful that it'll be, be, the beginning of what was a very difficult era for
us in the beginning of, of a much more rational fair allocation of resources for our district. Thank you.

CHAIR: Thank you very much... Council
Member Barron.
COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you Mr. Chair. And thank you to the panel for coming and presenting your testimony. Just a few questions. What is the formula for the number of so called specialized classrooms beyond instructional, general instruction classes; the art room, library, music, what's that formula? What should every school have in terms of the additional space for so called specialized rooms?
[cross-talk]
PRESIDENT GRILLO: Oh, okay. I was going to say that those are some of the issues that were addressed with the Bluebook working group so you will see some changes in that but...

ELIZABETH ROSE: So currently for elementary schools students with zero to 150 students would have one specialty classroom. Schools of 151 to 250 students would have two specialty classrooms. 251 to 750 students would
have three specialty classrooms. 751 to 12 hundred and 50 would have four. And above 12 hundred 51 students would have five. At the middle school and high school levels the approach I different for every specialized classroom that they have. The assumption is that that classroom can only be used five periods a day.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So the board of education thinks that a school population of 500 students can suffice with three specialty rooms?

ELIZABETH ROSE: That is the... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: That's the formula?

ELIZABETH ROSE: ...current standard. Yes.
COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So they have to pick then which of the so called specialty rooms they would have... decide between a band, library, art room... [cross-talk]

ELIZABETH ROSE: So...
COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: That's...
ELIZABETH ROSE: ...library is not
included in what we define as a specialty classroom.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay.
ELIZABETH ROSE: These are things like art, music, science. You can have a band you, in your music room. Or it can rehearse in an auditorium. Of course many schools also have a multipurpose room.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: It's difficult
when I had my band in school to have them rehearsing in the auditorium when we were using that for mass school programs and for special events. And the auditorium was half, shared space with the lunch room. So, and that would have been very difficult if I had not had the space to do that. Also in the elementary schools we had a science resource room which was critical. So it just it baffles me that the formula for the number of rooms is as limited as it is. And I would certainly hope that you would revisit that. So you said it doesn't include libraries as a specialty. What about computer labs?

ELIZABETH ROSE: Computer lab would be considered a specialty room.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So you, you have to pick from a computer lab or...

ELIZABETH ROSE: SO...
COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: ...science... Yes?
ELIZABETH ROSE: The, the current
approach to using computers is actually to embed the technology in regular classrooms and, and the Chancellor strongly prefers that computers become part of regular instruction rather than be separated into a separate computer lab.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I think that that's foresight... that's, that's near, that's not long range, $I$ don't think that you can expect the classroom teacher who is incorporating that instruction in, in the day has the same skills as a trained teacher who can have a group of children to have them working on projects... and we're talking about trying to teach children how to do coding... I don't think you would think that your classroom teacher has those kinds of capabilities. So I think that that also needs to be revisited to think that you should not have a separate computer room in addition to the technology that's going on in a day to day basis. And I, as we talk about stem and having... I can't see that you don't think you need a computer room in every school. I really, $I^{\prime} m, ~ I^{\prime} m$
glad I asked the question because I'm shocked with the answer. I'm shocked with the answer. But, now you did say that the CEC is very much involved in the rezoning. If the CEC objects to the rezoning proposal does it die?

ELIZABETH ROSE: If the CEC does not pass a rezoning proposal than the zoning lines do not change.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay that's, that's interesting. That's good to know. And finally the campaign for fiscal equity lawsuit, the findings were that in fact the formula that New York City used to determine student capacity and overcrowding was flawed.

ELIZABETH ROSE: Mm-hmm.
COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And I'm concerned that as we're talking now about determining what capacities schools have it still needs to be adjusted so that as space is given up, or as space is captured from what exists to make another classroom such as what the chairman has discussed that doesn't then influence the capacity formula to say oh we're now at capacity. We're no longer over capacity. We're, and in terms of the

TCUs I have a particular high school in my district, district 19, half of the student population in the high school uses the TCUs. The other half of the student population are in classrooms that I'm sure are not 500 square feet. So what is your plan, what is your proposal in terms of accommodating the students in that high school?

ELIZABETH ROSE: So I don't know which specific school you're referring but we would work with the principal to assess what is possible in that school. And if we cannot accommodate all of the students in that school we would also look at what are the potential changes or adjustments to enrollment o that we could enroll students in other schools that have available capacity in order to over time reduce the enrollment at that school so that we could remove those... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So that sounds to me like you're saying you would eventually phase that school out?

ELIZABETH ROSE: Not at all. It sounds like, the school needs to ultimately... we either have buildings that are able to accommodate
students or we need, will need to make adjustments to the total enrollment. That's not the same thing as phasing a school out. It's saying the building can accommodate 400 students therefore we can't enroll 800 students in that building.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And so what would be the possibilities of putting into the capital plan the construction of a new high school to accommodate that school which as I have pointed out half the students are in TCUs, the other half are in spaces that are not 500 square feet.

ELIZABETH ROSE: Right. So we do have funding in the capital plan for additional high schools in... Queens and also in Staten Island where our high schools are over utilized in aggregate. In, in Brooklyn where in aggregate we do see more space availability we would potentially assess are there other buildings that could potentially better house that school. Or how would we need to potentially adjust enrollment.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Finally as the,
as the state legislature is pushing the governor to adhere to the settlement of the CE, of the CFE lawsuit and as we can expect to have smaller class
sizes how are we going to accommodate the children who are now not 20 and 24 and 28 but in lower class, in smaller class sizes, how are we going to accommodate those additional classrooms that are going to be needed?

ELIZABETH ROSE: Well in, certainly if the funding from $C$ for $E$ does material...

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: No not $C$ for $E$ CFE the campaign for fiscal equity.

ELIZABETH ROSE: If that funding does in fact materialize in many, in some areas we will have the additional classroom space that those students would be able to be served in smaller classrooms. In some areas we already know where we already have extreme overcrowding. We would not be able to spread out into smaller classrooms but we would be able to provide additional staff, additional teachers, so that we could create greater, better ratios for those students and have greater small group instruction.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. Thank you Mr. Chair.

CHAIR: Thank you very much Council
Member Barron. Just to follow up a little bit on
what Council Member Barron was hitting on before in regard to specialty rooms, is gym considered a specialty room?

ELIZABETH ROSE: No gym is separate from specialty instruction.

CHAIR: Because one of the, the big issues, and you know I, I, I signed onto some legislation that Council Member Crowley is proposing as well in terms of reporting bill in regards to the number of periods that children get gym which I think is an important issue as well. But in, in many schools where you do have just one gym for example and you have you know 25 periods a week let's say where the gym teacher can use that. I don't think it could be utilized more than 25 or in elementary school maybe, maybe if you go up to 30. But you have 44 classes and none of them are going to be able to, you know none of the, the ones that are above the, either the 25 or the 30 , whatever figure you want to take, are able to... Do considerations like that get taken... Do things like that, do situation like that get taken into consideration when talking about overcrowding. Because you know gym is a state mandate for that
number of periods that are supposed to be offered. But obviously in a school where you have 44 classrooms, homerooms, and only 25 gym periods available a week you're not even going to meet the minimum state requirement for the 25 never mind the kids who don't have a gym period at all.

ELIZABETH ROSE: So certainly in our space constrained city gyms are very large spaces and they are very precious resources. And we want all of our students to have physical education as part of their regular education and as required. There are many other ways of providing physical education in addition to a traditional gym class that includes sports teams that may meet after school or before school. It includes, we've in some buildings have created weight training rooms and fitness centers that enable additional students to have physical education. We have created programs such as our move to improve program to increase physical activity in regular classrooms so that students can have a, a bit of a break and can get... [cross-talk]

CHAIR: Deputy Chancellor let me just...

ELIZABETH ROSE: ...physical activity. CHAIR: Let me, let me just on that point to tell a classroom teacher to push the tables and chairs aside in a regular classroom to do gym is just not acceptable. It really is not acceptable. And so just, I think let's just be honest that is not an option that should be available to anybody. They, when you talk about physical education kids need to run to play ball you know to jump rope. And those opportunities are not available when you're talking about doing jumping jacks in a classroom. You know I, just really is not. And so I have to take exception with that statement from an educational perspective.

ELIZABETH ROSE: Mm-hmm. Yeah we also have for, have a program called No gym no problem. And so that is one where we work with schools to help them utilize the facilities that they have in order to help meet physical education needs. CHAIR: To me it's not meeting the mandate but we'll argue that at the, at the hearing on the legislation that we're proposing. I also want to ask about, each, even room for teachers. So when I was a cluster, a social studies cluster
teacher I did not have a desk. I had a window sill. And all of my lessons had to sit on the windowsill, or the ones that $I$ could fit on the window sill. This is true. We had one cluster room. We had eight teachers in the cluster room because we had no regular specialty rooms in the school at all. And so I'm wondering if when we are doing school construction or taking into consideration utilization of space do we take into consideration space for cluster teachers so they can have a desk at least. I mean actually in the contract $I$ think it says that they're required to have a desk. But to be honest with you I never really grieved it because I knew I was only going to get a window so... PRESIDENT GRILLO: I'm sorry. We include in our new construction certainly teacher workrooms. And in those workrooms very often there are a number of desks that are stationary. So that's for new construction. And $w e^{\prime} v e ~ a l s o ~ a g a i n ~$ as part of the Bluebook working group addressed those issues in admin spaces including teacher workrooms in admin spaces.

CHAIR: Sometimes I think principals
don't think to think that. I mean principals do a
good job and everything but $I$ think that the focus is often times on the students as it should be. But in order to do adequate preparation and feel professional I think minimally every cluster teacher should have a desk and a computer... that's another issue because I did not have access to any computer, I had to, I was told that if I wanted to use a computer to access my DOE emails which was required and which was sent back to the principal to check on me if I hadn't answered them. But I didn't have access to a computer during the day because I didn't have access to a desk. I think those things need to be really taken into consideration. And especially how we're going to be able to do that in older school buildings. Now I, I've seen the, the great example and I want to compliment you on what you've done at IS230 with the gym. I mean that's like a state of the art gym with the the running machines and all that. So I do compliment you. But I, I think we need to think also in terms of our older buildings how we do that. Follow-up on a few more questions. The 49 thousand figure that we have for the seats that we currently need, by the way I received testimony
today at this hearing, although they're not able to attend, from the independent budget office stating that they're figure is really more around 74 thousand needed seats. So I just want you to know that and, and that's what IBO is going to come out with. And that testimony will be, putting to, on the record later on today. But how do you come to that 49 thousand seats?

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Sure. Sure. We, as you know solicit two independent demographers. They perform projections but independently. And then those projections are, are produced by grade, by district, by grade, by district for elementary and middle schools and by grade, by borough in high schools. And for elementary and middle school level we derive demographic enrollment projections for subdistricts by multiplying districtwide enrollment projections by the project... this is very complicated and we layer all of this with housing starts and information from city planning and HPD and all of that. We, again will have a lot more of this information available after the Bluebook working group recommendations come through because all of those, those numbers will likely change. So
yes we do, we use demographers. We've been within one and two percent accuracy every single time. CHAIR: So President Grillo... [crosstalk]

ELIZABETH ROSE: If I could just add... CHAIR: I'm sorry.

ELIZABETH ROSE: ...to Ms. Grillo's
testimony, to her response; we do in that process assess are there underutilized buildings nearby.

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Right.
ELIZABETH ROSE: So that we consider can we potentially rezone, can we potentially draw students to other buildings nearby so that new capacity is not the only solution to addressing the needs of overcrowded areas.

CHAIR: These people who go out and do these assessments, demographers perhaps.

ELIZABETH ROSE: Mm-hmm yes.
CHAIR: Are they the same people we've always used and have they been retrained in terms of what to look for, in terms of... [cross-talk]

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Well...
CHAIR: ...space usage.
there's a couple of different ways that we do this.
First of all we do an annual survey that the principals themselves fill out. So they tell us exactly how each room is being used. We've even this year because of our Bluebook working group we've even sent out as part of our consultants sent out to every school a team to measure every room so we had that exact information. So principals don't have to do that kind of thing every year as well. So that's, that's, that's one part of it. The demographers that we have, we had one consistent demographer for a number of years and about five years ago we put in our RFP and hired a second independent demographer just to verify. But let me reassure you that for the last 10 years or so we have been within two percent accuracy of actual enrollment. And we have even over projected rather than under projected.

CHAIR: Okay. Does the capital plan take into consideration the mayor's plan for the additional I guess about 160 thousand market rate units on top of the 200 thousand affordable units that he's projecting in his plan?

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Yes actually we are working, as I mentioned earlier we are working very very closely with city planning on their rezonings. We sit with them as they're making, as they're finalizing their plan so yes we certainly do.

CHAIR: How many UPK seats were added to schools that were already overcrowded, were over utilized?

ELIZABETH ROSE: I, I don't have a breakdown of specifically where UPK seats were added within DOE buildings. What I can tell you is that of the additional seats, or additional seats in DOE buildings this year for UPK $\mathrm{we}^{\prime}$ ve added about 3,150 additional pre-k seats for the $2014 / 15$ school year. The majority of the new pre-k seats that lead to our enrollment of 53 thousand students in full day pre-k were in New York City early childhood centers.

CHAIR: CBECS.
PRESIDENT GRILLO: Yeah.
ELIZABETH ROSE: Correct.
CHAIR: Okay. [cross-talk] Leonie
Haimson released a report in June of 2014 that showed that a survey of 550 principals or 86
percent say that their class sizes were too large to provide a quality education and that the common three factors that prevented them from reducing class size are the lack of control over enrollment, lack of space, and lack of funding. How do you respond to that?

ELIZABETH ROSE: Well first off I'd say we acknowledge that class sizes in elementary grades are above the standards that we are using for our capacity calculations. So we acknowledge that the average class sizes are higher than 20 in grades $K$ to three. They are in fact lower than our standards in grades, in middle school grades and high school grades. So the majority of the overcrowding is occurring in our elementary schools and that $I$ very consistent with the data that we've shared earlier this, today.

CHAIR: Which is really kind of
interesting right because we have early child class reduction initiatives, we have a lot of scientific studies and knowledge that in order to really be effective in turning kids' lives around or lifting them up out of poverty what we need to do is to have small class sizes and individualized
instruction on the elementary school level and even in the early childhood level. And so that's why I think a hearing like this is so vitally important to turning that situation around and, and, and even the way that class sizes are structured within the UFT contract for example we start with a lower class size in, in the, the lower grades and then it builds up I think to 34 in the fourth grades and above in, into the high school. It concerns me when I hear that the, that the overcrowding is mostly in the elementary schools. The, that being said what are, what about the allocation of spaces in collocated schools? Just any, and, and I'm not just talking charters, I'm talking any collocated school. When you look at the, the, the possibility of collocating a school do you take into consideration the sharing of spaces such as bathrooms and the specialized rooms, the use of gym for example, and cafeterias?

ELIZABETH ROSE: So first of all I want
to thank you for recognizing that colocation is related to district schools. It's related to District 75 schools. And then in fact most of our colocations are between two DOE schools or DOE
school and a District 75 program. So thank you for that. So yes we absolutely take into consideration how, what are the shared spaces that are available and ensuring that there are sufficient spaces for the schools. Wherever possible we try to provide securable space for the schools, that, while it does not require separable bathrooms that is something that many schools appreciate or something that, that frequently in the way we plan space in a building we are able to accommodate. And we do look at you know can we, can students be fed in the lunch period, in the cafeteria appropriate lunch times? We absolutely look at potential gym space. A vast majority of our colocations are in fact district district or districts D75 and I think there is another way that we can best use our resources to serve all of our students.

CHAIR: Let me ask a little bit about
actual square footage. I think that the DOE redefines the size of full size classrooms down to 500 square feet per classroom from what was 600 feet previously. And this is smaller $I$ think in, in many places, than many places around the country. And does the building code require 20 square feet

```
per student? And if so doesn't that violate
```

regulations, especially if you have...

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Right.
CHAIR: ... 30 kids in the classroom?
PRESIDENT GRILLO: Right. Well our standard classroom is 750 square feet.

CHAIR: Is how many?
PRESIDENT GRILLO: 750 square feet.
There are occasionally those schools that require smaller class. We cap that at 25.

CHAIR: So then they're technically
violating the building code?
PRESIDENT GRILLO: Again we cap that number at 25.

CHAIR: Okay and, and, and finally
President Grillo if we were to fund the extra 16 thousand seats that are not in the plan what would be the cost estimate for that?

PRESIDENT GRILLO: It would be about 1.7 billion.
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PRESIDENT GRILLO: Correct.
CHAIR: Okay thank you.
PRESIDENT GRILLO: You're welcome.

CHAIR: Okay we do have a couple extra questions, other questions. Council Member Levin followed by Chin and Deutsch.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very
much. [cross-talk]
CHAIR: We were joined by you and we're also joined by Council Member Antonio Reynoso and Mark Weprin. And we were joined by Council Member Garodnick and Williams previously.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much Deputy Chancellor, President Grillo nice to see you. I just had a couple of questions because I have instances where $I$ have overcrowding in my district on the elementary school level. Can you give us a full list of the elementary schools across the city that have to place zoned children on a waiting list? Is there a complete list that's, that's made public of, of those schools, those elementary schools, zoned elementary schools that have a waiting list for zoned children?

ELIZABETH ROSE: So I can check if that'
a list that we have shared and can follow up with that. What I can say is that the kindergarten admissions process that we instituted I guess now
about two or three years ago where families actually apply and rank their choices for where their child would attend kindergarten. Through that process and I think as a result of that process we have actually seen a significant reduction in the number of students who are on wait lists for their zoned kindergarten.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: But it, it still exists right?

ELIZABETH ROSE: It, it does still
exist. I think it is, it's gone down from about 125 different schools to now about 63 different schools? Yes, I'm getting a nod... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: 63 schools...
[cross-talk]
ELIZABETH ROSE: ... 63 schools...
COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: ...across the city.
ELIZABETH ROSE: ...this year had wait
lists for their, zoned wait lists for their kindergarten.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: What are the, the tools in DOE's toolbox or DOE an SCA's toolbox for how to best address that? What's, what, what do you do in those instances?
further reducing the number of students on wait lists we in some cases use rezoning which we, we've discussed a bit earlier today. So we work with CECs to attempt to rezone local neighborhoods to take advantage of underutilized capacity that might be nearby. We open choice programs, sometimes do a language or gifted and talented programs again to try to draw students to underutilized schools nearby, where the district overall is in need of capacity we may have new capacity funded in the capital plan and some combination of all of the above.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Do you track... so when SCA is looking at its capital plan you, you look at city planning data that tells you, in terms of and, and building permit data, like housing starts correct?

PRESIDENT GRILLO: That's correct, yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Does the Department of Education look at that same data when determining how many classrooms or how many classes that you're going to place in a, say a kindergarten. For example I have a zone in my
district that is on the verge of, of having a waiting list. It's overcrowded significantly. It's on the verge of having a waiting list, likely to have a waiting list this year. And there's a significant amount of housing, new housing that is going to be built in the next five years. Or it's being built now and it's being occupied. I just drove over the Brooklyn Bridge yesterday and saw that you know they've outfitted some, some apartments in, in the new building in that district, in that zone.

ELIZABETH ROSE: Mm-hmm.
COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So we're seeing it, it happen now. It's going to happen more in the next five years. I know that SCA's looking at the, the, the zoning, the housing starts to determine overall capacity. Is DOE looking at those housing starts in the zone to say... to, to, to inform how many kindergarten classrooms it's going to place in that school.

ELIZABETH ROSE: So we work extremely closely together. We sit by, side by side today and, and we talk constantly so that everybody in the planning side that looks at how many
kindergarten classrooms we might have is very aware of what we're looking at from the long term capital plan side, the long term seat need in those neighborhoods.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Because
yeah, because $I$ think that it's, it's certainly something we're, we're on a kind of $a$, seems like right now we're on a one way track in that particular zone and it's leading towards overcrowding and waiting lists and we need to kind of figure out how to, how to best address that.

ELIZABETH ROSE: And, and the, the first thing that we would like to discuss and, and talk about with the, the CEC is are there opportunities for potential rezoning to take advantage of capacity nearby?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Alright we could talk more about that offline. Thank you very much for answering my questions. And thank you very much, very much Mr. Chairman for allowing me to ask questions. Thanks.

ELIZABETH ROSE: Thank you.
CHAIR: Absolutely. Council Member Chin.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you Chair. I know you talked about it earlier, the whole idea of imminent domain... I mean just an example like in my district we have all these as of right buildings going up. And we know that they're going to continue to overcrowd our school and it's, and it's been very difficult to find sites to build school. So I know that earlier President Grillo you talked about that you have used Imminent Domain. So will you continue, maybe work with us to identify a site that we could imminent domain them to use it for school especially because we have all of these you know as of right developer just building these big towers...

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Right.
COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: ...down here. And with rezoning it's going to be more and more in different neighborhoods. And it's going to be very hard to find public space.

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Sure Council Member.
We look forward to continuing working with you and your office on locating space down in, in your particular area, sure. And you know that we are always available to have those conversations. We
appreciate the fact that we've heard from, from your office because you're the folks on the ground so you know where these things are going on. And any information you can give us we'll be there. COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: So that you, you are willing to use this imminent domain tool if you, it's needed.

PRESIDENT GRILLO: If it's needed, absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Okay. And the, and the last question is that $I$ know that you were able to get some preschool space. Are you looking at some of these, so as of right development when you find out that they are going up to really talk to the developer about getting some more pre-k space?

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Absolutely.
COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Because they're
not, you know they don't have to come in to ask for anything... [cross-talk]

PRESIDENT GRILLO: No no.
COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: ...that's a right.
So they don't have to tell us anything, they don't have to talk to us. But I guess you know if you know about them you know can you also proactively
go to them and say even though you're as of right but you're going to be overcrowding our school and if you can give us space...

PRESIDENT GRILLO: See we try to take a different approach. The approach is you will be so fortunate to have a pre-k in your building that all your apartment will go very very quickly because parents love it. And that's really the way we've been marketing it and yes we've had some success.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: And that, and that has been successful?

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Sure.
COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: So you should share with the council in terms of buildings that you're able to do that.

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Sure. Absolutely.
COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Okay. Thank you
Chair.
CHAIR: Council Member Deutsch.
COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you
Chair. I have a similar question to Council Member Levin. My question is parents have a hard time sometimes, a very difficult time bringing the, bringing the children to schools especially if it's
not nearby. So I do have a lot of development going up in my district and most recently have one building that's going to have 500 apartments, another one just several blocks away with almost a thousand apartments. And I have a lot of development going on all over. So number one what type of coordination do you have with DOB, with HUD, with City Planning in regards to construction? PRESIDENT GRILLO: Yes we work very very closely with City Planning and DOB, HPD. We look at new housing permits. And again as the council member just mentioned earlier if it is not an as of right facility we certainly work closely with City Planning and try to incorporate schools into those projects as much as possible. But when we're determining need we use that information from city planning, from HPD to determine what the needs are in the district.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Now the question is, you mentioned if it's not as of right but if it's as of right or not as of right at the end of the day the construction if it's as of right the construction does come up. So like for example in a certain area that $I$ have the 500 apartments I
have one school which is PS100 which is 115 percent capacity, then Coney Island Prep which is 182 percent, and Lincoln High School which is 118 percent. That's all the schools nearby. So how do you deal with that?

PRESIDENT GRILLO: Again as we said earlier what we do is recognize where there is need and we use that information to inform that, that's our bluebook, that's what determines our capacity need. Now the allocation of resources of course is difficult if we, if we determine that the funding is down the road or the, the, the need is down the road we would put that need into a later year of our plan because we have current need in certain districts; 24, 30, 20, district 15 that are overcrowded right now. But if there's development that's going on you know several years from now then we'll certainly move that you know down the road down the couple of years.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Now is it possible for the Deputy Chancellor is it possible to do like some type of presentation because the area what we have developed is surrounded by many high rise buildings with thousands and thousands of
people. So I need to, we need to bring some information to them and they're very concerned about the local schools that, that is one of several issues that they are concerned about.

ELIZABETH ROSE: We'd be happy to meet with you or have someone come to a community board or, or other...

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you.
Thank you very much I appreciate it.
CHAIR: Alright so $I$ think that's going to be about it for $u$ here. I thank you for coming in and for providing us with testimony. And we look forward to continuing to work with you on this issue.

ELIZABETH ROSE: Thank you.
CHAIR: Thank you very much. Okay I'd
like to call the next panel please. Leonie Haimson from Class Size Matters, Jacquelin Febrillet Local 372, Sarita Subramanian from the New York City... oh they are here... from the New York City Independent Budget Office, okay, Nancy Northrop from CPAC. Okay thank you I'd like to ask all of you if you'd write, raise your right hand please. Swear you in. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth,
the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and to answer council member questions honestly? Okay. And want to start with Leonie I think over here. And I may have to leave just to go take that vote and come back. So please excuse me. And I also want to apologize for mistakenly saying I didn't think the IBO was here and they obviously are.

LEONIE HAIMSON: Hi, my name is Leonie
Haimson. I head Class Size Matters, a citywide organization dedicated towards reducing class size. We put out a report last spring called Space Crunch. In it we have many detailed analysis of enrollment projections and the inadequacies of the capital plan. So I'm going to just quickly summarize some of our findings which is that if you look at the enrollment projections by the two DOE consultants and you add the housing starts over them which is what the DOE says they do you find that the need is at least 100 thousand new seats. And so this capital plan is entirely inadequate. We found similar statistics on school overcrowding getting worse. I'm happy to say we had the exact same figures for how many students are in overcrowded schools, 490 thousand in all right now.

60 percent of our elementary school students are in overcrowded schools and the situation has gotten worse. And again these, these, this data underestimates the amount of overcrowding in our schools as the chancellor and others have admitted today and that we are, hope to get better figures that actually reflect the real needs of students for smaller classes, pre-k, and a well-rounded education, gym and lunch time at reasonable times, etcetera. This capital plan will only produce less than half of the actual seats needed. In terms of the trailers it only is going to remove a small number of trailers. It's not going to do the job at all. The DOE also continues to misreport the number of students who are actually in trailers. They report about 7,000 whereas the real number is more like 10 thousand. Because to this day they refuse to count high school students in trailers as part of their official count for, for reasons that $I$ cannot possibly explain. We also estimated how many pre-k seats were created in schools that were already overcrowded. And our estimate is at least 11,800 this fall. So the previous administration took actions that made overcrowding worse including
the colocation, policies, this administration is continuing to make overcrowding worse with no real plan to alleviate that. And $I$ just wanted to make a couple more points about the, the actual estimates I got from the IBO about doubling the seats in the capital plan they said would cost 125 million dollars a year. And I think that's completely in the capacity of this city council to approve. Just recently there was a very controversial plan, a billion dollar contract awarded to a corrupt contractor for over two billion dollars initially that was reduced to one billion dollars. They cut 100 million dollars a year out of that plan when I and reporters started asking about it. So you have 100 million dollars right there. And I strongly urge the, the, the city council to expand the plan to more nearly provide the needs of our students or else our schools will be even more overcrowded in the years to come. And there's no plan that I've seen to really align with the mayor's plan to do a big increase in housing development. And then I just wanted to talk briefly about the resolution on the charter cap which I strongly, I strongly support. I'm, I'm sorry that the DOE wasn't asked
about how much raising the cap would cost in terms of facility given the state law that requires all new and charter schools to either get space in our already overcrowded schools or get free rent at the city's expense. We did a very rough calculation this morning because we wanted to know. And we're not expert at this but since nobody else is giving the numbers we tried to do this. 250 new charter schools we estimated would cost the city, the city and state an additional 833 million dollars a year if you were going to provide the subsidy for rent and that subsidy is likely to go up. The city's cost would be, of that portion would be about 357 million dollars per year which is a huge amount and would nearly triple the amount of seats in the capital plan and really allow for uncrowded facilities, smaller classes and all the rest. So I think that this charter cap is critical that it not be raised. I think in the future if it is raised the only new schools that are going to get new facilities are charter schools and the city won't be able to afford to build any new public schools anymore. And rather than expanding parent choice it's going to limit parent choice because the only
space for parent's kids are going to be charter schools so they will be forced into charters in the future. Thank you very much.

CHAIR: Okay thank you Leonie. I had some questions but I'm going to let it go... hear the rest of the testimony. I'm going to run and I'll be right back. Okay. Thank you. Next please.

Good afternoon Chairman Dromm and members of the City Council Education Committee. My name is Sarita Subramanian and I am a senior Education Budget and Policy Analyst at the New York City Independent Budget Office. Thank you for the invitation to testify today on overcrowding. My written testimony includes details of the analysis but today we'll focus on our findings and especially in particular districts many of which have already been highlighted here today. Overcrowding has been a persistent and well documented problem in certain pockets of New York City Public School System for many years now. Using the 13/14 bluebook we estimate roughly 75 thousand new seats would be needed to alleviate overcrowding. In SCA's most recent capital plan they plan to increase capacity by 47 thousand seats
through 20, 23, 24 and there are still roughly 13 thousand additional seats that SCA identifies as needed but which are not included in the current plan due to limitations in funding. Finally we estimate over 156 thousand unused seats across the city. Although some of these are already committed to growing schools some of them could be used to alleviate overcrowding in other buildings. We recognize the challenges in doing so include political, administrative, and geographic hurdles. In addition to looking citywide the district level analysis is presented in the attached table. Our analysis identifies four districts with the greatest number of seats required to eliminate current overcrowding. More than 5,000 seats in each district. They are in descending order districts 20, 24, 26, and 25. The four districts are expected to receive new seats in the current capital plan but also have significant additional need that cannot be met in the plan which suggests that overcrowding will likely persist. In District 20 in Southwest Brooklyn the district with both the greatest need for seats to eliminate existing overcrowding and the expect, the greatest expected
enrollment growth that district is expected to get over 45 hundred new seat second only to District 24. Most of the seats would come online by the 20 , 21, 22 school year. However the additional seats represent just 58 percent of the seats needed to eliminate existing overcrowding in the district. District 24 in western queens where more than 7250 seats are needed to eliminate existing overcrowding also has the most planned new seats in the SCA plan. More than 85 hundred seats would come online, more than sufficient to eliminate existing overcrowding. But SCA projects that more than 44 hundred additional seats will be needed due to the rapid increases in enrollment expected for our prek through eight grades. Districts 25 and 26 in eastern queens require more than 52 hundred and 62 hundred seats respectively to eliminate existing overcrowding and will gain fewer seats that necessary to do that. District 26 is only expected to gain 900 seats falling more than 53 hundred seats short of what would be a, what would be needed to eliminate the current level of overcrowding. In District 25 the 2280 plan seats will fall about 2,000 seats short of what would be
needed. Additionally both districts have some projected need that is not funded in the current plan. Finally districts four and 19 have no planned new capacity but could experience greater need as they were among the six neighborhoods targeted for upzoning under the mayor's affordable housing plan. In conclusion the SCA's capital plan for new capacity generally targets those districts with the greatest needed due to existing overcrowding and future expected enrollment growth. While there are some districts in the city were planned new capacity would be sufficient to alleviate existing overcrowding there are other districts where the anticipated new seats would fall short. Districts 20 and 24 have the greatest current and future need that are also expected to receive the largest number of new seats in the plan. Districts 25 and 26 are also among the districts that need the most seats but are expected to receive significantly fewer new seats than the other two district. Given the high cost of constructing new capacity the DOE will have to continue to explore other ways of using its excess capacity currently 156 thousand seats whenever possible. In conjunction with adding
new capacity to the system the DOE should continue to encourage students to enroll in buildings with unused seats by placing attractive programs or schools in those facilities. Thank you again for your invitation to testify and I would be happy to answer any questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you. And as you can see I am not Council Member Dromm, I am Council Member Miller and I am actually here to ask questions myself but asked to, been asked to stand in while Council Member Dromm runs across the street. So we will pass it on to the next person to testify.

JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: Alright thank you. Good afternoon members of the committee. My name is Jacquelin Febrillet. I am the Political Director for Local 372. I'm here on behalf of President Shaun Francois who is in Albany today. First I want to begin by thanking you for the opportunity to provide testimony. We're going to be addressing Resolution 563. Local 372 represents more than 23 thousand DOE employees which also include school crossing guards with the NYPD. We represent school crossing guards, school aids, health aids,
substance abuse workers, parent coordinators, family paraprofessionals, and school lunch employees. Most Local 372 members work in the communities in which they live. They spend money, pay taxes, and vote in those communities. While most of our members do perform services in the traditional public schools we also have members in charter schools, mostly conversion schools. I'm here today on behalf of the local to urge the city council to acknowledge the need to unionize all public school staff. The staffers who work in charter schools are no different than those who work in public schools. They're entitled to fair wages, due process, and benefits and union representation. First if a charter is collocated with a traditional public school we run the risk of burdening our students with overcrowding as we're having this hearing here is because of overcrowding charter schools would only exacerbate the situation. Subsequently this possibility of overcrowding will overwhelm school staff especially when mixtures of union and nonunion employees are housed in the same building. In addition a school crossing guard takes responsibility, a local 372
school crossing guard takes responsibility for pedestrian crossings no matter the person who needs to cross the street whether it is say charter student. They don't, they don't make a distinction. How will a school crossing guard be able to handle the additional influx of charter students without additional hands on deck. Currently we have over 300 school crossing guard vacancies. We're unable to fill these vacancies, one of the reasons is because of the low pay and the low hours. Now we're here addressing school overcrowding but we also need to make sure that our children are safe. We need additional hands on deck when it comes to school crossing guards. Also by having unionized staffing there's more oversight. I'm here quoting the state controller's report. In the report it says that there's a failure to complete required employees' criminal records for charter schools. Under the DOE all staff is fingerprinted. Their, their background, backgrounds are being checked because they have to work with, with children. If you unionize the staff within the charter schools this would not be happening. Simply put the unionization of school staff both public and
charter will ensure that all students receive quality support in all areas outside and inside the classroom providing them with the foundation they need for academic success. The unionization of all school staff ensures that our education dollars are being spent on servicing the students rather than in reaching outside interest groups to the detriment of students. Again I'm going to quote the state controller's report where it says that 54 million dollars in charter fraud happen in 2014. We need to unionize. Unionize, unionize the school employees. We applaud the committee for addressing the concerns around in the very important issue of charter schools in New York City and we thank you for your time.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you.
NANCY NORTHROP: So I just go? Good
afternoon. My name is Nancy Northrop. I am the Cochair of the Chancellor's Parent Advisory Council which represents all the president's councils and through them all the PAs and PTAs in New York City. I am here today to advise you of the positions approved by CPAC on both of these issues as part of our Lobby Day 1 sheet we're taking with us tomorrow
when we head up to Albany to lobby our state legislatures. We have copied our Lobby Day 1 sheet on the back. So it's, so this is what I'm speaking of. But this is our Lobby Day 1 sheet on the back. We are urging our state legislatures to build more schools and I'm quoting CPAC strongly supports the urgent need to build more schools in New York City. Aside from the government we invite developers to invest in our schools as well in particular by constructing early childhood education centers and new residential and office space. Currently students are stuffed into jam packed classrooms with average class sizes well above those mandated by the courts. Many first graders throughout New York City sit in classrooms of 30 or more students. Many high school students have so many students they are forced to hold sessions in shifts. Thousands of high school students are forced to travel for hours via subway and busses to attend high schools in other boroughs and the DOE has turned DOEs into warehouses into schools that lack gyms and auditoriums. Approximately 10 thousand students including 3,000 D75 students are currently housed in trailers. Construction is booming in New

York City suggesting the need for schools will only grow and the space needed to build schools will only become more expensive and harder to find. Until more schools can be built and overcrowding reduced extra support needs to be provided in overcrowded classrooms. In addition we are urging our state legislatures to maintain the current carter, charter cap. And again quoting from our Lobby Day 1 sheet; CPAC supports maintaining the current charter cap at 460 schools in New York state until issues can be resolved. We fundamentally oppose the colocation of any, of any school, any schools with the exception of D75 schools without meaningful community involvement. The city and state need to work together to find appropriate space for new charters before the cap is raised. We therefore urge you as well to maintain the current charter cap and work with both the DOE and private developers to ensure that more schools can be built or are built. Thank you.

CHAIR: Alright good to be back. And took care of business. I did have some questions for the IBO first. I, sorry I missed your testimony but I don't know if it was explained in this
written testimony that you gave... how did you arrive at the 74 thousand figure?

JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: Sure so as you mentioned the, we estimate 75 thousand new seats would be needed. We use a capacity level of 102.5 percent...

CHAIR: So the same capacity that DOE is using, am I right?

JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: That's correct yes. And...

CHAIR: So what, how come the difference in the numbers then?

JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: I would have to have more information on how... I believe that they use a number of a hundred percent. Some, that could account for, that probably accounts for a large portion of the difference. We use the, I use the, the bluebook to obtain that so it should be the same data.

CHAIR: Okay so they're using 100
percent, you're using 102?
JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: Uh-huh yeah it's correct.

CHAIR: But even still... I'm...

LEONIE HAIMSON: [off mic] They would then find need for more seats than you would if they're using 100 percent.

CHAIR: Yeah Leonie let's, let's
address it in the mic, Leonie Haimson.
LEONIE HAIMSON: Oh yeah. So what, well
I'll, I'll tell you what we did.
CHAIR: Okay.
LEONIE HAIMSON: We took averages
between the two enrollment projects developed by the DOE consultants. We averaged the two of them. We added the building starts using the city planning formula. And that came up to, out to 100 thousand right there. In addition there are 33 thousand seats needed for schools where in the districts that average above 100 percent right now. So it's 33 thousand seats plus almost 100 thousand seats just from the enrollment projections. So that's how we did it. Now I think the DOE subtracts what they call underenrolled schools, the seats from under enrolled schools, that may play into it. But the explanation just given that the IBO, I don't even know why the IBO uses 102 percent, that' never made any sense to me. But in any case given

```
that they use a more expansive view of school
```

capacity than the DOE the number should come out
reversed rather than you showing the need for more
seats than the DOE's 49 thousand. You see what I
mean?

JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: So what I hadn't
realized is that they do subtract from that excess,
what they call excess, or what we call excess
capacity. That's the 156 thousand number that I
stated that we, the 75 thousand jut reflects the
number of seats needed to bring every building to
at least 102 percent of capacity or less.

LEONIE HAIMSON: [off mic] So did you look at enrollment projections?

CHAIR: So let me, let me just, let me, let me go back to, to Leonie...

LEONIE HAIMSON: Okay.
CHAIR: So in your projections...
LEONIE HAIMSON: Right.
CHAIR: ...do you take into account use of room, specialty room, etcetera, so forth, and so on?

LEONIE HAIMSON: So unfortunately I mean we use the bluebook numbers. So we don't take into
account the need to reduce class size to expand pre-k to return cluster rooms to their original uses or any of those things. So our estimates are just using the DOE current utilization formula plus the enrollment numbers, enrollment projections that we got from the DOE consultants and from housing starts. So if you took those numbers into account the need would be way over 100 thousand seats. It, it's just the, the current capital plan doesn't, doesn't nearly meet the needs of the system even without revamping the bluebook.

JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: I would just like to state... so we came up with a number of 102.5 percent, that was a number that we used in a report released I believe in 2009 or 10 on overcrowding in large and small schools. We looked at many different sources. So one of the sources we looked at was at the national level they use a, a utilization rate of 105 percent. We chose 102.5 percent which is sort of half way between 100 and 105 because there are a lot of very large schools in our building and, in our system so we thought that that was a more accurate representation. We also don't think, we also want to account, wanted
to account for the fact that if a, a school had one student or five students over its capacity that that wouldn't be considered the same as a school having two or three classrooms worth of students. So that's where we got that... [cross-talk]

CHAIR: So it seems to me to be
somewhat... I don't know what the word would be... unfair maybe to take the DOE's numbers if they're subtracting the numbers in districts that are underutilized because it doesn't address the issue of the overcrowding in the districts that are most highly overcrowded. Because you can't ship the kids from Glendale...

JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: Right.
CHAIR: ...to Harlem on a daily basis if that's where the, if the, if, if the, if the underutilized schools are, whatever those underutilized schools may be.

JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: Yes and that's, that's why we chose to represent that number of excess capacity as a separate number because some of, because of these geographic limitations as you mentioned... And we looked at the district level and so districts are very large and it's very hard to
say exactly how seats could be used between buildings.

CHAIR: So I'll, I'll go a little step further. It seems to be somewhat dishonest actually to calculate numbers in that way because you know it doesn't, it has no impact then, or very little impact on the number of seats that are needed in the most overly crowded areas. Am I correct.

## LEONIE HAIMSON: So the school

construction authority once upon a time said to an ordinary person a school should be at about 80 percent capacity to feel like it's working right. And anything over that seems overcrowded. And I would hope that the bluebook figures when they are reformed and revamped come out to something like that so that $I$ would think that a proper accounting for the space kids really need will, will bring down, will bring those numbers so that nearly all schools throughout the system will be shown to be overcrowded. So the 102 percent is really, should come down much lower.

CHAIR: So one of the concerns I also have with the testimony from the DOE, I got a little bit into it but not fully as much as I would
like to have, and maybe you share this concern as well, is that they're continuing to use the same demographers number one. So I think you're going to come up with basically the same results. And two that I believe on part of President Grillo she said that they had not really made any changes from the prior administration to this administration. Did you hear that also. And, and do you care to comment on that?

LEONIE HAIMSON: Yeah I mean the only change they made in the capital plan really was to add 4,000 seats for class size reduction which if the bond act passed which have still not been cited as degrade level or, or area of the city or district which concerns me. Because it means it's going to take a very long time to get those seats in gear. We, we hear all over the city that they are not aggressive about finding sites, that charter schools are finding sites, that buildings are going up, that there's a lot of development, and the school construction authority says we can't find any sites for school so they can't even spend the money they claim that's already in the plan. And parents have to go out and search those sites
and identify them and then fight for them constantly so that those schools are built. And I think what Lorraine Grillo also said about we are always addressing current need and not really looking at what future need is because the current needs are so great is a huge problem because it means they're always just catching up. They're always behind. And they will continue to be behind unless they have a more aggressive plan, they have more funding, and they really look at requiring developer to create schools inside their buildings. Because others, other, other states have something called impact fees which require developers to, to pay into a fund that will then go for infrastructure including schools and, and New York City does not have that. And they are not aggressive in terms of negotiating with developers. CHAIR: Okay I... go to Ms. Jacquelin

Febrillet. I hope I'm saying it right. Thank you. Okay Febrillet. I, I appreciate your support of Resolution 563. JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: Yes. CHAIR: I share many of your concerns about the unionization of workers because having
been a teacher in 1984 I remember is doing a lot of the duties that some of your members actually now do...

JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: Thank you.
CHAIR: ...and was relived of those duties so that we could focus more on teaching and the impact on the, the impact that the unions had in terms of making that a possibility. And I think a lot of people often times forget that. So I want to thank you for your testimony. We, we are also very concerned about your, the school, school crossing guard issues that you're... [cross-talk]

JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: Yes we're very concerned with the school crossing guards. Currently there are over 300 positions that are going, are unfilled. And there is a need for school crossing guards throughout the city. Our school crossing guards only work four hours a day unfortunately which doesn't make a lot of sense. In between they have to go, either go home or hang out by the school waiting or their ship to begin. They could be utilized. They could be doing something. Also something that is absolutely detrimental; school crossing guards make $\$ 9.88$ an hour which
goes to the issue of a living wage. Now they take care of our kids. And they don't discriminate between public school charter school kids. They don't discriminate whether it's your grandmother, your mother, or your cousin crossing the street. We've lost many school crossing guards throughout the years because they put their lives on the line to make sure that we and our children are, get safely to schools. And I think we're doing them a disservice by not first giving them a higher wage and additional hours. A lot of them are single mothers to grandmothers. Their aunts, their uncles. And again we're doing them a disservice by not really first of all increasing the, the, the wage and giving them additional hours. With community schools coming out there and, and after school programs there is some need for the schools to stay open later. There's also, you're going to need a school crossing guard there to make sure that the kids are not being harassed, that they're getting home, into the program safely. And if that's an issue we're going to talk more about during the NYPD hearing. But I really would love your assistance when it comes to the school crossing
guards because the positions are there but nobody, it's very difficult to have them filled.

CHAIR: So you, your, your main idea, the reason why they're not filled is because the low pay.

JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: The low pay, \$9.88 an hour, and also the hours. It's two hours in the morning and two hours in the afternoon. There's a big gap. Years ago that worked because a lot the members were moms that had children in the school so they can be there for their children. But now anyone can apply for these positions and we welcome them. We want them to apply for these positions but why work four hours a day; two in the morning and two in the afternoon when you could work one or two job that you can do within those hours?

CHAIR: And they have to be vetted
almost...
JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: Absolutely.
CHAIR: ...the same way as a police offer am I right?

JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: Yes they're vetted by the NYPD. And one thing that I don't think other people understand once you're in the NYPD it's a,
it's a stepping stone. A lot of our school crossing guards have become traffic agents. Once you're already in the NYPD system it's easier to get a job within the NYPD. We need to create some sort of latter. We need to be able to advertise these positions because currently the school crossing guard positions are mostly advertised at the precinct. But $I$ don't know about you I've been a good girl all my life but I'm not going to go into a precinct to see if there's a job available. So that's something also we need to work on.

CHAIR: Okay well thank you.
JACQUELIN FEBRILLET: Thank you.
CHAIR: And Ms. Northrop finally on, as Co-chair of the Chancellor's Parent Advisory Council. I'm so glad, and I'm sorry I wasn't here to actually hear it but looking through your testimony as well. The issue of overcrowding in our high schools. Now I made reference to the fact that elementary schools you know is where you really require the lower class size. But some of the examples of overcrowding that $I^{\prime} v e ~ h e a r d ~ o f ~ i t ' s ~$ just simply outrageous in the way that they have to schedule the days in some of the high school
buildings. And so I'm glad that you shine some light on that situation in the high schools.

NANCY NORTHROP: Yeah if I could just add as you know the other hat $I$ wear is this, president of the Queens High School Presidents Council which represents all the PAs and PTAs in, in Queens but at the high school level. And I think to some degree that, that it's a bit of $a, a$ misnomer to talk about higher levels of overcrowding at the elementary school level when in a sense a lot of this is hidden overcrowding at the high school level. But having these schools have, have sessions in shifts with some schools starting at 7:00 in the morning and ending at 6:00 at night and kids coming in at various times during the day. Also the fact that so many kids are on busses going all over the city to find... they're actually able in a sense to find those empty spaces and empty, empty seats and other areas but it's requiring kids to go, in some cases travel an hour or two by subway and bus to get to their schools. I was talking to a principal at one of, at a struggling school in Bayside which is way the heck out in Queens and he talked about he has students coming
in from the Bronx. And that's just ridiculous. That's you know probably three hours out of their day just in terms of transportation. So that's sort of some of the hidden, hidden nature of overcrowding. And I did just want to, if I, since I've got the floor here to mention one thing on, that in the testimony today when Elizabeth Rose who I have the utmost respect for but discussed sort of laid, laid out well we have Townsend Harris, Bronx, Science and all these schools that are so overcrowded, do you want us to take seats away. And, and what I want to sort of say is a response and to think about this is no that's not the answer, the answer's to build more grade schools like that so that those schools aren't overcrowded, kids don't have to commute from, you know two hour to get from Queens to Bronx High but instead you have a nice state of the art science high school located in a geographically central area in Queens. That's the solution. It's not, it's not to, it's not to you know give justification for the overcrowding in these schools.

CHAIR: Well I couldn't agree more. And
I want to go on to the next panel. But I also do
want to thank you for your support for these charter school cap resolution as well. So thank you very much. Thank you to the panel and we look forward to continuing to work with you again. LEONIE HAIMSON: And just one point there are renewal schools that are severely overcrowded as well. [cross-talk]

CHAIR: There are what...
LEONIE HAIMSON: Renewal, you know the struggling schools that face closure? Long Island city I think is a, like 120 percent. So it's not true that the only overcrowded schools are those that are so desirable and doing so well.

CHAIR: Thank you. Alright our next
panel will be Lisa Donovan from CEC1, Shino Tanikawa from CEC District 2, Randy Levine from Advocates for Children, and Alison Loeb from Columbia Secondary School. Okay when I ask you if you'd raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and to answer I guess the only council member left's questions honestly? Okay thank you very much. Who would like to start? Okay. be an increase in the charter cap in New York State I first wanted to say that as a member of the bluebook working group I think it's really important that we understand that if the recommendations of the bluebook working group are indeed adopted we're going to see that the current levels of overcrowding have in fact been understated and we're going to see a real increase across the board in those numbers. And I think it's going to more accurately reflect that a number of our students are being deprived of services, mandated services, art, gym, appropriate lunch times, etcetera. And I think that it'll be a more accurate reflection but we're going to see those numbers go way up and what we're looking at now severely understates it.

CHAIR: Well and thank you for your service on that committee. I think that's been a very important committee. Looking forward to the recommendations moving forward. Thank you.

LISA DONOVAN: Great thank you. Us too.
One of the things I wanted to talk about and why

I'm in favor of the resolution is that District 1 like a lot of communities is currently going under a charter feeding frenzy. Because of the governor's bill that offered free space to charter schools all of a sudden we're inundated with proposals. We just fought back a proposal from Eva. We got a two year reprieve. We have two middle schools who have proposed to come in. And I'm here today to talk about one particular charter school that under the guise of a, of a renewal, a routine renewal is actually asking for expansion. It will double in size by adding middle school grades but this school has not served any English language learners for most of its history in District 1, was authorized by the DOE in 2005. It's been collocated for 10 years in PS142 which does no special magic, no set asides, no special staffing or marketing yet that school serves the district average which is the citywide average for ELLs. But the charter school has served none until 2012 when they took in four students arriving at a grand total of two percent of ELL students and that's in part because they do not offer a curriculum that supports ELLs. They treat English language learners with a full
immersion approach and then only offer academic intervention. That school was allowed to replicate at such a good model they had to make two of them and collocated in my district. That school also serves a very small number of ELLs and I think that as long as we have a law on the books from May 2010 that requires charters to serve a proportionate number of high need students including ELLs as well as, well as other subgroups that that law needs to be respected. Schools cannot be renewed, increased, added, replicated, until they are serving all the students much like our district schools are. And in District 1 we pay a lot of attention to that. We don't just hold charters to those standards. We measure and extort the same thing from our, our regular schools. We want all of our neighborhood schools to reflect our diversity and serve all of our kids equitably.

CHAIR: We've had teachers from charter schools come in here on various occasions... I think the last hearing that $I$ had on ELLs as a matter of fact, and, and prior to that also that stated essentially that it was an immersion program completely and that there were no special
considerations made for ELL students, so just completely out of touch with anything really that needs to happen to support those ELL students. Thank you.

LISA DONOVAN: Thank you.
CHAIR: Next please.
SHINO TANIKAWA: Good afternoon. Thank you for having me here and thank you for having this hearing. My name is Shino Tanikawa. I am the President of the Community Education Council for District 2 and I'm currently serving as the cochair of the bluebook working group. I have two daughters; one has graduated from the public school system and in a public college in New York City as well as a younger one who's a seventh grade at a public middle school in District 2 . So I've, I'm presenting with you with a official letter from the Community Education Council District 2 on school crowding, overcrowding issues. One of the biggest problems that we see in the way we operate right now is the projection method which has been brought up at this hearing already. But particularly there are three separate issues that we see critically important. One of them is the SCA's $^{\prime}$ use of the
subdistrict, planning subdistrict which is a rather arbitrary division geographic division within which they do capital planning. The lines for the subdistrict does not line up with anything, not even the community boards, not even the zoned elementary school boundaries. They are rather arbitrary lines that divide particular borough into these planning subdistricts. So when they're planning within that geographic area that does not make sense at the community and neighborhood levels we run into problems because they generate numbers that are not reflective of what's going on on the ground. Secondly they use a, a secret number that I a Manhattan wide coefficient. So when they have a ULERP and/or variance and they're looking at buildings and looking at the number of units they apply the coefficient which might work well if you're looking at Manhattan wide projections. But those coefficients vary from neighborhood to neighborhood. As you can see some neighborhoods have more families, some neighborhoods have more single people. So the application of a borough wide coefficient that's from the... does not work well at the neighborhood scale. Likewise they look at what
we call birth yield. That is the number of children born in a particular year ending up in a public elementary school five years later. That number is also variable from neighborhood to neighborhood. In this particular neighborhood we're in community board 1. That number is very high. Nearly 55 to 60 percent of babies born in a particular year end up in a public school system in the area five years later. The number is very different on the upper east side. It could be as low as 30 percent yet the SCA continues to use a citywide or borough wide number and thereby creating overcrowding situations in neighborhoods. So we are recommending these changes, very simple to do, just do the projections based on neighborhood based coefficients and neighborhood based data. We think we can have more accurate pictures. We've had eight new elementary schools in District 2, two new middle schools in District 2 since 2009 and a few schools that have expanded capacity in the same time yet all these schools came about because of parent advocacy. We screamed until we were blue in the faces and the SCA finally came around and build us this schools. We are grateful that these schools were built but
we shouldn't have to work so hard to convince them that we need schools. They have the tools to project better. I think it's time that we push them to do that. Finally I do have to say we have complaints but the bluebook working group has been a remarkable collaborative process and we hope to continue that work with the SCA. We're waiting for the first set of recommendations to come out but we're hopeful that there's quite a bit of work left to do and we would like to work with the, the SCA and continue the collaboration that $\mathrm{we}^{\prime}$ ve started. So I'm hopeful that things will be changing. Finally I know my time's up but I would like to share with you a resolution we passed in District 2 several years ago calling for a moratorium on charter applications in District 2 and perhaps citywide. There is ample evidence that the charters are not any better than the district public schools and until we can prove that there is a need for charter schools citywide we support the resolution to call for not increase the cap on charter schools. Thank you very much.

CHAIR: Thank you for your testimony.
And I do believe that the DOE is open to further
discussion on the bluebook even after the, the recommendation and the changes come about. So thank you. Next please.

ALISON LOEB: Whoops. There, thank you so much. Chair Dromm thank you so much. I'm a parent of the Columbia Secondary School in Harlem. I'm a, my son is a minority there. The school is overwhelmingly African American and Latino and the rest other such as us. It's one of the best schools I've ever had the pleasure of being acquainted with. Now CSS is collocated with two other schools in what they call the Ralph Bunche Campus. The problem is the Ralph Bunche Campus is one building with no fields, no, no place for the kids to have recess that's entirely theirs. It's also shared by the community. And in 2007 we were told we were only being temporarily housed there along with an elementary school. So you've got 770 middleschoolers and high schoolers sharing hallways and stairwells and a whole bunch of things with elementary school student as well as with Kip Star which was moved in before the school had finished growing. So we... [cross-talk]

School? What street?
ALISON LOEB: It's, I'm sorry it's $123^{\text {rd }}$
street between Amsterdam and Morningside.
CHAIR: It's right near the Grand
Houses?
ALISON LOEB: Exactly right next to the
Grand Houses.
CHAIR: Okay because I was the director of the Grand Houses Daycare Center...

ALISON LOEB: Ah.
CHAIR: ...when I was... daycare center
director so.
ALISON LOEB: So you know the area.
CHAIR: I know the area and, and so I, I'm also familiar with the school. So go ahead... [cross-talk]

ALISON LOEB: Well the school serves Northern Manhattan and it's a STEM school. It's a greatly underfunded STEM school and we won't get into that but it's part of the problem. The space... so we were being told we were temporarily housed there. And all of a sudden the building disappeared. The money that we were owed per class
disappeared. We're talking about 100 thousand dollars per year that just went up in smoke and now we're, we're struggling. So if we're struggling I can't imagine what everybody else is going through. The colocation was based on an inaccurate bluebook footprint that ignores storage, offices, shared spaces such as the auditoriums cafeterias, I know you've heard all this before I'll try to make it fast. So three students at CSS have to share one locker if you can imagine what that's like in the winter. Students changing for phys. ed. [phonetic] spill over into the hallways because of small bathrooms. And I was there when these kids were changing and Kip Star kids had to go right past them looking at these other schools having to change. In inclement weather there are 64 students per gym class inside in an elementary school sized gymnasium and in good weather the students have to find space in the park and there's no dedicated space for the kids. After school sports for all schools are limited since all schools need the same facilities from 3:00 to 6:00 p.m. now this is interesting. Testing is compromised in a building that houses K to 12. In April high school students
have to stay in their classrooms so hallway noise and P.E. classes won't interrupt state testing for K through 5 and middle school students. For the June High School regions the reverse happens. Wow, that was fast. Okay I'm going to let my two colleagues finish up with you but thank you so much for your time.

CHAIR: Well thank you. And look you know I think it's really important for parents to be involved. And no matter how many times we hear about gyms and specialty rooms and stuff we need to keep drilling that into peoples' minds because the impact of not having that is really terrible. And knowing that school and when I started in that school there was, there was a pool in that school if I'm not mistaken. And that, has that pool been used for the public schools?

ALISON LOEB: I, maybe my other colleagues can... it's not actively used by us. It's mostly used by PS125. But I don't even know how active... how, how much... [cross-talk]

CHAIR: That was a valuable resource to that community...

ALISON LOEB: Fabulous resource.

CHAIR: ...and to those kids.
ALISON LOEB: But I have no idea about how it's maintained. I have no idea... [cross-talk] CHAIR: But your, your student are not getting the advantage of it.

ALISON LOEB: There might be a class once in a while but since we're limited in, in terms of people who can actually be there it doesn't happen as much as we'd like.

CHAIR: Mm-hmm. You know and when we were talking about having gyms and stuff in schools there are some schools that have two gyms. In the old day, well I guess still you have the girls and the boys gym right, you know. And then you had the pool. So you had all these opportunities to have physical education in the schools which I think with the, you know the creation of small schools, although there are some benefits to having the small schools. But the colocation of them never was really taken into account and the impact that it would have on these types of facilities and, and what it would mean. And to lose that pool is really I think a, a big loss to that community so...

ALISON LOEB: It's huge but you also have elementary school sized facilities for middle and high school students.

CHAIR: Oh that's, of course that's... given, and I, I get that too but it's just not a good situation. Thank you.

RANDIE LEVINE: Good afternoon. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. My name is Randie Levine and I'm the Policy Coordinator at Advocates for Children of New York. For more than 40 years Advocates for Children has worked to promote access to the best education New York can provide for all students, especially students of color and students from low income backgrounds. When AFC does workshops for families on the transition to kindergarten parents often gasp when we mention the typical class size. Families, especially those whose children have disabilities worry about how their children will get the specialized attention they need when the teacher has to focus on 22 or many more additional children. I want to tell you about some of the cases that have come through advocates for children over the past year. Over the past year we had at
least four cases in which parents sought assistance to keep their children in a school that was working well for them but had notified them that they would need to transfer during the school year as a result of overcrowding. In one of these cases an entire District 75 class was told to move. The families that reached out to us did not want to move their children, they wanted to keep them there but had no choice. AFC has also heard from families of students with disabilities receiving related services such as speech therapy, physical therapy or counselling in hallways and in supply closet. There are issues of privacy and safety implicated here as well as logistical difficulties for providers and students in terms of juggling materials and equipment needed for these critical services. And this fall we received a particularly troubling call from a parent of a student with special needs in a high school self-contained class that was meeting in the school's locker room, bathroom stalls and all. Only after the parent complained were the students moved from the locker room to a small office, too small though to comfortably hold 15 adolescents. Based on these
cases we look forward to working with the city council to address the issue of overcrowding in schools. Turning briefly to city council resolution number 563; AFC supports the ultimate goal of this resolution as we believe it is premature to raise the cap on the number of charter schools before putting laws and practices in place that adequately protect students' civil rights in the context of school discipline and ensure that charter schools serve high needs populations. In our recent report civil right suspended an analysis of New York City charter school discipline policies. We found that many charter schools have discipline policies that fail to meet due process requirements of the United States constitution and state law. In our written testimony we have some examples of those findings. And we are also concerned about families who call us wanting to place their children in charter schools but having difficulty doing so being told by staff that because of their children's special needs it's not the right fit. We know that a number of charter schools are not serving numbers of English language learners comparable to the district schools as they're required to do by law.

And therefore we support the goal of the resolution and oppose raising the number of charter schools at this time. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you and I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIR: Well thank you Ms. Levine. And just to follow-up, and thank you for validating what I've had as personal experience in my school. I think you, I don't know if you were here earlier when I told you that the locker rooms, the dressing rooms, the maintenance closets all were used for one thing or another. I mean the locker rooms were full classrooms actually. The, the, the transportables, the TCUs, often times you'd have 34 kids in there and literally I'd have to move my body so I didn't hit them in the head with my, with another part of my body because it was so hard to get around the room. And it just seems to me that that's a violation of their educational rights and a matter of educational justice. So I thank you for that. And I thank you also for your support. And it's been an issue for me in terms of trying to frame why I think it's important not to raise the Chaplin, the cap on charter schools because we don't know enough yet about what it really means.

And discipline policies and I highlighted that at a hearing here in May when $I$ put on an orange tee shirt because this is the way that they were doing discipline in some of the charter schools. And then two of those issue... And by the way I wrote to the Special Commissioner of Investigation about that, that incident that $I$ heard of, or about that discipline policy that I heard of and they told me that they could not investigate further because it's a state issue. And SUNY does not regulate that. So until SUNY or whoever needs to take care of that I would agree with you that we should not be raising the charter cap at this point. Thank you.

RANDIE LEVINE: Thank you.
CHAIR: And thank you all for coming in. I'm going to go to my next panel. Thank you. Kevin Daly School Leadership Team at the Columbia Secondary School, Hiroko Suzuki from the Columbia Secondary School, Tianhao Zhang from the Asian American Student Advocacy Project, and Jian Liu from the Asian American Student Advocacy Project, and Sicilia Green from New York Communities for Change. Yeah you know can I just ask you to
identify yourselves from here because we're missing one person.

KEVIN DALY: I'm Kevin Daly.
HIROKO SUZUKI: Hiroko Suzuki.
CHAIR: Okay so we know who, okay,
alright thank you. So I need to swear you in. If you would raise your right hand please. Raise your right hand. Yeah. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and to answer council member questions honestly. Okay good. Would you like to start over here.

KEVIN DALY: Good afternoon. Thank you very much for having us and thank you for holding this session. My name's Kevin Daly and I'm the Chair of the School Leadership Team at Columbia Secondary School which you've already heard a little bit about. When we heard about this session we really did decide to come down and, and to try and speak a few of the stories associated with the school. Because the issue of overcrowding for us is complex. And I think that there were a couple of issues you may have brought up today that aren't typically associated with just simply number of
students per classroom. It took us a letter writing and petition campaign to get the folks from the office of Space Planning to come down to our school and talk to us about the crowding issues that we had both in relation to the number of students that we had per classroom especially as the school grew grade by grade over the last seven years. CSS had its first graduating class in 2014. But in addition that we had a number of shared common spaces that were originally build for elementary school kids and were now being shared both by ourselves with our Kip Star charter middle school PS125 as well as the District Superintendent who maintain offices, who still maintains offices in the school and a community facility... up on the $6^{\text {th }}$ floor. We were surprised to find that the DOE told us that we fit footprint until we finally went ahead and figured it out ourselves that footprint made absolutely no reference to, to common spaces. So it was a little bit daunting to realize that those were trying to tell us whether or not we are, our capacity was telling us half-truths. In addition when the DOE, when the Office of Space Planning recognized the problems that we had often they forced the painful
choices that needed to be made back on us. For example we, we pointed out that two of our classrooms were actually illegally small. They flanked the school library that had been underused by PS125. In a sweep they declared that that library now belonged to Columbia Secondary School and told us that we could either have that library or convert it to much needed classrooms which is a really, a terrible choice for, for them to force upon us. In addition a variety of common space issues that were, were being discussed and sometimes argued over in the building committee were patently the result of there being too many schools and not enough spaces. So it really, it, it became an issue where the, the DOE had created problems and asked us to fight it out amongst ourselves. So when this announcement was first presented to me they, it was suggested that testimony would be to offer some suggestions. And I have two that I wanted to make sure were, were put out. One is there's been some suggestion about this revised and reissued blue book. And I would like to make sure that the state mandated and city mandated common spaces are included in all of the
calculations that blue book makes in assessing whether a school is sized correctly. And I also think there really should be a structured means by which a building committee, a collection of schools has the opportunity to show that a problem inside the building is not created by programmatic issues but by foundational problem with the size of the school and to seek redress from the office of space planning as a result. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIR: Thank you very much. Next please.

HIROKO SUZUKI: Hi my name is Hiroko Suzuki. My English might not be good but I'm here and I'm shaking but I'm here because... [cross-talk]

CHAIR: Don't, don't shake we're alright, we're okay.

HIROKO SUZUKI: I came here because my son beg me to be here. We need a change. I came here from Columbia secondary. I just want to share the story. My son Ani [sp?] is attending Columbia Secondary. He's eighth grade. Last year he tour prospective student to show Columbia Secondary. The end of the tour very organized fifth grader with
spreadsheet asked him to show art room, music room, and library. Unfortunately we don't have any of that.

CHAIR: Mm-hmm.
HIROKO SUZUKI: My son was so sad and he love Columbia Secondary and please give Columbia Secondary more space. And by the way 64 people in elementary size gym. You know two subway car, that seat 64. Imagine those people stand up and you know do the gym. It's very crowded. Thank you very much for this opportunity.

CHAIR: Well thank you very much. And before I go on to the young people here you know Mr. Daly I think you still have quality reviews in the school if I'm not mistaken or some type of assessment... [cross-talk] and they look at the program and the education that goes on in the school.

KEVIN DALY: That's right.
CHAIR: And as a UFT chapter leader I
was always like infuriated by the fact that they were so quick to evaluate the teaching without ever looking at their responsibility or actually
abrogating their responsibility to space usage issues.

KEVIN DALY: Absolutely.
CHAIR: How do you evaluate teachers
that have to teach in a locker room?
KEVIN DALY: That's absolutely correct.
CHAIR: You know how do you evaluate gym
teachers who have to give gym in a regular classroom.

KEVIN DALY: That's absolutely right.
CHAIR: You know it, it... [cross-talk]
it's just amazing.
KEVIN DALY: There was a lot of discussion about teachers needing to sort of shuffle chest like around from classroom to classroom and, and there were certain classrooms of course that were far more desirable and certain classrooms that are far less desirable. Nobody wants to teach in what's essentially a lock, a walk in closet. I do want to point out to you I do know about the swimming pool if you wanted to ask me about it but you don't have to.

CHAIR: So go ahead let me know I'm

KEVIN DALY: The, the pool is, is, was in use by PS125. We had conflict because it was the, the safety plan that had been filed was filed some years ago under the egious [phonetic] of PS125. And so Columbia Secondary's use of it was complicated by that space plan. In addition we had some back and forth with the office of space planning because they had told us that that basement locker room which was really four tiled walls, a badly tiled floor, and, and a couple of hangers was the locker room for the middle school and high school gym which is up on the fifth floor. So the idea that they would get the necessary amount of gym by trekking all the way down to the basement, changing, trekking all the way back up, and leaving enough time at the end to do the same was laughable. However I should point out the pool is hopefully about to go back into service for an after school program due to the diligence of a grant partner that we obtained for a middle school after, after school programs. But it took outside effort.

CHAIR: It's really a shame to hear that because that was a beautiful asset in the community
there really, really beneficial to the whole community.

KEVIN DALY: Absolutely.
CHAIR: Anyway. Well thank you for that explanation. I want to go on to our students here. Next please.

JIAN LIU: Good afternoon. My name is Jian Liu [sp?], I'm a senior in Fort Hamilton High School. I live in Brooklyn. And I immigrated to this country two years ago. I'm also youth leader from the Asian American Student Advocacy Project, ASAP, under the Coalition for Asian American Student and Families CAASF. CAASF is the nation's only... Asian student's advocacy organization and works to improve the health and wellbeing of Asian Pacific American. APA children and families in New York City and three... areas; education, health, and child welfare. ASAP, a youth leadership program comprises of New York City public high school student from all five boroughs. I'm joined by my fellow ASAP member Tianhao he live in, lives in Queens. It has been a, a program under the CAASF for over ten years working to empower young people to learn to make positive changes in education or
through education through advocacy. I would like to thank Chairman Dromm and members of the Education Committee for holding this important oversight hearing on the issue of school overcrowding. Overcrowding has long been a major issue in my school. Fort Hamilton High School is one of the most populous high school in New York state with around 4,400 student attending, attending school every day. In my school a class of typical contains around 40 student. Our seat are very close to each other's. Once the condition, air conditioning is broken down on the day of May or June it would be unbearably hot to stay in a cramped room for one period. When the bell rings one can see that like from each tall burst out stream of student wearing backpacks or carrying books and folders. They converge into narrow hallway forming a flood rushing toward the staircases. As everybody's trying to get to, get to the next class as soon as possible the staircases are fully packed at that moment. If anyone trips it's not unlikely that a serious stampede would happen. It's... situation for student relying on the wheelchairs or canes to move from class to class. Apart from discomfort and
safety issues overcrowding also causes
disproportionately high still faculty, student faculty ratio. Student are not getting enough attentions from teachers and guidance counsellors. A guidance counsellor who is assigned to over 300 students... it's impossible to take care of every single student. Every time I go to my guidance counsellor for help there are always student waiting on line. If students stop coming to school some guidance counsellor don't even bother to bring up a phone call for the parents. Overcrowding is undermining students ability to achieve success in schools. Thank you very much for your time. CHAIR: Next.

TIANHAO ZHANG: Good afternoon. My name Tianhao Zhang. I'm a, I'm also a youth leader from the Asian American Student Advocacy Project, ASAP. I'm a junior at Francis Lewis High School Queens and live in District 19. My family immigrated to this country three years ago. Thank you again to Chairperson Dromm for holding this hearing and, and I'm honored to be able to testify on the issue of overcrowding schools in New York City. With over 4,000 students Francis Louis High School is one of
the most crowded public schools in New York City. And we see a trend of increasing student population in recent years. Overcrowded classrooms not only cause safety issues but also impede students' ability to receive proper academic and personal support. Hallways become a dangerous place between classes. Impatient students will push others to get through the crowd posing a significant threat to student safety. Additionally getting to class on time can be fairly difficult sometimes due to the sheer amount of traffic in hall, in the hall. Since the main building has reached its maximum capacity some students have to go to trailer outside of main building to take classes. This causes considerable inconvenience for both students and teachers especially during the rainy and snowy days. Overcrowded classrooms also prevent personalized attention in and out of the classroom. Teachers almost never have time to give personal attention to individual students. Teaching these classroom often, often turn into a conversation between the teacher and the most active students as more reserved students tend to hold back opinions in the fast paced classroom. Sometimes because of time
restraint not everybody's question can be answered. A situation often cause anxiety in students. Also teachers occasionally seem tired which leads to ineffective and low quality teaching. Last but not least hundreds of students to one guidance counsellor ratio make it almost impossible for students to get the proper support. Setting up an appointment to meet one's guidance counsellor is difficult and a meeting set, typically lasts under 10 minutes. People usually meet with their guidance counsellor once a, once a year. Some of my friends even told me that they did not meet with their guidance for the entire year. Many immigrant students like myself cannot get a desirable schedule because our guidance counsellors has little knowledge of our backgrounds. Worse yet counsellors hardly ever seek out to talk to the immigrant students if the students do not go to them. These students usually end, end up in classes that do not fit their ability therefore causing detrimental academic effects in addition to their struggles with their language barrier. In New York City one out of five Asian Pacific American, APA, students is an English language learner. As
immigrants or being children of immigrants many APAs face the daunting challenge of learning how to navigate the education system, learn English, and stay on track to graduate, and to be college ready. These challenges become even more apparent in over, overcrowded schools. We will like to recommend that for many overcrowded schools that in addition to reducing class sizes and improving teacher student ratios that guidance counsellor also be expanded with job descriptions that can handle newly arrive immigrants and also assist in the college readiness process. Thank you for the time.

CHAIR: Well thank you both for coming in and sharing with us your personal experiences with the regard to overcrowding in the schools. And I think he said that at, at the Fort Hamilton School and at the Flushing, at the Francis Louis High School in Flushing more than 4,000 students in each school, that's incredible. That's larger than my college campus. I went to a place called Marist College in Poughkeepsie long time ago but we had about 18 hundred students at that time in a college, never mind a high school. So and I, I am pleased that I, I know firsthand in Francis Louis
and I think in Fort Hamilton that you're getting a good education overall the, the Deputy Chancellor referred to that but simply because of the fact that students like yourselves are able to survive in an environment like that doesn't excuse the DOE from the issue of overcrowding. So that was the purpose of having this hearing today. And I definitely appreciate having your first hand testimony to let us know what it's like to be a student in those schools. Thank you very much. [cross-talk]

CHAIR: Okay thank you and we're going to call our next panel. Okay Bertha Asistembae [sp?] and Helicon Zalgaldo [sp?] from PS143 and Bertha is from PS19 in Corona, 143 in Corona, Christopher Young from Downtown Brooklyn School Solution and Rachel Manning from Phys. Ed. [phonetic] for All Coalition and the Bronx Health Reach. Okay and I'm going to ask you all if you'd raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and to answer council member questions honestly? Okay thank you. Who would like to start? Over here?

Mendoza and I'm just going to translate for her. Good afternoon my name Bertha Asistembae and I am a... leader at PS19 and I am a member of Make the Road New York. I have, I have three daughters. Cynthia is 6 and Stephanie's 10. Both are students at PS19 in Corona, Queens. Thanks for the opportunity to testify and for all that you have one to resolve overcrowding. Overcrowding is one of the largest problem we face as parents in districts 24 and 30 in Queens. We have kindergarten and $1^{\text {st }}$ grade students travelling to Long Island City because they do not fit in our schools. And these students have to wait for a bus on the corner in spite of the, of the cold. Also hundred of students have study in transportables for decades many have to have lunch at 9:45 a.m. or at 1:00 p.m. because it's so overcrowded. The parents have to take them extra clothes when it's cold or wet, or wet because the students are always going in and out of the trailers and the main building for the library or gym or lunch. And if it's snowing children suffer because their feet is wet. But no one, but no one
says they are sorry. We have fought to resolve this problem working with council members like CM Dromm and Ferreras, Assembly members... organizations combined and we have achieved some victories. Like at PS19 and 92 and some new schools. We know that much is still has to happen to fix this problem. There have been conversations about new charter, charters coming to the neighborhood and I said no, no to that because while we need more seats in the schools we have where will, where will we put a charter school. There is simply not a space. I think it's important to look at the capital plan and also to be creative with our design of a schools. Can we be, can build higher, can we include schools in new housing constructions, are we using our space well? No more lunch at 9:45 a.m. or 1:00 p.m. No more therapy in the halls. No more lines to using the bathroom. No more waiting on the streets for a bus. No more trips for over an hour to study. Overcrowding has to end today. Thank you. CHAIR: [speaking Spanish] BERTHA ASISTEMBAE: [speaking Spanish] CHAIR: [speaking Spanish] And what I said is that thank you very much for coming, we
appreciate very much her efforts. I know her from PS19. It's a school that has probably the most overcrowded school in the country. There are over 27 hundred and 50 kids in PS19. 2,750 and they already built a school across the street which took four or 500 out but the population is still up. There is a plan in place to remove the trailers that are on the school yard but that plan won't be implemented until after 2017 I believe. So we still have the issue but we're glad that there finally is a plan moving forward and I'm very proud of the parents. And parents have a very strong voice when they speak up and they unite and they work together they can create change in their neighborhood. So thank you very much. Next please.

CHRISTOPHER YOUNG: Hi, thanks for letting me speak on this issue. My name is Christopher Young. I'm a kindergarten and a, the founder of a group called downtown Brooklyn school solutions which is a group of more than 200 parents living in and around downtown Brooklyn. You may or may not know it's the fastest growing neighborhood in the whole city. And when we came to learn that there's no neighborhood school and there's no plan
to build a neighborhood school we became concerned an started to reach out to politicians. A little bit of background; it was a largely commercial, government, retail... it was rezoned in 2004. They, expected that most would be 979 residential units built as a result of, of this rezoning. And our real concern is the ability of the SCA to adjust to new development. What's really happened in the last ten years is 6,000 new residential units have been built. There's 43 hundred in the pipeline, or there's 43 hundred under construction and 6,000 in the pipeline. And this, the SCA's still not planning to build a school. If we use their own coefficient we determined that they should have planned for 47 hundred new elementary school aged kids yet there is only 300 available seats in the schools in the neighborhood surrounding downtown Brooklyn that serve downtown Brooklyn which means within three or four years every one of those schools in all the surrounding neighborhoods is going to be over capacity and overcrowded. A recent report undertaken by the Brooklyn Bridge Park Corporation, a technical memo using their own SCA and the City Planning's own data projected the
following for District 13 subdistrict 2 that the population of elementary school kids would go from 3,279 to 46 hundred 97 by 2018 putting all the schools in the subdistrict at 140 percent capacity which seems to be a major problem. There is one District 13 school that's in the next five year capital plan but it's not expected to go online until 2022, that's a 757 school seat that's in a completely different neighborhood and not in downtown Brooklyn. There are schools that are already exhibiting the problems of the overcrowding like PS8 in Brooklyn Heights which is at 140 percent capacity as a result of the development in the area and are looking to have to drop a kindergarten class and create waiting lists. So this is the fate of downtown Brooklyn, the surrounding neighborhoods that serve downtown Brooklyn like Covo Hill, Boerum Hill, Fort Greene, and you know... And the SCA doesn't seem to be able to adjust adequately to new housing starts and make accurate projections and respond in a timely fashion so that they can build new schools to prevent this from happening. And what we fear is that there's going to be an acute problem in this
part of Brooklyn and we would like to know how we could compel developers to include schools as part of their as of right projects, if there was a mechanism in place, or even get the SCA to use accurate projections in terms of their planning for future school building.

CHAIR: So all really good questions and things that we as council members grapple with all the time. And often times developers can do certain things as of right and then we have very little influence in terms of what it is that we can compel them to do. But even times where we have developers who request zoning changes or whatever, a change in the far or whatever it may be it's difficult to negotiate those things. And even where we have negotiated those things getting it in writing so to speak...

## CHRISTOPHER YOUNG: Yeah.

CHAIR: ...and making sure that it's firm and that it's real, and that it's going to meet the needs of the area is very important. So it's, it, it is, it's not just an issue in your district by the way, and did you say you were 13?

CHRISTOPHER YOUNG: That's one of the weird things. It's split between 13 and 15 and so the problem is sort of, will be equal in both of those districts in that area.

CHAIR: So looking at the I, IBO report there was about a need for about 11 hundred and 70 seats in, in District 13 and in 1533 hundred and 15 seats so...

CHRISTOPHER YOUNG: Yeah and I, I think those estimates are small because they don't look at every, you know every month there's a new project of a massive redevelopment. And the school that's in the current five year capital plan is actually supposed to be sited at the Atlantic Yards Project next to Barclay Center and their own impact statement says that that development alone will bring 64 hundred units and will bring 14 hundred elementary school kids but, and the school they're planning to build there as part of that process is half as big as it needs to be so... that is the challenge. I think their numbers... that was Lorain Grillo in, you met with the SCA and we're working with Levine and we, we, we're pretty sure that their estimates are wrong. And even a CB2 report
showed that if just existing population of children that are under the age 5 age into, become school age they will fill up all the existing excess capacity. So it's not just housing start that's, is the problem I, it speaks to your point is, should they be using the same demographers that they've been using year in and year out and claiming to have one percent accuracy even though there's a huge number of schools that have over you know crowding problems across the city.

CHAIR: Thank you and I, and I agree. Thank you. Next please.

RACHEL MANNING: Okay. Hi, I'm Rachel. I'm here from Bronx Health Reach and I'm speaking today on behalf of the Phys. Ed. for All Coalition. The Phys. Ed. for All Coalition would like to thank Chairperson Daniel Dromm and the Education Committee for drawing attention to the critical problem of overcrowding in New York City schools. We would like to highlight one of the many costs of overcrowding. New York City is failing to meet state requirements for providing our children with physical education. Research, research shows that participation and quality physical education
enhances student's academic achievement, instills good habits for healthy living, and teaches critical skills such as team work. P.E. can help improve children's' grades and standardized test scores as well as their concentration and classroom behavior. P.E. improves physical fitness for all school children as, and is especially critical for students with obesity and related health problems. Approximately one in five New York City public school students in grades $K$ through eight are obese and obesity rates are higher in lower income communities of color. Despite these well documented benefits DOE schools routinely failed to provide their students with the physical education required by state regulations and part of the opportunity for a sound basic education to which all students are entitled under the state constitution. Part of the problem is overcrowding. A health teacher in the Bronx shared the story of her school where overcrowding has meant that students with special needs are unable to get the accommodations they need to effectively participate in phys. Ed., health, and art classes. Our students do not have enough gymnasiums, playing fields, or playgrounds.

Too many of the spaces we do have are failing, are falling into disrepair. Collocated schools struggle to schedule sufficient time for P.E. and shared gymnasiums. As a result it is impossible to give our students the physical education they need to promote lifelong habits for healthy living. I also wanted to point out a distinction between physical activity and physical education that came up in the DOE testimony, things like classroom, physical activity, exercises, and after school sports are good but typically they can't be counted toward state mandates for P.E. And P.E. has its own set of requirements. So citywide efforts to improve access to P.E. are hampered by the DOE's failure to make public and in many instances even track basic data on the equality and amount of P.E. instruction being afforded to our school children or the spaces utilized for P.E. instruction. This is why the Phys. Ed. for all coalition urges the council to enact Intro 644 which will require the DOE to begin reporting on all aspects of physical education including space and facilities in New York City schools. A City Council reporting bill will be a critical first step to addressing P.E. issues in

New York City by giving parents, communities, and elected officials the information they need on whether city schools are meeting P.E. requirements. A reporting bill can also cast light on the extent to which overcrowding or lack of space serve as a barrier to providing students with quality P.E. instruction. Intro 644 was introduced on February $12^{\text {th }}$ by Council Member Elizabeth Crowley and it already has the support of 19 council members including chairs of education and health committees. We urge the council to hold hearings on this bill and to bring it to a vote as soon as possible. Thank you.

CHAIR: Thank you very much. And I think we're going to hold hearings on that bill. And part of what I was discussing with the DOE today around physical education etcetera is in anticipation of at some point hearing that legislation. It may take us a while to get there but we will probably do that at some point. I also had problems with Deputy Chancellor... no, who was here today, Rose, excuse me, I'm sorry explanation that you can hold gym you know in classrooms. I mean come on it's just like it, to try, to try to swallow that is just very
difficult you know... So it's unacceptable. We're going to look at that. And thank you. I want to thank you for coming in.

RACHEL MANNING: Thank you. CHAIR: ...testimony. Thank you very much. Thank you to the panel. We have, thank you... yes we can do this. And I want to invite our last panel up. And that will be; Josh Coran former president of CEC6 who is here with us, and Sarah I believe it's Margrige [sp?] mm-hmm, years of experience. Oh we have one more. Mm-hmm. Uh-huh. Okay. Oh, okay. She didn't come up. Oh, or maybe she was the one who was... 143. [speaking Spanish] 143. [speaking Spanish]. Okay, [speaking Spanish]. Yeah. [speaking Spanish]. Okay. [speaking Spanish].

ANGELICA: Yes, thank you. I'm sorry for being late. That was... [cross-talk] CHAIR: Uh-huh.

ANGELICA:...my, my daughter. Yes, my name is Angelica Selliado [sp?]. I am a parent of PS143. And I'm here today to speak on behalf of the parents. You know we are the second most overcrowded school in District 24. And as you hear as PS19 we also have our little ones walking from
the portables to the main building, children with the special ed. are walking from the portables to the main building to get services on the hallways which is some, pretty sad to see that. Then on the lunch period we have children, we have to saved as much time as possible so what happens is that when children come, the little ones... come to lunch time they don't, they can't even take off their jackets because that's time saving. So they have to sit on their jackets or keep their, you know jackets zipped... have lunch 20 minutes, clean up the table, they only have out of those 50 minutes that they supposedly have for lunch, 20 minutes are really for lunch, if they don't have time everything goes away because the next group is coming. So I, something has to be done. Those trailers have been sitting there for over, almost 30 years. Probably a little bit over... I, my daughter started at five years ago. And the situation is that those trailers are sitting on parks, parks and recreation land and we cannot have our building built there because of the same reason because they are fighting because we need that land. So to me it sounds not, let's say illogical that those trailers have been there
for 30 years and they cannot be used to build new school. All those, we have 18 hundred children, that's double the capacity that... that the building is supposed to have. And those children need accommodations to go to school. They, they need to be provided with quality education. And the administration has been doing pretty well. We are satisfied but it is not the same working with 25 children, having 32 children in each classroom all the time. It's hard to identify sometimes problems that children need to have because there are too many children's for one teacher only in the classroom. So my request today and we have also gone to our council member Julissa Ferreras... but nothing has been done. We need that, we need that, that extension and we don't need it in five or ten years. Because you know I have a little one and I, and I started being involved in this four years ago and I, time passes and nothing is onto PS114. So how many... last time I went to the... in my district and I asked them so how many years do we have to wait? And the person told me well if you, maybe 2019 you may be able to get something. So how many more generations have to come up. You know to me if
the, if children don't have what they need at the very beginning you know how we expect and have a, we lost publicity about... you know we are a Latino community. So we, they're always pushing us about we have to no more drop outs from the school. So how can we do that if the little ones don't have the, the, a good beginning. If they're in
overcrowding classrooms, if they have, they don't even have sometimes time to do their activities because just there are no more classrooms. So I really am here to ask that we need a solution. We need that extension. We need that building for PS143.

CHAIR: Thank you. I appreciate your testimony. I don't know if you know but I was a teacher at 143 in the summer in the 90 s, early 90 s, probably 199192 maybe 93. So I know the conditions and nothing has changed you're right. It's the same trailers that we used in those days that are still there. And unfortunately I don't think the DOE has that as a priority to remove those trailers
immediately. Now they are doing something at 19 as was discussed before but unfortunately it's basically the same situation. So we go to continue
to fight to make that, make people more aware of that. And, and, and I thank you for your testimony for coming in, thank you.

CHAIR: And you know what I'm assuming that what you said was the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth but I didn't swear you in. So I have to swear in the other members though because I remembered it so could I ask you to raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and to answer council member questions honestly? Alright so who'd like to start over here? Yep, sure. Oh push the button, the red light should come on.

JOSH KARREN: Good. My name is Josh
Karren, former President of CEC6 the district which initiated the campaign for fiscal equity. I hadn't expected to testify here today. I actually came to film the proceedings for a projected film about CFE and related subjects. You saw my camera on the podium earlier. I've done so for many years. In fact last night I looked at footage of Robert Jackson sitting in the chair that you presently are sitting in. That was in 2007 when we were all
hopeful there would be a successful resolution to CFE. Then and now this whole process strikes me as backwards. It starts with an allotment of seats by the Department of Education based on their expectation of what money is available and it's based on assumptions of what students need, assumptions which are far short of the standards enunciated in the CFE lawsuit. We heard testimony here today that Council Member Barron elicited from Elizabeth Rose that a 500 seat school would only be allocated three specialty rooms and that it must therefore choose between a computer room, or a science lab, or an art room, or a music room, or a dance studio when schools in wealthy communities have all of them. Moreover the class sizes used for the present bluebook as confirmed by Elizabeth Rose did not comport with those of the campaign for fiscal equity recommendations which were 20 for grades $K$ through three, 23 for grades four through eight, and 25 for high school. New York City in fact agreed to those figures in 2007 when signed the contracts for excellence which it has violated ever since. The illusion is thus created that New York City students are being provided what they
need because the current... the current term in vogue whether it be utilization ratio or footprint etcetera is being met according to the DOE. Though this may not reflect the education, educational standard that we seek for our students as established by CFE and the standards, let alone the standards set for students in affluent communities. Now I understand that the city may not have the space to provide for such, for each school providing all that it should, may not have the money to provide those numbers of schools. But so then what is the purpose of the bluebook. The bluebook should clearly reveal that the shortfall, the purpose of the bluebook should reveal that the shortfall is what it is by specifying how many, how many seats and how many schools are needed to provide New York City students with the education they deserve rather than the current procedure which is to formulate the bluebook based upon their notion of footprint and three cluster rooms and class sizes which are much larger than CFE standards. If they did an analysis and formulated a bluebook based upon what students need according to CFE standards it would provide both the council and
parents the ability to know what kind of money is actually needed to provide for those needs and enable both the council and parents to actually go seek it. But at this point everyone is in the dark because the illusion is given that the bluebook is accurate. And so while there was conversation earlier today about the bluebook task force and the next speaker to my right I'm sure is going to speak about that, it seems to me that there are reasons that the department has been so committed to this notion that that document is reflective of accurate indications of student need when in reality it is not. And we have to continue to challenge that because otherwise I don't think we're going to make any headway on this matter for another 10 years and we'll be, all be back here in another hearing in the same way that I'm come here since 2007.

CHAIR: So the illusion may be that the bluebook is giving accurate information but certainly this committee under former Chair Jackson's leadership and hopefully undermine as well is that we don't really believe what the bluebook in the past has told us. And part of that, part of the reason why we're having the hearing
today is to put some sunlight on that and to expose some of that. We do hope because there were some good people involved in the bluebook working group that some good things will come out of that and that we'll eventually get a clearer picture of exactly how space is dealt with in the school. So we're hoping to see some, some good come out of that... [cross-talk]

JOSH KARREN: Well that can't happen if they maintain its basis on the assumptions that they do of class sizes which are above the CFE standards and that three cluster rooms are adequate for the school. [cross-talk] If they maintain those basis then no bluebook is ever going to reflect the need for students to be provided the education that's guaranteed them by the New York state constitution.

CHAIR: I agree. Yes, next please. SARA MORGRIGE: My name is Sara

Morgrige. I was formerly Chief of Staff and Education Liaison for Council Member Jackson. I've been a long time parent advocate and I am a member of the bluebook working group. So thank you for the opportunity to speak today. It feels a little weird
to be on this side of the room. The consequences of overcrowding have been documented for a long time. They've been upheld by the state's highest court. So what are we talking about when we talk about school overcrowding. I regret that I couldn't arrive earlier. I didn't hear a lot of the testimony today. We talking about too many kids in the classroom. Are we talking about too many classrooms in a school building? Are we talking about too many schools in a building? They're all overcrowding. They just play out in different ways. The first and the last kinds get a fair amount of publicity. Think about the UFT contract in class sizes. Think about the colocation debate. The middle kind, the kind where there are too many classrooms in a building gets a little less publicity and that's what my testimony is going to focus on. It's, that kind of overcrowding and how it's reported in then enrollment capacity and utilization report or the bluebook. That kind of overcrowding is what I call stealth overcrowding. It happens when enrollment creeps up or jumps up and principals are forced to convert specialty rooms or non-classroom spaces into regular
classrooms. A partition goes up and the art room becomes two classrooms. The gas jets are capped and the science lab becomes a general instruction space. When the bluebook assesses building utilization you've just added approximately 90 seats to your building capacity, not that you've lost access to two specialty rooms that support particular kinds of learning; science and art. In really overcrowded schools offices become classrooms, closets become offices, and services are sometimes provided in bathrooms, something I've seen at multiple schools in my local district at the peak of its overcrowding crisis. Including PS8 where bilingual reading instruction and speech therapy took place in the boy's bathroom outside the auditorium. In 2001 the New York state supreme court recognized that the method by which capacity is counted in overcrowded buildings is misleading and understates those crowded conditions especially in buildings that have experienced long term overcrowding and have converted many spaces. In 2003 the Court of Appeals further defined the problem by pointing to the correlation between overcrowding and the lack of cluster and specialty
rooms. In 2004 I toured school construction authority executives through the building of PS8 which was reporting at 94 percent utilization in the bluebook, had a capacity of 785. So the school, any school at 94 percent utilization isn't overcrowded and a district full of schools at 94 percent utilization certainly doesn't justify new construction at least according to the official assessment in the bluebook. And the bluebook's the only game in town. Today's assessment of PS8s capacity is 481 seats. That's very different from the capacity of 785 presented in the 2002 bluebook. I think there's widespread agreement about that difference being significant. When we toured PS8 in 2014 with the bluebook working group didn't feel grossly overcrowded. It has an enrollment of 560 but its art room is still partitioned into two classrooms. The room that was designed for pull out instruction with an acoustical curtain to divide it, that's a classroom. And the science lab is a regular classroom. The previous chancellors and the mayor very deliberately chose to ignore the problems with the formula. Because of the lab the declaration of need to be set at a politically
achievable level, not an accurate level. Given that half the money for new school construction comes from the state that's an important consideration. But suppressing the level of need by using an inaccurate formula makes it impossible to advocate for the true and undeclared level of need, an unmet need that impacts students and staff every single day as I'm sure the testimony here declared. That's why the decision to create a bluebook working group to revisit the reporting of school capacity and utilization is such a bold and laudable one. Hats off to the chancellor and Mayor de Blasio for having the political courage to risk quoting an outcome that would establish a higher level of need, one that is unlikely to be completely funded. I'm sure we all understand that correctly reporting conditions in our schools will not produce the resources to improve those conditions. It's inevitable that correcting the errors in the capacity formula will lead to a larger need for capacity in order to meet the judicial benchmarks that Josh spoke of. And it will produce a bluebook that reports a significantly and greater increased need for new seats. I'm going to skip a little bit.

You can't advocate for a need you haven't identified and defined. Accurate data to answer basic questions is, pun intended, elementary. But it isn't available. That's why the bluebook is not reliable and that's why the working group has such a large mission. I didn't know until I came and read the testimony that the purpose of this is to create a more user friendly bluebook. Well I haven't been spending the last year taking time off from my job and travelling two and half hours each you know round trip to these meetings in order to produce a more user friendly document. It was my hope that we would produce a document that is an accurate document. So I'm dismayed to learn that that's the intent of our purpose. Where can... [cross-talk]

CHAIR: Was that in the testimony? Is that where you're pulling that?

SARA MORGRIGE: It's what's in Ms.
Rose's testimony.
CHAIR: Okay.
SARA MORGRIGE: Creating a more user
friendly bluebook for, format for school

CHAIR: Okay.
SARA MORGRIGE: The New York City
council can support the shared desire to produce recommendations that benefit students and families, can the council live with a statement of need that may, may not be able to meet? I say yes because an accurate statement of need would allow for an accurate settling of capital priorities and an equitable distribution of resources. I say yes because after watching the problem be suppressed since 2001 the education advocacy community is ready for accuracy. We're tired of watching real needs be ignored. It may be a political risk to identify a problem you can't completely solve, but look at this way the capital plan since the 2001 decision have totaled some 36 billion dollars. Is it less of a risk to know that you were part of spending 36 billion dollars based on bad data? Bear that legacy in mind when you're sitting at the BNT or voting on the capital plan in June. Providing an accurate inventory of current capacity is just a first step toward meeting that need but it won't happen unless you support it. Thank you very much.

CHAIR: Well thank you very much. And thank you for your perspective because certainly having had the position that you had you know firsthand the issues that this committee faces. By the way I'm not on the BNT but that's another issue. But we will continue... [cross-talk]

SARA MARGRIGE: ...expected to have at least one or two people who were... [cross-talk]

CHAIR: Well your testimony is very important. Did you have, submit it in writing?

SARA MARGRIGE: Yes, Jan has copies.
CHAIR: Okay so make sure that I get a copy because I don't think I have it here. But the point I was going to make now escapes me but I will... I know where to get you.

SARA MARGRIGE: It'll come to you in the middle of the night.

CHAIR: Exactly. Well thank you for coming in and providing testimony. I really appreciate it very much. Thank you. And with that I'm going to say that this meeting is adjourned at 4:40 p.m. Thank you very much.
[gavel]
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