CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS

----- X

February 10, 2015 Start: 1:38 p.m. Recess: 2:28 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm,

16th Fl.

B E F O R E:

ERIC A. ULRICH Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Fernando Cabrera Andrew Cohen Alan N. Maisel Paul A. Vallone Mathieu Eugene

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Loree Sutton, MD

1	COMMITTEE ON VETERANS 2
2	Commissioner
3	Mayor's Office of Veterans Affairs
4	Kristen Rouse Representative
5	New York City Veterans Alliance
6	Jason Hansman
7	Iraq & Afghanistan Veterans of America
8	Fang Wong
9	Army Veteran Former Commander, American Legion
10	Vince McGowan
11	Chairman
12	Veterans Advisory Board
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Okay, good
22	
23	afternoon. It is 1 [background comments] it is
24	1:35, [gavel] I am late; I apologize [interpose,
٥٢	background comment] exactly. [laughter] Exactly.

If I could catch my breath I could probably do it,

3 but anyway.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I first wanna recognize some of the members of the Committee who are here and some quests before we begin, because I know some of them have other hearings -- Transportation -- and other meetings that are going on, so they might need to be excused. But I'll start on my far right, not politically, but in seating, is... [background comment] exac... he's more to the center, is Council Member Alan Maisel from Brooklyn; seated net to him is Council Member Andrew Cohen of the Bronx; Council Member Fernando Cabrera of the Bronx; Paul Vallone of Queens; myself; Eric Bernstein, Committee Counsel, and one of the bill's sponsors which we are considering today and the Chairman Emeritus of this Committee, my predecessor, Council Member Eugene of Brooklyn.

Good afternoon; I am Council Member Eric
Ulrich, Chair of the City Council Veterans Committee.
Today we are here to consider three important bills
that will help the City better serve our veterans
population. First we will examine two bills designed
at strengthening the Veterans Advisory Board. I have

2.2

introduced Int. 0612, which will provide for greater transparency and accountability of the Board's work by ensuring that at least one public meeting is held in each borough every year and that all public meetings are broadcast for the benefit of those unable to attend in person. MOVA, the Mayor's Office of Veterans Affairs, will also be required to provide advance notice of these meetings so veterans know when they are taking place and can arrange to attend as well.

Eugene has introduced Int. No. 0611, which would increase the number of board members from 9 to 11 and require MOVA to provide each of them with a public available email address. This will allow veterans across the five boroughs to engage with members directly to express concerns and give ideas on how the City can improve services for veterans. Such input is essential for the Board to fulfill its responsibility of acting as the liaison between the veterans community and the City government representing them.

We will also hear Int. No. 0600, introduced by my friend and colleague, Council Member

2.1

2.2

Paul Vallone. The data on veterans in New York City is too often insufficient and this bill will require agencies such as NYCHA, HPD, DCA and HRA, among others, to report the number of veterans currently benefiting from existing programs. While these agencies do good work, this will help identify where greater outreach is needed so that veterans receive the services to which they are entitled.

As veterans and their families in New York City continue to face many challenges, we wanna make sure that no one who has bravely served our country gets left behind. I know I've recognized the members of this Committee and the guests who have joined us, but Council Member Eugene asked to speak before the Administration testifies, so with your indulgence, Commissioner... [crosstalk]

much, Mr. Chair and I will be very brief. I just want to first and foremost thank and commend you, Mr. Chair for bringing those wonderful legislation [sic] for our hearing and I want to take the opportunity also to thank and commend all the veterans for their service to this nation and I think that we won't be able, regardless of what we do, we won't be able to

pay you back for what you have done for this nation;
you deserve the best the nation can provide, the best
that the City can provide and I think that it is very
important that all of us from the New York City
Council and also from the nation, we should work hard
and together to do everything that we can do at least
to alleviate the challenges that you are facing,
because you made the utmost sacrifice defending our
liberty, secured our freedom for us to be able to
enjoy the benefit and the privileges that we are
enjoying today. It is an honor and a privilege for
me to be here and I had the privilege to serve as the
Chairman of the Veterans Committee and I commend also
the dedication of Chairman Eric for what he's doing
and for his efforts to make sure that our veterans be
served in the way that we can show respect and
gratitude to all of us. To all of you, thank you for
what you have done and may God bless you and I want
you to know that we appreciate you from this
committee, from the City Council, all of us, we owe
you a big deed of gratitude. Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you Council
Member Eugene and without further ado we're gonna

hear from Dr. Loree Sutton, the Commissioner of the

I'll ask Eric

9

10

2 Mayor's Office of Veterans Affairs.

Bernstein, the Committee Counsel, to administer the

3 Bernstein, the Committee Counsel, to administer the

4 oath.

5 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell
6 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth
7 in your testimony before this Committee and to

8 respond honestly to Council Member questions?

Chairman Ulrich and members of the Committee on

DR. LOREE SUTTON: I do. Good afternoon,

11 | Veterans. My name is Loree Sutton and I serve as the

12 | Commissioner of the Mayor's Office of Veterans

13 Affairs. I appreciate your leadership and look

14 forward to sharing my perspective about today's

15 topics -- Int. No. 0600, in relation to requiring the

16 reporting of veterans receiving agency services; Int.

No. 0611, in relation to membership of the Veterans

18 Advisory Board, and lastly, Int. No. 0619, in

19 relation to meetings of the Veterans Advisory Board.

20 | I'll start by discussing Int. Nos. 0611 and 0619,

21 specifically pertaining to the Veterans Advisory

22 Board (VAB).

23

24

25

Since its initial Charter in 1987 under Local Law 53, the VAB's role has become increasingly defined as recognized by periodic updates in 2002, to

2.2

increase its membership from 5 to 9 members and in 2006, when leadership structure, meeting frequency and annual reporting requirements were adopted.

The first VAB Chairman, General Richard

Colt, Commanding General of the 77th Regional

Readiness Command, was elected in 2007; Vince McGowan succeeded General Colt in 2008 and continues to serve in this position, providing a strong voice and seasoned judgment on all issues affecting New York

City veterans. A former Marine, Vietnam combat veteran and Vice County Commander, New York County

American Legion, Mr. McGowan founded the United War

Veterans Council in 1986 and the following year assumed responsibility for the New York City Veterans

Day Parade, which by 2014, featuring nearly 300 groups and 24,000 participants, is now the nation's largest.

As MOVA Commissioner, I regularly consult with Mr. McGowan and have appreciated each of the VAB member's expertise and recommendations on a variety of key issues concerning New York City veterans and their families. For example, my initial meeting with Mr. McGowan turned into a two-hour riveting account encompassing the history of New York City veterans,

2.2

starting from the formation of the 77th Infantry	
Division at Fort Totten in 1917 and the subsequent	
post World War I Victory Parade, honoring General	
John J. Pershing in 1919; I might add that planning	
for the 100th anniversary in 2019 is already in the	
works, to later events during the 1980s, following	
the return of Vietnam veterans rightly demanding mor	·e
representation and better treatment from City Hall	
and up until the present, chronicling post 9/11	
historical events and veterans returning from Iraq.	

This initial journey through history
launched a productive working partnership that has
continued, resulting in myriad productive discussions
addressing such issues as veteran homelessness,
business ownership opportunities and predatory
lending practices. Thus, I greatly value the VAB's
repository of wisdom and experience, all of which
continues to inform my perspective at MOVA
Commissioner. The VAB 2014 Annual Report and Meeting
Minutes are publically available and provide details
of its actions and deliberations over the past year.
I am especially grateful to the current VAB, for its
willingness to continue serving in place through this
transition period as a new group of VAB members is

•

selected by the Mayor and Speaker. To preserve continuity and institutional knowledge, the VAB voted to approve the chairman and vice chairman remaining as non-voting ex officio participants for a one year term. This will go a long way towards ensuring a strong and vibrant future VAB with enduring importance to MOVA's mission.

Int. No. 0611 proposes two changes to the VAB: 1. to add two additional members for a total of 11 members, 6 appointed by the Mayor and 5 by the Speaker; 2. to create individual electronic mail addresses that would be publicly available and designated exclusively for work related to the VAB. These changes would increase the level of community representation and inclusion in forming VAB deliberations, as well as to make VAB members more accessible to the veterans community.

The only change I would offer is to grant a period of 90 days for MOVA to implement the email provision.

Int. No. 0619 proposes that the VAB convene at least one public meeting in each of the boroughs on an annual basis, for a minimum of five meetings per year. MOVA would provide at least one

2.2

week of advance notice and distribute this information to veteran organizations throughout the City.

members and access to VAB meetings are important measures that will strengthen the VAB's role, credibility, outreach and impact. Increased representation, engagement transparency and accountability will reinforce essential bonds of trust and collegiality upon which effective consultation, collaboration, coordination and negotiation depends.

I look forward to implementing these changes as the VAB starts its new chapter of service, building on the foundation of its past and leaning forward to engage the strengths and needs of current and future New York City veterans and their families.

VAB last fall, MOVA has been contacted by many qualified individuals who expressed interest in being considered for membership. In keeping with the Mayor's core values and strategic vision, the new VAB promises to represent a diverse range of relevant domains and life experiences, including the public,

2.2

private and social private sectors, spanning
academia, business, arts, health and

4 entrepreneurship. New members will also be selected

5 based upon consideration of service in different

6 conflicts. MOVA has forwarded its recommendations to

7 the Mayor.

Int. No. 0600 is another important legislative proposal, requiring systematic annual reporting of veterans receiving City agency services with respect to Mitchell-Lama Housing applications, food vending permits, general vending licenses and utilization of HUD-VASH vouchers.

Permanent housing and career employment opportunities are vital to the health and well-being of our veterans and their families. We look forward to working with you to identify metrics that best reflect services and benefits provided to veterans. This data will provide a useful view that will inform our actions, as well as provide insights for other organizations whose service, support and advocacy encompass these domains.

We recognize the need to improve tools for identifying veterans and tracking needs, services and outcomes. To this end, MOVA is working with

other City agencies to assess current service delivery models, engagement strategies, community out reach programs, as well as methods for recruiting, hiring and retaining veterans to determine how best practices can be effectively adopted.

Leading this effort is the work already well underway to end veteran homelessness. As Mayor de Blasio boldly pledged in last week's State of the City Address, we will ensure that no veterans are living in the streets and that all veterans have access to permanent housing by the end of 2015. This moral obligation to serve those who have served us requires the commitment and creativity of all of us who so proudly claim New York City as our hometown. City leaders, real estate developers, service providers, community advocates, fellow veterans and citizens alike must step up to this challenge and we will; failure is not an option.

In October of 2014, as a first step toward the initiative, New York City signed on to the Obama Administration's Mayor's Challenge to end veteran homelessness in 2015. Today we have 1,000 homeless veterans in our city. Under the challenge we are working to ensure that there are no homeless

veterans left to sleep on our streets and that every
veteran sheltered by the City or the VA has an
opportunity to move to permanent housing within 90
days. Some may have refused to move, but adequate
housing resources are in place. We also will have
programs in place, such as protection for veterans at
risk of eviction to prevent homelessness among our
veterans in the future, with guidance from HUD, the
VA and advocates for veterans and the homeless, the
New York City Continuum of Care, Veterans Task Force
and the City will finalize the work of determining
the housing needs of every homeless veteran, working
with advocates, owners of subsidized, supportive and
market rate housing and the City Council. The City
will enact and enforce veterans preferences in
existing subsidize and public housing and prioritize
veterans for a range of housing resources, including
HUD-VASH vouchers, Living in Community or the LINC
program, and placements in city-financed housing
units, including supportive housing. With the real
estate industry, the City will encourage landlords to
house our veterans with the assistance of these
rental subsidy resources and services. Intensive
case management and support services vital to the

2.2

success of these programs are tailored to meet the								
individual needs of veterans and their families.								
With additional SSVF or Supportive Services for								
Veterans and their Families, funding from the VA, the								
City worked closely with grantees to develop an								
initiative at the 30th Street Intake Center to								
rapidly rehouse and return new and returning veterans								
who might otherwise enter the shelter system. This								
program began operating just last month, in January								
of 2015.								

The initiative will require outreach through the media, as well as veterans groups and other groups to which veterans turn to widely publicize the resources being brought to bear by the City and HUD. Outreach to landlords and real estate organizations also will be necessary to help landlords understand the programs and to publicize positive outcomes related to their efforts. The City will also work with the Continuum of Care and Veterans Task Force to create a centrally managed, coordinated assessment and housing placement system for assessing and prioritizing veterans' needs, organizing and identifying available resources, such as apartments with veterans' preferences, placing

tenants in available units, comparing outcomes of
different efforts, assuring access to case management
and support services and tracking long-term retention
and functional outcomes of the veterans placed in
permanent housing. This system could then be brought
to scale to alleviate the larger issue of chronic
homelessness in New York City. Housing resources
available for veterans include the preventive
resources through the SSVF program and rental
assistance in the form of VASH vouchers from the VA,
already strictly for veterans, the LINC program, as
well as Section 8, existing housing for the most
vulnerable veterans. We will need landlords to make
units available for veterans with these rental
subsidies. The task force will create a housing plan
for every veteran in shelter by the end of February
2015. Implementation of this initiative has already
begun through the work of the Veterans Task Force.
Milestones will primarily include the results of
regular tracking, review of the utilization of
targeted housing resources and the effect on the
Veteran Point in Time Homeless Count. The ultimate
milestone to be reached through a continual decrease
in the number of homeless veterans is the end of

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

veteran homelessness in New York City, as evidenced in the 2016 Hope Count.

New York City's strategy is consistent with best national practices, with a relentless focus on removing barriers to permanent housing, prioritizing chronically homeless veterans with HUD-VASH and supportive housing options, coordinating outreach efforts to locate, identify and engage homeless veterans, targeting rapid rehousing interventions, such as the VA SSVF program, leveraging local housing and services for VA ineligible veterans, increasing early detection and access to preventive services to keep vulnerable veterans and families housed and closely monitoring progress towards the goal of ending veteran homelessness, sustaining gains and applying lessons learned with veterans to the larger homeless population.

Clearly our commitment to ending veteran homelessness comprises an essential component of MOVA strategy during this next year. Other strategic lines of effort include working with the First Lady to provide a mental health roadmap for all New Yorkers, including veterans and their families,

2.2

2	through	а	public	health	approach,	empowering

3 individuals and championing community-based services

4 that enhance social support, dignity and

5 independence. MOVA will also assume a vital

6 coordination role to ensure that New York City

7 veterans and their families are connected to quality

public, private and community social services.

We will further our work with the Small Business Services and other partners to fulfill the action recommendations aimed at building increased capacity for assisting veteran business owners in a variety of domains -- networking, mentoring, training, engagement, outreach and leadership to start and grown their own businesses as well as to pursue other career employment opportunities.

Finally, we seek to attract and engage returning veterans and their families to choose the City of New York as an ideal community in which they can study, work, live, serve and thrive.

During the first three months of my
tenure as MOVA commissioner I engaged in over 200
public events throughout the five boroughs, getting a
chance to connect with and listen to a diverse range
of key stakeholders. This experience proved to be

2.2

invaluable, underscoring the enormous passion, care
and concern of the greater New York City veteran
community. Commencing this endeavor with an open
mind, eager to share ideas, pose questions,
understand perspectives and build social capital and
trust, I remain thankful to all who assisted,
invited, hosted or contacted me along the way, yet
for all of the activity during this blitz period, I
am heartened to know that our interaction will
continue, deepen and build upon this foundation.

Further, let me take a moment to reinforce a truth known to me from the very beginning of my MOVA journey, that I am one in a long series of MOVA leaders who have put the needs of New York City veterans and their families first, from ensuring indigent, deceased veterans are buried with dignity to counseling and connecting myriad veterans to services and benefits, to intervening to prevent wrongful evictions, power shutoffs and countless other near calamities that come to our attention, to engaging women veteran leaders as an overlooked resource, to representing the Mayor at public events and honoring veterans at every opportunity. MOVA's door has long been open and always will be.

3

4

5

ahead.

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

And now, charged to execute MOVA's strategy in alignment with Mayor de Blasio's quiding vision, I am energized and optimistic about the way Yes, there is much work to be done, starting with the imperitive to make the best use of what we have, paving the way for MOVA to advocate, educate, engage, collaborate, and coordinate for the greater

there is always more we can do; our shared sense of

good going forward. As you put it, Mr. Chairman,

urgency, even impatience is perhaps our greatest ally. Moving forward we will continue to work

together, find common ground, disagree without being

disagreeable, and make lasting changes on behalf of

New York City veterans and their families; there

simply is no greater privilege.

Thank you again for this opportunity to meet with you today; as always, I look forward to continuing our journey together on behalf of all New York City veterans and their loved ones. At this time I welcome any questions during the discussion period. Again, thank you for your leadership.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you, Commissioner for your testimony and we're glad to see you're in good health; we know that you couldn't be

2	with us at the last hearing, but your office did a
3	phenomenal job of representing the Administration. I
4	wanna thank the Administration as well for working
5	with the Council in a collaborative way to fine-tune
6	these bills [background comment] and this
7	legislation; there were a lot of meetings, not only
8	between the Administration and the Council, but also
9	with the existing members of the Veterans Advisory
10	Board in crafting this legislation, so I don't want
11	anyone to think for a second that the sponsors of
12	these bills proceeded on their own to try to reform
13	the VAB, we really engaged the stakeholders
14	throughout the process and we didn't have the hearing
15	until after we had the chance really to get the very
16	valuable input from the members of the VAB and the
17	advocates who have been very helpful all along, so I
18	wanna thank you.

So I know that some of my colleagues have questions; I'd like to defer to them in case they have to run out before I ask my own, so I know Council Member Vallone had a few questions and I don't know if Council Member Cohen had anything. Okay, so we'll start with Council Member Vallone.

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you, Chair 3 Ulrich and good afternoon to our very own Dr. General Commissioner, and [laughter] probably the only one in 4 5 the city, which I always enjoy that. We're very 6 happy that you've... the statements you made today with 7 support of these bills and your initiatives that you have continued to take since taking office. You had 8 said this is what you were gonna do, you're gonna 9 10 take some time to evaluate, you were gonna address the needs and do what could be done within the 11 12 confines of the MOVA, the way it exists and before any changes are made. I think these bills that are 13 put in today, all three of them, are reflective of 14 15 that, [background comment] you know I think that's 16 proud for the Council to not rush into things and 17 looks at bills that would support and actually create 18 some good measuring sticks and I'm very proud of Int. 0600 that we put in, because it's, for me, right, as 19 20 a first-year Council Member, a lot of the information that we received is based on the data and before you 2.1 2.2 make any changes and so until you get a full road, 23 the attorney side of me wants to see the data and

this bill is saying just that; what veterans are we

servicing; what are they receiving; what City

agencies are engaging the veterans; how many; how many more can we look at, and that's what Int. 0600 was doing and then, [backtround comment] looking at the Board and how we can make it better are two other good areas.

others, but things that you wanted to focus on; I don't know if you could maybe elaborate; looked like there was veterans homelessness was the first one, [background comment] the Veterans Continuum of Care and the Task Force, housing resources and small business, which really always be the three things that we're always talking about that the City [background comment] can have a direct link in impacting to our veterans. But the Veterans Task Force; can you explain a little bit more about that and what your vision is and who's on that and...

DR. LOREE SUTTON: Sure. The Veterans

Task Force was formed actually from the larger

Continuum of Care, a longstanding organization that

has addressed general issues of homelessness in New

York City; it's co-chaired by a member of the VA,

Julie Irwin, and a member of DHS, Alyson Zikmund,

[background comment] and it has representatives

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

across the relevant City agencies, as you might imagine -- HPD and HRA and DCA -- you know, the City services and agencies and then it has a whole host of private organizations, many of the not-for-profit, who worked in this space of alleviating homelessness and now targeting their efforts towards veterans in particular; that's why this task force was stood up. I was very fortunate last November, the members of the Veterans Task Force voted to invite me to join the Task Force as a voting member, so since late November I've actually been a member of this task force and will continue in that role. So it's a real privilege; I think as we talked last fall at the hearing, New York City has made a tremendous amount of progress in this area; we know we still have a lot of work to do, not only to take things down the home stretch for these last remaining, the roughly thousand homeless veterans that we know are out there, but we also, we also are working very hard, as I described in my testimony, so that we make sure that we institutionalize these changes and develop

ways of sustaining the gins over time.

24

2.

J

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Is this gonna be an ongoing task force or is there a definitive point that it's gonna reach and then will be disbanded?

DR. LOREE SUTTON: You know we'll keep this task force in place as long as it needs to be and that would certainly include over the next 12 months, at a minimum.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And we, the

Chair and I and all the Council Members part of this,

anything we can do to assist and participate, please

let us know on that.

DR. LOREE SUTTON: Terrific. It's an incredible group of folks; it's a great example of different levels, not only of government getting together, but also embracing all of the vibrant domains across the private and not-for-profit sector here in New York City, it's a great collaborative effort.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And the last thing I wanted to mention was, you know as the Administration is pushing affordable housing, and rightfully so, I've been trying to champion, as we're talking about affordable housing, veterans getting their fair share of that affordable housing. In the

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 section that you had housing resources, are you comfortable with the resources that are at hand; is 3 there anything that we can expand here? I know the Chair and I are exploring different ideas that would 5

go forward in the future, but your last sentence 6

7 says, "we will need the landlords to make units

available for veterans for some of these rental 8

subsidies and the Task Force will create a housing 9

plan for every veteran in a shelter by the end of 10

2015," so can you expand on that? 11

> DR. LOREE SUTTON: Yes. Actually, within this next month we will have a housing plan for each of those 1,000 veterans. So as we go through that process we'll have a much better idea of, you know, which areas we're going to need, for example, one of the things that the Task Force has discussed is, as we've made such an improvement in the numbers of chronically homeless veterans, we're in a position now where we will likely be able to transform some of our transitional housing into permanent housing options, so we know that there are gonna be some flexibilities that will be required as we go forward and we'll keep you posted; we'll have a much better idea in about another month, once we get these

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

housing plans, which are really, they're really tailored to the needs of the individual veterans and their families, so it's an intensive effort and it will require action and commitment from all sectors.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: In your stay, I quess, as the Commissioner have you had any feedback from the landlords as to future plans and working with the veterans... [crosstalk]

DR. LOREE SUTTON: That's what we're digging into right now; we've certainly had a lot of engagement with landlords getting to this point and now this is gonna double down and really continue our engagement as we look at the individual needs of veterans and determine really what will be the best placements, what will be the best solutions for them. Also, I think it's important to note that we know it's not just about finding permanent housing options; we also know that those support services and the intensive case management is also a critical part of this process, so we're keeping our eyes on all three of those facets of successful, stable, permanent housing.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And vou mentioned the term that could probably be a whole

subject of another hearing, which is case management of veterans; I think maybe at some point the Chair and I would like to talk about how that... whether we can expand on that, whether we're talking about DFTA or whether we're talking about any agency, there seems to be an overload of handling, the management of the amount of cases coming into the 311 system citywide... [crosstalk]

DR. LOREE SUTTON: Absolutely.

it's the same here. So I'd like to thank you and all the advocacy groups and the veterans that came out today on another wintry day here in New York City, and now you can see why Int. 0600 came, because without that data we can't really go forward, so thank you for your testimony.

DR. LOREE SUTTON: Thank you, Council Member Vallone.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Okay, I just wanna make an announcement; the next Veterans Committee hearing -- we're not ending; I just wanna make a PSA here -- [background comment, laughter] the next Veterans Committee hearing is the 25th of February; we're actually having two this month and we'll be

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

hearing.

discussing the Veterans Treatment Court, which I know
is very near and dear to your heart, [background
comment] Commissioner Sutton, so we look forward to
that, mark your calendars; we'll put it out there,
but we did notice that meeting yesterday I think, so
the 25th we'll have another Veterans Committee

Also, on a personal note, I'm very proud to announce that my brother on Friday took the oath of enlistment with the United States Marine Corps, [background comments, applause] so my family could not be prouder; I have two younger brothers; he's the middle child, but he's the first person to serve in the armed forces since -- and my grandfather recently passed away, he was a Navy man and my great grandfather, who I affectionately refer to all the time, who is 93 years old, lives in public housing in Staten Island, is a World War II U.S. Army veteran, so we almost have every branch of the military covered except for the Air Force, so I'm sorry for the air men and women who are here, I'm sorry to let you down, but anyway, let's get back to Veterans Advisory Board.

24

and off the record about really the mission and

trying to fine tune what the Veterans Advisory Board should be or how it should [background comment] act or the functions that it should have; what do you envision moving forward, now that these reforms will presumably pass the Council; how do you think we'll

be able to achieve and sort of fine tune what we need

Commissioner, we've had discussions on

the Veterans Advisory Board to do?

DR. LOREE SUTTON: Well I think the provisions of each of these bills actually will contribute greatly to the future of the Veterans Advisory Board. I think first of all, as I mentioned in my testimony, to be able to build on the foundation that is there, [background comment] I'm very pleased about the one-year term of continuity with the current chair and vice-chair and I think that as we bring in not only a larger and perhaps more inclusive membership that reflect current needs, as testified last fall, I think we'll also be able to benefit greatly from this increased public access. You know as I go around and talk to folks, I mean it's just so important to engage, it's important for the veterans community to know when those meetings

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

are to be able to come and to participate or to be able to watch it on streaming video, but to feel connected and so we think that these changes really will help markedly so in that regard and I -- as the Commissioner, I plan to continue my pattern of reliance on the judgment and the wisdom; I don't always agree, but it's always informative and instructive to ask and to get a variety of perspectives; I will continue that going forward.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: We prepared some questions in the lead-up to the hearing and this one was pretty interesting; I think the Committee Counsel helped us do some research on this, but do you think that the Board's work could be enhanced if it had designated funding in the future? We're not talking about now, this is not a budget question, but we're talking about in its ability to sort of carry out its mission; is that something you think that the Administration might look to do or be open to or --I'm not saying let's put a dollar amount on, I'm just saying; do you think that if they had designated funding; maybe it came from MOVA, maybe it didn't go directly to them, but it came to MOVA to carry out it's mission; do you think that might be helpful?

DR. LOREE SUTTON: I guess the question that comes immediately to mind is funding for what, so we [background comment] would be open at looking at any proposal coming forward, but it will be really a matter of determining what would that funding be for.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: I'm presuming that some of the funding might be used for outreach, maybe putting advertisements in local papers about a meeting that might be taking place in advance of the meeting; I don't know, I'm -- you know, I'm just brainstorming off the top of my head. I can't imagine that they would have a lot of expenses because we're presuming that they'll meet in borough halls or public buildings where they don't have to pay for rent or anything... [interpose]

DR. LOREE SUTTON: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: but in terms of the outreach and informing members of the public about the meetings that are taking place, or maybe even producing the report or printing the report or just, you know, simple things... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Case management.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: case... case

management [background comment, laughter] there we go; that'll be a hearing, I'm sure [background comment]... [crosstalk]

DR. LOREE SUTTON: Well...

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: but there's a lot of good work. And also, as you know, members of the public will be inclined to bring their issues to the VAB and sometimes they'll get them before you and I might hear about them and [background comment] they might wanna act on them, so that might require money; everything requires money, but at some point it might be a good idea if we were at least open to the possibility of designating funding, but as you suggested, finding out... [crosstalk]

DR. LOREE SUTTON: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: what they need the money for, so I thought that was pretty interesting.

On Int. 0600, aside from how -- in the opening remarks we talked about how the data collected would be helpful in terms of identifying problems with how we're serving veterans through certain City agencies; do you think that there's anything else that we can do, aside from this

legislation, to really enhance that? I know that, for instance, we've talked about MOVA acting as a portal for the veterans liaisons in the various agencies, because every agency already has a veterans liaison...

DR. LOREE SUTTON: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: and you talked about, with great passion, that a lot of people don't even know who those folks are in some cases, so I mean how -- maybe you wanna expand on that.

DR. LOREE SUTTON: Yeah, thanks for mentioning that; I didn't mention the liaisons in my testimony, but it is certainly a resource that we plan to develop, you know, early in this year to bring them together for training, to be able to gauge what are the kinds of questions that they're fielding, to be able to keep a much closer connection between the agencies through the liaisons as well as through leadership at all levels.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Yeah. So I think
that would be particularly helpful in conjunction
with Council Member Vallone's bill, is that once we
get the data from various agencies, [background
comment] whether it's DHS or HRA or whatever

2.2

[background comment] the agency happens to be, that

MOVA and the Administration is in a position to then

utilize the liaisons in those agencies; say hey, you

know how come we're having this problem in Brooklyn

with this agency and not in Queens or in Staten

Island and not Manhattan or whatever the case happens

8 to be. So it's not enough to just collect the data;

10 on it and... [crosstalk]

DR. LOREE SUTTON: Absolutely.

the data's very important, but also to be able to act

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: certainly I know the Administration is looking to do just that.

And then lastly, getting back to the VAB, do you think that the Board -- we didn't put this in the legislation, but we had a lot of discussion about this -- do you think the Board should be required to provide specific recommendations to MOVA in the annual report regarding any particular agency or any city function or recommendations for best practices? We didn't do that for a reason; we want to allow for flexibility, but do you think that there is some sort of function or recommendation that they should be required to make in their annual report?

## COMMITTEE ON VETERANS

2			DR.	LOREE	SUTTON:	I	would	welcome	any
3	such	formal	rec	ommend	lations.				

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Okay. Alright.

Well, I can't thank you enough for your testimony,

[background comment] the other members have been

excused to other hearings and other places, but we

look forward to working with you and your staff and

we wanna thank you and the Administration for helping

us get to this point today. So thank you,

[background comment] Dr. Sutton.

We're gonna have the first panel up and we're gonna ask several existing members of the VAB to testify; we are joined by the Chairman, Vince McGowan, a member of the American Legion -- he's being very humble -- Fang Wong; he's the former National Commander of the American Legion; thank you for being here and for testifying; Jason Hansman from Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans of America and Kristen Rouse, from the New York City Veterans Alliance. There's another chair, Vince, on your left.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And then we turn the music on.

2.2

oath.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Exactly. I'll ask
Eric Bernstein, Committee Counsel, to administer the

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in your testimony before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

[group affirmation]

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Okay, you may proceed and why don't we start with ladies first, if that's alright with the three gentlemen. Okay.

[background comment] She's also closest to the microphone, so it makes sense. [laughter] Thank you.

KRISTEN ROUSE: Okay, am I... can you hear
me? [background comment] 'Kay.

My name is Kristen Rouse and I represent a newly formed grassroots organization called the New York City Veterans Alliance. I am a veteran of the United States Army and I served in Afghanistan in 2006, 2010 and 2012 for a total of 31 months deployed. I personally believe that New York City is the greatest city in the world and there's no place that I've been prouder or happier to come home to

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

than here. It's been clear to me that New Yorkers truly appreciate military veterans, but our city's government still has a long way to go before we can claim that New York City is truly a veteran-friendly city.

One key area we need to improve upon is ensuring that the voices of all veterans, from the distinguished veterans who served us in World War II, Korea and Vietnam to the forgotten veterans who stood at guard posts across the globe during the Cold War, to those who served in Panama, Mogadishu, Desert Shield and Desert Storm, Bosnia; to the men and women like me, who recently came home from the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, and even those left behind, left out or underserved by bureaucratic snafus and inadequate support when they came home. All of these voices, needs, challenges and blueprints for success must be present in the conversations New York City government is having about its veterans. These hearing have been important in this process and we commend Council Member Ulrich and the Veterans Committee for these vital public discussions and the legislation we hope will result.

Earlier this month the New York City

important to them.

Veterans Alliance, in partnership with New York Metro
Vets, launched a survey asking the New York City
veterans community to rate their policy priorities;
among other issues we asked respondents to prioritize
the reform of the Veterans Advisory Board. The
survey is open through the end of February and we
still have responses coming in, yet even with these
preliminary results, responses thus far from our
community have overwhelmingly favored reform. Out of
290 respondents thus far, 60 percent say that
reforming the VAB is essential, another 28 percent

say reform is very important and 9 percent say it is

moderately important. This equates to 97 percent of

respondents thus far saying that VAB reform is

We fully support proposals to increase the number of appointees to the VAB and make appointee contact information, meeting information and proceedings open to the veterans community. We believe these measures have the potential to make the VAB a more transparent, effective and representative body serving the veterans of New York City. We urge the City Council to take prompt action on these

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

important reforms and we offer these additional recommendations.

VAB meetings should be accessible to veterans who reside across the city; meetings should be planned for all five boroughs and community attendance should be maximized. VAB appointees should not only abide by their terms of appointment, but also be actively engaged in the New York veterans community. VAB appointees should be more fully representative of New York City's diverse veteran population and inclusive of the men and women who served across the spectrum of conflicts, including Afghanistan, as well as from different branches of military, representing both enlisted and officer experiences, as well as diverse areas of engagement within New York City's veterans community. The VAB should produce and make public an annual report of its role advising the Mayor's Office of Veterans Affairs to include summaries of issues raised, recommended actions, inputs from the veterans community at meetings and itemized accounting for any city funds used by the VAB.

We have the largest city government in the country and we have one of the largest urban

populations of veterans in the United States, yet to
date there has been no coherent tracking, reporting
or coordination of the services New York City
government is delivering to its veterans. We fully
support the intent of Int. 0600 and recommend two
changes. First, wording should include all City
agencies that may be offering services to veterans,
limiting reporting to services related only to
housing, vending licenses and HRA-run employment may
create a piecemeal approach to City agencies'
reporting services to veterans, inadvertently exclude
other agencies or services, such as those provided by
DOHMH or HHC or otherwise not fully captured data on
veterans receiving services. Second, the definition
of veteran should be changed to any individual who
has served in the military regardless of discharge
status. All men and women who answer the call of
service to our nation should first be assumed to be
veterans and then eligibility for services should be
determined thereafter. Including data on city
services offered to veterans not having an honorable
discharge would offer critical data on veterans who
have been underserved or otherwise left behind by the
system It must be noted here that many discharges

2.2

characterized as other than honor or dishonorable
whether the result of minor offenses related to PTSD
or other affects of military service that were either
misunderstood or mistreated at the time. Many of
those discharged for homosexuality prior to the
repeal of don't ask don't tell sill retain an adverse
status. The process for upgrading the character of a
discharge can be long and problematic and while
discharge status is key to eligibility for VA
benefits, discharge status should not be a
determining factor for tracking of services provided

to veterans by New York City agencies.

Our survey has shown overwhelming support thus far for all City agencies providing services to veterans to track and report the number of veterans receiving these services; 61 percent of our respondents say that this is essential, 25 percent call it very important, 12 percent call it moderately important, for a total of 98 percent of respondents indicating that this issue is important to them. We urge the City Council to take prompt action accordingly. On behalf of the New York City Veterans Alliance, I thank you for this opportunity to speak

2.2

2 today; pending your questions, this concludes my
3 testimony.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you. We'll save the questions until the panel is completed; we'll hear from Jason now and we'll proceed down the row.

JASON HANSMAN: Thank you Chairman Ulrich and distinguished members of the Committee; on behalf of Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans of America, I would like to extend our gratitude for being given the opportunity to share with you our views and recommendations regarding New York City's Veterans Advisory Board and the three proposed pieces of legislation before this Committee.

Serving our veterans in New York City is a top priority of IAVA and the VAB and these proposed changes to it are critically important to the lives of veterans in this city. With over 200,000 veterans estimated to be living in New York City, 3,500 of those being IAVA members, the role of City government, including the Veterans Advisory Board, is crucial to the implementation and development of benefits and services that will directly improve the lives of veterans and their families. The introduced

2.2

City Council legislation 0600, 0611 and 0619 address the many issues that have been brought before this Committee over the years and we applaud the work of this Committee to move these issues into legislation.

We are encouraged that this legislation will bring the VAB closer to the veterans that it serves, having VAB meetings held in every borough will bring members into the communities that they are representing and face to face with the veterans that they represent. Additionally, having these meetings open to the public and recorded will increase the level of transparency of this advisory board. These steps will hopefully begin to close the gap between the Veteran Advisory Board and the veterans in this city, an issue that we presented in our testimony on October 27th.

The expansion of the VAB that this legislation would mandate is much needed; this advisory board is still missing the chance to engage a number of populations inside of the city, including a sizable student veteran population. IAVA would like to see if this legislation were to pass the addition of student veterans on this advisory board.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 Depite the overall positive nature of 3 these three pieces of legislation there are still challenges that the VAB faces; namely, many of the 4 members' terms of the Board have lapsed and there has been no reappointment. We would urge the Mayor and 6 7 the Speaker to appoint new members or reappoint existing members expeditiously. Having these seats 8 effectively sit empty gives the appearance that this 9 Board is not important to the City of New York. 10 hope that after passing this legislation the 11 12 attention will be turned to ensuring that this Board

is properly filled and active.

There is still a great deal of work that needs to be in this city and having an effective

Veteran Advisory Board is critical to ensuring that that work is being done successfully. We look forward to addressing future issues with this

Committee, including Veterans Treatment Courts later this month. Again, we appreciate this opportunity to offer our views on this important issue and we look forward to continuing our work with each of you, your staff and this Committee to improve the lives of veterans and their families in New York City. Thank you for your time and attention.

,

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you. Hear from Mr. Wong, welcome back and thank you for being here.

FANG WONG: Good afternoon Mr. Chairman, members of the Veterans Committee; thank you for the opportunity to appear before your hearing today.

As you noted, I did serve a few years with the American Legion, maybe around 25, 26 years since my retirement from the U.S. Army; I was a career U.S. Army and I served during the Vietnam time and so technically, based on your latest definition, I have been a veteran for like 45, 46 years, so that could give me some sort of seniority.

I'm here to kinda offer a couple of my opinions on the bill and also express some concerns that you might want to take into consideration. In general I totally agree with what General Sutton's saying about Int. 0600, 0611 and 0619; however, with Int. 0600, it was great, for the first time I guess, that we go out and ask for data, so that now we finally have a baseline to grow on so in the future with case management we know where we're at and where to go, so that's a great step forward. The other thing that I was really happy to see is that as a

Legion member, we have our definition of what a 
veteran is and that's based on our charter by
Congress. I often wonder you know, what is the
definition of veteran in any other elements,
especially in New York City; I think I raised the
question one time with the Commissioner and I was
happy that, for the first time I guess, in bill 0600
you actually provide a definition of a veteran; now,
whether or not we agree that's the correct way, at
least that's a start somewhere; in order for us to
move on, we have to sit down and come up with some
consensus of what a veteran is so that we know who
we're talking about, because different organizations
may have different requirements and that is important
before we move on that we have a consensus so we know
what we're talking about. So those are the two areas
that I'm happy and I would suggest that we pay a
little bit more attention to and come up with a
definition. Int. 0611 and Int. 0619, they're pretty
much the same, because we're addressing the same part
of the changes on that paragraph and when I first
received it in the email I was totally confused; I'm
not a politician; I'm not a lawyer, and I was saying,
now you're changing from 9 to 11 in 0611 and yet 0619

2	you're still talking about 9, so what are we doing,
3	we're changing back to 9; then I realized, after
4	several phone calls, I was getting a good education,
5	that now we address only parts of it. So in the
6	future, maybe things can be lumped together, because
7	they're pretty similar, they are just the same issue
8	just to clarify for dummies like me is easier for me
9	to understand. Now with 0611, it's great that we
10	expand members from 9 to 11; it gives more input; it
11	gives more diverse out there for people to
12	participate, for veterans to participate, however, I
13	believe today is the first time I heard the
14	Commissioner mention the criteria, you know who is
15	eligible to be a member of the Board. I think maybe
16	we should create a profile or a requirement, who
17	should be. One thing that I'm concerned with is in
18	the past the members had been representing
19	individually and they are selected on an individual
20	basis; I may be wrong, but that's my assumption that
21	that's how it goes. I'm not sure whether or not we
22	should spend some time and consider that we as souls
23	or other prominent organization should be
24	represented, because a lot of times the VA souls in
25	another organization, they may have certain

2.1

2.2

organizational priorities or concerns that are important and if we have individuals participate, we're at the mercy of that individual; he or she may have other ideas and then we lose the part, the input from the organization that are out there, veterans organizations, so that's something that we might want to take a look at. It's great with 0619, that we rotate the meetings to the five boroughs; it gives a lot more visibility, publicity to veterans out there and I don't see how it could hurt us as we go on, because that will create more interest and excitement that they are coming to my area and I need to be there, so in that sense that's good.

Having said that, I kinda question the appointment timing of the Board; it appears that we do all 9 or all 11 at the same time; now that's not good for continuity phases and also, with the change of administration, so I don't know if the Council or the Committee will want to consider perhaps maybe we should stack them three at a time over the three years so you always have continuity, even though with a change of administration, 'cause otherwise we'll run into a situation now where all 9 of them would walk away; fortunately I think Vince agreed that

\_

3

4

5

6

7

,

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

he'll stay one year, but if we have it stacked 3/3/3, then you will have a knowledge base there and for the future, so those are my recommendations, you know if it works out.

The last thing I'd like to bring out is,

I'm sorry I missed the last meeting all on small business; maybe I find out afterward, however, Mr. Chairman, your opening statement, and also Councilman Eugene's opening statement, I see that you and Councilman Vallone can't do enough for the veterans, so I would like to ask you, please, please that small business set aside is nothing less than show our support to the veterans. Seems to me everybody thinks that's very important; the federal government thinks it's very important, the state government thinks it's important; after I retired from the Army I worked for 22 years with the Defense Industrial as a contractor and I know how important it is that when the veteran small business applied for contracts, how important it is for them that they get that little help, that little advantage to survive and to grow and so you know, New York City, being the greatest city in the world, I can't see we could do any less, so if there's anything, I think we need to stay on

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

top of it and make sure this issue will be addressed as soon as possible. Thank you for your time and I'm here to ask questions I quess.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you, as We're gonna reserve the questions for after always. Vince is done speaking.

Thanks.

[background comment]

VINCE MCGOWAN:

technologically challenged at our senior age here. Following up -- when the bills first came out -- My name is Vince McGowan and I'm currently serving as the Chairman of the Veterans Advisory Board; I have done so since 2008, or it was 2007; I divert to the Commissioner's notes; it's been so long that I've sort of forgotten how long it's been, but the Veterans Advisory Board, as a group, has really applauded the new fresh approach that the Council and that the Commissioner are taking to restructuring; looking at how we're going to organize as a community going forward. The different intros that have been introduced by our former chairman, Councilman Eugene and our current chairman, Councilman Ulrich and our Committee Member, Council Member Vallone all go; the Board unanimously agrees that these are the beginning

of the right steps and hearing the testimony of the
other stakeholders, I can see that we have more in
common than we have at risk here and I applaud the
past National Commander's intro into the idea of
what's really important; how do we pick our members
and how do we define what a veteran is. Those are
entry level positions that if we get the wrong
definition we're gonna find that we're trying to help
people apply for benefits that they're not eligible
for; we have to be very clear that there are
standards that have been at work for many years; I
would hope that we would incorporate them into the
future; there may have to be changes based the
changes that the military have undergone in the last
few years, but we have to look at what the
regulations say as the eligibility and however that
would shake out, it should definitely be include din
the legislation so that there's no confusion as to
who's eligible for what and it would be a travesty to
bring a veteran all the way up to the door of getting
a benefit only to find out that they didn't have the
required credentials in order to get whatever the
benefit is that they were seeking; that would be a
double disappointment. The structure of the Veterans

Advisory board is evenity distributed with the Mayor
and the City Council; I think the point about having
organizations represented versus individuals should
be considered, it's a very strong point to make;
individuals who represent one point of view of their
own may not bring as much continuity as individuals
who represent larger groups who have bought in
already to particular philosophies. We're at the
edge here now of a new world of veterans advocacy;
having been at it from the beginning, it's wonderful
to see the interest, it's great to see the new young
veterans stepping up; that's what we really need
here, the Vietnam veterans have been holding the door
open as long as we could; now it's time to put a new
door on the hinge and get everybody into the same
net. So I congratulate the Committee, I congratulate
the Administration for looking to the community to
have input in what is to be our future. Thank you
very much.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Thank you, Mr.

McGowan, thank you for your service to our country,
but also to the Veterans Advisory Board, I know that
we rely very much on your institutional knowledge; I
know John Rowan, I don't think he's here today, but

2	he's also somebody that has been incredibly helpful
3	to me and the Administration since the beginning of
4	the year, since we started and we wanna continue to
5	rely on that, so it's very important. I think that
6	all of your testimony was very helpful, very
7	valuable; you should know that we're not passing the
8	bills out of the Committee today because we wanted to
9	hear what your recommendations were; we also wanted
10	to give people time between the period that we
11	introduced the bills first and today's hearing
12	because people aren't gonna think of everything all
13	at once, sometimes you might think of something after
14	this hearing is over; feel free to email myself or
15	the Commissioner's office so that we can try and
16	incorporate that into the final version of the bill.
17	I would like to vote this out at the beginning of the
18	hearing on the 25th, which is when we're gonna have
19	the oversight hearing on Veterans Treatment Courts,
20	so just to kinda give you a mental timeline, if you
21	think of anything else that you think would be
22	helpful or you come up with any other ideas that
23	really are practical, we would love to incorporate
24	those into the final version of the bill because
25	there's no sense in passing a bill that's incomplete.

2	I wanna ask you just a few questions and
3	I guess this is somewhat of a follow-up on some of
4	the questions that we asked MOVA before. But do you
5	think the Board in the future should be required
6	in the annual report that you produce, do you think
7	that the Board should be required to provide any
8	specific recommendations, not only to MOVA, but maybe
9	to the Council for legislation; maybe there's
10	something that other cities are doing that we should
11	be doing; do you think that it should be required,
12	anything should be required in that annual report,
13	'cause right now it's pretty much whatever you want
14	it to be, essentially; flexibility's a good thing,
15	but do you think that in addition to some of the
16	things that you might wanna put in there that there
17	should be stuff that's required in that annual report
18	so that we as legislators or members of an
19	administration or heads of city agencies, you know
20	might look for something in that report or look to
21	act on something in that report?
22	VINCE MCGOWAN: I'll take that

24 CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Please, yeah.

23

[crosstalk]

[crosstalk]

VINCE MCGOWAN: You know it certainly can't hurt; there's no requirement for it and there's always the rule, be careful what you ask for...

VINCE MCGOWAN: you know, we don't have

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Right.

a definition yet that -- in New York City; we have a New York State definition and I think the City has a definition; in some of the material I read it hinted at what the City might consider to be the definition of a veteran, but until those things are clear, recommendations coming out of a board may do more to obfuscate the point than to clarify it. So I think that's the kind of thing that would be built in subsequently; you know, if there's a line in the legislation that would say something like the City Council Committee requires specific recommendations on items, that might be the way you wanna go, but having it in there is not a bad idea.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Yeah, certainly we don't wanna tie the hands of... [crosstalk]

VINCE MCGOWAN: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: the VAB, we want there to be flexibility; I know that we welcome input

2	and suggestions that the VAB has, but clearly I
3	think, given their expertise, given their experience
4	in the military and post military service, them
5	providing and also your interest in veterans
6	issues; I mean quite frankly, you probably read just
7	as many if not more articles from papers and
8	magazines all over the country online about issues
9	that are facing veterans, so you know, I think what
10	we're looking for and why I asked that question is,
11	that in the past the annual report that's come out of
12	the VAB has been very helpful, but some have argued
13	that it's basically a summarization of the minutes of
14	the meeting that they had, which is very helpful and
15	has been very good, but I think we're looking for a
16	little direction, we're looking for something
17	specific that you either are supporting or advocating
18	for or that you want to see the City Council, the
19	Administration; a particular City agency do and so I
20	don't again, I don't feel strongly either way; I'm
21	not saying that we should tie your hands and that you
22	should be required to produce a legislative list of
23	recommendations or a list of recommendations or
24	suggestions or criticisms of an agency or an
25	administration, I'm not advocating for that; what I'm

2 sayi

saying is, we're just looking for a little clarity and direction as to what it is exactly you want us to do or do better. So that's the reason why I asked the VAB and also the Administration that question.

Yeah, Jason.

JASON HANSMAN: Right. So I would say if
the role of the VAB, which my understand is to advise
the City; I think absolutely they should be on the
hook for some recommendations; I don't think we
should tie what those recommendations look like,
whether they're legislative, administrative or so on,
but I think certainly the Board should be held to
actually giving concrete and constructive
recommendations to the City; I think that will I
think justify in a lot of ways [background comment]
their existence.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: I just think that we're looking to have a framework, less specifics, but more in terms of what we would like to see, maybe leave it open to say that the annual report shall consist of legislative, administrative, regulatory or other recommendations, something along those lines just so that the Board understands what that report should look like; not what should be in it necessary,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

2122

23

24

25

we're not looking to dictate exactly what's in it.

So that's a conversation we'll have, you know, after
the hearing. Kristen; did you wanna add anything?

KRISTEN ROUSE: The conversations that we've been having have, I mean basically been along the lines of, there's no shortage of people who say they want to help veterans... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: Right.

KRISTEN ROUSE: and that's a great thing; what there has been a greater shortage of is people who are actually taking action to advocate for and provide concrete solutions for veterans for specific policies that are going to work and influence the lives of many veterans. So what we would want to see from the Veterans Advisory Board is: a. is the Advisory Board taking input from veterans in the community; b. are recommendations actually being made to MOVA; I have no doubt that recommendations have been made, they just haven't been public in the past, and so we want to see, you know, just sort of quantify what recommendations are being made so that we can then measure whether MOVA is taking those recommendations to heart and acting on them; we want something to measure against to at least see what

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

work is being done. And in the future, if there's any money involved, then we would like to see a report of that. We realize that the VAB, it's an unpaid position; it's something that you all have been giving of your own personal time to serve us and we appreciate that, but we wanna see, going forward, what exactly is it that's being done for these appointments.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: That's very interesting; you bring up a few points and I know we discussed it earlier, and I know -- also, I wanna get back to Mr. Wong's recommendation; I'm very interested in scattering the appointments; that's something that should be discussed offline as well, because I think there is a need for continuity; I served on a community board, also an unpaid position, prior to being elected and I now have a role in helping recommend members for community board appointments and all 50 are not done every two years, they are in fact scattered and the borough president makes those appointments in each borough, so that's a very good idea, to kind of break it up a little bit and we'll talk with the Administration about that. Also filling or reappointing those positions, that is

2.1

2.2

a top priority for the Administration; I've had several conversations with them; I don't know when that's going to happen, but I know that it will happen and hopefully that will happen soon. I think that perhaps they were waiting for this bill to be passed so that they knew exactly how many people were gonna be considered or reppointed or whatever, so we're gonna be looking at doing that. And getting back to the budget issue, that was my follow-up question for members of the Board. Do you think, Vince, that the VAB should have a budget; if it did have a budget, how could some of that money be used; I mean, what are your thoughts on that?

[background comment]

VINCE MCGOWAN: Communication is the answer; we've so many times had this conversation — the Board should have letterhead, the Board should have cards so that when they're out there in their communities that they can represent that they are members of the Board; now that we'll have email, I'm assuming that these intros will pass, great idea, so we'll be able to communicate as a body, not as an individual; I think those things will start shaping it a lot tighter. I would follow the Commissioner's

2	point; what is it for? If there were a housekeeping
3	budget of a relatively low amount of money to cover,
4	you know the meetings that are held, 'cause the
5	meetings hopefully will be held at every borough now,
6	there may be you know a travel allotment in there for
7	'cause many of the members traveling out from the
8	outer boroughs or, you know, it's spread out New
9	York, depending on where we go; that might be some
10	relief there, but a small amount of money for
11	communication and housekeeping would be a good idea.
12	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: So that's very
13	interesting. So a MetroCard for instance
14	[crosstalk]
15	VINCE MCGOWAN: Right.
16	CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: at the very least
17	[crosstalk]

18 VINCE MCGOWAN: Right.

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: or being able to get reimbursed for a MetroCard or train ride to the meeting; that might be something that could be included... [crosstalk]

VINCE MCGOWAN: Yeah. You now the amount...

CHAIRPERSON ULRICH: printing of the 2 3 agenda, if you have 50 people show up in Staten Island, you have to hand out 50 pieces of paper to 4 5 let everybody know what's going to be discussed and when the meeting starts and when it's over and who 6 7 can speak and when they can speak, so that -- you know I guess trying to model it after the community 8 board process -- community boards are all volunteers, 9 50; they get a budget [background comment] much 10 larger than this would entail because they have a 11 12 district manager and they actually have a staff and 13 an office; I'm not saying that the VAB should have 14 that, but there are minor expenses that are incurred 15 and I don't, know that that should come out of MOVA's 16 budget because it takes away from MOVA and the VAB is 17 technically supposed to be a separate independent 18 entity that advises the Administration and the Council and other stakeholders about veterans issues, 19 20 so that's something in the future; that's not something we're discussing today, we're not putting 21 2.2 that in the bill, but in terms of our larger 23 discussion about what the VAB should look like and how it should function and how it can carry out the 24

mission that we're asking it to do, it's something

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

just to be open to. So I wanna turn it over to Council Member Vallone before we call up the next panel.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you, Chair. Again, thank you panel; you're advice and quidance is -- as you see us feverishly writing as your recommendations are coming, and we can't write fast enough, that's how these things all happen; actually how these bills came were from past hearings when we spoke about this, so the lawyer side of me, as you were saying, is -- you're looking for the lawyer and elected official, well you found one; we're writing down and trying to find out ways we can do that.

Kristen, I thank you for your service; I thank you for your perfect testimony and the way you wrote it out and the suggestions that are there; I personally use those to tailor legislation; we went right over and spoke about the two points to see what we could do about the definition and expanding to all agencies, so we'll talk about that. But you also mentioned a survey, which I'm very interested in; could you maybe expand on what was found in the survey besides expanding the VAB?

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date <INSERT TRANSCRIPTION DATE>