CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

----- X

November 19, 2014 Start: 10:14 a.m. Recess: 02:22 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway- Committee Rm, 16th Fl.

B E F O R E:

RAFAEL L. ESPINAL, JR.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

JULISSA FERRERAS
KAREN KOSLOWITZ
RORY I. LANCMAN
VINCENT J. GENTILE.

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

[gavel]

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Good morning and welcome to today's Consumer Affairs City Council Hearing. My name Rafael Espinal and I'm the Chair of the Committee. Today we'll be discussing Intro 467 sponsored by Council Member Andy King which would create a licensing scheme to regulate solicitation by costumed individuals in the city of New York. In the past few years a number of persons have taken to the streets in costume soliciting for donations or tips from tourists in exchange for photos. This activity has been most prevalent in the streets and pedestrian plazas around Times Square. While it is not illegal to wear a costume in public in the past two years there has been a number of disturbing media reports involving costume individuals, behavior aggressively towards the general public and the police department. In particular there have been reports of aggressive soliciting and physical altercations between costume characters and members of the public and police personnel. These reports as well as many other anecdotal reports have raised concerns here in the city council about, about unidentified and

on this issue and getting this hearing together.

I'll also like to recognize Andy King who's the

24

2 bill sponsor, Dan Garodnick ho represents Times

3 | Square. And members of the committee we have

4 Julissa Ferraras from Queens. And we have Corey

5 Johnson who also represents the other side of Times

6 Square. With that said I'll like to call on this

7 bill's sponsor to say a few words.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Good morning and thank you Mr. Chair Espinal. And thank you to each and every one of you who showed up today to engage in the spirited conversation with regards to how do we continue to make the Times Square experience a more pleasurable one for everyone who comes down there to enjoy Times Square and all of New York. Over a year ago we had an incident with one of our costume characters, Elmo, which kind of lost his mind because he felt he wasn't properly tipped and berated a father and a son. From that Times on I said to my colleagues; what's happening, is there anything on the books that, that can deter this type of behavior. Having a five year old that lives in my house I know that she grows up enjoying the little Bonnies and Doras and every, and so forth. I will want to make sure that she doesn't encounter this type of experience. Unfortunately this summer

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

she did experience the same kind of experience from a Strawberry Shortcake. After Strawberry Shortcake didn't get the proper tip she wanted she ripped off her own head and started to berate a father and a family. In the minds of a five year old how do you comprehend a head being snatched off. In cartoons you can erase the head and you can draw it back on but in human life you can't do that. So we want to make sure we maintain those kind of innocence for our children and our families and making sure that the individuals who go out there to entertain and bring smiles on people's faces that they stay in same line as those personas of the Spiderman or the Mickey Mouse, or the Elmos or the Big Bird who we've been educated and raised on. We want to make sure that the experience for everyone is a joyful and a pleasant one. So this was the premise of making sure that we put pen to paper and out of that came Intro 467. I want everybody to be real clear that this bill is not designed to take away anyone's first amendment's rights. It's not about limiting freedom of speech. And even we all know freedom of speech is not absolute because you can't scream fire in a, in a movie theatre, you can't

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

sell adult magazines next to a, a school. So these things aren't absolute but we want to make sure that we offer people the opportunity and experience to be free in expression by continuing having the trust the public also. This bill will also, is about public safety. And if anyone is out there asking for a donation for sharing a smile with our tourists and our five year olds then we should have an opportunity to know who these individuals are that are underneath these costumes. And that's all we're asking. I don't think we're asking anything, any, anything that's unreasonable. We're not talking about... one of the number one concerns that our most vulnerable communities have is immigration. It's not about immigration. We've made it real clear. The legislation is not, there's no trickery in the worlds that are in this piece of legislation and I know we have our pros and we know where we have our cons. But I'm asking us all that are in this room today and all that have a vested interest to have a really responsible conversation on what can we do to make sure that not only just Times Square but anyone who decides to dress up across the city of New York that they're treated

fairly, you know because the people underneath
these costumes are parents, they're students,
they're working Americans, or New Yorkers. But as
well we want to make sure that the tourists, that
families in New York and the little children are
respected also too. So when we're talking about
soliciting in an aggressive manor we want, we don't
want anybody to feel uncomfortable when they come
We want them to feel as comfortable as when they go
into Toys R Us to spend their money they can come
out and take a picture with Big Bird and feel just
as comfortable and not feeling that they getting
shook, shake down. And, and in, in all fairness to
the characters I want to say that I will like you
know they're part of the New York experience and I
think they should be treated with fairness and
respect also too. I don't like to see the little 17
year old teenager run up in the back of Elmo, smack
him in the back of head and run down the street.
It's not fair to them also too. And it's also not
fair as much as we need to have rules of engagement
for everybody as well as NYPD knowing how they
should interact as well as the character should
know how to interact. So I think today I'm looking

2.2

the opportunity of being out there with the characters and watching them work and I've seen a lot of them put a lot of good smiles and I've seen some aggressively. And I've, and I've stopped and said something to a few of them. But at the end of the day I want everyone to be respected. I want the men and the women to... be respected. I want our families and our tourists to be respected. But more importantly I want our characters who stand out there 12 hours a day to put smiles on everyone to

be respected as well. So again I want to thank you

Mr. Chair for hosting this hearing today and I'm

looking forward to a, a nice loving spirited

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you Councilman. I'll also like to call up Dan Garodnick.

conversation. Thank you.

3

4

6

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

_ _

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Well thank you very much Mr. Chairman. I want to thank you for holding the hearing today and also want to thank Council Member King for his willingness to take on a very difficult issue. He's a great representative for the city and one of my favorite people. So it's a pleasure to sit next to him. I also want to thank the Times Square Alliance and La Fuente for their advocacy on both sides of the issues and of course to my colleague Council Member Johnson with whom I share Times Square. He has been a very measured and responsible voice on this issue and it is a pleasure to work with him. There is a problem in Times Square and it is mostly a behavioral problem. There are people in costume who are aggressively panhandling and disturbing New Yorkers and tourists. The situation is made worse by the sheer number of characters who are out there at any given moment in an area that is among the business in the city and even in the country. Some of the behavior out there is simply abhorrent and it needs to stop. But the problem is with the behavior, not with the costumes themselves or with every human being who occupies them. We have plenty of good well-meaning

folks working for tips out there some of whom who
are with us even today. But the behavioral problems
happen enough to spur this conversation and to
demand immediate attention from the city. And
whether we pass a bill here or not and no matter
what any legislation might say we need more, and
more complete enforcement from the NYPD. The
fundamental question is what will solve the
problem. Is it more aggressive enforcement of
existing laws as was done to address squeegee men
in the 1980s and early 90s? Is it setting Times,
place, and manner restrictions for entertainment in
Times Square so as to limit obstruction in a very
busy area? Is it a self-regulatory scheme with
background checks and identification, cards
coordinated with the NYPD? Or is it as my colleague
Council Member King proposes a New York City
license? There is no question that if we were to
set up a licensing scheme and limit the number of
licenses to 15 or 20 of them that would undoubtedly
solve this problem. This bill however in an effort
to walk a careful line on constitutionality does
not do wo. And I appreciate why it does not do so.
And I'm therefore skeptical that a license will

solve all of the problems more than some of the					
alternatives out there. We need to beware of some					
of the legislative fixes that we could pass quickly					
while the fundamental questions of enforcement will					
still dominate. There is no question that this is a					
difficult issue and I have nothing but respect for					
my colleagues at Times Square Alliance La Fuente					
and others who have weighed in on both sides of the					
subject. We can joke about the frivolity of the					
issue but in reality these are serious issues about					
the intersection of quality of life offenses and					
first amendment freedoms. It's the sort of issue					
that law school classes are created to examine.					
There is nothing easy about this issue and every					
possible solution has flaws. So I look forward to					
the discussion today. And again I thank Council					
Member King and Chair Espinal and my colleague who					
I represent the area with Council Member Johnson					
and the members of this committee for taking this					
up, thank you.					

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you Councilman Garodnick. I'd like to call up Councilman Corey Johnson.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you Mr.

Chairman for holding this hearing today. I, I too want to thank Council Member King for taking on an incredibly difficult, contentious, complicated issue I know spending a significant amount of this year working on this, listening to all sides, working with the administration, all the stake holders involved, businesses... It has been an enormous undertaking and he deserves to be lauded for the seriousness which with, which he has taken it on with. So I wanted to thank him. I very much agree with nearly all of what Council Member Garodnick said. But some of it I believe is worth repeating. There is no dispute that currently what is happening in Times Square is unacceptable on a daily basis. Costume individuals and as my colleague said, not all of them but some of them, acting in an unacceptable way with intimidating behavior, inappropriate behavior, aggressive panhandling, and touching has made Times Square as Tim Tompkins from the Times Square Alliance likes to put it creepy and not quirky. And we are okay with quirky but not creepy. So what needs to be done. And I believe something needs to needs to be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

done. I, I should mention that three weeks ago I was in Times Square on a weekday night and I was appalled by watching a group of six costume characters separately surround three groups of people, two of which had small children with them, blocking them, aggressively panhandling, demanding money, extra money. And so the problem exists, no one's denying that it exists. The real issue here is how do we best to deal with that problem. And it is of my opinion at this Times that the best way to deal with this is not through creating a licensing and registration scheme. And my major concern with that is that I think it puts a stamp of approval on being a costume character in Times Square, not that we need to disapprove of it but I also don't think we need to say the city of New York is welcoming you to come to Times Square, dress in a costume, and, and do this. Instead I think we have to look at measures that may already be available to us like increased enforcement and also considering Times, place, and manor restrictions to limit where in Times Square costumed individuals can actually operate. So I am willing to work with Council Member King, Chair Espinal, my colleague Dan

2.2

Garodnick, and all of you on finding the best						
solution but my hope is, is, is that we actually						
come up with a solution that works and that makes a						
real difference. And at this point I am not						
entirely sure that just licensing these individuals						
is actually going to weed out the bad actors that						
currently exist and that is the ultimate goal that						
all of us share. So once we can come to some						
resolution on that I look forward to the city and						
the City Council taking further action to help						
improve Times Square for all who want to enjoy it.						
Thank you Mr. Chair for the ability to speak today.						
Thank you Council Member King and I also want to						
thank Council Member Garodnick who I've spent an						
enormous amount of Times working with this on.						

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you councilman. I'd just like to state on the record as the Chair of the committee I am a sponsor of the bill but I do take into high consideration the, the concerns that my colleagues who represent those districts have, you know I know that you guys know your district's the best so you know at the end of this hearing hopefully we can come to a conclusion, find a way we can all work together and make this

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 16					
2	bill work. So with that said I'd like to call up					
3	the first panel. From DCA we have Marla Tepper, we					
4	have Alba Pico, Inspector Edward Winski from NYPD,					
5	from NYPD Oleg Chernyavsky, Detective Ken Rice,					
6	Inspector John Hart. And I also like to recognize					
7	members of the committee. We have my colleague					
8	Vinny Gentile from Brooklyn, we have Rory Lancman					
9	from Queens who just joined us. Thank you guys for					
10	being here. Would you all raise your right hand so					
11	I can administer an oath? Do you affirm to tell th					
12	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth					
13	in your testimony before this committee and to					
14	respond honestly to council member's questions?					
15	Okay great. So just state your name before you					
16	testify. Anyone, whoever'd like to begin first.					
17	MARLA TEPPER: Marla Tepper, General					
18	Council, Department of Consumer Affairs.					
19	ALBA PICO: Alba Pico, First Deputy					
20	Commissioner at Consumer Affairs.					
21	INSPECTOR WINSKI: Inspector Edward					
22	Winski, NYPD.					
23	DEPUTY INSPECTOR HART: Deputy Inspector					
24	John Hart, NYPD.					

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 17					
2	OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Oleg Chernyavsky,					
3	NYPD.					
4	INSPECTOR WINSKI: Okay, good morning					
5	Chair Espinal and members of the council. I'm					
6	Inspector Edward Winski, the commanding officer of					
7	Midtown South Precinct. On behalf of Police					
8	Commissioner William J. Bratton I'm pleased to be					
9	here today to discuss Intro 467 which would amend					
10	the administrative code to create a licensing					
11	framework for costumed individuals who solicit in					
12	public spaces in our city. Joining me from the					
13	Department of Consumer Affairs are Deputy					
14	Commissioners Alba Pico and Marla Tepper who can					
15	answer questions that you may have. Intro 467 would					
16	enact new administrative code provisions that apply					
17	to any person wearing a costume who accepts or					
18	requests by spoken word, signs, gestures, or any					
19	other means a fee, donation, tip, payment, or any					
20	other form of compensation. The bill would create a					
21	license to be issued by the Department of Consumer					
22	Affairs and would be unlawful for any costumed					

individual to accept or solicit compensation in

interacting with the public without having first

return for posing for photos or otherwise

23

24

obtained a license. The bill also sets forth				
regulations regarding the behavior of these				
individuals including prohibiting aggressive				
solicitation, limiting the locations where the				
individuals may solicit, and prohibiting the use of				
equipment, stands, vehicles, racks, or displays.				
Over the past few years in New York City there has				
been a tremendous influx of costumed characters				
soliciting tips in exchange for posing for				
photographs especially in Times Square. As we all				
know Times Square is known as the crossroads of the				
world and it is one of our most popular tourist				
destinations. Naturally the high volume of visitors				
estimated at nearly 500 thousand pedestrians per				
day means a large number of potential customers for				
costumed individuals. A problematic aspect of this				
business however is that competition for tips and				
donations in Times Square has become very intense				
causing friction between the costume workers				
themselves which in turn leads to aggressive				
solicitation of the public. Since 2009 there have				
been 38 arrests of costumed individuals in Times				
Square by in large for aggressive solicitation.				
Alarmingly however 18 of those arrests or almost 50				

2 percent occurred this year. Most were for 3 aggressive solicitation but some were for assaults and one was even for a sexual assault. Complaints 4 by the public of criminal incidents involving 5 costume characters have been well documented in the 6 media. Notably on July 26th of this year an 7 individual dressed as Spiderman posed for a 8 photograph with a child in Times Square. The 9 child's mother attempted to hand the costumed man 10 one dollar in exchange for the pose. When the man 11 12 explained that he only took five, 10, or 20 dollar 13 bills a police officer intervened and told the 14 woman that tipping was optional. The costumed man 15 began yelling profanities at the officer and then 16 punched the officer in the head. The many was 17 apprehended a short while later by other nearby 18 officers. In response to the public outcry about some of the more aggressive behavior of costumed 19 20 individuals together with our Deputy Commissioner 2.1 of Collaborative Policing Susan Herman I have 2.2 conducted outreach with the Times Square Alliance 23 as well as held meetings with groups that have been organized to represent the costumed individuals. At 24 the meetings we explain what behavior costumed 25

We are pleased to continue the discussion of this

problem and look forward to hearing other opinions

24

individuals from following others down the street

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

21

1

ends and I think the spirit of the legislation

because the law recommends that there is an age 18

or older that the Muni ID will be proof of, of ID.

23

2	

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Right, of residency, of ID, of a name. So if someone is doing anything inappropriate and I need to report to NYPD and you know Elmo might have gone running but I know that Elmo might be, I might know Elmo's name if I look for the ID correct?

ALBA PICO: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay. Is there any or can you talk, can you walk me through how many other licensing requires fingerprints in our city?

ALBA PICO: It's about 14 license categories.

 $\label{eq:council_member_ferreras:} \mbox{ And what are } \\ \mbox{they:}$

ALBA PICO: Home improvement

contractors, home improvement sales people,

blacksmith, second hand dealer auto, second hand

dealer general, auctioneers, bingo commercial

lessers where the bingo games are, are being

played, employment agencies, electronic home

appliance service dealer because they going into

peoples' homes... home brokers, scrap metal

identification. So it, it's either or. It can be a

summons or it can be an arrest.

24

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: So if we have aggressive solicitation this bill is asking to fingerprint licenses. Where is the correlation? Because I know that we're trying to get to be more efficient from your perspective. So collecting the fingerprints and having the arrest done where do you see the link? How is this making you be more aggressive in what you do?

INSPECTOR WINSKI: I, I believe the fingerprinting is an effort to identify the individuals before they're issued a license to see their criminal background.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay. So... Okay so what, what I'm trying to get at is if we have this license that comes out and you're trying to see if there's a criminal background check, what is it, what, what acts of crime can you have had in your prior record for you to be able to be license.

ALBA PICO: Yeah so the licenses that I had, like I mention to you it depends their relationship with the crime and the, and what they're applying for. So for this one here our process is that when the fingerprints, it'll get submitted to Criminal Justice Services. About two

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: So if you end
up speaking to someone who thinks if you sold drugs

23

license basis.

clarify...

2.2

a section of this bill that states exactly what would disqualify someone. It's, it's, it mentions moral character, sex offender, or anything related to this activity. It's a 20-544 so it is in the

7 | bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Yeah I just want to have some responses on the record. Because sometimes things are written here and interpreted there very differently so I just want to make sure we're on... [cross-talk]

INSPECTOR WINSKI: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: ...the same page. But thank you very much. And I'll allow my colleagues to continue asking questions. I'll come back on the second round. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. I, I want to follow-up on licensing fee. 175 I personally believe it's a little too high especially when these people are panhandling and, and soliciting for money. It's, I think it's pretty clear they don't have that amount, that amount of money to pay for these licenses. How would, how would you compare the 175 fee to any, any other

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So it'll be 175
for two years and 75 on top of that for the
fingerprinting? Okay. Alright. I'll like to call up
Council Member King.

21

2.2

23

2.2

fee?

COUNCII

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Well I'll be, I'll

be quite brief because my question was along the fee for the licenses. I know we said 75, and I'm hearing another 75. Of course after speaking with a number of the characters that was a major, one of the major concerns. Even though they looked at the whole legislation and some of the things they were able to be okay with. But I know it's not etched in stone and there is a part in the bill that says if someone financially cannot afford the fee that it can be waived. How long does that, is that immediate, as soon as, if they bring... and what criteria is being used to waive somebody of the

ALBA PICO: It will have to be a proof of a hardship case. So sometimes people will come in with proof that they, if they have income taxes, if they have debt, if they, if they are on public assistance. You know so proof will have to be submitted so show their hardship.

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Thank you. And my final question is for NYPD. With all the bills that you already on the books for aggressive panhandling how do you think or do you think something like

city council decision that we're not going to give

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

any of that information to ICE. So even if we have access to that information we will not forward that information. We're not at all interested in the immigration status. We're interested in criminal warrants.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. I'd like to call up Councilman Dan Garodnick.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank you again Mr. Chairman and I want to thank the, the Police Department and the Consumer Affairs for being here today. I think, I want to follow-up on Council Member King's question about aggressive solicitation and the bill and how it interacts with existing law. Because the law as, as drafted it requires that there be a license. And the first component of behavior that is prohibited for a licensed individual under the bill is that you may not solicit aggressively while in a costume with a license. So I think it's really important for us to really flesh out what difference this creates for the Police Department relative to what you have the opportunity to arrest or ticket for today. So let me just go through a few things because I think that the answers to some of these will be pretty

following becomes aggressive?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay the,
what we see out there today is usually a costumed
character inviting somebody over to take a picture,
usually it's a kid who is excited to see an Elmo or
a Big Bird or whatever and they go... the picture's

describing what happens in Times Square even as we

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

sit here. Not just bills for me, bills for

everyone. Still, still okay as a request? 3

INSPECTOR WINSKI: Still okay as a

request, yes. 5

> COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. So now the person says no, no, no I'm not interested and starts to walk away. At that moment is the moment where if there's further following, arguing, complaining... that's where it crosses the line, is that correct?

INSPECTOR WINSKI: Normally at that point when we get involved with aggressive solicitation is there's some type of obstruction, whether it's a grabbing, surrounding, blocking the path. That's when it becomes aggressive solicitation.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. The examples you gave were, were troubling examples of arrests that were made but of course they were also examples of arrests where the police actually took action to, to enforce aggressive solicitation laws or maybe even other, I mean the punching of a police officer was even more significant than just aggressive solicitation but they were all examples

2 manor restrictions without a license? I think you
3 just, you answered that.

INSPECTOR WINSKI: On a case by case basis it would be and... You want to answer this a little better.

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: I mean yes we can.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Yeah...

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: But it...

simple one. I, I, you don't even need to go deeper into that, I know you can. Let's talk for a second about the license as proposed and what would practically happen out there on the street. The same example that I gave, a crowding of costumed characters around an individual, you know a family, they go to leave, somebody says hey hey hey you owe us bills. They hold them for a second or two second whatever. Let's just say it, it meets the criteria, no police officers there to see it however. The family walks away down the block and grabs the closest officer and says Elmo number, Elmo number seven, license number seven over there held me, berated me, and I want you to do something about

2.2

licensed panhandling before?

I want to drill down a little bit more on currently

correct?

2 INSPECTOR WINSKI: That's correct.

assume that given that they're spread all over and that they are costumed that it is not possible and it wouldn't even probably be a good amount of police resources to try to have your officers between midtown south and midtown north following them or being in every place where they're currently situated in Times Square, that's not really doable right now?

INSPECTOR WINSKI: No.

we as a council or as a city put some restrictions on the location of where they're able to operate... so if we said costumed individuals can operate in five locations in Times Square in between a set of lines that was marked on the ground like we do as you mentioned with first amendment vendors. Then the NYPD would know exactly where those costumed individuals were and I would assume that if they left those lines then they would be in violation of the law and it would be easier for you all to go after them because they're operating outside just

2.1

2.2

understand where they are put people in those places to watch out for bad behavior. Currently that's not really doable because they're spread all over Times Square.

INSPECTOR WINSKI: The way you're describing would be easier for us to police.

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: It would be easier to police. So... and I would imagine you know

aggressively follow someone and solicit someone

down the street. If they want to approach you after

24

and if they decided to walk away, the tourist,

INSPECTOR WINSKI: Yeah because it's,

it's, it's unclassified misdemeanor so... A violation

24

2.2

we have to witness, the unclassified misdemeanor we can make the arrest.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: But it would be hard I think for a judge to... if someone said I didn't do that it would be more difficult to actually have a finding against the person.

INSPECTOR WINSKI: I mean there are,
there are challenges just for the fact that most of
these people, the vast majority are tourists that
are visiting for a short period of time then,
they're not likely to be available for any future
court appearances so the whole case is challenging.

want to reiterate the fact that there is an issue that currently exists in Times Square. It's indisputable. It's something that we have to take care of. I am totally on board with figuring out the way to make your lives easier as law enforcement officials in taking care of this problem in a real way. But I, it's, it's my opinion that at this point I'm not sure that just creating a licensing scheme is the panacea to take care of all of this with a silver bullet. I'm not taking it off the table either. I think that if we try these

Τ	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 49
2	other things and they don't work then we should
3	look at licensing as potentially a final measure.
4	And I, I want to just state again I think there are
5	good intentions on all sides here. I don't think
6	that the Times Square Alliance or any of the
7	corporations or individuals involved, or the police
8	are looking to target low income individuals or
9	immigrants and try to hurt their wellbeing and
10	their lives. I think they're trying to find a
11	solution to an intractable problem that has existed
12	for far too long and I think all of us need to
13	figure out the best way to do that. So thank you
14	for answering my questions. Thank you for being
15	generous with the time today Mr. Chair.
16	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you
17	Councilman Corey Johnson. For housekeeping purposes
18	I'd like to recognize my colleagues who have joined
19	us. We have Robert Cornegy from Brooklyn, Karen
20	Koslowitz from Queens, and Vinny Gentile from
21	Brooklyn. I'd like to call up Councilwoman Ferreras
22	followed by Councilwoman Koslowitz.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank you
24	Chair. I just wanted to follow-up on, I know that

you... following up on a question from Council Member

flexible in our determination but we also want to

24

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 51
2	not be arbitrary so it, there may be an opportunity
3	perhaps for rule making or specific policies. In
4	that instance we would recognize that that type of
5	individual may not have the same documentation as
6	others with more traditional careers.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: No I, I think
8	that needs to be looked into much more. I mean it,
9	it kind of doesn't make sense that we're putting a
10	fee on pan handlers and then we're saying but if
11	you can prove that you're, don't have money
12	perhaps if they were in a different economic status
13	they wouldn't be panhandling. But I want to talk
14	about can you repeat the division in which
15	fingerprints are kept?
16	ALBA PICO: The Division of Criminal
17	Justice Service is a state.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: And that is a
19	state agency, not a city agency?
20	ALBA PICO: Not a city agency.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: So our ask
22	don't tell policies do not apply to the state

agency, correct, of sharing information?

2		ΑI
2		

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

LBA PICO: No. I mean it's, it's, we, the agency has what you call an MOU, a contract with the state and... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: So does the, is your, secure communities and the federal regulations that are, are enforced by the state level, does your MOU override the federal rules that are imposed by secure communities on a state level?

ALBA PICO: No. I mean we don't get, they only notify us of, of anything, arrest in New York state, it's not even a federal level law.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Right. But secure communities is something that's imposed by the, onto our state to share information of fingerprinting. So if we're collecting the data, or they're collecting the data of city residents does secure communities... The question is does secure communities over, over, have overreach on collecting this data? Because you stated that the, that the fingerprints aren't shared. I want to know... they might not be shared if you collect them here in the city but they can be shared on a state level, yes or no?

question of whether we would redact the address is

MARLA TEPPER: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: ...to the

committee on this... [cross-talk]

MARLA TEPPER: Mm-hmm.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: ...information on what you can share through a foil, secure communities question, and your fee waiver question I would greatly appreciate it.

MARLA TEPPER: We will do so.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you Council Member. I kind of want to again just point out the fingerprinting aspect. I think it's what makes me most, most uncomfortable on the bill. Is it possible to do a comprehensive background check without having fingerprints on record?

MARLA TEPPER: It, it really depends on what the purpose of the background check is. If it's to determine criminal history relative to the occupation that the person is engaging in the answer is probably no. It's, if it's for other purposes such as determining whether they perhaps owe money to other city agencies and other kinds of questions yes we could do it without a fingerprint check.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 57
2	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Alright thank you.
3	I'll like to call up Council Member Koslowitz.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Thank you. I
5	was wondering when someone gets arrested for
6	panhandling what happens to them, what do you do
7	with them?
8	INSPECTOR WINSKI: Well pan, aggressive
9	panhandling would quite often be a summons. It
10	would only turn into arrest if that person had a
11	warrant for some other violation or crime or they
12	had no identification that we could verify. So they
13	would get arrested and go, come back to the
14	precinct, fingerprints and down to central booking
15	to be processed for, you know in the courts.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: But if they
17	have no prior arrests or anything
18	INSPECTOR WINSKI: If they have no prior
19	arrests and identification they would be issued a
20	summons.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: And they
22	couldn't go back and do what they did before?
23	INSPECTOR WINSKI: Correct.

1

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: So really the

3

process... how many of the people that you have

4

arrested came up that had warrants?

5

INSPECTOR WINSKI: I don't have that

6

exact information with me. Of the 38 arrests we

7

have 11, 11 had prior arrests out of the 38 people

8

we arrested.

9

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: That 11 had

10

prior arrests?

11

INSPECTOR WINSKI: Prior arrests, but I

12

don't have the warrant history with me.

13

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Okay. I'm

14

very, I'm like perplexed in, in, in what I want to

15

do. I'm on, I'm on the bill. But when you talk

16

about licensing, licensing is great, it gives you

17 18 more control over the, the person and yet on the other hand a lot of the licenses, I was the chair

19

of Consumer Affairs in the 90s and a lot of these

20

laws we did in our committee. And what's confusing

21

for instance the street vendors. We worked very

2.2

very hard on that. And supposedly, and I'm going

23

back two other administrations, in the 90s, and

24

what happened was they formed a, a panel, a street

vendor panel to restrict the streets because they

were clogging up the streets; $5^{\rm th}$ Avenue they would
have like three vendors on a block. And all of them
were licensed, all of them were licensed and then
they gave them blocks. We, we worked very very hard
on this and we gave them where they could vend and
what blocks and there was like one on each corner
but not three on one corner. And the panel never
took hold. There was never a meeting of the panel.
So now what you have is street vendors all over the
place because nobody really watches them, and
nobody even remembers what streets are good and
what streets aren't good. So I don't know what the
right answer is. If, if someone gets arrested for
harassing someone and they go down and they get a,
a summons and then they have no record and they're
let go again then they go out and do the same
thing. What would stop them from doing the same
thing? Nothing happened. I'm very confused. I don't
know what the right answer is.

INSPECTOR WINSKI: There are some penalties involved even with a summons. I mean if you're, this bill there are, the first violation is I believe minimum 25 and maximum 50 dollar penalty. So there are penalties even to summonses.

want to thank both of you and Council Member King

for tackling a very complicated issue. And even

when we don't agree or that we disagree I have a

23

24

smiles and a great deal of joy to children and

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

visitors. And coming from and representing Bedford-Stuyvesant I'm definitely not one to knock that hustle. Seriously I have great respect for Tim Tompkins and the Times Square Alliance. I've met with him and the alliance about this bill and understand that the increase in the number of individuals posing there in a costume for tips has created some tourist confusion and concerns for the alliance. That's why I think it's so important and heartening that this proposal and the coverage of incidents in Times Square has led to increased organization among the performers themselves and dialogue between them, the alliance, and the NYPD. I believe that this dialogue and the performer's own ideas for identifying themselves have the potential to alleviate the legitimate concerns that have been raised. I don't believe that this bill is needed to keep the public safe in Times Square. The vast majority of individuals engaged in this activity are law abiding and have never been accused of wrongdoing. Moreover there are existing criminal penalties sufficient to deal with every incident of negative behavior a costumed individual has been accused of. And there is sufficient police

2 present in the Times Square area to do the observation and investigate, and investigative work 3 to identify the actual bad actors. As I read it the 4 real goal of the bill is to reduce the number of 6 individuals engaged in this activity. And this 7 reduction would be accomplished by creating a barrier of a costly license. By defining aggressive 8 solicitation in a extremely overbroad manner and by 9 creating a regime in which these individuals' 10 costumes can be summarily seized on the street 11 12 preventing them from engaging in this activity at 13 another place or time. I've been concerned about 14 the bill including distinctions it would draw 15 between individuals who pose in full costume and 16 others whose faces are visible. But I'll leave 17 those points and the constitutional arguments to 18 other witnesses and finish by saying this. My guiding principle as the Chair of Small Business 19 20 Community has been the Hippocratic oath; first, do 2.1 no harm. I think we all want to be part of a 2.2 council that can take credit for uplifting those 23 who have been disadvantaged in the tale of two cities. Certainly I do not want to be part of a 24 council that takes affirmative steps to implement 25

ALBA PICO: Not pursue it.

experienced it directly with my, with my own

family. Which is not to say of course that all of
the costumed characters engage in misconduct or
inappropriate behavior but that's not the standard
that we apply when we're licensing an industry or,
or a trade. We don't wait for all the individuals
engaged in that trade or profession to engage in
misconduct before we decide that it's appropriate
to, to regulate. Let me just ask you some, some
questions to clarify. As, as I understand it the
legislation does not change or alter, or, or
further criminalize behavior beyond what already
exists in the aggressive solicitation law? Am I
correct? And the only thing that we're doing well
we're doing a number of things in this legislation.
But only thing that we're doing in terms of the
conduct of the costumed individuals, the licensed
individuals is certain time place locations, the
proximity to sidewalk or other businesses or subway
station, etcetera, is that correct?

INSPECTOR WINSKI: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay. I, I want to follow-up on something that Council Member

Johnson raised. I did note in the, in the bill that with all the, the location restrictions they're all

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

relative based on certain number of feet or distance to some other location. So it might be 20 feet from the, the door of a, of a store, 12 foot wide sidewalks, etcetera. And I can see the difficulty that both the costumed characters would have in figuring out where they should be standing as well as the police officers who would have to be walking around with a tape measure which I, might be part of... [cross-talk] their standard... [crosstalk] yeah. But just, just to clarify do, do you think that it would be better in terms of everybody understanding where they can be and where they cannot be, both law enforcement and the costume characters if rather than limit their locations based on certain number of distance, certain distance from, from certain spots to you know here are the, Council Member Johnson I think mentioned an eight by, eight by 10 box... you know I want to give them a little more room than that but here are the places where you can, you can stand and, and do this work. Because that's what we're talking about, work.

INSPECTOR WINSKI: Yes you know it's not part of this bill and, and the restrictions in this

Affairs looking at structuring the, the, the

1 COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 69 2 location restrictions in a, in a, in a different 3 way. 4 INSPECTOR WINSKI: That's something we'll take back. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Yeah, take back 6 7 and, and look at it. Now I just want to ask you something that is intuitive to me but you as a law 8 enforcement professional will tell me is, is true 9 or, or not. It seems to me that when individuals 10 are costumed when their appearance is concealed, 11 12 when they know that they cannot be easily 13 identified. Just as, as, in terms of human nature 14 and in the law enforcement contacts it's more 15 likely that they are going to engage in, in 16 misconduct or inappropriate behavior? 17 INSPECTOR WINSKI: I, I wouldn't say 18 that. I mean I, I think we've all agreed that the vast majority of these costume characters are law 19 20 abiding so I wouldn't agree with that. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Well let me ask 2.2 you this. One of the, the, the parts of the bill

that I think is most... you want to expand on that.

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 [cross-talk]

INSPECTOR WINSKI: He was saying if someone's already inclined to engage in criminal behavior they may be more inclined with a mask on.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: They may be more inclined with a mask on, okay. One of the most important parts of the legislation as I, as I read it is the display of some identification so that if a parent wants to grab a police offer and say hey Elmo over there did X, Y, and Z we know which Elmo that they're talking about. Is, is, and this might be a more appropriate question for Consumer Affairs I know some of my colleagues have concerns about, about licensing. I don't necessarily share them, but is there a way to require the characters, particularly the concealed characters to wear identifying, something that identifies them either by number or name or something so that if something happens the police know, okay we're looking for Joe Smith, or we're looking for Elmo 362?

ALBA PICO: I mean it's actually why, we license to general vendors and we issue them an ID and ID has a photograph and... identifying information to make sure that they don't pass...

the individuals who are engaging in this business,

and it is a business, it is a commercial activity

24

about it as testimony progresses today is at least

a proposal on a self-regulatory scheme which would have identification in conjunction with the police department, background checks, etcetera. So I think... something we should talk about further. But it's clearly not something that we can require by law today. I think that the testimony here is right. So my, my last question is really a legal question. And I'm confused about a fundamental issue here. We can't, as a city or as a council, require that just panhandling in New York requires a license, can we?

ALBA PICO: The, I think the answer to that is no unless it's panhandling as my colleagues here as my colleagues here have described it with another type of illegal conduct.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay well wait a second.

ALBA PICO: It's...

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: So pan, so panhandling... if we said, if, if, if, if someone were to introduce a bill to say look there's a lot of panhandling going on out there and sometimes it's aggressive and sometimes it's not aggressive but because there's so much panhandling we want as

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2.2

ALBA PICO: So I think that that's, that's kind of the, the issue that we're dealing with.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay so let's just say that we wanted to, to license panhandlers and allow for additional summonsable offences for aggressive behavior, no costumes, just panhandling. Into the mic please.

OLEG CHERNYAVSKY: Pardon me Councilman.

I think, I think the first amendment ramifications would have to be weighed prior to I guess enacting something or drafting something like that. There's existing case law that found I believe the laundering for the purpose of begging unconstitutional in and of itself. So I think we would have to, or whoever's drafting the legislation would have to look at that case law and where that brings you to determine if you can in fact license somebody solely for loitering for the purpose of begging or soliciting for, just for that purpose.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Right I, I think that's, I think that's right. Whether anybody agrees with it or not is a different story but I

talking about identification and being able to have

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

76

1

COUNCIL MEMBER KING: Okay, alright, thank you. And thank you all for your testimony this morning.

2.2

23

that is engaging commercial activity?

ALBA PICO: Yes.

24

2 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: That's all it

3 is. Alright, thanks.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Thank
you for your testimonies. I'd like to call up Jim
Caras from the Manhattan's Borough President's
Office. Jim whenever you're ready just turn the mic
on and state your name for the record.

JIM CARAS: Is this ... okay. Good morning Chair Espinal and members of the Consumer Affairs Committee. My name is Jim Caras and I'm General Council and Land Use Director for Manhattan Borough President Gale A. Brewer. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today on Intro number 467 in the subject of the licensing of solicitation by costumed individuals. The borough president believes that the proliferation in Manhattan and especially in the Times Square area of unregulated costumed individuals soliciting money in return for photographs is a continuing problem that needs to be addressed. Legislation, licensing, or registering these costumed characters should be a tool in addressing this phenomenon so that good actors can operate in a better environment and bad actors can be identified and the public can be

2	protected from them. By now we have all become
3	familiar with the stories of harassment of and
4	aggressive and inappropriate behavior toward
5	pedestrian shoppers and visitors to the Times
6	Square area by costumed characters attempting to
7	solicit money in return for posing for a
8	photograph. Two key factors make this phenomena
9	more worthy of attention; first the behavior is
10	targeted toward children, and second the
11	character's faces are obscured by masks or heads
12	making identification difficult if not impossible.
13	That is why the borough president applauds the
14	efforts of Council Member King and this committee
15	for furthering this important discussion. We think
16	legislation requiring registration or licensing
17	should adhere to the following guidelines. First it
18	should provide that costumed characters display a
19	license or registration with identifying
20	information at all times while working. Second it
21	should provide for a criminal background check to
22	prevent predators or those with a history of
23	violence from engaging in this type of costume
24	solicitation. Third, it should contain restrictions
25	on aggressive behavior similar to those found in

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the aggressive solicitation laws as a condition of and as violations for any license or registration. Fourth, the license should have a minimal or nominal cost or the registration and a quick turnaround time so as not to unduly burden those who engage in this activity and a provision such as that contained in Intro 467 for waiving the fee in cases of hardship. We envision something where the license and registration would, the fee would essentially cover the cost of actually printing and producing the license, a more nominal fee. And fifth, the licensing or registration scheme should contain only minimal and necessary locational restrictions in order to ensure easier and more uniform enforcement and avoid onerous regulation that may be difficult for the persons engaged in this activity to understand and follow. Recognizing that many of the costumed characters may be immigrants the borough president also applauds the provision contained in Council Member King's legislation which would preclude inquiry into an individual citizenship or immigration status. Finally the borough president does not believe that any legislation can be a magic bullet to complex

heard? Okay. Hi everyone... yeah, okay.

for the tips, and part of it is an emotional

reaction because I've had cameras in my face and

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

people demanding pictures. There's a flip side. I'm sorry that some of the costumed characters might have gotten out of line, I do not. I'm polite to everyone. But I have a right to dress the way I want to, okay. And, and a lot of times I will say, I will ask somebody when they have a camera right in my face, do you tip? And if they say no I say I hold up my hand, I will not take pictures. That is my right to do so and they become abusive. The, a, I make, I love people, especially children. I entertain them all over the city. I treat everyone with respect. But if you're in a costume a lot of times you are subject to abuse, okay. Maybe some of the costumed characters are out of line. This, I, New York City 2015 this straight up seems like fascism to me. And I'm not trying to be the Joker, be funny, okay. I mean the first amendment is the first amendment. Nobody, anybody should have the right with the first amendment to, to say, to, yes I'll only take a picture if you'll tip. Okay, and there should not be restrictions on areas or locations. You, what the first amendment is not allowed. And if I go into an area and I'm looking like this, what I'm not going to be allowed on 52^{nd}

2 Street and Broadway in the United States of America in nearly the 2015? And you could say well no maybe 3 we'll allow you in, you just can't ask for tips. A 4 5 lot of times tips are the only way to stop 6 harassment. What I mean by that is I have cameras 7 in my face, people demanding pictures, they put their arms around me okay, and the only way I say 8 will you tip it's, it's a first amendment thing. 9 It's not about the money. Okay I'm, I'm sounding 10 emotional now. I might clownish and everything but 11 12 I'm speaking from the heart. Because I believe in 13 the first amendment, I deplore discrimination. I am 14 a clown because I love people, especially children 15 all over the city. I'm now known as the New York 16 Joker. I'm, I'm going to have my own show on 17 YouTube, it's actually probably this week or next 18 week, that's what people can see. Okay but the first amendment should apply to everyone. Nobody 19 20 should have a right to say that, that because I like to dress differently which entertains numerous 21 2.2 people that if somebody's going to put their arm 23 around me, put a camera in my face that, and the 24 only way I can get them away from me is putting my hand up and saying tips or no pictures and a lot of 25

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 86
2	times that works. I am speaking from the heart. A
3	lot of what I heard today and maybe the,
4	professionally this isn't good for me but this is
5	outrageous. [beeping] I guess, is that my time?
6	Thank you very much. I hope everyone has a pleasant
7	day and I really appreciate your allowing me to
8	speak.
9	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you for
10	your, for your emotional testimony. Appreciate it.
11	KIETH ALBAHAY: Okay, thank you.
12	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR: My name is Yamill Marolos
14	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
15	TRANSLATOR: Thank you very much to
16	[cross-talk]
17	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
18	TRANSLATOR: More than three months ago
19	I sought assistance because I didn't know what to
20	do with the problem of being harassed in Times
21	Square by the NYPD.
22	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
23	TRANSLATOR: In less than a week we were
24	able to form the New York City Artists United for a
25	Smile. We started as 40, we went to 150, and now we

YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 89
2	and shut you down. In this case they're using
3	legislators in order to protect those interests.
4	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
5	TRANSLATOR: So I do oppose anything
6	that's going to limit my right and my freedoms in a
7	country where I came to actually avoid that kind of
8	decriminalization. [speaking Spanish]
9	[cross-talk]
10	[background conversation]
11	ALBERTA GERRA: [speaking Spanish]
12	TRANSLATOR: My name is Alberta Gerra. I
13	am a single mother of [speaking Spanish] three,
14	three children and I am a member of the New York
15	City Artists United for a Smile.
16	ALBERTA GERRA: [speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: I, I enjoy being in Times
18	Square. I think it's, it's kind. Some of the young
19	people come running up to me, little babies, small
20	children run up to me and, and hug me. It's a nice
21	feeling, I enjoy it. And some of them do leave
22	tips.
23	ALBERTA GERRA: [speaking Spanish]

problem. Usually to, some tourists give, some of

them don't. If they have they, you know they give,

24

and ask...

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 92
2	THERESA GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish]
3	TRANSLATOR: I do expect respect from
4	the authorities and ask for your support for all
5	the regulation because I'm am a honest person and I
6	don't want to be criminalized under this law.
7	THERESA GOMEZ: [speaking Spanish]
8	TRANSLATOR: That's all and thank you
9	for your attention.
10	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
11	TRANSLATOR: My name is
12	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR: My name is Jorje Veliz and
14	I am always looking for self, super, you know, to,
15	to make myself better and I am an artist in Times
16	Square.
17	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
18	TRANSLATOR: So I go to Times Square in
19	order to be recognized for my art. I design my own
20	costumes, I am also a cook.
21	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
22	TRANSLATOR: Mexican, Portuguese, and
23	Ecuadorian food.
24	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]

JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]

to support our brothers and sisters who work and

wear costumes and work next to us in the street.

From 13 years of experience we know that NYPD

23

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

officers will use every chance they get to harass, ticket, evict, and arrest self-employed people who make their living in public space. The police department conduct strict enforcement against vendors every day whether or not there are any complaints for conduct as harmless as keeping your license in your pocket instead of wearing it conspicuously at all times as this bill will now require costumed characters to do. This type of honest mistake will be punished by a fine as high as 500 dollars. Even if they are not guilty there is little our members can do to avoid these penalties. It is their word against the officer's. Intro 467 has more things wrong with it than I can list. The definitions of costume and solicit are vague and they may not pass constitutional muster. The bill gives officer full discretion to determine what type of solicitation is aggressive. It's language is modeled after the most notorious criminal statute on the books, disorderly conduct which the police use to sweep up homeless people, LGBT youth, street musicians, and many other powerless groups. The licensing provision may violate the first amendment. It certainly offends

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

our city's reputation as a welcoming place for immigrants including those who are undocumented. Apart from the blanket statement that immigration status shall not be used for licensing the bill contains nothing to safeguard an individual's private information. Personal data can be obtained by federal agencies or large corporations that seek to remove costumed characters from the streets or worse. While getting a license may sound easy we know that often it is not. Under this bill a license may be withheld for unanswered summonses. But often the Environmental Control Board does not allow a person to answer a summons once they have missed a hearing date even if that absence is due to a valid reason like a sick child. And it gets worse. We have had members who lose their wallet or have it stolen and often they must spend a month waiting for DCA to send them a replacement. In the meantime they cannot work. They cannot pay their rent. They cannot support their families. Intro 467's location restrictions are copied from the vending rules that we know very well. In this context they often make no sense. After all costumed characters do not have tables or carts

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

that might block the public thoroughfare as venders are often accused of doing. In addition the rules are poorly drafted. For example no costumed character shall lean against the side of a building or sit on a park bench. No license holder whether or not they are even in costume can stand within 20 feet of any building entrance. It is clear that costumed characters and their advocates did not participate in this bill's drafting. So why is Intro 467 being proposed? We know that some of the richest and most powerful corporations in the city have waged a campaign to get the costumed characters removed. It has gone on for many years. You will hear from some of them today. We often fight against these same groups who feel that they have the right to say who is allowed in our streets and public spaces. Please do not do the bidding of these media moguls and real estate executives. We know that there have been a few times when costumed characters misbehaved. We believe that some of these cases have been exaggerated by the corporate media. [beeping] That is not... just a few more seconds. That is not to excuse bad behavior. Anyone who commits a crime should be punished for it and

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 98
2	they have been. Times Square is one of the most
3	highly policed areas in the city if not the world.
4	The NYPD should be encouraged to enforce existing
5	laws equally against all people who commit crimes.
6	To the extent they are doing so we commend them.
7	New legislation is not the answer. We should
8	explore ways for police and the costumed characters
9	to work together to keep Times Square safe for
10	everyone. The Street Vendor Project stands ready to

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you Matt. We have Steve Mercier.

assist. Thank you very much.

2.2

STEVE MERCIER: Hi, good morning. My name's Steve Mercier and I'm a member of New York City Artists United for a Smile. Over 12 years ago I came to Times Square from Saratoga Springs, New York as a means to follow my dreams of being a street performer. I've been performing as the Big Apple for over 10 years in Times Square. Let me be outright and say that I don't understand with so many laws on the books that caught all the bad apples which is, which is why we don't need new ones that will criminalize the good bunch. A few years ago it was reported in several outlets that

2 then Mayor Bloomberg and the City Council were 3 looking to impose a series of sidewalk regulations that including ticketing people for walking too 4 slow or walking within a massive group. Thankfully common sense prevailed and the city realized that 6 there were already laws on the books to deal with these issues of pedestrian traffic. Hopefully after 8 today's hearing everybody will agree that this 9 proposed legislation is unnecessary licensing 10 11 scheme and just another classic case of 12 overregulation. If we went by the logic that our 13 city needs new regulation every time something bad 14 occurs then we need dozens of regulations 15 pertaining to, our, to just our elected officials. 16 How many cases of bribery, laude behavior with 17 young interns, or even obstruction of justice have there been within the last five years. Just as 18 there are laws on the books to handle their 19 20 inappropriate behavior there are laws that already deal with performers who make poor choices. If the 2.1 2.2 current regulation works then, then why now are we 23 in need of new regulation. Given the fact that in the news recently there has been much regarding how 24 the NYPD comports itself. Why is the council not 25

Τ	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 100
2	actively seeking means to implement body cameras?
3	We are not as blind to realize that this regulation
4	is being pushed by the Times Square Alliance, an
5	organization that feels as if we are a threat to
6	their associates. This has led to current
7	legislation which was created with the consultation
8	of the NYPD, Mayor's Office, and Times Square
9	Alliance. The only people who were left out were
LO	the performers who could have easily told you that
L1	a day in the life of, of what the day in the life
L2	of a performer is, how it is to interact in our
L3	space. According to Councilman King the bill is
L 4	meant to be a means to ensure everyone plays by a
L5	set of rules that only he knows. The truth is if,
L 6	what we are looking for is a person to act in a
L7	manner where they have morals and dignity and there
L8	is no fee that can afford anyone that. [beeping]
L 9	Just a couple of more sentences. That must come
20	from the individuals themselves. All this proposed
21	measure does is, is bring the city 175 dollars per
22	performer in revenue. And guess what that, the bad
23	apples will still be rotten. The only difference is
24	they will now have a city issued license to

continue with the behavior. Please consider this

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2 23

24

25

before deciding if you're going to move this bill out of committee. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you guys. I know some of my colleagues have questions for the panel. So I'm not sure if, if previous people who testified would like to come back up to answer those questions. I'd like to call, call up on Dan Garodnick who has a few questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank you very much. And let me just start off by saying that I understand that the characters out there oppose anything that may limit rights or freedoms but it does not at least from what I have heard so far, there does not seem to be a recognition of the fact that we have a problem in Times Square or any prescription of where we should... The idea that what we're seeing is a manufactured attempt by powerful corporations, real estate executives, and the corporate media I think unfairly distracts from what is a legitimate problem in Times Square. So I want to get to that legitimate problem. And it may not be a problem caused by anybody who's testifying today. We accept that. We've met with you guys. We've enjoyed our conversations. It's all good. But

25 COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay.

STEVE MERCIER: I believe it should be

based on a case by case basis. There's a high

24

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 104
2	presence of NYPD out there. There's, there's video
3	surveillance cameras in Times Square. Times Square
4	has their own people that patrol Times Square. If
5	someone acts up bad there's laws in place. There is
6	I don't know how many laws that NYPD has to
7	enforce. They have a tough job. I'm sure there's
8	thousands of laws. One time that I got ticketed an
9	officer brought a stack of papers like this thick
10	and was going through he was going to arraign me
11	so there's, the laws are out there. And basically
12	I'm just take it on a base by case basis. And then
13	actually I do have a license that's issued by the
14	state. And I've had it for 10 years so I'm not sure
15	why they're trying to come up with some new
16	licensing scheme which I already have a license
17	that's provided by the state.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Your license
19	is which?
20	STEVE MERCIER: It's a show and
21	entertainment vendor's license.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Most costumed
23	characters in Times Square today do not have that

license, is that correct?

category. Self-regulation. Tell us about how that

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

106

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 107
2	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
3	TRANSLATOR: So I'm not a delinquent. I
4	just get up every morning and I put on makeup.
5	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
6	TRANSLATOR: To get a, a tip, a
7	voluntary tip of, that of which the tourist wants
8	to give me
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Go ahead.
10	TRANSLATOR: [speaking Spanish]
11	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
12	TRANSLATOR: So that's why we created
13	the auto regulation system to work with the NYPD in
14	order for it not to just be negative consequences
15	towards us.
16	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: Andy King requesting
18	identification recreated an identification [cross-
19	talk]
20	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
21	TRANSLATOR: Set of rules, we've created
22	a set of rules for us to act in Times Square.
23	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
24	TRANSLATOR: [speaking Spanish]
25	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 108
2	TRANSLATOR: So the council members of
3	which I respect and esteem very much should
4	actually help us find alternatives.
5	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
6	TRANSLATOR: And the rules that we've
7	created are
8	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: [speaking
10	Spanish] down through the whole rules? Yeah can,
11	can we have a copy for the
12	TRANSLATOR: So we can
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK:just look
14	at?
15	TRANSLATOR: [speaking Spanish]you
16	can We have copies you can get.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: And these are
18	in place today?
19	TRANSLATOR: [speaking Spanish] So he
20	has translation equipment so… [cross-talk] Yes
21	because there's a delay. I'm not translating he
22	has… [cross-talk]
23	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Let's, let's,
24	let's, let's stop with the cross, with the cross
25	conversations, the cross arguments. [cross-talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 109
2	TRANSLATOR: He has his own the
3	translator's over there. He has equipment.
4	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
5	TRANSLATOR: So the… [speaking Spanish]
6	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
7	TRANSLATOR: So we give the policy, we
8	give actually the policies to the NYPD so they
9	could see what it is that we were creating.
10	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
11	TRANSLATOR: The, the day that we had
12	the meeting with the police… [cross-talk]
13	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
14	TRANSLATOR:the organization La
15	Fuente.
16	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: So since that meeting they
18	haven't reached back out to us. They had certain
19	things that they needed to follow up on. And you
20	know that's an indication that they don't want to
21	work with us, they just want to impose… [cross-
22	talk]
23	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
24	TRANSLATOR: So we've tried to look for
25	all kinds of alternatives to work with them but you

2 know they just want to strip us of our rights...

3 [cross-talk]

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay let, okay put, put aside the, the, the attribution of what the police want to do here. I just, much like some of the other stuff I just don't think that that's, that's too helpful in the conversation. But one of the, the things that confuses me is that if you are already act, acting within a system of self-regulation it's not working yet. The problems exist still. So we need to either, together embrace a system where everyone agrees as to what the principals are and have background checks and agreed upon identification or we need more clarity on our different options here. Do you, tell us where it stands. Because you know we know, I've seen some of the characters have those IDs on them which surprised me a little bit because I didn't know that anything was in the works but it sounds like you all have proceeded along that path. But where do things stand?

LUCIA GOMEZ: So just for the record my name I Lucia last name is Gomez. I'm the executive director of La Fuente. One of key priorities is to

2.2

ensure that the individuals... themselves develop their own leadership and are able to actually create whatever it is that you've been hearing that they've been developing. With the intentions that tomorrow I am no longer the executive director of La Fuente my priorities are no longer helping the characters develop and I move on and they need to continue to be able to do this on their own so hence why I did not testify today. But I am happy to put some clarifying points on these things.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARONDICK: Please.

just made them because they wanted them. A lot of the characters wanted to say well listen if you don't know who I am I'm happy to tell you who I am. So we made these in house at the office. We have a membership system within the organization that you know we've tried to model behind the municipal IDs component. So a lot of them have brought their foreign passports. A lot of them have bought, brought their existing New York state licenses.

They all us to make copies of them. We keep them in a secure system where we manage all of our member's database information. That's how we function as an

25

2 institution like any other membership based institution. The intentions of doing this was 3 because they asked for it. They wanted to be on the 4 clear. They wanted the NYPD to know that they wanted to work with them. It wasn't because they 6 7 were trying to hide but they wanted to feel trusting, that this was for their best intentions 8 right. And so when this happened in August where 9 people rumored legislation and then the flyers 10 started being handed out. And then you know there 11 12 were altercations with the police a couple of 13 instances because the police would place the flier 14 in front of the interaction with the tourist even 15 though the behavior was not illegal. A month later, 16 and they were just starting up. They don't know each other. Some of them do know each other from 17 18 their countries of origin or from the places in which they live but these are a bunch of 19 20 individuals; some of them limited English proficient, some of them US born, some of them 21 2.2 fully fluent in the English language. There's 23 differences. The way in which everyone, anyone can come to Times Square, anyone. I've seen over 200 of 24

them, I haven't seen them all. I can't say that I

businesses that really want to have an impact, use

your money and put it where it works. Put it to

24

2.2

actually create a system and the entire country, and the entire nation, and the entire you know universe is looking at this as New York City can you come up with a formula. Hollywood's looking straight at us. I've gotten calls from Seattle, Washington. I've gotten calls from Nevada telling me I fought this in court, I fought this in court, this is the legislation so...

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Can you, can you, can you wrap up your comments?

LUCIA GOMEZ: Yeah, so my point is use what you have at your disposal right now. Use at, this information and come up with a, come up with a system collectively. Let's sit down and we'll have a real conversation on how to meet your goals without necessarily entrumping [sic] on peoples' rights.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay. I, I just... but there's, there's two, two more things that I have to ask and we can do this briefly. But one is in a voluntary system would you be willing to undergo background checks with the police department on a voluntary basis?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LUCIA GOMEZ: So the question that we have out there to our legal experts is whether or not a private background check that they can present the information would necessarily go through the state department's communications with under secure communities. We've been fighting against the cooperation with ICE under certain basic civil penalties, any cooperation, any fingerprints that could trigger some kind of investigation on an individual that has not committed a crime outside of entering the country illegally which is a civil crime, not a crime, it's a civil issue within the courts. We're concerned that anything that requires them to do fingerprints that can have that kind of repercussion and deportation issue is really something that we're not willing to entertain.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: So is it fair to say that you're, you're willing to do background checks but the concern is about the public nature of the background check? Is that a, is that what you're... [cross-talk]

LUCIA GOMEZ: The concern is the precedent that's being set to create background

2	checks on a multitude of diversity amongst the
3	individuals that a lot of them have said I'll do my
4	background check, I have nothing to fear. A lot of
5	them have said that. And some of the major concerns
6	behind that is that well you also don't know all
7	the repercussions right? I mean the DCA didn't
8	recognize that you know the state has an obligation
9	to share that information with ICE, homeland
LO	security. They have an obligation. And something as
L1	simple as you know being in this country for the
L2	last 25 years some legal permanent residents who
L3	don't realize that 1980 you know previous crimes
L 4	can trigger a deportation order is of a major issue
L5	to us and should be a major issue to… [cross-talk]
L6	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: I think that
L7	they, they're going to respond back to Council
L8	Member Ferreras in this committee because that was
L9	a question that she asked. And the last question is
20	in a, in a system where not everyone is a
21	participant, and by definition in a voluntary not
22	everyone will be how, how can we feel any level of
23	confidence that the behaviors that you have on the

sheet, the principals of self-regulation would,

would dominate and that the public would feel
safer?

you educate the consumer about these things one of
the recommendations that we had is you know you can
actually create some kind of involuntary seal of

LUCIA GOMEZ: So one of, the same way as

approval, the way in which you put grade A, grade B, grade C on restaurants. Perhaps if the city council entertains it, the Times Square Alliance entertains it with the same kind of signs you can put out and say these individuals have voluntarily chosen to do X, Y, and Z whichever those parameters are. And people at that point can be educated to say take a picture with those we know for a fact, but the consumer also has a right to say I don't care if they have a sign or not. You know I, they have the opportunity to not take a picture with

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank you very much.

hasn't passed those parameters.

certain individuals if they feel that that person

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. We have Councilman King. Let's just be mindful of our time.

2.2

sense? Is, is the intent or anything helpful or, or just strictly no?

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

STEVE MERCIER: I wouldn't say no to everything but I mean like I said I don't believe that there's a, a huge, like you're trying to emphasize there's a huge problem in Times Square. I've been there for 12 years performing in Times

created by them you know based on what they saw to

be a problem amongst themselves you know. One of
the things that are outside of those parameters is
something that they're still up in the air about is
whether or not two or three characters coming
together for a picture, or five you know hovering
over someone could constitute some type of
aggressive solicitation or something that they need
to backpedal on. But the fact is that they created
those regulations themselves based on the problems
that they saw, on the experiences that they've had.
Eliminating competition is probably one of the
primary things that I hear all the time. So one of
the things that a lot of them have said, especially
those that have been there for a very long time, is
that if you create a license and that you can limit
the number of licenses they're all for it,
especially if it means you're going to limit how
many people have that opportunity to go out there
in the street. So there's a, there's a back and
forth between the pros and cons of being able to
put out a license that then you could limit the
number of people for the simple reason that it's
sort of like a way of like diminishing competition

1

3

4

5

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

17

19

20

2.1

2.2 23

24

25

amongst the characters that may or may not be a violation of their rights.

MATT SHAPIRO: One further response. Whether or not there's a limit on the licenses or not the regulations in place which were copied from the vending regulations established de facto limits on the number of places where these characters would be able to do business. I mean 20 feet from any door entrance, count the number of door entrances in Times Square and see if there's any room left for characters to do. Characters must about the curb... you know with all the other construction and all the other things that happen in Times Square there'll be extremely little space left just as there has been for vendors. Extremely little space left for these people to be there.

LUCIA GOMEZ: And just as a final point on this all the characters have to do is take off their masks, wear a different costume that is not a mask costume and, and they won't be required a license. It doesn't, it's not going to diminish how many people are there it's just going to diminish, diminish the nature in which they're there. And you know if that means that Elmo and the Elmo company

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 122
2	or the Marvel Company is happier because their
3	characters are not out there that doesn't diminish
4	the number of people. That doesn't diminish the,
5	the solicitation behavior. It's just going to
6	create a different kind of economy and a different
7	kind of situation.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER KING: alright thank you.
9	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
10	TRANSLATOR: I recommend the following
11	if you really want to fix the, the issue of artists
12	in New York.
13	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
14	TRANSLATOR: Be more in line with the
15	priorities that you put out.
16	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
17	TRANSLATOR: Create a better mechanism
18	to understand what the better ways are.
19	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
20	TRANSLATOR: Look across the country to
21	identify places where these have actually been good
22	results.
23	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 123
2	TRANSLATOR: Don't just react and,
3	without understanding what the implications are of
4	your actions.
5	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
6	TRANSLATOR: If there aren't good
7	examples, better, and you can be pioneers.
8	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
9	TRANSLATOR: There's a lot of
10	universities and places of study that will [cross-
11	talk]
12	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR:to help you find a
14	solution.
15	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
16	TRANSLATOR: Ask these companies to use
17	their resources for the right.
18	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
19	TRANSLATOR: Not only to impose a wrong
20	so they can continue to make money.
21	JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]
22	TRANSLATOR: Let's try to create a
23	voluntary situation
24	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: [speaking Spanish]

I'd like to call up Council Member Lancman.

JORJE VILKUS: [speaking Spanish]

questioning the wisdom of the business. You know

I'm just wondering how many folks are out there ...

24

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Mr. Apple I don't know what you do and when you're out there but I don't know what percentage of folks are out there expressing themselves artistically. And then let's be candid with each other what number of folks are out there making a living. And it's fine to make... [cross-talk] living but...

STEVE MERCIER: I'm not sure about the percentages but I want to, but I mean I would have to say, I would have to think that most are trying to make a living out there. But I don't know what the percentage would be... both too.

LUCIA GOMEZ: Yeah I, I mean I would definitely feel a little split by that only because the ones that have been consistent in coming to the organization are pretty much because this is what they love to do. Another group doesn't show up because they're not as interested because they only go there part time. They only do... But the ones at least that have been consistent is about 75 of them, so I would say anywhere between 40 to 50 percent if not a little bit more I would say are doing this for the love of the, of the, of what they're actually doing. And they really get into it and they're like artists.

1	
2	

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: But I love what I'm doing but I get paid for it. So I just want to make that, that distinction.

LUCIA GOMEZ: You have that capacity.

You have the capability of, of putting yourself in the position to do that. Not everyone does.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Yeah I understand but that's not the question. So of the, the 75 people who I guess regularly show up what percentage of them whether they love what they're doing or not... [cross-talk]

LUCIA GOMEZ: Oh all, everyone who shows up, the 75 that continues to show up to our organization are doing it for the love of the art.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And are they making money, are they, is this how they support themselves?

LUCIA GOMEZ: I don't, I can't, I can tell you voluntary, give and take, a dollar, two dollars, if people actually give it to them I can constitute as making money. I think you would recognize if you're going to go out there every single day asking people give it to you or don't.

LUCIA GOMEZ: ...yeah...

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 129
2	[laughing]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And now sir,
4	I'm sorry I don't, I don't remember your name.
5	YAMILL MAROLOS: Yamill.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: What is it?
7	YAMILL MAROLOS: Yamill.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Yamill. Can you
9	just describe for us briefly what kinds of
10	harassment or, or hassling the, the cops do with
11	you? How have they harassed you? Of what kind of
12	things?
13	YAMILL MAROLOS: Yeah.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: You can go next
15	Mr
16	STEVE MERCIER: Alright cool. [cross-
17	talk]
18	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
19	TRANSLATOR: So just to something
20	that's usually not an anomaly I was in Times Square
21	and an individual you know took a picture with me
22	and they asked me… [speaking Spanish] said he was
23	going to… [speaking Spanish]
24	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 130
2	TRANSLATOR: Oh I took a picture of a
3	bunch of police officers that was with an
4	individual.
5	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
6	TRANSLATOR: Okay.
7	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
8	TRANSLATOR: So the individual who
9	followed me was the individual who was talking to
10	the police and he indicated that he was going to
11	kill me.
12	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR: I went to the, the police
14	that was nearest
15	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
16	TRANSLATOR: Because I don't speak
17	English, my friend who works with me, Batman, he
18	came with me.
19	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
20	TRANSLATOR: And I explained the issue
21	to the Sergeant that was there.
22	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
23	TRANSLATOR: And the police officer only
24	said that it was harassment

YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 131
2	TRANSLATOR:and that it wasn't a
3	crime.
4	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
5	TRANSLATOR: When they started to hand
6	out the fliers
7	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
8	TRANSLATOR: When they started handing
9	out the fliers the police officers in the middle of
10	a conversation with a tourist would hand out fliers
11	saying that the pictures were free.
12	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR: I have understanding nobody
14	in the world works for free.
15	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
16	TRASLATOR: That's my point.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: That's one of
18	my points as well. Thank you.
19	STEVE MERCIER: This might take a while
20	but I'll try to shorten it down [cross-talk]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: You got one
22	minute.
23	STEVE MERCIER: Okay. I just, I'll just
24	refer back to the 2011 incident. There's a video on
25	YouTube, 'Big Apple Gets Arrested in Times Square'.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 132
2	If anyone wants to look it up this is pretty much
3	how the NYPD treats the performers in Times Square.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: What were you
5	arrested for?
6	STEVE MERCIER: There's, I had two pink
7	tickets, I had a few officers that came up to me
8	and issued me I think blocking traffic, pedestrian
9	traffic, invenning [sic] on a grate. And then he
10	asked me if I was going to leave and I just asked a
11	question, why is the Naked, the Naked Cowboy able
12	to perform every day here and then they came back
13	about 15 minutes later and gave me three more pink
14	tickets. And then they asked me if I was going to
15	leave. I'm like I'm trying to pay my bills and
16	[cross-talk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Let me [cross-
18	talk]
19	STEVE MERCIER:five minutes later I
20	got tackled in the middle of Times Square and so
21	[cross-talk]
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Got it. So let
23	me, so let me ask this question… [cross-talk]
24	STEVE MERCIER:all kinds of stuff.

question to, to, to both of you. Wouldn't it be, it be easier for you in being able to go out and, and do what you do whether you do it solely for the, for the art or you do it for income or some combination if there were clear guidelines in law about where you could stand, where you couldn't stand, where, what you could block, what you couldn't block that was part of official city guidelines overseen by the Department of Consumer Affairs? Wouldn't that make your day to day life easier?

I think it would just... they would just add more and more to the licensing, when you can come out and work, where you can work... just etcetera etcetera. It would be, it would just, it would continually constrict what you can do out there I would think.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: But wouldn't it at least provide a bright line... I'm on this side of the street, I'm at this location, I'm good, and I'm, I'm on that side of the line, I'm on that location I'm, I'm not good?

STEVE MERCIER: Possibly.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 134
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Do you have an
3	opinion sir?
4	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
5	TRANSLATOR: I come from Columbia, from
6	a country that you're not allowed to express your,
7	your, express yourself. If you do you're probably
8	decapitated and killed.
9	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
10	TRANSLATOR: I came to America because
11	it's a free country.
12	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So let me, let
14	me, let me interrupt you.
15	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Rory can I ask you
16	to just like, let's, let's try to
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I'll try to but
18	I mean we've had
19	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:come, come to an
20	end with the questions?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN:people
22	questioning for, for 30 minutes and I'm actually a
23	member of the committee so… [cross-talk]
24	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: No, no I [cross-
25	talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 135
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN:I will.
3	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Just we have a, a
4	long list of… [cross-talk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I understand.
6	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL:members [cross-
7	talk]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And I got to go
9	fight the plastic bag tax in 20 minutes so I got a
10	full day. So one of the ways that maintain our, our
11	freedom is by having clear rules of what citizens
12	can do and, and cannot do, what the police can
13	arrest us for or charge us with and, and what they
14	can't. And I'm wondering whether you think that the
15	part of this bill which will establish those clear
16	rules in terms of where you can stand and where you
17	can't the kinds of things you can do and the kinds
18	of things you can't do wouldn't help you express
19	yourself freely without having to worry about
20	police officers arbitrarily and on a whim deciding
21	when they should or should not ticket you or arrest
22	you
23	UNKNOWN MALE: Do you want him to
24	answer? I, I can comment… [cross-talk]

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 136
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: No, I want to
3	hear from the street performer.
4	UNKNOWN MALE: Yeah, I think so.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I mean not, if
6	you don't have an answer that's fine or if you know
7	you want to answer
8	TRANSLATOR: It's just that your
9	translation equipment is really bad so he gets it
10	in and out… [cross-talk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Oh I'm patient.
12	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
13	TRANSLATOR: I am a passive person, a
14	pacifist and, and so I shouldn't be supporting a
15	license that would implicate where I can and cannot
16	stand.
17	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
18	TRANSLATOR: A lot of, some of the
19	tourists that come to Times Square are very shy.
20	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
21	TRANSLATOR: But they want to take a
22	picture with us.
23	YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]
24	TRANSLATOR:artist because I feel it
25	that way.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2 YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]

2.2

TRANSLATOR: I get, I get to, I confront the, the tourist or get in front of the tourist and ask them hey do you want a picture.

YAMILL MAROLOS: [speaking Spanish]

TRANSLATOR: Why would you try to limit my space when right now I can actually be anywhere?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Okay, yes?

of factors that go into too. Like, like if you're put in a spot where there's not a lot of traffic that could affect you know you're business or you're performing and, and your arts and stuff like that. And I believe that there's enough laws in place right now and regulations in Times Square that they don't need new ones. Just...

question that's very simple. If it's beneficial to create these IDs which you've done for your members and I think it's terrific, and there's some, there's some benefit to that, that people can be identified, that... whatever benefits there are from, from, from the ID, clear there are some, why not require that all the costume characters have that

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ID so that whoever benefits they get and whatever benefits the public gets can be, be, be max, maximized?

LUCIA GOMEZ: So the licenses were for you and for those individuals who feel like they need to know who's under the mask. The licenses weren't necessarily for them. It was in response to what you consider to be a benefit of creating this legislation. It was a trial and error period, a lot of them, all of them expire the 30th of November. We wanted to see whether or not the interaction with the public got better, if it made them feel more safe, made them feel more secure. But it, it was a trial and error scenario. Some people are happy that they're wearing them. Some of them are happy that they're organizing. Some of them have been very complimenting to them because they have it on and they're fascinated by it. But by no means would we think or, or consider for the council to mandate them from anyone.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: But you'd, but you'd agree that if some people can choose to not wear the badges then they're not really accomplishing at least the council's goal?

2.2

in the same way that they're educating the consumer by telling them that the pictures are optional and they feel that that has been great the same way they can say hey, FYI these individuals if you want to feel safer go to the ones that actually have it on. Not just this one but you know somebody else who might be able to create them.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Alright, alright. Well I just think that would be an enormous challenge in Times Square, we have millions of people coming in for one day or two days. But, but thank you very much for your testimony. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you Rory

Lancman. I'd like to call up the next panel. And

we're going to keep the clock at strictly, at three

minutes to, just to save time. We have Tim

Tompkins, Tom Ferrugia, Christine Nicholas, and

Joshua Noler.

TIM TOMPKINS: Thank you. I'm Tim

Tompkins, president of Times Square Alliance. And

I'd like to thank Council Member King, Espinal,

Garodnick, Lancman, and just all the folks that

25

2 have been here for your, for this very robust 3 conversation and the acknowledgement that we've got 4 something we need to work on. Why is this issue being addressed; I'll just give you three examples. Two years ago I first learned about this issue when 6 7 a female member of my staff came in and said that, that Elmo had grabbed her backside. On October 8 19th, 2013 this is from a, a court record, 9 individual dressed as Spiderman approaches 16 year 10 old female on a class trip to Times Square. 11 12 Spiderman grabs her arm and spins her around 13 pressing his body against her photo. When she does 14 not tip the Spiderman character reaches out and 15 grabs her buttocks. Incident observed by adult 16 chaperone who reports incident to police. Defendant 17 is arrested. Ended up the defendant pleads guilty 18 to all charges and is sentenced to 90 days in jail. Third example, letter received out of the blue June 19 20 2nd, 2014 from two young women in Connecticut. My friend and I who are 16 year old Asian girls were 2.1 2.2 walking down Times Square. There were three 23 costumed characters who pushed us into taking photos with them without explaining that it would 24

cost money. They wouldn't let us go. They even

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the people that have been working with the group La

celebrated expression and different kinds of things

as I've said; quirky is fine but creepy is not. We

respect and support the fact that many people are

believe that a license system actually protects the

honest players but also protects the members of the

public. That's what we're focusing on. I'm, when,

dispersions upon the people that we do think are

honestly trying to earn a living. Why is this issue

important? It's important because it's a consumer

business. But it's also important for the city of

New York and its economy. Tourism is the, one of

protection issue. These are folks engaging in

when we cite bad incidents we're not casting

honest players trying to earn a living and we

Fuente to, to come up with a set of solutions,

Times Square has always been a place that has

those are not the problem people. We have never,

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

the biggest drivers. Times Square itself generates one in every, indirectly and directly, on in every ten jobs in New York City through all five boroughs. And over time, not immediately but over time all these negative experiences will push us back where we were before. Fewer people will come to New York City. Fewer hotel rooms will be filled. Fewer jobs in those hotels and those restaurants and those stores will be available people. So how do we think this needs to be addressed? We do think that a licensing scheme is important because it does two key things. It creates a first filter to, to weed out people that have recent violent or predatory criminal records, a first filter. The other thing it does is it creates accountability because someone can see, and see who this person is and make a distinction between an honest hard working Elmo and one that is not honest. And it also just in the same way that the name on a police badge or the name on a taxi medallion creates a culture where someone has to behave a little bit better because they know they're being watched and they know that they can be turned in. Those are the elements of a licensing scheme that are important.

2 And we are you know very grateful for that 3 introduction. Some people have said as Council Member Cornegy referred to that they're, they're 4 concerned about negative police citizen interactions or that this would create more 6 7 opportunities for negative police citizen interactions. One of our arguments is that a 8 licensing scheme actually creates a middle ground. 9 Council Member Garodnick took us through some very 10 complex scenarios of where someone is subtly being 11 12 intimidated or talking to. We want to avoid this 13 situation where the only choice is either do 14 nothing or the full power of an arrest on these 15 very subtle forms of behavior that are judgment 16 calls. A licensing scheme allows you to call 3-1-1 17 to say look this person hasn't behaved well and 18 over time their license can be revoked. It gives you an intermediary measure for enforcement. We 19 20 think that even if there is some time, place, and manner restriction related to somebody being in a 2.1 2.2 box that you still have the problem that under the 23 cloak of anonymity someone who is in that box can do something bad. So time, place, and manner is not 24

enough we think. We need also to deal with the fact

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that the bad players here are taking advantage of anonymity. Self-regulation; we think that that is an admiral action for the folks who are honest but the problem is you think about any business regulation system, you know the good folks will say we'll play by the rules but why do we regulate businesses anywhere in the world and any commercial activity, it's because you need to have something to constrain and punish the bad players not the good players. There's been some expressions concerned about immigration. You know we were emphatic in saying we did not want this bill to have anything where people were being asked their immigration status. The council wisely passed a, a law recently saying that, that there's a fine line. If there's ways to add extra sense of security around this issue of not having information be shared we support it. We want to be explicit, we do not want this to be used as a tool for you know federal immigration enforcement. And then finally we do want to say that we think that the, the licensing scheme is important and voluntary does not work. We are completely open to the idea that the bill can potentially be strengthened by

KAITLYN: Thank you very much Council

24

Members.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 146
2	UNKNOWN FEMALE: I'm sorry we have,
3	we're not clear as to who's on this panel. So just
4	for our organizational purposes if you could just
5	go through your names.
6	KAITLYN: Sure, yeah. Our three names
7	should be on the same slip as Tim Tompkins. But
8	we'll all go through our names. I'm Kaitlyn Lewis
9	with the Times Square Alliance.
10	ELLEN: Ellen Goldstein with the Times
11	Square Alliance.
12	FRED ROSENBERG: Fred Rosenberg with the
13	Times Square Advertising Coalition.
14	JOSHUA NOLER: And Joshua Noler
15	representing Christine Nicholas, the Chairman of
16	the Broadway Association.
17	TOM FERRUGIA: Tom Ferrugia, the
18	Director of Government Relations with the Broadway
19	League.
20	KAITLYN LEWIS: So once again my name is
21	Kaitlyn Lewis. I'm with the Communications
22	Department at the Times Square Alliance. As Tim
23	Stated many of the costumed individuals in Times
24	Square… [cross-talk]

UNKNOWN MALE: Can we get display?

2	KAITLYN LEWIS: Yeah we're, we're just
3	going to play a little bit of video footage in the
4	background. There's no sound but it just kind of,
5	gets, gives you a sense of what's happening in
6	Times Square day to day. And so as Tim stated many
7	of these costumed individuals are honest,
8	respectful individuals who are trying to make a
9	living. But we have heard countless stories of
10	aggressive, predatory, and sometimes violent
11	behavior by a few bad actors. Times Square is the
12	crossroads of the world and because of that our
13	audience literally spans the globe. Many of the
14	people who have interacted with the characters are
15	domestic and international tourists who have
16	returned home and are unable to attend this hearing
17	today. So this morning we invited them to join a
18	virtual public hearing by sharing their experiences
19	on Twitter using the hashtag "SpeakUpTimesSquare"
20	and tagging our handle. And shout out to Council
21	Member Garodnick who voiced his opinion earlier
22	this morning with a colorful tweet. We decided to
23	do this after a recent search of tweets dating back
24	to March 2014 revealed that the public has been

weighing in on this issue for quite some time

2 already by spontaneously posting hundreds of 3 troubling incidents online. Many of these incidents which we found date back to last week when the 4 voluntary scheme was already in place. I'd like to 5 just use the rest of this time to read a few 6 7 examples of what we found in the twitter sphere. Last night some man dressed as an Elmo in Times 8 Square ruined my childhood and whispered something 9 Elmo should never say. Elmo, no bullshit, no 10 11 bullshit just grabbed my face and tried to make out 12 with me. I hate you Times Square. Five minutes in 13 Times Square and I've managed to get attacked by 14 Chewbacca and Elmo. Just got groped by Elmo in 15 Times Square. Can I add hit on by Elmo to my 16 Resume? Hashtag Times Square. Had drinks with 17 someone who works near Times Square and is 18 regularly sexually harassed by a gauntlet of men dressed as Elmo. I just saw a Broadway play in, in 19 20 Times Square and had a man in an Elmo costume touch 21 my butt, I'm living the dream. The field trip today 2.2 was great minus the fact that I got groped by a 23 lady in an Elmo costume in Times Square. The small boy telling his father he's scared of Elmo has 24

learned far too young what's wrong with Times

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Square. Creepy man dressed as Elmo in Times Square who offered to let me tickle him in private. My friend's ass was grabbed twice by Elmo while she was just standing and taking a picture of Times Square. So that's just a very small sampling and we've attached in our testimony a hundred of the tweets that we scanned over the past year. And that is it for me. Thank you again.

Hi, thank you Council Member Espinal for chairing this session. And thank you again to Council Member King for spearheading today's discussion. My name is Ellen Goldstein and I'm the vice president of policy playing and research at the Times Square Alliance. In September 2014 the Times Square Alliance contracted with Turn Key Intelligence, an independent market research firm to conduct a series of focus groups with district employees. This is something that we do every couple of years to solicit feedback about the neighborhood and our effectiveness as a Business Improvement District. This year more than 60 employees participated from a wide range of companies and organizations. At the end of each focus group the moderator asked an open ended

let them touch her.

know you can easily leave in and out but you know

2 here today in support of Intro 467 that establishes 3 a licensing scheme for costumed individuals seeking solicitations from the public. Times Square 4 Advertising Coalition, TSAC is a non-for-profit association dedicated to the continued promotion of 6 Times Square as the most exciting venue in the world. Our membership includes the key branding 8 marketing and advertising stakeholders in the 9 square including Clear Channel Spectacolor Sherwood 10 11 Outdoor. We're committed to preserving the iconic 12 landscape that millions of tourists have come to 13 experience each year. Times Square has come a long 14 way. Over the past 20 years both government and 15 private investments have revitalized the Times 16 Square area attracting new businesses and tourists alike. This includes a spectacular 42nd Street 17 18 corridor, newly designed Broadway Plazas and other amenities. Given all this investment we are very 19 20 distressed that the city is allowing this area, which was envision to be a magnet for tourism and a 2.1 2.2 great amenity for New Yorkers, to be overrun by 23 aggressive costumed characters. This negative behavior as you know includes everything from 24 intimidation to inappropriate touching to physical 25

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and or verbal altercations. It is clear that regulation is essential in order to provide city officials with the tools needed to protect tourists and New Yorkers from such aggressive solicitation tactics. By regulating costume characters those behind the masks will be held accountable for their actions and the square will remain safe for visitors and locals alike. It is our fear that if the council does nothing the quality of life in the square will continue to, to diminish and all the investments made to the area will be compromised. For these reasons Sherwood Equities and Times Square Advertising Coalition fully supports the licensing of costumed individuals that are seeking solicitations. Thank you for the opportunity to be here today.

TOM FERRUGIA: Okay thank you. I can go right here. Thank you this, again Tom Ferrugia the Director of Government Relations with the Broadway League. I realize I'm on a clock so I'll just go through my testimony quickly. The league has been the principal association for the commercial Broadway Theatre in New York state and across the country for over 80 years. We represent more than

2 750 theatre owners, producers, and role presenters nationwide with over 400 maintaining offices in New 3 York City. I want to thank, to thank Chairman 4 Espinal and the other distinguished members of the committee for this opportunity to comment on the 6 7 proposal under consideration today. We also want to express our gratitude to Council Member King for 8 his leadership in addressing this escalating 9 problem. The league has always encouraged the 10 adoption of legislation aimed at enhancing the flow 11 12 of pedestrian traffic, encouraging visitor and 13 resident access, and improving the overall quality 14 of life in the Times Square area. In the past we 15 have appeared before this committee in support of sensible restricts that support economic activity 16 17 on the streets of the theatre district including 18 licensing petty cabs, and improving oversight of street vendors. With respect to Introductory Bill 19 20 467 we feel it is imperative that the council act to regulate what has quickly become a leading 21 2.2 contributor to an unsafe chaotic and disorganized 23 atmosphere in one of the premier tourist destinations in the world. 12.2 million Broadway 24 theatre tickets were purchased in the 12 month 25

2 theatre season ending in May 2014. And over 80 percent of those tickets were purchased by patrons 3 residing outside New York City. The majority of 4 these buyer site attending a Broadway show as a 5 principal reason for visiting New York City 6 therefore it is imperative that their entire experience from the moment visitors arrive in the 8 area to the moment they depart is overwhelmingly 9 positive and lives up to what they envision it 10 11 would be. However we have seen a recent 12 proliferation of anonymous vendors who aggressively solicit trusting families, take unfair advantage of 13 14 their children's familiarity with the characters 15 the solicitors portray and often attempt to 16 embarrass or harass our guests into paying for 17 photographs. Not only is much of this behavior 18 arguably illegal but these actions damage the perception of New York City and discourage tourism. 19 20 In addition the publicity generated by their frequent acts of bad behavior while often presented 2.1 2.2 in a humorous and entertaining light by local media 23 ultimately deter residents from visiting Times Square and with it all of the businesses in the 24 area who are familiar with the ongoing... We are all 25

1 2 familiar with the ongoing plan to convert Times Square into a full on outdoor mall. With that 3 transformation comes the city's responsibility to 4 manage all activity in this new environment. All of 5 6 the careful planning and hard work that has gone into this ambitious plan will have been futile if we do not make positive measures to prevent the 8 area from deteriorating into chaos. The bill will 9 enhance existing laws designed to thwart aggressive 10 panhandling, disorderly conduct, disturbing the 11 12 peace, and larceny. This legislation provides the 13 public, Department of Consumer Affairs, and the 14 NYPD with a proactive measure to... [beeping] sorry, 15 at minimum identify who was ever wearing the 16 costume. The Broadway League feels this proposal is 17 the first step in addressing several challenges 18 face Times Square. We look forward to working with the committee, our local members, Council Members 19 20 Garodnick and Johnson, various city agencies, and our partners in midtown community to continue 21 2.2 providing a unique, unique and safe experience. 23 This includes addressing proliferation of hustlers, hawkers, and individuals who assertively solicit 24

various services and products. And we have ... the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

theatre community, the league applauds the council's ongoing and sincere dedication to addressing this problem. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Tom Carpenter...

TOM CARPENTER: Thank you for the

opportunity, opportunity to speak to you today about this important legislation. I'm Tom Carpenter and the Assistant Executive Director and General Council of Actors Equity Association which is a labor union affiliated with the AFLCIO. We represent over 50 thousand stage actors and stage managers who work in live theatre in New York City and across the country. Additionally we're an employer in the Times Square neighborhood. We employ than 105 full time and part time staffing our offices. And in our audition center on West 46th Street less than half a block from Times Square. As a national labor organization we are firmly committed to the right of every individual to earn a, earn a decent living and we would not support a bill that would provide an outright ban on costumed characters who were trying to earn a living in, in the neighborhood. Rather we support this more measured approach that would regulate the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

burgeoning number of characters in Times Square and to reign in the few individuals who behave in an aggressive intimidating or inappropriate manner. While many of our members and staff report that they have had unpleasant interactions with costumed characters in times Square this is not merely about the annoyances or inconvenience of working in a neighborhood where the environment can sometimes be chaotic. For the members of Actors Equity this is an issue of the health of an industry that provides them employment. Employers from around the country come to Times Square in order to hire and employ New York City residents. At the Actors Equity audition center members don't just audition for work on Broadway or in New York City. Theatrical employers come from regional theatres across the country, from Florida, from California, or from let's say Wisconsin to audition equity members at our facility in West 46th Street. In the past 12 months more than 40 thousand actors have auditioned, were seen by producers and nearly 300 separate audition calls in our facility alone. And we're only one of the many audition spaces in the neighborhood. Most of these auditioning actors are

New York City residents but actors from up and down
the east coast also travel to New York to attend
equity auditions at our center. In many cases
regional employers have the option to conduct local
auditions in their own cities rather than come to
New York City. We think that the employers know
that there is talent here in New York but if the
opt to conduct those local auditions to satisfy
their audition requirements it means that many of
our New York members won't be seen for those jobs.
And we're concerned that the current environment in
Times Square with increasing numbs of incidents
provide a disincentive then for employers to travel
to New York City to hire our members and your
constituents to work in their theatres around the
country. We're also concerned that our members have
to navigate their way through throngs of costume
characters in order to try to get a job. The
professional actors we represent audition
frequently. We, they may also take classes in the
neighborhood. They're shuttling from one audition
in the neighborhood to another and they need to be
able to compose themselves before they go into an
audition Imagino if you had throo or four

2.2

different job interviews in a day and you had to, were subjected to harassment on your way into that job interview. What would that do to your ability to, to, to land the job. So it's an important issues. As I said we're a union. We strongly support peoples' right to earn a living wage but we think a common sense regulatory approach protects the industry that provides our members employment and, and protects them when they're trying to earn a living in this industry. Thank you.

Question for the panel. I think we, I think that you guys all agree that some regulation has to take place. Do you think that this bill is the best way to do that or are there things in the bill that maybe we can work on that, some concerns you might have on the bill? And what do you feel, or your thoughts on the, the costumed characters themselves and how they're saying that they can self-regulate themselves and create this, this personal badge?

TIM TOMPKINS: We, we think that are potentially some ways to strengthen the bill based on things we've heard today and I swear which could be possibly narrowing the definition of the, of

2 costume so you're, you're not capturing say you 3 know people who are part of the Halloween parade, 4 that really is people who just have their faces 5 obscured because the, amenity is the, the real 6 problem. Perhaps some of the scope of activities, 7 not just interacting with the public which is, is a phrase but, but soliciting for tips to arrow it to 8 the soliciting for tips for a photograph. We think 9 that, that some of those time, place, and manner 10 restrictions like being next to a, the one that was 11 12 cited about lean, leaning against a wall or being 13 right next to fire hydrant. We don't think that's 14 central to what the problem is. So we think that, 15 that to the extent that that's a concern for people 16 the bill can be strengthened by focusing that, 17 those time, place, and manner restrictions a little 18 more narrowly. There have been concerns expressed about the first time penalty being high. So we 19 20 think that that could possibly be adjusted. And the registration fee that could be adjusted. So those 21 2.2 are all things that we think can make the bill 23 stronger. The problem with the voluntary system is that you know good people will abide by code of 24 25 conduct. Bad people may, they may even go as far as

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

saying I'm going to behave but if they're protected by anonymity and nobody can identify you know the people, you know the people that did those things that I read about... they're not going to, they may say that they're going to abide by a voluntary code of conduct but only if they know that their license can be revoked for, for not just the really egregious things but also some of those subtle things. I mean if you saw some of things... these are, this, some of the, that first video was literally taken out the window of my office over the last weekend because I'm like this stuff is still going on where people are chasing people around you know hugging them without their consent or one person participates and then suddenly literally you see this rush of five characters. That subtle but it's a form of intimidation. It's, and I want to say again I think the folks who spoke out today are not the people we're talking about. I think they're, the majority of people who are, who are you know part of this, the La Fuente coalition are earnest and and abiding by a good set of rules but voluntary self-regulation doesn't work for the bad ones because they stay out of the system.

2	UNKNOWN MALE: Can I just make one, two
3	points? I think that the, the idea that if you are
4	continually receiving violations, that this gives
5	the DCA the opportunity to revoke your license and
6	take you out of that environment is a real
7	advantage because it does create the additional
8	burden of wanting to ensure that you don't lose
9	your license. In other words once on, once you
10	continue to get violation after violation after
11	violation but you're still of, you're still going
12	to be in Times Square soliciting. So I think that,
13	that's a, a great thing. Another thing actually I,
14	I mean from, I think one thing the legislation
15	should have in it that, to go a little further
16	unless I've misread I think there should be
17	language delineating penalties for forging or
18	altering or lending out the license or borrowing
19	the license with the attempt to evade law
20	enforcement. Because really that photograph might
21	be the, that number might be the only
22	identification that a, an individual has in order
23	to alert the police of who had harassed them. And
24	unless we, we can create a penalty for, for people
25	swapping out licenses in order to, to evade

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

getting, getting ticketing that, that, that needs to be addressed. Is that in there? That's... okay.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Alright. And we have a question from Dan Garodnick. And let's, let's keep the clock at three minutes for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay we'll be fast. And I, I really first of all want to thank you for setting forth the problem which I think we should just establish here for the record that there is a problem that needs to be addressed. There may be lots of views on how to deal with it but there's clearly a problem. The video shows that we all have seen it and we thank you for that. The only point that I wanted to make and it's not really even a question is the reason I took the police department through the subtle forms of what is and is not aggressive behavior is that even under the bill that we're considering it is aggressive behavior which is the prime opportunity for the police to act. So even under the bill as proposed those same subtle questions still exist. So I, I know that there's, that the panel here feels like the licensing scheme is clear and crisp

but in reality one of the reasons why I have some concern about it is that I still, I, I think it still leaves open a lot of those questions which ultimately if the police are not there to enforce we're just where we are today. So I just wanted to make that comment but I wanted to thank you for, for setting it out because it's important. And I, I, I think that there wasn't as much recognition perhaps from the last panel about the existence of a problem and I think that we need to acknowledge, thank you.

UNKNOWN MALE: And I totally, totally respect the point of view. I think the, the issue is like some of those scenes you saw in the little video... there's one person and then five people come around... Do we want the only option there to be that all five of them get arrested and put in jail? And, and what I am proposing with the licensing scheme you can, you know you have this in between tool of filing a complaint, saying that somebody's filed a complaint. It's an in between tool for recording that because realistically in our society and understandably there's all these sensitivities about police citizen interaction. And so it's that,

that softer behavior. You want to have something between doing nothing and putting five people in jail.

UNKNOWN MALE: If I could just also add...

When I was talking to my staff about coming here
today they all to, to a letter had a, had a
personal anecdote that they could share. And they
all said that if could only have identified who
that person was who jumped in front of me and
yelled in my face on my way to work this morning I
would be able to, to file some sort of a report. Or
if I could identify the person who was blocking,
blocking me from getting to work so that I had to
walk into the street and was nearly hit by a cab.
If I could get that person's number and report that
that would be something that I would do.

two separate rationales. One of them is about the identification and the other one is having an intermediate step. And I, and I think we understand those two points. Although it was interesting the police department did note that even under its existing aggressive panhandling statute they do have an intermediate step to, to summons and not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Council Member Johnson.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I just want to again acknowledge all of the advocacy and hard work Tim that, that the Times Square Alliance and your board has done on this. I think as you've heard from Council Member Garodnick and myself and all of the hard work that Council Member King has done we all acknowledge that a problem does exist. And we are ready, willing, and able to work with you all to come up with the best path forward. I know there's some divergence in how we think the best way to get there is but again I just want to repeat last time I was in Times Square I witnessed this, what I consider to be egregious behavior, and as you just mentioned Tim it actually was not typically tourists soliciting getting their picture taken. It was costumed characters proactively walking up and touching tourists,

2.1

2.2

surrounding them. And that's why, and I wanted to hear your comment on this, I want to understand why you think location restrictions may not be a good intermediary step in this fix which is restricting where they can be, they can't move outside the lines, they can't be free moving around Times Square which means they can't walk up to tourists, tourists would have to walk up to them and the police would know exactly where they are and be able to observe what was going on at all times. I want to understand why you may not think that is the best course of action?

that as a, we are open to that as another tool in the toolbox I think as you guys know. It's, the very, the legal research we've done is showing that it's, it's very complex because then who else does that apply to. But we are completely open to looking at that. My main point was even if you have that, you do address the issue of the, the person sort of pursuing someone down the street and sort of surrounding them. What you don't get at is just the simple fact that there still may be someone under that mask who's going to behave

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

inappropriately and is going to be considered sort of a trusting, a person to be trusted in, by the general public because you haven't had number one this, this prefilter of screening for recent, violent, or predatory behavior for which they've been convicted. And second just like with a, you know a taxi driver or a petty cab driver the simple fact that if that person is identifiable that they know that they have to be behaved because if they don't behave in their interaction like we, they could still have something like wait I want five bucks and the person's like wait I didn't, I didn't commit to five bucks. Then, then the person can say wait I know who you are number seven you're not talking to me properly, you're not dealing with me properly. So I don't, I don't rule that out as tool but I think that doesn't preclude the need for a license, a prefilter and identification.

COUNCIL MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you Mr.

Chair and I look forward to, for us to all work

together to figure out how to make a dent in this

problem and working with Council Member King as

well.

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you

3 Councilman Johnson. [cross-talk]

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOSHUA NOLER: I just want to read testimony for the Broadway Association.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Your name?

JOSHUA NOLER: Sure. So my name is Josh Noler and I'm actually testifying here today on behalf of Christine Nicholas who's the Chairman of the Broadway Association. And I just want to thank you Chairman Espinal and Council Members King, Garodnick, and Johnson for the opportunity to testify here today. The Broadway Association which was founded in 1911 is one of the city's oldest non-for-profit business associations formed by a group of local business leaders and civic minded individuals that were and still are dedicated to the preservation, development, and economic betterment of the Times Square and west midtown community. Our distinguished membership includes Broadway theatre owners and operators, hotels, restaurant owners, airlines, developers, law firms, business improvement districts, members of the media, and so forth. One of if not our core issues is quality of life. Not just for our membership but

And local media have regularly reported incident

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

after incident of violent interactions with local law enforcement. Everything that symbolizes the good in what these costumes represent has been tainted by the few individuals who dawn these costumes. We recognize there are only a few bad eggs or Elmos in this case but it's those few bad Elmos that negatively impact New York City's image as one of the friendliest cities in the world and its spot atop the leaderboard as the number one tourism destination in the country. The Broadway Association supports the City Council's efforts to put a name behind the mask and provide a mechanism for law enforcement to take meaningful action and weed out those few bad Elmos. While the Broadway Association feels that there is no place for these costumed characters in Times Square or anywhere on the streets of New York City for that matter this legislation is a step in the right direction as long as the city keeps a close watchful eye on how these individuals operate and ensure they adhere to all licensing requirements and background checks. With tourism in Times Square pumping billions of tax revenue into our city we must put our best foot forward to make sure that anyone who visits, lives,

free checkup for free. So I know that there is a

2	lot of people against the free background check but
3	if you don't have anything to hide you know come
4	on. You know it's, it's, it's just a regulation.
5	But the… amendment is… that protect us… I want to
6	let you know everybody in the whole world that the
7	third amendment is protect constitution rights 100
8	percent. But Times Square it needs a little work
9	you know. And we need I am working there for four
10	years, four years and I would like the, anyone can
11	my time until the police and anyone, anyone like
12	for example the police they took me twice. And
13	they it been proof they been run. So there's the
14	video for Times Square Alliance that they can, I
15	guarantee that they already watch it and they know
16	that I do the right job over there. So people like
17	me a lot of costume that they do that. But a
18	space, about the space they put the cartoon
19	character in a space, I'm not agree with that you
20	know. I want to be free for, in Times Square, free
21	by the constitution, free, okay? But I understand
22	about the 20 feet, about, or, or 12 feet, about the
23	wall, whatever. I understand that 100 percent.
24	Nobody want it but I approve the bill. I, I, I am
25	agree

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

2 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOSE MARTINEZ: You're welcome.

ELIZABETH HOLLAND: Good afternoon

Councilman. My name is Elizabeth Holland and I perform in Times Square as Robin, also as Officer Friendly. I've been working the character job about eight months. And there's always a, you know a bad apple in a group of workers, not referring to the apple, that's the big apple here, he is the best one right? But there's, there's, there is going to be a needening [sic] a little bit of respect within the officers to the characters, the characters to the officers and making every experience for the tourist in Times Square a good one. Taking out the characters that have been in Times Square for so long would make a family experience to Times Square not the same. And putting in a bill, a law that identifies each character with who they are and a small background check to me would be a, a great idea. Recently they spoke on how, how La Fuente is doing a similar identification, that they are also charging for, that is identifying the characters and helping to know who is under each mask, who are your children taking pictures with. And just making

2.1

2.2

it a, you know a good experience with respect. I am with the, the idea of having this law brought. I think the expense may could be broughten [sic] down a little bit since we are there you know working for tips. But I think all in all putting a little RS, R E S P E C T and, into the bill and recognizing that we have a little problem and actually taking care of that problem with what we're, you know what we have in hand would be the best idea. Thank you very much.

MARIA DELUCA: Hello, my name's Maria

Deluca. I am actually a student at John Jay College

of Criminal Justice that also works out as Times

Square, in Times Square as a Disney character. I

have been doing this for a couple of months now and

have found that it is one of the only jobs that I'm

capable of upholding because I have an excessive

amount of school work that needs to be done. To get

a job in New York City that you can only get on the

weekends is almost impossible. And with the rent

that I have as high as it is it's not possible for

me to pay for it without doing this job. So hearing

that everyone wants to take this thing away is

taking away my college opportunity which means I'll

2	have to transfer back to Pennsylvania. However, I
3	am for regulating this bill because this bill will
4	bring us more experience in what we can do and will
5	allow us to do more as long as some regulations are
6	changed. Things like places where they're trying to
7	keep us in one area, how do you expect us to go to
8	the bathroom, how do you expect us to change
9	locations? It's just not plausible. And we are also
10	people too. We have jobs, we have backgrounds,
11	children, schooling. It needs to be taken into
12	account that no one in Times Square is safe.
13	Because we're working there too. You say oh I work
14	there I want to be protected, this is my job, well
15	this is our job as well and we want to be
16	protected. And that's what this law's going to do
17	if there are changes made to it. We don't want to
18	be the ones out there getting touched because I do.
19	I work as a Disney princess and I get surrounded by
20	tourists that slap my butt and try to get with me
21	and that's not okay. So hopefully this will allow
22	us to become more protected because I'm only 18
23	years old.

BISHOP JUNIOR: Hi. I, Bishop Junior, totally agree that certain rules and regulations

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

should definitely be opposed. I do believe that we should have a sense of ID. But I also don't believe that we should be set on certain blocks as our, as our first amendment rights. I believe that we all have the right to be wherever we want to be and really, really express ourselves in a certain character. We have the right just like, just like the Joker character said. He has a right to wear makeup just like women have the right to wear makeup wherever they want. And I think I've been victimized as a, as a, as a costumed character in Times Square. It was a job that I, that I actually took on. It's something that I actually thought about doing because I seen people working for tips and I had lost my job that's why I actually did it. And it was actually something that helped me out, to, to better my character. And I'd like to share something of, of the case that happened, the incident that happened in July. I don't really want to bring up the case so much but I'd like to share something that will free my spirit. And excuse me I'm just little bit, just hyped up off of caffeine right now so I just want to share something. I strongly and firmly believe there are good people

as well as bad people and individuals and growth.
To state that myself am a good person is unhumble
and can only be rewarded by god. I Bishop Jr. who
was allegedly accused of charging a tourist with a
set amount and socking an NYPD officer would like
to share my behalf of the actual story. The NYPD
officer you know was, was, was socked in Times
Square. That was right, that was wrong, I'm sorry,
that was wrong, it was wrong, it was something
wrong. And I take full actions for, for what I did.
But it was also wrong for the story to be lied
about, just for the, for the actual officer to lie
and say that I was charging for a picture when I
actually wasn't charging for a picture but I
suggested a tip and there wasn't a certain amount.
So I'd just like to share that. There should be
some certain limits that the characters should,
should be able to withhold but also some, some,
some, some actual, some actual you know things to,
been, like they should actually watch the police
officers as well as the characters opposed to what
we're doing out there in Times Square.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Alright thank you. Thank you. Any questions from my colleagues? No?

the orange pants, I've turned into a pumpkin now. I

and I'm asking anyone who can hear the sound of my

voice please do not change out the words and give a

24

2 different spin of what the reality of this

3 legislation is. And if you're going to offer real

4 suggestion, offer real suggestions that we can all

5 live by and not an agenda that, that's designed to

6 | confuse everyone, especially our costumed

7 characters, our brothers and sisters, who are out

8 there for 12 hours out of the day trying to bring

9 smiles to every New York tourist and every child.

10 | Thank you so much again. God bless you. And thank

11 | you again. Mr. Chair thank you so much. Have a

12 great day everyone.

13 CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. You may

14 | begin your testimony.

25

DAVID ROBINSON: Thank you for this

16 ppportunity. Let me just say that I love New York

17 City. I've lived here for over 20 years and I have

18 | for the last four years been working in an office

19 | building in Times Square. And I'm wondering whether

20 or not you can just sympathize with an individual

21 New Yorker, me who's just trying to get to work. So

22 | the last part of my commute is walking about a

23 | block and a half from the 7 train exit to 3 Times

24 \parallel Square and it's always been an obstacle course. I

mean there are tourists busses loading and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

unloading. There are men trying to get me to go to gentleman clubs and somebody is always asking me if I like comedy. So I want you to add to that, it's proliferation of these unregulated costumed characters. And it's now actually, I would consider it probably the worst part of my day and probably the worst part of my commute. There was one morning recently where Captain America just sort of lucked out in front of a family. You know photo photo five dollars. And so this throng of traffic that's moving up this small part of this avenue suddenly ground to a halt. We're all trying to figure out how to get around it. Coming out at the end of the day I found there was Captain America, Batman, Cat Woman, and about three to four Elmos. And this is on the same half a block basically from, from, halfway between 42nd Street and 43rd Street on 7th Avenue. And I'm just trying to get to work, I'm just trying to get home and it's an ever more frustrating experience. You know I mean as I said it's already one of the most congested areas of the city. It's bursting at the seams and I just would like some regulation around these people who are working for tips so that those people who work in

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

Times Square can move through it and around it a little easier. You know it's been getting bad and it just keeps getting worse and it makes working there pretty difficult and pretty much awful. Thank you.

GEORGE: Thank you Mr. Chairman and

Council Member Garodnick. My name is George Tim. I'm with the Marriott Marquis. I'm the Director of Community Relations. The New York Marriott Marquis was a pioneer in Times Square when the hotel opened in 1985 and it will celebrate its 30th anniversary in 2015. The 1957 room hotel was the first major branded hotel in the neighborhood. And it's opening was considered a high business risk. And in this... neighborhood. It sits on Broadway between 45th and 46th Streets. The hotel runs, runs approximately 90 percent occupancy and above all year around. We welcome thousands of visitors each week as a major tourism business destination. And we have flagship property for Marriott international. When the pedestrian walkways and new Duffy Square Plaza were completed we heard many times that these should be areas to be enjoyed by tourists and workers in Times Square and that it was the neighborhood's

Chairman.

3

4

17

REGINA FOHOUSE: Hi, my name is Regina

Fohouse [sp?] and I'm reading this testimony on

behalf of Jordan Barowitz. Good morning. My name is

Jordan Barowitz and I'm the Director of External

6 Affairs for the Durst Organization. My company owns

7 3.9 million square feet of office and retail space

8 on the block between 42^{nd} and 43^{rd} Street and 6^{th}

9 Avenue and Broadway. Our two office buildings on

10 | the block has approximately 15 thousand office

11 workers. 4 Times Square which was instrumental in

12 | the revitalization of Times Square in the 1990s

abuts the pedestrian plaza on Broadway. The

14 costumed characters are more than a nuisance.

15 | Employees in our buildings complain about

16 aggressive behavior and a sense of disorder, chaos

and, and law, lawlessness that is reminiscent of

18 the Times Square of the 1980s and early 1990s.

19 Clearly we have come a long way from the old Times

20 Square but any comparison is cause for concern. We

21 understand that the legislation before you is not

22 perfect but action is needed. Good legislation

23 solves specific problems. We have a specific and

24 solvable problem and we urge the council to act.

25 Thank you.

2.

_

3

4

_

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RACHEL ZURRIER: My name is Rachel

Zurrier and I'm reading this testimony on behalf of Daniel Sorrino, General Manager of the Hard Rock Café. The proliferation of unregulated costumed characters in the Times Square area has negatively impacted safety, quality of life, and the New York tourist experience. These issues mentioned have in turn had a negative impact upon the businesses in our community. The issue of safety is of course paramount to anything in this discussion. We have all read about the incidents that received local and national media attention with the unregulated characters and know that such incidents serve to perpetuate the fear of visitors entering the area with their families which in turn affects our businesses. The safety of our employees has also been a concern as we have heard the complaints from them about not only what they have seen but personal experiences where they were harassed by the characters themselves verbally. Employees have asked that we the management do what we can to keep them away from the front of our building as they have repeatedly made unwanted sexually related comments publically as well as insults which per

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

perceived as threatening. How is a child supposed to process this when they see one of their beloved costumed characters behaving this way? We have had to deal with refusing the characters entry to our property to attempt to use our facilities that are reserved for our paying quests which often has led to negative confrontations. They come in and feel is their right to change, lounge, warm up or cool down, or use our restrooms and become quite belligerent when asked to leave. Our employees have expressed that they do not like to have to deal with this as they know they will have to leave the building at some point themselves and fear retaliation as they have been threatened in a roundabout way by the characters when asking them to leave. From a guest perspective we receive comments daily about the activities of the characters. The guests relay stories of how they were made to feel they had to pay but never wanted a photo with them in the first place but the character jumped in and photobombed. Or that they were disgruntled with the tip provided and felt intimidated to provide more. We've even asked that the costumes were monitored for hygiene as people

SOBIA BEGANDAY: Hello I'm Sobia

Beganday [sp?] and I'll be reading on behalf of

Victoria Bailey. Good afternoon. Thank you for your

consideration of this important issue. My name is

Victoria Bailey. I am the Executive Director of the

Theatre Development Fund, the not-for-profit

performing arts service organization that builds

and sustains audiences for NYC theatre and dance.

Among our many activities we run the TKTS booth in				
Times Square. I have many concerns about the				
negative impact of the costumed character issue on				
business at TKTS and Broadway. But I am here today				
to speak to you as a parent and as a New Yorker				
showing out of town relatives the city. My brother-				
in-law ran this year's marathon and he and his				
family from Indianapolis were here for three days.				
On Saturday we took the family, including my eight				
and 13 year old nieces to the theatre. Upon leaving				
the Matinee we walked through Times Square. I had				
not thought to warn them not to talk to any				
costumed characters. Within minutes my brother-in-				
law had struck up a conversation with a Statue of				
Liberty character who moved from being cheerful to				
looming over him and starting his pitch. As I				
firmly said let's not talk to him and attempted to				
move us away I saw my eight year old darting				
towards Elmo who was moving towards her. Moving				
quickly I interceded and moved us all to the subway				
telling the characters to leave us be. The parents				
were shaken and shocked to discover that there was				
no way to identify the people in the mask. Creepy				
was the word used over and over Parents have a				

2.1

2.2

very negative reaction to the idea of a masked unidentifiable character being able to touch their children. I know that there are issues with regard to individual legislative approaches and I am sure that all of us in the Times Square community understand that and know that the final legislative action will have to reflect the various concerns. It is a lack of any legislative action that concerns me as a business, a parent, and a New Yorker. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Yeah I don't think we have any questions. Thank you for your testimony. Appreciate it. I'm going to take a 10 minute recess. We'll reconvene at 2:00.

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: So reconvening at 2:00 just as I promised. I'd like to call up the next panel. Is it, this is it? Marian Vernetson [sp?], Jose Marine [sp?], Phillip Williams, and Jack Friedman on behalf of Sonja Gomez [sic]. And can we set the clock for two minutes. Just state your name before you give your testimony.

MARIAN VERNETSON: Hi, my name's Marian Vernetson and I'm reading on behalf of John's World

2	Famous Hotdogs. My name is Ianis Golenopolis [sp?]			
3	and I have operated a hotdog stand in Times Square			
4	since 1979. I am writing to show my support for			
5	licensing the solicitation by costumed individuals.			
6	I am starting to hear my customers complain about			
7	the costumed characters. While some are hardworking			
8	people like me a good percentage are aggressive and			
9	prey on the tourists and visitors to Times Square.			
10	I was in Times Square when there were no tourists			
11	because of crime and my fear is that if we continue			
12	to allow the costumed characters to accost our			
13	visitors without regulations the tourists will stop			
14	coming. I speak with many police officers and they			
15	feel bad for the tourists but unless an offence is			
16	committed they can't do anything. Also, when they			
17	do complain there is no way to identify the			
18	offender since they are in costume and there is			
19	often several of the same character in times			
20	Square. As a licensed food vendor I am governed by			
21	a set of rules. I have a license that I need to			
22	display so there is no doubt as to my identity. If			
23	I brake a rule people can call 3-1-1 and file a			
24	complaint against me. The compliant is not			
25	addressed by the police department but by another			

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

city agency. Passing this legislation would allow the city to regulate an unlicensed business that is already operating. It would also allow the business to be regulated by another city agency instead of the police department. I support the passage of a law that regulates the solicitation by costumed individuals. Sincerely, Ianis John Galanopolis. Thank you.

PHILLIP WILLIAMS: Good afternoon. My name is Phillip Williams, better known in Times Square as Spiderman and/or Darth Vader. I want to say first of all I saw big smiles on everybody's face when Batman sat here. That's the energy in Times Square. That is what we bring. We bring smiles to people. Whether I'm taking pictures with actors such as John Lequizamo or New York Giants Players such as Antrel Rolle the world loves us. Local New Yorkers love us. I almost bursted [sic] into laughter when I heard a, a member of the Actors Equity Association sit here and say that we are preventing actors from auditioning. I, myself am, am a, am a proud member of AEA as well as SAG and AFTRA. I know many actors in Times Square that wear costumes. So by regulating us you will be

you don't have it, if, if you cannot provide us

with a donation then we may take, then we may take

24

have ended in dismals. There are, I know of over

four characters with over six million dollars of,

in lawsuits right now. Myself, I was attacked which

23

24

requested 10 dollars from two German tourists who

from... [speaking Spanish] and Tom Harns [sp?] on

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

behalf of the Naked Cowboy, Carlos Rojas. Please state your name for testimony and remember we have a two minute clock.

ROBERT WILLIAMS: Okay. My name is

Robert Williams. I perform as several different characters in Times Square; Chewbacca, Spiderman, Incredible Hulk, Iron Man. I find it incredibly disheartening that the City Council of the greatest city in the world in the greatest nation in the world would seek to violate the most basic of rights afforded to its citizens and those who wish to one day become citizens. How dare you attempt to govern the very breath we breathe as well as the words that leave our mouths. This bill is a direct assault against our first amendment rights and our fourth amendment rights, the right to privacy. Not only does this bill smack of classism but the power granted to police in regards to costumed individuals is redundant. We call ourselves still picture performers so if a still picture performer is found to be in violation of any city code a police officer can simply request to see his identification and arrest if need be. Aggressive solicitation is already a crime and, a crime and if

2.2

23

24

25

someone has a problem with a still picture performer they can very simply find a police officer for help. What isn't being considered are the problems that are already exist within the NYPD. This police force is the worst behaved and most unprofessional bunch of incompetent people that I've ever seen in my life. They tip, typically overstep their boundaries and misconduct themselves under the color of law. This bill gives them unlimited powers over still picture performers which will most certainly result in more misconduct, more misconduct and frivolous arrests costing taxpayers even more. Just because we're dressed differently than everyone else doesn't mean we're no longer Americans. To suggest that one needs to submit his fingerprints and submit to a background check in order to stand outside in a costume is laughable at best. If you're suggesting we be screened to the same degree as federal employees maybe the city should pay us for our services as well. Panhandling is legal. This precedent has been set. Street performing is legal. This precedent has also been set. Whether you like costumed individuals or not really doesn't matter.

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

You can't get around our nation's constitution and for you to make an attempt to do so is despicable and un-American. If safe, if safety is an issue then maybe you should inquire any Muslim woman who wears a veil over her face to wear an identification tag as well. The last time I checked there were no costumed characters on the flights that brought down the twin towers and killed all those people. There are nearly half a million pictures taken every month with still picture performers in and around Times Square. When you compare this number with the number of arrests that have been made as a result of misconduct of still picture performers it's truly miniscule. There are more problems in Bronx, Brooklyn, and Queens. And in fact I would suggest that Mr. King apply himself to correcting the problems in the crime-ridden Bronx that is after he pays his fines for his unethical campaign practices.

CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Can we wrap it up.

ROBERT WILLIAMS: Sure. I have one more paragraph. We as still picture performers are artists. We bring your favorite characters to life and leave you with awesome memories. Most of the

characters that perform in Times Square come from other countries where they don't have the same liberties as we do in the United States. They have come here in search of a better life. These people are systemically discriminated against, threatened, and intimidated by our police force. In respective of your personal feelings regarding still picture performers the rights granted to us by our nation's constitution cannot be altered and they apply to all who stand on this nation's land. Whether I made my costume or bought my costume, it's mine. I own it and I don't need a license to wear it. Thank you.

Espinal. My name's Tom Harris and I'm reading testimony on behalf of Robert Burk, the Naked Cowboy. My name is Robert Burk but most people know me as Naked Cowboy. I first appeared in Times Square as Naked Cowboy in December of 1997 and I have performed there as a busker virtually every single day for nearly 17 years. I have watched Times Square grow and improve in so many ways over this time and through my continuing diligence I've been graciously recognized as the most photographed

24

25

tourists I have positively interacted with in what I consider my home, the crossroads of the world, Times Square. When I first started there was little or no costumed characters on any given day and there was a very kind level of dialogue between buskers. We pretty much all knew each other at least on a casual basis. When New York City closed part of Broadway to vehicular traffic in Times Square to introduce a pedestrian center the numbers of costumed characters increased tremendously. Now on any given day at any given time there may be anywhere from 50 to seemingly 200 costume characters with most of them maintaining amenity by wearing masks that completely conceal who might be behind the mask. I still know some of the performers on a casual basis and there are legitimate buskers. At the same time there are costumed characters who want to maintain anonymity, to remain concealed, and do not really want anyone to know who they really are. This raises concern for me personally because I love Times Square, the businesses in the area, the tourists that travel from all over the world to get a glimpse of the

2	greatest city in the world and all of my friends			
3	whom I've come to know over the years that work			
4	daily in the area trying to make an honest living.			
5	I now seek continuing instances of turf rivalry			
6	between costumed characters where they may argue o			
7	fight amongst themselves because somebody is			
8	standing too close or in their supposed			
9	predestinated area. Sometimes the costumed			
10	characters harass the tourists and or get angry			
11	when the tourists take pictures with them and don't			
12	give them any money or not enough. A group of			
13	costumed characters may work individually to get a			
14	tourist to take a picture with them, then the			
15	remainder of the group jumps in the picture and			
16	they all demand money. This demanding anonymous			
17	activity generates somewhat of an unnecessary			
18	fiasco that creates tension and intimidation with			
19	our city's visitors and also produces a concern for			
20	safety. I have seen it and because of this I			
21	wholeheartedly support and approve any legislation			
22	to remove anonymity and create accountability. The			
23	licensing requirement addresses a real problem and			
24	is worthy of support and I will gladly participate			

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 205			
2	in any program that is accepted to eliminate the			
3	problem [cross-talk]			
4	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Can we wrap it up.			
5	TOM HARRIS: One sentence more. I love			
6	Times Square and everything our great city stands			
7	for and I applaud congressman King for trying to			
8	protect the legitimate operators and buskers that			
9	bring so much joy and unforgettable memories to			
10	Times Square's tourists. Thank you.			
11	CARLOS ROJAS: Hello, my name is Carlos			
12	Rojas. [speaking Spanish]			
13	TRANSLATOR: My name is Carlos. I'm a			
14	member of LA Fuente and a member of the New York			
15	City Artists United for a Smile and I'm here to			
16	give my testimony.			
17	CARLOS ROJAS: [speaking Spanish]			
18	TRANSLATOR: The first thing I want to			
19	make sure that I say is that when I go out there			
20	and dress up as a, in a, in a costume I, I feel			
21	like I'm doing art and it's my art.			
22	CARLOS ROJAS: [speaking Spanish]			
23	TRANSLATOR: I am opposed to the			
24	legislation because it's going to limit the space,			

Times Square.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 207			
2	CHAIRPERSON ESPINAL: Thank you. Thank			
3	you gentleman. Let's call up the last panel. We			
4	have Jose Marine, and Harris Lertsman Jose Marine			
5	and Harris Lertsman. So we have Jose Marine who's			
6	ready to testify and Harris Lertsman has submitte			
7	his testimony for record. So Jose [speaking			
8	Spanish]			
9	JOSE MARINE: [speaking Spanish]			
1,0	TRANSLATOR: Good afternoon. My name is			
11	Jose Marine. I have [speaking Spanish]			
12	JOSE MARINE: [speaking Spanish]			
13	TRANSLATOR: Two years working in Times			
14	Square and I work as Captain America.			
15	JOSE MARINE: [speaking Spanish]			
16	TRANSLATOR: And I will be totally in			
17	agreement with some kind of law that pass as lon			
18	as it's just for the artist.			
19	JOSE MARINE: [speaking Spanish]			
20	TRANSLATOR: And, and at the same time			
21	as long as it's in agreement with all of the, the			
22	city of Manhattan.			
23	JOSE MARINE: [speaking Spanish]			

from all sides; from the agencies that are going to

\sim	\sim	\sim
٠,	11	(A

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

be in charge of licensing to the business owners to the characters themselves. I really appreciate you guys taking the time out and giving us your, your, your point of view. We're going to take everything that's been heard today into consideration. And I want to thank the bill sponsor Andy King. I want to thank Corey Johnson and Dan Garodnick who are both representatives of their districts. And I want to thank my committee staff Labony Ramon for all your work, Israel Martinez and Rachel Cordero for all your work. Thank you guys, thank you. With that said this meeting's adjourned.

[gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 24, 2014