CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE

----- X

January 30, 2015 Start: 10:10 a.m. Recess: 1:00 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm,

14th Fl.

B E F O R E:

FERNANDO CABRERA

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Maria Del Carmen Arroyo

James Vacca Inez D. Barron Rory I. Lancman

Ruben Wills

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Jackie Sherman
Associate Commissioner
Juvenile Justice Planning, Policy &
Performance
Department of Youth and Family Justice
Administration for Children's Services

Jennifer Romelien
Executive Director
Detention Program Services
Department of Youth and Family Justice
Administration for Children's Services

Sarah Bass
Executive Director
Residential Placement
Department of Youth and Family Justice
Administration for Children's Services

Sonia Galarza
Director of Programs
Department of Juvenile Justice

Jennifer Romelien
Executive Director
Program Services
Department of Youth and Family Services
Administration for Children's Services

Sarah Bass
Executive Director
Non-Secure Placement
Department of Youth and Family Services
Administration for Children's Services

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Gabrielle Horowitz-Prisco Director Juvenile Justice Project Correctional Association of New York

Dr. John Shaw Mental Health Administrator Episcopal Social Services

Victoria Sammartino
Founder & Executive Director
Voices Unbroken

Hans Menos
Director of Youth Services
Center for Community Alternatives

Darek Robinson Vice President Grievances & Legal Services Social Service Employees Union Local 371 [gavel]

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Good morning and welcome to today's Juvenile Justice hearing. My name is Fernando Cabrera and I'm the Chair to the Committee on Juvenile Justice; I wanna thank you all for being here today, as well as welcome Committee members who are present; Maria Del Carmen Arroyo.

Today's hearing will focus on services and programs that DYFJ provides to all youth that are in the Department's custody, both in detention and placement facilities. DYFJ provides a wide range of services to detained youth that include but are not limited to education, health, mental health and discharge planning. The Committee looks forward to examining these services administered by DYFJ, learning how the Department implements the services and examining how we can improve the provision of these services for our youth. Additionally, the Committee is interested in learning the types of cultural and recreational programs provided to remanded youth.

As Councilman and Chair of this

Committee, I believe youth are a critical component
to their community; they are the community's legacy

Chair Cabrera and members of the Committee on

Juvenile Justice. I am Jackie Sherman, Associate

24

25

2.2

Commissioner of Juvenile Justice Planning, Policy and Performance. With me are Jennifer Romelien,

Executive Director of Detention Program Services and Sarah Bass, Executive Director of Residential

Placement. Thank you for the opportunity to discuss the programs and services that ACS and our partners

provide to youth in our juvenile justice residences.

Services, Division of Youth and Family Justice oversees a continuum of services and programs for youth at every stage of the juvenile justice process. DYFJ promotes public safety and improves the lives of youth, families and communities by providing child-centered and family-focused services, including therapeutic treatment, safe and secure custodial care, responsive health care, effective re-entry services, and educational opportunities. We and our contracted providers provide these services to youth in secure and non-secure detention facilities, non-secure placement residences and community-based alternative programs.

Each year the Division provides secure and non-secure detention services to young people awaiting the conclusion of their criminal or family

court case. In 2014, DYFJ served approximately 2,000
youth in our 13 non-secure and 2 secure detention
facilities. Approximately two years ago New York
City launched Close to Home, a juvenile justice
reform initiative that allows New York City youth who
are found by a family court judge to have committed
delinquent act to receive services in or close to
their home communities. ACS collaborates with nine
local non-profit agencies to implement non-secure
placement and provide services to young people at one
of approximately 30 small resource-rich residential
programs in or near the five boroughs. In March of
this year, ACS will launch limited secure placement.
Three providers will operate facilities at six sites
in and near New York City, serving young people in
need of a higher level of care and structure.

While out-of-home placement is a critical component of our system, it should be our last option. ACS offers two community-based alternative programs which allow youth to receive services while remaining at home with their families. The Juvenile Justice Initiative links young people and families with intensive, evidence-based, therapeutic

2 interventions aimed at diverting youth from

2.2

3 residential placement.

The Family Assessment Program serves

families seeking to file PINS petitions in New York

City family courts. Through FAP, ACS works to

address the concerns that brought the young people to

our attention.

The mission of detention is to ensure ontime court appearances. While young people are in detention we strive to expose them to positive programming and services to encourage them to get on the right path upon discharge from residential care. In contrast to detention, ACS and our provider partners are able to provide more intensive and therapeutic programming to youth in placement, as young people are in our care for a longer period of time. As such, we actively connect; place youth to programming that can be continued in the community while they are on aftercare.

In order to vividly illustrate the type of programs and services that youth receive in our juvenile justice residential programs, we would like to share two examples of a typical day for the youth in our care. We will first share the story of a

young person in a secure detention setting and the second story describes a youth's experience in one of

4 our non-secure residential settings.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

It's Tuesday at 6 a.m. at Crossroads Juvenile Center; J, a 14-year-old from Brooklyn who is awaiting adjudication of an allocation of robbery wakes up to prepare for school. Had he been required to appear in court that day, he would have woken up at 5:30 a.m. Breakfast begins at 6:15 a.m. and J gets ready quickly so that he can walk downstairs to the cafeteria with other members of his hall. group of 10 young men is escorted to the cafeteria for their assigned juvenile counselor. Juvenile counselors, also known as JCs, are direct care staff that assist, coach and engage young people in every aspect of their day. After breakfast a JC escorts J and his hallmates back to their rooms to freshen up after eating breakfast. They gather in the common area so that they can be escorted upstairs to the school floor. Classes begin at 8 a.m. and end at 3 p.m. Each class is comprised of eight students who share similar levels of academic need. Each period lasts 45 minutes. The school day consists of eight periods including lunch. During their time in

2	detention, J and his peers receive a standard
3	Department of Education curriculum that includes
4	English language arts, mathematics, science and
5	social studies. J and his peers also have access to
6	laptops while in the classroom. As the school day
7	comes to a close, J requests a math tutor because he
8	struggled with the day's lesson. In addition to
9	tutors, DOE teachers work with high school students
10	to help them prepare for Regents and English language
11	arts examinations. When J returns to his hall at
12	3:10 p.m., he has the option to participate in
13	several programs, including working with a DOE
14	teacher to take credit recovery courses, visiting the
15	library to check out a book, playing a group sport,
16	such as basketball, football or baseball, or
17	participating in movie night, bingo games or spades
18	tournaments. Each program is designed to teach youth
19	specific skills, such as leadership, sportsmanship,
20	self-esteem building and engagement in positive
21	activities with their peers. As the evening
22	approaches, J has dinner with his hallmates and then
23	starts to prepare for bedtime. Showers are taken and
24	youth are able to quietly read, watch TV or play
25	games until it's time for lights out at 9:30 p.m.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now we're gonna shift to a non-secure residential setting. It's Thursday morning at a row house in the Bronx. To the average passerby, the home looks like all of the others on the block; however, the community knows that six justiceinvolved young women reside in the home. S, a 15year-old resident, was found by a family court judge to have committed an act of assault. She's been at the residence for three months and expects to be there for three more. S wakes up at 6:45 a.m. to prepare for school and joins her housemates for breakfast in the home's dining room. The overnight staff have prepared breakfast and the youth and staff eat at the table together family style. After breakfast the group heads to the living room for a circle-up exercise in which staff lead the group in a discussion that encourages youth to acknowledge how they are feeling and what their expectations are for the day. These exercises are key components of the Missouri Approach, a highly regarded rehabilitative and therapeutic approach to addressing juvenile justice involved youth. Many of our providers use this approach, which is designed to challenge young people and help them make lasting behavioral changes

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that will prepare them for successful transitions back to the community. The approach stresses constant close supervision of youth and features a group treatment process in which each member is held accountable for his or her actions by the other members of the peer group. After the circle-up, the girls board the residence's van and are transported to Bronx Hope, one of two stand-alone DOE schools that exclusively serve justice-involved youth. group circles up before classes being at 8:20 a.m. to set expectations for the school day. Throughout the school day S and her housemates transition together among eight classes taught by DOE teachers. Provider agency direct care staff remains with the youth throughout the day and provide support in the classroom. At the end of the school day the group boards the van and is transported back to their residence. Upon arrival at 3:30 p.m., S and her housemates head to the living room for another circle-up to debrief about the day. The residence's morning and evening staff participate in the exercise so that all staff is aware of the triumphs and challenges residents experienced that day. The girls then change out their school clothes, eat snacks at

the dining room table and complete their homework until 5 p.m.; afterwards, the girls participate in a 45-minute group therapy session. S and her housemates are looking forward to their evening programming, which is geared toward building selfesteem, encouraging community engagement and most of all, strengthening family ties. Through positive activities and strong role models, we hope to develop the skills young people need to redirect their lives in a positive direction when they leave our care.

Today Carnegie Hall artists are coming to the residence to work with S and her housemates on a songwriting project that will conclude with the group performing for their families and staff. This program structure is important because it allows the youth to apply coping and social skills to everyday situations, just as they will need to do when their time with us concludes.

At 7 p.m., S and her housemates meet in the dining room for dinner. The residence's cook has prepared dinner for the group and the youth and staff eat together family style. After dinner, the young people complete their chores, which include cleaning the dinner table, mopping the floors, emptying the

_

trash and making sure that the recycling is in the correct bin. After all of the girls have completed their chores, they are able to participate in spiritual services or read in the living room. At 9 p.m. S and her housemates move upstairs to take showers, call their family members and get ready for bed.

ACS and our partners provide a number of services throughout the juvenile justice continuum, including education, health and mental health services. Through our partnership with the Department of Education, all justice-involved youth in residential settings attend classes taught by DOE teachers or receive transferrable DOE credits while attending a state-approved non-public school.

ACS meets the range of mental health needs of our youth either by offering mental and behavioral health services on-site or establishing referral and treatment arrangements with community-based mental health providers.

We have found that young people thrive when expressing themselves through the arts and humanities. To that end, we have partnered with numerous organizations, such as Voices Unbroken,

J

which provides writing-based creative workshops and builds literacy skills. We also work with Healing Arts from Montefiore Medical Center to bring together artists and youth to design and paint murals and artwork. Through our partnership with High Five/Art Connection, we bring young people in non-secure residential settings to theatre and productions, as well as museums and other art programs. Our collaboration with Carnegie Hall brings professional musicians to work with youth to compose and produce music culminating in a concert.

To build life skills and empower youth to reach their potential, we partner with the Mayor's Office to Combat Domestic Violence, NYU, New York Cares and Day One to educate young people about intimate partner violence, various forms of abuse, healthy relationships and sexual health.

We also work with the Girl Scouts Council of Greater New York, which provides financial literacy, leadership development, career exploration and college preparation workshops. Columbia University's Literacy Advocacy Program also provides tutoring and develops problem-solving and critical reasoning skills.

Recreational activities are also a

critical component of programming for young people.

In addition to a range of arts and humanities

programs, ACS works with Yoga for Yoga and the

Lineage Project to offer weekly yoga sessions. We

also partner with Row New York, a unique summer

program that introduces youth to rowing and provides

academic success programming.

Organizations such as Exalt Youth offer structured classes, individualized support and paid internships while youth are in placement, as well as a supportive alumni network to help prevent further justice system involvement.

Additionally, ACS is collaborating with the Kings County District Attorney's Office to implement the Gender-responsive Re-entry Assistance and Support Program (GRASP) and Evidence-informed Re-entry Program that focuses on transitioning young women from residential placement back to the community. GRASP social workers work with young women in residential care to provide gender-responsive skill-building groups, individual team and group mentoring, educational advocacy and tutoring,

2.2

job placement, job readiness training and paid

3 internships.

ACS is committed to supporting our juvenile justice staff; we expect our staff, as well as the staff of our provider agencies, to be examples to the young people in our care. These staff serve as mentors, dinner companions, motivators, mediators, referees, role models and guides on the journey away from the actions that brought young people to our attention. Whether assisting youth with their homework or de-escalating problematic behavior, staff use every opportunity to teach positive behavior and encourage growth. In order to accomplish this, intensive training is provided to staff on a regular basis.

Since 2013, ACS has partnered with

Bellevue Hospital and the NYU Langone Medical Center

to train all secure detention staff in dealing with

the various types of trauma that up to 90 percent of

the young people in the juvenile justice system have

experienced. The intensive four-week training

increases staff's ability to identify trauma exposure

and work with traumatized youth and reduces secondary

trauma issues among staff. Staff participate in

_ 0

numerous additional training opportunities,
addressing topics such as LGBTQ awareness, sexual
abuse, commercial sexual exploitation, adolescent
development, safe crisis management, safety and
security, building relationships, conflict
resolution, problem-solving and decision-making,
mental health and gang awareness.

Thank you for the opportunity to share the important work we are doing to address the needs of young people in our juvenile justice system. We appreciate the Council's ongoing support as we continue to strive toward improving services for the City's most vulnerable youth. Our colleague Sonia Galarza, Director of in-kind contributions and pro bono resources, will now present several programs that we provided to justice-involved youth in 2014. We are happy to take your questions at the conclusion of Sonia's presentation.

[background comment]

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You need to speak into the mic, 'cause this is being recorded and actually being watched live.

[background comment]

1	COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 19
2	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yeah, I think that
3	would be helpful. Or actually, you could can we
4	have her come her, Sergeant of Arms, right there?
5	[background comment] Yeah; that way she can see what
6	she's presenting. We're flexible, bendable and
7	sendable [sic].
8	SONIA GALARZA: Okay. I'm very happy and
9	honored to present our programs and recreation that
LO	we have our detention facility. When programs become
L1	a focus point in which peers and parents and teachers
L2	all work together towards the success of a young
L3	person participating in activities, it can be a game
L4	changer. We leverage our support through community-
L5	based organizations in our [interpose]
L6	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I'm so sorry for
L7	interrupting. Do you happen to have extra copies for
L8	those of us who struggle [background comment] yeah,
L9	visually challenged; that's the best way to
20	SONIA GALARZA: I might have one and I
21	might have another… [interpose]
22	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Even if it's one.
23	SONIA GALARZA: Okay. No, just one.

24 'Kay?

25

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

SONIA GALARZA: We leverage our support

through community-based organizations and our governing partners to offer high quality services for our youth through funding, monitoring, coaching, capacity building, evaluation and advocacy; supported by partnerships we strive to enhance and expand our sustainability [sic] network of activities. You notice we have theatre groups and usually we have public theatre, we have on and off Broadway plays that the kids can participate in, especially our nonsecure detention. We have colleges and universities, we have Medgar Evers College that comes in and does soccer clinics, we have NYU that's here today and they have a program called Skills for Life and we started with the girls; now we're doing the boys as well. We have Columbia University that has a tutoring program called Clap and they come in and actually work with our kids with DOE. And then we have private organizations, and I'll show some of the other pictures of the other programs we have.

Of course, I'm a strong believer that we need to work also with our government partners, because they have a lot to offer and a lot of resources that sometimes we don't reach out and use.

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 DYCD, they're phenomenal. I've been work with them 3 for a couple of years, they not only give us programs that they fund, but they also -- about four years ago 4 we launched something called Summer Youth Employment 5 Program where we actually have 15 residents working 6 7 in Detention and Crossroads and 13 working in Horizons, and that was just an idea that came about 8 because I realized that while our kids are in 9 detention we usually have a window where the kids can 10 enroll in Summer Youth Employment and that's usually 11 12 between May and April; usually that time they're still in detention, so we decided to enroll them 13 14 while they were in detention and then we took it 15 further and then we decided, why don't we have them 16 work with us and then when they go home they will

Of course we have the Parks Department, we usually have Olympics; we need permits for tournaments and leagues and clinics that we have, so we usually get permits from them. Public Libraries, they usually come into our facilities and our kids get library cards, they give us books, they have workshops with our kids and either NSD [sic] can go

continue working in the community. So that was

something that was really wonderful that we did.

J

to the library or some of the librarians come to us, which is also very phenomenal. And then New York

Sports Commission, Take Me Out to the Ballgame, they usually give us tickets for sports events throughout

New York City.

And these are some of our programs that we've had this summer and last year, Sprout by

Design, building a coup and hatching chickens, and you see the first picture; the kid is actually building the coup and then the second picture, the kids are doing gardening [sic] bases and they design them and they put them out in the courtyard. You see the coup, we became grandparents to about five or six little chicks, which were so adorable and more impressing was, or more valuable to me was that you see the kid on the right-hand side, these are juvenile delinquent kids; you see how gentle they were holding the chicks; I found that very impressive. Thank you, next.

Teamwork that builds -- there was a lot of teamwork; our youth learning to design, build and decorate. They design a tool shed, 'cause we needed to put things away and they actually painted it. You notice that you see the garden, they are actually

2.2

building their garden and on the extreme right it was not only Sprout that helped with that garden, but it was also New York Cares.

Beautifying our facilities, preparing planters for our gardening. As you notice, the kid is very focused on designing their planters, takes a lot of math and a lot of focus to do this; then you notice on the right-hand side our kids were painting the school floor and they're painting and making it prettier.

York and what they did was actually come in and they taught bike safety and they literally taught them how to ride a bike, as well as turning and signaling out before they pass any traffic. And then I reached out — oh and they also were taught how to change a tire, very interesting. And then what happened; I reached out to the Department of Transportation and they were giving out helmets out to the public, so when I told them about the program that we were trying to launch in detention, we asked; can we get helmets and they said, no problem; they came in and they measured everybody's head and every kid had a helmet, which they were able to take home, along with a bike road

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

map. So if they ever decide to get a bike in the community, they knew all the paths that they can use their bike.

Training and preparing, it takes a village; this is Carnegie Hall sound studio where the kids went and actually were actually taught on how sound was prepared and done in Carnegie Hall; very, very, very educational. My Brother's Keeper was a film that Obama -- it was a documentary where Obama was addressing some young men, so I showed that video to all our detention facilities, but we added something else and it was called Tie It Up, we taught our kids how to tie a tie, which a lot of kids did not know how to do. Art expression, we had a very talented staff in Crossroads, which actually did the sketching and then our kids painted it, almost like a coloring book. The bottom two are the two artwork that we did with Montefiore, which are now at Montefiore Hospital being displayed and we also have two others that are at our facilities; we made copies, and we put them in our visiting area.

And these are all the other partners that we have, we have GEMS, we have Lineage Project, we have Bronx Council of the Art, Hocus Pocus, Einstein,

[background comment] in a detention facility, and one

25

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of the things that struck me during that visit is that there's so much about what goes on inside those four walls that we are not really familiar with; you can sit here and testify for hours and hours and I think a visit to those facilities is something that we should all do at some point in order for us to truly appreciate and understand the challenges that the system confronts and those that live in those four walls as well. So from that visit I came away with some thinking and strategy development with my staff around how can we help bring more resources to that facility; it's in my district, it's in the Bronx. And one of the things that we fund through the City Council discretionary budget is CASA grants, and CASA is C A S A and it's an acronym for something that I'm not... think it's Cultural something in Schools or whatever, and there's the school, a DOE school in the facility that I want to research whether or not that program would be eligible to receive a CASA grant that would bring cultural service programming, because of all of the things that I heard in the second presentation, I didn't hear much related to the Bronx, and you know, you collaborate with Kings County District Attorney for

you know certain things, NYU and Columbia; none of those referenced the Bronx. So how do we make sure that the children that end up in the facility in the Bronx have the same access that the other facility residents have as well? So we're gonna work with your office and DOE and our finance staff here to see if we can, as a delegation in the Bronx talk about piecing together some CASA funding for the school program at the facility.

And capital grants, one of the things that struck me at the facility -- you know I, as a parent I'm going to visit my child and I'm sitting in a waiting room that looks like it's gonna fall down on me, because there's water stains on the ceiling, the walls are peeling off; it just -- you know, they're there, whatever got them there is something that we hope and seek to deal with, but while they're there and their families have to be exposed to that system, we should have the best facilities possible. So I think staff is here who were present at the visit and they know I made a big to do about -- dude, look up to the ceiling. That's all you've gotta do, come into the entrance and look up and you'll get and appreciate what [background comment] what I sensed

all of the communities that will have an LSP facility in their midst; we have met with community boards, we have met with the borough president, all of the local

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 29
2	elected officials have been informed and we've had
3	meetings [interpose]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: So since it's new
5	to me, not one in my district, right?
6	JACKIE SHERMAN: There is not one in your
7	district [crosstalk]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Okay. That
9	[laughter] just… I'm checking. You know the… it's
10	important because I think [background comment] often
11	we have to respond and react to planning that the
12	Administration does and I'm tired of it, so all I'm
13	asking is please don't repeat the same things that we
14	for the last how many years have had to deal with.
15	And on the Family Assessment Programs,
16	the CERS [sic] families seeking to file a PINS
17	application in Family Court, what's the percentage of
18	those in detention that come through as a result of
19	the Family Court PINS application?
20	JACKIE SHERMAN: I don't have that
21	information in front of me, although youth don't
22	directly come to detention when there is a FAP
23	proceeding [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Okay.

2.

JACKIE SHERMAN: so there may be youth who have been part of FAP and then come through the Family Court system on a juvenile delinquency action and are in detention, but there's... [interpose]

I'm reacting to the subject of the hearing is overview of the Division of Youth and Family Justice Services and programs for remanded youth. So you talk about PINS in your testimony; I'm assuming, I'm sorry, that you're assuming... that you're re... oh my god, my brain checked out... that you're referencing those in detention as a result of a PINS application.

JACKIE SHERMAN: No. The effort on those programs and you know, we mentioned those programs because it is our firm belief that youth are best served when they're at home with their families in their communities and receiving the supports that they need, so every effort is made with our community-based programs to keep youth out in the community and receiving the services they need to stay out of our custody.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: We celebrate that and we look forward to always being a partner with the agencies to make sure that we're able to do that;

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we don't want 11-year-old boys in Horizons, it's just not a place where an 11-year-old should be, never should be. Kings County is collaborating with you on some programs, the D.A.; what about the Bronx D.A., where is he, out to lunch?

JACKIE SHERMAN: No the... the GRASP program -- I can speak a little bit to the GRASP program, which has been around for many years, has been an initiative of the Kings County District Attorney's Office for many years; it was a program that, prior to ACS, assuming responsibility for the placement system; OCSF partnered with the District Attorney's Office on that particular program. I am not familiar with similar programs operated out of the Bronx County District Attorney's Office... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: But it would be helpful for us to know whether that's happening or not so we can discuss strategies around making it happen and getting that office involved in the work that's being done.

And then the last -- it's a two part question -- the training that staff are receiving to help identify trauma exposure; how many have been

how many youth have been identified as a result of

25

_

that effort? Not the youth and what you do with... the intervention for them is the second part of the question, but how successful has it been, the training that staff is receiving to help identify those exposed to trauma?

JENNIFER ROMELIEN: The training was very successful with the staff; I wouldn't say that we... I have a number for you of how many children were actually identified as a result of the training, but the staff really embraced the training; I think they learned a lot of great skills on how to deal with these young people and how to deal with the behaviors that they exhibit because of the trauma they've experienced, but I don't have a number as far as how many children were actually identified by that... [crosstalk]

gonna challenge you, that you need to... if you're gonna expend the resources to train the staff; what's the outcome? If they're better prepared to identify youth who have been exposed to trauma, which I would imagine most have been, is it a good usage; do you continue doing this training, because it's getting the staff better involved in dealing with their

2.2

youth. So you should look to figure out how effective that training has been; not that they received it well, 'cause they're in the room because you've forced them to be there and they're gonna tell you what you wanna hear probably, but how can they relate that skill that they learned to identify the youth that is exposed to trauma? Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much.

Let me follow up a couple of questions and then I'll

turn it over to Council Member Lancman and let me

recognize Council Member Wills who also has some

questions.

One, regarding those who have been exposed to trauma, the training, the three day training is not... is the purpose so they could be more sensitive or the purpose is for them to be able to identify and be able to get therapy; what is the goal of the identification?

JENNIFER ROMELIEN: So the goal wasn't for them to be able to identify children that have experienced trauma; the goal was for them to have an understanding of the behaviors [background comment] that the children exhibit when they've been affected

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

by trauma. In addition to the training, we implemented the groups that are run by a JC, along

4 with a mental health clinician, so they're using

5 those techniques and those skills that they learn in

6 the training in their group sessions. We're trying

7 to build a mil-u-based [sic] teamwork approach in

8 detention where the JCs, along with the counselors,

9 along with our psychiatry staff; our psychology staff

10 are all working on the same page, speaking the same

11 language to these young people.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I'm happy to hear that, 'cause I would have been afraid if with only three-day training, I mean, somebody licensed, you know... [crosstalk]

JENNIFER ROMELIEN: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: should be the one to really identify, to diagnose; it's actually illegal for anybody else to do it, 'cause that's the purpose of license, you know, to make sure that people who know how to do this can actually do it.

The question that Council Member Arroyo asked, I'm not sure -- I would like a little bit more detail, so let me ask you in a different way, regarding the services that we receive in Bronx vs. in other

J

places... [background comment] yeah, so we're gonna be biased, of course, we're from the Bronx; I had an opportunity to visit as well the program; how many of the things that we saw, so the activities, events, going to -- you know all the exposures they have; how many of those are not made readily available to the ones in the Bronx?

JENNIFER ROMELIEN: So all of the programs that Sonia displayed here today -- the Bike New York, the roller skating, the Sprout by Design -- all of those were done in both facilities...

[crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.

JENNIFER ROMELIEN: both in Crossroads and in Horizons. Many of our programs, such as Voices Unbroken, work in both facilities. We try to expose both facilities to very similar programs when we're able to; a lot of our providers will start out in one and then venture off to go to both.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So which ones in Horizon, for example, are not -- what is it that they're not getting and Horizon -- whether it is because there's only so much funding for one or whatever; I'm just trying to figure out what services

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

or activities or programs, you know, that is not in Horizon that's being offered in Crossroads.

[background comment] If you could be ... come to the mic. Thank you.

SONIA GALARZA: Two of the things that I mentioned when I go out for programs is that they participate in both, including my non-secure detentions; not just Horizons and Crossroads. the other thing that I emphasize is that they have a referral system, that when our kids are in detention and then they get this enlightening, right, this enrichment, that they continue this when they go to the community; that's the focus. So I'm hoping that most of my programs have a referral system, like for instance, NYU, they have a program called ROSES, so not only do they work with the kids in detention, but once our kids go home they continue working with that program called ROSES.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I'm a little confused, 'cause you told me that once you have it in one program then it expands to the other one, so that made it sound, to me, that it was not offered in one. So are you telling me that we have arrived to the place where all of the programs, outside of GRASP,

that. [background comment] Alright... [crosstalk]

1	COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 39
2	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Feel free, if you
3	would; you can bring up the chair if you would like,
4	it's
5	SONIA GALARZA: It's okay.
6	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
7	SONIA GALARZA: See Lineage Project is
8	being offered in detention, where Yoga for Youth is
9	being offered at NSD, but it's still yoga, see?
10	Voices Unbroken is in the Bronx [background comment]
11	Oh, okay.
12	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Right.
13	SONIA GALARZA: So that's what I'm
14	saying, Lineage Project is for both [crosstalk]
15	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
16	SONIA GALARZA: Hocus Pocus is for both.
17	I'll tell you which [crosstalk]
18	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Just uh just
19	SONIA GALARZA: the one that's not, it's
20	Young [crosstalk]
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: that's not, yeah.
22	SONIA GALARZA: Young Jin [sp?] Society;
23	they're in Crossroads and not in Horizons, Montefiore
24	Healing Arts is in the Bronx; not in Crossroads

of both… [crosstalk]

kids are getting exposure to everything.

you so much for waiting.

the Administration -- I mean, we're not talking about an astronomical amount of monies to run, especially, you know, you go once a week, twice a week, you know after school kind of program; we're not talking about an astronomical amount of funding; that would be something that would be put forth in the marg... [sic] I wanna turn it over to Council Member Lancman; thank

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I would encourage

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Good morning.
[collective good morning]

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

from that?

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I wanna talk a 3 little bit about what efforts are made to make sure that the programming for each young person is, to the 4 extent possible, individually tailored for their 5 6 needs. Not to be flippant or make light of something 7 that's very, very serious, but reading through your testimony and listening to your description of it, it 8 has somewhat of a summer camp feel to it, but it's 9 not, this is serious stuff. Not every kid is gonna 10 benefit from yoga and not every kid needs arts and 11 12 not every kid needs x y and z, so what is done to 13 individually assess each young person as they enter 14 the system, whether it's the secured facilities or 15 the non-secured and then how does the programming 16 choices and the educational choices, 'cause I wanna

JACKIE SHERMAN: So I'm gonna give it to Sarah Bass, who will speak for a few moments about non-secure placement.

ask about that also, or the educational options, flow

SARAH BASS: Hi. Sarah Bass, Executive Director, Non-Secure Placement. We work very hard when a youth comes in to placement to ensure that they are placed in the correct facility. You know,

2	we have over 30 facilities and the purpose for that
3	is that the individual needs of the youth can be met.
4	Detention has been working very hard to increase
5	their services, as we've heard, about trauma and
6	greater assessment tools and we build on those
7	assessment tools to sort of have a sense of, from an
8	IQ perspective, from a school level perspective, age,
9	being close to home, gender and other specialized
10	needs that we're placing youth in the correct
11	program. So our programs are broken down by age, by
12	schooling. For example, Leake & Watts in the Bronx
13	is a program that serves middle school aged boys, so
14	if you're in that home you're a certain age range and
15	you are of a certain academic level so that you can
16	be an is [sic] group in the home, when you go to
17	school you'll be with your peers and you can get the
18	services you need. As a result, in that program, you
19	would have services that are targeted towards a
20	middle age, you know middle school aged boy
21	population. So they have basketball, they also are
22	part of the Carnegie Hall program. In addition;
23	it's very funny, when I talk to them, they're very
24	interested in snack in Leake & Watts, so that's
25	another thing that they you have to sort of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

understand your population. The other piece with all of our programs is in order to be selected to be in non-secure placement program you had to prove that you had an evidence-based or evidence-conformed model that treats this population, works with this population; helps with the issues. So that's the other issue that we're looking at, which is that which model, from which program is gonna serve the needs of the youth and some of that also plays into what the programming is. For example, if you're in a Missouri Approach program, some of our program is gonna be about circle-ups group treatment, doing work such as creating a family timeline, writing a poster of your expectations, just sort of work through some of the issues that brought you into placement so that when you return to the community you're not dealing with the same issues, so.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So the main triage, and that's probably not the right word, but I'm not in the profession, but the main triage is their age and their education level?

SARAH BASS: The first level would be if there's a specialized need. Within placement we do have specialized settings; for example, for youth who

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So... so... so...

SARAH BASS: so that's the first tier.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Got it. So will the young people who have a substance abuse problem be grouped together; the young people who have aggression issues be grouped together so that their programming is done together?

JACKIE SHERMAN: The very high level needs youth are referred to a specialized program, so for the most part, throughout -- this is for the placement system -- for the most part the presumption is that all of our providers are prepared to serve youth with high level needs, you know across many different domains. So yes, youth may present with different needs and be in the same program. programs that Sarah was referring to are the programs for youth who have the highest level specific needs and there we've made a determination that there are programs that specialize in meeting those specific needs. [background comment] But across the vast

2	majority of youth in the system who are referred to
3	general programs

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: The plain vanilla programs, more or less. Right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

leave?

JACKIE SHERMAN: That's right, which are prepared to work with youth who present a broad range of needs.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And let me just lastly focus on their education and the coordination and collaboration with DOE; I mean most of these youth are not gonna be here for a very long -they're not gonna be in these facilities or in these placements for a very long period of time and it seems to me that one of the things that will determine whether or not when they leave the facility they ever find themselves back again is how well they're doing in school -- lots of other things going on in their lives, but that's very important. do you coordinate and collaborate with DOE so that when they come in they are, as seamlessly as possible, put into whatever educational level they should be and they pick up where they left off and then, you know, equally as important, as when they

2 SARAH BASS: So again, we're working off 3 of the excellent work that's being done by our team in detention, so by the time we get a youth in 4 placement, DOE's already done an assessment, they've looked at their previous school history, they've done 6 7 some testing of their own, so we have a sense of did they have an IEP in the community; did they have any 8 additional services; what grade were they in? 9 have a number of conferences through the 10 approximately six to seven months that a youth is in 11 12 placement and we work very closely with DOE because 13 most of our youth that come to us weren't connected to school, weren't attending very frequently before 14 15 they came to us, so it's a huge barrier as far as 16 what's gonna happen in the community. So from the 17 minute a youth comes to us we're trying to think of, 18 okay, what's the school that is the proper place for this youth and if it isn't a general school, do we 19 20 need to have psycho-educational testing; do we need to do other things in that six to seven months to 2.1 2.2 make this as practical as possible so that when this 23 youth leaves us we have secured a school that meets their academic, behavioral, social; emotional needs. 24

Our partners in District 79 who run most of our

2.1

2.2

schools in placement, they are a partner, they feel that they should be part of the process; they feel that they should be at the table whenever we're meeting for a conference having to do with release and in our process with our case managers, that's one of the major things, does the family have what they need; is school in place; when they get home, do we have everything there so that they can succeed, 'cause really what placement is about is never coming back to placement.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I wanna comment with a follow-up question; then I'll turn it over to Council Member Wills and then I'll finally get to the questions that I really wanted to get to.

If you have a youth that has a heroin problem, do they end up at the hospital first for detox and then they come to you? What's the process there?

SARAH BASS: If we find that a youth has an issue with heroin addiction, the first thing is to make sure that they're medically stable. I will say in New York City finding detoxification services for

an adolescent is a very complicated process, which is a challenge that we have experienced. I know Jen has had some luck when we've had youth in detention with that issue. [background comment] We... [interpose]

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So let's say you're having a difficult time [background comment] and all the programs are full, you know all the beds in the hospital; wherever you're gonna have them detox, what do you do at that point?

[background comment]

JENNIFER ROMELIEN: So I'll speak for the one or two cases that we've seen and I hope we don't see too many more, but the young lady, we sent her to the hospital; [background comment] they held her on the psychiatric ward to detox her; they don't have any beds in the adult detox part of the hospital for children; we worked very closely with their team, she transitioned back to detention; medical followed up with her on a regular basis and we actually secured a bed for her at Phoenix House for her to get inpatient treatment.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And the other one?

JENNIFER ROMELIEN: The other young man did not need detox, so that was a good thing for...

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. Let me turn it over to Council Member Wills. Council Member Arroyo with good graces of Council Member Wills.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Yeah, 'cause he says he's gonna be a while, so. [laughter]

I don't wanna turn this into a discharge planning conversation, but one of the discussions we had during the visit and has been something on my radar, working with local organizations is, discharge planning for youths who should not be released back into the environment they came from because the environment directly contributed to their being in detention in the first place, whether it's gang affiliation, involvement; fear of retribution coming back home, because... and we do not have an alternative for those young people who have expressed fear of going back to the environment they came from, so we need to have a conversation about alternatives for discharging youth who, if they go back into the original environment, will end up back in detention at some point and/or very seriously hurt in the community because they become the victim of very serious violence at the hands of those who they may not want to associate with anymore because they wanna get their life back on a positive track and we submitted a request to Speaker Quinn at the time to create a model program that involved housing, health, mental health components attached to it and we need to have a follow-up conversation because many can benefit from an alternative placement other than their home or community is fine if the community's gonna be a healthy place for them to be. So we have to have follow-up conversations about that. Mr. Chairman, I'm gonna put that one on your plate.

JACKIE SHERMAN: We welcome the conversation; thank you for making the point.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Council Member Wills.

wanna speak specific... [audio interference]

[background comments] Thank you; I got this one. I

wanna speak specifically about the Close to Home. We

have a limited secure facility that is being placed

in my district as we speak; we had a meeting two

weeks ago that many of your staff came out to and you

were met with what I would consider extreme

opposition to this plan, so I have a few questions.

2.2

2 We've had a bunch of advocates that have 3 said that this is really not what this whole program was set up to be; it's more of a bed swap, switching 4 5 them from Upstate to down in the City facilities; we 6 have stats that say that the money, that \$50 million 7 has not been realized as anticipated savings because of star-up costs, but beyond that, these are supposed 8 to be at-home or close to home like environments, but 9 the security on these limited secure facilities as 10 described to us make that a little disingenuous; they 11 12 have proposed fence heights that are well out of 13 character for the community, the security itself has nothing to do with having a closer to home or a 14 15 family type setting with supportive services, so it 16 seems like that is a little disingenuous, but the 17 crux of our argument is; you just placed the Phase 1 18 of this initiative, the non-secure placements in my district, you placed one at 13325 128th Street and 19 20 that opened up in 2012 and we've had a bunch of juveniles going AWOL from that facility. The site 21 2.2 operator lied to one of the major civics and my 23 office and said that there were no incidents and we have a document of one of the incidents where one of 24 the youth ran away and committed a crime in Brooklyn;

23

24

25

2	that site I believe is no longer there, or the
3	director's no longer there, but the fact is that
4	there was a lie to the community about it. Now you
5	placed a, or you're attempting to place a limited
6	secure facility a block away and in addition to that
7	you have, not you, but DHS, has a single male
8	homeless shelter which when it was changed from a
9	family shelter we said this would be the case;
10	they're warehousing or it has the perception of
11	warehousing level two and three sex offenders less
12	than a block-and-a-half from a school and across the
13	street from a park. So this administration is
14	supposed to be more transparent and it's supposed to
15	deal with social justice, it's supposed to deal with
16	economic justice; I don't see the social justice in
17	perpetuating the sitings of non well I would hate to
18	use the term negative land uses, but that's what they
19	are in minority communities. Our community is
20	oversaturated. Now you said there is only one
21	limited secure facility going to be placed in Queens;
22	am I correct about that; one… [crosstalk]

JACKIE SHERMAN: That is correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: and that one you found to be in an area where we already have a

homeless shelter with 40 percent of the residents are level two and three sex offenders and a block away, literally, on the next street of one of your other facilities with AWOL clients. How does that in the realm of reason justify what you're doing?

JACKIE SHERMAN: Council Member, I can describe the process through which the site in your community, the limited secure placement site in your community was identified; it was through our negotiated acquisition process for limited secure placement where we specified the types of services that needed to be provided at a site and we looked forward to proposals from non-profit provider agencies qualified to provide the services to propose sites to us; this site was identified as a site that could be converted within the timeframe that we had envisioned [background comment] for the use envisioned, so we moved ahead with this non-profit provider to... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: 'Kay, so the non-profit -- I'm sorry; I don't mean to cut you off in disrespect... [crosstalk]

JACKIE SHERMAN: Sure.

2	

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: but I just have some questions while you're posing these answers. The not-for-profit that you're talking about is Episcopal Services; right, social services?

6 [crosstalk]

JACKIE SHERMAN: That's correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: How did they come about to meet with this land owner to have this site as something that they thought would be appropriate to put this in?

JACKIE SHERMAN: Council Member, I don't have the information; I don't know what their process was by which they identified the site [background comment] as a potentially available site.

asking you is because the landowner -- we actually were in negotiations with the City and we had this as open testimony in general welfare about a year-and-a-half ago and we asked the City to look at this site for a program that I think was something developed in the Bronx where they had seniors and there was senior housing and we wanted this site to be senior housing/... it's in your district, right, a beautiful... [background comment] okay. So we wanted this to be a

25

senior center because our senior center was shut down and again, this community was not given those resources back, so we wanted it to be a hybrid center, so it's just a little odd to me that we approached DHS to have something that would benefit our community and then it seems like a bait and switch where we got a negative land use in our community, something that is going to affect property values, something that is going to cause disinvestment from businesses and these are proven things, these are not things that we can just run around with our hands over our head and say this is not proven. Anywhere you have these types of facilities, especially oversaturation, these are the things that follow. So it just seems to me kind of odd that the City zoned in on this piece of property that we had approached the City on for something we need in our community and then it was switched over for something that we don't want. So if you're saying that you don't know how the landlord and Episcopal Services got together, I think we should investigate and find out how that happened, because I'm almost sure somebody in the City, whether it was DHS or someone else, put these two people together.

1	COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 57
2	So that's something that I would like to have looked
3	at.
4	JACKIE SHERMAN: Okay.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Also, your ACS
6	does not… you don't have oversight or you don't
7	exercise oversight with the social services
8	providers, as far as the contracts that they're
9	negotiating with the landowner or the property owner?
10	JACKIE SHERMAN: We exercise oversight
11	over our provider agencies and our provider agencies,
12	through the negotiated acquisition, with the
13	exception of sites that we were leasing directly from
14	OCFS, we're responsible for identifying sites and for
15	negotiating the leases for the site.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Are you aware that
17	this site negotiated this non-secure well this
18	limited secure facility in their covenants that are
19	in direct contradiction to the usage of this site in
20	the covenant for the property that he brought?
21	JACKIE SHERMAN: No, I don't have any
22	information about that.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay, so can we

look into that, because we do feel that this is a violation of these covenants and the community is

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

going to look into actions to stop this because of those violations and if Episcopal Social Services understood those covenants and went ahead with this anyway, then there's something wrong with the way they're doing business with the City.

A couple of other things that I wanted to go into were; the fact that the Commissioner, in one of our joint hearings, went into the different definitions of how many of these non-secure placements and how many that are limited secure placements that we were going to have throughout the city, but there was really no oversight or community notification put into this or the legislation that led up to this, and I think it's good intent, I just think it was implemented poorly, which has led to, again, my community and others that you will place this facility into, being felt that we are not true community partners with the City, especially when it comes to this. We feel and rightfully so that you constantly dump these things in our community with no type of community partnership; there's no extra resources going to the schools in our communities -no, there are not; you're looking surprised?

> JACKIE SHERMAN: No.

of Queens that this is the only community or the only

place for it and it's a shame that over the last 30 or

24

40 years, or at least since the first charter review, the first charter commission was done, and that was done because of the racial slants of negative land uses; you can't tell me that this administration is going to continue this and keeping pushing this into our communities, we're being ... it's like we're being preyed upon and that's the best way that I can put it in being politically correct. The emotions that were 10 distributed at that meeting; I stood up for the City; the City has to do things that the State has mandated us to do, but the City does not have to do that and 12 keep injecting these things in our community. I want 13 14 ACS to really not give us lip service but go to the 15 table and look at the placement of this facility. 16 one in Staten Island was shut down and I know it was shut down in an election year and I know the Mayor was 17 not on record in the newspaper, but I know he said he was not for that limited secure facility being placed 19 20 in that type of community. So to me the demographics of the community in Staten Island that it was taken 2.1 2.2 out of and the demographics in my community speak for itself and I really want you to take this into a real 23 lens of compassion, a real lens of social justice and 24 a real lens of allowing people to plan for their lives 25

believe you can say that you look forward to working

1

October, the end of October knowing that it was the 20 holiday season, knowing that people are in the hustle and bustle of ending the things for the year; knowing 2.1 2.2 that school is in full swing and people are getting... you cannot say that you are honoring the letter of the 23

law and not honoring the spirit of the law and that's

what we've been wrapped up into. The spirit of the 25

law, if it says that we should have community meetings, it is for notification, it is for input; it is not to have a community meeting, like DOE does and just shut something down when nobody is there or just plan it in a certain timeframe; that is not what this is intended to be. When the State Legislature said that they wanted to do this, this was not intended to shove more of this into our communities, it was not intended for that, and again, you cannot tell me in 10 the entire borough of Queens, the entire borough you 11 12 pick on three distinct districts, you pick on 27, 31 and 28 and those districts are minority/majority 13 districts; you do not put these in other districts. 14 We had one homeless shelter that was placed in a non-15 16 minority/majority district recently, that was it and they raised holy hell about it. Right? It was in the 17 18 news and everything else and even then you guys tried -- not you, 'cause that DHS -- pulled back some of the 19 20 plans. The City is in violation of the law that we have put forth time and time again and this is another 2.1 2.2 example of it. Now the Chair just told me that Episcopal Social Services is going to speak next and 23 the gentleman from Episcopal Social Services, it 24 25 wasn't you, so I can't say it was you [background

2	comments] that spoke at the civic meeting [background
3	comment] right he knew about the covenants, yet
4	didn't let ACS know about the covenants. Are you
5	aware of the covenants on these properties?
6	[crosstalk] [background comments] No. Okay, so but
7	no, 'cause I have to go to a conference, 'cause I just
8	wanted to get that out. [background comment] So it's
9	a little disingenuous to me for the City to say we're
LO	going to work with you, but take this. We're tired of
L1	taking this, taking this, taking this. You have a
L2	non-secure facility a block away with AWOL do you
L3	know the AWOL rates at the non-secure facility that
L 4	you have a block away from a limited secure facility?
L5	JACKIE SHERMAN: I have some information
L 6	about the total number of AWOL… [interpose]
L 7	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Please; I was just
L 8	told to be nice to you, 'cause I know it's not you,
L 9	but since I see she's very, very influential, so I
20	don't wanna make it seem like all of my angst is
21	coming towards you or my aggravation, but this has
22	happened in our communities and I'm speaking for
23	minority communities across the city, over and over
24	and over again and we're a little tired of it. So I'm

```
1
                    COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE
                                                       65
   sorry; you can repeat the information. [background
   comments] I'm sorry; go ahead.
                JACKIE SHERMAN: So I have information
 4
   regarding the total number of AWOLs in the calendar
   year 2014 from the home on 128th Street and that is a
   total of 10 AWOLs. [background comment] Ten AWOLs.
                COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS:
 8
                JACKIE SHERMAN: This doesn't include
 9
10
   information regarding when youth return to the home,
   although we can ... [crosstalk]
12
                COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Okay.
13
                JACKIE SHERMAN: we will certainly be
14
   able to follow up with you with information. In our
   experience, in non-secure placement the vast majority
15
16
   of youth who go AWOL from a non-secure placement
   return to that placement without incident... [interpose]
17
18
                COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: And they're not ...
   and the local precincts are not notified that they are
19
20
   AWOL? Our precincts are not... no... [crosstalk]
21
                JACKIE SHERMAN: The... but when a youth...
2.2
   when a youth goes AWOL, [background comment] the
   agency, ACS, has the authority to issue an
23
   Administrative Warrant and that's what we do.
24
```

[interpose]

```
1
                    COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE
                                                       66
 2
                COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: But... So that means
   the local precinct is not notified?
 3
 4
                JACKIE SHERMAN: We don't directly notify
   the local precinct [crosstalk]
                COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Notify the local
 6
   precinct. And the hearings that you had by law -- and
   I'm wrapping it up now, Mr. Chair -- the hearings that
   you had by law for community input, the five hearings,
   were on the overall inception or conception of the
10
   Close to Home initiative; not the actual sightings of
11
   the Close to Home initiative; correct?
12
13
                JACKIE SHERMAN: That's correct.
14
                COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: So there was
   really no notification to the community operating in
15
16
   the letter of the law to say hey, we're going to shove
   another one in Southeast Queens when you had this
17
18
   meeting. So even if people in Southeast Queens showed
   up to the meeting, they had no idea that they should
19
   be upset for something coming?
20
21
                JACKIE SHERMAN: At the time the
2.2
   community forums took place the sites had not been
23
   identified.
24
                COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Thank you very
```

much.

2.

Over to Council Member Lancman, when you guys work with the non-profits, do you ever have a level of discussion, talking about the fact [background comments] that neighborhoods like ours, it includes mine, 'cause I'm -- he's oversaturated; I'm super saturated -- a level of discussion talking with these non-profits to locate it, you know, other parts of the city so they could carry an equal load of [background comment] the responsibility, a fair share?

JACKIE SHERMAN: We have made every effort in the identification of appropriate sites for limited secure placement and for our other placement homes to identify homes in or close to the communities from which our youth are coming. So we have made every effort and if you look at non-secure placement facilities, we do have placement facilities located throughout the City of New York; we've made efforts to cover as broad a range of communities in New York City to serve a broad range of youth.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I really encourage you to really be super conscientious as you move forward, that this... our community boards, our

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

constituents, they are not talking, they're screaming at this point and so we really need the fair share -- let me turn it over to Council Member Lancman. Oh by the way, I'm sorry; let me recognize Council Member Inez Barron who has joined us.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Just a quick -gotta follow up to different angle entirely. prior life I had some responsibility for workplace safety issues; had done workplace safety work in the Juvenile Justice System at the state level, and you might now I'm in conversations with ACS about workplace safety issues; a question was put to me that -- I was asked to ask and I wanna do that -what support and training assistance do you provide to your staff so that they are able to deal with residents, youth who are difficult and resistant to participating in programming? I'm not sure that every young person wants to sit there through yoga class or arts and crafts or whatever it might be and what support and training do you provide for the counselors and everyone else there who have got to compel or have some other strategy for getting the youth to comply?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JENNIFER ROMELIEN: Detention utilizes a nationally known crisis intervention program known as Safe Crisis Management which includes restraint techniques as well as de-escalation training for all of our counselors in both of the facilities, as well as our non-secure group homes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And do you feel that that is sufficient, that that covers [background comments] everything that a staff or counselor needs to know in order to be able to deal with what could be a very difficult situation?

JENNIFER ROMELIEN: We don't deny that dealing with these young people can be extremely difficult and we do feel that it is a good program when the counselor is trained appropriately, so we're making our best efforts to continue training throughout the year so it's not that you go once a year and you don't really -- you us it or lose it. think staff have to use de-escalation techniques far more than physical restraint techniques; that's the goal, to be able to have the verbal skills... [interpose]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Are they trained to do that and what does that training consist of? I

1	COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 70
2	mean I know you mentioned the program, but what does
3	that training consist of?
4	JENNIFER ROMELIEN: Uhm [interpose]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Is it a one-week
6	course; is it an afternoon online seminary?
7	[background comments]
8	JACKIE SHERMAN: We can get back to you
9	with the specifics; it is a multiple day training and
10	we can get back to you with the specifics on the
11	curriculum for the training.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay. Well we'd
13	like to do that and follow up on that.
14	JACKIE SHERMAN: Sure.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Thank you.
16	[background comments]
17	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Council Member
18	Barron.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you Mr.
20	Chair; I wanna thank the panel for coming. As a
21	follow-up to the question that was just asked, we had
22	received a report that the number of restraints are
23	reported by the site and by the category; is the
24	information disaggregated so for example, if a

child is restrained... if an agency, if a facility says

Τ	COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE /I
2	a child is they've had 20 restraints during a
3	month; do we know if those 20 restraints represent 20
4	individuals or 10 people having been restrained
5	twice? We wanna get an idea of a particular
6	situation. So is that information available in a
7	disaggregated format?
8	JACKIE SHERMAN: You want that
9	information disaggregated by youth, by a specific
10	instance…? [crosstalk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: The number of
12	people that it involved; not just the number of
13	incidents, but the number of youth [background
14	comment] that generate that number of incidents.
15	JACKIE SHERMAN: I don't have that
16	information in front of me, but we can follow up with
17	you on with what we have in terms of that
18	information and disaggregating it in the way that
19	you're looking for… [crosstalk]
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay. And
21	secondly, in terms of those students who have
22	learning disabilities, what is done to identify who
23	they are and what adjustments are made to their
24	learning the presentation in which they

learning is presented to them?

SARAH BASS: So we work very closely with DOE and DOE obviously looks at their previous schooling history, what services they've had; there also is the testing that is done by DOE when a youth comes into detention; we get that same information in placement. In placement, since the youth are with us from six to seven months, we also see them in the classroom and if we see issues coming up, if; I mean, these are trained teachers, we have support staff; if any issues are identified, then we will put the necessary services in place and as we're looking towards the youth returning to the community, ensure that when they come back to the community that they

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So you would meet whatever the plans are on record, because we know that even students who are with their families and families knowing what their needs are and not getting those services, so I'm...

have what they need in place.

SARAH BASS: We do the best to put services that we can in place. I mean our youth are -- they have a lot of struggles and we're looking to connect youth to the services they need. Many of our kids have very low reading levels and these are other

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON:

24

2.2

JENNIFER ROMELIEN: a month later that they're reviewed; how is that child doing academically, and their testing scores, whether they're state tests or just regular tests that the teachers are administering on a daily basis. DOE has a variety of staff that come in to work with young people, they have reading coaches for our young people that are not reading at the appropriate level, they have tutors that come in to work with the students; they do Regents preparation prior to taking the examinations. So I think one way really to observe that is; how is that kid doing academically?

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay, that's good. I especially can appreciate the academic performance; I'm not one to be impressed by standardized test scores, you know, because we all know that that's a problem. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much. Council Member Wills has one follow-up question.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Then I'll finally

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Yes... yep, uh...

get to ask my questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Yeah, sorry about

,

that; just give me 10 sec... I have a bad memory; I forgot what I was gonna ask; I mean it's... oh, okay. So the age of accountability will be raised, and rightfully so, but when the age of accountability is raised, that will add an additional year into the minor category. So with that being the case, it won't be 14- to 17-year-olders in these facilities; it'll be 14-18 now, right? So have we looked at... if

JACKIE SHERMAN: Well there is absolutely legislation now pending at the State level in the budget to raise the age of criminal responsibility...

[crosstalk]

that's true, have we looked at the increase in

numbers and how we're gonna deal with that?

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Responsibility of activity [sic], right.

JACKIE SHERMAN: senior staff of various agencies are in the process of meeting to discuss and plan for potential implementation of the Governor's proposal. In fact, the first meeting is being held today and is being led by the Mayor's Office for Criminal Justice, so there are certainly folks within our agency who have begun to think about these issues

24 our young people and how do we know that they are working? 25

that we are implementing are actually working with

23

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

gauge if treatment is working is we do team meetings on a weekly basis to discuss young people, to discuss their behavior in the facility, to talk with the staff to find out what's going on on the hall with that young person; is the medication working; is the medication not working and we discuss with our chief psychiatrist that's here today, we discuss with them on a regular basis, how can we better treat this young person. But for a short period of time that can be difficult to do; it's more effectively done when the child is with you for a longer period of time where you can see those behaviors and be able to address issues that that child has experienced throughout his or her life.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So that's very good; you know, when I was in the field we did that,

but in terms of psychometrics; any psychometrics

3 being used? And the reason I'm asking is; in other

4 programs out of state that we have pretty much copied

5 | their model, they know that their programs work

6 because it's evidence-based, you know type of

7 program, so what evidence do we have that that

8 program is working with us, 'cause sometimes

9 therapists or counselors are not implementing the way

10 | the plan works or perhaps the program before, you

11 know a program in another state they have therapists

12 | that were partly -- perhaps not even following the

13 | model fully and so I'm just curious; do we have any

14 psychometrics in place?

15 JENNIFER ROMELIEN: As far as Close to

16 Home goes, so as far as the placement system goes, we

17 definitely appreciate the question and we are working

18 -- you know the system is still a very young system;

19 we are working to develop a set of metrics and

20 metrics that are really focused on positive outcomes

21 | for youth. So we are working -- over the course of

22 | this year we are really intensively focusing on

23 developing a set of outcome measures that are

designed to look at the positive impact that we have

25 on youth.

24

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So -- and then I'll move on to my next question -- my humble suggestion; why not use what the best practice programs are using that, you know their psychometrics they were using; that way we could compare apples with apples as well; I'm sure they really thought about it; that's how they became a best practice, so just a suggestion there for you.

Second; this might sound like a mundane question, but for young people this is important, I noticed then -- and I forgot which, if it was nonsecure, one of them; that they don't have dinner until 7; is there a particular reason why they're eating dinner at 7? [background comments] Does anybody know? [background comments] We're talking teenagers here, they're hard... I don't know; when my children were being raised, they're always hungry, you know and so [background comments] and you know, they're in school all day, they have lunch; is there snacks in-between [background comments] so they could eat freely whatever they want in-between in terms of snacks or how does this work?

JACKIE SHERMAN: So the dinner that was reflected in our testimony; it reflected one

Home system is in ensuring that we are, to the extent possible, reaching out across the city and involving as many really grassroots community-based organizations as we possibly can... [interpose]

23

24

25

2.2

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.

JACKIE SHERMAN: and that when youth,
particularly when youth return to their communities
we have as full a menu as we possibly can of options
for youth to engage in positive, pro-social
programming in their communities close to their
homes. So that is an issue that we are continuing to
work on and we will continue to work on.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Just two more quick questions. What type of interaction does the Justice System have with the foster care system? [background comments] And so for the youth -- just following up with some of the questions that were asked -- for the youth that cannot go home because perhaps of abuse or whatever, you know, indicated reason; what's the interaction taking place and since I'm asking regarding interaction, talk to me also about interaction between your office with the Board of Education.

SARAH BASS: So we interact with sort of the other parts of ACS almost from the beginning; some of the youth that come into placement are crossover youth; they come in that they already are child welfare involved; that requires a great deal of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

coordination because we're dealing with the foster care agency, now our NSP provider and the family, so that is a coordination effort ensuring that we're putting pressure on the foster care agency to understand that this is a very time-limited placement and they'd better have a bed for this kid as soon as they leave. That's sort of this level. Then I think what you're speaking about is a different sort of issue, which is that through our intensive work with the family and the youth we are realizing that the kid returning home may not be an option; we really try in the six to seven months of our work to figure out what are the family dynamics, what are the barriers from a kid returning home; we also, if we're seeing issues like that, try to broaden what we're talking about as far as family; are there other family members; is there a grandmother; is there an aunt; is there somebody else that the youth could potentially stay with for a short time while these issues are resolved. If that doesn't work, then we may need to look to our partners in Child Protection to see about perhaps a voluntary placement for a youth, because maybe while these issues are being

24

gonna call Gabrielle Horowitz-Prisco, Hans Menos, Victoria Sammartino, Dr. John Shaw and Darek

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Robinson. [background comments] If you could come forward; we're gonna need extra chairs; Sergeant of Arms, if you could help us. [background comments] We're just gonna have one panel, just for the sake of [background comments] You may begin; introduce yourselves and you may begin as soon as ... you may begin. Thank you, sir. [background comments1

GABRIELLE HOROWITZ-PRISCO: Good morning. My name is Gabrielle Horowitz-Prisco; I'm the Director of the Juvenile Justice Project at the Correctional Association of New York, an independent non-profit organization. I also serve as the volunteer chair of the board of directors of a nonprofit that provides theater programming inside detention, but I'm here in my capacity as the Director of the Juvenile Justice Project.

I know that we have limited time, so I do wanna just start by saying, although I'm not gonna spend a lot of time talking about this, but we really do applaud the Administration, Commissioner Carrion and her staff for the increased focus on programming inside both detention and Close to Home, for the innovative partnership with Bellevue and their work

3

4

5

on trauma-informed care and we really cannot overstate the importance of programs and services. In my testimony I detail some of the evidence; you spoke, Chairman Cabrera, about the importance of evidence; the evidence that shows that programs and 6

7 services are not only good for kids, but they're

excellent for public safety, for public taxpayer 8

dollars and for improving kids' lives and community 9

10 outcomes. So I'm gonna say those as a given and then

I'm gonna talk about the areas you had asked, 11

12 Chairperson Cabrera, where the advocates think

13 improvement might happen and I outline 11 areas in my

14 testimony; I'm gonna briefly address some of those

15 areas now.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The first area that I'd like to focus on is the issue of funding for programs and services. It is my understanding, although this information is not public released, that the vast majority, if not almost all of the providers, outside of, for example, the Bellevue partnership, are not funded for their programs and as you heard from the ACS panel, that there is really a preference for providers that come with their own funding. According to the Mayor's Management Report for fiscal year 2014, the average

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

OIA	

daily cost per child per day in detention is \$773. The annualized rate of detention per child is \$282,145. It's unclear how much of that -- again, it's \$282,000 per child per year -- is allocated for funding and programs, if any. Similarly, according to ACS' negotiated acquisition document, the rate for general care in non-secure placement is \$400 per day per child to go to the agency; that's for generalized, non-specialized care, and it's again unclear how many of those dollars are going to programs and services. We very much support the need for increased funding for programs and services for kids in the youth justice system, but also wanna know if there can be a shift in spending from whatever that \$283,000 per child per year is going to, to the kinds of programs and services that have been shown in increase public safety and improve children's lives.

We also just feel like it's important to know how those dollars are spent; we would like to be an advocate to the Council and to the City for increased funding for programs, but without knowing how current resources are being used, it's a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2 23

24

25

challenge to make the strongest case possible for increased funding.

We believe as a result that -- also, ACS needs to really think about the non-profit provider's capacity to provide programs to as many youth as possible throughout the day, when providers are nonprofits who may not be able to raise funding to support programs throughout the day, so we saw a lot of impressive programs from, you know working with chickens to drama to art, to a lot of really impress the trauma-informed care, but it's a little bit unclear how often those programs are provided, it's unclear if they're provided throughout the day; it's unclear how many youth participate in them; I think one of the challenges is that the programs seem to be short-term, they change, and that providers are only able to offer them on a limited basis because they're not funded. So we really urge the City to fund providers who are doing this work. We also urge the Council and ACS to undertake an analysis to determine the scope and reach of all programs and where the gaps exist and then to make focused efforts to address those gaps and make sure that all youth are receiving services.

2 Again, the challenge for this really also 3 is about a lack of public transparency about what's happening inside. So In my testimony; I don't wanna 4 go into a lot of detail here, but I talk about some 5 questions we have around what's happening around 6 programming and we did get some information here, but we really think it would be helpful to have a more 8 granular level of data available to community members 9 and to the public and to yourselves as elected 10 officials about what's happening for kids inside. 11 12 we urge ACS to increase its public transparency over 13 day to day operations in youth justice facilities. 14 And I sometimes talk about -- you know my niece goes 15 to private school and my sister has the ability to 16 call her school and find out if she ate her broccoli 17 that day, right, but when kids are locked up behind 18 closed walls, it appears that we often, the government often does not seem to assume that 19 20 community members and parents and advocates and elected officials have the same inherent right and 21 2.2 perhaps an even greater need, because children's 23 liberty has been taken, to know what's happening behind the wall. It's also important to really say 24

that transparency isn't about gotcha, it's not about

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

what the agency does wrong; it's about noticing what's working right, it's about building on that and it's also about being able to recalibrate when there are challenges.

The fourth recommendation is that

programs should be coordinated and managed to minimize idle time for youth in custody, with a specific focus on restraints and fights, and I appreciated Councilwoman Barron speaking about restraints. In October 2012, the New York State Office of Children and Family Services found an alarming use of restraints and room confinement in ACS secure detention and they placed ACS under corrective action; ACS remains under corrective action to this date and in the most recent report that is required by you all as a body for ACS to release this data, there were 264 physical and 88 mechanical restraints in detention alone. In their review of ACS, OCFS specifically stated that ACS should increase the availability of programming for youth during the day and evening and they also stated that increasing the assortment of activities, including recreational, social, cultural programming and educational and vocational programming would help

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

reduce restraints and that idle time in facilities remained a problem and should be addressed. Again, it does appear that ACS has taken some steps to increase programming, but it's really important that that be very robust and without the public release of a lot of information, it's still unclear what gaps might remain.

The fifth recommendation is that New York

City and ACS build upon ACS' incredibly impressive work with LGBTQ youth to: a. ensure adequate funding and capacity for staff training and coaching; b. fully fund the Family Intervention Therapy pilot, which was a successful pilot to help families work with LGBTQ youth and keep them at home and to stop family rejection of youth from their home; that was funded by the Mayor and the funding for the pilot stopped and now the program is unfunded, and c. partner with community stakeholders to provide commercially sexually exploited youth with culturally competent care. I wanna point out that ACS is a leader, both locally and nationally on these issues; we work as an organization very closely with ACS; we have used -- I've spoken nationally about ACS' work with LGBTQ youth and they really have provided

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fantastic leadership on this issue, but there are some areas of growth and some areas that require funding and they are outlined in my testimony.

The next recommendation, the sixth, is that ACS should further evaluate how to effectively work with girls in the system; you did hear about one program in Kings County; there is a lot of challenge around the needs of girls in the system, the juvenile justice system in general has been designed for boys and there's often ways that programming is not gender responsive. It's also really important that gender responsive programming not be stereotyped, that girls still be given opportunities to be active, to be mechanical, to do things besides talk about their feelings and that issues of LGBTQ youth and of understanding gender in a nuanced way be incorporated. We believe that ACS is -- we've heard recently that they're going to be doing deeper work on girls and we really look forward to learning more about that and we just wanna highlight and encourage the importance.

The seventh recommendation is that the City Council should increase funding for arts-based programming... [interpose]

1	COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 92
2	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: If you could help
3	me; give me the con
4	GABRIELLE HOROWITZ-PRISCO: Sure.
5	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: densed version,
6	'cause I know we still have four more [interpose]
7	GABRIELLE HOROWITZ-PRISCO: Sure.
8	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: and Okay. Thank
9	you.
10	GABRIELLE HOROWITZ-PRISCO: Sure.
11	[crosstalk]
12	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: It's very
13	informative, uh just…
14	GABRIELLE HOROWITZ-PRISCO: Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, thank you.
16	GABRIELLE HOROWITZ-PRISCO: Alright, the
17	super short version art is great, art engages
18	kids, it moves them, it moves their spirits and their
19	souls, but it's also good for rehabilitation, it's
20	good for public safety and it's a hope [sic] for kids
21	to get services; the arts programs ACS is doing are
22	great; I've seen a lot of it firsthand and they
23	should do more and you guys should help them get

money. [laughter, background comments]

Number eight, short version, City Council should assist ACS in securing funding. Why? To create spaces dedicated to programming within facilities. So there's a need for some architectural revision within facilities in order to really accommodate programming and I outline what that can look like and give some ideas; although I'm not an architect I play one on TV, but -- you guys were supposed to laugh; you didn't laugh -- [laughter] but there is some more in the testimony about the importance of a dedicated space for programming.

The ninth point is about program
evaluation; there were questions about this from
yourself, Chairman Cabrera; it is very concerning
that there does not appear to be a lot of evaluation
of what works in both detention and placement; I will
say that even though detention is short, with an
average length of stay of 29 days, evaluation can
still be done; there's ways to hold focus groups with
young people, to do interviews before and after
programs, there's a way to formalize some of the
evaluation mechanisms and my understanding is for
local non-profits it's a challenge to raise
philanthropic dollars when they can't demonstrate the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

outcomes of the programs. So not only is it a challenge about are we spending money wisely, it's a fundraising challenge for the non-profits who are asking to do this work without funding when they can't leverage philanthropic dollars without being able to offer data about why their programs are important.

The tenth is that programs are medicine and they should be seen as such. So we believe that there may be a tendency in facilities when kids act out to withhold programming as punishment; again, we're not in the facilities, so we don't know all the details of this; we understand it's complex, there are security concerns; we understand working in facilities is a challenge; at the same time we really urge the agency to be incredibly mindful of not withholding programming, because programs are medicine; when kids are in programs they do better, they are calmer, they are more peaceful, facilities are safer and kids do better and so it's counterintuitive to think about reducing programs; we should actually think about how we intensify programs for the kids who are having the deepest behavioral challenges.

2.2

The very last point is that ACS -- and we talked about this a little bit earlier -- should work to link youth in custody to community programs post release. So if a kid is in a facility and they all of a sudden learn that they have a passion for gardening or music or poetry or art, what is being done to ensure that when they go to both a placement facility or their home community that those linkages exist and continue to nourish them; that's what transforms kids' lives.

In conclusion, we really applaud the work ACS has done; we understand they've taken many steps, but we do have areas of concern, most specifically around funding, continuity of programming and making sure that programs are available for all youth and that they're properly evaluated and that we're assessing how we spend tax dollars and we're making sure that dollars are being spent on programming and services because those are what transform kids' lives, help create public safety and transform our communities. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Whoever's next.

DR. JOHN SHAW: I was told I was next.

Hi, good morning.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.

DR. JOHN SHAW: Dr. John Shaw; I'm the Mental Health Administrator for Episcopal Social Services; I had the pleasure of testifying last year to the Mental Health Subcommittee. So good morning everyone, Councilman Cabrera, Council people and everyone else.

Let me begin by saying to Councilman Wills; I was afraid you were running out and I wanted to come and let you know that no -- I wasn't involved and can't answer any of those questions; I don't have knowledge of the process; however, you're very real, I heard it; it reminded me of something someone told me many years ago, that if you really wanna know what you're doing, what you think you're doing, what you hope to do, what you intend to do, what you think you're doing is important, but if you really wanna know what you're doing, find out from the person you're doing it with what happened. So I will relay your concerns back to the agency and my executive director to take it from there. I do hope the project can continue, but I hear your concerns.

In a nutshell, I guess I just wanted to do -- I was gonna follow up on what I was talking

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2

about last year, about creative arts, it got a lot of attention this morning; we've been working on that for a few years in the agency, but if it would be helpful, I can follow up on some of the questions that were asked of ACS from a provider's perspective. Council Member Arroyo -- yes, we have frequent visits from the Bronx D.A. and judge's office at our facilities; I've been at some of them; there's one coming up I think March 5th, so there is contact going on, both with the attorneys and with the social work support staff, in all three of our facilities.

The trauma training, yes, it is geared for awareness for the staff; we are having the identical training at Episcopal; what I did was, I worked with the trainer who's providing it at Horizons to bring it into the agency so that the youth, they are coming from detention to our facilities are going to encounter similar language, they will be carrying the material that they developed in their stay at Horizons to our facilities and our staff will be trained to understand what it is they're receiving and at least begin the program with a similar language. And I agree that the ... so many of... I haven't met a kid in these years in the

juvenile justice system, at least 90 percent have had clearly identifiable, off the charts trauma, they've been witness to or a part of, close by. So for the staff it's more a question of not so much identifying the trauma, but understanding how these events are traumatic and their effects and the training is very good for that.

All of the program offerings that ACS mentioned going to non-secure detention -- yes, we do have them in our non-secure detention facilities; I spoke with the program management yesterday and I can't recall which ones, but I recognized a number of the names that you mentioned today that our not-for-profit is participating in.

Individual needs I guess is something I wanted to kinda settle on, because what's very important in individual needs and what we're trying to do is when you get a kid engaged through the arts, when you get somebody's excitement, when you have their attention, when they get into a state of mind that's both relaxed and attentive and fun, that's great and that lasts but it fades, so what we're trying to do from a mental health perspective is once we have that state of mind, and this is why the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

creative arts initiative is so important to me, is to what tools can we work on with the youth or something they feel passionate about and it doesn't have to be art, it could be sports, it could be listening to music; it could be debating. Once we work with them on an area they have passion about we can work with the skills needed to really learn something about that passion. Many, many of our kids have what's known as emotional regulation problems, their emotions are either swinging wildly or there's a high level of background range and anger that they are containing all the time; they should get trophies for how much they do contain, 'cause you see what happens when they don't. When you begin to work with a kid with rap, poetry, dance; drama, all of these kids are very responsive to it, they love it, but how do you take that into the program; how do you make it individualized is the test that we're working on. Going out into the LSPs we're using a new model, an evidence-based model, integrated treatment model which is individualized, it's based on very simple, deep, dialectical behavior therapy principles and which focus basically on controlling your emotions, being aware in the moment and how do you bring about

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

a sense of mindfulness for yourself and what you're doing; these are the skills that so many of the youth are lacking that makes education so hard. It could be a learning disability or their learning disability could take the form of an attention span that is very, very short, or focus that is very, very short and a tolerance for frustration beyond that that's very limited. So if we could expand those basic skills, improve them so there's more ability to regulate emotion or more ability to withstand frustration, just moving, expanding that, you will see better attention, you will see better performance. What we're trying to do is use their enthusiasm and passion that they have about the arts, pull that back into an individual program; now how do we lay this out so that you can be a better musician, rapper, poet, get up in front of the mic and do it and we're having tremendous success. So for me it's a pleasure after all these years in mental health as an individual therapist to have an engagement with teenagers that I have not seen before, but I wanna make that -- and the staff are really trying to make that real for the kids.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

Second step individualizing is what you get at the individual sessions has to be hooked in to everyday life, it has to be hooked into the program life, you have to communicate in very common terms back and forth to the schools what this kid's interests are, what his tolerance levels are and to understand in that manner so that it can be individual for the child, but it can be captured along some very commonsensical nodes and understanding that people can share so you're not talking different languages with one another and the ITAM is a program model, so therapists, staff, teachers, everybody's gonna be using that same model; it's not hard to understand, it's difficult to put into practice, but it's something that I'm looking forward to also.

The report is my report, it's basically an update from what we were doing last year; it contains some of the things I just mentioned, the two stories at the end are very recent; I know the feel good stories because they feel very good and they are very real; one of which just rounded off the other day as a youth who was discharged from our program a couple of months ago who learned to play guitar in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2 23

24

25

the program came back to pick up a stipend check at the office and I had an opportunity to sit down with him for a few minutes and talk with him about his experiences; I refer you to that.

One last element I want to mention; we are excited in the coming up LSPs, is having aftercare as part of our program, so when the kids leave our program, hopefully after seven months in a discharged home we can continue to work with our program services who are already familiar with the child, with us and their families in the home setting for the duration of the... that is something that we struggled with NSP placements, providing adequate after-care and is built into this new model for LSP, which I'm very happy about too. [background comment] answer any questions.

[background comments]

VICTORIA SAMMARTINO: Okay. So I'm Victoria San Martino; I'm the Founder and outgoing Executive Director of Voices Unbroken and I did not have... I didn't give you written testimony 'cause my printer wouldn't let me. So Voices Unbroken is a non-profit organization that makes creative writing workshops accessible to vulnerable youth and we work

extensively and intentionally with young people who are in the juvenile justice system, about 50 percent of the young people who participate in Voices
Unbroken workshops are in the juvenile justice system, specifically in residential facilities, including Horizon and Crossroads and we also work with Episcopal Social Services and some of the other private agencies that provide residential care.

So as I mentioned, I'm the outgoing

Executive Director and after 15 years of running

Voices Unbroken -- I know I look obscenely young,

right -- but I have the opportunity to think really

reflectively about what I've observed in the juvenile

justice system and so I really came today to offer

some observations.

So the first thing I just wanna say is there are people like Sonia Galarza that have been working within what was DJJ and is now ACS, to bring programming in and that now there's a lot of talk about programming, like Gabrielle mentioned, but there really didn't use to be and so I think that the agency itself is struggling a little to understand what it means to support programming. So one thing I -- these are my observations -- So one thing that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I've noticed is that programming is often seen as pieces of things, so there's like trauma-informed, then there's a poetry workshop, there's a theatre workshop, there's Carnegie Hall -- these things are all sort of -- and that when there are opportunities for the organizations to come together, and a lot of times that happens organically, like I have coffee with someone who runs an organization and you know -but if the agency would convene those organizations -- and I'm really glad that ACS stayed, 'cause I know a lot of times the staff have to run out, but I do wanna offer myself if I can support that process in any way. I think that a lot of the service providers really would love to come together and share best practices and support each other, but also would love to be in community with the staff in the facilities too. So the other thing that I've observed is that there are some phenomenally supportive juvenile counselors and so I'm speaking for secure detention, and then staff in the private agencies that somewhat organically, like they know how to support programming and I don't know that it's always made clear to them what is expected of them, but I think that the staff that really know how to do it well, if

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

they could share with other staff, I think that's probably -- I think training -- we can all talk about -- we've all been to trainings we don't remember, right. So I just feel there can be an over emphasis on the idea of bringing external people in or doing training -- I love trainings, I like to facilitate them, but I also think that bringing people together. Another thing is scheduling and I know this sounds really small and micro, but I know that a lot of you on the panel have like worked at non-profit organizations and like your really get them; how the micro and the macro go together, scheduling. So it's really challenging; a lot of times things are overbooked and so in addition to that being confusing for young people, as an outside service provider that has to fundraise to bring in resources, it's incredibly expensive to have staff going to a facility and then like kids are removed for dinner in the middle of it. A lot of it -- we understand like if a fight happens or something that's completely unexpected and to some extent not preventable, but it's really hard when it stops like kids having dinner in the middle of something, like if the scheduling could... it could be done more efficiently

So quickly, people talk a lot about

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

2.1

22

23

24

25

and I think the staff would appreciate it if they knew like what was coming, when it was coming; why ACS thought it was important, etc.

support; there should be funding, there used to be discretionary City Council funding that was administered through the Department of Juvenile Justice, I believe somewhere around 2008-2009 -- I'm sure Sonia remembers the day -- that was cut; it would be great if that could be reinstated and maybe if the City Council wanted to have control over which organizations or disperse them among the different boroughs and all of that, but there did use to be that funding. So I'm all for city funding; I'm also for private funding and a lot of it -- we keep referencing organizations that don't have funding, meaning they don't have ACS funding, but they have funding from other places. I once had to get a letter -- had to get a letter of support or needed one from ACS for funding purposes; it took me like months -- I love you all, but it took a really long time and a lot of hurdles; I think if a new organization says they wanna do something, you shouldn't make them go through -- but if you have

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

organizations that have been working with the City, if that process could be streamlined to get a really -- by the time I got the letter, the application had been submitted, we'd been turned -- you know what I mean, like a whole process that happened. So I just think like streamlining that. I also think the City could... that ACS and potentially the City Council could think of themselves as conveners, right, like bring together some private funders or a message to private funders, like you should fund programming -again, I can help you with that -- so there's that. And then there's the data piece, so I have run an organization that collects an enormous amount of data from young people and from staff and from our staff, but the things that we don't get from ACS is aggregate data, like a workshop ends at 6:30, in that same hall can we know on the days that there were workshops were there incidents, not who was involved; not the nature of them, but were there incidents between 6:30 at night and the next morning or something. Information like that that there's no way that we could collect would be really helpful and I think a lot of the organizations, if they were convened, probably are looking for the same things

and so if ACS could provide relatively limited, 3 completely aggregated confidential data, that would really be helpful. And lastly, I'll just make a 4 mention of like -- I think part of it too was that DJJ was always in the position or often in the 6 7 position of like receiving services; instead of thinking of themselves I think as an agency that 8 chose which services were gonna come in and so I 9 think that for the City Council to think about how 10 11 ACS chooses which organizations really have an 12 expertise and a desire to really thoughtfully and 13 intentionally work with... and experience working with 14 this population, the young people are never ever,

and our organization's really set up to navigate
those. So thank you. I spoke as fast as I could

because I'm a New Yorker and I'm from the Bronx.

every the challenge, it's always the things around it

19 Okay.

15

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

 $\label{eq:Chairperson Cabrera: And I appreciate} % \begin{center} \begin{center$

VICTORIA SAMMARTINO: Now I'm gonna take a deep breath.

HANS MENOS: Good morning; how are you this morning? My name is Hans Menos; I'm the

J

,

Director of Youth Services at the Center for Community Alternatives. CCA, for those of you who don't know, is a leader in the field of integrative justice and in youth services we provide alternatives to incarceration, alternatives to detention for young people in New York City and we're located in Brooklyn.

So many of our youth are similar, about the same as youth that are in facilities or in non-secure and secure facilities and many of them in fact often return to us from these facilities as a condition of their parole or a condition of their release. So we have a great amount of experience working with these young people and in particular we find that they're not unusual in that they are working to face the real challenges of poverty and racism that exist in their communities.

So I'll go over some issues that we try and focus on while we work with the young people, because like I said, I thought they're very similar, if not the same youth.

So one of the things that we try and focus on is gender specific programming. We want to make sure that our young women and our young men have

4

5

7

6

8

10

11

1213

14

15

17

16

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

their own space to discuss issues that are specific to them, based on their gender and in addition, based on their race and their socioeconomic status. find that important because they communicate differently when not in a group with the opposite gender and we can help focus on them and I think the issue of individual focus was mentioned here; we can focus on them in a lot more purposeful way when we do separate them by gender and I understand at the facilities clearly they're separated by gender, but I'm not sure if the opportunity is taken to focus on that if you had to speak to them about the challenges that they have. So they're certainly already separated and we could probably easily accomplish that based on that fact.

Another issue we try and do is that we try and stimulate the multiple levels of intelligence of our youth; we talked about arts, we talked about debating; we talked about all the other issues that young people strive towards or have real intelligence around, but we try and make sure that it's purposeful. So it is not just that we want to invite Voices Unbroken and to -- [background comment] yes, we have -- just because we believe that it would be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

fun for them to have some poetry in the afternoon, but because we understand that Voice Unbroken and our partners understand that we agree on the idea that we can use their outlet for poetry to express themselves emotionally, to understand what's going on in their lives and to -- if they don't wanna talk about you know perhaps what they've been through or what they're feeling, they can express that in a different way. So it is not a condemnation on any art form that exists, but rather a push to be purposeful about it, you know, while we're doing it we're not just doing it because we think it's fun or because we wanna decorate the walls, but because we wanna help the young people to express themselves, and I wanna make sure that all of these types of different programs, whether it's debate, whether it's current events is there to help a young person to really express themselves appropriately.

So in addition to our community-based work we do do work inside the facilities as well; our CAP prep team is in the secure; non-secure facilities and they do work on HIV and AIDS and team pregnancy and healthy relationships. So in those experiences we found that the gender specific programming inside

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

the facilities has been lacking and in addition to that, we have some problems with the transgender population in facilities. So young people, in general, most likely need the notion of gender on pat [sic] for them, the differences between sex and gender and the idea that people can be questioned and are moving towards different aspects of their lives; I mean if we can imagine what being a teenager was, you know, if you were a conforming teenager, I think we can -- even if you are not, if you were a nonconforming person, you can understand what extra challenges exist if you're a nonconforming young adult and then imagine if you were also on top of that incarcerated or otherwise detained. really owe a lot to these young people because you know there is evidence that suggests that because of their nonconforming status that they are more likely to be placed in these facilities, so because they are there we do need to understand them and we need to make sure our staff understand them and we need to make sure that we are really meeting their needs and not ignoring, because as I said, it's possible if not likely that they are there because of that status, which is shameful in and of itself.

I appreciate the idea of mental health and I think that there have been great strides made as far as mental health assessments. I do wonder about the emotional health of our young people and that that is parsed out from their psychiatric needs, but overall I can appreciate what they go through and how thoughtful that process is when they are brought into the facilities.

I am concerned about the idea of trauma, so at CCA we make effort to be a trauma-informed agency from top to bottom; we have not just one training, but ongoing trainings through our partnership with St. Luke's Roosevelt Hospital; we're constantly trying to make sure that our staff understands trauma and I applaud all the other agencies, including ACS that seem to be moving towards that.

One thing that we seem to do that I'm not entirely clear that ACS or the other agencies are doing, is that also we wanna infuse that into the knowledge of the young people. So if a young person's having what we as the staff have now been trained to understand is traumatic reaction, we're not just gonna say well we understand it, but we're

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

not gonna bother to explain to them why they're maybe reacting in a certain way. So there are physiological responses that we can just communicate to a young person. Right now your heart's beating at a 100 miles per hours, but I don't know that anything happened to you to make you this upset; right now you are panicking, right; you're not thinking clearly; maybe your palms are sweating right now. These are real concrete things that we can explain to a young person to tell them; listen, you're not broken, you're not a bad person, but you have this reaction that -- I'm not even gonna call it dysfunctional, 'cause it maybe actually functional; it was functional at some point in their life, but now you're in a safer place and that is, maybe move towards to, if we're gonna use the word dysfunctional, but doesn't work anymore is a better way to say it. So we try and make that clear to young people through an evidence-based, 10-session, ongoing -- I'm sorry; was not ongoing 10-session, but ongoing in that we have several cycles -- group for young people and I just think it's more logical, if we're going to make sure the staff understands it, to also make sure that young people who are going

2 through it understand and are explained clearly what's going on in their body, in their feelings and 3 their reactions, because I don't know that even most 4 adults who have not been explained to what trauma is can really understand what their reaction is. 6 recommendation that I think we should really push forward is if we're going to, as a city, understand 8 that trauma exists in our young people, I don't 9 understand why we would not also make that 10 increasingly aware to them -- this is what's 11 12 happening to you or this is what I believe is 13 happening to you and we should move forward with 14 that. Since I'm discussing trauma, I wanna jump 15 forward to another part of my recommendations, which 16 can be a trauma screener. I think one question was 17 asked -- how do we know how many young people have 18 trauma in the system? I mean, there are studies upon studies upon studies that talk about this, but if we 19 20 wanna talk about our city and our agency and our settings, there's several screeners; the one I'm 21 2.2 gonna recommend, but you know, if there is -- and you 23 can just probable Google it -- is the UCLA PTSD Trauma Index; it's short, it gets to the point and 24 25 you can get at least a very similar idea of what's

24

25

going on. I don't know if that's happening, but if

it is happening, I haven't heard about it and I thin

it is happening, I haven't heard about it and I think

it's a great place to start to understand, a. who are

5 the traumatized youth and b. now we identified them;

6 we can tell our staff rather than waiting for them to

7 have a traumatic reaction to be identified; we can

8 tell the staff this person was screened to have this

9 trauma and then maybe we can put him a group

10 | beforehand; it seems almost like we're waiting to

11 react. We know how to do it when it happens, but

12 | we're not gonna go ahead and get ahead of it because

even though we have the ability we have to

14 | acknowledge it.

So I'll move on there for a few moments.

16 And you know as I mentioned, CCA focuses on multiple

17 | levels of intelligence and I also mentioned the idea

18 of being purposeful. So if you are a young person

19 who isn't involved in arts, as I mentioned; I think

20 | it's a great idea to be purposeful about that, but

21 | what if it's sports or what if it's debate? I don't

22 know that if we're having the situation where, as

we're told, young people are playing basketball,

baseball and chess and other activities, why we're

not being purposeful about that; that's a great time

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 to talk about the skill-building that exists to play
3 a team sport, to play a game of strategy and to

4 extrapolate that to the other skills we need to build

5 in them. So when I say be purposeful, I want

6 everyone to understand what I mean is, if these

7 things are already happening, then we're not taking

8 | full advantage of the time we have with young people

9 to say great, you passed the ball or maybe you didn't

10 pass the ball and why is it necessary to do so.

11 | You're playing this game of chess, do you understand

12 what it is; it's a game of strategy and how do you

13 strategically prepare for your life? Simple things

14 | that we can do for our young people that I don't know

15 that we're doing now, even they're involved in the

16 activities, they just need an adult or someone who

can point out what's necessary there.

So I will wrap it up, but I think that
we've talked a lot about the other... about funding
here and I think that, you know, it is a bit shameful
for me to understand that our city relies on
basically the charity of other organizations to come
in and provide services; I don't know that that's
necessary; I don't know that -- I mean obviously if

it's possible from outside funding it should be done,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

but there should be a larger attention brought to it. I think Miss Prisco made a great point when she called it medicine; I wanted to write it down; I thought it was great, because it's so true and we surely would not be skipping out if someone had a diagnosed need for medication. I think that the evidence shows that the young people do have a need for these programs, so the City really should be making a push to fund these initiatives for that exact reason. And again, just to beat this dead horse in data collection, I can appreciate the idea that each agency that volunteers; again, to bring these two points together, has their own data collection, but as Miss Sammartino pointed out, how fantastic would it be if over the course of a year we could say; you know, the young people who were assigned to this youth counselor have this many less issues and the people who go to this program on Tuesdays, Wednesday's a really easy day and that is the type of thing that only can be looked at if we collect data in the aggregate and I think it's doable, we have the technology, we have the ability, we have the premier criminal justice university in John Jay in the City; I mean they're literally a

subway ride away from all these facilities and they work with us and they are brilliant and it's great to hear them talk about how I can get a picture of what is going on in my agency just by collecting some simple questions and some simple forms three months in a row -- uh sorry, rather every three months and at the course of a year, in a course of a decade I can say, oh here are the trends and as a city we need to get there, I think.

I'll wrap up here, if I didn't mention the fact that our young people who do return to us, we're not seeing them saying I was terribly treated or I hated everything about being in detention, outside of the fact that they were in detention, so that isn't to say that things are great there, but things are certainly better and I'm happy to speak to them and hear them say; you know, I have some complaints, but overall I'm not fuming, which is really -- I know, maybe it's a low bar, but it's something that I'm happy to report; it's certainly better there; they are certainly not, you know, being terribly mistreated. But I also, on the other hand, don't know that any young person's gonna walk in my office

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

and say; I really wish they would've taught me more purposeful things while I was there and I really wish data collection was done better. So just a small point there. But anyway, I thank you very much for your time and I appreciate everyone else's this afternoon as well... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much. Last, but not least.

DAREK ROBINSON: Good morning; how's everybody doing? [background comments] My name is Darek Robinson; I'm the Vice President of Grievances and Legal Services for Social Service Employees Union Local 371. On behalf of our President, Anthony Wells, we would like to thank the Chair, Councilman Fernando Cabrera and the Committee on Juvenile Justice for the opportunity to give testimony today.

SSEU Local 371 represents juvenile counselors, children's counselors and congregate care specialists who staff secure and non-secure detention as well as ACS Children's Center. These workers have uniquely difficult jobs. Caring for youth in these programs is a very challenging job that must be done 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year; I myself have done this job for 20 years. Our members

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

all signed up to make a difference in the lives of at-risk youth. However, we did not sign up to be assaulted by kids whose special needs and challenges are typically kept from us, nor to be suspended and charged with bogus child abuse allegations by overzealous operation managers who don't understand our work, and above all, we did not sign up to be arrested or prosecuted by the New York State Justice Center and frequently flimsy and suspect allegations. Workplace violence is epidemic in our field, both violence by youth on youth and youth on staff aggressions. What is a juvenile counselor to do to protect these kids from each other; us from them The current sanctioned physical restraint, model SCM (Safe Crisis Management) does not work, it solely addresses one on one youth and staff interactions when multi-party brawls are commonplace. Safe Crisis Management focuses on the use of deescalating techniques as primary strategy for managing youth who are not only violent, but because mirrored in the criminal justice system carry tremendous anger and often approach the world as they have nothing to lose. When de-escalating techniques fail to control a situation, when there is simply no

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

time to de-escalate a violent or aggressively acting out child, what is a juvenile counselor to do? fails to promote workers with guidance on how to handle multiple physical aggressors, such as several youth attacking one youth, riots, coordinated attacks on staff without adequate training; again we ask, what is a juvenile counselor to do? We cannot stress enough the constant potential for violent attacks inherent in daily tour within secure detention. Most recently several residents from various gangs exited their perspective classrooms and attacked each other, as a result, the juvenile counselors who physically intervened sustained multiple injuries and most serious being a concussion. Another more recent incident, a juvenile counselor was punched with so much force that his cheek was torn open by his own tooth. A special officer responding to the aid of one of our members who was under attack himself was rendered comatose after being assaulted by a group of residents. ACS fails to frontline workforce by ignoring guidelines within a Safe Crisis Management model that would enable juvenile counselors to do their jobs more effectively. Specifically, SCM training materials presume and expect our staffers --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

I'm sorry -- on resident's mental health diagnosis that may impact behavior. Similarly, any prior history of conflict is critically important for us to know as well. SCM correctly espouses that the key to successfully managing residents understand the nature of their diagnosis, recognizing triggers, responding humanely and appropriately. Residents in secure detention often have mental health diagnoses and violent histories known to ACS, but juvenile counselors are not advised of this, nor counseled on how best to approach such residents, while SCM training materials caution and encourages staff to understand how a resident's diagnosis may impact behavior and how to respond appropriately. ACS declines to give staff these tools; instead, juvenile counselors are literally left in the dark. ACS restricts access to very important information that the SCM instructs that we need to have to do our jobs and keep juvenile facilities safe for the staff and residents alike. Our mental health training is minimal and does little good when ACS refuses to let us know how when a resident's mental health may be an important and factor in controlling their outbursts. Recently our members have faced the revamping of New

York State system of child abuse oversight, the newly
formed New York State Justice Center has swooped in
without any opposition, constructive response from
ACS. The Justice Center has launched repeated
attacks on workers who have already been victimized
at work, harshly criticizing, seeking and
criminalizing events we are helpless to foresee; so
often unable to control. The Justice Center
minimizes its commitments of the men and women who
have signed up for this most challenging career,
threatening their livelihood instead of working with
us to improve system for all of its participants.
ACS Employment Law Unit has repeatedly taken a
position Monday morning quarterbacking as it pertains
to its review of significant incidents, which always
base towards blame and disciplinary action and never
directed towards developing better techniques and
procedures for the safety and the wellbeing of all
concerned. And practice, when an incident occurs in
secure detention at the Children' Center, the video
footage is reviewed, every involved juvenile
counselor, associate juvenile counselor, children's
counselor, congregate care specialist is then
investigated and charged. This is an outrage,

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

particularly since ACS has a leadership, whether at the facility or the executive level; has not presented any solutions nor engaged the union in any constructive dialogue. We are not the enemy, but we are treated that way. And to make matters worse, ACS has approved a behavior modification program, ASPIRE, that assures youth there will be no consequences for their disruptive behavior, thus encouraging more misbehavior. The failure to re-arrest youth in detention after destruction of property, youth on youth assault, youth on staff assault or many forms of aggressive behavior, like spitting on staff, is blamed on the five district attorney offices that ACS does little to nothing to engage. So again and again we ask; what is a juvenile counselor to do? As you imagine, morale is low, the retention rate of staff is low and more and more senior personnel is questioning their career choice. Social Service Employees Union Local 371 is committed to the mission of providing a safe, secure, caring and controlled environment, all participants in non-secure programs, non-secure detention, the Children's Center, as well as secure detention. We need ACS to join us in that mission and to entertain the pleas and concerns of

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

veteran and experienced workers as partners; not adversities. Thank you for the opportunity to give this testimony; I would be happy to respond to any questions.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so much. I'm gonna have Council Member Barron, she has some questions and then I'll follow up with my questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I wanna thank the panel and the advocates for coming and presenting their position; it's good to hear about the work that you're doing and to read your suggestions as to how ACS can improve in this field. Particularly regarding the last presentation of testimony, you talked about the juvenile counselors; what's their training; what's the requirement to become a juvenile counselor and where are they stationed; what's the staffing ratio at these secure facilities?

DAREK ROBINSON: Okay, we can start out with the staffing; staffing ratio is 1 staff to every 8 residents, unless you're on a special support hall; that's 1 staff for every 4 residents.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay. And what would those staff positions be, the ones... [crosstalk] DAREK ROBINSON: Juvenile counselor, some

2.2

these juveniles, transport them back and forth to court, transport them to the programs.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So for every --

of their tasks would be to work in the halls with

what other staff positions are related to the juveniles who are there? How many juveniles would be in a particular facility?

DAREK ROBINSON: Well that's a question I can't answer in terms of how many... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay.

DAREK ROBINSON: staff would be; that would be more of an agency question, how many staff - I mean how many residents would be in there,
[background comment] that's more of an agency question. But in terms of the juvenile counselors, we have the juvenile counselor series, which is; you start out as a juvenile counselor, associate juvenile counselor, which is the supervisor and the associate juvenile counselor 2, which is the tour commander.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And what are the requirements for the position or the title of a juvenile counselor?

DAREK ROBINSON: The requirements -first off and foremost is the SCM training, which is
only two days; once they complete the SCM training,
then they are thrown out to the wolves and you know...

anyone... I mean, are there academic requirements, are there social courses [background comment] that they have been taking; I mean how do you go and apply to become a juvenile counselor? What would you need to apply to become a juvenile counselor?

DAREK ROBINSON: Just a high school diploma.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay. And other than that... [crosstalk]

DAREK ROBINSON: And two years experience working with youth, two years experience working with youth... [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Oh okay. Okay, that's a little better, two years experience with youth. Okay. And I guess the other questions I'd have to get from the agencies -- what other titles are there in those secure facilities, you know besides juvenile counselors, what are the titles and

2.2

counselor, my first unit was 371, ironically; we were

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23 24

told that if we got involved in breaking up a fight, we got injured, we were not covered and so your members of your union, are they covered for ...

DAREK ROBINSON: Do you mean covered, as in if they get injured?

> CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yes.

DAREK ROBINSON: Yes, we're covered in terms of workers' comp, but we cannot compare a juvenile counselor to a counselor for any other agency in New York City. The closest thing to being a juvenile counselor is corrections, the way this is set up... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And that's where I was going. Because it seems to me they're ending up, and I know -- I have a director of counseling here -a dual relationship and actually we're not allowed to do that; I know they're not licensed, but if there... so won't... you used the word counselors; is this very loose term as a counselor; is it like I'm watching you, I'm kind of -- I don't wanna use the word buddy, but you understand; this is somebody who's just overseeing or this is somebody who's really talking to the kids about problems or... it's more like a spar

DR. JOHN SHAW: yes, they are responsible

for crisis management when it occurs, but I don't

24

25

2	see, nor do they really report a specific kind of
3	conflict in this area, because they are also the
4	people who have the closest relationships with the
5	kids, bar none. The kids see them every day, they
6	can relate to them in terms of their school day,
7	their relationships with the other kids, they're
8	there, they're present as the activities occur, so I
9	do not see… and yet, when things start to pop and
10	they're called into play, they do it very
11	professionally and resolve it, debrief, talk to the
12	kids about it, what happened afterwards and that
13	information does get communicated to other members of
14	the team. So for example, if appropriate, the
15	therapist the next day would follow up, or later that
16	day if they were there, follow up with the youth
17	individually about the incident; not to drum it up
18	again, but to reflect upon it and look at options
19	that might have been there.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So you don't see a dual relationship?

DR. JOHN SHAW: Not a... it's a du... well, that's a tricky... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: It is a tricky question.

4

5

6

8

7

9

11

10

1213

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

DR. JOHN SHAW: 'cause that implies a conflict. I think it's a blended relationship; now I did visit a secure facility outside of Seattle recently and their staff do not get involved, it's a separate security team, they have alarm buttons that they hit [background comment] when things get -- and then you have, here come the cowboys. So I... and to be fair, I'm coming from a biased point of view, but to me that was something that I questioned because you left the interpersonal contact at a particular point and just called in the heavies and then when that was calmed down you went in. So I really... and I've been a child care worker too, I have been trained in SCM, so if it's done rarely and if it's done properly, everybody knows it's about safety; the kids really wanna get things calmed down for the most part, even the disruptive ones and I've never heard a staff say gee, it's so hard to do both. [background commentl No. [background comments]

VICTORIA SAMMARTINO: I'm not a mental health provider, so if I can just offer, like I get...

I've had the privilege of spending a lot of time with juvenile counselors and being at facilities; I think that with the merging of the two agencies, and I

2 think a lot of the kind of shift in focus of the 3 agency really -- the agency embracing a youth development perspective, I don't know that the 4 juvenile counselor had been brought into that and I think that the saddest thing for me about your 6 7 testimony is hearing the idea that there are veteran juvenile counselors who are questioning the decision 8 they made to become a juvenile counselor; what a 9 tragedy, there are some really -- I think what's 10 happened is there are a lot of juvenile counselors, 11 12 there are number who are like really good and nobody 13 really knows why -- like it hasn't really be 14 identified why or maybe opportunities for them to 15 share what it is that they might do instinctively and 16 so what I also heard you asking for a lot in your 17 testimony is like, what's the answer, like what are 18 we supposed to do. So where I think it speaks again to the shift in... shifts in culture can be stress --19 20 change is stressful and so I think like implementing 21 new policies like SCM and implementing a lot of 2.2 training I think has a... I think training has a place, 23 but I think it's this continued, like how is... how does there start to be new perspectives and new 24 answers; that's a real question; what is a juvenile 25

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

counselor supposed to do? As outside providers, we are not allowed to get involved, so if a fight were to break out, you know we know legally we can't, you know liability reasons, etc., but juvenile counselors are the ones -- I can't speak to the conflicts, right, 'cause I'm not in the mental health field, but I can say that it's complicated... it really is complicated and I think that it's important that as we think about programming we think about these perspectives; I appreciate that you would share them and I know that there are juvenile counselors who are here and present today and I know it's your time off, right and so I just really appreciate that folks are here and I hope that, you know, these perspectives be taken into account... [crosstalk] CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: One... One of the

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: One... One of the things that I was concerned about, like you know, somebody spitting on somebody, that's considered an assault, right, because the law, so... [crosstalk]

DAREK ROBINSON: Exactly.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So has there... How many of those cases have you seen?

DAREK ROBINSON: In 22 years in there there's been hundreds.

And how

juvenile counselor and he is restrained -- people have to realize that the safe and form and size of these kids, these kids are a lot stronger than we think, a lot wiry than we think and they are strong; most of the kids are much stronger than the juvenile counselor, so [background comment] a kid my size,

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

This... wow, this is... [background comment] I would like to have conversations [background comment] offline

24

25

we're taking that time to have those conversations,

24

25

HANS MENOS: but we can make sure that

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to have the focus on the issues that are specific to their gender.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I was very, very happy to hear from everyone talk about how to connect the dots so it's not just art for art sake or chess for chess; we're not there to entertain the kids, we're really there to help the kids, so to find, for lack of a better word; I think you mentioned it, purpose behind all of this approach and I remember your testimony last year; was very impressed as well, that they're interconnected to each other, so the arts are connected to therapy and then therapy [background comment] could wrap back around and be interconnected to something else, like maybe ... [crosstalk]

> HANS MENOS: So councilman...

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: or something productive that they wanna do something in life. know, I think the sense, a lot them, they go there; they have no purpose and that's the worst thing -you know, that creates hopelessness.

HANS MENOS: Thank you. So I think what you're describing is culture change; that we need to really consider everything and I don't wanna nitpick

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

what's going on.

2 on anyone's testimony, but you know we can't refer to youth as wolves, you know we can't demonize them and 3 I understand that no one's perfect; that this 4 5 certainly shouldn't occur, but that's just something that I think that we're discussing; we need to 6 7 understand what culture change is and what that means from top to bottom and you know, what's being 8 described is a really traumatizing situation; I can't 9 imagine being at work and needing to call the police 10 because I don't know what to do and I have no idea 11

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Right.

that raises my trauma reaction a little bit, so I can only imagine what being in that situation's like.

And I can also only imagine how the two weeks after that were for the staff who were deathly afraid it was gonna happen again and the same goes for the youth and I think that we need to understand as a culture when there's a shock to our community how we react, you know that's on a micro, mezzo and macro scale and in this situation, it's interesting and I'm wondering how the counselors were helped through that. In my agency, if a young person is re-arrested

-- I'm talking about a counselor who works really hard with somebody and the young person gets rearrested and remanded, we talk to them, we say I know that it's tough for you to picture your client in prison and what's going on; how are you; are you okay; what do you need from us? That's one element; I can go on about culture change for quite a while, so I'm not gonna do it...

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Right.

HANS MENOS: but that's one element of supporting the staff and also to... and to point out, you know maybe some viewpoints that are, or some framing of the young people that aren't pro-social, doesn't work towards seeing them as human beings, doesn't work seeing them as damaged individuals; damage is not a word I wanna use, I'm sorry; as individuals who have been through quite a bit, who are traumatized individuals and it's important for me and my agency to always check language, which is why I might nitpicking and also to check how we see our, not only our young people, but our staff and that goes into culture.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But I think it would be good and I'll close with this, 'cause I know

we have gone here for a while, but I think it will be help helpful to have collaboration, to be able to have those who are working there working -- you know 'cause you're in -- like you said, they're in the frontline, you know, it's easy to theorize, you know, it's easy to write a book or to talk about it [background comment] and the same time, you come up with the frameworks, you are doing the work, you're working with the kids; you also have leverage with those kids as well; it will be really interesting to see how -- have you ever gotten together for training together with [background comment] the counselors, with the other outside agencies...? [crosstalk]

VICTORIA SAMMARTINO: I've offered training, like just for youth development trainings, we facilitated for youth development trainings and juvenile counselors have come like on their time off, voluntarily because they wanted to. I mean I think one thing, just to make sure that you understand, is I think that a lot of the juvenile counselors really — like you said in your test… they really want… they want to embrace new perspectives, they want to understand why certain things are being implemented, they care about young people, they're from the same

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

communities as the young people, [background comment] as am I; you know they -- some of the may even know the young people outside of facilities, right, like they have... there's a kind of intimate knowledge that they have about those young people and I think they really want to help them, I think wanna help them; wanna support them; want... and obviously wanna be present with them, so I think sometimes I think it's not... I think it's culture shift in the spirit of like giving people new information so they can operate, potentially operate a little bit differently, but also to feel a different kind of security; it saddens me deeply to know that the adults who choose to spend the night in facilities with young people who are away from home, who are scared and who may be lashing out, right, don't feel that they are appreciated, don't feel like they have the tools that they necessarily need to keep themselves safe. So I just wanted to say, I think there's a lot of organic kind of things that happen; I think the City Council, if I can make the budget push, 'cause it's January; like I think when ACS is bringing their budget before you, you know their part of the budget before you all, I think it would really benefit the City Council to

DR. JOHN SHAW: On behalf of ACS and Commissioner Franco, he absolutely, directly and indirectly supports collaboration, [background comment] there's a growing collaborative effort with the school, both in the LSP planning and with the current schools that are bringing the teachers and the staff and the therapists from the programs together; one of the stories in there is about a girl who started with poetry with her therapist...

[interpose]

VICTORIA SAMMARTINO: Published a book.

DR. JOHN SHAW: that published a book...

[interpose]

VICTORIA SAMMARTINO: She was [background comment.

DR. JOHN SHAW: through the teacher at school who the child herself became the circuit by which people started to connect. So there's a lot of energy that people can [background comment] realize they ought [sic] to connect to and sometimes that's better than when you try to impose a structure on it. The other thing I did wanna mention, my staff, my therapists, are trained in SCM, they're not called upon to restrain; they do know and could if they were

needed for assistance, but that also is a better playing field; it gives them more sensitivity to what the staff are going through, they're available to talk to the staff following the crisis and they're also an independent eye who knows what the training are and can debrief with the staff if something doesn't go quite by the way it was expected.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Well I'm gonna... yes, go ahead in... in closing.

DAREK ROBINSON: Yes, in closing; five years ago ACS were used to kids that you protect from society; with the insurgence of DJJ in 2010, now you have kids that society is protecting... you know, protecting against them, so it's a no-win situation; ACS doesn't have an answer for that; that's the problem, because we shouldn't have merged with ACS anyway, but we merged with ACS and it's a problem; they don't have an answer for the problem, so when the juvenile counselors do their job, they are penalized from doing the SCM and it goes wrong sometimes and you know everything is thrown out the window at that point.

CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So you know, I'm big on win-win situations; I believe that there are

enough expertise, capacity, competency, even in this room alone to be able to come in a meeting of the minds and not have tracks overlap each other, but to go side by side so we could accomplish the same goal.

I wanna say to the counselors that are here, thank you; thank you for all you do; I know your job is not easy; I used to work with teenagers, I worked in a school; I know what it is to see a teacher get knocked out and be out of school for three months and so I get it, language is very important, sensitivity is very important; how do we get the two working together? The reason why we're going through this, because this is new territory for all of us and we're trying to develop a culture within our institutions and also trying to figure out how we can make each other better and I think that we could accomplish that.

I wanna take moment to thank my

Legislative Analyst Beth Golub and Policy Analyst

William Hongach for all the time to put this together

and to get me ready to get you here. Thank you so

much; let's [background comments] continue to work

together. This was a great, great [applause]

committee meeting today.

1	COMMITTEE ON JUVENILE JUSTICE 14	18
2	[gavel]	
3	[background comments]	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 4, 2014