CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

----- X

February 25, 2015 Start: 10:18 a.m. Recess: 12:47 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room

14th Floor

B E F O R E: COREY D. JOHNSON

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Maria Del Carmen Arroyo

Rosie Mendez Mathieu Eugene Peter A. Koo

James G. Van Bramer

Inez D. Barron

Robert E. Cornegy, Jr. Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Daniel Kass
Deputy Commissioner
Division of Environmental Health (DOHMH)

Mario Merlino
Assistant Commissioner
Division of Environmental Health
Veterinary Services
Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH)

Risa Weinstock
Executive Director and General Counsel
Animal Care and Control of New York City (AC&C)

Thomas Lucania
Director
Community Boards and Legislative Affairs
Bronx Borough President Ruben Diaz, Jr.

Matthew Bershadker President and CEO American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (ASPCA)

Gail Buchwald
Senior Vice President
Adoption Center
American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to
Animals (ASPCA)

Jane Hoffman
Mayor's Alliance for New York City Animals

Allie Feldman Director NYCLASS

Garo Alexanian

Esther Koslow Reform Action Committee

Natalie Reeves Founder Big Apple Bunnies 2 [sound check]

[gavel]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Good morning,
everyone. I am Council Member Corey Johnson, Chair
of the Council's Committee on Health. I want to
thank my good friend and colleague, Council Member
Paul Vallone for his leadership on this issue, and in
sponsoring the legislation that we are hearing today
requiring that full-service shelter be maintained in
each borough New York City. And I'm a proud cosponsor of this legislation. In New York City the
Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, DOHMH,
provides animal care services through a contract with
the non-profit organization Animal Care and Control,
AC&C.

In 2000, the City Council passed Local
Law 26, the Animal Shelters and Sterilization Act,
which required that a full-service animal shelter
exists in each of our five boroughs. [coughs]
Excuse me. After a decade of non-compliance and
litigation with a non-profit vol--with a non-profit
volunteer organization, the Bloomberg Administration,
and the New York City Council came to an agreement in
2011 to repeal the requirement that there be full-

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

service animal shelters in every borough. And instead, focused on improving the existing shelter system. As part of this agreement, the Bloomberg Administration committed to increase its investment in animal shelters by near \$10 million over three years to greatly improve the quality of care for animals at our city shelters.

This increased funding has served to drastically increase staff, improve adoptions, provide much needed veterinary service, and expand rescue response and transport services. [clears throat] As part of these recent reforms, AC&C has grown and strengthens its executive staff under the leadership of its Executive Director Lisa Weinstock. I'd like to take a moment to acknowledge Risa, and the amazing work that she's done to breathe new life into the AC&C and bring a real vision to the organization. AC&C's Board of Directors has expanded as part of its 2011 agreement, and just last month, DOHMH and AC&C announced that two members will be added who will be selected by the board bringing the total number to 11. However, a large majority of the board members are either part of the Administration

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

or appointed by the Mayor. And I would encourage continued strides towards independence at AC&C.

A brand new Staten Island shelter is scheduled to open this year, which is great news. There was also an announcement last month that the City will provide more than \$8 million in capital funding to build a new adoption center at the Manhattan facility at 110th Street; modernize the Brooklyn facility; double the organization's current fleet of mobile adoption vans; and expand AC&C's fundraising capacity. Building on the garage space adjacent to the Manhattan shelter is common sense solution that advocates and I have been campaigning for, and I'm excited to see this move forward. [clears throat] While the mobile adoption centers dedicated to the Bronx and Queens is welcome news, they obviously do not come close to the services provided at a full-service shelter.

In 2011, the Administration committed to pursuing a new animal receiving facility in Queens. Unfortunately, almost four years later, a new Queens site has still not been acquired. Overall, AC&C has transformed over the last few years, and while I applaud the drastic improvements to services and

2.2

facilities, we must ask ourselves if we have come far enough. How can we ensure that the Bronx and Queens residents are receiving the animal care services they need, and promises for a new Queens facility are fulfilled? We at the Council must remain vigilant, and ensure that the full-service shelters have adequate capacity, that their receiving centers are efficient and functional, and most importantly, that our animals are receiving the quality care that they deserve.

AC&C as well as the advocacy community about recent progress, and what we can do moving forward to ensure that our four-legged friends, pets, and animals are getting the care that they deserve. Before I acknowledge the important folks that helped make today's hearing possible, I want to say that I do think the \$8 million is a really big deal. And I am incredibly grateful that the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and the Administration have taken this incredibly important step. I am very proud at the work we've done in 14 months in this committee and the work that the Administration has done in moving the ball forward to make our city more humane, and to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

\$8 million is just a first step, and it's mindboggling to me that in 2015 there is not a fullservice shelter in every borough. It's like hard to-it's hard to believe that, and that is why we are
having this hearing today. It is my core belief that
we need full-service, fully functioning, state-ofthe-art facilities in all five boroughs.

I want to acknowledge my colleagues in the Health Committee, who have joined us, Council Member Arroyo, Council Member Mendez. I also want to thank my Legislative Director, Louis Cholden Brown; Health Committee Counsel Dan Hafetz; Policy Analyst for the Health Committee, Crystal Pond; Crilhien Francisco the Finance Analyst for the Health Committee; and Jeff Campagna, who is here somewhere behind the wall I think. The Legislative Counsel working on animal issues and Jeff has been amazing and the team has been amazing on all of these issues for their work in preparing for today's hearing. now, I want to turn it over to my friend and colleague who I said it earlier today, but it's important to say again [coughs]. For the past 14 months every time I see Paul Vallone he has said,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

When are we hearing this bill, and when are we getting this done? And his family has a long, deep and rich tradition for championing the cause of animals in New York City. So I'm very grateful to work alongside him, and to join with him in having this hearing today. Council Member Paul Vallone.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you, Chair Johnson, and I'm going to take you home so you can say all those same statements to my family at home, if you could. This is something that we're all very passionate about. The people that are in this room, the folks who have been fighting this battle long before we've been here. And Jane, I see smiling, as is all the different groups that are out there. So it is really our duty to see this through, and I think having the greatest city in the world deserves the greatest shelters in the world. So that we can be the leading example for everyone else, as we are in everything. So to look at 2015 and say we don't have a shelter in Queens and Bronx. I mean I don't even have a receiving center, if you want to call it that. It's just not right. And you look at the children in the schools, and they say what can we do to help? And you don't have that place to show, to

2.2

be an anchor. I mean the kids in Queens are not going to go into Manhattan, and they're not going to do that. So it's our responsibility to take that step forward and the \$8 million and the Administration and the leadership under Corey Johnson and he's chairing this great committee. Thank you for allowing me to sit in today on this great committee. Sometimes the council members don't get a chance to get out of their committees and join in on other committees. So it's exciting to be here today with this committee.

We are going to fight for this, and we're going to stand united, and we're going to—it's not going to be something where it's a—it's a radical movement. It's not that. It's the core and central to what we should be doing, and every borough should be treated the same, have the same services, and our animals should be treated with respect. And give everyone the opportunity to find a home to get there. So this hearing is going to at that. And we looking forward to looking at all of the advances that AC&C has done. And Risa, I thank you for my tour yesterday and I'm very— We're going to have that fight for Santiago to get a home. If not, he will

and a necessary partner in our city shelter system.

25

23

- 2 And without your dedicated and incredible work, many of the improvements that we're talking about would 3 simply not be possible. So your partnership and 4 5 collaboration is really just a cornerstone of the 6 work that we do, and that we're talking about today. 7 So with that, I'm going to call up our first panel and it's going to be two folks from the Department of 8 Health and Mental Hygiene, Daniel Kass, the Deputy 9 Commissioner for the Division of Environmental Health 10 at DOHMH and Mario Merlino, the Assistant 11 Commissioner of the Division of Environmental Health 12 at the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. 13 14 [clears throat] I'm going to turn it over to you 15 guys. Thank you for being here today. 16 forward to hearing your testimony. 17 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Thank you for 18 having us. I look forward to it as well. I'm--I'm I'm--as you said, I'm the Deputy 19 Dan Kass. 20 Commissioner for Environmental Health and--CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: [interposing] Oh, I 21 2.2 forgot to swear you in.
 - DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Oh.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: I know you're
- 25 honest, Dan, but I still have to swear you in.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[laughter] Both of you. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and to respond honestly to council member questions?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Yes.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MERLINO: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: So, once again, I'm Dan Kass. I'm the Deputy Commissioner for the Division of Environmental Health and I'm joined to my left by Mario Merlino, who's the Department's Assistant Commission overseeing Veterinary Health. Thank you, Chairman Johnson, and members of the Health Committee. On behalf of Commissioner Bassett, I'm happy to testify today. As you know, the Department has testified before the Council on a number of animal welfare issues. We testified in support of Local Law 5, which requires pet shops that sell dogs and cats to obtain a permit from the Department. That law also directs our agency to enforce provisions related to animal welfare, the sourcing of animals and the conditions for their sale. We're currently in the process of developing rules related to the permitting and enforcement provisions of that legislation.

2.2

In addition, we testified before the committee on state and federal legislation on a bill that would empower New York City to set it's own dog licensing fees. I want to thank the Council for he Home Rule message that was delivered to Albany in support of that effort. And I'm pleased to report that the State legislation was signed into law in December. We now look forward to partnering with the Council on a legislation that will enhance dog licensing efforts. Recent collaborations between the Department and the Council include establishing the Animal Population Control Fund, which disburses monies collected from the licensing of unsterilized dogs to support free spay and neuter services for dogs and cats for low-income New Yorkers.

Thank you, Chairman Johnson and thank you to all the members of this committee for the work on these initiatives. The Health Department oversees the Animal Sheltering system, which is administered by our contractor Animal Care & Control of New York City or AC&C. AC&C provides Field Rescue Services, and accepts care for—cares for and temporarily shelters abandoned and unwanted animals before finding them permanent homes. AC&C runs the only

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

open admission shelter in New York City, which means
that it never turns away any animal that is abandoned
or stray. AC&C receives more than 30,000 cats, dogs,
rabbits, small animals, reptiles, birds, farm and
wild animals each year. It is the only organization

in New York City trusted with this responsibility.

The City is making significant progress in meeting the commitments that are required by Local Law 59, which as you mentioned, was passed in 2011. Over the last five years, the Council, the Health Department, and AC&C work together to transform the city's approach to animal care. These efforts have resulted in a shelter system that is better funded, better performing, and better served at any time in its history. AC&C operates three full service shelters, two receiving centers, a mobile adoption center and robust field response unit. At full service, animals are housed, fed, treated, and cared for. At these shelters, animals can be placed in homes in a number of ways. Adoption directly from the shelter, transferred to one of AC&C's partner organizations, which in turn places the animals. adoption at outside events through AC&C's mobile adoption center.

Before AC&C accepts an animal, it
interviews people to determine the cause for the
surrender, and may provide veterinary care and
guidance on strategies that enable the person to keep
that pet. Some animals are sick or suffering are
humanely euthanized at the owner's request often
because they do not have, or cannot afford a
veterinarian to humanely euthanize the animal. Some
animals are euthanized because an animal has
behavioral issues or illnesses that make it difficult
to place the animal in a home. AC&C operates centers
in the Bronx and Queens where animals may be dropped
off. Animals are transferred from these facilities
multiple times a day to the full service shelters.
All animals adopted by New Yorkers from AC&C are
spayed and neutered, vaccinated, microchipped, and
all dogs are licensed. AC&C also maintains
partnerships with 289 rescue organizations, which are
required to sterilize these animals and license the
dogs before placing the animals in an adoptive home.

AC&C provides other services that support the humane treatment of animals, promotes responsible pet ownership, and helps reduce the number of unwanted animals. The funding AC&C receives is a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

critical measure of support for its work. that money is used and what it achieves is also important to assess. Since 2011, AC&C has nearly doubled its staff with significant increases in the number of veterinarians and licensed veterinary technicians, and the hiring of a chief veterinarian and medical director. Funding is also provided for a well staffed behavioral assessment unit at its shelters, which enables AC&C to better assess dogs and ensure that they are placed in a proper home. physically segregating the surrender of animals from their adoption, AC&C is now able to interview people surrendering the animals and better understand each pet's unique qualities and needs and provide better customer service to the thousands of people who adopt animals.

AC&C with the City's support now opens its shelters and receiving centers to the public seven days a week, 12 hours a day. In addition, the Manhattan shelter is open for emergencies 24 hours a day. Staff members are present at the shelters all day and night everyday of the year. The contract at AC&C requires that it conduct field rescue, and pick up operations 12 hours per day and overnight for

2.2

emergencies. I'm please to report that the City has exceeded its commitment to increase AC&C's budget. In 2011, AC&C was funded at about \$7.1 million. Since that time, AC&C's budget now exceeded \$13 million reflecting—that's the City's share of its budget, I should say, reflecting an 82% increase in funding. Despite repeated budget cuts to the department, the funding to AC&C increased in each of the last four years. And I would be remiss if I did not also mention the exceptional leadership of Risa Weinstock, the Executive Director of AC&C who has

managed this significant expansion so well.

Earlier this year, the Administration announced several additional enhancements to support continued improvements in the shelter system. The City will spend \$8.5 million on a variety of upgrades to the shelter system. Funding is being provided to re-engineer the heating and ventilation system at the Brooklyn shelter, create more isolated fresh air zones, and improve overall climate control. In Manhattan, the Health Department in conjunction with AC&C and its partners will design and construct a dedicated adoption center. It will improve customer service, enable more interaction between animals and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

their prospective adopters, and free up more space for the care and sheltering of animals. The Administration is also providing funding to increase the fleet of mobile adoption units used by AC&C dedicating them to the Bronx and Queens to improve local adoption options. Funds have also been provided to enhance AC&C's ability to fundraise from private sources.

New York City's shelter system was originally built and managed by the American Society for the Protection of Cruelty to Animals. shelters were turned to the City, the live release rate was about 30%. Today, the live release is around 80.7%, making our shelter system among the most successful in any big city in the United States. Many challenges remain in managing the city's unwanted animal population. Cats continue to produce litters that exceed the number of people who want to own or adopt them. Dogs are abandoned in far higher rates in some neighborhoods than others. Together, the Health Department and AC&C are working to better understand these issues, and to direct staff and funding resources to address them.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

The year, the department will provide \$505,000 to organizations to perform free spay and neuter services in neighborhoods with the greatest rates of abandonment. Other organizations around the city provide targeted spay and neuter services as well, and with them, we're certain that the problem with abandonment would be far works.

New York City has a comparatively low rate of intake at its municipal shelter, owing in part to lower pet ownership rates, but also to the exceptional and coordinated work of hundreds of organizations dedicated to rescuing and finding homes for abandoned animals. The City working alongside the Council has made significant improvements to the animal shelter system across New York City, and we look forward to building on those successes. Thank you for the opportunity to testify, and for your continued partnership on the issue, and we'll be happy to answer questions.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you, Dan,

[coughs] for being here, and for your testimony. We
do have some questions. I want to start off, and
then I'm happy to turn it over to my colleagues, who
I know may want to weigh in. Is it your judgment has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

AC&C and DOHMH's support of AC&C met the goals anticipated by the 2011 deal that was struck in coordination with the passage of Local Law 59?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Yeah, as you recall, and as you indicated in your opening statement, the deal struck was that in lieu of the requirement for building those new shelters, which the Department, you know, had invested significant effort in trying to do with other city agencies, we would invest in the current system in a much more substantial way. And what that meant for the Department was that we would invest financial resources. We would work with AC&C to figure out how best to spend them by looking at where the resources are most needed, and what services could be improved most readily. We worked in tandem with AC&C to figure out how best to bring staff on board, and how to retain them. The bill also required, and we agreed to a very significant expansion in services both reflected in the number of hours and days that the facilities were open. But also an expansion of rescue operations.

And I, you know, am really proud to say that all of those things have been achieved, some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

earlier than the timetable that we had pledged that they would be. And lastly, I would say that the financial resources that the City promised to it have also been exceeded. So, yes, I think we have achieved what we hoped to in terms of operations. And I think importantly those investments have been-have realized significant gains by--in other measures about how the shelter system operates. From an operational perspective, we find that the shelters are really operating very well. There is much more investment in maintenance and in upkeep of shelter, and care of animals, and walking dogs. They've done a great job building an assessment unit, as I alluded to in my testimony, where animals are better assessed with their behavioral issues and needs for properly placing them in permanent homes. And so the resources were used to bring those staff on.

In addition, I would say that the resources have achieved very significant gains in terms of the outcomes that are important. AC&C has been building its own capacity for direct adoption, and we've seen an increase in those as well. And we've seen an increase in the live release rate over this period of time. So, I think it is fair to say

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

that the City made good on its commitments, exceeded
some of them. And we're really seeing significant
improvements, you know, in the day-to-day management
of the shelter, the outcomes for animals in New York
City. And the ability of AC&C to do its job as well
as it is doing. It's fundraising is up. Its
recognition for the quality of its work is up. And
again, I think, you know, by so many measures it is
the envy of the shelter systems around the country.
It's the most transparent of shelter systems in the
country in terms of sharing what it does, the animals
it takes in, what happens to them. It is remarkable
for itsfor its live release rate in big cities. So
I think we're really pleased with the progress that's
been made.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Do you see any unfinished business, or what else could be done?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Well, you know, we--of course we see unfinished business.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: What?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Well, a lot of things. One is, you know, that we alluded to. You know, I referenced the investment that the City is making this year. You know, we have a garage that's

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

lying fallow on a property in Manhattan. We want to turn that into a real center for adoption. That will achieve a lot. It will achieve freeing up space in the Manhattan shelter. But it will also become a kind of destination location for adoption directly from the shelter system. That's important. earlier that animals leave the shelter, the healthier they are. They more likely they are to do well. we want to do everything we can to move animals as quickly out. There continues to be work that we can do to bring animals to other areas. They've had incredible success this year with their first mobile adoption unit, which was privately funded. another one that's publicly funded and then we'll add two more. Those offer opportunities to both move animals quickly out of the shelters, but also bring them to people rather than ask people to come to them.

In addition, there's no--there's no reason to be satisfied with the current live release rate. There's more than can be done. There are real challenges. Once shelters, you know, reach this kind of percentage of live release rate, it becomes more and more difficult to place the animals that do

2.2

remain. And I'm confident that AC&C is working to do more in that regard, and I think the new resources will support that as well as their ability to privately fund raise to support that effort. And I guess finally I would say that there's more than we can do on the facilities themselves that we haven't in the city. So the Brooklyn shelter will be significantly improved in terms of its upgrades. And once the Manhattan shelter adopting center is designed and constructed, we'll be able also to make improvements in the space that will then be vacated within the main shelter itself to do more in terms of animal care and shelter.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: What is your assessment of the receiving centers in the Bronx and Queens? To what extend are they meeting their goals, guiding Local Law 59, and in what areas do they fall short?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Well, the purpose of the receiving centers is to provide an opportunity for people to bring animals to the shelter system within their own boroughs. And in that respect, they do serve their function. And before the increase in funding that was given to

4

2 AC&C, the shelter--those receiving centers were open a couple of days a week. They're now open seven days 3 a week, 12 hours a day. So in that respect, they 5 serve an important function for the community as a 6 place where people can bring their animals when they 7 need to abandon them. Or, when strays are found, they can be brought to them to those locations. 8 are small facilities. They are not designed as 9 shelter, and they're not designed for overnight stay 10 for animals. And that is a limitation of those 11 12 facilities. As you mentioned, we did look very hard in Queens for an alternate facility, but that deal 13 did not materialize, and we continue to look. 14 15 these are rented facilities. We are looking for 16 alternate receiving centers that provide -- They're laid out a little bit better. They provide better 17 18 opportunities for the sheltering and for the-- You know, for the counseling with the people who are 19 20 bringing the animals in. But they are serving their function of being--basically, being an opportunity 21 2.2 for people to bring their animals. We have seen an 23 increase with the increased hours and the number of animals that are surrendered at those locations. 24 But they're largely offset by reductions in the numbers 25

2.2

of animals that originated in the Bronx and Queens at the Brooklyn and Manhattan shelter.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Do you think that the model of three shelters and two receiving sites for the AC&C system is adequate for the City?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: You know, I

think that the-- For the last several years, we've

really invested in a very significant set of

improvements. And I think there's more to be done in

that regard. I think that the--any shelter system

will always want and need more resources that it has.

So, I do think that the improvements that we've made

and the significant advances--the near doubling of

the staff, the quality of care--has had a significant

impact on being beneficial to animals.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Do you think that it's adequate?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: You know, I think it's hard to say what adequate is. I do think when you compare across the country we have a shelter density that's higher than most cities in terms of its--sort of the number of shelters that sort of the number of shelters per--

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: [interposing] But 3 we have a larger population.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: That's also true, and we also have a lower intake rate for animals, very significantly lower for the population than other cities. So I think more resources are always better, and I think that there's work to be done with what we're trying now.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So I--I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues in a moment, and then I'm going to come back for some budget number questions related to some of the facilities. But if, you know--I know we operate, of course, as a government in a city where the allocation of resources both capital and expense resources really determine what we're able to do in many regards. But if we could just put aside what those resources are, whether it's between \$10 and \$40 million to build full-service shelters in the Bronx and Queens optimally. If we wanted the best system possible, and I agree with you Dan in all the improvements that have been made and in lauding AC&C and DOHMH for the drastic improvements. If we wanted the most optimal system possible that was going to do the best amount

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

- of work, do you think that that would include two
 full-service shelters in the Bronx and Queens if
 money were not an object.
- 5 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: You know, in 6 all honesty, I don't know. There are so--
- 7 CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: [interposing]
- 8 Really?

2.2

- 9 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: There are so 10 many ways to improve--
- 11 CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: [interposing]
 12 That's hard to believe.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Well, let melet me explain. There are so many ways-- I'm not
saying it's not. Again, I said more resources, and
more facilities are often--are always better than
fewer. It's very important to also focus resources
on the--trying to prevent the surrender of animals.
It's never good when an animal ends up in a shelter
system. And so, there are also efforts that we want
to make, and that we're looking for resources to
invest in to try to reduce the intake into the
shelter. Now, the City is incredibly grateful and
dependent on the work of many organizations across
the city that have a huge impact on that. And so,

2	there arethere are partnerships that can be
3	supported, and that are. There arethere are
4	opportunities for moving animals quickly out of the
5	shelter. There arethere's education and outreach
6	to betterto allow the public to better understand
7	what AC&C's role is. I think there is still a lot of
8	confusion in the public about what AC&C is relative
9	to other organizations that adopt the animals. And
10	so

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]

And so, are you saying that without—it's better to

not have five operational full shelters in this city?

Is that what you're telling me?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: No, I didn't say it's better not to.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Because it's certainly sound like that's what you're saying.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: But I didn't say that it's better not to.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]

You're saying there are other options that we can

explore. No, the only option we need to explore is

get five shelters in this city. Until we get to that

2.2

point, all you're saying is you're relying on the-Sorry, for jumping in, Corey--

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: [interposing] That's okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: --but I'm listening for an half hour now, and I'm getting more and more upset. You're saying you're relying on the successes of Risa and AC&C and the amazing staff to do what they can do as the ability, as the groundbreaking efforts over the last two years of the Department of Health to make changes. Giving money to AC&C is a great start, but the facilities that they have are not adequate. There are animals in the hallways. They are completely overwhelmed. There is no way to handle 30,000 animals with three shelters and Queens doesn't even have a receiving center. So don't even pretend to make--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: [interposing]

I'm sorry, it does—it does have a receiving center.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, if you call that a receiving center, then we have a whole other hearing coming in, because that's not a receiving center. It's insane is what that is. And it's the programs that I saw yesterday, the human

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

approach of -- Like you just said, there are other ways to reduce the number. And I one of the first ways that I was shown in part yesterday was counseling of people. When they come in with the animals, they sit down in a room say what is the situation at home. What can we do to have you keep this pet? What are the other options? What are financial relief? What can we do to help you, and if, come back again, and then we'll help you. can we do that at a receiving center? You can't. So, therefore, all of the families and the people in Bronx and Queens cannot participate. Two-thirds of the city cannot participate in these wonderful new inventive ideas because there isn't something in Bronx and Queens for them to do that to. If all I'm going to do is drive-- You're actually facilitating getting rid of animals. Here, take it. I don't want it any more, and I don't have any quilt about it. just drop it off. Good. I'm done. Whereas, now I can have someone from the wonderful staff sit and talk and say there's an alternative way here. let's look at Santiago and some of these other pets and say what can we do. So I'm not happy with the testimony that you're giving to rely on the roles of

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 what we've succeeded before without saying that if--

3 | Council Member Johnson's question was if finances was

4 not an issue, which would be great in my house, too.

5 If finances was not an issue, wouldn't we want that?

6 The answer should clearly be yes. I mean that--

7 | that's it. It should be one word, yes. But you

8 didn't say that. So, help me to understand why

9 you're not saying that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Well, first of all, it's a hypothetical. And so, resources are always an issue, and it's important to remember that resources are not just about the construction of a facility. It's also about its staffing and maintenance and it's -- and it's managing well. know, AC&C voted before the increase in funding really was on a shoestring budget, and we acknowledge that. That meant that within its own facilities that the operations were not as good as they could be. And I think what we've learned over the last several years that -- the past several years is that there's much that can be done with the infusion of resources, and passion and dedicated staff and highly trained staff to improve what happens at those shelters. You know, it would be--it would be tragic to build and

2 operate shelters that were not sufficiently

3 resourced. So that's, you know-- That's where we're

4 at.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing] No, we agree with you on that, and I think we agree with every one of the support groups that are here saying the last thing we want to do is take a step backwards. And Jane was mentioning that earlier. we don't want to create an unfunded mandate again where we have this beautiful facility, and staff and operational expenses. So part of what this committee is doing is asking for the finance requirements of what it would cost to maintain the facility, not just build the facility. And I know Council Member Johnson said we'll talk about some of the finance questions. Because there are allocations in here for over half a million dollars--\$5 million in Queens. I'm curious to what that's for, and what plan you have in mind. I mean, I see in the preliminary budget there's over \$5.8 million for Queens. Is that in the hope of building a shelter? Are we finding--What are we with the receiving center? What is that money going to be used for?

2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: In all
3	honesty, I'm going to have to get back to you on
4	that. I'm notI'm not familiar with the capital
5	budget to that extent. I think the money originally
6	originated with the intent to acquire a property that
7	would function as a receiving center, and so that may
8	be its origin.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Where are we
10	with that? I mean doesdo we have any update?
11	There's got to be? Is there a top ten list of sites
12	that we're looking at? Are we negotiating? I mean I
13	have agencies going around all day and looking for
14	different things. I don't have any response on this
15	one.
16	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Well, yeah
17	[background comment]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I mean this is
19	for now because we have to deal with the present. I
20	mean obviously we're looking for a full shelter, but
21	in the meantime we have to assist the folks in Queens
22	and Bronx, and we don't know what the plan is right

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Okay.

23

24

now. Okay.

2.2

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MERLINO: Hi,

Mario Merlino, Assistant Commissioner at the Health

Department. So, it still is the plan to run a new

facility in Queens. We actually agree that the

facility that's there now is too small. I think it's

only like--

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]

Thank you. See that wasn't that difficult. See that. [laughs]

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MERLINO: It's around 800 square feet. It was always—it was always the plan, but it's been the plan since the—since Local Law 59 to lease a new facility. We've looked at I would say two to three sites per year. Some of the challenges have been the placement of that facility—I don't— So we want a facility that will serve Queens that's—that's not so close to the existing shelter, you know, in East New York, or on the West Side of Manhattan. So I think we've seen a couple sites. For instance in Long Island City that wouldn't help frankly Queens that much, right. We want something that's placed more centrally or so that people can get to. We've seen sites that quite frankly were the wrong size. So it's—there's been

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

those types of issues with the sites that we've seen,

but we have seen two or three sites per year.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, could I suggest while you're looking for the sites, and while we're still in this hypothetical scenario of what we're going to do, that we add to what it is the site could be. I mean there are places between the fullservice animal shelter and a receiving center. can be an educational component to the site. could be a small adoption center to the site. could be ways to immediately impact and alleviate these boroughs emergency concerns. Because they might have some emergency concern that we can take the step of maybe getting to the point of a full animal shelter. But that's not going to happen tomorrow. But this is something with the budget that could happen literally tomorrow if we're lucky enough to find the site that we could build these components into it. So that now we are looking at the programs that have been put into effect, and maybe have some type of impact as opposed to just a drop-off and run mentality.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MERLINO: I can't agree more, and Risa Weinstock should speak to a

little bit more about what the services are at the
receiving centers. I think currently there is some
sort of counseling for folks that drop off animals.
And I cant agree more howwith you how important
that is that people hear the options that they have
opposed to, you know, dropping off the animal at the
receiving center. And I think that would be a bit
When we say a better layout, the currentthe current
space in Queens is a room around the size we're in
now. So if we haveif we have a layout where
there's a place where there could be counseling along
the lines of what the Health Department and AC&C
collaborated to build in the Bronx. The Bronx space
we have the same We didn't go out and lease a new
space in the Bronx, but we reconfigured it after
Local Law 59 with some of the funding that we got.
And they built a separate area for cats so the cats
and the dogs can be separate. We built an area where
there could be photography of the animals, where
there could be counseling for folks that are adopting
animals. So, Risa can speak more, and I can't agree
with you more that that's important to do that at
the

2	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]
3	Well, I see other council members here, and I want to
4	give them a chance to speak. So that's just my
5	comments to you. Thank you for the first start. I
6	think the way Council Member Johnson has talked about
7	this, I mean this Administration and this Council is
8	clearly committed. We've done more in 14 months. We
9	did a lot. And there's a lot to be said for that,
10	and I think it's just taking advantage of that
11	momentum. So maybe this is the time that we can
12	finally get this done. So I think that's where this
13	committee, and where we're together on this is we
14	want to help. I meant it's a partnership of the
15	Council and Mayor together to get this done, to
16	realize the dream that people have been fighting for
17	for decades. To finally get this done, and then put
18	something in place that's sustainable and get it
19	done. I turn it back over to the chair. Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you, Council
21	Member Vallone. I just want to If you can answer
22	the questions, you're free to answer. If you can't
23	it's okay. We'll provide you the written questions
24	as well. Before I go to Council Member Arroyo, I

just wanted to read them for the record because

2.2

2 they're important in the context of the conversation
3 we're having.

So Council Member Vallone said the most recent capital commitment shows the moving and allocation of \$5.9 million in funding for Queens facility. What was that \$5.9 million? What was that? Was it budgeted funding for a full-service shelter in Queens, or was it upgrades to a receiving center?

Out of the \$5.9 million that was reallocated from the Queens shelter in the Capital Budget Plan, only \$4 million was allocated to upgrade Brooklyn and Manhattan in \$8.5 million you talked about. Where did DOHMH reallocate the remaining \$1.9 million in funding?

The funding added to the Brooklyn HVAC upgrade totals \$3.5 million in the capital plan with \$500,000 in Fiscal Year 2016, and \$3 million in the out years specifically Fiscal Year 2018. So is it going to take that long to get the upgrades done in Brooklyn for the HVAC system? What is the timeline and why \$3 million in Fiscal Year 2018?

The Commitment Plan of \$500,000 added in Fiscal Year 2018 for the Manhattan Animal Shelter for

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Okay. Council

24

25

Member Arroyo.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. Commissioner, welcome. Always nice to see
you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: You, too.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: You did not reference the legislation that we're discussing here today. We're talking about improvements in the system, but also the law--the Local Law that Council Member Vallone has introduced. Intro 485 is not referenced in your testimony at all. I'm sure you don't like avoiding issues, but you haven't said whether you support or oppose this legislation.

I think it's--we would like to kind of keep at what we're at--at what we're doing. There are improvements to be made currently. You know, I think as I sort of indicated to Council Member Vallone and to Johnson that in the--more resources are always better. There is no question about that, but for now, we are really concentrated on continuing the direction that we're in, the improvements that are being made and continue to be implemented. And the work that we have ahead of us in constructing an adoption center, in acquiring mobile adoption units,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

in expanding AC&C's independent fundraising capacity.

And in improving the Brooklyn facility, as well as

4 acquiring a different receiving center in Queens.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: I've had an opportunity to work with you for about ten years now, and I've always known you to be very direct. not an answer. You either support or oppose, or a third option is we can continue discussing this legislation and see how we can bring something in that's going to be -- we can implement successfully. We don't want a repeat of Local Law 26 or the challenges that came up and the lawsuits. I'm sure if we took everything we spent in court defending the lawsuits, we can invest in the system significantly enough to have full-service shelters in every borough, you know. And at the end of the day, I think we all want the same thing, but aren't we concerned that not adhering to the mandates in Local Law 59 is going to end us in court as well? we're not fulfilling the mandates in that Local Law? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Well, first in

response to your first question, I think the answer, of course, is yes we'll continue. We're happy to continue discussing the--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Right, you

5 know we--

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: [interposing] Okay, that's important.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: This is the beginning of the conversation and our discussion. As for the non-compliance with Local Law 59, I--I'm not sure what you're referring to. I beg to differ. We've fulfilled or exceeded all of the mandates of Local Law 59.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: So in Queens, for example, that I think seems to be the one hole in the commitment in that Local Law.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: [interposing] Well, so--

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: If we're not providing access 12 hours a day, we're not concerned?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Well, we are-we are providing access 12 hours a day, but what we're acknowledging is it's not a great facility.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Okay. All right.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 45
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: So, thebut
3	in terms of compliance with the law, the hours of
4	operation
5	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: [interposing]
6	Okay.
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS:the extent
8	of services, we are compliant.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: That's good to
10	hear. Placement location was one of the reasonswas
11	the reason given for not being able to provide a good
12	space. I visited the Bronx center. It's not bigger
13	than this room unless you changed something since
14	I've been there.
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: The
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: [interposing] You
17	can't find the space this size in Queens?
18	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: The question
19	in Queens that has been difficult is to identify a
20	space that will rent to us, that willcan be
21	configured for the purposes that Council Member
22	Vallone recommended and Assistant Commissioner
23	Merlino acknowledged that we'd like to do. And is

located in a way that adds value to its location in

Queens. You know we-- For example, we just looked at

yet.

2	a facility that may havemay work or may have
3	worked, but it is just a couple of miles from the
4	East New York Shelter in Brooklyn. And this not an
5	optimal location for it because it doesn't provide ar
6	extra level of service for the vast majority of
7	Queens residents. So, it is tricky. We want
8	locations that are accessible by public
9	transportation. We want locations that want us. We
10	want locations where we can find a stable lease. The
11	zoning has to allow for this kind of activity. So,
12	it's You know, I can tell you directly and
13	honestly that we have been looking. And our partner
14	at Department of Citywide Administrative Services
15	that manages real estate for the city has been
16	looking. But we haven't found an optimal location

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: So what's the ideal square footage for a receiving center that can embed in it the components that we would like to see happening at these centers.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER MERLINO: What we've told DCAS is somewhere between 1,600 and 2,400 square feet. That's what we--that's our sort of instructions to DCAS. Properly zoned preferably with

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

parking so that folks can come in their cars because that's important. And Queens prefer it. [sic] So we have a list of things.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Have you thought of collaborating with the council members that represents our neighborhoods in Queens that can--You know, we have some insight about the nuances in our districts that the Administration may not have, or that your staff or Administrative Services for the City may not have an opportunity to locate. So I would highly recommend, and you can start with Council Member Vallone since he's being such an insistent member on this issue. All kidding aside, I think it's important that you engage the local members to help advance this conversation. Granted, a lot has been done. I think it's-- I was never thrilled to move forward with Local Law 59 because that meant we gave up something that we were expecting to happen for over a decade in our boroughs. But if we can get back there, that is really important. And if the receiving centers currently help us provide a vehicle for families to deal with, or individuals who find pets lost, I think the public information about microchipping and

registrations and licenses has to continue. Because,
you know, hopefully the shelter is only accommodating
animals that an irresponsible individual dealt with.
Because I think most families love their pets and
want to keep them, and sometimes lose them and can't
find the. Because they're not able to identify the
owner or match the pet with the owner again. And the
Council is very helpful, you know, with capital
funding for improvements at facilities. I have never
gotten a call from the Department of Health and
Mental Hygiene to say, Hey, council member, we need
to put together \$10 million to upgrade XYZ facility.
Would you consider allocating some of your Reso A
money to it? [laughter] It's a resource. We are a
resource on many different levels that if we
collaborate the energy correctly, we can advance
agendas a great deal more effectively and efficiently
than if you're sitting there doing your thing, and
I'm sitting doing mine. I think it's important for
us to understand the needs of the system, and be
partners to help you improve it. Rather than
criticize you all the time for you not doing what we
all expect you to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: No, it's--you

know, individually and the speaker we can organize an

effort. We've done it for the Health and Hospitals

Corporation. We've done it for the Office of the

2.2

23

24

2.2

Medical Exar	miner.	You na	me it.	When w	we've	had	those
conversation	ns, we'	ve been	very s	successi	ful in	get	ting
things done							

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: And I did want to acknowledge that the Council has supported AC&C this year at a higher level than it has previously. And so, we're really grateful for that, and I'm sure AC&C is as well. So we will reach out to the Queens Delegation to talk about, you know, if there are any hints as to property that may be—meet the qualifications or the criteria that Mario mentioned. And we'll certainly be in touch, and thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: And I don't want it to be lost on the fact that we truly appreciate the work that you do. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you, Council Member Arroyo. Before I go to Council Member Miller, I want to just understand what was the deal breaker when you were negotiating for the Queens facility that had been approved by the Local Community Board, and been approved by the Department of City Planning? What caused it to fall through?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: My understanding is that the asking price exceeded what

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DCAS felt the value of the property was. So it was just not a deal that the City was prepared to make.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Okay. We were joined earlier by Council Members Espinal and Koo.

We are now joined by Council Members Eugene and Miller, and I want to turn it over to Council Member Miller.

COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you for this very important hearing that we're having this morning. So being a Queens resident who often takes his pets to East New York and my constituents do the same, and they travel throughout the city. And myself like Council Member Vallone have been asking to have a shelter in the borough for quite some time. And I do agree very much so with my colleagues from the Bronx that there is a partnership here that is available if, in fact, one is willing to take advantage of that partnership. But my statement is that it appears that there's been certain impediments place before attaining this property or this facility that just-- It appears that the standards are unattainable.

As you said when you read--when you articulated some of these standards that some of the

2.2

things that you were looking for. Some of the areas, the costs, and some of the things that— And how would it advance communities? How would it have access to public transportation, and all that. I think that at some point we're trying to put a square peg in a round hole that we have to just figure out what it is that we have, and what our needs are and work around that. There is no perfect situation, but there is absolutely a need that we need to kind of put— We're not lowering the bar, but certainly we want it that we can create—provide the services that we're looking for.

And when you have council members and community boards that are willing to work with you, I think that that's a partnership well worth really taking us up on. So, I would hope that we could modify our standards so that next year we're not having the same conversation. But there certainly is a need. In lieu of that then we have pets on the street, and no one wants to see that. And certainly people want to be able to address their pet needs in the most humane way possible. But short of having facilities, they're left with no options. So, you know, we're working hard. There has been a lot of

2.2

2	conversation. Far more conversation than one would
3	believe around animal shelters in the Council here,
4	and we would hope that And it's just crossing all
5	lines in all communities, and we don't want to be
6	left out any longer. So we're willing to work with

you in anyway we can. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So thank you,

Council Member Miller. So, we have to be out of this

room by 1:00 p.m. and we still have AC&C and many

advocates that have to testify. So, I'm going to

just read a couple of questions, and you don't have

to answer them today. But I would appreciate a

written response from DOHMH.

So, what is DOHMH's position on TNR, a Trap-Neuter-Return?

 $\label{eq:what is you assessment of the current} \\ \text{board governance at AC&C?} \\$

What changes need to be made at a corporate level to improve governance operations, and the mission of the organization?

Are there other models for other than receiving centers to provide facilities that are not quite full animal shelters that do more than just receive animals picked up in the field? I think

- 2 Council Member Vallone was alluding to that before.
- 3 You know, we talk about drop-off, the sheltering of
- 4 animals, counseling at drop-off space, adoptions, and
- 5 | veterinary services. Is there an in-between model
- 6 | between a receiving site and a full-service shelter
- 7 | that you think would work in certain places?
- 8 So, I just want to wrap up with this.
- 9 really appreciate your testimony. I really
- 10 | appreciate the \$8.5 million, though, I'm really
- 11 confused by the budget numbers, and the out years
- 12 | when it's being phased in, and how long it will take
- 13 to achieve these commitments. It looks like it's
- 14 going to take way too long if the numbers go by what
- 15 | the document says in the Capital Plan. So I think we
- 16 have to talk about that to figure that out together.
- 17 And then I think you've heard resoundingly not just
- 18 | from the 33 members that have signed onto Council
- 19 Member Vallone's piece of legislation, but also from
- 20 the members here today there is pretty wide and deep
- 21 | support for this legislation. And we are willing as
- 22 a Council to fight on our side for the resources
- 23 necessary to both fill the capital commitments to
- 24 | achieve full-service shelters in all five boroughs.
- 25 While simultaneously ensuring that we move forward so

that operationally the money exists to actually run
those facilities in the best way possible for those

4 animals.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And then lastly, I mean I should have said this in the opening, and it's sort of a longer conversation. But one I think is important to have, is AC&C is amazing, and we've acknowledged the incredible work Risa and her staff have done. But I also think that with the increased funding that DOHMH has shown that you outlined, Dan, this is a basic service that city must provide. Like sanitation, like fire, like police. Taking care of our animals is a basic thing that a municipality must do. And so, my fear is that when we get some increased funding on dog licenses, whether it's \$1 million, \$2 million, \$3 million that that money goes to the things we're talking about. And that DOH's commitment hasn't come down, and the \$3 million doesn't come up. We should be providing even more money, and I'm happy with the increase. believe that this is a basic service, and that we should not because we gave close to \$300,000 to AC&C to do fundraising, which is great, and I'm glad they're going to do it.

That should not take the City off the
hook to show up and provide the money, and services
that are necessary and required. So I don't want that
\$300,000 to then For us to now say, Okay, they're
doing \$300,000 in development to pay for that type of
work, and now the City is going to cut back on our
commitment because we gave them the money to do
fundraising. I don't want that to happen. So, I
want us to continue to have a conversation how do we
ideally meet the needs of all the animals, and to
have the most humane city possible? By the City
continuing to step up more and more and more to
provide facilities, to provide services, to do the
panoply of things to have the most humane city
possible. Because the goal is to eventually have a
no-kill system. That's the goal. And so, we can't
get there unless we have fully functioning shelters
in all five boroughs. So, I just want to try to
shift the mentality away from it has to be contracted
out to a non-profit provider. They're great and I
don't want the City to step back from it'sin my
mindrequirement to provide these services. And I
think it was important to say that.

2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: Well, thank
3	you. I mean I will get you answers to your questions
4	in writing. But I just want to acknowledge your
5	closing remarks because we agree. This is a basic
6	service. It's an obligation that the City has to its
7	citizens and to the animals. It'sI will tell you
8	with certainty that the intent of providing some
9	start-up money for fundraising in no way is to reduce
10	the City's obligation for funding.
11	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: [interposing] I'm
12	really happy to hear that.
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KASS: So it is not
14	intended to be a kind of cost shift in any way.
15	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: That's great.
16	Thank you both for your testimony. We are next going
17	to have the many times aforementioned Risa Weinstock
18	from Animal Care and Control, the Executive Director
19	of AC&C.
20	[pause]
21	CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: You may start,
22	Risa.
23	RISA WEINSTOCK: Do you want to swear me
24	in?

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Nope, you're not 3 part of the Administration.

RISA WEINSTOCK: Okay. I promise to tell the whole truth anyway. [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: You're independent.

RISA WEINSTOCK: Yes, we are. Okay.

Good morning, Chairman Johnson and members of the Health Committee. My name is Risa Weinstock. I'm the Executive Director and General Counsel of Animal Care and Control of New York City. Thank you for the opportunity to testify at today's oversight hearing concerning our recent progress, and opportunities for improvement in the New York City Animal Shelter System. AC&C has made marked progress over the last several years, as a result of City Council's passage of Local Law 59 in 2011. I want to thank the Council for its support of AC&C, and the significant increase in our funding that was made possible by that law.

In Fiscal 2011, AC&C's budget was \$7.1 million, and over the course of the last four years, it's increased to more than \$13 million in contracting funding from the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. The additional funding enabled AC&C to make necessary improvements to its operations;

2.2

restore and expand services; increase staff; add new positions; and new departments; replace equipment and supplies with better products; and devote more resources and staff to the animals in our care. All of these enhancements have helped AC&C increase live outcomes of New York City's shelter animals; attract quality candidates for employment; attract more charitable contributions and funding for capital improvements; and overall become a better organization.

So by way of background for the Council members who are not that familiar with AC&C, we are the largest animal sheltering system in the Northeastern United States. Our mission is to protect and promote the health, safety, and welfare of pets and people in the New York City. We are unique among all other animal welfare organizations in the city in that we are the only organization that accepts every animal brought to it. Whether the animal has been abandoned, surrendered, found as a stray, brought in by the public or NYPD or our field rescue team. And regardless of age, health status, breed, species or condition. As the only open admission animal sheltering organization in New York

2.2

City, our annual intake exceeds that of any limited admission facility or other shelter in all five boroughs.

In calendar year 2014, we took in over 35,000 animals, including more than 30,000 cats and dogs or roughly 95 animals per day. Established in 1995, and incorporated in New York State as a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit organization, AC&C has been dedicated to rescuing, caring for, and finding loving homes for these homeless and abandoned animals for 20 years. Under a contract with the City of New York and DOH, we operate five facilities, one in each borough. Of these five locations, three are full-service animal care centers located in Manhattan, Brooklyn, and Staten Island, and two are receiving centers located in the Bronx and in Queens.

Again, we are the only organization that receives and accepts animals of all kinds at each of these locations. Not just dogs, cats or rabbits, but also snakes, birds, reptiles, and various farm animals. In addition to sheltering animals, AC&C providers a multitude of services to people and animals in New York City including counseling individuals upon intake with the hope of offering

2.2

safe, viable alternatives to surrendering a pet to
the shelter. Providing medical services upon in
take, and when an animal leaves the shelter including
examination, treatment, vaccination, spay/neuter,
licensing and microchipping. Providing daily
behavior enrichment; and seeking placement for
animals with the support of foster volunteers; New
Hope partners; returning animals to their owners
through lost and found efforts; and adoptions
directly to the public.

With the assistance of our Call Center,

AC&C Field Services pick up stray dogs; respond to
emergencies; transport animals from the receiving
centers to the full-service are canters several times
per day; transport animals that have placement or
require special medical attention beyond AC&C's
resources; and respond to calls from the public
needing assistance with an animal or concerning
animals in need or distress. AC&C receives
tremendous support from the private sector as well.
We are truly grateful everyday for the exceptional
generosity of our dedicated volunteers, donors and
partners. As a 501(c)(3) organization, we're able to
establish partnerships, and solicit private donations

2.2

and grants to provide animal enrichment, medical care and placement beyond the service articulated under the contract with the City.

In 2014, financial contributions exceeded \$1.5 million. This figure is in addition to the tremendous support received in in-kind donations of goods and services. AC&C could not achieve the results we have seen today without the breadth and public and private support. We are committed to continue on this trajectory of progress, which is essential to grow further public and private support. So that we can enhance our efforts and ability to drive up the live release rate of the animals in our care.

So let me just get to some progress since
Local Law 59. Since the passage of the bill, AC&C
has added almost 100 new staff. We've grown from 139
full-time employees in January 2011 to over 235
currently. This increase in staffing remains
essential in order to provide a higher level of care
for the animals, and services throughout the city.
Staff has been added throughout the organization
across all departments and locations. Additionally,
new departments were created such as the Admissions

2.2

Department to focus on surrender prevention, and enhance the information we receive about an animal at intake. An Adoption Department with staff exclusively dedicated to work on placing animals through public adoptions; a robust and Behavior and Enrichment Department that has tripled in size; dedicated client services staff and management; and seasoned development and communications professionals.

Prior to these improvements, every transaction began at a front counter regardless of why a member of the public was coming to AC&C. We plan to continue our growth in staff through Fiscal 2018 to increase the human-to-animal ratio especially during the spring and summer months when our intakes can swell to 2,000 animals per month. We will also add full-time adoption counselors to the Bronx and Queens receiving centers. Adoption staff dedicated to off-site mobile adoption events to reflect the addition of four mobile adoption centers over the next years. And an additional development and communications staff to focus on support from foundations, corporations, major donors, and brand marketing to drive adoptions.

with respect to our nours or operation
and the requirements of Local Law 59, AC&C met or
exceeded those requirements on or before the
deadlines. I summarized real quickly, but just
briefly. Our full-service care centers in Manhattan,
Brooklyn and Staten Island are open seven days a week
from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. Embedded in those hours
are adoption services, which as of March 1, we will
have added one hour additional adoption times so that
adoptions will take place Monday through Friday from
12:00 to 8:00 and Saturday and Sunday from 10:00 a.m.
to 6:00 p.m. Intakes we are open 8:00 a.m. to 8:00
p.m. seven days a week, and plus the Manhattan Care
Center is open to the public and NYPD for intakes
24/7. Our Admissions Department currently is open
from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. seven days a week in
Manhattan and Brooklyn, and 11:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Saturday through Wednesday in Staten Island. Our
goal for Fiscal Year 2016 is to have those hours
extended from 8:00 to 8:00 p.m. seven days a week at
all care centers and the receiving centers.

Our Field Services also available 8:00 to 8:00 seven days a week and 24/7 for emergencies. Our Call Center is 8:00 to 8:00 seven days a week, and

2.2

the Bronx and Queens receiving centers are 8:00 to 8:00 seven days a week.

In calendar year 2014, AC&C took in over 35,000 animals, like I said before. Of this number, 30,118 were cats and dogs compared to 28,907 in the previous year. In response to the upward trend of pet surrender, we created an Admissions Department in June 2014. We now offer surrender prevention counseling; strategies and resources to help an individual keep their pet. In just six months, we were able to prevent 367 dogs and cats from entering the shelter system. We will build on the success by adding more staff, and growing a network of resources we can offer with a goal of preventing at least another 700 animals from being surrendered this year.

With respect to animal outcomes, AC&C is very often asked how many days do you keep an animal before euthanasia? There is absolutely no prespecified time period that we keep animals prior to euthanasia. There is, however, a legal mandate regarding the length of time an animal must remain unavailable for placement to the public or the rescue community. AC&C will hold animals as long as possible based on a multitude of factors including

2.2

placement options; the animal's health and behavior; observations from staff and volunteers; and vital information obtained from the previous caregiver; as well as the resources from the care center at the given time. Throughout the time animals are with us, we are working on placement plans and options.

Indeed, we currently have several cats and dogs just waiting for someone to adopt them, Santiago being one of them. [laughs] And have been in our care more than a month or even longer. It is our goal to find placement for every animal that is healthy, or may have a treatable condition through continual improvement and assessment of programmatic results.

Like many shelters across the United

States, AC&C has started tracking its progress in
saving the lives of all healthy and treatable animals
by measuring its live release rate. In 2014, AC&C

achieved a live release rate of 80.7% for cats and
dogs. And I'm very pleased to report that in January
2015, our live release rate for cats and dogs was
88.8%. The 2014, monthly live release rate data
shows clearly that AC&C has achieved great success in
managing its dog population. With a live release
rate at 80% or higher throughout the year. The

greater challenge is managing the staggering number
of cats and their litters that enter the shelter
through the summer through fall. Working in consort
with other shelters, the ASPCA, our New Hope
partners, foster volunteers, DOH and other animal
welfare organizations and direction additional
resources and programs targeting the cat
overpopulation in New York City, we are hopeful that
at a subsequent oversight hearing we will report
marked improvement in our live release rate for cats
during these critical months. But please note AC&C
will not fall back on our efforts to place more dogs
as well. As I said, our goal in the coming years is
to find placement for every healthy and treatable
animal in our care.

The strong partnerships AC&C has cultivated and maintained with our New Hope partners and the excellent animal rescue work these groups do to find new homes for animals are essential components for finding placement for the animals AC&C takes in. Over the last four years, we have grown the number in our New Hope Department and substantially increased the resources dedicated to make this program successful. We have more than 10

Queens in particular.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

staff who have cultivated a pool of 289 New Hope

partners, which resulted in over 15,000 animals

leaving AC&C. AC&C also adopted out more than 6,000

cats and dogs directly to the public, and is

optimistic that adoptions directly to the public will

increase moving forward as we add three additional

mobile adoption centers for use in the Bronx and

We are grateful to State Senator Jeff Klein for the funding to purchase staff and operate one of these vehicles exclusively in the Bronx, and to the City and DOH for additional capital funding to purchase another two mobile adoption centers. Progress and growth has been steady and constant since Local Law 59. Essential programs and staff have been added to help keep the animals in our care as happy and healthy as possible, and to improve live outcomes. Some of the milestones that we've We've added a medical director and senior achieved: veterinary manager, who ensure that as soon as animals arrive they're vaccinated against common diseases of concern in the shelter environment. are given a screening medical exam to identify additional health concerns that require further

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

attention or treatment through a generous grant from the ASPCA. All dogs are also vaccinated against canine influenza upon intake.

Our Foster Department staff cultivated over 278 foster volunteers who graciously opened their homes to 999--998 animals in need of individual attention in 2014. Over 25,000 volunteer hours were amassed by 636 volunteers walking dogs; socializing cats; tending to rabbits; participating in off-site adoption and awareness events; allowing AC&C to expand our capacity to provide routine enrichment for animals; increase adoptions; recruit more fosters and being AC&C ambassadors in the community. State-ofthe art cat condos, dog kennels and rabbit cases have replaced older housing, and our Behavior Enrichment Department grew to 24 full-time staff to focus on behavior and training; daily dog play groups; and more detailed evaluation of dog behavior. additional capital funding from DOH, we added 12 new vehicles for rescue transport to fosters and New Hope partners. Most recently, the City has committed an additional \$8.5 million in capital funding to build an adoption center were the garage is now located at the Manhattan Care Center. Undertake HVAC and other

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

facility improvements at our Brooklyn Center; the addition of two mobile adoption centers; and funding to expand and support a Professional Experience Development Department.

With respect to Intro 485, AC&C is thankful for the support of the Council and is committed to continued improvement of the entire organization. We would welcome the opportunity to improve our services to New York City with an additional two shelters in the Bronx and Queens, especially state-of-the-art facilities that address some of the inherent constraints of our current buildings. However, it is essential to also have adequate funding to support the many departments and staff that I just laid out, which are required to care for and find homes for 30,000 plus animals. Finding or building new facilities without adequate funding to sustain a level of performance that is at a minimum equate to where AC&C is now, would simply set up AC&C or any other vendor to fail. We urge the Council to consider the costs associated with making New York City's Animal Sheltering System premier. Not just the buildings. Before voting on a mandate that has no funding to sustain, and more importantly

2.2

improve the live release rate in Animal Welfare

Services in New York City. Thank you for the

opportunity to testify today, and I am happy to take

any questions.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Well, that was impressive. [laughter] That was impressive. I mean you have been doing incredible work, and all of the laudatorial remarks that you and your staff has received I think are worthy. Because the amount of animals that you all take in [clears throat] is overwhelming to think of on a piece of paper. But in real life I'm sure it's even more overwhelming and challenging. So I want to, you know, thank you for the incredible improvements that you've been able to instituted in your time as Executive Director. I know part of the reason you've been able to do that is because of an increase in resources and increase in funding.

RISA WEINSTOCK: And I have to say the increase in funding really allowed us to grow the level of professional qualified individuals who come to work at AC&C. And we actually are in a position now where we have more than three qualified candidates for positions that we seek to fill. And

2.2

so this doesn't happen alone, and while I'm grateful for the acknowledgement, we have an unbelievable team, many of whom are here today. And they all work tirelessly. We are always available just like our shelters are always available 24/7. So is our staff, and they have done a tremendous job, and have really stepped up performance in the last several years.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Well, thank you, and thank you to anyone who's here that works at AC&C for your service and dedication and work. I have a few questions. So at the end of your testimony, Risa, you talked about that you'd welcome the opportunity to have two facilities, but that it is important that you not be set up for failure by not having the adequate resources to actually maintain services at those potential sites. Could you talk a little bit more about what the benefits are to having a full-service shelter in every borough? And what the challenges would be in having a full-service shelter in every borough.

RISA WEINSTOCK: Sure. I want to go back to something that you mentioned when talking to Dan Kass and Mario Merlino about is there something in between that should be considered? And I think

2	that's an excellent idea because as youas I laid
3	out, we have a tremendous number of services. And
4	having two additional facilities we may not need to
5	have every single service in every single borough.
6	But as long as we provide it as an organization that
7	would be helpful. So yesterday when Council Member
8	Vallone came to the shelter, what he saw were cages
9	in the hallways. Manhattan has wider hallways than
10	Brooklyn and then Staten Island. So while the
11	capacity for the number of cages in Brooklyn is
12	larger, Manhattan actually houses more animals
13	because we are able to put them in the hallways. And
14	so, another facility enables us to provide more room,
15	more housing. We haverecently, we responded to
16	various calls from the community to help out with a
17	truck that turned over in Staten Island. There were
18	75 chickens. We just had several roosters come into
19	the shelter. I mean animals that you don't
20	anticipate on a regular basis. Which then challenges
21	our space issues. And so, we have a tremendous
22	number of rabbits right now that we're holding for
23	legal reasons. And so, what we did is we moved cats
24	into the hallway to accommodate the rabbits. So that
25	they're a less stressful environment than a hallway.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

So there is constant movement of animals, and you car
never predict how many are going to come in at what
time. So I feel having another facility where we car
spread that space would be very helpful. With
respect to adoptions, we anticipate doing more robust
adoptions in both the Bronx and Queens with mobile
adoption centers. And I think we have to see how
those numbers play out, but the clearly the garage
the new garage is reallywe want to focus on being a
premier adoption center in New York City.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: [interposing] And we need it done as soon as possible not in 2018 or 2021, right.

RISA WEINSTOCK: [laughs] I agree.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Yes.

[background comment]

RISA WEINSTOCK: And then with respect

19 to--

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]

21 Overruled on the applause. [laughter]

RISA WEINSTOCK: --the adoption--the adoption--the adoption center in Manhattan, that's going to free up space. So, you know, I think like we really need to analyze what--where are all our

2.2

animals going? So we're--we are creating an opportunity through the garage to free up space in the Manhattan shelter to sort of expand our medical services. To have better isolation for animals. But having two new facilities also opens up the possibility of starting fresh with state-of-the-art isolation facilities, and housing that might be better equipped to address about the volume of animals that we have and how to keep disease from spreading throughout the shelters.

it over to Council Member Vallone in a moment. I just want to understand what your assessment is of the receiving sites in the Bronx and Queens. To what extend to you feel like they're meeting the goals from Local Law 59? And in what areas do they fall short, and what is the condition of both receiving sites. How can they be improved?

RISA WEINSTOCK: So the goals of Local
Law 59 with respect to the entire organization were
met. Local Law 59 required the receiving centers to
be open 12 hours a day, 7 days a week. And as you
recall, before Local Law 59 and because of a budget
reduction, we had the Queens receiving center open

for two days a week, and the Bronx Receiving Center
open only three days per week. As a result, we're
seeing more animals surrendered to both of those
locations. More so in the Bronx than in Queens. The
receiving centers themselves as far as the condition,
the Bronx Receiving Center is actually a nice piece
of property. It has sufficient amount of room for
client intake. And the goal for us is to do the
admission counseling in the receiving centers.
Ideally, that's where you want it. That's where we
want to talk to people about the opportunity to
prevent the surrender. We do have staff there now
who do intakes and who have basicsome basic
knowledge about how to talk about surrendering
prevention options. But we want a robust department
in both of those facilities. And in the Bronx
there's room for that. It's a little bit larger. Ir
Queens, it's a very limited space. It's very small,
and we metwe've been working with the City to
identify other spaces that's certainly larger where
we can just spread out a little bit. It's going to
be difficult to do adoption counseling in Queens, but
we're going to do it. Because that is an area where
we've seen it yields results. So our goal is to

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

prevent 150 surrenders in the first year that we created this department and less than six months it was over 300 that were prevented. So there's definitely that service that's--

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: [interposing]
That's amazing.

RISA WEINSTOCK: --that needs to be--Yeah, so you can see the community needs that service. Surrender does not have to be your only option, and it's incumbent on us to just get that program into the receiving centers regardless of what size they are. We will make it happen. And as you saw, Council Member Vallone, yesterday in every nook and cranny we make things happen. So, you know, if you fund us, we will spend it wisely. We are not going to waste a nickel, and we will find a place for everything. It's our goal to get out as many animals as possible. Every healthy animal, every treatable animal. And so, more space, more money. Whatever you want to give us, we will use it, and we will use it to the best advantage possible. The goal is to save more animals.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So, I look forward to working with Council Member Vallone and Council

2.2

Member Arroyowho just leftin pushing for Reso A
money from individual members to try to actually come
up with a good amount of money to fund some of the
things you need besides this \$8.5 million, until
we're able to achieve what this legislation seeks to
do. We've been joined by Majority Leader Van Bramer,
and I want to turn it over to Council Member Vallone.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, I'm going to give--I'm going to let Jimmy Van Bramer take some time, because I know you've been waiting. But before I turn it over, I'm also reminded of the 12 days of Christmas when you're going through all the animals that you have: Four calling birds, three French hens; two turtle doves, and a partridge. You left out the 170 rabbits that came in the other night.

RISA WEINSTOCK: Yeah.

amazing, and I think one of the many lessons my
mother taught me, not only for animal advocacy is to
observe and to listen. Especially for those who are
doing such wonderful things, everyone in this room.
And I think for every council member if you can get
to one of these shelters and to these sites, just one
hour really does change what you are doing, and what

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

we can do to help. And like we had said to the Department of Health and the folks up here. You know, we want to partner to make this happen. We don't want to create another unfunded mandate. We don't want to set you backwards. But I am passionate to make this happen because sometimes the timing is right, and I feel with your vision and with the programs and the team that's in place, it just feels like it's right for this to happen. So that being said, I will address some of the questions that I have after the Majority Leader has some time.

want to say thank you to Council Member Vallone whose family has long been passionate about this issue.

And, thank him for his work, and obviously our Chair Council Member Johnson for his work on this issue.

I just wan to say you're wonderful. I have had a privilege to be on this committee for a number of years, and have you testify a number of times. And I think you're doing a great job, and I'm signing onto the legislation. We need the full-service shelters.

I do want to seriously encourage you to look at Long Island City if you're looking for additional space.

We do have a lot of space, and there are a lot of

2	opportunities in Long Island City. So I hope your
3	teamshould everything fall into place will look
1	west, and go west in Queens, and find an opportunity
5	there. But really, I just want to thank Council
ó	Member Vallone who is continuing a very proud and
7	distinguished tradition. And is so passionate about
3	the thing she believes in, and I have great respect
9	and admiration for him. So I just want to say thank

you, and I fully support you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Well, Majority

Leader, your signing on brings us up to 34 sponsors.

So, thank you. That's great and thank you for being here today, and for your support. We really, really appreciate it. Risa, I have some more questions. So, you testified that just now that you really want to add admissions counselors to the Bronx and Queens receiving centers. Do these facilities have the capacity for those services?

RISA WEINSTOCK: They do. The capacity in terms of space--

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: [interposing]

Space.

RISA WEINSTOCK: --for people? They do.

Bronx certainly has room to make that counseling

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

private. What we did is we reconfigured the front areas of the Brooklyn and Manhattan shelters so that there are private counseling rooms. So it's a oneon-one. There's no shame. There's no judgment. You come in and you can tell your story, and most times people -- You know, and they have boxes in Kleenex in there because it's a very emotional conversation. The Bronx I think we can make that. We can add. There's some space to play with where we can make it a private area. In Queens again it's a very, very limited space, but if we can fix something, we will do it. It will be very small, but it's not--we don't need you to stay there for an hour. It's not an interrogation. It's just like here are some services. How can we help you? And get people out on their way. So it will be a challenge, but we'll make it happen.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: And can AC&C add adoption services to the receiving centers without needing all the services that would make them full-service shelters?

RISA WEINSTOCK: That becomes a little tough, but we would be really open-minded about that. The reason why it's tough is that you will need a

Right.

medical department and medical suite if we're doing
options in these other facilities. Because someone
will come in, and the animals that are available for
adoption the shelter many of them are not already
spayed or neutered because we don't think it's fair
to put an animal through that if they're not going to
get placed. So, someone comes in. They select a
pet. They do the adoption, and then we set up an
appointment for the animal to be altered that day at
the shelter, and then you come back and you pick them
up. It adds a little bit of stress to then have to
take an animal that's available for adoption from
Queens and take them back to a full-service shelter
to have to bring them back. Also, that driving back
and forth increases cross-contamination
CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: [interposing]

RISA WEINSTOCK: -- of animals. So it's probably better to have it all in one place. But that being said, with the additional vehicles that we have, some of the things that we are thinking about with the mobile adoption center is possibly not all--We usually have--the animals that are on the mobile adoption vehicle are altered. So that you come on

2.2

the vehicle. You pick out your animal and you go
home with them. But if we could promote more
adoptions and not have to pre-alter these animals, we
are considering we'll take them back to the shelter
or origin and have them altered. And then, we will
deliver them to the person who came to the mobile
event. So that if there's not a full-service shelter
in your community, we will somehow make sure that we

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: And are there any current requirements whether by law or by contract from the city that you see as being unnecessary? Any of the current obligations that you have to meet that you think that don't make sense for what you do operationally that we could improve.

make it easier for those individuals to adopt a pet.

 $\label{eq:RISAWEINSTOCK:} \mbox{I feel like this is a} \\ \mbox{leading question.}$

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: No it's not. [laugher] It's not a leading question.

RISA WEINSTOCK: That we could—— Well, listen, we would love not to hold stray cats for three days. You know, a kitten that comes in who loses a kitten? In my opinion. That's me. That's a challenge. The law immediately it's at least three

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

days that we have to figure out did someone claim

you? Do you belong to somebody? The longer--as

someone mentioned earlier--the longer an animal stays

5 | in the shelter--

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: [interposing] It gets sick.

RISA WEINSTOCK: -- the greater the risk of getting sick. The greater the risk that they become at risk of euthanasia. Getting back to how long do you wait until they're euthanized? We can't even talk about placement until those three days are over. And then if an animal has some identifying tag or a license or a microchip, it's by law our job to search for seven days to find that person. So we'll send a certified letter. Many times people don't register their microchips. So we're sending a letter or we're calling a phone number that's no longer in service. But we're still using those seven to ten days. You know, our goal is to get -- we want to reunited people with their pets, but that's a challenge. So I would love not to have to hold animals for the full three days if it's clearly a stray animal. But that's a legislative--a legislative issue. You know, I need to think a little bit more--

And we also have turnover in the Medical Department.

There's a real shortage of licensed vet techs. And
there is a burnout rate. There are a lot of animals
coming into medical to deal with, and we often see
medical staff both licensed vet techs and vets leave
for private practice or for another animal welfare
organization that is not taking in 30,000 a year.
That has a much more manageable and limited
admission. And so that's the real struggle. And,
you know, we've looked at this issue for three or
four years now, and we realize this is the nature of
the beast. So we are always recruiting. Our goal
actually is to always over-recruit. So certainly in
medical and with animal care workers we don't have a
number. It's okay to have a few more because we know
in the summer, for example, it gets really intense.
It gets very, very busy, and we know people are going
to start to burn out. And we don't want our staff to
burn out. So what helps is to have additional staff
available. So we're always trying to find the right
number, but truthfully, there's not a right number.
We'll always have a very busy HR and recruitment
process

2.2

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: What has AC&C done
to make the people of the Bronx and Queens aware that
field services are available in their communities?

RISA WEINSTOCK: Well, we have--you can
call 311, if you have any question. The receiving
centers have all of the hours posted. You can go on

9 don't think they need to know it's AC&C. They just

our website. If anybody really required services, I

10 pick up the phone and call 311 or 911, if it's an

11 | emergency, and our field is dispatched.

ask is, you know, each council member sends out weekly emails, newsletters. We go to Community Board events. We hand out literature. If you all wanted to provide a flyer for us, maybe specifically in the Bronx and Queens where it's more challenging, where members could send it out to community boards. Give it out to tenant associations and specific associations. That could maybe help increase the awareness to the public of the services that are provided.

RISA WEINSTOCK: Oh, absolutely, and what we've started to do is contact council members when our mobile adoption vehicle is going to be in your

2.2

district. And I know that you have both posted it in
your newsletter. We're also going to work with

Council to post our job openings to make sure that
we're, you know, pulling from all five boroughs, and

6 getting our needs out there.

know that the receiving centers, as you mentioned in your testimony, have expanded their hours, as mandated by Local Law 59. I would similarly ask that you try to get that information out there through local organizations, community groups, community board, elected officials' offices so that people know that hours actually have been expanded.

RISA WEINSTOCK: And I think that

partnered with that message would be that we have

admission or pet surrender counseling services.

Because it's sort of a tricky thing to do. We really

don't want to advertise here's a place where you can
-there's more hours available for you to surrender

your pet. We also want to make lost and found more

available at those—at those areas, too. So there's

a bigger message than just our hours are longer, and

I agree with you. We'll take advantage of that

opportunity.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: And lastly, what are the benefits of having one facility open 24 hours a day for intake? Should there be limitations to intake during off hours?

RISA WEINSTOCK: Going back to the value of the admissions process, it's really good to have a conversation with someone even if they are completely intent on surrendering their pet. What happens in the admissions process is we get really good vital information about that animal at that time. So in the middle of the night if someone is bringing an animal in, we're losing that opportunity. So I'm not--I don't think that that's the best use of our resources.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: That's why I asked.

RISA WEINSTOCK: However always being available for NYPD, and they come in 24/7, that is certainly a much needed service for the city. But surrendering a pet in the middle of the night. And I actually—I don't think we've had really many of those. But I would hate to lose the opportunity to counsel somebody, or to get really important information about who this pet is. Or, even if you—if it was stray, where did you find him? Was he

letters of interest. And also, the Board will reach

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

out if they want to, you know, identify certain

individuals who they think might be interested to be

on the board.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Find really good people. [laughter] I think there has been a lot of scrutiny, maybe sometimes fair. Maybe sometimes not fair and agita among advocates that in the past--I'm not talking about the current AC&C Board. there was a lot of I think anger directed at the Board in the past. And I think this is a great step in becoming more open, and maybe a little more independent at least for perception purposes. so, I think that this is a great opportunity to put individuals on the board that may not be allied with the administration or with the department, or with any stakeholders that have been deeply involved. But caring and dedicated and thoughtful experts in animal welfare and humane welfare. And so, I would encourage you to encourage the board to encourage the nominating committee to find two remarkable and exceptional candidates.

RISA WEINSTOCK: Will do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 RISA WEINSTOCK: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Just a couple quick things.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: [interposing] Well, it's early yet.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yeah, I know. gave it to Jimmy and he gave it up for me. [sic] What I'd--what I'd like to ask is just - I see your commitment to what we are--Bill 45, which was supporting and what we want to get done, and we're appreciative of that. And we will do everything we can to get those shelters and the funding, but then we're also dealt with today's reality of what we have and we can do to make that better. And I'm very appreciative for the additional millions that went in. So we know we're all here to fight for the shelters. But I think we also have to fight for what's--dealing with today, and today is I don't really have a functioning receiving shelter in Queens. And I don't think I want to walk out of this room without putting some type of emergency plan in place to deal with that. And with the funding be allocated especially a certain good percentage. I

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 know you're going to get back to us on that--on 3 what's happening in Queens.

We really have to focus on these two giant boroughs that are--could really benefit from your vision of what you've created already in the existing. And showing by what additional funds can do to create those benefits even from the meditational music that was in with the cats and the dogs to the more serious approach of the educational component. And I think with all the advocates you have in the room, if it's something we started was a senior task force where we put the same groups together fighting for seniors in the city. And we're coming with new legislation and new ideas and new funding. It's almost like we have our own little task force for animal advocacy here. And there are so many ideas and energy. We just want that to continue on what we can do to help you because you're leading the fight with 30,000 animals. And what we can do going forward to help the administration, and ideas and how to best use that money.

So I think that's something else I want to come out of today. I mean we even talked about an educational component. You know, we talked about how

to get the kids involved. And more interns to get
the students involved in receiving centers to have a
place where the future vets of the world where the
kids who are really interested like my daughter and
everyone else that want to help. That could spend
some time after school with your volunteers and your
staff to learn and help the parents. There are so
many good things that we can try to use. And I think
what I want to maybe hear from you now is what would
be your vision today to help I mean, let's assume
we find a facility, something that's more adequate.
Whether it's in Long Island City, the College Point
or wherever we're going to put it. What would be the
steps today that you would address to better the
receiving centers that are existing in Queens and the
Bronx?
RISA WEINSTOCK: Well, I think if we
found a facility

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing] Uh-huh.

RISA WEINSTOCK: --strictly for the 23 services that we're doing now?

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]

Right.

2.2

2	RISA WEINSTOCK: Not a full-service
3	shelter?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: No. I mea
5	that's what we want.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: --but we're not going to get that today.

RISA WEINSTOCK: [interposing] Right.

RISA WEINSTOCK: Right, right.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So, if we find a facility tomorrow to finally help Queens--well, Bronx has one. Queens really doesn't--in Queens to actually have a receiving center, what can we do to make that center better?

RISA WEINSTOCK: Well, by having more space, you certainly have more opportunity for the public to come in and to engage with us. We recently reached out to a not-for-profit organization that does humane education. We're really focusing in these next few years our strategy. We have to focus on the intake. The intake of surrendered pets is going up. That's a--that's a challenge. And so there's a lot of things that can be done about that, and I had mentioned we're going to work with the ASPCA. We're going to work with the City. We have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

other partners. So, humane ed is a big piece. want to partner with the outside organizations who have get that word out about spay and neuter. important it is for young adults to--who are-- Or even kids who are looking to adopt pets that they understand the importance of a pet overpopulation problem. That they understand that the shelter is the place where you really should go if you're going to change what's going on in New York City. spay/neuter is so important to help reduce the pet overpopulation. So I can see space for that as we partner with other organizations. Certainly space for the Admissions Department. And also right now where the pet--where the pet receiving center is located in Queens, the address is Queens Boulevard, but it's really not on Queens Boulevard. You have to go around the corner. And so, it just looks like this is what--just bring your pets here. So visually what I would love to see is it has our name, Animal Care and Control New York City, Adoption, Volunteer, Donate where we can provide service. All the different services. Information about the services that we provide. It just would be a better -- a better environment. It is a very, very tight space, and it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

has very limited capability to do anything right now but take in a few animals at a time. And it also required more transport from Queens. But, you know,

our field officers because it is small--

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing] It almost sounds like if we reduce or remove the medical component of the full animal shelter. Because that's somewhere, another type of compromise that we might be able to get to where these facilities than then be an adoption intake, educational, receiving combination center where either -- I don't know if it's possible. You could partner up with some of the partners for the medical procedures. So they have to be done there instead of going back to Manhattan or Brooklyn. So if someone does want like the mobile unit wants to adopt a pet, you have something similar now. Because those animals in the mobile units also are faced with a similar -- There is not a medical facility there. Couldn't you do something similar toward a mobile unit with those?

RISA WEINSTOCK: Yeah, we could. We could also-- You know, the space right now we're not allowed to keep--and correct me if I'm wrong Mario--we're not allowed to keep animals there overnight.

2.2

													actually	У
3	bee	en	a	cha	alle	nae	in	finding	the	right	spac	e.		

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: You're not allowed or you just don't have the ability--you don't have the facilities?

RISA WEINSTOCK: No, the lease doesn't-the lease does not permit it.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: It's the lease.

an issue. And we talk about this every other week.

It's on our agenda how are we doing in finding space for the Queens receiving center. Notwithstanding a possible full-service shelter because it's really important to have room to do more.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, we may have to work with the Department of City Planning for Special Permits then for these two centers.

RISA WEINSTOCK: And the other would also--

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]
We don't want to lose the ability in this fight
because of what we can't do because of the zoning
requirement.

2.2

2		RISA	WEINS	TOCK:	And	parking.	Parking	is
3	essential	because	e our	vehicl	e			

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]
You've got to put a loading zone in front.

RISA WEINSTOCK: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: So these are things we can handle. [laughs]

RISA WEINSTOCK: Because the Bronx has that. The Bronx has a loading area behind. There's a back entrance and our vehicles can bring animals in and out through the back. Not through the front where all the public are coming. So there's a lot of other issues in finding space that would be helpful. If we're looking, we sort of want the whole—— If it's not a full-service shelter, we're really looking for the whole package.

that's something maybe we can all talk about going forward. I think those are great ideas, and I think the last thing I want to know--because there are so many things I want to hear from the group before 1 o'clock--is what are the numbers from Queens and Bronx. Like of the 30,000 how many animals come from Queens? How many come from Bronx?

2	RISA WEINSTOCK: So there's two ways to
3	look at it. You can look at it as the dogs and cats
4	borough by origin. So, an animal may have been found
5	Manhattan. That's the borough of origin, but where
6	somebody brings him to surrender him or to say I
7	found a stray animal, that's a differentthat's a
8	different number. So, what we're seeing is in the
9	Bronx in 2014, 8,726 animals originated somewhere
10	from the Bronx. This is not where they
11	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]
12	Has that risen, declined, remained steady?
13	RISA WEINSTOCK: It has increased by
14	about 500.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That's a lot.
16	RISA WEINSTOCK: And this is not bringing
17	them into the Bronx Receiving Center. This is just
18	where they were found. so it's gone up by 500
19	animals. And in Queens
20	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]
21	How many of those 8,700 went to the Bronx Receiving
22	Center?
23	RISA WEINSTOCK: So I don't know how many
24	of those particular ones, but what I can tell you is
25	the Bronx Receiving Center received 4,624 animals in

have to address--

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing] 3 think those are the numbers--RISA WEINSTOCK: --the intake. 4 5 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: --that we have to remember why we're fighting for what we're 6 7 fighting for. I think those are--that's--that's--I'm not very happy that eight and six [sic] it went up 8 about 14 or 15,000 of the 30,000 animals are coming 9 from two boroughs that don't have shelters. I mean 10 that pretty much ends what we need to say. I mean 11 12 that's the unacceptable reality of what we're dealing 13 with is that half of the animals that you are mandated to care for are coming from boroughs that 14 don't have a shelter. So, and that's a very large--15 16 RISA WEINSTOCK: [interposing] I also--I 17 don't mean to interrupt. COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: But--but I mean 18 that's the reality of where we are, and I think 19 that's something we have to take from today is that 20 of all the achievements that you've accomplished from 21 2.2 what you've done it all goes back to the reason why

RISA WEINSTOCK: And let me say two thing about that. I think it also informs what we want to

we're having this hearing.

RISA WEINSTOCK: -- are coming from,

Brooklyn is the number one place.

24

So thank you again for your testimony. Before we go

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [laughs] I'm
3 teasing you. All right. So please introduce
4 yourself and turn the mic on.

THOMAS LUCANIA: Good afternoon, Chairman Johnson, Council Members Vallone and Cornegy. name is Thomas Lucania, and I'm the Director of Community Boards and Legislative Affairs for Bronx Borough President Ruben Diaz, Jr. And I'm here today to testify on his behalf in support of Intro 485. am in favor of the creation of a new full-service shelter of my home borough of the Bronx as well as well as one in my neighboring borough of Queens. many years we have heard the concerns of animal lovers and animal rights activists in my borough who have decried the lack of a full-service animal shelter in the Bronx. As has been noted numerous times, the lack of a full-service animal shelter in the Bronx has resulted in animals suffering in a variety of ways. As receiving centers do not provide shelter or medical care for homeless animals. Current shelters are not providing a lost and found for lost pets before they are euthanized. been noted that animals brought to these receiving centers in the Bronx and Queens are currently

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2	transported to a full-service shelter in the other
3	boroughs, which places incredible pressure on
4	existing facilities. These facilities already
5	operate beyond maximum capacity. This needs to end.
6	We can no longer accept such unequal treatment. I
7	urge the Committee on Health to pass legislation as
8	soon as possible. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you so much, and we love having the support of our dear friend in the Bronx Borough President Ruben Diaz, and I know Melinda Katz is also a good support. So it's nice to have a united front. Thank you very much.

> THOMAS LUCANIA: Thank you, sir.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Okay, our next panel is going to be the folks we just heard from not too long ago in the cold on the steps. [sic] Our ASPCA President and CEO, Matthew Bershadker, Gail Buchwald from the ASPCA and Jane Hoffman from the Mayor's Alliance for New York City Animals. And we'll kind of link it to three minutes, but we really want to hear from you guys [laughs]. So I won't be the one banging that gavel.

[pause]

MATTHEW BERSHADKER: Good morning.

2 CC

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: By the way, we've been joined by Council Member Robert Cornegy. Good morning, Councilman.

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: [interposing]

Good morning, sir.

MATTHEW BERSHADKER: My name is Matt Bershadker. I'm the President and CEO of the ASPCA. I'd like to thank Council Member Vallone for introducing this very necessary and long overdue legislation as well as Chairman Johnson and the other members of the Health Committee for the opportunity to testify in enthusiastic support for Intro 485. Which will require that a full-service animal shelter is established and maintained in every borough. As you know, this is not a new conversation. It's one that the City has struggled with for over a decade. The lack of full-service animal shelters in the Bronx and Queens has long been a source of frustration for its residents, the ASPCA and our animal welfare partners. Despite a law enacted in 2000 expressly mandating five full-service shelters and an effective date of 2006, these shelters were never built. Since then, the ASPCA has spent upwards of \$300 million on New York city-based services.

2	It's both remarkable and unconscionable
3	respectfully that we've been having this conversation
4	for 15 years. Indeed, lack of funding has been a
5	major obstacle to our joint efforts to save the
6	city's homeless animals. And with the passage of
7	Local Law 59 and much needed funding increases to
8	Animal Care and Control, we were able to mitigate
9	many of the problems AC&C was experiencing. The
10	restoration and expansion of New York Cityof Animal
11	Care and Control services as the first crucial step
12	in a long-term rebuilding process for the New York
13	City shelter system. Now, under the careful guidance
14	and strong leadership of Risa Weinstock, AC&C has
15	consistently made improvements that continue to drive
16	higher live release rates. And we are confident that
17	if the City properly invests in quality Animal Care
18	and Control programming throughout all five boroughs,
19	we will see unprecedented progress for our city's
20	most vulnerable residents. However, the need for
21	full-service shelters in the Bronx and Queens remains
22	dire. Presently, these boroughs only have receiving
23	centers, which do not provide shelter, medical, or

adoption services for homeless animals.

2	Instead, animals brought to these centers
3	must be transported to already over-taxed shelters in
4	Brooklyn and Manhattan. Which creates the
5	unnecessary problem of moving lost animals far from
6	the neighborhoods where they were found. This in
7	turn makes it harder for owners to find their pets.
8	For decades, the situation has short-changed
9	taxpayers, depriving them of basic municipal services
10	in their own community. Putting the problem in
11	perspective, if the Bronx and Queens were a single
12	city, their combined population of nearly 3.6 million
13	people would make them the third largest city in the
14	United States. Given the size and population of
15	these boroughs, it's inconceivable that in 2015, they
16	still do not have a shelter. Nearly all the members
17	of the Bronx and Queens Delegation agree at this
18	point and support dedicating city funds to build and
19	operate shelters in these boroughs. We urge the City
20	Council to pass and appropriately fund Intro 485. We
21	need to ensure that New Yorkers no matter where they
22	reside can access these very important community
23	services. We stand ready to work with AC&C and the
24	Department of Health and Mental Hygiene to support

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 2 the City through this substantial but needed change.
- 3 | Thank you very much.
- COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you. Go
 ahead.

GAIL BUCHWALD: Good morning. I'm Gail Buchwalk, Senior Vice President of the ASPCA's Adoption Center. I've been with the ASPCA for nearly 14 years, and have been actively involved in our New York City rescue and adoption work throughout. delivering testimony on behalf of my colleagues Julie Morris, Senior Vice President of Community Outreach, who could not be here today. The creation and sustainability of an effective Animal Care and Control program in New York City requires the collaboration of many groups including the ASPCA, the Mayor's Alliance for New York City's Animals and its over 150 plus rescue groups working daily in collaboration with AC&C. Our goal is to increase adoptions and transfers, increase the number of spay and neuter surgeries, reduce euthanasia, and reduce intake through Trap-Neuter-Return.

For all these groups to work effectively, and successfully, it requires Animal Care and Control be funded at levels at which it will be able to do

2.2

its job of providing adequate sheltering and care; adoption services; field services; and provision of all necessary services in all five boroughs. The hard work and collaboration of the partnership in conjunction with the positive change made by Local Law 59 has produced positive results for the City's homeless animals. Local Law 59 restored and expanded services provided by New York City Shelter System, and it provided AC&C with a much needed 77% budget increase. This allowed AC&C to improve the shelter system by hiring more staff, as we've heard today; expanding hours of operations for receiving centers in the Bronx and Queens; the Call Center; and emergency field rescue, all vital services.

This has resulted in increased adoptions as well as a greater ability to respond in a timely manner to animals whose lives are in jeopardy. We measure progress by using Live Release Rate statistics. Live Release Rate, as we heard from Risa earlier, refers to the number of animals leaving the shelter system alive. Whether through adoption, return to owner, or transferred to an agency where adoption is guaranteed. In 2003, AC&C had Live Release Rate of 26%, and the euthanasia rate was 61%.

2.2

As we heard from Risa, in January of 2015, the Live
Release Rate was 88.8%. So what this illustrates is
that we know how an investment can help Animal Care
and Control change things for the better and save
more lives.

However, there is still much work to be done. Establishing full-service shelters in each borough is an essential step to getting us to a place in which no adoptable animal dies. The funding levels established by Local Law 59 were a good start but, to take full advantage of the stakeholder partnerships and realize the vision for the Animal Care and Control System, New York City deserves further investment. Building full-service animal shelters in the Bronx and Queens would enable AC&C to save more lives and prevent animal suffering. The ASPCA will continue to work with the Council, AC&C, the New York City Department [bell] of Health. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I think you said something about the loss of adoptable animals.

That's the stuff that keeps you up at night. Every-for every adoptable animal that we can save, that we

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 are not, that's what we are about. But, Jane, I'm
3 sorry. But Jane, I'm sorry.

JANE HOFFMAN: I think that actually everyone who has testified before has really made all the points I wanted to make. I would just love the opportunity to just look back briefly and it's-- We started this in 2001, or I started it in 2001 without revealing how old I am. I was only 12 then. [laughter] And, you know, we've made so much progress, and I do feel like I said earlier we're at a point where I think--and I think you expressed it as well--we are perhaps ready to make this leap. just--to full-service shelters in all boroughs, which is all that we all wanted from the very beginning. We did what we needed to do with Local Law 59. think it allowed the AC&C to reach an operational competency level that really put them in a place to deliver better services and resources. I just want to make sure we do this carefully, that we don't do something in the law that takes away inadvertently. Like if we remove the receiving center hours of operation, and just put we have to have full service shelters, I want to do this in a thoughtful way like everyone has been saying. Let's look at the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

legislation really hard to accomplish what we want, and let us work with you and the Administration. And I'm heartened by the--the breadth and depth of the commitment of the council members to this. We need to do this. We're ready to do this. You know, the ASPCA has put enormous services and resources. Alliance has and all its members. We helped start the new Health Department at ACC. We're ready for this step, but let's do it thoughtfully. Let's make sure we have state-of-the-art shelters or it's not worth doing. We need to be state-of-the-art. We are the center of the universe. We need to have shelters that reflect that fact. Queens, the Bronx are fabulous boroughs. They need the services, and resources that the other boroughs have been receiving. We need to do it, but let's think about this. Let's make sure the Administration is committed to doing it. That DOHMH is committed to do it the right way, and I think we can do this. We have--we are now at a place where the ASPCA, the 150 members of the Mayor's Alliance, the ACC, we can do this.

And if I could just do a brief shout out to Melissa Webber, the Director of Operations at ACC.

of a million people. The city invests about \$10

- 2 million into their municipal services, one shelter.
- 3 We're a city of 8-1/2 million people. The City
- 4 | invests \$13 million. That proportion seems off to
- 5 me. It seems to me that we should be investing a
- 6 whole lot more into what's been describe I think very
- 7 | appropriately as a basic municipal service. It is,
- 8 as was said earlier, I think by Chairman Johnson the
- 9 same as Fire, Police, Sanitation. We need to do this
- 10 for all New Yorkers.
- 11 JANE HOFFMAN: L.A. has six shelters.
- 12 | L.A. We can do better. We need-- I mean we have--
- 13 and we have more challenges in some ways than I think
- 14 | L.A. does, and I think we have to have these
- 15 | services. We need the--you know, we did what we
- 16 could to provide the safety net for the animals and
- 17 | the services for the people with the receiving
- 18 centers. But we're now at a point, and ACC is ready
- 19 | to step up yet again. Especially with their board to
- 20 have full-service shelters, and to provide everything
- 21 to Bronx and Queens that's already provided in the
- 22 other boroughs.
- 23 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: All right, thank
- 24 you very much. Matt.

2.2

MATTHEW BERSHADKER: I would just add I think the City has been allowed not to meet its fundamental obligations because of the incredible support of the private animal welfare advocates, the ASPCA, the Mayor's Alliance, and the 150 groups. And we stand here ready to support the City, as we said, in helping them to meet their obligations.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, I think that they're very important, and any good effort is that team effort. And we can't just rely on our partners to take that over. The City has a responsibility, and it's--I think it's time. I think you've got an Administration now and a Council-- Even the influx of over \$8 million that went in, because of we are raising these issues again it's a great start. So if that hadn't happened, I would have been even more worried. The fact that's already starting is a great step in the right direction.

JANE HOFFMAN: Well, and, you know, just to mention to echo what Matt said, the amount of money that they poured into this, you know, the private sector is doing their part. The Mayor's Alliance attracted something called the Maddies Fund Grant. That's equal to \$40 million over the last

name is Allie Feldman, the Director of NYCLASS

2 thank Council Member Vallone, Council Member Johnson and the Health Committee for the opportunity to 3 4 testify on Intro 45. We, you know, support the 5 comments of all of our partnership organizations, 6 such as the ASPCA and the Mayor's Alliance for New 7 York City Animals. So I'm be very brief because I think they covered the main points. We very much 8 support the building of full-service animals shelters 9 10 in the Bronx and Queens. As discussed, these boroughs unlike Manhattan, Brooklyn and Staten Island 11 12 only receiving centers, which do not provide the full 13 breadth of services that are truly needed to get us to having a first rate full-service shelter system. 14 15 Maintaining full-service shelters in all five 16 boroughs is necessary to ensure that all New Yorkers 17 have access to important animal control services. 18 And alleviate the strain currently placed on the shelters in Manhattan, Brooklyn and Staten Island. 19 20 However, we stress that the AC&C must be funded at a level that enables it to do its job. And over the 21 2.2 long term investment in a quality animal care and 23 control program will result in getting there. just want to be very clear that, you know, if the 24 City does indeed move forward with building new 25

Alexanian.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

GARO ALEXANIAN: --a compromise I struck at my meeting with then Mayor Giuliani. In 2000, I testified seeing permission to permit our not-forprofit organization to found the Surrender Prevention Program at ACC, which then Health Commissioner Thomas Frieden granted at my meeting with him. The program is now funded and operating by a charitable organization and receives all the 311 animal-related calls at no expense to the City. And I'm glad that the ACC has followed suit. In 2007, I testified seeking permission to launch low-cost mobile veterinary services at the ACC shelters in order to prevent medical surrenders, which had been the reason for the bulk of the surrenders since the beginning of time. Which was again, granted by Commissioner Frieden. The low cost vet mobile is now a legend with newest media reports on its creative way of reducing euthanasia, and expenses to the City, even the half hour television special news report as far away as Moscow.

A first and one of a kind in the world, the vet mobiles, which we operate for the past five years have resulted in the reduction of surrenders to ACC by one third. Whereas for decades prior,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

surrenders have basically stayed the same. testify to inform you that our not-for-profit organization is under contract to purchase a 6,300 square foot building in the heart of Queens with exceedingly prominent exposure located on a major thoroughfare. We intend to open a 24-hour low cost veterinary adoption and animal community center including living quarters for staff and volunteers. The building is properly zoned for such an endeavor, and will cost us approximately \$2 million after remodeling. We offer and propose the City to consider separating the Animal Control Contract for Queens, the nation's seventh largest city, if it were to be a city. And thereby lift the Queens burden from the Brooklyn ACC shelter.

I urge the City think creatively as did
Mayor Giuliani and Commissioner Frieden both of whose
visionary planning resulted in historic improvements
in New York City Animal Control in the short 20
years. And try a pilot effort in the lowest— excuse
me—at decentralizing Animal Control Services. As a
direct consequence, the City is now, and has been for
the past two years, the lowest per capita euthanasia
region in the nation at just .8 dogs and cats killed

2.2

for 1,000 residents, a historic low. The rest of the nation's average is at 16, and the next lowest region is at 1.9, twice that of New York. For decades the city alone has been unable to obtain a building in Queens in the proper location due to failure to obtain the local [sic] approval from community boards. We in the private humane community will soon possess the building, and the location for a Queens animal control shelter. Private entities do not need your local approval.

By partnering with a different charitable organization than the ACC to provide Queens animal control services, the City would no longer be putting all of its eggs in one basket, and would be creating both a friendly competition for better [bell] and more cost-effective services, and a mutually supportive relationship. Queens Borough President Melinda Katz, then an Assemblywoman, was the primary sponsor of my state legislation, which sought to create borough wide animal control agencies. Which resulted in the formation of the ACC. I am virtually certain that she would still enthusiastically support our proposal to the Central Animal Control Services at least as a pilot program in Queens. I ask the

1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

23

24

- Health Department to reach out to us in such a

 partnership, as it is well settled that private and

 public entities in collaboration can accomplish what

 neither can without the other. Let's make New York

 City's animal control services the most cost
 effective and humane in the world.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, you just said a whole lot there because Garo Alexanian has been in the Bronx--
 - GARO ALEXANIAN: Now years now, sir, I've been saying a whole lot.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And I'm curious, right, in saying that you're about to purchase 6,300 square foot in the heart of Queens.
- GARO ALEXANIAN: Yes, sir.
- 17 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: When is that 18 happening?
 - GARO ALEXANIAN: As soon as closing can be completed. It's under contract.
- COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And what is the plan for that facility?
 - GARO ALEXANIAN: As I said, something that doesn't exist anywhere in the country. Not only an animal shelter, 24-hour animal facility,

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

- veterinarian services, low cost boarding, and
 basically an animal center community. The location
 is--there could be no better location in all five
 boroughs. I won't divulge to exactly, except to say
 that it's right in the center of Queens in between
 six major highways.
- 8 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That could be 9 anywhere in Queens. [laughs]
 - GARO ALEXANIAN: Well, not really. Not really look really--
 - COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing] It could be my house.

in the center of Queens and it would accomplish the location that Mr. Merlino stated that it has be centrally located so it can appeal to the outer parts of the borough and not just isolated in one corner.

And if I may add one additional point on the surrender issue that AC&C spoke about, I noted that the statistics had gone down. We know that most of the statistics were 40,000 surrenders a year steadily for decades. And within our first year, it was a 14% reduction because we park out vet mobile trucks in front of AC&C.

2.2

2		COUNC	IL M	EMBER	VALLONE:	How	many	vet
3	mobile	trucks do	V011	have?	1			

GARO ALEXANIAN: Two and we cover all five boroughs. We do all five locations and the three ACC locations including the Bronx and--

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]

And who do you partner with now. Is it any of the local groups that support you?

SARO ALEXANIAN: We're not getting any support form anybody. We are self--we have to be self-supportive. In 2012 and 2013, we saw 6,000 animals per year. What happened was unfortunately in 2012 and '13 we were held up at gun point at the Brooklyn ACC. Point blank. I had a loaded gun in my face twice. I didn't know if I was going to live through it, and as a result, we ended up stopping to go to that particular shelter. And we now go two miles down on Cross Bay Boulevard where it's safer. At that time, we knew that it was going to take an enormous hit, the Brooklyn ACC where the majority of surrenders emanate from. And sure enough, the statistics that Risa mentioned today corroborate what my foretelling was at that time to Risa. And out of

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: We have a lot of

24

25

good friends today.

2 GARO AI

1

8

10

GARO ALEXANIAN: That's why I'm here

3 today. But the surrender concept is very important

4 | because that-- We saw 1,500 fewer animals last year.

5 | Specifically from the Brooklyn location because we

6 were not parked in front of the Brooklyn Shelter.

7 And at that time, all I asked Risa was an armed NYPD

officer to be with us one day a week, a \$40,000 a

9 | year commitment, and I was turned down.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, wait.

11 | Hold on, but just-- You started off with a very good

12 point. Let's not go to those points. I think what

13 | we're trying to find out here--and that's not the

14 | purpose of the hearing--is to find exactly what you

15 | stated, which is the groups that are stepping up to

16 do their part. And what we're all trying to do,

17 | which is provide a humane world for those who need

18 | our care the most, and I think you for what you've

19 done. So, what I would like to do then I see Risa

20 \parallel and some of the folks still here. And maybe we can

21 | talk about his facility, and how maybe we can

22 | coordinate these efforts. I mean that 6,300 square

23 \parallel feet is what we were saying. And Chair, we just--Mr.

24 | Alexanian came in. He's in the process of purchasing

25 | a 6,300 square foot building in Queens doing many f

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

testimony.

- 2 the things we were talking about. So I was just supporting him for that, and I thank you. So what 3 I'm going to do actually -- Thank you to this panel. 4 Thank you Allie and Garo. Thank you. We're just 5 going to ask Risa to come back up. Because I 6 7 apologize. I had not--one of the things that's in the budget that's exciting -- so I just wanted to give 8 you a chance to talk about it--was these mobile 9 10 units. That are going to be one permanently in Queens and the Bronx. Correct. So if you could just 11 12 restate your name so we can get that on the
 - RISA WEINSTOCK: Risa Weinstock,

 Executive Director, Animal Care and Control of New

 York City.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Okay. So we just touched briefly on this I guess exciting new development I guess for those two boroughs. So if you could talk a little more about this.

RISA WEINSTOCK: Okay. We currently have through a generous donation from the ASPCA and a real estate company, Fenwick Keats, one mobile adoption center, which we launched in February of 2014. And we have adoption--offsite adoption events with dogs

2 and cats almost every week, even in the cold weather. As you know, we had one last Friday in your district. 3 4 So, measuring the success of that, and also really as a way to reach other communities not just for 5 adoptions, but who AC&C is as a brand. And as a 6 7 place where you could actually come and adopt at other locations in a brick and mortar facility. 8 thought additional vehicles would be a good 9 alternative in the short-term to what we have 10 currently in the Bronx and Queens. Because we don't 11 12 do any adoptions at the receiving centers. 13 one vehicle that will be delivered shortly that has 14 been funded through State Senator Jeff Klein to be 15 dedicated exclusively to the Bronx. And we'll work 16 out with him where he would like us to take it. It's 17 really he's supporting it. So we really want to work 18 with him and his district. The City is giving us funding to purchase two additional vehicles. And our 19 20 goal would be to use them more robustly in Queens and Bronx--in the Bronx. So now the Bronx would have two 2.1 2.2 vehicles dedicated to events. Queens will certainly 23 have one, and we have this other vehicle that currently exists. So we will just look at where 24 25 perhaps City Council members are having events.

1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 133 2 be on them, the colors, the configuration. would say probably--correct me if I'm wrong--about 3 six months, Melissa? About six months from the time 4 we order it to the time it's delivered as long as they're not back ordered. Sometimes it takes--there 6 7 might be a back order. And there is really only one vendor who makes them. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: That list again? 9 [sic] [laughs] Just put that on your list of things 10 11 to do. 12 RISA WEINSTOCK: But that's the goal. 13 we will have four and we will use them primarily in 14 areas where it's hard to reach the full-service 15 shelters. And also what we've identified, you know, what Garo said--16 17 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing] 18 You know, maybe an educational component can also be added there. You know, so many times--19

RISA WEINSTOCK: [interposing]

Absolutely.

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: --the Fire

Department has that, the Police Department has that

for different community events and for the schools.

I think that would be a great way when

2.2

adopting/educating at the same time if you're going
to put that component into it.

thought of bring the vehicle when the hearing was scheduled for City Hall. We thought maybe we could park it right at the steps. So council members could actually see it and members of the public can see really what we're talking about and what it looks like. And it is—we have volunteers who assist with adoptions, but they also are outreach volunteers, too, who talk to people who walk by. And they say, Oh, who are you? We've never heard of you. Where are you. So that's also very helpful. It's like a really good way to brand AC&C.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Yeah, the information aspect of handing out how-to. And maybe the question in today's was how do we expand the information to the rest of the city on these programs and that will be great when you do that.

RISA WEINSTOCK: And then I will just mention a really important factor about these mobile adoption vehicles is even in Brooklyn and in Staten Island and in Manhattan our locations are only in one part. So the Brooklyn location, like Garo explained,

is not the safest of neighborhoods. And most people
who come there, either are local residents or you
drive there. So a lot of people may shy away from
coming to East New York for an adoption. So if we
can have our vehicle in all the other parts of
Brooklyn, that's going to help drive adoptions. And
the same thing with Queens. It's not just your
district, but we're reaching out because Queens is
such a huge borough. The same in Manhattan. East
110th Street is not always a convenient location for
people who live on the West Side or Downtown. So we
want to be able to make adoptions just an easier
process for everybody in all five boroughs.

think that as we said we have 51 council members to really help with that because as you go then we spread our network of information and our emails in the schools and supermoms, and sports athletic associations, and everyone else that's out there. Say, hey, today is the day we're going to adopt some pets, and that's how we get it done. And I thank you for that. Chair, do you have anything else for Risa before we move on?

2	ESTHER KOSLOW: Good afternoon. I'm
3	Esther Koslow from Reform Action Committee. For 20
4	years, Shelter Reform has sought to reform New York
5	City's animal care and control by freeing from the
6	Department of Health. But to this very day health
7	officials and AC&C executives persist in claiming
8	that the AC&C is and has always been independent. If
9	only that were true. Granted, thanks to Mayor de
10	Blasio, the Department of Health is being a bit
11	nicer to the AC&C. It has increased funding. It has
12	promised to build a small adoption center. When? We
13	don't know. And has allowed the AC&C to add more
14	independent directors. But the truth is that DOH is
15	still very much in control, and its recent gifts fall
16	far short of what's needed. Most important, the DOH
17	is looking to the AC&C to make up a shortfall of
18	monies needed to run a progressive shelter. The
19	Catch-22 is that as long as the AC&C is tied to the
20	Department of Health, it can't help but attract that
21	kind of money.

Saving the AC&C--saying the AC&C is independent doesn't make it so. But, this can change. Shelter Reform submits that his committee should encourage AC&C's independent directors, as you

have, to actually assert their independence. For
starters, remove voting privileges for the three
government directors. And certainly remove from the
board all DOH loyalists and apologists. Then the
agency can truthfully say we are independent and
start attracting more vendors who are both committed
to animal welfare and have the skills ore connections
to make dramatic changes. And one dramatic change,
fundraising. Which ties directly to Council Member
Vallone's Shelters Bill. The cost of building and
running new shelters has increased dramatically since
the DOH was first ordered to do that 15 years ago.
Will the City Council pass a bill that only
guarantees those shelters will be built and by a
certain date. But also that they'll be well designed
and not located in God forsaken areas?

Like the Brooklyn shelter or the Staten

Island shelter. Should we really leave it up to the

DOH to make those decisions? We can help the DOH,

and independent AC&C could enter into a public
private partnership with the City. If the City were

to offer tax exemptions, the shelters could serve as

anchors to attract animal-related businesses like a

PetCo, a Pet Smart, a dog grooming facility, a vet's

Big Apple Bunnies, which is a rabbit advocacy group

that I founded. I'll keep this very brief. We
strongly support the proposal. We just ask that the
reference in paragraphold paragraph C, new
paragraph B under the proposed change would bethe
language would be changed from "dog and cats" to
"dogs, cats and rabbits." This is important because
a majority of New Yorkers we believe havestill have
no idea that rabbits are available for adoption in
shelters. And we want people to get used to thinking
of rabbits as animals that you can go adopt from the
shelter. The last point that I wanted address was
that there was testimony earlier today that rabbits
inhave received state-of-the-art cages under the
new funding. We would disagree with that. There
were old cages that were difficult to clean. They
were replaced with substantially similar cages that
were much smaller and much worse for the rabbits. We
had askedwe being rabbit volunteers and advocates
had asked for cages comparable to the cats. We were
told that there was not funding for that. So, that
was the last point I had to make. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Thank you both for being here. Thank you all for coming today. I just want to reiterate that it is my heartfelt belief that

2 we can do better. And that the way we do better is to get five full-service shelters, or something close 3 4 to those, in our boroughs. As we talked about 5 earlier, I think it comes down to funding, both capital funding and expense funding to ensure that 6 7 the money is there so that we're not set up for failure. And that we can achieve even better numbers 8 in the city. So, you know, you can tell I think from 9 this hearing today that the Council is going to full 10 advocate for these things, but we can't do it alone. 11 12 You know, you all need to advocate with us to ensure that all parts of municipal government is going to 13 come forward to help us achieve this goal. So I look 14 15 forward to working with all of you. I am grateful 16 again for the \$8.5 million, but I look at it as a 17 first step. Not the final end game, but a first 18 step. So let's continue the advocacy so that we can get full-service shelters in New York City. I look 19 20 forward to partnering with all of you, and working with all of you to make our city more humane. And I 21 2.2 want to say, a point of pride, I'm really proud that 23 in a year-- It's February. Yeah, in a year we have done so much on animal welfare issues in New York 24 25 City, and there is still a lot to do. So, we're

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 9, 2015