CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

----- X

February 27, 2015 Start: 10:53 a.m. Recess: 12:59 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room

14th Floor

B E F O R E: I. DANEEK MILLER

BEN KALLOS Chairpersons

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Elizabeth S. Crowley

Daniel Dromm

Costa G. Constantinides Robert E. Cornegy, Jr. David G. Greenfield

Mark Levine

Ritchie J. Torres

Steven Matteo

### A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Stacey Cumberbatch Commissioner Department of Citywide Administrative Services, DCAS

Dawn Pinnock
Deputy Commissioner of Human Capital
Department of Citywide Administrative Services, DCAS

Suzanne Lynn
General Counsel
Department of Citywide Administrative Services, DCAS

Joe Brown Second Vice President CWA Local 1180

Michelle Keller First Vice President Local 375

Joe Puleo, President Local 983

Tiffany McCall [sic]
Urban Park Ranger
Parks Enforcement Control

Ann Valdez Community Voices Heard Bill Busk Welfare Workforce Campaign Community Voices Heard

### COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 2 [sound check, pause] 3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: On the great Island 4 of Staten, the County of Richmond. MALE SPEAKER: [off mic] Correct. 5 Hit 6 the hammer first. 7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ah, the hammer is 8 hit. 9 [gavel] 10 MALE SPEAKER: [off mic] Good idea.. 11 [sic] 12 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So efficient. Now, 13 I would like to acknowledge my colleague from Staten 14 Island, Council Member Matteo, and I would like to 15 proceed. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen and 16 folks, and again, forgive my tardiness. 17 Councilman I. Daneek Miller and I am the Chair of the 18 Committee on Civil Service and Labor. First of all, 19 I would like to thank my colleague, Mr. Ben Kallos, 20 here for agreeing to jointly host this hearing with 21 the Committee on Government Operation. Today's 22 titled hearing is Oversight - Examining the Civil 23 Service System Part II. This meeting will serve to 24 continue to--continue public conversation about the

state of the system, and take a--[coughs]--excuse me.

25

2.1

2.2

Civil Service Commission.

Take a look at the Department of Civil Service and Administrative Services, better known as DCAS, and in particular the Human Capital Division as such.

Within that, we began partnering of the hearing back in November of 2014. As we discussed in Part I, the primary function the Human Capital Division is to act as the local Civil Service Commission here in the City of New York. Such as it derives from its mandate—it derives its mandate from New York State

This morning we will continue our conversation with Commissioner Cumberbatch and her team, as well as unions and good government groups, and other interested individuals regarding the workforce, which Human Capital oversees. We will follow up some issues Human Capital that was left on the table from the last hearing such as the introduction of 664 sponsored by myself and Council Member Kallos. The city charter in relation to using a machine-readable format for the Department of Citywide Administrative Services Quarterly Provisional Employee Report.

Since Part I, DCAS has provided the Council with regularly scheduled quarterly reports on

2.2

workforce.

provisionals. These reports stated that the number of provisionals listed at three--36,855 in 2007 continues to decrease last quarter. There are 22,939 provisions within the workforce. This is still far short of the 9,500, which was originally required by the State law and Provisional Reduction Plan. As we were informed in Part I, however, the two-year extension no longer includes the goal to reduce the number of provisionals to 5% of the Civil Service

with DCAS this morning are: The potential to make machine-readable and disclose past quarterly reports in machine-readable data format. Continue to discuss the top provisionally employed titles and strategies for reduction in moving forward; a headcount of current provisionally employed--provisionals employed workforce; headcount of net number reduced since the plan began; and the total net headcount of replacements appointed to those positions.

We would also like to discuss jobs and descriptions and whether reforms are occurring in these areas. If so, in which positions? We also anticipate discussing underrepresentation disparities

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 7

2.2

for compensation for various managerial titles. And potentially making civil service exam results available online.

Before we begin, I would also like to acknowledge--[laughter]--my staff that has made--help make this possible, my staff Mr. Joseph Goldbloom, Mr. Ali Wasaminajad [sp?], Gafar Zaaloff, our Policy Analyst, and, of course, Mr. Matt Carlin, our Counsel. That being said, I'd like to turn it over to my colleague, Mr. Ben Kallos.

Miller. I am Council Member Ben Kallos. I am Chair of the Governmental Operations Committee. I grant you may not use your cell phones for anything other than Tweeting, and you may Tweet me at Ben Kallos.

[laughter] I'd like to thank the members of this committee for joining us. I'd like to thank Council Member Matteo for having joined us. I'm sorry that we did start late, and that he was not able to continue with us. I'd also like to thank our

Committee Council David Seitzer, who is enjoying a well-deserved vacation somewhere warm. And just let the record reflect that we-next time he takes a vacation, we would like him to bring all of us with

2.2

him. I also want to thank our Principal Financial
Analyst John Russell, as well as I would like to
welcome our Policy Analyst Laurie Wenn, and thank her
for all of her hard work towards making today's
hearing possible. This is not her first Governmental
Operations Committee meeting. In fact, she's been
coming to them quite frequently. But this is her
first time as our Analyst here, and I just want to
thank her, and thank her for handling the large
volume of work that our committee does.

Three months ago, these committees held a joint hearing to begin a public discussions on our City's Civil Service system. A functioning meritbased civil service system is a fundamental component of good governance, and the City of New York should take great pride in the system we have. In fact, we had Citizens Union testifying before us, and the original good government fight was against Tweed and the patronage. And civil service is the answer to that patronage, and for those on the Gove Ops

Committee, we know that that is one of the things we are working to root out be it anywhere in government or especially at the Board of Elections. However, with all systems there is always improvement that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

must be made. One such improvement is reduction in the number of provisional employees appointed to civil service positions. Currently, we have over 21,000 individuals classified as provisional employees of the City of New York. This number must be brought down. The good news is that we've seen a substantial drop in the number of provisional employees over the last seven years.

A crucial step in achieving this reduction is to hold more civil service exams around a pool of qualified applicants. I'm so pleased then to see robust exam schedules DCAS has planned for this year. While this process will certainly take years to bring the number of provisional employees down, the transition of those positions into permanent status it appears we are heading in the right direction. I'd also like to thank DCAS. asked a number of questions including a very detailed history of exactly how the exam process works, and they've given us that timeline. And so, I will--I'm asking on the record that that the MMR and the PMMR be updated to reflect DCAS' role in the exam process, and separate out where DCAS is holding the exams and--versus when agencies are certifying the list.

# COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 10

2.2

And I will now just conduct the oath, if you do not mind? So, do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and to respond honestly to council member questions?

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: I do. We do.

FEMALE SPEAKER: We do.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: We do.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And the last person?

COUNSEL SUZANNE LYNN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you very much, [laughter] and if you could please share your remarks.

[coughs] Good morning.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: [interposing] I'm sorry. So, I'm gong to call the first panel who is already seated obviously. And that is Commissioner Stacey Cumberbatch; Counsel Suzanne Lynn, and Deputy Commissioner Dawn Pinnock. You may begin your testimony.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Thank you.

Good morning, Chairs Miller and Kallos and Members—
well, there's no members here from the Civil Service
and Labor Committee. I'm Stacey Cumberbatch,

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 Commissioner of the Department of Citywide

3 Administration--Administrative Services, DCAS. I'm

4 joined here today with my colleagues, Dawn Pinnock,

5 Deputy Commissioner of Human Capital; Suzanne Lynn,

6 General Counsel. Thank you for the opportunity to

7 | continue our discussion on DCAS' role in the

8 administration of Civil Service for the City of New

9 York. As you know, DCAS serves the agencies of the

10 City of New York by ensuring that we have critical

11 resources and support needed to provide the best

12 possible services to the public. Under the new

13 Administration, we are collaborating with agencies,

14 | labor unions, and the City Council as we move forward

15 | with an aggressive agenda to strengthen the Civil

16 | Service system. Our core belief is that we can

17 provide world-class services to our constituents in

18 | atmosphere of equity, inclusion, and increased

19 opportunity for professional growth.

At our last hearing, our last hearing focused on newly submitted Two-Year Provisional Reduction Plan Extension, the Plan Extension or the Plan, and I would like to take this opportunity to provide you with an update. As you'll recall, under the Plan Extension, DCAS will address up to 8,600

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

provisional appointments over the next two years by administering 37 exams in addition to our regular exam schedule. And evaluating titles with 20 or fewer incumbents for potential reclassification actions. Two weeks after our testimony, DCAS was invited to present its plan to the State Civil Service Commission on December 2nd, 2014. In a follow-up letter, dated December 8th, the State Commission commented that the City presented a realistic and achievable goal given available resources and a statutory two-year timeframe for completion. We are pleased to be able to work in continued collaboration with the State Civil Service Commission on this endeavor. At this time, I'd like to introduce Dawn, and she will continue with the testimony.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Thank you,

Commissioner and thank you Council Members Miller and

Kallos for inviting us back. I am Dawn Pinnock,

Deputy Commissioner for Human Capital. As

Commissioner Cumberbatch just testified, the Plan

Extension was well received by the State Civil

Service Commission. Along with their acknowledgement

of the plan, they required us to provide regular

2.2

competitive appointments made from each list. And, a list of all exams on the schedule for future administration and exams already held in pending list establishment.

Our first progress report will be submitted to the State Civil Service Commission on April 30th of 2015, and will cover the reporting period between November 1st of 2014 and February 28th of this year. Each subsequent report will be submitted every four months. In addition to the data points provided in the baseline report, we will be reporting on provisional appointments and replacements by agency and title in these progress reports.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

On the enforcement front, we have continued sending deputy mayors and agency leaders monthly reports of provisionals serving in the face of Civil Service lists. Agencies have been providing us timeframes within which they expect to remove or replace provisionals in these titles. Also, DCAS has stepped up its efforts to advertise upcoming exams by attending job fairs, sending email blasts to elected officials so they can get the message out to their constituents, and adding a link to our--for our exams on our Employee Self-Service Portal for current incumbents. Now, more than ever, agencies, perhaps more importantly employees are aware of the importance of taking tests for their titles. We have made it clear through a number of channels that provisional employee reduction is a priority.

That being said, we recognize that reducing the current number of provisionals is just one of the challenges we face as administrators of the largest municipal civil service system in the country. In addition to administering examinations and titles for which many provisional employees are serving and to decrease the proliferation of provisional appointments, we remain responsible for

2.2

ongoing testing for titles that do not have provisionals, but are critical for public safety and agency operations such as police officer and school safety agent. To that end, it has become increasingly important for DCAS to maximize the use of its limited resources and to explore opportunities to increase productivity and efficiency within our testing operation.

Examinations: The work performed with the Bureau of Examinations, which is responsible for Civil Service examination development, administration and validation as well as the classification of titles in the classified service will be the focal point of today's testimony. In a typical year, DCAS administers more than 100 civil service exams to approximately 100,000 candidates. The number of candidates will significantly be higher this year primarily due to the administration of several large-scale exams.

Over the weekend of February 7th and 8th of 2015, DCAS tested approximately 75,000 candidates for sanitation worker. This was the larges administration of an exam for one title in over 25 years. We utilize 14 different test sites across all

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

five boroughs during a two-day period. More than 200 DCAS employees, or approximately 15% of our agency's total headcount worked a minimum of 16 hours per day conducting three test sessions each day. Many of the 300 DCAS staffers worked both days. In addition to the actual test administration, preparing for such a large exam required a significant amount of resources, strategic planning, and detailed execution plans. Coordinating this exam included securing facilities with enough capacity to host candidates arranging for the printing and distribution of hundreds of thousands of pages of test booklets, answer sheets, fingerprint cards, candidate rosters, log sheets and exam reporting forms. The mail--the mailing of admission notices to candidates and the recruitment of 1,500 people to serve as exam proctors.

We want to thank the Council for their support in spreading the word about our recruitment efforts. Without your support and direct contact with the communities you serve, we would certainly have fallen short of our recruitment goal. This month we opened filing for three other large-scale examinations: Administrator Manager, Administrative

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Staff Analyst, and the entire analyst series of Staff and Associate Staff Analysts and Education and Associate Education Analyst. In an attempt to reach more candidates, exams for titles that have typically been offered only as promotion for existing permanent employees in the lower title have been opened to all who qualify. We received more than 10,000 applications for Administrative Manager. Over 8,000 applied to take the Administrative Staff Analyst exam, and nearly 10,000 applied to take exams in the analyst series. exams represent three separate test administrations, all requiring the same type of large-scale coordination and planning as required by the sanitation worker test. As we discuss these largescale tests, we should bear in mind that even though the administrative burden is eased for small-scale tests, the resource commitment to develop exams for titles with 75,000 applicants is the same amount as the development of exams for titles with far fewer incumbents and applicants. This is the reason why the second strategy outlined in our plan is the reclassification of certain titles.

2.2

Title Reclassification: The second component of the plan, reclassification, provides us with an opportunity to appropriately streamline our title structure to a manageable number of competitive class titles. Before implementation of the original Provisional Reduction Plan began in 2008, our classification system included over 1,000 competitive titles. The City's current title structure now consists of 850 competitive class titles, a significant move in the right direction towards a manage—a more manageable number of titles for DCAS to competitively test for on a regular basis.

We have completed a preliminary analysis of the 389 titles with fewer than 20 employees identified in the plan extension. The titles have been subdivided into groups indicating the recommended course of action to be taken. For example, whether to competitively test, consolidate or broadband; earmark for present incumbents only and delete when vacant; or classify outside of the competitive class. An IT titles working group consisting of members of staff from DCAS, DOITT and FISA began meeting bi-weekly in the fall of 2014. The mission of this group is to review the City's

O 4

existing competitive class title structure for IT titles and look for areas of improvement such as updating job descriptions, consolidating and/or broadbanding titles, and creating new titles for work that is not described in the current title structure.

The working group is also tasked with possibly creating new titles and/or positions outside of the competitive class for highly specialized, very skilled work. For these proposed titles, the group has drafted job descriptions, and is expected to finalize these drafts and share with agencies for feedback this month with expected responses in March. As of today, the group has reviewed and shared proposals for revision of nine competitive class titles including the broadbanding of two titles into one new one. These proposals are currently being reviewed by user agencies, and fellow oversight agencies such as OMB and OLR.

Experimental Efficiencies - Collateralize

a Promotion and Selective Certification: We have

often talked about how we need to adopt new

approaches and strategies for exams development, and

administration. And also for how we establish and

certify Civil Service lists. Our efforts at

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

streamlining are being developed with an overarching goal in mind, creating career pathways that hadn't previously existed and increasing opportunities for career growth. One way we can achieve both efficiencies and increase opportunities for career advancement is by expanding the eligibility for promotion exams. In an effort to provide permanent promotion opportunities to a larger number of employees without having to develop and administer different exams, the list of titles that are eliqible for promotion to Administrative Manager and Administrative Staff Analyst was expanded. conducted job analyses of these two titles, met with subject matter experts, our colleagues at OLR, and our partners in the labor community to determine which titles would be appropriate for a promotion to these managerial titles.

For Administrative Managers the titles eligible for promotion will include permanent incumbents serving as Education Officers, Associate Contract Specialists, Procurement Analysts, and Resident Building Superintendents. For Administrative Staff Analyst, the titles eligible for promotion will include Associate Education Analysts,

2.2

Associate Public Information Specialist, Early
Childhood Education Consultants and City Research
Scientist. The addition of these eight titles to the
promotion eligibility for these exams results in an
additional 881 employees eligible to take the
Administrative Manager Promotion Examination, and an
additional 851 employees who are eligible to take the
Administrative Staff Analyst Promotion Exam.

Collateralizing promotion opportunities will result in Civil Service lists comprising a more diverse pool of educational backgrounds, work experience, and skills than would generally—than we would generally find on a Civil Service list resulting from a promotional exam. To help ensure that agencies will be able to fill specific managerial positions with candidates having the most relevant skills and experience, we have included a number of selective certification categories in both exams.

Selective Certification: Selective

Certification adheres to the one in three rule and

maintains the integrity of rank, merit, and fitness

while allowing agencies to consider only those

applicants from the top of the list who indicate that

2.2

operational continuity.

they have relevant experience for a specific position. Civil Service Law allows for Selective Certification specifically for this purpose. For example, agencies can request a certification for candidates with contract management experience, or experience in budget administration or organizational research. The Selective Certification categories will allow agencies to be more dynamic in how they approach filling vacancies, and replacing provisionals. By allowing for more targeted use of the list, we are increasing the chances of a likefor-like replacement, which is a pro for me [sic] for

Critical Enhancements: DCAS recently received budget approval for expanding the Computer-Based Testing and Application Centers, know as CTACs in Manhattan and Brooklyn, which will nearly double our double-seated capacity from 2,000--from 213 to 386. The construction on these expanded sites sill commence in July 2015. DCAS also received budget approval for seven support positions that allowed us to assign seven Test and Measurement Specialists exam development duties, which increases our ability to create more exams. These positions are crucial

2.1

2.2

because DCAS can utilize the exiting seven Test

Measurement Specialists to develop Civil Service

exams, while continuing to operate the two CTACs.

The seven Test Measurement Specialists will be

trained and begin to develop examinations for Fiscal

Year 2016--for the Fiscal Year 2016 examination

schedule. Finally, DCAS is developing plans to open

CTACs at Staten Island, Queens and the Bronx.

We also continue to expand the capabilities of our online testing systems, and have received approval for two additional IT staff on our online systems. The Online Application System otherwise known as OASIS, allows applicants to file for exams online and is integrated with the online education and experience testing system only. So that candidates can apply for and take rated education and experience exams online. Enhancements to these systems will make the online components of all of our exams easier to navigate, add more exams to our test bank, and administer some exams more frequently.

In Fiscal Year 2015, DCAS expects to administer exams for 26 titles at our CTACs, of which 19 titles will be administered for the very first

2.2

time. DCAS routinely reviews its testing plan to determine which additional exams are appropriate for automation that we could administer at the CTACs.

All the activities mentioned this morning are actively contributing to a more transparent, fair and official Civil Service system. Despite the challenges posed and the need to reduce provisionals in a relatively short timeframe, the city has still maintained a relatively stable workforce.

Looking at the agencies under DCAS's jurisdiction since 2010, the total classified service has actually grown by about a half percent. The number of employees in the competitive class shrinked by 3,000 in the fiscal years 2010 and 2011, but since have increased and is almost back to the pre-hiring freeze level. Through our consistent and expeditious administration of exam and timely establishment of lists, DCAS is working diligently to ensure that the City of New York has in place the workforce it needs to get its work done. We remain firmly committed to the Civil Service system, and will continue to work with our partners to strengthen it.

Finally, I would like to use this opportunity comment on Intro 664. As we stated

2.2

earlier in the testimony, the State Civil Service
Commission requires DCAS to submit similar
information to them every four months. The first
report that was sent to them in January was made
available to you, and to other members of the
Council. The report is also available on the DCAS
website. DCAS embraces the goals of transparency and
believe that our recent efforts to share reporting
data reflects our commitment to an open and honest
dialogue with key stakeholders. We look forward to
working with you, and other members of the Council as
you finalize the bill. At this time, we will answer
any questions from committee members.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you very much,
Commissioner. I'd like to acknowledge my colleague
who just arrived, Liz Crowley from the great borough
of Queens. So, I'd like to start off with Intro 664.

I know that I reached—and let me just preface it by
just saying that I think that this team that has been
a symbol with the Commissioner here has been pretty
outstanding in a very short period of time. And that
for me is saying a lot. But, I appreciate the fact
that we can pick up the phone, and that we can get
answers, and we can work collaboratively on a lot of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

things. And the fact of the matter is that I did call in advance of introducing 664 to see if that -- if we could, in fact, get some input. And it was relayed that -- Well, most of the things that you're asking are currently being done, but we were very specific about some of the things that we wanted done such as changing the format that would permit more or maybe the transparency and access. And so that we can transform this data simply to the members of the public as well as the Council. And we didn't receive our normal push back. And I'm sure you have enough folks over there specifically because you have some extra resources that have been allocated. And, hopefully, we can use that towards upgrading the system that would serve.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Well, thank

you very much on behalf of my team for the

compliment, and we try to work in collaboration. In

terms of data, we're absolutely open to providing

data in more a user-friendly readable format because

right now it is posted in a PDF format on our

website, and we understand. I mean that's, you know.

So, that's all doable. We'll talk to our IT folks.

We'll figure out how to make that data more available

And just to reiterate some of what I mentioned in the

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

testimony, really it's about enforcement. We, you know, reach out to agencies directly. We send a monthly provisional reduction report to the agencies where it indicates line-by-line the actual provisional employees who are on board; the title in which they're currently serving in; the fact--the timeframe in terms of the use of that list. And an expectation of what the agency will then provide us with a timeframe by which that provisional employee would either be removed from that position and replaced with someone else, or just separated from that provisional appointment. So, we do that on a monthly basis. In addition, we've conducted a very large-scale agency personnel officer meeting with all of the agency personnel offices across the city and the liaisons. So once again, we reiterate the importance of strengthening Civil Service. And also, we shared with them the progress reports that were required to submit to the State. And, you know, really challenged them to, you know, step up to the plate and work with us in collaboration to ensure that our provisional headcounts are kept at a minimum.

2.2

In addition, we're hosting-- I'm really happy to say we're launching a training session to go over the examinations process because part of the challenge with creating exams as quickly as we would like was the fact that I think some of the agencies didn't realize how valuable the subject matter experts are to the process of us developing valid exams. And starting on Monday we have--we're hosting a mandatory training for all agency personnel officers, and their liaisons to go through every aspect of the examinations process; outline those timeframes. And to once again just emphasize the importance of the partnership we need at the agency level.

underscore that at the Commissioner level, I sent out a memo to all my colleagues regarding the Provisional Reduction Plan some months ago to reinforce the same communication that was going out to their agency personnel officers. So that they understand as relatively new commissioners what this all means, and that there is a commitment to reducing provisionals, and thus, you know, their agency personnel officers will be working on lists that are going to be

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 30

generated. There will be more exams given, et cetera. So we've been trying to work on both levels within agencies and on both fronts.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, are you satisfied that your mandate is being adhered to?

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: I think it's--

[interposing] It's a little early to tell.

in terms of enforcement, it's a little early to--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:

commissioner cumberbatch: It's a little early to tell because folks are given a timeframe right because they can't--there's the need for continuity of operation. And as I see in your opening, there was this question about a brain drain if folks-- So, yes, they--they're given a little opportunity to look at it. So it's not the list comes out and day one, you know, they replace a person that might have been there for years. But they're given some time period to do that. Not an extended time period. So I think it's a little early. It's February. I think in the next couple of months--

2.2

### 2

### 3

## 4

## 5

### 6

	/	

8
_

a

1	0

1	1
_	┙

1	2

# 13

## 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Oh, yeah.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:

[interposing] At the end of this month we'll have it.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: --we'll kind

of have a better sense whether agencies are really complying with the request to move the list or not. And at that point, we'll take it from there. And whether it's a particular individual agency we'll do appropriate follow up. Whether it's a commission or commissioner, whether it's, you know, obviously starting with the agency personnel office, and find out what's happening. So, it's too early to tell. All I can say is that I think the content--the context has been set. We've been very clear and firm about what our commitment to reducing provisionals, and to adhering to the Civil Service system. And that message has gone out across agency. So folks know what is expected of them. So now, we'll see.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That was great, and I am excited to hear that you will be holding this mandatory training.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

24

25

other cases--

2.2 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [sneezes]

23 Bless you. CHAIRPERSON MILLER:

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Bless you.

in that case they could replace that provisional. In

And in other cases--bless you. In other cases line

# COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 33

may have been targeted as part of our peg [sic], which means that that line essentially could have been eliminated. So, I couldn't speak for whether every agency was able, you know, to replace someone who separated with someone else on the list.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: But despite privisional reduction, that would always be the case. In other words, agencies determine what their staffing needs are. DCAS doesn't, right. So we administer the—we provide the candidates through administering the tests. We provide training, but we don't determine their specific staffing needs, or their staffing structure.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: [interposing] No, yeah.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Right.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I get that.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: So--so I mean that's where the distinction, correct.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: But there is kind of-- So, if--if you have the provisional, a non-permanent employee, which essentially you could get rid of them any time if you didn't need them to--

2.2

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 34
COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]
Right.
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: But one would think
that you would have gotten rid of them a long time
ago if you were paying them to do nothing, right.
So, II
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: But, I
Okay.
_
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, and I guess
that's not
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:
[interposing] And I don't
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That's an individual
agency question, but as we look at that.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Right, but
a provisional does not necessarily suggest that
provisional is not hardworking. But from a
compliance perspective, you know, they should not
serve past that time. [sic]
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: [interposing] No, I
get that, but I was saying I was kind of referring to
what the Commissioner was saying in that whether or
not that job was going to continue to exist after
Just because you'veyou've ended that position

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 35
2	provisional position didn't necessarily mean that you
3	were going to replace them with a permanent employee?
4	Is thatam I correct?
5	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: It's based on-
6	- It's based on what the agency decides to do in
7	terms of new staffing. They have to say yes, right?
8	[sic]
9	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, that's what I
10	was saying if that
11	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH:
12	[interposing] And what OMB allows them to do in terms
13	of what provides
14	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Aligns for it.
15	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH:aligns for
16	whatever that function is.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, I mean
18	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]
19	Right, so it's both, right?
20	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right, and I get
21	that. It's more or less relies on the agency, but
22	there has to be some oversight in doing so. And
23	that's what we're trying to get to, and so how do we-
24	_

2 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]

3 | Well, so--

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Excuse me. How we have gotten to such a diminishment of the headcount, and roll, and whether or not through attrition, through eliminating of the provisional headcount, and folks not being replaced. And then, you know, that's another question for another hearing and other folks, but we want to ask your portion of it, you know, that you can address.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Right. So one of the things that Deputy Commissioner Pinnock pointed in her testimony is that in terms of the number of competitive titles will back up to--

### DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:

[interposing] We're close to a pre-hiring freeze so in 2000-- I think 2010, our competitive employees stood at I think 191,000 serving in the competitive class. And today, we're a little over 190,000. So we're actually very close to pre-hiring freeze.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: So, while it doesn't give us a line of sight into the agency on whether there was a one-to-one as you're asking.

What the--the numbers show is that we're not down in

# COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 37

terms of competitive titles. We're back up. So one could assume that people are hiring off the list, but whether or not a particular agency there's a one-forone, we don't have a line of sight into that. And we wouldn't because our role we want to make sure we provide the candidates, we provide the lists, and that they're adhering to Civil Service in terms of going through that list one and three. Whether or not they make a decision at some point in their operation that I'm not going to utilize the list because I don't want to hire people from—in that title because I either haven't the structure or I have enough people. That's the agency's decision.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Right.

reflect and identify the total Civil Service
headcount, and not necessarily reflective of in any
form of what the provisional headcount was. In other
words, agencies that didn't have provisionals may
have been hiring, or may have been hiring in titles
other than where provisionals existed are included
and captured in that 190,000, correct?

2.2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 23

24

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Right. The 190,000 would include folks who were actually permanent in the competitive titles they serve as well as provisionals who are currently in competitive titles.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Right.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Because we still have jurisdiction on how those particular titles.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Right.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Because we still have jurisdiction on how those particular titles are managed. And so that's why once again, with getting these exams out that have not been administered in over a decade, and helps us then whittle down the number of provisionals that are in competitive titles. So that number would include both.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: But it's still-we're still not learning. It's not telling us whether not these are new hirees or whether or not they replaced provisionals?

2 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Okay, I'm 3 confused.

that what you're asking.

2.2

can you just restate the question so I understand?

COUNSEL SUZANNE LYNN: I--what I hear you asking is whether the total count of people in competitive titles combines the number of people who have been taken off lists, and replaced provisionals and people who have been hired provisionally? Is

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: I don't--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: It would be inclusive of all of them, yes. It would inclusive of any appointment made from a list where somebody is gaining permanent status or anyone who is provisional. Meaning, they have not taken an exam or they're, you know-- Or, maybe they've taken it and they've just not been picked up. So they combine all those groups, yes.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: There actually is a way to capture whether or not the provisional had been replaced by a permanent employee?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Not--okay, it's difficult for me to explain to you. So, our announcements would show whether appointments had

# COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 40

been made. Let's say if we administer a line, and we, you know, potentially look at NYCAPS and we were to pull how many appointments were made within a staff analyst title for this snapshot in time. We'd have some idea in terms of newly appointed people, but then we'd have to also line that up against when list calls, you know, take place at every agency. Because a list call can happen, you know, daily depending on that agency's hiring need. So, I don't know if it actually gets to whether or not-- We would never be able to say this was definitely a one-forone replacement. And I think that that's what you want us to be able to answer because at the end of the day, it goes back to--it goes back to an agency's ability to hire, their budgetary structure, and whether they're consolidating a group, or consolidating a line with another.

#### CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So--

#### DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:

[interposing] Right, so-- But appointment data, we can provide that, but we would probably have to talk in a bit more detail to figure out how that lines up with the other question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So, we have some other questions beyond this provisional stuff, but I'm going to pass it on to my colleague here, and for him to ask the question and then-- My colleague, Mr. Kallos.

[background conversation]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you very much for your testimony. Thank you again for being so responsive. I want to welcome my colleague and cochair for this Committee hearing Daneek Miller to the open data bandwagon. And the more the merrier, and the more we can get out there in computer readable format the better. So, I think in my opening remarks I made reference to it, but I guess while in question and answer will DCAS begin sharing that list with the MMR or if not for the—————Sorry, for the MMR. Can you share for the MMR the exam timelines per exam without——in addition to providing the length between when an exam is offered and certification? So we can get away from that 400 something day number.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Right. Well, that's something that we're looking at certainly.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: In the event that the MMR still remains the same, will you provide us

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 42

2 with the average times per exam? Following the same-

3

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Right.

5 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: --one for the

6 previous year?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Yeah, we definitely can.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you very much, and if you can do that publicly and on open data format, that would be amazing. So I'm going to ask a whole bunch of technical finance type questions, which is: The Department recently shared the data from its latest Provisional Employee Reduction Plan. Progress reports specifically that the department shared the data for the first time in Microsoft Excel format. Data from the reports had previously only been available in PDF format. The decision to share this data in standard machine-readable format is a positive step. It matches some of the steps made over the past decade by the Department and the previous administrations. More broadly, the City makes a swatch of public data available to public access and use. Will DCAS be making the data from the progress reporting including data from previous

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 43
2	progress reports available to public and standard
3	machine-readable formats?
4	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Yeah, we can
5	definitely do that.
6	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you very much.
7	The Department has been sharing bi-annual progress
8	reports on the Five-Year Provisional Reduction Plan
9	since 2009. The reports include breakdowns of
10	provisional employees by job title and title code.
11	The Excel spreadsheet DCAS provided only includes
12	data by agency. Does the Department also plan to
13	share the job specific data for prior and current
14	years in a usable format? If so, when?
15	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Okay. you've
16	got to repeat the last part. [laughs]
17	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Oh, repeat. These
18	arethese are slightly long questions so for the
19	sake of time I was trying to go quickly.
20	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]
21	So
22	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: The Excel
23	spreadsheet DCAS provided
24	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]
25	Provided.

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 44
2	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:only includes data
3	by agency.
4	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Uh-huh.
5	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Does the Department
6	also plan to share the jobs specific data for prior
7	and current years in a usable format just aggregated?
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: When you
9	say "job specific," do you mean by title and by
10	agency or
11	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: [interposing] Yes.
12	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Okay.
13	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So I think we
14	already have title and agency, and I think we are
15	lookingwe are just looking for being able to look
16	at all titles.
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Okay.
18	Right.
19	[background comment]
20	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, and
21	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Yeah, we're
22	going to have to just get back to you.
23	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: No worries.
24	

#### COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 45 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: And just cut 2 3 it down--you want it all--you want it down to the 4 level of title and agency? 5 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Yeah. 6 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Right. 7 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: So, we'll get 8 back to you. CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: The Bi-Annual Report 9 10 has been accessible through the State Department of Civil Services website, and the most recent report 11 12 that is available is the October 2014. On your website, and in your testimony, you were making 13 14 reference to the most recent report. Does DCAS plan 15 to make this important report available on its own 16 site, and if so when on it's website? Where on it's website can it be found, and will the data be 17 18 provided in the format that is usable? [background comments] 19 20 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: It is on our website. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, perfect. And 23 then some quick questions on the stuff on your website. 24

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH:

Okay.

# COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 46 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So I noticed that

2.2

under the Civil Service list especially again as of October 31, 2014, there are 97 titles in the year 20--97 titles for the year 2015, and it says-- There are two columns. One is CERT and one APP. What's the difference between the two?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: CERT and APP? I don't know.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Hold on for one second. Maybe one of my team knows.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I can show you this spreadsheet if it helps.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Do you guys know?

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: It's on the Civil Service List Excel sheet. So what I have under CERT is about 30,505, and so it appears according to this spreadsheet--

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]
Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: --that there are 30,505 people who have been certified, 97 different titles and all of those certifications will be expiring at the end of 2015.

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 47
2	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Okay, I think-
3	- Does anyone over here know what he's referring to?
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Right.
5	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: We're going to
6	definitely have to get back
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH:to you on
9	that one.
10	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So I guess my
11	question Let me ask it more open-ended.
12	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Okay.
13	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: How many
14	[background comments]
15	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: How many people have
16	sat for Civil Service exams and arehave been
17	certified and are waiting to be called off their
18	lists? And how many of the people on those [buzzer]
19	lists will see those lists expiring in 2015?
20	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: As of today?
21	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Or October 31, 2014?
22	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Okay, again,
23	that's a number we'll have to
24	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:
25	[interposing] Right.

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 48
2	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH:get back to
3	back to you on.
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:
5	[interposing] Right, we can get back to you.
6	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: We can provide
7	the number. We just don't have it.
8	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay. So, I've been
9	playing with these spreadsheets. The other item I
10	noticed is Exam No. 6063, Sanitation Workers
11	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]
12	Uh-huh.
13	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:according to one
14	of your spreadsheets was administered February 20,
15	2008, and it is slated to expire on February 20,
16	2016.
17	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Correct.
18	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Under that it has a
19	CERT number of 23,084. And so I guess my question
20	just for understanding how this works so we're about
21	to administer or we have administered
22	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]
23	We have administered it.
24	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Yes.

a John Q.--John or Jane Q. Public who has taken the

## COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 50 GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 2 Sanitation exam in February 20, 2008 and waited eight years to be called up for the Sanitation exam and my 3 4 not-- Do they need to take the new exam? Can they 5 still be called off the previous exam? 6 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Well, when 7 that list expires, they will not be called off that list. 8 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay. 9 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: If they've 10 taken the test that we've just administered, and 11 12 they're high up on the list, they would then be eligible to be called. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: When will that 15 Sanitation list be certified? The newest exam? 16 [background comments] 17 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: When? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: During the 18 fall of this year that list will be ready. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And which list gets preference? So Commissioner Garcia says I want to 21 2.2 now hire. She has--23 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing] 24 So she's going to use--

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: --she now has two Civil Service lists.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: When the new list comes out, the old one has expired. It's gone. CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, and so were all 23,084 people who took the previous exam said--COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing] That's right, that's correct.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, and is it the intention whether the number is 30,505 or some other number of people who have taken previous exams be

notified that hey your exam is about to expire 13

because we're administering a new test. Please take

15 it?

> DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: In terms of direct outreach to those individuals. But we definitely cast a very wide net in making sure that individuals knew that the exams were--they get administered.

> CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I would be willing to support--I would ask that DCAS ask in the budget to make sure that you receive sufficient funding for mailing and for reaching out to anyone who's taken a previous exam as well as, if possible, waiving fees

out to I don't know how many--like thousands of

2.2

people a specific outreach, though. A specific outreach to let them know, as opposed to our general outreach and explanation as to this is the way Civil—you know, the list exists for four years. The list will expire. A new exam and we do our typical outreach that we typically do. And we think that's effective. I mean I hear what you're saying.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I can tell you the way I get people to vote for me is I send a letter to every single person who's registered vote who's voted before. And so, that tends to--it could work better. I mean we should definitely be targeting everybody but at least that way we're able to turn out at least 24% of the registered voters.

And the other item I wanted to touch on is just is there an audit that exists of where you're auditing the exiting— It seems like you're doing the things with the audits. I actually did want to compliment—comment on the IT Working Group. It sounds like a lot of fun. I'd love to hear more.

I'm a software developer in addition to being—turn Council Member. So this is incredibly fascinating.

And we've got DCAS and then we have got DOITT and we have FISA, which I also have oversight over. And so,

Z U

I'm just--I would love to be forwarded copies of their non-competitive class titles. And I was also curious members of DCAS do it in FISA. Is this managerial members? Are the affected unions represented within the working group?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Within the working group unions are not there. However, our commitment was to share the recommendations imposed by the group with our legal partners. Really, the working group it's-- You know we're going over title specs where people are expected to do day one. So we wanted to just have the group of individuals who perform the work today. So in addition to sharing that information with CIOs across the city, we will be sharing that with the unions.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay. I--I would appreciate it if the--my brothers and sisters in the labor--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:

[interposing] Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: --and also the union side labor lawyers fill in and would love to make sure that they are shared--

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 55
2	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]
3	Oh, absolutely.
4	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:in the decision-
5	making
6	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]
7	Absolutely.
	_
8	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Not be just, here's-
9	-here's how it is and yeah.
10	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: No, I mean
11	we'vewe've-we've done a preliminary. You know,
12	the working group the intent was to, you know, take a
13	hard look at these titles. You know, we've shared
14	with the union partners that we were actually doing
15	this process
16	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: [interposing] Uh-
17	huh.
18	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH:and that we
19	would share what we found, and sit down and talk.
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:
21	[interposing] That's always been our commitment.
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: And that's
23	always been our commitment, and that's basically the
24	way we do business.

\_

)

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you very much.

I'd like to reserve my questions and pass. I'll

recognize--I'll pass it onto my co-chair to-- Sorry,

no this is--Sorry, I'd like to recognize that we've

been joined by Governmental Operations Committee

member the Honorable Ritchie Torres from the Bronx,

and I will pass it back to my co-chair unless Mr.

 $\label{eq:council_member_torker} \mbox{COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [off mic]} \mbox{ Not at } \\ \mbox{the moment.}$ 

Torres has any questions?

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you.

Chair. So I have a question. When it comes to the managerial positions, can you provide a headcount

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Mr.

based on gender and race? And did that come up in the last hearing?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: I believe that it did, and I believe that we shared it maybe in our first meeting, but we can definitely refresh the data and get something back to you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, is it accurate that approximately 75% of the administrative and managers including staff managers and analysts are?

And what it is, it's a very good report to help

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

agencies plan in terms of workforce development. So it gives you an idea of where you might see a high percentage of attrition to retirement, and particular titles. So you have a line of sight into that, and that gives us-- That helps inform us as an agency well, should we be giving more exams for that particular title because we're expecting in the next three years, four years, five years a lot of people retiring. It gives the agency line of sight into diversity, and where they might be lacking or underutilizing certain gender and ethnic and race folks in a particular title. So it's a rich--it helps inform us on a number of fronts in terms of just decision-making. And it also gives the public, you and I, a window into who is working in City government. Not individually but, you know, generally where you see trends and particular titles is do we need to do more training? You know, partnering with CUNY, with-- You know what I'm saying? In terms of creating the pipeline for people to get into these positions by taking these exams.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I certainly agree that those are real relevant uses. Would it also be useful in identifying a particular group that may be

that she has with agencies heads, and that I have

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON  GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 60
2	with my counterparts about areas where they may want
3	to concentrate. So whether we advertise, we report
4	it in certain titles that it appears under this
5	particular title less than average for the city.
6	That's part of the conversation where, you know, just
7	to kind of highlights that that information is
8	available. So average salary is included.
9	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So highs and lows
10	unlike
11	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: So average and
12	that's correct to say average.
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: No, wewe
14	understand.
15	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Right, right.
16	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So unlike in a
17	competitive or a represented title where there may be
18	wage progression, there's no wage progression here.
19	How do we kind of differentiate in the compensation
20	that is being distributed toto the same title
21	throughout? The same agency or multiple agencies.
22	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Sorry, I'm not
23	quite getting the question.
24	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: People are earning

different salaries.

## COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 61 2 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: That are in 3 the same title. 4 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That are in the same title. How do we--5 6 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing] 7 Well, there are salary--CHAIRPERSON MILLER: --determine. 8 9 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: --plans that 10 are put out by OLR. 11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: 12 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: And there are levels within that salary plan that different salary 13 levels for a particular title. Is that what you're 14 15 asking? 16 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Right, 17 because DCAS does not establish salary ranges nor do 18 we negotiate the salaries. But there are salary ranges for every title. And so, it's within the 19 20 agency's discretion to utilize those salary ranges as it's choosing to compensate individuals. 21 2.2 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: So, it you're 23 asking can someone--can two people be in the same 24 title, and the same agency and make different--a

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 62
2	different salary? The answer is yes because under
3	the salary plan there was a range of salaries
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:
5	[interposing] There's a range.
6	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH:for that
7	particular title.
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:
9	[interposing] And in some cases it may be tied to
10	practice such as previous tenure at another agency
11	where you're no longer making the minimum salary. It
12	could tie to your tenure at an existing agency where
13	maybe the new person coming in is hired at the
14	minimum. But over time you have received an
15	increase, but once again there's a range to every
16	salary that's in our title structure.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Are there any So,
18	the salary ranges are always determined by the
19	agencies, correct?
20	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Say that one
21	more time?
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: The salary
23	range is not determined by the agencies.
24	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: What are they
25	determined by?

2.1

2.2

ranges are established by OLR, but the agencies are required to work within those ranges. Which means that someone if they're in a particular title, they should not earn more than the maximum of the range, nor should they learn less than the minimum of that range. But the agencies do not establish their own salary ranges.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: To your knowledge, when has that been--when-- So OLR is the overseeing agency on that, right. So when--do we have an update as to when those salaries or that compensation was last reviewed?

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: I think that's a question more appropriately address to OLR because—

What, but what I—what I would suggest is we can talk to our city legislative representative. I don't know. I mean DCAS I don't know when the last time OLR—There are different salary plans for different titles.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: I think some of this is probably on their website, and I'm almost sure that the salary plans are dated, you know. So,

## COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 2 you know, when we could review and City Ledger could assist--assist you in getting that information. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So--5 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing] 6 But off the top of my head I wouldn't know all the 7 range and all the -- there are a lot of titles. 8 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: [interposing] Yeah, 9 yeah, I get that. 10 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: And they, you 11 know--12 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I get that. COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: And I don't--I 13 14 don't know the last time they were adjusted. 15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: But you would 16 probably know. I know that's your area of expertise. 17 So I am, you know, so I'll leave that for OLR, but I 18 am a little concerned at the fact that there is such disparities in ranges of compensation as well--19 20 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing] Within titles? 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Within title as well 23 as some subordinate titles with great pay 24 compensation or at least starting pay compensation

these titles. We work with the agency personnel

1

offices as well as obviously with their EEO officers.

3

And look at the tests and the lists that are coming out. We try to do more outreach in particular ethnic

4 5

groups if it looks like there's a particular title

6

where folks have been unrepresented. But at the end

7

of the day, people have to take the test. And we

8

they have to score high on the test, and get on the

9

list. So, on one level, you know, you want to reach

10

out broadly to people in order to take the test. So

So for example like our Sanitation, which

11

that, you know, they have the opportunity.

12

13 is a great example of an exam. You know, I said we

14

had 93,000 applicants and 75,000 took it. I would

1516

say, and this is just rough, and we could give you the exact numbers, but it was basically 30% Latino,

17

30% Black, about 30% or so White that signed up and

18

took the test. We didn't have as many women that

19

have signed up. More than the last test in 2008.

20

think it doubled, but it's still not the numbers that

21

we like to see. And in terms of Asians, I think the

22

23

greater than in the past. So, you know, that's a good

24

example if you're trying to do like broader outreach.

25

And we really tried to do a lot of outreach--

numbers were a little smaller, too, but I think

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: [interposing] That's

3

fine.

4 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: --to take the 5 exam because they've been an historically

6 underrepresented group in terms of taking the

7 Sanitation exam or represented within the department.

8

9 managerial series that we just opened up in February.

10

Obviously, a lot of current city employees were

collateral lines of promotion, that was also an

11

eligible to apply. And by opening up those

So just by--that's one example, or with the

12 13

attempt to diversity, you know, potentially diversity

14

the pool that was eligible to take the exam. If you

only kept it, you know, with certain titles being

15

able to be promoted up, and you open it up to other

17

similarly situated titles, then you're also--that's

18

another mechanism to diversity the pool.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:

19

[interposing] You're promoting the same group.

21

20

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Uh-huh. I have a

22

question. On that group and for that promotional--

23

those promotional exams were they previously--some of

24

those titles previously held by provisional employees

25

or is that a new title or just--just the-

COMMITTEE	ON	CIVIL	SERVICE	AND	LABOR	JOINTLY	WITH	COMMITTEE	ON
			GOVERNME	NTAL	OPERA	TIONS			68

#### DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:

Those are promotional exams, correct?

2.2

3 [interposing] Which title are you referring to, sir?

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'm referring to the Administrative Manager and Administrative Analyst.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Right,
they're promotional exams, but we decided in order to
address the fact that we have so many provisional
surveyed, but also to provide a promotional
opportunity for individuals who have permanent status
in an underlying title, we made the decision to offer
both promotional exams for permanent incumbents. You
know, one for the underlying title and the open
competitive exams to others who have no--

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah, I got that but what was the previously provisional employees holding those positions?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: If someone served provisionally as Administrative Staff Analyst, they would apply to take the open competitive exam.

They would not be allowed to take the promotional exam.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No, I understand that, but the promotional--

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 69
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:
3	[interposing] And they would have underlying status.
4	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: But the promotional
5	portion were there any ofany provisional employees
6	in the position of Administrative Manager? T
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: They could
8	have been if theythey have rights to that promotion
9	if they serve in an underlying title that promotes to
10	Administrative Manager.
11	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No, I'mI'm saying
12	were they prior to taking the exam or prior to the
13	exam being listed, were there any provisions serving
14	in that title?
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: We have
16	provisionals serving in both titles today, yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
18	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: And that's
19	the plan with administrating the exam, then we would
20	be able to move the provisionals.
21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: After
23	certification of the list.

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 70
2	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, I want
3	to acknowledge my colleague, Mr. Robert Cornegy, and
4	do you want me to
5	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Yes, sir.
6	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And I'll hand the
7	mic over to Mr Okay, Mr. Ritchie Torres.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Thank you. Thank
9	you.
10	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I have a question
12	specifically about the Housing Authority. Do you
13	know what percentage of employees at the New York
14	City Housing Authority are provisional?
15	[background conversation]
16	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Thank you.
17	Okay we have 1983 provisional employees at the
18	Housing Authority.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Do you know what
20	what percentage that is?
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Of their
22	headcount?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Yeah.
24	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: I don't
25	know what their headcount is today. I think they may

## COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 71 2 be moving around either 14,000 or 15,000 at this point or maybe less. I'm sure percentage of that--of 3 the headcount this is. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Do you find that-5 -how does that fare in comparison to the percentage 6 7 of provisionals also in City government? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Well, the 8 way the numbers are distributed, generally our larger 9 our larger agencies have more provisionals serving. 10 11 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Right. 12 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: So the 13 Department of Education, you know, is--14 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Well, 15 proportionally, not in absolute terms. 16 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: I wouldn't 17 have that. 18 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Well, we'd 19 have to get back to you. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Okay. That would fine. 21 2.2 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: We'd have to 23 get back to you. We'd have to get back to you on that. 24

#### COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 72 2 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And I'd also be 3 curious to know like what percentage of their 4 competitive titles are filled by provisionals as well? 5 6 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: That would 7 be the number of provisionals I just reported, the 1983. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: 9 Okav. 10 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Right. Because in order to be provisional, that means you 11 12 are in a competitive title. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: [interposing] 14 Okay. And I know-- So, I guess my question is how 15 provisional is provisional? Does the law impose some 16 kind of time limit because-because without a time 17 limit it feels to me that Civil Service Law allows 18 for about a bypass of the Civil Service. COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing] 19 20 No. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: That's how it 2.2 feels to me. 23 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: So the new 24 law is that they're not to serve in that position

25

beyond nine months.

## COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 73

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Have there been cases where there's been service beyond nine months?

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Because I know many provisionals in the Housing Authority.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Everybody in the audience is shaking their heads?

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Right.

part of the history, and there's a decision that came down in 2005. I think it was Long Beach. So, yes, there were in the City of New York starting in '08 or before '08 there was at that time 37,000 provisionals serving in titles beyond nine months. And now we're saying we're down to 21,000 or so, and part of our plan is to reduce that further. So, yes, these folks are serving in positions provisionally beyond the nine months. So, our plan is to get into compliance with the law, and to do so. That's why we're giving exams. We're looking at the whole system.

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Okay, and I know there have been concerns. Obviously, I think you mentioned you're issuing of a report on the demographics of the workforce.

25 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Cornegy? [sic]

COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: So thank you.

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 75
2	COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I just have one more
4	question. In thein your total headcount is workers
5	captioned in there?
6	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: No.
7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No?
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Nuh-uh.
9	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So you have no way
10	of knowing many exist within city agencies.
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: No, I just
12	know the number that arethat work for DCAS.
13	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: How many?
14	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: 504.
15	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.
16	Mr. Kallos.
17	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Going back to our
18	previous hearing, we were focused on trying to get
19	the number of provisionals down as far as possible.
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Uh-huh.
21	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So we started at a
22	very large number. We're already down to 21,000,
23	which is outstanding. And so, during that hearing we
24	felt that, "A 5% goal was not realistic." Quote,

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 77								
2	Civil Service exams that we have to give to keep, you								
3	know, those other positions competitive, right. So								
4	it's two things we're doing.								
5	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Is there anything								
6	you're planning to do with the retirementthe 2,615								
7	retirement eligible provisionals in order to bring								
8	the number further down, or is that encompassed in								
9	your 8,600?								
10	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Okay,								
11	there. Right. The 2,600 I don't think that's								
12	encompassed in that 8,600. No.								
13	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, and so the								
14	goal would be to hit somewhere around 8% so a matter								
15	of just hitting those other 3%.								
16	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Okay. I								
17	don't know what percentages you're referring to. So								
18	when I say 8,600, is that you're correlating a								
19	percent to that? I don't								
20	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So currently we're								
21	atbased on October 31st								
22	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: 21.								
23	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: The 22,000 is 12.5%								
24	of the								

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Okay, got it.

#### COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 78 GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 2 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So if you drop it 3 another 8,600--4 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing] 5 Okay. CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: -- that takes us down 6 7 to 7.8%. So I guess whatever you can do to support it, the reality is that we know that the next two 8 years, ten months and one day are guaranteed. But 9 anything else in the future can't be taken the 10 11 granted. So the more we can do, the better. I'll 12 turn it back to my co-chair. 13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, finally, Ms. 14 Pinnock, any of those 504 workers? 15 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: 16 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Have you received 17 notification from or have you had any conversations from HRA in terms of elimination of those workers. 18 COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: We have not 19 20 had any conversation. The Commissioner spoke to me to give me a heads up early on that he was looking 21 2.2 at--23 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: [interposing] Uh-24 huh.

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 80								
2	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: I mean that's,								
3	you know.								
4	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: It's certainly								
5	something that we would								
6	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]								
7	But you know, so								
8	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: love to								
9	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]								
10	Well, absolutely.								
11	CHAIRPERSON MILLER:be a part.								
12	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: And we've have								
13	hired many WEP workers.								
14	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Yeah.								
15	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: So that's been								
16	our tradition. That's been one of the majorthey've								
17	been one of the major sources of recruitment into the								
18	positions that they're holding the custodians and the								
19	maintenance workers in our buildings. So we've hired								
20	a lot of WEP workers into permanent positions.								
21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I would love								
22	to see those number.								
23	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Sure.								

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 81
2	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you so much,
3	and thank you Do you have anything? Okay, thank
4	you so much.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Just one
6	question.
7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Just the overall
9	municipal headcount
10	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]
11	Yes.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES:of the city
13	because my impression is that there's been a severe
14	hemorrhage over the years. Do we have data
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK:
16	[interposing] Yes, we do.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES:as to the
18	extent?
19	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: We do.
20	Currently we're at about atclose to 233,000 across
21	the city.
22	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: But that
23	excludes some agencies, right?
24	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Right.
25	We're talking about the agencies over which DCAS has

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 82
2	jurisdiction because the teachers let's say in the
3	Department of Ed we don't have jurisdiction over that
4	group.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: So what are the
6	exceptions? I'm just curious. Only the DOE?
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: It would be
8	DOE but we have transit [sc]information.
9	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Okay.
10	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: So
11	primarily it would be the teachers at DOE.
12	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: HHC.
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: HHC because
14	they're the only Civil Service commission and CUNY
15	because they're the only Civil Service commission as
16	well.
17	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: But we can get
18	you the breakdown, and we can get you the breakdown
19	over years.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Right, that would
21	be good.
22	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Right, we'll
23	do that.
24	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: How far
25	back would you want us to go, 2010?

1	COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 83							
2	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: I think five							
3	years is actually good.							
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Okay.							
5	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: As far back in							
6	Bloomberg Era as you can get is appreciated.							
7	[laughter] I like looking at what he did once he got							
8	into office.							
9	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: We could say 2001							
10	if you want?							
11	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: So do you want							
12	to go back to '02?							
13	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: As much as							
14	reasonable. So I think if you have the next five							
15	years that's great but							
16	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: [interposing]							
17	If we can go back, we will go back.							
18	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: It's instructive to							
19	see what happened to our city over the past previous							
20	12 years.							
21	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Okay.							
22	COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Would it be							
23	possible to do an agency-by-agency breakdown as well?							
24	COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Yeah, we can							
25	do that. [laughs]							

COMMITTEE	ON	CIVIL	SERVICE	AND	LABOR	JOINTLY	WITH	${\tt COMMITTEE}$	ON
GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS								84	

#### [background comments]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I believe that's why they're here, and I think that from the outset that we were, from our initial hearing that we did back in November, the initial was titled Our Civil Service System and its Demise, and we were told to leave that—or to change that title. But the fact of the matter is that there has been a diminishment of Civil Service. And as we see now, we're at least back prefreeze levels, right. So things are looking up. And we thank you for coming out and look forward to working with you in the future. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CUMBERBATCH: Thank you DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PINNOCK: Thank you very much. Thank you.

[background conversation]

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'll call the next panel. Joe Brown from CWA; Joe Puelo from District Council 37.

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Michelle Keller,

District Council 37 and Tiffany McCall [sic]. Did I

butcher you name? From District Council 37. That

should be four folks right?

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay.

3

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And you can handle

4

5

that's easier for the panel. The speakers don't have

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

four, right. Okay. Okay, we can go left to right if

to be sworn in. But that would be my left, but it doesn't matter. Whatever makes you comfortable

there. Okay.

JOE BROWN: Are we ready? Okay, on your

left. Good morning, Chairman Miller and Chairman

Kallos and member of the Civil Service and Labor

Committee as well as the Governmental Operations

Committee. My name is Joe Brown, Second Vice

President of CWA Local 1180 representing Arthur

Cheliotis, President of 1180. Thank you for the

opportunity to appear before you today. First, CWA

Local 1180 would like to go on record in support of

the New York City Council's Intro 664. We believe

that transparent regarding such information instills

confidence with city workers and the public. Second,

CWA Local 1180 Comments the Department of Citywide

Administrative Services, DCAS, for conducting exams

Principal Administrative Associate and Administrative

Manager in both promotional and open competitive in

Third, we will to bring to your attention a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

concern we have regarding the growing youth of noncompetitive titles for positions that should be held
by employees in competitive titles. While this
practice may reduce the number of provisionals, that
is less costly than holding competitive exams. The
New York State Constitutional Mandate for Competitive
Positions has been the law for over a century and
should be retained.

Non-competitive classifications avoid rankless and gives hiring officials broad discretion to select whomever they want from hundreds of candidates, which should not happen. Again, it must be noted that rankless limit the selection of candidates to one of the top three highest scoring candidates thus avoiding favortism and cronyism. Rankless are the key to integrity in the Civil Service system. The final examination of a candidate is not, however, the test to be placed on a list. But the probationary period when they actually perform the duties. This ranking only gives candidates the opportunity to show they can do the work the title requires. Non-competitive titles defeat the use of rankless. Finally, it is extremely important that the Civil Service and Labor Committee,

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 as well as the Government Operations Committee consider legislation that would require DCAS to 3 4 review all current non-competitive titles, and 5 determine if these titles can be put into the 6 competitive class. This should be followed with a 7 report to the committees showing where competitive exams are better suited to avoid discretion which was 8 the root of the problem stated above for the time 9 period that I have. I did not read the entire 10 report, but it is handed out to you today for your 11 12 So I thank you for the opportunity of review. 13 appearing before you, and if you have any questions 14 of me, I would be happy to answer them.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

JOE BROWN: Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Next, please.

MICHELLE KELLER: Check. Good morning.

My name is Michelle Keller. I'm the First Vice

President of Local 375. Greetings from Claude Fort,

President, and I'm going to be kind of succinct this

morning with all that I heard. I'm glad for having—

to keep the dialogue going on with these agencies

because we need to hear that and see what's on their

mind. And clearly, we're the ones doing the work.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

To the honorable chairperson of this hearing, those partners that are management and, of course, our labor partners that are sitting here today. We are definitely aligned for that Intro 664. But let me say very specifically in this report that I was reading and I'm listening to, and it was hit right on the head by the City Council persons. We need to be a part of that working group. So many times we go to labor management meetings, and we say this. This is what we say. We don't want to give you input after you're already out of the box because you're thinking one way or the other of us being an obstruction as being a helpful partner to what's going on. want to be a part of this conversation as it's going To the end of two specific quotes. So on page 4 it said, are dif--it said, Perhaps more importantly, employees are aware of the importance of taking tests for their titles. Employees. In all of this there's a quote. That piece that really out of all of this that's happening, that's going on and that's being moved and talked about and changed, we're glad the agency is saying the employees have got it. they have to take examinations.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Second quote. Approximately streamline our title structure to a more manageable number of competitive class titles for DCAS to competitive test on a regular basis. I think this is backwards. this is part of the conversation. What they're looking for is expedience. I can understand that because the whole process is so overwhelming and costly. But if we're into a discussion, we may not be cutting our nose to spite our face. Some of these titles while right now we have a limited amount of people in it is because that's the design. the way it's gotten to be as we said. These may be-when we do things right, these may be up and coming titles. Now, we've expediently cut down these titles to make sure that we can get these exams out of the box and then one day when we wake up again, and we're again a lot of these folks will never be able to come back to Civil Service. So again, my point here is we don't want to be a part of that discussion. After the fact, we want to be in it and a part of what goes on to kind of restore Civil Service. Thanks for listening to me today.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. Joe, I'm sorry, before you go, I'd like to acknowledge our colleague from Manhattan, Mr. Mark Levine.

Thank you, City Council people. My name is Joe Puleo. I'm President of Local 983. represent a wide variety of employees from traffic agents students to parks enforcement officers and motor vehicle operators, just to mention a few. Most of our workers are low wage earners, okay. So the Civil Service has a deep impact on my membership. A lot of my members are right out of poverty and right into a city job that would help them pull them out of poverty. And unfortunately, the Civil Service System isn't moving fast enough. A lot of our members are on multiple numbers of examinations waiting to be called as a provisionals, and there was like four MBO examinations. Four. Four tests that, but they're still not being called with an offer. So they keep having these examinations, but nobody has called for them. Our parks enforcement officers, okay, they take-- they're constantly being replaced due to the fact that the attrition rate is so high. A lot of these people want to become Civil Service. When they first hired for the job they were told that were only 2 going to have a job for 12 months because of the 3 4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

gratitude of the City Council restoring funding, which by the way, I thank. But simply that isn't enough, you know. We had an examination last year for these individuals, but they still haven't been called of the list. And every time they keep getting postponed delayed. And it causes a lot of uncertainly, and finally, ultimately they get discouraged and leave and move on elsewhere. And then the vacancy is started all over again. I'm going to give most of--donate my time to one of my members who's is dealing with this right now. Her name is Tiffany and I'd like for her to explain more in detail what's happening to her personally. that you guys will get a better effect of what's happening out there. Thank you.

[background comments]

TIFFANY MCCALL: Thank you. Hello. morning to all. My name is Tiffany. I'm an urban park ranger part of the Parks Enforcement Control. My title is an unarmed law enforcement title that has not made a Civil Service employee in the past seven years. In February 2012, I was hired by my agency under multiple false pretenses. My agency has made

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

it a regular practice to hire people under grantfunded lines. And if we were good little workers, and waited two years, maybe one day we would become a provisional employee. The Urban Park Ranger title is flooded with provisional employees. Eighty percent of these provisionals are on the job for less than two years. It is nearly impossible to retain quality people when the salary starts at \$32,000 and it caps out at \$37,000, and overtime is only given when an emergency situation occurs. These grant funded lines are not earmarked for civil servant positions. also vulnerable to be one in three by the agency, even though some of us have been on the job over six years. After waiting for over two years, I was finally able to take the Civil Service Exam for my title in June 2014. Mind you, the last test given for this title was possibly in 2008 depending on who I had achieved the preliminary score of you ask. 95%. To this day, I have still to hear yet anything from DCAS. I was never sent any type of official list number or official DCAS score.

Council Members, I truly thank you from the bottom of my heart for taking it upon yourself to make this committee to look into the citywide Civil

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Service System. But I urge you to continue your research. But I urge you to continue to reach out to your constituents who are City employees, and to continue to monitor DCAS with the most powerful force that you can. For too long valuable money has been wasted. Your constituents especially feel like their money has been stolen by DCAS and this is citywide. They feel like their money has been stolen by DCAS because continuously and continuously they take tests and they never hear anything. They're lucky if they get a list number and a score, and then after that, they don't hear anything else. So time and time again they don't even this is education. They don't know what goes on in these meetings. Urge you to pass along this information to your constituents to know that test can simply expire on average on four to seven years. These are jobs that they desperately need, and obviously, some agencies have been holding on or hiring provisional positions as a loophole to make civil servant positions. We are currently ten years into a five-year plan even with all this technology that we have today. This is a sad excuse as to why people cannot get job that they desperately need even with the civil servant status and security.

the NYPD exam, Sanitation, Fire Department. So

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

they're told. Again this is very discouraging to these individuals. When I speak to them at the Academy classes, they're like, I was only told I have a job for 12 months.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay.

JOE PULEO: And then the agency unfortunately reinforces this instability by telling them this is just a steppingstone. But what happens is you don't have the dedicated workforce that you rightfully need. People are in panic mode because they feel like they could be let go at any moment's time. And then when they do take the examination like Tiffany, they're still waiting without any results, and they getting these postponements and relays and we're not sure. I heard a lot of talk about transparency, you know, but the thing-- You know, in the efficiency test and I praise them for the challenges that they have ahead. And I know a lot of it--some of it was mentioned. This carries over from the Bloomberg Administration, but we still haven't seen it trickle down yet to the average worker. You know, there is still a lot of uncertainty. You know in an age--in the golden age of technology, you know, where a lot of data is

accessible, we don't have the information, and we can't make changes unless we have this information.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: So given the constant flow of people coming in an out with the high attrition for all of the terrible reasons that you mentioned, what is the-- Can you tell me what the total number of PEP Officers on duty today is?

JOE PULEO: Based on our data from the union, and this from the rolls, which might be a little bit backdated, it's approximately two something. You know, that's what we have from the--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing]

Just over 200?

numbers you need to have a significant impact on crime in the parks. We'd have to dramatically increase. At one time we had over 450 officers out there, and the crime goes into comparison to the amount of officers, if you do the researches. But the more PEP officers, the less crime. The less PEP officer, has high spikes in the crime. There's a recent—I believe it's a Wall Street Journal article—

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE:

Right.

JOE PULEO: The vast majority.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: So this is a problem both for workers who in addition to being underpaid are often told they have no long-term security in their jobs.

JOE PULEO: Exactly.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: But this also impacts park users. I don't know how my colleagues feel, but I tell you the number one request I hear from park users in my district, and really around the city is can we get more PEP Officers? There is incredible demand from New Yorkers for more PEP Officers. And as we're getting numbers for the first time from the NYPD on crime in parks, we're seeing that we have a serious issue that has to be dealt with here. And honestly having PEP Officers in our parks is going to make that -- make it easier to address crime. And the numbers we have now 200 for a park system that is 29,000 acres.

JOE PULEO: [interposing] And then we have to remember that even though with those numbers some are dedicated to specific locations.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] Yes. some of them are privately funded.

### COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 99 GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 2 JOE PULEO: So that they cannot response-3 4 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] 5 Right. JOE PULEO: --beyond the perimeters of 6 7 their assigned locations. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Yes, some of them are privately funded. 9 10 JOE PULEO: They cannot respond beyond the perimeters of their--11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: [interposing] 13 Right. 14 JOE PULEO: --assigned location. Again, 15 I want to thank you because you guys really did a 16 tremendous job in getting these PEP Officers on a year-to-year basis. But they don't have the 17 18 stability. You know, they literally need this money to be earmarked. Then all of a sudden the people 19 20 feel like they have stability in what they do. And you'll get a more focused individual, a better 21 2.2 workforce. And the longer that people work on it, 23 the more experience that they gain. A lot of the people never really, quote, unquote made it past, you 24

know, the rookie phase. Because they're out. You

### COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 100 GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 2 know, police officer they say they're rookies for 3 five years. Our guys don't even last five years. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Yeah. 5 JOE PULEO: Yeah. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: So this is not a 6 7 Parks Committee hearing. JOE PULEO: I know, I don't want to--8 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: That starts in 9 10 half an hour. So I won't monopolize the time, but I want you to know you have my commitment to fight for-11 12 JOE PULEO: [interposing] I appreciate 13 14 your help. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: --not only more 16 PEP Officer positions, but more permanence and 17 security so that you do important work for us. Thank 18 you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you, Council 19 20 Member Levine. It's good to have a chance for a Governmental Operations Committee to have an impact 21 2.2 on improving our parks. I just wanted to ask-- So, 23 I thank you hears some of my questioning was DCAS related to the people who have taken the exam. What 24

can we do better for the hundreds of thousands of

2.2

people who have taken exam and have had to wait four
years or more to ever have that exam-- To wait years
to have it certified, and then once it's certified
sit on a certified list without even getting a call
on it. What do we need to do to make things right
with those people?

MICHELLE KELLER: One thing I think you can do is you can truncate this probable probationary period.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Please, speak into the microphone.

MICHELLE KELLER: Okay. One thing you probably should do is truncate this probable probationary period because you're look at people at people like you say that are waiting. Finally, it comes down and in addition to that even if it comes down they're pointed, they have a—they still have a—this something—this probable probationary period. So I think that would put a little bit of pressure right there.. You can't have them take an exam.

Wait until your cumbersome non—transparent process.

Finally get there if I'm lucky and this crazy because I'm spending money. And I said if I'm lucky enough to be gotten, I still have to wait 12 months to see

if you're going to pick me or not. And then it's a matter of satisfactory or unsatisfactory. And again that's an insult because a lot of those folks are sitting there for— Oh, boy, five, ten, sometimes 20 years before you take an examination. Some of us could be there, but the fact of the matter is they could be let go. They could just wait the probationary period and then go. So my suggestion would be to truncate that probably probation.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you and thank you, and my question relates to the testing and all the folks waiting on the results of those tests.

JOE BROWN: Commissioner Kallos.

JOE BROWN: One of the principal problems we have at CWA Local 1180 when our list is certified, what the agencies are doing, and for very big gain, they will consistently change the title that a person would normally— They've taken an exam for a particular title, Administrative Manager. So when that list come out, agencies across the board will change titles to something else to avoid having to make the appointment of that person. And this

Z 4

happens repeatedly, and it happens in too many agencies. So a person takes the test. They are interviewed. They're one of the three and they--

JOE BROWN: [interposing] No, it never gets to that point.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: But the agency says to DCAS, we want you to test for this. They test for it and then when they get the results, the agencies switch titles and the hire provisional?

JOE BROWN: Exactly. They change the function.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I believe my--my--I-I--on my behalf, and I believe on behalf of my cochair. We would love to work with you on legislation
that would limit their ability to come up with new
titles after the fact in order to avoid the exams.

JOE BROWN: Exactly. Thank you.

JOE PULEO: Okay, one of the things I would just like to say is the one in three is a big problem especially when it comes to provisionals. If you are currently in that job title, and you have a good record as an employee, when you take that promotional, you should not be able to be one in three. You already have the work history behind you.

You've already proven yourself. For the agency to arbitrarily decide just because they don't like you,

you know, is really, really unfair.

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

TIFFANY MCCALL: To go back to your original question on how to get your constituents information, coming from the congressional level of field organization, and constituent research, don't reinvent the wheel. DCAS has on record address--home addresses and emails. You guys use the websites, and you guys also have community board meetings. Believe the chatter will go through one simple test that it's cancelled, it's out, there's a delay. Bring that information. Use emails. Use the address. If it costs you postal, that's up to your office if they want to do the expenditure. But also bring it up in the community board meetings, and post it on your individual websites. That will be the best way to get the information out to your constituents.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: So just to reiterate, you think that since they do already have a list of all the people who have ever sat for a civil service or applied for civil service, you think it's actually work reaching out to them by email.

25 And if they don't have the email, by postal?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TIFFANY MCCALL: Exactly, and they will definitely or better know what's going on with DCAS, and how they practice pulling of their test as well because you will get feedback from the constituents.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: We would welcome your advocacy alongside ours to make that happen.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So I have a question, Mr. Brown, based on your testimony. want to do before we get there, I do want to follow up and say that we have taken the information from the first hearing that we had in November. And had a lot of discussion around with DCAS about how we improve upon the examination process. Obviously, it was first--our first primary focus was the reduction of the provisional workers. But the exam process itself seems to be more oversight or individual agencies that we have to address. In having done that, in having been on the other side, and been relatively successful in identifying titles where there was a need. And ensuring that it was oversight that they weren't provisionals, but they were actually permanent civil service employees in those positions. We have to get that information out. have to communicate so we obviously I think this body

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

here, this committee has a reputation of oversight within civil service. But we need that information in advance because we had those individuals who had answers or should have had answers on the stand. so, we should have had that information prior so they could have been asked those questions. And we-they're here for answers. Not to kind of tells us, you know, to buy time or to say how great they are. But we want specific answers to specific questions. And some of these questions are given to them in advance. So that when you show up here, you are able to provide answers. So those are some of the thing that we can do better on. If you can get -- as union officers can't get the answers, then this committee will be able to get the answer for you. So if we can collaborate on that, I think that we can get to where you're going. And we can get into those individual agencies, and start looking at oversight as to, you know, how are you hiring? Who are you hiring?

JOE BROWN: Council Member Miller, I just want to remind you that in the November hearing with DCAS, they stated that they were working on a manual how to run hiring pools for the agencies. To my

# COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 107

2 knowledge, that manual has not been developed yet,
3 correct?

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: [off mic] Correct.
[sic]

JOE BROWN: And one of the principal problems with that—— Part of my responsibility is monitoring hiring pools and when I go over to HRA, I've sat there in one agency, and there's been three different hiring pool supervisors that come in during my tenure over there. And each one does it differently in the one agency. So there is a tremendous need for that manual to be developed. So that there can be some guidelines because hiring pools are all over the place.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, Mr. Brown, can--I have a question for you, and I think I posed this question to the Commissioner about discrepancies in pay, in compensation amongst titles.

JOE BROWN: Well, the situation with that when the DCAS Commissioner mentioned to OLR basically oversees the selling structure, what I would suggest the committee does is request a salary listing for OLR because within each agency, and OLR monitors it all, there should be a list like all non-uniformed

## COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 108

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

titles in the City of New York. There will be a list with the minimum and maximum salary for each title. Now, of course, that will change when the contract goes into effect as it is right now. But that listing is what each agency uses to give a salary. Now, the min--the question that you had in all the non-uniform titles with the min-max structure, the max for a title will be more than the next title in that occupational group. It's basically the way it's set up. So people come from different places, different agencies, and they move around. currently, it's not a system that secures that a supervisor will necessarily make more than their subordinate the way it is in the Police Department. In the Police Department, a police officer's salary maxes out just below that of the sergeant, but in the non-uniform titles that does not happen.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.

MICHELLE KELLER: Okay, when we say the non-uniforms even if that doesn't happen, I'm not so sure it doesn't at least from what I see. When a contract is ratified, it gives this minimum and maximum to the agencies. If by some chances with people moving around, like they said different people

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

you have that violation.

with different salary histories. You bump up into a situation where now you have a supervisor. you have a supervised making more than the supervisor, they have minimum and maximum rates. They can adjust that right away. That should not be. There's a lot that goes on behind that. It may be a question of whether or not that person can even supervise that individual. We've got those issues, too. But certainly for the sake of compensation there's a liability that that other person carries. They, whoever does it, they have a means to adjust that because when we do the contract, we approve that at the same time. Again, when we go into labor management meetings, it's like a surprise to them when you tell them this. But it doesn't happen. There are liabilities that these folks carry, and yet you still have that--you still have that imbalance so

JOE PULEO: I think a lot of what we're talking about is what's known as merit pay. There are certain titles that have a high range and a low range. And the way you peak at the high range is at the discretion of the agency. So the agencies have this power, the agency themselves. The particular

agency that the individual works for has the power to voluntarily give these individuals the higher rate of pay. So I don't think it's an OLR issue. I think it's something that was done contractually years ago, and there's a high and low range. And because the agency likes this one particular individual over another, we'll give them the high rate of pay. And the same thing probably applies to the supervisor's They have a high, a low. So the supervisor salary. may be getting the low rate of pay, and the subordinate can get the high rate, which might be more. In addition to other things that were contractual like recurrent increments of salary because of the time that they served. So you compile all of this together, yeah, it could be very well possible that a subordinate would make more than a supervisor.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Are these managers we're talking about? Are these folks in managerial titles?

JOE BROWN: I believe it's both managerial and non-managerial titles.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

our city.

24

25

ANN VALDEZ:

Thank you very much and thank you for caring enough both of you, all of you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That's interesting and are these things that have been collectively bargained?

JOE BROWN: Well, when you talk about min and max salaries, whenever-whenever there's a contract the salary will-- Like for instance we're in contracts now. So when the salary goes up 1%, the min goes up 1% and the max goes up 1%. If it's 3%, the min goes up 3% and the max goes up 3%.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, thank you to this panel for coming out and sharing your time and your insight. I look forward to working with each and every one of you in the future.

JOE PULEO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, we have the last panel will be from Community Voices Heard Ann Valdez and Bill Busk.

say welcome Ann Valdez from Community Voices Heard.

strong and hard work for all the working families in

Thank you for your advocacy, and for all of your

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I just want to first

Thank you for caring enough to see to it that we are all treated equally and just. I have to read a statement, a testimony from another member.

2.2

Unfortunately, she's working for our great city, not as civil service, not as--

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: [off mic] Per diem.
[sic]

MNN VALDEZ: Yeah, per diem. She's--I mean she is working as per diem. Not as the other two. She's really struggling and needed this to be know, but unfortunately she was never having a chance to have it known. So, now, I have to make it possible. Just give me one second. The signal here is very bad. So we're going to make this work as best as possible.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Bill, are you ready?

BILL BUSK: Yes, and I'm actually going

to be brief. Community—first of all my name is

William Busk. I'm a member of the Welfare Workforce

Campaign with Community Voices Heard. And I'm also

very proud of Ann Valdez, who has been a mentor for

me for the past five years that I've been with CVH,

and have seen what she's done with all of the

community. And not just with CVH, also with Sandy

efforts. However, what I'm here to speak about is that Community Voices Heard had sent to some members of the community several questions. I did hear one of the questions being asked. And first of all, I have an issue with the response that DCAS gave. There was a question about --- You'll have to forgive I ran out of the house without my reading glasses. So forgive me. Does DCAS have a plan for phasing out of WEP at DCAS. The response that I believe I heard the person from DCAS say was that we are waiting to hear from HRA. It is our understanding from talking to the Commissioner's Office and the Commissioner that actually Commissioner Banks had told us months ago, correct, that--Excuse me. I have a speech problem. had contacted various agencies including DCAS who used WEP workers and asked them to come up with a proposal that would explain how they would handle their existing WEP workers if HRA moved forward with the plans to phase out WEP. And how they would deal with that and how they would deal with those positions. So for that DCAS person to say we are waiting, I feel that it's not -- Say that again.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2 ANN VALDEZ: [off mic] [interposing]
3 It's an excuse.

2.2

BILL BUSK: Say that again?

ANN VALDEZ: It's an excuse.

other questions that CVH had submitted, and I don't really feel from what I heard that they were asked. The second was, Would people who WEP be able to receive points or credits or a higher score because of their experience doing WEP in the agency when taking the Civil Serviced Exam. Now, I did hear the comment said that, Oh, we have re--we have recruited or hired quite a number of people from--

ANN VALDEZ: [interposing] From what?

BILL BUSK: --custodial jobs and janitor jobs from WEP. But she didn't really answer that directly. What we are hoping for is that just as you get points for if you live in the city or you get points for various other things, that the WEP experience would translated.

ANN VALDEZ: The WEP experience would still be constituted as experience.

BILL BUSK: But we want it set as a exact number of points on the Civil Service Exam. Not an

### COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 115 2 open--as the previous lady had said, not something that's open to people. Is it doable. I see--3 4 ANN VALDEZ: [interposing] It's their 5 opinion. 6 BILL BUSK: I see you shaking your head. 7 Is that a possible? LEGAL COUNSEL CARLIN: I'm a counsel for 8 the committee. Generally, Civil Service isn't 9 controlled by the State Law so there are limitations 10 11 what the Council can do. 12 BILL BUSK: Is it possible for the City 13 to suggest or make a request that that--14 ANN VALDEZ: [interposing] And can I--can 15 I help--16 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: We can look into it, 17 but we don't want to go on record with anything--18 ANN VALDEZ: Well, it's definitely a yes or no answer. 19 20 BILL BUSK: Obviously, but all we do want is that you know that CVH would like that done. 21 2.2 ANN VALDEZ: And to clarify it a little 23 better, usually when you're taking a test for the city, a civil service test especially when it's entry 24

level and the next level it says do you have two

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

years experience or a year of college and a year of experience or a combination of both? So on and so forth. The way as it has do you have experience and college, can you look into seeing if it can be added on and used as experience? Because whether it's WEP work you get paid for or don't get paid for, work is work. You did the job. You should be credited for it. That's the point.

BILL BUSK: And the last two questions that our organizer had sent in, and I don't recall hearing brought--brought up in anyway was number 3: DCAS has been doing more tests, which is great. Are they or could the be directly advertised to people at the Workforce 1 and HOA Back to Work Centers or We Care Center who are unemployed and looking for work. So they get a direct feed into that. And the last question that we had sent in I didn't hear asked is: Is there any issue with the Civil Service Plan if instead of people on public assistance being assigned to WEP, could the be able to interview directly to entry level positions in the city agencies, non-civil service tests if that's possible. We just want to get the question in, and I do understand that some things you cannot make a decision here, but we just

2 wanted to get these questions for the hearing today.

3 Thank you. And has testimony that she's going to

4 read.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ANN VALDEZ: Hello. The testimony I'm reading is for one of our members, a young lady. Good morning. My name is Helen Campbell. I'm a member of Community Voices Heard. One second. I-yiyi-yi-yi. Once second. This is going back and forth. I'm trying to make it as clear as possible. Oh, shoot. Okay, here we go. A member of Community Voices Heard. I have been unemployed since 2011 and going through hell and back unable to find permanent employment. In December 2012, my unemployment was coming to an end. I was in my last semester of college. I had submitted about 40 job applications with no response, and I had taken a few City job exams. After about a month and a half I had to make a decision as to what my next move was going to be. I had to apply for public assistance. This was an agonizing decision and humiliating. I was mandated to a Back to Work program run by Phase [sic] where we were treated like cattle. I was told that I had to do WEP, which would be maintenance at the Police Department or cleaning the street or city parks. Ι

2.2

fought to get a job in the office. However, while working there, I was working harder than the employees getting a paycheck. I was training those who had a job and training the summer youth task and multi-tasking. Yet, I didn't get pay. I got a job as a claims examiner making \$20 an hour, but it was temp. Unfortunately, the job just lasted five weeks, and I was back in the same situation again. This time I was sent to a school to do my WEP assignment. I was go to the store, clean classrooms, be an assistant teacher. People get a paycheck for these positions. I got called from another temp agency. I took the job and was eventually called by the City to do work per diem for HRA where I am currently.

BILL BUSK: [interposing] Working today?

ANN VALDEZ: Yeah. Well, oh, I'm currently working today. Still waiting for a permanent job. In September 2014, I was called for a position as a clerical associate to a position.

However, the position was not for a permanent position, but for a per diem temp position. This means I only work—I only get paid for the days I work, and believe me there were a lot of days without pay due to all the holidays in the months of November

#### COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON 1 GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 119 2 and December. I am thankful to have a paycheck. However, my life is still in limbo without having 3 4 permanent employment. I have taken 13 City tests 5 since 2011 in hopes of gaining permanent employment. 6 Yes, I said 13 City tests. The hiring process to 7 obtain these positions is not just passing the test, and a background check, you are subject to every 8 aspect of your life being investigation, personal--9 BILL BUSK: [interposing] Psychological. 10 ANN VALDEZ: --psychological and 11 12 financial. However, if you have been unemployed your credit is not the best because you have no money to 13 14 pay bills. Once selected, you go to a hiring pool. 15 There were about 85 people for about 45 temp 16 positions. I pay union dues yet I am not a permanent 17 employee. The City keeps giving tests yet they have 18 only these positions. So many people are ready--Wait a minute. My mistake. I'm sorry. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ann, you have to wrap up because we have to be out of here in two 21 2.2 minutes. 23 Yeah, okay. ANN VALDEZ: CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And we'd like to ask 24

25

a question or two.

BILL BUSK: [off mic] The city.

ANN VALDEZ: Where?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

BILL BUSK: [off mic] The second line.

ANN VALDEZ: Okay. The City keeps giving these tests, yet there have so many people already in temp positions. I see this as another ploy to generate money. Once in these positions you have three chances to obtain permanent employment. do not get a position, it is back to taking more city tests or to take the same test again to get back on the list. Another sham. I have talked to some employees who said they were per diem for years before they were hired. Why does the City continue to give tests if they are not hiring people in permanent positions. I have now been working for about five months at one location and was transferred to another location. Like myself and other per diem workers, we all want sustainable, permanent positions and stable employment. The City wastes a lot of money on unnecessary programs instead of creating permanent jobs to get people out of poverty. doesn't take a rocket scientist to do the right thing by the citizens of New York. Create permanent jobs.

And as to that also these jobs that -- like I had

testified once before where they called them community assistants and community associates, those are the ones that are taking the clerical—the associate clerical assistance, which have civil service. But those are non-civil service, which are the same thing. So instead of hiring like that and per diem and all that. Why if you have positions that you need staff in your office why are they not taken off the list?

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, specifically, the person that you were testifying on their behalf--

ANN VALDEZ: [interposing] Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: --you said they are working at HRA?

ANN VALDEZ: Yeah, per diem.

a number of agencies that have outsourced or worked on outside contracts that are expiring. And I was happy to hear the Mayor in his preliminary budget when we questioned him about those expiring contracts. And the potential for permanent employment within those agencies, and have it done in-house. The response— In fact, I spent—I spoke yesterday to Mr. Brian Lynn [sic] about that same

thing. It's something that the Council along with those bargaining units will be working on as we speak. So, we're looking forward to that, but it requires continued advocacy. And we need to hear those things in advance because I do know that part of the reduction of the headcount is attributed to the WEP workers. And so, those workers like every other worker has value, but we want to make sure that they are appropriately compensated. So with being said—

BILL BUSK: [interposing] Thank you for considering the human value of people--

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: [interposing] Oh, absolutely.

BILL BUSK: Even though they're WEP workers.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah.

BILL BUSK: And one thing to understand when you hear Helena's story that this is a woman with at least one four-year degree and partial second degree and numerous certifications with computers.

So if you're talking about a qualified individual--

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: [interposing] Yeah.

2.2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

BILL BUSK: --you're talking about the cream of the crop. And if this is the best that HRA can do, then HRA is--

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: [interposing] Well, I will leave you with this, Dr. Kings says all labor, which uplifts humanity, has dignity and value. It should be undertaken with painstaking excellence, and I'm sure that you do. All right. So with that, I would like to thank everyone for coming out on behalf of Civil Service and Labor, and I will pass it over now to my colleague for his final words.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you for Community Voices Heard for coming out. Thank you to our Brothers and Sisters in Labor from DC 37 and CWA 1180 and other representatives from the Municipal Labor Committee, and thank you to my co-chair. It's always a please to work with Daneek Miller and to be the strong labor advocates on the board. I would like to thank all of our staff, and I will turn it back to our co-chair to adjourn.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Right on time, right. [gavel]

#### ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 12, 2015