CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

March 2, 2015

Start: 10:17 a.m. Recess: 1:30 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: JUMAANE D. WILLIAMS

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Rosie Mendez

Ydanis A. Rodriguez

Karen Koslowitz

Robert E. Cornegy, Jr. Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Mark Levine

Antonio Reynoso Helen K. Rosenthal Ritchie J. Torres Eric A. Ulrich

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Emma Wolfe
Director of Governmental Affairs
Mayor's Office

Elyzabeth Gaumer
Acting Assistant Commissioner
Research and Evaluation
New York City Department of Housing Preservation

Hally Chiu
Policy Analyst
Gale Brewer's Office
Manhattan Borough President

Ilana Maier
Met Council on Housing

Katie Goldstein
Executive Director
Tenants and Neighbors

Robert Decherd [sp?] Staff Attorney Legal Aid Society

Barbara Graves-Poller Supervising Attorney MFY Legal Services

Anita Wu Staff Attorney Manhattan Legal Services Caterina Genova Staff Attorney New York Legal Assistance Group (NYLAG)

Tim Collins
Former Executive Director
City Rent Guidelines Board
Attorney Partner
Collins, Dobkin and Miller

Clara Perez-Joseph Tenants United/Los Inquilinos Unidos Fifth Avenue Committee

Anna Ramos Tenants United/Los Inquilinos Unidos Fifth Avenue Committee

Tom Waters
Community Service Society of New York

Jonathan Furlong
Senior Tenant Organizer
Association for Neighborhood & Housing Development
(NHD)

Leandra Requena,
Organizer
Make the Road New York

Marcella Martinez Tenant Advocate and Organizer Neighbors Helping Neighbors

Joseph Zapata
Council Member and Bronx Tenant

Alexandra Molle

Raphael Gomez Luna Los Inquilinos Unidos, Tenants United

Louis Carrillo

Andreas Morris Morrow
Tenant Advocate
Fifth Avenue Committee, Brooklyn

2 [sound check, pause]

[gavel]

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Quiet please.

Good morning, and thank you everyone for coming. name is Council Member Jumaane Williams. I chair the Committee on Housing and Buildings, and I'm joined today by Council Member Mendez. Today, we're here to address a very urgent matter that affects all residents in the City of New York, the renewal of the Rent laws. Having come tenant organizing myself, and also being a rent regulated tenant, despite what you may have read, this is very, very important to me. We're discussing renewal of the Rent laws. In order to renew rent regulation, the Council must pass a resolution finding that because there is still a housing shortage, there is continuing need for rent regulation. So this resolution, although it's a reso, it does have the impact of law. The Council must also pass, and the mayor must sign a local law updating the expiration date for rent regulation within the City Administrative Code. Both of these actions must happen before April 1st.

The preliminary finding of the Housing

Vacancy Survey for the City of New York revealed that

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

there's only a vacancy rate of 3.45%, which means we are still facing a critical shortage of housing in the city.

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Somebody probably might need to step outside While the Mayor intends to construct or preserve 360,000 units over the next decade, we cannot build our way out of our severe housing shortage. So we must collaborate on focusing -- we must collaborate to focus on preserving existing units across the city. We can do this by calling on the State to enact such measures as appealing Vacancy Decontrol, eliminating the vacancy bonus for owners, and ensuring that buildings that lead programs like Section 8 and Mitchell-Lama remain affordable for low-income tenants. To that end, we'll be hearing one proposed one Local Law and nine resolutions today. The proposed Local Law, Intro 685 declares that the city is still in housing emergency, and extends the rent controls provisions of the Administrative Code for an additional three years.

Remaining resolutions call upon the State

Legislator--Legislature to either enact or create

legislation that will renew rent regulations, allow

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS the city to expand rent regulations to housing accommodations that cease or have ceased to be Mitchell-Lamas or to receive Project-Based Section 8 rental assistance. Protect tenants with preferential rent. Require that major capital improvements will be charged as a temporary rent surcharge, and not a permanent rent increase. Reform the individual apartment improvements rent increase system. Eliminate the vacancy bonus and Vacancy Decontrol. Support the enactment of Rent Control Relief Act, and finally it will appeal deregulation of rent regulated apartments. As chair of the committee, my goal is to

As chair of the committee, my goal is to address our city's chronic housing shortage to ensure that New York becomes a place for all to thrive. New York State elected officials must meaningfully address the affordable housing crisis with absolute urgency before our city's rent regulations expire in the spring. In order to ensure that nearly one million New Yorkers do not see their rent go up or their protections against arbitrary evictions lost, Albany must enact stronger tenant protections. It is my hope that Governor Cuomo, State Senate President Skelos, Assembly Speaker Heastie, and all state

2.2

2.2

legislators work with City elected officials to
develop a plan that only complements the Mayor's
plan, but gives immediate relief to our poor and

working class citizens who need it the most.

It is my intent as the Housing chair to do whatever we can to have this City Council be a part of the conversation that is happening in the State, and I think that we're doing all that we can to do so. This is something that has personally been an issue of mine for many, many years. And if we really want to accomplish the Mayor's goals, this is—the Rent Regulation Program is one great way to start that. And I for one believe if we don't strengthen the rent rolls, then the tenants have lost. Just extending them is not a success, and will not be a win for us.

I'd like to thank my staff for the work
they did to summon this hearing including Nick Smith,
my Deputy Chief of Staff; Jen Wilcox; Shijuade
Kadree, Counsel to the Committee; Guillermo Patino
and Jose Conde, Policy Analysts to the Committee, and
Sarah Gustelum--Gastelum--I'm sorry--the committee's
Financial Analyst. With that said, we have
representatives who are getting ready to be our first

the Sergeant-at-Arms.

2.2

panel. I would like to remind everyone that would
like to testify today to please fill out a card with

And today we have--I'm very honored, the woman in the myth, the legend Emma Wolfe, Director of the Mayor's Office of Governmental Affairs and Elyzabeth Gaumer, Acting Assistant Commissioner of Research and Evaluation and Intergovernmental Affairs, Department of HPD. If you can all--all who will be planning to be testify. It's just the two of you testifying. If you can raise your right hand?

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and to respond honestly to Council Member questions? Thank you. You can begin your testimony in whichever order you prefer.

[pause]

EMMA WOLFE: Thank you so much. Good morning, everyone. Good morning Chairman Williams and member of the Housings and Buildings Committee.

My name is Emma Wolfe. I'm the Director of Governmental Affairs at the Mayor's Office. I am joined by Elyzabeth Gaumer, the Acting Assistant Commissioner of Research and Evaluation at the New

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development. I'd like to thank the committee very much for welcoming me today. Given that HPD Commission Bean had a scheduling conflict that required her to be outside of New York City, and the importance of this topic to both Mayor de Blasio and the City Council, I wanted to personally come to discuss what Mayor de Blasio has made the number one focus of our administration this year, affordable housing. There is a tremendous amount we can do and are doing at the local level to take on this crisis. And these are priorities we'll work on in close partnership with the communities and with the City Council. But some of the most consequential decisions that will affect our families and our neighborhoods will be made up in Albany, notably the fate of rent regulations.

Consideration of critically important state legislative items related to housing including the future of rent regulation, subsidy programs and others, will occur after the State Budget is adopted. In accordance with this timeline, our specific positions on those items will be introduced later in the coming weeks. But last week in his testimony to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the Legislature, the Mayor made clear the importance of renewing and strengthening rental protections that expire this year. If they are not renewed and strengthened, many thousands of apartments will become unaffordable, and many thousands of people will lose their homes. Consistent with where he has stood on this issue for many years, the Mayor also made clear his belief that we must end Vacancy Decontrol. It is a major contributing factor to the loss of rent protected units in the city, and creates incentives for harassment and other predatory practices that are tearing through our neighborhoods. We will need to stand shoulder-to-shoulder with the Council every step of the way. The cost of inaction is too great, as more units exit rent regulation, and more families are burdened by the scarcity of truly affordable housing in New York City.

What's before us here today is an important precursor to the discussion of the State agenda. Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today to testify in support of Resolution No. 597 and Introduction No. 685. These two important measures represent local confirmation of the continued housing emergency in New York City.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Simply put, they are what makes the extension of the rent control and rent stabilization laws possible. As you know, for the continuation of rent control, the City Council must pass a resolution 30 to 60 days after submission of findings of the Housing and Vacancy Surveys. Similarly, for the continuation of rent stabilization, the Council must enact legislation before April 1st. HPD submitted selected initial findings of the 2014 HVS to the Council on February 9th, 2015 and our testimony today will present initial findings of the 2014 New York City Housing and Vacancy Survey. This survey of the City's housing stock has been carried out every three years since 1965. Its methodology has remained consistent over time with only minor changes to improve validity over time. It is conducted by the United States Census Bureau at the request of the City of New York. Interviews for the current survey were conducted between February and June of 2014, making it the most up-to-date representative data on New York City currently available.

This survey is used to determine among other things the rental vacancy rate; the supply of housing; the condition and affordability;

demographics of tenants; and the need for continuing

3 rent regulation. Before turning to the Assistant

4 Commissioner, I'd to re-emphasize what an enormous

5 priority this is for the Mayor and the

6 Administration. I know this is a focus we all share.

Thank you very much.

2.2

Very much and good morning. Today, we will share key statistics on the current state of housing as well as provide a more detailed portrait of the rent stabilized stocks and tenants living in stabilized units. As with past waves of the HVS, more detailed analysis will be made available over the coming months, and the Census Bureau plans to release the micro data later this spring for analysis by the range of policy makers, policy researchers, and academics who utilize the HVS in their work. The primary purpose of the HVS is to determine whether a housing emergency continues to exist in New York City, and merit the need for rent stabilization and rent control.

The 2014 Housing and Vacancy Survey reports the vacancy rate in rental apartments in New York City to be 3.45%, well below the 5% net rental

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

vacancy rate threshold set forth in State and Local Laws as the condition for determining that a housing emergency continues to exist. Further examination of the 3.45% vacancy rate for available rental units shows that the vacancy rate for units with asking rents of \$800 to \$999 was 3.73%. The rate for units with an asking rent level of \$2,500 or more was 7.32%. It is important to note that the HVS estimated the total number of residential units at more than 3.4 million citywide, the largest housing stock in the 49 years since the first survey was conducted in 1965. The low vacancy rate despite the record breaking housing stock numbers indicates that although supply has continued to increase, it has failed to keep pace with the continuing demand for housing.

The HVS utilizes several measures of housing quality, including self-reported deficiencies and objective measures of physical conditions. In 2014, the HVS showed that the overall quality of housing in New York City continues to be good.

However, there are areas where housing quality lags.

This map here shows the prevalence of rental units where the current occupant reported three or more

2.2

housing.

maintenance deficiencies. The HVS data underscores
the need for continued need and commitment for
improving living conditions for all New Yorkers. The
HVS collects detailed information on household
incomes and rent levels that we used to assess the
rent burden of residents and the need for affordable

In 2014, the median contract rent was \$1,200. The median gross rent, which accounts for utility costs and is therefore a better measure of housing costs, was \$1,325. A household would have to earn \$53,000 in order to afford the typical New York City apartment in 2014. However, the HVS shows that the median household income was \$50,400, and it was \$41,500 for renter occupied households.

In 2014, 56% of renter occupied households were rent burdened, were paying more than 30% of monthly income toward housing costs. 33.5 were severely burdened, or paying more than half their income toward housing. As one might expect, this burden is not evenly distributed across all income groups. When you look at the distribution of renter occupied households by HUD Income Limits, this is a way of categorizing household income that

2.2

stabilized tenants.

adjusts for both household size, and it is the way that HPD classifies eligibility for affordable housing. We see that households in the lowest income groups are disproportionately burdened. These are some of the critical measures that help to share the current state of housing in New York City. One of the great strengths of the HVS is the detailed information it collects on rent stabilized units, and it is the only source of complete information on rent

The HVS uses a variety of information, including registration records from the New York

State Department of Homes and Community Renewal,

Information on the age and size of the building, and tenant characteristics such as rent level and first year of occupancy to identify if a unit is rent stabilized or rent controlled. These estimates are more accurate than other estimates that rely on only one source of information.

In 2014, we further refined our methodology for identifying rent stabilized units.

In order to provide a picture of current rent stabilized stock and tenant population, I would like to share some high level findings that compare

2.2

stabilized units to private and non-regulated units,

those frequently called market units. Please note

that this is only a subset of the entire housing

supply. It does not include public housing, rent

6 controlled units or other regulated units such as

Mitchell-Lama or units in HUD regulated buildings.

In 2014, the HVS estimates that 1,029,918 units or 47% of rental units were rent stabilized citywide. This is statistically equivalent to the number of units that were rent stabilized in 2011 using the same methodology that we used in 2014 to identify rent stabilized units. In 2014, there are an estimated 848,721 private non-regulated units, or 38.9% of rental units. Compared to private non-regulated households, fewer rent stabilized households are headed by White male and Hispanics, and rent stabilized households are more likely to have at least one senior living in the home.

In 2014, private non-regulated units typical rented for more than rent stabilized units. The median monthly gross rent for private non-regulated units was \$1,625 compared to \$1,300 for rent stabilized units. A higher proportion of rent stabilized tenants were rent burdened and severely

2.2

rent burdened than market tenants. Fifty-six percent of rent stabilized tenants were rent burdened, and 34% were severely burdened; were paying more than half of their income toward housing costs. This is compared to 50% and 28% respectively for private non-regulated units. The median household income of rent stabilized tenants is significantly lower than that of private non-regulated renters. And more rent stabilized tenants are considered low income as defined by HUD Income Limits. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today, and we're happy to answer any questions.

much. We've been joined by Council Members Koslowitz and Johnson, and I want to give Council Member Johnson the opportunity to give some opening remarks since he has one of the bills that we're hearing today.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you, Chair Williams and thank you all for being here this morning on this incredibly important issue. And I know, as you said, a top priority for this Administration and a top priority for this Council. So as you all just discussed, our city continues to

face a housing crisis. Vacancy rates are at roughly 3.5%. A housing shortage that constitutes a threat to the citizens of New York City and creates a special hardship to individuals and families of limited resources. The City needs to declare a public emergency, which will allow the rent stabilization laws to be extended. Today, the Council will use its authority through this hearing, and eventually a vote to extent rent regulation protection to 2.5 million residents against excessive rent increases and evictions. This amendment of the Administrative Code will extend rent stabilization another three years beginning on April 1, 2015.

The challenge we face today is retaining economic diversity in our many communities. Are we going to be a city of luxury housing with part-time residents from around the globe? Or, are we going to be a city of neighborhoods and families, full-time residents who send their children to our local schools, beautify our blocks and contribute to the fabric of New York? Too many New Yorkers are being priced out of their communities. If we fail to renew and strengthen rent regulation laws, we continue to

2.2

2.2

2 run the risk of creating larger disparities between
3 the very rich and everyone else.

This tri-annual extension falls within months of the expiration of rent laws in Albany. We need to embrace this opportunity to speak out for real reform during those debates, and the need to appeal vacancy deregulation, which I am so happy the Mayor said in his budget address last week in Albany. If we do not, even fewer New Yorkers will remain in their homes in three years when we need to complete the next Housing Vacancy Survey.

So, I want to thank my colleagues and the Council. I want to thank Chair Williams for this really important package of bills today. [coughs] And I just want to reiterate that this is a fight we all have to be in together, both folks in the Administration who have taken the lead, but every elected official in New York City. Not just members of the City Council, but our senators and assembly member. We have to not allow these families where the last shred of the social safety net are these Rent Regulation Laws to be used as political chits in the dysfunction—in the dysfunctional Albany game that happens every June.

And so, I stand committed. I know with many of my colleagues, and all of you in the Administration to go to Albany, to testify with tenants and many New Yorkers who rely upon these really important protections to ensure that they are not just renewed. Because if they are just renewed, it is a loss for the city. We are spiraling towards a place where the amount of housing we're losing because of the incentivizing the landlords have to empty out apartments. We're at the precipice. So we need to strengthen our laws, and I look forward to working with you all and doing that. Thank you, Chair Williams.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. I want to thank Ms. Gaumer and Ms. Wolfe for coming. I know the Commissioner couldn't make it, but your presence here today shows how important this issue is to the Mayor and to the Administration. And I, too, want to thank the Mayor for what he has said so far on this. And, you know, the comments he also made along with Speaker Heastie, also shows some promise. I have a few questions. One, given the lofty and great goals of preserving our buildings for so many years, do you

2.2

2 think that that will be able to be accomplished if
3 the rent laws are not renewed?

EMMA WOLFE: Without going into detail, which we will go into in the coming weeks, the Mayor and the members of the Administration have said repeatedly and will continue to say and work collaboratively with the Council that not only extension, but the strengthening of rent regulations is critical.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Well, that was my second question. Do you think you could achieve it without strengthening the rent laws. So, that's exciting to hear. Can you talk about, if any, steps the Administration has taken so far to ensure that the rent laws are not only renewed but strengthened?

know, you held the hearing and the Commissioner
testified herself a few weeks ago that she and her
team have been working hard in preparation for the
Albany session. The Mayor also testified last week
in Albany that it was critical to not only extend,
but strengthen rent regulations and again to repeal
Vacancy Decontrol. And we'll be working closely with

2.2

2 you and other stakeholders over the coming weeks and 3 months.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I know that you in accordance with this timeline specific positions will be introduced in the coming weeks. But I do want to ask a couple of questions based on the themes of what we're trying to present here. So maybe if you're able to, do you have-- What are your feelings on repealing Vacancy Decontrol, having decontrol? What are you feelings on dealing with preferential rent, MCIs and individual apartment improvements?

EMMA WOLFE: So the Mayor did in addition to speaking about the need to extent rent regulation, he did specifically speak about the need to end Vacancy Decontrol. We are also looking at it. We have not announced our formal position on it, but we are certainly looking at preferential rent, individual apartments, IAI, MCI, and a host of other issues that come into play with rent stabilization. But be will be coming out with those proposals in the coming weeks.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So when--when should we look forward to having that?

2.2

2.2

EMMA WOLFE: In one message. [sic] We're coming in the coming weeks. This is a matter for post-budget for Albany. As folks know, the Albany budget is being considered right now, and then we'll work on this with you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure, I mean I hope--I know that we will be working together. It is extremely important and smart. So we want to make sure that we're all on the same message--

EMMA WOLFE: [interposing] Absolutely.

into June, and those are—those pieces are critical as far as myself. And I think I speak for most of my colleagues. It's critically important to strengthen the rent laws particularly rent controlled—the preferential rent status. And I hope that in keeping with what we've heard so far, those will be issues that the Administration wants to take up as well.

EMMA WOLFE: Thank you, yes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: We've been joined by Council Member Rosenthal and Espinal. I have some questions, but I'll go to my colleagues. Right now, we have Council Member Johnson, Rosenthal, and Koslowitz.

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: So again, thank 2 3 you for being here, and I know, Emma, you just said that there will be more information to be shared 4 5 after Albany adopts the budget. And I know the Mayor, of course, testified in Albany last week on 6 7 the host of budget priorities that the City has, and affordable housing was a pillar of his testimony. 8 And as I mentioned, the repeal of vacancy 9 deregulation was a key part of what he testified on. 10 The Chair just mentioned and you mentioned MCI 11 12 reform, IAI reform, vacancy deregulation. Out of the 13 list of options that exist to fight for, is there one 14 that you think is really more important than the 15 others as it relates to stemming the tide? Is there 16 one sort of crucial thing that is sort of the 17 centerpiece of what we must put our energy behind? 18 Because as you testified, and with the list of resolutions and bills before us today, there's a host 19 20 of things to go after. What's the most important 2.1 one? 2.2

EMMA WOLFE: Again, I think we'll be going into specifics more. Thank you for your question, Councilman, and thank you for your advocacy. And I do think we'll be working very

2.2

closely together over the coming weeks when it comes to the Albany session. The Mayor in his testimony singularly pointed out the need to repeal Vacancy Decontrol. I do think that's significant, and that is a major driver in the debate around this issue in Albany. But, as you said, and as you pointed out, there are a host of other issues, which we haven't come out with yet. I certainly think the extension, the fundamental extension of rent regulation is vitally important. We can't afford to lose even more apartments to deregulation, and on top of that Vacancy Decontrol is certainly significant.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: You know, I just have a quick statement, and then I'm happy to turn it back to the Chair. I was very excited and pleased by the Mayor's State of the City where when he identified different places in the city to focus us, potential upzonings, and to try to create affordable housing there. We know that there are displacement issues, gentrification problems, a host of complicating factors that happen in neighborhoods where we try to achieve an upzoning or a future development. I would just say there's a bill in the Council, which says that not just in those

2 | neighborhoods do we need legal services free for New

3 Yorkers, but New Yorkers who don't live in those

4 | neighborhood, but are still in rapidly gentrifying

5 neighborhoods. Who re being pushed out. Who are

6 being unfairly preyed upon. It is, I think, my

7 | belief and the Council's believe that it shouldn't

8 | just be in these highlighted areas where the

9 Administration is looking for future affordable

10 | housing development.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

But we need protections across the city, and I know it's expensive. But to stem the tide, and to fight back against predatory landlords. We need to ensure that whether you live on Jerome Avenue or not, whether you live in East New York or not, whether you live in East Harlem or not, there are plenty of my constituents in Greenwich Village and in Chelsea and in Hells Kitchen. Some of the most expensive rapidly gentrifying real estate in the city, who are being pushed out. And they do not have the resources, and our community-based organizations do not have the resources to pick up the slack for all of the folks that are relying upon legal services to represent them against unscrupulous landlords. So I just want to pitch in that we need to more. And

during our own budge process, I hope that we can come
up with more monies not just in the identified areas,
but throughout the entire city. Thank you very

5 much.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. We've also been joined by Council Members Rodriguez and Cornegy. Next, we have Council Member Rosenthal, Koslowitz and Rodriguez. I haven't put a timer on. I'm hoping that my colleagues will self-police, and I want to thank Council Member Johnson for setting a great standard.

Subtle, Council Member Williams. But thank you so much for holding this hearing, and to my colleagues, this is a great set of bills that I'm really proud to be a part of. And I want to thank the Administration in advance for their support on these pieces of legislation. In particular, I am going to make a short statement, but I am proud to co-sponsor with Chair Williams a preconsidered resolution calling on New York Legislature to pass, and the Governor to sign Assembly 398, which will provide rent controlled tenants with relief from the high rent increases. And I know this is something that the Mayor in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

past has talked very seriously about. So my question at the end of my statement is going to be about the support of the Mayor on this particular piece of legislation.

You know, the rent increases for-you know, the rent increases for rent stabilized tenants are set by the Rent Guidelines Board, and it tends to take into count various economic factors. But, the Rent Guideline Board while it did recognize lower rent increase for the one and two-year renewals that helped a million families across the city the Rent Control Departments, 27,000. They see the regular automatic increase of 7.5%, and in addition to that, they're paying the fuel pass-along charge, which can be anywhere from \$25 to \$40 per room for the apartment. So Assemblywoman Linda Rosenthal, with whom I share a last name in a district, has introduced Bill 398, which would create parity between the systems by only allowing rents and rent controlled apartments to be raised by the lesser of 7.5% annually. Or, an amount equal to the average of the previous five Rent Guideline Board increases.

You know, I think this would--I think this bill would be incredibly helpful to the 27,000

guys could be supportive of?

2.2

rent controlled tenants. Many of whom live on under \$30,000 a year, and are not captured by our SCRIE caps that could benefit them for the reasons that you know having to do with the Department of Finance not being able to reach out to them directly. So, I'm wondering where this particular bill sits on your roster. And whether or not this is something you

EMMA WOLFE: Thank you so much Council Member. It's good to see you. As we stated, I'm not sure if you were here at the outset.

FEMALE SPEAKER: [off mic]

EMMA WOLFE: Okay, excellent. Excellent. we have not yet come out with a series of specific positions on Albany legislation with regards to both rent control and rent stabilization. Those are things that we are going to be, and are looking at and coming out with over the coming weeks since we consider most of the issues affecting housing to be a post-budget legislative item in Albany. The Mayor testified last week strictly on the need to extend and strengthen rent regulations, and specifically spoke on repealing Vacancy Decontrol. But we're happy to discuss this particular legislation with you

2.2

2 in the coming weeks. And I think the Assistant
3 Commissioner may have a few data points to add here.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Great. I appreciate that. I'd appreciate you broadening the bandwidth. Thank you. Thank you.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: Thank
you. I just wanted to add that, of course, rent
controlled units and tenants are a very critical part
of also with the Housing and Vacancy Survey that
collects data on and has tracked over a very long
timeframe. As you mentioned, the 2014 showed that
there are 27,000 remaining rent controlled units.
That's down from 38,000 in 2011. We know that this
is being phased out through a variety of means. But
this is a particularly critical part of the rent
regulated stock, and that these are especially
vulnerable residents. Largely seniors, of course.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. Just to follow up on some of the questions. As you mentioned, Commissioner, according to the survey, we lost a lot of units from--since 2011. Do you--do you have any thoughts on how we lost almost 11,000 units from rent control.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: So rent control, as you know, is defined as a rent regulated unit where the current occupant has been in residency since July 1st, 1974. That by definition means that these are largely older adults who have aged in place. As those original lessees and occupants give up those rent controlled units either through moving out, moving to assisted living, by passing away, those units become rent stabilized. And subject to the same regulations and laws that other rent stabilized units are held to.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So all those units became rent destabilized.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: To the extent that the HVS is able to estimate only net changes. We can't actually track what happens from one unit in one last panel to the next. We just know that the overall changes and the decline in rent controlled units.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can we get a breakdown in each district of how many rent controlled units are available. [off mic] Or are still existing.

2.2

2.2

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: Sure, as with all data points, the Housing and Vacancy Survey, which was produced by the Census Bureau has very strict requirements for geography that we can estimate things like that. We, unfortunately, are not able to do a council district for any measure. However, we can use sub-borough area. To the extent that we can create a reliable estimate for you at a lower level than citywide, we're happy to do that as a follow up.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And to that extent we say thank you and hope to see that when you can get it to us. Council Member Koslowitz and then Rodriguez.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Thank you, Mr.

Chair. I don't have a question. I just have a

statement of how important rent stabilization is. I

come from a district that is considered a high-end

district, except that it's really not true. I get

calls in my office about people not being able to pay

their rent. I myself moved into my present apartment

paying \$1,000 for a four--a two-bedroom apartment.

In these many years, my rent has gone up to now--it's

cheap considering what's going on--to over \$1,700.

2.2

So it's almost like \$750 in the course of--since 2000 and--2000. When I first moved to Forest Hills, my first apartment was \$168, and then I moved because I had children and I moved to a two-bedroom apartment where my rent was \$176, the increase was so low. And everyday I see people struggling, especially the seniors, and I have a high concentration of seniors in my district. They struggle. They go to the senior center to have their lunch because for dinner they have bread and butter. That is their dinner.

So there's no question in my mind that this has to happen. We have apartments going up in my building. Not in my building, in my neighborhood for \$1 million. Two apartment houses just went up in my community where the—it starts at—you buy it for \$1 million, and the cost to live there every month is very high. So, there's no question in my mind how important this is for the people living in the City of New York to have the rent stabilization even more than—I mean I'm for the rent control, but people complain about rent control because every year they get a 7-1/2% increase. And they complain because now a lot of them are paying more than if they were rent stabilized. So a lot of people come to me and say,

- 2 Can you do anything about it? So this to me for all
- 3 New Yorkers is a very, very important law to happen.
- 4 And if it doesn't happen, we'll have a lot of
- 5 | homeless people, more than we have now walking the
- 6 streets of New York. Thank you.
- 7 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: Thank
- 8 you.

- 9 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I don't know if
- 10 | you want to add anything, but okay. We have Council
- 11 Member Rodriguez, and new on the list Council Members
- 12 Espinal, Cornegy, Mendez and Levine.
- 13 COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you
- 14 | Chairman Williams, and I would like to first
- 15 | congratulate and thank the Administration for the
- 16 great effort that they do everyday to move our city
- 17 to another level when it comes to a new way of how we
- 18 | can build a higher percentage of affordable housing.
- 19 And also thank you to the advocate group because many
- 20 of you have just to embrace this effort to fight for
- 21 | affordable housing for your whole life. And you have
- 22 done it for decades. So for those of us that are
- 23 younger, there are many of you who have spent--you
- 24 | are older than us. You have left a legacy that we
- 25 have to follow.

2.2

No doubt that rent regulation, you know, is critical. Because without rent regulation, many communities will be changed, and New York City will not be the great city that we have built up to now. And my district in Northern Manhattan have a higher number of regulated apartments in the whole State of New York. And Community Board 12 is the community board that has the highest regulated rent apartment units in the whole state. And from 2000-2010, we lost 14,000 tenants who leave our district because they could not pay the rent.

Here this morning I was speaking to someone, Pedro, who live on 20 Sherman Street. He will not be able to sign his lease because his lease is not regulated any more. We're talking about a one-bedroom apartment at 20 Sherman Avenue that the rent right now is \$2,500. That's impossible for a community where the average income is \$34,000. I've been meeting with some developer persons, the four years that I have before, many developers they were interested to build in my district at 80/20. And as I told them, if I be--if I will be the council member in an upper-middle class community, I will support a 80/20. But in the working-class community, signing

2 for the 80/20 as the only interest of the private

3 sector to build, it will mean that the 80% market

4 will not be working-class or middle-class who have

5 | built those districts.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So for me I'm very excited to see how this Administration for the first time has looked at Northern Manhattan as one of their priorities. the previous administration in the 165 affordable housing units, Northern Manhattan only received 250 apartments, and only 2,500 were preserved. So we pay a price for that policy of the previous administration, and it is now in this current administration that I see the interest that the administration has shown that they are really committed. But I know that the interest of the administration is not only in my district. administration was working with leaders across the city. And for me, this is very important. This is This is different, and I would like to thank unique. Mayor de Blasio, and the whole team for what you're doing. And as you know, at the Council you will have a team because we want to be part of that effort to build more affordable housing for working-class and middle-class. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you and thank you all my colleagues who have actually been pretty good keeping everything under, for the most part, five or four minutes. Council Member Espinal, Cornegy, Mendez and Levine.

Thank you Chairman Williams. Good morning, good afternoon. I want to talk about my district. I think I'm in a very bad situation in that half my district is being displaced on the Bushwick side.

And in East New York I have a lot of small building owners, and two-family, two, three-family homeowners who faced--who kind of suffered through the foreclosure housing crisis. And I also want to applaud the Mayor on his bold affordable housing plan that will begin in East New York very soon. So, I'm excited about that.

And, you know, the packages of bills and resolutions we have here, I stand behind——I stand behind my colleagues on this. But, you know, my concern is that, you know, hefty tax assessments, DEP water rates raising, my lower and middle working class families are suffering because of those situations. And often times forced to raise the rent

2 on tenants. And so, you know, I want to know is

3 there a balance that we can reach, and how is the

4 Administration working on that on helping these small

5 building owners, small homeowners who are trying to

6 do the right and provide affordable rent. But they

7 | can't because their hands are being forced by these

8 tax assessments, and these DEP water bills.

Thank you so much EMMA WOLFE: Councilman. We're looking forward very much working with you in your districts as well. I think we should hopefully convene offline to talk about specific issues that you're raising about your district. And those are certainly some concerns that this Administration shares. Specifically, on the issue of displacement, the Mayor and the Administration put forth an Anti-Displacement Proposal in his State of the City several weeks ago. This does not directly relate to any specific constituent, but it does relate areas and re-zonings. And full legal services for tenants in need. again, ask Albany to assist the city in terms of legal services when he was in Albany lat week. Regarding the specific concerns that you were talking

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

about, I think it would be terrific to meet and
discuss them further.

COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Yeah, thank you, guys. Yeah, it's a big issue, and I think there have been various stories that have been out there of homeowners in my district who are paying more in property taxes than other parts of the city, than more affluent neighborhoods. So I think it's something we should look at. I think it's a great way of how we can do battle with this affordable housing crisis across the city.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. We've also been joined by Council Members Reynoso and Ulrich. Next, we have Council Member Cornegy followed by Council Members Mendez and Levine.

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Good morning.

So while--and thank you, Chair Williams. While I share the sentiments of all of my colleagues about making sure that we can maintain affordability, I serve in a district that's primarily brownstone homes. And I wanted to know whether or not HPD is considering any programs that would assist homeowners in, you know, three and under to help make their apartments affordable?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

you. Let me say that my role is as the Assistant Commissioner for Research and Evaluation. So I am not always familiar with all of our programs that are under development, but I can say that the HVS while we focused many of our remarks here today on rent stabilization, and the current affordability crisis and overall supply of housing citywide, that the HVS does collect data. And is designed to be a representative survey of all units, housing units across the city. And that, of course, includes units in smaller properties, owner-occupied buildings, one to fours. All of those kinds of properties. So while we didn't include those findings here today, as I did mention in my testimony, over the coming months we'll be rolling out additional analyses. certainly, we recognize the importance of smaller buildings, and owner-occupied and particular one to four unit brownstones. Thank you.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER:

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY:

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: So my hope is that we'll have some dialogue, you know, later on about districts like mine. I guess there are one or two others like mine who find ourselves,

prior to 1983 or--

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: '93.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: Yes. So I don't have those numbers with me, but the HVS has been conducted tri-annually since 1965, and that includes estimates for the total rent stabilized stock in each of those waves. We did conduct the HVS in 1991, and I'm happy to provide those numbers to you as a follow up.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Okay. As I'm looking at this—this, they say in 2014, the number of non-regulated units is less than the number of rent stabilized units. I kind of find it hard to believe because in my district and in the rest of New York City, we've been bleeding rent stabilized units, you know, coming out of the program. And we've just been building more luxury units. So, these numbers and this data was done in the same manner as they've always been done for the Housing and Vacancy Survey?

as I stated we--every tri-annual survey produce an estimate of the total rent stabilized stock as well as several other classifications of units throughout the city. So the numbers I gave on private non-regulated are only one additional source or type of

2 stock in addition to rent stabilized. One important

3 thing to note is that the Housing and Vacancy Survey

4 is not designed to track units or specific units over

5 | time, as I mentioned before with regard to rent

6 controlled units. Nor is able to actually measure in

any reliable way the direct loss of stock or--

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that? I didn't hear that--Nor is it designed to--

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: Nor is it designed to specifically enumerate units that exit.

For example, rent stabilization or are added to. So in other words, the 2014 is a current point in time estimate of the number or rent stabilized units.

That number is statistically the same meaning that it's within the margin of error from 2011 estimates, but beneath those numbers we know that there have been exits from the rent stabilized stock through deregulation as well as expiring tax benefits. As well as additions to the stock through other programs including affordable housing development. And through tax benefit programs such as J51 and 421A.

So in other words, the 2014 number of rent stabilized

2.1

2.2

2 units shows that we added about the same number of 3 units that were lost since 2011.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: So there's no way to determine, right, if there is an increase in rent stabilized units. If it was because a Mitchell-Lama opted out of the program and decided to have rent stabilization. There is no way of tracking that?

But that could be the case?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: That is correct. There is no way to track that, and yes that is one possible source of--of those changes to the stock.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Okay. And there's no way of tracking or any kind of data that would show us how many of these units regulated or not are undergoing co-op conversion? Is that correct?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: That's correct. The HVS collects many details about the current stock as well as population but, of course, it cannot collect every piece of information. And so the HVS is not a data source for those kinds of things.

2.2

2	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Okay. In the
3	testimony it also says Give me one second. Fifty-
4	six percent of the rent occupied households are rent
5	burdened, and 33.5% are severely rent burdened. With
6	56%30%they're paying more than 30% of the monthly
7	income. So what is severely rent burdened mean?
8	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: So these
9	are important measurements that we not only use here
10	in New York City but, in fact, are used across the
11	country for housing research. So the rent burden, as
12	you said, is an indicator of a household paying more
13	than 30% of their monthly income toward housing
14	costs. Severe rent burdened is an indicator where a
15	household is paying more than half, more than 50%
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: [interposing]
17	More than 50%?
18	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER:of
19	their income toward housing costs.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: So a rent burden
21	would be 31 to 49%?
22	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: A rent

burden is considered anything above 30%. And, in fact, the severe rent burdened is a subset of rent burdened.

24

25

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you, Chair
3	Williams, and thank you all for being here. It's
4	wonderful to see you. I think it's been 20 years
5	since we've had a Mayor and a mayoral team who is
6	actually going to bat for tenants in Albany the way
7	you all are. Thank you for taking such a strong
8	stand in favor of not only renewal, but strengthening
9	of the rent laws. It makes all the difference in the
10	world to have your voice in this, and it really has
11	heartened tenants. And I know that you know that a
12	mere renewal of the rent law actually would not be a
13	victory for tenants. Because it would lock into
14	place the myriad of weaknesses of the existing law,
15	most pernicious among them being Vacancy Decontrol.
16	Which has created this perverse incentive for
17	landlords to push tenants out so they can double or
18	triple the rents. So landlords are also resorting to
19	all sorts of tactics, harassment and pressure to get
20	tenants out. And they're hauling tenants into
21	Housing Court where they know most tenants won't have
22	lawyers hoping that that will intimidate tenants out.
23	And I'm wondering whether that angle of

it, the terrible situation in Housing Court could be

part of our agenda in Albany this year. Are there

2 State funding streams that we can pursue that might

3 help us get to the goal that I and I think many of my

4 | colleagues share. That every tenant in Housing

5 Court, or at least low-income Housing Court tenants,

6 tenants--low-income tenants in Housing Court would

have the benefit of an attorney in taking on

8 landlords.

1

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you very much Council EMMA WOLFE: Member and I think the Mayor noted this in his State of the City, but again, thank you for all of your advocacy for legal services. And the Council's historic role in getting tenants legal services who are in need. The Mayor said in his testimony in Albany, to your question, that if the State is unable to enforce rent laws and protect tenants, we ask that it allocate funds so the tenants can help themselves by providing legal services to victims of landlord neglect or harassment. That's almost a full-out quote from the--from the testimony. So that is certainly a common goal that we share, and we would love to work with you on this goal in the coming weeks and months.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Beautiful. The idea that I've heard proposed is that the State

- 2 support for homeless services, which costs so much.
- 3 We're spending \$30,000 more a year per person in the
- 4 | homeless shelters. But some of that could be
- 5 diverted to prevent homelessness, by preventing
- 6 eviction. It's so cost-effective. You spend two or
- 7 | three thousand on a lawyer. You prevent that
- 8 | eviction. It's the single biggest cause of family
- 9 homelessness. I wonder whether you've thought about
- 10 using that stream of money, the hundreds of millions
- 11 | I believe the State is putting towards homeless
- 12 | shelters towards prevention in this way?
- 13 EMMA WOLFE: Thank you. It's certainly
- 14 | something we should follow up on with you. The
- 15 Mayor also testified when he was in Albany
- 16 | specifically about homelessness, and the existing
- 17 | funding streams that we're already concerned about.
- 18 So we should follow up on that. Thank you.
- 19 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: I want to shift
- 20 gears in the remaining moments to the question of
- 21 | Mitchell-Lama. Which there are many Mitchell-Lama
- 22 | buildings I think in all of our districts, certainly
- 23 | in mine, and there's a quirk in the law that
- 24 | buildings that were built before 1974 when they
- 25 expire out of the program generally they go under--

2.2

built before 1974, while the current tenants might be grandfathered in, if you will, in fact, any vacancy at all becomes a market rate unit. Then we have to go through the charade of investing in some sort of expensive innovation to make the formula work. And the buildings in my district, that's resulted in a dramatic loss of affordable units. And I'm wondering whether that could also be on the agenda. We want to keep these units not just for the families who are in them. That's important, but for affordability for New Yorkers, whoever they are, going forward.

Mentioned earlier that the HVS collects data on the entire housing inventory. And, of course, Mitchell-Lama is a very large and very important part of our stock. The HVS does collect information on Mitchell-Lamas, which we're happy to work with you and try to actually build out some information about Mitchell-Lamas to see what we're able to actually cull from our data that we collect. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. Just I think it was Council Member Mendez who was asking

2 some questions, but according to our members between

3 | 1994 and 2013, we also brought 133 units in the

4 regulation. And I think Council Member Reynoso also

5 has some questions.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yes. morning. I think so. Yes, it's still a good I'm grateful I can be here. Thank you, morning. Chair. I just wanted to speak to a couple of concerns that I currently have. I just want to say I want to--I agree with Council Member Levine and other members of the City Council that say that there is no Mayor and no administration that has really gone to bat for tenants the way you guys have, and I extremely appreciate it -- appreciate it. And, I think overall it's going to allow for HPD and our local organizations to have to work a lot less harder to take care of tenants when rent regulation or the rent laws move closer to where we want them to be. matter how bleak some folks think, or how tough they think that might be, it's extremely necessary.

I just want to talk about Williamsburg and Bushwick as a special case. And like I want to add Bed-Stuy to that conversation and that I represent it right now with my brother Robert

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Cornegy, who is sitting next to me, he does-the level of harassment and negligence is second to none in those three communities. To none in those three communities, and so much so that our tenants are not only facing obstacles that include these terrible landlords that are destroying apartments while tenants are living in them. So that's a different type of conversation. There are 10-foot or 8-foot holes in living rooms, completely destroyed bathrooms while tenants are cooking in their kitchens. They come out when the landlords leave, and they come and see these holes. And they're dealing with that. But on top of that, they're also dealing with City agencies or members of the City agencies that are complicit in allowing a lot of these landlords to do this, to make this happen.

So I want to say that because this didn't happen in all of the City of New York. It happened in Williamsburg, Bushwick, and Bed-Stuy. That the rent laws are incapable of taking care of our tenants because of how ridiculous the real estate market is there. How ridiculous it is. Rent laws alone are not going to do what we need it to do, even though they would help us or want you guys to advocate.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

But, from the City's perspective, in locations like Williams and Bushwick and Bed-Stuy, where the real estate has a different type of— It's a different type of hold on that community. What resources or what work is the City doing to compensate, or to counteract not only terrible landlords, but also agency members that might think they can make a profit from not taking care of our tenants?

EMMA WOLFE: Hi, Councilman. Thank you so much. I'm not sure if you were here during this part of the hearing. Just to reiterate, in the Mayor's State of the City, as you all know, the Mayor proposed legal services in places that were rezoning areas. As you've noted, these areas that you're pointed out are places that have either already been rezoned or there is just a lot of construction happening. So we also went to Albany last week, and reiterated our request that if Albany is unable to enforce current rent laws--and enforcement means harassment, negligence, repairs, et cetera--then we would ask for funding to provide legal services to every tenant in need. We would love to get your support for that request to Albany. Specifically on other HPD ,and other agency initiatives, I'll turn to

2.2

off line.

my colleague the Assistant Commissioner, but it may also be something that we should follow up with you

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Yeah, I would--I would love that. I just want to say that I know that we're going to have that--I also wanted to say that the rezoning has already happened in Williamsburg--

EMMA WOLFE: [interposing] I know that.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: --and it's happening quickly in Bushwick. By the time we get our ducks in order, we won't have any more tenants to take care of. So I just wanted to be very mindful that we're not going to be rezoned in Bushwick--in Williamsburg any more. But the harassment is intense.

EMMA WOLFE: Thank you.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: I just wanted to add that although my role obviously is—here as research and evaluation that this is obviously an issue that HPD is very concerned about. And certainly relates to not only rent laws, but more broadly multiple dwelling and just habitability for all New Yorkers. As you know, HPD has recently joined a task force with Department of Buildings,

Department of--DOI, HCR, Tenant Protection Unit,

among others. And that we're really very actively

trying to pursue and correct that kind of abuse.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I would just want to note that there is a housing task force in our community that she--that you just spoke to--it's really, really helpful in making sure that we're coordinated and are working together. This is the first time in our community that we actually have all the agencies sitting at one table. And we're going apartment and house and house-by-house. But just the resources that those not-for-profits they're doing extra work. Work that -- they're fighting landlords that just don't care. You know, the laws that you can get away with in Williamsburg. So I just want to say that I would really like to see some of those initiatives regarding housing tailed to communities that are still struggling like Williamsburg and Bushwick. And that they will not be neglected because they're not locations where zonings are going to happen. So thank you.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: Thank you.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

3 Council Member Mendez has an additional question.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you. I think I know the answer to this, but the Housing and Vacancy Survey doesn't take into consideration also any units that may have been deregulated as a result of MCIs, is that correct?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: That is correct. Again, what the HVS focuses on is the most accurate incomplete point-in-time estimate, which over time we're able to look at net changes in the stock. But not losses or gains from specific sources including major capital improvements.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: So maybe this is something the Administration can go to—can go to bat for is limiting MCIs within, you know, a percentage that can be done within a certain amount of years.

Because what's happening is he's talking about the harassment, but what I'm seeing in my district is renovation, harassment by renovation. Where, you know, you come in—you come home from work, there's dust, a broken ceiling, everything caves in. And by the time HPD gets there, they fix everything. Then they give you MCIs— I don't know what's the

2.2

appropriate word. Up your lease. I don't know how to say it, and then you're de-regulated. So I think we need to figure out how we control that. And with tenants, you know, they're living through a horrible time. And then, by the time HPD gets there, they can't even document all the violations before they cured them. So that becomes the other problem so-

EMMA WOLFE: Thank you, Council Member.

MCI and IAI, both the Major Capital Improvements and the Individual Apartment Improvements are both two things that we're also looking at for the Albany Legislative Agenda. I'm happy to talk to you over the coming days and weeks.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, and I would just like to make sure people remember this is—

- We talked a lot about the price point of the rent, which is, of course, critically important. But also the protections that come with rent regulation, which is also a reason why we should be fighting for it.

So that you have automatic release renewals. So you have the ability to fight for a rent repair without fear of getting eviction. Whether or not they

actually do it is something else, but at least you don't have that fear. And so, those protections I think are just as important as the price point, and people at all price points I believe deserve to have those protections. So sometimes that's lost in the argument, and I think it is something that we have to keep pressure on. So people just don't think about the rent, which is important, but the protections that these tenants have.

Having been a housing organizer when tenants would come to me, I would ask them if they're in a private home or leasing an apartment. And I would tell them they had the right to try to get these repairs, but they also may not get a lease renewal. That's something I have to tell somebody, and that's a terrible decision for them to have to make even though it's illegal to connect the two. But the landlord might when there's no protection there for them. So I have a couple more questions. First, the number of rent—rent stabilized units in HVS increased by just over 9,000. Can you explain what the increase is based on? I was kind of surprised to see that.

2.2

Of

course. So as a reminder, the Housing and Vacancy
Survey is a survey, which means that all of our
numbers that we present in percentages and
corresponding analysis should be thought of as
estimates. Meaning that they're subject to sampling
and non-sampling error. So while the numbers report
an increase of some 9,000 units, that's, in fact,
within the margin of error. And is, in fact,
statistically the same as the number of rent
stabilized units in 2011 when we used the same
methodology for calculating rent stabilized units.
We made some minor modifications for the 2014 HVS for
how we identified rent stabilized units to try to
improve our accuracy and validity of those numbers.
But essentially, 2011 and 2014 we saw about the same
number of rent stabilized units citywide.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So to the second part, I did read somewhere that it might have been more accuracy in reporting. Do you think it's more of more accuracy in reporting, or just a blip? Like you're seeing statistically an insignificant amount?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: So we feel confident that the methodology that we used in

2.2

2014 is the best and most accurate number that we
can. As I mentioned briefly in my testimony, we work
very closely with the Census Bureau to develop our
methodology that we'll employ in a given wave. For
2014, that means that we're combining information
from HCR registrations; information about a building
in which a building is located; and various tenant
characteristics. For 2014, our estimates are
slightly higher than they have been in previous waves
due to some of those methodological changes. But
again, that's we believe the most accurate, and the
most valid estimate that we can produce.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, if you have an even better accurate way of doing it in the next HVS, we may see another increase?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: Again, not an increase. Statistically the same.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. Do you know how many can be attributed to newly constructed buildings?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: Sure.

So, unfortunately, this is one of those things where

we-- Obviously, one source of rent stabilized units

is new construction. Additions to the housing supply

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

within the city.

2 in general are obviously the result of both new

3 construction as well as alterations or conversions.

4 The focus of the HVS and particularly from the Census

5 Bureau's perspective is to come up with the best

6 current estimates without focusing so much on sources

7 and changes between waves. So we can't really do

8 | that in a reliable way. But, of course, we certainly

9 know that there has been a tremendous amount of new

10 | construction over the last few years.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So do you have any ideas independent of HVS how many of those new, or might be new units--all of the 9,000 units were of new construction?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER:

Unfortunately, the way that it takes multiple sources of information to be combined, very, very carefully combined to be able to understand. Whether a unit is subject to rent stabilization or not means that the three years of contracting that we do with the Census Bureau. And a great many people contribute to doing this survey and coming up with these estimates. There is just no corresponding way that we can replicate that even with the administrative data here

2	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: All right, do you
3	know how many units were built in the last three
4	years?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: The

Department of Buildings does issue new building

permits, and the Department of City Planning does

track that and reports that back. So, that's not

available through the HVS per se, but yes we do know

how many have been constructed.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Do you have the number today?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: I do not, but I'm happy to follow up with--

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] Okay.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GAUMER: --permit-building permit data for you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, I'd like to get that number. [off mic] You probably know the answer, but we'd also like to know how many rent stabilizing units were created based on tax benefits? And I guess you probably don't have that today, but it would be great to have that. And if we could have

2.2

EMMA WOLFE: In the coming weeks.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

65

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: In the coming 3 weeks?

EMMA WOLFE: The coming weeks.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: That could be two weeks or five weeks.

EMMA WOLFE: It is a flexible phrase.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yes, it is.

[laughs] So, we will more than likely be having another hearing 422-A in the coming weeks, and hopefully we'll have that so we can have it on the record. The Resos that are here today are critically important. So I just wanted to make that clear, including the ones that were brought up by Council Member Levine, Mitchell-Lama and Section 8. And my hope is that we will be aligned in the coming weeks when you come out with your statements of what your position is because I think that's going to be the best way to strengthen it. We more likely will have another hearing on rent regulation as well. We'll ask on the record what your positions are. So this is the first of-- Well, I guess will at least be two or three hearings dealing with rent regulation.

I know we have to do this together, and I hope it's that we are really going to be aligned on

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 67
2	this. But again, those are critical points, and not
3	ones that we think we're going to bend on. At least,
4	I'm not going to bend onto these resolutions, and I
5	think the Committee will stand behind me on that.
6	So, thank you very much for coming out, and sharing
7	some time with us and discussions these issues. I
8	look forward to working with you as we go to the
9	State. Thank you very much.
10	[pause]
11	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: We're going to
12	take about a 10-minute recess, and then we'll come
13	back. And we have one person from an elected
14	official's office, Hally Chiu, Policy Analyst from
15	Gale Brewer, who will be testifying next.
16	[pause]
17	SERGEANT-A-ARMS: Ladies and gentlemen,
18	please quiet down, and find a seat. We're beginning-
19	-we're starting the meeting up again. Once again, if
20	everybody can just find their seats. We're starting
21	the hearing.
22	[background conversation]
23	[gavel]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So we have Hally Chiu. Hally is from Gale Brewer's Office. After her we have on deck Ilana Maier and Kay Goldstein.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can you please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and to respond honestly to council member questions?

HALLY CHIU: I do.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. Okay, you can begin.

HALLY CHIU: Okay. So, the Borough

President has another engagement so I'm just going to read from her prepared testimony.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS:} \quad \mbox{Can you pull the } \\ \mbox{mic close to you.}$

HALLY CHIU: This is better? Okay. So

I'm just going to read her prepared testimony. Good

morning. My name is Gale A. Brewer, and I am the

Manhattan Borough President. Thank you to Chair

Williams and the member of the Housing and Buildings

Committee for the opportunity to testify today on the

issue of the ongoing housing emergency in New York

2.2

City, and seeking renewal of the New York State Rent Stabilization Law. On February 24, 2015, the U.S.

Census Bureau released early findings from the latest Housing and Vacancy Survey that is expected to be published in June of this year. According to these preliminary statistics, an estimated 3.45% of New York City residential units are classified as vacant in 2014 after adjusting for inflation. While this is a slight increase from the 3.12% end figure from 2011, it is still well below the 5% vacancy threshold generally deemed necessary to declare a housing

emergency requiring ongoing rent regulation.

Additionally, preliminary numbers show that the median rent in New York City has increased 3.4% in the three years between the two latest housing and vacancy reports. Rising to a median rent of \$1,200 a month for rent regulated units. Yet, the median household income in the city only increased 1.1% between 2010 and 2013. So, clearly rents have risen at a much higher rate than the increase in household income. Most importantly statistics show that in light of the stagnant household income and steadily rising rents, at least half of the New York City households spend more than 30% of their annual

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

income on housing expenses. We need to extend rent stabilization for New York City as part of a larger conversation of rent regulation reform taking place this spring in Albany. To ensure more equitable and affordable housing, the larger conversation must adjust the following issues:

First, is eliminate vacancy deregulation. The both eye [sic] on the back of every rent regulation tenant is vacancy high rate rent deregulation. Virtually, every vacancy that occurs in a regulated apartment can result in deregulation. The temptation that is dangled before owners in the event of a vacancy creates s predatory/prey relationship between landlords and tenants. Currently, deregulation occurs when an owner can during a vacancy impose rent increases legitimately or otherwise that bring the rent to a \$2,500 level. These increases are taken through a combination of statutory vacancy bonuses usually at 20% plus whatever individual apartment increases are imposed and then the rent increases. While it is important that the vacancy bonus be repealed and individual apartment increase systems be reformed, eliminating the reward for deregulation is imperative.

2.2

Based on past experience, accommodations [sic] may be made by way of simply increasing the deregulation threshold. This will merely set the goal post further out, and owners will still continue to impose increases through vacancies or capital improvements to reach the deregulation threshold.

The second is eliminate vacancy increase. Each time a regulated unit becomes vacant, landlords are entitled to increase the base rent by up to 20% of the amount that the previous tenant was paying. Eliminating this large bonus that is imposed with every vacancy would slow down one of the driving forces behind rapidly rising rents.

Mr. Walter M. of West Harlem understand first hand the impact that vacancy deregulation has on the ability to afford a home. In May of 2014, Walter, a Spanish-Speaking constituent sought help from my office better understand why his landlord was charging \$2,050 per month for a one-bedroom apartment with him and his wife when the previous tenant paid \$670 per month under Senior Citizen's Rent Increase Exemption on the same unit with a legal rent of only \$875. After my staff inquired at the New York State Homes and Community Renewal, we found out that due to

2.2

a two-year vacancy and individual unit capital improvements on the unit, it was legally deregulated to the then deregulated to about—to then deregulation threshold of about \$2,000 a month. As a result, Walter needed to pay \$6,000 in back rent from the time he was disputing his rent, and only then did he understand the impact of deregulation on him and his family.

Individual apartment increases or IAIs are the second step by which most rents rise to the level of deregulation. When an apartment is vacant, owners impose an increase representing one-fortieth or one-sixtieth of the actual cost of so-called improvements completed during the vacancy. As advocates from the housing legal service sector can attest, there is a lack of oversight on the state level that allows landlords to remain unaccountable for their actual apartment capital improvements. There have been instances where landlords claimed IAIs with fictitious improvements, and in other cases work was performed, but associated costs were exaggerated.

On the other hand, I recognize the importance of IAIs for improving housing conditions

2.2

where rent regulated tenants. But problems arise when owners impose increases for the performance of long needed repairs and maintenance without accountability. This is why it is imperative that IAIs be granted only with an oversight procedure that requires an owner to apply for the increase, and require New York State Homes and Community Renewal to grant approval only after an inspection to verify that the claimed work was performed. And also, elimination of the four-year challenge period that currently bars disputes over IAI rent increases once the period expires.

And fourth is MCI reform. Major capital improvements or MCI increases are incurred when an owner performs building wide capital improvement to the building, and then is permitted to pass the cost of such work onto the tenants. MCIs currently become part of the tenant's permanent base rent. The amount supposedly spent by the owner is never amortized. Serial compounded MCIs are another means by which rents have been rapidly rising out of control. They must be separated from the base rent calculation and terminate when the cost of the improvement is recouped.

2.2

And finally, rent control reform. I am advocating for an end to the 7.5% increases and fuel pass-alongs that have been imposed on rent control tenancies over the past 20 years. Rent control increases should be calculated based on average rent guidelines for rent increase. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I look forward to working with members of this committee, and other advocates in working in Albany to see the authorization and reform of the State's rent regulated—rent stabilization law. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very much for your testimony, and we've also been joined by Council Member Torres. Does anyone have any questions? Thank you very much.

HALLY CHIU: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Next up we have

Ilana Maier from the Met Council and Katie Goldstein

from Tenants and Neighbors. They will be followed by

Robert Decherd, Legal Aid Society; Barbara Graves
Poller, MFY. We're going to go with Ms. Genova

because I messed up the first name. I apologize.

From NYLAG, and Anita Wu from Manhattan Legal

Services. So if they can get ready to come up, that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

would be great. For the record, we have testimony from the Urban Justice Center and CHIP. Can you please raise your right hand? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and to respond honestly to council member questions? Thank you very much. You can proceed with your testimony.

[pause]

ILANA MAIER: Hi. Thank you for providing me with this opportunity to speak to speak about rent regulation in New York City. My name is Ilana Maier, and I'm here on behalf of Met Council on Housing, a non-profit organization dedicated to fighting for stronger rent laws, and providing onthe-ground support for New York City's most vulnerable tenants. We believe that all New Yorkers have a right to safe, stable, and affordable housing. [sneezes] Excuse me. As the City and State both move to renew New York City's rent laws and act to address the worsening housing crisis, we are encouraged by the attention and support we have received from our elected official. Thank you to Speaker Heastie for supporting New York tenants. We are heartened that you've have made the strengthening the rent laws your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

top priority. And, thank you Mayor de Blasio for not only working to create more affordable housing, but also for vocally calling for an appeal to deregulation.

Additionally, we are grateful for the support of City Council members who are working diligently to strengthen the city's rent laws and support tenants including the sponsors of the 2015-of the city's 2015 rent law renewal, Council Member Johnson, Council Member Williams and Council Member Rosenthal. New York City is facing a housing crisis that will continue to worsen unless we significantly reform and strengthen our rent laws. Each year the City becomes less affordable as families are pushed out of their homes and communities to make room for more luxury buildings. The rent laws directly affect over one million homes and two and a half million New Yorkers. The number of families now living in our homeless shelters has reached unacceptable levels. There are more than 60,000 people in shelters each night including over 25,000 children. It is no secret that the main cause of homelessness in New York City is the lack of affordable housing. Find affordable housing is almost impossible.

2 The 2015 Housing and Vacancy Survey revealed an extremely low overall vacancy rate of 3 4 3.45%. The vacancy rate for un-stabilized units is 5 even lower at only 2.29%. And the vacancy rate for 6 low-end apartments, which rent for \$800 or less a 7 month is a meager 1.8%. Not only are families unable to find affordable housing, but our current rent laws 8 encourage landlords to harass and evict families. 9 The most problematic part of our rent laws and the 10 underlying reason for most of the housing crisis is 11 12 vacancy deregulation. Currently, an apartment can be removed from the rent regulations when the monthly 13 rent exceeds \$2,500 and the unit is vacant. Once a 14 15 unit is vacant, it is exceedingly easy for landlords 16 to raise the rent through various loopholes creating a significant financial incentive for landlords to 17 18 push families out of their homes. This can occur in a variety of ways, which regularly depend on the 19 20 systemic negligence and harassment. Increasingly, this harassment is coming in dangerous forms such as 21 2.2 the use of building wide construction as 23 intimidation. Vacancy deregulation has disastrous consequences for both tenants and communities, and we

24

1

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

78

2 cannot accept any rent laws that stop short of full

3 repeal.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

One of the most common ways that landlords increase an apartment's value is through the abuse of major capital improvements, also know as MCIs. Individual Apartment Improvements such as IAIs, and preferential rents. Under our current laws when landlords use MCIs to make improvements to the entire building or IAIs to alter an individual unit, the rent is permanently increased. This increase remains in effect at the tenant's expense even after the upgrade has been entirely paid off, creating an incentive for landlords to make unnecessary improvements in order to raise the rent and get closer to the \$2,500 deregulation threshold. Preferential rents, the practice of offering a tenant a lower rent than legally allowed is often misunderstood as a generous favor to tenants. in reality that rent is only offered if the legal rent is higher than the market value. However, when a tenant renews their lease, landlords can increase the rent to the legal amount without warning. Creating unforeseen and astronomical rent increases

and contributing to rapid displacement particularly in gentrified neighborhoods.

We strongly urge the City Council to push their colleagues in Albany to not only renew the rent laws, but to strengthen them by repealing vacancy deregulation, and imposing meaningful reforms. rent laws affect not only the millions living in rent regulated housing. They affect our communities, and they set the tone of the kind of city we want to live If we don't strengthen the rent laws, New York will quickly become a city for millionaires, and we will lose the ingenuity and creativity that make it an amazing place to live. We have the opportunity this year to reverse the housing crisis, and create affordable communities. We cannot build or subsidize our way out of the housing crisis. We must create rent laws that encourage people to build their lives and raise their families in this city. Right now, we have laws that encourage landlords to push families into homeless shelters, and force people to choose between homelessness and leaving the city everyday. We believe that we can and must do better, and it begins with strengthening the rent laws. Thank you.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

KATIE GOLDSTEIN: Chair you, Chair
Williams and member of the Housing and Buildings
Committee for the opportunity to testify today. My
name is Katie Goldstein and I'm the Executive
Director of Tenants and Neighbors. I know Chair
Williams, you're very familiar with the work of
Tenants and Neighbors. But we're a citywide tenant
rights and tenant advocacy organization. And our
main priority in terms of trying to curb the
affordable housing crisis in New York City is to
strengthen the rent laws, and most particularly to
repeat deregulation. And also, close loopholes that
make the rent regulated housing stock unaffordable.
So I'm goingI want to echo the comments that my
colleagues have made. And also just add a few more
pieces about why this is so important, and why this
is such an important year for tenants in New York
City.

New York City is in with no question the worst affordable housing crisis that it has ever seen, and this is—— And rent regulation itself is the largest source of affordable housing for low and moderate income tenants. So that encompasses what has been said earlier there are over a million units

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and 2.5 million tenants who live in rent regulation housing. And many of the units we've lost hundreds of thousands of rent regulated apartments in the past 20 years. And the data that was produced by the Housing and Vacancy Survey only echoes this problem. The median rent for rent stabilized apartment rose from \$1,073 in 2011 to \$1,200 in 2014, and then also rent burdens also rose. So these--this data shows the incredible need to strengthen the rent laws. And we know that the rent laws are broken. So the most-the biggest game changer that we could see this year is the appeal of deregulation, which would mean that we wouldn't lose one more unit of rent regulation housing. Which would be incredibly important for the future of diverse neighborhoods in New York City.

I also want to add that strengthening the rent laws is not just about preserving the--the preservation of affordable housing, which, of course, is incredibly important. But it's also an anti-harassment strategy. We're really in a tenant harassment crisis in New York City, and it's not just about bad actors that harass tenants. But also the fact that they are weak laws. They're incentives to try to get tenants out in order to get increased

much. How have your efforts been in Albany?

KATIE GOLDSTEIN: We are bringing up
hundreds of tenants tomorrow to lobby their

legislators in Albany.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. Do you have a question?

COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: [off mic] I do have a question. [on mic] Have you seen--have you seen because obviously I know--I knew Katie when we were organizing a few buildings in the Bronx. So I've dealt with you on the ground.

KATIE GOLDSTEIN: Uh-huh.

COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: Have you seen an increase in the number of cases of harassment?

Because it feels to me like market pressures have been more so than we've seen in the past decade.

They have been spiraling out of control. Has that

the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth

24

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2 in your testimony before this committee, and to 3 respond honestly to council member questions?

MALE SPEAKER: I do.

FEMALE SPEAKER: I do.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You can begin your testimony at your leisure.

ROBERT DECHERD: Good afternoon. Thank you, Chair for the opportunity to testify, and thank you to members of this committee. I'm Robert

Decherd. I'm a staff attorney with Legal Aid Society and in our role as attorneys who represents tenants in Housing Court we see everyday the need for strong rent laws, the need to strengthen the rent laws. And the abuses that tenants are subject to as a result of weak rent laws. And also as a result of the harassment that landlords heap upon them whether it's in the form of frivolous lawsuits. Whether it's in the form of failure or refusal to make repairs in their apartment.

As we go into the season where the rent laws are up for renewal in Albany, I think it is very important that this body is showing that there are a lot of issues that exist, and that this body is showing that they— As the body that represents most

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of the people who are living in rent stabilized apartments. It's very important that a strong statement is made to Albany about what is important and the protections that tenants down in New York City needs. We're also very encouraged by the Mayor's statement that the Vacancy Decontrol needs to be repealed. That's what is driving a lot of the harassment and allows a lot of the speculative practices to continue.

We are really at a critical time here because to allow the rent laws to continue as they are, to just be renewed and not strengthened, will just allow a slow death of the rent protections that tenants enjoy. And it's not just the rents, the protection of having stable rent. It's also the protection of having a lease, as a council member mentioned earlier, to be able to get a renewal lease. To be able to assert your rights against a landlord that does not do repairs or meet their obligations. It's a critical time also because we're seeing rents rapidly increasing. We're also seeing tenant's rent burdens increasing, and we're seeing incomes not keeping pace with other costs that tenants are seeing. So, I'm going to keep my comments brief.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

thank this body for its work. We need all the help
that we can get as tenants and tenant advocates as we
go and fight for stronger rent laws in Albany. And

5 | we thank you for your leadership.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

BARBARA GRAVES-POLLER: Good afternoon.

My name is Barbara Graves-Poller, and I'm Supervising Attorney at MFY Legal Services. MFY envisions a society in which no one is denied justice because he or she cannot afford an attorney in Housing Court or otherwise. We represent clients in a wide range of economic justice, disability, and aging rights, family law, and immigration issues. I just want to limit my brief comments today to some ways in which the unique populations that we serve are uniquely harmed by the threat rent stabilization. Some in this state may consider our rent stabilization laws to be a mere matter of convenience. But advocates who represent tenants in New York City's rent controlled and rent stabilized apartments know that this is simply not true. In fact, the regulations at issue prevent thousands of families with young children, people with disabilities, and other working poor individuals from being homeless. Examples from

our client population paint a picture of just how
important rent stabilization as well as the right to
succession to units really is in the lives of

5 | vulnerable New Yorkers.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Last year, MFY handled 4,220 active housing cases. Approximately 70% of our kinship caregivers, those are grandparents and other relatives who are raising children and their extended families, they live in rent regulated housing as do 90% of the clients served by our Mental Health Law Project. Likewise, roughly 90% of the clients in our Lower Manhattan Justice Project are low-income immigrants who in almost every case reside in rent regulated housing. And a majority of our clients in our Seniors Project, many of whom are in their 90s, are also living in rent regulation housing. Seventyfive percent of our clients subsist on public benefits alone. Of the remaining 25%, about half of those are employed, but are still eligible for some form of public benefits because of their low incomes.

I want to give you experiences of two clients. One is Ms. B. She has—she's a 58-year-old woman who lives in a Washington Heights apartment that rents for about \$900 a month. For many years

2.1

2.2

Ms. B worked as retail cashier. And in addition to having serious health issues of her own, she was the primary caregiver for her elderly father with whom she lived. She applied for SSI because of her disability and when her father passed away, Ms. B continued living in the apartment, but was served with eviction papers by a landlord who challenged her right to succession guaranteed under the Rent Stabilization Laws. For three years, we represented her and her legal battle to remain in the apartment, and secure the disability benefits to which she was entitled. Without Rent Stabilization Laws to protect her tenancy as a successor to the apartment, she would have faced homelessness.

The second client is Mr. A. He is an 87year-old man who has lived in his rent stabilized
apartment for almost half a century. He worked as a
caterer before retirement, and now volunteers in a
soup kitchen. Mr. A lives on his Social Security
benefits of \$1,340 a month. So his rent of \$1,029
per month consumes 74% of his benefit income. [bell]
So these are the kinds of individuals who are being
protected by the Rent Stabilization Laws that we
have, and these laws need to be not only extended but

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 strengthened. And we thank you for your leadership
3 on these issues.

ANITA WU: My name Anita Wu and I'm staff attorney at Manhattan Legal Services. I'm speaking on behalf of Legal Services NYC, the National Organization of Legal Services Workers and the Local 2320 of the UAW. Thank you for the opportunity to give testimony today before the Committee on Housing and Buildings. Legal Services NYC is one of the largest providers of legal services for the poor, and annually provides legal assistance to thousands of low-income clients throughout the city. Offices regularly advocate on behalf of low-income tenants who benefit from the protections afforded by rent regulation laws. The cases we handle on a daily basis illustrates how the renewal of rent regulation laws is critical to the preservation of affordable housing. Recently, a 93-year-old monolingual Chinese-speaking woman, who has lived in her rent stabilized Chinatown apartment for over 35 years came to me for help with her non-payment issue. She had lived with her husband, who recently passed away, with a frozen SCRIE amount--SCRIE rent amount of \$520. Her household income now is from SSI for \$770,

2 but her rent is \$790. Because she is a rent

3 regulated tenant, we will be able to help her lower

4 her monthly rent through the SCRIE Program. However,

5 even with rent stabilization, she will barely be able

6 to afford her rent.

2.2

Another case involving a non-English speaking single mother of three children who was sued in a non-payment case. She also lives in a rent stabilized apartment. When examining the rent breakdown, we realized that landlord was increasing her rent higher than what was legally allowed under the Rent Regulatory Guidelines. But due to the protections under rent stabilization, she will--she was ultimately awarded a rent credit by the courts.

These clients and thousands of others like then are able to survive in New York City solely thanks to rent regulation. We strongly support the renewal of New York City's Rent Regulation laws. Rent regulation is a vital tool for the preservation of affordable housing for the city's most vulnerable low-income residents. Thank you.

CATERINA GENOVA: Maybe you could pass that over to me. Thank you, Chair Williams, council members, staff. Good morning or good afternoon,

stabilization legislation.

2.2

rather and thank you for the opportunity to speak about rent control and rent stabilization in New York City today. And I'm going to try to keep my remarks brief. It is safe to say that—— I'm sorry. My name is Caterina Genova and I'm a staff attorney at the New York Legal Assistance Group, NYLAG, a non-profit law office that's dedicated to providing free legal services in civil law matters to a vast community of low—income New Yorkers. And we're testifying here today in support of rent control and rent

It is safe to say that New York City is a city of renters. Approximately two-thirds of New York City's three million households rent. Yet, New York City is facing a serve crisis of affordable housing for low and middle-income families. Between the years 2000 and 2012 median apartment rents in New York City rose by 75% while incomes remained stagnant. When half of the city's renters are rent burdened, spending 30% or more of the household income on rent, and almost 600,000 of New York City's renters are severely rent burdened paying 50% or more of their income for rent. City shelters are housing a staggering number of individuals and families

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

costing taxpayers as much as \$3,200 per family per
month.

As of March, 2014, there were over 50,000 adults in the shelter system and 20,000 children. There is currently an inadequate amount of affordable housing available. NYLAG strongly supports preservation of affordable housing. As the Council is undoubtedly aware, the New York City's--New York City is losing rent stabilized apartments at an alarming pace. The City lost more than 150,000 rent stabilized apartments between 1994 and 2012, and at the same time, aging stabilized buildings are opting out of their subsidies. This trend has forced entire neighborhoods to change and gentrify. Long-time New Yorkers are forced out affecting mostly low-income communities and communities of color. In addition to maintaining affordable housing stock and regulating rent, I would like to emphasize that regulated housing also provides stability for low and moderate income families as well as for neighborhoods and communities. Unlike private housing where tenants have little or no protection from being evicted upon the expiration of their leases. Our clients who live in non-regulated housing have to move as often as

every year leaving their communities, family members, support services, medical providers, and their children are forced to constantly change schools. We have seen this trend particularly pervasive in Queens where there's little rent stabilized or rent controlled housing stock already. We also see a lot of these families unable to find alternate housing once their lease has expired. And then, at that point they're often brought to Housing Court where they're sometimes able to gain more time to move. But, are often unable to find alternate housing, and ultimately end up in the over-burdened shelter

Now, with the end of the Advantage

Program [bell] Section 8 is no longer available, and rent caps with that subsidy being unrealistically low, we have seen an increase in the number of cases filed for evictions and people entering or trying to enter the shelter system. In addition to causing homeless—

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] I'm going to have to ask you to wrap your testimony up, please.

CATERINA GENOVA: Pardon?

2.1

2.2

system.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'm going to have to ask you to wrap your testimony up, please.

CATERINA GENOVA: Of course. In conclusion, we strongly you to pass the legislative—the legislation extending and expanding both rent stabilization and rent control in the city, and expanding affordable housing. And I thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

much for all your testimony. I don't know if my colleagues have any question. Seeing none, thank you so much for spending some time to help us strengthen the rent laws. Next, we have Tim Collins, Clara Perez-Joseph, Ora Majen, I believe, Murat Ersoy, Tom Waters, and Anna Ramos. Anna Ramos, I believe. I know she needs a translator.

[background comments]

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. You're

next. Right after this panel, will be Joseph Zapata,

Leandra Requena, Marcella Martinez and John Furlong

from ANHD so they can be on deck right now. So right

now we have again Tim Collins, Clara Perez-Joseph,

Ora Majen, Murat Ersoy, Tom Waters, and Anna Ramos.

[pause]

2.2

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 96 2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: One second. 3 Sorry. One second. If everybody can raise their 4 right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your 5 testimony before this committee, and to respond 6 7 honestly to council member questions? TIM COLLINS: I do. 8 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You can begin at 9 your leisure and at your preference in order. 10 Everyone has three minutes for testimony. 11 12 TIM COLLINS: Thank you, Chairman 13 Williams. My name is Tim Collins. As you know, I 14 was the former Executive Director of the City Rent 15 Guidelines Board. I'm now a partner with the tenant firm of Collins, Dobkin and Miller. I'd like to 16 17 make, you know, respond to -- I think what happens in 18 the industry is that you don't get necessarily programmatic or a lot of bill-based criticism. 19 20 There's a long shimmering actually very well financed public relations campaign against rent regulation. 21 Somehow we're left with the impression that there's 2.2 23 something unholy and unnatural about rent regulation.

The criticisms, the general criticisms are

24

25

That that the market would solve all of our problems.

2.2

problems.

philosophical. They purport to be somewhat pragmatic, and they're based upon important assumptions that need to be addressed. Among those assumptions are the ideas that rent regulation causes housing shortages, retards new construction, leads to deterioration, and abandonment. Unfairly reduces owner profits, hurts the local economy, causes declines in tax revenues, results in under-utilization of housing. Primarily benefits the rich,

hurts newcomers and co-op owners, and even leads to

homelessness, and probably causes a host of other

Everyone of those assumptions is weak at best and many of them are absolutely false, and I think it's important just to go through them very quickly. The idea that it causes housing shortages. Moderate rent regulation has been studied extensively There is no concrete empirical data to show that rent regulation has caused housing shortages. And retarding new construction clearly— New Jersey had an experiment back in the early 1970s where some rent controlled areas suffered a loss of 52% in new construction, but the balance of the State lost 88% in new construction during that recessionary period.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And nationwide, new construction went down 77%. So clearly, rent control in New Jersey didn't cause a loss in new construction. In the City of New York construction is based upon a lot of other things including zoning, interest rates, and so on. The amount of capital flow, the general demand, the in migration of new immigrants, and we've had a tremendous growth in the city in the last couple of decades.

Abandonment has been studied extensively. Abandonment occurred in cities as pervasively and severely in cities without rent regulation as in cities with rent regulation back in the '60s and '70s. Unfairly reduces owner profits. That's a tough one. You know, the City Rent Guidelines Board in order to keep owners whole would have had to have increased rents by 144% for 1990. That would have covered their cost of operation, and preserved net operating income against the effects of inflation. The Board voted 177% increases over that period while exceeding what the owners needed in order to get new or increased rents. That's evident from the HVS. It's event from repeated studies by the Board's won staff, and why they continue these excessive rent

- 2 increases I don't understand. They were clearly made
- 3 aware that they were excessive. If I may go on, Mr.
- 4 | Chairman. I know you--

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

5 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You can wrap up
6 and then we're going to have some questions that you
7 can respond to.

TIM COLLINS: Oh, okay. Very good. And, you know, listen, the thing is that yeah if you got rid of rent regulation the market would be free. There would be a tremendous amount of gentrification. The housing stock would increase in value. revenues would increase, but tenants would have less to spend on the local economy, and people would be displaced. In Cambridge, about a quarter of the people lived in Cambridge, Massachusetts when they ended rent regulation, were displaced. Rents went up 75%, and ultimately and fundamentally, people who think that nice housing and improved tax revenues should trump the interest of having affordable housing in the city rest upon the fundamental premise of class bigotry. You cannot permit that to happen in this city. You have to fight harder and keep it and these bills are great bills, by the way.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

3 Whoever would like to go next.

CLARA PEREZ-JOSEPH: Who's the next

5 person?

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You can decide.

CLARA PEREZ-JOSEPH: My name is Clara Perez-Joseph, and I'm here on behalf Los Inquilinos Unidos [speaking Spanish] Tenants United under the umbrella of the Fifth Avenue Committee. On behalf of Los Inquilinos Unidos, I'd like to thank Chairman Williams and the Housing Committee for giving the public and the constituents a voice today here. My personal story I have been a resident of Crown Heights for 49 years, 13 years in a rent controlled apartment and 36 years in a rent stabilized apartment. I raised three children there. I was a divorced parent over 20 years ago, and with all those challenges at least my children had the stability of belonging to a community where they can do their homework in peace and not having to worry about moving around.

I'm here because I want the rent stabilized regulations to be renewed, but to also be strengthened. There's a lot of protection with that.

If your landlord doesn't want to give you a lease, you complain to DHCR and they will take care of it. It takes a while, but they will answer. You have the warranty of having ability. If you have a case with DHCR, and the landlord then wants to take you for the same thing to Housing Court, he can't do it. are protections. And also, when they get rid of rent stabilized tenants, they also get rid of the neighborhood, of the mom and pop shops that used to services those tenants. They no longer have a clientele. and they have to move, and then you have that rapid gentrification. We don't want them to renew these rent stabilization laws and keep pulling out a clause or pulling out another clause. So the whole thing atrophies into nothing. We need to have the rent stabilized law renewed and strengthened so we can keep our children in the community. And for generations, they'll have--they'll know what community their parents grew up in. I took my children to Fort Green where I grew up the other day, and it was sad. Because I didn't recognize a thing, and we don't want that to happen to our children. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. Whoever 3 is--

ANNA RAMOS: [off mic] [Speaking Spanish] [on mic] [Speaking Spanish] TRANSLATOR: My name is Anna Ramos. We are here as part of Tenants

United/Los Inquilinos Unidos. We need people to pay attention to us about the rent stabilized laws. We need more protection for people with low income.

Some have SCRIE and we don't want to lose more benefits and protections for the tenants. We need more protections and the right for repairs, and dignified homes. We are claiming human rights for all the New York City tenants. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. Gracias.

TOM WATER: Hello. I'm Tom Waters from the Community Service Society of New York, and I don't have that much to add to what the other panelists have already said, but I do want to make three points. The first is that the fundamental purpose of rent regulation is respond to the persistent housing shortage in New York City that creates—can balance the power between tenants and landlords. The purpose of the law is to make sure

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 | landlords can't exploit this imbalance to impose

3 exorbitant rent increase, or unjustified evictions on

4 tenants. It's a matter of simple justice to prevent

5 those abuses from taking place, and really that is

6 the main reason for the rent laws and why you should

7 pass your Into and Resolution to extend that.

My second point is that the rent laws are a really important complement to the City's economic development policy. As you know, the City uses a lot of its attention and a lot of its authority, and a lot of its money to promote economic development in the City. And it's imperative that when it does that, the benefits are enjoyed by everybody, economic development usually results in rising rents, which means that only those who can afford to pay for the -- the benefits again through their rent share If you can't afford the rent, you are displaced and you're actively harmed by the economic development that was supposed to be a benefit for the whole community. So if you don't have protection against that kind of displacement, it becomes much harder to justify economic development as a priority in city policy. It's imperative to combine economic development with protections against displacement.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And my third point is that although the rent laws are not really an affordability program, and most rent regulated apartments are not affordable in the classic sense that the tenants in more than half of the apartment are paying more than 30% of their income as rent. Nevertheless, rent regulation has a tremendously important impact on affordability in the city for the good. You can't tell this from looking at the latest Housing and Vacancy Survey data that HPD has examined because they don't break it They don't break the affordable impacts of rent regulation out by income group. But for low-income New Yorkers, if you have a rent regulated apartment, your median--you're probably paying the median rent burden is for 40% of income--47% of income. For unregulated tenants, low-income tenants it's 51%. So there's 4% difference there. That doesn't get you down to the 30% it should be, but it's tremendously valuable. If you--you can--this is from the 2011 Housing and Vacancy Survey. You can also look at it in terms of how much money is left after you pay the rent [bell]. It's a difference between \$378 per household member of income after rent median for lowincome households versus \$333. It's the difference

MURAT ERSOY: So, hello everyone. My

first name is Turkish by the way, but I try my best
to explain what I have experienced with my landlord.

I am a member of Neighbors Helping Neighbors in

Sunset Park, Brooklyn. And I open a small Turkish
restaurant in Sunset Park, Brooklyn, and I'm chef and
owner of the restaurant. And I rent this apartment
in 2011, and belongs to Borough Park, LLC anyway. In

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2011, the economy was not just good for everybody and for me because I opened a restaurant, and I tried to fight to be paying my rent, my salaries, and all those things. And I was late to pay my rent two times with my landlord where I live in my house. And November 2013, my family was visiting me from Turkey to assemble, my sister, my mother, father for New Year and celebrate to opening the new restaurant with me also. And I find some marshals put letters on my door because it's Friday night when I wasn't home, and I had to stay outside like five days with my family. The reason is I paid two times late on my rent to my landlord, and I believe he is not right to do that, and I feel so bad with my family with the situation.

And after that, he takes action. He take me to Housing Court eight times, and he refused to sign a renewal lease, and behind that he did it like cutting my electric and my gas. And just midnight locking the door just saying some—no reason. I don't know. Even I don't owe him any single pennies, but I promised to pay my rent, but he doesn't want any people staying in this apartment longer than one year. The reason? So many new people is moving to

2.2

the neighborhood so he can raise the rent for higher than I pay I believe so. And I take him to Housing and Community Renewal Office Court because in 2011, I signed a contract. It's \$1,150, and he raised to \$1,450 in the Housing Court after I still keeping paying my rent, but he asked me \$1,450. And because I don't want to move my apartment because I have to focus my new business. Still baby. And after—after—when I take him to Community Renewal Office, he raises my rent is like \$300 more than one times.

First \$1,150 like I told you. \$1,150 to \$1,450.

apartment, and I have court at Housing and Community Renewal Office. They're raising—he's like this much money to do that. So it's taking like two years.

I'm almost there probably. So, he's asking me now—My contract was finishing this February 31s—February 28th. And he's asking me \$1,700 right now if I want to stay longer in this apartment. So I tell him I'm not willing to sign any contract, and then I'm going to live in this apartment to the end of June. And after that, he take me another action. He tried to kill my credit so I cannot rent any other apartment probably. He's asking me—he takes me to—eight

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 108
2	times to court. So he's asking me to pay him
3	\$4,810.21 for late fees and legal fees.
4	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Mr. Ersoy, thank
5	you for giving your testimony. I did want to ask, so
6	one, I assume your apartment is not regulated. It's
7	a rent stabilized apartment?
8	MURAT ERSOY: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And did you have
10	preferential rent?
11	MURAT ERSOY: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And so, you're
13	you're working with Neighbors Helping Neighbors?
14	MURAT ERSOY: I just find them after this
15	whole situation, I just feel so bad. So I just
16	search and I become a member of Neighbors Helping to
17	Neighbors.
18	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Did you also
19	MURAT ERSOY: [interposing] They are
20	helping me a lot with this situation.
21	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Did you alsoare
22	you also trying a harassment complaint against the
23	MURAT ERSOY: I did a harassment, but
24	when I was in the Housing and Community Renewal

- 2 preferential rent issues. I'm glad that you filed
- 3 and overcharge claim. Hopefully, you'll file a
- 4 harassment claim it sounded like from your testimony
- 5 as well. I'm assuming this is your first time
- 6 testifying. You did a fantastic job. So thank you
- 7 for coming--
- 8 MURAT ERSOY: [interposing] Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: --and sharing your
- 10 story with us, and I hope that you get remedy for
- 11 | what you've been going through. So I'm sorry about
- 12 that.

- 13 MURAT ERSOY: Yes. And I just want to
- 14 | add some small things before I leave here. And I
- 15 want some more protection for tenants, for the
- 16 | situation. I fight it but I'm sure nobody is
- 17 | fighting like me with their landlord. Because he
- 18 | it's like he says 250 apartments in the--were in
- 19 | arrear. And I see every--in four years I see every
- 20 month some notice that tenants do. It's mine. The
- 21 other ones has some court issues, and the other like
- 22 marshal notice. So, I hope nobody has any law note
- 23 like I do.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Well, thank you
- 25 for standing up. A lot of times tenants don't do

2 | what you're doing, and so I'm very happy that you

3 are. And I wish you the best of luck with that.

4 Thank you, sir. I just have a couple of questions.

Tim, I want to--I didn't quite get the numbers, but I

6 think you said there were time periods where they

7 | raised--LGB raised our rent 177% as opposed to the

8 percentage that was needed. Can you just repeat that

9 again.

1

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

TIM COLLINS: Yeah, there's a lot of misunderstanding about that. You know, there has long been this issue of how much should the Rent Guidelines Board raise the rents, and the pitch was that if operating costs go up 5%, the rents have to go up 5%. People neglect the fact that about a third of the total rent budget goes to net operating income. And operating costs have gone up faster than inflation. So when you measure it accurately, what you would want to do is increase rents to cover operating costs for that portion that's devoted to operating costs. And you would increase the portion that goes to net operating income by the rate of inflation. And when you do that, in order to keep owners whole-- In other words, they wouldn't have lost any ground. From the time that we first started

to measure this stuff with precision. you would have
had to raise rent 144%. Now, rents didn't go up
177%. They might have gone up more than that, but
the Rent Guidelines Board authorized a full 177% in
increases. So vacancy deregulation and luxury
deregulation aside, MICs aside, and that sort of
thing, what the Rent Guidelines Board did was
outstrip the actual need of the owners by a very
large proportion. And that is something we
repeatedly brought to the Rent Guidelines Board in
detail with a lot of backing in terms of the evidence
from the City Department of Finance Tax Information,
and we were repeatedly ignored. And we spoon fed it
to the Board. And it was getting frustrating to the
point where, you know, my anger had still boiled over
into my testimony this year before the board, a
reconstituted board, one which was far more receptive
to our arguments. And I think had a much clear
headeda more clear headed view of what needed to be
done. And hopefully, this year we're going to see
either a rent freeze or a rollback, especially in
light of the heating costs that have gone down
dramatically. Notwithstanding the fact that we've

2.2

2 had some awful cold weather, the oil prices gone down very much.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Those numbers you said, does that—does that allows—does that allow for landlords to maintain income, to get more income, get—

absolutely whole for the cost of operation, and to preserve net operating income against the effects of inflation. It would have been 144%, and as I said, the Board authorized 177%.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So basically, making the same percentage of income each year?

right, and by the way, you're making the same amount of income each year when we just witnessed a five, six, seven-year period of a deep recession where lots of other folks in this economy have lost. And where tenant incomes have clearly sputtered, and gone anywhere as this HVS illustrates. And, for a period of time between I think it was 2009 and 2013, nationwide rents actually fell a little bit. But in New York City they continued to gallop ahead because of unwarranted rent increases. And, Mr. Chairman, I

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

have to emphasize that this is a product of a tremendous amount of misinformation about this system. The owners are arguing that somehow it's invading their profits. It's affecting their property rights. But notwithstanding that, the value of their apartment--of their buildings has gone up many, many folds during the period of rent regulation. And not only that, but it's premised upon this idea the somehow the marketplace is neutral. It is not. In the City of New York there are a tremendous number of things that suppress new construction, including zoning, building codes, landmarks. Those things are in place because we need to preserve the quality of life, and regulate growth so it doesn't get out of control. So we don't end up with a completely unlivable city, which is overcrowded and dangerous.

So we have to have those things in place.

What is the primary consequence of that government intervention? It gives owners of existing housing—like kind of a semi-monopolistic control over the housing stock where they are not—they are not challenged by competition as they would be in some Midwestern or Western city where they could continue

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 to grow. They can't. They can only grow

3 incrementally in New York. And that benefits them,

4 gives them a market advantage. It's one of the

5 reasons why we have such a low vacancy rate, and it's

6 one of the reasons why we have to continue rent

7 regulation. And it's one of the reasons why the City

8 | Council has to be really militant about this.

And le me just add one other thing. 1933, the American banking system was falling apart. The nation was in a state of danger. Martial law had been declared in some areas. Foreclosure options when people were losing houses, were surrounded by angry farmers with pitchforks and shotguns. People were ticked off and the country was tottering. Franklin Roosevelt gave his inaugural address, and then he went to visit Oliver Wendell Holmes, who was a retired Justice of the Supreme Court. He was wounded three times during the Civil War. A very famous judge. And he asked Holmes what would you do? And Holmes said this is war. Form your battalions and fight, and that's what you have to do. You have to fight, and you have to fight with everything you can. And I know, Chairman Williams, you do. I've seen you out there. I've seen you in the marches,

and I know you're committed to that. You have to get
your colleagues to fight as well. Their voices have
to be heard in Albany, and the fact is that we don't
have a democratic system in this state. We have a
corrupted system because the flow of real estate
money to Albany is basically going into pockets of
representatives who don't have a single rent
regulated tenant in their district. So there's a
disconnect between the exercise of power and the
accountability over that power through the elective
process. Our delegates in New York City know that.
And we have to go fight not only in terms of
protecting the social justice issues of tenants. We
have to fight for democracy, and the basic principle
that people who get to exercise power have to answer
to an electorate on election day. And eventually,
hopefully we should have home rule over rent
regulation. And, thank you, Mr. Chairman, for
allowing me to go on.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. I do have one more question, and hopefully you'll take less time answer.

TIM COLLINS: [laughs] Less time.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: But thank you for all your points. Often times, when they're talking about regulation, they do bring up places where rent regulation has been removed. I think you mentioned Cambridge.

TIM COLLINS: Last year, yes.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Was it Boston

also?

2.2

TIM COLLINS: Yeah, can you explain any impact that's happened in Boston and Cambridge as well?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, there was a recent study, and I'm--I'd have to double check the exact figures, but recollection is that Cambridge the rent regulation was removed in 1994 and by 2004, rents had risen some 75%. Now, interestingly, there was a lot of building and investment that went on in Cambridge. It was kind of an isolated, regulated market. It's unlike New York because with the MCI program and all of the other incentives that are built in, we do have quality housing here. It's kept up. The reasons HVS shows that, in fact, you know, we're not losing ground in terms of dilapidation or deterioration in any significant degree. We're doing

very well here. But in Cambridge, Massachusetts, my recollection is about 26% of the residents of Cambridge had to move out. That rent skyrocketed, and in Boston where rents also went up, Mayor Menino after about a six-year period called for a reinstatement of rent control because he--he considered deregulation a disaster. Now, this is why I rest on this one fundamental point, and I think it needs to be talked about. I don't fault the real estate industry to try to make money. That's what they-they have fiduciary obligations, you know, in case of investors and that sort of thing. And it's part of the name of the game that they're out there to make money. But policymakers have to understand that when you get rid of this kind of protection, and you allow displacement of poor people, of working families and now middle-income families, you cannot reconcile that kind of policy with a fair and balanced attitude towards the people you're supposed to be representing. It has to be in the final analysis based upon a class bigotry that we cannot afford to allow it to continue in this city given the housing affordability crisis. And again, Mr. Chairman, I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2 thank you for all of your efforts and for your
3 patience with my testimony.

TIM COLLINS: Thank you. Well, you've been doing this for quite some time. So I appreciate it. We can't--

[applause]

SERGEANT-A-ARMS: Quiet down, please.
[qavel]

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So we try to do
this to show your approval if possible so we can keep
the noise level down. But thank you again. And Tom,
I think you were--I think you were talking about the
difference in the 4% between people who have
regulation and don't. If you want to, you can expand
on that some more.

the Housing and Vacancy Survey shows that although rent regulation is not designed as an affordability program, and can't ultimately be judged as an affordability program, it does improve affordability for the 400,000 low-income households that live in rent regulated housing. And also for the households that are not low-income. And, it's really an important difference that the same household--the

1

2 low-income household in the unregulated apartment paying more than half of their income in rent, 51%. 3 4 The household in the rent regulated apartment with So 4% better if you're in subsidized housing 5 6 subject to the federal rent rule, a limit of 30%. 7 And you're paying 30%. So that's, you know, much better and further away. But that 4% is really 8 important because it translates into 1 14% difference 9 in net income for that household. It means that 10 instead of \$11.10 a day per household member to spend 11 12 on clothing, food and everything else, you have 13 \$12.60, 14% more. And that's a huge factor 14 especially when you multiply it by 400,000 15 households. And, in fact, rent regulation could do 16 even better than that if we could get rid of 17 excessive increases during vacancy. If we could get 18 rid of--if we could make MCIs into a rational program, and if we could make preferential rent into 19 20 a rational system. So the resolutions that you have before you calling for improvements to the rent laws 2.1 2.2 directly address -- any of them directly address how to 23 make-- Use rent regulation as a tool for making housing more affordable for people in New York City, 24 25 and I urge you to pass all those resolutions and

2.2

joint the tenant movement and the neighborhood
movement in Albany getting the real decision makers
to do the right thing on this issue.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very much. Tim, just one last question, what was the timeframe you were talking about the 177% increase?

through I believe the last year we had data. You know, precise data was either 2012 or 2013. There was a look back because there was little delay in the tax filings. But I believe the trend has continued, and I believe the most recent HVS shows that rent-stabilized rents are--have outstripped increases in market rents.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you. Thank you all for your testimony today. We really appreciate it. Thank you.

TIM COLLINS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: We have two panels. Coming up now, Joseph Zapata, Leandra Requena, Marcella Martinez, John Furlong. They'll be final—they'll be followed by our final panel Alexandra Molle [sp?], Raphael Gomez Luna, Louis Carrello. [sp?].

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[background comments, pause.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Can you all raise your right hand, please? Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this committee, and to respond honestly to council member questions? You can begin at your preference.

JONATHAN FURLONG: Good afternoon. you, Chairman Williams and members of the Council for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Jonathan Furlong. I'm the Senior Tenant Organizer for the Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development, NHD. We are a member organization of New York City neighborhood-based housing and economic development groups, CDCs, developers, supportive housing providers, and community organizers. Given the importance of the rent regulation for low, moderate-income New Yorkers, we're very happy to share our thoughts on this issue. Rent regulation is not only the greatest source of affordable housing in New York, it also provides tenants with critical legal protections. Rent regulation provides stability to individuals, families and entire communities. And it is the primary reason why New

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 York City remains a diverse, vibrant place that low 3 and moderate-income people who can afford to live in.

Today, most New Yorkers will never be able to afford the market rents in their own neighborhoods. This is particularly true for lower income tenants who live in areas that are experiencing gentrification. Many neighborhoods that used to be affordable to people of modest means has seen rents skyrocket. And the only reason why most long-term tenants are able to stay in communities where they've set roots is because of these rent regulation laws. These laws protect the affordability for 2.5 million New Yorker more than any other program combined. And they keep increases under control in privately owned buildings, and prevent landlords from imposing outrageous rent hikes or evicting tenants without cause. The rapid loss of rent regulated units has been an increasing concern for communities across the state as the lingering economic recession has exacerbated the affordable housing crisis.

In the last 20 years, we estimate that we've lost between 300,000 to 400,000 units and that number is sort of up for debate. Although high rent

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Vacancy Decontrol is by far the single greatest reason it exit [sic] the rent--the rent regulation system to systematic abuse of regulatory loopholes, which have been discussed ad nauseam today. It is also a major factor in the loss of rent regulated units. If we don't win repeal of the 1997 Deregulation Amendments and close other loopholes in the State Rent Laws, the City will lose far more affordable apartments over the next ten years than the 200,000 the Mayor intends to build or preserve. Given the importance of rent stabilization and rent control for the million or so apartments left, protected under the system, NHD strongly urges both the Council and Mayor to renew rent laws for the city. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Next.

[pause]

LEANDRA REQUENA: Good afternoon. My name is Leandra Requena. I am a core organizer, a member of Make the Road New York. I came here in the name of the working families, mothers like me. Right now I would like to say, Felice Abalore [sp?] is facing the court right now trying to avoid to be evicted from her home that she was living for almost

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

10 years. She has two kids and one granddaughter who is one year, and she was— Unfortunately, she doesn't have the—the rent in her name. It was her roommate, from the owner. And the owner left the home, and now she's to face it with marshal eviction, and she cannot stay in that home. That's one of them.

I'm going to give my--I was living in the rent stabilization. Rent stabilization is very important for all families. Now, I am facing to be evicted in the place that I live in also. How? was living in the rent stabilization, but thanks to loopholes. And all the harassment that the owners are doing to us, I decided to move out because I was living in a studio. It was almost \$1,300 at that time because after I was calling the 311 or going to the DHCR to find out what's my rights about the condition of the apartment that I should be live, and I am paying the rent. But it was bed bugs and all destruction in my apartment, and they decide to avoid the--to give me the rent--the preferential rent. And then I decide to move out. I found another place. It was private. It was the same--the amount. I found apartment with one bedroom, a decent place to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

live, but it's private. Now, they decide to sell that property, and I have to be out at the end of this month. I went to find out another --- another place, but thanks to the organization I was three years without a job, and my credit cards, everything was down. I don't have good credit. It's not easy to find an apartment. That's where I'm--I urge you please find heart for those families who are facing eviction, who are on the street, who doesn't have home. And we deserve to be here because this is our I'm here for 30 years. I'm more than 30 years city. people. We are contribute with our taxes. We don't have tax breaks. That's how we would like. Please give like our voice in Albany. We are--I am going to be tomorrow there. Thank you. [crying]

MARCELLA MARTINEZ: Hi. My name is

Marcella Martinez, and I'm a tenant advocate and

organizer for Neighbors Helping Neighbors. I'd like

to elaborate a little bit more on Murat's landlord,

who I have a lot of history with. I used to live on

44th Street between Eight and Ninth Avenue in

Manhattan when all the sex shops were there. In '78,

my dad got us a rent stabilized apartment in Sunset

Park that I've been living there 'til 2007. In 2006,

2 Murat's landlord, Jack Luella, came into Sunset Park

3 and purchased our 30-unit rent stabilized building.

4 Within six months, he had displaced at least half of

5 | the tenants. I was raised in that apartment over 30

6 | years wit my grandparents, and I was now living there

7 | with my daughter. And I was a threat to him because

8 I had a right to take over the apartment and then

9 pass it onto my daughter.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

What Murat spoke about is just a little bit of the harassment that he's been doing. He has blatantly told us that he does not want Latinos in his apartments. He has blatantly told us he wants to rent to people from Omaha. He has blatantly told our neighbors that their children are going to come home and not find their parents. Because he is going to call immigration. He pushed the building knowing the tenants like Murat. He offered them a preferential rent knowing that the tenants didn't understand what was happening. And it's years later and some of them are experiencing five, six, seven hundred dollar increases. This is someone who completely understands the law, and understands the loopholes, and does everything and anything he can to get away with it. I ended up leaving my rent stabilized

2 apartment I was evicted from. It didn't feel like

3 home when you're constantly being harassed. I give a

4 lot of credit to Murat for standing there is

5 fighting. But the truth is we do not have the

6 resources or the support that we need. The rent laws

7 | are what make New York City affordable. This is a

8 | place of immigrants. Our community is now being

9 | labeled as a renaissance because of the rich culture

10 | that it has. But it cannot -- we cannot find

11 affordable housing for us.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

During my process and learning, going through my eviction and educating myself, I realized that the stacks were against the tenants, and they need all the help that they can get. So renewing the rent laws is great, but it will do nothing unless we appeal vacancy de control. Unless we get rid of all these increases that they're using. I'm working with some tenants at 461 and 465 on 46th Street. Their building got sold and got purchased by Dermot. Within two years, they received five MCIs. We're talking about an average of a \$100 increase per apartment per month that we are still fighting. We need the assistance of the City, the State. We need everyone to pitch in to make sure that New York City

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

[pause, background comments]

JOSEPH ZAPATA: Thank you and thank you for hearing our voices, and having this panel. My name is Joseph Zapata. I'm a council member and I'm a Bronx tenant for over 50 years. Stagnant incomes for years in the Bronx and throughout the city have been plaguing us and displacing us. While the rents are increasing at an alarming rate, and no one is really watching or minding the store at this point.

2.2

My experience with HPD is it takes about two years for them to do these investigations. And sometimes when you call some of these departments, the people that answer are kind of nasty. They don't want to talk to you. And if you have too many facts, they kind of like get upset that you know so much, and they question why. I'm not happy about that. It seems that we are going through an economical war, and they are hitting us left and right with all kinds of fees, increases. We need to stream these laws so all these loopholes are closed. Landlords are inflating their operations costs, and no one is watching or reviewing all of the paperwork.

get a higher number so they can increase and reach the threshold so they can displace all these people. This needs to stop. We need to, you know, have a legacy and put people in place so the fight does not end like when they killed Martin Luther King or Malcolm X. We need to continue this fight. You know, I see that a lot of the-- Not all, but there are some good officials out there. But there are some that despondent to what the public needs, and only thinking about, you know, lining their pockets. In

- 2 this economic war, we need allies. We need people
- 3 like you, Mr. Williams, to champion us, and go out
- 4 | there and say, Look, enough is enough. Let's stop
- 5 | the bleeding. Too much is going on. I have
- 6 something prepared, but there is just so much that
- 7 | needs to be said, and needs to be addressed. These
- 8 guys have loopholes left and right. They have
- 9 lawyers. They have money. They have commercials.
- 10 | They have government officials in their pockets.
- 11 | They have all kinds of, you know, little things that
- 12 | we don't have. And hear our cries.
- 13 You know, New York City what is it, we're
- 14 going to start importing workers to New York City
- 15 now, and everybody else is going to have to live
- 16 somewhere else? Is that what it's going to come out
- 17 | to? Don't you see, it's really an economic war.
- 18 | They're hitting us left and right with all kinds of
- 19 | stuff, and we need to realize that, you know, a lot
- 20 of seniors are hurting. They're paying all their
- 21 | Social Security number -- money to rent, and they can't
- 22 even buy food or buy their medicine, you know. And
- 23 then, they're getting evicted. I mean too many
- 24 people are homeless and dying out on the street
- 25 | because it's just too cold out there. And for a big

city like New York we shouldn't be propagating
homelessness. We should stop it and we should have

1

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

4 something in place to control the bleeding. And we

5 definitely need Intro 214 across the board. It's not

6 just for displaced people. The rezoning that going

7 on Jerome because, you know, I showed up at one of

8 | the planning meetings. They were upset that I showed

9 up. Well, Cassum and myself, and when we asked

10 pointed questions, they weren't happy. And then lo

11 and behold, two days later, the two-year study that

12 | they had they shorted it. They shortened it to eight

months. Why? Why are they trying to expedite it,

14 and leave the community out? Why can't they ask the

15 community or community leaders, okay, and not just

16 rely on the community boards because some of them

17 have their own hidden agendas.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. I'm going to have to ask you to wrap up.

JOSEPH ZAPATA: Okay, and in retrospect, we need rollback. We definitely need rollback, and we need people to realize that we do have power, and it's civil right. We do have a civil right to living New York City, and we do have a right to get

affordable housing. And we need to champion that,

- 2 and we need to let the government officials know that
- 3 this need is here. The cry has gone out. Hear our
- 4 cries. Hear us. Fight for us and stop the bleeding.
- 5 Too many people are just dying. They are dying.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr.
- 7 Zapata.

- JOSEPH ZAPATA: Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Ms. Martinez, the
- 10 tenants that were displaced, were this based on
- 11 | preferential rent? Did they have buyouts? How did
- 12 | he get them out?
- 13 MARCELLA MARTINEZ: So, it's a
- 14 | combination of things. So one of the things that
- 15 | we're noticing about these property owners that are
- 16 coming into hour neighborhoods is that they are
- 17 looking at the rent rolls. And so, they know that
- 18 | the tenants have the preferential rent, and so
- 19 | they're waiting for the next renewal to them. He's
- 20 verbally offered cash to tenants, and then when
- 21 | they've handed them the keys kind of just walked away
- 22 | because there was nothing in writing. We're talking
- 23 | tenants who are getting Housing Court papers that
- 24 don't understand that are afraid that if they go to
- 25 | court their immigration status is going to come up.

So it's a series of things. And like I said, this is

why I give Murat so much credit is because it gets to

the point where your home does not feel like your

home any more. You're constantly being harassed,

whether it's a notice, whether it's a knock on the

door, whether it's, you know, them constantly being

8 there.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you for that, and thank you for all the work that you're doing, and thank you again, Murat, and hopefully—What we're trying to do here is fight for people like yourself and many thousands of people that you represent. So thank you so much for your testimony today. We have one more panel.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Mr. Chair, I have some questions.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Oh, I'm sorry.

Well, let me just do this because I'm going to get ready to head out. Unfortunately, I have to leave and I have another champion who has agreed to finish the hearing with the last panel and that will be Council Member Mendez. And you can come now and you can ask your questions. But thank you so much for

the work that you're doing, and Council Member Mendez is going to continuing chairing from this point.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you. I'm sorry. I don't recall your name. Thank you. Yes.

MARCELLA MARTINEZ: Marcella Martinez from Sunset Park and Neighbors Helping Neighbors.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Okay, so you mentioned that—I don't know if it's the building you lived in or some people you were working with, but they kept giving all these major capital increases?

MARCELLA MARTINEZ: So yes. So I'm currently working with some tenants here at 461 and 465 on 46th Street. It's actually two buildings, long-term tenants. Maybe two or three years ago they got purchased by Dermot, who was then sending invoices with a bunch of arrears. They started doing MCIs, and like I said, now that we're looking at all of them, we're talking about an overall of five incidents averaging about \$100. Everything from cleaning and washing the outside of the building to new intercoms in the doors. However, the tenants are still having issues and problems with getting repairs done.

2.2

needed.

experienced a burden--

Is that correct?

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARCELLA MARTINEZ: Yes, so what happened was Dermot was just automatically adding the increases--

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: [interposing] Uh-huh.

MARCELLA MARTINEZ: --while we were still fighting them. They have since left, and now there's another company. And so, form our understanding and our work that we did, there may be a chance to fight some of the MCIs because the increases weren't applied properly. And so, what we did with the new owners is trying to resolve that issue. And not being able to, we've been forced to submit lease complaints with the state as well as overcharge complaints. Asking the state to review the MCIs, making sure that they're applied properly, and that if there's anything that they're not allowed to collect that that's -- that can be made known. also, you know, again we're talking about almost an average of \$100 per apartment per month.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: So if you were here earlier, I did ask the Mayor's Office and HPD to-- well particularly the Mayor to negotiate with the State so that we can try to put some limits and

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 some caps on how often you can apply for an MCI. And

3 to limit the percentage so it wouldn't become a rent

4 burden. So, all we can do is hope that we may have

5 some success with that. So that other tenants in the

6 future will not experience what Ms. B has

7 experienced. So we thank you for your testimony.

MARCELLA MARTINEZ: [interposing] Well,

9 we thank you for your support.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you for your testimony. We're going to call the next panel.

JOSEPH ZAPATA: Ms. Mendez, I just wanted to add one--one very important thing, which is they're using language barriers to basically harass people. And the railroad these MCIs, all these new things that are going on. And a lot of people don't understand some of the documentation they're getting because they're sending it to them in English. And they're suing that to hang those very tenants, and get them out. And, you know, something should be done about that. Nobody is enforcing that. I've complained numerous times to various departments and it's been ignored. The MCIs for the work that has not been done over all these years, and now they're doing it. And it's been paid for in the past. And

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 141
2	now they're doing it, and now you have to pay these
3	MCIs. And then, Ms. Chair
4	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: [interposing]
5	Can I?
6	JOSEPH ZAPATA: Uh-huh.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: When you say
8	they're sending them documents, when who is sending
9	what particular documents?
10	JOSEPH ZAPATA: The property owners,
11	whenever there's anyany renewal of the lease, any
12	MCIs or any secession of electricity, you know, now
13	the tenant is going to pay. They're sending it to
14	them in English only, and a lot of people don't
15	understand
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: [interposing]
17	Okay.
18	JOSEPH ZAPATA: Uh-huh.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: I get it. So, in
20	this city, and I would need to check in this city.
21	The Mayor, the previous Mayor passed an Executive
22	Order that any documentation or any communications
23	between City agencies need to be made available in
24	the top six languages which is Spanish, Russian,

JOSEPH ZAPATA:

Yes.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you very

3 much.

2.2

JOSEPH ZAPATA: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: The next panel will be Alexandra Molle, Raphael Gomez Luna; Louis--I'm not sure--Carrillo or Carrello.

[background comments]

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: And this is the last panel for the day, and whenever you're ready you could just make sure the red light is on and bright, and that means your microphone is on and you can start giving your testimony.

ALEXANDRA MOLLE: Hello. My name is

Alexandra Molle [sp]. I live in a rent stabilized

apartment. I have been in litigation with my

landlord for over six years now. I have had a really

hard time with DHCR. They give you the runaround.

They don't give you answers. They switch people on

you. You get a lawyer that is mediated that she

can't even repeat answers over the phone. She leaves

the wrong messages for the wrong people on my

machine. She disappears for periods of time, and I

don't know she is no longer somebody I can speak

with. I had the same judge over and over again, but

then DHCR doesn't honor what the judge wants done in the apartment in terms of fixing things. So, finally what they do is in my case is they just shut my case down without me really knowing why or having any kind of heads-up about this. And I desperately tried to get them not to close it, but they did putting new people in place that hadn't followed the case all along. So, you get things like asthma attacks. You know, it's incredibly stressful. So they tell you that you have to go through different procedures to reopen the case, which is terribly unfair. alone without a lawyer, and you fill out--you do a par. The par should take about three months. Well, it winds up taking them about 13. So, you're at your mailbox everyday, did I get the answer? Did I get the answer? You're stuff is still in boxes? You're still waiting. It could like this. You never know when you have to start clearing a room again to continue work that wasn't finished. I partially won some of the biggest area that needs to be done, which is the floors. But I wouldn't have gotten that had I not fought for it. After that, my only option was Article 78.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: So I want to						
3	focus your testimony because this is about the						
4	Housing and Vacancy Survey, and about rent						
5	stabilized, a need for rent stabilized						
6	ALEXANDRA MOLLE: [interposing]						
7	Protection						
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ:units and						
9	protections. It's not necessarily about repairs,						
10	though I understand that rent stabilized tenants are						
11	targeted and they either don't get repairs or they						
12	get an over zealousness of repairs that is used as						
13	harassment.						
14	ALEXANDRA MOLLE: It's a total						
15	harassment, you see.						
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: [interposing] So-						
17	_						
18	ALEXANDRA MOLLE: And I have inspectors						
19	standing there. The inspectors don't work at night.						
20	They work only during days. How many days can you						
21	take off. So most people are walking away from their						
22	problems, and they're leaving their places. That's						
23	part of the problem here, and this is not being						

properly represented. You know, I can't walk

to you after this hearing is over just to make sure

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

that we can set you up with a community-based
organization that helps tenants, and to determine who
your council person is and see how that--that person
can also help you. Okay. So, is there anything else
you want to say about rent stabilization?

ALEXANDRA MOLLE: Definitely, we need protections. I'm all for it. I mean that's the last thing. I'm clinging by that, but the City and the State have to clean their own agencies up. Because some of us cannot turn to the agencies that are supposed to be protecting us. And someone has to have the courage to say, this has been my experience, and this needs to be fixed.

 $\label{eq:council_member_member} \mbox{ \begin{tabular}{ll} MEMBER MENDEZ: \\ \hline \end{tabular} \mbox{ \begin{tabular}{ll} Thank you very \\ \hline \end{tabular}}$ much for your testimony.

ALEXANDRA MOLLE: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Okay. Whoever is ready on the panel.

RAPHAEL GOMEZ LUNA: Good afternoon. My name is Raphael Gomez Luna. I've been a tenant housing advocate for about ten years, and today I'm here with Los Inquilinos Unidos, Tenants United. And I'm definitely pro-strength preservation, and maybe increase of the housing laws. Because those are the

ones that are going to preserve the people that I met					
when I came over here 40 years ago as an immigrant					
who didn't know how to speak English, and they opened					
their arms to me. And to see that these people are					
not going to be able to stay in this city and to die					
in this city, as I would love to die in this city.					
And I'm scaring myself. It's just terrifying. I had					
the privilege of having been able to socialize with					
people from different levels and ethnicities in New					
York City. And we have a tendency to think that					
it's only the low-income people who are affected by					
all of this gentrification. But it's people that pay					
in \$5,000 and \$10,000 who feeling it. There's a lot					
of stress New York. It's because rent is the first					
thing that comes into the play when we are trying to					
provide for our family. Rent income, which is not					
going up and education. And they go hand-in-hand.					
So definitely, we have to strengthen our housing					
laws, and we have to get rid of the vacancy de-					
control. And we just have to let everybody to know					
it is all about New Yorkers. 99% of the population,					
economical practice we're suffering because of these					
housing problems. Thank you.					

2 LOUIS CARRILLO: Good afternoon. My name 3 is Louis Carrillo, a long-time resident of Brooklyn. 4 I'm here today to tell you my story, and put a face on the thousands of lives that are affected by the 5 6 rent regulation or lack thereof. I live in an eight-7 unit rent stabilized building on Rogers Street in East Williamsburg with loving parents, Martin and 8 This is the place we have called home for the 9 last 26 years. My father Martin not only helped 10 raised the family there, but he has dedicated these 11 12 past 26 years to being the super for this building. 13 Which he worked hard to care for and protect keeping it safe for us and its tenants. Since 1989, my dad 14 15 has been the super there, and my father had been 16 paying the preferential rent. Until a letter came in January of this very year stating that the building 17 18 was sold. My father was removed as super, and will soon be required to pay the so-called legal rent of 19 20 \$2,410, bringing it very close to destabilization. Our family reached out for help and, Los Sures South 21 2.2 Side United has been supporting us throughout this 23 stressful journey. Together, we found out that there were major discrepancies in the rent history for our 24 apartment. The skyrocketing illegal increases for 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the registered legal rent targeted at moving the apartment rent stabilization. With no way of paying for this rent, we face the imminent danger of being evicted. However, I'm not here to tell your story of defeat. Our family will continue to fight to preserve the life and home we have created for the past 26 years. And I call on you today to continue to fight with us to preserve and improve the rent regulation laws that can and will protect us from being out on the streets. Our story is one of many, but at least we have hope, and the rent regulation being strengthened as we need. The rent regulations protects more than just affordable housing. They protect all the residents of our communities, and give hope to all tenants that they are protected in the eyes of the law and have the indelible right to stay in their homes. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: I want to thank this panel for your testimony. So that was the last person who indicated that they were going to testify. I will just quickly, if you didn't get a chance, and you do want to say something on the record this is it. Okay. Sir, you're going to need to fill out a form. Okay.

2 [background comments]

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: The sergeant will get it for you. But go sit down and start your testimony. Don't forget to identify yourself for the record. Make sure the red light is turned on, and you can begin your testimony.

[pause]

ANREAS MORRIS MORROW: My name is Andreas Morris Morrow [sp?]. I'm a tenant advocate with the Fifth Avenue Committee in Brooklyn. We work hand-inhand with Neighbors Helping Neighbors. I came with this group of tenants who are from Sunset Park, Park Slope, Gowanus, different parts of that -- that section of Brooklyn. I've done tenant advocacy for a few years. So, it's clear to me that, you know, the Republican Right accuses people who want reforms to be engaged in class warfare. It's like one of the chivalrous--it's one of these terms that they throw out to scare people. But the fact is that here in this city, it's class warfare that's directed by those who have practically everything that you could ever want against those who are barely hanging on.

And I really think that the stress that you see on people's faces-- I came to this hearing

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

this morning on the train like everyone else, and there was a young Latino kid. And I was thinking, you know, what kind of future does the next generation face with these skyrocketing rents? You are the political actors. I sometimes get very annoyed with the way in which our communities defer to politicians because I think it's reversed. think that the politicians are elected to serve communities, and that we--we should constantly hold politicians accountable. A Mexican woman, an immigrant, recently told me in Spanish--I'm not going to say it in Spanish--but it's hard to-- You can't survive. [Speaking Spanish] You can't survive a shot of money, a cannon shot of money. I think that the landlord class is so powerful here in this city that they bend the elected officials to their will. And we--how long are we going to stand for this.

We become complacent. We become docile.

I think that these laws have to be strengthened, but we also have to in our communities get ready for what's coming around the corner, which is that if we do get defeated, this time around, if you don't get strength in the next six years we're going to see massive—massive numbers of people hitting the

2.2

streets. It's 59,000 people--I don't know how many people are homeless right now in New York City, but it's something that you see as a general trend. Our communities are resourceful. I think that we should start thinking beyond what's happening tonight. It's very important today--it's very important that we win these changes in Albany. But if we don't, we also need to be thinking about how we're going to preserve New York City as a livable place for working-class people.

want to thank you for your very eloquent testimony, and I want to respond as a former tenant organizer before Vacancy Decontrol was put in place. And as a tenant lawyer working at Legal Services after Vacancy Decontrol was put in place and now as an elected official. As a tenant organizer, I worked with lots of associations. So we were able to stop evictions and to get a lot of results done. The laws were stronger. When I graduated as a lawyer, and I started practicing, I felt that as a lawyer, I had less tools to help tenant, and as an elected official I can tell you that there is nothing more important to me and to a lot of my colleagues than affordable

2 housing and people having a place to live. decision isn't determined by us here in the City 3 4 Council. This hearing today is to ask the State to 5 renew the laws. And so, unfortunately, those 6 decisions are made by I will say it, a dysfunctional, 7 bi-panel legislature. You have to get a pass by the State Senate and the State Assembly. Usually a pass 8 is one house and not the other, and also you have a 9 whole bunch of people voting on this Upstate who 10 don't even have one tenant living in their district. 11 12 But they get a lot of donations from the real estate industry. And that is unfortunately the reality 13 we're working with. These laws we used to in the 14 15 City have control over rent control and rent 16 stabilization, and after the fiscal crisis of 1970s, the State took that power. They also took the MTA. 17 18 They took a bunch of things, and I think we've been doing pretty well in the City and we should be able 19 20 to legislate these laws. But they like keeping control up there. And until they're willing to give 21 2.2 it back to us here in the city, we have to resort to 23 holding these hearings, and then making a recommendation to them to renew the laws. Sometimes 24 word it down as it was back in 1993 and '94, and 25

because sometimes people don't understand our limited

	COMMITTEE	ON	HOUSING	AND	BUILDINGS
--	-----------	----	---------	-----	-----------

2 role and how we got there. And, you know, even

3 though we at the City level care very much about

4 this, and would like to not just renew the laws but

5 strengthen them, we are at the mercy of the State.

6 And, you know, some of our State representatives, at

7 least who are on the city level, are more in tune

8 | with the problems we have here in the city as opposed

9 to their colleagues that represent other parts of the

10 state. And, unfortunately that's all our burdens,

11 tenants and legislators to deal with. So I thank you

12 for spontaneously getting up and speaking.

ANREAS MORRIS MORROW: [interposing] So

14 | that's just it just it mostly. [sic]

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: And you brought some really good points forward. So we're going to be ending this hearing. We received testimony by REBNY, the Real Estate Board of New York and that testimony has been submitted into the record. And

20 this meeting is adjourned. [gavel] Thank you.

21

13

15

16

17

18

19

1

22

23

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 7, 2015